SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff, б No. A-267861 7 vs. BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS: 8 Defendant. 9 10 11 12 REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 November 29, 1971 Monday, 14 VOLUME I 15 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney 19 BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA 20 and STEPHEN R. KAY, Deputies District Attorney 21 For Defendant Davis: GEORGE V. DENNY, III 22 23 24 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R.

Official Court Reporters

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1971 2:10 1 2 3 THE COURT: The record will show that we're in chambers with Mr. Kay, Mr. Manzella and Mr. Denny. 5 MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. I had wanted to make 6 several motions that I had mentioned --7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 -- on the record, and outside the presence MR. DENNY: 9 of the jury or prospective jurors. And I see they are all 10 gathered in the courtroom, so I don't know whether it is 11 appropriate to do so at this time out of the presence of the 12 jury, and, also, out of the presence of the defendant who --13 14 THE COURT: If you wish to have the defendant present, the Court will ask that he be present. 15 MR. DENNY: Well, might we do so, your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Yes, have the bailiff --17 THE CLERK: Do you want him back here? 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, while they're bringing Mr. 20. 21 Davis in, so that the record will reflect your decision, 22 could we have two copies of the transcript for the People, 23 one for Mr. Kay and one for myself when the presentation 24 of evidence starts? 25 THE COURT: Yes. 26 And is one copy sufficient for the voir dire? 27 MR. MANZELLA: Yes.

28

MR. KAY: Yes.

28

THE COURT: I'll order a copy for each side of the voir dire proceedings, and then for the evidence, taking of evidence, the Court will order three copies, one for Mr. Denny and one for Mr. Kay and one for Mr. Manzella.

MR. MANZELLA: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, Mr. Denny and I were talking before the trial and Mr. Manzella and I have also talked about this, and we feel it would probably be a fair estimate to tell the jurors on the hardship questions that we currently estimate that the trial will take until the -- probably around the middle of February.

THE COURT: Approximately two months, huh?

MR. KAY: Yes, actually two months for trial and with time off at Christmas.

THE COURT: Yes, I intend to take at least a week or maybe ten days of my vacation.

MR. KAY: We're in full agreement with that.

MR. MANZELLA: Want to take it now? (Laughter.)

THE COURT: I'd prefer to take it right now at this moment.

Mr. Davis will be brought in?

THE CLERK: Yes.

MR. DENNY: May we be off the record at this point?

THE COURT: Yes.

(A discussion was had off the record.)

THE COURT: The record will show that Mr. Davis is present now.

May we proceed, then, outside of the courtroom with your motions?

MR. DENNY: Yes.

Well, your Honor, initially, if I may, I would like to incorporate, incorporate the proceedings had in the prior trial when all the defendants were joined, at which a motion to quash the petit jury venire was made, and as the Court recalls there was at that time certain evidence introduced, there were certain stipulations entered into, and I would request that those same matters be deemed presented by way of evidence and the same stipulations stipulated to by both sides.

THE COURT: The People?

MR. MANZELLA: That's agreeable with the People.

MR. KAY: So stipulated.

MR. MANZELIA; We would so stipulate.

THE COURT: Very well, the Court will take it that the stipulation will prevail as to any pretrial motion, that it is deemed to have been made in this proceeding just as it was in the proceeding before this court prior to separation of the defendants for trial.

MR. DENNY: That's fine.

Your Honor, just again, I'm not sure precisely the way it was presented, but the motion, as I recall, was made essentially that the petit jury venire should be quashed in that it is drawn in L. A. County or Los Angeles County only from a list of registered voters, only from an age of over 21. It had been stipulated to that the defendant was

not nor has he ever been a registered voter, though he is over the age of 21. That the defendant is deprived of a jury representative of the entire population of the County and that Los Angeles County has arbitrarily chosen this method of choosing petit jurous in violation of --

THE COURT: Wasn't that all covered, Mr. Denny? I'm sure all of them must have been covered in the prior record.

MR. DENNY: I've got just five more words.

-- CCP 198 and the 6th and 14th Amendments.

Your Honor, I would at this time move, move again to sever the counts of the Himman and Shea killings, based on the fact --

THE COURT: Before we leave the other motion, I think it should be stated that this venire has been drawn from the Central District of the County.

MR. DENNY: Yes, I think that --

THE COURT: In large part. I had noticed during the course of the previous trial that — that is, the trial involving the co-defendant Charles Manson, that there were a few jurors who came from outside of the Central District. that is who resided outside of the Central District. I thought there were extremely few.

MR. DENNY: Well, I would be willing to enter into a stipulation that according to the way the jury is supposed to be chosen on this particular venire that goes through the 31st of December, those jurors trying cases in the Central District are supposed to be drawn from the registered voters in the Central District.

la fis.

1

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

ż

Ş

la-1

THE COURT: In the Central District.

MR. KAY: That's our understanding, too.

THE COURT: Yes, the Court's understanding likewise.

Something else we have discussed in connection with that motion to quash the venire --

MR. DENNY: Well, I think already there had been a stipulation as to the change in the testing and scoring of the tests.

THE COURT: Oh, yes, that had been mentioned in this part of the record.

MR. DENNY: That was involved in the earlier stipulation.

THE COURT: I wasn't sure of the selection from the Central District having been mentioned, but we have it now, I think, complete as we agreed it should be.

MR. DENNY: Before I go to the next motion, your Honor, I think probably the record should reflect the ruling on this motion.

THE COURT: Well, the Court understands that -- you are renewing the motion, then?

MR. DENNY: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: The Court's ruling will be the same. The Court denies the motion to quash.

MR. DENNY: All right. I'll go to the second, and that is, again, a motion to sever the Hinman and Shea Counts, this based on the new situation that has occurred since my last motion on this subject, which was a second motion, this being a third on the same subject, and that is that in light of the status of the guilty verdicts that have been returned in the Shea

1a-2

1 2

3.

Ų.

Manson and the extent of publicity, that it is going to be, I believe, impossible for the jurors to disassociate those findings in the two cases; that is the Shea and Hinman cases, because of the finding of guilt in the Shea cases as against Manson and Grogan. That he is going to be doubly prejudiced. I don't believe it is going to be possible to get a fair trial for Mr. Dayis at all in the Shea Count, but certainly by joining the Hinman Count to the Shea Count I think it is going to be impossible for him to get a fair trial on that charge, also.

I think the Court has the power to grant that severance in the interest of justice and I think it should do so.

MR. MANNELLA: People oppose the motion on the grounds that we have previously stated. And I don't believe the facts which have occurred since the last time Mr. Denny made the motion changes the test which we use for determining whether or not we can select a fair and impartial jury. After — during the course of voir dire if it turns out none of the jurors meet the test of Section 1076 of the Penal Code, then, the Court can re-evaluate Mr. Denny's motion. But at this point it would seem that test that 1074 section covers the things Mr. Denny is talking about, and that those things are no different from other matters of pretrial publicity.

THE COURT: All right, the Court denies the motion. The Court does not believe that the defendant is in any worse position as a result of what has transpired since the motion

was first made than he was. The Court does not believe that he is in any worse position now than before, and the Court believes that through the voir dire of — we can eliminate those persons that may have heard, seen or read anything of the results of the Grogan and the Manson trial and which persons cannot set aside what they've heard to make a decision, the decisions that they are called upon to make without reference to the publicity.

The Court believes that Mr. Davis is not prejudiced by reason of what has occurred. The Court has no reason to believe that he will suffer any prejudice if the Court and counsel select a jury as we planned to select them.

2 fols.

ð

ļ

2-1

4 5

 MR. DENNY: I'm not sure what the Court means, "as we planned to select them," because I indicated to the Court that I don't think we can select a fair and impartial jury.

THE COURT: The Court believes that a fair and impartial jury can be selected in this case, and that it will be selected in the case.

The Court believes that the -- the Code Section 1076 should be the guidelines, should provide the guidelines; and I believe that the jury that will be selected will be a jury that will disregard the publicity they have heard -- or, perhaps, they will not have heard of the publicity you have mentioned.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, if I may only make one response to what the Court has said to what Mr. Manzella said?

It's a little indelicate, perhaps, to bring it up -- but Mr. Manzella brings it up -- that if after we have attempted diligently to find a jury, even under the guidelines of 1076, if we are unable to do so, maybe we can sever the cases.

I suggest that we have already gone through an exercise of that kind in the case, until the Supreme Court caused the cases to be severed, as far as the defendants were concerned.

And if this Court -- and if Mr. Manzella and the prosecution -- is as anxious to save the taxpayers' money as all of us have been discussing, I think it's perhaps a better course to do that now, than to wait until we've gone through another three weeks or so, after an exercise -- in

what I think would be an exercise in futility.

THE COURT: What is your next motion?

MR. DENNY: I take it the Court denies that --

THE COURT: The Court denies --

MR. DENNY: -- motion?

THE COURT: -- the motion.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'm not sure whether the motion was specifically made. As the Court has said, it would consider all of the motions earlier made in the --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: -- joint case, but I do at this time move for a separate jury to try the issue of guilt, and then if necessary, the impanelment of another jury to try the issue of penalty, if that becomes an issue, on the grounds that it constitutes a conviction-oriented jury, by exclusion of those who would never invoke the death sentence, and the inclusion of those who are willing to do so, to have such a jury hear the issue of guilt.

Secondly, that there are no standards on the penalty phase of the trial, and that thereby violates the due process laws of the 14th Amendment of the Constitution; and thirdly, that the death penalty itself as applied under the California law constitutes cruel and inhuman punishment, in violation of the 8th and 14th Amendments.

And in that connection, to have a jury impaneled to try guilt and to try -- or, determine the imposition of the death penalty is a violation of the due process provisions of the 14th Amendment.

25.

THE COURT: Do you wish to reply?

MR. MANZELLA: No, except that we oppose the impanelment of two juries, on the grounds that the Penal Code permits the -- or, provides that the same jury which hears the evidence in the case shall -- and determines guilt or innocence -- shall determine the penalty.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I was going to --

THE COURT: You have a number of clippings that you wish to offer in connection with your motion for change of venue, which clippings were not offered at the time you made your last motion in that connection.

The Court has looked at those clippings. If you wish to offer them now, you may.

MR. DENNY: Well -- all right, your Honor. I will.

I would indicate on the record, as I have indicated to the

Court off the record, that I'm offering these as an officer

of the court, even though I feel that they tend somewhat

to lessen the effect of my motion for change of venue.

But I made a representation to the Court at the time that I had all of the clippings up through the date of November 3rd, and I discovered thereafter that we received some additional.

So, I would like to mark as next in order, under the Special Exhibits that were received in connection with the most recent change of venue motion, these newspaper clippings from the various newspapers.

THE COURT: How would they -- how should they be marked?

2a-1

14 ,

THE COURT: Whatever it may be, it will be received -the clippings will be received together as a batch --

MR. DENNY: I think it's A-23; Special Exhibits A-23, it should be, your Honor.

THE COURT: As a batch or a group, labeled A-23, then. They will be admitted in evidence.

The Court -- the Court's findings are the same, and the motion for change of venue is denied.

MR. DENNY: The clerk informs me I am correct. It is A-23.

Your Honor, I was going to ask the Court for a hearing, in connection with my request for personal visits to my client by various individuals who apparently at this time are on a list of those who may not visit anybody in the County Jail.

I have attempted to get in touch with Captain Carpenter, and apparently he is not available at the present time. I would request that before we begin in the morning — assuming I can get in touch with Captain Carpenter — if the Court would permit us to have an evidentiary hearing as to the reasons for what I contend is this discrimination against Mr. Davis, so as to determine whether the Court would permit the making of those visits.

THE COURT: I have talked to -- I would deny your motion for a hearing.

I have talked to those people previously, the people in charge of allowing visitations in the jail, and they have informed me that they have, in certain cases, kept all but

2a-2

1

2

4

5

6

? 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

relatives from visiting certain prisoners.

And Mr. Davis is one. And Mr. Charles Manson is another. And they state to me that they're doing that for security reasons, as a result of what they say occurred previously in connection with an escape of someone who is associated with Mr. Manson -- and for other reasons, that they term jail security.

They have told me that this is not an uncommon thing. And they intend to pursue it, in Mr. Davis's case.

And I see no reason for disturbing their established rules.

But I have told them that any person who comes with an attorney to the attorney's room, with the idea in mind that he or she would be a witness or a potential witness, or if the attorney feels that that person is a potential witness and wishes to discuss the case with the defendant, he can do so, visit with the defendant.

MR. DENNY: May I inquire as to when the Court told the jail officials this?

THE COURT: Well, this was in connection with a similar request by Mr. Kanarek, concerning Mr. Manson. I have not specifically discussed Mr. Davis, but I assume that the rule would be the same for him.

MR. DENNY: Well, did you advise -- I don't want to cross examine the Court, but this is rather essential to my position.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Have you had any trouble --

MR. DENNY: Yes, I have. I --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you whether you have had any

trouble in having persons come up to the jail with you, whom 1 you believe are potential witnesses in the case? 2 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I am showing to Mr. Manzella and 3 Mr. Kay a regular attorney's visiting slip, and a visitor's 4 slip attached thereto, which I prepared on the date indicated. with the request --6 THE COURT: All right. Let's see what you have. 7 MR. DENNY: -- request of Nancy Pitman, also known as --8 THE COURT: Is this "11-26"? 9 MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: 11-26-71. 11 12 You have a request, "Please allow Nancy Pitman to see inmate Bruce McGregor Davis, to discuss background informa-13 tion with the defendant, to assist the defendant in preparation 14 of his case." 15 16 Now, the Court would consider that request to be reasonable, in line with --17 18 MR. KAY: Is there some writing on the back that's 19 relevant? 20 MR. DENNY: There is. 21 THE COURT: Since you, as an officer of the Court, would ask that this lady be allowed to accompany you in an interview 22 23 with your client, I would order that the jail permit her to be 24 with you when you come up to the attorney's room. 25 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, would the Court then make 26 27 THE COURT: And the Court will so order. 28 MR. DENNY: -- make a minute order?

1

2

3

4

5

б

THE COURT: You didn't follow through, because I interrupted you. Did you have some trouble getting Miss Pitman up to the jail?

I was refused permission to have her come MR. DENNY: up.

Was she one of those who was arrested? THE COURT: have a rule that no one who has been arrested and an inmate of the County Jail within 30 days -- I think it's 30 days -- shall be admitted again.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I understand that rule. She was arrested and released immediately, with no charges filed against her.

I hardly think that that comes within the spirit of the rule, if not the letter of the rule.

THE COURT: Upon your representations, Mr. Denny, that the persons whom you will bring with you are persons whom you wish to have present while you are interviewing Mr. Davis, for the purpose of preparing a defense, I will order that those -- that such persons about whom you make such representations be allowed to visit with Mr. Davis and you, --

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- beginning with Miss Pitman.

2b-1

Ĉ.

that?

MR. DENNY: I have no other motions at this time.

THE COURT: Let's see. You had a request concerning a scale map. Have you, Mr. Manzella and Mr. Kay resolved

MR. DENNY: We have talked about a photo, an aerial photo, yes, your Honor. I believe that it's going to be possible for us to get the aerial photo of the Spahn Ranch introduced; and then, a scale of foot to inches or inches to foot -- feet -- and a north-south diagram made on that

We are attempting to do so. I have just served on Lieutenant Robert J. Helder, at 1:25 p.m., the order made up by the Clerk to reproduce that photograph within ten days from this date.

photograph, so that we will not have to have a diagram drawn.

So I trust that that will be done, and we can get going on that matter.

THE COURT: Very well. Shall we go out and begin selection of a jury, then?

MR. KAY: Now, Judge, before we start, I was a little confused as to exactly how we are going to start off in selecting the jury. Before you get 12 people in the box --

THE COURT: The Court will put 12 people in the box, will tell those 12 people and all of the people beyond the rail about the case generally, and ask some questions generally of the 12 people who are in the box.

I intend to handle the question of hardship immediately, because it eliminates a great number of prospective jurors.

2b-2

ే

The death penalty, while the 12 are in the box, for the same reason.

And then, having gotten 12 people who survive the questions that have been presented to them concerning hardship, death penalty and the other questions which were put to them, we'll begin talking to them individually about publicity.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think we wound up last time, as I recall, by -- by taking the hardship and the publicity first.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. DENNY: And then after we had gotten 12 people who had survived that, go into the death penalty -- which I think would expedite things, taking it in that order.

THE COURT: I must misunderstand you. I thought that's what I said. Maybe I didn't make it clear to you.

But the question of hardship and the penalty --

MR. DENNY: No, not hardship and penalty. Hardship and publicity first, and then, after getting rid of those, on an individual basis, when we finally had 12 seats filled and were past that, then voir dire all 12 of them at one time on the penalty, and then weed those out.

But I think it will expedite things to do it that way.

THE COURT: I think it will work better in reverse, taking the hardship and the penalty, with all of the jurors in the box, and then taking the publicity individually.

All right. Let's proceed.

1 (Proceedings had on an unrelated matter.) THE COURT: The case of People vs. Bruce McGregor Davis. The record will show the defendant to be present 4 with Mr. Denny; Mr. Kay and Mr. Manzella for the Feople. 5 Will the prospective jurors in the courtroom 6 please rise? Raise your right hands and face the Clerk. 7 THE CLERK: You and each of you do solemnly swear that 8 you will well and truly answer such questions as may be 9 asked of you touching upon your qualifications to act as trial 10 jurors in the cause now pending before this court, so help 11 you God? 12 THE JURORS: I do. 13 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 14 THE COURT: Will you take 12 names from the box? 15 THE CLERK: Mrs. Mary Meister; M-e-i-s-t-e-r. 16 John H. Robinson; R-o-b-i-n-s-o-n. 17 Ben T. Takemoto; T-a-k-e-m-q-t-o. 18 Miss Erna R. Aldinger; E-r-n-a; last name, 19 A-1-d-1-n-g-e-r. 20 Mrs. Audrey Sims; S-i-m-s. 21 Mrs. Cozette L. Dittebrandt; C-o-z-e-t-t-e; last name, 22 D-i-t-t-e-b-r-a-n-d-t. MR. KAY: Could you repeat that again, Joyce? 24 THE CLERK: D-i-t-t-e-b-r-a-n-d-t. 25 MR. KAY: Thank you. 26 THE CLERK: Mrs. Eddie M. Muldrow; E-d-d-i-e; last 27 name, M-u-1-d-r-o-w. 28 Miss Marie A. Bourgeois: B-o-u-r-g-e-o-i-s.

Miss Birdie L. Melton; B-i-r-d-i-e; last name, M-e-1-t-o-n. Mrs. Ruth F. Governan; G-o-v-e-r-m-a-n. Mrs. Christine Fowler; F-o-w-l-e-r. 3 fls. Mrs. Mary G. Dunn; D-u-n-n.

3-1

1 2

27.

THE COURT: I should like to have all of you hear me, so if you cannot hear me raise your hands in the back and we'll adjust the microphones.

As to those of you in the box and beyond the rail -MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, Mr. Denny approached the bench and conferred with the Court, outside the hearing of the prospective jury panel, which was not reported:)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is a criminal case.

The indictment before the Court charges the defendant Bruce McGregor Davis with three Counts, three violations of the Penal Code.

The first Count of the indictment alleges -- the first Count of the indictment alleges that on or about the 23rd day of July, 1969, in the County of Los Angeles, Charles Manson, Susan Denise Atkins, and Bruce McGregor Davis did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously and with malice aforethought murder Gary Alan Hinman.

Count II charges that the said Mr. Manson and Miss Atkins and Bruce McGregor Davis did commit conspiracy to commit murder and robbery in violation of Section 182.1 of the Penal Code, a felony, in that on or about the 25th through the

28th day of July, in the County of Los Angeles, those persons did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, and knowingly conspire and agree together, with other persons, to commit murder in violation of Section 187 of the Penal Code; and robbery, in violation of Section 211 of the Penal Code; and certain overt acts are alleged to have been done by certain persons.

The overt act number one as alleged, states that as the indictment alleges, it states that on or about July 25th Bruce McGregor Davis, Susan Denise Atkins and Robert Beausoleil did travel to the vicinity of 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road, Malibu, in the County of Los Angeles;

Overt act number two alleges that Mr. Manson,
Miss Atkins and Mr. Davis entered the residence at 964 Old
Topanga Road, Malibu, in the County of Los Angeles;

Overt act number three alleges that on or about July 25th, 1969, Charles Manson, Bruce McGregor Davis, did drive away from 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road in a Fiat automobile owned by Gary Hinman.

Manson, Bruce McGregor Davis and Steve Grogan committed a violation of Section 187, as in the first Count of the indictment, in that on the 16th day of August, 1969 -- between the 16th day of August, 1969, and the first day of September, 1969, in the County of Los Angeles, those said defendants did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, and with malice aforethought, murder Donald Jerome "Shorty" Shea.

That is the indictment in the case, ladies and

gentlemen.

To those charges, the defendant has entered pleas of not guilty and this is the day set for trial.

The defendant is Bruce McGregor Davis. Mr. Davis is the gentleman seated at the far end of the counsel table from you. He is represented by Mr. George Denny, George Denny, III, who is now standing. Thank you, Mr. Denny.

The People in this case are represented by Mr. Stephen Kay and Mr. Anthony Manzella, Deputies District Attorney.

Now, the Court will advise you that this case will take approximately two months to try. It is estimated that it will endsometime in the middle or in the late part of February. In the time intervening between now and February, the Court will take about 10 days vacation at the end of the year. These are the best estimates that counsel has given the Court as to how long the respective cases will take, the respective cases of the People and the defense, so that the entire matter should be terminated by the end of February, it will be the Court's judgment.

It is the Court's intention that during the period of the trial that you not be sequestered.

By that, I mean, that you will not be caused to be separated from your family. You will not be placed in a hotel or any place during the course of the trial, except in your deliberations, while you are deliberating, the Court may sequester you.

So, to begin with, is there any one of you in the

3 a fols

box for whom this would constitute a hardship to serve this period of time that's estimated until the middle or the end of February?

(Whereupon, there was a show of hands.)

THE COURT: Is there any one of you for whom this would be an unusual hardship?

Now, when I'm talking about hardship, I'm talking about a hardship that might be caused by reason of your not being paid during the period of the time that you are serving as a juror or wherein you have a financial need to be paid, as most of us do, or a personal situation which is of an extreme nature?

3a

Now, every one of you in accepting jury duty -- and 1 you, of course, know that there are many ways that you can get 2 out of it -- every one of you in accepting jury duty has 3 indicated he or she is a person that has some sense of responsibility to his community. Well, that sense of 5 responsibility extends to enduring some degree of hardship. 6 which you must endure in order to serve as a juror. It does in-7 volve some great degree of personal discomfort and personal 8 sacrifice, and so the Court asks you to endure that if you 9 10 But if it is something unusual, then I want to hear about If it is something that will interfere with your delibera-11 12 tions or your thinking about the case, some physical ailment of some type, I want to hear about it. But if it is something 13 you can shrug off and endure and still serve as a juror and 14 serve well, in spite of it, well, then, I would prefer that you 15 16 remain on the jury and not ask the Court to excuse you. 17 I saw several hands.

Let's see, it is Mrs. -- beginning in the first row, Mr. Takemoto.

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

18

19

20

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

BEN T. TAKEMOTO

BY THE COURT:

- Q What would be the nature of the hardship to you?
- A Well, I got to go back to work.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kuczera.

One moment.

28

Now, in order to make that sicrophone work, -- I 1 don't want to have to keep repeating this, so remember when you 2 put the microphone in your hand, put it right next to your lips, 3 4 Point it at your face. 5 Right next to your lips. 6 My tour of duty ends this Thursday, and I would A 7 have to go back to work. 8 BY THE COURT: For what company do you work, Q Mr. Takemoto? 10 I work for Ralphs. 11 Have you checked with your employer to find out Q 12 whether or not they will pay you after 30 days? 13 Yes, I was excused last week for that holiday. 14 had to go back to work. I was excused, so I am trying to make 15 it up this week. This is my last week. 16 Will they pay you if you serve beyond 30 days? Q 17 A That I don't know. They are short of men. 18 Will you find that out? , Q 19 Ά I will, yes. 20 Over the recess, find out whether or not they will ũ 21 And is there any other reason other than lack of 22 pay -- of course, that's a good one, if they won't pay you --23 but other than what --24 I think it is short of help, is about the only A 25 thing I know. 26 Û Well, I may just ask Ralphs to endure that 27 hardship. 28 Well, if they will. A

1	Q If you can be here.
2	A Uh-huh.
3	Q And be paid during that period of time.
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q They may be able to absorb the hardship better than
6	you could absorb a hardship of not being paid. So, if you will
7	find out if you will be paid before I excuse you, and I may not
8	excuse you.
9	Anyone else in the first row?
10	In the back row?
11	Mrs. Melton, did you raise your hand?
12	JUROR NO. 9: Yes. You mentioned the Court would be in
13	more or less of a recess?
14	THE COURT: The Court will be in recess for approximately
15	10 days at the end of the year. From about the 22nd on
16	through January 3rd.
17	JUROR NO. 9: Okay. Because I was scheduled for a
18	vacation from the first of the year or between Christmas and
19	New Year's.
20	THE COURT: Between Christmas and New Year's?
21	JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
22	THE COURT: You are lucky it just happens to coincide
23	with when I'm going to take my vacation.
24	(Laughter.)
25	JUROR NO. 9: All right, sir, thank you very much.
26	
27	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
28	RUTH F. GOVERMAN

1	BY THE COURT:
2	Q Mrs. Guberman.
ş	A Goverman.
4	Q I'm sorry, Governan.
3	A Mine is not a question of pay. I work for Los
6	Angeles County, but I work for the Assessor's and our field
7	canvass
8	Q I am sorry, I have no sympathy for the Assessor's.
9	(Laughter.)
ĬO·	A Shall I stop?
11	Q Go ahead.
12	A Our field canvass starts January 2nd. In fact,
13	it started today but the greater portion of it starts the 2nd
14	of January, and it would create a hardship in my office,
15	especially as we just lost my supervisor.
16	Q How did you lose him?
17	A He died.
18	Q Mrs. Goverman, I would like to excuse you, but
19	that's not the personal sort of hardship the Court would
20	believe would warrant your being excused.
21	Anyone else in that row?
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

3b fol

3b-1

*<u>.t.</u>

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

•

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. CHRISTINE FOWLER

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mrs. Fowler, I believe you raised your hand?
- A Yes, I did, sir,
- Q What is your problem?
- A My problem is I am training new help. I am a night senior operator at USC Medical Center and we are training new help and we have an awful time getting girls to stay on the night trick. So --
 - Q Would this cause you any personal hardship?
- A Well, it would to this extent that they don't have anybody else to train.
- Q How would that affect you? Would you lose your job?
 - A No, I would not lose my job.
 - Q Would you lose -- be demoted?
- A No, no. I do feel it is a hardship on them more than on me.
- Q Well, I may ask Ralphs, the County Assessor and USC Medical Center to absorb that hardship, to endure it.
- A Well, my chief operator's name is Mrs. William, if you care to talk to her.
- Q Well, I think, Mrs. Fowler, that wouldn't constitute the necessary personal hardship which would cause me to be sympathetic towardsreleasing you.

How about you, Mrs. Dunn? Did I see your hand?

3b-2

3b

 JUROR NO. 12: No.

THE COURT: The Court wishes to tell you that this indictment that I read is not evidence. You are not to regard it as evidence. It is simply a means of bringing this case before you, ladies and gentlemen, before this court.

Is there anyone of you who has had legal experience?

I'll be asking those of you beyond the rail whether you heard these questions and whether your answers would be any different to the questions of a general nature that I am putting to these people in the box. So would you listen, too, so if your answers are any different, make a mental note of it and tell me later on if you should be put in the box yourself.

Is there anyone who has had any legal training, whatsoever?

Is there anyone of you who has had a member, a close friend or a member of the family in the legal profession?

(Whereupon, there was a show of hands.)
THE COURT: Mrs. Governan.

JUROR NO. 10: Well, I don't know if they're friends or not, but I have assessed a great many attorneys.

THE COURT: Well, you can be sure they're not friends, Mrs. Governan, if you have assessed them.

But do you know any attorneys who are personally close, close friends as a result of your duties?

JUROR NO. 10: Well, not too close.

3b - 3

i

3

5

6 7.

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Is there any particular reason why you THE COURT: mentioned that? Is there any particular attorney whom you can remember who is a good friend of yours?

JUROR NO. 10: No. I've just assessed them practically up and down Wilshire Boulevard.

THE COURT: I see. I don't know why you should presume there should exist any friendship between you and We'll just take it that there is. But that wouldn't affect your judgment in any way, would it?

JUROR NO. 10: No. sir, it wouldn't.

THE COURT: Is there anyone of you who has been the victim of any violent crime or who has been a close friend of a victim of a violent crime or a crime of violence?

I see no affirmative response except the one by Mrs. Governan.

Is there anyone of you who has been a witness in a criminal case, a witness for either the People or for the defendant?

Is there anyone of you who has been charged with a criminal offense other than a traffic citation?

Is there anyone of you who has served as a juror before in either a criminal or civil case?

(Whereupon, there was a show of hands.)

THE COURT: I see Mrs. Dunn has raised her hand and Mrs. Dittebrandt --

JUROR NO. 6: No.

THE COURT: Let's see, Mrs. Sims.

JUROR NO. 5: Sims.

28

3c fls.

THE COURT: Is it Miss or Mrs.?

JUROR NO. 5: Mrs.

THE COURT: Miss?

JUROR NO. 5: Mrs.

THE COURT: Mrs. Sims, I see.

And someone else raised their hand.

JUROR NO. 3: Me.

THE COURT: Mr. Takemoto.

The Court will tell you that in a civil case the plaintiff, in order to win its case, must establish its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

In this case the People have the burden of proving their case beyond a reasonable doubt. And the Court will instruct you as to what reasonable doubt is.

Will all of you, particularly those of you who have civil experience, be careful to distinguish between those two burden of proofs that I have just told you about?

3c

In this case the People are asking for -- that the death penalty be imposed.

If the defendant is found guilty of murder in the first degree, then it becomes the duty of the jury to determine whether life imprisonment or death be imposed as a punishment.

In a case in which the offense charged is punishable by death, the Court wishes to ascertain whether any prospective juror entertains any conscientious opinions which will preclude the juror from finding the defendant guilty in the first phase of the case.

The case is split -- may be split into two phases, one involving the question of guilt or innocence and then, and only if there is a conviction of murder of the first degree, a subsequent phase or penalty phase follows.

So, the Court in telling you what it has told you about the Court's desire to know your state of mind, has first referred to the guilt or innocence phase.

In other words, if you entertain such conscientious opinions concerning the death penalty it would preclude you from finding the defendant guilty in the first phase of the case, the Court wishes to know.

Or the Court wishes to know if you are a person who, by reason of your views, entertains such conscientious opinions concerning the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose it without regard to any evidence that might be developed during the case.

In other words, whether you would automatically vote against the death penalty or whether you would automatically,

_

. without regard to the evidence, impose the death penalty.

These are questions that I'll be asking you concerning the death penalty and I wanted you to think about them.

I just wanted to tell you at the outset of this trial, the Court has no way of knowing whether you will be called upon to determine the issue of penalty because that will depend upon what your findings are on the issue of guilt. So, I am not inferring, by talking about the death penalty, that I believe that the Court believes that it will be necessary for you to go into a second phase, a penalty phase. I'm making no inference either. I'm making no inference of guilt in talking to you about that.

The defendant, as you've been previously informed, is charged with the crime of murder, and he is charged with the crime of conspiracy to commit murder, two Counts of murder and one crime — one Count of conspiracy are charged. And in arriving at a verdict or verdicts in this case as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the subject of penalty or punishment is not to be discussed or considered by the jury, as that is a matter, as I have explained to you, that must be considered as determined in a separate proceedings, if your findings require in the first phase, require such a proceeding.

If the defendant is acquitted or if he is found guilty of a crime, of a lesser crime than murder in the first degree, then there's nothing further to submit to the jury on the issue of penalty. I hope you all understand that now.

The Court will state that the law imposes

neither death nor life imprisonment upon a conviction of 1 murder in the first degree, but presents the two alternatives to the absolute discretion of the jury. 3 The legislature has formulated no rules to control 4 the exercise of a jury's discretion. 5 Let's begin in the corner with Mrs. Meister. 6 JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 7 ĸ VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 9 MARY MEISTER 1Ô BY THE COURT: 11 12 I'll ask you, Mrs. Meister, do you hold such 13 conscientious opinions concerning the death penalty that you 14 would automatically refuse to impose it without regard to 15 any evidence that might be developed? 16 Well, I have to hear more details about it. Α 17-THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Robinson. 18. (By Mrs. Meister) I'd have to hear more details, 19 you know. At first I couldn't tell. 20 BY THE COURT: I see. Q 21 In other words, you would not automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, you would --Α Yes. 24 -- you would look at the evidence? Q 25 A Yes. 26 And determine from the evidence --Q 27 Α Yes. 28 -- whether, in your discretion, you should --Q

1	A Yes, if I hear more.
2	Q All right.
3	Are your opinions concerning the death penalty
4	such that 'you would automatically vote to impose the death
5	penalty without regard to any evidence that might be
6	developed?
7	A No.
8	Q Are your views on the death penalty such as would
9	prevent you from being impartial in determining the first
10	phase of the case or the question of guilt or innocence?
11	A Well, I'll have to wait to hear
12	Q Do you understand what I am saying to you?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you have such views about the death penalty
15	that you could not be fair and impartial in determining the
16	question of guilt or innocence?
17	A Well, I'll have to wait. I couldn't tell right
18	away. If I hear when I hear more details.
19	
20	
21	
22	,
23	·
24	•
25	
26	
27	
28	

3.d

	1	
3d-1	1	Q Perhaps you don't understand my question.
į	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Would you repeat the question to me that I have
3	4	just put to you?
₹r	5	What do I want to know from you?
	6	A If I decide at first whether he is guilty or
	7	not
	8	Q Yes.
	9	A yes.
	10	Q I want to know from you whether you can be fair
•	11	and impartial in making that decision as to whether Mr. Davis
	12	is guilty or not or do you have such views about the death
	13	penalty that you could not be impartial in determining guilt
*.	14	or innocence?
<i>s</i> .	15	A No, I couldn't decide right away.
	16	Q Are your views such that you would never vote
	17	to impose the death penalty?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Are your views such that you would refuse to
	20	even consider imposing the death penalty?
	21	A No.
	22	Q All right.
	23	Do you want to pass the microphone on to Mr.
¥	24	Robinson.
k	25	
*	26	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
	27	JOHN H. ROBINSON
	28	BY THE COURT.

1	A No.
2	Q And would you automatically, upon a conviction of
3	murder of the first degree, automatically impose the death
4	penalty without regard to the evidence?
5	A No.
6	
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
8	MR. BEN T. TAKEMOTO
9	BY THE COURT:
10	Q Mr. Takemoto, do you have such views concerning
11	the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to
12	impose it regardless of the evidence?
13	A No, your Honor.
14	Q Would you automatically impose it upon a
15	conviction of murder of the first degree without regard to
16	the evidence?
17	A No.
18	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty
19	such that you would never vote to impose it in any case?
20	A No.
21	Q All right. Would you pass that microphone on,
22	then, to the next lady whose name is
23	
24	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
25	MISS ERNA R. ALDINGER
26	BY THE COURT:
27	Q What is your name, ma'am?
28	A Erna Aldinger.

1	Q Mrs. Aldinger, are your views concerning the death
2	penalty such that you would automatically impose it upon a
3	conviction of murder of the first degree without regard to the
4	evidence?
5	A Uh, if the evidence shows that he is guilty
6	Q Yes.
7	A then I would go along if for the death
8	penalty.
9 .	Q You would go along with what?
10	A For the death penalty, if it is required.
11	Q Do you understand that it is not required, that
12	it is a matter which is left to the sole discretion of the
13	jury?
14	A Well, I, uh, would go along with the rest of them
15	if the majority
16	Q In other words, you would simply do what the
17	majority do?
18	A Well, if the evidence shows it.
19	Q Now, the Court would advise you, and will advise
20	everyone on this prospective jury panel, the Court and counsel
21	are seeking the individual view of each juror, the individual
22	
	vote of each juror. And the simple fact that a majority of
23	
23 24	vote of each juror. And the simple fact that a majority of
	vote of each juror. And the simple fact that a majority of the jurors or some of them should vote in a certain way,
24	vote of each juror. And the simple fact that a majority of the jurors or some of them should vote in a certain way, should not influence you to vote in that way.
24 25	vote of each juror. And the simple fact that a majority of the jurors or some of them should vote in a certain way, should not influence you to vote in that way. Now, having that in mind, Mrs. Aldinger, I'm

	1	A Well, it depends on the evidence.
	ż.	Q You would look at the evidence?
	3	A Uh-huh.
*	4.	Q And not automatically enact it?
8	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Then, on the other hand, would you automatically
	7	impose the death penalty without regard to the evidence?
	8	A Well, I would consider the evidence whether the
	9	death penalty would be required.
	10	Q I see.
	11	Very well, pass the microphone on, then, to Mrs.
	12	Sims.
	13	. •
* ,	14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
) Ą	15	MRS. AUDREY SIMS
	16	BY THE COURT:
	17	Q Mrs. Sims, what would your answers to those
	18	questions be? Are your views concerning the death penalty
	19	such that you would automatically refuse to impose it regardless
	20	of the evidence?
	21	A No.
	.22	Q Or would you automatically, upon a conviction of
	2,3	murder of the first degree, impose the death penalty without
ř.	24	regard to the evidence?
	fls. 25	A No, I would not do it automatically.
•	26	
	27	
	28	

3e-1 1 Q Do you have such views concerning the death penalty, such as would prevent you from being impartial in 2 deciding guilt or innocence? No, I do not. 5 Q. Or do you have such views that you would never vote to impose the death penalty? б 7 Α I could not say that I would never vote to 8 impose it. Q Q I see. You would again examine the evidence and 10 determine whether, in your sole discretion, you should 11 impose it? 12 Α Yes. 13 THE COURT: Pass it on, then, to Mrs. Dittebrandt. 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 16 MRS. COZETTE DITTEBRANDT 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Mrs. Dittebrandt, what would be the answers to 19 those questions? 20 Would you automatically refuse to impose the 21 death penalty without regard to the evidence? 22 A Yes, I would. 23 You have such feelings concerning it that you 24 would never be able to impose the death penalty? 25 A Well, it depends upon the evidence. 26 Perhaps you misunderstood my first question, then. Q. 27 Are your views concerning the death penalty such 28 that you would never, never vote to impose the death penalty?

1	A No. No.
2	Q Regardless of the evidence?
3	A No.
4	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such
5	that upon a conviction of murder of the first degree in the
6	first phase of the case that you would automatically without
7	regard to the evidence impose the death penalty?
8	A I wouldn't do anything without the evidence.
9	Q In other words, youwould not automatically impose
10	the death penalty?
11	A No.
12	Q All right. Are your views concerning the death
13	penalty such that you could not be impartial in determining
14	guilt or innocence?
15	A No.
1 6	THE COURT: Let's pass the microphone back to Mrs.
17	Dunn.
18	
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
20 [.]	MRS. MARY G. DUNN
21	BY THE COURT:
22	Q Mrs. Dunn, what would be your answers? Would
23	you automatically refuse to impose the death penalty without
24	regard to any evidence that might be developed?
25 .	A No.
26	Q Or would you automatically impose it without
27	a conviction of murder of the first degree?
28	A No.

1	Q Do you have such views concerning it that you
2	would never impose it, regardless of the evidence?
3	A No.
4	Q And would your views be such that you could not
5	be impartial in determining guilt or innocence in the first
6	phase of the case?
7	A Oh, I could be impartial.
8	Q You have no views concerning the death penalty
9	that would keep you from being impartial?
10	À No, I have not.
11	THE COURT: Very well, pass the microphone to Mrs.
12	Fowler.
13	
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
15	MRS. CHRISTINE FOWLER
16	BY THE COURT:
16 17	BY THE COURT: Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty
17	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty
17	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase?
17 18 19	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No.
17 18 19 20	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or
17 18 19 20 21	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or innocence without reference to penalty or punishment?
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or innocence without reference to penalty or punishment? A I could.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or innocence without reference to penalty or punishment? A I could. MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or innocence without reference to penalty or punishment? A I could. MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. THE COURT: "I could," she said.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q Do you have such views concerning the penalty that you would not be fair and impartial in the first phase? A No. Q Would you decide that question of guilt or innocence without reference to penalty or punishment? A I could. MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that. THE COURT: "I could," she said. JUROR NO. 11: "I could."

1	Q I'm asking you, too, whether you have such views
2	concerning the death penalty that you would automatically
3	impose it without regard to the evidence?
4	A No.
5	Q Upon a conviction of murder of the first degree?
6	A No.
7	Q You would not.
8	Or, on the other hand, would you automatically
9	refuse to impose it without regard to the evidence?
10	A No.
n	Q All right.
12	Pass the microphone to Mrs. Governan, then.
13	,
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
15	MRS. RUTH F. GOVERMAN
16	BY THE COURT:
17	Q What would be your answers? Would you automatically
18	refuse to impose the death penalty regardless of the evidence
19	in this case?
20	A Well, I have such strong views against the death
21	penalty that I am afraid I could not be impartial as to the
22	Q In other words, as to determining the question
23	of guilt or innocence you could not be impartial?
24	A If I thought that person could be convicted
25	could be sentenced to death.
26	Q It would be difficult for you to be impartial?
27	A That's right.
28	Q Is that true?

	1	A That's true.
)	2	Q Now, let's get into the second phase now.
	.3	Would you ever, in any case, vote to impose the
ė	4	death penalty?
đ	5	A Never.
	6	Q So that if I understand you correctly, regardless
	7	of what the evidence might be, you would automatically
	8	refuse to impose the death penalty?
	9	A That is correct.
	10	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People would respectfully
	11	challenge Mrs. Governan for cause under Section 1073,
	12	Subdivision 2 of the Penal Code.
	13	MR. DENNY: May I inquire, your Honor?
4. fls.	14	THE COURT: Very briefly, yes.
4 2,	15	•
	16	
,	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	·
•	25	•
•	26 '	
	27	•
•	28	

4-1

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Mrs. Governan, you stated that you might have trouble bringing in a verdict of guilt, if you thought that the person then might be subjected to the death penalty, by the vote of the jury; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q The fact that you might have trouble, does that mean that you could not and would not bring in a finding of guilt, if you thought that the evidence showed, beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty, the guilt of the defendant? Of the offenses charged against him?

A It's -- it's difficult to say how I would feel after I heard all the evidence.

But right now, I don't think I could ever impose a death penalty on anybody else. And if the verdict would be guilty, and possible death, I don't think I could vote that way.

Q Well, you understand, of course, that there are two phases; that by a finding of guilt, that does not automatically mean that death would be imposed. There would have to be another hearing and evidence introduced at that point.

Now, what we are talking about is the initial hearing on guilt or innocence.

Do you feel, sitting there, that you could perform your duty as a juror and listen to and weigh all the evidence, and come in with a verdict? And if, in fact, the evidence

4-2

showed to your satisfaction, beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty -- which is the burden that the People have -- the guilt of the defendant, would you be able to bring in that verdict of guilt, and do your duty as a juror in that respect?

A Yes, I think I could.

Q It is only, then, in the second phase that you would have any real trouble; is that correct? In other words, --

A Well, I just --

Q -- if it came to a penalty situation, your feelings about the death penalty are such that you don't feel that you could, in any case, impose the death sentence; is that correct?

A I never would.

MR. DENNY:

Q Is there any case that you can conceive of, where you feel it is proper for the State to commit homicide -- or invoke the death penalty, as it's euphemistically phrased?

A I think the Ten Commandments state:

"Thou shalt not kill."

It doesn't state who can and who can't.

And I wouldn't vote to kill anyone.

MR. MANZELIA: If I may have one question, your Honor?

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

Thank you, Mrs. Governan.

3

3

1

2

_

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

report?

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Mrs. Governan, are you saying that you would refuse to vote for the death sentence, regardless of the evidence in the case?

A Yes, I would.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. The People would renew their challenge under Section 1073, Subdivision 2, your Honor.

Thank you, Mrs. Governan.

MR. DENNY: We would object to the challenge, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court grants the challenge.

Thank you, Mrs. Governan. You are excused.

Let's see, Mrs. Holt. Where does Mrs. Governan

THE CLERK: To the New Hall of Records.

THE COURT: It's 3:30. Does she have go go now? Can't we excuse her until tomorrow?

Mrs. Governan, we will find out whether you have to walk back there. You can perhaps go directly to your car, if you will wait just a moment.

Call another name.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Marjorie J. Bukzin; B -- first name, M-a-r-j-o-r-i-e; last name, B-u-k-z-i-n.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. MARJORIE J. BUKZIN

BY THE COURT:

4-4	ı.	O Mrs. Bukzin, am I saying the name correctly?
	2	A That's correct.
	3	Q You have been present during all the proceedings
\$	4	thus far since the Court called this case?
	.5	A Yes, I have.
	6	Q And would your answers be any different than
	7	the answers to the questions of a general nature that I put
	8	to the jurors thus far?
•	9	A No, they wouldn't. I I have one problem.
	10	My tour is over tomorrow.
	11	Q And I was going to ask you
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q about this question of hardship.
**	14	Would it be a hardship for you to serve?
1.	15	Λ Yes, it would.
	16	Q In what respect?
	17	A In the office I'm in a very small office,
	18	and I have been gone 30 days, practically. And I don't
	19	think they would pay me beyond this point.
	20∙	Q Would you find that out, whether they would pay
*	21	you?
4a Éls.	22	Λ Yes, I will. I
	23	
₽ I	24	
als:	25	
r.	26	
	27	
_	28	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	1
6	
7	
Ř	
9	
10	İ
n	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

- Q What company is it?
- A I work for a Canadian bank.
- Q A Canadian bank?

A There's nine people in the office, and it's very limited. Each one of us has to carry a pretty strong load. So, when someone's out, it does make quite a hardship. I'm very sorry.

THE COURT: Well, find out --

And the Court will ask that all of you beyond the rail, during -- I'm going to take a recess very shortly now -- and get on the telephone and find out. If you are doubtful whether you will be paid, do so. Do so now, if you can.

If you can't, well, find out before you are in court tomorrow morning.

Now, the Court will call the case for 9:45 tomorrow morning, and between now and 9:45, find out.

- Q So, I'll ask you to inquire, then, Mrs. Bukzin.
- A Yes, I will.
- Q Before I excuse you.

To the other questions, your answers would be the same as the majority of the jurors have responded?

- A Yes.
- Q Then the next question I wish to ask you is whether you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of the evidence --
 - A No.
 - Q -- that might be produced in the case?
 - A No.

1	Q Or conversely, would you have such views concerning
2	it that you would automatically impose it?
3	A No.
4	Q That is, vote for it,
5	A No.
6	Q without regard to the evidence?
7	A No.
8	Q Would you be able to be impartial, fair and
9	impartial, in the first phase of the case?
10	A Yes.
11	THE COURT: All right. You may pass the microphone on,
12	then, to Mrs. Melton.
13	
14.	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
15	BIRDIE L. MELTON
16	BY THE COURT:
17	Q Mrs. Melton, what would be your answer to the
18	Court's questions? Would you automatically refuse to impose
19	the death penalty, regardless of the evidence in the case?
20	A No, I would not.
21	Q Or would you automatically vote to impose the
22	death penalty, vote for the death penalty, regardless of the
23	evidence in the case?
24	A No, I wouldn't.
25	Q Are your views about the death penalty such that
26	you could not be fair and impartial in determining guilt or
27	innocence?
28	A NO

4a-6 Q Do you understand -- you seem puzzled. 1 2 In the first phase of the case, can you be fair and impartial, in spite of whatever views you might hold concerning 3 4 the death penalty? 5 Yes, I could. 6 THE COURT: All right. Then, pass the microphone 7 then to Miss Bourgeois. 8 9 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 10 MARIE A. BOURGEOIS 11 BY THE COURT: 12 Q Is it Mrs.? 13 Α Miss. 14 Miss Bourgeois, what would be your answers? 15 you have such views about the death penalty that you would 16 automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of the evidence? 17 A No, sir. 18 Or would you automatically impose it, regardless of 19 the evidence, upon a conviction of murder in the first degree? 20 Α No. 21 Would you have such views about it that you could 22 not be impartial in the first phase of the case? 23. No. sir. Α 24 THE COURT: All right. Pass it on to Mrs. Muldrow. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Muldrow. 26 27 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 28 MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW

BY THE COURT:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

Q Muldrow?

A Yes.

Mrs. Muldrow, are your views about the death penalty such that you would automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of the evidence?

Α No.

Or would you automatically impose it, regardless of Q the evidence, upon a conviction of murder in the first degree?

A No.

Or would you automatically -- strike that.

Or would you find it difficult to be fair and impartial, because of your views concerning the death penalty, in the first phase of the case? In the first phase of the case, could you be fair and impartial, in spite of whatever views you hold concerning the death penalty?

Α Yes.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4b-1

б

••

THE COURT: I'll take a short recess now. And -- about ten minutes.

During this recess, and any subsequent recess, if you are chosen as a juror in the case, the Court wishes to tell you that it's your firm obligation not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this case; nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror in the case.

Ten minutes, be back in the courtroom, if you would, please.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, before the jury leaves, may we approach the bench just a minute?

MR. KAY: Yes, I was going to ask that.

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KAY: May we have something on the record?

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Williams?

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jury.)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, for whatever good it may do,
I would also request the Court to admonish all of the
prospective jurors that, as long as they are potential
jurors in the case, there is a possibility of some
publicity in connection with this case, which may come out
on the radio and television and the newspapers today; and
that they should not -- or, they should take pains to make

4b-2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

Q

11 19

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

28

sure they don't read, see, listen to anything connected with Charles Manson, et cetera.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court will do that.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

THE COURT: The Court would also tell you that you have an affirmative obligation, while you are a prospective juror, or a juror in this case, not to expose yourself to any publicity concerning this case or Charles Manson or any other case involving Mr. Manson or Mr. Davis, if there are any.

You are not, in other words, to read, hear or see any of the news media concerning this case. And it's your obligation -- or any case connected with Mr. Manson -and it's your obligation to take affirmative steps to avoid seeing a headline, reading a newspaper article, talking to anyone, hearing the radio or seeing a television program concerning Mr. Manson or this case.

Is there anyone of you who feels that he or she could not follow that admonition and that order of the Court?

If so, I want to see your hand.

Because you will be in contempt of this Court, perhaps, if you -- you may very well be in contempt of the Court if you disobey that order. And it may seriously affect your position as a prospective juror or as a juror in the case, should you disobey that order.

4b - 3

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

So, the Court would order you to avoid any headlines. The Court would order you to avoid newspaper articles or radio programs concerning Mr. Manson, or the defendant in this case.

You're raising your hand?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. Is that from this point on?

THE COURT: That's from this point on, yes. From this point on.

You're raising your hand?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Is that to say, your Honor, that you are supposed to stop watching TV, reading the newspapers and what have you? To skip over --

THE COURT: I may very well tell you that, during the next 24 hours, that you are not to watch a news program, or you are not to read a newspaper at home.

I may inform you that that would be your firm obligation as a juror, yes. For right now, I will tell you that that is your obligation during this recess.

I will be advising you later on as to what your obligations are.

All right. We are in recess.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jury panel.)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, excuse me. There was another hand up there, of No. 12 juror.

THE COURT: Well, I didn't see it.

MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor. Could I also request --

46-4

you made it very clear to them, and -- most definite -- that they might be in contempt if they did this.

I would request the Court to say that if they unwittingly or by accident see something, that they should bring it to the Court's attention; that they certainly should not be afraid to acknowledge it.

THE COURT: I suppose I should tell them something like that, and I'll eventually get around to it.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, before you leave the bench, I wanted this on the record. I would like to interpose an objection to Mr. Denny's arguing his case on voir dire.

If we want to have this voir dire developed into an argument of the respective cases, that's fine. But he brought up the subject of the State committing legal homicide, and I think that's improper voir dire.

I think he's trying to argue a position, and I'd ask the Court to admonish him to voir dire and not to argue his case, unless we want both sides to get into that.

THE COURT: Well, it would certainly shorten this process, to conduct it in a very proper manner. And both of you ought to be -- all three of you are very capable and knowing.

And the Court did hear that, and I saw you, Mr. Kay, wince --

MR. KAY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- at the time that Mr. Denny put that question.

It's probably best to avoid that type of question.

4b-5

X fls. 4c fls

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, if the Court please,
I think we can indulge in nice euphemisms, like "imposition
of capital punishment." "Do you believe in capital
punishment?"

MR. KAY: That's fine, except that it's not legal homicide.

MR. DENNY: I certainly think that I should be able to use these euphemisms that are just as applicable and that have been used by other people, calling it "state homicide" or "legal homicide," which is exactly what it is.

MR. KAY: No, it's not legal homicide.

MR. DENNY: It certainly is.

MR. KAY: It's not a homicide.

MR. DENNY: It is homicide, just the same as justifiable homicide is a homicide.

MR. KAY: I think that's for argument.

THE COURT: It is argumentative, and it's the kind of a thing which I think would possibly prolong the voir dire.

I would say that -- that both sides should avoid that type of argument, if you can.

All right. We are in recess.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

(Midafternoon recess.)

4c-1

2

1

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

10

20

21 22

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: We are still in recess until -- I think it's Mr. Takemoto -- shows up.

Mr. Kuczera, let me know when he appears.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

(Short recess.)

THE COURT: The record will show that all counsel are The defendant is present. All the prospective jurors are present.

The Court talked to Mrs. Muldrow and also Mrs. Bukzin.

We will be talking about reasonable doubt. Court has already referred to it. Let me instruct you as to what reasonable doubt means.

"A defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved, and in case of a reasonable doubt whether his guilt is satisfactorily shown, he is entitled to an acquittal. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

"Reasonable doubt is defined as follows: It is not a mere possible doubt; because everything relating to human affairs and depending on moral evidence, is open to some possible or imaginary doubt. It is that state of the case which, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, leaves the minds of the jurors in that condition that they cannot say they feel an abiding

4c-2

"conviction, to a moral certainty, of the truth
of the charge."

"The testimony of a witness, a writing, a material object, or anything presented to the senses offered to prove the existence or non-existence of a fact is either direct or circumstantial evidence.

"Direct evidence means evidence that directly proves a fact, without any inference, and which in itself, if true, conclusively establishes that fact.

"Circumstantial evidence means evidence that proves a fact from which an inference of the existence of another fact may be drawn.

"An inference is a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from another fact or group of facts established by the evidence.

"It is not necessary that facts be proved by direct evidence. They may be proved also by circumstantial evidence or by a combination of direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Both direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Both direct evidence and circumstantial evidence are acceptable as a means of proof. Neither is entitled to any greater weight than the other."

"You are not permitted to find the defendant guilty of any crime charged against him

4c-3

"based on circumstantial evidence, unless the proved circumstances are not only consistent with the theory that the defendant is guilty of the crime, but cannot be reconciled with any other rational conclusion, and each fact which is essential to complete a set of circumstances necessary to establish a defendant's guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

"Also, if the evidence as to any particular count is susceptible of two reasonable interpretations, one of which points to the defendant's guilt and the other to his innocence, it is your duty to adopt that interpretation which points to his innocence and reject the other which points to his guilt."

The Court has just defined for you what is direct and circumstantial evidence, and has told you what are the standards with regard to sufficiency of circumstantial evidence.

The Court will further instruct you later on in the case, if you are chosen as jurors in the case. The Court will further tell you that:

"A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons to commit a public offense, and with the specific intent to commit such offense, followed by an overt act committed in this state by one or more of the parties for the purpose of

"accomplishing the object of the agreement.
Conspiracy is a crime.

"In order to find a defendant guilty of conspiracy, in addition to proof of the unlawful agreement, there must be proof of the commission of at least one of the overt acts alleged in the indictment."

I've talked about murder of the first degree with you. You may not know what murder of the first degree is. The Court will tell you that:

"Murder is the unlawful killing of a ... human being, with malice aforethought."

4d-1

9.

All murder perpetrated by willful -- perpetrated willfully, deliberately and with premeditation -- in other words, a willful, deliberate and premeditated killing, with malice aforethought, or a murder committed by torture or a murder committed in the course of a burglary or a robbery is murder of the first degree.

The Court will further define for you what is murder of the first degree, should you be chosen as a juror. Because the Court will instruct you as to -- the Court will instruct you as to the law in this case at the conclusion of the evidence.

"All persons concerning in the commission of a crime who either directly and actively commit the act constituting the offense or who knowingly and with criminal intent aid and abet in its commission or, whether present or not, who advise and encourage its commission, are regarded by the law as principals in the crime thus committed and are equally guilty thereof.

"A person aids and abets the commission of a crime if he knowingly and with criminal intent aids, promotes, encourages or instigates by act or advice, or by act and advice or by act and advice, the commission of such crime."

The Court will instruct you, as I said, in all of the law that governs you -- that will govern you in the deciding of the case.

Whether those instructions which I give you,

23

24

26

27

28

and the instructions which I shall give you later, will be followed by you, will be determined by what you find to be the facts in the case; because you are the sole and the exclusive judges of the facts in the case.

I'm going to ask you about your personal backgrounds now, beginning with Mrs. Meister.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. MARY MEISTER

BY THE COURT:

- Q And, Mrs. Meister, would you -Mr. Kuczera, will you hand her the microphone?
 There, would you speak directly into it, as I --
- A Okay.
- Q Have you had any jury experience before?
- A No.
- Q What type of work do you do, Mrs. Meister?
- A I am a housewife.
- Q And are you related to or a friend of any law enforcement officer?
 - A Well ---
- Q By law enforcement officers, I mean a policeman, a Deputy Sheriff, Highway Patrolman, a Deputy District Attorney, Deputy City Attorney, any prosecutor.
 - A No. Two lawyers, I have in my family.
- Q Are they in any way related to law enforcement?

 Are they --

	1	
d-3	1	A Well, they're lawyers. But they're out of town.
	2	They're in Canada. They're not here.
	3	Q Are they members of a law enforcement group,
ŧ	4	other than just the members of the Bar?
•	5	A They're on the Bar, but I really don't know
	6	what they're doing. They're in a different state.
	7 .	Q I see.
	8	Do you see them at all?
	9	A No.
	10	Q Would that affect your judgment in the case,
	11	whatever?
	12	A I beg your pardon?
	13	Q Would that fact, that you have two lawyers
	14	in the family, affect your judgment at all?
•	15	A No.
	16	Q All right. Is there a Mr. Meister?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q What type of work does he do?
	19	A He's retired.
	20	Q From what type of work?
	21	A He worked in a machine shop.
	22	Q And what is your place of residency, without
	23	saying your address? I mean, in what general area of the
	24	County do you reside?
ŧ	25	A My residence?
	26	Q Yes. In what area of the County?
	27	A Oh. In the Wilshire. The Wilshire District.
	28	Q Do you know of any reason why you couldn't be

4d-4	1	fair and impartial in this case?
	2	A No.
	3	THE COURT: Would you pass it to Mr. Robinson?
•••	4	
•	5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
	6	MR. JOHN H. ROBINSON
	7	BY THE COURT:
	.8	Q Mr. Robinson, have you had any jury experience?
	.9	A No, I haven't.
	10	Q Are you related to or a friend of any law
	11	enforcement officer?
	12	A No, I am not.
	13	Q What type of work do you do?
\$ *	14	A I work for the City of Los Angeles.
	15	Q In what?
	16	A In the street maintenance.
	17	Q And how long have you been so employed?
	18	A Eleven years.
	19	Q Is there a Mrs. Robinson?
	20	A We are separated.
	21	Q I see.
	22	Do you have children?
	23	A Two.
Æ.	24	Q And where do you reside?
	25	A At West Los Angeles.
8	26	Q Do you know of any reason why you couldn't be
	27	fair and impartial in this case?
L :::1 -	28	A No.

Would you pass it on, then, to Mr. 4e-1 1 THE COURT: Takemoto? 2 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 5 MR. BEN T. TAKEMOTO 6 BY THE COURT: Mr. Takemoto, would you answer the same 7 8 questions that I had asked Mr. Robinson? 9 A Yes, your Honor. Your jury experience, your work, whether you are 10 11 related to any law enforcement officer, your spouse's work 12 and your place of residence? 13 I will ask the rest of you to remember those things, and just give me the answers, if you would, please. 14 15 I have served on one jury case, on another jury. A 16 What type of case was that? Q. 17 A Oh, criminal case. 18 And what was the nature of that criminal case? Q 19 A Uh --20 Burglary, robbery? Q 21 Burglary. A 22 A burglary? Q. 23 A Yes. Will you set aside whatever you may have learned Q. 25 from any other case and decide this case only from this 26 evidence and the law as I shall state it to you in this 27 case? 28 Yes, I will. A

1	THE COURT: Will all of you who have had any experience
2	in any other criminal case promise me that you will do that?
3	(No negative response.)
4	Q BY THE COURT: Go shead, Mr. Takemoto. What type
5	of work do you do?
6	A Oh, yes. I work for Ralphs Grocery as a clerk.
7	Q You've told us that. How long have you been
8	employed with them?
9	A About two years.
10	Q Are you related to or a friend of any law
11	enforcement officer?
12	A No. sir.
13.	Q And are you married?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q And where do you reside?
16	A Southwest Los Angeles.
17	Q What type of work does Mrs. Takemoto do?
18	A She works for the bank.
19	Q What bank?
20	A The Bank of Tokyo of California.
2 1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Would you pass it
22	on to Mrs. Aldinger?
23	
24	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
25	MRS. ERNA R. ALDINGER
26	BY THE COURT:
27	Q Give us your background, beginning with your
28	jury experience?

4e-2

25

26

27

28

A I never had no jury duty before.

And I have a nephew who is on the police force in the State of Washington.

- Q Would that affect your judgment in this case?
- A No.
- Q Would you be more likely, for example, to find the defendant guilty because of that relationship?
 - A No.
- Q Now, the Court will tell you that it's your obligation to follow the Court's instruction concerning the judging of the credibility of the witnesses. The Court will give you certain standards.

But you are to follow the standards. The Court will ask you this, Mrs. Aldinger. Would you judge the testimony of a police officer on the same standard as you would the testimony of any other witness?

- A Yes, I would.
- Q All right. Go ahead.
- A Then I do clerical work for the Metropolitan Water District.

And I live in the Echo Park area.

- Q All right. Thank you. Do you know of any reason why you couldn't be fair and impartial in the case?
 - A No.
 - Q How long have you done clerical work for the --
 - A Fourteen and a half years.
- THE COURT: Pass it on to Mrs. Sims, if you would, please.

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
2	MRS. AUDREY SIMS
3	BY THE COURT:
4	Q Mrs. Sims, begin with your jury experience.
5	A I've served on two cases before, involving
6	possession of narcotics.
7	Q Both of them?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Can you set aside what you may have learned in
10	those cases and decide this case only on its evidence and
11	the law as I shall state it to you?
12	A Yes. I work for United Airlines as a
13	stewardess. My husband is a radiologist. I live in the
14	southwest Culver City area.
15	Q For whom does your husband work?
16	A He is employed by Martin Luther King Hospital,
17	which will open in January.
18	Q And do you know of any reason why you couldn't
19	be fair and impartial in this case?
20	A No,
21	THE COURT: Pass it on, then, if you would, please,
22	to Mrs. Dittebrandt.
23	
24	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
25	MRS. COZETTE L. DITTEBRANDT
26	BY THE COURT:
27	Q Go ahead. Can you give us
28	A I have never served on a jury before. And I'm

a retired clerk with the Board of -- the L. A. Board of Education. And -- what else? .2 I reside in the Silver Lake area. Oh. 4f fls.

4f-1

1	A No. Today's the first day.
2 ·	Q I see. Go ahead. You were saying that Mr. Dunn
3	A Is dead.
4	Q is deceased?
5	A Yes. And I work for an insurance company.
6	Q What type of work did he do?
7	A He worked for a truck building company.
18	Q And you work for an insurance company?
9	A Yes, I do.
10	Q Doing what?
11	A I am an underwriter's assistant.
12	Q And your place of residence?
13	A In the northeast area.
14	THE COURT: All right. Pass it on, then, to
15	Mrs. Fowler.
16	
17	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
18	CHRISTINE FOWLER
19	BY THE COURT:
20	Q And Mrs. Fowler, give us your background,
21	beginning with your jury experience.
22	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Be careful, Mrs. Sims, of that microphone
24	cord.
25	MR. DENNY: On the last one, what was the answer for the
06	
26	residence?
27	residence? THE COURT: Will you give us your residence again?

4f-2

4f-3	1	Eagle Rock.	·
	2	Q	BY THE COURT: Go ahead, Mrs. Fowler.
	3	A	I have had no jury experience. I was a witness
ŧ.	4	once in a b	urglary case.
\	5	Q	How long ago?
	6	Ą	Oh, three or four years ago.
	7.	, Q	Do you think that would affect your judgment
	8	A	Six years.
	9	Q	Would that affect your judgment in this case?
	10	A	No.
	11	Q	To make you more inclined to lean towards the
	12	prosecution	, or against them?
	13	A	No.
\$ 0	14	Q	Or toward the defendant?
• E	15	A	No.
. ·	16	Q	It would not affect you at all?
	17	А	No.
	18	Q	You could still be impartial, in spite of the fact
	. 19	that you we	re a witness?
	20	А	I would certainly try to be.
	21	Ω.	Were you a victim in the case?
	22	A	Yes, I was.
	23	Q	And that was a burglarization of your home?
·ä:	24	A	Yes.
<u> </u>	25	Q	Was there a conviction in the case?
	26	A	Yes, there was.
	.27	Q	And in that case in the course of that case,
_	28	did you tes	tify in the Superior Court?

1	A	I don't know where I yes, I think it was in this
2	building.	
3	Q	Did you say that there was a Mr. Fowler, or is a
4	Mr. Fowler?	
5	A	Yes. He's deceased, 1966.
6	Q	What type of work did he do?
7	A	He worked for Michigan Oven.
8	Q	And your place of residence is?
9	A	Well uh
10	Q	In general, where do you live? What area?
11	A	Near Eagle Rock:
12	Q	Thank you. Oh. I have one other question. You
13	have no law	enforcement
14	Å.	No, I don't.
15	Q	No friends or relatives who are in law enforcement?
16	A	No.
17		·
18		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
19		MARJORIE BUKZIN
20	BY THE COUR!	r:
21	Q.	Mrs. Bukzin? Give us your background and
22	incidentall	y, during the recess, were you able to find out
23	anything abo	out your salary?
24	Ą	I talked to my employer. He has to call our head
25	office in To	oronto. And he will be calling you, probably,
26	tomorrow mo	rning.
27	Q	I hope Toronto's response is favorable.
28		Go ahead.

ļ Α I have had one jury duty, previously, and it Okay. was last week. It was a civil case. 3 I live in the west area of Los Angeles. I work at 4 California Canadian Bank; have for five years. 5 I'm not related to anyone in the law enforcement --6 uh -- situation. I am divorced, and my former husband is a 7 writer for the Voice of America. 8 Q What is the Voice of America? 9 A Well, it's situated in Washington, D. C., and it --10 Is it a news magazine or --Q 11 No, it's a -- it's an organization in Washington 12 that broadcasts in every language, different information about 13 this country. 14 I see. Do you know of any reason why you couldn't Q 15 be fair and impartial in this case? 16 Α No. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, Pass it along, if you would, to 18 Mrs. Melton. 19 20 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 21 BIRDIE L. MELTON 22 BY THE COURT: 23 Q Mrs. Melton, give us your background --24 A I've never served --25 -- beginning with your jury experience. Q 26 Α -- served as a juror before; ' 27 I work with the County of Los Angeles, in the 28 clerical field, for the past 15 years. I'm single. I live in

÷.,

1	the Crensha	w District.
2	•	I do date a Deputy Sheriff, if that makes any
3	difference.	
4	Q	The Court doesn't want to become too personal, but
5	this is a c	lose relationship, or a casual relationship?
6	A	Well, we uh
7	Ω	He is not present, is he?
8	A	No, he isn't.
9	Ω	Then you can you've known him quite well, a good
10	friend?	
11	A	Yes. Since 1966.
12	Q	And what's his present duty? Is he in a patrol
13	car or in a	n office or
14	A	No, he's at Central Jail.
15	Ω	Has he discussed cases with you, and the
16	prosecution	s?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Do you think that that relationship would affect
19	your judgme	nt in this case?
20	A	I don't think so.
21	Q.	Would you feel any hesitancy, for example, in
22	coming back	with a verdict of not guilty, in a situation where
23	you felt it	was justifiable?
24	A	(No response.)
25	Q	Would you feel any hesitancy, because of that
,26	relationshi	Ď .
27	A	I don't think so.
~~		

5 fol

		}
5-1	1	Q Are you sure?
	2	A I can't be very positive.
	3	Q You can't be positive that you wouldn't hesitate,
•	4	that it might affect your relationship if you came back with
Ŏ	.5	a wrong verdict?
	6	A Oh, with him, no, that wouldn't have any effect.
	7	Q It wouldn't have anything to do with it?
	8	À No.
	9	Q Is that the only law enforcement relationship
	10	you have?
	11	A I have some friends in the Police Department,
	12	but nothing personal. Just friends.
	13	Q I see. Los Angeles Police Départment?
<u>ئ</u>	14	A Yes.
ŝ	15	Q Well, let me ask you, do you think that you can
	16	be fair, completely fair and impartial in this case despite
	17 .	that relationship with the deputy sheriff?
	18	A I think I could.
	19	Q Are you expressing any hesitancy when you say,
	20	"I think"?
	21	A Uh, well, I feel this wouldn't have any effect
<u>t</u> .	22	since we are not supposed to discuss the case. Even if I
	23	am dating a law enforcement officer, I wouldn't have to
	24	discuss it with him and I'm sure he wouldn't quiz me about
	→ 25	it. He's not very inquisitive.
	26	Q Well, aside from that discussion of it, would
	27	you feel that you do you feel now that you would be
	28	more partial to a law enforcement officer's version of

].	
5-2	1	something rather than some other person who is not a law
	2	enforcement officer, for example?
	3	A No, I don't.
.	4	Q So you have no hesitancy in telling me that you
ħ.	5	can be fair and impartial in the case?
	6	A I feel I could be fair.
	7	Q All right. You reside in what area?
	8	A The Crenshaw District.
	9	THE COURT: Thank you. Pass it along to Miss Bourgeois.
	10	
	n	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
	12	MISS MARIE A. BOURGEOIS
	. 13	BY THE COURT:
ે .	14	Q Miss Bourgeois?
<i>i</i> .	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Give us your answers.
	17	A This is my first time on jury duty.
	18	I work as an inventory clerk for a wholesale
	19	importer automobile dealer.
	20	I live in the Hollywood District.
	21	I have no friends or family in any position in
	22	law enforcement.
	23	I am single.
	24	And I think that I can be impartial and fair
	25	in the course of this trial.
	<u></u>	THE COURT: Thank you.
<u>`</u> :	27	THE COORT: THANK YOU.
	28	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

5-3 MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW 1 BY THE COURT: 2 Mrs. Muldrow. Á Yes. Uh, I work for Bank of America. I've been 5 6 working there for six years. 7 What do you do for the Bank, Mrs. Muldrow? Q 8 I am a supervisor of the Recon Department. 9 MR. DENNY: Of the what? 10 Q BY THE COURT: Of what? 11 A The Recon Department. 12 The what? Q. 13 The Recon. It has nothing to do with enforce-14 It has to do with computers. 15 Mr. Muldrow works at Lockheed Aircraft. 16 I live in the southeast area of Los Angeles. 17 I have no friends nor do I have any members of 18 the family that's a law enforcement -- that works for the 19 law enforcement. 20 Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be 21 fair and impartial? 22 No. 23 Your Honor, I believe your Honor hasn't MR. KAY: 24 inquired of Mr. Takemoto whether he inquired of his employer 25 whether it would be a hardship. I think he was going to call 26 his employer. 27 THE COURT: Did you do that, Mr. Takemoto? 28 JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

THE COURT: What did you find out, Mr. Takemoto?

JUROR NO. 3:My personnel department had a call, your

Honor -- my personnel department is going to call, your Honor,

or have a letter written personally to you regarding my being excused.

THE COURT: Well, what does it say?
JUROR NO. 3: I don't know.

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: You don't know what it says?

JUROR NO. 3: No. I just talked to my manager at the store.

THE COURT: That's very interesting, Mr. Takemoto.

When I get the letter I'll undoubtedly know whether or not they're going to pay you --

JUROR NO. 3: Right.

THE COURT: -- is that it?

Well, we're going to have to wait until I get the letter and hope it is not late in arriving.

JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir.

5a-1

A.

<u>1</u>6

THE COURT: What the Court is going to do now is excuse you for the evening, those of you who are beyond the rail, and then it is going to question each one of you individually about any publicity that you may have -- the Court is going to question each one of you who is in the box individually about any publicity that you may have heard, seen or read about Charles Manson or the Manson Family, and Mr. Davis.

And rather than have all 12 of you together, the Court and counsel prefer to do this separately. We'll begin with Mrs. Meister and go as rapidly as we can along on the question to the 12 of you who are in the box.

So I won't be needing the rest of you beyond the rail this evening and may not get to some of you who are in the box, but let me repeat the admonition that I made to you before. That admonition is to the effect that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor allow anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter.

You have an affirmative obligation to avoid any publicity, any of the news media concerning this matter. Don't read any headlines. If they're thrust upon you, you can let us know in the course of this voir dire examination—you can let us know whether you have seen any headlines.

But I would say to you that you have an affirmative obligation now to avoid headlines, news articles, news radio reports, news television reports. Make it your business to see that you do that, that you do avoid such

l

1 2

б

19[,]

things.

If, inadvertently, you hear something via radio or see something, a headline or hear or see something over television, we'll want to know about it and you should reply to it when you're asked.

The Court realizes that sometimes without your knowing what you will be hearing or seeing, you suddenly have something thrust before you, you hear something that you might not have wanted to hear in order to comply with the Court's order. But do your best affirmatively to see that you comply with the order.

All right, I'll excuse you now until tomorrow morning at 9:45, those of you beyond the rail.

Would you leave quietly and quickly, please? Oh, I have one more thing to tell you.

When you gather at 9:45 tomorrow morning, gather in Department 102, would you, please? Be here about five minutes early and gather in Department 102. A roll will be called in Department 102 promptly.

(Whereupon, the prospective jury panel beyond the rail was excused at 4:24 o'clock p.m. and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: It is 4:30. I think I'll let you go for the night rather than hold you here, and we'll resume and begin tomorrow at 9:45.

And I would like to have Mrs. Meister in that seat at 9:45, and the rest of you may remain in Department 102. Be there about five minutes early, as I've said, and

then when we finish with Mrs. Meister, we'll then call Mr. Robinson, and then right down the list. Mr. Takemoto, if you have any doubt that letter will explain everything, maybe you'd better get in touch by phone and find out again. Good night everybody and see you tomorrow morning. (Whereupon at 4:30 o'clock p.m. the evening adjournment was taken.) 26.