SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

12

VS.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Tuesday, October 13, 1970 P. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

The second section of the second

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 124

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 13625 to 13717

Official Reporters

PEOPLE'S	s witnesses	: DIRECT	OROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
GRAHAM,	Virginia K	athleen	13625 13634	5 K 13673	13677	3
HOWARD,	Roni	13690	13701			
		,	•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*	•	,	,
	•					,
	•					
•		<i>,</i> :				
						,
	•		,		,	
				•	. •	
	,	•		•		
	,					
				,		
					• .	
, , ,	•	•	, •			
,			٠	X		
			,		*	
			***			,
· ·	•			# 5 W.C.		

*	Į.	
	1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1970
	2	2:03 P.N.
) ·	3	
٠	4	(The following proceedings occur in open court,
	5	All counsel present, Jurors and defendants absent.)
	.6	THE COURT: All counsel are present. The jury is not
'स्ट्रेश	7	absent.
41	8	You may proceed, Mr. Shinn.
	ģ	MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor.
•	10	
	ú	VIRGINIA KATHLEEN GRAHAM,
	12	the witness on the stand at the time of the noon recess,
	13,	resumed the stand and testified further as follows:
,	14	
	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUING)
,	16	BY MR. SHINN:
	17	Q Did you finish reading the page I asked you to
,	18 .	read before recess?
	19	A, Yes,
	20	Q But may I look at it one more time? There has
₹,	21	been a short lapse.
f.	22	MR. SHINN: Sure.
	23	I believe, your Honor, it is Page 9 8, 9
•	24	and 10, your Honor.
	25	(Mr. Shinn shows a loose-leaf notebook with
	. 26	documents to the witness.)

MR. SHINN: Read this, this and this. 1 THE WITNESS: Down to the bottom here? 2 MR. SHINN: 3 Yes. THE WITNESS: You just said one page before. 4 MR. SHINN: Read to the middle of the page here. 5 Page 8, 9 and 10, Mr. Bugliosi. 6 7 (Pause while the witness reads.) 8 MR. SHINN: Q Did you read that? 9`. Yes. 10 Now, does it say in those paragraphs or those 11 pages that you read that Susan Atkins stated. "I killed -12 Sharon Tate"? 13 It does not say that in this statement here. 14 Yes, 15 Now, do you know where in your statement it 16 states Susan Atkins stated, "I killed Sharon Tate"? 17 I do not see it in this, in the pages you have 18 shown me. 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: Page 42. Mr. Shinn. 20 HR. SHINN: All right. Page 42. 21 Will you read Page 42, the bottom of Page 42, 22 the last paragraph. 23 (Pause while the witness reads.) 24 THE WITNESS: Yes? 25

4

v= 1;

26.

- 1	
1	Q Now, do you recall that answer?
2	A. Which page, sir?
3.	Q Oh, 42, the last paragraph.
4	A Do I recall making the answer?
· 5·	Q Yes, when you were talking to Sergeant Nielsen.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q Back in November.
8	A November.
9.	Q November 26, 1969.
10	A That's right.
ΙĻ	Q Do you recall giving that answer?
12	A I do recall an answer of the sort, yes.
13	Q Isn't that clear in your mind?
14	A It has been 11 months ago.
15	In other words, when you refer to "She told me
16	like when she killed Sharon Tate, you know," you were
17	referring back to Page 10.
18	A I don't know what I was referring back to.
19	I just know she told me she killed her.
20	G But it is not in the statement you made to
21	Sergeant Nielsen.
22.	THE COURT: Is that a question?
23	MR. SHINN: I beg your pardon?
24	THE COURT: Is that a question?
25	MR. SHINN: Yes.
26	Q BY MR. SHINN: Is it any place where you made

ì.	the statement to Sergeant Nielsen?
2	A It's not on Page 9, 10 or 11, no.
3	MR. SHINN: Your Henor, may she glance through the
4	transcript, your Honor?
5 `	THE COURT: For what purpose?
6	MR. SHINN: To find
7	THE COURT: She read the parts you mentioned.
8	MA. SHINN: What?
	THE COURT: She has read the part you asked her to
10	read.
11	MR. SHINN: She says she cannot find it in those two
12	pages. Maybe they are in other pages.
13	THE COURT: Just put the questions, Mr. Shinn,
14	MR. SHINN: What?
15	THE COURT: Put the questions to her.
16 .	MR. SHINN: Yes.
17	Q BY NR. SHINN: Do you recall any time during
-18	your conversation with Sergeant Nielsen in 1969, November,
19	that you told Sergeant Nielsen that Susan Atkins told you
20	and said, "I killed Sharon Tate"?
21	A I thought I had said that to him.
22	Q You thought?
23	A Yes, it's not in here on the pages that you
24	mentioned, but in the latter part here it substantiates
25	what I said.
26	Q Do you recall when in your conversation with

1	Sergeant Nielsen you stated to him that Susan Atkins told
2	you that she killed Sharon Tate?
્રં કુ	A. As I just said, I thought I said to him that
4	she told me that she killed Sharon Tate.
5	Q You thought that?
:6	A. Yes, I thought I told him that.
7	Q Is it possible maybe you did not tell him that?
8	A. Conceivably.
· ´ġ	Q In other words, it is possible, is it not, that
10	you said, "They killed Sharon Tate," or "We killed Sharon
} II	Tate."
12,	A It is possible that I said it at that time.
13	Q But you are not sure right now as you sit
14	there if you said to Sergeant Nielsen that Susan Atkins
15	said, "I killed Sharon Tate"?
16	A I just said I thought, I believed that I told
17	Sergeant Nielsen that she said to me, "I killed Sharon
18	Tate."
19	I explained before I was nervous, Mr. Shinn,
20	when I spoke with him, so how can I be completely specific
21	as to what I said to him 11 months ago?
22	Q Are you nervous now?
23	A. I would say a little bit,
24	Q More or less than when you talked to Sergeant
25	Nielsen?
26	A It's a different kind of nervousness.

	•
1	Q What is the different kind of nervousness?
. ż	A Simply I am sitting here and I am talking in
. 3	front of quite few people and I almost feel like I'm on
4	trial, whereas when I sat there and spoke with him I was
5	nervous because I was talking to authority, to a policeman.
6	Q Does making you nervous sometimes mean you
7	forget things?
8	A. I would say quite definitely.
9	Q It makes you forget things?
10	A. I would think so. Then I would also say it
11	would to most people.
12	Q Did you talk to Mr. Bugliosi before testifying
13	in court?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Mr. Bugliosi?
16	A. Yes.
17	And did he discuss your testimony in court?
18	A We went over some of it, yes.
19.	Q And did he what did he say to you about your
20	testimony in court?
21	A. To tell the truth.
22.	THE COURT: What is the purpose of this, Mr. Shinn?
23	This seems to be beyond the
24	MR. SHINN: I did not want to say that in front of
25	the jury, your Honor, until I found out what her answer
26	was coing to be

THE COURT: All right, go ahead. 1 MR. BUGLIOSI: This is not a discovery proceeding. your Honor, THE COURT: Go shead, Mr. Shinn. 4 MR. SHINN: I believe I asked her the question 5 aiready. 6 THE WITNESS: My answer to that was he told me to do 7 the best that I could to remember, and to tell the truth. 8 BY MR. SHIMM: Did he say anything about "we" 9 and "I" and "they"? 10 He did call to my attention certain things 11. about we and I and they but nothing in relation to Miss 12 13 Tate. I mean not what Miss Tate, according to your 14 15 testimony in court, did he say anything about we, they, I, 16. them? Yes, to tell me I could not use we, they, and I. 17. 18 What else did he say, in what sense did he say that? 19. That there were certain things in my statement 21 that I had given that I was not going to be permitted to 22 say in court because it might jeopardize the other clients. 23 in reference to the we and they. 24 And so did that make you now focus your 25 attention on Miss Atkins with I and she? 26 À. Not particularly, no.

1		đ		To a	certs	in e	xter	t?			,		, ,	
2	*	A		I hav	re to	just	be	very	car	eful	not	to s	ay 1	re
3	and t	hey.			F T	. •				·	·	•		,
4		Q		You c	annot	; use	we.	and	they	. W	hat i	vere	Aon	4
5	auppo	sed t	ţo.	do?		•	·	4						
6		A		Only	tell	the	trui	th as	to	whut	she	tol	i me	she
7	did.			•	•									,
8						•								,
9					, ,								•	
1Ó							.,	. ;						
11	•				,		`		•				•	
12					,				,	ŧ			•	•
13	r.					•							ı	
	` ·	*		,			r							•
14	•							,						
15	,								,			**	,	,
16	,	t				. •			:					
. 17	, ' 	,	•			;		٠.						
18		, ,	• .	•										
19		•		,				91					.•	,
20				•	•	•			•			¥		
21						*	•						•	
22		, a	*			÷								
23	, ,	, ,						•						
24							,	:					•	
25				4						•				

1

3 4

.5

6

7

8.

.9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2I 22

23

24

.25

26

MR. SHINN: I have nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I have got a motion, which is this, your Honor:

I make a motion that Mr. Bugliosi turn over to us his original notes that he took with Susan Atkins, which he represented to the Court previously, which is most important here, in view of the fact that at the Grand Jury Susan Atkins stated that she did not kill --

THE COURT: We had a number of discovery motions, Mr. Kanarek, and I think you have received everything that Mr. Bugliosi had, as far as I know.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, but, as I say, at the Grand Jury hearing, Susan Atkins said that Tex Watson killed Sharon Tate, and did not say what this lady stated she said.

I think it is important, your Honor --

THE COURT: You can take up this matter at some other time. We are not going to have a discovery proceeding at this time.

Do you wish to cross-examine?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: All right. Proceed.

•

CROSS-EXAMINATION

asking you to do in this courtroom is an impossible task --

insofar as your state of mind is concerned, in view of

the fact that you are asked to relate what Sharon Tate

Miss Graham, would you say -- and what we are

2

2

3.

4

5

6

٠.

٠...

7

8

9

10 11

12,

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

÷

22

23.

24

25

26

told you she personally --

Sharon Tate?

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q

Α.

Q Pardon me. That Sugan Atkins -- you were maked to relate what Sugan Atkins told you that she personally did, in circumstances wherein she may not have said what she personally did.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevent and compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Now, Mr. Kanarek, this examination is for a limited purpose. Confine your examination to those limits.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

Q Is it a fair statement, Miss Graham, that what you have uttered in court as words that you have attributed to Susan Atkins are words that she did not utter?

A Are you inferring, then, that she did not tell me what I am saying she said?

Q I am asking for a specific answer to that question. If it isn't clear, I am sure Judge Older will read it -- will have it read over to you, and if it is

26

still not clear, I will try to rephrase it.

Is the question clear?

You answered almost before I had the words out. Would you please listen to the question.

A I did listen to it, but I didn't understand

- Q You didn't understand the question?
- A No. sir.
- Q Now, would you listen carefully.
- A Yes.

ſŧ.

Q Is it a fair statement that the words that you have uttered here, that you have attributed, that you have said that Susan Atkins uttered, she, in fact, did not utter to you?

A It is not a fair statement at all.

- v	-	
25.2	≠ Ł	

Ŷ

ب

3

1

2

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

15 14

15

16

17

18

19

ž.,

20 21

22

23

25

24

26

All right.

Then, would you tell me how it was possible for you to follow Mr. Bugliost's suggestion when he said "we," "they," and so forth? How can you possibly do that and attribute "I," "me," and so forth, those pronouns, to Miss Atkins?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That assumes a fact not in evidence.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Well, then --

THE COURT: You are getting beyond the limited scope of this voir dire examination, Mr. Kanarek.

Now, either confine yourself to the limits that have been set or we will have to terminate the examination.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

- Q Certainly, Niss Graham -- is it a fair statement that you have attributed words to Miss Atkins that you did not hear her say?
 - A You just asked me that.
 - Q What is your answer?
- A My answer is that what I have testified to was completely true that Miss Atkins said.
- Q If you will listen to the question, Miss Graham. I am not asking you to judge your own testimony. I am asking now, for instance, if I say to you, right now,

84-2

2.

3

۲.

4

5

б

7

8

10 1Î

12

14

16

17 18

19

20 21

€.

22 23

24

· 25

"I walked down the street," the exect words that I uttered would be "I walked down the street." Now, sometime later, you may say that "He said that he walked down the street."

A I sec.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, you are getting beyond the scope again. I will sustain an objection to it.

MR. KANAREK: May I have an answer?

THE COURT: You are going to have an opportunity, sir, to cross-examine when this witness testifies again before the jury.

You are apparently trying to do that now, and that is not the purpose of this proceeding.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, may I ask this question?

Q Is it a fair statement, Hiss Graham, that the
exact words uttered --

THE COURT: That is the same question, Mr. Kanarak.

I will have to terminate your executation.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: You seem to be unable to confine yourself to the limits.

Do you have any questions, Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: No questions, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may I make a motion?

THE COURT: You may,

Ţ.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I make a motion to strike the part of her testimony in which she states that Susan Atkins told her that "I killed Sharon Tate."

According to the testimony of the witness, your Honor, she doesn't seem to know whether or not she actually said those words, and the pages that she read --I believe it was page 9 and 10 -- say "we killed Sharon Tate."

THE COURT: If you look at pages 42 and 43, Mr. Shinn, it is quite apparent what she is saying there.

MR. SHIMN: But there, your Honor, I believe that she is now referring back to page 10, your Honor, because you can't use "we" on pages 42 and 43.

THE COURT: I know what I see in the transcript, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: Motion denied, then?
THE COURT: The motion is denied.

MR. KAWAREK: Your Honor, may I just have a ruling on my motion that Mr. Bugliosi furnish us with the hand-written copy of the notes? May I just have a ruling on that?

THE COURT: I am not going to get into it at this time, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I want the record to reflect that Mr. Kanarek was given a photostatic copy of my original notes per my conversation with Susan Atkins.

MR. KANAREK: I cannot accept that, your Honor.
We made discovery --

THE COURT: That will be enough, Mr. Kanarek. If you want to raise this in a separate motion, you will have to do it at some other time. We are not going to interrupt this witness's testimony now.

Is there any reason why the jury should not now be brought back?

Bring in the jury, please.

Ŀ

2

ð.

4

5

б

7

8

y

10

11 12

13

14

-15

16

17

18

· 19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THE COURT: All counsel and jurors are present.

Will counsel approach the bench, please,

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor, can I ask some of the questions that I asked in the absence of the jury now?

What questions can I not ask and what questions can I ask?

THE COURT: The reason I asked the questions I did was because I wanted to find out for the benefit of all parties whether or not everything this witness testified to as having been told by Susan Atkins, she was also exposed to by some other source, either before or after those conversations, but in any event before she testified in this trial.

Her answers indicated that there were several things that she learned in her conversations with Susan Atkins that she did not learn from any other source.

Now, one of those things, of course, was this matter that Susan Atkins allegedly told her about these other movie stars that have no connection with this case.

MR. SHINN: I am not going into that, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am just reviewing what this witness!

testimony was in the voir dire.

Certainly you can go into the fact that she was exposed to various sources of information other than the conversation she had with Susan Atkins. That is a perfectly legitimate area of inquiry.

The danger is in going into specifics and having the witness inadvertently blurt out semething that could be damaging to the other defendants; that you certainly have the right to go into the fact that she was exposed to this information.

MR. SHINN: If I feel that she may say something that may mention the other defendants, I am not going to ask her the questions because your Honor has warned me.

THE COURT: I am not telling you how to conduct your examination, but one way it could be done would be to go back in her transcript of her direct testimony and point out to her some specific questions and enswers:

"You testified to so-and-so-and-so on direct examination by Mr. Bugliosi. Now, did you also learn of this fact from a newspaper that you read or from television or from some other source?"

MR. BUGLIOSI: I would recommend that.

THE COURT: As long as you don't go beyond the scope of that particular direct, you will have covered everything that she testified to.

16.

; ÷.

25

26

NR. EUGLIOSI: If he doesn't do that I will have to do it on redirect examination, go over every single point, so you might as well do it on cross-examination; go over every point, as the Court says, whether she read this some-where else.

MR. SHINN; I want to impeach her as to the statement she gave to the police officers and Mr. Bugliosi.

Now, I will just ask her -- I asked her where her original statement to Sergeant Nielsen -- where Susan said, I killed Sharon Tate, " I could not find that.

THE COURT: I cannot agree with you. I would refer you to Pages 42 and 43, that is exactly what she said.

MR. SHINN: It referred back --

THE COURT: Even if it referred back, she is phrasing it in such a way that it is unmistakable about what she is saying.

Anyway, what is your point?

MR. SHINN: I want to impeach her.

THE COURT: HOW?

MR. SHINN: The fact that in the original transcript they use a majority of the pronouns and nouns, which were used, are "they" and "we" and now she's got it narrowed down to "I."

THE COURT: What do you want to do, Mr. Shinn? I'm trying to understand what you are saying.

MR. SHINN: I am trying to take the weight off her,

ينن

÷

21

24

25

26

your Honor.

THE COURT: How?

MR. SHINN: I want to show the jury there were other people there present.

MR. BUGLIOSI: You cannot do that. You cannot deliberately inject Aranda error.

MR, SHINN: Wait, you mean --

MR. BUGLIOSI: We could not do it.

MR. SHINN: Can I ask her the question whether or not she killed all five persons herself?

THE COURT: This witness?

MR. SHINN: Ask her if Susan said that to her.

MR. KANAREK: That is not even within the meope of the direct examination.

THE COURT: That is beyond the scope of the direct examination.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, there hasn't been any admonishment to the jury that this refers to a particular count.

THE COURT: Well, they have been admonished that it refers only to Susan Atkins and not to any of the other defendants for any purpose.

MR.FITZGERALD: He wants to exculpate her on the counts in which she is not charged.

Here is the problem.

MR. BUGLIOSI: How exculpate her? You mean abetting,

the fact she did not kill Voityck Frykowski does not exculpate her. MR. FITZGERALD: Who knows why juries give death penalties ---Į3 .20

94-1

(**.**)

3

4

6

5

7

Ą.

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

Î8

19.

<u>}</u>

۴.

20 21

22

24

23

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: I know, but the Court cannot instruct the jury just because she did not kill a certain party she is not responsible for the party's murder.

MR. FITZGERALD: If the Court doesn't, then he is entitled to ask her questions.

THE COURT: He is not entitled to ask those ques-

MR. FITZGERALD: Why can't be exculpate her as to Frykowski and as to Sebring and Abigail Folger?

THE COURT: She did not testify she killed Trykowski, Folger or Sebring; what she said on her direct testimony was she killed Sharon Tate.

MR. FITZGERALD: On the evening of August 8th, 1969, at a time and place where four other people were killed?

THE COURT: That's right. This is the same question we have covered day after day after day.

Because it appears that more than one person was involved in the commission of the offense we cannot bring in a Bruton-Aranda statement. That simply is not true.

MR. SHINN: I cannot ask her those questions, your Honor?

THE COURT: I don't know what you mean. I don't want to be misunderstood, Mr. Shimn, I cannot rule on questions you have not asked.

We are just talking about areas of examination.

94+2

Ç,

4

1 2

3 4

5. 6

7 · 8

10

ģ

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

ځو

Ę.,

20 .21

> 22 23

24 25

26

I am not foreclosing you from asking any questions. I am saying that you'd better tread very lightly and stay within the scope of the direct examination or I will have to sustain objections.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, any reference to any of the other defendants would be -- that is the whole purpose, that is the whole purposted purpose of the editing.

THE COURT: That's right. That is exactly right.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, the way the testimony of this witness is going, your Honor, it may force Susan Atkins to take the stand.

THE COURT: I don't subscribe to that, Mr. Shinm.

If she takes the stand, of course, that is something you and she will have to determine, but she's already, by this witness's testimony, confessed to being present, and confessed to having directly participated in the killing of Sharon Tate and Sebring and having stabbed some other man who went by.

MR. SHINN: If your Honor wants me to stay away from that area! will stay away from that area.

Mr. Fitzgerald brought up a good point, your Honor.

Are you saying I cannot ask a question for the record, or could I ask a question and you will sustain the objection?

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, I am not saying you cannot 9a-3 1 ask any questions. 2 MR. SHINN: Oh, I see, I thought you are foreclosing 3 me. 4 I am not making any blanket ruling. THE COURT: What we have been talking about is what we have been talking about for the last several days, and the 7 purpose of the editing of the statements. 4. 8 MR. SHINN: Okay. 9 (The following proceedings were had in open 10 court in the presence and hearing of the jury:) Н THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Shinn. 12 MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor. 13 Mrs. Graham, on your direct examination Mr. 14 Bugliosi asked you about your occupation at the present 15 time? 16 Yes, sir. Ă 17 And I believe your number was -- what was your 18 occupation at the present time? 19 * Clerical. I am working in a law office. A 20 You are working in a law office? Q 21 Yes, sir. Ă 22 When did you start? 23 MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant, your Honor. 24 MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I will make an offer of 25 proof, your Honor. 26

1.	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
2.	BY MR. SHINN:
3	Q How long have you been working there?
4	MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	BY MR. SHINN:
7	Q What is your job there, what do you do?
8	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevent.
9.	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. SHINN:
iı,	Q How much a week do you make?
12	MR. MUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant.
13.	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn
14 :	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I offered to make an offer
15	of proof, your Honor.
, 16 .	Mr. Bugliosi brought this up on direct.
17	THE COURT: It is not necessary to make an offer of
18	proof. This is cross-examination.
19	The objection is sustained.
.20	BY MR. SHINK:
21	Q You had many conversations with Miss Atkins?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Approximately how many?
24	A I met her, he I mentioned, about the 2nd of
25	November, and I spoke with her clear through until about
26	the 12th of November.

I would just say many.

I could not be specific if it were 20 or 30, but we were in contact with one another every single day, we sat next to each other, as I mentioned before, which we talked from approximately 7:30 in the morning until 3:00 to 3:30 in the afternoon.

Q And then you talked about many many subjects, is that correct?

Surely.

·-		
1.	Q.	Not only about the Tate event?
.2	A .	Of course not.
3	(1) A (1)	You talked about your life, her life?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q	What you were in for and what she was in for?
, 6 .	A	Yes.
1.7		What you did in the past, what she did in the
. 8	past?	
ģ	A.	Yes.
10	.	And in fact you did talk about drugs?
11.	A.	Yes, we did,
12	•	And you talked about the Tate residence, the
13	Tate house	
14	A .	Yes.
15	Q.	In fact you told her that you knew the house?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	You had been there before?
18	A.	Yes.
19	g.	That you were going to buy it at one time?
20	A .	No.
21	'Q	Rent it at one time?
22	A.	Yes.
23	4	And I believe the rent was about what, \$3,000 a
. 24	month at t	hat time?
25	MR.	BUGLIOSI: That's irrelevant,
26	MR.	SHINN: This is a conversation she had with
	Susan Atki	na. your Henor.

1	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
2	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat that, sir?
. 3	MR. SHINN: May it be read back, your Honor?
4.	THE COURT: Well, the question is ambiguous,
5	Mr. Shinn. Reframe it.
6.	MR. SHINN: I believe I asked her what the rent was
7	at that time.
, 8	THE WITNESS: I'm not positive. It's been many years
: 9 .	ago. I think it was somewhere about a thousand eleven
10	hundred dollars a month.
ıı.	Q BY MR. SHINN: The house was worth at that time
12	around three hundred thousand dollars?
13	A I wouldn't know the price of property or the
14	value.
15	q In fact you told Miss Atkins that you knew what
16	the colors were in the house, inside the house, isn't that
17	correct?
18	A I did not tell her that I knew what colors were
19	inside the house.
20	Q You asked her whether it was white and gold?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q And you knew the color of the rugs?
23	A No, I did not.
24	Q You told Miss Atkins you knew the color of the
25	rugs, did you not?
26	A Repeat that again.

1	Q Did you tell Miss Atkins you knew the color of
2	the rug, of the furniture?
3	A. No.
4	Q Did you tell her you knew what the color of the
5	inside of the house was?
6	. A. I don't recall.
7	Q You don't recall?
8	A No, I don't recall.
. 9	And you stated you was going to rent this house
10	at one time, correct?
11	A I said that I'd been up to the house. I looked
12	at it from outside and I had thought of it, of renting it.
13 :	And you told Miss Atkins that you lived in the
14	neighborhood for years up in Beverly Hills?
15	A Yes, that's true.
1 6	Q And then she said to you, "Where did you get all
17	the money?"
18	A No, she did not.
19	Q You forgot that portion?
20	A I did not forget it because she did not ask it.
21	Q Did she ask you
22	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, this is irrelevant, the
23°	line of questions is calling for hearsay. It has no
24	relevance to the charges in this case.
25	I suggest an offer of proof, your honor.
26	THE COURT: The answer is in. Ask your next

1	question.	
2	MR. SH	IINN: Am I being foreclosed from going into
3 ·	THE CO	OURT: Ask your next question.
4	Q	BY MR. SHIMM: You talked about LSD?
,5	A.	Yes, we did.
6	Q	You told her that you took about 100 trips?
7	, A.	I told her that I took one trip.
·8	Q.	When was this one trip you had taken?
9	A.	October lat, 1969.
10	Q .	Where?
11	, An,	With some friends in Los Angeles,
12		That is the only time you took LSD?
13 .	À.	Yes, it is.
14	Q	What other drugs were you talking about with
15	Susan Atkin	
16	A.	Will you please be specific of what other drugs
17	we talked al	pout?
18	4	What do you recall talking with her about
19	drugs?	
20	A.	I recall talking about LSD.
21	8	And what else?
22	A.	I think she started discussing with me
23	Methedrine,	which I knew nothing about, and other drugs.
24		
25	· ·	·

10-1	ì	Q Did you tall her the extects of LSD?
	2	A You mean the effects that it had on me that
	3	time?
	4	Q On anyone.
	5	A I could only speak about what happened to me.
•	6	Q What happened to you?
. \$	7	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant.
	8.	THE COURT: Sustained.
	. 9 .	BY MR. SHINN:
ī	10	Q Did you tell her that you were making lots of
-	11	money in Hollywood in those days?
	12	A I don't recall.
	13	q Did you tell her that you were a hundred
	14	dollar a day prostitute?
	15	MR. NUGLIOSI: Objection, your Honor. The question
	16	calls for testimony which would be totally irrelevant.
	17	MR. SHIMM: Your Honor, this is conversation that
	18	she had with Susan Atkins, your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
*	20	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may I approach the bench,
**	21	your Honort
	, 22	THE COURT: No. Ask your next question.
	23	BY MR. SHIMM:
	24	Q You discussed with Miss Atkins about Jay
	25	Sebring, did you?
	. 26.	A I believe I mentioned that I had known him

į	vaguely.
2	Q That you met him at the Luau?
3	A I had met him at the Luav, that is correct.
4	Q Do you remember one of your girl friends was
5	A Fardon me?
6	Q One of your girl friends was a good friend of
7	Jay Sebring's?
8.	A Yes, that is true, but I don't recall telling
9.	her that.
10	Q You don't recall that?
11	A I don't recall telling Miss Atkins that I had
12	a girl friend that was a good friend of his.
18	Q Do you recall telling Sergeant Nielsen?
14	A Yes, I do believe that I told Sergeant Mielsen
15.	that a girl friend was a manicurist at one time for him.
16	Q And what else did you tell Sergeant Mielsen
17	about Jay Sebring and his friends?
18	A What else did I tell him?
19	Q Yes.
20	A I believe I told Sergeant Nielsen that I
21	knew him vaguely, that I had met him through a mutual
22	friend. I had seen him in and around Beverly Hills. That
23	we had never dated, or there was no contact other than
24	just a very, very casual sequaintance.
25	Q And while talking to Miss Atkins about this
26	Tate incident, did she say she was alone?
	, ,

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I will object to that. Ĺ MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, People vs. Aranda. .2 will object on that ground. 3. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SHINN: 5 Did she say anything about killing the other Q 6 victime? MR. BUGLIOSI: I object again, your Honor. People 8 vs. Aranda. 9 Objection, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 10 THE COURT: Approach the bench. ' li ÌŻ (Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of 13 the hearing of the jury:) 14 THE COURT: Read the last question. 15 (The question was read by the reporter.) 16 THE COURT: Do you have something to say? 17 MR. FITZGERALD: No. I didn't ask the question. Ì8 THE COURT: What is the purpose of that question, 19 20 Mr. Shimt MR. SHINN: Well, I think the jury may think that 21 22 Miss Atkins killed the other victims. 23 There is no mention of other people in her 24 testimony. The jury might think that she had something to do with killing the other four people, your Honor. 10# fls.26

L-Au.

ż

4.

7.

8.

THE COURT: I think that the simplest way to handle this is for counsel to approach the witness and confer with her on this problem. It is a question that can be answered yes or no. She either said something or she didn't. The answer — at least according to her testimony so far — would be "no."

I just don't want to have her inadvertently — because witnesses are not always responsive — I don't want to have her inadvertently mention something that might be prejudicial to the other defendants. She can simply say "no," if that is her answer.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I will object to this question on the grounds of denial of a fair trial, the denial to confront, the Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel, and Due Process under the Fourteenth Amendment.

THE COURT: What does all that mean, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: The point is that I object to the question and ask your Honor to admonish the jury not to consider the question for any purpose, and I object to the answer.

THE COURT: There is nothing prejudicial about the question nor will there be about the answer, as long as she is responsive to it. That is the thing that I want to be sure of.

MR. KANAREK: I can only ask the Court for certain relief.

24

25

23

· 26

MR. BUGLIOSI: On redirect, I would want to ask her:
Did she say she did not kill Abigail Folger? The answer will
be no.

The point is that Susan never told her about stabbing anyone else. She did not tell her that she did not stab anyone else.

Again, your Honor, we are talking only about yes or no answers.

I think the Court's suggestion is a good one, to go up to her and tell her she can't mention what any other person did.

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, you aked a question, previous to that, about whether or not anyone else was present, I believe.

MR. SHINN: Yes. She can answer yes or no.

THE COURT: I consider that to be a direct ignoring of all that has gone on in the last several days, Mr. Shinn.

Are you trying to sabotage this whole trial?

MR. SHINN: No, your Honor. That is why I came to the bench, for guidance from the Court.

THE COURT: You are not unintelligent, Mr. Shinn.
When you ask a question like that, after what has been going on for the last four days, a discussion of this problem,
I have a serious question as to what your motive is.

I mean that.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I asked to approach the bench

for guidance from the Court as to the way took the question.

THE COURT: You didn't ask for guidance before you asked that. Your purpose seemed to be obvious.

MR. SHINN: She can answer yes or no.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, I suggest that we go on. Be very, very careful, Mr. Shinn. I warn you that if you violate my instructions in this matter, I am going to do something about it.

I am not going to foreclose your examination on legitimate subjects that you can go into on cross-examination, but I think that you, at least up until this moment, have been attempting to go beyond that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ż.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SHINN: I have no intention of going beyond that, if that is the Court's opinion.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Should you and I approach the witness?

MR. SHINN: You can.

THE COURT: No. Both of you.

MR. KANAREK: Will your Honor admonish the jury again that all of this is only as against Susan Atkins? I think that is in order at this point.

THE COURT: I will do that at the close of her testimony if you will ask me them.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking your Honor to do it now, but if your Honor won't, I will welcome your Honor doing it, as a second choice, that way.

THE COURT: Let's proceed, gentlemen.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceeding occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

(Mr. Shinn and Mr. Bugliosi confer with the witness.)

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may the last question be read back, your Honor?

THE COURT: Reframe it, Mr. Shinn. We are way. beyond it now.

MR. SHINN: I have forgotten the last question, so I will withdraw the last question.

ئت

i	THE COURT: Reframe your question,
2	BY MR. SHINN:
3	Q Miss Graham, before talking to Miss Atking at
4	Sybil Brand, you had acquired information about the Tate
5	events; is that correct?
6	A What do you mean by "acquired"?
7	Q Well, you watched I mean, you know that
.8	there were two bodies outside on the layof
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you knew that one of the victims was
ii '	a female?
12	A Yes,
13	And one of the victims out on the lawn was a
14	male?
15	A Yes, I did.
16	Q And you also knew that one of the bodies was
17	in an automobile outside in a driveway near the gate?
18.	A Yex.
19	Q And you knew that he was shot?
. 20	A Yes. I do recall reading something like that.
21	Q This is all before you talked to Miss Atkins;
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you know there was a rope involved?
24 25	Q And you knew there was a rope involved? A Yes.

ı.	A Well, they said "shot," so I would assume a
2	gun.
3	Q You didn't see the picture of the gun on TV?
4	A No, I didn't see the picture of a gun.
5	Q And you knew there was writing on the wall
6	of the door?
7	A Yes, I had read that.
8 .	Q And you knew where the Tate residence was
9	located?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You had been there before?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you knew the color of the carpets?
14	A No, sir, I did not. I was never inside the
ļ5	house.
16	Q Did you not tell Miss Atkins at Sybil Brand
17	that the color scheme inside the Tate house was black
18	and gold, or white and gold?
.19	A I don't recall.
20	Q You did tell Miss Atkins the color of the Tata
21	residence inside the house, did you not?
22	A I don't recall.
23	Q You may have but you have forgotten?
24	A It is possible, but I did not see it inside
25	the house, so I really wouldn't know what color it was.
26 .	Q I am asking you: Did you tell Miss Atkins in

ı,	your conversation with her at Sybil Brand?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Did you tell her the color of the furniture
4.	inside?
5	MR. BUGLICSI: Asked and answered.
6	THE COURT: Overruled.
7	You may answer.
8.	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
9 .	BY MR. SHINN:
10	Q And you told Miss Atkins, I believe, that you
11	lived in Reverly Hills for years?
12	A I could very well have. I did.
13	Q You knew the area very well?
14	A Yes.
15	Q At least you knew, and all this information
16	you had, before talking to Miss Atkins at Sybil Brand;
1,7	correct?
18	A Yes.
Íð	MR. SHINN: May I approach the witness, your Honort
20	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
21	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I have here People's Exhibit
22	No. 243, your Honor.
23	May I hand it to the witness, your Honort
24 .	THE COURT: Very well.
25	MR. SHINN: Would you try those on?
26	THE WITNESS: Me?

, , , ,

. ئۇ

		I,					,	(Ti	ie v	/i.tr	ie#i	tr	ies	on	the	ex	hib:	it e	yeg	lass:	:#.)
		2				MŔ.	SI	IIN	1	You	ır B	lono	r, i	né y	she	ta	k#	the	exh	ibit	
		3	ţ	eż	01	£?															
		4	•			THE	W)	TNE	SS:	H	low	abo	ut	if :	į fo	ld	the	tag	no.	?	
10c	fls.	5	,				•	I :	ini d	ifr	id	I ¢	an F	ž s	ee y	QÜ	at i	ali.	,		
	,	6										•									
à.		7	v										,			•			•		
<i>2</i> .		8		٠.								•	;	•							
	·.	ġ. ·		•					•	•			,		_						,
	-	1Ò.							;		·	,			,	٠.				,	
		11						•	•									,			
		.12						,				:					,	,	٠,	. :	
		13	Ì												7		•			. 1	
		14												•							
	, . ·	15			•																
	·,	16																			
		17																			
		18										,							, <u>.</u>		
بو	ļ.	19	Ì						•												
		20																•			
<u>.</u>	. · · ·	. 21																			
		Ž2													,						
		23								•									•		
À	,	.24																			
		25 26																			
		· 26	ţ					•													

	┡
1 .	A Yes, of course.
2 ·	Q You would take 1t?
s ,	A Why not? Yes,
4	Q I mean, concerning this case.
5	A. Yes.
.6	q In other words, that has nothing to do with you
7	testifying in court today?
.8	A. Absolutely not.
9	Q Have you hired anyone to pursue this \$25,000
10	reward for you?
ΙΪ	A. Yes, I did.
12	Q Attorneys?
13	A. An attorney. Not the attorney present.
14	Q To file a civil suit trying to recover the
15	\$25.000 reward?
16	A No, there was no civil suit or anything else
17 ()	filed, to my knowledge.
18, .	I think a letter had just been written to some
19:	other attorneys, obviously, that was handling it, and I
20	haven't heard anything for months, and I don't really even
21	know anything about it at the moment.
22	Q When was this letter sent to you?
23	A. I am not quite sure, but I believe it was
.24	probably last January or December,
25	My ex-attorney would probably be able to
26	m Tim wall Man Gallanda Man Man Man Man Man Man Man Man Man Ma

1	Are you also testifying because you are a good	Ţ
2	citizen?	
3	A. Yes, I am.	
4	What is your definition of a good citizen?	•
5	A I think that I have a moral obligation not onl	Ļy
6	to myself but to society to make sure that crimes like	
7	this don't occur any more and people aren't murdered like	
8	this.	
ģ	Q Do you think a good citizen should commit	٠,
jo.	felonies?	
11	A No, and I was a very poor citizen for quite a	
12	long time,	
13	And now you have decided to turn into a good	
14	citizen; is that correct?	
15	A Yes, I did, believe it or not.	
16	Q Does the \$25,000 have anything to do with your	ŗ.
17	turning into a good citizen?	
18	A No, it didn't, because, you see, I didn't know	¥
19	about the \$25,000 when I turned into a good citizen.	
20	Q You stated that you know a Roni Howard?	
21	A Yes, I do.	
22	Q What other names do you know her by?	
23	A Sheldon Conley.	
24	Q What else?	
25	A. Veronica Hughes, which I believe is her real	
26	name or Shelley Nadell.	

That is all I can think of. ļ Is she an ex-con, tou? Yes, she is. In other words, she served time in State prison, in Federal prisons? I know about Sate prison. I think she did in Federal prison, too. 14,

11-1		Q And you met Roud Howard in Sybil Brand, is that
	2	correct?
) .	3	A Are you referring to for the first time?
	4	Q No, with Susan Atkins while you were in
:	5.	Sybil Brand with Susan Atkins.
	6	A Yes, I ran into Roni again.
\$ 1	7	Q When you left for State Prison you told Roni
*	. 8	how to pump Susan Atkins to get more information, is that
	9	correct?
	10	A I told Romi if she cared to talk to Susan
•	1,1	Atkins about it that it was entirely up to her.
•	12	Q Did you tell her to get some more information
	13	so "we can use it later"?
).	14	A No. I didn't tell herin those words at all,
•	15	Mr. Shinn. They are your words.
	16	Q What was your state of mind at that time when
	17	you left for State Prison and were trying to gather more
	18.	information?
:	19	A At the time when I left and I had heard all
5 ₹.	20	this; frankly I did not know whether to come forward or
بين	-21,	as my husband told me to just forget about the whole
	22	thing and keep quist.
	23	I was fearful because I felt if this was
	24	true I certainly did not want to get murdered.
	· 25.	Not only that, where I was in Corona you just
	26	don't go around telling things. You become a snitch than,

1	and I did not feel my life would be worth too much.
2	Q When did you decide to turn into a snitch then?
3	A I decided to turn into a suitch about two days
4	after I got to Corona because my conscience could not
5	possibly let me keep this quiet.
6	Q You said your conscience?
7	A I certainly did.
. 8	Q Now, did you notify Roni Howard did you
9.	notify Roni Howard's parole officer that she was giving out
10	information, selling a story, something like that?
11:	A Did I when are you referring to, while I
12	was in Coronal
13	Q In Corona or while you were out?
14	A I spoke to Miss Bartlett who was Miss Howard's
15	parole agent, I was very concerned about that book,
16	"Five to Die" that was written because I had nothing
17	whatsoever to do with it and I could not understand how
18	it got out, when here up until that time I had tried to be
19	relatively careful about what I said.
.20 ·	Q So you snitched on Roni Howard, didn't you?
21	A Well, if you care to call it that word, yes.
22	Q What do you call it?
23	A I was trying to find out some information.
24	Q For what purpose?
25	A Well, I think it's obvious.
26	In other words, If she would be permitted to

	1
	2
.	3
	4
,	5
, 5	Ż
ė ·	`8
	.è
	10
	11
**** #***	12
lia file.	13
	14
	15
	ļĠ
	17
:	18
•*	19
*	ź0
مينية. * * *	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26

discuss certain things and I am not, it just doesn't make very much sense.

Q In other words, you wanted to protect your \$25,000 reward, did you not?

A No, I was not trying to protect it at all.

THE COURT: We will take our afternoon recess at this time. Mr. Shinn.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express an opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes.
(Recess.)

iš		13,672
11a-1	1	THE COURT: All counsel and jurors are present.
		You may continue, Mr. Shinn.
	2	MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor.
• •	3. - 4.	Q BY MR. SHINN: Miss Graham, you stated on
,	. 5	direct examination that you were convicted of two felonies.
	6	A. Yes, sir,
a	7	Q What was the first one?
2	8.	A Forgery.
,	9	Q The first one was forgery?
	10	A. Yes.
•	11	Q Or petty theft with a prior?
·. ·	12	A. That was the second one, sir.
	13	Q What was the first one, 1967, 1965?
	. 14	A. 165, yes, June 4th, 1965.
,	15	a And you went to State prison for that one?
n g	16	Or were you on probation?
* * .	17	A I was on probation, sir, for 13 months.
٠,	18	And then you violated your probation?
	19	A. Yes, I did.
, 🥌 1.	-20	Q And they put you in state prison for that?
*	· 21	A. Violation of probation and the petty with a
•	22	prior.
•	23:	And when was the other one?
	24	A What other one?
	25	Q The felony,
	26	A I just explained that, I had a forgery first in
	•	

in 1965, and 1966 I had the petty with the prior.

MR. SHINN: I have nothing further, your Honor,

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor.

Your Honor, I gathered, is instructing the jury that all of this purported testimony is only against Susan Atkins, is that correct, all of it?

THE COURT: Yes, the jury was so admonished at the outset of this witness' testimony.

MR. KANAREK: In view of that, your Honor, I have no questions since all of this is coming in only against Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: Thank you, no questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Redirect?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Just a very few, your Honor.

17

18

19.

20.

21

23

26

16

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Mrs. Castro, is it your state of mind that in order for you to collect any portion of the \$25,000 you must testify at this trial?

A. I am aware that I do not have to testify here in order to receive the money.

I am testifying because I want to testify.

4 You knew Jay Sebring as an internationally-famous

1.	hair stylist?
2	A. Oh, yes.
3	Q Directing your attention to Volume 122, Page
4	13,529, would you please read Lines 1 through 12 to your-
5	self,
6	(Witness complies.)
7 .	have you read those lines to yourself?
8	A Yes, I have.
9	Q To these questions did you give these
10	answers:
11	Mq And you had conversations with
12	other inmates regarding this Tate case?
-13	"A. I don't recall discussing it
14,	with anybody.
.15	"Q Well, how long did you stay
16	there?
17.	"A I went in the 20th of October
18	and left November 12th.
19	"C And you don't recall
20	"I mean besides Susan Atkins you
21	don't recall discussing this Tate event with
22	anyone?
23	"A No, I really don't.
24	I would not be positive and say
25	that I did not, but to my recollection it did not
26 .	arise, no."

1	Did you give those answers to those questions?	•
2	A Yes, sir.	
3	Q Did you, in fact, discuss what Susan Atkins	
4	teld you with Roni Howard?	
5	A Oh, yes, I certainly did.	,
6	4 So you were not referring to Ronf Howard when	
7	you gave those answers to those questions?	
8	A. I was not referring to Roni.	
9	4 Now, you say two days after you arrived at	
10 .	Corona, you decided to contact the authorities with respect	
ij.	to what Susan had told you?	
12.	A. Yes, sir.	
İ3.	And you arrived at Corona when?	
14	A. The 12th of November.	
15 ,	Q So on or about the 14th of November, 1969 you	
16	decided to relate to other people what Susan had told you?	
,17	A. Yes, I did.	
18 .	And what did you do on November 14th to	
19	effectuate that intent of yours?	
20	A. In Corona in order for an inmate to talk with	
21	a staff member you have to fill out what they call a blue	
22	slip.	
23	This is a request for an interview.	
24	I took a blue slip and I filled it out and I	
25	sent it to the psychologist there, a Dr. Vers Dreiser, and	
26	all I put on it was, "Dr. Dreiser, it is very important that	;

I speak with you." Ì I did this on the 14th. I wanted to talk to Dr. Dreiser about this because I trusted her. Did you in fact/to Dr. Dreisert. I did, sir, at a later date, 5 On what date? Q. 6 I would say -- oh, in December sometime. 7 But your initial request was on or about 8 9 November 14th, 1969? 10 Ă. Yes, sir, And you did not speak to Dr. Dreiser immediately 11 12 after that request? 13 No. I did not. À. 14 Ů. Didyou withdraw the request? 15 No, I did not. 16 A blue slip was sent back to me from Dr. Dreiser **17** and it indicated for me to put in another blue slip to 18 speak with Dr. Owens, who was the administrator in the unit 19 that she was in. 20 Would it have been necessary for you to speak 21 to Dr. Dreiser to fill out that blue slip or could you have 22 just walked to her door, knocked on it and spoken to her? 23. Oh, no, we were in a completely segregated 24 area. We were in the receiving-guidance center. There was no way possible I could have spoken to Dr. Dreiser or any member in authority without putting in a blue slip.

1	No further questions.
2	THE COURT: Anything further?
3.	MR. FITZGERALD: No questions, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn?
5	MR, SHINN: Yes.
6	
7	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
.8	BY WR. SHINN;
9.	Q Did you say you knew Jay Sebring pretty well?
10	A No. I did not say I knew him well. I said I
; ii	knew him vaguely, sir.
12	Vaguely?
13	A. Yes.
14.	4 How many times did you meet him?
15	A I was introduced to him one time. I had one
16	conversation with him.
17	I saw him in and around the area I was living in
18	on various occasions.
. 19	Q You don't know him socially?
20	A No, I didn't. Like I said, I met him one time.
.21	Q Now, you talked to Sergeant Nielsen on November
2 Ž .	26, 1969?
23	A. That's right.
24	And then after talking to him you were paroled
. 25	shortly after that, is that correct?
26	A. No, it is not correct.

			e
1	When were	re you paroled?	
2	A I was not	ot paroled, sir, until April, the end	
3	of April.		
4	Q That's onl	only around what, about four or five	•
5	months; that's pretty	•	
6		essibly to you, but it was not to me.	
	•		
7			
8			
9			
10			
ij		•	
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
13			
14			
. 15			
•			
16			
17			`.
18			
19			
20			•
21			
22			
23		• •	,
24			
in I	` -		

MR. SHINN: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything further, gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor.

MR. HUGHES: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May we approach the bench, your Honor, with respect to the next witness?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have got a problem with respect to the next witness, your Honor.

She is outside, but to be blunt about it, your Honor, she is not the most accurate person in the world; she is not the most reliable person in the world.

It is Romi Howard. She has a tendency to want to tell the whole story, to use the "we's" and the "they's" and "Charlie" and "Tex."

I keep telling her that she cannot do this, and she says: Okay.

She says: Will you please check on the law and see if there is some way that I can tell the whole story?

I had no problem at all with Virginia Graham.

24

25

20

21

9

2

•

4 5

6

7

8

10:

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2]

. 22 23

> 24 25

26

Now, the only reason that I am bringing this up is that I should talk to her again before I put her on the atend and go over exactly what she is permitted to testify to.

She is apt to blurt something out.

MR. KANAREK: Then I think the Court can exercise its discretion. How much cumulative evidence do you need, your Honor?

He has got one felon, a twice-convicted felon, and this lady is obviously a friend of hers.

What I am saying, your Honor, is that the cumulative evidence --

THE COURT: What part of the evidence do you think is cumulative? The fact that she is a felon or that she testified?

MR. KANAREK: She is going to repeat it.

I mean, since we are all agreed that we don't wish to -- our purpose here is to have Mr. Manson leave this courtroom a free man, not to inject error or have error injected.

I think the Court can exercise its discretion and not rell her.

THE COURT: I agree, there is a problem that Mr. Bugliosi is faced with.

MR. KANAREK: We are all faced with it, though, your Honor.

1 2

3

5

6

7

8,

9

10

11

13

14

12a £1a. 12

, a

15 16

> . 17

18

19

20

21 22

-

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Of course, of course.

MR. KANAREK: I think there is no need for this witness.

How many times do you have to say the some ting?

THE COURT: I would not exclude the testimony on the ground that it is complative.

MR. BUGLIOSI: In the first place, your Honor, some of her testimony will be different.

THE COURT: But I do point out to Mr. Bugliosi that he has a very serious problem if the witness is unreliable in the sense that she can't be responsive or responsible.

2

3

1

4

5 ნ

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, she was supposed to come in this morning to see me.

THE COURT: You know her better than anyone else.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She was supposed to come in here this morning to see me. It wasn't her fault that she didn't. Thepolice didn't bring her in on time.

THE COURT: In any event, you are asking for more time?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

I hate to take up the Court's time.

THE COURT: Do you have any other witness you can put on?

MR. BUGLIOST: No.

I might be able to talk to her for 15 minutes, and that might do the trick.

Her testimony will be very short.

She was supposed to come in at noontime, or this morning, and she did not come in.

If I had spoken to her this morning or at noontime, it would have been different.

MR. SHIMN: Like Virginia Graham.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, not much, if anything, will be lost, if we don't permit this witness to testify.

We are going to jeopardize this matter.

I think you can look at her statement, your Honor, and exercise your discretion, your Honor, and see

نزا

.9

12⁻

 to it that she doesn't take the witness stand.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She does not say that she will refuse to follow my instructions, but she wants to tell the story, and she says: Can't you check on the law? Haybe there are cases that say I can use the words "we" and "they". But she doesn't say "I refuse to follow your instructions." She has never told me that.

Hy only point is that before I put her on the stand, I want to talk to her again.

I wanted to talk to her at moontime and this morning, but she didn't show up.

THE COURT: At one time, it was suggested by someoneperhaps I was the one, I don't recall now -- that the
testimony of these two witnesses be taken in full outside
of the presence of the jury. Perhaps this would be an
appropriate procedure with respect to this witness to
prevent an inadvertent response.

MR. BUGLIOSI: And then we would read the testimony to the jury afterwards?

THE COURT: I don't think that would be necessary. She could simply be asked the same questions that she was asked during the course of the out-of-the-presence-of-the-jury proceedings. Presumably she would give the same response.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, I am confident that if I can talk to her just before I put her on the stand, that I could instruct her to --

THE COURT: This is a matter about which there can't be any account guesses or second thoughts. If the damage is done, Mr. Bugliosi, the damage is done.

I think you have to give very, very serious consideration to whether putting her on without being certain of what she is going to say is worth the risk.

Now, one way to obviate that would be, as someone suggested -- I think it was Mr. Shinn --

2b fls.

11.

.

 MR. SHINN: No. Mr. Hughes did. I don't want to take the credit.

THE COURT: In any event, that suggestion would seem to have a great deal of merit with respect to this witness, in view of what you say.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is 3:30 now. Could I talk to her for five or six or seven minutes? You could excuse the jury right now, and then we will have the testimony outside of the presence of the jury. Then we can call her to the stand in the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: Will you be ready to proceed without the jury today with this witness?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think if I talked to her for ten minutes, yes, because her testimony is going to be brief.

Very briefly, I think it is just one page, isn't it, that I gave you?

MR. KANAREK: That is the point, your Honor.

The reason that I mention about the felon that if this witness were a witness who hadn't been convicted of a felony, then Mr. Bugliosi might say, "I have a witness that I need." But this witness is in the same status as Virginia Graham, really. They have talked together. He is not enhancing the People's case.

THE COURT: It is not cumulative, Mr. Kanarek. They are not talking about the same conversation. They are talking about different conversations. To that extent, it

isn't cumulative. 1 In other words, if they had all been a party to the same conversation, your point would have some merit. 3 MR; KANAREK: But with the interchange of conver-4 sation between these people, it is de facto qumulative. 5 THE COURT: I will excuse the jury at this time. Now, is that procedure agreeable with all of .7 you? 8 MR. SHIMM: Do we have a choice, your Honor? 9 FR. HUGHES: Let me see if I understand it, although it 10 is credited to me. 11 I believe my suggestion was that we proceed by 12 deposition. So, I don't think that this was perticularly 13 my suggestion. Ï5 We are going to examine this witness outside 16 of the presence of the jury. Then, if her answers conform 17 to Aranda and Bruton, she will be asked the same 18 questions in the presence of the jury? 19 THE COURT: Yes, essentially. MR. SHINN: I thought Mr. Bugliosi said that he ~20 .21 would put her on in the absence of the jury and try to 22

delete her testimony.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No.

MR. HUGHES: No, I don't think that is what he said.

25 26.

23

. 1

2:

. 4

5

6 پي

8

9

11

12⁻

14

15 16

17

18

. 19

40

21 22

23

24

26

THE COURT: You are going to ask her the same questions that you would ask her in front of the jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: But this is just to obviete any nonresponsive answers.

MR. HUGHES: If she is non-responsive to certain questions, then those will not be asked, or some other disposition will be made?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No. We can just coach her to make sure that she doesn't respond in the same fashion in front of the jury.

IR. SHINN: How about under cross-examination?
You can't predict what she is going to say. It is going to be dangerous.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Especially dangerous, Daye, if you ask questions like you did of Virginia Graham, soliciting her to talk about other people.

MR. SHINN: I didn't purposely try to make her say "we" or "they."

MR. BUGLIOSI: You asked: Did she say anyone was with her?

THE COURT: The problem on cross-examination is not as dangerous because objections can be interposed either by the Court or by counsel before she answers.

MR. HUGHES: I have no objection to proceeding in this manner.

21 22

..3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

· 20

THE COURT: Do you have any objection, Mr. Kanarek? ' MR. KANAREK: There is a fundamental objection on the Sixth Amendment right to confront. I don't want to appear to be waiving that. The right to effective counsel and the right to the Fourteenth Amendment, the right to Due Process.

THE COURT: This has nothing to do with that, MR. FITZGERALD: This is a trial run outside the presence of the jury.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, no. I have no objection to a trial No. I have no objection to deposing her. run.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right. That is all that is at issue.

I don't have any objection.

MR. KANAREK: I have no objection to taking her statement under oath. I'd prefer that to extrajudicial utterances, right.

THE COURT: It will have to get before the jury at some time, of course. So, I would anticipate the same questions would be put to her in front of the jury, and if her answers have been responsive, whatever they may be, presumably she would answer the same way that she did in the hearing outside of the presence of the jury.

MR. KANAREK: Without waiving the other rights, of course. I have no objection to conducting this sort of voir dire hearing, no.

23

24

MR. PITZGERALD: You are not waiving any objections

1 to her testimony. 2 THE COURT: No. Counsel are not walving any . 3 objections. All right. Then the jury will be excused 5 this time. MR. HUGHES: Thank you, your Honor. (Whereupon, all counsel return to their 8 respective piaces at counsel table and the following 9 proceedings occur in open court within the presence and Ĺ hearing of the jury:) 11 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I am going to excuse the 12 jury at this time until tomorrow morning at 9:45. 13 Again, I remind you do not converse with anyone 14 or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it 15 is finally submitted to you. 16 You will be excused at this time. 17 The Court will recess. 18 How much time will you need? 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: Ten minutes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: The court will recess for ten minutes. 21 (Recess.) 22 23 24 25

	. 1	
3-1	1	(The following proceedings were had in open
	Ż·	court in the absence of the jury;)
	3	THE COURT: All counsel are present. The jury is
	4	not present.
	5	You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.
ĸ.	6	MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Roni Howard.
	Ż	THE CLERK: Draw the microphone back, please.
÷	.8	Would you state your name.
	9.	THE WITNESS: Roni Howard.
	10.	THE CLERK: You are still under oath.
	11;	
	12	RONI HOWARD,
*	13	having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and
	14	testified further as follows:
	15	
	16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	17	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	18	Q Is it Miss or Mrs. Howard?
.	19	A Miss.
.	20	Q Okay.
•	21 [.]	Miss Howard, you have been incarcerated at
	22	Sybil Brand in East Los Angeles?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q And during what period of time?
	25	A. From the middle of August to the middle of
•	26	February.
		Г

13-2	1	Q From the middle of August, '69, to the middle
	2	of February, 1970?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q In Dormitory 8000?
,	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And did you know Susan Atkins there?
ęc	7	A Yes.
, 4	8 .	Q You knew her as Sadie Glutz?
	9	A Yes.
	10.	Q Was she living with you in the same dormitory,
	11	No. 8000?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Where was her bed in relation to your bed?
	14	A Right next door to me.
,	15	Q During what period of time was her bed next
	16	to yours?
	17	A . I believe Sadie came in it was either the
	18	middle of October or the last of October about the middle
4	19	of October.
* j a.	20	Q 19697
-	21	A Yes.
	22	Q You say next door to yourself; there were no
	23.	partitions separating her bed from yours?
•	24	A No.
	25	Q In other words, her bed was right next to
	26	yours?
		ļ · · ·

At Sybil Brand?

Q

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	And referring to this first conversation,	
. 3 .	what time c	f the day did it take place?	
4	A .	In the evening after dinner while we were	
5	both sittir	g on our beds.	
6:	4	And how did you start talking about the Tate	
7.	murders?		
8	A	We were talking shout said trips.	
9	Q	LSD?	
10	A,	Yes.	
11	9	What did you say and what did she say?	
12	A ,	Well, we were discussing different trips on	
13	mcid, and S	adie told me that she had done just about	
14	everything	there is to do, and nothing shocks her anymore.	
15.	<u> </u>	I told her, well, nothing shocked me either.	
16.		And she told me "I'll bet I can tell you	
17	something that will blow your mind."		
18	,	And I said, "Well, I don't think so."	
19		And that is when she started talking to me	
20	Q.	about the Tate murders?	
21	A	Yes.	
22.	Q	What did she say?	
23	A	She told me, "Well, you know the Tate deal."	
24		And I said, "Yes,"	
25		And Sadie told me that she was there that	
26	she was the	era.	

1	Q All right, did she say anything about Sharon	
2	Tatel	
3	A Yes:	
4	Q During this first conversation?	
5	A Yes.	
6.	Q What did she say to you about Sharon Tate?	
7	A She told me Sharon was Sharon Tate was in	
8	the bedroom, in the second bedroom, and there was a man	
9	sitting on the bed talking to her and Sadie walked by and	
10	they didn't see her, and when the people were brought out	
11	of the room	
12	Do you want me to go on?	
13	Q Yes,	
14	A When the people were brought out of the room,	
Ï2.	and after everything happened in regards to the	
16	Sadie told me that Sharon could not believe	
- 17	what was happening. She said she had a look on her face	
18	that was she just couldn't believe it.	
19	And she just begged, she said "Please, just	
20	Let me have my baby,"	
21	And Sadie said "I have no feelings for you,	
22	bitch, you're going to die," and proceeded to stab her.	
23	And I asked her where did you stab her, in	
24	the stomach?	
25	And Sadie said, "No, I stabbed her in the	
26	chest."	

	1	Did you ask her enything about the baby?
	.2:	A Yes, I asked her "What happened to the baby?"
.	3	She said she wanted to take it with them.
	4	I saked her "How would you have done that?"
	5.	She said, "I would have had to cut it out of
_	6	her body."
eg.	7	Q She said that she would have to cut the baby
	8	out of her body?
Ja £	Las. 9	A Out of her body, yes.
	. 10	
	11 .	
	12	
<u>.</u> .	13	
•	14	
•	15.	
	16	
	17	
	18	
Ta.	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
**	20	
%	21	
	.22	
	23	
	24	
•	25	
	26	•

		ł
13a-1	1	Q Did she say whether or not she did cut the
<i>,</i>	2 .	baby out of the body?
	3	A No, she said they didn't.
	4	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I object to "they."
, 45g 1,	5	THE WITNESS: She said she.
	6	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: She said she did not sut the
: Tg	7	baby out of the body?
*	18	A. No, she did not.
•··	9	Q Did Sadie say anything about how it felt to stab
•	10 .	a person?
	11	A. Yes, she said it feels like going into air,
	12	like into nothing.
	.13	She said, "When you stab a person it's better
	14	than having a climax."
	15	She said, "Actually, it's a form of sexual
•	16	release anyway to stab a person because the whole world is
,	17	one big intercourse anyway, whether it's smoking, eating or
	18	anything that goes in and out, so it's a form of sexual
·.	19	release. "
*	20	And I asked her, I said, "You mean it's like a
State ()	21	drug habit?"
	2Ż'	She said, "That's right, the more you do it the
	23	better you like it."
٠, ,	24	Q Did Sadie say whether or not Sharon screamed?
.	25	A. Yes,
	26	What did she say?

1.	A She told me, she said when she screamed it kind
2	of did something to her and it sent kind of a rush through
3⁻	her, and whenever she acreamed she said she would stab her.
4	She told me, she said, "I just kept stabbing her
· 5 .	until she stopped screaming."
. 6	Did Sadie say anything about strike that.
7	When she was telling you about killing Sharoh
§ ` ;	Tate what was her demeanor, what was the manner in which
. ġ	she told you this?
10	A. What do you mean, what was the manner?
11	Q. When she spoke to you did she seem to be
12	excited or blase or what?
13	A Oh, no, she enjoyed telling me, no, Sadie was
14	very excited.
15	Q When she spoke to you about it?
16	A. That is how I knew she was telling me the truth
17	she was very excited. She enjoyed telling me.
18	Q Did Sadie say anything about acting crasy?
19	A. You mean
20	At any time. Did she tell you anything about
21	acting crazy?
22	A She told me in the District Attorney's Office
23	they were going to send a psychiatrist to see her.
24	She said, "I'll really make him think I'm
25	crazy.11
26	I told her all she had to do was talk normal

	· ·
1	and they would think she was crazy anyway.
2	Q When did she tell you she intended to act crazy?
3	A. Oh, when/were first talking about the Hinman
4	deal, before that she told me about the Tate deal.
5	And you say you had a few other conversations
6	with Sadie concerning the Tate murders?
7	A. Yes.
8.	Q During those other conversations did she relate
9 .	essentially the same thing to you?
ţO-	A. Yes, we just went into more details.
lI.	And these other conversations also took place
12	in Dormitory 8000 at Sybil Brand?
lä.	A. Yes, the same place, yes.
4	Q I understand you eventually reported this matter
5	to the police, is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q What date?
8.	A. I think it was approximately November 17th.
9	Q 1969?
.o	A. Yes.
1.	Q And how did you report the matter to the police?
12 .	A Well, I had tried several times before then to
3	get a phone call but I wasn't able to.
4	Everything was against me, so I had to wait
5.	until luckily I was going to court one day and that is the
6	only way I got a phone call and I called the Hollywood .
	· ·

	1 .	
ļ	Homicide :	Department.
2 -	Q.	The Hollywood Division of the Los Angeles
.3	Police De	partment?
4	A.	Yes.
5,	Q. '	And you reported to them what Sadie had told
6	you?	
7	A.	Yes.
8.	Q	Did you ever receive a letter from Sadie?
و	A.	Yes,
10	9	While you were at Sybil Brand?
11,	A.	Yes.
12 .	Q	Approximately when did you receive the letter?
13	, A.	After I told the police, which was about
14	ten days	after about ten days after it broke in the
15	papers.	
16	Q.	In other words, the first or second week of
17	December,	1969?
18	A.	Yes,
9	Q.	At Sybil Brand?
0 .	A.	Yes.
Ţ	Q.	And you received this letter from Sadie?
2	A .	Yes.
3-	MR.	BUGLIOSI: I am not going to mark it at this
4	time, you	r Honor, I do want to show her this letter.
5	Q	BY MR. BUGLIOSI: I show you what appears to
6	be two ha	ndwritten sheets of paper with writing on both sides.

1	
	Will you look at these sheets of paper and
1 2	indicate whether this is the letter you received from Sadie?
3	A Yes, that is Sadie's letter.
4	Q This is the letter you received from Sadie?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q Did you have any conversation with Sadie with
7	respect to this letter?
8	A Yes.
9	Q When?
10	A. She asked me if I got the letter.
ii	When did she ask you if you had gotten the
12	letter?
13	A. Maybe an hour later.
14	An hour after you got the letter you saw Sadle?
15.	A. At the most I did not see her, no, I hollered
16	to her because we were both in isolation, we were both
. 17	separated but we could still talk to each other.
18	
19	
:20	
21	
`27.	
23	
24	

	- [-
14-1	1	Q What did you say to har?
	2	A I told her, "Yes, I got the letter."
	3	Q She saked you?
	4	A She asked me if I had the letter, and I told
	5	ber, "Yes, I did, uh-huh."
,	6.	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions at this time,
ų. Y	7	your Honor.
· 👼	8	MR. FITZGERALD: I have no questions, your Honor.
	9	Thank you.
	10	THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Shinn?
••	11	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
	12	
	1 3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
) .	14	by Mr. Shinn:
	15	Q Miss is your name Rout Howard or Shelley
	16	Nadell?
	17	A Howard.
	18	Q You said you received this letter from some-
	19	body; right?
終 漢	.20	A From Sadie, yes.
4	21	MR. SHIRN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
	22	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	23	MR. SHINN: Q Is there snywhere in that letter
	24	where Sadie or Susan Atkins signed her name?
	25	Would you look at it, please?
	26	A No.

	i	
14-2	1,	Q There is no place where she signs har name
	2	"Sadie" or "Susan Atkins"; correct?
) .	3	A No, it doesn't show in the letter, no.
	4	Q Now, this is the first letter that you received
•	. 2	From Susan Atkins; is that correct?
•	6	A Yes. I think that was the first one, un-huh.
.	7	Q And you don't know for a fact whether or not
at.	8	this is Susan Atkins' letter or handwriting, do you?
	9	A Yes, I do.
	10	Q How do you know her handwriting?
	11.	A Because I have seen other things Sadie has
	12	written.
	13	Q When was this, before receiving this letter?
	14	A Before I even told the police. Before I even
	15	told the police.
	16	Q I am talking a bout before you received this
	17.	particular letter here.
	18	A Yes.
W	19	Q Did you receive any letter from Susan Atkins?
, in the second	20	A No, I never received any before this, no,
تمر ^{اه}	21	huh-uh-
	22	Q When was the last time that you saw her hand-
	23	writing before receiving this letter?
	24	A Haybe about some time in the middle about a
	25	month before.
	.26	Q. And where did you see this handwriting before

	-		
14-	3 1	from Susan	Atkins!
,	2	A.	Where?
	. 3.	Q	Yes.
	4	A	I saw another latter that she wrote to some-
	. 5	body.	
	6	Q	In other words, when Miss Atkins was writing
÷	7	a letter,	did you look over her shoulder and look at her
	8	handwritin	8 ?
•	9	A	No. I didn't have to. Sadie showed me the
	10	letter her	self.
	iį.	q	How long did you look at this letter?
	12	. A	Just a few minutes.
	13	Q	From that few minutes, you can tell her hand-
	14	writing?	
	15	` A	I don't have to be able to tell her hand-
	16	writing.	Sadie asked me certain things in regard to this
	17	letter aft	er she wrote it to me.
	. 18	Q	When was this?
ž	, 19 ,	A,	After she wrote me the letter, she saked me
糖	.20	certain th	lings regarding the letter.
نه	21	Q	When and where?
	. 22	A,	After it broke in the papers, after I got the
	23	letter, Sa	idle asked me certain things regarding the letter.
	24	Q.	Approximately what month was this?
Ď	25	A ,	This was about the middle of December.
· -	26	Q.	You were still at County Jail?
1.A.a.	#1 tt		Voit

14a-1		Ģ.	And where did you see Miss Atkins at that
T-40-T	1		wild himple dre had bee himps wentile on nitch
	2	time?	
	.3	A.	When did I see her?
•	4	Q	Where?
•	. 5	A.	Well, we were both in isolation. So, I only
•	6	saw her con	ning and going to see her attorney. That is all.
· .	7	4	When you are in isolation, you can't talk to her
·	8	is that con	rect?
	ģ	· A.	You are not supposed to, yes.
	ÎO	Q	You are not supposed to. You can't talk, it is
• :	11	very diffic	fult to talk to her; isn't that correct?
٠.	12	A.	Unless you shout across the cell block, yes.
	13	. Q	So, you didn't get any information as to this
	14	letter whil	le you were in isolation, did you?
•	15	A.	Yes.
•	16	· Q.	You were shouting?
,	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q.	How far was she away from your cell?
	19 ·	A.	There is a cell block, and I think there are
	20	six or eigh	nt cells on each side. Sadie was on one side and
4.3.	21	I was on th	ne other. All we would have to do is shout to
ery. Tennya yang bangan	22		and we could hear each other.
	23	Q	And did you show her this letter?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24	A.	Did I show Sadie the letter?
	25	. 0	Yes.
	26.	A.	No, I didn't have to. She sent it to me.
, ,			

1	No, I didn't show it to her. She asked me
2	certain things about it, and I answered her.
3	We asked each other, you know. We mentioned
4	certain things regarding the letter.
5	Q Well, by looking at this letter now before you,
6	you cannot tell for sure whether or not that was Susan
7	Atkins! handwriting at that time; is that correct?
8	A I can tell the letter came from Sadie,
9	Q How?
10	A I know Sadie, and I know what she wrote in
11	there and what she asked me about afterwards, certain
12	things she asked me about afterwards.
13	What is so peculiar about her handwriting that
14	you could identify the handwriting?
15	A I am not talking about the handwriting. But
16	no one except the person that wrote the letter would know
17	what to ask me in regards to the letter. Only the person tha
18	wrote it would know what to ask me in regards to the letter.
19	Q Is it possible that Miss Atkins could have
.20	told the same story to someone else and someone else could
21	have written the letter?
22	A It is possible but very unlikely.
23	Why do you say very unlikely?
24	A. Because I know Sadie.
25	Q You know Sadie?
26	A. Yes.

. 1	Q How long have you known her?
2	A. Long enough.
3:	Q How long?
4	A. Since approximately sometime in October.
.5	Q You met her in October?
6	A. Yes.
7.	And that was when you were in 8000?
.8	A. Yes, uh-huh.
, ġ,	When were you removed from 8000?
10	A. It was towards the I believe it was approxi-
11	mately the last of November. After I talked to the police,
12	they moved me out.
13	Q Was Sadie in 8000 all this time?
14	A. Yes.
.15	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, in view of the fact that
16	she cannot identify Susan Atkins' handwriting, and the
17	fact that Miss Atkins didn't sign this letter, I am going
18	to object to the introduction of this letter, your Honor.
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: We are just going to mark it as an
20	exhibit, your Honor. We are not going to introduce it at
21	this time.
22 .	We intend to offer the testimony of a handwriting
23	expert with respect to that letter.
24	MR. SHINN: In view of that, your Honor, I will

14B

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, is this just for a limited purpose of what she is going to testify on direct examination, your Honor, or will it be in order to cross-examine her fully now?

THE COURT: You may if you like.

No, this is not for any limited purpose, to answer your question, Mr. Shinn. You may cross-examine fully.

MR. SHINN: I may ask the same questions in front of the jury; is that correct?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHINN: Thank you.

Q Now, before testifying in court today, you had a conversation with Mr. Bugliosi; is that correct?

A Yez.

Q And did that conversation have to do with you testifying in court today?

A Yes.

Q What did Mr. Bugliosi say?

A He just told me more or less what I was going to be asked.

Q Did he say anything about the "they's" and the "we's" and the "I's"?

A Yes.

I am not supposed to say "they" and "we." I

19

20

21

22

23

14b-2 understand. 2, 3 or something like that? 4 5, may "blank"? 6 7 8 9 say "blank did it." 10 Would that be all right? 11 12 13 you doing? 14 15 altogether. 16 17 ۵ or "me"? 18 19 20 she said "we" or "they." 21 22 there was a "we" or "they"? 23 À 24 25 Susan Atkins at Sybil Brand --26

Å

Yes.

In other words, where you want to use the word "they," you are supposed to use "I" or "he" or "she," That is what I was wondering about. Can I just "Blank did it." or something? It is kind of hard to eliminate. I am supposed to testify exactly what was told to me, and if I was told "we" or "they," maybe I can just Well, in your mind, now, when you come to a "they," when you think it is a "they" or "we," what are I guess I am just supposed to leave it out When are you supposed to put the "I," then, Only when she said "I," and then leave out when You mean, leave out the complete sentence if That is the way I understand it. Now, when you first had this conversation with

	1:	Q is it a fair statement that in most of the
.	2	conversation she stated "we," "they" and "us"?
•	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Is that correct?
	5	THE COURT: I think you will have to be specific,
•	6	Mr. Shinn.
4	7	THE WITNESS: On different things, yes.
ही	8	THE COURT: "Most" is a very general word. It
14c	Els , ⁹	doesn't mean very much.
	10 * .	
	11	
•	12	
<u>.</u> .	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
***	. 20	
ونب	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	

I mean, throughout her conversation that MR. SHINN: 1 she had with Susan Atkins regarding the Tate incident. 2 THE COURT: You have copies of these statements. 3 think you have to be more specific if you are going to 4 ask the question of this witness. 5 MR. SHINN: Very well, your Honor. 6 May I approach the witness? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 BY MR. SHINN: 9 I am referring to the statement you made to 10 Sergeant McGann and Sergeant Patchett on November the 25th. 1969, in Sybil Brand. 12 You had a conversation with those two officers: 13 correct? 14 À Yes. 15 And it was tape recorded? Q 16 Yes. I didn't know it. À 17 This is a transcription of that now. ĺ8 19 I am directing your attention to page 16. to the middle of page 16. 20 21 Will you read that? 22 (Pause while the witness reads.) BY MR. SHINN: 23 24 Have you read that portion, page 16 and 179 Q A 25 Yes. Now, isn't it fair to state that when she told 26 ۵

you about this Tate residence, the Tate event, that she was 1 using "we" and "they" most of the time? 2 Most of the time, but not all the time. 3 Q. And I believe on page 17 you state that Susan Â. Atking was stating to you when Sharon Tate asked her: 5 why are you doing this? Don't kill me. Let me live. 6 me live for my baby." 7 And Sadie said: "I have got no feeling for you, 8 bitch. We are doing you a favor. We are releasing you 9, from this earth." 10 Is that correct? Ìİ No. it is not correct. 12 I know that it is in the transcript, but evi-13 dently some of the things in that transcript aren't correct, 14 because evidently they are relating my thoughts, which came 15 16. later. You see, I had already talked to the police 17. 18. prior to this. Oh, you had talked to the police prior? 19 A Prior to this. 20 What date did you talk to the police prior to 2ŀ: Ö. 22 this date? I believe it was the 17th of November. 23. A 24 And --Q 25 And that is why I didn't see any sense in 26

relating anything that I had told the police prior to this.

"Well.

Let

But a lot of those are my thoughts in there, and they have it down as though I quoted it. But they were just my thoughts. And would you say that your statements that day were substantially all wrong? A No. They are not all wrong. But substantially wrong, not correct? Q. Just a few of them. A few of them are my A thoughts. They are not really quotations from Sadie, but it is put down like it was quotations, and they weren't. They were just my thoughts.

Ì

2

3

4

Ś

6

7

8

.9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

التورد ا

14d fla.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

	٠
ţ	
2	
3	
4	
5.	
6	
7	
.8	
9 ·	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
8£	
19	
20	
21	
22	
.23	
24	
25	

Q In other words, you were characterizing Susan Atkins' thoughts; is that correct?

A No.

What she told me, but at a later date.

Since I had already talked to the police prior, before that transcript came in, I didn't see any reason in relating the same thing again. I was just trying to tell them the basic facts.

THE COURT: It is almost 4:20, Mr. Shinn.

We will adjourn at this time until 9:45 tomorrow morning.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have one request.

May we approach the beach on it?

THE COURT: You may.

(The jury leaves the courtroom.)

(Whereupon all counsel come to the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, as your Honor may know, there are some people at the Temple and Broadway intersection -- this courthouse is at Temple and Broadway -- in the City of Los Angeles, State of California, and I would ask your Honor, if it is possible, and I do move the Court, to order that the bus carrying the jury not go by Temple and Broadway, that it svoid Temple and Broadway and go down Spring Street, because there are some -- I just ask that that be done because there are some things that occur

at that corner.

THE COURT: What?

MR. KANAREK: Well, there are some people out there who are exercising their right of free speech.

MR. FITZGERALD: If you are going to put it on the record, let's put it on the record.

There are four young ladies who I know to be Lynette Fromme, Katherine Gilles, Ruthanne Morehouse, and Sandra Good. These people are all female Caucasians, approximately age 18 through approximately age 25. They have X's on their foreheads that resemble the X's scratched on the forehead of Charles Manson, Leslie Van Houten, Patricia Krenwinkel and Susan Atkins.

The evidence in this case has shown, and from my own personal knowledge, they were closely and intimately associated with all the defendants.

15 fls.

17 .

Ġ

13.

19.

1

2_: 3

.

5

Ģ

7

8 9.

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18.

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

مُخت

: They are located on the northeast corner of Temple and Broadway.

THE COURT: The jury doesn't know who these young ladies are; they might think they are anybody.

MR. KANAREK: Actually some of these people are under subpoens by the prosecution, your Honor.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with the jury?

MR. KANAREK: The jury goes by there.

THE COURT: Assume the jury goes by and sees four young ladies standing on the corner with X's on their forehead.

What does that mean?

MR. KANAREK: Within the context of what is happening in this courtroom, it is my position — actually, these young ladies are exercising their rights of free speech. It is a form of expression where they are exercising their unhappiness.

THE COURT: It might be anyone.

MR. KANAREK: The jury sees them and might see the inference of the relationship.

I ask your Honor that the bus go down Spring Street.

MR. HUGHES: I imagine the inference has siready been made since the jury bus has gone by on several occasions, seeing Mr. Shinn and I talking to the girls.

I

2

THE COURT: The jury sees hippy types all over town. They might draw the inference that here were four hippy types who were sympathetic with Mr. Manson and the defendants. I suppose that is possible.

That can hardly come as news in view of the fact that there are so many hippy types around.

MR. KANAREK: I understand, your Honor --

THE COURT: They are not carrying signs; they are not wearing placards.

This jury has no idea who these people are.

MR. KANAREK: When they see these people and they finally come to court --

THE COURT: The jury has to use the freeway sometimes in their going, that is one of the routes to the freeway, I don't see the connection, Mr. Kanarek.

MR, KANAREK: Some of those people are under subposes by the prosecution.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with it? They are not displaying their subpoenss.

MR. KANAREK: If they are brought to this courtroom the inference is going to be made -- anyway, your Honor, I make the motion that the jury be kept away from Temple and Broadway at all times. That is my motion.

And I also move that the jury be voir dired as to whether they have seen these people and what effect it had on their state of mind.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIV

Join in the motion, MR. SHINN; 2 The motion will be denied. THE COURT: 3 HR. KANAREK: Your Honor is not going to order the **4**, bus to take a different route? 5. MR. BUGLIOSI: The motion was denied, Mr. Kanarek. б THE COURT: 9:45 tomorrow morning. 7 (Whereupon, an adjournment was taken to reconvene at 9:45 a.m., Wednesday, October 14, 1970.) 10 11 12 13 14 **15** 16 17 18 19. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

MR. HUGHES: Join in the motion.