

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

30

DEPARTMENT NO. 52

HON. JOSEPH L. CALL, JUDGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. A 267861

STEVE GROGAN,

Defendant.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

TUESDAY, AUGUST 10, 1971

APPEARANCES:

(See Volume 2)

SWARTZ
RUSSELL
CHAMOLISS
PAUL WATKINS

COPY

VOLUME 30:

Pages 3949-4089, incl.

Reported by:

VERNON W. KISSEE, C.S.R.
GEORGE WEBER, C.S.R.

Official Reporters

3 I N D E X

| 4 <u>PEOPLE'S WITNESSES</u> | <u>DIRECT</u> | <u>CROSS</u> | <u>REDIRECT</u> | <u>RECROSS</u> |
|---|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 5 SWARTZ, John Harold, Jr. 6 (Continued) | | 3950 | 3951 | 3951 |
| 7 PURSELL, James L. | 3952 | 3974 | 3988 | |
| 8 CHAMOUSIS, Peter | 3989 | 4015 | | |
| 9 WATKINS, Paul (By the Court) 10 (Resumed) | 4018 4050 4053 | | | |

11
12
13 E X H I B I T S

| 14 <u>PEOPLE'S</u> | <u>FOR IDEN.</u> |
|---|------------------|
| 15 48-A - Black and white photo | 3961 |
| 16 48-B - " " " " | 3961 |
| 17 32-R1 - Photo of male person | 3992 |
| 18 42 - Latent lift card dated 12/10/69 | 3992 |
| 19 43-A - Fingerprint exemplar card re Bruce Davis | 3992 |
| 20 43-B - " " " " " " | 3992 |
| 21 44 - Photo of latent print and an inked print | 3992 |
| 22 32-R2 - Bottom portion of Exhibit 32-R1, severed | 4010 |
| 23 42-1 - Severed portion of exhibit 42 | 4000 |

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 10, 1971

2 9:30 A.M.

3
4 THE COURT: Now, we will proceed. People against Grogan.
5 Defendant is here. Counsel are here. And if
6 you will bring in the jury, please, Sheriff.

7 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

8 (The following proceedings were had
9 in open court in the presence of the
10 jury:)

11 THE COURT: Now we have all of the regular jurors plus
12 the three alternates.

13 And you can take the stand, if you will, Mr.
14 Witness.

15
16 JOHN HAROLD SWARTZ, JR.,
17 resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

18 THE COURT: Now, you have been sworn. So please tell us
19 your name again.

20 THE WITNESS: John Harold Swartz, Jr.

21 THE COURT: Thank you.

22 You be seated.

23 Pull up your chair if you will a little so you can
24 talk right in here. That's it.

25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

26 THE COURT: Talk right in there.

27 All right.

28 The People may proceed.

1 You were cross-examining.

2 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

3
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

5 BY MR. WEEDMAN:

6 Q Mr. Swartz, yesterday we were talking about a
7 number of things including this job that Shorty was supposed
8 to take as a watchman for Frank Retz.

9 And I would like to ask you this morning, did anyone,
10 that is, any member of the family ever mention to you, or did
11 you overhear any member of the so-called Manson family mention
12 the fact that they knew that Shorty was going to accept a job
13 with Frank Retz?

14 A No. I never.

15 Q How would you describe your own relationship with
16 Shorty?

17 A Pretty good friends. Known him for a long time.

18 Q And how often would you see Mr. Shea over this
19 period of time, six or seven years that you had apparently
20 known him?

21 A Oh, he was quite a traveler. He would come to the
22 ranch and stay for maybe a week or two weeks or a month, and
23 then he would go. And then come back again.

24 Just off and on.

25 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, that's all I have.

26 Thank you, Mr. Swartz. I am sorry you had to come
27 back for just those brief questions.
28

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1
2 BY MR. KATZ:

3 Q Mr. Swartz, did you make a habit of talking with
4 the Manson family members as distinguished from the cowboys?

5 A I don't understand that one too --

6 Q All right. When you were at Spahn Ranch, who did
7 you associate with, the family or the cowboys?

8 A Well, mostly the guys that worked there, the cowboys.

9 Q Maybe I am misusing the appellation "cowboys." In
10 other words, I refer to people like Randy Starr and Don Shea,
11 when he was there, and Juan Flynn, and people like that.

12 A I spent most of my time around the cowboys.

13 MR. KATZ: All right. I have no further questions.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Weedman.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

15
16
17 BY MR. WEEDMAN:

18 Q Juan Flynn actually showed up with the so-called
19 family, didn't he?

20 MR. KATZ: Object as assuming facts not in evidence.

21 MR. WEEDMAN: I'm asking the question.

22 THE COURT: You may answer the question.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't remember when Juan showed up at the
24 ranch.

25 Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: So following that, then, is it
26 true that you cannot tell us whether Mr. Flynn was classified
27 in one group or the other? That is, classified as a cowboy at
28 the ranch or a member of the family, or do you know?

1 A I don't know how you would classify him.

2 MR. WEEDMAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Swartz.

3 MR. KATZ: No further questions.

4 THE COURT: That is all. Thank you very much.

5 MR. KATZ: Jim Pursell.

6
7 JAMES L. PURSELL,

8 called as a witness by the People, being first sworn, testified
9 as follows:

10 THE COURT: Raise your right hand and be sworn, please.

11 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you may
12 give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the
13 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you
14 God?

15 THE WITNESS: I do.

16 THE CLERK: Thank you. Will you take the stand and be
17 seated and state your name for the record.

18 THE WITNESS: James L. Pursell -- P-u-r-s-e-l-l.

19 THE COURT: Thank you. When you answer counsel's
20 questions, you talk right in this like a telephone.

21 THE WITNESS: All right.

22
23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. KATZ:

25 Q Officer Pursell, what is your occupation and assign-
26 ment?

27 A State traffic officer, California Highway Patrol,
28 Death Valley Residence Post.

1 Q How long have you been so assigned?

2 A Steadily for approximately three years.

3 Q How long have you been a California Highway
4 Patrol officer?

5 A 13 years.

6 Q Now, with reference to your assignment respecting
7 the Death Valley Residence Post, were you so assigned in the
8 period of the summer of 1969?

9 A Yes, I was.

10 Q In connection with that assignment, did you become
11 especially familiar with the Barker and Myers ranch area in
12 the vicinity of Death Valley?

13 A Yes, I did.

14 Q Are you also familiar in connection with your
15 assignment with the terrain at Goler Wash, Ballarat?

16 A Yes, that is correct.

17 Q I take it you have been over there dozens and
18 dozens and countless number of times, is that correct?

19 A A number of times, yes.

20 Q I want to direct your attention to some time in
21 the latter part of September, 1969, and ask you whether or not
22 you were conducting an investigation into the activities at
23 the Myers and Barker ranches?

24 A We were conducting an investigation that led us to
25 that area.

26 Q All right. Now -- and you can answer this yes or
27 no -- in the latter part of September, and in particular
28 September 29, 1969, did you go up to Barker's Ranch?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Did you see Paul Crockett and Brooks Poston?

3 A Yes, I did.

4 Q You can answer this yes or no: Did you talk with
5 Brooks Poston and Paul Crockett?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Now, thereafter did you leave the Barker Ranch
8 area?

9 A Yes.

10 Q All right. And return basically to your residence
11 post, is that correct?

12 A No. We left the immediate area, but we returned
13 the following day.

14 3 fls

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3-1

1 Q All right.

2 And where did you return to?

3 A Barker Ranch.

4 Q And do you recall once again whether or not you

5 saw Crockett and Poston?

6 A Yes. They were there.

7 Q All right.

8 Did you talk with them? You can answer that yes

9 or no.

10 A Yes.

11 Q Did you leave the vicinity of Barker and Myers

12 Ranch once again?

13 A Yes, we did.

14 Q All right.

15 Now, as a result of your investigation, did you

16 participate in two raids that occurred at Barker's and Myers

17 Ranch, namely, October 12th, 1969 and October 10, 1969?

18 A Yes, I did.

19 Q And can you tell us who was at the Barker and

20 Myers Ranch area during the so-called October 10th, 1969 raid?

21 A We had approximately 15 individuals.

22 By name I can recall Minette Manon, or Gypsy.

23 Q Can you spell that name for us, as best you can?

24 A Not right offhand, no.

25 Q All right.

26 A Donna Rae Powell or Susan Atkins.

27 Patricia Krenwinkel.

28 Q Did she use another name?

3-2

1 A Yes, she did.

2 If I may look at my report.

3 Q Yes, please do.

4 A I can tell you what that other name was.

5 THE COURT: Did you want to see it?

6 MR. WEEDMAN: I would appreciate very much seeing it, yes,
7 your Honor.

8 THE COURT: You can step up here if you want to. Go
9 right ahead.

10 Both counsel can come up if you want to.

11 MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: And you can look at it if you want. Whatever
13 time you need to look at it.

14 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor. Officer Pursell has been good
15 enough to hand us an additional report. It consists of
16 several pages.

17 Perhaps counsel can go forward with his examination
18 and then perhaps we could look at it.

19 THE COURT: Would you like to have more time to check it?

20 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, I would like to have at least 10
21 minutes to look it over.

22 Perhaps we can find a more convenient time this
23 morning to look it over.

24 THE COURT: Later on, yes.

25 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

26 THE COURT: You are entitled to any reasonable time to
27 look at it. I will see that you get it.

28 MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 Q BY MR. KATZ: Officer Pursall, you were checking
3 for the name Patricia Krenwinkel used, another name. Is
4 that correct?

5 A Patricia Reeves.

6 Q Who else did you see October 10th, 1969?

7 A Rachel Morse, Sandy Good or Pugh.

8 Q Pugh is spelled P-u-g-h?

9 A Yes.

10 Brenda McCann or Brenda Pitman.

11 Lynn or Lynette Fromme.

12 Q F-r-o-m-m-e?

13 A Correct. Robert Lane.

14 Q Lane? That's L-a-n-e?

15 A That's correct. Excuse me. Lane.

16 Garth Tufts, or Grant Mollan.

17 Q Garth is spelled G-a-r-t-h? And Tufts is
18 T-u-f-t-s?

19 A Double f-t-s.

20 Q T-u-f-f-t-s, you have?

21 A Yes.

22 Q How is Grant Mollan spelled?

23 A G-r-a-n-t. Last name, M-o-l-l-a-n.

24 Q Who is that person?

25 A The gentleman in the blue shirt at the table there.

26 Q Did you learn his true name?

27 A Yes, I believe I have heard another name.

28 Q Steve Grogan?

3-4

1 A That's correct.

2 Q All right.

3 Did you personally see the defendant here,
4 Mr. Grogan, also known as Grant Mollan; also known as Garth
5 Tuffts; at the Barker's and Myers Ranch on October 10th, 1969?

6 A Yes, I did.

7 Q All right.

8 Can you continue to tell us who else was there on
9 the 10th of October 1969 at the Barker's and Myers Ranch.

10 A Randy Mourglea.

11 Q Spell that for us, please.

12 A M-o-u-r-g-l-e-a is how we have it.

13 Q Was there a Ruth Ann Heuvelherst.

14 A This is the one I had as Rachel Morse. One and
15 the same.

16 Q Will you spell Heuvelherst.

17 A I have it spelled H-e-u-v-e-l-h-e-r-s-t.

18 Q All right.

19 Now, with respect to these people you have
20 mentioned having seen at the Barker's and Myers Ranch on
21 October 10th, 1969, were they taken into custody?

22 A Yes, they were.

23

24

25

26

27

28

#4

1 Q And brought to Inyo County authorities?

2 A That is correct.

3 Q Now, did you once again return to the Barker-Myers

4 Ranch area on October 12, 1969?

5 A Yes, I did.

6 Q Who did you see on that occasion?

7 A At that time, Charles Manson, William Rex Cole,

8 Bruce McGregor Davis, and Christopher Jesus.

9 Q That is J-e-s-u-s?

10 A Yes. Kenneth Richard Brown, Larry Jones, Sherry

11 Andrew, Diane Bluestein, and Tracy Beth.

12 Q You mentioned that you saw a Bill Vance at the

13 Barker-Myers Ranch area on October 12, 1969. Did he use any

14 other names?

15 A Yes.

16 Q What did he use?

17 A Two names. William Rex Cole and David Lee Hamic.

18 Q That is R-e-x and C-o-l-e?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And how do you spell the other name?

21 A David Lee Hamic -- H-a-m-i-c.

22 Q And Lee is L-e-e?

23 A Correct.

24 Q Were these persons taken into custody on October 12,

25 1969?

26 A That is correct.

27 Q Incidentally, where was Charles Manson when he was

28 apprehended?

1 A He was secreted in a very small cupboard below a
2 bathroom sink in the ranch building.

3 Q What was the aperture of that opening beneath the
4 bathroom sink?

5 A As I recall, the height was approximately 26 to 30
6 inches, the width about 12 to 14 inches, and the depth was
7 probably another 14 inches.

8 Q He was inside this opening when he was apprehended?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q And were these people on October 12, 1969, turned
11 over to the Inyo County authorities?

12 A Yes, they were.

13 Q And the sink you are talking about, is this a sink
14 located in some house?

15 A This is the Barker Ranch house.

16 Q That is with respect to Manson, is that correct?

17 A That is correct.

18 Q I would like to show you, Officer Pursell, this
19 photograph, 32-E for identification, and ask you whether or not
20 you recognize that individual.

21 A Yes.

22 Q That is Cole, or Hamic, as I know him.

23 Q Or Bill Vance?

24 A Or Bill Vance, yes.

25 Q Just for the record, I will show you 5 for iden-
26 tification, People's 5. Do you recognize that individual?

27 A Charles Manson.

28 Q That is the gentleman that also was apprehended

1 on October 12, 1969, at Barker's Ranch?

2 A Yes, sir.

3 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I don't know if these have been
4 marked, and as a result I'm going to ask that they be marked
5 48-A and 48-B, and I have reference to two black and white
6 photographs.

7 THE COURT: So marked.

8 MR. KATZ: I will show them to counsel.

9 THE COURT: Show them to defense counsel.

10 MR. KATZ: May I confer with the officer?

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 Q BY MR. KATZ: Incidentally, Officer, was Paul
13 Crockett or Brooks Poston arrested in any of these raids of
14 October 10th and October 12th, 1969?

15 A No, they were not.

16 Q Or was Paul Watkins arrested in those raids of
17 October 12th and October 10th, 1969?

18 MR. WEEDMAN: Objection, your Honor. I don't think that
19 is relevant and material here.

20 MR. KATZ: It is for purposes of time.

21 MR. WEEDMAN: Time has nothing to do with it at all.

22 Your Honor, for me to have sat here quietly and
23 permitted evidence of all the arrests of all these other people,
24 of course, is very prejudicial to my client. Now, counsel
25 apparently is trying to tell us what good guys Crockett and
26 Poston were because they were not arrested.

27 I will object to that. Timing has nothing to do
28 with this.

P.48-A&-B
Iden.

1 MR. KATZ: I'm glad that counsel concedes timing has
2 nothing to do with it. He apparently has not followed the
3 evidence. The time sequence is extremely important, exceedingly
4 important, in pinpointing the witnesses' observations by way
5 of events that have happened.

6 MR. WEEDMAN: Not arresting three prosecution witnesses,
7 your Honor --

8 THE COURT: Read the question again, Mr. Reporter.

9 MR. WEEDMAN: It has nothing to do with this case.

10 MR. KATZ: The witnesses belong to the triers of fact
11 and to no one, neither the prosecution nor the defense. I'm
12 tired of hearing counsel each time saying these are prosecution
13 witnesses.

14 MR. WEEDMAN: That remains to be seen.

15 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Read the question again.

16 (The record was read by the reporter
17 as follows:

18 "Q Or was Paul Watkins arrested in those
19 raids of October 12th and October 10th, 1969?"

20 THE COURT: You may answer the question. Overruled.

21 THE WITNESS: No.

22 Q BY MR. KATZ: Incidentally, let me show you a
23 photograph of an individual in 32-M. Do you recognize this
24 individual?

25 A Yes, I do.

26 Q Who is that?

27 A This is the defendant.

28 Q This is the gentleman that you saw on October 10,

1 1969, is that correct?

2 A That is correct.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4a-1

1 Q Incidentally, is this the approximate way he
2 looked?

3 THE COURT: Did you identify the photograph?

4 MR. KATZ: Yes; 32-M for identification.

5 Q With reference to the length of the hair, is this
6 the approximate length that he had it at that time?

7 A Yes, it is.

8 Q I would like to show you People's 48-A for
9 identification and ask you whether or not you recognize what
10 is depicted in this picture.

11 A This is Mangle Pass in the Panamint Range. This
12 was on the 10th of October, the day we brought the girls out.

13 Q Now, I'm going to hold this photograph up a
14 moment, 48-A for identification. There is apparently a four-
15 wheel-drive vehicle and there is a truck, is that correct?

16 A That is correct.

17 Q And there are some girls that are sitting inside
18 the truck and some girls that are outside the truck, is that
19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Are these the girls that were taken into custody
22 on October 10, 1969?

23 A Yes, they are.

24 THE COURT: May I see that for a moment?

25 MR. KATZ: Yes.

26 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

27 Q BY MR. KATZ: Officer Pursell, going to 48-B
28 for identification, a closeup of apparently this truck in

4a-2 1 which there are some girls sitting, is this a photograph that
2 was taken at some time when you were present?

3 A That is correct; the same time as the other one.

4 Q That would be what date?

5 A The 10th of October.

6 Q Can you identify some of the people who are in the
7 vicinity of the truck and inside the truck? We will start from
8 the left to right, if we can.

9 A Yes. Left to right, the first one is Gypsy, or
10 Minette Manon; Pat Krenwinkel, or Marnes.

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5-1

Q Marni?

A Marni Reeves, yes.

The third one appears to be Sandy Good, with her head down.

Q And the fourth one?

A Fourth is Lynn Fromme.

Q Or Squeaky?

A Or Squeaky.

Q And the fifth one?

A I believe it's Patricia Baldwin.

Q All right.

And the sixth?

A The sixth is Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: May I see that.

MR. KATZ: (Handing) Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Short pause.)

THE COURT: All right.

Go ahead.

MR. KATZ: All right. Thank you.

Q Can you describe the Goler Wash area in terms of its terrain?

A Goler Wash is a natural passage through the Panamint Mountains.

It is just that, a wash. Very rugged, rocky area.

At one time there was a road in it, but it has been washed out in recent years.

Q All right.

5-2

1 And are you able to negotiate that wash to the
2 Barker and Myers Ranch area in any kind of vehicle?

3 A It can be done, two-wheel motors; a four-wheel-
4 drive vehicle or some dune buggy-type vehicles, probably.

5 Q Is this an easy task or a difficult one?

6 A Very difficult.

7 Q And can you describe the road which leads from
8 Ballarat to the mouth of Goler Wash; is there a paved road,
9 or is it a dirt road?

10 A There is a reasonably good graded dirt road.

11 Q Is this a heavily traveled road?

12 A No. Very little traffic.

13 Q Now, I would like to show you this series of
14 photographs, 39-A through 39-I, and start out with 39-A.

15 What does this show us once again?

16 A This is taken from the paved Trona Wild Rose Road
17 looking east toward Ballarat on the unpaved Ballarat road.

18 Q So the unpaved road which is depicted in this
19 picture in the center portion leads to Ballarat, is that
20 correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q In what direction would we be looking?

23 A This is eastbound.

24 Q All right.

25 Going on to 39-B for identification, what does that
26 show us?

27 A This is the other end of that dirt road entering
28 Ballarat.

5-3

1 Q So we are still looking east, is that correct?

2 A That's correct. Actually this is looking north.
3 The road makes a little curve, and this is northbound.

4 Q All right.

5 And going on to 39-C for identification what does
6 that show us?

7 A This is the Ballarat area and the road heading
8 south toward Goler Wash.

9 Q And once again looking at 39-D what does this show
10 us?

11 A This is the fan up the west of Goler Wash.
12 Panamint Range in the background.

13 Q Can you see the entrance of Goler Wash in this
14 photograph, or the approximate area?

15 A Yes. Where the mountain range dips to the lowest
16 point there.

17 Q Would you please take a pen and mark an X at the
18 point where it is visible, that is, the mouth of Goler Wash
19 or the entrance to the Goler Wash.

20 (Handing) Take this black pen and mark an X at
21 the precise point where it is depicted in this picture and
22 place your initials below.

23 A (Marking.)

24 MR. KATZ: And may the record reflect that the officer
25 has complied with my request on 39-D for identification.

26 THE COURT: Where is it marked, Mr. Katz?

27 Here, would this help any?

28 MR. KATZ: (Handing) If you will look, I think you can

1 see it is readily visible, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yes. I see.

3 MR. KATZ: All right.

4 THE COURT: That's clear.

5 MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

6 Q All right.

7 Going on to 39-E for identification, what does
8 that show us?

9 A This is entering the Panamint Range and Goler Wash.

10 Q And this is the first part of the road that goes
11 into Goler Wash, is that correct?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Showing you 39-F for identification, what is that?

14 A This is the area of the Rock Falls.

15 Q And go on.

16 A There was a road there at one time.

17 Q Does the photograph truly and accurately depict
18 the kind of terrain that one finds as he goes through Goler
19 Wash towards the Barker-Myers Ranch area?

20 A Definitely in this area.

21 Q And is this an easily negotiable area here?

22 A No, it is not.

23 Q And showing you 39-G for identification, what does
24 that show us?

25 A Also in the Rock Falls area.

26 Q And this depicts a four-wheel-drive vehicle of
27 some sort?

28 A Yes.

1 A Yes.

2 Q And showing you 39-H for identification, what does
3 that photograph show us?

4 A This appears to be the upper end of the Rock
5 Falls area, still in Goler Wash.

6 Q All right.

7 And showing you 39-I for identification, what does
8 that show us?

9 A It appears to be Sour Dough Springs in the upper
10 end of Goler Wash.

11 Q We still haven't emerged from the Goler Wash area
12 into Barker and Myers Ranch, is that correct?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q In other words, this is below Barker's and Myers
15 Ranch, is that correct?

16 A That is correct.

17 Q Like to show you, if I may, 39-C for identification.
18 First I am going to ask you whether or not you recognize the
19 buildings that are depicted in the foreground?

20 A Yes, I do.

21 Q What is that?

22 A That's Barker Ranch.

23 Q Now, with respect to this approximate marking on
24 39-C in green, do you recognize that as depicting something?

25 A That is the canyon known as Goler Wash.

26

27

28

5a-1

1 Q I see. So in other words, as we look at 39-C for
2 identification we look at the green line that's drawn in the
3 right upper quadrant of the photograph, this would depict the
4 approximate area of Goler Wash; is that correct?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q As it comes into the valley?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Would that be the top or the crest of Goler Wash?

9 A That is the depression in the mountains in which
10 the wash runs.

11 Q I see.

12 A This is about the highest point of the wash.

13 Q And you have reference to 39-C, is that correct?

14 A Yes.

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 Q BY MR. KATZ: And quickly, I will show you the
17 remaining photographs in the 39 series.

18 39-A for identification, what is that?

19 A This is the bus at Barker Ranch.

20 Q Had you seen it there?

21 A Yes.

22 Q All right.

23 And going on to 39-B for identification, what is
24 this?

25 A Also the ranchhouse, Barker Ranch.

26 Q And going on to 39-D for identification, what is
27 this?

28 A Also Barker Ranch.

5a-2

Q Now, incidentally, if you were to travel from Southern California is there another way to get to the Barker's and Myers Ranch without going through the Goler Wash area?

A Yes. Through Death Valley over Mangle Pass.

Q How much further is it to go to the Barker's and Myers Ranch using Death Valley and the Mangle Pass route?

A From --

Q Los Angeles.

A From Los Angeles?

I doubt if it would be more than 50 miles.

Q Lastly, Officer, let me quickly show you the 37 series of photographs and see whether or not you recognize what is depicted in these pictures.

We will start out with 37-A for identification.
What is that?

A That appears to be the interior at the Myers Ranch.

Q And you have been inside the space before?

A Yes, I have.

Q And going on to 37-B, what is that?

A Also Myers Ranch.

Q And going on to 37-C, what is that?

A Exterior, Myers Ranch buildings.

Q Going on to 37-D, what is that?

A Interior, Myers Ranch.

Q And going on to 37-E, what is that?

A That appears to be the porch at Myers Ranch.

Q And lastly, in 37-F, what is this?

5a-3

1 A Front gate, Myers Ranch.

2 MR. KATZ: Thank you. Nothing further.

3 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

4 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, I would like an opportunity
5 to examine the officer's report, if I may, and I would like
6 to inquire of Officer Pursell if he has any other reports in
7 connection with any of the investigation or any arrest, and
8 if so I would like an opportunity to see it.

9 THE COURT: Would you like a short recess at this time?

10 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, if I may.

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 Take a short recess, ladies and gentlemen. About
13 10 minutes.

14 Do not discuss the case, and we will proceed in
15 a few minutes. Thank you.

16 (Recess.)

6

1 THE COURT: All right, gentlemen. The defendant is here,
2 and counsel is here.

3 State your name again.

4 THE WITNESS: James L. Pursell.

5 THE COURT: You can bring in the jury, Sheriff.

6 (The following proceedings were had

7 in open court in the presence of the jury.)

8 THE COURT: Now we have all the regular jurors plus the
9 alternate jurors.

10 You may proceed. You were about to cross examine,
11 I believe.

12 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes, your Honor. I appreciate the opportu-
13 nity. It turned out to be a great many more reports than I
14 anticipated.

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. WEEDMAN:

17 Q Officer Pursell, did you personally participate in
18 the arrests of all the persons that you have indicated thus far
19 were arrested on October 12th and October 10th, 1969?

20 A Those on the 12th, yes. On the 10th, I was there.
21 I did not actually place anyone under arrest.

22 Q So you have some personal knowledge, at least, of
23 the events of the 10th with respect to arrests?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What were these people charged with, Officer
26 Pursell?

27 A Offhand I'm not actually sure.
28

1 Q Was anyone ever convicted of any crimes relating
2 to any charges that were filed by Inyo County?

3 A By Inyo County?

4 Q Well, I'm sorry. Let me back up a little bit.
5 Are we talking about Inyo County in the first
6 instance?

7 A Correct. They were arrested in Inyo County.

8 Q The Barker and Myers Ranch area is located in Inyo
9 County?

10 A Yes.

7 fls

#7

1 Q And these persons were arrested in Inyo County then,
2 is that correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Were any convictions had in Inyo County relative
5 to any of the charges for which these persons were arrested?

6 A To the best of my knowledge, no.

7 Q No. And that includes my client, does it not,
8 Mr. Grogan?

9 A Yes.

10 Q So we will be clear about it, then, my client was
11 arrested in Inyo County and yet no conviction was had in Inyo
12 County relative to any such arrest, is that so?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q When did you first ever come into contact with Paul
15 Crockett?

16 A That was the 29th of September of that year.

17 Q That is the very first time you had ever seen
18 Mr. Crockett in your life?

19 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.

20 Q What about Brooks Poston, when did you first come
21 into contact with him?

22 A Also the 29th.

23 Q What about Paul Watkins?

24 A I did not see him -- probably it was late in 1970
25 before I ever ran across him.

26 Q Now, on September 29, 1969, when you first saw
27 Crockett and Poston, what if anything were they doing?

28 A When we first met, they were driving a four-wheel-

1 drive truck up Goler Wash.

2 Q And were you on your way into the area when you met
3 these two men?

4 A We were going down Goler Wash from Barker Ranch.

5 Q From Barker Ranch?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Who was driving the vehicle in which Crockett and
8 Poston were riding?

9 A That was Crockett.

10 Q Did you ever determine the owner of that vehicle?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Who is the owner?

13 A The name escapes me at the moment. It was a woman's
14 name.

15 Q Did you determine that that was a stolen vehicle?

16 A No. That was not stolen.

17 Q Do you know where that owner resides or resided at
18 the time?

19 A The registration --

20 MR. KATZ: Excuse me. I'm going to object on the grounds
21 it calls for hearsay and is immaterial.

22 THE COURT: Well, it does call for hearsay. He speaks
23 of a registration.

24 The registration would be the best evidence. I
25 think I may take an answer.

26 If I thought it was too vital I would probably
27 sustain the objection.

28 Did you see the registration certificate?

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 THE COURT: No.

3 Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: How did you determine the owner
4 even though you don't recall her name at this time?

5 A By registration check through Department of Motor
6 Vehicles.

7 Q But in any event, the car did not belong to Poston
8 or Crockett?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Okay. And what, if anything, were these men doing
11 in connection with driving this vehicle as you saw them on
12 September 29th?

13 A At that time the truck was loaded with automotive
14 parts. Motor oil. There was also a commercial type movie
15 camera and some accessories for the camera.

16 Q Did they appear to be on their way into Barker Ranch?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And did you stop these men in this vehicle?

19 A Yes, we did.

20 Q Did you have some conversation with the men in this
21 vehicle?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Following that conversation did you ever see
24 Mr. Crockett or Mr. Poston again?

25 A Yes.

#8

1 Q When was that?

2 A The following day.

3 Q And where was that?

4 A At Barker Ranch.

5 Q And what if anything were they doing the following
6 day when you saw them at Barker Ranch?

7 A We arrived at daybreak and got them out of bed.

8 Q Where were they in relation to all the other per-
9 sons that were -- that may be too difficult. Let me withdraw
10 it.

11 Where were they in bed when you arrived in the early
12 morning hours of September the 30th?

13 A I would describe it as one of the outbuildings at
14 Barker Ranch.

15 Q Who else occupied that particular building in which
16 you saw Crockett and Poston, if anyone else?

17 A No one else, to my knowledge.

18 Q Were any of the other persons who were arrested on
19 October 10th or 12th in the immediate vicinity of this place
20 where you saw Crockett and Poston?

21 A We saw no one else.

22 Q How did you gain access to where they were sleep-
23 ing, Officer?

24 A Walked up.

25 Q To the inside of the building?

26 A No, outside.

27 Q Oh, outside? What, if anything, was in the
28 immediate vicinity then of where they were sleeping? The

1 building there?

2 A Yes.

3 Q They were sleeping outside the building?

4 A No. They were inside the building.

5 Q My question -- excuse me -- then is how did you
6 get inside the building?

7 A We did not enter the building.

8 Q Did you knock on the door or did they come to the
9 door, or what?

10 A We tapped on the wall.

11 Q Did that appear to wake them up?

12 A I would assume they were already awake.

13 Q But in any event they finally came outside, or you
14 went inside?

15 A They came out.

16 Q Did you have some conversation with them at that
17 time?

18 A Very little. We did have some.

19 Q What if anything did you do following this second
20 conversation with Crockett and Poston?

21 A We then continued eastbound toward the Mengle Pass
22 area.

23 Q Following the conversation you had the day before
24 with Crockett and Poston when they appeared to be driving
25 towards Barker Ranch with a load of motor oil and automobile
26 parts and so on, did they appear to continue on to Barker Ranch
27 with this load?

28 A Yes. We followed them to that location.

1 Q What if anything happened after you got to the
2 location?

3 A Then we sat down and had a conversation.

4 Q How long did that conversation last?

5 A Probably 30, 40 minutes.

6 Q Did either Mr. Crockett or Mr. Poston tell you
7 where they had secured all of these, first of all, automobile
8 parts?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What kind of parts were in the truck, Officer?

11 A Ignition parts, headlamps, fan belts. That is
12 about all I can recall.

13 Q And what about the motor oil? Was there a large
14 quantity of that, or not?

15 A Yes, a number of cases.

16 Q Was there anything in addition to those items and
17 the movie camera?

18 A There were a couple of tires and wheels also in the
19 auto parts. Other than that, I cannot recall.

20 Q Where did they tell you that they had gotten this?

21 MR. KATZ: Excuse me, your Honor. It calls for hearsay.

22 THE COURT: I think so. I'll sustain the objection.

23 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, I'm curious under the circum-
24 stances for a number of reasons.

25 Perhaps we should approach the bench.

26 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I have no objection if the entire
27 conversation, the 40-minute conversation, comes in. I have no
28 objection if counsel wants to do that. Otherwise, he is not

1 going to get in part of the conversation.

2 THE COURT: Of course, you could come back on redirect.

3 MR. KATZ: It calls for hearsay.

4 If that is the understanding, that I can come back
5 on redirect and elicit the full conversation, I will withdraw
6 the objection.

7 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, we have heard so often from
8 the prosecution, and I would like to know why Crockett and
9 Poston were not arrested. That is the thrust of the question.

10 THE COURT: Let me have the question.

11 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, it calls for hearsay. If counsel
12 wants the entire conversation, fine, he can ask for that and
13 I won't object. Otherwise, I have an objection on the ground
14 it calls for hearsay.

15 MR. WEEDMAN: It is not being offered, your Honor, for
16 the truth of the matter, where they got these, but for the
17 officer's state of mind relative to the inquiries as to why
18 Poston and Crockett were not arrested.

19 MR. KATZ: That would have no materiality and no relevan-
20 cy whatsoever. There is nothing to indicate that any of the
21 circumstances warranted the arrest of Crockett or Poston.

22 (Whereupon the record was read back by
23 the reporter as follows:

24 "Q Where did they tell you that they
25 had gotten this?"

26 THE COURT: Well, it is clearcut hearsay. I will sustain
27 the objection. Sustained.

28 Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: About how long did this conversation

1 last on September 29th with Crockett and Poston?

2 A About 30 to 40 minutes.

3 Q How long did you talk with Crockett and Poston the
4 following day, September 30th?

5 A Probably approximately ten minutes.

6 Q Now, following September 30th did you see either
7 Crockett or Poston again?

8 A I have since then, but --

9 Q Well, when is the very next time that you saw
10 either one of those gentlemen after September 30, 1969?

11 A That I don't recall.

end 8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Q Was it several weeks or months later?

2 A Probably many weeks.

3 Q Were you ever present at any conversations or
4 interrogations at which Mr. Crockett or Mr. Poston were
5 present, together with Sgt. Paul Whiteley of the Los Angeles
6 County Sheriff's Department?

7 A No.

8 Q Did you have occasion, following any of your
9 conversations with Crockett or Poston, to see Sgt. Whiteley of
10 the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department?

11 A Yes.

12 Q When was that, Officer?

13 A Again I don't recall the date.

14 Q Very well. But can you give us an approximate
15 time when you saw Sgt. Whiteley?

16 A I believe it was early in '70.

17 Q And by early, what month would you estimate that
18 to be?

19 A Possibly January or February.

20 Q And where was it that you saw Sgt. Whiteley?

21 MR. KATZ: There is an objection now on the grounds this
22 whole line of questioning is immaterial.

23 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, your Honor, I agree with counsel to
24 some extent. I have really gone outside the scope of the
25 direct examination of this officer. I will certainly concede
26 that.

27 If the officer can return then I will call him as
28 a defense witness, your Honor.

9-2

1 THE COURT: Well, you can call him as your own witness.

2 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes. I will wait until that time, your
3 Honor.

4 MR. KATZ: Well, excuse me, your Honor. Rather than
5 inconvenience the officer I have no objection if counsel
6 wants to take this witness as his own out of order for
7 purposes of direct examination.

8 THE COURT: He may not want to do that now, I don't
9 know, you see.

10 There is an objection is now. If he wants to call
11 him as a witness that is his next point. He has that right.

12 MR. KATZ: I have no objection.

13 THE COURT: If you want to withdraw your objection that
14 is the only way I know of doing it.

15 MR. KATZ: May I confer with counsel?

16 (Conference between counsel not
17 reported.)

18 MR. WEEDMAN: Counsel and I have conferred, and thank
19 you, your Honor.

20 I think we both agree it might be more appropriate
21 for Officer Pursell to return.

22 May I inquire of the officer about how much notice
23 he would need in order to come back.

24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

25 Q BY MR. WEEDMAN: Officer, about how much notice
26 would you need in order to conveniently return to this
27 courtroom?

28 A One day would be about right.

9-3

1 Q Does the district attorney know how to get in
2 touch with you?

3 A Right.

4 Q Are you presently assigned to Shoshone or where?

5 A Still Death Valley.

6 Q Is Shoshone in Death Valley?

7 A Actually, no. The eastern part of the county,
8 outside of Death Valley.

9 Q What town are you assigned to, if there is a
10 particular town?

11 A Furnace Creek.

12 Q Oh, Furnace Creek.

13 By the way, are you acquainted with a member of
14 the California Highway Patrol by the name of Tom Grogan?

15 A No, I am not.

16 MR. WEEDMAN: All right.

17 I think I have concluded at least for now, then,
18 your Honor.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 MR. WEEDMAN: Oh, yes. Just one thing further.

21 Q Officer Pursell, did you have any conversations
22 with my client in connection with any of your arrests or
23 activities or investigations at Barker-Myers Ranch?

24 A No, I did not.

25 Q Did you ever seek out or attempt to have any
26 conversations with my client?

27 A No.

28 Q Thank you.

9-4

1 Were you ever present at any conversations or
2 interrogations of my client by any other police officer?

3 A No.

4 Q On which one of these dates was my client arrested?

5 A The 10th of October.

6 Q Did you participate directly in his arrest?

7 A No, I didn't.

8 Q Were you present when he was arrested?

9 A No.

10 Q Do you know who arrested him?

11 A Yes, I do.

12 Q Who was that?

13 A Officer Gernigan, CHP.

14 Q Were any other officers participating directly in
15 my client's arrest up there?

16 A Yes, there was probably six.

17 Q Was Officer Stiber one of those officers, perhaps?

18 A No.

19 Q All right.

20 And the charge against my client was dismissed, was
21 it not, Officer Pursell?

22 MR. KATZ: I am going to object. That calls for a legal
23 conclusion.

24 Excuse me. If I can confer with counsel.

25 (Counsel confer, not reported.)

26 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, I take it there is an objection
27 pending, your Honor.

28 THE COURT: Well, you may answer the question.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

2 MR. WEEDMAN: Oh, you don't know. All right.

3 Officer, thank you so much. See you in a couple
4 of weeks.

5

6

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

INDEX

7 BY MR. KATZ:

8 Q Is Frank Fouls the district attorney of Inyo
9 County?

10 A That is correct.

11 Q He would handle all of the dispositions in connec-
12 tion with the arrests emanating out of the October 10th and
13 October 12th raids, is that right?

14 A Yes, that's right.

15 Q That gentleman would have knowledge then as to the
16 final disposition of each and every person arrested October
17 10th and October 12th, is that correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 MR. KATZ: Thank you. Nothing further.

20 THE COURT: Is that all, gentlemen?

21 MR. WEEDMAN: Yes. Thank you.

22 MR. KATZ: Thank you very much.

23 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Thank you.

25 MR. KATZ: Deputy Chamousis.

26

27

28

9-6

PETER CHAMOUSIS,

called as a witness by the People, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Raise your right hand and be sworn, please.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Thank you, sir.

Will you take the stand and be seated, please.

THE COURT: Tell us your name, please.

THE WITNESS: Peter Chamousis, C-h-a-m-o-u-s-i-s.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If you will talk right into the phone there, that's right. When the questions are asked talk in there so the jury can follow you.

INDEX

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KATZ:

Q Deputy Chamousis, what is your occupation and assignment?

A Deputy sheriff for the County of Los Angeles, present assigned to the latent print section of the Technical Services Detail.

Q How long have you been so assigned?

A Approximately 15 years.

Q And what training and experience do you have in the comparison of fingerprint exemplars with a latent lift

9-7

1 in determining whether or not the latent lift and the
2 fingerprint exemplars were made by one and the same person?

3 A I have taken and passed a course in the science
4 of fingerprints given by the Los Angeles City School System.

5 I have made some 30,000-odd comparisons as
6 regards fingerprints.

7 I have testified in municipal and superior courts
8 in excess of 200 times as a fingerprint expert.

10

#10

1 Q And that is on the basis of qualifications, is that
2 correct?

3 A Yes, sir.

4 Q And in connection with your testifying as an expert
5 on those two hundred occasions, were you asked to determine
6 whether or not a latent lift, for example, was made by the
7 same person who had made the known exemplar which was available
8 to you?

9 A Yes, sir.

10 Q In other words, the comparison of fingerprints to
11 determine whether or not they were made by one and the same
12 person, is that correct?

13 A That is correct.

14 Q What is a fingerprint?

15 A A fingerprint is an impression left by the ridges
16 of the finger. Sometimes they are inked, sometimes they are
17 not inked. If they are not inked, we have to apply a powder
18 to the surface, which will show us the fingerprint.

19 Q And what causes a fingerprint to be left?

20 A The oils and the salts that the skin secretes.

21 Q Are there certain kinds of surfaces which more
22 readily make it possible for a print to be left?

23 A Yes. We need a -- normally we need a smooth, dry,
24 clean, non-porous surface which the skin is applied to and
25 henceforth the oil and the salts remain on the surface.

26 Q All right. Now, Deputy, I want to ask you whether
27 or not in connection with your expertise you were dispatched
28 to the Calabasas Garage in Calabasas on December 10, 1969?

1 A Could I see my card, please?

2 MR. KATZ: Yes, you may.

3 Let me withdraw that question for the purpose of
4 marking some exhibits, if I may at this time.

5 THE COURT: Did you see this, counsel, Mr. Weedman?
6 Did you see these?

7 MR. KATZ: I'm going to mark them and then show them to
8 him. Thank you, your Honor.

9 I have here a photograph of a male individual.
10 I ask that this be marked 32-R1 for identification.

11 THE COURT: It will be so marked.

32R1 Iden.

12 MR. KATZ: I have shown this already to counsel.

13 THE COURT: Thank you.

14 MR. KATZ: I have what appears to be a latent lift card
15 with the date 12/10/69 and with the deputy's name Chamousis --
16 spelled C-h-a-m-o-u-s-i-s -- and I ask that this be marked
17 People's 42 for identification.

18 THE COURT: It will be so marked.

42 IDEN.

19 MR. KATZ: I have two fingerprint exemplar cards pertain-
20 ing to one Bruce Davis, and I ask that these be respectively
21 marked 43-A and 43-B for identification.

22 THE COURT: So marked.

43A&B Iden

23 MR. KATZ: I have a photograph of what appears to be a
24 latent print and an inked print, and I ask that this combined
25 document or photograph be marked People's 44 for identification.

26 THE COURT: So marked.

44 Id

27 Did you want a few minutes to look at these, Mr. Weedman?

28 MR. WEEDMAN: No, your Honor. Thank you. This will be

1 fine.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 Q BY MR. KATZ: All right. Now, I'm going to show
4 you 42 for identification and ask you whether or not you recog-
5 nize this as having seen it before.

6 A Yes, sir, I have.

7 Q What is that?

8 A This is the card that we put the latent print lift
9 on and fill out the top in regards to the information.

10 Q Now, when you go out in the field to obtain a
11 latent lift, and in the event that you do secure a latent lift,
12 are you required to fill out certain information on a card
13 which is known as a latent print card?

14 A Yes, sir.

15 Q What information do you put down in the ordinary
16 course of your police business in that regard?

17 A It would be the file number, or Sheriff's File
18 number, my name, the date, the victim concerned, the location
19 where the latent print was, and the specific area where we
20 lifted the print.

21 Q All right. Now showing you People's 42 for iden-
22 tification, do you recognize the handwriting that appears above
23 the latent print?

24 A Yes, sir. That is my handwriting.

25 Q Did you fill it out on the date indicated,
26 December 10, 1969?

27 A I did.

28 Q Can you tell from this card as to where you secured

1 the latent lift from?

2 A Yes, sir. I lifted it off the green metal foot-
3 locker on the right adjacent to the leather strap which was on
4 one end of the footlocker.

5 Q Where was this footlocker located, sir?

6 A In the truck of the automobile --

7 Q Go on.

8 A -- 1962 Mercury, Nora-Charlie-Mike 841.

9 Q Is that Nora-Charlie-Mike, or is that Nora-Lincoln-
10 Mike 841? Do you know whether that is an "L" in the middle
11 or a "J"?

12 A It could be an "L."

13 Q In any event, you secured the latent lift from a
14 footlocker that was contained in the trunk of a white Comet
15 located at the Calabasas Garage on December 10, 1969, is that
16 correct?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 Q I notice you have the victim here, "Gary Hinman."
19 How did you arrive at that?

20 A This was put on the teletype request --

21 MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me. May we approach the bench?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

10 A

10a-1

(The following proceedings were had
in chambers:)

THE COURT: We are in chambers.

Better go back on the last question.

(The record was read by the reporter
as follows:

"Q I notice you have the victim
here, "Gary Hinman." How did you arrive
at that?"

MR. KATZ: Now, the reason for asking that question,
your Honor, is because this is the case of Shorty Shea.
Obviously this has nothing to do -- the name "Gary Hinman" has
nothing to do with the defendant in this case. I wanted to
make it clear before I was interrupted by counsel that this
name has nothing to do with the defendant here.

This exhibit would be shown before the jury
because they have a right to compare the exhibits to determine
whether or not the conclusions of the expert, namely, whether
the latent lift was made by Bruce Davis.

It has absolutely nothing to do with the defendant
in this case, and I was going to bring this out right here,
that was the purpose. Otherwise this card cannot be shown to
the jury.

That was my reason, and now counsel accuses me of
being in bad faith, and I don't see how I can be accused of
that.

THE COURT: What are you going to accomplish by proceed-
ing with it? What is your ultimate accomplishment?

10a-2 1 MR. KATZ: My ultimate accomplishment is to have him
2 compare, and I refer to People's 42, which has next to the
3 designation "Victim," "Gary Hinman," the latent print on
4 People's 42, with fingerprint exemplars of one Bruce Davis.

5 They have nothing to do with Mr. Grogan. And in
6 that connection, he will say the comparison of the fingerprint
7 exemplars of Bruce Davis with People's 42, the latent lift,
8 were made by one and the same person, i.e. Bruce Davis. This
9 officer personally rolled the fingerprints of Bruce Davis.

10 Now, this card here has the victim's name, "Gary
11 Hinman," and I did not want this before the jury as such so
12 that they would draw adverse inferences, and I was going to
13 clear it up and I was attempting to do it directly, and here
14 I am accused of bad faith, and I don't understand it. This
15 is an exhibit that the jury has not only a right to see but
16 a duty to examine.

17 MR. WEEDMAN: That is the most incredible thing I guess
18 I have ever heard, your Honor. I know I keep saying this in
19 this trial and I certainly -- I guess it really loses its
20 impact after a while.

21 Your Honor, Gary Hinman is a murder victim. Bruce
22 Davis is charged with the murder of Gary Hinman. Charles
23 Manson is also presently on trial charged with the murder of
24 Gary Hinman.

25 Counsel, on the most incredible pretext imaginable,
26 now in front of this jury mentions Gary Hinman as a victim in
27 connection with Bruce Davis, an alleged co-conspirator to my
28 client. I can't imagine anything more damaging and more

10a-3

1 prejudicial to my client, your Honor.

2 Counsel says that he does this so the jury won't
3 misunderstand. There was no need for counsel to mention it in
4 the first instance. All he had to do was delete that name from
5 that exhibit.

6 MR. KATZ: I cannot since this exhibit has been used and
7 will be used in the Bruce Davis case in regards to the Hinman
8 filing.

9 MR. WEEDMAN: Those notations on there are no part of the
10 evidence itself. Those are notations made there by a police
11 officer. They can be deleted.

12 THE COURT: They ought to be cut off. They oughtn't to
13 be in this case at all, in my opinion. Cut them off and show
14 the balance of them. Get them off of there. Tack them back
15 if you want to later. I don't think they ought to be in here.

16 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, at this time, because counsel
17 has deliberately injected the name of Gary Hinman as a victim
18 in connection with Bruce Davis -- the newspapers, your Honor,
19 are filled almost daily with reports of the Charles Manson
20 trial presently in progress, where Charles Manson is not only
21 charged with an alleged murder of Shorty Shea but also with
22 the murder of Gary Hinman and those articles invariably carry
23 the name of Bruce Davis -- I feel now that counsel has injected
24 evidence of another crime involving an alleged co-conspirator
25 in our case, all to the detriment of my client, and I will
26 respectfully move for a mistrial on those grounds, your Honor.

27 THE COURT: Motion denied.

28 I don't think they ought to be in here. I think

10a-4

1 if you want to show it -- I think the name ought to be off of
2 there, kept out of the case as much as possible. That is why
3 we severed, for one thing, took it away from the rest of the
4 co-defendants, and it shouldn't be injected.

5 I don't say you did it deliberately. I'm saying
6 it ought to be out, though. That is my feeling.

7 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I want the record to be clear on
8 this. This exhibit, People's 42, has a file number which
9 pertains to the Hinman killing. It has beneath it the victim's
10 name, "Gary Hinman," and it describes a car, a '62 Mercury
11 NLM 841, which is a car shown by our evidence to be that of
12 Shorty Shea.

13 Nothing could be more misleading to this jury
14 than to suggest that this car and Bruce Davis -- or, strike
15 that -- that Mr. Grogan here had anything to do with the
16 Gary Hinman killing as such, and I can't see, once again, how
17 I can be accused of being in bad faith.

11

#11

1 THE COURT: I am not --

2 MR. KATZ: Well --

3 THE COURT: I think you are very "high-handed" -- I don't
4 mean that word. I think you are very highly ethical.

5 I didn't mean that. I mischosed my English.

6 Highly ethical.

7 MR. KATZ: Let me do this. If your Honor wishes then,
8 we may --

9 THE COURT: It is not going to hurt you a bit to cut the
10 top off. You are not hurt at all.

11 Take the name off there. That is simple, just take
12 it off and paste it back in some other case. It isn't going to
13 hurt you any.

14 MR. KATZ: I would suggest this, your Honor. I don't
15 know what to do, perhaps sever the entire top half.

16 THE COURT: I would take the thing right off of there
17 and move forward.

18 I want to apologize, I used a bad choice of words.
19 I have the highest respect for you as well as defense counsel.

20 Despite your personal problems between yourselves,
21 I consider you both of the highest standards, and there is no
22 question on that.

23 I mischosed words but I don't think it should be in
24 here.

25 MR. KATZ: I agree, your Honor.

26 THE COURT: I suggest, cut it off. And if you want that
27 in another case, paste it back.

28 MR. KATZ: May I do this, your Honor. This is marked

1 People's 42 for identification.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. KATZ: I wish to cut out that portion of the writing
4 which refers to the victim, Gary Hinman, and mark that 42-1
5 and preserve it for the record.

6 THE COURT: All right.

7 MR. KATZ: May I do so?

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. KATZ: Now, may this piece of paper being marked --

10 THE COURT: Do it now and we will give it to the clerk.

11 MR. KATZ: 42-1 with the name Gary Hinman --

12 THE COURT: ID, right.

13 MR. KATZ: Yes.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 That will do it. Then we can paste it back there
16 later.

17 MR. KATZ: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

18 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, before we go I would respect-
19 fully request that the court admonish the jury that they are
20 to disregard any statements made by the prosecutor with regard
21 to one Gary Hinman.

22 And I would respectfully ask that you further
23 indicate to the jury that my client has nothing whatever to do
24 in any way with any person named Gary Hinman as a victim or
25 otherwise.

26 I think to fail to do that, your Honor, is to leave
27 my client in a guilt-by-association position.

28 MR. KATZ: I have no objection. I think it is a good

1 suggestion.

2 THE COURT: Is that a G or an H?

3 MR. KATZ: It is a G. That is Gary Hinman.

4 THE COURT: Looks like an H.

5 Well, I will admonish them, I will simply say that
6 the name of -- to disregard any reference that has been made
7 by anyone in this courtroom respecting Gary Hinman. It is to
8 be disregarded. Has no aspect in this case whatsoever.

9 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, I want the jury, your Honor, respect-
10 fully admonished. I want them told my client has absolutely
11 nothing whatever to do with Gary Hinman.

12 MR. KATZ: I think it should be said.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. KATZ: That is what I was trying to elicit from the
15 witness.

16 MR. WEEDMAN: I might add that Mr. Katz need not have
17 broached the subject.

18 THE COURT: Do you want to make your own statement?

19 MR. WEEDMAN: No. I won't say anything further, your
20 Honor.

21 (The following proceedings were in open
22 court in the presence of the jury.)

23 THE COURT: Back in the courtroom, gentlemen.

24 I will say to the jury at this time, I will ask and
25 instruct the jury to disregard any reference or connotation
26 that may be drawn from the mentioning of the name of Gary
27 Hinman in this case. He has no aspects in this case. No
28 inference therefrom is to be drawn as against the defendant.

1 There is no connection between the two, and any
2 connection that might or might not be had by any members of
3 the jury is to be disassociated with this case entirely.

4 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I think a stronger comment should
5 be made that Mr. Grogan has nothing whatsoever to do with the
6 Gary Hinman case. That has to be absolutely stated to the
7 jury.

8 THE COURT: That's correct.

9 MR. KATZ: Thank you.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Clerk, this is marked 42-1 identification,
11 ID (handing). Watch that. Better put it on a bigger piece
12 of paper.

13 MR. KATZ: All right.

14 Q Now, I want to go back to 42 for identification.
15 This is a latent lift, then, which you secured from a foot-
16 locker in the trunk of a car located at the Calabasas Garage
17 on December 10, 1969, is that correct?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q I want to show you the series 16 photographs which
20 depict a white Mercury Comet bearing license number NLM 841
21 and which also show once again the trunk of a car in which
22 is visible the license number once again, NLM 841.

23 Do you recognize the series 16 photographs as
24 correctly depicting the car from which you secured the latent
25 lift on People's 42?

26 A Yes, sir.

27 Q All right.

28 And I would like to show you the photograph 16-I

for identification which depicts two footlockers in this car bearing license number NLM 841, and ask you which of the footlockers it was that you used in connection with securing the latent lift.

A It would be the footlocker on the right-hand side of the truck facing the trunk.

Q And on what section of the footlocker were you able to secure the latent lift which is People's 42?

A Right adjacent to the strap handle.

Q And is that visible in this photograph at all?

A The strap handle?

Q Yes.

A You can see one corner of it there.

Q And I notice there is an X here, and there are some initials. They appear to be --

A That's my initials.

11A

11a-1

1 Q What are those initials again?

2 A P.C.

3 Q Did you make that X on this photograph?

4 A Yes, sir, I did.

5 Q What does that denote, the X on a portion of the
6 trunk in the right part of the trunk?

7 A That would denote the footlocker that I obtained
8 the latent print from.

9 Q All right.

10 Now, you said a portion of the strap was visible.
11 Would you be kind enough, if it is visible, to make another
12 notation here indicating the approximate area of the strap
13 that you secured the latent lift from?

14 A Well, all that's visible is a portion of the
15 handle that holds the strap.

16 Q Why don't you draw a circle around that then, if
17 you will, and put your initials below that.

18 A (Marking.)

19 MR. KATZ: May the record reflect that the witness has
20 drawn a circle on the right footlocker in the trunk of the
21 vehicle, NLM 841, on 16-I for identification.

22 THE COURT: It may so show.

23 Q BY MR. KATZ: Incidentally, what was the condition
24 of the trunk when you found it, was it open or was it locked
25 or what?

26 A The trunk was closed.

27 Q All right.

28 And was there any moisture inside the trunk, or

11a-2

1 had the elements permeated or penetrated the trunk?

2 A It looked fairly dry to me. No excessive -- there
3 was a little bit of excessive dust, but nothing other than
4 that.

5 Q Did you notice the interior of the car, that is
6 the passenger compartment of the car?

7 A Yeah, that was pretty dusty inside.

8 Q Do you know whether the window was open?

9 A The windows were down.

10 Q You mean it was in an open position, is that
11 correct?

12 A Yes, sir.

13 Q I would like you once again to look at the 16
14 series photographs with respect to the interior of the car
15 and tell me whether or not these photographs truly and
16 accurately depict the interior of the car at the time you saw
17 it on December 10th, 1969.

18 And I will show you photograph 16-E and 16-G,
19 16-H, and 16-F for identification.

20 A Yes, to the best of my recollection, this looks
21 like the condition that the car was in when I got there.

22 Q Now, did you make any attempt to secure any latent
23 prints in the passenger compartment of the car at all?

24 A Yes, sir, I dusted the interior of the car plus
25 articles that were on the front and back seats.

26 Q How would you characterize the condition of the
27 car insofar as it concerns your ability to secure latent
28 lifts?

11a-3

1 A It was pretty well dried out there. It was dusty.
2 Kind of difficult to lift any latent prints.

3 In fact, I didn't get any latent prints off the
4 inside of the car.

5 Q All right.

6 Even though you made attempts, is that right?

7 A Yes, sir.

8 Q Other than latent lifts which you secured on the
9 footlocker in the closed trunk of the car were you able to
10 secure any others?

11 A No, sir, just off the footlocker.

12 Q Now, I would like to show you these two finger-
13 print exemplar cards and perhaps we can put these photographs
14 away for a moment -- which are marked 43-A and 43-B for
15 identification.

16 I show you, first of all, 43-A which apparently --

17 THE COURT: Have they been marked?

18 MR. KATZ: Yes, your Honor, they have.

19 THE COURT: All right.

20 Q BY MR. KATZ: I show you 43-A and ask you whether
21 or not you have seen this before?

22 A Yes, sir, I have.

23 Q And these contain some fingerprints that were
24 rolled of an individual, is that correct?

25 A Yeah, these contain the right fingers and the right
26 palm of a Bruce Davis.

27 Q All right.

28 That is the name that is on there, is that correct?

11a-4

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q What is the date?

3 A January the 21st, 1970.

4 Q Now, when a fingerprint or a palm print or what
5 have you is rolled of a person in the Los Angeles County
6 Sheriff's Department, is it placed on a card such as 43-A for
7 identification?

8 A Yes, sir.

9 Q At the time that the fingerprint is rolled, in
10 the ordinary course of business, that is, police business,
11 is the rolling officer required to put his name and signature
12 and badge number?

13 A Yes.

14 Q On the card?

15 All right.

16 And there is a name and serial number of an
17 L. Hearn, is that correct?

18 A Yes. It looks like Hearn 202.

19 Q And that serial number was 202, is that correct?

20 A Yes, sir, that is the badge number.

21 Q Hearn is H-e-a-r-n. Are you required to put the
22 date of the rolling of the fingerprints?

23 A Yes, sir.

24 Q Would that appear in the upper right-hand corner?

25 A That is correct.

26 Q Are you also required to put the name of the person
27 whose fingerprints appear on the fingerprint exemplar card on
28 the upper left-hand corner?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Is the person whose fingerprints are taken
3 required to sign immediately below his printed name in the
4 upper left-hand portion of the card?

5 A Yes. That is the procedure.

6 Q This is followed in each and every instance in
7 which a fingerprint exemplar card is made from a person, is
8 that correct, in the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department?

9 A Yes, it is.

10 Q I would like to show you 43-B for identification,
11 and ask you whether or not this is another fingerprint exemplar
12 card?

13 A Yes, it is.

14 Q Who rolled the fingerprints on this card?

15 A I did.

16 Q Who did you roll it off?

17 A Of a Bruce Davis.

18 Q And once again did you require Mr. Davis to sign
19 his name beneath the printed name Bruce Davis?

20 A I did.

21 Q That appears in the upper left-hand corner, is
22 that right?

23 A Yes, sir.

24 Q Did you place your name in the area indicating the
25 officer who took the fingerprints?

26 A I did. I signed the card.

27 Q With your badge No. 1765?

28 A Yes, sir.

1 Q Did you put the date that you rolled the prints?

2 A I did.

3 Q Where does that appear?

4 A Up in the upper right-hand corner.

5 Q And that would be December 11th, 1970?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q All right.

8 Now, did you compare then 43-B and 43-A with 42,
9 the latent lift, to determine whether or not they were made by
10 one and the same person?

11 A Yes, sir, I did.

12 Q Did you form an expert opinion as to whether or
13 not they were in fact made by one and the same person?

14 A I did.

15 Q What is that opinion?

16 A In my opinion the latent fingerprint card 42,
17 People's exemplar 43-B and People's exemplar 43-A were all made
18 by one and the same person.

11b

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#11B

Q Now, I would like to show you -- excuse me, may counsel and I approach the bench?

THE COURT: Yes, sir. All right.

Do you want the reporter?

MR. KATZ: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Better come in here.

(The following proceedings were in chambers out of the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Go ahead, we are in chambers.

MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I am about to show a photograph to this witness which depicts Bruce Davis.

This witness is able to identify Bruce Davis because this picture was taken at or about the time that he rolled the fingerprints of Bruce Davis.

I wish to cut the bottom portion which, I believe, contains prejudicial matter, from it.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KATZ: But since this has already been marked as a grand jury exhibit I would like to re-mark the bottom portion.

THE COURT: For identification.

MR. KATZ: Which will be dissected or separated from the upper photograph portion, 32-R2, if I may.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KATZ: May I do that?

THE COURT: Let's cut it.

MR. KATZ: Yes. But I am going to mark it 32-R2 which indicates the portion of the photograph which contains the

32-R2 ID

1 written material.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MR. KATZ: If I may have the scissors.

4 THE COURT: Now, let's get that severed here. You can
5 cut it off.

6 MR. KATZ: Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Frank, we have cut the bottom part of the
8 Davis picture, and it is severally marked for identification,
9 32-R2, separated.

10 THE CLERK: Thank you.

11 THE COURT: Now, we have got to have this marking for the
12 top part here.

13 MR. KATZ: That is 32-R1, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 Better get that off in some fashion off the front
16 of it.

17 MR. KATZ: Well, now, this part is all right.

18 THE COURT: All right, then, is that all now?

19 MR. KATZ: Yes.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 (The following proceedings were had in open
22 court in the presence of the jury.)

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 Now, let's see. You may proceed.

25 MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor. If I may approach the
26 witness.

27 THE COURT: Yes.

28 Q BY MR. KATZ: Deputy Chamousis, showing you this

1 photograph 32-R1 for identification, would you look at this and
2 tell me whether or not you recognize the individual depicted
3 therein?

4 A Yes, sir.

5 Q And who is that?

6 A This is the person called Bruce Davis that I took
7 the fingerprint cards from.

8 Q In other words, with respect to your own personal
9 knowledge, the fingerprint card which you rolled on December 11,
10 1970, and which contained the fingerprints of an individual,
11 were secured from the individual who is depicted in this
12 photograph 32-R1, is that correct?

13 A Yes, sir.

14 Q And that person is known to you as Bruce Davis,
15 is that correct?

16 A Yes, sir.

12 rls

#12

1 Q Now, Officer, we have an exhibit that has been
2 marked 44 for identification. Can you tell us what this is?
3 I'm going to hold this up here and have you explain to us what
4 this is.

5 A That is a photograph of the latent print and the
6 rolled impression that are both enlarged for purposes of the
7 court exhibit.

8 Q Now, I notice that you have some numbers on there.
9 What do they connote?

10 A Those denote the points of similarity between the
11 latent print and the rolled impression.

12 Q Now, you have a number "14," is this an arbitrary
13 number or is that the number of points of similarity as you
14 found between the latent lift and the known exemplars?

15 A That is the number of points of similarity we
16 marked on that particular exhibit.

17 Q Does that mean that you found additional points
18 of similarity between the latent print and the known exemplars
19 of Bruce Davis?

20 A There could be some, yes. There are some there.
21 I take that back.

22 Q Is there a reason why you did not mark more than 14?

23 A 14 seemed like a good number, and we just usually
24 take enough to satisfy ourselves in our own mind that they are
25 one and the same.

26 Q I see. And in your expert opinion, do you have
27 any doubt that the latent lift which appears in the photograph
28 on the left of People's 44 and the photograph of the inked

1 print which appears on the right-hand part of 44 were made by
2 one and the same person?

3 A No, sir, there is no doubt in my mind.

4 Q Incidentally, Deputy Chamousis, is there a certain
5 number of points of similarity that are required by certain
6 experts and used as a basis for determining whether or not
7 they are made by one and the same person, not you, particularly,
8 but other experts in this field?

9 A Yes, I imagine there is.

10 Q Do you know that number?

11 A A lot of them would say ten, but I don't like to
12 use ten -- I don't like to be nailed down that way.

13 Q So you found in excess of 14 points of similarity
14 between the latent lift you found on the footlocker in the
15 trunk of the vehicle as compared with the known exemplars
16 to you of Bruce Davis, is that correct?

17 A Yes, sir.

18 MR. KATZ: Thank you. Nothing further.

19 THE COURT: I think we will take a short recess,
20 gentlemen, and we will proceed in just a few moments.

21 Do not discuss the case at all. We will proceed
22 in just a few minutes.

23 We are at recess. Thank you.

24 (Recess.)

25

26

27

28

12a-1

1 THE COURT: All right. Let's go right ahead.

2 People versus Grogan. The defendant is here,
3 counsel are here. The witness is on the stand.

4 State your name again, please, Officer.

5 THE WITNESS: Peter Chamousis -- C-h-a-m-o-u-s-i-s.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 You have been sworn.

8 Bring in the jury, Sheriff.

9 (The following proceedings were had
10 in open court in the presence of the
11 jury:)

12 THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead.

13 MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you.

14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. WEEDMAN:

17 Q Mr. Chamousis, did you find any prints belonging
18 to the defendant, Steve Grogan, inside the vehicle that you
19 examined?

20 A No, sir.

21 Q Did you find any prints belonging to the defendant
22 on any of the trunks that you examined or footlockers?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q Did you find any fingerprints anywhere in or out
25 of that automobile that belonged to the defendant?

26 A No, sir, I did not.

27 MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you, sir, that is all.

28 MR. KATZ: No questions.

1 THE COURT: That is all. Thank you very much.

2 MR. KATZ: Perhaps this would be a convenient time --

3 THE COURT: Let's go to 2 o'clock.

4 We will recess till 2 o'clock. Please do not
5 discuss the case or come to any opinion or conclusion.

6 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Recess.

7 (The noon recess was taken to 2 o'clock
8 p.m. of the same day.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

13-1

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 10, 1971

2:05 P.M.

THE COURT: Now, gentlemen, we will proceed.

People against Grogan. The defendant is here.
Both counsel are here.

You can please bring in the jury, Sheriff.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: We will go right ahead.

(The following proceedings were had
in open court in the presence of the
jury:)

THE COURT: Now we have all of our regular jurors here
plus the three alternates.

So the People may proceed.

MR. KATZ: Yes. The People wish to call Paul Watkins.

THE COURT: All right.

PAUL WATKINS,

called as a witness by the People, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Right up here, please.

Now, first of all, raise your right hand and be
sworn, please.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you may
give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help
you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

1 THE CLERK: Thank you, sir. Will you take the stand and
2 be seated, please.

3 Will you be kind enough to state your name for the
4 record.

5 THE WITNESS: Paul Watkins.

6 THE CLERK: Will you spell your last name.

7 THE WITNESS: Spell the last name?

8 THE CLERK: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 THE WITNESS: W-a-t-k-i-n-s.

11 THE CLERK: Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 Now, Mr. Watkins, when you answer the questions of
14 the district attorney and defense, sit right up a little closer
15 to this telephone here and talk right in there so the jury can
16 hear you, if you will.

17 Just like you are at a telephone.

18 Go ahead.

19 MR. KATZ: Thank you.

20 INDEX

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. KATZ:

23 Q Paul, I am over here. If you can see me over the
24 telephone or microphone.

25 A Uh-huh.

26 Q Did you know and do you know Charles Manson?

27 A Yes.

28 THE COURT: Talk right in the phone. That's it.

1 Q BY MR. KATZ: Paul, when was it that you first met
2 Charles Manson?

3 A In the spring of 1968.

4 Q And where was it that you met Charles Manson in
5 the spring of 1968?

6 A In Topanga Canyon.

7 Q Did you meet him at a specific place or house in
8 Topanga Canyon?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What house was that, or at least where was it
11 located?

12 A It was up on Summit Drive.

14

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#14

1 Q And that is in Topanga Canyon itself, is that
2 correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q At the same time that you met Charles Manson in
5 the spring of 1968 at the house on Summit Drive, did you meet
6 some other people there?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Who did you meet?

9 A Well, there were ten girls there. Would you like
10 their names?

11 Q Yes, please.

12 A Let's see. There was Snake and Brenda and Mary
13 and Lynn and Katie and Sadie and Sandy and Stephanie.

14 Q Is that Stephanie Roe?

15 A Yes.

16 Q We are not talking about Stephanie Schram, is that
17 correct?

18 A A girl with long, brown hair. Stephanie, as far as
19 I'm concerned.

20 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, may the witness' answer insofar
21 as it seemed to indicate it was Stephanie Roe, be stricken?

22 THE COURT: Wait until the next question. We will get
23 it for you.

24 MR. KATZ: I have no objection that the conclusion that
25 it was Stephanie Roe go out.

26 THE COURT: All right. Strike it out. Restate your
27 question.

28 Q BY MR. KATZ: Let me ask it this way: You

1 indicated that a girl was there at that time by the name of
2 Stephanie, is that correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q All right. Tell us who else was there at that
5 time.

6 A Bruce Davis and a guy named Mark and Charlie.

7 Q By Charlie, who do you mean?

8 A Charlie Manson. And a guy named Bruce.

9 Q This is somebody apart from Bruce Davis?

10 A Another Bruce, yes.

11 Q Anybody else there, do you recall?

12 A No.

13 Q All right. Now, you referred to a girl by the
14 name of Snake. Do you know her by any other name?

15 A Yes.

16 Q What other name?

17 A I know her by Diane Lake, Diane Bluestein.

18 Q I believe you referred to a girl by the name of
19 Brenda.

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you know her by any other name?

22 A Yes.

23 Q By what name do you know her?

24 A I know her by Nancy Pitman, and by Penelope and
25 by Brenda McCann.

26 Q I don't remember if you told us or not. Was
27 Susan Atkins there?

28 A Yes.

1 Q Do you know a girl by the name of Mary Brunner?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Do you know when you met her?

4 A Yes. She was there. I thought I said that.

5 Q In other words, you met these people, then, in the

6 spring of 1968 at a house on Summit Drive in Topanga Canyon,

7 is that right?

8 A These people that I just said?

9 Q Yes.

10 A Yes, that is correct.

11 Q Now, Paul, can you be more specific with respect

12 to the approximate time in the spring of 1968 that you first

13 met these people?

14 A Yes. It would be about March of '68.

15 Q Now, after meeting these people, did you remain

16 at the house or did you leave?

17 A I stayed there for one night and I left the next

18 day.

19 Q Did you shortly after meeting these people at the

20 house on Summit Drive, leave California and go some place?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Where did you go?

23 A I went to New Mexico.

24 Q How long did you remain in New Mexico?

25 A A couple of weeks.

26 Q Where did you go after New Mexico?

27 A Back to Los Angeles.

28 Q And in Los Angeles did you see anybody?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Who did you see?

3 A I went back to the house on Summit Drive and I saw
4 Stephanie. She was there, and she was the only one there.

15 fls

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15-1

1 Q All right. She was the only one there.
2 Now, shortly after that what did you do?

3 A I went to Big Sur.

4 Q All right.

5 Now, let me get the sequence. How long did you
6 stay in Los Angeles after returning from New Mexico before
7 going to Big Sur?

8 A Just a couple days.

9 Q All right.

10 How long did you stay in Big Sur?

11 A It was a couple months.

12 Q All right.

13 And after staying there for a period of time at
14 Big Sur where did you go to?

15 A I went back to Los Angeles.

16 Q And did you see any of the persons that you have
17 named as having met them at the Summit Drive house?

18 A Yes.

19 Q When you returned to Los Angeles?
20 Who did you see?

21 A I saw Brenda.

22 Q Is that Brenda McCann?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Where did you see her?

25 A I saw her on Topanga Canyon Boulevard. She picked
26 me up. I was hitchhiking.

27 Q Was somebody else in the car besides Brenda?

28 A Yes.

15-2

1 Q Who else was there?

2 A Some guy. I don't know who he was.

3 Q All right.

4 And approximately when was it that you saw Brenda
5 after returning from Big Sur? Can you give us an approximate
6 month or part of the month, if you will?

7 A Yeah. It would be the latter part of June.

8 THE COURT: Of '68?

9 Q BY MR. KATZ: 1968?

10 A Of '68.

11 Q All right.

12 Now, did you go to someplace with Brenda?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Where did you go?

15 A To Spahn Ranch.

16 Q Did you see any people at Spahn Ranch?

17 A Yes.

18 Q We are talking about the latter part of June 1968,
19 is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Who did you see at Spahn Ranch?

22 A I saw Snake.

23 Q That once again is Diane?

24 A Yeah.

25 Q All right.

26 A And Brenda and Ruth and Squeeky and Sandy and
27 Charlie.

28 Q Do you know Sandy's last name?

15-3

1 A Sandy Good.

2 Q All right.

3 When you say Charlie you mean Charles Manson?

4 A Charlie Manson, yeah.

5 Q Now, you mentioned a girl by the name of Ruth. Do
6 you know her by a nickname?

7 A Yes. Ouish.

8 Q Is that spelled o-u-i-s-h?

9 A I don't know how you spell it. Just Ouish, as far
10 as I know.

11 Then I saw Brooks Poston was there and Clem was
12 there.

13 Q By Clem who do you mean?

14 A Clem (pointing).

15 MR. KATZ: May the record reflect that Mr. Watkins pointed
16 to the defendant, Mr. Grogan, in this case.

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 Q BY MR. KATZ: All right.

19 Where did you see these people, where in Spahn
20 Ranch?

21 A At the outlaw shacks.

22 Q Are you familiar with the front row of buildings
23 where they have the Longhorn Saloon and the Rock City Cafe?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Where are the outlaw shacks located in relationship
26 to the front row of buildings?

27 A About a mile down a little dirt road that goes north,
28 back into the hills from there.

15-5

- 1

Q All right.

2

3

And are those so-called outlaw shacks visible from the road, that is, Santa Susana Pass Road?

4

A Yes.

5

Q All right.

6

How far are they from the Santa Susana Pass Road?

7

A About a quarter of a mile.

8

Q All right.

9

Is there a farmhouse that is nearby?

10

A Yes.

11

Q Where is the farmhouse in relation to these

12

so-called outlaw shacks?

13

A It is about a hundred yards towards the creek.

14

Q All right.

15

16

Now, who else did you meet there at the outlaw shacks at that approximate time? We are talking about the latter part of June 1968, if anybody?

17

18

A Guy named Farmer John. But he -- he was up there.

19

And some other guys. I think one of them's name was Rat Face.

20

21

Q Rat Face?

22

A That is what I was told. I don't really know.

23

Q All right.

24

25

Now, in any event, what happened when you arrived at Spahn Ranch, did you begin to stay there, or did you leave or what?

26

27

A I stayed there.

28

Q All right.

1 Where did you stay at Spahn Ranch?

2 A I stayed in the outlaw shacks one night.

3 Q One night. And the people that you told us about,
4 were they staying at the outlaw shacks at that time, too, or
5 at some other place?

6 A They stayed at the outlaw shacks that night.

7 Q Does that include the defendant Clem?

8 A I am not sure about that. I don't believe so.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

#16

1

Q Do you know where Clem stayed that night?

2

A No.

3

Q Did you meet him the first day that you arrived at Spahn Ranch?

4

5

A Yes.

6

Q Where did you meet him? What part of the ranch?

7

A At the Outlaw Shack.

8

Q Did you move to some other location on the Spahn Ranch?

9

10

A Yes.

11

Q When did you do that?

12

A The next day.

13

Q Where did you move?

14

A To the jail.

15

Q Where is the jail located?

16

A It is right next door to the saloon.

17

Q Now, is this a real jail or is this a movie-front jail?

18

19

A It is a movie-front jail.

20

Q You didn't feel like a prisoner at that time, did you?

21

22

A No.

23

Q Did somebody else move in that jail with you?

24

A Yes.

25

Q Who did?

26

A Charlie and those five girls that I mentioned.

27

Q Tell me the five girls.

28

A Ruth, Sandy, Squeaky, Snake and Brenda.

1 THE COURT: You were all in the jail, is that it?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 Q BY MR. KATZ: Now, how long did you stay in the
4 jail? When I say stay, I mean sleep in the jail. Is that
5 what we are talking about?

6 A Yes. We stayed there just a few weeks.

7 Q Now, during that period of time, the few weeks
8 that you were staying at the jail at the front of the Spahn
9 Ranch, did you continue to see Clem?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Where did you see him?

12 A All around the ranch.

13 Q Did you ever see him in the vicinity of the outlaw
14 shacks?

15 A Yes.

16 THE COURT: Pardon me. I want to get this date again,
17 the two weeks he spent in the movie jail. What is the date,
18 the time? I don't think you have that in there. Maybe you do.

19 MR. KATZ: If I may back up for a moment.

20 Q Paul, am I correct in stating that according to
21 your testimony, you arrived at Spahn Ranch in the latter part
22 of June, 1968?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And you only stayed one night that first night at
25 the outlaw shacks, is that correct?

26 A Yes.

27 Q Then as I understand it you spent the next two weeks
28 in the jail with Charles Manson and five girls, is that right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And by that I mean you slept there?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now, where would that put it? Are we still in
5 June or the first part of July?

6 A The first part of July.

7 Q Can you tell us who you saw on a daily basis at
8 Spahn Ranch during that period, the two-week period we are
9 talking about?

10 MR. WEEDMAN: If anyone.

11 Q BY MR. KATZ: You did see people during that two
12 weeks, did you?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Would you tell us who you saw.

15 A All right. I saw Brooks and Clem --

16 Q By Brooks who do you mean?

17 A Brooks Poston.

18 Q All right. And by Clem you mean the defendant?

19 A Yes. And Charlie, and Sandy, and Squeaky and
20 Ruth and Snake and Brenda and Randy Starr and George --

21 Q You mean George Spahn?

22 A Yes. And Pearl and Juan.

23 Q Is that Juan Flynn?

24 A Yes. And Farmer John and Bo.

25 Q Do you know her real name, Bo?

26 A Barbara Rosenberg, so I'm told.

27 And there were others, but I don't know their names.

28 Q Now, do you know where Clem was sleeping during

1 this two-week period?

2 A Not actually, no.

3 Q Did you see him at one place on the ranch, or
4 different places on the ranch?

5 A At different places on the ranch.

6 Q Did you talk to him during that period of time?

7 A Yes.

8 Q After the two-week period in the jail, did you move
9 to some other location?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Where did you move to?

12 A Well, we began to move to like other little houses
13 around there, like the saloon. We fixed up some little room
14 in the saloon, stayed there for a while.

15 Q Is this still on the boardwalk area which fronts
16 the Spahn Ranch?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And who do you mean by "we"?

19 A Me and Charlie and the girls and Clem and Brooks.

20 Q Now, what do you mean by fixing up the saloon?

21 A Well, we put a board over the stage so that the
22 stage, you couldn't tell it was there, and used it for a room.

23 Q And Clem and Brooks helped to get there with these
24 other people?

25 A Yes.

26 Q All right. Were you actually sleeping in the
27 saloon?

28 A Yes.

1 Q Who slept in the saloon?

2 A Well, all those people I mentioned that helped
3 fix up the saloon. It would change from night to night, though.

4 Q Did the defendant sleep in the saloon?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Now, how long did you stay at Spahn Ranch in this
7 period? We are talking about the first part of July of 1968.

8 A I stayed all the way through the first part of
9 July 1968.

10 Q At some point in time during the summer of 1968
11 did you go some place?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Where did you go?

14 A Went to San Jose.

15 Q Did you go with somebody?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Who did you go with?

18 A I went with -- let's see. It was me and T.J.
19 and Clem and Tex.

20 Q By Tex, who do you mean?

21 A Tex Watson. And then Brenda and Ella and Stephanie
22 and Gypsy and Sadie.

23. Q By Sadie, who do you mean?

24 A Susan Atkins. And Kim was there.

25 Q Is that a girl or a boy?

26 A That is a boy.

17 fls

17-1

1

Q And how did you travel to the San Jose area?

2

A In a school bus.

3

Q How large was the school bus?

4

A A 56-passenger school bus.

5

Q Who drove the school bus?

6

A We traded off driving the school bus amongst the guys.

7

8

Q I believe you stated Clem was with you, is that correct?

9

10

A Yes, correct.

11

12

Q And approximately when was it, during the summer of 1968, that you went to the San Jose area in this 56-passenger school bus?

13

14

A It would be in August.

15

Q This is August of 1968?

16

A Yes.

17

18

Q And can you tell us the approximate part of August, whether it was the beginning or middle or --

19

20

A The latter three weeks, I would say, or -- no, I would say it was in the latter two weeks and the first two weeks of September.

21

22

Q How long did you stay up in the San Jose area?

23

A It was about a month altogether.

24

25

Q Who stayed up there in the San Jose area in that month?

26

27

A All those people that I mentioned.

28

Q That went up in the 56-passenger bus?

29

A Yeah, besides Mary.

17-2

1 See, we were going to take Mary and Katy and
2 Sadie.

3 Q Who do you mean by Mary?

4 A Mary Brunner.

5 Q And Katy?

6 A Yeah. They went with us but they only stayed with
7 us for a couple days, and then the idea of the trip was to take
8 them to Ukiah but we only made it to San Jose. So they didn't
9 stay the whole time.

10 Q Some of the girls left to go to Ukiah?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Who were the girls that left to go to Ukiah?

13 A Sadie and Mary and Katy and Ella.

14 Q By Katy, do you mean Patty Krenwinkel?

15 A Yes.

16 Q By Ella, do you know her full name?

17 A Ella Jo Bailey.

18 Q Did they remain up in the Ukiah area, or did they
19 rejoin you in San Jose?

20 A They stayed in Ukiah for about a week and rejoined
21 us in San Jose, except for Mary and Katy who went back down
22 to Spahn's Ranch.

23 Q All right.

24 Now, where were you staying in San Jose, Paul?
25 Where were you living?

26 A Well, we stayed at various places.

27 Q Well, let me ask you this: did you live separately
28 or apart from one another or did you split up the family, or

1 where did you stay?

2 A We stayed together in the bus. And the bus was
3 parked at different places.

4 Q Did you actually sleep in the bus?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And all the people that you told us about that
7 went up with you in the 56-passenger bus remained, excepting
8 the two girls that went down to Spahn Ranch, is that correct?

9 A Yes, that's correct.

10 Q And did the defendant, Mr. Grogan, or Clem, as you
11 know him, stay in the 56-passenger bus in San Jose with you?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And once again, how long a period did you remain
14 in San Jose before you went someplace?

15 A About a month.

16 Q All right.

17 Now, where did you go after you stayed a month in
18 San Jose?

19 A Back to Spahn Ranch.

20 Q And did you start living again at Spahn Ranch?

21 A Yes.

22 Q What part of the ranch were you living on at that
23 time?

24 A The ranchhouse.

25 Q By the ranchhouse you have reference to the
26 buildings that are some distance from the boardwalk area, is
27 that correct?

28 A Yes.

1 Q Now, you have made reference to the outlaw shacks.
2 Are the outlaw shacks the same thing as the ranchhouse?

3 A No.

4 Q Where is the ranchhouse in relation to the
5 outlaw shacks?

6 A The ranchhouse is a -- towards the creek from the
7 outlaw shacks.

8 Q How far would you say?

9 A About 100 yards or 50 yards.

10 Q So it's pretty close together, is that right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Now, I think you indicated you knew a Farmer John.
13 When you first came to Spahn Ranch where was Farmer John
14 living?

15 A In the ranchhouse.

16 Q Had he vacated those premises?

17 A Yes.

18 Q So you assumed residency then at the ranchhouse
19 when you returned from San Jose, is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Tell us who was living at the ranchhouse at the
22 time that you returned from San Jose to Spahn's Ranch at that
23 time.

24 A Who was living at the ranchhouse when we returned
25 from San Jose.

26 First of all, including those who were in the bus
27 moved into the ranchhouse. And those who already lived in
28 the ranchhouse when we got there was Charlie and Bo and Sandy

1 and Lynn and Tex and T.J. Sherry.

2 Q Do you know her last name?

3 A Sherry Cooper.

4 That's all that I recall.

5 Q All right.

6 Do you know a girl by the name of Kathy Gillies
7 or Kathy Meyers?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did you know her by a nickname?

10 A Yeah. Cappy.

11 Q All right.

12 Was she living there at the time?

13 A No.

14 Q All right.

15 Can you tell us where Clem was living at the time
16 that you moved into the ranchhouse at Spahn Ranch?

17 A He moved into the ranchhouse with us.

18 Q All right.

19 Now, how long did you stay at the ranchhouse at
20 Spahn Ranch in 1968 after you returned from San Jose?

21 A Until October the 31st.

22 Q Did all of you continue to live at the ranchhouse
23 through this period of time?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Does that include the defendant?

26 A Yes.

27 Q All right.

28 Now, did you eat together as a unit, or did you eat

1 separately when having dinner, for example, during this period
2 of time?

3 A We ate together.

4 Q And did anybody in the group determine when you
5 were ready for dinner?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Who?

8 A Charlie.

9 Q Charlie Manson?

10 A (Nodding head affirmatively.)

11 Q I am sorry. You just nodded your head.

12 A Yes.

13 Q And can you tell us whether or not there was any
14 person who was in control of the people that were living at the
15 ranchhouse at that time?

16 MR. WEEDMAN: Objection, your Honor. It is a conclusion
17 on the part of this witness. Calls for conclusion.

18 Besides, I think it is ambiguous "control". I
19 don't know what that means, your Honor.

20 MR. KATZ: I will withdraw the question, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right. Well, I will give you a ruling if
22 you want to. I don't want to move too fast.

23 Is the objection withdrawn?

24 MR. WEEDMAN: No, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.

26 MR. WEEDMAN: I understand the question has been
27 withdrawn.

28 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 You may answer the question.

2 MR. WEEDMAN: May I be heard then. I understand the
3 question has been withdrawn, your Honor.

4 MR. KATZ: Yes. I withdraw the question.

5 THE COURT: All right. Reframe it, then.

6 MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

7

8

9

10

11

18

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#18

1 Q BY MR. KATZ: All right. Now, as I understand it,
2 after returning to Spahn Ranch from San Jose, you stayed at
3 the ranchhouse until October 31, 1968, is that correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Did you go some place on October 31, 1968?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And where did you go first?

8 A To the Barker Ranch.

9 Q Where is that located?

10 A Way up in the Panamint Mountains, Death Valley.

11 Q Who went to Barker Ranch on October 31, 1968?

12 A The whole family at that time went.

13 Q You have used the word "family." Did you call
14 yourselves or refer to yourselves as "the family"?

15 A We thought of ourselves as one big family.

16 Q Did you regard yourself as part of that family?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Can you tell us whether or not Clem was part of
19 that family?

20 A I would say he was.

21 Q Tell us just who it was that went to Barker's Ranch
22 on October 31, 1968.

23 A Me and Juan and Charlie and T.J. and Tex and Clem
24 and Brooks.

25 Q That is Brooks Poston?

26 A Yes. And Cathy Meyers and Brenda and Snake and
27 Gypsy and Sadie and Katie and Leslie.

28 Q Who is Leslie?

1 A Leslie Van Houten. And Sherry Cooper and Mary
2 Brunner and Stephanie and Ella and Sadie.

3 Q All right. I think we are going around in circles
4 at this point. Let's stop for this moment.

5 How did you get there? How did you get to the
6 desert?

7 A We drove in a school bus.

8 Q Is this the same 56-passenger school bus that you
9 had referred to in your previous testimony?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Did all of you travel in this bus?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And how did you get to Barker Ranch in the bus?

14 A We drove it to the bottom of the canyon and walked
15 up.

16 Q What canyon do you have reference to?

17 A Goler Canyon.

18 Q Goler Wash?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Is there a town of Ballarat that is nearby?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Who walked up the pass, all of the people?

23 A All of those people that I said.

24 Q What happened to the bus at that time?

25 A We parked it.

26 Q What happened at Barker Ranch? Did you stay there?

27 A Yes.

28 Q Who stayed there?

1 A We didn't really stay at the Barker Ranch at that
2 time. We stayed at the Myers Ranch.

3 Q Where is the Myers Ranch in relation to the Barker
4 Ranch?

5 A Just up the wash a ways, about a quarter of a mile.

6 Q Can you tell us who stayed at the Myers Ranch?
7 I think you can tell us whether it was the same people that
8 came up.

9 A The same people.

10 Q Does that include the defendant?

11 A Yes.

12 Q How long did you stay at Myers Ranch?

13 A Just a few days.

14 Q Then what happened?

15 A Then we moved to the Barker Ranch.

16 Q Then what happened?

17 A And then some of them left, got in the bus and went
18 on a trip.

19 Q Do you know who it was that left?

20 A I have a good idea.

21 Q I don't want you to guess. Do you know who it was
22 that left the Barker Ranch?

23 A I know who -- I know some who went. I'm not at all
24 sure that that is only who went.

25 Q Just tell us the persons you know who left Barker
26 Ranch and went some place.

27 A Tex and Clem and Ella and Sadie and Juanita --

28 Q Do you know Juanita's last name?

1 A Yes; Wildbush.

2 Did I say Ella? Yes. Ella. And T.J., Stephanie.

3 Q These are the people that went on some trip away
4 from Barker Ranch?

5 A Yes.

6 THE COURT: Let me ask a question. Where did you live
7 or stay on the ranch when you got there?

8 THE WITNESS: In the house.

9 THE COURT: Was there one house or more than one? Was
10 this a ranchhouse, or describe it.

11 THE WITNESS: We stayed in the ranchhouse, and every
12 once in a while someone would sleep in the bunkhouse.

13 THE COURT: Was the ranchhouse vacant when you went in?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 THE COURT: You just walked in, is that right?

16 THE WITNESS: Just walked in and set up house.

17 THE COURT: Made yourself at home?

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 THE COURT: Go ahead.

20 MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

21 Q Do you know where these people went when they left
22 the Barker Ranch?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Where did they go?

25 A Went to Sacramento and then went to Los Angeles.

26 Q How long did you remain at Barker -- strike that.

27 Before we discuss that, where was Charlie at the
28 time that these people went on this trip to Sacramento and

1 then to Los Angeles?

2 A At the Barker Ranch.

18A

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

18a-1

1 Q He remained with you and the other people, is
2 that correct?

3 A Yes.

4 THE COURT: I want to get this straight.

5 You say that at this time, however, Clem had left
6 with Tex, is that right?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

9 Q BY MR. KATZ: And the other people that you
10 mentioned, is that right, Paul?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Now, when did you next see Clem?

13 A In Los Angeles.

14 Q When did you go to Los Angeles?

15 A Six days before Thanksgiving.

16 THE COURT: That is November of '68?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 Q BY MR. KATZ: And where did you go when you went
19 to Los Angeles?

20 A To Topanga Canyon Lane.

21 Q Did you go with somebody?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Who did you go with?

24 A I went with Gypsy and Snake.

25 Q I believe you said that you saw Clem in Los Angeles.
26 Was it on this trip, six days before Thanksgiving, that you saw
27 him in Los Angeles or some other trip?

28 A This was on this trip.

1 Q Where did you see him?

2 A At Topanga Canyon Lane.

3 Q This is a house that is located on Topanga Canyon
4 Lane?

5 A That is where the bus was parked.

6 Q Which bus are we talking about?

7 A The school bus, 56-passenger school bus.

8 Q Were people stayingⁱⁿ the 56-passenger school bus
9 at that time?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Who was staying in the bus at that time when you
12 came upon it?

13 A Those that I mentioned were staying in the bus.
14 By that time they got back from Sacramento and parked in
15 Los Angeles, where I met them.

16 Q Now, Paul, once again give us the people who were
17 staying in the bus on Topanga Canyon Lane at the time that you
18 were there in November of 1968.

19 A There was Clem and T.J., Tex, Sadie, Ella,
20 Stephanie, Juanita. That's all I know of.

21 Q All right. And once you reached Los Angeles, what
22 did you do?

23 A I went to Las Vegas, -- picked up Juanita and
24 dropped off Gypsy and went to Las Vegas.

25 Q Where did you drop Gypsy off?

26 A At the Spahn Ranch.

27 Q At the Spahn Ranch?

28 A Yes.

1 Q Now, who was at Spahn Ranch at that time in
2 November of 1968 before going on to Las Vegas?

3 A Squeaky stayed at the ranch.

4 Q Did she stay with any particular person?

5 A George.

6 Q George Spahn?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Who else stayed at Spahn Ranch?

9 A No one.

10 Q Are you saying now that Gypsy and Squeaky were at
11 Spahn Ranch after you dropped Gypsy off, is that correct?

12 A That is correct.

13 Q And the other people were in the 56-passenger bus
14 or at Barker Ranch, is that correct?

15 A That is correct.

16 Q Now, where was Charlie at that time when you left
17 Barker Ranch? Did he come with you or did he stay?

18 A He stayed at Barker Ranch.

19

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#19

1 Q Why did you go down to Los Angeles and eventually
2 to Las Vegas?

3 A 'Cause Charlie told me to.

4 Q All right.

5 Now, after you went to Las Vegas, did you return
6 to California?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Where did you go?

9 A To the Barker Ranch.

10 Q And who did you go to the Barker Ranch with, if
11 anyone?

12 A Juanita and Snake, who I picked up -- I picked up
13 Juanita in Los Angeles, and I went with them to Las Vegas.
14 Then I went back to the Barker Ranch.

15 Q Did you go directly from Las Vegas to the Barker
16 Ranch without coming back to the Spahn Ranch in Los Angeles?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q Approximately what time of year was it that you
19 returned to Barker Ranch from Las Vegas?

20 A It was a couple of days after Thanksgiving.

21 Q We are talking about Thanksgiving of 1968?

22 A Yes.

23 Q All right.

24 Who was at Barker Ranch at that time when you
25 returned a couple days following Thanksgiving of 1968?

26 A All those people who I said stayed at the Barker
27 Ranch including all those who were in the school bus, because
28 at that time the school bus had driven up and got in there.

1 While I was in Las Vegas the school bus had
2 driven up and gotten into Barker Ranch.

3 So the whole family was at the Barker Ranch
4 excluding Gypsy, who was staying at the Spahn Ranch.

5 Q All right.

6 Paul, I know this is tedious, but once again I'm
7 going to ask you to tell me who was at Barker Ranch at that
8 time several days following Thanksgiving of 1968 when you
9 returned there.

10 A Okay. Starting with males was me, and Charlie,
11 and Clem, and Brooks and T.J. and Tex.

12 THE COURT: Now, let me get two questions in here.

13
14 EXAMINATION

15 BY THE COURT:

16 Q Now, during this time at the ranch here, the
17 family was still in the ranchhouse, is that it, living in the
18 ranchhouse?

19 A At the Barker Ranch, yes.

20 Q Yes. There in the ranchhouse?

21 A Yes.

22 Q It was still vacant except for the family, is
23 that it?

24 A That's right.

25 Q Now, this school bus, where was the school bus
26 during all of this period of time? Where did that stay?

27 A What period of time are you talking about?

28 Q Well, you spoke of the trip way up to San Jose and

1 back. Where had this bus been all the time?

2 A Where had it been all the time?

3 Q Yes. Where did you keep it?

4 A Well, when we didn't use it, we kept it out in
5 front of the house.

6 Q Did you drive it up to the ranchhouse, is that it?

7 A At Barker Ranch?

8 Q Yes.

9 A Well, one time -- one time we just parked it up
10 at the bottom of the canyon because you couldn't drive it up
11 that canyon. It just wouldn't go.

12 The next time, the time I went to Vegas, I wasn't
13 driving the bus to Vegas, I was driving another vehicle.

14 And that time they drove it up from the Las Vegas
15 side into the Barker Ranch and drove it right into the ranch.

16 Q How many people does this bus hold?

17 A 56, supposedly.

18 Q Where did you get the bus?

19 A Bought it.

20 Q Who owns it? Who bought it?

21 A I had the pink slip. And it was bought from money
22 that was obtained from redemption of some securities by Sandy
23 Good.

24 MR. KATZ: May I continue, your Honor?

25 Q BY THE COURT: Filled up with seats to sit in, is
26 that it?

27 A No, we took all the seats out.

28 Q What?

1 A We took all the seats out.

2 Q You took the seats out?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q What did you sit on, the floor?

5 A Well, we put mattresses in there to sit on.

6 Q Well, you must have left a driver's seat in there.
7 You couldn't get him in a mattress?

8 A Yeah. We left the driver's seat in there.

9 Q The rest is mattresses, is that it?

10 A That is it.

11 Q When you drove along, what were you sitting on,
12 these mattresses?

13 A Yeah.

14 Q All of the family is on the mattresses, and the
15 driver is driving it, is that right?

16 A That's right.

17 THE COURT: All right.

18 Go ahead.

19 MR. KATZ: I appreciate it. Thank you, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Well, I want to get it straight. I am in
21 confusion about it, I am curious about it. I want him to get
22 it straight.

23 MR. KATZ: I do appreciate your Honor's clarifying the
24 bus.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. KATZ:

Q In other words, the seats were removed in the 56-passenger bus, is that correct?

A That's correct, except for the driver's seat.

Q Had they been removed when you went up to San Jose?

A They had.

Q They were also removed when you went up to Barker Ranch and parked it at the bottom of Goler Wash, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q They also were all removed when you next saw part of the family in Topanga Canyon Lane and Topanga Canyon, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q The bus was in the same condition when you returned from Las Vegas to Barker Ranch when you found it parked, is that correct?

A More or less, yes.

Q All right.

THE COURT: Once again, what year -- when was the bus made, what year was it made?

THE WITNESS: '56 or '57.

THE COURT: 1956 or 1957. All right.

Go ahead.

MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Now, you said the bus was driven through the back way. Is Mengle Pass on the back side?

1 A Yes.

2 Q All right.

3 Now, you say the entire family was at the Barker
4 Ranch when you returned from Las Vegas, is that correct?

5 A Yes, that's correct.

6 Q All right.

7 Now, tell us --

8 A Minus --

9 Q The approximate time of --

10 A Minus Gypsy who was taking care of George.

11 Q Was Squeaky now up at Barker Ranch at that time?

12 A Yes.

13 19A

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

19a-1

1 Q All right.

2 So the only member of the family who was not there
3 was Gypsy, who was at the Spahn Ranch with George?

4 A Yeah.

5 Q Now, approximately what time of year was it that
6 you returned on your first trip to Las Vegas and to Barker
7 Ranch?

8 A Thanksgiving.

9 Q All right.

10 I believe you said several days after Thanksgiving,
11 is that right?

12 A Couple days after.

13 Q Now, what did you do, did you continue to stay at
14 Barker Ranch for a period of time, or did you leave once again?

15 A Well, I did both. I stayed for a period of time
16 and left once again.

17 Q How long did you stay before leaving this second
18 time?

19 A Up until a few days before Christmas.

20 Q Of 1968?

21 A Yes.

22 Q All right.

23 And where did you go?

24 A To Los Angeles.

25 Q Why did you go?

26 A 'Cause Charlie asked me to.

27 Q Did you go with somebody?

28 A Yes.

1 Q Who did you go with?

2 A Bobby Beausoliel.

3 Q And where did you go in Los Angeles?

4 A The various and assorted places.

5 Q How long did you stay in Los Angeles?

6 A For approximately a week.

7 Q All right.

8 And did you bring any supplies back to Barker
9 Ranch?

10 A Yeah.

11 Q And when you returned to Barker Ranch approximately
12 what date was it, if you recall? Are we still in December of
13 1968?

14 A When I got back there it was still December.

15 Q All right.

16 This is before New Year's of the following year
17 1969, is that correct?

18 A Uh-huh.

19 Q Now, at that time who was there at Barker Ranch?

20 A At that time when I got there the same people were
21 there except Charlie wasn't there.

22 Q By Charlie you mean Charlie Manson?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Was Clem there?

25 A Yes.

26 THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, take your fingers out of your
27 mouth there when you talk in that phone.

28 All right. Go ahead.

1 MR. KATZ: All right. Thank you, your Honor.

2 Q Now, did Charlie Manson return to Barker Ranch
3 while you were still there?

4 A Yes.

5 Q When was that?

6 A On New Year's Eve.

7 Q That would be New Year's Eve going into 1969, is
8 that correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Were the same people at the Barker Ranch that you
11 told us about before?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And can you tell us whether Squeaky was still there
14 or whether Gypsy was there or what?

15 A Could I tell you whether Squeaky was there or
16 Gypsy was there. Yes, I could.

17 Neither Squeaky or Gypsy wasn't there. I believe
18 Squeaky went down with Charlie.

19 Q I see. While you remained with the family at
20 Barker, is that right?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q Then when Charlie returned did he return with
23 anybody?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Who did he return with?

26 A He returned with a couple guys who I hadn't never
27 seen before.

28 Q Do you know their names?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A No.

Q All right.

A I don't.

Q Did Squeeky return with Charlie?

A No.

Q And how long did you stay at Barker Ranch from that point on, New Year's Eve of 1969?

A For about two more weeks.

Q And that would put it to about what date?

A About the 15th of January.

Q That's 1969?

A Yes.

Q And did Clem continue to stay with you at Barker Ranch together with the family from New Year's Eve until the 15th, roughly the 15th of January?

A Yes.

Q 1969?

A Yes.

Q Did you go to some other location from Barker Ranch around January 15th, 1969?

A Yes.

20-1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Q Where did you go?

A To the Gresham Street house.

Q Who went to the Gresham Street house?

A Everyone who was at the Barker Ranch except for Juanita and Gypsy and Brooks, who stayed at the Barker Ranch.

Q So Juanita Wildbush and Gypsy and Brooks Poston remained at Barker Ranch?

A Yes.

Q And the rest of the family, including Clem and Charles Manson, went to the Gresham Street address?

A Yes.

Q That includes yourself?

A Yes.

Q Now, did you know a person by the name of Bill Vance?

A Yes.

Q When did you first meet him?

A Shortly after moving into the house on Gresham Street.

Q Where did you meet him?

A Out in front of the house on Gresham Street.

Q Can you tell us who began to live at the Gresham Street house around January 15 of 1969?

A Yes.

Q All right.

A You want me to do it, right?

Q Yes, I want you to tell me again.

A That is what I thought.

20-2

1 Charlie and Clem and Tex and I and Katy and Ella
2 and Stephanie and Sadie and Lynn and Brenda and Snake and Bo
3 and Sherry and Gypsy and Squeaky, Sandy and Brenda and Leslie.

4 I think that covers it.

5 Q All right. Incidentally, you indicated that when
6 you first met Charles Manson you also met Bruce Davis, is that
7 right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Do you know where Bruce Davis was staying at the
10 time he moved in the Gresham Street house?

11 A No.

12 Q But I take it he was not living there at that time,
13 is that correct?

14 A That is correct.

15 Q During the period you previously mentioned at the
16 Barker Ranch, did you see Bruce Davis?

17 A No.

18 Q I would like to show you two photographs. This is
19 34-B for identification, Paul. I'm going to hold it up for a
20 moment. Do you see this house?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Do you recognize that house as having seen it
23 before?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What house is that?

26 A That is the Gresham Street house.

27 Q Is that where the family moved on or about January
28 15 of 1969?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Clem was living in that house, too, is that
3 correct?

4 A Yes.

5 THE COURT: Where is the house located again? Where is
6 the house located?

7 THE WITNESS: On Gresham Street in Canoga Park.

8 THE COURT: May I see it?

9 MR. KATZ: We have reference to 34-B, which I have been
10 holding in my hand.

11 THE COURT: Let me just take a look. Just a minute now.

12 Now, this is located where?

13 THE WITNESS: On Gresham Street in Canoga Park, one block
14 north of De Soto.

15 THE COURT: That is where all of these people you just
16 mentioned were living at the time?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 THE COURT: Don't nod your head. Right?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Well, who owns this house?

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

22 THE COURT: It may be conclusional. You may make an
23 objection, if you desire.

24 MR. WEEDMAN: I think it's a good question.

25 THE COURT: I'm not trying to pinpoint the title, but
26 simply find out -- was it a vacant house when you moved in?
27 Is that it?

28 THE WITNESS: No, sir. As far as my understanding went,

1 the Bank of America owned the house. Bill Vance was renting
2 it from the Bank of America, and Bill Vance okayed us living
3 there. When I say "us" I mean the family.

4 THE COURT: How many rooms are there in here?

5 THE WITNESS: Seven.

6 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

7 Q BY MR. KATZ: Is that a one or two-story house?

8 A Two.

9 Q Let me quickly show you 34-C, which shows us
10 another angle of a house.

11 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

12 This was about January 15 of '69 that the family
13 moved in this house, is that right?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

16 Q BY MR. KATZ: Paul, I would like to show you 34-C
17 for identification. Once again do you recognize the street
18 and the house that is depicted in the left-hand portion of the
19 photograph?

20 A Yes, I recognize it.

21 Q What street is that?

22 A Gresham Street.

23 Q What house is that?

24 A That is the one I call the Gresham Street house.

25 Q Just so we are clear, did all of you live inside
26 the house together, or did you live some inside the house and
27 some outside the house?

28 A We all lived inside the house.

1 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, perhaps this would be a convenient
2 time for a break for the jury.

3 THE COURT: Recess?

4 MR. KATZ: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Let's take a short recess, ladies and
6 gentlemen. We will proceed right away.

7 Don't discuss the case, please.

8 You can step down.

9 (Recess.)

21

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#21

(Conference in chambers with both counsel
and defendant, not reported.)

THE COURT: Let's see, gentlemen. Let's go ahead.
People against Grogan. Defendant is here. Counsel
are here.

You can bring in the jury, Sheriff.

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And the witness take the stand again.

(The following proceedings were had
in open court, in the presence of the
jury.)

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Watkins, you have been sworn. State
your name again so the reporter can write it down.

THE WITNESS: Paul Watkins.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And sit -- that's it. Talk right in that telephone.
Now we have all of the regular jurors, plus the
three alternates.

And you are still questioning. Go ahead.

MR. KATZ: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's right.

Q BY MR. KATZ: Paul, we were talking about your
moving into the Gresham Street house around January 15, 1969,
with the family. And in that connection, how long did you
stay at the Gresham Street address?

A Until the spring of that year.

Q That would be 1969?

A Yes.

1 Q And, Paul, can you tell us whether or not, and
2 without defining them at this point, you engaged in group
3 activity or did the family just do things separately?

4 A We did everything together.

5 Q And why was that?

6 A Why was that?

7 Q Yes. Did something cause this to happen?

8 A Well, it was Charlie's idea, really, and we all
9 went along with it.

10 Q All right.

11 You have answered it.

12 A That was the idea of having a family.

13 Q For example --

14 MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. "Charlie's idea,"
15 I think, really is a conclusion on the part of the witness.

16 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Read the answer again.
17 "We all went along with him" --

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(Whereupon the reporter read back the answer as follows:

"A Well, it was Charlie's idea, really, and we all went along with it.")

THE COURT: Now, go ahead.

MR. WEEDMAN: Well, my objection and motion to strike, your Honor, is that it was Charlie's idea is a conclusion on the part of the witness.

Secondly, it is not really responsive to the question. Mr. Katz is trying to apparently ask about --

THE COURT: The words could be divisible. "We all went along with him," I think is quasi-conclusional, but I think there is a background for the conclusion. I think that portion should stand.

The other portion, "It was Charlie's idea," that I will strike. You may restate it.

MR. WEEDMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Who said so, or where did the statement come from. You can bring it out, as you desire.

Q BY MR. KATZ: Was there any discussion about the family doing things together as a unit?

A Yes.

Q Who discussed it?

A Well, it wasn't much on a discussion basis, really. Charlie just would talk quite a lot and there wasn't really a discussion.

Q When Charlie would talk, would other people talk at the same time, or would they listen to Charlie?

1 A Listen.

2 Q I didn't hear you.

3 A We listened.

4 THE COURT: Talk right in the microphone. Bring this
5 up to your mouth and speak right up. Talk into it.

6 MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me, your Honor. If I may move to
7 strike the answer. I object to the question on the ground that
8 it is irrelevant that Charlie talked and people listened
9 unless we know what they are talking about.

10 THE COURT: Let's read the answer again, please, before
11 I rule.

12 (Whereupon the reporter read back the
13 answer as follows:

14 "Well, it wasn't much on a discussion
15 basis, really. Charlie just would talk quite
16 a lot and there wasn't really a discussion.

17 "Q When Charlie would talk, would
18 other people talk at the same time, or would
19 they listen to Charlie?

20 "A Listen.

21 "Q I didn't hear you.

22 "A We listened.")

23 THE COURT: The People's position, for the consideration
24 of the jury -- the People's position in part is that of a
25 conspiracy. Statements, declarations, actions, what was said,
26 what was not said, could have a materiality, or inferences
27 therefrom. It is up to the jury, not to the judge. The jury,
28 say, may disregard all or may accept -- in their judgment may

1 accept statements, actions or conclusions.

2 I think for those reasons the answer is pertinent.
3 It may stand. The motion is denied.

4 MR. WEEDMAN: May I approach the bench, your Honor?

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 (The following proceedings were had in
7 chambers, outside the presence of the
8 jury:)

9 THE COURT: We are in chambers, the defendant and counsel.

10 Go ahead, Mr. Weedman.

11 MR. WEEDMAN: Your Honor, I very respectfully and most
12 humbly make this observation --

13 THE COURT: Go ahead.

14 MR. WEEDMAN: -- that your Honor's statement of the
15 People's purpose is certainly correct; they really are trying
16 to show a conspiracy. But I gathered from your statement
17 to the jury that you told the jury that, by inference only,
18 of course, that this business of when Charlie talked the
19 others listened, was evidence of a conspiracy.

20 THE COURT: I didn't say that. I say it is inferences,
21 deductions, that the jury may consider.

22 MR. WEEDMAN: That is what I mean.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MR. WEEDMAN: You told the jury that they could assume
25 from this that it was part and parcel of a conspiracy, and
26 I most respectfully urge that the evidence does not show a
27 conspiracy, and I believe it is misleading to a lay jury, at
28 least, to tell them that they can infer the existence of a

1 conspiracy from the mere fact that when Charles talked, they
2 listened, since I don't think that even Mr. Katz proposed to
3 show a conspiracy by this line of questioning. I may be
4 mistaken, but I don't think that he does.

5 THE COURT: Well, my statement was very limited --

6 MR. WEEDMAN: That is correct.

7 THE COURT: -- because I left any deductions up to the
8 jury. I made it very cautious that I'm not trying to tell
9 them anything. They can reject or accept whatever they will.
10 Later, the jury will be instructed that there has to be a
11 final determination by the jury whether there is a conspiracy
12 or not.

23 fls

23-1

1 MR. WEEDMAN: Excuse me. I'm sorry.

2 THE COURT: Yes. That is all right.

3 MR. WEEDMAN: I am sorry.

4 THE COURT: And I can't -- I don't want to get the cart
5 ahead of the horse too far at one time, but I recognize your
6 objection.

7 But I am overruling it.

8 MR. WEEDMAN: May I ask, your Honor, in connection with
9 this whether or not the court has made for the record an
10 initial determination that in fact a conspiracy does exist?

11 THE COURT: I think that is a fair question.

12 I think there is a prima facie -- what we know as
13 a prima facie showing of conspiracy. That is the reason
14 probably, undoubtedly, for my subsequent statement in the
15 courtroom there.

16 I think there is a prima facie showing to that
17 extent. Ultimate showing would be in the hands of the jury.

18 But for the purpose of ruling I would say that
19 there has been a prima facie showing.

20 MR. WEEDMAN: Very well, your Honor.

21 I obviously -- for the record, would not agree.

22 THE COURT: You are justified in your opinion, and I
23 recognize and I will say that I recognize that you object and
24 very properly so to my finding, to my statement I have made
25 as to how I feel, that I do think there is a prima facie
26 showing.

27 I deem and consider that that statement is objected
28 to very properly so. That is your full right. And there is

1 no issue.

2 And you do except to my ruling, is all understood.
3 I want you to have step by step here as we go along.

4 MR. WEEDMAN: I appreciate that. I really mean that,
5 your Honor. I always appreciate it.

6 THE COURT: No question about your exceptions to it.

7 MR. WEEDMAN: Finally, your Honor, with respect to this
8 line of questioning by Mr. Katz, it seemed to me that Mr. Katz
9 was trying to show some kind of dominance by Charles Manson
10 over the group, which I think in turn he will infer in
11 argument tends to support the prosecution's theory that my
12 client murdered Shorty Shea.

13 Therefore, I regard it as very important evidence
14 that Mr. Katz is now adducing from this witness, and I would
15 urge merely that if Mr. Katz intends to show this, that he do
16 it by evidence which I would argue is competent evidence rather
17 than by permitting this witness to say things like "Well,
18 when Charlie talked we listened."

19 Because they are so indefinite, and so ambiguous
20 that I don't think it is the kind of thing that Mr. Katz
21 should properly be permitted to use later on in argument.

22 MR. KATZ: I can answer Mr. Weedman. As a matter of
23 fact I have been scrupulously trying to avoid any hearsay
24 conversations as such, and as a result I have been asking
25 whether or not, for example, certain facts existed, namely,
26 they did activities as a unit, and that these activities were
27 predetermined by Charles Manson, and the members of the family
28 unhesitatingly followed in the fold.

1 But I have tried to avoid such damaging acts as
2 sexual orgies that occurred in which the defendant participated;
3 the use of drugs and the philosophy regarding helter-skelter
4 and the inferior nature of the black people as espoused by
5 Charles Manson.

6 I am attempting to avoid all of those inflammatory
7 and prejudicial areas, and as a result I am just taking it
8 piece by piece with regard to the family living as a unit.

9 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, your Honor, Mr. Katz again is in
10 some incredible way saying that he is really trying to protect
11 the defendant here by not putting prejudicial things into the
12 record.

13 I say that it is incredible obviously because of my
14 numerous objections and motions in connection with other
15 evidence offered by Mr. Katz.

16 But it seems to me that if Mr. Katz really wants
17 to show Charles Manson somehow dominated this group in a way
18 that is material to this case, he should only be permitted to
19 do so by evidence of some specific acts.

20 Now, if those acts involve matters which are highly
21 prejudicial then it seems to me that Mr. Katz should be cautious
22 in those areas.

23 The minute I make an objection to shotgun
24 questions Mr. Katz says, "All right. If that's the way you
25 are going to play the game, Mr. Weedman, then I am going to
26 start throwing all kinds of otherwise incompetent hearsay."

27 Mr. Katz is an experienced trial lawyer, very much
28 so. As a matter of fact, he knows there is a middle ground

1 he can operate on.

2 THE COURT: He would have to lead the witness if he were
3 to limit it any more. He would have to ask leading questions.
4 Otherwise you can't do anything else.

5 MR. WEEDMAN: Well, I am not talking about leading.

6 THE COURT: Otherwise you can't, because you can't ask
7 a general question.

8 MR. WEEDMAN: But Mr. Katz is saying "When Charles talked,
9 all the others listened? Yes."

10 I say that doesn't mean anything but it permits
11 Mr. Katz to leave the impression with the jury --

12 THE COURT: I will overrule the objection to that
13 specific question.

14 MR. KATZ: May I inquire, does he wish me to inquire as
15 to the nature of those conversations?

16 I will be happy to elicit them.

17 MR. WEEDMAN: Evidence of helter-skelter, if indeed
18 there was such a thing, doesn't show Charles Manson dominated
19 this group.

20 Evidence of sex orgies, if indeed there were such
21 things, doesn't show Charles Manson dominated the group.

22 I object to all those questions as incompetent,
23 irrelevant and immaterial and highly prejudicial.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 If you want to cross on it, that is your business.

26 MR. WEEDMAN: All right. Thank you very much, your
27 Honor.

28 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

(The following proceedings were had
in open court in the presence of the
jury:)

THE COURT: We are back in court. You may proceed with
your next question.

Q BY MR. KATZ: Paul, can you tell us while you were
living at the Gresham Street address in 1969 whether the
family ate dinner together or separately?

A On almost all occasions it was together.

Q And did somebody determine when it was time to eat?

A Yes.

Q Who did?

A It was Charlie.

Q And during the dinners was there a discussion had
between Charlie and the family, without telling us what was
said, if anything?

A Yes.

Q Would somebody do most of the talking?

A Yes.

Q Who?

A Charlie.

Q Now, in connection with the things that Charlie
said while you were living at Gresham Street, did you discuss
these things with the defendant Clem?

A Yes.

Q Did you discuss these things said by Charlie with
other members of the family?

A Yes.

1 Q How long did you stay at the Gresham Street
2 address before leaving?

3 A Until the spring of that year.

4 Q Now, before you left in the spring of that year
5 to go to some other place did you meet Barbara Hoyt?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Where did you meet her?

8 A At the Gresham Street house.

9 Q And did you meet anybody else you haven't told us
10 about, at the Gresham Street house, who later joined the
11 family?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Who else?

14 A There was a fellow named Dave that we call Karate
15 Dave.

16 Q Karate Dave?

17 A Yes.

18 Then there was a fellow named Bret and another one
19 named Price.

20 Q Bret is the first name?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And Price is another person?

23 A Yeah.

24 Q Is that a first name or a second name?

25 A That's what I called him.

26 Q Price?

27 A Yeah.

28 Q Who else did you meet at the Gresham Street

1 address, if anybody?

2 A You mean as far as who moved in the house and
3 lived with us?

24

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Q Yes, other than the people you have already told
2 us about. Is that basically it?

3 A That is basically it, as I recall.

4 Q All right. Now, Paul, did Clem, the defendant,
5 stay with the family during the entire period when you moved
6 in around January 15, 1969, until the spring of 1969?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, was there a reason for your moving from the
9 Gresham Street location?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Why did you move?

12 A We were starting to collect dune buggies and dune
13 buggy parts and motorcycles and motorcycle parts and we were
14 getting, supposedly, ready to move to the desert, and we were
15 going to move back to the ranch.

16 Q All right.

17 A Charlie just decided, is really why we moved.

18 Q So then you all moved. Tell us where you moved.

19 A We moved to the little house up in the Santa Monica
20 mountains.

21 Q Is this in Malibu?

22 A It is way high in the Santa Monica Mountains. I
23 guess you could call it Malibu.

24 Q Is this near the beach?

25 A No. Well, yes, but you can't see the beach from
26 it.

27 Q All right. And who went to this house in the Santa
28 Monica Mountains? Did the whole family or a portion of the

1 family go, or what?

2 A The whole family went.

3 Q How long did you stay at this house in the Santa
4 Monica Mountains?

5 A For just about a week or ten days.

6 THE COURT: Let's get the date. When did you arrive at
7 the Santa Monica house?

8 THE WITNESS: Santa Monica?

9 THE COURT: What date? What time?

10 THE WITNESS: This house in the Santa Monica Mountains,
11 you mean? It was in approximately March.

12 THE COURT: Of 1969?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 THE COURT: And you were there how long?

15 THE WITNESS: Ten days, approximately.

16 THE COURT: And where was this house located?

17 THE WITNESS: Way up on Mulholland Drive near where
18 Canyon Road leads to Mulholland, Triunfo Canyon Road,

19 THE COURT: Mulholland Drive is a long road.

20 THE WITNESS: It is up near where Triunfo Canyon Road --

21 THE COURT: Let's put it this way --

22 THE WITNESS: -- meets Mulholland Drive.

23 THE COURT: Well, you know where Topanga Canyon is?

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Is it near Mulholland? Where is it from
26 Mulholland Drive and Topanga Canyon Road?

27 THE WITNESS: It is north of Mulholland Drive.

28 THE COURT: How many miles or how far?

1 THE WITNESS: Approximately 30 miles north.

2 THE COURT: Oh, I see. Way up there.

3 Q BY MR. KATZ: Do you mean north or west toward the
4 beach?

5 A I mean north along the ridge of those mountains.

6 Q Are you moving towards the ocean or are you moving
7 away from the ocean and towards the mountains that form the
8 perimeter of the San Fernando Valley?

9 A Closer to the ocean.

10 Q All right.

11 And you say it is some 30 miles from the location
12 his Honor referred to, namely, Topanga Canyon and Mulholland
13 Drive, is that right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Now, I believe you stated that you remained at
16 that location for what, a week or ten days?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Did all of the members of the family who were
19 living at Gresham move to this location?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Did you go some place after that?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Where did you go?

24 A To the Spahn Ranch.

25 Q Why?

26 A Why?

27 Q Yes.

28 A Because Charlie said so.

1 Q Who went to Spahn Ranch?

2 A Well, the family kind of split in half about then,
3 because Charlie said for me and him and Snake and Brenda and
4 Lynn and a couple of other girls to go to the ranch and live.

5 Q You mean Spahn Ranch?

6 A Yes. And then the rest of the family to stay at
7 the Gresham Street house.

8 Q And who was to stay at the Gresham Street house?

9 A Clem, and then there was a few girls. As far as
10 I know, Clem was the only guy that stayed there because there
11 was some of the family had gone to the desert to the Barker
12 Ranch to stay for a month or so.

13 Q So we are talking about three locations where the
14 family was living following this place in the Santa Monica
15 Mountains, is that correct?

16 A Yes.

24A

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

24a-1

1 THE COURT: Now, set your time again, if you will.

2 MR. KATZ: I'm going to.

3 Q As I understand it, around March of 1969 you left
4 the Gresham Street house and went to the house in the Santa
5 Monica Mountains, is that correct?

6 A Yes, as a unit.

7 Q As a unit. And then you stayed there for a week
8 to 10 days, as best you remember, is that correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Are we still in March of 1969, if you recall?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Then the family went to three different places,
13 is that right?

14 A Right.

15 Q Now, tell us again where the family went and who
16 went where.

17 A Well, Charlie and I and a few girls went to Spahn's
18 Ranch.

19 Q Tell us the girls.

20 A Clem --

21 Q Clem, you said?

22 A No, no. Wait a minute.

23 Okay. The girls that was with us at the Spahn
24 Ranch was Snake and Brenda and Lynn.

25 Q That is you and Charlie?

26 A Yes. And Ruth.

27 Q That is Ruth Morehouse?

28 A I think she stayed at the Gresham Street house.

1 And then --

2 Q You're dropping your voice, Paul.

3 A Yes, I know I am.

4 And then Brooks and T.J. and Price and Bret and
5 Gypsy and Juanita and Mary --

6 Q Mary who?

7 A Brunner, and Sadie and the Friedman kids, who
8 were there then --

9 Q I can't hear you.

10 A The Friedman kids, they went up to the Barker
11 Ranch. Then Clem and Mr. and Mrs. Friedman --

12 THE COURT: Talk up now.

13 THE WITNESS: Clem and Mr. and Mrs. Friedman and Barbara
14 Hoyt and Dave and Stephanie and Ella.

15 Q BY MR. KATZ: Ella Jo Bailey?

16 A Stayed at the Gresham Street house.

17 Q You haven't mentioned Tex Watson. Do you know
18 where he was at that time?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Where?

21 A He went to the -- he stayed at the Gresham Street
22 house and then he went to the ranch.

23 Q You mean Spahn Ranch?

24 A No, no. Wait a minute. He stayed at the Gresham
25 Street house and then he went and stayed with some guy in
26 Hollywood someplace. He went on his own trip.

27 Q How about Bill Vance? Do you know where he was at
28 that time?

1 A He was staying at the Spahn Ranch, but he wasn't
2 in the family. He was just kind of a Bill Vance.

3 Q Incidentally, did you know Bill Vance by some other
4 name?

5 A Yeah; William Rex Cole.

6 Q All right. Now, do you know where Bruce Davis was
7 at that time?

8 A No.

9 Q Was there a reason for your splitting up to three
10 locations?

11 A I reckon.

12 Q Do you know yourself?

13 A Not other than that is the way Charlie said to do
14 it.

15 Q Charlie said to do it, is that right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Now, give us once again the approximate time that
18 you moved to Spahn Ranch with Charlie and the girls you told
19 us about after you lived at the place in the Santa Monica
20 Mountains.

21 A The time?

22 Q Yes. When was it, approximately?

23 A About the middle of March.

24 Q This is 1969, is that correct?

25 A Yes.

26 Q Now, did you continue to stay at Spahn Ranch or did
27 you go some other place?

28 A I continued to stay at the Spahn Ranch.

1 Q How long did you stay there in this time period?

2 A I stayed there until the end of May.

3 Q Is that 1969?

4 A '69.

5 Q All right. Now, between the period, that is the
6 time you moved from the Santa Monica Mountain home to Spahn
7 Ranch until May of 1969, did any of the other members of the
8 family rejoin you or regroup at Spahn Ranch?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Who came back to Spahn Ranch?

11 A All those members who were in all those other
12 areas finally. They withdrew from the desert just leaving
13 Brooks and Juanita and withdrew totally from the Gresham
14 Street house.

15 Q So all the people who were at the Gresham Street
16 house moved to Spahn Ranch and everybody from Barker Ranch
17 excepting Brooks Poston and Juanita Wildbush came to Spahn
18 Ranch, is that correct?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q Now, when was this that they returned, these people
21 returned, to Spahn Ranch?

22 A There was only about a week's time, or, say, week
23 and a half's time or two weeks that everyone was split up like
24 that in those three different ways. It was just, yeah, about
25 two weeks.

26 Q So within a week to two weeks following your return
27 to Spahn Ranch the other family members, excepting Brooks Poston
28 and Juanita Wildbush rejoined the family, is that right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Now, where did you live when you first returned to
3 Spahn Ranch? What part of Spahn Ranch?

4 A We lived in a semi-truck-trailer.

5 Q Where was that located?

6 A That was located on the other side of the corral,
7 down by the corral.

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

25

1 Q All right.

2 Where is the corral in relation to the boardwalk
3 and the front row buildings?

4 A The corral is at the end of the boardwalk. The
5 opposite end of the boardwalk other than the ranchhouse is.

6 Q In other words, it's the front of the ranch, is
7 that correct? In other words, by the front I mean where the
8 boardwalk and the Longhorn Saloon and the Rock City Cafe and
9 the like, is that correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And did you continue to stay in the semi truck,
12 or did you move to some other location or fan out at Spahn
13 Ranch?

14 A We started staying -- we started staying out under-
15 neath a tree down by the creek.

16 Q Is this still on Spahn Ranch?

17 A Well, no. It was right off the property about a
18 quarter mile from the Spahn Ranch.

19 Q In which direction?

20 A Towards Santa Susana Pass. Towards Topanga Canyon
21 Boulevard.

22 Q All right.

23 That would be easterly?

24 A Yes.

25 Q All right.

26 And who stayed there by the creek?

27 A The family as a whole did except for we would walk
28 back and forth up to the ranch and like Lynn, Brenda, would

1 stay with George.

2 Q Do you mean George Spahn?

3 A We would go up there and work on the trucks and
4 stuff, but we slept down there.

5 Q Did you have any dune buggies on the ranch at that
6 time?

7 A Yes.

8 Q How many?

9 A Two.

10 Q Did you have any auto parts on the ranch at that
11 time?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And can you tell us whether or not you as a family
14 brought supplies to Spahn Ranch?

15 A Yes.

16 Q All right.

17 And where was Clem living between the period of
18 March 1969 -- well, strike that.

19 Where was Clem living after he returned from the
20 Gresham Street house to Spahn Ranch?

21 A Underneath the tree and in the -- in the trailer.

22 Q So the family lived at two locations in that semi
23 trailer near the corral, at the east end of the yard and
24 also the creek area towards Topanga Canyon, is that correct?

25 A That's correct.

26 Q Now, during the day would you occupy any other
27 parts of Spahn Ranch or adjacent property, or just confine
28 yourself to one location?

1 A We occupied all that area around Spahn's Ranch.
2 Burn all over the ranch and drive the dune buggies all over
3 those hills around there.

4 Q When you say you would drive the dune buggies
5 all over the hills, who did you have reference to? Who drove
6 the dune buggies all over the hills?

7 A The guys drove the dune buggies all over the hills.

8 Q What guys?

9 A Me and Charlie and Clem and T.J. and Tex.

10 Q Tex Watson?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Now, had Tex Watson returned to Spahn Ranch before
13 the end of May of 1969?

14 A Yes, he had.

15 Q All right.

16 Do you know when Tex rejoined the family at
17 Spahn Ranch?

18 A Yes. About the time we went down from that house
19 in -- way up on Mulholland.

20 MR. KATZ: May I have a moment, your Honor?

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. KATZ: It is close to 4:00 o'clock and I am wondering
23 whether or not this would be a convenient time for the
24 recess.

25 THE COURT: All right.

26 Ladies and gentlemen, we are almost up to 4:00.
27 Let's recess till tomorrow morning at 9:30.

28 Do not discuss the case or come to any opinion or

1 conclusion.

2 We will proceed promptly at 9:30 tomorrow.

3 Thank you.

4 (At 3:55 p.m., adjournment was taken to
5 the next day, Wednesday, August 11, 1971,
6 at 9:30 a.m.)