. 1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE
4	
5	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )
6	Plaintiff,
7	-vs- ) NO. A-267861
8 -	BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	
12	REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT
13	Thursday, February 3, 1972
14	VOLUME 36
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District
18	Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA
19	and STEPHEN R. KAY,
20	Deputies District Attorney
21	For Defendant Davis: GEORGE V. DENNY, III
22 23	S. and T.
25 24	JWAKIC
25	SWARTZ HOYT MARY LOW BRIANDS GER
26	ROGER K. WILLIAMS, CSR
27	Official Court Reporters
28	

1	Ī	NDEX			
2,	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	SWARTZ, John, Jr. (Continued)		5411 5429	5436 M 5439 M	
4	(Continued)		9 <b>-76</b> 5	5445 M	3440
5	HOYT, Barbara	5447 K	5541		
6					
7					
8	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:	, <u> </u>	·		<del></del>
9	SWARTZ, John, Jr.	5425	•		
10	, 1	,			
11	•				
12		,			
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18	<b>王</b> X .	HIBII	<u> </u>		•
19	PEOPLE'S:	FOR IDE	NTIFICA!	PION	IN EVIDENCE
20	82-B - a photograph	54	48		
21	105 - aerial photograph	54	51		
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1-1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1972 10:40 AM 1 2 3 THE COURT: In the case of People vs. Davis, good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 5 (Whereupon, there were murmurs of "Good morning, 6 your Honor," heard throughout the members of the jury.) 7 THE COURT: Good to see you all. And all the jurors are present. The defendant is present with his counsel. <u>}10′</u> Mr. Manzella and Mr. Kay. 11 . MR. KAY: Good morning, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Good morning, gentlemen. Are you ready to proceed? 14 15 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 16 Mr. Swartz. 17 THE COURT: I believe that you were cross-examining 18 Mr. Swartz, Mr. Denny. 19 MR. DENNY: Yes, I was, your Honor. 20 21 JOHN SWARTZ, JR., 22 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 23 been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified 24 further as follows: 25 26 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 27 BY MR. DENNY: 28 Now, Mr. Swartz, at the time we recessed, at Q

1	A	Yes, "We've," yes.
2	Q	"We 've"?
3	A	"We 've."
4	Q	We've, w-e-'-v-e?
5	A	"We've finally got Shorty's ego."
6	Q	"We've finally got Shorty's ego."
7		Now, is it your recollection that there was some
8	further con	versation but you just don't remember specifically
9	what was sa	id?
10	A	No, I don't.
11	Q	Well, I've asked you two questions, in a way.
12		There was some further conversation between them,
13	is that rig	ht?
.14	<b>A</b>	Quite possibly, yes.
15	Q	Did you join in that conversation to your
16	knowledge o	r recollection?
17	A	No.
18	<b>Q</b>	But the only portion of the conversation that
19	you remembe	r is this:
20	† 5 † . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	"Well, we've finally got Shorty's
21 :	ego,"	is that right?
22	A	That's right.
23	Q	All right. And this, sir, was about how long
24	after Mr. M	anson and the rest of the members of the Manson
25	Family had	left Spahn Ranch?
26	A,	Hmm, a very short time in days.
27	Q	Well, about three or four days, wasn't it?
28	A	That's quite possible. I know it was an

extremely short time. 1 Well, let me direct your attention to your 2 3 testimony at the trial of Mr. Manson on September 30, 1971, 4 Volume 52, page 7974, starting at line 13: "O BY MR. MANZELLA: Approximately how 6 long after Mr. Manson left the ranch did you see 7 Mr. Vance and Danny DeCarlo at Spahn Ranch? 8 Αu Three or four times." 9 That's right. Α 10 All right. Q 11 Now, let's go back to this conversation that you 12 said you had with Shorty that he was going to see Mr. Retz 13 because Mr. Retz had offered him a job. .14 Do you remember that? 15 Yes, I do. 16 And this conversation, again, was after August 16? 17 Yes, it was. 18 You're sure of that? 19 Uh-huh. 20 It is difficult for the reporter to take down 21 22 A It is "Yes." 23 It is "Yes," all right. 24 All right, now, did you say in your direct 25 examination, said "Mr. Spahn was trying to get him a job 26 with Retz. He was going to see Retz that night"? 27 A Yes. 28 Now, sir, do you specifically recall that Shorty Q

told you in this conversation that you had with him that he was going to see Mr. Retz that night? 2 fls. A Yes. 13. , **19** 5 

2 - 1xxxxx Q Well, you were asked at the Grand Jury to relate 2 the conversation, at the Grand Jury hearing on about December -3 well, you would have appeared about December 15th, I think. You recall testifying there; is that right? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And you were asked to relate the conversation you 7 had with Shorty; do you remember that? 8 A It ts possible, yes. 9 All right. Referring to Page 914 -- let's take Q 10 913, starting at Line 22. 11 "Now, using the raid -- that is, the date August 12 16, 1969 -- as a frame of reference, when is the last time you 13 saw Shorty alive? 14 It was a couple of weeks after that, 15 I guess. 16 "Q : Within a couple of weeks? 17 пA Yeah. 18 υQ I take it this is a rough approxi-19 mation; is that correct? "A Yes, it is. 20 21 And did you discuss with Shorty "Q 22 whether or not he was going to accept a job 23 with Frank Retz? 24 £ 1 "A Yes, I did. He told me that Frank 25 had offered him a job. 26 And what did he say about it? 27 Concerning the job, that is. 28 "A Well, he said he wanted the job;

1 2 - 2"he was going to go see Frank about it. 2 O" Did he tell you what kind of a job 3 it was? A night watchman's job. 5 Did you know Frank Retz? 6 ΒÀ I was introduced to him two or 7 three times. I knew him by sight." 8 And then it goes on and on and on; no further 9 conversation. 10 Now, you didn't mention anything about Shorty 11 saying "that night," did you? 12 Not in that testimony, no. 13 All right. Now, between the time of the Grand 14 Jury appearance and the time you testified in this trial, 15 did somebody tell you that maybe it was relevant to the 16 prosecution's case that Shorty's statement include that he was 17 going to see Frank Retz at night? 18 No. 19 Or you haven't heard from any of the prosecution 20 witnesses that Shorty's supposed to have disappeared one night? 21 Α No. 22 Well, you've talked to a number of the prosecution 23 witnesses since the Grand Jury hearing, haven't you? 24 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative. 25 THE COURT: Sustained. 26 BY MR. DENNY: Have you talked to a number of the Q 27 prosecution witnesses since the Grand Jury hearing? 28 A Yes, I have.

2-3

the hall talking quite a while, before she testified; is that 2 - 41 right? 2 î 3 Α No. Well, for over a day you were waiting to testify? More than that, weren't you, sitting out in the hall? 5 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I have been out there since last --THE COURT: Sustained. You needn't answer that. 8 The answer's stricken. 9 BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, you spent a good 10 Q portion of that time chit-chatting with Pearl; is that right? 11 12 MR. MANZELLA: That doesn't appear to be relevant, your 13 Honor. 2a fol 14 THE COURT: You needn't answer that. Sustained. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

BY MR. DENNY: Did you spend some of that time 2a-1 1 chit-chatting about the case, about Shorty? 2 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. That's ÷ 3 a compound question. 4 Talking about Shorty is not necessarily talking 5 about the case, nor is it chit-chat, necessarily. 6 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 7 You talked to her about -- did you talk to her 8 about what you were going to testify to in this case? 9 THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. 10 BY MR. DENNY: Did you talk to her about what she Q 11 was going to testify to in this case? 12 She never said a word to me. We talked about old 13 times --14 Old times, including Shorty? 15 Q 16 -- up at the ranch. A That's right. 17 And Shorty's disappearance? 18 Ò If they possibly have come up. 19 Α And how Shorty was supposed to, according to her, 20 Q have disappeared one night? 21 I don't remember that part of the conversation, 22 A 23 no. But you are not saying it didn't occur, are you? 24 Q 25 I don't think it did occur. Α 26 All right, sir. Q And then after that conversation, your testimony 27 28 here includes the two words "see him that night"?

moment here? 1 THE COURT: You may. 2 (Further pause in the proceedings.) á BY MR. DENNY: By the way, did Shorty try to 4 borrow money from you, around the time that you had this 5 conversation with him? 6 No, because he knew I didn't have any. 7 You didn't try to borrow any from him, either? 8 A No. 9. Because you knew he didn't have any? 10 Q Absolutely. 11 A In fact, Shorty was kind of in a perpetual state 12 13 of being broke; is that right? MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Calls for speculation on the 14 15 part of the witness. 16 Sustained. THE COURT: BY MR. DENNY: Well, of your own knowledge, sir. 17 Q during the time around August of 1969, in your relationship 18 19 with Shorty, did he indicate to you that he was broke? 20 But I -- I just take that for granted. Every-No. 21 body else was. 22 Now, these guns that you say Shorty had, you saw Q 23 him with the guns a few times; is that right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Three or four times, maybe? Q 26 Α Yes. 27 Did he have a set of holsters to go with those guns; Q 28 do you remember?

I can't recall, no. A Well, did you ever see him packing those guns around Q on his hips (indicating)? Two guns, one on each hip, walking around with them (indicating)? I'believe the only time I've ever seen the weapons 2b fol was, when he opened the case and showed them to me. 20-

2b-1	1	Q So that and you knew him in 1968?				
	2	À Yes.				
i.	3	Q Well, you knew him since 1968; right?				
<b>4</b>	4	A Yeah, off and on, yes.				
4	5 .	Q Were you at the ranch in '68, the Spahn Ranch?				
*	6	A I can't remember whether I was or not.				
	7	It's hard to say.				
	8	Q You kind of drifted in and out, just like Shorty;				
	.9	right?				
	10	A In and out.				
	1,1	Q In and out.				
	12	But at least, as far as you are concerned, those				
	13	guns weren't so much a part of Shorty that he packed them				
,	14	around all the time (indicating) with him; is that right?				
e S	15	On his hips?				
<b>.</b>	16	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question calls for				
<b>35</b>	17	speculation and opinion.				
	18	THE COURT: Sustained.				
	19	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you can never ever recall				
	20	having seen Shorty totin' those two guns in holsters; is				
	21	that right?				
	22	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Asked and answered.				
•	23	THE COURT: Sustained. It seems to be outside the				
	<b>24</b>	scope of direct.				
<del>.</del>	<b>25</b>	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I think we can move it to the				
196	<b>26</b>	point where I would like to be allowed to take this witness				
	27	as my own for a couple of questions.				
	28	THE COURT: All right. You may.				

2b-2	1	JOHN SWARTZ, JR.,
	2	called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant,
7	3	having previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as
ş ·	4	follows:
, ,	5	
*	6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	7	BY MR. DENNY:
	8.	Q Now, Mr. Swartz, as far as this automobile is
	9	concerned, did you ever drive Shorty's car?
	10	A No.
	11	Q Did you ever see anybody else drive it?
	12	A No.
	13	Q Shorty was living out of his car; is that right?
*	14	A Yes.
	15	Q And did you ever go over to his car, stand and
je Š	16	chat with him while he was in the car, or around the car?
<b>b</b>	17	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.
	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
	19	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, did you ever see Shorty
	20	taking anything out of the car, out of the trunk of the car?
	21	A He kept his clothes in the car, so he probably
	22	did.
3 fls.	23	I don't recollect right offhand, no.
ž	24	
* .	25	
	26	
	27	
		The state of the s

Q,

BY MR. DENNY: Do you want to point that out so

I have when he was there, yes. You know what I can't remember, whether it was 2 the time we got out of jail or before that, the time before 3 this all happened. He kept his property in there. 4 Well, are you aware, sir, that he didn't get 5 those trunks until he was in Las Vegas in July? 6 A No. 7 Well, would that refresh your recollection that 8 it happened after he got back to the ranch on August 16 or Q 17? 10 It wouldn't. A 11 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it assumes a fact not in 12 evidence that he wasn't back to the ranch until after the 13 14 raid. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DENNY: Well, you remember him specifically, 16 Q. sir, as having been living out of his car after the raid? 17 18 Right? 19 Yes. 20 And sometime during that period of time he put 21 those trunks in the jail, right? I remember seeing his property in there, but just 22 A 23 like I said, I don't remember whether it was this period of time or another period of time. I can't remember. 24 25 All right, sir. Q. 26 I don't think it was. A 27 Beg pardon? Q 28 I don't think it was this period of time. A

1	Q But you remember the trunks being in there?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All right.
4	I have no further questions.
5	THE COURT: Redirect or cross?
6	MR. MANZELLA: Thank you.
7	I just wanted to get the trunk out of the exhibit
8	room;
9	(Whereupon, Mr. Manzella exited the courtroom,
10	returning shortly, and the following proceedings were had:)
, n	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	
13	BY MR. MANZELLA:
14	Q. Mr. Swartz
15	MR. DENNY: Oh, I do have one more thing, your Honor,
16	if I may.
17	And actually, this is by way of cross examination
18	rather than as my own witness, if I may go back to that,
19	your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Very well.
21	MR. DENNY: I'm not sure under the new rules of
22	evidence whether it makes much difference or not.
23	
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
25	BY MR. DENNY:
26	Q Mr. Swartz, is it true that you left the ranch
27	sometime after the end of August?
28	A Yes, I did.

Cr or Redi

About when did you leave the ranch? · 1 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be relevant. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DENNY: Well, you left the ranch because you were scared of Charlie Manson, isn't that right? 7 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be relevant. MR. DENNY: Bias and prejudice, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 11 3a fls. THE WITNESS: No, I didn't. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

3a-1 BY MR. DENNY: Well, Charlie Manson threatened 1 you, hadn't he? 2 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be 3 relevant. MR. DENNY: Bias and prejudice. 5 MR. MANZELLA: How does it go to the bias and preju-. dice? MR. DENNY: Because Charlie Manson is a co-defendant. 8 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 9 MR. DENNY: May I be hard at the bench? I would like 10 to make an offer of proof. 11 THE COURT: No, you may not. 12 MR. DENNY: Well, I would like to make an offer of 13 proof on the record, your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right, you may. 16 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 17 at the bench among Court and counsel outside the 18 hearing of the jury:) 19 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I have a report here, apparently 20 a police report dated 12-12-69. Subject interviewed: 21 "John Harold Swartz, Jr." 22 MR. KAY; This doesn't appear to be a police report 23 because the police officers always put who did the interview. 24 MR. DENNY: Well, unfortunately, the investigating 25 officer making the interview was down below the line that I 26 was able to reproduce. 27 THE COURT: All right, let's get on with it. 28 MR. DENNY: It shows on page 2 thereof: "At one time

. 

"Charlie and Swartz was arguing and Charlie told you, 'I can kill you any time. I can come into your sleeping quarters any time.' Swartz became alarmed and left the ranch thereafter."

Now, I submit this shows --

THE COURT: This is attributed to Swartz, by the report?

MR. DENNY: Yes, yes. This is in the page 60 and 61 of the homicide manual.

THE COURT: And you think that you should be able to show that in order to establish that he is biased against Davis?

MR. DENNY: That he is biased against Davis, and you can show the bias of a witness against a co-defendant because he's testified similarly against Manson. And -- and I'll cite to the Court the case of People vs. Vandenberg, all one word, 84 Cal. App. 2d 33. You can show the bias of the witness against one other than the defendant if he affects the defendant, and, obviously, his testimony here affects the defendant.

MR. MANZELLA: If the bias affects the defendant, not if his testimony affects the defendant.

MR. DENNY: Well, the bias affects the defendant.
THE COURT: All right, the Court will permit it.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

BY MR. DENNY: Mr. Swartz, Charlie had threatened

Los Angeles Police Department or the Sheriff's Department as follows:

"At one time Charlie and you were arguing and Charlie told him --" that is told you "-- 'I could kill you any time. I could come into your sleeping quarters any time.' You became alarmed and left the ranch shortly thereafter."

Is that what you told the officers?

- A Well, I don't remember ever arguing with Charlie.
- Q So it wasn't an argument?
- A No, it wasn't.
- Q There was just a little discussion and Charlie told you this, that I have just read; is that right? In sum and substance?

A There was a discussion. He told me that and I thought he was joking.

b-1	1	Q But you became alarmed, is that right?
	2	A No, I just thought he was joking. I just thought he
	3	was bull-conning me.
ş	4	Q I'm sorry?
je.	5	A I thought he was kidding me.
*	6	Q What was the first thing you said?
	7	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is not relevant.
	8	THE COURT: He said bull-conning him.
	9	THE WITNESS: It is just an expression.
	10	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. And did you tell the
	11	officers that you became alarmed and left the ranch shortly
	12	thereafter?
	13	A Well, at first I thought he was kidding me, and
	14	then
,	15	Q Sir, did you tell the officers that you became
ð	16	alarmed and left the ranch shortly after this conversation?
•	. 17	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I am going to object to Mr. Denny
į,	18	interrupting the witness. I think he was answering the
	19	question.
	20	MR. DENNY: He was not answering the question. It was
	21	not responsive.
	22	THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, just a minute.
	23	That can be answered yes or no.
2. •	24	Did you tell the officers that?
	25	THE WITNESS: Yes.
<b>V.</b> Ø	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. And it is a fact that
<b>.</b>	27	you were, shall we say, a little bit unhappy with Charlie
_	28	Managana Voy didn't like him were much is that right?

•		
3b-2	1	A No, we got along extremely well, yes.
	2	Q Extremely well.
i.	3	All right, sir.
ja Ž	4	Q I try to get along with everybody.
<b>.</b>	5	Q All right, sir.
3	6	You didn't want to see him get off the ranch,
	7	then?
	8	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is not relevant.
	9	MR. DENNY: Biased and prejudiced again.
	10	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	11	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please.
	12	Q , BY MR. DENNY: You didn't want to see him get
	13	booted off the ranch, then, Spahn Ranch, did you?
<b>_</b> 3	14	A I couldn't see any reason for that many people
ş	15	being there.
*	16	Q And you wanted to get him the heck off there,
à	17	didn't you?
	<b>18</b>	A Well, it wouldn't hurt my feelings any, no.
	19	MR. DENNY: All right, no further questions.
•	20	
RED	21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
ŧ	· 22	BY MR. MANZELLA:
	23	Q Mr. Swartz, were you so angry at Charles Manson
G Je	24	that you might give testimony against Bruce Davis that would
<b>,*</b> *	25	convict him of first degree murder?
*	26	A No.
	27	Q When interviewed by the police, Sheriffs or
<del></del>	28	prosecutors, did any of those people tell you what to testify

to at this trial?

A No.

Now, are you sure that the date of the conversation with Shorty was August 21st, the conversation with regard to the Retz job offer?

A Well, I got to thinking about that last night quite a bit. And I don't think that the conversation with Shorty took place exactly three days after we got out of jail. I think it was longer than that.

Q Now, you testified at the Grand Jury, the testimony Mr. Denny read, that it was a couple of weeks after the raid.

A Well, this is what I was thinking about last night.

Q Well, how long after the raid? About how long after the raid did the conversation with Shorty about the job offer with Frank Retz -- about how long after the raid did that conversation take place?

A Well, I could remember approximately ten days.

Now, directing your attention to the two trunks which are over there. I think they re People's 65-G and 65-F.

Do you recognize those trunks?

A Yes.

Q. Where did you see those trunks?

building I indicated on that picture and that's where I seen the trunks.

In the jailhouse?

28

22

23,

24

25

26

27

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Do you know how long they were in there?
3	A	No, I don't.
4	Q	You just saw them once?
5	A	Yes.
6	Ω	Do you recall when that was?
7	A	No, I don't.
8	Q	Do you know whether it was before or after the
9	raid?	
10	A	No.
11	Q	All right. To get it clear, then, you're saying
12	then the be	st recollection of the date of the conversation with
13		t the Retz job offer was about ten days after the
14	raid?	
15		ENNY: Asked and answered.
16	THE C	OURT: Sustained.
17	<b>.</b> "	
, 18		
19	,	
20		·
21 22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
23		
24	,	
25		·
26		
27		
28		·

4-1 MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you. I have no further 1 questions. MR. DENNY: Well, I do. 3 RECROSS EXAMINATION RECRÓSS BY MR. DENNY: 6 7 Mr. Swartz, --Q 8 MR. MANZELLA: Oh, I have one further question. 9 10 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 RED. BY MR. MANZELLA: 12 And you testified at the Grand Jury that your 13 best recollection at that time was that the conversation took 14 place about a couple of weeks after the raid? 15 MR. DENNY: Asked and answered. 16 MR. MANZELLA: No, it hasn't been asked and answered. 17 MR. DENNY: Yes. 18 THE COURT: It has. 19 MR. MANZELLA: It has by Mr. Denny, but not by me. 20 MR. DENNY: Yes, by you. 21 THE COURT: I think it was one of the first questions 22 you asked. 23 MR. MANZELLA: I referred to the testimony, your Honor. 24 I didn't ask him if this is what he testified to at the Grand 25 Jury. 26 MR. DENNY: That speaks for itself. 27 THE COURT: You may repeat your question. 28 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mr. Swartz, you Q

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

Î0

11

12 13

14

15

.16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

testified at the Grand Jury that the -- your best recollection at that time was that the conversation with Shorty about the job offer took place a couple of weeks after the raid; isn't that right?

MR. DENNY: I'll object.

THE COURT: Asked and answered.

MR. DENNY: The testimony speaks for itself.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, it hasn't been asked and answered that that is the testimony yet. That's what I am trying to --

MR. DENNY: That's what I read.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, the fact that you read it doesn't establish that you were reading the Grand Jury transcript.

THE COURT: The Court sustains the objection.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, can we stipulate that what you read was testimony from the Grand Jury?

MR. DENNY: I'll so stipulate.

MR. MANZELLA: So stipulated.

No further questions.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Now, Mr. Swartz, after you left here last night, your testimony bothered you; is that what you are telling us?

A Well, it didn't really bother me, but I got to thinking about it.

Q What caused you to get to thinkin' about that conversation with Shorty and the period of time? What caused

4-3	1	that, huh?
	2	A Nothing caused it. It was just something I thought
3.	3	about.
	4	Q Well, you thought about what you were going to
•	5	testify to before you came in here and testified under oath,
'n	6	before this jury, didn't you?
	7	A Yes. But something that happened almost three
	8	years ago, it's sometimes hard to put together.
	9	Q Well, you testified in some prior proceedings,
	10	didn't you? In the Manson trial, you testified to the same
	11	conversation, didn't you?
à,	12,	A I believe so, yes.
<u>*</u>	13	Q And the Grogan trial, you testified to the same
	15	A That's right.
*	16	Q Just last August?
÷	17	A That's right.
	18	Q So you've you've had your memory refreshed
:	19	again and again
	20	MR. MANZELLA: Objection
	21	Q BY MR. DENNY: in the
	22	MR. MANZELLA: Oh. Pardon me.
	23	Q BY MR. DENNY: last six months or so?
<b>3</b>	24	MR. MANZELLA: That s argumentative.
w.	25	Q BY MR. DENNY: Haven't you?
•	26	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	27	THE WITNESS: (Pause) Well, I try to refresh my own
s #a7	28	memory. But there's things that I can't remember at certain
a fol	29_	times, that I do remember when I think about it.

. **2** 

3

1

5

7

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Q BY MR. DENNY: You can't remember them so well when you are under oath --

MR. MANZELLA: Object.

MR. DENNY: -- before a jury?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, was there any particular thing last night, as you were ruminating about it, that caused you to remember that it was ten days from the time of the raid, now, instead of three days after you got out of jail, as you testified under oath before the jury yesterday?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative and assumes a fact not in evidence, and it's a mischaracterization of his testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, yesterday you did testify that you were jailed on the 16th; you got out on the 18th, and it was three days after that; is that right?

A I said "approximately three days."

Q All right. And we talked about it, and that would be the 21st of August; do you remember that?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's a mischaracterization of his testimony.

THE COURT: The record speaks for itself. Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. Now, could you tell us and tell the jury here what it was last night, as you were thinking about it, that made you think -- "Oh, I was wrong there, in front of that jury, this afternoon. It's

ten days, and not three days."

MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered and assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, would you tell the jury what it was that made you think about -- think it was ten days after the raid?

A Well, the conversation I had with Charles Manson occurred in the latter part of August. And if I -- well, I know what the truth is; and I've already told you.

I don't know how to explain it.

Q Well, you try to explain it to this jury, what it was that made you think last night that it was ten days after the raid, and not five days after the raid, as you testified to them under oath yesterday.

Will you tell the jury that?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative. The question has been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Was there anything at all that prompted you to change your estimate of time ?

THE WITNESS: If ten --

THE COURT: Did anyone speak to you, or did you read something, or was it just something you thought of?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. But if ten days would have passed -- I'm trying to figure out how to explain this.

I -- I recollect, in the back of my mind, that it was an extremely short time after I had the conversation

with Shorty that I had missed him; and that I met Charlie on the boardwalk and asked him what I did ask him.

It was an extremely short period of time, becauseI think I was -- I was wondering if he got the job or not,
and I -- I never seen him.

I was wondering if he did get the job.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Is that your explanation to the jury?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's asked and answered.
THE COURT: Sustained.

that you went through, last night, to reach the conclusion that it was ten days after the raid, instead of five?

Have you told us everything? Have you told the jury everything now about your reasoning?

MR. MANZELLA: This is argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: I don't think it's argumentative, your Honor, to ask him if he's completed all of what his reasoning was.

THE COURT: All right. You may answer Mr. Denny's last question.

THE WITNESS: Because it was also, again, a short period of time before -- after -- after I had the conversation with Charlie that he left the ranch.

Q BY MR. DENNY: When did he leave the ranch?

Do you know what day he left the ranch?

A He -- you don't have to yell. I can hear you.

It was in the end of August.

25

26

27

28

4b-1Q When? ĺ А In the latter part of August -- I don't --2 When? Q 3 A -- know what day it was. You don't have any recollection of the day when 5 Charlie Manson left, do you? б MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MR. DENNY: All right. I have no further questions of 9 this witness. 10 THE COURT: Anything further? 11 12 MR. MANZELLA: Yes. I have a question, your Honor. 13 (Fause in the proceedings.) MR. MANZELLA: Oh, right. 14 15 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 17 RED BY MR. MANZELLA: 18 Mr. Swartz, you testified before the Grand Jury 19 that it was a couple of weeks after the raid that you had the ₹20 conversation with Shorty? 21 / MR. DENNY: Asked and answered. 22 BY MR. MANZELLA: And did you testify -- you Q 23 testified at the Grogan trial that it was ten days to two 24 weeks after the raid that you had the conversation with 25 Shorty; isn't that right? 26 MR. DENNY: Assuming facts not in evidence. 27 BY MR. MANZELLA: Isn't that correct, Mr. Swartz? 28 MR. DENNY: Assuming facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. MANZELLA: And you testified at the Manson trial, when I asked you the question, that it was about ten days to two weeks after the raid that you had the conversation with Shorty; isn't that correct?

MR. DENNY: Assuming facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. No further questions.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

The answer may remain.

Any questions?

MR. DENNY: No, I have no questions of this witness,

THE COURT: You may step down.

May this witness be excused?

MR. DENNY: Certainly.

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You are excused, Mr. Swartz.

, MR. KAY: The People will call Barbara Hoyt.

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give in the cause now pending before this court, . shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having

been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 1 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 2 THE BAILIFF: State and spell your full name, please. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Barbara Hoyt. B-a-r-b-a-r-a, H-o-y-t. 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. KAY: 8 Q Barbara, were you at one time a member of the 9 Manson Family? 10 Α Yes. 11 And when did you join the Family? Q 12 April, 1969. A 13 When in April, if you remember? O 14 A First. 15 Where did you first meet the Family? Q 16 Uh -- at a house on Gresham Street. Α 17 But I met two girls before then. 18 · You met two Family girls before that? Q 19 A Yeah, the -- the same day. 20 And did they take you to the house on Gresham Q 21 Street? 22 Α Yes. 23 MR. KAY: All right. Your Honor, I have here a 24 photograph -- I believe it was 34-B in the Grogan trial. I t m 25 trying to figure out what it was in this trial. 26 I think it was 82-B in the Manson trial. 27 May it remain as 82-B for this trial? **28**5 THE COURT: It's a photograph of what?

_	1	MR. KAY: A photograph of a house.
	2	THE COURT: Oh, a house. All right. So ordered.
2-B	3	Q BY MR. KAY: Barbara, showing you 82-B, do you
*	4	recognize this house?
s	5	A Yes.
3	6	Q And is that the house on Gresham Street?
	7	A Yes.
	8.	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kay exhibited
	9	the photograph to the members of the jury.)
	10	Q BY MR. KAY: Now, did you start living there?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q And who else was living there?
	13.	A A lot of other people.
•	14	Q Do you want to name them for us?
\$ 3	15	A Oh!
,	16	Q I know there's a lot, but as many as you can
•	17	recollect.
	18	A Uh Bill, Ella
	19	Q Now, Bill is Bill Vance?
	20	A Yes.
•	21	Q Ella is Ella Jo Bailey?
	22	A Yes.
	23 24	Q Okay.
4¢ fol	25	A Danny DeCarlo, Charlie
4	26	
A	27	
	<del></del>	

	, , , , , ,	
4c-	1 1	Q Charlie Manson?
	2	A Yes.
*	3	Q Okay.
•	4.	A Squeaky.
(P)	5.	Q Lynn Fromme?
8	6.	A Yes. Susan Atkins. Katie.
	7	Q Patricia Krenwinkel?
	8	A Yes. Leslie.
	9	Q Leslie Van Houten?
	10	A Yes. Dave.
	11	Q David Baker?
	12	A Yeah, I think so. A lot of other people.
	13	Q Tex, was he there?
<u>يون سند</u>	14	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as leading and sugges-
	15	tive.
	~ <b>16</b> ·	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	17	A IJ was there.
	18	Q BY MR. KAY: Was he there?
	19	A Yes, I think he was.
	20	TJ was there.
	21	Oh, a whole lot of people.
	22	Q All right. Now, sometime after living at the
	23	Gresham Street house, did the Family go to Spahn Ranch?
¥·	<b>24</b>	A Yes.
A. Ži	25	Q All right. And approximately when did the
ř: .#	<b>26</b>	Family go to the Spahn Ranch?
	27	A Well, first we went to this Malibu house, and
	28	then we went to Spahn's Ranch.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	,	†	
4c-2	2 1	Q	All right.
	2	A	By the time we were at Spahn's Ranch, it was
<b>,</b>	3	about I	think about oh, the beginning of the middle
¥	4	of April.	
Ĭ	5	Q	The beginning of the middle of April? Okay.
.3	6		Do you know the defendant, Bruce Davis?
	7	A	Yes.
	8	Q	All right. And was he also a member of the
	9	Manson Fami	ly?
	10	. <b>A</b>	Yes.
	n	Q	And did you see him out on Spahn's Ranch?
	12	A	Which time?
	13	Q.	Well, when you first moved there?
*	14.	A	No.
•	15	, <b>Q</b>	When did you first see him out on Spahn's Ranch?
1	16	A	It was in May. The end of May.
4	17	<b>ે</b> Q	Now, where did you and the other members of the
	1 18		while you were on Spahn's Ranch?
	19	l ·	All over.
	20	Q.	Well, can you name some of the places?
	21	A	Oh, the front of the ranch, the backhouse, the
	22	outlaw shad	ks; we had camps by the creek, and across the
	23	road, where	e we were before we moved into Spahn's Ranch.
Ay T	24		Just all over.
	25	MR. I	KAY: Your Honor, I have here an aerial photograph.
	26	May it be r	marked People's next in order?
	27	THE (	COURT: It's a new one yet, unmarked?
	28	MR. 1	KAY: Yes.

4c-3	1	THE COURT: All right. It will be 105 for identifi-
<u>)</u> :	2	cation.
105 id.	3	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kay
	4	exhibited the photograph to Mr. Denny.)
₹ *	5	Q BY MR. KAY: Barbara, could you step down here
2	6	a moment, please?
	7	Now, showing you this aerial photograph, People's
	8 .	105 maybe you can step to the side, so Mrs. Sandberg can
	9	see; she's up at the end there and unfortunately is often
*	10	blocked out.
	n	Do you recognize what is depicted in this aerial
	12	photograph?
	13	A Yes.
**	14	Q And what is depicted in this photograph?
F	15	MR. DENNY: May we have the witness use the microphone,
<b>.</b>	16	your Honor?
ır	17	THE WITNESS: The back part of Spahn's Ranch.
	18	Q And is the back house and the outlaw shacks
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19	shown or partially shown in this picture?
* 4	20	A The outlaw shacks are over here (indicating),
f -	21	and the back house is back here.
	22	Most of it's under the trees, though.
	23	JUROR OBRADOVICH: We can't hear.
¥	24	THE WITNESS: Most of it's under the trees. The back
	25	house is right there (indicating).
*	26	Q BY MR. KAY: So the back house let's see.
	27	THE COURT: Here's a felt pen up here.
	28	MR. KAY: Thank you.

_	THE COURT: Oh, do we have a black one?
1	
*2	Q BY MR. KAY: Barbara, if you could write with
3	this red felt marking pen, "Back house," where the back
4	house is?
5	A Oh! A shock.
6	Q I'm sorry.
7	And then if you'll put where the outlaw shacks
8	are? Put a "O.S." On the outlaw shacks.
9	And then you'd better write out "back house,"
10	because those initials would be the same as yours.
11	A (Witness complies.)
12	Q Okay. You can resume the stand, if you'd like.
13	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kay
14	exhibited the photograph to the members of the jury.)
15	
16	•
17	·
18	
19	
20	, <b>*</b>
21	
22.	
23	•
28	
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	went to Ola	ncha.
2	Q'	All right. And where's Olancha?
3	A	Do you know where Inyo-Kern is?
4	Q	Well, I know, but maybe the jury doesn't.
5	A	It's I think it's near Sequoia; isn't it up
6	there in	it's in the desert, but I think it's across from
7	Sequoia. I	t's north.
8	Q	All right. Now, who did you go to Olancha with?
9	A	Uh Brenda
10	Q	Brenda McCann?
11	A	Yes. Ruth Morehouse. Sherry Cooper I'm not
12`	sure if Kit	ty was there.
13		And Tex and Snake were already there.
14 .	Ö	That's Tex Watson and Dianne Lake?
15	A	Yes,
16	Q	All right. And how long did you spend in Olancha?
17	A	Not very long. A few days.
18	Q	A few days? Now, did you leave did you leave
19	Olancha aft	er you spent a few days there?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And where did you go after you left Olancha?
22	<b>A</b>	Back to Spahn's Ranch.
23	Q	All right. Did you know who Shorty Shea was?
24	Ä	Yes.
25	Q	Now, when you first returned to Spahn's Ranch,
26	after the S	pahn's Ranch raid after you were released from
27	jail did	you see Shorty there them?
28	A	Yes.

Ļ	All right. Was he living at the ranch at that
2	time, to your knowledge?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q . Now, when you you say you were at the after
5	being released from jail, you said you spent a few days at the
6	Spahn Ranch.
7	Would you see him every day while you were there?
8.	A Yes.
9	Q Now, when you returned to Spahn's Ranch after going
10	to Olancha, did you still see Shorty there?
11	A Yes.
12	Q All right. And approximately when was the last
13	time that you saw Shorty?
14	A The end of August, 1969.
15	Q Now, Barbara, leaving that subject for a moment,
16	where did you and members of the Family eat your dinner at
17	Spahn's Ranch?
18	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as ambiguous as to time.
19	Q BY MR. KAY: Well, during August, 1969.
20	A (No response.)
21	Q During August, 1969?
22	A Oh, am I supposed to answer?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	Q BY MR. KAY: Yes.
25	A A lot of times we ate in the back house, during
26	that time.
27	Q All right. And would the whole Family generally
28	
	eat together during that period of time?

		<b>1</b>	•
	1	<b>A</b>	Yes.
	2	Q	All right. Now, would anyone decide when the
1	3		d start eating?
4 1 16 1	4	A	Yes.
<b>F</b>	5	Q	And who was that?
*	6	*	Charlie.
	7~	Q ,	Charlie Manson?
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	All right. Now, during these dinners, where the
	10	Family woul	d join together for dinner, would someone do almost
	11	all the tal	king?
	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q	And who was that?
*	14	A	Charlie.
· ·	15	Ω	Charlie Manson?
Aş.	16	A	Yes.
Å.	17	Q	Did anyone else talk besides Charlie?
	18	A	Uh well, yes. But not the way he did. I mean,
	19	he lectured	, and the other people would agree or laugh or
	20	or say some	ething supplementary to what he said, or something
4e fol	21	like that;	but that's it.
•	22		
	23		
¥. \$	24		
•	25		
<b>%</b>	26		
	27		
	28		
		·	

4e-1

Q All right. Was Bruce Davis -- after the August 16th raid, was Bruce Davis present at any of these dinners in the back house?

A Yes.

Q All right. Now, between the time that you were at Spahn's Ranch, after the August 16th raid -- that is, after you were released from jail -- well, let me ask you this, first:

In the latter part of August, 1969, did you and other members of the Manson Family go to the desert, up in the Barker-Meyers Ranch area?

A Yes.

at Spahn's Ranch, after the August 16th raid, when you were released from jail, and the time that you and the other members of the Family, the Manson Family went to the desert, in the Barker-Meyers Ranch area, were you present during any conversations between Charles Manson and Bruce Davis and other Family members concerning the presence of Shorty on the ranch?

À Yes.

MR. DENNY: Well, just a moment. I'm going to object to that and move that the answer be stricken for the purpose of objection, as a compound question.

"Were you present when there was conversation between Charles Manson, and any members of the Family? Bruce Davis?"

. It takes in too many people.

1

R

10· 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

27

THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may remain in the record.

g BY MR. KAY: Now, approximately how many conversations concerning the presence of Shorty Shea on Spahn Ranch did you hear between members of the Manson Family during the period of time when you got back to Spahn Ranch after you were released from jail, until the time that you and the Manson Family members went to the desert?

MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KAY: Well, was Shorty Shea a common topic of conversation among the members of the Manson Family during this period of time?

MR. DENNY: Object to that as hearsay; and irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KAY: Well, may I be heard on that at the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Is there anyone who is in desperate need of a recess?

(Whereupon the members of the jury indicated negatively.)

THE COURT: Otherwise, we will go until 12:00. All right. We had such a late start, I'd just like to go straight through.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had

4e-3

,28 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury.)

MR. KAY: I think it's clear that this isn't hearsay.

I'm just asking if it was a common topic of conversation.

I'm not asking for a conversation.

I am going to ask for a specific conversation in a moment, where the Defendant Bruce Davis was present, but I am asking: Was it a common topic of conversation among the members of the Manson Family?

MR. DENNY: And that's indirect hearsay. It's what they were talking about.

THE COURT: I think -- I think you'd have to establish that the defendant was present, or that some of the co-conspirators were present.

When you use the phrase "Manson Family," it could be any part of them.

MR. KAY: Oh. So, in other words, I can get it in if
I can establish that -- like Grogan -- or Davis or Manson
were present, or --

THE COURT: It would appear to me that you'd have to have more of a foundation. Otherwise, it would be immaterial.

MR. KAY: Well, of course, the -- you know, what we've established so far, about Manson and his leadership of the Family, and the fact that the Family was so close knit, that--I mean, if this was a common topic of conversation --

THE COURT: Keep your voice down a little bit.

MR. KAY: If this was a common topic of conversation, if your Honor would remember that you let the evidence in, on

6.

the weekend of the Hinman murder, that it was a common topic of conversation around the ranch, what was happening at Gary Hinman's house that weekend.

MR. DENNY: I don't think that that was let in.

MR. KAY: It was.

MR. DENNY: There was testimony that Ella Jo Bailey was aware of what was going on.

4f-1 MR. KAY: She testified --. I MR. DENNY: But not that it was a common topic of 2 conversation. 3 MR. KAY: It was let in that it was a common topic of conversation. 5 THE COURT: You both have done that, in asking whether б it was -- whether this or that was a common topic of conversa-7 tion around the ranch. 8 MR. DENNY: Well, there have not been objections raised 9 on some of it. 10 THE COURT: That's correct. 11 12 MR. DENNY: That possibly should have been. 13 I am raising the objection now. THE COURT: All right. It's sustained. 14 15 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had 16 in open court, within the presence and hearing of the 17 jury:) 18 BY MR. KAY: Now, during these dinners at the back house, at Spahm's Ranch, was Bruce Davis present during 10 20 some of the conversations about Shorty? 21 MR. DENNY: Asked and answered. 22 MR. KAY: I don't think that particular question, --23 Overruled. You may answer. THE COURT: 24 MR. KAY: -- there was an answer. 25 THE COURT: You may answer. 26 I've forgotten the question. THE WITNESS: 27 BY MR. KAY: All right. During these dinners at Q. 28 the back house, was Bruce Davis present when some of these

-- Manson made the statements about Shorty. MR. DENNY: ŀ MR. KAY: I think she has already testified that --2 THE COURT: Well, you may --3 Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Did Mr. Manson make statements about Shorty? .5 Á Yes. 6 All right. Q Now, besides yourself and Bruce Davis and Mr. --8 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 5 fls. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ·20 · 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

5-1 BY MR. KAY: Oh, thank you. 1 Q Now, Barbara, besides yourself and Mr. Davis and 2 3 Mr. Manson, who do you remember to be present at the dinner conversation? Just about everybody. 'In the Family? A Yes. Q: Was Tex Watson present? 9 A Yes. 10-11 MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as leading and 12 suggestive. 13 MR. KAY: All right. 14 Sustained. THE COURT: 15 BY MR. KAY: Tell us what Mr. Manson said in the Q 16 presence of Mr. Davis and other members of the Family? 17 MR. DENNY: Object under the basis of the People versus 18 Osuna, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 MR. DENNY: May I be heard, your Honor? 21 THE COURT: All right, I'll hear from you. 22 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 23 the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 24 jury:) 25 If this is supposed to be some kind of MR. DENNY: 26 statement or admission, obviously it is not --27 MR. KAY: It is not an admission. 28

MR. DENNY: It is not admissible.

7, ·

MR. KAY: It is not an admission.

MR. DENNY: I am seeking an offer of proof, then.

MR. KAY: Okay.

MR. DENNY: As to what they're seeking to get in, if it is not.

MR. KAY: Okay. And we're not offering this for the truth of the facts asserted, we're just offering it basically for motive. The statement was made in front of Mr. Davis and other members of the Family. Mr. Manson made the statement. He said basically that Shorty was an informant, that he was responsible for the raid and that he was working for Frank Retz and that he was an informer and he was paid by the police and the reason he was working for Frank Retz was to get the Family kicked off of Spahn Ranch.

Basically -- I mean, I can't put it in her words, but that's basically what she is going to say.

THE COURT: And you're offering it --

MR. DENNY: I think she'll basically say that.

THE COURT: You're offering it, then, to establish --

MR. KAY: The fact that it was said in front of Mr. Davis and other members of the Family by Mr. Manson at a dinner conversation when Mr. Davis was present.

MR. DENNY: I'll object to any such statements, your Honor, on the grounds, one, there is no corpus delicti established as to any conspiracy. That is irrelevant and immaterial. And what Mr. Manson would have said at sometime before any conspiracy is alleged --

MR. KAY: We haven't alleged --

MR. DENNY: Well, that's just right, you haven't alleged it. And I've been trying to get you to allege it. And now anything that's said at any time, apparently, during the month of August or, who knows when, is admissible on --

MR. KAY: This isn't who knows when. It is just before she went to Olancha and just after she went to Olancha that these conversations took place at dinner.

MR. DENNY: Well, again, is this to be as to a conspiracy
MR. MANZELLA: It goes to show motive and motive can be
shown at any time.

THE COURT: How does it show motive?

MR. KAY: Because he was a follower of Manson.

MR. MANZELLA: As I said before, if a number of people are involved in a killing and one person has the original motive for that killing and the evidence shows that other persons are also involved in the killing, that motive can be shown. It is a motive for the killing. It shows the motive for the killing.

THE COURT: I agree that it would be admissible against Manson, but what do you have to show that that should be admissible against Davis?

MR. MANZELLA: Davis's statements will show that Davis adopted that motive. Davis's confession to the killing will show that he adopted that motive because when he talks about Shorty, in essence, that Shorty was an informant or Shorty was informing to the police, that Shorty was bad-mouthing the ranch, that —

THE COURT: You must be again contending that there is

some evidence, prima facie evidence of a conspiracy here. 1 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, we are, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: It is in your second or third Count, right? 3 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 4 MR. DENNY: The one that they haven't alleged. 5 MR. MANZELLA: Well, the law is clear that we are not 6 required to --7 MR. KAY: We are not required to. 8 MR. MANZELLA: -- to charge a conspiracy to make use of 9. the law of conspiracy, and I think that's clear. 10 don't think we can be faulted for taking advantage of the 11 , law as it presently stands. MR. DENNY: You can't be faulted for the present state 13 of the law, but whether you charge it or not -- you've got to .14 have a prima facte showing of conspiracy. And they don't have 5a fol that at this point. 17 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, if we show that Manson made statements indicating a motive for the killing of Shea, and that at sometime later Davis makes statements indicating the same motive for the killing of Shea --

MR. DENNY: By way of confession or admission?

MR. MANZELLA: -- and we show that Davis was present when Manson made these statements with regard to the motive, then, we can -- one reasonable inference to be drawn is that Davis accepted and adopted that motive as his own.

MR. DENNY: But you can never get --

MR. MANZELLA: -- as his own, for participating in the killing of Shea.

MR. DENNY: You can never get Davis' statement in to hoist yourself up by your bootstraps unless you've established that there is a prima facie foundation of the conspiracy.

MR. MANZELLA: That begs the question, because as soon as we prove death, we can get --

MR. DENNY: You haven't proved a death yet. You haven't proved it by criminal means.

MR. MANZELLA: All we have to do is prove some evidence--THE COURT: Well, this is admitting the statement out of order.

MR. MANZELLA: That is correct. Of course, it does.

THE COURT: But, of course, you haven't established the death, as yet.

MR. DENNY: And if they're going to establish by his statements by way of confession or admission --

MR. MANZELLA: We're not.

28

22

23

25

26

27

5a-2

MR. DENNY: They haven't done it yet.

MR. MANZELLA: I would like to make one statement. Your Honor is correct, we are asking that this be admitted out of order. Essentially we are waiting for at least one more witness to testify with regard -- strictly with regard to the corpus. And the only alternative is to take a recess to get the witness in. We've been trying for a week to get that witness in. We haven't been able to do it and the only alternative is to take a recess, because this witness goes to the connection of Davis with the killing.

MR. KAY: I think Barbara Hoyt will establish a corpus today by hearing the screams.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to hear an offer of proof as to who this witness is.

MR. MANZELLA: Jerry Binder.

THE COURT: In addition, the Court feels that if you can fully establish everything that you stated you could establish in the course of your opening statement, and if the testimony of what you have introduced thus far is considered, that the Court can find sufficient evidence of a conspiracy.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: So it can be admitted, and the Court realizes it is out of order, and the Court will permit it.

MR. KAY; Thank you, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: What they have said they will prove in their opening statement - obviously, if they can prove everything they said they can prove in their opening statement, they're going to have their conspiracy. They're going to have their

5a-3

ì

2

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17<sup>-</sup>

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26 27

28

\*

murder and everything. But half of what they said they can prove in their opening statement is bottomed on the proposition that they can at first prove a prima facie case.

THE COURT: That is true.

MR. DENNY: They haven't done it yet.

THE COURT: That they can prove the death and they have not yet done it.

MR. DENNY: They haven't done it as to the murder, and as to the conspiracy.

THE COURT: As to the conspiracy.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, they haven't done it as to the conspiracy.

THE COURT: As to the conspiracy, the Court believes that there is a prima facie showing.

MR. DENNY: Of a conspiracy to murder Shorty Shea? THE COURT: Of a conspiracy.

As to whether or not the -- there was a murder, the Court can't say until the corpus has been completed, but I think that there's prima facie evidence of an action, a concert of action on the part of certain people in the Manson Family against Mr. Shea.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, then we're going to be in a very interesting situation. The Court says, well, I think maybe there is a prima facie showing of a conspiracy to commit murder. We don't have yet a prima facie showing of murder.

MR. KAY: That's not what the Court is saying.

MR. MANZELLA: That is what the Court is saying.

THE COURT: That is what the Court's saying.

MR. DENNY: All right, thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Davis was present at this conversation you are about to relate?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: That assumes facts not in evidence. She said there were conversations.

MR. KAY: I'm asking her about specific conversations, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well, the Court is misstating her --

THE COURT: The Court is not misstating anything. The Court is simply asking.

25

26

27

28

MR. DENNY: But the Court stated the "conversation." She said "statements."

"Manson made statements about Shorty, just about everybody was there."

Statements plural because I am just about to object it is ambiguous when the next question is put to her.

THE COURT: Well, if that's an objection, it is overruled. The answer may remain.

Q BY MR. KAY: Barbara, can you please relate what Mr. Manson said in the presence of Mr. Davis at the dinner conversation at the back house?

MR. DENNY: Object to that as to no foundation as to time.

as to time.

Q BY MR. KAY: All right, Barbara, do you remember whether this particular conversation was before or after you went to Olancha?

There was some before and there was some after.

- Q All right, then --
- A And
- Q Go ahead.
- A -- basically, the same things were said.
- All right. Now, do you remember the specific a specific conversation after you were released from Spahn's from the jail, after the Spahn's Ranch raid and before you and other members of the Manson Family went to the desert and Barker-Meyers Ranch area, a dinner conversation at the back

at which Mr. Davis was present and the other people you've told | b-2 2 us about, at which Mr. Manson made some statements in the 3 presence of yourself and Mr. Davis about Shorty? Yes. All right. Would you please relate that conversa-6 tion? 7 MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object again. No 8 foundation. No specific foundation as to time. 9 THE COURT: You mean the time of day? You want to ask 10 her about this? 11 BY MR. KAY: This was a dinner conversation, 12 wasn't it? 13 It was evening. 14 MR. DENNY: As to time of week, time of month. 15 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 16 BY MR. KAY: Would you please relate the conversa-Q 17 tion, Barbara. 18; A Okay. 19 Uh, let's see, that Shorty --This is what Charlie said? 21 Yeah. 22 Shorty was an ex-policeman and an informant and 23 that he caused the raid and, uh, -- they didn't like it because 24. he was married to a Negro either. 25 That's stricken. THE COURT: 26 THE WITNESS: All right. 27 THE COURT: Unless it was said. 28 THE WITNESS: It was said, but I don't remember which

1	exact time it was.	
2	MR. DENNY: That's why I object, your Honor.	,
3	THE COURT: It is stricken at this time.	
4	Q BY MR. KAY: Leaving out that part of it,	
5	continue,	
6	A All right. He was working with Frank Retz to get	
7	us kicked off the ranch and that he was bad-mouthing it, the	
8	ranch.	
9.	Q How many do you know who Steve Grogan is?	
10	A Yes.	! !*
11	Q All right. Did he have a nickname around the	i
12	ranch?	
13	A Clem.	
14	Q Clem.	
15,	And how many of the conversations were you present	ĺ
16	at where Mr. Davis was present where there was discussions	
17	about Shorty?	
18	A There were countless, because they were all the	
19	time.	
20	Q Was Mr. Grogan also present at these conversations?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Tex Watson?	
23	A Yes:	
24	Q And Charles Manson?	
25	A Yes.	
26		
27		
28		
		İ

6 fol

6-1	1	MR. KAY: Excuse me just a minute, your Honor. I have		
	2	to find a photograph here.		
ů.	3	Q Now, while you were a member of the Manson Family,		
,	4	did you come to know a girl named Squeaky?		
**	5	A Yes.		
*	6	Q That's Lynn Fromme?		
	7	A Yes.		
	8	Q All right. I show you this picture. Do you		
	9	recognize her?		
	10	A Yes. That's Squeaky.		
	11	Q That's Squeaky.		
	12	Where did Squeaky stay most of the timewhile she		
	13	was on the ranch?		
*	14	A In George's house.		
<b>)</b>	15	Q George Spahn's?		
	16	A Yes.		
Ä	17	Q All right. Did to your knowledge, did Squeaky		
	18	have a particular job on the ranch?		
	19	A Yes.		
	20	Q What was her job?		
	. <b>21</b>	A Well, anything that happened in George's house,		
	22	she would tell Charlie about.		
	23	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay.		
	24	THE COURT: Sustained.		
•	25	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Were you		
, <u>si</u> , s	26	MR. DENNY: Move that the answer be stricken.		
	27	THE COURT: It is stricken.		
_	28	Q BY MR. KAY: Were you present at various		

i '

3

5

6

7

9 10

11

12 13

15

14

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

×

28

conversations when she would come and tell Charles Manson things that were happening in George Spahn's house?

All right.

I remember a conversation --

I move that everything after "Yes" be stricken.

THE COURT: Sustained. The "Yes" may remain.

BY MR. KAY: Besides Squeaky, Barbara, was there Q. any other member of the Family who spent a considerable amount of time with George Spahn?

Yeah. A lot of girls stayed in there, but Squeaky, she stayed there all the time.

Barbara, when was it in relationship to the time that you and the other members of the Manson Family went to the desert, in the latter part of August, 1969, that you last saw Shorty?

It was the day after. A

In other words, you went to the desert the day after you last saw Shorty?

Yes.

MR. KAY: All right. Would this be a good time to take a recess, your Honor? The next subject is going to be involved.

THE COURT: All right. 2:00 o'clock, ladies and We'11 ask you to reassemble at 2:00 o'clock. gentlemen.

During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit

anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you. I'11 see you at 2:00. - Miss Hoyt, be back here at 2:00. THE WITNESS: Okay. --(Whereupon, at 12:00 o'clock noon, an adjourn-ment was taken in this matter until 2:00 o'clock p.m. of the same day.) 

2:04 PM

**-1** 

¥

 . . . .

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1972

THE COURT: All right, in the case of People vs. Davis, all the jurors are present, the record may show, and the defendant is present with his counsel. We're ready to proceed.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench a moment?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, by inadvertence I have neglected, and I want to make up for that oversight at this time, to make a motion which will now include a motion to strike all of the testimony of this witness;

A motion to preclude her from further testifying on the grounds that we have been deprived of the right to adequate representation of counsel and the right to due process under the 6th and the 14th Amendment by virtue of the fact that we have been deprived of the opportunity to investigate this young lady's background, her associations, her reputation in the community for truth, honesty and integrity, and her reputation for such, and pe ple's opinion of that in the community where she resides is paramount in the defense of Bruce Davis in this particular instance since her credibility is very much in issue.

Ť.

 .

I want to direct the Court's attention to the proceedings of Friday, May 14, 1971, in this case, wherein she testified in the Winhoven-Lopez hearing, and I saw it on page 591, starting at line 23, to get from her information as to her residence, asking her "Where do you presently live?" An objection was made, and I asked that the Court direct her to answer the question. And the People objected on the grounds --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. DENNY: -- that she was fearful and didn't want to have her residence known.

And the Court on page 594, at line 21, says
"All right, the Court will permit the witness not to answer
the question."

At which time I cited to the Court the case of People vs. Illinois, 390 U.S. 191, a case that had previously been cited by Mr. Kanarek for the same proposition.

MR. KAY: I think --

MR. DENNY: Now, your Honor, if I may continue.

MR, KAY: Okay, go ahead.

MR. DENNY: I think the facts will show that in connection with attempts by me to get in touch with George Spahn, Sergeant Whiteley did give me Mr. Spahn's phone number. I have in no way abused, I think, that information insofar as telling any Manson Family members where he lives or anything of the kind. I have used that information as an officer of the court and as I think properly should have been done by a defense attorney, to take it upon myself to go up

and see him and interview him and Ruby Pearl who was living there, and based on that interview I was able to get a good deal of information which I used in her cross-examination and which was very critical in her cross-examination.

One, in substantiating some things which I already knew;

Two, in determining some facts which I did not know --

THE COURT: To get to the point in respect to this witness --

MR. DENNY: In respect to this witness, this witness is testifying to a number of things in which her credibility is going to be in issue and Smith vs. Illinois, People vs. Crovedi, C-r-o-v-e-d-i.

MR. KAY: Crovedi.

MR. DENNY: Well, it just depends on how you want to pronounce it.

MR, KAY: Because that's the way Mr. Kanarek always pronounced it.

MR. DENNY: At 65 Cal. 2d 159 at 208, and a number of other cases which I could cite, but I don't think it is necessary to overburden the record here, stands for the proposition that it is a fundamental right of a defendant --

THE COURT: Now, that point has been argued before.

MR. DENNY: All right. Now, in this case, your Honor, we have asked time and again, particularly -- and I've asked for the address of this witness so that we could check in her community to find people who know her, who may be aware

of her reputation for truth, honesty, integrity or who could express an opinion, which is permissible under the Evidence Code as to their opinion of her truth, honesty and integrity. We have been denied that right by the ruling of this Court and, therefore, I submit that until such time as we are given that right and given the opportunity to go out and make a proper examination and proper investigation, which thus far we have been unable to do so because of being denied the address of this witness, she should not be permitted to testify on the matters to which she has already testified, and that they be stricken from the record.

> MR. KAY: May I be heard?

8-1

ŧ

10,

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KAY: Okay. I feel that this is -- I hate to use the word "spurious," but I feel it is a spurious motion.

Number one, Mr. Denny has heard the statement that Mr. Manzella made, that he interviewed her at Pierce College. So, obviously, Mr. Denny knows that this witness goes to Pierce College.

Nobody's ever tried to hide that fact. He can interview her there.

Number two, I think the Court very astutely brought out from Mr. Kanarek, when Mr. Kanarek made this same motion in the -- or, the same type of motion -- in the Manson trial that Mr. Kanarek knows her address.

She has had the same address. She hasn't changed it. And I read the transcript last night, and the Court by its own questions brought that out, that Mr. Kanarek knows where she lives.

And I'm sure that Mr. Denny, if he doesn't, all he has to do is ask Mr. Kanarek, because he knows where she lives.

MR. DENNY: I am taken completely by surprise at that particular bit of information, because my conversations with Mr. Kanarek were quite the opposite. If he does know, I am quite surprised.

MR. KAY: The judge brought it out by his questioning of Mr. Kanarek, and Mr. Kanarek just wanted to know if she had moved, and she hasn't moved. She has lived in the same place, with her parents.

THE COURT: Yes, that's the information that I remember

5

28,

about her whereabouts, about her residence. I think -- I thought it was well known on the record, which should have been in your possession, from the time that she was -- almost from the time that she testified in the previous case. But --

MR. KAY: I think the record should reflect that

Mr. Denny did receive copies of the transcript in the case of

People yersus Manson, in the Hinman-Shea murder cases.

MR. DENNY: I'll stipulate that a copy was prepared for me.

THE COURT: Now, as to the reports from the police, interviews with her, did they in any way assist you in disclosing where she lives?

MR. DENNY: No, your Honor. The addresses in those were specifically lined up, and they have been through practically every reference to any witness in the Sheriff's manual.

THE COURT: Well, not Mr. Manzella's statement, though, because --

MR. DENNY: Mr. Manzella's statement that he interviewed her at Pierce College doesn't necessarily mean that she's going to Pierce College.

MR. KAY: Well, she is.

MR. MANZELLA: I think it's irrelevant.

MR. DENNY: Now, you tell me she is.

MR. MANZELLA: Her address is known, and she hasn't moved. She's still living with her parents.

MR. DENNY: Well, this is the first I've learned of it.

MR, MANZELLA: Well, all right. So you didn't see it in

8-3

.

U

the transcript. So you can --

THE COURT: But at any event, there's no reason to disturb the rulings of the Court made at a time of the Winhoven motions, and my finding would be the same — particularly after having heard the evidence in the Manson case, and in this case thus far.

The motion is denied.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. KAY: Before we get back to -- oh, excuse me, Ken. Are you ready?

Before we get back to the subject we were on just before we broke for lunch, let me ask you this:

At a time period when you were -- when you say you were hitchhiking across the country, how long did this period last? How many weeks or --

A Uh -- the end of May, all of June, until the middle of July.

Q All right. And while you were doing that --

MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, your Honor. I could not hear that. I wonder if she could get closer to the microphone, or if I could have the answer read back.

THE WITNESS: From the end of May, to June, to about the middle of July.

Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Now, during this period of time while you were hitchhiking, on several occasions, did you call the Spahn Ranch?

A Yes.

8a fol

Well, yes. What did he say, and what did you say? Q 1 MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay. 2 MR. KAY: We are not offering it for the truth of the 3 matter asserted; just the fact that there were some words 5 exchanged between the two. THE COURT: Between who? Ġ. 7 MR. KAY: Between --THE COURT: Or between whom? 8 9 MR. KAY: -- this witness and Shorty Shea, the last day 10 she saw him, when she served him dinner. 11 We are not offering it for the truth of the matter. 12 THE COURT: For what purpose is it offered? 13 MR. KAY: It's just for the fact that there was a con-14 versation -- some words exchanged between the two -- where she 15 heard him speak. 16 THE COURT: Very well. The Court overrules the objec-17 tion. 18 BY MR. KAY: What did you hear? 19 THE COURT: Just a moment. 20 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I have no objection --21 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 22 MR. DENNY: I have no objection, your Honor, if it is 23 admitted simply for the fact of what was said, and not for the 24 truth of the matter asserted. 25 MR. KAY: Yeah, that's all we are offering it for. 26 THE COURT: For the fact of what was said? Do you mean 27 to show --28 MR. DENNY: Just simply show that something was said.

\*

THE COURT: Something was said? All right. 1 BY MR. KAY: All right. Then, would you --2 Then, ladies and gentlemen, with that THE COURT: 3 stipulation that it's received not to show the truth of the 4 matter, but simply to show that there was a conversation be-5 tween this witness and Mr. Shea, this will be admitted. 6 BY MR. KAY: All right. And what did you say, and 7. what did Shorty say? 8 He complained about the amount of meat, and wanted , 9 to know if there was more. And I said there was no more. 10 This was while you were serving him dinner? 11 12 All right. So you wouldn't really characterize 13 that as a conversation? 14 A No. 15 Where did you spend the night, that night, after 16 you served Shorty dinner? 17 A In the parachute room. 18 If you would, Miss Hoyt, step down for a minute? 19 Maybe you can take that hand-microphone. And I have here 20 People's 93, the big aerial photograph, including the Spahn 21 Ranch area. 22 Here's Spahn Ranch right down here (indicating). 23 24 Now, can you point out to the ladies and gentlemen approxi-25 mately where the parachute room is? 26 Oh -- right in here (indicating). Α Now, is that where there is a "P," a red "P"? 27 Q It looks like a "R" to me. 28 Α

ě.

\*

1 Q Well --THE COURT: Miss Hoyt, so that the jurors on the end can Ź 3 see, would you step back? THE WITNESS: (Indicating on the photograph.) 5 MR. KAY: It appears on close examination that it's б probably a "P," although it's not very well -- it's not very 7 well written. 8 So that the parachute room would be right below 9 where that letter is, whether it's a "P" or a "R"? 10 Is that right? Q 8b fol A Yes. 12 13 14 15 16 17 ,\* 21 22 24 25 26 27 28

8b-1	1	Q All right. So, are the trees covering it up
	<b>?</b>	there
ý	3 ,	A Yes.
	4	Q on this photograph?
•	5	A Yes.
•	б	Q All right. You may resume the stand. Thank
	7	you.
	8	Now, approximately what time did you go to the
	9	parachute room that evening?
	10	A I don't know what time it was, but it had been
:	11	dark for a long time.
	12	Q Very well. Now, before you went to the parachute
	13	room, did you have any occasion to notice the amount of
<b>.</b> •	14	well, the condition of the moon that night?
,	15	A Yes.
*	16	Q And how would you describe the moon?
	17.	A It was very bright. I think it was a full moon.
.`.	18	Q Now, if you were standing on that night if
f ,	19	you were standing could you step down here again a minute?
	20	I'm showing you People's 29.
	<b>21</b>	Now, if you were standing in front of the board-
	22	walk area here (indicating), with your back to the boardwalk,
	23	where would the moon be in the sky, when you saw it?
` vi	24	A Behind you.
ž Ž	25	Q Behind you?
*	26	A Yes.
	27	Q So in other words, it would be like behind the
	28	buildings and the mountains (indicating)?

8b-2	1	A Yeah. Up like here (indicating). It would be
	2	behind that, about this
.e.	3	Q Behind the buildings and the mountains; is that
	4	right?
¥.	5	A Yes.
*	6	Q And when you say "up there," you are pointing at
	7	about the middle of this aerial photograph?
	8	A Well
	9 .	THE COURT: Use the microphone, would you?
	10	THE WITNESS: It was yeah, it was behind me.
	11	BY MR. KAY: All right. It was behind you where?
•	12	Was it like straight up, or to the side?
	13	A Uh if I were standing like this, it would be
E 3	14	'- like that (indicating).
A	15	THE COURT: Hold your microphone up, please.
*	16	THE WITNESS: It would be about like that, at an angle
ł,	17	up behind me (indicating).
	18	Q BY MR. KAY: Thank you. You may resume the
	19	stand.
	20	Now, after you went to the parachute room that
	21	evening was there anyone in the parachute room with you?
	22	A No.
	23	Q Can you describe the parachute room for us?
40	24	A An old wrecked up trailer.
∳ 3	<b>25</b> .	Q How did it get the name the parachute room?
÷,	26	A Üh
<u>)</u>	.27	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as irrelevant and
	28	immaterial.

8b-3	1	THE COURT: Sustained.
	2	Q BY MR. KAY: Now, after you went to the parachute
3	3	room that night, did did something unusual happen?
ali.	4	A Yes.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	Q And was anyone with you when this unusual event
*	6	took place.
,		A No.
	8 .	Q Would you please describe to the ladies and
	9	gentlemen of the jury what the unusual event was?
	10	A I had just gotten into bed, and I heard a scream,
	11	and I sat up. And for a minute, there wasn't any sound, and
	12	so I thought, "Well; maybe I imagined it."
	13	And I laid back down again. And then the scream-
<b>.</b> \$	14	ing started again, and it kept going and going and going for
<b>)</b> '	15	a long time.
¥r Pá iú	16	Q And do you know who it was that was screaming?
ŧ	17	A It was Shorty.
	18	Now, could you tell the direction of where the
	. 19	screams were coming from?
,	20	Well, let me ask you this: Did the screams
	21	sound like they were close to you or far away?
	22	A They sounded pretty far away.
	23	Q All right. Did you have any idea of which
٠ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	24	direction they were coming from?
***	25	A Down the creek, toward the outlaw shacks. Just
Ś	26	in that direction.
	27	Q All right. Would you step down again here to
	28	the aerial photograph, People's 93?
		1

Now, if you will point out on this what direction 8b-4 1 the screams sounded to you like they were coming from? 2 Down here, in this way (indicating), 3 THE COURT: For the record, would you indicate --4 MR. DENNY: Again, I wonder if the Court could instruct 5 the witness to speak into the microphone when she's away \* Ġ from the stand. 7 THE COURT: What was your last remark? 8 THE WITNESS: That it was off here (indicating). 9 BY MR. KAY: Right. Barbara, I'm going to give 10 11 On The Ohit of 12 Q ... I shocked you again, huh? A shocking experience. 13 All right. 14 Now, could you write on here -- put a "s" from 15 the general area where you heard the screams coming from? 16 Put a large "S". 17 I've got to get where I am first, Α 18 All right. Orient yourself. Q. 19 Well, let's see. 20 A Well, it was coming from this direction down 21 here (indicating). 22 Okay. You may resume the stand. 23 MR. DENNY: Has the "direction down here" been marked 24 25 on the map? MR. KAY: Yes, she has put a "S". 26 It's been identified? Okay. 27 MR. DENNY: MR. KAY: Yes. Marked with an "S," a large "S." 28

	1	Q Approximately how many screams did you hear,
	2	Barbara?
ţ	3	A I don't know. Many.
	4	Q Approximately how long did the screams last?
	5	A Well, it seemed like a really long time, so I
,	6 .	really couldn't accurately tell you. It probably wasn't
	7	a real long time, though, but it just seemed like it.
	8	Q It seemed to you like it was a long time?
	ġ	A Yes.
	10	Q How would you characterize those screams that you
	11	heard?
	12	A They were loud. And they were painful, And they
٠,	, 13	were the same kind that you know, those horror movies
	ŧ 14.	when the lady is screaming, that kind of scream?
	15	Well, it was like that.
**	16	Now, what did you do in the parachute room,
ş	17	when you heard these screams?
	18	A I looked out the window.
	19	Q All right. Did you see anything?
	20	A Uh I could only see how light it was. And
	21	I saw these leaves that was on the screen, but I couldn't see
	22	anything worth telling of.
	23	Q Well, was there a tree branch that covered up
<b>₹</b>	24	that window?
•	25	A Yes.
Ç	26	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as leading and
	27	suggestive, and move that the answer be stricken.
9	fls. 28	THE COURT: Sustained. It's stricken.

	}	,
1	the time it	was that you heard the screams at night?
2	A	It was late.
3	Ω	In relation to midnight, do you have any idea?
4	Ä	I suppose it would be around midnight, but I have
5	no way of kr	nowing for sure.
.6	Ω	Did you have a watch or anything like that?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Okay. Did anybody in the Family have watches
9	or calendars	
10	A	No.
11	Q	Calendars?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Now, the morning after you heard the screams or
14	if you heard	them after midnight, the same morning, did you
15	have occasio	on to see Gypsy?
16	A	Yes.
17	Ω	I show you People's 51.
18		Do you recognize this as Gypsy?
19	A	Yes.
20		(Whereupon, Mr. Manzella walked in front of the
21	jury display	ring the photograph.)
22	Q	BY MR. KAY: Did you have a discussion with
23	Gypsy about	the screams you'd heard the night before?
24	A A	I asked her if she
25.	MR. DE	NNY: Just a moment, that's a yes or no question.
26	I'll object	to anything other than yes or no.
27	THE W	ITNESS: Yes.
28		BY MR. KAY: What did you say and what did she

1 say?

Ŧ

i

MR. DENNY: I'll object as hearsay.

THE COURT: Do you want to approach the bench.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Okay, what s the People's theory for offering the statement?

MR. KAY: All right, it's --

MR. DENNY: Not for the truth of the matter, but just it was said.

MR. KAY: Obviously not for the truth of the matter because Gypsy said no, she didn't hear them, but the credibility of the witness, the fact she did hear the screams at night and she did discuss it with somebody the next day.

In other words, you'd kind of wonder about it if she didn't say anything at all about the screams she heard.

But the first thing in the morning --

THE COURT: The thing is, she may never even be cross examined.

MR. DENNY: And how's her credibility helped by her stating she told somebody else about it when her whole credibility is in issue and it is a self-serving statement.

THE COURT: I think it is premature to offer it at this point.

MR. KAY: All right, I'll wait until redirect. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in

open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. MR. KAY: Oh, I got a shock, too. Now, Miss Hoyt, sometime in the afternoon of the day after you heard the screams, did you go down to the creek area in Spahn's Ranch? A Yes. All right. And is the creek area the area you Q pointed out that \*s behind the buildings at Spahn Ranch? 9a fol A Yes. 13. 

9-a-1 Well, just to be sure, maybe I'd better -- could 1 you step down again and just for the jury could you point out 2 the whole creek area again, how it goes? 3 Well, there's a little tag that says "Creek" there. 5 Α You can't really see it, but it just runs behind 6 the ranch. 7 All right. You notice on the aerial photograph Q Я there appears to be a thicker clump of trees running behind 9 the ranch. 10 Is that all the creek area? 11 Yes. 12 Α Q. Thank you, you can resume the stand. 13 14 Now, whereabouts in the creek area did you go? 15 Because it does stretch out a long ways. 16 Uh -- well, that day when I went down to the A creek, we were kind of all over in that general area. But 17. 18 this part was Would it help you to point it out on the aerial 19 20 photograph? 21 No. 22 Q. All right. 23 It was right about behind where the stables were 24 or where the barn was. 25 Well, maybe I'd better have you point this out. Q 26 A All right. Ţ 27 Q. We'll have it in green this time. 28 And then, could you mark where --

9a-2	1	A Ouch.
	2	Q Sorry.
3ĝ	3	Could you mark on here where approximately you
*	4	went by putting your initials "BH"?
19 18 20	5	A It would either be from over here (indicating)
<b>.</b>	6	to over there (indicating).
	7	Q Well, write your initials big so you can cover
	8	approximately the whole area.
	9	THE COURT: Again, if you are going to talk, use that
	10	microphone.
	11:	MR, KAY: May the record reflect that the witness has
	12 ·	complied by putting a large "BH" with a green felt marking
	13	pen?
ž	14	THE COURT: So ordered.
	15	MR. KAY: On People's 93.
<b>3</b>	16	THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show.
*	17	Q BY MR. KAY: I might suggest the next time, if
	18	you have to mark something
	19	A I thought of it.
	20	Q you pick up the felt pen and I won't give you
	21	a shock.
• •	<b>3</b> 22 ·	Now, when you were down in this area that you
i,	23	have initialed on People's 93, did you see anyone else there?
,	24	A Yes.
	25	Q All right. Who did you see?
	26	A Danny was there.
	27	Q Now, Danny is Danny DeCarlo?
	28	A Danny is Dan DeCarlo.
•		

9a-3 Q Okay. 1 Kitty Lutesinger and I and Charlie. Α 2 And were there any children down there? Q 3 Danny's son. A What's his son's name? Q. 5 Dennis. He's about two years old, A 6 Q. Do you remember when in the afternoon this was? 7 À Uh, this was -- it was getting cooler. 8 late afternoon or early evening, very early evening. 9 Q Now, when you were down at the creek area, where 10 you described on People's 93, did you overhear a conversation 11 between Charles Manson and Danny DeCarlo about Shorty? 12 Yes. 13 Would you please tell the ladies and gentlemen 14 of the jury what you heard? 15 I'll object, and may we approach the bench, 16 your Honor, on the grounds of hearsay and certain other 17 grounds I would like to enunciate at the bench? 18 19 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 20 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the 21 22 hearing of the jury:) MR. DENNY: Your Honor, we're in some measure back to 23 the issue that we discussed at such great length --24 . .25 THE COURT: Yes. 26 MR. DENNY: -- yesterday. 27 However, this particular issue, I think, is a 28 great deal different in that yesterday Mr. Manzella made a

9a - 4

į

1 2

 particular point of the fact that as to the conversation there sought to be introduced through Mr. Swartz, that is that Shorty went to San Francisco, et cetera, that they were not seeking to introduce it for the truth of the matter, that they were not seeking to introduce it by way of admission or confession, but that they were simply introducing it as a verbal act in furtherance of the conspiracy. And I think the Court's ruling was much based on that, much based on the ruling of Dutton vs. Evans, at least as far as Mr. Manzella's position was concerned. That they were not in this case seeking to introduce an admission or a confession.

Now, obviously in this case they're seeking to introduce an admission of Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: What are you seeking to introduce?

MR. DENNY: Well, I'm sure they're introducing the same statement purportedly made by Manson to DeCarlo which appears on 6958 of the Manson transcript, and that is:

"Charlie told Danny, he said, 'Shorty committed suicide with a little help from us. And we buried him under some leaves.' Wait. They cut him up in nine pieces and buried him under some leaves.

"And then he pointed down the creek like that (indicating), with his thumb over his shoulder."

"And then he asked Danny if lye or lime would get rid of the body; and Danny said lye would preserve it, and lime would -- no, wait; lye would

"get rid of it and lime would preserve it -- or something. "And then Charlie asked him where he could get some lye." Now, obviously that is an admission. 9b fls. 6 THE COURT: All right. 

9b-1

2

.3

.5 6

ŧ 7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

**20**.

21 22

23

24 25

Č.,

26 27

.28

MR. DENNY: And it is an admission by -- it is an admission by Charles Manson.

THE COURT: People.

How would this come in under your theory?

MR. MANZELLA: Our theory is the same as it was for

Manson's statement to Swartz, that it is an act of a co
conspirator during the course of the conspiracy, asking DeCarlo

about getting lye and how do you get rid of the body, lye or

lime, where can I get some lye.

THE COURT: That part of the statement could conceivably be received.

How about the other parts?

Couldn't -- that could conceivably be received under the same theory.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, that's the theory under which the People are offering it, under the same theory we're offering it under yesterday.

THE COURT: How about the other parts of the conversation, we had cut him up into nine pieces and scuttered him down the creek.

MR. DENNY: And, also, that Shorty committed suicide with a little help from us.

THE COURT:

I would sustain the objection to that part of it.

MR. KAY: Okay. I'd ask at this time if your Honor is going to divide up the statement, if we could have a recess so that I could talk to Miss Hoyt about not putting in the whole thing. I hate to do it in front of the jury. It looks bad if I walk up to her.

1 2

( )

THE COURT: Probably best you do that and explain to her very thoroughly that she's not to state the full conversation.

MR. KAY: Well --

MR. DENNY: Well, obviously I still object to the use of any of this on the grounds stated yesterday.

And in addition, I obviously --

THE COURT: Well, the court finds that there is a prima facile evidence of the conspiracy and the Court believes that under the California cases that this question should be ultimately left to the jury. And the Court will instruct, as it failed to instruct, I think, when you raised the objection this morning that it is offered not for the truth of the matter but as part of conspiracy, if the jury does find there is a conspiracy, and I'll give the same instruction I gave them yesterday.

MR. DENNY: Well, then, your Honor, I am perplexed at how the Court is going to instruct this jury on the --

THE COURT: Well, you will have to remain perplexed until you hear me. I will give the same instruction I gave them yesterday. I'll take a short recess.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The Court will take a short recess, ladies and gentlemen.

During the recess you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this

matter, nor form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you. Miss Hoyt, would you wait just a moment. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:) 6 8 MR. DENNY: I still would like Mr. Manzella here so I can make a statement or a question. THE COURT: Well, I'll catch it when I get back. 10 fol (Short recess.) 

10-1

ţ.

THE COURT: Are you squared away with your witness now,

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor. We are ready to proceed.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I stole your CALJIC, expecting that I would find the instruction 624 that the Court did give yesterday, and -- in conjunction with the other testimony, but apparently referring to the pocket part, because 624 isn't here.

Your Honor, I don't mean to be disrespectful of the Court or the judicial processes here, when I voiced my question at the end of the last session.

But I am very serious in broaching this to the Court. And that is: How is it going to be possible, in any instruction at the close of this case, to instruct this jury that, if you find that there was a separate and distinct conspiracy to conceal, separate and apart from the main conspiracy, then you may consider this evidence.

If, however, you find that this was all part of one conspiracy, to murder and conceal, then you may consider this evidence.

I think it's too fine a distinction, frankly, for a lay jury.

THE COURT: The Court does not believe it will be.

We have discussed that before in chambers. Let's proceed.

Are you ready?

MR. KAY: We're ready.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I wonder, before the jury

10**-**2

.

2

1

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

28

.27

comes in, if we can determine what this witness is going to say beforehand? Because if there's any slip, it's certainly grounds for mistrial, after two months of trial.

MR. KAY: There's not going to be any slip. She understands:

MR, DENNY: Well, I think it's worth two minutes, your

THE COURT: I think it is.

anticipate posing to her.

MR. KAY: All right, Okay.

Q Barbara, what was the conversation -Is it reflected that this is out of the presence

of the jury?

THE COURT: Yes. The jury is not -- has not yet re-entered the room.

Would you hold them --

THE BAILIFF: Yes. They're in the hall.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Kuczera, hold them outside?

Fine. Go shead.

Q BY MR. KAY: Barbara, what was the conversation that you heard between Mr. Manson and Mr. DeCarlo, down in the creek area?

A Uh -- Charlie asked Danny where he could get some lye or lime -- well, first, he asked him whether lye or lime would get rid of the body. And Danny said that lye would get rid of it, and lime would preserve it.

And then Dan -- Charlie asked Danny where he could

get some lye.

Q All right. And did he say whose body?

A Well, he said that -- he said "his body," but he said "Shorty" in the preceding sentences.

Q All right.

MR. DENNY: That's just exactly what I was afraid of, your Honor.

THE COURT: You are not going to ask her that question.

MR. KAY: Well, I think that it would be unfair to the prosecution not to have her state that they were talking about Shorty. Certainly, she understands she is not to bring out any of the prior things about, "Shorty committed suicide with a little help from us," and they cut him up in nine pieces and buried him under some leaves.

However, since they did talk about Shorty, it would be unfair to us just to have her say "the body."

THE COURT: It would be unfair to the defendant to put that statement in, unless it comes in some way or another, under the law. And I don't see that an admission of Mr. Manson's could come in.

MR. DENNY: Well --

THE COURT: All right. The Court would permit her to testify that Manson stated that -- or posed a question, put a question to Mr. DeCarlo, "Does lye or lime get rid of a body?"

MR. DENNY: Yes, "a body."

MR, KAY: No, "the body." She said, "the body."

MR. DENNY: I know she said, "the body," and that then

```
is clearly an implied admission.
         1
                     THE WITNESS: I have a question.
          2
                     THE COURT: All right. Put your question to Mr. Kay.
          3
                     THE WITNESS: I just wanted to --
                     THE COURT: She has a question.
          5
                     MR. KAY: All right.
          6
                     THE WITNESS: I just wanted --
          7
                     MR, KAY: Well, here, wait a minute, Barbara.
10a fls.
       × 10
         12
         13
         14
         15
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
         25
         26
         27
         28
```

1

2

8

27

28

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at the witness stand between the witness and Mr. Kay.)

THE COURT: So I will not let the People put any reference into the record to the effect that it is Mr. Shea's body they were talking about, because that comes from the admission that Manson made in the previous sentences, as I understand it.

MR. KAY: Well, that's true. It does come from the previous sentences.

THE COURT: All right. Well, it's obviously hearsay. It cannot come in.

MR. KAY: Thank you. Do you understand that, Barbara? THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So, let's rehearse it once more,

MR. KAY: Okay. Well, I think that the witness did state it right. I mean, I was the one that wanted -- that asked her whose body --

MR. DENNY: Well, again, your Honor --

The Court doesn't dispute that. THE COURT:

MR. KAY: All right.

THE COURT: But your wishes are not ruling here, --

MR. KAY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- so --

BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, would you please restate Q the conversation, as you are going to tell it to the jury?

Charlie asked Danny if lye or lime would get rid of the body, and Danny said that lye would get rid of it, and lime would preserve it.

And then Charlie asked where he could get some 10a-2 1 Ż. lye. 3 Your Honor, again, I would object to the use MR. DENNY: of "the body" --THE WITNESS: That's what he said. 6 MR. DENNY: -- as being an implicit admission. 7 MR. KAY: Well, there's no relation to any body. 8 Well, your Honor --MR. DENNY: 0 THE COURT: Well, the Court would permit that. 10 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it's just like --11 Is that what was said? THE COURT: ·12 THE WITNESS: That's what was said. 13 Your Honor, it's just like Aranda-Bruton. MR. DENNY: 14. If we are going to sanitize this, to keep out an admission, 15 then you have to keep out implied admission. 16 MR. MANZELLA: No. Aranda-Bruton doesn't deal with 17 that. Aranda-Bruton deals with reference to co-defendants. '18 <sup>‡</sup> MR. DENNY: Well, analogizing --19 MR. MANZELLA: Well, there's no analogy in the case. 20 MR. DENNY: Well, there's certainly an analogy here, 21 which is a unique situation, of a confession by Manson, and them 22 a statement, which the Court says is in furtherance of the 23 conspiracy, of a verbal act -- which goes back to the 24 confession in the use of the term "the body." 25 Now, it would certainly -- if we are going to --26 if we are sanitizing it to get out the element of confession or 27 admission, it seems to me you have to do it properly and 28 completely.

12° 

17. 

We've already decimated it somewhat, and I think properly so, if you are not going to kick the whole thing out. But then, you should do the job properly.

And the statement would then properly be: "Would lye or lime get rid of a body?"

And if you say "the body," you have just as much as put right back in the implied admission of Mr. Manson -- not the implied admission, but the confession of Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: The People? I can't see that it's too important.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it's critical. "A body" is a great deal different, in general, than "the body" in particular. And obviously, this is being sought to be used by the People to refer to "the body" of Shorty Shea. And if they get up and argue to the jury, they're certainly going to be arguing that this is what they're talking about.

MR. MANZELLA: We are going to argue that way anyway.

That's not determinative.

the same theory that was spoken of yesterday, and the theory under which the Court admitted the other verbal efforts at concealing -- isn't the People's theory that this inquiry as to whether lye or lime would dispose of the body was something that was uttered in the course of the conspiracy to kill and to hide the body of Shorty Shea?

MR. DENNY: I assume it may be. But hasn't the Court said -- and didn't the People get --

THE COURT: And therefore, wouldn't it be binding on a

co-conspirator, if their theory is correct?

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, presumably the Court made its ruling, and the People argued so strenuously and vehemently yesterday that there was no admission or confession attached to that particular statement; that it was purely, in effect, a verbal act; that there were no overtones of admission or confession; that it wasn't sought to be used to prove the truth of the matter; but indeed, quite the opposite.

10b fol

27

28

THE COURT: Did the Court say there were no overtones of admission or confession?

MR. DENNY: Well, that's what the People contended.

MR. MANZELLA: No.

MR. DENNY: Now, I don't know --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, we do contend it, but we submit that that's not important.

MR. DENNY: Well, all of a sudden, it becomes very unimportant. I don't know why they spent 15 minutes arguing on it yesterday, to get the Court to rule as it did, and then in this particular instance --

THE COURT: The portion of the statement, as the witness heard it, the Court will allow in, as she's just stated it.

And I will overrule your objection to -- I'll overrule your motion; as I suppose it is -- to change the wording from "the" to "a" body.

MR. KAY: Then we are ready to proceed.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I do hope that the Court will caution this witness, and caution Mr. Kay also, that --

THE COURT: I don't think Mr. Kay needs to be cautioned. He understands it.

MR. KAY: And I think the witness does, too.

THE COURT: Do you understand that --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- you are to follow the -- your counsel's instructions and the Court's instructions, and you are only to report that part of the conversation which you've just said?

10b-2

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: About the lime or lye?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Then let's proceed.

(Pause in the proceedings while the jury filed into the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show the jurors are all present. The defendant is present with counsel.

We are ready to proceed.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Q Miss Hoyt, would you please -- I'll hold up just a second.

Would you please relate to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the conversation that you overheard in the creek area between Mr. Manson and Mr. DeCarlo, on the day after you heard the screams?

A Charlie Manson asked Danny DeCarlo if lye or lime would get rid of the body, and Danny said that lye would get rid of it, and lime would preserve it.

And then Charlie asked Danny where he could get some lye.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, any evidence of a statement made by Mr. Manson shall not be considered by you against Mr. Davis, unless you shall first determine, from other independent evidence, that at the time the statement

10b ÷ 3 was made a conspiracy to commit a crime existed; and unless 1 you shall further determine that the statements were made 2 while Mr. Manson was participating in the conspiracy, and 3 before or during the time Mr. Davis was participating -and before or during that time, Mr. Davis was participating in the conspiracy; 6 \$ And finally, that such statement was made in 7 furtherance of the objectives of that conspiracy. 8 Q BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, did either you or Kitty 9 Lutesinger join in that conversation? 10 No. A 11 Now, that -- that evening, did you and some other 12 members of the Manson Family go some place? 13 A Yes. 14 And where did you go? Q 15 We left for Death Valley. 16 Okay. And who did you go with? Q 17 Charlie --A 18 Charlie Manson? 19 Yes. Brenda. 20 Brenda McCann? 21 22 Is that Brenda McCann in the back of the courtroom 23 there (indicating)? 24 Yes. Kitty Lutesinger; Ruth Morehouse; Sherry 25 Cooper; Dianne Lake; and myself. 26 And where did you go in Death Valley? 27 MR. DENNY: Excuse me, your Honor. I'm sorry. Could 28

		1	I have those	e names read back by the reporter?
		2	THE CO	OURT: Mr. Williams, please?
		3		(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter
*	•	4	as fo	Llows:
**		5		"Charlie Manson; Brenda McCann; Kitty
	ļ	6	Lutes	inger; Ruth Morehouse; Sherry Cooper; Dianne
		7	Lake;	and myself.")
		8	Q	BY :MR. KAY: Now, where in Death Valley did you
		9	go?	•
		10	A	To Barker's Ranch.
		11	Q	All right. And are you familiar with the Meyers
		12	Ranch?	•
		13	A	Yes.
_ •		14	Q	And where's the Meyers Ranch located in relation
	<b>.</b>	15	to the Bark	er Ramch?
;	3	16	A	It's a half a mile behind it.
	· <b>ý</b>	17	Q	All right. And once you got up to the desert,
		18	did you spe	nd time at both places?
,0c	fls.	19	A	Yes.
•	· :	20		
	) i	21		i ve ke e
•		22		
		23		
		24		
•	į.	25		
	<b>€</b> 3-	26		
•	₽	27		
		28		

10c-	1 .	. Ω	Whit shout other members of the Hamilton Did them
	•	spend	What about other members of the Family? Did they
	2		Va.
•	3	A	Yes.
<u>.</u>	4	Ω	some time at both places?
,	5	A	Yes.
.9	6	Q	All right. And when you were up there, did you
	7	see Danny D	eCarlo?
	8	A	Yes.
	9	Q	All right. And
	10	A	Oh. Danny and Bruce went, too, in another car. I
	11	forgot abou	t them.
	12	. Ω.	At the same time that you went?
	13	A	Yes. But they went in another car. So did Tex.
<b>.</b>	14	Q	And when you say Tex, do you mean Tex Watson?
	15	A	Yes.
<b>*</b>	16	Q	And Bruce is the defendant Bruce Davis?
4.	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q	And Danny is Danny DeCarlo?
	19	A	Yes.
	20	Q	Now, after you got up there, did Danny DeCarlo
	21	leave?	
	22	A	Yes.
*	23	, t	And did he return sometime later?
, * 	24	A	Yes.
***	25	Q	Approximately how long was he gone?
* *	26	Ą	A couple of days.
•	27	Q	Now, after you got up there, did other people come
•	28	up, top, ot	ther than the ones you've named?
	}	pro the first	· · : • • · ·

•		
10c-2	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And who were some of the other people that came
¥	3	uģ?
	4	A Juan Flynn and Sadie.
ž.	5	Q Sadie is Susan Atkins?
3	6	A Susan Atkins.
	7	Q Anyone else that you remember?
	8	A Well, after a while, these two boys named
	9	Zero and Scott came up. I don't know their last names.
	10	Q Okay.
	11	A Oh, and Gypsy came up with a guy named Gary
	12	something.
	13	Q Now, directing your attention to Meyers Ranch,
	14	do you recall a particular dinner at Meyers Ranch, at which,
• '	15	among others, Danny DeCarlo and Juan Flynn were present?
*	16	A Yes.
£	17	Q And approximately how long after you got up at the
	18	Barker-Meyers Ranch area did this particular dinner take
	19	place?
	20	A About a week.
	21	Q Now, using this dinner as a frame of reference,
	22	did Danny DeCarlo leave the desert and return between the
	23	time you first came there and that dinner?
	.24	A Yes.
ę ż	25	Q All right. Barbara, would you please tell the
₩ \$	26	ladies and gentlemen of the jury who was present at this
* ( * (	27	dinner at Meyers Ranch?
	28	A Uh Charles Manson, Juan Flynn, Bruce Davis,
1	; .	

Tex Watson, Danny DeCarlo; and there were a lot of girls sitting around. This was at the dining room table in Meyers 11 fol Ranch. 

		•
11-1	. 1	Q All right. Were the was anyone other than the
	2	men you've named seated at the table?
. <u>c.</u>	3	A No.
	4	Q Where were the girls?
<b>.</b>	5	A We were on the couch and the chairs in the
£	6	living room, which is actually the same room, but the table
	7	wes over in one corner.
	8	Q All right.
	9	During that dinner, did you overhear any
	10	conversation among the men seated at the table who you've
	11	who you've told us who they were, about Shorty Shea?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q All right.
	14	Did the defendant, Bruce Davis, participate in
	15	that conversation?
	16	A Yes.
<b>\$</b>	17	MR. DENNY: Object to that and move that be stricken as
	18	a conclusion.
	19	THE COURT: Sustained. That answer is stricken.
	20	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Did you hear Bruce
	21	Davis say things during the conversation?
•	22	A Yes.
, .	23	Q Would you please tell the ladies and gentlemen
	<b>24</b> - ,	of the well, let me ask you this:
\$ \$	25	Who were the people that you heard talk besides
Ÿ	26	Bruce, Davis?
	27	A Charlie.
	<b>28</b>	Q All right. Do you remember anyone talking

清 打造法

3

THE COURT: I have here --

beliefs that there is sufficient evidence to establish conspiracy to murder.

MR. DENNY: This is separate and apart from that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Assuming for the sake or argument a corpus delicti, both conspiracy and murder, I want to get to another procedural and constitutional aspect here.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. DENNY: We made a motion to sever the defendant from Mr. Manson, and that motion was denied, as the Court recalls. That motion was renewed a number of times and, finally, it had to go to the Supreme Court on a writ before it was granted.

We also were forced, then, with the proposition of having hearings on -- under Aranda and Bruton to sanitize statements on the supposition -- until the Supreme Court finally acted that --

THE COURT: We were going to proceed with joint trial.

MR. DENNY: They were going to be jointly tried.

At this hearing, I made the point and made it rather vociferously I thought, that the statement that now is going to be sought to be testified to by this witness fell short of admissibility under the Osuna doctrine because based on what she had testified to in the Grand Jury hearing, there was nothing to show that Bruce Davis, though present, in any way adopted the statement of Charles Manson.

MR. DENNY: The Osuna --

THE COURT: -- a statement of Barbara Hoyt taken on May 20, 1971 --

MR. DENNY: Precisely. Precisely.

THE COURT: -- by Mr. Manzella at Pierce College, and it appears to me that judging from what they present here, and what I remember Mr. Davis did join in the conversation.

MR. DENNY: That's precisely my point, your Honor.

This statement, and it shows the date at which it was made,
was taken after the Aranda-Bruton hearing, at which time I
had objected to the use of the statements in evidence against
Bruce Davis. And the Court at that time on the statement that
Mr. Katz made, which was, in essence: Well, your Honor,
when we talked to this young lady she was a little bit
reluctant and reticent, and when she testified before the
Grand Jury, having already appeared in the —— this is not
what he said, but the facts are that she had already appeared
in the Tate-La Bianca trial, and had been subjected to quite
a bit of cross-examination by both the prosecution and the
defense ——

MR. KAY: Not about what Mr. Davis said. He had nothing to do with that trial.

MR. DENNY: Quite so.

She was hardly a reluctant --

lla fla.

‡ 25

11a-1

9.

MR: KAY: That's not so. As a prosecutor on the Tate-LaBianca case, she did not want to testify. She was in fear of her life. That is, she had been taken to Hawaii by Ruth Morehouse and fed a hamburger with at least ten tabs of LSD in it and left for dead in the alley. She didn't want anything to do with it. But her mother talked her into it.

MR. DENNY: All right, setting that picture, Mr. Katz said, "Your Honor, I think you should give us the opportunity to see if perhaps her recollection is going to be a little bit better now that we know what the People's point is and give us a chance to go out and talk to her and -"

THE COURT: What is the basis of your motion? Determine - MR. DENNY: And see if she --

THE COURT: -- the credibility at this time, and make a ruling based on that judgment?

MR. DENNY: No, your Honor, that we are and have been and will be, by the use of this later taken statement, denied the right of due process because of the fact that we were forced into this Osuna doctrine bit. We were forced into the business of sanitizing the statements under Aranda-Bruton by being required to be tried jointly. And it was only after that hearing, where we had made the point, which we could make now, if we had not been in that situation, and the issue came up now, if we had not been required to sanitize the statement, I would have raised the objection now and the People would not have had a chance to go scurrying to their witness and get additional information such as they did on May 20, after —

THE COURT: Well, were you apprised -- have you been apprised before the trial began of this statement?

MR. DENNY: Oh, certainly.

THE COURT: May 20 statement?

MR. DENNY: Certainly I was. There is nothing I can do about it now. If I had not had to raise that issue in the Aranda-Bruton hearing, because we were forced to be tried together, if I had not had to raise that issue, then, I would have just kept quiet and waited until now.

THE COURT: What is the basis of your motion now?

MR. DENNY: The basis of my motion now is by having been forced to raise the issue before trial, I have been forced before trial --

THE COURT: You gave the People --

MR. DENNY: I gave the People --

THE COURT: You gave the People the opportunity to coach her into adding more things to her statement?

MR. DENNY: Precisely, which they could not have done if she had testified consistent with her testimony at the Grand Jury hearing, the People not having been previously advised that this would be an issue.

Because under Osuna, under Osuna, as the Court noted at the time of the Aranda-Bruton hearing, it would not have been admissible against Bruce Davis.

MR. KAY: I think Mr. Denny sells us short. He assumes we don't interview our witnesses.

MR. MANZELLA: I would just like to make two points.

First of all, we raised the Osuna doctrine, not

Mr. Denny. It was in my brief to the Court on the first 1 2 brief that I filed. 3 THE COURT: I remember. MR. MANZELLA: I raised the Osuna problem. 5 Secondly, Barbara Hoyt wasn't coached. 6 MR. KAY: That's right. 7 THE COURT: I assume that's for the jury's determina-8 tion. 9 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, it is for this Court to 10 determine first on a due process issue as to whether it is 77 admissible at all. 12 THE COURT: The Court doesn't find there is any abuse 13 from due process in this, far from it. I don't think there 14 is any, whatever. There's been ample opportunity for 15 discovery provided here --16 MR. DENNY: That's not the issue. 17 THE COURT: -- than in any other case. 18 MR. DENNY: That's not the issue. Disclosure is not the 19 issue. 20 THE COURT: You've been apprised of it. It is just 21 because of the timing of your motion you believe this coach-22 ing occurred. That is ultimately a question of fact for the 23 jury. 24 But the Court does not believe that there's 25 any abuse of process, due process. Accordingly, the motion is 26 denied. 27 MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor. 28 MR. DENNY: So we have it clear what the motion is, your

Honor --

THE COURT: Your motion is --

MR. DENNY: -- is to prohibit this witness from testifying at all about this particular conversation, making it admissible against Bruce Davis consistent with now her later gotten testimony pursuant to the notice of the conversation had on May 20, 1971.

THE COURT: Yes, all right, the Court denies the motion. 11b-1 1 MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor. 2 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 3 in open court within the presence and hearing of the 4 jury:) 5 BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, directing your attention 6 to the last question I asked. 7 Would you please relate to the ladies and gentle-8 men of the jury what you heard Mr. Manson say and what you 9 heard Mr. Davis say at this dinner conversation at Meyers 10 Ranch? 11 Uh, Charlie said that, uh -- let's see how he 12 13 put it. That "We told Shorty that we wanted to show him 14 something and we took him for a ride in a dune buggy." 15 16 And then, he said, they took him for a ride, they hit him in the head with a pipe, I think he said. I think he said lead, but I'm not sure if he said lead. 18 19 And then, they started stabbing him, and stabbing him, and stabbing him, and then he said he was real hard to 20 kill until they brought him to now. 21 22 Do you want me to put in the things that Bruce 2.3 said in the statement? 24 Q Yes, if you would. 25 Α All right. 26 Well, he said that -- at the end, about the now 27 part, uh --

28

Q

So far you've related what Manson said, is that

11b-2right? 1 ۹. Yes. Α 2 Q All right. 3 Now, put in also what you heard Mr. Davis say. Well, he said -- when he said. "He was pretty 5 hard to kill until we brought him to now," he said, "Yeah." 6 ě when we brought him to now, Clem cut his head off." . -:7 x And Bruce said, "That was far out." 8. \* And a couple other times he said, "Yeah," and things like that, and agreed, 10 And let's see, what else he said. 11 Oh, Shorty said, after they started stabbing 12 him, Charlie said Shorty asked, "Why, Charlie, why?" And 13 Charlie said, "Why? This is why, and I stabbed him again." 14 15 That's what he said. 16 That's what Charlie said? Q 17 Yes. Α 18 And did Bruce say anything to that? Q 19 I believe he did. Α 20 Do you remember what he said at that point? Q 21 I remember a couple times through he said "Yeah." A 22 MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object as non-responsive 23 and move that be stricken. 24 BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, --25 THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute, it is stricken. 26 BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, you've told us that when 27 Manson said that when Shorty got to now Clem cut his head 28 off, but that Mr. Davis said, "Yeah, that was far out"?

"Yeah, that was pretty far out." 11b**~**3 Α 1 Other than that statement, would you tell us what Q. 2 Mr. Davis said during the conversation at which points he 3 said something, as far as you remember? Uh, well, he said -- uh, when he said we were 5 stabbing him and stabbing him and things like that, Bruce 6 . said "Yeah." 7 And did you see Bruce's demeanor when he said 8 Q that? 10 . Yeah, he smiled and said "Yeah," and nodded his 11 head. 12 Well, I don't remember that he smiled. I remember 13 that he nodded his head and said "Yesh." Uh, let's see, what else did he say? 14 That's all I can think of. 15 16 He used the term "now." "When Shorty got to 17 now." 18 Did that have a special meaning in the Family, 19 the word "now"? 20 Α Yes. 21 What did that mean? Q 22 MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay, 23 also an opinion and conclusion. 24 MR. KAY: Well, if it had a common meaning, your Honor, 25 I think that --26 Sustained without further background. THE COURT: 27 BY MR. KAY: Well, Barbara, had you heard the 28 word or the term "now" used by many of the Family members on

BY MR. KAY: On how many occasions had you heard members of the Manson Family use the term "now"? 12 fls. All the time. A ·16 

L2-1	1	Q And did this have a common meaning among members
	2	of the Manson Family?
a)	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And had you ever heard that term, with that
•	5	meaning, used by people other than members of the Manson
ŧ	6	Family?
	7	A No.
	8	Q What was the meaning of the word or the term
	9	"now" to members of the Manson Family?
	10	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that again. Hearsay.
	11	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	12	MR. DENNY: And calling for a conclusion.
	13	THE WITNESS: Well, to be brought to now, and when you
<b>.</b>	14	are finally dead, and you are
•	15	MR. DENNY: Just a moment. I'll object to that as a
<u>*</u>	16	whole different phrase we are talking about. He has been
1	17	questioning her about one word, "now," and now she's talking
	18	about "brought to now."
	19	Q BY MR. KAY: Well, Miss Hoyt, is that the phrase
	20	as it was used, "brought to now"?
	21	A Yes. Those were used, too.
	22	Well, I can just explain what it is.
~	23	THE COURT: What does the word "now" mean to the Manson
	24 .	Family?
đ.	25	THE WITNESS: To it's a state in which your head is
<b>₽</b> <b>3</b>	26	in. There's no past, no future; you don't think of anything
	27	like bank books or anything like that. You don't there's
	28	no thought.

12 - 2It's just a state where your head's at, where 1 2 there's nothing there; like -- well, most of the time Charlie would tell you to do it through fright, by going through fear, and --5 BY MR. KAY: Well, would it be --6 MR. DENNY: Well, just a moment. I'm going to object to 7 that and move that that be stricken as hearsay. 8 THE COURT: All right. The last statement, "Most of the 9 time Charlie, " whatever was said -- I didn't quite catch it --10 is stricken. 11 Whatever Charlie said is stricken. 12 Can you in any other way --13 MR. KAY: Well, let's see. Maybe I can help to explain 14 it. 15 Would an analogy be that --Q 16 MR. DENNY: Well, I'm going to object to Mr. Kay's 17 analogies and Mr. Kay's helping to explain --18 THE COURT: Right. Correct. 19 Had you completed your explanation of the word 20 "now, " as ---21 THE WITNESS: Well, I suppose I could go more into it. 22 But I really don't know the words to get the meaning across the 23 way it is. 24 BY MR. KAY: Well --25 THE COURT: Go on to something else, then, Mr. Kay. 26 BY MR. KAY: Well, let me ask you what you meant 27 by -- when you said, "It's where your head's at." 28 Well, at a point where your head's at, where you Α

1	have no thought, no past, no future, no emotions, no
2	opinions, no right, no wrong.
3	Q In other words, you have only now?
4	A Right. You just have now.
5	To describe it would be sitting on the top of the
6	hill with you know, just like this, and going hmmm
7	that's all that would be there; there would be nothing in your
8	head.
9	THE COURT: Would somebody describe that for the record?
10	MR. DENNY: Pursing her lips, with her eyes somewhat
11	popping, and appearing to blow somewhat through pursed lips.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Denny.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, I hummed.
14	MR. DENNY: And humming.
15	THE COURT: And humming. Very well.
16	I think that describes it. Now, let's move on;
17 .	shall we?
18	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. During this conversation
19	that you overheard at Meyers Ranch, specifically well,
20	we'll strike that.
21	Miss Hoyt, when you arrived at the desert, did
22	you see Paul Watkins there?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Approximately how long did you stay up at the
25	Barker-Meyers Ranch area?
26	A About a week or two? It was probably longer
27	than a week. About two weeks.
28	Q Now, you have told us about this Meyers Ranch

conversation in which Mr. Manson and Mr. Davis participated, while you were up there in that area. Did you ever hear Mr. Manson make any statements like this at Barker Ranch? la fol 5 Α Yes. 13. 

12a-1	1	MR, DENNY: Well, I'm going to object to that and move
	2	that that be stricken, your Honor, as calling for hearsay.
	3	THE COURT: All right. Does so ordered. The
į.	4	answer "Yes" is stricken, and the objection is sustained.
ě.	5	Without a proper background or foundation, Mr.
<u>.</u>	6	Kay, it will be stricken, and it is.
2	7	Q BY MR. KAY: Miss Hoyt, approximately when did
	<b>8</b> ,	you leave the Barker-Meyers Ranch area?
	9	A Uh it was in December; the first or second week
	10	in December of September; I'm sorry.
	11	Q All right. And why did you leave?
	12	A Uh because I realized a lot of things about
	13	them; and I was afraid of them.
	14	Q Afraid of whom?
<b>)</b> '	15	A The Family.
# 3	16	Q And how did you leave the Barker-Meyers Ranch
à	17	area?
·	18	A Walked.
,	19)	Q And how many miles did you walk?
,	20	A Well, the distance from there to Ballarat is 28
•	21	miles. Paul drove us part way, but we tried getting out a
	22	whole bunch of different times.
	23	I guess about 28 miles.
	24	Q Paul is Paul Watkins?
	25	A Yes.
* <b>%</b> *	26	Q Did you leave with another girl?
*	27	A Yes.
	<b>2</b> 8	Q Who was that?

12a-2	1	A Sherry.
ı	2	Q All right. And from the point you left the :
	3	
÷		Barker-Meyers Ranch area, about the second week of September,
ž`	4	did you cease to be a member of the Manson Family?
*	5	A Yes.
8	6	MR. KAY: All right. Thank you, Miss Hoyt. I have
	7	no further questions.
	8	THE COURT: Cross-examine.
	9 .	MR. DENNY: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
	10	(Pause in the proceedings.)
	11	
	12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	13	BY MR. DENNY:
•	14	Q Now, Miss Hoyt, you said you were a member of
	15	the Manson Family?
*	16	A Would you repeat that, please?
è	17	MR. DENNY: Would you repeat it, Mr. Williams, for me?
•	18	(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter
	19	' as follows:
	20	"Q Now, Miss Hoyt, you said you were a
•	<b>21</b>	member of the Manson Family?")
. ,	22	A Yes.
,	23	Q How did you become a member of the Manson Family?
	24	. A . I just felt that I was in. And I felt accepted,
<b>&amp;</b>	25	I guess.
*	26	Q Loved, cherished? By members of the Family?
<u>.</u>	27	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant, your Honor.
	28	THE COURT: Sustained.

1	W DI MK. DENNY: You Telt accepted?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Well, then, is it your feeling that determines
4	whether you are a member of the Manson Family or not?
· <b>5</b>	A Well, I can I can give you a conversation
6	that will clear that up.
7	Q Well, you just tell me. Is it your feeling
8	that determines whether you are a member of the Manson
9.	Family or not?
10	A No, not completely.
11	Q Is there some ritual or procedure you have to
12	go through before you become a member?
13	A I didn't go through any
14	Q A member of the Manson Family?
<b>15</b>	A No.
16	Q Well, when you said that you became a member of
17	the Manson Family, when did you become a member of the Manson
18	Family?
19	A Oh, I don't know. When I I didn't go through
20	any kind of ritual, which you could put a date on or anything
21	like that. So I don't know that I could even answer that
22	question.
23	Q Would you try?
24 ,	A Well, I guess when I left home and went to stay
25	with them. I guess hmmm I felt that I was a member
26	with them by the time that I could say things to them,
27	that well, when we were open; when I felt open to them.
28	Q How long did that take,

	1	A I don't know.
	2	Q Miss Hoyt? Well
	3	A It was a gradual change.
÷	4	Q It didn't take very long, did it?
ę	5	A I don't know.
ŧ	6	Q Well, do you have any idea?
	Ì	MR. KAY: Asked and answered.
	8	THE COURT: Sustained.
	9.	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, by the time you moved out
	10	of Gresham Street, had you achieved this sort of rapport?
	11	With the other members of the Family?
	12	A Well, I can't say completely, because I was
	13	home sick, and I wanted to go home.
	14	Q But you didn't did you?
• ;	15	A No, I didn't.
<b>*</b>	16	Q Because you liked it with them?
¥	17	A Yes.
	18	Q And you liked the things they were doing?
12b f	<b>1s.</b> 19	A Well, I think more, I would say, I was
	20	
	21	,
	22	
	<b>23</b> .	•
	24	
ž	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*	26	
	27	
	28	

MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object that that s 12b-1 1 ambiguous, the things they were doing. That's -- we don't know 2 what that is. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 4 THE WITNESS: I think more I was -- I loved them all, 5 you know, as people. And I was in love with Dave. б BY MR. DENNY: What's Dave's last name? 7 Q Α I believe it's Baker. 8 Well, you know it's Baker, don't you? 9 Q I said: I believe it's Baker. Α 10 Well, you know it's Baker. 11 0 12 I believe it's Baker. A Well, what is it? 13 Q I believe it's Baker. 14 Α 15 Well, how long have you believed it's Baker? Q 16 A I don't know. 17 Well, when did you meet him? Q 18 The 1st of April. A 19 As soon as you got there? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 And you learned his name was Baker then? Q 22 Α No. 23 Karate Dave? Q I hadn't heard the "Karate Dave" until I got --24 A 25 until I testified in the Tate-LaBianca trial. 26 I just called him Dave. And I knew he knew 27 So when they said "Karate Dave," I just put two and 28 two together.

1	Q He was there, wasn't he?
2	A Yes.
3	Q At the Gresham Street address, as soon as you got
4	there?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Well, is there some reason why, on direct
7	examination, when you started your testimony here, and Mr. Kay
8	asked you who was there at the Gresham Street house, why you
9	\$aid "Dave"?
10	And when Mr. Kay questioned you, "Baker"?
11	Why you said, "Yeah, I think so"?
12	A That's right.
13	Q Well, you knew so.
14	THE COURT: That's asked and answered.
15 '	Q BY MR. DENNY: And was he your boyfriend right from
16	the beginning there?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And he's the one you went chasing after,
19	hitchhiking across the country?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Well, you said it wasn't quite by the time you had
22	left the Gresham Street house that you had achieved this
23	wonderful rapport, so that you felt you were a member of the
24	Manson Family.
25	Would you say it was when you went you went up
26	to another place in Topanga, between the time when you went from
27	Gresham Street to the Spahn Ranch?
28	A There was a place somewhere in the Malibu

mountains, and I -- I'm not sure. 1 2 Do you know where Malibu -- the off-ramp is on the Ventura Freeway? 3 Well, I don't think it's relevant whether I do or not. It's just what you do. 6 Well, anyway, it's around there. A 7 All right. And how long were you there? Q 8 A few days. A 9 Q Well, was that a week or ten days or two weeks or 10. About a week, yeah. Α 11 About a week. And by the time you left there, 12 had you achieved the status in your own mind and being of being 13 a member of the Manson Family? 14 Well, this was a thing that was gradual. And I 15 did not consciously measure the -- the length of my rapport 16 with them. 17 Well, you certainly measured the brevity of your 18 rapport with them, when you terminated that association, didn't 19 you? 20 I don't understand what that word is. Α 21 Which word? Q 22 I've forgot it. Brevity? Α 23 Shortness (indicating). Q 24 Α Could you say that again, please? 25 THE COURT: Restate your question, please. 26 Q. BY MR. DENNY: Well, you just testified to Mr. Kay 27 that when you walked away from the Manson Family, there in the 28. desert, you were no longer a member; is that right?

```
But I had -- I would have to say that I
           1
                       A
                              Yes.
                wasn't a member in the last days, too. But -- you know.
           2
                       Q
                              Well --
                              I was not with them.
                       A
           5
                              Well, then, it's your state of mind that makes you
                       Q
           б
                a member or not of the Manson Family; is that right?
                              Partly.
12c fol
                       A
           8
           9
           10
           11
           12
           13
           14
           15
          16
          17
          81,
          19
           20
           21
          22
          23
          24
          25
           26
           27
           28
```

12c-1	1	Q You continued certain associations with them in
	2	later months, did you not?
_	3	MR. KAY: Well, that's ambiguous. What's "later
¥	4	months"?
Ē.	5	Q BY MR. DENNY: After the second week of September,
3	6	1969?
	7	A Did I associate with them?
	8	Q Yes.
	9	A In
	10	Q You had certain associations, did you not?
	11	A I had seen two of them on the street. But
	12	that s not associating with them.
	13	Q Well, you had further associations with them
,,,	14	than that, did you not?
	15	A Not voluntarily.
j. E	16	Q When you had sexual relations with Clem Grogan,
2	17	voluntarily, some months later?
	18	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.
	19	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, was that not a voluntary
•	20	association with a member of the Manson Family? Charged
	21	with the killing of Mr. Shea? Just as Mr. Davis is?
	22	MR. KAY: Well, that assumes facts not in evidence,
	23	that Mr. Grogan was charged at the time of her association
•	24	with him.
**	25	THE COURT: Sustained.
<b>\$</b>	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's just forget that part
t Da	27	of it.

You did associate voluntarily with Mr. Clem Grogan?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I didn't associate --

Q In later months?

A I didn't associate with any of them voluntarily.

Q They took you under duress, under force, to the Spahn Ranch, where you cavorted with them for a few days?

MR. KAY: That assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, I'm asking you, ma'am. Did they take you under duress to the Spahn Ranch?

A You mean, did they abduct me forcibly?

Q Yes.

A No.

Q You went there of your own free will, didn't you?

A No.

Well, they pressured you somehow; is that right?

A Yeah. Yeah (laughing).

Q Yeah.

Mr. Grogan pressured you into having intercourse with him?

A No, I -- the thing with that, I was afraid of them, and I felt that if I acted -- if I -- that I was with them, that nothing would happen.

Q Well, when you flew off to Hawaii with a couple of the girls --

A Well, I didn't want to go to the desert with them, and that was one of their alternative choices. And I didn't want to get killed, which was their third.

So, out of the three, I thought that would be the

27

28

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

12c-3 most nice, yes. Lie on the beach in Hawaii, with another one of 2 the Manson Family; is that right? 3 MR, KAY: That assumes facts not in evidence. THE COURT: Sustained. 5 Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. But unfortunately, it 6 seems, something happened at that juncture, and that kind of 7 turned you a little bit bitter, after that Hawaiian stint; 8 is that right? A little bitter against some of the Manson 9 people? 10 Well, I was a little bitter against some of the ÌΙ 12 Manson people before then. 13 You were, weren't you? Q 14 A Yes, yes, I was. I was afraid of them, 15 Q. Yes. When did that bitterness begin to develop, 16 Miss Hoyt? 17 A Well, I wouldn't call it bitterness. I would call 18 it fear, because --19 Well, you call it bitterness, and you meant 20 that, didn't you? 21 I was just repeating what you said. I didn't 22 mean anything. You said it. 23 It's easy to repeat something a lawyer tells you. Q 24 MR. KAY: Well, argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. 26 BY MR. DENNY: Well, ma'am, you had fear, did you? Q 27 Α Yes. 28 Q And this fear didn't evolve into any kind of

12c-4	1	bitterness or anger towards some of these members of the									
	2	Manson Family?									
*	` <b>3</b> -	A (Pause) Oh, I feel sorry for them.									
	. 4	Q You feel sorry for Charlie?									
<b>.</b>	~, 5 ∣	A. In a way, I do, yes.									
ă	6	Q Charlie forced you to have sexual relations with									
	7	Juan Flynn up there, did he not?									
	8	A Yes.  But you have no hitterness shout that?									
	9	Q But you have no bitterness about that?									
	10	A I don't think about it.									
	11	Q Until it's brought up in court?									
	12	A Well, it does revert my mind back to the time,									
	13	yes.									
<u>.</u>	14	But I still feel sorry for him.									
12d f	185										
*	16										
2	17										
	18										
	19										
	20										
	21										
•	22·										
	23 24										
* 2	25										
z*	26										
å å	27										
	28`										

12d-1		1
•		2
 فع		3
•		4
4		5
*	į	6
		7
		8
		9
	1	0
	1	1
	1	2
	1	3
<b>:</b>	1	4
	1	5.
*	1	6
¥	1	7
	1	8
	1	9
	2	0
	2	1
	2	
		3
	2	
\$	2	5

27

28

	Q.	You	intend	to	put	all	of	thes	e a	esșoci	Lat	ions	
with	the	Manson	Family	out	t of	your	: mi	nd,	as	much	as	you	can
is th	hat :	right?											

A I would like to.

Q But it's kind of difficult, continually testifying in Manson cases, isn't it?

MR. KAY: This is irrelevent.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it easy, then, ma'am, to conjure up, in your memory -- or to bring forth out of your memory -- these events, in your few months association with the Mansons, between April of 1969 and the last couple of weeks of September of '69?

Do you have any difficulty remembering those things?

A Well, it depends. Because there are sometimes -- at first, there are things I remember; and then later on, I'd forget a few things and remember other things.

You know, there would be different times I would remember different things.

Q Depending on how much help you got in remembering them; was that right?

 $\mathbf{A}$  No.

Q No?

A No. I don't need any help in remembering, If I remember it, I remember it. If I don't, I don't.

Q You have some difficulty in remembering more recent events of your association with the Manson Family?

	1	A What more recent events?
	2	Q Oh, like this Hawaiian episode.
	3	A No, I have no difficult remembering it.
٤		Q Remembering conversations that occurred?
ç	4 .	A Yes, sometimes I do have difficulty with that.
•	5	
Ş	6	Q But it's easy just to kind of make them up as
	7	you go along, then, when your memory fails you; isn'tit?
	8	A I am sure it would be easy, but I haven't.
	9	4 Q You haven't?
ţ	_ 10	A No.  Q But if somebody kind of helps to refresh your
	11	Q But if somebody kind of helps to refresh your
	12	recollection, that that kind of fills in the gaps; is
	13	that right?
<u>:</u>	14	A Could you say that again?
	15	MR. DENNY: Could you read it back, Mr. Williams?
, s 1 9	f <b>ls.</b>	
1.3	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	<b>Ž</b> 3	
	24	
/C/#	·25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	<i>20</i>	

13-1 1 (Whereupon, the question was read by the 2 reporter as follows: O" 3 But if somebody kind of helps to refresh your recollection, that -- that kind of 5 fills in the gaps; is that right?") 6 MR. KAY: Well, that's ambiguous and argumentative. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 BY MR. DENNY: Now, Miss Hoyt, let's take this Q conversation that you've related concerning this alleged dinner 9. 10 up at Meyers Ranch. 11 Now, when did you first tell anybody about that 12 conversation, anybody in the field of law enforcement? 13 Oh, I don't remember if I told it on the first time 14 I saw them, but I do know I told them things about Shorty. 15 saw them so many times that there is no way of knowing on what 16 specific time I told a certain thing. 17 It is pretty hard when you just talk to one law 18 enforcement officer and one Deputy D.A. after another one to 19 remember? 20 A· Yeah. 21 And how many times do you suppose you've talked, Q 22 since about, say, October of 1969? 23 Well, I didn't until December of 1969. A 24 Q Oh, December. All right, how many times do you suppose you've 26 talked to law enforcement officers about the subjects you ve 27 testified to today; can you just even guess how many? 28 A Many.

Well, could you give this jury just some idea of how many times you've talked to either a police officer, a Sheriff, like Sergeant Whiteley, or a D. A., like Mr. Bugliosi, or Mr. Katz and Mr. Kay or Mr. Manzella?

How many times?

MR. KAY: It should be reflected, I think, that Mr. Bugliosi and I were the ones that tried the Tate-LaBianca

I don't think Mr. Kay needs to reflect that. He can get that out --

THE COURT: Mr. Kay's statement is stricken.

THE WITNESS: I have talked to them many times.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, would you say over 50, since

I have no idea. I didn't count them.

Your memory for things of that kind is not as good as your memory for conversations, is that right?

MR. KAY: That's argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's go to conversations, in your memory for conversations.

Do you remember to whom you first spoke about this alleged conversation up at the Meyers Ranch with; do you remember

A No, because at the time when I first talked to the policemen, I didn't know their names. I mean, you know, they'd show me a badge or whatever and tell me their names but I

didn't remember.

Q Well, let's just kind of narrow it down to types of policemen.

Do you remember to whom you first spoke to about this alleged conversation at the Meyers Ranch, breaking it down into either a Los Angeles Police Department officer, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office or a member of the District Attorney's Office; do you remember that?

A I -- I'm sorry, because I don't know the difference, really.

I mean, I don't see the difference between a County Sheriff and a Los Angeles Police Department -- you know. And then, I just never thought of it, I'm sorry.

1	
1	Q The ones you talked to never wore uniforms, they
2	all wore suits?
3	MR. KAY: Well, that's irrelevant.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, are you saying you don't know
6,	the difference between an L.A.P.D. officer and a Sheriff in
7	uniform?
8	A Oh, I know a difference between a person in a
9	uniform and a person without a uniform.
10	Q Well, do you know the difference between the
<b>,11</b>	uniform of a Los Angeles Police Department officer and a Los
12	Angeles County Sheriff's Deputy?
13	A No, I never bothered to think about it.
14	Q Oh, all right.
15	Well, let's just go to your recollection of who you
16	talked to before you testified at the Grand Jury hearing on
17	December 15, 1970. December 15, 1970.
18	Who had you talked to, ma'am, about this alleged
19	conversation up at the Meyers Ranch before that time, in the
20	field of law enforcement?
21	A Many.
22	Q Well, can you tell us just a few?
<b>23</b>	By now you had perhaps gotten the names of some,
24	in the year since December of 1969 to December of 1970?
25	A You want me to list all the people that I can think
26	of that I talked to before that time?
27	Q About this conversation, yes.
28	A Oh, I don't

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, irrelevant. 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would like to make an 4 offer of proof, then. 5 Well, let me rephrase the question, your Honor. б THE COURT: You may. 7 BY MR. DENNY: Let me rephrase the question. 8 THE COURT: We'll take a recess. 9 During the recess you are admonished not to 10 converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit 11 anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this 12 matter, nor are you to form nor express any opinion on it until 13 it is finally submitted to you. 14 We'll take about ten minutes now. We'll go to 15 4:30 unless somebody has some serious objection. 16 (Afternoon recess.) 17 THE COURT: All the jurors are present. The defendant is 18 present with his counsel. 19 You may proceed. 20 BY MR. DENNY: Miss Hoyt, do you recall being 21 questioned at some length on December 2nd, 1969, by Officers 22 Hamm and St. John of the Los Angeles Police Department? 23 Α No. 24 Do you recall Neilson and Sartuche? Q 25 I remember Sartuche. Α 26 You remember Sartuche. Q 27 But that doesn't mean I remember the dates on which 28 I talked to them.

	1	Q You have some difficulty remembering dates?
	<b>2</b> ·	A I just didn't pay any attention to them.
<b>a</b> .	3	Q Just the way you didn't pay any attention to the
	4	dates when you were living with the Manson Family, did you?
î	5	A Well, that was a little different. I mean, they
<u>.</u>	6	didn't well, no, maybe it isn't so different. I just
	7	didn't.
	8	Now I do pay attention to dates more than I did
	9	then, because obviously it is a necessity.
	10	Q When did you start paying attention to dates?
	11	A When I had to go certain places on certain dates,
	12	that ts when.
	13	Q Well, you weren't paying much attention to dates.
	14	then, during these early conversations with police officers,
, .	15	Sheriff's Deputies, Deputy D. A.'s, et cetera, right?
<u>*</u>	16	A Not really, no.
<b>3</b>	17	Q Well, you do remember, though, that you were
	18	questioned rather extensively during the month of December,
	19	1969, about your association with the Manson Family, is that
	20	right?
fol	21	A I was questioned rather extensively many months.
	22	
•	23	
	24	
*	25	•
<b>3</b>	26	
•	27	·
	28	

Q All right. Let's get down to December of 1969, which was the first month.

That's kind of -- that kind of stands out in your mind, doesn't it, when all these police started showing some interest in you?

- A Not particularly.
- Q Police had shown interest in you before?
  MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: By the way, Shorty -- this conversation that you related, that seemed to amuse some of the jurors, he asked for more meat, and you said there was no meat.

Kind of a typical thing for a man.

What other conversations did you have with Shorty?

A . Uh -- just "Hi" and things like that. I heard him -- most -- I think most of the times I heard his voice was when he would be talking to somebody else.

- Q I see. Now, what did Shorty sound like when he was talking to somebody else?
  - A I don't remember.
- Q Well, can you just help this jury a little bit and tell them, as best you can, describe Shorty's voice?

  That voice that -- that you heard? Describe it to the jury, would you?

A At which time? When he was screaming, or when he was talking?

When he -- his talking voice. 14-2 Q 1 I don't really remember. I mean, people have A 2 told me later, old friends of Shorty's, how -- you know, 3 how --4 Well, don't tell me what people have told you. 5 Well, that's the only thing I could tell you, 6 because I don't remember on my own, from when -- from the 7 way he talked. I might have, maybe a couple of years ago, 8 9. but I don't know now. Well, did you, in December, 1969? 10 11 I don't recall if I did. A Well, did you in November, 1969? 12 13 Did I remember what his voice sounded like? 14 Yes. 15 I remember what it sounded like when he was 16 screaming, 17 Well, how about just when he was talking? 18 No, I can't -- no, I cannot. 19 Well, it was a cowboy type voice, was it? 20 I don't remember. 21 Well, just looking at him -- I mean, couldn't Q. 22 you tell, looking at him, he was kind of a cowboy type, the 23 way he dressed, the way he worked, the way he wore his 24 clothes, the things he did? 25 MR. KAY: Well, that's irrelevant, your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Sustained. 27 BY MR. DENNY: Well, did he talk with kind of 28 a western drawl? The way most cowboys do?

ed. Ne.

14-4	ì	A I recognized his voice.
	2	Q All right. How?
*	3	A When he was screaming, I knew it was him.
•	4	Q How?
, P	5	A How?
	6	Q How?
	7	A I don't know how. I don't remember how.
	8	I just remember knowing that that was him.
	9	Q How do you know it?
	10	MR. KAY: Asked and answered.
•	11	Q BY MR. DENNY: Had you ever heard him scream
	12	before?
	13	A No.
	14	Q It sounded like a lady's scream in a horror
• i	15	movie, you said; is that right?
* •	16	A That was the way the screams were. That's
e	17	describing the screams, not the voice.
	18	Q Well, describe the voice, please, to the jury.
	19	A Well, it was a male voice. It wasn't a female
	; '20	voice, like in horror movies.
	21	And that's the only way you have been able to
	22	- describe it, up until you started testifying, isn't it?
	23	MR. KAY: Argumentative.
	24	THE COURT: Sustained.
	25	Q BY MR. DENNY: When you first told any police
ř,	26	officers about hearing this screaming, all you did was
	27	describe it as a male voice; isn't that right?
	28	A No.

4-5	1	Q When you spoke with Sergeant or Officers Hamm
	2	and St. John, on September 2nd, 1969
	ვ.	A September? I never
xÎ.	4	Q I'm sorry, December 2nd, 1969.
*	<b>5</b>	(Continuing) you didn't even talk about it
å	6	then, did you?
	7	MR. KAY: Well, that assumes that she was asked.
	8	THE COURT: Sustained.
	9	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's go over, then, to
	10	December 29, 1969.
	11	Your friend Sartuche or the person you
	12	remember, Mr. Sartuche, and Mr. Nielsen.
	13	Do you remember telling them the following?
	14	Oh, by the way, when when was it that you
\$	15	kind of remembered almost exactly to the day when you
	16	heard these screams? When did you remember that?
÷	17	MR. KAY: Objection, argumentative, "kind of remembered.
	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
	19	Q BY MR. DENNY: When did you remember?
	20	A The screams?
	21	Q The day, the specific day that you heard these
	22	screams.
	23	MR. KAY: Well, that assumes facts not in evidence, that
	24	she ever forgot.
	25	THE COURT: Sustained.
1	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: So you never forgot?
	27	A I knew that on the night of these screams there
	28	was a full moon. I did not know the date.

1	Sp. 15 (Q. 12)	And you knew right from that very night?
2	A	Except that it was in late August excuse me?
3	Q	And you knew it was a full moon?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And this is the way you always thought of those
6	screams, und	der the light of the full moon; is that right?
7	A	What?
8	Q	That's what you associated with the screams,
9	that they ha	appened on the night of the full moon; right?
10.	A	No. It was just that it was so bright out.
IJ	Q	And you knew it was what, the last of August?
12	<b>A</b> .	It was in the end of August.
13	Q	Well, now, what do you mean by "the end of
14	August"?	
15	A	The latter days of August.
16	Q	Well, what do you mean by "latter days of August":
17	A	Well, you know, at the end of it.
18	<b>୍</b>	Well, what do you count as the end of it? The
19	last half of	the month, or the last few days of the month?
20	, <b>A</b>	Oh, about the last week, week and a half.
21	, <b>Q</b>	The last week or week and a half?
22	A	Yeah. That's what I would consider the last of
23	August.	
24		But it was more toward the end than you know,
25	than a week	and a half. It was closer to September than
26	that.	
27	Q	About the last three or four days; is that right?
28	A	Yeah.

C. W. C. C. C. C.

28

Q So when Mr. Manzella said I was assuming facts not in evidence, he was right. The facts are that you never forgot that it was just about the last three or four days of September; is that right?

A August.

Q August.

A I knew it was the last of August, and I told them it was the last of August. And so that's what I am saying:
It was the last of August.

Q All right, ma'am.

Let's see if that's what you said on December 29th, 1969, at approximately 10:20 hours in the morning, to Officers Sartuche and Nielsen.

Did you tell them the following?

"On one particular evening, sometime between August 19th and August 31st, she recalls hearing screams that sounded as if they were coming from the creek area. These screams sounded as if they were from a male."

A Those are not my words.

MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object to Mr. Denny's not reading the last sentence. That takes it out of context.

That's one paragraph, and there's one sentence that he --

MR, DENNY: Oh, I'll continue, Mr. Kay.

Q Did you tell them, in sum and substance --

A I told them about --

Q -- that youheard some screams, sometime between August 19th and the last of the month? Did you tell them

that? 1 A I told them that I heard Shorty screaming at 9 the end of August. 3 You heard a male screaming; is that right? Q A No. 5 Q. Isn't that? б I heard Shorty screaming at the end of August. 7 A 8 Q Ma'am, let's put it in context, then. "On one particular evening sometime 9 10 between August 19th and August 31st, she recalls hearing screams that sounded as if they were 11 12 coming from the creek area. These screams 13 sounded as if they were from a male. She 14 remembers seeing Shorty before that evening, 15 but has not seen him since." 16 Do you remember telling them that? 17 Α Those are not my words, but -- yeah, that's --18 basically, that's --19 In sum and substance. 20 But you didn't tell them that they were Shorty's 21 screams at that time, because you didn't know, and you 22 weren't sure? 23 A They were Shorty's screams. There's no doubt 24 in my mind. I knew then and I know it now. 25 Q But you didn't tell them that? 26 I don't remember if I told them that or not. 27 I'm pretty sure I did. Q . Oh, you are?

A I am MR. KAY: I'll ask that Mr. Denny's comments --THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Denny, your comments are stricken. 15 fls. ÷. 

15-1 1 Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. Well, let's go to almost a year later, November 3 18, 1970, at 9:25 a.m., in the presence of Paul Whiteley 4 and, presumably, Burton Katz, Deputy District Attorney. 5 MR. KAY: May I see what you are going to read from, 6 please, Mr. Denny? 7 MR. DENNY: I got it from your files. 8 Of course, you don't remember specifically this 0 9 particular interview on this particular date by these 10 particular people, do you? 11 Huh-uh. 12 Your answer is no? 13 Uh-huh. 14 Yes. 15 Q . All right. 16 All right, did you tell Mr. Katz and Mr. 17 Whiteley or Sergeant Whiteley, now, 11 months after your 18 conversation with Officer Sartuche --19 Oh, wait a minute, I think I do remember that. 20 Was that in that old police station around here? 21 0 Well, I don't know, ma'am. Do you remember? 22 Α Yeah, I remember talking to him that time. 23 Mostly we were talking about that thing in Hawaii. 24 Q What old police station? 25 Well, it isn't new. Α 26 Q What old police station? 27 There is a police station around here and it Α 28 is robbery-homicide, that part.

1	Q Are you talking about the old Hall of Records,
2	right down here on the tenth floor in Sergeant Whiteley's
3	office up there?
4	A I don't know if it is the Hall of Records.
5	Q All right.
6	Did you tell him in sum and substance the
7	following:
8	"From parachute room, late at night,
9	heard male screams coming from creek area Approxi-
10	mate date one week after returning from jail follow-
11	ing the August 16th Spahn raid. Barbara was jarred
12	by screams. Screams manifested pain and fear."
13	Did you tell him that?
14 .	A Yeah, but those aren't exactly my words.
15	Q No, but in sum and substance?
16	A Yeah, in sum and substance I told him that.
17	Q But you didn't tell him it was Shorty, did you?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q You said "male screams," and that was as much
20	as you could say at that time, before you testified before
21	the Grand Jury; isn't that right?
22	A No.
23	MR. KAY: Argumentative.
24	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The answer
25	may remain.
26	Q BY MR. DENNY: And then, when you got before the
27	Grand Jury, just about a month later, by that time it had
28	evolved in your mind these were Shorty's screams, isn't that

right? 1 No. I knew they were Shorty's screams the night 2 I heard them. 3 Well, are you good at recognizing screams, ma am? 5 I don't know. This is my only opportunity -б A The only time in your whole life when you've 7 Q 8 ever heard anyone scream? 9 Like that. Α 10 And recognize it? Q. 11 Oh, no. No. I mean screaming like that. Α 12 How about me? I talk pretty loud, Do you think Q 13 you could recognize my scream, if I acreamed? 14 MR. KAY: That's irrelevant. 15 THE WITNESS: I'd see who screamed anyway. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 Don't scream, Mr. Denny. 18 BY MR. DENNY: I am very serious. I am very 19 serious. Could you recognize my scream after hearing my 20 voice? Well, let me back off a minute. 21 22 In this period of time that we've had, you've 23 heard my voice far more -- my speaking voice, my talking 24 voice, slow, my almost yelling voice, unfortunately which 25 ₪ I do have a habit of doing sometimes on cross-examination --26 you've heard a whole range of my voice over an hour or so; 27 is that right? 28 MR, KAY: That's irrelevant, your Honor.

	1	THE COURT: Sustained. It is irrelevant.
	2	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is that period of time a
2	3	good deal longer than any amount of time that you heard
<del>ĝ</del> .	. 4	Shorty's voice?
Ž	5	A Well, I heard Shorty Shorty was aroundall
•	б	the time.
	7	Q I understand that.
	8	A And there are many times when I heard him speak-
	9	ing either to somebody else or when he would say hi to somebod
	10	I was with or to me or whatever. You know, I
	11	Q I'm just asking you, ma'am, in total if you have
	12	heard my voice
	13	A I don't know.
	14	Q more than you heard his?
<b>)</b> ;	15	A I don't know. I heard yours all at once and
15a	<b>fls.</b> 16	his I heard in little pieces.
4	17	·
	18	
	19	
	20	
٠.	21	
•	22	
	.23	
	24	
Ř	25.	
į.	26	
	27	\$
	28	
		]

15a-1	1	Q All right.
	2	And, now, if I were to scream, could you determine
<b>«</b>	3	that it was me screaming?
	4	MR. KAY: Well, that's irrelevant and calls for
ž,	5	speculation.
	6	THE COURT: Sustained, Mr. Denny.
	7	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is your testimony, ma'am,
	8	the only time that you have ever identified anybody scream is
	9	this one time when you identified Shorty Shea's alleged
	10	scream?
	11	A Are you referring to the Grand Jury hearing? What
	12	are you
	13	Q No, I'm referring to just a human being, having
	14	heard a human being and saying, ah-ha, I never heard him scream
,	15	before, I never heard her scream before, but I know who that
*	16	is.
4	17	A I knew who it was.
	18	Q All right. Have you ever had any other experience
	19	in identifying screaming people?
	20	A Uh, yelling people, sure.
	21	Q Screaming people?
	22	A But not screaming like that.
	23	Q All right. Have you ever had any other experience
	24	of that kind?
별 &	25	A That kind?
<b>3</b>	26	MR. KAY: Asked and answered.
	27	Q BY MR. DENNY: of identifying screaming people
	28	like you say this male voice was screaming?

15a-2	1	A It was Shorty screaming.
	2	Q Have you ever had any other experience identifying
<b>4</b> ·	3	people screaming the way you say Shorty was screaming?
	4	A Sure. I've heard people screaming and I did know
*	5	who it was.
£	6.	Q Who?
	7	A Oh, my brother, like when my sister hits him.
	8	Q Anyone else?
	9	À Friends. I don't know. I don't know. I can't
	10	really put a finger on each and every time I heard screaming.
	11	I mean, it is natural in the length of time that I have lived,
	12	I must have heard people screaming.
	13	Q And right away be able to say, "I know who that
	14	is screaming, that's brother" or "that's friend" or "that's
*	15	friend B"?
li di	16	MR. KAY: Well, that's irrelevant, your Honor.
•	17	MR. DENNY: This goes to the weight of her testimony and
	18	credibility.
	19	THE COURT: You may answer.
,	20	THE WITNESS: Now, what was the question?
	21	MR. DENNY: Would you reread the question, Miss Briandi?
	22	THE COURT: Reread the question to the witness,
	23	Mrs. Briandi.
	24	(Whereupon, the question was read by the reporter
* *	25	as follows:
<u>*</u>	26	"Q And right away be able to say, *I
	27	know who that is screaming, that's brother or
	28	'that's friend' or 'that's friend B'"?)

,		
15a-4	1	Q I'm sorry, the situation was the same?
	2	A Yeah, what happened was the same.
· 	3	Q What happened where?
<b>.</b>	4	A In the parachute room, the night of the screams.
*	5,	Q The situation was the same but all the time you
<u> </u>	6	described it you forgot that big, full moon out there?
	7	MR. KAY: Well, I'll object. That assumes facts not in
	8	evidence that she was asked.
,	9	THE COURT: Sustained.
	10	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, have you told us now what it
	11	was about Charlie's trial, what unique thing about Charlie's
15b fol	12	trial that brought this to your mind all of a sudden?
	13	
	14	
•	15	
<b>#</b>	16	
•	17	,
	18	
	19	
	20	·
	21	
	22	
	23	• • •
	24	
9475	25	•
<b>i</b> 2-	26	
	27	
	28	
	<b></b>	***************************************

15b-1	1	MR. KAY: Well, I'll object. It assumes there was
	2	anything unique about Charlie's trial.
s.	3	THE COURT: Sustained.
-	4	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, let's withdraw that.
Ŀ	5	Have you told us, ma'am, what it was in
, <del>i</del>	6	Charlie's trial that all of a sudden caused you to disclose
	7	what you had theretofore not disclosed to anyone else,
	8	that there was a full moon?
	9	MR. KAY: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.
	10	MR. DENNY: I don't know what facts.
	11.	MR. KAY: That there was anything again unique or
	12	something happened.
	13	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
. s	14	Would you read it back, Mrs. Briandi, please.
	15	(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter
¥	16	as follows:
•	17	"Q Have you told us, ma'am, what it was in
	18	Charlie's trial that all of a sudden caused you to
	19 .	disclose what you had theretofore not disclosed to
	20 ′	anyone else, that there was a full moon?")
	21	MR, KAY: I'll object to "all of a sudden." I don't
	22	object to Mr. Denny asking her the point at which she
<b>t</b>	23	mentioned it.
. ,	24	Q BY MR. DENNY: Let me withdraw "all of a
. 10 4.	25	sudden, " ma'am.
<b>€</b>	26	There was nothing.
	27	THE COURT: You may withdraw "all of a sudden."
-	28.	Q BY MR. DENNY: Good.

1	Now, was there something that happened in the
2	course of Charlie's trial that caused you to remember that
3	full moon which you had theretofore not disclosed to
4	anyone else for over a year and a half?
5	A In the first place, I am not sure I did not
6	disclose it in all that time. I might have said something
7	about it, and then in Charlie's trial I just happened to
8	mention it. There was no big big thing. I just did
9	not think it was all that important.
10	Q But that's what you associated with these
11	screams?
12	A No, no, that is
13	Q Isn't that right?
14	A No, that isn't what I associated with the
<b>1</b> 5	screams. I associated the screams with the screams.
16	Q And the point of time, how did you what did
17	you associate with the point of time that you heard the
18	screams?
19	A I don't understand what you mean by "time."
20	The date or the time of night?
21	Q The date, the date. Did you make some associa-
22	tion with the date.
23	A Well, it was after the raid and it was right
24	before we went up to the desert.
25	Q Sometime between August 19th and the
26	A No, it was the day before.
27	A We went to the desert. And I did not know the
28	A We went to the desert. And I did not know the

A Commence of the Commence of

The state of the s

they were questioning her about this case month after month 1 after month. MR. DENNY: It assumes --3 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. BY MR. DENNY: Well, officers and Deputy D.A.'s 5 and Deputy Sheriffs did question you off and on for over a year about this case, didn't they? 7 No. First they were more interested in the 8 Tate-La Bianca trial. And you provided them with information that they Q. 10 wanted in that trial? 11 12 Yes. And testified as they wanted in that trial? 13. I testified. 14 15 MR. KAY: Well --16 THE COURT: That's argumentative. Sustained. 17 BY MR. DENNY: But right from the very first of 18 November they started questioning you -- I'm sorry, December 19 they started questioning you about this matter, too, the 20 Shea case, didn't they? 21 Uh, they weren't really particularly interested 22 that much in Shorty I think as -- from what I remember, is 23 what I volunteered. 24 I don't remember them asking me anything specific 25 about Shorty. I just happened to mention it. 26 The officers Hamm and St. John didn't specifically 27 question you about Shorty Shea? 28 I don't remember. A

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

, • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	Q Officer Sartuche and Nielsen on December 29, 1969, didn't specifically question you on Shorty Shea?
	2	
÷	. 3	A Mostly on in all those investigations or
ŕ	4	talks we had in the early parts or the late 1969 and the
16	<b>£1,8</b> • '5	early part of 1970 were about the Tate-La Bianca murders.
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
•	14	
	15	
, K	16	
•	17	•
•	·	·
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	} }
	22	
	23	· .
	24	
ę.	25	
	26	
Į.	27	
	28	•

that she was asked.

£.

\$

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's put it this way. You didn't volunteer -- as you had volunteered all this other information that you've told us you volunteered -- you didn't volunteer to any officers or any Deputy D. A.'s the fact that there was a full moon, up to the point of your testimony in the first Grogan trial, did you?

A Nope. Because I didn't think to volunteer. And I didn't think it was important. And I just didn't mention it until Charlie's trial, when I just happened to.

Now, you say you just happened to.

Is it that it just sort of popped into your mind at that time?

A I -- I don't know, Mr. Denny. I mean, I just didn't mention it before.

(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Denny perused a transcript.)

Q BY MR. DENNY: When you testified in the second Grogan trial, you were sure it was a full moon, weren't you?

MR. KAY: It's irrelevant.

MR. DENNY: This is prior inconsistent statement, your Honor.

MR. KAY: Well, that -- I will object to that statement.

THE COURT: That ts argumentative. Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, in this trial? Let's just stick with this trial, right now, for this particular jury.

Was it a full moon, or was it not?

.6-3	1	A It seemed like a full moon to me. It was very
	2	bright out.
.4	3	Q Well, did you see it?
	4	A Hmmm yeah.
Þ	5	Q You actually saw the full moon out there?
٠	6	MR. KAY: Well, that's ambiguous
	7	THE WITNESS: I really didn't notice it
	8	MR. KAY: as to time.
	9	Q BY MR. DENNY: Did you see it any time that night,
	10	the full moon?
	11	A I didn't really look at the moon, except that when
	12	I looked out the window that night, it was really bright.
	13	Q Well, your testimony, then, is that you didn't
	14	actually see that big ball of a moon out in the clear sky; is
	15	that right?
. <b>≸</b>	16	A I didn't look at it.
6	17	I'm pretty sure it was a full moon.
	18	Q Because it was light; is that right?
	19	A It was because it was very light out very
	20	bright.
	21	Q Well, now, can you tell the difference between the
	22	amount of brightness in a full moon and the amount of bright-
	23	ness when the moon is, say, three days past full?
l6a fol	24	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.
/h.ee	25	
E.	26	
	27	
_	28	

16a-1 MR. DENNY: Very relevant to this observation of hers, 1 your Honor. 2 The objection is overruled. THE COURT: 3 You may answer. ž THE WITNESS: Do I know the difference? 5 BY MR. DENNY: Can you tell the difference? 6 I never tried. 7 8 Can you tell the difference between the light from Q a full moon and the light from a half-moon? 10 I never tried. Well, then, are you guessing as to whether it was a 11 12 full moon or not? When you say you heard these screams? 13 A No. 14 Well, you have told the jury right here that you Q 15 did not look into the sky to see the moon; is that correct? 16 No. I looked up. Ă 17 Q Ma am? 18 But I mean --Α 19 Well --O 20 See, when I was hitch -- when me and Dave got back Α 21 from hitchhiking across the country, I used to go like this (indicating), and make sure I could see the moon, you know, 23 to focus on things far away. 24 And I could tell whether it was or wasn't. 25 Well, let's ask the question again, because I Q 26 thought we had it pinned down pretty well. 27 Are you telling us now that you did look out at 28 that big ball in the sky and see that big old moon in the sky,

16a-2 1 a big, round moon in the sky? 2 MR. KAY: That's ambiguous as to time, and it assumes 3 facts not in evidence. BY MR. DENNY: Any time that night. Ţ, 5 Any time that night, did I look at the moon? б I remember there being a moon. But I don't 7 remember looking at it all that great. I mean, I didn't study -- "Is there a full moon tonight?" You know. 9 All right. So it is a guess, --10 A No. 11 Q -- you're guessing that there was a full moon; 12 is that right? 13 A No. 14 You're judging that there was a full moon simply 15 by virtue of the amount of illumination that you saw outside, 16 as you looked out, without seeing the moon itself; is that 17 right? 18 I -- I'm just pretty sure it was a full moon. 19 Are you basing it on the amount of illumination 20 that you saw outside, without seeing the moon itself? 21 Partly. A 22 All right. And what else, besides guesswork? Q 23 I don't know. I'm just pretty sure it was a full 24 moon. ž, 25 THE COURT: We'll recess, now, ladies and gentlemen, 26 until tomorrow morning at 9:30. 27 We are in recess, and you are obliged not to 28 converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit

28

anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form nor express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

You be back here tomorrow morning at 9:30.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Good night.

(Whereupon, murmurs of "Good night" were heard from members of the jury.)

(Whereupon, at 4:30 P. M., the following proceedings were had as the members of the jury were exiting the courtroom:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, before the Court adjourns and after the jury has left, I would like to address the Court.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Denny.

(Pause in the proceedings while the jurors completed exiting the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. DENNY: 'Two things, your Honor, if I may.

I have checked with the custodian of exhibits in the clerk's office on the 4th floor. We have carefully gone over all of the exhibits listed in the Tate and LaBianca case.

There is no tape that is in evidence, as far as a tape of Officers Sartuche, Neilson, Guiterrez or anyone else, and Alan Springer.

I have been -- after determining that, I have spoken with Gene Darrow, the clerk in Department 104, and asked him if he had received any items for discovery purposes.

His recollection was that he had not received any

16a-4 items such as a tape -- or a tape recording -- and that all items that he had received by way of discovery items had been returned to the parties who had provided him with those matters; namely, the D. A. or police agencies involved. l6b fol б 

And I have done just as much as I possibly can, your Honor, to get that tape.

I feel certain that either Mr. Bugliosi has it or one of Mr. Bugliosi's associates, and I think that the District Attorney's Office, and the Los Angeles Police Department, should be required to produce that tape, before Mr. Springer testifies.

MR. KAY: We have no objection to Mr. Denny contacting Mr. Bugliosi or the Los Angeles Police Department.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think at this point the People have some obligation to get that which has been in their possession.

MR. KAY: It's not in our possession, I will tell the Court that, because I have the key to the Tate-LaBianca files, and I have looked through that, and that's definitely not in our possession.

MR. DENNY: Well, it's very simple just to get rid of it somehow, and then say, "Mr. Denny, you can go look for it and --"

MR. KAY: Mr. Denny, I resent that. I didn't get rid of any tape.

MR. DENNY: I'm not saying that you purposely --

MR. KAY: Well, then --

MR. DENNY: -- got rid of the tape. What I'm saying is that it's been in your custody. It's been in the custody of the D. A.'s Office.

And for me to go chasing after something that was in your custody, when you say, "Well, I can't find it," I just --

I'm not any better able to find it than you are. And you certainly should be better able to find it than I am. 17<sup>§</sup>£01 

17-İ

2

7

8

Š

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

÷

Х

J.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Very well, will you ask Mr. Bugliosi THE COURT: whether he has the tape. If he does not have the tape, I should imagine that nothing further can be done to track it down.

MR, KAY: All right, I'll call him tonight, your Honor.

THE COURT: Unless you -- either of you can think of anything further.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, that's a critical piece of discovery material as far as I am concerned.

Everything, of course, is critical. But the Court does not know, and I don't know how you can know that it is critical.

MR. DENNY: Well, I do know one thing is critical, your Honor, and that's the second thing I want to get to.

Again, I do not have proof, so I cannot make an offer of proof under penalty of perjury, but I have, during the course of discovery, listened to one or two tapes that were made by Officers Nielsen and Sartuche at the Los Angeles Police Department and in the Hinman homicide manual there is a transcription of one of the tapes that was made under one of these officers.

Now, I am only assuming at this point, but I do assume that based on the fact that they did make tapes of those interviews, that tapes were made of the interviews here with Barbara Hoyt on December 2nd, 1969, and December 29th, 1969,

And in view of the fact that she now denies that she said that, which appears in those reports, I feel that

17-2

2

ľ

3

. 4

6

7

٠.

10.

11

12 13

14

1Š

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

discovery is mandatory at this point to try to determine whether, in fact, there were such tapes. In which case, obviously, her voice saying what purports to be documented here by the reports of those conversations would be the very best evidence to impeach her.

MR. KAY: Well, in the first place, your Honor, Barbara Hoyt didn't deny saying anything in there. She said that's in sum and substance what she said. She said "I don't know if that's my exact words," but she didn't deny that.

THE COURT: Yes, she denied that she phrased it in that way. She stated that she used Shorty Shears name.

MR. KAY: Well -- and Shorty Shea's name appears in there, in the context?

MR. DENNY: Only that after she heard these male screams she didn't see Shorty any more. Not --

MR, KAY: Why do you think that Shorty's name is right in the next sentence.

THE COURT: As the Court recalls, this controversy arose in the Manson trial which was just before this court, not the Tate-La Bianca case. I don't know whether it arose there or not. But it certainly arose in the Manson case, and the record so shows. And you had access to that, Mr. Denny.

Now, let me ask the People, do either of you two gentlemen know, either Mr. Manzella or Mr. Kay, of any tape of Barbara Hoyt's conversations with any officer?

MR. KAY: No, your Honor. And I can represent from being on the Tate-La Bianca case for almost a year and a half,

17-3

6<sub>.</sub>

10.

 that the type of statement that Mr. Denny has there would mean that no tape was taken of that statement. I know that it is Sartuche's practice not to take -- generally not to take tape recordings, and if it is in that type of statement, a tape recording would not have been -- would not have been taken.

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, do you know of any tape at this time?

MR. KAY: Well, excuse me, I know of a tape of Barbara relating incidents in the hamburger case, when they tried to kill her in Hawaii. I know there's a tape on that, but that's the only tape I know of that Barbara Hoyt's made.

MR. MANZELLA: I believe the tape Mr. Kay is referring to, a transcript of that has been supplied, I believe, to Mrs. Holt as part of the discovery. I think the People -- we gave a transcript of that tape to Mrs. Holt.

THE COURT: Do you know of any other tapes?

MR. MANZELLA: The only other tapes I know of are that which Sergeant Whiteley has made available. As far as I know all the tapes that have been made, including those of Officer Steuber of the California Highway Patrol, have all been made available to Mr. Denny and Mr. Kanarek and --

MR, DENNY: But these are LAPD tapes over which Sergeant Whiteley has no control.

THE COURT: Anything further?

The Court is not going to order such a tape unless I am reasonably assured that there is such. And if there is, of course, it should be made available to Mr. Denny.

Gentlemen, I'11 see you at 9:30 tomorrow morning.

MR. KAY: Have a good evening, your Honor,

(Whereupon, at 4:40 o'clock p.m. the evening adjournment was taken.)