## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 52

STEVE GROGAN,

HON. JOSEPH L. CALL, JUDGE

4

1

2

3

-

5

7

8

9

11.

12

13,

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

V.

Defendant.

NO. A 267861

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1971

APPEARANCES:

(See Volume 1)

GLEANON FLYAMING

VOLUME 29:

Pages 3780-3948, Incl.

Reported by:

VERNON W. KISSEE, C.S.R. GEORGE WEBER, C.S.R. Official Reporters



CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

:		
1	People v. Steve Grogan VOLUME 29, Pages 3780 to 3948, incl. No. A-267861 Monday, August 9, 1971	
2		
3	INDEX	
4	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIREC	T
5 🗸	RETZ, : kank 3781 3820	ŀ
6.	GLEASON, William C. (Reopened) 3852	
7	FLYNN, Juan 3862 3901 3912	
8	SWARTZ, John Harold, Jr. 3917 3941	
<b>9</b> ,		
10'		
<b>J1</b>		
12	EXHIBÍTS	
13		
14	PEOPLE'S FOR IDEN.	1
15	61 - Certified copy of records re Charles Manson 3853	1
16	62 - Certified copy driver's license of	Ì
17	Jack Paul McMillian 3854	
18	37-A through 37-F - Black and white photos 3894	
19		
20.		
2]		ľ
.22		
23		
24		
25	]. 	
<b>2</b> 6		
-27		
28		
;		

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1971 9:30 A.M. 2 3 We will proceed. THE COURT: 5 Reople against Grogan. 6. Defendant is here and both counsel are here. 7 You can bring in the jury, Sheriff, 8 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: I quess we ran out of witnesses, didn't we? 10 THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 (The following proceedings were had 13. in open court in the presence of the 14 jury:) 15 THE COURT: Now we have all of our regular jurors plus 16 the three alternates. 17 The People may go shead. 18 MR. KATZ: Thank you. 19 Call Mr. Frank Retz. 20 21 FRANK RETZ, 22 called as a witness by the People, testified as follows: .23 THE COURT: Now, first, raise your right hand, please, 24 and be sworn. 25 THE CLERK; You do solemnly swear that the testimony 26 you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall 27 be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so 28 help you God?

THE WITNESS: So help me God, yes. 1 Thank you, sir. THE CLERK: 2 Will you take the stand and be seated, please. 3 THE COURT: Be seated, please, and tell us your name 4 5 first. You tell the clerk your name. Ż. THE WITNESS: My name is Frank Retz. 8 THE CLERK: Would you spell the last name. 9 THE WITNESS: R-e-t-z. 10 THE CLERK: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: When the lawyers -- counsel will ask you 12 questions, when you answer them speak through here so the jury 13 can hear you. 14 Step right up to it there. Get up to it like a 15 telephone. 👍 🕴 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: That is it. 17 18 All right. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 21 BY MR. KATZ: **Ž**2 Frank I have had the opportunity of talking with 23 you before, and you are like me. I talk rapidly, so will you 24 slow down so we can all hear you and understand you. 25 , Ă Yes. 26 Thank you. 27 Do you know George Spahn? 28 A: Yes.

1	,						. <b></b>		Ì
2	. <b>Q</b>				at you fir			spannr	
3	<b> </b>	Around	'68 or	67,	something	TIKE	that.		•
4									
5									,
6		,							
7	•							•	
8			•						*
9			•		,		,	,	
10		, ,		•					
11	i.			*					
12									
13		•				*			
14					•				
Ì5									
16	•								
17	· .·			•					
18					•		•		,
<b>19</b> ,					• •				
20	•	•		•			•		
21			•					•	
22	,								
23									:
24									
25	1	,	.71	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	٠				
26				<i>: ''</i>				•	
27			* *						í
28	;	S. S				· · · ·	* !	•	
	ŗ <u> </u>			•			*		1

26

27

|--|

- A That is correct.
- Q And how often would you go out to the Spahn Ranch area in the period of 1968 and 1969?
  - A Every day.
- Q Well, when you say every day, do you mean almost literally every day?
  - A That is correct.
- Q All right. And sometime would you go out there more than once a day?
  - A Yes.
- When you were first going out to Spahn Ranch, was Charlie Manson and the so-called Manson family out there?
  - A No.
- Q Sometime in the first part of 1969 did you note the presence of one Charles Manson and the so-called family?
- A It was after Christmas, probably January, February, something like that, when I saw they moved in.
  - Q We are talking about 1969?
  - A '69, that is correct.
- 2. All right. And between the period that you first saw him or were aware of the fact that Manson had moved in on this property in the first part of 69 through the latter part of August 1969, how often would you see Manson on the Spahn Ranch property and on the Mary Kelly property which you bought?
- A Well, whenever I came out, if he was there, I saw, him, because he always came to me and tried to talk to me.
  - Q How often would you may Charles Manson was out

2-3

2<sub>,</sub>

i

. 6

. . 8

21,

A Yes,

Q ... And you can answer this next question yes or no: Did you exchange some heated words with Charles Manson?

A Yes.

MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, I would really like to hear from Mr. Retz, of course, but will counsel please stop leading Mr. Retz. Just ask him what happened.

3.36 3.20 18 18

3	L	
2	2	
ş	3	
4	į	
Ş	5	
1	6	
	7	•
;	В	•
9	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
	4	
ז		
	Ę.	
	7	
	.8	
ļ	9	
2	20	
Ź	21	
2	22	
•	23	
	24	
	2 <b>5</b>	
	26	
:	27	

MR. KATZ: Well, I have no objection, if there is no hearsay. I have no objection.

THE COURT: Well, all right.

Q BY MR. KATE: Tell us what happened, Mr. Retz.

THE COURT: Try to watch your questions.

MR. WEEDMAN: Well, what happened where? Ambiguous.

MR. KATZ: Yes.

On January 30, 1969, I want you to tell us what happened in the presence of -- June 30, 1969, what happened in connection with the incident you were about to tell us about with reference to Charles Manson, Bill Vance, in the presence of the police.

MR. WEEDMAN: If the witness knows.

THE COURT: You may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. KATZ: You are going to tell us what you observed, is that right?

A That's correct.

- Q What you discussed with Charlie, is that right?
- A "hat's correct.
- Ø Go on.

A June 30, Mrs. Kelly and myself, we went out because

I had surveyed the property before we went to the escrow.

I bought it by the acreage.

And Mrs. Kelly and also Mr. Spahn, they thought they had over 30 acres each.

so I went out with Mrs. Kelly to show her the property line, that there is only 27-1/2.

1	me the permission to stay as long as the escrow is closed, and
2	so on and so on,
3.	She said, "No. Mr. Retz got all the authorities,
4	and you have to get out." So we walked over about 200, 300
5	yards.
6	Q That is, you and Mrs. Kelly?
7	A And Mrs. Kelly.
<b>ķ</b> .	. Q All right.
9	A And all of a sudden Bill Vance say, "Hey, Frank,
10	somebody broke in your car."
11	And around back I saw the bushes moving because
12	there was all full of bushes.
13	and I said, "I don't see nobody."
14	And he said, "Yes. They drove off."
15	So I went up to the car. I saw the car is again
16	closed, and I thought there is nothing wrong.
17	So I ran back for Mrs. Kelly.
18	So when we came up both, her bag was gone with all
19	the papers and several checks.
20	Q Whose bag was gone?
21	A Mrs. Kelly. She had a big bag. In that bag she
22	had the escrow papers and some bills and all that stuff.
23	Q Now, where was the bag located?
24	A In my car.
25	Q I see.
26 .	All right.
27	A It was in my car.
28	Q You had returned to the car at this point?

Á That's correct. 1 In the first place, I thought nobody broke in, but 2 after, when we saw the bag was gone and after we found out, 3 after the sheriffs came, they said, "Now, see, here. They went in with a screwdriver and opened up." I didn't look. 5 pid you have a conversation with Manson at that б time in the presence of the sheriffs? 7 Yes. Α 8 0 What happened? 9 I came down before the sheriffs almost came. 10. said. "Now, Charlie, there was no one. I saw you running 11 through the bushes." 12 I couldn't saw him actually, but I saw the bushes 13 14 how they move. 15 And he said --16 · MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse we, your Honor, for interrupting. . I object on the grounds it is not material to any-17 thing. 18 THE COURT: Part of it is conclusional. Let's try that . 19 portion of the answer again and strike it out. 20 MR. KATZ: Correct. 21 MR. WEEDHAN: My objection is simply my client wasn't 22 there, as I understand it. 23 THE COURT: I understand that. But without arguing the 24 25 legal merits of the matter, the answer may stand. 26 There are segments that are conclusional. That 27 may go out. 28: He can state what he saw.

MR. KATZ: That is what I am asking, your Honor. 1 That part where he injects Manson in, that THE COURT: 2 is conclusional. 3 If he saw Manson, it is not conclusional. MR. KATZ: THE COURT: All right. 5 Let me show you what I am disturbed about. 6 I agree that should be stricken. MR. KATZ: 7 THE COURT: Better read the answer. (The record was read by the reporter as follows: 10 "A I came down before the sheriffs almost 11 I said, Now, Charlie, there was no one. 12 I saw you running through the bushes.' "And he said --") 14 THE COURT: Any reference to an individual, "I didn't 15. actually see him, " may go out. The rest may stand. Physical 16 1,7 movements, that will stand. 18 The objection of Mr. Grogan's counsel, Mr. Weedman, 19 that the defendant was not there, is overruled. 20 Go ahead. 4 fls 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

3

4

5

6

Ż

8

9

1Ó

11

12

13

14

15

MR. KATZ: Thank you.

- Now, Mr. Retz, you saw the bushes move, is that right?
  - A That is correct.
- g . But you didn't see who it was behind the bushes at that time, is that correct? You can answer that yes or no.
- A I didn't see in the bushes, but I saw him coming out from the bushes.
  - Q Who did you see coming out from the bushes?
  - A Charlie Manson.
  - Q Oh. All right.
  - A He came out and he walked in the farmhouse.
- Q Did you have a conversation with Manson at that time?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q Tell us about it.
- He said, "Frank, I was in the bushes. I tried to see who it is from this side when they come up from the street, and after I was really running, so the bushes was moving because I run for a gun."

He said there was no one on the road because "I could see the road."

- Who told you that, Manson?
- A That's right, And I said"The only one who was in the car, and that is you.

And he said, "Frank, that is not so." He said,
"I going to prove it to you that we going to find that bag,"
and he alarmed the whole gang to go along the road to search

16

17

18

**19** 

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

for that bag.

And I went after them and saw a girl. She was waving me, "Frank, Frank." She was also in the room, and she run away.

- Q Okay.
- She run away from the Manson family, and I stopped because I know her.
  - Q We are straying away now.
  - A Yes.
  - O Did you get the bag back?
  - A No.
- Q All right, Now, what I wanted to know is whether or not before the police came did you have any further conversations with Charlie?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Did the police come?
  - A Yes.
- Once again, did you have a conversation or exchange some words with Charles Manson in the presence of the police?
  - A That's right.
  - Q Tell us what happened?
- A I talked to the sheriff. "There was no one else besides Manson." The sheriff told me, "You didn't see him in the car; there is nothing we can do. We are unable even to arrest him." "Unless, "he said, "if you want to sign for trespassing."

And he said, "Frank, we going to move out right away," and I took off because Mrs. Kelly was so shocked.

4-5

	,	-2
•		3

During the period -- we are going to start around August 16, 1969 -- did you have some conversation with George Spahn regarding the problem of the presence of the Manson family on your property and Spahn's property?

A Right.

Now, without telling us the conversation yet, can you tell us whether somebody else was there during those conversations?

- A Yes.
- 0 Who?
- A Squeeky was all the time present. She had --
- Q All right, in other words, she was with George during these conversations?
  - A Right.
- Whenever you talked with George about either buying George's property or the presence of the Manson family, was Squeeky there?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did you talk to George Spahn on more than one occasion about the problem of the presence of the Manson family on your property and Spahn's property?
  - A That's right.
- I want to direct your attention to the period between August 16, 1969 and the latter part of August or the very first part of September 1969. Did you have discussions with George Spahn in the presence of Squeeky regarding the problem of the Manson family on your property and Spahn's property?

24

25

26

27

28

	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		3798
ε	A	*** * ***	
•	A	Yes, I did.	
. •	Q	Where did these conversations take place?	
	A	In George Spahn's, room:	
	Q	And present there was Squeeky, is that corre	ct?
	λ	Yes.	
	Q	What did you say about the problem of the pr	asence
of th	ıs Man	son family?	
	À	I said to George	
	MR.	WEEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. May I inquir	e what
this	is be	ing offered for?	
•	MR.	KATZ: Yes, if counsel wants the answer.	
	MR.	WEEDMAN: Yes, I think so. I would like to ki	JOW.
	MR.	KATZ: Yes, your Honor.	
•		It is offered as evidence of motive.	
	MR.	WEEDMAN: What motive? To kill Mr. Retz?	

Mr. Retz?

Your Honor, I object to all of this and move to strike on the grounds it is incompetent and irrelevant. It is so prejudicial against my client -- we are sitting here listening to things when we weren't there, we have no idea what was said, and we are called upon to answer and defend against these things.

I, frankly, your Honor, just believe that the prosecution here apparently just talks about anything he darn well wants to talk about. He has been leading this witness ever since the witness took the stand, I haven't heard one single word here about my client other than my client was there with the family. We are talking about Charlie Manson being arrested, we are talking about him committing a crime.

.5

.8

- 14

16.

Your Honor, I can't imagine anything more impossible to deal with than the way the prosecutor is permitted to put his case on.

THE COURT: The objection is there. Overruled. You may go shead.

- BY MR. KATZ: Will you please tell us the conversation regarding the problem of the presence of the Manson family in which you discussed this with George Spahn in the presence of Squeeky between the period August 16, 1969 and September 1st of 1969.
- A Yes. I told George that I would like to have the authority -- the family is again back, and even when we come out they come on our property. They were lying around all over, necking --
  - Q Lying over what?
- A All over our property, along that river bank, took showers, necked, and everything over there, and my wife and the children came out there with the other corporation members, and so on, and I said I would like to have the authority from him to clean it out once and for all.

And he said, "You got the authority from me, Frank." And he said, "If you want to call it off, I give you the authority."

So I said, "I take your word," and that's it.

So I went out and I said, "Charlie, this is the last time I told you. Out."

And I walked in again, and George let me in on a secret that Charlie put a knife in front of his --

4...9

2

1

3

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20.

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28.

Wait. Just hold it. 0

MR. WERDMAN: That is perfectly all right.

THE WITNESS: That was the --

MR. WREDMAN: Let's hear it all.

I'm moving, of course, for a mistrial at this time, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. WEEDMAN: I'm moving for a mistrial, your Honor, on the ground that this witness has presumably been prepared by the district attorney's office to testify. He comes in here, he has been permitted during the course of my most strenuous objections to say anything that he cares to say in answer to the most incredibly leading questions that I have heard in my years of practice, your Honor, and now we hear --

THE COURT: Just a moment. You will have to argue in chambers.

Your objection is there. The objection is overruled.

First of all, the motion for a mistrial is denied. Let's weed it out in chambers here and see where we are up to this point.

MR. WEEDMAN: "It's not necessary.

THE COURT: Step in chambers, Mr. Reporter, if you will. MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor.

I understand that your Honor has already ruled in connection with this matter. I have no further objection for the record.

THE COURT: Step in, please.

Ź

1

3

5

6

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21,

22

**23** .

24

25 26

27

28

(The following proceedings were in chambers outside the hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: We are in chambers.

Let's back way up. Read the last question and the answer that precipitated the controversy.

Read the question, if you will.

(The record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Will you please tell us the conversation regarding the problem of the presence of the Manson family in which you discussed this with George Spahn in the presence of Squeeky between the period August 16, 1969 and September 1, 1969.

"A Yes. I told George that I would like to have the authority. The family is back again. And we are - even when we come out they come on our property.

"They were lying around all over, necking.

"Q Lying over what?

"A Over all our property. Along that river creek. Took showers. Recked and everything over there.

"And my wife and the children came out with the other corporation members and so on.

"And I said I would like to have the authority from him to clean it out once and for all.

"And he said, 'You got the authority from me, Frank.' And he said, 'If you want to call it off,

3

Ģ

7

5

8

9 10

11.

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

20

**2**Į

**22** 

23

24 . . . . . .

26

27 28 and I give you the authority.'

"So I said, 'I take your word, and that is it."

"So I went out and I said, 'Charlie, this is the last time I told you, out.' And I walked in again, and George let me in a secret in that Charlie put a knife in front of his ---

"Q Wait a minute, just hold it.

"MR. WEEDMAN: That is perfectly all right.")
THE COURT: Bring us down to date. Now, carry right through.

(The record was read by the reporter as follows:

"THE WITNESS: That was the --

of course, for a mistrial at this time, your Honor.

"THE WITNESS: No.

\*HR. WEEDMAN: I am moving for a mistrial, your Honor, on the ground that this witness has presumably been prepared by the district attorney's office to testify. He comes in here, he has been permitted during the course of my most strenuous objections, to say anything that he cares to say in answer to the most incredibly leading questions that I have heard in my years of practice, your Honor. And now we hear —

THE COURT: Just a moment. You will have to argue in chambers. Your objection is there. The

objection is overruled.

"First of all, the motion for mistrial is denied. Let's weed it out in chambers here and see where we are up to this point.")

经产品 的复数医高级

THE COURT: That brings us up to date. Thank you.

Well, under the theory of the People's case, I think the testimony is proper testimony.

Again, the weight or the sufficiency of the testimony may or may not justify convictions. That is another situation.

It is an unusual situation. The case is unusual. You get into unusual facts. You get into unusual structure.

You may not have another lawsuit like this, I don't know, in years.

MR. WEEDMAN: I hope not.

THE COURT: In other words, you can't isolate it, and particularly base a ruling on the grounds that it is an unusual situation. It is unusual.

The theory comprehends a course of conduct between certain co-conspirators or alleged co-conspirators to commit a public offense or crime, to use the words of the statute.

It can't all be put in at one time. It is totally impossible unless there was a concrete agreement that the People could prove Smith told Jones what they would do, and commit a certain crime.

It is factually inferred basically from facts, not altogether. Many other inferences come from statements

27 28

1

5

8

.9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25.

of the parties, actions of the parties. Actions of the 1 alleged conspirators. 2 3 reactions? 5 6. 7 8 that point. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Was my client there at the time?" 19 20 21 22 23 24 be disacted. 25 26 27 28

What did they do? Where were they? Where did they live? What were they advised of? What were their

You can't cover it all at once. That is roughly, very crudely stated, the People's testimony is directed to 是你的人们就

I think the testimony is proper testimony. case to be ultimately delivered to the jury on instructions, that would to a certain extent ultimately dissect itself. Advise the jury there is no conspiracy in there, disregard certain factual matters, I don't know.

I think the testimony is proper testimony. weight and the sufficiency is another thing.

The fact that Mr. Weedman may say on cross examination, "Was my client there when Manson made certain statements?

"No, he wasn't," or "Yes, he was.

I mean it can be broken into this way.

\*When Manson said this, where was my client?"

"I don't know where he was."

This is the way, that is part of the way it could

But I can't take the bull by the horns to the extent of throwing out the People's testimony. It is properly admissible. It is a hard case to start with, to prove.

I say that, the jury is not here. I can speak

```
candidly here. I am not the judge of the facts.
1
                   It is a hard case, but it is nevertheless a
2
     People's case, and I can't eject their testimony.
3
5
7
8
9:
10
11
12
13.
14
.15
16
17
18
19
20
2Ì
22
23
24
25
26
27
28.
```

5A

ģ

17<sup>2</sup> 

MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I had one problem.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KATZ: And I wish to express it now out of the presence of the jury.

Mr. Weedman, I think, is guilty of duplicity of the worst kind. He feels that I can't lead the witness. Indeed, I have done everything I can to avoid leading the witness.

Yet I know how volatile the witness is. I know he tends to stray from the subject matter at hand.

THE COURT: He strays a little, yes.

MR. KATZ: In every effort and in good faith I have attempted, for example in the first part of the question I asked, "Was there an exchange between you and Manson without going into the circumstances surrounding it?"

But, no, then we have the magnanimous gesture,
"Well, if you are going to lead him in that fashion, then let's
let the whole conversation come in."

So obligingly I let it come in, knowing what was going to happen.

Once again, I directed specifically to conversations between the period August 16, 1969, and September 1, 1969, in the presence of Squeeky. These statements and conversations occurring between Mr. Spahn and Mr. Retz.

Once again I knew the volatile nature of the testimony that could unfold if I didn't get direct and responsive replies.

But once again I was accused of bad faith and of leading this witness so that I had to oblige once again in

front of the jury, Mr. Weedman, and just say "All right, tell us what was said."

And it is unfortunate, but this witness does have a tendency to digress, and I would like to cut it off if I can so we don't get this kind of incident about the knife incident.

I want to make the record clear on this knife incident. The knife incident that Retx has reference to is the situation in which George Spahn related to Frank Retz that Charlie Manson held a knife at his throat for four hours trying to bring fear and instill fear into him and to force Spahn to turn over the ranch to him.

I can only tell you that I didn't want this evidence to come out. Obviously he wasn't percipient to this. Obviously he didn't see that Squeeky was there at that time, and there would be no reason to impute that knowledge of that conversation was transmitted to the Manson family and therefore, to Steve Grogan and Charles Manson.

I wanted to stay away from that and try to lead him right into the area I wanted him to go. Mr. Weedman invited this error, if there is any error.

THE COURT: I think probably it would be best in the balance of your examination if you confine yourself to only so much of a question that sleading. Only so much leading as necessary.

Now, then, if defense counsel wants to elaborate on cross examination and say, "Well, you related only a certain portion or segment of this to plaintiff's counsel. Give us the whole."

25.

Then Mr. Weedman can do that. In other words, I think it probably would be better, I would authorize you, which I do.

MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, don't misjudge me. I don't say just to lead him to the pinpoint. Don't lead him any more than you have to, to keep from bringing out a lot of damaging statements by the witness.

MR. KATZ: I understand that, your Honor. That is exactly what I attempted to do. I only talked about the George subject matter.

THE COURT: Let's try it and see where we go to. You can make your objection, Mr. Weedman.

MR. WEEDMAN: Well, your Honor, of course, the thing that has concerned me since the very beginning of this trial, of course, is the most unusual situation, and it is something that I indicated in my opening statement.

Charles Manson is on trial here, really. Charles Manson's terrible guilt from the Tate-La Bianca case is, in my judgment, being utilized by the prosecution to prejudice my client's most fundamental rights in this case, your Honor.

We have heard endlessly, of course, about Charles Manson. We haven't heard really one word about my client yet. I think this is a systematic instilling or attempt to instill this kind of prejudice against my client really by injecting Charles Manson.

Charles Manson is not just another person. He is probably today, your Honor, probably the most notorious

2

3.

4

.5 6

7

8

9

10

Ħ.

12<sup>-</sup> 13.

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

.27

28<sup>,</sup>

convicted mass murderer of our decade. And in a case that probably was more publicized than any case in history.

My client has to come in here and try to defend in that atmosphere. That is why I think the prosecutor should not be treating this just like another case. I think the prosecutor is under an obligation to handle this case and to be fair to my client.

You see, the prosecutor has the key to fairness in his hands; I do not. All I can do is stand up and be the bad guy every day.

I know I am making a bad impression on the jury with these objections inevitably. The jury doesn't like the lawyer to stand up and try to keep evidence out.

Mr. Katz knows this quite well. He baits me every day with leading questions, your Honor.

The excuse that he has a volatile witness and that is the reason that he should be permitted to lead the witness is absolute nonsense. That is no excuse unless the man is crazy.

If the man is incompetent, I think we should know a bout that. If he is a same man, a rational man, -- I am talking about Mr. Retz -- certainly, Mr. Katz should caution him as he should caution all his witnesses not to go into areas as Mr. Katz knows, he stated he might go into.

Mr. Katz just told us, as I understand it, he was aware Mr. Retz might bring up this very subject. He should have cautioned him against that.

There is no need to lead him in front of the jury.

I have Mr. Retz's testimony before the grand jury. are marked differences between that testimony and what we have heard here today.

THE COURT: Well, gentlemen, all right. I have made a ruling.

Let's try to go shead now,

MR. KATZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, again I will authorize you to use some leading question for guidance only for the purpose of trying to avoid a lot of statements that could have damaging effect.

MR. KATZ: Yes.

In that sense I will authorize some leading, some guidance, in your questions.

高大学 · 特别 · 李维

MR. KATE: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Let's proceed.

MR. KATZ: Thank you,

MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you very much.

5B

27

26

5b-1

ļ 

8.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Now, we will go ahead. We will proceed.

Now, we will start in with a new question,

Mr. Prosecutor.

And, Mr. Witness, when you answer a question take your time and listen to the question that is asked. And try to just answer the question.

Don't add anything. No matter what you may think you should say just answer the question that is given to you.

In other words, a good policy to go by is the quicker or the shorter you can answer the question, the better.

In other words, don't elaborate on it: "Were you at a certain house? Yes."

You see, that is answered. That is what I mean. Just answer the question.

All right. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS; Okay.

MR. KATZ; All right.

Q Mr. Retz, I want once again to direct your attention to the period between August 16, 1969 and the first part of September 1969 at the Spahn Ranch and ask you to tell us the conversations you had with George Spahn in the presence of Squeeky, limited to the subject matter of the presence of the Manson family on your property. That is, the Mary Kelly property you purchased, and George Spahn's property.

Will you do that without digressing to anything

else.

If I -- now, my talk between Mr. Spahn and myself,
I guess I have to tell it.

I didn't get now the exact question to answer or anything.

Q All right.

My question to you is what conversation did you have with George Spahn in the period I talked about, that is, between August 16th, 1969 and let's say September 1st, 1969 in the presence of Squeeky, and only on the subject matter of the presence of the Manson family on the Spahn property and on your property.

- A Yes. I had a conversation to clean them out.
- Q Well, tell us exactly what the words were in this regard.
- A It was the words, and he gave me the authority like I stated before.

And I came again out and I said, "Now, Charlie, that's the last time. You threaten, and tomorrow you are going to threaten me, too."

- This is after you had one of the conversations with George Spahn in the presence of Squeeky?
  - A Right, yes.
  - Q All right.

And what did Charlie say in response to your statement?

A He said that he is going to move out. Give him time.

Í

27

28

		,Aı	nd I	said,	*I	gave	you	80	many	time	s, ar	ıd I	an.	t
going	to	move	out	with:	my j	ball	team,	ar	d I	clean	Aon	out.	• 1	
don't	CA	11 the	sh	eriffa	any	y mor	<b>4.</b> *							

- You said you were going to move him out with a ball team?
  - A Yes. I got about 60 young guys.
  - Q What kind of a ball team is this?
  - A Soccer ball.
- Q I understand you won the Southern California championship.
  - A Right.

MR. WEEDMAN: That is not relevant to anything.
THE COURT: Strike it out.

- Q BY MR, KATI: Going back to the conversation, if there were any with George Spahn and yourself in the presence of Squeeky, what else was said in getting rid of the Manson family?
- A I said, "I am going to hire a guard day and night with a gun."

And George said, "I got a good man for you."

And I said, "Who is that?"

And he said. "You know Shorty is a hard-working man. But I am unable to pay him. As a matter of fact he would be the right man for you because he don't like Manson."

And I said, "I know Shorty. He is around for a long time, and okay." I said, "Where is Shorty?"

He said, "He is not here because he comes and goes. But when he comes I am going to tell him to call you

.28

What did you say about hiring Shorty?

A I said I going to pay him and give him food and he is going to move in that farmhouse, buy him a gun, get a permission, and to clean that place up.

- Q All right. Now this was said in front of Squeeky, is that right?
- A Right, And after Squeeky asked me to keep an eye --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

MR. KATZ: Hold on now.

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

- O BY MR. KATE: Now, during the same conversation in the presence of Squeeky wherein you told George Spahn that you were going to hire Shorty as a security guard and have him live at the farmhouse, did Squeeky say anything to you?
- A She said, "Give us another eight days and we move out."
- Now, during any of the conversations in which you were negotiating to buy Mr. Spahn's property and in the presence of Squeeky, was there any discussion between you and Squeeky -- and listen carefully -- concerning the alleged right of the Manson family to George Spahn's property?
  - A Yes.
  - Q. All right. Now, tell us what was said.
- A She told me that they gave George a down payment for the Spahn Movie Ranch and they got a right to stay here, which I said, "I don't know about that, but I got the authority to throw you out, and you heard it when George repeated it in

27

28

did you have a discussion or exchange some words with Charlie Manson in the presence of police officers at that time on August 24th, 1969?

A Yes.

Now, tell us what words you exchanged between Manson and yourself.

A He called me a bum, and he said he was going to cut my throat.

And I said to the sheriff, I said, "Turn him loose; if I work him over, there's nothing left any more."

So the sheriff said, "No; it's impossible, Mr.

Retz. Don't touch him. He got his handcuffs on." And that's it.

- Q All right. And then did the policeman take Charlie and this girl away?
  - A That's right.
  - Q Where were they when they were arrested?
  - A In the farmhouse, my property.
- Q That is the farmhouse which is near the border which separates Spahn's property from the property you bought from Mary Kelly, is that right?
  - A Correct.
- Q First of all, I'm going to show you a picture, 32-M for identification. Do you recognize that person?
  - A The eyes are closed.
- Q Do you recognize the person in the picture? If you don't just say "No."
  - A It's hard to say exactly.

All right. Then I don't want you to guess. 6-4 1 put that down. 2 Do you recognize the person who is depicted in Ì People's 37 4 Yes. 5 -Who is that? -6 7 And do you recognize this person in People's 5? 0 8 Yes, I do. 9. Who is that? 10 11 Manson. And you mentioned a person by the name of Bill 12 13 Do you recognize this person in 32-2? 14 That is him. Yes. I want you to look through the 50 series of 15 16 photographs, 50-A through 50-H, and I'm going to ask you, as 17 you go through them, whether or not you recognize the defendant 18 in any of those photographs. 19 Here (indicating). 20 Would you please take a felt tip pen and circle 21 the defendant in that picture. 22 (Witness complies.) 23 Would you place your initials, "F.R." so we know 24 you are the gentleman who made that designation. 25 (Witness complies.) 26 May the record reflect the witness has complied MR. KATZ: .27 with my request on 50-8 for identification. 28 THE COURT: That's right.

مد ط	
6-5	1
	2
•	3
	4
	5
	6
4	• 7
	8
	ģ
	10
	17
	33
	18
	14
•	15
	16
v	17
	18
	19
	20
	· <b>2</b> ]

Q .	BY MR. KATZ:	And is this	the way	Steve Grogan
looked when	you saw him or	a your proper	ty in th	e Spahn Ranch
that area,	on the 30th of	August 19697	•	

- A Yes.
- O Do you see the defendant once again here in 50-C?
- A Yes.
- Would you please encircle him once more.
- A (Witness complies.)
- Q And once again place your initials on the top so we know you are the gentleman who made that designation.
  - A (Witness complies.)

MR. KATZ: Once again may the record reflect the witness has complied and made the notation "F. Retz."

THE COURT: That is correct.

MR. KATZ: That is on 50-C for identification.

Do those photograph truly and accurately depict the way the defendant appeared and looked in the period of August 1969?

A Yes.

MR. KATE: If I may have a moment, I believe I have concluded, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KATZ: No further questions.

64

25

24

22

27

26

28

27

28

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY	MR.	WEEDMAN:	
	1,17.		

Q Mr. Retx, when did you decide that you were going to hire a man to get the family off your property?

- A After the raid.
- Q And when was the raid, Mr. Retz?
- A I couldn't say the exact date.
- Q What month was it in?

A Probably in August or July, or something. I couldn't say the exact date, but it was in August, I guess.

- Q If I told you August 16, would that be about right?
- A If you say so, I don't know. I can't tell you.
- Q Now, in answer to the prosecutor's questions, you seemed to readily concede that it was August 16th when that date was fed you by the prosecution.

MR. RATZ: Excuse me. I will object to the argumentative form of the question, "fed by the prosecution."

MR. WEEDMAN: I will withdraw that word. It was an unfortunate word.

- Q When the date was given to you in the question, you had no difficulty recognizing the date.
- A I didn't say exactly the date, but I know I read a newspaper and I heard it was about in August.
- Q So you are fairly sure that raid was in August of 1969?
  - A Yes, I am.
- Now, was it after that time that you decided that you were going to hire a man to get rid of the Manson family?

	A That's right.
1	Q When did you have a conversation with George Spahn
2	about hiring Shorty Shea?
3	MR. KATZ: Excuse me. I will object on the ground that
4	assumes facts not in evidence, your Honor.
5	
6	MR. WEEDMAN: I will withdraw the question.
7	Q Did you have a conversation with George Spahn about
8	hiring Shorty Shea?
9	A Yes, I did.
1Ò	Q Mr. Retz, when did that conversation occur?
n	A After, when I saw the people was turning loose the
12	Manson family and they came back to the ranch.
13	Q Was that after the August raid?
14	A That's right.
15	Q About how long after the August raid, Mr. Retz,
16	did you have this conversation?
17	A A few days. I don't know the day exactly.
18	Q Let me finish the question and I will let you
19	finish the answer.
20	I will withdraw the question.
.21	Mr. Retz, how long after the August raid on the
22	ranch did you have this conversation with Mr. Spahn wherein
23 <sup>.</sup>	you discussed hiring Shorty Shea?
24	A Shortly after the raid.
25	Q Can you give us an idea in numbers of days?
26	A Not exactly.
27	Ω Was it as long as two weeks?
28	A No. It was not two weeks.
40	. प्राप्त प्राप्त प्राप्त प्राप्त प्राप्त का

1	Q Was it as long as a week?	ı.
.ż	A Something like that. Between it could be.	
<b>3</b> .	Could be also two weeks. But I was very serious about that.	
4	Q You were very serious about that?	ĺ
<b>5</b> ;	A To hire a guard, yes.	
6	Q Were you very serious about hiring Shorty Shea	
7.	particularly, or did it make any difference who you hired?	
8	A I would like to hire Shorty Shea because I know	
9	him for years and he is used to work over there around, so he	
10	knows everything. That is why.	
11	Q I'm sorry; he is used to what kind of work?	ŀ
12	I didn't quite understand your answer.	ļ
13	A I was going to hire Shorty Shea for one reason,	ļ
14	because I know the man, he lives on the ranch off and on, and	ļ.
15	to me he was he didn't like Manson, and that was the reason	
16	why I tried to hire him.	
17	Q You felt that he would be more desirable than some-	
18	one else because he didn't like Mr. Manson, among other things,	
19	is that right?	
20	A That's right.	-
<b>21</b> .	Q Did you go out and buy a gun for Shorty Shea?	`
.22	A No, I didn't, but	
23	Q Excuse me. Go on.	
24	A No, I didn't.	1
<b>2Š</b>	O Did you want to say anything else?	
26	A But I would buy a gun. If I hire a gunman over	1
27	there, he has to have a gun to protect himself.	1
28	Q so you felt it was going to be necessary for	-

x	Mr. Shea to carry a gun out there in order to protect himself?
2	A That's right.
3	Q Did Mr. Shea ever have any conversations with you
4	about this job?
<b>Š</b>	A No; only over the telephone.
6	Q When did you get a telephone call from Mr. Shea in
7	that connection?
8,	A In about a day, he called up and he said, "Frank,
9	George told me*
10	Q Excuse me. I'm sorry, Mr. Retz. My question was,
u .	when did you get the telephone call from Mr. Shea?
12	A It was one morning about 9:00 or 10:00 o'clock.
13	Q What day of the week was that, Mr. Ret#?
14	A It's hard to say. I am unable to say what day or-
15	Q What day of the week did you have this conversa-
16	tion with George Spahn about hiring Shorty?
17	A It would be a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday I was
18	every day out. I don't remember exactly.
19	Q Do you recall testifying before the grand jury in
20	connection with this matter?
21	A I don't think so, as I said, that I said exactly
22	the date. Probably it could be a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday,
23	I'm not sure exactly.
24	Q Excuse me, sir. My question is, do you recall
25	testifying in this case before the grand jury?.
26:	A Yes, I did.
27	Q Do you recall making these answers to these
28	questions. Page 302:

And do you know whether or not that was a weekday or a weekend that Shorty called you? It probably -- it was probably on a # Y Monday. It was beginning -- I was Sunday out, and I discussed the thing with George. It was on a б Monday so far as I remember. 6Aa 15. 

"Q In other words, you discussed it with George on a Sunday, and then on a Monday you received a call from Shorty Shea?

April Commence

"A That is correct.

"Q And do you recall whether or not it was in the morning or the afternoon?

"A It was in the morning, because I was to get some place somewhere. Usually Monday that is my day where I go to the bank, and things like that, and I know I delayed that for afternoon because I was waiting for Shorty."

Does that refresh your recollection as to when you spoke with Shorty on the telephone?

A It could be, but I couldn't state that it was Monday, but I was waiting for Shorty, and he said he is going to be in, in about half an hour, in my place.

Now, one thing I remember now. When that was in the morning -- I am always at 10:00 o'clock in the bank, so probably it was not Monday even. I just refreshed my memory, because it was half an hour he would be here and I would have a talk with him at least an hour, so it could be also Tuesday or Wednesday.

You see, I think -- I kept my day -- I have to be here today, so I couldn't go to the bank. I have to wait till I come back. All the time 10:00 o'clock is my banking hour, and it could be one day or the other. I'm not sure.

Q Are you sure that you did speak with Shorty in the morning?

б

16.

That is to say you covered the conversation with George Spahn on one day and then received the telephone call from Shorty the following morning?

- A Could be.
- O Okay. Didn't George Spahn tell you during this conversation that we have been speaking of, that Shorty was probably going to leave the ranch?
  - A Yes.
- Q And didn't Mr. Spahn tell you that he was unable to pay Mr. Shea any money and that Mr. Shea would probably leave the ranch?
  - A That's right.
- Q Okay. Did Mr. Spahn tell you where Shorty probably would go?
  - No.
- Now, you indicated a few minutes ago, Mr. Retz, that Shorty was at the ranch off and on. What did you mean by that?
- A One day I saw him, the next day I didn't see him.

  After, I didn't see him eight days, and after I saw him egain. That is what I meant.
- Q Do you know where he was living when you did see him at the ranch during the month of August?
  - A No idea.
- Q Did you sae him at the ranch during the month of August?
  - A Yes.
  - O Do you know whether he was living somewhere on the

7-3

All the State of t

7-5

7-6

,		
7-7	1	Mr. Retz?
)	Ź	A That's right.
	3	
	4	
_	5	,
7a	6	
	7	
	8'	
	9	
	10	
٠	11,	·
	12	
•	13 14	
	Ì5	
	16	
	17	
•	18	
	19	
	20	
•	.21	
	22	
•	23	
	24	
	25 26	
٠		
	27	
	20	
		<u> </u>

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

**15** 16

17

18

19:

20 21

22

23.

24 **25** .

26

27

28

You were really over there not talking about the Manson family so much, you were over there talking to George Spahn trying to get the property from him, isn't that a fair statement, Mr. Retz?

- That's correct.
- Okay.

And you finally got it away from him, didn't you?

- Don't say I got it away. I bought it.
- All right. Q

You bought it, did you pay cash for it?

With money. I don't have to answer that question.

MR. KATZ: Excuse me, your Honor. At this point it would be irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

MR. WEEDHAM: Well, yes, of course. Naturally.

THE COURT: Strike the answer.

BY MR. WEEDMAN: How long were you in excrew for the purchase of that Spahn property, Mr. Rets?

About a month.

Did you feel, Mr. Ratm, that if you couldn't get rid of the members of the Manson family, that you couldn't get in there and buy that property from George Spahn?

A No. I didn't feel that way.

Did getting rid of the Manson family have anything to do with your thinking as far as purchasing the property?

No.

What was it that the Manson family was doing on your property -- not talking about George Spahn's property, I 7a-3 Actually he would like to have that house, and he said -- and to the time when they bought -- when they bought 2 the property he said that Mr. Serrano, from whom he purchased 3 the property, told him that that house was on his property. But we got a surveying where it shows from 1956 5 probably, I guess, or something like that, which Mr. Spahn 6 knew already, that that's not on his property. 7 Do you know whether or not he told the members of 8 the Manson family that you had had that property surveyed and 9 that it belonged to you? 10 That's right. 11 What do you mean "That's right"? 12 Didn't --13 That question, Mr. Retz, is do you know whether 14 15 or not George Spahn told the members of the Manson family that that property had been surveyed and that the house was on your 16 17 property? That's right. 18 A 19 You mean he did tell them that? 20 A Yes. 21, 0 You hear him tell them that? 22 Right. 23 When was that, Mr. Retz? 24 He told that to Squeeky when she was present. I 25 said, "Now, George, here is your old surveying from '56 or 26 #O. " 27 Now, I called Jim, his son. His son came, and he looked at the plans, and he said, "pad, that's right." 28

out in an hour or so."

1	And they went out.
2	Q Did they get out?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Was that before or after the August raid on the
5	property?
6	à After,
7	Q Were you
8	No, that was before. Before oh, yeah. Way
<b>.</b> 9	before.
10	Q All right.
11 .	Well, did they go back to the house, Mr. Retz,
12	after that?
13	A Didn't
14	Q The one that they voluntarily left.
15	A They left, and they came back again, back and
16	when they saw me they moved out, and they came back again,
17	back and all the time.
18	Q Inasmuch as they apparently left when you asked
19	them to, prior to the raid, and inasmuch as they apparently
<b>20</b> .	were just sort of coming back and forth, did you feel it was
21	necessary to have a man with a gun out there?
22	A That's right.
23	Q What was it, did you think the man was going to
24	use the gun on them, Mr. Retz?
25	A Anyone who comes in, and probably now
26	Q No, my
27	A Many people, they was looking around and all. I
28	have to have a gun.

8

10 -

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19,

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27.

28

Q Mr. Retz, --

Can we have my question read back? If he doesn't understand it, fine; if he can't answer it, fine.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Whereupon the question was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Did you think the man was going to use the gun on them, Mr. Retz?")

THE WITNESS: On them; on him.

- Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: Oh, sorry. On the members of the Manson family that were using this house off and on?
  - A If they attacked him and if they come in, yes.
- Q If they come in? Were you going to tell Mr. Shea to shoot these people if they stepped on your property?
- A I didn't tell him to shoot, but it could be that somebody tried to knock him off or shoot him, he have to protect himself.
- After this time that you tried to have Mr. Manson arrested before the August raid, was there another time then when the Manson people moved back into the house and you were successful in getting them to leave?
  - A Give me that again.
  - Q I'll withdraw that.

When did the family use the house again after they first moved out?

A They used it every day in the evening when I was gone, was somebody -- I couldn't tell you when. They came in and went out and came in again and out. That's it. I was not

ı	Charlie, ar	nd that was the last time.
2	Q	Excuse me. They arrested him and turned him loose?
3	Ā	That's right.
4	Q	What incident are you talking about now when you
<b>5</b> .	had him ar	rested?
6	Ā	That is correct.
7	Q	What did you have him arrested for?
8	, A	For trespassing and broking in that house, because
9	I put a lo	ck on that house.
10	, Č	Did you ever sign a complaint?
li i	A .	You.
12	Q	If I told you that no charges were ever filed,
13	would that	surprise you?
14	A	It couldn't be because I signed when the sheriff
15	arrested h	im with that girl.
16	Q	What did you sign?
17	A	They gave me a paper and I signed it.
18	Ω	Did you ever go to court?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Did you ever testify against Charlie Manson?
<b>21</b>	A	No, never.
22	Ċ.	Or the girl in connection with that incident?
23	A	Never. Never was called.
24	. 0	Did you make any inquiries to find out why not?
25	A	No, I didn't.
26	Q	You just assume thereafter that it was a waste
27	of time fo	r you to call the Sheriff's Department, is that
<b>28</b>	so?	

1	A Correct.
2	Q And then you ultimately felt it was necessary to
3	get a man with a gun out there?
4:	A That is correct.
5	Q As a matter of fact, Mr. Retz, the Manson family
Ġ	moved away just a few days after you, - at most a few days
7	after you had this telephone call from Mr. Shea, is that right?
8	A I couldn't say a few days. I didn't say and I won't
9	say exactly because
- 10	Q Did they move eventually?
11	A They moved, yes.
12	Q When was that?
13	A I don't know.
14.	Q It was the early part of September at the very
15.	latest, wasn't it, Mr. Retz?
16	A Could be.
<b>17</b>	Q You were up there every day. Can't you tell us?
18	A How wan I tell you the date?
19:	Q Wouldn't you be interested, Mr. Retz, in perhaps
20	remembering something as important as that?
21	A That is important
22.	MR. KATZ: Excuse me. I object to the form of the
23	question. It is argumentative.
24	THE COURT: Don't argue with the counsel. Answer. If
25	you can't answer it, say so.
26	Strike the answer. Read the question.
27	MR. WEEDMAN: I will withdraw the question.
<b>28</b> :	THE COURT: All right. Restate it.

1	Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: It was pretty important to you,
2	wasn't it, Mr. Rets, that the family moved away?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Isn't it a fact you even told Squeeky that the
5	family had to go, is that right?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And Squeeky wasn't very happy about that, was she?
8	A No.
9	Q You said she was very angry?
10	A Yes.
11	
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21	
23	
24	
25	-  -
26	
27	
28	];
-	<b>1</b> .

8A

•	
1	Q What did Squeeky do around the place, if you know?
2	A Take care of George:
3	Q What did she do for George?
4	A Lead him in and out and feed him, probably.
5	Q She really sort of generally took care of Mr. Spahn
6	A Yes, that's right.
7	Q Mr. Spahn was blind at the time, wasn't he?
8	A That's right.
9	Q What kind of a person did you regard Squeeky to be?
10	A I thought she was a nice girl.
, 11	Q Did you always think she was a nice girl?
1 <b>2</b>	A Yes, I thought.
13	Q Did you think that she was somehow responsible for
14	the trouble that you were having with the Manson family in
15	using this house across the border?
16 ·	A No, I don't think so.
17	Q In any event, you finally, of course, told you
18	told Squeeky that the family would have to have another place
19	to live; right?
<b>Ź</b> Ò	A That's right.
21	Ω And Squeeky said, "Well, give us another eight days
22	and we'll move out"? Isn't that so?
23	A That's right.
24	Q And, as a matter of fact, they did move out, didn't
,25	they, Mr. Retz?
26	A They moved out, yes.
27	Q In just about that length of time after that
<b>28</b>	conversation that you had with Squeeky?

是"你不是你的你。」上的"你是是

8**A**.

1	Q That is my question: Was there a lawyer present
2	during any of these negotiations?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who was that lawyer? Do you know?
5	A Mr. Roff.
6	Q Do you know what his first name is?
7	A Ronald Roff.
8	Q Was your lawyer present?
9	A I don't have a lawyer for myself.
· CI	Q Did Mr. Roff ever do any legal work for you?
11	A If I have to hire one, but I didn't. I didn't
12	need one, not yet.
13	Q My question is, did Mr. Roff do any legal work for
14	you? Had he done any legal work for you in the past?
<b>3</b> 5	A legal work?
16	Q Legal work.
17	A No.
18	Q Had you known this particular attorney before
19	these negotiations and before the contracts were signed?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. KATZ: Objection. Immaterial. Move to strike the
22	answer for the purpose of interposing an objection. The
23	question calls for an immaterial matter.
21	MR. WEEDMAN: It is not immaterial.
25	THE COURT: I will sustain your objection.
25	MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, may I be heard?
27	MR. KATZ: I will withdraw it. It is quicker.
28	THE COURT: All right. It is withdrawn, and the answer

j	titti an en entre mare monte anne
1	MR. KATZ: Yes, there is a full answer.
2	THE COURT: The answer may stay.
3	Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: When had you become acquainted
4	with this attorney, Mr. Roff, the first time?
5,	When i bought Mary Kelly's property.
6	Q Did the attorney act for one of the parties in
7	connection with that sale?
.8	He was the lawyer for Mrs. Kelly and for George
9	Spahn.
10	Q I see. Was it the lawyer then, that perhaps read
n,	the contract to Mr. Spahn before he signed 1t?
12	A That is correct.
13	0 Was there anyone else present, other than the
14	lawyer, yourself and Mr. Spahn?
15,	A Yes.
16	Q Who was that?
17	A I have to see the names. I got a contract here.
18	Q Fine.
19	À Yes. Was
20	THE COURT: Now, wait. Let's have the question. Just
21	hold it to yourself, please,
<b>22</b>	Read the question.
23	(The record was read by the reporter
<b>24</b> ,	as follows:
25	"0 Who was that?")
26	THE COURT: That is your present question now?
27	MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, your Honor.
28	THE COURT: Who was that?
	i

	1	
8b-2	1	Q pid you wait around for a period of time for him?
	2	A Yes, I did.
	3.	Q Did he ever appear?
	4	h No.
	5	Q Then finally in the afternoon did you make a
	6	telephone call to Mr. Shea to Mr. Spahn?
	7	A Yes, I did.
	8	Q And about what time of the afternoon was that,
	9	Mr. Retz?
	<b>j</b> 0	1, 2 o'clock, probably.
	11	o pid you call to find out where Shorty was?
	12	A Yes.
	13	9 What did Mr. Spahn tell you?
<u>~</u> :	14	A "He left."
	15	Q And I take it that you never saw Mr. Shea again?
,	16	A Never.
	17	THE COURT: Pardon me, Mr. Weedman.
	18	We will take a short recess, ladies and gentlemen.
	19	Don't discuss the case at all. We will proceed in just a few
	20	minutes.
	21,	MR. KATZ: Excuse me. May we ascertain whether or not
	22	Mr. Weedman has concluded cross at this point?
	23	MR. WEEDMAN: I have,
	24'	MR. RATZ: I have nothing further. May Mr. Retz be
	25	excused. He will be available if counsel needs him.
•	26	MR. WEEDMAN: I would appreciate that Mr. Retz be
Â	27	instructed to remain, your Honor.
	28	THE COURT: Are you through now?

8b-3 ·1 · MR. WEEDMAN: Yes. Thank you. Any redirect? THE COURT: MR. KATZ: No redirect. THE COURT: All right. You are excused. Thank you. MR. KATZ: Would your Honor instruct Mr. Rets to be on 5 6 call? THE COURT: He may be on call. 8 Excuse me, folks. Mr. Witness, we may have to call you back for the 9 defendant. He may want to call you back. I don't know. Be 10 11 anticipating it. If we have to call you back, the clerk or 12 counsel will get you. 13 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I am now -- I take off tomorrow 14 and I going to be back on the 17th. I have to go to Europe. 15 THE COURT: Where do you come from? Where on the 17th 16 would you come from? 17 THE WITHESS: From Germany. I fly tomorrow to Germany 18 and come back on the 17th 19: MR. WEEDMAN: That will be agreeable. 20 THE COURT: That is agreeable? 21 MR. WREDMAN: Yes, your Honor. 22. THE COURT: Thank you. 23 MR. WEEDMAN: We appreciate it. 24 (Recess.) 25 26 27 28

.2

·<del>'</del> 3

1

\_

6 7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24 25

26 .

27 28 THE COURT: Now, gantlemen, we will proceed.

People against Grogan.

Defendant is here, both counsel are here.

And we will bring in the jury, Sheriff, please.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Now, we have all the regular jurors plus the three alternates.

So the People may proceed.

MR. KATS: Thank you.

Wish to recall Sqt. Gleason, -- or Deputy Gleason.
THE COURT: All right.

WILLIAM C. GLEASON,

recalled by the People, testified as follows:

THE COURT: You be seated and state your name, please.
You were sworn.

THE WITNESS: William C. Gleason.

THE COURT: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION (Reopened)

BY MR. KATE:

Q Deputy, you recall the last time you were on the stand I was asking you to look at People's 60 for identification, a certified copy of some arrests pertaining to one Charles Manson to determine the approximate time he was

1

3

5

7

9

10

11 12

13

14<sup>-</sup> 15

16

17

ļ8

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 '

released following the August 16th arrest on the 19th or 20th, 1969.

And in that connection I had asked you to bring the original booking jacket in order to ascertain the approximate time. Did you do that?

A Yes.

2 And do you have that booking jacket with you at this time?

A Yes, I do.

O Can you tell us now from looking at the original booking jacket and the stamp thereon, the approximate time and date that Mr. Manson was released in connection with the August 16, 1969 agreet?

It was August 20th at 12:23 a.m. 1969.

Q And that's partially discernible on People's 60, is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. KATE: Your Honor, I have a certification of records once again pertaining to Charles Manson, and particularly relating to the August 16th, 1969 arrest of Mr. Manson.

I ask that that be marked People's 61 for identification.

THE COURT: Yes. May be so marked.

MR. KATE: I also have a certified copy of a driver's license depicting Bruce Davis with the name Jack Paul McMillian, M-c M-i-l-l-i-a-n. With the address 1200 Santa Susana Avenue, Spahn's Ranch, Chatsworth, California.

I ask that that be marked People's 62.

1	THE COURT: May be so marked for identification.
2	(Short Pause.)
3	MR. KATZ: May I approach the witness, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	Q BY MR. KATZ: Incidentally, do you know Bruce
6	Davis?
7	λ Yes.
8.	Q And do you know the gentleman by any other name?
ġ	à Yes.
10	Q What name?
11	A Jack Paul McMillian.
12	Q Showing you People's 32-R for identification, do
13	you recognize that individual as having seen him before?
14	A Yes,
15	Q Who is that?
16	A Bruce Davis.
17	Q Also known as Jack Paul McMillian, is that correct?
18.	à Yes.
19	Now, showing you People's 62 for identification,
20	the certified copy of a driver's license with a picture of a
21	male individual on it, do you recognize that person?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Who is that?
24	A Bruce Davis.
25	Q The same person then that is depicted in 32-R
26	for identication, is that correct?
27	A Yes.
28	Q And with the name Jack Paul McMillian, is that

٠.	1.	correct?	
*	2	λ.	Yes.
•	3	Q	Showing you People's 61 for identification do
	4.	these cert	ified records indicate who bailed Mr. Manson out
	5	on the 19t	h and 20th 1969?
	6	Ä	Yes, they do.
	<b>7</b> . * `	Q	Who is that?
	8	À	Jack McMillian.
	9	, o	Is there an address given?
	10	. <b>A</b>	Yes. 1200 Santa Susana Pass, Chatsworth.
	'n,	Q	That's the same address which appears on People's
,-	12	62, this c	ertified copy of the driver's license of Bruce
	13	Davis or J	ack Paul McMillian?
	14	À	Yes.
	15	O	Now, I would like to show you quickly, People's
	16	50 series	of photographs, and direct your attention specifi-
,	17	cally to 5	0-El which depicts a gentleman in the right margin
	18	of the pho	tograph.
	19		Who is that?
,	20		Daniel De Carlo.
10	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
,	22	•	
, ,	23		•
•	24		
• •	25	ι	
	26		
	27	; ;	
	28:	•	
	l	3 <sub>10</sub>	

1	Q And when was this photograph taken?
2	A August 16, 1969.
<b>3</b> ,	Q Where?
4	A At the Spahn Movie Ranch.
5	Q Was this at the time or during the actual raid?
6	A Yes.
İ	Q Now, are you depicted somewhere in this photograph,
8	sir?
9	A Yes, I am.
10	Q Are you in uniform or in plain clothes?
Ĭ1	A In uniform.
12	Q Will you please encircle yourself and place your
13	initials above so we know you made that designation on 50-E1
14	for identification?
15	THE COURT: Initial it.
16	(Witness complies.)
17	MR. KATZ: Yes, your Honor. May the record reflect the
18	witness has complied with my request by placing a circle
19	around a figure, and then above the circle are the initials,
<b>2</b> 0.	"WG" standing for William Gleason.
21	THE COURT: The identification number?
<b>2</b> 2	MR. KATS: 50-El for identification.
<b>23</b> .	Q I would like to show you two photographs that were
24	shown to Mr. Retz, and particularly 50-B for identification,
25	and I have reference to the individual whose face is encircled.
26	Who is that?
27	A That is the defendant, Mr. Grogan.
28	Q That is how he looked at the time of his arrest

1	on August 16, 1969?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Who is that next to him with the open shirt?
4	A A person by the name of Larry Jones.
5	Q Is that man known as Little Larry Jones?
6	A Yes.
7.	Q And the person next to him?
8	A That is Vern Plumlee.
9	Q Plumles is P-l-u-m-l-e-e?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And the person next to Vern Plumles?
12	A Is John Swartz S-w-a-r-t-z.
13	Q And all these people were taken into custody and
14	thereafter released, is that right, without charges?
15	A Yes
16	Q Once again; showing you 50-C for identification,
17	who is the person who is encircled in this photograph?
18	A That is the defendant, Mr. Grogan .
19	Q And next to Mr. Grogan?
20 .	A Is Larry Jones.
21	Q And next to Larry Jones?
22	A Vern Plumiee.
23	Q And once again this photograph was taken at the
24	Spahn Ranch during the raid of August 16, 1969?
25	A Yes.
26	THE COURT: Did you identify that?
27	MR. KATZ: Yes. That is 50-C and 50-B for identification
28	Q Is that right, Officer?

	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	A Yes.	
2	Q Now, I want you to quickly look at 50-A for Iden-	
3	tification, and ask you whether or not this truly and accurately	
4 .	depicts the condition of the Spahn Ranch in respect to the	
5	arrests of the various persons on August 16, 1969?	
6	A Yes, it does.	
7	Q And showing you 50-D for identification, does this	
8	once again truly and accurately depict the various persons	
9	that were arrested on August 16, 1969?	i
10	A Yes.	ŀ
. 11.	Q Is the defendant in that picture?	
12	A Yes, he is.	
13	Q Would you encircle his face and place your	
14	initials above that.	
15	(Witness complies.)	1
16	Q And where was this photograph taken at the Spahn	
17	Ranch?	
18	A It is on the grounds in front of the boardwalk and	
19	in front of the building marked the "Saloon" at the Spahn Ranch	•
20	Q Incidentally, is Equeeky depicted in this photograph	Į,
.21	A Yes, she is.	
22	Will you please encircle her face and place	
23	rather than placing your initials there, would you just place	,
24	the initials "L" oh, that's all right. Place your initials	
25	above the circle, and then on the clothing of Squeeky, will	
26	you please put her initials, "LF", standing for Lynn Fromme.	
27	(Witness complies,)	
28	MR. KATZ: May the record reflect the witness has complied	Ė

10A

10a-1 Is Squeeky depicted in that photograph? Q Yés. 3 How about Danny De Carlo? 0 Yes. 5 Q And his back is to us as you look at the picture? Yes, it is. 7 Q And who is the gentleman next to Danny De Carlo? 8 Mr. Manson. 9 All right. And is there a person by the name of 10 Gypsy, or known to you as Gypsy, that is depicted in this 11 photograph? 12 Yes. 13 Would you please encircle her face and write the 14 initials on a white surface here indicating "K.S.", Kathleen 15 Share. 16 À (Witness complies.) 17 Now, would you please place your initials above 18 the encircled head. 19 (Witness complies.) 20 MR. KATS: Hay the record reflect that the witness has 21 complied with 50-F for identification. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor. 24 And going on to 50-G for identification, who is 25 that person? 26 It is the defendant, Mr. Grogan. 27 That is a closeup of him? 28 Yes.

10a-3 help you God? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE CLERK: Will you take the stand and be seated. THE COURT: Be seated and then tell us your name, please. THE WITNESS: My name is John Leo Flynn, and they call me Juan Flynn. 7 MR. KATE: Juan is spelled J-u-a-n? THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: When you talk to him and answer the 10 questions, talk right in here like a telephone. 11 THE WITNESS: J-u-a-n, Juan. 12 THE CLERK: Your last name is F-1-y-n-n? 13 THE WITNESS: My last name is F-1-y-n-n. 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 INDEX BY MR. KATZ: 17 You don't mind if I call you Juan, do you? 18 19 Juan do you know George Spahn? 20 Yes. 21 Do you recall when you first met George Spahn? .22 Yes. When was that? 23 24 Well, it was in early '68, I believe; somewhere 25 around there. 26 Are you able to pinpoint this particular time 27. period because of some event that had immediately preceded that?

10B

1	Spahn.
2	Q Was that also at Spahn Ranch?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, at the time that you met George Spahn and Ruby
5	Pearl, had you known a Mr. Manson at that time?
6	A No.
7	Q Now, sometime later did you become aware of the
8	fact that Charles Manson was living on the Spahn Ranch property
io	Q When was that in relation to your first coming to
и	Spahn Ranch?
12	A Maybe more than a month more than a month, or
13	a month or two.
14	Q All right. And did you know a person by the name
<u>1</u> 5	of Shorty or Donald Shea?
16.	A Yes.
17	Q Pid he work out at the ranch on occasions?
18	A Yes, occasionally he worked there.
19 <sup>.</sup>	Q How about John Swartz?
2Ò	A Yés,
21	Q Was he employed by Mr. Spahn?
<b>22</b>	A Yes.
<b>23</b>	Q In what capacity?
24	A Well, he did wrangling work, too, and he did
25	mechanical work, stuff like that.
26	Q Was he a cowboy?
27	A Yes.
28	Q How about Randy Starr? Was he living out there at
	ı

	`	
. 1	the ranch?	
2 .	A Yes.	
<b>"3</b>	Q Was he a	cowboy?
<b>4</b>	A Yes.	
5	Q Can you t	cell us whether or not he was employed by
6	Mr. Spahn?	
7	A Randy Sta	rr?
<b>ķ</b>	Q Yes.	
9	A Yes, he v	ras employed by George Spahn.
10.	Q Is he pro	esently dead?
11	A Yes, he	is deceased.
12	Q That is	irom natural causes?
<b>13</b>	A Natural	causes, yes,
14	Q Now, were	you living at Spahn Ranch in August of
15	19697	
16	A In Augus	of 1969? I believe I was, yes.
17	Q Were you	aware of the fact that there was a raid
18	on August 16, if I to	old you that was the date of the raid?
"19 <sub>"</sub>	A Yes.	
20	Q Were you	arrested during that raid?
21	A Yes.	
22		spend some time in jail before being
23	released?	
24	A Yes.	
25	- 1	ately how much time did you spend in jail
26	micri Aon Aeta taren	red?
27	A Four day	
28	Q Did you	return some place?
	Pro i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	

,	
ì	A Wes. I returned to Spahn Ranch.
2	Q I would like to show you 32-G for identification
.3	and show you this funny looking guy here. Do you recognize him?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who is that?"
6	A That is me.
7	Q Do you know when that was taken, that photograph?
8	A It was taken on the raid.
9	Q In other words, the date of the raid, is that right?
10	A Yes.
n	MR. KATZ: That is 32-G for identification.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Q BY MR. KATZ: Did you may that after you got out of
14	jail you returned to Spahn Ranch?
15	λ Yes.
<b>16</b>	Q Now, at the time of the raid, just before the
17	raid, August 16, 1969, was the Manson family living on the Spahr
18 ,	Ranch property?
19	A Before the raid?
<b>20</b>	Ω Yes.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know the defendant in this case?
23	A The defendant, Mr. Grogan?
24	Q Yes.
.25	A Yes.
26	Q Did you know him by a nickname?
27	A Yes.
28	0 What nickname?

1	A	Clem.
2	Q	You used to call him Clem?
3	. v	Yes, Clem. They called him Clem.
_	,	
4	<b>.</b>	And was he living on the ranch?
5 (	A	Yes.
6	Q.	We are talking about August of '69. That is the
7	month in wh	ich the raid occurred.
8	Ä	I'm pretty sure he did, yes.
9	Q	Now, after the raid, that is, August 16, 1969, did
10-	you see Sho	rty at the ranch?
11	A	After the raid?
12		Yes, I saw him.
13	Q	Do you know whether he had a car out there at the
14	ranch?	
15	<b>.</b> . <b>A</b>	Yes.
16	Q	Can you tell us whether or not, to your knowledge,
17	he was livi	ng out of that car at that time?
<b>1</b> 8	A	Shorty was living on the car in the car.
19	Q	That was the car that was parked at Spahn Ranch?
20	<b>"</b>	Yes.
21	g Q	Now, I want to direct your attention toward the
22	latter part	of August, that is, the last part of August, 1969,
23	Juan, and a	sk you whether or not you became aware of the fact
24	,	s Manson and some of the family had left Spahn
25 ,	Ranch.	
26	A	Yés.
27 <sup>,</sup>	Q	Were you aware of that fact?
28 <sup>.</sup>		
	À	Yes. That they left the ranch?

	71 Yann
1	Q Yes.
2	A Yes.
3	Q This is the last part of August, 1969.
4	A Yes.
5	Q. All right, Now, did all the family go or just
6	Mr. Manson and some people the first time?
7	A Well, first Tex went, and there was some other
. 8	people, and then Manson, and then there were some left at the
9	ranch.
.10	Q All right. So when you way "Tex," by "Tex" who
11	do you mean?
12	A Tex Watson.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	
19	
· <b>20</b> ,	
21	
.22	
23	
24.	
25	
26	
27	
28	·
•	· ·

10C fls

1	Q	All right. Tex Watson.
. 2	,	Now, so Tex left first and then Charles Manson
3	left with a	group of people, is that right?
4.	*	Yes.
5	Q	All right.
6	• • •	And when Charles Manson left with a group of
7	people were	there still members of the family at the ranch?
8	<b>X</b>	Yes
9	Q	All right.
10		And squetime after Manson left Spahn Ranch did you
11	4, · *	arker-Myers Ranch area with a member of the family?
12	Å.	Xes .
13	•	And, oh, just roughly approximated, how soon after
14	Manson left	did you go up to the desert?
15	<b>.</b>	Oh, two, three, four days, something like that.
16	. Q	All right.
17.		This is, I take it, a rough approximation, is that
18	right?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19		Yes.
20	Q ···	All right.
21		You had no calendar with you at that time, is that
22	correct?	
23		No. No.
24	Q	Who did you go up to Barker-Myers Ranch with?
25		With Sadie Glutz. Miss Susan Atkins.
26	. Q	All right.
27		Susan Atkins was known as Sadie Glutz?
28		Yes.
I		

, 1	Q	All right.
2		And how did you go to the desert?
3	A	We went in a dune buggy.
4	Q	And who drove the duna buggy?
5	A was d	Well, Susan Atkins drove it some. And I drove it
6	some.	
7	0	All right.
` 8	,	And how did you get to the desert, did you go by
9	way of Ball	arat and Goler Wash, or did you go around through
10	Mango Pass?	
11	À	We went through Ballarat.
12.	Q	Is there a place known as Goler Wash?
13	<b>.</b>	Yes,
14	Ω	Had you been through 1t?
15	, <b>,</b>	Yes.
16	Q	And did you go up to Myers Ranch through the wash?
17	, <b>X</b>	Through the wash, yes.
18	, <b>Q</b>	How did you do that? Did you walk or ride the
19	dune buggy,	or do a little of both?
20	· ` <b>\</b>	Ride the dune buggy.
21	· Q ·	You did what?
22	A	Ride the dune buggy.
23	•	With Susan Atkins?
24 25.	Ø	Yes.
25. 26	· •	We rode the dune buggy up.
20 27	Q	All right.
28	,	When you first got to the Barker Ranch area did you
28	go to Barke	r Ranch, or did you go to Myers Ranch?

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A Barker Ranchay

2 Is Barker Ranch close to Myers Ranch?

A Yes

o All xight.

Did you see Paul Watkins at the time you arrived in the desert with Sadie Glutz at that time?

A No.

Q All right

So we know that we are talking about the same individual let me show you a photograph, and you tell me whether or not you recognize that individual as having seen him before.

I wish to show you 32-0 for identification. I am going to ask you whether or not you recognize that male individual.

- A Yes.
- Q Who is that?
- A That is Paul Watkins. Paul Watkins.
- Q All right.

So when you arrived at Barker Ranch with Sadie Glutz or Susan Atkins, this gentleman in 32-0, Paul Watkins, was not there, is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Who was there at that time at Barker Ranch?
- The Barker Ranch, let's see. There was Paul Crockett. Brooks Poston. And then Charlie came out of the house.
  - 0 Charlie who?

28

27

And tell us who was present at this dinner at

25

26

Myers Ranch.

A It was Charles Manson, Bruce Davis, Tex Watson.

There was Danny De Carlo and there was me. I.

Q All right.

And were there any girls present who were not seated at the dining room table?

- A Yes.
- Now, who were the tables that were present, if you recall?
- A Well, there was Barbara Hoyt. There was Sherry.
  And there was ---
  - Q. Sherry Cooper?
  - A Sherry Cooper,

And there was Ruth Ann Morehouse, something like that,

And there was some other girls.

- Q Was Sadie Glutz there?
- A Yes, I believe she was there, yes.
- Q And do you know a girl by the name of Kitty Lutesinger?
  - A Yes.

She was there, too. She was there, too.

MR. KATE: Your Honor, perhaps this would be a convenient time for the break.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

Let's recess till 2 o'clock, and ladies and gentlemen we will complete the examination. Do not discuss the case or come to any opinion or conclusion. We will finish

up at 2 o'clock. Thank you. Yes, sir. THE WITNESS: You are excused till then. Thank you vary THE COURT: much. THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. (At 11:45 a.m. a recess was taken to 2 p.m. of the same day.) 26. 

•

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, AUGUST. 9, 1971; 2:00 P.M.

2

THE COURT: Now, gentlemen, let's go right ahead. People against Grogan.

Defendant is here. Both counsel are here.

You can bring in the jury, Sheriff, please.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I believe we had the People's witness on the stand.

THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

12

25

26

27

28

JUAN PLYNN,

resumed the stand.

THE COURT: Now, you have been sworn. Will you please state your name again.

THE WITNESS: Right here?

THE COURT: Yes. Come up here. Sit up here and tell us your name again.

THE WITNESS: My name is John Leo Flynn.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And remember when you talk, will you pull that right over and talk right in the microphone. That's it. Just like a telephone.

> (The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: All of our jurors are here and the three alternates are here in the courtroom.

The People may proceed.

MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

MY MR. KATZ:

Q Juan, -- I don't think this microphone is operating.

MR. KATE: Yes. I can see the white button.

Short pause.)

MR. KATZ: Thank you.

THE COURT; All right. Thank you.

BY MR. KATE: Juan, just before we adjourned this morning we were talking about a dinner that you had at Myers Ranch, and I would like to go back-in order to get the context of when this dinner occurred.

As I understand it from your previous testimony in the latter part of August, 1969. Juan, Mr. Manson went to the desert with some of the members of the Manson family, is that correct?

A Yes,

Now, did other members of the family remain at Spahn Ranch in the latter part of August?

À Yes.

Q All right.

Now, in relation to Mr. Manson going to the desert, when had you last seen Shorty at Spahn Ranch, as best you recall?

A I guess --

,	1	•	THE	COURT		ralk ri	ght ir	the	rev	hat's i	.t,		
	2	,	THE.	WITNE	SS:	Two, t	hree,	four	days.	Somet	hing	like	that.
	. 3		Q	B¥ i	MR.	KAŢZ:	Once	agai	n a fo	igh est	inate	13	
•	4		THE	COURT		ralk up	Spe	ak u	Ď.				
	5	•	THE	WITNE	ននៈ	Two,	three,	fou	r days,	, somet	hịng	like	•
,	6	that.		.*	,		٠,	÷		•			
	7.		Ò	BY	MR.	KATZ:	That	is a	rough	estima	te?		,
	8	,	A	Yes				•					,
12 fls	9		•					•					
	10 ,		r	•									
	11	:		· •									
	12		•	9		,	•						
	13					•	,•						
•	14		•									. •	
	15	•		•	•,	٠						•	74
	16	•					.~	• ,					
	17	,	•	•		41							,
•	18	,	•		٠		,					ı	,
•	19		•					•					
	20		• •										
	21												
	<b>22</b> .			<i>:</i>		*						•	
	23		•			•		,	•			•	
	24	<b>.</b> 1										•	
	25	•		•					•				
•	26	. , , ,			•								ļ
· ,	<b>27</b> ° .	•		•									
•	28					•	سور بد	en y <del>y year</del>	<del>an</del> a apara 19				فالمحدد جدده ليها لغري
	,							4	-				

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8,	
	ş	
	10	
	11	
٠	12	
	13	
	14	
ş	15.	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

	Now, how many days elapsed from the time
that Manson	went to the desert with some of the family until
you went to	the desert with Susan Atkins?
A	Two or three, four, five days, something like th

A Two or three, four, five days, something like that; around a week, something like that.

- Q Anywhere from two days to a week; is that a rough estimate?
  - A Yes.
- Now, as I understand it, when you went to the desert two days to a week after Manson left with some of the members of the family, you went to Barker Ranch, is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- When you were up there, did you see Danny De Carlo at that time?
  - A No.
- Q And how many days did you stay in the Barker Ranch area and the Goler Wash area before going to Myers Ranch to have dinner with the people you told us about?
  - A Roughly about a week.
  - Q Again, you had no calendar, is that correct?
  - A Right.
- Q And that is the best estimate, you were up in the desert then for approximately a week after which you had the dinner at Myers Ranch, is that right?
  - A Yes.
- Q Now, tell us once again who was at this dinner at Myers Ranch.
  - A There was Manson, Bruce Dayls, Watson, Danny

ì	DeCarlo, and I.
2	Q Tell us once again which women were there, if any.
3	A There was Barbara Hoyt, Sadie, Ruth Ann Morehouse,
4	there were Sherry, and there was a red-headed girl.
<b>5</b> ′	Q Kitty Lutesinger?
6	A Kitty Lutesinger, that's right,
7 '	Q Now, you are positive now that Danny De Carlo was
8	at Myers Ranch at that time, is that correct?
9`.	A Yes, he was at that time at the ranch.
10	Q Now, had you seen Danny De Carlo before that dinner
<b>1</b> ,1	at Myers Ranch in the desert, or was that the first time you
12	had seen him since you were up in the desert?
13	A I think I saw him one or two days before the
14	dinner.
15	2 So one or two days before the dinner and after
16	arriving at Barker Ranch area, you did see Danny De Carlo in
17:	the desert?
18	A Yes. At Myers Ranch. You see, I didn't see him
19	when I got to Barker Ranch.
20	Q But, as I believe you told us, Myers Ranch and
21	Barker Ranch are in close proximity to one another, is that
22	correct?
23	A Right. They are very close.
24	Q Now, during this dinner at Hyers Ranch, did you
25	see someone with a gun?
<b>.26</b> .	A Yes,
27	Q Who did you see with a gun first?
.28	A Well, when it first got my attention it was with

·	1	Charles Manson.
	2	Q Did Charles Manson produce a gun?
	3	A Yes.
	.4	Q Can you describe the gun for us?
	5	A Yes. It is a Colt .45. It is an Italian make.
	6	Q Describe it more to us. Is it a Western gun or
	7	is it a Standard .45 Issue?
•	8	A Army issue?
	9	Q Yes, army issue.
	10	A No. It is a Western gun.
•	11	Q What did Manson do with the gun?
	12.	A Well, he pulled the gun out, you see, and he
,	13	started telling me
	14	Q I don't want you to tell me what he said at all.
	15	Just what did he do with the gun?
	16	He took it out and he pointed it at me.
	17	Q Did he hold the gun for some time?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Where were you looking at that time?
	20	A Well, I was looking mainly at the gun.
	21	Q Any reason why?
	22	. A Well, it is just that you look at the gun when
	23	somebody points it at you.
	24	Q Did something happen to that gun or with that gun
	25	after Charlie had it?
	26.	A Yes. He gave the gun to Bruce Davis.
13	$\mathtt{fIs}^{27}$	
	28	

13-3	1	to your testimony, members of the family still remained, is
	2	that correct?
	<b>3</b> .	A Yes.
	4	Q All right.
	5	At the Myers Ranch dinner can you tell us whether
	6	or not the defendant, Mr. Grogan, was present?
	7	A No. Mr. Grogan wasn't present.
	8	Q All right.
	9	Now, going back to that evening of the dinner you
	10	indicated that you stayed at Myers Ranch with the family who
	11	was there at that time, is that correct?
*	12	A Yes.
	13	Q All right.
	14	Now, the next day did you go to some other location
	15	to stay in the desert?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Where?
	18	A I went to Barker Ranch.
	19	Q And who was living at Barker's Ranch at that time?
	20	A Paul Crockett and Brooks Poston.
	21	0 , All right.
,	22	Now, when you first arrived in the desert was Paul
	23	Watkins there?
	24	A No.
	25	When you came up strike that.
	26	When you returned to Barker's Ranch following the
, ·	27	Myers Ranch dinner was Paul Watkins there?
	28	A I don't remember seeing him there.

13-4

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	.6	,
	7	•
	-8	
:	9	
	10	
	n <sub>.</sub>	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	<b>21</b>	
	22	
	23	
	<b>24</b>	
	25	
	26	
	27	

Q ,	Now, directing your attention to approximately
September,	the last part of September of 1969, did you leave
Barker Rand	th and return to Los Angeles?

A Yes.

Q Between the time you first arrived at Barker's and Myers Ranch until you left in the latter part of September, 1969; did you see Clem, the defendant here, at the Barker-Myers Ranch area?

A Yes.

Q Was he in the company of some person?

A Yes.

Q Who?

A Mr. Manson.

During the time period in which you remained at the Barker Ranch area in Death Valley, how many occasions did you see the defendant, Mr. Grogan, or Clem?

A I saw him in various locations.

Q On various occasions?

A Yes.

Q That means more than one?

A Yes 🦸

Q Mr. Flynn, when did you first become aware of Mr. Grogan's presence at the Barker-Myers Ranch area after you went to the desert?

A Well, I had come down from Myers and I stayed at Barker, and then -- I saw him a couple of times, you know.

Q What I am asking is thisr Using the Myers Ranch dinner as a frame of reference for purposes of the date, how

1	many days or weeks elapsed before you became aware of
2	Mr. Grogan's presence in the desert?
3	A I guess about a week after the dinner. About a
4	week, maybe a little bit more.
5	Q Once again, that is a rough estimate, is that
6	correct?
7	A It is a rough estimate, yes.
8.	Q Now, I want to direct your attention once again
9	to the latter part of September, 1969, and ask you whether or
10	not you left Barker Ranch for the Los Angeles area with some
11	people.
12	A Yes.
13	Q Who was with you?
14	A When we left the desert, there was Paul Watkins,
15	Scotty, Bruce Davis, Steve Grogan and I.
16	Q Did you leave in one or two vehicles?
17	A One vehicle.
18	Q What kind of vehicle?
19	A A Volkswagen.
20	Q Was this a regular Volkswagen sedan or a coupe or
<b>21</b> ;	what?
22	A It was one of those little ones like that
23	(indicating).
24	Q The little bug?
25	A Yes, a little bug.
26	Q Where did you go?
27	A Well, I was going to Los Angeles, and then we got
28	to Red Mountain, and then at Red Mountain Paul Watkins went

1	· •	<b>A</b> -	There was Scotty, Bruce Davis, Steve Grogan and I.
2	•	Ω' '	Incidentally, do you know Scotty by any other
3	name?	,	
4	; ;	A I	No.
5		Q I	o you know his last name?
6		A I	io. State of the s
7	·	<b>Q</b> . 1	Now, can you tell us whether or not you began once
8	again	to live	at the Spahn's Ranch, or did you move to some
9.	other	location	on at that time?
10		A i	At that time I began living at Spahn's Ranch again.
11	,	Q 1	Did Clem remain there or did he leave, what?
12		A I	He stayed there. He remained at Spahn's Ranch.
13		Q	For how long?
14	;	A i	A couple of days, two or three days, four days;
15	Romew	nere in	there.
16	, •	Q A	Anywhere from two days to four days the defendant
17	here,	Mr. Gr	ogan, remained at Spahn's Ranch, is that correct?
18		A	Correct.
19	,	Ω	Did he leave to go some place?
20	. * .	<b>A</b>	Well, he went shopping.
21 ·	•	Q: 1	Well, what I am asking you is, after the expira-
22	tion	of thos	e, say, four days, did Mr. Grogan move on to some
23	other	place?	,
24		A :	He went to Death Valley.
25		Q	Back to the desert?
26	,	<b>A</b> .	Yes, on a white truck,
27	•	Q	Who did he go back with?
28		A	Everybody left.
	Γ.		

<u>}</u>	
1	Q More people left?
2	A Well, the only people that were there at that time
3 .	when I was there, and they went back to the desert, everybody
4	left. The only people that stayed there was Larry Craven and
5	me I.
6	Q Larry Craven was a cowboy?
7	λ Yes.
8	Q How about Johnny Swartz?
<b>9</b>	A Yes. He is a cowboy. He was there. He worked
10	there.
iı ,	Q He was at the Spahn Rench?
12	Yes 1 / Yes 1 / Yes 2
13	Q He didn't go to the desert, did he?
14	A No.
Ì5	Now, between the time that you returned to
16	Spahn's Ranch with Clem and Bruce Davis and until Clem left,
17	did you have a conversation with Clem in a trailer at Spahn
18	Ranch?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Can you tell us that conversation insofar as it
21	concerned Shorty?
22	A Well, I walked down to the trailer one night, and
23	the defendant there was sharpening machetes on the floor.
24 25	As you walk in the door, he was sitting right there, he was sharpening machetes. And he looked up at me and he says,
26	"If anyone asks you about Shorty, tell them he went to San
27	Francisco."
28	MR. KATZ: May I approach the witness your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

O BY MR. KATZ: Juan, I would like to show you 9-A and 9-B and ask you wehther or not these guns appear similar to the gun which Manson displayed at Myers Ranch.

A May I look at them?

15 fls

.11.

.20

23.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

give you a pen of some kind.

<b>Q</b>	Sure. Please do.
	If you will point it down, I would appreciate it.
**	Yes, This looks like the gun.
Q	All right.
*	One of these
Ŋ.	All right.
•	When you said "this" of course the gun you had in
your hand a	t that time was People's 9-A, is that correct?
Ä	Correct, It was one of these guns.
Q.	All right.
	Either it was 9-A or 9-B, is that right?
Ä	Correct.
Ω	Now, when you were in the desert did you see Bill
Vance?	
a	No.
Q	I would like to show you 31-F for identification
which shows	a portion of Spahn Ranch. And there is a green
trailer whi	ch is depicted between George Spahn's house and
the Rock Ci	ty Cafe.
	Do you recognize that trailer as having seen it
at Spahn Ra	nch before?
, <b>ÿ</b>	Yes.
Q	Can you tell us whether or not this was the trailer
you had ref	erence to when you said you had the conversation
with Clem a	bout Shorty?
•	Vas

Would you please encircle that trailer and I will

1.

·2·

3

5.

8

10

11

Here is one. λ

Yes, Probably that would be better. THE COURT:

MR. KATE: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. KATE: If you will encircle that trailer on 31-P and place your initials below that so we know you made that designation.

(Marking.)

MR. KATE: May the record reflect the witness has complied with my request.

> THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. KATZ: All right.

So in other words, this green trailer which is engircled on 31-F is the place where Clem was sharpening the machettes?

Yes.

THE COURT: And the initials, too?

Yes, he did. Right below. MR. KATZ:

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KATZ: Thank you.

Your Honor, I have a series of black and white photographs which show the interior, with the exception of two, which show the exterior of a building, and ask they be respectively marked 37-A through 37-F, and I will show them to counsel.

THE COURT: Yes.

Did you show it to the defendant? MR. KATZ: (Handing) Yes.

20 .

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

(Short pause.)

Q BY MR. KATZ: Juan, let me show you some photographs, and I will ask you whether or not you recognize the subject contained therein as having seen it before.

Let's start out with 37-A. Do you recognize this photograph as depicting something you have seen?

- A Yes.
- Q And what does that show us, Juan?
- A That is the table we had the dinner at.

THE COURT: Now, talk in the telephone so the jury can follow you.

THE WITNESS: That is the table that we had, the dinner table.

- Q BY MR. KATZ: Is this at Myors Ranch?
- A Yes, Myers Ranch,
- Q All right.

And would you kindly --

THE COURT: Did you identify that?

MR. KATZ: Yes. We are talking about 37-A for identification.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right.

- Q BY MR.KATZ: Would you just indicate above here
  Myers Ranch, and I will give you a pen for that purpose. Just
  write Myers Ranch.
  - A (Writing.)
- Q And would you place your initials below that designation so we know you made that marking.
  - (Writing.)

À

Š

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KATS; Thank you.

May the record reflect the witness has complied.
THE COURT: Yes.

- 9 BY MR. KATZ: So in other words the table which is depicted then in 37-A for identification is the place where you and Charles Manson and Bruce Davis and Tex Watson and Danny De Carlo were seated, is that correct?
- A Yes, correct. But the table was turned around a little bit, you see.
  - Q All right.

What you are saying is that this picture does not depict the exact position of the table?

- A Of the table.
- Q Relationship to the room at the time you had the dinner, is that correct?
- A No. The table was turned this way, like that (indicating).

The table is like that across. Before it was like this. It was long ways, going this way.

Q I see.

So in other words the table would be just pointing the other way, or perpendicular to it, is that right, as my pen meets the surface of the table on the photograph; is that correct?

- A Yeah. Uh-huh.
- Q So the long, or the length of the table would be going against the wall with the windows, is that right?
  - A Right. Right.

1
2
3
4
.5
, 6
- 7
<b>'8</b>
9
10
11:
12
13
14.
15
16
17
18
19
20
2]
22
23
24
25
26

<del></del>		3897
	Q	Now, let's go on to 37-B for identification. What
does	this	photograph show us, Juan?
	A	That is Myers Ranch. This is the other side of
this	wall	here.
	Q	All right.
		Well, we will put 37-A here, and we will go along

No, this way (indicating).

I see.

with 37-B.

You see, this is the -- it goes like that, you see.

THE COURT: Talk in the phone there so the voice can carry to the jury. They must hear.

THE WITNESS: The door was right in between the two frames of the pictures, you see.

BY MR. KATE: All right.

And the wall run this way, like that, and then there was the room in there. That was the room.

Q All right.

Now, showing you then 37-B for identification, this photograph shows another portion of the room in which the dining table was located at Myers Ranch, is that right?

Correct.

Now, going on to 37-C for identification, what does this photograph show?

That is Myers Ranch.

Is that the front or back of Myers Ranch?

This is the back of Myers Ranch. You go out through

2

6

7

9.

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

27

28

here	This	is	the	front	here.
11位于位於		40	44.14	~~~~	11070

- 0 You are pointing to the windows that are depicted in 37-B, and you are saying that is the front part, that wall would be the front wall of the house?
  - Right.
- And the portion, the exterior portion which is depicted in 37-C would be the opposite, that is the wall opposite?
  - Ä To this one.
  - The windows?
  - Yeah.
  - Is that correct? And that would be the rear?
  - Correct.
- So this is the back part of the house which is depicted in 37-C, is that correct?
  - Correct.
- Going on to 37-D for identification, what does this show us?
- A . That is a picture of Myers Ranch inside, across the room from in here, to the kitchen which is right there (pointing).
  - All right

So what you are saying is that this is a picture taken from a room next to the living room?

- Yes.
- Looking across the living room and into the --
- The kitchen.
- 0 -- the kitchen recesses which appear in the center

portion of 37-D, is that correct?

- A Correct.
- and the kitchen is also depicted in the right marginal portion of 37-A, is that correct?
  - A Correct,
- Q Going on to 37-E for identification, do you recognize this photograph as depicting something you have seen before?
  - A Yes. That is the front part of Myers Ranch.

Was she also known as Cathy?

	,
1	A Yes.
2	Q Can you tell us whether or not her grandmother
3	owned that ranch?
4	A I can tell you what she claimed.
5	Q Well, if you don't know, then I don't want you to
6	tell us.
7	MR. KATZ: If I may have a moment, I believe I have
8	concluded my examination.
ð.	THE COURT: Go right ahead.
10	MR. KATZ: Thank you.
11	I have no further questions.
<b>12</b> .	THE COURT: Cross examine.
13	MR. WEEDMAN: If I may have a moment, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Certainly. Take your time.
<b>15</b>	MR. WEEDMAN: If I may confer with my client for a moment,
16	your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Certainly.
18:	
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WEEDMAN;
21	Q Mr. Flynn, do you know approximately when Charles
22	Manson left the Spahn Ranch to go to the desert?
23	A It was after the raid.
24	Q And that raid was on August 16, 1969?
25	A Yes.
26 *	Q About how many days or weeks after the raid was
27	it, Mr. Flynn, that Charles Manson and members of the so-called
28	family left Spahn's Ranch?
(	

MR. KATZ: Excuse me. I will object as to the ambiguity of the question in that it calls for a compound answer. 2 THE COURT: Well, it probably does. Let's see if we 3 can rephrase it; I will withdraw the question. MR. WEEDMAN: 5 THE COURT: All right, it is withdrawn. Reframe it. BY MR. WEEDMAN: How long after August 16, 1969, 7 did Charles Manson and members of the family leave Spahn 8 Ranch? 9 Well, after the raid, I took Tex Watson to Olancha 10 and then I came back to Los Angeles, and then I quess about a 11 12 week after that, something like that. 13 Now, as far as you know, they had a notice, isn't 14 that so, to move out? 15 Well, it was a rumor among the people that, you 16 know, that there was a notice, but I never read a notice that 17 said that they had to move out, you see, .18 Q Surely. 19 Now, as far as you know, however, Mr. Flynn, be it 20 by rumor or otherwise, the family left because of this notice 21 to leave the place, isn't that so? 22 Well, I don't know. They just packed up and went, 23 you know. 24 Well, did you hear someone, either among the people 25 who left or anyone else at the ranch, say anything about a 26 notice to leave or notice to move? 27 All I can remember is that I understood that there 28 was a notice to leave the Spahn Ranch, you see; the members of

the family to leave Spahn's Ranch, you'see. ľ Now, I never read a notice, you know, like I said, or no one came up to me or that I noticed came up to anyone 3 and said, "You have to leave Spahn's Ranch." 4 But someone, at least one person, was talking Q 5 about some sort of a notice, though, is that so? 6 I can't tell you which person that I heard -- I 7 can't tell you which person -- but that I heard I can tell 8 9 YOU. And then following that I take it that Charles 10 Manson and members of the family left the ranch, is that 11 12 correct? Well; this was after the raid, you see. 13 . All right. 14 That there was a notice to leave the ranch. 15, 16 Did they leave then following that notice? 17 Well, I took Tex Watson to the desert, to Olancha, Α see, but nothing was mentioned about no order to leave with 18 19 him. And then after that, people left. 20 Was Charles Manson one of those who left? 21 Q Well, he left the ranch. 22 A 23 Q Was that for Myers Ranch? It was for the desert. 24 A And when you talk about the desert, do we mean 25 Q 26 Myers Ranch? 27 That is where he was staying at when I got out A 28 there.

1	Q When we talk about the desert, are we als	so includ-
2	ing the Barker Ranch area?	
3	A Well, at the Barker Ranch there was Paul	Crockett
4	and Brooks Poston and Charles Hanson, and the family	Was
5,	staying at Myers Ranch.	
6	Q And when we use the term "the desert," a	re we also
7	including the Myers and Barker Ranch area?	,
8	A Where the family was staying.	
9		·. ·
10		•
11		•
12		
13		,
14		¥ *
<b>15</b> .		
16		٠,
17		,
18		
-19		
. <b>2</b> Ò		
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22	·	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		<i>;</i> •

1	Q Following Charles Manson's leaving.
2	A I didn't see him leave, but I saw him up there,
3	though.
4	When did you see him at the desert? My client,
<b>5</b> ,	that is.
·6:	A I don't know. I guess I was up there a week,
7 ,	maybe more than a week, you know, Week or two weeks.
8	Somewhere around in there.
9 .	Q And then you saw my client, is what you are
10	saying?
11	A That is what I am answering, yeah. I saw your
12	client.
13	Q Okay. Now, how long did you stay up at the desert
14	until you returned to Spahn Ranch?
15	A Now, you got me there. I don't know, you know.
16	I came back, and the only way that I can say that
17	I was there until a certain time is by the time that they had
18	a raid up there, you see.
19	Q When was the raid?
20	A I don't know, you see. But they had a raid up
21	there, and I left there before they got raided.
22	Now, was it during this during your return from
23	the desert to Spahn Ranch that Mr. Grogan said to you "If
24	anybody asks about Shea, tell them he went to San Francisco"?
25	A Yeah, that's about it, you know.
26	"If anybody asks you about Shorty, tell them he
27	went to San Francisco."
4317	

Q Well, my question is was that told to you by my

- 3		

4

.5

6

client during the time that you were on your way back to Spahn Ranch in the automobile?

A The time that me, or your client Grogan and I was traveling back to Los Angeles, he told me, he says, "If anyone asks you about Shorty, he went to San Francisco."

Now, how long after you arrived at the desert did you have this conversation on the way back to Los Angeles?

A I don't know. It was -- the period when I stayed out there in the desert I don't know, you know. I stayed out there, I went out there -- I didn't take with me a calendar or nothing to pinpoint the time.

I'm not trying to be smart, sir.

- Q I appreciate that.
- A I am just trying to answer you.
- Q : I didn't think you were.

a month, maybe two, you know. Maybe a month and a half.

The period that I stayed there, and when I returned he told me, he says, "If anyone asks you about Shorty, you tell them he went to San Francisco." That's it.

So would it be fair then to say, Mr. Flynn, as nearly as you can now recall you had this conversation in the automobile with my client anywhere from one to two and a half months after you arrived at the desert?

- A I don't understand the guestion.
- Q Yes. Well, let me try again.
- A Okay.
- 2 Let me just back up for a moment.

23°

24

25

26

27

24

25

26

27

28

immaterial.

THE COURT: Let me have the question.

(The question was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Now, had anyone asked you where Mr. Shea was or where he had gone?")

THE COURT: You may answer the question. Answer it yes or no, whatever the answer is.

no you understand the question? Calls for a yes or no answer.

THE WITHESS: Okay. Would you read it, please?
THE COURT: Read the question again.
(The question was read by the reporter

"Q Now, had anyone asked you where Mr. Shea was or where he had gone.")

- O BY MR. WEEDMAN: Mr. Flynn, can we begin by answering that either yes or no? Is that possible?
  - A No, has anyone asked me where Shorty went?
- Q Let me -- to be perhaps a better question:

  Up until the time you had this conversation in the car with Clem, the defendant ---
  - A Yeah.
  - 2 -- had anyone asked you where Mr. Shea had gone?
  - A No. I don't --

as follows:

- Q Do you know where Vallejo, California is?
- A Vallejo, California?
- 0 Uh-huh.

1	No, I don't know where Vallejo, California is.
2	Which way is it?
3	Q No, I just want to know if you knew where Vallejo
4	was.
5	a Maybe I been there. Maybe I haven't known it.
6.	Where is it?
Ŷ	Q Rather than my telling you for my purposes now,
8	we just want to know if you know where Vallejo is. I take it
9	you do not know where it is.
10	A It's a Spanish name, but I don't know where the
Ħ,	place is.
12 .	Q Did you ever have a conversation or strike that.
13	Did you ever know someone at the ranch named Lance
14	Victor?
15	х чев.
16	Q Did Lance Victor ever tell you that Shorty was
17.	going to Vallejo, California, which was near San Francisco?
18	A I don't remember, sir. I just spoke with that man
19	maybe once or twice. Maybe on three occasions. But I never
20	had a conversation with him that amount to anything, you see.
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
<b>26</b>	
27	A Service of the serv
.28	
	ļ ,

*	
	2

3

4

5

7

8 .

\_

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25.

26

27

28

So would it be fair, then, Mr. Flynn, to say that the first time you heard anything about Mr. Shea going to San Francisco was when you heard it from my client in the automobile?

A The first time I heard that he went to San Francisco was from Mr. Grogan.

a half to two months after you arrived at the desert?

A Yes, when I was coming back down.

Now, Mr. Flynn, you indicated that there was a time after you got back to Spahn Ranch that the defendant, Mr. Grogan, told you that if anyone should ask about Mr. Shea, that he had gone to San Francisco; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Are you sure you had two such conversations with the defendant, or just one such conversation?

A No. I'm sure I had two conversations.

Q Do you recall Mr. Grogan's exact words at the time that he said this about Mr. Shea at the trailer at Spahn Ranch?

A About what time?

Q Do you recall his exact words, or was that just the substance of what Mr. Grogan told you?

A Well, Mr. Grogan told me again that if anyone asked me about Shorty, that he went to San Francisco.

Now, up to that time had anyone asked you about Mr. Shea, where he was, where he had gone, or anything like that? And, if you would, please answer that yes or no.

1,.	A I don't remember.
2	Q Mr. Flynn, did you up to the time that up to
3	the time that you returned to Los Angeles from the desert,
4	had you ever taken any LSD?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Where and when was that, Mr. Flynn?
7 :	A Oh, I guess up at the ranch.
8	Q . Which ranch was that?
9	A Spahn's Ranch. And I took it in a couple of
10	places. I took it at the hospital, too, and that is because
n :	I wanted to make sure that there was somebody there, you know,
12	I wanted to and I took it about six or seven times, eight
13	times; something like that.
14	MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you, Mr. Flynn. That's all.
15	THE COURT: Is that all, gentlemen?
16	MR. KATZ: I have just a few questions.
17	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. KATZ:
20	O Now, are you positive that you returned to
21	Los Angeles never to return to Barker Ranch before the Inyo
22	County raids at Barker's and Hyers Banch?
23	I'm going too fast.
24	You told us that you came down to Los Angeles from
25	Barker's Ranch and returned to Spahn Ranch. Is that right?
26	A I came down to Los Angeles and I wented to get
27	some groceries, and then I wanted to go back to Barker Ranch,
28	you see. But I was scared, and I just

MR. KATZ: All right. I have no further questions. 1 "THE COURT: Is that all, gentlemen? 2 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, thank you, your Honor. 3 THE COURT; That's all. Thank you very much. 4 5 MR. KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Flynn. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 6 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Ronor, for the record, I wonder if 7 8 Mr. Flynn could likewise be left on call for the defense? . 9 THE COURT: All right. Do you want an instruction on 10 the witness? 11 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: I don't know whether you wanted to talk 13 to him or not. 14 MR. WEEDMAN: No. I would just like him to remain on 15 call, your Honor, as a defense witness. 16 THE COURT: It is possible that you may be called back . 17 at a later time before this trial is concluded. I don't know. 18 But please be prepared for the fact you might be called back, 19 and you are under orders of the court. 20. THE WITNESS: All right. 21 THE COURT: That is about as close as I can come to it. 22 MR. WEEDHAM: May I inquire, your Honor, if the dis-23 trict attorney --24 THE COURT: Wait a minute. That is why I thought you 25 wanted to talk to him. 26 MR. WEEDMAN: May I inquire, your Honor, if the district 27 attorney has a present address for Mr. Flynn? 28 MR. KATZ: Yes, we do.

MR. WEEDMAN: That will certainly suffice. Thank you, Mr. Flynn. THE COURT: Are you satisfied? MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, I am. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you very much. · 6 Let's take a short recess, folks, and go right ahead in a few minutes. Do not discuss the case. (Recess.) Ì0 -23 

Cielo Drive.com ARCHIVES

	391
1	THE COURT! Well now, gentlemen, let's proceed here.
2	People against Grogan.
3	Defendant is here. Both counsel are here.
4	You can bring in he jury, Sheriff, if you will,
5	please.
Ġ,	THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.
7	(The following proceedings were had
8	in open court in the presence of the
9 .	jury:)
10	THE COURT: Now we have all of the regular jurous here
11	plus the three alternates.

And the witness. Will you raise your right hand and be sworn, please.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

13

## JOHN HAROLD SWARTE, JR.

called as a witness by the Péople, testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

21

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

22

THE CLERK: Thank you, sir.

24

23

Will you take the stand and be seated, please.

Will you be kind enough to state your name for the

.25 26

record.

THE WITNESS: John Harold Swartz, Jr.

27

28

THE CLERK: Thank you.

Will you lower that microphone to your mouth.

19-2 Thank you, THE COURT: Thank you. Talk right in there like a 2 3 telephone so the jurors can hear you. 4 All right. Thank you. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 Ž. BY MR. KATZ: 8 Mr. Swartz, I am over here. Can you see me? Ø. 9. You bet. 10 All right. IJ Did you know a person by the name of Donald Jerome 12 Shea? 13 Yes, I did. 14 Did you know him by Don or did you know him by 15 a nickname or what? 16 I knew him by the name Shorty. 17 When did you meet Don or Shorty? 18 Oh, about 1963. 19 THE COURT: Telk up how. The jury has got to hear you. 20 BY MR. KATS: John, where was that? Q 21. At the Spahn Ranch. A 22 And did you take on a job there as a cowboy and 23 wrangler at the Spahn Ranch? 24 Well, wasn't much of a cowboying job. Mostly 25 cleaning the barns out, 26 I got a job anyway. 27 · But in any event did you begin to live at Spahn 28 Ranch? 29 Yes. I did.

•
2

ġ

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.	Now	T <sub>j</sub> .	did	you	ma	intain	à	cont	tact	with	Shorty
through	August	of	196	i9 c	ver	those	ye	ars	fron	1963	32

A Yes.

I want to direct your attention to August 16, 1969, a somewhat infamous date, were you among the persons arrested at the Spahn Ranch raid?

A Yes, I was.

I would like to show you a photograph here, and in particular 50-B for identification, and ask you whether or not you recognize yourself as being one of the persons in the picture?

A This one right here (indicating).

Would you please place your initials over your head so that we know that that is you depicted in the picture, 50-B for identification.

A (Witness complies.)

Q Just put "J.S." over your head and we will know that you made that designation and that indicates that that is a picture of you.

A (Witness complies.)

Q Was this taken during the August 16th raid at the Spahn Ranch?

A Yes, it was.

Q Incidentally, is that the defendant, Clem, or Steve Grogan, in the right-hand portion of the photograph with the long hair?

A Yes, it is.

- MR. KATZ: May the record reflect the witness has complied

27. 28

24

25

26

27

28

with my request on 50-B for identification?
THE COURT: Yes.

- Q BY MR. KATZ: Now, John, you were in jail for how long before you were released?
  - A Forty-eight hours.
  - Q Did somebody pick you up at jail?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Mho?
  - Shorty.
  - Did Shorty take anybody else away from jail?
  - It was myself, Larry Jones, and Larry Cravens.
  - Q Where did you go?
  - A Right straight back to the ranch.
- Q Can you tell us whether or not Shorty began living at the ranch after you returned following the raid?
- A Yes, he did. He started living in his car, started sleeping in his car.
  - Q He started sleeping in his car?
  - A Right.
- Showing you quickly a vehicle which is depicted in the 16 series of photographs, this white Mercury Comet, do you recognize these photographs, these exterior photographs and the interior photographs, as being the car that Shorty slept in while at Spahn Ranch following the raid of August 16, 1969?
  - A Right. They are all pictures of the car.
  - Q That is Shorty's car, is that right?
  - l Yes.

Yes, I do.

Q

27

28

And that included Charles Manson and the defendant

	. [	
20-4	1	here, Mr. Grogan?
	2	A XMM
	3	
	4 .	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8.	
21	9	
•	10	
	11	
,	12	
	13	
,	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
,	18	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	<b>25</b>	
	26	
t	27	
	28	

25

26

27

28

Q Now, I want to direct your attention to sometime in the latter part of --

MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. I wonder if I could have the last question and answer read, please.

THE COURT: Yes.

Mr. Reporter, will you read -- do you want the answer, also?

(The record was read by the reporter as follows:

and the defendant here, Mr. Grogan?

"A Yes.")

MR. WEEDMAN: Well, your Honor, I realize it is a little late, but I move to strike the answer and would object to the question on the grounds it is leading and suggestive to this witness.

I think we are entitled to -- I -THE COURT: Well --

MR. WEEDMAN: That is all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the answer may stand.

Ask your next question,

Q BY MR. KATE: Now, directing your attention towards the first part of September or the latter part of August, 1969, did you become aware of the disappearance of Shorty Shea?

MR. WEEDMAN: I will object to the word "disappearance,"
your Honor. I think counsel can ask these questions without
the constant innuendo that I have heard ever since we began

taking the testimony in this trial. 3 I don't know what else to say except it just isn't 2 fair, your Honor, to my client. 3. I am going to object again on the ground it assumes a fact not in evidence, and leading and suggestive. 5 MR. KATZ: I will reframe the question, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right, rephrase it and then we will see 7 where we are. R BY MR. KATZ: Did you notice or become aware of 9 the absence --10 MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 BY MR. KATZ: -- of Shorty Shea from the Spahn 13 Ranch area towards that latter part of August or the first 14 part of September, 1969? 15 Yes, I did. 16 All right. 17 Now, in that connection did you have a conversation 18 with Charles Manson concerning the whereabouts of Shorty? 19 20 A Would you tell us what Charlie said. ŽΤ THE COURT: Set the time. 22 Fardon me. 23 24 MR. KATZ: Yes. 25 THE COURT: The time and the parties present, if any. 26 BY MR. KATZ: As best you recall, when did you 27 have this discussion with Charles Manson concerning the where-28 abouts of Shorty Shea?

1	A It was approximately ten days to two weeks after
2	we got out of the county jail, after the raid.
3	O All right. So this was roughly in the last part of August,
4	So this was roughly in the last part of August,
5	possibly the beginning of September, '69, according to your
<b>~ 6</b> .	best recollection?
<b>7</b>	A Yes.
, <b>Ś</b>	Q And where did the conversation take place?
ģ	A Took place on the boardwalk right in front of the
10	ranch.
11	Q Do you recall who was present besides yourself
12	and Charles Manson, if anybody?
13	A There was no one.
14	Q And what did you say to Charles Manson, and what
<sup>,</sup> 15	did he say to you in this regard?
16	MR. WEEDMAN: May we approach the bench, your Honor?
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	(The following proceedings were in chambers
19	out of the presence of the jury.)
20	THE COURT We are in chambers. Defendant and counsel
<b>21</b> .	are here.
.22	Give us the reading of the very last question,
23	please.
24	(The question was read by the reporter
25	as follows:
26	"Q And what did you say to Charles Manson,
<b>27</b> .	and what did he say to you in this regard?")
28	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

26

27

28

MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, I will object to any conversation had between Charles Menson and this witness on the ground that my client, of course, was not present. And anything said by Charles Manson, of course, would be hearsay as to my client.

THE COURT: Well, we get again into the same question respecting the same position, respecting the statements of a member of the conspiracy from the People's position, acts, omissions, declarations of members of the alleged conspiracy as being applicable to the defendant in this case.

MR. KATZ: Would you like an offer of proof?

THE COURT: You see, you have a situation, a nebulous situation in there as to when, if at all, did Manson disappear? Has the object of the conspiracy been completed? Is Manson dead at the time of this conversation?

MR. KATZ: Excuse me, your Honor, you are saying Manson.
THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. KATE: I am sorry. You are saying Manson's disappearance. I think you meant to say Shea disappeared.

THE COURT: Shea. I didn't mean Manson. I am thinking too fast.

MR. KATS: Just so the record is clear.

THE COURT: Shee, yes.

Yes, you are right. Let's see.

The conversation is with Manson, right?

MR. KATZ: Yes, your Honor.

May I make an offer of proof so you know exactly-THE COURT: Yes, but the conversation is with the

witness and with Manson, right?

MR. KATZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes. That is what I am saying.

Now, if Shea is dead at the time, if the purpose of the conspiracy has been completed, and there is a conversation with Manson, it wouldn't be in the fulfillment of the conspiracy. I mean, you have hazardous questions in there, I don't know.

MR. KATZ: I would like to make an offer of proof.

THE COURT: Make an offer of proof. Let's see what we have here.

Go ahead.

MR. KATZ: All right, your Honor. Here is what I expect to elicit from this witness.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KATE: That he talked with Charles Manson and asked Manson -- and I am paraphrasing: "Have you seen Shorty?"

To which Manson said, "Well, yes. I have got a friend up in San Francisco who needed help, and it was a lot better job than what Frank Retz had offered him. So I gave him a few bucks, and he left for San Francisco."

And this is the sum and substance of the conversation. In other words, we have Mr. Swartz, a friend of Shea, questioning Mr. Manson, "Well, do you know where Shorty is? Have you seen Shorty?"

And Manson replies, "Well, he went up to San Francisco. I sent him up there to see a friend of mine who offered him a job."

12 13

1

5

6

7

8

ġ.

10

11

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

27 28.

.4 

22 fls 24

28,

And then Swartz in the conversation says to Manson, "Well, what about the Retz job?"

Paying job. " Paying job."

Once again it is a statement obviously in the furtherance of the object and design of the conspiracy to promote concealment of any crime whatsoever by putting people off the track as to the whereabouts of Shorty, where is he? And we showed successively in other statements that Mr. Grogan has made similar statements, that Bruce Davis, for example, joined in the statement of Grogan to the effect that Shorty went to San Francisco.

This is part and parcel of the conspiracy, acts and declarations which are in furtherance of the object and design of the conspiracy.

And as you know, words can constitute acts in that regard. I think there is no question that this is certainly admissible under a theory of conspiracy.

THE COURT: Suppose at the time of this conversation, suppose you have a conspiracy, the purpose is to kill Shea. At the time of this conversation, assume Shea is dead.

Would not the -- there be a fulfillment of the conspiracy at the time of this conversation?

8.

1,3

14 .

16.

---

.23

MR. KATZ: Normally speaking, but when you have a situation raised by our own evidence of the People's case in chief, namely, that apparently the family, and particularly Manson, is concerned about the safety of the place where the body has been buried so that he must inquire of Danny De Carlo, for example, "Does lye or lime get rid of a body?" a statement which is obviously in furtherance of the objects and design of the conspiracy, the same kind of reasoning must apply to a statement in which a co-conspirator says, "Hey," in essence, "if anybody asks about Shorty, tell them he went up to San Francisco. Tell them that Shorty is up in San Francisco," so that people won't look in the vicinity of the Spahn Ranch, they won't try and locate the body.

Once again we must assume that a forseeable scope of the conspiracy goes beyond the actual consummation of the substantive crime, namely, the murder, but carries forward to the point where they must repair the body to a position or location of safety where it cannot thereafter be found by the police.

THE COURT: Well, now --

MR. KATZ: And if I may just add this, your Honor --THE COURT: Go ahead. I follow your point.

MR. KATZ: In addition, this is actual evidence of the conspiracy itself. In other words, if you have people such as Grogan and such as Davis and such as Manson making statements "Hey, why don't you go up to San Francisco if you want to find Shorty?", this is the best manifestation of a conspiracy.

You must bear in mind this will be taken in

2

3

ŀ

4

. 5,

6

*†* 

8

9

10

ļl

12 13

14

15

16 .

17

**ļ8**.

1<u>9</u>

20

21: 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

connection with the confessions of Mr. Grogan, if your Honor permits them to come in, to the effect that Mr. Manson, Mr. Davis, Mr. Watson, and himself, the defendant, killed Shorty Shea and hid the body under some leaves.

there. The statements of Manson, backing up to the conversations around the stream respecting the lye, the conversations respecting what would dissolve the body, the lye, and respecting conversations or statements of Manson that Shea was buried under leaves or soil down the stream — the exact wording I can't give you — and the lye, particularly the lye, what can be used to dissolve the body, it was my opinion — the higher court could look at it differently — but it is my opinion they probably were statements of fulfillment of the conspiracy. "What are we going to do with the body? We have killed the man. Now we've got to get rid of the body. What are we going to do?"

They could be statements in fulfillment of the purpose of the conspiracy. I don't know. There is a question mark in my mind whether the statements of Manson respecting Shea, "He is in San Francisco," could be in fulfillment of the conspiracy, the purpose of the conspiracy.

MR. KATZ: Wouldn't you think --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.

The purpose of the conspiracy is the killing of Shea. That is your basic purpose.

MR. KATZ: And not to get caught, isn't that right?
Not to get caught.

б

THE COURT: Well, but it is the basic purpose.

MR. KATZ: Yes, I agree.

THE COURT: The killing of Shea is the basic purpose.

Now, the statements aren't directed to the killing of Shea. These statements with the witness and Manson are not to the killing of Shea. Manson doesn't way, "Well, we're going to kill Shea. We're going to do it in this manner. We're going to do it in that manner. We've got six people that are going to kill Shea." They are statements, a sort of gratuitous statement, of Manson to the witness, "Well, Shea is up in San Francisco to get a job," or whatever the statement is there.

I don't know whether the People are attempting to draw too far on the purpose or the amount of it, the kind of testimony that may be permitted under the caption of fulfillment of the conspiracy; whether that is a proper type of testimony to be elicited or not.

I again repeat, I was convinced the testimony respecting the lye and the burying of the body could be statements in fulfillment, particularly, "What are we going to do with the body? How will we get rid of it?" That would be in fulfillment of a conspiracy.

But I don't know about the statement, "Shea is in San Francisco trying to get a job," whether that is or isn't.

MR. KATZ: Here's the analysis, I think, that we must make in determining whether or not the statement is admissible. I agree with you that in normal circumstances such a statement would seem to be beyond any scope of the original conspiracy.

2

1

.3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

41

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 -21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

But isn't it correct by way of statements of Grogan himself that there is independent evidence to show an additional conspiracy, if you will, to conceal?

Let me give you an example of that. Here we have Grogan making statements at least on two occasions, for example, to Juan Flynn, to the effect that, "Hey, if anybody asks about Shorty, tell them he went to San Francisco."

Now, lo and behold we have Gypsy making the same statement in the same time period to Barbara Hoyt when Barbara Hoyt confronted her with the statement, "Hey, I heard that Shorty committed suicide with a little help, to which Gypsy replied, "Oh, don't be silly. He went to San Francisco for a job."



22À

ļ

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

'n

12

13

14

16

17

18

19 . 20:

21

22

23· 24

25

26

27

28

MR. WEEDMAN: Surely, your Honor.

THE COURTS I'm not trying to the you in by stipulation

Now, there we have Gypsy and we have Grogan, and now let's go to Charles Manson. Charles Manson says, "Look, he went to San Francisco." Doesn't this manifest a new conspiracy to conceal the fruits of the crime, to conceal the whereabouts of the body? Aren't we showing more than just mere collusion, but a unity of action by way of statements and declarations to further a common goal, namely, to put people off the track as to the true whereabouts of where Shea is?

THE COURT: I think it is very hazardous. Of course, it is not my purpose to tell the People what is hazardous, but only so far as me ruling on the testimony. There is a serious question in my mind on it whether to admit it. I don't know whether it is in fulfillment of that conspiracy to kill. That is your conspiracy. That is your basic conspiracy. The basic conspiracy is a conspiracy to commit a crime. The crime is murder. That is the People's basic theory.

I don't know. I have serious questions in my mind about it.

MR. KATZ: May I ask your Honor this. I appreciate the opportunity to discuss this with you. Do you concede, and by way of discussion, that certainly it is within the ambit of a conspiracy to conceal the fruits of the crime?

THE COURT: It is, if it is pointed enough. That is the reason I went around and around with Mr. Weedman on that.

It is, if it is pointed enough. I'm not saying you agree with me, Mr. Weedman.

here.

ż

4.

MR. WEEDMAN: That's all right, your Honor. I understand.
THE COURT: It is if it is pointed enough.

Now, as I say again, in the former conversations or statements attributed to Manson by the girl on the stand, Barbara, I believe, in which she speaks of the lye Manson saying, "What is the best to dissolve the body with, lye or lime," that definitely is a statement, I think, which is in fulfillment of a conspiracy.

Theoretically, it is true of a body. Let's assume that by this time Shorty is killed. But the crime is not complete. A part of the crime, concealment of the body, that is a part of the conspiracy. That is the reason, that is, my thinking, constant thinking, revolves around there, "What are we going to do? We have only partly completed this. We have killed him, but we have more to do. We have far more to do. We can't leave the dead body where people can see it. We have more to do here."

So, on that kind of thinking, or that thinking, I allowed in the statements, "We buried him under the leaves," or "down the creek under the sand," or whatever it is.

"We're still in trouble. We still have got problems. What are we going to do with his body? Even if buried, or not buried, we have problems. We have to dissolve it in some way. What is the best, lye or lime?"

So there is a continuation of the fulfillment, there is an attempt to fulfill the conspiracy. That is the

21,

23 fls 23

basis of my allowing those statements.

I don't know about -- I have a serious question whether that is a fulfillment of the conspiracy. It is a method of -- let's take your statement at its fullest. It is a method of the conspiracy, it is sidetracking third people, third parties, it is sidetracking them, getting them to think otherwise: "Shea's not dead. The reason he is not here is that he has gone to San Francisco."

is a fulfillment of the conspiracy to murder. Conspiracy to murder is one thing; a conspiracy or the sidetracking of people at a later time — kind of what used to be called or might still be called an accessory after the fact — the crime is committed and there the man goes and hides in John Smith's house so he won't be arrested.

I don't know. I don't know whether the Feople are reaching out too far in that statement, in that statement expected to be elicited from the witness. I have serious doubts. It is very hazardous. I will say that. It is very hazardous.

I don't know whether you need it. I mean, as far as -- I'm not the jury. I don't know what they are going to do.

**激励机械**。

· 新加州 不可以管理的

It.

You have facts there to argue to the jury. I think it injects hazardous situations.

MR. KATZ: Well, your Honor, you have permitted -THE COURT: I don't think there is any question about

Go ahead.

MR. KATZ: Your Honor, you have permitted me to express my opinion in this area, and I am certainly not going to belabor the point.

I appreciate the opportunity you have given me for expression in this connection, and I will abide by the court's ruling if the court feels that this is not part of the concealment aspect of the conspiracy.

THE COURT: I think an objection from Mr. Weedman as he either has done or is about to do would be a very serious objection.

I take it you are making the objection?

MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, I am. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am not trying to argue something that isn't there. You are objecting to the answer as indicated, or the offer of proof as indicated by Mr. Katz?

MR. WEEDHAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: To pinpoint it?

MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, your Honor, I will object to that conversation offered by Mr. Katz on the ground that it is hearsay to my client.

THE COURT: I would further add -- and I am not asking you to stipulate to this because it may be my basic reason in

ŀ

2 . 3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18,

19

20 .21

22

23

25

26

27

28

the matter. I don't think it is in fulfillment of the conspiracy.

I am not asking you to stipulate to that. I am not asking you to stipulate to the fact that there is a conspiracy. I am not trying to tie your hands in any way.

I am adding that as an objection in there.

HR. WEEDMAN: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I would, -- and of course, if that is correct it would be hearsay. I would sustain the objection on both counts.

I am scared of it -- an awkward way of a court putting it, but I am not so much scared of it, I am disturbed about it.

I would be inclined to sustain the objection.

MR. KATZ: All right, your Honor.

I will abide by the court's ruling.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. WEBDHAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, fine.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

You may proceed.

Objection sustained.

MR. KATZ: Yes, Thank you.

0 Mr. Swartz, directing your attention to the latter part of August or the very first part of September 1969 did

28

you know?

Well, used to call it the prop room a long time ago.

23~5	1	else in their possession?
	2	A Oh, the small case the guns were kept in.
	<b>3</b> .	Q Did Shorty use the small case of some kind to keep
	4	the guns in?
	5	the guns in?  A Yes, he did.
`	6	Q Did he also keep another object in that case
	7	together with the guns?
	8	A Yes.
23a	9 ·	
*	· 10·	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	•
	1 <u>\$</u>	;
	19	
	20	
•	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	.26	
	27`	
<b>.</b>	<b>28</b> · .	
		r

23a L

1	Q What did he keep in there?
2	A The bowie knife, about 8 or 9 inches long.
3	MR. KATZ: I think with the assistance of Mr. Hogan we
4	are going to conclude this testimony.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	(Short pause.)
7	THE COURT; Here we are.
8	MR. KATE: Thank you.
9	Q I would like to show you People's 10 for identifi-
10	cation, this brown attache case with the name inscribed
11.	Rev. Donald Jerome Shea.
12	I show you this, and I will open it for you and
<b>13</b> .	ask you whether or not you recognize this case as having seen
14	it before?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q Whose dase was that?
17	a Belonged to Shorty, He kept a matched set of
18	pistols in it.
19	Q The pistols that are identified as 9-A and 9-B,
20	is that correct?
21	λ Yes.
22	Now, after this event at Spahn Ranch, can you tell
'23 34	us whether or not Danny De Carlo stayed at Spahn Ranch or did
24 or	he leave?
25	A Well, it wasn't too long he left, too.
26	Q All right.
27	And did Bill Vance stay, or did he leave?

He left.

23a-2
-------

INDEX

4

5

6

7

1Ó

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Incidentally, do you know where Bill Vance is? 0 No. I don't. MR. KATZ: If I may have a moment, your Honor, I think I may have concluded my examination. THE COURT: Yes. All right. MR. KATZ: Thank you. I have nothing further. THE COURT: Cross. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WEEDMAN: So we will be clear about it, Mr. Swartz, did you know a man back in those days at Spahn Ranch named Bruce Davis? Yes, I did. Did you ever see Bruce Davis help Shorty Shea change a flat tire on Shorty's car? I don't remember. Particularly directing your attention to a time after the August 16, 1969 raid, do you recall seeing Mr. Davis help Shorty change a flat tire on his car? MR. KATZ: I am going to object. That is assuming facts not in evidence and as having been asked and answered. THE COURT: You may answer the question. THE WITNESS: If I did, I don't remember. BY MR. WEEDMAN: Did you ever see Bruce Davis anywhere near Mr. Shea's automobile? Again, after the August l6th raid.

Oh, it's hard to pinpoint one person because

everybody walks by the car and looks at it. And --

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

Shorty Shea did in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Į0·

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

raid?

,	¥		ARG W	ere	You	<b>⇔</b> A⊈I	SWALE	cuat	SHOP	y Si	nea.	ara	TU	
lact	have	ā	flat	tire	on,	his	automol	oile a	after	the	Aug	just	<b>16t</b> h	1

MR. KATZ: I am going to object now. That assumes facts not in evidence in the manner in which the question is framed.

THE COURT: Read the guestion, please.

MR. WEEDMAN: I will withdraw the question.

THE COURT: All right. Withdrawn.

- BY MR. WEEDMAN: Did Mr. Shea ever have a flat tire on that car after the August 16th raid?
  - I don't know whether he had or not.
  - Okay.

Were any charges filed against you, Mr. Swarts, in connection with your being arrested on August the 16th, 19697

Ä No.

Did you feel somehow angry at the police as a result of that arrest?

MR. KATZ: Objection, on the grounds that his state of mind is not in issue.

THE COURT: Read the question, please.

Would be immaterial. MR. KATZ:

(The question was read by the reporter

as follows:

mo. Did you feel somehow angry at the police as a result of that arrest?") MR. KATZ: Your Honor, would be immaterial.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection the way it is framed.

You can reframe it if you desire.

- Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: Did you feel that the other persons at the ranch were somehow responsible for your being arrested on August the 16th, 1969?
  - A Oh, yes, I did. I didn't know why, though.

**高级各类系统** 

DOM:

Mark Street

- Q Pardon me?
- A I didn't know why.

Can you give us now, Mr. Swartz, your best

28

Q

1	that you first talked to the police, is that so?
2	A Yes.
3	MR. WEEDMAN: If I may have a moment. I found what I
4	am looking for.
5	THE COURT: That's all right.
6	Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: Where was my client, Mr. Grogán,
7	when you saw these guns in the possession of Danny De Carlo
8	and Bill Vance?
9 .	A I don't know where he was,
10	Q . When again did you first go up to Spahn Ranch,
ij	Mr. Swartz, to work?
12	A The first time?
13	Q Yes.
14	A About 1963
15	Q While you were there, did my client come up there
16	and begin working?
17	A In 163?
18	Q Well, after that; any time after that did my client
19	begin working at Spahn Ranch, if you know?
20	A Not during all them years, no.
21 :	Q During all what years?
22	A Well, from '63 to '69.
23	Q My client did come up to the ranch before 1969,
24	didn't he?
25	A Oh, yes. Approximately a year before that year,
26	eight months.
27	Q And the Manson, so-called Manson family, showed up
28	there in 1969, didn't they?

1	A Yes.
2	Q My client was there before the Manson family
3	arrived, isn't that so?
4	A I don't think so. I'm not sure. I'm not going to
5	say for sure "No."
6	Q All right. What was my client doing at the ranch,
7	if anything, in connection with any employment or chores there,
8	if you know?
9	A Oh, he would help us doing what we were doing
10	cleaning the barns, feeding the horses.
11	O What else were you doing?
12	A That barn kept us pretty busy.
13	Q Did Clem, the defendant, help you clean out the
14	barns?
15	A Oh, yes, all the time.
16	Q Help you with the horses at all?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What other chores did my client do up there?
19	A That is about all there was to do except go get
20	a load of corn every once in a while.
21	Q Were you at Spahn Ranch when they had the big fire
22	there in 1970?
23	A No.
24	THE COURT: Gentlemen, let's go over to tomorrow.
25	We will recess, ladies and gentlemen, till 9:30
26	tomorrow.
27	Kindly return promptly, as you have been.
28	Do not discuss the case or come to any opinion

or conclusion. Thank you. Recess till 9:30 tomorrow. (Adjournment was taken until 9:30 a.m. of the next day, Tuesday, August 10, 1971.) 15, ŻÍ.