ø.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

183

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Tuesday, February 16, 1971

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

MAYUETT KETTH EGG

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

MAXWELL KEITH, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 183

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES 23540 to 23616

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., Official Reporters

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1971
10:30 o'clock a.m.

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court, the Court and the court reporter and Mr. Larry D. Sheely, juror, being present:)

THE BAILIFF: This is Mr. Larry Sheely, Judge Older.

(The bailiff leaves the chambers of the art.)

THE COURT: I asked the reporter to be here because we have to take everything down.

I have your note. It was handed to me this morning, requesting at the earliest possible opportunity to discuss something with me.

MR. SHEELY: Yes, I think in fairness to everybody that I should be dismissed. I have some personal problems at home that won't allow me to concentrate on the case and give it my full attention.

I couldn't be fair to the People or defendants at this point. I have trouble remembering the testimony from this last week. This has not had any effect on the trial portion.

THE COURT: Something has come up since the penalty case?

MR. SHEELY: Just recently.

THE COURT: Can you tell me without going into any

Ï

5 .

.

23.

great detail what the nature of the problem is?

MR. SHEELY: It is mainly my wife. She has been on these tranquilizers and it's getting worse to the point where the prescription is the strongest the doctor can give her, and she has to take two.

She is only supposed to take one. She gets so dopey she cannot really take care of the kids like it should be.

It is of great concern to me.

THE COURT: Does this have something to do with the fact that you are on the jury?

MR. SHEELY: Yes, right, my absence from home. With this in the back of my mind I just cannot concentrate on what is going on, keep my mind on it.

THE COURT: Is there something that came up over the weekend?

MR. SHEELY: No, no. It's just been getting progressively worse, just, I guess, the last two or three weeks it was really getting bad.

She comes up on the weekends to see me and she is just trembling; she takes these tranquilizers until she is just goofy, you know, and I cannot get it out of my mind, you know, indirectly it is my fault, you know, because I am not home, and I cannot concentrate.

The kids are not getting the care that they should during the week. She says she is at her best when

Ţ

3 .

we are together.

She brings the kids up and I see them.

THE COURT: Well, suppose if she were able to see you more often.

MR. SHEELY: The problem is, when she takes these tranquilizers she cannot drive, and it is getting her up there and back, and all this. It's a great expense too.

The doctor bill has been building up. She has been going to the doctor a couple of times a week.

I thought about it quite a bit, and that was the only thing I could come up with, was to be dismissed.

I feel an obligation as far as the trial is concerned but I also have an obligation to my family.

a fls.

.

la-l

,<u>1</u>',

Ž

3

5

6

7

9

-10

11

12

13.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26.

THE COURT: Well, I know it's difficult.

MR. SHEELY: Very difficult.

THE COURT: 'Of course, we are getting down toward the closing stages now; I cannot be exactly sure what day it's going to end.

MR. SHEELY: I just don't want it to get to the point where she will have a nervous preakdown or something and end up in the hospital.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't had a chance to discuss this with the doctor, have you?

MR. SHEELY: No, he wrote a letter a while back to the Court.

THE COURT: I do recall that. I did not do anything about it because you did not make any request at the time, and --

MR. SHEELY: I considered it, but I did not know if it was my position that I should proceed or what,

THE COURT: I did receive it. I don't recall now exactly what it said, but I just put it in the file some-where.

I felt at the time that if you had some problems you wanted to raise you would make a request, otherwise I would not do anything about it.

You have small children?

MR. SHEELY: I have two.

THE COURT: How old are they?

1 à б

16

1 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20°

21 22

23

24

25 .26

MR. SHEELY: Four and five. The oldest one starts school in September.

THE COURT: Of course, if you are released I assume you are going to go back to work. Who is your employer? MR. SHEELY: Pacific Telephone.

THE COURT: She's not going to see you during the day anyway. That is why I was asking whether suppose you saw her every night ---

MR. SHEELY: Well. I have three weeks of vacation coming, and talked to my boss. I still have a week from last, year I haven't taken yet. I talked to him before and he said as soon as this is over I can take that week right away be-'cause I haven't made arrangements to take this vacation. was going to take that week anyhow as soon as this is over, as soon as I get out of here, and I will have that week, anyhow, till things get back to normal.

In the evening, as far as her coming up to the hotel, it's quite a hardship and great expense, her and the kids and someone to bring her up.

Personally, I don't feel it would be of any great benefit. It would just be prolonging it.

THE COURT: Has she been coming to see you on the weekends?

MR. SHEELY: Yes, every weekend.

THE COURT: Well, all right, I will talk it over with counsel, Mr. Sheely, before we start this morning, and I will

let you know.

MR. SHEELY: I think the main thing is I just cannot concentrate on the case.

I am having trouble remembering the testimony of just this last week.

THE COURT: Of course, that is a problem we all have in a protracted trial.

All right, I will talk it over with the attorneys, then, and let you know.

Thanks very much.

MR. SHEELY: Thank you.

2-1

•

2.

7

4

5

Ģ

9.

10

12 13

14

15₁₆

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

(The following proceedings occur in chambers.

All counsel present. Defendants absent.)

THE COURT: All counsel are present.

One of our regular jurors, Mr. Sheely, has requested to be excused because of his wife.

I have talked with him this morning with the reporter.

She apparently is under tranquilization from her doctor and is not getting any better.

He has two children, ages 4 and 5, and he says that problems at home have now reached the point where he has difficulty concentrating during the trial and recalling testimony, and so forth.

So, he is asking to be excused.

If any of you care to talk with him, I see no reason why we shouldn't have him come back in chambers and you can ask him any questions you like.

MR. KANAREK: If I may, your Honor, it is my position that -- I ask for a mistrial on the grounds of evidence being taken outside the presence of the defendants without the defendants permission.

The Penal Code provides that at every stage of the proceedings the defendant has a right to be present.

And so, that is fundamental due process under the Fourteenth Amendment and equal protection. Your Honor taking evidence outside of his presence is a denial of that

2-2

.

right, and I make a motion for a mistrial, a voir dire of a juror when his life is at stake at this stage of the proceedings.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It hasn't been done yet.

What are are you talking about?

MR. KANAREK: The Court has said that he has spoken to the juror.

THE COURT: Yes.

I received Mr. Sheely's note and I had him come down, with the reporter here, just five minutes ago, and he told me what his problem was.

It will be on the record in your next copy of the transcript.

MR. KANAREK: I refer your Honor to Marion vs. Beto, which is a Court of Appeals case for the Fifth Circuit. I have here only what is the U. S. Law Week, or some syllabus summary of that case, wherein the Court makes the point that the exclusion of one juror in the death penalty case -- which I am sure we would all agree -- can be the difference between life and death, and the improper exclusion is a denial of due process and requires a fair trial.

I offer for the Court's reading --

THE COURT: I haven't the faintest idea what you are talking about, Mr. Kanarek.

We have a juror who has a personal problem. We

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

have had other jurors in the past in this trial with personal problems and they have been excused.

Now, I am advising you of that fact. If you are making a motion for a mistrial on that basis, it is denied.

MR. KANAREK: The motion is based on the Court taking evidence on this very critical matter without informing counsel.

THE COURT: You have stated your position. Your motion is denied.

2a-1

3,

\$

I 2

3

4

5.

6

7

8

٠9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25:

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: For the record. I want to make one statement.

> Go ahead. I am sorry.

MR. KANAREK: May I offer this to the Court for the Court's reading?

THE COURT: File it with the clerk in the usual course and in the usual form. Mr. Kanarek.

MR. BUGLIOSI: With respect to the People's and the defendant's position on jurors. I believe last week the Court was wondering whether to release the jury, and the People's position was we did not want them to be released, we wanted them to remain sequestered.

I have been advised that it came out over the radio and television that it was the prosecution that was the one that opposed the jury being released from sequestration.

I am not going to point the finger at anyone, although obviously it wasn't one of the prosecutors. I think it was one of the defense attorneys, or it could be someone else.

But I ask the Court again to admonish everyone back here that these in-chambers discussions are not to be released to the press because this could be harmful to the prosecution. The spouses of the jurors could hear this over the radio and television and then relate it to the jurors on a weekend, and then the jury might be upset at the prosecution and they might blame us for the continuing sequestration.

26

THE COURT: The publicity orders are still in effect. Right.

THE COURT: If anyone violates it and anyone has any knowledge of it, I am prepared to take whatever action is

MR. KAY: Knowledge and proof are two different

THE COURT: That's right.

What is your desire, gentlemen? Does anybody wish to talk with Mr. Sheely?

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, is that the man in the back row, the man with the curly hair, the kind of young man?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. The young guy with the curly

THE COURT: He is in the back row. No. 11.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, along the lines of the jury sequestration, I was thinking last week, since they are going to be sequestered, maybe it wouldn't hurt to increase the number of visits that their spouses could have. Like during

I couldn't see any harm in that.

THE COURT: I have already done that.

MR. FITZGERALD: There is apparently no agreement amongst us as to a stipulation that he can be excused.

MR. KEITH: The only thing that concerns me, if he is excused then other jurors may suddenly come up with similar

problems at home and want to be excused. It may create more problem than it solves. 3 MR. KANAREK: I would oppose his being excused, your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: On what grounds? 6 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? THE COURT: On what ground? 8 10 . 11 12 13 14 16 17. 18 19. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1 2

J

5

7

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15-

16

17 18

19

.20·

Ž1 .22

23 24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: On the ground, your Honor, that we have due process of law, and it has to be done in accordance with the law.

THE COURT: What has to be done in accordance with the law?

MR. KANAREK: His excuse and his replacement by an alternate.

I point out to the Court, in the case of Mrs. Hines, and I allege a violation of equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment, I asked for a hearing concerning Mrs. Hines who we believe, through no fault of mine, I didn't hear her husband --

THE COURT: You are wasting time and, as usual, you are not making much sense.

I don't know what point you are directing your statements to. Whatever it is, it is unintelligible.

MR. KANAREK: There are certain procedures for excusing a juror and it isn't done ad hoc by stating something.

It could be done by stipulation, but we are not stipulating.

And I, therefore, oppose the juror being excused.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The People will join with Mr. Kanarek and oppose the juror being excused.

MR. KANAREK: In view of the Court's talking to him,

2b-2

2

ĺ

4

5.

7

. , 6

.8.

9.

10

11

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

I think we have a duty to have a hearing, and I make a motion for a hearing in which we would like to interrogate, because I think the state of mind of the juror, because of whatever the Court has told him, could be affected.

THE COURT: You will know as soon as you get your next volume of the transcript. It is on the record.

If you want to make another motion for a mistrial, Mr. Kanarek-which I am sure you will -- go right ahead and do it.

MR. KANAREK: What would your Honor do if your Honor were a lawyer and at a critical stage like this --

THE COURT: Sometime I will be glad to tell you, but I am not going to do it now.

MR. KANAREK: I ask your Honor, I believe --

THE COURT: I will be glad to tell you about a lot of things, Mr. Kanarek, but this isn't the time.

MR. KEITH: I might say that I have no objection to the sequestration order being lifted and the jurors being allowed to return home.

I appreciate what Mr. Bugliosi said about his fears of their possibly being harassed or their being the subject of over-much attention by maybe the press or other interested people.

I think merely changing their telephone numbers and making them unlisted would solve that problem, as it has probably solved mine. I have changed my number and I haven't had any problems.

23

24

26

Particularly, I make that observation in light of Mr. Sheely's problems at home. I am sure all the other jurors have similar problems.

THE COURT: All right. Very well.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a ruling on my motion for a hearing?

THE COURT: You have had it.

Your motion for a hearing? Denied.

MR. KANAREK: Concerning Mr. Sheely, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is denied.

Anything further?

Very well. We will go back in the court.

1

2

4

5

6

7

у,

11 10

39

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the Court, outside the presence of the jury and the defendants, all counsel being present:)

THE COURT: I am going to have Mr. Sheely brought in here and ask him some questions. Any of you who care to may ask him some questions.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I make a motion that this be done in open court. We do not waive a public trial in this regard.

THE COURT: It will be done in chambers, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think the length of the penalty trial is relevant to whether Mr. Sheely should be allowed to leave or go on.

MR. KEITH: Speaking for myself, my own witnesses will take two days.

MR. BUGLIOSI: How many witnesses are you going to call?

MR. KEITH: That is probably too great a time, but I see what happens in this case, so it might be conservative.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have just my client and one other witness.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That shouldn't take more than a day and a half.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Kanarek, do you wish to be heard, sir?

MR. KANAREK: I have no comment, Mr. Bugliosi.

THE COURT: Do you have any kind of an estimate, 1 2 Mr. Kanarek? MR. KANAREK: A lot depends upon what happens, your .3 ٠4 Honor, as to --As to these female defendants. - 5 6 MR. MUSICH: What would be the maximum and minimum of 7 your estimates. Mr. Kanarek? THE COURT: Well, you are under no compulsion to do so, .8 · ' q but will you indicate whether your clients intend to testify. 10 MR. KEITH: Yes. Patricia Krenyinkel intends to testify 11 my understanding is. Leslie Van Houten intends to testify as 12 well, 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: A week and a half or two ago both 14 Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Keith advised me that their clients 15 would testify, at least what they told me was that their 16 clients were going to implicate themselves in these murders. 17 They advised me of that a week and a half or two 18 ago, is that correct, Mr. Fitzgerald? 19 MR. FITZGERALD: Essentially correct. 20 MR. BUGLIOSI: Is that correct. Mr. Keith? 21 MR. KEITH: I don't know if I advised you that either 22 one was going to implicate themselves or herself in the 23 murder, but ---24 MR. BUGLIOSI: But they were going to testify? 25 -- they are going to testify. MR. KEITH: 26 MR. BUGLIOSI: You told me that a week and a half or

Y

two ago.

1

. 5

10

11

Í2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21'

22

23

*

MR. KEITH: Oh, yes,

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, while we are in chambers, your Honor, we have this witness, Mr. Charles Rich, who is here from the State Prison and I would ask, and I do ask and move, your Honor, that your Honor issue an order so that I can confer with him and Mr. Manson at the convenience of the Sheriff, in order to --

MR. KAY: Why does Mr. Manson have to be present?

MR. KANAREK: He is a witness. Do you mind if I speak
to the Judge?

THE COURT: Before you do anything, Mr. Kanarek, you aregoing to have to do something about getting your requests in order, because in the form in which it was presented I denied it because there was no showing whatever.

The only reason I granted it and ordered Mr. Rich to be brought down was on the basis of earlier declarations you made at the beginning of the trial.

MR. KANAREK: It was in November, your Honor, and he was sent back evidently inadvertently.

THE COURT: It was done with the understanding that you would file something and incorporate that earlier declaration.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly.

THE COURT: I assume the facts are still the same.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

25

24

26

THE COURT: That is what I had the clark tell you, but you did not seem to understand what he was saying.

MR. KANAREK: Well, this morning he indicated to me that your Honor wanted a further declaration.

THE COURT: I didn't say that. I said incorporate the earlier declaration.

MR. KANAREK: I would be glad to, your Honor, no problem.

THE COURT: So there will be some showing to support Mr. Rich's being present. Otherwise, I am going to send him back to Folsom.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, he is here and he still would be here except the Sheriff on his own, I believe, certainly I did not ask the Sheriff's Department for any particular relief, and the Sheriff's Department sent him back to Folsom.

I gather by talking to Mr. Welch it was an inadvertency of some type, because then he was brought back here.

I think your Honor can talk with the man that is at the head of the jail. His name is Welch.

THE COURT: He was sent back when?

MR. KANAREK: He was first here in November and the Sheriff's Department sent him back.

THE COURT: Of course they are not going to keep him around for months.

23

24

1 2

3

،4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

2Ì 22

23

24

25

MR. KANAREK: But I don't believe your Honor ordered it. I think it was just one of those things, that it's sort of like Topsy, it just grew all of a sudden, it happened.

But I will be glad to incorporate that, your Honor.

My request at this time is, in order to make use of this witness most effectively, I have to use a person that Mr. Manson was in custody in prison somewhere with, and I would ask your Honor to allow us to consult together.

I am just asking for an order so that the Sheriff will bring him over and we can consult together at the convenience of the Sheriff.

May I have that order?

THE COURT: Not at this time.

Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Keith both have indicated that their clients intend to testify.

Now, what does that do to your estimate? I am talking to you, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: A lot of it has to do with my consulting with Mr. Rich and Mr. Manson together.

THE COURT: Let's not be absurd.

MR. KANAREK: That is not absurd.

THE COURT: Mr. Rich's testimony, based on your own declarations, could not take but a very brief time.

3a-2

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19.

20 21

22

23

24

26

MR. KANAREK: Well, it isn't --

THE COURT: Obviously you are trying to be difficult, Mr. Kanarek, and refuse to give any kind of an estimate, which I suppose is your right.

MR. KANAREK: I do not wish to make a misrepresentation to the Court. I am not going to guess and just make a statement.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, all I can say is that you are unbelievable.

MR. KAY: We've said that all along.

(Juror Sheely enters the room.)

THE COURT: Mr. Sheely, come in and sit down.

I asked you to come back with all counsel present so you can tell them what you told me this morning after I had asked you to come in here on the basis of your written request, which was handed to the bailiff, and then to me this morning.

Now, would you just describe what the problem is so the various attorneys can understand.

MR.SHEELY: Well, my wife has been pretty nervous since this has started and I have been gone and everything. She has been taking these tranquilizers.

She just has been getting worse and worse, and she cannot drive while she is taking the medication, and she is to the point where the doctor won't give her any stronger prescription -- I don't know if they don't have it

1 . 2

ġ.

4

5 6

Ź.

9.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

ļ8

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

stronger or he won't give her stronger -- but he told her if she needs to, to take more than one.

When she takes two she gets all gooff. She cannot care for the kids or anything.

THE COURT: You have two children?

MR. SHEELY: Two boys, four and five, and I can't -- with this on my mind I just cannot keep my mind on the trial. I don't think I could.

THE COURT: Your wife has been visiting you at the hotel?

MR. SHEELY: Yes, she comes up on the weekends and she comes up and she just trembles all week long.

She will take those tranquilizers.

THE COURT: You feel she is unable to properly care for the children?

MR. SHEELY: Yes, among other things, yes.

THE COURT: This is bothering you?

MR. SHEELY: Very much.

I don't want -- it's got pretty bad just recently, I don't know, maybe she's getting close to a nervous breakdown or something like that.

I don't want to see her up in the hospital, to create more problems.

THE COURT: You find it difficult to concentrate on what is going on during the trial?

MR. SHEELY: Very difficult, very difficult.

| | | • | | ٠ |
|--------------|---|---|-----|-----|
| 3a-4 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | |
| | | | ż | Ì |
| | | | 3. | |
| • | | | 4 | |
| ٠ | | | 5: | |
| • | | | 6 | 1 |
| 7 | | | . 7 | |
| • | | | 8 | |
| | | | 9 | |
| | | • | 10 | |
| | | | 11 | |
| | | • | 12 | 1 |
| | | • | 13 | , |
| | | · | 14 | Ļ |
| | | н | 1 | į |
| | | | 10 | 5 |
| | | | . 1 | 7 |
| • | | | 1 | 8 |
| | | | ļ | 9 |
| á | • | | 2 | 0, |
| ; | • | , | 2 | 21. |
| - 1 | | | | 22 |

THE COURT: And you are requesting to be excused?

MR. SHEELY: Yes, I would request to be excused.

THE COURT: Does anyone care to ask Mr. Sheely any questions?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I ask a question? THE COURT: Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Sheely, you said "among other things." What do you mean?

THE COURT: What do you mean by that, Mr. Kanarek, what is the question?

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Sheely mentioned his wife's illness and said "among other things."

Q What are the other things, Mr. Sheely?

A I think about the other things --

THE COURT: We are not going into anything except what he is talking about now.

MR. KANAREK: He used the words "smong other things."

THE COURT: Put the question in a form I can understand, Mr. Kanarek. I don't understand your question.

BY MR. KANAREK:

23

24

25

26

Q In connection with your answer when you used the words "among other things," what did you mean?

THE COURT: That is what I am telling you, I don't

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

. **17**

-18<u>;</u>

19

20 21

22

23⁻ 24

25

26

recall how he used it.

MR. KANAREK: May it be read to him?

THE COURT: No. Just reframe the question and tell how he used it, otherwise we will go to something else.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q You stated, Mr. Sheely, I didn't memorize it, but I think words to the effect that your wife was nervous and upset and you referred to your wife, and then you stated this is why you wanted to be relieved and you stated "Among other things."

Now, I'm asking you what did you mean by the "smong other things."

A I cannot remember just at this moment.

MR. KANAREK: May it be read to the juror?

THE COURT: Have you now told us your reasons for requesting to be excused. Mr. Sheely?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Sheely, may I ask you this, sir:

Assuming that the Court would allow you to go home and have a Deputy Sheriff -- in other words, you are sequestered now. Now I gather the problem is your being in close proximity to your wife, right, is that correct?

A Caring for my wife and family.

Q Right. Now, if you, instead of sleeping at the Ambassador Hotel, if a Deputy Sheriff or someone skin to

Mr. Murray or one of the deputies was at your home with you, in other words, there --2 THE COURT: We are not going into this, Mr. Kanarek, 3 so you can stop right there. Anything further? 5 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I have not finished. 6 THE COURT: You have. 7 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, your Honor, I would ask your Honor --THE COURT: If you have another question directly to 10 what he said --3b fls. 11 ŢŻ. 13 . 14 1Š . 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 26

ĭ fracture. 2 This has just been recently and this also has created another hardship on her. 4. You see, she cannot drive because she is taking 5 the tranquilizers; well, she says she lives off these б tranquilizers. 7 BY MR. KEITH: How long has it been since you noticed this problem? I didn't know anything about it. She kept it Ìŷ, from me. But eversince the second month of this trial. She 11 has not said anything. 12 Did your wife request that you request that you 13 be excused? 14 À You mean right now? 15 Ω. Yes, has she requested it? 16: A. Oh, yes, sure, she wants me to come home. 17 Ó BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Does she work? 18 A. No. 19 Q She is a housewife? 2Ó A Right, a housewife. 21 MR. KANAREK: Mr. Sheely, when did she first tell you 22 about this? 23 THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, I heard all I need. .24 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor is foreclosing me? 25 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Sheely, you can 26. go back up to the jury.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Sheely, thank you very much, sir. (Mr. Sheely leaves the chambers of the Court.) 2 THE COURT: All right, anybody care to stipulate? 3 MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor, it is my position --5 THE COURT: I am not interested in your position. Do you want to stipulate or not? б MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor. 8 THE COURT: I find good cause based upon Mr. Sheely's 9 statements that he should be excused as a juror in this case 10. and he will be excused and we will select another juror from ĺľ the remaining alternate jurors by lot. .12 MR. BUGLIOSI: It's too late now, but I mean the whole 13 trial was working an enormous hardship on all these jurors 14 and I'm sure they have enormous problems. 15 We are right down to the end of the trial now, 16 I just don't see why Mr. Sheely should be excused. 17 It's a problem, no question about it. 18 I imagine if we were to interrogate the other 19 jurors they all would have somewhat similar problems. .20 THE COURT: All right, gentlemen. MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I -- your Honor has 21 22 ruled, your Honor will not allow me to make any argument to the Court? THE COURT: Let's proceed in open court. 24 25 MR. FITZGERALD: We will select an alternate in open 26 court?

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

| 1 | THE COURT: Oh, yes. |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| 2 | MR. FITZGERALD: By lot? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Oh, yes. |
| 4 | (Recess.) |
| . 5 | |
| ,· 6· | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9. | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| . 18 | |
| 19 | |
| .20 | |
| 21' | |
| 22' | |
| .23 | |
| .24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | • |

3c

3v-1

2Ì

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court, the Court, Mr. Sheely and the court reporter only being present:)

THE COURT: All right, I had Mr. Sheely brought back into chambers for a moment, following our conference with all counsel, to tell you that I have decided to excuse you, Mr. Sheely, on the basis of your statements regarding your wife's condition and its effect on you.

So I will excuse you as soon as the jury goes back into the box, and then we will select another juror.

Now, it is extremely important that you do not discuss with anyone what has gone on during the course of the trial in connection with your services as a juror until the trial is finally concluded, and by concluded I mean after the jury has returned a verdict, if they do return a verdict, on the penalty phase.

So for the record I am going to put it in the form of an order that you, following your excusal, are not to discuss with anyone any of the aspects of your services as a juror in this case, and that includes comments about whether you liked it or did not like it or what occurred in the deliberations or anything at all.

Do you understand, sir?

A Correct.

THE COURT: And I am sure you can appreciate the reasons for it.

A Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

10.

- 11

12

13

14

1Š

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Of course, once the jury has returned a verdict or they are discharged after the penalty phase, then you are free to discuss any aspect of the case you want to with anybody.

However, you are under no compulsion to do so.

If you are bothered by anybody, newsmen, lawyers or anybody else you are under no duty to discuss the case with anybody even when you are finally permitted to do so.

MR. SHEELY: Thank you.

THE COURT: And I do want to thank you. I will thank you again in open court, but I do want to thank you again for your service in this case.

I know it's a great sacrifice for you as well as all of the other jurors, and on behalf of the County and the State I certainly do thank you for your valuable public service.

MR. SHEELY: I am sorry I could not finish.

THE COURT: Well, these things happen, and no one can predict them and your place is now at home rather than on this jury.

MR. SHEELY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Fine, thank you,

4 f1s.

25

26

22

23

24

26

(The following proceedings occur in open court.

All counsel, jurors and defendants present.)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: At the request of Mr. Sheely, one of our regular jurors, for personal reasons, the Court has decided there is good cause for his request to be excused and he will be excused at this time.

your valuable public service in this case.

It has been a long trial and a difficult one, and on behalf of the County and the State, I wish to thank you sincerely for your service in this case.

You will now be excused.

(Mr. Sheely leaves the courtroom.)

THE COURT: The clerk will draw the name of the next juror by lot from the remaining alternate jurors.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Victoria Kampman.

MRS. KAMPMAN: May I talk to you, Judge, first?

THE COURT: No, ma'am, I am afraid not.

The clerk will swear the juror.

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.

You do solemnly swear that you will well and truly try the cause now pending before this Court and a true verdict render therein according to the evidence and the

instructions of the Court, so help you God? 1 MRS. KAMPMAN: I do. THE COURT: Would you take seat No. 11, please, 3 Mrs. Kampman. MR. KANAREK: May I approach the bench? 6 THE COURT: Just a moment. MRS. KAMPMAN: I am dizzy. THE COURT: The Court will recess at this time. 9 Sit down. 10 (The following proceedings occur in chambers. 11 All counsel present. Defendants absent.) 12 THE COURT: Someone requested a conference in 13. chambers? 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. 15 The reason that I wanted to come back earlier. 16 your Honor, it is too late now, of course, but I think we can think about this throughout the remainder of the trial. 18 Bringing in an alternate juror -- Mr. Musich 19 mentioned it to me and it is an obvious thing -- the alternate did not deliberate in any of the seven days of 2L deliberation on guilt or innocence, which is all the more reason why we should keep the original jurors. 23 It is extremely dangerous to bring in an alter-24 nate at this point since they did not participate in any of

the discussions on guilt or innocence.

26

Cielo Drive.com ARCHIVES

This particular juror here, your Honor, apparently

23,573 wanted to talk to the Court -- I don't know what it is -- but 1 I think the Court should talk to her. It is just another request by a juror to talk to the Court. We don't know what she is going to say. THE COURT: Of course I will talk to her, but I wasn't going to do it at that particular moment. 6 She apparently wasn't feeling well. I thought she wanted to talk about procedure. ÌO ŢŢ 12 13 14 15 16 ,17 3Ľ

19

20

2ĺ

22

23

24

25

26

4a-1

. 1 2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10 . 11

12

13 14

1Ś

16

17

İ8

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Apparently she didn't want to be sworn in. I didn't know that, but apparently she didn't want to serve as a juror.

THE COURT: Is that all you had?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, I think before we proceed any further, I am asking the Court to talk to her.

MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect, and I offer to be sworn, that the exact point that Mr. Bugliosi brought to the Court -- Mr. Fitzgerald will verify it, and I think Mr. Keith and Mr. Shinn, and I offer to be sworn -- when I asked to approach the bench and your Honor walked over my request, that is the point that I was going to make to the Court.

THE COURT: I had you gentlemen in here for a conference just before we resumed --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor foreclosed me from any further matters in connection with Mr. Sheely. In fact, you told me that we were off the record.

THE COURT: Mr. Kenarek, don't interrupt me.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: You have been doing it all during the trial. Don't do it any more. You were given an opportunity to question Mr. Sheely until it was obvious that your question were going to get off into some personal matters that had no relevance whatever to the inquiry.

If you are trying to tell me now that you were

about to say something else, you were given every oppor-. 1 tunity. 2 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor did not allow us to make 3 argument. Your Honor ended the hearing. 4 THE COURT: The only one that was doing any talking 5 was you, Mr. Kanarek, and that had gotten to the stage that your examination frequently does where it is unintelligible 7 and irrelevant. 8 All right. ٠9٠ Did Mrs. Kampman indicate upstairs that she 10 wasn't feeling well, Mr. Murray? 11 THE BAILIFF: No. not to me. 12 I hadn't seen her until she was brought back 13 into the box. 14 THE COURT: No indication that she wasn't feeling 15 we11? **16** THE BAILIFF: No. 17 MR. BUGLIOSI: As soon as she is able, can she be 18 brought into court for a discussion with the defense 19 attorneys and the prosecutors and the Court? 20 I don't know. I am going to think about THE COURT: 21 it. 22. Where is she now? 23 THE BAILIFF: In the jury box. 24 THE COURT: Is she still out there? 25 THE BAILIFF: Yes, your Honor. 26

THE COURT: Take her up there and let her lie down. 1 MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect that she fainted 2 immediately after being sworn in, she fainted and appeared 3 to collapse. I think that is a fair statement, your Honor, 5 and I offer to be sworn on that. б MR. KAY: Nobody is disputing that. Ž THE COURT: All right. 8 Well, the court is now in recess. 9 When she is ready to proceed, why, we will 10 proceed. 11 You gentlemen are excused. 12 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion for a mistrial, your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Denied. 15 (Whereupon, at 11:45 o'clock a.m. the court 16 was in recess.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26.

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 1971 2:05 o'clock p.m. (The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court, outside the hearing of the jury, all counsel being present:) THE COURT: All counsel are present. Mr. Kanarek, where were you at 1:45? MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I was in Department 174 at 1:30 and the judge did not take the bench exactly at 1:30 and immediately, your Honor, when that was over I came right over here and called the court to inform him I 12 was going to be late. 13 THE COURT: What department was that? 14 MR. KANAREK: Pardon me, 74, I'm sorry, your Honor. . 15 THE COURT: All right. Do I understand someone has 16 something to take up? 17 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor. People would make a 18 motion to have the Court vacate its order excusing juror 19 Sheely and reinstate juror Sheely as a regular juror for 20 two reasons. 21 No. 1, the alternate juror, I think her name is 22 Kampman. 23 THE JUDGE: Kampman. 24 MR. BUGLIOSI: No. 1, she does not desire to serve, 25. and the record should reflect --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

26

THE COURT: How do you know that?

. 2

MR. BUGLIOSI: She very reluctantly raised her right hand.

3

THE COURT: She obviously was not feeling good at that point; that was a physical matter.

б

. 5

I don't have any idea what her state of

7

mind is.

She did take the oath. '

8. 'g

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think it was fairly obvious she wanted to talk to the Court, and she did not want to raise her hand.

10 11

No. 2, we are in the penalty trial now and very shortly she is going to have to make an enormous.

12.

extremely serious decision on whether these defendants should

14

receive life or death, and if she fainted after taking the oath, a fortiori she is not in any physical and/or emotional

15. 16

condition to face the enormous responsibility that she is

17

going to have to face.

18

19

So because she does not desire to serve -- I think -- at least we can voir dire her on that -- it seems

20

to me she does not want to serve.

21

22

No. 2, her physical health, we would ask the Court to vacate its original order which the Court

23

certainly has the authority to do.

24

25

26

I don't know of any case directly in point but the case of People vs. von Badenthal, 8 Cal. App. 2d 412, the Appellate Court by necessary implication indicated that

6 fis.

if a juror has been excused but has not yet been released out to the public, so to speak, so the juror has not had a chance to discuss the case with family or friends and other lay people, it is not too late to vacate the order.

That seems to be the implied holding of von Badenthal.

Apparently this juror, Mr. Sheely, has not been formally released. Apparently he is still within the jurisdiction of the Sheriff.

6-1

. 2

. 3

4.

5 6

7

8

9.

10.

11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

Again, I reiterate to the Court that no matter what alternate juror we end up with, that juror did not sit during the seven days of deliberation when each juror looked over the evidence in great detail, and it is placing an enormous burden on the alternate juror that did not sit in the deliberations as to the guilt or innocence discussing it with their co-jurors.

I would say that it is unfair to both sides, and I would think that only if there are extremely grave circumstances should a juror be excused at this very late date.

Mr. Sheely has been with us for seven or eight months. We are at the end of the tunnel. I don't think there is any question about it.

THE COURT: What does that statement mean?

MR. BUGLIOST: At the end of the tunnel?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Meaning that we are almost at the end of the trial. And as long as they have been with us eight months --

THE COURT: How do you know that?

I can't get any estimate out of Mr. Kanarek or Mr. Shinn.

THE COURT: You gave an estimate?

MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor. About two days. Two or three days.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Fitzgerald says a day or two. Mr. Keith says a day and a half or two. The People don't have any rebuttal.

All we have is Mr. Kanarek, and I don't think he is going to take more than a week.

The Court can exercise a certain amount of discretion in foreclosing Mr. Kanarek from making unreasonable examination of some of these witnesses.

Linda Kasabian apparently is going to be called by Mr. Kanarek. I think the scope of inquiry by Mr. Kanarek should be very, very limited.

THE COURT: Well, we don't have to get into that now.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, I am just trying to give the Court an idea. I don't think we are going to be here for more than two or three weeks.

I am not just saying that now to have the Court vacate its ruling. I have always said that. In two or three weeks we should be through.

THE COURT: That is a long time.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, it just doesn't sound like good cause to me, your Honor.

THE COURT: There is no question but what it is good cause, Mr. Bugliosi. I don't think we have to waste any time on that.

The man's wife is ill, she is under a doctor's care, and it is affecting him. He said so. I believe him.

21

22

23.

24

25

26

22

23

24

25

. 26

ба

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: That is good cause.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right,

My point, Judge, is that if you were to voir dire any one of these jurors, they would probably have something similar to that, marital problems with their spouses, that is affecting them.

THE COURT: The same thing affects different people differently.

MR. MUSICH: It has to affect them to the extent that they can't be a fair and impartial juror and do their duty during the course of the trial.

If it doesn't affect them to that extent, it is not good cause.

I hope that is the reason for the Court's ruling.

6a-1

2

1

3

4

5

б

7

8.

9

10

11,

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Of course, that is what he just said. He just said that.

I am thinking very seriously of removing the segmestration order.

MR. KAY: That would solve Mr. Sheely's problem.

THE COURT: Maybe it would and maybe it wouldn't, I don't know.

MR. KAY: Maybe we could --

THE COURT: The prosecutors are doing an awful lot of deciding for Mr. Sheely.

MR. KAY: Maybe we could ask him about that.

THE COURT: I certainly wouldn't remove it just to retain him if I didn't think there were some other good reasons for it.

MR. KAY: But if the Court does remove sequestration, I think that is a factor that the Court should consider as to whether or not Mr. Sheely then has good cause. That would be a new factor, I would think, for the Court to reconsider, because probably he would go to his job eight hours a day anyway, even if you released him. So, he wouldn't be with his wife all the time then, but he would be there at night or whatever.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: Does anyone else wish to be heard?

MR. KEITH: No, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Is there a motion before the Court,

22

23

24

25

26

any actual motion, your Honor?

THE COURT: I think Mr. Bugliosi said he was moving for an order to water the order excusing Mr. Sheely; is that right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor,

MR. KEITH: I will oppose, for the record, Mr. Bugliosi's motion.

MR. KANAREK: My position is, your Honor, that your Honor must grant a mistrial at this point.

We can go on with this charade type of procedure—
THE COURT: Get to the point, Mr. Kanarek, because I
am not going to waste a lot of time listening to you. Get
to the point.

MR. KANAREK: The point is this --

THE COURT: Are you making a motion?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: State it.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion, your Honor, for a mistrial.

THE COURT: On what ground?

MR. KANAREK: On the ground, your Honor, that --

THE COURT: I don't want a lot of argument. Just state the ground.

MR. KANAREK: On the ground that the defendant Manson is being denied a fair penalty phase by what has occurred.

Your Honor has removed Mr. Sheely.

6a-3

2

1

3

5

7

6

8

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

7 **x**£1s.

THE COURT: What do you mean by what has occurred? Be specific.

MR. KANAREK: By your Honor removing Mr. Sheely without the showing of good cause, not allowing us to have a full hearing.

THE COURT: You have already made that motion. It is denied.

Ask Mr. Sheely to come down, please.

Don't just repeat motions, Mr. Kanarek. You waste the Court's time doing that.

MR. FITZGERALD: I checked with the Clerk, I wanted to be clear and I checked with the Clerk, and the Clerk has informed me that the removal of Mr. Sheely is in the minutes.

And also in the minutes are the swearing in of the alternate juror.

It is my contention that the Court has lost jurisdiction.

THE COURT: The minutes --

MR. KANAREK: I join with Mr. Fitzgerald.

THE COURT: Don't interrupt me.

The minutes have not been entered, have they?

THE CLERK: Not until the end of the day.

MR. FITZGERALD: You told me that they were.

MR. CLERK: I haven't made them up.

In my rough copy I have made them up.

THE COURT: We won't have any colloquy about that. The is a matter for the record.

22

23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: I think we should have some colloquy.

THE COURT: We are not going to, Mr. Fitzgerald,

MR. FITZGERALD: I am objecting on jurisdictional grounds. I think the Court has lost jurisdiction at this point; he has been removed.

MR. KANAREK: I join with Mr. Fitzgerald and oppose Mr. Bugliosi's motion, your Honor.

(Mr. Sheely enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Sit down, Mr. Sheely.

I brought you back in here with all counsel present to go over a matter with you.

First of all, since you were excused this morning what have you done and where have you been?

MR. SHEELY: I went back to the hotel and started packing.

THE COURT: Have you been with the jury the whole time?

MR. SHEELY: No. no. I was separate from the jury.

. I went back to the hotel and started packing, and we went down and ate lunch.

THE COURT: When you say "we"?

MR. SHEELY: Murray and Ann Orr.

THE COURT: You have been in the custody of the bailiffs all this time.

MR. SHEELY: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you talked to anyone who has not been a juror or a bailiff?

all?

MR. SHEELY: The waitress at the hotel.

THE COURT: But not about the case.

MR. SHEELY: Not about the case.

THE COURT: Did you talk to anybody about the case at

MR. SHEELY: No.

THE COURT: All right. Now, one of the things that
I have under consideration, I have not made any decision
one way or the other, is the possibility of removing the
sequestration order for the jury so you would be permitted to
go home during the balance of the trial, although in all
probability you will be sequestered during the actual
deliberation phase of the penalty at the end of the penalty
phase, although that is not certain either.

But in all probability I would think that I would want the jury sequestered during the deliberations.

Now, if you were permitted to go home every day and spend the night with your family, would that solve your problem?

Before you answer, let me point out one thing to you:

While we do have four alternate jurors, or did before Mrs. Kampman was called in this morning to replace you, none of those alternate jurors participated in the deliberations during the guilt phase which led up to the verdicts and convictions, so that if a regular juror is

21

22

23

24

2

4

5

6·

8

10

11 12

13

·14.

15

16

1Ż

18

Ĭ9

20

21

22

23

25

26

excused now and replaced with an alternate juror, that alternate juror would not have participated, regardless of which alternate, he would not have participated in the deliberations at the end of the guilt phase with respect to it.

So he would be in a different position with respect to the remaining jurors.

Now, another thing that you should bear in mind, of course, is that even if you are excused and you go home, you have a job to go to, you told me this morning you were with the Pacific Telephone, so you are only going to be with your family during the evening and early morning.

MR. SHEELY: I made arrangements with my boss to have a vacation immediately after I got out.

THE COURT: Of course that would make a difference, but if that could be delayed to the end of the penalty phase, then there wouldn't be much difference between being on the jury and unsequestered and going back to your job.

MR. SHEELY: I would be allowed to go home weekends, too?

THE COURT: Oh, yes, there wouldn't be any more sequestration for any of the jurors if the order is made, unless I decided to sequester the jury again after the arguments and instructions, only for the deliberation phase of the penalty case.

Do you understand what I am saying?

7a-1

1 2

ż

4

5

7

8

10

ļ1 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

MR. SHEELY: Right.

THE COURT: But until that time all the jurors would just go home every night and come back the next day.

MR. SHEELY: I see. When would this be effective?

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to have to make up my
mind here pretty quick.

I would say sometime in the next day or so.

MR. SHEELY: If it were in the next day or so, that would solve my problem.

THE COURT: It means you would have to defer your vacation for probably several weeks, but other than that I don't see you'd be in any different position than if you just went off the jury entirely and went back to work, right?

MR. SHEELY: I have no objection to that.

THE COURT: I am not -- if I make the order releasing the jury from sequestration -- I want you to know I am not doing it just because of you.

MR. SHEELY: Right.

THE COURT: That is one consideration, but I have had the matter under consideration for a week or so now, as the attorneys know, because I mentioned it to them last week.

This is long before I knew you had any problem.

MR. SHEELY: Well, say for instance I was to go ahead and stick around and you decided not to release the jury; that they would be sequestered still.

THE COURT: No. I won't ask you to stay until I have

7a-2

1

2 3

ă:

,•

. 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13.

14 15

16

17

18

19

03

22

23

24

25

26

made that decision. In other words --

MR. SHEELY: I see.

THE COURT: On the basis of what you told me this morning, unless you have changed your mind --

MR. SHEELY: No.

THE COURT: I was persuaded this morning by what you told me that you had good cause to be excused.

Of course I would like very much, if it isn't necessary for the reasons already mentioned, the fact that you have been on the regular jury during the guilt phase --

MR. SHEELY: I would be agreeable to that as long as there is no sequestration.

THE COURT: Well, all right. Then may I ask that you remain with the jury until I have made that decision. I will make it in the next -- I will probably make it this afternoon, certainly no later than tomorrow.

MR. SHEELY: All right.

THE COURT: Thanks very much, Mr. Sheely, I appreciate your coming back and I wanted to explain why you were asked to come back this afternoon.

MR. SHEELY: Okay, thank you.

THE COURT: The matter was still under consideration.

(Mr. Sheely leaves the chambers of the court.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Does the Court want some time to itself to contemplate?

It is a big decision.

THE COURT: Does anyone wish to be heard on the matter of sequestration?

MR. BUGLIOSI: The People withdraw our objection to the sequestration withdrawsl.

MR. FITZGERALD: I made the motion to sequester this jury originally, and I am going to stick with it.

I am opposed to allowing the withdrawal of the sequestration. I think it will be catastrophic if it happens.

7b-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

8.

10

11

13

, 12

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23

25

24

26

THE COURT: In what way?

MR. FITZGERALD: I think they will be harassed and intimidated by the media.

I think they are going to be exposed to devastating trial publicity.

We have gone this far, protected them and insulated them.

MR. KAY: Of course last week when we objected you said you had no objection.

MR. FITZGERALD: I did not, Mr. Kay.

MR. KAY: Are you sure about that?

MR. FITZGERALD: I am positive. I did not say anything.

I see the problem. I am sympathetic with the jurors, but I did not create this publicity to begin with; somebody else created this publicity and made the sequestration necessary.

It is not my problem; it is not my fault.

MR. KANAREK: I join with Mr. Fitzgerald's comments and I would like to point out to the Court Cooper vs. Superior Court where the Supreme Court makes the point that things which happen at a certain time in a trial, which might be okay at some other time, at certain critical times are prejudicial.

I submit at this time, your Honor, at this time in history so to speak, as far as this case goes, for your

1. 2 3 5 6 at this time. 8 9 10 i1 changed your mind. 12 13 Honor. 14 15 16 is why we have trials. 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honor to remove the sequestration is an act which is such that the Defendent Menson would be denied a fair trial. and I do oppose, based upon the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, Mr. Manson's right to a fair trial under California law, I do oppose removing the sequestration

THE COURT: Well. I'm certainly glad to hear you say that. Mr. Kanarek, because you have told me so many times during this trial that the sequestration was ineffective .. that I am delighted to find that you have

MR. KANAREK: I have asked for a voir dire, your

I don't claim to be omniscient. I am not a mind reader. That is what we have hearings for; that

I have asked for hearings to talk to the people to see if they have heard or if snything has affected their mind.

I cannot make up evidence, your Hopor, that is what I asked for, I have asked for voir dire time after time.

I have said I believe that in certain mituetions that it would be humanly impossible with mass communications what they are, with the conjugal visits

5

б

7

8

11 12

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

what they are, conjugal visits were, I think, even mentioned today, perhaps, I think, by the prosecution, I'm not sure.

But the point is, there are these factors that we are dealing with, of flesh and blood people, and the only way you can find things out, that is why we have voir dire hearings.

Your Honor has refused repeatedly to have a voir dire hearing. That, I think, is the point.

I think your Honor will agree with me, I hope your Honor will agree with me that this is what I have asked for, is hearings to determine their state of mind from time to time, and your Honor has refused those hearings.

I say, your Honor --

THE COURT: Let's not prolong it, I know what you said and you know what you said, Mr. Kanarek. We don't have to go over it again.

MR. KANAREK: If I may very briefly, I think a mistrial, your Honor --

THE COURT: I don't want to hear any more.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: Motions do not get any better by repeating them a dozen times.

MR. KANAREK: The purpose is to convince the Court, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let you gentlemen go back out. I want to do a little reading myself and thinking

about the problem.

1

, ธั

6.

7

10

11

12

13

14

, 15

16

17

18:

19

ŽÔ

As far as Mr. Sheely is concerned I can find no reason why that order should not be vacated if there were a change and the jury were released from sequestration.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Manson is in jeopardy in connection with Mrs. --

Certainly we can argue that he is in leopardy in connection with the lady who is sworn in as the alternate He is in jeopardy in connection with that.

THE COURT: He has been in jeopardy for quite a while.

I understand that, your Honor, that is MR. KANAREK: why we have due process, your Honor, your Honor made an order and swore in a new juror. At the present time it is my believe your Honor is without jurisdiction.

THE COURT: That has been said now; you don't have to repeat that either.

All right, gentlemen, I will let you know when I am ready to make my decision.

fls.

21

22

23

24

25

26

All counsel present. Defendants absent.)

2 3

THE COURT: All counsel are present.

5

б

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

. 23 24

25

26

that should be in the record.

THE COURT: I don't know anything about a doctor. The male nurse in the Hall of Justice Jail Clinic took her

Well, gentlemen, I have given the matter as much thought as I can. I have concluded that the jury should be released from sequestration.

The following proceedings occur in chambers.

That Mr. Sheely, in light of what he stated here in chambers in front of you gentlemen, that he would be able to proceed in that event, should remain as a regular juror, and the order excusing him should be vacated.

I think also that since many of these jurors have accumulated quite a bit of personal items, and so forth, in their hotel rooms, that they should have an opportunity to get all of their personal property and effects out of the room tomorrow and get settled at home again. So. I would propose to recess until Thursday morning to give them a day to go back and meet their families again and get straightened around.

MR. BUGLIOST For the record, your Honor, I heard that the alternate juror. Mrs. Kampman, was examined by some doctor and that the doctor concluded that apparently she would not be able to serve because of health reasons.

If the Court has information on that, I think

blood pressure. She has high blood pressure at the moment. I don't know anything about any doctor. 8. 11, 12. 15: 2Ï .26

9-1

2 '

1

3

4

Ż

8

9

10

11

12, 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2Ó

21 22

23

24 25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: I heard from someone that there was a danger of heart attack if she were going to serve on the jury, but I guess that was erroneous information.

THE COURT: What is the source of your information, Mr. Bugliosi? You know something I don't know.

I just talked to the male nurse here not 15 minutes ago.

MR. KAY: You must have first-hand information then.

MR. KANAREK: I move for an evidentiary hearing,
your Honor. This is all hearsay and without sworn testimony
we cannot tell anything.

I move for an evidentiary hearing concerning this lady's purported condition and even if that were so, we have other alternates.

THE COURT: That is something that I will be concerned with, Mr. Kanarek, her physical condition, and if she requires medical assistance I assure you she will get it.

MR. KANARK: She is presently part of the regular jury hearing the penalty phase, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else, gentlemen, before we bring the jury down.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We are going to recess right now, then?

THE COURT: Yes, I think once I make the announcement, their minds will be elsewhere.

MR. KAY: I can imagine.

THE COURT: Mrs. Kampman is able to come down all right

2

4.

5

6 7 -

-8:

OÉ

11

12 13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20 21

22:

23 24

25

26

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor is not granting a hearing as to her alleged physical condition?

THE COURT: If she is ill, Mr. Kanarek, we will have medical attention for her.

What would you propose, we get in 12 doctors and have a medical conference?

If she does not feel good all she has to do is say so and we will have her examined.

MR. KANAREK: Then I gather your Honor is not going to grant a hearing at this time with regard to her physical condition.

THE COURT: I am not.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion for a mistrial in connection with what your Honor is doing as to the sequestration.

THE COURT: The motion will be denied.

MR. FITZGERALD: I would like to join in that motion.

Also I want to make sure that the record reflects that I am objecting to your Honor vacating the order with regard to Mr. Sheely.

My contention is the Court has lost jurisdiction.

You are simply placing a juror back in who has no standing whatsoever.

The defendants have been denied a right to trial by jury of 12 people. He has been dismissed.

9-3

Ť

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

let's review the facts.

You heard

You heard the gentleman's statement. Now, if you want to ask him some more questions as to what he did this morning after I excused him, if you don't believe what he said, which was that he has been in the custody of the bailiff --

THE COURT: Before you get dramatic, Mr. Fitzgerald,

MR. FITZGERALD: I believe that.

THE COURT: -- the entire time --

MR. FITZGERALD: I believe that.

THE COURT: -- except he said he talked to a waitress at lunch about a matter not involving this case.

Apart from that he has been with the jury and the bailiffs the entire time; in fact, he hasn't been with the jury. I believe he's been kept separately.

MR. FITZGERALD: I agree, I agree with that.

THE COURT: No minute order has been entered, no order has been entered, nothing has occurred, no evidence has been taken since then, nothing has occurred in front of the jury since then.

MR. FITZGERALD: If you don't want to let me be heard about the minutes.

But the fact that it isn't in the minutes happens to be the peculiar way your Honor's Clerk takes minutes.

Your Honor's Clerk informed me he does not enter things in the minutes until the end of the court's day.

20

21

22

23°

24

25

26

THE COURT: That is the way things are done.

MR: FITZGERALD: Not in any other court I have ever been in.

THE COURT: That is the way it happens in the Superior Court of this county.

The minutes are entered down in the Clerk's office.

MR. FITZGERALD: The situation is analogous to a jury bringing in a verdict of not guilty.

Once the verdict is recorded the Court loses jurisdiction to change the result or let the jury redeliberate.

I think it is the same thing.

This happened at 11:40 this morning. Your Honor released Mr. Sheely and swore in the alternate juror.

Now, when I talked to your Clerk during the noon hour he had it all written out on a sheet of yellow paper.

Now, if he wants to delay that for four years, and not put it in the minutes, it seems to me that is just some sort of a form or substance.

In fact the matter happened. There was plenty of time to have it recorded in the minutes of the court, and the Court --

Could your Honor then reverse himself tomorrow morning and the following morning? Once the magic words are said, these are one of the few areas in the law --

20

21

22

23

24

25

9a-1

9

3

4

6

7

'g

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

.21

22 23

24 25

26

THE COURT: I don't agree with you, Mr. Fitzgerald.

I don't think there are any such magic word situations like this. We are not dealing with verdicts.

MR. FITZGERALD: The way the record looks, like you bargained away sequestration in order to save Mr. Sheely.

THE COURT; You know better than that because I talked to you about this subject last week.

MR. FITZGERALD: I know you talked to us, you said you would not make a decision that is rash and you wanted our feelings and you encouraged discussions.

THE COURT; Mr. Sheely's situation is one of the factors that I am considering today because it is a factor, certainly, no question about it.

Mrs. Kampman's physical condition is another factor
I am considering along with other factors, the same factors
I considered last week. I am not bargaining anything away.

MR. BUGLIOSI: With respect to the Court saying Mrs. Kampman's physical condition is a factor, because of that and because of the issue on appeal, it seems to me it might be advisable to have someone examine her just for the record to show that apparently she does have high blood pressure.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with 1t?

If she is ill, she is ill. We can't change that.

It may well be she won't be able to serve at all, I don't know.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court indicated this was a factor 1 the Court took into consideration. Ż I am saying for an appeal it might be ---3 THE COURT: The fact that she was under some indis-4 position, if that phrase is more melodious to your ears, Mr. Bugliosi, it seems she was feeling light-headed in court 6 this morning. MR. BUGLIOSI: And she did pass out. THE COURT: That is what we are talking about, isn't 9 it? 10 MR. BUGLIOSI: Does the record reflect that she did 11 12 pass out? MR. SHINN: | May I make a motion? - 13 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: I just asked a question here. 15 Does the record reflect that she passed out in 16 court? I don't think so, 17 MR. FITZGERALD: 18 MR. BUGLIOSI: May the record so reflect that she passed 19 out in court? 20 MR. FITZGERALD: Why don't you give a more accurate 21 description? I will defer to you. 22 MR. BUGLIOSI: Why don't you try it? 23 MR. FITZGERALD: As she was being sworn she apparently 24 said something to the Court, the effect of which was, "I am 25 dizzy." 26 I did not hear that. What she said to me THE COURT:

10=

that I heard was, "May I speak with you, your Honor?"

I thought she wanted to talk about some matter of procedure, and I said, "No, I'm afraid not."

And I told the clerk to go ahead and swear her.

I did not realize she was talking about a physical condition. She had just come down with the jury.

MR. FITZGERALD: The reporter has it.

THE COURT: Perhaps so, but I did not hear it.

MR. FITZGERALD: Whereupon, my recollection is that she was a little teetery, she started to sway a little, whereupon assistance was immediately provided by two deputy sheriffs and she was seated, whereupon she almost collapsed. She went limp in the chair.

MR. BUGLIOSI; She did faint, is that correct?

MR. FITZGERALD: And I saw one of the bailiffs give her an ammonia cassette -- smelling salts -- to revive her and she appeared to be unconscious, yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: People concur with Mr. Fitzgerald's appraisal of what he saw.

10-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8.

9.

10. 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

MR. KAY: Also, that she appeared to be unconscious for a number of minutes.

MR. KEITH: A number of minutes is going a little far, but she was unconscious.

MR. KAY: Two or three.

MR. KANAREK: There is no question about it, the record reveals that she was sworn in, she did utter the words after the clerk gave her the oath. She was sworn in and it was only after she was sworn in that --

THE COURT: I am sure, Mr. Kanarek, that if she had remained as a regular juror that you would claim that she didn't understand the oath that was given to her.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, the fact of the matter is that sometimes things happen and you just have to declare a result.

And it seems like in this case everybody would be better served if we declared a mistrial as to this penalty phase rather than proceed.

THE COURT: Save your breath, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: And I do make that motion.

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, then we will resume in court and I will inform the jury.

I might as well read the admonition that I am going to give them to you here so that if you have any comments you can make them now.

I will give them this admonition today

after I let them know that they are going to be able to go home:

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding penalty until that question is finally submitted to you.

Do not visit the scene of any of the crimes for which the defendants have been convicted.

Do not read, watch or listen to any news media presentation relating to this case, or allow yourself to be influenced in your decision in this case by anything except the evidence introduced during this trial, in accordance with the Court's instructions to you.

Do not converse with anyone on any aspect of your service as a juror in this case.

This admonition includes, of course, conversation to members of the news media, friends, neighbors, your families, as well as any other person.

Does anybody have any comments or additions, subtractions, changes?

MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I don't want to waste my time or yours, but I suggest -- and I know what your Honor's position is -- that you had better order the media to leave them alone because if you don't they will camp on their doorstep.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I would agree with Mr. Fitzgerald.

Although the Court perhaps doesn't have any power

over the media, certainly an urging by the Court might have some value.

MR. MUSICH: It can't do any harm.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, it can't do any harm, for whatever it is worth, I think, if the Court addresses the media.

MR. KANAREK: I agree that it can't do any harm.

I do join in that request.

But I ask that your Honor issue -- pardon me -Iask that your Honor issue an order wherein your Honor is
ordering the media not to.

la fis.

12 13

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

-20

21

7.-

23 24

25

26

10a-1

1

2 '

3 4.

5

Ģ

7

8 .

9

10

Ħ

12

13 *3*

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

I believe your Honor has plenty of authority to do that based upon Shepard vs. Maxwell, and Redeau vs. Louisiana, and the Billy Sol Estes case.

THE COURT: I don't think there is anything in those that says that, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: If your Honor --

THE COURT: Controlling the source is one thing but controlling the media is another.

MR. KANAREK: Well, controlling the media, this is where the contempt lies, your Honor.

Your Honor has the contempt power.

THE COURT: I am not necessarily disagreeing with what you are saying in the abstract. All I am saying is that I don't think the Court, at this juncture, has the power.

In any event --

MR. KANAREK: What harm would there be to making your order and letting those Spring Street lawyers come in here and say that what your Honor is doing is illegal? What harm is there, if we want to preserve it? Let them prove to the Court that it is illegal.

Why should your Honor begin with the fact that it is illegal?

I think your Honor has the power --

THE COURT: I will tell you. I will give consideration to this. I have thought of it many times.

Why don't you draft a proposed form of order to

consider any carefully-worded draft. MR. KANAREK: But the point is that your Honor is going out there right now. THE COURT: This doesn't have to be done today. 6 It can be done tomorrow; MR. KANAREK: Tomorrow will be too late, your Honor. THE COURT: No. They are not going to be released 9 tonight. They are not going home tonight. 10 They are not going to be released until tomorrow. 11 The order removing sequestration will be effective tomorrow. 12 MR. KANAREK: At what time tomorrow? 13 THE COURT: Tomorrow morning. 14 MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, if we are recessed until 15 Thursday, how will the media be here to be personally 16 informed? 17 You see, how are they going to be informed, as 18 a mechanical matter, your Honor? I gather they are going to be released physically 20tomorrow morning from the Ambassador Hotel; is that correct? 21 THE COURT: That's right. 22 MR. KANAREK: Then the media, in that interim period, 23 would not be under any order. Now, I think that just like your Honor can order 25 a witness back, I think your Honor has the power to talk to

the media, because I obviously can't put it in generalized

form. It has to be carefully worded, and I will carefully

those people that are in the courtroom there and order them, under the power of contempt of court, have them stand up, each one of them, and have them enunciate their names, and say: I order you to stay away from these jurors.

If that order is invalid, let them try to violate it or violate it, and then let's see if your Honor may be

convinced that there is ample authority for it.

I think that if you balance the equities here --

Ì5

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Again, Mr. Kanarek, I appreciate your interest and zeal in this matter, and I can assure you that I have given the same problem a lot of thought throughout the course of this trial and in other trials.

To my knowledge, no court in California -- for that matter, the United States -- has ever successfully issued such an order.

MR. KANAREK: Can't you order them away physically, to stay away from the jurors, your Honor?

In other words, if we can't talk to the jurors, your Honor can certainly make the order that they stay physically removed.

THE COURT: I think the contempt power would remain if they attempted in any way to interfere with the orderly progress of the trial by harassing a juror or doing any one of a number of things that they could do without the necessity of any other order.

MR. KANAREK: What harm would there be, your Honor, in ordering the people in the courtroom --

THE COURT: You prepare an order, Mr. Kanarek, along the lines that you are talking about and I promise you that I will give it careful consideration.

MR. KANAREK: I will prepare an order but by that time it will be Thursday morning.

I am saying right now that those people in the courtroom your Honor can verbalize the order to them to stay

2:

3

4

5 6

Ä

8

9:

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

.24

25

away from the jury.

THE COURT: What I have done, in effect, is to order the jurous to stay away from them.

MR. KANAREK: It should be bilateral.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court doesn't have to issue an order now. You can ask these people to stay away from the jurors. On Thursday morning, if the Court decides to issue an order, you can order them at that time.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, you can ask them.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right now you can ask them just for their cooperation.

THE COURT: I don't think I will do anything right now, gentlemen.

MR. KANAREK: I can see no harm in your Honor ordering them to stay away, and, as a practical matter, it will have an effect because those people think they are immune from the law, your Honor, and if your Honor did it, I think there are numbers of them that would stay away, great numbers.

I think they all would, as a practical matter, because they are not interested in probably going to jail for five days.

MR. MUSICH: It might help the jurbrs themselves to advise them that if they were to be talked to, not harassed but questioned, by a newspaper man, to say: Well, you know, I was told not to say anything, and you were told not

to say anything. ŀ MR. KANAREK: Yes. Both of them were told. 2 The prosecution agrees with us. 3 I don't see any harm in it. 4 MR. MUSICH: I can't see any harm in it. 5 I think that the press are aware that they are 6 over at the hotel, and they have already tried to get shold 7 of Mr. Sheely. 8 THE COURT: I don't understand what you are saying. 9 MR. BUGLIOSI: They called him at home. 10 MR. MUSICH: Yes. The press was even looking for 11 Mr. Sheely. 12 MR. BUGLIOSI: His wife got several phone calls from 13 members of the press already. 14 I don't know who told me that. 15 Who told me that? 16 THE COURT: All right, gentlemen. 17 MR. KANAREK: Will your Honor so order the press, the 18 mass media, the members of the mass media? 19 THE COURT: No, I will not make any such order at 20 this time. 21 MR. KANAREK: Then I make a motion for mistrial. May 22° I make a motion for a mistrial? 23 MR. FITZGERALD: Join. 24 MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on that? 25 THE COURT: Denied. fls. 26

11-1

1

2 in the presence and hearing of the jury, all defendants and

s all counsel being present:)

6 news for you.

7

15

18

20

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

(The following proceedings were had in open court

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I have some good

After conferring at length with counsel today I 8 have decided to vacate the order sequestering the jury.

This means that you will be permitted to go home tomorrow and the Court will recess until Thursday morning, the day after tomorrow.

Sometime tomorrow you will be informed as to 13 where the jury will assemble each day for transportation to 14 and from court.

This morning Mr. Sheely was excused as a juror. 16 and after further consultation with Mr. Sheely and all counsel that order excusing Mr. Sheely is vacated.

Mr. Sheely will remain as a regular juror, and 19 Mrs. Kampman will remain as an alternate juror.

There is one other aspect of the case before I excuse you for today that I want to make sure that you fully appreciate, although I think you certainly do by this time, and that is the question of conversations with other people regarding the case until you are finally excused. permitting yourselves to be influenced now that you are going home, by other things, news media, conversations with wellmeaning friends, neighbors, families, and so forth.

Ś

.6.

It may not be as easy as it seems when you are sequestered to avoid these things, but it is equally, if not more, important now than it has been.

So I am going to read to you an expanded version of the admonition you have been hearing now for about eight months. I am sure you can recite it by heart if I ask you to, but this is a little different version, and part of it is also directed to the news media and I hope they will stay long enough to hear it.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the penalty until that question is finally submitted to you.

Do not visit the scene of any of the crimes for which the defendants have been convicted.

Do not read, watch or listen to any news media presentation relating to this case, or allow yourselves to be influenced in your decision in this case by anything except the evidence introduced during this trial, and then only in accordance with the Court's instructions to you.

Do not converse with anyone on any aspect of your service as a juror in this case, and this goes to things other than the evidence and other than the penalty itself.

This would include such things as your deliberations during the guilt phase or your complaints or criticisms of the way the case has been handled or the

23

24

25

26

personnel involved, any of the aspects of the case other than the things I have already mentioned.

This admonition includes, of course, conversations with members of the news media, friends, neighbors, your family as well as any other persons.

And finally the Court urges the news media representatives not to try to contact any of the jurors or their families.

The jurors are under an express order of the Court not to discuss any aspect of the case with anyone, and you only make their jobs more difficult if you try to get around that order.

We will recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen, as I say, until Thursday morning, the exact time and place where you are to meet will be given to you tomorrow and I know you will be glad to get back to your families.

If what I have said regarding your going home is not explicitly clear, let me repeat it once more.

The order will be vacated effective tomorrow so you will remain sequestered tonight.

The reason we are recessing tomorrow is to give you an opportunity to get personal effects home and get settled again before you have to come back.

So I will see you Thursday.

(Whereupon, an adjournment was taken to reconvene Thursday, February 18, 1971.)