SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 4 PROPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff, 6 A-26786I 7 ~V5-BRUCE MCGREGOR DAVIS, 8 Defendant. 9 10 11 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Tuesday, February 8, 1972 14 VOLUME 39 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney, For the People: 18 BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA and 19 STEPHEN R. KAY, Deputies District Attorney 20 For Defendant Davis: 21 GEORGE V. DENNY, III 22 23 24 MARY LOU BRIANDI, CSR 25 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, CSR Official Court Reporters 26 27 28

1	INDEX
2	PROPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	CROCKETT, Paul 5973 M 5981 5982 M
4	PLYNN, John 5984 K 6027 6095 K
5	SPRINGER, Alan Leroy 6105 M
6	
7	
8	WHITELEY, Paul J. EXAMINATION
9	6057 Denny 6061 Manzella
10.	SPRINGER, Alan Leroy 6126,6131,6136,
11	(Out of the presence of the jury) 6141 Denny
12	6132,6146 Manmella 6145 Kay
13	
14	COURT'S WITNESSES:
15	DARROW, Gene 6160 Court
16	6162 Denny 6164 Kay
17	
18	
19	EXHIBITS
20	PEOPLE'S FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
21	106-A through 106-F - six photographs 6014 6014
22	THRENIANO 4 C .
23	DEFENDANT'S: U - a list of names 6061
24	
25	COURT'S SPECIAL EXHIBITS:
26	2 - a Kerox copy of a letter to 6166
27	Judge Keene
28	
ŀ	i

:

.5

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1972 9:42 A.M.

THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury.

(Whereupon, murmurs of "Good morning, your Honor," were heard from members of the jury.)

THE COURT: It's good to see you all again, apparently in good health, and everybody is here.

And the record shows that Mr. Denny is present, with his client, and Mr. Manzella for the People.

You may proceed, gentlemen.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench before the next witness is called?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, last night, after the session at which we attempted to determine how many reports there were available through Mr. Whiteley, I went over the Sergeant's homicide manual that has been prepared in the Shea case, and my records indicate that he has reviewed -- I'm sorry; he has interviewed Mr. Flynn on August 18, 1970; Vern Plummley on October 17, 1970; Jim Babcock on October 31, 1970; Ruby Pearl on October 31, 1970; Dawn Quant on October 31, 1970;

Lance Victor on November 1, 1970 -THE COURT: To shorten this, what is your problem?

MR. DENNY: That I have not been given the discovery 1 that I had asked for, as far as his rough notes. 2 THE COURT: What are you missing? 3 MR. DENNY: I'm missing almost everything here. I 4 5 have got one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, 6 sixteen, seventeen, eighteen specific interviews that are 7 reflected in his written reports --8 THE COURT: Would you have Sergeant Whiteley in here 9 some time today? And let's find out about it. 10 11 Let's proceed. 12 MR. MANZELLA: Yes. 13 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, excuse me. 14 THE COURT: Could you have him in here this morning? 75 MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry? 16 THE COURT: Can you get him in here this morning? 17 MR. MANZELLA: Whiteley? 18 THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know. I don't know where he is. MR. MANZELLA: 20 THE COURT: Is Mr. Key around? 21 MR. MANZELLA: He's downstairs. 22 THE COURT: (To the clerk) Joyce, would you call Mr. Kay? Tell him to get Sergeant Whiteley? - 24 MR. MANZELLA: I can call right now, if he's there. 25 THE COURT: Let's start, rather than having you take 26 the time for the phone call. 27 Gentlemen, I am anticipating leaving on the 28 morning of the 29th, and --

2

3

5

6

7

8

y

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 4 22

23

24 · 25

26

-27 28 MR. MANZELLA: We should be finished this week with our case.

THE COURT: -- I am going to start every morning at 9:30. And I want both of you here. And I would like to have both the People and the defense arrange their witnesses, so that there won't be any delay.

MR. DENNY: All right.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, there will be a short delay after this witness testifies, of about 15 or 20 minutes, if we could. And we have -- we have witnesses to go, as late as your Honor wants to go; but we will need a short delay after this witness testifies.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: The People call Mr. Paul Crockett.

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please, to be sworn?

Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are about to give in the cause now pending before this court, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

PAUL CROCKETT,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 1 State and spell your full name. 2 THE BAILIFF: My name is Paul Crockett; P-a-u-1, THE WITNESS: 3 G-r-o-c-k-e-t-t. 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. MANZELLA: 8 Q. Mr. Crockett, have you ever lived at the Barker Ranch in -- near the Death Valley Monument? 10 A Yes, I have. And when did you begin living at the Barker 12 Ranch? The last week of March, 1969. 14 15 16 17 18 19 23 24 26 27

As fls.

Q

And what did Mr. Watkins do up there, after he

1 .	began living at Barker Ranch?
2	A Oh, he started helping me.
3	Q Prospecting?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now, do you know a man by the name of Charles
6	Manson?
7	A I've met the man, yes.
8	Q And do you know Bruce Davis, the defendant in thi
9	case?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	Q And do you know Steve Grogan?
12	A Yes.
13 .	Q Now, when did you first meet Charles Manson?
14	A It was around the first of September, 1969.
15	Q And where did you meet him?
16	A At the Barker Ranch.
17	Q And when did you first meet Mr. Davis, the
18	defendant in this case?
19	A Well, the first time I saw him was probably two
20	or three days in front of that.
21	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last.
22	THE WITNESS: Two or three days in front of the time
23	that I first met Charlie.
24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, sometime after you met
25	Mr. Davis and Mr. Manson, did you up at Barker Ranch
26	strike that.
27	Sometime after you met Mr. Manson and Mr. Davis
28	up at Barker Ranch, did Steve Grogan come to Barker Ranch?

1	A He did.
. 2	Q Approximately when?
3	A The best I recall, it would be somewhere around
4	the middle of September.
5	Q Of '69?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, sometime after Steve Grogan arrived at the
8 .	Barker Ranch, did you see Charles Manson with a revolver?
9	A I did.
10	Q And approximately when did you see him with the
11	revolver?
12	A It was in that area; somewhere in the second,
13	third week of September, 1969.
14	. Q Did you say the second or third week of September,
15	1969?
16	A In between there somewhere.
17	Q All right.
18	Now, did you see Charles Manson with the revolver
19	before or after Steve Grogan came up to the ranch?
20	A Well, I never paid that much attention to it,
21	but I never saw the revolver until in that period of time.
22	Q Now, do you recall relating it to when you saw
23	Grogan at the ranch? Do you recall whether you saw the
24	revolver in Manson's possession before or after Grogan
25	got to the ranch?
26	MR. DENNY: Asked and answered.
27	THE WITNESS: Well, I
28	MR. DENNY: Just a moment, please, Mr. Crockett.

Í	Asked and answered.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Can you tell us when you saw
4	it in relation when Grogen got to the ranch?
5	MR. DENNY: Asked and answered.
6	THE WITNESS: Well, I would
7	MR. DENNY: Just a moment, Mr. Crockett.
8	Asked and enswered. That's the objection.
9	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
10	MR. MANZELLA: I would like to have the question and
11	answer read back. I don't recall what the answer was. I
12	don't remember that that question was answered.
13	MR. DENNY: It's about four questions and answers
14	ago, when he says he couldn't recall.
15	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Was that your answer?
16	THE COURT: Read it back.
17	MR. MANZELLA: If that was the answer, I'll withdraw
18	the question. I just didn't remember it.
19	MR. DENNY: He says, "I didn't pay that much attention.
20	THE COURT: Excuse me just a moment.
21	Mr. Williams?
22	(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter
23 24	as follows:
29 25	"Q All right. Now, did you see Charles
26	Manson with the revolver before or after Steve
20 27	Grogen came up to the ranch?
	"A Well, I never paid that much attention
28	to it, but I never saw the revolver until in that

1	period of time.")
2	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Are you saying that you don't
3	recall whether you saw it before or after Grogan came to the
4	ranch?
5	MR. DENNY: Asked and answered.
6	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, I was talking to Steve Grogan.
8 .	Charlie came in with the gun.
9	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: I see.
10	A So it was in that period of time.
11	Q I see. Thank you, Mr. Grockett.
Ì2	I understand.
13	Where did you see strike that.
14	Did you see Mr. Manson with the revolver on one
15	occasion or more than one occasion?
16	A Just one occasion, I remember real well.
17	Q And where did you see him with the revolver?
18	A Well, he had it cocked and pointed at my face
19	(indicating).
20	Q And where was this?
21	A This was in the little ranch house outside the
22	main ranch house.
23	Q And is this at Barker Ranch?
24	A Barker Ranch.
25	Q And who was present on this occasion?
26	A The best I can recall, it was Brooks Posten,
27	myself, Charlie, and Steve Grogan.
28	

1-1

THE WITNESS: Oh.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Okay. Would you describe the revolver for us.

A Well, it looked like the type of gun that one would have in a collection. It was a .45 caliber six-shooter revolver with a long barrel and it had fancy little, oh, scrolls on it. It was a nice piece. It was strictly a collector's item.

The part that I remembered about it was that it had the long cases and long shells on it which was different from the guns that I had seen before.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. And you saw just the one gun, the one revolver in Mr. Manson's hands, is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q All right. Had you ever seen the gun before?
- A No.

Q All right. Mr. Crockett, directing your attention to the revolver which has been marked 53-A for identification. The weapon is clear.

Would you take a look at that revolver and tell us if that revolver is similar or not similar -- in other words, tell us whether that revolver is similar or whether it is not similar to the revolver you saw Mr. Manson's hands on on the occasion about which you testified?

A It is very similar.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you. I have no further questions.

CROSS CROSS EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. DENNY: In what way is it not similar, sir? Q 3 Well, it seems --MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that assumes a fact not in 5 evidence. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 MR. DENNY: I'll withdraw the question. Well, it is not identical, is it, sir? Q Ì No. 10 It is different than the gun you saw Mr. Manson 11 with, is that correct? 12 Now, in what respect are you referring to? 13 I'm referring to the respect that you described it 14 previously to a number of different people as a gold-plated 15 16 gun; isn't that correct? 17 Well, I don't know whether that's gold-plated or 18 not, but it has the appearance of being gold. 19 How about the barrel? Q 20 The barrel is very similar to the gun that I looked A 21 at. 22 A gold-plated barrel? Q 23 Well, it has been changed considerably. A 24 Then, it is different than the gun you saw, is that Ø 25 correct? 26 I would say that if I had to look down the barrel 27 of it again, I would say it is the same gun. But I don't have 28 any specific marks that I can put on it that I can say

1	positively that that's the gun.
2	Q Where are the fancy skrolled designs? Where is
3	the gun with the fancy skrolled designs that Mr. Manson put in
4	your face?
5	Would you like to point those out to the jury or the
6	judge or anyone?
7	A I don't see them.
8	Q I don't either.
9	No further questions.
10	
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MANZELLA:
13	Q Mr. Crockett, did Mr. Manson talk about the gun
14	while he was pointing it at you cocked?
15	MR. DENNY: Objection, calling for hearsay and
16	irrelevant.
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	MR. DENNY: Just a moment, move the answer be stricken.
19	THE COURT: All right, sustained. The answer is
20	stricken.
21	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Mr. Manson tell you whose
22	gun it was while he was handling the gun?
23	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'm going to ask that Counsel be
24	cited for misconduct. This is three times he has asked the
25	question. The Court has sustained the objection and this is the
26	same exact question,
27	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
28	MR. MANZELLA: No further questions.

RED.

MR. DENNY: I have no questions. 1 THE COURT: You may step down. 2 MR. MANZELLA: Thank you, Mr. Crockett. You can be 3 excused. Your Honor, the People need about a fifteen-5 minute, twenty-minute recess to have their next witness here. 6 THE COURT: Try not --7 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, we have witnesses to go as 8 late as the Court wants to go tonight. 9 THE COURT: We'll grant you a recess, then. 10 During the recess you are obliged not to converse 11 amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to 12 converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor 13 form nor express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted 14 15 to you. We're in recess. 16 (Short recess.) 17 2 fol 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JOHN FLYNN

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Ω

THE COURT: Are the People now ready to proceed?

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All of the jurors are present. The defendant is present with his counsel.

You may proceed.

MR. KAY: The People will call Mr. Juan Flynn.

THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: So help me God.

JOHN FLYNN,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

Would you state and spell your full name?

THE WITNESS: My name is John Flynn. Everybody knows me as Juan, J-u-a-n.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAY:

Q All right. Mr. Flynn, do you know the defendant, Bruce Davis?

A Yes.

Q Do you also know Charles Manson?

A Yes.

Q Do you know Tex Watson?

A Yes,

2-2

A

Yes.

```
this -- these statements were adopted --
2-5
                        MR. KAY: Right.
            2
                        THE COURT: -- by all who participated in the conversa-
                 tion?
2a fol
            6
            7
            8
            9
           10
           11
           12
           13
           14
           15
           16
           17
           18
           19
           20
           21
           22
           23
           24
           25.
           26
           27
           28
```

2a-1

<u>1</u>6

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would like to have the hearing on that, because the proposed statement that was admitted by the People in connection with the Aranda-Bruton motion -- which I have before me -- does not in any way indicate that Bruce Davis in any way participated, acknowledged

MR. KAY: "Bruce Davis agreed with Charlie as Charlie talked," right there, Page 2.

THE COURT: What do you mean, "agreed"?

MR. KAY: What did he say? He said things like, "Yeah. Yeah. That was far out."

THE COURT: Is that what you anticipate will be said?

MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn will testify that there was a

conversation about Shorty; that that was the main topic of

conversation; and that -- then, he's going to get into

specifically what Mr. Davis said, but at a later time.

THE COURT: What will he say concerning Mr. Davis?
MR. KAY: Well --

THE COURT: So far as you know?

MR. KAY: He's going to say that Mr. Davis talked specifically about the murder of Gary Hinman, and -- but then, that when Mr. Manson talked about Shorty, that Bruce agreed and said things like, "Yeah."

But he can't remember the exact sequence.

In other words, he can't remember exactly what Mr. Manson said -- well, he can remember Davis saying, "Yeah," but he can't remember at what points Davis said, "Yeah."

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, the document that Mr. Kay has shown you is not the document that was presented to the Court

28-2

in connection with the Aranda-Bruton hearing.

I would like the Court to review that specific document, which was titled "People's Motion Under Evidence Code Section 402," and, "People versus Aranda," with points and authorities.

And then a later document, "People's Proposed Edited Statements."

There does not appear to be a date.

In neither of the statements submitted to the Court, in connection with that hearing, is there any hint -- and I refer specifically to Proposed Statement No. 9, on Page 10, which reads as follows:

"Juan Flynn was present at a dinner held at
the Meyers Ranch with Manson and the Family.

Present during the dinner were Manson, Davis,

Tex Watson, Danny DeCarlo, Barbara -- "that's spelled
H-o-t-e, which is just a typo -- "Susan Atkins, Bruce
Davis and Kitty Lutsinger. Manson pulled a gun,
cocked it and pointed it at Flynn. He asked
Flynn if he was going to join the Family, and
Flynn told him no.

"Flynn recollects that Manson began talking about killing. Manson said that Shorty was talking to the police, and that he wanted to get this job with Frank Retz.

"They caught Shorty going down the freeway, pulled him over, got him out of the car, and began sticking knives in him. Shorty

2a-3

"kept saying, 'Why, Charlie? Why?' Manson said,
'You know why. You know why,' and kept sticking
the knife in him."

THE COURT: Excuse me. Let's just excuse the jury while we hear --

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to excuse you for a moment, five minutes or so, I hope.

During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

Would you simply wait out in the corridor? I don't think it will be too long so that you will be uncomfortable.

Are there seats out there, still, Mr. Kuczera?
THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, as the jury was exiting the courtroom, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Let's just determine from Flynn what he will say. Anything that would indicate that — to the Court that you believe the — anything that would indicate to the Court that would be consistent with your theory that the Osuna case should apply, —

MR. DENNY: Well --

```
2a-4
                      THE COURT: -- I would like to hear.
           1
                            Or, if there is -- if the case does not apply,
           2
               well, then, I would like to hear that.
                      MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may I just finish six lines here?
                      THE COURT: No, you may not. Let's proceed.
3 fol
           6
           7
           8
           9
          10
          11
          12
          13
          14
          15
          16
          17
          18
          19
          20
          21
          22
          23
          24
          25
          26
          27
          28
```

THE COURT: Go shead, Mr. Kay. The record will show

BY MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn, do you remember during this evening while those of you were seated at the table who you have described; do you remember Mr. Manson making

All right. And do you remember Mr. Davis, Mr. Bruce Davis saying anything in response to statements made

Well, Mr. Davis -- most of the statements that Mr. Manson made, he just made a motion as to agree to them, as to say, "Yeah, yeah," or "Wow," you know.

Do you remember him saying, "Yeah, yeah"?

All right. Do you remember at what specific points Mr. Davis said, "Yeah, yeah," things like that?

Uh, no. I can't remember the specific points that he said that, you see. Because my intention was to

THE COURT: Now, this was a conversation that took place

At Meyers Ranch, yes, sir.

THE COURT: And the people whom you've named were present?

3-2 1 THE WITNESS: Were present. THE COURT: And who spoke other than Manson? 2 THE WITNESS: Uh. Mr. Davis said a couple of words 3 when the gun was passed to him. MR. KAY: Well, we're not talking about that one. 6 That's something else. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, who spoke other than Manson at the dinner table? 9 MR. KAY: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 THE WITNESS: At the dinner table --12 THE COURT: Was the gun passed at that time? 13 THE WITNESS: Well, Mr. Manson was talking and there 14 was agreement passed on by Mr. Manson to Mr. Davis, you see. 15. THE COURT: What do you mean by "agreement"? 16 THE WITNESS: Well, Charlie was talking about his 17 philosophies and the fact that he had committed a man to die, 18 you see. And Mr. Davis agreed to that, you see. 10 THE COURT: Now, when you say he agreed that's your 20 conclusion. What did he say? 21 THE WITNESS: Well, he just said, "Yesh," you see. 22 Because Mr. Manson talked about philosophies of the black 23 and white people, you see. 24 THE COURT: Now, did anyone else join in the conversa-25 tion or was it just Manson and Davis? 26 THE WITNESS: Uh, it was just Menson and Davis, you 27 sec. 28 Watson, he was just sitting there. And Danny

3

4

5 6

7

Ω

9

10[.]

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

DeCarlo was just sitting there. And I was sitting there and I was giving them my attention, you see.

THE COURT: How about Grogan? Did he contribute to the conversation?

THE WITNESS: Mr. Grogen wasn't there, sir.

THE COURT: Oh, I see.

THE WITNESS: So the main topic --

THE COURT: How long did this conversation go on?

THE WITNESS: As long as they had my attention, sir.

I can't say the time that it lasted, you see. But it lasted as long as they had my attention.

THE COURT: Well, were they directing it to you?

THE WITNESS: Well, they were directing it to me, you see, because Manson was asking me -- and the whole conversation, you see, as it took place, he wanted me to go with him to the mountains, you see, and take the dune buggies up in the mountains and put bottles in the mountains so that when the rain fell they had water and do things like that with him, you see.

So the topic of the conversation with Charlie, uh, committing Shorty Shea to die, you see, in order to instill fear in me, you see. And so he used this to ask me to go with him, you see.

Now, he talked about Shorty and he talked about the black racism, the white racism, and the revolution, you see.

He talked about having knives stuck into someone and asked me if I knew what a knife sounded like when it

was passing into somebody's gut and screeching in his bones, 3-4 ı you see, as they pulled it out, you see. THE COURT: Well, did Davis make any remarks during that -- those comments by Mr. Manson? THE WITNESS: Well, Mr. Davis, you see, he agreed to the things that Charlie was saying. THE COURT: Again, that's your conclusion. THE WITNESS: Well, it is not my conclusion if I heard the man say "Yes, sir." 3a fls.

THE COURT: Well, that's what I am asking, whether he said anything?

THE WITNESS: Well, he said, "Yeah," you see. But I can't say he said, "Yeah," when Charlie picked up the fork and put a mouthful or something or moved in his chair like this.

THE COURT: Where was Manson in relation to Davis? THE WITNESS: He was to the left of Davis, sir.

THE COURT: Next to him?

THE WITNESS: Next to him, sir. He was next to Charlie and between Charlie to his left and Charles Watson to his right.

THE COURT: Anybody have anything --

Q BY MR. KAY: This conversation you are relating, this happened after dinner, right?

A After the dinner. I just finished my tapioca pudding. I picked up the plate and I looked at the little inscription of the little boy and the little girl on the plate.

MR. DENNY: Well, it is not material or responsive.

THE WITNESS: And I said, "Wow, this is far out," you see.

Q BY MR. KAY: Now, Mr. Flynn, was there -- well, okey, I --

A Can I finish?

Q Well, was there also a conversation about Shorty before dinner, while you were at the table?

A There was a topic on Shorty, you see.

3a-2 Q Do you remember --1 I don't remember too much, but there was a 2 conversation of Shorty at that table before dinner. 3 4 Q Who was that between? Beg your pardon? 5 6 Q Who was the conversation between? 7 The conversation was brought by Charles Manson. A 8 you see. 9 Q And did anyone say anything? 10 Well, then, Mr. Davis, you see. But I can't say, well, when Charlie says, "Oksy," and Mr. Davis said n 12 that. 13 I understand. Q Because I'm not in that frame of mind that I can remember specifically word by word and action by action. 16 I can say that I have seen the people do what I have testi-17 fied to, you see. 18 Okay. 19 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Denny? 20 I don't think any questions are necessary MR. DENNY: 21 at this point, your Honor. I think by his own testimony he has established that there is no way for him to determine 23 in the course of a lengthy -- apparently lengthy conversation 24 in which a number of topics were discussed, anywhere from 25 how to kill, bones going -- knives going into bones, black 26 and white race war, him going to get water in the mountains, 27 Charlie's philosophy, philosophy about black and white 28

people, uh -- and he states only one thing with certainty,

and that's that he cannot say at what point or at what time during that whole entire conversation Mr. Davis allegedly said, "Yeah." To what. And the attempt to get in a bunch of yeahs in a certain small portion of that conversation, I think, one, is extremely prejudicial taken out of context as it is; and

No. 2, it does not comport with the People's offer as to what the statement would be, made in their proposed edited statement submitted to this Court, well, at the beginning of May or the end of April of 1971.

THE COURT: People.

MR. DENNY: And, further, your Honor, it -- to my way of thinking -- can't come in under the Osuna doctrine unless you are more specific as to areas of agreement that you are talking about, unless you are specific in the one area that you are talking about here.

THE COURT: People.

MR. KAY: Well, I think your Honor has heard Mr. Flynn's testimony just like we did. Mr. Flynn can't be any more specific than that. It's been three years. He's testifying to what he remembers. He does remember Mr. Davis agreeing, saying, "Yeah, yeah," which of course is consistent with what Barbara Hoyt -- Barbara Hoyt had a more specific recollection of what was said, but --

THE COURT: What was said about Mr. Shea?

THE WITNESS: Can I say, sir?

THE COURT: Go shead.

THE WITNESS: Okay, on the way back from the desert --

```
MR. KAY; No, this is another conversation, Juan. We
        1
               can get into that.
3b fls. 3
        5
        8
        13
        14
        15
        16
        21
        27
        28
```

3b-1

THE WITNESS: About Mr. Shea, about Mr. Shea it was said by Mr. Manson that he was ratting. That he was -- that he represented a threat to what he was doing up at the ranch, you see, because he was going down to get a job for Mr. -- from Mr. Frank Retz, you see.

And then, Charlie talked from there about him trying to do a Nazi compound there.

So, then, he said that Mr. Shea was caught. He said this. He was caught going down the Hollywood Freeway.

And then, they all got around him and they pulled him over and they all got around him and then they started sticking knives into him. And Shorty Shea was screaming at them and saying, "Why, Charlie, why?" You see. And so, then, when it was time for now, you see, Charlie told Steve Grogan, "Now," you see. And so Steve Grogan cut his head off, you see.

THE COURT: Now -- and is it true that at this moment you don't remember what, if anything, Davis said in response to Mr. Manson's remarks that you have just told me about or whether Davis said anything whatever?

THE WITNESS: Well, sir, I remember him agreeing with Charlie, you see.

THE COURT: In what way?

THE WITNESS: But I can't say which words he agreed to.

I know that the philosophies that Charlie put out --

THE COURT: No, I'm not speaking about the philosophies.

I'm speaking about this specific conversation about Shea.

THE WITNESS: Well, I can say yes, and I can say no,

you see.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

27

28

THE COURT: Well --

THE WITNESS: So --

THE COURT: The Court only wants you to say what you remember of this incident.

Did Davis make any motions or nod his head or do -or in any way disagree with Manson? //

THE WITNESS: No, he agreed with Manson, what he said.

THE COURT: He didn't make any motion?

THE WITNESS: To disagree with him, no. He didn't make no motions to disagree with him, you see. He made motions to agree with him that were physical, visible, as to say, "Yeah," or as to nod his head or as to smile.

THE COURT: Well, do you recall that he did that or not? THE WITNESS: He did that, you see.

I can't say, well, when he said "by the freeway," and Bruce Davis said, "Yeah." I can't say like that.

But I do recall Mr. Davis in saying and agreeing with Mr. Manson, but I don't recall him agreeing to each specific word, you see, because my attention, although it was to him, too, it was to that man with the gun, you see.

26

27

28

THE COURT: Do the People represent that the gun is one of the revolvers in evidence?

MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn will so testify, that it -THE COURT: It does not look to the Court as though
it's specific enough to come within the Osuna doctrine.

MR. KAY: Excuse me. I couldn't hear.

THE COURT: It does not look to me as if it's specific enough to come within the Osuna doctrine.

Or do you believe that that case is sufficient -do you believe that the proof here is sufficient to bring it
within that case? And if so, in what way?

MR. KAY: Well, it's -- it's certainly not as specific as we would like it. But then, of course, a lot of times --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I don't believe it's as specific as the Osuna case was, as the facts of the Osuna case.

And Osuna was the only case on point.

Osuna -- the DCA cases following Osuna aren't really on point with Osuna, so that the Osuna case itself is really the only guide in this situation.

And the facts in this case fall far short of the facts in Osuna.

MR. KAY: I think that's correct.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

Will you get the jury back in?

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

(Whereupon a discussion off the record ensued at the witness stand between Mr. Kay and the witness.)

1	did Mr. Manson produce something?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And what did he produce?
4	A He produced a gun.
5	Q And did you see where he got the gun?
6	A He got it from underneath the table. The table
7	came to about like this (indicating), and he got it from
8	underneath (indicating)
9	THE COURT: Indicating to his chest?
10	THE WITNESS: To his chest, yes.
11	And he got it from underneath the table, like
12	this (indicating).
13	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Okay. Now, when you
14	say "like this," you are pointing with your fingers out at
15	me?
16	A He was pointing it.
17	Q And who was he pointing it at?
18	A He was pointing it at me.
19	Q Were you scared?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you were you looking right at the gun?
22	A I I yeah. I was looking at everything he
23	was doing, too.
24	Q All right. And what did Mr. Manson do with the
25	gun, after he after he pulled it out and pointed it at
26	you?
27	A He passed it.
28	Q How long did he keep the gun pointed at you before

1	he passed it?
2	A Well, he got his what he wanted to say, you
3	see, and then he passed it.
4	Q All right. And who did he pass the gun to?
5.	A To Mr. Davis.
6	Q And what did Mr. Davis do with the gun when he
7	got the gun?
8	A Mr. Davis talked some more.
9	Q What did Mr. Davis do with the gun?
10	A Then he passed it to Mr. Watson.
11	Q Well, no. While Mr. Davis had the gun, what
12	did Mr. Davis
13	A Mr. Davis pointed it at me.
14	Q All right. And were you scared when Mr. Davis
15	pointed it at you?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And how long did Mr. Davis have the gun pointed
18	at you?
19	A As long as he had my attention. And then he
20	passed it to the other one,
21	Q All right.
22	A you see.
23	Q And who did he pass it to? Who did Mr. Davis
24	pass it to?
25	A He passed it to Mr. Watson.
26	
27	

4a-1	1	Q All right. Now, let me ask you this:
	2	When Mr. Davis had the gun did you see his facial
	á	expression? Do you remember that?
*	4	A Yes.
aj.	5	Q What was his facial expression?
ř	6	A. Uh he was sure of himself. You see? He
	7	was
	8	MR. DENNY: Well, move that that be stricken as not
	9	responsive, and an improper conclusion.
	10	THE COURT: Well, it is somewhat uncertain. Sustained.
	11	Q BY MR. KAY: Well, let me ask you this,
	12	Mr. Juan Mr. Flynn.
ŝ	13	Did you see whether or not Mr. Davis smiled
•	14	when he had the gun?
*	15	MR. DENNY: Well, leading and suggestive.
	16	THE COURT: All right. Sustained.
	17	Q BY MR. KAY: Well, can you describe his you
	18	know, his facial features, his expression?
	19	A Well, he handled the gun. He pointed it at me,
	20	and then he looked at it. He looked at me. He looked
	21	around to the people on the table.
	22	And then he passed it to Mr. Watson.
7 5	23	Q All right. That's Tex Watson?
à	24	A Tex Watson.
*	25	Q All right. What did Mr. Watson do, when he had
	26	the gun?
	27	A Mr. Watson took it on both hands like this
	28	(indication), and he cause it a little smile, and passed it

	ļ	
a-2	1	to me.
	2	Q All right. And what did you do when you got the
	3	gun?
a	4	A I looked at it. I looked at the gun. I took it
,	5	in my hands (indicating) and I looked at it.
₹ .	6	I looked at the kind of gun that it got made from,
	7	you see.
	8	Q What did you see on the gun when you looked at
	9	it? Did you see any inscription?
	10	A Yes.
	n	Q And what was
	12	A It was an Italian .45 caliber.
	13	Q All right.
	14	A An Italian caliber 45,
D _{\$}	15	Q All right. And let me ask you this: When
	16	Mr. Watson had the gun, did Mr. Watson point the gun at you?
	17	A No.
	18 .	Q And approximately how long did you have the gun in
	19	your hand?
	20	A Uh a minute, a minute and a half, two minutes.
	21	Q All right. Do you remember what type of handles the
	22	gun had?
ę	23	A It had a wooden handle.
	24	MR. KAY: May I approach the witness, please?
*	25	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	26	Q BY MR, KAY: Mr. Flynn, I show you People's 53-A
	27	and 53-B.
	28	Do either of those guns look familiar to you?

4a-3	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And
	3	A Can I see them?
5	4	Q Yes, sure.
	5	(Pause in the proceedings while the witness
¥	6	examined the exhibits.)
	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	8	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Do you recognize when
	9	you say they're familiar to you, where did you see one of these
	10	guns for the first time?
	11	A At the dinner table.
	12	Q At Meyers Ranch?
î	13	A At Meyers Ranch.
•	14	Q In other words, one of these is the gun you've
<u>.</u> .≭	15	just described as having been passed around the table?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Now, can you tell which one of these guns was
	18	the gun passed around?
	19	A No. I can tell that it's one that said "Made in
	20	Italy," and one that, on the other side, said "Caliber .45."
	21	Q All right. And both these guns say, on one side,
	22	"Made in Italy," and on the other, ".45 Colt" or, "Dakota
ž.	23	Caliber .45 Colt"?
غ. غ	24	A Yes.
**	25	Q But you can't say *pecifically
	26	A Which gun it was, no.
).	27	Q All right. Now, after you had the gun and looked
	28	at it, what did you do with the gun?

```
I passed it to Mr. -- wh -- Mr. DeCarlo.
             1
1a-4
                        A
                               All right.
                        Q
             2
                               See, I fumbled with it, and then Mr. DeCarlo
             3
                        A
                  jumped back, and then I passed it to him.
             4
                               Did you point it at anybody?
             5
                               No. No. I just --
             6
                        A
             7
                               All right.
                        Q
                               -- looked at it.
 4b fol
             8
                        λ
             9
             10
             11
             12
             13
             14
             15
             16
             17
             18
             19
             20
             21
             22
             23
             24
             25
             26
             27
             28
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

26

27

28

Q Let me ask you this:

When you were -- when you had the qun in your hands, did you determine whether or not it was loaded?

Hmmm-mm. I -- I just -- I don't remember. You know, I looked at the gun. You see, it was just -- I didn't have no interest whatsoever in them, you see. Except what was said about them.

Q Now, after you -- after you passed the gun to Mr. DeCarlo, what did you do?

Α I stood up from my chair, and I excused myself from the table and started to go outside.

As an excuse, I used that I wanted to relieve myself from -- that I have to do something.

- All right. And did you go outside? Q
- Α Well, yes.
- Q And did anyone follow you outside?
- Α Yes.
- Q Who followed you?
- A Well, Mr. Manson followed me.

As I went outside the kitchen door like that, and I stood in the door, and I looked down the other side, I looked at him, and he was --

MR. DENNY: Well, I'll object to all this; move that everything be stricken, after "Mr. Manson followed me," as volunteered.

BY MR. KAY: Well, did anyone else follow you, Mr. Manson?

MR. DENNY: Well, may I have that part stricken as

All right. Did you ever see those guns, the Q 1 two 53-A and 53-B, that are in front of you, did you ever see those guns in Mr. Shea's possession? I don't remember seeing them. I could have seen them, but I don't remember. 5 MR. DENNY: Well, I'll move that the "I could have seen 6 them" be stricken as obviously speculation. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. R The other part of the answer may remain. 9 MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have here six photographs that 10 were previously marked 37-A through F at the Grogan trial. 11 I guess they'll have to be marked next in order here. 12 think they were used in the Manson trial -- Tony, were they used 13 in the Manson trial? 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

5 fol

5-1 1 THE COURT: Six photographs? 2 MR. KAY: Six photographs. 3 Could we have them marked People's next in order, A through F? THE COURT: 106-A through -F. 6 BY MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn, I wonder if you could 0. 7 grab this hand microphone and come down here. 8 First, before I have you describe these to the 9 jury, do you recognize what these photographs depict? 10 A Yes. 11 What does these photographs depict? Q. 12 Uh, the Meyers Ranch. A 13 Q. Okay. 14 Step over here. We don't want to get under that. 15 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, again, these are going to be 16 displayed to the jury, I have no objection at this point if 17 they are received into evidence at this time. 18 MR. KAY: Thank you. 19 We would so offer. 20 THE COURT: All right, they're received 106, ladies 106 A - F and gentlemen, A through F. 22 BY MR: KAY: All right. 23 Can everyone see? 24 Mr. Flynn, would you describe what you see in 25 this picture here? 26 Come over here. Stand this way so Mrs. Sandberg 27 can see. 28 That is the back of the Meyers Ranch. Α

		,
5-3	1	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, Mr. Kay, what is that number?
	2	MR. KAY: 106-D.
	3	Q Now, Mr. Flynn, I show you picture 106-A.
	4	Do you recognize what
ž.	5	A Yes, this is the Meyers Ranch dining room or
8	6	the front room and this is the kitchen here (indicating).
	7	Q Now, was this the table that you and the other
	8	gentlemen
	9	A Yes.
•	10	Q Were sitting at?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q During the incident you described?
	13	A Yes.
,	14	Q When the gun was passed around?
î 3	15	A Yes.
	16	Q That's your handwriting above there?
	17	A Yes.
	18	Q Isn't it?
	19	Is that where you were sitting in that chair?
	20	THE COURT: The jury can't see what you are talking
	21	about when you say "that chair."
	2 2	THE WITNESS: The table was this way (indicating).
ž.	23	Q BY MR. KAY: In other words, the table is not the
	24	same as it was then? It was diagonal?
*	25	A Diagonal. And I was sitting here (indicating),
	26	you see, Danny DeCarlo here (indicating).
Ď	27	THE COURT: Indicating where?
	28	THE WITNESS: To the wall of the compartment between

the kitchen, where the wall between the kitchen and the 5-4 living room -- Danny DeCarlo (indicating), I was sitting (indicating), Bruce -- I mean, Watson (indicating), Bruce Davis (indicating), and Manson (indicating). Manson was here, almost in the corner. (Indicating.) BY MR. KAY: Manson had his back to the window, б then? Sa fls. Yes. A

5a-1	1	(Whereupon, Mr. Manzella conferred with Mr. Kay.)
	2	MR. KAY: Oh, Mr. Manzella made a good suggestion.
	ş	Q On this photograph 37-A
*	4	MR. DENNY: It is not 37-A.
•	5	Q BY MR. KAY: Oh, excuse me, 106-A, I have a
* 2	6	blue pencil. Could you put the initials of the people as
	7	they were seated around the table?
	8	Now, I realize you've testified the table was
	9	not
	10 [.]	A Well, could I put a little drawing like that
	11	(indicating), and put the initials, because the table isn't
	12	the right way.
	13	Q We'll turn the picture over. On the back of the
	14	picture you want to draw a little diagram as to how the
i i	15	people were seated? This is on the back of 106-A.
	.16	A Here is the window (indicating). Okay.
	17	Manson, Bruce, Watson uh, I shouldn't put me
	18	Juan, and Danny.
	19	MR. KAY: May the record show that the witness has
	20	made a small diagram on the back of 106-A designating the
	21	location of the five people at the table.
	22	THE COURT: Very good.
*	23	Q BY MR. KAY: You spelled out your name, that's
र	24	Juan?
i. I	25	A J-u-a-n.
	26	Q Okay.
	.27	Now, Mr. Flynn, at sometime after this did you
	28	take a trip from Barker's Ranch to Spahn Ranch?

	'	
5a-2	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And do you have any recollection of about when
	3	that was?
3	4	A Uh, it was, uh, before the raid.
<i>4</i> ,	5	Q The raid on Barker's Ranch?
<u>\$</u>	6	A On Barker's Ranch.
	7	Q All right. Do you remember how much before this
	8	raid or
	9	A No, it was right around
	10	Q All right.
	11	(Whereupon, Mr. Kay, Mr. Manzella and Mr. Denny
	12	conferred at the counsel table.)
	13	Q BY MR. KAY: Now, who did you go down to Los
\$ 4	· 14	Angeles with?
) ş	15	A It was Scotty, Paul Watkins, Bruce Davis, Steve
₿	1 6	Grogen and I.
	17	Q All right. And what type of vehicle did you go in?
	18	A A small Volkswagen.
	19	Q All right. Now, somewhere along that trip did
	.20	you drop Mr. Watkins off?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Where did you drop him off?
Š.	23	A In, uh, Red Mountain or I think that's Red
.	24	Mountain. I'm pretty sure. It is a town with four corners
	25	like that (indicating), and it has gas stations on it and
	.26	they call it Red Mountain.
	. 27	Q Is that in California or Nevada.
2. (28 - :	A Yes, California.

5a-3	_	Q Now, sometime after you dropped Mr. Watki	ns off.
À	1 2	was there some conversation in the car that you remem	
	3	A After we dropped Mr. Watkins?	
3 .	4	Q Yes.	
3 °	5	A Yes.	
.% 2.	6	Q Now, where were you seated in the car?	
	7	A I was seated in the front.	
	8	Q Front where?	
3	9	A Front, to the right.	
	10	Q Right passenger seat?	
	\mathbf{n}	A Yes.	, ;
	12	Q Where was Mr. Grogen seated?	
	13	. A Mr. Grogen was the driver of the vehicle?	
\$	14	Q All right. Where was Mr. Davis seated?	
D .	15	A Mr. Davis was seated to the left, to the	rear left
智 107	16	of the vehicle.	
	17	Q Behind Mr. Grogan?	
	18	A Behind Mr. Grogan.	
	19	Q Where was Scotty seated?	
	20 °	A Behind me.	
	21	Q Now, after you dropped Mr. Watkins off, a	nd you
	22	continued on, did Mr. Grogen make a statement to you?	!
7.	23	A Yes.	
	24	Q All right. And did Mr. Davis say anythin	ig to
र्व 'इ	25	the statement Mr. Grogan made?	
	26	A Yes.	
	27	Q All right. What was the statement Mr. Gro	gan made
_	28	to you, and if you could, describe how he made the st	atement

5a-4

,

2

,

5

6

7

10

1ļ

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

5b fls.

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

--

28

to you.

Well --

MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'11 object and ask to approach the bench, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside he hearing of the jury:)

MR. KAY: This was testified to at the Grogan trial.

THE COURT: Yes. What was said?

MR. KAY: Oh, Grogan -- in response to no question or no discussion about Shorty, Grogan looked at Juan and said, in the manner Juan will describe, he said "If anyone asks you about Shorty, tell them that he went to San Francisco." In the manner that only Mr. Grogan can. You know, Mr. Grogan puts on a tough guy act all the time.

MR. DENNY: Well --

MR. KAY: So, anyway, Mr. Flynn will testify as to how he did it.

And to that, Mr. Davis said "Yeah, yeah."

,, 1

2

4

5

6.

.

9

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

ě

24 25

26 27

28

MR. DENNY: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, in the first place, there has been nothing by way of the discovery again and the statements-

MR. MANZELLA: That's irrelevant. Why don't we get on to something on point. It was testified to in the Grogan trial and you have the transcript.

MR. DENNY: Whether it was testified to in the Grogan trial or not, we were given certain information by way of discovery in this case and continuing discovery as to what statements the People intended to put on in this case.

MR. MANZELLA: No, you weren't. That's not the discovery order. The discovery order is anything you are entitled to before you make the discovery motion you are entitled to after you make the discovery motion. You are not entitled to every statement the witness is going to make.

MR. DENNY: By way of admission or confession:

MR. MANZELLA: That's not true.

MR. DENNY: Well, the People sought at the time, I understood, at the Aranda-Bruton hearings, all of these statements that they were going to seek to introduce by way of admission or confession.

MR. MANZELLA: That's not true either.

Let's get to something relevant and on with it and stop wasting time.

THE COURT: On the basis of the objection, the Court will overrule it. The Court believes there's been the most extensive discovery I've ever seen in any case afforded to

5b - 2

2

1

3

5

6

ч.

9

11 /

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 the defendant. The defendant has had the advantage of the transcripts in the Grogan case, and the Manson case and the Grand Jury transcripts.

In addition to that, the defendant has had access to all of these statements --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: -- that have been provided to him. And the Court believes that this is not a logical or reasonable objection at this time.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to have Mr. Kay, in connection with his offer of proof, present to the Court-because I have gone over Mr. Flynn's testimony at some length in the Grogan trials, both of them -- present to the Court that portion of the testimony of Mr. Flynn.

MR. KAY: I just did.

MR. DENNY: I mean, by chapter and verse.

MR. KAY: Oh.

MR. DENNY: Page and line.

MR. KAY: You mean for the record?

MR. DENNY: As to where this "Yeah, yeah," comes in.

MR. KAY: Well, he couldn't testify "Yeah, yeah,"

because that would be hearsay in the Grogan trial.

MR. DENNY: All right, that's exactly right.

MR. KAY: But on page 1536:

"And would you relate the conversation

to us?

"Well, first, Mr. Grogan pointed out to me if anyone asked me about Shorty that I should tell 5b - 3

14.

 "them that he went to San Francisco, you see. And

Mr. Davis agreed that I should, you know, and implied."
MR. DENNY: Your Honor, there's nothing that shows there that
we have had the benefit of any sort of discovery. That's
supposed to show discovery, that there was an implied
agreement by Mr. Davis of any sort of statement -- the Court
has indicated in this last hearing all of this business:

"Well, he agreed.

"Well, how did he agree?

"Well, he agreed.

"Well, that's your conclusion."

MR. KAY: Well, he's going to testify --

MR. MANZELLA: That's not what the testimony has been here, and that's not what the testimony is in the Grogan case.

THE COURT: The objection will be overruled.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, may I add the additional objection no corpus delictl and that this does not come in by way of any sort of admission. That it is improper under the Osuna doctrine.

THE COURT: The Court overrules the objection.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

BY MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn, would you please tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what Mr. Grogan said to you and what Mr. Devis said after Mr. Grogan made the statement to you?

```
Uh -- well, he was asking me to say that.
1
               A
               MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll move that be stricken
2
        as not responsive to the question.
3
               THE COURT:
                             Sustained.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
19
20,
21
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

6-1 1 Q BY MR. KAY: What was his tone of voice? Do you 2 know what I mean? 3 "If anyone asks you about Shorty -- " MR. DENNY: I'll move that that be stricken as not 4 5 responsive to the question. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 Can you describe generally his tone of voice, with-8 out stating the conversation? 9 MR. DENNY: Well, is that stricken, your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes, it is stricken. 11 THE WITNESS: Well, he was commanding. You know, --12 BY MR. KAY: I mean, was he --13 -- commanded, commandive? Something like that. I 14 don't know how you say it in English, but --15 MR. KAY: Excuse me just a moment, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Very well. 17 (Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kay perused 18 his notes.) 19 MR. KAY: Thank you. I have no further questions of 20 Mr. Flynn. 21 Thank you, Mr. Flynn. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Denny? 23 24 CROSS CROSS EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. DENNY: 26 Mr. Flynn, this particular dinner that you have 27 reference to occurred when, sir? 28 Α I beg your pardon?

There

28

lamp there?

	•	
6-3	1	A Well yeah.
	2	Q All right. And one little kerosene lamp placed
	3	where?
¥	4	A Hmmm I don't remember. I could see it just
	5	just about everybody's faces on the table. There was enough
•	6	lighting to see the faces on the table.
	7	MR. DENNY: Do you have those pictures?
	8	MR. KAY: I think Joyce has them.
	9	MR. DENNY: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
	10.	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	11	Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, showing you 106-A, what appears
	12	to be the table in the corner there, was that where that table
ŝ	13	was placed
	14	A The table
) _Š	15	Q on that evening? Or was it moved out a little
	16 ,	bit from the corner?
	17	A The table was placed in this in this place
	18	(indicating), you see; but it was more instead of going this
	19	way, it was going that way (indicating).
	20	THE COURT: Indicating diagonally across the picture,
	21	from the lower left-hand corner
	22	THE WITNESS: Right.
7	23	THE COURT: to the upper right-hand corner of the
	24	picture.
ř.	25.	Q BY MR. DENNY: It was on a diagonal?
	26	A Diagonal.
	27	Q It wasn't parallel to either wall?
-	28 :	A It was in a diagonal like that (indicating). It was

going almost with this wall, like that. 1 2 Q In other words, instead of being turned longways, 3 as it appears on the paper --A Yes. -- or in the picture --Q 6 A Yes. 7 -- it was turned in the other direction, facing out Q 8 towards the person taking the photograph? 9 A Yes. 10 Or lengthwise, facing out? Q 11 Well, it was from this side (indicating), like 12 that. You see, it was the longways, across this wall right 13 here (indicating). 6a fol 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Well, sir, let me just make a brief diagram here. 6a-1 1 Here is the corner --2 THE COURT: Well, that won't show in the record, Mr. 3 Denny. MR. DENNY: Well, I understand that. Just for 5 illustrative purposes only. 6 THE COURT: What you have drawn is a table, the long 7. axis of which goes alongside a wall. MR. DENNY: Well, let's say that this is the north wall (indicating) and this is the west wall -- assuming, 10 just for the sake of argument, that that's the way the 11 picture shows, sir. 12 Now, was the table (Mr. Denny drawing on the 13 diagram)? That way. That way. A This way? 16 Q 17 A Yes. 18 So the long way was toward the west side of the wall? Yesh, right. A 21 All right. Now, and the kerosene lamp, was Q 22 : that the kerosene lamp that appears to be over the table 23 here, in that picture? 24 Α Uhh -- no, I don't think that's that one. Or was it one that just sat on the table? Q. 26 I don't recall. I think it sat on the table. A 27 I don't recall, really. 28 All right, sir. And you could see the faces Q

ı	of the people around the table, but it did not cast light,
2	say, back into the bedroom, did it?
3	A Hmmm I could see in the kitchen.
4	Q All right. Was there another light in the
5	kitchen?
6	A I believe there was, if I could see in there,
7	you know.
8	Q All right. Was there light in the bedroom?
9	A No.
10	Q All right. And did this light on the table cast
n	light into the bedroom area?
12	A I don't know. I wasn't looking that way.
13	Q Which way were you looking, sir?
14	A I was looking at that man pointing that gun at
15	me, you see.
16	Q All right. Again, going to this diagram
17	Your Honor, I wonder if we have some paper? Is
18	there any paper available?
19	Well, perhaps for speed we can use the blackboard
20	and then recreate it on the paper, if that's all right with
, 21	the Court.
22	THE COURT: All right with the Court.
23	Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, showing the table now as
24'	with hatch marks across here, indicating actually where the
25	table was, where were you seated at the table? Would you
26	just draw your initials?
27	A I was seated just about right here (indicating).
28	Q All right. Let's put a "JF" when you say
	, 1

"right here" in this particular circle. 1 All right. You can retake the stand, sir. 2 Now, where were the girls seated? 3 You don't have to get down there. See if you can just describe to the jury where the girls were seated. 5 б They were seated around here (indicating); 7 around here. 8 Q Well, you are indicating the lower portion of the table; is that right? 10 Yes. They were --11 Well --12 I don't know what you mean by that. But they 13 were sitting around here (indicating); and there was a 14 couple of girls that kept moving around the kitchen (indicating) righthere. 16 The door was -- oh, just about here, like that. 17 Again, you have made two marks on the right of 18 this table, now. So let's just put them in, where you say 19 there was a door. 20 (Mr. Denny drawing on the blackboard.) 21 Á And then --And I have made some lines where the girls Q were. The girls were right about here (indicating), A No. 25 Were they seated on chairs, or on the floor? On the floor, I believe. I don't know. 27 didn't look back there. Usually, they sat on the floor, when Mr. Manson

6b fls.

was around.

Q All right. Do you want to retake the stand, sir?

MR. KAY: Excuse me. I wonder if Mr. Flynn would indicate on that drawing where the girls were seated, maybe write "the girls" on there.

MR. DENNY: Well, I've indicated it with the lines.

MR. KAY: Well, that's not where Mr. Flynn said the girls were, where you put the lines in.

THE WITNESS: The girls were right about in here, like that (drawing on the blackboard).

And there was a couple of more girls -- excuse me
-- right in this -- in the kitchen. Here's the kitchen
-- "Girls" (witness drawing on the blackboard).

2

·5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: Was there a dining room as well as a living room?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Just a big wall, like that: and then it has a fireplace right about -- the door is right about in here (indicating).

THE COURT: Indicating to the left, below the table on your diagram?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's below the table.

THE COURT: Well, is this the dining room or the living room where the table is?

THE WITNESS: They're both the same. The dining room is the living room.

THE COURT: I see.

THE WITNESS: You see? And then there's a fireplace right down here somewhere (indicating).

MR. DENNY: All right. Indicating on the other side of the room?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Can we get on with this?

Go ahead.

BY MR. DENNY: All right. Showing you 106-D, sir, Q this picture taken from the bedroom into the kitchen, it shows what appears to be two hooked rugs on the floor; is that correct?

It appears to be that. Á

Ó All right. And showing you photograph 106-B, that has a picture of a chair and one of the hooked rugs; is that correct?

b-2	1	A That's correct.
	2	Q And that picture is looking toward the bedroom from
	3	which this other picture
•	4	A From B.
i	· 5	Q is taken from; is that correct?
ð ≠	6	A Is taken from, correct.
	7	Q All right. And showing you photograph 106-A, that
	8	appears to be the to show the table and the other hooked
	9	rug that the table sits on; is that correct?
	10	A Yes,
	11	Q All right. So that for the edification of the jury,
	12	then, this room is approximately these two hooked rug
	13	A Rugs.
e a	14	Q rugs widths wide?
	15	A Widths wide?
Ť	. 16	Q Yeah.
	17	A (Witness shaking his head in a perplexed manner.)
	18	Yeah.
	19	THE COURT: Two hooked rugs widths wide; right?
	20	THE WITNESS: That seems to be a
	21	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. Now, sir, this gun that
	22	was passed around, would you describe it again, please?
è	23	A It was an Italian gun, a .45 Italian gun.
Ž.	24	Q A .45 Italian gun. And what else? Would you
,	25	describe it the way you described it in the past to other
	26	people, when you described this gun? Like police officers?
	27	A Well
	28	MP KAV: Well that calls for hearsay. That's irrelevant

	,	Ł
€b-3	1	THE COURT: The objection will be sustained.
	2	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, could you describe the gun
	3	any more fully, sir?
	4	A Any more fully?
	, 2	Q Yeah.
	6	A Well, I would have to go into the conversation.
	7	Q No, you don't have to go into the conversation.
	8	A Well, okay. I don't have to go into the conversa-
	9	tion.
	10	Q Just tell this jury, as accurately as you can,
	11	A Um-hmm.
	12	Q what else there was about this gun that you saw
	13	that particular night, that you remember? What else about how it
: •	14	looked?
	15	A Well, it looked big at the other end.
ř	. 16	(Laughter.)
	i 7	Q Yeah?
	18	A You know?
	19	Q Yeah? That was what kind of overwhelmed you,
	20	really, not the fact that it was Italian, or that it was a .45;
	21	isn't that true? It just looked like a big cannon pointing
	22	at you; is that right?
į	23	A Yeah.
i	24	Q All right. But it looked like a particular color
*	25	cannon, didn't it?
	26	MR. KAY: Objection. It assumes a fact not in evidence.
	27	THE WITNESS: Looked like a gun to me.
	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. A particular color gun?
]

	1	A It looked like a gun to me.		
	2	Q A particular color gun?		
	3	A It looked like the particular gun that was pointing		
6c fol	4 ' 5	at me.		
3 1	5 6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13			
÷	14	'		
•,	15			
,	. 16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20	,		
	21			
	22			
ع ١	23			
, ,	24			
ं स्र	25			
	26			
	27			
	28	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

C	
6b-	1
٠.	
ĭ	

3

4

5

6

7

R

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And what color was that gun, sir?

Well, when I had it in my hands? What color it. was?

It was similar to those guns right there.

- All right. Did you talk to Officer Dave Steuber on about December 13, 1969, among other times, but --
 - Yeah, I guess.
- -- specifically at that time? And you had a tape recorded conversation with him at that time?

I don't know the specific time. I've talked to a million officers. I talked to a thousand lawyers. I talked to everybody.

And it leads to the same thing, that the guns that were --

- Q All right, sir.
- -- pointing at me was a .45 Italian gun.
- By the way, you never talked to a million or a thousand or even one defense lawyer; they were all prosecution lawyers; is that right?

MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's not relevant.

- BY MR. DENNY: All right. But you did talk to Q a million police officers -- perhaps a little exaggerated?
 - Well, you never asked me to talk to you.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked and answered.

MR. DENNY: I didn't ask it. He volunteered --MR. MANZELLA: Can't we move along?

26

27

i	THE COURT: Then why ask it again?
2	Sustained.
3	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir. Well, this one
4	particular officer, Dave Steuber, that you talked to on
5 .	about December 13, 1969,
6	A Yeah.
7	Q did you ask him this? I mean, did he ask
8	you this? And did you respond as follows?
9	"Officer Stauber: What kind of gun was this,
10	Juan."
11	MR. KAY: Well, now, I
12	MR. DENNY: Let me go back. Let me go back a minute.
13	Q Charlie was playing with the cylinder; is that
14	right? When he had the gun?
15	A I don't know. The gun was produced and pointed
16	at me.
17	Q Well, sir, was Charlie playing with the cylinder
18	at this time?
19	A I don't recall.
20	Q How about playing with the apple?
21	A I don't remember.
22	Q Well, you've used the phrase "playing with the
23	apple"?
24	A Well, the apple is the middle part, yes.
25	Q Is the cylinder?
26	A It's the cylinder.
27	Q All right. Let's go back, then.
28	A Okay.

Q This is you speaking. 3 "Flynn: "Flynn: whole show --9 "Steuber: Cylinder? 10 11 12 and playing with the apple. 13 14 15 16 17 THE COURT: 18 19 MR. DENNY: All right. 20 21 stricken. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

"He pulled out this gun and started going like that with the apple, and looking at --"Officer Steuber: What apple? The apple of the gun. "Steuber: What is the apple of the gun? It's the thing that goes around the "Flynn: Cylinder. And he started looking at me, and he was doing something, flashing it at me, "Steuber: Meaning again the cylinder of the gun?" MR. KAY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this. I don't know that he's talking about this gun. There has been no foundation laid. It could be another gun. It's indefinite. It's not a prior inconsistent statement. The objection is sustained. THE COURT: The entire portion Mr. Denny read is

7 fls.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24.

25

26

27

28

"Officer Stauber: What kind of a gun Q BY MR. DENNY: was this, Juan?"

MR. KAY: Same objection, your Honor. What type of gun was it when? I mean, there's no foundation.

THE COURT: All right, sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Going back a little further in this tape-recorded conversation --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor, I'm going to need a couple of minutes to set up the recording that I have of this particular conversation. May I have a recess for about three minutes?

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure the Court is going to let you set up the recording, Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: It is a prior inconsistent statement.

MR. MANZELLA: Object to that. It is not a prior inconsistent statement and ask Mr. Denny's remarks be stricken.

THE COURT: That remark is stricken and would you approach the bench. I'll hear from you at the bench.

MR. DENNY: Yes.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I have transcripts of the taperecorded statements that this witness made.

7-2

2

1

3

5

6

7

o

10

11

12

13 14

ï

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

THE COURT: You have them with you right there?

MR. DENNY: Yes, I do, to Dave Stauber, both on December 13th and on about January 13. December 13, 1969 ---

THE COURT: Well, what you read, if that's any sample, is not an inconsistency.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I was getting to it, because I wanted to establish the background of it. I can go farther back to establish --

THE COURT: In what respect is it inconsistent?

MR. DEMMY: Well, "What kind of a gun was this, Juan?"

THE COURT: Just let me see it, please.

MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, what part do you contend is inconsistent, the gold color?

MR. DENMY: That's right.

THE COURT: Is it stipulated rather than take the time to play the tape, if that is the only portion? That is the only portion that I can see that's inconsistent in the transcript.

Then, is it stipulated that can be read? MR. KAY: Let me see it.

MR, DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would like to be able -- because the jury, I think, should hear his own words, if we have them on tape.

THE COURT: I do not, if that is stipulated to.

And what I am referring to, is his statement that he thought it was a gold color.

NR. MANZELLA: He was going to read -- it is the whole

	1
1	statement, "What kind of a gun was this, Juan?
2	"It was an Italian gun.
3	"This was the big .45"
4	MR. KAY: You see the problem.
' 5	MR. MANZELLA: I don't know whether
6	"This was a big .45, the fancy one with the
7	custom grips and all that?"
8	THE COURT: "What kind of a gun was this," starting
9	there.
10	MR. DENNY: I have to start before that to establish the
11	foundation, according to
12	THE COURT: Not if it is stipulated to, Mr. Denny. I
13	don't see any reason for prolonging this.
14	MR. KAY: Well, your Honor, I can't
15	MR. DENNY: I think
16	THE COURT: "I don't remember, sir. I know it was
17	Ron's, you see, or gold color.
18	"Okay. Part of it was "
19	MR. KAY: From there on there, nothing is inconsistent
20	because he doesn't say what part is gold or bronze. The
21	handles
22	THE COURT: Excuse me a minute. He does say, "I don't
23	remember, sir," in response to was this the big .45,
24	the fancy one with the custom grips and all that.
25	"I don't remember, sir. I know that it was
26	bronze, you see, or gold color."
27	That's inconsistent.
28	MR. MANZELLA: Except we don't know what gun Steuber was

referring to.

MR. DENNY: That's why I want to go further back in the description.

MR. MANZELLA: If that's the one he's talking about, fine.

MR. DENNY: I can go back further, and I think it is proper under the circumstances to go back and establish it, because I've got it here in this part of the transcription.

MR. KAY: If I can read before and establish it is the same, we can probably stipulate what it is about, the conversation, but I would like to see.

THE COURT: This is a great deal to do, it appears to the Court, with something of a minor significance.

7a-1 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I've got another one, also, another recording where it is also similarly described 2 on pages 2 and 3 of the recording. MR. KAY: Yeah, I think that's probably the --4 MR. MANZELLA: Have you listened to the tape? 5 MR. KAY: A long time ago. 6 7 Who made these transcriptions? 8 MR. DENNY: Me and my secretary many, many hours. 9 MR. KAY: Well, no --10 MR. DENNY: If you have any doubts about it, I will 11 play it. 12 THE COURT: This is the part that appears to 13 inconsistent in this second statement. 14 MR. KAY: Well, what's inconsistent about that? 15 MR. DENNY: A gold barrel. 16 MR. KAY: Oh, with a gold barrel. 17 MR. DENNY: If you have any doubts, I'll be very happy 18 to play it before this jury so they can hear his own words. 19 MR. KAY: Maybe we'd better do that. 20 THE COURT: The Court would require you to listen to 21 it over the noon hour, if that's what you want to do, if 22 you can't stipulate those are his words. 23 MR. KAY: Yeah, because I can't. I'll have to listen 24 to the tape. 25 \$ THE COURT: Well, we're not going to halt this thing 26 and play the tape recording when it is -- when it is clear --27 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I can -- your Honor, I can 28 cue it up very, very quickly.

7a-2 MR. KAY: It is five minutes to 12:00. I'll listen 1 to it at noon. 2 THE COURT: All right, cross-examine on something else 3 tag' to the tape recording you gentlemen can listen to it * at lunch. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 6 in open court within the presence and hearing of the 7 jury:) R THE COURT: All right, we'll leave the subject of the 0 dinner table events, now, and you may cross-examine about 10 something else. 11 MR. DENNY: Well, I still intend to go into that, but 12 just not the gun for the time being. 13 THE COURT: Well, if you believe it is material, go 14 ahead. 15 Q BY MR. DENNY: Now, sir, this dinner table 16 conversation that you talked about after dinner, after you 17 had had your tapioca, is that right? 18 19 Uh-huh. A 20 And did you have spaghetti as the main course or Q 21 noodles, spaghetti of some sort? MR. MANZELLA: That's immaterial. 22 23 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I want to establish it in 24 connection with Barbara Hoyt's testimony to make sure it is 25 the same dinner. 26 THE COURT: All right, I'll let you answer. 27 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was spaghetti. 28 BY MR. DENNY: All right. Q

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	A It was something on that line.
2	Q All right, sir.
3	And at some time did they break out the marijuana,
4	at some time during this dinner?
5	A Uh, yes.
6	MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object to "they." Who?
7	Q BY MR. DENNY: Who broke out the marijuana?
8	A Charlie.
9	Q Was there enough for the men and the girls both?
10	A No. No.
11	Q Was this placed into a pipe?
12	A Uh
13	Q This marijuana?
14	A Yesh.
15	Q And that pipe was passed around from person to
16	person?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q Except you didn't take any, is that right?
19	A No, I didn't say that.
20	Q Oh, you did have some?
21	A Yesh. Yes.
22	Q All right.
23	And at what particular time in the chronology of
24	events, the sequence of events was it that the pipe was
25	broken out and the marijuana began to be smoked?
26	A I don't know, sir. I don't know.
27	Q Was this before or after the gun was passed
28	around?

	•
1	A You see, I don't know. I just remembered that.
2	I don't know.
3	Q Is your memory of those events a little fuzzy
4	because of the effects of the marijuana that you smoked?
5	A No, there wasn't that much. Usually they used
6	to smoke wood. Mostly that night they smoked mostly the
7	wood out of the pipe because there wasn't even nothing,
8	you know. It was just nothing.
9	Q All right. You didn't smoke hand-rolled
10	cigarettes, though?
n	A No, no.
12	Wait # minute.
13	Q All right.
14	A Wait a minute, wait a minute, not marijuana,
15	but I smoked cigarettes, hand-rolled.
16	Q Oh, you did?
17	A Yeah, but not marijuana.
18	Q All right. When was this that you did that?
19	A Well, it was when I was in that area around
20	there.
•	
21	Q Oh, no, I'm talking about that night.
22	Q Oh, no, I'm talking about that night. A Oh, well, excuse me.
22	
22 23 24	A Oh, well, excuse me.
22 23 24 25	A Oh, well, excuse me. Q You didn't smoke any hand-rolled cigarettes
22 23 24 25 26	A Oh, well, excuse me. Q You didn't smoke any hand-rolled cigarettes that night?
22 23 24 25 26 27	A Oh, well, excuse me. Q You didn't smoke any hand-rolled cigarettes that night? A No.
22 23 24 25 26	A Oh, well, excuse me. Q You didn't smoke any hand-rolled cigarettes that night? A No. Q All right.

	1	cigarettes?
7 b	f1s2	A Yeah.
	8	·
,	4	
4	5	, 1
ž V	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	11	
	12	
	13	; }
# #	14	
	15	
*	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	24	
¥	25	
ž Ž	26	
	1	
Ò	27	
	28	
	<u></u>	·

1 7b-1 Q Now, sir, as far as the marijuana smoking, how long 2 did that last? 3 About a minute or two, that was it. 4 Just enough for everybody to get a puff there? MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object to "everybody." He 6 can say who ---7 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 8 THE WITNESS: Well, there wasn't -- everybody -- the 9 pipe passed around. It went over from Charlie --10 First, he said, "Well, I got something," you see, 11 "but there's not enough for the girls. So the men will smoke." 12 And then, he put it in the pipe and he lit it. He 13 passed it to Watson. Watson passed it to me. And I passed it 14 to Danny DeCarlo, but there was nothing in it. 15 BY MR. DENNY: All right. By the way, when you got Q 16 this gun and held it for a bit and looked at the gun --17 A Yes. 18 -- you saw that it said "Italian .45"? 19 "Italian .45," yes, sir. 20 Well, did it say that on the same side, "Italian Q 21 .45"? 22 It just said "Italian." It said "Italy, " you see, ·A 23 and it was a .45. 24 Well, did it say "Italy" or "Italian"? Q 25 "Italy." Α 26 "Italy"? Q 27 It was an Italian gun. A 28 Q But it said "Italy"?

If it is

1 Α But it was a .45. It was an Italian gun. 2 said "Italy." 3 If it says "Italy," it is from Italy. 4 Italy gun, it is an Italian gun in this country, you see, and 5 it made sense like that. 6 0 You didn't see it said "Dakota Caliber .45"? 7 I don't remember. I don't remember. Q All right. 9 THE COURT: All right, we'll recess until 1:30, ladies 10 and gentlemen. 1:30, everybody be back at that time. 11 And during the recess you are obliged not to 12 converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit 13 anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the 14 matter, ner form or express any opinion on the matter until it 15 is finally submitted to you. 16 THE WITNESS: Could I get off now? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Be back at 1:30. 20 THE WITNESS: Oh, all right. 21 (Whereupon, the jury retired from the courtroom 22 at 12:00 o'clock P. M., and the following proceedings were had: 23 THE COURT: You have requested the Court --24 The jurors are all gone now, the record may show, 25 You have requested the Court order somebody whom 26 you say can take some pictures of bullets, you tell me that's 27 not a ballistic expert, but he is somebody from General 28 Hospital or something --

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, under the posture of our 1 conversation --2 THE COURT: -- a photomicrograph is requested, and the 3 Court orders a photomicrograph done. This man that is to take them is not a ballistics 5 expert and the Court will order -- not have them done. 6 I have asked the Court simply to permit the 7 MR. DENNY: clerk to take the evidence bullet in her custody and permit the 8 photographer that I have chosen, who is an expert in the field 9 of photography, to photograph that bullet for whatever use I 10 11 might want to make of the photographs, for which photographs 12 I will pay, 13 THE COURT: The request at this time is denied. 14 The Court may have another expert picking up the 15 bullet this evening or tomorrow. MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I'm asking to do it now, 16 17 I have him ready to take the photographs right now. 18 THE COURT: Well, you --19 MR. DENNY: And he has stated that he will and is willing 20 to do so. 21 THE COURT: Well, what type of photograph is he going 22 to take? 23 Good ones, photomicrographs, your Honor. MR. DENNY: 24 And what power? THE COURT: 25 Under both ten and twenty magnification. MR. DENNY: 26 will they be comparison photographs? THE COURT: 27 No, they do not have to be comparison MR. DENNY: 28 photographs.

8 fol 27

THE COURT: I simply am inquiring as to whether or not they are, not whether they have to be, Mr. Denny.

MR. DENNY: No, your Honor. Just simply of the evidence bullet, the bullet that Mr. Christansen looked at on about January 11 or 12th, 1970.

THE COURT: All right, the Court will order them released for that purpose, so that they can be --

MR. DENNY: Just a single bullet, People's 31. Just the single bullet, not "they."

THE COURT: All right, so they can be released for that purpose this noon.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. KAY: Well, your Honor, I'm going to object to it.

I can't see what the purpose is, if it is not a comparison photograph.

THE COURT: Well, the Court has already informed Mr. Denny if he wishes to undertake this at his own expense, he can. But the Court is not going to order that the County pay for it. The Court would permit it to be done -- are you going to accompany the bullet, Mrs. Holt? Have you volunteered to do that?

THE CLERK: I told him yes.

THE COURT: With that in mind, the Court is permitting it.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 12:05 P. M., the noon recess was taken.)

23

25

26

27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1972 2:00 PM
(Whereupon proceedings were had in open court,
among the Court and counsel, outside the presence
and hearing of the jury, which were not reported.)
THE COURT: All right. On the record, Mr. Denny is
present.

Now, all counsel are present. Sergeant Whiteley is present.

MR. MANZELLA: I am handing Mr. Denny a copy of Sergeant Whiteley's notes on his interview with Alan Springer, your Honor, pursuant to the discovery order.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Denny, what are you missing?

MR. DENNY: What am I missing?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Well, what I assume to be the notes upon which the reports were based on the --

THE COURT: Excuse me.

(Whereupon a discussion off the record ensued between the Court and a court attache.)

THE COURT: All right. Go shead.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I am missing what I assume are the notes that Mr. Whiteley made, upon which his reports are based. And those reports, I indicated to the Court, about ten of them -- there are actually 18 interviews reflected in his reports in the Sheriff's homicide manual.

THE COURT: Sergeant Whiteley, do you have any notes left that you have not disclosed to Mr. Denny of any of these conversations?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: No.

8-2

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

.22

23 24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: Do you have any idea where those notes -you probably, when you took these conversations down in a
note form, had them in some sort of a notebook, didn't you?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: Some of them I did. And some of them I didn't.

THE COURT: Some of them you didn't take notes, and some of them you did?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: That's correct.

THE COURT: Have you given any notes to Mr. Denny of any conversations? You have in the case of the Springer conversation; you've just done that, or caused that to be done.

MR. MANZELLA: He gave notes to Mr. Denny of the Barbara Hoyt -- his interview with Barbara Hoyt, and his interview with Ella Bailey.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any left whatever -- have you made a search for notes?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: I have made a search for notes, and I have no other notes other than those.

THE COURT: Have you given any such personal notes to any of your colleagues in the Sheriff's Department, or have you given them to the District Attorney's Office?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: I don't quite understand, your Honor,

THE COURT: In other words, have you ridded yourself of any notes by giving them to somebody?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: No, no.

THE COURT: I should think that answers it, Mr. Denny.

Do you have any questions of him? Well, Mr. Whiteley, when you testified earlier in connection with Barbara Hoyt, you indicated that in that interview, at least, you took a number of notes; is that Well, is it correct that at the time of those interviews, particularly the May 15th interview, you did take a number of notes during the course of that interview? MR. KAY: That assumes facts not in evidence, that he MR. KAY: I think it's Ella Jo Bailey you're talking Ella Jo Bailey, on May 15, 1970, did you take a number of notes in conjunction with that interview?

Q And is it your custom, in the pursuit of your job 1 as a homicide investigator, to take notes and make notes in 2 your notebook -- a 4 X 6 or a 3 X 5 notebook -- of the 3 interviews you have with witnesses? MR. MANZELLA: That's irrelevant, your Honor, what his 6 custom is. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. DENNY: Well, it is relevant, your Honor, if he's 9 saying --10 THE COURT: The question is whether he took them at 11 that time, Mr. Denny. 12 Did you take notes in a notebook at that time? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did at that time. 14 THE COURT: Have you looked for those? 15 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, Mr. Denny has those notes 16 already. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

3

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

homicide manual ---

THE COURT: The Court is going to terminate this, Mr. Denny. This is too tedious.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, it may be tedious, but they're trying to put my client in the gas chamber here, and I have been able to discover, through the discovery processes, the fact that their witnesses have been lying, apparently on the basis of what --

THE COURT: That's for the jury to determine, whether they're lying.

MR. DENNY: Well, I have to have the evidence for the jury to determine, your Honor. I have to have those notes, because the notes have reflected, thus far, that --

THE COURT: Have you any notes whatever left, whether they're in notebook form or sheaf form or whatever they may be?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: So that I may understand, your Honor --

THE COURT: Personal notes?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: -- this is on witnesses that will testify?

THE COURT: Would you run through that list? Show him that list that you have there, Mr. Denny?

Any of those persons?

(Whereupon, Mr. Denny exhibited a document to Sergeant Whiteley, which document Sergeant Whiteley perused.) SERGEANT WHITELEY: No. I do not.

THE COURT: And you have been shown a list of ten or

twelve people; is that correct? 1 2 MR. DENNY: Eighteen, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Eighteen people? MR. DENNY: Eighteen separate, specific interviews with 4 5 the page numbers from the homicide manual, and the references 6 thereto, the dates of the interviews, and the people interviewed. 8 THE COURT: Would you stand up, Sergeant Whiteley? 9 Do you solemnly swear that the statements you have 10 just made are the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 11 truth? 12 SERGEANT WHITELEY: Yes, they are. 13 THE COURT: And do you want that list put into evidence, 14 Mr. Denny? 15 MR. DENNY: Yes, I do. 16 THE COURT: All right. It will be received --17 MR. MANZELLA: All right, your Honor. If it's going to 18 be received. I will have to make certain points with regard to 19 it. 20 THE COURT: -- as a special exhibit? 21 MR. KAY: Just have it marked as a special exhibit, next 22 in order for identification? 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. MANZELLA: Now, may I --25 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 26 MR. MANZELLA: May I ask a couple of questions? 27 **EXAMINATION** 28 BY MR. MANZELLA:

		:	
_		1	Q Sergeant Whiteley, did you destroy any of your
		2	notes intentionally in order to avoid the discovery processes?
9	fol	3 .	A No.
•	₫ ፲	4	
	*	' 5	
	ĝ ĝ	6	
		7	
		8	
		9	
		10	
		11	
		12	
	à	13	
À	raj	14	
	X 3	15	
		16 17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
		23	
	÷	24	
	; *	25	
		26	
<u>~</u>		27	
		28	

28

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, with regard to the list, I would like to point out that several witnesses named on the list are not witnesses in the case.

Ray Parrott is one.

Randy Starr is another.

George Spahn is another,

Ray Parrott's name is repeated twice.

Vern Plummley is not a witness in the case.

John Enfield is not a witness in the case.

Miriam Binder is not a witness in the case.

Cardinal Nicoma is not a witness in the case.

THE COURT: Cardinal Nicoma?

MR. MANZELLA: I don't -- I've never heard the name before.

MR. DENNY: The gal up at the Fountain of the World, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, now, none of those people are witnesses in the case.

Alan Springer, the notes of that interview have been given to Mr. Denny today. And Sergeant Whiteley's typed reports of the interviews with the rest of the people have been supplied to Mr. Denny.

MR. KAY: The jury is starting to come in.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, before the jury starts to come in, I would like to --

THE COURT: Would you hold the jury out there, Mr. Denny has some more remarks for the record.

9-2

 Mrs. Corman, would you wait just outside the door, please.

MR. DENNY: May I make the observation, your Honor, some of these people I have subpoensed and intend to call and whether they are the People's witnesses or not, under Brady vs. Maryland and other related cases --

THE COURT: The Court would agree, it doesn't matter whose witness the person may happen to be, if the notes are available, they should be made available to you.

MR. MANZELLA: If they are to be witnesses. But Mr. Denny has not said any of these people are going to be witnesses.

THE COURT: Excuse me, gentlemen.

As far as the Court could ascertain, those notes do not exist.

Have you destroyed them or mislaid them or what?

THE WITNESS: No, what I did with many of these
witnesses, I wrote it down on scratch paper and reduced it
to a report and threw the scratch paper away. And I did
this this way because I had Mr. Katz working with me at the
time and I felt that there wasn't any reason for me to be
taking extensive notes when he was talking directly with the
witnesses.

THE COURT: All right.

Well, I think that's the situation as it has developed, Mr. Denny, and I just don't think -- and the Court does find that there are no notes available for the People to place into your hands.

27

28

Let's get the jury in.

THE WITNESS: Can I bring up one point here so I don't get in trouble?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: I have Danny DeCarlo's notes, but he's not on this list and those are the only ones that I can think of that I have not supplied.

THE COURT: You have supplied the written, the typewritten statement of Danny DeCarlo to Mr. Denny?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: And that typewritten statement was made from your notes?

THE WITNESS: Yes, and I do have my notes on that.
THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, not that we need any further argument, it is just one of the bases for my request of those notes -- if they don't exist, they've been thrown away, that's something else again -- is the fact that Mr. Whiteley's notes that he did take of the Ella Jo Bailey conferences on May 15 and 16 were markedly different from the typed reports. And that's the reason I have been trying to get the notes.

THE COURT: Well, let's proceed.

MR. DENNY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Sergeant Whiteley, you are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. DENNY: Oh, can we get Sergeant Whiteley back for an address, your Honor?

1	Apparently he has the address of Ray Parrott.
2	THE COURT: Catch him there, Mr. Manzella, if you
3	would, please.
4	Could you catch Sergeant Whiteley there, Mr.
5	Duva11?
6	Find him, Sergeant Duvall?
7	There he is.
8	(Whereupon, the jury was brought into the
9	courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)
10	THE COURT: All right, I think all of the jurors are
11	present. Everybody is present.
12	The defendant is present with his counsel.
13	Mr. Juan Flynn is on the witness stand. You
14	may resume the witness stand, Mr. Flynn.
15	And, Mr. Denny, you may continue with your
16	cross-examination,
17	
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
19	BY MR. DENNY:
20	Q All right, Mr. Flynn, now we will get back to
21 ,	discussion about this particular gun that you had described.
22	Going to this conversation
23	(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings
24	while Mr. Denny conferred with Mr. Kay at the counsel
25	table.)
26	THE COURT: Now, let's proceed.
27	Q BY MR. DENNY: Do you recall being interviewed
28	by Sergeant Steuber in December of 1969?
	A Yes.

9a-1	1	Q Sergeant Steuber, S-t-e-u-b-e-r, the California
	2	Highway Patrol of Inyo County?
	3	A Yes.
.	4	Q All right. And do you recall this conversation
y'	5	pertaining to the gun that you say was passed around at this
* *	6	Meyers Ranch dinner?
	7	A Uh-huh.
	8	Q "Officer Steuber: What kind of a gun was this,
	9 .	Juan?
	10	"Flynn: It was an Italian gun.
	11	"Steuber: This was the big .45, the fancy
	12	one with the custom grips and all that?
. .	13	"Flynn: I don't remember, sir. I know that
	14	it was bronze, you see, or gold color.
D ;	15	"Steuber: Okay. Part of it was or was
V	, 16	the whole pistol gold color?
	17	"Flynn: No, the handle I think was wood.
	18	"Steuber: Yes, but the rest of it was kind
	19	of a gold color?
	20	"Was it all engraved, real fancy?
	21	"Flynn: Uh, I I didn't notice."
	22	Do you remember being asked those questions and
# **:	23	giving those answers?
₹'	24	A Well, I don't remember.
â	25	THE COURT: No, the question is do you remember the
	26	conversation?
	27	THE WITNESS: Uh, I don't remember the conversation, but,
	28	uh

9a-2	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: Do you remember being asked those
	2	questions by Officer Steuber and telling him that on about
	3	December of 1969?
3 \$	4.	A Uh, I don't remember. I talked to so many
	' 5	officers, I don't remember.
**************************************	6	Q You don't remember what you told one from what you
	7	told mnother?
	8 .	MR. KAY: That's argumentative.
	9	THE COURT: Sustained.
	10	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it your testimony, sir,
	11	that you have not described that gun to Officer Stueber in
	12	conversations you've had with him as a gold or a bronze gun?
ŝ	13	A I described that gun, sir.
*	14	Q Did you describe it to Officer Steuber
r F	15	THE COURT: Let's shorten this.
,	. 16	Is it stipulated that there was such a conversa-
	17	tion?
	18	MR. KAY: Yes, so stipulated.
	19	THE COURT: All right.
	20	Q BY MR. DENNY: Going now to January of 1970.
	21	Do you recall being interviewed by Officer
	22	Steuber, being asked these questions and giving these answers:
⊕	23	"Steuber: What happened, then, after you
	24	showed them the plates?
€ ₹	25	"They all got to agreeing?
	26	"Flynn: Well, they started talking, you see.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27	And then Charlie pulled this gun out; that had this
	28	fancy gun with a, like a, golden barrel, you know.

98-3

"I think it was a .45. Was some Italian thing. Let's see, it was a Colt.

"Steuber: Yeah."

Do you remember that?

A If it says so that I did, I did.

MR. DENNY: Well, counsel will stipulate that that was the conversation?

MR. KAY: So stipulated. So stipulated.

Q BY MR. DENNY: And, sir, in January and in December, three months, four months from the event in question, you did describe those guns to other people besides Officer Steuber that the gun was a gold or bronze barrel, isn't that correct?

A I don't remember what you are talking about. Can you point out the conversation that I had?

Q All right. It was your state of mind at that time, sir, and before --

A Yes.

Q -- the gun that Charlie Manson pointed at you was a gun with a gold or bronze barrel, is that correct?

A Well, if we could produce a candle or a light and have the same lighting as we did that night, you see, then we could see what color it was. It was my state of mind that this color may have been that color because of the lighting. But it was a Colt .45 made in Italy gun and that is what I described.

Q With custom grips, is that right?

A Well, custom grip -- every gun has got a custom

9a-4	1	grip.
	2	Q And that gun had a custom grip, sir?
	3	A I don't know what you mean by custom grip. A
" 2	4	custom grip has got a grip that's custom.
	′ 5	Q What do you mean, six, when you say every gun has a
÷	6	custom grip?
	7	A Every gun has a place where you handle it, where
	8	you level it, you see.
	9	Q All right. And that is the grip.
	10	A So each one is different but custom to the gun. You
	11	see, that's what I mean.
	12 ⁻	Q All right, sir.
	13	Now, Mr. Flynn
))	14	THE COURT: Would you reread that, Mr. Denny, that first
).	15	statement that you read to Mr. Flynn?
•	. 16	MR. DENNY: "Officer Stauber: What kind of a gun was
	17	this, Juan?
	18	"Flynn: It was an Italian gun.
	19	"Steuber: This was the big .45, the fancy
	20	one with the custom grips and all that?
	21	"Flynn: I don't remember, sir. I know that
	22	it was bronze, you see, or gold color.
*	23	"Steuber: Okay. Part of it was or was
	24	the whole pistol gold color?
Â.	25	"Flynn: No, the handle I think was wood.
	26	"Steuber: Yes, but the rest of it was kind of
	27	a gold color?
	28	"Was it all engraved, real fancy?
fol	29	"Flynn: Uh, I I didn't notice."

9b

	1	
9b-1	1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
	2	MR, DENNY: Yes, sir.
	3	Q Now, Mr. Flynn, you spent a good deal of time
*	4	with Mr. Crockett and Mr. Posten and Little Paul Watkins;
*	5	did you not, at the Barker and Meyers Ranch area?
6	6	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.
	7	MR. DENNY: Bias and prejudice, your Honor.
	8	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
	9	THE WITNESS: I spent time with Paul Crockett and
	10	Brooks Posten and Paul Watkins at the Barker's-Meyers Ranch
	11	area.
	12	Q BY MR. DENNY: Yes.
	13	In fact, you lived with them there rather than
	14	over with the rest of the Manson Family over at the Meyers
)	15	Ranch?
₫•	16	A Yes:
	17	Q And you lived with a shotgun by your side for
	18	a good portion of that time, did you not?
	19	A Yes. Yes.
	20	Q Because you were scared to death of Charlie
	21	Manson, is that right?
	22	A Not scared to death, but aware of the things
4	23	that he was saying.
	24	Q Well, the things, among others, was flashing this
ù ₹	25	gun in your face; is that right?
	26	A Well, yes, and
	27	Q And you were afraid he was going to kill you,
	28	is that right?

9b-2	ŀ	A Well, the, uh
	2	Q Is that right, sir?
	3	A Well, yes.
.	4	Q All right. And you discussed your fears and
*	5	apprehensions with Mr. Crockett?
<u>*</u>	6	A Uh
	7	THE COURT: That would be immaterial.
	8	THE WITNESS: I don't
	9	THE COURT: You needn't answer that.
	10	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir.
	11	And you and Mr. Crockett and Mr. Posten and
	12	Mr. Watkins, together, sort of plotted, if you will, as
£.	13	to how you were going to get Charlie Manson and the rest
♦	14	arrested by the CHP and the Sheriffs, is that correct?
<u></u> ∌	15	A Would you repeat that question again?
	16	MR. DENNY: Would you read it back, please, Miss
	17	Briandi?
	18	THE COURT: Yes.
	19	(Whereupon, the question was read by the
	20	reporter as follows:
,	21	"Q All right, sir. And you and Mr. Crockett
	22	and Mr. Posten and Mr. Watkins, together, sort of
* •	23	plotted together, if you will, as to how you were
<u></u>	24	going to get Charlie Manson and the rest arrested
*	25	by the CHP and the Sheriffs, is that correct?")
	26	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know what you mean by
	27	"plotted."
	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's take the word out.

1	You discussed together?
2	A I never did.
3	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant, your Honor.
4	MR. DENNY: Again, bias and prejudice.
5	THE COURT: All right, the Court will overrule the
6	objection.
7	THE WITNESS: What that mean?
8	THE COURT: That means that you can answer.
9	Did you discuss the question of getting Manson
10	and his followers arrested?
n	THE WITNESS: I never did.
12	Q BY MR. DENNY: You never did?
13	A I don't think so. I never says I'm going to
14	call the police.
15	Q Oh, no, you didn't say that.
16	A Well, you are saying that I am talking with
17	someone else about it, but then you're telling me that I am
18	not saying it.
19	Q Oh, I am just asking, sir, whether you and Mr.
20	Crockett, for instance, talked about the fact that it was
21	your desire, yours and his mutual desire to get Charlie
22	and the Manson Family arrested?
23	A Well, I can't remember. You see, it is not
24	fair to answer you that question if I can't remember, you
25	see.
26	Q All right, sir.
27	A You see.
28	D And did you ever discuss with Brooks Posten

1	getting Charlie and the rest of the Family arrested?
2	A I can't remember.
3	Q All right, sir.
4	And you did discuss with them, though, how
5	scared you were of Charlie Manson, is that right?
6	THE COURT: You needn't answer that.
7	Q BY MR. DENNY: And how you wanted to get
8	Charlie Manson?
9	THE COURT: It is immaterial. You needn't answer that
10	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you did want to get Charlie
11	Manson, didn't you?
12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is vague and ambiguous.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	Q BY MR. DENNY: You wanted to see Charlie Manson
15	arrested, is that right?
16	MR, MANZELLA: Objection, that's irrelevant.
17	MR. DENNY: Bias and prejudice.
18	MR. MANZELLA: A lot of people did.
19	MR. DENNY: I ask that statement be stricken, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, your statement is stricken,
22	too.
23	You may answer the question and if you don't
24	remember what it was and if you don't, Miss Briandi can
25	repeat it.
26	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it, please?
27	Q BY MR. DENNY: I can repeat it.
28	You wanted to get Charlie Manson arrested?

MR. MANZELLA: Vague and ambiguous as the time.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Right then and there at the Barker Ranch.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember thinking about police or arrest or anything like that. I remember that I wanted to protect myself so bad, you see, that I would go to any extent to protect myself, you see. I remember that, you see.

		}
9c-1	1	Q All right. And that's been your state of mind right
	2	up to the very present time, is that correct?
	3	A Well
.	4	Q Is that correct, sir?
*	' 5	A I wouldn't say that, sir.
42 G	6	Q That you would go to any extent to protect your-
	7	self?
	8	A Well, uh
	9	MR. KAY: That's ambiguous, your Honor, I think.
	10	THE COURT: Sustained.
	11	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, isn't it a fact that
	12	you've hidden out from Charlie Manson at Ruby Molinari's
·	13	or Ruby Pearl's home at Chatsworth?
* *	14	A Yes.
\$	15	MR. KAY: That assumes a fact not in evidence.
¥	. 16	THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may remain.
	17	Q BY MR. DENNY: You hid out there in that trailer
	18	she had at the house?
	19	A Yes.
	20	MR. MANZELLA: Objection.
	21	THE COURT: Overruled.
	22	Q BY MR. DENNY: And when was it you hid out from
2.	23~	Charlie Manson in that trailer because of your great fear?
,	24	A Uh, well, I
*	25	Q Just the month, sir.
	26	A Just the month?
	27	Q The months, plural,
•	28	A The months, the period of time between August and,
		ı l

939c-3	1	Q All right.	
	2	A That is	
	3	Q You're still living there with them?	
3 ₹	4	A No.	
	` 5	Q When did you stop living there with them?	
₹ ₽	6	A I didn't stop living there with them. I left.	
	7	Q When did you leave last?	
	8	A Uh, uh, around Christmas time, a week before	
	9	Christmas, maybe so, last year, this Christmas that just went	
10 fol	10	by.	
	n		
	12		
÷	13		
•	14		
3	15		
	. 16 17		. 4
	18		
	19		
	20		
•	21		
	22		
	23		
ě	24		
*	25		
	26		ľ
	27		
	28		ĺ

	1	Q Where in the back seat? Between the two, on the
	2	left, on the
	3	A Between the two.
*	4	Q Are you sure?
•	' 5	A Well uh
ž.	6	Q Do you remember that fact, sir?
	7	A Uh (no further response.)
	8	Q With such specificity?
	9	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's argumentative.
	10	THE COURT: Sustained.
	11	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, do you remember that fact?
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked
¥	13	and answered.
.	14	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
*	15	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't want to tell you yes, and I
	. 16	don't want to tell you no.
	17	But I do remember that when he left us,
	18	Q BY MR, DENNY: Um-hmmm.
10a	19	A when he left us, this man was in the back seat,
# fol	20	on the left side, and Scotty was right behind me.
	21	
	22	
₩ •••	23	·
ي	24	
.	25	
	26	
	27 28	
	40	
-		,

10a-1	1	Q Oh. All right, sir.
	2	A I do remember that clear.
	3	Q All right, sir.
**	4	A You see?
*	5 ્	Q Yes, I see.
\$ 'Y	6	A And on the way down here, they stop and talk
	7	to this girl, you see.
	8	Q All right. Let's forget the "talking about the
	9	girl." Let's talk about this conversation
	10	A Oh. Okay.
	n	Q that you say Clem who was driving, you say?
	12	A Yes.
A 2	13	Q (Continuing) just sort of leaned over in the
*	14	middle of another conversation, and said, "Oh, you say that
) ,	15	Shorty's gone to San Francisco," something like that; is
3	16.	that right?
	17	MR. KAY: That assumes a fact not in evidence, that
	18	there was any conversation at the time.
	19	MR. DENNY: Well, this is cross-examination.
	20	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question?
	21	THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.
	22	You may answer it, if you understand the question.
ik P	23	Q BY MR. DENNY: You've testified, sir, to a
	24	statement made by Clem, about Shorty going to San Francisco;
*	25	right?
	26	A The statement was made by Clem, yes.
	27	Q All right, sir. Now, you say it was made by
	28	Clem. Was it made just sort of out of the clear blue sky, or

		1
10a-2	1	was Clem talking, or was somebody else talking, and Clem
	2	interrupted them?
	3	A Well, he just asked, he says
\$	4	Q Was this out of the clear blue sky? Or in the
•	5	middle of another conversation?
ž	6	A That was what he said.
	7	Q Sir, do you understand what is meant by having
	8	a conversation?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q All right. Now, was he having a conversation
	11	with you, and then just sort of interrupted that conversation
	12	and said, "Oh, by the way, if anybody asks you, Shorty's
	13	gone to San Francisco"?
*	14	A Well, there was conversations going on in the
D _ž	15	car while it was in motion
*	16	Q All right.
	17	A and do you want me to point one of them
	18	out to you?
	19	Q No, I want you to point out the specific conver-
	20	sation.
	21	A You mean I can't do it, not word by word.
	22	That would mean that I you know, should recall every
' E	23	word that everyone's told me.
'E'	24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Flynn, just wait for the
**	25	next question.
	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. Mr. Flynn, but you
	27	can point out word for word this little bit of snip of
	28	conversation that supposedly Mr. Grogan said; is that right?

10a-3	1	You can point that out, word for word?
•	2	A Yes.
	3	Because you remember that specifically,
<u>.</u>	4	A Yes.
*	5	Q word for word?
र श	6	A Yes.
	7	Q All right. What was the conversation, word for
	8	word, before just before he said that?
	9	A Just before he said that, that I can remember?
	10	Q Yes, sir.
	11	A Okay. It was that
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Wait. Excuse me.
*	13	MR. KAY: No, let him go. Denny asked for it.
Š	14	MR. MANZELLA: I would like to approach the bench.
.	15	THE COURT: For what reason?
•	16	(Whereupon a discussion off the record ensued
	17	at the bench between Mr. Manzella and Mr. Kay.)
	18	MR. MANZELLA: I would like to approach the bench.
	19	THE COURT: All right.
	20	MR. KAY: Let him answer. Denny asked for it.
	21	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would ask that Mr. Kay's
	22	statements, which are hardly sotto voce statements, and
柳 連	23	which the jury heard and which apparently caused the
	24	amusement which they should have caused be stricken from
#. *	25	the record, and Mr. Kay admonished that such statements as
	26	"He asked for it, he asked for it, let him go ahead,"
Ò	27	THE COURT: I didn't hear that, but
-	28	MR. DENNY: that he be admonished not to make such

statements in the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: Whatever Mr. Kay said, the microphone wasn't near his mouth at the time, since he had swung it over.

But the Court would caution all counsel not to make any statements that don't belong in the record. And they are stricken.

L0b-1	1	(Whereupon the following proceedings were had
	2	at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the
	3	hearing of the jury:)
	4	MR. MANZELLA: I just have a feeling, from the way he
	5	was getting ready to answer that question, that he was going
ě	6	to say something that
	7	MR. KAY: I think he should be allowed to answer Mr.
	8	Denny. Mr. Denny asked him the question.
	9	MR, MANZELLA: I don't know what he's going to say.
	10	It's just the way the witness was getting ready to answer.
	11	MR. DENNY: Well, I'm going to withdraw my question
	12	at this point anyway. The point's been made.
ž	13	THE COURT: All right. The question is withdrawn.
	14	MR. DENNY: Yes, it is.
) 3	15	MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object to that. I think
J	16	the witness should be allowed to answer.
	17	MR. DENNY: Well, that kind of
	18	THE COURT: All right. The Court will permit the
	19	withdrawal of the question. It does really call for hearsay.
	20	(Whereupon the following proceedings were had
	21	in open court, within the presence and hearing of
	22	the jury:)
** **	23	Q BY MR. DENNY: Now, Mr. Flynn, you have said that
	24	you talked to a million police officers; you have talked to
, je	25	thousands of attorneys right?
	26	A I said that, yes, sir.
	27	Q All right. You were exaggerating a little bit,
-	28	weren't you?
		1

1	A Well, I wasn't exaggerating you see
2	Q All right, sir.
3	Now, in all of those conversations, with all of
4	those billions of police officers and thousands of attorneys,
5	during the course of those conversations, they did ask you
6	about this alleged conversation that Clem and you had on the
7	way down to Los Angeles, with Mr. Davis; is that right?
8	A I don't recall.
9	Q You don't recall.
10	A You see, if they did, they got an answer.
11	Q They got an answer?
12	A That's right.
13	Q And in that enswer, did you ever tell one of
14	them, at one time, ever, that Mr. Davis leaned forward in
15	his seat and said, "Yeah"?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q When?
18	A Well, I don't remember.
19	Q What officer?
20	A Well, I can't I can't say what officer, you
21	know.
22	Q At what time, sir, in any conversation that you
23	have ever had with any officer, since August of 1969 to the
24	present time, did you ever tell an officer that Davis
25	leaned forward and said, "Yeah, yeah"?
26	A I don't remember what officer, you see.
27	Q When did you ever tell any officer that
28	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked

and answered. He said he doesn't remember when.

THE COURT: It has been asked and answered. Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, isn't it a fact, sir, that you were approached by one of the -- either the Deputy D.A.'s or the investigating officers in this case, just shortly before you were called to testify in this case against Bruce Davis, and said, "Look, we need that information."

Do you remember Davis saying anything like this "Yeah" during Grogan's statement to you?"

A Would you repeat that question?

THE COURT: Would you read it back, Mr. Williams?

(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Well, isn't it a fact, sir, that you were approached by one of the -- either the Deputy D.A.'s or the invewtigating officers in this case, just shortly before you were called to testify in this case against Bruce Davis, and said, 'Look, we need that information. Do you remember Davis saying anything like this "Yeah" during Grogan's statement to you?'")

MR. DENNY: That's a little complex. Just let me withdraw a little bit and go back step by step.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q BY MR. DENNY: When were you interviewed, sir, prior to testifying in this case, for this particular case?

A For this particular case?

	1	Q Yes. When were you interviewed by any Deputy
	2	District Attorneys?
	3	A It was it was two weeks ago, something like
•	4	that.
*	5	Q Where?
<i>€</i>	6	A Down below.
	7	Q Down somewhere on the sixth floor, in Mr. Kay's
	8	office or Mr. Manzella's office?
	9 .	A Yes.
	10	Q And who interviewed you?
	11	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be
	12	relevant.
	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
•	14	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, in the course of that
) ,	15	interview, was the subject matter of this alleged conversation
	16	of Clem Grogan, on the way down to Los Angeles, with you and
10c	f147.	Scotty and Mr. Davis, mentioned?
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
e: ej	23	
	24 25	
\$ <u>\$</u>	45	1
	96	
	26 27	
	26 27 28	

10c-1 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be 1 relevant. MR. DENNY: It is relevant, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Yes. Overruled. You may answer. 6 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question? MR. DENNY: Would you read it back, Mr. Williams? 7 Я (Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows: 9 Well, in the course of that interview, 10 was the subject matter of this alleged conversation 11 12 of Clem Grogan, on the way down to Los Angeles, 13 with you and Scotty and Mr. Davis, mentioned?") THE WITNESS: This conversation's been mentioned to --14 BY MR. DENNY: Sir, I am just asking: At that 15 Q 16 particular interview, was this alleged conversation mentioned? 17 A Which alleged conversation? 18 The only one we have been talking about for the Q 19 Okay. Α 20 -- last ten minutes, sir. Q 21 A Okay. 22 The one between you and Clem and Davis, with Q 23 Scotty in the car, as you say. 24 A Yes. 25 All right. Yes? And at that time, were you told, 26 "Look, we need Davis saying something here to get this evidence 27 in against him." 28 Were you told that?

10c-2 1 A No. 2 Q Were you told, "Look, it would be helpful if Davis 3 said something, concurring with Clem here." A No. "Do you remember him saying anything?" 6 A I remember being asked: What do I remember from 7 those conversations? And in the period, coming from Barker 8 to Los Angeles, in that trip. 9 Q All right. Now, sir, you say you specifically 10 remember, in this interview, a couple of weeks ago, --11 Ä Yeah. 12 Q -- being asked, --13 Yeah. A ı, 14 -- "What do you remember?" about that trip down 15 from Barker's to Los Angeles? 16 MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered. 17 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. 18 BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir. Now, is that the 19 only time, sir, that you remember specifically being asked 20 that by any officer or Deputy D. A.? 21 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant. 22 MR. DENNY: Relevant, again, to test his memory. 23 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 24 THE WITNESS: I've told people, you know, from the 25 District Attorney and the police, that -- about this conversa-26 tion before; and I can tell you the conversations that we had 27 in the car, the places that we stopped and all that. It's --28 it's just there, you know.

	1	
L0c-3	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, when was it that you returned
)	2	to the Spahn Ranch? After, say, August of 1969?
	3	A August? That was when the raid was, huh? August
<i>è</i>	4	was the raid?
	' 5	Q What raid are you talking about?
** *	6	A The one that up at Spahn's Ranch.
	7	Q Well, August 16th was the raid at Spahn's Ranch.
	8.	A Okay.
	9	Q I'm talking about
	10	A I left there
	11	Q Excuse me, sir.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q In October of 1969,
•	14	A Um-hmm.
,	15	Q you came back down to Los Angeles?
•	16	A In October? August was the raid. In about October,
	17	yes. Somewhere around in October.
	18	Q All right. Did you stay down in Los Angeles?
	19	A I stayed at Spahn's Ranch.
	20	Q. And how long did you stay at Spahn's Ranch?
	21	A Uh a good four, five, six months; somewhere in
	22	there.
Ĩ Ÿ	23	Q A good four or five, six months?
	24	A Right.
î. i,	25	Q And this was after after Charlie Manson had been
	26	arrested and safely locked up; is that right?
	27	A Well
	28	Q Is that right, sir?

A I -- I can't tell you the time, because I don't know -- I don't know the time, you see. I don't look at the calendars and say, "Well, this is one month; scratch one. Two months."

You see, I don't go like that.

Q That's not what I am asking.

A It was in the period when I came back to Spahn's Ranch. I stayed at Spahn's Ranch.

After that, I went to the desert again. And that was it. And then after I went to the desert, I came back to Spahn's Ranch, and I lived at Spahn's Ranch.

I moved from Spahn's Ranch because -THE COURT: All right. You've answered the question.
(Laughter.)

1

2

3

6

7

9

26

27

28

Q . BY MR. DENNY: There was a period of time, sir, when you sort of hid out with Ruby, though? You forgot that in that discourse; is that right?

MR. KAY: Objection --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: All right. I have no further questions.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAY:

Mr. Flynn, when you saw the guns that you've described at Meyers Ranch, at the dinner, in the lighting there, did it appear to be kind of bronze or gold-colored to you?

MR. DENNY: Object to that as leading and suggestive. THE COURT: Yes, it is. Sustained.

BY MR. KAY: Well, how did it appear to you? How did the color appear to you?

Well, it -- I know that it was a .45, Italian You see, a Colt Italian .45. qun,

I'll object to this, and move that it be MR. DENNY: stricken as not responsive.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. KAY: Go ahead.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: And if I did say, in previous testimony, I would like to say it on this court, that it was bronze, you It was my frame of mind at that time, you see. And it see.

could have been due to the lighting of the place, you see, 1 which --2 MR. DENNY: I'll move that all of the last be stricken. 3 THE COURT: "It could have been due to the lighting of 4 the place," that's stricken. 5 6 This is something for the jury to determine. Go 7 ahead. 8 BY MR. KAY: All right. Mr. Flynn, when you were 9 at Meyers Ranch, at this time when the gun was being passed 10 around, you didn't have the same lighting that you have here 11 in the courtroom, did you? 12 A No. 13 All right. You had one light that you've pre-Q 14 viously testified you thought was on the table? 15 Yes. On the table or somewhere. There was a A 16 light there, I know. 17 All right. Q 18 On the table, most likely. There's not too many 19 places you can hang it around there. 20 Let me ask you this; Q 21 While you were at the dinner table, and the gun 22 was being passed around, did you learn whose gun it was --23 Yes. A 24 -- that was being --25 MR. DEMNY: Just a moment. Now, I am going to object 26 and move that this Counsel be stricken -- be cited for mis-27 conduct. your Honor. He was here --28 MR. KAY: You can't strike me, Mr. Denny.

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kay, please. 2 Mr. Kay's remark is stricken, and the -- if there 3 was an answer, it is stricken. 4 MR. MANZELLA: And I would ask that Mr. Denny's comments 5 be stricken as well. 6 THE COURT: And Mr. Denny's as well. 7 BY MR. KAY: Now, in cross examination by 8 Mr. Denny, you said that -- or, he said that Manson was saying 9 certain things about you. Well, either he said that or you 10 said that. 11 What was Manson saying to you up at the Barker 12 Ranch that got you scared? 13 MR. DENNY: I will object to that as calling for hearsay. 14 MR. KAY: Well, I think -- you know, it was gone into 15 on cross examination. I think to explain whatever bias or 16 prejudice Mr. Denny is alleging, there might be --17 MR. DENNY: Still calling for hearsay. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MR. KAY: Well, it's not for the truth of the matter. 20 It's just --21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 THE WITNESS: What happens? 23 (Laughter.) 24 THE COURT: You can't answer. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 26 BY MR. KAY: Was Mr. Manson the only one up at the 27 Barker Ranch that was saying things to you that got you 28 scared?

		1	A No.
		2	Q Did Mr. Davis say anything to you that got you
		3	scared?
	3	4	A Well
	€	['] 5	MR. DENNY: That's a yes or no question.
	ĸ	6	THE WITNESS: Yes,
		7	Q BY MR. KAY: All right. Now, because of what the
		8	Family has said to you; Mr. Manson or Mr. Davis or anything
		9	that's happened would you come into this courtroom and lie
		10	on the witness stand to get Mr. Davis convicted of first degree
		11	murder?
		12	MR. DENNY: I will object to that as irrelevant and
	4 .	13	immaterial, and self-serving.
	5	14	MR. KAY: It's not irrelevant or immaterial.
	ر خ	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	fol	16	
		17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
	ŧ.	23 24	
		25	
	*	26	
		27	
		28	
•		20	

11-1 1	Q BY MR. KAY: Mr. Flynn, do you feel such animosit
2	towards Mr. Davis that you would come into this courtroom and
3	lie?
. 4	MR. DENNY: Object to that as also calling for self-
5	serving opinion.
ž 6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	MR. KAY: Well, may we approach the bench, your Honor?
8	THE COURT: No, you may not.
9	MR. KAY: Well, I think since Mr. Denny went into this
10	on cross, I think that I should be allowed to get a statement
11	of Mr. Flynn's frame of mind, his state of mind.
12	THE COURT: All right, rephrase your question.
13	MR. KAY: All right.
: 14	Q Mr. Flynn, what is your state of mind about
15	testifying here at this triel?
16	MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant, immaterial,
17	asking for
18	THE COURT: Rather vague, all right, sustained.
19	Q BY MR. KAY: All right, Mr. Flynn, do you feel
20	any bias or prejudice egainst Mr. Davis?
21	A No.
22	MR. KAY: I have no further questions.
23	MR. DENNY: I have no questions.
.24	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Flynn, you may step down.
* 25	May he be excused, gentlemen?
26	MR. MANZELLA: Yes.
27	MR. DENNY: No objection.
28	THE COURT: You may be excused. You needn't wait.

11-2

б

 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would request pursuant to the Evidence Code that he still be kept on call.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Flynn, would you make your whereabouts known to Mr. Kay and Mr. Manzella in the next two weeks?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: During the next two weeks.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, can we have a ten or fifteen-minute recess at this point?

THE COURT: I prefer not to, but if you have to -- MR. MANZELLA: We have to.

THE COURT: Let me suggest that the People in the ensuing time try to arrange their witnesses so that they are present so we won't have any appreciable delays as we've had and the Court would like to ask everybody to be on time.

Mr. Denny, you were late about ten minutes this afternoon and I understand that sometimes that happens. I called this at 1:30 and I have been accustomed to calling it at 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon and hereafter I'll call it at 1:30 and try to start promptly at 9:30 no matter what the business of the Court may be.

Perhaps we can comply with our estimate, everyone's estimate that the matter would be terminated by the middle or the end of February. At least the end of February, we should try to have a shot at it.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, excuse me, before the recess I would like to read a statement in the Grogan transcript, Volume 10, as a prior consistent statement of Mr.Flynn.

11-3

1 2

20[.]

THE COURT: You've got to get Mr. Flynn back on the stand, if you wish.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, if I am cited for saying things about a prior inconsistent statement, what is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander.

THE COURT: The statement about any statement being consistent or inconsistent, whether it is said by the Court or the counsel, is stricken because you are the people who determine the evidence in this case, the facts in this case. You deduce the facts from what's presented as evidence.

So that's stricken.

MR. KAY: Excuse me, your Honor, I believe under -THE COURT: At this time you are in recess. You are
not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else,
nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject
connected with the matter --

MR. KAY: May we remain on the record after the jury has left for just a second?

THE COURT: Yes, you may remain on the record.

(Whereupon, the jury retired from the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KAY: It is my understanding under the case law, it is pretty clear, the witness does not have to be on the stand to read either a prior inconsistent statement or a prior consistent statement.

MR. DENNY: Well, it is my understanding a prior consistent statement has to be made at a time when there's

•

,lla fls.

not --

THE COURT: A motive to fabricate.

MR. DENNY: A motive to fabricate any statement made in the Grogen trial hardly fulfills that criteria, your Honor.

MR. KAY: There certainly has been no motive to fabricate shown on the part of Mr. Flynn.

And certainly his -- Mr. Denny, on cross-examination, has tried to bring up that the statement was of recent origin and here it appears in a transcript on October 6th, 1971, from the Grogan trial.

THE COURT: Yes, the intimation was from the crossexamination that this conversation that was held two weeks
ago in the District Attorney's Office was the motivation
for Mr. Flynn's stating that now he remembers. And that was
the intimation and the trend of the questioning. It appeared
to the Court that if he said something that was consistent
prior to this time with his present testimony that it would
be a prior consistent statement.

MR. DENNY: I would agree if it were consistent. I would like to have Mr. Kay read again into the record what this alleged consistent statement is, which I submit is no consistent statement.

THE COURT: All right, what is it?

11a-1

 MR. KAY: Well, I've already read it once, but I'll read it again.

"A Well, first Mr. Grogan pointed out to me that if anyone asked me about Shorty, that I should tell them that he went to San Francisco, see. And Mr. Bruce Davis agreed, you know, that I should, you know, implied."

Now, obviously he couldn't say exactly what Mr. Davis said because that would have been hearsay in the Grogan trial:

MR. DENNY: There is no reason on earth why he couldn't say what Mr. Davis said other than that he implied agreement.

That is not a consistent statement.

MR. KAY: He didn't say he implied agreement. He said Mr. Davis agreed. Mr. Bruce Davis agreed.

MR. DENNY: "Mr. Bruce Davis agreed, you know, that I should, you know, implied."

THE COURT: Well, it is consistent with his position now. And I think therefore it would be admissible even though to say somebody agreed is a conclusion. It is not introduced for the purpose of establishing whether it was said or whether it was true.

MR. KAY: Just that it is a prior consistent -THE COURT: Whether it was true, that it was simply to
show that in some way it is consistent with what he states now.

MR. KAY: Sure.

MR. DENNY: Well, in some way, your Honor -- if it is not on all fours a prior inconsistent statement, you can't use it

11a-2

12 fol

as an inconsistent statement. If it does not directly contradict what the party has testified to.

Now, by the same analogy, if you are going to use a consistent statement, I believe it should be a consistent statement and not one that's equivocal. And this is equivocal. You can't impeach somebody as — an equivocal statement as a prior inconsistent statement, you can only impeach him by one that is actually inconsistent. No more than you can try to rehabilitate a witness by showing an equivocal consistent statement.

THE COURT: I think that it would be admissible to show that it is not a recent fabrication.

I'll hear from you at the resumption of the case.

MR. KAY: What I propose to do is just read the statement.

MR. DENNY: Not without Mr. Flynn here, not without Mr. Flynn here. I'm not going to stipulate to any such reading.

MR. KAY: You don't have to stipulate. I think that under the law I can read the statement, just like you can after a witness is gone, you can read his inconsistent statements.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Flynn should be here.

MR. DENNY: I have the right to further cross examination on it.

THE COURT: Can you catch him?

MR. KAY: I'll see.

THE COURT: We're in recess.

(Afternoon recess.)

, 4 3 12-1 1 THE COURT: The record will show all jurors are present. 2 The defendant is present now. 3 Call your next witness. MR. MANZELLA: The People call Mr. Alan Springer. THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand? 6 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you are 7 about to give in the cause now pending before this court shall 8 be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so 9 help you God? 10 THE WITNESS: I do. ΙĿ 12 ALAM LEROY SPRINGER, 13 having been called as a witness by and on behalf of the 14 People, was duly sworn, and testified as follows: 15 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 16 THE BAILIFF: State and spell your full name. 17 THE WITNESS: Alan Leroy Springer; S-p-r-i-n-g-e-r. 18 THE REPORTER: Is that A-1-1-e-n? 19 THE WITNESS: A-1-a-n; L-e-r-o-y. 20 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MANZELLA: 24 Mr. Springer, do you know the defendant in this 25 case, Mr. Bruce Davis? 26 A Yes, I do. 27 And do you know a man by the name of Danny DeCarlo? Q 28 Yes, I do. A

12-2	1	Q And how did you meet Danny Decarlo?
	2	A I met him in the motorcycle club I used to be in.
	3	Q What was the name of that club?
3	4	A Straight Satans, Venice.
	5	Q Do you know where DeCarlo is now?
ř	6	MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.
	8	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: When did you first meet Bruce
	9	Davis?
	10	A Around the 11th or 12th of August of '69.
	11	Q And where did you meet him?
	12	A At the Spahn Movie Ranch.
4	13	Q Were you a member of the Straight Satans at that
	14	time?
 ₩ ૐ	15	A Yes, I was.
·	16	Q And was Decarlo a member of the Straight Satans
	17	at that time?
	18	A Yes, he was.
	19	Q And where was DeCarlo living at that time?
	20	A He was at that time living at the ranch.
	21	Q What ranch?
	22	A Spahn Movie Ranch.
\$- •	23	Q And did you go up to Spahn Ranch on the 11th or 12th
	24	of August of '69?
\$ #	25	A Yes, I did.
)	26	Q And what was your purpose in going up to Spahn Ranch?
	27	A To talk Danny DeCarlo into coming back down to
	28	Venice.
	!	

2-4	1	A That's the same one that he was carrying.
	2	Q And is that the same one that was made by
	3	George Knoll?
\$ *	4	A Yes, sir.
r ù	5	Q Now, did you see and talk to Danny DeCarlo at
	б	Spahn Ranch on the 11th or 12th of August of 69, when you went
	7	up there?
	8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q And what was DeCarlo doing at the ranch, when you
	10	saw him on that date?
	11	A He was laying around, all covered up with flies.
	12	He was drunk,
	13	Q ' Now, as of August of '69, were you both that
•	14	is, both you and DeCarlo members of the Straight Satans?
, ;	15	A Yes, we were.
•	16	Q Now, sometime after that date, the 11th or 12th of
	17	August of '69, did you return to Spahn Ranch with other
	18	members of the Straight Satans?
	19	A Yes, we did. Friday night, August the 15th of
	20	'69, we returned.
	21	Q And did you again see and talk to Danny DeCarlo?
2a fol	22	A Yes, we did.
4	23	
	24	
ž Ž	25	
	26	
•	27	
	28	

191		
12a-1	1	Q Did Danny DeCarlo leave with you on that occasion
	2	when you left the ranch?
	3	A Yes. We left for several hours, until about
8 .	4	2:00 o'clock in the morning, of the 16th.
	5	Q And did Danny DeCarlo then return to the Spahn
£	6	Ranch?
	7	A No, he didn't. He wanted to stay until the
	8	afternoon of the next day.
	9	Q And where did strike that.
	10	Did Danny DeCarlo return to the Spahn Ranch?
	11	MR. DENNY: Asked and answered.
	12	THE WITNESS: He stayed there. He didn't leave.
	13	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry. I misunderstood,
*	14	then. I misunderstood you.
•	15	Did Danny DeCarlo leave Spahn Ranch when you
,	16	asked him to?
:	17	A No, he didn't.
:	18	Q All right. Now, I don't know if I've asked you
1	19	this or not. But when you saw the sword being carried by
:	20	Charles Manson at the ranch, on the 11th or 12th of August
:	21	of '69, was it in one piece or two pieces, as it is now?
:	22	. A It was in one piece.
	23	Q And when did you next strike that.
<u>,</u>	24	In whose possession was the sword, Paople's 20,
\$ 5	25	when you next saw it?
:	26	A When I next saw it, it was in George Knoll's
:	27	possession.
-	28	Q Now, did you see Bruce Davis at Spahn Ranch on

12 a -2	1	the 11th or 12th of August of '69?	
	2	A No. No, I didn't.	
	3	Q Did you see him on the 15th?	
ž.	4	A No, I I saw him on	
*	5	MR. DENNY: I'm going to object	
\$	6	THE WITNESS: on the 11th, 12th and 13th. But I	
	7	didn't see him on the 15th.	
	8	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. You did see him	
	9	the first time you went up there,	
	10	A Yes, I did.	
	11	Q or the 11th or 12th?	
	12	And you did not see him on the 15th?	
ı.	13	A No. He wasn't there the 15th.	
	14	Or if he was, I didn't see him.	
D'ş E	15	Q All right. When was the next time that you saw	
	16	Bruce Davis?	
	17	A It was a day or so before or after Thanksgiving.	٠
	18	Q Of 169?	
	19	A Yes. I don't know what the date was.	
	20	Q And where did you see him?	
	21	A At a place 28 Clubhouse Drive	
	22	Q Where?	
A .	23	A In Venice.	
	24	Q Is that anywhere near your clubhouse?	
₹ 	25	A Just a few doors down.	
	26	Q And did you go to the 28 Clubhouse Drive	
	27	address with anyone?	
-	28	A Yes, I did.	

	- 1	,
12a-3	1	Q And who was that?
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2	A With Robert Reed.
	3	Q And who is he?
	4	A He was a member of the club also.
	5	Q The Straight Satans?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And did Reed remain at the house, after you
	8	enterad?
	9	A He stayed outside.
	10	Q Now, after Reed left or, while he stayed
	n	outside, who was present in the house besides yourself?
	12	A That was me, and a fellow, Mark Ross, and a
	13	couple of girls, and Bruce Davis.
jê. 4	14	Q And did Bruce Davis show you anything while you
*	15	were at the house?
•	16	A Yes, he did.
	17	Q What did he show you?
	18	A A newspaper clipping from the Evening Outlook,
	19	I believe, of Santa Monica.
	20	Q And did he direct your attention to any particular
	21	article in the paper?
	:22	A Yes, the part about Gary Himman's Bobby Beauso-
3 V	23	leil trial, where Danny had testified.
	24	Q Danny DeCarlo had testified?
ā Č	25	A Yes.
Ď	26	Q Was the article a report of DeCarlo's testimony?
	27	A Yes, it was.
	28	Q All right. Did you read the article, after Mr.

L2 a -4	4 1	Davis showed it to you?
	2	A Yes, I did.
	3.	Q Did you say anything after you read the article?
<u>%</u> .	4	A I says, "I didn't like"
*	5	MR. DENNY: Just a moment. This is calling for
; ;	∕6 ⋅	hearsay. Just a yes or no answer.
	7	THE COURT: You may answer yes or no.
	8	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you say anything after you
	9	read the article?
	10	A Yes.
	n	Q What did you say?
	12	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as calling for hearsay,
_	13	your Honor.
*	14	I would like to approach the bench at this time,
•	15	to make what may be a somewhat protracted motion.
•	16	THE COURT: You may approach the bench.
	17	(Whereupon the following proceedings were had
	18	at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the
	19	hearing of the jury.)
12b	fls. 20	THE COURT: What do you expect Mr. Springer to say?
	. 21	•
	22	
4	23	
•	24	
ii F	25	
	26	
Ö	27	
	28	

12b-1

_

î

 MR. MANZELLA: I expect him to say that he didn't like the idea of DeCarlo testifying against Beausoleil; that Davis replied that they had ways of dealing with snitches;

And that Springer then said, "Well, it's hard to deal with DeCarlo, because he's a bike brother--" or, "he's a member of the Straight Satans," or something like that;

And that Davis then said that they had taken care of the guy at the ranch who was always drunk, and they were afraid he was going to inform on the police -- or, he had been informing to the police, and that they had cut him up and buried him -- and Clem had buried him at the ranch, or near the ranch;

That Mark Ross then said -- then asked, "Do you mean Shorty?"

And Davis said, "Yesh."

MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor. It will take some chronology, but one of the reasons that I have been attempting so diligently to get the tape of the interview with this witness, and the officers Gutierrez, Sartuche, Nielsen, whoever it was who interviewed him around the time of October 15th to 25, as he has previously testified -- is to determine the fact that at that time he told them -- gave them information concerning the Manson Family;

That at that time he became a spy, an informant for the police; that his actions since that time have indicated just exactly that;

And that between the period of October 15 to 25th, which is the time he has testified all of a sudden he put

3

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25 26

27

28

things together, and it came to him, and he talked to the police officers about Manson and the Manson deals and the Manson murders and all of that -- and November 26th, which is the date on which this testimony, according to prior reports and the Grand Jury hearing, indicate the testimony -the conversation occurred, the police did suspect Davis, Manson, Beausoleil, Watson, of complicity in the Beausoleil murder -- I'm sorry; in the Hinman murder, in the Tate murders, the La Bianca murders, and in the Shorty Shea murders:

That on October 12th, Manson and all the rest of the members of the Family, the male members, were arrested; they were placed into custody; there was a great deal of publicity at the time that they had -- that is, that the police had the murderers of the Tate and La Bianca murders.

Danny DeCarlo had already testified at that time, and the newspaper reports reflected that Manson and Davis were supposed to have been involved in the Hinman murder.

And that's one of the -- that's one of the reasons why his testimony was of such great importance. the record will show -- and I think the Court could take judicial notice of it; the file is in the record here, and I can point out to the November mute order, showing that, over strong objection of the defense attorney, Leon Salter at the time, the People were permitted to reopen their case in chief, in order to put on Danny DeCarlo, who then did

5,

*

 testify to evidence implicating Manson, Davis and others in the Hinman murder.

THE COURT: In short, you object to this conversation for what reason?

MR. DENNY: Under the case of Messiah vs. the United States and other related cases, that this particular witness was acting as an agent for the police; that he went to this location specifically to get information, if he could, from Mr. Davis:

That the record reflects that on that very date --

THE COURT: Does that make it bad? Does that make it inadmissible?

MR. DENNY: I submit it does, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. For what reason?

MR. DENNY: In that at the time -- although Davis was not in custody, he was a suspect at the time, and that the police were using a ruse, through this witness, to attempt to get --

THE COURT: Does the Messiah case say that you can't use a ruse in the course of investigatory work, if you are a police officer?

MR. DENNY: I am saying that this was beyond the investigatory stage; that although he was not in custody, that it was beyond the investigatory stage; that they had investigated the Himman murder up to that point rather well, and finally they got DeCarlo's testimony, which implicated Bruce Davis.

It was no longer a question of investigatory stage. At that point, the accusatory stage had been reached--although Bruce Davis was still not in custody.

THE COURT: So you are saying that without a Miranda warning, that this shouldn't come in?

MR. DENNY: This should not come in, absolutely, because he was working as an agent for the police.

Now, further, your Honor --

12c-1

 THE COURT: Do you know that this is true?

MR. MANZELLA: No.

MR. DENNY: Well, let me just further qualify this.

MR. MANZELLA: I don't believe it is true, that on

November 26th -- and the record does indicate that Sergeant

Whiteley had a conversation with him -- that is, with Springer

-- in which Springer purported to relate this conversation that
he had had with Davis; directly to Sergeant Whiteley.

And that's -- I don't have the page number specifically, but --

THE COURT: Well, whatever it might be, he related it to Whiteley.

MR. DENNY: All right. It is in the homicide manual that that very day, the day of this alleged conversation, he went and related that to Whiteley.

I think it is quite clear, from the circumstances, that he was working as an agent for thepolice; that he was seeking information from him; and that this statement that he is now about to relate, that the People are seeking to have him relate, --

THE COURT: Well, the People --

MR. DENNY: -- was a product of that.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, Messiah is a Sixth Amendment case.

Messiah deals with the right — with the interference with a

defendant's right to an attorney. It involves — it was a

federal case, and it involved interrogations by a police agent,

an informant, after a defendant had been indicted.

MR. KAY: Yeah. He was in jail, I believe, at the time.

12c-2

-6

 MR. DENNY: No, he wasn't in jail. He was in a car, Messiah was.

MR. KAY: That's right.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, that's right. And I don't believe that a Sixth Amendment case would apply in this case, because Davis had not been charged with a crime at that time, and was not represented by an attorney.

So there could be no interference with his right to an attorney.

Now, on the other grounds stated by Mr. Denny, I believe he stated that since Springer was a police agent, that Davis was entitled to be advised of his constitutional rights.

The People's position is that there is no evidence that he was the police agent. He was acting -- he was a private citizen at the time. He has always been a private citizen. He was not acting as an agent for the police.

And that therefore, under Miranda, there's no requirement that a private citizen advise another person of his constitutional rights.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, we get into --

MR. MANZELLA: Furthermore -- there's one other point, and that is that Springer didn't ask Davis any questions. Davis is the one who brought up the Santa Monica Evening Outlook article about DeCarlo testifying.

MR. DENNY: Well, this is according to his own testimony, which is in doubt.

MR. MANZELLA: But Mr. Denny has no other statements.

MR. KAY: We can get Mr. Davis on the stand, if he wants to.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will ask the jury to leave, stay out in the hallway, until we can find out some facts about this.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jurys)

THE COURT: We will ask you to leave the courtroom briefly, and remain out in the hallway.

During the time that you are outside, remember that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter.

the state of the state of

13-1

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

(Whereupon, the jury retired from the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Mr. Springer, before you had this conversation with Bruce Davis in connection with the article concerning prosecution of Bobby Beausoleil, had you spoken to any police officers concerning this case, the Shea case, or any other case?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe I have. I can't recall.

THE COURT: The conversation that you describe or were about to describe took place in November of 1969?

THE WITNESS: August, September, October, possibly. I don't remember the exact day. I don't --

THE COURT: You don't remember the exact date.

Before that time had there been any suggestion by any police officer that you could do the police department or the Sheriff's Office a service if you were to get some information about the Shea case?

THE WITNESS: Definitely not.

THE COURT: Or about the Manson Family?

THE WITNESS: What I done, I done strictly on my own.

THE COURT: Just --

THE WITNESS: I said what I done, I done on my own. I wasn't told by nobody.

THE COURT: Did anybody from any law enforcement body suggest to you that you could be very helpful if you could put together some information concerning the Tate killings or the Hinman killing or the Shea case?

 THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: When you were talking with Mr. Davis, did you have in mind garnering or getting any information and relaying it to somebody?

THE WITNESS: I was trying to get information for my own personal use.

THE COURT: When you may you acted on your own, what do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: I mean I was now, I guess, and I just went down there to find out what was going on and their feelings toward Danny DeCarlo.

THE COURT: Before that time had you talked to anyone in law enforcement, any Deputy District Attorney, any Deputy prosecutor or any prosecutor or any officer that suggested that you could profit by adopting such a --

THE WITNESS: I talked to the homicide squad about the Manson case, but I hadn't talked to them about going down and seeing Bruce Davis or anybody else that was down there.

THE COURT: Well, did they suggest to you, the homicide squad suggest to you that you could be helpful to them if you could pick up some information?

THE WITNESS: No, they didn't suggest that. But I knew that if I could pick up some information that it would be helpful to them.

THE COURT: Did you that same day report the conversation that you had with Davis to the police?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was that day or a few days after. I don't know exactly what day it was.

3

5

6

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Very soon thereafter you reported it? THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. I didn't keep notes on the fact. I just --

. .

THE COURT: And whom did you talk to?

THE WITNESS: Sergeant Gutierrez.

THE COURT: How about Sergeant Whiteley?

THE WITNESS: Sergeant Whiteley, and there was --

THE COURT: Was Gutierrez and Whiteley together?

THE WITNESS: Guenther and Gutierrez was together.

THE COURT: Guenther and Gutierrez?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Whiteley separate?

It was Whiteley and -- Whiteley and THE WITNESS: Guenther, too, for a while. I guess he had another partner. I don't know what his name was.

THE COURT: Why did you run to the police with that information you got?

THE WITNESS: Well, I already had my foot off into it.

THE COURT: What do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: I had talked to them about the Manson case and I knew whatever else I could help and these people are out killing people and there's no sense in that and it is just not my thing.

THE COURT: In other words, you thought you were being helpful on your own?

THE WITNESS: Of service, yes.

THE COURT: And did any of these officers you talked to promise you anything?

THE WITNESS: Uh, they gave me immunity on a couple 13-4 I had a grand theft and a suspicion of grand theft. of cases. 13a fol 3

13a-1

1

2

3

5

б

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Before you had this conversation, did they promise you this immunity?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they did.

THE COURT: In other words, they told you if you could get some information and bring it back that there might be immunity in it for you?

THE WITNESS: Something of that sort.

THE COURT: So it wasn't entirely our own, it was something that you did at the suggestion of the officers; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Uh, when I first started with the Manson, that was on my own. I was in the Venice jail. And then, after that, I had already been off into it, and so I says, well, there's no sense in letting them go farther and if I did know anything I was going to report it.

THE COURT: At the time you talked to Davis on that day, had you been talked to about immunity before that time?

THE WITNESS: I can't remember. I believe so.

THE COURT: So you knew what you were gathering might result in some testimony and you possibly would be granted immunity in talking about it, is that right?

THE WITNESS: I didn't know it was going to be used in testimony. But I went down and I told them. Like I gave them the knife without their asking for it or "Could I get it" or snything. I just got it and I give it to them.

THE COURT: When did you give them the knife? Was it before or after the Davis conversation you were about to describe?

, į

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

THE WITNESS: It was sometime after the raid. I don't recall whether it was after it or not. Like I said, I didn't keep notes.

Q Or was it -- well, was it before the conversation or after.

THE WITNESS: I believe it was before the conversation.

THE COURT: All right. Then, at the time you gave
them the knife is when you heard about the possibility of
immunity if you could give some information?

THE WITNESS: No, they had told me that before that period.

THE COURT: Even before you turned the knife in?

THE WITNESS: Well, I had talked to them in the Venice

Police Department and I was concerned for Danny DeCarlo's

getting mixed up in the murders. So I wanted to help him.

He had been my friend and helped me get in the motorcycle

club, so everything I could do to help show that he was

not involved in it -- so --

THE COURT: You were interested, in the first place, of helping DeCarlo?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, this promise by the police that if you were to gain information you would get immunity --

THE WITNESS: Well --

THE COURT: -- did that come about before you delivered the sword to them? Is that --

13a-3

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

. 16

17

18

19

2Q

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THE WITNESS: I believe so, but it was offered to
Danny DeCarlo and all the help he could get. And so I was
helping Danny DeCarlo who, more or less -- but it was offered
to me sometime later, but I don't know what time later it
was offered to me.

THE COURT: Well, was it offered before or after the conversation you were about to describe?

THE WITNESS: Uh, I believe it was offered before.
But they hadn't sent me out to gather anything.

THE COURT: Well, did -- did you go out to gather information here from Bruce Davis at the request of the police?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

THE COURT: You just -- it was just sort of a general assignment that you took upon yourself to complete with the hope that you would get some immunity?

THE WITNESS: And to help Danny DeCarlo, yes.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. DENNY: Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Q. This phrase that the Court used, "Did you go to gather information from Davis at the request of the police," -- they told you anything you could get they would use; is that right?

- A No, they told me to keep my nose out of it.
- Q Sir, they told you -- you got them the sword,

13-4	is that right, beforehand?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All right. And they thanked you for that?
. 4	A No, they didn't say, "Thanks." I guess I just
<u>.</u> 5	give it to them and left.
<u>e</u> i 6	Q All right. And before you got the sword,
7	they had talked to you about getting immunity yourself on
8	these charges; the grand theft charge that you talked
9.	to the Court about, right?
10	A They said they could help me in the case, but
13b fls. 11	they couldn't guarantee anything.
12	
13	
3 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 * `aa	
20	
21	·
23	
.24	
ž 25 .	
26	
27	
28	

Q All right, sir. But they did say if you get anything let us know, right?

A Yeah.

MR. DEMNY: All right, no further questions.

THE WITNESS: No, they didn't say -- well, they said if I remember anything, not if I get anything. They didn't send me off to get anything. What I done, I done entirely my own self, trying to help Danny. What I had coming was just jail time.

What he had coming was life imprisonment or whatever, because it was murder and I know Danny couldn't do anything like that anyhow.

THE COURT: What you are saying, Springer, is the agreement was that if you testified to what you had already told them that you would get immunity or are you saying --

THE WITNESS: No, they would help me out in my court case, is the thing. Immunity is the wrong thing to be using. They said they would help me out. Like for example I would get five years in jail. Maybe they would get it down to two years or something like that.

THE COURT: When did they tell you that? Sometime before you got the sword?

THE WITNESS: I don't remember exactly what time it was.
THE COURT: Here's what I am after.

I want to know what the arrangement was between you and the officers you talked with?

THE WITNESS: Well, when I was arrested in Venice, they asked me if I knew anything about anything, and I says, "Well, I think I might know something about the Tate case."

At that time the homicide department went down there and talked to me and I told them I had to talk to some-body else. And I didn't tell them who it was, but it was Danny Dacarlo.

So I went and got him and let him talk to the police and he was already in a jam.

And so they said, we'll help you out if you help us.

THE COURT: Go on.

THE WITNESS: So -- well, that's it. And I helped it.

THE COURT: That's when they laid it out for you?

THE WITNESS: That they would help us out.

THE COURT: Halp you?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What did they say? What did they tell you to do to help out?

THE WITNESS: If anything I remembered or heard that could be helpful to tell them.

THE COURT: Now, you said --

THE WITNESS: But they didn't send me out after it.

THE COURT: You said they told you to keep your nose out of it.

What do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: They said I should stay away because maybe I might could get myself killed.

THE COURT: How did that come about, that conversation?
THE WITNESS: Well, while we was just talking.

THE COURT: Well, did you suggest that you might become

13b-3

3

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 13c fol

26

27

28

more deeply involved and pick up some information for them or how did it happen, how did the conversation come about?

THE WITNESS: I didn't volunteer to them as a paid employee or anything else to go out and get information. What I got, I just gave them.

THE COURT: Well, when you got this information that you were about to relate, that is this conversation that you were about to relate, did you do that at the order of any police Officers?

THE WITNESS: Definitely not.

THE COURT: Well, had you made any arrangements with police officers to get any information, to acquire any new information?

THE WITNESS: No. I didn't.

THE COURT: They just told you that whatever you might get or whatever you might remember or whatever you might get, whatever you might say, that they would in some way or other compensate you by assisting you with your cases?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: In effect, that's what --

THE WITNESS: Something in a roundabout way.

THE COURT: That's the way it was put.

Anybody?

MR. DENNY: Yes, if I may, your Honor.

13c-	1
------	---

··· **...**

3

1

2

5.

6

7 8

0

10

11

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 [

27

28

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Q Mr. Springer, the Court asked you a compound question there, three different things.

Whatever you might remember, they would try to be helpful to you, is that right?

A Right.

Q Whatever you might say to them, they would try to be helpful to you?

A But not in this case, in the Manson case. They haven't talked to me about this case or nothing.

Q All right. And whatever you might get and report to them, that it would be helpful for you -- helpful to you, is that right?

A No, they didn't say what I could get. If anything I could remember --

MR. DENNY: Miss Briandi, I asked you to mark a passage back there.

You said the Homicide Department came down and I said at cetera, at cetera, and they said we'll help you out if you help us, anything I remembered or heard to tell them.

Could you read that back, please?

THE COURT: No need to read that back, the Court heard that.

MR. DENNY: All right.

Q They did say anything you heard, report in to them?

13e-2	1	A They said enything I remembered. And I said if				
	2	I could get anything or hear anything I meant in that way.				
	3	Q All right. And they said if you hear anything,				
	4	let us know?				
¥.	5	A I said if I get anything I'll let you know.				
f ž	6	Q All right.				
	7	A But it can be turned around any way you want to				
	8	put it.				
	9	Q All right.				
	10	I have no further questions, your Honor.				
	11	MR. KAY: Oh, go shead.				
	12					
	13	EXAMINATION				
3	14	BY MR. MANZELLA:				
) ≨	15	Q Mr. Springer, did the police officers promise				
₹.	16	that they would dismiss two cases against you or one case				
	17	against you?				
	18	A Yes, in the Manson case.				
	19	Q You mean in the Tate-La Bianca case?				
	20	A Tate-La Bianca case.				
	Ž1	Q When you say "in that case," you are referring				
	22	to investigators in that case?				
.1 % ••••	23	A Yes.				
÷	24	Q Gutierrez, is that one of the investigators?				
9 *	25	A Sergeant Gutlerrez, yes.				
	126	Q All right. Now, he told you he promised you				
; ;	! 27 [†]	he would dismiss a case pending against you, a grand theft?				
	28	A Yes.				

13c-3	1	Q When did he tell you that?
	2	A He told me that I don't know what date it was.
	3	But was around the time they was up and they searched one of
<i>5</i> -	4	the canyons up there. I don't know what date it was exactly.
3. ,	5	Q Was it before or after the conversation with
ž K	6	Davis?
	7 -	A That was before. I'm positive it was before.
} .	, 8	Q And at that time had you given Gutierrez informa-
,	, 9	tion about the Manson Family?
•	10	A Yes, I had.
	, u.,	Q Did he say why he was going to dismiss why
	12	he promised you he would dismiss the grand theft, what that
	13	was for, that promise?
	14	A The Tate-La Bianca testification. Q Well, was it for information that you had already
*	15	Q Well, was it for information that you had already
•	16	given him or was it for testifying in the Tate-La Bianca
	17	case; what was it for?
	18	A It was for the information I had already given
	19	him.
	20	Now, did you did anyone promise you anything
	21	to get more information?
	22	A No, they didn't.
*	23	Q What about the second case? There was another
** *	24	grand theft that you were promised would be dismissed, is
\$* .*	25	that right?
	26	A Yes, they promised to dismiss it, but my own
	27	attorney beat it anyhow before him.
	28	Q Why what was that promise for, if you recall?

13c-4 I can't recall. A 1 THE COURT: What do you mean by that? 2 dismissed in a motion? 3 THE WITNESS: It was dismissed, yes. BY MR. MANZELLA: Was that -- was a promise to 5 dismiss that case made in return for your going out to get information? No. Now, before you had this conversation with 9 Q 10 Bruce Davis -- in other words, before you went to 28 Clubhouse Drive around Thanksgiving of '69, who did you Į1 talk to? 13 I believe it was Sergeant Gutierrez. A The same officer who promised to dismiss --15 Him and -- Sergeant Gutierrez and Sergeant Guenther, I believe. . 17 And did you tell Gutierrez that you -- at that 18 time did Gutierrez say anything about you associating with 19 members of the Manson Family? 20 No, he didn't. 21 Well, who told you to stay out of it? Q 22 Well, Mr. Gutierrez did. Α 23 Q And what was he referring to? 24 It is not my job. These guys are liable to A 25 kill me so I'd better may away from there. 26 He told that to you? Q 27 A Right. 28 Did he make -- or did he tell you anything in Q

13¢	-5		1
			2
			3
÷			4
Ĺ			5
*			6
			7
			8
			9
			10
			11,
			12
L4 £	1.8	•	13
B 3			14
		1	15
. •	ı	,	16
*		. ,	17
•	; }	•	18
		,	19
		,	20
		į v	.21,
	**		22
			23
3			24
\$ 4			25
5			26
<u> </u>			27

substance that -- or in essence that if you went out and got more information than you had already given him that he had promised you he would do something for you?

A No, I just figured in my own mind if I did it would help.

Q Had he already promised you to dismiss the grand theft?

A Yes, he had.

Well, I think his words were, "I can't promise you snything, but I can help you. I'll do what I can."

I thought in my own mind, "Well, whatever he could do would be better than what I could do," so there you go.

14-1	1	Q All right. But no matter what it is, whether
	2	it was a promise or an offer to help or what it was, was it
	3	made for information you had already given him
÷	4	A Yes, it was.
<u>\$</u>	5	Q or was the offer made for things that you
\$ \$	6	were to do in the future? Information you were to gather
	7	or get in the future?
	8 ,	A For what I had already done. Because for
	9	what I was going to do in the future, I was going to do
	10	on my own. I thought I was going to surprise them with
	11	it.
	12.	Q And Gutierrez told you to stay out of it?
	13	A Yes.
	14	MR. MANZELLA: All right. No further questions.
.	15	MR. DENNY: May I inquire a moment, your Honor?
	16	THE COURT: Yes.
	17	,
	18	EXAMINATION
	19	BY MR. DENNY:
	20	Q When you talked to Gutiermez, you called him
	21	down to Venice that's where you were, weren't you, in
	22	Venice, when you had your initial conversation?
	23	A I don't know who the man was who came down to
#	24	investigate Venice.
á.	25	Q Well, where was it you talked to Gutierrez?
	26	A Downtown L.A., I believe, at 150 L. A. Street.
	27	Q They brought you down there from Venice?
	28	A No. I went down there on my own. I had

14-2	1	got myself out on bail from Venice, with no promises from
	2	them.
	3	Q All right. Then, when you went down, you
₽ '	4	talked to him, and you talked to him about the fact that
è	5	you had been up to the ranch on August 11th or 12th; isn't
ž	6	that right?
	7	A I
	8	Q To get Danny?
	9	A I got Danny DeCarlo after I got out of the
	10	Venice jail, and I had him go talk to them.
	11	Q Sir, you also talked to them; is that not
	12	correct? You talked to them when you went down to 150
	13	North Los Angeles Street?
; 	14	A Yes, I did.
<u>.</u>	15	Q And you talked to Gutierres; is that right?
	16	A Yes, I did among others.
	17	Q And was this tape recorded, or this interview?
	18	A Well, I don't know whether it was or not. I
	19	wasn't aware of it, if it was.
	20	Q Was there a reporter like this gentleman here
	21	(indicating), taking stuff down from you and DeCarlo?
14#	fls. ²²	A I don't remember. I don't think so.
	23	Q All right. And at that time, you and DeCarlo
ž	24	discussed the time that you went up to the ranch to get
i	25 .	him on August 11th or 12th; is that right?
	26	MR. KAY: This appears not to be relevant.
	27	MR. DENNY: Oh, it's relevant to his testimony that
_	28	he

MR. KAY: I think this is cross-examination on a -MR.DENNY: Well, your Honor, if I may be given a
certain latitude here? I'm not going to waste the Court's
time, I assure you.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will permit it.

DY MR. DENNY: Did you discuss with Gutierrez -did you and DeCarlo discuss with Gutierrez the time that you
went up, this time that you have just talked about before
the jury here, on August 11th or 12th, to get Danny, when he
was covered with flies and drunk?

A Yes.

Q And did you talk with him about the following 15th, when you went up there to get him?

A Yes, I believe we did.

Q And did you talk with him about this conversation that Manson had in the saloon at that time, that he had cut a guy's ear off?

A Yes.

Q So you did tell him, then, about the fact that Manson told you he had cut Himman's ear off; is that right?

A He said he had -- he said somebody sliced his ear. Now, I don't know -- I don't recall.

Q Well, you told the officers that Manson was walking around swinging a sword, and there were a group of you there in this saloon; isn't that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. And you told them that Manson said that they had taken care of this guy, and cut his ear off;

14-4	1	is that right?
	2	A Something to that effect, yes, sir.
	3	Q All right.
·	4	And you told the officers that this was Gary
- ±	5	Himman; isn't that right?
ž	6	A No, I didn't tell them it was Gary Hinman. I
	7	didn't know Gary Hinman that well.
	8	Q All right. And you and DeCarlo together told
	9	them it was Gary Himman, in this conversation with Gutierraz?
	10	A Yes, I believe so.
	11	MR. DENNY: All right. Nothing further, your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Anything further? Any argument?
2 ·	13 .	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'll submit it on the argument
•	14	that I made at the bench.
14 b	f1s.	THE COURT: The People?
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19 20	
	20	
	22	•
	23	
e.	24	
` *	25	
<u>\$</u>	26	
<u>.</u>	27	
	28	

Lub-1

2

1

4

6

7

8 9

10

IĮ 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23⁻ 24

25

U ID.

26

27

MR. MANZELLA: It's the People's position that, based on the testimony, the only evidence we have — which is the testimony of Mr. Springer — is that promises — any promises or offers of help that were made to him were made before he spoke to Bruce Davis, and were made in exchange for information that he had already given them, as I believe Mr. Denny has just brought out by his examination.

That is, this information that he -- Mr. Springer had given them with regard to Charles Manson and his admissions in regard to the killing of Gary Hinman.

And that the decision to go out and talk to Bruce Davis was a decision made by the witness, and not by the police officers; and for that reason; that he was not acting as an agent for the police, but was acting as a free agent.

The officers -- in fact, according to Mr. Springer's testimony -- told him to stay out of it, and not to -- not to interfere; and that what he did, he did on his own.

THE COURT: Mr. Denny?

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, if I may have just a brief moment to get this particular report?

May I have the last exhibit? That was U, I believe it is.

THE COURT: All right. U has --

MR. DENNY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- been marked for identification.

MR. DENNY: Yes. I have it, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's a list of names on a yellow paper, a yellow sheet of paper, which is the list of names, the conversa-

tions with -- whose conversations --

MR. DENNY: With Sergeant Whiteley.

THE COURT: -- with Sergeant Whiteley was the subject of your inquiry this morning.

Yes. May I approach the witness? MR. DENNY: THE COURT: Yes.

EXAMINATION ...

BY MR. DENNY:

Mr. Springer, I'll show you what purports to be part of a three-page -- or, part of a multi-page -- a fivepage report by Paul J. Whiteley. On Page 3 thereof:

"On 11-26-69, the undersigned interviewed ALAN SPRINGER, a Straight Satan motorcycle rider and a friend of Daniel DeCarlo. Mr. Springer stated on 11-26-69, at 28 Clubhouse Drive, Venice, he had a conversation with Bruce Davis, who stated he and the other suspects had killed Shorty in Devil's Canyon and buried the body in a shallow grave. Mr. Springer stated that Davis told him the motive was because Mr. Shea had been informing to the police department."

Do you recall, on November 26th, 169, being interviewed by Sergeant Whiteley?

> I believe so. I don't -- I can't remember. A

All right. Now, sir, you have said that this conversation that you had on Clubhouse Drive was the day before or after Thanksgiving of 1969.

May the Court take judicial notice that Thanksgiving was November 27, 1969, a Thursday?

18

1

2

3

4

5

7

19

20

24

25

26

27 28

ļ 14b-3 May the record so reflect, your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Yes, the record may so reflect. 3 MR. KAY: Does the Court know that as a fact, that that is when --5. THE COURT: Well, I see Mr. Denny is looking at a 6 calendar. 7 MR. KAY: Well, that calendar doesn't have Thanksgiving marked on it, does it? 9 MR. DENNY: It's marked in red (indicating), Mr. Kay, 10 MR. KAY: Okay. 11 I take it that that is the fact? THE COURT: 12 MR. KAY: It appears to be. 13 BY MR. DENNY: And sir, immediately after you had Q '14 this conversation -- well, let me refresh your recollection. 15 You had a court appearance that date, did you not? 16 November 27th --17 No. I don't recall. 18 -- I mean, November 26th? Q 19 I don't remember exactly what the date was. А 20 Well, let me refresh your recollection on that. Q 21 November 26th, 1969, you appeared in West H on a 22 995 motion, on the case with Mr. Doukas, and it was continued 23 to December 4; do you recall that? 24 Vaguely. There was so many dates. I was going to 25 court every week. 26 You were, weren't you? Q 27 14c fol A Yes, I was. 28

14c-1

9.

13.

15.

Q All right. And it was after that, then, after that appearance that you went to the Clubhouse Drive; isn't that right? The Clubhouse Drive address?

A I don't recall what day it was or what court date it was after, I've had so many. But I do know I was there, and I know what went on.

Q And then after that conversation at Clubhouse Drive that you've related, you went directly to Sergeant Whiteley with your report; is that correct?

A I believe so.

MR. DENNY: All right. Your Honor, at this time, I do have a photocopy of the docket sheet in case No. A-058070, People vs. Costas Doukas and Alan Springer, which I believe is in the court file there, the records that I have had subpoensed here.

And my copy shows that on 11-26-69, Department West H, as to each defendant motion under 995 continued to 12-4-69.

All right. I have no further questions.

I would simply say to the Court, your Honor, that I -- I think the record speaks for itself, as far as the fact that this gentleman was, from the time he talked with Gutierrez on, acting on their behalf, for them, based on promises that they made to him -- not just for what he had already told them, not just for what Gutierrez told him.

This is a matter of credibility, obviously, for the Court. But with the expectation that he would derive

14c-2

1 2

24.

. 26

 more benefit, the more information he got for them.

He had the initial conversation with Gutierrez, and then lo and behold, he goes out and brings in the sword, and then lo and behold, he goes out and brings in information about an alleged conversation with Davis.

And I think that this was -- it's clear from the sequence of events -- and from the testimony, what you can read between the lines -- and the testimony, that he was at that point, though not a paid agent in money for the police, he was getting his pay by virtue of the promises and the continuing promises that were made to him, in exchange for his testimony.

And I will say, your Honor, that as I indicated to the Court and the jury in my opening statement -- and I will make the further statement here -- that he has continued and continued and continued to receive the benefits of his work in getting information to the police -- which, as I have indicated in other offers of proof -- disclose an extraordinary leniency on the part of the D.A.'s Office and all of the other agencies in permitting him to have cases dismissed, cases consolidated, a plea to one count of a multi-count indictment, et cetera.

All of these cases -- some of them not even in existence at the time, but continuing them and continuing them and continuing them because of his work.

THE COURT: When were you told to stay out of it? When was that?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall what date it was on.

14c-3 THE COURT: Was that before or after you gave them the 1 sword? 2 I believe it was before it. THE WITNESS: ż MR. KAY: May I ask just a question? 4 5 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. KAY: 7 Mr. Springer, you didn't get that sword from Q 8 anyone in the Manson Family, though, did you? 9 A No, I didn't. 10 You got that from one of your brothers --11 George Knoll. 12 13 Q. -- in the Straight Satans; right? À Right. 14 And they didn't tell you -- Gutierrez never 15 told you to keep away from your brothers in the Straight 16 17 Satans, did he? 18 No, he didn't. 19 THE COURT: When you -- when you went to where Mr. Davis 20 was, did you go there for the purpose of extracting informa-21 tion from him? Or getting information? 22 THE WITNESS: I went there to find out what I could find out; and if I found -- well, yes. MR. KAY: Except that's ambiguous. For whom?

I believe he testified earlier that he went to find out about Danny DeCarlo, what their attitude was toward Danny DeCarlo, your Honor.

14d £1s27

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I think his testimony speaks for itself. It's not ambiguous.

MR. KAY: No. I said: The Court's question, I felt,

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Did you go there to find out what they thought about DeCarlo?

A Yes, I did.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. Your Honor, just another point in argument, if I may.

The purpose of the exclusionary rules is to deter the police from unlawful conduct -- to-wit, in this case, questioning a witness without advising him of his constitutional rights; or questioning a suspect without advisement of his constitutional rights.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. MANZELLA: The fact that this witness may have felt that if he got information, it would benefit him, I submit, isn't relevant in considering whether or not he was acting for the police.

In other words, whether this was police action.

The -- Miranda only applies, and the -- only applies in a situation where the police act, not where a private citizen acts. So the Court has to find that this is police action,

14d-2

.

?

because of the purpose of the exclusionary rule.

Now, in this situation, the fact that the witness may have thought it would benefit him, I submit, isn't the controlling situation.

The controlling situation is whether or not the police officers suggested to him that he go out and do this, or tell him or order him to go out and do it.

But at any event, suggest to him that he go out and do this. And I think it's clear from his testimony -- the only evidence that we have -- that nobody told him this. That, in fact, they told him to stay away.

And the fact that he thought it would benefit him is not -- is not relevant, because that's not police action.

Any witness might feel that if he were to gather information and submit it to police, it might be helpful. But unless the police instigate in some way his actions, it's not police action; and therefore, the exclusionary rule does not apply.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, again, I think the Court has to look somewhat at the circumstances, and not totally at the possibly self-serving statements of Mr. Springer here.

The circumstances here, I think, show -- and if I may go back just a moment -- the Messiah case is a step beyond the Miranda case. The Miranda case was a case in which police, obviously themselves, were questioning the defendant in custody; and the Messiah case said, "Look, just because you put a suit on some guy, and not a police uniform, and send him out on police business, and try to get information from him that

1 :d-3

20.

14e fol 29

way, doesn't mean that it is not police action. It is still police action.

And what we are attempting to prevent is that type of conduct by the police.

Now, if it's done just a little bit more subtly, as it was in this case, I submit, and they send out a man saying, "Look, anything you can find out, anything you get, you come back, let us know, tell us what you hear."

Now, they don't even have to tell him — they don't even have to give him all this immunity. They don't have to promise him all these things, if in fact they say, knowing what they knew at that time, knowing what this witness and Danny DeCarlo had said, the evidence they had given them at that time; and knowing specifically, as the court record reflects — as the Beausoleil case reflects — what the testimony of Danny DeCarlo was in the Beausoleil case, on November 23rd — and the record reflects it was November 23rd that he testified, and I would ask the Court to take judicial notice of that, if the clerk can get that record and — if the Court will examine the minute order, I think the record will so reflect.

So, at that time, the police had that information, all of which Danny DeCarlo testified to, as to Manson's and Davis's connection with the case, and their relationship to the case, et cetera.

And then, just subtly to say, "Well, anything you -- anything you hear, you come back, and you let us know," that's the same as the Messiah case.

And as I say, the Messiah case does go one step further than the Miranda case.

14e-1

THE COURT: It's your contention that there was at that time so much evidence accumulated against Mr. Davis that it goes beyond the -- it had gone beyond the investigatory stage?

MR. DENNY: That is correct, your Honor. That is correct.

THE COURT: And that at that stage, they had sufficient evidence to arrest him and to detain him? And that therefore, having gone beyond that stage, he should have been given a warning, and should have had counsel present?

MR. DENNY: That is correct, your Honor. Again -let us get too confused about this warning business, because
Messiah doesn't talk about warning. Messiah talks about
a police agent, in the guise of a civilian -- like Alan
Springer -- going out -- obviously, a warning is not going
to be given under those circumstances. That blows his cover.

But Messiah says you just can't do that, under those circumstances. And I'm not saying that Mr. Springer should have given Bruce Davis a warning here, necessarily. Obviously, you can argue that, if you want to, that if he is indeed acting as an agent, he should have given him a warning.

I am saying that he was acting as an agent; that he did not give him a warning, and that he did not give him a warning; pursuant to his status as an undercover operator-- a secret operative, if you will, for the police; and that that is covered by Messiah; and that there was sufficient evidence at that time so that they were not in an investigatory stage.

An accusatory stage had been reached. And indeed,

14e-2

76,-2 \

x

15 fla.

a jury was deliberating on that very murder case.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kay and Mr. Manzella.)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, in the Messiah case, the facts of the Messiah case, the informant was wired for sound, and there was an agent nearby listening to the conversation which took place between the informant and Messiah, in the vehicle.

There was an agent nearby. Clearly, this situation does not -- is not anywhere near the situation in Messiah.

Furthermore, I think Mr. Denny confuses the two principles involved in Miranda and Messiah.

Messiah doesn't apply in this case at all because, one, Davis was not charged; two, he was not represented by an attorney; and, three, no accusatory proceedings have taken place at all.

Now, when he says that he doesn't think -- he's not talking about Miranda, well, then, it is irrelevant to talk about an accusatory stage because Messiah was a 6th Amendment case and only applied when a defendant had been formally charged and was only represented by an attorney.

Messiah said talking to a defendant or a police agent talking to a defendant after he was indicted was interference and a denial of his right to counsel. They said that after a defendant had been indicted he has a right to have an attorney present whenever the police or police agent talked to him. That is what Messiah said, it was a 6th Amendment case.

Miranda, on the other hand, talked about advisement of rights.

Now, Messiah doesn't apply at all in this case.

Miranda would apply if Springer was a police
agent, and my argument was directed to the fact he is not
a police agent.

But Hoffa vs. United States said -- which is also a 1966 case -- said that there was -- the case against Jimmy Hoffa in which a fellow by the name of Partin, P-a-r-t-i-n, was an informant for the Justice Department and testified against Hoffa in a jury tampering case. And the Court said that there is no right of arrest. The fact that Hoffa felt

 Justice Department had enough evidence to arrest him, the Court said is irrelevant because there's no right to have an arrest and have the right for an attorney attached.

Mr. Davis was not arrested and was not represented by an attorney, and, therefore, Messiah doesn't apply.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I don't want the Court to assume by anything that I said that I don't believe that the Fifth Amendment and the Miranda portion of interpretation of the Fifth Amendment does not apply here. I am analogizing to Messiah with not so much the Sixth Amendment right to counsel at the time in mind, as the foundation of the Court's opinion there as to prohibiting that type of police conduct.

And I think that rationale carries over into the Fifth Amendment area that I am talking about here.

MR. MANZELLA: The Hoffs case makes it clear that it does not. They said that Partin -- it was all right for Partin to do what he did in the Hoffs case.

MR. DENNY: That's when they were purely investigatory, your Honor.

THE COURT: Pardon?

MR. DENNY: That's when they were purely investigatory, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court finds as follows:

The Court believes that this act on the part of Mr. Springer was an independent one. That it was not suggested by the police. The Court believes that he had been told by the police to stay out of it and that this was not a

1

2

5

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

15a fls.

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

police authorized action on the part of Mr. Springer.

Having done so on his own, it was in hopes, however. I believe, of achieving something favorable to himself and to this position of the case --

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, before -- I hate to interrupt the Court in mid-sentence almost, but before the Court makes the ruling as to -- which direction I divine the Court is taking -- may I point out at this time that I am -- my client, Mr. Davis, is, at this time, being deprived of the right to effective counsel under the 14th and the 6th Amendments in that I have been trying desperately, and for the last two or three weeks, to get that tape recorded interview with Mr. Springer that was made by Officer Gutierrez. That's the evidence that I wanted to present at this hearing. I have been denied the ability to do that by every way possible by the prosecution and that evidence should be before this court before this Court makes its ruling.

THE COURT: All right, is there a -- is there some report of this interview with Mr. Gutierrez?

MR. KAY: If you remember, the Court wanted me to contact Mr. Bugliosi about that and I did contact him last weekend and he does have some tapes and he looked through them and he said he does not have the tape with Mr. Springer and they're not in our files and Sergeant Gutierrez over the phone told me that the last he remembered of it, was that it was deposited with the Clerk in the discovery for the Tate-La Bianca trial.

And Mr. Denny says that Mr. Darrow over in 104 says that the stuff was turned back, so I don't know where it is.

I've exhausted everything I know about how to find that tape.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella.

MR. MANZELLA: That's all I knew about it, your Honor.

Mr. Kay knew more about it than I did. All I thought was -all I knew was all the tapes had been deposited with the

Clerk in 104 during the Tate-La Bianca case.

THE COURT: Is there a resume of the tape recorded conversation?

MR. KAY: No, Sergeant Gutierrez said it was not transcribed. I asked him about that.

THE COURT: Well, without there being a transcription, is there a resume of it somewhere? Is there a report of it that Mr. Denny could have?

MR. DENNY: There is no such report, and I submit if this witness is allowed to testify --

MR. KAY: Not that I know of. 1 MR. DENNY: -- to testify without my opportunity to 2 3 hear that tape --THE COURT: You haven't had an opportunity to hear it? 4 5 Wasn't it one of the tapes --6 MR. DENNY: No, your Honor, it was not. THE COURT: There were a number of tapes which the 7 8 Court --9 MR. DENNY: That is correct, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: -- ordered the District Attorney to provide 11 for you during the last year. 12 MR. DENNY: Those were tapes, your Honor, made by 13 Dave Steuber of the CHP, in the main, and I have heard those 14 tapes. I have made copies of them. This was earlier in the 15 case. Those tapes I have heard. But this tape I have not. 16 This tape I became aware of only about a month or so ago. 17 THE COURT: Well, what is the tape? Let's nail it 18 down as to what date it was. Anyone know? 19 MR. KAY: I've never heard the tape, so I --20 MR. MANZELLA: I don't know. I didn't even know there 21 was a tape, but I assume now there was a tape, right? All right? MR. KAY: I would say --.24 THE COURT: What was the date? 25 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, the date -- it was around, 26 as best I can gather from Mr. Springer's prior testimony 27 and from his testimony today, sometime after he made bail 28 on this case on which he was arrested. And he was arrested

<u>ء</u> خ

é.

approximately -- well, he was arrested twice. Once on October 15th and once on October 22nd. Both times wound up in the Venice jail. According to his testimony he said that it was during his stay there in the Venice jail that he put things together and decided that he should talk to the police. So it was around that period of time.

THE COURT: Well, the last person that had the tape, according to what the District Attorney's Office has been able to ascertain was --

MR. KAY: Was the clerk in Department 104. And now I can't remember.

Mr. Denny said that Mr. Darrow told him that the materials on discovery were turned back to us, but I sure don't believe that, because Mr. Bugliosi and I never got any stuff back. And if we didn't, I don't know who he would turn it back to.

MR. DENNY: I would request the matter be put over until tomorrow morning and Mr. Darrow be requested to come in and testify because I don't think he's lying to me.

MR. KAY: I'm sure he was not lying.

MR. DENNY: He's got very good records of every exhibit. It is the same record chart that Mrs. Holt keeps here.

MR. MANZELLA: But here Mrs. Holt sends the stuff that was deposited for pretrial discovery, she submits all that stuff to the clerk's office downstairs. And I was wondering-it's been my information all the material deposited for discovery has not been deposited in the clerk's office

15b fls.

--

downstairs.

MR. DENNY: I have checked with the clerk's office. I have checked with Dale, who is the head of the clerk's office. I have gone over every single record they have and it is not there.

THE COURT: Maybe someone in the clerk's office has made an error and that it is there, because once having gotten out of -- once having been deposited with the court, there would be no reason why the clerk should release it to the District Attorney unless by stipulation.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, this is not so, because -- and I beg to differ with the Court, but I talked very specifically with both Darrow and Dale.

THE COURT: Is there an order of the Court releasing --

MR. DENNY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- authorizing the clerk to release any material?

MR. DENNY: No, this matter was placed into the hands of the clerk the same as the documents and other things were placed in the hands of Mrs. Holt before the court. They were not marked as exhibits. They were not marked in any way for identification, according to Mr. Darrow, just as the homicide manual for Shorty Shea, the homicide manual for Gary Hinman, all of those items and a number of statements, 20 some odd statements were deposited with Joyce, none of those were marked for identification.

THE COURT: Well, for what reason? Just to have Mr. Darrow hold them?

MR. DENNY: That's correct. As I understand it, the same as Joyce has been holding them here for review of the defense attorneys.

THE COURT: And without having had them marked?

MR. DENNY: And without having had them marked or anything. And when I talked to Dale downstairs, he said he would not have anything down there other than exhibits marked, numbered exhibits, whether they be special exhibits --

THE COURT: Is Mr. Darrow here?

MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Kuczera is trying to get shold of

2

3

5

б

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

Mr. Darrow right now.

THE COURT: Mr. Kuczera, would you tell the jury that they can go up to the jury room and have a coffee.

Well, the Court will hear from Mr. Darrow.

You may step down.

Excuse me just a moment.

At this moment -- I'll wait until Mr. Darrow shows up, but at this moment the Court will go on, however, and say this:

That the Court believes that nevertheless, regardless of this witness' status, and I have stated that insofar as this episode was concerned involving Mr. Davis, he was not, in the Court's opinion, acting as an agent of the police. That the case had not reached the accusatory stage.

The Court is familiar with the record of the Grand Jury transcript and of the evidence in the Manson case. The Court has read a considerable portion of the case of People vs. Beausoleil and the first Manson trial, the Tate-La Bianca trial, as well as having been the court which heard the second Manson case involving the case of -- involving the death of Mr. Shea and Mr. Hinman. And the Court believes that there was no denial of -- and the Court so finds no denial of right to counsel in this situation.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it is not just a question of the right to counsel, it is a violation of the 5th Amendment.

THE COURT: The Court finds there is no violation of the 5th Amendment.

MR. DENNY; Well, your Honor, may I say at this time we

ŧ

are deprived -- Mr. Davis is deprived of the right of confrontation and cross-examination under the 6th Amendment and the 14th Amendment by not having that tape here before the Court makes its finding.

THE COURT: All right, you may step down.

Mr. Darrow?

Mr. Darrow, come forward and raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

GENE DARROW.

called as a witness by the Court, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Darrow, you were the Clerk in the courtroom of Judge Older in Department 104, the department in which the Tate-La Bianca case was tried, is that correct?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And do you have in your records anything to reflect that you, as clerk, took custody of the tape involving a conversation between a sergeant or Officer Gutierres

and a Mr. Springer? 1 2 3 I can recall right offhand. 5 Q б A 7 contempt proceeding. Q I see. 9 10 11 12 13 14 remember that at all. 15 Q. 16 17 ?? between Gutierrez and Springer? 18 19 15c fis. 21 22 23 3 25 26 27 28

I don't recall any, your Honor. The only tape I can recall was a tape by -- concerning the conversation with District Attorney Aeron Stovitz. That's the only tape

And that conversation with Aaron Stovitz on what?

I don't remember. It just had pertaining to a

Now, it has been told this Court that you recalled there was a discovery motion and that certain things were given to you, in response to the discovery order, to hold?

I don't recall that, your Honor. I don't

Do you have any record of any such order that you know of involving a tape, a tape of a conversation

I don't, your Honor. Of course, there was a considerable number of exhibits. However, they're all listed. Every document I received --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Mr. Denny, you wish to ask him --

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I just want to advise the Court, that the Court's statement of the question misstates what I have represented to the Court,

THE COURT: Well, do you wish to ask --

MR. DENNY: Not that there was a discovery motion --

THE COURT: Do you wish to ask any questions?

MR. DENNY: No, your Honor, I just wanted to get it clear for the record I did not represent to the Court there was a discovery motion and an order made, as the Court represented, and then pursuant thereto items were deposited with the clerk.

THE COURT: I understood the reason that supposedly certain items were deposited with the clerk, namely, for safekeeping --

MR. DENNY: I think the Court jumped to that conclusion. All I said --

THE COURT: Do you want to ask Mr. Darrow --MR. KAY: Could I ask him some questions? Maybe I

could clear it up.

THE COURT: Well, let's let Mr. Denny who is making the motion.

MR. KAY: Okay.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Mr. Darrow, in our chat yesterday, you did Q indicate, did you not, there were -- if any exhibits were not

1

2

3

6

8

9

27

28

exhibits marked and received, that there may have been some items received pursuant to discovery procedures that you held for defense counsel to look at, which items were returned to the prosecution or police agencies at the conclusion of the trial?

- I don't recall any statement like that, Counsel.
- Well, do you recall receiving any items at all Q from the prosecution for the defense attorneys to have the opportunity to look at in the course of the trial or pretrial?
- I cannot recall any. However, I am not going to specifically state that there were not, because that's been some time ago and we had a multitude of evidence come through, do not have any personal recollection of anything like that.
- Well, if any items were received in such a way, Q what would you do with them at the conclusion of the trial? MR. KAY: Well, that's --

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't wait until the conclusion of I would have done something with them within one or two or a very few days after having received them. wouldn't hold them for any unlimited period of time.

- BY MR. DENNY: What would you do? Q
- I would return them to the attorneys that would have given them to me.

I don't recall ever having received any items under a discovery motion, but that would be my normal procedure.

That's what I am trying to get at. They would Q not, then, at the conclusion of the case, go down with the

1

2

3

5

6

regular exhibits to the exhibit room?

- No. they would not and they did not. Α
- 0 That's what I wanted to get at.

Thank you, Mr. Darrow.

THE COURT: Do you recall having had anything of that nature in your custody, a tape, at any time during the trial, for lawyers, defense lawyers to hear or to make -- do you have such a tape available to defense counsel?

THE WITNESS: No. The only tape I'm positive, the one I mentioned before, Aaron Stovitz.

Now, I have a very vague and distorted memory about discussion of other tapes but, I mean, I only mention it because there seems to be a glimmer somewhere in the back of my mind. But I don't believe anything ever happened to any other tapes as far as any other court proceedings was concerned. There might have been a mention of some tapes of some other nature, but never got any further, and that's why I don't have any more vivid recollection.

MR. KAY: May I have just a moment, your Honor, before I question Mr. Darrow?

THE WITNESS: I don't want to volunteer any information, your Honor, but I do have a list of all exhibits which were --

THE COURT: Have you gone through that list?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I went through the list and there was no exhibits I have marked here pertaining to a discovery motion.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. KAY:

27

28

15c-4 Q Did you receive the exhibits that had been 1 deposited by Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz on discovery when they 2 3 were in front of Judge Keene? MR. DENNY: Well, that assumes facts not in evidence. 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 MR. KAY: Well --7 THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may remain. 8 BY MR. KAY: Do you recall Mr. Bugliosi and Q Mr. Stovitz filing with the court any documents in the form of 9 10 a letter that would state basically, "Dear Judge Older, 11 pursuant to the continuing order of discovery in the Tate-12 LaBianca murder trial, enclosed please find the following:" 13 and then where some exhibits were submitted to the Court? 14 ' THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the letter? 15 MR. KAY: Yes, I do. 16 THE COURT: Why don't you show it to him. 17 BY MR. KAY: This happens to be from Judge Keene 18 to Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz. It was about 32 exhibits 19 that were deposited on discovery. 20 Do you recall seeing anything like that? 21 THE COURT: What date does that bear? 22 MR. KAY: March 26th, 1970. 23 I know there were several like this, your Honor, 24 and that's one that Mr. Manzella happened to have. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't recall having seen this letter 26 before. 16 goes 27

28

16-1	1	Q No, but I mean, a letter like this. Or some-
	2	thing or along this line
	3	A Or anything similar to this, I don't recall.
ourt's 2	4	Q Sir, you don't remember personally handling any
	5	items on discovery?
	6	A I don't have any independent recollection of thes
	7	no.
	8	Q All right. Okay. And you also don't have any
	9	recollection of giving any items back to either Mr. Bugliosi
	10	or myself, that were deposited with the Court for discovery?
	11	A No, I don't have any recollection of any
	12	discovery proceeding regarding exhibits at all.
	13	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have an exhibit here. I would
	14	like it to be marked as the Court's special exhibit.
	15	THE COURT: Whatever next special exhibit number it
	16	would be.
	17	THE CLERK: 2.
	18	THE COURT: Pardon?
	19	THE CLERK: 2.
	20	THE COURT: We'll call it People's Special 2.
	21	MR. KAY: Okay. I would like to have the Court look
	22	at this document, now, so that it can be
	23	THE COURT: Has Mr. Denny seen it?
	24	MR. KAY: All right. Mr. Denny, have you seen this?
	25	MR. DENNY: No.
	26	MR. KAY: This is the type of document that I had
	27	reference to before. This is a Xerox copy of a letter to
· ,	28	Judge Keene.

(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Denny examined the exhibit.)

MR. KAY: This is a letter pursuant to a continuing order of discovery. This certainly is not the only articles that were deposited on discovery, but it gives you a sample of the type of -- the fact that items were deposited with the Court.

It appears that they were deposited with Judge Keene, then.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, may I make a suggestion?
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: There are about eight or nine volumes in this case, but there is a distinguishing factor between pre-trial and trial.

Now, we never received all the exhibits that were introduced at this case -- at pre-trial. So there might be some exhibits down in the exhibit room that we never received over there.

And there might be a letter attached to the back of a discovery document, inside the first two or three folds of the case.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, if we are proceeding as informally as we apparently are here, I can represent to the Court that there are several different and distinct packets in the Tate-La Bianca case, referring to exhibits. They are broken down into pre-trial exhibits and trial exhibits.

And I have been over, with Dale, all of those; and in neither the pre-trial nor the trial, the exhibit room

·6

does not have any such tape.

The only tape that they do have is a tape that has been referred to by Mr. Darrow, the Stovitz-Rolling Stones tape, the contempt tape.

Your Honor, I would suggest, too, that I think it would be helpful, since apparently the People have one of these letters, that if there are any other such letters -- and I know that in this case such letters were sent whenever documents were lodged with the Court -- that they get those letters and trace it down.

MR. KAY: Well, that assumes that we have the letters any more. I don't think that --

THE COURT: I don't see that it would be helpful, unless they mention the tape.

MR. DENNY: Well, we can't tell until they get them.

I assume, your Honor, that they have such letters in their discovery file, or whatever, similar to that one that's just been received as People's Special 2.

And if there is such a letter, it will show when the tape was deposited, with whom it was deposited, and at least we can trace it that way.

Thus far, we have just a vague statement from the partner of the officer, who apparently took the tape, that supposedly this tape was placed into this category of discovery material -- the partner having, I presume, returned on February 7th, when he was --

THE COURT: Where is this Sergeant Gutierrez?

MR. KAY: LAPD Homicide.

THE COURT: Well, would be be the person who had access 16-4 to the tape? Would he be the one who had the tape? MR. KAY: He was the one I talked to on the phone, .7 yes. I think he was probably the one that took the tape. THE COURT: All right. Let's get him over here this afternoon. Let's go shead and --MR. KAY: I'll -- I cannot represent that he'll still be there after 4:00, but I'll call and see if I can --THE COURT: Tell him that I'll send the bailiff for him if he doesn't come. MR. KAY: Well, if he's there, he'll come. 16a fls.13

27

28

THE COURT: I want to go on with this.

Do you have another witness that we can put on, outside of -- other than Mr. Springer?

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Darrow. You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

Your Honor, if you'd like me to, I would be very happy to go down and look at Volume I and the -- maybe II and III and IV, and see if there were discovery proceedings that --

THE COURT: The Court would appreciate it if you would do that. The Court would appreciate your going through any of the discovery material, pre-trial or trial, to see whether or not you can find that.

And would you be able to do that this afternoon? THE WITNESS: Well, your Honor, I have a jury out.

THE COURT: Oh, do you?

THE WITNESS: In fact, we are still in session. I would be happy to do it as soon as I am through, or first thing tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: All right. Let the Court know as soon as you have done it.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Darrow. Much obliged.

MR. DENNY: Thanks much.

THE COURT: The court's in recess.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, will we be in recess for

about ten minutes, at least?

3

5 6

7

Q

10.

11

12 13

14

15.

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 4:11 o'clock p.m. a recess was taken in this matter.)

THE COURT: I just called you back in, to admonish you. as I must at every adjournment. I am sure you've memorized it by now and know it as well as I do.

I must admonish you that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

We must work on something outside of your hearing. so we'll continue to do that, and I'll see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. Good night.

(Whereupon, at 4:25 o'clock p.m., the members of the jury exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: All right. Although the Court had made some finding with respect to Mr. Springer's status -- and other points -- the Court will, if that tape is found, before permitting Mr. Springer to testify tomorrow morning before the jury, the Court will hear that tape.

The Court will hear it tonight or tomorrow It's only 4:30. I'll stay at this time until we hear from Officer Sartuche, who I am told is now on the trail of the tape.

MR. KAY: Well, he doesn't know that he's on the twil of

the tape. He said that he -- he seems to remember that it -ŀ that at one time or another, it was in the Sound Lab, and 2 he can't remember when, but he's going to go up and check. THE COURT: You have ascertained that Sergeant Gutierres is on vacation? 5 6 MR. KAY: Yes. He will be gone for two weeks. THE COURT: And the last time he spoke to you, he 7 8 informed you that he did not have possession of the tape. 9 MR. KAY: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: -- is that correct? 11 MR. KAY: Yes, that's correct. And Sergeant Sartuche 12 also went to the Tate-La Bianca files, when I was on the 13 phone with him, and said the tape was not in the file; that 14 he just had some vague recollection that it might be in the 15 sound lab. 16 THE COURT: What files are those? 17 MR. KAY: The LAPD files on the Tate-La Bianca case. 18 And I know that they're not in the District Attorney's files. 19 THE COURT: Sergeant Gutierrez is a member of the Los 20 Angeles Police Department? 21 MR. KAY: That's right. He and Sergeant Sartuche were 22 the investigators on the --23 THE COURT: All right. 24 MR. KAY: -- La Bianca murder. 25 THE COURT: Let me know whether it's disclosed. 26 it's not disclosed by tomorrow morning, the Court would 27 proceed at 9:30, without hearing it. 28 But if it is found between now and 9:30, then

the Court will hear it.

MR. KAY: All right. I'm sure if it's there, he'll find it. He said he was going to call me back in about ten minutes.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, may we have an order, if it's found, that I be permitted to hear and copy that tape?

THE COURT: No. not until I hear it.

I don't know that it's necessary that you hear it independently of the Court or not.

In other words, I am not going to delay proceedings in order to permit that, if it --

MR. DENNY: Your Honor is not going to delay it. I mean tonight. If it is found tonight, I would like to go over there and make a copy of that tape tonight.

THE COURT: Well, if that is the case, yes.

If it's found, the Court would do that.

The Court would also hear it itself, in order to accept further evidence on your motion to exclude Mr. Springer's testimony of what Mr. Davis is purported to have said, on the grounds that you have heretofore stated.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 4:35 o'clock p.m., an adjournment was taken until 8:00 o'clock a.m. the following morning.)