SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 6 Plaintiff, 7 8 - VS-NO. A-267861 9 BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS, 10 Defendant. 11 12 13 14 RÉPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 15 Friday, December 3, 1971 16 17 VOLUME 5 18 19 APPEARANCES: 20 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA 21 and STEPHEN R. KAY, 22 Deputies District Attorney 23 For Defendant Davis: 24 GEORGE V. DENNY, III 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, CSR 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, CSR Official Court Reporters 28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1971, 9:51 A. M. 1 2 THE COURT: Call the Davis jury -- or jurors. Where were we in connection with that. Let's see. MR. DENNY: Oh, I was about to inquire of one of the 5 б jurors, your Honor. 7 I think Mr. DeLoach. MR. KAY: Я THE COURT: DeLoach alone? 9 MR. KAY: No, the whole panel, and the audience, too. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 (Short recess.) 12 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. (Whereupon, murmurs of "Good morning," were heard 13 14 from members of the prospective jury panel.) 15 THE COURT: All right. The case of People versus Davis? 16 The record will show Mr. Denny and Mr. Kay to be present. 17 Mr. Davis is now present, the record may show... 18 I think at the time we recessed yesterday, we were 19 talking with Mr. DeLoach; is that right? Or about to? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: About to. 21 THE COURT: About to talk to you. 22 I think I had some of the personal data from 23 Mr. DeLoach, and it was a matter of general voir dire; is that 24 correct? 25 Yes, your Honor. MR. DENNY: 26 So you may begin. THE COURT: 27 MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor. 28 MR. KAY: Excuse me. Before Mr. Danny begins, your Honor,

would your Honor want to read the indictment for the new jurors 1 that came in, so that they would know what's taking place here? 2 THE COURT: I think not at this time. I think I'll just 3 let them puzzle about it. MR, KAY: All right. ъ 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF R TOMMY DeLOACH 9 BY MR. DENNY: 10 Q Mr. DeLoach --11 If any of you -- we would like you to hear 12 Mr. Denny's questions and the answers here, ladies and 13 gentlemen, those of you who have just come into the room, as 14 well as those of you who have been in this courtroom all week. 15 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'm not sure they can hear you. 16 THE COURT: Could you hear me? 17 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. Can't hear you. 18 THE COURT: All right. If you can't hear Mr. Denny, 19 this gentleman on my left of the counsel table, let it be 20 known by raising your hands. 21 Go ahead, Mr. Denny. 22 BY MR. DENNY: Mr. DeLoach, for your benefit, Q 23 perhaps, and so that I don't have to strain my voice, and for 24 the benefit of the jurors, the prospective jurors outside the 25 rail, I'll use the microphone here as you do. 26 Now, sir, did I ask you yesterday, before we. 27 left, about the business of experts testifying? 28 No, you didn't. Α

_	1
	2
	3
* 4	4
3.	5
्रेड कुर	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
<u> </u>	14
	15
.	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
•	24
	25
* 8	26
	27
	28
AA fol	29

Q Well, you heard the questions that I asked of some of the other jurors concerning the fact that the Court may permit a man to testify as an expert, may say that under the rules of law he qualifies — at least, he has the credentials to testify as an expert in the case; and then he gets up on the stand, having qualified, and gives certain expert opinions, or opinions which we classify as expert opinions.

This may happen in this case; do you understand that?

A Right.

Q All right. Now, do you understand that there are certain criteria that you use in judging his testimony, the same as any other witness's testimony, and the judge will give you those criteria; do you understand that?

A Yes.

And merely because a man testifies as an expert in a case, do you understand that you are not required to accept his opinion as gospel, for instance? Do you understand that?

A Yes.

And just because a man sits up there with certain credentials, are you going to say, "Well, everything he says must be true, simply because the judge has permitted him to testify as an expert"?

Or are you going to look further than that and see whether, based on the other things that the judge tells you you must consider in determining his credibility, his --

A I would --

Q -- testimony is reasonable?

A I would have to look farther into it.

Briefly, Mr. DeLoach, would you follow the Aa-1 THE COURT: 1 Court's instructions concerning expert testimony in judging 2 expert testimony as I have stated it to you already? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: Yes, I would. BY MR. DENNY: Now, sir, you ve probably heard the word "accomplice," haven 't you? 6 I think so. Α 7 I dare say, though, you've never heard the 8 9 correct legal definition given of that? 10 A Yes. 11 Would you say that --0 12 No, I haven't heard the correct definition. A 13 All right. Well, I assume that later on --Q. 14 or perhaps sooner -- the Judge may give you the definition of 15 what an accomplice is, in the eyes of the law, the actual, 16 very precise, legal definition. 17 Now, I've asked some of your fellow jurors 18 already, but I'll ask you specifically, if you'd judge the 19 credibility of a police officer, for instance, by the same 20 standards that you'd apply to the testimony of any other .21 witness. 22 Yes, sir. A 23 And they have all said "Yes," and I take it you'd 24 say the same thing; is that right? 25 Yes, I would. A 26 Q. But there's another category of witness that is 27 to be judged a little differently. And I believe that the 28 Judge will probably tell you that you are -- under our law,

.25

26

27

28

you are required to view the testimony of an accomplice with caution.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Now, there are numerous reasons for that, some of which are self-evident, some of which may not be. But that is the law; do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Now, if you find in this case that a person is an accomplice, or if the Court tells you that, as a matter of law, a person is an accomplice, will you exercise that extra caution in judging his or her credibility that the law says you should use in judging that person's credibility?

A Well, yes. I would judge it right along with the rest of the --

THE COURT: Will you simply follow the Court's instruction, Mr. DeLoach, as I give it to you in respect to that subject?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: Yes.

THE COURT: And in respect to all subjects on which I instruct you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: Yes.

MR. DENNY: All right. Would you pass the microphone along, then, to Miss Fatherree -- to Mrs. Fatherree?

YVONNE FATHERREE

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Now, Mrs. Fatherree, you've heard generally the

Aa-3

\$ 2

questions that I've asked of the other jurors thus far?

A Yes.

Q And would your answers be essentially the same as theirs, thus far?

A Yes.

Q All right. Now, you understand, having been here when the Court read the indictment in this case -- and by the way, do you have any feelings, as you sit there, that simply because the defendant has been indicted by the Grand Jury of Los Angeles County, that he's more probably guilty than innocent?

A No.

Q Do you understand the Grand Jury indictment simply results from having witnesses testify before them, with no cross examination or anything of that kind, so that it's not evidence of his guilt?

A Yes.

And you are not going to consider the fact that a piece of paper is up here before the Judge, that accuses the defendant -- you are not going to consider that that means that he's probably more guilty than not?

A No, I'm not going to, you know, just think that he's guilty.

Q Pardon?

A I'm not going to think he's guilty, just because a Grand Jury indicted him.

Q You understand, in fact, quite the opposite, that as you sit there in the jury box at this moment, the

defendant is closed -- is clothed with the presumption of 1 innocence; do you understand that? 2 A Yes. 3 And that if you were to retire to deliberate in the jury room right now, the People not having put on any 5 evidence at all, you'd have to bring in a verdict of not 6 guilty; do you understand that? 7 A Yes. 8 It makes sense, but some people kind of get the 9 feeling, "Well, he's accused; he must be guilty. And he's 10 supposed to prove his innocence." 11 You know he is not supposed to do that, don't 12 you? 13 Ab fls. 14 A No, I know that. 15 16 17 18 19 21 23 27 28

AB-1

1 2

3

5

б 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15.

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

All right. Now, in Count II of this indictment, Q he's charged with conspiracy to commit murder and robbery.

Do you understand that?

- Α Yes.
- You've heard that read? Ω
- A Yes.

And it's my understanding that the People will Q also attempt to show some sort of conspiracy to murder Shorty Shea, though that isn't charged.

But under the law, if they feel they have the evidence, they can proceed on that theory, the theory of conspiracy.

You've heard of that word?

A Yes.

All right. Now, the Court, I think, when you were Q here, read a certain instruction about the legal definition of conspiracy; that is, to the effect that, in a conspiracy -that's when two or more people agree to do some unlawful act, and then take some affirmative action -- other than just talk; some act -- overt act, it's called -- to accomplish the unlawful goal or object.

Do you recall that?

A Yes.

All right. Now, there are many instructions Q that you -- if you sit as a juror -- you may hear, the judge may give you, concerning who may or may not be a conspirator.

For instance, we've talked a little bit about it before, during another portion of our voir dire, but you've

heard of the phrase "guilt by association"?

A Yes.

Q Well, at sometime in the course of this trial, assuming you are seated to hear the evidence, the judge will undoubtedly tell you even if a conspiracy among some — some people is proven, mere association with those people, in and of itself, is insufficient to make someone else guilty of that conspiracy.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And since this is the law, would you have any hesitancy in acquitting someone charged with conspiracy, if that was -- if all that was proven against him was that he associated with others who may have been guilty of the crime of conspiracy?

A No, I would not.

Q All right. And the judge will undoubtedly also tell you that merely doing an act which turns out to have furthered the object of the conspiracy does not, in and of itself, make the person doing the act guilty of a conspiracy;

That there must be in addition some accompanying specific criminal intent. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Somebody can help, unknowingly, unwittingly; and by that help, by that act, can further the object of the conspiracy that some other people have.

But unless he has the guilty intent to go along with it, the criminal intent to go along with it, he cannot be

1 guilty. 2 Do you understand that? Yes, I understand. 3 Α 4 Q All right. And since that is the law, would you have any hesitancy whatsoever in acquitting somebody, if that's 5 б all the People proved; if they prove he had done an act, but 7 there was inadequate proof of any concurring criminal intent 8 on his part? 9 A No, I wouldn't. 10 MR. DENNY: Fine. Thank you. Would you pass the 11 microphone along, then, to Miss Bourgeois? 12 13 MARIE A. BOURGEOIS 14 BY MR. DENNY: 15 Q Miss Bourgeois, I notice that I have a question 16 mark down by your business or occupation. I don't think I. 17 fully got that. 18 I work for Hoffman Motors Corporation. We import -19 Q BMW s? 20 BMW's, right. Α 21 Q Right. And what do you do there? 23 I am an inventory clerk, in the accounting A 24 department. 25 Q You are the one to blame when the parts don't 26 come in? 27 I'm the one that knows how much the car's 28 going to cost. I type up the price labels and other duties.

笺.

1

5 б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

AC fol

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

I see. All right. Now, maram, I've asked this Q of one of the jurors concerning the death penalty phase of a case, if it ever came to that -- a hypothetical situation that we've postulated on occasion here -- but I would like to ask you, because you seem pretty precise and exacting in your answers, and you seem certain of what you say.

Now, if you were in the jury room during the guilt or innocence phase of the deliberations of the jury -assuming you sat on the jury -- and you discovered that you were in a minority -- perhaps even a minority of one; all the others were of a different opinion than you as to guilt or innocence -- and you simply did not feel that their position was reasonable, was proper, was the right position -- you felt that you were correct -- would you change your position and join the majority, simply in order to bring in a unanimous verdict?

A No.

	1	
Ac-1	1	Q There would be
	2	A Not just because it would seem that they wanted
	3	to,
• *• ₫ .	4	Q Well
र क्	5	A or outside pressures, so to speak, from the
查乘	6	other members of the jury.
	7	Q Well, if you felt convinced of your position,
	8	but you said, "Look, here are 11 other people who are
	9	intelligent people, and they have a different view," simply
	10	because there were 11 in number with that view, would you
	11	change your decision?
	12	A Not just because their theory was different than
	13	mine. I would have to examine both sides carefully, mine
2	14	and theirs, and come to my own decision, whether or not I
	15	was the correct one or they were the correct ones.
3	16	Q All right. And in so doing, I take it that you
	17.	would listen carefully to what their position was? You
	. 18	wouldn't just adamantly say, "I know what I know, and I am
	19	not going to listen to you"?
	20	A Oh, no.
	21	Q You wouldn't do that, certainly.
	22	A No.
	23	Q And by the same token, you would give them the
benefit of your thinking, to either att		benefit of your thinking, to either attempt to persuade them,
	or to let them see what the basis for your position was; is	
₹	26	that correct?
·	27	À Yes.
→ `	28	Q That is the system that we use hopefully

__

in the jury room, in reaching the verdict, one way or the other; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, ma'am, you understand that it's one of the basic foundations of our law that the defendant in a criminal case doesn't have to prove anything; do you understand that?

A Um-hmm. I do.

Q As I mentioned to Mrs. Fatherree, he is clothed right now with the presumption of innocence and has no burden at all.

The State, the prosecution, has the sole burden; you understand that?

A Yes.

And indeed, the defendant in this case -- or, in any criminal case, from a traffic ticket right on up through murder -- is presumed to be innocent until his guilt is proven, and proven beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty.

And you don't have any objection or any argument with that proposition of law?

A No, none whatsoever.

Q Do you think it's good?

A Yes.

Q All right. And do you understand, too, that it's a fundamental proposition of law that a defendant is not required to produce evidence; he is not required to call witnesses; he is not required to take the stand himself.

Do you understand that, --

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

٠ ﴿

€.

26

27

28

A Yes.

Q -- in a criminal trial?

And do you understand that if the prosecution rests its case, and the defendant's attorney feels that there simply isn't sufficient evidence to warrant a conviction, or that there are just a few points that he wants to clear up, he can rest the defense, without calling anyone, without introducing any evidence -- or, just calling a few witnesses to counter those points that he feels should be cleared up -- and then rest.

Do you understand that?

A Yes, I do.

And you understand that if the defense attorney feels that the State just hasn't proven the case beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty, he doesn't have to call the defendant to take the stand to testify to anything?

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And do you understand further that because of certain provisions of our Constitution, if the defense attorney does not call his client to testify, you, as a juror, may not draw any adverse inference against the defendant, simply because he has not been called to testify?

THE COURT: Now, the Court has permitted some considerable lenience in allowing you to instruct concerning the law.

I will state that the Court will allow the Jury to answer this question.

But the Court would again remind you -- both

counsel -- and you particularly, Mr. Denny -- that it's the 1 function of the Court to instruct concerning the law. MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I understand, --BY MR. DENNY: Yes. 5 A -- as you put it. Now, let me ask you, then, having gone over that 7 which is foundational to this question, do you feel, as you sit there right now, that any defendant who doesn't testify 9 in his own case must be guilty of the crime of which he is 10 charged? 11 No, I don't feel that way. Ad fls. A 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

AD-1

y

MR. DENNY: Are there any other jurors in the box who feel that way, that if a defendant doesn't take the stand, doesn't testify, that therefore he must be guilty?

(No affirmative response.)

MR. DENNY: Do I see all of the heads nodding in a negative manner?

Mr. Craven, you are still impassive, but I think I note a slight nod of the head again in a negative manner; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Right.

MR. DENNY: All right, sir.

Q I take it, then, Miss Bourgeois, that if it comes to pass in this case that the People rest their case, and thereafter I assess the state of the case and determine not to call Mr. Davis to the stand, that you won't draw any adverse inference against him for that reason; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Nor will you attempt to fill in any gaps there may be in the prosecution's case by speculating what Mr. Davis's testimony might or might not have been; is that correct?

A Correct. It would not be my place to do so.

Q That is right. If the gaps are there in the People's case, the gaps are there.

And no lack of testimony, no lack of going forward with any evidence, is permitted to be used to fill in those gaps; do you understand that?

A Yes.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, matam.

Ad-2

1 2

Do you want to pass the microphone on to Mrs. Muldrow?

MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW

3

BY MR. DENNY:

6

7

5

Q Mrs. Muldrow, I'm sorry, but I don't think I got whether or not you had had any prior jury experience.

8

A No, I haven't.

9

10

Q And you did indicate, I think, that you are supervisor of the recon department of the Bank of America?

11

A Yes.

12

Q What is the recon department?

13 14

A Well, we adjust the computer, where it's kicking out because of -- maybe certificates or something went in wrong, and there was a mishmash on the name; anything that doesn't go in perfect kicks out.

16

15

So, you have to adjust it and put it back in.

17 18

Q And you are the one who is supposed to fix the goofs, in other words?

19

A Yes.

21

20

Q All right. And you indicated, I think, that your husband works at Lockheed. And I'm not sure in what capacity, whether we got to that.

22 23

What does he do?

25

24

A He's an assembler. He works on the airplane door.

26 27

Q On the airplane door?

28

A Yes.

\$ 🛊

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let me put it to you this way. If I were to ask you if you'd have the strength of your convictions, the strength of character, the courage of your convictions to come into this court and look Mr. Davis right in the eye, after the penalty phase of the trial, and to say to him, without batting an eye, "Mr. Davis, I sentence you to die," could you do that?

A If I weighed everything and I felt that this is -is the conviction, yes. If all the evidence was there, and I
was sure beyond a reasonable doubt.

Q Well, again, so we make sure that we are communicating here, you understand that you can be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that somebody committed a crime, or was criminally liable for the commission of a crime, but that's the area of guilt that we are talking about.

I am now talking about the penalty phase of the trial.

Simply because you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that somebody committed murder, you have stated to me that simply by virtue of that fact, even if it's willful, deliberate, first degree murder, you are not automatically going to say, --

A No.

Q -- "Because you are involved in the commission of a murder, I sentence you to die." Right?

A No. I am not going to say it automatically, no.

Q No way?

A No.

1	Q But say, now, that you have found the defendant
2	guilty; and say, now, that either with or without further
3	evidence during the penalty phase of the trial and again,
4	this is all hypothetical we are talking about.
5	A Yes.
6	Q Even the finding of guilt is hypothetical, as far
7	as I am concerned.
8	(Continuing) but you have deliberated with the
9	rest of your fellow jurors, and you've reached the conclusion,
10	all of you, unanimously, that he should be executed by lethal
n	gas.
12	Then, would you have the strength of character,
13	the courage of your convictions whatever you want to call
14	it the guts, the fortitude, to sit right where you are
15	sitting now, look Mr. Davis in the eye and say, "Mr. Davis,
16	I sentence you to die"?
17	A Well, would I have the courage?
18	Q Yes.
19	A I think I could.
20	MR. DENNY: All right. And I take it all the rest of you
21	jurors would answer similarly, if I or Mr. Kay asks that
22	question; is that correct?
23	(No negative response.)
24	MR. DENNY: I see all the heads nodding in the
25	affirmative. Mr. Lear, you are impassive at this point, and
26	Mr. Craven is has nodded more than you.
27	But you nod in the affirmative also; is that
28	correct?
29	JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

1-1

probably?

MR. DENNY: Well, this is a standard question that prosecutors frequently ask. I don't mind asking it because I assume having gone through the voir dire now that you have your answer and it would be yes, but I want to step one step further back to the guilt phase of the trial. And if you have heard all of the evidence, and I'll ask this question of all you jurors:

Assume that you've heard all of the evidence and you honestly and conscientiously feel that the People have failed to prove their case against this defendant beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty, your moral certainty, would all of you have the strength of character, the courage of your convictions, whatever, to walk into this courtroom, look Mr. Kay in the eye, look the Judge in the eye, look anybody else in the eye and say quite probably, "We acquit this defendant, we find him not guilty"? Would all of you be able to do that?

(Affirmative nods.)

MR. DENNY: And would all of you feel, having done that, having listened to the evidence, not having been convinced to the degree that you must be convinced before you return a verdict, that you had aided in the due administration of justice and done your duty as a juror by bringing in an acquittal under those circumstances; would all of you feel that way?

(Affirmative nods.)

MR. DENNY: Fine, I'll pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kay.

1-2

4 5

4 😕

đ_e

MR. KAY: Thank you.

It will take me a minute to set up here. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

(Whereupon, there were murmurs of "Good morning, Mr. Kay," that were heard throughout the prospective jury panel.)

MR. KAY: At the outset, ladies and gentlemen, let me encourage you, and those of you in the courtroom, to please be just as candid as you possible can be when you're answering my questions and Mr. Manzella's questions. And though all of you that are seated in the box have been given a very good job of that. I know for some of you it is hard to be candid because you don't know everyone else in this courtroom, and I'm sure a lot of you possibly aren't gifted in public speaking, and you might be a little nervous. And that's very understandable.

Sometimes -- I know Mr. Denny's questions might have confused you, and I hope mine won't, but if they do, please tell me. If you feel you didn't understand a question I ask, would you say, "Mr. Kay, would you explain it another way, I don't quite understand what you are getting at," because I don't want you to answer anything that you are not sure of.

Now, also, if you ask a question, don't feel that you have to answer it yes or no. If you want to explain your answer, feel free to explain your answer.

And, also, if you give an answer and you think about it, especially on the death penalty, and you change your

1-3

_

٠ 19

\$ E

mind about it, raise your hand, even if it is tomorrow or the next day or whenever, and say, "Mr. Kay, I told you that I could vote for the death penalty, but I've been thinking it over and, gee, I really can't and I want you to know that."

Please just be as candid as possible.

Now, the reason I say this, is because even though I know it might be hard to speak out now, I would imagine it would be much harder to speak out in the jury room for the first time when your co-jurors know that you were asked a question about the death penalty or some other subject that should have prompted you to speak up.

And, also, I hate to bring this up, but also it would be a violation of your oath as jurors not to speak up at the present time.

So now is the time to speak up, not later on in the jury room, if you have a particular problem.

Now, just as Mr. Manzella and I -- Mr. Manzella is the co-prosecutor on this case. He'll be in later this morning.

Just as Mr. Manzella and I want you to be candid, we want you -- we want to be candid with you.

And, therefore, I'm going to tell you that if the jury that is impaneled to hear this case, to hear this case against Mr. Davis, finds Mr. Davis guilty of murdering Gary Hinman and of murdering Donald Jerome Shea, and conspiring along with Charles Manson and Susan Atkins to murder and rob Gary Hinman, if they find him guilty of any or all of those charges, Mr. Manzella and I will vigorously ask this

la fls.

jury to vote for the death penalty against Mr. Davis.

Does anybody have any questions about that?

We want to be candid with you, just as we want you to be candid with us.

Also, if I ask a question which does not pinpoint your problem on the capital punishment or any other area, but it touches on it, please let me know about it because I can't think of maybe the problem, the problems that everybody has and maybe my questions aren't articulate enough. But if my questions even hinted at any problem you have, please let me know about it or at least Mr. Manzella know about it.

1a-1

1 2

Now, first, let me explain just to clarify it for all of you, there is a possibility that there will be two phases in this case. The first phase is the guilt phase. In that phase all you determine is the guilt or the innocence of Mr. Davis on the three charges in the indictment; the murder of Gary Hinman, the conspiracy to murder Gary Hinman and the murder of Donald Jerome Shea.

In the first phase you cannot even consider the question of penalty or punishment.

Do all of you understand that?

(Affirmative nods.)

MR. KAY: And will all of you follow the judge's instructions on that?

(Affirmative nods.)

MR. KAY: In the second phase of the trial, the penalty phase, it will be your duty to determine whether or not Mr. Davis, for the crimes he has committed, gets life imprisonment or gets the death penalty. There is no burden on either side.

In the first phase, the prosecution has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defense has no burden.

In the second phase, neither side has any burden.

It is up to you whether or not to impose the death penalty.

It is a matter for your sole discretion. Even the judge is not going to help you. He's not going to give you any instructions saying, "Well, if you find factors A, B and C are involved, then you should sentence the defendant to death. If

_ .

 you don't find factors A, B and C or any one of them, then you should sentence him to life."

You're not going to get any instructions like that.

It is just going to be up to your sole discretion whether or not to sentence Mr. Davis to death or to life to pay for his crimes.

MR. DENNY: I'll object to that, your Honor. The phraseology there, again, is, I think, assuming at this point he's committed any crime.

MR. KAY: Well, I am assuming that he has been convicted in the first phase. We don't get to the second phase, as Mr. Denny well knows, until there has been a conviction in the first state.

THE COURT: I think that's understood.

You might move right into questioning on the voir dire, though.

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor, but I would like to ask just one more general question.

Those of you who are prospective jurors who are seated on the rail, when I ask the jurors presently seated in the box any questions, I would like to have each of you mentally ask yourself the same question so when you take your place on the box, I hopefully won't have to repeat all of the questions. I can ask you, "Did you hear the question I asked a particular juror," and if you say that you did, I'd say, "Would your answer be any different or what would your answer be to that question?"

And if you do that, I think you'll find that the

26

27

28

process of voir diring will move along a lot faster.

Now, what I intend to do is, on the death penalty, I'm going to question each of you individually, and then later on I'm going to ask some general voir dire questions, most of them of you as a panel as a whole.

Now, when I ask the questions of you as a panel as a whole, I want you to speak out audibly, say yes or no to the questions.

I know Mr. Denny tried this and some people would nod their heads and would speak out. In order to really be effective, you're going to have to all speak out so I'll know where you stand.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

ELVERA IZAGUIRRE

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Izaguirre.

Have you -- yeah, maybe you want to take the microphone. I can hear you here, but --

Before you came into this courtroom, did you ever think about the issue of capital punishment?

A No, I had not.

Q Since you've been in this courtroom have you thought about it?

A Yes, I have.

Q Probably considerably?

A Yes.

Q All right. Have you ever determined in your own

mind whether or not you can impose the death penalty? 1 Α 2 Yes. 3 What have you determined? Q Α Well, I determined that I could, uh, impose the death penalty. 6 Now, if the jury brings back a verdict of death 7 against Mr. Davis, each juror must personally participate in 8 that verdict. 9 Mrs. Holt, who is seated behind me, who is the 10 clerk of the court, if the jury brings back the death verdict, will poll each of the jurors, and she will ask you 11 12 individually, after the verdict is read, she'll ask you: 13 "Is this your verdict?" 14 Now, if you felt it was a proper case and that 15 was your verdict, would you have the courage to tell her, 16 "Yes, that is my verdict?" 17 Yes, I would. 18 And do you feel that you could sit in this court-19 room for over the next two to two and a half months and look-20 ing at Mr. Davis every day, and if you felt that it was, if 21 the evidence on the case warranted it, you could tell him at 22 the end of the penalty phase that he must die for the crimes 23 that he's committed? A Yes. 25. 26

1b fol

· 3

27

28

	ŀ	
1b-1	1	Q And I take it that you don't feel that any moral
	2	or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent
	3	you from voting for the imposition of the death penalty?
	4	A That is correct.
31-	5	Q And I take it you don't belong to or have sym-
* _₹	6	pathy with any organizations which wish to abolish the death
	7	penalty in the State of California?
	8	A No, I do not.
	9 .	Q And do you have any close friends or relatives
	10	who opposes the death penalty who you feel might try to
	11	pressure you for voting for life imprisonment if you are
	12	seated as a juror in this case?
	13 ,	A No, I do not.
₹	14	Q Okay, could you pass the microphone on to Mr.
<i>-</i>)	15	Craven.
<i>₹</i>	16	Thank you, Mrs. Izaguirre.
	17	
	18	Jonathan Craven
	19	BY MR. KAY:
	20	Q Mr. Graven, before you came into this courtroom,
	21	did you, sir, ever think about the death penalty?
	22	A No.
	23	Q Since you've come into this courtroom on this
1 st	24	case, I take it you have thought about the death penalty?
• .	25	A I have.
* *	26	Q And can you have you determined in your own
	27	mind whether or not you could impose the death penalty in a
-	28	case which you felt that warranted it?

1b-2 A Yes. 1 Q. And what have you determined? 2 I could. A 3 Q. Do you feel that -- the question I asked Mrs. Izaguirre. 5 Do you feel that you could personally participate 6 in a verdict of death, if you thought it was proper, and tell 7 Mrs. Holt and tell Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis that Mr. Davis Я must die for the crimes that he has committed? -9 Do you feel that you could personally participate? 10 A Yes. And I take it that you don't feel that any moral 12 Q. or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent 13 you from voting for the death penalty? 14 15 Å No. And you don't support or have any connection with 16 :17 any organization which wishes to abolish the death penalty 18 in the State of California? 19 A No. And do you have any close friend or relative 20 21 who you feel is against the death penalty who might try and 22 exert pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment, if you 23 are seated as a juror in this case? 24 A No. 25 All right. Q. 26 Would you pass the microphone to Mr. Lear. 27 Thank you, Mr. Craven. 28

1b-3RAYMOND G. LEAR 1 BY MR. KAY: 2 Mr. Lear, have you ever thought about the death Q. 3 penalty? Well, I guess you have, because you had it in 5 a sociology class. 6 Other than what -- the particular aspects that 7 you studied about it --8 No, other than that. No, sir. You haven't thought about it? 10 I have thought about it since I've been in the 11 12 courtroom. Other than that, no. All right. Since you've been in this courtroom, 13 14 have you determined in your own mind whether or not, in a case where you felt the death penalty was warranted, that you could vote for the death penalty? 16 17 Yes, sir, I have. 18 And what have you determined? 19 I have determined that I could. 20 Do you feel that you could personally participate 21 in a verdict of death and tell Mrs. Holt when she polls the 22 jury, and to tell Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis, that that is your 23 verdict? 24 Yes, sir, I could. 25 And do you feel that any moral or religious 26 belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting 27 for the imposition of the death penalty in this case? 28

A

No, sir.

Lb-4	4	Q	And do you belong to or support any organizations
	2	which are a	ttempting to which are against the death
3 4	3	penalty?	
	4	A	No, sir.
	5	Q	And do you have any close friend or relative who
* \$	6	opposes the	death penalty, who you feel might try to exert
	7	pressure on	you to vote for life imprisonment, if you are
	8	seated as a	juror in this case?
	9	A	I know of none.
	10	Q	All right, thank you very much.
	11		If you please, pass the microphone to Mrs.
	12	DuBois.	
	13		
_	14	,	LOLETA M. DuBOIS
3	15	BY MR. KAY:	
	16	Q	Mrs. DuBois, have you thought about the death
	17	penalty bef	ore you came into this courtroom?
	18.	A	Not before I came in, but very much so since I've
,	19	been here.	
	20	Q	Okay. And have you determined in your own mind
	21	whether or	not, in a case which you felt it was proper, that
	22	you could i	mpose the death penalty on the defendant?
	23	A	Yes, sir.
\$ £	24	Q;	What have you determined?
	25	A	I determined if it should be, it would be.
	. 26	Q	In other words, you could do that?
	27	A	Yes.
	28	Q	And you feel that you could personally participate

in a verdict of death, and when you are polled you could tell Mrs. Holt and Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis that that was your verdict? 1c fls.4 A Yes. 5 7

1c

2 3

4

1

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Now, a question that I didn't ask the first three Q jurors which I would like them to respond to and you, also.

Knowing that if you found the defendant quilty of first degree murder that you would be faced with the responsibility of determining whether or not he got life imprisonment or the death penalty, would you even consider ginding him guilty of record degree murder or any lesser charge to avoid the responsibility of moving into the penalty phase of the trial?

No. sir, I wouldn't.

MR. KAY: And would all your answers be the same? THE THREE PROSPECTIVE JURORS:

BY MR. KNY:

And do you feel that any moral or religious Q belief that you presently hold would prevent you from yoting for the death penalty in this case?

A No.

Do you belong to or support any organizations which are against the douth penalty?

A No.

And do you have any close friends or relatives who you feel oppose the death penalty, who you feel might try to exert pressure on you, if you were seated as a juror in this case, to vote for life imprisonment?

A No.

MR. KAY: All right, thank you very much. Could you please pass it to Mrs. Sims. "

AUDREY SLIE

BY MR. KAY:

1

2

3

5

7

8.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3 :

Q Mrs. Sims, before you came into this courtroom, did you ever think about the death penalty?

A I believe sometime ajo I read an article concerning it, but I don't remember what my reaction was at that time.
I did think about it, but I don't remember.

Q All right. Have you determined in your own mind whether or not, if you felt that the cylidence warranted it, you could vote for the death penalty?

- A Yes, I have.
- Q And what have you determined?
- A That I could vote for it.
- And you feel that you could personally participate in a verdict of death, and if you were polled after that verdict that you could tell Mrs. Holt that that was your verdict and, also, tell Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis that that was your verdict?
 - A Yes, I could.
 - Q You would have the courage to do that?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, one point Mr. Denny was bringing out that I just want to clarify.

When we're talking about we don't want people automatically to do one thing or the other, just so you are not confused, you understand that if you determine that it is proper, you can decide to impose the death penalty based on the evidence you hear in the guilt phase of the trial alone?

In other words, neither side has to produce any

1 evidence in the penalty phase of the trial; you understand that? 2 Α Yes. 3 In other words, what Mr. Denny was getting at, Q 4 we just don't want a juror to automatically do one thing or 5 the other. In other words, Mr. Denny doesn't want a juror 6 7 to get in the jury room and say, automatically, I have convicted this man of first degree murder and anybody I would it ٠8. ġ convict of first degree murder I would sentence to death. 10 And I don't want a juror to get in the jury room 11 and say, "Gee, I've convicted him of first degree murder. 12 Gee, I wouldn't give anybody the death penalty." 13 Do you understand that? 14 Α Yes, I do. 15. In other words, we want you to consider whether or Q 16 not the evidence warrants the death penalty in this particular 17 case; do you understand that? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Okay. 20 And do you feel that any moral or religious belief 21 that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the 22 death penalty in this case? 23 Α No. 24 And do you belong to or sympathize with any 25 organizations which may be opposed to capital punishment? 26 Α No. 27. Q And do you have any close friend or relative who 28 opposes the death penalty, who you feel might attempt to exert

pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment, if you are 1 selected as a juror in this case? 2 Α No. 3 MR. KAY: Thank you. Could you please pass the microphone to 5. Mr. Cano. 6 JUROR NO. 6: Thank you. 7 MR. KAY: Can you ladies and gentlemen in the audience 8 hear me? 9 (Whereupon, there were murmurs of "Yes, sir." 10 heard throughout the prospective jury panel beyond the rail.) 11 12 MR. KAY: Okay, thank you. I just wanted to check. 13 14 YGNACIO CANO BY MR. KAY: 15 Q Mr. Cano, before you came into this courtroom, 16 did you ever do any thinking about the death penalty? 17 ገጸ Α No. I did not. 19 All right. I take it that since you came into 20 this courtroom on Monday that you have thought about it? 21 Every day. A 22 Now, have you determined in your own mind whether Q 23 or not you could impose the death penalty if you thought the 24 evidence warranted it? 25 A I have. 26 And what have you determined? Q 27 A That I can. 28 And I take it -- do you feel that you can personally Q

participate in a verdict of death by -- if you were polled, 1 2 after you reached that verdict that you could tell Mrs. Holt 3 and Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis that that was your verdict? Α Yes. 5 Q You understand that we -- at both the guilt phase 6 and the penalty phase the verdicts must be unanimous? 7 Α Yes. 8 Q In other words, all twelve jurors must agree 9 for guilt and, also, for penalty? 10 Α Yes. 11 Do you feel that any moral or religious belief 12 that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the 13 death penalty in this case? 14 A No. 15 Do you belong to or sympathize with any organiza-16 tions which might oppose capital punishment? 17 Ά No. 18 And do you have any close friend or relative Q. 19 who opposes the imposition of the death penalty, who you feel 20 might try to exert pressure on you to vote for life 21 imprisonment for Mr. Davis if you were seated as a juror in 22 this case? 23 À No. 24 MR. KAY: Thank you. 25 Would you please pass the microphone on to 26 Mrs. Dunn. 27 THE COURT: Let's recess at this time for approximately 28 fifteen minutes.

33

Ladies and gentlemen, during the recess you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves, and this pertains to you in the box as well as to those beyond the rail.

You are obliged not to converse about this case amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this case, nor are you to form nor express any opinion about it until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

About fifteen minutes. All right.

(Morning recess.)

2 fol

3%

6.

3.2

2-1

44

1 2

3

,

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that the prospective jurors are all in the box and beyond the rail.

Mr. Denny is present for Mr. Davis, who is also present. Mr. Kay and Mr. Manzella are also present, the record may show.

You may proceed, Mr. Kay.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

MARY G. DUNN

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Dunn, I believe -- do you have the microphone?

THE COURT: Excuse me.

MR. KAY: I'll get it.

THE COURT: Excuse me. One further thing. Mr. William Courtney, is he here?

THE BAILIFF: Sir, he was sent over there.

THE COURT: Mr. William Courtney, one of the prospective jurors who was called over, but who had not yet been sworn, as I understand it, may it be stipulated, gentlemen, that he may be excused?

He suddenly became ill.

MR. KAY: So stipulated.

MR. DENNY: Yes. He's the gentleman with the heart condition; is that correct?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Yes. So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. Go ahead, Mr. Kay.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Dunn, before you came into this courtroom on Monday, had you ever thought about the death penalty?

A No.

Q And I take it that you have thought about it since you did come into this courtroom?

A Very much.

Q And have you determined in your own mind whether or not you could impose the death penalty, if you felt that the evidence in this case warranted it?

A Yes, I have.

Q And what have you determined?

A I could.

Q And do you feel that you would have the courage to personally participate in a verdict of death, by telling Mrs. Holt, if she -- which she would do, poll you after you came to that verdict -- and asked you if it was your verdict, could you tell Mrs. Holt, when she polls you, and also tell Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis, that that was your verdict?

A Yes.

Q You would have the courage to do that?

A Yes.

Q Would you consider finding Mr. Davis guilty
of less than first degree murder, to avoid the responsibility
of determining whether he got life imprisonment or suffered
the death penalty?

A No, not to avoid anything.

Q All right. You wouldn't even consider that, would

1

3.

5

8

10

77

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

you?

A No.

I think that MR. DENNY: Your Honor, excuse me. question perhaps, in context, is all right; but the question itself is a little misleading, in that it may be quite proper for them to consider a second degree murder or something less than that, as well as not guilty, if the evidence discloses that or the law discloses that.

I think Mr. Denny knows that that wasn't MR. KAY: my question, your Honor.

Would you read the question, please? THE COURT: I think in conjunction with the prior

question, it would be fairer to read it, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

> "Q Would you consider finding Mr. Davis quilty of less than first degree murder, to avoid the responsibility of determining whether he got life imprisonment or suffered the death penalty?

> > No, not to avoid anything.

"O All right. You wouldn't even consider that, would you?

> пA No."

THE COURT: Well, the juror's answer is in. The objection is overruled. remain.

MR. DENNY: Well, I think there was a question that followed. That's the question that I had objected to.

THE COURT: I don't think there was a question after 1 that, was there, Mr. Williams? 2 3: THE REPORTER: No, there was no question that followed that. .3 5 MR. DENNY: I'm sorry. 6 BY MR. KAY: Ť Mrs. Dunn, do you feel that any moral or Q 8 religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you 9 from voting for the death penalty in this case? 10 Α No. 11 And do you belong to or sympathize with any 12 organizations that might oppose the death penalty? 13 No, I don't. 14 And do you feel that you have any close friend or 15 relative who might oppose the death penalty, who you feel might 16 try and exert pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment, if 17 you are selected as a juror in this case? 18 No. 19 Thank you very much. Would you please pass the MR. KAY: 20 2a fol microphone to Mrs. Melendez? 21 22 23 24 . 25 26 27 28

2a-1 MRS. RACHEL MELENDEZ 1 BY MR. KAY: Ż Q Mrs. Melendez, have you thought about the death 3 penalty since -- well, before you came into this courtroom on Monday? 5 Α No. 6 Q I take it you have thought about it since? 7 Α Yes. 8 And have you determined in your own mind whether Q 9 or not, if you felt that the evidence warranted it in this 70 case, that you could impose the death penalty? 11 A I could. 12 Q. You feel that you could? 13 A Yes. 14 Q. No doubt about that in your mind? 15 A No. 16 Q Do you feel that you would have the courage to 17 personally participate in the verdict of death, by telling 18 Mrs. Holt, when she polls you after your verdict, that 19 this is your verdict? 20 Α Yes, I do. 21 And would you consider finding the defendant, 22 Mr. Davis, guilty of less than first degree murder, for 23 24 the sole reason to avoid the responsibility of determining 3.4 25 whether he should get life imprisonment or die in the gas chamber, in the penalty phase of the trial? 26 27 A No. 28 Q And do you feel that any moral or religious

	l l	
2a-2	1	belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting
	2	for the death penalty in this case?
	3	A No.
	4	Q And do you belong to or sympathize with any
* &	5	organizations which might oppose the death penalty?
ه چ	6	A No, I don't.
	7	Q And do you feel that you have any close friend or
	8	relative who opposes the death penalty, who you feel would
	9 .	try and exert pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment,
	10	if you were selected as a juror in this case?
	11	A No, I don't.
	12	MR. KAY: Thank you. Could you please pass the micro-
	13	phone on to Mr. DeLoach?
~	14	
	15	MR. TOMMY DE LOACH
₹ -	16	BY MR. KAY:
	17	Q Mr. DeLoach, before you came into this courtroom'
	18	on Monday, did you, sir, think about the death penalty at
	19	all? Have you ever thought about it?
	20	A No, I haven't.
	21	Q And I take it that since Monday, you have thought
	22	about it?
	23	A A little; not too much.
* *	24	Q Not too much. Well, have you determined in your
	25	own mind whether or not, if you felt that the evidence in
*** <u>\$</u>	. 26	this case warranted it, that you could impose the death
	27	penalty?
	28	A Yes, I could.

1	Q No doubt about that in your mind?
2	A No.
3	Q And do you feel that you would have the courage
4	to personally participate in a verdict of death by when
5	you are polled after that verdict telling Mrs. Holt and
6	Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis that that is your verdict?
7	A Yes, I have the courage.
8	Q And do you feel that any moral or religious
9	belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting
10	for the death penalty?
11	A No.
12	Q And would you consider finding Mr. Davis guilty
13	of less than of any charge less than first degree murder,
14:	for the sole reason that you'd want to avoid the responsibility
15	of determining whether or not he got the death penalty or life
16	imprisonment?
. 17	A No.
18	Q And do you belong to or sympathize with any
19	organizations which might oppose capital punishment?
20	A No.
21	Q And do you have any close friend or relative who
22	opposes the death penalty, who you feel might try and exert
23	pressure on you to try and vote for life imprisonment, if you
24	
25	
26	ļ.
27	
28	

\$ js

2a-4 are selected as a juror in this case? 1 A No. 2 MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you very much. Could you please · 3 pass the microphone on to Mrs. Fatherree? 4 ٠\$ a 5 YVONNE FATHERREE 6 BY MR. KAY: 7 Q Am I pronouncing your name right? Fatherree? 8 À Ŷes. 9 Is that just okay, or is it right? Q 10 It's Fatherree. A 11 Q. Fatherree. Okay. 12 I want to get it right now. 13 Mrs. Fatherree, have you thought about the death 14 penalty before you came into this courtroom on Monday? 15 No, I haven t. A 16 Q I take it that since then, you have thought about 17 it? 18 A Yes. 19 20 Q. And have you determined in your own mind whether or not, that if you felt the evidence warranted it, that you 21 could vote for the death penalty in this case? 22 23 A Yes, I could. No doubt about that in your mind? 24 Q * > 25 Α No. And do you feel that you would have the courage to 26 personally participate in a verdict of death, by telling Mrs. 27 28 Holt, when she polls you, that that is your verdict? And

also telling the same thing to Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis?

A Yes, I could.

Q And would you consider finding Mr. Davis guilty of less than -- any charge less than first degree murder for the sole purpose of avoiding the responsibility of determining whether or not Mr. Davis got life imprisonment or suffered the death penalty, in the penalty phase of the trial?

A No.

Q You understand that if Mr. Davis is found guilty of anything less than first degree murder, that we don't have a penalty phase of the trial; you understand that, don't you?

A Yes.

Q However, if he is found guilty of conspiracy to commit murder, we would also have a penalty phase. Each of the murder counts and the conspiracy to commit murder hold a possible death sentence.

A Yes.

Q You understand that?

A Yes.

Q Do you feel that any moral or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the imposition of the death penalty?

A No, I do not.

3-1	1	Q And do you belong to or sympathize with any
	2	organizations which might oppose capital punishment?
	3	A No, I do not.
	4.	Q Do you have any close friend or relative who
₹	Ŝ	you feel opposes capital punishment that might try to exert
2 B	6	pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment if you are
	7	selected as a juror in this case?
	8	A No, I do not.
	9.	MR. KAY: Thank you very much.
	10	Would you pass the microphone on to Miss DuBois.
	11	
	12	LOLETA M. DABOIS
	13	BY MR. KAY:
À	14	Q Miss DuBols, have you ever thought about the death
	15	penalty before you came into this courtroom on Monday?
A t	16	A No, Mir.
	17	Q I take it since them you have thought about it?
	18	A Quite a bit.
	19	And have you determined in your own mind whether or
	20	not you could impose the death penalty if you felt the evidence
	21	in this case warranted it?
	22	A I believe I could.
	23	Q Are you sure about that?
3,5	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Okay. Do you feel you would have the courage
4.5	26	to personally participate in a verdict of death by telling
	27	Mrs. Holt when she polled you and telling Mr. Denny and Mr.
	28	Davis that that was your verdict?

		_
3-2	а	
J-2	1	
	2	
	3	ì
4 _	4	Ì
÷ 35	5	
3 _F	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	i
	. 10	
	11	1
	12	
	13	
~	14	
	15	
₹	16	
	17	
	18	ļ
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
ቼ . ነ ነ- ም	24	
. **	25	
	26	

28

4	
A	Yes.
4 3	TCO.

And would you consider finding Mr. Davis guilty of second degree murder or any charge less than first degree murder or conspiracy to commit murder for the sole reason that you would want to avoid the responsibility of determining whether or not Mr. Davis lived or died in the gas chamber?

A No.

Q Do you have any close friend or relative who opposes the death penalty who you feel might try and exert pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment if you are selected as a juror in this case?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you very much.

Would you pass the microphone to Mrs. Muldrow?

MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Muldrow, have you thought about the death penalty before you came into this courtroom on Monday?

A No.

Q And I take it you have thought about it considerably afterwards?

A Yes.

Q And have you determined in your own mind whether or not that you could impose the death penalty if you felt that the evidence in this case warranted it?

A Yes.

Q And you have determined that you could?

A Yes.

Q And do you feel that you would have the courage to personally participate in a verdict of death by telling Mrs. Holt when she polls you that is your verdict and telling the same thing to Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis?

A Yes.

Q Would you consider finding Mr. Davis guilty of anything less than first degree murder for the sole purpose of avoiding the responsibility of determining whether or not Mr. Davis lived or died in the gas chamber?

A No.

Q Do you feel that any moral or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the death penalty?

A No.

Q And do you belong to or sympathize with any organizations that might oppose the imposition of capital punishment?

A No.

Q And do you have any close friend or relative who you feel opposes the death penalty that might try and exert some pressure on you, moral, psychological or otherwise to vote for life imprisonment, if you are selected as a juror in this case?

A No.

d s

MR. KAY: Okay, thank you very much.

If you could pass the microphone down to Mrs. Izaguirre.

MRS. ELVERA IZAGUIRRE

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Izaguirre, can you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit on this jury?

A No.

Q And is it your firm position that the People of the State of California, who Mr. Manzella and I represent, are entitled to an equally fair trial with the defense in this case?

A Yes.

MR. KAY: Pass the microphone to Mr. Craven

JONATHAN CRAVEN

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mr. Craven, can you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit on this case as a juror?

A No.

Q And is it your firm position that the People of the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial with the defense in this case?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

Could you please pass the microphone to Mr. Lear?

RAYMOND G. LEAR

BY THE COURT:

26

27

28

Q Mr. Lear, could you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?

	i	
3-5	1	A No, I cannot.
	2	Q And is it your firm position that the People of
* <u>*</u>	3	the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial
	4	with the defense in this case?
	5	A Yes, it is.
₹ ₈	6	MR. KAY: Could you please pass the microphone to
	7	Mrs. DuBois.
	8	
	9	MRS. LOLETA M DuBOIS
	10	BY MR. KAY:
	11	Q Mrs. DuBois, can you think of any reason why you
	12	could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?
	13	A No.
*	14	Q And is it your firm position that the People of
	15	the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial
(A	16	with the defense in this case?
	17	A Yes.
	18	MR. KAY: Could you please pass the microphone to Mrs.
•	19	Sims?
	20	
	21	MRS. AUDREY SIMS
	22	BY MR. KAY:
	23	Q Mrs. Sims, can you think of any reason why you
32	24	could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?
,	25	A No.
	26	Q And is it your firm position that the People of
	27	the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial
_	28	with the defense in this case?

Yes. A MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the microphone to Mr. Cano? YGNACIO GANO BY MR. KAY: Mr. Cano, can you think of any reason why you Q could not or should not sit as a juror in this case? No, I do not. 3a fls. \$ A

3a-1

* 4

2·

1

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

خڅ

26

27 28. Q And is it your position that the People of the State of California which, again, Mr. Manzella and I represent in this case, are entitled to an equal fair trial with the defense in this case?

A Yes.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Could you pass the microphone to Mrs. Dunn.

MRS. MARY G. DUNN

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Dunn, can you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?

A No.

Q And is it your firm position that the People of the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial with the defense in this case?

A Yes.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Could you please pass it to Mrs. Melendez.

MRS. RACHEL MELENDEZ

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Melendez, can you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?

A No.

Q And is it your firm position that the People of the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial with the defense in this case?

1	A Yes.
2	MR. KAY: Could you pass the microphone to Mr. DeLoach.
3	
4	MR. TOMMY DeLOACH
5	BY MR. KAY:
6	Q Mr. DeLoach, can you think of any reason at all
Ť.	why you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What?
10	A Well, it is kind of tiresome to me to sit right
11	here.
12	Q It is uncomfortable?
13	A No, it is not.
14	Q Well, why well, what do you mean?
15	A I mean this long period of time.
16.	Q You understand it is just starting now. It has
17	been estimated the trial will last probably to the middle of
18	February.
19	Do you think you can sit that long and pay
20	attention to the witnesses and the Judge's instructions?
21 22	A I don't want to.
23.	and the second of the second o
24	You'd rather not be a juror in this case?
25	A Yeah.
26	MR. KAY: Okay, could you pass the microphone on to
27	Mrs. Fatherree.
28	
	YVONNE FATHERREE

54

₹

1	BY MR. KAY:
2	Q Mrs. Fatherree, can you think of any reason why
3	you could not or should not be a juror in this case?
4	A No.
5	Q And is it your firm position that the People of
6	the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial
7	with the defense?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. KAY: Thank you.
10	Would you please pass the microphone to Miss
11	Bourgeois.
12	
13	MISS MARIE A.BOURGEOIS
14	BY MR. KAY:
15	Q Miss Bourgeois, can you think of any reason why
16	you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q And is it your firm position that the People of
19	the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial
20	with the defense in this case?
21	A It is.
22	MR. KAY: Thank you.
23	Please pass the microphone to Mrs. Muldrow.
24	
25	MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW
26	DI PRA RATA
27	Q Mrs. Muldrow, can you think of any reason why
28	you could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?

2 ₄

They are

Α

No.

-

.ª 🦡

* .

æ.;

Q And is it your firm position that the People of the State of California are entitled to an equal fair trial with the defense in this case?

A Yes.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, we're at the point where I am going to ask questions of the panel as a whole. And remember that I would like to have all of you speak out so I can be sure that you're all answering the questions, because sometimes I can't catch the nods of the heads and, of course, Mrs. Briandi can't put down nods of heads on her record.

Do any of you have any sympathy for Mr. Davis because of the fact that he's a defendant in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Now, you understand in the guilt phase of the trial, remember I told you in the penalty phase that neither side has any burden. But in the guilt phase of the trial the prosecution, Mr. Manzella and myself, have the legal burden of proving a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, this, and only this is our burden. We don't have the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a shadow of a doubt, to an absolute certainty or beyond all reasonable doubt.

Now, realizing that we only have the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, would

11·

3b fls. 15

3.4

28⁻

any of you hold the prosecution to a higher standard?

In other words, require of us to prove Mr. Davis guilty beyond all doubt or to an absolute certainty? Would any of you hold us to that higher standard?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: And you understand that the burden of proof which we have in this case, to prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, is the same burden of proof that there is in every criminal case, even if somebody runs a red light and he goes into court and he's prosecuted for running a red light. The prosecutor in that case still has to prove that he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

And the same in a burglary case, and in a robbery case, in a rape case, in a child molestation case, the burden on the prosecution is the same in all criminal cases.

3-b-1

1 2

1.

3.4

* ...

Now, due to the fact that this is a murder case, would any of you hold the prosecution to a higher standard?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: Now, Mr. Denny has informed you that at this point Mr. Davis is presumed innocent.

Do any of you feel that that presumption of innocence is an impossible burden for the prosecution to overcome?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: You realize that the presumption of innocence lasts only until Mr. Davis has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, once we've done that, he's no longer presumed innocent.

Now, this, again, is a personal question, and due to my position in this case I have to ask it, and I'm not trying to embarrass anybody, but again remember I do want you to be candid.

I'm going to ask a question and then I'm going to explain something about the question, and then I would like your candid answer.

Have any of you or have any of your close friends or relatives ever been charged with or accused of a crime?

Now, you notice I didn't say a felony. It can be a misdemeanor. The only thing I would exclude would be traffic offenses.

Okay, now, have any of you or have any of your close friends or relatives ever been accused or charged with a crime other than a traffic offense?

3b - 2THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. 1 MR. KAY: Okay. 2 Have any of you other than Mrs. Sims ever served 3 on a jury before, in the current panel? 4 ** 5 I believe Mrs. Dunn had some Municipal Court .* K 6 experience in the early sixties? 7 JUROR NO. 12: Yes. MR. KAY: What about anybody else? 8 JUROR NO. 11: One. It was in a civil case. 9 10 MR. KAY: That's right, you were on a civil case. Have any of you ever studied law? 11 12 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. 13 MR. KAY: Would any of you give any less weight to the 14 testimony of the witness due to the fact that that witness is a 15 police officer? 16 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. 17 MR. KAY: In other words, to any degree, whatsoever, 18 do any of you have any bias or prejudice against police 19 officers to any degree, whatsoever? 20 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. 21 MR. KAY: Do any of you know any criminal defense 22 attorneys? 23 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No. 24 JUROR NO. 5: Yes. ٠. 25 MR. KAY: Yes, Mrs. Sims? 26 JUROR NO. 5: I do know Mr. Worrell. We're not close 27 friends, but I see him occasionally. 28 JUROR NO. 4: Sir, I just remembered, I have a friend

3b-3 in the Attorney General's Office. 1 MR. KAY: Would that adversely --2 JUROR NO. 4: No. MR. KAY: -- influence you in this case? 5 JUROR NO. 4: No. it wouldnot. MR. KAY: Was he a Deputy Attorney General or an 6 7 investigator or what? JUROR NO. 4: Well, he -- uh, he is a Deputy Attorney He never discusses cases with me and I never talk to 9 General. 10 him about anything. MR. KAY: Is he just a friend or a relative? 11 12 JUROR NO. 4: Just a friend. 13 MR. KAY: Now, I'm going to mention the names of some attorneys and maybe this would jog somebody's memory. 14 15 And I'll ask you if you know any of these 16 attorneys, if you've ever spoken to them, ever been associated with them, know them or have ever been represented by them? 17 18 And I'd ask all of you in the audience to listen 19 to the names I'm going to read so I won't have to read them 20 again. 21 MR. DENNY: Excuse me, your Honor. May we approach the 22 bench before this? 23 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 24 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had * . 25 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing 26 of the jury:) .27 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I don't know who the names are 28 but I just have sort of an intuition that they may be names of

attorneys connected with the Tate-LaBianca cases and, if so,
I think that is somewhat prejudicial in asking that of these
jurors at this time before this panel. All of the jurors have
stated that they don't know any criminal defense attorneys
and --

THE COURT: Is that your purpose, to ask about -MR. KAY: Let me say this: --

THE COURT: -- the lawyers that were associated with the Tate-LaBianca case?

MR. KAY: No, I'm not going to mention who they are associated with. As a matter of fact, they're associated with other cases, also, been associated with the Manson Family cases. I'm not — I'm just going to mention their names, not their associations. The reason I do this, I did the same thing when I voir dired the jury on the Tex Watson case and I had the jurors say that they didn't know any defense attorneys, and then when I read the names I had two jurors say, "Oh, yes, we do know one of these attorneys." They both didn't know the same attorney, but they knew attorneys on this list. And they had talked to them, but they didn't realize they were defense attorneys.

3c-1

ే _{డ్}

3:

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, the list Mr. Kay has, which appears to be a rather complete list --

MR. KAY: That's it.

MR. DENNY: -- of all the lawyers that have had anything to do --

THE COURT: That looks like a list of the criminal court's Bar.

MR. DENNY: Well, it is rather extensive, but these are the lawyers that have had anything to do with the Tate-La Bianca case and the Manson defense, and I think it is highly prejudicial at this time. If he wants to go into that, he should do that on the publicity voir dire of the jurors individually. It is possible it might be brought up at that time. But certainly not at this point, in view of their answers.

THE COURT: I think you might ask generally whether anyone to his knowledge has had any contact with or knows any
counsel who represented Mr. Manson or --

MR. KAY: Anybody in the Manson Family.

THE COURT: -- anybody in the Tate-La Bianca case.

We're going to have to talk to them about -- we have talked to them about the Tate-La Bianca case. We might as well bring them out --

MR. DENNY: We've talked to them individually during the publicity phase, where we've voir dired individually, but we've got a panel of -- I don't know how many sitting out there. I think at this time --

THE COURT: Do you think there is one of them on the

panel who won't know about the Tate-La Bianca case?

MR. KAY: Your Honor, I would rather ask the question, then, if your Honor doesn't want me to read the list, of whether or not any of them know any attorneys who have been associated at all with the Manson Family, of representing any member of the Manson Family.

MR. DENNY: I don't object to that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KAY: Fine.

4-I

2 3

* ;

1 2

3

5

6

7 8

_

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

...

٥,

25

26 27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

MR. KAY: Well, it looks like you are going to be spared reading my list.

So, I'll ask all of you the general question, then:
Have you ever known, spoken to, or ever been represented by
any of the criminal attorneys who have been involved with
representing members of the Manson Family in criminal cases?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. DENNY: I hope he's referring to criminal defense attorneys, rather than criminal attorneys, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think he meant that.

MR. KAY: Yes.

MR. DENNY: I hope so.

MR. KAY: So, do any of you know any private detectives?
THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: Now, as Mr. Denny has stated, in questioning some of you, in criminal cases we have both circumstantial and direct evidence that may be introduced to prove a defendant's guilt or innocence.

Before I ask you questions about this, I'll give you a little brief example, so that, hopefully, you can understand the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence.

And then I'll ask you the questions.

Now, say, for example, that you wanted to prove that at some time in my life, I held a pen in my hand (indicating). Okay. Now, direct evidence would be used that

ి ఫ

× -

₹2

I am holding a pen in my hand at this time. That's something you see with your own eyes -- kind of eye-witness -- so you can prove it that way.

Now, say, for example, I am standing like this, and you don't see that I have a pen in my hand. Now, how are you going to prove it? Well, you can prove this by circumstantial evidence.

Say that you got a piece of paper on which I had -- you had seen me write some things, but I had written them with a pencil, but you saw that I had written these -- or admitted that I wrote on this piece of paper with a pencil.

Okay. But that's not the pen, so you haven't proven your case, that I held a pen in my hand at sometime in my life.

Then, say that you came across a piece of paper that had writing on it in ink, and you said, "Well, gee, that looks kind of like that paper with the writing on it in pencil." So then, you take it to a handwriting expert, and he compares it, and he says that, "In my opinion, the person that wrote on this paper with pencil was the same person that wrote on this paper with the pen."

That's circumstantial evidence.

Or, say, for example that you didn't have the piece of paper with the writing with the pencil on it, but say that I just told you, "At some time in my life, I have had a pen in my hand."

That's -- I confess to it. Confession is circumstantial evidence.

4-3

đ,

2

1

3

5

6

7 .8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

ļ7

18

19

20 21

22

23

.24

25

26 4a fol

35

28

27

so, do all of you feel that you kind of have the idea of the difference between direct and circumstantial evidence?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. NAY: Now, his Honor, Judge Choate, will instruct you at the end of this case that in the eyes of the law, direct and circumstantial evidence are to be treated equally.

In other words, circumstantial evidence is not favored over direct evidence, and vice versa.

Would you all follow that instruction of Judge Choate?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Now, understanding that a person can be convicted of first degree murder, based on circumstantial evidence alone, do any of you feel that this is unfair, and that the law in this regard should be changed?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

AR. KAY: You all feel that that's fair? THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Now, in this trial, ladics and gentlemen, the prosecution will not offer eyewitness testimony to either one of the murders, either the murder of Gary Hinman or the murder of Donald Jerome Shea.

Do any of you feel that, because the prosecution will not offer this direct evidence, do any of you feel that you would not convict a defendant without eyewitness testimony?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

4a - 1

ં જૂ

2

1

3

5.

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21,

22

24

3.2

ð, _

23

25 26

27

28

MR. KAY: Is that clear in all of your minds?

I notice that Mrs. Sims didn't answer.

JUROR NO. 5: Yes, I did answer.

MR. KAY: Oh, you did? I missed that. It's hard to follow 12 people all at the same time.

Would any of you require any particular type of evidence, require the prosecution to put on any particular type of evidence, before you'd convict a defendant?

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think that's asking them to prejudge the evidence. I would object to that.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MR. KAY: Now, under our law, a mirder committed in the course of a robbery is automatically murder of the first degree. It's known as the felony murder rule.

In other words, the discretion is kind of taken away from the jurors. They say: "If you find that the defendant had the specific intent to commit a robbery, and he did commit a robbery, and there was a murder committed in the course of that robbery, it's automatically murder of the first degree."

Do any of you feel that this is unfair?
THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: And would you follow the Judge's instructions in this regard?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Now, I believe that the evidence will show in this case that in the Hinman murder, that the body of Gary Hinman was recovered; but again, the prosecution alleges

4a-2

څ \$

3 7

that Donald Jerome Shea was murdered by the defendant and others, and the evidence will show that Mr. Shea's body was not recovered.

Now, in this regard, the prosecution is required by -- is not required by law to produce the body of the victim in a murder case. In this regard, we are required only to prove that there was a death -- in other words, that the victim died -- and that the death was caused by a criminal agency.

For example, that the victim was murdered. Do any of you quarrel with that law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: And would you all promise to follow the Court's instructions in this regard?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Now, when the body is found, there is direct evidence of the death. In other words, the body has been found. If the body has not been found, the prosecution must put on circumstantial evidence of the death of the victim.

Do you quarrel with the law that permits the death of a victim of a murder case to be proven by circumstantial evidence? Do any of you quarrel with that law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: And I take it again, you would -- would you all promise to follow the Judge's instructions in this regard?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Does it offend anyone's sense of justice or fair play that a person can be convicted of first degree

28

2

ರ್ಷ

murder, where the death of the victim is proved by circumstantial evidence?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: Do any of you think that that's wrong, that a person can be convicted of first degree murder, where, for example, the prosecution has proven the death of the victim by circumstantial evidence, although his body has been found? Do any of you quarrel with that law?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: And would you all promise again to follow the Judge's instructions in this regard?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR, KAY: You understand that our law is not such that it would reward a person for being clever enough to hide the body of the victim, the murder victim; you understand that?

MR. DENNY: Well, I'll object to that. That's not a question. That's a statement.

THE COURT: Sustained. It is a statement, and it's argumentative.

MR. KAY: Would you all --

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, excuse me. But I will move that the jury be instructed specifically to disregard that.

THE COURT: All right. The Court strikes the question, the last question put by Mr. Kay -- or, Mr. Kay's last statement.

If the Court orders anything stricken, ladies and gentlemen -- and I'm speaking to those of you in the box and beyond the rail -- you are to treat it as though you'd never

heard it.

Go ahead, Mr. Kay.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Mrs. Izaguirre, we'll start with you again.

MRS. ELVERA IZAGUIRRE

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Izaguirre, if you believed that Mr. Davis was guilty of first degree murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that crime, even though no -- the body of the murder victim had not been found?

A Yes.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty in a murder case where the prosecution was unable to find the body of the murder victim?

A No.

1

MR. KAY: Would you pass the microphone on to Mr. Craven?

2

3

5

-6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20-

21

22

23

24

...

3.

25

26

27

28

JONATHAN CRAVEN

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mr. Craven, if you believe that Mr. Davis was guilty of first degree murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that crime, even if the body of the murder victim was not found?

A Yes.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty in a murder case where the body of the murder victim had not been located?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Will you please pass the microphone on to Mr. Lear?

RAYMOND LEAR

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mr. Lear, if you believed that Mr. Davis was guilty of the crime of murder, first degree murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him in a case, even if the body of the murder victim had not been found?

A Yes, I would.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty in a murder case where the body of the murder victim had not been found?

A No, I would not.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the

4b-2

microphone to Mrs. Dubois?

2

1

3

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 ÷

25

26

27

28

LOLETA M. DUBOIS

BY MR. KAY:

Mrs. Dubois, if you believed that Mr. Davis was guilty of first degree murder -- and of course, you understand, with all the jurors, that I'm talking about Count III, the murder of Donald Jerome Shea?

A Yes.

If you believe that he was guilty of that murder beyond a reasonable doubt -- and this is, of course, the only burden that the prosecution has -- would you vote to convict him of that crime, even though the body of the murder victim had not been found?

A Yes.

And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty, in a murder case where the body of a victim had not been found?

> A No.

Thank you. Would you please pass the MR. KAY: microphone to Mrs. Sims.

AUDREY SIMS

BY MR. KAY:

Mrs. Sims, if you believed that Mr. Davis was quilty of first degree murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, in Count III -- the Shea murder -- would you vote to convict him of that crime, even though Mr. Shea's body had not been

4b-3	
:25	1
	2
	3
3 £	4
* #	5
1	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
<u>.</u>	14
	15
*	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
~ <u>4</u>	24
80	25
? *	26
	27
_	28

found?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Α Yes.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that Count, because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

No. Ά

Thank you. Would you please pass the MR. KAY: microphone to Mr. Cano?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Thank you.

YGNACIO CANO

BY MR. KAY:

Mr. Cano, if you believed that the prosecution had Q proven that Mr. Davis was guilty of the murder of Mr. Shea, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that murder, even though Mr. Shea's body has not been found?

Yes. A

And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that Count, on the Shea murder, because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

Α No.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Would you please pass the microphone to Mrs. Dunn?

MARY G. DUNN

BY MR. KAY:

Mrs. Dunn, on the Shea murder, again, which we Q

4b-4

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3.

1 1

25

26

27

28

are talking about, if you believed that Mr. Davis was guilty of the Shea murder beyond a reasonable doubt -- which, again, is the prosecution's only burden in this case, the only legal burden -- would you vote to convict him of that crime, even though Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A Yes.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that Count, because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the microphone to Mrs. Melendez?

RACHEL MELENDEZ

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Melendez, if you believed, on the Count III, on the Shea murder, that Mr. Davis was guilty of that murder beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that murder, even though Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A Yes.

And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that Count -- again, we are talking only now about Count III -- because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the microphone to Mr. DeLoach?

TOMMY DeLOACH

-1b-5

ð,

BY MR. IUNY:

Mr. DeLoach, if you believe that the prosecution proved Mr. Davis guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the murder of Donald derome thea, would you vote to convict him of that murder, even though Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A Yes.

imposition of the death penalty in a murder -- well, in Count III, the Shea murder -- just because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body has not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the microphone to hrs. Fatherree?

YVOIME FATHERREE

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Fatherree, if you believed that the prosecution, on Count III, on the murder of Donald Jerome bhea, proved Mr. Davie was guilty of that murder beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that murder, even though fir. Sheats body has not been found?

A Yes.

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of death penalty on Count III, because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Would you please pass the microphone to Miss Bourgeois?

MARIE A. BOURGEOIS

BY MR. KAY:

Q Miss Bourgeois, if you believed that the prosecution demonstrated in the evidence produced here in the courtroom that Mr. Davis was guilty of the murder of Mr. Shea, beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that murder, even though Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A Yes.

2

3

1

4

5

6

8

9.

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

3;

\$;

25

26 27

28

Q And would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that particular murder because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Would you please pass the microphone on to Mrs. Muldrow.

MRS. EDDIE M. MULDROW

BY MR. KAY:

Q Mrs. Muldrow, if you believe that by the evidence in this courtroom it was demonstrated to you that Mr. Davis was guilty of the murder of Mr. Shea beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that crime even though Mr. Shea's body has not been found?

A Yes.

Q Would you automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty on that count because of the fact that Mr. Shea's body had not been found?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you. That's -- take this back.

Now, Mr. Denny has asked some of you questions about if you got into the jury room and it was 11 to 1, 11 jurors against your position, would you not change your position if you believed in it. I certainly concur in that. If you believed in your position, nobody wants you to change it.

But will you all promise that you'll discuss the

.3

 evidence and the case with your fellow jurors and that you'll all be reasonable in doing so?

Will you promise me that?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Sometimes a juror might go into the jury room, and as soon as they start deliberations make a comment about the case, "Well, I think thus and so," and then they'll feel since they've made their position known, they wouldn't want to change their position. That it might be losing face and they'll be stubborn about it.

Will all of you promise that you won't do this? THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Yes.

MR. KAY: Now, can any of you, other than Mr. DeLoach, who I've already talked to you on this matter, -- can any of you think of any reason why you could not give the People of the State of California or the defense a fair trial in this case?

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: No.

MR. KAY: Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen.

I pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: The first peremptory challenge is with the People.

MR. KAY: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I wonder if the Court might take the opportunity to question Mr. Deloech, in view of his statements to Mr. Kay?

THE COURT: The Court sees no reason to question Mr.

10[.]

DeLoach. The simple fact that he doesn't wish to serve on the jury doesn't, in the Court's opinion, disqualify him. If he --

MR. DENNY: May I inquire, then, your Honor?

THE COURT: If either of you wish to inquire, you may.

MR. TOMMY DeLOACH

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Mr. DeLoach, it is important, obviously, for us to have your feelings in this respect and, certainly, they will be respected as far as counsel will be concerned. But this is going to be a long trial. It is going to be an arduous trial. Any murder case is.

Any murder case of this complexity and length, it is going to be doubly so. And is it your feeling solely from a physical standpoint that you don't think physically you will be able to put up with this or is there some other thing that makes you state to Mr. Kay that you just don't feel you can sit through it?

- A Yes, it is physical. Physically, you know.
- Q In what respect, sir?
- A I just don't like this position I'm going to be in for the next three or four months, you know, maybe.
- Q Well, let's hope it is not three or four months.

 None of us posited that long a period. But it could be two
 or three months certainly, two and a half months, three at
 the most, I would expect, and is it just that because of the
 nature of your work, whatever, you're just not used to assuming

a position --

A That's what it is.

Q -- a position for this long?

And do you feel, sir, under the circumstances you would be so uncomfortable in that position that you could not give your full attention to the evidence as it comes from the witness stand there by the Judge?

A Yes.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would, then, challenge the juror at this point. I'm not sure under which section.

THE COURT: Both counsel stipulate?

MR. KAY: Yes, we'll stipulate with defense counsel.

MR. DENNY; So stipulated.

THE COURT: Mr. Deloach, thank you. The Court excuses you.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, sir.

MR. KAY: Thank you, Mr. DeLoach. Thank you for being candid.

5a-1 THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, let's 1 take one name from the -- about six remain; is there about 2 six of the other panel? THE CLERK: Yes. Ś Miss Delilah Frazier, D-e-l-i-l-a-h, last name б. F-r-a-z-i-e-r. 7 8. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 9 DELILAH FRAZIER 10 BY THE COURT: 11 Miss Frazier, have you been present since Monday 12 during all of the proceedings except when you were excluded by 13 the Court? 14 Α Yès. 15 And you heard the Court's explanation of the nature Q of this case, heard the Court's reading of the indictment, did 16 17 you not? 18 Yes. And to those questions of a general nature that I 19 20 put to the prospective jurors, would your answers be any 21 different than the majority have responded to those questions? 22 A No. 23 Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be 0 24 fair and impartial in this case? 25 A No. 26 Or why you should not sit as a juror in this case? Q 27 No, I can't. A 28

What has been your jury experience?

Q

		†
1	A	None.
2	Q	Is this your first case of any type?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And are you employed?
5	A	Yes. I work for the County as a cashier.
6	,Q	How long have you been employed by the County?
7	A	For two years.
8	Q	And you work as a cashier in what department?
9	Ą	Department of Public Social Services.
10	Q	It is Miss Frazier?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	In what general area do you reside?
13	A	West L. A.
14	Q [.]	Are you related to or a friend of any law
15	enforcement	officer?
16	A	No.
17	, Q	You've heard the Court's explanation of the law
18	concerning	the penalty phase, have you not?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you believe you fully understand it?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Do you realize, then, that the question of whether
23	life impriso	onment or death will be imposed upon somebody who is
24	convicted or	f murder of the first degree lies with the jury and
25	it is a mat	ter for the jury's discretion?
26	A	Yes.
27	Q	Then, realizing that, do you have such feelings
28	concerning	the death penalty that you would automatically refuse

84

éş

to impose it in any case?

ġ

٠.

A No, I don't.

Q Would you automatically, upon a conviction of murder in the first degree impose it without looking at or regarding the evidence?

A No.

Q Or are your feelings such concerning it that you would be unable to be fair and impartial in determining the question of quilt or innocence in the first phase?

A No.

THE COURT: All right, we'll excuse everyone until 2:00 o'clock. Remember the admonition that I have heretofore given you, that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

When you return, if you return a little early so the bailiff can call your names to determine if you are present, no one is to absent himself without permission of the Court.

Return -- let's see, do we have a courtroom available?

THE BAILIFF: No, sir, the hallway.

THE COURT: You'll have to return to the hallway, that lovely hallway. I'm sorry, we don't have another place for you, but with the exception of Miss Frazier, whom I would like to be in her seat -- I would like to have you in your seat at 2:00 o'clock in the jury box where you are. The

```
others of you should be outside, then, at 2:00 o'clock.
1
2
                   All right, you are excused, until then.
3
                   (Whereupon, 12:04 P. M. the noon recess was taken.)
.5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

J-,

6 - 1LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 3, 1971 2:05 P.M. 2 2 THE COURT: Let the record show the defendant is present ∛ំ ទូ with his counsel, and Miss Frazier is in the box. 5 Is that right? € 2 б PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 10: Yes. 7 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 9 DELILAH FRAZIER 10 BY THE COURT: 11 Miss Frazier, we want to find out from you what 12 you may have heard, seen or read concerning this case before 13 Monday, when I first told you about it, when I told you --14 read the indictment to you and told you something of the case. 15, Have you ever heard the name -- have you ever 16 heard about the case before? 17 Yes. I have. 18 In what connection? 19 I just read about Charles Manson. 20 Charles Manson? 21 MR. DENNY: Excuse me, your Honor. Could we get the 22 microphone for the juror? 23 BY THE COURT: Had you heard about these charges 24 . in this indictment before? 25 26 No. When was the last time you heard, saw or read 27 28 anything about Charles Manson? How long ago?

7 fls.

as a result of what you've heard, seen or read, suffer any prejudice in your mind?

In other words, would you be prejudiced against that person, so that you would be unable to give that person a fair trial?

A No, sir.

Q If I were to tell you that you were to set aside —
if I were to instruct you that you were to set aside anything
that you may have heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson, about
Mr. Davis — if you should remember anything concerning Mr.
Davis or this case — if I were to tell you that you were
to set anything that you may have heard, seen or read, would
you be able to do that, and decide this case only on the
evidence produced in the case, and the Court's instructions of
law?

A Yes, sir.

	1	
7-1	1	Q Are you sure about your capability of doing that?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Will you do that?
₩. <u></u>	4	A Yes, I will.
*	5	Q And can you be fair and impartial in this case?
¥ 6	6	A Yes.
	7	THE COURT: Mr. Denny.
	8	MR. DENNY: Yes, thank you.
•	9	
	10	DELILAH FRAZIER
	11	BY MR. DENNY:
	12	Q Miss Frazier, do you subscribe to any newspaper
	13	yourself?
,	14	A No, I don't.
i	15	Q Or do you live with a family that does?
`	16	A No.
	17	Q Do you what is the main source of your news,
	18	news of the day?
	19	A Mostly TV, the news on TV.
	20	Q And do you have a regular news program that you
	21	watch daily?
	22	A No, I don't,
	23	Q Well, do you regularly listen to the radio at
5 _k	24	all at any time during the day?
* <u>\$</u>	25	A Maybe in the morning. No news station, you know.
-	26	Q Listen to music station, generally?
	27	A Yes, uh-huh.
	28	Q Get your news in little dibs and dabs?

1	A Right.	
2	Q That come over the	music station?
3	A Right.	
4	Q And I take it you	don't follow crime cases as
5	they're reported, particularly	, do you?
6	A No, I don't.	
7	Q I take it, however	, that at the time of this
8.	murder of Sharon Tate and the	others, this was some topic
9	of conversation among you and	your friends?
10	A Yes.	,
11	Q And you work at the	e Department of Public Social
Ĭ2	Services?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Here for the Count	y.
15	Located where?	
16	A On Adams and Grand	
17	Q And in the course	of discussions after Mr. Manson
18	had been arrested, and there w	as a good deal of publicity
19	at that time through the paper	s and TV and radio, did you
20	happen to hear, see or read any	ything about Mr. Manson's
21	arrest and those of some other	members of the so-called
22	Manson Family?	
23	A I read something al	oout it. I don't recall what
24	it was.	
25	Q Did you ever read,	hear or see anything about any
26	philosophy that Mr. Manson may	have had concerning black
27	people or black and white people	Le?
28	A No.	•

1	Q Ever talk to anybody about it?
	A No.
2	
3	Q And when a trial that's been called the Tate-
4	La Bianca trial went to trial, do you remember that at all?
5	A No, I don't.
6	Q Do you remember whether Mr. Manson was found
7	guilty or not?
8	A I remember, I think hewas sentenced to death on
9	one charge, found guilty.
10	Q And do you recall whether he was tried with
11	anyone at that time?
12	A No, I don't.
13	Q Do you remember reading anything about some
14	girls who were supposed to have been involved in this Tate
15	and La Bianca murders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And do you remember reading anything about the
18	fact that they were tried along with him?
19 ;	A No, I don't.
20	Q All right.
21	And do you have some recollection of hearing
22	about Mr. Manson in connection with some court proceedings
23	within about the last couple of weeks; is that right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recall whether it was something you read
26	or something you saw on TV or something you heard on the
27	radio?
28	A I think I saw it on TV.

i,

Q And do you know was it in connection with his being tried for some other charge?

- A I don't remember what it was, really.
- Q You didn't pay any particular attention to it?
- A No.
- Q All right.

And I think you said as far as what the term Manson Family means to you, it is a group or people that killed Sharon Tate?

- A Were accused of killing them.
- Q I was just about to say they were accused of killing her.

All right, you've been sitting in the courtroom too long.

Well, if I told you that it is a fact that Mr.

Manson and some members of the so-called Manson Family were
found guilty, then, your statement would be correct that some
members of the Family, at least, were found guilty of killing
Sharon Tate and others; do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Q All right.

Now, do you understand that this so-called Manson Family -- there were a lot of people involved in it, a lot of people who were connected with it or had something to do with it at one time or another; do you understand that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Do you have any feeling now, again, because of your answer on this, that the Manson Family in your mind is

٠<u>٠</u>

SΣ

the group that killed or even was accused of killing Sharon Tate?

Do you have in your mind now that everybody who had anything to do with Charlie Manson or who might be said to have been a member of the Manson Family, as it's been called, because of the connection of the Manson Family with the death of Sharon Tate and others, must, therefore, be guilty of any crimes charged against them?

- A No. sir.
- Q Or was probably guilty?
- A No. sir.
- Q Or is likely to be guilty?
- A No.

Q So, at this point in your mind, the mere fact that there may be some connection between, say, this defendant and Charles Manson, doesn't make you feel automatically, to use that funny little word, this defendant is probably guilty of the crimes charged against him?

- A No, sir.
- Q All right.

And does the Spahn Ranch mean anything to you?

A No.

Q Did you ever read anything about Shorty Shea and the Spahn Ranch, people looking for Shorty Shea at the Spahn Ranch?

A No. I heard the name Shorty Shea, but I didn't remember -- know anything about him.

Q I take it, then, from the answers to all of these

questions that no matter what small things you may have heard 1 or you may remember about anything connected with Charlie 2 3 Manson or the Manson Family or anything, that that's not going to have any bearing, whatsoever, if you are seated as 5 a juror in this case on your determination of the guilt or 6 innocence of Mr. Davis for the crimes that he's charged -and at this point only charged with, having committed? 8 That's right. A MR. DENNY: Your Honor, at this point are we simply to 10 question on the publicity issue, as the Court --14 THE COURT: Have we talked about the penalty phase 12 with her? 13 You may, if you wish. 14 MR. DENNY: I don't believe we had. THE COURT: Go ahead. 16 Or I'll ask these standard questions. 17 asked her --18 MR. DENNY: I think you did, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Did I ask you about the death penalty? 20 I think I did. 21 MR. DENNY: Whether she would automatically impose 22 or refuse to impose --23 JUROR NO. 10: Yes, you did ask me. 24 THE COURT: All right, you may question her on that, if 25 you wish. 26 BY MR. DENNY: Now, you were present in court 27 during the time I questioned some of the jurors concerning 28 the death penalty, weren't you? Yes.

8 fls.

Ú,

₹ _{\$}

I haven't

_	
	1
	2
	3
÷	4
रें इंड	5
Ťý	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
)	15
*	16
	17
	18
	19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ţ.,

Q	And	l yo	u w	ere	pres	sent	in	court	when	Mr.	Кау
questioned	all	of	the	ju	rors	this	mo	rning			

A Yes.

Q -- on it? And -- so the questions I ask are going to be essentially the same; that is, whether you had done any kind of reading on the subject of the death penalty --

A No, I hadn't.

Q -- before? Or discussed it in bull sessions or rap sessions with people at all?

A No.

Q And since becoming a potential member of this jury, as of Monday, have you thought a good deal about it?

A I have thought about it.

And having thought about it, do you have any feelings — particularly insofar as the type of case, the type of murder case, particularly — in which you would feel that if a person committed that kind of murder, you would feel compelled to give that person the death penalty?

A No. sir.

Q Is there any type of case, any type of murder, that you could think of as you sit there, which, in your mind, is such an offensive act, such an offensive type of murder, that once having found a person guilty of that type of murder, you would say, "That person should get the death penalty"?

A No. sir.

Q In other words, as far as you are concerned, no matter how heinous the offense might be, you would want to weigh all of the evidence, all of the facts, before you would

č.

say, "Well, this defendant, even though he may be accused of this crime, should either get life or death"?

A Right.

Now, conversely, do you feel that there's anything wrong at all -- do you think that you would be in any way violative of your duty as a juror in returning a sentence of life imprisonment --

A No. I don't.

Q This is assuming, of course, you even get to that point.

But if you felt, in your good conscience, that this certainly was not a death penalty case, would you have any reluctance, any hesitancy at all, in saying, "I certainly think this is no more than life imprisonment, and that's all I'd vote for, and this is all I will vote for"?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you feel you would be doing your duty as a juror under those circumstances?

A Yes.

Now, again, do you have any feeling, as you sit there, that it takes any more courage, any more strength of character to bring in a verdict of life imprisonment than it does to bring in a verdict of death?

A No. sir.

Q Is there anything at all, as you sit there, considering specifically these two aspects that I have been questioning you on -- namely, what you've seen, heard or read about the case, or your feelings about what they call capital

美

.

punishment — execution, killing a person by lethal gas here in California — is there anything at all about those areas that makes you feel that you would not want to sit in judgment on this case?

A No. sir.

MR. DENNY: All right. Fine. I'll pass, your Honor, at this time on those subjects.

MR. KAY: Well, are we going to do the general voir dire of this juror, too, before the panel comes in?

Because --

THE COURT: Well, perhaps -- if you have any questions on the death penalty, why don't you do that? In view of the way I've started?

And then we'll take the -- put the balance of the panel in the box, and then each of you can question generally.

MR. KAY: Okay.

THE COURT: But since I've started this way, let's proceed in that way.

MR. KAY: Okay.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAY:

Q Now, Miss Frazier, you were in the audience this morning when I asked the other jurors questions concerning the death penalty, were you not?

A Yes.

Now, I'm going to ask you some of those same

questions. 1 2 Before you came into this courtroom on Monday, did you think about the death penalty before? 3 Α No. You never thought about it before at all? Q 5 A No. б Q And I take it that since you came into the 7 8 courtroom on Monday, that you have thought about it? 9 A Yes, I have. Q 10 And have you determined in your own mind whether or not you can impose the death penalty, if you feel the 11 12 evidence warrants it in this case? 13 A Yes, I could. 14 Q You feel that you could? 1 15 A Yes. There's no doubt about that at all in your mind? 16 Q 17 No, sir. A 18 Q Now, do you feel that you could personally 19 participate in a verdict of death? That is, when Mrs. Holt --20 when you came in with your verdict, and Mrs. Holt asked you, 21 along with the other members of the jury, "Is this your 22 verdict?" -- and she would do that juror by juror -- you under-23 stand, as I explained to the other jurors, that all twelve 24 jurors, in order to have the death penalty, must participate in 25 that verdict, and they must all vote for death. 26 But do you feel that you have the courage to tell 27 Mr. Denny and Mr. Davis, when Mrs. Holt asks you about your 28 verdict, that that is your verdict?

Ċ.

÷

.

29

A

Yes.

8a

1[.]

•

ď,

Q Would you consider at all finding Mr. Davis guilty of less than first degree murder, to avoid the responsibility of determining whether he should get life imprisonment or die in the gas chamber?

A No.

Q And you understand that the issue of capital punishment is up to the sole discretion of the jurors? The attorneys aren't going to help you -- Mr. Manzella, myself and Mr. Denny -- and his Honor, Judge Choate, is not going to help you.

It's up to your sole discretion for you to determine whether or not Mr. Davis gets the death penalty or life imprisonment; do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And are you willing to assume this responsibility?

A Yes, I am.

Q Do you feel that any moral or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the death penalty in this case?

A No.

Q And have you ever expressed the personal opinion that you felt that capital punishment should be abolished in the State of California?

A No.

Q Do you have any close friends or relatives whom you feel oppose the death penalty, whom you feel might try and exert pressure on you to vote for life imprisonment, if you were selected as a juror in this case?

1 Α No. Ź 0 And do you belong to or sympathize with any organizations which might oppose capital punishment? 3 No. 5 MR. KAY: I pass for cause on the death penalty, your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Very well. 8 MR. KAY: Thank you, Miss Frazier. 9 THE COURT: Bring the balance of the panel in, then, 10 would you? 11 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 12 (Pause in the proceedings while the members of the 13. prospective jury panel filed into the courtroom.) 14 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench 15 briefly. I don't think we need the reporter. 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 (Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench 18 among Court and Counsel, which were not reported by the 19 reporter.) 20 THE COURT: The record will show that all prospective 21 jurors are in the box, and the defendant is present with his 22 counsel. 23 Mr. Denny, you may proceed. 24 You were talking to Mrs. -- or, Miss Frazier. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Your Honor? 26 THE COURT: Mr. Cano? 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: May I ask a question of 28 Mr. McKay?

Ç.,

1	THE COURT: Mr. Kay?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Mr. Kay, when you
8	MR. KAY: Yes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: questioned us on if we
5	had a criminal charge against us, did you say "No traffic"?
6	MR. KAY: No traffic.
7	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: How about something else on traffic?
9	
-	MR. KAY: Anything other than traffic.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Like in 1937 or '38, I
11	think I was in a China lottery.
12	Is that a crime?
13	(Laughter.)
14	MR. KAY: I certainly appreciate your thinking about it.
15.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Well, I have been thinking
16	about it.
17	I have been thinking about another one, too.
18	(Laughter.)
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Is it a crime or not I
20	don't know, but it was also a traffic
21	MR. KAY: If you don't know, it probably wasn't a
22	crime.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: It was a traffic well, I
24	hope it wasn't. It was a 502 citation.
25	MR. KAY: That s a drunk driving. Yeah. Well, that s
26	traffic, in a way.
27	Basically, the reason I asked that question,
28	Mr. Cano, was because I wanted to know if there was anything .

. .

if a person did answer that question affirmatively -- say that he had a brother or something that had been arrested, or maybe even tried for some type of a crime, whether or not anything happened in any of those cases which would prejudice him against the prosecution or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Oh, I see.

MR. KAY: -- or law enforcement in general.

I take it you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: No. Well, we did appear, and the penalty was a fine, and we paid -- or, complied with the law, I quess.

MR. KAY: I'm sure we did.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yeah, we did. And then I kept on wondering about it, you know, since you asked the question.

MR. KAY: That's right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Since you brought it out. I don't want to think that I am misleading you in any way what-soever. I had no intention at all.

MR. KAY: I certainly appreciate that, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Thank you. Now, I feel more freer than I was before.

(Laughter.)

8b-1	1
	2
	2 3
<i>*</i> _	4
T. 3.	5
* <u>Ç</u>	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
y	14
	15
ð	16
	17
	18
	19 [.]
	20
	21
	22
	23
*%	24
	25
3.	26
	27
	28

THE COURT: Does anyone else went to confess?

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cano.

Go shead, Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: I'm wondering -- must I confess, too?

MR. KAY: We know about you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF THE DELILAH FRAZIER

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Mrs. -- Miss Frazier, have you or any friend or loved one ever been the victim of --

THE COURT: They can't hear you.

MR. DENNY: I'm sorry.

Q Have you or any friend or loved one ever been the victim of a crime of any kind?

A No. sir.

Q Now, you heard Mr. Kay talk about circumstantial evidence a little bit, and I think you were here when the Court read some instructions concerning circumstantial evidence, were you?

A Yes.

Q All right.

And about this business of circumstantial evidence, did you hear me ask some of the jurors about this portion of the instruction that the Judge read, that where there are --- where the People's case rests chiefly or wholly on circumstantial evidence, and you look at that evidence, and there

8b-2

Я

19.

3.2

are two reasonable interpretations of that evidence, and one points to guilt and one points to innocence, that the law requires you to adopt that which points to innocence? Do you recall that?

A Yes.

Now, do you feel that there's anything unfair in a circumstantial evidence case, a criminal charge, in that law, that type of law, which says, where there is this kind of dual interpretation that can be given to evidence, that you must adopt that which is in favor of the defendant, in favor of innocence? And you can't adopt that which would favor the prosecution, where both interpretations are reasonable interpretations?

Do you think there's anything unfair about that?

A No.

Q And assuming you are seated to sit as a juror in this case, would you have any reluctance, any hesitancy whatsoever, in applying that law to the evidence in this case, that you hear?

A No, sir.

Q All right. Now, again, it's been sometime since I've asked any of the jurors -- Mr. Kay has mentioned, and the Judge has mentioned, and I have -- but there are three separate counts in this indictment. And each one is a separate count.

Now, the Judge will tell you at the end of the case -- or sometime during the case -- that you are not permitted to kind of carry over your feelings about guilt or

innocence in one, in order to establish the guilt or innocence of another. Each one must be separately considered.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

And do you have any feeling now, as you sit there, that -- "Well, heaven's sakes. If the guy's got three counts against him, chances are he must have done something."?

A No.

28.

You understand he could have 15 or 20 Counts against him, but the number of Counts he has against him is not evidence against him at all; do you understand that?

A Yes, I do.

Q And you're not going to have any feeling at all that, well, where there's smoke there must be fire? If they've got three Counts, maybe he's at least guilty of one?

A No.

Q Unless the People prove that one, that two, that three, to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty; is that correct?

A Yes, it would have to be proven.

Q As to each Count?

A Yes.

Q Now, again, Mr. Kay mentioned something about circumstantial evidence and a confession being circumstantial evidence or a part of circumstantial evidence.

And we've talked about some -- earlier, to some of the other jurors, about accomplice testimony being testimony that must be viewed with caution, that is the concept of the law; do you understand that?

A Yes.

A

Q Now, there's another concept of law, and that is that when someone purports to relate an oral admission or a confession, as Mr. Kay talked about, that ought to be viewed with caution.

Now, do you have any quarrel with that?
No. sir.

1	Q There are plenty good reasons that the law takes
-2	that into account, and you would follow that instruction,
3	assuming you got that instruction from the Court?
4	A Yes, I would.
5	Q And look a little cautiously at the testimony
6	of someone who is purported to relate an oral admission or
7	confession; is that right?
8	A Yes.
9.	MR. DENNY: All right, I'll pass for cause, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Kay.
11	MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.
12	Back to my stand again.
13	
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KAY:
16	Q Mrs. Frazier, can you think of any reason why you
17	could not or should not sit as a juror in this case?
18	A No.
19	Q You know, I asked a question like I asked of the
20	man, Mr. DeLoach, who preceded you in that seat, like if you
21	have some reason in your mind like you didn't want to talk
22	about it, he or she, he'd rather not be a juror, and this
23	question gives you a chance to say you don't want to sit.
24	But that's generally why I ask that question.
25	Do you feel it is your firm position that the
26	prosecution in this case, the People of the State of
27	California, are entitled to an equal fair trial with the
28	defense?

٥,

I	A Yes.
2	Q Do you feel you have any sympathy for Mr. Davis
3	because he is a defendant in this case?
4	A No.
5 .	Q Now, did you understand when questioning the other
6	jurors about reasonable doubt and the fact that that the
7	prosecution's only legal burden in this trial and what it
8	means?
9	A Yes.
10	Q I think you understood that pretty well?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You wouldn't hold us to any higher burden of proof,
13	such as proving a defendant guilty beyond a shadow of a doubt
14.	or beyond an absolute certainty? The burden of the People is
15	just to prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
16	A Yes.
17	Q You understand that?
1 8	A Yes.
19	Q And you wouldn't hold us to any higher standard?
20	A No.
21	Q You wouldn't hold us to any higher standard because
22	it is a murder case rather than being a burglary or robbery?
23	You understand the standard is the same in all criminal cases,
24	even 502 s?
25	A Yes.
26	(Laughter.)
27.	Q BY MR. KAY: And did you understand what I said
28	about presumption of innocence? That the presumption of

innocence	e lasts only until the defendant has been proven guilty
beyond a	reasonable doubt and
A	Yes.
Q	Would you follow that?
À	Yes.
Q	Have you or have any of your close friends or
relatives	s ever been charged with or accused of a crime other
than a tr	caffic offense?
A	No.
Q	I figure that everybody's had a traffic offense,
so that d	doesn't I've had a traffic offense.
	I take it you haven't sat on a jury before?
A	No, I haven't.
Q	Do you feel to any degree, whatsoever, any bias
or prejud	lice against police officers to any degree, whatso-
ever?	
A	No.
Q	Do you feel you could treat the testimony fairly
just as y	you would any other witness?
A	Yes.
Q	Have you ever studied law?
A	No, I haven't.
Q	Do you know any criminal defense attorneys?
A	No.
·Q	Do you know any attorneys at all that were involved
with any	of the Manson Family members in any of their criminal
trials?	
A	No.

`16

\$

9a

1	Q Do you know any private detectives?
2	A No, I don*t.
3	Q Now, do you think you understand the difference
4	between direct and circumstantial evidence?
5	A Yes.
6	Q You heard that example I gave? I don't know if it
7	is the clearest example, but I hope it was. And I think it was
8	a simple one to help everybody to understand.
ģ	Now, understanding that a person can be convicted
10	of first degree murder based solely on circumstantial evidence,
11	do you feel that that's unfair?
12	A No, I don*t.
13	Q Would you follow the judge's instructions in that
14	regard?
15 ,	A Yes.
16	Q Now, again, as I stated to the other jurors in this
17	trial, the prosecution, Mr. Manzella and myself, we will not
18	offer eyewitness testimony to either the murder of Gary Hinman
19	or the murder of Donald Jerome Shea.
20	Understanding this, are you of the frame of mind
21	that you would request the prosecution to offer eyewitness
22	testimony before you would convict anybody in a murder case?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q And, again, as I explained to the other jurors,
25	in the felony murder rule, a murder committed in the course of
26	a robbery is automatically murder in the first degree.
27.	Do you feel that that's unfair?
28	A No.

ì	Q The fact that the law kind of takes the discretion
2	away from the jurors to say that if you find that a victim was:
3	murdered in the course of a robbery, then it is automatically
4	murder of the first degree?
5	A No.
6	Q And will you follow the Court's instructions in that
7	regard?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now, again, you heard me state that the evidence in
10	this trial will show that the body of Gary Hinman that's
11	in we're talking about Gary Hinman in Counts No. 1 and
12	Counts 2.
13	And Count No. 1 is the murder Count, and Count
14	No. 2 is the Count where Mr. Davis is charged with conspiring
15	with Charles Manson and Susan Atkins to murder Gary Hinman.
16	But his body was recovered.
17	But in Count No. 3, the murder of Donald Jerome
18	Shea, that his body was not recovered.
19	Now, do you understand that the prosecution was
20	not required by law to produce the body of the murder victim;
21	do you understand that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q In this regard we're required only to prove that
24	there was a death.
25	In other words, that the victim died, and that he
26	died by a criminal agency. For example, that he was murdered.
27	Now, do you quarrel with that law?
28	A No.
	,

۲. پ

*

i,

	i .
1	Q Will you follow the Court's instructions on that
2	law?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, again, where the body is found, there's
5	direct evidence of the death.
6	I mean, you have the body. And where the body
7	is not found, you have circumstantial evidence of the death and
8 -	the law permits the prosecution to introduce circumstantial
9	evidence proving that a person was murdered.
10	Do you have any quarrel with that law?
11	A No.
12	Q And will you follow the Court's instructions on
13	that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And do you think it is unfair at all to allow the
16	prosecution to do this?
17	A No.
18	Q Does it offend your sense of justice or fair play
19	at all, due to the fact that a person can be convicted of
20	first degree murder, where the body of the murder victim has
21	not been recovered?
22	A No.
23	Q And if you believe that Mr. Davis was guilty of
24	first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote
25	to convict him even though Mr. Shea's body has not been
26	recovered?
27	A Yes.
28	Q And would you automatically vote against the

imposition of the death penalty in Count No. 3, which is the 1 murder of Donald Jerome Shea, due to the fact that the 2 prosecution was unable to find Mr. Shea's body? 3 Α No. Will you promise that you'll discuss the case, 0 5 once you're in the jury room, freely with the other jurors and 6 that you'll listen to what they have to say and you'll say 7 what you have to say, and if you have one opinion and some-, 8 body convinces you that it is not possibly a reasonable 9 opinion, that you'll listen to them and won't be too stubborn ... 10 or anything; you promise me that? 11 12 Α Yes. Q All right. 13 And can you think of any reason at all why you 14 can't give the People of the State of California or the 15 16 defendant a fair trial in this case? 17 Α No. Thank you very much. 18 MR. KAY: 19 I pass Miss Frazier for cause, your Honor. 20 Both sides pass the panel for cause? THE COURT: 21 That is correct, we did. MR. KAY: 22 MR. DENNY: Yes. Accordingly, the first peremptory challenge 23 THE COURT: 24 is with the People. 25 The People would respectfully thank and 26 excuse juror No. 3, Mr. Lear. Thank you, Mr. Lear. 27 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lear. 28 The 15th floor, Mr. Lear, new Hall of Records.

```
1
                              Call another name.
            2
                       THE CLERK: Mrs. Adoracion, A-d-o-r-i-c-i-o-n, Diaz,
           3
                D-i-a-z.
                       MR. MANZELLA: Would you spell that first name again,
            4
                please?
            5
9b fql
                       THE CLERK: A-d-o-r-a-c-i-o-n.
            7
            8
            9
           10
           11
           12
           13
           14
           15
           16
           17
           18
           19
           20
           21
           22
           23
           24
           25
           26
           27
           28
```

9b - 1VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 1 MRS. ADORACION DIAZ 2 BY THE COURT: 3 Mrs. Diaz, you have been present during all of the proceedings thus far since Monday? 5 Yes, your Honor. And except, of course, when the Court ordered you out into the hallway? Yes. And you heard the Court's explanation of the 10 nature of this case and some of the Court's instructions, 11 12 is that correct? 13 A Yes, your Honor. 14 Q. Would it be any hardship to you to serve in this 15 case? 16 A Yes. 17 Would you lift the microphone up? O. 18 Yes, your Honor. I am in the family way and it 19 is kind of hard for me to sit for two to three months. 20 I see. Q. 21 What month are you? 22 Well, it is going to six. 23 MR. DENNY: I'd stipulate to excusing her. 24 (Laughter.) 25 THE COURT: I think you'd better hurry home, judging 26 from the amount of discomfort you express. 27 But, yes, the Court thanks you and excuses you. 28 You needn't --

9b - 2	i	How much jury duty do you have left?
). \	2	JUROR NO. 3: Oh, I just started last Monday.
	3	THE COURT: Do you feel as though you are capable of
¥	4	completing the belance of your jury duty?
₹. *	5	JUROR NO. 3: Well, I guess so.
¥	6.	THE COURT: All right, thank you for serving.
	7	JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.
	8	THE COURT: Go to the 15th floor of the Hall of
	9	Records. Go very slowly and take care of yourself.
	10	Let's call another name.
	11	Both lawyers have stipulated?
	12	MR. KAY: Yes, so stipulated.
	13	THE COURT: Thank you.
٤	14	THE CLERK: James M. Burroughs, B-u-r-r-o-u-g-h-s.
.	15	THE COURT: When you get down to one slip, let me know.
á	16	THE CLERK: I have four.
	17	THE COURT: You have four left?
	18	THE CLERK: I have three.
	19	THE COURT: Three.
	20	
4	21	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
	22	JAMES M. BURROUGHS
	23	BY THE COURT:
¥	24	Q Mr. Burroughs, have you been present during all
	25	of the proceedings thus far in this case except for when
` ₹≥	26	the Court ordered you out in the hall; is that correct?
	27	A Yes, your Honor.
•	28	Q And you heard the Court's explanation of the

nature of this case and you heard the Court's questions to 9b - 3ŀ the prospective jurors? 2 A Yes, sir. 3 Would your answers be any different than the majority of the jurors have responded to the Court's 5 questions of a general nature that I first put to the group as a whole? 7 No, sir. 8 Q What has been your jury experience? À None. 10 What type of work do you do? Q. 11 I am retired. I was in the contracting business. Α 12 ., In Southern California? Q 13 A Yes. 14 And --15 Los Angeles. A 16 And the type of business generally, the type of Q 17 contracting? 18 A General contracting. 19 And is there a Mrs. Burroughs? Q 20 A Yes. 21 Q In what area do you and she reside? 22 Southwest Los Angeles. A 23 Are you related to or a friend of any law 24 enforcement officer? 25 No. 26 Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be Q 27 fair and impartial in this case? 28

ž.

26

27

28

1

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

There's only one thing. I lost my father last A month and I'm -- got a letter from the Attorney that I've got -- there's quite a lot of property involved and -- tried to settle the estate back there. I may have to leave. Q. Back where? Back in Oklahoma City. A Would there be any other hardship to you? Q. None. A As a result of service in this case? A Nothing other than that. I may have to be excused to go back there, if possible. Well, once you've undertaken the burden of Q

sitting as a juror, the Court would not be likely to excuse you so you could attend a probate matter back there, you understand. This would take priority as far as this Court is concerned.

That's what I understand and would be a lengthy--

Did the attorney indicate to you what date the hearing would be?

It would probably be between now and the 10th of the month, this month.

And it would necessitate your personal appearance Q. in Oklahoma?

> Yes, that's correct. A

Do you contemplate getting in touch with this attorney, talking to him, writing to him?

Well, he's supposed to call me or write me between A now and the 10th. I'd hate to get started and have this come

I thought I'd better bring it out before we started. THE COURT: Gentlemen. You don't know at this point whether you will be required to go back there or not? JUROR NO. 3: I will. I don't know when. But he indicated it would be between now and the 10th of the month. Now, on the 10th of December, is that correct? Q JUROR NO. 3: Right. THE COURT: Well, there are very few days left. How long would you be gone? JUROR NO. 3: Well, it is hard to tell just how long it will take. There's property to be disposed of and there's quite a bit of property involved in it. 10 fls. 14.

10-1	1	THE CO	URT: Gentlemen?
	2	MR. DE	NNY: Your Honor, may I inquire briefly?
	3	THE CO	URT: Yes, you may.
	4		Any questions from either of you?
ž *	5	MR. DE	NNY: Yes.
# *	.6		
	7		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	8	BY MR. DENNY	
	9	Q	Mr. Burroughs, what is it that you personally are
	10	needed for,	since presumably there's a good attorney working
	11	for you back	there?
	12	A	Well
	13	Q	What is your presence necessary for?
*	14	A	Well, other than being one of the I am the
	15	oldest child	of the
	16 .	BY THE COURT	
	17	Q	Are you the executor of the estate?
	18	A	Well, I guess I would be, yes.
	19	Q	When did your father die?
	20 :	A	It was the last part of last month I mean,
	21	of October.	
	22	Q.	And the petition for probate of the will has been
	23	filed?	
***	24	A	Yes.
	25	Q	And you were named executor in the will?
&	26	A	I I suppose that's what he means by that.
	27	Q	And you must be in the State of Oklahoma in order
 -	28	to qualify;	is that

10-2 I imagine so, yes. A 1 I'll stipulate, your Honor. MR. DENNY: 2 So stipulated, your Honor. MR. KAY: 3 THE COURT: Fine. These gentlemen have agreed that you ê°. may be released. Room -- or rather, floor 15; floor 15 of the 5 New Hall of Records, if you would, Mr. Burroughs. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Thank you. THE CLERK: Mrs. Janet F. Quarles, J-a-n-e-t; last Я name, Q-u-a-r-l-e-s. 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 11 MRS. JANET F. QUARLES 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Mrs. Quarles, were you present during the course 14. of the Court's explanation of the nature of this case, and 15 the Court's questioning of the first group of jurors in the 16 box? 17 Yes, I was. 18 19 Q. Would your answers be any different than the 20 majority of jurors responded to the questions the Court put 21 to the group as a whole? 22 Á No. Would it be any hardship to you to serve as a 23 Q 24 juror in this case? * 25 No, no hardship. Only one thing, your Honor. I 33 26 am planning to go on to Pennsylvania the last two weeks of 27 the month, to see -- for Christmas, and to see my mother. 28 And I don't want the snow to catch me back there, and I

wouldn't want to not be able to get back, and be held in 10-3 1 contempt of court. 2 THE COURT: There's not much I could do to stop the Ì snow. (Laughter.) 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I know. 6 BY THE COURT: When would you be leaving? 7 Q 8 A I'm scheduled to leave the 18th, this month. And I am due to -- my flight back was on the 2nd, which is a 9 Sunday. It's the only one that was open. 10 Have you made --11 Q 12 A Reservations? 13 -- made reservations? Q 14 A Yes. 15 Paid for your ticket yet? Q 16 A No, sir. 17 Reservations are somewhat hard to get this time Q. 18 of year? 19 A Yes, they are, sir. That was a month and a half 20 ago. 21 THE COURT: Any questions? 22 MR. KAY: I think we'll stipulate that she could be 23 excused, your Honor, 24 THE COURT: All right. We may carry through the 20th 3 25 and the 21st, in which case we would need to have you here, ځ. 26 and that would interfere with your plans. 27 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Thank you, your Honor. 28 THE COURT: And both counsel have agreed, and the Court

will agree to excuse you.

MR. KAY: Did you agree on the record, George?.

MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. I nodded my head, your Honor, when Mr. Kay said "Stipulated," but I do so stipulate.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KAY: Thank you, Mr. Denny.

THE CLERK: Miss Barbara J. Gipson; G-i-p-s-o-n.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, is this a member of the new panel?

THE COURT: No, not yet. We have one person -- one slip left. And before we choose another, we'll have to orient the new panel.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MISS BARBARA J. GIPSON

BY THE COURT:

Q Miss Gipson, were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case on Monday and questioned the prospective jurors?

A Yes, I was.

Q Now, would your answers be any different -- and you have been present from time to time since, have you not?

A Yes.

Q Would your answers be any different than the majority of jurors have responded to the Court's questions of a general nature, put to the group as a whole?

A No.

10a fls²⁷

37

25

26

10a-1	1	Q Can you think of any reason why you could not or
	2	should not serve as a juror in this case, including the
	3	question of hardship?
	4	A No.
**	5	Q What has been your jury experience?
*	6	A I have none.
	7	Q And what type of work do you do?
	8	A I am a clerk-stenographer for the City of Los
	9	Angeles, Department of Building & Safety.
	10	Q And was was it Miss?
	11.	A Yes.
	12	Q Miss Gipson? Are you related to or a friend of
	13	any law enforcement officer?
,	14	A No.
	15	Q In what area, general area, do you reside?
3.	16	A Central Los Angeles.
	17	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such
	18	that you would, by reason of those views, be unable to be
	19,	fair and impartial in determining the question of guilt or
	20	innocence?
	21	A No.
	22	Q Or are your views about the penalty such that
	23	you would automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of
Þ	24	the evidence?
•	25	A No.
\$	26	Q Or would you, on the other hand, upon a conviction
Ď	27	of murder in the first degree, in the first phase of the case,
_	28	automatically, in the second phase of the case, vote to

10a-2

impose the death penalty?

A No.

THE COURT: All right. We wish to ask you some questions outside of the hearing of the balance of the panel at this time.

Is that satisfactory?

MR. KAY: Yes, that's satisfactory.

THE COURT: Or do you gentlemen wish to talk with her generally before we proceed?

MR. KAY: It would probably be better just to start with publicity.

MR. DENNY: I suspect so, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We will excuse you all, then, and ask that you wait out in the corridor. We will be talking to Miss Gipson to determine whether or not she has ever heard, seen or read anything of this case, or Mr. Davis, via the news media, publicity, or in any other way; and whether, if she has, she can nevertheless be independent in making any judgment that she might be called upon to make in this case.

While you are outside, you might be thinking about that, as well as any thought that you might have concerning penalty, which you've heard both counsel discuss with prospective jurors, because you will be called upon to answer such questions.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the bench between the Court and the bailiff.)

10a-3 THE COURT: Before you leave the courtroom, however, we 2 will swear the new panel. 3 Would you all please rise? THE CLERK: Please raise your right hands? 5 THE COURT: Raise your right hands, please. б THE CLERK: You and each of you do solemnly swear that 7 you will well and truly answer such questions as may be 8. asked of you touching upon your qualifications to act as a 9 trial juror in the cause now pending before this court, so 10 help you God? 11 THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: I do. 12 THE COURT: Fine. Now, would you all please retire to 13 the corridor? 14 (Pause in the proceedings while the members 15 of the prospective jury panel exited the court-16 room.) 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Miss Gipson, have you ever heard, seen or read 19 anything about this case before you came into the courtroom 20 on Monday? 21 A٠ No. 22 Q. And have you ever heard, seen or read anything about Bruce Davis? 24 A No. 25 Had you heard the name Charles Manson? Q 26 A Yes. 27 Was that in connection with the Tate-La Bianca 28 trial --

10a-4	1	A Yes.
	2	Q the Sharon Tate killing?
	3	A Yes.
في	4	Q And did you follow that in the newspaper?
11 fls.	5	A No.
*\$	6	·
	7	
	8	:
	9	
	10	ı
	11	
	12	•
	13	
•	14	
3	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21 22	
	23	
	24 .	
%	25	
₹,,,	26	
<u> </u>	27	
	28	;
		·

11-1	1	Q	Do you read a newspaper daily?
	· 2	A	No.
	3	Q	Or look at television news?
	4	. A	No.
9	5	Q	Or hear radio daily?
%., -#€	6	A	No.
	7	Q	What are your are your news intake habits, if
	8	any?	
	9	A	Uh, well, maybe occasionally if I am going to
	10	stay up lat	e, I may catch it. Other than that, I don't
	11	Q.	May catch it; you mean a television
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	news report, is that correct?
^	14	A	Yes, uh-huh.
	15	Q	Now, had you heard about the phrase Manson Family?
. The second sec	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	What do you know, or know the Manson Family to be?
	18	A	I can't give you a definition. Just a term that's
	19	been used a	round work. I really didn't listen.
	20	Q.	Well, what do you think they are?
	21	A	I'm at a loss.
	. 22	Q	You say around work?
	23	Á	Uh-huh.
ž	24	Q	Well, what have you heard discussed around work
<u></u>	25	concerning	that group?
3	26	A	Well, mostly their guilt or innocence toward
Õ	27	the Sharon	Tate case.
	28		Excuse me, I think I am losing my voice.
			i

1	Q A little laryngitis?
2	A I hope not.
3	There may there may be pros and cons as to
4	their innocence, guilt, what they felt these people were
5	doing and mostly, I think at one time, there were girls
6	sitting around the courthouse. They talked a lot of times
7	about them being in the Manson Family, but I didn't see
8	them or know anything about it.
9	Q Are you of such a mind that you couldn't be
10	fair and impartial in acting as a juror for a in a trial
11	wherein a Manson Family member was a defendant?
12	A No.
13	Q You could still be fair and impartial in spite
14	of what you'd heard, seen or read concerning the Manson Family
15	to somebody who is a member of the Manson Family?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Have you ever heard the name Shorty Shea before
18	I mentioned it in reading the indictment?
19	A Uh, no, not really.
20	Q Or before I read
21	A Well
22	Q the first count of the indictment? Had you
23	ever heard the name?
24	A I had heard it mentioned, but I didn't connect
25	it with anything.
26	Q I see.
27	In what connection had you heard it mentioned?
28	A Overheard it walking down the hallway

	O Ob T mm a
1	Q Oh, I see.
2	But prior to Monday, had you ever heard it?
3	A No, huh-uh.
4	Q Seen it or read it any place?
5	A No.
6	Q Stuntman Shorty Shea is the reference that's been
7	made in the press.
8.	Would that recall it to your mind?
9	A No, I had not
10	Q Or musician Gary Hinman; had you ever heard it
11	before I read it in the count of the indictment?
12	A No, I hadn't. That was the first knowledge I
13	ever had of his name.
14	Q If you do recall something that you may have
15	heard, seen or read about Shorty Shea, Gary Hinman, Mr. Davis,
16	the Manson Family, and knowing what you know now, from what
17.	you have heard from your friends and picked up from the news
18	media, could you set all such matters aside for the purpose
19	of making an independent judgment in this case, basing your
20	judgment only upon the evidence that's produced here?
21	A Uh-huh. Yes, I could.
22	Q Are you certain about your ability to do that,
23	to set aside such things as you may have heard, seen or read
24	about the Manson Family?
25	A Yes, I am.
26	Q In this case?
27	A Yes.
28	Q Are you sure?

	1	
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And would you do that?
	3°	A Yes.
	4	Q Can you be fair and impartial?
e'	5	A Yes.
·	6	Q And will you be?
	7	A Yes.
	8	THE COURT: Mr. Denny,
	9	MR. DENNY: Yes, thank you, your Honor.
	10	
	11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	12	BY MR. DENNY:
	13	Q Ma'am, you said about Shorty Shea that you heard
•	14	it mentioned, you overheard it mentioned as you were walking
	15	down the hallway here; is that right?
•	16	A No, I'm sorry. He misunderstood me. I meant
	17	at work. I guess after he had been killed a couple of girls
	18	talked about it. They just kind of mentioned, "Did you hear
	19	about Shorty Shea?" That was it. I didn't hear anything
	20	else. And then, later on, after getting in the office, I
	21	asked, "What's this about Shorty Shea?"
	22	And they said he had been killed, but they didn't
fls.	23	say who.
**;	24	
2. *	25	
&	26	
	27	
	28	·

11a

11a-1 You say you went some place or followed after Q 1 these girls? 2 No, while I was walking, before I was even called Α 3 as -- on jury duty, when I was at work. I understand. Q 5 Oh, then, I misunderstood you, then. 6 A How long ago did this incident occur? 7 Q I can't remember when it was. 8 9 Uh, I guess the week after he was announced dead 10 or something. I quess the Monday or whenever it was that he was announced dead. I don't know when it was exactly. 11 12 Well, as you sit there, are you of the opinion that 13 Shorty Shea is dead? No, I couldn't say -- I don't know. I just have 14 Α 15 what was presented before me, that he is --16 Q Well, someone told you. Yeah, that he was dead. So I'm just going on hear-17 18 say. 19 You understand that it is just that, hearsay? Q 20 A Uh-huh. 21 That's not proof at all? Q 22 Yes, I do understand. A 23 That's what a jury is here to determine, whether Q. 24 he is dead or not? 25 Α Right. 4 26 And whether he's been killed by criminal means? Q 27 Uh-huh. A 28 And whether this defendant had anything to do Q

with it, whether if those two things are true in the first 1 place? That's true. A All right. So this information that you 5 received, and you recalled specifically receiving and recall 6 even discussing somewhat, about some announcement that 7 Shorty Shea was dead? 8 A Uh-huh. 9 Do you feel that having that hanging up in the Q. 10 back of your mind here, it is in the forward part of your mind now, because we've been talking about it, but if it 11 12 is in your mind at all do you feel that it is more likely, 13 you being called upon to determine that issue here, it is 14 more likely that you would find that he was dead than not? 15 Yes. A 16 THE COURT: Do you understand the question? 17 I'm not sure. JUROR NO. 3: 18 BY MR. DENNY: I think it is not quite a fair 19 Let me go a little bit further, if I can. question. 20 A Okay. 21 If the evidence presented by the People were 22 somewhat questionable to you, as to whether he was really 23 dead or not, but you had this information that we've talked 24 about now, that there had been an announcement that he 25 was dead --26 A Okay. 27 -- and that you girls had talked about it; Q 28 A Oh.

Q. -- do you feel with that information in the back 1 of your mind that that might be enough to make you feel, 2 well, yes, even though the evidence here is a little 3 questionable with this other information that I got, I would tend to feel that the --5 You mean after I ve gone through the case and 6 listened to whatever happened to present, would I throw out 7 what they said and go by what I overheard? 8 No, no. Taking into account --Q. 9 A Oh, what a --10. -- what the witnesses said --11 Uh-huh. 12 -- and considering the witnesses had given some 13 information one way or the other on whether he was dead or 14 not, but at that point your mind was not certain, you just 15 16 weren't certain --A Oh. 17 -- and then, do you feel that this other 18 information that you got --19 Uh-huh. 20 21 -- sometime back from these girls would be enough 22 to make you certain? 23 No. huh-uh. A 24 In other words, you'd just put that out of your 25 mind no matter what these girls had told you, no matter 26 what a newspaper might have reported; you understand that's 27 not necessarily fact? 28 A Right.

1 Q In this conversation that you had with these 2 girls, was there anything said about anybody looking for him; how they were looking for him? 4 No. You misunderstood me. I wasn't talking to 5 them. I just overheard them. So I didn't even get all of 6 what they said. Just parts of it. 7 Well, the parts that you overheard --8 (Laughing). 9 -- did you overhear the girls talking about 10 somebody looking for him? 11 No, I didn't. I didn't know that the body had 12 not been found. 13 Does the term "Spahn Ranch" ring a bell with you Q 14 at all? 15 Only in terms of the fire, though, the 16 Malibu fire. 17 And what do you remember about that? 18 Well, I think the ranch burned. And on the 19 news they mentioned that this was the ranch of the Manson 20 But that's only in terms of the fire. 21 Do you have any recollection of ever hearing or 22 seeing about anybody ever digging around the Spahn Ranch 23 for a body? Α No. 25 Ever hear anything about that? Q 26 A No. 27 28

11b fls.

11b-1Q. In this particular case, if the evidence shows 1 that Mr. Davis was in some way connected with Manson, with 2 the Manson Family, as it has been called, based on what 3 you've read at all, do you feel you might have a tendency to feel, "Well, they were responsible for some deaths, he must 5 be responsible for those that he is charged with"? 6 À No. 7 In other words, you'd require the People to 8 prove the --Yes. Ά 10 -- complicity and guilt of any offense charged 11 against him, no matter whether it was the Manson Family or 12 the King Sisters he was connected with, right? 13 À 14 Right. I'm sorry, ma'am, just to go -- back up. 15 Q. 16 You said you were a clerk-steno in the City of 17 L.A. 18 Which department? 19 Á Building & Safety. The Building & Safety Department. 21 And in that connection do you have any kind of 22 association or relationship with any kind of law enforce-23 ment officers at all? 24 No. I don't. 25 MR. DENNY: I will pass for cause, your Honor, on this 26 issue. 27 MR. KAY: No questions on publicity, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

28

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

۶.

÷ź

MR. KAY: Did you want us to do the death penalty now or wait until we get other jurors in?

THE COURT: Let's take a short recess.

MR. KAY: Break, okay.

THE COURT: And then, we'll bring the panel back in and you can voir dire in the presence of the panel on the other subjects.

MR. KAY: Okay, thank you, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Before the Court leaves the bench, may we ask to have this juror excused? I want to bring up some other matters.

THE COURT: Yes, Miss Gipson, would you leave the courtroom? You may be excused.

JUROR NO. 3: Does that mean I am excused, period?
THE COURT: No, for ten minutes.

JUROR NO. 3: Okay.

THE COURT: For about ten minutes you can be free.

JUROR NO. 3: Thank you. Okay.

(Whereupon, prospective juror No. 3 exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Yes, you have something, Mr. Denny?

MR. DENNY: Yes, I did, your Honor.

I had spoken to the prosecutors concerning whether or not they planned to call George Spahn. And their indications were that they did not call him in the Manson case. Apparently he has neither been called in the Grogan case, and they don't plan to call him in this case. And I do want to call him. And there had been sort of a working

arrangement worked out with other counsel that the prisence of out-of-State witnesses would be -- that is to say, their presence would be made available through the offices of the District Attorney and the Sheriff.

How, I just want to determine at this point if I am going to have to go through the full process of out-of-State subpoens, a hearing and all the rest of it, or whether that can be worked out so that we can get Mr. Spahn here for his testimony during the course of this trial.

I know that they're bringing Ruby Pear! down from the same location, which is where?

MR. KAY: Oregon.

MR. MANZELLA: Oregon.

MR. DENNY: Oregon. Medford, Oregon, if I understand. So I understand there is no problem in their doing so.

17

25

26

27

28

12-1

ڇَ

ę,

°

4,

 MR. KAY: I would think, in the first place, he would have to call George Spahn and ask George Spahn. I mean, if George Spahn is going to resist, then maybe he would have to go through the out-of-State subpoena process.

But Mr. Spahn would probably cooperate. I wouldn't see why not. But I would think that would be the starting point for Mr. Denny, is to contact Mr. Spahn, and ask him if he'd --

THE COURT: Well, it may not be the starting point, because I doubt that unless Mr. Spahn just happens to be in this area, then he would wish to spend the money coming down here.

MR. KAY: Well, he wouldn't spend the money. It would have to be provided. But I mean, he might be willing to come down without fighting the subpoena.

THE COURT: Well, the reason I say that is because the Court would be unwilling to provide -- or order that the Court provide it, unless there's some relevancy.

In other words, I think you should make a showing, submit an affidavit indicating to me why, generally, you believe this man should --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think I only have to point to the Grand Jury transcript, to show the relevancy of his testimony. That's -- that's the affidavit I would submit as to the relevancy of his testimony.

And beyond that, I don't think I need to go.

His testimony is very definitely relevant, insofar as the defense of Mr. Davis is concerned.

-

i

ŧ,

The evidence that he gave -- and I will say this, without any trepidation, that evidence that he gave is quite inconsistent with testimony given by some of the other key witnesses in some of the other trials had thus far. And I can understand why the People are not anxious to bring him down. But that's precisely the reason that I am anxious to bring him down.

THE COURT: It's concerning his relationship, generally, with Mr. Shea, then?

MR. DENNY: It certainly is in reference to the Shea case, yes.

(Whereupon a soto voce remark was made by one of the court attaches.)

MR. DENNY: I am not equivocating at all, as someone has just said to me. I don't feel that I am bound at this time to point out the chapter and verse on every jot and tittle of what I expect Mr. Spahn to testify to.

I do not expect him to testify inconsistently with his testimony at the Grand Jury hearing. And assuming he testifies consistently with that, it is very definitely inconsistent with some of the testimony of the other key witnesses in the Shea count.

And from that standpoint, it is vital to have his testimony.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Davis is indigent, and the County is going to have to foot the bill, if Mr. Spahn is brought, here. I suppose that's enough showing, of itself, to warrant the Court making the order.

<u>ئۇ</u> يو

É

9

11 12

10

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

3.

27 、 28 However, I will ask you to prepare the usual affidavit, and the Court would sign the order, bringing him here.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, as I understand the -THE COURT: But then you'd have to give an estimate,
have to make a fairly fine estimate of the date that you'll
need him, because the Court would not like to have the burden
of caring for him here for any period of time.

I understand that he is infirm in some way.

MR. DENNY: There's no question about that, your Honor. But I don't see how I can possibly make that estimate at this time.

I can certainly make a more reasonable estimate as the case progresses. But as far as assigning a specific date, I don't think that either Mr. Kay or Mr. Manzella can assign a specific date on which they can expect to bring Ruby Pearl down from the same location.

THE COURT: Well, if I were you, I'd keep in touch with Mr. Spahn in some way.

MR. DENNY: Well, I can't, your Honor. I don't have the address or phone number.

MR. MANZELLA: I'll give Mr. Denny, if he doesn't already have it in the documents I've supplied him, I'll give him the same information we use when we get in touch with Mrs. Pearl.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, all I am saying is, there is a -- a long, roundabout way through the uniform act. 4.

б

12a fls.

for out-of-State witnesses, which requires a number of documents being filed in this court, documents being processed up through the court and the other jurisdiction.

I don't think that procedure has been gone through in any way to get Ruby Pearl down from the same location.

Now, it does seem to me that it's something of an imposition, both on this Court, on me, on the law enforcement agencies up in Oregon to do that, when the People did use Mr. Spahn's testimony to secure the indictment of this defendant, and when they can bring him down, just as easily as they bring Ruby Pearl down.

12a

MR. MANZELLA: There's no showing that Mr. Spahn wouldn't come down if Mr. Denny calls him and asks him to come down and tells him that the County will pay his way.

THE COURT: Yes, that's -- I think that's what I am suggesting. And even have the County pay his way down here, I think that -- that you've got to demonstrate that it's in some way or another -- that his testimony is in some way or another necessary or needed.

MR. DENNY: Well, I think I have demonstrated that, your Honor.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, a conditional factor is that Mr. Spahn --

THE COURT: And the Court believes that -- yes, I think that would be sufficient, as I have said, so -- well, we'll leave it as it stands, and let you get in contact with Mr. Spahn.

MR. KAY: And your Honor, additionally, Mr. Spahn cannot travel alone. He will -- so the County will have to pay for someone to come down with him.

THE COURT: That's right. I remember, now. Miss Pearl might be able to come down with him.

MR. KAY: Yes.

THE COURT: So, make your contact with Mr. Spahn -- or otherwise, I suppose you would have to, if he did not consent, go through the steps of the Uniform Acts.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, may I offer this sugestion, again? It does seem perhaps a little wasteful of the tax-payer's money, and we do seem to be taken up with that, to

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

Ì8

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

THE COURT:

have Ruby Pearl come down, for her to testify during the People's case, and then to go back up there, and then at the time the defense is going to put on any defense, at which time they would be calling Mr. Spahn, to have to pay for her to accompany him down, to come down here again, thereby causing initial expense, when -- and if that's so; if he is really blind -- and presumably he is blind -- I'm offering the suggestion that the People would be able to bring them both down at the same time, and perhaps agree, if they don't want to call Mr. Spahn, that I could call him out of turn and put on his evidence, --

THE COURT: I am sure something like that could be -MR. DENNY: -- and give them an opportunity to cross
examine him at that time.

MR. MANZELLA: I am sure we could work out something.

However, if Mr. Spahn doesn't want to come down,
I should remind Mr. Denny that we have to go through the same
procedures that he has to go through to bring a witness
voluntarily from out of state.

If he'll come down for us, I think he'll come downjust as readily for Mr. Denny.

THE COURT: I think the next step is up to Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: As soon as I get a method of contacting him, I'll take that step, your Honor.

(Mid-afternoon recess.)

Yes.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench briefly, without the reporter?

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench among Court and Counsel, which were not reported by the reporter.)

THE COURT: All right. Do you gentlemen wish to question Miss Gipson on voir dire now? You may.

Had we asked her about penalty?

MR. KAY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Miss Gipson, the Court also wishes to know from you whether -- I did ask you about the death penalty, didn't I?

MR. DENNY: I think you did, your Honor.

MR. KAY: I think you did, but we haven't.

THE COURT: I think that's correct.

I did ask you some questions, but counsel have not asked you any questions concerning the death penalty; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes, I believe so.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. You go ahead, Mr. Denny.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

BARBARA J. GIPSON

BY MR. DENNY:

Miss Gipson, seeing as how you are about, I think, the second from the last person of the original panel, you have been here through almost all of the questioning and heard all of my questions, have you?

A Yes.

÷ ټ

Ŕ

12b fol

MR. DENNY: Can the rest of the panel hear me without that microphone?

THE COURT: I don't see any hands yet, so I assume they're hearing you.

If you don't hear Mr. Denny, raise your hands, please.

(Whereupon, there was a show of hands from the prospective jurors in the last row in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: They don't hear you, apparently, Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: They don't hear? All right.

Modern science is wonderful. Now, they can hear.

Q All right. Miss Gipson, as far as your feelings about the death penalty, do you have any feelings at all that there is a type of murder which, if you had thought that a person had committed that murder, your feeling would be, "That person must get the death penalty"?

A No.

12b-1

3.

Q There's no type of murder, no matter how horrendous no matter how heinous the offense might be, that you would say, thinking of a person who committed that type of murder, "I would -- I would have to give that person a death penalty," --

A No.

Q -- is that correct?

A Well, yeah, that's correct.

Q All right. Fine. Now, do you have any feeling that you might be swayed by a feeling of public opinion, that -- that the public would feel that this particular defendant, in this particular case -- particularly seeing as how it's related somehow to Charles Manson, who has been publicized quite a bit, --

A Um-hmmm.

Q -- should be given the death penalty, if you were to find that he had committed any of the crimes that are charged against him?

A No.

Do you feel that it would take any particular act of courage, or act of strength of character, to bring in a verdict of life, rather than death, if you should find that he was guilty of any capital offense?

A I don't -- I don't think I quite understand.
Would you repeat that?

Q Well, Mr. Kay has asked you if you would have the strength of your convictions -- he hasn't asked you, but he has asked other jurors -- if you would have the strength of your convictions; if you'd have the courage to come in and,

1 when Mrs. Holt asks you, "This is your verdict, that this man is to live -- to die?" And you can say, "Yes," and you can face 2 Mr. Davis, and say, "Yes, I do." 4 You've heard these questions asked of the other 5 jurors? 6 Yes. 7 Q And I take it your answer would be the same, --8 Α Yes. 9 Q -- is that right? 10 Um-hmmm. A 11 Q All right. Now, by the same token, if you came back 12 with a verdict -- assuming -- and again, all this is hypotheti-13 cal, that you'd even get to it; you understand that? That 14 you'd even jet to a penalty phase? 15 Α Yes. 16 But again, I have to get into this, because it's 17 part of the game we play here at the beginning. We have to ask 18 you these questions. 19 But assuming you found the defendant guilty of 20 one of these capital offenses, and then you came back in and 21 you said, "This is not a death penalty case by any means. I 22 vote for life." 23 Would you have the courage, the strength of 24 character, whatever, to look at Mr. Manzella, Mr. Kay, the 25 judge, anybody else on the street, in the whole world, and 26 say, "I did the right thing"? 27 A Yer. 28 You don't feel that would take any more or any less Q

courage than returning the other verdict?

A No.

Q All right. By the way, have you ever seen anybody killed?

A No. I haven't.

Q And have you ever been -- or has any loved one or friend ever been the victim of any sort of crime?

A No.

Q Now, again, going to this issue of circumstantial evidence, Mr. Kay has discussed circumstantial evidence some with you, and indicated that, insofar as the Shea Count is concerned, they're going to rely wholly, totally on circumstantial evidence.

And having heard the Court read the instruction -and we've talked about it a little bit -- that where the
People's case rests chiefly or wholly on circumstantial
evidence, and there are two reasonable inferences to be
drawn from that evidence, one pointing to guilt and the other
equally reasonable pointing to innocence, it is then your duty
to adopt that interpretation pointing to innocence.

Do you have any quarrel with that proposition of law at all?

A No.

ever, if you felt that the evidence was equally consistent with innocence as guilt, in -- that is, say on a particular point, you looked at a piece of evidence, and you said, "Well, you know, you can draw an inference that he's guilty here, but

* ¥

it's equally reasonable to draw an inference that he is innocent, that is consistent with innocent conduct, that there is an explanation that would show that it was done innocently, without any criminal intent whatsoever."

And you look at that piece of evidence, and it's got reasonable interpretations pointing to both guilt and innocence.

Would you have any reluctance, any hesitancy at all, in saying, "I am required by law to adopt that one pointing to his innocence, and that's the one I'm going to use in this case"?

12c-1

2

3

1

5

6 7

8.

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

1?

`>

22 23

24

25

27

26

28

MR. KAY: Your Honor, I must object to the question. I think it's taking the instruction out of context, since the jurors have to look at the total picture of the evidence.

They don't take evidence piece by piece and plug it into Mr. Denny's system.

I think that's kind of misinterpreting the law, and I would object --

THE COURT: Well, the --

MR. KAY: -- to it as misleading.

THE COURT: The Court can see that objection to the question.

Perhaps you could rephrase it, Mr. Demny.

The Court would tell you, as it has told you before, that if you take any ideas of what the law is from either Mr. Denny or Mr. Kay, you should take them with the idea in mind that, ultimately, when the Court instructs you as to the law in this case, that you are to follow the Court's instructions as to the law.

Will you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Yes. Well, in case there's any problem with the question I put to you, let me put it in a more general sense; and that is:

When you have evidence in the case, and you can say, "Yes, on this side," you can say, "It points to guilt; but on that side, it points to innocence," you'd have no trouble whatsoever in saying, "I'm going to follow the law and

12c-2

adopt that interpretation which points to innocence," would you?

A I'm sorry. Would you mind repeating that? I didn't quite --

Q I'll try it once more. See, because this is vital. The People and the defendant both are relying on this doctrine of circumstantial evidence, so it's important that we know whether you can understand it, whether you will apply it.

You look at the evidence, and you say, "Well, one interpretation of this evidence is consistent with guilt, but an equally reasonable interpretation of the evidence is consistent with immocence. They're both reasonable interpretations. But the law tells me, under those circumstances, that I must adopt that pointing to innocence, and use that in returning a verdict."

Do you understand that?

A Well, when you say "the law," are you referring -- can you -- when you say "the law," are you referring to the laws of the court?

Q That's right.

A Oh, yes. I understand that now.

Q So, assuming that the Court tells you that that is the law, and that you are required to adopt that interpretation of circumstantial evidence pointing to innocence, when both interpretations of the evidence are reasonable, would you have any trouble at all in following that instruction?

A No.

	ļ		
12c-3	1	Q	And in doing that?
	2	A	No.
	3	Q	And making sure that your fellow jurors understand
È	4.	and do that	:?
13 fls.	5	A	Yes, I can.
r r	6		
	7		
·	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
3 ,	15		
• ,	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20 21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
16	25		
s:	26		
_	27		
	28		

	,	
13-1	1	Q All right, fine.
	2	Now, again, I've asked certain jurors if they
£. *	3	understand the fact that there are separate counts involved
	4	here. It is something that they must consider separately in
	5	their verdicts; you understand that?
ź	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And you understand the questions that I asked
	8	of the other jurors?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q On the subject?
	11	A Yes.
•	12	Q And you couldn't say, "Well, I think he's
	13	innocent here, so I'm going to say he's innocent of all the
į	14	rest of them"?
	15	A No.
	16.	Q Or conversely, you wouldn't say, "I think he's
·	17	guilty here, so he must be guilty of all the rest of them"?
	18	A No, I wouldn't.
	19	Q Each one must stand or fall on the evidence
	20	pertaining to that count alone; you understand that?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And you wouldn't let any of your fellow jurors
	23	forget that, would you?
*	24	A No.
	25 '	Q Now, again, did you hear the Court talk some about
S.	26	this idea of conspiracy and what a conspiracy is?
	27	A Yes, I did.
-	28	Q And when I asked some of the jurors about this

13-2

₹,

2

2

1

3

_

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25.

S.

26 27

28

concept of law that someone may do something that helps a going conspiracy, that it is done without any criminal intent, that that does not make them guilty of the conspiracy.

And I asked the jury if they found the defendant in this case may have done something that helped the -- any conspiracy that you might find to have existed among other people, but that's all, no showing of criminal intent, would you have any reluctance to follow the Judge's instruction that you couldn't find the person guilty of conspiracy with that evidence and that evidence alone?

- A No, I'd have no reluctance.
- Q And, again, this idea of guilt by association.

The Judge will probably tell you if you sit as a juror here that mere association in and of itself doesn't make a person guilty of conspiracy. He may be seen with the people. He may be known to the friends, with people, who the evidence might show, did conspire to do some unlawful act, but that in and of itself is not sufficient to convict a person of conspiracy.

Do you find anything objectionable in that.

A No.

Q Would you have any trouble applying that law in this case?

A No.

MR. DENNY: I'11 pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: People.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

1

2

3

BARBARA J. GIPSON

Miss Gipson, I can't speak for Mr. Denny, but I

BY MR. KAY:

4

| ର

.

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Well, let me make it abundantly clear that Mr.
Manzella and I are not here to play any games. We don't
think this is funny at all. We think that those of you who

know that while he was questioning you he said that one of

his questions was part of the game.

are going to sit on this jury are probably going to have to make the most serious decisions you will ever have to make in your life or probably will have to make. I want to make

that clear.

Do you understand that, ma'am?

A Yes.

Q Now, did you hear the questions asked the other jurors on the death penalty?

A Yes, I heard them, but I don't know if I remember them or not.

Q Well, I'm going to ask them again, but I hope you thought about what your answers would be to those questions.

Did you think about it?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

Now, before you came into this courtroom on Monday, and you're about the last one I can say this to, because the other jurors just came in today, except we have one other juror besides yourself that's still out there; did

- 1	
1	you think at all about the death penalty?
2	A Well, no, I hadn't thought about it. But I did
3	study it a little bit in a high school class in 1966.
4	Q You did? You remember that from back in 1966,
5	what you studied?
6	A No, not well, it was just a debate.
7	Q You studied pro and con?
8	A Yes, we studied pro and con. That's about it.
9	Q Did you make up a decision in your own mind
10	whether you were pro or con?
11	A I was simply forced into a decision. We made a
12	debate team.
13	Q Well, that doesn't count.
14	A And you got double points if you took the pro
15	side. That was about it. After it was over, I didn't think
16	about it any more.
17	Q You didn't think about it any more after your high
18	school class?
19	A Huh-uh.
20	Q Now, have you determined in your own mind whether
21	or not, if the evidence warranted it in this case, you could
.22	impose the death penalty?
23	A Yes, I could.
24 ,	Q No doubt about that in your mind?
25 .	A No doubt.
26	Q And, again, I'd ask you, as I've asked the other
27	jurors to keep thinking about this until the jury is selected,
28	and we're going to have a weekend now and if any of you change

your mind over the weekend, just be sure to raise your hand on Monday. And there's nothing at all wrong with it. I'm not going to bite you or anything. I just want to know, because some people do change their mind. Like you saw, I think in your exact seat No. 3, yesterday, there was a lady that answered the Judge's questions that she could vote for the death penalty, and then I guess she started thinking about it and determined she couldn't, and got very emotional about it. And she was excused.

Do you remember that?

ř.

Q Okay. So, I would like all of you to keep thinking about it. There is nothing wrong with changing your mind between now and the time the jury is selected. Once the jury is selected, though, that's the ball game.

And Miss Gipson, do you feel that you can personally participate in a verdict of death, and you heard my questions about that, about what is required in a death penalty case.

In other words, it is the verdict of all twelve jurors. Each individual juror must participate in that verdict in order to have a death verdict and they must state that that's their verdict when they are polled; do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And do you think that you would have the courage to do that?

A Yes.

Q Do you feel that any moral or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the death penalty?

A No.

Q Would you even consider finding Mr. Davis guilty of anything less than first degree murder just to avoid the responsibility of determining whether or not he should die in the gas chamber?

A No.

Q And do you belong to or sympathize with any organizations which might be opposed to capital punishment?

ļ

ź7

A No.

Q Do you have any close friend or relative who opposes capital punishment, who you reel might try and antagonize you or do something to you to get you to yote for life imprisonment in this case?

A No.

Q And understanding that it is the jury alone without help from the judge or the attorneys in the case that makes
the determination about whether or not the defendant in this
case, Mr. Davis, lives or dies in the gas chamber; are you
willing to assume that responsibility?

A Yes.

Q All right. Can you think of any reason at all why you could not or should not sit on this jury?

A No.

Q Now, Mr. Denny has asked some of the jurors questions about circumstantial evidence.

When there are two reasonable interpretations, one pointing to the guilt and one pointing to the innocence, that you must take the interpretation that points to innocence and acquit the defendant.

What I would like to point out to you: Do you understand that the key to that concept is "reasonable"?

If there are two reasonable interpretations of the evidence.

In other words, if there is only one reasonable interpretation of the evidence and that points to the person's quilt, you have no choice, you have to convict him; do you

25

26

27

28

understand that?

A Yes.

Q And would you follow the law in that respect?

A Yes, I will.

And, also, Mr. Denny has brought up about the three Counts. That you must decide each one separately. Which is true. But do you understand that you can consider the same evidence? Like, in other words, in Counts I and II, the murder of Gary Hinman, you might consider the same evidence as to both Counts, but you have to make an independent decision.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Do you understand that you can consider the same evidence as to different Counts?

A Yes.

Q Now, is it your firm position that the prosecution, the People of the State of California are entitled to just as fair a trial as the defense is in this case?

A Yes.

Q And do you feel any sympathy at all for Mr. Davis because he is a defendant in this case?

A No.

o And you realize that the presumption of innocence which cloaks Mr. Davis now lasts only until the juilt has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q You understand when we get to the penalty phase of the trial, the prosecution has no burden?

A Yes.

Q And have you or has any of your close friends or relatives ever been charged with or accused of a crime other than a traffic offense?

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	A	I think so.
2	Ω	Who would that be?
3 .	A	My cousin.
4	Ω	Was he ever tried on the case?
5	А	I don't know,
6	Ω	Okay. Would anything that happened in your
7.	cousin's ca	ase by the fact alone that he was charged or accused
8	of a crime	in any way prejudice you against the prosecution or
9	law enforce	ement, police officers, in general?
10	A	No.
11	Q	You*re sure about that?
12	A	I'm positive.
13.	Q	Are you very close friends with this cousin?
14	A	No, I see him occasionally.
15	Q	And you don't know what the disposition of his
16	case was?	
17	A	No, I don't.
18	Q	Was this a long time ago when he was arrested?
19	A	Yeah, he was still in high school.
20	Q	Have you ever studied law?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Do you know any criminal defense attorneys?
23	A	No.
24	Q	And I take it that you don't know any defense
25	attorneys w	nho have been involved in representing various
26	members of	the Manson Family in their criminal cases?
27	A	No.
28		

į,

		• * *
13b-1	1	Q Do you know any private detectives?
	2	A No.
	3	Q Do you think you understood my example about
î,	4	circumstantial evidence versus direct evidence?
¥	5	A Yes.
ř.	6	Q Do you have any question about that?
	7	A No.
	8	Q And do you feel that you disagree with the law
	. 9	that says that circumstantial evidence should be treated
	10	equally with direct evidence?
	11	A No.
	12.	Q And would you follow the Judge's instructions in
	13	this regard?
,	14	A Yes.
,	15	Q In other words, that both circumstantial and
¥	16	direct in the eyes of the law are treated equally?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Now, understanding that a person can be convicted
	19	of first degree murder on circumstantial evidence alone, do
	20	you think that that's unfair?
	21	A No.
	22	Q And you heard my statement earlier about the fact
	23	that the prosecution in this case will not offer eyewitness
- T	24	testimony, in other words, somebody that actually was there
5	25	that saw the murder; as to either of the murder of Gary
72.	26	Hinman or Donald Shea.
	27	Do you understand that?
 '	28 :	A Yes, I do.

13b-2 ●

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Would you require the prosecution in a murder case, in this case, to present eyewitness testimony before you would convict the defendant?

A No.

Q And getting to the felony murder rule, which is that if a murder is committed in the course of a robbery it is automatically murder of the first degree.

If you find that it was committed in the course of the robbery, would you follow the Judge's instructions in this regard?

A Yes.

Q And do you think that that law is unfair?

A No.

Q And, again, I'm sure you heard me state that as to the murder of Gary Hinman, Mr. Hinman's body was found. But as to the murder of Donald Shea, his body was not found.

Now, under the law, again, the prosecution is not required to produce the body of the victim of a murder case. We're required only to prove that the person died. That there was a death and he died by a criminal agency.

In this case we're going to attempt to prove that he was murdered.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And will you follow the law in that regard?

A Yes.

Q And does it -- does it offend your sense of

26

27

28

justice that a person can be convicted of first degree murder in the State of California even though the body of the victim of the murder has not been found?

A No.

Q And if you believe that Mr. Davis was guilty of first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt, would you vote to convict him of that murder even though his body had not been found?

A Yes.

And would you automatically vote against the death penalty as to that count, Count III, the murder of Donald Jerome Shea, due to the fact the body hadn't been recovered?

A Repeat that.

Q Would you automatically vote against the death penalty as to County III?

In other words, you understand that we have three counts here?

A Uh-huh.

Q And each one of the counts carries the death penalty.

So you'll have to make a decision does Mr. Davis get death or life on Count I?

Does he get death or life on Count II?

Does he get death or life on Count III?

Now, I'm just asking you now about Count III.

I'm not asking you about the murder of Gary Hinman or the

conspiracy to murder Gary Hinman count, which is Count II.

ੁ 17

Ļ

I'm just asking you about Count III now.

Would you automatically vote against the death penalty, the imposition of the death penalty on Mr. Davis in Count III due solely to the fact that Mr. Shea's body has not been recovered?

A No.

Q And will you promise me that when you get into the jury room that you will be reasonable and talk reasonably with the other jurors?

A Yes.

Q I have been told by some jurors that oftentimes when they get in the jury room they have kind of a roundtable discussion where each juror can express his or her own view before they even vote or anybody makes any statement other than the juror that's speaking. Sometimes I guess they find that that's beneficial.

Can you think of any reason at all why you could not give the People, the prosecution, or the defense, a fair trial in this case?

A No.

MR. KAY: Thank you very much.

We'll pass for cause.

Thank you, Miss Gipson.

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I wonder, in view of her answers to Mr. Kay's questions on the death penalty, I wonder if I could inquire a bit further?

THE COURT: You may.

13c fls.12

Ĩ,

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

attorneys working the same street, I guess. He asked some questions I would normally have asked and would have followed up, if I had gotten the same answers he did get from you; namely, this business of having studied the death penalty or having done some work in connection with preparing a kind of debate at which, I understand, you were kind of semi for or semi pro the death penalty.

Is that right?

A Uh-huh, right.

~ - 1	i		
3C-1	1	Q And this, I take it, you say you got double points	
	2	for taking the pro side?	
	3	A Yes.	
	4	Q That was the incentive for taking pro instead of	
4	5	con?	
F F	6	A Yes. I needed the extra points.	
	7	Q All right. It wasn't because of your feeling at	
	8.	the time?	
	.9	A Well, I didn't have any feeling.	
	10	Q That these were where your convictions lay?	
	ıì '	A I didn't have any feeling on the death penalty at	
	12	all. I never discussed it or it ever entered my mind until	
	13	the time it was mentioned in the class.	
	14	Q Was this kind of a long-term thing during the	
	15	course of this particular school?	
į.	16	A No, it was about it was just a week, next to th	е
	17 [.] .	last week of school.	
	18	Q And did the whole class take part?	
v (1)	19	A Yes.	
	20.	Q Or	J
	21	A We had three people on each side in the class ask	,
	22 .	questions from the audience.	
* ·	23 .	Q All right. And in that connection were you ()	's !
ŝ	24	required to do some outside reading?	
	25	A I was required, but I didn't.	
è	26	Q Whoops, another confession.	
.	27	(Laughter.)	
	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, and I take it, then, that	ιt

L,

1

2

3

5

Ģ

7 8

9.

10⁻

12

13 14

15

Ìβ

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

you have not done any real studying of the writers who have written either pro or con, is that right?

A No, I haven't.

Q So this presentation that you made was based on what? On just kind of the arguments you could conjure up out of the feelings you had at the time?

A Uh, no, I kind of just skimmed through. I let my partners do all the talking and I just, uh, kind of sit there. We discussed it before we went into class, but that was about it. I didn't do too much.

Q All right. So that would you say that you wound up with any feelings pro or con, for or against the execution of people for crimes by the state as a result of this class?

A No, I can't say I did. I really felt, you know, like I was misunderstood. More or less nobody knew what I was trying to say. They were taking the wrong side. But I didn't judge it pro or con. I just -- it was over.

Q Well, when you say you felt that you were misunderstood because --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- because people didn't understand your side, in other words, the majority of the class seemed to favor the side of those who were for the abolition of execution by the state?

A Yes.

Q And they didn*t seem to understand?

A No matter what we said, it was wrong. We didn't even get a fair hearing.

	i i
1	Q Well, did that particular feeling of frustration
2	there have any affect on you as to whether you felt they were
3	wrong and you were right?
4	A No, they had their side. But I didn't think they
5 .	had the right to tell me what I was trying to say, when I
6	wasn't.
7	Q I adhere to that.
8	Fine, thank you very much.
9 .	MR. KAY: May I ask just a couple of further questions,
10 .	your Honor?
n	THE COURT: You may.
12	
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. KAY:
15	Q Miss Gipson, I neglected to ask you, on the
16	arrest of your cousin, exactly what crime was he charged
17	with?
18	A I think it was narcotics, but I can't say. I
19	didn*t get it all. I wasn't listening again.
20	Q So you really didn't get involved in the case much?
21	A No.
22	Q Did you ever get involved in the case?
23	A No. With my cousin you don't talk to him, he
24	talks to you.
25	Q Oh, one of those?
26	(Laughtèr.)
27	MR. DENNY: Thank you very much, Miss Gipson, no further
28	questions.

THE COURT: Both sides pass for cause? 2. Yes, your Honor. MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. 9. 2

THE COURT: The peremptory lies with the defense.

MR. DENNY: We'd like to thank and excuse juror No. 12, Mrs. Dunn.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Dunn.

Let's see, it is late. You need not report to that 15th floor today.

As a matter of fact, Mrs. Holt tells me you needn't report until Tuesday, Room 253, at 9:00 o*clock.

JUROR NO. 12: 253?

THE COURT: Yes.

Now, let's warn the other jurors, other prospective jurors.

For those of you who are in the box, you've already heard this, but unfortunately because we have a new panel I have to go through it again. Perhaps it will sound different to you the second time, in any event.

14-1 Would you mix all of those new slips of the new 1 jurors, the name tags in --THE LAST REMAINING PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Oh, I'm still 3 here. ż, THE COURT: -- with the names --5 # 6 (Laughter.) THE COURT: I didn't hear that. What was that. 7 MR. KAY: The one juror that's still here is a little 8 9 upset that she's still here. THE COURT: Oh, I see. 10 MR. KAY: When we get down to one juror, we have to --11 12 THE COURT: Yes. When we get down to one juror, in 13 order to make sure that we are going to comply with the 14 Code, and drawing by lot, we just can't pull your name out 15 of the box, when your name is the only one in the box. Do 16 you understand? 17 THE LAST REMAINING PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes. 18 THE COURT: It's really nothing personal. 19 (Laughter.) 20 THE COURT: All right. Have you stirred them up there, --21 THE CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: -- stirred up the slips? 23 Then pick one. 24 THE CLERK: Yes. 25 Miss Bennetta B. Smith; first name, B-e-n-n-e-t-t-a; . 26 last name, S-m-i-t-h. THE COURT: I shall be asking Miss Smith some questions. 27 28 and will those of you who are beyond the rail listen to the

14-2

1,

9.

questions I put to her, and determine, in your mind, whether your answers would be any different? Because I'll be asking you these questions.

This is a criminal case, ladies and gentlemen.

The defendant in the case is Bruce McGregor Davis. This is

Mr. Davis (indicating) at the end of the table here, the end

of the counsel table.

Mr. Davis is represented by Mr. George Denny. Thank you, Mr. Denny.

And the People in the case are represented by Deputies District Attorney Stephen Kay and Anthony Manzella.

Thank you, gentlemen.

This is a question I have not asked anyone in the courtroom yet. Is there anyone who knows the defendant?

Or his counsel?

Is there anyone of you who knows Mr. Kay or Mr. Manzella? Is there anyone of you who has ever been represented by any of the counsel whom I have introduced?

The indictment in this case, ladies and gentlemen, is an indictment in three counts.

The first count charges that the defendant, in violation of Section 187 of the Penal Code, a felony, on the 27th day of July, 1969, in the County of Los Angeles, in the company of -- or, with co-defendants Charles Manson, Susan Denise Atkins and -- strike that.

(Continuing) -- with Charles Manson and Susan

Denise Atkins did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously and
with malice aforethought murder Gary Alan Hinman, a human

14-3

1,

ġ Ž 4.

23·

 being.

Atkins and Bruce McGregor Davis committed a violation of Section 182.1 of the Penal Code, a felony, in that on or about the 25th through the 28th day of July, 1969, in the County of Los Angeles, those persons did knowingly conspire, combine, confederate and agree together with other persons whose true identity is unknown to commit the crime of murder, in violation of Section 187 of the Penal Code, a felony; and of robbery, a violation of Section 211 of the Penal Code;

And that pursuant to and for the purpose of carrying out the objects and purposes of the aforesaid conspiracy, those defendants committed the following overt acts in the County of Los Angeles:

The overt act -- the first alleged is that on or about July 25th, the said defendants, Bruce McGregor Davis and Susan Denise Atkins, and a Robert Beausoleil did travel to the vicinity of 964 Old Topanga Road, Malibu, in the County of Los Angeles;

Overt act two alleges that on July 26th, the Defendant Charles Manson, Susan Denise Atkins and Bruce McGregor Davis did enter the residence at 964 Old Topanga Road, Malibu, in the County of Los Angeles;

Over act No. 3 is that on July -- alleges that on July 26th, the defendants Charles Manson and Bruce McGregor Davis drove away from 964 Old Topanga Road in a Fiat automobile owned by Gary Hirman.

Count III of the indictment also alleges a count

of -- of murder, in violation of Section 187 of the Penal Code, in that it charges Charles Manson, Bruce McGregor Davis and Steve Grogan with having, between the 16th day of August, 1969, and the first day of September, 1969, in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously and with malice aforethought murdered Donald Jerome "Shorty" Shea, a human being.

14a-1

That, ladies and gentlemen, is the indictment in three Counts. To that indictment, Mr. Davis has entered pleas of not guilty, and this is the time set for trial.

The Court will tell you that it will take approximately two months -- perhaps two and a half months -- to try this case, according to the estimate of counsel.

And the Court anticipates that, during that time, you will not be sequestered. The Court does not intend to put you up in a hotel, to put the jury up in a hotel.

You will be -- the jury will be allowed to return to their respective homes each evening, except during the period of time when they are in deliberation, at which time the Court may very well sequester the jury.

The Court intends to take a vacation from about the 20th of December through the 3rd of January. Except for that time, we will be involved in trial.

The Court wishes to tell you that the indictment that I read is not evidence. It's not to be treated by you as evidence.

It's simply a means of bringing this matter before the Court and jury.

I'll be asking you, ladies and gentlemen, whether that period of two, two and a half months will be a hardship to you. In that connection, the kind of hardship I'm talking about is not the usual inconvenience that everyone must suffer when he or she is a juror, the inconvenience of coming to the city and the inconvenience of laying aside personal affairs and attending to duty as a juror.

Ì.

But I mean, a substantial hardship. If you have a substantial and severe hardship, such as the loss of earnings over a period of time -- if your employer will not pay you for two, two and a half months, and you can't withstand that financial loss -- then the Court would like to know about that.

In that connection, I will ask you over the weekend -- and, when you leave this courtroom, during the recess -- to inquire of your employer, if that is a problem, or you suspect it might be a problem with you, whether you will be paid if you serve on the jury for that period of time, for the period of two to two and a half months.

reasons, or if you have some other good reason why you believe that you should not be caused or ordered to serve on this jury, then let me know. Let us all know, when I ask you about it.

I'll state to you that a defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved, and in case of a reasonable doubt whether his guilt is satisfactorily shown, he is entitled to an acquittal.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, excuse me. I see one of the jurors in the back cocking her ear somewhat, and I'm not sure that --

THE COURT: Are you having trouble?

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'll start over again.

A defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved, and in case of a reasonable doubt whether his guilt is satisfactorily shown, he

1.

is entitled to an acquittal.

But the effect of this presumption is to place upon the state the burden of proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Reasonable doubt is defined as follows: It is not a mere possible doubt, because everything relating to human affairs and depending upon moral evidence is open to some possible or imaginary doubt. It is that state of the case which, after the entire comparison and consideration of all of the evidence, leaves—the minds of the jurors in that condition that they cannot say that they feel an abiding conviction, to a moral certainty, of the truth of the charge.

That, ladies and gentlemen, defines reasonable doubt for you. The Court will instruct you later on concerning reasonable doubt, at the conclusion of the evidence in this case.

And likewise, the Court will give you further instructions concerning the law.

It's your obligation to follow the Court's instructions as they are given to you. Whether you believe the law is correct, as the Court has stated to you, or whether you believe the law is as it should be, as the Court has stated it to you, it's your obligation to follow it as it's given to you by this Court.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF BENNETTA SMITH

BY THE COURT:

`		1	Q	Mrs. Smith, do you understand that?
)	2	A	Yes.
		3	Q	And will you follow all the instructions of law, as
	•	4	I shall give	them to you, at the conclusion of this case,
) ₁	5	Ą	Yes.
	9 8	6.	Q	regardless of what you feel the law should be?
		7	A	Yes.
		8	Q	Would it be any hardship to you to serve on this
		9	case, Mrs. S	Smith?
		10	A	No.
		11	Q	Have you ever had any legal experience? Had legal
		12	training of	any type, Mrs. Smith?
14b	fol	13	A	No.
	. *	14		
) *'	15		
	î.	16		
		17		
		18		
		19		
		20		
		21	•	
- ' 1	r *	22	•	
	,	23		
	÷	24	,	
	%. .	25		
· • į	3 2 *	26		
		27		·
		28	,	
				t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

				•
L4b-1	1	Q.	Have you ever been the victim or ha	is any close
	2	friend or	relative of yours been the victim of	any crime of
	3	violence?		
•	4	A	Yes.	
t _i	5	Q	Would you tell us about that?	
:	6	A	I had an uncle that was murdered.	I think it 's
	7	been about	seven years ago.	
	8	Q	About seven years ago. Was he clos	se to you?
	9	A close fa	mily member?	
	10	À	Yes, he was.	
	11	Q	And do you know of any reason why	you couldn't
	12	be fair an	d impartial in this case, regardless	of that
•	13	occurrence	?	
	14	Å	No.	
	15	Q	Has that affected you in any way,	so that you
8	16	could not	be fair and impartial to somebody who	o was accused
	17	of murder?		
	18	A	No.	, .
	19	Q ,	Was anyone ever prosecuted for that	t killing?
	20	A	Yes.	
	21	Q	Were you a witness in the case?	
	22	· A	No. I attended the trial.	***
	23	Q	You attended the trial?	
<u>ځ</u>	24	A	Yes.	
,	25 .	Q.	And as a result of that unfortunate	
>	26	đơ you fee	l any prejudice whatever toward a de	fendant who is
	27	accused of	murder?	
	28	A	I'm not sure. I'm really not sure	•

L4b-2	1	Q Was the man convicted, or acquitted?
	2	A Convicted.
	3	Q You are not sure at this point whether there
•	4	lingers in your mind some prejudice towards somebody who is
ŧ,	5	accused of murder as a result of that whole thing; is that
÷.	.6	correct?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Do you understand that what we are seeking here
	9	is a juror who can say, definitely and certainly, that he or
	10	she can be fair and impartial?
	11	You've heard me indicate that a defendant is
	12	presumed to be innocent. That means that he should not, at
	13	the beginning of a case, be burdened with having somebody on
\$	14	the jury who may harbor some prejudice in his or her mind
	15	as a result of something in the juror's background.
*	16	As a result of what you have said, is it fair to
	17	say that what you as a result of what you have told me,
	18	is it fair to say now that you could not be certain, that
	19	you could be fair and impartial to him?
	20	A Yes.
	21	THE COURT: All right.
	22	MR. DENNY: I would challenge the juror under 1073,
	23	Subdivision 2.
ė	24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being very frank
	25	in assessing your ability, Miss Smith. And you are excused
\$	26	Till next Tuesday, is it, Mrs; Holt?
	27	THE CLERK: Yes.
	28	THE COURT: (Continuing) until next Tuesday at

14b - 3

Š

į

2

1

3

5

6 7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

×

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

15 fls.

9:00 o'clock. Report to Room 253, then.

And in place of Miss Smith, let's select another

THE CLERK: Eugene H. Geisler, G-e-i-s-l-e-r.

THE COURT: I'm going to instruct you further on points of law that may or may not arise in the course of this case -- that may or may not arise in the course of this case.

You may be instructed in the same way later on.
But the fact that the Court is instructing you does not
mean that I am placing any particular emphasis on any of
these instructions that I am about to give.

I've already read to you the instruction concerning the presumption of innocence and reasonable doubt.

Let me say to you that all persons concerned in the commission of a crime who either directly and actively commit the act constituting the offense, or who knowingly and with criminal intent aid and abet in its commission, or, whether present or not, who advise and encourage its commission are regarded by the law as principals in the crime thus committed, and are equally guilty thereof.

A person aids and abets the commission of a crime if he knowingly and with criminal intent aids, promotes, encourages or instigates, by act or advice, or by act and advice, the commission of such crime.

The testimony of a witness, a writing, a material object, or anything presented to the senses offered to prove the existence or non-existence of a fact is either direct or circumstantial evidence.

15-1

2

ì

4

3

5 6

7 8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

44

23 24

.25

26

27

Direct evidence means evidence that directly proves a fact without an inference and which in itself, if true, conclusively establishes that fact.

Circumstantial evidence means evidence that proves a fact from which the inference -- from which an inference of the existence of another fact may be drawn.

An inference is a deduction of fact that may logically and reasonably be drawn from another fact or group of facts established by the evidence. It is not necessary that facts be proved by direct evidence. They may be proved also by circumstantial evidence or by a combination of direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Both direct evidence and circumstantial evidence are acceptable as a means of Neither is entitled to any greater weight than the proof. other. But you are not permitted to find the defendant guilty of any crime charged against him based on circumstantial evidence unless the proved circumstances are not only consistent with the theory that the defendant is guilty of the crime, but cannot be reconciled with any other rational conclusion and each fact which is essential to complete a set of circumstances necessary to establish the defendant's guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt.

Also, if the evidence as to any particular count is susceptible to two reasonable interpretations, one of which points to the defendant's guilt and the other to his innocence, it is your duty to adopt that interpretation which points to the defendant's innocence and reject the other which points to his guilt.

15-2

÷.

. .

£

Conspiracy is an agreement between two or more persons to commit a public offense, with the specific intent to commit such offense, followed by an overt act committed in this state by one or more of the parties for the purpose of accomplishing the object of the agreement.

Conspiracy is a crime.

In order to find a defendant guilty of conspiracy, in addition to proof of the unlawful agreement there must be proof of the commission of at least one of the overt acts alleged in the indictment.

Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being. All murder which is perpetrated by willful, deliberate, premeditated killing, with malice aforethought -- all murder which is perpetrated by willful, deliberate and premeditated killing, with malice aforethought, or murder committed by torture or a killing committed in the course of a burglary or a robbery is murder of the first degree.

The Court will instruct you further concerning homicide at the conclusion of the case.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF EUGENE H. GEISLER

BY THE COURT:

Q Now, I'll ask you, Mr. -- is it Geisler?

A Yes, sir.

Q -- Mr. Geisler, whether you understand the instructions or believe you understand the instructions that I have just given?

15- 3	1	A Yes, sir, I
	2	Q Would you follow those instructions and any and
	3	all instructions that I give you in the course of this trial?
	4	A Yes, sir.
**	5	Q Regardless of what you believe the law to be or
5 .	6	what you think the law should be, will you follow the
	7	instructions?
	8	A Uh, yes, sir.
	,	(Whereupon, the Court's name plate fell from
	10	the bench.)
	11	THE COURT: Did I get you?
	12	THE CLERK: No.
	13	(Laughter.)
à	14	Q BY THE COURT: Would it be any hardship to you
.	15	to serve, Mr. Geisler?
\$	16 .	A Uh, yes, sir, it would.
	17	Q Would you explain that to us?
	18	A It would be a financial hardship at the present
	19	time.
	20	Q Why?
	21	A Well, my wife and I are separated and she's
	22	out of state and I need the money.
	23	Q For whom do you work?
Š	24	A Uh, McDonnell-Douglas.
	25	Q And will they pay you beyond your 30 days jury
š	26-	duty?
	27	A Uh, as far as I know, no.
	28	Q It is the Court's remembrance that McDonnell-
		1

	1	Douglas would only pay for 30 days. We've had other jurors
	.2	in this courtroom and they have indicated that that was the
	3	case. However, would you ascertain that over the weekend?
• a.	4	A Uh, yes, sir, if I can.
	5	Q And let me know, let us know on Monday morning;
à É	6	would you do that?
	7	A Uh, yes, sir, I'11 try.
	8 .	Q Have you ever had any legal training of any type?
	9	A No, sir.
	10	Q You understand that the indictment that I have
	11	read is not evidence that can be taken against the defendant
	12	but is simply a means of bringing this matter to the to
	13	the Court and jury?
<i>*</i>	14	A Yes, sir.
.	15	Q Have you ever been the victim or had a close
·	16	friend or relative that's been the victim of a crime of
	17	violence?
	18	A No, sir.
	19	Q Have you ever been a witness in a criminal case?
	20	A No, sir.
	21	Q Have you ever been charged with a criminal
	22 .	offense or had a close relative or friend that has been
	23	charged with a criminal offense other than a traffic citation?
5a £1s.	24	A No, sir, not that I know of.
\$	25	
4	26	·
	27	
~	28	

15a-1	L		
. .	1	Ω	Have you had any jury experience?
	2	A	No, sir.
	3	Ω	What type of work do you do?
	4	A	Well, I am an inspector technician at McDonnell-
	5	Douglas.	
	6	Q	How long have you been engaged in that type of work?
	7	A	Thirteen years.
	8	Q	Now, you've stated that there was or is a
	9	Mrs. Geisle	r.
	10		What type of work does she do?
	11	A	Well, she I think she's a store clerk.
	12	Q	You've been separated for
	13	A	Three months.
٠	14	Q	Three months.
•	15		Are you related to or a friend of any law
7	16	enforcement	officer?
	17	A	I have a cousin on the L. A. police force.
	18	Q	Lo you see him often?
	19	A	No, sir.
	20	Q	What is his duty so far as you know now?
	21	A	Well, as far as I know, he's assigned to the
	22	Wilshire Di	vision.
	23	Q	And what is his assignment? Is he at a desk or
3.	24	any patrol	car?
_	25 · .	A	Uh, I think he's in a patrol car.
\$	26	Q	Have you talked with him about his cases?
	27	A	No, sir.
;	* 28	Q	Do you think that this relationship would in any

,¥,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

way affect your judgment in this case?

A No, I don't.

Would you be more inclined or less inclined as a result of this relationship to believe a police officer's testimony or disbelieve a police officer's testimony simply because of his status?

No, sir. Α

0 In what area do you reside?

Well, Gardena. Α

THE COURT: Now, the Court will tell you, ladies and gentlemen, that this is a case in which the offense charged is punishable by death or life imprisonment.

And the Court is required to ascertain if any prospective juror entertains such conscientious opinions as would preclude his finding the defendant guilty if the evidence should justify such a finding or if he would, under no circumstances, vote for the death penalty, or if, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree, he would automatically vote for the death penalty without regard to the evidence.

At the outset of this trial, the Court has no way of knowing whether or not you will ever have to, as a juror, be called upon to determine the issue of penalty.

In other words, to determine this question of life imprisonment or death, as that will depend upon what your findings are on the issue of guilt in the first phase of the trial.

The trial is thus split into two phases, possibly two phases, depending upon whether you find that the defendant 15a-3

3.

5⁻

15b fol

is guilty of a crime with -- which is punishable by death or life imprisonment.

The defendant, as you have previously been informed, is charged with the crime of murder in Count I, and in Count II he is charged with conspiracy to commit murder strike that.

In Count I and III, he's charged with murders, and in Count II, he's charged with conspiracy to commit murder. Each of which Counts is punishable by death or life imprisonment.

15b-1

In arriving at a verdict in this case, as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant, the subject of penalty or punishment is not to be discussed or considered by you. That is, in the first phase of the case concerning guilt or innocence, as that is a matter which, under the law, must be considered and determined in a separate proceeding, if your findings require such a proceeding.

If the defendant is acquitted of the charges against him or found guilty of a lesser crime than murder of the first degree, then, of course, there's nothing further to submit to the jury as to the issue of penalty.

If the defendant is found guilty of murder of the first degree or found guilty of that second count, conspiracy to commit murder, an offense which is punishable — either offense is punishable by life imprisonment or death, then the jury shall fix the penalty of death or life imprisonment.

Now, you should know that the law imposes neither death or life imprisonment as a punishment, but presents the two alternatives to the absolute discretion of the jury.

The Legislature has formulated no rules to control the exercise of the jury's discretion.

So I'll be asking you these questions.

We're about to take a recess. And I want to know from you, if you should be chosen -- so I want you to be thinking about it over the weekend -- are your opinions concerning the death penalty such that you would automatically refuse to impose it without regard to any evidence that might be developed?

.

If so, then, you should not sit as a juror which may have the -- which may have the task of determining whether a defendant should suffer death or life imprisonment.

Or, are your opinions concerning the death penalty such that if the defendant were convicted of murder of the first degree, you would vote to impose the death penalty without regard to any evidence that might be developed during the trial of the case?

That, too, ladies and gentlemen, is something that the Court wishes to know, but -- because if that is your opinion, then you shouldn't be required to sit on this jury.

Are your views of the death penalty such as would prevent you from making an impartial decision in the first phase of the case as to guilt or innocence?

Because if you cannot be impartial because of those views, then, you should not be a juror in the case.

Are your views such that you would never vote to impose the death penalty?

Are your views such that you would never consider imposing the death penalty in this case or in any case?

I want to know from you the answers to those questions, too, Mr. Geisler, when you come back here on Monday morning.

JUROR NO. 12: Yes.

THE COURT: Uh, 9:45, gentlemen?

MR. KAY: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: What does the calendar look like on Monday morning?

A number of cases.

Probably better set it for 9:45.

Ladies and gentlemen, the Court will attempt to be ready to call you back in at 9:45 on Monday morning.

There are a number of cases on the Court's calendar, and I may not be able to complete them by that time. But I'll try so that we can try to start promptly at 9:45.

Remember that during the course of this recess between now and Monday at 9:45.

15c-1

...

3

Remember that during the course of this recess between now and Monday at 9:45 that if you may have a hard-ship problem, I want -- concerning salary or any other matter of hardship, that you must call someone about, see if you can get that information for me before Monday morning.

Mr. Geisler, you, particularly, since you mentioned it. You might check on that.

JUROR NO. 12: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor form nor express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

Uh, strike that.

The admonishment is this: You are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you, nor are you to form nor express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

You'll be hearing that admonishment, should you be chosen as a juror, at every recess.

The Court also wishes to admonish you that you are not to hear, read or view anything by any of the media concerning this case and Mr. Manson during -- Mr. Charles Manson during the course of this recess.

You have an affirmative obligation as jurors to avoid such matters.

15c-2 I'll see you all on Monday at 9:45. Have a pleasant weekend. (Whereupon, 4:40 P. M. Court adjourned.)