

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS,  
LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

30

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

Tuesday, July 28, 1970

A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

AARON H. STOVITZ and  
VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,  
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For Linda Kasabian:

GARY FLEISCHMAN, Esq.  
RONALD L. GOLDMAN, Esq.

VOLUME 30

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES

4862 to 5003

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,  
Official Reporters

COPY

I N D E X

PEOPLE 'S WITNESSES:

DIRECT

KASABIAN, Linda

4881

(Continued)

E X H I B I T S

PEOPLE 'S:

FOR IDENTIFICATION

36 - Photograph

4935

37 - Photograph of female Caucasian

4995



1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, JULY 28, 1970

2 9:08 o'clock a.m.

3 - - - - -

4 (The following proceedings were had in open  
5 court, all defendants and their counsel being present,  
6 Mr. Bugliosi, Mr. Stovitz, Mr. Goldman and Mr. Fleischman  
7 also being present.)

8 THE COURT: All parties and counsel are present.

9 Mr. Fleischman and Mr. Goldman are also  
10 present.

11 Do you wish to be heard further, Mr. Fitzgerald,  
12 on this subject?

13 This is the continuation of the hearing on  
14 the motion to quash which commenced yesterday morning.

15 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, I would simply request that  
16 at this time your Honor order into effect the subpoena  
17 duces tecum right now on file, directing Mr. Goldman  
18 and Mr. Fleischman to turn over the directed documents  
19 to counsel.

20 I believe Linda Kasabian has already testi-  
21 fied. She indicated she has given up her right to  
22 self-incrimination in order to:

23 (a) Tell the truth, and:

24 (b) Receive immunity.

25 MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, I think that:

26 (a) First of all it is still premature.

1 (b) What has transpired since yesterday has  
2 in no way affected either of the privileges in question.  
3 The documents, if there are any such documents that are  
4 being asked for, No. 1, still are not specified. If  
5 they relate to any conversations that have been had  
6 between Mr. Fleischman, myself and our client, outside  
7 of any other person's presence, they are still privileged  
8 under the lawyer-client basis.

9 At this moment she is still a defendant in  
10 the case, therefore under the arrangements that are had,  
11 the promise of immunity, and so forth, it is possible,  
12 as long as it is only a promise, that she can be  
13 prosecuted in this matter; therefore the privilege against  
14 self-incrimination still would lie as against anything  
15 that has not otherwise been testified to.

16 She only testified to certain preliminary  
17 matters. I think as far as the documents that are  
18 called for, first of all the subpoenas are a little  
19 broad and, second of all, the privileges still apply.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

2 fls.

1 MR. KANAREK: May I address the Court, your Honor?

2 THE COURT: Well, I don't see how you are involved  
3 in this, Mr. Kanarek. These are not your subpoenas.

4 MR. KANAREK: But we are joining in, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: In what?

6 MR. KANAREK: In the request for the subpoena duces  
7 tecum.

8 THE COURT: I don't know of any such procedure.  
9 You have no subpoenas involved in this case.

10 Mr. Fitzgerald is perfectly capable of arguing  
11 his own motion.

12 MR. KANAREK: Certainly. I thought Mr. Fitzgerald  
13 had finished.

14 THE COURT: It seems to me, Mr. Fitzgerald, that  
15 the same problem exists; that is, that the motion is  
16 premature.

17 While Mrs. Kasabian has testified to some  
18 things, and to that extent has waived her privilege, your  
19 subpoena does not, by its terms, limit the request to just  
20 those matters but would also cover matters as to which the  
21 privilege still exists, and I see no other alternative but  
22 to either deny or grant the motion or continue the matter  
23 pending further testimony by her.

24 MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor --

25 MR. FITZGERALD: May the matter be continued then  
26 until her direct testimony is concluded or until such



1 time as she testifies as to events that occurred on  
2 August the 8th and 9th in reference to Counts I through VII  
3 in the indictment, referring to the homicides, and Count  
4 VIII of the indictment, which refers to the conspiracy that  
5 took place on the 8th and 9th of August, 1969?

6 THE COURT: Do you have a date that you are  
7 suggesting?

8 MR. FITZGERALD: Could I confer with the District  
9 Attorney just briefly?

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MR. FLEISCHMAN: May I address the Court just  
12 briefly?

13 If what we are limiting ourselves to are notes  
14 or documents given to either of us during conversations  
15 that our client had with the District Attorney, I can maybe  
16 save some time.

17 I was present during all, I believe, of the  
18 five or six meetings that Mrs. Kasabian had with  
19 Mr. Bugliosi, and I have no notes, nor was anything given  
20 to me.

21 So, if they are only talking about recordings  
22 or notes in my possession at the time of conversations  
23 with the prosecutor in this case, no such thing exists.

24 We may be wasting time.  
25  
26

2a-1

1 THE COURT: The subpoena is not so limited, as you  
2 know.

3 MR. FLEISCHMAN: Well, I thought yesterday that he  
4 had said he did not want from me all conversations that  
5 took place but only anything I have that is in writing.

6 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, before --

7 THE COURT: But it is not limited to those matters  
8 which occurred when the District Attorney was present.  
9 As I read the subpoena, it would cover matters that  
10 occurred when perhaps only you and Mrs. Kasabian were  
11 present.

12 MR. FLEISCHMAN: I think, in any event, that would  
13 be privileged.

14 THE COURT: Of course, that is what this proceeding  
15 is all about.

16 MR. GOLDMAN: I think, your Honor, we have to  
17 realize that if the request is made for matters that  
18 were discussed when only our client and her attorneys,  
19 Mr. Fleischman and myself, were present, regardless of  
20 what happens in this courtroom and regardless of whether  
21 or not she is granted immunity as has been promised,  
22 nevertheless, any attempt to impeach her through  
23 discussions that we have had with her cannot be sought  
24 because the attorney-client privilege is going to  
25 protect that.

26 There is always the possibility, and our

2a-2

1 agreements with the District Attorney's Office, for  
2 instance, say that she must testify to the truth, now,  
3 if they are trying to impeach her through conversations  
4 between her attorney and client, that might expose her,  
5 conceivably, as a theoretical matter anyway, to some  
6 further action on the part of the District Attorney's  
7 Office.

8 I don't think that there is any way that  
9 conversations that have been wholly between our client  
10 and ourselves with no other person present, and matters  
11 that are not brought out here in this courtroom, that  
12 she has not otherwise waived, can in any way be discovered  
13 by the other defendants in this case. The privilege is  
14 going to be good and will die with our client.

15 THE COURT: Your contention is that she cannot  
16 waive it?

17 MR. GOLDMAN: She can waive the privilege but we  
18 cannot waive it for her. The privilege cannot be whittled  
19 away. If she has not waived the privilege as to those  
20 conversations which have taken place only between Mr.  
21 Fleischman, myself and her, and if she has not waived  
22 that specific part of it, then that must be held  
23 inviolate.

24 Any matters that have been discussed with  
25 Mr. Bugliosi, for instance, and come through her  
26 testimony might be a different matter, but discussions



1 other from that, I think, still are covered by the  
2 privilege and clearly covered by the privilege.

3 She cannot be attacked or exposed to further  
4 problems or possibilities of prosecution later on because  
5 somebody is invading the attorney-client privilege and  
6 seeking information, seeking discussions, and seeking our  
7 advice to her or her discussions with us, discussions  
8 that we had prior to the time that we had secured our  
9 agreements with the District Attorney's Office, for  
10 instance, or discussions that we have had after the  
11 agreements which have taken place which have been solely  
12 between attorney and client.

13 These have nothing to do with these other  
14 matters.

2b fls. 15

-1  
AR  
1 If we represent her in other matters, for  
2 instance, can that be disclosed here?

3 Mr. Fleischman did represent her, in fact, in  
4 another matter prior to the time that this case came about.  
5 If he gave her advice, or if she made disclosures at that  
6 time, clearly that is covered by the attorney-client  
7 privilege, and no lawsuit or anything that she testified to  
8 can invade those discussions.

9 THE COURT: Well, you may be right. I am open to  
10 receive any citations of authorities from either side on  
11 this point.

12 MR. FITZGERALD: I think the subpoena itself,  
13 Paragraph 1, the last sentence: "Attorney Gary B.  
14 Fleischman pertaining to the above-entitled case by  
15 Linda Kasabian." Certainly we are not interested in  
16 obtaining records of any conversations that Mr. Fleischman  
17 or Mr. Goldman had with Mrs. Kasabian concerning some other  
18 case. We are not interested in any other criminal or  
19 civil action in which they represent Mrs. Kasabian.

20 We are only directing the subpoena for records  
21 and memoranda of conversations pertaining to this case, the  
22 above-entitled case, A-253,156.  
23  
24  
25  
26

3-1

1 THE COURT: I think that is what he is saying, not-  
2 withstanding it may be the same case that she cannot be  
3 impeached, or that, rather, the conversations that she  
4 had with her attorneys are not discoverable, notwithstanding  
5 that what was said therein may be consistent or inconsistent  
6 or totally false, for that matter.

7 MR. FITZGERALD: I understand what he is saying.  
8 I mean, we contend that she is a witness for the prosecution  
9 and that if there are prior inconsistent or consistent  
10 statements, for that matter, that exist, we are entitled  
11 to them.

12 I think it is a fiction that she is a client  
13 and they are her lawyers in this situation.

14 THE COURT: As a witness she is entitled to her own  
15 attorney just as any other witness or any other person is  
16 entitled to be represented by counsel.

17 MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct.

18 THE COURT: The fact that she is called as a witness  
19 by someone else in the case does not remove her attorney-  
20 client privilege.

21 MR. FITZGERALD: However, once she takes the witness  
22 stand and testifies -- in what case do they represent her?

23 She is not a defendant in case No. A-253,156,  
24 at the time she is going to be granted immunity.

25 THE COURT: The point is not what case they represent  
26 her, but whether they represent her at all.



1           There doesn't have to be a case. She can still  
2 consult counsel and be entitled to the attorney-client  
3 privilege.

4           I would like to see some authority on this  
5 point.

6           It would appear that the privilege is not  
7 waived by her merely testifying, notwithstanding that she  
8 may have said something to her attorneys which is on the  
9 same subject.

10          MR. FITZGERALD: I reviewed and did some research,  
11 and I reviewed the applicable cases and I could not find a  
12 case in point on either side of the issue.

13          But I think clearly, your Honor has the  
14 authority to grant, if not by way of subpoena duces tecum,  
15 by way of the Court's inherent power in regard to discovery.

16          THE COURT: Of course I think one of the difficulties,  
17 Mr. Fitzgerald, is that the subpoena is so broad that  
18 while it may be good as to some things, it is not good as to  
19 others.

20          Of course, that is your problem.

21          MR. FITZGERALD: Of course that is my problem.  
22 But when you don't know what you are looking for you cannot  
23 be very precise.

24          If I knew what they knew, I could be very  
25 precise.

26          THE COURT: For example, if your subpoena were

1 limited to matters which were discussed when some third  
2 party who is not necessary to the attorney-client relation-  
3 ship was present, then I think you have clearly the right to  
4 discover those matters, whatever they were.

5 But it is not so limited.

6 MR. FITZGERALD: I think as officers of the Court,  
7 I certainly don't have any reason to distrust these gentle-  
8 men. They have represented to the Court that they have no  
9 such notices of conversations when third parties were  
10 present.

11 Is that correct, gentlemen?

12 MR. GOLDMAN: That is correct in my case.

13 MR. FLEISCHMAN: That is correct in my case.

14 THE COURT: Well, do you wish to continue the matter  
15 to a date certain?

16 MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. May it go to Thursday morning?  
17 I just discussed the matter with Mr. Bugliosi and he  
18 suggested to me that it's likely that Linda Kasabian will  
19 be on the witness stand on her direct examination today and  
20 probably the balance of tomorrow.

21 THE COURT: All right, we will continue.

22 MR. GOLDMAN: With respect to that, I would  
23 anticipate that Miss Kasabian's testimony would probably  
24 last out through Friday.

25 Thursday morning is the only morning I have  
26 another commitment. Mr. Fleischman will be here all alone.

1                   MR. KANAREK: <sup>if</sup> /this could go over to Friday morning  
2 I would be appreciative.

3                   THE COURT: Is that agreeable, Mr. Fitzgerald?

4                   MR. FITZGERALD: That is agreeable.

5                   On the other hand, <sup>if</sup> /Mr. Goldman wants to absent  
6 himself on Thursday, I have no objection to the matter  
7 being heard as to Mr. Fleischman only.

8                   The same issues are involved.

9                   MR. GOLDMAN: I would prefer Friday morning if it is  
10 not an inconvenience to counsel.

11                  THE COURT: All right, Friday, July 31st, at 9:00  
12 a.m. for a continuation of the hearing on the motion to  
13 quash.



3a-1

1 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, before your Honor departs  
2 completely from that subject I would like to refer the  
3 Court to People vs. Walter, 27 Cal. App. 2d 583.

4 That case makes it mandatory that the District  
5 Attorney either fish or cut bait.

6 Now, this is a little different point --

7 THE COURT: I don't want to hear this argument,  
8 Mr. Kanarek; you are not involved in this --

9 MR. KANAREK: This is a different motion.

10 This is a motion to either grant the immunity--  
11 the case is clear, your Honor, it says that this procedure  
12 they are doing intimidates the witness.

13 THE COURT: If you want to make such a motion, put  
14 it in writing, as I have indicated before, and support it  
15 with points and authorities.

16 MR. KANAREK: The point is it is going to happen  
17 right this morning. We have -- and Mr. Manson is entitled--

18 THE COURT: I am going to another subject now, Mr.  
19 Kanarek.

20 Is there anything else before these proceedings  
21 are recessed.

22 MR. FLEISCHMAN: No, your Honor.

23 MR. FITZGERALD: I indicated to the Court there was  
24 a matter, and it concerns the prosecution, it concerns  
25 the subpoena of certain witnesses on behalf of the  
26 prosecution.

3a-2

1 On Thursday and Friday last, contemporaries of  
2 the Defendants Charles Manson, Leslie Van Houten, Linda  
3 Kasabian and Patricia Krenwinkel came to this courtroom  
4 and were allotted, tentatively allotted defense seats in  
5 the courtroom. These persons were particularly one  
6 Katherine Gillis, one Clem Grogan --

7 MR. STOVITZ: True name Steve Grogan.

8 MR. FITZGERALD: True name Steve Grogan, a gentleman  
9 by the name of Craig whose last name I don't have.

10 MR. KANAREK: Hammond.

11 MR. STOVITZ: H-a-m-m-o-n-d?

12 MR. KANAREK: Yes.

13 MR. FITZGERALD: And Linette Frome.

14 When these persons, your Honor, appeared at  
15 the Sheriff's inspection table outside of the courtroom  
16 they were delayed more than temporarily, I would say they  
17 were delayed approximately one-half hour in order to  
18 allow representatives of the District Attorney's Office to  
19 subpoena them as witnesses for this case.

20 They were then subpoenaed as witnesses for this  
21 case and were therefore excluded from the courtroom.

22 Now, I have engaged in some conversations  
23 with representatives of the District Attorney's Office  
24 and they indicate to me that they intend to do that with  
25 other persons the defendants bring to court who are  
26 contemporaries of Mr. Manson and the other defendants.

3a-3

1 Now here is what I want to bring to the  
2 attention of the Court:

3 These witnesses, members of the so-called  
4 Manson Family, who are temporarily residing at 12000  
5 Santa Susanna Pass Road in Chatsworth, also known as the  
6 Spahn Ranch, are, to say the least, hostile prosecution  
7 witnesses.

3b fls. 7



3B-1

1 I am suggesting that there is perhaps an abuse  
2 of process by the prosecution.

3 I am suggesting that their real motive in  
4 subpoenaing these witnesses is simply to subpoena them so  
5 they can be excluded from the courtroom under a witness  
6 exclusion order, at least while certain persons testify for  
7 the prosecution, to-wit, Linda Kasabian.

8 To do so, I think, is a violation of obviously  
9 a free, open and public trial.

10 THE COURT: Of course it was the defendants who wanted  
11 the witnesses excluded, as I recall.

12 MR. FITZGERALD: Well, I discussed that with my  
13 fellow attorneys, and while I am personally of the opinion  
14 that if such is the case that the defendants cannot have  
15 their contemporaries in the courtroom because of the  
16 witness exclusion order, I would be willing to urge the  
17 Court to abandon its decision in regard to the exclusion of  
18 witnesses.

19 I must indicate, however, that other counsel do  
20 not feel the same way.

21 MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, we have been attempting to  
22 subpoena Steve Grogan and Kathy Gillis and a lot of other  
23 people way back in February of this year.

24 The file will reveal these people were present  
25 in court.

26 We asked Judge Keene to order them back for the

1 trial date which at that time was sometime in April.

2 We have been attempting to try to interrogate  
3 these witnesses to find out what they know.

4 These are not merely contemporaries; these are  
5 people who were present when some of the conversations took  
6 place, and are percipient witnesses to the formation of  
7 this conspiracy.

8 Now, if counsel wants the witnesses excluded,  
9 we have no way of allowing them in.

10 Now, I think that a more reasonable approach  
11 would be to say that these people could be witnesses at  
12 any time.

13 If he wants to have a sequestering of witnesses  
14 he cannot have these witnesses in here either because they  
15 may be prosecution witnesses or defense witnesses.

16 At this stage of the proceedings we don't  
17 know whether we are going to call them or not.

18 For instance, yesterday Mr. Melton and  
19 Mr. Kasabian were in the courtroom; they had been subpoenaed  
20 by the defense.

21 Now, it may turn out we may have to call these  
22 people for some testimony. Nevertheless, we, out of an  
23 abundance of caution, because of the court order,  
24 restricting witnesses, asked that they be excluded.

25 If the court order remains in effect about the  
26 exclusion of witnesses we see no alternative but keeping

1 all witnesses out of the courtroom.

2 MR. FITZGERALD: But you see, your Honor, what I can  
3 do and what the prosecution can do, if I want to keep any  
4 particular individual from attending this trial, all I  
5 need to do is serve that person with a subpoena and then  
6 have that person excluded from the courtroom.

7 I can effectively deny certain members of the  
8 public from seeing this trial.

9 I suggest to the Court that is what the  
10 prosecution is doing.

11 I suggest that the prosecution does not have  
12 any legitimate intention to call Steve Grogan and Kathy  
13 Gillis and Lynette Frome.

14 As I indicated, these witnesses are hostile  
15 prosecution witnesses.

16 THE COURT: How do you know that?

17 MR. FITZGERALD: And counsel has suggested he wants  
18 to serve them as witnesses so he can interrogate them.

19 THE COURT: How do you know they are hostile?

20 MR. FITZGERALD: I think they would represent to the  
21 Court they are hostile.

22 MR. STOVITZ: I don't think they are hostile at all.  
23 They may be adverse, but they are not hostile.

24 Why, Mr. Grogan has spoken to us on several  
25 occasions.

26 MR. FITZGERALD: I am using the term "hostile" as a



1 term of art.

2 THE COURT: I am in no position to investigate  
3 whether a subpoena was served on witnesses intended by the  
4 prosecution to be called or not called, Mr. Fitzgerald.

5 MR. FITZGERALD: I simply want to inform the Court I  
6 can play the subpoena game as well, and I intend to do it  
7 with representatives of the Los Angeles Police Department.

8 THE COURT: Anything further, gentlemen?

9 MR. KANAREK: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Manson, your  
10 Honor, in the jail --

11 THE COURT: If this is going to be something  
12 collateral to what has been going on here, Mr. Kanarek,  
13 as I mentioned to you several times before, you will have to  
14 put the motion in writing, support it with an affidavit,  
15 a declaration and points and authorities.

16 MR. KANAREK: The previous motion of the subpoenas  
17 wasn't on affidavits or any kind of points and authorities.

18 I allege a violation of equal protection of the  
19 law under the 14th Amendment, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: This Court is now recessed.

21 MR. STOVITZ: Does your Honor want to hear about the  
22 discussion on conspiracy?

23 THE COURT: I will hear it in chambers.  
24  
25  
26

4-1

1 (The following proceedings were had in open  
2 court, all parties, attorneys and jury present:)

3 THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are  
4 present.

5 You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

6 MR. HUGHES: First, your Honor, could I make a  
7 motion to have my investigator, Mr. William Sweeney, be  
8 allowed to sit up here with us inasmuch as the District  
9 Attorney's investigators are sitting up here also?

10 THE COURT: I don't think that is practical.

11 MR. HUGHES: Can I then ask the District Attorney's  
12 investigators sit behind the rail?

13 THE COURT: No.

14 Let's proceed.

15  
16 LINDA KASABIAN,  
17 a witness called by and on behalf of the People, having  
18 been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified  
19 further as follows:  
20

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

23 Q Linda, let's go back a little, please, to  
24 your first meeting with Manson.

25 You say he felt your legs and seemed pleased,  
26 and then the next night you say he came to you?

4-2

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds that it is leading and suggestive; and also on the grounds of hearsay and conclusion and immaterial.

MR. SHINN: Join.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is preliminary, your Honor.

THE COURT: It appears that it may be leading and suggestive, Mr. Bugliosi. Reframe the question.

4a fls.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

4a-1

1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

2 Q Did you indicate yesterday that after the  
3 first time you met Mr. Manson you saw him the following  
4 night; is that correct?

5 A Yes.

6 MR. KANAREK: I object.

7 What the record indicates is hearsay, your  
8 Honor, and it is immaterial. Her recollection of what  
9 she said yesterday is not competent evidence, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 You may answer.

12 MR. SHINN: Join.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: You may answer, Linda.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct.

15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

16 Q Where were you this other night when Manson  
17 saw you?

18 MR. KANAREK: Object as ambiguous and immaterial.

19 MR. SHINN: Join.

20 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

21 THE WITNESS: In a cave in back of the Ranch.

22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

23 Q Did anything take place between you and Mr.  
24 Manson in the cave?

25 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial.

26 MR. SHINN: Join.



4a-2

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 You may answer.

3 THE WITNESS: He made love to me and we had a  
4 slight conversation.

5 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

6 Q What was that conversation?

7 MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor, I would ask to  
8 approach the bench and make a motion.

9 THE COURT: You may state your motion, sir.

10 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to do it  
11 outside the presence of the jury.

12 THE COURT: Just state the motion and the grounds  
13 without argument.

14 MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

15 My motion is for a mistrial in that it  
16 impugns the integrity, the moral integrity, of Mr. Manson  
17 by this allegation of conduct.

18 It is clearly an allegation of moral --

19 THE COURT: Not the arguments, just the motion.

20 MR. KANAREK: May I approach the bench?

21 THE COURT: No. The motion will be denied.

22 MR. SHINN: Join.

23 THE COURT: Let's proceed.

24 MR. FITZGERALD: This testimony, your Honor, I  
25 would object to on the grounds that this is immaterial  
26 and irrelevant as it applies to Defendant Patricia

4a-3

1 Krenwinkel.

2 May the jury be instructed to limit this  
3 evidence to the person to whom it refers?

4 THE COURT: What do you mean by "this evidence"?

5 MR. FITZGERALD: The previous statements of the  
6 witness apparently refer to Mr. Manson. May it be so  
7 limited?

8 THE COURT: The last question and answer will be  
9 limited to Mr. Manson only, and the jury is admonished to  
10 so regard it.

11 Let's proceed.

12 MR. KANAREK: Then I object.

13 May I approach the bench, your Honor, so I  
14 don't do this in open court?

15 THE COURT: No.

16 MR. HUGHES: I join in Mr. Fitzgerald's motion and  
17 objection on behalf of Miss Leslie Van Houten.

18 THE COURT: Very well. Let's proceed.

19 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

20 Q What conversation did you have with Mr. Manson  
21 while you were making love, or about that time?

22 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay  
23 and immateriality.

24 THE COURT: Is that all?

25 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

26 MR. HUGHES: Join.



1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 Let's proceed.

3 THE WITNESS: I don't recall the complete conversa-  
4 tion, but he told me that I had a father hangup, and I

5 ~~said~~

6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

7 Q This is after you had sexual intercourse with  
8 him?

9 A No.

10 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion that that be stricken  
11 as immaterial. It has only prejudicial value.

12 MR. SHINN: Join.

13 MR. HUGHES: Join.

14 MR. FITZGERALD: Join.

15 THE COURT: Motion denied. Overruled.

16 4b fls.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

4B-1

1 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Was this after you had sexual  
2 intercourse?

3 A No. I think it was before.

4 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Immaterial.

5 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did this impress you when he said you  
6 had a father hangup?

7 A Very much so.

8 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Immaterial. Calling for a  
9 conclusion of the witness.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. SHINN: Join.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Why did it impress you?

13 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial. Calling for a conclusion.  
14 Also hearsay.

15 MR. SHINN: Join.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 THE WITNESS: Because nobody ever said that to me,  
18 and I did have a father hangup. I hated my step-father.

19 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench,  
20 then?

21 THE COURT: No.

22 MR. KANAREK: Then I ask that this last answer be  
23 stricken on the grounds that it is a statement of hearsay,  
24 a conclusion, immaterial, and has nothing but prejudicial  
25 value. The prejudicial value far outweighs any probative  
26 value that this testimony may have.

1B2

1 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

2 Let's proceed.

3 MR. SHINN: Join.

4 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: With respect to the first  
5 camping site, the one by the waterfalls, to your own  
6 personal knowledge, do you know who selected this camping  
7 site?

8 MR. KANAREK: Objection as a conclusion and hearsay,  
9 your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. SHINN: Join.

12 THE WITNESS: I believe Charlie selected it.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay.

14 Q What, if anything, did Mr. Manson tell you  
15 girls to do at this first camping site?

16 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of  
17 improper foundation, calling for a conclusion, hearsay,  
18 immaterial.

19 Who were the people present, if any? We are  
20 entitled to know.

21 MR. BUGLIOSI: Let me withdraw that question, your  
22 Honor, and lay a foundation.

23 Q How many members of the Family went to this  
24 first camping site?

25 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

26 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 THE WITNESS: There was myself and Tanya, Bear, Mary  
2 Brunner, Gypsy, Snake, Brenda, and I believe that was all.

3 Q And Mr. Manson?

4 A Off and on, yes.

5 Q Okay.

6 Did he tell you girls to do anything at this  
7 camping site?

8 MR. KANAREK: object, calling for a conclusion,  
9 hearsay, improper foundation and immaterial.

10 MR. SHINN: Join.

11 THE COURT: Overruled.

12 MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Defendant Krenwinkel.

13 MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Miss Van Houten.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 THE WITNESS: Not that I can remember.

16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay.

17 Q What about the second camping site, the one  
18 that was two or three miles from the waterfall? Do you  
19 know who selected that camping site?

20 MR. KANAREK: I object as calling for a conclusion,  
21 immaterial and hearsay.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. SHINN: Join.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 You may answer.

26 THE WITNESS: I believe Charlie selected that.



1 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You indicated yesterday that  
2 you took quite a bit of personal property or belongings to  
3 this second camping site; is that correct?

4 A Yes.

5 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of the form of  
6 the question, what she testified to yesterday, her  
7 editorializing it is incompetent evidence. The record  
8 speaks for itself.

9 MR. SHINN: Join.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Were any of these personal  
12 belongings camouflaged at the second camping site?

13 A Yes.

14 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion and  
15 immaterial. I object.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would be willing, on  
18 the materiality, not to --

19 THE COURT: I don't want to hear any argument,  
20 Mr. Kanarek. I have ruled on your objection. Sit down.

21 MR. KANAREK: May I have a continuing objection so  
22 Mr. Bugliosi is not interrupted?

23 THE COURT: No, you may not.

24 MR. KANAREK: Very well.

25 THE COURT: Let's proceed.  
26

4c-1

1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

2 Q Do you recall my last question?

3 A Yes.

4 There was a parachute that was set up above  
5 the dune buggy parts in the trees so that, you know,  
6 because there were helicopters flying above, and they  
7 apparently must have spotted us, so we were instructed  
8 to camouflage it.

9 MR. KANAREK: I ask that that statement be stricken,  
10 your Honor, on the ground that it is not responsive to  
11 the question.

12 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What color parachute?

14 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay and a conclusion.

15 MR. SHINN: Join.

16 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

17 Q What color parachute was this?

18 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

19 MR. SHINN: Join.

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

21 THE WITNESS: I believe it was white or -- I can't  
22 remember the color.

23 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

24 Q Now, who put this parachute up?

25 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial. Calling for a conclusion,  
26 your Honor.

4c-2

1 MR. SHINN: Join.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 THE WITNESS: I don't know because I didn't, and  
4 I didn't watch the others put it up, but it was there.

5 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

6 Q Do you know who directed that the parachute  
7 be put up?

8 A Not really.

9 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds that it is a  
10 conclusion.

11 May the witness be instructed -- I think your  
12 Honor asked her yesterday, and would your Honor reinstruct  
13 her to refrain from answering before --

14 THE COURT: Delay your answer, Mrs. Kasabian, so  
15 counsel may object.

16 The objection is overruled.

17 MR. KANAREK: I haven't finished it, your Honor.

18 This is calling for a conclusion and hearsay.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 MR. KANAREK: And immaterial.

21 THE COURT: Let's proceed.

22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

23 Q Was a walkie-talkie system set up at this  
24 campsite?

25 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds that it is  
26 leading and suggestive, immaterial, calling for a conclusion

4c-3

1 of the witness.

2 MR. SHINN: Join.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.

5 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

6 Q Do you know who ordered that the walkie-talkie  
7 system be set up?

8 A Charlie did.

9 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of conclusion,  
10 hearsay and immateriality.

11 THE COURT: Overruled.

12 THE WITNESS: Charlie did.

13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

14 Q Did he indicate why he wanted a walkie-talkie  
15 system?

16 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds of hearsay,  
17 conclusion and it is immaterial.

18 MR. SHINN: Join.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, because --

21 MR. KANAREK: That can be answered yes or no,  
22 your Honor, whether he indicated.

23 THE COURT: Go ahead.

24 THE WITNESS: Do you want my reason?

25 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

26 Q What did Charlie tell you the reason was for



1 setting up this walkie-talkie system?

2 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of  
3 immateriality, improper -- may I approach the bench?

4 THE COURT: No.

5 Your objection is overruled.

6 MR. KANAREK: This is hearsay.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 Go ahead.

9 THE WITNESS: Ask your question again.

10 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

11 Q Did Charlie give any reason at all, Linda,  
12 for setting up this walkie-talkie system?

13 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes. We had been spotted. Maybe it  
17 was the Fire Department, or some trucks were going back  
18 and forth, and we had been spotted. So, we had a  
19 walkie-talkie system set up a little ways from the camp  
20 that we would make phone calls if they would come by,  
21 you know, to let people at the camp know.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

5 fls.

5-1

1 MR. KANAREK: I ask that be stricken on the grounds  
2 of hearsay. It is statement of hearsay, a conclusion. It  
3 is immaterial.

4 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

5 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: The walkie-talkie system  
6 connected this campsite with the building up at the front  
7 of the ranch?

8 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

9 THE WITNESS: No.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What was the setup of the  
12 walkie-talkie system?

13 MR. KANAREK: Ambiguous, your Honor, leading and  
14 suggestive.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 THE WITNESS: Well, there was a road leading up to  
17 the campsite, and right at the beginning of this road a  
18 part of the walkie-talkie system was set up, and then there  
19 was a wire going all the way up to the road, camouflaged,  
20 leading to another part of the walkie-talkie system at the  
21 camp.

22 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Were there any guard shifts  
23 at the second camping site?

24 A Yes.

25 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor, calling for a  
26 conclusion on the part of the witness.

5-2

1 It has nothing but prejudicial value, has no  
2 probative value as to any issue in this case.

3 MR. FITZGERALD: It is immaterial and irrelevant as  
4 to Defendant Patricia Krenwinkel. She was not present at  
5 this time or place.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Who composed these guard  
9 shifts?

10 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds it is  
11 immaterial, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 THE WITNESS: I am not sure that Charlie did --

14 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Let me reframe that question.  
15 Who acted as guards?

16 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion and  
17 immaterial.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 THE WITNESS: All us girls in different shifts.

20 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What were you guarding?

21 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds it's a conclusion,  
22 immaterial.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 THE WITNESS: Actually just like a watch-out tower,  
25 we would sit there with the walkie-talkie system, watching  
26 out if a truck went by or if, you know, somebody came

1 walking through that would spot us.

2 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Who ordered you to serve as  
3 guards?

4 MR. KANAREK: objection, conclusion, hearsay,  
5 immaterial.

6 THE WITNESS: I am not really sure but I believe --

7 THE COURT: Just a moment, Mrs. Kasabian, wait for  
8 the ruling.

9 I think that assumes a fact not in evidence.

10 MR. BUGLIOSI: All right, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: The objection will be sustained on that  
12 ground.

13 MR. HUGHES: Can your Honor's remarks be read back?  
14 I was unable to hear.

15 (Whereupon, the reporter reads back the  
16 record as follows:

17 "THE COURT: The objection will be sus-  
18 tained on that ground.")

19 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Who, if anyone, ordered you  
20 girls to stand guard?

21 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the ground it is a  
22 conclusion, hearsay and immaterial.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 THE WITNESS: I can't?

25 THE COURT: You may answer.

26 THE WITNESS: I cannot say positively, but I believe



1 Charlie did.

2 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Charlie ask you girls to  
3 do anything while you were at the second camping site?

4 MR. KANAREK: Object, leading and suggestive, calling  
5 for a conclusion and hearsay and immaterial.

6 THE COURT: overruled.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, a number of things.

8 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he ask you to do?

9 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of hearsay,  
10 conclusion, immaterial, improper foundation.

11 MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

12 MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Van Houten.

13 THE COURT: The answer will be limited as to  
14 Mr. Manson only.

15 The objection is overruled as to Mr. Manson.

16 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You may answer.

17 A First he instructed us to make little witchy  
18 things to hang in the trees to show our way from the camp-  
19 site to our road in the dark.

20 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of immateriality.

21 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What witchy things?

22 MR. KANAREK: I object, immaterial.

23 THE COURT: overruled.

24 *Question: What*

24 THE WITNESS: Things made from weeds, rocks, stones,  
25 branches, some kinds of wires, I don't know, all different  
26 little things.

1 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Why do you use the word  
2 "witchy"?

3 MR. KANAREK: ~~object, your Honor, that is immaterial,~~  
4 ~~calling for a conclusion and hearsay.~~

5 ~~THE COURT: overruled.~~

6 THE WITNESS: Because they called themselves witches.

7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Who called themselves witches?

8 A All the <sup>girls, and</sup> ~~girls~~, Charlie called us witches.

9 MR. KANAREK: May the witness be asked to wait --

10 THE COURT: ~~The objection is overruled.~~

11 Q ~~BY MR. BUGLIOSI:~~ Charlie called all of you girls  
12 witches?

13 A Uh-huh,

14 MR. KANAREK: object, your Honor. May the witness be  
15 asked to refrain from answering so I can make the objection?

16 I am willing, as I have said, your Honor, I am  
17 willing to make my objections on materiality to be  
18 continuing so we don't have this interruption.

19 I don't want the onus to be upon me.

20 If we have this, then we have a continuing  
21 objection to materiality, then I don't have to do this.

22 I am making these objections because he is  
23 asking improper questions, your Honor.

5a-1

1 THE COURT: All right, I will give you a continuing  
2 objection on that ground only.

3 MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: You may proceed.

5 MR. HUGHES: I will join in that objection.

6 MR. KANAREK: A juror is raising his hand.

7 A JUROR: I cannot hear Mr. Hughes over there, it  
8 sounds like he is mumbling.

9 MR. HUGHES: I will join in that objection, your  
10 Honor.

11 MR. BUGLIOSI: Now I believe I forgot the question.

12 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

13 Q Linda, who called you witches?

14 A Charlie -- everybody called us witches at  
15 the Ranch.

16 MR. KANAREK: That is a conclusion.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

19 Q You called yourselves witches?

20 A Yes.

21 MR. KANAREK: Object. Leading and suggestive.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

24 Q Now, when you say Charlie, you are talking  
25 about Charles Manson, is that correct?

26 A Yes.



5a-2

Q Did Mr. Manson ever say why he wanted to go to these campsites?

MR. KANAREK: Object, calling for conclusion and hearsay.

MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Van Houten.

THE COURT: The answer will be limited to Mr. Manson only and the jury is so admonished.

The objections are overruled.

THE WITNESS: I cannot really recall what his reason was or if there was a reason.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Okay, Were there any male visitors who came to the ranch and visited the Family?

MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor, ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did Charlie ever tell you girls to do anything with these male visitors?

MR. KANAREK: Object, leading and suggestive, your Honor, and calling for a conclusion.

Those are my bases.

MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Miss Van Houten.

THE COURT: The answer will be limited to Mr.



5a-3

1 Manson only.

2 The objections are overruled.

3 You may answer.

4 THE WITNESS: What was the question again?

5 THE COURT: Read the last question.

6 (Whereupon the reporter reads the pending  
7 question as follows:

8 "Q Did Charlie ever tell you girls to  
9 do anything with these male visitors?"

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, he told us to make love to them,  
11 and try to get them to join the Family, and if they  
12 would not join the Family, not to give them our attention,  
13 not to make love to them.

14 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that be stricken.

15 May I approach the bench?

16 THE COURT: No. The motion is denied.

17 MR. KANAREK: May I approach the bench to make a  
18 motion, your Honor?

19 THE COURT: If you want to make another motion,  
20 state the motion and the grounds.

21 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor wishes me to do it in  
22 open court?

23 THE COURT: State the motion.

24 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion for a mistrial on  
25 the grounds it impugns Mr. Manson's moral character  
26 and his integrity, this last statement in the presence

5a-4

1 of these jurors it is elicited for no purpose except to  
2 put into these jurors' minds prejudicial information  
3 concerning Mr. Manson's sexual activities, purportedly.

4 MR. STOVITZ: Submit the motion, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

7 Q What work did you girls do at the Ranch if  
8 anything?

9 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. KANAREK: I'm sorry --

12 THE WITNESS: Anything and everything there was to  
13 do.

14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15 Q Can you give the Judge and the jury some idea  
16 of the work you did out there?

17 A We helped the men, you know, with their dune  
18 buggy parts, cleaning, and just more or less serving them  
19 if they needed something, taking care of the children,  
20 cooking, sewing, you know, mostly domestic things like  
21 that, and always on service, you know, for the men.

22 Q Who told you you were supposed to be on  
23 service for the men?

24 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of improper  
25 foundation, conclusion, hearsay, your Honor, it is  
26 ambiguous.

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: I will withdraw the question.

2 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

3 Q What about the men, what type of work did  
4 they do out at the Ranch?

5 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object on the  
6 grounds -- I would like to implore --

7 THE COURT: State your objection, sir.

8 MR. KANAREK: It is outside of the scope of the  
9 pleadings.

10 THE COURT: Overruled, let's proceed.

11 THE WITNESS: What was the question.

12 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

13 Q What type of work did the male members of  
14 the Family do out at Spahn Ranch?

15 A Mostly work on their dune buggies.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

5b fls.

5B-1

1 Q Did you ever see Charles Manson do physical  
2 work of any kind whatsoever?

3 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 THE WITNESS: Very seldom.

6 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you see the other male  
7 members of the Family do physical work?

8 A Yes.

9 Q How did the Family get their food, how did you  
10 eat?

11 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor.

12 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Where did you get your food  
13 from?

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 MR. KANAREK: I must object on the grounds it is  
16 outside the scope.

17 He is not eliciting anything that happened  
18 between August 8th and 10th.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 THE WITNESS: We used to go on garbage runs.

21 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What do you mean by garbage  
22 runs, Linda?

23 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds it is  
24 calling for a conclusion, hearsay.

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

26 THE WITNESS: We used to go in the back of



JB2

1 supermarkets and restaurants, into the garbage cans and take  
2 the throw-away food, take them home, clean them and eat them.

3 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What type of food did the  
4 Family eat?

5 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial -- I know I have a  
6 continuing objection, your Honor, but this is so beyond the  
7 scope of anything.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: This is foundational, your Honor.

9 MR. FITZGERALD: I am going to object it is immaterial  
10 and irrelevant. They are not on trial for violation of the  
11 Sanitation Code, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What type of food did the  
14 Family eat?

15 A Mostly vegetables and brown rice.

16 Q Did they eat any meat?

17 A No.

18 Q Why no meat?

19 MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion and  
20 hearsay, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 THE WITNESS: They just never ate meat.

23 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Charlie ever say anything  
24 about what type of food you should eat?

25 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of hearsay and  
26 conclusions, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 THE WITNESS: Not really. He used to really dig  
3 zusus, as they call them.

4 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What are zusus?

5 A Candy and ice cream.

6 Q Did Charlie say anything to the effect no one  
7 should eat meat in the family?

8 MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, hearsay, leading and  
9 suggestive.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 THE WITNESS: I cannot recall him telling me that,  
12 no.

13 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Had you eaten meat before  
14 coming to Spahn Ranch?

15 A Yes, on and off.

16 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is immaterial. I  
17 know I have a continuing objection but --

18 THE COURT: You want to make it twice, is that it?

19 MR. KANAREK: I understand, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Overruled, let's proceed.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes, I had eaten meat on and off.

22 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You stopped eating meat once  
23 you got to Spahn Ranch?

24 A Right.

25 Q Would the family go into town frequently?

26 A Usually once a day to get the food for supper.

1 Q How would you get into town?

2 A If we didn't have a car we hitchhiked, if we had  
3 the car we would drive.

4 Q Who would drive the car if you did have a car?

5 A In the beginning, let me see, we used to use  
6 Johnnie Schwartz's car, and anybody would drive it, whoever  
7 was going in, and after a while he specified, Charlie  
8 specified, that only the people --

9 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I object and ask this  
10 witness not to state --

11 THE COURT: Don't interrupt the answer. Wait until it  
12 is concluded.

13 MR. KANAREK: Then the jury would have heard it and  
14 the prejudice will have come about. We wish to keep the  
15 jury --

16 THE COURT: Go back and read the question, please.

17 (Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending  
18 question as follows:

19 "Q Who would drive the car if  
20 you did have a car?"

21 MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conjecture, your  
22 Honor.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You may answer, Linda.

25 A Charlie specified later on that only the  
26 people with driver's license should drive the car.



1 Q Who were those people?

2 A I had a driver's license.

3 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion and hearsay,  
4 and I would like to inquire on voir dire as to whether or  
5 not this witness has seen any driver's licenses.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 THE WITNESS: Yes, I had a driver's license and  
8 Mary Brunner had a driver's license.

9 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: To your knowledge did anyone  
10 else have a driver's license?

11 A No.

12 MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, your Honor.

13 THE WITNESS: No, not that I know of.

14 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Charlie ever tell you  
15 girls in the family how to dress?

16 MR. FITZGERALD: I object as to Patricia Krenwinkel.

17 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds that it  
18 calls for a conclusion, hearsay.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Defendant Van Houten.

21 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

22 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You may answer the question,  
23 Mrs. Kasabian.

24 A Yes, at night we were told to wear dark clothes.

25 Q Who told you this?

26 A Charlie -- everybody told me.



1 Q on any other occasion did he tell you girls  
2 how to dress?

3 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, ambiguous,  
4 calling for a conclusion, improper foundation.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: I don't understand your question.

7 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, other than how to  
8 dress at night did Charlie ever make any other statement  
9 to you girls on the type of clothing he wanted you to wear?

10 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of a conclusion.

11 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: -- size, color?

12 A No, he never did.

5C

5c-1

1 MR. KANAREK: Improper foundation, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

4 Q Do you recall any conversation between Charlie,  
5 Mary Brunner, Bruce Davis, Bobby Beausoleil, with respect  
6 to getting the girls certain types of clothing?

7 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, conclusion, ambiguous.

8 I object to the form of the question.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, one day Mary Brunner and Bobby  
11 Beausoleil --

12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that question has been  
13 answered.

14 THE COURT: Don't interrupt the answer, Mr. Kanarek.  
15 Proceed.

16 MR. KANAREK: She is going further than the question  
17 solicited. It only solicited a yes or no answer, and the  
18 jury -- I am sure we wish to keep the jury free of  
19 improper answers.

20 THE COURT: Do you have the question in mind?

21 THE WITNESS: No, not really.

22 THE COURT: Go back and read the question.

23 (Whereupon the reporter reads the pending  
24 question as follows:

25 "Q Do you recall any conversation  
26 between Charlie, Mary Brunner, Bruce Davis,

5c-2

"Bobby Beausoleil, with respect to getting the girls certain types of clothing?")

MR. KANAREK: That can be answered yes or no, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q What was that conversation?

MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay, conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: May we have a foundation as to who was present?

THE COURT: Sit down, Mr. Kanarek.

THE WITNESS: One night at suppertime he told Mary Brunner to go out with some credit cards and buy all kinds of clothing for us and the children, and to buy certain parts for dune buggies.

Shall I tell you the things he wanted?

MR. FITZGERALD: Move to strike, hearsay as to Patricia Krenwinkel.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Yes, you may relate the conversation.

MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion to strike on behalf

5c-3

1 of Mr. Manson because of the prejudicial aspect of the  
2 answer. It alleges crime.

3 MR. STOVITZ: It does not allege any crime at all.

4 He said he gave them credit cards.

5 MR. KANAREK: It is a solicitation --

6 THE COURT: I don't want to hear the argument.  
7 State the motion and the grounds.

8 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds, your Honor,  
9 it is a statement of improper hearsay, conclusion.

10 It is a statement of prior bad conduct on  
11 the part of Mr. Manson, prior to the time that this  
12 witness took the witness stand.

13 She is alleging that Mr. Manson solicited  
14 people to go out and commit crimes.

15 THE COURT: Just the grounds, Mr. Kanarek, you  
16 understood what I said.

17 MR. STOVITZ: Nothing in the answer suggests that.

18 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

19 Let's proceed.

20 MR. HUGHES: May I make a motion also?

21 Join in the motion to strike, hearsay as to  
22 Van Houten.

23 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

24 MR. KANAREK: I would further ask the Court to  
25 admonish the jury not to consider the answer for any  
26 purpose.



5c-4

1 MR. STOVITZ: May we, your Honor, ask the jury to  
2 disregard the objections and try to concentrate on the  
3 witness's questions and answers.

4 I know it is a little difficult --

5 THE COURT: Let's proceed, Mr. Stovitz.

6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

7 Q Continue with the conversation, Linda.

8 A Yes, he wanted each one of us girls to have  
9 two sets of clothing, like a straight dress to wear during  
10 the day and maybe on the weekends if we were in front of  
11 the Ranch when the riders would come by.

12 Q The riders of horses?

13 A Yes, uh-huh, we each should have a pair of  
14 moccasins, and then a pair of Levi pants, and a shirt or  
15 a blouse or whatever.

16 And some straight, you know, clothes for  
17 Tanya or Bear, diapers, things like that.

18 And he ordered sleeping bags.

19 MR. KANAREK: I ask that the witness be ordered --

20 THE COURT: Do not interrupt the answer. Wait until  
21 its conclusion, Mr. Kanarek.

22 Go ahead.

23 THE WITNESS: We got sleeping bags, and there were a  
24 whole bunch of pocketknives for each person.

25 Also he said that he wanted each one of us  
26 girls to take care of our own set of clothing -- which

1 never happened.

2 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that all of that  
3 be stricken on the grounds of hearsay, conclusion -- it  
4 has nothing but prejudicial value.

5 It has no probative value.

6 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

7 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

8 Q Did Mary Brunner, Bruce Davis and Bobby  
9 Beausoleil return with the clothing, et cetera?

10 A Yes, they did.

11 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds it's  
12 ambiguous.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

5d fls.

1-1

1 Q The clothing then was distributed to you  
2 girls?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Approximately when did this take place,  
5 Linda?

6 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 THE WITNESS: Near the beginning when I first got  
9 there, as close as I can relate it to time.

10 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

11 Q Linda -- do you know what a sexual orgy is?

12 A Yes, I do.

13 MR. KANAREK: Oh, your Honor, I must, and must, and  
14 I ask that the jury be admonished not to consider that  
15 question for any purpose.

16 May I approach the bench?

17 THE COURT: Very well.

5e fls.

4-1

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask you to approach the bench.

THE COURT: Let me tell you something, Mr. Kanarek, and I want you to get it straight right now.

I do not want you to interrupt. I don't want you to interrupt me; I don't want you to interrupt another attorney and I don't want you to interrupt the witness.

Do you understand, sir?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You will be given every opportunity to state whatever you want to state, but you are not going to continually interrupt.

MR. KANAREK: I understand.

THE COURT: Now just a minute, that means right now also.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: If you continue to interrupt I'm going to find you in contempt. I want you to clearly understand that.

When a question is asked wait until that question is completed before you make your objection or motion.

When an answer is being given, wait until that answer is given before you make a motion to strike.



5E2  
1 When I am speaking, don't interrupt.

2 MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, it's certainly not  
3 intentional.

4 THE COURT: I believe it is.

5 MR. KANAREK: Not to interrupt the Court.

6 THE COURT: But I'm going to give you the benefit of  
7 the doubt, that is what I want to make perfectly clear to  
8 you now.

9 MR. KANAREK: The point is this, your Honor, if  
10 Mr. Bugliosi solicits a question, if it not a proper  
11 question and the witness is uttering matters which are  
12 prejudicial, which the jury will hear, then --

13 THE COURT: You heard what I said. Now, I mean it.

14 MR. KANAREK: Then Mr. Manson is being denied due  
15 process.

16 THE COURT: Do you have anything else to say?

17 MR. KANAREK: May I make the record, your Honor is  
18 interrupting me.

19 THE COURT: There is no record to make.

20 MR. KANAREK: The point is --

21 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Kanarek, I order you to stop  
22 talking this moment, do you understand, sir?

23 MR. KANAREK: Very well.

24 THE COURT: You are not making any motion; you are  
25 just talking now.

26 MR. KANAREK: I am trying to convince the Court.

3  
1 THE COURT: All right.

2 Now, Mr. Bugliosi?

3 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I get in here?

4 MR. HUGHES: Did you want me to stand where I can't  
5 hear?

6 Your Honor, there is nowhere to go.

7 THE COURT: Step back.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, as the Stevens case has  
9 indicated, you can show many other transactions showing the  
10 inter-relationship of the members of the Family, in fact  
11 in Stevens they put on evidence of plans of other kidnappings,  
12 two or three years later.

13 Now, kidnaping, of course, is a very serious  
14 crime. We did not make these transactions and these  
15 occurrences that Manson had with the Family. He made them.

16 Now, the sexual orgy offer of proof is that she  
17 will testify that there were about 30 people and four  
18 guests.

19 There was one new girl; she was shy and afraid  
20 and about 15 or 16 years old.

21 Manson stood her in the middle of the room and  
22 took off her clothing; she was unwilling, so Manson pushed  
23 her to the floor; all she had on were her bikini underpants.

24 Charlie touched her from head to toe, started  
25 kissing her on head and cheek; she started fighting him;  
26 he kept pushing her back; she hit him on the shoulder; he

1 punched her in the face. Then he told everyone in the  
2 room to touch her.

3 The girl lay there in a state of shock.

4 Manson ripped off her panties; then he told  
5 Bobby Beausoleil to make love to her; then he told every-  
6 one to make love to everyone else.

7 Again, this is a transaction, your Honor,  
8 showing the inter-relationship between Manson with his  
9 Family, the association he had with them, his domination  
10 over the Family, his power and his control over them, your  
11 Honor.

12 THE COURT: Now, who do you contend was present at  
13 this time? ↓

14 MR. BUGLIOSI: I will ask her, your Honor.  
15 There were almost the whole Family and four guests.

16 THE COURT: Among the defendants.

17 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I approach her on that, your  
18 Honor, and ask her which particular defendants --

19 Of course, Manson was present and she was  
20 present.

21 May I approach her as to the particular  
22 defendants -- if these particular defendants were also  
23 present?

24 MR. SHINN: May we take this witness on voir dire  
25 before the evidence comes in, your Honor?

26 MR. BUGLIOSI: The orgy, your Honor, is something I



1 am not responsible for. This is just an illustration.

2 MR. FITZGERALD: The orgy did not occur, your Honor,  
3 and I have reason to doubt the veracity of the prosecutor.

4 This is recently fabricated. If it wasn't we  
5 would have received it on discovery and we received no  
6 such information.

7 MR. SHINN: This is not in furtherance of the  
8 conspiracy. This happened way before August 8th and 9th  
9 and has no objective at all towards the furthering of the  
10 conspiracy.

11 MR. HUGHES: Besides, we are not trying the sex  
12 lives of these people. We are trying to murder lives of  
13 these people.

14 THE COURT: The cases are perfectly clear, circum-  
15 stantial evidence may come in in regard to the relationship  
16 between the parties, their transactions and conversations,  
17 because it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to  
18 tell exactly in what point of time a conspiracy comes into  
19 being.

20 That evidence is absolutely essential in many,  
21 if not all, cases to determine at what point. There is no  
22 written agreement constituting the conspiracy.

23 The evidence is admissible, that is, the  
24 evidence which has come in so far. The question is whether  
25 this is part and parcel of that.

26 I think it depends on who was there.



1 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor, that is why --  
2 may I ask her?

3 THE COURT: You will have to lay a foundation for  
4 it.

5 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I ask her out of the presence of  
6 the jury, if I may approach her on the stand and ask her  
7 if Patricia Krenwinkel, Leslie Van Houten and Susan  
8 Atkins were present.

9 If they weren't, then I would like to make  
10 further argument.

11 I think it still would be admissible, but at  
12 least tentatively may I establish from her whether the three  
13 female defendants were present?

6-1

1 MR. KANAREK: May I make an argument? I am the  
2 one that asked to come to the bench.

3 THE COURT: An argument about what?

4 MR. KANAREK: In connection with what we are  
5 speaking of here.

6 THE COURT: Argue.

7 MR. KANAREK: The Court --

8 MR. HUGHES: I am unable to hear Mr. Kanarek.

9 MR. KANAREK: -- has an obligation to weigh, to  
10 balance the prejudicial effect against the probative  
11 value.

12 This has no probative value. It is offered  
13 strictly for the prejudicial effect upon the jury. That  
14 is one point.

15 The other point, your Honor, is that the  
16 conspiracy is alleged -- we didn't bring those pleadings,  
17 the District Attorney's Office has brought the pleadings,  
18 made the pleadings -- between August the 8th and  
19 August the 10th.

20 There is no foundation -- the foundation is  
21 not -- assuming arguendo that it is admissible, that it  
22 isn't prejudicial -- which it clearly is -- it is also  
23 leading and suggestive and conclusionary as to what a  
24 sexual orgy is.

25 MR. STOVITZ: Let's find out what it is.

26 MR. KANAREK: It is strictly conclusionary.

6-2

1                   There are some people in the days of old that  
2                   thought --

3                   THE COURT: I agree that the characterization as  
4                   a sexual orgy is improper, assuming that it were otherwise  
5                   admissible.

6                   MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

7                   THE COURT: You can have her describe what occurred,  
8                   but to characterize it at the outset is improper.

9                   MR. KANAREK: I haven't finished, if I may?

10                  THE COURT: All right.

11                  MR. KANAREK: What I am asking the Court to do in  
12                  this case is to admonish the jury not to consider this  
13                  last question and answer for any purpose.

14                  A mere admonishment not sufficing, we can't  
15                  lose perspective of the fact that we are in a court of  
16                  law, I ask --

17                  THE COURT: Sometimes I think you do lose perspective.

18                  MR. KANAREK: But I have an obligation.

19                  They have brought this between August the 8th  
20                  and August the 10th. There has not been any other time  
21                  aspect. And what happened at the time, evidently around  
22                  July the 4th, in this connection is immaterial and  
23                  prejudicial.

24                  Without that foundation, your Honor, without  
25                  that showing --

26                  THE COURT: That just doesn't happen to be the law,



6-3

1 Mr. Kanarek. The fact that it occurred before the overt  
2 acts alleged in the conspiracy is immaterial.

3 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is not what their  
4 pleading says. The pleading says that the conspiracy  
5 itself was from August the 8th to August the 10th. That  
6 is clear. Therefore, your Honor, what he is asking is  
7 clearly improper, and we ask for a mistrial on the basis  
8 that mere admonishments cannot suffice.

9 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

10 MR. SHINN: Join in that motion.

11 MR. HUGHES: Join Mr. Kanarek's motion.

12 MR. FITZGERALD: Join in the motion.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I speak to Mrs. Kasabian and find  
14 out who was present? Or do you want me to ask her that on  
15 the stand?

16 THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection to  
17 the last question.

18 MR. BUGLIOSI: As to the word "orgy"?

19 THE COURT: And I will admonish the jury to disregard  
20 the last question.

21 I think you will have to start out and lay a  
22 foundation as to time, place and persons present, and  
23 go from there.

6a fls.

24 MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.  
25  
26



6A-1

1 (Whereupon, counsel return to their respective  
2 places at counsel table and the following proceedings  
3 occurred in open court within the presence and hearing of  
4 the jury:)

5 THE COURT: The objection to the last question was  
6 sustained, ladies and gentlemen, and you are admonished to  
7 disregard that question.

8 You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

9 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Linda, did you ever participate  
10 with other members of the Family in any form of group sexual  
11 activity?

12 MR. SHINN: Objection.

13 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor. It is the same  
14 question asked over again.

15 I have a continuing objection on materiality.

16 THE COURT: It is leading and suggestive. The  
17 objection is sustained.

18 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I have just a moment, your Honor?

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 (Mr. Bugliosi confers with Mr. Stovitz.)

21 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Linda, did you ever participate  
22 at Mr. Manson's suggestion or order while at Spahn Ranch in  
23 any type of sexual relationship while a large number of  
24 people were present?

25 MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor. It is exactly  
26 the same substance as the other two questions. Need I go  
further, your Honor?

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: This is just foundational, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

3 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Do you recall, Linda, in mid-July --  
4 strike that.

5 There was a back house at the Spahn Ranch?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you recall the back house?

8 A Yes, sir.

9 Q A little past mid-July, 1969, did a large  
10 group of members of the Family and guests gather at the back  
11 house?

12 A Yes, they did.

13 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Immaterial, your Honor,  
14 and calls for a conclusion.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, they did.

17 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q About how many people?

18 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Ambiguous as to time, your  
19 Honor, and also calling for a conclusion.

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

21 THE WITNESS: As many people as there were in the  
22 Family. -- maybe 20 -- and I believe there were three  
23 guests, four guests.

24 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Was defendant Susan Atkins  
25 present?

26 A Yes, she was.

1 Q Was the defendant Patricia Krenwinkel present?

2 A Yes, I believe she was.

3 Q Was Leslie Van Houten present?

4 A Yes, she was.

5 Q Was Charles Manson present?

6 A Yes, he was.

7 Q Were you present?

8 A Yes, I was.

9 MR. SHINN: May the record reflect, your Honor, that  
10 the witness is laughing, your Honor, smiling?

11 THE COURT: Let's proceed, gentlemen.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What took place -- strike that.

13 About what time of day was it?

14 A It was nighttime.

15 Q What took place back at the back house?

16 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds that  
17 it is calling for a conclusion of this witness.

18 And your Honor, may we approach the bench?

19 THE COURT: No, you may not.

20 The objection is overruled. Let's proceed.

21 Do you have the question in mind?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

23 There was one particular girl -- I don't  
24 remember her name --  
25  
26



6b-1

1 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, in view of the -- if the  
2 offer of proof that Mr. Bugliosi --

3 THE COURT: Don't interrupt the answer, Mr.  
4 Kanarek.

5 Let's proceed.

6 THE WITNESS: She was fairly young, I'd say maybe  
7 16, and she was very shy and very withdrawn, and I  
8 remember she was laying in the middle of the room, and  
9 Charlie took her clothes off and started making love to  
10 her and kissing her and, you know, and she was really  
11 rejecting him and, you know, trying to push him off, and  
12 he just sort of pushed her back down and kissed her.  
13 And at one point she bit him on the shoulder, and he  
14 hit her in the face, and then she just sort of let go  
15 and got behind it, or whatever.

16 Then he told Bobby Beausoleil -- no, excuse  
17 me -- yes, he told Bobby Beausoleil to make love to her,  
18 and he told everybody to touch her and to kiss her and  
19 to make love to her. And everybody did.

20 MR. FITZGERALD: Motion to strike the entire answer  
21 as nonresponsive, hearsay, and it is immaterial.

22 MR. SHINN: Join.

23 MR. KANAREK: Join in the motion, and may we  
24 approach the bench?

25 MR. HUGHES: Join.

26 THE COURT: The motion is denied.



1 MR. KANAREK: Then may I make a motion?

2 THE COURT: I think you have already made that  
3 motion.

4 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. I have another motion  
5 to make.

6 THE COURT: Make your motion.

7 MR. KANAREK: My motion, your Honor --

8 THE COURT: Just the grounds.

9 MR. KANAREK: -- is that the jury be admonished not  
10 to consider this last answer for any purpose.

11 Would your Honor so instruct the jury?

12 THE COURT: No. The motion is denied.

13 MR. KANAREK: Then may I approach the bench to make  
14 another motion?

15 THE COURT: Make your motion, sir.

16 MR. KANAREK: Very well.

17 I make a motion for a mistrial, your Honor,  
18 on the grounds of the prejudicial nature of this last  
19 enunciation by the witness.

20 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

21 MR. SHINN: Join in the motion, your Honor.

22 MR. HUGHES: Join in that motion, your Honor.

23 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q You say everyone made love  
24 to her. Are you referring to the male members of the  
25 Family?

26 A Everybody that was in the room, yes.

1 MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may I have a continuing  
2 objection and a continuing request to admonish, because  
3 this is most prejudicial what Mr. Bugliosi is eliciting.

4 MR. BUGLIOSI: The "G" is silent, sir. It is  
5 Bugliosi.

6 MR. KANAREK: I am sorry.

7 THE COURT: Let's proceed.

8 MR. KANAREK: May I have such a continuing objection?

9 THE COURT: No, you may not.

10 MR. KANAREK: A continuing request for admonishment?

11 THE COURT: You may not.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did anyone -- strike that.

13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

14 Q When you say "make love," do you mean sexual,  
15 physical love?

16 A Are you referring to this girl?

17 Q Yes.

18 A Yes. Touching her and kissing her, you know,  
19 but not the entering in.

20 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that the -- is the  
21 witness finished?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 MR. KANAREK: Then I ask that the jury be admonished  
24 not to consider the answer for any purpose because of its  
25 prejudicial effect; and mere admonishment not sufficing,  
26 I ask for a mistrial.

MR. SHINN: Join. ;

THE COURT: Motion denied.

6c fls.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did anyone who was present touch  
2 this girl before Charlie told them to do it?

3 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial. Calling for a conclusion.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.

6 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q After everyone touched the girl,  
7 then what happened?

8 A Then he told everybody to make love to every-  
9 body.

10 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Calls for a conclusion,  
11 your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 Read the last question and answer.

14 And you wait until the question is completed,  
15 Mr. Kanarek, before you make your objection.

16 MR. KANAREK: I am sorry, your Honor. I thought it  
17 had been finished.

18 (The record was read by the reporter.)

19 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, then I ask that that answer  
20 and the question be -- that the jury be admonished not to  
21 consider it for any purpose. It is hearsay, it is a  
22 conclusion.

23 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

24 Let's proceed.

25 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When you say he ordered  
26 everybody to make love to everybody, when you say "he,"



1 you are referring to Charles Manson?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What followed thereafter?

4 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Immaterial, your Honor.

5 I know I have a continuing objection, but it is  
6 by way of emphasis, your Honor, and it is calling for  
7 prejudicial statements on the part of this witness, a  
8 conclusion, possibly hearsay.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 THE WITNESS: Everybody made love to everybody.

11 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When you say "made love" --

12 MR. KANAREK: Can I ask that the answer -- may the  
13 jury be admonished not to consider the answer for any  
14 purpose?

15 THE COURT: Motion denied.

16 MR. KANAREK: Then I ask for a mistrial because of  
17 the prejudicial nature of what has been stated.

18 THE COURT: Motion denied.

19 Let's proceed.

20 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Could you define in more detail  
21 what you mean by making love?

22 MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor, on the grounds  
23 that it is calling for a conclusion.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 THE WITNESS: Well, we all shed our clothes, and we  
26 were laying on the floor, and it was just like -- it didn't

C3  
1 matter who was beside you, if it was a man or a woman,  
2 you just touched each other and made love with each other,  
3 and the whole room was like this. It was sort of like just  
4 one.

5 Q Was everyone in the nude?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Was there sexual intercourse?

8 A Yes. That is what I just said.

9 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that the last question  
10 and answer be stricken on the grounds of its prejudicial  
11 effect. It has no probative value.

12 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Do you know Charles "Tex"  
14 Watson?

15 A Excuse me?

16 Q Do you know Charles "Tex" Watson?

17 A Oh, yes.

18 Q Was Tex Watson present?

19 A Yes, he was.

20 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph.  
21 May it be marked People's next in order?

22 THE CLERK: 36, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: It will be so marked.

24 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People's 36 for  
25 identification, Mrs. Kasabian.

26 Do you know who is shown in that photograph?

1 A Yes. That is Tex.

2 Q That is Tex Watson?

3 A Yes.

4 MR. HUGHES: Could we see that picture,  
5 Mr. Bugliosi?

6 MR. STOVITZ: Yes.

7 (The photograph is handed to defense counsel.)

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you want me to continue, your  
9 Honor, or do you want me to wait for them to look at the  
10 picture?

11 THE COURT: You may continue if you wish.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q While you were at Spahn Ranch,  
13 Linda, did you ever have any discussions with Charles Manson?

14 MR. KANAREK: Object --

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 MR. KANAREK: Just a minute.

17 I will object, your Honor, on the grounds as  
18 to time.

19 The conspiracy is alleged to have taken place  
20 between August the 8th and August the 10th. So, it is  
21 ambiguous.

22 MR. BUGLIOSI: I am going to ask her, in my next  
23 question, your Honor, when these discussions took place.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25

26

6d-1

1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

2 Q When did these discussions take place with  
3 Mr. Manson, Linda?

4 A At different intervals.

5 THE COURT: What was the answer?

6 (The answer was read by the reporter.)

7 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

8 Q Between what date and what date?

9 A I have no idea as to date.

10 Q Well, you first came there July 4th, 1969;  
11 is that correct?

12 A Yes.

13 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

16 Q And you left approximately how long thereafter?  
17 How long were you at the Ranch?

18 A About a month.

19 Q Would the conversations, the discussions,  
20 have taken place within that one-month period? Is that  
21 correct?

22 A Yes, that's right.

23 Q In your discussions with Mr. Manson, did he  
24 ever say anything about right and wrong?

25 MR. KANAREK: Object on --

26 THE WITNESS: Yes.



6d-2

1 MR. KANAREK: -- the grounds that it is leading  
2 and suggestive.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay and a conclusion.

5 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

6 Q What did he say?

7 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Overruled.

9 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, ambiguous,  
10 hearsay and a conclusion.

11 THE WITNESS: That everything was all right, there  
12 was no wrong.

13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

14 Q Did he ever say anything about sense?

15 A Yes.

16 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Leading and suggestive,  
17 hearsay, a conclusion.

18 THE COURT: I didn't hear the question. What was  
19 the question?

20 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he ever say anything about  
21 sense, s-e-n-s-e, sense?

22 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi is testifying  
23 by these types of questions. It is leading and suggestive.

24 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have to direct her attention  
25 and lay the foundation, your Honor.

26 THE COURT: I still didn't get the word.

6d-3

(The question was read by the reporter.)

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he say?

THE WITNESS: He said --

MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds that it is hearsay, a conclusion.

THE COURT: I have already ruled on the objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

He used to say "No sense makes sense."

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did he ever say anything about how not to get caught?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Object. Leading and suggestive, hearsay and a conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he say?

THE WITNESS: He used to say, "If you don't get caught, you won't get caught in your head."

I mean -- I have got it wrong -- "You won't get ~~it~~ caught, <sup>thought</sup> in your head."

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did Mr. Manson say anything to you about being willing to kill and be killed?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds -- may we approach the bench on this, your Honor?

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 MR. FITZGERALD: May the preceding answer of the  
3 witness be stricken as unintelligible?

4 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

6e fls. 5 THE WITNESS: What was the question?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6E-1

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he ever say anything to you  
2 about willing to kill and be killed?

3 MR. KANAREK: That is leading and suggestive, your  
4 Honor.

5 THE COURT: overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 He used to say, "If you are willing to be  
8 killed, then you should be willing to kill."

9 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he say this many times,  
10 Linda?

11 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Leading and suggestive,  
12 your Honor, hearsay, a conclusion.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Let me withdraw that question, your  
14 Honor.

15 Q Did he say this once or many times, Linda?

16 A I heard him say it just once.

17 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Leading and suggestive.

18 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did you ever hear him say it to  
19 anyone else?

20 A No, not that I recall.

21 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive.

22 THE COURT: If you make your objection while other  
23 people are talking, Mr. Kanarek, I can't hear it, and if  
24 I can't hear the objection, I can't rule on it.

25 MR. KANAREK: She answered before I had the opportunity  
26 to make the objection.



6E2

1 THE COURT: You interrupted, too.

2 THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to wait?

3 THE COURT: Wait after the question is asked.

4 THE WITNESS: Okay.

5 THE COURT: Until counsel makes his objection.

6 THE WITNESS: Yes.

7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Mrs. Kasabian, one day in the

8 woods at the Spahn Ranch, did Mr. Manson indicate to you

9 that he was someone other than Charles Manson?

10 MR. KANAREK: Object. Leading and suggestive,

11 calling for a conclusion, hearsay, and ambiguous as to

12 time.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 I remember he took me in his arms and he said,

16 "Don't you know who I am?"

17 And I said, "No. Am I supposed to know

18 something?"

19 And he didn't answer. He just sort of swung

20 me around; just sort of played with me.

21 MR. FITZGERALD: Objection. Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

22 MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Van Houten.

23 THE COURT: The jury is admonished that the answer

24 is received against Mr. Manson only.

25 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I inquire of the Court

26 as to on what issue this answer is received as to

1 Mr. Manson? On what issue?

2 THE COURT: Let's proceed, gentlemen.

3 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did Charles Manson ever talk to  
4 you about the Beatles?

5 A Yes.

6 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: overruled.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What did he say to you?

9 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of hearsay,  
10 conclusion, foundation -- improper foundation as to who was  
11 present, the time when it occurred.

12 THE COURT: Place?

13 MR. KANAREK: Place, right. Thank you, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: overruled.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 There was a certain passage in one song where  
17 he said that he thought he heard -- or he did hear, I am  
18 not sure if it was thought or whatever -- that the  
19 Beatles were calling him, saying, "Charlie, Charlie, send  
20 us a telegram," or "Put out a song," or something. I  
21 can't exactly remember what it was. But yes, he felt that  
22 the Beatles were calling him.

23 MR. KANAREK: I ask that the answer be stricken, your  
24 Honor, on the grounds that it is this witness' conclusion  
25 as to what she thought he felt, and on the grounds that it  
26 is hearsay, that it has nothing but prejudicial value, it

1 has no probative value as to any issue in this case.

2 THE COURT: Overruled. The motion is denied.

3 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q In his discussion about the  
4 Beatles, did he ever --

5 THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, we will take our recess at  
6 this time.

7 Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with any-  
8 one or form or express any opinion regarding the case until  
9 it is finally submitted to you.

10 The Court will recess for 15 minutes.

11 (Recess.)  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

6f-1

1 THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are  
2 present.

3 You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

4 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

5 Q In discussing the Beatles, did he say anything  
6 about the Government?

7 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds --  
8 your Honor, may I -- if the Court, by its ruling, is  
9 going to continue to overrule my objections, I would, if  
10 I could have a standing objection, your Honor, wherein I  
11 am asking the Court to admonish, after making the objection--

12 THE COURT: Just state your objection, sir. Do you  
13 have one now?

14 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: What is it?

16 MR. KANAREK: Well, it is calling for a conclusion  
17 and hearsay; and by way of emphasis, even though I have  
18 a continuing objection, in order to convince the Court,  
19 it is not just to make a record. I object on the grounds  
20 that it is immaterial. It has nothing to do, your Honor,  
21 with any --

22 THE COURT: Any other grounds?

23 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. But then I make a  
24 motion --

25 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

26 MR. KANAREK: May I make a motion to the Court?



6f-2

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 MR. KANAREK: The motion is so that Mr. Bugliosi  
3 can ask these questions and I not interrupt him, my motion  
4 is that it be deemed that every time I make the objection  
5 and your Honor overrules it, that there also be a request  
6 to admonish the jury not to consider it for any purpose.

7 And mere admonishment not sufficing, that it be  
8 deemed that I have made a motion for a mistrial.

9 Now, if your Honor will agree to this, then  
10 Mr. Bugliosi can ask his questions and there won't be the  
11 interruption.

12 The vice is with Mr. Bugliosi's questions, your  
13 Honor -- that is my position -- and we can accomplish and  
14 have the smoothness that your Honor wishes by allowing this  
15 to be deemed as I have indicated.

16 May I have that?

17 THE COURT: Well, I am not clear at all as to what  
18 you are proposing, Mr. Kanarek.

19 MR. KANAREK: What I am proposing is this: It is  
20 our position that everything that Mr. Bugliosi is asking  
21 this witness is immaterial, it solicits hearsay, there is  
22 no foundation for a lot of the questions, as it is  
23 conclusionary.

24 Now, if we can have an objection -- maybe we  
25 can work out certain grounds that would be continuing  
26 other than materiality --

6f-3

1 THE COURT: If you wish to do that, I suggest that you  
2 prepare something in writing, Mr. Kanarek, and I will be  
3 happy to consider it.

4 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I am not the one asking  
5 the improper questions, Mr. Bugliosi is.

6 THE COURT: We are going to proceed, gentlemen.

7 Ask your next question.

8 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

9 Q In the discussion about the Beatles, did Mr.  
10 Manson mention anything about the Government?

11 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds that  
12 there is an improper foundation. There is no showing who  
13 was present, when it occurred, where it occurred. It is  
14 ambiguous as to time. It is calling for a conclusion, and  
15 hearsay.

16 THE COURT: The objection will be sustained on the  
17 grounds of no proper foundation.

18 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When did you have this discussion  
19 with Mr. Manson about the Beatles?

20 A I don't know the time.

21 Q Okay.

22 Within the one-month period?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Who was present at the time?

25 MR. KANAREK: Then I object, your Honor, on the  
26 grounds, that it has clearly no materiality; it is a

1 conclusion, hearsay; improper foundation as to who was  
2 present.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 THE WITNESS: I don't really recall who was  
5 present. It seems that all the faces were the same way  
6 back then.

6g fls.

5G-1

1 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q You remember that there was yo  
2 and Mr. Manson?

3 A Yes.

4 MR. KANAREK: Object. Leading and suggestive.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 Pull the microphone a little closer, please.

7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he say anything about the  
8 government?

9 A Yes, he did.

10 He was referring to a certain song.

11 MR. KANAREK: May that last question be read back?  
12 I didn't hear it.

13 THE COURT: Read the question.

14 (The record was read by the reporter.)

15 MR. KANAREK: I must object, your Honor, on the  
16 grounds that that is a conclusion and hearsay.

17 What government are we talking about?

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 THE WITNESS: He was referring to a certain song that  
20 John Lennon sings about Christ, you know, "It ain't easy,"  
21 "How hard it is going to be," "They are going to crucify  
22 me."

23 And he said -- ~~Charlie said~~ -- that they are  
24 not going to crucify him <sup>(meaning - later)</sup> this time like they did last time.  
25 That he is going to go and hide in the hole. And that  
26 John Lennon, through this song, is programming the people,



1 ~~the Establishment people, to crucify him.~~

2 MR. FITZGERALD: Motion to strike John Lennon's  
3 remarks as hearsay.

4 MR. KANAREK: Motion to strike your Honor, on the  
5 ground that it is a statement -- a hearsay statement.  
6 It has no probative value whatsoever.

7 THE COURT: Motion denied.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What were the words of those  
9 songs again? You went over it rather quickly.

10 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, what the words  
11 were.

12 May we approach the bench, your Honor?

13 THE COURT: The objection will be sustained.

14 The question has been answered.

15 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Approximately how many children  
16 were at the ranch?

17 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 THE WITNESS: There were three children, and near the  
20 end there was an addition. I believe there was a little  
21 boy. I don't know his name.

22 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Who were the other three?

23 A Tanya, Bear and Zeezoo.

24 Q Who took care of Bear?

25 MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor.

26 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 THE WITNESS: In the beginning it was mostly Little  
2 Patti. I don't know her last name. I just knew her as  
3 Little Patti. And Leslie took care of them most of the  
4 time.

5 Q Do you know whose child Bear was?

6 MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Mary Brunner's.

9 Q How about Zeezoo?

10 A Sadie's.

11 Q Sadie's child?

12 A Yes.

13 Q A girl or a boy?

14 A A boy.

15 Q Did Mr. Manson ever say anything about pro-  
16 tecting these children from anyone?

17 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the ground --  
18 Your Honor, it is calling for a conclusion, hearsay, has  
19 no probative value, only prejudicial value.

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

21 DEFENDANT MANSON: May I object to my lawyer's  
22 objections?

23 THE COURT: No, you may not, sir.

24 THE WITNESS: Yes.

25 He wanted us to keep the children out of sight.  
26 In other words, not to let them walk around in front of the

1 ranch.

2 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Why? Did he say why?

3 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion.

4 THE WITNESS: We were being watched.

5 MR. KANAREK: And hearsay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7-1

1 THE COURT: Don't interrupt, Mr. Kanarek, I warned  
2 you before. Wait until the question is completed or  
3 until the answer is completed.

4 MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor ask her not to  
5 answer until I have an opportunity, your Honor? She is  
6 answering almost automatically when Mr. Bugliosi asks  
7 the question.

8 THE COURT: Read the last question.

9 THE REPORTER: "Why? Did he say why?"

10 THE COURT: Is there an answer?

11 THE REPORTER: The answer was "We were being  
12 watched."

13 MR. KANAREK: I believe I interposed an objection  
14 as a conclusion and hearsay, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes, he told us we were being  
17 watched by Black Panthers.

18 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

19 Q Did he say what the Black Panthers might do  
20 with the children?

21 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds  
22 of conclusion and hearsay, conjecture.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 THE WITNESS: Well, the Black Panthers hate white  
25 people and these were our children, from us, and that  
26 they probably would kidnap them or kill them or whatever.



7-2

1 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

2 THE COURT: No, you may not, sir.

3 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion to strike this last  
4 statement, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

6 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

7 Q Did Mr. Manson say anything about the Panthers  
8 killing the adult members of the Family?

9 MR. KANAREK: I object, leading and suggestive,  
10 conclusion, hearsay, improper foundation as to time.

11 It is ambiguous.

12 THE COURT: The objection will be sustained on the  
13 ground there is no proper foundation.

14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15 Q Did Mr. Manson ever have a conversation with  
16 you in which he said that the Panthers might have some  
17 designs on the adult members of the Family?

18 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of improper  
19 foundation, leading and suggestive, calling for a conclusion  
20 of the witness, hearsay, ambiguous as to time.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 THE COURT: Answer that yes or no.

24 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

25 Q When did this conversation take place?

26 A Again I'm not sure of the time. It was within

1 that month.

2 Q Do you know who was present?

3 A I know there was a group of people.

4 Q Do you remember any of them?

5 A No, I cannot see the faces.

6 Q Okay, what did he say?

7 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay,  
8 conclusion, improper foundation, ambiguous as to time.

9 She said she doesn't know when, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. KANAREK: It has to be between August 8th --

12 THE COURT: You may answer.

13 THE WITNESS: Well, he said that we were being  
14 watched and that black people would come to the Ranch  
15 at the weekends and take the horses out and go up into  
16 the hills behind the Ranch, and they probably -- he  
17 thought -- may have the setup of the Ranch and that we were  
18 supposed to keep out of sight during the night, that is  
19 why we had to wear black clothing and we had guards.

20 MR. KANAREK: Motion to strike the answer, your Honor,  
21 as having only prejudicial and no probative value.

22 THE COURT: Denied.

23 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

24 Q What guards are you talking about?

25 A At nighttime there would be one or two men  
26 with guns walking around the Ranch.

1 Q Who were these men?

2 A Whoever, you know, the men who were there,  
3 Tex or Bruce or -- I can't remember all their names,  
4 Larry I believe is one.

5 Q Members of the Family?

6 A Yes.

7 MR. KANAREK: I object to the use of the word  
8 "Family" as a conclusion, a solicitation of a conclusion.

9 I checked Webster's Dictionary and I don't  
10 believe there is any foundation in this regard that there  
11 is any family in this record that has been shown by  
12 testimony.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15 Q Do you know who ordered the men to stand guard?

16 A No.

17 MR. KANAREK: Calling for conclusion, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

20 Q Did you ever sleep on the roof of the saloon?

21 A Yes, the first night I was there.

22 Q Okay, were there guards on the roof that  
23 first night?

24 A Excuse me?

25 Q Were there guards on the roof that first  
26 night?



1 A Yes, there was.

2 Q Did you ever hear Manson say anything about  
3 discontinuing sleeping on the roof?

4 MR. KANAREK: Solicitation of hearsay and conclusion,  
5 your Honor, and improper foundation.

6 THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer that yes or  
7 no.

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

10 Q What did he say?

11 A He did not want --

12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, she is answering auto-  
13 matically. She is not following the Court's order.

14 May I please interpose an objection?

15 THE COURT: There is no foundation, Mr. Bugliosi.  
16 The objection is sustained.

17 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

18 Q How long did you sleep up on the roof, how  
19 many nights?

20 A One night.

21 Q Were there other people sleeping up on the  
22 roof at that time?

23 A Yes, there was.

24 Q Okay, and then you discontinued sleeping up  
25 on the roof, is that correct?

26 A Yes.



1 Q Did Mr. Manson say anything which caused you  
2 to stop sleeping up on the roof?

3 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, hearsay,  
4 improper foundation.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: Not directly to me, but to Gypsy,  
7 I believe it was.

7a fls.

8 THE COURT: Just a moment, you answered the question.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

7A-1

1 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Go ahead.

2 THE COURT: No, just a moment, she answered the  
3 question. There is no foundation for anything further.

4 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: There were horses on the  
5 ranch, is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And Mr. Spahn would rent those horses to people?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did you ever see black people actually rent the  
10 horses?

11 A Yes.

12 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds of  
13 the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment on top  
14 of the others, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Manson say anything to  
17 you as to why he believed the Black people were coming out  
18 there to rent horses?

19 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds it calls for  
20 a conclusion, hearsay, no foundation as to time and people  
21 present.

22 THE COURT: He has not asked for the conversation,  
23 Mr. Kanarek.

24 The objection is overruled. You may answer  
25 yes or no.

26 THE WITNESS: Yes.

72a

1 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When did he say this to you?

2 A Right when I first got there, near the very  
3 beginning.

4 Q Who was present at the time?

5 A Who was present? Would you ask another question  
6 so I can go back?

7 Q Well, when he made the statement to you as to --

8 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I inquire on voir dire?

9 THE COURT: No, you may not, sir.

10 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You say that he made a state-  
11 ment to you about why he thought black people were coming out  
12 to the ranch to rent horses?

13 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Now, when he made the state-  
16 ment to you was he alone with you or was anyone else present?

17 A I cannot say definitely.

18 Q Okay. What did he say?

19 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds of hearsay,  
20 improper foundation, conclusion.

21 THE COURT: Do you recall who was present?

22 THE WITNESS: I think Gypsy was, but I am not positive.

23 THE COURT: Anyone else?

24 THE WITNESS: I cannot remember.

25 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

26 THE WITNESS: What was the question again?

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Mr. Manson indicate to you

7a3

1 why he thought Black Panthers were coming out there to  
2 rent horses?

3 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, conclusion,  
4 hearsay.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: Well, they knew that we were super-  
7 aware, much more than other white people, and they knew  
8 we knew about them and that they were eventually going to  
9 take over, his whole philosophy on the black people, that  
10 they wanted to do away with us because apparently they knew  
11 that we were going to save the white race or go out to the  
12 hole in the desert. ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

13 MR. KANAREK: I ask that be stricken on the grounds it  
14 is a statement of a conclusion, it is hearsay, it is a  
15 denial of Mr. Manson's right to a fair trial.

16 It has nothing but prejudicial matter in it.

17 THE COURT: Denied.

18 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did he say why they were  
19 coming out to the ranch to rent horses?

20 MR. KANAREK: Object, calling for a conclusion,  
21 hearsay, improper foundation, ambiguous as to time, ~

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

24 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did it have anything to do  
25 with the layout of the ranch?

26 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion on the part  
of the witness and leading and suggestive and hearsay.



7a4

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember because one day we  
3 went <sup>up</sup> to around where the cave was, and there were candy  
4 wrappers and things like that, and there was some sort of  
5 a comment made that maybe they had binoculars and were  
6 checking us out, the setup at the ranch, to see, you know,  
7 just exactly how we were positioned.

8 MR. KANAREK: I ask the entire answer be stricken  
9 except the word "yes." The rest is not responsive.

10 THE COURT: Denied.

11 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Manson ever mention the  
12 term to you, Linda, Helter Skelter?

13 A Yes.

14 MR. KANAREK: I object, leading and suggestive,  
15 conclusion.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18 MR. KANAREK: Ambiguous as to time, your Honor.

19 MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Kanarek knows I am going to go  
20 into time, your Honor, I would ask the Court to admonish  
21 Mr. Kanarek to wait and give me an opportunity to lay a  
22 foundation, one he knows that I am going to lay.

23 MR. KANAREK: I have no knowledge of what this man is  
24 going to do, your Honor.

25 MR. BUGLIOSI: If you would listen to my questions  
26 you would learn, Mr. Kanarek.

7a5

1 THE COURT: I don't want any colloquy, gentlemen,  
2 let's proceed.

3 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When did Mr. Manson mention  
4 the term Helter Skelter to you?

5 A When?

6 Q You said he mentioned the term Helter Skelter.  
7 Do you know approximately when?

8 Was it within this one-month period?

9 A Yes, it was.

10 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: overruled.

12 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did he tell you what the term  
13 Helter Skelter meant?

14 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, hearsay,  
15 improper foundation and ambiguous as to time.

16 MR. FITZGERALD: Can we have the spelling of the word  
17 Helter Skelter, your Honor?

18 THE COURT: By whom?

19 MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, if the Court please, I  
20 am not familiar with the term, by the party offering the  
21 term.

22 MR. STOVITZ: I will show counsel how to spell it,  
23 your Honor.

24 MR. KANAREK: Has he a reference book for it, your  
25 Honor?

26 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I continue, your Honor?

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What did Mr. Manson say  
3 Helter Skelter meant?

4 MR. KANAREK: I don't know if I objected, but I must  
5 on the grounds of hearsay, conclusion, no foundation,  
6 ambiguous as to time.

7 THE COURT: I think you'd better lay a foundation,  
8 Mr. Bugliosi.

9 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you know when he told you  
10 what Helter Skelter meant to him?

11 MR. KANAREK: Again, your Honor, that is the  
12 "When did you stop beating your wife" type of question.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. KANAREK: Conclusion and hearsay, leading and  
15 suggestive.

16 THE WITNESS: Excuse me, I don't understand the  
17 question.

7b-1

1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

2 Q All right, you say Manson mentioned the  
3 term Helter Skelter to you, is that correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Was it within this one-month period you lived  
6 out at Spahn Ranch?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you know when within this one-month period  
9 he mentioned Helter Skelter to you?

10 A Possibly around the very beginning.

11 MR. KANAREK: May I ask your Honor that all of this  
12 be stricken on the grounds of materiality, and it is not  
13 anywhere near August the 8th and August the 10th?

14 THE COURT: Overruled. The motion is denied.

15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

16 Q Were you alone when he mentioned what  
17 Helter Skelter meant to him or were there other people  
18 present?

19 MR. KANAREK: Assuming facts not in evidence,  
20 conclusionary hearsay, I object on those grounds.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 THE WITNESS: There may or may not.

23 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

24 Q You don't specifically recall?

25 A No.

26 Q What did Manson say about Helter Skelter?



7b-2

1 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds it's a conclusion  
2 and hearsay.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 THE WITNESS: It is a revolution where blacks and  
5 whites will get together and kill each other and all non-  
6 blacks and brown people and even black people who do not  
7 go on the black people's terms --

8 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion that that last answer  
9 be stricken on the grounds of its prejudicial nature,  
10 on the ground it states hearsay and conclusions.

11 THE COURT: Denied.

12 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

13 Q Did he say who was going to start Helter Skelter?

14 MR. KANAREK: Object, assumes facts not in evidence,  
15 conclusionary, hearsay, and ambiguous as to time and place,  
16 no proper foundation.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 THE WITNESS: Blackie.

19 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

20 Q Were the words Helter Skelter written anywhere  
21 at Spahn Ranch?

22 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor -- I will withdraw  
23 that, your Honor.

24 I object on the grounds that there is no  
25 foundation as to who wrote, so in that sense it is hearsay  
26 and conclusion.

1 It has nothing but prejudicial value unless  
2 there is some showing that Mr. Manson, even assuming  
3 arguendo it has some kind of materiality, there has to be  
4 a showing that Mr. Manson had something to do with it.

5 Every tree on Spahn Ranch is not Mr. Manson's  
6 doing.

7 Unless there is a foundation shown that Mr.  
8 Manson had something to do with this, it is absolutely  
9 improper and prejudicial.

10 THE COURT: I think you will have to lay a foundation,  
11 Mr. Bugliosi.

12 The objection is sustained.

13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

14 Q Did you ever see the words Helter Skelter  
15 written anywhere on Spahn Ranch?

16 A Yes, I did, I saw it on a jug.

17 Q What type of a jug?

18 A I don't know, it was painted black and it had  
19 the words "Donation" or just "Helter Skelter" written in  
20 paint.

21 Q And where was the jug located?

22 A I saw it in the parachute room.

23 Q Is that in the front part of the Spahn Ranch?

24 A It is in the back of the main building.

25 Q And you saw the words Helter Skelter?

26 A Yes.

1 Q And something to do with donations?

2 A Something like that.

3 Q Like donations, like Helter Skelter?

4 A Possibly, I just remember definitely Helter  
5 Skelter.

6 MR. KANAREK: Then I ask that all of that be  
7 stricken unless there is some showing Mr. Manson wrote  
8 those words.

9 Mr. Manson is not responsible for 30 people.  
10 It could have been Mr. Spahn; it could have been a traveling  
11 salesman, anybody could have written those words, your  
12 Honor.

13 THE COURT: The motion is denied, let's proceed.  
14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15 Q Did Charles Manson ever speak to you, Linda,  
16 about the unity of the black man as opposed to the white  
17 man?

18 MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds of conclusion,  
19 hearsay, no foundation, ambiguous as to time.

20 MR. BUGLIOSI: And that it is also a question.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I will object on the  
23 grounds it is an improper question.

24 The vice of what is going on here is Mr.  
25 Bugliosi's improper questions.

26 THE COURT: I have already ruled, Mr. Kanarek, sit



1 down.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, He used to say that blackie  
3 was much much more aware than whitey and super together,  
4 and whitey was just totally untogether, just could not get  
5 together; they were off on these side trips, and blackie  
6 was really together.

7 MR. KANAREK: I ask that the answer be stricken on  
8 the basis that it is gibberish, your Honor, and gobbledygook;  
9 you cannot understand it.

10 It has no meaning.

11 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

12 Q Did you talk to Mr. Manson about this, are  
13 these his words?

14 MR. FITZGERALD: Objection, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

16 I admonish counsel to not engage in colloquy.

17 MR. HUGHES: I also move that counsel's remarks be  
18 stricken.

19 THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard  
20 counsel's remarks.

21 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

22 Q Did Mr. Manson say anything about bringing the  
23 white man together?

24 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds of  
25 conjecture, leading and suggestive, hearsay, conclusion,  
26 improper foundation, your Honor, ambiguous as to time.



1 THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, ~~he told me that he had --~~ ↓ ↓

3 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: \* ↑ ↑

4 Q Your answer is yes, Linda?

5 A Yes.

Now to  
P. 4972

7c fls.

7C-1

1 Q Okay, when did he have this conversation with  
2 you?

3 A I wish you wouldn't ask me time because I  
4 don't know time.

5 Q All right. Well, was it within the one-month  
6 period that you resided at Spahn Ranch?

7 A Yes.

8 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Was it in the early, middle  
11 or last part of the one-month period?

12 A I will say in the beginning, the early part.

13 Q Okay, who was present at the time that he men-  
14 tioned this to you?

15 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may the last question be  
16 rescinded so I can make an objection to the previous  
17 question?

18 THE COURT: No, sir, your objection is overruled.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

20 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Was there just you or was  
21 there more than one person present?

22 A There was possibly more than one person, we  
23 were usually in groups.

24 Q What did he say about bringing the white  
25 man together?

26 MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor, on the grounds

32  
 1 that it calls for a conclusion, hearsay, and it goes --  
 2 I ask that the Court take into consideration the fact that  
 3 she has stated that it was the first part of July when she  
 4 first got there, and the pleadings, the District Attorney  
 5 has indicated, say, August 8th and August 10th, so therefore,  
 6 your Honor, I ask that all of this witness' testimony on  
 7 this subject be stricken.

8 THE COURT: Denied. ↓ ↓

9 Q What did he say about bringing the white man  
 10 together to be more like blackie?

11 A He said he had a way to do it and his way was  
 12 the only way to bring the white man together.

13 Q Did he say what that way was?

14 A No. ↑ ↑

15 MR. KANAREK: Objection, calling for a conclusion,  
 16 hearsay, improper foundation.

17 Was there an answer, your Honor?

18 THE COURT: Not yet.

19 MR. STOVITZ: There was an answer.

20 MR. BUGLIOSI: The answer was no, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: I did not hear the answer. Did you  
 22 answer?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

24 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever see during your  
 25 one-month period, Linda, any other member of the family  
 26 instruct Charles Manson to do anything?

7C3

1 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds it calls for  
2 a conclusion, hearsay, and there is no foundation for the  
3 question.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 THE WITNESS: Not really, maybe Bruce would show him  
6 how to put a nut on a bolt or something like that.

7 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: I am referring to ordering  
8 him or instructing him to do anything.

9 A No.

10 MR. KANAREK: Objection, may I object on the grounds  
11 of conclusionary hearsay?

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever see, hear or  
14 observe any member of the Family disobey Charles Manson?

15 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds it is calling  
16 for a conclusion, hearsay, it is compound. There is no  
17 proper foundation for it. It has only prejudicial value.

18 It suggest -- it is leading and suggestive.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 THE WITNESS: Mary confided to me once that --

21 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor --

22 THE COURT: Don't interrupt, Mr. Kanarek.

23 THE WITNESS: -- when we were staying out at the  
24 waterfall Mary confided to me and told me, you see, we  
25 weren't supposed to --

26 MR. FITZGERALD: Objection, your Honor, what Mary said



7c4

1 is hearsay.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 THE WITNESS: I was not supposed to like give my  
4 attention to Tanya, because I was supposed to let her be  
5 her own person.

6 In other words, I put my ego into her, and she  
7 was supposed to be her own person, so I wasn't allowed to  
8 touch her or even feed her.

9 Q This was your own child?

10 A Right, and the same for Mary, but one day  
11 Mary told me she didn't care what Charlie or anybody said,  
12 she told me she was going to love Bear.

13 Q She was going to love her own child?

14 A She was going to love him, right.

15 Q Did you ever see, or observe any member of the  
16 Family disobey Charlie?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, conclusion, assuming facts not  
19 in evidence. Leading and suggestive.

20 THE COURT: The objection will be sustained on that  
21 ground.

22 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, it was asked but it was  
23 not answered.

24 I asked the question did she see or hear any  
25 member of the Family disobey Mr. Manson.

26 She mentioned the Mary Brunner incident.

1 My question is did she actually ever see or  
2 observe any member of the Family disobey Charlie Manson,  
3 disobey Charlie.

4 I don't believe she answered that question.

5 MR. FITZGERALD: May her preceding answer be stricken  
6 then as not responsive?

7 THE COURT: It was responsive in part.

8 MR. FITZGERALD: May the part that is not responsive  
9 be stricken?

10 THE COURT: The answer was responsive. She did not  
11 complete the answer to the question.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I re-ask the question, your Honor?

13 THE COURT: You may.

14 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever see, yourself,  
15 or observe any member of the Family disobey Charles Manson?

16 A No.

17 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the basis that  
18 the word "disobey" calls for a conclusion, the use of that  
19 word, it is hearsay and it is an improper question.

20 THE COURT: It is ambiguous, Mr. Bugliosi. The  
21 objection is sustained.

22 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever see or observe  
23 any member of the Family refuse to do anything that Manson  
24 told him or her to do?

25 MR. KANAREK: Same question, calling for hearsay  
26 and a conclusion, and again whether someone refuses or not,

7C6

1 your Honor, is a matter of interpretation.

2 That is why we have rules of evidence.

3 Mr. Bugliosi is asking improper questions when  
4 he asks for these conclusionary responses.

5 THE COURT: overruled.

6 THE WITNESS: No, nobody did.

7 We always wanted to do anything and everything  
8 for him.

7D

7d-1

1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

2 Q On the date, August 8th, 1969, Linda, were you  
3 still living at Spahn Ranch?

4 A What was the date?

5 Q August 8th, 1969?

6 A I presume.

7 Q At any time during the day do you recall Mr.  
8 Manson saying anything about Helter Skelter?

9 MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds of  
10 improper foundation, assuming a fact not in evidence.

11 The witness just said she presumed, which is  
12 undoubtedly the result of much interrogation.

13 I object on the grounds -- may we approach the  
14 bench so I can do this outside of the presence of the jury?

15 THE COURT: No, you may not, sir, your objection is  
16 overruled.

17 MR. KANAREK: May I also have the objection on the  
18 grounds of hearsay besides the other objections, your  
19 Honor?

20 THE WITNESS: May I ask you something?

21 THE COURT: No, just answer the question.

22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

23 Q Do you recall my last question, Linda?

24 A No.

25 Q During the day of August 8th, do you recall  
26 Mr. Manson saying anything about Helter Skelter?



1 A Yes, I do.

2 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of conclusion,  
3 hearsay.

4 THE COURT: Go ahead.

5 THE WITNESS: I believe that was the day he came  
6 back from Big Sur or ~~wherever~~ he came back from.

7 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

8 Q He came back from some place?

9 A Yes.

10 MR. KANAREK: May that be stricken as not responsive,  
11 that had nothing to do with it, his coming back.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 THE WITNESS: And he was telling us -- I remember I  
14 was sitting on the couch in front of -- they call it the  
15 gun room -- where Danny used to sleep.

16 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

17 Q Danny DeCarlo?

18 A Yes.

19 Q About what time was this in the day?

20 A It was in the middle of the afternoon.

21 Q Okay.

22 A And I remember the new girl that he brought  
23 back, Stephanie, I believe her name was Stephanie, now,  
24 and maybe a few other people were there, Clem, maybe,  
25 I cannot remember faces again.

26 Q Clem Tufts?

1           A     Yes, and he was telling us about his trip up  
2 in Big Sur and that the people were really not together,  
3 they were just off on their little trips, and they just  
4 were not getting together.

5                     So he came out and said "Now is the time for  
6 Helter Skelter."

7           MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask all of that be  
8 stricken. It is not responsive.

9                     I ask the last question be read back and I  
10 am sure your Honor will agree her answer is not responsive  
11 and I object and ask it be stricken, further on the grounds  
12 she has stated hearsay and conclusions.

13           THE COURT: Denied.

14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15           Q     The night of the afternoon that Mr. Manson  
16 said "Now is the time for Helter Skelter," were you still  
17 at the Ranch that night?

18           A     Yes.

19           Q     Was this the evening of August 8th, 1969?

20           A     I believe so.

21           Q     What took place that evening, Linda, at the  
22 Ranch?

23           A     It was the same, you know, we went through  
24 a supper thing. I believe we ate in the saloon.

25           Q     You say "we." You are referring to the  
26 Family?

↑ ↑

1 A The Family, ~~yes~~.

2 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of ambiguity,  
3 what took place is much too broad a question.

4 THE COURT: I think you'd better ask a more  
5 specific question, Mr. Bugliosi.

6 MR. BUGLIOSI: All right, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

8 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

9 Q Did the Family normally eat together?

10 A Yes, they did.

11 Q Where did you normally eat at Spahn Ranch?

12 A Wherever we were living, if we were living  
13 at the back house, we would eat there.

14 If we were living in the area of the building  
15 we would eat in the saloon or the campsite, if out in  
16 the woods.

17 Q On this evening of August 8th, 1969, did the  
18 Family eat together that night?

19 A Yes, they did.

20 Q Do you know where they ate?

21 A I believe the saloon.

22 Q Do you know about what time you commenced  
23 to eat?

24 A It was usually after sundown, so whatever time  
25 that is, I don't know.

26 Q How long did it take you to eat your dinner?



1 A Oh, dinnertime was really funtime, so maybe  
2 an hour, and then we -- maybe we would talk or sing  
3 songs or maybe he would play his guitar or whatever.

4 Q How many members of the Family were present?

5 MR. KANAREK: I object to the use of the word  
6 "Family".

7 There is no showing in this record that there  
8 is anything called a family.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 MR. KANAREK: No foundation, assuming facts not in  
11 evidence.

12 THE COURT: You may answer.

13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

14 Q How many members of the Family had dinner  
15 together in the saloon area?

16 A I believe all that were living there except  
17 for Bobby Beausoleil.

18 Q And did anything unusual happen after dinner  
19 that night?

20 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember I was in the kitchen,  
23 cleaning up, and maybe just sitting around.

24 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

25 Q How long after dinner was this?

26 A Maybe an hour or so.



1 Q You may continue?

2 A And there were people sitting out front, you  
3 know, on chairs or on the rocks, which was a usual thing  
4 after we eat, talking, whatever.

5 I remember I was standing out front at this  
6 one point and Charlie came up to me and pulled me off the  
7 porch, and I was standing at the very end of the porch,  
8 closest to George Spahn's house, and ~~he told me~~.

9 ~~MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I object to what was stated~~  
10 ~~on the grounds of hearsay.~~

11 ~~THE COURT: Don't interrupt, sir.~~

12 ~~THE WITNESS:~~ He told me I needed a change of clothing,  
13 to get a change of clothing, a knife and my driver's license.

14 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

15 Q He told you what?

16 A He told me to get a change of clothing, a knife  
17 and my driver's license.

18 Q Did Mr. Manson tell you to change the clothing  
19 you already had on, or to bring an additional change of  
20 clothing?

21 A To bring an additional *change of clothing. p 7*  
22 MR. KANAREK: Compound, your Honor, and also ambiguous.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

25 Q To bring an additional change of clothing?

26 A Yes.

1 Q After Mr. Manson told you to get a knife and  
2 a change of clothing and your driver's license, what did you  
3 do?

4 A Well, I went into George Spahn's house to look  
5 for my driver's license, because when I first <sup>came</sup> ~~come~~ in I  
6 handed everything over to them, and they took charge of my  
7 driver's license and my identification.

8 Q When you say "they," about whom are you  
9 referring?

10 A I don't know, just the girls, I remember going  
11 into the trailer, and the girls just took my bag and, you  
12 know, it was theirs.

13 What was mine was theirs and what was theirs  
14 was mine.

15 Q Okay, you may continue.

16 A Then I went into his house to look for my  
17 driver's license which I could not find and I told Squeaky --

18 MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds what she told  
19 someone is hearsay to Mr. Manson who was not present.

7e fls. 20 THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please.

21

22

23

24

25

26

7E-1

1 (The following proceedings were had at the  
2 bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

3 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Kanarek, I have warned you  
4 repeatedly about interrupting either a counsel's question or  
5 a witness' answer or the Court, and you have continued to  
6 do it.

7 Now, consider this to be your last warning.

8 MR. KANAREK: May I ask the Court then what can I  
9 do?

10 THE COURT: You can wait until the person who is  
11 speaking has concluded and then you may make your motion or  
12 your objection.

13 MR. KANAREK: But your Honor, it becomes a sterile  
14 act, what I am saying, your Honor, the point is what is  
15 going on here is clearly improper conduct by the District  
16 Attorney's Office.

17 THE COURT: I order you not to interrupt either a  
18 question or an answer.

19 The only way that we can have an orderly trial  
20 is to proceed in an orderly manner, and we cannot do it  
21 by constant interruption, one attorney interrupting another  
22 or one attorney interrupting the witness' answer, or an  
23 attorney interrupting the Court.

24 It is simply impossible to maintain an orderly  
25 trial under those circumstances and I will not permit it.

26 MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, may we ask that the jury be



7E2

1 excused about ten minutes to 12:00 this morning and that  
2 we be able to talk about the constant interruptions of  
3 Mr. Kanarek.

4 I think that Mr. Kanarek's motives are devious.  
5 I think that he is attempting to block the jury from  
6 following this witness' testimony because he realizes that  
7 this witness is a very important witness in the proof of  
8 the People's case.

9 I think that by his constant objections and  
10 constant interruptions he is trying to block the jury from  
11 following this witness' testimony.

12 THE COURT: He has a right to make his objections  
13 and motions, but he does not have the right to interrupt  
14 the proceedings.

15 MR. STOVITZ: Yes, your Honor.

16 However, by making improper objections, in  
17 other words, if a question calls for immaterial evidence,  
18 he can object on the grounds that it is immaterial; but  
19 when he says it is immaterial, calling for hearsay,  
20 incompetent, irrelevant, and names every other objection,  
21 like he said "unequal protection of the law, the 14th  
22 Amendment," I think it is obvious that what he is trying to  
23 do is disconcert the jury.

24 Your Honor has to control the proceedings so  
25 that the jury can understand this testimony in an  
26 intelligent fashion.



7E3

1 THE COURT: I don't want to prolong it, Mr. Stovitz.  
2 I told Mr. Kanarek again. Now, consider this  
3 to be --

4 MR. KANAREK: Yes.

5 THE COURT: -- the final warning.

6 MR. KANAREK: I understand.

7 I want to obey all orders of the Court. As a  
8 matter of fact, I try to obey each and every order of the  
9 Court, but I would refer --

10 THE COURT: You are not trying hard enough.

11 MR. KANAREK: -- the Court to Cooper vs. the Superior  
12 Court.

13 THE COURT: I am familiar with that case.

14 MR. KANAREK: There are times when the Court makes  
15 an order which is an illegal order.

16 In other words, Manson is on trial here for  
17 his life. When this witness, who has been coached by the  
18 prosecution -- the prosecution is now soliciting information  
19 from her that is not in any of the discovery, not even the  
20 discovery that Mr. Bugliosi --

21 THE COURT: This has nothing to do with what we are  
22 talking about.

23 MR. KANAREK: Because of the statements she makes --

24 THE COURT: I have given you the warning now. I  
25 suggest that you let it sink in.

26 MR. KANAREK: Yes. I will try to follow each and

7E4

1 every order of this Court.

2 THE COURT: Let's proceed.

3 MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, so that it is not  
4 necessary for me to object in front of the jury, I  
5 wonder if, for those portions of the evidence that counsel  
6 wishes to introduce against Mr. Manson solely, if the  
7 Court would instruct the jury that they are to be limited  
8 to the declarant, Mr. Manson?

9 What the prosecution is doing, I think, they  
10 are introducing some evidence on the conspiracy count on  
11 the theory that statements of Manson are admissible against  
12 the other defendants; but, at the same time, or additionally,  
13 they are attempting to elicit statements for motive.

14 Those hearsay statements as to motive should be  
15 limited to Manson; or hearsay statements of Manson for  
16 other purposes should be limited to Manson; but it is  
17 difficult for me to determine which evidence is coming in  
18 for what purpose.

8A

da-1

1 THE COURT: They have the right to show the background  
2 of this alleged conspiracy; that is, the relationship between  
3 the parties, the transactions that occurred between them.

4 Now, so far there is no evidence of any  
5 conspiracy. It may be that subsequent evidence will link  
6 up what has already happened to form the nucleus of a  
7 conspiracy. I don't know, I haven't heard the evidence, and  
8 I can't tell in advance. But they have a right to make  
9 this showing now.

10 In other words, if they stopped at this point,  
11 they would have shown nothing.

12 MR. FITZGERALD: I agree.

13 Manson's hearsay statement as to his -- Manson's  
14 -- racial attitudes should be limited to Mr. Manson unless  
15 the prosecution is contending that --

16 THE COURT: What I am saying is --

17 MR. FITZGERALD: That you don't know yet.

18 THE COURT: -- that the evidence, at this point, isn't  
19 going in against anybody. It is simply background evidence  
20 which may or may not connect up with some subsequent evidence  
21 to form a conspiracy or show something else, I don't know.

22 MR. SHINN: Then later, your Honor, if it is not  
23 connected up, can we make a motion to strike the entire  
24 testimony?

25 MR. STOVITZ: I think that is proper.

26 THE COURT: Yes. I think that is perfectly proper.

da2

1 If they can't show a conspiracy, then all of this is  
2 irrelevant.

3 MR. KANAREK: I make a motion to strike it.

4 MR. SHINN: But in the meantime, your Honor --

5 THE COURT: You can't make it before they complete it.

6 MR. KANAREK: They don't have a right, absent the  
7 showing of the conspiracy, to --

8 THE COURT: Let's proceed.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26



084

1 (Whereupon, all counsel return to their  
2 respective places at counsel table and the following  
3 proceedings occurred in open court within the presence and  
4 hearing of the jury:)

5 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I believe you indicated that  
6 you went looking for your driver's license?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you went to George Spahn's house?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Did you find your driver's license there?

11 A No.

12 Q Did you eventually get it?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Who gave it to you?

15 A Brenda.

16 Q Brenda McCann?

17 A Yes.

18 Q After you got your driver's license, what did  
19 you do?

20 MR. KANAREK: Objection. Ambiguous, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: overruled.

22 THE WITNESS: Well, Charlie was standing there when  
23 she gave me the driver's license.

24 No, this was before. I couldn't find the knife.  
25 I remembered seeing one in the saloon, and it wasn't there.

26 Then -- I believe his name was Larry -- he was

8a5

1 half white and half black -- he wasn't really a member but,  
2 you know, he was sort of halfway in between.

3 Q Hewasn't a member of the Family, you say?

4 A Yes. He gave me a knife.

5 Q All right.

6 A Then Brenda came along, and Charlie was with  
7 her, or they were standing together in a group, and she  
8 gave me the driver's license,

9 And Charlie told me to go with Tex and to do  
10 what Tex told me to do.

11 MR. KANAREK: May I make a motion that that be  
12 stricken, the statement that Mr. Manson -- whatever it was --  
13 on the grounds, your Honor, that it is hearsay, a conclusion,  
14 there is no foundation for it, and actually it is not  
15 even responsive to any question, your Honor, that is before  
16 the witness at this time.

17 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

8B

3B-1

1 MR. FITZGERALD: It is hearsay as to Defendant  
2 Krenwinkel. May this be limited to the declarant?

3 MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Defendant Van Houten also.

4 THE COURT: Yes. The statement is received only with  
5 respect to Mr. Manson.

6 I admonish the jury to consider it solely for  
7 that purpose and not with respect to any other defendant.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: After you got the driver's license  
9 and the knife, did you get a change of clothing?

10 A Yes. I had done that in the house before I  
11 got the driver's license.

12 Q All right. Let's go back a little bit.

13 A All right.

14 Q Mr. Manson told you to get a driver's license,  
15 and  
a change of clothing/a knife?

16 A Right.

17 Q Now, to the best of your recollection, what  
18 did you do thereafter?

19 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, there are a lot of things.  
20 She is in this courtroom. It is ambiguous. She has been  
21 to a lot of places since then.

22 It is an unfair question, your Honor, and it  
23 allows the witness to just ramble on and on.

24 THE COURT: Is that an objection, Mr. Kanarek?

25 MR. KANAREK: Yes. I will object that the question  
26 is ambiguous.



1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 THE WITNESS: As soon as he told me to do these  
3 things, I went into George Spahn's house. I remember  
4 rummaging through a box looking for a change of clothing.  
5 I picked up a short denim skirt, and I believe it was a  
6 lavender knitted top.

7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q The denim shirt, what color was  
8 it?

9 A Denim skirt.

10 Q The denim skirt. What color was it?

11 A Navy blue. A darkish color.

12 Q Then what happened?

13 A I asked Squeaky where my driver's license was,  
14 and she told me to look in a drawer.

15 MR. KANAREK: May I ask that that be stricken on the  
16 grounds of hearsay, whatever Squeaky said, the statements?

17 THE COURT: Motion denied.

18 MR. KANAREK: And also on the grounds of materiality.

19 May I have a continuing objection on the  
20 grounds of materiality?

21 THE COURT: Bear in mind what I told you.

22 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

23 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q After you spoke to Squeaky,  
24 what happened?

25 A She told me to look in these drawers. So I  
26 did. I believe I looked through all of them, and I couldn't



1 find the driver's license. ↓ ↓

2 She told me to look in a box on the mantle,  
3 and I looked, and it wasn't there.

4 So I left. I think I told Brenda to look for it  
5 also.

6 Then I went into the saloon because I remembered  
7 seeing a knife there. The knife wasn't there.

8 Then I saw Larry in front of the kitchen. He  
9 gave me the knife. ~~scribble~~

10 At the same time, Charlie -- Brenda gave me the  
11 driver's license in Charlie's presence. ↑ ↑

12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, then I make the motion --  
13 and I am trying, your Honor, not to interrupt the witness  
14 -- her statement about "She told me," may it be read back?

15 There is, in this answer, there were certain  
16 statements about -- I think she referred to either Squeaky  
17 or someone else telling her to do something, which is  
18 clearly outside of the presence of Mr. Manson, and it is  
19 hearsay, and I think it is immaterial.

20 THE COURT: Read the last question and answer.

21 (The question and answer were read by the  
22 reporter.)  
23  
24  
25  
26

8c-1

1 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask that those  
2 portions beginning with she told me," which I think  
3 occurred twice, and "what I told Brenda," I make a motion  
4 that those be stricken on the grounds of hearsay, your  
5 Honor. They are outside the presence of Mr. Manson.

6 THE COURT: The motion is denied.

7 Let's proceed.

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph  
9 of a female Caucasian. May it be marked as People's next  
10 in order?

11 THE CLERK: 37.

12 THE COURT: 37 for identification.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People's 37 for  
14 identification, Linda.

15 Do you know who is depicted in that photograph?

16 A Yes. That is Squeackie.

17 Q Did you also know her as Lynn Fromme?

18 A No. I knew her as Squeakie.

19 Q What is the next thing that happened? You  
20 had the knife, the change of clothing and the driver's  
21 license. What happened next?

22 MR. KANAREK: Objection.

23 Ambiguous, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 THE WITNESS: Charlie told me to go with Tex and to  
26 do what he told me to do. And I got in the car, and I

8c-2

1 believe Sadie and Katie were already in the back seat,  
2 and I remember Tex was standing over on the driver's  
3 side with the door partly open.

4 Q Before you go any further, when you say "Tex,"  
5 are you referring to Charles Watson?

6 A Yes.

7 Q When you say Sadie, who are you referring to?

8 A Susan Atkins.

9 Q The Defendant Susan Atkins? In this case?

10 A Yes.

11 Q When you say Katie, who are you referring to?

12 A Patricia Krenwinkel.

13 Q You are going a little fast. Just try to slow  
14 down a little bit.

15 A Okay.

16 Q You entered a car?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Where was the car parked?

19 A Right at the very end of the boardwalk,  
20 closest to George Spahn's house.

21 Q I show you People's 29 for identification,  
22 Linda, showing the front portion of the Spahn Ranch.

23 Could you indicate where the car was parked?

24 A Right there where the truck is.

25 THE COURT: Keep your voice up, please.

26 THE WITNESS: Right at the very end of the boardwalk



8c-3

1 where the truck is.

2 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, may I mark an X on the  
3 photograph and insert the word "car"?

4 THE COURT: Let's let the witness mark the spot  
5 on the photograph.

6 MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

7 (The witness marks.)

8 MR. BUGLIOSI: May the record reflect that the  
9 witness has marked an X on People's 29 for identification.

10 May I now insert the word "car," your Honor?

11 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

12 (Mr. Bugliosi marks.)

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph  
14 of an automobile. May it be marked People's next in  
15 order?

16 THE COURT: 38 for identification.

17 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People's 38 for  
18 identification, Linda.

19 Have you ever seen that car before?

20 A Yes. That was the car we used that night.

21 Q This is the car that you say Katie and Sadie --  
22 referring to Patricia Brenwinkel and Susan Atkins --  
23 were inside of?

24 A Yes.

25 Q You are referring to the yellow car in this  
26 photograph?



Sc-4

1 A Yes.

2 Q When Manson told you to go with Tex and do  
3 whatever Tex told you to do, where was Manson at that time?

4 A The same place where Brenda gave me the knife --  
5 excuse me -- the driver's license.

6 Q Now, when you walked up to the car, you say *↓↓*  
7 Katic and Sadie -- that is Patricia and Susan -- were inside  
8 the car. Where was Tex *again?*

9 A He was standing over by the driver's side.

10 Q Was he talking to anyone?

11 A I think he was talking to Charlie.

12 Q What is the next thing that happened?

13 A He got in the car and we started to drive away.

14 Q Who got in the car?

15 A Tex got in the car, ~~and we started~~

16 Q Were you in the car at that time?

17 A Yes. *↑*

8d fls. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8d-1

Q Where were you seated in the car?

A On the passenger's side in the front seat.

Q And Katie and Sadie, where were they?

A In the back seat.

Q Did Tex get behind the driver's seat?

A Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Is your answer yes?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When you say "back seat," was there physically a back seat to this car?

A No, there wasn't.

It was just a floor.

Q But they were in the back of the car?

A Yes.

Q Behind the front seat?

A Yes.

Q When I say "they," I am referring to Katie and Sadie; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you say Tex started to drive off the front lot of Spahn Ranch?

A Yes.

Q What happened at that point?

MR. KANAREK: Objection. Ambiguous, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: We got about the middle of the

1 driveway, you know, and Charlie called us, told us to  
2 stop.

3 And he came to the car, to my side of the  
4 window, and stuck his head in, and told us to leave a  
5 sign. He said, "You girls know what I mean, something  
6 witchy."

7 And that was it.

8 MR. FITZGERALD: May that statement be limited to  
9 the declarant?

10 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that that be  
11 stricken on the grounds -- I'd like to do this at the  
12 bench, if I may, your Honor.

13 MR. BUGLIOSI: This was in the present, of course,  
14 of Patricia Krenwinkel and Susan Atkins.

15 THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1 (Whereupon all counsel approached the bench  
2 and the following proceedings occurred at the bench  
3 outside of the hearing of the jury:)

4 THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard, Mr. Fitzgerald,  
5 on that?

6 MR. FITZGERALD: On that request?

7 MR. STOVITZ: I was wondering, your Honor, it is so  
8 close to the noon hour, whether we can just excuse the  
9 jury.

10 It is very uncomfortable here at the bench.

11 I have to crowd next to somebody, get under  
12 somebody's arm.

13 I don't avoid you people because of bad  
14 breath or anything.

15 THE COURT: Let's proceed.

16 MR. FITZGERALD: I will object on the ground that it  
17 is hearsay, that it is immaterial, and irrelevant also;  
18 and that its admissibility -- its admission into evidence  
19 is prejudicial.

20 Obviously, the mere presence of Patricia  
21 Krenwinkel does not obviate the hearsay rule. She  
22 obviously has no control over the declarant, and there is  
23 no indication that she was a party to any conspiracy or  
24 agreement.

25 THE COURT: The question I am thinking of at the  
26 moment is whether it is hearsay at all.



1 MR. STOVITZ: We don't feel it is hearsay at all,  
2 your Honor.

3 Mr. Manson comes up to the car and makes a  
4 statement like this, just as they are going out to commit  
5 their acts for the evening. It is a verbal act with the  
6 other defendants present. We will definitely be able to  
7 show that either Patricia Krenwinkel or Susan Atkins  
8 was the one that did leave the sign, and it was not Linda  
9 Kasabian. So that he was making the comments to all four  
10 persons in that car.

11 It was a verbal act, your Honor.

12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Like Mr. Stovitz says, it is a verbal  
13 act or an operative fact. It is a part of the res gestae  
14 of the conspiracy, and I think I have authority on that  
15 in my notebook.

16 THE COURT: I think it goes to the question of the  
17 relationship between the parties and the control, if any,  
18 exercised by one over the others.

19 Was that a motion to strike or a motion to  
20 limit the evidence?

21 I am going to deny the motion.

22 MR. KANAREK: It is a motion to strike, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: All right. That is a separate motion.  
24 I will deny that also.

25 It is time to recess at this time, gentlemen.  
26

1 (Whereupon all counsel return to their  
2 respective places at counsel table and the following  
3 proceedings occurred in open court within the presence and  
4 hearing of the jury:)

5 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse  
6 with anyone nor form or express any opinion regarding the  
7 case until it is finally submitted to you.

8 The court will recess at this time until 2:00  
9 p.m.

10 (Whereupon at 12:00 o'clock noon the court  
11 was in recess.)  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26