SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Tuesday, December 22, 1970

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

MAXWELL KEITH, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 154

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

18,696 to 18,938 PAGES

Official Reporters

ľ

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1970 9:08 A.M.

~~~0~~~

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the Court, in the absence of the defendants and the jury, all counsel being present with the exception of Mr. Hughes.)

THE COURT: The record will show all counsel are present.

I understand from the clerk that the defense counsel have indicated that the defendants wish to return, the female defendants wish to return to the courtroom.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct.

MR. KEITH: That is correct.

MR. SHINN: That is correct.

THE COURT: And have affirmed their willingness to conduct themselves properly.

MR. FITZGERALD: All three of the defendants actually articulate that they would behave.

MR. KEITH: That's right.

MR. FITZGERALD: When we came into court this morning the defendants apparently had left word with one of the Sheriff's personnel that they would like to see us. We went to see them; they said they wanted to return to the courtroom, and we told them, we said to them, "Do you understand the conditions?" And they said, "Yes, we will

behave."

3.

Š

THE COURT: All right, fine. Then we will have the defendants brought in first, and then the jury.

Anything further?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. I have one short matter.

Yesterday I told the Court that certain persons were being excluded from the courtroom, namely one Sandra Good.

I attempted to secure her admission to the courtroom this morning and she was refused admittance by the Sheriff.

Sergeant Maupin told me that there was some controversy within the Sheriff's Office about whether or not she should be admitted to the courtroom, and I would ask your Honor to issue an order that she be allowed to enter the courtroom.

I don't know of any reason why she can't.

2-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

ģ

10

ΙĹ

12

13

14 15

Ì6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

.25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: She has been subpoensed as a witness. The trial is not over with yet. There might be a penalty trial.

MR. FITZGERALD: Are you going to call her?
MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't know.

MR. FITZGERALD: I mean, can you make a good faith representation to the Court?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I doubt it, but you might call her, Paul; right? During the penalty trial? I mean, a defense attorney might call her; right? I don't know.

MR. FITZGERALD: It is possible, but it is unlikely.

I can't understand how this would, at this stage of the proceedings, hurt anything anyway. I mean, we are only in the argument phase of the trial. Even if it is possible that at some future time she may be a witness, it is not going to hurt anything for her to listen to the prosecution's argument or the defense argument or the jury instruction.

THE COURT: I don't know what the problem, if any, is.

I know the Sheriff has various problems with security, and
perhaps it has something to do with security, I don't know.

All right. Anything further, gentlemen?
MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I would just like to enunciate, just very briefly, as to CALJIC 6.10, 6.11, 6.12, 6.19 and 6.20, I do object to those jury instructions.

I

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have two points, your Honor.

Number one, since the defendantswere screaming out in front of the jury that they want to put on a defense, I couldn't see any harm in the Court instructing the jury that the defendants have a right to put on a defense.

This is an obvious fact, but I have always believed in stating the obvious to the jury.

They are in front of the jury saying: We want to put on a defense; they won't let us. And it is always possible that one naive juror might say: Gee, maybe they don't have a right. Maybe the judge made some type of a ruling.

I don't see any harm in the Court just telling the jury that the defendants have a constitutional right to put on a defense.

I wouldn't request this of the Court if it hadn't been for the fact that they blurted this out several times that "We want to put on a defense."

So, I do feel that the Court should tell the jury that they have that right and that it is a decision that was made by the defense not to do so, but it was a voluntary decision.

MR. KANAREK: I would object to any such instruction, your Honor.

9.

21:

THE COURT: On what ground?

MR. KANAREK: On the ground, your Honor, that what it does, it invades Griffin vs. California.

In other words, it pinpoints the defendants not taking the witness stand.

THE COURT: It is pinpointed to the jury every time they open their mouths.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor has admonished the jury that they are not to consider that for any purpose, any of these matters, and it is a violation of the constitutional right to a fair trial which is guaranteed by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

THE COURT: It hasn't anything to do with Griffin.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the point is that it pinpoints the defendants not taking the witness stand.

THE COURT: They are pinpointing it themselves, Mr. Kanarek, every time they open their mouths.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor has admonished the jury to that effect. Your Honor is giving certain jury instructions already that I have objected to. Your Honor has indicated that. It is well covered.

THE COURT: You are way off the point, Mr. Kanarek. In my opinion, it has nothing to do with Griffin. It has to do with the defendants continually repeating to the jury that they want to put on a defense when their counsel have rested.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

1À

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26.

MR. BUGLIOSI: And to call witnesses.

MR. KANAREK: Including in that is their right to take the witness stand as part of their defense, and in that sense it invades Griffin vs. California.

THE COURT: I am not going to do anything about it at this point. What may be done in the future depends on what the defendants do later on.

The jury was present and heard counsel say that the defense rested. They heard that. I don't think anything further has to be done at this time.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The other point, your Honor, People's Exhibit 8, the big diagram, I will be referring to it today. There is no way the jury can see all the little markings unless it is up close. It is going to be quite a job.

Yesterday, because of the inconvenience, I never even went to People's 8 several times. I just referred to it.

It is too much of a hassle to go over there, and the jury can't see anyway.

I am just wondering whether we should bring it up close. It would cause a five or ten-minute delay to move it up in front of the jury.

THE CLERK: To see the details on that, you have got to be this close to it.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would have no objection to that exhibit being put in a position, because I think --

THE COURT: Well, this is something for the People to ļ decide. This is your argument, Mr. Bugliosi, if you want to 2 move it up close and can do so without disrupting the trial, 3 or creating some other problem, go ahead and do it. 4 I don't know why we have to have a discussion 5 about it. It is no different from any other exhibit. 6 I realize you have a problem, but I don't know 7 what the answer is other than putting it on the blackboard 8 and wheeling it over there. 9 MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay, all set to go. 10 MR. KEITH: I would like to offer a couple of 11 instructions. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. KEITH: If the Court please, the citations in 14 connection with the special instructions I wrote out on the 15 second page -- unfortunately my secretary did not quite 16 execute it the way I wanted it. 17 MR. KAY: Do you have a copy for me? 18 MR. KEITH: Yes. 19 CALJIC 6.22 is supposed to be given sui sponde. 20 I could not find it. 21 THE COURT: I will take this under consideration. 22 Mr. Keith. 23 I don't intend to discuss it now. MR. KEITH: 24 THE COURT: We will discuss it, then, at some later 25 date. 26

24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury, all defendants being present; all counsel are present except Mr. Hughes.)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

Yes. Miss Van Houten.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: I have a motion I would like to make this morning.

THE COURT: What is the nature of the motion?

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Change of attorney.

THE COURT: This is the same motion you made yesterday.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Well, yesterday the woman whom I wished to substitute Mr. Keith for was not present, and I found out later on she was held outside the courtroom until the motion was denied, and shortly after Mr. Bugliosi started his testimony she was let in. She was here.

THE COURT: I am not going to hear it now. I will hear you further when we take up our recess this morning.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: I wish to take it up now, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am not going to hear it now.

DEFENDANT YAN HOUTEN: I am going to take it up now.

THE COURT: Remove Miss Van Houten from the courtroom

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: I am not going to fight you. I will fight you, but not right now.

DEFENDANT KRENWINKEL: Why not kill us here now instead of taking us, separating us and removing us in a separate room?

THE COURT: Remove Miss Krenwinkel from the courtroom.

DEFENDANT ATKINS: Someone should bring you a bowl of
water so you can wash your hands because --

THE COURT: Remove Miss Atkins from the courtroom.

DEFENDANT ATKINS: (while passing jury) Anyone here
like more water?

THE COURT: Mr. Manson, if you don't sit down and remain quiet you will be removed also, sir.

Sit down.

DEFENDANT MANSON: I have been removed.

THE COURT: Assist Mr. Manson back to his seat.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

DEFENDANT MANSON: You cannot be serious. Are you actually serious? Are you people serious about this? Your whole Constitution, everything you found it on --

THE COURT: Remove Mr. Manson from the courtroom.

DEFENDANT MANSON: -- is the right of a man to defend himself.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, all the jurors and counsel, with the exception of Mr. Hughes, being present, the defendants being absent.)

THE COURT: Were you able to get all of that colloquy, Mr. Reporter?

24

25

THE REPORTER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench momentarily?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yesterday, talking about Officer

DeRosa, the first officer, the fact he was the first

officer who arrived at the scene on the morning of August 9th

Saturday morning --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have a request to make

May I sit where one of the deputies are sitting so I may see this chart? It is at an angle. I cannot see it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I am not going to use it now.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, you are not?

Thank you.

MR. BUGLIOSI: As De Rosa left the premises he opened up the gate to the Tate residence, or the Tate premises, by pushing this button on the inside of the gate, by pushing this button, activating the front gate, and then someone can leave the premises.

As you notice, there is considerable blood around the button. Whether or not there were any finger-prints on that button we don't know, but assuming there were.

22

23

24

25

ŀ

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

,10 ,

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

Šľ

19

**2**0

21

22

23

3a

24

25

26

De Rosa placed his fingers on the button.

Of course, that would have removed or obliterated the fingerprint, because it would have been a superimposure.

Actually, DeRosa's primary job there was to preserve the scene, but, as I say, if there had been a fingerprint there, the superimposure would have obliterated it.

De Rosa did testify that he did not move anything on the premises; that it was his job along with Whisenhunt and Burbridge to preserve the scene for the arrival of the investigating officers.

Going back just momentarily to Winifred Chapman;

Mrs. Chapman testified when she arrived on the premises in the morning she noticed that the back door leading to the pool was also open. She did not go back there, but I think she looked through the entire length of the residence and saw that the back door leading to the pool was open.

The reason this door was open, obviously, is that Patricia Krenwinkel undoubtedly chased Abigail Folger out this back door, and I'm sure that when Patricia did that she did not bother to come back to close the door.

3a-1

2İ

Katie had more important things to do, to-wit, stab Abigail to death.

Mrs. Chapman testified that she ran to the home next to the Tate residence after observing the bodies, but she did not get in, so she went to the second house, and that would be the house of Mr. Asin. Asin called the police. I mentioned his testimony earlier.

A few days after August 9, 1969, Mrs. Chapman returned to the premises with the police in an effort to determine whether anything was missing from the premises.

Nothing was missing except a tripod which was kept in a closet. That was not there any more.

Well, now, obviously these defendants did not brutally and savagely murder these victims for a tripod. There is no question about that.

Either Sharon had moved the tripod away from the closet to another place on the premises, or perhaps the tripod had been taken from the premises without Mrs. Chapman's knowledge, but certainly these murders weren't over some tripod.

Officer Whisenhunt testified he was the second officer to arrive at the scene. His testimony was basically corroborative of the testimony of De Rosa and, as I indicated, Whisenhunt did testify to observing the screen off the window, the same screen that Linda Kasabian said she saw Tex Watson cut horizontally, and Whisenhunt actually drew a red line indicating the location of the slit.

I

2

3

5

·6

7

9

10

11

**12** 

13<sup>2</sup>

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

on the screen horizontally.

He testified that he did not move anything on the premises either.

Officer Burbridge, the third officer who arrived on the scene, again his testimony was corroborative of Whisenhunt's and De Rosa's.

Burbridge identified these two pieces of wood here. He said he found them on the floor of the Tate residence, People's 122 is a photograph of these two pieces of wood, beneath a chair in the Tate residence.

He said he first saw the two pieces of wood in the entranceway of the Tate residence, but eventually they ended up under this chair, as depicted in People's 122,

He doesn't know how they got there. In the confusion of all of the officers arriving at the scene someone must have kicked it under the chair, although no one has copped out yet to that.

But somehow it got from the entranceway where he first saw it to underneath the chair.

And Burbridge testified he also did not move anything on the premises.

Raymond Kilgrow, the representative of the telephone company, testified he arrived at the scene and discovered four telephone wires had been cut and were draped over the front gate of the Tate residence.

Sergeant McGann, one of the investigating officers assigned to the Tate case, arrived at the

residence about 1:30 p.m. on August 9th, besides noticing the cut telephone wires he also noticed that a communication wire leading from the bottom of the telephone pole outside of the front gate to the rear of the Tate residence was cut.

4-1

1

· 2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21<sup>.</sup>

22 23

24.

25

.. 26

And he identified People's 125 through 137.

I won't show you these photographs now, but these are photographs depicting the progression of the telephone wires from the bottom of the telephone pole to the rear of the Tate residence.

McGann inspected the entire premises and testified that the Tate residence was not ransacked.

The Tate residence was not ransacked. There were no drawers open.

The significance of this, of course, is that there is a strong indication, along with all the other evidence, that these murders were not committed to carry out any robbery or burglary or anything like that.

In fact, as further evidence this was not a robbery, McGann testified to finding eight \$1 bills and \$1.64 in change in Miss Folger's purse, for a total of \$9.64.

He found two \$1 bills and 44 cents in change, for a total of \$2.44 in Frykowski's wallet.

Four \$20 bills, for a total of \$80 in Sebring's wallet.

He found one \$10 bill, one \$5 bill and three \$1 bills on top of the nightstand, in plain view, in Sharon Tate's bedroom.

He also found one \$5 bill and four \$1 bills in Mr. Parent's wallet.

He also found a man's wrist watch and clock-radio

10 .

15.

20.

. 

in Parent's car. This wrist watch was in Parent's car, too.

Very obviously, one or more of the Tate victims when I say "Tate victims," I am basically referring to five people, although other than Parent there were four people inside the residence — one or more of these victims obviously used marijuana and drugs, since McGann found 6.9 grams of marijuana inside a sack inside a cabinet in the living room, 30 grams of hashish was found in a drawer in a nightstand in a room occupied by Folger and Frykowski, and 10 capsules of MDA were found in the same nightstand also.

One gram of Cocaine was found inside Sebring's 1968 Porsche. Also 2.9 grams were found inside a container in Sebring's car. 3.4 grams of marijuana was found inside a small can inside the car. Also a 2-inch marijuana roach was found in Sebring's car.

A roach is the remains of a marijuana cigarette.

4a-1

2

3.

4 5

6

. 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

**1**5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

McGann testified that he found no shell casings, no empty shell casings, on the Tate premises.

Now, you will recall, Sergeant McGann testified, in fact, Sergeant Lee, the firearms expert also testified, that when a revolver is fired -- and of course, this is a revolver right here -- when a revolver is fired, the shell casings, the empty shell casings, are not ejected onto the ground. They are retained in the cylinder.

When an automatic or a semi-automatic is fired, apparently the shell casings are ejected onto the ground. But not with a revolver.

So, the absence of shell casings on the premises is completely consistent with the fact that the murder weapon was a revolver in this case. In this case, a .22 caliber revolver.

Question of Mr. McGann:

"Sergeant McGann, during your investigation at the scene of the Tate murders, did you approach the front door of the Tate residence?

"Yes. I did.

"Were there any bushes, in the vicinity of the front door?

"Yes, there were.

"Do you recall what the condition of the bushes were?

"There were a group of bushes just north of

Ţ

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**ļ2** 

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22:

23 24

left.

25

26

"the porch which leads to the front door, and there was a bush which was broken down or broken spread apart just north of the porch.

"When you say just north, for the benefit of the judge and the jury, as one would be walking out of the Tate residence, walking out the door, would the bush be to the left or to the right?

"The bush would be to the left as you exited the home.

"And looking at the front door from the outside, the bush would be to the right; is that correct?

"That is correct."

McGann identified People's 94 as being a photograph of the damaged bush. So, exiting the front door of the Tate residence, coming out, this bush would be to the left.

This, of course, is completely consistent with Linda Kasabian's testimony. She testified that she saw Frykowski come out of the door and his face was covered with blood and he stood near a post.

That is the post right here.

Then she said he fell into some bushes to his

So, the damaged bushes being found at the scene of the Tate residence on the morning of August 9th

**.** 

,

---

. 19

confirms and corroborates Linda Kasabian's testimony.

Mr. Finken, an investigator in the Coroner's office, testified that he arrived at the scene at 1:45 p.m. on August the 9th.

In addition to severing the rope which connected Sharon Tate's body to Jay Sebring's body, he also removed a wedding ring and a pair of ear pins from Sharon Tate, and also a very expensive wristwatch, a Cartier wristwatch, from Jay Sebring.

I don't know if some of you are familiar with Cartier wristwatches, but very frequently they run in excess of a thousand dollars.

That watch was still on Jay Sebring's wrist, again negating any type of a robbery motive.

4b-1

1 2

.3

#

5

7

8

×

10

11

12

14

15 16

17.

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

There was a stipulation -- you know what a stipulation is by now. That is when the attorneys get together, the precious few times when the attorneys agree on anything, but a stipulation is when the attorneys agree that a certain situation is a fact, it is not contested.

There was a stipulation that the cars found on the Tate premises by the police on the morning of August the 9th, 1969, were the following:

A 1965 Rambler registered to Wilfred and Juanita Parent;

A 1969 Chevrolet rented by Sharon Tate from Airways Rent-a-Car on August the 4th, 1969;

A 1968 Porsche registered to Jay Sebring; And a 1968 Pontiac registered to Abigail Folger.

Dr. Noguchi. Dr. Noguchi, of course, is the Coroner of Los Angeles County.

He conducted the autopsy on the body of Sharon Tate and supervised and directed the autopsies on the bodies of the other four Tate victims, Frykowski, Folger, Sebring and Parent.

Dr. Noguchi performed the autopsy on Sharon on August the 10th at the Coroner's Office.

Her cause of death was determined to be "multiple stab wounds of the chest and back, penetrating the heart, lungs and liver, causing massive hemorrhage."

He found 16 stab wounds on Sharons body, all of

which were penetration wounds.

Four of the stab wounds were found in the chest, one stab wound to the abdomen, eight stab wounds in the back, one stab wound in the right upper arm, one stab wound in the left upper arm, and one stab wound in the right thigh.

Five of the stab wounds were fatal in and of themselves. Five were fatal all by themselves. Each one of the five would have been sufficient to kill Sharon Tate.

He also found two incised wounds, which he described as sharp cuts on Sharon's left forearm.

She had no gunshot wounds.

The diagrams of Sharon's body on which Dr.

Noguchi inserted the location of all the wounds, and the several photographs of the various portions of Sharon's body taken by the Coroner I am not going to show you now, but you will have them back in the jury room for your personal examination.

In fact, the diagrams and the Coroner's photos for all the victims, I am not going to go into that now, but you will have it back in the jury room.

Dr. Noguchi also testified that he observed two rope burn abrasions to Sharon's left cheek. Two rope burn abrasions to Sharon's left cheek. And he concluded that these rope burn abrasions were caused when Sharon was hanged.

26

25

1

2

3:

Š

Ġ

7

8

9

10

1Ì

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

4đ

Sharon was hanged at the scene. Maybe the correct grammar is hung.

Now, you will recall from the testimony of Officer Granado that the rope connected Sharon Tate's neck with Jay Sebring's neck, and it was also flung over a wood beam, and then it fell back onto the floor.

And Granado testified that if one were to pull the rope, it would have tightened around Sharon's neck, not Jay Sebring's neck.

4c-1

2

Ŧ

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

ļľ

12. 13

14

15

**1**6

**17** 

18

19.

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

You recall the testimony on that. So, although we cannot be sure, it is entirely possible that Sharon received these two rope burn abrasions when either Tex, Katie or Sadie -- probably Tex -- pulled on the rope, perhaps temporarily suspending Sharon in the air.

But the cause of her death was not hanging.

The doctor's autopsy discovered an eightmonth old fetus, unborn baby, in Sharon's uterus.

The doctor estimated that the unborn baby could not have lived in Sharon's womb more than 15 or 20 minutes after Sharon died.

Now, although from a legal standpoint an unborn baby cannot be the subject of a homicide, I think you will all agree with me that in a very, very real sense, six human beings lost their lives.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object to this.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Not five.

MR. KANAREK: It serves no useful purpose, and I would ask your Honor -- may we approach the bench on this?

THE COURT: Is that your objection, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: I would like to enunciate it at the bench, if I may.

THE COURT: It won't be necessary.

The objection is overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Six human beings, not five, met their death at the hands of these defendants in the early morning hours of August the 9th, 1969.

Miss Folger's autopsy was conducted on August the 10th. The cause of death was "stab wound of the aorta." That is the large blood vessel.

Miss Folger had 28 stab wounds. I am not going to break down the location of the wounds for you as I did with Sharon Tate. All of which, however, were penetration wounds, and five or six of which were fatal in and of themselves.

Every one of these wounds must have been deep penetration wounds. No one was playing games with these knives.

A few of Miss Folger's wounds were defense wounds.

Dr. Noguchi testified that in the terminology of autopsies, the term defense wound indicates a wound sustained during a defensive motion. The wound indicates a decedent's action, the decedent's trying to guard himself from further injury.

Jay Sebring's autopsy was also on August the 10th, 1969.

The cause of death was exanguination due to multiple stab wounds.

The doctor said by exanguination that Jay

25

20

21.

22

23

24

Sebring simply bled to death.

Mr. Sebring had seven stab wounds, all of which were penetration wounds, and three of which were fatal in and of themselves.

Sebring also had one gunshot wound which the doctor also felt would have been fatal.

This bullet entered the left side of Sebring's chest, penetrated the left lung, and exited the left side of Sebring's back, where Dr. Noguchi recovered the bullet inside the back of Mr. Sebring's shirt.

It entered Sebring's body and passed all the way through and was lodged between his back and his shirt, where Dr. Noguchi found the bullet.

4d-1

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14 15

16

\_\_\_

17 18

19.

20

21

22

24

25

26

This is the bullet right here, People's 166.

You will recall that Sergeant Lee later testified that this bullet definitely and unequivocally was fired from this revolver here. People's 40.

That is the revolver, of course, which was found by Steven Weiss on September the December 1st, 1969, and which has been connected with Charles Manson and the Spahn Ranch.

I will go into this in much more detail later.

Mr. Sebring was also observed to have a series of contusions on his face caused by "a heavy object capable ofdelivering blunt force."

Dr. Noguchi gave an example of a human fist but he had no way of knowing for sure what caused these particular contusions.

However, recall that the butt of People's 40 had Mr. Sebring's blood type on it. Of course, the butt of the revolver would also be a heavy object capable of delivering blunt force.

Voityck Frykowski's autopsy wason August the 10th, 1969.

He had multiple stab wounds of the body causing massive hemorrhage.

Frykowski, ladies and gentlemen -THE COURT: Just a moment, Mr. Bugliosi.
(Pause while the clerk adjusts the air

conditioning behind the jurors.)

.8

10.

21,

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr Frykowski had 51 stab wounds. 51 stab wounds. All of which were penetration wounds. Seven of which were fatal in and of themselves. Five of the stab wounds were to Mr. Frykowski's back.

Now, you will recall that Linda testified that Frykowski was on his knees in front of the Tate residence, and she saw Tex stab Frykowski in the back. That was Linda's testimony.

Now, Dr. Noguchi comes along and, lo and behold, Voityck Frykowski does have five stab wounds on his back.
Again, completely corroborating Linda Kasabian's testimony.

The doctor also found 13 deep lacerations about a quarter of an inch in depth to the top of Mr. Frykowski's head, and he concluded that collectively, although perhaps not individually, they were also fatal.

MR. KANAREK: I hate to interrupt Mr. Bugliosi, but that is a misstatement of law. That is not legal corroboration.

I object to the use of the word "corroboration" in that context, because your Honor is going to instruct the jury that what he is saying is corroboration --

MR. BUGLIOSI: He is arguing in front of the jury and I object.

THE COURT: State the objection.

MR. KANAREK; The objection is that corroboration --

THE COURT: You have stated that. 1 Anything further? 2 MR. KANAREK: Yes. My objection is that Mr. Bugliosi 3 is misstating the law. 4 THE COURT: He is not. He has not misstated the law. ·5. The objection is overruled. 6 Sit down, Mr. Kanarek. You are interrupting. Ź. MR. KANAREK: Then may I approach the bench? THE COURT: No. The jury will receive their instructions on the 10 law from the court, and those are the only instructions 11 they are to follow. 12 You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

4e-1

Ż

1

3

4

6

7

8

9. 10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Then may I ask the Court to ask Mr. Bugliosi if he is using the word "corroboration" in the legal sense that he --

THE COURT: You have made your objection, Mr. Kanarek.
Let's proceed.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Kanarek says he hates to interrupt me, but he finds it exceptionally easy to do so.

The question of Dr. Noguchi was:

"Have you formed any opinion as to the type of instrument he used to cause these lacerations?

"A Yes, I have.

"Q What is that opinion?

"A In my opinion, based on the appearance of the widely separated, irregular, in some areas, comewhat curved wounds, I would think that the weapon involved would be heavy, but it has to be a blunt instrument.

"Q A heavy blunt instrument?

"A Yes. The surface of the instrument would not have any sharp cutting edge.

"This was based on the lack of any identifiable cut on the surface of the scalp, laceration on the surface of the scalp, and based on the length of the laceration, I would think the object, the contact object that is involved should have a contact surface which should be more

Ź

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

•

23

24

.25

<u>2</u>6

"than two inches in length.

"I show you People's 40, a 22 Longhorn revolver."

That is the revolver right there.

"Looking at the revolver, Doctor, People's
40 for identification, would the butt, that is,
the handle of this revolver, in your opinion,
be able to cause the type of lacerations you found
on Mr. Frykowski's head?

"A In my opinion, which I base from the appearance of the laceration, that certainly is a heavy instrument, and it being a curved laceration and there is no sharp penetrating type of object involved, this pistol" -- pointing to People's 40 -- "would most likely create a similar laceration as found on the scalp of the decedent."

So, it appears that very likely the 13 deep lacerations on Mr. Frykowski's head were caused when Frykowski was struck viciously over the head with the butt of this revolver.

You recall that Officer Granada did find Mr. Frykowski's blood type on the hammer of the revolver, People's 40, and also inside the left handgrip of People's 40.

Dr. Noguchi te stified that there were two gunshot wounds on Mr. Frykowski's body. One of the gunshot İ

2

3· 4.

·5.

6

7 .

8

9 10

**1,1** 

12 13

1,4

15

4e end 16 5 fls.

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

wounds was in the back, and that was fatal in and of itself.

The bullet that caused the fatal wound was recovered from the midportion of Mr. Frykowski's body, and turned over to Sergeant Lee on August 10th.

The second gunshot wound was to the front left side. It was not a fatal wound. And the bullet has never been recovered.

Mr. Frykowski had seven or eight defense wounds on his right hand and left forearm.

Dr. Noguchi testified that several of Mr. Frykowski's wounds appeared to have been inflicted very shortly after death, in that the coloring around the wounds were pinkish in color rather than dark red, which is the color surrounding wounds when they are inflicted at a time when the heart is still beating and pumping blood to the particular area.

-1

1

2. 3

4

5

O

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

August 10th. The cause of death was multiple gunshot wounds.

Mr. Parent had five gunshot wounds, two of which gunshot wounds, one and two, were fatal in and of themselves.

Note, Dr. Noguchi said that Steven Parent had five gunshot wounds, however he testified that Parent was only shot four times, inasmuch as two of the gunshot wounds, gunshot wounds 2 and 4 were caused by the same bullet.

So Parent, according to Dr. Noguchi was only shot four times.

This is completely consistent, of course, with the testimony of Linda Kasabian who testified that Tex Watson shot Steven Parent four times.

Gunshot wound No. 1, and when the Doctor said No. 1, this was not in the sequence the bullets were fired, because he had no way of knowing in what sequence the bullets were fired.

Gunshot wound No. 1 entered Parent's left chest, and the bullet was recovered in the back of the windpipe area and turned over to Sergeant Lee.

Gunshot No. 2 also penetrated the left chest, and the bullet was recovered from the left lung and turned over to Sergeant Lee.

Gunshot wound No. 3 entered Mr. Parent's left

Ì

2

**3** .

4

5

б

7

8

9

ΙÓ

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 . 24

**~**#

25

26

cheek. The doctor believes that this bullet exited the inside of Mr. Parent's cheek and exited his body through his mouth.

This whole bullet was never found. However, the doctor did observe bullet fragments in Mr. Parent's head during a fluoroscopic examination.

Also, as you recall, bullet fragments were found by Sergeant Lee on the front passenger door of Parent's car.

Gunshot wound No. 4 entered the left forearm of Mr. Parent, passed through and through his forearm, which Dr. Noguchi believed to have been flexed upwards at the time that Parent was shot, and in Dr. Noguchi's opinion re-entered Mr. Parent's body at the point in the diagram where he indicated gunshot wound No. 2.

So gunshot wounds 2 and 4 were caused by one and the same bullet.

Gunshot wound No. 5 passed through and through Mr. Parent's left wrist. This bullet was never recovered.

However, Sergeant Varney did find a bullet fragment, People's 250, in the back seat of Parent's car.

Sergeant Lee testified that this bullet fragment did not appear to come from the same bullet that the bullet fragments found on the passenger door of Parent's car came from. Therefore, this fragment could have been from the fourth bullet, the fourth bullet causing gunshot wound No. 5.

Mr. Parent also had a defense wound to his left

hand which, in Dr. Noguchi's opinion, was caused by a knifelike instrument.

Noguchi testified there was no evidence of sexual molestation or mutilation to any of these five victims! bodies.

The total number of stab wounds to the five victims was 102.

Ladies and gentlemen, 102 stab wounds!

Dr. Noguchi testified that he examined all

102 wounds in an effort to determine the dimensions of the blade that caused the wounds.

Although he did not know how many weapons were used, he felt all 102 wounds were caused by the same type of weapon, namely, a strong, sharp cutting instrument.

Although he did not conclude that the weapon was a bayonet, he said it was an instrument "that would deliver the same type of wound pattern as would a bayonet."

The doctor ruled out a kitchen knife or a pocket knife.

With respect to the dimensions of the blade, let's look at Linda's testimony, I mentioned it earlier, and I told you I would go into a comparative evaluation when I discussed Dr. Noguchi's testimony.

Let's look at Linda's testimony now in relation to Dr. Noguchi's testimony and, as I state, Dr. Noguchi measured the wounds, formed an opinion as to the type of

O,

**ź**3

ia

blade that caused the wounds.

Now, you recall that Linda testified that there were three knives in that car on the night of the Tate murders, although she said Tex may have had a knife on his person she was unaware of.

One knife was P-39, her buck knife, which she brought to the ranch when she joined the family. However, P-39 was not the knife that she took with her on the night of the Tate murders, though it was in the car.

And there were two other knives in the car. These are the two knives that she threw out of the car. These are the two knives that never have been found.

Linda testified that the blade on these two knives appeared to be the same.

I asked her for an estimate on the dimension of the blades, and this was her testimony:

Length: first Linda indicated with her hands a length of 6-3/8 inches; Linda held out her hands and I went up there with a little ruler and measured the distance.

When I measured the distance the second time it came up five and a half inches, but we are still in the vicinity now of 6 inches for the blade.

Dr. Noguchi testified that in his opinion the length of the blades that caused the wounds to the Tate victims was at least five inches, and possibly more.

He said at least five inches and possibly more.

5a-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

ΙÒ

Ü

12

13 14

15

16

17. 18

. 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Let's talk about the width. Linda again estimated the width with her hands, and I measured the width to be one inch, just an estimation on her part; she is no engineer or mathematician or anything like that.

Dr. Noguchi testified that in his opinion the width of the blade on the bayonet-type knife which caused, the wound was between one inch and one and a half inches.

Thickness: Linda testified that the thickness was "Thicker than a regular kitchen knife, maybe two and three thicknesses."

Dr. Noguchi estimated the thickness of the blade to be one-eighth to one-quarter of an inch, which would be perhaps two or three thicknesses of the regular kitchen knife.

Linda testified that she saw both knives were sharp from the top to the hilt on the bottom, and sharp about one inch back on the top, and then flattened out back to the hilt, if there was a hilt.

In fact, she testified that she had sharpened knives just like these two knives at the ranch. She said she may have even sharpened these two particular knives at the ranch.

Linda's testimony then indicated that both knives had a double-edged blade.

Both knives, according to Linda, then, had a double-edged blade at least at the beginning.

5a-2

5

And then on the top it flattened out about one inch back.

Dr. Noguchi testified approximately -- this is kind of a complicated testimony so I will try to go slowly.

Dr. Noguchi testified approximately two-thirds of the 102 wounds to the Tate victims appear to have been caused by a knife with a double-edged blade, sharpened from tip to hilt on one side and sharp one and one-half to two inches back on the other side, and then flat or serrated back to the hilt.

He testified that one-third of the wounds, the remaining one-third could have been caused by a single- U edged blade.

However, he testified that even the wounds which appeared to have been caused by a single-edged blade could also have been caused by the same blade which was double-edged at the beginning, and then flattened out one and a half or two inches back.

In other words, Dr. Noguchi's testimony, and he so testified on the witness stand, all of the 102 wounds could have been caused by a blade that was double-edged.

We will get a little more descriptive now and see what we are talking about when we refer to a double-edged blade and single-edged blade.

As you can see, ladies and gentlemen, this is a sketch, People's 190, drawn by Dr. Noguchi, and this,

right here, is the type of wound which is caused in Dr. Noguchi's opinion by a single-edged blade, and the type of wound.

You will notice that the wound comes to a point at one end and it flattens out at the other end for the simple reason that the configuration of a single-edged blade is that it is flat on top and sharp on the bottom.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I hate to interrupt, but I cannot see what Mr. Bugliosi is now doing, if one of those deputies -- or if I can stand some place -- I cannot see the detail, your Honor.

THE COURT: You are not familiar with this exhibit, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: I am familiar with it, but I have not got them memorized, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: You'd better get up close because I am distorting everything, Mr. Kanarek, you'd better take a good look here.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, there is no need for that sarcasm.

THE COURT: You can stand up next to the gentleman on the left of the diagram, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. BUGLIOSI: So a single-edged blade, according to Dr. Noguchi, causes a wound that is flat on one end of the wound and comes to a point on the other end.

On the other hand, People's 188, this is

23

24

Ţ

an enlargement of a wound to Sharon Tate's body, the doctor testified that this was the type of wound caused by a double-edged blade, as you can see it comes to a point at both ends, to a point at both ends, because the blade is sharp on the top and at the bottom.

Dr. Noguchi, as I say, indicated that twothirds of the 102 Tate victim wounds were caused by a blade
which was double-edged, but again he added that even the
remaining one-third could have been caused by a blade
which was double-edged at the beginning and then flattened
out one and a half to two inches back.

In other words, with such a blade, with a double-edged blade, up to a depth of one and a half or two inches, the wound would come to a point at both ends.

But then when the blade or knife penetrated further into the body, the wound would flatten out on one end, leading one to believe of course that the blade that caused the wound was a single-edged blade, when in point of fact it was a blade which was double-edged at the beginning.

Linda's description of those two knives, ladies and gentlemen, the thickness, the width and the length, even the fine point of the double-edged blade feature, just proves beyond all doubt that the two knives that she was talking about and the knives that Dr. Noguchi was talking about are the same knives.

If she didn't see those two murder weapons inside that car, the likelihood is extremely remote that her estimate of the dimensions would come as close to the dimensions and description and conclusions of the knives given by Dr. Noguchi, her estimated dimensions and description were remarkably close to the dimensions, descriptions and conclusions given by Dr. Noguchi.

Dr. Noguchi testified that a toxicology examination was performed on the bodies of all five victims, a toxicology examination being a chemical examination to determine the amount and type of drugs or poisons found in the body.

Stephen Parent had .02 percent alcohol in his blood.

Voityck Frykowski had .6 milligrams of the drug, MDA in his urine.

Abigail Folger had a blood alcohol content of .05 percent. She also had 2.4 milligrams of the drug MDA in her urine. There was no toxicological substance found in the blood or urine of Sharon Tate and Jay Sebring.

Officer Boen. He is assigned to the Scientific Investigation Division of the Los Angeles Police Department Latent Fingerprint Section.

He has had 150 hours of individual instruction in the field of fingerprints. He has conducted in excess of 3500 field investigations of fingerprints. He compared

21

22

23

24

in excess of 100,000 fingerprints.

When I asked him what a latent fingerprint is, he said, "Then you place your hand on an object, it acts sert of like a secop, impressing the friction ridges on the object.

to bear ories by a mine that is not nergally bear by the mine eye, therefore we is a filed a latent or hidden print."

on the date, August 9, 1309, he can to the Tate residence of around moon for the curpust of attempting to secure latent fingerprints.

He testified he lifted several latent fingerprints, among which was a latent fingerprint from the outside of the front door of the Tate residence.

People's 245 here is an exhibit board containing several photographs.

245-A, which is a photograph of Officer Been pointing to the spot on the front door where he lifted the latent fingerprints.

245-B is a close-up of 245-A.

245-C is a photograph of the actual latent print. Been found on the deer and 245-D is an enlargement of 245-C.

24

25

5b-1

1

2

4

5

6 7

8.

9

.10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

8Í

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

We go to 245-E and 245-F later.

Boen testified that the print was located approximately between 6 and 8 inches above the door knob near the edge of the door.

He testified that the print was of the right ring finger, and the tip of the finger, the tip of the finger was pointing away from the edge of the door, and in a slightly downward direction.

Now the significance of that is that the person who left his print on that door must have been inside the Tate residence, coming out the front door, at the time he left that print.

The person, of course, we know now to be Charles Tex Watson. There is no question about that.

If Watson had been outside that front door at the time he left the print in the location in which it was found, it would have been highly difficult and extremely unnatural for him to leave the print in the location in which it was found.

Let's use the front of this podium as an example, let's assume that this is the front door of the Tate residence.

Now, Tex Watson was inside of the Tate residence coming out that front door; as he came out the front door, if he grasped the door like this -- like this -- his right ring finger would have left the print in the location in

which it was found by Boen.

18.

On the other hand, if Watson was outside the front door, outside the front door at the time he left his print, to leave the print in the location in which it was found by Boen, Watson would actually have had to have done this, which would be extremely unnatural and difficult (indicating).

You all get what I am trying to do here, he literally would have to bend his arm to leave the print like that, whereas if he is coming out the front door it would be natural for him to grab the door and pull it open.

So the very location, the very location of the print, and the position of the print on that front door, places Tex Watson inside the Tate residence.

The very position, unless, as I say, we are to assume that Watson came up to the front door and went like this (indicating).

It's just very, very highly unlikely.

I might say, well, Tex Watson was inside the Tate residence coming out of the front door, why wouldn't he just grab the front door knob, open the door, and then he would not have left his print in the position Boen found it.

You must remember Susan Atkins told Virginia Graham that Voityck Frykowski ran out of the front door on the front lawn --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor --

ľ

8.

0

2

THE COURT: State your objection.

MR. KANAREK: The objection is, your Honor, your Honor well knows --

THE COURT: State the objection.

MR. KANAREK: The objection is that that particular argument cannot be used against Mr. Manson at all, because --

THE COURT: The jury will be instructed on that.

MR. KANAREK: I would ask your Honor --

THE COURT: If that is the objection, Mr. Kanarek, it is overruled. Let's proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Susan Atkins told Virginia Graham that Voityck Frykowski ran out the front door onto the front lawn and obviously Tex Watson chased him out the door.

When Frykowski ran out of the front door, obviously he probably did not close it behind him.

No one was too concerned that particular night, including Patricia Krenwinkel, with any etiquette of Emily Post or anyone like that. They were not stopping and closing doors, things like that.

Frykowski runs out the front door, leaves it open behind him; and then Tex, pursuing him, comes upon the door, grasps it like this, pulls it open a little bit more and then walks out of the door.

So I think by far the most reasonable persuasive inference is that the location of that print on that door places Tex Watson inside the Tate residence.

5c

Officer Escalante testified on April 23, 1969, at the Valley Services Division of the Los Angeles Police Department he rolled the fingerprints of Charles Tex Watson on an exemplar card, and he identified People's 36 as being a photograph of Charles Tex Watson, not the way he looked here in court; it looks like he was wearing his Sunday-best suit, and closely-cropped hair.

This is a photo of Tex -- incidentally,
Linda Kasabian also identified this photograph earlier
as being a photograph of Charles Tex Watson.

ie-l

1 Ź

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

Ĭ3

Τ4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

Escalante identified People's 245-E as being the exemplar card containing the fingerprints of Charles Tex Watson. You will note that Escalante actually had the signature on this card.

245-F is an enlargement of box number 4 in this exemplar card.

Sergeant Dolan testified that he is assigned to the Scientific Investigation Division of the Los Angeles Police Department Latent Fingerprint Section:

> "Would you please relate your training and experience in the field of latent fingerprints?

> > Yes, sir. 11 A.

I have been assigned to the Latent Fingerprint Section for approximately seven vears.

"During this time I have been instructed in the science of fingerprints by Donald Sears, Officer Frank Perkins, and Officer Bill Walmsley, who have a total of 75 years of fingerprint experience between them.

"I have also attended a course at East Los Angeles College in the science of fingerprints.

"I have read numerous books and periodicals on the subject.

NT have made in excess of 8,000 investigations for latent fingerprints and I have made in excess of 500,000 comparisons of latent fingerprints.

"I have also testified in both the Los Angeles Municipal and Superior Courts approximately 260 times.

"Q Have you qualified as an expert in these courts in the field of latent fingerprints?

"A I have, sir.

"Q And also in the field of comparison of latent fingerprints with fingerprint exemplars?

"A I have, sir."

Unquestionably if any man ever was an expert, of course it would be Sergeant Dolan. A half million comparisons this man has made!

Dolan testified that he prepared the two exhibits for us, Exhibits 245 and 246, and that he ordered the enlargements of the two exemplars, 245-F and 246-F.

Dolan testified, ladies and gentlemen, that on November 30th, 1969, and several times thereafter, not just once but several times, he compared the latent fingerprints, 245-C, this print on the front door of the Tate residence, he compared to 245-C with Charles Tex Watson's fingerprint exemplar 245 and formed the opinion that the latent fingerprint and the right ring finger in box number 4 of Charles

Tex Watson's fingerprint exemplar belonged to one and the same person.

Now, he testified that his section in the Los Angeles Police Department required 10 points of identity between the exemplar and the latent fingerprint before they will give an unqualified opinion.

He said they will give opinions on less than 10 points of identity, but they require ten before they give a positive, unqualified opinion.

Dolan testified that there were 18 points, ladies and gentlemen, 18 points of identity between the latent fingerprint and Charles Tex Watson's exemplar.

We have proven that conclusively and to a scientific certainty that this print right here unquestionably, without a shadow of doubt, belonged to Charles Tex Watson who was named as a co-conspirator with these defendants in Count No. VIII of the Grand Jury Indictment.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, we will take the morning recess at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

5d-1

2

ŀ

3<sup>,</sup> 4

5.

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 (The following proceedings were had in open court out of the hearing of the jury, all counsel with the exception of by. hughes and Mr. Kanarek being present:)

THE COURT: The record will show Miss Van Houten is present, all counsel with the exception of Mr. Hughes and Mr. Kanarek are present, the jury is not present.

Did you have a motion, Miss Van Houten?

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Yes, I wish to substitute
for attorney Mrs. Mary Fielder, and dismiss Mr. Maxwell
Keith.

THE COURT: Is Miss Fielder present?

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Yes, she is.

MISS FIELDER: Your Honor, may I be heard?

THE COURT: Have you talked to Miss Van Houten before today, Miss Fielder?

MISS FIELDER: Yes, I did, and prior to that I had attempted to get in touch with her.

I understand that the Court --

THE COURT: When was the first time you talked to her?

MISS FIELDER: I talked to her last night.

THE COURT: That is the first kinn you car talked to her?

MISS FICLDER: Eut, I have been inferred -THE COUTT: WILL you ensure my question, please,
Miss Fielder.

2

3

ġ,

5

6,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

**1**6

17

18

19

20

21

:22

23

24

MISS FIELDER: Yes, yes, it was.

THE COURT: I see.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Now wait a minute, she's tried many times, your Honor. She tried many times to get in to see me, and because of the procedure that the Sheriff has she has not been able to get in.

THE COURT: Are you a member of the State Bar of California, Miss Fielder?

MISS FIELDER: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: When were you admitted?

MISS FIELDER: I was admitted in June of 1969. I have been in practice a year and a half.

THE COURT: Have you ever tried any criminal cases?
MISS FIELDER: I have.

THE COURT: In what court?

MISS FIELDER: In Federal Court, and in the Municipal Court.

I think Mr. Bugliosi referred to me as a novice attorney, but I have tried criminal trials.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I never made such statement. When was this?

THE COURT: You never tried a felony case in the Superior Court, is that correct?

MISS FIELDER: I have tried a felony case in the Federal Court.

THE COURT: You have not tried a felony case in the

25

ż

4

5

б

8

9

Superior Court, is that correct?

MISS FIELDER: That's correct.

THE COURT: What was the nature of the felony case in the Federal Court?

MISS FIELDER: It was a bank robbery.

THE COURT: Have you had any connection with any of the defendants or attorneys in this case?

I know all of the attorneys for the MISS FIELDER: defendants. I have been employed by Mr. Kanarek to go up to the jail.

As you know, in order to have witnesses go up, an attorney must be present.

I was retained for that purpose, and that has been my function.

THE COURT: Just a moment, I did not understand you. Your function has been what?

MISS, FIELDER: I have been retained by Mr. Kanarek to go up to the jail and to take witnesses up to the jail to see Mr. Manson, which I have done, and that has been my function in the case so far.

THE COURT: And were you present during the time these witnesses were conferring with Mr. Manson?

MISS FIELDER: This is essential according to the jail rules.

> Is the answer yes or no? THE COURT: MISS FIELDER: Yes.

26

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

THE COURT: You were also present at the time some photographs were taken at a gas station out near the Golden State Freeway, were you not?

MISS FIELDER: Yes, I was.

THE COURT: That was in connection with this case?
MISS FIELDER: Yes.

THE COURT: And were you also employed by Mr. Kanarek on that occasion?

MISS FIELDER: No. I was not.

THE COURT: Why did you happen to be there?

MISS FIELDER: Because my secretary had a camera and she --

THE COURT: How did you happen to be there?

MISS FIELDER: I was with my secretary.

THE COURT: What connection does your secretary have with this case?

MISS FIELDER: Because Mr. Kanarek asked her if he could use her camera to take certain photographs, and this was in the evening and I went with her.

THE COURT: Have you discussed any of the details of this case with Mr. Kenarek?

MISS FIELDER: In a most general way. Not -- not -I have not read the transcript. I have not got into --

THE COURT: I did not ask you about the transcript,
I asked you if you discussed the details or evidence of
this case with Mr. Kanareka

Į MISS FIELDER: Yes, but not in great detail -- in, in, 2 in a -- oh, how to phrase it -- yes, I have. 3 THE COURT: You have conferred with Mr. Manson 4 regarding the case? 5 MISS FIELDER: My function with Mr. Manson has been 6 to bring witnesses up for him to confer with. 7 THE COURT: Will you answer my question, Miss Fielder? 8 MISS FIELDER: No. 9. THE COURT: You have not conferred with Mr. Manson? Ĭ0: MISS FIELDER: No. THE COURT: But you were present while he was conferring 11 12 with witnesses, is that right? 13 MISS FIELDER: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Or prospective witnesses? MISS FIELDER: That is correct. 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 24 26

5e fls.

THE COURT: Of course, you never tried a capital case.
MISS FIELDER: No.

THE COURT: How long do you think you would require to prepare this case?

MISS FIELDER: I couldn't possibly tell you that offhand. I would feel that there would be a certain amount ---How long does it take to read 1800 pages?

THE COURT: How many?

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: We have 21 witnesses ready to go on the bench right now, your Honor.

THE COURT: You don't have any estimate as to how long it would take you to prepare for this case if you were substituted?

MISS FIELDER: Well, now, I don't.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MISS FIELDER: No.

THE COURT: The motion for substitution will be denied.

A further continuance at this time would amount to an unreasonable disruption of the orderly processes of judicial administration,

It appears to the Court that this motion is not made in good faith, and is made solely for the purpose of delay, disruption of the trial and to create --

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: That is your thought.

THE COURT: -- legal error in the event of an appeal.

22

23

24

25

26

Miss Van Houten has a competent attorney now who is prepared and is ready to go.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: According to your opinion.

To me he is quite inadequate.

THE COURT: Don't interrupt, Miss Van Houten.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Don't you interrupt me.

THE COURT: Further delay would prejudice the rights of both the co-defendants and the People to a speedy trial, and further delay in my opinion might also prejudice the rights of all of the parties to a fair trial in other regards.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: You have already prejudiced everyone.

THE COURT: The motion will be denied.

MISS FIELDER: Your Honor, may I direct one more statement:

I understand Miss Van Houten informed the Court a week ago that she intended to ask for me, and was given my name.

Now, I was not informed.

THE COURT: I have ruled on the motion, Miss Fielder.

MR. FITZGERALD: If the Court please, I wonder if I might be heard outside the presence of the jury on another matter?

THE COURT: You may.

Ģ - 7

ŢÓ

7

.

(The following proceedings were had after Defendant Van Houten left the courtroom.)

MR. FITZGERALD: As I indicated to your Honor yester-day afternoon and again this morning, a member of the general public, one Sandra Good, has been denied admittance by representatives of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office to the trial.

Again, at the recess, I attempted to secure her attendance within the courtroom, and I was informed by Sergeant Maupin of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office that it was his understanding, as the result of a conversation with the District Attorney's Office, that Miss Good was going to be a witness at the penalty phase of this trial and he, Mr. Maupin, has/ directive that all witnesses are to be excluded from the courtroom.

I discussed this matter briefly with Mr.

Bugliosi. He indicated there is a possibility she may be a witness on penalty on behalf of the prosecution, but that is a very, very slight possibility.

I also interviewed the other defense attorneys and I can represent to the Court at this time I do not intend to call her as a witness at penalty, nor do any of the other defense attorneys wish to call her as a witness.

THE COURT: What is your interest in Sandra Good, Mr. Fitzgerald?

6-1

3.

ľ

4

5

б

7

9

10

11 ,

12 13

14

**15**.

16 17

18 -

19

20

21

22

23

24 -

26

MR. FITZGERALD: Sandra Good is a close friend and personal acquaintance of my client, Patricia Krenwinkel. She is also acquainted with the Defendant Charles Manson, with the Defendant Susan Atkins, and the Defendant Leslie Van Houten.

She has personally contacted me, and I think that actually, on several occasions, I brought to the Court's attention that members of the general public have been excluded from the courtroom.

We would very sincerely request that she be allowed admittance.

In any event, if your Honor is inclined to believe that she may be called as a witness by either the prosecution or the defense, I would simply point out that no prejudice is going to inure to either side by allowing this person in the courtroom to listen to arguments.

It is simply that I get put in the middle frequently, when people, particularly friends and intimate acquaintances of the defendants, contact me and ask me why they are being excluded from the courtroom. I think I have a duty as a member of the Bar to ask and inquire and see why they are being excluded.

THE COURT: Let's bring the jury back, Mr. Murray.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor --

MR. FITZGERALD: Perhaps I have been inarticulate. Perhaps I should put it in the form of a motion so I can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

**′**9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

-21

22

23

24

25

26

get a ruling.

Is the Court of the opinion that she may not be admitted to the Courtroom?

THE COURT: No.

As I told you earlier today, Mr. Fitzgerald, if the Sheriff is keeping her out for some reason, it may have something to do with security, I don't know.

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, at this time, on behalf of Patricia Krenwinkel, I move for a mistrial on the grounds that members of the general public are being indiscriminately kept from the courtroom, and it denies the defendant her Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Amendment right in that she is being denied a public trial.

THE COURT: That is not the case, and I have no evidence that that is the case, and the motion will be denied.

MR. KANAREK: May I join in that motion, your Honor? THE COURT: Very well.

MR, KANAREK: I would like to apologize for being late. I was out at the telephone in the hallway.

THE COURT: Do you have a watch, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Consult it frequently.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I apologize, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the jury is brought into the court-

room.)

THE COURT: All counsel and jurors are present.
You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

Sergeant Boen also testified that on August the 9th, 1969, he lifted a latent fingerprint from the inside of the back door of the master bedroom of the Tate residence.

This is the master bedroom. This is Sharon Tate's bedroom. This is the door that leads to the pool on the Tate premises.

6a-1

. 2

á·

4

5

7

.8 .9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26.

People's 246 is another exhibit board prepared by Dolan.

Boen here, in 246-A, is pointing to the spot on the door where the latent fingerprint was lifted.

246-B is a close-up of that particular spot.

246-C is a photograph of the actual latent fingerprint found on the door.

246-D is an enlargement of 246-C.

I will get into 246-E and 246-F very shortly.

Mr.Jack Swan, a civilian latent print expert from SID, the Scientific Investigation Division, testified that on November the 21st, 1970, he rolled the fingerprints of Patricia Krenwinkel, and these appear on this fingerprint exemplar card, 246-E.

Again, you will notice that Jack Swan has his signature on this card.

246-F is an enlargement of 246-E.

Particularly block No. 10.

Sergeant Dolan again testified that on February the 22nd, the day this exemplar was taken, on February the 22nd, 1970, and several times thereafter, he compared People's 246-C — that is the latent print — with People's 246-E — Patricia Krenwinkel's exemplar card — and formed the opinion that the latent fingerprint and the left little finger in box No. 10 of Patricia Krenwinkel's fingerprint exemplar card belongs to one and the same person.

So, this is a positive conclusion on his part.

There was no doubt in his mind. There were

17 points of identity.

Again, I draw your attention to the fact that the Los Angeles Police Department only requires ten points of identity before they will give an unqualified opinion.

Therefore, ladies and gentlemen, beyond all doubt and to a scientific certainty, we have proof that Patricia Krenwinkel was inside Sharon Tate's bedroom on the night of the Tate murders.

MR. KANAREK: I must object to "on the night of the Tate murders."

THE COURT: That is legitimate argument, Mr. Kanarek. The objection is overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Officer Joe Granada.

Officer Granada is a criminalist and forensic chemist assigned to the SID, the Scientific Investigation Division of the LAPD.

He arrived at the Tate residence on August 9th around 10:00 a.m.

He noticed blood throughout the residence.

He testified that there are four main blood types: A, B, AB, and O.

Dried blood, as was the situation with the blood at the Tate residence, he testified that there are three main sub blood types: M, N, and MN.

24

бb-1

1 2

3

4.

5

6

7

8 9.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

1,7

18 19

20

21

.22

23

24

25 26 Granado received a sample of blood from the Coroner's Office for each of the five Tate victims, and he analyzed the blood and found the following:

Abigail, Type B blood; subtype MN;

Frykowski, B; MN.

The same as Folger's.

Sharon Tate, O; M.

Jay Sebring, O; MN.

And Steven Parent, B; MN.

dranado took samples from various places on the premises and analyzed the samples to ascertain if it was human blood and, if so, the blood type and the sub-blood type, and he inserted on People's 8, as you recall, the places where he found the blood and the type of blood that he found the blood to be after his examination.

You are going to have People's 8 back in the jury room with you, and I am not going to review with you all of Granado's findings at this time.

However, I would like to direct your attention to some of the findings.

G-6 -- the "G's" on all of these refer to
Granado -- G-6 is the word "pig" found printed in blood on
the front door of the La Bianca residence, and it had a
blood type of O-N, which is Sharon Tate's blood and subtype.

Yesterday I showed you People's 104 and 105.

These are two drafts of the word "pig" printed on the front

door of the Tate residence, and you will recall, or you will see later back in the jury room, that the letters were very wide. It would appear, ladies and gentlemen, I think it is the most reasonable inference, that either Tex. Katie or Sadie took a towel or a rag and must have dipped it in Sharon's blood, and with the towel or the rag printed the word "pig" on the outside of the front door. The letters are just too wide to be done with a finger. G-4 and G-5 are blood splatters in the front porch area of the house. Here is G-4 right here.

G-5, there is an arrow right here.

This is the front porch area. This is outside the front door of the Tate residence now.

G-4 and G-5 are blood splatters on the front porch of the house.

G-7 is the bloody footprint.

Again, outside the front door of the Tate residence.

3.

5

6

7

8

.10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

od-

1

2,

Ş

. 4 . 5

6

7

9.

10

11

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

G-4 and G-7, ladies and gentlemen, are OD; N. That is Sharon Tate's blood and sub-blood type.

G-5 is QD; MN. That is Sebring's blood and blood type.

The conclusion, then, is that Sharon and Jay's blood were found outside the front door of the Tate residence.

Outside the front door of the Tate residence.

Now, one of two situations are possible here. Either Sharon and Jay, at one time during the slaughter, ran out the front door and at that time left their blood on the front porch area; or another possibility is that inasmuch as there was a tremendous amount of blood in the interior of the Tate residence, it is entirely possible that Tex, Katie or Sadie, or for that matter, even Voityck Frykowski, may have stepped in Sharon's blood and carried it with them when they ran out the front door.

But, in any event, Jay Sebring's blood and Sharon Tate's blood type were found outside the front door of the Tate residence.

How it got there, as I say, either they ran out themselves during the slaughter or someone carried their blood out there with them.

G-34 here, ladies and gentlemen. This is blood splattering on the flagstone walkway right here, the flagstone walkway in front of the Tate residence with 0; MN blood type and sub-blood type. That is Jay Sebring's blood type again.

Ţ

2

4

5

·6

· 7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

**17** 

18

, 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

. 26 So, again the inference, of course, is that either Jay Sebring at one point during the slaughter ran out this particular point leaving his blood at this spot, or someone stepped in his blood inside the Tate residence and when they ran out to the flagstone walkway left his blood there.

I would like to briefly draw your attention to one fact with respect to the blood on the flagstone walkway. That is Jay Sebring's blood type.

This is a photograph, People's 94, and as you can see, there are two pools of blood here, one on the left and one on the right.

This is the area right here, encircled by Granado, where he found the blood type of Jay Sebring.

We do not know, ladies and gentlemen, the blood type in this particular pool of blood to the right. The pool of blood to the right is immediately adjacent to the damaged bushes.

Now, Granado testified that he assumed that the origin of the pool of blood on the left and the origin of the pool of blood on the right were one and the same. So, Granado only took a sample of blood from the pool of blood on the left, and he did not bother to take one from the pool of blood on the right.

Inasmuch as this pool of blood on the right is immediately next to the damaged bushes where we have

18,762 testimony Frykowski fell, it would seem a reasonable inference that this particular pool of blood on the right may very well have contained Frykowski's blood type, but we will never know that because he did not take a sample of blood from this particular pool of blood.

бā

6d-1

1

2

4

5

∙6

7

8

9

10

11

ÌŹ

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

. 22

23

24

25

26

Granada found G-1, the small piece of gun grip, outside the front door.

He got a positive benzidine reaction, meaning there was blood on the piece, but it was insufficient to run an Ouchterlony test, the test to determine whether it is human or animal.

He observed the other two pieces of grip, G-2, there inside the living room.

G-2 had OD; MN blood.

G-3 was positive for benzidine but insufficient for human test.

G-27 is People's 39. That is the Buck knife that Granada found inside the sofa at the Tate residence, and he said it was negative for blood.

Incidentally, Sergeant Boen from the Latent Fingerprint Section testified that he found no fingerprints on People's 39, this Buck knife. No fingerprint on this particular knife.

This is quite an unwieldy exhibit, but we have managed to solve the problem with the help of my co-prosecutors here.

Granada testified that G-12 is type B blood found on the rug just inside the back door to the Tate residence.

This is G-12. This is the back door. This is the door that Patricia Krenwinkel's prints are found on.

6d-2

.

IJ

20.

2

6e fls. 26

This is the door leading to the pool, and this is the rug here in the master bedroom of Sharon Tate.

And on the rug there is B type blood. G-12.

The door itself, this door right here which leads to the pool, also had B type blood on it, and that is G-13.

Incidentally, Folger's blood type is B.

Voityck Frykowski's blood type is also B. But inasmuch as Abigail Folger's body was found here, ladies and gentlemen, and Voityck Frykowski's body here, the most logical and reasonable inference is that this particular blood on the back door of the Tate residence belonged to Abigail Folger. Especially in view of Linda Kasabian's testimony that she observed Krenwinkel chase Abigail in this general region of the premises.

Just outside the back door on the flagstone pathway we find G-15. Right here. And that is also B type blood.

So note, ladies and gentlemen, that the fact that we have B type blood -- that is, Folger's blood type-- on the rug just before the back door, we find B type blood on the door itself, and B type blood on the flagstone pathway outside the back door, is completely consistent with Linda Kasabian's testimony that she observed Krenwinkel chasing Folger in this region of the premises.

Ud-3

ĨÒ.

12.

.

Obviously, Folger and Krenwinkel must have exited this back door right here.

I think it is a reconsile assumption that abiguil was stabled in the living room, or somewhere else in the Tate residence, and as she bled for her life out the back door, she left her blood on the rug before the front door, on the door itself, and on the flagstone pathway outside the back door.

You will notice this is the blood spot on the rug before the door. Then there was blood on the door itself.

This was all Type B blood, Folger's blood type.

Then there was blood on the outside of that door.

This is the same door, of course, that Winifred Chapman wiped off on Tuesday, August the 5th, which means that Patricis Krenwinkel left her print on this particular door on the night of the Tate murders.

The door had already been cleaned that Tuesday.

Likewise, of course, 245-7, the front door,

the picture of the front door, Winifred Compan wiped that
entire door off that very day, August the 5th, before 'she
went home.

Granada found no blood on the premises with a

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

blood type different from any of the victims. No blood type on the premises different from any of the five victims in the case.

He did find a knife, People's 193 here, in the kitchen of the Tate residence, which was negative for human blood, but gave an AB reaction, which, in his opinion, indicates animal blood.

"O Did you observe the bodies of Sharon

Tate and Jay Sebring at the Tate residence?

"I am questioning Officer Granada now.

"A Yes.

"Where were they inside the residence when you arrived?"

"They were in the area of the living room, bordered off by the couch and two stuffed chairs, the couch on the eastern side of the living room, next to the body of Sharon Tate, two stuffed chairs, and then the fireplace to the west of this area.

"Where were the two bodies in relation to each other?

"Sharon Tate's body was next to the couch, and Jay Sebring's body was forming a right angle. If you were to elongate two lines, it would form a right angle. He was over with his head toward Sharon Tate, and his feet away.

"What side of the couch were they on, the side facing the fireplace?

"A Yes."

People's 117 is a small photograph showing the respective locations of Sharon Tate's body in relation to Jay Sebring's body inside the Tate residence.

You will notice that there is a rope around Sharon's neck connecting her neck with Jay Sebring's neck.

Granada was present when Mr. Finken from the Coroner's Office cut the rope connecting Jay Sebring to Sharon Tate.

He said the rope was 43 feet eight inches.

Now, when that rope was in one piece, and you say it was 43 feet some inches, could you describe the manner in which that rope was tied around Jay Sebring and Sharon Tate?

"I brought some rope from the laboratory to try to show this.

"The rope around Sharon Tate was looped a couple of times around her neck in this manner" -- and he demonstrated.

"This in here was tied, and it went toward the direction of Sebring.

"This end here was over a beam with the end of the rope just touching the floor of the residence. The other part of the rope that went from

25

16

17

18

19

20

2L

22

23

| •      |            |
|--------|------------|
|        | 1.         |
|        | Ź          |
|        | ′3·        |
|        | 4          |
|        | <b>Š</b>   |
|        | · 6        |
|        | 7          |
|        | 8          |
| 6f fls | •9         |
|        | 1Ó.        |
| •      | 11         |
|        | 12         |
|        | 13         |
|        | 14         |
|        | 15         |
| ,      | 16         |
| ·      | 17         |
|        | 18         |
|        | 19         |
|        | 20         |
|        | 21         |
| ,      | <b>2</b> 2 |
|        | 23         |
| •      | 24         |
| •      | 25         |
|        | 26         |

"Sharon Tate to Sebring went around Sebring's

neck twice and then wound inward thusly" -- which I

can't demonstrate for you --

"forming a type of knot that was holding tight onto the neck of Jay Sebring.

"This rope was over a towel which was over his head. Then the end from there, after it went through such a manner and tied, was free to the side.

6f-1

·

ιi

. 9 . 9

·

"Mr. Sebring's head had a towal over it?
"Yes.

"Covering his face, and then the rope was on top of the towel?

"That is correct.

"Do you recall whether the rope around Sharon Tate's neck was tied tight or loose or moderately, or what?

"It was tight, but it was just wound twice around and snugly, but the rope did not go in and form a knot."

Now, the L.A.P.D., who arrived there in the morning, didn't take very many photographs, and apparently, as far as we know, they never took any photograph depicting not only Sharon and Jay tied together, but the entire rope swung over the beam.

The photograph I just showed you shows Sharon and Jay in a portion of the photograph — a portion of the rope — but we never had any photograph showing the entire rope.

So, I had Granado, as you know, draw this sketch, and the sketch shows, again, the respective locations of Jay and Sharon's body, and then the particular rope right here being flung over the beam and then falling back to the floor.

Granado found People's 243, these glasses right

1,

.2

3

4

5.

6

8

ġ.

10

11

12

İ3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

here, next to the two trunks in the living room. They contained no blood on them.

Officer Boen from the Latent Fingerprint
Section of the LAPD testified that on August the 9th at the
Tate residence he was present when a fellow officer,
Officer Gert, tried to secure a latent fingerprint from
243, these glasses, but he was unsuccessful, in securing a
latent fingerprint.

Sergeant McGann, one of the investigating officers, testified that he personally tried to find out to whom these glasses belonged, but he was unsuccessful.

One thing is pretty clear. They did not belong to one of the killers. Susan Atkins' statements to Roseann Walker makes this clear, and I will get into that later.

He testified that he was given People's 40, the revolver, from Sergeant Calkins, another investigating officer in the Tate case.

He testified that he found B type blood -which is Frykowski's blood type -- on the hammer of the
revolver, and also found B blood inside the left handgrip.
That is, the grip that still remains on the revolver.

The right handgrip, of course, has shattered and fallen off.

Granado also recovered the clock-radio, as you recall, in Parent's car, and stated it was set at 12:15 a.m.

or stopped at 12:15 a.m., at the time that he found the radio, 6. 

бg

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

6g-1

İ

20. 

2

Granado also testified that on December the 2nd, 1969, he went to Howard Summer's garage at 7252 Deering Street in Canoga Park and inspected a 1959 Ford.

And he identified People's 38 as the Ford that he inspected.

People's 38 is the photograph of the yellow 1959 Ford belonging to John Swartz.

He testified he had a positive Benzidine reaction on the upper right-hand corner of the glove compartment.

This means that there definitely was blood there.

And he testified a positive Benzidine reaction
indicates a high probability that it is human blood,
although it could also be animal blood.

He said there was an insufficient amount of blood on the glove compartment to run a human reaction test, which is called the Ouchterlony Test.

I don't know where they got that name, but that is what they call it.

Whether the blood on the glove compartment is human or animal blood, of course, there is no way of knowing.

King Baggot. Mr. Baggot is a newsreel cameraman with Channel 7 here in Los Angeles.

He testified that on December the 15th, 1969, he, Reporter L. Wiman, and soundman Eddie Baker drove to

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

9.

10

11

12 13:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24.

25

26

the Tate residence at approximately 3:00 p.m.

From that point they drove to Benedict Canyon Road, and when they came to the first break in the road wherea car could have pulled off, they stopped at that break in the road and looked over, and lo and behold, found these seven articles of clothing.

The first shoulder in the road where they could have stopped and pulled over on Benedict Canyon Road and looked over, down below they found the clothing in that location. The location is right across the street from 2901 Benedict Canyon Road.

When he retrieved the clothing, there were three pair of pants, three shirts, and a white T-shirt.

He said they were within a six-foot radius of each other.

These seven articles of clothing were previously marked People's 50 through 56, and Baggot identified all seven articles of clothing as being the ones that he found over the side of the hill.

Now, with the exception of the white T-shirt, you will recall that Linda Kasabian identified every single item of clothing that King Baggot found.

As I indicated, she did not recall seeing the white T-shirt that night. And I think it is obvious why she did not see it.

Granado testified that on December the 16th,

.4

•

6h

2Ó -

he received these seven articles of clothing, People's 50 through 56 from Sergeant Calkins of the LAPD, and analyzed them for blood.

These were his findings: People's 50 is the dark velour -- however you pronounce it -- turtle-neck shirt. People's 50 had a positive Benzedine, and he said possible 0 type human blood.

6h-1

.23°

People's 51, the genuine Roebucks blue denims, positive benzidine and negative human.

People's 52, the black T-shirt. Positive benzidine, negative human.

People's 53, the white T-shirt. Positive benzidine and B type blood.

People's 54, the dark blue T-shirt. Negative benzidine.

And People's 55, the blue denims, and People's 56, the black denims, negative benzidine.

And as you recall, Granada encircled the areas on the clothing where he got a positive benzidine reaction.

Now, you will recall that Granada also testified that on People's 51 and 52 where he did get a positive benzidine but a negative human, he said this does not mean that it was not human blood.

He definitely testified to that.

He said it just meant that the test was negative, it didn't mean that it was animal blood.

He didn't say it was animal blood. He said the test was negative.

In other words, People's 51 and 52, because of the positive benzidine reaction, did have blood on it, per Officer Granada, and since Granada testified that no other substance other than blood turns bluish green when benzidine is applied to it, the blue denius, 51 and 52,

6h-2

2

3

4

5.

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I think the most reasonable assumption, then, did have human blood on it, but we don't know.

We can't be positive, because it was negative for human blood, but he said it did not mean that it was animal blood.

As Granada explained, when human blood is exposed to the elements such as rain or sunshine, as these seven articles of clothing obviously were between August the 9th, 1969, and December the 15th, 1969, when they were found, obviously they were exposed to the elements, he said that when human blood is so exposed, that there is a destruction of the human components of the blood. That is the protein material that gives a positive human blood reaction on the Ouchterlony test.

For clarification:

"Q So, when human blood then is exposed to the elements for a period of time, there is a likelihood that the human component of the blood will disintegrate?

"Yes, many things can happen.

"So that when you thereafter run the ;human test, the Ouchterlony test, you no longer get a positive for human blood; is that correct?

"Yes.

"Even though originally the substance

6h-3

· 2

I,

3

4

5

6.

7

& 9

10

11

12

13

6i fis.

14 15

16

.

17

Ï8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 26

"may have been human blood; is that correct?

"Yes."

Ladies and gentlemen, there was human blood on People's 50 -- that is the velour turtleneck shirt; there was human blood on People's 53, the white T-shirt.

Now, if the defense counsel want to argue to you that the blood on People's 51 and 52 was not human blood but was animal blood, then they can be my guest. But certainly, surely, the most reasonable assumption, the most reasonable inference, is that the blood on People's 51, the blue denims, and 52, the black T-shirt, also contain human blood.

61 - 1

I don't think it could possibly be any clearer that the clothing found over the side of the hill by King Baggott was the clothing that Tex, Katie and Sadie wore on the night of the Tate murders, the same clothing that Linda threw over the side of the hill, and that the blood found on four of the seven articles of clothing contained the blood of one or more of the Tate victims.

Helen Tebby, a deputy sheriff at Sybil Brand Institute.

On February 17th, 1970, she removed some hair from Susan Atkins' brush and comb while Susan was at the beauty shop at Sybil Brand.

Tebby said that only Susan used the brush and comb, and that before Susan Atkins used it, she observed that the brush and comb were clean.

Tebby put the hair in an envelope and turned the envelope and the hair over to her lieutenant, who instructed her to turn the envelope and hairs over to Captain Carpenter, which she did.

First she gave it to the lieutenant, and he said "Give it to Captain Carpenter."

Officer Granada testified that he has done work in his office, and also while doing graduate work for his master's degree, in the field of hair analysis.

He said that he testified to comparison of hairs in approximately 54 cases involving comparing hairs from

the scene of the crime with hairs of a certain suspect, and also in hit and run cases, comparing hair fibers found on various portions of the vehicle with hair of the victim, and he has also written a thesis in college on hair analysis.

Granada testified that he secured several hairs from People's 55. These are the blue denims found over the side of the hill.

He said that the hair was on the blue jeans when he received the blue jeans on December the 15th, 1969.

He placed these two hairs in two slides, People's 199-A and People's 199-B.

He said that he also received three hairs contained in this envelope -- although this was not the original envelope, there was another envelope, a gray envelope, as I recall.

He said he received three hairs which came from Susan Atkins' brush and comb. He got those hairs on February the 18th, 1970.

He took out one of the three hairs, cut it in two, and placed the two hairs in two slides.

These two slides are People's 192-A and 192-B.

This is the hair that came from the brush and comb of Susan Atkins at Sybil Brand Institute.

He testified that he conducted a physical

22

23

24

**25** 

and microscopic examination of the hairs found on the blue jeans -- that is 199-A and B with the hair found on the blue jeans -- I am sorry, with the hair taken from Susan Atkins, 192-A and B, formed the conclusion that very likely the hair belonged to Susan Atkins.

I will read his testimony in that regard.

| J-1 | ı    | "Q Did you form any opinion, as a                 |
|-----|------|---------------------------------------------------|
|     | 2    | result of your examination, as a result of the    |
| •   | 3    | comparison between 192-A and 192-B, and 199-A     |
|     | 4    | and 199-B?                                        |
|     | 5    | "A. Yes, I compared the hairs and                 |
|     | 6    | found them to be similar in many ways.            |
|     | 7    | "They appeared to me to be the same in            |
|     | 8    | many factors.                                     |
|     | 9    | "Q In what respect are you referring              |
|     | . 10 | to right now?                                     |
|     | 11   | "A. The color,                                    |
|     | 12   | "Q . What color did you find the sample           |
|     | . 13 | hair and the hair you removed from the bluejeans  |
|     | 14   | to have?                                          |
| •   | 15   | "A Well, under the comparison micro-              |
| ,   | 16   | scope it had the same brownish color.             |
| •   | 17   | "Q In your analysis of hair, have                 |
|     | 18   | you found hair to have a wide range and diversity |
|     | - 19 | of colors?                                        |
|     | . 20 | "A. Yes, this can vary.                           |
|     | 21   | "Q But you found these hairs to be                |
| -   | 22   | identical in color?                               |
|     | 23   | "A. I found it to be very closely                 |
|     | 24   | similar in color.                                 |
|     | 25   | "Q What about the length of the hairs?            |
| ٠   | 26   | "A The length was approximately the               |

1 "same. Can you go into a little more 2 3 detail on that? "What was the length of the hairs you 5 found inside the envelope, People's 192? 6 "A. I took the three hairs and --7 I took the three hairs from the envelope and 8 measured them. و, "One was 9 inches; another was 10-1/2; 1Ò . and another one was approximately 6-1/2. 11 II Q For an average length of what? 12 So I ran an average length. 13 was approximately 8.6 inches. 14 "And the hair from the blue jeans --" 15 People's 55 -- "was approximately eight inches 16 also." **17** So, again, the hair taken from Susan Atkins! 18: brush and comb were almost the same length as the hair 19 taken from People's 55, the bluejeans. 20 "So you found the average length of the 21 hair that you got inside the envelope to be 22. 8-1/2 inches, is that correct? . 23 "A Approximately. 24 And the length of the hair that 25 you got from the blue jeans to be 8 inches. is 26 that correct?

That's correct.

"A.

| ŀ          | "Q In addition to the color of the                |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2          | hair and the length, were there any other         |
| 3          | similarities between People's 192-A and B,        |
| 4          | and People's 199-A and B?                         |
| 5          | "A. Yes, the apparent size of the                 |
| 6          | hair and also the medullary characteristics,      |
| 7          | that is, the size of the medulla, and also the    |
| 8          | way that the medulla was formed, appeared to be   |
| 9          | the same.                                         |
| 10         | "Q Are you differentiating the size               |
| 11         | of the hair from the medullary characteristics?   |
| 12         | "A Yes, the size is the over-all                  |
| 13         | diameter.                                         |
| .14        | "Q All right, let's discuss that for a            |
| 15         | moment.                                           |
| <b>1</b> 6 | "What was the size of the hair,                   |
| 17         | People's 192-A and B, as compared with the size   |
| 18         | of the hair, People's 199-A and B?"               |
| 19         | "Under the comparison microscope,                 |
| 20         | it was the same, when placed side to side.        |
| 21         | "Q Did you measure the diameter?                  |
| 22         | "A. No, I did not.                                |
| 23         | "Q But they appeared to be the same?              |
| 24         | "A. That is correct."                             |
| 25         | He answered: "You have a split image,             |
| 26         | and you can focus both hairs into one split image |

"and you place them side by side and you look at both hairs from both specimens, from the exemplar and from the clothing, and you can compare the characteristics side by side."

| K |  | 1 |  |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |   |  |

2

3

5

6

7

8

ġ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

"Q So you found the color, the length and the size to be about the same, is that correct?

"A. Yes.

"Q Now, you indicated the medullary characteristics were also the same?

"A. They appeared to be the same,

"Q You are referring to the medulla, is that correct?

"A. That's right.

"Q Is that the internal structure of the hair?

"A That is the core. You have the cuticle which is the outside scale of the hair.

"Then you have the cortex which is the body.

"Then in the center you have the medulla or the canal, which could be continuous, interrupted, or even missing in some individuals, or in the same individual.

"Q It varies between individuals?

"A. It varies within individuals, and it varies within the same individual.

"Q Did you find the medulla characteristics, internal structures, within 192-A and B to be the same as within 199-A and B?

MA Yes, they appeared to be the Ì same." 2 The same color, approximately the same color. 3 approximately the same length, same diameter, the same 4 internal structure and medullary characteristics. 5 Although we cannot be positive, the probabilities б surely are much greater than not that the hair found on 7 People's 55 was Susan Atkins' hair. 8 Granado testified that he also removed hair 9 from People's 50, the turtle-neck shirt, and People's 54, the Navy blue shirt. He said he received samples of Patricia 12 Krenwinkel's hair and was unable to match her hair up with 13 50 or 54. And he testified he never received a sample of Charles Watson's hair. Steven Weiss. That was the young boy that was going to become a police officer. I hope so. He handled that revolver in the right way. Only 11 years old. He found People's 40 on the hill behind his residence at 3627 Longview Valley Road on September the 1st, 1969, around noontime. Incidentally, Steven's house is not this house right here. It is must to this house. . This is where he found the particular

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25.

26.

revolver.

There are several photographs depicting Steven pointing to the particular spot.

Beverly Glen Boulevard is up above.

People's 98, that overlay up there, also shows where Steven's home is located; and right behind the home, of course, Steven found the revolver on September the 1st, 1969, about two weeks after these murders.

61-1

Ì 2

.

4

5

6

7

Š

y

10

11

12

13 14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

When he found the gun, he testified it was in the same apparent condition that it apparently is at the present in.

Steve's father called the police, and an officer from the Van Nuys Division of the LAPD came out and picked up the gun.

Stephen testified that in December of '69, several months later, his father called the Van Nuys Division of the LAPD and told them that the revolver Stephen found and turned in might be the Tate murder weapon. Officer Watson is the officer from the Van Nuys Division of the LAPD who came to pick up this revolver.

Quite a similarity. Tex Watson was using the revolver that night, and Officer Watson was the one that was picking the revolver up.

He testified that when he received this revolver, ladies and gentlemen, from Stephen Weiss, it had two live rounds in the cylinder -- two live rounds in the cylinder -- and seven empty shell casings.

These two live rounds and seven empty shell casings are contained within People's 249, this envelope.

Now, note, there was testimony that this revolver here is a nine-shot revolver. A nine-shot. You put nine shots in the cylinder of this revolver.

We have accounted for all nine rounds. Stephen Parent was shot four times, Voityck Frykowski twice,

61-2

. 1

2

3

4

Ż

. 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

and Jay Sebring once, for a total of seven rounds.

This would account for the seven empty shell casings found in the cylinder at the time that Stephen Weiss found the gun and turned it over to Watson.

So, nine minus seven, of course, are the two live rounds that were still in the revolver when the revolver was found.

So, seven empty shell casings, ladies and gentlemen, and two live rounds, for a total of nine means what? That on the night of the Tate murders, when Tex had that gun, it was fully loaded.

Sergeant Calkins, one of the investigating officers in the Tate case, testified that on December the 16th, he picked up People's 40, the revolver, and People's 249, the two live rounds and seven shell casings from the property section of the Van Nuys Division of the LAPD, and that he booked them with the LAPD property division at Parker Center.

He pointed out the Weiss residence on People's 98.

Sergeant Lee. He is a firearm expert assigned to the SID firearms and explosive unit of the LAPD.

The man has an immense amount of experience in the field of firearm identification. I am not going to review his training and experience except to remind you that he has been working with firearms since 1945. That is a quarter of a century.

6m fls.

1

Ì

3

Ś

6. 7

8

.9 10

11

Į2

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

He is an instructor of police science at El Camino College, at which part of the curriculum is firearms identification. He has testified as an expert in court in the field of firearms identification approximately 400 times.

So, Lee, of course, is no beginner in firearms identification.

He described People's 40 as being a .22 caliber High Standard 9-shot double-action revolver.

He said that all bullets fired from this revolver have a right twist.

That means that when the bullet leaves the barrel and proceeds toward its destination, it spins in a clockwise direction.

He received three bullets, People's 177, the Frykowski bullet, People's 185, one of the Parent bullets, one of the Steve Parent bullets, and People's 186, the second Parent bullet, from Dr. Herrera of the Coroner's Office on August 10, 1969.

He received a fourth bullet, People's 166, the Sebring bullet which I have shown you already, from Dr. Noguchi on August 11, 1969.

He said that all four bullets were .22 caliber long-rifle bullets, the type of bullets that can be fired from People's 40.

All four bullets were lead bullets and all four

have a lubaloy coating.

2

1

and six grooves in the barrel.

3

4

5

8

9

ÌÒ

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

He testified that People's 40 has six lands

The grooves being the indentations made in the barrel when the barrel is manufactured, when it is rifled; and the lands being the ridges, that is, the area between the grooves.

He explained that not all revolvers have six lands and six grooves. Some of them have four, five, seven, eight, nine, ten, and even in excess of ten.

In addition to the lands and grooves, he testified that all firearms, without exception, have imperfections within the barrel which are microscopic rough spots which are made at the time that the barrel is rifled.

Apparently they have not devised a method to make a barrel without these microscopic imperfections in the barrel.

Now. Lee testified that when a bullet passes through the barrel of a firearm, the lands and the grooves and the imperfections out into the sides of the bullet. thereby leaving an identifying imprint on the bullet, which is called stria.

The bullet going through, the little things are grinding into the side of the bullet, and when the bullet comes out, it has a stamp on it.

26

This is rather complicated testimony, so I am going over it with you in detail.

"Directing your attention, Sergeant, to this revolver, and also to these four bullets here which you received from the Coroner's Office.

"Did you ever conduct an examination to determine whether or not these four bullets were fired from this revolver?

"A Yes, I did.

"Q When did you conduct the examination?

"The first time, December 22, 1969.

"Would you please relate in detail the type of examination you conducted with respect to those four bullets in that revolver?

"A. Yes,

"I first observed cartridges of the same type that the bullets represent.

"I fired my cartridges which I obtained from the Police Department stock. I fired test shots through this particular firearm into a water recovery tank.

"The water recovery tank is a long tank about 9-1/2 feet deep which is filled with water.

"I fired the bullets into the water, they

"settle to the bottom, I raise a waste basket from the tank and then I recover the test bullets, and the test bullets are then placed in a companion microscope which is an instrument in my office."

Again, I am going over this because you folks are laymen, and believe me, I am a layman also when it comes to this stuff, so I am going over it once again.

7-1

Ţ

2

3

4

Ģ

Q.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

26

"The comparison microscope is in essence two microscopes like you might say in doctor's office, sitting side by side.

"These are connected with a common prism-bridge, so that by viewing through the ocular eyepleces, I may view both of the microscopes stages at the same time through the objective lenses on each.

"One test bullet was mounted on the one stage, and one of the bullets that I obtained from the Coroner's office was mounted on the other stage, so that I could see both bullets at the same time.

"By rotating the stages that the bullets are mounted upon, the bullets may be turned and moved so that they are aligned, so that I can align the land and groove marks, which are the gross large marks on the bullets.

"Then an examination is made within these land and groove marks for stria which would be left on the bullets from the firearm, and generally in the same pattern.

"So, by aligning the stria, which would be very similar to aligning my fingers, although: not at a constant width from each other -- we might have narrow ones, three, two or three narrow

7-2

2

3.

4

5

6

7.

8

9

1Ò

11

12 .

13

14 . 15.

16

\_\_

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

"ones, then a large space, and another one down
here -- they are not constant lines, but they are
at random -- so that by rotating the bullets we
attempt to align the stria and make a determination
whether these bullets were fired from the same weapon.

"I conducted this test on all four bullets
I received from the Coroner's office.

The purpose of your test firing this revolver, then, was to compare the test fire bullet with the four bullets and determine whether the test fire bullet and the four bullets were fired from the same revolver; is that correct?

"A That is correct."

I asked him if as a result of his examination he formed any opinion with respect to each of the four bullets.

He said he did.

With respect to People's 166, the Sebring bullet, People's 166.

This was the bullet recovered by Dr. Noguchi, which entered Sebring's body, passed through his body, and Dr. Noguchi found it between Sebring's back and his shirt:

"Q Did you form any opinion, sir, as to whether or not the Sebring bullet was fired from this .22 caliber revolver?

| •        |                      |
|----------|----------------------|
|          | •                    |
| 7-3      | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4     |
|          | <b>2</b> .           |
|          | <b>.</b>             |
| •        | 4                    |
| •        | 5                    |
|          | 6                    |
| . •      | 7.                   |
| • •      | 8.                   |
|          | 9.                   |
|          | 10                   |
|          | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13 |
|          | 12                   |
| ,        | ļä                   |
| <b>.</b> | 14                   |
| ,        | 15                   |
|          | 16<br>17             |
|          | ,                    |
|          | ·18                  |
|          | 19                   |
| •        | 20:                  |

21

22

23<sup>.</sup>

24

26

"A I did.

"Q And what is that opinion?

"A It is my opinion that the Sebring bullet was fired from this .22 caliber revolver.

"Q Is that a positive opinion on your part?

"A It is.

"Q Is there any doubt in your mind that the Sebring bullet was fired from this revolver, People's 40 for identification?

"A No. sir.

Did you form that opinion from an examination of the test fire bullet in relation to the Sebring bullet?

"A Yes, sir."

So we learned, ladies and gentlemen, People's

40, this revolver right here, the revolver that the evidence
shows Charles Manson acquired on a trade-in for Danny

DeCarlo's truck, his favorite firearm, the one he used
to test fire or practice fire out at Spahn Ranch, definitely
was the revolver that fired the bullet that entered Sebring's
body and passed through Sebring's body.

Now, if that doesn't conclusively and scientificall connect Charles Manson and these defendants with the five Tate murders, I don't know what in the world would ever connect them.

7-4

**ź**:

İ

3.

4

5

б

7.

8

9

10.

ÌΪ

12

13

14

**15** 

16

17

18.

19

20

21

**22**.

23

24

25

26

We just could not have more positive scientific evidence than that, and I'm going to develop that in even more depth.

Now, with respect to People's 177, the Frykowski bullet, People's 185, the first Parent bullet, and People's 186, the second Parent bullet, were they also fired from People's 40? That is the question, were they also fired from People's 40?

It certainly appears they were. I don't think you would lose any money if you bet they were, in view of Sergeant Lee's testimony.

No. 1, I am talking about these other four bullets -- these other three bullets, these other three bullets.

The fourth bullet is People's 166, the Sebring bullet.

Lee testified that all three bullets had six lands and six grooves on them, in other words, the same number of lands and grooves as all bullets have which are fired from People's 40, and that People's 40 had six lands and six grooves.

The test fire bullets that were fired from People's 40 had six lands and six grooves.

No. 2, the width of the lands on all three bullets was sixty thousandths of an inch and the width of the grooves, fifty-five thousandths of an inch.

7a fls.

Again the same width measurement as the test fire bullet fired from People's 40.

No. 3, all three bullets were fired from a revolver that had a right twist, and he testified that all bullets fired from People's 40 have a right twist.

No. 4, all three bullets were .22 caliber bullets, the type that would be fired from this weapon, People's 40.

However, he went on to say that these three bullets lacked -- they lacked sufficient stria on them to make a positive identification that they were fired from People's 40.

7a-1

1 2

.

-10

Ĭ8

He added that he did not conclude, he did not conclude that those three bullets were not fired from People's 40. That was not his conclusion.

In fact, he testified they may very well have been fired from People's 40. But he simply could not give a positive opinion because of insufficient stria.

Sergeant Lee explained that stria on a bullet frequently are removed from the bullet as it passes through a victim's body because of the bullet's contact with clothing and skin and tissue.

I asked him about the Frykowski bullet as an example, and he said the stria on the bullet, which, of course, would have been on the bullet after it passed through the barrel of People's 40, I asked him whether that stria can have been removed.

He said yes, it would have been removed as the bullet entered Frykowski's body and passed a distance through his body.

He said that the subject three bullets were coated bullets and coated bullets do not lend themselves to receiving stria as much as non-coated bullets.

He also testified that there were no markings or characteristics on these three bullets, no markings or characteristics on any of these three bullets that would rule out the possibility that they were fired from People's 40.

Ż

In other words, no markings which were dissimilar to any markings on bullets test fired from People's 40.

So I don't think there is any question, ladies and gentlemen, that those three bullets did come from People's 40. I think we have conclusively established that.

All three bullets have a considerable number of identical characteristics with the bullets test fired from People's 40.

There are no dissimilarities on the three bullets with the bullets test fired from People's 40.

These three bullets came from two of the Tate victims,

Frykowski and Parent, and we know that the fourth bullet, the Sebring bullet, was fired from People's 40.

So the probabilities against those three bullets having been fired from a revolver other than People's 40 are so great as to be totally unworth of your consideration.

Sergeant Varney testified that on August 9th he arrived at the Tate residence around 1:00 p.m. -I thought I lost an exhibit, I started to panic; I don't have any button here to push.

He testified that he found People's 250, a small portion of a bullet slug laying on the cushion of the rearseat of Parent's vehicle which was parked in the drive\_way of the Tate residence.

ļ

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

ŢÒ

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24. 25

**26** 

He booked the slug with the Property Division of the Los Angeles Police Department.

Sergeant Lee testified that he made no specific examination of People's 50, a small portion of the slug, because it was too small for examination purposes. All he could say was that it was a coated lead bullet, as were the four bullets removed from the Tate victims.

Sergeant Lee testified that on August 9th he, himself, found People's 251, four fragments of a bullet, between the upholstery section and the exterior metal of the passenger door of Parent's vehicle.

Again, they were too small for comparison purposes. He did feel, however, they were fragments of a coated lead .22 caliber bullet, as were the four bullets that were removed from the Tate victims.

Incidentally, it was Lee's opinion that People's 250 and 251 did not come from the same bullet -- not the same firearm, but they did not come from the same bullet.

They came from second bullets.

Lee testified that on November 19, 1969, and April 15, 1970, he went to Spahn Ranch and recovered 45.22 caliber shell casings from a gully area approximately 200 feet behind the main building at the ranch.

He identified People's 252 and 253, these two photographs here, as being photographs of the location at

. 1.

2

4

5.

7

8

10

T1 12:

13

14 15

16

; 17

18

•

19 20.

21

**22**.

23

24

25.

26

the Spahn Ranch where he recovered these shell casings.

It shows him pointing to the spot, the general area where he recovered the shell casings.

This will not be your last look. You will be looking at these things ad nauseum back in the jury room.

So I am familiarizing you with them right now. You probably will wish you hadn't seen all this stuff. There are almost 300 of them. Exhibits will be swinging around in your head.

Now, recall, ladies and gentlemen, and I will get into this when I talk about Danny De Carlo's testimony, but recall that Danny De Carlo testified that Charles Manson used to practice-fire People's 40 in the same place at Spahn Ranch, the same area at Spahn Ranch where Sergeant Lee recovered these shell casings.

The shell casings testimony, of course, is extremely important.

Sergeant, when the revolver is fired.

are there any marks left on the shell casing?" 2 Now, we are not talking about the bullet, we 3 are talking about the shell casing that contains the 4. bullet: 5 "Are there any marks left on the shell casing by the firing pin? "A Yes. 8. What type of marks are these? ^ġ These are compression marks which are 10 left by the firing pin striking the shell casing ŢŢ head, or, in this particular case, the rim portion 12 of the head. 13 Does each firing pin leave an denti-"Q 14 fying mark on the shell casing it strikes? 15  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{H}}$ Yes. 16 What part of the shell casing, the rim? dit. 17 .11 A In this instance, the rim. 18 "Q You say in this instance, you are 19 referring to the .22 caliber revolver? 20 "A Yes, sir. 21 And you therefore identify the firearm "Q 22 from which a shell casing came by examining the 23 firing pin marks on the shell casing? 24 ΉÁ Yes, sir. 25 ¥Q. Showing you People's 40 for identification. 26

ii O

7b-1

2

3

4

5

6

8.

Ò

25

26

"a revolver, did you test fire that revolver, Sergeant?

> II A I did.

ati I take it you then manually ejected the shell casings after you fired the revolver?

> A II That's correct.

11 Q. Did you examine the rim of the shell casings?

> A<sup>11</sup> I did.

Q II Did you ever make a comparison of the firing pin marks on the test fire shell casings with the firing pin marks on the shell casings found at Spahn Ranch?

> I did. A II

ΠQ. Did you form an opinion as a result of your comparison?

> ΉÆ Yes, I did.

<sup>II</sup>Q What opinion?

It is my opinion that four of the shell u'A casings that I recovered on November 19th, 1969, were fired from this particular revolver.

> People's 40? "Q

ΝA People's 40.

And 11 of the shell casings I recovered on April 15th, 1970, were fired from People's 40, the .22 caliber revolver Serial No. 1902708."

7b-3

2

Ţ.

3

4

5

6

8

ĺ

11:

12

13.

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20:

21

22

23.

.24 25

26

He testified that this was a positive conclusion on his part.

Lee also testified that the seven shell casings found in People's 40, you remember when little Stephen found this revolver he turned it over to Officer Watson, there were several shell casings still in this revolver. the revolver was found a couple of weeks after the Tate murders.

Lee testified that he compared those seven shell casings with shell casings found at Spahn Ranch and formed the opinion that both were fired from the same gun, People's 40.

So Lee, ladies and gentlemen, Identified 15 out of the 45 shell casings found at Spahn Ranch as definitely having been fired from People's 40.

Now, note, note the enormous significance. the enormous significance,

Firstly, we know that People's 40, this revolver right here that I have got in my left hand, we know that this revolver fired the Sebring bullet and undoubtedly the Sebring and Frykowski bullets also.

How do we know it fired the Sebring bullet? Because the Sebring bullet had the same identical markings on it as bullets tested from People's 40.

Now, secondly, since the firing pin marks on shell casings test fired from People's 40 and the firing

pin marks on the seven shell casings found inside

People's 40 match and are identical to 15 of the shell

casings found at Spahn Ranch, we therefore have conclusively
and scientifically proven that this revolver right here,

one of the Tate murder weapons, came from where? From

Spahn Ranch. It is a revolver that Manson used to

practive fire -- his favorite firearm.

With respect to the three pieces of grip,
I am not going to show you those again, People's 120, 121
and 197.

Lee testified that he examined them, formed the opinion that they could be the missing right-hand grip to People's 40.

He first saw the three pieces on August 14th, 1969; he formed the opinion that they came from a firearm manufactured by High Standard Manufacturing Company.

However, he could not tell the precise model of the firearm so he turned them over to Ed Lomax, Edward Lomax of the High Standard Company. He was the product manager of High Standard until March, '69. He testified that he first saw People's 120, 121 and 197, the three pieces of grip, a week after the Tate murders, that is, in mid-August.

He said the three pieces of grip when mated together, these three pieces are mated together, form the right-hand grip on the exact type of weapon that People's

25

26

7c fls.

40 is, namely, a .22 caliber High Standard Longhorn revolver.

Lomax identified People's 40 as being a revolver manufactured by his company.

He said People's 40 is a rather unique type of gun, and that the manufacture and sale of firearms was only about two percent of the over-all manufacture and sale of firearms by High Standard.

He noted that these three pieces of grip found inside the residence could not have belonged to any other firearm manufactured by High Standard. They could only have belonged to the type of weapon that People's 40 is.

7c-1

· 2

Ţ

4

5 6

7

9

8.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26·

He knows this because the three pieces of grip are walnut and have a distinctive insignia, and High Standard only used this walnut and insignia on this type of firearm right here, People's 40.

I think I will look at the exhibit.

This is the insignia that they refer to, right here, he said High Standard only puts this particular type of insignia on a .22 caliber Longhorn revolver, the precise type of weapon, of course, this revolver here is.

And incidentally, the insignia on this grip right here is identical to the insignia on the left-hand grip -- it is identical.

Now, although Lomax could not positively say that these three pieces of grip came from People's 40, again unquestionably they must have.

Number one, the three pieces of grip, when they are mated together, form the right-hand grip on this type of weapon. People's 40 is missing the right-hand grip.

Number two, People's 40 we know fired the bullet that entered Jay Sebring's body inside the Tate residence.

These three pieces of grip were found inside the Tate residence.

We also have Linda Kasabian's testimony that Tex told her that he hit this man over the head and shattered the gun, People's 40.

Ĝ

12.

5

Of course, part of the gun that was shattered were these three pieces of grip.

Barbara Hoyt. The 18-year-old girl who is a member of the Family, who was a member and lived with the Family at Spahn Ranch, and at the desert between April and September of 1969.

She testified that Manson spoke to the Family at dinnertime. Among other things Manson spoke about Helter Skelter, and he told the Family that Helter Skelter meant that the "blacks would rise up against the whites and everyone would die except the Family."

Manson said — this is Barbara Hoyt's testimony now — that he would like to see Helter Skelter come down and that he would like to show the blacks how to do it.

She said the first time she heard about the Tate murders was on TV the day after the murders.

She said she was watching television in John Swartz's trailer when Susan Atkins came into the trailer and wanted to watch the news. Susan asked Barbara to turn the channel, and Barbara turned it to Channel 2 for 6:00 o'clock news.

She said Sadie called Tex and Patricia
Krenwinkel into the trailer, and she is pretty sure that
Tex and Katie actually came into the trailer to watch the
news.

She said the group watched the TV account of the

22.

Tate murders. At one point a couple of the group watching TV laughed.

Unbelievable! Unbelievable! Watching the TV account of the Tate murders, and they are laughing, ladies and gentlemen. Can you believe it? Stop to think about it for a moment.

Yes, five people being brutally slain and butchered like animals is a rather amusing event.

Right after the news Sadie and Tex and Katie left the trailer.

She said she watched TV before and she had never seen Susan Atkins, not only watch the news, she never had seen Susan Atkins watch television before out at the Spahn Ranch.

She said she had seen Tex and Katie watch television before but not the news.

Of course the TV incident, ladies and gentlemen, is very, very highly incriminating because of the fact
Susan had never watched the news before.

She comes into the trailer; she watches the news. Immediately after the news of the Tate murders, probably there was other news on Viet-Nam or something like that, but immediately after the news of the Tate murders she and Tex take off.

And, mind you, ladies and gentlemen, I don't know how many people were living at Spahn Ranch at that

18,811 time, say 25, 30 or 35. Who does Sadie Atkins invite into the trailer? Of course, none other than her two murdering partners, the two people she entered the Tate residence with the night before, Patricia Krenwinkel and Charles Tex Watson.

ġ

7d-1

1

2

4

5

6. 7

8、

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

The night before the TV incident, in other words, the night of the Tate murders, August 8, 1969, Barbara recalled having dinner with the Family, she believes in the back house behind the ranch, although she testified sometimes the Family ate in the saloon and sometimes in the back house.

I asked her what happened after dinner, and she said Manson and Susan Atkins were there at dinnertime.

And she said Charlie wanted Sadie and Katie or Linda, definitely Sadie, but she wasn't sure whether the second girl was Katie or Linda, she said, "I don't know which one, to come outside."

"Q And Susan Atkins was there?

"A. Yes.

"Q Was Patricia Krenwinkel there?

"A. Yes.

"Q Was Linda Kasabian there?

"A. Yes."

In other words, she knows Linda was there and she knows Krenwinkel was there, but she forgets whether Manson asked Sadie and Linda or Katie and Linda -- I'm losing myself --

Whether Manson asked Sadie and Katie or Sadie and Linda to come outside.

Was Charles Tex Watson there?

"A. I think he was outside.

| 1          | "Q               | You say Manson asked Sadie and      |
|------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2          | either Katie     | or Linda to come outside?           |
| ş          | пД               | Yes.                                |
| 4          | n <sub>Q</sub> . | You don't know whether it was       |
| <b>5</b>   | Katie or Line    | la?                                 |
| 6          | υ <b>Δ</b>       | No.                                 |
| 7          | "Q               | But you know that both Katie and    |
| 8          | Linda were ti    | nere?                               |
| 9          | ид,              | Yes.                                |
| 10         | n <b>G</b>       | Did Sadie or Katie or Linda then    |
| 11,        | go outside?      | •                                   |
| 12         | нД.              | Ÿeş.                                |
| <b>1</b> 3 | "Q               | With Mr. Manson?                    |
| 14         | "A               | Yes.                                |
| 15         | "Ĝ               | Did you remain inside the back      |
| 16         | house?           | •                                   |
| 17         | "A               | Yes.                                |
| 18         | , "Q             | Who was in the back house at that   |
| 19         | time after S     | adie and Katie or Linda left?       |
| 20         | 1. A.            | The rest of us.                     |
| 21:        | , ng             | The rest of the Family?             |
| 22         | nA.              | Yes.                                |
| 23         | u <sub>Q</sub>   | Aftertelling Katie and Sadie, or    |
| 24         | after tellin     | g Sadie and Katie or Linda to step  |
| 25         | outside did      | he then come back into the back     |
| 00         | have and so      | y competition to the mornin incided |

| 1         |                                                   |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| ľ         | "A Well, for the young ones to                    |
| 2         | sleep in the wickiup.                             |
| 3         | "Q Is that w-i-c-k-y?                             |
| 4         | "A. I don't know.                                 |
| 5         | "Q Is it wickiup?                                 |
| 6         | "A. Yes, like wickiup.                            |
| 7         | "Q What is a wickiup?                             |
| .8        | "A Well, it's a place it was out-                 |
| 9         | side. We just called it that.                     |
| 10        | "It was outside, and there was a                  |
| 11        | whole bunch of trees and everything around it     |
| 12        | like you would stand right in front of it and     |
| 13:       | you would not know you were in front of it.       |
| 14        | "Q And this wickiup was near the                  |
| 15        | back house?                                       |
| 16        | "A. Yes.                                          |
| 17        | "Q And you say Mr. Manson told every-             |
| 18        | one to go to the wickiup?                         |
| <b>19</b> | "A. The ones that were under 18.                  |
| :20       | ng Did everyone then go to the wickiup?           |
| 21        | "A A little later.                                |
| 22        | "Q Did you remain in the back house for           |
| 23        | a period of time after that?                      |
| 24        | "A Yes.                                           |
| 25        | "Q While you were in the back house               |
| 26        | ata way have one communication with Sugan Atking? |

ПA. Yes. ï How long after Manson told ıı Q 2 Sadie and Katie or Linda to leave the back 3 house, how long after that did you talk to 4 Susan Atkins? II A. About a half hour. 6. About what time of day was this? "Q 7 Night. 'nΑ 8 uф Do you know the approximate time? 9 No. IIA. 10 IIQ. It was after dinner? 11 "A Yes. 12. What time would you normally eat "Q 13 dinner at night? 14 "A After dark." 15 THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, it is 12:00 o'clock. .16 Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with 17 anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case 18 until it is finally submitted to you. 19 The Court will recess until 1:45 this afternoon. 20. (Whereupon, a recess was taken to reconvene at 21 1:45 p.m., same day.) 22 23 24

25

26

ľ

2

3.

5 . 6

7.

8

9

10

11

12 . 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23:

24 25

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1970 1:50 P.M.

(The following proceedings occur in chambers. All counsel present, except Mr. Hughes. Defendants absent.) THE COURT: The record will show all counsel are present.

Did you have something you wanted to inform the Court, Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. FITZGERALD: Simply that the three female defendants have indicated a desire to return to the courtroom, your Honor, and have told me that they would behave. period.

THE COURT: Well, we will bring them back in. MR. KANAREK: I can't make that representation.

I just asked Mr. Manson, in accordance with the Clerk's request, and he indicated a lack of -stating that he did not wish to return.

THE COURT: He did not wish to return?

MR. FITZGERALD: My client -- the three girls -- then said: "Is the Judge asking us if we want to come back?"

And I said: "Well, I don't know what procedure the Court is going to follow, but I anticipate that the Court is going to ask the attorneys before each session of the Court whether the defendants are willing to affirm.

And they said: "Anytime the Judge asks if we

are going to return and behave ourselves, you tell him we are."

And I said: "Well, are you saying you want to
return to the courtroom this afternoon and you will behave?"

And they said, "If the Judge is asking us if we want to return, yes, we do want to return, and we will behave."

But I just want to be perfectly candid with the Court, I mean, I just want to give you as much of the verbatim conversation as I can possibly recall, and that is it, Judge. That is the total conversation.

2

1

.3,

·**4** 

5

, ę

Ż

8;

9

10

ΪÏ

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23. 24

25

2ć

THE COURT: Well, again, it is perfectly obvious to the Court, after lo, these many months, that the defendants are operating in concert with each other, to far as their conduct in the courtroom and out.

Each time they have been removed the defendants and counsel have been advised that they can return to the courtroom at any time when they are willing to affirm their willingness to conform their behavior to the reasonable rules and requirements of the court, and there has been no change in that.

It appeared from time to time that they seem to be playing some kind of a word game, I don't know what it is, or what their intention is.

I don't see how they think it can help their case any, but apparently they think that this game playing is somehow helping them.

We will have them brought back in. I want the record to show also this morning, because it is sometimes difficult for the transcript to show exactly what happened, that the three women were obviously acting in concert together.

The first one to make statements and refuse to sit down and be quiet was Miss Van Houten, followed by Miss Krenwinkel and then Miss Atkins.

It was pretty much the same pattern as occurred twice yesterday. They obviously were not going to pay any

i

2

.

6

7

8

9

n

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

- 20

21

**22** 

23

24

25

26

attention to the Court and did not pay attention to the Court when I admonished them to sit down and be quiet so we could continue.

When they continued to talk in a loud tone,
I had them removed from the courtroom, and that was followed
by Mr. Manson's conduct along the same lines, and his
removal from the courtroom.

I will bring them back down now, but they have had every reasonable opportunity to demonstrate their willingness to conduct themselves in a proper manner, and the same thing will occur again if they conduct themselves as they did this morning and on the previous occasions.

They will be removed promptly.

I don't think any American court is required to subject itself to this kind of nonsense day after day after day, and when it is perfectly obvious that the defendants are using it as some kind of a stage for some kind of performance that they are putting on, both before the jury and out of the presence of the jury.

But if they indicate they are willing to come back and behave themselves, then I will bring them back in.

Anything further?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I would like to allege the prejudice of this -- I believe that Mr. Manson-THE COURT: State what you are talking about first,

Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: It has to do with the Shea case.

THE COURT: You raised that question yesterday.

MR. KANAREK: But Mr. Manson has a court appearance, believe it or not, and the context of even this trial there is a court appearance.

THE COURT: I don't think that will take place. It is set for tomorrow. I already talked to Judge Lucas about it, I think he is going to put it over until after the argument is over.

MR. KANAREK: In other words, no physical court appearance of Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: That is my understanding.

All right, gentlemen, let us resume.

1 2

3

4

5 .6

7

8

·9

10 11

<u>1</u>2

13.

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings occur in open court. All jurors present, all counsel present, and defendants Van Houten, Krenwinkel and Atkins present.)

THE COURT: All the defendants except Mr. Manson are present. All counsel are present. All jurors are present. You may proceed. Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

Barbara Hoyt testified that while she was back in the back house, Susan called her on the field telephone from the saloon and asked her to bring her three sets of dark clothing.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Ladies and gentlemen, I have a defense that I can make which will save you ---

MR. BUGLIOSI: Wait a while -- wait a while -- wait a while.

DEFENDANT VAN HOUTEN: Why do you try to hide the truth? I have been trying to tell you the truth.

THE COURT: Remove Miss Van Houten from the courtroom.

(Defendant Van Houten is removed from the

courtroom.)

THE COURT: If there is any further outbursts from any of the other defendants, you will also be removed from the courtroom in accordance with the Court's previous indications to you.

Let's proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

. 17

26 .

HR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench briefly, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may not.

MR. BUGLIOSI: So Susan Atkins calls Barbara Hoyt and asks for three sets of dark clothing and asks Barbara to bring the clothing up to the front of the ranch.

Note that Linda testified that she already was dressed in black that night. In other words, before Hanson even told Linda to get a change of clothing and a knife and her driver's license, she already was dressed in black that night, and Manson, himself, told Linda to get a change of clothing.

So, in other words, Linda took care of herself.

Susan undoubtedly called Barbara for three
sets of dark clothing for herself, Katie and Tex.

Barbara testified that she did get three sets of dark clothing from the back house. I note that it is obvious that Sadie apparently was not too concerned about the fit of the clothing since she never told Barbara who the clothing was for, or sizes or anything like that.

Barbara testified when she arrived at the front of the ranch with the clothing, she saw Manson on the boardwalk.

He asked her what she was doing up front.

She told him Sadie had asked her to bring these sets of dark clothing for her.

3.

19´

24.

September --

Manson told Barbara they had already left.
Who was Manson referring to? It was clear he was referring to Tex, Sadie, Katie and Linda.

Manson did not bother to ask Barbara why Sadie wanted her to bring three sets of dark clothing. Charlie already knew, of course.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we know from Barbara Hoyt's testimony that these events she testified to took place on the night of the Tate murders because she said the very following day she heard about the Tate murders on television and although Barbara Hoyt did not see or hear everything that Linda Kasabian testified to concerning the night of the Tate murders, she certainly saw and heard enough to substantiate Linda's testimony in large part.

Barbara testified that in late August she went to the desert in Inyo County, California with Manson, Tex, and several of the girls in the Family, and they lived at Barker Ranch, Meyer's Ranch and Lotus Mine, moving from place to place.

She said that Manson would always be the one to make the decision when they would move from one location to another location, not anyone else in the Family, just Charlie.

She recalled one incident at Meyer's Ranch in

DEFENDANT ATKINS: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 1 Barbara Hoyt was supposed to have had LSD ---2 THE COURT: Remove Miss Atkins from the courtroom. 3 MR. BUGLIOSI: You little bitch! 4 THE COURT: Sit down, Mr. Kanarek. 5 MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench? 6 THE COURT: You may not. 7 MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect --8 THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Bugliosi. ģ MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, just to preserve what 10 Mr. Bugliosi said, that's all. 11 THE COURT: You may make your record during the 12 recess. 13 Let's proceed. 14 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor, also to make a 15 motion, your Honor. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: She recalls this incident when Manson 17 told Tex to go to the bottom of the wash area and fix the 18 dune buggy. She testified that Tex left shortly thereafter. 19 Do you recall any sleeping incident 20 at Meyers' Ranch involving Mr. Manson and yourself 21 and Tex and Kitty Lutesinger? 22 ₩Ă. Yes. 23 'nQ. When did the sleeping incident take . 24 place at Meyer's Ranch? 25 "A Early September or late August. 26

"Q

Of 169 -- 11

. 

-

8.

DEFENDANT KRENWINKEL: Tell the truth -THE COURT: Remove Miss Krenwinkel from the courtroom.

DEFENDANT KRENWINKEL: And you are going to be eaten

up by your own lie.

THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard the statements of the defendants.

Let's proceed, Mr. Bugliosi -- before you proceed, I would like to see counsel at the bench.

(The following proceedings were had at teh bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: I have no reason to doubt that

Mr. Fitzgerald was acting in good faith when he relayed to

the Court the apparent or professed desires of the female

defendants to return and behave themselves. However, it is

a farce and a sham, as far as they were concerned.

I want the record to clearly reflect that when
I entered the courtroom they were visibly engaged with their
heads together and reading some kind of a document together,
I have no idea what it said, but it was shortly after that
Miss Atkins then stood up and went through her act,
including trying to grab Mr. Bugliosi's notes off the
rostrum as she was being led out of the courtroom, and
shouting and saying things, the gist of which I did not
gather; perhaps the reporters are able to record.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2Í 22

23

24

25 26 Shortly thereafter, it was followed by Miss Krenwinkel's performance, and ; Miss Atkins', or vice-versa.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We had Van Houten too. Did you mention her?

THE COURT: Yes. Miss Van Houten was the first one.

It is perfectly clear that it was a calculated performance by the three of them to interrupt the proceedings, and they will not be brought back into this courtroom again during the remainder of the guilt phase of the trial.

Now, did you have something you wanted to say, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I would make a motion -- it is a motion for an evidentiary hearing, or a motion that the transcript -that, a hearing, really, to determine that the record, in fact, does reflect all of the words uttered.

I think the court reporters are only human and there is some chance, your Honor, that the record would not reflect that.

Therefore, I make a motion that the jury be removed from the courtroom and that we take sworn testimony in order to perpetuate this record and get it as accurately as possible while it is presently in our minds.

I believe the Due Process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment allows every defendant, including

2

3

5

6

7 8.

JÒ. lĺ

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

**26** 

Mr. Manson, to have a good record on appeal, and right now is the time to take that.

THE COURT: If any of the words of the defendants were lost, it could only redound to their benefit, because nothing they said would help them.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Manson is not physically in the courtroom, he is in the holding tank and far removed --

THE COURT; Let's wait until we get the transcript.

It is now fresh in all our minds. MR. KANAREK: the juxtaposition of the People with respect to it, the deputies, and evey the people in the courtroom.

I say that is such a dramatic event that now occurred that unless we get it portrayed as best we can on the record --

THE COURT: Would you like to describe it? You can go ahead and describe it.

MR. KANAREK: I don't purport to be any better than your Honor, but thank you for the invitation.

I will reflect briefly what I did see.

I thought I saw some kind of a struggle at the lectern, which is just a few feet from the jury, right in front of the jury, in front of all the jurors.

> MR. KEITH: Between whom, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Between Mr. Bugliosi and the jury. the presence of the jury I heard Mr. Bugliosi yell, "You little bitch."

2

1

1

5 6

7

8

ģ<sup>\*</sup>

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23.

12a fls24

25 26 THE COURT: That is absolutely false. There was no struggle between Mr. Bugliosi and anybody.

What happened was, one of the female defendantsI think it was Miss Van Houten -- correct me if I am wrongwalked by the rostrum and grabbed the notes off the rostrum.

MR, BUGLIOST: Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: Atkins.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She tore two of the sheets, and when I grabbed them, she grabbed them. She didn't cut me, but her fingernail went straight across. We were grappling for the paper. She was grabbing for the front sheets. It was obvious that she was trying to throw them to the floor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

I heard Mr. Bugliosi call her a little bitch in the presence of the jury.

I don't know what other words were uttered.

There were also words uttered when Leslie
Van Houten went by earlier, and I didn't hear all the
words, and that is why I asked the Court to approach the
bench at that time to make the record.

I think absent an evidentiary hearing, I don't think we will have in the record what was uttered.

12-a

· 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

Ţ9

20 21

22

23. 24

25

26

THE COURT: Either the reporter got it or he didn't.

If he didn't, and it wasn't heard by the jury, it can only redound to the benefit of the defendant.

MR. KANAREK: It was right in front, it was a few feet from the jury. We might need the jurors to make the record.

THE COURT: We are not going to have an evidentiary hearing. We had one in front of everybody with the reporter present.

MR. KANAREK: That is my motion.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion for a mistrial.

THE COURT: Denied.

MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor admonish the jury then?
THE COURT: Yes.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court:)

MR. KEITH: May we approach the bench again? THE COURT: Very well.

(Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KEITH: Your Honor made mention that he thought there was some concerted activity before these outbursts between the three girls when they had their heads together.

21

22

23

24

25

26

It was my impression that they were all three of them reading a letter that they had received through Mr. Fitzgerald, and I didn't hear any words on their part planning these outbursts that just occurred.

MR. FITZGERALD: I just handed that sheet of paper to you.

I will be happy to show it to other counsel.

I don't think it relates to -- I want to assure the Court that I didn't know there was going to be any outburst.

THE COURT: I don't think you did. I have no reason to believe that you did.

Of course, as I say, I haveno idea why they were looking at the sheet of paper, or even if that is the sheet of paper they were looking at.

MR. KEITH: It was.

THE COURT: I think the record clearly reflects what happened, and it appears to me that they were clearly acting in concert in the sense that they were going to do it one by one; after one was finished and removed from the courtroom, then the next one was going to go through her act and be removed from the courtroom, and so on, which is precisely what happened.

MR. KANAREK: May this be marked as an exhibit?
THE COURT: For what purpose?

MR. KANAREK: I would like it to be an exhibit.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

26

MR. KANAREK: That is my motion, your Honor. THE COURT: Denied.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.
MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

I will go on. We had a slight little interruption, as you saw.

I am asking Barbara Hoyt whether she recalled a sleeping incident at Meyer's Ranch involving Mr. Manson and herself and Tex and Kitty Lutesinger.

And she says, yes, it took place up in Meyer's Ranch in early September or perhaps late August of '69.

And she said Tex Watson was there and Kitty was there and so was she.

She said they were sleeping on the ground.
I said: What time was it?
Night.

What did Mr. Manson do, if anything?
He said, "Get up."

"He told all three of you to get up?"
"Yes."

"When he told you three to get up, did he

12b

| 12b-1    | 1.         | "Did he appear to be angry?                 |
|----------|------------|---------------------------------------------|
| L.       | 2          | "Sort of.                                   |
| M.       | 3          | "Did all three of you get up?               |
|          | 4          | "Yes.                                       |
|          | 5          | "Did Mr. Manson tell you why he             |
| *        | 6.         | wanted you to get up?                       |
|          | 7          | "Yes.                                       |
|          | 8          | "What did he say?                           |
| ٠, .     | 9          | "He said he didn't want us to go to         |
| ,        | 10         | sleep before he did and we should all do it |
|          | 11         | together.                                   |
|          | 12         | "Did Mr. Manson do anything to Kitty        |
|          | 13         | Lutesinger at that point?                   |
|          | 14         | "He slapped her.                            |
|          | 15         | "Once or more than once?                    |
| •        | 16         | "Twice.                                     |
|          | 17         | "When Manson said this to you three,        |
|          | 18         | did Tex get up?                             |
| •        | <b>1</b> 9 | "Yes.                                       |
| ,        | 20         | "Did Tex talk back to Mr. Manson in         |
| •        | 21         | any fashion?                                |
| <b>~</b> | 22         | .nNo.                                       |
|          | 23         | "Did he say anything to Mr. Manson?         |
|          | 24         | "No.                                        |
|          | 25         | "Did he make any kind of a face to          |
|          | 26         | Mr. Manson?                                 |

12b-2

.7 

13.

4

25,

ĦΜο.

"Did you ever, at any time during your living with the Family, ever hear Tex Watson tell Charles Manson to do anything?

"No."

Now, if that one incident alone -- of course, there are countless other incidents -- but if that one incident alone doesn't show, ladies and gentlemen, Manson's complete and total control over this Family?

Tex Watson can't even go to sleep at night before Charlie. He couldn't even go to sleep and lie down on the good earth without Charlie complaining and telling him to get up.

Barbara also testified that in September of 169, while at Meyers Ranch, she recalls an incident one afternoon when she was in the bedroom of the ranch house lying on the bed. She overheard Susan Atkins talking to Ruth Morehouse in the kitchen.

You redail, Barbara attempted to estimate the distance between the bedroom and the kitchen for us here in the courtroom. Obviously, she couldn't do it accurately.

However, Frank Fowles, the District Attorney of Inyo County, actually went to Meyers Ranch and measured the distance, and it is 20 feet, five and a half inches between the door of the bedroom and the door of the kitchen. A very short distance.

Also, Barbara testified that when Susan was talking to Ruth Morehouse, she was talking rather loudly.

You recall that Virginia Graham testified that at Sybil Brand, when Susan Atkins was confessing her involvement in the Tate murders. Susan Atkins was also talking rather loudly.

Barbara testified she heard Sadie tell Ruth Morehouse that "Sharon Tate came out and she said, 'What is going on here, or something like that, and Sadie said, 'Shut up, woman.'"

She said Sadie also told Ruth Morehouse that Sharon Tate was the last to die because she had to see everybody else go first.

If there ever was a little sweetheart on the face of this earth, it was Susan Atkins.

So, here we have Susan Atkins confessing to Ruth Morehouse of her participation in the Tate murders.

This statement by Susan Atkins, standing alone, without anything else, is enough to convict her of all five counts of murder, ladies and gentlemen.

12c f1s.21

25

26

12-c

I 2

**3** .

**4** 5

6

7

8

9

. ĻĽ

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21.

22

23 24

25

26

Incidentally, I think you will have all these exhibits back in the jury room, but this is the ranch house at Meyer's Ranch right here, and this is a photo of the interior of the residence.

From the kitchen -- that is Frank Fowles, as you saw -- you saw him -- he testified, from the kitchen to the bedroom door, slightly over 20 feet.

Obviously a close distance.

In fact, Mr. Fowles even testified that he conducted some type of an experiment at Meyer's Ranch. He had a conversation with someone in the kitchen, in a normal conversational tone, and he had other helpers of his in the bedroom, and they said that they could hear him.

On cross-examination, Miss Hoyt was asked whether she had been in any mental hospital in the last couple of years, and she answered that she had been in a mental hospital in the last couple of weeks.

On redirect, she explained that what she meant was that in early September she had been in the psychiatric ward of a regular hospital, not a mental hospital.

She had been in the hospital overnight. It was the Queen's Hospital in Honolulu.

She testified that the reason for her being in the hospital is that someone had given her an overdose of LSD.

On cross-examination, she was asked whether her

1<sub>.</sub>

3

4

5.

6,

7

8

10

iı

12

13 14

**1**5

16

17

18

19

**2**0

21

22 23

24

25

26.

sexual activity while with the Family was free and voluntary, and she replied that it was.

However, on redirect, she admitted that at Meyer's Ranch, in September of '69, Manson had ordered her to orally copulate Juan Flynn.

She testified that she didn't want to do it, but she did it against her will because Manson directed her to do it and she was afraid of him.

She said as soon as Manson left the room, of course, she stopped doing what she was doing.

She testified that one day in September of 1969, when Manson was temporarily away from the Meyer's Ranch, she left Meyer's Ranch with a girl friend named Sherri because she was "scared to stay up there any more." She testified she was afraid of Charles Manson.

However, Manson caught up with her at a place called Ballarat, and she told him she was leaving, and he gave her \$20 for transportation.

Of course, the defense will argue to you that this act demonstrates that anyone could come and go in the Family and that it was a free and voluntary type of situation.

Well, we never said it wasn't. We never said it wasn't in our opening statement. We never alleged at any time during the trial that people couldn't come and go at Spahn Ranch or Barker Ranch. We never said it was a

2

concentration camp.

All we said was that Charles Manson was the leader of the Family, and everyone was slavishly obedient to him, and were willing to do his bidding for him, including murder.

That is all we have ever said.

But mark my word, they are going to say it was proved as they could come and go. It was never an issue in the case. We never said they could not, although you will recall the testimony of Stephanie Schramm, she got it on the head from Charlie when she talked about leaving.

But by and large we never made any allegation that they could not come and go freely.

Danny DeCarlo, you remember Danny.

Danny's testimony was he first came to Spahn Ranch in March of '59 to fix a motorcycle. He was a member of a motorcycle club in Venice at the time. called Straight Satans.

He saw Menson on his first day, and Manson asked him to fix the motorcycle, which Danny did.

Manson asked DeCarlo if he wanted to live at the ranch.

He testified that Manson told him he, DeCarlo, "could have anything he wanted. He opened the door to me; it was his house and I was welcome to anything that was in it."

13-1

3

5

6

7 8.

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 `

22

23

.24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

ŢĿ

12

ŢŻ

14

15

16

· 17

18

19

20

2Ì 22

23

24

25

26

Manson told DeCarlo that he could make love to all of the girls if he wanted to, and apparently Danny accepted that invitation, as it came out on the witness stand.

Manson later told DeCarlo that the girls in the Family should have sexual intercourse with all of the men in the Family.

DeCarlo started living at Spahn Ranch that very day and continued living there until late August, 1969. He testified he did not hate Charles Manson; he had no axe to grind against Charlie at all. In fact, I think he said that he loves Charlie.

He said that in March of '69 Susan Atkins, Patricia Krenwinkel, Leslie Van Houten and ten other girls lived at the ranch.

There were five men, Manson, DeCarlo, Tex Watson, Clem Tufts and Bruce Davis.

He testified of course that the group called itself the Family and he became a member of the Family and he said the Family survived by going on garbage runs for food, and thegirls panhandled, that is, beg for money.

Danny himself sold pop bottles in the park on his motorcycle.

He said that the girls in the Family, worshipped Charles Manson. He recalled Susan Atkins, Patricia Krenwinkel and Leslie Van Houten telling him that Manson

1

2

3

#

5 6

7

ġ

.9

10

11

12

1,3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

**2**2

23. 24

25

26<sup>.</sup>

"knew all and saw all."

care of the man.

Of course these are supposed attributes of God.

He said he heard Manson tell the girls in his

Family that their role in the Family was simply to take

He said Manson would tell the girls now and then to walk around in the nude, which they did.

He said on several occasions Manson told a group of girls in the Family, including these three female defendants, to go panhandle, that is, beg for money, and he said they would follow what ever instructions he gave them.

DeCarlo said Tex Watson was about six foot two inches tall. He described Tex this way:

"He was happy-go-lucky; he was a nice guy. I liked Tex. He didn't have no temper or anything. I can see he never said much."

He said Tex spent most of the time fixing dune buggles and was the mechanic for the Family. He said he never heard Watson give an opinion on anything the whole time he knew Tex, whenever they would speak together they would talk about drink, and he testified he never heard Watson tell or ask Manson to do anything.

He does recall Manson however, instructing Watson to do several things like fix dune buggies and go here and there, and Tex, of course, like a little puppet, would do whatever Charlie told him to do.

|          | ľ         |
|----------|-----------|
| <i>;</i> | 2         |
| ,<br>,   | 3         |
|          | 4         |
| L3 a     | fls.5     |
|          | 6         |
| •        | 7         |
|          | 8         |
| ٠        | , ģ       |
|          | 10        |
|          | · 11      |
|          | 12        |
|          | 13        |
|          | 14        |
| •        | 15        |
|          | , 16      |
|          | 17        |
| ,        | <b>18</b> |
|          | 19        |
|          | 20:       |
|          | 21.       |
|          | 22        |
|          | 23        |
|          | 24        |
| Ž.       | 25        |
|          | oc.       |

He also recalled Manson directing Tex to get sleeping bags and to make sure there was enough of them.

This is all circumstantial evidence, of course, of Manson's complete domination and control over the Family. He testified that the Family would eat together at night.

μQ How did it come about, Danny, 13al ŀ that you would eat at night, would anyone say anything, 'It's time to eat,' or anything 3 like that? IFA. Well. Charlie would get us all 5 together and sit down and eat chow. 6 TO H Do you recall the Family eating Ż before he got the group together? 8 IIA. No. пQ Would the Family have discussions 10. at dinner time? ŢĻ "A Yeah. 12 13: ıı Q Would anyone do most of the talking? 14 11A. Yeah. 15 Who would do most of the talking? ωQ 16 "A Charlie would. 17 Would Charlie do all of the talk 110 18 IFA. Most of the time. 19. While Charlie was talking would 11D 20-Mr. Watson be present? 21  $^{\rm H}\Lambda$ Yeah." :**22**° Usually I have a white sheet in here so I can 23 go directly to it. Now and then the sheet falls off. 24

25

26:

I asked Danny with respect to the discussions

Charles Manson had with the Family at dinnertime, I asked

Danny, "While he was talking did you ever hear any member 1 of the Family interrupt him?" 2 . . "A. No. 3 n G Did you ever hear at any time during these discussions anyone say anything to Manson 5 without speaking to them first? 6. There was one time someone interrupted 7 him. 8 Who was that party? 110 II A. I don't know, it was a couple of 10, broads talking." 11. Then he uses the King's English, of course. 12. In other words, they were talking to each πQ . 13 other Danny? 14 11A Yeah, and he was talking to me and 15 they interrupted. 16 What happened? "Q 17 YI A. He threw a bowl of rice at 18 them. 19 nO. · Charlie threw a bowl of rice at 20 the girls? 21 A'II Not at them, he just slid it 22 across the floor. 23 Did he say anything when he did 11 Q 24 that? 25 Well, that he was talking and he "A. 26

"wanted their attention and he was being 1 interrupted. 2 That is the only time you can "Q 3 recall anyone ever interrupting him as he was 4. talking, is that correct? IIA. Yes." 6 He testified that although the Family would 7 talk to each other while they passed food to one another, . 8 when Manson spoke to the Family no one would talk, everyone 9 just sat and listened intently. 10 "Danny, did he say anything about blacks 11 and whites? 12 IIA. Well, to try to get the blacks 13 against the whites, or vice-versa. 14 Did he say anything about trying Q 15 to make the blacks go against the whites? 16 "A Yes. 17 What did he say? 110 18 IIA. To have the blacks and whites 19. against each other and just sit up on the hill 20 and watch them, watch them shoot each other. 21 пq Did he say how he was going to watch 22 this fight on top of the hill? 23 лA. Yes, we would all be up on the 24 hill watching. 25 II Q Who is we? 26

| Ì         | "A All of us, the Family.                      |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------|
| 2         | "Q Did he say how you would be                 |
| 3         | watching it, with binoculars or something like |
| 4         | that?                                          |
| 5         | "A Yes, with binoculars.                       |
| 6         | "Q Did he say anything about blacks            |
| Ĩ         | and the police?                                |
| *8        | "A He would have liked to have seen            |
| 9         | the blacks knock the police off.               |
| 10        | "Q Did he ever talk about the Black            |
| 11        | Panthers?                                      |
| 12        | "A. Yes.                                       |
| 13        | "Q Do you recall when he spoke about           |
| <b>14</b> | the Black Panthers?                            |
| 15        | <sup>11</sup> A. Yeş.                          |
| 16        | "Q Was it between March, '69 and August,       |
| 17        | 169?                                           |
| 18        | "A. Yes.                                       |
| 19        | "Q At Spahn Ranch?                             |
| 20        | "A Right.                                      |
| 21        | "Q Approximately during what period,           |
| 22        | March and August?                              |
| 23        | "A. Around July.                               |
| 24        | "Q July of 169?                                |
| 25 .      | "A. Yes.                                       |
| 26        | "Q What did he say about the Black             |
| -         | 1 v                                            |

| 1            | "Panthers?                                               | •                                           |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 2            | n <sub>A</sub>                                           | He said that the Panthers would             |
| 3            | eventually o                                             | vercome the police.                         |
| 4            | n <b>d</b>                                               | Did he say anything about the Black         |
| 5            | Panthers wit                                             | h respect to the Spahn Ranch?               |
| , <b>6</b> - | "A                                                       | Well, they were supposed to come up         |
| 7            | there.                                                   |                                             |
| 8            | n <del>g</del>                                           | Did he say what he thought the              |
| 9            | Black Panthe                                             | rs were going to do when they came          |
| .10          | to the Spahn                                             | Ranch?"                                     |
| 11           | Of cou                                                   | rse, Danny answered that they were supposed |
| 12           | tocome up there and shoot us all, including the children |                                             |
| 13           | and the Family,                                          |                                             |
| 14           | This i                                                   | s what Manson told other members of the     |
| 15           | Family, they were                                        | all going to be wiped out by these Black    |
| 16           | Panthers.                                                |                                             |
| 17           | · no                                                     | Did you ever have any guys out              |
| 18           | at the Ranch?                                            | •                                           |
| 19           | 11 🗛                                                     | Yeah.                                       |
| 20           | ı,ď                                                      | Were they armed?                            |
| 21           | ид.                                                      | Yeah.                                       |
| 22           | 11 Q                                                     | Were the guards members of the              |
| 23           | Family?                                                  |                                             |
| 24           | nA*                                                      | Yes.                                        |
| 25           | "Q                                                       | Male or female members?                     |
| 26.          | пД.                                                      | Male members.                               |

| 1          | "Q When would they guard the ranch?                          |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>ż</b>   | "A. At night.                                                |
| . 3        | "Q Are you familiar with the word or                         |
| . 4        | term, Helter Skelter, Danny?                                 |
| <b>5</b> . | "A. Yes.                                                     |
| . 6        | "Q Did you ever hear Charles Manson                          |
| 7          | use that term?                                               |
| 8          | "A. Yes.                                                     |
| 9          | "Q Many times?                                               |
| ,10        | TA. Yes. T                                                   |
| 11         | Another place in his testimony De Carlo said                 |
| 12         | that Manson used to say "Helter Skelter is coming down fast. |
| 13         | "Q Did you ever hear other members of                        |
| 14         | the Family use that term?                                    |
| 15         | "A Yes, we all did.                                          |
| 16         | "Q How often would the Family and Manson                     |
| 17.        | use that term, Helter Skelter?                               |
| Í8         | "A Well, like every-day talk.                                |
| 19         | "Q Have you ever heard the term, Helter                      |
| 20         | Skelter, before you moved to Spahn Ranch, Danny?             |
| 21         | "A. No.                                                      |
| 22.        | "Q Did you ever hear Charles Manson sing                     |
| 23         | and play the guitar?                                         |
| 24         | "A Yes.                                                      |
| 25         | "Q At the ranch?                                             |
| 26         | "A. Yes.                                                     |
| 1          | ·                                                            |

110 When would he normally sing and 1 play the guitar? · 2 "A Mostly at night he would sing and play all the time, whenever he felt like playing he would pick up the guitar and play. 11O Even in the daytime? "A Right, even in the daytime. 7 While he was singing and playing 8 the guitar, what would the female members of the Family do? ÌO IIA. They would sing, too, just hum in 11 the background. 12 HQ. Did Manson sing his own songs? 13 IIA. He wrote and sang his own Yes. 14 songs; he never sang anybody else's songs." 15 16 17 18 19: 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

3b

135-1

2

3

4

6

.

ġ.

-

10

11

<u></u>12

13

14, . 15

16

17

18.

19

20

**21**`

22

23

24

25

26

Danny's testimony was extremely relevant on several issues, and that is why I am going over it in considerable depth.

Danny was a member of the Family. He had personal knowledge of what was going on Outside the Spahn Ranch. He knew about the Buck knives, the revolver, Manson's domination over the Family, Helter Skelter.

"Q Did any of the songs Manson sang contain in the lyrics, the words of the song, Helter Skelter?

"A I think one of the songs did.

"Q This is his song?"

And Danny answered this was his song, so one of Manson's own compositions contain the words, Helter Skelter.

"Q The saloon at the ranch, was there a big jug in the saloon?

"A Yes, they had a big jug there.

"Q Can you describe the jub?

"A Yes, it was a water bottle painted black. It had 'Donations for Helter Skelter' written on it.

"Q You heard of the Beatles' recording, Danny, is that right?

"A Yes.

"Q Did Charles Manson ever tell you how

"he felt about the Beatles?" "A Yes, he liked the Beatles. 2 ₩Q. Did Manson say anything about the Beatles 3 frequently?" 4. He said "All the time." 5 So apparently Charlie was talking about the 6 Beatles all the time at the Spahn Ranch. Charlie said that 7 the Beatles were aware --8 Did you ever hear any Beatles records 9 played out at Spahn Ranch? 10 H A Yes. 11 Did you ever hear a song by the Beatles 1,2 named Helter Skelter?" 13 And he said yes. 14 uQ. At Spahn Ranch? 15 II A Yes. 16 ۳Q Being played on a record player? 17 n<sub>A</sub>n Yes. 18 щQ. Do you know if this record, Danny, 19 Helter Skelter, was part of an album? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Was it a white album? 22 "A Yes." 23 That is the white album we introduced into 24 evidence with one of the songs being Helter Skelter. 25 ItQ. Did you ever hear Charles Manson mention 26

13b-2

| 13b-3      | 1         |
|------------|-----------|
|            | Ż         |
|            | 3         |
|            | 4         |
| ,          | .5        |
|            | 6         |
| •          | 7         |
|            | Ś.        |
|            | 9         |
| . ,        | 10        |
|            | ļĮ        |
| <b>₹</b> , | 12        |
|            | 13        |
|            | 14        |
| ,          | 15        |
|            | 16        |
|            | 17        |
| •          | 18        |
| <i>:</i>   | <b>19</b> |
|            | 20        |
|            | 2Ì        |
| ٠.         |           |
|            | 22<br>23  |
|            | 40        |

24

26

"the word 'pig'?"

"A Yes.

"Q Would he use the word 'pig' a lot?

"A Yes, we all did.

"Q You and Charles Manson and other members of the Family used to refer to people as pigs frequently?

"A Yes.

"Q Did you hear him, Charles Manson, use the word, pig, also, very frequently at Spahn Ranch?

"A Yes.

"Q Did you ever have a conversation with Charles Manson in which he told you who pigs were?

"A The police.

"Q Did he say pigs were anything other or anyone in addition to the police?

"A The white collar workers, the ones that work from 8:00 to 5:00."

You recall later in Danny DeCarlo's testimony he said that the term "white collar workers" was his term; it was not Manson's.

Manson said "pigs were the police and the people who work from 8:00 to 5:00," but the term "white collar workers" was Danny DeCarlo's term.

"Q Did you ever hear Charles Manson say

| •      |              |                                                          |
|--------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 13b-33 | ,1           | "anything about doing something to pigs?                 |
| , ,    | 2            | "A Yes.                                                  |
|        | 3            | "Q When did you hear him say that?                       |
| ,<br>, | 4            | "A July.                                                 |
| ٠.     | 5            | "Q July, '69?                                            |
|        | 6            | "A Yeah, it was around there.                            |
|        | 7            | "ର୍ At Spahn Ranch?                                      |
| •      | 8 .          | "A Yeah.                                                 |
|        | 9            | "Q Who was present if anyone in addition                 |
|        | <b>,10</b> . | to you and Manson?                                       |
| ,      | 11           | "A I don't know. There was some people                   |
| •      | 12           | there, I don't know who was there.                       |
|        | 13           | "Q How do you place the time in July?"                   |
|        | 14           | Danny said "I got busted on the 16th."                   |
|        | 15           | Everyone was using August 16th as a base of              |
|        | 16           | reference, because everyone was arrested at the ranch on |
| •      | 17           | that day, or shortly thereafter.                         |
| ,      | 18           | "O So you are using August 16th, 1969,                   |
|        | 19           | then, Danny, as a base of reference?                     |
|        | 20           | "A Yes, right.                                           |
| *      | 21           | "Q And you say this discussion which                     |
|        | <b>2</b> 2   | Manson wanted to do something to the pigs was            |
|        | 23           | in July?                                                 |
|        | 24           | "A That's right.                                         |
|        | 25           | "Q What did Manson say about doing                       |
| •      | 26           | something to pigs?                                       |

Manson said they ought to have their

u Q Did he say anything about neighbors? 3 "A The neighbors would be afraid and 4 move away. 5 The neighbors would be afraid of what? NO. ∵6 HA Well, somebody being hung up by their 7 feet. 8 u O So they would move away? ġ ΠA It would scare them and they would move 10 away, right? 11 HQ. Did he say anything about what the 12 police would do if the pigs had their throats cut 13 and were cut up? 14  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{n}}$ Throw their tin badges away and split." 15 This was the way Manson was talking, ladies 16 and gentlemen, out at Spahn Ranch very shortly before 17 the Tate-La Bianca murders. 18 Manson said that the neighbors -- he told Danny 19 the neighbors would leave town; the police would turn in 20 their tin badges because they would think a maniac was 21 on the loose, and of course they would be afraid. 22 He also said this would blow the minds of the 23 public. If anything was capable, ladies and gentlemen, 24 of blowing the minds of the public, and making them 25 think a maniac was on the loose, and be coming very 26

throats cut and be hung up by their feet.

<sup>ff</sup>A

1

2

1į.

frightened, it would be the seven ghastly Tate and La Bianca murders.

Did Manson say anything about cutting up pigs and hanging them by their feet more than once in1969?

And he thinks it was late in July that Manson said this most frequently. Of course, the pigs, ladies and gentlemen, the Tate-La Bianca pigs were cut up and the word "pig" of course was printed in blood at the Tate residence, and "Death to pigs," was printed in blood at the La Bianca residence.

Now, with respect to Manson talking about hanging the pigs, Watson did carry a rope, as you know, to the Tate residence, People's 41, and 194-A and B, the only logical purpose for carrying a rope, especially a long one like this, 43 feet eight inches, it would seem would be to hang the victim.

The fact that the victims were not in fact hanged is actually irrelevant. The relevant thing is the state of mind of Tex, Katie and Sadie, if they did not intend to hang the Tate victims why carry a rope, period?

Why carry a rope that is 43 feet eight inches?

Of course, actually Dr. Noguchi did testify that at one point in time Sharon Tate was hanged. Tex, Katie and Sadie, of course, probably discovered, ladies and gentlemen, that they were just too exhausted after stabbing the Tate victims so many times to hang them.

ŀ

3.

<del>5</del>

, 

13c fls.

<u>1</u>5

. **19** 

He probably also discovered that the blood drenched bodies of the victims were just a little too heavy for them to lift up anyway.

So, here, ladies and gentlemen, in July of 1969, just a couple of weeks before the Tate murders, Manson is talking about cutting up the pigs and making the public think a maniac was on the loose.

Of course, a short time later, on August 8th and 9th we have the murders.

13C-1

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ï

8

9

10

11:

12 13

14

15

16

<u>1</u>.7

18 19

2Ö.

21

22

23

24

25

26

We learned from Danny De Carlo and other witnesses, the rope, People's 41, which I have already shown you, the largest segment of the rope, and there are two other pieces right here, he said the rope was kept by Manson behind the front seat of his, Manson's, dune buggy.

Manson also told De Carlo that the establishment was no good. We didn't have to hear this from Danny, it's pretty obvious throughout this whole trial, the seething fermentation of hatred that Manson had directly towards the establishment.

Manson also mentioned Revelation 9 to Danny De Carlo, several times. Revelation 9 is, of course, the last book from the New Testament from which Manson derives his philosophy.

He spoke of it at dinnertime, Danny said, and he also heard Manson mention Revelation 9 to other people.

Danny testified he never heard anything about Revelation 9 before he came to Spahn Ranch.

He testified he never heard Tex Watson or any other member of the Family talk about Revelation 9 other than Charles Manson.

Charlie told De Carlo that Revelation 9 "ran down the destiny of the world."

Manson told De Carlo that one "shouldn't be afraid to die." And that "death meant nothing."

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the person who

İ

8.

. 

5

0

ordered the seven brutal murders, viciously smudging out the lives of seven human beings had to, just had to believe that it was not wrong to kill a fellow human being, that death meant nothing.

Of course, that person is Charles Manson.

To Manson, ladies and gentlemen, the life of a human being was worth even less than a bird.

You remember Danny De Carlo testified he shot a bird at one time in Manson's presence, and this infuriated Manson, and Manson told Danny, "I would rather shoot at people than birds."

To Charles Manson, ladies and gentlemen, the life of a bird, a sparrow, flying in the sky, was worth more than the life of Sharon Tate and Abigail Folger and Voityck Frykowski and Jay Sebring and Leno La Bianca and Rosemary La Bianca, a bird, ladies and gentlemen.

The guy who testified to this stuff, De Carlo, has nothing against Charlie. He said he loves him. He just told you folks what he heard and observed out at the Spahn Ranch. He was a member of the Family.

De Carlo estimated Charlie's age to be 35.

When I asked him to estimate the age of the girls in the Family, you recall he said Gypsy was 25, but most of the girls were late teens or early 20's, hardly worthy opponents for Charles Manson, I suspect he had no difficulty at all dominating them.

.5

İÌ

1,4

**Ž**4

De Carlo testified that Manson had no job in the Family, he spent his time walking around "watching what other people were doing. He was the head honcho out there. Of course, he was a lot more than just a head honcho, but apparently he just walked around apparently supervising. He didn't do any work himself.

De Carlo testified in July or August of '69 he recalls Gypsy, Gypsy is the girl who invited Linda Kasabian to the Spahn Ranch on July 4; her true name is Katherine Share.

He recalled Gypsy buying around 12 buck knives. Later in his testimony he said he believed Gypsy bought the knives around the first few days of August, 1969, again using August 16th as the base of reference.

He said Manson and Tex would carry the buck knives on their persons from time to time and so would the girls in the Family.

He said all of the buck knives were the same. He estimated the length of their blade to be six inches, the width about one inch and the thickness to be about one-eighth of an inch.

Well, knowing how closely, how very, very closely this parallels the estimated dimensions of those two knives in the car that Linda Kasabian gave, and also how closely it paralleled the estimated dimensions and descriptions of the murder knife as testified to by

Dr. Noguchi --1 Danny also identified People's 39, the buck knife, 2 and he said that Linda brought it to Spahn Ranch and he recalls seeing this particular knife at the ranch. Danny never budged on cross-examination: 5 'nQ Is there anything that separates 6 People's Exhibit 39 from any other knife 7 manufactured by Buck Company? 8 Other than it is a folding knife rather than a straight blade. 10  $\Pi G$ You are not saying, in other words, 11 that People's 39 is the exact knife you saw 12 Linda Kasabian with, are you? 13 IIA. It is the knife. 14  $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{II}}$ 15 How do you know? II'A I have never seen one like that before. 16 11.0 Is it different than any other . 17 knife manufactured by Buck, to your knowledge? 18, IIA. Yes. 19 μQ How so? .20 Well, the wooden handles, the blade "A 21 22 release on the back, the brass. It is an old knife. 23 uQ. Didn't it appear to be a standard 24 manufacture? 25 I have never seen one like it before. 26

It is possible, then, that Linda 1 Kasabian had a knife other than People's 39. 2 isn't it? 3 uД. No. That was the one, because she 4 said she had it for a long time. 5. Is it your opinion that it is the 6 same knife, People's 39 is the same knife, that 7 Linda Kasabian had: is that right? R. "It is your opinion, correct? "A That is my opinion, right. 10 пQ And what do you base that opinion 11 on? 12 That looks exactly like the same 11 A. 13 knife." 14 Of course this is the knife, ladies and 15 gentlemen, that was found inside the Tate residence on the 16. sofa. 17 Officer Granado was the person who found that 18 knife. 19 He also said that these buck knives that 20 Gypsy bought in early August, 1969, those ten buck knives. 21 had a longer blade than that buck knife. 22 23 24

26

13d-1

ġ

20.

Of course, if you look at the blade of that Buck knife, the blade is not six inches.

Linda testified to the same thing, she said the blade on the two knives in the car were longer than her Buck knife. People's 39.

DeCarlo recalled again using August 16th as a base of reference that Gypsy bought some black T-shirts with short sleeves and pockets on the left breast side in late July or early August.

When I showed him People's 52, the black
T-shirt found over the side of the hill with the other
seven articles of clothing, Danny testified that it looked
like the same kind that Gypsy brought to the ranch.

Then he testified that his job at the ranch was custodian of the guns which were kept with him the bunkhouse, that is where Danny slept.

And he said most of the guns in the bunkhouse belonged to him.

However, there were three firearms in the bunkhouse that did not belong to him, a .22 caliber Longhorn revolver, a carbine and a 9 millimeter Radum.

Of course, Danny has quite a background in guns. He was a weapons instructor in the Coast Guard, and presently he owns some type of gunshop up in Oregon.

The .22 caliber revolver he was referring to he said that this revolver right here, which he identified.

13d-2Before I even showed this to him, I said, "This .22 caliber revolver, Danny, that you are speaking 2 about at Spahn Ranch, can you describe it?" 3 He said, "Well, I think it was a light standard 4 Buntline, a long barrel." 5 n<sub>C</sub> Do you know how long the barrel was? 6 About eight inches." ηA . 7 Well, the barrel of this revolver is nine. 8 Q II Do you know how many shots it fired or 9 held in the chambers? 10 "A Nine shots. 11 "This is a nine-shot revolver. 12 Q<sup>11</sup> Do you know what type of grip it had? 13 II A Wood. 14 11 Q. Any particular type of wood, Danny? 15 Possibly walnut." M<sup>11</sup> 16 Well, these are walnut grips. 17 Did you see this .22 caliber revolver ηď 18 almost every day at Spahn Ranch? 19 <sup>H</sup>A Almost. 20 During what period? ĦQ. 21 "A June to August, .22 Of '69? "Q 23 ıt A Yes. 24 O " Did you clean the .22 caliber revolver? 25 <sup>II</sup>A Yes. 26

| 1  | ilQ                | Several times?                            |
|----|--------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|    | ı <sub>A</sub> .   | Yes.                                      |
| 2  | "Q                 | Did you ever see Charles Manson fire it?  |
| 3  | "A                 | Yes.                                      |
| 4r | 1°Q                | The .22 caliber revolver?                 |
| 5  | υA                 | Yes.                                      |
| 6  | "Q                 | Many times?"                              |
| 7  | İ                  | •                                         |
| 8  | Danny              | answered yes.                             |
| 9  | иQ                 | Did you see him fire other firearms that  |
| 10 | were kept in       | the bunkhouse?                            |
| 11 | A <sup>11</sup>    | Yes.                                      |
| 12 | <sup>tt</sup> Q    | Did he appear to have a favorite gun that |
| 13 | he fired the       | most?                                     |
| 14 | "A                 | Yes.                                      |
| 15 | "Q                 | Which gun was that?                       |
| 16 | A <sup>11</sup>    | The .22.                                  |
| 17 | "Q                 | The .22 caliber revolver?                 |
| 18 | a,                 | Yes."                                     |
| 19 | You re             | call that DeCarlo testified that at       |
| ٠  | first Manson did n | ot like firearms.                         |
| 20 | Manson             | told DeCarlo he liked knives, he liked    |
| 21 | knives better than |                                           |
| 22 |                    | rse, the principal murder weapon in       |
| 23 | ·                  |                                           |
| 24 | •                  | as a knife, but as we saw, a revolver     |
| 25 |                    | hoot three of the Tate victims and kill   |
| 26 | one of them, Paren | <b>t.</b>                                 |

Į.

3.

. 

1ŀ

13e fls16

DeCarlo also identified People's 252 and 253 as photographs depicting the area behind the ranch where Charles Manson used to practice firing People's 40.

You will recall this is the same area that Sergeant Lee said he found 15 .22 caliber shell casings, ladies and gentlemen, and we have already conclusively established, I discussed it in great detail this morning, that these shell casings were fired from People's 40.

So we know then, ladies and gentlemen, that this particular revolver right here which Danny DeCarlo identified, he said Manson used to fire it behind the ranch, this is the same revolver, ladies and gentlemen, that was used to shoot Steven Parent, Voityck Frykowski, and Jay Sebring. It is the same revolver, ladies and gentlemen, the same revolver that Charles Manson used to fire out at Spahn Ranch.

13e-1 I asked Danny other than when Manson fired 1 the revolver did he ever see Manson carry the gun on his 2 person. 3 And he said yes, he said he carried it in a holster. "Q Did Mr. Manson ever say he had received this revolver from anyone? 11 A. Yes. пQ When did he tell you this, in June of 1969? . 10 Yes. 1Î. What did Mr. Manson tell you with 12 respect to this .22 caliber revolver? 13 Well, he traded my truck for it. 14 What did Mr. Manson tell you?" 15 He said that someone gave him the gun in .16 return for Danny's truck. 17 I asked him, "Danny, when is the last time 18 you saw that revolver?" That is People's 40, пA. In August. Of 1697 "Q HA. Yes. μQ Using August 16, 1969, the date of your arrest as a base of reference. Danny, when 25 is the last time/you saw that revolver approximately? 26

| Ţ          | ™A.                                                       | A couple of weeks before.                  |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| .2         | ν <b>πα</b> , ν                                           | August 16th?                               |
| 3          | 11 A.                                                     | Right.                                     |
| 4:         |                                                           | Had you seen that revolver every           |
| 5          | day up until                                              | two weeks before August 16, 1969?          |
| 6.         | MA.                                                       | Yes.                                       |
| 7          | ***************************************                   | And then one day it was no longer          |
| ` <b>8</b> | there?                                                    | <b>,</b>                                   |
| 9          | ид                                                        | Yes.                                       |
| 10         | · "Q.                                                     | Do you know what happened to 1t?           |
| 11 .       | n <b>V</b>                                                | No.                                        |
| 12         | no.                                                       | Did you give it to anyone?                 |
| 13         | υA.                                                       | No.                                        |
| 14         | , <sup>ri</sup> Q                                         | Did it just disappear from the bunk        |
| , 15       | house?                                                    |                                            |
| 16         | n <sub>A</sub> ,                                          | Yes.                                       |
| . 17       | πQ.                                                       | Did you ever see it again at the           |
| 18         | ranch?                                                    |                                            |
| 19         | n'A.                                                      | No."                                       |
| 20         | Of cou                                                    | rse, Steven Weiss was the next person that |
| 21,        | found that gun on                                         | September 1, 1969.                         |
| .22        | Incide                                                    | ntally, ladies and gentlemen, in November  |
| 23         | of 1969, this was                                         | before the revolver had been found at      |
| 24         | this particular point of time, but the Los Angeles Police |                                            |
| 25         | Department had not                                        | quite gotten their mitts on it yet.        |
| 26         | They g                                                    | ot their hands on it in December, so       |

November, before the revolver had ever come into the

possession of the Los Angeles Police Department, Danny

De Carlo drew this sketch of the revolver on the Spahn

Ranch for the police, and as you can see here it very, very

closely parallels the actual revolver.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2Š.

26

MR. KANAREK: I think that is a misstatement.

Perhaps it is inadvertent by Mr. Bugliosi, because

Mr. Weiss had given it to the Los Angeles Police Department,

I believe, by Mr. Bugliosi's own statement, in September of

1969, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think I made it clear they turned it in to the Van Nuys Division, but it did not reach the investigating officers in this case until December. I already brought that out.

MR. KANAREK: He said the Los Angeles Police Department. Van Nuys is the Los Angeles Police Department.

THE COURT: The jury will have to determine the facts in this case from the evidence that was received during the trial, not from the statements of counsel.

MR. BUGLIOSI As you can see, ladies and gentlemen, before the Los Angeles Police Department, I am referring to the investigating officers, ever had this thing, they got it in December; in November, a month earlier; Danny DeCarlo drew a sketch of this gun. As you can see, it very, very closely parallels this revolver. There is no

question this revolver here is the one that Danny used to take care of in the bunk room at the Spahn Ranch.

You recall he testified that before he made the drawing. The police never told him anything at all about the description of the revolver. It simply came to him,

He told him there were several firearms. He mentioned a revolver.

They said, "Draw a sketch of the revolver."

That is what Danny did. Lo and behold, they get their hands on it in December; it matches it almost to a T.

When I finally showed Danny the gun, he noticed certain differences, of course, the gun is in a damaged condition right now.

It is in a damaged condition right now. He said out at Spahn Ranch this revolver was in good operating order, good operating order out at Spahn Ranch.

He said the trigger guard, which is broken now, was not broken when it was out at Spahn Ranch.

14-1

ļl

This thing right here is called an ejection spring housing.

The extension beneath the barrel, as you will notice, is bent right here.

He said it was not bent when it was out at Spahn Ranch.

The barrel now, you will notice, is loose.

It was not loose when it was out at Spahn Ranch, according to Danny.

Of course, he also testified that the right-hand grip was on the weapon out at Spahn Ranch.

But other than that, he identified this revolver as being the revolver that he had custody of in the bunk-house.

It is pretty obvious how the grip came off that weapon, ladies and gentlemen.

This is how.

(Holding up a photograph.)

This is how the grip came off that weapon, ladies and gentlemen. 13 vicious, unbelievably savage blows to Voityck Frykowski's head. That is how that grip came off.

Now, surely, surely, ladies and gentlemen, the defense is not going to argue, they can't possibly argue to you that this revolver was not used to shoot Steven Parent, Jay Sebring, and the third named victim.

В,

15.

...

Surely they are not going to argue to you, ladies and gentlemen, they can't possibly argue that the weapon that was used to shoot Frykowski and Sebring and Parent was not the weapon that was used by Manson to fire out at the Spahn Ranch.

If they want to argue that, they can be my guest.

They are not going to tell you that this isn't the weapon that Manson fired at the Spahn Ranch.

So, it is my guess that they will concede this fact. They didn't want to do it at the beginning, but I think they will concede it now.

I believe they will probably tell you that they never disputed it in the first place. I guess we just wasted a lot of time calling witnesses to the stand.

Any position that they take that this was not the weapon will be absolutely and totally ridiculous.

If they said they never disputed it, obviously that will be equally ridiculous.

With respect to the Tate-Sebring rope, Danny DeCarlo testified that in June of '69 he was with Manson when Manson went out to the Jack Frost Store in Santa Monica and purchased a hundred and fifty feet of three-strand white nylon rope.

DeCarlo used the term "line" when he referred to rope, because apparently in the Coast Guard they refer to

14a fls.

this as line, not rope; but the words are synonomous. We are referring to one and the same thing.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

la-

· 1

2

Ĵ

4

5

6

7:

8

9

10

٠.

ŢŢ

12

13

14

15

16

17

**18** .

19

20

21

**22** 

23.

24

25.

26

He said Manson brought the rope back to Spahn Ranch and it was used to tow dune buggies.

"Q Does this rope, Danny, appear to be the same or different from the rope that Manson bought at Jack Frost's store in June of '69?"

This is the rope that was connecting Sharon Tate's neck with Jay Sebring's neck.

"A. It was similar.

"Q Does it appear to be different in any fashion?

"No.

"Does it appear to be the same type of weapon Mr. Manson bought?

"A. Yes."

He testified he saw that rope many times out at the Spahn Ranch.

Danny's testimony with respect to that particular rope carries a little more weight than the testimony of the average witness inasmuch as he was fairly familiar with rope, and he testified that he used this exact type of rope in the Coast Guard on a PT boat. So, he was very familiar with this precise type of rope.

This is Manson's dune buggy out at Spahn Ranch, People's 48.

Not only Danny, but several other people, of

23

24

25

26

course, testified that Manson used to keep that rope behind the front seat of the dune buggy.

I will make reference to this photograph later on when we talk about the sword.

Now, People's 241. These are the leather thongs, ladies and gentlemen, and they were tied around Leno La Bianca's wrist.

He said they appeared to be similar to the kind that he saw Manson wear around his neck out at Spahn Ranch.

So, People's 39, then, is the buck knife.

People's 40, the revolver. People's 41, the rope. People's 52, the black T-shirt.

People's 241, the leather thongs.

Danny De Carlo said he saw a buck knife, a revolver, a rope, a black T-shirt and some leather thongs out at Spahn Ranch, just like these five exhibits, ladies and gentlemen.

Now, it just can't be any coincidence. Not all five. It would just be too much of a coincidence.

He said there were no dissimilarities between these five exhibits and the objects that he saw at the Spahn Ranch.

The reason there were no dissimilarities is because Danny saw People's 39, 40, 41, 52 and 241.

Manson used to tell De Carlo that he, Manson,

was the devil, and the devil was on the loose, and he told De Carlo that eventually the devil would be the leader.

You recall Tex telling the Tate victims, "I am here to do the devil's business."

With respect to the devil eventually being the leader, you recall the testimony of Posten and Watkins that the ultimate result of Helter Skelter would be that the black man would turn the reins of power over to Charles Manson.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, we will take our recess at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case
until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

14b

2

1

3:

А

5

6

7 8

ġ,

10

11 12

13

14

**15**.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

.25

26

THE COURT: All counsel except Mr. Hughes, and all of the jurors are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you.

Incidentally, De Carlo identified Tex Watson here in court.

You saw how tall Tex Watson was. He appeared to be over six feet; perhaps six feet one or two inches tall.

And you recall Rudolf Weber, stated that one of the persons in front of his residence on the night in question was over six feet tall.

De Carlo testified that Watson was thinner now than he was in the summer of '69. He is very thin now. Apparently he was heavier at the time of these murders.

I think Watson looked to you just the way he had been described by so many other witnesses. He had that blank stare on his face, a somewhat simple-minded looking individual. In other words, just one of Charlie Manson's zombies.

He was a dune buggy mechanic. He certainly never had the look or the carriage or the bearing of anyone that would dare stand up to Charles Manson, even though Charlie was a lot shorter than he was.

Manson told De Carlo that Manson wanted to

record and publish some of his songs. He also wanted to make a movie.

Of course, there is no evidence that before these murders Manson commercially recorded or published any of his songs or that he made a movie.

The significance of this, of course, is to show that Manson is a frustrated singer and guitarist.

Who frustrated him? Of course, the establishment; and Terry Melcher, of course, symbolized the establishment to Charles Manson.

De Carlo testified that he saw LSD at the ranch, and that Manson told him he liked LSD, Mescaline and marijuana.

De Carlo testified that he, himself, drank quite a bit every day at the ranch. At the trial of Bobby Beausoleil, he was asked if he was drunk 99 per cent of the time, and he said yes.

He testified that drunk was not the best word to use. He said he was smashed 99 per cent of the time, which he defines as "feeling pretty good."

De Carlo testified that in late August, '69, he and Manson and Tex and Bruce Davis and Kitty Lutesinger and Ruth Morehouse and a girl named Sherri, left for Barker Ranch. The rest of the Family remained back at Spahn Ranch.

I asked him:

"Q Did you hear anyone tell them to

21

. 22

23

24

**25**.

"stay there?

πA. Yeah,

"C Who?

"Charlie.

"When did this conversation take place? "Before we were getting ready to go to the desert."

He recalls Manson telling Patricia Krenwinkel to go to the Fountain of the World, which is about one mile from Spahn Rench and see if the rest of the Family could stay there.

He told Krenwinkel that eventually the Family would join him and the others up at Barker Ranch.

Danny De Carlo, ladies and gentlemen, is a real character. Danny spent most of his time out at Spahn Ranch drinking and being with the girls. He is straight The language he used, the way he out of a movie script. talked.

12c

26

2 3

8

10

11 12

13.

15

16

17

18

**1**9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

You remember. I would ask him a question calling for a yes or no answer, and he would say yeah, yeah. He is a biker, and he is short, tattooed, with

à handle-bar mustache.

Even the name "Danny De Carlo."

I think he was wasting his time with Charlie out at the Spahn Ranch. The guy belongs in Hollywood.

I don't know if any of you folks are the betting type, but I think you can get pretty good odds that Danny De Carlo will never be selected as one of the ten outstanding young men in this nation.

Of course, Danny is the precise type of individual, he is exactly the type of individual that Charlie Manson associated with out at Spahn Ranch.

Then Danny testified that he has previously been convicted of aiding and abetting, and smuggling of marijuana, and also conspiracy against the government, and tax evasion.

The clean-cut boy that lives next door. again, the precise type of individual who was living with Charles Manson at Spahn Ranch.

They weren't members of the President's Cabinet that were living out there at Spahn Ranch.

Thomas Walleman.

Mr. Walleman testified that he formerly worked as a ranch hand at Spahn Ranch.

Around midnight one night in early July, 1969, 1 he recalls he received a telephone call from a woman. 2 He turned the phone over to Charles Manson, 3 who spoke to the woman. After Manson spoke to the woman, Manson asked 5 Mr. Walleman if he, Walleman, wanted to go somewhere with him. Walleman said he would. After he spoke to Walleman, Manson disappeared 9 Then he came back. somewhere. 10 "Now, you say that Mr. Manson left you for a 11 moment and then came back. When he came back, was he 12 carrying anything? 13 "Yes. 14 "What was he carrying? 15 "A revolver. 16 "What type of a revolver? 17 "A .22. 18 "A .22 caliber? 19 "Right. 20 "Was there anything unusual about the 21 revolver? 22. "Unusual? 23 "Well, did it look like a regular 24 revolver? Did it have a long barrel or a 25. short barrel? 26

"It was a long one. 1 "I show you People's 40 for identification. "Have you ever seen that particular 3 revolver before? 4 "I don't think so. It looks something 5 like it, but I don't know for sure, you know. 6 "You can't be sure? 7 "No. 8 "Did the revolver that Mr. Manson had, did it look like this one? Was it similar to it? 10 "It was long. 11 12 "Did it resemble this revolver? "Yes. It was long like that one. 13 14 "Do you recall whether one of the grips on the revolver was missing at that time? - 15 I didn't see any missing grips." 16 17 18: 19 20 21 23

14a

25

26

"The revolver that Mr. Manson had was 1 a long-barreled revolver like this; is that 2 correct? 3 πA. Yes. "And you recall that it was a .22 caliber 5 revolver? б "Yes. 7 "Now, your first statement, I believe, 8 was to the effect that you didn't think this was 9 the revolver, and then you said it looked like it. 10 "I mean, I don't know for sure whether it is 11 the revolver, but it looks like the revolver. 12 There are a lot of those made. 13 "In other words, you have no way of knowing 14 whether this was the identical revolver that 15 Mr. Manson had on his person; is that correct? 16 "No. That is correct." 17 Then I pressed him: .18 "Did the revolver that Mr. Manson had on 19 his person look like this revolver? 20 "Yes. My answer is yes. It was long like 21 that one. 22 "And it was a .22 caliber? 23 "Right." 24 Walleman testified that he and Manson then 25 entered John Swartz's 1959 Ford, and Manson drove off. 26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

The revolver was lying on the front passenger seat between them.

He said that Manson drove to an apartment on Franklin Avenue in Hollywood.

When he got out of the car, Walleman took the revolver. Then Manson asked for the revolver, and Walleman gave it to him. And Manson with the revolver, and Walleman, entered the apartment.

Again, there is no testimony from anyone that there was any revolver out at the Spahn Ranch that looked like this one right here. It is an incredibly unique — not incredibly, but certainly unique — revolver, very unusual. You don't see this type of revolver nowadays.

So, there is no question that the revolver that Walleman saw on Manson's person was that .22 caliber revolver.

So, Walleman, his testimony places that revolver in Manson's hands, and also places Manson behind the driver's seat of the Swartz '59 Ford, the same car, of course, that was used on both the night of the Tate and the La Bianca murders.

Juan Flynn.

I will just discuss a portion of Juan's testimony at this time and then later on I will come back to Juan.

Juan testified that he was originally from Panama, coming to the United States six years ago.

23

24

· 25.

He started working at Spahn Ranch in early 168 as a ranch houseman for George Spahn.

He said he was not a member of the Family, although Manson asked him to join the Family at the Spahn Ranch, and later at the desert.

He also identified People's 41, the rope, as being part of the rope that he saw Manson bring to the ranch in June or July of 1969.

You recall Danny said that Manson bought a rope just like this in June of '69.

He said he actually held the rope in his hands at Spahn Ranch, and he liked the rope because it was the same type of rope that he had used for mountain climbing.

18 -

ŹŠ

He said Manson used to keep the rope behind the front seat of his dune buggy.

Again, this is consistent with the testimony of Danny De Carlo.

And he also recalls Manson showing the rope to Mr. Spahn.

Mrs. Pearl also testified that Manson showed this particular rope to Mr. Spahn.

Flynn also testified that Manson, Watson, David Hannum and he had used the rope to tie a dune buggy to a truck. Watson, Hannum and he then towed the dune buggy to Olancha. California.

This, again, would permit Flynn to be very familiar with the rope. Not only did he use it out at Spahn Ranch, but he used a rope just like this for mountain climbing.

Flynn also testified that one day in June or July of 1969 he and a girl were walking down by the creek at the ranch -- Juan didn't even know her name -- when Mr. Manson fired a revolver at them, and he identified People's 40.

I showed it to him, and he identified People's
40 as appearing to be the revolver that Manson fired at him.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object.

I don't think the evidence was that it was fired at Mr. Flynn.

THE COURT: The jury heard the evidence. The jury 1. will have to determine what the facts are from the evidence 2. received during the trial. 3. MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Flynn recalls that the revolver, People's 40, used to belong to Randy Starr, a foreman at 5 the ranch who passed away recently. 6 I will get back to Juan Flynn later. Ż Ruby Pearl again. As I say, we discussed her at the start of my summation. Now we are getting back to her again. 10 Her testimony is basically corroborative of the 11 12 testimony of Danny De Carlo and Juan Flynn, 13 Again, she testified that in July of 1969 -she thought it was July, apparently it was June -- Manson 14 15 brought some rope to the ranch. It was white, three-strand nylon rope. And it 16 is the exact type of rope that this is, white three-strand 17 nylon rope. 18 I showed her the rope, People's 41. **19** "Does this look like the same rope or a 20 different rope from the rope that you have just been 21 22 referring to in your testimony?" "It looks like the same one. 23 "Have you ever held that rope in your hand? 24 "Yes. 25 "Have you felt it? 26

9.

<del>-</del>-

14f

. 25

26.

"Yes."

And I gave it to her at that time for her to feel on the witness stand.

I said: "Does it feel like the same rope to

"Yes."

you?

With respect to these black T-shirts, she testified that Squeaky -- that is Lynn Fromme -- used to dye shirts, T-shirts, black at Spahn Ranch.

Now, you women on the jury would know better than I whether this is common. As a man, I would think that it would not be common to take T-shirts like a white T-shirt and dye it black.

In any event, Squeaky Lynn Fromme used to do this out at Spahn Ranch.

4£

,

· 5

. B

I showed Ruby Pearl People's 52 and 54, two of the T-shirts found over the side of the hill, and she said they looked like the same type of T-shirts Lynn Fromme used to dye, and she observed that People's 52 and 54 also, in her opinion, appeared to be dyed.

You women will have to take a close look at it back in the jury room, and your opinion will probably be the same as Mrs. Pearl's that People's 52 and 54 do appear to have been dyed.

I then showed her People's 258, this black T-shirt here, and she identified the black T-shirt as being one that Randy Starr found in her presence around July of 1970 on the ground next to where a trailer used to be near the south end of the parking lot at Spahn Ranch.

She observed that People's 258 also appeared to have been dyed.

She said that members of the Family, including Susan Atkins, Patricia Krenwinkel and Leslie Van Houten, used to live in the trailer right next to where this black T-shirt was found.

Of course, that black T-shirt looks very similar to one of the black T-shirts that was found over the side of the hill by King Baggott on December the 15th.

Mrs. Pearl's testimony with respect to the black T-shirt obviously is not conclusive, but it just one more item of circumstantial evidence against these defendants.

23

24

25

26

She also identified People's 241, the leather thongs, as being the type of leather thongs she saw Manson wear frequently around his neck.

She was also shown People's 40, the revolver, and she identified the revolver as being one that she had seen out at Spahn Ranch. She thought it belonged to Randy Starr originally.

Of course, that coincides with the testimony of Juan Flynn.

With respect to buck knives, she said she first started seeing buck knives at the ranch shortly after the Family moved there in 1968.

In July of 1969 she started to see more buck knives at the Spahn Ranch.

She said it seemed like everyone in the Family had a buck knife.

I asked her again, like the other witnesses, to estimate the dimensions of the blades on the buck knives, and she said the length was around six inches, the width one inch, and the thickness a quarter of an inch.

Again, the buck knives that she saw around Spahn Ranch in July of 1969, in her opinion, had the same estimated dimensions as Linda's testimony, Danny De Carlo's testimony and Dr. Noguchi's testimony.

To go further, ladies and gentlemen. Although there seems to be a considerable number of buck knives out

24

25

26

4G

at the Spahn Ranch with the same type of blade as the Tate murder weapons, and these buck knives were in considerable abundance at the Spahn Ranch in July and early August of 1969, apparently they were no longer there on August the 16th, 1969, because Deputy Gleason from the Sheriff's Office testified that during the raid no buck knives were found.

So, something happened to these ten buck knives that Gypsy bought in late July or early August of 1969.

14h-1

3.

to.

.10. 

6,

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object to that, because Deputy Gleason testified only as to his own knowledge, and Mr. Bugliosi didn't bring on any other evidence.

So that inference, your Honor, I must object

THE COURT: Overruled.

Let's proceed.

MR. BUGLIOSI: So, all of this Pearl's testimony, ladies and gentlemen, was completely consistent, completely compatible, as to the rope and the revolver and the leather thougs and the T-shirts with the testimony of Flynn and the testimony of DeCarlo and the testimony of Linda Kasabian.

Virginia Castro. Mrs. Castro also goes by the name of Virginia Graham, and throughout my opening, the remainder of my opening argument and my final summation, which I will be making to you, hopefully, in a week and a half or so, I will be referring to her as Virginia Graham.

She testified that in October and November of '69 she was incarcerated at Sybil Brand Institute for Women in East Los Angeles, and while there she met Susan Atkins, whom she knew as Sadie Glutz.

14-2

1 2

IÍ:

 Sadie and she lived in dormitory No. 8000. They also worked together as runners, which means they delivered messages all over the Institution for the authorities out there.

She said that the other girls used to make fun of Sadie because Sadie would do exercises without any underclothing underneath, and she would sing and dance the Go-Go all the time, and this type of behavior just simply didn't seem to fit the environment out at Sybil Brand. After all, that is a jail out there.

She said the other girls used to laugh at Sadie and every time Sadie would come by, or frequently, they would say, "There goes Sadie Glutz."

She said, by and large, other girls used to ignore Sadie. This caused Virginia Graham to feel, somewhat sorry for Sadie, and she tried to befriend her and become friendly with her.

She testified that on or about November the 6th, 1969, she had a conversation with Sadie about the Tate murders.

The conversation took place around 4:45 p.m., on Virginia Graham's bed.

"Q What were the circumstances leading up to the conversation about the Tate murders?

"Well, we started talking, we were talking about many things, and then the conversation drifted on to LSD, which I, myself, had taken one time, and we discussed LSD for a while.

"And then I warned Sadie that she talked entirely too much."

We found out from the testimony of other witnesses that Sadie is rather talkative.

14g-1

\_

Ì

3

ٺ

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

ļ5

16

17

18

19

9Ò

21

22

23.

24

25

26

"I told her that I didn't care
particularly what she had done, but I didn't
think it was advisable for her to talk so much.

"Did you tell her why you didn't think it was advisable?

"Yes.

"In fact, I told her that I had known someone that supposedly had spoken to a cellmate at one time and gotten themselves involved in quite a bit of trouble.

"When you told her of these things, in other words, told her that she should not be talking too much, what, if anything, did she say in response to that?

"She told me that she wasn't really worried about it. And she also told me that she could tell by looking at me, my eyes, that I was a kind person; and that she wasn't worried about it anyway. And that the police were on the wrong track about some murders.

"And I said, 'What do you mean?'

"And she said to me, 'The murders at Benedict Canyon.'"

148-2 1 Of course, that is where the Tate residence 2: is located. 3 "And just for a moment I didn't quite snap to what she meant, and I said, 5. 'Benedict Canyon?' "And she said, 'Yes. The Tate And she said, 'You know who did it, murders. don't you? "And I said, 'No, I don't." 30. 11 "And she said, 'Well, you are looking 12 at her. 13 "When she told you this, I take it 14 you were probably somewhat shocked, is that correct? 16 "Yes. 17 "Well, what did Susan Atkins tell you 18 19 with respect to the Tate murders, taking it from 20 the very beginning? 21 "She said that after she entered the 22 house, the Tate house, she proceeded toward the 23 bedroom. 24 "She noticed a girl sitting in a 25 chair reading a book; the girl didn't look up 26 and notice her.

| 14g-3 | ļ          |
|-------|------------|
|       | 2          |
|       | 3          |
|       | 4          |
|       | 5          |
|       | 6          |
|       | Ź          |
|       | 8          |
|       | 9          |
|       | <b>1</b> 0 |
|       | 'n         |
|       | 12         |
|       | 13         |
| ,     | 13<br>14   |
| ં     | 15         |
|       | 16         |
|       | 17         |
|       | 18         |
|       | 19         |
|       | 20         |
| ·. '  | 21         |
| •     | 22         |
| · ·   | 23         |
| •     | 24         |
| •     | 25         |
|       | 26         |
| -     |            |

"She continued toward the bedroom and she reached the bedroom door.

"Sharon Tate was sitting in bed with a pillow propped up behind her and Jay Sebring was sitting at the side of the bed and they were engrossed in conversation, and at first she wasn't noticed.

"Did you ask her how Sharon Tate was dressed?

"Yes, I did. She said she had a Bikini bra and pants on.

"Did she identify the person who was seated at the bed with Sharon?

"Yes, she did.

"What name did she give?

"Jay Sebring.

"Did she say whether or not Sharon Tate and Jay Sebring eventually entered the living room of the Tate residence?

"Yes, she did.

"What did she say?

"She said that after they were in the living room --"

1

2

3

6

7

**'8** 

21

22

23

24

25

26

## I am asking again:

"After Sharon Tate and Jay Sebring entered the living room, what did Susan Atkins say took place?

"She said that the other man --"Now, when you say tother man, did she indicate that this was a man other than Jay Sebring?

"Yes, sir, she did.

2

1

3

4

5

•

7

8

10

11

12

13

· 14

15

16

17

ŽŠ

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

"What did she say about this other man?

"She said that the other man ran past her, and as he ran past her she stabbed him four or five times.

"He got to the door and he started screaming for help. He got out onto the front lawn and he was screaming "Help, help, somebody please help."

"And with this she put her hand on her hip and she said to me, 'And would you believe that he was screaming "Help, help," and nobody; came?'

"This is what Susan Atkins told you? "That's right.

"What else did Sadie say she did?

"She said she was holding Sharon Tate's arms behind her, and that Sharon Tate looked at her and she said she was crying and said to her, 'Please, please don't kill me, I don't want to die. I just want to have my baby.'

"She said, 'And I looked Sharon straight in the eye and I said to her, "Look, bitch, you might as well face it right now, you're going to die, and I don't feel a thing behind it," and in a few minutes she was dead.'

"Did Susan Atkins say whether she in fact killed Sharon Tate?

"Yes, she did. ľ "What did she say? 2 "She said, 'I killed her.' 3 "Did Miss Atkins say anything about 4 blood at that point? 5 "Yes, she did. б "What did she say? 7 "She said that she had blood in her hand and she looked at her hand and she took ġ, her hand and she put it up to her mouth and she 10 said. 'To taste death and yet give life, wow, 11 12 what a trick. "" Maybe it is "trip." "Wow, what a trip," or 13 "Wow, what a trick," . 14 Not just a robot, but a blood-thirsty robot. 15 Blood-thirsty robots. Can you believe that? 16 Susan Atkins is tasting Sharon Tate's blood. Unbelievable. 17 "Did Miss Atkins ask you if you had ever had 18 that type of experience with blood? 19 "Yes, she did. She asked me if I was 20 interested in blood, and I said that I had seen 21 it, and she said that it was really beautiful; 22 that it was warm and sticky. 23 "Did she say anything about the eyes of the 24 people there at the Tate residence? 25 "Yes, she did. She told me that she wanted

26

14:1

to take their eyes out and squash them against the wall, and cut their fingers off, but that she didn't have time."

Susan, of course, is just a cloistered nun type, ladies and gentlemen. She is as innocent, of course, as a new-born baby, a little darling.

|           | l.  | $\cdot$                                              |
|-----------|-----|------------------------------------------------------|
| 14j-1     | 1   | "Did Miss Atkins tell you anything                   |
|           | 2   | about who was the last to die at the Tate residence? |
|           | 3   | "Yes, she did.                                       |
| ÷         | 4   | "What did she say?                                   |
| *         | 5   | "She told me Sharon was the last to                  |
| •         | 6   | die.                                                 |
| • •       | 7   | "Did she say anything about a knife                  |
| •         | 8   | of hers?                                             |
| •         | 9   | "Yes, she did. She told me that she                  |
| * ', '    | 10  | lost her knife up there; that she looked for it      |
| •         | 11  | for a few minutes but could not find it, and then    |
|           | 12  | she said she thought the dog had taken it outside    |
| *         | 13  | and buried it."                                      |
|           | 14  | We had testimony from Winifred Chapman that          |
| 4         | 15  | Sharon and Abigail did have dogs.                    |
|           | 16  | "As Miss Atkins was discussing these                 |
| · · · · · | 17  | murders with you, did she say anything about how     |
| ٠.        | 18. | it felt to stab a human being with a knife?          |
| ,         | 19  | "Yes, she did.                                       |
| , .       | 20  | "What did she say?                                   |
| -         | 21  | "She said that when the knife went                   |
|           | 22  | in, it felt soft and that it was quite a thrill.     |
| •         | 23  | "Did you ask Miss Atkins if she knew                 |
| ,         | 24  | the people who lived at the Tate residence?          |
|           | 25  | "Yes, I did.                                         |
| ·         | 26  | "What did she say?                                   |
|           |     | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                |

141-2

-

A

5

6

7

9

10

ŀĺ

12

13 14

15

16

17

Í8<sup>.</sup>

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

"She said no, that she did not know the people that lived there, but that it did not matter who was there because they would all die.

"Did you ask Miss Atkins how she felt after these murders?

"Yes, I did.

"What did she say, if anything?

"She said that she was tired"-- poor
little sweetheart was tired -- "but she felt elated

and at peace with herself."

It is too bad that Sadie was tired. What right did these victims have to cause Sadie to be tired?

"During your conversation with Miss

Atkins did you again remind her that she should not tell people about what she was telling you?

"Yes, I did.

"She smiled and she told me that she wasn't worried about it; that she knew how to play crazy and how to act like a little girl, and besides that that she had an alibi anyway."

An alibi meaning, if someone is charged with a crime and they have an alibi, it means they weren't there, they were somewhere else.

And here Susan Atkins is telling Virginia
Graham: It doesn't make any difference, I have got an

26

alibi.

"Would you describe for the judge and the jury in your own words Sadie's demeanor, Susan Atkins' demeanor when she spoke to you about these murders?

"Well, I would say she was highly excited about it, and was very intense about it, almost to the point of reliving it again and enjoying it.

"Did she speak to you loudly when she told you about these murders?

"Yes, she did, she raised her voice quite a bit. In fact, a few times I told her to lower her voice.

"Did Miss Atkins say that she was sorry or had any remorse for these murders?

"Absolutely no remorse, nothing."

That is the gal that you just saw walk past me a short while ago.

14K-1

2

\_

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: I am going to object to that, your Honor. That is not evidence that came from the witness stand.

You admonished the jury not to consider that for any purpose.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I just said she was walking past.

THE COURT: It is just a point of identification.

Overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mrs. Graham testified that inasmuch as she was scheduled to be transferred out of Sybil Brand to Corona, she told another inmate, Roni Howard, that night what Sadie had told her.

She testified that Roni Howard is married to her second husband, and she knew Roni pretty well, although she hadn't seen her for the past four or five years.

They bumped into each other at the jail.

Virginia Graham testified that after Sadie told her these things, she at first was afraid to report the matter to the police, because she feared that she might be murdered if she did. Also, her husband told her to just forget about the whole thing and keep quiet.

But after she got to Corona, she realized that she had an obligation to report this to the police, adding that her conscience simply would not let her keep guiet.

On November 26, 1969, she had a conversation with Sergeant Nielsen of the Los Angeles Police Department

2

4.

5

6

7

.8 `g

10

Ц

12 13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

in which she told Nielsen what Sadie had told her.

She testified at the time she spoke to Nielsen she did not know that there was any \$25,000 reward in this case, and she said that after she had already told Nielsen about everything that Sadie told her, Nielsen apprised her of the fact that there was a \$25,000 reward.

She said that her attorney, Mr. Finling, is presently representing her with respect to the \$25,000 reward.

She said that it was her understanding that it was not necessary for her at all to testify on the witness stand to collect the reward.

It was her understanding that the only thing she had to do or that was involved was giving this information, of course, to the authorities.

She also testified she knew Jay Sebring rather casually and knew him to be an internationally-famous hair stylist.

She said that in '64 she was convicted of the felony of forgery, and in 1966 she was convicted of the felony of petty thert with a prior.

Roni Howard.

Miss Howard testified that she had been convicted of extortion in 1955, of forgery in 1962.

Miss Howard testified that she was also incarcerated with Susan Atkins in October and November of

8.

.9

.41

169.

She testified she also felt sorry for Sadie because the girls used to make fun of her and, therefore, she attempted to befriend Sadie.

Sadie's bed in Dormitory No. 8000 was right next to Roni's.

She testified that she had several conversations with Sadie with respect to the Tate murders, the first one being sometime in early November of 1969.

| 141-1 1      | I asked her:                                        |  |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| . 2          | "How did you start talking about the                |  |
| 3            | Tate murders with Sadie?                            |  |
| 4            | "We were discussing different acid                  |  |
| . 5          | trips, and Sadie went on to tell me that she had    |  |
| 6            | done everything there is to do.                     |  |
| 7            | "She said there is nothing in the                   |  |
| 8            | world that could shock her.                         |  |
| 9            | "I said, 'Well, I don't think anything              |  |
| ,10          | can shock me either.                                |  |
| 11           | "That is when she said, 'Well, I could              |  |
| 12           | tell you something that will really blow your mind. |  |
| 13           | "And I said, 'I don't think so.'                    |  |
| 14           | "And she said, 'Well, you know, you                 |  |
| 15           | remember the Tate deal?                             |  |
| 16           | "And I said, 'Yes.'                                 |  |
| 17           | "She said, 'Well, I was there.'                     |  |
| 18           | "And then she went on to tell me.                   |  |
| 19           | "Did she say anything specifically about            |  |
| Sharon Tate? |                                                     |  |
| 21           | "Yes.                                               |  |
| 22           | "Did she mention Sharon Tate's name?                |  |
| 23           | "Yes.                                               |  |
| 24           | "What did she tell you about Sharon                 |  |
| 25           | Tate?                                               |  |
| 26           | "She said she was in the second bedroom             |  |
| •            |                                                     |  |

26

"and there was a man sitting on the bed talking to her.

"Talking to Sharon?

"Yes.

"Bid she say what happened to Sharon and the man?

"Yes, they were brought to the front room and that is when after everything else was happening, everything else had happened, she said Sharon could not believe what was happening.

"She said she had a look on her face; she just could not believe anything that was going on.

"And she begged, she said, 'Please, just let me live for my baby,'

"But Sadie told her, 'I have no feelings for you, bitch, you're going to die.'

"After Sadie said this to Sharon, did Sadie say she did anything?

"She said, and she proceeded to stab

"Sharon Tate?

"Yes. I asked her 'Where did you stab her, in the stomach?'

"And she said 'No, in the chest.'"

Of course, you will recall Dr. Noguchi testified

that Sharon Tate was, in fact, stabbed in the chest, among Į various other places. 2 "Did Sadie say Sharon screamed? 3 "Yes. "Did she say anything happened when 5 Sharon screamed? "She said every time she screamed that 7 she stabbed her. 8 "I asked her how many times. 9 "She said she did not know; she just 10 kept stabbing her until she stopped screaming. 11 "Did Sadie say she liked it when Sharon 12 screamed? 13 "Yes, she said it did something to her, 14 it kind of sent a rush through her. 15 "Did you ask Sadie anything about Sharon's 16 baby? 17 "Yes, she said that she wanted to take 18 the baby with her. 19 "She said she wanted to take the baby, 20 and I asked her 'How would you have done that?' 21 "And she said by cutting it out of her 22 14m £1s<sub>23</sub> body, but she didn't. 24 25 26

14m

1

2

á.

5

U

7

8

10

· 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

95

.

26

"Did Sadie tell you anything about how it felt to stab a person?

"Yes.

"What did she say?

"She said it's like going into air."

Listen to this, ladies and gentlemen:

"She said, 'And when you stab somebody, she said, 'it's better than having a climax because,' she said, 'it's a form of sexual release anyway,' and she said, 'The whole world is one big intercourse anyway, whether it's eating, smoking, drinking, everything is in and out.'

"I said, 'It's similar to a drug habit then?'

"And she said, 'Yes, the more you do it the better you like it."

A blood-thirsty robot, ladies and gentlemen.

"Did Sadie say anything to you about a knife?

"She told me that she lost it at the Tate place.

"Would you describe the manner in which Sadie related this story to you?

"She was very excited; she enjoyed telling me about it.

2

3

4

5

Ģ

7

8

**10** 

ıì.

12

13

**1**5

16.

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

.24

<u>25</u>.

26

"Did Sadie ever say anything to you about acting crazy?

"Yes, she told me when they sent the psychiatrist to talk to her, she said she would make him believe that she was insane.

"But I told her just to talk normal and they would think she was crazy anyway."

Miss Howard testified on November the 17th, 1969, she called the Hollywood Division of the Los Angeles Police Department and told them what Sadie had told her.

She also testified that at the time she related to the police what Sadie told her, she did not know about the \$25,000 reward, and it was after she had already furnished them with this information that they apprised her of the reward, and she, too, testified that it was her belief or understanding that it was not necessary for her to testify in this trial in order for her to collect any portion of that \$25,000.

She testified that in mid-December, 1969, after the case had broken in the newspapers, Sadie wrote her a letter in response to a letter that she had written Sadie.

Only one hour after Roni received the letter, Sadie called out to Roni who was separated from her by a cell block and asked Roni if she had received the letter, to which Roni replied, "Yes."

In other words, yes, Sadie, I received your

\J. . 6 11 15

7

8

9,

10

12

13

14

İĠ

17

18

19

20

21

22

letter.

Sadie also asked Roni if she had read the letter, and she said yes.

Sadie then told Roni if there was anything in the letter she didn't understand to let her know.

Sadie then shouted out to Roni that when she, Sadie, first learned that she, Roni, was the informer, she wanted to slit Roni's throat, but that she now realized that she, herself, had been the informer and had no more ill feelings toward Roni.

We will get into portions of that letter shortly.

Roseanne Walker, Another co-inmate of Susan Atkins at Sybil Brand Institute in 1969.

In one trial she was convicted of four counts of forgery and one count of grand theft.

She testified she was friendly with Susan. She spoke to her frequently.

She said there was a radio in Dormitory No. 8000, and she recalls two occasions when she and Sadie were listening to the radio, to a broadcast, and the broadcast concerned the Tate murders.

23

24

25

26

15-1

2

1

Ê,

5

\_

Ż

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

And either September, October or November of '69, she doesn't remember when, during one of the broadcasts while the announcer was talking about the murders, Susan said "That ain't the way it went down."

Obviously the statement by Susan Atkins is an implied admission of her involvement in these murders.

She is talling Roseanna Walker "That ain't the way it went down."

I don't know if you are familiar with the term "went down," but I am a little familiar with it because I hear it in the course of law all the time, and when someone says "That ain't the way it went down," it means "That ain't the way it happened."

During radio broadcasts at the end of September, October or November of '69, the announcer was saying that the police found a pair of glasses at or near the scene of the murders.

> "Q And then you and Susan had a conversation with respect to these glasses?

> > "A Yes.

"Q What did you say and what did she say?

"A I said 'Now that they have found the glasses they will find whoever did it.'

"And she said," -- referring to

Susan Atkins -- "we were arguing, she thought

15-2

2

7.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Į8

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

"I meant wheever owned the glasses was the person who did it, you know.

"O This was your position?

"A Yes.

". What was her position?

would be -- it would be okay if they found the person that owned the glasses and they blamed him, and the only thing he did was drop glasses there.

All he was guilty of was losing his glasses.

"Susan also added that just because a person left his glasses at the residence does not mean he was one of the killers."

I think the statement by Susan Atkins proves two points:

No. 1, the statement about the glasses is an admission by Susan Atkins that she was involved in these murders because if she was not involved in these murders she would not be making statements to the effect that the person who owns the glasses had only lost them.

She would not be making that type of statement unless she was somehow involved in these murders.

No. 2, the statement by Susan Atkins, ladies and gentlemen, proves that the people, the person that owned those glasses was not one of the killers.

Obviously what happened, someone at the Tate

1

2

4

5

·6

7

ş 9

10

**1**1,

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

residence who had been there previously must have inadvertently left them there.

We know Susan Atkins was one of the Tate killers, that we know.

We know she was inside the Tate residence with Tex and Katie, so certainly Susan Atkins would knowwhether the glasses belonged to herself or Tex or Katie.

So she obviously knew that they did not belong to herself or Tex or Katie. In other words, she knew that they did not belong to any of the killers.

Susan said, ladies and gentlemen, it would be okay if the police found the person who owned the glasses and blamed him for the murders.

You bet your bottom dollar it would have been okay with Susan.

What criminal would not want the police to blame someone else for their crimes?

Susan Atkins' statement to Roseanna Walker about those glasses clearly and unequivocally show that People's 243, those glasses, have nothing to do with anyone who is involved in these murders.

Janet Mae Owens, she testified --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object to that.

THE COURT: On what ground?

MR. KANAREK: On the ground, your Honor, that Susan Atkins' statement is obviously only, according to your

15-4

i 

Honor's previous admonition, only as to Susan Atkins, and any such inference by Mr. Bugliosi is improper and outside the scope of the Court's ruling.

THE GOURT: The objection is overruled, Mr. Kanarek. Let's proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She is also known as Kitt Fletcher.

On December 18th, 1969, she lived in Long
Beach.

She testified that on that date she received a letter from Susan Atkins whom she knew as Sadie Glutz, and she identified Court's Special Exhibit No. 11 as a photostatic copy of the letter she received.

We will get into that letter shortly.

Lila Koelker, the housing officer in charge of cell blocks 4000 and 5000, at Sybil Brand, during December of '69. She testified that Susan Atkins was incarcerated in cell block 5000, this was December of '69 now.

In October and November apparently Susan was in cell block 8000, but in December she got moved to 5000, she had graduated, or in any event she was in a different cell.

She testified when Susan wrote a letter she, that is, Lila -- strike that -- Susan Atkins placed it on the ledge of the cell door and one of Koelker's deputies would pick it up and bring it to the watch

Į

4 5

ġ

18.

15 afls.7

commander's office, Lieutenant Carolyn Alley, the watch commander at Sybil Brand, or was, at least in December of '69.

She testified that all letters of Susan Atkins were photostated, and the photostatic copies were given to a representative of the Los Angeles Police Department who picked them up.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

15a-1

2

Ì

3

.5

6

7

Ÿ

.10

11 12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19.

20 21

22

23

24.

25

26

There was a stipulation that Court's Special Exhibit No. 8 was written by Susan Atkins, a stipulation that Court's Special Exhibit No. 8 was in Susan Atkins' handwriting.

This is the letter that Roni Howard testified she received from Susan Atkins at Sybil Brand in mid-

Let's look at relevant portions of this letter.

It started out saying, "Hello."

This is the letter, now, in mid-December from Susan Atkins to Roni Howard.

There is a stipulation now, this is Susan Atkins' letter, not Jane Smirks or anyone like that, but Susan Atkins.

"I can see your side of this clearly," she writes to Roni, "nor am I mad at you. I am hurt in a way only I understand.

"I blame no one but myself for even saying anything to anyone about it.

"My attorney is going to go on insanity.

Insanity is reality and not caring. When you truly love you do not care about anyone or anything, you just love.

"I am not going to fight this. I will let my attorney do that. I am going to save my soul. This body my soul is housed in can be destroyed for all I care.

"To live forever is all I want, and I really

23

24

26

don't care about that. I did not admit to being in the second house because I was not in the second house."

This is Susan writing to Roni Howard.

"I don't need anything; my attorney gives me money; he just deposited \$20 on my account.

"As I write to you I feel more at ease inside. When I first heard you were the informer I wanted to slit your throat. Then I snapped it was my throat I wanted to cut."

Susan Atkins says, ladies and gentlemen, to Roni Howard, that she did not admit to Roni that she was in the second house.

By necessary implication, she is saying that she did admit to being in the first house, i.e., the Tate residence. That is an unmistakable inference.

Moreover, when Susan says that she did not admit being in the second house, in effect she is saying that she had personal knowledge of those La Bianca murders.

What she in effect is saying, "I did not go inside the house but I was there."

Of course Linda Kasabian testified that Susan Atkins was there but not inside the house. Linda places Susan Atkins outside in the car with Manson and Clem Tufts and herself at the time that Katie, Leslie and Tex were dropped off in front of the La Bianca residence.

The statement, "I was not in the second house,"

24

25

26

ladies and gentlemen, in no way implies, in no way implies that Susan Atkins had nothing to do with the La Bianca murders.

To the contrary, it very, very strongly implies just the opposite, that she was involved in the murders. She is merely saying, "I did not go inside."

And then Susan goes on to say in the letter, "When I first heard you were the informer" --

She, of course, is admitting, she is admitting now in her own handwriting that she did in fact confess to Roni Howard.

Susan to Jo Stevenson, written December 13, 1969, and again there was a stipulation that it was Susan who in fact wrote the letter, and Sergeant Gutlerrez from the Los Angeles Police Department testified that on December 15th or 16th, 1969, he picked up Court's Special Exhibit No. 9 from the Watch Commander's Office at Sybil Brand.

We will just look at one small portion, one relevant portion of that letter.

The letter, now dated December 13, Jo Stevenson.

"As far as what is happening in court, I just
got indicted on seven counts of murder and on
one count of conspiracy to murder.

"Do you remember the Sharon Tate murder and the La Bianca murder? Well, because of my

"big mouth to a cell mate they just indicted L me." 2 Again, when she says, "Because of my big 3 mouth to a cell mate they just indicted me, in effect she is admitting that she confessed to Roni Howard." 6 Sometimes there are two reasonable inferences 7 from a certain situation. 8 There is only one reasonable inference from 9 that statement. It just cannot be interpreted any other 10 way. You may interpret it any way a person wants to, but 11 it would not be a reasonable interpretation. 12 "Because of my big mouth" -- she is 13. admitting she did talk to Roni Howard. 14 Special Exhibit No. 11 was written on December 15 17th from Susan to Kitt Fletcher. 16 Again, there is a stipulation the letter was 17 written by Susan. Let's look at a relevant paragraph. 18 She is saying two things in this paragraph, she 19 is saying two things, this is the paragraph: 20 "Why did I do it? Or why did I open my 21 big mouth to a cellmate to either one of those 22 questions? I did what I did because that is 23 what I did." 24 25

26

155-1

2

ð

4

5

6

Ż

**.**8

.

10

Ų

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Now, she is saying two things in that paragraph, she is not just saying that she confessed to Roni Howard; she is saying that she did something.

Well, what did she do? She participated, ladies and gentlemen, in the Tate murders, that is what she did.

Of course she was also involved in the La Bianca murders, there is no question about that. Apparently she was not the one that entered the La Bianca residence. Katie, Tex and Leslie So she's admitting to two things in that paragraph.

She is admitting that in effect she did murder Sharon Tate, No. 1, and, No. 2, she's also admitting that she copped out to Roni Howard.

"Copped out" is a term of course, meaning confessed.

I am going on to the La Bianca murders now.

Of course I already discussed the statement that Susan

Atkins made to Roni Howard in that letter in mid-December in which she implied she admits being involved in the La Bianca murders.

Now, we discussed the testimony of witnesses whose testimony primarily pertained to the La Bianca murders.

Harold True testified that he lived at 3267 Waverly Drive in Los Angeles, from the early part of 67

15b-2

2

7:

Ì

4

5

7

8

9

11.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20.

22.

. 21

23

24 25

26

until September of '68, the next door to where True lived was the La Bianca residence.

True testified that in March of '68 Manson, Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins and several other people who were with Manson, mostly girls, stayed overnight at the residence.

True also testified that in the summer of '68 Linda Kasabian and her husband visited him at his home once. Linda and her husband were not with Mr. Manson and his group.

True testified that on four or five other occasions after the March, '68, incident, Manson visited him at his residence.

On one of those occasions Manson again stayed overnight.

Now, note the tremendous significance, ladies and gentlemen, of this testimony.

This testimony by Harold True places Charles Manson, Patricia Krenwinkel and Susan Atkins right next door to the La Bianca residence, right next door, on occasions prior to the La Bianca murders.

Manson and the others probably never even knew the La Biancas, in fact True indicated that when he was living there it was his impression that the home next door was vacant.

The fact that Manson and his robots did not know who lived next door is totally irrelevant from a legal

15b-3

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

ŢŢ

ļ2 13

14

15

16

17.

. 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25.

**2**6

standpoint, when two or more persons conspire to commit murder there is no obligation that they know the names or the identities of the people whom they intend to murder.

There is no requirement of that. All that is necessary is that they conspired to murder human beings, whoever these human beings happened to be.

Now, note this very very significant point, ladies and gentlemen, Los Angeles, obviously, is an extremely large metropolis. I believe in area it is the largest in the entire nation. I think it is third largest in the entire world.

The evidence in this trial showed that Charles Manson was on the Tate premises twice, and right next door to the La Bianca residence on several occasions prior to these murders.

Now, that is not just a coincidence, ladies and gentlemen, it can't be.

If we were talking about Shoshone, California, a village of perhaps 50 homes, then you might say, "Well, it's just one of those things in life, a coincidence."

But we are talking about Los Angeles. Out of the hundreds and hundreds of thousands of homes in Los Angeles County, and the two homes in which these murders took place, ladies and gentlemen, Charles Manson was on the Tate premises twice, and right next door to the La Bianca residence.

Now, that just simply cannot be a coincidence.

Linda testified that the night after the Tate
murders, that is the night of August 9, 1969, she had
dinner with the Family in the saloon. We are getting back
to Linda again now.

,c-1

1

3

4

5

6

7

, 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

. 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This is the night of the La Bianca murders, ladies and gentlemen, the next night after the Tate murders, the very next night the La Bianca murders. Question by myself:

"Linda, since the night about which you have just testified you have learned the names of" -- strike that.

I apologize, I had the wrong page. I asked Linda whether she recalled what time she finished dinner with the Family that night and she said usually an hour after we started.

And I said:

"You started after dark?

"Yes.

"Q After dinner what did you do, if you recall?

"A. We ate in the kitchen and I remember I was sitting at the table, and Katie and Leslie and a few other people were around. I remember Gypsy came in from town with Zuzus, candy.

"She was going to take the people back to the waterfall, and I was hoping I would be able to go.

"Then Charlie came in and called Katie and Leslie and myself aside and told us to get a change of clothes and meet him at the bunk room,

| 1   | "which we did.                                      |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | "Q Did he give you any specific                     |
| 3   | instructions in addition to that?                   |
| 4   | "A. Yes, he told me to get my driver's              |
| 5   | license."                                           |
| 6   | That was the same thing he had told her the night   |
| 7   | before.                                             |
| 8   | "Q Did you in fact get a change of                  |
| 9   | clothing and get your driver's license?             |
| 10  | "A. Yes, I did.                                     |
| 11  | "Q And then you went to the bunk house?             |
| 12  | "A. Yes.                                            |
| 13  | "Q When you say the bunk house, are you             |
| 14  | referring to the bunk room, the one that you .      |
| 15  | pointed out on this map where Danny De Carlo was?   |
| 16  | <sup>u</sup> A. Yes. <sup>u</sup>                   |
| 17  | When she says bunk room and bunk house, they        |
| 18  | are interchangeable.                                |
| 19  | "Q After you arrived at the bunk house              |
| 20  | was there anyone else there?                        |
| 21  | "A Well, I cannot remember the exact                |
| 22  | faces that were there at the moment I walked in,    |
| 23, | but eventually we were all there, which was myself, |
| 24  | Charlie, Leslie, Katie, Sadie, Tex and Clem.        |
| 25  | "Q Did Mr. Manson say anything to you               |
| 26  | and the others, once you were all together in the   |
|     |                                                     |

| , 1        | "bunk house?                                               |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2          | "A. Yes, he did.                                           |
| 3          | "Q What did he say?                                        |
| 4          | "A. He said we were going to go out                        |
| 5          | again tonight. Last night was too messy and                |
| 6          | that he was going to show us how to do it.                 |
| 7          | "Q Did anyone say anything when                            |
| 8          | Mr. Manson said this?                                      |
| ·9         | "A. Not at that moment.                                    |
| 10         | "Q Did Tex say anything inside the                         |
| 11         | bunk house?                                                |
| 12         | "A. At one point he said that we                           |
| 13         | needed better weapons; the weapons we took last            |
| 14         | night were not effective."                                 |
| 15         | The weapons they took last night were not                  |
| 16         | effective! Ladies and gentlemen, they weren't good enough. |
| 17         | It was something to do with "we needed better weapons."    |
| <b>i</b> 8 | "Did you see any knives or guns inside                     |
| 19         | the bunk house?                                            |
| 20         | "A. Yes, I did.                                            |
| 21         | "Q What did you see?                                       |
| 22         | "A. I saw two long swords, that is all                     |
| 23         | I recall.                                                  |
| 24         | "Q Do you recall whether any of the                        |
| 25         | persons in the bunk house picked up any of these           |
| 26         | śwords?                                                    |

|             | <sup>11</sup> A. | No, I didn't see anybody.          |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1           | ng               | Did you eventually all leave the   |
| 2           | bunk house?      |                                    |
| 3           | пД.              | Yes.                               |
| 4           | "Q               | Did you all leave the bunk house   |
| 5           | ,                |                                    |
| 6           | ·                | tely the same time?                |
| 7           | WA.              | Yes.                               |
| 8           | "Q               | Where did you all go from there?   |
| ş           | "A               | We went to the car.                |
| 10          | 11 <b>Q</b>      | What car did you go to?            |
|             | n <sub>A</sub> , | The same car we took the night     |
| 11          | before, John     | ny Swartz's car.                   |
| 12          | II Q             | Did you all get inside the car?    |
| 13          | "A.              | Yes, we did.                       |
| 14          |                  | Where were you seated the car?     |
| 15          | u <sub>A</sub> , | I sat between Charlie and Clem.    |
| 16          |                  |                                    |
| 1,7         | **Q              | Where was Charlie seated in the    |
| 18          | car?             |                                    |
| <b>19</b>   | "A               | In the driver's seat.              |
| .20         | 110              | And you were in the middle?        |
| 21          | nA.              | Yes.                               |
|             | no.              | In the front seat?                 |
| 22.         | u <sub>A</sub> . | Yes.                               |
| <b>23</b> . | . "0             | And Clem was on your right?        |
| :24.        |                  |                                    |
| 25          | 11 <b>A</b> ,    | Yes:                               |
| 26          | n <b>d</b>       | What about Tex and Sadie and Katie |

| 1  | "and Leslie Van  | Houten?                          |
|----|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2  | "A. I            | remember Tex was directly in bac |
| 3  | of Charlie and   | Leslie sat on his lap; and then  |
| 4  | there was Sadie  | and Katie, or Katie and Sadie,   |
| 5  | I don't know the | eir exact positions.             |
| 6· | "Q Abo           | out the time you went to the car |
| 7  | did Mr. Manson   | give you anything?               |
| 8  | "A. Ye           | s, he did.                       |
| 9  | "Q Wh            | at did he give you?              |
| 10 | "A So            | me leather thongs.               |
| 11 | 1100             | d he tell you why he was giving  |
| 12 | you the leather  | thongs?                          |
| 13 | "A. No           |                                  |
| 14 | "Q Но            | w long was the leather thong?    |
| 15 | "A. I            | don't know, it was rolled up.    |
| 16 | "Q Wh            | at did you do with the leather   |
| 17 | thong?           | ,                                |
| 18 | "A. I            | put it in my pocket.             |
| 19 | "Q Do            | you know what eventually became  |
| 20 | of this leather  | thong?                           |
| 21 | "A. No           | •                                |
| 22 | "Q - Yo          | u had just forgotten about it?   |
| 23 | "A Ye            | <b>5</b> •                       |
| 24 | "Q Wa            | s Mr. Manson wearing a leather   |
| 25 | thong on his pe  | rson at that time?               |
| 26 | "A, Ye           | s, he was.                       |
|    |                  |                                  |

|     | ,                                            |
|-----|----------------------------------------------|
| ı   | "Q Where was he wearing it?                  |
| · 2 | "A. Around his neck.                         |
| 3.  | "Q Did any of the other six people           |
| 4   | have any leather thongs around their necks?  |
| 5   | "A. No.                                      |
| :6  | ng Did you see leather thongs on any         |
| 7   | of the persons anywhere?                     |
| 8   | "A. No, sir.                                 |
| 9   | "Q So Mr. Manson was the only one            |
| 10  | who had leather thongs?"                     |
| 11  | Well, she said, "I had some leather thongs." |
| 12  | "Q These were the thongs that Charlie        |
| 13  | had just given you?                          |
| 14  | "A. Yes."                                    |
| 15  |                                              |
| 16  |                                              |
| 17  |                                              |
| 18  |                                              |
| 19  |                                              |
| 20` |                                              |
| 03  |                                              |

23

15d-1

2

1

3 4

. 5

6

7

ģ

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21:

22

23.

24

25

26

Linda testified that Manson was driving the car. Inside the car she saw two knives under the front seat.

She also saw a sword in the car which was one of the two swords she saw in the bunkhouse before they left.

She identified this as being the sword that was in the car.

Of course on the night of the La Bianca murders she said it was not broken the way it is right now. How it got broken, of course, we don't know.

That sword was in Swartz' car on the night of the La Bianca murders.

I also showed Linda People's 48 for identification, that is the dune buggy again, that is the dune buggy.

She identified the sword right here as being the sword that I just showed you, the same sword, right on the left of the driver's seat, in his dune buggy, ladies and gentlemen, several people said this is Charlie's dune buggy.

"Q Now, Linda, you testified that the first night you had the idea that you were going on a creepy-crawly mission; you did not know there was going to be any killing, is that correct?

"A Yes, that's right.

"Q The second night did you know what was

Ţ

2

3

<del>4</del> 5

б

Ź

8

. . . . .

> . Lĺ

12

13

14 15

·16

•

17

18 19

2Ò.

21

22

23 24

**25**.

26.

"going to happen?

"A Yes.

"O Did you want to go along with Mr. Manson and the others on the second night?

"A No.

"Q Why did you go along if you didn't want to?

"A Because Charlie asked me and I was afraid to say no."

Later in her testimony Linda testified:

"When Charlie first came to me and told me to get my driver's license and my change of clothing I just knew we were going out again because we went out the night before, and I knew it would be the same thing, but I was afraid to say anything.

"I just looked at him and, you know, just sort of pleaded, please don't make me go, but I could not say it."

Linda testified that Manson stopped the car as he was driving off the parking area at Spahn Ranch, got out of the car and got some money from Bruce Davis for gas, and then got back in the car.

Shortly after Mr. Manson drove off he told everyone in the car that "We are going to go to two different houses in two groups."

That he would go in one group and leave another

15d-3

group off, you know.

Linda testified that after Manson stopped for gas, a mile or two from Spahn Ranch, Manson instructed her to take over the driver's seat. Manson sat beside her and gave Linda instructions where to go. Nobody else in the car during the entire evening other than Charles Manson gave Linda Kasabian any instructions or directions whatsoever on where to drive that car.

Manson directed her to get on the freeway.

Eventually she got off the freeway at the Fair Oaks turnoff.

In Pasadena.

Once in Pasadena Manson continued to give Linda directions, but there did not appear to be any specific house Manson had in mind.

She testified his directions were "A left here, a right here, turn around and go back," et cetera.

Almost a half hour or so after arriving in Pasadena Manson instructed Linda to stop the car in front of a home in a residential area. It was a middle class one-story home that appeared to be in a Caucasian area.

"O What happened after you stopped in front of this house?

"A Charlie got out of the car and told me to drive around the block.

"O Did he get out of the car by himself?

"A Yes, he did.

4

2

3

7

8

9

,10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

**2**0.

21

22 °

23

24

25

26

|                                              | "Q Did you in fact drive around the block?                                            |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1                                            | "A Yes, I did.                                                                        |
| 2                                            | "Q With the other people?                                                             |
| 3                                            | "A Yes.                                                                               |
| 4                                            | "Q Did you come back to the front of the                                              |
| 5                                            | house?                                                                                |
| 6                                            | "A Yes, I did.                                                                        |
| 7                                            | "Q What happened after you arrived back at                                            |
| 8                                            | the front of the house?                                                               |
| 9                                            | "A Charlie was standing in approximately the                                          |
| 10                                           | same spot I left him, and he got back in the car.                                     |
| 14                                           | "Linda testified that after Manson got                                                |
| 12                                           |                                                                                       |
|                                              |                                                                                       |
| <b>13</b>                                    | back in the car, they noticed a man and a women a                                     |
| 13<br>14                                     | few houses away getting in or out of their car.                                       |
|                                              | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14.                                          | few houses away getting in or out of their car.                                       |
| 14.<br>, 15                                  | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>, 15<br>16                             | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14.<br>15.<br>16<br>17<br>18.                | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17                         | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20       | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21 | few houses away getting in or out of their car. "Manson remarked that the man was too |

15e fls.

1

2

3

4

Ś

6

Ž

8

g

10

11

12.

13

14,

15

16

17

18^

19

20

2ĺ

22

23

24

25

26

As they drove off she testified;

"Charlie told us that when he had walked up to the house and looked into the window that he saw pictures of children on the wall, and he said he couldn't do it, he couldn't go in, but he said later on that we shouldn't let children stop us for the sake of the children of the future.

"Q Did you continue to be the driver?

Yes, I did.

"Q Was Mr. Manson continuing to give you directions?

"A Yes, he was.

"Q Was anyone else in the car giving you directions other than ar. Manson?

"A. No.

at that point?

"A. I don't know the district or the areas, but residential areas, houses, and we came to one point, I remember I was really tired, I just could not drive any more, so he just took over the driving and then I remember we started driving up a hill with lots of houses, nice houses, rich houses, and trees.

"We got to the top of the hill and turned

| 1          | "around and stopped in Front of a certain nouse          |  |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2          | and we all looked at the house.                          |  |
| 3          | "Q Was this particular area of town,                     |  |
| 4          | did it appear to be a Negro or Caucasian or              |  |
| 5          | mixed area?                                              |  |
| 6          | "A I don't know, I saw no people, so                     |  |
| 7          | I don't know.                                            |  |
| :8         | "Q The homes were nice, you say?                         |  |
| <b>9</b> . | "A Yes, they were modern, expensive                      |  |
| 10         | type homes.                                              |  |
| 11         | "Q This particular home in front of                      |  |
| 12         | which Mr. Manson stopped the car was in a one or         |  |
| 13         | two-story house?                                         |  |
| 14         | "A. It was a big house. I don't know                     |  |
| 15         | if it was two stories or not, it was sort of an          |  |
| 16         | A-frame. I remember its having like an A, I              |  |
| 17         | don't know if it's two stories or not, I can't           |  |
| 18         | say.                                                     |  |
| 19         | "Q Was it at the top of the hill?                        |  |
| 20         | "A. Yes, it was."                                        |  |
| 21         | THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, it is almost 4:30. Would        |  |
| 22         | this be a convenient time?                               |  |
| 23         | MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor, thank you.                |  |
| 24         | THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse         |  |
| 25         | with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the |  |
| 26         | case until it is finally submitted to you.               |  |
|            |                                                          |  |

18,938 The Court will adjourn until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, a recess was taken to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Wednesday, December 23, 1970.) 9: 10 11 12 13 15 16 17 Ì8

19

. 20

23

**24**.

25

26