copy copy

			COPY
1	SUPERIOR	COURT OF THE STATE OF C	
2	FO	R THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGE	CLES
3	DEPARTMENT WEST "	G" HON. JOHN	SHEA, JUDGE
4			
5			<i>.</i>
- 6	THE PEOPLE OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	-
7	+5	Plaintiff,)	
8	Vs.	138 T 1 Tags	No. A-057,452
9	ROBERT KENNETH BE.	AUSOLEIL,	VOLUME II
10	a us	Defendant.	(Pages 167-291)
11			
12	10 **		
13		REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	<u>r</u>
14	R. P. T	Monday, November 17, 190 Tuesday, November 18, 19	69
15		Monday, November 24, 190 Tuesday, November 25, 190	69
16		Wednesday, November 26,	1969
17	1		5)
18	APPEARANCES:	2	
		mailto nocc	

For the People: RONALD ROSS,
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: LEON M. SALTER,
Deputy Public Defender

ANITA SHAW, C.S.R. Official Reporter 1725 North Main Street Santa Monica, California 451-5911, Ext. 404

19

21

22

23

					(4)
1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> I	<u>EX</u>			
2	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	DeCARLO, Daniel	268	277		
4	FRASER, James K.	127			
5	GUENTHER, Charles C.	195	- 2		
6	HUMPHREY, Forrest	10	16	22	23
7	13 P	69	79	23 81	82
8	IRWIN, Mike	46	54	55	
9	KATSUYAMA, David M.	103	122	**	
10	KRELL, Glenn	56	68		
11	LUTESINGER, Katharine	26	38	40	
12	PIET, Paul	84			
13	PUHEK, Louis John	197			
14	TURNEY, Francis G.	130	138	139	140
15	WHITE, Flois	92			
16	WHITLEY, Paul J.	89			
17	43 46 33	143 172	190	194	
18	19 19 19 18 91 13			222 261	227 262
19	DEFENSE WITNESSES	•			
20	BEAUSOLEIL, Robert Kenneth	3	4	4	
21	DeCARLO, Daniel	258	259		
22	GERARD, Jack Joseph	205		12.	
23	GUTIERREZ, Manuel	234	243	246	
24	WHITLEY, Paul J.	250			-
25	1				
26					
	I and the second				

EXHIBITS

2	PEOPLE'S FOR	IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
3	1 - Photograph of car	37	82
4	2 - Color Photograph of house	49	82
5	3 - Color Photograph of inside of room	52	82
6	4 - Photograph of man	67	82
7	5 - Photograph of vehicle	71	82
8	6 - Pink Slip	73	82
9	7 - White Slip	73	82
10	8 - Fingerprint exemplar card	90	101
11	9 - 3x5 card of writing and		
12	part of print	94	101
13	10 - Fingerprint blow-up "latent print"	94	101
14	11 - Photograph of interior of a location	97	101
16	12 - Copy showing both sides of head	108	203
17	13 - Copy showing human body	108	203
18	14 - Copy showing ribcage	108	203
19	15 - Knife	121	203
20	15A- Photo. knife and scabbard	163	203
21	16 - Photograph	131	203
22	17 - Photograph	132	203
23	18 - Photograph	132	203
24	19 - Polo shirt	132	203
25	20 - Levis	132	203
2 6	21 - Photograph	135	203

E	X	H	Ī	B	Ī	T	<u>s</u>	(Continued)

2	PEOPLE'S	FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
3	22 - Photograph	145	203
4	23 - Photograph	152	203
5	24 - Photograph	153	203
6	25 - Pieces of chain	154	203
7	26 - Checkbook	156	203
8	27 - Pink Slip	157	203
9	28 - Photograph	162	203 .
10	29 - Photograph	. 162	203
11	30 - Photograph	164	203
12	31 - Photograph	174	203
13	32 - Correspondence	174	203
14	33 - Photograph	175	203
15	34 - Certified Documents	176	203
16	35 - Small knife	178	203
17	36 - Small paint brush	189	203
18	37 - Photograph	195	203
19			

3

5

6

7

8

10 11

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1969,

11:07 A.M.

People versus Beausoleil. THE COURT:

We have a motion to be made. May we MR. SALTER: make it in chambers?

THE COURT: The defendant has not come yet. Where is Beausoleil?

THE BAILIFF: He is not here yet.

THE COURT: Is this motion that you are making going to require his presence?

MR. SALTER: Not really, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let us go into chambers.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in chambers outside the presence and hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: First of all, let the record show that due to a failure in communication, the defendant Beausoleil was not brought out at the usual time this morning. He is en route from the county jail and will be here shortly.

At this time his counsel has indicated that he has a motion to make but that he feels that it can be made in the absence of the defendant.

> That is correct, your Honor. MR. SALTER:

THE COURT: We are in chambers.

First of all, I would like to introduc MR. SALTER: as defendant's A, for purposes of this motion only, not, of -

 course, to go in front of the jury, an article, and Counsel will stipulate that this article was in Friday's evening Outlook.

MR. ROSS: I will not stipulate. I will take your word for it.

MR. SALTER: It was in Friday's evening Outlook.

This is the article plus a picture.

The Court remembers that about the 13th of October,
Mr. Lester of our office, at my request, presented the
Court with a written Order restricting the release of information pending in the trial, and requested that the Court sign the Order and make such an Order; and at that time the
Court in its judgment decided not to.

THE COURT: I will tell you just exactly what the Court did. I got the perfunctory paper indicating a standard order directing that nobody give out any prejudicial information with regard to this case, and at that time the Order was laid on my desk, and I did not sign it, because there was no showing that there was any need for it, and so I did not sign it.

MR. SALTER: Well, anyhow it wasn't signed. Anyhow, as a result, there is, at the end of the article, a reference to certain statements made by another individual in which this other individual refers to things that my defendant, Mr. Beausoleil, had allegedly did.

I would make the following motion: First of all,

I would ask for a change of venue at this time to some area outside the Santa Monica area. Secondly, I would ask for the Order to restrict the releasing of information pending trial, that is, an Order in which the press is ordered not to release information other than what is presented in the witness stand in front of the jury. Thirdly, I would ask the Court to inquire of the members of the jury whether any of them have read any articles relating to this case since they have been impanelled as a jury. Fourthly, to order them not to read any articles relating to this case.

THE COURT: The motions are all denied.

MR. SALTER: The Court is not going to even inquire of the jury?

THE COURT: No.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I would ask for a mistrial in this matter, in that some jurors could have read this article, and this article would be so prejudicial to defend ant's case as to his having a fair trial.

THE COURT: That motion will likewise be denied, and I am not asking for the very reason that it would a attract attention to the thing.

They have previously been advised not to look at any articles pertaining to this case, and I am going to act on the assumption that they are following my instructions in that regard.

To make this request at this time as to whether or

 not anybody has done this, in violation of the Order, would merely attract attention to it and emphasize it so that I will act on the assumption, based upon experience that I have had for many years, that jurors do follow the Court's instructions.

Publicity does not affect jurors' verdicts.

MR. SALTER: May the article be admitted into evidence for purposes of this motion?

THE COURT: It will be received as a special Exhibit for the purpose of this motion only.

MR. SALTER: And not to go to the jury.

THE COURT: No.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: Good morning ladies and gentlemen. We have had an unfortunate breakdown in communications this morning which delayed us somewhat, but at least we can get under way again.

Are you ready to proceed?

MR. ROSS: Sergeant Whitley, you may take the stand.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going to give you a little illustration of what can happen. Now, I have told you not to discuss the facts in this case outside the jury room with anyone, and also I have instructed you to leave out reading any newspapers.

I will give you an illustration of what can happen.

I had a civil case going here in which there was a woman who had slipped and fallen in a market, and one of the jurors, very innocently and over a weekend, is talking to a nurse friend of hers about the case. Now, it was all very innocent, and the nurse said, "Oh, I remember her. She is the gal that slipped on the mayonnaise." There was no mayonnaise involved at all. It was just completely and totally erroneous.

I mention this to you because it shows how easy it is to get misinformed by sources outside of the courtroom.

That is why we urge you to avoid any contact outside of the courtroom. Just depend upon what you hear here, because this is the only thing that you can rely upon.

I point that out to you because it is important. Please bear that in mind.

PAUL J. WHITLEY.

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, was sworn, examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony that you may give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Be seated and state your full name,

please, for the record.

THE WITNESS: Paul J. Whitley.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROSS:

Q Officer, is this the knife and scabbard that we have been speaking of in 15 and 15a?

A That is correct.

Q Those were the ones that were shown on the two pictures I had shown to you earlier; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q You had identified this Fiat automobile from the pictures that we had had here earlier, and these were taken under your direction; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Did you go through the car rather thoroughly?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did you find in it anything which appeared to be blood or blood spots?

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I am going to object to the question, unless he proceeds in a manner in which he took tests and such as an expert.

THE COURT: I think that is a matter of cross-examination.

26

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you seen this before?

Q

24

25

26

views of what appears to be a Volkswagen-type van.

What does that show?

Yes, I have.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

photographs earlier?

A

Yes.

25

25

26

placed in this drainer.

12 Street and the str

And the brush that appears on the wall there,

There were dishes that were washed out and

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

was that hanging there at the time?

A Yes.

MR. ROSS: I have here a number of certified documents from the Department of Motor Vehicles pertaining to a Volkswagen, license number PGE 388. May these be marked as Exhibit number 34?

THE COURT: Are these documents that you sent for in order to show the registration?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they are.

THE COURT: These are certified copies?

MR. ROSS: They are certified. It says right here "certified."

THE COURT: Number 34.

BY MR. ROSS:

Q Sir, I show you what has been marked now as Exhibit number 34 for identification. Have you seen those?

A Yes.

Q Where did you get those from?

A I received these from the Department of Motor Vehicles.

Q These pertain to the Volkswagen bus we have been speaking about, do they not?

A Yes.

Q These were sent at your request from the Department of Motor Vehicles; is that correct?

A Yes.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

outside.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

The stairs that are in the photograph THE COURT: on the outside of the house? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Was this on the ground, then? THE COURT: THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ROSS: That is on the outside of the house, not inside is that correct? That is correct. MR. ROSS: May I have that marked number 35? THE COURT: It will be so marked. BY MR. ROSS:

Let's get back to San Luis Obispo. It stated that you had gone back up there, and that at the time you saw the defendant it was in the early morning some time on the 7th of August?

- It was on the 6th of August --
- Q Excuse me.
- -- at approximately 10:00 p.m. at night. A-
- Was that when you saw the defendant?
- A Yes.
- At the time that you saw the defendant, how was he dressed?
 - He was in jail clothes.
- Did you pick up from the booking officer there the clothes and property that had been booked to the

1	defendant?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did you go through that property?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Did you find any money in that property?
6	A Yes, there was a small amount.
7	Q Change, or what?
8	A I believe so. I don't think it was more than
9	a dollar and a half.
10	Q I also show you the Exhibit that we have marked
11	here as number 6, this pink slip for the Fiat. Did you also
12	get that?
13	A Yes, this was in his property.
14	Q Was there anything unusual about his physical
15	condition at that time?
16	A Yes. He had small scratch marks in the throat
17	area and on the chest.
18	Q Would you describe those a little more fully,
. 19	if you can?
20	A They were very thin scratch marks. He told us
21	that.
22	Q Well, I didn't ask you that. Would you describe
23	those?
24	A There were extremely thin, superficial scab
25 —	marks on them. They appeared to be old.
26	Q Did you have a conversation with him at that

time?

A Yes, I did.

Q Were the statements freely and voluntarily made by him?

A Yes.

Q Would you tell us what he said?

A We asked Mr. Beausoleil what he was doing driving Gary Hinman's car, and he told me that Gary Hinman had loaned him the car; that Gary had signed the pink slip off and gave it to him so that if he got stopped by the law, there would be no problems.

We then told him that we had found a knife in the tire well of the vehicle and that it had blood on it. He told us that the knife belonged to him, and that he had been stopped in Santa Barbara by a police officer and he had hidden the knife in the tire well so that he wouldn't get in any trouble with the law. We then talked to him about it.

Q Well, just a moment. You stated that you found blood on the knife; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Did it appear that you had seen some on it?

A There was something that appeared to be blood on the knife.

Q I show you the knife. Are there some kind of spots or anything that might indicate blood to you?

A Not now.

Q

But there were at that time; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q So would you continue with your conversation?

A Where was I?

MR. SALTER: I am going to ask that the jury be instructed that the statement regarding blood not be considered as a fact.

I think this has been very misleading in the testimony that has been presented.

THE COURT: Well, obviously, the statement that there was blood on the knife has not been proven. It is offered to show what these officers told Bobby at that time, coupled with the fact that the officer has also testified that at the time he first saw the knife there were some spots that are not on the knife now.

MR. ROSS: Yes, and goes to state of mind. That is all.

Counsel made a point about making a stipulation, and we would so stipulate that any spots that were there were removed by the chemist in making his examination. The coroner testified that he saw no blood spots there at the time.

MR. SALTER: I will so stipulate.

BY MR. ROSS:

Q Sir, you mentioned that you had stated to him

something about your finding blood on the knife. Would you continue from there.

A We then asked Mr. Beausoleil why he had told the CHP Officer that arrested him that he had bought the car from a Negro in Los Angeles for, I think it was, \$200 or something; and he stated that the officer had lied; that he didn't say anything like that.

Then we asked him when was the last time that he had been up to the Hinman home.

He stated it was approximately two weeks prior to our conversation on the 6th, and that it was on a weekend.

He said that he had hitchhiked to the Old Topanga Canyon address with two girls, arriving some time in the evening hours. That when he arrived, he went in the house, and Mr. Hinman was in the bathroom bleeding from a cut on the ear, on the side of his face. That he told Mr. Hinman to go into the front room and lay down on the floor, and at this time he attempted to stop the bleeding by taking black electrical tape and taping the face together and some dental floss and a needle, attempting to suture the ear together. He said that the blood subsided, and that he was concerned over Mr. Hinman's condition so that he spent the night.

He slept in a chair alongside of Mr. Hinman, and the two girls stayed in the house. That they stayed all day Saturday, and that Mr. Hinman, in being grateful for his helping him, signed a pink slip off to his car and gave

1

٠

_

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

--

21

22

23

26

him the keys to the Fiat to use.

He further stated that Mr. Hinman told him, when he first arrived at the house, that he had been jumped by some Negroes in Santa Monica over a political issue, and that they had hit in the face with a knife.

We then asked him if Mr. Hinman had any other wounds on him besides the ones across the face, and he said no, he did not.

We asked him how Mr. Hinman was dressed, and he said he was in a white T-shirt and blue Levi's, and that when he last saw him, he was lying on the living room floor with a green blanket up over him.

We asked him if there was any writing on the wall.

He stated there wasn't.

We asked him why he hadn't called the doctor, and he said that Mr. Hinman did not want a doctor, didn't want any type of medical assistance or for anyone to know what had happened.

We then went back to the blood on the knife, and he stated that at one time that he had killed small animals with the knife, if there was blood on it, but that he had used it mainly for spreading peanut butter, and that possibly could be what we saw on the knife.

I then asked him about a credit card that we found on him.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I will object to that. I

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

don't see how that is material at this point. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. ROSS: Did you ask him anything about the name Q Daniels at any point? 5 Yes. 6 What was that conversation? 7 We asked him why he was using the name of Jason Daniels, when he was first stopped, and then changing his name when he was at the county jail to Robert Beausoleil. He stated the name Daniels was his professional name, what he used, and that he was a musician. 12 13 14

Q Did you ask him anything further about the car, from where he took it, why he was using it, and so forth?

We asked him what his destination was at the time that he was arrested, and he stated San Francisco; that he was going to visit some friends.

We then asked him if Mr. Hinman had given him permission to take the car to San Francisco, and he stated, "Well, no, I don't think he would like that very much."

Did Mr. Beausoleil state where he was staying?

No, he refused to.

Did he say what he did with the car, that is the Fiat, after he left Mr. Hinman's house?

He said after he left the Hinman house, that he went to stay with some friends, and that then he decided

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

26

to go to San Francisco, and that he kept the car during this time.

- Q Did he mention anything about his past acquaintanceship with Mr. Hinman?
- A Yes. He stated that approximately a year to a year and a half prior to that, he had stayed at the Hinman house for a month or so.
- Q Mr. Beausoleil stated he had left the house.

 Did he ever state that he had gone back or attempted to get in contact with Mr. Hinman again?
- A Yes. He stated that he left on a Saturday evening, and the following day he called the Hinman house to find out how Mr. Hinman was, and that he didn't receive an answer.
- Q Did he make any other attempt to get a hold of him?
 - A No.
- Q Did he indicate how long Mr. Hinman told him he could use the car?
 - A He said it was an undetermined amount of time.
- Q Was there anything mentioned about this Volkswagen van or bus --
 - A No.
 - Q -- either by you or Mr. Beausoleil?
- A We asked him about it. He said he didn't know anything about it.

MR. ROSS: Yes, thank you.

Sergeant Whitley, would you resume the stand.

2

7

q

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. ROSS:

Q Before the noon recess, Sergeant, I asked you some questions regarding the statements of the defendant, and one statement was that he had gone to the house with some girls; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Did he give the names of the girls?

A No, he refused to.

Q Directing your attention back to the house for a few moments, in the conversation was there anything mentioned about the defendant's having wiped up any blood?

A Yes.

Q What is that?

A He stated that he wiped up some blood on a gray sweat shirt and a sheet, and that they started to smell on Saturday, and he placed them in a garbage can.

Q At the house itself, did you go through the garbage can when you were there?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did you find any such objects in the garbage can?

A No.

Q Also, once again, back at the house, when you observed the body of Mr. Hinman, did you observe any tape on his person or nearby him?

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A	No.

- Q Did you observe any tape at all at the house?
- A Yes.
- Q What was that and where was it?
- A There was a roll of tape on the book shelves, four shelves up, about midway between the north and south wall and on the east wall in the living room.
- Q Was this a whole role, or were there pieces, or what?
 - A Well, it was a portion of a role.
- Q Did you observe any cut off pieces in any part of the house or on the body?
 - A No.
- Q Did you observe any thread or dental floss at or near the body, especially near the site of the wound where the defendant stated he attempted to sew up?
 - A No.
- Q Back to the house again for a moment -- and I show you Exhibit number 16 showing the area inside of the location where the words "political piggy" are written on wall there -- did you take a pretty good look at that?
- A Yes, I did. Can I correct you, by the way? That is "politically piggy."
- Q It didn't come out too well on there. What does it say?
 - A It is "politically piggy."

Thank you very much, sir.

Q

of place.

Cielo Drive.com ARCHIVES

I looked for anything there that would be out

	}		
27	1	Q	But you didn't look specifically for any tape,
i e Ie	2	did you?	
	3	A	At which point?
	4	Q	At any point prior to the removal of the body?
÷	5	A	No. Afterwards I did.
	6	Q	How long afterwards?
	7	A	On the 17th of August.
	8	Q	That was about seven, eight days later?
	9	A	Yes.
	10	Q	Where was the book shelf where you found this
	11	tape was lo	cated in relationship to where you found Gary's
	12	body?	
(13	A	It was approximately three feet east. It runs
	14	along the e	ast wall.
	15	Q	You say there was a makeshift shrine like a
	16	Buddhist sh	rine there; is that correct?
2	17	А	Yes.
	18	Q	That is what he was under?
	19	A	Yes, his head was directly under it.
	20	Q	There was a sheet next to that; is that correc
	21	A	Yes.
	22	Q	Now, you had some conversation with Bobby when
	23	you went up	to San Luis Obispo, and in the conversation you
	24	remarked re	garding the scratches you found on his chest;
\	25	isn't that	correct?
	26	A	Yes.

He told you it was some cat he had been playing

1

2

Q

with at the ranch; isn't that correct?

He didn't say the ranch. He said some cat, though? Yes. 5 . You had forgotten to mention that to the jury 6 on direct examination; isn't that correct? No, I thought I mentioned it. 8 Regarding the knife, you said Bobby told you that he had stopped at a restaurant in Santa Barbara on his 10 way up to San Francisco, and that some officer saw him with 11 a knife and the scabbard and said, "You are not supposed to 12 walk around with a knife like that"; and that is when he 13 put it back in the tire well; isn't that correct? A No. 15 Didn't he tell you that some officer stopped 16 him some time that night? 17 A Yes. 18 The officer told him he shouldn't be walking 19 around with a knife like that? 20 Yes, but he did not say something about stopping 21 in a restaurant. 22 23 But some officer told him he wasn't supposed to be walking around with a knife like that? 25 A He didn't say what the officer said, but he said he was stopped by a policeman and identified the knife. 26

1	Q Didn't he tell you the officer commented about
2	the knife?
3	A No.
4	Q Now, Bobby told you that he had talked to Gary
5	at some time several weeks prior to going over there, and
6	at that time Gary had promised him to let him use his auto-
7	mobile to go up to San Francisco to visit somebody; isn't
8	that correct?
9	A No.
.0	Q He didn't tell you that?
11	A No.
L2	Q Did he say something to that effect, then?
13	A No.
14	Q He told you he had stayed at Gary's house for
15	some period of time about a year to a year and a half ago;
16	isn't that correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q There was a letter found addressed to Bobby on
19	the premises; isn't that correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q That letter was dated 1967; isn't that correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q He told you that the reason that Gary gave him
24	the pink slip was in case he got in an accident, that Gary
25	would not be financially responsible?
2 6	A No.

Did he say something to that effect? Q 1 A No. 2 MR. SALTER: I have no further questions. 3 I have just one other question. MR. ROSS: 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. ROSS: 7 Officer, I sort of chopped up your testimony Q 8 over several periods of time. When you went over to the. 9 house the first time, was that Metropolitan there at the 10 location? 11 Yes. THE COURT: Was there what? 13 BY MR. ROSS: 14 Was the Metropolitan the third car and too, 15 that is, the Fiat and Volkswagen, were missing; is that 16 correct? 17 Yes. MR. ROSS: I have no further questions. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MR. ROSS: I call Deputy Guenther, please. 21 22 CHARLES C. GUENTHER, 23 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, was 24 sworn, examined and testified as follows: 25 THE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hand. 26

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony that you may give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: State your name.

THE WITNESS: Charles C. Guenther. That is spelled G-u-e-n-t-h-e-r.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROSS:

Q What is your occupation and assignment?

A I am a deputy sheriff for the County of Los Angeles assigned to Headquarters, Detective Division, Homocide Bureau.

Q You are also one of the officers assigned to the investigation of this case; is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I have here another black and white photograph showing the interior of a home of a table and chair.

MR. SALTER: Counsel, that looks like a colored photograph.

MR. ROSS: I am sorry, it is a colored photograph.

May this be marked number 37?

THE COURT: Very well.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

BY MR. ROSS:

Q Deputy Guenther, I show you the Exhibit which we have earlier marked here, the checkbook of Gary Hinman. Did you recover that at the location in question?

A Yes.

Q I show you Exhibit number 37 that we have just marked. Does that scene appear familiar to you?

A Yes.

Q Whereabouts did you recover it, that is, the checkbook? Is it shown at the location in the picture there?

A The broken table covers the area, but the checkbook was found directly beneath the table on the broken side, lying on the floor.

Q This would be to the right side where the chair is sort of blocking the view there; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q These other things that were on the floor there, scattered around the scarves and luggage and books and so forth, were they there at that time and place?

A Yes, they were.

Q What did you do with the checkbook then?

A I picked it up, placed it in my pocket, held it to check against Gary's account.

Q Did you show it to Sergeant Whitley?

A Yes, I did.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

Then you kept it as part of the evidence in Q: 1 this case; is that correct? 2 A Yes. 3 Thank you, sir. Q MR. ROSS: No further questions. 5 MR. SALTER: I have nothing further. 6 THE COURT: You may step down. 7 I call Mr. Puhek. MR. ROSS: LOUIS JOHN PUHEK, 10 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, was 11 sworn, examined and testified as follows: 12 13 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand to be sworn. 14 You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may 15 give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be 16 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so 17 help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. 19 THE CLERK: Be seated and state your name, please. 20 THE WITNESS: Louis John Puhek, P-u-h-e-k. 21 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. ROSS: 25 Your first name is spelled L-o-u-i-s? 26 A Right.

	1		Q	Mr. Puhek, do you live here in the Los Angeles
	2	area?		
	3		A	Yes.
	4		Q	How old are you?
	5	-1	A	Nineteen.
	6		Q	I show you an Exhibit here that we have marked
	7	as Exhib	it nu	mber 31, a Volkswagen bus, with a Thunderbird
	8	on the s	ide d	f it. Have you seen that automobile, that van
	9	before?		
1	0		A	Yes.
1	11		Q	When was the first time that you saw that car,
1	12	approxim	ately	where and when?
11:	13		A	The first time?
	14		Q	Yes.
0	15	1	A	I really can't say.
	16	be seen of a	Q	Could you give us an approximation of the time
	17	286 (1)	A	At the beginning of August some time.
	18		Q	Of this year?
	19		A	Right.
	20		Q	Where was it that you saw it?
	21	Teles of	A	I am not sure.
	22		Q	Was someone driving that car, to your knowledg
	23		A	Yes.
	24		Q	Do you know that person's name?
	25		A	Yes.
	26		Q	Who was that?
		1		

Did you pay for the car?

How much did you pay for it?

Yes, I did.

0

A

Q

25

	4350
1	\$350.
2	Q To whom did you give the money?
3	A To Mark.
4	Q Was this signature on the rear of the pink
5	slip at the time that you saw it signed with that name,
6	Gary Hinman, on the right there?
7	A Right.
8	Q It was stamped on there, stamped on by
9	apparently the Bay Area Finance Company?
LO	A Right.
11	Q It bears a date on it. It says 8-28-69. Was
12	that the date that you got it?
13	A No, it wasn't.
14	Q What is the date that appeared on it when you
15	got it?
16	A It was 7-something '69.
17	Q Did you alter it in some way?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q Why did you do that?
20	A Well, it had gone through people before it got
21	to me.
22	MR. SALTER: Move to strike as hearsay.
23	THE WITNESS: Well, this is only
24	MR. SALTER: Just a moment, sir. Just answer the
25	question.
2 6	THE COURT: Would you state your objection.

Right.

A

Q

25

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

Then you were later picked up by the police in

the car?

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

25

26

- A Yes.
- Q Had you made any changes in the car yourself?
- A Yes.
- Q What had you done?
- A I put a new engine in it, and I did a lot of interior work.
- Q Had you changed the outside appearance of it any?
 - A No, I didn't.
- Q So, in other words, the outside, with the license plate that is shown on here -- incidentally, is that the license we are talking about, PGE 388?
 - A Yes, it is.
- Q The thunderbird painted on the side, that was the same, wasn't it?
 - A Right.
 - Q Thank you.
 - MR. ROSS: You may cross-examine.
 - MR. SALTER: No questions.
 - THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.
- MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I don't know which of the Exhibits have been received up to this point, but at this time I would like to move for the introduction of all of the Exhibits for identification.

THE COURT: In the absence of any objection, they

will be introduced.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, we have matters before the Court. May we do that in chambers?

THE COURT: I might just as well give the jury a recess.

Ladies and gentlemen, remember not to discuss the facts in issue in the case. We will take our recess at thi time for at least 15 minutes.

(Short recess.)

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in chambers, outside the presence and hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: Let the record show that we are in chambers, and that the defendant is present.

MR. SALTER: Yes, your Honor, at this time I move for an entry of judgment of acquittal pursuant to 118.1 of the Penal Code. The People have failed to prove their case against the defendant. There has been much circumstantial evidence. The circumstantial evidence, none of it has gon to the identity of the person who killed Gary Hinman, and most circumstantial evidence is in itself -- not only has gone to the identity of the person that has killed Gary Hinman, it really hasn't hit much of anything. It missed the mark completely on everything.

There is no evidence on which to convict

Mr. Beausoleil, and therefore I ask for an entry of judgment for acquittal.

THE COURT:

The motion will be denied.

MR. SALTER: That is my motion.

THE COURT: Well, that didn't take very long.

MR. SALTER: I have a witness who has to come in at 3:00.

THE COURT: Let's start questioning.

Just in case anybody has any doubts, dismissing the jury overnight went into effect on November 7.

MR. ROSS: That is at the Court's discretion.

MR. SALTER: Counsel won't make any objection to it

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in

open court within the presence and hearing of the jury.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

JACK JOSEPH GERARD,

MR. SALTER: Ready, your Honor.

called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant was, sworn, examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand to be sworn.

You do solemnly swear that the testimony you shall give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Would you state your name for the recor

The full name would have been Jason Lee Jasper Q Daniels?

Yes.

23

25

Q That was his professional name?

		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	42	1	A Yes.
	je is	2	Q Did you and he sign a contract?
)		3	A Yes.
		4	Q Is what I have in my hand a copy of the contra
C.8		5	A Yes.
		6	Q That has been signed in both his real name and
		7	his professional name; is that correct?
		8	A Yes.
		9	Q In other words, by Robert K. Beausoleil and
		10	Jason Lee Jasper Daniels; is that correct?
)		11	A Yes, that is correct.
		12	Q This contract was signed it looks like, March
		13	23rd, 1969?
	(;	14	A Yes, that is right.
		15	MR. SALTER: I would ask that this be admitted into
		16	evidence as defendant's A.
	8	17	THE COURT: Defendant's A?
Э.		18	MR. ROSS: No objection.
		19	MR. SALTER: Thank you. I have no further question
		20	MR. ROSS: I have no questions.
		21	MR. SALTER: Defense rests.
		22	THE COURT: Both sides rest? No rebuttal?
		23	MR. ROSS: No rebuttal.
		24	THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen. At
	Ù.	25	this time we will take our evening recess.
(26	We have other things to do between now and tomorrow

morning.

Again, I must admonish you against discussing the facts in issue in the case amongst yourselves or with anyonelse, and also to retain an open mind until the matter is finally submitted to you.

Due to the congestion we have around here tomorrow morning, I am going to request that you come back at 10:30 in the morning, and we will try to get going at that time.

You are excused until 10:30 tomorrow morning. Good night.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

2 SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA: TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1969
2 10:00 A.M.

---000---

5

6

3

THE COURT: Let the record show that we are in chambers without the defendant being present.

MR. ROSS: All I want to say, your Honor, is this: that I received a phone call from Deputy Guenther, one of 10 the investigating officers in the case, and he informed me 11 that the Los Angeles Police Department just told him a few 12 minutes earlier that they had an individual who may have 13 some information about this case. It is rather nebulous, 14 because they don't know what the information is. 15 frankly do not know whether or not it will make any · 16 difference in the case. One way or the other, they don't 17 know, and what they asked was that if I could get the case 18 continued until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon, with the 19 possibility of reopening it or at least informing counsel 20 for the defense what this person that they are going to 21 interview has to state.

It is now 10:30. By the time we go over the instructions, we couldn't get started until about 11:00 o'clock this morning. So in effect, all I am asking for is a one-hour continuance to get this information this afternoon.

25

26

22

23

2

It is rather nebulous, and I will state that to the Court, because I don't know what he has to say, this person, or whether they can even get in contact with him; but I do feel that it might have some pertinent information, not only for the People but perhaps for the defense; and if there is something else that they do come up with, I think that fairness would require that we find out what it is, especially if it is going to be, in effect, a one-hour continuance.

MR. SALTER: I would say, your Honor, we object to this continuance in the matter. We would object to any reopening of the case.

The situation, as counsel appears to have accurately stated, and whatever information he knows, I know of no information that not only do they not know where this person is now located, that they would have to locate the person, and once that person is located, the information he has, they don't know what information it is, whether it is the type of information that would even be admissible, whether it is hearsay.

Evidently, he was arrested at one time by
the police, and they didn't have anything on him or whatever
They arrested him, and he has been released. So not only do
they have to, one, find out where he is; secondly, they have
to find out what he has to say; and thirdly, they would have
to find out whether this would be admissible; and fourth of

all, if it were admissible, I would object to the impact upon the jury.

Upon those grounds, I would object strenuously to even an hour's continuance or a half hour's continuance, whatever it would mean, because in the final analysis, I am going to object to the People reopening their case in a matter like this.

It has a devastating effect upon the defense, and we put on about one minute of defense testimony, and all of a sudden they come up with something else.

MR. ROSS: Well, we would agree with Mr. Salter.

THE COURT: Just a moment. I will grant the motion.

We will continue the matter to 2:00 o'clock this afternoon.

Very well.

MR. ROSS:

THE COURT: I would like to see your instructions.

MR. ROSS: Yes, I do have them.

Do you want to inform the jury and then cut them loose?

THE COURT: I will, as soon as they are assembled. We will get them in.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

You know, I can remember a friend of mine that was on a jury once, and he said if I ran my business the way you run these jury trials, I would go broke. It was a very cogent

observation. However, there are so many strenuous factors that enter in that it is difficult. Let us say that.

There has been a matter brought before the Court which will require a continuance of this matter until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon so that at this time I am going to excuse you until 2:00 o'clock. You girls can go Christmas shopping. In any event, you are excused.

Remember the admonition not to discuss the case, and report back here at 2:00 o'clock this afternoon.

Thank you, gentlemen.

(Lunch recess.)

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in chambers outside the presence and hearing of a jury.)

THE COURT: Let the record show that we are in chambers out of the presence of the jury. The defendant and his counsel are present.

MR. ROSS: This morning I indicated to you that there was a possibility of some further information coming forth, and at that time I had indicated a call from a police officer and asked that the matter go to 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. It is just after 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon, and I have been informed by the police officers, that there is some information which is vital, can be vital to the outcome of this case, which information was not discovered until, I would say, approximately 9:00 o'clock this morning.

The officers informed me -- and I am not at

•

•

ıi

liberty to state what the basis of that information is -but they have stated to me that this information, as I stated
could be quite vital. They will not be able to final up
this information or the presentation of this evidence until
Monday, the 24th of November.

I am therefore requesting that a continuance be granted until that time, the 24th of November, Monday, and that if such information does come forward, that we be permitted to reopen the case, depending upon the outcome of that information.

When I do have that information, it will, of course, be provided to the Public Defender on behalf of his client.

THE COURT: I want to get the complete record on this thing now.

Let the record show that upon my return to the courtroom just prior to 2:00 o'clock, at the time we were set for trial and in the presence of everyone, Mr. Fitz, who at that time was unknown to me, asked to come into chambers. We have just had a short conversation in chambers that is, Mr. Fitz and I personally -- and in that conversation. Fitz has related to me what Mr. Ross has just told me, that there is information that is vital to this case.

On his representation that it is highly confidential, I did not demand of him that he disclose it even to me.

7:1

I want that on the record so that the record is complete on the thing.

Now, go ahead.

MR. SALTER: Well, I, of course, would say, your Honor, as far as the ethics of Mr. Fitz's even discussing this case outside my presence with the Court, I think, is highly unethical, and I appreciate the Court's putting on the record what did, in fact, happen inside; but I find it highly unethical of Mr. Fitz, and I certainly do not include Mr. Ross in this -- Mr. Ross, as far as I am concerned, handled himself above repute in any way.

THE COURT: Like he always does.

MR. SALTER: As far as I am concerned, I think it is unethical. I think it is improper, and I think it is unfair to the defendant to have any information.

Now, I know Mr. Fitz was in here about ten minutes, but I do know that it is improper in something so important as this to have any communication with this Court outside my presence.

I think, as far as I am concerned, it is enough for prejudicial error in this case.

THE COURT: I was here, and I have advised Mr. Fitz of the factors that he would establish for an appeal by taking this course of action, and this, of course, is a risk that the prosecution takes any time they do this.

I am going to say this: when the District

1i

2

•

1į

2

Attorney, Mr. Ross or Mr. Fitz, represents to me that their situation is in this factor, and since they are fully aware of the effect that this will have on an appeal in this case, I am relying upon their representation, and I am going to grant the motion.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, before the Court makes the ruling, I would like to be heard.

THE COURT: I want you to be heard fully.

MR. SALTER: First of all, for the record, and because I feel so strongly about it, I certainly object to any continuance in this matter, and a continuance to Monday, I think, is unheard of. Here we are at Tuesday. The case was closed sometime after 3:00 o'clock Monday. So that's in a sense a week's continuance. We don't know what will be said to whom in the jury, what conversations they will overhear.

There is also another matter in this case, a Susan Atkins, who sometime in the next week or so will be arraigned on this matter, and there have been some reports in the papers of her statements which are highly incriminating to Mr. Beausoleil.

THE COURT: Who is this Atkins?

MR. SALTER: Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. SALTER: Susan Atkins is allegedly one of the girls referred to by Mr. Beausoleil.

1i

2

THE COURT: Is that the case you had out in Malibu?

MR. ROSS: Yes. That comes up for arraignment on
the 26th.

MR. SALTER: I show the Court the article in the Evening Outlook. There was a statement of Susan Atkins as to Mr. Beausoleil's having done certain things in this matter, and the danger of this information getting to the jury becomes that much more as the days pass, especially considering the coverage the Outlook has given to this case.

People talk to other people, and the jurors, although they may try to refrain from hearing information --

On the 26th, a week from tomorrow.

THE COURT: Pardon me. May I ask a point of information? Is her arraignment due in Superior Court?

MR. ROSS:

MR. SALTER: Now, we have a situation where I had about one minute of defense testimony. Then we rested.

Now, all of a sudden, a whole week's continuance is going to take place. The jury is going to be out. There is not control of the jury during that week. If they do come up with something, then, they are going to start putting that evidence, and that becomes devastating, putting on evidence after I have rested, after a week. Then I may be in a positi where I will need a continuance to investigate the evidence. That is a side issue as to how much information, knowledge, am I going to have of what evidence they have so that I can send my investigators out. That is besides the point.

2

I think a week's continuance in the case, when it is ready to go to the jury, and the jury was originally to come back at 10:30 to hear arguments, the delay has become unheard of; and I don't care what evidence they have, for a week's delay in this case is highly prejudicial; and I think it is error, and I am objecting.

I don't think it is a question, your Honor, of an appeal, the People putting themselves in a position where they may be subject to an appeal in this case, because if there is an appeal in this case, during the whole period of appeal, where does Mr. Beausoleil stay? He stays in State Prison.

at this time whether what they are asking is proper or not, and if the Court is even of the mind that they are in danger of an appeal, I think the Court may be of the state of mind that what they are asking is not proper, and I think it is the Court's duty to deny the request and have us proceed.

I would also request that anything else that Mr. Fitz said in chambers that may be part of the Court's reason for ruling, however the Court will rule, that it be made part of the record at this point.

THE COURT: All I can do is tell you, Mr. Salter, in that regard, that I have specifically asked Mr. Fitz not to go into any detail with regard to what it is, because of

L

his assurance that it is important.

I pointed out to him that he was inviting an appeal for this very reason, and he felt that it was sufficiently important to run that risk.

I am going to rely upon his representation to me.

MR. SALTER: I have been practicing law for about ten years, and as far as I am concerned, I have been completely shocked, because I had an idea, for the ten minutes that I was out there, that Mr. Fitz was talking about this case to the Court, and I find it shocking, your Honor. To me, it is shocking that when you are in the middle of a trial, where this young man can end up with a life imprisonment, that the District Attorney in such an important matter can walk into this chambers, and he has the audacity to talk about this case without my being present. I just find it shocking.

Nothing is that important as to warrant this type of behaviour from Mr. Fitz, and as far as the continuant I think it is outrageous.

THE COURT: Will you get the record straight?

MR. SALTER: The record is as it is, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am going to continue the matter until next Monday morning.

MR. SALTER: May I ask the Court, then, if the Court is making that order to instruct the jury that they are to

refrain from reading any articles, letting people talk to them about this matter, or anything concerning this matter, and that if anybody does mention anything to them, they are to come to Court right away, and that they cannot read the Outlook; they must refrain from reading the Outlook, and that also no information be given by the District Attorney's office. I would ask the Court to make an order that no information be issued to anybody, including the press, by the District Attorney's office or the Sheriff's office regarding this case.

THE COURT: Let me put it his way: if I find out that any law enforcement officer or agent is giving any information to the press about this case or any related case, I am going to grant a mistrial.

MR. SALTER: Well, your Honor, I am not asking for a mistrial. I am asking for an order.

THE COURT: What order do you want?

MR. SALTER: That the District Attorney's office and all law enforcement agencies be refrained from giving out any information in this case to any members of the press or anyone else not connected with this case.

MR. ROSS: Let me just state, your Honor, that in that connection I will give out only such information as things happen in open court.

MR. SALTER: I am not asking that. I am asking that no information be given.

4 5

_

2

 THE COURT: I see no reason to give anybody information between now and Monday.

MR. ROSS: You can make the order. I won't give any information. It is as simple as that. I am happy to wear a muzzle.

MR. SALTER: I strenuously object to this continuance
THE COURT: I think your objection is sufficient
for the record.

Let us get the jury in.

MR. SALTER: In view of the Court's order, it seems to me that I should be informed within a certain length of time as to what this information is, what evidence they are going to put in. I don't want to come in Monday, and then all of a sudden, they tell me what it is, and then I am in a position for a further continuance.

MR. ROSS: I had stated that, Counsel, when I first made my motion. As soon as I am in possession of any kind of concrete information that will do you or me any good, or in any case do anyone any good in connection with the case, I will supply it to you. I said that when I started this, and I can only reiterate it.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen, it is good afternoon, good-bye. There has been a request for a

14.

1i

continuance in this case, ladies and gentlemen, which I have granted; and at this time I am continuing the matter until next Monday morning.

Knowing the state of our Monday morning calendar, I am going to make it at 11:00 o'clock next Monday morning. So at this time you are excused, and that means that you are excused from the jury panel so that you don't have to report back at all. I don't want you to get involved in any other cases in the meantime. You are excused until next Monday morning, that is, the 24th of November, at 11:00 o'clock in this courtroom.

Now, I can't urge you too strongly not to discuss the case with anyone. If anyone attempts to discuss this case with you, I want to know about it.

that may be printed about it so that you don't contaminate the situation; and also remember that you are to retain an open mind in the case until it is finally submitted to you. So with all of those admonitions in mind, good luck to you, and have a good weekend. We will see you Monday morning. Thank you.

* * *

--000

2

1

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

1i

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in chambers outside the presence and hearing of the jury.)

For the record, we are in chambers. THE COURT: District Attorney and the defendant and his counsel are present.

Are we ready to proceed in the case?

Yes, your Honor. At this time the People MR. ROSS: will make a motion to reopen the case for its case in chief, and I will state my grounds and introduce evidence to that As a matter of fact, I will present evidence right now.

Last week, when I had requested this continuance, I had been informed that there was some additional evidence which might take a couple of days to uncover which had just come to my attention at that time; and of course, this is all in the record of what happened last The continuance was granted until today.

At this time I do have a witness who is a vital and necessary witness in the case who would be able to testify concerning statements made by the defendant to this witness. These statements will definitely implicate the

defendant in the charge that we have before the Court. 3 want to reiterate that this was not known to me until last Tuesday. It was highly nebulous, and it was firmed up on 3 Friday afternoon, Saturday morning. Counsel for the defendant and myself went 5 downtown, and we heard a tape recording of this statement, б and counsel also received a copy of a transcript of this 7 recording. That was the first time I knew what the 9 evidence was, and we are hear at this time as far as a motion 10 11 is concerned. Now, I would like to call Sergeant Whitley in 12 connection with this to show that there is a cause for 13 reopening the case. May he be permitted to testify at this 14 time? 15 THE COURT: You are still under oath, sergeant, having 16 17 been previously sworn. 18 PAUL J. WHITLEY, 19 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 20 been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 21 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. ROSS: 25 State your name. Q 26 Paul J. Whitley. A

A I can't remember whether I talked to him Tuesday night or Wednesday.

Q Anyway it was after we had gotten the continuance; is that correct?

23

24

25

1	A Correct.
2	Q The information that you received, without
3	going into it deeply, was that Mr. Decarlo had information
4	and statements that the defendant had made to him; is that
5	correct?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q When you talked to Mr. Decarlo on Tuesday or
8	Wednesday, was there a recording made of this conversation?
و ا	A Yes.
10	Q Was that subsequently transcribed?
ıi	A Yes.
12	Q Then you set it up for Mr. Salter and myself
13	to be here; is that correct?
14	A That is correct.
15	Q Once again, when was the first time that you
16	had this information?
17	A Tuesday.
18	Q Did the Los Angeles Police Department say
19	when they had gotten that information?
20	A I believe it was late Monday night.
21	Q Before this time, that is last Tuesday, had
2 2	you heard of the name Danny Decarlo in connection with this
23	case?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Had you had some information as to anything
26	that he might have had to do with it?

1	A Yes.
2	Q What information did you have along that line?
3	A That he had sold was one of the persons
4	that had been in possession of the Volkswagen bus that we
5	initially recovered in Santa Monica.
6	Q When did you receive that information,
7	approximately?
8	A I received this information approximately on
9	October 7th or 8th.
10	Q In the course of the investigation, what did
11	you do concerning that aspect of the case?
12	A I was called to Independence, California, in
13	regard to another lead, and my investigation in Independence
14	disclosed that Danny Decarlo had nothing to do with the
15	Volkswagen bus.
16	Q Was he sort of dropped as far as implication
17	in this case?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Then the next you heard of him was last
20	Monday night or Tuesday morning; is that correct?
21	A Yes.
2 2	THE COURT: If I understand you, sergeant, what you
23	mean is, that you checked out Danny Decarlo's connection
24	with this case, and your investigation led you to believe
25	that he had nothing to do with it, but that subsequently
26	something else was found out which showed that he did have

A He had nothing to do with this car, as far as I know. In other words, I originally received information fourth hand that Daniel Decarlo had possibly been in possession of a Volkswagen bus at some time. Just about the time that I received this information, I was called to Independence, California, where I talked to other witnesses who told me that Daniel Decarlo had nothing to do with the car; that it was another person, and I just completely dropped him at that time.

THE COURT: But it subsequently revealed that he actually did have something to do with it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. ROSS: In connection with the Volkswagen bus, did you speak with any other law enforcement officer, specifically from auto theft, in your office?

A Yes.

Q Whom did you speak to?

A Sergeant Gleason, Elliott, Sims, and Lieutenant Chaney.

Q What did you tell them about Mr. Decarlo?

A I asked them if they knew a Danny Decarlo and if they had a present address on him?

Q Anything else?

A They told me that they would run him down and give me an address on him, and before I got the information

I was up in Independence. 8 1 MR. ROSS: I have no further questions. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SALTER: 5 Were you eware during this investigation that Q б Decarlo frequented the Spahn Ranch? 7 A No. 8 Did you ever follow up your investigation to 9 talk to Danny Decarlo? 10 11 No. Were you aware that Danny Decarlo was in the 12 0 Municipal Court of Los Angeles Judicial District on 13 November 4th, 1969, at a preliminary hearing charging him 14 with grand theft and receiving stolen property and 15 possession of marijuana? 16 No. A 17 Are you aware of that now? Q 18 A Yes. 19 MR. SALTER: Would counsel stipulate that the 20 defendant was at a preliminary hearing on November 4, 1969, 21 in the Municipal Court of Los Angeles Judicial District, 22 being charged with grand theft, receiving stolen property, 23 and possession thereof? 24 MR. ROSS: Yes, I will so stipulate. 25 BY MR. SALTER: Now, you say on Tuesday the 26

	,		· . · . res good to paying the last the second to the seco
9	1	Legacion d'Institute	er, you were contacted by someone from the
	2	LAPD?	
	3	A	That is correct.
	4	Q	Who was that?
	5	A	It was either Sergeant Pachett or Gutierrez.
	6	Q	Sergeant Pachett or Gutierrez?
	7	A	Yes.
	8	Q	What did they tell you? Did they say they
	9	him at that particular time?	
	10	A	The conversation went through my partner
	1 i	Detective Guer	other, and after the conversation now, I
	12	remember it wa	as Gutierrez, the one they were talking to.
	13	William Programmer	He said they had a conversation with
	14	Daniel Decarlo	
	15	Q.	Referring to that morning?
	15 16	Q A	Referring to that morning? No, he was referring to the evening prior.
			Constitute to solve-turns a
	16	A Q	No, he was referring to the evening prior.
	16 17	A Q courthouse?	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very
	16 17 18	A Q courthouse?	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of
. *2	16 17 18 19	A Q courthouse? Wain Street,	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of
	16 17 18 19 20	A Q courthouse? Nain Street, to November 18, 1	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of 1969? Yes.
	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q courthouse? V Main Street, V November 18, 1	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of 1969? Yes.
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q courthouse? V Main Street, V November 18, 1	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of 1969? Yes. Is there any reason why you didn't talk to
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q courthouse? I Main Street, i November 18, : A Q him sometime	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of 1969? Yes. Is there any reason why you didn't talk to on the 18th of November?
	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q courthouse? Whain Street, to A November 18, 19 A Q him sometime of A	No, he was referring to the evening prior. Were you aware that Decarlo was in this very when I say this very courthouse, I mean 1725 the one we are in now, on the afternoon of 1969? Yes. Is there any reason why you didn't talk to on the 18th of November? Yes.

26

your Honor.

12 1 MR. ROSS: How many do you need? 2 I want Sergeant Gutierrez. MR. SALTER: 3 I have him here. MR. ROSS: 4 MR. SALTER: I will start with him. I also want 5 Danny Decarlo. MR. ROSS: He is here. 7 On the motion? THE COURT: 8 This is on the motion, yes. MR. SALTER: 9 Well, then, I might as well call in the THE COURT: 10 jury and excuse them until this afternoon. 11 Does this go to the reasonableness of the 12 delay? 13 That is the idea. MR. SALTER: 14 THE COURT: Let us reconvene in the courtroom now, 15 excuse the jury until this afternoon, and then we will hear 16 this. 17 MR. SALTER: I object to the reasonable delay and 18 the reasonableness of opening up the case. 19 THE COURT: Get the jury in. 20 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had in 21 open court within the hearing and presence of the jury.) 22 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 23 We are very lucky to get you all back in the right seats 24 after this delay. It is now 11:05. 25 Now, we are confronted with another matter. I 26 have matters that are going to take the balance of the

13 1 morning, and there is no use in keeping you around here waiting. So at this time I will excuse you until 2:00 o'clothis afternoon. 3 Again, remember the admonition not to talk to anybody about the case or discuss it with anybody. Please 5 return at 2:00 o'clock this afternoon. You are excused at this time. (Short recess.) 8 (Whereupon the following proceedings were held in 9 open court outside the presence and hearing of the jury.) 10 THE COURT: I am ready to hear the witness. 11 MR. SALTER: We would prefer to have the matter 12 heard in chambers. 13 That was my whole purpose in THE COURT: Why? 14 15 getting rid of the jury so that we could take on the evidence. 16 MR. SALTER: Well, your Honor, the nature of the 17 proposed testimony is such, if it is not admitted, I don't 18 think it should be brought out in open court. 19 THE COURT: Why? 20 MR. SALTER: Because of the dangers of the jurors 21 hearing about it, either through the press or through other I think the court must recognize that the press is 23 means. 24 not the controller.

THE COURT: All we are concerned with now is the reasonableness of the prosecution and not having this

available before last Tuesday.

MR. SALTER: That is fine, but in examining the witness, it would be necessary to bring out, especially, what Sergeant Gutierrez was told by this witness.

THE COURT: Are you making a motion to have this held out of the public courtroom?

MR. SALTER: That is correct.

THE COURT: The motion is denied. Let's proceed.

MR. ROSS: Apparently, one of the witnesses that counsel wanted, Officer Gutierrez, was here this morning, not under subpoena or anything, but was just here; and he was here at the time that I was talking in chambers. I said he was still here, but apparently he and his partner left before he knew he was going to be called by the defense.

THE COURT: Let's get the other witness.

MR. ROSS: Mr. Decarlo is here.

MR. SALTER: Mr. Decarlo, do you want to take the stand, please?

(TESTIMONY OF DANIEL DECARLO)

2

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.

You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth,

15 so help you God? THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 Be seated, please. THE CLERK: 3 State your name. Danny Decarlo. THE WITNESS: 5 THE CLERK: Is that D-e or D-i? 6 THE WITNESS: D-e. 7 THE CLERK: Thank you. 8 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I understand now that 9 Gutierrez is here. We would prefer to call Gutierrez first. 10 THE CLERK: Would you step forward. 11 12 (TESTIMONY OF MANUEL GUTIERREZ) 13 14 15 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony 16 you may give in the cause now pending before this Court 17 shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 18 truth, so help you God? 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 20 21 MANUEL GUTIERREZ, 22 called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defendant, 23 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 24 as follows: 25

THE CLERK: Be seated and state your name.

16	1	THE WITNESS: Manuel Gutierrez, G-u-t-i-e-r-r-e-z.
	2	file of the control o
	3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	4	BY MR. SALTER:
	5	Q Sergeant, what is your occupation?
	6	A Police officer for the City of Los Angeles,
	7	currently assigned to Robbery-Homicide.
	8	Q In the course of your duties, did you have
	9	occasion to talk with a Danny Decarlo?
	10	A Yes, sir, I did.
	1İ	Q On what date did you talk to him?
	12	A I don't recall the exact date. Approximately
	13	a week and a half ago.
	14	Q Do you have records of when you talked to
	15	him?
	16	A I believe so.
	17	. Q At the time you talked to him, did you
	18	contact the Sheriff?
	19	THE COURT: Were you the one that contacted
	20	Sergeant Whitley that there was something that had a
	21	bearing on this case?
	22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I did.
	23	THE COURT: Last Tuesday Wait a minute.
	24	MR. SALTER: On the 18th this case came in here,
	25	It was in trial.
	26	THE COURT: Were you aware of the fact that it was

being tried? 17 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I was. THE COURT: Now, last Tuesday, the 18th of November, 3 I granted a continuance until today because of the fact that . 4 5 there was newly-found information. Now I am relating this fact to you merely to jog your memory with regard to dates. Do you recall when you first called 7 8 Sergeant Whitley and informed him that you had this 9 information? 10 THE WITNESS: Sir, that would have been, then, on the day prior -- I think it was the day prior. 11 12 THE COURT: It would have been Monday the 17th? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 14 BY MR. SALTER: At that time had you talked 15 to Daniel Decarlo? 16 Yes, sir, I had. 17 What information did you get from Daniel 18 Decarlo at that time? 19 MR. ROSS: I object to that, your Honor. 20 only pertaining to this case. I think there were some 21 other things. 22

I would request that that be qualified as to this case.

THE COURT: Yes.

23

24

25

26

THE WITNESS: As near as I can recall, without my notes here, that was in regards to the Hinman murder,

18	1	and Mr. Decarlo	o related to me things he had heard from
	2	Mr. Beausoleil	regarding the Hinman matter.
	3	Q	BY MR. SALTER: In other words, Mr. Decarlo
	4	related certain	n things to you that he had heard from the
	5	defendant, Mr.	Beausoleil, in the Hinman homicide; is that
	6	correct?	
	7	. A	Yes, they were
	8	Q	At that time did you relate what you had
	9	heard from Mr.	Decarlo to either Sergeant Whitley or
	10	Sergeant Guentl	ner?
	1i	A	Yes, I did.
	12	Ω	Then that was on the
	13	A	17th.
	14	Q	17th of November, is that correct?
	15	Α	I believe it was.
	16	Q	That was about what time?
	17	A	Late afternoon, as I recall, sir.
	18	Q	Where was Mr. Decarlo at that time?
	19	A	I don't know, sir.
	20	Q	You talked to him that afternoon, didn't
	21	you?	
	2 2	A	I believe the question was, sir, that
	23	you asked me w	as where was Mr. Decarlo when I talked to
	24	the Deputies.	I don't know where he was at then.
	25	Q	Where was he when you talked to him?
	26	A	When I talked to Mr. Decarlo, he was at

-21	*
191	the Police Building, 150 North Los Angeles Street.
2	Q At that time was he in custody on some
3	matter?
4	A No, sir, he was not.
5	Q Was he cooperating with you at that time?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q What were you investigating?
8	MR. ROSS: Object to that, your Honor. It doesn't
9	matter.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	MR. ROSS: It is outside of this case.
12	Q BY MR. SALTER: Let me put it this way:
13	Did he come in to discuss the Beausoleil case with you, the
14	Hinman killing with you?
15	THE COURT: What you are getting at is, what was
16	the purpose of the conversation that you had with Decarlo
17	on that date; is that correct?
18	MR. SALTER: That is correct.
19	THE COURT: What was the purpose?
20	THE WITNESS: I was investigating another homicide.
21	Q BY MR. SALTER: How long after you had your
22	conversation
23	THE COURT: Just so I understand this, had you had
24	him brought in or called him in for the purpose of
25	discussing this other homicide?
	4

No, sir, I had not, not for the

I say Tuesday morning, I am referring to November 18.

20

24

25

26

Cielo Drive.com ARCHIVES

Did you talk to him Tuesday morning? When

21	1	A I may have talked to him on the phone, yes,
	2	Sir.
	3	Q Do you know how long you talked to him on
1	4	the phone at that time?
	5	A No, sir. I am not exact on the date of the
	6	18th, whether I talked to him on the phone that date or
	7	the following date, but I did talk to him on the phone.
	8	That was for about 15 or 20 minutes.
	9	Q Incidentally, were you aware at the time
	10	that Mr. Decarlo had to appear in court on the 18th of
	11	November in Department A of this very courthouse?
	12	A I had heard Mr. Decarlo mention something
	13	about the 19th. He at first thought it was on the 18th,
11	14	and he thought it was the 19th, as I recall.
	15	Q You are aware now that it was the 18th?
	16	A Yes, sir, I am.
	17	Q Have you subsequently read a reporter's
	18	statements as to what Mr. Decarlo stated to Sergeant Whitley
	19	and Sergeant Guenther?
	20	A No, sir, I have not.
	21	MR. SALTER: May we have a short recess?
	2 2	I would like to have a short recess while
	23	I have this officer read this, and then I have another
	24	witness.
	25	THE COURT: It will be denied.
	96	MP SALTER. Well your Honor. I have a question.

MR. ROSS: It is there, Counsel. Why don't you just show it to him. It isn't that long.

Q BY MR. SALTER: I show you a statement. I ask you to read it right now, just to yourself.

THE COURT: What is the materiality of this, whether he has read this or not?

MR. SALTER: It is material, your Honor.

If this is a statement or substantially the statement that Mr. Decarlo gave him and then he related this to Sergeant Whitley, it is obvious that they didn't need any further time to investigate this case. They knew where this individual lived. On Monday they knew where he lived. They knew what he had to say.

We had to have a week's continuance for this. They could have taken care of this Tuesday.

This man appeared in this very courtroom

Tuesday and is prepared to prove that, unless counsel is will

ing to stipulate that Mr. Decarlo was in court Tuesday.

THE COURT: What you are trying to say is that when they took this statement, that you have just handed to them, they already knew everything that was in that statement; is that correct?

MR. SALTER: That is correct.

And this was on Monday night that they knew this.

On Tuesday Mr. Decarlo was in this very

. 3

1i

2

courtroom at 2:00 o'clock and I am prepared to prove that.

MR. ROSS: I don't think you mean courtroom.

MR. SALTER: I mean this very courthouse in Department A.

We were forced to have a week's continuance in this matter, until today.

THE WITNESS: May I clarify something, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Not having any knowledge of the Hinman murder, I did not question Mr. Decarlo in depth on this, and thought that this would be something that the Deputies could do better than I could.

THE COURT:. You mean the Deputies assigned to the case?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. So there was no reason for me to go into detail with this.

This is why it was pushed over or given to the Deputies.

Q BY MR. SALTER: I take it, then, that what you are saying is that you did not interrogate Mr. Decarlo in detail with regard to what he knew about this particular case.

A Not to any minute detail, no.

Q I take it, however, that you received information from him in which he stated he had a conversation with Mr. Beausoleil, and in that conversation

		Ž.
24	1	Mr. Beausoleil made certain statements, incriminating
	2	statements in the Hinman killing; is that correct?
	3	A Correct.
	4	Q Did you question him further as to what
	5	those statements were?
	6	A Yes, sir.
	7	He said he
	8	Q Just answer that yes or not.
	9	A Yes, sir.
	10	Q So you went into detail, although maybe not
	ıi	as much detail as in that report; is that correct?
	12	A Yes, sir, I went into small detail of
¥	13	Q And then you related that detail to
	14	Sergeant Whitley or Sergeant Guenther; is that correct?
	15	' A Whitley or Guenther.
	16	MR. SALTER: I have no further questions.
	17	MR. ROSS: I have just a couple of questions,
	18	your Honor.
	19	
	20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	21	BY MR. ROSS:
	22	Q Is that Sergeant
	23	A Yes, sir.
	24	Q Sergeant, you work for the L. A. City
	25	Police Department, is that correct?
	26	A That is correct, sir.

alleged murder of Hinman, within your jurisdiction? A No, sir, that is without my jurisdiction Q Were you in any way investigating that particular case for presenting evidence to this court? A No, sir. Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we		
A No, sir, that is without my jurisdiction Q Were you in any way investigating that particular case for presenting evidence to this court? A No, sir. Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	. 1	Q Is this case that we are involved in, the
Q Were you in any way investigating that particular case for presenting evidence to this court? A No, sir. Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	2	alleged murder of Hinman, within your jurisdiction?
particular case for presenting evidence to this court? A No, sir. Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	3	A No, sir, that is without my jurisdiction.
A No, sir. Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	4	Q Were you in any way investigating that
Q If I understand you, as soon as you hear this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	5	particular case for presenting evidence to this court?
this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you call late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	6	A No, sir.
late in the afternoon and told the officers in this cas either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	7	Q If I understand you, as soon as you heard
either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct? A Guenther. Q After saying that to them, did you indic where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	8	this, whatever this was from Mr. Decarlo, that you called
11 A Guenther. 12 Q After saying that to them, did you indice 13 where they could get a hold of Decarlo? 14 A I believe I did, sir. 15 Q Did they contact you again about getting 16 hold of Decarlo? 17 A Yes, sir. 18 Q When did they contact you? 19 A That was the following day, as I recall, 20 sir. 21 Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? 22 MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. 23 That is putting words in his mouth. 24 MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. 25 Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	9	late in the afternoon and told the officers in this case,
Q After saying that to them, did you indice where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	10	either Guenther or Whitley; is that correct?
where they could get a hold of Decarlo? A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	1 i	A Guenther.
A I believe I did, sir. Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	12	Q After saying that to them, did you indicate
Q Did they contact you again about getting hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	13	where they could get a hold of Decarlo?
hold of Decarlo? A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	14	A I believe I did, sir.
A Yes, sir. Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't well.	15	Q Did they contact you again about getting a
Q When did they contact you? A That was the following day, as I recall, sir. That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	16	hold of Decarlo?
That was the following day, as I recall, sir. That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't we	17	. A Yes, sir.
20 sir. 21 Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? 22 MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. 23 That is putting words in his mouth. 24 MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. 25 Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	18	Q When did they contact you?
Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th? MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	19	A That was the following day, as I recall,
MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel. That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	20	sir.
That is putting words in his mouth. MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	21	Q That would be on Wednesday, the 19th?
MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date. Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	22	MR. SALTER: Just a minute, Counsel.
Let me withdraw the question. I don't w	23	That is putting words in his mouth.
Det me wildidian the question. I don't w	24	MR. ROSS: Well, I don't know which date.
26 to lead him.	25	Let me withdraw the question. I don't want
An mose supplied	26	to lead him.

	*
, , 1	Q You talked to him, that is, the officers
2	in this case from the Sheriff's Department, on Monday
3	afternoon; is that correct?
4	A I believe it was, yes, sir.
5	Q And then you had a conversation as to where
6	they could find Decarlo; is that correct?
7	A Yes, sir.
. 8	Q Do you recall what date that was? Monday?
9	Tuesday? Wednesday? Thursday?
10	A I believe it was late Tuesday, sir.
1i	Q Whom did you call then?
12	A Either Sergeant Whitley or Sergeant Guenther
13	Q What did you give them? Address? Phone
14	number? What?
15	A I believe I had his address by them, or
16	phone number where I could contact him.
17	Q Did you indicate to them that he had
18	some kind of a court case out here in Santa Monica?
19	A Did I indicate to the Deputy?
20	Q Yes.
21	A I believe I did, sir.
22	Q Did you say where and when it was or what
23	it involved, or anything like that?
24	A No, sir. I didn't know anything about it.
25	Q You heard this only from the defendant;
26	de Abel comments

1	A Yes.
2	MR. ROSS: I have no further questions.
3	
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SALTER:
6	Q Sergeant Gutierrez, on Monday, when you
7	talked to Decarlo, you had his address and telephone
8	number as to where he could be located?
9	A I have just given that quite a bit of
10	thought, and I didn't get his phone number. I had his
11	address, but I didn't have his phone number. This would
12	be his mother's phone.
13	MR. ROSS: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MR. ROSS: I need just a moment to talk to the
16	officer. The transfer of the second of the s
17	(Short recess.)
18	THE COURT: Who is carrying the ball?
19	MR. SALTER: I have the ball, your Honor.
20	Q Sergeant Gutierrez, when you talked to
21	Mr. Decarlo on Monday the 17th of November, did you have
22	his phone number?
23	A No, I did not.
24	Q You had his address at that time?
25	A Yes, sir, I did.
26	Q You just forgot to get the phone number?

A That is exactly correct, sir.

Q Sir, you were investigating a rather highpowered case at that time, weren't you?

MR. ROSS: I object to the "high-powered case."
THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. SALTER: Sir, you were investigating the Tate murder case at that time, were you not?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, may I be heard on this?

In fact, I have a right to be heard as far as arguing is concerned.

It is my point, my position, that this officer is trying to tell this Court that he interviews a witness, which I think we can prove he was interviewing this witness with regard to the Tate murder case; and I think it is very unlikely that the officer is incompetent. He is competent, and he is going to get the address of such a witness for such a case as that and not inquire even as to his phone number? He just happened to forget his phone number?

I mean, if it was a small case, fine, but he is investigating a case in which there has been so much publicity, and they are rather anxious, I imagine, to find out who did it.

I find it very unreasonable of this officer not to get his phone number, and I think it is proper

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That is correct.

is that correct?

Q Do you know when you got the phone number?

A Yes. I believe it was on Wednesday, the 19th, 19th of November.

Q When you first got the name of Daniel Decarlo you got that name from some other officer, is that correct?

A No, sir.

Prom whom did you get the name?

MR. ROSS: We will object to that, your Honor.

I don't think it is material to his proceeding. He
stated he was investigating something else that wasn't
connected with this.

THE COURT: I think it irrelevant, but I assume it goes to the question of when he first had information about this thing. However, we are not concerned about when he first got information. We are concerned about when it was first given to Sergeant Whitley.

MR. SALTER: That is correct.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

MR. SALTER: I have no further questions.

1 1	MR. ROSS: I have nothing further.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	Step down.
4	Mr. Decarlo, would you come forward and
5	take the stand, please. You have been previously sworn,
6	and you are still under oath.
7	MR. SALTER: I have no questions at this time.
8	I want to recall Sergeant Whitley.
9	THE COURT: You do not want him?
10	MR. SALTER: Not at this time.
11	THE COURT: All right, have a step down.
12	MR. SALTER: Sergeant Whitley.
13	THE COURT: Are you going to use this witness
14	at all?
15	MR. SALTER: Not yet.
16	MR. ROSS: He will be here on the matter anyway.
17	
18	(TESTIMONY OF PAUL J. WHITLEY)
19	
20	· Ind — en en en en la
21	PAUL J. WHITLEY,
22	called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defendant,
23	having been previously sworn, was examined and testified
24	as follows:
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

31.	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. SALTER:	
3	Q Sergeant Whitley, you had a conversation	on
4	with Mr. Fitz of the District Attorney's office, the	
5	Head Deputy, in Santa Monica regarding this matter; is	3
6	that correct?	
7	A Yes	
8	Q That conversation, I am referring to, t	cook
9	place Tuesday; isn't that correct?	
B 10	A Yes.	·
1i	Q That was Tuesday, the 18th of November;	*1
12	is that correct?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q At that time did you tell Mr. Fitz the	
15	name of this witness that you had?	,
16	A I can't recall.	
17	Q You knew it was Danny Decarlo at that t	time;
18	is that correct?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q You also knew that he had this case per	nding;
21	is that correct?	
22	A I can't remember at that time if I knew	4
23	about it or not?	
24	Q You don't know whether you told Mr. Fit	tz
25	the name of the witness or not?	
26	A I can't remember that.	

	- 1	
32	1	Q Did you tell Mr. Ross the name of the
	2	witness?
	3	A On Tuesday morning I really can't recall
	4	whether I gave Mr. Ross that information at that time or
	5	not. The Management of the state of the stat
	6	Q Did you tell him Tuesday afternoon, prior
	7	to 2:00 o'clock?
	8	A I can't recall.
	9	MR. SALTER: No further questions.
	10	MR. ROSS: I have no questions.
	1i	THE COURT: Step down.
	12	MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I believe we can mutually
	13	stipulate, as far as the name being furnished at least to
	14	myself, that I was informed of the name of the proposed
	15	witness before 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon, after we
	16	, had continued the matter over until the afternoon. I
	17	didn't know the name at that time and I did learn of the
	18	name before 2:00 o'clock, and we came in to request the
	19	continuance.
	20	MR. SALTER: In other words, it is stipulated that
	21	you knew the name of Danny Decarlo prior to the motion that
	22	took place on November 18, 1969, that took place around
	23	2:00 o'clock.
	24	MR. ROSS: I knew the name of a proposed witness,
	25	yes, that is all I can state.
	26	MR. SALTER: You knew Danny Decarlo?

33 1	MR. ROSS: I didn't know any information as to what
2	it was, but I knew the name Danny Decarlo.
3	MR. SALTER: Yes, we will so stipulate to that.
1 - 14	THE COURT: Is that all of the evidence?
· 5	MR. SALTER: No, your Honor, one more stipulation.
6	THE COURT: What stipulation do you want?
7	MR. SALTER: Counsel and I would like to have a
8	stipulation. May we have a minute? We want to talk to
9	Mr. Fitz.
10	THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
11	(Short recess.)
12	THE COURT: Let us get back to Beausoleil. Where
13	were we, gentlemen?
14	MR. SALTER: I call Mr. Fitz.
15	March 12 and a class of the second major 25 by
16	
17	(TESTIMONY OF DAVID N. FITZ)
18	er com sociale folia man i applica son in section so
19	THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the
20	testimony you are about to give before this Court shall be
21	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so
22	help you God?
23	THE WITNESS: I do.
24	
25	DAVID N. FITZ,
26	called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defendant,

34	1	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
	2	as follows:
	3	THE CLERK: Would you take the stand.
	4	Would you state your full name for the
	5	record.
	6	THE WITNESS: David N. Fitz.
	7	
	8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	9	BY MR. SALTER:
	10	Q Mr. Fitz, what is your occupation or
	1 i	profession?
	12	A Deputy District Attorney.
	13	Q What is your position in the District
	14	Attorney's office?
	15	A Head Deputy of the Santa Monica Branch
	16	Office.
	17	Q Mr. Fitz, drawing your attention to the
	18	date of November 18, that was last Tuesday of this year,
	19	did you have a conversation with either or both
3	20	Sergeant Whitley and Sergeant Guenther?
	21	A I did.
	22	Q That was regarding the Beausoleil case?
	23	A It was.
	24	Q Did this conversation take place prior
	25	to 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, November 18?
	26	A It did.

35	Q At that time did they tell you that they had
2	a witness that they thought was important in this case?
3	A They did.
4	Q Did they give the name of that witness to
5	you?
6	A Yes, they did.
7	Q They told you that the witnesses's name
8	was Daniel Thomas Decarlo?
9	A I remember Decarlo.
10	Q At that time you were also aware that your
1İ.	office was prosecuting him on a grand theft charge or some
12	type of charge
13	A I became aware of that.
14	Q prior to 2:00 o'clock?
15	A I knew that prior to 2:00 o'clock.
16	Q Do you know what time you became aware of
17	that?
18	A It would be sometime between a quarter after
19	1:00 in the neighborhood of 1:30.
20	Q This information that you have just
21	testified to, did you relate that to this Court in
22	chambers sometime just shortly after 2:00 o'clock?
23	A That is true.
24	Q At that time that you related the
25	. information you weren't in chambers by yourself?
26	THE COURT: What information are you talking about?

1İ

MR. SALTER: The information just brought out on the stand.

THE WITNESS: With reference to --

THE COURT: Let us put it this way: Mr. Fitz told me that he had evidence and was requesting that the matter be put over, but I don't think I ever heard the name of Decarlo. I don't think he ever told me that.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall that I did, your Honor.

THE COURT: My recollection is that I told you I didn't want to know what the evidence was, because I didn't want to get any information outside of court.

MR. SALTER: What information did you have?

THE COURT: That based upon your information as a Deputy District Attorney that it was material, that I would grant the continuance. That was the gist of it.

Q BY MR. SALTER: Do you recall what information you gave the Court in chambers at that time?

A I advised the Court generally that there was a possible witness in the Beausoleil matter. That the testimony could be extremely important to the case. That this particular witness was also being interrogated by other agencies, and that it was desirable that the matter be recessed so that the deputies assigned to the investigation of this case might themselves personally interview this witness. I advised the Court that they had not done so, and, in a sense, evaluate what they heard so that a

	- 1	
37	-1	determination could be made as to whether that individual
	2	could be made a witness in the Beausoleil case.
	3	Q That was the entire conversation with the
	4	Court?
	5	A That is the gist of it.
	6	Q That was in chambers, just you and Judge Shea
	7	is that correct?
	8	A That is true.
	9	Q That took place between 2;00 o'clock and
	10	THE COURT: Do you remember you had to explain to
	11	me that you were running the office instead of Joe Chandler?
	12	I didn't even know he was the Head Deputy. I thought Joe
	13	was still around the office.
	14	THE WITNESS: That is right. We did grope for a
	15	while in trying to find out who I was.
	16	Q BY MR. SALTER: You had seen me in court
	17	itself before going into chambers?
	18	A I had.
	19	Q You went into court to discuss this
	20	with the Court yourself; is that correct?
	21	A I did.
	22	Q One more question, Mr. Fitz. You
ia.	23	didn't tell me what you were going into chambers for,
	24	did you?
	25	A No, I did not.
	26	Q You did not invite me in there to discuss

38	1,1	the case with you and the Judge; is that correct?
	2	A I did not.
	3	MR. SALTER: I have no further questions.
	4	MR. ROSS: No questions.
	5	THE WITNESS: Am I excused?
	6	THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
	7	MR. SALTER: I have no further evidence on the
	8	motion.
	9	I do have some other motions, however,
	10	arguments, as far as the People's motion is concerned.
	1i	MR. ROSS: I have nothing further.
	12	THE COURT: Let's hear them.
	13	MR. SALTER: I think the introduction of the
	14	proposed testimony is highly prejudicial and unreasonable,
	15	your Honor. First of all, the continuance, it turns out
	16	to be unreasonable. The officers has strike that.
	17	I call Mr. Decarlo to the stand.
	18	THE COURT: Will you come forward.
	19	
	20	
	21	(TESTIMONY OF DANIEL DECARLO)
	22	So the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second
	23	DANIEL DECARLO,
	24	called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defendant,
	25	having been previously sworn, was examined and testified

as follows:

39	1	THE CLERK: You have been sworn, sir, and are still
	2	under oath.
e	3	and the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of t
	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	5	BY MR. SALTER:
¥	6	Q Mr. Decarlo, drawing your attention to
	7	2:00 o'clock, November 18, 1969, were you in Department A
	8	of Los Angeles County Superior Court?
	9	THE COURT: Wasn't that stipulated to?
	10	MR. ROSS: I thought it had been, too.
	1 i	Q BY MR. SALTER: Were you in court at that
	12	time?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q That was right downstairs in this building
	15	' A That is correct, yes.
	16	Q In the morning you were at home awaiting
	17	call from Sergeant Guenther and Gergeant Whitley; is the
	18	correct?
	19	A I don't know if it was either of them into
	20	but I was expecting a phone call.
	21	Q You were told to stand by awaiting a phone
	22	call from somebody regarding this case?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Who told you to stand by? Sergeant Guen
	25	A Yes, sir.
	2 6	Q You had given them your name; is that

	- 1	
40	1	correct?
	2	A Yes.
	3	MR. SALTER: I have no further questions.
	4	THE COURT: Step down.
	5	MR. ROSS: Just one question.
	6	
	7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	8	BY MR. ROSS:
	9	Q You had not talked to the people in the
	10	Sheriff's Department before you came to court here Tuesday
	1 i	afternoon?
	12	A You mean, did I talk to them?
	13	Q Right.
	14	A I talked to somebody. I don't know who they
	15	were from. It was prior to me going to court.
	16	Q Well, did you talk to this officer sitting
	17	next to me, Sergeant Whitley?
	18	A Yes, sir.
	19	Q Is that yes?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Was that before going there?
	22	A I believe so.
	23	Q Where was it that you talked to them?
	24	A Downtown.
	25	Q And a tape was made of your conversation?
	26	A I didn't.
		30

25 P	
41	Q Somebody out there typed out what you had
2	to say on one of those stenorette machines?
3	A This was after they took the tape.
4	Q Did you talk to them before then?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When was that?
7	A Three or four days before, maybe.
8 4	Q Where did you talk to them?
9	A Downtown.
10	Q Was it the same policeman or the police
1i	department, or where would that have taken place?
12	A The only police officer I know by name
13	is Mr. Guenther.
14	Q Is he the fellow you talked to?
15	A Yes. Delay address at
16	MR. ROSS: I have nothing further.
17	MR. SALTER: I have nothing further.
18	MR. ROSS: Step down.
19	In light of that, I would like to call
20	Sergeant Whitley for just one second.
	MR. SALTER: Are you reopening your motion to
21 22	reopen?
23	MR. ROSS: Do you want to call it a rebuttal to
	reopen?
24	You have previously been sworn in the matter.
25	I remind you that you are still under oath.
26	

(TESTIMONY OF PAUL J. WHITLEY)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14-

16

17

19

18

20 21

22

23

24

25 26 PAUL J. WHITLEY,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROSS:

Q On Tuesday the 18th, where were you in the morning hours?

A I went to work Monday night at approximately 10:30 of the 17th. I worked all night until 9:30 a.m. of the 18th. I then went to Department 101 where I testified in a murder trial.

Q Were you with Deputy Guenther?

A He shuffled between 101 and another court where we had a kidnapping case going. That went on until ten minutes after 5:00 of the 18th.

Q Did you come out here sometime during the 18th?

A I can't remember whether I did or not. I think at lunchtime I ran out here and then back to the Hall of Justice to testify again.

Q And were coming out and having your little conference in Mr. Fitz's office until 1:00 o'clock?

	C .	
43	1	A Yes, it was at lunch.
	2	Q You were still working on these other cases?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Were you the only two officers that had been
	5	assigned to this defendant's case?
	6	A Yes.
	7	
	8	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
	9	BY MR. SALTER:
	10	Q In other words, you and Sergeant Guenther
	1i	were out here between 1:00 and 2:00 o'clock on the 18th of
	12	November, 1969?
	13	A That is correct.
	14	Q You were talking to Mr. Fitz during that time?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q You also talked to Mr. Ross during that
	17	period of time; is that correct?
	18	A Yes.
	19	THE COURT: Are you through, gentlemen?
	20	MR. ROSS: I just have one other question.
	21	
	22	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	23	BY MR. ROSS:
	24	Q Did you know Mr. Decarlo was out here that
	25	afternoon?
	26	A No.

MR. ROSS: Nothing further.

L

THE COURT: Step down.

3

2

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, the motion to reopen is prejudicial and unreasonable. The motion to continue, in

On Monday sometime, Sergeant Guenther and

5

fact, was prejudicial and unreasonable in view of the

6

evidence.

7

Sergeant Whitley had the information of what Mr. Decarlo

8

was going to testify to. They knew, number one, that if

10

they testified as to certain statements, incriminating

ıi

statements, or allegedly incriminating statements, said

12

by Mr. Beausoleil, there was nothing further to investigate

13

as to whether it is admissible or not, whether they needed

14

substantiation or not. They knew right at that point the

15

legal substantiality of the statements. They knew his

16

address. They knew his name. They knew everything. They

17

had no further investigation. They could have possibly

18

asked him a few more details, which could have been done in

19

a half an hour's conversation before Court on Tuesday or

20

3:00 o'clock Tuesday.

21 22

and Mr. Fitz becomes aware of this matter sometime before

23

2:00 o'clock Tuesday.

24

Mr. Fitz knows the name of the individual.

They then tell Mr. Fitz about this matter,

25

He knows the information. He knows the admissibility of it,

26

and then he makes a representation to this Court that it is

solid evidence, et cetera, et cetera.

2 3

the way, is aware that his office is prosecuting

Mr. Decarlo.

6

at 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon on Tuesday and makes an

7

appearance in Department A on this other matter. The

8

District Attorney's office is therefore advised, either through Mr. Fitz or someone else who make an appearance --

9 10

if the Court wants, we can bring him up, the person who

1i

represented the District Attorney's office -- at 2:00 o'clock

Mr. Decarlo up here, make a motion to reopen, and if it is

granted, the matter is then heard at 2:00 o'clock. There

Now, at 2:00 o'clock our Mr. Fitz also, by

Mr. Decarlo then comes to this very building

12

so that they knew Mr. Decarlo was there at 2:00 o'clock.

There was no need for a continuance. You could bring

was no reason whatsoever to continue.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Now, Mr. Whitley said that one of the reasons they needed a continuance was because he was busy on other matters. Well, there is no legal ground for a legal continuance when you are in trial in a case, and either Sergeant Whitley or Guenther were present during most of the There has been no legal excuse, no legal grounds for a continuance, and now the question is of reopening the matter.

The Court heard evidence that this individual whom they propose to put on after almost a week's

0

continuance, is an individual who has been promised that his case, in which he is charged with grand theft, receiving stolen property, possession of marijuana, will be dismissed by the District Attorney's office; in fact, the Court has heard evidence that in Department A this morning, his case was put over to tomorrow morning for that purpose.

You also heard evidence that this man is a member of the Straight Satans, which is a group like the Hell's Angels. You also heard that this man has been convicted of conspiracy to smuggle narcotics. So he is a convicted felon.

I say this type of testimony at this stage, after this length of a continuance, is prejudicial. It is error. I object, your Honor.

We have already rested. I have only put on one minute's worth of testimony. Look at the effect that this testimony is going to have on the jury. All of a sudden, they throw in this. This is the only thing that they could even call substantial evidence, if it is to be believed in this whole case. The only other thing they had was some vague circumstances. There was nothing which would tie Mr. Beausoleil in with the murder. Now, all of a sudden, they are going to put on a statement, a very harmful statement, at this stage, after having a week's continuance. Imagine the effect this is going to have on the jury.

Your Honor, I checked through the reopening of

47 the People's case, and I also move for mistrial on the 1 2 grounds of an unlikely and unreasonable delay in this 3 matter. 4 The objection will be overruled. THE COURT: 5 The motion for a mistrial will be denied. 6 The motion of the People to reopen is granted, and at 2:00 o'clock, gentlemen, we will proceed 8 with the trial. 9 MR. ROSS: Thank you. 10 (Lunch recess.) 11 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 12 Call your next witness, please. 13 MR. ROSS: Very well, your Honor. 14 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, before we proceed, I have 15 one more motion that I would like to make. It is a very 16 quick motion, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Let's go into chambers. 18 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had in 19 chambers, outside the presence and hearing of the jury.) 20 MR. SALTER: Let the record show we are in 21 chambers with the defendant, outside the presence of the 22 jury. 23 Your Honor, at this time I would ask the 24 Court to cite Mr. Fitz for misconduct and move for a 25 mistrial, based on the conduct of the District Attorney,

Mr. Fitz, who represents the District Attorney's office in

this case. You have already heard my thoughts as to his misconduct, by going in and discussing this case with the Court outside my presence, without my knowledge; and as a result, a motion that has been harmful to defendant was granted, a continuance, which I felt was beyond reason and with no showing on the record at that time as to what the reason for the continuance was, as far as solid facts.

THE COURT: I thought I made it perfectly clear that at the time he came in here, he did not discuss anything about the merits of the case, only that he had information, which was the basis for the request for continuance; and I said that I would rely upon their representation in that regard.

MR. SALTER: Well, that was my whole point. He made representation outside my presence to this Court, and then there still was no showing at that time as to what the evidence was.

The Court has taken the representation of someone who was not on record in this case but was the Head District Attorney of the Santa Monica Branch Office; and based upon his representation, outside my presence in chambers, the Court granted a motion; and I think that was misconduct on behalf of the District Attorney's office, and that is why I am asking for a mistrial in this matter.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in

Where was it that you met him?

At Spahn's Movie Ranch.

25

26

Q

A

1	Q	Spahn's Movie Ranch; is that what you said?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Whereabouts is that located?
4	A	It is out in the Valley, in Chatsworth.
5	Q	Were you staying up at that location?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	Was the defendant Bobby Beausoleil staying up
8	at that lo	cation?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	How long a period of time did you stay at that
11	ranch?	
12	A	Off and on for about three months.
13	Q	Was there some period of time there during July
14	when the d	efendant or Mr. Beausoleil left the ranch for
15	awhile?	
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	How long was he gone?
18	A	Approximately three days.
19	Q	Did you have any conversation with Bobby as to
20	where he w	as going?
21	A.	Not until he got back.
22	Q	When he got back, did you have some conversation
23	with him a	s to where he had been?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Was there anyone else present at the time of
2 6	this conve	rsation?
	l	

he knew him before that, I don't know.

Q You say he talked to him about old times.

So what happened?

1=	A So Charley and this guy went up to the house
2	where Bobby was at. I didn't see them leave, though.
3	Q You knew this guy Charley?
4	A Yes.
5	Q This is what Bobby is telling you still?
6	A Right.
7	Q Go ahead.
8	A So when Charley got there, Gary ran up to him
9	and told him to take your kind and get out.
10	So Charley had a long sword, hit him with the
11	sword, cut his ear off.
12	So he told Gary that, you know, "You had better
13	conform, or you had better do what you are told," along
14	those words.
15	So then he left.
16	Q Who left now?
17	A Charley did, and left Bobby back up there again.
18	So he stayed there, I guess, another six or
19	seven hours with Gary, and then he called back Charley
20	up, and said, "Gary isn't cooperating."
21	So Charley told him, "You know what to do."
22	So he stuck him with a knife.
23	Q This is Bobby still saying this?
24	A Right.
25	Q What did you say about sticking him with the
26	knife?

2

. 3

5

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

He said he hit him once with a knife. didn't kill him right off. He hit him again and again. He did not say how many times he did it. He didn't die right away. It took him awhile. After he was dead, they took the blood and put a panther paw upon the wall with the words "Political Piggy," or something along that line. I can't exactly remember -- remember exactly what the words were that he put on there, but he put it in Gary's blood. Did he say why he put that on the wall? Well, it deviated toward the Black Panther. Did he say anything about any property that was taken?

No, he didn't say what property was taken, but they came back with two cars.

What kind of cars did he come back with? One was a small white Toyota and the other was a Volkswagen bus.

Mr. De Carlo, I show you a picture here that we have marked as Exhibit 31, a Volkswagen bus.

Does that appear familiar to you?

- Α Yes, it does.
- Q Is that the bus you are talking about?
- Yes, sir. A
- Was that brought back to the ranch when Gary (sic came back?

T	A les, sir.
2	THE COURT: Excuse me.
3	Do you mean the defendant Bobby?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	BY MR. ROSS:
6	Q I show you this exhibit that we have marked as
7	No. 1 and ask you to look at that.
8	Does that look familiar?
9	A Yes. It is a little white car.
LO	Q Is that the one you are referring to that
11	came back when Bobby did?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q When you were talking with Bobby at this time,
14	did he have any weapons on him?
15	A He had a small bowie knife that he carried in
16	sheath on his belt.
17	Q I have an exhibit here that we have marked as
18	Exhibit 15 with this hawk or the bird on the front of it.
19	Does that appear to be the knife that he had?
20	A Yes, sir, that's it.
21	Q Did he carry that with him?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Was this inside some kind of a sheath or
24	scabbard of any kind?
25	A It was made out of leather.
2 6	Q Does this appear to be the scabbard with the
	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

1	Louisian State Land
2	A It was something like that.
3	Q Why was Bobby telling you all this?
4	A Because I belong to a motorcycle club
5	Q Which club is that?
6	A The Straight Satans from Venice; and it was
7	maybe more or less to impress me, or because the idea was
8	to get my club up there and more or less protect everybody
9	else; and I was the only one up there, so they more or les
LO	preyed on me with the boasterous (sic) acts they did.
11	Q Boasting, did you say?
12	A Boasting, right.
13	Q Did Bobby say anything to you about going back
14	to the house after the stabbing?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	They went back there to wipe off the writing
17	they put on the wall.
18	Q Did they wipe anything else other than just the
19	writing?
20	A They wanted to clean the house up extra good,
21	but it was mostly to take the writing off the wall.
22	Q Did they say they had done that?
23	A I can't remember whether he did or not.
24	Q Did he say anything about how long had gone by
25	between the time he left the house and the time that he
26	came back?

leather sheath that you are talking about?

1	A Approximately two days.
2	Q Did he say anything about what the place looked
3	like when he got back there?
4	A Other than he could hear the maggots eating
5	away on Gary and how the house stunk pretty bad.
6	Q Now, you have a case pending here in Santa
7	Monica Court, do you not?
8	A Yes I do.
9	Q You talked with me this morning about the
10	matter, did you not?
11	A Yes.
12	Q I indicated to you that I wanted you to testify
13	in this case; is that correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What did I tell you I wanted you to testify to?
16	A To tell nothing but the truth.
17	Q Are you telling me that now?
18	A Yes, sir, I am.
19	Q I also indicated to you that if you did tell the
20	truth in this case, as you indicated, that your other case
21	we would recommend that that case be dismissed against you?
22	A Yes, you did.
23	Q You are charged with stealing a motorcycle; is
24	that correct?
25	A Yes.
2 6	MR. ROSS: I have no further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SALTER:

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- Q You are charged with stealing a motorcycle and possession of marijuana; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q You made an appearance downstairs in Department A this morning; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q At that time you were supposed to enter a plea; is that correct? That is what it was scheduled for?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q At that time Mr. Ross did appear and the matter was continued until tomorrow; isn't that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, it was.
- Q For your testimony today you expect Mr. Ross at that time, or someone from the District Attorney's Office, to dismiss the case; isn't that correct?
 - A Well, I don't know what his intentions are.
- Q Well, he told you he was going to dismiss the case?
- A Well, if that is what he said, that is what he is going to do.
 - You heard what he just said, didn't you?
 - A Yes, that is what he said.
 - Q He told you to tell the truth; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, he did.

2

3

5

7

8

10

12

14

15

16

Q

```
that correct?
               Yes, sir.
         A
               This is the truth that you told him in return
    for the dismissal of the case; isn't that correct?
         A
               Yes, sir.
               So all Mr. Ross has is your word that it is the
    truth, isn't it?
               Just my word, yes, sir.
               You have been convicted of a felony before,
         Q
    haven't you?
11
               Yes.
               You have been convicted of conspiracy to smuggle
    narcotics, haven't you?
         A
               Yes, sir.
               As a member of the Straight Satans -- that is a
    club something like the Hell's Angels, isn't it?
17
               Yes, sir, it is.
         A
18
         Q
               Do you have a code of truth in that club, too?
               What do you mean by "a code of truth"?
         A
20
         . Q
               Well, as a member of the Straight Satans, are you
21
    sworn to uphold truth and law and order?
22
         Α
                You mean among my club?
23
                      You said you were a member of the Straight
24
             Is it like the Hell's Angels; it is like the boys
25
    discuss that you are to uphold the law and order and the
26
```

This is what you told him was the truth; isn't

1	truth?
2	A We do the best we can.
3	Q This person Charley that you referred to in
4	your testimony, were you aware of this person Charley's
5	full name?
6	A Charles Manson.
7	Q You heard the statement, you say, sometime in
8	July. Is that correct?
9	A Yes.
0	Q You are testifying here for the sole purpose
1	of getting your case dismissed; is that correct?
2	A I will testify to what I heard.
3	Q Is the purpose of your testimony today solely so
4	that you will get your case dismissed?
5	A No, sir.
6	2 You have other motives, too; is that correct?
7	A A man was killed for no reason at all. That is
8	the motive.
9	Q That is your motive?
0	A That's right.
1	Q Were you aware that Mr. Beausoleil was being
2	charged with this crime?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q When did you become aware of that?
5	A When he got caught with the white car.
6	Q So it was around August 6th or 7th that you

became aware that he was being charged with a crime; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

O Now, did your desire to bring out all of these facts well up within you so much that you came forth

A No.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let me finish before you shake your head.

at that time on August 6th or shortly thereafter ==

-- shortly after and say to the Sheriff or to Mr. Ross or to somebody else, "Wait. I want to tell the truth and I want to tell what happened. And I had a conversation with Mr. Beausoleil"? Did you do that at that time?

MR. ROSS: Excuse me.

I wish to interpose an objection, number one, in that it is a compound question; number two, it is argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained on both grounds.

MR. SALTER: All right.

Q Did you, at the time that you found out
Mr. Beausoleil was arrested and charged with this crime,
go forth to the authorities and tell them of the conversation that you say you had with Mr. Beausoleil?

A Because when he first told me --

Q Just answer that with a yes or no, sir. I am not asking you for any reason.

26

qualified to question you on them. 2 At first, no. You didn't come forth, I take it, until sometime after you were charged with a crime; is that correct? With which crime? A 6 With this crime of theft of a -- what was it? 7 -- theft of a motorcycle? 9 That's the theft of a motorcycle; is that 10 correct? 11 An engine. An engine that I sold. Well, you didn't come forth to the authorities 13 until after you had been charged with that crime, did you? 14 No. Just answer that yes or no. 16 Mr. Ross is fully competent to ask you any 17 further questions if he desires. 18 A No. 19 Were you and Mr. Beausoleil friends? 20 I like him. I liked Bobby. A 21 I did not ask you that. I asked you if you were 22 close friends. 23 I considered him a friend, yes. 24 You say he made this statement so you could 25 protect him -- you and your gang?

If you have any reasons, Mr. Ross is fully

A

2	Q You were under the impression that he gave you
3	this statement so that you could protect him, you and your
4	gang; is that correct?
5	A When he first told me
6	Q Is that what you were under the impression of?
7	A So that my club would protect him?
8	Q Is that what you said?
9	A No, I said that it was Charley's idea.
10	Q Charley Manson's idea?
11	A Yes, right.
12	Q That your club was going to protect Charley
13	Manson?
-14	A Right.
15	MR. SALTER: I have no further questions of this
16	witness.
17	THE COURT: Mr. De Carlo, when was it that you first
18	disclosed to any law enforcement agency or any officer of
19	the fact of this conversation that you had had?
20	MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I object to the Court's asking
21	this witness any question. I object to the Court even
22	asking any questions on this matter.
23	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
24	Do you understand my question?
25	THE WITNESS: You want to know when I first went to
26	the police?

No, sir. He just told me about it.

THE COURT: Well, I guess you could put it that way.

THE COURT: Anything else by the defendant?

MR. SALTER: I have already rested by case, your Honor.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I guess we can get into the argument of the case. There is some matters on the instructions which I would like to discuss with you briefly before we go into the argument.

THE COURT: We will take a five-minute recess, ladies and gentlemen.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, it is time for Counsel to present their arguments at this time.

(Whereupon, closing arguments were made by the respective counsel.)

5

6

R

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 25,1969 8:50 A.M.

---000---

THE COURT: People versus Beausoleil.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I think this is about as close to getting started on time as I can remember around here.

I might say, just to start with, we have another jury trial in progress so that it is going to be necessary to find some place for you to conduct your deliberations. I have checked with Judge Clinco and we will use the jury room in Department A. So just as soon as we have concluded instructions, the bailiff will take you downstairs. You will conduct your deliberations down there.

In the event that it becomes necessary to ask any questions during your deliberations, you will inform the bailiff, and we will take care of it from there, and we will be able to reconvene if that becomes necessary.

(Whereupon, the jury was instructed.)

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of you, who will sign the verdict to which you agree. In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that all 12 of the jurors agree to the decision. As soon as all of you have agreed upon a verdict, you will have it signed and dated by your foreman and then return with it to this

courtroom.

In that regard, ladies and gentlemen, you have in this case three possible verdicts: One would be a verdict of, one, guilty of murder of the first degree, or fixing it at second degree, or a verdict of not guilty. Therefore, three forms will be provided to you. You will select one which represents your ultimate decision in the case and ignore the other two.

You will have with you in the jury room all of the exhibits which have been introduced into evidence. You will also have these instructions with you.

If, during your deliberations, you have any question about any of the evidence or any of the instructions, just let the bailiff know, and we will reconvene to answer any such questions.

The Clerk will swear the bailiff.

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that you will take charge of the jury and keep them together, and that you will not speak to them yourself, nor allow anyone else to speak to them upon matters connected with this case, except by order of the Court, and when they have agreed upon a verdict, you will return them into Court, so help you God?

THE BAILIFF: I do.

THE COURT: Now, ladies and gentlemen, if you will please remain together, the bailiff will take you down to the jury room in Department A.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 26, 1969
11:04 A.M.

---000---

THE COURT: People versus Beausoleil.

Let the record show that everyone is present now.

Mr. Erlich, you are the foreman of the jury. I have received information that you are of the opinion that the jury has reached an impass in the case; is that correct;

MR. ERLICH: Yes.

THE COURT: Without disclosing which way you stand, could you tell me, numerically, what your ballot is at this time?

MR. ERLICH: Yes, I can, 8-4.

THE COURT: 8 to 4. How long has it been in this situation of 8 to 4?

MR. ERLICH: An hour or two yesterday.

THE COURT: You mean, your situation was 8 to 4 about an hour before we recessed last night?

MR. ERLICH: Yes.

THE COURT: And this morning it has not changed?

MR. ERLICH: Correct.

THE COURT: Do you feel from your discussion that there is no possibility of changing that; that further deliberations will not be to any avail?

MR. ERLICH: That is my opinion, yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I would like a show of hands of the remaining members of the jury as to how many of you agree with Mr. Erlich in that situation?

THE JURY: (Indicating.)

THE COURT: It is unanimous.

Very well, ladies and gentlemen, I understand the circumstances, and I will excuse you at this time.

Thank you very much for your service in this department, and at this time I will excuse you until next Monday at which time you will report back to the jury room for further duty.

I don't know whether any of you are supposed to be discharged in the interim.

Thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the jury was excused.)

All right, gentlemen, at this time, since there has been a failure of the jury to agree, I will declare a mistrial. It will be necessary to reset the matter for trial.

I think the proper procedure is to assign this matter to Department A for assignment to another department.

MR. SALTER: May I confer with him a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SALTER: We will keep it in here. First of all, your Honor, I would ask at this time --

THE COURT: Well, first of all, let's agree on a date.

1

5

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

93

24

25

MR. SALTER: I didn't bring my book with me.

THE COURT: Well, we should move it as rapidly as possible.

MR. SALTER: Any date is all right, I guess.

THE COURT: I am going to set it over to December 8th.

MR. ROSS: That is awfully soon, your Honor. I don't think I can get any subpoenas out.

THE COURT: Every one of these people is available on a phone call.

MR. ROSS: I don't know if they are or not. I sort of lost track of some of them.

Can we put it over for maybe next Monday or Tuesday for a setting date?

MR. SALTER: That sounds like a good idea.

MR. ROSS: Then we can get all of the things ironed out. It is almost impossible for me to get subpoenas out that soon.

THE COURT: Then I will set it over to Monday, December 1, for trial setting.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, I move that the Court make an order that the reporter prepare a transcript of the trial for both sides.

THE COURT: I will consider that on Monday.

The matter will be continued to Monday, December at 9:00 o'clock in this courtroom for trial setting.

Mr. Beausoleil, you are ordered to report back

here at that time.

MR. SALTER: Your Honor, at this time we would also ask that bail be set in this matter. There has been no death penalty requested in this matter. I think that bail should be set in this matter.

THE COURT: I will also consider that on Monday. Let's pass it for the time being.

---000---

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. WEST "G" HON. JOHN SHEA, JUDGE
4	, the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the
5	
6	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs. No. A-057452
9	ROBERT KENNETH BEAUSOLEIL,
10	Defendant.
11	
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
13	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
14	
15	I, ANITA SHAW, Official Reporter of the Superior Court
16	of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles,
17	do hereby certify that the foregoing 290 page comprise a
18	full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had
19	and the testimony taken in the matter of the trial on the
20	aforementioned dates in the above-entitled cause.
21	Dated this 15th of January, 1970.
22	
23	
24	/s/ Anita Shaw
25	Official Reporter
26	·