## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

\$

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL.

vs.

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Monday, September 28, 1970 A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

THE SUITE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

. acting the second resolution is sufficiently as a second

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

103 VOLUME

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES 11806 to 11909

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., Official Reporters

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 1970 9:50 o'clock a.m. 2 3. THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present. 5 You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi. б MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Juan Flynn. 7 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please. 8 Your right hand, sir. 9 Would you please repeat after me. 10 I do solemnly swear --11 THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --12 . THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --13 THE WITNESS: -- that the testimony I may give --14 THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --15 THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --16 THE CLERK: -- before this court --17 THE WITNESS: -- before this court --18 THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --19 -- shall be the truth THE WITNESS: 20. THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --21 -- the whole truth --THE WITNESS: 22 THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --23 -- and nothing but the truth --THE WITNESS: 24 THE CLERK: -- so help me God. 25 THE WITNESS: -- so help me God. 26

THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. 1 Would you please state and spell your name. 2 THE WITNESS: It's Juan Flynn. 3 THE CLERK: Would you spell it, please. THE WITNESS: F-1-y-n-n-. 5. THE CLERK: Spell your first name, please. THE WITNESS: J-u-a-n. 7 THE CLERK: Thank you. 8 9 JUAN FLYNN, 10 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 11 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 12 follows: 13. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 16 Juan, are you originally from Panama? . 17 Yeah. 18 When did you come to the United States? 19 Immaterial, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 20 THE COURT: Overruled. 21 THE WITNESS: About six years ago. 22 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a continuing 23 . objection on the materiality and relevancy? 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 26

÷

1	BY MR. BUGI	JOSI:
2	Q	Have you ever been to Spahn Ranch in Chatsworth,
3	California	Juan?
4	A	Yes, I lived there two years and well
5	two years	and a half, something like that.
6	Q	When did you first go to Spahn Ranch?
. 7	· A _	At the beginning of '68.
8	Ð,	The first few months of 1968?
9	A	Yes.
10	ର୍	Did you work there as a ranch hand?
11	A	Yes, manure shoveler.
12	Q	Manure shoveler?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	For George Spahn?
15	A	Yes.
16	. <b>Q</b>	Okay, and when did you eventually leave Spahn
17	Ranch, Juan	1?
18	. A	Oh, well, I left a couple of locations, you
19	know; I, yo	ou know, I did jobs in different places, you
20	know.	
21		
22		
23		
24		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	,	· ·
26		
		•

MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you want to pull the microphone up a 2 1 little closer, Juan? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3. So you left Spahn Ranch off and MR. BUGLIOSI: Q. 4 on: is that correct? 5 Yes. A. 6 Did you ever go up to the desert, Barker Ranch? 7 Yes. 8 When did you go up there? 9 9 A. Last year I went up there with Sadie Glutz. 10 Q. What month was that in 1969? 11 This was after the raid. A. 12 The raid on August 16th, 1969? Q, 13 After the raid. A. 14 Q This was the raid on August 16th, 1969? 15. A. Yes. 16 Then you went up to Barker Ranch shortly after 17 that? 18 Yes. A. 19 So, between early 1968, then, and August, '69, 20 you lived at the Spahn Ranch off and on? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q Do you know Charles Manson? 23 . A, Yes. 24 Seated to your right front in the blue shirt 25 there? 26

Ī	
	A. Yes.
	Q When did you first meet Mr. Manson?
	A In early '68.
	Q After you had already come to the ranch, or
-	before?
	A I beg your pardon?
	Q When you came to the ranch in early 1968, was
,	Mr. Manson there?
	A. No.
ľ	Q He came later?
	A Later.
	Q Do you know when?
	A About four months, somewhere in there, you know,
	after I was there.
	Q All right.
	Did you ever see Mr. Manson bring a long rope to
	the ranch?
	MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	THE COURT: Overruled,
	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When was that?
	A This was before the raid.
-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A Well, I would say, when I noticed the rope, it
	was two months.
	Q Before the raid?
í	

1	A Before the raid, you know: something like that.
2	Q So, June or July of '69?
-3	A Something like that, yes.
4	Q Would you describe that rope?
5.	A. Right there (indicating).
6	Q Seated in front of me here?
7	MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect that Mr. Flynn
8	pointed at an object that I think is on counsel table,
9	and it is some 15 well, I'd say about 12 to 15 feet
10	from him, sitting in front of Mr. Bugliosi, without leaving
11	the witness stand.
12	Is that a fair statement, your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Apparently.
14	You are pointing to the rope on counsel table,
15	Mr. Flynn?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What type of rope was it,
18	Mr. Flynn?
19	A. The only way I could describe it is as a
20	mountain climbing rope. You know, a three-strand rope.
21	And I liked the rope. That is why I noticed it, you know.
. 22	Why do you say it was a mountain-climbing rope?
23	A Well, I have done some mountain climbing and
24	that is the type of rope that we use.
25	Q What material was it?
26	A Nylon.
40	

ļ	Q What color?
2	A. It was white like that one.
3	Q I show you People's 41 for identification.
4	You say this is the type of rope that you saw
5	Mr. Manson bring to the ranch?
Ĝ	A. Yes.
7	Q At the ranch, Mr. Flynn, did you actually hold
8	this rope in your hands?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q And you have seen this type of rope before?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q When you went mountain climbing?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q Is it a pretty expensive type of rope?
15	A. Yes. It is more than the ordinary cowboy would
16	use, you know.
17	Q I take it it is a pretty strong rope if you use
18	t for mountain climbing?
19	A. Yes, yes, and durable.
.20	MR. HUGHES: Could I have the last part of the last
21	answer read back, your Honor? There were two words. I missed.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	(Whereupon, the answer was read by the
24	reporter.)
25	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People's 48 for
26	identification.

		ر مان و مدید
*	. 1	•
*	' ,	Do you know what is shown in that photograph,
,	1.	Juan?
	2	
	3	A. Yes.
} ·	Í	
*	4	
	5	
	6	
₹		
•	7	
.\$	8	
	9	
	10	
	,11	
	12	
9	.	
	13	at the state of th
<i>)</i> *	14	
¥	15	
	٠	
٠.	16	·
	17	
	18	
e.	ļ	•
٠	19	
•	20	
. •	21	
	]	
	22	
,	. 23.	
	24	
	25	
<b>'</b> i .	26	
	ļ	

Q	What	is	shown	in	that	photograph?

That is the dune buggy that belonged to Mr.

Did you ever see this rope here, People's 41

Did you ever see that rope inside this dune

Where was it inside the dune buggy

Well, behind the seat here. '

Behind the front seat?

Yes, or in the middle, like that.

Did you see that rope in this dune buggy on

Your Honor, that is ambiguous, is he saying this specific rope or a rope that looked like this

The question is this rope.

MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor, I would like to inquire on voir dire as to whether he is speaking of this specific rope or whether he is speaking of a rope similar

The answer is in. You may inquire on

Did you see this rope here, People's 41,

inside this dune buggy on more than one occasion?

MR. KANAREK: It is the same question. May I have a continuing objection on the grounds of ambiguity, and a continuing request for voir dire.

THE COURT: No, you may not. The objection is overruled.

## BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q You may answer the question, Juan.
- A Yes.
- Q On many occasions?
- A More than one occasion.
- Q Did you see this rope right here, People's 41 for identification on Mr. Manson's person at any time, carrying it around?
  - A Well, I saw it up at the house with him.

Mr. Manson here asked George Spahn if he --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that be stricken.

THE COURT: Wait until he answers, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: He is stating hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Not necessarily.

## BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q You may continue, Mr. Flynn.
- A Well, Mr. Manson --

THE COURT: Do you understand the question, Mr.

Flynn?

23

24

25

26

Read the question.

3-3	1	(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending
	2	question as follows:
	. 3.	"Q Did you see this rope right here,
	, 4	People's 41 for identification on Mr. Manson's
	<b>5</b>	person at any time, carrying it around?")
	6	THE WITNESS: Up at the house
<b>Ŷ</b>	7	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
5	8	Q What house are you referring to?
; <b>.</b> 4/€	9	A George Spahn's.
	10.	Q What was Mr. Manson doing with the rope at
× .	. 11	George Spahn's house?
*	12	A He wanted to keep it at the house.
	13	Q At George Spahn's house?
•	14	A Yes.
9	15	Q Did he end up keeping it there?
	- 16	A It was at the house for a while.
	17	And later you say you saw it in the dune
	18	buggy?
¥	19	4 Yes.
5	20	MR. KANAREK: There is no question before the
<b>.</b>	21	witness.
	22	MR. BUGLIOSI: He had not finished his answer.
	23	THE WITNESS: This is the same rope we tied the dune
,	· 24	buggy in the back of the truck, to take it up to
	25	Olancha.
¥	26	There was a couple of ropes like this, you see.

23

24

25.

26

Q And M	Mr.	Spahn	felt	the	rope'
---------	-----	-------	------	-----	-------

A Yes, he felt that it was a nice rope, too, and he wanted a piece of it.

Q You wanted this rope too, yourself, Juan?

A Yes.

Q I show you People's 40 for identification, have you ever seen that revolver before?

4 Yes.

Q When did you see that revolver for the first time?

A Well, I saw it down in the creek, and they used to go down and fire it.

Q When you say "they," about whom are you referring?

A Well, the people up at the ranch like Tex Watson and Randy Starr.

Q Randy Starr?

A Starr.

Q He was the foreman at the ranch?

A Yes.

Q He passed away recently?

A Yes.

Q And Mr. Manson and --

A And Mr. Manson on one occasion fired this gun, you know, in my direction, you see, because I was walking with a girl on the other side of the creek, you know

3-7	1.	Q By Spahn Ranch?
Ď,	2	A By Spahn's Ranch, you see, and he followed us
	3	like this, I was watching him; he followed us.
	4.	Q Mr. Manson followed you and the girl?
	5	A Mr. Manson, yes.
় . ভ	6	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask that all of
•	7	this be stricken on the grounds, your Honor, that it has
, ģ	8	nothing but prejudicial value.
	· · · 9	It has no probative value.
	. 10	THE COURT: Apparently he is still answering the
	IJ	question as to the weapon.
	12	Reframe the question.
	13	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
<b>.</b>	14	Q You and this girl were by the creek area?
	15.	A Yes.
	16	Q Behind the main bunch of buildings at the
	17 '	ranch?
	18	A This was a bunch of bushes, you know, that we
*	19	was walking by, you see.
	. 20	Q Okay,/this is behind the main building?
	21.	A Yes.
	ŻZ 🥇	Q Down by the creek?
	23	A Yes.
	24	MR. KANAREK: May we have a foundation as to time,
	. 25	your Honor?
	26	MR. BUGLIOSI: I will develop that, your Honor.

## BY MR. BUGLIOST: Ť Q And you were with this girl? À Yes. ġ, Q When was this, Juan? À This was before the raid, you see, I remember 5 the raid. 6 Before August 16th? Q. 7 A Yes. Approximately when before August 16th? A couple of months. A, 10 June or July of 1969? 11 A Yes. 12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I subscribe, when he says 13 a couple of months, your Honor, then the question June or 14 July is not -- is assuming facts not in evidence because a 15 couple of months before August 16th would be June 16th; 16 it would not be July. 17 So I will object to the question as assuming 18. facts not in evidence. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 21 And you saw Mr. Manson with this revolver on 22 that occasion? 23 A Yes. 24 And he was follwing you and the girl? 25 I object, "following" is a conclusion, MR. KANAREK: 26

1	as to whether he was following or not.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
4	Q Was he walking behind you and the girl?
5	A Yes, he was walking on the other side of the
6	creek like that, you know.
7	Q In the same direction that you and the girl were
<b>8</b>	walking, the same direction?
9	Λ Yes, yes, the same direction.
10	Q Did you see Mr. Manson do scmething with this
11: ,	revolver here, People's 40?
12	A On our way back, you know.
13.	Q On the way back from the bushes now?
14	A Yes, on the way back to the ranch, you know,
15	he fired a couple of shots in the direction in which we
16	was walking by, you know.
17	Q Did you see him hold this revolver in his hand?
18	A Yes, yes.
19	Q And fire a couple of shots in your direction?
20	A No, our direction like that, to scatter us or
21	something, I don't know what he had in mind.
22	MR. KANAREK: May that last be read back? I did not
23	understand that.
. 24	THE COURT: Read the answer.
-25	(Whereupon the reporter reads the answer as
26	follows:

```
MR. KANAREK: I ask that that statement beginning with
1
   "to scatter us" be stricken as conclusionary on the part of
   this witness.
3
         THE COURT: That portion of the answer will be
4
   stricken and the jury is admonished to disregard it.
         MR. BUGLIOSI: Q
                                 Did you see the revolver in his
6
   hand when he fired the revolver?
         A.
                Yes.
                Was the barrel pointed upward or was it pointed
   towards you and the girl?
10
                Well, can I stand?
11
                Yes, but don't point it at anyone in the court-
12
   room.
13
                (The witness stands.)
14
         THE WITNESS: Like this, but lower.
15
                Then he stood like this and looked at us.
16
                It was in a clump of garbage, you know, and horse-
.17
   shit.
18
         MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be read back?
19
   I can't understand the continuity.
20
         THE COURT: Read the answer.
21
               (The answer was read by the reporter.)
22
         MR. BUGLIOSI: Q
                                 So, when he fired this
23
   revolver, the barrel here was parallel with the ground; is
24
   that correct?
25
                Well, he fired like this.
                                            (Indicating.)
26
                Straight ahead?
         Q
```

22

23

24

25

26

A.	Straight al
Q.	The barrel
A.	No,
Q.	Or down in
A.	No. Nor 1
.ď	But straigh
A.	Yes. He f:
	Then he sto
shots	like that.
Q,	In the dire
	-

nead.

was not pointed up in the air?

the ground?

ike that (indicating).

at like that?

ired like that.

ood and fired again. He fired two or three

ection of you and this girl?

Yes. A.

Who were down by the creek? Q

Yes. A.

You had just come from the bushes? Q

A. Yes.

How far away was Mr. Manson from you and the Q. girl when he fired the revolver?

MR. KANAREK: I must object to that.

THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please? (Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occurred at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: What is the relevancy of this, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is the only time that he saw the revolver in Mr. Manson's hand.

1-3

2

1

4

Ģ

- 5

8.

7

9

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18.

19 20

ŽI:

22

**23** 

,24 25

26

THE COURT: I know. He testified to that. So, what is the relevancy of anything further?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I am through with it.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection.

MR. SHINN: I make a motion to strike the testimony of this witness regarding Mr. Manson shooting at him and the other girl. It is irrelevant and immaterial and it is prejudicial.

.THE COURT: I am inclined to think so, too.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is the incident that he recalled seeing the revolver in Mr. Manson's hand. This is the only incident that he recalled, and Mr. Manson fired it at him.

MR. KANAREK: There are different ways of asking the question. Counsel could ask the question whether he saw Mr. Manson fire the revolver. He could do that without the prejudicial matter of firing the revolver at people.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

The record does not reflect that the witness has some apparent difficulty with the language. Although he is able to speak it, he speaks it with a rather pronounced accent.

I get the feeling that he isn't too concerned, when he answers a question, with being responsive to the question. In other words, he rather effusively goes beyond the question.

This certainly was not elicited by the question

itself; but I think the point is made now. He testified that Mr. Manson had the gun and fired it. We don't have to go beyond that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right. Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: If I may, your Honor, I would like to make a motion that your Honor order the jury not to consider the alleged firing of this gun in the direction of Mr. Flynn and the girl, and mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial because of the criminality that counsel has allowed to be injected into the case.

MR. HUGHES: Join in the motion.

MR. SHINN: May I have a ruling on my motion, too?

.1

.3

ġ.

. 14

15.

22.

4a-1

2

б

8 g

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

26

THE COURT: What was your motion, Mr. Shinn?

MR. SHINN: To strike the testimony about the shooting.

THE COURT: All the testimony?

MR. SHINN: Just the portion that he testified No. that Mr. Manson shot in the direction of him and this girl.

I think I am going to do that. Mr. THE COURT: Bugliosi.

The only fact that is relevant is the fact that Mr. Manson had the gun.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.

THE COURT: The rest was volunteered by the witness.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on my request for a mistrial?

> The motion is denied. THE COURT:

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor will admonish?

THE COURT: Pardon?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor is going to admonish?

THE COURT: Yes. I'm going to admonish the jury to disregard this witness's testimony about Mr. Manson firing the gun in the direction of the witness, or some other place.

MR. KANAREK: I have another completely collateral matter, your Honor.

Thursday is a Jewish holiday. I wonder if we might have a recess for Thursday. It is a very important Jewish holiday. Actually it is a two-day holiday, but I

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

would only ask the Court for a recess for the one day.

THE COURT: What holiday is it?

MR. KANAREK: It is called Rosh Hashana.

THE COURT: I will give that some further consideration. I will see what the other courts are doing in that regard.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. SHINN: I join in the motion for a holiday.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard the testimony of this witness insofar as it relates to Mr. Manson, in regard to this testimony of having fired the gun in the direction of this witness and another person.

All right. You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Is it clear that all of his other testimony with respect to the gun is admissible? Is that correct, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, it is.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Is there a boardwalk at the Spahn Ranch?

MR. KANAREK: Was there. This is assuming facts not in evidence.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It might still be there.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	THE CO	OURT: Are you going to bring in hearsay, Mr.
2	Kanarek?	,
3	MR. KA	MAREK: No, your Honor, but I can state
4	MR. BI	JGLICSI: I will withdraw that question.
5	Q.	Was there a boardwalk at Spahn Ranch?
6	. <b>A</b>	Yes.
7:	. ર	Where was the boardwalk located?
8		Well, in front of the buildings.
9	Q	In front of the main group of buildings?
10	Λ	Yes, the main group of buildings.
11.	ର୍	You are familiar with the saloon, are you?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And there was an undertaking room?
14	Δ	The jailhouse.
15	Q,	Okay.
16		And the boardwalk was in front of the buildings?
1,7	Λ.	Yes.
18	. Q	In June or July of 1969, did you have a conver-
19	sation with	Mr. Manson on the boardwalk?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And who was present at that time?
22.	A	Well, there was Mr. Hanson and Clem Tufts and
23	Bruce.	
24	Q	Bruce Davis?
25	A.	Bruce Davis, yes.
26	ୟ	What about Tex Watson?

1	·	LL, 03L
-	, , ,	T
. 1	A	I can't say for sure.
2	Q ·	Was there anyone else present?
3		Well, they were inside the place there.
. 4	Q	When you say "they," whom are you referring to?
5	A	The rest of the people that were at the ranch.
Ĝ.	ବ	What time of day was this?
4b fls. 7	A.	This was nighttime. Nighttime. Dark-time.
\$ 8.		
ġ	· · ·	•
10 '		
'n		
12		
13	ž.	
14		
. 15		
16		
. 17	,	
18		
<b>•</b> 19		
20.		
. 21	,	
22	,	
.23		
. 24	,	
25		
26		
	l <del>, *</del>	

4B-1 1	And do you know the particular month this was?
2	A. A couple of months before the raid.
, <b>3</b> ,	Q Again, June or July?
4	A June what is before June? I mean, which one
5.	comes first, June or July?
. , 6	Q June comes first and then July.
7	A. July.
å ß	Q July of 1969?
9	A. July, yes.
10	Q What did Mr. Manson, say to you?
ıı.	MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay,
12	your Honor, and remoteness.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	MR. SHINN: May this be limited to the declarant, your
15	Honor?
• 16	MR. BUGLIOSI: It is limited to Mr. Manson, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Very well.
. 18	The jury is admonished to consider this
<b>\$</b> 19	testimony only with respect to Mr. Manson and not with
20	regard to any of the other defendants.
.21	MR. KANAREK: May I inquire as to what counts, your
22	Honor?
23	THE COURT: All counts.
. 24	MR. BUGLIOSI; All eight counts.
25	MR. KANAREK: What is your Honor's ruling in that
26	regard, your Honor?

· 3

1

6

5

8

7

1Ô

11

9

12

14 15

16

17

**1**8

20

19\_

2Í 22

> 23 24

25

26

THE COURT: I have ruled.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your attention is now directed to one particular night in July on the boardwalk in front of Spahn Ranch, and yourself and Clem Tufts and Bruce Davis and Mr. Manson are there. Is that correct?

A. Yes: (.

What did "r. Manson say?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, in that regard, I believe that Mr. Bugliosi's question is ambiguous.

This witness has made two statements as to the time. One time it was a couple of months before. Now this present question of Mr. Bugliosi makes it in July.

Now, I would ask that the question be framed to reflect what it is in fact.

There is an ambiguity, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your objection is overruled the second time.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What did Mr. Manson say, Juan?

A "Well, I have come down to it, and the only way to get going is to show the black man and the pign is to go down there and kill a whole bunch of these fuckin' pigs."

Q Did he say anything about showing black people anything?

MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, solicitation of

THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: What does that mean? THE COURT: You may answer. MR. BUGLIOSI: You can pull the microphone close to you, Juan, And you can sit back and relax. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he say anything about showing black people anything? 10 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is ambiguous in this 11 sense: the man has answered as to what the conversation 12 Is this another conversation or is it the same conver-13 cation? 14 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, He wanted to show the Niggers, 17 you know, the way -- well he felt this way, you know, that he 18 should show them. 19 is what did he s 20 21 versation 22 THE WITNESS: That he had to show the Wiggers how to 23 so he had to go down and kill a whole bunch of 24 mother-fuckin' pigs. 25 That is it, you know. 26 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q This is what Mr. Manson said?

hearsay, and improper foundation.

A. Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Before we proceed, may I ask your Honor to strike that portion of the testimony where he said "he wanted." That is conclusionary as to Mr. Manson. And "he felt." That is conclusionary as to Mr. Manson.

Those portions I ask be stricken.

THE COURT: I understood him to be relating the substance of the conversation.

Objection overruled. The motion is denied.

Let's proceed.

. . .

23<sub>.</sub>

4c-1	1	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:	
	2	Q This "show the black man how to do this," did	
	3.	he say this on more than one occasions?	
	4	A Yes.	
	5	Q On how many occasions?	
•	6	A Lots of occasions.	
*	7	Lots of them.	
	8	Q What were his words?	
₹,	9.	MR. KANAREK: Now I will object on the grounds of	
	10	improper foundation as to these other occasions, your Honor.	
	11	THE COURT: Sustained.	
**	12	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:	
	13	Q So, on many occasions he said he wanted to	
	14	show the black man how to do it?	
	15	A Yes.	
. 5	16	Q Did Manson ever tell you who pigs were?	
	17	MR, KANAREK: Again, your Honor, no foundation.	
	18	MR. BUGLIOSI: This is what I am trying to do, Mr.	
,	19	Kanarek.	
<del>*</del>	20	MR. KANAREK: He is now asking for substance.	
, <b>*</b>	21	THE COURT: Overruled.	
z.	22	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:	
•	23	Q Did Mr. Manson ever tell you who pigs were?	
	24	A Well, the pigs were	
	25	Q You can answer that yes or no, Juan.	
	26	Did he ever tell you who pigs were?	

	1	
4c-2		A Yes.
	2	Q Okay.
	3.	On how many occasions did he tell you who pigs
	4	were?
	5	A On more than one occasion.
••	6	Q At the Spahn Ranch?
	7	Λ At Spahn Ranch, yes.
	8	and the state of t
•	9	Q During the period of time that you lived there.  A Yes.
		to the fold work
	10	
	ir	who pigs were?
	12	A (Pause.)
	13	Q Do you know who was present when he told you
	14	who pigs were?
•	, 15	A Well, on this one occasion now that I can recall
	16	you know, there was Bruce Davis, you know, Clem Tufts, and
	17	him.
, ,	18	Q And yourself?
. ·	19	A Well, yes. That, too.
	20	That is the same occasion on the boardwalk?
•	21	Λ Yes.
	22	Q Who did Mr. Manson say pigs were?
	23	MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of hearsay.
	24	THE COURT: Overruled.
	25	THE WITNESS: Do I answer?
·	.26	THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: You can answer. THE WITNESS: Pigs were anything that carried or 3 gave the consent to support a system, the establishment, you see. 5 BY MR. BUGLICEI: б Were they white people or black people? Q 7 They were the white people. 8 Tom-toms were the black people that married 9 white people. 10 Did Mr. Manson say that Tom-toms were black 11 people who married white people? 12 Yes. 13 Did he say where these white people, these 0 14 pigs lived? 15 They lived in dead bins or tombstones. 16 Dead bins? n. 17 This was the tombstones, the symbols. 18. The houses represent the tembstone, you know, 19 and the dead bins is the place where they laid their bodies 20 in there to die, or whatever they were trying to accomplish, 21 you know. 22 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I inquire on voir dire 23 as to whether this is all at this particular occasion? 24 THE COUPT: Motion is denied. 25 MR. HUGHES: I didn't understand that answer. 26 wonder if we could have it read back, your Honor?

THE COURT: You can get it during the recess, Mr. 1 Hughes. 2 Did Mr. Manson ever talk about a MR. BUGLIOSI: Q .3 black-white war? Yes. And he related it to Helter Skelter. 5 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken as 6 nonresponsive? 7 THE COURT: That portion is stricken. 8. The jury is admonished to disregard it. 9 MR. KANAREK: May we have a foundation, your Honor, 10 as to time and place? 5 fls. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21: 23 24. 25 26

	-	
-1,	i	THE COURT: Listen to the question, Mr. Flynn,
		and answer the question.
	2	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Manson did speak about a
•	3, 4,	Black-White war?
,	. 5	A. Yes.
	· 6	Q Did he ever mention Helter Skelter to you?
٠	7	A. Yes.
	8	C Did he say what Helter Skelter was?
ū	9	MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of hearsay, and we
	10	have no foundation, your Honor
	11	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer yes or no.
,	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	13	Q When did he tell you what Helter Skelter was?
	14	MR. KANAREK: We have no foundation, your Honor, as to
	15	time and place and who was present.
	16	MR. BUGLIOSI: You said "time"? I just said when,
	. 17	Mr. Kanarek, that was my question.
	18	THE COURT: You interrupted; he is trying to lay the
,	19	foundation. I admonish you, sir, to stop that.
\$	- 20	BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When did he say what Helter
•	:21	Skelter was?
N.	22	A. When he first told me this was when the Beetles
•	· 23	record came out, you know.
•	24	Q Did you see the Beetles record?
	25	A. I heard the Beetles record.
	26	Q Was this in a white album?

Skelter?

24

25

26

A Yes.

What did he say to you?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, then may I object on the grounds no proper foundation has been laid, remoteness and hearsay?

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: . What did he say?

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI; About Helter Skelter.

A. 'Well, this was the change, the turn of the Karma, you see, you know, and the Black people were to overcome the white people, you know, and, you see, because the love has been kicked so much, you know, on the Black people, you know, and there was a revolution in order, you see, to balance, you know, what the white man had done to the Black man, you know.

Q This is what Mr. Manson told you?

A Yes.

Q Did he ever tell you what would happen even to the Tom-Toms during Helter Skelter?

MR. KANAREK: Can that be answered yes or no? I guess he is trying to lay a foundation.

THE COURT: Why don't you let him answer the question, Mr. Kanarek, and stop this interruption.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench for a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 . 25

26

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: If I may, your Honor --

THE COURT: No, you may not. You listen to me, sir.

You are starting your old tricks all over again, Mr. Kanarek, and I am not going to permit it.

You are interrupting and disrupting the testimony of this witness.

I am going to warn you right now for the last time, if you do it again I am going to find you in contempt.

MR. KANAREK: May I ask the Court to listen, first of all --

THE COURT: I have listened to everything that has gone on here this morning. It is the same old story all over again.

MR. KANAREK: We have the witness, your Honor --THE COURT: Your strategy, Mr. Kanarek, is quite clear to the Court:

Whenever there is a witness who has something you consider to be damaging testimony to Mr. Manson, then you proceed to disrupt the testimony of that witness and distract the jury by making repeated and multiple objections on the same point, by interrupting the witness' testimony, . by interrupting the witness' answer, and so forth,

This is just another repetition of the same conduct that has gone on on many occasions at this trial.

I am not going to put up with it. I warn you again.

MR KANAREK: May I respond to the Court?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. KANAREK: The Court is absolutely 100 per cent wrong. There is no such strategy.

Your Honor is imputing something to me that is not the case.

THE COURT: That is the case.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor is making an assumption that is not so, and I would like to be sworn on it and take an evidentiary hearing on it.

This goes to the vital heart of this case. We have several factors here, your Honor, one factor we have a witness who is not responding to the question.

I would ask your Honor to listen to the last question and see if it did not in fact solicit a yes or no answer.

Instead of the witness answering yes or no he answers in a narrative fashion with the most prejudicial type of material.

I allude to Cooper vs. Superior Court -THE COURT: What does that have to do with it?
MR. KANAREK: I have an obligation to interrupt so
the jury won't hear what is immaterial.

THE COURT: There is nothing in the testimony of this

5a

witness which gave rise to any duty on your part to interrupt or disrupt it. You are not foreclosed from objecting. You have the right and the duty to make all legal objections, but you do not have the right or duty to make frivolous objections or multiple objections on the same point, or to interrupt or disrupt the testimony of this witness.

MR. KANAREK: May I respond, your Honor?

THE COURT: There is nothing to respond to, so let's get back and get on with it.

20

21

22

23

.24

25

26

.MR. KANAREK: Say that we --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear any more from you at this point, Mr. Kanarek. I have heard all I want to hear from you.

I suggest that you bear in mind what I have told you.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.
BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did Mr. Manson ever tell you what would happen to the Tom Toms during this black-white war, or Helter Skelter?

You can answer that yes or no.

- A Yes.
- Q When did he tell you this?
- A He told me that on several occasions, you know.
- Q During the period you were living at the Spahn Ranch?
  - A Yes.
- Q Do you know who was present during those occasions?
- A Well, there was lots of people there you know.
  On several occasions he told me this.
  - Q Do you know who was present during any of these

5a-2 occasions? A Well, Bruce Davis and Clem. 2 Clem Tufts? 3 A Yes, Clem Tufts, and some of the other people 4 there too, you know, some girls. 5 Do you know when he told you about Tom Toms 6 and black-white war and Helter Skelter in the presence of 7 Bruce Davis and Clem Tufts? Ŕ Well, he told me this after, you know, the 9 record, the Beatle record, you know. 10 Shortly after the Beatle record? Q 11 Α Yes. 12 Do you know when the Beatle record came out? 13 I know when it came out but I don't know the 14 date, you know, and I know that I heard it. 15 What did Mr. Manson say about Tom Toms and 16 Helter Skelter and the black-white war? . 17 MR. KANAREK: I object on the ground of hearsay, your 18 Honor. 19 Overruled. THE COURT: 20 THE WITNESS: Well, there won't be no room for the 21 Tom Toms, you know, there would just be room for each race. 22 The white people with the white people and the 23 black people with the black people and there would be no 24 middle, you know. So, you know, he spoke of the Zombis and 25

the --

5a-3 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You say he spoke of the Zombis? 2 A Yes. 3 Who are the Zombis? A The Zombis from the -- it's a religion from the black people. 6 He told you that the Zombis came from some Ź black religion? 8 A Yes, they have Zombis here, you see, in this black religion, you see. ÌO What is a Zombi to you, Juan? . 11 Well, a Zombi is something that serves a cause 12 without, you know, without question. 13 Like a robot? 14. It serves somebody without a question, you see. 15 The Zombi serves someone else with questioning 16 that other party? 17 Right. 18 Did he say how the Zombis fit into Helter Skelter? 19 MR. KANAREK: That assumes facts not in evidence, your 20 Honor. 21 Overruled. You may answer. THE COURT: 22 THE WITNESS: Well, yes. 23 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 24 What did he say? 25 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay, your 26

5a-4 Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 2 THE WITNESS: Well, the Zombis -- it is a religion of 3 the black people. You see, the Tom Toms, they would have no --5 no -- no people, you see, because they mixed themselves and they weakened the race you see, as well as the white people who weakened them, you see. 8 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: This is what Mr. Manson told you? Q. . 10 Yes. 11 Talking about Zombis, Juan, did Mr. Manson ever 12 say anything to you with respect to your being a Zombi? Yes. 14 When did he say this? 15 Well, he mentioned this to me out in the desert. 16 At Barker Ranch? 17 Barker Ranch, and he mentioned this to me a A 18 couple of times down here. 19 Spahn Ranch? 20 Spahn Ranch. A 21 When in Spahn Ranch? Q 22 Before the raid. A 23 During the summer of 1969? Q 24 Yes, yes. A 25 Who was present when he spoke to you about your Q 26

22

23

24

25

26

being a Zombi?

A Well, up at Barker's there was Danny DeCarlo and Bruce Davis and Tex.

- Q Tex Watson?
- A Yes, him and I.
- Q What about down at Spahn Ranch when he spoke to you about your being a Zombi?

A Yes, on occasion when we was traveling to this neighborhood, and he says "I will get you a big gold bracelet and put diamonds in it, you know, and you can be a Zombi, my Zombi, you know, my Zombi."

Q Did he say you could be his head Zombi?

MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Did he use the word "head" in respect to Zombi?
- A Yes.
- Q What did he say?
- A Well, in regard to strength, you see, that of -- you know.
  - Q You are a pretty strong man?
  - A Just a little bit, but --
  - Q Go ahead.

A But he said that, you know, "I will get you a gold bracelet, put diamonds on it; you be my head Zombi," you know, "big strong man, jump over fences across

	-11,851
	the creek, 20 miles," you know.
1	Q He said this to you more than once?
2.	A Yes.
3	
4	Q Were you arrested on August 16th, 1969?
\$. <sub>5</sub>	A Yes.
. 6	
7	
8	
۰۰	
10	
11	
12	
13	
. ;	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
]	
21	
22	
23	
24	
. 1	
25	
26	
• ]	

	Q Johnny Swartz?
5b2 1	
2	A. Swartz, and Larry.
. 3	Q Larry Jones?
<b>4</b> .	A. Jones.
5.	g Sitting down here with the white shirt on?
١	A. Yes.
7	Kathy
8	Q Gillis?
9	A Gillis, yes.
10	Q Is that Danny De Carlo down there?
· 11	A. Danny De Carlo.
. 12	Q To your left?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q Who is sitting on a rock here?
15	A. I don't recognize that girl.
16	Q Does that look like Stephanie Schram to you?
17	A girl named Stephanie?
18	A No, well, I'm not going to say that because I
19	don't recognize the girl.
20	Q Does that look like Squeaky over there in front
	of you?
21 22	A. This one?
23.	
103	
24	A. No, that looks more like a man to me.
25	C Do you know who that man is? It's kind of hard
26	to tell, isn't it, Juan?

	·
1	A On that picture, just that thing (indicating).
2	. Q Do you know who is sitting here at the bottom of
`. <b>3</b>	the rock?
4	A. Garth Tufts.
5	Q Clem Tufts?
· 6	A. Clem Tufts.
7	Q Also known as Garth Tufts?
8	A. Yes.
. 9	Q Do you know when this photograph was taken?
10	A. At the raid.
11	Q August 16?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q 1969?
14	A. Yes,
15	Q At Spahn Ranch?
16	A. Yes.
17	Where are you located here, just in front of the
18	saloon?
19	A. Yes.
20.	THE COURT: Let me see that picture.
21	(Exhibit handed to the Court.)
22	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: About a week before August
23	16th, 1969 did you notice any group of people leaving
24	Spahn Ranch one night?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q Do you know how many days it was before

· . 1	August 16th	exactly?	
.2:	A.	About a week, something like that.	•
3	Q.	And about what time of the night was it?	
4	. A.	Oh, it was dark. I would say about 8:00.	,
.5	Q.	8:00 p.m.?	
6	, A.	8:00 something like that, 8:00, 9:00.	
7	Q,	You are not sure of the exact time?	
8	A.	I am sure that it was dark.	
9	Q.	And where were you at the time?	
<b>, 10</b>	A.	I was in the trailer.	
. 11	Q.	Whose trailer is this?	
12	A.	This was a trailer next to the house.	
13	Q.	Next to George Spahn's house?	
14	Α,	George Spahn's house.	
15	Q.	Do you know whose trailer it was?	
16	A.	It was Johnny Swartz's.	
<b>17</b>	Q.	Johnny Swartz's trailer?	
38.	· A.	Yes.	
19.	Q	And you were inside the trailer?	
20.	Α.	Yes, yes.	
21	Q.	Do you know Susan Atkins?	,
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	Do you know her as Sadie Glutz?	
24	<b>A.</b>	Yes.	
25	Q.	Was Sadie inside the trailer with you?	
26	, A.	Yes, she was there for a while.	
•	:		

.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I ask that this be 5c-1 1 stricken, the portion there -- you see, this is the vice--2 you see, we have already forgotten --3 THE COURT: This is a new question, Mr. Kanarek. 4 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may enswer the question. 7 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: .8 What did you see when you looked out of the trailer window? 10 Well, there was three girls, you see, Susan 11 Atkins going out the door, there was two on the steps, 12 they went down, you know, and then when I looked out, 13 you see, they were getting in the car. 14 Whose car? 15 This yellow Ford car, Johnny Swartz's car, 16 you see, and Mr. Manson was (witness indicates). 17 Mr. Manson was what? 18 driving. By 18; 1969 tid the see was a Proposition hand You saw Mr. Manson behind the driver's seat? 20 · . A. Yes. 21 Do you know who else was in the car? 22 ð  $A_{\mathbf{r}}$ There was Garth Tufts. Clem Tufts? 24 Q Clem Tufts. 25 There was Lulu. There was Tex Watson. 26

5c-2	1	Q When you say Lulu, whom are you referring to?
,	. 2	A Right there, that pretty girl right there.
	3	Q This girl here, I'm pointing to?
	. 4	A Yes, the pretty one.
•	5 .	MR. BUGLIOSI: May the record show I am pointing to
	6	Leslie Van Houten.
•	7	THE COURT: The record will so indicate.
	*8	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
* •	9	Q You knew Miss Leslie Van Houten as Lulu?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Who else?
•	12	A Patricia Krenwinkel, Miss Susan Atkins, this
	13	other girl, that is what is Linda Kasabian, you know,
	14	I saw her for a couple, you know, her and Mr. Manson
. ,	. 15	driving.
	16	Q There were seven of them you say there was
	17	Tex Watson?
	18.	A Four girls and three boys, yes.
· •	19	Q Okay, and you say Mr. Manson was driving?
*	20	A Yes.
<b>5</b>	21	Q You noticed that Sadie had something black on
¥	22	her, right?
	23	MR. SHINN: May I put in an objection, your Honor?
,	24	I believe that is a leading question. I
•	25	object on the grounds it is leading.
	26	THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: I didn't say she was --5c-3 THE COURT: Just a moment, sir, there is no question 2 pending. We will take our recess at this time, Mr. 4 Bugliosi. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with 6 anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you. The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.) 6 fls. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

6-1

IÒ

14.

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor? THE COURT: Very well.

(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I believe it is a fair statement that when Mr. Bugliosi this morning said something about "is," referring to something at the Spahn Ranch, and I believe my point to the Court was it should be "was," the reaction of the jury was such that I believe, I think a couple of them laughed, indicating that they know about what happened at the Spahn Ranch.

Therefore, your Honor, I make a motion that there be a voir dire of the jury as to their knowledge of what happened at the Spahn Ranch.

There is a very vituperative article, which I have buried in my briefcase, I have cut it out of this morning's Times, involving the Spahn Ranch, and I would ask that they be voir dired to determine their state of mind, whether or not there has been any effect on their state of mind, as to what they may know concerning the events about this Spahn Ranch and the publicity that has been generated as a result of the Spahn Ranch burning up.

23

24.

25

26

Furthermore, your Honor, I also have evidence, newspaper articles --

THE COURT: Just a moment.

What do you mean "also have"? What evidence do you have?

MR. KANAREK: Clippings; clippings from the newspaper, I believe, in the last week.

THE COURT: I have read the articles. All right.

MR. KANAREK: Wherein there has been mentioned Susan Atkins' confessions that have been alluded to, I believe, by the Los Angeles Times, in connection with, I think it was, Barbara Hoyt's testimony.

I would like to voir dire the jury to determine whether they have any knowledge concerning these matters.

I believe, your Honor, that as a result of this --

THE COURT: That is another example, Mr. Kanarek, of why the jury was sequestered. They don't read newspapers. The newspapers are edited and all references are cut out.

MR. KANAREK: But they have conjugal visits, your Honor.

I mean, the road to hell is paved with good intentions.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Kanarek. MR. KANAREK: My motion is that your Honor allow us,

6a fls. 6

14.

16. 

and your Honor himself, to voir dire the jury to find out, since the time they have been sequestered, as to whether any of those matters have come to their attention by way of conjugal visit or otherwise.

THE COURT: I see no necessity for it whatever. The motion is denied.

13.

23. 

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, may I be heard in that regard? I would like to join that motion — but I wanted to also add that I did hear some audible laughing and certainly a great deal of smiling about that series of questions where it was put, "Is there a boardwalk at Spahn Ranch,"
"Was there a boardwalk at Spahn Ranch."

There was laughter and smiling from the jury, which gave me the distinct impression, your Honor, that they were at least aware of certain things that recently happened at Spahn Ranch, perhaps the fire that destroyed it, and that led me to believe that if they could read that about Spahn Ranch, they might, indeed, read newspaper articles about other things happening at the Spahn Ranch, or, indeed, the trial itself.

MR. SHINN: I will join in the motion, your Honor. THE COURT: I see no reason for it whatever.

The jury is permitted to watch television but not any references to this trial.

As we all know, over the weekend there have been a series of disastrous brush fires in Southern California, including a fire, according to the news reports, that destroyed all or a portion of Spahn Ranch. It is possible that in reciting the places that have been destroyed, a news reportmay have referred to the Spahn Ranch. That is possible. But that couldn't possibly prejudice anybody.

MR. HUGHES: I wish to state, and I offer to be sworn,

11,864 that I have heard news reports over the weekend that not 1 only alluded to Spahn Ranch but made other allusions during the news reports to Mr. Manson and this trial: MR. KANAREK: I would like, not at this time with the jury in the box, but when the jury is not in the box, to offer evidence on behalf of this motion, newspaper clippings that I have in my briefcase, for the Court to consider. I read the newspapers, Mr. Kanarek, and THE COURT: I am aware of the references. The jury, however, does not read the newspapers. That is the point. Let's get on with the trial.

> MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

Thank you. MR. KANAREK:

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Mr. Flynn, do you recall how these seven people were dressed at the time they got into the car?

A. No.

The only one I remember was Sadie Glutz, you

know. 24

> Q, How was she dressed again?

À. Well, the only garment that I remember, as she

25

5

Ġ

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

walked out the door, she put this cape on. ŀ You know, this short cape. It was short like 2 this, and it was red inside, you know, and the outside was 3 black. You saw Mr. Manson get behind the driver's Q. 5 seat? 6 Yes, sir. A. 7 Did you see Mr. Manson start the car? :8 Yes, sir. I heard him. 9 Did you see the car drive off the lot? 10 Well, I saw it moving, you know. Then I just 11 pulled the shades over like that, and just stayed in there, 12 you know. 13 I show you People's 38 for identification, 14 Do you know what is shown in that photograph, 15 Juan? 16 This is Johnny Swartz's car. A. 17 18 19 20 .2I 22 23. 24 25 26

65-1	r	Q This is the car that the seven people were in?
	.2	A Yes.
•	.3	Q I show you defense exhibit I for identification.
	, 4	Do you know who is shown in that photograph?
	5	Λ Kasabian.
*	, , <del>,</del> ,	Q Linda Kasabian?
i.	7	A Linda Kasabian.
<b>.</b>	8	Q Did you ever have an argument, Juan, with Mr.
	.9	Manson in the kitchen at the Spahn Ranch?
	10	A Yes.
	11	MR. KANAREK: That calls for a conclusion, your Honor.
	<b>12</b>	THE COURT: The objection will be sustained.
<u>.</u>	13	The answer is stricken and the jury is admon-
	14	ished to disregard it.
	15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	16	Q Did you ever have a conversation with Mr.
1.	17	Manson in the kitchen at Spahn Ranch?
	18	A Yes.
	. 19	Q When did this conversation take place, Juan,
	2Ò ,	in relation to the evening when you saw these seven people
•	21	drive off?
	22	A couple of days later, you know.
¥	23	Q You had heard about the Tate-La Bianca murders?
	24	A Yes. We witnessed it, too, through the media
	25	on the television, you know.
	26	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken, the

6h-2 "we witnessed" portion? The motion is denied. THE COURT: 2 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 3 This conversation you had with Mr. Manson in the kitchen at Spahn Ranch, was this before or after you 5 heard about the Tate-La Bianca murders? A After. And it was a couple of days, one or two days, Q 8, you say? A Yes. 10 After you saw the seven people drive off? Q 11 A Yes. 12 Who was in the kitchen with you and Mr. Menson? Q 13 Miss Glutz -- well, when I walked in, you know, A 14 Miss Glutz was in there, and there was Diane Bluestein? 15 That is Snake? Q 16 A Snake. And Ouish, you see. 17 Ruth Morehouse? 18 A Yes. • 19 I just got through unloading a truck of hay, 20 and I walked in there to get something to eat. 21 So, I scrounged out something to eat, and I sat 22 down, you know, to have something to eat. 23 Q What happened next? 24 Mr. Manson walked in and he went like this 25 (indicating). 26

Domari.	
2.	ı
3	
4	
<b>.</b> . <b>.</b> . <b>.</b>	9
. 6	
7	
, 8	
9.	
10	
11	
12	
. 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

Q,	Brushed	his	left	shoulder	with	his	right	hand?
				*				

A Well, yes. Like that.

And the girls walked out, you know.

Q How long after he brushed his left shoulder did the girls walk out?

A Well, the first one was Miss Glutz, you know.

She walked out, you know.

His left shoulder did they walk out?

A Right when they noticed it, you know. .

Q Immediately?

A Immediately, yes.

Q Okay.

What is the next thing that happened?

A Well, I was going to eat, you know, and I am sitting down at the table like this.

Q There was just you and Mr. Manson there?

A Yes.

I wasn't watching him. I was watching the food, you know.

Then he grabbed me by the hair, you know, and put a knife on my throat, and he said, "You son-of-a-bitch, don't you know I am the one who is doing all these killings?"

Q What is the next thing that happened, Juan?

A I told him -- well, you know, I thought he was just boasting, you see -- so I told him -- he said, "Are you

THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please? 1 (The following proceedings were had at the 2 bench out of the hearing of the jury:) 3 THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, I was not aware of this conversation; of course, no one has ever told me about it. 5 I am concerned with the problem we were dis-6. cussing the other day. 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: There is no editing; this is the whole 8 conversation. There is no implication of any of the coġ defendants. OL .This is the entire conversation, and I have 11 given a copy of the statement to all of the defense counsel, 12 13 your Honor. THE COURT: There is no reference to any co-defendant. 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: No, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Or anyone else. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: No. your Honor. 17 MR. HUGHES: I would object anyway to this whole line 18 on the Aranda-Bruton questions we raised the other day. 19 your Honor. 20 I believe the record there speaks for itself. 21 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: What does that mean, Mr. Hughes? I haven't the faintest idea what you are talking about, 24 25 MR. HUGHES: Well, when we had the Barbara Hoyt 26 question, there was a long series of discussions in chambers.

£ .

2

3

5

\_

10

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

THE COURT: Yes, I'm quite familiar with that.

MR. HUGHES: Even though no other person is mentioned --

THE COURT: What you are saying is that you are contending that this conversation implicates the codefendants. Is that what you are saying?

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

MR. SHINN: Indirectly.

THE COURT: It certainly has not yet. That is why I am calling you gentlemen to the bench, to find out if any-thing else is going to be said.

MR. BUCLIOSI: This is not a situation where there has been any deletions, your Honor. This is the entire statement and I typed up a report and gave it to defense counsel about a month and a half ago.

He is testifying substantially in accordance with that report. No other people are mentioned.

THE COURT: In the future, to avoid the necessity of my having to stop a witness in the middle of a conversation because I don't know what is coming, let me know in advance and we will have a conference in chambers and we will discuss these conversations which may raise the Bruton-Aranda problem, and iron it out in advance.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I apologize.

MR. KANAREK: I would like your Honor, if I may, to point out where the Court interrupted the witness.

24

25

26

•

THE COURT: It was for the protection of all parties.

MR. KANAREK: By the same token ---

THE COURT: If you don't see the difference, get somebody to explain it to you, Mr. Kanarek. That will be enough. I don't want to hear any more.

MR. KANAREK: May I make some argument?
THE COURT: You may not.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

- Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Now, what did you say to Mr. Hanson when he placed the knife there?
- I was not going to kill him or harm him, you know.

  And he said to me that if that was the way I felt then I should, you know, if I do like that to him, then I should go down the creek to the waterfall and make love to his girls, you know.
- 0. What did he say, what were his words, essentially, his words?

IF. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay, your Honor. I ask that the previous statement be stricken on the grounds of hearsay as to what this witness stated, your Honor.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he say to you in his

-

5

6.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18.

Ì9

20

21

22

23

3

5

## words, Juan?

A He said, "Go down the creek and make love to girls."

Q What did you say to him in response to that?

A Well, I said that if I wanted to contract a nine-month case of syphilis or gonorrhea, he would be the first one I would come to see.

Q In other words, you did not want to go down and make love to his girls?

A. No, no, I felt that they are beautiful but, you know --

THE COURT: All right, that's enough.

MR. KANAREK: May I inquire, your Honor, has the witness finished?

Your Honor interrupted the witness, I believe he had not finished the question.

THE COURT: That will be enough, Mr. Kanarek.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever drive around in the Chatsworth area with Mr. Manson?

A. Yes. I did not drive; he drove.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: And you were in the car with him?

A. Yes.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I think this involves the very thing we discussed at the bench.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

25

24

THE COURT: Very well.

2

•

3

4

5

6

7.

8.

10

11 12

13:

14

15

16.

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. FITZGERALD: The prosecutor is about to refer to another conversation that allegedly he had in regard to Manson that could be denominated an admission or confession.

IR. KANAREK: Depending on the time, your Honor, you had the foundation, according to the statement, according to the statement there was a traveling around in this area with the idea of going into houses to kill people. That is what this witness alleges.

Now, evidentiary-wise it is important,

THE COURT: I don't know what you are talking about.

MR. FITZGERALD: May we have an offer of proof?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think Mr. Fitzgerald is correct.

I just overlooked it, although, again, there won't be an Aranda problem.

They stopped in front of this house, a rich house, in June or July of 1969 in the Chatsworth area, and he said he was in the car and Clem Tufts was there, Bruce Davis, and he thinks Watson, he is not sure.

Again this is only offered as to Mr. Manson, not the other defendants.

Mr. Manson stopped in front of the house and told Juan to go inside the house and tie the people up, and then open the door and let Manson and the rest go in.

He said, "We'll go in there and get those M.F. pigs, kill them with acid; cut the kids up to pieces and then when the parents are hysterical tear their guts out, 3. or words to that effect. 23 -

7A

7a-1

2

1

3

5 6

7

8

10

12

13

15 16

> ' 17

18

19<sup>2</sup>

2<u>1</u> 22

23°

25

26

THE COURT: What is the relevance of this?

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is exactly what we are alleging he did on the evening of August 9th and 10th. He ordered people to go into the house and tie them up.

This is to show his state of mind, circumstantial evidence.

THE COURT: Showing state of mind on another occasion with respect to other people?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is circumstantial evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Of what?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That it was he who ordered these murders on August 9th and 10th.

It is the identical type of thing, to stop in front of a wealthy home, sending someone in to tie them up and then going in there and cutting the people to pieces.

It shows his state of mind.

THE COURT: Of course they did not do it, did they?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Because Mr. Flynn declined. Mr. Flynn said no.

The fact that they did not do it -- the point is that Manson is asking these people, including, he thinks, Tex, but he is not sure, the same thing that Linda Kasabian basically said occurred on August 9th and 10th.

On the 9th he sent other people to go in and cut

7a-2

î

2

5 6

7

:8 9

10 11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

them up in a wealthy home.

On the 10th he went in to tie them up, and let the others go in to finish them off.

MR. SHINN: May I respond to that?

THE COURT: Who do you contend was present on that occasion?

MR. BUGLIOSI: He was there, Mr. Manson and Clem Tufts.

Of course, Clem was with them on the night of August 10th, and Bruce Davis, he is not sure about Tex Watson.

THE COURT: Any other girls?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No, it would only come in against Mr. Manson. It is limited to him, but it is extremely relevant.

THE COURT: Well, I could see how if some of the members of the alleged conspiracy were present it could come in as circumstantial evidence, perhaps, of the conspiracy.

But apparently none of them were present.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Clem Tufts was there.

THE COURT: He is not alleged to have been a member of the conspiracy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Isn't he in the indictment?

MR. FITZGERALD: No.

MR. HUGHES: No.

19

20°

21

22

23

24

25.

26

MR. KANAREK: No, he is not.

MR. SHINN: No, he is not.

MR. BUGLIOSI: As I say, this is only coming in against Mr. Manson -- it doesn't have to come in on Count No. VIII, the conspiracy count; it is coming in on the first seven counts.

We are charging Mr. Manson with seven counts of murder.

It is only coming in against him, no one else.

We are alleging and we put on strong evidence that he ordered these murders.

Now, here he is within a month or two ordering other people including Clem Tufts who was with him on the 9th and 10th to do the same thing.

Juan declined the offer.

I cannot see what would have more relevance, your Honor.

MR. SHINN: May I respond to that, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I believe the Court has a wide discretion of powers in admitting --

THE COURT: Let's get to the point. I have a wide discretion.

What is your point?

MR. SHINN: Under Section 352 I believe the Court could keep this out, your Honor, because it is very prejudicial

7a-4

à

2

5

6.

8

10

11

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

to other defendants who are not involved.

THE COURT: The fact it is prejudicial is not a ground for excluding it if it is otherwise admissible.

You can contend all evidence the prosecution puts in in a criminal trial is prejudicial.

IR. SHIM: But it's being put in for Manson on this point and it would be prejudicial to other defendants.

THE COURT: How.

MR. SHINN: You mean this testimony is not going to be prejudicial to other defendants, your Honor?

THE COURT: I'm not going to argue with you. I'm trying to find out what your contention is and on what basis.

MR. FITZGERALD: It is evidence of other acts of criminality and would be inadmissible until it meets one of the necessary elements of common scheme, plan, modus operandi.

I suggest the People did not meet those standards, because there is not the identity in Chatsworth, where there is with the others, in the event your Honor does let the matter in in front of the jury, inasmuch as lanson has been so intimately associated with the other defendants, prejudice is going to inure to Manson and is going to move over to the shoulders of the other co-defendants merely because they are co-defendants in the same trial, and there is a conspiracy alleged, and there has been a

74-3

3

2

3

5 6

8

9. 10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

Exeat deal of evidence associated with one of them.

Mr. Fitzgerald and I would like to object to the offer of proof.

My contention is it is not relevant, it is not natorial. It supposedly antedated the dates, what we call the two days.

Forthermore, your Honor - when your Honor ways that all evidence in prejudicial, it is clear the doctrine of the law to that if the prejudicial value far outweight the probative value --

They were used in another context.

Co sheed.

hearsey. It is inseriestble hearsey. It is a conclusion. There is no Landation for it.

In is done just to show eximinality. It is a melicitation for nurder.

Now, Mr. Bunklosi sade a representation to this Court prior to the tire, I think it was even during the voir dire of the lary, that he was not going to offer any evidence of any other crimes.

This is a clear violation of the Penal Code.

Co Wr. Bugliosi la going against representation.

1a-6

ĺ

7b fls.

An ris.

. 9

so therefore I would object on the grounds of due process.

It is State action, and equal protection -- it is State action, the prosecutor being part of the State action has violated his word to this court that he is not going to offer criminality.

THE COURT: I don't think that is the point at all, Mr. Kanarek.

7b-1

2

3

5.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19.

20<sup>-</sup> 21

22.

23

24 25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: When I mentioned other crimes I meant actual crimes.

Furthermore, I learned about this after the voir dire.

THE COURT: I have grave doubts as to this. I will sustain the objection.

MR. BUGLIOSI: On the grounds it is not relevant, you mean?

THE COURT: Well --

MR. BUGLIOSI: I cannot think of what is more relevant.

In the first place this is not evidence of other

crimes or acts in the typical sense of the word, in that the crime is not actually committed.

THE COURT: I think the prejudicial effect far outweighs the probative value.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Here is another instance where he is doing the same identical thing, ordering the other murders.

This is so unique that the Court could hold that it could come in under MO.

It is unheard of anywhere in the world, that a guy pull up in front of a wealthy home and send these Zombis in there to commit a murder and for no reason at all.

THE COURT: If these were the same defendants that would be another matter.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is not coming in against them, it

24

25

26

is only coming in against Mr. Manson to show state of mind, as reflecting what happened on August 10th.

THE COURT: Nevertheless I'm going to sustain the objection.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did Mr. Manson ever say anything to you about Adolph Hitler?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Hughes was speaking to me. I did not hear that question.

MR. FITZGERALD: Calls for hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

## BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q When did he talk to you about Adolph Hitler?

A He talked to me about Adolph Hitler on many occasions.

Q At Spahn Ranch and Barker Ranch?

A Mainly at Spahn's Ranch.

Q Do you know who was present when he spoke to you about Adolph Hitler?

A There was other people too, you know, when he discussed those things.

Q Do you know who they were?

A Woll, members of the Family usually, you know,

he discussed this in front of them. 1 Do you know who the exact people were? Α Well, I can say all of them. 3... But you don't know -- you cannot name the Q 4 exact people that were there other than there were other 5 members of the Family? 6 A · Yes. 7 What did he say about Adolph Hitler? Q 8 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay . g and a conclusion, your Honor. 10 Its prejudicial effect far outweighs the 11 probative value. 12 I object as to Susan Atkins. MR. SHINN: 13. MR. BUGLIOSI: It is only offered as to Mr. Manson, 14 your Honor. 15 Immaterial. MR. SHINN: 16. There seems to be a question of relevancy, THE COURT: 17 Mr. Bugliosi. 18 MR. BUGLIOSI: May we approach the bench, your Honor? 19 Very well. THE COURT: 20 (The following proceedings were had at the 21 bench out of the hearing of the jury:) 22 MR. BUGLIOSI: The offer of proof is that he is going to testify that he told Juan Flynn that Adolph Hitler had 24 the best answer for everything. Well, Hitler's best answer of course was murder.

23

25

26

Ì

2

3

5

6 7

8.

ģ

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

Now, here is a man whom we are accusing of ordering these murders who did not commit one himself, Charlie Manson.

Adolph Hitler had the same MO. He had other people do his murders for him.

Again it is circumstantial evidence of this man's state of mind. He is looking up to a man like Adolph Hitler, whose answer to everything was murder.

I will draw your Honor's attention to the reverse side of the coin:

Mr. Hughes asked -- I think it was Danny DeCarlowhether a certain song, and he related the words of the song, was Manson's song.

He said, "Doesn't this song indicate love to you?"

I did not object to that because I think it is perfectly proper that came in to show Mr. Manson's state of mind was not violence but it was love.

I think this is admissible. I did not object.

I think the jury should hear this.

The reverse side of the coin again, here/is telling someone Adolph Hitler had the best enswer to everything. Again it is circumstantial of this man's state of mind. I am trying to illuminate his mind for the jury.

The defense, I think, is entitled to, and I am sure they will during their defense, put on evidence that

, 19

Charles Manson was a man of peace and of love.

I feel they have a right to do that.

By the same token I think we have a right to explore his state of mind which is reflective of murder.

THE COURT: I think it is remote and I don't see any relevance whatever.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Then how can the defense, your Honor, then put on the song that he wrote?

25

26

MR. KANAREK: We haven't started the defense.

THE COURT: I don't recall the circumstances of that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Danny De Carlo was on the witness stand.

THE COURT: You can ask him questions on cross-examination that you could not get away with on direct.

MR. HUGHES: I suggest you could have objected, too.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There was an offer to impeach De Carlo.

THE COURT: I don't know what you're referring to now.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Hughes read some words to a song, and he said, "Mr. De Carlo, is this Mr. Manson's song?"

Mr. De Carlo said, "Yes," and the words of the song are indicative of love.

THE COURT: I don't remember the context, but I don't see what that has to do with this.

I am going to sustain the objection.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

- Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Are you currently employed, Mr. Flynn?
  - A Yes, I do work.
  - Q Are you doing any acting work?
- A I haul hay from Bakersfield and Lancaster and I landscaping.
  - And off and on do you do any acting?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q In movies?

		•
702	1	A. Yeah.
	. 2	Q Do you work regularly as an actor at all?
	′3	A. Well, not really, you starve half of the time,
	4	you know.
	5	Q You sterve half of the time, you say?
	6	A. Yes.
*	7	C But you know it is something to do, too, some
<b>.</b>	8	nice people to play with.
	9	MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay, thank you. I have no further
	10	questions.
	11.	THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald.
	12	
<u>.</u>	13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
• .	14	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
	15	But you know how to act, don't you, Mr. Flynn?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q Actually during the month of July, 1969 you
	18,	were on location with a movie, weren't you?
*	19	A I would not say July.
÷	20	What would you say?
. *	21	A I would say in the period between the raid
	22	and about two months back, you know.
	23	Q For a two-month period?
	24	A Yes, something like that two and a half months
	25	back, you know.
	26	Q . Well, what are you saying, are you saying you

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

were gone for the two and a half months preceding August 16th, or are you saying two and a half months before the 16th you were on location somewhere?

- A. Before the 16th, you know.
- Q How long a period were you on location?
- A. I guess a month, maybe more than a month, something like that.
  - And where were you on location, in Utah?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q Filming a Western movie?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - Q You were playing a cowboy?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q Was it a Western movie?
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q You were paid for this?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q Who paid you?
  - A. The director.
  - Q Who were you working for?
- A Well, I don't want to get those people mixed up in here, you see.
- Q You don't remember when you worked there, right?
- A Well, I do, I do. I remember the director's name, you know, he asked me to work, you know.

Well, can you give us the dates that you were Ĵ 1 7c4 on location in Utah, filming a film? Not right now offhand, but I can get them for 3 you. Mere you employed by Paramount or Universal or 5 someone like that? 6 Oh, no, no, no, no, no, no, no. 7 They have the studios down here, you know, it's 8 -- you see. I don't want to mention those people's names in 9 10 here, you know. THE COURT: Just wait for the question, Mr. Flynn, 11 12 don't volunteer. · BY MR. FITZGERALD: Because you are afraid if we 13 check we will find you were in Utah in July, isn't that 14 15 correct, Mr. Flynn? Well, if you keep off the record, you know. 16 I can give you outside the time, you know, and you can 17 18 check it out, you know, Is it your testimony here under oath that you 19 were here at the Spahn Ranch during the entire month of 20 July, 1969? 21 22 I know I am on the road, you know, and I can say that I was there on July. 23 I am saying that I was there on July. 25 The whole month? Q, 26 You see, maybe the 29th, maybe the 28th, you A.

know. 1 7c5 I would not say the whole month, you know, 2 but I will get these things for you, you know. 3 Do you recall whether or not you were at the Q Spahn Ranch for the entire month of July, 1969? 5 Yes, I recall being there in July. Q What were you doing there? 7 Well --A. 8 Shoveling manure? ġ. Yes, and taking care of the horses, the saddles, A 10 you know. , 11 Were you employed by Mr. Spahn to do this? 12 Yes. 13 Did you make money doing this? 14 Well, he did not have the money, you know, 15 but I did the work, you know. 16 So you worked free? Q 17 Well, it was for a purpose, you know, because --18 You did not get any money for it? 19 Not from him. 20 Did you get money from other people? Q 21 Well, from the people I worked for who asked me, A, 22 you know, on location or something like that. 23 I'm talking about when you worked at the Spahn Q 24 Ranch, were you getting paid? 25 I was not getting paid. 26

. 1	Q So you were working for nothing, is that a fair
2	statement?
3	A. Well, I would not say for nothing.
4	Q What did you get in return for your work?
5.	A Well, I got my food and I had shelter so I
6	would not have to walk on the streets, you know.
7	Q Room and board?
·8	A Well, I would say that, yes.
9	Q You would not or you would? You were actually
1Ó	paid room and board?
11	A. Yes.
12 .	Q Is that true?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q But you were not getting any money in addition?
15	A. Not from Mr. Spahn, no.
16	Q Nor from anybody else at the ranch for your
17	work at the ranch, is that right?
18 :	A Right.
19	Q When you got off location you came directly to
20	the ranch, right?
2r :	A. Yes.
22	Q You were at the ranch immediately before you
23	went on location in Utah, right?
24	A Immediately before I went on location?
25	Q Yes.
26	A Well, I was, yes.

					5 0 7 5		
	1	Q.	At the ranch?	?		•	
,	2	A.	I was at the	ranch when I w	ent to U	tah. vot	
)		know, and t		anch I went to			
	3	đ		at a particula		at the S	lnahn
*	'4	Ranch, slee	p at a particu		*		,pe
	5	. A.		slept in this	green fir	ailen	
	, 6 ,	ୠ		ou alept in the			
*	7	regularly?			94000	O' GTTCT.	
		Α.	Yes.	٠,		•	
	9				ţ	· . • .	
	10			1.			
	11						
	12	,	-				
	13						
3	14		•			740	
	15	. *					
	16						
	1,7	, (*	-				
	18	•		•			
2	19						
•	. 20						
•	21 22				*		
			٠				
	23		в .				
	. '24		,				,
	25				•		
	26	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				,

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q.	You	never	slept	any	place	but	the	green
miler?								

A I wouldn't say that.

Before that, there was a man that slept there too, you know.

- Q Did you sometimes stay in the woods for two or three weeks at a time, Mr. Flynn?
  - A Yes.
  - Q When was that?
  - A Whenever I felt like it, you know.
- Q Whenever you felt like it you would go away for two weeks or a week?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And live in the woods; is that right?
  - A Yes.
  - Q You wouldn't take any food with you; right?
  - A Well, I wouldn't say that now, you know.
- Q Sometimes you were actually physically on the premises at the ranch, and then sometimes you would be off in the woods; correct?
  - A Well, on the premises?

The premises is all those back hills back there, you know. That is the only premises that the ranch has.

Q There is a portion of the ranch where there are dwelling houses; correct? Or was there a portion of the ranch where there were dwelling houses; right?

8-2 A Where there were houses, right. And a couple of trailers; right? 0 Ż A Yes. .3 And then there is a wooded hilly section to the ranch; right? 5 A Yes. 6 And there aren't many dwelling houses in that 7 area; right? 8 What is a dwelling house? 9 Well, that is where people sleep or live. 10 Oh, well --11 Four walls and a roof. Q. 12 There was houses on Spahn's Ranch, and there are 13 hills all around it, you see. 14 I lived at Spahn Ranch, you know, when I lived 15 there, and when I wanted to go in the bushes, I go in the 16 bushes, and then I live in the bushes, you see. 17 That is what I am talking about. When you 18 lived in the bushes. 19 That was up in the hills; right? 20 Yes. 21 THE COURT: You will have to answer audibly. 22 And you would sometimes live MR. FITZGERALD: 23 in the bushes for as long as two weeks; correct? 24. Well, before Mr. Manson and the people came 25

to the ranch, you see, I did live up there for three months,

26

	\$ Y	
	1	Q And sometimes you would stay there as long as a
	2	week; is that right?
	3	A Well, I stayed around the hills back there, you
	. 4.	know.
	<b>5</b> .	G For a week or so; right?
	6· '	A A couple of days, two or three days, you know,
	. 7:	something like that, a week.
	8	Actually, you don't know what dates you were
•	9	at the ranch and what dates you were elsewhere; isn't that
	10	correct?
	11	A You are saying that.
	. 12	Q Well, I am asking you.
	13	A. Oh?
	14	© Do you?
	15	A Well, if you are more specific as to what dates
	16	I wasn't at the ranch, you know, I might be able to answer
	17	you.
	18	Q All right.
•	19	Where were you July 1st?
÷	20	A On July 1st?
` a	21	Q Yes. July 1st.
,	22	A. What comes after July anyhow?
	23	You mean after the 1st, or the month?
	24 .	A. The month.
	.25	Q August.
	26	A. August?

Ų,

	. :	
8a2	1	Q Yes.
•	2	A Well, in July I was at the ranch, and July 1st
	3	I was at the ranch.
	4	4 You are sure of that?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Is there any reason you happen to remember you
\$ . #	7	were at the ranch on July 1st?
<b>2</b> .	8	A Well, I don't remember that I was there because
ě	9	it isn't my birthday on that date.
	10	Q What about July 17th? Where were you then?
	11	A. July 17th?
	12	Q Yes.
	13	A. I couldn't tell you on July 17th where I was,
•	14	you know.
	15	Q What about July 28th?
	16	A I couldn't tell you.
	17	Q What about July 8th?
	18	A. July 8th?
•	19	Q Yes.
A.	20	A Well, there are a lot of days that I can't
•	21	tell you, you know.
	22	Q Do you remember any particular Sundays during
	23	the month of July?
_	24	A. No.
	25	Q What about any particular Saturdays during July?
	26	A. No.
	:	

1	Q.	Now, you would go to the bushes occasionally
2	because you	preferred to be with animals rather than
3	people; isn	't that right, Mr. Flynn?
4	A.	Well, I wouldn't go as far as saying that, you
5	know. I ju	st wouldn't say that.
6	. e*	Why did you go into the bushes to live?
. 7	A.	Because I felt like it.
8	, Q	Was there any particular feeling you had?
.9	A.	I just felt like it.
10	· Q	Were you required to work seven days a week
11	during the	month of July at the ranch?
12	. A.	Well, I did my share.
13	Q.	Did you leave any time you wanted to?
14	A.	Well, I could.
15	· Q .	Did you?
16	. A.	Did I?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	<b>A.</b>	Uh-huh, yes.
19	4	Let's see. Did 1 leave any time during the
20	month of Ju	ly?
21	Ģ.	What I mean is, could you just pick up and go to
22	the bushes,	or were you required to work every day?
23	A	Well, I could do whatever I wanted to, you know.
24	Q.	And did you do whatever you wanted to?
25	A.	Uh-huh, yes.
26.	. Q * .	Did you check/with Mr. Manson before you went to
	•	

٠,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	work in the	morning?
2	A.	(Pause.)
3	ર	Did you?
4 .	A.	Would you repeat that question?
5	Q,	Well, did you check in with Mr. Manson before
6	you went to	work in the morning when you were working at
7	the ranch?	
8	Α.	Well, I worked for Mr. Spahn.
9	G,	You didn't work for Mr. Manson?
10,	Λ.	Uh-uh, no.
11	C,	Did Mr. Manson order you around?
12	Δ.	Well, he didn't order me, you know.
13.		* .
14		· .
15	F	
16	,	
17		
18.		
19.	ı	
20	,	,
2ľ <sub>.</sub>	,	
22		
23		
24	,	
25	•	•
26		

8b - 1Mr. Manson actually didn't have anything to do with you at the ranch, did he? Well, I could answer that question in a lot 3. of ways, you know. 4 Š But you didn't work for Mr. Manson, did you? Q E. 6 No. You worked for Mr. Spahn? 7 8. A Yes. You didn't live with Mr. Manson; right? 9 3 10 A I lived at the ranch. But, I mean, you didn't live in a trailer with 11 him or anything? 12 I lived at the ranch, but I didn't live, you 13 14 know -- I just lived at the ranch. You said earlier in your testimony, you talked 15 16 about members of a so-called Family; right? Family members? You said that all the members 17 18 of the Family were there; right? 19 Well, yes. A Well, were you a member of the Family? 20 Q .21 What Family is that? Was there a time when you weren't and there was 22 a time when you were? 24 I was just working there, you know. A 25 Were you ever a member of the Family at any 0 26 time during your life?

	,	· , · , ·	41, 902
8b-2	1	A	Just my family, yes; and I worked at the Spahn
<u> </u>	2	Ranch.	
	<b>.</b> 3⋅	Q	What about this Family that you talked about in
	4	your direct	testimony, this Manson Family or something?
	5	A	Well, they lived there.
	6	ନ୍ .	But you didn't belong to them; right?
Ó	7	A	No.
€` •	8	Q.	And you didn't like them, did you?
·•	ġ	A	Well, I didn't set my values, you know,
	10	I wouldn't	say that I didn't like them, you know.
,	11	Q	Would you say that you liked them?
,	12	A	Well, I wouldn't say that I liked them.
	13.		I just worked there, you see.
<b>●</b> ( * , *	14	O,	Now, do you remember the first time that you
»,	15	had a conve	rsation with Mr. Manson about pigs?
	16	A	We had conversations before about pigs.
	17	ି ହ	About pigs?
	18	A	Yes.
<u>.</u>	. 19	Q	Can you remember the first one?
A.'.	20	, , <b>A</b>	Well, the one that impressed me the most
* ;	21	is that wha	t you want to know?
	22	<b>Q</b> .	Can you put that in your mind, the one that
	23	impressed y	ou the most. I want to ask you some questions
•	24	about that.	
	25	A.	Yes.
•	26	Q	What date was that?
		20.0	ſ

	-	
8b-3	1	$\Lambda$ Well, I don't remember the date, you see.
	2	Q Do you remember the time of day?
		A Well, this could be a month before July. That
	3 4	is June; right?
	5	Q Rìght.
	6	A Right.
Š	7	And then a month before that; right?
*	8	Q May?
<b>.</b>	9	A Okay. May.
	10	In, say, May, huh, after a hard day at work,
•	11	you know, me and Mr. Manson went to the ice cream parlor,
	12	you see, and in the conversation, you know, he talked
	13	about my family, you see, which lives at Porter Ranch,
	14	you sec.
	15	So, I wanted to see where they lived at, you
35.	16	know, and I was looking into it, you know.
	17	So I says, "Why don't we look up this address
, , , , ,	18	and go down and see where my family lives."
	19	So we went. We did that, you see.
<b>3</b>	20	And then we got right in front of this house,
۰ <u>۴ و</u> د	21	and this man right here, you know, asked me if I would go
8c fls.	22	in there
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	

. . ,

8c-1

2.

3

1

4

6

5

8

9

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 . 26 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

I think it is in line with your Honor's previous ruling,
your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He is answering the question, your Honor.

THE GOURT: What is your point, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: I believe, your Honor -- I don't know

for sure, your Honor, but I believe that there may be error,

in view of what we had at the bench just moments ago, your

Honor. I don't know, but I fear so.

May we approach the bench?

MR. BUGLIOSI: He is answering the question, your Honor. This is cross-examination.

THE COURT: How is approaching the bench going to help?

MR. KANAREK: May we then approach the witness?

THE COURT: He never answered the question before. We don't know what the answer is going to be.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the witness in line with what has been occurring previously in this case?

Your Honor himself has suggested this on occasion.

THE COURT: I have no objection to that.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. May we?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object to approaching the witness.

It doesn't seem to be any purpose to be served, your Honor.

We can approach the bench, if the Court wants to direct us to do anything, but just approaching the witness,

8c-2

2

4

3

6

7

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18.

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26 I don't see any reason for it.

THE COURT: You are talking about a conversation in May; is that correct, Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: And he has a question about pigs, your Honor, and now the witness is answering the question. He is entitled to answer it.

THE COURT: I suggest that you pinpoint the subject, Mr. Fitzgerald, and then proceed.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right.

Q Bearing in mind the first time you had a conversation with Mr. Manson in regard to pigs, Mr. Flynn. Who was there, if anybody?

A We went to the ice cream parlor, me and him.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right. That is the answer.

THE COURT: Just the two of you; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Just the two of us.

THE COURT: Listen to the question and then answer the question.

MR. FITZGERALD: Q Now, after that conversation, did you ever have another conversation with Mr. Manson about pigs?

A Well, frequently, you know, the word "pig" was discussed, you see, with Mr. Manson and other members of the Family.

Q But can you pinpoint the date and the time and

the persons that were present at the time you had these various conversations with Mr. Manson that you have testified to on direct examination?

A Well, I can pinpoint some of the names.

I can say, for instance, to start with, you know, Mr. Manson, Bruce Davis, and Garth Tufts, you know.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. FITZGERALD: A lay witness can give an opinion.

THE COURT: You may answer:

THE WITNESS: Did I think he was crazy?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. KAWAREK: Your Honor, I will join in on that objection of Mr. Bugliosi, your Honor. Calling for a conclusion.

It is now 12:00 o'clock, your Honor. May we have the noon recess at this time?

THE COURT: Is that part of your objection, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Did I think he was crazy?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Right.

THE COURT: What was the ground of your objection,

Mr. Kanarek?

23

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion without any showing of any expertise, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think it is ambiguous. I will sustain my own objection.

We will take the recess at this time:

Do not converse with anyone, ladies and gentlemen, or form or express any opinion regarding the case

. 26