SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff, 6 No. A-267861 7 Vs. BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS, 8 Defendant. 9 10 11 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Monday, January 10, 1972 14 VOLUME 19 15 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney 19 For the People: BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA 20 STEPHÈN R. KAY, Deputies District Attorney 21 22 For Defendant Davis: GEORGE V. DENNY, III 23 24 WHITELEY 25 TURNEY 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters .28

1	<u>I N</u>	DE	<u>x</u>				
2							voir
3	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRE	CT	CROSS	REDII	RECT	RECROSS	
4						,	
5	PIET, Paul 272	7 K		*			,
6	WHITELEY, Paul J. 273	4 K	2781	2831	K	2842	
7	TURNEY, Francis 284	6 M	2866	2882 2885		2886	2884 D
8				2.000	141		
9	Tig No. 40	* * 1	. * m c				
10	<u> </u>	; 	BITS				
11	PEOPLE'S: For Id	lent:	ification		In I	Evidence	ŀ
12	6 - photograph	274	42			2742	
13	6-A and 6-B - two photos	273	32			2732	
14.	7 - sketch showing floor plan at 964 Old Topang	~3					
15	Canyon Road	27:	37				
16	8 - four photographs	27	42			2743	
17	9-A through 9-H - 8 photos	s 2 ⁷	62			2762	
18	10 - 4 photos	27	53			2753	
19	11 - piece of cardboard ward photo on it	ith 27	50			2751	
20 	12 - jizu beads	,				2844	
21	13 - checkbook	27	61				
22	14 - pink slip to '60		,				
23	Metropolitan	27	66			2 7 66	
24	17 - a photograph	27	78			2778	
25	18 - knife	28	58				
26	20 - two pieces of a swor	a 28	59				-
27	21-A - photograph	28	150				
28	21-B and 21-C - photograp	hs 28	351		-		}

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1972, 10:15 A. M. 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 3 (Whereupon, murmurs of "Good morning, your Honor," were heard from members of the jury.) THE COURT: I hope you all had pleasant weekends. 6 It's 7 good to see you again. R The record will show that all jurors and 9 alternates are present. Mr. Denny is present; the defendant 10 is present; and Mr. Kay and Mr. Manzella for the People. 11, The People may proceed. 12 MR. KAY: Thank you. The People call as their next 13 witness Deputy Paul Piet. 14 THE CLERKY Raise your right hand, please. 15 You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may 16 give in the cause now pending in this court shall be the 17 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help 18 you God? 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 20 21 PAUL PIET, 22 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 23 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 24 THE CLERK: Please state and spell your full name. 25 THE WITNESS: Deputy Paul Piet; P-i-e-t, Los Angeles 26 Sheriff's Department, presently attached to Civil Division. 27 DIRECT EXAMINATION 28 BY MR. KAY:

_	
1	Q What's a Civil Division?
2	A In the bailiff bureau.
3	Q Oh. Now, Deputy Piet, directing your attention to
4	July 31st, 1969, I take it you were also a Deputy Sheriff?
5	A Yes, I was.
, 6·	Q And where were you assigned then?
7	A Malibu Sheriff's Station, Patrol Division.
8	Q All right. Did you have occasion on July 31st.
9	1969, to go to the location of 964 Old Topanga Road in Malibu?
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q And what time did you go there?
12	A I received the call at 8:05, and I probably
13	arrived there at 8:10, 8:15.
14	Q And when you arrived at 964 Old Topanga Road in
15	Malibu, did you meet anybody?
16	A Yes, I met an informant by the name of Erwin and
17	two companions.
18	Q All right. Did you have a conversation with them?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q I take it this was at night, 8:05, 8:15 at night?
21	A Yes, it was.
22	Q All right. After you had a conversation with
23	Mr. Erwin, what did you do?
24	A Well, I looked up at the location, and the south-
25	east window was partially ajar, and I saw numerous flies
26	around it; and there was a ladder against the building, and I
27	moved the ladder to the window, and I proceeded up the ladder
28	to the window.'

1	Q And did you look in the window when you got to the
2	top of the ladder?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q And what, if anything, did you see?
5	A I saw a victim lying on the floor, against the
6	west wall.
7	MR. KAY: Let's see. I'd better bring this little stand
8	out here.
9	Q If you could step down a minute, Deputy Piet?
10	I have here People's 5, which has already been
11	admitted into evidence.
12	Can all of you jurors see People's 5 now?
13	(No negative response.)
14	Q BY MR. KAY: I see in Photograph No. B and also
15	in Photograph D and C, there appears to be a ladder in the
16	photograph.
17	Is this the ladder that you talked about in your
18	testimony?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Now, there also appears on Photograph B and D to be
21	a window open.
22	Is that the window that you looked into?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q Now, was the window open as far as it appears in
25	Photographs B and D, when you looked in?
26	A Well, it was slightly closed; approximately about
27	half the distance.
28	Q About half that distance (indicating)?
	•

4,

	1	A Yes.
	2	Q All right. Could you resume the stand, please?
	3	Now, after you saw the body, what if anything did
	4	you do?
*	5	A I proceeded down the ladder, and went to the
% .	6	porch area, and examined the door.
3	7	The door was locked, and I found I took a
	8	look at the window leading into the kitchen, and I noticed it
	9	didn't have a lock on it, so I opened that window and
AA fol	10	proceeded inside.
	11	
	12	
	13	
, F	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
به . فر	23	
	24	. •
Ã	25	
	26	
	27	
·	28	

Aa-1	1	Q All right. If you could step down again to
	2	People's 5?
	3	Can you find the area in here first, which
	4	is the door that you approached?
*	5	A This one (indicating).
4	6	Q That's in photograph 5-E? You approached that
ļ	7	door?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And then the kitchen window that you entered,
	10	is that shown in the photographs? Or if not, can you
	11	describe approximately where it is?
	12	A Approximately probably this window here. I
	13	can't see
•	14	MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me, Deputy Piet.
ļ	15	Would you use the hand microphone in front of
	16	the witness stand?
	17	Thank you.
	, 18	Q BY MR. KAY: You are pointing now to photograph
	19	5-F, and there appears to be a that looks like "Sergeant
	20	Whiteley."
•	21	A This is probably the window right here (indi-
	22	cating).
2	23	All right.
Marie Control	24	A And the door would be right here (indicating).
Ø.	25	Q Next to a kind of a stove a stovepipe
	26	A Yes. This is far off to this way. I entered
	27	through this way (indicating), and went through the window.
	28	Q Okay.

Aa-2	1	MR. DENNY: May we have described for the record
	2	what "this way, and this way," and "this" means?
	3	Q BY MR. KAY: Yes. Would you describe, using
	4	photograph F 5-F
43	- 5	A All right. This is the eastern door. I
. 	Ġ	entered through this door, which is this side of
	7	Q This is photograph 5-F?
	8	A Yes. This is the south door (indicating). I
	9	came through the south door, went in through the east
	10	window leading into the kitchen.
	11	And there's only one window leading into the
	12	kitchen.
	13	THE COURT: What photograph is that?
x .	14	THE WITNESS: On photograph F.
)	15	Q BY MR. KAY: 5-F?
	16	A 5-F.
	17	Q Now, after you entered the home at 964 01d
	18	Topanga Road, did you find anyone inside other than the
	19	body?
	20	A No, sir.
,	21	Q All right. And did you go to the location
	22	where you had seen the body
	23	A Yes.
*	24	A Yes. Q when you opened the window?
્ક	25	All right. And where was that?
•	26	A It was up against the west wall, in what
_	27	appeared to look like a living room, next to a couch, and
	28	the body was his head was half covered with a pillow:

Aa-3 his face was decomposed, black, and with maggots on and about 1 the face. 2 I lifted the blanket and observed three blood 3 spots on his chest. 4 What did you do after you made this preliminary 5 examination of the body? 6 I notified Homicide. 7 Sergeant Whiteley? Q R A Yes, sir. 9 MR. KAY: I have here, your Honor, a cardboard, a 10 piece of cardboard with two photographs on it, marked A and 11 B. 19 It's previously been marked as People's 6. 13 it remain as People's 6 in this proceeding? 14 THE COURT: So ordered. 15 BY MR. KAY: Deputy Piet, I show you People's 6 16 17 for identification, photographs A and B. 18 Do you recognize the body depicted in those 19 two photographs? 20 Yes, I do. 21 And is that the body you discovered at 964 22 Old Topanga Road? 23 Yes, I did. 24 And do these photographs appear to you to 25 accurately depict how that body looked when you found it? 26 It was in the same position. 27 And did it look the same? 28 A Yes, sir.

Aa-4	1	Q All right. And this is on July 31st, 1969?
	2	A . Gorrect.
	3 .	Q And did after you called in, did Sergeant
	4	Whiteley arrive?
	5	A Yes, he did.
4 4	6	Q And did you secure the location until he
ŝ	7	arrived?
	8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q Did you have to force any doors or windows
	10	open in the location to make the observations that you did?
Ab fla.	n	A No, sir.
	12	
	13	·
3	14	
, <u>s</u>	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
•	21	
	22	
	23	•
	24	
₩.	25	
	26	
	27 28	

The state of the s

AB-1 1 I have no further questions. MR. KAY: 2 MR. DENNY: No questions. 3 THE COURT: You may step down. 4 Thank you, Deputy Piet. MR. KAY: 5 The People will call Sergeant Paul Whiteley. THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you 6 7 may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be 8 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help 9 you God? 30 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 12 PAUL J. WHITELEY, 13. called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been 14 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 15 THE CLERK: Would you state and spell your full name? 16 THE WITNESS: Paul J. Whiteley; W-h-i-t-e-l-e-y. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. KAY: 20 Sergeant Whiteley, what is your occupation and Q 21 assignment? 22 I am a supervising sergeant of detectives, Los 23 Angeles County Sheriff's Office, assigned to the Homicide Bureau. And you are also the chief detective Š All right. 26 on the Hinman and Shea murders? 27 Yes, I am. Ą 28 Directing your attention to July 31st, 1969, I

	1
1	take it you were also assigned to homicide?
2	A Yes, I was.
3	Q All right. And did you have a partner at that
4	time?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what was his name?
7	A Deputy Charles Guenther.
8	Q All right. Now, on July 31st, 1969, did you
9	receive a call from Deputy Paul Piet?
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q And after receiving that call did you proceed to
12 .	the location of 964 Old Topanga Road in Malibu?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q And about what time did you arrive there?
15	A Approximately 10:00 P. M. in the evening.
16	Q All right. Did you meet Deputy Piet there?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q If you can step down a minute and use the hand-
19	microphone?
20,	Let me make inquiry of you concerning this do
21	you want to use the hand-microphone?
22	A I won't need it.
23	O Okay. Showing you People's 5 for identification,
24	Sergeant Whiteley, would you using these photographs
25	describe what you did, once you arrived at 964 Old Topanga
26	Road?
27	A Yes. This is the exterior of 964 Old Topanga
28	Road. Photograph A here is the stairway leading from Old

Topanga Road up the hill, to enter the location.

Picture B shows the front of the house --

MR. DENNY: I'll object to the answer as not responsive to the question, which was: "Tell us what you did." Not what the pictures are.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Sergeant Whiteley, could you describe what the pictures show, first? And then I'll ask you what you did.

A Photograph B is the front of the house at the location, facing Old Topanga Road.

Photograph C is the walkway that goes along the front of the house to a stairway leading into the house.

Photograph D shows the stairway leading into the door of the location.

Picture E is another photograph showing the same stairway.

Picture E -- or, excuse me -- Picture F shows the doorway on the south side of the building, at a different level, which is higher than the walkway shown in the photograph C.

- Q Now, Sergeant Whiteley, again using these photographs, can you describe what you did when you first got there?
 - A I walked up this stairway --
 - Q Photograph A?
- A Yes. And across the front, which, in Photograph
 B I would be coming from left to right.

		,
	1	And in Photograph C, along the pathway to the
	2	bottom of the photograph. I climbed a small hill and entered
	3	the door in Photograph F.
Ê	4	Q And then did you did you enter the home?
3	5	A Yes.
i	6	Q All right. Now
۶.	. 7	THE COURT: There's a pointer here, I think, on the other
	8	side of that board. It might be easier if you were to use
ï	9 .	that. Mrs. Muldrow and Mrs. Sandberg might have trouble seeing
	10	otherwise.
	11	Q BY MR. KAY: Do you want to help me a minute,
	12	Sergeant Whiteley? I'm going to move this board around here.
	13	Your Honor, I have on this board a sketch showing
<i>x</i>	14	the floor plan at 964 Old Topanga Road. It has previously
×	15	been marked People's 7.
7 ID	16	May it be marked as People's 7 for identification
	17	at this proceeding?
C fol	18	THE COURT: So ordered.
	19	
	20	·
	21	
	22	
ę.	23	
	24	
& ●	25	
	26	·
	27	
-	28	

MR. DENNY: No objection to having it received in evidence.

THE COURT: All right. Received in evidence, then, as People's Exhibit 7.

MR. KAY: Can all the jurors see this?

Q All right. Sergeant Whiteley, I wonder if you would, then, if you could, describe the house at 964 Old Topanga Road, using that floor plan?

A Old Topanga Road runs down here (indicating) at the lower level --

MR. DENNY: By "here," you are indicating the left side --

MR. KAY; Excuse me, Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: I'm sorry.

Q BY MR. KAY: That's the far left-hand corner?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Of People's 7.

A This (indicating) is a steep hill running to the right-hand side, this being the highest portion of the hill.

THE COURT: That's to the right-hand side of the drawing?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I came along the walkway by this stairway to the lower portion here, and climbed a small hill, and I entered through this back door into the den.

o BY MR. KAY: All right. Now, this -- this back door to the den, could you mark that with your initials and put "Paul Whiteley entered," if you can?

A		CT.42 Annual Commission		`\
A	 . 1	(Mrtmese	complies	ĸ Į

Q All right. Now, continue, Sergeant.

A Twent -- I proceeded in through the den, through this doorway (indicating), and entered a small hallway, climbed a stairway into the living room, and observed a body located in this portion (indicating) of the living room.

Q And I see there's a stick drawing of a body, and there's the initials "PW." Did you make those markings?

A Yes, I did.

Q And that's in black Crayon or -- it appears.

Now, I take it that the home is on two levels;
is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And can you enter the top level from the bottom level, from inside the house? Or do you have to go outside and climb up the stairs to get to the top level?

A You have to go outside the house, either through this door (indicating) or this door, move down to this level, to a bedroom which is directly beneath the living room.

Q Now, when you say "this door," the first door was the den door where you entered, where it says "PW entered.

And the other door would be --

A Off the porch (indicating).

Q -- off the porch? All right.

And does this appear to be an accurate representation of the house at 964 Old Topanga Road, this being People's 7?

A Yes.

23

24

25

26

27

1,

5

б

7

8

.9

28

Ad fig.

1110	If you	could	resume	the	stand	for	just	a	moment
Sergeant	Whiteley?								

I show you People's 6 for identification, a photograph of a body.

Does that -- do you recognize what's depicted in that photograph?

- A. Yes, I do.
- And is that the body you found at 964 Old Topanga Road?
 - A Yes, it is.
- And does that appear to be an accurate photograph Q of how the body looked when you found it?
 - A Yes.
- Except for the fact that, of course, the photographs are in black and white?

A Yes.

THE COURT: I'll admit that into evidence.

MR. KAY: Thank you. We would so move, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I -- may I --

THE COURT: I will hear from you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, this photograph, although not the most horrendous of this particular body -- there are others that are worse -- nevertheless is a rather shocking and horrendous picture of a partially decomposed body.

Ad-1

26_.

I do not believe it is necessary to get this particular picture in evidence before the jury, in view of the fact that I don't think there's any argument as to the fact that the body was there. There's no argument as to the condition of the body.

There will be introduced into evidence, I assume, as there was in the last trial -- and I am not going to object to its introduction -- the mannequin which Dr. Katsuyama will use to describe the wounds on the body.

There is no argument as to where the body was found. I think the introduction of this picture can only serve to inflame the jury, and the -- the probative value is far outweighed by the prejudicial effect.

MR. KAY: Well, your Honor, I believe that the cases in California do not prohibit the prosecution from entering this type of photograph. We believe it's relevant, in showing the state of decomposition, as to the time period that Mr. Hinman was left there, -- from the time he was murdered until the time he was found, which Dr. Katsuyama will be testifying to.

And I think it's relevant to establish a time period from the -- in front of the jury and I don't think that these -- these photographs are in black and white; they're not in color. They -- it should be noted that there's a pillow covering Mr. Hinman's face, so they can't really see the face.

I think that the photographs, as far as being photographs of a dead body, are very innocuous. They're

certainly not as shocking as Coroner's -- even as Coroner's photographs, which are generally always admitted in cases.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't appear to the Court that they're unnecessarily startling or gruesome.

The Court believes that the probative value here would outweigh any prejudicial effect. The Court will admit this into evidence.

The objection is overruled.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The photographs are received together as People's 6.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, Mr. Kay exhibited the photographs to the jury.)

MR. KAY: If I might have a moment, your Honor? I have to find an exhibit here.

Q Sergeant Whiteley --

Well, your Honor, I have here a piece of cardboard with four photographs on it, which has previously been marked as People's 8.

May it continue to be marked as People's 8? THE COURT: Four photographs?

MR. KAY: Yes. Four photographs on a piece of cardboard.

THE COURT: It may be marked as 8 for identification.

8 1d.

26

27

28

MR. KAY: Thank you. 1 Sergeant Whiteley, I will show you People's 8 Q 2 Do you recognize the four photographs that are on 3 People's 8? 4 A Yes, I do. All right. And first, showing you -- well, 6 Q what do they depict, the four photographs? 7 8 A ... This is the den area (indicating) --Down here on People's 7, where it says "den 9 10 area"? Yes. $_{
m JI}$ 12 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may I approach the witness 13 a moment? 14 I have no objection to the receipt in evidence 15 of these photographs, your Honor, for -- it might make it 16 easier if they're put up here, too. 17 MR. KAY: I would so move. 18 THE COURT: All right. They're received in evidence 19 8 Ev as 8. 20 Thank you, Mr. Denny. 21 BY MR. KAY: Would you step down here, Mr. Q 22 Whiteley? 23 Can everyone see these photographs? Maybe if 24 I move these a little closer. 25 All right. Sergeant Whiteley, if you could 26 start with photograph No. A, and describe what is shown 27 in each photograph? 28 This photograph was taken from the center of the A

den, showing the door -- the entranceway into the hallway, which leads into the main portion of the home.

It would be standing here (indicating) and photographing this doorway (indicating), the hallway; and in the rear, you can see the bathroom, which is back here.

Ae-1

All right. Now, Sergeant, if I could ask you, when you point to People's 7, if you could explain for the record that you are pointing to People's 7?

And I take it that when you said you were standing in the den, you were standing exactly where the words -- or the word d-e-n is written; and you were looking straight into the hallway and into the bathroom in People's 7; is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q All right. Okay. Thank you.

If you could continue?

A Picture B is standing in the center of the den, and it shows the window into the kitchen, and the doorway into a small library that runs back behind the kitchen.

It would be standing on People's 7 where it says "den" and showing the window to the kitchen (indicating), and a doorway into what is labeled here "Utility Room."

Q Now, Sergeant Whiteley, I notice that in Photograph
B and Photograph C, there appears to be a door in the
photograph.

Did you notice anything unusual about that door when you arrived at the home at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road the first time? Did you notice anything unusual about it?

- A Yes.
- Q What did you notice?
- A The door had been broken in. The lock had been dislodged from the molding on the wall.
 - Q Now, I notice in Photographs B and --

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MR. DENNY: Well, just a moment. I'll move to strike the conclusion of the witness that the door had been broken in.

The remainder, that the lock had been moved,
I do not move to strike that.

But the conclusion that the door had been broken in, I do move to strike.

THE COURT: All right. The Court does strike the words objected to by Mr. Denny.

Q BY MR. KAY: Well, Sergeant Whiteley, have you ever seen a door that's been forced, in your many years in the Sheriff's Office?

A Yes.

Q And how long have you been in the Sheriff's Office?

A About 15 years.

Q And on how many occasions have you seen a door which, in your opinion, was forced?

A Seventy-five of them.

Q Seventy-five different doors?

A (Indicating affirmatively.)

Q And did you formulate any opinion, based on your experience, as to whether or not the door in Photographs B and C had been forced open?

A Yes.

Q All right. And what was that opinion?

MR. DENNY: I'll object, that the foundation is insufficient to qualify this gentleman as an expert on forced doors.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

People's 7 for a minute and indicate on there -- or maybe writing on it and putting your initials -- the location of the door that you testified was forced? That would be this door (indicating) between the Af, fol den and the utility room. $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{r}$ 22.,

Okay. Could you put "door forced, P. W." on it? Now, if you would, would you please describe the condition of the living room, and what you observed in the Do you wish me to describe the body? The -- yes, the body; any objects around the body, The victim was lying on his back. He was dressed in a white T-shirt, blue Levi's, with no shoes. His arms His wallet was halfway out of his front pocket --All right. Maybe if you could use that People's 6 here, which shows the body, while you are describing the The wallet was sticking out of his pocket here (indicating), the right front -- or, excuse me. The left front The fly was open. All the buttons were undone on There was a pillow adjacent to his head here. And he was covered with a green blanket, up to the top of his chest (indicating), which has been removed for these photo-There were a pair of -- oh, chanting beads, off to

> If you could stop there just a minute, Sergeant? I show you People's 12 for identification. Do you

i	recognize People's 12?
2	A Yes. They appear to be the same type as was found.
3	Q All right. The chanting beads.
4	You may continue well, first, let me ask you
5	this:
6	Was there any anything on the pillow that was
7	covering the face of the body?
8	A There were body fluids on the pillow.
9	Q Any blood?
10	A Yes. That's included in the body fluids.
11	Q Okay.
12	A And there was a lighter adjacent to the victim's
13	hand.
,14 ,	Q A cigarette lighter?
. 15	A Yes. And just out of picture A here, there were
16	about nine ball-point pens, scattered on the floor, adjacent
17	to a coffee table.
18	Q Let's see if if you could wait a moment,
19	Sergeant, I'm going to try and find a photograph here.
20	Your Honor, I have a piece of cardboard with a
21	photograph on it, and it has previously been marked as
22 23	People's 11.
24	May it remain as People's 11?
25	MR. DENNY: May I see it, please?
26	Thank you.
27	THE COURT: So ordered.
28	MR. KAY: I will ask that any objection to its
	being admitted into evidence at this time?

11 ID

11 AD

MR. DENNY: No objection.

MR. KAY: Thank you. We'd move that it be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: All right. So ordered. It's admitted, ladies and gentlemen, --

Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant --

THE COURT: -- admitted into evidence.

People's 11?

BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, I show you
People's 11?

A This was the blanket that was found over the body. We took it into the — the yard and photographed it, showing the area around the chest that we — which I've circled here and initialed, which was found right over the top of the victim's body.

Q And there appears to be something reddish in color on there. Is that blood?

A Yes.

And so the area you've circled and put your initials, "P. W." on this photograph, that is the area covering the body's chest?

A Yes.

Q All right. What was the -- what was the condition of the body when you observed it?

A Very poor. It -- the body appeared that it had been there for some time. There was a great amount of flies and maggots and beetles on the body.

Q could you tell by looking at the face of the body

whether it was -- could you identify the person by looking at the face of the body? Or was the face too decomposed? It was quite decomposed. AG fol

Ag-1	1	Q Did you notice anything I think you testi-
	2	fied that the there was a white T-shirt on the body?
	3	A Yes.
,	4,	Q And did you notice any any holes or anything
î 1	5	in the T-shirt?
• •	6	A Yes. There were three holes in the T-shirt, and
*1	7	there was a great amount of beetle and maggot activity in
	8.	those three areas.
	9	Q And where, approximately, in the T-shirt were
	10	the three holes?
	11	A One was directly in the center of the chest;
	12	and the two were just a little bit lower, right down along
	13	the midline between the navel and the middle of the chest.
*	14	Q Were these big holes or small holes or
	15.	A They were approximately an inch and a half in
	16	'diameter.
	17	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have here a piece of card-
	18	board with four photographs on it. It's previously been
	19 20	marked as People's 10. May it remain as People's 10 for
٠,	21	identification?
	22	THE COURT: So ordered.
	23	MR. DENNY: No objection to its being received in
Ą,	24	evidence. MR. FAY: Thank you. We'd ask that it be admitted
	25	into evidence.
₽ %	26	
10 - T4 5	27	THE COURT: Let me see it just briefly. All right. So ordered.
10 Id Ev.	28.	
	1	Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, showing you

Ag-2 People's 10 for identification, do you recognize the four 1 2 photographs there? 3 Yes, I do. A 4 And what do they show? Q 5 The kitchen area. 6 And this is the kitchen area at 964 Old Topanga Q 7 Canyon Road? Я Yes, that's correct. A 9 Q And is this the way the kitchen appeared when 10 you arrived on the scene on July 31st, 1969? 11 Yes. 12 And I take it all these other photographs that 13 you've described were also -- are they also accurate 14 representations of what you saw on July 31st, 1969? 15 . Yes. A 16 All right. 17 Now, if you -- if you could, would you describe _18 in each photograph what you observed? 19 Photograph A was taken from the hallway, showing the broken breakfast table, and it's in a -- let's .21 see. The photograph would be taken from west to east, 22 showing People's 7 -- the photograph was taken from this hallway (indicating), this way, to the right. 24 The breakfast table would be in the area here 25 (indicating), adjacent to the refrigerator. 26 Now, on People's 7, you were pointing to that. 27 Could you write in there, on People's 7, the approximate 28 location of the kitchen table, and then put your initials

Ag-3	1	in?
	2	Λ (Witness complies.)
	3	Q I see you are drawing it in there.
•	4.	A Yes.
Ê	5	Q And when you found the kitchen table, was it in
â	6	the same condition that it appears to be here, in this
*	7	photograph?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And was the chair also knocked over?
	10	A Yes.
	n	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, Mr. Kay. I don't think we
	12	can see from this angle.
fls.	13	Thank you.
*	14	
•	15	·
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
-	21	
•	22	
	23	
	24	
¢\$	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

26

27

28

Q All right, continuing, Sergeant Whiteley.

A Picture C was taken from inside the kitchen showing the west -- or excuse me, the northeast portion of the kitchen going to People's 7. It would be taken from approximately below kitchen, showing the area around the sink and the cabinets and the northeast portion.

Q All right.

A Of the kitchen.

There were -- there was a mark on the drawer here which appeared to me to be a bullet hole. There was also a hole underneath the sink here which appeared to be a bullet hole.

Q Did you look behind the sink there, too?

A Yes.

Q What did you find?

A Ultimately we found a suspended slug.

MR. DENNY: Object to what "we found," and move that be stricken as not responsive to the question, "What did you find."

THE COURT: After the word "ultimately," the answer is stricken.

Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, were you present when something was found in the hall there?

A Yes.

Q What was found?

A A ...

MR. DENNY: Object to what was -- oh, go shead, strike that.

1	A Yes.
2	Q And what did you determine?
3	A They appeared to be made by or all be in the same
4	line or track.
5	Q So, in your opinion did they appear to be, the
6	two holes or the marks that you marked "PW" the one in front
7	of the sink and the one on the cabinet, did they appear to be
8	made by the same bullet that you observed recovered in the
9	wa11?
10	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for a
11	conclusion and opinion.
12	THE COURT: Sustained without further foundation.
13	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Well, did you in
14	other words, it was your opinion they were all in line,
15	those two holes and the scrape mark on the cabinet?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now, getting back to People's 10 for a minute.
18	I believe we were about to go to the last photograph,
19	photograph D.
20	A This photograph D was taken from the middle of the
21	kitchen south, depicting the broken kitchen table and a
22	window which leads into the den, going to People's 7. It
23	would be from where the word "kitchen" is looking south to the
24 25	kitchen table and through this window into the den.
26	Q Now, did you notice anything unusual on the walls
27	or the on the ceilings in the kitchen?
28	. A Yes.
4 .0	Q What did you notice?

•	ı	A There appeared to be blood spots on all the walls
	2	except the south wall and on the ceiling.
	3	Q All right. Now, when you saw the south wall,
	4	and you're pointing to photograph D in People's 10?
ž ¥	5	A Yes.
÷	6	Q All right.
•,	7	So, in other words, on the three other walls
	8	in the kitchen there appeared to be blood spots and also on
	9	the ceiling?
	10	A Yes.
	n	Q Now, how many blood spots were there?
	12	A They were numerous, numerous spots. The heaviest
	13	ones were on the east wall on the cabinets.
ŧ	14	Q That's the cabinet above where you have described
₩.	15	the bullet holes and the scrape mark?
•	fls. 16	A Yes, in photograph D.
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	,
	21	
	22	
	23	•
*•	24	
s*;	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
.8.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

	11.		•	_				location
	the body							
you	have occ	asion	to lo	ok at th	e wall	above t	he head	of the
bod	ly?							

- A Yes.
- Q And was there anything unusual about that wall?
- A Yes.
- Q And would you please tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what was unusual about that wall?

A There was writing on the wall which appeared to be in blood, spelling "political piggy," and what appeared to be an animal's paw print on the wall just above the victim's head.

Q Did you draw any conclusions about how apparently this was written on the wall?

À No.

Q So, when you say "political piggy" was that spelled p-o-1-i-t-i-c-a-1, p-i-g-g-y?

A Yes.

- Q Then, the paw print was below that or above that?
- A It was below it.
- Q Did a representative of the Coroner's Office come to take charge of the body?

A Yes.

- Q And did you again, at a later time, see the same body down at the Los Angeles County Morgue?
 - A Yes, same morning.
 - Q All right, the same morning.

1a-2	1	And that's the morgue that's located in the same
	2	building we're in now, in the bottom in the basement?
	3	A That's correct.
	4	
•	5	Q You may resume the stand a minute, Sergeant
	6	Whiteley.
å Æ		Your Honor, I have here what appears to be a
	7	UCB checkbook that's previously been marked People's 13.
	8	May it remain as People's 13?
13 ID	9	THE COURT: So ordered.
	10	Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, I show you this
	11	checkbook People's 13. The checks have the name of "Gary A.
	12	Hinman" on them, "964 Old Topanga Canyon,"
4	13	Have you seen this before?
<i>‡</i>	14	A Yes.
,	15	Q And on July 31st, 1969, did you see it at the
	16	résidence at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road?
	17	A No.
	18	Q You saw it the next day?
	19.	A Yes, on August 1st.
	20	Q All right. And where did you see it?
	21	A It was in the kitchen.
	22	Q Was it in a coat?
s	23	A Yes, it was in a coat hanging in the kitchen between
\$	24	the hallway and the kitchen.
ھو	25	Q Was it inside the pocket of the coat?
	26	A Yes.
	27	Q If you would sit down a minute, Sergeant Whiteley,
	28,	I'm going to put another photograph up here on the board.
	. '	m hamed da fina menarar berahahtentu nt were aw and hanter.

1a-3

2

7

3

4

6

8

10

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

ID

28

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I wonder if this might be a convenient time to take the morning recess?

THE COURT: If you wish.

We'll recess now for approximately ten minutes.

During the recess you are advised not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form nor express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

About ten minutes.

(Morning recess.)

THE COURT: The record will show that all the jurors and alternates are present. The defendant is present with his counsel.

You may proceed.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Sergeant, I wonder if you would please step down here.

Your Honor, I have here a piece of cardboard with a photograph on it. It has previously been marked as People's No. 9 for identification.

May it remain as People's 9?

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. DENNY: No objection to its being received in evidence.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, it is received as People's 9. How many photographs? Eight?

MR. KAY: Eight photographs marked A through H.

1a-4 Can all of you jurors see this again? 1 Now, Sergeant Whiteley, showing you Photograph No. 2 Q It appears on the wall there that there's "political 3 4 piggy" and a paw print. ŝ Does this appear, this photograph No. A, does this 5 appear to be an accurate portrayal of the wall as you saw it 6 when you entered the home at 964 Old Topanga Road? 7 8 A Yes. 9 Is this the living room area? 10 Yes, it is. Ă So it is very faint in this picture, but it goes 11 **12** (spelling) p-o-l-i-t-i-c-, and then below that there's the 13 a-1; is that correct? 14 That's correct. Ά 15 And then, "piggy" is underneath that, p-i-g-g-y? 16 MR. DEMNy: I think the exhibit speaks for itself, 17 your Honor, and I don't think we need go through that. 18 THE COURT: Yes, that is true. 19 MR. KAY: All right, I'll show this to the jurors here, 20 because it is a little faint. 1b fol 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1b-1

î

. 1

3

4; 5

.6 7

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28,

Q BY MR. KAY: Now, Sergeant Whiteley, if you would, would you please describe these photographs and what's in the photographs.

A Photograph A shows the northeast wall of the living room. An outline is shown on the photograph here where the body was lying. There is the pillow and a sheet which was found adjacent to the victim's head.

Upon the wall is a makeshift little shrine, appears to be of Oriental extraction.

Photograph B shows the same thing. A little closer of where the body was lying, the pillow and the sheet which were adjacent to the head and a small heater which was next to the body.

Q Is the outline of the body made in chalk, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And was that done while the body was still on the ground or while it was removed?

A Portions was done while it was still on the ground and the rest was done afterwards.

Q Continue, if you would, please.

A Photograph C was taken from the northwest corner looking due west, showing where the body was in the center of the photograph here and the beads which were found next to the victim's body.

Photograph D was taken from the northwest corner showing the entranceway into the living room and the hallway where we're standing.

1	Now, let me ask you a question about Photograph D
2	here.
3	That's you in the photograph and is that Deputy
4	Guenther, also?
5	Xes.
б	Q Now, in the approximate location where you are
7	standing, did you find anything unusual on the floor there?
8	A Yes, there were what appeared to be blood spots
9	on the floor.
10	Q Okay. Very many or
11	A No, there were very few.
12	Q Approximately?
13	A Five or six small droplets.
14	Q Now, if you could go on to Photograph E.
15	A Photograph E is taken from the north portion of
16	the living room, showing the wall which is adjacent to the
17	entranceway into the living room, the wall in the picture D
18	on the extreme right side of the photograph.
19 [.]	Picture F is the north or, excuse me, the
20	southwest corner showing a window that was open and the furni-
21	ture which was in that portion of the living room.
22	Photograph G is the west wall and the fireplace
23	which is in the northwest corner of the living room.
24	And Photograph H is the north wall and the west
25	wall where the fireplace is in the living room.
26	The beads are shown in this photograph again,
27	which were adjacent to the victim's right hand.
28.	Q Those are People's 12, the beads you identified?

and stapled to this Manila folder. 1 Did you look outside the location to see if you 2 could find a car that matched the description of this pink 3 slip and license number? Yes, I did. 5 And were you successful? 6 A Yes, I was. 7 Where was this car located? 8 The vehicle was found on Old Topanga Canyon 9 Road off on the dirt portion in front of the residence. 10 It appeared to be abandoned. 11 12 All right. Did you check the engine on the car 13 to see if it was operable? 14 Yes. 15 Was the car in an operable condition? 16 A No. 17 And was it missing anything in the engine area? Q. 18 The carburetor was off. I can't remember if Ā 19 it was still sitting on top of the engine or not, but there Ic fls. was no carburetor. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1c-1 1 Q Okay, and I take it -- did you try to start the 2 car or did you have any keys? 3 No. A You had no keys? 5 No. Ά 6 Q All right. But you determined that the car was 7 inoperable? R A Yes. , Q 9 Did you find any other vehicles --10 Well, specifically, I'll show you People's 1 and 11 People's 2 for identification. The Fiat and the VW microbus. 12 Did you see either of these vehicles around the 13 home 964 Old Topanga Road? 14 No. 15 On that Nash, the car that you described that you 16 found at the residence at 964 Old Topanga Road, other than the 17 fact that you determined it was inoperable, what condition was 18 it in? Was it clean or dirty or what? 19 It was very dirty. It appeared the vehicle had 20 been sitting there for several months. 21 Now, were you present in the Los Angeles County Q 22 Morgue when an autopsy was done on the body that was discovered 23 at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road? Yes, I was. 25 MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have --26 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, before we begin on this, may we 27 approach the bench for a minute? 28 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

1c-2

1 2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'm of the opinion, since Mr. Kay is approaching the witness with exhibits which have heretofore been marked People's Exhibits 16 and 17, I believe in the Manson case, and which exhibits the Court did not permit to be introduced into evidence in that case because of their prejudicial aspect, that Mr. Kay is going to attempt to have those marked for identification and have certain testimony of Mr. Whiteley concerning the autopsy, which he's going to get in through Dr. Katsuyama anyway. I don't believe it is necessary to go into either the pictures through Mr. Whiteley or the procedure of the autopsy through Mr. Whiteley or anything else since they're going to do it through Dr. Katsuyama again, if I understand it, with the mannequin which was especially made for that purpose. And I think it will consume a lot of the Court's time to go through this procedure and to have these pictures marked. And I think certainly it would not be received into evidence because of there highly prejudicial flavor.

MR. MANZELLA: Since Mr. Denny did allude to the Court's ruling in the previous case in the Manson trial, I should point out that People's 17 was admitted. It was People's 16 that was not admitted into evidence. But People's 17 was admitted into evidence.

However, the People are offering both People's 16 and 17, because we want to illustrate, in order to argue

1.

in the Shea portion of the case why the jury should find from the circumstantial evidence that Shea is dead. We want to illustrate why we are in fact using circumstantial evidence in the Hinman portion of the case to show that the deceased — that Gary Hinman is dead and that, in fact, there is no direct evidence that Hinman is dead and that this case, the Hinman case, is a circumstantial — well, relies on circumstantial evidence just the way the Shea case was, because the body was so decomposed it could not be identified by anybody who knew Hinman. The body in death could not be identified. In other words, we are relying on circumstantial evidence to show that that body is Hinman's body. We're using the prints taken at the time of the autopsy and —

MR. DENNY: Well, I'll stipulate --

MR. MANZELLA: -- on circumstantial evidence --

MR. DENNY: I'll stipulate it is Hinman's body.

MR. MANZELLA: That's not my point. We're using this case as part of our argument, and I think legitimately so, that that's — that it is not unusual to prove a death by circumstantial evidence. We've done it in the Hinman, we're doing it in the Shea case. We'll use that argument in the Shea case.

Also, the photographs 16 and 17 illustrate the autopsy testimony with regard to decomposition with regard to the body. The photograph 16, People's -- which was previously marked 16, I don't know if it has been marked here yet --

MR. KAY: No.

1c-4

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

ld fol

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: -- the wounds, we're not able to show the wound to the head by photograph because of the stated decomposition. And I believe the photographs show malice on the part of the conspirators, those that conspired to kill Hinman, and that they left the body in the condition that they did and they left the body to decompose.

And I've got some cases I would like to cite to the Court, if I may.

Essentially what the cases hold is that -- well, first of all, all of those cases involve the introduction of many photographs on behalf of the prosecution. And the cases I'm referring to deal with colored photographs, both autopsy photographs, which these photographs are, and photographs of the body found at the scene.

And I would like to cite some of the language, if -- with the Court's permission, if I may.

1d-1

 THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. MANZELLA: In People vs. Carter, C-a-r-t-e-r, at 48 Cal. 2d, 737, at page 751, the Court said, and I quote, "If the evidence has probative value, if the evidence has probative value with respect to a fact in issue that outweighs the danger of prejudice to the defendant, the evidence is admissible even if it is gruesome and may, incidentally, arouse the passions of the jury."

In the case of People vs. Love, L-o-v-e, at 53 Cal 2d, 843, at pages 852 and 854, the California Supreme Court said that in part, I quote:

"Although this photographic evidence was
largely cumulative and might properly have been
excluded, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in admitting it."

And in this case, the Love case, there were eight by ten color photographs, autopsy photographs taken of the deceased, two eight by ten color photographs.

In the case of People vs. Harrison, H-a-r-r-i-s-o-n, at 50 Cal 2d 622, involved the introduction of seven colored slides of a body. I believe they were autopsy slides. And the Court held in Harrison:

"Necessity is not the test for determining the admissibility at trial of photographs of a murder victim. The test if whether the evidence is relevant."

And in the case of People vs. Mathis, M-a-t-h-i-s, at 63 Cal. 2d 416, at page 423, the California Supreme Court

--

held, and I quote:

"The color photographs in this case are indeed gruesome, but the test for admissibility is whether the probative value of the evidence outwelghs the inflammatory effect it may have."

There's one other thing I would like to quote to the Court.

In the case of People vs. Stanworth, S-t-a-n-w-o-r-t-h, it is a 1969 California Supreme Court case, at 71 Advanced Cal. 858, at page 878, the Court said, and I quote:

"Where the --"

MR. DENNY: Shhhh.

MR. MANZELLA: I've only got two levels, apparently I can't get in the middle.

THE COURT: You're doing all right.

MR. MANZELLA: (Reading.)

"Where the details of what took place at the murder scene are not at all clear, the photographs constitute the most accurate evidence of these matters and lend clarity to the testimony of doctors who testified in connection therewith."

I submit that in this case we've got that situation where we have no eyewitness with regard to what occurred at the murder scene.

Now, finally, and this is my last citation, as to Section 352 of the California Evidence Code, I know the Court is very familiar with that, but I would like to

'X

8

22 .

point out to the Court the language in 352 which says that
the Court may exclude evidence if its probative value is
substantially outweighed by the probability that its admission
will create substantial danger of undue prejudice. Section
352 and 352(b). And I emphasize the language "substantially
outweighed by the probability that its admission will create
substantial danger of undue prejudice."

And we submit under 352 and the cases involving the use of color photographs and the reasons that I have already said to the Court why we want to introduce these photographs, we submit that they are -- that the probative value is not outweighed by any inflammatory effect they may have on that jury.

MR. DENNY: May I respond to a couple of things?

First of all, this last case cited where the question of how the murder occurred is not at all clear, pictures may be introduced to show that those are pictures of the murder scene itself. These are as Mr. Manzella indicates, autopsy pictures. They're taken at a different place at a different time.

So that case doesn't have any bearing. It is not in point.

Issue, necessity is not the requirement but relevancy, is how the relevancy is, and that's what 352 is. Relevancy is whether the probative value is outweighed by the prejudicial effect. That's the whole issue of relevancy. So we get right back down to that issue.

Now, let's go to the probative value. Dr.

Katsuyama docsn't need these photographs to testify from.

He testified from the mannequin and did very well in the last case and did very well in the Beausoleil case. So that they're not necessary for that or to explain his testimony.

So, to say that they'd want to introduce these somehow to argue from them, the Shea case, I find extraordinary. A body decomposes with time, that is so. I don't believe that you have to show a jury pictures of a decomposed body, when there is no body at all in the Shea case, to argue that a body decomposes with time.

le-1

,

Ż

O

So, the fact that they want to use these pictures to prejudice the jury, and I think it is certainly inflammatory in this case to get before the jury these pictures to say this is probably what Shea looked like or something of that kind, is unthinkable. The pictures are not necessary and they are not so highly probative, aside from what other things are in evidence and testimony relating thereto, that their use is going to be of such value and so probative that it will outweigh the prejudicial affect on the jury. They are not pretty pictures and they don't need to be introduced and they are inflammatory.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. DENNY: And certainly from this witness, there's no reason to have them introduced. If Dr. Katsuyama, for some reason in the case, says he needs People's 17 to show the marks — and they don't show the marks very well. They don't show the marks as well as the mannequin — that's one thing, but I don't think that looking at the picture it is that probative as compared with the mannequin that was made up, apparently, from all of the information available to the autopsy surgeon at the time he performed the autopsy.

MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley also has to identify these photographs because he was present when the fingerprints were rolled from this corpse in the morgue and he has to identify that this is the same body that he saw the fingerprints being rolled from. That's why we are introducing them at this time.

MR. DENNY: I'll stipulate to that.

le-2

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

, 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

MR. KAY: Well --

THE COURT: What the People have said in connection with their offer of proof here has some weight in the Court's mind as to the relevance of the offered photographs. However, I think that the prejudicial effect of People's 16, the view of the face which is extremely shocking and gruesome is such that it should be excluded, and I would find that its prejudicial effect -- the Court does find its prejudicial effect -- the Court does find its prejudicial effect would exceed its probative value.

But as to 17, the Court will permit that. Court finds that it does have sufficient probative value for the reasons -- some of the reasons stated by the People and it should be permitted and that it -- its effect, prejudicial effect, the probative value outweighs its prejudicial effect.

MR. KAY: Okay, we would move that it be introduced at this time.

MR. DENNY: Obviously over my objection.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The Court will admit --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I don't believe they have been marked for identification.

Strike that. THE COURTS

MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have the photograph here that s previously been referred to on a piece of cardboard. It is one

le-3	1	photograph that has previously been marked as People's 17 for
	2	identification. May it be marked as People's 17 in this case
	3	and be admitted into evidence?
	4	THE COURT: So ordered.
è F	5	Q BY MR. KAY: Getting back to the last topic,
\$ \$	6	Sergeant Whiteley, were you present at the autopsy of the
\$	7	body that was found at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road?
	8	A Yes, I was.
	9	Q And that, again, took place in the County Morgue
	10	in this building?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q I show you People's 17 for identification. Do you
	13	recognize what's depicted in that photograph?
*	14.	A Yes.
, ,	15	And is that the body as it appeared in the morgue?
	16	A Yes.
•	17	Q The body that you found at 964 Old Topanga Canyon
	18	Road?
fol	19	A Yes
	20	
	21	
	22	
£.	23	
*	24	
L7	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

25

26

27

28

 2	!7
Q And is it in the same condition as depicted in	
this photograph?	
A Yes.	
Q And were you present when some fingerprints wer	e
taken from this body?	
A Yés.	
Q And who took those fingerprints?	
A One of the Deputy Coroners.	
MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have here People's 28, what's	į
been previously marked as People's 28. It's a fingerprint	
exemplar card appears to be a Xerox copy of a fingerprin	t
exemplar card.	
May it remain People's 28 in this proceeding?	
THE COURT: So ordered.	
Q BY MR. KAY: I show you the fingerprint exempla	ľ

card, Sergeant Whiteley. Is this the card that was taken from the body in People's 17?

Yes, those were the prints that were rolled.

Did the Deputy Coroner just take the hand of the Q body and then roll the fingerprints by doing that (indicating)?

A Yes.

Okay. Q

And I notice at the bottom of this card, which says "Coroner's Prints," there's a date, "8-1-69," and it's signed "Paul Whiteley, W-i-t, " which I take it means "Witness."

Did you sign that?

1	A Yes.
2	And these prints were taken on August 1st, 1969?
3	A. That's correct.
4	And this, again, People's 17, accurately reflects
5	the body as it appeared in the Los Angeles County Morgue on
6	August 1st, 1969?
7	A Yes.
8	(Whereupon Mr. Kay exhibited the photograph
9	to the members of the jury.)
10	MR. DENNY: May the record reflect, your Honor, that Mr.
Ü	Kay has shown the Exhibit 17 to all of the jurors?
12	THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show.
13	MR. KAY: And, your Honor, I move that People's 28 be
14	received in evidence at this time. That's the fingerprint
15	exemplar card.
16	MR. DENNY: No objection.
17	THE COURT: So ordered. 28 is received in evidence,
18	then.
19	MR. KAY: May I have one moment? I think I've
20	concluded, but I just want to make sure.
21	Oh, yes, I do have **
22	Q Directing your attention, Sergeant Whiteley, to
23	the VW microbus and the white Fiat stationwagon, did you
24	issue an all-points bulletin for these two cars?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And when did you do that?
· 27	A August the 1st or 2nd of 1969.
28	MR. KAY: I have no further questions at this time.

Thank you, Sergeant Whiteley. THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Denny? 2 MR. DENNY: Yes, a few. 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. (Pause in the proceedings while a discussion 5 off the record ensued at the counsel table between б Mr. Denny and Mr. Manzella.) 7 8 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DENNY: 10 11 Mr. Whiteley, had you run some sort of DMV 12 check to determine that Mr. Hinman supposedly owned some 13 cars, other than that Nash Metropolitan, before you ran the 14 All-Points Bulletin? 15 In the way you've worded it, no. 16 You got this information through civilian Q 17 witnesses? 18 Å Partially. 19 All right. Q 20 I'm curious about the beads, People's 12 here. 21 Where did you get those beads, sir? Or did 22 you get those beads? 23 Do you have them? They're Exhibit No. --24 A I know what exhibit you are speaking of. 25 They either came from the Nichiren Shoshu 26 Society, or from Miss Joan Farley. 27 Q Well, where are the beads that are depicted 28 here in the exhibits -- it's difficult to say which number

	1	it is. I believe
	2	MR. KAY: Here, I'll tell you. It's 9.
	3	Q BY MR. DENNY: (Continuing) 9-C.
	4	A They were turned over to the Nichiren Shoshu
er er	5	Society.
è	6	Q They were evidence in this case, and you turned
*	7	them over to the Nichiren Shoshu Society, did you?
	8 :	A Evidence?
	9	Q That's two questions.
	10	A Evidence in the case? It would be a very
	11	I don't quite understand what you mean by when you say
2a fls.	12	"evidence in this case."
	13	
*	14	
, ί	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	¢.
	20	
. '	21	
	22	
•	23	
t _{ų.}	24	·
**	25	·
	26	
	27	
	28	

2,5

28

1	
2	to t
3	
4	
5	38 8
6	isn
7	
8	has:
9	
10	:
\mathbf{n}	evi
12	
13	
14	
15	sign
16	
17	BOM
18	
19	
20	to
21	
22	
23	
24	rel
25	ove
26	- + -
27	****

	Q	Well,	they	would b	6 ····	almost	the	closest	thing
to	the body	in thi	s pa	rticular	CASE	ej isn't	: tha	t correc	st?

A Yes.

Q They seemed to have some significance to you, as a homicide investigator, as an officer of some 15 years; isn't that correct?

MR. KAY: Well, that assumes facts not in evidence. He hasn't testified that they had any significance to him.

MR. DEMNY: I am asking it, on cross examination.

THE COURT: Yes, I don't think it assumes any fact in evidence, in that respect.

The Court sustains -- overrules the objection.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: At the particular time, it had no significance to me.

Q BY MR. DENNY: At some later time, it developed some significance to you?

A Yes.

Q And still you did personally turn over those beads to the Michiren Shoshu Society?

A No. I didn't personally.

Q You authorized their release; is that correct?

A The parents did, I believe. I authorized the release of the beads to the parents, who in turn turned them over to the Nichiren Shoshu Society.

Q I see. Now, when you searched through the home, you found some clothing there of a person or persons?

A Yes, There was clothing all over the house.

2a-2	1	
	_	Q Female clothing also?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Where was the female clothing located?
2	4	A There was some female clothing in the den.
1	5	Q Would you indicate, please, with your pointer,
4,	6 .	to the jury approximately where?
#	7	A On this window seat (indicating) in the den.
	.8	Q Just piled on the window seat?
	9	A Yes. It was scattered about on the window seat.
	10	MR. KAY: And that's People's 7, Sergeant, that you are
	. 11	pointing to?
	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	13.	Q BY MR. DENNY: Yes. Would you say, did it appear
*	14	to be folded and scattered folded, or just thrown about in a
,	15	state of disarray? Or how would you describe it?
	16	A Both.
	17	Q And what's can you describe the items of
	18	clothing?
	19	A There was a pair of capris, and a couple of
	20	pairs of Women's panties.
	21	I can't recall what else. There was not very much
	22	else there.
	23	Q Did you make a report at the time, an evidence
3.	24	report, inventorying those particular items of clothing?
×e.	25	
•	26	A I don't recall if I made an inventory report or
	27	not.
	28	Q Well, is it your custom, as a homicide investigator
	İ	of some fifteen years experience with the Sheriff's department,

4

5

б

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to make a rather complete and detailed inventory of the premises where a murder has occurred?

> A No.

All right. There were other items of women's clothing elsewhere in the home?

Yes.

Q Where?

In the bedroom, on the first level, directly underneath the living room.

Q Did you cause any pictures to be made of that bedroom? Or taken?

I really can't recall whether I -- I had the photographer there at the time or not. I had to break into the Lower bedroom.

Is that where you gained some of your experience, in determining whether a door has been broken in or not, breaking in yourself?

MR. KAY: Argumentative, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: No. it's not.

MR. KAY: Well, I ask that that be stricken. Mr. Denny has a habit of making gratuitous comments.

THE COURT: Both counsels' remarks, ladies and gentlemen, are stricken.

Gentlemen, if you wish to argue, you may approach the bench.

MR. DENNY: I don't want to argue it, your Honor. I would like a court ruling on it.

The Court will rule on it, without THE COURT: Yes.

argument, gentlemen.

If you'll just state the objection, and then if I wish you to approach the bench to give argument, I'll let you do so, or ask you to do so.

Would you read the question to me, please?

MR. DENNY: I believe the Court would need the prior question and answer before this particular one.

THE COURT: Please, Mr. Williams? You may do that.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Did you cause any pictures to be made of that bedroom? Or taken?

"A I really can't remember whether I -I had the photographer there at the time or not.
I had to break into the lower bedroom.

"Q Is that where you gained some of your experience, in determining whether a door has been broken in or not, breaking in yourself?")

2b-1 1 2 You may answer. THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 ໌ 6 9 10 11 12 is that right? 13 A Yes. 14 Q 15 16 particular home? 17 A Yes. 18 And in the one bedroom, you said there were also 19 women's clothing. Where? 20 In a closet, on the north side of the bedroom. A And what type of clothing? Q. 22 Both men and women's clothing. A 23 Q What type of women's clothing in particular? 24 Dresses, blouses; that's about all I can recall. A 25 O. Did you check any bureaus, dressers, to see 26 whether there was any clothing or personal effects in there? 27

A

Q

28

Yes.

THE COURT: Overruled. I will overrule the objection. BY MR. DENNY: Are those some of the 75 doors you referred to earlier in your testimony, on direct examination, that you had seen broken in? That caused you to -- that the door pictured was broken in? Then you've seen more than 75 doors broken in: All right, sir. Going, then, to the bedroom, is it just a one-bedroom -- is there just one bedroom in that

And were there any women's clothing or personal

2b=2	1	effects in	such dresser or bureau?
	2	A	Yes, there was a lady's bra in one of the drawers.
	3	Ą	Anything else?
	4	.	Of wearing apparel?
şî -	5	Q	Yes.
\$. \$	6	A	There were men's clothing.
\$	7	Q	No. I em talking specifically about women's
	8	, Α	No, not that I can not that I can recall.
	9 ,	;	And did you check the bathroom? Was there a
	10	bathroom on	the lower floor?
	11	A	No.
	12	Q ;	Did you check the bathroom in the upper floor?
	13	Α .	Yes.
ð	14	Q	Did you determine whether there were any toilet
3	15	articles of	any kind that would normally be used by a woman,
	16	as distingu	Ished from a man?
	17	A	Yes.
	18	ନ୍	And did you find any?
	19	A	Yes.
	20	Q	What did you find?
	, 21	Λ	There was a pair of women's panties on the floor
	22	adjacent to	a dirty clothes hamper.
î,	23		And there were several bottles of perfume in the
	24	cabinet in	the bathroom.
*	25	Q	Were there several toothbrushes in a toothbrush
	26	holder, som	ething like that?
	27	A	No.
_	28	Q	Any toothbrushes that you found?

	1	
b+3	1	A I can't recall.
	2	Q I realize this goes back quite a ways, and you may
	3	not have been questioned about this previously.
	4	Were there any other things throughout the
ž.	5	house articles of clothing or things particularly that
* •	6	you would associate with female use other than those that
¥	7	you have referred to thus far?
	8	A No.
	9.	Q Would you say, sir, based on your experience as a
	10	homicide investigator, that it appeared that a female lived
	n	in the house?
	12	Λ No.
	13	Q Or had recently occupied the house?
	14	Q Or had recently occupied the house? A (Pause.) Well, yes.
.	15	Q All right. And did you make any determination,
	16	sir, as to the male clothing, whether that male clothing
	17	belonged to any person other than the decendent, Gary Hinman?
	18	Λ Yes.
	19	Q And did you determine that it did belong to
	20	someone other than Mr. Hinman?
•	. 21	A Yes. There was men's clothing in the house that
	22	did not belong to Mr. Hinman,
. i .	23	Q All right.
ú.	24	And was this also in the bedroom, the downstairs
27	25	bedroom?
	26	Λ Yes.
	27	Q Were there any items of male clothing upstairs
	28	A No.

	1	Q that did not belong to Mr. Hinman?
	2	A No, not that I could determine
	3	Q All right.
	4	A belonged to anyone other than Mr. Hinman.
\$	5	Q Did it appear, sir, from your examination that
≗ •	6	the upstairs was being utilized for sleeping purposes in
.	7	any way? Regularly utilized for sleeping purposes?
	8	A No, it did not appear that way.
	9	Q And how many beds were in this bedroom downstairs?
	10	A One bed.
	11	Q How big?
	12	A A double bed.
	13	Q A double bed. Other than the double bed, what
3	14 (furniture was in that lower bedroom?
•	15	A A dresser dresser drawers and and a night
2c fls	. 16	table. I think that was it.
	17	
	. 18	
	19	
	20	
ų.	21	
	22	
Ås.	23	
**	24	
74.	25	
	26	
	27 .	
	28	

A.

2c-2

1 2

THE COURT: We'll recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen, until 2:00 o'clock. Again, you are admonished that during the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor to permit anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form nor express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

(Whereupon, an adjournment was taken at 12:01 P. M. until 2:00 o'clock P. M. of the same day.)

20 ~

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 1972 2:00 P.M.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had out of the presence of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Judge, I asked for this hearing because

I had subpoended Mr. Abrams, who is a Deputy Probation

Officer, and he is the Deputy Probation Officer assigned at
the present time to investigate or render a probation report
on Alan Springer, who, as I understand it, will be testifying,
as he has previously, for the People.

And I have subpoensed the probation file No. X-379774 on Mr. Springer which is in the possession and custody of Mr. Abrams at this time.

And I would like, for the purpose of discovery, simply to lay the foundation through Mr. Abrams that this is the file and have it marked for identification so that I may have it at this time with the understanding that as soon as we are through cross-examining Mr. Springer or before, if I can get all the information I need from it, and the People will waive any foundational requirements, it may be returned to Mr. Abrams.

I understand further that Mr. Abrams has by policy of the office a statement as far as releasing these records. But at least that's the purpose of this. And I would like to have him sworn and simply proceed as indicated.

THE COURT: Let's see, you wish to swear him so that he would testify as to the --

MR. DENNY: Foundation. 1 THE COURT: -- foundation for the records and his -- the 2 policy of the office; is that it? 3 MR. DENNY: Yes. THE COURT: Against releasing the records? 5 MR. DENNY: Yes. THE COURT: Oh, I can't see the necessity for it. Is 7 that -- is it stipulated that the foundational facts are 8 stipulated to with respect to this file? 9 MR. MANZELLA: It is stipulated that it is the proba-10 tion officer's file in the case of People vs. Springer. 11 Is that what you are asking for? 12 MR. DENNY: I was not --13 MR. MANZELLA: I don't understand really what you want 14 to do. 15 MR. DENNY: Well ---16 THE COURT; He's not asking you to stipulate to its 17 admissibility. 18 MR. MANZELIA: I understand that. We will be glad to 19 stipulate -- Mr. Abrams is here. We would be glad --20 MR. DENNY: The number of consolidated cases of People 21 vs. Alan Springer, going back from 1967 up to the present 22 time, as I understand it. 23 24 MR. MANZELLA: Hmm. MR. DENNY: But as I understand it, -- is this correct. 25 Mr. Abrams, the records that you have brought are his --26 Mr. Springer's probation file from 1967 to the present time? 27 MR, ABRAMS: That's correct. 28

ŝ

3

THE COURT: '57 or '67? 1 67. MR. DENNY: 2 THE COURT: '67 to the present time. 3 MR. DENNY: And they are the official records that have been made in the course of business of the Probation 5 Department from supervising --6 MR. ABRAMS: That's correct. 7 MR. DENNY: -- in supervising Mr. Springer and of 8 getting information of his background for the court? 9 MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Springer, does the probation file 10 contain letters from outside of the Probation Department? 11 MR. ABRAMS: Well, before I talk about it, I would like 12 13 to read this for the record, just to -- the Department says it is mandatory that I make a statement before I discuss the 14 15 record, if that would be all right. MR. MANZELLA: Okay, have we identified the file so 16 17 we know what we are talking about? 18 MR. ABRAMS: It is the file of Alan Springer, probation 19 file with the No. X-379774. 20 MR. MANZELLA: And that's all the documents you are 21 holding in your hand now except that cover letter or state-22 ment? 23 MR. ABRAMS: Well, it also includes the District 24 Attorney file on one of the cases I am investigating as well 25 as a transcript and a police report on another case which was 26 consolidated which I am investigating. 27 MR. MANZELIA: And is it contended all those documents 28 and file be marked as the probation file?

ŝ

چ

A.

MR. DENNY: That is correct. 1 Just for the purpose of identification only. 2 MR. MANZELLA: Okay, fine. 3 MR. DENNY: Better let him read --4 MR. ABRAMS: (Reading.) 5 "The probation officer considers case records 6 in his possession to be confidential as held by the 7 Attorney General in 24 opinions, Attorney General 219. 8 He objects to any inspection of such records. If 10 the court orders the records to be received in spite 11 of his protext, he will comply with such order but 12 respectfully requests that his objection be included in the record." 13 Also, if any of these records are to be retained. 14 15 I must have Xerox copies of them. In other words, I can 16 leave Xerox copies but I must take the records back with me. 17 THE COURT: All right, now --18 MR. DENNY: Well, how --19 THE COURT: You don't intend to introduce the probation 20 officer's file, do you, this file that's --21 MR. DENNY: No, I don't expect to introduce it into 22 evidence at the present time. 23 THE COURT: You simply want to be able to inspect it? 24 MR. DENNY: That is correct, your Honor. 25 Now, there may be things in there which will 26 have some bearing on cross-examination of Mr. Springer, but 27 unless they are statements of Mr. Springer himself, I doubt 28 that I could use them specifically to cross-examine him.

35

+€

But it is at this time just simply for the purpose of discovery to determine the extent of the records and any deals that have been made between the District Attorney's office and Mr. Springer for his testimony.

4-1

*

*

÷,

Mr. Abrams, do you have copies of any of those records at the present time?

MR. ABRAMS: Well, the only thing that really would be copies would be -- I have several copies of each continuance I've submitted.

MR. DENMY: I've seen those.

MR. ABRAMS: And there may be an extra copy of the probation report or the violation report. Other than that, the only thing in here would be minute orders pertaining to the various continuances.

Has the Court ordered me to release this, by the way?

MR. MANEELLA: Your Honor, I would object to the District Attorney's Office file being released, if it is in fact the District Attorney file, because I don't think the fact that it's in the hands of an agency other than the District Attorney's Office makes it any more discoverable than if it was still in the hands of the District Attorney's Office.

And I would object to that being released.

THE COURT: What portion of that pile of papers which you have in your hand -- looks like about a three-inch file -- is the District Attorney's file, since you refer to it?

MR. ABRAMS: Well, this is the transcript and an arrest report, an arrest report from an arrest in January, which was consolidated into the present information which I am investigating, which is — which is this file here, which is the District Attorney's file.

That's case No. A-115370. The transcript is

Þ

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

۴,

.

2526

27 28 A-116185, which was later consolidated under the lower number.

MR, DENNY: Well, your Honor, I have no --

THE COURT: It began last January, then?

MR. ABRAMS: The A-115370, and the 116185 resulted from an arrest in January of 1971.

THE COURT: What are you holding? A transcript of a preliminary hearing?

MR. ABRAMS: A transcript, and an arrest report from the January '71 arrest.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to review that transcript, because that is an important piece of evidence, as to the extent of -- or, the magnitude of the cases that have been held in abeyance for disposition over Mr. Springer.

There are some rather large items of stolen property, of great value, that I think will be reflected in there, that are not reflected just in the court records, showing the charge of receiving stolen property.

MR. KAY: Has he been convicted of that crime, or --

MR. ABRAMS: He's pled guilty to it.

MR. KAY: To what charge?

MR. ABRAMS: He has pled guilty to one Count.

MR. DENMY: Of receiving stolen property.

THE COURT: Well, on that basis, I think that that would be discoverable. However, that should be in the court file, shouldn't it, so that it --

MR. DENNY: It is not in the court file, your Honor. I've checked the court file, and it is not there.

THE COURT: Well, is it your position that you could not

4-3

3.

4a fol

1,7

properly leave that with Mr. Denny, without making a copy thereof and having him read the copy?

MR. ABRAMS: Correct. I'm in the process of investigating the case. Unfortunately, the District Attorney's file in this particular case is missing somewhere, and I have no information with which to investigate the case, other than the transcript and the police report, which I obtained Friday by going down to the Los Angeles Police Department.

Now, I might -- I might aside here that the plea -- the arrest report does indicate the type of merchandise involved in the case.

And it would be a lot easier to copy than the transcript.

MR. KAY: I think Mr. Denny already has a copy of that, --

MR. DENNY: I have a copy of that.

MR. KAY: -- of the arrest report.

MR. ABRAMS: It does point out the property, the various property involved.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think I could get together later this afternoon with Mr. Abrams. I know he has got a job out in the Valley, which would make it a little difficult, perhaps; but if he can remain here during the afternoon session --

THE COURT: Well, I won't ask him to remain the entire afternoon, but I'll ask that you make that available to Mr. Denny sometime this week.

You won't need to have it for very long, I shouldn't imagine.

4a-1

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 MR. DENNY: Well, no, I won't. Except, your Honor, I am tied up in court all during the working hours -- when, I assume, he is working.

And I don't think we can get together in the evening very well.

THE COURT: Well, see what arrangements can be made during the next week.

MR. ABRAMS: All right.

THE COURT: And see if you can find some time, perhaps, when we recess here -- or, in the early morning.

MR. ABRAMS: I could leave you the transcript, if you could get it back to me by Monday.

MR, DENNY; Oh, no problem about that.

THE COURT: That's satisfactory with the Court.

How about the other file, though?

MR. DENNY: Will the Court order that file to be made available to me, for inspection?

THE COURT: Now, what other file are we speaking of?
And what kind of a case was that? And how old is it?

MR. ABRAMS: Okay. Well, the probation file --

THE COURT: Is that a matter in which he is currently on probation?

MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor.

MR. ABRAMS: Well, he is not currently on probation.

MR. DENNY: Yes. I'm sorry. There's a violation of probation pending.

MR. ABRAMS: Probation is revoked, and probation is in effect in limbo right now. The original expiration date was

4a-9

Î

ŧ

1

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

11

10

12 13

14

16 , 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

26

₹

27

28

September of 1970, and probation was revoked as the result of a violation, and the proceedings have been continued all along.

THE COURT: For the disposition of the other case?

MR. ABRAMS: On his original probation -- yeah, to trail the disposition of the other cases. The violation and the new case are set together.

THE COURT: The violation and the new case are set for what date?

MR. DENNY: January 28th.

MR. ABRAMS: So far, it's been continued several times.

I still have not seen Mr. Springer, and so I don't know whether

I'll be able to hit the 28th, either.

THE COURT: Well, would it maybe inconvenience you to release that file? You'd have to -- you'd want to copy it all before releasing it to Mr. Denny; is that --

MR. ABRAMS: Well, this is the procedure I'm supposed to follow. I -- I'm not sure exactly what he's looking for in the file.

It might be a lot easier if I could spend even ten or fifteen minutes going through it, and I could show him or point out whatever he needs in there, and there may be copies of what he needs.

MR. DENNY: Well, it obviously would be easier to do that, your Honor.

THE COURT: When do you intend to put Mr. Springer on? MR. KAY: Probably next week.

THE COURT: Would you two get together, you and Mr.
Denny get together, sometime this week, then? What is your

16·

ĸŤ.

schedule? Would you be free some afternoon at 4:00? I'll let Mr. Denny go early.

MR. ABRAMS: Well, I may --

THE COURT: See, that would keep you from making copies. So that if he does it in your presence, he goes through it in your presence, he should be able to dig out all he'll need in a very short time.

It really doesn't appear, after having looked at it, to be too voluminous.

MR. DENNY: No, not quite three inches thick.

MR. ABRAMS: And a lot of it is duplication paper work, which has no bearing on anything but the probation report.

I could probably make arrangements with him. I am sure I will be coming down to the jail sometime this week to do interviews.

MR. DENNY: All right. If the Court will so order,

MR. KAY: And your Honor, we still object to Mr. Denny's going through the -- getting the District Attorney's file on that. Because oftentimes, there are confidential communications between different deputies.

MR. DENNY; Well, your Honor, I would request at this time that the Court peruse that file, to determine whether there's anything in the file as far as any arrangement made between the District Attorney's Office and Mr. Springer.

I doubt whether there is.

THE COURT: How did you come to be in possession of this?

MR. ABRAMS: Well, in the course of doing pre-sentence investigations, we always obtain the District Attorney's file,

44-4

ib fål

to use as the basis for our investigation.

It contains the arrest record, and the witnesses; usually, the investigating officers, I attempt to contact in the course of my investigation, and --

THE COURT: Is that specially prepared, Mr. Kay, for the probation officer?

*

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

4b-1

.3

÷

MR. KAY: No, your Honor. This is specially prepared for the -- the Deputy District Attorneys that are trying the case at the preliminary hearing level and the trial level.

But as a -- as a matter of courtesy, it's given to the Probation Office -- although no one other than the probation officer is to be allowed to --

THE COURT: All right. Let me see it.

I frankly don't think there would be anything in that particular case.

MR. ABRAMS: I really don't think there is. Although I haven't looked through it since sometime ago.

THE COURT: Here's a crime report. You probably have a copy of that.

MR. DENNY: Yes, I have that already, your Honor.

MR. KAY: See, those pages (indicating) that you are going through, and anything on those pages, is the work product of the District Attorney's Office.

The arrest reports and things, we have no objection to.

He's probably already seen those (indicating). That, again, is a work product of the District Attorney.

MR. DENNY: Well, I will stipulate, having casually observed the Judge leafing through the papers, that I need not have a more specific look at that.

MR. KAY: All right. We'll accept that stipulation. Mr. Denny says he doesn't went to see it now.

THE COURT: I don't see any information here in this file that would indicate that there is any agreement, or

there has been any agreement arrived at, between the 1 defendant and the District Attorney's Office -- or the 2 defendant's counsel and the District Attorney's Office. 3 MR. DENNY: I think, again for the record, your Honor, 4 that's the ---5 THE COURT: It's A-11 6 MR. DENNY: -- count charging two counts of violation 7 of 11910 of the Health and Safety Code. я THE COURT: Yes. It's A113570. ٠9 MR. KAY: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: It would seem to me, then --11 12 MR. KAY: In other words, then, you are not to show 13 that to Mr. Denny; do you understand that? 14 MR. ABRAMS: Yes. 15 MR. KAY: Good. THE COURT: All right. You gentlemen can do that 16 sometime this week, so that Mr. Denny may be apprised of what's 17 18 in your file. 19 MR. ABRAMS: Also the transcript on the 116185 case? 20 THE COURT: Yes, if you will, please. 21 And thank you very much. You are excused for 22 today. 23 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: We appreciate your coming in. All right, 25 Thank you. Mr. Abrams. 26 MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, I have got a three-page 27 report from the Police Department of Tacoma, Washington, that 28 I want to give to Mr. Denny.

K.

*

¥.

It's a one-page arrest report and it contains information, with regard to Ella Bailey's -- the charges against EllaBailey in Tacoma, Washington.

THE COURT: Very well. The record will show that you are delivering that to Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, sir.

MR. MANZELLA: On the second page of the report, I have deleted approximately seven lines of information which I felt had no relevance to the charges against Ella Bailey in Tacoma, Washington, and was not information pertaining to this case.

THE COURT: Well, was it any information indicating that there were any other charges or was any other charge pending against her?

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, again, I am in no way impugning the good faith or integrity of either of the prosecutors here, but I would ask that the Court simply review the original document itself -- well, not this one, but the original, because this one shows that a piece of paper has been placed over that -- simply in an effort to determine whether there would, in the Court's opinion, be any relevancy or materiality, as far as a possible line of impeachment, --

THE COURT: The Court is --

MR. DENNY: -- admissible impeachment of Miss Bailey, based on what is hidden by that paper.

THE COURT: The Court is looking at a Tacoma, Washington,

Police Department form, labeled "Information Report." And the date of the report is March 13th, 1970. It refers to arrestees -- to Susan Ann Jackson. Ts that an alias of the witness? 5 fls. MR. MANZELIA: Yes, your Honor.

4c-1

*

p#

 THE COURT: It also indicates that merchandise is retained in the possession of the victim, after recounting the circumstances of the arrest for use of stolen credit card.

And then at the bottom of the page, there's a blank area in which -- as a result of the typewritten lines being covered by a paper stapled over those lines.

Do you have the original of this?

MR. MANZELLA: No. I have another copy.

THE COURT: Do you have another copy -- do you have anything to indicate to the Court what the information is here?

MR. KAY: Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you have it with you?

MR. MANZELLA: No.

MR. KAY: I think I can --

MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, my objection is: Under Mr. Denny's theory, the Court would have to look at everything in our files to determine whether or not we have information that was discoverable by the defense.

I -- I see no reason for the Court to have to look through all the files we have, to see if we have any discoverable material, because there's no reason why the Court should have to look through a particular arrest or information report to determine whether items are discoverable or not.

THE COURT: On what basis would you exclude any of those lines? Was there something that --

.

3

£.

28

MR. MANZELLA: They don't pertain to -- they're not discoverable. They don't pertain to --

THE COURT: Are they matters which would indicate to anyone that Ella Jo Bailey is given any consideration by the Washington police or by the --

MR. MANZELLA: No, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, or the Los Angeles Police Department?

MR. MANZELLA: No.

THE COURT: On any charge or --

MR. MANZELLA: That's correct. They don't deal with that at all. They don't deal with anything having to do with this case, and they don't deal with anything having to do with any consideration or promises of leniency or reward or anything else given to Ella Bailey.

They deal, if I remember correctly, with statements made by persons with whom Ella Bailey was -- well, who were arrested with Ella Bailey on the same charges, and --

.

Æ

THE COURT: Are you willing to accept that and -- MR. MANZELLA: And statements that they made to the police.

THE COURT: I believe that if, of course, they in any way disclose the intention of the police department to give her any sort of consideration in exchange for cooperation, well, then, they're discoverable to show her state of mind.

MR. DENNY: I'm sure your Honor they would not, that being the initial arrest report and at that time they, not even knowing --

THE COURT: It appears --

MR. DENNY: -- any connection.

Again, it is a rather brief report, assuming that the material down below discloses some of the circumstances of the case.

Now, what the size, scope, whatever of the operation was.

MR. MANZELLA: That's disclosed in the first paragraph on line 2, I believe, testing merchandise, the amount of the merchandise which was the subject of the forgeries.

MR. KAY: Yes.

MR. DENNY: All I'm asking for is that the Court not look everything over in the District Attorney's file, but look over this particular document in camera. And the Court can make a decision on it.

THE COURT: Well, the Court's going to accept Mr.

Manzella's statement in respect to what those lines were. The

Court believes that in connection with the seriousness of the

5-2

offense committed in Washington, that that's amply disclosed by the arrest report as it has been given to the defendant.

MR. DENMY: Well, again, your Honor --

THE COURT: It is clear that it was a felony arrest and a felony prosecution.

Go ahead.

MR. DENNY: There's a difference between a one-shot deal and a continuing conspiracy in a ring where a number of people are involved in a large-scale operation of passing forged credit cards. And if those statements reflect that this was part of a large-scale operation — and again, I don't know, and the Court doesn't know. But if those are statements reflecting that, and it is obvious from the statements or, at least, it would appear from the statements that Ella Bailey is the head of a large conspiracy ring, and this is just the top of the iceberg — and obviously, then, any police department having investigated it and having determined that there were a number of other similar cards passed —

MR. MANZELLA: I have agreed with the defense and the Court that Mr. Denny is entitled to information concerning the extent of the crime with which she's charged, and I have agreed with him that he is entitled to information concerning any bargains that we've struck with our witness. He's also entitled to any statements made by a witness concerning this case. That portion, I believe it contains neither of those or none of those things. If it did contain negotiations, if it did contain parts or descriptions of the offense not contained in the rest of the report or if it did contain statements of

I have

23

24

25

26

27

28

4

Ella Bailey, it would be in there and it would not be deleted. MR. DENNY: But, again, if it contains statements of a co-defendant disclosing things which she may not have stated --MR. MANZELLA: There are statements of co-defendants in there which were not believed. MR. DENNY: -- which disclosed a bigger operation than she had admitted, but might be disclosed on the stand --MR. MANZELLA: No, the statements do not show that. have deleted it for another purpose altogether. THE COURT: Let me take a look at it. Would you? MR. MANZELLA: Okay. Do you have a copy? MR. KAY: I have a copy. Hold on just a second. it in my briefcases out in the courtroom. MR. MANZELLA: It is the last three pages. MR. KAY: Last three pages? MR. MANZELLA: Yes. (Whereupon, Mr. Kay left the judge's chambers.) THE COURT: Off the record.

MR. DENNY: May I look at the statement, your Honor?

On the record.

(Whereupon, Mr. Kay entered the judge's chambers.) MR. MANZELLA: This is the entire report.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings while the judge perused the document.)

THE COURT: The Court has looked at the sheet which has been furnished to Mr. Denny and observed that there is some writing pertaining to the arrest. But the Court -- the arrest

1

2 3

5

9 10

8

11

~ 12 13

> 14 15

5a fol

શું

Ą.

Ş

17

16

18

10

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

of Ella Bailey.

The Court concurs with Mr. Manzella's estimate of It is -- consists of one, two, three, four, five, six, seven lines which appear to the Court to be such, contain such information as would not, for any reason that I can think of, be discoverable in this case,

All right, anything further, Mr. Denny? MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, again in order to protect the record in the case, may I ask that Mr. Manzella make a copy of that and have it sealed and make it a Court exhibit. Otherwise, there will be nothing to show what the Court has made its decision on and it is in camera there.

THE COURT: . As to that copy that you just furnished me with, is -- do you have any other copy of it? Can the Court take that --

5a-1	1	MR. KAY: Well, I don't have another.
	2	THE COURT: Without any great inconvenience?
	3	MR. DENNY: It is all right
	4	MR. MANZELLA: Why don't we let Joyce have that now and
ži.	5	make another copy of it.
3 #	·6	MR. KAY: All right, let Joyce have it?
, t	7	MR. MANZELLA: Yes.
	8	THE COURT: I think it will be clear with what you
	9	have there.
	10	MR. MANZELLA: I want to make it something so you know
	11	what it is.
	12	MR. KAY: Court's Special Exhibit.
	13	MR. DENNY: Joyce, do you know if we've got any special
8	14	exhibit for the Court?
	15	Well, can we make it Court's Special Exhibit 1,
,	16	then?
	17	THE COURT: All right, it is satisfactory.
	18	MR. KAY; And I take it that Mr. Denny will not have
	19	access to this document? I don't want him to go through the
	20	back door and do what he can't do through the front door by
	21	looking in the Court's files.
	22	MR. DENNY: I indicated it should be sealed as part of
	23	the Court's records.
**	24	THE COURT: It appears to the Court to be information
وغ	25	that Mr. Denny wouldn't care about or want, in any event, but
-	26	nevertheless that would be the order.
,	27	Let's proceed. The jury is out there waiting on
	28	us.

	3	
5a - 2	1	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
	2	in open court within the presence and hearing of the
	3	jury:)
	4	THE COURT: All the jurors are present, the record
.	Ś	should show. Mr. Denny is present.
\$ \$	6	Mrs. McKissack.
•	7	(Whereupon, unrelated matters were called and
	8	heard before the Court.)
	9	THE COURT: All right, back to the case of People vs.
•	10	Davis.
	11	Sorry for the interruption, ladies and gentlemen.
	12	We're ready to proceed now.
	13	You may go shead, Mr. Denny.
•	14	MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.
)	15	
	16	PAUL J. WHITELEY,
	17	the witness on the stand at the time of the noon recess,
	18	resumed the stand and testified further as follows:
	19	
	20	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
	21	BY MR. DENNY:
	22	Q Mr. Whiteley, going back to the subject we were
	23	discussing at the close of the morning session. That is, the
¥ <u>e</u>	24	clothing that you found at various places.
æ.	25	Did you indicate that you did also find some
	26	clothing upstairs on the main floor of this living room-
	27	kitchen-bathroom area?
	28	A Yes.

ı	Q Male clothing?
2	A Yes.
3	THE COURT: Pull that microphone over, Sergeant.
4	Q BY MR. DENNY: As well as the female clothing
5	which you've previously described; panties, I think, in the
6	bathroom?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that male clothing, where was it located?
9	A There was some on the window seat in the den.
10	Q Nest to that which you've described as female
IJ	clothing which you saw there?
12	A Yes.
13	Q In the same type, as either folded in somewhat
14	disarray or in complete disarray?
15	A Yes.
16	Q All right, continue.
17	A There was a cost hanging in the kitchen. There
18	was clothing in the bathroom.
19	Q What sort of clothing in the bathroom, sir?
20	A It was clothes, men's dirty clothes and, also,
21	there was a man's suit.
22	Q Hanging somewhere in the bathroom?
23	A Yes.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

6 fls.

43.

	1	Q Where?
	2	A It was over one side of the tub. It was laying
	3	over the tub (indicating).
	4	Q All right. No clothing any place else?
; ·	5	A I can't recall any.
ì	6	Q Well, did it appear to you, sir, that the main
5	7	portion of the male clothing was downstairs in the bedroom
	8	area?
	9	A There wasn't that much downstairs, either, in the
	10	house.
	11	Q Well, was there more downstairs than upstairs?
	12	A It was about the same.
	13	Q . And did it appear that that clothing had been
}	14	recently used? Or to have lain dormant there for some time
?	, 1 5	there in the lower bedroom area?
	16	A. It appeared the clothing in the closet in the lower
	17	bedroom had been there quite a while.
	18	Q How did you determine that, six?
	19 20	A There was dust on the top edges of the clothing,
	20	where it hung on the hangers in the closet.
	22	Q And upstairs?
	23	A No. I saw no evidence of it appeared that it
e y	24	had been the clothing had been recently worn.
	25	Q Did you find some Levi's anywhere?
*	26	A Are you speaking other than on the body?
	27	Q Yes.
	28	A There might have been in the clothes hamper.
	1	Q All right. Now, sir, the diagram

6-2 What is that, 7? 1 MR. KAY: 7. MR. DENNY: Yes, People's 7. 3 (Continuing) -- is that diagram supposed to 4 represent the condition of the Hinman residence as of 5 6 approximately July 31, 1969? 7 What do you mean by "condition"? A 8 Q That is -ģ The relationship between the rooms? A 10 Yes. The building structure, the floor plan, the Q 11 doors. 12 Yes. 13 I note that there appears to be a sliding door 14 between the hall and the bathroom; is that correct? 15 A Yes. 16 And to your recollection, there was such a sliding Q 17 door at the time you viewed it on July 31st? 18 I can't recall. 19 And I note, too, that there appears to be a door 20 ~ between the hall and the den, swinging out from the hall into the den. ; . Was that door there on July 31st? 23 Yes, it was: 24 And the pictures that you caused to be taken, which Q 25 are now marked, I believe, People's 8 and People's 9 -- 10 --A. 26 were those taken on July 31st? Or August 1st? 27 August 1st. À

28

Q

Had the condition of the building changed between

1	July 31st and August 1st, to your knowledge?
2.	A I I think that you are going into this doorway
3	between the den and the hall? Is that what you are speaking of?
4	Or the condition of the whole house?
5	Q Well, let's take that doorway. That had that
6	condition changed?
7	A Yes, it had.
8	Q In what way?
9	A It was taken off the hinges.
10	Q So that the picture in 8-A, which shows that
11	doorway with no door that swings out, is after you had removed
12	the door, or had it removed; is that correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q All right. And for what purpose was that door
15	removed?
16	A To gain entrance back into the living room, hall,
17	bathroom and kitchen.
18	Q Was that locked in some way, that door?
19	A Yes, it was.
20	. Q Was it moved just off the pin; was that it?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q Now, again, going to Paople's 8, 8-B and 8-C,
23	do those two pictures showing the door between the den and the
24 25	utility room in this case, do those pictures accurately depict
26	the condition of that door when you got there on July 31st,
27	1969?
28	A Yes.
40	Q . So that that door was hailed shut at that time; is
	1

+

that correct? With two nails? I can't recall it being nailed, À Well, pictures B and C do show two nails, one above Q the other, which appear to nail that door shut; isn't that correct? Yes, I see the two nails here. A However, I don't recall, having forced the door Ş open, that -- that it was nailed shut, 6a fol

6a-1	1	. 9	Well, did you move that door to go into the
	2		m from the den?
	3	A	Yes, I did.
	4	Q	All right.
\$-	5		And did you move that door in doing so?
₹ .	6	A	Did I move the door?
e,	7	Q	Yes.
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	You went in through that way?
	10	A	Yes.
	11,	Q.	All right. And to your recollection, was the
	12	door nailed	in any way =-
	13	A	No.
*	14	Q	with those nails there? That are pictured
	15	in 8-B and	-C?
•	16	A	I'm sure they were, yes.
	17	Q	Again, there appears to be some metal object
	18	going throu	gh the round portion of the door, where the handle
	19	would be.	
	20		Do you know what that metal object is?
	21	A	Yes.
	22	Q	What is that?
	23	. A	That's the locking bar.
♣	24	Q	Now, Officer, do you feel qualified because of
át	25	your experi	lence, as far as seeing broken-into doors, to give
	.26	us an opini	lon as to when that door was broken into?
	27	À	No.
	28	Q	You couldn't tell, could you, from

6a-2 When? A 1 Q. Yes. 2 A No. 3 So that you are not, I take it, in any way Q 4 intimating that that was broken into within the past week 5 or month or a couple of months; is that correct? 6 That's correct. 7 * All right, sir. Ω And going to the photographs in People's 10, 9 specifically 10-C. those -- or that photograph of the marks, 10 which you say appeared to have been bullet marks, now, you've 11 12 indicated on the drawer that there is a mark -- which you 13 have stated is what sort of mark, in your opinion? 3 14 A I call it a caron of a possible slug. 15 0 And the mark next to the door, the cabinet door, 16 just to the right of the cabinet door, is a round hole, which 17 you indicate would be an entry hole of a bullet? 18 A That's correct. Now, did you check that rather carefully -- both 19 Q 20 those marks -- at the time you made your investigation? 21 Yes, somewhat. À 22 Q. And did it appear to you that the marks were 23 fresh? 24 I -- I can't answer something like that. I don't A 25 know, you know, how long it takes for wood to dissipate its 48 26 moisture content, to say that that was done within two hours 27 or two weeks. 28 Well, did it appear to you that the marks were Q

1	old?
2	A I have no way ofknowing.
3	Q Would you say that the damage did not appear to
4	be recent?
5	A I can't say that, either.
6	Q Well, did you so state in a report you wrote,
7	an official report, on August 9, 1969?
8	A I might have.
9	Q Well, let me show you a copy thereof.
10	I want to show you the first and second pages of
11	a multi-page report, and the file number of the last digit
12	is 1076-016; it's dated 8-9-69.
13	Do you recall having prepared that report?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Directing your attention to the paragraph here,
16	where there is some red underlining that I have placed on
17	there, in the last sentence, would you want to read that?
18	"On a drawer of the east side of the kitchen
19	was observed a declining, jagged indentation,
20	approximately eight inches in length, pointing toward
21	a small hole in a cupboard underneath the sink."
22	The damage in the drawer and the hole beneath
23	the sink appeared to have been made by a bullet. The
24	damage did not appear to be recent.
25	You did so write; is that correct?
26	A Yes, sir, I did.
27	Q Thank you, sir.
28	Now, sir, in looking through that house for

	1	clues, did you find any alcohol alcoholic beverages of
	2	any kind in the house? Liquor?
	3	A Not that I can recall.
	4.	Q Well, do you need anything to refresh your
*	5	recollection, or
3	6	A I don't think unless you have something that
6 b f	ls. 7	because I can't remember finding any alcohol in the house.
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
3	14	
5	15	
	16	,
	17	
	18	
	19 20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
t ₃	24	
	25	
•	26	
_	27	
	28	

1
2

3

4

5 6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

3

16

17

18

19

21

22

23 24

26

28

All right, sir. Did you find any sort of drugs of any kind?

Not even aspirin?

Well, that's assuming that that is a drug. MR. KAY: don't know that ---

BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's broaden it to drugs or Q medication of any kind.

A I can't recall any.

Did you look specifically for evidence of drugs or medications of any kind?

A Yes.

Q Now, did you attempt to have the lighter, which you say was close to the body, dusted for prints? Fingerprints?

A I'm sure I did. But I can't specifically recall watching the print deputy print it.

Q Well, do you know whether the print deputy did print it? Do you have any reports indicating whether or not he diá?

I can't specifically recall. I know he was working A in that area,

Q . Who was the print deputy?

Á I believe it was Flois White.

Q Well, as a supervising detective in the Homicide Division, is part of your job to direct the print deputy what to print? Or are they given just carte blanche to print what they want to print?

Α No, I directed.

Q .

Q Well, do you recall specifically directing
Mr. White to print that digarette lighter?
A No, sir, I don't. It was over two and a half or
almost two and a half years ago, and I just can't specifically
remember that one thing.
Q All right. How about the ball-point pens that were
scattered but close to the body, the nine ball-point pens that
you've referred to in your testimony,
A No.
A No. Q did you ask that those be printed?
What did you direct be printed?
A Any printable surface that he saw. I specifically
remember the door jambs, the kitchen cupboards, the glasses on
the sink, the tops and side of the stove.
Q Now, sir, the blood spots that you've mentioned in
the kitchen, at the time you investigated those spots, did you
know whether they were human blood or any other kind of blood?
A No, I didn't at that time.
Q You brought Mr. Turney in, the crime lab
representative?
A Yes, sir.
Q To make some kind of analysis of those spots; is
that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And did Mr. Turney indicate to you, at the time
that oh, by the way, when was he brought in to do that?

I don't recall. It was a day or two later.

And were you present when he did so, or not?

1	A Yes, I was.
2	Q And to your knowledge, did he determine at that
3	time whether the blood was human blood or any other kind of
4	blood? As distinguished from human blood?
5	A I now know that
6	Q Well, don't tell me what you now know.
7	A Well, you asked me to my knowledge.
8	Q All right. We'll start with your present knowledge.
9	A Yes, I do know that all he found was blood.
10	Q Well, let me go, then, to the question that I
11	think I asked:
12	Did he indicate to you at that time whether the
13	blood was human blood or any other kind of blood?
14	MR. KAY: Calls for hearsay, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, did you jump to the
17	conclusion that it was human blood?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you so indicated in your report; is that
20	correct?
21	A Right.
22	Q And you attributed that to Mr. Turpey; is that
23	correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And indeed, Mr. Turney did not make a finding that
26	it was human blood at that time; isn't that correct?
27	A Yes.
28	Q Mr. Turney never made a finding that it was human

```
blood; isn't that correct, to your knowledge?
                      A
                             Yes.
           2
                             So, your report was -- contained a conclusionary
           3
               error in that respect; isn't that correct?
6c fol
                             Yes.
           6
           7
           9.
         10
          12
          13
          14
          15
          16
          17
          18
          19
          21
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
          28
```

6c-1 Q. All right, sir. 1 Now, as far as this Nash Metropolitan automobile, 2 you indicated that that appeared very dirty; appeared to have 3 been sitting for several months; is that correct? A Yes. 5 And did you base that opinion and conclusion on ۵ 6 the fact of its being covered with dust and dirt? Or any 7 . other fact? 8 That's the main thing I'm basing my conclusion on, 9 the weathered condition of the car. 10 Well, would you say that it might have been two 77 12 weeks that it had been sitting there, idle? 13 It's possible, yes. 14 Might have been sitting there as long as six Q. 15 months, idle? 16 That's also possible. A 17 Or a year, idle? Q 18 Possible. A 19 In other words, you don't hold yourself as an 20 expert on the length a car has set, merely by the accumula-21 tion of dirt on it; is that correct? 22 No. I -- judging from the amount of dirt in the A 23 area there, and the type of gravel, plus the amount of 24 travel or assumed travel of vehicles on the dirt, I just 25 would figure that it had been there for quite sometime. والم 26 MR. DENNY: All right, sir. I have no further questions. 27 THE COURT: Mr. Kay, any redirect examination? 28 MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. KAY:
	3	Q Sergeent Whiteley, I have three Manila envelopes
	4	here. One is People's 32 for identification. I believe it's
Ař.	5	already so marked.
.	6	Your Honor, it's a temporary driver's license
<u>*</u>	7	under the name of Gary Alan Hinman, 964 Old Topanga Canyon
	8	Road.
	9	Sergeant Whiteley, I show you People's 32. Have
	10	you seen that before?
	11	A Yes, I have.
	12	Q And where did you first see it?
	13	A On August the 1st, 1969, at 964 Old Topanga
•	· 14	Canyon Road.
,	15	Q And where?
	16	A In a metal it was in a small metal box.
	17 .	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I ask that People's 32 be
	18	admitted into evidence at this time.
	19	MR. DENNY: No objection.
32 Ev.	20	THE COURT: All right. So ordered.
	21	Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, I show you
	22	People's 33 for identification, a Motor Vehicle purchase
	23	order in the name of Gary A. Hinman, 964 Old Topanga Canyon
Fà.	24	Road.
*	25	Have you seen this before?
	26	A Yes, I have.
	27	Q And where did you first see this?
_	28	A In the same metal cabinet in the living room at

	1	the location.
	2	Q 964 Old Topanga Road?
	ş	A That's correct.
	4	Q And you found this on August 1st also?
¥	5	A Yes.
	6	MR. KAY: All right, your Honor, I ask that People's
*	7	33 at this time be admitted into evidence.
33 Ev.	8	THE COURT: So ordered.
	9	MR. DENNY: No objection.
	10	Q BY MR. KAY: Now, Sergeant Whiteley
•	11	Or, your Honor, I have here People's 35, as
	12	it's previously been marked. It appears to be a "Notice of
	13	Vehicle Code Violation," commonly known as a traffic ticket.
•	14	And the driver's signature down here at the bottom is signed
D ,	15	"Gary A. Hinman."
	16	Have you seen this before?
	17	A Yes, I have.
	18	Q And where did you first see this?
	19	A On August the 1st, in the same metal cabinet in
	20	the living room at 964 Old Topanga Road.
	21	MR. KAY: Thank you.
	22	Your Honor, I ask that People's 35 at this time
	23	be admitted into evidence.
Ep.	24	MR. DENNY: No objection.
35 Ev	25	THE COURT: So ordered.
	26	Q BY MR. KAY: Now, Sergeant Whiteley, in response
	27	to a question by Mr. Denny, you stated that he was
	28	questioning you about these beads, these prayer beads in

People's 12.

And I take it that these were released to Gary
Himman's parents, and they in turn released it to the Nichiren
Shoshu Society?

MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay.

MR. KAY: Well, he testified to this in response to
your question. So I would remind Mr. Denny, I'm just laying
a foundation to asking questions.

THE COURT: In its present form, the Court will sustain the objection.

BY MR. KAY: All right, would you please go 7-1 1 through again, as you did with Mr. Denny, what became of 2 the beads that were in the photographs, the beads that were 3 by Mr. Hinman's hand. MR. DENNY: Well, I --5 BY MR. KAY: You just testified they were Q. released. Who were they released to? 7 To the Hirman family. A 8 And in turn, what did they do with it, to your ٥ 9 knowledge? 10 I'll object to it as calling for hearsay. MR. DENNY: 11 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. KAY: Now, Sergeant Whiteley, you testi-13 14 fied that at the time the beads that were in the photograph by Mr. Himman's hand -- and let me see if I can find that 15 photograph here again, find that -- if you can step down 16 17 here a minute, Sergeant Whiteley, I see here in photograph No. H there appear to be beads that look similar to People's 18 19 12. Are these the actual beads that you found near 20 21 Mr. -- well, near the body's hand? 22 Yes. A 23 Indicating --THE COURT: 24 MR. KAY: And that's in photograph 9-H. 25 THE COURT: Very well. BY MR. KAY: Is that correct, Sergeant Whiteley? 26 Q 27 A Yes. 28 All right. You say at the time they were Q

released -- in response to Mr. Denny's question -- that 7-2 1 these beads didn't have any significance to you, but at a 2 later time they did. 3 When was it that these beads became significant to you? 5 A When I arrested Susan Atkins in October. 6 Did you have a conversation with her? Q 7 A Yes, I did. Is that when they became significant to you? Q A Yes. 10 All right. Q 11 Your Honor, I have here a piece of cardboard 12 13 with five photographs on it. It has previously been marked as People's 37. May it remain as People's 37? THE COURT: So ordered. 37 for identification. 15 37 id. 16 MR. KAY: Thank you. 17 MR. DENNY: Well, may the record reflect the objection 18 I have heretofore made concerning that exhibit? 19 THE COURT: Yes, it will. 20 BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, do you see Susan 21 Atkins' picture on this board? 22 Yes, I do. Á 23 Would you please identify it? Q A. A 25 In other words, this is Susan Atkins (indicating)? Q 8 26 Yes. Å 27 There are some other pictures on the board, would 28 you identify them?

A B is Charles Manson; C is Mary Brunner; D is Robert Beausoleil; and E is the defendant.

Q Okay, thank you.

I'm going to ask you a couple more questions.
You can sit down.

Now, in response to a question by Mr. Denny you stated that it was your opinion that there was no female living on a permanent basis. Upon what did you base that opinion?

MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object to that. I don't believe that was his testimony and it assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: Would you read the question for me, Mrs. Briandi?

(Whereupon the question was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Now, in response to a question by Mr. Denny you stated --"

MR. DENNY: I can't hear you.

(Whereupon the question was read by the reporter as follows:

"Now, in response to a question by Mr.

Denny you stated that it was your opinion that there
was no female living there on a permanent basis.

Upon what did you base that opinion?")

THE COURT: Overruled. I think there was a response -- there was a question and such a response.

Q BY MR. KAY: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

	-	
7-4	1	Did you answer that question, Sergeant Whiteley?
	2	A Yes, I believe you mean on a recent basis?
	3	Q Right.
	4	A Only that the only clothing than that found
	5	in the lower bedroom which appeared that it had been there
<i>y</i> 4	6	quite sometime. Upstairs there was only one pair of Capris
٤	7	and a pair of panties or maybe two pair of panties. And
	8	there just didn't seem to be enough there for a woman to be
	9	living there.
	10	Q Now
	11	A As well as there were no shoes or stockings. They
la fls.	12	were found in the upper portions of the house.
	13	
*	14	
•	15	
	16	
	17	
	18.	
	19	
	20	
•	21	
•	22	
	23	
s:	24	
સ્	25	
-	26	
	27	
	28	·

	1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

•

16 17

18

19

21

20 -

22 23

24

25 26

12

27

28

Q Now, in the downstairs portion I believe you testified that you had to break in to get into the downstairs portion?

A Yes.

Q And the clothes that were located down there, did all the clothes have dust on them?

A Yes, it was very dirty in the downstairs.

Q And did it appear that any of the clothes there had been used recently, either the male or the female clothes?

A No.

Q In other words, it appeared that they had not been used recently?

A That's correct.

Now, in response to a question by Mr. Denny, you stated that you did conclude that in the kitchen of the house there was human blood. On what did you base your conclusion or am I misstating your testimony?

MR. DENNY: I think he is. It assumes facts not in evidence.

MR. KAY: Well --

MR. DENNY: Well, he concluded that it was not human blood, though his report said there was. That's what his testimony was.

MR. KAY: Well, let me --

THE COURT: Well, the Court will sustain the objection at this time. You may proceed.

MR. KAY: Thank you. I'll reframe the question. Thank you, your Honor.

Ł

٥

è

1 2 1 2

3

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24.

25 26

27

28

Q Sergeant Whiteley, did you conclude there was -well, first let me ask you this: Was there a test made in the
kitchen to determine whether or not the blood was human or
animal blood, to your knowledge?

A I don't know specifically what test he was running.

I know he was running tests on various spots of blood. It was a breakdown of communications, is how this happened, between the report and the criminalist.

MR. DENNY: Just a minute, I'll object to this and move the last be stricken as far as the background. It is not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: All right, the Court does strike it. It is not responsive.

Q BY MR. KAY: Well, to your knowledge, did Sergeant
Turney test the blood to determine whether it was human blood or
animal blood or whether it was just blood? Do you have any
personal knowledge?

A I only know he was running tests on the blood. I don't know what specifically or what specific test he did run.

Q So, then, in other words, I take it it would be your position you don't know whether it was human blood or animal blood or do you know?

- A I do not know. I assumed it was human blood.
- Q And what did you base that assumption on?
- A On what Mr. Turney said to me.
- Q And Mr. Turney is seated in this courtroom and he will be the next witness, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

!

MR. DENNY: Well, I had assumed there had been an order for the exclusion of witnesses.

THE COURT: Well, there has been none, but if you wish to have such an order, the Court will.

MR. DENNY: I do wish to have such order.

THE COURT: The Court will make such an order. Both the witnesses for the People and the defense are excluded and they are to wait outside.

MR. KAY: Okay.

THE COURT: Until they are called.

The attorneys please advise the Court.

MR. KAY: Some gentleman has found a good sleeping place in the courtroom.

THE COURT: He may wait outside, too.

MR. KAY: Could I have just a moment, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kay conferred with Mr. Manzella at the counsel table.)

Q BY MR. KAY: Sergeant Whiteley, Mr. Denny showed you a report there where --

Do you still have that report?

MR. DENNY: Yes.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Q Where I believe you testified that the report was compiled by you. And in there you stated in reference to the ricochet mark or the carom mark, as you call it, on the kitchen cabinet, that the damage did not appear to be recent.

What did you mean by that?

1 7a-4 Just what it says. 2 All right. Now, is there any way that you have 3 of telling whether it was recent or not recent? No,: I -- many things that I write in my reports, 5 I write my observations even though I can't get up on the stand 6 and testify to that information. 7 .₩ Q So, in other words, you don't really know whether it 8 was recent or not recent, based on just your examination of 9 the mark? 10 MR. DENNY: I object to that. Is he cross examining his 11 own witness or being argumentative? 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 BY MR. KAY: I'm trying to clarify that. Q 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 Q BY MR. KAY: Is that true? 16 7b fol That's correct. A 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 ጟ 26 27 28

1	MR. KAY: I have no further questions at this time. Thank
2	you, Sergeant Whiteley.
3	MR. DENNY: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
5	MR. KAY: Oh, excuse me, your Honor, I don't believe that
6	daked People's 12 be introduced into evidence, the beads.
7	I would make a motion that they be introduced into evidence at
8	this time.
9	MR. DENNY: Well, I object to the introduction of those
10	beads.
11	THE COURT: The Court will sustain the objection at this
12	time.
13	MR. KAY: Well, may we approach the bench on that, your
14	Honor?
15	THE COURT: Yes, you may,
16	MR. KAY: Thank you.
17	MR. DENNY: Well, Steve
18	(Whereupon, Mr. Kay and Mr. Denny conferred at
19	counsel table.)
20	THE COURT: Any further examination, Mr. Denny?
21	MR. DENNY: Yes, yes, there is.
22	MR, KAY: All right, I'll put off arguing it at this
23	time.
24 ·	
25	RECROSS EXAMINATION
26	BY MR. DENNY:
27	Q Sergeant Whiteley, I'm somewhat confused about your
28	statement that the bedroom did not appear to have been used.

*

recently in conjunction with your earlier testimony that there didn't appear to be arrangements for sleeping upstairs.

Is that what you testified?

A That's correct.

- Q Well, did it appear to you that the house had been used for sleeping by the occupant at any time within the week or two prior to or several weeks prior to the discovery of the body?
 - A No, sir.
- Q You couldn't determine in the course of your investigation that Mr. Hinman, assuming that he lived there, had slept there?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Or where he might have slept, if, indeed, he had slept there, is that correct?
 - A No, sir, it would -- the only place I feel would be on the floor in the living room or on top of the window seat in the den.
 - MR. DENNY: All right, I have no further questions.
 - MR. KAY: No further questions, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: We'll give you a short recess, ladies and gentlemen.

During the recess you are admonished not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor allow anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor form nor express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

You may approach the bench, gentlemen, or wait until the jury leaves, and then argue from counsel table.

(Whereupon, the jury retired from the courtroom, 1 and the following proceedings were had:) 2 THE COURT: What is the basis of your objection to the 3 beads being admitted? 4 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I -- upon reflection -- have no 5 objection to the beads going in. 6 MR. KAY: Okay, we'd ask that People's 12, then, the beads 7 be received into evidence. 8 THE COURT: They have been identified as the general 9 10 type of thing --MR. KAY: They have been identified as -- Joan Farley's 11 testimony has been to it and I think if your Honor would look . 12 13 THE COURT: She dion't identify them as the specific 14 beads shown in People's A? 15 MR. KAY: No, she didn't, but she described what they 16 were, what these beads were and I think if your Honor can look 17 in the photographs 9. People's 9, photographs C and H, your 18 Honor can see that this is --19 THE COURT: Let's see that. 20 That this is exactly -- that these beads are --21 THE COURT: Yes, they do appear to be the same. 22 The Court will admit them. 23 Thank you, your Honor. MR. KAY: 24 THE COURT: Anything further? 25 MR. DENNY: No, I have no objection to its introduction, 26 your Honor. 27 All right. We're in recess, then. THE COURT: 28 MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

1 (Afternoon recess.) 2 THE COURT: All jurors are present. All counsel are 3 The defendant is present, the record will show. 4 ... The People may call their next witness. 5 MR. MANZELLA: People call Francis Turney, your Honor. 6 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you 7 may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be 8 the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth, so help 9 you God? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 12 THE BAILIFF: Would you state and spell your full name, 13 sir. 14 THE WITNESS: Francis Turney, F-r-a-n-c-i-s, 15 T-u-r-n-e-y. 7c fol 17 18 19. 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 28

7c-1

2 3

1

4 5

б

7

9

10

8

11 12

13 14

3

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

26

27 28

FRANCIS TURNEY,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELIA:

Mr. Turney, what is your occupation?

I am a criminalist, employed by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Criminalistics Laboratories.

Q. Would you tell us what your background, training and experience has been in the field of criminalistics?

Yes, I have a Bachelor's Degree from the University A of Redlands with a major in chemistry.

I was employed by San Bernardino County for approximately ten years as an analytical chemist.

I was employed by the rocket industry for approximately five years as a research chemist.

I came with the Los Angeles County in 1962 and have been so employed since then.

I was trained in the methods of investigation and testing of various types of samples, blood samples by the other criminalists.

I have also just about completed my work for a Master's in criminalistics at California State College of Los Angeles.

And I think you touched on it, but does that background, training and experience that you have had, include the analysis of biological fluids, such as blood?

7c-2	1	A Yes, it does.
	2	Q And have you testified in the courts, Superior
	3	Courts of Los Angeles County as a criminalist?
	4	A Yes, I have
£	5	Q And approximately how many times, if you recall?
ð.	6.	A Well, in all types of cases, it probably would
હ	7	be maybe 1500, 2000 times.
	8	Q In any of those cases have you testified with
	· 9	regard to blood analysis?
	10	A Yes, I have.
	11	Q Approximately how many times, if you recall?
	12	A Well, talking about blood analysis, talking about
	13	blood typing and that type of analysis, it would be maybe
*	14	40 or 50.
	15	Q Now, approximately how much of your work as a
3	16	criminalist with the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office is
	17	involves the analysis of blood and blood samples?
	18	A During the periods where I am assigned to the
	19	what we call our physical section, we're probably typing
	20	several samples a day.
	21	Q How long have you been with the criminalistics
	22	laboratory of the Sheriff's Department?
	23	A Ten years.
*	24	Q Now, directing your attention to the date of
	25	August 7, 1969. On that date did you go to 964 Old Topanga
₩	26	Canyon Road in Malibu?
	27	A Yes, I did.
	28	Q Did you go alone or did you go with other persons

7¢+3	1	A I went with several other people.
	2	Q Who are those other people?
_	3	A Well, I have another criminalist who was along
	4	with me. He's kind of a trainee, a Mr. Cook. And then
Ł	5	there was Sergeant Guenther or Deputy Guenther and
3 €	6	Sergeant Whiteley.
3	7	Q Now, while you were at 964 Old Topanga Road on
	8	August 7th, 1969, did you perform any tests at that location
	9	to determine whether or not blood was at that location?
	10	A Yes, I did.
	11	Q As a result of those tests, did you find blood
	12	at the location?
	13	A Yes.
3	14	Q Would you tell us where you found blood?
•	15	A Well, I checked the writing on the well to see
Ÿ	16	if it was blood and it was.
	17	There were some deposits on a coffee table in
	18	the living room along the edge which I checked and determined
	19	to be blood.
	20	There was deposits on the stairs and in the
	21	hall leading from the living room to the kitchen which I
·	22	checked and determined to be blood.
	23	And there was numerous spots in the kitchen and
18/10	24	various areas in the kitchen which I checked to determine if
ŭ	25	it was blood.
~	26	Q All right. Directing your attention to the
	27	photograph which has been marked People's 9 for identification
	28	And in particular, that photograph which has been marked

	1	Photograph A of People's 9 for identification.			
	2	Did you test the writing on the wall which is			
-	3	"Political Piggy" while you were at the location?			
	4	A Yes, I did.			
¥	5	Q And did you determine that that was in blood,			
\$	6	that writing was in blood?			
7d fls.	7	A Yes, I did.			
	8				
	9	·			
	10				
	11				
	12				
	13				
*	14				
lacksquare	15				
-	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23.				
No.	24				
ė	25				
	26				
	27 28				
_	20				
		•			

7d-1		1
		2
		3
		4
b'		5
\$ ₩		6
હ		7
		8
		9
		10
		ij
		12
	ą	13
3		14
		15
3		16
		17
		18
		19
		20 20
		21
		22
		23
به		24
		25
Ŕ		26
_		27

2

3

4

8

28

Q And directing your attention to what appears to be drawn there, an animal's paw print to the left of the words "political piggy," did you test that simulated paw print for blood?

- A To the best of my recollection, I did.
- What was the result of that test?
- It was blood.
- Now, you testified that there was a table in the living room on which you did test for blood and on which you found the presence of blood.

Is that table shown in any of these photographs?

- It is shown on photograph G.
- And is that what might be referred to as a coffee table shown on photograph G?
 - That's correct,
- And did you test the area of the rug which is shown in various photographs: Photograph A, photograph B, photograph C for blood?
 - Hmmm, I don't think so.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a series of photographs.

The first one appears to depict a portion of the refrigerator and cabinets in the kitchen of the home at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road. It has previously been marked People's 21-A for identification. May it be so marked?

THE COURT: Yes, it may be so marked.

MR. MANZELLA: I have here a photograph which appears to show a cabinet and a portion of the stove at 964 Old Topanga

28

Canyon Road in the kitchen. May I have that marked? It has previously been marked People's 21-B. May that be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here what appears to be a photograph of a kitchen cabinet at the home of 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road which previously has been marked as People's 21-C. May that be marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mr. Turney, you testified that you found blood in the hallway; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. Directing your attention to the scale drawing of the residence at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road, that second floor, which is People's 7.

Does the location in which you found blood in the hallway show in People's 7?

A Yes.

- Q Is that location marked in any way on the diagram, People's 77
 - A The spots aren't marked, no.
- Q Well, would you show us the area, then, using the pointer which is next to you on the witness stand? Show us the area in which you found blood in the hallway.

MR. DENNY: Can the jurors see that all right?

THE COURT: You might want to turn it, Mr. Turney.

Keep your voice up or use that microphone.

THE WITNESS: There was several drops on this step here

(indicating). There was one fairly good drop right in front of the bathroom (indicating). Those are all I can remember at this time. And I don't recall others in the hallway, but there could have been. BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Turney, using this black crayon, would you mark with circles the areas in the hallway in which you found -- in which you tested for and found blood? (Witness complied,) BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, would you put your Q. initials near the circles? (Witness complied.) 8 fol *

1	
	1
	2
	8
	4
	5
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	10

.22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

MR. MANZELLA: May the record reflect that the witness has complied, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mr. Turney, directing your attention to the photograph which has been marked People's 21-A for identification, do you recognize what is depicted in that photograph?

A Yes, I do.

Q And does that appear to be a portion of the kitchen of the residence at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road?

À Yes, it is.

Q And does the area in which you found blood in that kitchen show in this photograph?

A Yes.

Q And is that -- are those areas marked in any way on this photograph?

A Yes, they are.

Q And were they marked by you?

A Yes, they were.

Q All right. Directing your attention to the photograph, would you explain those markings for us, please?

A The --

Q Well, excuse me. Let me interrupt.

Why don't you use the hand-microphone, and then you can turn in your chair?

A The circle on the top of the refrigerator is an area where there was numerous drops of blood. And the arrow indicates the direction in which these drops were traveling.

4,

v

when they hit the refrigerator.

Also, up across the cabinet doors, the ceiling, and then down the back wall, or, the end wall of the kitchen, indicating that the blood was traveling in an arc, up at this end of the kitchen (indicating), and then down at the other end of the kitchen.

- Q When you say "up at this end," you are referring to the right-hand side of the photograph? And when you say "down," you are referring to the left-hand side of the photograph?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. Now, did you find blood on the cabinets shown in the photograph, as well as the refrigerator?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q All right. Now, have you had some background training and experience, and have you done some study to determine the causation of various shapes of blood drops?
 - A Yes, I have.
- Q Would you tell us briefly what that study and background, training and experience has been?
- A Well, the main book that I've read that describes these is Kirk's Criminal Investigation.

But I have observed these drops and their shapes on practically every homicide investigation I have been on, where I've trailed bleeding individuals through houses, down sidewalks, around blocks.

You can tell where they have run, where they have stopped, where they have slowed down, by the size and shapes of

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

3

16

17 4

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

26

÷

27

28

the drops.

Q Approximately how many homicide investigations have you participated in? If you can recall.

A Well, it would be around 100 or so. I don't recall exactly.

Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked People's 21-B for identification, do you recognize what is shown in that photograph?

A Yes, I do.

Q And again, would you use the hand-microphone, so that I can hold it up here, and you can turn and look at it?

Does the area in the kitchen in which you found blood also show in this photograph, People's 21-B?

A. Yes, it does.

Q And is that area marked in any way on the photograph?

A Yes.

Q And was it marked by you?

A Yes, it was,

Q All right. Would you tell us how it is marked and where you found the blood?

MR. KAY: Excuse me, Mr. Manzella. There appears to be a glare on the photograph. I wonder if you could maybe bring Mr. Turney down in front of the jury, where they can see it more easily.

MR. DENNY: Well, the photograph isn't in evidence now.

I am not objecting to the interrogation at this point, but it

8-4 should not even be shown to the jury at this point. MR. MANZELLA: May I inquire of the jurors, if there is a glare on the photograph, whether any of the jurors cannot sea? JUROR OBRADOVICH: We can see. MR. MANZELLA: Can all the jurors see the photograph? THE JURORS: (Indicating affirmatively.) 8a fol THE COURT: All right. . 122

8 a-1	1	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would you tell us if I
	2	haven't already asked you, I would like to ask you now to
	3	tell us the areas in which the blood that you found is
	4	shown in the photograph marked People's 21-B? And if it is
È	5	marked, how it was marked?
*	6	A Well, it is shown in the circles, and they
4	7	indicate the area in which there was numerous small droplets
	8	of blood, on the door of the cabinet there.
	9	Q And directing your attention to the photograph
	10	which has been marked People's 21-C for identification,
	11	do you recognize what is depicted in that photograph?
	12	A Yes, I do.
	13	Q And does that also appear to be a picture of a
	14	cabinet in the kitchen at 964 Old Topanga Road?
4	15	A Yes, it does.
	16	Q And did you find blood on that cabinet?
	17	A Yes, I did.
	18	Q And is that blood shown in this photograph?
	19	A Yes, it is.
	20	Q And is that blood shown on the photograph marked
	21	in any way?
	22	A Yes, sir.
	23	Q And how is it marked?
*	24	A By circling the area of the blood.
€	25	Q And did you place those markings on the
· 	26	photograph?
	27	A Yes, I did.
•	28	Q Now, were you able to determine the direction

8a-2	1	from the shape of the blood spots found on the cabinet the
	2	direction in which the blood was traveling when it struck the
	3	surface?
	4.	A Yes, I was.
*	5	Q And is that direction indicated in any way on the
5 *	6	photograph? Or in the photograph?
‡	7	A Yes, it is.
	8	Q How is it indicated?
	9	A By an arrow pointing down.
	10	Q All right. Then, did you place that arrow on
	11 -	the photograph?
	12	A Yes, I did.
	13	Q And was it your opinion, based upon your back-
£.	14	ground, training and experience, and your examination of the
D _s	15	shapes of the blood spots in the photograph, that the blood
*	16	was traveling in the downward direction when it struck that
	17	surface?
	18	A Yes, that's right.
	19	MR.MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to be
	20	a knife, which has previously been marked People's 18.
	21	May that be so marked at this proceeding? For
	22	identification?
	23	THE COURT: 18, did you say?
रेका	24	MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.
€	25	THE COURT: Yes. It is marked for identification as 18,
18 Id.	26	a knife.
	27	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears
_	28	to be two pieces of a sword or cutlass that has previously

8a-3	1	been marked People's 20 at a prior proceeding.
	2	May I have that marked People's 20 for identifica-
	3	tion at this proceeding?
20 Id.	4	THE COURT: So ordered.
2-	5	Q BY MR. MANZELIA: All right.
.	6	Mr. Turney, directing your attention to the
	7	exhibit which has been marked People's 18 for identification,
	8	do you recognize that knife?
	9	A Yes, I do.
	10	Q Have you ever seen it before?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And was that knife presented to you by one of the
	13	investigating officers in this case?
å.	14	A Yes, it was.
	15	Q And did you perform a test on that knife to
\$	16	determine the presence or absence of blood?
	17	A Yes, I did.
	18	Q And what was the result of that test?
	19	A I did not find any blood.
	20	Q And directing your attention to the cutlass or
	.21	sword which has been marked People's 20 for identification,
	22	do you recognize that sword?
	23	A Yes, I do.
*	24	Q And was that sword presented to you by one of the
ě	25	investigating officers in this case?
~	26	A Yes, it was.
	27	Q And did you perform tests on that sword to
	28	determine the presence or absence of blood?

	1	A Yes, I did.
	2	Q And as a result of those tests, did you form an
	3	opinion as to whether or not blood was present or absent?
	4	A I did not find any blood.
	5	Q Now, Mr. Turney, do you have any background,
	6	training and experience and have you done any study
	7	to determine the relative ease or difficulty with which
	8	particular types of surfaces may be washed free of blood?
	9	A I have had some experience along this line, yes.
	10	Q And is that during the course of your work in the
	11	field of criminalistics?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And is that during the course of your work on
•	14	homicide investigations?
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	A Yes.
8b fls.	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	•
	21	
	22	
	23	
5×	24	
ė	25	
	26	
	27	·
	28	,

8b-1

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

26 27

28

Q All right. Would you tell us on what -- strike that.

Can you tell us on what you base an opinion as to whether or not blood may be relatively easily or more difficultly wiped from -- or cleaned from a surface, a particular surface? And on what do you base your conclusions in that regard?

Surfaces that are non-absorptive, blood can A easily be removed.

Other types of surfaces, like cloth or carpets or so on, it's very difficult to remove completely, completely remove blood where it can't be found.

- Is there a distinction in the difference between a porous surface and a non-porous surface?
 - A There is.
- That would be between a surface that has relatively numerous and large holes in it and a surface which has relatively fewer and smaller holes in it?
- Well, it's a matter of absorption; a matter of porocity more than anything else.
- All right. And what kind of surface was -- strike that.

What kind of surface is the blade of the knife which is People's 18 for identification?

That --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, excuse me. I think the exhibit speaks for itself.

THE COURT: Would you give me the question again? 1 2 sorry. (Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as 3 follows: 4 Ott What kind of surface is the blade of the knife which is People's 18 for identification?") 7 THE COURT: Sustained as to that question. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Did you form an Я Q 9 opinion as to whether or not it would be relatively difficult 10 or relatively easy to wash blood from the surface of the blade 11 of the knife which is People's 18 for identification? 12 It would be fairly simple to get the blood off the 13 knife. 14 And would your conclusion be the same for the sword 15 which is marked People's 20 for identification? 16 A Yes. 17 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to be 18 a black T-shirt, which was previously marked People's 22. 19 May that be marked People's 22 for identification at 20 this proceeding? 21 THE COURT: So ordered. 22 MR. MANZELLA: And I have here what appears to be a pair 23 of men's Levi's, which previously have been marked People's 23. 24 May this pair of Levi's be marked People's 23 for identification 25 at this proceeding? 26 THE COURT: So ordered. 27 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mr. Turney, directing 28 your attention to the black T-shirt which has been marked

22

š,

front of you -- I'm sorry. Were you going to say something?

A No.

Q (Continuing) -- to the Levi's which are on the stand in front of you, did you perform tests on those Levi's to determine the presence or absence of blood?

A Yes. I did.

8c-1	1	Q And as a result of those tests, did you form an
	2	opinion as to whether or not blood was present or absent on
	3	those Levi's?
e.	4	A Yes, I did.
	5	Q And what was that opinion?
	6	A I found traces of blood on the right leg.
.	7	Q Now, Mr. Turney, at the time that you tested the
	8	surfaces about which you've testified, at 964 Old Topanga
	9	Road, did you test those surfaces to determine whether or
	10	not the blood that you found was human blood?
	11	A No, I did not.
	12	Q Would you be able to perform that test at the
	13	scene, or would you have to take samples of blood and remove
•	14	It to your laboratory to perform that test?
÷	15	A It is our normal practice to remove it and take
	16	it to our laboratory
	17	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as not responsive;
	18	move that it be stricken. The question
	19	THE COURT: Sustained.
	20	MR. DENNY; is; Could you do it?
	21	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	22	Motion to strike is granted.
	23	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: In your experience, based upon
M	24	your background, training and experience, and your study in
*	25	the field, how do you go about performing the tests to
	26	determine whether or not the blood that you find is human
	27	or animal?
	28	A We use the anti-human precipitant test, where

the -- an extract of the blood is layered on an anti-human serum, which gives a white precipitate, if it's human.

This is normally done in the laboratory.

- Q And did you perform that test in this case?
- A No, I did not.
- Q Did you remove any samples of blood from the scene at 964 Old Topanga Canyon Road to the laboratory?
 - A No. I did not.
 - Q And why did you not do that?

A Well, I was told I didn't need to take any samples by Sergeant Whiteley.

This was not a full scale investigation by our laboratory. I was asked to go out there to do a specific job, and that was to find the trail of blood between the living room and the kitchen.

Q All right. Now, were you prepared to do the testing to determine whether the blood was human or animal at the scene, at that time?

A No. I was not.

Q When Sergeant Whiteley told you not to take samples of blood, did you at that time explain to him that you had to do that to determine whether it was human or animal?

A The question never came up.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you.

I have no further questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

	1	sensitive.
	2	Q How about spectography?
	3	A I I have seen something written on that, but
	4	it's not a general in general use
¥	5	Q Well, whether it's
ŧ.	6	A by any lab that I know of.
4	7	Q Whether it's in general use or not, you can
	8	determine the presence of blood on even a non-porous
	9	surface, such as metal, through the use of spectography,
	10	can you not? Blood that would not show up with a benzidine
	11	test?
	12	A I'm not familiar with the procedure. I don't
8 d f	Ls. 13	know how sensitive it is, or
•	14	
*	15	
•	16	
	17	. , ,
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
æ`	24	
₹.	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

8 d-1	1	Q	Don't you use spectography, sir, in your work?
	2	A	Not for determining blood
	3	Q	In your work as a criminalist?
	4	A	Not for determining blood.
ŧ	5	Q	Do you use it for anything?
e t	6	A	We have several applications of spectography in
•	7	the lab.	
	8	Q	And what do you use it for?
	9	A	Well
	10	Q	Paint samples?
	11	A	We have one instrument that determines metallic
	12	elements.	
	13		We have several other instruments that we use
÷	14	for drugs a	nd chemicals and so on,
.	15	Q	Well, sir, the benzidine test is just the
·	16	simplest, e	asiest test to determine the presence of blood;
	17	is that cor	rect?
	18	A	It's the it's a very convenient test, very
	19	sensitive.	
	20	Q.	But there are tests that are more sensitive;
-	21	is that cor	rect?
	22	A	I don't know of any that are more sensitive.
	23	Q.	You don't?
糖	24	A	No.
*	25	Q	All right, sir.
	26	A	Well, we use one that is a little bit more
	27	sensitive,	
-	28	Q	Oh, there is one. What is that?
		i	

1	A But it has to be done in total darkness.
2	Q Well, what is it?
3	A It's a luminol test, where you spray this
4	luminol solution, and it will it fluoresces when it hits
5	blood.
6	Q Yes.
7	And did you do that on theknife or the sword?
.8	A No. You can't use it on metal, because metal
9	fluoresces anyway.
10	Q All right, sir.
11	Now, going to the reason, again, that you were
12	there, you say it was just to trace a trail of blood; is that
13	all?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Well, did you tell Mr. Whiteley that this was
16	human blood; that these droplets that you discovered in the
17	hall and in the kitchen were human blood?
18	A No, I did not.
19	Q Are you sure you didn't tell him that they were
20	human blood?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did any of the blood spots on any of the
23	surfaces that you have indicated to be blood was any of
24	that moist, or was it all hard and dry?
25	A Oh, it was all dry. It was this was seven
26	days after it happened after the body was discovered;
27	and that was about what, two weeks after the
28	Q Well, you don't know when it was, do you, sir?

1	A I know it was at least seven days after the body
2	was discovered
3	Q All right.
4	A that I was out there.
5	Q All right. And did the spots that you saw have
6	the common, ordinary appearance of dark red dried blood?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Was there anything unique about these spots that
9	one with any training at all would have any question about,
10	just looking at them, without testing them, that they were
n	spots of blood?
12	A No.
13	Q So that even a layman, looking at them, would be
14	likely to conclude that they were blood spots; isn't that
15	correct?
16	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That calls for speculation,
17	your Honor, as to what someone else would conclude.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, it would not take an expert,
20	in your expert opinion, to determine that they were blood
21	spots; is that correct?
22	MR. MANZELLA: Again, that calls for speculation, and
23	not an opinion, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Overruled. I'll
25	MR. DENNY: This is a supposed expert.
26	THE COURT: let him answer.
27	THE WITNESS: I would say any reasonable person would
28	come to the conclusion they were blood.

2

3

. 4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

All right. So, are you telling us, then, that you Q just went out in order to confirm what was readily obvious to any reasonable person that those spots were blood spots?

Well, there are -- you're talking about the spots in the kitchen, yes.

The spots in the hallway, which is carpeted, those they wanted confirmation because they just looked like dark spots and they could have been anything and they needed to be tested.

- Now, it is possible on sight to make a test to determine whether it is human blood or not, isn't it?
 - It is possible to do it at the scene?
 - Q Yes.

Well, yes, we can roll up in a laboratory truck and do everything there.

Well, without rolling up in a laboratory truck, Q the test to determine the presince or absence of human blood, as distinguished from animal blood, can be done without too much difficulty on the scene, can't it?

It can be done. We'd have to carry other equipment than we carried, though.

- Other equipment than you carried that day? Q
- We never carry that equipment with us to the A scene.
 - O All right.
 - Part of it needs to be refrigerated. Α
- And if necessary, you could take a door Q All right. off, small cabinet door and submit that to test in the

24

25

26

27

28

Q Α Q

laboratory, is that right?

There's no problem about taking samples. I just didn't take them.

- You wouldn't even have to take the door off.
- That's correct.
- Just scrape a little bit?
- That's correct.
- All right.

Now, sir, this blood was thoroughly dried and coagulated, is that correct?

Well, I don't know about the coagulation. thoroughly dried.

Well, would you distinguish to the jury and to me, if you would please, the difference between dry blood and coagulated blood? Is there a difference?

Well, coagulation usually is referring when you're A talking about wet blood, the separation of the cells from the Once it is dried, the cells are all destroyed and you have nothing but a whole homogeneous red deposit.

- In order for blood to be dry, it must have been coagulated first, isn't that correct?
 - Not necessarily, no. A
- All right. So all you would be willing to say is that all of these blood spots were thoroughly, totally dried at the time you examined them, is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- And then, would you make your determination as to the age of those particular blood spots?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

26

27

- 28

Α Only by looking at them. They appeared to be reasonably fresh.

Well, now, on what did you base that opinion, sir, Q when you say they appeared to be reasonably fresh?

Well, old samples get darker and darker, and these A aren't too dark.

How dark were they? Q

Well, it is hard to recall. They just impressed me with being fairly recent blood spatters.

Well, now, how much darker does a blood spot get Q after it is thoroughly dry?

Oh, they'll continue to be blacker and blacker. A You find one two or three months old, they'll be fairly black.

All right. But you made no specific finding as to the age of these, did you?

No. I did not. À

All right. Because you weren't called on to do that, were you?

A No, I wasn't.

Neither did you type the blood?

No. I did not.

Because you weren't called on to do that, were you? Q

Well, I wasn't asked to or specifically said I A didn't have to take any samples.

Another thing, you don't type blood, go to the work of typing blood if you don't have anything to compare it to and with a decomposed victim, there's no blood sample to get from the victim to compare the type to.

9-4 1 Oh, the autopsy surgeon is not able to type the Q blood of a partially decomposed body? 3 A I don't --4 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I would object to that on the 5 grounds it appears to be calling for speculation on the part 6 of this witness as to what the autopsy surgeon would do. 7 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 8 BY MR. DENNY: As a criminalist, sir, you Q 9 are telling this Court and this jury that you cannot get a blood type from a deceased body that has been partially 10 11 decomposed? 12 Uh, if the body is decomposed, you cannot type it. A 13 9a fol 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

9 a-1	1	Q Well, sir, when you went up there, did you know
	2	the state of the particular body in question?
	3	A Yes, I did.
	4	Q And do you know, sir, whether or not the blood
£	5	was typed by the Coroner?
£	-6	A I don't know. I'm
ē ē	7	Q Do you know of your own knowledge or experience,
	8	sir, what well, strike that. Strike that.
	9	Was it just your opinion at the time that you
	10	went up here this was on the 7th, wasn't it, of August?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q It is your opinion, then, that it would be a
	13	waste of time to try to type of the blood because you
*	14	couldn't get a blood type from this decomposed body?
	15	A I don't recall really anything about it, but I
Ů	16	was told they didn't need any samples checked and so I
	17	didn't take any.
	18	Q Were you told that they just assumed that they
	19	were blood spots of Gary Hinman, the decedent, and so you
	20	didn't need to determine the blood type to match it to the
	21	blood type of Gary Hinman, the decedent?
	22	A Well, this I am I'm sure was the thinking. It
	23	wasn't put in those words.
* C	24	Q And based on that thinking and that conclusion and
** *	25	that assumption, you didn't do any scientific work to deter-
	26	mine whether that was true, is that correct?
	27	A That's right.
	28	All right, sir.

9a-2 As far as you are aware, there is no way to 1 determine that now at this date for this jury, is that 2 correct? 3 I do not know. I don't know what the condition A 4 of the house is in, whether it has been repainted or what. 5 Now, sir, showing you People's 21-B, the 6 photograph showing the cabinet with apparently a meat cutter 7 above it and a stove to the right of it. You indicate that 8 you have circled the locations there with the two circles and initialed them; is that correct? 10 That's correct. A 11 I notice, though, that you have no arrows on that 12 13 particular exhibit. Is there some reason for that? Well, I didn't determine or recall the -- any 14 direction on it, any spatterings on that side of the room. 15 16 Well, now, that's two things you are telling us. Q You didn't determine it and you didn't recall it. 17 18 Did you determine the direction at any time? 19 Not on that side of the room. So the fact that you don't recall means not that 20 21 you did it and you don't recall what the results were, but that 22 you simply didn't do it, is that correct? 23 That's correct. A 24 All right. Well, did you take any close-up 2 25 pictures, sir, to verify the direction of the spots on any 26 of these exhibits? 27 I didn't take any pictures at all. A 28 Did you cause any pictures to be taken so that Q

•

MR. MANZELIA: Objection, it is argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q BY MR. DENNY: Did you take or cause to be taken any close-up pictures of any of these blood spots?
- A The photographer was taking these while I was there and, uh --
- Q So there was a photographer right there present and you could have had them --
- A I thought he was taking pictures of the blood spots.
- Q Well, did you direct him specifically to take close-up pictures of the blood, showing close-ups of those blood spots?
 - A That's what those pictures are supposed to be.
- Q That's what these pictures are supposed to be?

 My question is, did you direct him to do just that, to take close-up pictures?
- A I don't recall telling him what to do. He was taking pictures of the blood spots and I assumed he was getting them.
- As a criminalist, sir, as one who testifies as an expert in the field of criminology, do you not feel it would be helpful in presenting your testimony to a jury to have close-up pictures of the blood spots that you are being called upon to testify about?

A Yes, it would help.

All right. Q 1 And in the course of your -- how many years is 2 it as a criminalist? 3 A Ten. 4 Have you ever had the opportunity, other than on 5 this particular occasion, to direct a photographer to do just that, to get close-up pictures? 7 I occasionally ask them to take pictures of certain things, yes. 9 10 0 All right. But, you didn't in this case? 11 I didn't specifically point to a blood spot and 12 say "Take that picture." He was in there taking pictures 13 of the blood spots and they are the experts in the photography, 14 I am not. And how they do it, is their -- how they do it --15 I don't know how to tell them to take a picture. 16 17 You are a criminalist, aren't you? 18 Yes, but I am not a photographer. And when they start taking pictures, I can't tell them how to do it. 19 9b fls. 20 21 22 23 24 4 25 26 27 28

b-1	1	Q You can't
	2	A They are the experts.
	3	Q But you can't ask them to take a
	4	A They are the experts.
×	5	Q There have been cases there
\$ 12	6	A I tell them to take a picture of a certain
ė	7	thing, and how they take it is how they do it I don't
	8	tell them how to take it.
	9	Q You don't?
	10	A No.
	11	Q All right, sir.
	12	By the way, there are some X's on this picture
	13	21-A.
	14	What do those X's represent?
b S	15	A Well, as I recall, I was asked to put X's where
	16	there are other blood spots indicating the cabinet and the
	17	ceiling.
	18	Q Well, there appears to be an X down here on the
	19	wall over the drainer.
	20	A Yes. I indicated there was blood spots on the
	21	end wall which is just outside of the picture.
	22	Q All right.
	.23	A Underneath the above the sink and underneath.
\$	24	I think there is a cabinet across the back there, too.
~	25	Q All right. Now, on this X on 21-A, on the middle
	26	cabinet here, there doesn't appear to be an arrow there.
	27	Is there some reason for the lack of an arrow
	28	there?

	1	
:	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	ъ	
	7	
	8 ·	,
	9	į
	10	
	11	,
	12	
	13	
	14	
	1 5	,
	16	
	17	
	18	
	10	`

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Well, yes, because I was showing the general direction of this arrow, that arrow and that one.

Q Well, this X is below the general direction, seemingly not in the same path.

A All the blood spots on here were all going in that direction.

Q All going in that direction, all right, sir.

And on 21-C, is it your statement, now, that all the blood on the cabinet here, as depicted in 21-C, not only that in the upper left-hand corner, but in the lower left-hand corner was going in a downward direction?

A Yes. This was a cabinet at the end of the room.

It would be an indication by this X here, would be the area

where that cabinet is.

- Q Indicating the X on the left-hand lower portion of 21-A?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q All right, sir.

Now, sir, when you say that you examined this black T-shirt, People's 22 and found traces of blood on the front hem and on a sleeve, is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q And were these again traces that appeared to be a darkened material that were visible to the naked eye?
- A I don't really recall whether I went over with an ultraviolet light to try to find some stains or whether I actually saw some darkened places where I tested and how I located them, I don't recall.

ŀ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 fol

26 27

28

Q Now, the K's which appear -- strike that.

The X which appears on this photograph, People's 21-A for identification, which appears on the cabinet door. does that X indicate that that is an area in which you found blood spatterings?

A Yes, but they were not -- they didn't show up in the picture.

Q All right. And is the same -- strike that. And with regard to the other photograph, People's 21-B for identification, did you find blood on that cabinet door which is not shown in the photograph, if you recall?

There are probably other spots that didn't show I circled the ones that I could see in the photograph.

All right. And is the same true of the cabinet Q shown in People's 21-C for identification?

Α There are other spots showing it that I haven't circled. I think I circled the major groups and there are probably some that didn't show up, even, in the photographs.

MR. DENNY: Well, I'll move that that last be stricken as a guess

THE COURT: All right, yes, a guess or a speculation is stricken. That last statement is stricken.

10-1

2

1

3

5

7

6

8

10

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

7

26.

27

28

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, you have testified with regard to your background, your training and experience, and your study in the field concerning the causation of different shapes of blood spots or spatterings.

In your opinion, the shapes which you found on the surfaces you have identified, in the photographs marked People's 21-A, 21-B, and 21-C for identification, would those shapes have been caused by blood coming from a moving instrument?

MR. DENNY: Well, just a moment, now.

I'll object to that. There's no foundation for this witness testifying as to that.

MR. MANZELLA: He testified as to his background, training, experience and study in determining --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. DENNY: Well, may I take the witness on voir dire on that, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

you had any training to distinguish between blood coming from a moving object versus blood coming from, say, a piece of steak, versus blood coming from a finger that was flipped like that (indicating), versus blood coming from another part of the anatomy?

MR. MANZELLA: That's not proper voir dire, your Honor.

10-2	1	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	2	Q BY MR. DENNY: Have you had any training, sir,
	3	to distinguish between the sources of blood that have hit
	4	an object?
3	5	A Not specifically, no. I don't think it would
•	6	make any difference what the source was. All the spots
, ĝ	7	indicate is that
.,	8	MR. DENNY: Well, the answer, I'll move
	9	THE WITNESS: it was flying.
	10	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, Mr. Williams. I'll move that
	11	all the latter be stricken as not responsive to the question,
	12	other than I believe his answer "No, not specifically."
	13	THE COURT: After "not specifically," the answer is
ı s	14	stricken.
)	15	Anything further
7	16	MR. DENNY: Nothing further.
	17	THE COURT: on voir dire?
	18	Go shead, Mr. Manzella.
	19.	
	20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
	21	BY MR. MANZELLA:
	22	Q All right. Mr. Turney, do you recall the
	23	question that I asked previously?
\$	24	A Yes.
<u>د</u>	25	Q Would you answer that question?
~	26	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as no foundation.
	27	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	28	THE WITNESS: The spots could have come from a weapon,

an instrument; also could have come from just blood on 10-3 1 somebody's pants. 2 BY MR. MANZELLA: And at the time the blood was 3 deposited on the surfaces you identified, in your opinion, 4 would it have been moving at the time it was deposited? 5 Yes, it was moving in the direction I indicated. 6 MR. MANZELIA: All right. All right. Thank you, Mr. 7 Turney. 8 I have no further questions, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Any further questions? 10 MR. DENNY: Yes, sir. 11 12 RECROSS EXAMINATION 13 14 BY MR. DENNY: 15 If I took a leg of lamb, and I hit that leg of Q 16 lamb -- a fresh leg of lamb, not cooked -- on my hand like that (indicating), and that leg of lamb had blood in it, 17 18 that blood would shoot out in the direction that the leg of 19 lamb was moving; is that correct? 20 That's right, yes. A 21 All right. And that blood would then spurt 22 over somewhere; is that correct? 23 A Yes. 24 Or even if I just took it and shook it like that ¢. 25 (indicating), it would do so; is that correct? 26 À Yes. 27 Or a steak? A bloody steak? 28 Yes.

*-*200 € .

```
Q
                              Or, as you say, a finger, --
         1
                       A
                              Yes.
         2
                              - a cut finger, perhaps?
         3
                             Yes.
          4
                              All right. Or a weapon with blood on it?
          5
10a fls.6
          7
          8
          9
         10
         11
         12
         13
         14
         15
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
 ð.
         25
         26
         27
         28
```

25

26

27

28

Q All right. So, as far as the spots that you saw on the wall, you have no way of knowing whatsoever what the source of those spots was; is that correct?

A That's correct.

MR. DENNY: No further questions.

MR. MANZELLA: Nothing further.

THE COURT: You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we'll declare a recess now until tomorrow morning at 9:30.

Let me just take a look at that calendar for tomorrow morning.

Hmmm -- it's a long one. I'd better make it 9:45, and just hope that we can get to it by then.

Let's have you here anyhow at 9:45.

And remember the admonition, that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

Good night. See you tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, murmurs of, "Good night, your Honor," were heard from the members of the jury.)

(Whereupon, the members of the jury exited the courtroom at 4:40 p. m., and the following proceedings were had:)

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at the clerk's desk between Mr. Kay and the clerk.)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to address the Court on --

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. DENNY: -- one matter pertaining to the custody of Mr. Davis.

Mr. Davis informs me that, for whatever reasons, the Sheriff now has taken away the razors from certain of the prisoners where he is located -- he included -- and they are issued a razor with a blade locked in each morning.

It is difficult enough with the equipment that they're given to shave with, but they also don't have any hot water to shave with, and he specifically does not have any hot water to shave with.

There are others -- apparently it takes a court order to do it -- who have, with that court order, gotten hot water to shave with.

I would request the Court to make an order to the Sheriff -- apparently it is not difficult to get a small pail of hot water brought to the cell, to provide the defendant here hot water to shave with each morning, before he comes down to court.

THE COURT: What is the situation, Mr. Kuczera?

THE BAILIFF: I don't know, sir. It's the first I heard of it.

THE COURT: Can he be -- they're given a clean blade, a fresh blade, every morning, in a locked razor?

MR. DENNY: Is it fresh or what?

THE DEFENDANT: Well, it doesn't feel like it's fresh.

ر رس

t!

You know, we can -- we could buy stainless steel razor blades at the store, you know, before our razors were taken away.

But now, they furnish blades, and they don't feel like -- they don't feel like stainless blades. They're not as sharp as stainless is.

And when they don't have hot water, with stainless blades, you can do it with cold water. But with these regular blades --

THE COURT: It seems like a reasonable request.

Could you pass it down along to the Sheriff,

Mr. Kuczera?

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I don't know if it will take a court order to do it --

THE COURT: I don't know either. But let's see first if we can do it without an order.

MR. DENNY: All right. Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 4:43 p. m., an adjournment was taken in this matter until 9:45 a. m. of the following day, Tuesday, January 11, 1972.)