SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

134

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Thursday, October 22, 1970

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. HUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 134

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES 14844 to 15075

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., Official Reporters

ł			•	,	
1		INDE	X	•	•
2	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	KOENIG, Charles David (Cont'd)	•	14851K 14854H	14855	14865K
. 4	FIAT DOD - The second of	ሳ ሊ ዕሬ ማ	1/0500	1,001	•
5 6.	WALKER, Roseanne (In presence of jury)	14867 14951	14959F	14991	
7.	(Out of presence of jury)	14872	14877S 14924K	14934	
8	(Examination by the Court	p. 149	25)		
9	TRUE, Harold	15042	15058F		
10	•		15061K		
11					
12	•				
13	· .	. •			,
14				*	
15	To	X H I B	* # C		
16	, bushes			osa basa sa	érminanarous
17		OR IDENT		ON EN E	VIDENCE
18	BD - Plastic items	. 14	854		, ,
19	•				*
20	·	٠,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21	•			2 . #	
22			,		
23			,		
24					•

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1970

2	9:05 o'clock a.m.
3 .	• • •
4	(The following proceedings were had in open
5	court in the presence and hearing of the jury, all counsel
6	being present:)
7 .	THE COURT: All counsel and the jurors are present.
8	Have the defendants expressed a desire to
9	return to the courtroom and conduct themselves in a proper
10	manner, gentlemen?
11	MR. FITZGERALD: May we approach the bench, your
12	Honor?
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	(The following proceedings were had at the
15	bench out of the hearing of the jury:)
16	MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, the defendants have not
17	expressed any desire to return to this courtroom, though I
18	would like to reiterate very briefly my remarks of yester-
19	day.
20	I think perhaps it would be in the interest
21	of justice to bring them back in the courtroom and see if
22	we would proceed without any disruption.
23	MR. KAY: The People's position on that is, when Mr.
24	Manson was brought into the courtroom for purposes of
25	identification yesterday, he made no disruption at all.
26	He was in the courtroom, I would say, for maybe
4	L

three or four minutes, and there was absolutely no ľ disruption. 2 I think at least the possibility of bringing 3 him in in the morning, just seeing if he would be disruptive. 4 He did not give any indication yesterday that 5 he would continue his disruption. THE COURT: He was here less than a minute. 7 Did you talk to your clients this morning? 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, we talked to them. THE COURT: What is your position, Mr. Kanarek, if 10 any? 11 MR. KANAREK: My position --12 THE COURT: First of all, are you asking that the 13 defendants be brought back? 14 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, but I'm telling the Court they 15 have expressed no desire to come back in response to your 16 Honor's question. "Have they expressed a desire to return 17 to the courtroom?" 18 The answer to that question is no. 19 THE COURT: Have they expressed a desire not to come 20 back? 21 MR. FITZGERALD: No, they have not. Their position 22 is equivocal, as your Honor opined yesterday as you were 23 talking to them in chambers. .24 THE COURT: What about you, Mr. Shinn, do you have 25 any comment? 26

MR. SHINN: They did not express any desire to come back into the court, but I feel we should make an effort to bring them down here and see how they conduct themselves in court.

They may just sit still, I don't know.

THE COURT: Bear in mind, of course, that many efforts were made --

MR. FITZGERALD: That I understand.

THE COURT: -- and after the third day in a row of disruptions they were excluded from the courtroom.

MR. SHINN: It's been about a week and a half now.
They may have changed their minds, I don't know.

MR. KANAREK: It's worth a trial, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think it is a good idea, your Honor.

THE COURT: What?

MR. BUGLIOSI: To bring the defendants back.

THE COURT: Well, first, I want the record to be absolutely clear that in my opinion there is no compulsion on the Court by virtue of any constitutional right or court decision to do so.

I am willing to try it, but I want it
perfectly understood that if there is any disruption at
all the defendants will be immediately removed and there
will be no further testing them to see whether or not they
can be brought back into the courtroom.

They will have to comply fully with Illinois vs.

25

26.

Allen in expressing themselves to have a desire to come back in and conduct themselves properly.

I will permit them to come back in this once without saying the magic word, but I want the record to reflect that in my opinion the defendants have been playing games.

This is simply a ploy on their part to have another ground of appeal if there is a conviction.

There isn't the slightest misunderstanding on their part as to what they have to do to get back into court.

They have been advised dozens of times.

Their exclusion from the court, in my opinion, was fully justified by the facts and could have occurred much earlier than it did, when I excluded them.

So I will have them brought back in.

2-1

. 1

3

4

6

Ť

8

·9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

2Ġ

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I make a suggestion, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: If they start saying something, I wonder if the Court would not immediately remove them, if the Court would wait. They might just say a couple of words and then shut up.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, you let me handle that part.
MR. BUGLIOSI: I am just making a suggestion.

THE COURT: The only time they have been removed is when they continued to talk after being asked to refrain many times.

I am not going to go through some ridiculous characle. They know what the rules are. If they want to behave themselves, all they have to do is to do so.

MR. KANAREK: I have another motion of the Court, and that is to voir dire the jury in connection with their state of mind as to the Ohta matter in Santa Cruz wherein five or six people passed away.

I think the newspapers have said it is a Mansontype of killing, or something to that effect.

My position is that your Honor voir dire the jury to determine what, if any, effect this has on their state of mind.

I noticed there was a note recovered. In today's paper it was mentioned that there was a note recovered, and it appears to be a purported -- these purported deaths

have allegedly occurred without purpose, which is a matter which is very strangely similar to Mr. Bugliosis Viewpoint in this case, and I think it is very prejudicial.

MR. HUGHES: Join in Mr. Kanarek's motion.

THE COURT: Every day when you read a newspaper, Mr. Kanarek, you seem to come up with some new idea.

The reason for sequestering the jury in the first place was to exclude from them the effects of any prejudicial publicity, if any.

I don't consider that even if they had read this it would have any effect on their decision in this case.

In any event, they haven't read it because they are sequestered.

The motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

Then I make a motion for a mistrial.

MR. HUGHES: Join in that motion.

THE COURT: Denied.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Murray and Mr. Skupen, bring the defendants into the courtroom.

(The defendants are brought into the courtroom.)

24

25

·Ĺ DEFENDANT MANSON: Good morning. THE COURT: The record will show that all defendants 2 are present. 3 You may proceed with your examination. 5 7 8. 9 10 П 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19. 20 **2Ì** 22 **.23** ' 24 **2**5 26

2a

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

22

24

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: I believe it is still cross-examination, your Honor, by Mr. Kanarek.

THE COURT: Do you have any further examination, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

CHARLES DAVID KOENIG.

the witness on the stand at the time of the adjournment, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUING)

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Koenig, on December the 10th, 1969, you were aware, you had heard of the matters pertaining to Rosemary La Bianca and Mr. La Bianca; is that correct?

A That is correct.

MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor? THE COURT: You may.

MR. KANAREK: Q I show you what appears to be a plastic container and ask you: Have you seen this type of container before?

A. Yes, I have.

Q What is the purpose of it? Will you tell us what that container -- tell us what you know about that particular type of container.

A That is supposed to hold the bluing agent that

24

25

26

goes into the toilets.

And is this the bluing agent that is used in the restroom and was used in the toilet in which you say that you found the wallet?

- A Was used in the toilet?
- Q Yes.

Is this the type of container that was used for the bluing agent in the toilet where you found the wallet?

- A I think so, yes.
- Q All right.

Now, I show you another item and ask you if you have seen this before, Mr. Koenig.

- A It probably came off of that plastic container.
- Is this an item that is used to fasten this container to a solid position in the tank of the toilet?
 - A. It is speculation on my part. I would say yes.
 - I am not asking for speculation, Mr. Koenig.
 - A Then I don't know,
- Q Directing your attention to the toilet where you found the wallet. Have you seen this type of fastener fasten the plastic item that you have previously identified, that type of plastic item, to a solid position in the tank of the toilet where you found the wallet?
- A Are you asking me if I have seen that inserted in one of the backs of the toilets?

MR. KANAREK: May the question be read, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Read the question. 1 (The question was read by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: No. MR. KANAREK: Pardon? THE WITNESS: No. MR. KANAREK: Q You have never seen this in 6 the restroom? No. ģ What means have you seen to fasten this type of 10 plastic item to the toilet? Like I said yesterday, I have never seen it 11: 12 fastened. Q Pardon? 13 A . I have never seen them fastened to the toilets. 14 I have never used them. I have never had occasion to use I have only been told that they are supposed to be 16 used in the restrooms. 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 26

3

3-1 MR. KANAREK: Now, your Honor, may these be marked 1 as an exhibit collectively? 2 THE COURT: BD for identifidation. BD id. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. 4 BY MR. KANAREK: 5 Are you aware, Mr. Koenig, that the Grand Jury 6 indictment in this case occurred on December 8, 1969? 7 Well, if you say it occurred on December 8th, 8 then I assume you are correct; otherwise I don't know the exact date. 10 MR. KANAREK: Very well, thank you, Mr. Koenig. 11 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hughes? 12 MR. HUGHES: Yes. 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. HUGHES: 16 Mr. Koenig, the Standard Station where you 17 were working on December 10th and where you found this 18 wallet, that is in Sylmar, is it not? 19 That is correct. 20 Now. Sylmar is predominantly a white area, is 0 21 it not? **22** A Yeah, I guess so. 23 Sylmar is not a black ghetto, is it? Q 24 A No. **25** So mostly there are Caucasians in that area, Q. :26

3-2

1	is the station?
2	A Yes, right at the corner.
3	Q So it's right next to the Golden State
4	Freeway?
5.	A That's correct.
6	Q And one would be going north on the Golden
7	State Freeway from Los Angeles to reach Sylmar?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Pacoima is just south of Sylmar, is that
10	correct?
n	MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds it
12	is ambiguous, San Diego is just south.
13	It's ambiguous in terms of how far south are
14	we talking about.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16	THE WITNESS: You want me to answer the question.
17	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
18	Q Yes.
. 19	A Yes, it is.
20	Q It is immediately south, is that correct?
21	MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion,
22	your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.
25	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
26	Q There is no community or village or city

3-3

1	between Sylmar and Pacoima, is that correct?
2	MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: Well, I would have to look at the
5	map to see exactly how far Mission Hills and San
6	Fernando extend in between.
7	There might be an in-between section.
8	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
9	Q Part of Pacoins runs right into Sylmer,
jo	right?
11	MR. KANAREK: Calling for conclusion, no foundation,
12	your Honor; hearsay.
13	THE WITNESS: I could not say for sure.
14,	THE COURT: Just a moment. The question is
15	ambiguous. The objection is sustained.
16	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
17	Q Pacoima is adjacent to Sylmar, isn't that
18	correct?
19.	MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion,
20	and that is ambiguous, your Honor,
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
23	Q You are familiar with the heavy Negro
24	population of Pacoima, are you not?
25	MR. KANAREK: That is assuming facts not in
26	evidence.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is what they went into on cross-examination, the Negro population of Sylmar. THE COURT: That is a fact not in evidence. The 3a fls. 4 objection is sustained. 10. Ţ9

BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Have you ever observed a 1 considerable number of Negro people living in the Pacoima 2 area? 3 MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor, assuming facts not in evidence, ambiguous, no showing of foundation, your 5 Honor. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 7 THE WITNESS: I observed Negro families. I don't 8 know to what extent they live in Pacolma. 9. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Are you familiar with the town 10 of Pacoima at all? 11 Yes, I am. 12 Have you driven through sections of Pacoima that 13 seem to be entirely Negro? 14 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, no foundation. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. **17** BY MR. BUGLIOSI: A rather large area of 18. Pacoima? 19 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, ambiguous 20 and no foundation. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What area are you referring to Q 23 in Pacoima that had black families? 24 MR. KANAREK: Assuming facts not in evidence. 25 He has not referred to anything. Mr. Bugliosi 26

a⊸l

Ļ	has, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	THE WITNESS: The sections would be above San
4	Fernando Road, as you drive out Van Nuys Boulevard toward
5	Foothill, and predominantly it would be Negro.
6	Other than that, I would have to say I am not
7	100 per cent familiar with how much Negro population is
8	below San Fernando Road.
9	How large an area are you referring to now when
Ò	you say it is a predominantly Negro area?
11	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is ambiguous in terms
12	of relationship as far as distance goes.
[3	No foundation, calling for a conclusion.
<u>14</u>	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
İ 5	THE WITNESS: That would be hard to speculate.
16	I could not tell you the end boundaries between
17	Foothill and San Fernando Road.
18 (Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Are you talking about one
19	block or a coupleof miles?
20.	A Probably in the neighborhood of a mile in one
21	direction, that is the length of one direction.
22	I could not give you the other dimensions.
23	Q Now, you say you received a booklet or another
24	instructional manual on this bluing agent from the Standard
25· ,	Station, is that correct?
	MB VANADEV. May that he most haar wound flower that

question? 1 THE COURT: Didn't you hear it? MR. KANAREK: No. I didn't, your Honor. I was 3 speaking to Mr. Shinn. THE COURT: In that case, it will not be read back. You may answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: There is a procedure manual on the 8 station at all times. As to my personally receiving one, no, I have not, . 10 yet. BY MR, BUGLIOSI: But you have read this 11 particular manual with respect to the bluing agent? 12 ÌЗ When I was in training school it was not only read to me but I think I read it, too, we had occasion to 14 look at it. 15 Did this manual indicate the function of this 16 bluing agent -- function or functions? 17 18 If you are referring to what the bluing agent does to the water or what it is supposed to do ---19 Q Yes. 20 -- I would have to say that -- at least to be 21on the safe side -- it was related to me by my training 22 manager. 23 It was supposed to prevent staining build-up 24 on the porcelain of the tanks, and the toilets. .25 You are referring to the interior now of the 26

22

23.

24.

25

26

toilet tank.

A. If it stays in the interior, yes.

If you put it on the outside ---

Q Right, so one of the functions, then, of this bluing agent, would you say, would be to eliminate rust from inside the toilet, not just the bowl I'm talking about, I'm talking about the tank.

A I don't know about eliminating. I suspect it would be more to slow down or impair the build-up of the stain, whether alkali build-up or rust build-up, it would be -- to the best of my knowledge all I can remember was that they said it would impair the build-up of staining.

- Q Impair the build-up of a waterline then?
- A I suspect so, yes.
- Q Well, what are you referring to when you say staining, sir?

A Well, it could not only be rust stain, whatever other stains there could be, too.

You know, blood stains could get into the women's toilets, too, sometimes.

Q Directing your attention to People's 70 for identification, looking inside the tank here, the lid is off, you notice rather a heavy accumulation of rust inside the tank?

- A I notice a heavy accumulation of stain, whatever
- Q It could be rust?

I	A Well, it could be rust, yes.
2	Q You see a water line, too, a very sharp water
3	line, is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And you noticed that when you removed the
б.	wallet?
7	A. Are you asking well, I am not cognizant of
8.	it any more.
9	I have seen it so many times, that type of stain,
10	so it doesn't come to my attention.
11	Q I am referring now to the stain in this
12	particular toilet here at the Standard Station, on
13	Encinitas Boulevard.
14	A. When I removed the wallet that I noticed?
15	No, I was not aware of it.
16	Q Have you seen this particular type of stain
17	since then inside that particular toilet?
18	A. Yes, I have.
19	Q Are you saying that the stain and the water
20	line was not there on December 10th or that you don't
21	recall?
22·	A I just don't recall. I am not aware of it
23:	that much any more because I have seen it on so many
24	bowls so many times, and tanks.
25	It just does not consciously register any more.
26	Q But you have also seen this strong water line

and what appears to be rust in this particular tank depicted in this photograph.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, he has answered that question about three times.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, it's kind of ambiguous, your Honor, just for clarity. I am not trying to confuse anyone.

.The Court has sustained the objection?

- Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Now, you said that on December 10th, 1969 that the water was running from this particular toilet?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q Did you ascertain whether the wallet, which apparently was on top of the overflow valve -- is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q Did you ascertain whether the wallet was the thing that was causing the water to run?

MR. KANAREK: That would be calling for a conclusion, no foundation, the man was not a plumber, he does not have the expertise.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I ascertained the wallet was not the cause for the continued running of the toilet.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. FITZGERALD: Nothing further.

4-1 THE COURT: Just a moment, sir. 1 I am sorry, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 2 MR. SHINN: Nothing further. 3 I didn't hear you, Mr. Shinn. THE COURT: MR. SHINN: No questions. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek? MR. KANAREK: Yes. 8 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. KANAREK: 10 Rust builds up in a metal container, is that 11 right, and this is porcelain; right? 12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Calling for a conclusion. 13. THE COURT: Sustained. 14 BY MR. KANAREK: 15 Is this a porcelain tank? 16 A Yes. 17 It is not a metal tank, is it, Mr. Koenig? Q 18 A No. 19 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 20 MR. HUGHES: No questions. 21 THE COURT: You may step down. 22 MR. BUGLIOSI: The People call Roseanne Walker. 23 (Pause.) 24 MR. BUGLIOSI: I apologize to the Court. She is 25 She is our next witness. She must have briefly 26

wandered off, but I am sure she will be found very quickly. 4-2 1 (Whereupon the witness enters the courtroom.) 2 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please. Would you please repeat after me. I do solemnly swear --5 THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --7 THE WITNESS: -- that the testimony I may give --Ŕ THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --9 THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --10 THE CLERK: -- before this court --11 THE WITNESS: -- before this court --12 THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --13 THE WITNESS: -- shall be the truth --14 THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --**1**5 THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --16 THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --17 THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --18 THE CLERK: -- so help me God. 19 THE WITNESS: -- so help me God. 20 THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. 21 Would you please state and spell your name. .22 THE WITNESS: Roseanne Walker; R-o-s-e-a-n-n-e, 23 W-a-1-k-e-r. 24

25

26

4-3 ROSEANNE WALKER, 1 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 2 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 3 follows: `5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 7 Roseanne, you have been at Sybil Brand Institute for Women in East Los Angeles? A Yes. 10 . And were you there in the summer of 1969? 11 A Yes. 12 When did you first arrive at Sybil Brand? 13 A July the 23rd. 14. 1969? Q 15 A Yes. 16 And you were incarcerated there? 17. A Yes. 18 Until what date? 19 Until about November the 27th. A 20 1969? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ŏ. And you were living in Dormitory 8000? 23 A Yes. 24 Did you know Defendant Susan Atkins? 25 Yes. 26

4-4	1	Q She was known to you as Sadie Glutz?
	2	A Yes.
	Ş	Q And she also was living in Dormitory 8000?
. •	4	A Yes.
	5	Q During part of that period of time?
s	6	A Yes.
	7	Q What particular part?
÷.	.8	MR. SHINN: Your Honor
	9	MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?
,	io	THE COURT: Yes.
	11	(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and
, ,	12	the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of
* .	13	the hearing of the jury:)
	14	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, this witness is going to
. :	15	testify to what Miss Atkins told her about the Tate case
	16	and we don't know what she is going to say.
	17	MR. KANAREK: It should be deleted, your Honor. I
	18	can't conceive of Mr. Bugliosi putting her on and not
,	.19	allowing us to have a conference in chambers like we had
	20-	with the others.
4a fls.	21	THE COURT: I can't either.
	22	
	23	
:	na. I	

8

9.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, there are only three things she is going to testify to.

THE COURT: Whatever they are, we have a right to know in advance, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I told the defense what she is going to testify to.

THE COURT: You haven't told me and I am the one that has to make the decision.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I apologize, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have a written statement on this witness?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I dictated a statement.

MR. SHINN: Here is one.

THE COURT: I want to know what her statement is, not yours.

(Mr. Shinn hands a document to the Court.)

THE COURT: Was she interviewed? Was her statement taken down verbatim?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No. The answer is no. your Honor.

THE COURT: No one has ever taken her conversation down verbatim?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No.

I would ask that all the statements --MR. KANAREK: THE COURT: Stop it, Mr. Kanarek.

(Pause while the Court reads a document.) THE COURT: I find it incomprehensible at this stage.

25

20

21

22

23

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Bugliosi, that the witness's statement has not been reduced to writing as to what her conversation with Susan Atkins was.

These problems are obviously critical problems in the case, Mr. Bugliosi. They can't simply be brushed aside and ignored.

I can't permit you to go ahead and examine this witness until I know what she is going to say, what her conversation was.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Can we have a brief hearing, your Honor?

MR. SHINN: I can't hear you, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Can we have a brief hearing outside the presence of the jury? Her testimony will be very, very limited, I will tell the Court right now. Very brief. It is not going to involve or refer to any other people.

THE COURT: In other words, a hearing similar to the one in respect to Roni Howard and --

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

THE COURT: -- Virginia --

MR. BUGLIOSI: Castro.

THE COURT: -- Castro or Virginia Graham.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

MR. SHINN: I object, your Honor, on the grounds that it is cumulative.

THE COURT: The same type of hearing as we had with Virginia Castro or Virginia Graham.

MR. SHINN: I object on the grounds it will be cumulative, your Honor. There is nothing she can add. It is just cumulative, cumulative, cumulative.

THE COURT: Of course, you haven't heard it so you don't know whether it is or not.

That is not the purpose of the hearing. The hearing is to determine whether there are any Bruton or Aranda problems and whether the statement must be edited and whether it can be effectively edited or deleted.

MR. SHINN: From this we can't tell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8.

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2Į

22

20

24 25

26

THE COURT: We can do it in chambers or in open court.

MR. SHINN: We can do it in open court, as far as I am concerned.

THE COURT: No objection?

MR. HUGHES: No objection.

MR. KANAREK: No objection to open court, but I do ask for a deletion.

THE COURT: We are talking about first determining what her statement is, determining if it is admissible in whole or in part, the same procedure that was followed with respect to Roni Howard and Virginia Graham.

All right. I will have the jury removed, then.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: I will ask the bailiffs to take the jury upstairs for a few minutes. We have some matters that have to be taken care of outside the presence of the jury.

(Whereupon, the jurys leave the courtroom.)
THE COURT: The jury has been removed.

All parties and counsel are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q During what period of time, Roseanne, was Susan Atkins, or as you knew her, Sadie Glutz, with you in Dormitory 8000 at Sybil Brand?

1	A.	Well, I arrived at the dormitory before she did.
2		Let me see. I'd say in the first part of
3	August	no, the last part of August.
4	Q.	1969?
5	A.	Or maybe September. It could have been.
6	Q.	From the last part of August
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q	or early September, 1969, until when,
9	Roseanne?	•
10	A.	Well, she was there when I left.
n	.0	In late November, 1969?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q	And did you become friendly with Sadie?
-1,4	A.	Yes.
15	Q	You have heard, of course, of the Tate-La Bianca
16	murders?	•
17	A.	Yes.
18.	%	Was there a radio in Dormitory 8000?
1à	A.	Yes,
20	Q	Did you ever hear any news broadcasts of the
21	Tate-La Bis	nca murders over the radio?
22	A.	Yes.
23	a	Did you ever hear these broadcasts in Sadie's
24	presence?	
25	Α.	Yes.
26	Q,	Did she ever make any comment to you immediately

after the broadcasts on the Tate-La Bianca murders? There was a newscast about a pair of glasses Ž that was found at the scene. 3: Do you know when this newscast was, approxi-4 mately? 5 No. 6 Do you know what month it was? 7 I am not real sure what month it was. Ś goes, you know, so I don't --But it was either September, October or November? 10 Yes. It was during the time -- well, I know II they hadn't found anybody, you know. This was their first 12 lead. That is what the newscasts said. 13. The first lead was the glasses found at the 14 scene? 15 Yes, uh-huh. 16 And did Sadie say anything when they said that? 17 Well, we just had a debate whether or not the 18 person that owned the glasses was connected with what 19 happened, and I argued that -- well. I know she says that 20 the person that owned the glasses, just because their 2Ĩ glasses were there didn't mean that they had anything to do 22 with what went on there, the murders that they were talking 23 about, and she said, "Suppose they found the person. 24. Wouldn't it be too much if they found the person that owned 25 the glasses. The only thing they were guilty of was 26

,	dropping a pair of glasses there."
2	Q Did she say, "Wouldn't it be too much if they
3	found the person"
4	A And accused them.
5	Q And accused that person?
6	A Yes. And they get in trouble when the only
7	thing they did was drop a pair of sunglasses or a pair
8	of glasses there.
9	Q Did Sadie ever make any other comment after a
10	news broadcast on the Tate-La Bianca murders?
11	A. Yes.
12	She said one time that "That ain't the way it
13	went down, "
14	Q This was after a broadcast on the Tate and
15	La Bianca murders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And she told you that "That ain't the way it
18	went down"?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now, when you were leaving Sybil Brand in
21	late November, 1969, were you being transferred anywhere?
22	A. Yes. I was going up to Ventura.
23	Q The California Youth Authority?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q What were you in for, Roseanne?
26	A. I had five different cases.

1

3

5

4

7

`8 . 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21 -

22

23

24

25[,]

26

Q All right, that's all right. Did you have a conversation with Sadie before you left?

A Yeah, because she was crying; she wanted to see me again; she did not want me to go and I told her that I would be seeing her again some day, you know, that everything was going to be okay, and everything was going to work out for her and I would be seeing her again.

I had to go out and take care of my family and everything was going to be okay.

I just kept telling her, until she stopped crying, and she said, no, she knew she was never going to see me again.

And I said, "You'll pull out of it, everything will be all right."

She said "No, I am in a lot more trouble than you know about," and I told her, I said, "Well, I know, you know, what you are in here for is serious."

She said, "No, there is more to it than just what I am going to court on now." She said, "I was in on those other murders."

Q Did she indicate what murders she was referring to?

A No.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have no further questions, your Honor, on this particular hearing here.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have no questions, your Honor.

Ţ	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, any questions?
2 "	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. SHINN:
6	Q Miss Walker, when did you enter Sybil Brand?
7.	A July 23rd, 1969.
8	Q That is the exact date?
9	A It was either the 23rd or the 24th, I know I
10	was arrested on the 23rd at Culver City.
11	Q What were you arrested for?
12	A I had three cases of forgery and a grand
13	theft.
14	Q That is four cases then, three cases of
15	forgery
16	A I had a forgery of prescriptions, too.
17	Q Prescriptions?
18	A Yes.
19	Q For narcotics or drugs?
. 20	A For Obertrol.
· 21	Q Obertrol? What is that?
.22	A It's a weight reducing
23	MR. BUGLIOSI: This is beyond the margin of the
24	hearing, I believe.
25	MR. SHINN: I believe Mr. Bugliosi went into this on
26	direct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Went into what? 1 I believe when Mr. Bugliosi asked her MR. SHINN: 2 a question, she said four charges, I mean for four charges, 3 your Honor. THE COURT: If you want to inquire into any felony 5 convictions, you may do so. Otherwise it is irrelevant. 6 BY MR. SHINN: 7 Now, have you ever been convicted of a felony? 8 Yes -- it was under a Juvenile Court. A 9 My question was were you ever convicted of a . 10 Q felony? 11 12 A Yes. When was this? 13 Well, I was found guilty on a forgery when I 14 had those five cases. 15. What year, what month? 16 It was in 1969, it was when I was at Sybil 17 Brand that last time. I am trying to remember the month. 81, It was in September. 19 What court was this, Santa Monica, Los Angeles? 20 21 A No. it was in Torrance. 22 Now, your true name is Roseanne Walker? 23 A Yes. 24 Did you use any other name? Q I used to have a nickname when I was in SBI, 25 Sybil Brand. 26

,	Q What was the nickname?
1	A Casper.
.2	Q Is that the first name
3	A Casper, just one name.
4.	Q How do you spell it, K or C?
5	Å Ċ.
6	Q Have you taken drugs in the past?
7	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, beyond the scope.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. SHINN:
11	Q Now, when did you first meet Miss Atkins at
12	Sybil Brand?
13	A It was I am not really sure, but I did not
14	get into Dormitory 8000 until August, the first part of
. 15	August.
16	It must have been either the last few days of
17	August or around the 1st of September, around there.
. 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

5a fls.

	,		
5a-1	1	Q	You are not sure?
	.2	. A.	I am not sure, no.
	8	Q.	And was that in 8000?
•	· 4	A.	Yes, I was in 8000 when she got transferred into
,	5	that dorm,	
•	6	Q	And when was the first time you talked to
	7	Miss Atkins	?
•	8	A _a	The first day she was in the dorm I talked to
,	ð.	her.	
	10	Q	Did you approach her or did she approach you?
	ŢI	A	Well, let me see she was sort of she
	12	was sort of	referred to me because I had a lot of
_	, <u>13</u>	cigarettes,	and things like that.
	14	Q	You said she was referred to you?
	15	A	Yes, one of the girls told her that if, you
	16	know, she n	eeded cigarettes or anything, that I could
	. 17	probably wo	rk something out with her,
•	18		And she approached me.
5	19	Q	Do you know the girl's name that referred you?
	20	A _r	I think Dore Hovey.
	21	Q.	What is her last name?
	22	A.	Hovey.
•	23	. Q	Was she an inmate there?
	24	A.	Yes.
	25	Q .,	And when you first met Miss Atkins did you have
.	26	•	ion with her?

- '}		
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q	The first time?
3	A. '	Yes.
4	Q.	How many times did you meet
5.		How many times did you have a conversation with
6	Miss Atkins	Į.
7	A ,	I don't know, to be exact.
8.	<u>.</u>	Well, how long did you stay in the dorm with
9	Miss Atkins	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ĮÓ.	A	From the time she entered until the time I left
11 :	September,	say, until November 27th.
12	Q	Until you left?
13	. A.	Until I left. She was there when I left in
14	the dorm.	
15	G,	In other words, you spent about two months with
16	her?	
17	À.	Yes.
18	· Q	And how many other inmates in the cell?
19 ;	A,	At the dorm at the dormitory there is quite
20	a few.	
21	Q,	About 60?
22	A.	Yeah.
23	Q.	You talked to other cellmates?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q	Now, when you talked to Miss Atkins the first
26	time do vou	recall the conversation you had with her?

1	A. No, not really. It was just about well, it
2	was just what they call jail talk, you know. It was just,
3	you know, you talk to people, you know, people open up
4	to each other to pass their time. It makes your time
. 5	easier than if you go around fighting in the jail. It's
6	bad enough you're in jail.
7	This is why they call me Casper, because I
8	used to talk to everybody. I was friendly to everybody.
ģ	Q In other words, you talked to a lot of other
10	people besides Miss Atkins?
II.	A Sure, I know most everybody in the dorm.
12	Q Very popular?
13	A. I don't say I was very popular but like my
14	name implied, I was friendly.
15	Q Now, you said there was a radio in this
16	dormitory?
17	A. There wasn't a radio in it. It was music that's
18	It wasmusic that they control from up front.
19	It's like they have got speakers.
20	Q Did you watch television while you were there?
21	A. Sometimes.
22,	Q You did watch television?
23	A. Sometimes.
24	Q And on television they had this news about
25	this case?
. 26	A. I don't remember watching any newscasts about it

1	nedative T doit & match T1 print match.
I	Q Was there magazines and newspapers in Sybil
2	Brand?
3	A. No newspapers.
4	Q Magazines?
5	A. Barely, just up in the infirmary usually.
6	Q You heard other girls talk about this case, too?
7	A. Everybody was talking about it because it was
8	on the radio all the time.
9	Q So in other words, you talked to, say, approxi-
10	mately 60 inmates about this case?
11	A. No.
12	Q You said everybody was talking about it.
13	A. Well, I mean it was a big thing then because it
14	was always on the radio.
15	I heard other people talk about it but I never -
16	it did not concern me or interest me.
17	Q No, but you were in a conversation where other
18:	girls talked about this case; it was a big thing, right?
19	A No, I did not talk to somebody else about it.
20	Q Somebody else talked to you about it, correct?
21	A No, not really because when they started to talk
22	to me I did not like to listen to the radio or the news
23	or anything else.
24	I was very depressed because I was in jail, and
25	I did not like any contact with anything on the outside
26	\cdot \downarrow

İ	because, well, it depressed me even more, even to illowing
2	even to listen to anything on the radio.
3	Q Yes, but you just stated that everybody was
4	talking about this case, correct, big news, right?
. 5	A. Yes.
. 6	Q And you heard all of the girls talking something
7	about this case, correct?
8	A. Every time it came on the news people would make
·9.	comments and different things like that.
OF	Q Right.
11	A. The only comment I ever made was, "I wish
12	they'd turn it off, I wish they would turn off the radio.
j3	I'm trying to sleep."
14	Q Now, you went into Sybil Brand on when did yo
15	go to Sybil Brand?
16	A. July. It was either the 23rd or the 24th.
17	Q 1970?
18	A. No, 1969.
19	Q Now, have you read any magazines while you were
20	at Sybil Brand, movie magazines, detective magazines?
21	A. No, I read books from the library.
22	G But you did read magazines, too, did you not?
23	A No, I don't like magazines. I like books.
24	Q Did you just glance through magazines, then?
25	A No, not that I remember.

	_
	2
	7

1

3

5.

6

8

10

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

<u>19</u> ·

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

. (Ś	Now	, you	ı heard	some	ething	about	this	Tate	case
before	you	met	Miss	Atkins,	is	that	correct	-?	•	

A From what I remember, from the time I got in there it's all I heard about on the radio, people talking.

Q Yes.

A Big thing, you know.

Q You went in there on July 23rd and you heard about this case before you saw Miss Atkins, isn't that correct?

A Yeah, I heard the names.

Q And then you heard about the case from other girls discussing it, is that correct?

A I would just hear, they would be arguing and talking about it, and things like that.

If anybody tried to talk to me about it, I did not want to talk about anything that is on that radio.

I had a thing with the radio going. I did not like the radio.

Q But you overheard other girls talking about It while it came over the radio, isn't that correct?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Is this part of a hearing or an exploratory, fishing expedition? I don't know. It seems to be more in the nature of discovery as opposed to limiting the questions to direct examination.

MR. SHINN: I merely want to find out what she knew about the case.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't think that is the purpose of 1 the hearing. It is an Aranda and Bruton hearing as far as I know. THE COURT: Due to the fact the People did not introduce the conversation in writing, I am going to give the defendants a wide latitude in this examination, to explore 6 all facets of this conversation and relationship. Overruled. 8 MR. SHINN: May I have just a moment, your Honor. ġ (Pause.) 10 MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I don't know whether or not 11 she answered the last question. 12 THE COURT: Reframe the question. 13 BY MR. SHINN: 14 I believe I asked you whether or not -- no, I 15 believe I asked you whether or not you heard about this case 16 before talking to Miss Atkins. 17 I believe you said yes. Is that correct? 18. Ą Yes. 19 You heard it on the radio and people talking 20 about it, is that correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Are you feeling okay, Miss Walker? 23 A I feel okay. 24 Maybe you want to take a recess. Q 25 A I feel fine. 26

1	Q Oh. Now, do you recall what you heard about
2	this case, the Tate case, before you talked to Miss Atkins
3	Now, think back.
4	A I don't remember anything specifically because
5	I never engaged in any conversations about it.
6	Q But you overheard other people discussing it,
7	correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And you heard on the radio, correct?
,1 0	A Yes.
11	Q Now, my question is, could you think what you
. 12	heard about the Tate case before you met Miss Atkins?
13	(Pause.)
14	Take your time.
15	(Further pause.)
16 .	A Well, I heard different inmates talk about it,
17	and some people would say, they would debate whether they
18	would catch up with them or not or whatever.
19	And they talked about how smart thieves were,
20	you know, they find out, because the thing was that the
21	radio made it sound like the police had absolutely no
. ,2,2	idea, you know, and that this was going to be unsolved.
23	They were talking about whether they would
24	catch who did it or not.
25	Q Now, you talked to Miss Atkins in November,
26	correct?

	· ·
i	A Yes, I talked to her in November.
2	Q Did you
3	I mean, do you recall talking to her in November,
4	meeting her in November?
5	A Not meeting her in November. I talked to her
· 6	during the month of November.
रं	Q Now, when did you get out of Sybil Brand?
8	A The 27th of November.
9 -	Q 1969, correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And you read or heard or watched TV about this
Ĭ2.	case, did you not, about the Tate case since you've been
13	out?
14	A Since I've been out.
15	Q Yes.
16	A Well, I was released November and I went up
17	to I was incarcerated in another place, so I was not
<u>18</u>	released to the outside.
19	I was released to Ventura School for Girls.
20	Q When did you go up there?
21	A November 27th.
2 2	Q When did you get released from there?
23	A February 26th.
24	Q Now, while you were up at Ventura School for
25	Girls, is that a jail, a prison, or what is it?
26	A It is a girl's school; it is California Youth
	· ·

1	Authority.	
2	Q	Oh, it's like a Juvenile Hall, right?
3	A	Yes.
4	· Q	Now, while you were there was there a radio,
5	IV?	
6	· A	Yes, there was radio, TV, newspapers, whatever
7	you want wa	s there.
8	Q	They've got everything there?
9	A .	Yes.
10	Q.	You read something about this case there too,
11	right?	
12	A	No.
13	Q.	Did you hear something about this case?
14	A.	No. My idea was to go to work and do my time
15	and get out	A
16		I was not concerned with anything like this.
17	ବ	Is it your testimony that while you were at
18	the Ventura	School for Girls you heard nothing about this
19	case?	
. 20	A	Just before I was released I did hear something.
21	Q	What did you hear?
22	A	Some headline in the paper about Susan Atkins.
23	Q	What month and year was this?
24	A	I will say, if I can remember, if it was
25	Christmas y	et or not I would say it was before Christmas,
26	so to give	you a time, it was before Christmas I remember.

	୍	It was before you were released?
1	A	Yes.
2	.Q .	You were released before Christmas?
3	. 4	No.
4.	Q	When were you released?
5	A	February. The time runs together for me in
6	there, It	is hard for me to remember.
7	Q	In other words, would you say that you have a
8	very poor m	memory?
9	. A .	No, not a very poor memory.
10	Q	Below average?
11	Α `	Things like that well, things like that are
12	important t	o me. I remember important things, but
13	Q	Okay, now, you remembered about Miss Atkins in
14	December so	metime, is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q.	Was it in the newspaper?
17	Ą	Yes.
18	Q.	And was it the Times?
19	A	There was a picture of her in the paper.
20	Q .	And a story about her in the paper the
21	Times?	
22.	A .	I don't remember what paper, one of the
23	counselors	brought it.
24	Q.	But you read it?
25	A .	I did not really read the whole article, it was
. 26		

1	something she gave some testimony somewhere in some court.
2	Q And you read it, correct?
3	A I did not read the whole thing.
4	Q You read most of them, is that correct?
5	
1	The state of the s
6	described what she had on or something.
7	It wasn't it was just sitting on the desk
. 8	and then there was a lot of girls there talking about it.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15.	
16	
. 17	
į8	
19	
20	
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	Q.	When you got out, did you read about this case?
Ź	A.	No.
3		I don't read about it now today still.
. 4		No, I don't remember reading about it when I
5	was out.	
·, 6	Q	Since the time you got out when was it,
7	February, 1	970?
8	A.	February, '70.
ġ	Q.	Until this date, you have not heard
10	A.	I have heard. I was subpoensed.
11	Q.	Well, did you see something about this case on
12	television,	then?
. 13	A.	No.
14.	ୟ	Do you have a television at home?
15	À.	Yes.
16.	Q.	How many television sets do you have?
17	A.	Just one.
18	Q.	Do you have a radio at home?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q	Do you take the paper at home?
21	A.	No, but I buy the paper every day.
22	Q	You buy the paper every day?
23	A.	Yes.
24	a a	What kind of a paper do you buy?
25	, Å,	The Herald Examiner.
26	Q.	The Herald Examiner?

6-1

	A. Yes.
1	And I buy the Register in Orange County where I
. 2	live.
3	Q You get the daily paper?
4	A I try to buy it every day.
5	And you read it from cover to cover; correct?
7	A No.
8	Q You turn the pages, don't you?
9	A Yes, but I don't read every article in the
10	newspaper,
11	G But you have
12	A I have a reason for buying the newspaper. 'I
13	buy it because I like to read Ann Landers.
14	Q What?
15	A I like to read Ann Landers in the newspaper, and
16	that is why I try to buy it every day. And the horoscope
17	part.
18	I buy it for that purpose.
19	Q But you have seen something in the newspapers
20	about this case; isn't that correct?
21	A. Well, a lot of times I have seen different
22	things but I don't even read it. I try to just push it
2 3′	in back of my mind.
24	I try to push anything that happened to me while
25	I was in jail, I try to push jail out of my mind.
26	Q I see.

,

3

1

5

7

8

10 11:

12⁻

14

15

16 17

. 18

19

20

22 23

24

25

26.

And haveyou succeeded in trying to erase from your memory some of the events that happened at Sybil Brand while you were there?

A. No. They are still there.

Q But you have erased most of it, is that correct, the majority of it?

A I'd say I just try not to think about the unpleasant scenes that went on there, but I still -- well,
it is apparent that I remember them because they are still
here with me or I wouldn't be talking about them.

Q And as time goes on, your memory of what happened at Sybil Brand gets lesser and lesser; is that correct?

A. No.

As time goes on?

A No, they are still here.

Q Now, you stated that Miss Atkins stated something about this Tate case; correct?

A. Yes.

Q Now, when did she talk about this? When you first met her? Or when was it? Was it a week after or two weeks?

A. It was a while after I knew her, had met her.

Q A while after you had met her?

A Yes.

Q How much later after you met her?

THE COURT: She has answered the question twice,

1	Mr. Shinn.
2	MR. SHINN: I beg your pardon?
3	THE COURT: She has answered your question twice.
4	MR. SHINN: She did not answer my question, your Honor
5 .	I asked her how many days after she knew Miss Atkins she
6	discussed this.
7	THE COURT: She said two or three weeks twice.
8	MR. SHINN: Q Is that your answer, two or three
9	weeks after you met her?
10	A. Yes.
11:	Q What did you talk about the first time that you
12 .	met Miss Atkins?
13	A. I think cigarettes. We were talking about
14	cigarettes.
15	She didn't have any digarettes. I remember
16	giving her a package of digarettes.
17	Q Is that all you talked about the first time
18	that you met her? You talked about cigarettes?
19	A. No. We talked about the dorm, different jobs
20	in the dorm.
21	This was a working dorm I was in.
22	Q Where was your bed in relation to her bed?
23	A I am trying to remember what job I had, then I
24	can tell you where my bed area was, because I changed
25.	quite a few times.
26	THE COURT: We will take our recess at this time,

Mr. Shinn. I The Court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.) ÌΙ 1/3 14. € 20 3

6b~1 THE COURT: All parties and counsel are present. 1 The jury is not present. Ž You may continue, Mr. Shinn. 3 MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor. Now, do you recall what you talked about with 5 Miss Atkins the second time that you met her? 6 A. Not really, no. I don't remember. 7 Q. How much time would you spend with her, say, 8 from day to day? ġ . A Not too much time. 10 Not too much time? Q 11 À No. 12 Now, was there a girl in that dormitory that 13 you spent more time with than Miss Atkins? 14 Well, I changed people that I ran around with A 15 from time to time. I was friendly to everybody. 16 You had no special immate that you were close 17 to? 18 I was a little bit more close to a girl named 19 I wasn't really close to her, I just palled around 20 with her because she was small and everybody picked on 21 her. 22. You discussed with her about the Tate case too. 23 did you not? 24 A. With Dore? 25 Q Yes. 26

J.	, A	No.				
1	Q,	You don't	recall?	•	· · · ·	
2	. A	No.				
3	7	We used to	talk abou	at things,	you know	, that
4	had to do w	ith home.				
5	Q	When you h	eard on th	ne radio,	didn't yo	ı and
6	Dore commer	nt on the ca	se?			
7	. A .	No.				
8	Q	Are you po	sitive of	it?		
9	. A	Yes.				•
10					•	
11						•
12		•		•		
13						
14				,		
16						•
17						
18			•		•	
. 19			•			
20	; ;	,	•			•
20		,	• •			
22			•			
23	•					
24						
25						
26						
444	, ,					

7 fls.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	Q Was it the early part of the year?	
2	A Well, I don't remember the exact date the fi	rst
, 3	time I talked to him. It was a while after I had been o	ut.
4.	Q Was it about three or four months ago?	
5	A. I don't know, it was not that.	
6 ·	Q Was it a month ago?	
7	A. It was longer than that. I just don't remem	ber
8	the exact date. It was some time after I was released a	nd
9	living in a group home in Los Angeles.	
10	Q And how did Mr. Bugliosi contact you?	
11	A. I think there were District Attorney investi	ga-
12	tors, I think that is what their cards said, they came of	ut
13	and asked me if I had known Miss Atkins.	
14	Q Was that the first time that any police offi	.cers
15,	or the District Attorney's Office contacted you?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	After you got released?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q In 1970?	,
20	A. Yes.	•
21	Q And that was maybe you don't recall, mayb	e
22	three or four months ago, you don't recall?	
23	A. Yeah, I don't recall.	
24	And they contacted you at your house?	
25	A. No, I was in Los Angeles living in a group	,
96	home.	

1	Q Okay, did they come out to talk to you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q Did you have a conversation at where you were
4	staying the first time?
5	A. Yes.
.6	Q Now, you recall the man's name you talked to?
7	A. No, no, I don't.
8	I had his card but I lost it. He showed me
9	1t.
1Ò	Q Was it Mr. Gutierrez, here?
П	A. Yes, it was.
12	Q It was Mr. Gutierres?
13	A. I'm not sure about the people that came to
14	see me the first time. I know they are from the District
15	Attorney's Office and they asked me a few questions.
16	Q Oh, from the District Attorney's Office?
17	A. Yes, there were two men.
18	Q Did they show you a badge?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q You don't recall the names?
21	A. No.
22	Q Now, when these two men talked to you, how
.23	long was the conversation?
.24	A Not very long.
25	Q How long, half an hour?
26	A. I would say about ten minutes, 15.

	·
1	Q They were taking notes, correct?
2	A. Yeah, they were writing down.
3,	Q Did they have a tape recorder?
4	A. I don't know. I did not ask them, and they
5 .3	did not have one in their hand,
6	And you told them something about the Tate case,
7	correct, when you were first dealing with these two men?
. 8	A. No, because they were asking me questions.
9:	Really they were asking me questions about me.
10	In fact, they seemed concerned about my welfare, you know,
11	as far as what I was going to do now that I'm out.
- 12	They just seemed concerned about me at first.
13.	
14 .	
15	
16	
17	
18:	
19	
20	
21	
2,2	
23	
24	
25	
	i .

ľ

2

3

4

. 5

6

7:

8

.9

10.

11

12

13

14

Į **1**5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

Q Well, at your first meeting with these two so-called District Attorney investigators, did you talk about the Tate case?

A No.

We mostly talked about what kind of relationship I had with Susan while I was at SBI.

Q And did you --

A How well I knew her, and things like that.

Q In other words, you didn't tell these first two officers or District Attorney investigators anything about the Tate case, what Miss Atkins said, or anything like that; is that correct?

A I just remember telling them about Susan, and they asked me, you know, what she did, and things like that, how she seemed.

Q Do you recall what you told those two men?

A No.

Q About Miss Atkins?

A No, I don't remember all their questions and all my answers.

Q Do you remember some of the things that you said to them about the Tate case?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Assumes a fact not in evidence.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I'm trying to find out what statement she made to any police officers.

MR. BUGLIOSI: About the Tate case.

25° 26;

Ţ
2

5

6

7

. 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

She said they asked her some questions about Susan Atkins. That doesn't necessarily mean she spoke to them about the Tate case.

THE COURT: Sustained. It is ambiguous.

MR. SHINN: All right.

Did you talk to these officers about Miss Q. Susan Atkins and what she said about the Tate case?

> A No.

Then, on this particular day, now, when these Q two men came to see you, did they take you some place?

> A No.

They just left? Q.

Α Pardon?

They just left? Q

Yes, they left. Α

We/just out on the front porch because we weren't allowed to have visitors up in our room, and they asked me what they had to ask me, and that was it.

He left me a card and he said that I may be contacted, you know, in the future.

They left you their card, or Mr. Bugliosi's Q card?

> Á Their card. He left me his card.

Then, how didyou get in contact with Mr. Bugliosi later?

	Γ		
8-3	ì	A ·	I was brought down to his office.
	.2	Q	By the two same men?
Í	3	\mathbf{A}_{\cdot}	No. They were different.
	4	Q	When did they come to your house?
	· 5	A	I don't know the exact date.
•	6	Q ·	Then you saw Mr. Bugliosi?
	7	.	Yes.
	.8	Q.	Now, before you talked to Mr. Bugliosi, did
•	9	you talk to	any other police officers?
	10	A	I talked to two other ones before I had been
	11	brought dow	m to talk to Mr. Buglissi.
	12		I can't pronounce his name.
	13	Q	Bugliosi?
).	14	A	Yes.
•	15	Q	Now, you saw two other police officers;
	16	correct?	
. 11	17	A.	Yes.
	, j 8	Q ·	And when did you meet these two police officers?
•.	19	Ą	I don't know if they were police officers or
	20	investigato	ors like the other ones.
٠.	21	Q.	They talked to you at your house?
	22	A	They talked to me at the group home.
•	23	Q	And at that time, did you talk about Miss
	24	Atkins and	the Tate case?
	25	A	I don't remember everything we talked about.
	26	Q	You did talk about Miss Atkins and the Tate

Că	ser	
	.A.	I talked about Miss Atkins.
	Q	And something she said about the Tate case?
	A	No.
	•	I talked about how Miss Atkins well, they
as	ked me h	owwell I knew her, things like this.
,	Q	Now we will get to Mr. Bugliosi.
		You came down to the Hall of Justice and talked
to	Mr. Bug	liosi; correct?
	A	Yes.
	Q	And you don't know when it was, but it was in
19	70; corr	ect?
• •	A	Yes.
	Q.	And how long was this conversation with Mr.
Bu	gliosi?	·
	A.	It wasn't more than, really, five minutes,
bu	t I was	there longer. Five minutes or so. There was
a	lot of c	ther people there to see him. He was busy.
I	đon¹t kr	now the exact length of the conversation.
	Q	Well, was it more than a half hour?
		You just said a minute ago it was five minutes.
	A ·	Well, it wasn't like I was having just a talk
wi	th him.	There was a lot of people going in and out of
		, and a lot of times when he was talking to me
th	ere were	interruptions, and I don't know the exact
le	ngth of	time, how long I was there.

1	Q Well, how long did you stay in Mr. Bugliosi's
2	office? Five minutes? More than five minutes?
3	A Let's see.
4	I got back in about an hour and a half, back
.5	to the home in an hour and a half. So, I wasn't in the
6	office but a little over a half hour.
7	Q While you were in Mr. Bugliosi's office, you
8	spent a half hour in his office talking to Mr. Bugliosi,
9	or just waiting in his office?
10	A Sometimes I was talking to him and sometimes
11	I wasn't. Like I said, there was a lot of interruptions,
12	people going in and out.
13	Q Now, your actual conversation with Mr. Bugliosi,
14	how many minutes do you think it lasted? Five minutes?
15.	Ten minutes?
16	A I don't know.
17	Q Was there enyone else in the room with Mr.
ΪB	Bugliosi?
19	A There was a lot of people there.
20	Q I mean, was there a girl taking notes?
.21	A No, there was no secretary or anything like
22	that.
23	
24	
. 25	
26	

9 fls.

*	1	
-1	ı	Q Did you see anyone in there with a steno
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2,	machine like the one this man is using?
	3	A. No.
	4	Q Did you see a tape recorder, was your conver-
	5	sation being taped?
	6	A. No.
	7	Q Was Mr. Bugliosi taking notes, if you remember?
	. 8	A I don't remember.
	9	9 You don't remember if Mr. Bugliosi was taking
	10	notes?
,	ΊΙ	A. No, no.
,	1 2	Q Was there anyone else in the room taking notes
•	13	of your conversation?
	14	A. I don't know.
•	15.	Q You don't know?
•	16	A. No.
	. 17	Q Now, you did make a statement or talk to
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 18	Mr. Bugliosi concerning Miss Atkins and the Tate case,
, ,	19	correct?
•	20	A. No.
,	21:	Q You did not?
•	22	A. I don't remember anything specifically about
	2 3	that except that he was just questioning me and he wanted to
	24	know about how well I knew Susie.
	25	Q Is that all?

He was asking me questions and I answered him.

1	Q Okay, now. You recall questions that
2	Mr. Bugligsi asked you, not the exact words, but the
3	substance of what he said to you and what you said to him,
4	do you recall that?
5	A. Do I remember all of the questions he asked me?
:6	Q Yes, some of the questions.
7	A. I don't remember.
- '8	Q You don't recall making any statements to
9	Mr. Bugliosi.
Ţ0	In other words, you have forgotten what he said
n ·	to you.
12	A Well, when I was brought to his office I was
13	just I had just been released and I did not want any, you
14	know I really did not want to be in his office, you
"15	know.
16	The people came to talk to me. At first, you
17	know, I did not want to say nothing.
18	Q In other words, you did not want to get involved,
19	correct?
20	A. That's right.
21.	Q Did he threaten you?
22	A. No.
23	Q Now, is it your statement now that you don't
24	recall what you said to Mr. Bugliosi when you saw Mr.
.25	Bugliosi, is that correct?
26	A. Yes. I don't remember everything he asked me.

i	Q Could you remember something that he asked
2	you and what you said at that time?
3	A. Well, mostly, he would ask me he knew already
4	because he asked me if I was in jail such and such a time
5	and, well, I imagine he could find out by just calling the
6	jail, the things he was asking me, you know.
7	Q Well, is that all you recall?
8	A. No, he asked me if I was in there, if I knew
9	Susan, and he asked me if I knew everybody else, you know,
เช	like if I had like a pal I ran around with, or was close
1.1	to, and things like that.
12	Q Did you tell him who your pal was?
Í3.	A. Yeah, I told him.
14	Q Who was your pal?
15	A. I had quite a few pals I told him.
16	Q I want to know the pal you told Mr. Bugliosi
17	that day you had a conversation with him, the name of that
18	pal you told Mr. Bugliosi.
19	A Well, I remember telling Mr I remember
20	telling him that I was everybody's friend.
21	Q In other words, you did not name any person?
22	A Well, I really really I did not have any
23	person that I ran with that much except for Dore.
24	Q Anything else you talked to Mr. Bugliosi about
25 .	that day that you can recall now?
26	A. No.

- F	
1	Q That is all you can remember, is that correct?
2	A. That is all I can remember.
3	Q Did you later talk to a police officer or
4	Mr. Bugliosi again?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When was that?
7	A About a week after I talked the first time, or
8	it could have been longer.
9	Q What did you say?
10	A About a week after?
11	Q You talked to someone else about a week after?
12	A No, I talked to him again. I was brought down
13	to the to his office.
14	Q Well, how many times did you see Mr. Bugliosi,
15	total times before coming to court?
16	A I don't know.
17	Q Let's go one by one, then, you saw him a week
18	later again, then?
19	. A About a week later.
20	Q Just before you left Mr. Bugliosi the first time
21	you talked with him, did he say anything to you about coming
22	back or "I will contact you"?
23	A Well, I told him I told him at the place I
24	was staying that I was always there.
25	I was supposed to be looking for a job, but I
26·	wagnit becouse I was nighting on leaving for my father

1	I said if I did leave that my parole officer
2	always knows where I am at, as far as contacting me again.
3	Q Did he contact you again, Mr. Bugliosi, a week
4	later?
5	A No, the second time I seen him, I remember two
6	investigating officers came and picked me up.
Ŷ	Anytime I have ever been down there, down in
8.	Los Angeles, you know, to see him, he has transportation.
9:	I don't drive and I don't have money to be coming down to
10	the office.
IJ	Q Where do you live, what is your address?
12	A. Right now?
13	Q Yes.
14	A. In Santa Ana.
15	Q What is the address?
16	MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant.
17	THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection at
18	this time, Mr. Shinn.
19	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
20	Q BY MR. SHINN: So the second time you came down
21	to see Mr. Bugliosi again, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	
24	
25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

9a-1 Now, do you recall how long this conversation Q 1 was with Mr. Bugliosi the second time? 2 A' No. á. Was it five minutes again? Q 4 A I don't remember. 5 It was so short you have forgotten, is that 6 correct? A. I don't remember how long it was I talked to 8 him. ٠ģ Did you see him down here in the Hall of. 10 Justice again in his office? 11 A In his office? 12 Were a lot of people running in and out again? Q 13 A There's always people running in and out. 14 Was anybody else with Mr. Bugliosi the second Q 15 time? 16 À Yes, but I don't remember who they were. 17 There was people. 18 In other words, people coming in and out of Q 19 the office, it got you confused, huh? 20 -No, I didn't pay no attention to anybody 21 running in and out. 22 Were the people running in and out, were they 23 interrupting Mr. Bugliosi? 24 I imagine it was important because if he did 25

not want to be interrupted he would have left word, put

9a-2a note on his door or something. So I don't think it was interruptions that were 2 not necessary. And do you recall how many minutes you talked to Mr. Bugliosi that day? Д No, no. 6 It was a short time, correct? Q 7 A Yes. 8 Very short? 9 A Yes. 10 Now, was he taking notes then of your conversa-11 tion or was he too busy with other people? 12 I don't know what he was doing. 13 Do you recall what you talked about the second 14 time you saw Mr. Bugliosi? 15 No. 16 You forgot completely what you said, correct? Q. 17 Not completely, but I just know he was ques-18 tioning me and I didn't want to be questioned. I have other 19 important things to do. 20 ' I see, so in other words you did not want to 21 answer some of his questions, correct? 22 Well, it's not that -- well, I had other things 23. to do and I didn't -- I didn't want to be here. 24 I don't want to be here now, really. 25

Good.

Now, is it a fair statement now, Miss Walker, 9a-3 1 to say that the second time you talked to Mr. Bugliosi you forgot completely what you talked about, is that correct? Would you ask me that again. Well, the second time you talked to Mr. Q Bugliosi now --A Yes. 7 -- is it a fair statement to say that it was Q 8 such a short time you had a conversation with Mr. Bugliosi 9 that your memory now, you cannot remember what you talked ÌÒ about? 11 Would that be a fair statement? 12 Yes. 13 Now, did you talk to Mr. Bugliosi again after Q 14 the second time? 15 A Yes. 16 When was that? Q 17 I don't know. I don't remember. 10 fls. Á 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	Q Did someone come and pick you up again?
2	A. Any time I have ever talked to him, somebody
3.	has had to give me a ride.
4	Q Did they force you to go down and see
ষ	Mr. Bugliosi?
. 6	A. No. But why should I refuse if he wanted to
7	talk to me?
·8	Q Now, the third time, when was this? A week
9	after the second time, or a month later, or what? Do you
. 10	recall?
'n	A. I think it was quite a while after that because
12	I think I moved. I did move, in fact. It was not a
13	permanent move, but I went up North to work with my father.
14	Q You say up North? San Francisco?
15	A. Not that far, Santa Maria.
16	Then did Mr. Bugliosi get in contact with you
17	while you were there?
. 18	Á No.
19	Q Do you recall the third time you saw Mr.
20	Bugliosi?
. 21	A. When I came back from up North.
, 22	Q When was this? A couple of months ago?
23	A About a month ago.
24	Q You mean sometime in September?
25	A. Yes.
26	0 Ame you sume of thet?

.)-1

1	A. That was some time in August when I came back
2	from working with my father.
Š	Q Then you saw Mr. Bugliosi again then?
4	A. No.
5	Q You are shaking your head no?
6	A. Not right away, no. Not right away.
.7	I moved back into the group home, and I wasn't
-8	happy there, so I went to see my brother in Orange County
ġ	and I told him how unhappy I was. So, he moved me in with
10	him in Santa Ana.
ĺļ	It was about well, I moved there September
12	the 7th. It was the 16th or 17th of the month when some-
13	body came by to see me from his office.
14	Q That is when you were living in Santa Ana?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q And it was two men again?
17	A. No. It was one man. And I didn't speak to
18	him the first time he was there because I wasn't there.
19	I didn't speak with him. I just got a message that somebody
20	was there to see me.
21	Q You didn't see this man?
22	A. No. But I seen him the second time he came
23	back.
24	Q He came back when? A week later or a few days
25.	later?
26	A. Yes.

1	Q.	And was this the same man that you saw before?
2 -	A.	No.
· 3	Q	Did he identify himself?
4	A.	Yes. He showed me a badge and a card.
- 5	Q.	Do you know his name?
6	A	Yes.
7	, Q	What was his name?
8	A.	Mr. Correa.
.9	Q,	Now, at that time, did you go down and see
10	Mr. Buglios	i again?
11	A.	Nc.
12	• •	This man came to see me and he said that he
13	wanted me -	- or that Mr I can't pronounce his name.
14	Q.	Bugliosi?
15	A.	wanted to see me.
16	Q.	And did you go down and see him?
17	A.	I said, "Well, I don't have no way to get down
18	there."	
19		He said, "Well, we can come and pick you up."
20	· Q	Did they come and pick you up?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Ġ.	Then you saw Mr. Bugliosi for the third time
23	now; correc	t?
.24	A.	No.
25		I had seen him it is hard for me to remember
- 26	I can't rem	ember if it was the first, second, third or what,

26 -

10a

because, well, whenever I did talk to Mr. Bugliosi -- well, I know the last time I seen him he was very angry at me.

Mr. Bugliosi was mad at you?

A. Yes. Because I was supposed to come to court and I didn't come when he subposnaed me. He subposnaed me.

10a-1 Now, how many times do you think that you saw Q. 1 Mr. Bugliosi before coming to court to testify? Two or three times? 3 A I just seen him this morning, and there was 4 another time. 5 No. Before that I am talking about. Q. б Α Five times, maybe. 7 Five times? Q Yes. 9. And did these conversations last -- what Q 10 five minutes? Was he always busy? 11 I don't know how long. A 12 It was a short time; correct? Q 13 Yes. 14 Very short? 15 Yes. Every time I have talked to him hasn't A. 16 been too long. 17 And would it be fair to state that during all 18 these conversations with Mr. Bugliosi, you have forgotten **19** what you have talked about? 20 Would that be a fair statement? 21 Á No. 22 You recall some of the convergation? Q .23 A Yes. 24 What part of the conversation do you remember? Q 25 A I remember talking to him and telling him about 26

10a-2 being at SBI, and my relationship with Susan Atkins, how well I knew her. 2 Is that all you remember? Q 3 We talked about other things. 4 Are you finished? Q 5 Á Yes. 6 Now, is that all you remember of your conversa-7 tion with Mr. Bugliosi the three or four times that you 8 talked to Mr. Bugliosi? 9 He asked me if I had ever discussed any of the 10 cases about the murder, you know, the murders, with Susan. 11 He asked me if she confided in me. 12 Is that all you can remember now? 13 Yes. 14 You have thought about this carefully, every-15 thing that /asked you, and that is all you can remember 16 about your conversation with Mr. Bugliosi; correct? 17 A Yes. 18 Did he ever show you a statement concerning 19 the conversation between you and Mr. Bugliosi? 20 No. 21 Did he ever discuss the Tate case with you? **Q**., 22 A Yes. 23

Q

A

Q

Well, yes.

24

25

26

He discussed the Tate case with you?

20

21

. 22

23.

24

25.

26

to you?

A No, I don't remember. I don't remember exactly what he asked me.

MR. SHINN: May I have a moment, your Honor?

(Mr. Shinn confers with Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Kanarek.)

MR. SHINN: I have nothing further, your Honor, at this time.

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek?
MR. KANAREK: Merely this.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Could you please tell us what were the crimes that you were in jail for?

A My crimes?

MR. KAY: Objection as irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Q Have you been convicted of a felony?

A I was charged --

MR. KAY: Objection. Asked and answered.

MR. KANAREK: No, that hasn't been answered.

THE COURT: Yes. She answered the question for

Mr. Shinn.

MR. KANAREK: May I have an answer?

THE COURT: She answered that question when Mr. 1 Shinn asked her that question. 2 MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor. 3 Thank you. MR. HUGHES: No questions, your Honor. 5. MR. BUGLIOSI: I have a question. 6. THE COURT: I have some questions also. 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well. 8 9 **EXAMINATION** 10 BY THE COURT: 11 When was the first time, Miss Walker, that you 12 ever told anyone of your conversations with Susan Atkins 13 while you were at Sybil Brand? 14 Well, after I left Sybil Brand I was up in 15 Ventura, and her picture was in the paper. 16 I was talking to one of my counselors, and I 17 told her that I had -- you know, she was talking about her, 18 and I told her that I had been in jail with her. 19 Did you tell your counselor what Susan Atkins 20 had told you about her relationship, if anything, with any 21 of the --22 No. She was asking me questions about her. 23 Like, you know, what kind of a girl did she seem to be. 24 My question is: When did you first tell 25. anyone of the conversations that you had with Susan Atkins 26

at Sybil Brand?

Well, that counselor up there was the first one that I told that I knew her and had talked to her.

11 fls.

.

11-1	1	Q.	Did you tell the counsellor what Susan had
	2	told you?	
	3	,À.	No.
	4	4 Q	When was the first time you told anyone what
	5	Susan told y	ou?
, ,	6	A.	When I talked to the two men that came down to
•	7	. see me.	
,	8	Q,	You don't remember their names?
	. 9	Α.	No, I don't.
	10.	Q	You told them what Susan told you at Sybil
	11	Brand?	
	12	. , A.	Yes.
100	13	Q ,	Were they taking notes?
	14	A.	Yes.
;	15	Q.	Both of them?
,	16	A,	I don't know if both of them were. They were
	17	both asking	me questions.
,	18.	Q	Did you tell them everything that Susan Atkins
	19	had told you	at Sybil Brand?
	20	` A .	They asked me if I would just stop and see if
	21	I can rememb	er, you know, if she ever mentioned anything to
,	22.	me about, or	pertaining to these cases.
•	23	Q.	All right, do you remember what you told these
	24	men, what di	d you actually tell them that Susan told you?
	25	A	I don't remember everything.
	26	Q	Do you remember anything that you told them?

1	A I just remember telling them that I knew her
2	and I, you know, was friendly with her.
3	Q I want to know if you told them what she said.
4	A I told them everything I could remember.
5.	Q When was that?
6	A. I guess, well I don't remember everything I
7	told them but
8.	Q Do you remember anything that you told them?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q What did you tell them?
11	A Well, they were asking me questions and I was
12	answering them.
13	Q What did you say?
14	A Well, I was answering as truthfully as I could.
15.	I want to know what you told them, Miss Walker,
16	about what Susan had told you.
17	Did you tell them anything?
18	A. Yes, I talked to them.
19.	Do you remember what you told them?
20	A I don't remember everything I told them. I
i	don't remember everything they asked me.
2	Q Do you remember telling them anything about
3	what Susan told you?
4.	A. Yes.
5	Q Do you remember what you told them?
5	A. Yes,

Do you remember? İ I remember when they first came up and talked to 2 me they told me that I did not have to talk to them, you 3 know, and ---4 I guess when they came to talk to me I was 5 scared, well. I was scared for a reason of my own, 6 You are not answering the question, Miss 7 Walker. Do you remember what you told the officers that 9 Susan had told you? 10 No. I don't remember everything. 11 Do you remember anything? 12 I remember telling them -- I told them that --13 I told them about running around with her, you know, when 14 I was in 8000. 15. I don't remember everything. I can't remember. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I ask a few questions, your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Just a minute. 18 Now, Miss Walker, do you know why you are here 19 today? 20 Yes. A. 21 Why are you here? Q. 22 I am here because I was subpoensed to be here. Α. 23 And you knew you were going to be a witness? Q 24 Yes. ·A 25 Did you have any idea of what you were going to Q 26.

1	be a witness to, what you were going to talk about?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q What was that?
4	A About Susan.
5	Q What about Susan?
6	A. How well I about my relationship with her or
7	anything she told me.
8	Q What was the last part?
9	A. My relationship with her, anything that we
1 0	ever talked about.
11	Q Now, do you remember what you talked about with
12	Susan at Sybil Brand?
13	A. I talked to her about a lot of things. I wrote
14	her when I was out and she wrote me letters.
15	Q All right, now, let's go back to Sybil Brand.
16	You had some conversations with Susan regarding
17	the killings at the Tate residence, is that right?
18	A. The only thing I can remember ever saying about
19	them is what I testified just a little bit ago, about we had
20	an argument about what came over on the radio, statements
21	she made.
22	Q You and Susan had an argument?
23	A Not an argument argument, you know, just a
24	debate.
,25	

lla

11a-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

ġ.

. 9

10

12

13.

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Q All right, and what did you say and what did she say?

A Well, the newscast was on and there was something about a pair of glasses that was at the scene of the murder.

And I remember stating, I said, "Well, they'll catch whoever did it."

And she said, "Why, just because they found a pair of glasses?"

And I said, "Yeah, well, they can find out all kinds of things from that pair of glasses" I said.

And I said, "When they found that pair of glasses they are going to find him."

She said "Say they found the person that owns those glasses there, supposing they find him and they blame him for it," she said, "wouldn't that be too much if they blamed him for the murders and the only thing he was guilty of was dropping a pair of sunglasses there."

She thought I meant the person who dropped the glasses was the people who caused the murders or whatever, you know, was the same person.

All I was trying to get across to her was whoever dropped those glasses had to be on the scene of it or they would never have dropped their glasses.

THE COURT: Did she say anything else about the killings at the Tate residence?

1 2

j

4

5

.;6 . 7

8

9 10

11

Į2

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

.

25

26

THE WITNESS: No, no.

THE COURT: Now, you said that when you said goodbye to her later that she indicated to you in some way that she might not see you again, is that right?

- A Yes, while she was crying.
- Q Did you have a conversation with her at that time?
 - A Yeah, I was trying to make her stop crying.

I told her "I'll see you again, everything will be okay," even though I didn't know everything was going to be okay, you know, I was just telling her this so she would not be crying.

I did not want to see her cry.

- Q What did she say?
- A She said "No, I know I'll never see you again."

 And I said "Yeah, you will, everything is going to be okey."

And she said "I'm in more trouble than you know of."

I said "Well, I know you are not in here for stealing bubblegum."

But she says "There's more to it than just, you know, what I'm going to court on now; there's more to it than you know."

And that is when she told me "I was in on those murders."

1	THE COURT: Is that all she said just "I was in on
2	those murders"?
1	A That's right.
3 4	Q Did she mention which murders she was talking
5	about?
1	A No.
6	Q Is that the end of the conversation?
7	A No.
8	Q What else was said?
9	A I was saying something to her to make her
10	stop crying. I kept telling her to stop crying, it didn't
11:	matter, you know.
13	Q Was there anything else said about the murders?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you have any other conversation with her
15 16	at any other time other than what you have already related
17	regarding any murders?
18	A No.
19	THE COURT: Any further questions, gentlemen?
١	MR. BUGLIOSI: Just a couple.
20 21	
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
25 24	Q Roseanne, you realize you don't have to be
24 25	afraid to tell the judge what you spoke to me about?
40·	Do you realize that?

	*	Yen.				•		. 14
	M .	KANAREDA	That	la assu	ming fo	ete noi	in evi	dente.
•	•	I rose	数 ***					
	4	I woul	å ask y	our Hoc	or to a	doceris	: Hr. D	slies i
not i	to mak	e such a	atatem	mt.			<i>;</i>	•
	THE	urthe se :	He do	n't hav	w to m	ika m ai	tet mousi	t. X
alre	ndy ka	ow that,						
	THE !	COURT	Anythin	g Lurth	ur f			
		MOLTOST	i Yes.	•				,
	•		hose th		ni teati	illed t	o here	Ín
cour		do rata	`•					
offi	•	er an in the ration of the	t e cater	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **		∓ *
And And And And And And And And And And	4	Yes.		-				
* *	***	en = 10 mm m².		•				
Ł								
₹ €		,						
•								•
		,	*					
				•	•	•		
					•			
	,							
					٠			
	•							
	÷	_				ø	•	
	•	ť		•				
	•						•	
							•	

11-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 .

17

18

19

20

21 22.

23

24

25

26

You do recall telling me the same things in my Q. office; is that right?

> Yes. I remember. A.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have no questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please.

MR. KANAREK: There is no jury, your Honor.

(Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench:)

THE COURT: It appears to me that not only is the statement ambiguous -- the statement I am referring to is the statement that she said Susan Atkins said to her that "I was in on those murders" -- not only is it ambiguous with respect to what murders she is talking about, but I think it also would be objectionable under the Bruton-Aranda rule as stating, in effect, that she committed the murders with someone else.

> MR. HUGHES: I agree with you.

THE COURT: I am going to exclude the testimony on the ground that any probative value would be substantially outweighed by the risk of its prejudicial effect on the jury because of its ambiguity, the risk of confusing the jury, and because of the possible, probable, implication of

co-defendants by reason of the ambiguous nature of the 1 statement. MR. BUGLIOSI: Of course, there are other things that 3 she testified to that in no way involve Aranda or Burton. 4 THE COURT: What are those? 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: When it came out over the radio about 6 the glasses. That would be extremely important. And also: "That ain't the way it went down." 8 That, in no way, violates Aranda or Bruton, .9 10 in no possible or conceivable way. 11 THE COURT: She can testify to that statement. MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. 12 THE COURT: But the glasses? 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: It has extreme importance to the 14 prosecution. 15 THE COURT: Of course, that is a comment that anybody 16 can make about anything. 17 It doesn't have any particular relevance as far 18 as I can see. 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: It has a lot of relevance to me, and I 20 can tell the Court right now what the relevance is. 21 THE COURT: I am interested. MR. BUGLIOSI: The relevance is this: that the 23 defense is going to argue that, undoubtedly, these glasses 24 belong to the killer or killers, and yet the prosecution has 25

not connected them with these defendants. Who do these

1

2

4.

5

6

7 8

Ò

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

21

12a

22

23

24 25

26

glasses belong to?

Susan, in so many words, is saying that these glasses do not belong to the killer. She is saying: Wouldn't it be something if they found the guy who owned those glasses and they blamed him for the murders and the only thing he did was drop them there?

Just because the glasses are at the scene doesn't mean that the party had anything to do with the murders.

So, with respect to these glasses, your Honor, I deem it crucial to the prosecution, because the defense argument, of course, will be that these glasses belonged to the killer, and we are claiming that these defendants are the killers, yet we haven't been able to connect the glasses up with them.

It is extremely valuable.

The other statement is, of course, "That ain't the way it went down," showing knowledge of these murders.

It in no way violates Bruton or Aranda.

12a-1

2

1

Bugliosi?

4 5 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, his argument shows why your

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I respond to Mr.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: Yes.

Honor shouldn't allow it in. Not only does Bruton and Aranda forbid the

statements to be used against the defendants, it also forbids these statements to be used to deprive the defendants of a defense.

Now, maybe he could, in a separate trial, argue that this could be used against Susan Atkins and maybe undermine her defense, but what she says cannot undermine the defense of the other defendants, and that is what Mr. Bugliosi intends to do.

THE COURT: I don't understand.

MR. KANAREK: What I am saying is, your Honor, that what Mr. Bugliosi is saying is that because of what she is saying, this proves that what we are going to put on about the glasses isn't true.

It is going to be evidence to show that the defense that the murder was committed by other people is phony.

Now, that might be, he could use that against Susan Atkins, but he can't deprive the defendants of a defense by a statement of Susan Atkins in a joint trial through the means of this witness. That is depriving all 12a-2

& 9.

.

the defendants, the other defendents.

THE COURT: How is it depriving them of a defense, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor will admonish the jury that the statement can only be used as to Susan Atkins, yet Mr. Bugliosi has just told us that the defendants, plural, are going to try to show that those glasses belong to someone, and make the argument that that someone happened to be -- or whoever it was -- happened to be the killer or killers.

That is undermining our defense to allow this to come in.

He has stated that he is going to use it to undermine our defense, and these are words of Susan Atkins, they cannot be used to undermine the defense of other defendants.

That is one point.

Another point is that there has been alleged a conspiracy.

I recently checked the law on it. The law provides for absolute liability. In other words, when Susan Atkins confesses to murder -- I am not talking about the substantive counts, I am talking about the conspiracy count -- that means that the purpose of that conspiracy was met; that the reason that they conspired actually came to a fruition of murder.

10.

12b fls.

Therefore, your Honor, the prosecution is getting the benefit, which is an illegal benefit, of the murder on the basis that the conspiracy count -- he is using the conspiracy count now to get --

THE COURT: I don't understand what you are talking about, Mr. Kanarek. Get to the point.

MR. KANAREK: The point is, your Honor, if she confesses that she stabbed Sharon Tate and she killed Sharon Tate, that means that the very reason the conspiracy came into being, actually the very reason, was satisfied; and it also means that this overt act --

THE COURT: That is an extrapolation that I can't agree with. It may or may not.

MR. KANAREK: The point is, your Honor, that means a murder has taken place.

12B

1

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24 25.

26,

THE COURT: Get to the point. So then what?

MR. KANAREK: The point is, your Honor, that either the conspiracy count has to be dismissed against all of the defendants in a joint trial, or else they can't use the confession of Susan Atkins that she killed Sharon Tate, because that means that the defendants are then going to be found guilty because of her statement.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, that is a different thing.

THE COURT: I don't know what he is talking about,

MR. BUGLIOSI: She is not going to testify that Susan Atkins told her that she killed Sharon Tate.

You are talking about the other statements, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: I concede that,

THE COURT: If the statement comes in against Susan Atkins alone, it comes in against her alone.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi is right. I am, at this point, referring to the statements of Roni Howard and Virginia Graham, because their statements --

MR. BUGLIOSI: That was resolved by the Court many days aro.

THE COURT: The jury will be admonished, just as the jury has been admonished and instructed previously.

THE COURT: I am sure that the Court is not of such a mind that the Court will not consider further argument.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We are talking about her testimony now,

defendants.

THE COURT: I haven't the vaguest idea what you are talking about, Mr. Kanarek. You haven't been able to articulate your position in the proper form.

MR. KANAREK: Because you don't understand, your Honor, doesn't mean that I am not trying.

THE COURT: Try harder.

12c

22

23

24

25

12c01

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8.

1Ò

11

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

79

23 24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi is going to try to show that those glasses --

THE COURT: I heard all that.

MR. KANAREK: The statement that is going to be used to undermine the defense is the statement of Susan Atkins. It is not the statement of Mr. Manson, Patricia Krenwinkel or Leslie Van Houten. Therefore, the prosecution cannot get the benefit -- it is an illegal benefit-- to undermine our defense by a statement of Susan Atkins.

It can be used to undermine her defense, your Honor, but not ours.

THE COURT: The evidence is being offered only as to Susan Atkins; is that right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

THE COURT: And the jury will be instructed, as they have previously been instructed, that the evidence is limited to a particular person and may only be considered against that particular person.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Just a moment.

Nothing has changed. The fact that she said it doesn't undermine any defense. It is going to be considered only as to her.

MR. KANAREK: But say, apart from Susan Atkins, we bring evidence to this courtroom that those glasses -- whatever it might be -- that those glasses are tied in

with some other person or persons. The fact of that statement of hers would undermine our defense, because Mr. Bugliosi will argue --

THE COURT: Not if the testimony is limited to her.

MR. KANAREK: But it is a kind of a distinction that you can't make in argument.

THE COURT: This is a comment about a radio broadcast.

MR. KANAREK! I know.

THE COURT: A news broadcast.

MR. KANAREK: But it shows guilty knowledge on her part.

THE COURT: I don't think it indicates anything.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We are just wasting time now.

MR. HUGHES: If it doesn't indicate anything, your Honor, I think it should be excluded so far as relevance and materiality.

THE COURT: It is part of the conversation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I want to make this one observation, your Honor.

Looking over the transcript, with respect to my comment to the Court yesterday on the issue of Wade and Gilbert, I do, of course, disagree with the Court a hundred percent, but I apologize for my language.

THE COURT: It isn't an issue of Wade and Gilbert.
Take a look at Simmons.

20

21

22

23

MR. BUGLIOSI: I am aware of Simmons. I gave the Court the citation.

What I want to say is that I apologize to the Court for the language that I used. Obviously it was inappropriate when I said it was absurd.

I apologize to the Court. That language had no place in this proceeding.

THE COURT: The point is that it seems inconceivable to me that as long after these cases as it now is that the prosecution will attempt to show photographs to the witness at a pretrial identification without making a record, and without even knowing what photographs or how many are shown to the witness.

That is the part that seems inconceivable to me.

The reason that I excluded the evidence was because you were in no position to even lay a basic foundation as to the identification by photograph.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I briefly be heard on that? It will just take a few minutes.

THE COURT: There is no point. I have made the ruling.

MR. BUGLIOSI: What the Court is saying is that what I did is inappropriate, and it was on this rationale:

Every single case deals with a lineup or showing photographs of a victim or a witness of a crime, not this type of

25

26.

situation. It was a witness to a crime. There is no case that deals with this type of situation.

THE COURT: You are asking him to identify a defendant.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I know, but these cases come out where we are trying to have someone identify the person that committed the crime.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Secondly, your Honor, Wade and Gilbert and Simmons say, if the lineup is unfair, only say that you exclude the out-of-court identification, which I was not seeking.

THE COURT: There were other problems, as I set forth in the record. The time, the intervening events, and so forth.

In any event, I have ruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

I am saying that I disagree with the Court, and that language was totally inappropriate on my part, and I apologize.

3-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: I have indicated to you what you may go into with this witness.

As to any additional witnesses, Mr. Bugliosi, in my opinion we wasted a whole morning because the state-ments were not reduced to writing.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We would have had to have a hearing -THE COURT: If you are going to put on any evidence
of other conversations, I am making an order right now I
want written statements as to what the conversation purported to be as to the witness with the defendant.

We have taken a deposition here and wasted half a day in court doing what should have been done before.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We still would have had to consume time back in chambers, and there still would be this cross-examination, so we really haven't lost that much time.

I have one more statement; that is the statement of Dianne Lake concerning Leslie Van Houten.

That conversation was tape recorded. The applicable portion has been typed up. I have given a copy to all defense and I will give the Court a copy.

THE COURT: We are right back to the same thing.

I have to decide what is applicable.

I have to know what the entire conversation is in order to place it in its proper perspective.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I will have the whole thing typed up.

THE COURT: You cannot select out a portion and ask me

to edit. 1 MR. BUGLIOSI: I will have the whole tape typed up. 2 There is only about one tape. 3 4 THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed? I think you 5 should confer with this witness and make it perfectly clear she is not to mention any murders. 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. MR. KANAREK: While we are at the bench, your Honor, may I ask when are you going to have Dewayne Wolfer back 10 with that aerial map. 11 THE COURT: You can take that up at another time. 12 Are you ready to proceed? 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Let me talk to her for one minute. 14 to coach her not to bring up anything about the murders. 15 THE COURT: Two points. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Right. 17 THE COURT: She testified to the statement, "That is 18 the way it went down." 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: Right, and the eye glasses. 20 THE COURT: And the conversation regarding the glasses. 21 MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: I assume counsel can stipulate that this 23 evidence is introduced solely with respect to Susan Atkins. 24 MR. KANAREK: Of course it is our desire it not be 25 received at all. It is received over our objection, no

26

question about that, your Honor.

1	(The following proceedings were had in open
2	court in the presence of the jury, all defendants and all
3	counsel being present:)
4	THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are
5	present.
6	You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.
7	MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Roseanne Walker back to the
8	witness stand.
9	
10	ROSEANNE WALKER,
11	called as a witness by and on behalf of the People,
12	resumed the stand and testified further as follows:
13	
14	FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
16	Q Now, Roseanne, you testified that you knew
17	Susan Atkins; you knew her by the name Sadie Glutz; you
18	knew her at Sybil Brand Institute in East Los Angeles, is
19	that correct?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q And you knew her during a several-month period
22	there; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q Youdon't know exactly when Susan came to
25	Sybil Brand?
26	A. No.

. 1	
1	Q But you came there on July 23rd and you left in
2	late November, 1969?
3.	A. Yes.
4	And you indicated that there was a radio there
5	in Dormitory 8000 at Sybil Brand?
6	A. Yes
7	Q That now and then you would hear a radio
8	broadcast concerning the Tate and La Bianca murders?
5 .	A. Yes.
10	Q I believe you indicated or testified that on
11	some of these occasions Sadie was present with you when
12	there was a broadcast on the Tate and La Bianca murders,
13	is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q After any one of these broadcasts on the
16	Tate and La Bianca murders, did Sadie make any comment?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q Do you know when that was approximately?
19	A. No.
20	Q Either September, October, or November of 1969?
21 .	A. Yes.
22 .	Q What did she say to you?
23	MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I object as being hearsay
24	as to Defendant Leslie Van Houten.
25	MR. FITZGERALD: Join.
26	MR. BUGLIOSI: People stipulate it is offered only

against defendant Susan Atkins.

MR. KANAREK: People join in the objection.

THE COURT: All right. The jury is instructed that the testimony of this witness will be received as to Susan Atkins only and is not to be considered for any purpose as to any of the other defendants.

The objections are overruled.

You may proceed.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You may relate, Roseanne, what Susan Atkins told you after you and she heard one of the broadcasts on the Tate and La Bianca murders.

A It is a comment.

Q You may relate that comment.

A She said, "That ain't the way it went down," and it was during the broadcast.

Q So the man over the radio was speaking about the Tate and La Bianca murders, is that correct?

A. Yes.

13a-1	1	Q.	And while he was talking you said Susan made
22	2	the stateme	ent "That ain't the way it went down," is that
	3	correct?	
	4	A	Yes.
4	5 . ,	Q	Did Susan explain what she meant by that?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6.	A.	No.
₹ ^μ	7	Q	At any other time did you were you in
	8	Susan's pre	sence when a radio newscaster was talking
	9.	about the I	Cate-La Bianca murders?
	10	A	Yes.
	, nì	Q	Do you know when that was?
•	12	A	No
	13	Q.	Was it within the same period of time?
	14	A	Yes.
-	15	Q	Either September or October or November of
	16	19692	
, •	17 '	A	Yes.
	`18	Q	In Dormitory 8000?
	19	A	Yes.
*	20	Q.	What did Susan say to you?
,	21	A	There was a discussion.
•	22	Q	All right, what was the discussion?
•	23	A	It was well, on the radio, the radio said
	24	that the p	olice had turned up a clue, something about a
	25	pair of gl	æsses.
•	26	a a	Did the broadcaster indicate where the glasses

Yeah. And what was her position? 2 Q Her position was, well, she said, it would be--3 A. 4 it would be okay if they found the person that owned the 5 ' glasses and they blamed him, and the only thing he did was б drop glasses there; all he was guilty of was losing his glasses. 7 8 Will you repeat that, Roseanne, I had a difficult time understanding what you said. 10 THE COURT: Just a moment. Do you want the answer 11 read? 12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, I think --13 THE COURT: Did the reporter take down the answer? 14 (Whereupon the reporter reads the record as 15 follows: ĦΑ 16 Her position was, well, she said, 17 it would be -- it would be okay if they found 18 the person that owned the glasses and they blamed 19 him, and the only thing he did was drop glasses 20° there; all he was guilty of was losing his 21 glasses.") 22 THE COURT: Had you completed your answer? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 25 Did she say anything else? 26. Well, she didn't -- she didn't -- it wasn't a Α

25

26

question she was asking me, it was a -- she said -- it was a statement.

She said, "Wouldn't it be too much if they found these people," you know --

She thought I was blaming whoever had the glasses for doing it.

Q Did she say anything with respect to "Just because a person left his glasses" --

A Yes.

Q -- "at the scene, it does not mean that he was the murderer?

A Yes.

Q Okay, what did she say?

A Well, she said, she did not say it that way, she said that just because -- just because a pair of glasses was there, she was telling me that whoever owned them --

She was trying to make me --

Not trying to make me realize, but she was saying just because the glasses was there, this pair of glasses was there, it doesn't mean that they are the people that did it, or the person that did it just because their pair of glasses was there.

Q Okay.

Incidentally, Roseanne, you and Sadie were kind of pals over there, weren't you, at Sybil Brand?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
1	A Yes.					
2	MR. SHINN:	That's lead	ding and	suggest	ive, you	Honor.
3	THE COURT:	Overruled.				
4	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:					
5	Q And yo	u operated	kind of	a store	there in	ì
6.	the Dormitory?			· .	٠.	
7	A Yes.					
8	Q. You so	ld eyebrow	makeup a	nd lips	tick, thi	ings
9	like that?		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	;		
• _10	A Yes.			•		
11		* *	•			* *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***
12		•	~ `		. ,	
- 13		,	3 2			,
14						* * * ,
1 5	· , ,		•	į	¥ ₹	•
16	•			d		
Į7			•			
18				,		, .
19	,		•			
20		,			•	•
21						,
22					•	٠
23						
24						
25						
2 6						

14 fls.

· Ĭ	Q Cigarettes?
2	A. Yes.
9 €	Q Did you give Sadie a free pack of cigarettes
4	one time?
5	A. Yes.
. 6	And you confided in her now and then; isn't
7	that right?
8	A Yes, I talked to her.
9	Q You told her about problems you had with your
10	husband?
ΪΙ	A. Yes.
12	And you considered Sadie to be a friend of
13	yours; is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	And she was friendly to you?
16	A. Yes.
17	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
18	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Fitzgerald?
19	MR. FITZGERALD; Yes, your Honor.
20	
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
, 22	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
23	When did you have this conversation with Miss
24	Atkins, Miss Walker?
25	A. Are you asking me the exact date or what?
26	When I was at SBI.

14-1

1	Q.	How long were you in SBI?
2	A.	From July to November.
.3	Q.	And when, during that period of time, did you
4	have a conver	rsation?
5	A. .	I don't remember the exact date. It was after
6	I had known	her for a little bit.
:7	Q.	When did you meet her?
8	A.	When she came into the dorm.
9	. ત	When was that?
T Ó	A.	It was about September, but I am not sure.
Ĭī,	Q.	What time did you leave Sybil Brand?
12	. A.	November.
Ĭ3	Q.	So, sometime between September and November,
14	you had a co	onversation with her?
15,	A.	Yes.
16	Q	Was that the first conversation you had ever
ļŻ	had with her	??
18	. A.	No.
19 [,]	Q.	How many conversations did you have with
20	Susan Atkin	altogether?
21	A.	I don't remember how many. It was many. We
22	talked many	times.
23	-Ġ.	Did you have conversations with other inmates?
24	Å.	Yes.
25	. , Q	At Sybil Brand?
26	. · A.	Yes.

	,
1	Q A lot of other inmates?
2	A. Well, I was friendly to everybody.
3	Q Did you make it a point to talk with everybody
4	you could?
5 :	A. No.
6	q How many people were in the dormitory where you
7	were located?
8	A. I don't know the exact number but there was a
9	lot of girls in the dorm.
10	Q 60 or 70? Would that be about it?
11	A. 60.
12	Q Did you used to talk to most of the girls
13	every day?
14	A. No, not all of them. It was a working dorm.
15	Q Would you talk to most of them every day?
16	A If the occasion called for it, yes. But during
17	the day we were supposed to be working, except for the
-18 -	night crew, and they were sleeping.
19	Where was this store that you operated
20	located?
21	A Well, I had things in my locker, some of the
22	other people had things in their locker that belonged to me
23	that was supposed to belong to them, and spread out a little
24.	bit.
25	Q And would the inmates come to you every day?
26	A Not every day. But if they needed something,

I	if I didn't	have it, I could usually find a way to get it.
2	4	You were a very friendly person, weren't you?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And you liked to talk to people; right?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q	And you talked to people almost every day that
7	you were the	ere at Sybil Brand; right?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q	When you talk to people, do you talk fast or
10.	do you talk	slow?
11	A.	Fast.
12	Q	You are talking very slow today, aren't you?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q.	Is there some reason for that, Miss Walker?
15	A .	Yes, I am nervous.
16	Q.	Did you take some tranquilizers before you came
17	to court?	
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	When you are nervous, do you speak slowly?
20	A.	I just don't I guess I do because I am
21	nervous and	I am talking slow.
22	Q	When you are nervous, don't you usually talk
23	fast?	
24	MR. B	IGLIOSI: That is irrelevant, your Honor.
25	THE CO	OURT: Overruled.
26	THE W	ITNESS: Would, you repeat the question, you just

22

23

24

25

26

asked me?

recess.)

THE COURT: Well, we are going to take our recess at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess until 1:45.
(Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m. the court was in

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1970
2	1:45 P.M.
3 .	There were that 🔘 was happe man.
4	THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are
5	present.
6	You may continue, Mr. Fitzgerald.
7	MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, your Honor.
8	
9	cross-examination (continued)
10·	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
11	Q And would you have conversations with
12	various people in the jail, Miss Walker, in order simply to
13	pass the time?
14	A. Yes.
15	And was having conversations one of the ways in
16	which you made your time in jail a little more pleasant?
17	A. Yes.
18	And did you have numerous conversations with
19	Miss Susan Atkins?
20	A. Yes.
21	And did you talk about a variety of subjects?
22	A. Yes,
23	And would you frequently become involved in
. 24	discussions where she would take one side of an argument
25	and you would take another side of it?
26	A. Yes.

1	And is that what happened in regard to hearing
2	this thing on the radio about the clue in the Tate case?
3	A. Yes.
4	MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you.
5 .	Your Honor, I have no further questions.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn.
7	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
8	
9 .	cross-examination
10 .	BY MR. SHINN:
11	Q When did you get out of Sybil Brand?
12	A. November.
13	4 From there you went to this other jail?
14	A I went to the Ventura School For Girls.
15	Q That is Juvenile Hall?
16	A It is under the Youth Authority.
17	Q What?
18	A. It is under the Youth Authority.
19	Youth Authority. And how long did you stay
20	there?
21	A. Until February.
22	Now you are on parole, is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	And have you been convicted of a felony?
25	A. Yes,
26	Q When was this?

ľ	<u></u>	
1	Α.	This was during the time I was in Sybil Brand
2	in 169.	
3.	Q	And what was that for?
4	À.	Forgery.
5	Q.	Forgery of a prescription?
6	A.	Yes.
7		Of dangerous drugs?
8	A.	Yes.
9 _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
10	,	
}	•	
11:	,	
12	•	
13	•	
14	,	
15	. •	
16		
. 17		
18		•
. 19	•	
20		
21	,	
22	<u>.</u>	
23		
24		
25		
26		

and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of			· j
A No. Q Do you have another one? A Yes. Q When was that one? A At the same time I was convicted for the forgery of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Prive felonies? A Yes. And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of	16-1	ľ	Q That is the only felony conviction that you know
Q Do you have another one? A Yes. Q When was that one? A At the same time I was convicted for the forgery of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Q Five felonies? A Yes. Q And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since Isaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		2	of?
Mhen was that one? A At the same time I was convicted for the forgery of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Five felonies? A Yes. And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of	<u> </u>	3	A. No.
At the same time I was convicted for the forgery of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Five felonies? A Yes. And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		4	Q Do you have another one?
A At the same time I was convicted for the forgery of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Q Five felonies? A Yes. Q And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		5	A. Yes.
of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies. Grive felonies? A Yes. And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		6	Q When was that one?
9 Q Five felonies? A Yes. 11 Q And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		7	A. At the same time I was convicted for the forgery
A Yes. And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. A Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. A Yes. A Yes.		.8	of prescription, I was convicted of five felonies.
And do you know what these five felonies are? A Yes. What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		9	Q Five felonies?
A Yes. Q What are they? A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		10.	A. Yes.
A It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read some— thing about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of	• •	11	Q And do you know what these five felonies are?
A. It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read some— thing about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		12	A. Yes.
and a Grand Theft. Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. Q And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of	, <u></u> ,	13	Q What are they?
Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read something about the Tate case, did you not? A Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A Yes. A Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		14	A. It was three forgery, one forgery of prescription,
thing about the Tate case, did you not? A. Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A. Yes. A. Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		15	and a Grand Theft.
A. Yes. And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A. Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of	•	16	Q Now, since leaving Sybil Brand, you read some-
And you heard over the radio about this case, too; is that correct? About some of it? A. Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		17	thing about the Tate case, did you not?
too; is that correct? About some of it? A. Yes. And also on television, you saw some parts of		18	A. Yes.
A. Yes. Q And also on television, you saw some parts of	,	j 9	Q And you heard over the radio about this case,
Q And also on television, you saw some parts of		20	too; is that correct? About some of it?
		21	A. Yes.
	,	22	Q And also on television, you saw some parts of
23 this case on television, too; is that correct?	. ,	23	this case on television, too; is that correct?
A. No.		24	A No.
25 Q You never watched television since		25	Q You never watched television since
A I watched television, but if it should come on		26	A I watched television, but if it should come on

. 1	the TV, I just turned it off.
2	Q Well, was there a television set up in this
3'	Juvenile Hall?
4.	A. Yes.
5	And you used to be in a room, a television
6	room; is that right?
7	A. Yes.
8	And other girls would be watching it, too; is
9	that correct?
10 '	A. Yes,
1ļ	And if you saw something about the case come on,
12	you couldn't turn it off then, could you?
13,	A. No. But I could leave the room.
14	When I was up there, if I didn't care to watch -
15	if I watched TV, it was to watch a program like, well, we
16	watched The Courtship of Eddie's Father, things like that.
17	Then, when the news came on, you either had a
18	choice of going to your room or staying, and then you had to
19	watch the news.
, 20 - , ,	I used to go to my room when the news came on.
21	Q But when the news came on, you didn't know what
22	the news was going to be at first; is that right?
23	A. That's right.
24	Q And you didn't
25	A But being there, I didn't care to hear any
26	news.

j.	Q.	But you did see some portions of this case?
2.	A.	Well, I was always in my room during the news.
3 ·	Q	You would run to your room when the news came on
4	is that cor	rect?
5	A.	Not run to it. Just where I was going. I
6	didn't care	to watch the news.
7	.G	And you discussed this case with other people
8	in Juvenile	Hall; is that correct? Parts of it, I mean?
·9	A.	One other person.
0		Well, I didn't discuss the case. I discussed
Ţ	that I knew	Susan Atkins, I stated to one of the counsellors
2:	there.	
3	d	But with other inmates you talked about it?
4	A.	No.
5.	, Q	Well, you heard other inmates talk about it,
6	then, withou	ut you joining in the conversation; isn*t that
Ż,	correct?	
8	. A.	Yes.
9	Q	And you read magazines, papers, about this case?
0	A.	One time I read something. It was pertaining
ı	to Miss Atk	ins
2	. 4	Then you read magazines, too?
3	A	I just saw her picture in the paper.
4	,	No, not magazines.
5	Q.	Now, when you met Miss Atkins at Sybil Brand,
,	you had oth	er pals, too, didn't you?

	-	
ļ	A.	Yes.
2 .	Q.	You didn't concentrate your attention on Miss
3	Atkins, did	you?
4.	A.	No. I had other associates in there.
5	Q.	In other words, you very seldom talked to
. 6	Miss Atkins	is that correct?
7	A.	I didn't talk to her no less or no more than
.8	I convers	sed with a lot of people in there. I was
9	friendly to	a lot of people in there.
10	Q	And I believe you said there were about 60
11	people, I me	an 60 inmates in your cell block?
12	A.	Yes.
13	9	Your dormitory?
14	A.	Yes.
15	9	And you spent about, what? Six months in that
16	cell block,	that dormitory, the total time you spent there?
17	Å.	Not that long, but almost.
18	٩	Almost five or six months?
Ì9.	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And during this time you talked to 60
21	people, appr	coximately 60, 70 people?
22	A.	Mostly everybody in the dorm knew my name. I
23 -	didn't know	everybody's name, but I knew most of the people
24	there.	
25	, Q	Because you were selling some cosmetics or
26	something?	

			•	•				<i>‡</i>	. ~,		₁ '.		1
ŀ		A.	Yes.	C1	garet	ttes.		. '5					
2		Q	And	they	all	came	to	you?				•	
3	•	A,	Yes.		`,	• .		•					}
4	,		•				,						
								,					
5	٠.				• .				•				
6				•				,*					
7	, •	•											ŀ
8	* .							•			•		
, 9 °		•	,					•		•			
10	1	•								,		,	
11	٠		•			•	•	4				,	
12		•		•				:					
13					,			•					
14					,						-		
15													
16	<u> </u>					•	•		•		•		
17					•	•						•	1
					•		•					•	
. 8Ţ					•			•					1
Ì9-					*				•				-
20	+	•			,			-					
21		•	, •		•				•				
22													.
23			•			,	,						
. 24		•											
25		,	,				:	•					
26						•	•				69		ŀ
		•											-

17

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

	j~-		
17-1	1.	Q	And so you had many conversations with many
	2	inmates, is	that correct?
	3	A	Yes.
,	4	Q	And also you heard other people discuss this
•	. 5	case, withou	ut you participating, I mean?
•	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	You overheard group discussions of this Tate
•	8	case?	
•	9	A	Yes
	10	~ Q	And in fact some of these discussions you were
	11	involved in	, is that correct?
	12	A	No.
	13	Q.	In a small way?
	14	. A	No.
	15	Q.	Did you just listen then?
	16	A	Yes, because I could not shut it out, unless
,	17	I could for	ce myself to go to sleep.
	18	Q	There is a television set at the Sybil Brand
	19	Institute,	correct?
	20:	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Yes.
	.21·	Q	Where you could watch television?
. •	22	A	Yes.
	23	·Q	And there are newspapers?
÷	24	A	No, there is no newspapers.
,	25	Q	There is no newspapers there?
٠.,	26	A .	No.

17-2	Ţ	Q	Are they available there?
l	2	·	Yes.
, .	3	Q	You could buy newspapers there?
	4	A	Yes.
•	5	Q	And there's magazines?
	.6	`. A.	Yes.
٠.	7	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Movie magazines, Life magazines, Look magazines?
	8	· A.	No, there's magazines but I think they are in
	9	the infirma	cy.
	10	ę,	But you read newspapers, magazines?
	11 .	À	I did not read the paper. I never bought the
٠,	12	paper at Syl	oil Brand.
· , · ;	13		There was papers available.
).	14	ନ	You saw them laying on the table, did you not?
	15	A	Yes, if I picked a paper up to read it, it
	16	was to read	one certain thing and
· ·	17	. Q	When the news of this case came out on the
•	18	radio there	was a lot of talk about it in the Dormitory,
	19	was there n	ot?
•	Ž0	A.	Yes.
• .	21	Q	It was the main topic for a while there, is
·	22	that correc	t?
	23	A	Yes.
	24	Q	Everybody was talking about this case?
	25	· A.	Yes.
•	26	Q	You overheard a lot of things said about the

ŀ	case?
2	A Yes.
3	Q People talking, I mean?
4	A Yes.
5	Q You heard about this case over the radio, too,
Ģ	is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Many many times?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And did you watch TV while you were at Sybil
11	Brand?
12	A A few times.
13	Q You say a few times.
1 4	What do you mean, five, six, seven times?
15	A Just a few they had a special program on
16	I would like to watch.
17	Q You watched the news sometimes, did you not?
18	A Not if I could help it.
19	Q But you did watch the news sometimes?
20	A No.
21	When I watched TV it was to watch this one
22	program. It was about maybe five or six times. It was
23	a teenage program.
24	Q And you had many conversations with Miss
25	Atkins, correct?
26	A Yes.

17-3

<i>1</i> 67	Appar many subjects:
A	Yes.
କ କ	How many conversations would you say you had
with Miss A	tkins approximately?
A	I don't know. We just talked we have
talked a lo	t.
$\mathbf{Q}^{'}$	Now, besides talking about the Tate matter,
do you reca	11 talking about any other topics or subjects?
. Д	Yes.
Q.	What other topics or subjects?
A	I talked to her about the things that, like
my daughter	, I told her I had a little daughter you know.
	And I talked to her about my husband.
•	And just, you know, just somebody to talk to.
Q	Well, it was just casual conversation most of
the time, i	s that correct?
A	Yes.
·Q.	And you did not pick her out or she did not
pick you ou	t for a special friend, is that correct?
· A	Well, I was everybody's friend.
. Q	That is what I mean.
•	In other words, you had no special palsy-walsy
in there, d	id you? Everyone was your friend?
A.	There was just some girls that I liked a
little more	than I did others.
Q	That is not including Miss Atkins, is it?
• .	

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(No response.)
2		Is that correct?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Now, you said you had this conversation with
5	Miss Atkins	about the Tate case.
6		Now, do you recall what month that was?
7	A	No.
8	୍କ ପ୍ ର	You don't recall the month?
9	A	No.
jo	Q.	Do you recall what day it was?
11	A.	No.
12	Q	Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, you don't recall?
17a fla. ₁₃	· A	No.
14		
15		•
, 16		
17		
18		
19		
. 20		
21		
22		
23		
.24		
25	-	
26		

Would it be fair to state that since you were in 17A 1 Sybil Brand four or five months, most of those events that 2 occurred in Sybil Brand you have forgotten? À. Yes. Is that correct? 5 Q A. Yes. 6 Œ In other words, you have to think back what happened at Sybil Brand. Your memory is kind of foggy, 8 is that correct? 10 Yes. Now, when Miss Atkins discussed this Tate matter IJ with you back in Sybil Brand, you did not tell anyone about 12 13. it at that time, did you? 14 A. No. 15 It was just a casual discussion, a debate? Q 16 A. Yes, 17. You took one side of the debate and she took the other side of the debate, is that correct? 18 19 Ai Yes. Now, I believe you talked to Mr. Bugliosi? Q. 20 A. Yes. 21The District Attorney here? 22 a A. Yes. 23 You talked to him probably four or five times? 24 Q

25

26

À

Q

Yes.

In the past?

A,	Yes.
Q;	And that was before coming to court and you
talked to	him for approximately, you said, five or ten
minutes e	ach time?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Is that correct?
0	And while you were talking to Mr. Bugliosi
people car	me in and out of the office?
A .]	Yes.
٠ . م	And it was interrupted?
A	Yes
Q	And in fact you did not have a very long
conversat:	ion at any time with Mr. Bugliosi, is that
correct?	
A	Yes.
Q.	Now, do you recall anything you said, or the
conversat:	ion you had with Mr. Bugliosi the first time you
saw Mr. Bi	ugliosi?
Ά.	No.
Q	You don't recall?
A.	No, nothing comes back to memory.
Q	It's a blank, is that correct?
A.	Yes.
କ ୍	Now, you saw Mr. Bugliosi again about what,
a week la	ter or two weeks later?

I,	A. Uh-huh, yes.
2	Q And your conversations lasted about five
3	minutes again?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q You were interrupted again; I mean Mr. Bugliosi
6	was interrupted.
7	People came in and out of his office?
§ .	A. Yes.
9	Q And do you recall what you talked about at that
10	time this is the second time.
11	A No, I want to go back to the first time,
ŢŻ	The first time I seen him the questions he
13	asked me were about his investigators, I guess, the
14	District Attorney investigators that came out to see me
15	at the group home, he asked me questions like they did.
16	9 But you don't recall the conversation you had
.17	with Mr. Bugliosi, do you, the first time you talked to him?
. 18	A Well, I mean it was like a repeated scene to
19	me.
20.	He asked me just about the same kind of questions
21:	that they asked me.
22	Q Would you say that Mr. Bugliosi did most of the
.23.	talking when you first met him?
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is ambiguous and irrelevant,
25	THE COURT: It is ambiguous. Sustained.
26	Q BY MR. SHINN: In your first conversation with

18-1	1
	2
, •	È
	4
	5
•	6
	7
•	8
	9
	,10
	11
• .	12
	13
	14
•	15
	16
,	1 ,7
f` •	18
	19
	20
•	21

99

24

25

26

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

MR. SHINN: Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: No. Would you please ask me again?

BY MR. SHINN:

Q When Mr. Bugliosi talked to you, would it be fair to state that most of your answers to his questions were either yes or no?

A Yes, it would be, yes.

Q I mean, in other words, he asked you questions, and all you said was "Yes, that is true," and "No, that is not true," yes and no; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you don't recall the first conversation that you had with Mr. Bugliosi, the topic of the conversation; is that correct?

A Well, he just asked me about what the two other men came to see me, you know, he asked me about the questions that they asked me about; and he asked me if it surprised me that those people came out.

Q And that is all you remember of the first conversation with Mr. Bugliosi?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

Now, directing your attention to the second time you saw Mr. Bugliosi.

1	•	Do you recall what you talked about at that	
2	time?		
3	A	I am trying to remember.	
4	Q	If you don't remember, you can say "I don't	
5	remember."	, 	
6	A	I don't remember right now.	
7	. Q.	Okay.	
8		Now, the third time that you saw Mr. Bugliosi.	
ġ		Do you recall the conversation you had with	
,1 0	him?		
Ţ1	.A ,.	I don't remember.	
12	. Q	You don't recall?	
13	A,	I don't remember.	
14	Q	Your mind is a blank?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	How about the first time you talked to Mr.	
17	Bugliosi?		
18	A.	The only	
19	Q	I am speaking of the fourth time now that you	
′20	talked to Mr. Bugliosi.		
21	A	I don't remember.	
22	Q	It is pretty hard for you to remember; correct?	
23	A .	Yes.	
24	Q	Now, the fifth time that you talked to Mr.	
25	Bugliosi.		
26		Do you recall what you talked about in his	

	1		1 4
	ĭ	Ą	Where?
,	2	. Q	Yes, where?
	.3	A .	Upstairs downstairs in this building.
	4	Q	In his office?
٠.	5	A	Yes.
₹	6	Q	Was anyone else present?
	. 7	, A.	Yes.
	.8	· Q	Who else was present?
	· '9	Ã.	I don't know who the lady was. There was a
18a	fls10	lady there,	and I had my little girl there.
	11		
٠.	12	,	
***	13		
) .	14	·	
•	15		
	16		
	17		
•	18		•
	19		
	.20		
	21		
	22		
K	23		
•	24		
	25.		•
,	26		
		P .	•

3a

MR. SHINN: Q During this conversation that you had 1 with Mr. Bugliosi this morning, who did most of the talking, you or Mr. Bugliosi? 3 MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant. We may have been 4 talking about the weather, your Honor. . 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 MR. SHINN: Q Did you talk about your testifying to-Ż day in court? 8 Yes. 9. What did Mr. Bugliosi say about that? 10 He told me not to be nervous, and he told me not 11 to be afraid, and that he would make it as short as possible 12 because he knows I don't want to be up here. 13 Mostly he was trying to reassure me not to be 14 afraid or be nervous. 15 Did he show you any documents, a piece of 16 17 paper? No. 18 Now, getting back to Sybil Brand, to while you 19 were at Sybil Brand. 20 Would it be fair to state that since you heard 21 a lot of conversation about this case from different people · 22 and different girls in your dormitory, it is very difficult, 23 is it not, for you, at the present time, to actually say 24 what Miss Atkins said and what someone else said? 25 **2**6. MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant, unless he is

1	talking about the conversation she has already testified to,
2	your Honor,
3	MR. SHINN: This is for impeachment purposes, your
4	Honor.
5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Whether she remembers another
6	conversation is too remote and it is irrelevant.
7	THE COURT: The question is ambiguous, Mr. Shinn.
8	The objection is sustained.
9	MR. SHINN: Q You heard other persons in your dormi-
1 0	tory talk about the Tate case; correct?
ŢŢ.	A. Yes.
i 2	Q And you heard the radio, too, about the Tate
13	case?
14 .	A. Yes.
15	And you heard Miss Atkins talk to you or she
16	debated with you about the Tate case; correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q Now, would it be fair to state that some of the
Ì9	things that you recall now, or you forgot now, you are
20	confused as to who said what?
21	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is an ambiguous question, your
22 .	Honor, It is also compound.
23	THE COURT: Doyou understand the question?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25 .	MR. BUGLIOSI: How can she remember something that she
26	has forgotten, your Honor?

1	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	You may answer.
3	Do you have the question in mind?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes. Was my state of mind confused?
5	Would you ask the question again?
6	MR. SHINN: Q Well, my question was whether or not
7	you may be confused as to what Miss Atkins said and what
8	other people said about the Tate case.
ġ.	A. Yes.
10	Q In other words, you are confused whether she
įi '	said it or someone else said it?
12 .	A. I am not confused about what she said, the
13.	debate she and I had. I am not confused as to what side I
14	was on.
15 ⁵	Q But you had a debate with other people, too;
16,	correct?
17	À. No.
·Ì8	Q You had no debates with other people while you
19	were there for five or six months?
20	A. No.
21	Q Do you recall what other topics Miss Atkins
22	talked to you about besides the Tate case?
23	A. She was reading a book. Maybe she would tell me
24	about different things in the book. She used to read
25	different books and she would tell me about the books she
26	was reading.

22

23

24

25

26

. ପୃ	Would	it be	fair	to state	e that	what	has	
happened in	Sybil	Brand	durin	g your	stay ti	ierė,	the	
events and	the cor	iversa	tion,	and all	that,	it's	kind	of
hazy now, i	s that	corre	ct?					,

A Yes.

Q You are not sure of what exactly happened?

A Oh, I know what happened, there's things I would like to forget.

Q But it's hazy in your mind now, is that correct?

A Some things are, yes.

Q Now after talking to Mr. Bugliosi did he ever show you any notes that he took, if any?

A No.

Q Did you talk to any police officers besides Mr. Bugliosi, the District Attorney?

A Yes, I think they were police officers or investigating officers.

Q Where and when did you talk to police officers concerning this case?

A The first time I was in Los Angeles where I was living.

Q Did you make a statement to them at that time about this case?

A Yes.

Q Did you ever see those notes again that the

police took?

A No, really the information -- they asked me my name, and when I told him my name he would write my name down, you know.

- Q What else did you tell them?
- A I told them that -- where I'd been incarcerated.

 Really, I answered questions that they asked

 me.

The first thing I told them was "I don't want to talk to you."

That's the very first thing I told them.

- Q Now, while you talked with the police or the District Attorney, did they mention anything about your parole?
 - A No.
- Q They never said to you "Maybe we can help you with your parole"?
 - A No.
 - Q You don't recall that conversation?
 - A No.
 - Q Have you taken any drugs in the past?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What kind of drugs?
 - MR. BUGLIOSI: 'It's irrelevant.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.

25

23

1	BY MR. SHINN:								
2	Q Do	drugs affect your memory?							
3	A No.	,							
4,	Q Doe	es it affect your sight?							
5 .	A Not	that I know of. I am not a doctor but							
6	I don't have to	couble with my eyes.							
7	Q And	i it does not affect your memory?							
8	A No.	•							
9	Q Doe	es it affect your actions? Does it make you							
10	move faster or	slower?							
įı	A Ye	3.							
12 .	Q Doc	es it dull your mind?							
13	A No	•							
14	MR. SHIN	I have nothing further.							
15	THE COUR	I: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek?							
16	MR. KANA	REK: In view of the fact that the Court							
17	has ordered th	at this be accepted only as to Susan							
18	Atkins, your H	onor, I have no questions.							
19	THE COUR	T: Mr. Hughes?							
20	MR. HUGH	ES: No questions, thank you.							
21	THE COUR	T: Redirect?							
2 2	MR. BUGL	IOSI: Very briefly, your Honor.							
23									
24		REDIRECT EXAMINATION							
25	BY MR. BUGLIOS	I:							

Roseanne, you testified you might be confused

between what Susan told you and what someone else told ľ you. 2 Do you remember testifying that way about 3. three or four minutes ago? No. I don't remember the question being put 5 to me that way. 6 All right, apart from that, let me ask you 7 once again: 8. Did Susan say to you: "That ain't the way it 9 went down" after the broadcast on the Tate-La Bianca 10 11 murder? She did not say it directly to me. She said Α 12 it --13 "That ain't the way it went down"? Q 14 Yes, it wasn't said directly to me, it wasn't A 15 said directly to anybody. 16 But you heard her say that? Q 17 A. Yes. 18 You are not confusing her with anyone else? Ì9 A No. 20 And was it Susan you heard the conversation 21 from concerning the glasses? 22 A Yes. 23 You are not confusing Susan with somebody Q 24. else? 25 A No. 26

	,		MR.	BUGLIO	SI:	Thank	you.		_		
<u> </u>	2	:	THE	COURT:	Any	thing	furt	her?	· · ·		
•	3	,	MR.	FIŢZGEI	RALD:	No,	your	Honor,	thank	you.	
19a fls.	4	•	THE	COURT:	You	may	step	down.		· · · · · ·	
	5	,•			`*			·	`		
•	6								ā .		
٠.	7							,	,		
,	8	٠.			. '				•	• ,	
; ;	9										
	10 11		•				,				,
•	12							•			
	13										
•	14						•				
· .	15	٠,		•						•	
	16	,									
	17	,					,				,
	Ĭ8					,					. 1
	19 20										
	21:		•					. •			
	22		7								
	23		•		,	•					
	.24										.,
	25		,								,
	26							•			

2

1

3

5

6 7

·

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

Ĭ,ð

20

22

23 24

25

Ż6 ·

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, may we approach the bench on the next witness?

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Two points. The first point on this particular tape, the police had a conversation with Dianne Lake in which she related what allegedly Leslie Van Houten told her about the La Bianca murders.

I have been told this is four hours, and it would take eight hours of typing time for each particular hour.

There are a total of about 32 hours. We were planning on calling Dianne Lake to the stand Monday.

I have heard the tape. The defense has been given a copy of the tape. There is only about a paragraph and a half on Leslie Van Houten's involvement in the La Bianca murders.

It's a very, very brief reference. I am wondering if the Court wants that.

If the Court does want it we will have to get down and start typing right now.

MR. HUGHES: I disagree. I heard the tape. I could not subscribe to that representation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is only a paragraph on her involvement in the La Bianca murders.

MR. HUGHES: I could not subscribe to that, number 1 one: Plus that is/the only tape recording. You check on January 14th, the officer Gutierrez -- excuse me, Officer Sartucci and I believe 5 Sergeant Nielsen went out to Patton State Hospital and ŏ interviewed her out there. 7 That tape has never been made available to me. ĸ MR. BUGLIOSI: I agree, but the one where she 9 relates --10 MR. HUGHES: Plus there was a tape recording of 11 November 25th, 1969 with Sergeant Nielsen and at this 12 moment I am in the process of having parts of that 13 transcribed myself. 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have given every tape that I have 15 to Mr. Hughes. 16 MR. HUGHES: Granted. 17 MR. BUGLIOSI: You have what, three or four of them? 18 Now, I didn't even have them typed up for myself. 19 The only thing I had typed up was a large 20 paragraph and a half about the La Bianca murders. 21 MR. FITZGERALD: Maybe I can put this in somewhat of a 22 perspective for you, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I wish someone would. .24 MR. FITZGERALD: Dianne Lake is a witness that is 25 going to be called by the prosecution, a young girl 18 * 26

years of age who was arrested in the desert of Inyo County along with most of the other defendants in early October, 1969.

She was made a ward of the Juvenile Court in Inyo County.

Subsequently she was transferred to the Patton State Hospital, and she remained in Patton State Hospital until approximately August 28th of this year when she was placed in a foster home situation.

Now, she has been interviewed by representatives of law enforcement in connection with her connection with the so-called Manson Family on a number of occasions, I would say perhaps 10 or 12 different occasions.

Now, this is a statement that involves the Aranda-Bruton problems, what Mr. Hughes is referring to.

Your Honor has shown a consistent interest in the totality of the witnesses! statements. I think Mr. Hughes is simply trying to point out she made a number of statements, and if your Honor is going to be presented with the total of what she has to say, there are some problems.

MR. KANAREK: Plus the fact, your Honor, the information that we have is that she actually was committed:

MR. FITZGERALD: That is an entirely different problem.

Ì8

19b-1

2

1

3

4· 5

6.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: There is no foundation possible.

MR. FITZGERALD: In that connection I have about a ten-page motion myself with Mr. Hughes; it is prepared; it is in writing.

At the time she was going to be sworn as a witness we were going to file it. If it is convenient for the Court we will file it at this time.

THE COURT: Relating to her competency?

MR. FITZGERALD: Relating to her competency. It is a long motion set out with voluminous declarations, references to her incarceration.

THE COURT: She is going to be the next witness.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No, Monday.

MR. FITZGERALD: But if your Honor would like to review the material we have, we will file it.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Before you make any decision with respect to this paragraph and a half, maybe it's two paragraphs, we would ask you to look at that and determine if there are any Aranda problems -- and there are.

We can look at that; we don't need anything more really for the Aranda problem.

Now, Mr. Hughes has all the tapes. If he can find something else, your Honor, in any of these other tapes which he feels are favorable or helpful to his client, I would say the burden is upon him, it is not an

Ŀ

.

3

4

· 5

6.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Aranda problem for the Court.

The burden is upon him to come forward and point out to the Court this particular other reference in one of the tapes that is favorable to his client.

I don't think that the editing problem would require that we have all of these tapes typed up.

THE COURT: Well, of course that is your side of the story, Mr. Bugliosi, and I understand.

I am not criticizing you for that.

But it may very well be that there are matters contained in the other tapes that are impeaching, for example, or at least --

MR. BUGLIOSI: I agree.

THE COURT: -- or at least if the defendant intended to bring it in by way of impeaching testimony, it would implicate others.

I have to see the entire statements this witness made in order to know whether any portion of them create Bruton-Aranda problems, and whether or not these problems can be solved by effective deletion.

There is no other way to do it. I cannot wait until the statements are in, and Mr. Hughes or someone else goes in on cross-examination.

I would have to foreclose their cross-examination because there are matters there that implicate the co-defendants, and the statements are already in.

1 advance. - 2 3 4 5 6 7 ٠8. ġ read. 10 and hours and hours. 11 12 13 14 15 16 of Juan Flynn --17. MR. BUGLIOSI: **18**° 19 20 21 22 23 24 25. MR. BUGLIOSI: 26

These things have to be known in

Once that witness takes the stand to testify,
I have to know everything that she said to anybody regarding
her conversations with a defendant.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is going to be a monumental task then, I imagine there might be a couple of hundred pages; there might be a couple of hundred pages for the Court to read.

I have not had them typed up, but they arehours and hours and hours.

THE COURT: Believe me, I am not anxious to do anything that increases the burden of the trial.

On the other hand I don't see any other solution.

MR. KANAREK: Sort of parenthetically, Mr. Bugliosi
was representing that he was also going to have the tapes
of Juan Flynn --

MR. BUGLIOSI: I never said that. I did not type it up for myself. I don't have it.

THE COURT: I don't see any other solution, Mr. Bugliosi. I think that has to be done and I think we should go on with other witnesses while it is being done.

Mr. HUGHES: On a couple of occasions I have asked Mr. Bugliosi for the tapes of January 14th.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I opened up my tubs to Mr. Hughes. He looked in there and he took everything that I have.

23

24

25

26

That is all I can give him, and I sent them over to the Los Angeles Police Department.

MR. HUGHES: I have evidence that the Los Angeles
Police Department has tapes of January 14th, of a January
14th conversation with her that I have never been able to
get, a conversation with Diane Lake.

THE COURT: That's all right, gentlemen, we are not here for a discovery proceeding.

Let's take one step at a time.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The only thing I can think of, your Honor, she definitely won't be able to testify Monday, and I will just have to get several people working probably for a week.

THE COURT: You have other witnesses?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Oh, yes, I will have to bring other witnesses in.

Now, the next witness, here, creates a very interesting problem.

He is a Father. I think perhaps the Court could look at the statement here and read it.

It depends how the Court wants to proceed.

It is Father Ryan. There's never been a lineup; he was shown some photographs of Manson in San Diego and apparently he picked out a picture of Charles Manson.

Apparently he was the Father at a church, Our Mother of Good Counsel Church, 2060 North Vermont,

which is only about 12 blocks from the La Bianca residence, July 6, 1969, he recalls a conversation with a man who came to the door who fits the description of Manson, and who told the Father that he was Jesus Christ.

And the Father told me that he recognized the man was an imposter.

20-1

1

2

4

5

6.

. 7

.8 9

10.

11

12

13 14

15.

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

He shut the door in the man's face.

Now, the position that I would take would be that there is no sense to having him testify to any of this if he can't identify Mr. Manson.

I would like to call him to the stand and have Mr. Manson approach him.

He says Mr. Manson's hair at the time that he saw him was long. It is not like it is right now. It was long.

THE COURT: I think we had better go in to chambers. We can't try this case here at the bench. We have a courtroom full of people and the jury in the box and everyone
present, and apparently we have some preliminary matters
here that require some open discussion rather than a
whispered conference at the bench.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

THE COURT: I think we'd better recess for a few minutes and take up these matters, if he is going to be your next witness.

MR. FITZGERALD: In regard to the witness mentioned earlier, Dianne Lake, I said that I would file some documents. I have now filed them, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Give it to the clerk.

MR. FITZGERALD: I did give it to the clerk.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and

1

hearing of the jury:)

2

3

ł

5

6 7

0

9

0Ė

11

12

13

14[.] 15

16

17

18

19

20:

21 22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take a recess at this time. There are some matters that I have to take up with counsel in chambers, and we will resume as soon as possible.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

(The following proceedings occur in court.
All counsel present. Defendants absent.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you have a copy of the statement of the Father?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Let's give it to the Court and let the Court read it to get an idea of what he will testify to.

(A document is handed to the Court.)

(Pause while the Court reads.)

THE COURT: What is the relevancy of it?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, there is --

THE COURT: Even assuming it to be true.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Again, your Honor, I think it is little more than a speck of circumstantial evidence, but at least it is a speck.

Number one, we have Manson saying that he is Jesus Christ.

Number two, we place Manson very close to the

La Bianca residence around the time of the murders. MR. HUGHES: How close? 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, it says here --3 THE COURT: What is the address of the church? 4 MR. BUGLIOSI: 2060 North Vermont. •5 THE COURT: What was the La Bianca address? ٠6 MR. FITZGERALD: 3301 Waverly Drive. 7 2060 North Vermont is approximately at the 8 9 intersection of Franklin and Vermont. 10 3301 Waverly Drive is approximately --THE COURT: A mile and a half about, isn't it? 11 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I was going to say approximately 12 13. two miles. Anywhere between a mile and a half and two miles. 14 15 MR. BUGLIOSI: Those are very short blocks up there, very short blocks. 16 17 18 Íġ 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

20a

20a

1

2

4

5

7

8

9 10

14

12

13⁻ 14

15

16

17

IŞ

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

THE COURT: Well, the miles are the same length.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is like the guy that is working long hours, you know, there are 63 minutes or something in an hour.

MR. FITZGERALD: It is simply that I happen to live in the area and I am more familiar with it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is the area that you live?
MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: I lived in that area, and I agree, it is somewhere between a mile and a half and two miles.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I will draw your attention to the fact that on the night of the La Bianca murders we have Linda Kasabian's testimony that he knocked on the door of a church in Pasadena, and the unmistakable inference is that he intended to kill the priest.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, Mr. Buglios1.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right, no. He was going to ask about a rosary. Do you want me to stipulate with you?

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Fitzgerald lives in that area. Maybe he can tell you.

MR. HUGHES: We would ask that the defendants be present, especially if there is going to be some in-chambers identification.

THE COURT: What is that?

MR. HUGHES: I believe we asked one of the marshalls or one of the bailiffs to have the defendants present in

chambers, especially if there were going to be some in-1 chambers identification by this priest. We were discussing it outside. 3. MR. FITZGERALD: Apparently the priest is not going to be present in chambers. .5 THE COURT: Not as far as I am concerned he isn't. 6 What was the address on Waverly Drive? 7 MR. FITZGERALD: 3301. 8 ્છે Itis near the intersection of Waverly Drive and 10 Hyperion. MR. KANAREK: Right. \mathbf{H} THE COURT: I am just trying to find it on my map. 12 13: Yes. I see it. Well, it is a considerable distance from 14. 15 Vermont. MR. BUGLIOSI; The investigator here says a half a 16. <u>1</u>7. mile. 18. MR. FITZGERALD: No. no. THE COURT: He is wrong. I know that without even 19. looking at the map. I know the area generally. It is more than a half a mile to Hillhurst, 21 22 and Hillhurst is a good half mile from Vermont. MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, from Vermont and Franklin, a 23 good half mile. 24 MR. KANAREK: Then we have the Wade and Gilbert . 25 26 problem, your Holor.

THE COURT: What was the procedure used with respect to the priest? Did he make the identification before he was shown photographs? Did he make it from a picture in the newspaper?

MR. BUGLIOSI: He tells me that he saw Mr. Manson's photograph in the newspaper, and after he saw the picture in the newspaper, he gave at least one sermon — I think he said two — but he was talking to his parishioners about "Where is Jesus Christ?" and he mentioned Charles Manson's name in his sermon, that Charles Manson came to his door and said. "I am Jesus Christ."

This was before he was even contacted by the police.

Apparently a parishioner called the LAPD and told them about the sermon.

So, Sergeant Sartucci went down to San Diego and showed him the photographs, and apparently he picked out the photograph of Manson.

But, apart from that, as I say, it was his opinion that this was Charles Manson even before he met Sergeant Sartucci.

20b-1

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

. 8.

1Ò-

11 12

. 13.

14°

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

THE COURT: What was the date of Mr. Manson's appearance at the church?

MR. BUGLIOSI: In the report here, he told Sartucci it was late July or early August.

He just told me recently it could have been July 6th.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, there is an aspect of freedom of religion to this and freedom of speech.

Free speech, your Honor.

I was going down the freeway and there was a man with a swastika selling a magazine called --

THE COURT: What does that have to do with this?

MR. KANAREK: -- White Power.

We do have it in our country, your Honor. We are proud of it.

THE COURT: We have a number of other provisions in our Constitution too, but what does that have to do with this?

MR. KANAREK: I am saying, first of all, your Honor-THE COURT: No one is denying Mr. Manson the right
to say he is Jesus Christ.

MR. KANAREK: But I am saying that type of right is actually being fettered by what Mr. Bugliosi is doing in this case.

I would be scared to talk about certain things for fear I may end up as a defendant in a murder case.

205-2

3.

8

THE COURT: Let's not waste time.

I really don't see how you, in good faith, can make an argument like that with a straight face.

MR. KANAREK: I make it in the utmost of good faith, your Honor.

I believe that there are some things, your Honor, human beings talk to each other, there are certain things, there is a certain distribution curve to all of our characters, and some of us talk about some unusual things.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There is a certain amount of humor involved here because the priest said, with a straight look on his face, he told me "When Mr. Manson told me he was Jesus Christ, I knew he was an imposter," he said, and he closed the door on him.

MR. KANAREK: I bet the original Jesus Christ got the same kind of reaction.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The second crucifixion up here; is that right?

THE COURT: I have a difficult time seeing what the relevancy of this evidence is to the issues in this case.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Admittedly, your Honor, it is not powerful circumstantial evidence, but the speck of evidence is that he is in the vicinity.

After all, geographically, Los Angeles is probably the largest city in the entire nation. He is in

25.

20c fls.

the vicinity of the La Bianca residence about a month before the murders. He is saying "I am Jesus Christ." Which, alone, would seem that we could call the witness alone to the stand to say that he had a conversation with Manson and he said, "I am Jesus Christ."

I think that, alone, apart from when and where it took place, has some relevance that this man thinks he is Jesus Christ.

20c

Į 2

3

5

6

.7

9 ' 10

Į1

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

THE GOURT: Why? That is the point that I'd like to hear from you.

Why does it have relevance if he made that statement?

MR. BUGLIOSI: The relevance goes toward many issues. It goes toward his power, his charisma, his domination over the Family,

The defense is making a big issue out of the fact that Linda Kasabian thought that Charles Manson was Jesus Christ. Maybe one reason why she thought that he was Jesus Christ is because he said so.

THE COURT: It is one thing for a defendant in a case to believe, or an accomplice, or a member of the Family so-called, to believe that Mr. Manson is Jesus Christ. That is one thing. But for him to go tell a stranger that he is Jesus Christ would seem to have no relevance, to my mind.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But he told other people that he was Jesus Christ, too.

THE COURT: All right, perhaps he did, and perhaps you should put on that evidence if you have it. What we are talking about now is assuming an identification can be made -- which is another matter -- it just wouldn't seem to have any relevance.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, he is showing an animosity toward the priest.

THE COURT: How? T MR. BUGLIOSI: How? 2 THE COURT: How does it show that? 3 And even if that is true, why is that relevant? 4 MR. BUGLIOSI: Again, on the night of the La Bianca 5 murders, he intended to kill the priest at the church, or б whoever was inside the church in Pasadena. 7 THE COURT: I don't know about that, 8 .9 MR. BUGLIOSI: We put on evidence of that, that they were out looking for people to kill. Linda Kasabian 10 11. testified to that. 12 THE COURT: Are you talking about the statements of Linda Kasabian? 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. 14 15 And that they stopped at some church in 16 Pasadena. 17 THE COURT: That is another matter. 18 MR. BUGLIOSI: That Mr. Manson got out of the car and knocked on the door -- or I don't know if he knocked on the 19 20 door, but he came back to the car and said that the door was locked. 21 22 So, he has this animosity toward established 23 religion. THE COURT: I think all you do is create a host of 24 problems with no probative value whatever. 25 26 My present feeling is it is completely

irrelevant.

2

3

5

6

. . 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25:

MR. KANAREK: With Halloween coming up, Mr. Bugliosi may be able to get some defendants and witnesses, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't go outside when the Goblins are out, Irving. I might bump into you.

THE COURT: I just don't see it, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right, your Honor.

MR. SHINN: He tried anyway.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I wanted to get into the record, though, about the imposter bit.

MR. HUGHES: I believe the other defendants don't have any objection to his appearance.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He told me he knew he was an imposter and he closed the door on him.

MR. KANAREK: The same thing happened on the original Christmas Eve, Mr. Bugliosi. The door was closed at the inn, as I recall, something like that, and Christ had to go somewhere else to be born, as I recall.

MR. FITZGERALD: Now you have got the story straight, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. KANAREK: Isn't that true? He was refused admittance, his mother?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Were you there, Charlie?

MR. KANAREK: Are you deprecating that story?

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen. Anything else?

26

Do you have another witness you can call? I. MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, Harold True. THE CLERK: What is the name of the priest? MR. BUGLIOSI: Father David H. Ryan, R-y-a-n. MR. HUGHES: May we have a short recess, your Honor? THE COURT: We will take a 15-minute recess and then we will resume with the jury. (Recess.) Ì8

21-1

·

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court outside the presence of the defendants and the jury:)

THE COURT: All counsel are present. I wanted to talk to you briefly, gentlemen, about this motion for an evidentiary hearing to determine the capacity of the witness Diame Lake to testify which was filed today by Mr. Fitzgerald, Mr. Hughes -- I guess by all counsel.

MR. FITZGERALD: All of them. I put all their names on there so it could be joint.

THE COURT: All of the defendants' counsel.

MR. FITZGERALD: Particularly myself and Hughes.

THE COURT: I wanted to determine if possible whether or not it will be necessary or desirable for the Court to appoint a psychiatrist to examine her, and if so I wanted to do it and have the examination as soon as possible so there won't be any unnecessary delay.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I haven't read the motion yet, your Honor, but of course the Court knows better than I that the mere fact the defense has made the motion is not an automatic reason for having her examined.

THE COURT: That is true, but there are some things raised in here which, in Mr. Fitzgerald's declaration, which might call into question the capacity and ability of this witness to comprehend events, facts, conversation and so forth, entirely apart from the question of whether or

21-2

2

1.

3

4

5

6

Ż

8

ð.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20:

21

22

23

24 25

26

not she is competent to testify under 701 of the Evidence Code.

In other words, the same type of thing that was raised in connection with the young Michael Hendricks, and these appear to be substantial matters.

He quotes, Mr. Fitzgerald quotes in his declaration from allegations made by the conservator for Diane Lake.

He talks/a diagnosis and recommendation of Dr. H. Woshrin, who apparently was connected with her confinement at Patton.

Is that right?

MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct.

THE COURT: At Patton State Hospital?

MR. FITZGERALD: I might point out, if I might just very briefly interrupt the Court, I might point out in connection with and in support of my declaration we caused to have subposensed to court her file from Patton State Hospital.

THE COURT: The Clerk has handed the files to me.

I have not looked at them yet.

Does anyone have any objection to my looking at them at this time?

MR. FITZGERALD: No objection.

MR. KANAREK: No objection.

MR. SHINN: No objection.

ľ

2

3

4

5

б

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15.

· 16

17

18

19

20 .21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. HUGHES: No objection.

THE COURT: I don't mean right now, I mean within the next few days or a week.

MR. FITZGERALD: No objection.

MR. KANAREK: No objection.

MR. FITZGERALD: I might also point out that my motion papers and my declaration was dated August 12.

There was no intent on my part to hold this back; simply I was of the impression at the time I made it we could only object at the time she was being called as a witness, and Mr. Hughes and Mr. Bugliosi and I agreed that the prosecution would attempt to give us two weeks notice as to when she was going to testify, and when it was mentioned she was going to testify on Monday, we immediately filed the papers.

And I think that this is not a situation where we are merely attempting to protect the record.

Here was a young girl who I think the evidence will amply demonstrate was wondering around the desert with very inappropriate and bizarre affectations.

She was made a ward of the Juvenile Court in Inyo County, subsequently transferred to a mental institution in California with a diagnosis of a severe psychotic disorder, and I think that inasmuch as she is going to testify as to events that took place at or about the time that she was suffering from this psychosis, that her

21a-1

2

1

4

3

Ą

7

5

.8

10

12

14

16

15

17 18

> 19 20

22

21

23 24

25 26 testimony is suspect.

MR. HUGHES: Plus, I would say, unlike Mr. Hendricks testimony, Dianne Lake's testimony goes to words and confessions and admissions, and this may be a whole different area as far as recall than, say, what Michael Hendricks was going to testify as to someone whom he could identify actually just holding a gun.

So I think perhaps the Court might consider that also, consider the necessity for an appointment of psychiatrists, or the weight to be given to the psychiatric evaluations.

THE COURT: Do you plan to call any of these doctors or other people as your own witnesses if we have a competency hearing?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: We do have them under subpoena.

MR. FITZGERALD: We have them all under subpoena and we have them on call.

Your Honor may recall vaguely that a Deputy
Attorney General came to court in response to the subpoenas
for the personnel at Patton State Hospital, and asked us to
please place these people on call because they did not want
to disable the institution by having all of these people here
at once.

I think, though, in fairness, and I attempted to point it out in my declaration, that her current diagnosis is one of normality, and that she has now, we have learned

Q.

0

·· 13

subsequent to the execution of the declaration, that she has been released from Patton State Hospital and has been placed in a foster home in Inyo County.

We would anticipate that these doctors if called on this competency issue will probably testify that she is normal, rational and sane at the present time, and she probably, although I'm not saying they will, they would probably testify that she is competent to testify; that is, that she understands the nature of the oath at the present time and that she understands the language to a sufficient extent that she can answer responsiyely.

What they will say in regard to her testimony relating to events that took place during her schizophrenic episode is something else again.

In our conversations with the personnel at Patton State Hospital they have been extremely reluctant to discuss any aspect of this case whatsoever.

They say that they have notes on their file not to discuss it, and to contact Mr. Bugliosi, and so on and so forth.

As a result we issued subpoenss for them, and then the Attorney General came in, and so we are sort of dealing at arm's length.

THE COURT: Well, if she is in Inyo County now, how are our Los Angeles doctors going to examine her?

MR. FITZGERALD: We learned by way of hearsay

ŀ

. .

6:

· 14

1,7

2İ

information that she was released on August the 28th to a foster home in Inyo County, and we understand that she is in the home of one James Gardiner who is an investigator for the Inyo County District Attorney's Office.

Also a supplemental portion of the Patton State Hospital records indicates that she was released on the 28th to a foster home in Inyo County, and I believe the name Gardiner appears.

Did you anticipate, Mr. Bugliosi, bringing her down here from Inyo County?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

21b-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

. 9

10

12

13. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: It is not a question of her being brought down, but is there any reason why she could not be brought down in advance of the hearing so the doctors can examine her down here, rather than trying to find doctors to go up to Inyo County, which is quite a burden.

MR. BUGLIOSI: What about doctors up there to examine her?

MR. HUGHES: I understand there are very very few if any psychiatrists, and so on, in Inyo County.

THE COURT: When you live in Inyo County you don't have that kind of problem; only in Los Angeles County.

The High Sierras is good fishing.

MR. FITZGERALD: In our examination of the juvenile files we discovered that the Coroner of Inyo County was the conservator. We thought she was dead or something.

It is a very small county and various officials fulfill various official functions.

THE COURT: Would you check into it, Mr. Bugliosi, to see about bringing her down as soon as possible, so I can appoint psychiatrists.

MR. BUCLIOSI: She is coming down Monday.

THE COURT: Tomorrow is Friday.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Would the Court assume some of the expenses?

THE COURT: I think that would be an appropriate expense under these circumstances since the defendants are

26

requesting that she be examined, I take it that is what you are requesting.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, and to save time and to narrow the issues I am saying that it is likely they would opine that she is competent to testify.

And I think the area in which we need to probe a little is the area of her relating events that took place during her schizophrenic episode.

THE COURT: Whether she is able to comprehend the events to which her testimony relates.

MR. FITZGERALD: Correct. She will testify apparently to admissions and confessions. It is important also in terms of any sort of Aranda-Bruton control of the witness on the witness stand.

I think it is important to have some sort of psychiatric appraisal.

THE COURT: All right, then I will appoint doctors on Monday after she arrives.

We were able to get our examinations of Mr. Hendricks somewhat sooner than the usual three weeks.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a problem, because we really are traveling rapidly now towards the end.

We got rid of Father Ryan and I'm striking a couple of witnesses.

The days are longer right now. We could be through by the end of next week.

22 fls.

Of course we've got Postin and Watkins, I don't know long you guys are going to examine them.

MR. KANAREK: That is why I would ask Mr. Bugliosi to make an offer of proof as to Paul Postin and Mr. Watkins.

THE COURT: Let's not get off into that. Let's continue to talk about Disne Lake.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The examination of Diane would have to be expedited obviously per the Court's order, no question about it.

THE COURT: We simply will have to find doctors, competent doctors that are willing to do it considerably faster than the usual period given, which is something like three weeks.

22-1₁

'2'

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

. 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

MR. MUSICH: Maybe the doctors can be contacted or appointed at this time, ascertaining whether or not they will be able to examine her Monday and how soon after they would be able to have their reports?

THE COURT: Let's see. I appointed Dr. Abe, and who was the other?

THE CLERK: Meyers.

THE COURT: Yes, Thomas Meyers.

I had originally appointed Dr. Skrdia but he was unable to do it because of the press of his practice, and he was also going out of town, so Dr. Meyers was appointed in his place.

Would you call these two doctors and find out if they can examine Dianne Lake the first part of next week?

THE CLERK: She will be in the area?

THE COURT: She will be in Los Angeles. We will make arrangements to have her here.

Could she be taken to the doctor's offices?

She is not in custody. She would have to go to the office.

MR. MUSICH: We should be able to arrange that.

THE COURT: That will help the doctors.

I will ask the clerk to informally inquire.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, in a sense, she is in custody, since I believe not only is she under conservatorship in Inyo County, but she is also a ward of the court of Inyo

County.

1

7

9

10.

 \mathbf{H}

12

13

.14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22:

23.

24

25

26

THE COURT: But she is not in jail.

MR. KANAREK: May I inquire of the Court? Is she ambulatory? Can she go somewhere on her own?

MR. BUGLIOSI: She is a straight-A studentat Patton. Really.

THE COURT: Anything else, gentlemen?

I will ask the clerk to do that, just to make an informal inquiry, just to see whether these doctors are available.

MR. FITZGERALD: I would offer to stipulate that in the event these two doctors are not available, that your Honor could appoint a third or a fourth or fifth doctor, if necessary, in the absence of me, certainly.

THE COURT: Well, we will make the inquiries anyway. The appointment doesn't take long.

Anything else, gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we are interested in expedition. I would ask your Honor that Mr. Bugliosi make an offer of proof as to Paul Postin and Watkins.

THE COURT: Who are these people?

MR, KANAREK: These are people who are going to testify concerning statements which I don't think are admissions. In other words, after the fact. In other words, this is at the Barker Ranch.

228-1

2

I

3

5

U

•.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20 21

22

24

23

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Before, too.

MR. KANAREK: Well, the before part is different, from your theory, but the after part, which at least according to the book Five To Die would indicate there is a lot of conversation in there that I think your Honor would find to be inadmissible, and we could cut it down if Mr. Bugliosi made an offer of proof. It would cut down court time enormously, and I think it is in order.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think we would lose a lot more time.

They are going to testify to the standard things that we have had other witnesses testify to in this case.

MR. KANAREK: I hope they aren't standard.

MR. BUGLIOSI: They are former members of Manson's Family.

THE COURT: Are there going to be any Bruton and Aranda problems?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No, there aren't going to be any confessions to the murders.

MR. KANAREK: But there are statements, your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the general nature of it?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Manson's philosophy on life, about Helter Skelter; things like that.

MR. HUGHES: I think that is a Bruton and Aranda problem as to the conspiracy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We had this already with eight or ten

20

21

22,

23

25

26

. . 24

other witnesses.

MR. HUGHES: What I am saying is that it is a Bruton and Aranda problem as to the conspiracy count.

MR. KANAREK: It is cumulative.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We have another problem now, Mr. Kanarek.

When you are out in the country fighting with a

bear, you don't call out, "Bring on more bears." We have other problems right now.

With respect to Dianne Lake, Mr. Hughes said that he doesn't request that the tape dated November 25th, 1969, be typed up.

Is that correct, Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: That's right.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you stipulate to that?

MR. HUGHES: I don't require your typing it up.

I may use the contents for impeachment or something, but as far as I know, there are no --

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is the problem. The Court feels that you might bring up something in an impeachment question that might incriminate a co-defendant.

MR. HUGHES: What I am saying is that from the tape I would not bring up anything that would incriminate a co-defendant.

MR. KANAREK: You can't tell that a hundred per cent.

THE COURT: That doesn't solve the problem, unfortunately.

22b

If, in fact, it happens, it is difficult, if not impossible, to undo it.

The only way it could be avoided is for the Court to know the entire substance of the conversations that this witness claims she had with whichever defendant it was in advance.

MR. HUGHES: Actually, your Honor, on the tape she gives mostly negative statements, that she wasn't here, she wasn't there.

THE COURT: I am not going to do it by some form of osmosis. Either I see the statements or they don't come in.

22b-1

2

Š

4

5

6

7.

8

'n

İľ

12

13

14. 15

16

17

18°

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22c fls. 26

MR. KANAREK: Since the People are advocating them, your Honor, it is their burden.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, since the Court wants all the statements, is it possible for the Court to direct, at the Superior Court's expense, a court reporter to do it?

I say that for two reasons. One, I think it would be much more accurate and more speedy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Our office is overflowing with competent clerical personnel. Vis-a-vis the LAPD, we are competent, but I am just wondering if the Court could appoint someone.

It is going to be a monumental task. There is no question about it.

MR. MUSICH: One advantage would be that it would definitely be an independent person doing it.

THE COURT: How will the accuracy be determined?

MR. MUSICH: If anybody has any arguments against what the transcript or final document looks like, they would have to go to the tape and make their own interpretation and bring it before you.

THE COURT: How much are you talking about?
MR. MUSICH: This is four hours of tape.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is the one dated December 30th, 1969.

Another one is dated November 25th, 1969.

22b-2

•

:.

.7

4

8

7

10

11 12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25.

26

MR. HUGHES: That is only about an hour.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There are others, too.

THE COURT: Are they intelligible? Can you understand what is being said?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: In that regard, as to the intelligibility of the 12/30/69 tapes, the LAPD has in their possession cassette recordings and the DA has the recording on the reel.

The cassette recordings that I have heard of those tapes are much more intelligible.

So, I think whoever is directed to transcribe that should use the cassette recordings.

THE COURT: Just how are these court reporters going to do it, assuming they are asked to? They are in here all day long.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I didn't necessarily mean these two court reporters, but some Superior Court reporter.

I am sure we can find someone in our office to do it, but I think it would take longer and I don't think it would be as expert as an actual court reporter.

In fact, I don't think we have any court reporters in our office.

THE COURT: Let's go off the record.

(Off the record discussion.)

MR. FITZGERALD: An alternative suggestion would

23

24

26

be to bring her in and ask her what she knows, of course, and, you know, start fresh.

THE COURT: In effect, a deposition?

MR. FITZGERALD: In effect, a deposition.

For example, your Honor, could have her sit down and ask her to tell us everything she knows. Or the DA could bring her in and take a deposition of her all over again, as you did with Roni Howard and Virginia Graham, except that this time just ask her to say everything she knows. Then have that typed up.

Now, that shouldn't be very difficult for the reporters in your office to do, and then present the material to the Judge.

That might be easier. I have no objection to that.

THE COURT: Suppose she says something on the deposition that is inconsistent with what she said on one of the tape recordings?

MR. FITZGERALD: I concede that is a problem, if you have got some impeachment.

THE COURT: Once you take a statement, you simply can't ignore it any more. It becomes part of the totality of the witness's statement and it can't be ignored.

MR. HUGHES: I am not going to go into any previous statements she made. She made statements before the Grand Jury.

Ц

6

22d f1s.

THE COURT: I would say this, Mr. Bugliosi: I think this is something that the District Attorney's Office has to take over.

Now, if you don't feel that you have competent personnel up there -- and from the quality of the last transcription, I am inclined to agree with you -- then perhaps you should get someone else to do it.

22d-1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

.19

20

21

22

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right. I will get working on that.

THE COURT: I don't think this is a function for the Court to be injecting itself into the middle of the prosecution's case.

This is your case, and while I appreciate your problems, I think it is your problem.

All I can suggest is that it be done expeditiously.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right. I will get going on that.

What did he say? It takes eight hours for one hour of tape?

MR. MUSICH: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This one tape will take 32 hours of listening -- deciphering.

THE COURT: I see no reason why the District Attorney's Office can't hire a court reporter to transcribe these tapes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay.

We have another witness now, Harold True. There is certainly no Aranda problem, just the fact that Manson visited the home next door to the La Bianca residence.

That shouldn't take more than 15 or 20 minutes.

MR. SHINN: After that, who have you got? After you

put on True?

MR. BUGLIOSI: After, we are going to run into a legal problem. I am sure Paul will object to Patricia Krenwinkel's

26

25

24

refusing to give a handwriting exemplar. 1 I want to argue that this shows a consciousness 2 of guilt on her part. 3 We are going to argue the Ellis case, and. apparently, the case of People vs. Hess is right in point. 5 6 THE COURT: Has she refused to give a handwriting 7 exemplar? 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. 9 THE COURT: How do you intend to prove it? MR. BUGLIOSI: By calling Deputy H. L. Moss, who 10. asked her to write it. 11 12. THE COURT: Suppose she was asked in open court in 13 front of the jury to give an exemplar? MR. FITZGERALD: I will answer that question second. 14 15 First, I will say that she refused to give a handwriting exemplar on the advice of counsel. 16 17 I personally advised her not to give a handwriting exemplar, and I am going to point that out in the 18 event you introduce it. MR. BUGLIOSI: This was before you advised her, Paul. 20 MR. HUGHES: Will you tell us when the refusal took 21 22 place? 23 MR. FITZGERALD: I advised her and she refused on 24 April 3rd. 25 MR. HUGHES: Because I saw her in early March and 26 I gave her the same advice.

22e

MR. BUGLIOSI: You remember your telling me that you hadn't gotten to her at that point?

In fact, I am positive, Paul, because I didn't even advise you of it.

I sent someone over there, and under the current cases I didn't even have to advise you. And then you came to me and you said, "I understand that you tried to get an exemplar off Katie." And I didn't even advise you of it.

There was no way for her to contact you because they even told her that she did not have a right to have her attorney present. 22e-1

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

10.

11

·12

13

14 15

•

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. But I had a discussion with her. I was aware that there was certain evidence and that -- and I was aware of the fact that you might try to get a handwriting exemplar from her to compare her handwriting with the handwriting in blood at the La Bianca home, and I told her not to give any handwriting exemplar.

But beyond that, I wanted to point out that this is almost sophistry because the prosecution has exemplars of her handwriting.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We do?

MR. FITZGERALD: Certainly.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Where?

MR. FITZGERALD: She has written thousands of letters out of the jail that you have censored and photocopied.

You have all the handwriting you want.

MR. KAY: Except that this handwriting was printed. We wanted to get printing like was on the walls of the La Bianca home. Letters written in handwriting don't do us any good.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right. We will give you handwriting in open court, to answer your question.

If requested to do it, I will advise her to give it to you.

MR. KANAREK: If I may, Messiah vs. the United States, I think, forbids the prosecution to do this.

THE COURT: To do what?

26.

MR. KANAREK: For the prosecution to approach a defendant, either directly or indirectly. It is violative of the rules set out in Messiah vs. the United States.

THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald, since you are willing, apparently, to give an exemplar in open court upon request, why not give it without the request and avoid the problem.

MR. FITZGERALD: I will do that.

I didn't want to do it at the time for various reasons that I would not like to make part of the record.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think we still have the consciousness of guilt issue.

The fact that she is willing to give an exemplar now does not erase her refusal at a previous time.

THE COURT: That will, of course, depend on the circumstances, which I am not familiar with.

Certain, if she were advised by counsel, or if he thought she wanted advice from counsel first, and refused for that reason, that would dilute, if not destroy, any consciousness of guilt.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She never told the person that she was acting under advice of counsel.

THE COURT: I don't think she is under any compulsion to tell an investigator why she doesn't do something.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Under the law, she is not entitled to have counsel, you know.

MR. FITZGERALD: I know it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: On that issue, a handwriting exemplar, and that is why you were not advised.

MR. FITZGERALD: I know. And as soon as I found out she did it, I came to you and complained that you were trying to get a handwriting exemplar, because I was afraid she would not follow my advice.

As soon as I found out that there was handwriting in blood on the wall, I advised her not to give an exemplar.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is the first time that I heard you advised her of that.

1

2

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FITZGERALD: No.

MR. HUGHES: No.

MR. MUSICH: That was up to her.

She was advised that she had no right to an attorney, and that it was an inference of guilt if she refused.

She didn't come forth and say, "No, I am not giving it because my attorney said no."

It is a matter of what her state of mind was or what the evidence is at that time.

If, as a matter of fact, she said "No," it could be used as an inference of guilt.

THE COURT: Perhaps you can confer with each other and decide what you want to do in that regard.

You now have an offer for a handwriting exemplar if you want it.

MR. KAY: A printing exemplar.

MR. MUSICH: Well, the ability to doctor that up is another problem.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why we should not get back into the court and resume?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any current estimate of the duration of your case, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUCLIOSI: I would say a week and a half. Assuming we get the psychiatric evaluation of Diane Lake in a couple

of days. ī THE COURT: Are you going to request the doctors to 2 testify also? 23 fls. MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. Absolutely. · 5 ·6 · 7 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ,17 18 19 20 21 22, 23 24 25 26

24

25

26

THE COURT: I mean they must be prepared to, whether or not they will depends on whether anyone calls them, but they must be prepared to.

Let's resume, gentlemen, when you are ready let the Clerk know.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury, all defendants and all counsel being present:)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurgrs are present.

You may continue, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Call Harold True.

THE CLERK: Will you raise your right hand, please.

Would you please repeat after me.

I do solemnly swear --

THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --

THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --

THE WITNESS: -- that the testimony I may give --

THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --

THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --

THE CLERK: -- before this Court --

THE WITNESS: -- before this Court --

THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --

THE WITNESS: -- shall be the truth --

THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --

THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --

22

23

24

25

26

THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE CLERK: -- so help me God.

THE WITNESS: -- so help me God.

THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please.

Would you please state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Harold True, T-r-u-e.

HAROLD TRUE.

been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q What is your occupation, sir?
- A Student.
- Q Have you ever lived at the address 3267 Waverly Drive in Los Angeles?
 - A Yes.
 - Q ... When did you move there?
 - A In September, 1966 until '67, September, '67.
 - Q You moved there in September --
- A I moved there early '67 and lived there through the period of '67 and through '68.

23-3	1	Q Did you move into that address with anyone?
<u> </u>	2	A Yes, I moved in with three other fellows.
•	. 3	Q Harry Yost is one?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q A1 Swerdlov?
• '	6	A Yes.
•	7	Q Ernest Baltzell.
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And you moved in again when?
	10	A 67, around the first part of 67.
. 7	n	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may the same ground rules
	12	on relevancy and materiality apply, continuous objection?
	13	THE COURT: Very well.
	14	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
	15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	16	Q I show you People's 200 for identification,
	17	an aerial photograph, do you recognize in this photograph
	18	the residence where you lived?
٠	19	A Yes, I do.
,	20	Q Where is it?
	21	A It's
	22	Q Right here?
	. 23	A Yes.
	. 24	Q What about that, is that part of the same
	25	house?
·	26	A This is the house, this is the garage in the back

•	
3-4 1	Q With the garage in the back, is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q So this is the residence where you moved in,
4	you say, in early 1967?
5	A Yes.
6	Q With these other three gentlemen?
7	A Yes.
. 8	a And when did you move out?
, ,	A September of '68.
10	MR. BUGLIOSI: May I mark this, your Honor, Mr.
n i	True's former residence?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	What is the exhibit number?
14	MR. BUGLIOSI: 200.
	- Q Do you recognize the house next door as being
16	the house at one time of Leno and Rosemary La Bianca?
17	A Well, I just know it was the house next door.
18.	At the time I knew of no one living there,
19	so the name of the residence
20	It was just the house next door.
21	Q You have learned since then this is the former
22:	residence of Leno and Rosemary La Bianca?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: May I mark this house, your Honor,
, 25	the La Bianca residence?
. ·	THE COURT: You may.

	·		•
23-5	. 1	BY MR. BUGL	iosi:
, x	2	Q	So facing the street then, the La Bianca
<i>i</i>	3	residence w	ould have been on your right?
•	4	Ą	It would be the left.
, ~:	. 5	Q	Facing the street?
, *	6	A	Facing the street, yes, on the right.
	7	Q	The La Bianca residence would be immediately
	. 8	to your rig	ht, is that correct?
.•	·9	A	Yes.
	. 10	Q	There are no homes in between?
	n	A	No.
	12	Q.	And there is a yard separating your former
	13	residence f	rom the La Bianca residence?
);- · · ·	14	A	Yes.
	15	Q	I show you People's 62 for identification.
. `	16	This is als	o a photograph of your former residence?
•	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q.	This shows the pathway leading up to your
t	19	residence?	
•	20	A.	Yes.
	21	Q.	You cannot see the La Bianca residence in
*	- 22	this photos	raph, can you?
23a £	s : 23	A	No.
,	24		

25

26

1	Q Do you know the defendant Charles Manson?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q When was the first time you met Mr. Manson?
4	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that would be outside
5	Unless there's some connection timewise with
6	some events, your Honor, two days that was spoken of or
7	something in connection with this case, then I object to it.
8	THE COURT: Overruled.
9	You may answer.
ÌO	THE WITNESS: I met Mr. Manson in March of '67.
П	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: And where did you meet
12	Mr. Manson at that time?
13	MR. KANAREK: Again, your Honor, I can see no
14	It has no pertinency in connection with these
15	proceedings.
16	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
17	THE WITNESS: I met him at his residence in Topanga
18	Canyon.
19	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you go there to visit
, 20	someone?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q Mr. Kaufman?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q Phil Kaufman?
. 25	A. Yes.
26	Are you sure this was not March of 1968?
	A. Yes. I'm positive.

1	Q It was not March of 168?
2	A. It was not.
3	Q You say it was March of '67?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q And was Mr. Manson living at the Topanga Canyon
6	residence at that time?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q With Mr. Kaufman?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q Was anyone else living at that residence at
11	that time?
12	A. There were some girls living there.
13	Q About how many?
14 .	A. Ten or so.
15	Q Any other men besides Mr. Kaufman and Mr. Manson?
ÍĢ ,	A. I think two or three, maybe four.
17	Q Did Mr. Manson ask you if you could do anything
18	for him on that occasion?
19` '	MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay, your
20	Honor, and conclusion, it has no pertinency.
21	MR. BUGLIOSI: Just foundational, your Honor.
22	MR. KANAREK: Still no basis for it.
2 3	THE COURT: Do you wish to approach the bench,
24	gentlemen, and discuss this matter?
25	
26	

21b

1

2

3

4⁻ 5

6.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

.

18

19

20 21

۵,

23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is just foundational, your Honor, he asked True if True would help him move, so a week later he came back and True came back and put all of Manson's belongings in a car and they drove it to the residence next to the La Bianca residence and they stayed there overnight.

Susan Atkins was one of the people that was with Mr. Manson at that time, and Patricia Krenwinkel and several other members of the Family.

It's just foundational.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, what he calls foundational, this is not a documentary or a narrative, it's a lawsuit.

THE COURT: What is your objection?

MR. KANAREK: My objection is it is way outside the scope of any of the issues framed by these pleadings.

THE COURT: If that is the objection, it's overruled.

MR. KANAREK: And also relevancy.

THE COURT: Overruled. It places Mr. Manson at the scene of Mr. True's home.

MR. KANAREK: Why doesn't he ask him that?

MR. BUGLIOSI; You don't take things out of context.

MR. KANAREK: He can ask him if he's ever been to his home.

He doesn't have to belabor the record.

THE COURT: He might not ask it the same way you 1. might ask it. Mr. Kanarek, but that does not make it 2 inadmissible. MR, KANAREK: It is requesting conversation, it's 4 . hearsay, your Honor. 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: There is no incriminating statement. 6 I am bringing in the fact that --7 8 MR. KANAREK: I can only assume Mr. Bugliosi is prosecuting this case because he wants a conviction. 10 THE COURT: I don't see it is hearsay. MR. KANAREK: He is offering it for the truth of the IÌ. matter asserted, about moving. MR. BUGLIOSI: It is foundational, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Of course, that does not answer all 14 questions either. 15 I take it the fact you want to establish is 16 that Manson was at Mr. True's home. 17 MR. KANAREK: "Yes, why doesn't he ask him that? 18 THE COURT: I think it can be done more directly. 19 MR. KANAREK: It would cut down our cross-examination, 20 the necessity for extensive cross-examination. 21 22 (The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:) BY MR. BUGLIOSI; Did Mr. Manson visit your .24 residence around that time?

Yes, he did.

26

Ţ	Q.	How long after you visited him and Mr. Kaufman
2	did Mr. Mar	son come to your residence?
	A.	The next day.
4	, Q	And did he come there with anyone?
5	A.	He came with all the girls and other fellows.
6	Q	And do you know the defendant Susan Atkins?
7	A.	Yes.
8	. Q	Was she present at that time?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q	Do you know the defendant, Patricia Krenwinkel?
ΊΙ	. A.	Yes.
12	Q	Was she present at that time?
. T3	A.	Yes.
. 14	Q	Do you know Lynn Fromme?
15	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$	Yes.
ĬQ.	Q	Also known as Squeaky?
17	. A.	Yes,
18	Q.	Was she present?
.19	A.	Yes.
20	, Q	Do you know Mary Brunner?
.21	À.	Yes.
22	 	Was she present?
23 [.]	. A.	Yes.
24	Q	Do you know a Nancy Pittman also known as
25	Brenda McC	ann?
.26	. A	Yes.

ĭ	Q Was she present?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q Do you know Charles Watson?
4	A. No.
5 ·	Q You don't know Charles Watson?
6	You never heard of a man named Tex Watson?
7	MR. KANAREK: I object to that, whether he heard of
8	him or not.
ģ	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	THE WITNESS: I have read of him in the newspapers.
11	I don't know him personally.
12.	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Charles Watson was not among
13	the group, is that correct?
14	A That is correct.
15	Q Do you recall who else accompanied Mr. Manson?
16	A Just everybody that was present mostly, you know
17	Q I am referring to names, do you remember any
18	other?
19	A. No.
20	Q But the people whom I have just mentioned,
21	they were with Mr. Manson?
2 2	A. Yes,
23	Q Did they stay overnight?
.24	A. Yes
25	Q That is, Mr. Manson and these girls?
26	A. Yes.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q And they stayed at your residence at 3267
2	Waverly Drive, is that correct?
à.	A. Yes.
4	Q Now, between this time, March of 1967 and
5.	September of 1968 when you moved out of the Waverly address,
ę	did you see Mr. Manson?
7	A Yes.
:8	Q Did he visit you again at your residence?
9	A. Yes.
ίÒ	Q Approximately about how many times?
11.	A. Four or five times.
12	Q Did he ever come by himself?
13	A A couple of times, a couple of times he came
14	with some people.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	·
:3c	1	Q During any of these four or five times did he
	2	ever stay overnight?
	3	A. Once.
	4	Q Was he by himself that time?
	5	A. Yes.
*	6	Q So Mr. Manson stayed overnight at your residence
,	7	at 3267 Waverly Drive on two occasions?
	8	A. Yes.
	9 ,	Q But he was at the residence, well, the first
	σο	time and then four or five additional times?
•	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q Did Mr. Manson ever ask you if he could live
; ;	13	with you at the Waverly address?
	14	MR. KANAREK: I object to that as hearsay, your Honor,
•	15	no foundation.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	THE COURT: Sustained.
•	17	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Mr. Manson ever attempt to
	18	move in with you at the Waverly address?
,	19	MR. KANAREK: Same objection, and in addition soliciti
, ,	20 ·	a conclusion.
	21	THE COURT: Sustained.
1	22,	Q BY MR, BUGLIOSI: Mr. Manson, I take it, never
	23,	did live there at the Waverly address, is that correct?
	24	A. That's correct.
• :	25	Q After you moved out of the Waverly address in
**************************************	26	September of 1968 did you see Mr. Manson thereafter?
,	- • [

	!
1	A. Once or twice, maybe three times.
2	Q Where at?
3 `]	A. The Spahn Ranch.
4	Q Incidentally, while you were living at the
5 .	Waverly address in addition to the times that Mr. Manson
6 .	visited you, did you visit him?
7	A Yes.
8	Q At the Spahn Ranch?
9	A. No, no, Topanga Canyon.
10	Q How many times?
11 .	A. Five, ten times maybe, I don't know.
12	Q When was the last time that you saw Mr. Manson
13	before his incarceration?
14	MR. KANAREK: That is assuming facts not in
15	evidence, your Honor, that this gentleman knows of the
16	incarceration. It is also ambiguous. This record
17	reveals the incarceration of August 16th as well as
.18	subsequent incarcerations.
19	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: The incarceration on these
20	charges.
21	MR. KANAREK: That also assumes facts not in evidence.
22	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
23	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, please.
24 .	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When was the last time you saw
25	Mr. Manson before he was incarcerated on these charges?
26	A November, 68.

1	, q	Where did you see him at that time?
2	A.	Spahn Ranch.
3	Q	Was there any reason for not seeing him beyond
4	or after Nov	ember of 168?
5	MR. KA	NAREK: That's calling for a conclusion, your
6.	Honor, and h	earsay.
7	THE CO	URT: Sustained.
8	. 9	BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you leave the country
9	around that	time, sir?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Where did you go?
12	A.	Ethiopia.
13	Q.	You were a member of the Peace Corps?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q,	And you returned from Ethiopia when?
16	A	June of '69.
.17	Q	Have you seen Mr. Manson since June of 19697
18	A,	Yes.
19	Q.	Where?
20	. A.	At the Los Angeles County Jail.
21	Q.	You visited him there?
22	· A.	Yes,
23	Q	On how many occasions?
24.	.A.	Two or three.
25	Q	When Mr. Manson was in Independence, California
<u>2</u> 6	did you ever	write him a letter?

- 1	
1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q That was when?
á	A I guess August, when he was when I first
4	heard that he had been jailed.
5	Q August of '69?
. 6	A. Yes.
7	Q You are not sure about that date, are you?
8.	MR. KANAREK: That's argumentative, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	THE WITNESS: I have the letter with my attorney,
IJ	which it could be checked, it's either August or September
12	of that time.
13	Q In any event he was in Independence at the time?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q And you wrote him a letter?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q Do you know a girl named Linda Kasabian?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q Did Linda Kasabian ever come to your residence
20	at 3267 Waverly Drive while you were living there?
21	. A. Yes.
22	Q. When did she come there?
23	A. The summer of '68.
24	Q Was she with anyone?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q Who was she with?
,	

	1	A	Her husband and some other people.
	2	ବ	She was not there with Mr. Manson?
	3	A	, No.
	4	Q	She just visited you once at that address?
	5.	A	. Yes.
	6	ସ	Did you ever see her after that?
	7	A	Yes.
	8	ସ	Where at?
	. 9	. Д	Topanga Canyon.
	10.	9	When was that?
	11	A	. It was after let's see July, August of
	12	169.	
	13	G	These gentlemen you were living with, these
	14	three c	ther gentlemen, when you moved out of the Waverly
	15	address	in September of '68, were they still living there?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	Did they eventually move out?
	18	A	. Yes.
	19		
	20		,
•	21		
	22		·
	23.		

21d

23

.24

25

26

Δ.	٠ 🖛		4	
G.	Do	you	KNOW	when?

- A October, November of 168, right after I did, they stayed about a month.
- Q Do you know if Mr. and Mrs. La Bianca were living next door to you while you were living at the Waverly address?
 - A No, I do not.
- You are not familiar with who was living there during the time you were living at 3267 Waverly?
- A. I was under the impression that the house was vacant.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken as a conclusion and hearsay, it's also conjecture on the part of this gentleman evidently. It's an impression.

THE COURT: The answer is "no," you don't know who was living there?

A. The answer is no, I don't know who lived there.
THE COURT: The previous answer is stricken.

The jury is admonished to disregard that stricken answer.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FITZGERALD:

Q Is it Mr. True or Dr. True?

- 1	
1	A. Mr. True.
2	Q You do not have a Ph.D.?
3	A. No.
4	Q You're working on a Ph.D.?
5.	A. Yes.
6	Q I believe in political science, is that correct?
7	A. History.
8	Q How many residences have you had in the last
	five years, Mr. True?
9	MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Overruled.
11	THE WITNESS: Five.
12	Q BY MR. FITZGERALD: Could you place in mind the
13	first residence you had, number one, in other words?
14	A. Do you want the address or where?
15	Q Yes, can you give us the address?
16 -	A 113 South Clark, Los Angeles.
17	Q. Is that in the City of Los Angeles?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q Who was your next-door neighbor at that time,
20	do you recall?
21	
22	A. No.
23	Q What about address number two, what was that?
24	A. 9257 Skyline.
25	Q Is that in the City of Los Angeles, Mr. True?
26	A. Yes.

1	
Ì	What year was that, do you recall?
2	A. 166.
3 .	Q What is number three?
4	A, 3267 Waverly.
5.	Q That was in '67?
6	A. Yes.
7'	Q Number four?
.8	A. I was in Ethiopia for a period, and then back
9	into I lived on Chandler Boulevard in Van Nuys.
10	Q Were you in Ethiopia with the United States
11	Redevelopment Agency?
12	A No, I was with the Peace Corps.
13	Q And after the Chandler address?
14	A South Gate.
15	Q I take it over the period of your life you had
16	various neighbors, had you not?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q Obviously you had various neighbors.
ì9	Actually, in addition to writing a letter to
20`	Mr. Manson when he was in Inyo County you actually visited
21	him here in the Los Angeles County Jail, didn't you?
. 22	A. Yes.
23	Q Those were on the dates of December 13th, 1969
- 24	and December 26, 1969, isn't that correct?
25	A. I am not sure of the dates but, yes.
. 26	Ly a friend of Mr. Manson's,

1	and was hard
1	are you not?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q You are not ashamed of that fact?
4	A. No.
5	Q You still consider yourself to be a friend of
6	Mr. Manson, right?
7	A. Yes, yes.
8	MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, Mr. True.
ġ	MR. SHINN: No questions.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Kenarek?
11	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
12	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. KANAREK:
15	Q Mr. True, when you saw Linda Kasabian in July
16	and August of 1969, were you ever with her at any time
17	when she ingested LSD?
18	A. No.
19	Q Were you with her at any time when she was smoking
20	marijuana?
21	A. No.
22`	Q And during July of 1969, every time that you were
23	in the presence of Linda Kasabian, was this in Topanga
24	Canyon?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q Were you ever in her presence at the Spahn Ranch
	, the state of the

in July or August of 1969? 1 No. 2 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Hughes? 5 MR. HUGHES: I have no questions. 6 THE COURT; Anything further, Mr. Bugliosi? 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions. 8 THE COURT: You may step down, sir. 9 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I believe the next witness 10 will necessitate a legal discussion either at the bench or 11 in chambers. 12 Deputy Moss, H. L. Moss. 13 THE COURT: Will you approach the bench, gentlemen? 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 · 22 23 24 25 26

23e

è

2

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: The next witness, your Honor, is H. L. Moss, on the handwriting exemplar.

I have just been told by Mr. Fitzgerald he will not permit his client to make a handwriting exemplar in court, so basically what we are dealing with is this refusal on her part to grant a handwriting exemplar.

The People will, or are alleging that we should be able to comment on her refusal as showing consciousness of guilt.

People vs. Ellis, by analogy, and People vs. Hess, I have it at the counsel table, which actually is a handwriting case where the defendant refused to give a handwriting exemplar and the Court held the prosecution had the right to comment on the refusal.

Obviously this is a legal issue we are going to have to go into.

MR. FITZGERALD: Mr. Bugliosi is correct. I did represent to the Court -- I hope I represented it in good faith -- that my client would be willing to give a hand-writing or printing exemplar in court if we were asked.

I considered that matter carefully since I made that representation to the Court, and, if the Court please, I would respectfully request to recant.

I am no longer willing to allow my client to

ĭ

2

3

4

5

6.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

İ8

19

20

21

23

24

execute any handwriting or printing exemplar.

It is also my understanding of the law that such a handwriting, the present state of the law in California is that the privilege of self-incrimination does not include the right to refuse to give a handwriting exemplar.

People vs. Graves is the landmark case in California.

However, I would object to the prosecution presenting any evidence that my client refused on the ground it violates her Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments rights in regard to self-incrimination.

Four Justices of the United States Supreme Court agree with me.

THE COURT: In what period?

MR. FITZGERALD: I believe it's in either Wade or Gilbert.

The United States Supreme Court however has decided by five Justices, has decided the right of self-incrimination does not apply.

I did not bring my resume of cases to the court today because I did not think the issue was going to come up today.

I have no objection to the People proceeding at this time.

I think my record is adequately protected if I object.

25 26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

ĺ

Q

THE COURT: Do you propose to call a witness for the purpose of having her testify that she asked Miss Krenwinkel for a handwriting exemplar and was refused?

MR. BUGLIOSI: The offer of proof, your Honor, she came up to Miss Krenwinkel and told her she wanted a handwriting exemplar; that the words "Death to pigs," "Rise," and "Helter Skelter" were printed in blood at the La Bianca residence and she wanted Patricia Krenwinkel to write those words.

She did not have a right under the law to have an attorney present; that she did not have a right to refuse to give a handwriting exemplar, and that if she did refuse, the prosecution could comment on her refusal.

She was told all of these things, and after being told all of these things she refused.

So it is a negative thing and we are offering it to show consciousness of guilt.

There are several cases on it, your Honor.

People vs. Ellis is quite a landmark case.

Graves actually, although Graves never specifical answered it, they strongly implied there is no right to counsel at the time of handwriting exemplar.

Certainly Ellis and several other cases I have and the new case, People vs. Hess is right in point that we have a right to comment on the refusal.

MR. KANAREK: However, your Honor, I believe they may

2

1.

3

4

5

6

8

9.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20·

21 22

23

. 24

25

26

have the ultimate right to an exemplar, but I think under the doctrine of Massish, after a person has become an accused, the defendant has the right that he or she not be approached by law enforcement, and Mr. Fitzgerald I believe had the right to be informed and be present.

His point may be well taken, but I think that the obligation to first approach counsel, and see that that be done -- Massiah is really sort of an extension of Miranda and Escobedo.

When a person has a lawyer he has a right that that lawyer be present as far as the prosecution approaching the defendant.

As far as Mr. Manson is concerned I would ask whatever happens that your Honor request and admonish the jury that none of this is to be used against Mr. Manson for any purpose whatsoever, because it is an admission.

THE COURT: When does this take place?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have my notes at home, your Honor, I should have brought them today. I forgot.

But I can get this information for you because this lady has a copy of everything.

This, I would say, was --

THE COURT: The witness ought to know.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Oh, yes, I say, you are asking me and I forget.

THE COURT: I would like to take a look at the latest

22

23

24

25

26

cases. I think I read them.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Hess came out two weeks ago.

THE COURT: Is that a California case?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

THE COURT: A Supreme Court case?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No.

THE COURT: You have a citation?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, I can get it, of course I can give it to the Court right now.

THE COURT: It is a quarter after 4:00 now. Do you have any citations, any of you gentlemen?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, but I wonder if we could go over until tomorrow, although I basically have no quarrel with counsel's representations.

I just want to protect the record in this regard.

THE COURT: I think I am familiar with the law.

I think under the present clearly the People have a right to attempt to obtain a handwriting exemplar, but I just wanted to review the cases first.

2 3

ľ

4

5.

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25-

26

I have the Massiah case. MR. SHINN:

MR. FITZGERALD: I will make this representation to the Court: I will go back to my office tonight and I will review all my materials. If I have case authority to the contrary, I will make sure that it is here before your Honor by 8:15 tomorrow morning.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have some cases to give the Court right now, if we can go back to chambers and discuss this.

THE COURT: I will adjourn at this time, excuse the jury.

MR. FITZGERALD: We would also like to get the defendants together this afternoon and try to clarify some ground rules.

I wonder if we couldn't meet for about 15 minutes after court adjourns?

THE COURT: After our meeting in chambers.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. If that is agreeable with the Court.

Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to adjourn at this time.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express

22

23

24

25

26

any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will adjourn now until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.

(The following proceedings occur in chambers.

All counsel present. Defendants absent.)

THE COURT: I am going to ask the doctors to examine Dianne Lake with respect to her competency under Evidence Code Section 701 and her ability to understand and comprehend conversations with another person during September, 1969.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is fine. Except that I won't burden the doctors with my declarations or affidavits because it may contain conclusionary statements and things, but I would ask the Court to recommend that they read the file of the Patton State Hospital that is currently on file in court.

I think that is extremely valuable to any psychiatric evaluation.

THE COURT: Just the one file?

MR. FITZGERALD: Just the one file.

THE CLERK: There are actually three files.

MR. HUGHES: Can I be heard in that regard?

There are two files from Patton, and also a file from the Inyo County court, No. 69-37J.

MR. MUSICH: You want the psychiatrist to read those

files, not the Court?

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't care.

MR. MUSICH: The Court has made a determination to appoint psychiatrists.

MR. FITZGERALD: They are in the possession of Mr. Darrow.

THE COURT: The Patton Hospital file and what else?

MR. HUGHES: The Inyo County Superior Court file

69-37J. That stands for the Juvenile section.

That was the file appointing the conservator.

THE COURT: 69?

MR. HUGHES: 69-37J.

24a-1

2

1

.3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

O,

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

Ţ8

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: Also, your Honor, do you want to set up a tentative day or a tentative time of day to take the testimony of the psychiatrists?

THE COURT: I think we will have to wait and see when they can make their examinations.

They understand they are going to have to examine and testify sometime next week?

THE CLERK: I told them they may be required to testify on Thursday or Friday.

THE COURT: I don't know if we can reasonably expect them to do it any sooner than that.

MR. FITZGERALD: No.

THE COURT: Because they probably can't make the examination before Tuesday or Wednesday.

THE CLERK: Any last day for the receipt of the reports, hopefully?

THE COURT: Let's put it this way: They should be prepared to submit their written report and to testify on Thursday, which would be October the 29th.

You can tell them they should be ready by then. The exact time we will give them notice.

I was just looking at this Hess case.

That is a rather peculiar case. It doesn't cover the situation here.

In that case, one person refused to give a backhand handwriting exemplar, and another person gave

24a-2

3

2

3

4. Š

6

7

8

è

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

exemplars after the litigation started in order to corroborate her testimony. This was another person.

All the court said was that normally it is not proper to use an exemplar obtained after the litigation starts in order to corroborate a witness's testimony, but due to the peculiar facts in this case, there wasn't any error.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The court says more than that, your Honor.

MR. KAY: Yes.

The court says that the doctrine of post litem motem generally implies that there is some pre-existing writing to compare with.

Now, here in the Hess case they needed some backhand -- well, writing with a backhand slant -- that is what they mean by backhand writing, writing with a backhand slant -- and here in our case we have somewhat similar facts, where we need actual printing, not a handwriting exemplar, not something as a person would write letters, but we need a peculiar type of writing to compare with writing that was written in blood on the wall.

THE COURT: That isn't the point that I was making.

There the affidavit was used to corroborate a witness's testimony.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The language here is: "The order requiring Mrs. Hess to provide a backhand written exemplar

was proper, and under the rationale of People vs. Ellis, it was also proper for the People to prove she refused to comply with the order."

MR. MUSICH: I don't think the Court has any question with the present state of the law.

THE COURT: I am saying the facts in this case were considerably different.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I thought the Court was indicating that as far as the law is concerned, Hess was not in point.

THE COURT: No, I was not addressing myself to that.

25-1

1 · 2

3

4

5.

Ò.

7

8

10

ļ1

12

13

14 15

16

17

ļ8..

19

20

21

22 23

24

.25

26

We start out reading Matteson, People vs. Matteson, Cal. 2d 466 at Page 469.

And then, of course, People vs. Graves, 64 Cal. 2d 208 at Page 210.

Those cases both strongly imply that the Fifth Amendment was not applicable to handwriting exemplars.

Of course that has been held many times.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Gilbert vs. California, 388, 263 then has clarified this point and expressly held that the Fifth and Sixth do not apply to handwriting exemplars.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is the case I was referring to where there was a dissenting opinion of four justices, it was Gilbert.

MR: BUGLIOSI: By analogy I call the Court's attention to People vs. Ellis, 65 A.C., 571. I think I gave the Court the Cal. citation. In that case the Court said the People can comment on a defendant's refusal to speak for voice identification purposes.

In People vs. Suddeth, that is also 65 Cal. 2d, we can comment on the defendant's refusal to take a blood or Breathalyzer test.

In People vs. Saldivar, 249 A.C.A. 764, we can comment on the defendant's refusal to take a urine test in a heroin case.

The Court might also read People vs. Cabala, 239 Cal. Ap. 2d, 732, holding that a person has no

constitutional right to refuse to take a naline test.

So by analogy, your Honor, the law is clear in the State of California that a refusal to do certain things other than testimonial compulsion, anything other than that can be commented upon by the prosecution.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else, gentlemen?

I will read these cases between now and tomorrow.

(Whereupon, the court was recessed until 9:00
a.m. the following day.)