SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ì FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 JUDGE 4 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff, 6 A-267861 7 ~ VS~ 8 BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS, 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Thursday, January 20, 1972 14 VOLUME 27 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., For the People: 18 District Attorney, BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA 19 STEPHEN R. KAY, Deputies 20 District Attorney 21 GEORGE V. DENNY, III For Defendant Davis: 22 JLOAN MAGDALENE SHEA 23 MARY LOU BRIANDI, CSR ROGER K. WILLIAMS, CSR 25 Official Court Reporters 26 27 28

ſ	
1	<u>i n d e x</u>
2	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	SLOAN, Laurence W. 3924 M 3930
4	SHEA, Magdalene Velma 3933 M
5	•
6	
7	
8	EXHIBITS
9	PEOPLE'S: FOR IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE
10	48 - certificate of marriage 3940
11	48-A - and 48-B - two photographs 3940
12	53-A and 53-B - two revolvers 3962
13	54 - an attache case 3964
14	55-A, B and C - pawn tickets 3996
15	56-A, B and C - pawn tickets 3996
16	57-A, B, C, and D - pawn tickets 3996
17	60-A and B - two pawn tickets 3996
18	61-A through 61-J - ten photographs 3975
. 19	62 - six keys and a ring 3997
20	64 - yellow piece of paper 3997
21	65-A, B, C and D - photographs 3997 65-H - a suitcase and contents 4013
22	71 - a check 3997
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	·

A-1

4 5

 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JANUARY 20, 1972 9:46 A.M.

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

(Whereupon, murmurs of "Good morning, your Honor," were heard from members of the jury.)

THE COURT: The record will show that all the jurors are present in the case of People vs. Davis. Counsel for the People and counsel for the defendant are present. The defendant is present, and we are ready to proceed.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have what appears to be a certified copy of a driver's license in the name of Jack Paul McMillian, and an application for a driver's license in that same name, both of which appear to be certified copies.

May I have those marked, if I may, your Honor. People's 98 for identification?

THE COURT: All right. So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: The People call Mr. Larry Sloan, your Honor.

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give in the cause now pending before this court, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

LAURENCE W. SLOAN,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having

S-1-0-a-n;

A-2 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 1 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 2 THE BAILIFF: Would you please state and spell your 3 full name, sir? 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Laurence W. Sloan. 5 Laurence with a "u". 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. MANZELLA: 9 Mr. Sloan, what is your occupation? 10 I am an examiner of questioned documents and A 11 12 handwriting identification expert, employed by the Office 13 of the District Attorney, Los Angeles County. And have you had some background, training and 14 15 experience in the field of the examination of questioned 16 documents? 17 Yes. I --Α MR. DENNY: I am willing to stipulate -- I'm sorry. 18 (Pause in the proceedings while a discussion 19 off the record ensued at the counsel table between 20 21 Mr. Denny and Mr. Manzella.) 22 BY MR. MANZELIA: Well, perhaps, Mr. Sloan, you Q 23 could tell us what your background, training and experience 24 has been in that field. 25 Yes, sir. I began training -- my initial train-26 ing in 1945. I was an intelligence agent in the Army, and 27 attended intelligence school at Fort Sam Houston, Texas. 28 And some of the studies we pursued at that time

A-3

Ä

Ę

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

were in the field of questioned documents.

After joining the Police Department in 1946 -that is, here in Los Angeles -- I was assigned to the
Questioned Document Section of the Scientific Investigation
Division.

I was under the supervision and tutelage of Donn Mire, who was the document expert for the Police Department.

I was with Mr. Mire for seven and a half years, prior to his retirement in 1954.

I also attended the University of Southern California and studied under John L. Harris, an independent expert in the city, who gave a course in questioned documents and handwriting identification.

During the past 25 years, I have studied and read considerably the works of Albert Osborn, James V. P. Conway, Ordway Hilton, and Wilson R. Harrison. All of these people are recognized experts and authors in the field of questioned documents.

These studies -- I have read materials published by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and other articles by my contemporaries in the field.

I have testified in the Municipal and Superior Courts of Los Angeles and other counties in the State of California; also in the States of Washington, Oregon, Arizona, Nevada and Oklahoma.

Nineteen of my 20 years with the Police Department was spent in the Questioned Documents Section. And upon my

retirement in 1966, I proceeded to Portland, Oregon, where I became a document expert for the City of Portland and the County of Multinomah.

I returned to Los Angeles in 1967, in August, and assumed the position I now hold.

I've taught the subject to other people. I have lectured on the subject. And during my career, I have examined in excess of 200,000 documents pertaining to questioned documents, problems of all kinds.

Aa-1

2

1

2

5

6 7

Я

Q 10

11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

I am a Fellow in the Questioned Documents Section of the American Academy of Forensic Scientists. And for the past 25 years, the field of questioned documents has been my vocation and my avocation as well.

Thank you, Mr. Sloan. Q.

Would you tell us what a questioned document is?

A questioned document is any document or piece of material, as far as that's concerned, upon which there Market of the Control may be a writing or printing, about which there is a question as to its authenticity or its legality.

It may be typing; it may be mechanical printing or human printing or handwriting -- or any article of any nature that would have a question raised about it.

Mr. Sloan, directing your attention to the exhibit which has been marked People's 38, the Federal Firearms -what has been identified as a Federal Firearms Transaction Record, and in particular that portion of the document which bears the signature and the name of Jack Paul McMillian, have you seen that document before?

Yes, I have.

And was that document submitted to you as a questioned document?

A __ It was.

Directing your attention to the exhibit which I have just marked as People's 98 for identification, which appears to be a certified copy of a driver's license and application for driver's license in the name of Jack Paul McMillian, was that document, as well, People's 98 for

Aa-2	1	identification, submitted to you for comparison purposes?
	2	A Yes, it was.
	3	Q And did you perform an analysis and a comparison
÷	4	between the signatures "Jack Paul McMillian" appearing on
ą	5	both of those documents?
*	6	A Yes, I did.
	7	Q Now, on the document, the driver's license and
	8	the driver's license application, which is People's 98,
	9	there appear to be three signatures in the name of Jack Paul
	10	McMillian; is that correct?
	11	A Yes. However, actually, the white copy, which
	12	bears the picture, is a duplicate of the license portion
	13	itself. They are not three different items.
*	14	Q All right. So then, there are two signatures
, Ģ	15	two separate signatures which appear on People's 98, the
	16	driver's license and driver's license application,
	17	A Yes, sir.
	18	Q is that correct?
	19	Now, Mr. Sloan, if you would, would you mark the
	20	signatures on that exhibit, People's 98, what you used
	21	for identification, perhaps you could circle them what you
	22	used for comparison purposes?
	23	A Well, it would be necessary to encircle all three,
	24	because I made reference to the picture portion, which is a
*	25	Polaroid picture, as well as the photostat portion of the
***	26	license itself.
· ·	27	Q All right. Thank you. And if you would, Mr.
-	28	Sloan, would you circle, so that it would be clear, the

signature on People's 38 -- that is, the Federal Firearms Aa-3 1 Transaction Record -- circle the signature which was 2 submitted to you as the questioned signature on that exhibit? 3 A (Witness complies.) 4 And would you show those to his Honor, please? 5 Q. And, your Honor, may the record reflect that Mr. 6 7 Sloan has complied with my request? 8 (Whereupon, the witness exhibited the documents 9 to the Court.) 10 THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show. 11 Q. BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Sloan, did you perform, 12 then, a comparison between the -- did you compare the 13 signature appearing on the Federal Firearms Transaction Record, People's 38, with the signatures appearing on the 14 15 driver's license and the driver's license application, 16 People's 98 for identification? 17 A Yes, I did. 18 And as a result of that comparison, did you form 19 an opinion as to whether or not the signature appearing on 20 People's 38, the Firearms Transaction Record, was made by 21 one and the same person who placed the signatures on People's 22 98 for identification? 1 fls. A Yes, I did. 24 25 26 27 28

1-1	1	Q And would you tell us, what is that opinion?
	2	A As a result of my comparison of the writing
	.3	of the name Jack McMillian as it appears in the center
â _y	4	portion that I have encircled on People's 38, with and against
,	5	the two writings of the name Jack McMillian on People's 98
4 ,	6	on the driver's license portion and the application portion
	7	which I have in both instances encircled, it is my specific
	8	and unqualified opinion that these writings were made by the
	9	same person.
	10	MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you, Mr. Sloan.
	11	THE COURT: Is that all on direct?
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, thank you.
	13	THE COURT: Cross.
r,	14	
) ₆	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	16	BY MR. DENNY:
	1	
	17	Q Mr. Sloan, I am kind of tickled by your statement
	17	Q Mr. Sloan, I am kind of tickled by your statement that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents
	18	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents
	18 19	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents. You spend both your working and your off hours
	18 19 20	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents. You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct?
	18 19 20 21	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct? A Well, a portion of my off hours, you might say.
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct? A Well, a portion of my off hours, you might say. Q You are a man who loves his work and does it
. ·	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct? A Well, a portion of my off hours, you might say. Q You are a man who loves his work and does it well?
**************************************	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents. You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct? A Well, a portion of my off hours, you might say. Q You are a man who loves his work and does it well? A I try to.
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that your vocation and your avocation is questioned documents. You spend both your working and your off hours devoted to your field, is that correct? A Well, a portion of my off hours, you might say. Q You are a man who loves his work and does it well? A I try to. Q You do, sir.

1-2	1	Q And you've been that since 1967?
	2	A Since August, yes.
	3	Q Is that when Donn Mire retired from that same
₹	4	position?
કુ	5	A That's right. I followed him to the D.A.'s
3	6	office as well as taking over at the Police Department.
	7	Q All right, sir. And when was it that these two
	8	documents, 38 and now 98 were submitted to you for your
	9	comparison?
	10	A March of last year.
	11	Q March of 1971?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	MR. DENNY: All right, fine. I have no further
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	14	questions. Thank you.
) ^	15	MR. MANZELLA: Nothing further, your Honor.
	16	May Mr. Sloan be excused?
	17	MR. DENNY: Certainly. Thank you, Mr. Sloan.
	18	THE COURT: You may be excused.
	19	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
	20	(Whereupon, the witness while descending from
	21	the witness stand, tripped.)
	22	THE WITNESS: Watch out for that first step.
	23	(Laughter.)
	24	THE COURT: Remember, the claim has to be filed in a
~	25	certain specific time, Mr. Sloan. I won't advise you as to
5	26	how long.
	27	MR. DENNY: Within 100 days.
	28	MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, the People's next witness is

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mrs. Magdalene Shea, your Honor. Mr. Kay is bringing her up to court.

THE COURT: Will it be long?

MR. MANZELLA: Probably about five minutes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, we're in recess. You can wander around, if you like. Don't converse among yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter. We'll be in recess until the People's witness arrives.

(Short recess.)

THE COURT: All the jurors are present. The defendant is present with his counsel.

Mr. Manzella, you may call your next witness.

MR. MANZELIA: People call Mrs. Magdalene Shea, your Honor.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

Would you please state and spell your full name.

THE WITNESS: Magdalene Velma Shea. M-a-g-d-a-l-e-n-e, V-e-1-m-a, S-h-e-a.

MAGDALENE VELMA SHEA,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

1-4	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
	2	BY MR. MANZELLA:				
	3	Q Mrs. Shea, did you know a man by the name of				
*	4	Donald Jerome Shea?				
ઝુ	5	A Yes.				
J	6	Q Directing your attention to the two photographs				
	7	first, People's 46. Is that the man you knew as Donald				
	8	Jerome Shea?				
	9	A Yes.				
	10	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked				
	11	People's 47. Is that another photograph of the same man?				
	12	A Yes.				
	13	Q Now, when did you first meet Mr. Shea?				
*	14	A In May of 1969.				
— A	15	Q Where did you meet him?				
	16	A At the Cab Inn.				
	17	Q That's spelled C-a-b I-n-n?				
	18	A Yes, it's spelled C-a-b I-n-n.				
	19	Q Where is that located?				
	20	A It is on Carson and Alondra it is on Avalon				
	21	and Alondra in the City of Carson.				
	22	Q And were you working at the Cab Inn at that time?				
	23	A Yes.				
	24	Q And what were you doing?				
la fla	25 •	A I was a bar maid and a topless dancer.				
ð	26					
	27	•				
	28					

1-a-1	1		ର	And were you how did you meet Mr. Shea?
	2		A	He came to work there as manager of the club.
_	3		Q.	And do you recall the name of the owner of the
•	4	club?		
	5		A	Uh, Bromberg.
*	6		Q	Bromberg?
	7		A	Yes.
	, 8		Q	I believe that's spelled B-r-o-m-b-e-r-g.
	9			Now, after you strike that.
	10			Did you know Donald Shea by any nickname?
	11		A	Shorty Shea.
	12		Q	Now, sometime after you met Donald in May of
	13	1969,	did h	e leave the Cab Inn?
ħ	14		A	Yes.
,	15		Q	And approximately when was that?
	16		A	It was about the last of May or the first of
	17	June.		
	18		Q	And this is of 1969?
	19	,	A	Yes.
	20		Q	Do you know where he went when he went to the
	21	Cab I	nn?	· ·
	22		A	He went to Las Vegas.
	23		Q -,	And sometime thereafter did you join him in
	24	Las V	egas?	
•	25	: 1	A	Yes.
o.	26		Q	When was that?
	27		A	June.
	28		Q	Of 1969?
		I		

1		
1	A	Yes.
2	ୃ	Sometime thereafter did you marry Mr. Shea?
3	A	Yes.
4.	Q	When was that?
5	A	July 1st, of 1969.
6	ବ	And where were you married?
7	A	Las Vegas.
8	Q	Now, before you were married in on July 1st,
9	of 1969, in	Las Vegas, and after you had gone to Las Vegas
10	in June, di	i you return to Los Angeles?
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	And did you return with someone?
13	A	Jerry Binder.
14	Q	B-i-n-d-e-r.
15		Were you aware of the relationship between Mr.
16	Binder and	your husband, Donald Shea?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q.	What was that relationship?
19	MR. DE	NNY: I'll object to that as irrelevant and
20	immaterial.	Also calling for speculation and conclusion and
21	opinion.	
22	THE CO	WRT: Overruled.
23	ୟ	BY MR. MANZELLA: What was the relationship?
24	A	Uh, it was uh, they seemed like part of a
25	family, a b	cother and sister thing with his family.
26	କ୍	Now, you flew back to Los Angeles with Mr. Binder?
27	A	Yes, I did.
28	Q	And for what purpose did you return to Los

	1	Angeles in June?
	2	MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
	3	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
Įls.	4	A To go to Tulare, California, to a funeral.
g.	5	
-	6;	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
8	14	
,	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
} . ,	25	
*	26	
	27	
	28	

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I will object to that and 2a-1 1 move that that be stricken as entirely irrelevant, immaterial, 2 calculated only to arouse prejudice and passion in the minds 3 of the jury. MR. KAY: Huh? What was the answer? THE COURT: 6 (Whereupon the record was read by the 7 reporter as follows: 8 #A To go to Tulare, California, to a 9 funeral.") 10. "To go to Tulare" is in. The rest may go THE COURT: Ħ, out. 12 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now --13 THE COURT: That is, the remainder of the answer, 14 after "Tulare," is stricken. 15 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, sometime after you met 16 Donald Shea in May of 1969, did you meet any of his friends? 17 A Yes. 18 And who did you meet? Q 19 Jim and Sharon Babcocks. 20 À And were they husband and wife? 21 Q. 22 A Yes, they are. 23 And where did you meet them? Q 24 In their home. It was out -- uh --Α Do you recall now where it was? Q Uh -- I can't recall the town, but it's out by 26 A 27 San Fernandos Valley. 28 All right. And did you also meet Jerry Binder? Q

		<u> </u>
1	A	Yes, I did.
2	Q	Did you meet his wife?
3	A	Yes, I met Miriam.
4	Q.	And where did you meet the Binders?
5	Á	At their home in Hollywood.
6	Q	And were you with Donald when you met them?
7	A	Yes, I was.
8	Q	And was this before you were married, that you
9	first met th	e Binders?
10	A	Yes.
n	Q	And was it before you were married that you first
12	met the Babc	ocks?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Now, do the Binders have any children?
15	:A	Yes, they had three.
16	Q	And did Donald take you to the Binders ?
17	- A	Yes, he did.
18	·Q	And was this for a social visit?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Now, was it after you met the Binders that you
21	returned to	Las Vegas?
22	A,	Repeat the question, please?
23	Q	After you met the Binders the first time, before
24	you were mar	ried to Donald, was it after that that you returned
25	to Las Vegas	?
26	A	(No response.)
27	Q	If you recall.
28	A	I don't understand. After I met
	1	

,	a via via via via via via via via via vi
1	Q I'm sorry. Yes, I'm sorry.
2	After you flew out here to California with Mr.
3	Binder from Las Vegas, were you met at the airport by
4	anyone?
5	A Yes. Miriam and their baby.
6	Q That's Mrs. Binder?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And where did you go from the airport?
9	MR. DENNY: I'll object to this as all irrelevant and
10	immaterial.
11	THE COURT: It would appear to be.
12	MR. MANZELLA: All right. I'll withdraw the question.
13	Q Now, after you were here in Los Angeles for a
14	while, you then returned to Las Vegas; is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you married Donald on July 1st of 1969?
17	· A Yes, sir.
18	Q And did you have a did Donald have a best
19	man, and did you have a bridesmaid?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And who was the best man for Donald?
22	A Jerry Binder.
23	Q And who was your bridesmaid?
24 .	A Brenda Williams.
25	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears
26	to be a certificate of marriage, bearing the names Donald
27	Jerome Shea and Magdalene how do you pronounce your
28	maiden name?

	1
	2
	-3
*	4
Ŗ	5
8 id.	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
48A&B i 2a fls.	d .12
za fls.	13
À	14
•	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20`
	21
	22
	23
	24
<u>r</u>	25
«	- 26
	27
	28

A Fuery; F like in free, u-e-r-y.

MR. MANZELLA: F-u-e-r-y.

May I have that marked People's, -- it has previously been marked People's 48. May it be marked People's 48 for this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here two black and white photographs. May I have those marked People's -- they have previously been marked People's 48-A and 48-B for identification.

May they be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

Angeles?
Yes, a week later.
Q Now, while Donald was in Las Vegas, was he
working for anyone?
A Yes.
Q Who was that?
A Jerry Binder.
Q Now, approximately when did you return to Los
Angeles?
A About the second week in July.
Q And Donald returned about a week later?
A Yes.
Q And where did you two stay after he returned
from Las Vegas?
A We stayed one night at a friend's out in the
City of Carson; and then we moved we stayed to the
Wilcox Hotel, on Wilcox, right off of Sunset.
Q And is that in Hollywood?
A Yes.
Q All right. And how long did you stay at the
Wilcox Hotel with Donald?
A Until August 16th, 1969.
Q Now, shortly after you returned, after Donald
had returned from Las Vegas here to Los Angeles, did he take
you anywhere to visit anyone?
A Yes.
Q And where did he take you?
A He took me out to the Spahn's Ranch, the Sunday

1	after he the next day after he got back from Las Vegas.
2	Q And did he take you there to visit anyone?
3	A Yes.
_	
4	
5	A Ruby Pearl and George Spahn.
6	Q Now, when you went out, did you go out to
.7,	Spahn Ranch with Donald on that occasion?
· 8;	A Yes.
' <u>9</u> .	Q And did you meet George Spahn and Ruby Pearl?
10	A No.
11	Q Why not?
12	A Because they wasn't there.
13	Q Did you sometime later return to Spahn Ranch
14	with Donald?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did you meet anyone on that occasion?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And who did you meet?
19	A I met Ruby Pearl, George Spahns, Squeaky, Tex
20	I mean, Charles Watson, and a lot of other peoples I don't
21	recall.
22	Q You don't recall their names?
23	A No. I met Manson on the first Charles Manson
24	on the first time.
25	Q All right. Now, had Donald ever said anything
26	to you about his attitude towards and his relationship with
27 .	George Spahn and Ruby Pearl?
28	A Yes.
	The state of the s

Š

Just a moment. I'll object to anything MR. DENNY: 1 further beyond that. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MR. MANZELLA: And what did Donald tell you-strike that. 5 Was this on one occasion or more than one 6 occasion that he talked about George Spahn and Ruby Pearl? 7 A 8 He talked about them quite often, because he used to --9 10 MR. DENNY: I will object to anything beyond "he talked about them quite often." Move that anything else be 11 12 stricken. 13 The objection is sustained. THE COURT: 14 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Would you tell us 15 what he said about George Spahn and Ruby Pearl? MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay. 16 17 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 18 MR. MANZELLA: The People would like to be heard 19 briefly on that, your Honor. 3 fls. THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from you. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

3-1

11.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: Bob gave me that to give to you.

Your Honor, it is the People's position that because we do not have a -- we have not recovered the body in this case, that we have to show by circumstantial evidence that Shea is dead. What we were attempting to prove is that Mr. Shea had given -- shown no indication and did not intend to leave his family, his friends, to sever all contacts and relationships with the people that he knew before he disappeared. That he had given no indication of that at all.

And that his relationship with these people and his attitude towards these people was such that he would not have disappeared from view and dropped out of sight without notifying these people.

THE COURT: So you're offering --

MR. MANZELLA: We're offering this on Shea's state of mind to show that as circumstantial evidence, when he disappeared, when he severed his relationship and ties with these people, it was because as a result of foul play, criminal act, rather than a voluntary act on his part. It is offered on his state of mind.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, in the first place, it is irrelevant as to what he said about his feelings about George and Ruby. We've already gotten something into that through his former wife, Sandra Harmon, who testified to the relationship between Shorty and George.

14.

MR. KAY: Between 1961 and 1965. And, of course, this would be more up to date, showing it is a continuing relationship.

MR. DENNY: All right. They can testify personally to that relationship, if they want to. The People can call those witnesses in and testify as to what that relationship was, which they have done on prior occasions, both before the Grand Jury and in the Manson case.

THE COURT: Do you think they can express an opinion from what they saw and observed as to whether or not it was a friendly relationship or how close it was?

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, this witness may be able to express her opinion under the more liberalized opinion exception.

THE COURT: I think that's about all it is, really.

MR. DENNY: But, no, he's not asking for opinion. He's asking for conversation, what Shorty said.

THE COURT: Well, the conversations are admitted if they -- if the Court does admit them solely for the purpose of showing the relationship between Spahn and Pearl.

MR. KAY: That's right.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, we're getting a little far afield when it comes to the intentions of the party. There is an exception to the hearsay rule pertaining to state of mind, but it doesn't pertain to every state of mind. The exception to the hearsay rule pertains to state of mind when you're talking about was a person going somewhere or was a person not going somewhere.

3-3

·7,

THE COURT: An expression of intention generally is what you're speaking of.

MR. DENNY: Now, the People --

THE COURT: The People --

MR, DENNY: If I may, your Honor.

The People are taking entirely, wholly, completely inconsistent positions here, getting in every kind of purportedly state of mind hearsay when they get in hearsay from Jerry Binder, from Arch Hall, from Babcock and others that he was going to go into movies, that he was going to go away to Arizona, when they get in statements from Ray Parrott he was going to go not to Arizona to make a movie, but to Vallejo to work in the salt mines. All right, this Court has heard that evidence already, both in the Manson case and has seen it in the Grand Jury transcript on the 995 motion.

Now, if you are getting all of these in, let's assume that this is before the Court, how can you say now that they can also get in state of mind evidence to show that he wasn't going anywhere, that he planned to stay here with his friends. It is entirely inconsistent. They have to fish or cut bait.

MR. KAY: That's not true.

MR. MANZELLA: That's not what we are doing. I think Mr. Denny misunderstood what I said.

We're not offering the statements that he did not intend to leave a particular place. What we're offering is his state of mind to show that Sheats intention was not to sever his relationship with these people, even if he should tempor-

arily leave, say, Spahn Ranch or the City of Los Angeles. 3-4 But that he did not intend to sever his relationship with his mother, his wife, his friends and so on. That's what we are attempting to show. MR. DENNY: And they're seeking to get this here --THE COURT: I'll permit it. 3 a fol 10_ ñ

3a-1

9.

12⁻

. 14

18.

 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, if I can just get one more thing in.

They're seeking to get this in through hearsay which is secondary and that the best evidence — when they're going to attempt to, at least, get the same evidence in by virtue of the testimony of Ruby Pearl, of Arch Hall, of Jerry Binder, of Jim Babcock, that Shorty always contacted them.

And testimony of his mother that he always contacted her.

Now, that is better evidence and that is more direct evidence and it is not hearsay, rather than trying to get in hearsay through this witness as to what his relationship was with these people from which you were supposed to then draw an inference based on that hearsay that he didn't want to sever his relationship with them.

Let them bring in the testimony of the first party witnesses with whom he had the relationship as to what that relationship was and as to what contacts he had over the years with them and the jury may draw their inference from that testimony. But not hearsay from this witness on that subject, which is secondary and tertiary as far as the inferences you're supposed to draw therefrom.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MANZELLA: If the Court wants to hear from me, I do have something.

If we brought in, say, oh, Ruby Pearl, who testified she thought — she trusted Shorty and she felt close to him; and Dawn Quant, and the other witnesses that we will call. And there was a witness who was close to Mr. Shea, who heard him say

3a-2

that he really didn't like these people and that he was using them to -- oh, for his own gain, his own goals and so on, and that he really intended to leave Los Angeles and go some place else and he didn't like these people, he wanted to get away from it, he was sick of it, surely Mr. Denny could bring that in.

MR. DENNY: I could bring it in by way of impeachment.

MR. MANZELLA: That's not true at all, by way of impeachment. You could bring it in as substantive evidence that Shea didn't --

THE COURT: Of a state of mind.

MR. MANZELLA: Of a state of mind, of course. And what we are trying to show is Mr. Shea's state of mind. Just because we can show it by several witnesses, we do that to make it stronger. It is as simple as that. It is admissible to show a state of mind, and we do it by several witnesses to make it stronger. And if we can do it, if we have the witnesses to testify to his state of mind, there's no reason why we can't use them because it is admissible.

MR. DENNY: But this is not real state of mind that this particular exception to the hearsay rule was supposed to be directed toward. This is solely her opinion, her opinion and conclusion as to what Shorty thought of these people. That's all it is. It is an opinion --

MR. MANZELLA: No, in the --

MR. DENNY: -- of Shorty.

MR. MANZELLA: In the L. Ewing Scott case this kind of evidence was submitted in almost the same situation, where a person was suspected of being dead, no body had been recovered

3a-3 1 2 3 5 MR. DENNY: To them. б MR. KAY: Huh-uh, no. 7 8 lifetime which would show her state of mind. 9 10 11 showing Mr. Shea's state of mind. 12 MR. DENNY: State of mind as to what? 13 MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor. 14 15 16 17 18 Pearl? 19 THE COURT: Excuse me just one minute. 20 2Ţ 22 THE COURT: As to --23 MR. DENNY: Overbroad. 24 25 ize it. 26 Q 27 28

and the persons, friends, relatives, business associates testified with regard to her state of mind. It is the same. MR. DENNY: That's exactly what I am saying. MR. KAY: Instead of her relationship with other people. MR. MANZELLA: Her statements she had made during her THE COURT: All right, I'll permit it with the admonition to the jury, however, that it is received for the purpose of (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, would you tell us, Mrs. Shea, what did Donald Shea tell you about George Spahn and Ruby MR. DENNY: Again, hearsay objection, your Honor. Also, the question is vague and ambiguous. THE COURT: All right, sustained. You should particular-BY MR. MANZELLA: Prior to the -- strike that. During the time that you were -- during the time that you knew Donald Shea, had he told you about his feelings,

Ċ.

2

3.

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

his attitude towards George and Ruby Pearl?

A Yes, he looked --

MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object to anything else but yes and move it be stricken.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, would you tell us what he said with regard to his feelings and his attitude towards George Spahn and Ruby Pearl?

MR. DENNY: Again, hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, would you approach the bench, gentlemen.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The court is going to instruct the jury that Mr. Shea's statements are received as circumstantial evidence to show, if indeed they do show, if they indeed do show Mr. Shea's state of mind at the time the statements were made in respect to his attitude and relationship toward the person spoken of --

MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor, I will ask, however, that -- I am going to make a further objection as the question is phrased on the grounds of opinion and conclusion. We've been talking about an exception to the hearsay rule based on statements of Mr. Shea to her. The question was what was his attitude toward them.

MR. MANZELLA: No, that wasn't the question.

MR. KAY: No.

MR. MANZELLA: What did he say, what did he tell you about his feelings towards George Spahn and Ruby Pearl.

3a-5 MR. DENNY: If we can have it read back -- and it was not 1 2 so phrased. And she was about to embark on her opinion of 3 what his attitude was, not what he said to her. 4 MR. KAY: Let's have it read back. 5 (Whereupon, the question and answer were read by 6 the reporter as follows: 7 Q" During the time that you were -- during ġ the time that you knew Donald Shea, had he told you 9 about his feelings, his attitude towards George 10. Spahn and Ruby Pearl? 11 "A Yes, he looked $--^n$) 12 MR. KAY: 13 MR. DENNY: "He looked -- " -- she's going to say, not 14 what he said. 15. THE COURT: It is sustainable. You may rephrase it. 16 fol 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

 in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Shea's statements are received as circumstantial evidence, to show -- if indeed they do show -- Mr. Shea's state of mind at the time the statement was made by him, in respect to his relationship and attitude towards the person spoken of in the statement.

The statements are received for that limited purpose.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Shea, during the time that you knew Donald Shea, from May of 1969 to August of 1969, did he tell you how he felt about George Spahn and Ruby Pearl?

A Yes.

Q Would you tell us, then, what did he tell you about George Spahn and Ruby Pearl?

A He thought -- looked upon George Spahn as a -MR. DENNY: Just a moment. I'll object to the answer as
not responsive to the question: What did he tell her?

Specific words are called for.

THE COURT: Is this what he said, Mrs. Shea?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. You may answer the question.

A He thought -- he looked -- he thought that George Spahn was a father, like, to him; and Ruby Pearl was a mother.

Q And did he tell you anything about how long he had known them?

	1	ing the control of th
_	1	MR. MANZELLA: K-o-s-l-o-f-f, I believe. The first name's
·	2	spelled M-a-u-r-i-c-e.
	.3·	Q And what did you and Donald do when you went out to
*	4	the studio, when you went to the studio on August 11th, 1969?
	5	A We both read scripts, and he got a part, after
	6	reading a speaking part, and I got a part as an extra in a
	7	movie.
	8 ,	Q But at that time, you signed no contracts; is that
	9	right?
4a Eol	10	A No.
	n	
	12	
	13	
*	14	
, . ,	15	
	16	,
,	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
a	25	
•	26	
	· 27	
	28	

4a-1	1	Q Was anything done in preparation
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q for the parts?
\$	4	A Yes. The measurements of both of our sizes was
	5	taken.
Ω	6	Q Both you and Donald?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Now, from the time you had met Donald in May of
	9	1969, had Donald ever said anything to you about the movies,
	10,	in general, or acting in particular?
	11 .	A Yes.
	12	Q And what did he say to you?
	13	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay.
	14	MR. MANZELLA: The People offer it as Mr. Shea's state
` ~	15	of mind, if it shows it, at the time the statements were
	16	made.
•	17	THE COURT: All right. The Court will permit it,
	18	with that restriction, ladies and gentlemen: That it is
•	19	admitted to establish, if it does, his state of mind in
	20	respect to his intentions regarding movie work.
	21	Q * BY MR. MANZELLA: Could you tell us what Donald
	22	said to you about movie work?
	23	A He used to be a stuntman, and he wanted to go
	24	back into movie work again.
<u> </u>	25	And this was all his talk, mostly, was going
3	26	back into the movies.
	27	Q And did he ever did he tell you at any time,
	28	after you met him in May of 1969, about any particular film

4a-2	1	or particular movie?
	2	A Yes. But I don't recall the name.
	3	Q Do you recall the name Robert Bickston?
e	4	A Right, yes. I do.
	5	Q And do you recall whether Donald said anything
*	6	about Mr. Bickston?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q What did he say?
	9	A He said he had did some work for Mr. Bickston
	10	in a movie.
	11	MR. DENNY: Well, I'll object
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Bickston is spelled B-i-c-k-s-t-o-n.
,	13	MR. DENNY: Just a moment. I'll object to that and
•	14	move that it be stricken as hearsay; not calling for his
,	15.	state of mind.
	16	THE COURT: Yes, it is stricken. "He said he had
	17	done some work for Robert Bickston," that is stricken.
	18	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: My question is, Mrs. Shea,
	19	did he say anything about Mr. Bickston with regard to future
	20	work that he might do?
	21	A Yes.
	22	MR. DENNY: I think this is leading and suggestive,
	23	your Honor.
	24	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The answer
•	25	may remain in the record.
,	26	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And what did he say in that
·	27	regard?
	28	A He he came Robert Bickston was making a

1	movie in Phoenix, Arizona
2	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as not responsive.
3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Donald tell you that?
4	MR. DENNY: And move that it be stricken.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
7	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did Donald tell you whether
.8	or not he planned to be in the movie?
9.	A Yes, he did.
10	MR.MANZELLA: Your Honor, this is certainly leading
11 .	and suggestive.
12	THE COURT: Sustained. The answer is stricken.
13	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. What did Donald
14	tell you about that movie?
15	A He didn't know very much about it to tell me,
16	but he had planned to go to Phoenix to work in this movie.
17	But something happened, and he didn't get
<u>1</u> 8	and it was delayed.
19	Q All right.
20	Now, while you were married to Donald, did you
21	become familiar with any of his personal possessions?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And did Donald have as part of his personal
.24	possessions any guns?
25	A, Yes, he did.
26	And would you describe them strike that.
27	Did you see those guns?
28	A Yes, I did:

	1	Q Did you see them on one occasion or more than
	2	one occasion?
	3	A More than one.
*	4	Q And can you describe those guns for us?
÷	5	A They are Colt .45's, about this long (indicating)
3	6	Q Would you say that's about ten inches?
	7	THE COURT: Nine or ten inches.
	8	MR. MANZELLA: All right.
	9	Q And how many
	10	A And they had a matched they re a matched
	11	set, with the that have the Nos. 22 21 and 22.
	12	Q They were two guns?
	13	À Yes.
\$	14	Q And was that the serial number that you are
>	15	referring to?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And part of the serial number was 21, and part
	18	of the serial number of the other weapon was 22?
4b fls.	19	A 22.
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	·
•	24	
•	25	,
·	26	
	27	
	28	

4b-1 Do you recall any more about them? The 1 description of those guns? 3 Hmmm -- well, it was about -- they was about this long (indicating), and the handles was brown, and I guess the 5 barrel is blue or black. 'MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here two revolvers, 6 7 one of which bears the serial number 2421. I would like to have 8 that marked People's -- it's previously been marked People's 9 53-A. 10 May I have that marked People's 53-A for identification at this time for this proceeding? 11 12 53-A THE COURT: So ordered. 13 MR. MANZELLA: And I have here another revolver, bearing 14 what appears to be a serial number 2422, previously marked 15 as People's 53-B. 16 May that be marked People's 53-B for identification 17 at this proceeding? 18 53-B THE COURT: So ordered. 19 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have examined both weapons, 20 and they're not loaded. 21 Mrs. Shea, directing your attention to People's 22 53-A and People's 53-B for identification, do you recognize 23 these two revolvers? 24And you can pick them up and look at them, if you like. They're not loaded. 26 Ά Yes. 27 Q And are those the guns that belonged to Donald? 28 A Yes.

•		
4b-3	1	at this proceeding?
	2	THE COURT: So ordered.
54	3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Shea, directing your
*	4	attention to People's 54 for identification, do you recognize
	\$	this this attache case?
&	6	A Yes.
	Ż.	Q And is that the attache case about which you've
	8	just testified?
	9:	A Yes.
))	10	Q And did you see what Donald kept in that case? A Yes.
	12	
	13	A He kept the two guns and a knife.
₹ '	14	Q And you the two weapons the two guns that you
	15	are referring to are People's 53, the two weapons that you've
	16	just identified?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And the knife, can you describe the knife for us,
	19	please?
•	20	A The knife was about was about this long
	21	(indicating), and
	22	MR. MANZELLA: Is that about nine inches, your Honor?
	23	THE COURT: It appears to be eight or nine inches.
	24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And he kept the knife and the two
÷ ·	25	guns in the attache case, People's 54?
3.	26	A Yes.
	27	Q You say there was some writing on the attache case?
	28	A Yes.

	1	Q And does that writing appear on People's 54 for
	2	identification?
	3	A Yes.
•	4	Q Would you point that out for us, please?
	5	A This writing here, "Reverend Donald Jerome Shea."
	6	Q All right. I'll hold it back here so that the jury
	7	can all see it better.
	8	Now, would you point it out, please?
	9	A This writing here (indicating), "Reverend Donald
•	10	Jerome Shea."
	11	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, if I may, I'll open the attach
	12 3	case.
,*	. 13	Q Now, directing your attention to the first of
•	14	all, let me ask you this well, strike that.
•	15	Let me ask you this: Does the interior of the
	16	attache case appear to be similar to the interior of the case
	17	when you saw it?
lc fo]	18	A No, it's not the same.
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
3	25	:
	26	
	27	
`	28	

+c-1	1	Q What is different about it?
	2	A It was green, for one thing. And then it had
	3	a bottom where one gun laid on each side; and the knife laid
•	4	in the middle.
_	5	Q Now, directing your attention to these items
•	6	which are presently in the attache case and if you would
	7 ,	just look through some of these items and tell us if you've
	8	ever seen any of these items in the attache case before?
	9	Have you ever seen any of these items in the
	10	attache case before?
	11	A (No response.)
	12	Q I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that question.
	13	Have you ever seen any of these items in the
•	14	attache case, other than at a proceeding in court?
) .	15	A No.
	16	Q In other words, when this case was in Donald
	17	Shea's possession, you never saw any of these items in it;
,	18	is that correct?
	19	A No.
	20	Q I'm sorry. Is that correct? I confused you.
	21	Well, let me withdraw the question.
	22	When you saw this case in your husband's
	23	possession, were any of these items in it?
	24	A No.
a	25	THE COURT: Which items are you speaking of, for the
*	26	record?
	27	MR. MANZELLA: The items which were contained
	28	which are in the case now, your Honor.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

26

27

28

THE COURT: Which are?

MR. DENNY: I'll stipulate, your Honor, there are a number of items in what appear to be evidence envelopes, which I think will be later identified during the course of the trial.

MR. MANZELLA: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. MANZELLA: If the Court wishes, I could identify them now.

> THE COURT: Yes, let's do, while you are at it. MR. MANZELLA: All right.

May I inquire of Mrs. Holt for a moment, your Honor?

> (Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the clerk's desk between Mr. Manzella and the clerk.)

MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, Mrs. Holt informs me that they have not previously been identified specifically by letter or number.

Does the Court want me to do that, or just --

THE COURT: Just --

MR. MANZELLA: -- describe them?

THE COURT: Just look at them and describe them briefly, if you would.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. I'll take it over here, so that Mr. Denny can look at them as well.

I have what appears to be a street map of Burbank:

40-3

Two envelopes; an envelope bearing the imprinting Pacific Telephone, and the printed name Dwayne, middle initial "E", Schwarm, S-c-h-w-a-r-m.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record engued at the counsel table between Mr. Manzella and Mr. Denny.)

NR. MANZELLAr And those papers and documents I've just identified are among several pieces of paper and envelopes contained in what appears to be an evidence envelope, which bears the numerical designation 10-F, your Honor.

There's a can of ink eraser, which bears the numerical designation 10-D.

There's a book of checks with the imprinting, First National Bank of Oregon, and a printed name, Dusyne E. Schwarz, bearing the numerical designation -- in an envelope bearing the numerical designation IC-B.

And a series of checks bearing the imprinting, Spahn Movie Ranch, in an envelope bearing a numerical designation of 10-A.

A packet of cigarette paper contained in an envelope bearing a numerical designation 10-E;

And a bottle of Anscin tablets, contained in an envelope bearing the numerical designation 10-C.

And two punies, loose.

THE COURT: Save the Anacin tablets and the two pennies; we may need them.

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: We'll take a recess now, ladies and 4c-4 gentlemen. During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected -5 with the matter, nor to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you. About ten, fifteen minutes. (Mid-morning recess.) 5 fls.

2

1

3

5

б

7 8

_

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19,

' 20 `

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Q What did he say about them?

MR. DENNY: Object, calling for hearsay.

MR. MANZELLA: We'll offer it on Mr. Shea's state of mind, if it does show Mr. Shea's state of mind at the time he made the statements with regard to the guns.

MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: All right, it will be received, ladies and gentlemen, to show, if it does show, in your judgment, Mr. Shea's state of mind toward the guns and as circumstantial evidence, if it does show Mr. Shea's state of mind, as to whether or not he would dispose of the guns. It is received for those purposes or that purpose only to show his state of mind toward the guns.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Shea, would you tell us what he said about the guns?

A He said that he would never sell them to anybody because, wh, those were his -- something that he had got and he would never get rid of.

Q Now, when was the last time that you saw or heard from your husband, Donald Shorty Shea?

A The last time I saw Donald Short -- Donald was
August 16. The last time I heard from him was in a letter that
he left for me at the Wilcox Hotel, was August 17.

Now, on that date, August 16, 1969, were you staying at the Wilcox Hotel in Hollywood?

A Yes.

Q And sometime during that day did something happen between you and Donald?

	!
1	A Yes.
. 2	Q And what was that?
3.	A Early that morning we had an argument.
4	Q Can you tell us what was the argument about?
5	A About him drinking, and then about me working.
·6	Q Did you object to drinking?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did he object to your working?
.9	A Yes.
10	Q And what did Donald say about your working?
11	A He said he was the man, he should be able to
12	take care of me and he didn't want his wife working.
13	Q Now, did that argument occur while you were at the
14	Wilcox Hotel?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And did after you had that argument, as a
17	result of that argument, did Donald leave the hotel?
18	A Yes, he did.
19	MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object to that as
20	calling for a conclusion as a result of that argument.
21	THE COURT: All right, sustained.
22	MR. DENNY: Move the answer be stricken.
23	THE COURT: The answer is stricken. The objection is
24	sustained.
25	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: What happened after the argument
26	A He left.
27	Q At approximately what time of day was this on
28	August 16 that Donald left?

1	A	It was early in the morning about 7:00 o'clock,
2	I guess.	
3	Q	Did you later that day, did you hear from Donald?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And how did you hear from him?
6	A _.	Over the telephone.
7	Ω	And where were you?
8	A	At the Wilcox Hotel.
9	Q	And did you have a conversation with Donald on
10	the phone?	
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Ω	And what, if anything, did he tell you?
13	Ą	He told me that he was at the Spahn's Ranch and he
14	was going t	o stay there until somebody came there. There was
15	no one ther	'e.
16	Q	Now, sometime later did you leave the hotel?
17	A	Yes, I did.
18	Ω	This was on August 16?
19	, A	Yes.
20	, Ω	And did you return sometime later?
21 22	A	In the morning of August 17.
23	Q	Approximately how long were you gone from the
23 \ 24	your hotel	room?
25	A	I left about 9:00 and I returned I don't know
26	exactly. M	aybe about 3:00 or 4:00 in the morning.
. 27	Q	Left about 9:00 at night?
28	A	Yeah, about 9:00 or 9:30, I guess.
		•

5a fọđ į

5a-1	1	ନ	Now, when you left the hotel room, were Donald's
	2	possessions,	personal possessions in the hotel room?
	3	A	Yes.
	4	Q	And when you returned the morning of August 16,
•	5	was anything	gone?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	What was gone?
	8	A	All of his clothes and his most of his
	9	possessions	that we had in the room.
	10	Q	Now, did Donald have any suitcases of any kind?
	11	A	Yes.
	12	ତ	Would you describe them for us, please?
	13	A	He had two footlockers. They was green and on
,•	14	the top of the	hem they was wrote in red "Donald Jerome," and
) 8	15	he had brown	luggage piece set. He had a gray piece of
	16	luggage and	he had another case. It wasn't it was like an
	17	attache case	. It was bigger than that one.
	18	Q	People's 54?
	19	, A	Yes. And it was kind of blue-gray.
	20	Q	And where did he keep the footlockers?
	21	A	In the trunk of the car.
	22	ବ	Did Donald have a car?
	23 .	A	Yes.
	24	Q .	What kind of car was it?
2	25	A	White Falcon a white Comet.
•	26	Q ·	À Comet?
	27	.	Yes.
	28	Q	All right. Directing your attention to these

photographs -- oh, strike that.

Your Honor, I have here, I believe it is, ten photographs which have previously been marked People's 61-A through J. May I have them so marked for identification at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered 61-A through J.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: 61 or 51, Mr. Manzella?

MR. MANZELLA: 61.

MR. DENNY: 61.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Shea, directing your attention to these photographs, People's 61-A through J for identification. Do you recognize what is shown in these photographs?

A Yes.

Q And what is shown in these photographs?

A This is the car that we had.

Q And are these -- all of these photographs, 61-A through J, photographs of various parts of that car?

A Yes.

Q Now, directing your attention to the -- strike that.

Your Honor, I have here what appears to be two footlockers which have previously been marked People's 65-F and 65-G. May they be so marked for identification at this proceeding?

THE COURT: Yes, so ordered.

25

26

		•
-1	1	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry. Again, Mr. Manzella, is that
	2	55?
* ¥ *	3	MR. MANZELLA: '65.
.	4	THE COURT: 65-F and -G for identification.
•	5	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Shea, directing
	6	your attention to the two footlockers, People's 65-F and 65-G,
	7	do you recognize those two exhibits?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And are those the footlockers about which you've
	10	just told us?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Now, did you see where Donald kept those two
	13	footlockers?
<i>^*</i>	14	A In the trunk of the car.
•	15	Q In the trunk of Mercury Comet?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Now, there's one thing I wanted to ask you,
	18	Mrs. Shea. You heard me describe the contents, the present
	19	contents of People's 54, the brown attache case?
	20	A Yes.
•	21	Q Had you ever seen any of those items before, in or
	22	out of the attache case? Had you ever seen any of those
	23	items in the possession of your husband, Donald Shea?
ي.	24	A No.
'হ	25	Q Now, approximately when did you receive the tele-
•	26	phone call from Donald, on August 16th?
Ò	27	A It was in the evening time. I guess it would be
,	28	about 7:30 or 8:00 o'clock at night.

1	Q de	And it was before you you left to go out that
2		The state of the s
3	A '	Yes.
4	·Q	Now, when you returned to the hotel on August 17th,
5	the morning	of August 17th, did you was there anything
6	there for y	ou?
7	A	I a letter in the box.
8	Q	And do you have that letter now?
9	A	No, I don't.
10	Q	When is the last time you've seen that letter?
11	A	It was in August of 1969.
12	Q	And have you made attempts to look for that letter?
13	A	Yes, I have.
14	Q	On how many occasions, if you know?
15	A	A number of occasions.
16	Q	Since August of 1969?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Did you destroy that letter yourself? Or
19	intentional	ly get rid of that letter, or destroy it?
20	A	I didn't intentionally destroy it, because
21	Q	All right. That's fine. That answers my question.
22		Has someone asked you to attempt to locate that
23	letter?	•
24	A	Yes.
25	Q.	And have you attempted to locate that letter?
26	À	Yes, I have.
27	Q	And where was it when you last saw it?
28.	A	It was on LaBrea Avenue, where I was staying.

1	And this was sometime later, in August of 1969?
2	A Yes.
3:	Q And have you moved since then?
4	A Yes, I have.
5	Q More than once?
6	A More than once.
7	Q All right. Was there writing on the letter?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And was that writing familiar to you?
10	A Yes, it was.
11	Q And whose writing did that appear to you to be,
12	on the letter?
13	A Donald Jerome Shea.
14	Q All right. Would you tell us what the what was
15	said in the letter?
16	MR. DENNY: Object to that as hearsay, and not the best
17	evidence.
18	THE COURT: You may approach the bench.
19	(Pause in the proceedings while the Court
20	perused the Evidence Code.)
21 22	THE COURT: Mrs. Shea, did you ever make a copy of that
23	letter?
24	THE WITNESS: No. I didn't.
25	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
26	at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of
27	the jury:)
28	THE COURT: Mr. Denny, the Court will hear from you.
20	MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I may be under a

1

2 3

4

5

, 6°

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

. 26 6a fol

28

27

misapprehension as to what letter it is. I am trying to check the Grand Jury transcript here to see when she had previously testified about it.

There was a letter introduced at the time of the Grand Jury hearing, and I believe at the Manson case, --

MR. DENNY: -- from Shorty, purporting to be from Shorty to her.

MR. KAY: That one was found in the footlockers. She never received that letter, if the Court will remember.

THE COURT: That's -- yes, that's the one, as I recall, that the evidence disclosed was found in the automobile, in the footlocker.

She's referring to the one that she's lost, apparently.

I think it would come in under 1505, as an exception to the best evidence rule, if there is no copy available, and if she's -- if it's -- if she doesn't have it in her possession or under her control.

It appears as though it would be admissible, but again. I guess, of course, the Court should state to the jury that it's admitted to show — not for the truth of the matter, but to show Mr. Shea's state of mind in respect to — what?

MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me?

MR. MANZELLA: Well --

MR. DENNY: Well, I would like an offer of proof as to what the letter is supposed to state.

6a-1

THE COURT: Although I do recall there was a letter, I don't recall what it was supposed to say.

MR. KAY: She testified to it at the Manson trial.

MR. MANZELLA: That would be the best offer of proof.

She testified at the Manson trial that the letter stated as follows:

"He told me that he was leaving, and he didn't say exactly where he was going, but he told me if I wanted to get in touch with him, to call the Babcocks, and they would know where to reach him at all times;

"That he hoped that I would forgive him for acting the way he did, but he still didn't want me to work; and that he wanted the marriage to continue.

"He said he hoped that maybe some day
we could get back together and continue our marriage."
That's all she could remember.

THE COURT: I see. All right.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the Court is going to permit the witness to state the contents of Mr. Shea's letter. But that evidence is received to show -- if, in your judgment it does show -- Mr. Shea's state of mind toward his marriage.

It's not received for the truth of the matter

6a-2

2

T

3

5

7 8

9

10⁻

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

letter?

19

20 21

22

23 24

25. 26

27 28 asserted, but to show Mr. Shea's state of mind, if it does, toward Mrs. Shea and their marriage.

The objection is overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: He told me that he was sorry for his drinking; that he loved me very much; and he was going to try to do better.

And he gave me a telephone number to the Babcocks, if I ever wanted to get in touch with him, how I could get in touch with them.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: In the letter, did the letter say anything about your working?

MR. DENNY: I will object to that as leading and suggestive.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Do you recall -- all right.

Thank you.

Do you recall, now, everything that was in the

A No, I don't.

Q All right. Was there more in the letter than what you've just told us?

A It was a letter, yeah. But I don't remember.

Q All right. I will ask you, directing your attention to this transcript, and ask you to -- referring you to --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, excuse me. Before that's shown to the witness, I am going to object that this is certainly leading and suggestive.

6a-3

20 ;

 We have got testimony pertaining to a letter that doesn't even exist.

THE COURT: Excuse me. I'll hear argument at the bench, if you wish.

MR. MANZELLA: On what, your Honor?

THE COURT: What do you propose to do at this moment?

MR. MANZELLA: Refresh her memory, your Honor. She's stated that she cannot -- there was more to the letter, and she cannot recall what the rest of the letter was.

And I can identify what I am showing her; if the Court wishes.

MR. DENNY: I submit it's leading and suggestive, under these circumstances, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, Mrs. Shea, can you recall anything further that was in the letter? If there was anything more in the letter?

THE WITNESS: Not exactly. That's been almost three years ago. It's kind of hard to remember everything like that.

Some things, I can remember. But I can't remember everything.

THE COURT: You may lay the foundation that's necessary to show her that.

MR. MANZELLA; All right.

Q Mrs. Shea, you've already testified that there was more to the letter, but you don't recall now what was in the letter; is that correct?

A Yes.

6 a- 4	1	Q All right. Did you testify in the case of
	2	People vs. Charles Manson, with regard to the murder of your
	3	husband?
•,	4	A Yes.
	5	Q And during the course of your testimony, did
	6	I ask you to tell us what was said in that letter?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And did you tell us what was said in the letter,
	9	in that case?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q All right.
	12	If I showed you your a portion of your
	13	testimony with regard to that letter, which you gave at the
*	14	Manson trial, would that help you refresh your memory?
•	15	A Yes.
	16	Q All right. Directing your attention, then, to
	17	this page of the transcript, if you would just read it to
	18	yourself?
	19	MR. DENNY: I will still object, your Honor, that it's
	20	leading and suggestive.
	21	THE COURT: Overruled.
	22	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Just read it to yourself,
	23	this page of the transcript.
	24	, A (Witness complies.)
6b-1	25	THE COURT: Mrs. Shes, at the time you so testified in
2.	26	the
	27	MR. DENNY: Well, she's reading right now, your Honor.
-	28	I hate to interrupt the Court, but

	1		
	1	previously?	
	2	A Yes.	
	3	Q And would you tell us what that was?	
*	4	A He saked me to forgive him for the way that he	
d '	5	had been acting, and and he also, that one day, that	
	6	maybe we could we could get back together no; I don't	
fls.	7.	remember everything. I just read it, but I can't remember	
	8		
	9		
	10		
	n		
	12	,	
	13		
,	14		
7	15		
	16	·	
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23 24		
•	25		
e ,	26		
₹,	27		
	28		
	20		

All right, I understand it. 1 Q 2 Now, according to your testimony in this case, have you read anything to refresh your memory as to the details 3 of your relationship with Donald? 4 Prior to this case? 5 6 Q Right. 7 Uh --After you testified in the last case, and before 8, you were called to the witness stand today, have you read anything to refresh your memory as to the -- your testimony? 11 A I started to, but I didn't finish it. 12 You started to read this transcript? 13 Yes. Q : All right; Did you finish it? 14 15 Yes. Α 16 MR, DENNY: I'm sorry, what was the answer? 17 (Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter 18 as follows: 19 "A Yes.") 20 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, did you make any attempt 21 to contact Donald? 22 Yes, I did. Ά 23 Q. What did you do? 24 I called the number that he gave me to the À 25 Babcocks and I spoke with Sharon Babcocks. 26 Now, did you -- you had already met Sharon Babcock, 27 is that right? 28 Yes. A

BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you -- strike that. Q As a result of that telephone call, were you successful in locating Donald Shea?

7-5	ì	A No.
)	2	Q Now, when did you call back the second time?
·	3	A The next day.
	4	Q That would be August 28?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Approximately what time did you call back?
	7	A Uh, about 10:00 or 11:00 in the morning.
	8	Q When you called, did anybody answer the telephone?
	9.	A Yes.
	10	Q And did you recognize the voice of the person who
	11	answered the telephone?
	12	A No, I can't say for sure.
	13	Q Can you tell us whether it was a male or female?
	14	A It was a female.
^	15	Q Did you have conversation with the female who
	16	answered the phone on the second occasion?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q On August 28th.
	19	Would you tell us what that conversation was?
	20	MR. DENNY: Object to that as calling for hearsay.
	21	THE COURT: Sustained.
	, 22	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: As a result of that conversation
	± 23	were you able to locate Donald Shea?
	24	A No.
•	25	Q Now, when was the third call that you made to
•.	26	Spahn Ranch?
).	27	, A Uh, it was sometime in September.
	28	Q Now, when you called on the third occasion, did

1 someone answer the telephone? 2 A Yes. Did you recognize the voice of the person who 3 Q answered the telephone? 5 Α Νo. 6 Well, can you tell us whether it was male or 7 female? 8 It was a female. 9 Now, during this third -- the third time that you Q 10 called the ranch, did you have a conversation with the female 11 that answered the phone? 12 Α Yes. 13 Can you tell us what the conversation was? 14 MR. DENNY: Object to that as calling for hearsay. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 Q BY MR. MANZELLA: As a result of that conversation 17 were you successful in locating Donald? 18 7a fol A No. 19 20 21 . 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

7a-1	1	Q	Now, Mrs. Shea, did Donald have any unusual
	2	markings on l	his body?
	3	A	Yes.
ė	4	ବ	Would you describe them for us, please, and
	5	where they w	ere?
e.	6	· A	On his chest he had three horses. Uh, there was
	7	in the mi	ddle was a mare, a baby horse over here (indi-
	8	cating), and	a father horse over here (indicating).
	9	Q.;	And they were tattooed?
	10	A	Yes.
	11		And on one of his arms he had on the left,
	12	I think the	left arm he had, uh, a tattoo of a rose and it
	13	had "Rose" o	n it.
*	14		And over his heart he had "I'll always love Niki"
1	15	tattooed.	
	16		And
	17	Q	Let me interrupt you for a minute. How do you
	18	spell Niki?	
	19	A,	N-i-k-i, is how he spelled it.
	20	Q	Do you have a nickname?
	21	A	Yes.
	22	Q	What is it?
	23	A	Niki.
	24	Q.	Were there any other unusual markings or
**	25	characterist	ics on Donald's body?
•	26	A	Yes.
	27		And over his left eye he had a scar that where
	28	he had been	like had a cut down across here (indicating).

7a-2 And then --1 All right. Before you continue, where did the Q. 2 scar, that scar start? Start above the eyebrow in his 3 4 forehead? Ά Yes. 5 Came down through his eyebrow and eyelid? Q. 6 A 7 Yes. Where did it end? 8 Uh, about along in here (indicating) some place. 9 10 And you're pointing to under your eye on your -just above your left cheekbone? 11 12 Á Uh-huh. 13 Or just about on your left cheekbone? 14 Α, Yes. 15 And what other unusual markings or characteristics, if any, did he have? 16 17 On his back he had something like a hole, like, 18 where he -- a bone had been broken. Like it sunk in. 19 And he had been -- his legs in the back, like, 20 they had been broken. 21 And up under the bottom part of the leg and so 22 on, there was scars where it was visible. 23 And then, his feet, they had been broken and they 24 were very flat. And you could tell, like, from that ankle 25 on down. 26 Mrs. Shea, while you were married to Donald, 27 did he ever pawn the two revolvers that you have identified, 28 People's 53, or one or both of them?

ľ		•
1	A	Yes.
2	ୟ	On how many occasions?
3	A	Once while we was together.
4	Q	Did you have strike that.
5		Were you with him when he pawned the gun?
6	A	One time.
7	, Q	And did he pawn one gun or both of them, if
8	you recall?	
9	A	He pawned both of them. But each one at
10	separate time	es.
11	Q ·	All right. And you went with him on each
12	occasion?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Ą.	All right. And do you recall where it was that
15	he pawned the	guns?
16	A ·	Uh, it was a loan shop out on Vine Street in
17	Hollywood.	
18	Q	It was in Hollywood?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q .	And did you have a conversation with Donald about
21	the guns at	the time that he pawned them?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Would you tell us what that conversation was?
.25	MR. Di	ENNY: Object to that as calling for hearsay.
26		OURT: The objection is overruled, and the
27		received, ladies and gentlemen, for the same
28	limited purp	ose, to show, if in your judgment it does show,
	Mr. Shea's s	tate of mind toward the guns.

You may answer. 1 I asked him why he didn't pawn the guns THE WITNESS: 2 for more money, and he told me that the reason that he did 3 was because this way he was sure that he would get them back. 4 BY MR. MANZELIA: All right, Mrs. Shea, there is a question I forgot to ask you with reference to the guns. 6 Again, directing your attention to each of these 7 revolvers, People's 53-A and 53-B for identification. 8 Do those guns appear to be in the same condition 9 which they were when you saw them in Donald's possession? 10 A No. 11 Would you tell us what's different about their 12 condition as best you can? 13 Well, they look dirty and like when he had them 14 they was always clean. They was always shiny. And they're 15 scratched up and everything. They wasn't in that way the 16 last time I saw them. 17 Q All right. Is Donald the one that kept them 18 clean and shiny? 19 20 Yes, he did. Α Now, Mrs. Shea, had you ever had the opportunity 21 22 to see Donald write his signature? 23 Yes. A On one occasion or more than one occasion? 24 25 A More than one. 26 27 28

7b fls.

28

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to be a series of three pawn tickets previously marked People's 55-A, B and C. May they be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: A, B and C, yes. 55-A, B and C.

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here a series of pawn tickets previously marked People's 56-A, B and C. May they be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered. 56 -- 55-A, B and C, and 56 for identification.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a series of pawn tickets previously marked People's 57-A, B, C and D. May they be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

That was four of them, A, B, C and D?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: I have here a pawn ticket previously marked People's 59. May that be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: For identification, yes.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, for identification.

I have here a series of two pawn tickets previously marked People's 60-A and B. May they be so marked for identification at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here a number of keys on a key ring, previously marked People's 62. May that be marked .People's 62 for identification at this proceeding; a key ring

and one, two, three, four, five, six keys?

THE COURT: So ordered. Six keys and a ring. 62 for identification:

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here a yellow piece of paper, approximately eight-and-a-half by eleven, containing certain printing and writing thereon, previously marked People's 64. May that be marked People's 64 for identification at this proceeding?

THE COURT: How do you identify it as --

MR. MANZELLA: It is a yellow piece of paper, approximately eight-and-a-half by eleven, containing certain writing and printing thereon.

THE COURT: All right, so ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: Previously marked People's 64.

And I have here a series of photographs showing the lockers and clothes, previously marked People's 65-A, B, C and D. May they be so marked at this proceeding?

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. MANZELLA: And I have here what appears to be a check, on the back of which purports to contain the signature in the name of "Don Shea," previously marked People's 71. May that be marked People's 71 for identification at this proceeding?

THE COURT: All right, so ordered.

It is a check, you say?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. It bears the date "7-10-69," and the imprinting "Jerry Binder," B-i-n-d-e-r, first name spelled J-e-r-r-y.

THE COURT: 71 for identification. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Shea, if I may, 3 I would like to direct your attention to a series of exhibits now. First of all, directing your attention to People's 6 55-A. Ž And that portion of People's 55-A, in particular, 8 which shows a signature in the name of Donald Shea. Does that signature appear familiar to you? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And whose signature does that appear to be? 12 Donald Jerome Shea. Α 13 Q. Now, directing your attention to the signature in 14 the middle of the document, People's 55-A. Does that signature 15 appear familiar to you? 16 À Yes. 17 And whose signature does that appear to be? 18 Donald Jerome Shea. 19 MR. MANZELLA: If I may, your Honor, I would like to 20 circle the two signatures. 21 Are these the two signatures, the signatures I have 22 circled, the two signatures that appear to be the signatures of 23 your husband, Donald? 24 A Yes. 25 MR. MANZELLA: May the record reflect I have circled the 26 two signatures? 27 THE COURT: The record may so show. 28 BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Shea, directing your Q

1	People's 55-B. And I'll circle the two signatures that I want
2	you to look at.
3	Directing your attention to the two signatures I
4	have circled in the name of Donald Shea. Do those signatures
5	appear familiar to you?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q Whose signatures do those appear to be?
8	A Don.
9	Q Your husband, Donald?
10	A Yes.
13	Q All right. Directing your attention to the two
12	signatures on People's 55-C that I have circled. Do those two
13	signatures appear familiar to you?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And whose signatures do those appear to be?
16	A Donald Jerome Shea.
17	Q That's your husband, Donald?
18	A Yes.
19	Q All right, directing your attention to People's
20	56-A for identification, and the signature which I've circled
21	in red on that document. Does that signature appear familiar
22	to you?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Whose signature does that appear to be?
25	A Donald Jerome Shea.
26	Q That's your husband, Donald?
27	A Yes.
28	Q All right, directing your attention to People's
	1

1 55-B -- I'm sorry, strike that, People's 56-B, and the 2 signature that I have circled on that in red on that document. Does that signature appear familiar to you? 3 A Yes. Whose signature does that appear to be? б My husband's, Donald Jerome Shea. 7 And directing your attention to the signature 8 which appears on People's 56-C, which I have circled. there enough -- that appears to be a copy of a document. . Is there enough there for you to see that signature clearly? 10 11 8 fol . Yes. 12 13 the state of the state of 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 26 27 28

8-2	1	which I have circled in red does that signature appear
	2	familiar to you?
	3	A Yes.
ě	4	Q And whose signature does that appear to be?
	5	A My husband's, Donald Jerome Shea.
*	6	Q And directing your attention to the exhibit
	7	People's 60-B for identification, and in particular that
	8	signature which I have circled in red, does that signature
	9	appear familiar to you?
	10	A Yes.
	n	Q Whose signature does that appear to you to be?
	12	A My husband's, Donald Jerome Shea.
	13	Q All right. Mrs. Shea, if I may, directing your
Ĵ.	14	attention to this these keys and this key ring, People's
age.	15	62 for identification, I would like you to take a look at
	16	those keys and that key ring, and ask you if do you
	17	recognize People's 62?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And could you tell us what those keys are?
	20	A This was a car key, the ignition
	21	Q All right. If I may, you are holding up a key
-	22	which bears a designation H-27, and the name National Key
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q is that correct? And that was the key for
4	25	what car?
ŧ	26	A Uh the
	27	Q The one
	28	A The Mercury Comet.

		,
8-3	1	Q you've identified in the photographs, the
	2	Mercury Comet?
	3 `	A Yes.
÷	4	Q All right. Do you recognize the other keys on
	5	the key ring?
	6	A These two (indicating) belong to the footlocker.
	7	Q And you are holding up the two small keys which
	8 .	are designated P-197
	.9	A Yes.
	10	Q and both of which are designated P-197?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q All right. Do you recognize any of the other
•	13	keys on the key ring?
**)	14	A This one here (indicating).
ş,	15	Q And you are holding a key which bears, among
	16	other things, the printing U.S.A.?
*	17	A Yes.
	18	Q All right. Do you recognize any of the other
	19	keys
	20	A No.
	21	Q on the key ring?
	22	To your knowledge, had you ever seen them before?
	23	A No.
	24	Q Mrs. Shea, directing your attention to this
i de	25	letter which has been marked People's 64 for identification,
•	26	do you recognize the writing on that letter?
	27	A Yes.
	28	Q And whose writing is that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

My husband's, Donald Jerome Shea. A

Q And directing your attention to the signature at the bottom of the letter, do you recognize that signature?

> Á Yes.

And whose signature is that?

My husband's, Donald Jerome Shea.

Now, is there any writing which appears on that piece of paper, People's 64, which does not appear to be the writing of your husband? Or does all of it appear to be his writing?

It's all his writing.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Homor, at this time, I would ask the witness to read that letter. I would offer that letter into evidence, People's 64, and ask that it be read by the witness.

> I'll object to that, no foundation. MR. DENNY:

8a-1

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23.

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: The People?

MR.MANZELLA: The People would request to make an offer of proof, your Honor.

> THE COURT: All right. You may be heard.

May we have the letter? MR. DENNY:

THE COURT: Mrs. Shea, would you hand the letter to me?

> (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to make a further objection to this. First of all, there's no date on the letter.

Secondly, from the statements that have just been made up at the bench here, I believe the last time we were here, this letter was never received by Mrs. Shea.

So that I don't see any reason why she need read the letter, never having received it, during the ordinary course of business, apparently.

And thirdly -- or fourthly -- I believe that having her read this letter is purely, plainly and simply calculated to raise passion and prejudice in the minds of the jury against the defendant, and sympathy for Mrs. Shea.

This letter, as the Court is reading it, is essentially a love letter of a kind, fashioned to make up -or attempt to -- and telling Shorty's feelings at the time.

And there's no reason on earth why she should read this letter. First of all --

28

Well, can you shorten your objection? Well, not --You object to the lack of foundation? There's no foundation as to when it was found. And until that is laid, the letter is irrelevant. MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor, may I be heard briefly?

MR. MANZELIA: Well, we will prove that the letter was found in one of the footlockers, which was in the trunk of the Mercury Comet, and the car was found in Canoga Park on December 9th of 1969; that Sergeant Whiteley found the

December 9th?

MR. MANZELLA: December 9th of 1969.

And that the letter therefore was written sometime between August 16th of 1969 and December 9th of 1969.

And furthermore, that the evidence will show that -- we believe the evidence will show that Shea was killed sometime around August 27th, 28th or 29th; and that therefore, the letter was written before that time; and that that's a sufficient foundation with regard to the date of the letter, the date that the letter was written.

THE COURT: Well, how do you calculate those --MR. MANZELLA: And the Court can -- rather than calling this witness back, we would rather -- after we've established that foundation, we would rather the Court altered the order of proof.

If we don't prove the foundation for the letter,

well, then, the letter is not admissible in evidence in any 1 event. 2 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, they've established that it's his handwriting, according to her. Now, they have 4 to establish the rest of the foundation before they get it 5 in. 6 And assuming they have established that it is 7 his handwriting, and assuming they can establish the time Я about when it may have been written, --Q THE COURT: As to the time --10 MR. DENNY: -- there is still no reason to have this 11 witness, who never received that letter, read it. It is 12 purely, plainly and simply calculated --13 THE COURT: As to the time, it seems --14 15 MR. DENNY: -- it appears to me, to prejudice the 16 minds of the jurors. 17 THE COURT: -- to me that it was after a parting, because he's saying, "If I find you and can convince you to 18 19 come home." MR. DENNY: Well, we don't know which parting. 20 21 MR. KAY: The record shows that the only parting .22 between these two had been on August 16th. THE COURT: I don't know whether it does or not. 23 24 MR. DENNY: It doesn't, your Honor. She says she 25 left him in Las Vegas and came here, and that he followed a 26 week after. 27 MR. MANZELLA: Well, I haven't established yet that 28 she did not receive the letter, but I will establish that.

Ų.

With this witness, I can establish that she did not receive the letter.

MR. DENNY: Well, if she didn't receive it, there's no reason why she --

THE COURT: She's going to have to come back anyway-MR. MANZELLA: Well, then, I would ask that I read it
into evidence.

THE COURT: -- for cross. And it's proper to read it into evidence, if it's otherwise admissible, but --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, if it's otherwise admissible, fine. Let Mr. Manzella read it into evidence. But this letter -- having her sit up on the stand, reading a letter she never received, into evidence -- and crying and weeping -- it is a pure, plain and simple attempt to arouse their passions, prejudice and sympathy.

THE COURT: I think that with further foundation, it should be admissible. You'll have to elicit more from her as to --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I can't elicit when it was found from her.

THE COURT: No, but you can --

MR. MANZELLA: All I can show is --

THE COURT: But you represent that you can establish that it was found in the footlocker --

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, on December 9th, 1969, in Canoga Park.

THE COURT: -- as I understand it?

MR. KAY: It will be --

THE COURT: You'll have to establish whether or not there was a dispute and a parting, other than the one that she's mentioned, I think. He could have --

MR. MANZELLA: I don't see why, your Honor. I mean, I don't understand that, really.

MR. DENNY: Well, she has already testified that there was a separation. There was an early separation, right after they married; that she left him shortly after they married in July, and she came here and was here for a week before he then followed after her.

MR. KAY: But that wasn't the result of an argument.

MR. DENNY: That's not stated, whether it was or was not.

THE COURT: I think it could be inferred from the letter that it was not --

MR. DENNY: You can't infer one way or the other from her

8b-2

.

9 fol

à

15.

20·

testimony.

THE COURT: -- that the letter was written after a separation of some serious nature, not just an ordinary separation, wherein they parted for two or three days as a result of a matter of convenience.

MR. DENNY: Well, we don't know that that was the -
MR. MANZELLA: All right. I'll lay a further foundation
with the witness.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MR. DENNY: Are we going to stop at this point?

THE COURT: We are going to recess, then, as soon as you conclude your foundation.

MR. MANZELLA: Fine.

9-1 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in 1 open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) 2 BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Shea, directing your Q 3 attention again to People's 64, the letter. Did you ever 4 receive that letter? 5 6 A No. 7 And had you ever seen that letter other than when Q 8 it was shown to you by a prosecutor or law enforcement officer? 9 A No. 10 Now, Mrs. Shea, when you -- excuse me. Q 11 Mrs. Shea, when you left Las Vegas in July, after 12 your marriage to Donald, when you left Las Vegas and came here 13 to Los Angeles, Donald remained behind for a week? 14 A Yes. 15 Now, at that time was he working for Jerry Binder? 16 A Yes. 17 And did he -- do you know why Donald remained 18 behind for that week in Las Vegas before he joined you here 19 in Los Angeles? 20 He stayed there until they got somebody to 21 replace him to take over his job that he had for Mr. Binder. 22 All right. And when that happened, he joined you 23 here in Los Angeles? 24 . A . Yes. 25 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have just a few more 26 questions with regard to two more exhibits, if I may. 27 THE COURT: Go ahead. 28 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, these have already been

marked, I'm sorry. 9-2 1 Mrs. Shea, directing your attention to these 2 photographs, People's 65-A, B, C, D and E. In particular, 3 People's 65-A for identification. Do you recognize what is shown on that photograph? 5 Yes, the two footlockers. A 6 And they're the same two footlockers that appear 7 before you in front of the witness stand? 8 9 A Yes. And that's a blue suitcase that appears there; 10 Q 11 do you recognize that suitcase? 12 A No. 13 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to 14 be a blue suitcase and contents. May I have the suitcase 15 and its contents marked People's 65-H for identification, as 16 it was previously marked? 17 THE COURT: So ordered. 65-H ID 18 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Shea, can you 19 see the blue suitcase from where you are? 20 Yes. A 21 Nobody else can, though. I'll move it. 22 Directing your attention to the blue suitcase 23 which has been marked People's 65-H for identification. 24 you recognize it as shown on that photograph? 25 I'm sorry, let me withdraw that. 26 Do you recognize that suitcase? 27 28 And you've never seen that before other than in a 9-3

__

26.

court proceeding; is that correct?

A No.

THE COURT: All right, we'll recess now, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Chavers, we'll be thinking about you this afternoon. The Court will order you to return, everyone to return at 9:30 tomorrow morning.

And during the recess, you are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor form nor express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

See you tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 12:10 P. M., an adjournment was taken in this matter, to reconvene the following day, Friday, January 21, 1972.)