1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 4 5 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 6 Plaintiff, A-267861 7 NO. -vs-8 BRUCE McGREGOR DAVIS, 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Wednesday, February 9, 1972 14 VOLUME 40 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney, For the People: 18 BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA and 19 STEPHEN R. KAY, Deputies District Attorney 20 For Defendant Davis: 21 GEORGE V. DENNY, III 22 23 DREWGER 24 25 MARY LOU BRIANDI, CSR 26 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, CSR Official Court Reporters 27 28

Ì	· -		•		
	-	INDEX			
ŀ	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
	SPRINGER, Alan Leroy	6224 M	6227	6313 M	
	(Continued)			6328 M	6334
					•
	DEFENDANT'S:				
	SARTUCHE, Philip L.	6176	6194 M	6105	
	WHITELEY, Paul J.	6203	OT 34 W	ÓTZ	
	,				
	F: :	XH,IBI	T S		
	PEOPLE'S:	,	 Dentifica	TION I	IN EVIDENC
	107 - Griffith Observate				6344
	Chart by Dr. Kauf	mann		,	
	DEFENDANT'S:		·		-
	V - copy of a diagram s		6344		
	from one depicted of board	n black-			
	COURT'S SPECIAL EXHIBIT 3 - tape recording	\$	6174	·	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1972 8:25 AM 1 2 THE COURT: All right. The record will show that the defendent is present with his counsel; Mr. Manzella for the 5 People. 6 And the Court is about to, for the purpose of 7 Mr. Denny's motion to suppress the statement of the witness, 8 hear the tape of a conversation between the witness and Q certain police officers. 10 The information that the Court has is that this 11 12 tape, which was taken of Mr. Springer's statements to Los 13 Angeles Police Officers -- who are they, Mr. Manzella? 14 Can you identify it for the --15 MR. MANZELLA: I have the box. 16 THE CLERK: The slip's inside there. 17 MR. MANZELLA: Officer Nielsen, N-i-c-l-s-e-n; 18 Gutierrez, G-u-t-i-e-r-r-e-z; and Patchett, P-a-t-c-h-e-t-t. 19 It was taken at Parker Center Interview Room 20 No. 318 at 8:50 in the morning on November 12th of 1969. 21 THE COURT: Very well. Do you want that tape marked 22 People's Special Exhibit next in order? That would be 3, 23 I believe. 24 MR. DENNY: I think it would be the Court's Special 3, 25 your Honor. 26 THE CLERK: The Court's. We didn't mark anything 27 for the People, not a Special Exhibit. 28 THE COURT: All right. It will be the Court's Special

```
Exhibit 3.
1
                     (Whereupon, the playing of Court's Special
2
              Exhibit No. 3 was commenced in open court; later
3
              it was continued and concluded in chambers.)
5
6
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 1972 12:38 PM 1 2 3 THE COURT: All right. The record will show the 4 defendant to be present with his counsel. 5 The Court has, since its ruling concerning Mr. 6 Springer, and Mr. Springer's status, heard a tape of conversa-7 tions by Mr. Springer. Я The Court has heard most of the tape, and I under-9 stand that you wish to present further evidence, Mr. Denny. 10 MR. DENNY: Yes, that's correct, your Honor. 11 I would like to call Officer Sartuche. 12 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you 13 are about to give in the cause now pending before this court, 14 shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 15 truth, so help you God? 16 THE WITNESS: I do. 17 18 19 PHILIP L. SARTUCHE, called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant, having 20 21 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 23 Please state and spell your full name. 24 THE WITNESS: Philip L. Sartuche; S-a-r-t-u-c-h-e. 25 THE REPORTER: Philip with one "1" or two "1's"? 26 THE WITNESS: One "1". 27 28 DIRECT EXAMINATION

)	
1	BY MR. DENNY	₹ :
2	Q.	What is your occupation and assignment now,
3	sir?	
4	A	I'm a police officer for the City of Los Angeles,
5	assigned to	Robbery-Homicide Division.
6	Q	And were you so assigned in October of 1969?
7	A	I was.
8	Q	And who were your partners at that time or
9	partner?	
10	A	Sergeant Nielsen.
11	Q	Spell it, please.
12	A	N-i-e-l-s-e-n.
13	Q	First name?
14	A	Michael.
15	Q.	And among other duties, were you assigned to
16	the investi	gation of the Tate and La Bianca murders?
17	A	I was.
18	Q	With whom were you working on that specifically?
19	A	Sergeant Manuel Gutierrez; Sergeant Frank
20	Patchett.	
21	Q	Could you spell the last name?
22	A	P-a-t-c-h-e-t-t.
23	Q	Yes?
24	A	Mainly with those two individuals, including
25 26	well, three	, if you include Sergeant Nielsen.
20 27	đ	And did you receive some information from officers
28	detectives	at the Venice Police Station, concerning information
40	which had be	een given to them by one Alan Springer, sometime in

October of 1969?
That is, the information having been given to
them in October?
A Yes, I received some information.
Q And from whom did you receive that information?
A I believe it was through Sergeant Gutierrez.
·

AA-1	į	Q And do you know do you know when it was that
	2	you received this information about Springer having said some-
3 ,	3	thing about the Manson Family?
*	4	A Oh
a T	5	Q Was it in October?
P.	6	A Gee, I'm not sure. The latter part of October,
	7	first part of November is about as close as I could get to it.
	8	Q And did you receive at any time any written
	9	memoranda, any report of any kind from the Venice detectives or
•	10	police officers, pertaining to their conversation with
	11	Mr. Springer?
	12	A Not that I recall.
	13	Q Now, when is the first time that you personally
¹,a ►	14	had any conversation or contact of any kind whether verbal
¥+.	15	or written or phone or in person with Mr. Springer?
	16	A There again, November.
	17	Q Well
	18	A About the first part of Movember of 1969.
	19	Q And was this initiated by you or by him?
	20	A I believe the first time I met him, he was
	21	already in the office and holding a conversation with some
	22	other people. And I was introduced.
•	23	Q Well, did you come in on the middle of that
air,	24	conversation?
ą.	25	A It was already taking place, yes.
Ť	26	Q Now, was this conversation being tape-recorded,
	27	to your knowledge, at the time?
	28	A Yes, I believe it was.
	1	

AA-2Well, you say you believe it was. Do you have Q 1 knowledge of your own that it was? 2 A The only thing I'm using as my focal Hmmm -- no. 3 point here, and that's the date of the tape that I brought to Court earlier today. 5 2 Well, was that the tape-recorded conversation which 6 you are referring as your first contact with Mr. Springer? 7 8 A I believe so. 9 Well, was there any earlier conversation, other 10 than that convergation that was recorded on the tape, that you \mathbf{II} brought to court today? 12 Not that I recall. 13 Well, when you say "Not that I recall," are you 14 making a positive statement that there was no earlier 15 conversation between you and Mr. Springer? 16 I don't remember. That's the only one I recall, A 17 and when I -- I can probably clarify that a little further for 18 you. 19 I checked all of the tapes I had regarding this 20 case, and this is the only one I could find. So I know I did 21 not tape any other conversations. 22 Well, did you have any conversations with Q 23 Mr. Springer before this conversation which was memoralized in 24 this tape that you brought? 25 I don't recall. Counsel. I don't remember. A 26 Well, did you make a report of the first conversa-Q 27 tion that you had with Mr. Springer? 28 A No, I did not.

1 Did anybody, to your knowledge, make such a report AA-3 2 up, of those officers that you've mentioned? Neilson, 3 Gutierrez, Patchett, or yourself? I would have to check, Counsel. I couldn't give 5 you an answer right now. б Did you make a written report of this conversation Q 7 which was recorded on tape? You or any of your fellow officers? 8 I did not, and I do not know if they did. Α 9 Well, was somebody taking notes, to your knowledge, 10 during the course of that conversation? 11 I did not sit in on the conversation. 12 passed, and they told me who he was, and I left. 13 That's about the extent of that first meeting with 14 Mr. Springer. 15 So that -- Who, then, was conducting that interview Q 16 with Mr. Springer? 17 Sergeant Gutierrez and Sergeant Patchett. 18 All right, So you don't know what was said at the 19 beginning of the conversation; is that right? 20 That's correct. Α 21 Have you heard that tape? Q 22 Not recently. A 23 Well, have you heard it ever? Q. 24 I believe so. À 25 Well, that tape starts in mid-conversation some-Q 26 where. Do you know what happened to the first part of that 27 tape, if anything? 28 A No, sir.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I object to that on the AA-4 grounds that it assumes that there was more to the tape. THE COURT: Sustained. l fol

	Q	BY	MR.	DEI	YNY:	Do	Aor	ı recal	l spe	cifical	Lly w	here
that r	ecor	ding		str:	ike	that		where	that	intery	iew w	28
held?	It	was	held	lin	one	of	the	regula	ır ini	erview	room	s at
Parker	: Cer	iter?	•									

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And those interview rooms are specifically set up so that conversations held therein can be tape-recorded, is that correct?
 - A If so desired, yes.
- Q And as an officer assigned to homicide, how long had you been assigned to homicide, in October, 1969?

MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, was it the custom and procedure, the regular procedure, the standard procedure in your department at that time with a witness under the circumstances that you were interviewing Mr. Springer, that is having been advised that he had information concerning the Tate-LaBianca matters, was it not the procedure at that time, in connection with your investigation of the Tate-LaBianca case, to record all such interviews?

MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I submit it is relevant as to where the missing portion of the first part of the conversation is.

MR. KAY: That assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: I think it does assume a fact not in

evidence.

MR. DENMY: Well, it assumes I'm trying to establish it.

It is not assumed --

THE COURT: Well, the objection is sustained to that question you put.

Do you know of any other tape, have you ever seen or heard any other tape other than the one that you brought here and had them play for the Court?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

MR. DENNY: I'm not talking about any other tape. I'm talking about this tape.

at that time: October, November, December, 1969, of potential witnesses or those with information concerning the Tate-LaBianca investigation, when they were made in Parker Center in one of the interview rooms, were regularly taped; is that true?

MR. KAY: That's irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Officer Sartuche would have no way of knowing that.

THE COURT: Sustained. The objection is sustained.

We're interested in Springer at this time and whether or not there is any other existing tape, is that's what you are referring --

MR. DENNY: It is not the other existing tape, it is the first part of this tape. The first part of the interview is missing in this tape, the record will so show.

Ş

۱,

THE COURT: I don't think the record so shows. There was no introductory resert in the tape. It simply picked up while somebody was talking. There's no indication that the previous conversation, whatever it may have been, was taped.

MR. DEMNY: That's what I am trying to find out.

THE COURT: How are you going to find it out from this man who says he came in the middle of the taping?

MR. DEMMY: I'm trying to establish, your Honor, circumstantially by circumstantial evidence --

THE COURT: Well, sustained.

MR. DEMNY: Circumstantial evidence is as good as direct, and that's what I am trying to establish.

THE COURT: The fact there may have been some policy to tape an interview does not indicate to the Court -- couldn't possibly indicate to the Court with any certainty that there was any other tape or that part of the tape was missing. It may be that the officer simply varied the policy, if that policy did exist.

MR. DEMNY: Well, I think that's a circumstance the Court can consider, but I certainly think the policy at that time is relevant.

THE COURT: I don't.

Q BY MR. DEMMY: Well, after this tape-recorded interview which -- your Honor, I wonder if we can get the date that appears on the box?

MR. KAY: It is 11-12-69. It is 11-21-69.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Officer, I've been handed a box here.
MR. MANZELLA: It is Court's Special Exhibit 3.

	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right.
	2	which appears to have certain writing following
, &	3	the real number 33057, Investigators Neilson and Gutierrez and
ę	4	Patchett, showing the time 0850; that's 8:50 in the morning?
×	5	A That's correct.
3	6	Q On the date 11-12-69?
la fol	7	A Yes.
	8	
	9	
	10	,
	11	
	12	
	13	
\$.a.	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
•	23	
.	24	
*	25	
ik .u	26	
	27	
	28	
		I and the second

14-1 All right. Now, assuming that that is the date Q 1 this recording was made, do you have any recollection of 2 whether you had spoken to Mr. Springer before that date? 3 No. I do not. And after that date, you again spoke with Mr. 5 Springer and Mr. DeCarlo, is that correct? б Yes. And when was it after that date? MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'm going to object on the grounds it is unclear whether Mr. Denny is referring to 10 DeCarlo or Springer. 11 12 BY MR. DENNY: Did you speak with Mr. Springer and 13 Mr. DeCarlo together at a date following this November 12th 14 date? MR. MANZELLA: It is irrelevant whether he spoke to 16 DeCarlo. DeCarlo is not a witness in this case. 17 MR. DENNY: It is just a foundational --18 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 19 Did you speak to these two men together after 20 that tape was taken? 21 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, not together. 22 BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it a fact that Mr. Springer 23 brought Mr. DeCarlo down and together they came down, one or 24 two days after this particular tape was made? 25 It is a fact they came down together, but whether 26 it was one or two days after that tape was made, I don't know. 27 Well, did you thereafter interview Mr. Springer 28 again after this tape was made that we have been discussing?

la-2	1	A Yes, I spoke to him.
	2	Q All right, when was that, approximately?
3	3	A I don't recall exactly when, Counsel, even
Ŷ	4	approximately. I talked to them numerous times after that
•	5	date and on various occasions and I don't recall all the
3	6	dates.
	7	Q Well, did you ever receive any information from
	8	him that he had spoken with Bruce Davis at 28 Clubhouse or
	9	Clubview Drive on or about November 26th, 1969?
	10	A No.
	11	Q Never received such information?
	12	A No, I didn't. No.
· a	13	Q Did you ever go out to the Spahn Ranch with him
r, ĝ	14	into the Devil's Canyon area looking for the body of Shorty
į.	15	Shea?
	16	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant, your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, it is a fact, is it not,
	19	that Mr. Springer indicated that he would work with you
	20	to uncover any evidence that he could, including helping
	21	find the body of Shorty Shea?
	22	MR. MANZELLA: I'll object. That's vague and ambiguous
	23	as to time, your Honor. We're concerned with November 26th,
÷	24	1969.
	25	THE COURT: Sustained.
	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: Before November 26th, between the
	27	date that this tape recorded interview of November 12th was
	28	had well, let me go back a minute.

1a-3	1	Sergeant it is Sergeant Sartuche, isn't it?
	2	A Yes.
*,	3	Q All right. In that tape there are several
\$	4	statements, several times det Mr. Springer. volunteers to go
	5	out to the Devil's Canyon area where he says he thinks the
ž	6	body may have been buried; do you recall having heard such?
	7	A Not from Mr. Springer, no.
	8	Q Well, at the very close of the tape there is some
	9	conversation on that.
	10	Did you and any of your fellow officers go out
	11	with him pursuant to that or any other conversation, to the
	12	Devil's Canyon area to look for Shorty Shea?
પ ૃષ્ઠ	13	MR. KAY: That's irrelevant, your Honor. Same objection.
	14	MR. DENNY: Well, I have to ask the question first,
ja S	15	and then establish a time, your Honor.
	16	THE COURT: All right, you may answer it. I'll
	17	withdraw the ruling.
	18	THE WITNESS: I did not go to Devil's Canyon with Al
	19	Springer.
	20	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, to your knowledge did any of
	21	your fellow officers?
	22	A Uh, I know some of the officers went to Devil's
	23	Canyon. Whether they were with Mr. Springer or not, I don't
**	24	know.
*	25	Q Well, would it be fair to say, sir, that Officer
¥ y	26	Gutierrez spent a good deal more time with Mr. Springer
	27	following this November 12th interview than you did?
	28	A Yes, that would be a fair statement.

		1
1a-4	1 .	Q In other words, you're not the officer who
	2	presently had much to do with Springer?
1	3	A That's correct.
*	4	Q All right. And Gutierrez is where now?
* *	5	A He is on, I believe, military leave with the
-	6	Marine Corps. I don't know where he is.
	7	Q And was Frank Patchett his partner?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Is Patchett here, to your knowledge? Is Patchett
	10	on duty?
	11	A Yes, I believe so. He's maybe I should
	12	clarify that. Sergeant Patchett is now a Lieutenant. He no
ig	13	longer works homicide.
	14	Q Where does he work?
<u> ji</u>	15	A He's working Wilshire Detectives.
	16	Q All right. Well, then, as far as any conversations
	17	that you have had since November 12th with Mr. Springer,
	18	you, I take, it, would testify that you didn't have any
	19	conversations with him between November 12th and November 26th,
	20	is that right, or is that right?
1b fls.		A I'm sorry, you're going to have to rephrase that.
	22 23	
	25 24	
\$ \$	25	
4	26	
₹	27	
	28	
	-	

1b-1

1.

ź

 Did you have any conversations with Mr. Springer between November 12 and November 26, approximately two weeks following the initial interview, if it was the initial interview?

A Gee, I may have. I don't remember, Counsel.

Q Well, do you recall his bringing you some more information about the Manson Family within that period of time?

A I know he was obviously in the office after November 12th, 1969, but for me to tell you what conversation took place, I couldn't pinpoint it at this time as to dates and between the dates of November 12th through, I believe you said, November 26th.

Q Well, could you tell us at least the next time you recall having heard anything from him about the Manson Family, what it was you heard from him?

MR. KAY: Well, that's irrelevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: Well, it may or may not be, depending upon whether he can set the time, your Honor.

THE COURT: It appears to be irrelevant.

MR. DENNY: Well, we don't know until we find out what it was that he heard.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, were you ever present when there was any conversation held among any of these officers that you mentioned or any other L.A.P.D. officers and Mr. Springer in which Mr. Springer was told not to get into the case?

ź

2 fo1

2-1	1	Q Well, is it your statement that insofar at least
	2	as you and Sergeant Nielsen were concerned, to your knowledge
4	3	in any of your contacts with Mr. Springer, you made no notes?
~	4	A (No response.)
* *	5,	Q Of those contacts and conversations?
•	6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked
	7	and answered.
	8	THE COURT: Sustained.
	9	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I have no further questions of
	10	this particular witness.
	11	THE COURT: Any questions?
	12	MR. MANZELLA: May I inquire, your Honor?
i,	13	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	14	•
jų.	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	16	BY MR. MANZELLA:
	17	Q Sergeant Sartuche, do you ever remember telling
	18	Mr. Springer to go out and collect information for you or
	19	the Police Department, for the prosecutor's office?
	20	MR. DENNY: Irrelevent and immaterial as to time.
	21	THE COURT: Sustained.
	22	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: At any time?
	23	THE COURT: Well, overruled.
8	24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: At any time?
· ·	25	A I never made that statement, no.
•	26	Q Did you ever hear any other officer tell Mr.
	27	Springer at any time to go out and collect information for
	28	the Police Department, or for the prosecutor's office?

1

2

3

5

7

9

28

'No. I did not. A

MR. MANZELLA: No further questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. DENNY: Well, let me just pursue that a little minute.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DENNY:

Officer, I take it you make a distinction between telling a person like Mr. Springer, an informant, who comes in to talk to you about a case -- I take it you make a distinction between saying, "Go out and get something and let us know," as distinguished from, "If you get something, let us know"?

MR. MANZELLA: That's irrelevant.

BY MR. DENNY: Is that a distinction that you Q make in answering my question and Mr. Manzella's question? MR. MANZELLA: That's irrelevent.

MR. KAY: Also ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Well -- uh --

THE COURT: If you understand the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't understand it.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, let me preface it by --Q

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

The distinction is obvious on its face.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, Officer, when you were enswering my questions, you said, "Yes, it sounded like

27

28

something I'd say."

"If you hear anything, let us know," that you'd say that to any witness like that; right?

A Yes.

Q All right. And you make this, then, distinction between, "If you hear anything, let us know," and, "Do me a favor. If you find out something --" or, strike that.

"Do me a favor. See if you can find out anything.
And if you find out anything, let us know."

MR. MANZELLA: That question's ambiguous, your Honor. It's also irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, did you ever tell -- or overhear any officer tell Springer, "Yeah. If you could find out anything for us, let us know what you find out"?

A In those exact words, is that what you are referring to?

Q In sum and substance, "If you can find out anything for us, let us know what you find out."

A I -- I never heard another officer say that.

That other statement I made to you, Counsel, it sounds like something I'd say to every witness.

And this is what I am basing it on. But those exact words, I can't give you an answer -- or even, like you say, something that sounds like it.

Q Well, do you know when this sword was turned in?

MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well --

THE COURT: Sustained. MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it may be relevant pursuant to Mr. Springer's testimony that he got the sword, and his 2à fls. testimony on the tape that he would get the sword.

2a-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 THE COURT: We are not inquiring about that, are we?

MR. DENNY: Yes. I think that's part and parcel of this, your Honor, his acting as an agent for the police and going out and running down this sword for them.

THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection.

You may answer. Do you know when the sword was turned in?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall, your Honor.

- Q BY MR. DENNY: You know what sword we are referring to?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was that turned in to you or to Sergeant Gutierrez or to Sergeant Whiteley?
 - A I believe it was turned in to Sergeant Gutierrez.
 - Q But you don't know when?
 - A No. Not the exact date, no.
- Q Is there a report, an evidence report, showing when that evidence was received?

MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: It goes to determine the date, your Honor. We have the right to determine that.

MR. MANZELLA: The date's not relevant.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MR. DENNY: Well, the -- your Honor, if I may be heard?

Just -- the date is relevant as to whether it was before

November 26th, and Springer was again acting as an agent for them.

2

4

5

U

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14[.] 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

ř

25

26

27 28

me -

MR. MANZELLA: That assumes that he was acting as an agent, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well, he indicated in his tape-recorded interview that he would go out and try to get the sword for them, and --

MR. MANZELLA: The People submit that does not make him an agent of the police.

MR. DENNY: Well, that's the issue.

THE COURT: I think that's pretty good evidence that he was acting as an agent in procuring the sword. I think he was doing it at their request, and that --

MR. DENNY: That he was --

THE COURT: -- he felt he could be helpful to them. And he was, however, acting at their request in connection with --

MR. KAY: He was the one, though, on the tape who volunteered to get the sword. No one asked him.

THE COURT: Yes, that's true. But does that affect -does that necessarily keep him from being an agent? The fact
that he volunteered to assist the police?

MR. KAY: I think so. Because it's a technical distinction.

If I tell Sergeant Sartuche there that I'm going to go out and help him investigate his current bombing case -- or, I am going out on my own to investigate his bombing case, that doesn't mean I am his agent,

I am acting on my own, because he doesn't tell

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, my point is that for the

.28

purpose of the question, it's bringing the whole issue before the Court, whether or not he is an agent.

THE COURT: I understand that.

The Court -- the Court sustains the objection.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, may we establish the fact -as I think it is a fact; and I would offer this to prove -that this witness can testify -- that there is a property
receipt or an evidence receipt showing when that sword was
received; and that --

THE COURT: Do you have it? Or do you know the date of it?

MR. DENNY: No. That's what I am trying to establish through this witness, that --

My offer of proof is that he could testify that there is such a report; that it would have the date on it, when it was received.

THE COURT: Is that --

MR. DENNY: And that it is available.

THE COURT: Is that true?

THE WITNESS: If the sword was booked, your Honor, there would be a property slip with the date on it.

MR. DENNY: And this is what I would like to get, so we could determine whether or not that was secured before November 26th.

THE COURT: And what significance would that have, whether it was secured before or after?

MR. DENNY: Well, simply more further evidence that he was acting as an agent for the police -- whether voluntary or

not, certainly with their concurrence.

And as the Court heard on the tape --

THE COURT: Well, the Court has just stated to counsel for the People that it believes that, insofar as acting to get the sword, that he was acting as an agent for the police.

MR. DENNY: All right. Fine.

THE COURT: What difference does it make?

MR. DENNY: Well, assuming then that that is the finding of the Court, that's fine.

All right. I have no further questions.

THE COURT: I think he was -- there's no direction by any of these police officers, "Now, Springer, you go out and get that sword and bring it back to us." There's nothing of that kind.

But nevertheless, there's enough urging, I think, in their words and in their questioning of Springer concerning the sword, and their remarks about the sword, to indicate that they wanted him to do that.

It's replete through that tape.

Anything further?

MR. MANZELLA: No. Thank you.

MR. KAY: No further questions.

May Sergeant Sartuche be excused?

THE COURT: Yes, Sergeant, you may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like, just for the purpose of protecting the record, to have him remain on call, subject to recall at a later time.

THE COURT: Sergeant Sartuche, you will --

MR. DENNY: I don't mean today.

THE COURT: -- keep Mr. Kay advised of where you are.

MR. KAY: I know where he is. He doesn't have to keep me advised. I know where he is.

THE COURT: Well, the Court orders you to.

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Denny?
3	MR. DENNY: If Sergeant Whiteley's here, I would like to
4	put him on.
5	MR. KAY: I'll see if he's outside, your Honor.
6	(Pause in the proceedings.)
7.	MR. MANZELLA: Did we call Sergeant Whiteley?
8	MR. DENNY: I am calling Sergeant Whiteley.
9	MR. MANZELLA: That's what I am asking. Should we
10	call him? Or should he stand out in the hall?
11	MR. DENNY: No. I've called him, if he's here.
12	MR. MANZELLA: All right. Fine.
13	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you
14	may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be
15	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help
16	you God?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
18	
19	PAUL J. WHITELEY,
20	called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant, was
21	duly sworn, and testified as follows:
22	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. DENNY:
25	Q Sergeant Whiteley, when was your first contact
26	with Mr. Springer?
27	A The last part of November of 1969.
28	Q Well, can you be any more specific as to the date?

(
. А	No.
Q	Was your contact, your initial contact, by phone
or in person	13.
A	In person,
Ω	Where?
A	The third floor of this building.
Q	And was he with anyone else?
A	Yes.
Q	Who?
A	Danny DeCarlo.
Q	And were you with anyone else?
A	Yes.
Q	Who?
A	Charles Guenther,
Ω,	And did you have any did you have an interview
with Mr. Dec	arlo on that date?
A	Yes.
Q	And was that interview tape-recorded?
A	Yes.
Ω	Was that interview also recorded at the same time
by a stenogr	apher?
A	I believe so.
Q	And was Mr. Springer present at that time, when you
were intervi	lewing Mr. DeCarlo?
A	I don't quite follow what you mean by "present."
In the same	room?
Q	Was he in the same room?
· A	No.
	or in person A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q with Mr. Dec A Q by a stenogr A Q were intervi

1	Q Was he waiting outside the room where the interview
2	with Mr. DeCarlo was taking place?
3	MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, did you interview Mr. Springer
6	on that same date that you interviewed Mr. DeCarlo?
7	A No.
8	Q Did you interview him on a later date?
9	A Yes.
10	Q When?
11	A I don't remember the date. It was it was
12	possibly three or four days later that I next saw Mr. Springer.
13	Q And what was the subject of this interview that you
14	had three or four days after the DeCarlo interview?
15	A It was about the Hinman case.
16	Q Well, would you relate what was stated?
17	MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant.
18	MR. DENNY: It is as to time and the full circumstances
19	of this report, your Honor.
20	MR. MANZELLA: That's not relevant to determining of
21	whether or not he was acting as an agent for the police.
22 ^x	MR. DENNY: Well, we can't tell until we hear what he's
23 24	reporting.
25	THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
25 26	You may answer.
20 27	THE WITNESS: He told of a conversation that he had with
28	Charles Manson at Spahn's Ranch.
40	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, what was said? Just

- 1.

 Q All right. And on that same day did you interview in any way Mr. Springer?

MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered. Sergeant Whiteley said no, your Honor.

THE COURT: I believe it has been answered.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Your answer was "No," if that's -- all right.

Then, this conversation that you told us about where Springer said something about Manson cutting somebody's ear with a sword, occurred three or four days after the initial DeCarlo interview, is that right?

A Yes.

And was it some several days after that that

Mr. Springer reported to you on the conversation he had with

Mr. Davis at 28 Clubview or Clubhouse Drive or Clubview Drive?

- A Yes, it was just a matter of days.
- Q Two, three, four; how many?
- A I can't recall whether it was one or three.
- Q All right. And at that time that Mr. Springer, you said, had this first conversation or first interview with you, at which time he mentioned Charlie cutting off someone's ear, was this a lengthier conversation than just the couple of minutes that it would take to say that Charlie told me he cut off the ear?
- A It wasn't a lengthy conversation. It didn't last more than five minutes.
 - Q Was it tape-recorded?
 - A No.

ı	Q .	Did you at that time indicate in any way to
Mr. Sp	ringer	that you would be glad to have any other informa-
tion f	rom hi	m that he could come up with or find?
	A	No.
	Ω	Did you tell him not to go up and seek out any
inform	ation?	•
	A	No, I did not.
	Q	Did you tell him if he came up with anything, to let
you kn	iom.	
	A	No.
	Ω	Did he bring a sword in to you, to your knowledge?
	A	No, he did not.
	Ω	To the L.A.P.D., to your knowledge?
	A	Yes.
	Ω	Was it he or Danny DeCarlo that did it, to your
knowle	idge?	
	A	I believe it was he that brought the sword in.
	Q.	All right. Now, Sergeant Whiteley, let me try to
refres	sh your	recollection a little bit.
		Showing you my copy of the homicide manual here.
Unfort	unatel	ly the pagination on the manual itself is very
light,	, so I'	'm not sure what page it appears, but you recognize
this t	transcr	ciption of the interview with Mr. DeCarlo?
	A	Yes.
	Q	And that was held on what date?
	7 0.	The day's hare November 19, 1969.

you had with Mr. Decarlo, to your knowledge?

All right. And was that the first interview that

1	A Yes.
2	Q And then, about three days later, on the 22nd, was
3	it, that you had an interview with Mr. Springer?
4	Again, showing you a report dated 1-29-70 from the
5	homicide manual, Paragraph 2 thereof?
6	A Yes,
7	Q Now, this is the report at which time you said.
8 .	"I killed five pigs and cut another one's ear off," purporting
9	to show a statement of Charles Manson, is that right?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Then, it was on November 26th that he reported
12	to you his alleged conversation with Mr. Davis, is that right?
13	A I told you I couldn't recall the dates. I know
14	that there was just a few days in between.
15	Q Well, again, to refresh your recollection, do you
16	recall him telling you that he had just been to see Davis Or
17	just had a conversation with Davis?
18 19	A Yes, I recall I recall that.
20	Q All right. And did he call you first to set up
21	an appointment with you to report this conversation?
22	A Well, he did call me on that date.
23	Q Well, was the conversation with him by phone or did
24	you tell him to come on in and talk to you?
25	A I can't recall, Mr. Denny. I know that that same
26	day he and I went out to Devil's Canyon and I can't remember
27	whether he came down to the Homicide Bureau or if I picked him
28	up, but I remember going out there with him. THE COURT: Sergeant, was that before or after those
•	THU COOKE: BETREONE! MAN CHEC DATOLE OF STEEL CHONG

search operations went on at Spahn Ranch? 1 THE WITHESS: It was before. 2 THE COURT: When did you begin the search of Spahn Ranch 3 for Shea's body? THE WITNESS: Really on that -- on that day in November, the last day in November or the last part of November, б THE COURT: Well, when did it conclude after all the 7 8 bulldozers and all the efforts that were made? 9 THE WITNESS: Well, it continued on until the middle of 10 1970. The main operations were in January and February of 11 1970. 12 THE COURT: At that time you were still investigating 13 as to whether or not Shea was still alive, is that true? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 BY MR. DENNY: Well, at that time, however, you were Q 16 certain that Mr. Hinman was quite dead, is that right? 17 À At what time? 18 On November 26th. Q 19 3a fo1 A Oh, yes, 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 Q In fact, Mr. Beausoleil had been tried and it was 2 in the hands of the jury at that time, isn't that correct? 3 A I remember it was in trial, but I can't remember what portion. Well, again, to refresh your recollection, you had a 5 conversation with Mr. Springer on November 19, and based on that 7 conversation, is it not true that petition was made to the court where Mr. Beausoleil was being tried in the first 9 Beausoleil trial to re-open the People's case and he was then 10 put on, that is DeCarlo was then put on at the end of the case 11 on about November 23rd, 22nd or 23rd? 12 MR. MANZELLA: I think Mr. Denny said Mr. Springer. I 13 think he means Mr. DeCarlo. 14 MR. DENNY: If I misspoke myself, I certainly meant 15 Mr. DeCarlo. 16 THE COURT: I think it was DeCarlo. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, I think that's true. 18 BY MR. DENNY: All right. 19 Anything further, Mr. Denny? THE COURT: 20 MR. DENNY: Yes, just a couple of questions, your Honor. 21 Now, did you continue -- you said you had many Q 22 conversations the week or several weeks following the initial 23 conversation with Mr. Springer -- I'm sorry, with Mr. DeCarlo, 24 following your initial conversation with him on November 19; is 25 that correct? 26 A Yes. 27 Did you also have a number of conversations follow-28 ing your initial conversation with Mr. Springer on November

1 22nd, in the week or so following? 2 MR. MANZELLA: That's been asked and answered, your 3 Honor. He said on the 22nd, and then again on the 26th. I think we've already had that information. 5 THE COURT: That's true. 6 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would like to carry it a 7 little beyond that to show again by circumstantial evidence 8 that this was a continuing thing. 9 THE COURT: All right. You may. 10 MR. MANZELLA: Well, if he wants to go beyond the 26th, 11 I have no objection. But it is already clear there was one on 12 the 22nd and one on the 26th. I don't think we have to confuse 13 things any by starting with the 22nd again. Go after the 14 26th. 15 MR. DENNY: All right, I'll accept Mr. Manzella's 16 statement there. 17 After the 26th, did you continue to have a number 18 of contacts, interviews, whatever, with Mr. Springer? 19 No. not really. A 20 Did he continue -- did he continue over a period 21 of several months following to provide you with information 22 concerning these cases? 23 Ά No. 24 In other words, after November 26th, he provided 25 you with no further information about the cases? 26 I didn't say that. You're --27 Well, did he on other occasions, other than on Q 28 November 26th, provide you with information about either the

Shea or the Hinman cases?

MR. MANZELLA: That's vague and ambiguous as to what he means by information.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I don't know what he means.

If I interview a witness -- if I may be heard -- I interrogate the day before a trial starts, get the date he is presently working, that is information he is providing me with up to the day of trial. It is vague and ambiguous as to what type of information he is talking about.

THE COURT: Well, I think the Sergeant knows what he is talking about.

THE WITNESS: I might have picked up some little thing here and there.

- Q BY MR. DENNY: Things he would call into you?
- A Are you asking, in other words, that he is gathering information, and then telephoning me and contacting me with this information?
- Q Whether he is gathering information or whether he's simply calling you with additional information concerning the case that he just happened to think about or anything of the kind, we're talking about information --
 - A No.
- Q -- that had been supplied you about the case at any later time?
 - A No.
- Q After the 26th he never, then, provided you with any additional information about the case?

3b fol

__

THE COURT: Well, he didn't say that.

MR. MANZELLA: I think the question has been asked and answered.

THE COURT: He might have picked up a little bit of information here and there.

MR. DENNY: He's contradicting that.

THE COURT: Your question was whether he called into him. He might have procured it by going out to where Mr. Springer was, I don't know.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the question is vague for that reason. I don't think, since the jury is not here and we're all lawyers, I don't think it is necessary to waste this kind of time on this kind of motion. Any information you get that you don't know before is additional information, including the witness's date of birth, his description and where he lives now and where he works.

What we're interested in is anything that had to do with the case itself.

THE COURT: I think the Sergeant understands that. 1 MR. DENNY: That's obviously --2 MR. MANZELLA: In answering the question, he may include 3 things that aren't limited to that. BY MR. DENNY: Sergeant Whiteley, now having heard 5 Mr. Manzella describe the kind of information we're interested б in, not his date of birth, his age, his address, his last meal or the contents thereof, we're talking about information about 9 the cases, obviously. Did you get, following November 26th, from Mr. Springer, 10 11 any information from him at any later time that he called in or 12 brought in to you? 13 No. 14 MR. DENNY: I have nothing further. 15 MR. MANZELLA: No questions. 16 May Sergeant Whiteley be excused? 17 THE COURT: Yes, you may be excused. 18 Your Honor, I had requested that I get the MR. DENNY: 19 address of Ray Parrott and I think Sergeant Whiteley was going 20 to provide that. 21 THE WITNESS: I gave that to Mr. Manzella last night. 22 MR. MANZELLA: I have it. It is 103058 Topanga Canyon 23 Boulevard, and the phone number is 341-8607. 24 MR. DENNY: 8607? 25 MR. MANZELLA: That's correct. 26 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would also like to get the 27 address of Lee Saunooke. 28 THE COURT: Do you have that?

2

3

4, 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I can get it.

THE COURT: All right, call it in sometime in the next 48 hours to Mr. Manzella.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, within the next 24. I have to get a process server out there.

THE COURT: All right, within the next 24 hours.

You may step down.

Anything further?

MR. DENNY: No, I have nothing further at this time by way of evidence.

THE COURT: Argument?

Your Honor, I don't think further argument MR. DENNY: is required on this, other than just to note that I believe, as the Court indicated concerning the sword, from and after the time of that initial interview with the police there was a tacit understanding and agreement and request, if not a forthright explicit direction that if Mr. Springer could be helpful, go do it, and I think implied in the last part of that taperecorded interview when he was talking about his interest in the \$25,000 reward, and I don't know which officer was talking, but it was also implicit when the officer told him, well, you know, if somebody else comes up with more information and everything falls into place, clink, clink -- I'm not sure whether I'm quoting it quite correctly, but that phraseology seems to stick in my mind -- why, then, you might have to share that reward money with that person, which was obviously then, I think, an understated statement. You go out and come in with more

4 fol

I think that it is clear that that's exactly what they had him do and that he was working as an agent for the police from and after that time, whether a voluntary agent or not, it doesn't matter. Whether he got paid for it or not. And he certainly got well paid in view of the testimony concerning the dropping of charges and the later dropping of charges. But you don't have to get paid in money and you don't have to get paid at all. He was their agent and I don't think there's any question about it.

THE COURT: Incidentally, this reward was not offered by any police agencies as far as I know.

•

MR. DENNY: As I understand it, your Honor, and I —
I would — I think I would be willing to enter into at least
this stipulation, as to what my understanding was, that it was
offered by Peter Sellers and other private individuals, in
order to secure information leading to the arrest and conviction of those responsible for the death of Sharon Tate and
those killed with her.

THE COURT: That was the Court's understanding.

MR. DENNY: Nevertheless, it is quite clear that the police knew the power of that particular bit of money, and — and used it. I think, in order to get the cooperation of Mr. Springer to go on to greater heights, and more effort to secure information, so that he wouldn't have to split it with anyone else.

THE COURT: Well, the Court finds that in the procurement of that sword, Springer was acting for the police as an agent of the police, and that their request -- although there was, as you stated, no specific request.

In listening to the tape, the Court finds that there does appear to have been enough urging on the part of the police to allow the Court to find that he procured that sword as a result of acting at their request, and that he was in so doing acting for them.

Now, I'm inclined to believe Springer's statement, however -- and I do believe Springer's statement -- that he was told by the police to keep out of the case.

And I think that he was acting independently in other matters, other than in procuring this sword, and that he

was not an agent of the police, and -- in hearing Mr. Manson's and Mr. Davis's statements that he was about to relate.

And the Court finds that he was -- he was motivated by the reward; he was motivated by his desire to assist his friend, Danny DeCarlo;

And he also, I believe, was motivated by his dislike of Charles Manson and what he had heard that Charles Manson had done.

The Court finds that the case was still obviously in an investigative stage.

MR. DENNY: Well, I'm sorry, your Honor. If you are talking about the Shorty Shea case, then -- is that what you are talking about?

THE COURT: That's --

MR. DENNY: There are three -- four separate and distinct cases that his evidence had --

THE COURT: I am talking about --

MR. DENNY: -- reference to.

THE COURT: I am talking about the Shea case. And it was still in an investigative stage.

So far as the case against Manson -- against Davis is concerned, in respect to the Hinman killing, it's quite likely that that was still in an investigative stage.

There -- it appears to the Court that there was no violation of Mr. Davis's constitutional rights, no lack of due process, in Springer hearing and relating those statements.

There was no violation of the Sixth Amendment rights of the defendant or any rights that he had.

So accordingly, the motion to exclude the statement is denied.

MR. MANZELLA: Miss Lee Saunooke's address is 20115 Parthenia. Her telephone number, 882-0443.

THE COURT: Parthenia?

MR. DENNY: 0043?

MR. MANZELLA: 0443.

MR. DENNY: 0443.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get the jury down, please, and --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, in view of Mr. Denny's statement with regard to my intentions with regard to the availability of Sergeant Whiteley, I want to make it clear now that Sergeant Whiteley, as far as I am concerned, is not on call;

That when Sergeant Whiteley is excused from testifying in the case, when he steps off the witness stand and is excused by the Court, that he's not subject to recall, except by subpoens.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, this takes me completely by surprise, because I was given to understand, at the very beginning of this case, that Sergeant Whiteley would be available; one, that he would be available to accept subpoenas for service of any of the witnesses; two, that he would be available to testify; and —

THE COURT: The Court so understood it. At least, that has been the conversation in the Court's presence, as I understood it.

```
MR. DENNY: And it is clear, I am going to call Sergeant
          1
              Whiteley as to --
          2
4a fol
          6
          8
          9
         10
         11
         12
         13
         14
         15
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
         25
         26
         27
         28
```

THE COURT: Do you anticipate that he will be leaving on a vacation or anything of that nature?

1 ,

MR. MANZELLA: I don't know, your Honor. All I can say is that Sergeant Whiteley -- the reason I made the statement was that Mr. Denny misconstrued my statement.

I felt that Sergeant Whiteley would always be available for either the prosecution or the defense, for -- during the course of the trial for testimony on the issue of guilt or innocence.

But in the case of what I consider to be dilatory and unreasonable motions made by the defense, I don't want to be in the position of having to make Sergeant Whiteley available.

He is available to testify on the issue of guilt or innocence, in front of the jury, during the course of the trial. But he is no longer available. He is not keeping his whereabouts known to me on a daily basis, and I will not be able to get him by telephone, and that he will have to be subposmed from now on.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's for the Court to say, and --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'm stating a fact: That if Sergeant -- if Mr. Denny wants Sergeant Whiteley in, that he'll have to get him by subpoena.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. MANZELLA: Because I cannot represent that Sergeant Whiteley will be available to my phone call.

THE COURT: Well, the Court has told Sergeant Whiteley

to keep himself available, through the District Attorney's Office. And if he should be needed, the Court would expect that he would be here within a relatively short time. All right. Let's proceed with the jury. THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. They're being brought down. б MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I wonder if there is a possibility 7 that we could have just about ten minutes before we proceed? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. DENNY: I have not had a minute free since --THE COURT: All right. We'll have ten minutes. And let's 10 11 get the jury down. 12 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 13 MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor. 14 (Short recess.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

5 fol

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

26

27

28

THE COURT: All right, the record will show that in the case of People versus Davis, that all the jurors are present. The defendant is present with his counsel, Mr. Denny. Mr. Manzella.

MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Springer, will you take the stand, please.

THE COURT: Pull that microphone over, Mr. Springer, and state your name for the record.

ALAN LEROY SPRINGER,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

THE WITNESS: Alan Leroy Springer.

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Mr. Springer, we were talking about a conversation between you and Bruce Davis about Thanksgiving of 1969 at Clubhouse Drive in Venice.

Would you tell us again who was present at the time of that conversation?

- There was myself and Bruce Davis and Mark Ross and a couple of girls. , i
 - And do you know the names of those girls?
 - No. I don't. A
- Now, after Bruce Davis showed you the Santa Monica Q Evening Outlook article on DeCarlo's testimony at the Beausoleil

5-3	1	Q Did anybody say anything after Mr. Davis said
•	2	that?
÷	3	A Yes, this Mark Ross says, uh, "You mean Shorty?"
∓ .	4	And Davis said, "Yeah."
4 0,	5	Q All right. Did you other than in court, did you
	6	ever see Mr. Davis again after that?
6 fol	. 7	A No, I don't believe so. I haven't.
	.8	·
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
3	13	
કંકુ	14	
● ₃ *	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
2	24	
	25	
** *	26	
<u>.</u>	27	
	28	

6-1 MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you. 1 I have no further questions. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DENNY: 5 All right. Mr. Springer, at the time that you say you heard this conversation -- on the day before or 7 the day after Thanksgiving; is that right? 9 Yes. sir. A 10 Well, do you remember whether it was the day 11 before or the day after? 12 I believe it was the day before. 13 You believe that it was the day before because 14 you had to appear in court the day before; isn't that right? 15 I don't remember what day I appeared in court. A 16 Pretty hard for you to remember all the days you 17 appeared in all the various court appearances that you had 18 to make about that time: is that right? 19 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be 20 relevent. 21 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it's --22 THE COURT: Sustained, in that form. 23 BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, you had been arrested 0 24 on a particular charge on October 15; is that right? 25 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be 26 relevant, your Honor. 27 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, for the purpose of --28 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	Q BY MR. DENNY: And for what was that?
3	A It was for grand theft auto.
4	Q And?
5	A (No response.)
6	Q And?
7	A Receiving stolen property.
8	Q All right. And you'd spent a little time in
9	the Venice jail as the result thereof?
10	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be
11	relevant.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you were arrested again,
14	then, on October 22nd, 1969; is that right?
15	MR. MANZELLA: Same objection.
16	MR. DENNY: Goes to People vs. Pantages, et cetera,
17	your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	Q BY MR. DENNY: And for what was that?
21	A Uh grand theft, I believe, or possession of
22	of stolen property.
23	Q Well, that was a different one, wasn't it?
24	Wasn't that grand theft, and possession of marijuana?
25	A I believe it was.
26	Q All right. You and Miss Tamberen and Miss
27	Collier?
28	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be

1 relevant. THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. DENNY: All right. Now, then, while you 3 0 were in -- you did spend some time, in the Venice jail? MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked 5 and answered, and the Court already ruled on the objection. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. The objection is sustained. 8 BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, following your arrest Q. 9 of October 22nd, you did a period of thinking and soul 10 searching, is that right, --11 MR. MANZELLA: Object --12 BY MR. DENNY: About your position? 13 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's irrelevant. 14 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, it's relevant again to --15 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 16 You may answer. 17 MR. MANZELLA: The question is vague and ambiguous. 18 THE COURT: All right. Sustained on that ground. 19 BY MR. DENNY: Thinking and soul searching about 20 your position, being locked up in jail? 21 MR. MANZELLA: The question is vague and ambiguous. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 . BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, you began to think 24 about how you might get out of jail; is that right? 25 I paid bail and walked right out. I had no 26 problem in getting out. 27 I see. And then you began thinking about a 0 28 buddy of yours, Mr. Danny DeCarlo; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. 1 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I --2 MR. MANZELLA: That's irrelevant. 3 MR. DENNY: Again, your Honor --THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 5 THE WITNESS: I thought about him way before I got 6 put in jail. 7 MR. DENNY: Ah, yes. And Mr. DeCarlo, in your opinion 8 at that time, was in big trouble; is that right? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. And I was in small trouble. 10 Q BY MR. DENNY: And you wanted to -- you were in 11 small trouble, with two -- let's say four felony charges 12 pending against you at that time; is that right? Is that 13 what you count --14 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, this --15 BY MR. DENNY: Is that what you count as small Q 16 6a fls. 17 trouble? 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

6a-1	1	MR. MANZELLA: That's argumentative, your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Sustained.
ŧ.	3	Q BY MR. DENNY: Danny was in big trouble, you
•	4	felt, because he was involved in the Tate and La Bianca
<u>.</u>	5	murders; is that right?
÷	6	A Possibly could have been involved.
	7	Q All right. And you thought that was big trouble
	8	is that right?
	9	MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and
	10	answered.
	11	THE COURT: Sustained.
`	12	Q BY MR. DENNY: And as a result of that thinking
	13	about Danny, and the trouble he was in, you thought you'd
ब्रि _	14	like to help your bike brother; is that right?
	15	A That's right.
	16	Q And your bike brother, again, this bike club,
	17	you referred to yourself as one of the outlaw motorcycle
	18	clubs; is that right?
	19	A Yes, it was.
	20	Q And which outlaw motorcycle club was this, that
	21	you and your friend, Mr. DeCarlo, belonged to?
•	22	A Straight Satans, Venice.
	23	Q Straight Satans, Venice? Are there any other
ż	24	Straight Satans?
成 (25	A I don't believe so.
÷ ≰	26	Q All right. And at the time of around August,
	27	1969, Mr. DeCarlo was the president of the Straight Satans,
	28	Venice?

1	A No, he wasn't.
2	Q He was not?
3	A No, he was not.
4	Q Do you recall telling the police that oh,
5	well, strike that.
6	Who was?
7	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not
8	relevant.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, do you recall telling the
n	police that and the police on November 12th, 1969
12	and I mean, by the police, Sergeant Gutierrez, Sergeant
13	Sartuche, Sergeant Nielsen, and Sergeant Patchett that
14	you went up to get Mr. DeCarlo, because he wasn't coming
15	to the meetings, and it was no good to have the president
16	of the group not attending the meetings?
17	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion
20	off the record ensued at the counsel table between
21	Mr. Denny and the defendant.)
22	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, he was an officer of the
23	Straight Satans, wasn't he?
24	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.
.25	THE COURT: Sustained.
26	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, sir. And this
27	bike brother of yours, of the Straight Satans, Venice, at
28	this time, was not in custody, was he?

1	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.
2	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
3	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. But you were afraid
4	that he might get arrested and charged with murder; is
5	that right?
6	A Yes, very possible.
7	Q All right. And so you decided that it might be
8	helpful to him for you to go to the police with some
9	information that would help them in the Tate and La Bianca
10	investigation; is that right?
İΙ	A With him, yes, sir.
12	Q Oh. Well, you went by yourself, first, to kind of
13	lay the groundwork, didn't you?
14	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, when you say "with him,"
17	A With him, yes.
18	Q you went alone, before you went with him,
19	yes, isn't that right?
20	A Yes. But I had talked to him first.
21	Q Did you talk to him first, before you talked to
22	the detectives in the Venice Police Station?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Oh, you talked to Danny first?
25	A (Indicating affirmatively.)
26.	Q All right. So that we get the sequence of events,
27	when was it that you got out of the Venice jail, following
28	your arrest on October 20th?

	1	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It assumes a fact
	2	Q BY MR. DENNY: Or the 22nd?
•	3 .	MR. MANZELLA: a fact not in evidence; and it's not
Ť	4	relevant.
*	5	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
	6	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, when did you talk to Danny
	7	in relationship to the time you talked to the Venice Police
	8	Officers, of the Venice detectives?
бb	fls.9	A Several hours after I got out of the jail.
	10	
	'n	
	12	
â,	13	•
	14	
D _E	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19 20	
	20	
	22	
	23	
	24	
š	25	
÷.	26	
T	27	
	28	

6b-1	1	Q And when was that?
	2	A I believe it was that evening.
	3	Q The evening of your arrest?
*	4	A That evening, or else the next morning. I can't
3	5	quite recall.
Ψ,	6	Q All right. So then, you went and talked to your
	7	buddy Danny; is that right?
	8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q And you talked to him about the big trouble that
	10	he was in; is that right?
	iı	A Yes, sir.
	12	Q And then following that, you went and talked with
	13	the Venice Police Department Detectives; is that right? Or
Á	14	the LAPD, Venice Division, Detectives?
) _P	15	A Yes, sir.
	16	Q And when in relationship to this conversation with
	17	Danny did you go and talk to them?
	18	A A few days after, I believe.
	19	A few days after you talked to Danny; is that right
	20	A Possibly. I don't recall exactly how long it was.
	21	Q All right. Now, you didn't go with Danny you
	22	went to kind of lay the ground work for Danny's appearance;
	23	isn't that right?
is .	24	MR. MANZELLA: The question is vague and ambiguous.
*	25	THE COURT: Sustained.
э́.	26	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you didn't go with Danny,
	27	MR. MANZELLA: The question has been
•	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: Is that right?

έş

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: You went in order to kind of feel out the police as to what they would do if Danny came in with you at a later time; isn't that correct?

MR. MANZELLA: The question is vague and ambiguous.

MR. DENNY: I don't think it's ambiguous at all.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Police Department to talk to the police officers there, your purpose in so doing, among others that you may have had -- at least, one of the purposes -- was to kind of determine what kind of reception Danny would get if he came in; isn't that right?

MR. MANZELLA: The question is vague and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Nope.

Q BY MR. DENNY: You went there because you just wanted to bare your soul to these officers about what you know--MR. MANZELLA: The question is --

Q BY MR. DENNY: -- of the Manson Family; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question is vague and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: You went there because you simply wanted to give them all the information that you had about the Manson Family? Give the police, at that time; is that right?

	1	A Danny might have.
\	2	Q You, sir. Not Danny. You.
7	3	A I don't recall how long I talked. I could have
\$		talked for a half an hour, an hour. I don't know.
, 6c	fls. 5	It's been a long time ago.
~		TO WOOM OF LOUIS CAME OF C.
	6	
	7	· ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	8	
	9	
	10	
	iı.	
	12	
ě	13	·
	14	
į,	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
ŝ	24	
è	25	
\$ *	26	
	27	
	28	

1	Q It has, hasn't it?
2	And when Danny came down, did he come down to
3	the Venice Police Station, then?
4	A Yes, he did.
5	Q The first time Danny made any appearance was
6	at the Parker Center; isn't that right? Over at the
7	Homicide Bureau over there (indicating)?
8	A I believe he was in the Venice jailhouse, in one
9	of their small rooms at one time with me the first time,
10	I believe.
'n	I don't recall when, but
12	Q Well, when was that in relation to the conversation
13	you had with
14	A Right after I got out of jail.
15	Q Right after you got out of jail?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And then you went down, yourself, and talked to
18	them, and then you went down again with Danny, to the Venice
19.	Police Station?
20	A I believe I talked to them, and then I went out
21	and got Danny DeCarlo and brought him back.
22	Q The same day?
23	A Same day, or the next morning. I'm not positive
24	what day it was.
25	Q When you found out that they weren't going to
26	arrest him; is that right?
27	A Homan I don't recall what they said. They
28	said they couldn't promise anything. So I couldn't promise

1	anything to Danny, that it was something he should or
2	shouldn't do, so he went down there.
3	Q All right. So you had another conversation with
4	Danny, you and Danny with the Venice detectives?
5	A Just the one, I believe.
6	Q Who was that?
7	A Home
8	MR, MANZELLA: I'm sorry. I object on the grounds
9	that it's irrelevant.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I think we have the
12	right to determine it, so that we can subpoens him, if
13	necessary.
14	THE COURT: All right. I'll reverse it.
15	You may state his name, if you remember it.
16	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, if I may be heard on that
17	question, please?
18	THE WITNESS: I don't remember his name.
19	MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me. I object and ask that I
20	be heard briefly on that, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Well, he states he doesn't recall in any
22	event.
23	MR. MANZELLA: All right.
24	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, then, it was after that
25	that you went to talk with the homicide officers down here
26	at Parker Center? The Glass House, as it's more commonly
27	referred to?
28	A I believe it is, yes.
	·

1	Q How much after that conversation that you and
2	Danny together had with the Venice Detectives?
3	A I believe it was a day or so.
4	Q A day or so. Well, would it refresh your
5	recollection if I told you that the tape recording made of
6	that interview was November 12th?
7	A I don't recall what date it was exactly.
8	Q Well, if it was November 12th, sir, when in
9	relationship to November 12th was it that you say you had
10	this conversation with the Venice Detectives, that you and
ìı	Danny had?
12	A The day after I was arrested, whatever date that
13	was.
14	Q The 22nd?
15	A If that was the day I was arrested.
16	You are talking about the second arrest, now, not
17	the first arrest; is that right?
18	I'm sorry. The 20th. You were arrested
19	October 20th.
20	You are saying you had a conversation with the
21	you and Danny had a conversation with the officers on about
22	the 21st, the Venice PD officers, on the 21st?
23	,
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

7 fls.

25

26

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, then, you say it was just a couple days later that you had the conversation that was tape recorded with Officer Sartuche, Nielsen, Gutierrez and Patchett?

A I don't recall.

Q You don't recall.

Now, sir, before you talked with Sartuche, Nielsen, Patchett and Gutierrez, had you been given to understand by any of the police that these charges then pending against you, the grand theft -- that was a grand theft auto, wasn't it, that you were arrested for on the 17th? 487.3 of the Penal Code or simply grand theft and receiving?

MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, did you get any information from any of the officers before you talked on or about November 12th, 1969, to Patchett, Gutierrez, et cetera, that those charges would be dropped against you in exchange for whatever information you could give?

A They said no guarantees, but we'll see if we can help you.

Q Well, they told you that those charges would be dropped, did they not?

1	out if you help us"?
2	A Something to that effect, yes.
3	Q They also promised to dismiss the other case,
4	but your attorney beat it anyhow, is that right?
5	A I believe that's how it worked. I'm not positive
6	exactly how that worked out.
7	Q But they promised to discuss that, too, is that
8	right?
9	A They promised to help me on it.
10	Q Help you out on it?
11	A (Nods head.)
12	Q The same as they promised to help you out on the
13	grand theft case, is that right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q The same words were used, is that right?
16	A Right.
17	Q All right, sir.
18	So you told them you would help them out, is
19	that right?
20	A I said if I could think of anything, yes, I would
21	help them out.
22	Q And you thought and thought and you thought of
23	things that would help them out, is that right?
24 25	A I didn't just think of things out of my head that
26	would help them out, I told them facts that I had heard.
27	Q You told them facts?
28	A In my own mind, my own way of thinking.
۷.	Q And the facts that you told them, you told them,

MR. DENNY: This goes to his motive, your Honor, motive 1, to --THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 7a fls. 14.

10.

ļ2

Q BY MR. DENNY: By the way, these officers told you when you had this conversation about the --- well, when was it that you had the first conversation about that reward with the officers, huh?

A I don't recall.

Q Well, would it refresh your recollection to hear the tape recording you made on November 12th, about the end of the tape recording, just before you went out the door?

THE COURT: How would be know that, Mr. Denny? The Court will sustain its own objection to that.

DEFINITION Well, sir, was the first time you had any conversation with the officers about that reward just about at the conclusion of your interview with Gutierrez, Patchett, Sartuche and Mielsen on or about November 12th, 1969 at the Glass House or had you talked with the officers about that reward before?

A No, they had talked to me. I heard about it. And they said, well, we have all these girls and all these other witnesses. And they said, well, the money would be split up. And if you do get any money, if you are lucky, you'll get very little.

I was less interested, in the first place, and I used that money as a thought in DeCarlo's mind to get him to do what I wanted him to because he was awfully not sure about testifying. He didn't want to do anything. When I said \$25,000, he jumped out of his chair.

Q Oh?

A Yeah.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

A

10

٠11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20.

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q And then, he decided he would give some information to the police, is that right, in exchange for that \$25000?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, calls for an opinion on the part of the witness.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Now, didn't the officers tell you it looked like, from what you told them, and if Danny came through, that the two of you would split it?

Yes, they had said that. And then, a week later they said, well, we've got so many witnesses now we don't know what's going to happen. I lost all interest in it. still -- I don't believe there is a reward right now.

But at the time you made these initial statements to the officers, that's one of the motivating factors for your making the statement, isn't it, because you thought you were going to get that \$25000. You thought there was \$25000 you were going to get it; is that right?

I would like to have had it, yes, mir.

All right, sir,

And the officers told you, did they not, and I am talking about this conversation now on November 12th, 1969, just about as you were leaving the door, going out the door or just shortly after, the officers told you, well, now, there's -- you know -- if some other people come up with information, it may have to be split more ways?

answered.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that question's been asked and

	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: Now, sir, when was it that you went
	2	out and got the sword?
	3	A I don't recall what date it was.
	4	Q Well, approximately in conjunction with this
	5	conversation that we've talked about with the officers, this
	6	tape-recorded conversation of November 12th, 1969?
	7 .	A I imagine a week or so later.
	8	Q Where did you get it?
	9	A I got it from a fellow George Knoll, who used to be
,	10	a motorcycle rider in the same club.
	11	Q You stole it from him, didn't you?
•	12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, not relevant.
	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
	14	Q Well, you took it out of his closed car, is that
	15	right, so you could bring it into the police?
	l6 -	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is not relevant.
. •	17	
	18 ,	
	20	
	21	
1	 22	
	23	
	24	
- Jg	25 ,	
	26	
	27	
.2	28	

7b fol

	1	
7b-1	1	MR. DENNY: It is relevant, I submit, your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Sustained.
٠	3	Q BY MR. DENNY: Where did you get it, sir?
'a'	4	A He gave it to me.
*	5	Q He gave it to you, all right.
7	6	And it was the way you had seen it in the hands
	7	of Charlie Manson in August at the time, is that right?
	8	A No, sir.
	9	Q Oh, something had happened to it?
*	10	A Uh-huh, yes, sir.
	n ··	Q What had happened to it, sir?
	12	A Somebody had cut it in half.
e la	13	Q Somebody had cut it in half at the time you got
•	14	it?
<u>\$</u>	15	A (Nods head.)
	16	Q Did Mr. Knoll indicate who?
	17	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, calls for hearsay.
	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
	19	Q BY MR. DENNY: But in order to help the police you
	20	brought this weapon in two pieces in to them and gave it to
	21	them?
	22	A Yes, sir.
	23	Q Now, you didn't do that you wanted to make
4 ¥	24	your buddy Danny DeCarlo look good, so you gave it to him and
r	25	let him take it in; is that right?
8	26	A No, sir, the Police Department told us if we
	27	didn't come up with something
	28	Q Excuse me, sir.

- 2

2

1

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

Ġ

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

A	***	they	Mere	going	to	tear	the	place	apart.

THE COURT: After "No, sir," it is stricken.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Oh, just a minute, now.

The Police Department told you if you didn't come up with something they would come in and tear the place apart.

What place?

A They had apparently heard that the knife was down there somewhere and they wanted it or something to that effect, and they said they were going to search the whole place and cause the people a lot of trouble. This is the Venice Police that said this now most of the black and whites.

And I said, well -- to myself -- whatever is around here, if there is anything, I'll get it out of here and turn it over to the police and it will help Danny in the end, probably.

- Q And what place is it that you are talking about?
- A. What place was what?
- Q When the police said they were going to tear the place apart and you were worried they were going to tear the place apart; what place is this you are worried about?

A The vicinity of the Encore Motel at Lincoln and Washington in Venice.

- Q What place is that? Is that a hang-out for the club?
 - A Yes, it was.
 - Q The club headquarters?
 - A It was the second one they had.

7b-3	1	Q At about the time of November, 1969?
	2	A I believe so.
₽n ⊱ .	3	Q This was a home there?
. i.	4	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is irrelevant.
F	5	THE COURT: Sustained.
•	6	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right.
	7	So in order to prevent the police from finding
	8	anything else that might be there, you went and got that
	9	sword, is that right?
	10	MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered.
	11	MR. DENNY: Not in that form, your Honor,
	12	THE COURT: Sustained.
₹ 8	13	Q BY MR. DENNY: Now, is it true, sir, that you
•41	14	brought in the sword or did Danny bring in the sword to the
ξ <u>"</u>	15	LAPD?
	16	A I brought it in.
	17	Q With Danny?
	18	A I don't recall if he was with me that day or not.
	19	Q And to whom did you give it?
	20	A Mr. Gutierrez, I believe it was. And his partner.
	21	Q All right.
	22	Now, when you brought it in to Gutierrez, did
	23	Gutlerrez say, gee, that's great, if you can get any more
÷ *	24	stuff, let us know?
÷	25 °	A No, he didn't say that.
*	26	Q If you have any information, let us know.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	27	A If anything turns up, give him a call.

	1	And so you went out to see if you could turn up
	2	some stuff, is that right?
ð	3	A That I did.
*	4	Q All right. And you went out specifically to try
; Ť	5	to get a little more information so that you could maybe get
	6	more of that reward money, is that right?
	7	A I wasn't thinking of the reward money, no.
	8	Q Oh, you were thinking about doing the civic duty?
	9	A I was not the best upstanding citizen so when it
	10	comes to some of my friends, I think it is important.
	11	Q You were doing it for the sake of your bike
	12	buddy, is that right?
8	13	A I would like to have the money, too, yes.
_	14	Q And on November 22nd, you had a conversation with
<u> </u>	15	Mr. Whiteley, Sergeant Whiteley here right in this building
	16	down on the third floor, is that right?
	17	A Possibly.
	18	Q And then, on November 24th, two days later,
	19	with the concurrence of the people, you had your hearing on
	20	that receiving and marijuana case continued over, is that
7c	fls. 21	right?
	22	
	23	
i.	24	
*	25	
ð	26	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	27	•
_	28	

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question is argumentative and it is also compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- BY MR. DENNY: Well, on November 24, 1969, you had a hearing on your receiving and marijuana case, Case No. A058094, set in Division 64, is that right?
 - Possibly. Α
- And do you remember being represented by Mr. Edward Freeman at that time?
 - A He was my attorney.
 - Q. All right.
 - On several occasions. A
- And on your motion, opposed by the People, the hearing in your preliminary was set over for a while until December 10, is that right?
- MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it assumes a fact not in evidence and it is compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.

It is not compound -- well, on which ground, MR. DENNY: your Honor?

THE COURT: Both.

- BY MR. DENNY: Sir, is it a fact that on November Q 24 you appeared in Division 64 with Mr. Freeman, made a motion to have the preliminary continued to December 10, 1969?
- Very possible. There was a lot of extensions. couldn't recall when, what or why.
- All right. And that was unopposed by the People, is that correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

.....

8 fol

18 19

20

21

44

, 25.

27

28

A I --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it calls for speculation on the part of the witness.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: And then, you appeared two days later in Department West-H in Santa Monica, is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: It is. Two days later is November 26th, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: The appearance in court does not appear to be relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it a fact that at that time, with the concurrence of the People, that hearing was continued over until December 4, 1969?

8-1 MR. MANZELLA: Again, it calls for speculation on the 1 part of the witness. 2 It's the same as the previous question. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. DENNY: I'm not calling for speculation. I am 5 asking him if he knows. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you know? 8 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. BY MR. DENNY: Well, if you saw a copy of the 9 Q. 10 court docket, would that help refresh your recollection, sir? 11 Possibly. 12 THE COURT: The problem is that your question was 13 compound. 14 On the 26th, was your case there in Santa 15 Monica continued to December 4th? Sometime in December? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. 17 THE COURT: All right. He has answered the question. 18 Let's go on. 19 MR. DENNY: I didn't hear the answer. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. 21 THE COURT: He said it was. 22 THE WITNESS: It was continued. 23 THE COURT: To some time in December. 24 MR. DENNY: All right, sir. 25 By the way, did you appear before the Grand Jury 26 in connection with the Tate and La Bianca Grand Jury hearing? 27 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be 28 relevant.

8-2	1	MR. DENNY: Again, People vs. Pantages and other cases.
	2	THE COURT: All right. The Court will overrule it.
	3	You may answer.
۶	4.	THE WITNESS: Yes.
* &	5	Q BY MR. DENNY: When was that, sir?
ζ,	6	MR. MANZELLA: Again, it doesn't appear to be relevant.
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.
	8	MR. DENNY: The same your Honor, we have a right to
	9	establish when, in the chronology of this statement that he
	10	allegedly overheard
	11 .	THE COURT: All right. I'll withdraw the ruling.
	12	You may answer. When did you appear before the
<u> </u>	13	Grand Jury in connection with the Tate-La Bianca case?
, ·	14	THE WITNESS: I don't know what the date was.
2	15	Apparently he knows.
	16	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, was it in December? Was it
	17	before Christmas?
	18	A (No response.)
	19	Q Was it in November?
	20	A I believe it was before Christmas, but I'm not
	21	positive.
	22	Q Or was it in November, sir? Was it before
	23	Thanksgiving?
a Sej	24	A After.
ž.	25	Q You're sure of that?
3	26	A Possibly. I'm not sure.
	27	Q All right, sir. Now, then, you did appear on
_	28	December 10th, 1969, in Division 64, for your preliminary

	1	THE COURT: Anything that would accomplish that would
	2	be
Į.	3	MR. MANZELLA: Welcome. I understand, your Honor.
٠	4	Mr. Denny? If it's agreeable with you?
. ♣	5	MR. DENNY: Always agreeable.
•	. 6	MR. MANZELLA: Why don't you bring the book, so I'll
	7	know what date it is?
	8	(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion
	9	off the record ensued at the counsel table between
8a fls.	10	Mr. Manzella and Mr. Denny.)
	n '	
	12	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	
	14	
D	15	
	16	
	17	· ·
	18	
	19 20	•
	20	·
	22	
	23	
	24	· ·
\$ [8]	25	
 ∌	26	
<u>*</u>	27	
	28	

.2

3

5

7

. .

8

9

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

.21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: The People would offer to stipulate that the date was December 10th of 1969, your Honor.

THE COURT: That he appeared?

MR. DENNY: In Division 64, and was held to answer.

MR. MANZELLA: And was held to answer.

MR. DENNY: At preliminary hearing.

MR. MANZELLA: So stipulated.

THE COURT: Very well. Ladies and gentlemen, that's the stipulation, that on December 10th, he appeared in Division 64 of the Los Angeles Municipal Court, and was held to answer for what?

MR. DENNY: For possession of marijuana and receiving stolen property.

THE COURT: That's stipulated to.

Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir. Then in December, December 7 of 1969, you had an interview with Mr. Katz and Sergeant Whiteley, --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear --

Q BY MR. DENNY: -- is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: -- to be relevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: It's foundational, your Honor.

THE COURT: What was the date? I'm sorry.

MR, DENNY: December 7 of 1969. Three days before that.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, without going into too much detail, these two cases -- the grand theft and receiving,

4

5

6

7 .

- 9 10

ļ1 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

were, by your attorney, continued and continued for over a year, before they were finally disposed of; is that correct?

Yes, sir.

All right. And when they ware disposed of, they were transferred from Santa Monica, where the case was originally filed and heard in the Superior Court, downtown to Department 111, Judge Ackerman's court; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: It is -- your Honor, it --

Q Well, these are the two cases that the police had told you they would take care of; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked and answered.

MR. DENNY: Just so we make sure that these are the cases, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

Q BY MR. DENNY: And when those cases were finally disposed of, they were submitted on the transcript in Department 111; isn't that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, isn't it a fact, sir, that the

.

way they were disposed of was that the People just submitted them to the judge, and pursuant to the agreement, you were found not guilty on both of those charges, both of those cases?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Calls for speculation on the part of the witness.

MR. DENNY: No speculation, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: It does call for speculation --

MR. MANZELLA: -- when he asks him what the People did.
THE COURT: Objection sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Isn't it a fact, sir, that there was an agreement between you and the prosecution that those two cases would be submitted on the transcript before the judge, and he would find you not guilty?

A I don't know what happened. I walked in there, and they said, "Not guilty." I hadn't talked to anybody except my attorney.

Q And your attorney told you that's what the deal was, didn't he?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Calls for hearsay. THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Your state of mind was that the deal was fixed up that those cases, you'd be found not guilty on, pursuant to an agreement with the prosecution; isn't that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked and answered. The state of mind is irrelevant.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 2 THE WITNESS: When I walked into the courtroom, I 3 thought it was for another continuance. And they dismissed it, and we went home. 5 Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, these --₹ 6 A My attorney was surprised. Your attorney was surprised? 8b fol Yes, sir. A . 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22. 23 24 25 26 27 28

Bb-1	1	Q I see. And you were surprised?	
	2	A Yes, I was.	
ŧ	3	Q You thought it was going to be taken care of	
\$	4	some other way; is that right? Pursuant to your discussions	
	5	with the police, on how it would be taken care of?	
۴	6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.	
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.	
	8	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is that what surprised you,	
	9	that it was taken care of in that particular way, rather	
	10	than some other way?	
	11	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.	
	12	MR. DENNY: It is relevant to his	
·	13	THE COURT: Sustained.	
<i>X</i> .	14	MR. DENNY: Again, it goes to People vs. Pantages.	
蒙	15	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.	
	16	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir. The deal that the	
	17	police told you they would do, then, had been done; is that	
	18	right?	
	19	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative, your	
	20	Honor.	ı
	21	THE COURT: Sustained.	ı
	22	Q BY MR. DENNY: The fact that your cases would	
	23	be taken care of, as the police said, had now been accomplishe	d
*	24	is that right?	ļ
S y	25	MR. MANZELLA: That's argumentative.	,
*	26	MR. DENNY: It's not argumentative.	
	27	THE COURT: Sustained.	İ
	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir. is it a fact	į

8b-2 . 1 Sir, you were arrested on these two cases on October 15th and 20th, we have agreed, 1969. 2 3 These cases, then, were continued and continued and continued until finally November 6th, 1970, was the day in Department 111 when, to your surprise, you were found 5 6 not guilty; isn't that right? MR. MANZELLA: That's compound and argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. 9 Q BY MR. DENNY: Was it on November 6th, 1970. 10 that you were found not guilty on these two cases? 11 I believe so. 12 And it is a fact, to your knowledge, that the 13 cases were submitted on the transcripts of the preliminary 14 hearing; is that right? 15 I believe so. 16 0 All right. And you were there when that 17 happened; isn't that correct? 18 Yes. But I didn't know -- I didn't understand 19 what was going on, so I really don't know. 20 Well, you were asked by a Deputy District 21 Attorney there, "Do you agree to waive your right to a trial 22 by jury? Do you agree to waive your right to confront and 23 cross-examine withesses?" 24 Don't you remember all that? 25 A Yes, and I said, "I agree." 26 Q All right. Well, you understood those words, 27 didn't you? 28 A Okay. I got your message.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	Q All right. The message is: You understood,
2	didn't you?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q All right. You knew just exactly what was going
5	on, didn't you?
6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's argumentative.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Mr. Denny, let's move on with this cross-examina-
9	tion, please.
10	Q BY MR. DENNY: Now, is it a fact, sir, that the
n '	dismissal of those two cases was part of a deal with the
12	prosecution, so that you'd testify in December of 1970,
13	before the Grand Jury on the Shea matter?
14	A I don't recall.
15	Q Well, does that kind of ring a bell?
16	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's vague and ambiguous.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you do recall a little bit
19,	about the fact that you understood that those two findings
20	of not guilty were sort of a pre-payment, in exchange for your
21	testimony before the Grand Jury in December of 1970, on the
22	Shee matter; isn't that right?
23	MR.MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered,
24	your Honor.
25	MR. DENNY: It has not.
26 82	MR. MANZELLA: Whether or not there were any agreement,
27	the witness has answered that question.
28	THE COURT: You may answer it, if you can.

overruled.

THE WITNESS: (Pause) I don't quite understand.

THE COURT: Rephrase your question.

Q BY MR. DENNY: You know what a pre-payment is?

MR, MANZELLA: Objection. It's irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Were you found not guilty of these cases, you believed, because of the fact that you had agreed to testify in the Grand Jury proceedings?

Is that what you want to ask him, Mr. Denny?

MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.

BY MR. DENNY: All right. Well, when you --

8c-2 THE COURT: -- the Court strikes Mr. D.nny's question. 1 BY MR. DENNY: When you said they didn't know who 2 Œ you were, who were you referring to? Who is the "they"? 3 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. I don't believe the witness said that. 5 THE COURT: The witness did not say that. 6 MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Denny said that. 7 THE COURT: The question is stricken. 8. BY MR. DENNY: Well, they broke your leg. Who is 9 Q the "they" who broke your leg? 10 MR. MANZELLA: That doesn't appear to be relevant, your 11 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. 14 BY MR. DENNY: All right. But it was broken down Q 15 to a 415a, Disturbing the Peace; is that right? 16. I was a couple of days late for court, and when I Α 17 showed up for court --Is it a fact, sir, that it was broken down to a 19 415a, Disturbing the Peace? 20. I don't know what it was broken down to. 21 Well, you made a number of appearances in Inglewood ¹ 22 ' court --23 One (indicating). 24 Q -- on that? 25 Well, other times, you were supposed to make 26 appearances, and bench warrants were issued for your arrest; is 27 that correct? 28 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be

8c-3 relevant. 2 Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. And at the time that you 3 appeared before the Grand Jury on April 14, 1970, that charge 4 was still pending in the Municipal Court; is that right? 5 Which charge was this? 6. The now disturbing the peace charge. 7 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. I believe that assumes a fact 8 not in evidence. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. DENNY: Do you remember testifying before the 11 Grand Jury on or about August -- April 14, 1960- -- 1970, as 12 follows? 13 **"**O BY MR. STOVITZ: -- " starting at Page 238, 14 Line 6. 15 "And are you presently charged with any 16 particular crime here in the County of Los Angeles? 17 "A Yes, sir. 18 "O What crime are you charged with? 19 Violation of grand theft auto, suspicion 20 of grand theft auto. 21 Anything else, sir? 22 * • I have in Inglewood a charge of 23 disturbing the peace; that's all." 24 Do you remember so testifying? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q And that was a fact? 27 A That was a fact. 28 Q So we are not assuming facts not in evidence; right?

Yeah, it --80-4 A MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be --Ż I'll withdraw the objection. He answered the question. 8d fol THE COURT: Very well.

8d-1	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: And were you given to understand
	2	that perhaps in exchange for your testimony before the Grand
•	3	Jury, that disturbing the peace case might just be dismissed?
	4	A I don't remember any such thing.
*	5	Q Well, that disturbing the peace case was
4	6	dismissed against you; is that right?
	7	A Because I was not guilty.
	8	Q Sir, that disturbing the peace case was
	9	dismissed against you,
	10	A Yes.
	11 .	Q is that right? All right.
	12	You were not guilty of beating somebody over the
€.	13	head with a pool cue; is that right?
•	14	A Not guilty.
沙沙	15	Q You never went to trial to determine whether you
	16	were or not; isn't that right?
	17	A Nope.
	18	Q It is not right that you didn't go to trial?
	19	A I did not.
	20	Q You did not go to trial?
	21	A That's right.
	22	Oh, that's right. I didn't go to trial.
	23	Q All right. So there was never a determination
3 *	24	of whether you were guilty or innocent, by a jury of your
È	25	peers; is that right?
ř	26	MR. MANZELLA: Objection
	27	Q BY MR. DENNY: Or by a court?
	28	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That doesn't appear to be

relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: All right.

And meanwhile, this -- these two charges, the grand theft auto and the receiving stolen property, and receiving stolen property and possession of marijuana, they were still in abeyance at the time you first testified before the Grand Jury on the Hinman murder in April; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: I believe that question's been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained. Mr. Denny, let's move on.

We will take a recess, now, ladies and gentlemen.

During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

You have been sitting here about an hour. We will give you about ten minutes.

(Mid-afternoon recess.)

(Proceedings had on an unrelated matter.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that counsel are present. They jury's all present. The defendant is present.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench briefly?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 1 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the 2 hearing of the jury:) 3 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I don't know whether it's up here or not, but I think two days ago, Mr. Kay said that 5 he had the D.A.'s files from Van Nuys, the one that I have 6 been trying to get for so long. 7 And I wonder if that is now here and available, 8 so that I can look at it. 9 I have been expecting it, to have the opportunity-10 THE COURT: What is that? 11 MR. MANZELLA: I think the file that Mr. Denny's 12 talking about, Mrs. Holt has. 13 14 MR. DENNY: Was that given to her yesterday or the day before? 15 MR. MANZELLA: This morning. 16 MR. DENNY: This morning? 17 18 MR. MANZELLA: Yesh. If this is the one you are referring to. 19 I'm referring to the one Lew Watnick had 20 MR. DENNY: 21 out in --22 MR. MANZELLA: Yeah. I think that's it. 23 THE COURT: What does that concern? 24 That's the disposition of -- the disposition MR. DENNY: 25 of one of the cases presently pending against him. 26 MR. MANZELLA: One of the files that Mr. Denny 27 subposmed from our office. 28 THE COURT: All right.

	1	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
	2	in open court, within the presence and hearing of the
ŧ	3	jury:)
i.	4	MR. DENNY: I'm sorry, your Honor. May I have just
3 #≐	5	a moment?
	6	Joyce, this is not the one.
	7	MR. MANZELLA: Let me look through it just a second.
	8	THE COURT: Why don't you proceed without it, Mr. Denny
	9	MR. MANZELLA: Here it is (indicating).
	10	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would like to approach this bench
	n	again, if I may.
	12	THE COURT: You may not. Proceed with your cross-
8e fls.	13	examination.
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	,
	21	·
	22 23	
	24	•
≟ ♥	25	
ž	26	
₹	27	
	28	
	44	

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

*

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I can't proceed without the file that I was supposed to get from the District Attorney.

I have got three or pieces of paper here, --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor --

MR. DENNY: -- and this does not constitute such a file.

THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Denny.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, could the jury be admonished to disregard that remark?

THE COURT: Yes. The remarks of Counsel are stricken.

MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I would like the record to reflect that I am proceeding under protest, on --

THE COURT: All right. The record may so reflect.

MR. DENNY: Well, on the basis of deprivation of due process --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor --

MR. DENNY: -- and failure to comply with discovery.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, in view of all that, could we approach the bench? I mean, I feel like I have to respond to that, and I don't want to do it in front of the jury.

THE COURT: You may do that later. The Court will permit it.

> But now, the remarks of Counsel are stricken. Please go ahead, Mr. Denny.

BY MR. DENNY: When did you first see that sword, Mr. Springer, that you have referred to some in your testimony or did you refer to it in the testimony here? I can't remember.

(No response.) Α

2 48e-2	1	Q Mr. Manson's sword? Did you refer to that in your
	2	testimony to date, at all?
	3	A I don't believe so. When I first seen it you
ij.	4.	mean, at the ranch?
<u>3</u>	5	Q Yes.
ŧ	6	A I believe I it was on August the 12th, 13th, when
	7	I saw him with the sword.
	8	Q And is that the only time you saw him with it?
	9	A Yes, I believe so.
	10	Q All right. And when's the next time you saw it?
	11	A After I got it from George Knoll.
	12	Q George Knoll?
	13	A Yes, sir.
•	14	Q K-n-o-1-1?
9	15	A I believe, yes.
	16	Q All right. And that was sometime after you had
	17	this conversation with the officers; is that right?
9 fol	18	A Yes.
	19	
	20	,
	21	
	22	
	23	
2 3	24	
·. 5	25	ł
, É	26.	
	27	
. •	28	The state of the s

All right. 2 Now, directing your attention to your testimony, Volume 30, August 10, 1971, in the case of People versus 3 4 Manson, starting at Page 4811, Line 24: 5 ĦÀ 6 All right, approximately how Well, "Q 7 much time passed" -- well, let's go down here. 8 PQ Starting at Line 25. 9 HO And did you then go back to Spahn 30 Ranch? 11 ii A Yes, I did. 12 **"Q** When was that? 13 "A That was on August the 15th. It was 14 on a Friday. 15 "Q Of 1969? 16 "A '69, yes. 17 Now, when you went back to Spahn *Q 18 Ranch on August the 15th of 1969, did you take 19 anything from the ranch? 20. I took this knife (indicating). #A.A 21 And you are referring to the exhibit ľQ 22 marked People's 20 for identification?" 23 You were referring to this two-pieced instrument, 24is that right, People's 20? 25 May the record reflect I have the two-piece sword, 26 cutlass, whatever, People's 20 in evidence? 27 Ά Yes. 28 I took this knife. "A Yes. Q

1.

ì	"Q And you are referring to the exhibit	
2. **	marked Poople's 20 for identification?	
3	"A Yes. That one and another bayonet,	
4	which I don't know what become of it.	
5	"Q Now, what condition was the knife in	
6 :	when you strike that.	
7	"What condition was People's 20 for	
8 '	identification in when you saw it in Mr. Manson's	
9	possession?	
10	"A It was in one piece.	
·11	"Q Was it in one piece when you took it	
12 .	from the ranch on August 15th?	
13	"A Yes, it was.	
14	"Q Were you present when it was broken?	
15	"A No, I wasn't."	
16	Do you remember so testifying? Do you	
17	remember so testifying?	
18	A Yes, I believe.	
19 20	Q All right.	
20	And is it true that you then stole that on August	
22	15 from Spahn Ranch and Mr. Manson's possession?	
23	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative.	
24.	THE COURT: Overruled. He may answer it.	
25	THE WITNESS: I did not take it. Another fellow took it	
26	to Venice.	
27	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, when you testified under oath	
28	before the jury in the Manson trial on August 10, 1971, were yo	Ļ
	lying to them?	

Ĺ

lo fol

10-1 To go back a little bit. Q On June 21, 1970, during your booking for a 2 burglary arrest, certain pills were found on you, and you 3 were charged with two counts of possession of amphetamines 4 and dangerous drugs; is that right? Yes, sir. б Q And on July 17, then, you were held to answer 7 on two counts of 11910. Health and Safety Code, possession 8 of dangerous drugs; is that right? Yes, sir. A 10 And that charge was then transferred, to 11 Superior Court, in Northwest G, in Van Nuys, for trial on 12 13 July 31st, 1970; is that right? 14 A Yes, sir. 15 And after several continuances, the matter was Q. 16 set for trial on December 14, 1970; is that right? 17 I believe so. 18 And on December 14, 1970, seven days after your 19 interview with Mr. Katz and Mr. Whiteley here in this building, you failed to show up, --21 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's argumentative. BY MR. DENNY: -- and --Q 23 MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry. I thought you had finished your question. 25 MR. DENNY: Well, if that part's argumentative, I'd 26 better stop. 27 Is it a fact that on December 14, 1970, you Q 28 failed to show up for your court appearance in Van Nuys.

10-2	1	on those two charges of possession of dangerous drugs?	
	2	A Yes, sir.	
11, fls.	3		
t.	4		
Ģ.	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		ı
	12	•	;
	13		
**	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22 23		
	24		
3. 1.	25		
\$ *	26		
	27		
	28		

Bail forfeited, bench warrant issued, is that 11-1 Q 1 right? 2 Yes. 3 MR. MANZKILA: This doesn't appear to be relevant --I'm sorry, I'll withdraw the objection. 5 THE COURT: The objection is --6 BY MR. DENNY: And on September 15, 1970, you Q. 7 appeared right here in this building before the Grand Jury 8 and testified in the Shea indictment, isn't that correct? A Yes. 10 And you were brought in there by representatives 11 of the D.A.'s office? 12 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be 13 14 relevant. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DENNY: Well, these were the same D.A.'s 16 Q 17 that were prosecuting your case in Van Nuys, is that right? 18. MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that doesn't appear to be 19 relevant. 20 Sustained. THE COURT: 21 BY MR. DENNY: And then, on January 7, 1971, Q 22 just three weeks after your testimony before the Grand Jury, 23 you were arrested, you and Mr. Fehr, were arrested by the 24 West Valley Los Angeles Police Department for burglary and 25 a number of counts of receiving stolen property; is that 26 correct? 27 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative and 28 compound.

11-2	1	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	2	A Yes.
•	3	Q BY MR. DENNY: And then, you were in big trouble,
i	4	is that right?
•	5	MR, MANZELLA: That's vague and ambiguous.
•	6	THE COURT: Sustained.
•	7	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, your buddy, Danny DeCarlo,
	8	was in big trouble when you talked to the police initially,
	9	you've testified; is that right?
	10	MR. MANZELLA: Asked and answered.
	11	THE COURT: Sustained.
	12	Q BY MR. DENNY: And now were you in what you
<i>9</i> 3	13	considered to be your state of mind big trouble?
7	14	A Yes.
) _e e	15	Q Because there was an awful lot of property there
	16	that you were caught with, a whole warehouse full; is that
	17	right?
	18	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, not relevant.
	19	THE COURT: Sustained.
	20	MR. DENNY: It is material.
	21	I'm sorry.
	22	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	23	MR. DENNY: It is material to go to
*	24	MR. MANZELLA: May we argue this out of the presence
	25	of the jury, your Honor?
ž	26	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	27	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir, and the big
7	28	trouble has continued right up until today from that case, is

11-3 that right? MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is vague and ambiguous. BY MR. DENNY: The big trouble you thought 3 you were in. 4 MR. MANZELLA: That's vague and ambiguous. 5 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 6 A Yes. 7 BY MR. DENNY: Oh, no, that's not quite right, Q 8 though, because you know now that you're not in such big 9 trouble at all with the police and the prosecution, isn't 10 that right? 11 12 I don't know that. I definitely do not know 13 that. 14 Q Well, you've been told, haven't you, -- you have 15 been told that you are going to get nothing but a misdemeanor 16 out of that at the most, isn't that right? 17 No, I haven't been told that. A 18 Don't you remember so testifying in the Manson 19 trial? Don't you remember telling Mr. Kanarek when he asked you, you said, "Well, my lawyer told me I'm just going 21 to get a misdemeanor"? 22 The police didn't tell me that. The lawyer A 23 might have told me. 24 All right, sir. Q 25 And you know that your partner in crime, Mr. 26 ` Fehr, got a misdemeanor sentence out of his deal, too, 27 isn't that right? 28 A I haven't never seen him since that day.

	ŀ	
11-4	1	Q Since when?
	2	A Since the last time in court in Van Nuys. I
•	3	don't know what happened to him.
	4	Q You didn't follow the results of his case with
*	5,	some interest as to what deal might also be worked out in
•	6	your behalf?
	7	A Definitely not. As far as I know he's still in
	8	jail.
	9	Q Beg pardon?
	10	A He's probably still in jail, as far as I know.
	11	Q Oh, he didn't get any jail time out of that, did
	12	he?
49.	13	A I don't know.
- - -	14	Q All right, sir.
3	15	But you're not expecting any jail time, are you?
	16	A I don't know what's going to happen.
	17	Q And in that particular arrest you were set for
	18	a set for a preliminary hearing
	19	Oh, by the way, there were a number of counts
	20	to that, were there not, charges against you?
	21	MR. MANZELLA: That's vague and ambiguous.
	22	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, Count I, case No.
	23	A-116185, charging burglary as to both you and Fehr; Counts
	24	II, IV, V and VI receiving stolen property as to both you and
æ	25	Fehr; Count III, 487.1 PC grand thaft as to both you and Fehr;
•	26	Count VII through XII receiving stolen property as to Fehr
	27	only; do you remember that?
	28	A Yes, I do.

All right. And do you remember that the bail on Q you and Fehr, bail was set at \$5,000 on you and \$10,000 on Fehr; do you remember that? MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that doesn't appear to be --THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't. MR. MANZELLA: I object and ask the answer be stricken for the purpose of the objection. THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The enswer may remain in the record. lla fla.

Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, then, on January 22nd, 1971, when you appeared for your preliminary, that \$5,000 bail, unopposed by the prosecution, was reduced to a thousand dollars for you; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it calls for speculation and is not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: Well, on the grounds it is not relevant, your Honor?

THE COURT: It does call for speculation on it.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, let me rephrase the question.

I'm sorry, your Honor.

You know that on January 22nd, 1971, when you appeared for a preliminary hearing, you got the bail reduced from \$5,000 to a \$1,000; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is not relevant.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Did you do that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. DENNY: And that was unopposed by the People, is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, calls for speculation.
THE COURT: Sustained.

DENNY: There was no opposition expressed by the People at that time, is that correct?

MR. MANZELLA: Same objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. DENNY: Excuse me a moment, your Honor.

(Whereupon, Mr. Denny conferred with the clerk.)

THE COURT: Will you move this on, Mr. Denny?

MR. DENNY: Yes, we can, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Ask your next question, will you please?
MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor.

Your Honor, I ask the Court to take judicial notice of the Court file --

(Whereupon, Mr. Denny conferred with Mr. Manzella at the counsel table, out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Just hand it to Mrs. Holt, would you please?

MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, the record will show that on January 22, 1971, in Superior Court case No. All6185, Mr. Springer's bail was fixed at a \$1,000, unopposed — in an unopposed motion for reduction of bail and the matter was set for January 25, 1971.

Mrs. Holt.

Now, ask your next question.

O BY MR. DENNY: And is it a fact that, then,
on January 22, 1971, in Van Nuys Northwest G, on this other
case that the two case -- the two Counts possession of pills -after having had your bench warrant -- your bail forfeited
and a bench warrant issued on your failure to appear, the
day before you appeared before the Grand Jury, you did appear on

1 that day and you were released on your own recognizance? MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be 2 3 relevant. Overruled. You may answer. THE COURT: 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. DENNY: All right. And that was pursuant 7 to a deal with the D.A.'s Office, is that right? 8 A I believe so. Then, in Inglewood, in March of 1971, there was Q 10 another case at a preliminary hearing there, and that was 11 kicked out, wasn't it? 12 A Yes. Was that pursuant to a deal with the D.A.'s Office? Q 14 A No. 15 Oh, that was because there was just insufficient Q 16 evidence, is that right? 17 I don't believe so. Ά 18 Q All right. And then, you were arrested April 19 2nd, 1971, again on an Inglewood Complaint, A174379, charging you with grand theft auto or forged or counterfeited car 21 registration? . 22 Yes. 23 - You tried to get your bail reduced there, but that was denied, is that right, \$5,000 bail? 25 I believe so. Ä 26 You didn't tell the D. A.'s that you were working Q 27 for them and that they should work out a deal with you to lower 28 your bail out -- over in the Inglewood D. A.'s Office, is that

Ý.

right?

MR. MANZELLA: That question is argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, that is sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Did you try to get in touch with Sergeant Whiteley at about that time?

MR. MANZELLA: Oh, it is not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: And then, on July 16, 1971, a week Q. after your friend, Mr. Fehr, your co-arrestee on that big receiving case on January 7, 1971, a week after he had been in court with Mr. Watnick -- Mr. Watnick is the head of the Van Nuys office --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, this is irrelevant and it assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DENNY: Well, did Mr. Watnick come down 11b-1 1 Q to handle your case on July 16, 1971? 2 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that's not relevant. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DENNY: July 16, 1971, is it a fact, sir, that your then pending charges in the possession of pills 6 7 case and in the receiving stolen property and the burglary 8 and the grand theft were all consolidated into an eight-count 9 information? 10 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it doesn't appear to be 11 relevant. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MR. DENNY: And --14 Well, your Honor, may -- that's foundational 15 for the second question here. 16 THE COURT: All right, I'll -- well, rather than ask 17 this man, is there a record of it? 18 MR. DENNY: Certainly there is a record of it. 19 THE COURT: Well, then, why take the time? 20 Is it stipulated that there is a consolidation of 21 information for the gentleman --22 MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate that case No. 23 A-116185 is consolidated with case No. 113570, as Counts IV, 24 V, VI, VII, VIII and III thereof. 25 THE COURT: So that the aforementioned cases were 26 consolidated under one case number in the Van Nuys Departments 27 of the Superior Court? 28

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In the Superior Court on what date?

MR. MANZELLA: February.

MR. DENNY: July 16, 1971, your Honor, for identification purposes, the case we have reference to as far as the 116186, is the case of burglary, receiving grand theft.

The case 113570, the lower numbered case is the case of two counts of possession of dangerous drugs. And I will so stipulate.

THE COURT: Very well. Ladies and gentlemen, so 12-1 1 2 stipulated. MR. DENNY: All right. And further --3 Well, that you entered a plea of guilty to 5 Count IV, receiving stolen property; is that correct, sir? 6 Yes. Α 7 THE COURT: On the same date, Mr. Denny? MR. DENNY: On the same date. THE COURT: All right. That's part of the stipulation. 10 Count IV is what? 11 MR. MANZELLA: So stipulated -- to the answer. 12 I don't know. 13 THE COURT: Well, he said, "Yes." 14 MR. MANZELLA: Okay. 15 THE COURT: What's Count IV? 16 MR. DENNY: Count IV is receiving stolen property, a 17 trailer and electronic equipment from Bruce Kirby on the 18 7th of January, 1971. 19 THE COURT: All right. He entered a plea of guilty, 20 then, to Count IV of the combined information. 21 BY MR. DENNY: All right. Now, Mr. Springer, as of that time, you had not yet testified against Mr. Manson, in the Manson charged cases -- not the Tate-La Bianca cases, but the other cases against Mr. Manson; is that correct? 25 I believe so. 26 And the prosecution told you that they were going 27 to wait to determine what your sentence would be, until you 28 had testified against Mr. Manson; is that right?

.2-2	1	A Yes, sir.
	2	Q And at that time, you had not testified,
	3	obviously, against Mr. Davis.
• •	4	All right. Now, you did testify against Mr.
¥	5	Menson; is that right?
¥	6	A Yes, sir.
	7	Q And you testified against him August 10th and 11th
	8	of 1971; is that right?
	9.	A I believe so.
	10	Q And you were to appear on September 27th for
	n ·	sentencing; is that right?
	12	A I balieve so.
	13.	Q You didn't appear, though?
*	14	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be
	15	relevant.
	16	THE COURT: Sustained.
	17	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, is it a fact just that
	18	the sentencing dates on you have been continued and continued
	19	and continued and continued, until after you were here to
	20	sent to testify against Mr. Davis?
	21	A. Ye≱.
	22	Q In other words, you were set to be sentenced on
	23	January 28th of last month; isn't that right?
ė	24	MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered,
3	25	your Honor.
	26	THE COURT: Sustained.
	27	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. And when are you set
	28	to be sentenced for now?

12 - 31 MR.MANZELLA: The question -- that's irrelevant. MR. DENNY: Again, bias and prejudice, your Honor. 2. MR. MANZELLA: He has already testified that sentencing 3 has been put over. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 BY MR. DENNY: Now, sir, when you entered your 7 ples on July 17, 1971, had your attorney at that time told you that you were going to get a misdemeanor? 9 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Calls for hearsay. 10 MR. DENNY: State of mind. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 You may answer. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 14 Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, when was it that your attorney 15 told you that you were going to get a misdemeanor, sir? 16 MR, MANZELLA: Objection. That calls for hearsay. 17 your Honor. 18 MR. DENNY: Again, state of mind, your Honor. 19 I would like to approach the bench on this, if 20 I may, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 22 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, his attorney told him. 23 I would just like to approach the bench. 24 MR. DENNY: It's still state of mind, under People vs. 25 Pantages. 26 I'll overrule the objection. THE COURT: 27 MR. MANZELLA: All right. 28 THE COURT: And you may enswer.

	1	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
	2	Q BY MR. DENNY: You don't recall?
į.	3	A No.
1	4	Q All right.
÷	5	Now, what did the prosecution tell you?
•	6	MR. MANZELLA: Assumes a fact not in evidence.
	7	THE COURT: Sustained.
	8	Q BY MR. DENNY: Did the prosecution tell you
	.9	anything about what your sentence was going to be?
	10	A No.
	n	Q You have no idea what your sentence is going to
	12	be?
*	13	A I don't know what it's going to be.
·	14	Q But you don't figure you are going to State
差	15	Prison for what you pleaded guilty to, do you?
1,2a f1	.s. 16	A I don't even know that.
	17	
	17	
	18	
	18 19 20 21	
	18 19 20 21 22	
	18 19 20 21 22 23	
يۇ چ	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
j.	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
jų ,* 	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
j	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	
	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	

12a-1	1	Q All right. Now, sir, has the prosecution gone
	2	through any statutory procedures to grant you immunity in
'و	3	connection with your testimony?
r	4	A Not that I know of.
≠	5	Q Has the prosecution said anything to you about
, k	, 6	granting you immunity from perjury?
	7	A I don't believe so.
	8	Q They haven't even talked to you about perjury,
	9	have they?
	10	A No.
	11	Q What is perjury?
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It doesn't appear to be
	13.	relevant.
*	14	THE COURT: Sustained.
**	15	MR. DENNY: I have no further questions,
	16	THE COURT: Redirect?
	17	MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. If I may have just a
	18	moment?
	19	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Manzella
	20	perused his notes.)
	21	
	22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
	23	BY MR. MANZELLA:
\$/ - *4 \	. 24	Q Mr. Springer, on November 26 strike that.
, , ,	25	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
- W	26	MR. MANZELLA: Go ahead. I'll wait.
	27	MR; DENNY: I would like to approach the bench a moment,
_	28	; on

. . !* * 1 .

ĬÒ

THE COURT: All right. You may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, he says apparently nothing has been told to him by the D. A.'s Office. I specifically asked for, and the Court ordered as part of the discovery, the D. A.'s file in case No. A-113570, which is a lower case number, under which these two case numbers were consolidated, and the case which I submit is the one on which a deal has been made with him for his testimony.

I get as a response to that not the file -- and this court apparently -- we had the file when Mr. Abrams was in here before the Court -- but I get several sheets of paper with the number "A-113570 Van Nuys" written --

THE COURT: Well, this is the file that you asked for, and which -- the lack of which file you wished to stop the cross examination before, --

MR. DENNY: That's correct.

THE COURT: -- and before I told you to go ahead?

MR. DENNY: That is correct.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: I get a one, two, three, four-page police report on the possession of pills case.

I get a two-page copy of the felony Complaint in the Municipal Court, and I get a two-page copy of the information, and that's all. And that's not what I consider to be the D. A.'s file.

I consider to be the D. A.'s file that which I know, from being a former Deputy District Attorney, consists of a file folder, these documents, plus statements as to what witnesses will testify to, plus recommendations for dismissal, taking lesser plea, anything else that appears that's relevant in connection with the case.

And when I say "a file," that's what I mean.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Manzella?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you wish to say anything?

MR. MANZELLA: Of course. The Court never ordered that that material be supplied to Mr. Denny. In fact, this Court specifically said that the work product of the District Attorney's Office was excluded from the discovery motion.

And what Mr. Denny got in connection with that file is the same thing he got in connection with all the other files which have been supplied to Mrs. Holt.

He never got the file folder and -- and the other information which -- which is not discoverable, which is part of the work product.

He got police reports, Complaints and informations.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I made a -- I got a subpoena out, a subpoena -- and the return is in evidence in the court file -- in which I specifically sought, by that subpoena, any statements or recommendations as to disposition of the case.

There has been no motion to quash that subpoena, -- MR. MANZELLA: Well, --

MR. DENNY: -- and if -- if there's not such a motion,

, ,

12b

and it is not timely, that should be responded to.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, when we responded -- when we argued this motion last time, I had the files in front of me; and if the Court remembers, I opened them and took out material, as we -- on the record, as we were --

THE COURT: Yes, the Court recalls that.

MR. MANZELLA: -- in answer to the subpoena.

And the Court at that time stated that it agreed -- and I think also Mr. Denny agreed -- that the work product of the District Attorney's Office was not discoverable.

28

But these -- these materials were. And they're the same materials I supplied to Mr. Denny with regard to the other files.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, this is not a case of discovery.

This is a case of response to a subpoena, a subpoena of the

And the People can come in and argue it if they want to, after they have responded to that subpoens.

MR. MANZELLA: We did at that point, your Honor.

THE COURT: What are you seeking now, Mr. Denny?

MR. DENNY: Well --

THE COURT: Why are we delaying these proceedings?

MR. DENNY: We are delaying the proceedings because I submit that there is -- or should be, in that file -- evidence of the deal that has been made on this case, and the time that deal was made.

And if the People want to argue it, they should argue it after that, after that --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor --

MR. DENNY: Let me finish, Tony.

(Continuing.) -- after that file has been brought into court.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I just want to make one statement.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Let's go on with the examination.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, Mr. Denny can make all the comments, and I may never have a chance to respond. All I am asking for

12b-2 is a chance to respond. 1 THE COURT: Let's get back to the counsel table, 2 gentlemen, and proceed. 3 MR. MANZELLA: All right. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 5 in open court, within the presence and hearing of the 6 jury:) 7 THE COURT: Any redirect? 8 9 MR. MANZELLA: Did you say, Mr. Denny, you were through? 10 MR. DENNY: Well, I am, without the material I've asked 11 12 for. MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I don't understand. Is he 13 14 through with cross-examination? If he's not, I'll wait until 15 he is. I don't know whether he's through or not, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Are you through, Mr. Denny? 17 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I can proceed no further without 18 the information I have requested --19 THE COURT: The information --20 MR. DENNY: -- and subpoensed. 21 THE COURT: -- you requested would not be admissible in 22 any event, Mr. Denny. 23 Proceed. 24 Redirect examination? 25 MR. MANZELLA: All right. 26 BY MR., MANZELLAMr. Springer, were you interviewed 27 by Sergeant Whiteley on November 26th of 1969, at the -- I 28

believe it was at the -- well, strike that. CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

L2b-3	1	Were you interviewed by Sergeant Whiteley on
	2	November 26th of 1969?
•	3	A Yes, I believe so.
ř	4	Q All right. And was that with regard to the
5	5	conversation that you had had with Bruce Davis at Clubhouse
së	6	Drive in Venice?
	7	MR. DENNY: Just a moment. I'll object to that as call-
	8	ing for hearsay.
	9	If it's sought to be used for a well, we'd
	10	have to approach the bench to argue it.
	11	THE COURT: Let me hear the last two questions, would
	12	you, please, Mr. Williams? I'm sorry.
	13	(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter
	14	as follows:
a	15	"Q Mr. Springer, were you interviewed by
	16	Sergeant Whiteley on November 26th of 1969, at the
	17	I believe it was at the well, strike that.
	Í8	"Were you interviewed by Sergeant Whiteley
	19	on November 26th of 1969?
	20	"A Yes, I believe so.
	21	"Q All right. And was that with regard to
	22	the conversation that you had had with Bruce Davis
	23	at Clubhouse Drive in Venice?")
'	24	THE COURT: Yes, it does call for hearsay. Sustained.
3	25	MR. MANZELLA: May we approach the bench, your Honor,
≨ ±	26	for argument?
	27	THE COURT: All right, you may.
	28	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had

12b-4 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the 1 2 hearing of the jury;) MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, under -- is this Section 771? 3 I can't remember right now. MR. DENNY: 790. 6 MR. MANZELLA: 790? 7 MR. DENNY: I think so. 8 MR. MANZELLA: (Continuing) -- 790(b), where it says 9 that there is a motive for falsification, Mr. Denny's brought 10 up cases, which arose after these statements were made, and 'n he made a statement on November 26th, 1969, consistent with 12 his testimony here. 13 He made a statement on -- on December 7th, 1970, 14 consistent with his testimony here. 15 He made a statement on May 19th, 1971, consistent 16 with his testimony here. 17 And since these cases that Mr. Denny brought out --18 MR. DENNY: Not so loud. 19 MR. MANZELLA: -- all occur -- some of them occur after 20 these statements, that these are prior to his motive for 21 12c fls. felsification. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

12c-1

 MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, his motive for falsification, by his own testimony, arose on November 12th, and thereafter, when he went to see the police officers.

And he has had a motive to falsify, and that's to get information that would help his buddy DeCarlo and help him get a \$25,000 fee.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, then, why did he bring out all those cases? I objected that all those cases were irrelevant. But Mr. Denny brought them out, and the Court said they were relevant. Now, if they were relevant, they were only relevant to show motive for falsification. And the dates of those cases occurred after some of these statements.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANZELLA: Therefore, some of these statements are admissible.

MR. DENNY: But the motive goes to the deals that were made with him, both before and after. The motive to fabricate arose before --

MR. MANZELLA: Not before he --

MR. DENNY: -- November 26th, and --

THE COURT: I believe that's subject to argument. And I think that the ultimate determination, of course, will be made by the jury.

But the Court believes that, in view of what you did produce concerning the cases that are pending against him, that the People would have the right to show that he made statements which were consistent, before the cases existed.

Is that the --

1 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, of course. 2 THE COURT: -- situation? 3 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor, submitting to the 5 Court's ruling on that, I would like to ask the Court -- again, ĸ you said that the information that I am seeking would not be 7 admissible. Я THE COURT: If you are seeking to introduce a D. A.'s -ġ a Deputy D. A.'s notes, they would not be admissible. 10 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, the notes themselves may not 11 be admissible, but the fact of whether a deal was worked out --12 I can bring in the person who made those notes. 13 THE COURT: Well, you can ask him about that. 14 MR. DENNY: But he says, no, that he hadn't gotten any 15 information from the D. A. 16 THE COURT: Well, subpoena Mr. Watnick -- or subpoena 17 whoever it was --18 MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I have subpoensed him. 19 subpoensed him, and I subpoensed those records in his 20 possession. 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Watnick will be here 22 tomorrow morning. 23 MR. DENNY: Fine. With the records? 24 THE COURT: Inform him, then. 25 MR. MANZELLA: Well, the records are here, but --26 THE COURT: Inform him that he will be here. He is to 27 be here, and he's to have any other file that he may have 28 concerning this case, if he handled the case, or if he knows

anything about it.

Or, if there was any transaction in connection with the plea bargain in connection with the case that some other Deputy District Attorney handled, well, let that D. A. come forward.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, the People would submit that only the -- that it would only be relevant if somebody communicated this to Mr. Springer.

THE COURT: The Court knows that, and that's part of the reason why I said that it wouldn't be admissible.

MR. DENNY: Well, it doesn't have to be communicated to Mr. Springer, if it's communicated to his attorney. Because his attorney can then communicate it to him.

THE COURT: If his attorney then had communicated it to Mr. Springer, then it would become relevant. But it's not at this stage.

You haven't established it.

MR. DEMNY: Well, I'm trying the best I can, by getting those records and the information --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, the records have already been produced here. Now, the records are here.

Now, I take it the Court wants Mr. Watnick in tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Well, would there be any notes in the file that would indicate whether or not any leniency was to be granted to Mr. Springer?

MR. MANZELLA: I doubt it, your Honor.

MR. DENNY: Well, the records are the best evidence. All

12d Fo1

we need to do is get them here.

THE COURT: That's what we want. And if there was any such transaction, and it was --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, Mr. Denny --

THE COURT: -- and it was communicated to the defendant MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Denny has everything in the files, except the notes made by District Attorneys as to strategy in the case.

Now, as far as whether --

THE COURT: This is a relatively simple matter. All Mr. Denny wants --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, we've already given everything to him.

THE COURT: -- is to find out if there was any transaction. And if there are any further notes in the file --

MR. KAY: No, We've given him all the records from the District Attorney's file.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, I think that should be clear. And that's the point I'm trying to make.

Now, if he wants to question all the D. A.'s

Office out there and ask them if they verbally or -- if they
have made any deals, fine. But the files are all here.

There's no --

MR. KAY: We have received all the files.

		, , *
12d-1	1	THE COURT: Was Watnick the District Attorney who was
	2	there at the time?
<u>.</u>	3	MR. MANZELLA: In court?
.	4	THE COURT: Was he in charge?
∢ .	5	MR. MANZELLA: In court, I don't know, because he
£	6	he's the head of the office.
	7	THE COURT: Do you want Mr. Watnick here tomorrow?
	8	MR. DENNY: I would be delighted to have Mr. Watnick
	9	here tomorrow.
	10	THE COURT: All right. You have subpoensed him.
	i1	You get him tomorrow. But inform him tell him
	12	I want him here.
	13	MR. MANZELLA: Well, I think he would have a subpoens
**	14	from Mr. Denny.
23	15	MR. DENNY: He has been subpoensed.
	16	MR. KAY: All right. Fine. He'll be here, then.
	17	MR. MANZELLA: Why don't you call him and have him come
	18	in?
	19	MR. KAY: Well, if he has been subpoensed
	20	MR. DENNY: He has.
	21	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
	22	in open court, within the presence and hearing of the
	23	jury:)
£	24	THE COURT: The Court will reverse its ruling, and the
₹	25	objection is overruled.
S *	26	MR. DENNY: Your Honor, may I approach the bench just a
	27	moment? I don't think we need the reporter.
•	28 ,	(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench

.2d-2	1	among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the
	2	jury, which were not reported.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION
æ	3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right.
1 ,	4	Mr. Springer, were you interviewed by I don't
*	5	know if I asked you this, and whether you answered it or not.
É	6	But were you interviewed by Sergeant Whiteley on
	7	November 26th of 1969?
	8	A I believe so.
	9.	Q And in that interview, did you tell Sergeant
	10	Whiteley what Mr. Davis had told you about the about
	ìι	Shorty?
	12	A Yes, I have.
	13	Q All right. And did you tell Sergeant Whiteley,
₹:	14	on November 26th, 1969, that Bruce Davis said that he and
	15	other persons had killed Shorty in Devil's Canyon, or something
	16	to that in essence, in sum and substance, did you tell
	17	Sergeant Whiteley that?
	18	A Yesh. He says, "We cut off their heads, arms and
	19	legs."
	20	Q All right. And on November 26th, 1969, during the
	21	same interview, did you tell Sergeant Whiteley that Bruce
	22	Davis said that he and the other people had buried the body
	23	in a shallow grave?
4	24	A Yes.
3	25	Q And on November 26th, 1969, during the same
4	26	interview with Sergeant Whiteley, did you tell Sergeant
	27	Whiteley that Bruce Davis told you that the motive was because
	28	Shorty

MR. DENNY: Just a moment. Now --

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: -- Shorty had --

MR. DENNY: Just a moment, now. I'm going to object to this as not a prior consistent statement, to rehabilitate the witness.

He has not so testified here, and that makes it not a prior consistent statement.

MR. MANZELLA: It has been testified to here, your Honor. I have the transcript.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Would you read the first part of the guestion to me?

(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q And on November 26th, 1969, during the same interview with Sergeant Whiteley, did you tell Sergeant Whiteley that Bruce Davis told you that the motive was because Shorty --")

THE REPORTER: And at that point, he was interrupted by the objection.

MR.DENNY: Your Honor, he has not testified to motive, as far as the conversation that he has related.

MR. MANZELLA: He has, your Honor. Mr. Springer said that -- he testified that he said that the guy was a snitch, and that he was an alcoholic, and that he drank so much they was afraid that he was going to go to the police with information, so they done away with him.

THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Springer, on November 26th,

1969, in that same interview with Sergeant Whiteley, did 12d-4 you tell Sergeant Whiteley that Mr. Davis told you that the motive for the killing was because Shorty had been informing to the Police Department? He said that they was afraid --MR. DENNY: Well, that's just a yes or no answer, Mr. 13 fls. Springer. 'n **2**

13**-1** Q In sum and substance did you tell that to Sergeant 1 Whiteley? 2 A Yes. 3 Q All right. On December 7, of 1970, were you interviewed by Deputy District Attorney Burton Katz? 5 Yes. I believe so. 6 And on that date in the interview with Mr. Katz, 7 did you tell him that -- strike that. 8 Did you tell him about this conversation that 9 you had with Bruce Davis at 28 Clubhouse Drive around Thanks-10 giving of 1969? ÌΙ I believe so, yes. 12 13 And in that interview did you tell Mr. Katz that after Bruce Davis showed you the newspaper article that you 14 15 told Bruce that you didn't dig Denny talking? 16 A Yes. 17 And during that same interview with Mr. Katz 18 on December 7th, of 1970, did you tell Mr. Katz in sum and 19 substance that after you said that, that Bruce agreed and 20 said that "We got ways of dealing with people that snitch"? 21 Yes. sir. 22 And during that same interview with Mr. Katz on 23 December 7, of 1970, did you tell Mr. Katz that after Mr. 24 Davis said that, that you said, "Well, he's got to be taken 25 care of somehow, but it is hard to deal with him because 26 he's a bike brother"? 27 Yes, sir. 28 And during that same interview with Mr. Katz on

Q

December 7, of 1970, did you tell Mr. Katz that after you said that, that Mr. Davis replied that they would deal with it, that they had taken care of one guy that got drunk and loose at the mouth; that they cut him up in pieces, that is, cut his head, arms and legs off? Did you, in sum and substance, tell him that?

A Yes, sir.

And did you, in that same interview with Mr. Katz on December 7, 1970, tell Mr. Katz that after Mr. Davis said that, that he said that Charlie had ordered Clem to bury him in different places in Devil's Canyon?

A Yes.

And did you tell Mr. Katz in that same interview that after Mr. Davis said that, that Mark said, "You mean Shorty"?

A Yes, sir.

Q And did you tell Mr. Katz in that same interview that after Mark said that, that Davis grinned and replied, "Yeah, we know how to take care of them"?

A Yes, sir.

And did you tell Mr. Katz in that same interview, that is of December 7, 1970, that Mr. Davis had said that he was afraid Shorty was informing to the police?

A Yes, sir.

Q And on -- strike that.

And were you interviewed by Deputy District
Attorney Burton Katz at the Glendale District Attorney's
Office on May 19, of 1971, of last year, on or about that date?

ŧ

1	a reward in this case?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q Do you believe that there's that you will
4	collect a reward in this case?
5	A Very doubtful.
6	Q Do you believe that there is a reward, that there
7	actually was a reward offered now? Do you believe that now?
8	A I don't believe that now.
9	MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you. I have no further
10	questions.
iı	
12	RECROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. DENNY:
14	Q Now, Mr. Springer, your stories to these officers
15	and these D.A.'s has sort of grown with the telling, haven't
16	they?
17	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, as argumentative, your Honor.
18	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, let's go to November 26.
19	MR. MANZELLA: I take it the question is withdrawn?
20	THE COURT: There is no answer to it.
21	Well, the objection is sustained.
22	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, on November 26th, when you
23	said you had this conversation with Sergeant Whiteley, you
24	didn't say anything about cutting up any body, did you?
25	A I don't recall what time that was.
26	Q Or do you remember? You've told it so many times.
27	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative.
28	THE COURT: Sustained.

26

27

28

	Q	BZ	MR.	DENNY:	Well,	go Aon	remember	what you	told
to	whem	when,	sir,	about	this p	articul	ar allege	d convers	ation?

- A Yes, I believe so.
- Q Well, then, let's go back to the report of Sergeant Whiteley.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it assumes a fact not in evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, there's no question yet.

Q BY MR. DENNY: When you reported this thing to Sergeant Whiteley -- and by the way, when you did report it to Sergeant Whiteley, this is at the time when you figured that there was a reward, isn't that right?

- A Yes.
- Q And this is when you figured you were going to get part of it, isn't that right?
 - A Possibly.
- Q And this is when you were doing everything you could to get your buddy out of big trouble, isn't that right?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q All right.

But even at that time, sir, is it a fact that when you reported this alleged conversation of Mr. Davis, you just said that he and other suspects had killed Shorty in Devil's Canyon and buried the body in a shallow grave, is that right?

- A Maybe I didn't tell them exactly word for word, but that's the effect, yes.
- Q All right. Then, the next time you reported it, in this interview on December 7th, December 7, 1970, by that

13a-2 time it had grown so that --2 MR. MANZELLA: That's argumentative, your Honor. 3 Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, well, when you reported it to Mr. Katz on that day, now, "They cut him up in pieces, . 4 5 that is cut his head and arms and legs off, and Charlie б ordered Clem to bury him in different places in Devil's 7 Canyon" --8 A Yes, sir. 9 O -- is that what you told Mr. Katz? 10 Ă Yes, sir. 11 Q I see. 12 You added a little bit to the story from the time 13 when you first talked to bergeant Whiteley about it, is that 14 right? 15 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that's argumentative. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it a fact that you added 18 that -- or those little details --19 I might have --Α 20 Q -- to the story? 21 Á -- told him then, but I don't recall. 22 Q You might have told who what? 23 I might have told Mr. Whiteley at that time, but Α 24 I don't recall. 25 Q At the first interview? 26 Maybe I didn't go into complete detail or, ur, uh -A 27 Or maybe you didn't know the complete detail? Q 28 MR. MANZELLA: That's not what the witness said.

13a - 3MR. DENBY: May we have that read back, Miss Briandi? 1 Would the Court so order. THE COURT: So ordered. 3 (Whereupon, the answer was read by the reporter as follows: 5 "A Maybe I didn't go into complete detail or, ur, uh -- ") BY MR. DENNY: Oh, you went into more detail 8 with Mr. Katz than you had with Mr. Whiteley, the investigator 9 in the case, is that right? 10 I don't recall. 11 It is kind of hard to remember what you told who, 12 13 when, what? 14 It is --A MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative. 15 Excuse me, Mr. Springer. It is argumentative and 16 17 vaque and ambiguous. 18 BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir --19 THE COURT: It is vague and ambiguous. You may rephrase it. 20 BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir, by the time you had 21 22 your conversation with Mr. Katz here on December 7, 1970, you 23 were sort of locked into the story you had already told 24 Sergeant Whiteley? 25 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, vague and ambiguous and 26 argumentative. 27 Sustained. THE COURT: 28 BY MR. DENNY: Well, you couldn't go back on what Q

13a-4

, 9

you told Sergeant Whiteley when you were then being interviewed by Deputy Katz, could you?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, vague and ambiguous and argumentative.

THE COURT: It is argumentative. Sustained.

Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, is it your frame of mind, sir, that this is the story you had to keep telling consistently now that you had already told it to Sergeant Whiteley; isn't that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative, vague and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. DENNY: You weren't going to tell Mr. Katz,
"No, I lied on that November 26," you weren't going to tell him
that?

- A What would I lie about?
- Q What, indeed.

MR. KAY: We'll ask Mr. Denny's comment be stricken, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, it is stricken.

- DENNY: And then, when you were interviewed by Mr. Katz -- and by the way, it was Mr. Katz and Mr. Manzella in May of 1971, in Glendale, at the Glendale Police Department, wasn't it; isn't that right?
 - A Possibly.
- Q Well, sir, were you interviewed on May 19, 1971, at the Glendale's D. A.'s Office, interview conducted by D. A.'s

Burt Katz and Anthony Manzella? 13a-5 MR. MANZELLA: Question has been asked and answered. THE COURT: Sustained. 13b fol

13b-1	1	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, you recognize Mr. Manzella,
	2	don't you?
	3	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that's not relevant.
t t	4	THE COURT: Sustained.
*	5	Q BY MR. DENNY: Well, was he there then?
j.	6	MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and answered.
	7	THE COURT: Yes, it has.
	8	MR. DENNY: Well, I'm not sure what the answer is, your
	9	Honor. Could I have it read back?
	10	THE COURT: Just have him answer it again rather than
	i1	have her look through the record.
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q BY MR. DENNY: Mr. Manzella was there, is that
*** -	14	right?
ಪಿ	15	A I believe so.
	16	Q All right, And at that time you were locked into
	17	the story that you had already now told Mr. Katz once and Mr.
	18	Whiteley once before, isn't that right?
	19	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that's argumentative.
	20	THE COURT: Sustained.
	21	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right. It wouldn't have helped
	22	your buddy at all to tell them, "Well, what I told Whiteley
	23	back in November wasn't true." That wouldn't have helped
νέ	24	your buddy, would it?
•	25	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, it is argumentative.
À.	26	THE COURT: Sustained.
	27	MR. DENNY: It is his state of mind, your Honor.
	28	Q BY MR. DENNY: Going into your state of mind, sir,

13b-2

1 2

3

ĸ

7

R

9 10

'n

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

THE COURT: No. her.

how you felt about it when you talked to Mr. Katz and Mr. Manzella in May, May of 1971, it was your state of mind at that time that it sure wouldn't help your buddy. Danny DeCarlo. if you told these two D.A.'s at that time, "Well, what I said back in November, 1969, to Mr. Whiteley wasn't exactly true"?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, that's not relevant, your Honor. Assumes facts not in evidence. Argumentative.

MR. DENNY: It is an attempt to show a prior inconsistent statement, if it is his state of mind, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you read the question back for me, please?

> (Whereupon the question was read by the reporter as follows:

^{fi}Q ' Going into your state of mind, sir, how you felt about it when you talked to Mr. Katz and Mr. Manzella in May, May of 1971, it was your state of mind at that time that it sure wouldn't help your buddy, Danny DeCarlo, if you told these two D.A.'s at that time, 'Well, what I said back in November, 1969, to Mr. Whiteley, wasn't exactly true?")

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. You may answer.

> THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.

THE COURT: Read it.

THE WITNESS: No. him.

understand the question, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: It is a difficult question to understand. The Court will ask you to rephrase it. 3 BY MR. DENNY: All right, I'll rephrase the 5 question. 6 When you talked to Mr. Manzella, Mr. Manzella --7 excuse me -- and Mr. Katz in May of 1971, one of the things 8 that was in your mind was. "I can't tell them what I said 9 before was not true because that's not going to help my buddy, 10 isn't that right? **1**1 I don't recall saying a lie in the first place. 12 Oh. I see, sir. 13 By the way, when was it that you first went to the 14 Spahn Ranch, did you say? 15 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question has been asked 16 and answered. It is beyond the scope of redirect examination. 17 THE COURT: Well, the objection is sustained on the 18 first ground. 19 MR. DENNY: Well, may I reopen cross for just this 20 point, your Honor? 21 THE COURT: You may. 22 MR. MANZELLA: It has been asked and answered, as well. 23 THE COURT: Well, you may, on this point, but as to 24 that question it is sustained. 25 MR. DENNY: On which point, your Honor? 26 THE COURT: You may reopen. 27 MR. DENNY: Thank you. 28 Q. BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, was it August 11 or 12

1 that you first went to Spahn Ranch? 2 MR. MANZELLA: The question has been asked and 3 answered. THE COURT: Yes, it has been answered. 5 BY MR. DENNY: All right. Directing your 6 testimony to the Grand Jury hearing on -- in April of 1970, 7 do you recall being asked the following questions at page 240 8 of Volume 1: 9 "When did you first go out to the Spahn 10 Movie Ranch, sir? 11 H A It was on August 13. 12 31 Q. 1969? 13 $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{n}}$ Yes, sir." 14 Do you remember so testifying under oath before 15 the Grand Jury? 16 I wasn't exactly sure of the date but, yes. I 17 remember testifying. 18 All right. And you testified in the Manson case 19 that you spent three or four days out there? 20 A At least. 21 Well, how many days did you spend there? Q 22 About three, but not steadly. A Oh, but not steadily? Q. 24 No. I left. A 25 All right. Q 26 MR. DENNY: I have no further questions. 27 28 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

	1	BY MR	. MAN	ZEĻLA	:								
	2		Q	Mr.	Springer,	you	did	spend	three	or	four	days,	
•	3	isn't	that	right	t?								
13c, fls.	4		A	Yes	•							•	
	5												
÷.	6							•					
	7												
	.8												
	9												
	10												
	'n												
	12												
÷	13	,											i
	14 15												
₩	16												
	17												
	18												
	19												
	20				ь ,								٠
	21		£	ŕ	•								
	22	3			•								
	23				· · ·	*	•	•					
·¥	24			1_	* 3	,							
*	25				11.04							,	
*	26					,							
	27						!						
	28												
		1											

All right. We hadn't gone into it before on direct 13c-1 1 Ø examination, but tell the jury the sequence of events after 2 you arrived there on the first day, after you arrived there on 3 the first day you went to Spahn Ranch. MR. DENNY: Well, your Honor, I object to this. 5 б MR. MANZELLA: Well, Mr. Denny brought it up. He re-7 opened. MR. DENNY: Just for the dates themselves, your Honor. 8 That's all. Not for everything that happened on those days. 9 BY MR. MANZELLA: I mean, the sequence of events 10 as to whather you stayed overnight or did not stay overnight and 11 12 so on. 13 I have no objection to that. MR. DENNY: 14 All right, the objection is overruled. THE COURT: 15 THE WITNESS: Go ahead? 16 THE COURT: Yes, you may answer now. 17 BY MR. MANZELLA: Q Yes. 18 I stayed overnight the first night, and All right. 19. then I went back to Venice, and then I went back the second 20 night or the second afternoon. I didn't stay all -- I didn't 21 stay all night. And then, I went back and got the club on 22 Friday and went back up there again. 23 So you were there on three or four days, is that Q 24 correct? 25 But I didn't make a living there or I didn't Α 26 stay there. 27 Right. Q 28 Steadily. Ą

3c-2	1	Q When is the last time you saw Danny DeCarlo?
	2 .	MR. DENNY: Object as irrelevant and immaterial.
\$	3	MR. MANZELLA: It is relevant due to his recross.
*	4	THE COURT: Overruled.
* *	5	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Will you answer that?
	6	A When is the last time I saw Danny DeCarlo?
	7	MR. DENNY: May we approach the bench?
	8	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may answer.
	9 .	THE WITNESS: I don't recall the date. It's been quite
	10	some time ago. The last place I saw him
	11	MR. DENNY: Just a moment, I'll object to that as not
	12	responsive to the question.
24	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
*	14	Q BY MR. DENNY: All right, where was the last place
1	15	you saw him?
	16	MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
	17	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would that help you with the
	18	date you last saw him, help you place the date you last saw
	19	him?
	20	MR. DENNY: Object to that as irrelevant and immaterial.
	21	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would that help you with the
	22	date you last saw him, help you place the date you last saw
	23	him?
¥	24	A It was around Christmas time.
à	25	Q This past Christmas or before?
*	26	A No, last Christmas, in Bedford, Oregon.
	27	Q The Christmas of 1970?
	28	A Yes.
4 fol		

14-1	1	Q And that was the last time you saw Danny DeCarlo?
	2	A Yes.
£	3	Q And
<i>3</i> ∕ ≵	4	A Well, between Christmas and New Year's, I believe.
<u></u>	5	Q Of 1970?
ξ.	6	A (Indicating affirmatively.)
	7	THE COURT: Yes?
	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	9	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Have you had any communication
	10	with him or from him since that time?
	iı	MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as irrelevant and
	12	immaterial,
	13	MR. MANZELLA: It's on the same point, your Honor.
*** **	14	MR. DENNY: Irrelevent and immaterial.
) 33.	15	THE COURT: Overruled.
	16	You
	17	THE WITNESS: No.
	18	THE COURT: may answer.
	19	THE WITNESS: No.
	20	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Do you know where Danny DeCarlo
	21	is now?
	22	MR. DENNY: I will object to that as irrelevant and
	23	immaterial.
*	24	THE COURT: Sustained.
•	25	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: As you sit there now, and as
<u>.</u>	26	you testified in this case, do you think your testimony will
	27	have any effect at all on Mr. DeCarlo?
	28	A On me?

Q No. The fact that you are testifying will have 1 any effect at all on Mr. DeCarlo? 2 MR. DENNY: I'll object to that as irrelevant and 3 immaterial. THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. THE WITNESS: I have no idea. 7 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, when you said that you wanted to help out Mr. DeCarlo, did you mean by information 9 you could give to the police, or information that he could give 10 to the police? 11 Both. 12 And did you -- did the -- strike that. Q. 13 Did the police ask you to bring Mr. DeCarlo --14 strike that. 15 Did the police ask you to persuade Mr. DeCarlo 16 to come in? To talk to them? 17 Yes. 18 Q. And did you do that? 19 I talked to him. He went in, yes. A 20 Q All right. 21 (Pause in the proceedings while a discussion 22 off the record ensued at the counsel table between 23 Mr. Manzella and Mr. Key.) 24 BY MR. MANZELLA: And when you talked to Sergeant 25 Whiteley on November 26th of 1969, is it your testimony that 26 you may have gone into detail with him, of the Bruce Davis 27 statement at 28 Clubhouse Drive, around Thanksgiving of 1969? 28 Possibly. A

MR. MANZELLA: Thank you. No further questions. 1 THE COURT: Recross? 2 MR. DENNY: Yes, your Honor. 3 RECROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. DENNY: 6 All right, sir. Now, when Mr. Manzella asked 7 you, "Is it your testimony now that maybe you went into 8 detail with Sergeant Whiteley in your conversation with him 9 on November 26?" 10 And you say, "Possibly," do you have any clear 'n recollection, right now, this day, of saying to Mr. Whiteley 12 13 any more than is reflected in his report there? MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Assumes a fact not in 14 15 evidence, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 BY MR. DENNY: Do you have any recollection right 18 now, this day, that you said any more to Mr. Whiteley other 19 than "had a conversation with Bruce Davis, who stated he 20 and the other suspects had killed Shorty in Devil's Canyon 21 and buried the body in a shallow grave. Mr. Springer stated 22 that Davis told him the motive was because Mr. Shea had been 23 informing to the police department". 24 Did you tell him any more than that? Do you have 25 any such memory now? 26 Yes, I believe I did. 27 Q. Oh, you believe you did? 28 And what has refreshed your recollection as to

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

that, now? MR, MANZELLA: Objection. Assumes a fact not in evidence. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DENNY: Well, when I just cross-examined you a little bit ago, you said you didn't remember, --MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It assumes --BY MR. DENNY: -- isn't that correct? MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It assumes a fact not in evidence. THE COURT: Sustained. The record speaks for itself. 14a fls. 12

14a-1 BY MR. DENNY: Well, sir, between the time when I Q cross examined you and the time when Mr. Manzella re-examined 2 3 you, did something occur to refresh your recollection? MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It --5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 MR. MANZELLA: -- assumes a fact not in evidence. 7 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 8 The answer may remain in the record. 9 BY MR. DENNY: All right, sir. Now, you say that 10 the -- the first day you spent the night up there at -- at 11 Spahn Ranch? Did you just testify, "I stayed overnight the 12 first night, went back the second afternoon"? 13 Yes, sir. 14 Well, isn't it a fact that you and another fellow 15 went up there by the name of Read, the first day? 16 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. Beyond the scope of redirect 17 examination. 18 MR. DENNY: This is right on redirect. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 20 MR. MANZELLA: I didn't ask him who he went up with, 21 your Honor. 22 THE WITNESS: I didn't go up with anybody by the name of 23 Reed. 24 ï MR. DENNY: Well, the subject has been opened up, yes, 25 your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Yes, the objection has been overruled. 27 MR. MANZELLA: But Mr. Denny is the one who opened it 28 up, your Honor.

14a-2	1	MR. DENNY: Well, Mr. Manzella followed it.
	2	Q That is a fact, sir, is it not? That you went
\$	<u>,</u> 3	up with Mr. Reed?
3	4	A No, I didn't.
4	5	Q Who did you go up with?
¥	6	A I went up by myself.
	7	MR. DENNY: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
	8	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Denny perused
	9	his notes.)
	10	Q BY MR. DENNY: In your conversations with Officers
	11	Gutierrez, Sartuche, Neilson, et al
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It assumes a fact not in
	13	evidence.
₹**	14	MR. DENNY: I am putting it in evidence.
	15	Oh, is it the "et al."? All right.
	16	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	17	Q BY MR. DENNY: In this conversation you had on
	18	November 12th, 1969, in the Glass House, with Sergeant
	19	Gutierrez, Sartuche, Neilson and and I can never
	20	Patchett thank you did you tell them, on the 11th or
	21	12th, "John Hyde went with me. He split with one of the
	22	girls "
	23	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. This is irrelevant, your
¥	24	Honor, and beyond the scope of redirect examination,
\$	25	MR. DEMNY: This is
* Tr	26	MR. MANZELLA: that he split with one of the girls
	27	and everything that Mr. Hyde did.
	28	It's beyond the scope of redirect examination.

1	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
2	THE WITNESS: I rode my motorcycle by myself up there.
3	Q BY MR. DENNY: Sir, did you tell the officers,
4	in that conversation, that tape-recorded conversation, "On the
5	11th or 12th of August, John Hyde went with me. He split with
6	one of the girls."
7	MR. MANZELLA: It's irrelevant.
8	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
9	THE WITNESS: He went up there, but he didn't go with
10	me.
11	Q BY MR. DENNY: Did you tell the officers that?
12	A Yes, I told them.
13	Q All right. And did you tell them, "When I first
14	went up there, the first time, Charlie, Tex and a little kid
15	was there."
16	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q BY MR. DENNY: Did you tell them that, "I got
19	there just about 8:00 P. M. and left at 11:00 P. M. "?
20	A I believe so.
21	Q And did you tell them, "The next night I went up
22	and stayed all night"?
23 24	A I don't recall whether it was on the first night or
25	the second night that I spent the night.
26	Q You don't recall, do you?
27	A No, I don't.
28	
20	

14b-1And did you tell them that, "I went up -- went Q 1 back in the afternoon -- " and this is the second time you à went up. "I went back in the afternoon, spent the night, 5 left the next afternoon, and found my hat on the center 6 of the freeway all beat up." 7 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. It's not relevant. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 MR. DENNY: Well, forget --10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Ìl THE COURT: The answer is stricken. 12 BY MR. DENNY: Well, forget the hat business. 13 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 14 BY MR. DENNY: All right. So your testimony now 15 is incorrect, that you went up there and spent the night the 16 first night? 17 I believe so. Å 18 Well, is your testimony incorrect, now? Or is 19 what you told --20 A Yes. 21 -- the officers incorrect? 22 I believe it was the second night I stayed up 23 there. 24 MR. DENNY: Oh, I see. 25 All right. I have no further questions. 26 MR. MANZELLA: Nothing further. 27 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen --28 do you have any other witnesses?

	•
14b-2	1
	2
	3
* *	4
*	5
₽	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	'n
	12
	13
Ž	. 14
O is	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
ķ	24
.\$-	25
* ~}	26
^	27
	28

MR. KAY: Not this afternoon, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.

MR. KAY: We will in the morning.

THE GOURT: We'll try to start promptly at 9:30 tomorrow morning, ladies and gentlemen. Unless something unforeseen happens, we won't keep you out this time. We'll let you in on everything just as we have this afternoon.

MR. MANZELLA: May Mr. Springer be excused?

THE COURT: Wait just a moment, Mr. Springer.

Remember that during the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

Good night. See you tomorrow morning at 9:30. You may step down.

MR.MANZELLA: May Mr. Springer be excused?

MR. DENNY: Your Honor, I would object to his being excused --

THE COURT: Just one second.

(Pause in the proceedings while the members of the jury exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Springer, the Court orders that you return tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock. You may not be on the witness stand, and then again you may. But the Court wants you here tomorrow morning at 9:00; do you understand?

MR. KAY: Your Honor, I called the Van Nuys Office and

14b - 3talked to Mr. Watnick's secretary, and he --THE COURT: All right. You are excused until then. MR. KAY: And he had left for the afternoon, but his 3 secretary was going to try and reach him at home tonight and give him the message. And the secretary said that she was under the impression that there had been no subpoens delivered to the 7 office. Ω MR. DENNY: Well, this is the old subpoens, the subpoens duces tecum that was served on him about three weeks ago. 10 MR. KAY: Well, that's a little different thing. That Ìl 12 was for one specific day and --MR. DENNY: Well, Mr. Kay, if you'd listened to the 12 Judge, he was put on call, specifically on that subpoens. 14 15 MR. KAY: He was put on call for that day. 16 MR. DENNY: No, he was not. 17 THE COURT: You'd better get him here, Mr. Kay, somehow. 18 19 MR. KAY: Well. I've left --20 THE COURT: Or I'll send the bailiff out for him. MR. KAY: Fine. I've left a message with the secretary, 21 22 your Honor. I'm sure he will be here. 23 THE COURT: We want him here at 9:00. 24 MR. KAY: Okay. We had an informal conversation, where 25 I think it was said that he would be here. And I think that 26 he will be here. 27 MR. MANZELLA: You see, the problem is that the Court --28 probably it's my fault. I was under the impression that if

145-4

2

1

3

5

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

14

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

all the files were brought in, that it wasn't necessary to have Mr. Watnick here.

Since all the files were brought in, we haven't kept Mr. Watnick on call or apprised him of what's going on, since we have all the files.

I thought that was our understanding.

MR. KAY: Yes.

THE COURT: The Court is of the opinion that it's probably a goose chase to have Mr. Watnick here. However --

MR. KAY: All right.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Denny has a right to have him here.

MR. KAY: I've notified his secretary, and his secretary said that she'll try to reach him at home tonight.

I don't have Mr. Watnick's home phone number, and there's nothing more I could do. The secretary wouldn't give me his home phone number, because she doesn't know who I am and I don't blame her; I wouldn't want my secretary giving out my phone number to strangers.

THE COURT: Well, your central office here must have his phone number, so --

MR. DENNY: And all you have to do is get in touch with Keiko, and she will give it to you.

MR. KAY: Well, I know his own secretary has his number, and she says she will call him at home and give him the message to be here.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DENNY: Your Honor?

THE COURT: The information that you conveyed to her was

14b-5

15 fls.

1<u>9</u>

that I wanted him here at 9:00 o'clock?

MR. KAY: Well, I said 9:30. I didn't --

THE COURT: All right. Get back to him, then -- or rather, get back to his secretary, and convey the correct information. I want him here at 9:00 o'clock, and I want all of you gentlemen here at 9:00 o'clock.

Instead of your starting working at 9:30 on the case, I want you to start at 9:00 o'clock.

MR. MANZELLA: Right.

THE COURT: It may not be necessary to put Mr.Watnick on the stand, if Mr. Denny resolves in his mind that there are no further notes that would be of any assistance to him in determining whether or not there was a transaction made in regard to Mr. Springer.

MR. KAY: Fine, your Honor. I'll get back to his secretary.

THE COURT: All right.

15-1

1

2

4

Ģ

5

? 8

10

11

12

13

107 ID and REC. 14

> y⊅ 15 16

> > 17 18

> > > 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

MR. DENNY: All right, your Honor, I would just like to catch up with a couple of details here.

The Griffith Observatory chart that was drawn up by Dr. Kaufmann and which was passed out to the jury, I think was never marked as an exhibit. I believe it should be, and I understand that People's 107 is the next in order, if they have no objection.

MR. KAY: No objection.

MR. DENNY: Since he was their witness.

MR. KAY: No objection.

MR. DENNY: Since he was their witness. If it could be -

MR. KAY: No objection.

MR. DENNY: If it could be received as 107.

THE COURT: All right, received.

MR. DEMNY: And I have made a diagram on paper, with the assistance of your good bailiff, last night, to match the diagram on the blackboard that was made at the time Juan Flynn testified, and if the People concur this is, in all respects similar to or close enough to the diagram that appears on the blackboard I would like this received or at least marked for identification.

MR. KAY: No, I won't concur. You don't have the circle with the girls in the right place.

THE COURT: It seems close enough. I'll mark it for identification.

MR. DENNY: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: We're in recess, your Honor?

THE COURT: We're in recess.

```
See you tomorrow morning.
1
                     (Whereupon, at 4:30 P. M., an adjournment was
2
      taken in this matter.)
3
6.
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```