DISTRICT ATTORNEY SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

VS.

No. 3078

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN AND PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants-Appellants.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL 600 State Building Los Angeles, California 90012

For Defendant-Appellant Charles Manson:

:

: : IRVING KANAREK, Esq.

For Defendant-Appellant Susan Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Defendant-Appellant Leslie Van Houten: LESLIE VAN HOUTEN In Propria Persona

For Defendant-Appellant Patricia Krenwinkel:

PATRICIA KRENWINKEL In Propria Persona

UME 78 es 23,101 to 23,400 J. Hollombe, CSR Murray Mehlman, CSR Official Reporters 211 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Q You have seen them before?

A Before this incident right now, yes. I saw him on August 8th.

& Okay, now --

A Or it could have been the 9th, in between the 8th and the 9th at night.

Q When you saw him was he in this position or was he lying?

A No, he was not. The first time I saw him he was sitting on the edge of the bed.

Q Do you know who this is?

À Yes.

12

T

Q Who is it?

A It is Jay Sebring.

Q The head is covered, I mean you can tell --

A I remember the clothes.

Q You remember the clothes he was wearing?

A Yes, he had dark hair. He was small.

@ How tall was he?

A About five-eight, five-nine, five-ten.

Q And approximately how much did he weigh?

A Maybe 150, 160.

I am not too good at weights and heights.

Q I show you People's Exhibit 87, have you seen

this?

A Yes, that is Sharon Tate.

- Q Was that the way she was when you saw her last?
 - A Yes.
 - Q In this position?
 - A Yes.

1.7

EF \$2

.

- Q Next to the couch?
- A Yes. That is where I left her.
- Q , I show you People's Exhibit 88, have you seen this before?

Take it in your hand, have you seen that before?

- A I saw this at a distance, as I was leaving the house.
 - Q Where was shewhen you were leaving the house?
 - A On the front lawn.
- Q Was she in that position when you last saw her?
- A Yes, about that position. I would imagine that position.

She was laying down. She seemed to be looking up at the sky.

- Q I show you People's Exhibit 89, have you seen that person before?
 - A Yes, I had a fight with him.
 - Q Who is that person in the picture?
 - A I did not know who it was then. Later I

learned it's Voityck Frykowski.

- Q Was he in that position when you saw him last?
- A Yes.

5

1

i.

Ħ

12

12

13

15

21 \$ 15

11

S & 9

Q Where was he located?

A In front of Abigail Folger by the lawn, closer to the front of the house.

Q Now, do some of these pictures refresh your memory now as to what actually happened in the house?

A. As to what actually happened, yes, I do not wish to recall it, as I said it the first time at the Grand Jury, I want to recall it exactly as it happened.

And as I talk I am putting myself back in time to the time that it happened, and that is something that I am not used to doing.

- a show you People's Exhibit 15, did you ever see that before?
 - A No, I did not.
 - Q It is a picture of a swimming pool and the house.
- A I have seen the picture before but I have never seen the pool or the house.
- Q I show you People's Exhibit 14, have you seen that before?
 - A Yes, yes, I have seen it before. I was there.
- Q Now, when you say you was there, now, what did this picture depict?
 - A This picture shows a rope hanging over a beam.

It depicts a ladder going up that I remember running into.

It depicts things being knocked over, or actually fallen over.

It depicts the couch that Voityck Frykowski was lying on when I first saw him.

It depicts the fireplace.

- Q ' Yeah, but what I'm asking you, Susan, is whether or not you remember?
 - A Yes, I remember.

.1

7

10

IL

12

13

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q Where was this place located in the house?
- A The living room, just to the left as you would go into the house.

It is like there was a hallway, a very small narrow hallway that went off to the left and off to the right.

- I think there was a kitchen.
- Q How about this People's Exhibit 10, have you ever seen that area before?
- A I have seen the picture, I believe I was in the room.

It looks to me like the room that I first saw Sharon Tate in.

All I can really recall is seeing Sharon Tate laying on the bed and Jay Sebring sitting on the edge of the bed talking to her with his back to me, and his back covered her face so she could not see me when I first saw

them.

1

Į

Ì

Í

ij

51

55

£S

35 🎚 4

۳.

32

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q I show you People's Exhibit 11, have you ever seen that?

A This is the bed and the door is right to the front, and right here is where Sharon Tate was laying, and Jay was sitting on the edge of the bed.

9 Are you saying that this is Sharon Tate's bedroom?

A I did not know at the time that it was Sharon Tate's bedroom.

It could be Sharon Tate's bedroom, I don't know. I just know she was lying on that bed.

I remember seeing the bassinette up at the top of this, just flashing.

Q In other words, you saw this bedroom in the Tate residence?

A Yes.

Q Did you walk into this room?

A Yes, I walked to the door and then I walked away from the door.

And right before this door there was another door and then there was another bedroom.

And there was a lady laying on the bed, and she was -- I think she was reading, and she looked at me and smiled, and I looked at her and smiled.

- Q Now, when you first looked into Sharon's bedroom, did you see anybody in the bedroom?
 - A Yes.
 - Q How many people?
 - A Two.
 - Q was it a male or female?
 - A There was a male and female.
 - Q / And was someone in the bed?
 - A Yes, the female was lying in the bed.
 - Q And where was the man?
- A The man was sitting next to her close to her on the edge of the bed with his back to me kind of leaning.

He had almost one arm over her, straddled over her waist, it looked like her waist or her thighs or some--thing was underneath his arm.

- Q So did you say anything to them?
- A Not at first, I think I did later.
- Q What did you say to them later?
- A Come with me.
- a And did they respond?
- A Yeah.
- Q Did they say anything?
- A "What are you doing here?"
- Q What did you say?
- A I said, "Be quiet and come with me."
- Q And did you have your knife out?

- A Yeah, I had it in my hand, and they saw it.
- Q And you said, "Come with me," and where did you take them?
 - A To the living room.
 - Who was in the living room?
 - A Man on a couch, Tex, Linda and Katie.
 - Q Anyone else?

3

5

7

3

9

IL

12:

13

15

16

17

18:

10

20

• 21

22.

23

24

25

26

- A I think before I got those two I went in and got Abigail Folger.
 - Q How, where was Abigail Folger?
- A That was the first bedroom that I saw, the first person that I saw after I saw the man on the couch.

And she smiled at me and I smiled back at her,

- Q In other words, you saw Miss Folger first, before you sew Miss Tate?
 - A Yes, I believe -- Yeah.
- Q Is that what you remember seeing, Miss Folger first?
 - A Yea.

Where was Miss Folger when you first saw her?

A She was sitting up in a bed with her legs crossed, a cigarette either burning in the ash tray or in her hand.

I remember seeing the digarette smoke.

And I looked at her and she smiled as if she knew me and I smiled back.

her?

9

12

19

21

And I waved to her or gave her a peace sign -I motioned to her with my hand and I moved on, and that is
when I saw the man and the woman on the bed.

- Q Now, let's go back to Miss Folger.

 Did you say anything to Miss Folger when you saw
 - A 'No.
- Q No words were exchanged between you and Miss Folger?

A I think I just smiled, because as I remember I think I just smiled.

- Q Did you take her some place?
- A Not at first. Can I go backto the living room?
- Q Yes, please do.

A The man on the couch stretched like he was waking up, and I was behind the couch and Katie was next to the couch behind the couch next to me.

And Linda was at the man's head, and Tex was in front.

Tex did have a gun, Tex did have a gun. I saw the gun, yeah, he had a gun.

And he said something to the man, because the man looked at him.

- Q When you say "man," what man are you talking about?
 - A The man on the couch, this big man, very big.

3 4

6

9

10

g.

11 12

13

15 16

> II I3

20, 21

23

He almost fit the whole couch, the length of the couch. It was a long couch.

And the man said something to Tex like, "What are you doing here? What do you want?" or something to that effect --

I could feel his fear building up.

And Tex pointed a gun at him and said something --- what did he say? What did he say? "Shut up."

To shut up.

Tex was crazy. It was like -- I never seen Tex like that before.

And everything seemed to be moving like an electric current was moving me.

And the words came to me, whether they came to me from my head, Tex's head, Linda's head, the words just came to me, "Go see if there is anyone else," and that is when I walked back through the hall and I walked back and I saw the woman lying on the bed with her legs crossed sitting --

She was not lying, she was kind of propped up on pillows reading a book.

I stopped and I looked at her. She looked up at me and she smiled, and that is when I smiled back.

I did something with my left hand. I either waved or I said something with my hands to her, and I moved on, and that is when I saw the woman lying on the bed.

I remember seeing blond hair and a man's back, and the man had dark hair.

I could not see the woman's face at first, and I looked at them and I kind of moved -- I think I moved back so that they would not see me.

I remember seeing a basinette.

Inturned around and I went back and I just walked in and I looked at Tex and then I looked at Katie and the man on the couch and I said, "There's three more."

And then I think Tex said, "Bring them here."

3 So did you?

3

6

7.

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes, I went back to the first bedroom and I just walked into the bedroom, looked at her and said, "Get up."

- Q You say, "I looked at her," you mean Miss Folger?
- A Yes, the woman with the dark hair,

I said, "Get up, come with me."

And I brought my knife out and I pointed my knife at her.

I said, "Come with me," and I made sure that she walked in front of me.

I think I grabbed her arm and walked her into the living room.

And then I went back to the other two, and I looked at them and I said, "Come with me," and they looked at me and said something about, "What do you want?"

* **†**3

TE.

I said, "Shut up, just come with me."

And like my voice came out of me was strange to me. It was like I was angry. I didn't even know the people.

And I stepped backwards, I walked backwards, and I stepped back into the doorway on the left-hand side, and I let them walk in front of me, and then I walked behind them and walked them out in the living room, and they just went into the living room. They didn't put up any fight.

I could feel their fear.

By that time the man on the couch was tied up.

I don't remember whether I tied him up or Katic tied him

up.

I know his hands were tied with a towel.

- Q Okay, what was Linda Kasabian --
- A Linda was standing there, and then she disappeared for a minute. Time -- I don't know how long she was gone. I think I saw her walk out the front door.
- Q Well, did you see her do anything before she walked out the front door?
 - A No, but she came back in.
 - Q Then she came back in?
- A Yeah, she came back in later because she had to, because I had exchanged knives with her.
- Q Now, did you see what Linda Kasabian was doing in the house?
 - A In the house?
 - Q Yes.

5

8

9

10

11

- 12

. 13

15

16

17

13

39

2)

21

22

25

- A I wasn't paying too much attention to what Linda was doing in the house, I don't think.
 - Q Well, did she have a knife out?
 - A I remember seeing a knife in her hand.
 - Q Was she near anyone?
- A She was standing at the head of the man on the couch.

Q Did she say anything to him?

A No, not at first. She may have said "Be quiet."

And then the people -- the three people were standing at the hallway entrance to the bedroom and I had moved back behind the couch, and Tex had moved to the end of the couch, to the head of the couch with the gun, and the small man, the small man came and started walking to Tex, and approaching Tex.

I heard a shot.

. 7

Я

9

10:

11

12

15

16

17

.18

19

20.

21

22

23

21

25.

It was a chair -- the man seemed to be approaching the chair, but at the same time he had to keep moving to Tex to approach the chair.

And that is when the shot happened, and the blonde woman screemed and then -- this all happened so fast.

The blonde woman screamed and then there was the rope -- I don't think the rope was there -- I think someone carried it, I haven't got together on who carried that rope.

The two women screamed, the man on the couch said something and I told him to shut up, and he shut up.

And the rope went around, I think Tex tied the rope around the people's necks.

The man on the floor was shot and the two women's necks -- then he threw the rope over the beam.

- Q Do you recall what happened after that?
- A I'm trying to remember so hard.

.7

10

11

.12

13

16

'n

18.

19

20

21

23

25

The man on the couch started to get up, and I tried to stop him and he kept pulling my hair and I was kicking at him and I was fighting him, it was like I was fighting for my life, it was like I never fought before, something I never experienced.

I just kept swinging, I kept swinging the knife, I would swing the knife backwards, it was like --

I could feel the knife going into something, but I didn't know what it was going into, because he had ahold of my hair and he was pulling on my hair and I was kicking and I kept saying, "Tex, help me, help me, Tex."

And the man let go of me and he started to run, and I went to run after him, and then I looked over any Katie was calling "Help."

She was fighting with the two women. And the darkhaired woman had ahold of Katie's hair and was pulling on it and Katie was fighting and she called for Linda, and Linda came in, and I ran to the pregnant woman because she was starting to take the rope off her of neck.

And I put my arm around her neck and I had her head in my arms, and then I saw Tex come back to the man on the floor with the rope around his neck and he was stabbing the man.

I was still holding onto the woman.

And Linda came to help Katie. I dropped the knife.

So I asked Linda for her knife, and she handed me her knife.

Katie wasn't there any more. The woman with the dark hair wasn't there any more. I was alone.

Tex was gone and Linda was gone. I was alone with that woman. She was pregnant.

She kept pleading "Please don't kill me, please don't kill me, I'm not going to say anything."

I just looked at her like "Shut up."

I told her to shut up, I don't want to hear it.

She said "Please, let me sit down."

I said, "I'm not going to let you do anything, just be quiet."

Q Then what happened?

7

, 8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

:24

25

25

A I laid her down on the couch.

I threw her down, and held my knife at her, and I said, "Don't move, don't move."

She said "Please, all I want to do is have my baby."

I said "Don't move, don't talk to me, don't say anything to me. I don't want to hear it"

Tex came back in and he stood over and he looked at her and he said, "Kill her." And I killed her.

And I just stabbed her, and she fell, and I stabbed her again.

She put her arms up, and then her arms fell.

I don't know how many times I stabbed her.

I don't know why I stabbed her.

There was nobody in the house. I remember seeing Tex hitting that big man on the top of the head in the doorway.

Then he came back in and he told me to kill her, and I killed her.

10

ù

118.

13

15

17

18

19

22

Then I ran outside and Katie was just getting up from in front of the woman on the grass.

Tex was crazy, he was just running and he was leaping.

And I called for her and I called for her.

There was so much noise, so much noise.

And I ran back into the house. That is when I picked up the towel. Then I walked over to Sharon Tate. I looked at her stomach, and then I touched her stomach with the towel.

I walked to the door and I wrote "pig" on the door.

10

11

, 12,

13

15

IĠ

17

And then I threw the towel back into the house.

I ran outside and Tex and Katie were standing there.

Then we walked away from the house, so much fear, I was feeling so much fear.

I walked out of the gate, I walked past the car, and the man was in the car and he wasn't moving.

I walked down the hill and Linda was in the car, in the driver's seat.

Tex told her to move over. I got in the back seat and I closed my eyes and I remembered I did not have my knife.

And I told Tex, "I don't have my knife," and he said, "Where is it?"

And I said, "I don't know."

He said, "Oh, well, --"

Then we drove off, I changed my clothes. We went up in the mountains.

Q You said that already, Susan. I want to get you back in the house now.

You said you stabbed Sharon Tate?

A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

.13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q Okay, now, just before you stabbed Sharon Tate who was holding Sharon Tate?

A I was.

Q You was holding Sharon Tate?

A In the beginning when I saw her getting loose from the ropes --

Q Were her hands tied?

A No.

9 How did you hold Sharon Tate?

A - I had her head in my headlock, like a headlock.

Q Her hands were free?

A Behind her.

2 Tied behind her?

A No.

Q Free?

A Yes.

Q Was she punching with her hands?

A No.

Q What was she doing with her hands?

A I wasn't paying any attention to what she was

doing. I had her so she couldn't move, and if I were --

- Q Well, you had her in a headlock, correct?
- A Yeah.
- Q Right side or left side?
- A I had her on my right side.
- Q Were you holding her pretty tight?
- A Yes.
- Q She wasn't scratching you or kicking you with her feet or hands?
 - A No, she was scared, scared as I was.
 - Q Okay, you got her in a headlock, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - And where was Charles Watson at that time?
 - A Outside, I guess, I did not see him.
- Q Okay, now, did you stab Sharon Tate when no one else was in the house?
 - A I don't remember if Tex was there or not.
- Q was anyone else in the house when you stabbed Sharon Tate?
 - A I don't remember.
- Oh, somebody could have been there. I know Katie was not there.
 - Q Where was Linda?
 - A She could have been there, but I am not sure.

1

3

5

8 9

7

10

12 13

15

16

19

20

"Ĩ8

21 22

24

23

. 26

25

Q Now, how long were you holding Sharon Tate in a headlock before you stabbed her?

A Not for very long. Long enough to restrain her, to tell her to shut up.

Q Okay.

Now, where was your knife when you had her in a headlock?

A My left hand.

I believe it was before that I exchanged knives with Linda.

Q Okay.

My question is: Where was this knife when you had the headlock on Sharon Tate?

A Did I have a knife? (Pause.)

I could have had one in my left hand and holding it away from her, and then I could not have had one in my hand.

All I know is that I ended up with a knife in my hand.

I remember exchanging knives or getting a knife from Linda Kasabian, because I looked at her and said, "Help me. Give me your knife."

Q Will you demonstrate for us what kind of a headlock you had on Sharen Tate with your hands?

A Her head was right here, and I had my arm, this part of my arm, and this part of my arm, around her kind of in a V.

5

ĥ

7

Æ

Ţ

10:

11.

12:

13:

4

ij

- Q Were your hands together at that time?
- A Here, Yes,
- Q Just with your hands like that?
- A It could have been like this, but I think it was like this. And I was pushing up with this hand.
- And what hand was your knife in, the left or the right hand?
 - A I think it was my left hand.
- Q, So, you had her on your right in a headleck; correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you had your knife in your left hand; correct?
 - A I believe so.
- How I got the knife --- I know I dropped the knife. W know I got another knife, and I know I got that knife from Linda.
- Whether I got that knife from Linda during the time I had her in a headlock, after I had put her down on the couch, exactly when I got the knife, it is very foggy.
 - Q OKAY.
 - So, now, then, after you had her in a headlook, then you mabbed her; correct?
 - A She asked me: "Let me sit iown. All I want to do is have my baby."

And I more or less threw her on the couch in a sitting position where I was facing her, and I told her:
"Shut up. I don't want to hear it."

- Q So, now you are standing over her; correct?
- A Yes.

. 1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

.16

17

18.

19

20:-

* 2E

· 22:

23:

24

25

- Q And did you still have your knife in your hand at that time?
 - A Yes.
 - Q You did?
 - A, Yes.
- Q How, was anyone else in the room at that time now after you put her on the couch?
 - A I remember Tex coming in.
- run out of the house.

Linda had come in to give me a knife, and just exactly when she gave it to me, I don't remember.

I just know that she gave me a knife during my battle with this blond-headed woman.

okay. Now, she is on the couch and you are standing over here, and Linda came in and gave you a knife at that point?

A No.

She came in when Katie was battling with the dark-haired woman.

Q Then somebody else was in the room at that point

correct?

11

12

tr

ÌĮ.

ì.

.:

£1

ī\$

23

ß

A Yes.

And that is when I went over to grab the woman who was taking the rope off her neck with the blond hair.

- Q Who was that?
- A That was the pregnant woman, Sharon Tate.

Q Was Sharon Tate on the couch at this point?

You have got a headlock on her now and you put her on the couch.

A Yes.

Q Right?

A Yes.

5

6

7

9

10

11.

12

- 13

15

.17

18

19

30

24

2

s £ 22

£ 25

Katie had run out, and Tex had run out, and Linda had run out after Katie had run out.

I think that is when she gave me the knife.

- Q Linda gave you the knife at that point?
- A Yes. I think that is when she gave me the knife.

And everybody ran out, and I was alone with a man on the floor and with the blond-headed woman. And I just grabbed her and held her.

- Q And you put her on the couch?
- A I threw her on the couch after I held her for a while.
- Then after Linda gave you the knife, did she stay in the room or did she leave?

A I don't remember seeing her because I was putting too much attention on to the blond-headed woman, and I was paying attention to her.

I don't know if Linda was in there. She could have been.

Q So, now you put this blond-headed woman on the

couch.

6

7

8

ġ

. 10

11

13

15

16

17.

12

3

.23

21

22.

23

镁

What did she do when you put her on the couch?

A She just looked at me and said: "Please let me have my baby."

I said: "Shut up. I don't want to hear it."

Q Then you stabbed her; correct?

DEFENDANT MANSON: She said it four times.

THE WITNESS: I killed her.

DEFENDANT MANSON: She is your client. Lighten up.

MR. EUGLICSI: Motion to strike the gratuitous comment by Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: Mr. Manson's comment will be stricken and the jury is admonished to disregard it.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Excuse me. I meant that to be under my breath. I didn't mean it to be out loud.

THE WITNESS: What more can I say, Mr. Shinn?

I am remembering it as I saw it. Not the way I said it before but as I saw it.

MR. SHINN: Okay.

- Q Now, you are back at the ranch; correct?
 The next night you went out again; correct?
- A Yes.

Details in between going from the time I got back to the ranch to the time I got back in the car.

I remember going to sleep in the bunk house.

I remember wiping off the car before I went to

sleep.

5

6

7

10

П

12

13

14

16

.. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.25

It is not even like it was asleep, it was like I passed out.

My head was blank. There was nothing in me.

It was like I had given it all.

And I remember getting up in the morning, walking around and wondering if it was real, if it really happened.

And I didn't want to look at it.

I went into the trailer, and Barbara Hoyt was sitting on the couch straining to see the television because she didn't have her glasses on.

And I asked her to turn the channel.

She said: "What for?"

And I said: "Just turn the channel. I don't want to watch that."

And she got up and she went over and she turned the channel three or four times.

And it was there, and it was real, and I did do it.

8

9

6

11

12

16

19

24 25:

- Q Then you went out that next night with Clem, Tex, Linda, Katie and Leslie; is that correct?
 - A And myself.
 - Q And who was driving the car this time?
 - A Linda.
 - Q She took you someplace?
 - A She drove all around.

She went on freeways and came off freeways, went through rural areas, then went back on freeways.

I remember seeing the sign saying Pasadena.

We went around a whole bunch of houses. Stopped one time and started the car again, and went off.

And in between all of this travel I would fall asleep. I was tired. I was exhausted.

I didn't want to be where I was.

- Q Did you finally stop at a house or some place?
- A Yes. In front of Harold True's house.

I remember the driveway. I had been there before.

I had had an acid trip at Harold True's house, a big party.

And Linda got out of the car.

- Q Was anything said before Linda got out of the car?
 - A "I am going to go talk to Harold True."
 - Q Did she, in fact, leave the automobile?

- A I saw her walk away with Tex.

 Tex got out of the car.
- Q Now, did they finally come back?
- A Yes.

ľ

6

7

10

11.

13

14

- Q Were they gone for a long time?
- A Long enough for me to smoke part of a cigarette.

And it was like I fell asleep with the cigarette in my hand, but it was like I didn't fall asleep, but I know I fell asleep, and I woke back up when I heard voices.

I'd fall asleep, I'd wake up, I'd fall asleep.

It was like I wasn't. I was not thinking.

- Q When they came back, what happened after they came back?
- A Linda got back in the car, and Tex told Katie and Leslie to get out of the car.
 - Q Then what happened?
- A Katie and Leslie and Tex walked off.
 Tex, Katie, Leslie.

Then after I was in the back seat alone and Linda was in the passenger's seat, in the front seat.

Clem was in the driver's seat.

And Clem told Linda, "You drive."

And Linda said, "I don't want to drive."

Clem told her, "You drive."

So she drove.

They stopped at a gas station.

Now, when Tex and Linda came back to the automobile, did they have any things in their hands?

A Not that I saw.

10

11

12

13

Q Did they say anything when they got into the automobile?

A Tex just told Katie and Leslie to get out of the car.

I really wasn't paying too much attention.

A Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

· 15

16

17

18

Į9

20

.21

22

23

- what happened at the gas station?
- A Linda got out of the car.
- Q Was anything said before she got out of the car?
- A She said, "I will leave it here."
- Q And did you see something in her hand at that time?
 - A Yes. But I didn't know what it was.
 - Q Did you see something in her hand?
 - A Yes.
 - .; What did it look like to you?
 - A It looked like a small square of something.

She was in the front seat and I was very low in the back seat, and I didn't see it until she got out of the car, and I saw something in her hand as she walked into the restroom.

Q When she left the car, did she say anything -- Linda?

A I think she said, "I will leave it here. This is a good place."

Q That is all that was said?

A That is all that was said. That is all I can remember her saying.

Did anyone in the car say anything?

A No.

I know I didn't say anything. I just sat back in the back seat.

Clem got out. I think he bought some gas or something, or got a pack of cigarettes or something.

- Q Then you all drove off?
- A Yes.

They got back in the car and drove off.

- Q Who was driving this time?
- A Clem.

ĺ

11

12.

13.

15.

16

17

18

k. 19

21

Ţ

1!

13

15

- Q Did you go some place else?
- A Yes.
- Q Where did you go?
- A To the beach.
- what beach was this?

A Oh, I don't know what beach it was. It was like we drove a long, long time.

It was like I was going in circles.

I'd look and try to figure where we were going, and it was just like I was moving in and out of traffic, and I didn't know where I was going.

And we ended up parked on a hill at the beach, and we got out.

Hermosa Beach? No.

What beach was it?

I don't remember the name of the beach.

I just know we got off at a beach and we all walked around.

- when you say we all walked around, who is we?
- A Clem, Linda and myself.

We walked around, and Clem and Linda were holding hands, and I was kind of walking behind them. Then I would walk with them. Then we would split up.

And then we walked back to the car.

- Q Was anything said between you and the other persons?
 - A No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q While walking at the beach?
- A No, uh-uh.

I remember seeing a police car, and I got afraid.

- Q Where was this police car?
- A It was just driving around.

At the beach there was like a recreation area,, and then there were houses on one side, and there was part of a recreation area. Then the ocean on the other side, and a big long wall, and a walkway between the houses and the beach.

- Q And did you talk to these police officers?
- A I didn't.

	Q	Did	anyone	in	your	group	talk	to	these	police
office	ers?									

- A I remember I kind of hid behind a tennis court.

 Linda and Clem may have spoken to them.

 I remember seeing them walk by. And then I walked up
 to them after the police were gone.
 - Q And what did you do after the police left?
- A Walked back to the car and got in the car, and Linda drove.
 - Q Did you go some place then at that time?
 - A Venice.

5

6

٠ 9

-10

11

12

13

14

16

17

21

- Q What did you do in Venice?
- A Linda pulled up in front of a house, and she says, "I know the man that lives up on the fourth floor," or "The fifth floor," "and he is a pig and he has some money, and let's walk on up."
 - Q So, did Linda go up?
 - A Linda led the way up the stairs.
 - Q And did you all go up, you and Clem?
 - A Yes.

Linda told me and Clem to stay behind the wall.

Like as you walk down a corridor, you turn,

and I don't remember whether it was right or left, but I

remember walking up a lot of stairs.

And I heard Linda knock on a door, and somebody said, "Who is it?"

And she said, "Linda."

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14.

16

17

18

19

20

21

And he says, "Well, I don't know a Linda."

And she said, "Excuse me."

And she went to another door and knocked on another door.

Q Was she looking for somebody?

A Evidently this person she knew that had some money.

Q Did she say what his name was?

A No. She just said he was a pig.

Q So, what happened after she knocked at the second door?

A I don't know.

There was a hesitation.

I didn't see what went on, because I was behind the hall and I was waiting for her, because she told me to wait there.

She told me and Clem:

"Wait there and I will let you know if it is okay."

And she just came back and she said, "Come on, let's go."

So I walked back down the stairs with her, and we walked along the beach for a while.

And Linda or Clem -- between Linda, Clem and

Clem had a gun.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

So I took the gun and I put the gun-in my pants, put my sweater or my shirt over it so that you couldn't see it, and I walked out, across the beach to the pier.

I was going to hide it under the pier and come back myself later and get it.

I walked up underneath the pier and I looked underneath the pier, and it was very dark and very cold.

And I remember seeing shadows of people moving back in there.

I remember seeing a man changing his clothes back under there, and I was aware of people underneath the pier, and I didn't want to be seen putting anything anywhere

So, I walked back to Clem and Linda who were waiting kind of like of in a circle of cement with, I think, like an umbrella over it, and I said, "Well, we can't put it there."

So we walked across the street over into the rural district of Venice.

I don't know Venice very well. All I remember is that I put the gun underneath some boards behind a house and I buried it there.

And then I went and got Clem and I showed Clembers the gun was.

We walked back to the gar, we got in the on

1-

and we drove back to Topanga Canyon.

And I said, "Let's get high."

6

7

10

20

22

Did you stop some place else before you got back to the ranch?

> Α Yes.

I knew some people, and I really wanted to get I wanted to smoke some grass. high.

And I stopped at a house right next to the Malibu Feed Store. I don't even remember the people's names. They were just people that I had met in Topanga canyon.

And Clem and Linda and I sat down with an older man and a young girl and we smoked some grass.

And then we left, and we drove through the canyon.

And Clem and Linda let me off.

And I went to Devil's Canyon. I went the back way to Devil's Canyon.

It took me about an hour and a half to walk to the waterfall; an hour, an hour and a half to walk to the waterfall.

> And let's see. Who was there? Stephanie was there --

Who else was there? Q

There were like two areas. There were like two campsites. There was one at the waterfall and one around the corner.

L remember seeing Stenni

Snake.

7

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

I remember seeing Tanya. I think Tanya was there.

Zeezo was there.

- Who is Zeezo?
- A And I think Charlie was there sleeping.

It is very foggy who exactly was there because I just went there and fell asleep.

Q This place was about what, a mile away from the ranch?

A A mile and a half, two miles, maybe three miles back into the woods.

I don't know distances. I just know it was a long walk to me.

Q And you went to sleep that night?

A I went to sleep in Devil's Canyon, and I think I stayed in Devil's Canyon for two days. A day and a half, two days.

I don't know how long I stayed there.

I didn't ever want to leave.

Q You stayed at the Spahn Ranch until a later date, did you?

A Yes.

Q And you went to a place in Death Valley?

Yes.

Approximately when the

A Oh, about three or four days after the raid at the ranch. Maybe five days.

I just jammed. I got wind. I went to the desert to run away.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

11

狂

81

Œ.

Û.

12

Q Who else went to the desert with you?

A There were a lot of people that had left before I left. I kind of stayed at the ranch for a little bit and got things together at the ranch.

And then I left. And I know I traveled with Leslie and, oh, there was another girl.

Which one was it that I went to the desert with? (Pause.)

I remember Katie was at the desert when I got there. Stephanie was at the desert when I got there. Charlie was at the desert when I got there. Bruce was in the desert. Ruth was in the desert, Ouish. Snake was in the desert. Sherry was in the desert.

I remember when I got to the desert, I think I went with Juan and Leslie. I am not sure.

There are so many times that I left for the desert, I want to get it right, who was with me at this particular time.

Everybody went out to the desert. It was like the ranch was kind of vacated. Maybe one or two people

don't recall exactly with was that

Q Why did you leave the Spahn Ranch?

in jail, knowing what I had done, knowing Bobby was arrested.
I had to get away. I had to get myself together.

The more I tried to get myself together, the more untogether I got.

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

38

19

20

And then we were arrested in the desert, and a bullet came about two inches away from my head when I was arrested in the desert.

The man who shot the gun didn't know he was shooting at people inside of a hole inside of a hill. He just shot into it.

And I came out of the hole and I was arrested and taken to Inyo County.

And when I was in Inyo County, I was there for two or three days and didn't even know why I was arrested.

And then Mr. Gunther and Mr. Whitley came and took me out of Inyo County jail, handcuffed me, didn't say anything to me or where they were taking me. They were in plain clothes.

They put me in a car with another man who was a sheriff or a highway patrolman, and we drove out across the desert.

And I knew one of them a gun, and I didn't know as going to do with it.

contact with society's reality.

7

9

10

11

12

13

.12

16

17

18

Q And they took you what, approximately 14 or 15 miles away?

A It took ten or fifteen minutes to get there.

Q Did these two persons identify themselves?

A Afrer they took me out of the car, I looked at them, and I was too afraid to say anything to them at first.

I felt they were police officers but I wasn't sure, and didn't want to say anything.

my head, my state of mind at that time was that I 'didn't know whether they were going to take me out and shoot me, or what they were going to do with me.

So I just kind of was quiet.

And one of them offere d me a cigarette, and I.said, "Thank you."

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, we will adjourn at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding penalty until that issue is finally submitted to you.

The court will adjourn until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench a moment?

THE COURT: Very well.

the bench.)

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

MR. FITZGERALD: We would like to confer among ourselves for five minutes, if we might.

THE COURT: Very well, you may.

Anything else?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

Mr. Crow share. I wonder if you would now order him back for tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: The court is adjourned.

(Whereupon at 4:25 o'clock p.m. the court was in adjournment.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, FLBRUARY 10, 1970 9:45 A.M.

---0---

THE COURT: People versus Manson.

In the matter of motion to quash subpoena.

Mr. Kanarek, have you been served with a copy of the motion to quash the subpoena?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I nave.

THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

10

11

12

15

16

17

ľ

10

21

-23

24

5

Mr. Pete Killer, is Mr. Pete Killer here?

THE COURT: This apparently was filed late yesterday afternoon. I did not receive it until this morning, Mr. Warren, and it is obviously too big to digest in a few minutes.

I was only able to glance at it, not even able to read it thoroughly, let alone check the citation.

MR. WARREN: I anticipated that would be the case. Obviously it took us approximately a day to get it out.

I did not anticipate the Court would have time to analyze it thoroughly, even if it had been received by yesterday/the Court.

I will say that one reason for its length is that the constitutional aspects of the proplem were so ruled, that the 9th Circuit decision was deemed most important. Some of the other decisions simply are not in

published Reporters, so we had to detach them as they were turned out by the Court, in other words, copies of the type-written opinion.

1

10

11

12

13

15

Í6.

17

18

19

21.

For example, one of our exhibits is the Caldwell vs. United States case involving the New York Times reporter that was called in connection with the Federal Grand Jury that was seated up in San Francisco.

That decision was rendered by the Ninth Circuit Court in November of 1970, and the lag in the Federal Reporter System is such that it has not as yet found its way into the public volumes. So that in some respect accounts for the length.

Our actual written material or brief is more like on the order of 10 or 11 pages.

We are either prepared to go ahead or, if the Court would prefer to have the matter argued on another date, if there is enough remaining evidence on the penalty phase, why, that would be fine with us.

10

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Well, we are obviously going to be going over into next week, and I would prefer to have read the cases before I hear the argument.

MR. WARREN: That will be perfectly satisfactory to us, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: I have no objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Monday is a legal holiday.

What about next Wednesday, a week from today?

MR. WARREN: Wednesday will be fine.

THE COURT: 9:00 o'clock.

MR. WARREN: Fine.

THE COURT: February 17th.

MR. KANAREK: May I check that just a moment, your Honor?

(Pause.)

That is agreeable, your Honor.

MR. WARREN: It is agreeable to the moving party.

THE COURT: All right.

Then the motion will be continued for hearing until Wednesday, February 17th, at 9:00 a.m.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. WARREN: Thank you.

THE COURT: The Court will recess at this time.

MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor order Mr. Finstoss

back on that date?

THE COURT: I don't think that is necessary.

MR. ANDERSON: David H. Anderson, assistant United States Attorney, representing Samuel Barrett, representing Samuel Barrett, Federal Probation Officer.

MR. KANAREK: Is he here?

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. ANDERSON: Yes, but in view of the prohibition against witnesses, I didn't have him come into the courtroom.

MR. KANAREK: May we have him back?

THE COURT: All right. Have him come in and I will order him back for next Tuesday.

(Mr. Samuel Barrett enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Is Tuesday realistic, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANARFK: I don't think so, because Linda Kasabian is coming back.

Mr. Barrett has a calendar. I don't want to interfere with it any more than necessary.

THE COURT: What is a convenient date for you, Mr. Barrett?

MR. BARRETT: Your Honor, any day during the first part of the week would be convenient, like a Monday or a Tuesday, or even a Wednesday.

THE COURT: Wednesday?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, certainly, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Then you are ordered to return to this court, Mr. Barett, on Wednesday, February 17, at 9:00 a.m.

MR. BARRETT: Very well, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: The Court will recess until we are ready

to resume with the Manson case.

7

10

11

. 12

13

15

16

17

18

THE COURT: All defendants, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue your examination, Mr. Shinn. MR. SHINN: Thank you.

SUSAN DENICE ATKINS,

the witness on the stand at the time of the adjournment, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Would you state your name, please.

THE WITNESS: Susan Atkins.

THE CLERK: You are still under oath.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

BY MR. SHINN:

3

ï

- Q Sadie, yesterday I believe we were talking about when you were arrested in Inyo County.
 - A Yeah.
- Q And then I believe you stated that two officers in plain clothes took you to a spot about 14 or 15 miles away from Inyo County Jail?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, they picked you up in Inyo County Jail?
 - A Yes.
 - g Before they took you out of your jail cell --
 - A Yean.
 - Q -- did these two officers may anything to you?

A Before they came to me I was taken out and had my handprints taken.

I was taken away from the other girls and I was put in a room all by myself, I imagine it was a receiving tank because there was a little room; I could look right into the police station's office, where policemen were walking around.

I saw a whole bunch of men running around, and I saw the two men that came and took me away, but before they came and took me they left me sitting there for about two hours.

Then they came and they just called me out and handcuffed me.

- Well, did they -- did they identify themselves?
- A No.

10

11

12

13

,15

2

- Q Did they have any guns on them?
- A No, but I saw a bulge on the taller one, the dark-haired one, Mr. Whiteley.
 - 2 Do you know the name of the other officer?
 - A Mr. Guenther.
- Q Did they say anything to you when they took you out of this jail?
 - A "Put your hands out in front of you."
 - They handcuffed you?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, did they take you some place at that point?

A One took me by my arm and took me outside and I asked him where I was going.

They didn't say anything.

į

10

11

12

13

17

19

20

21

22

He said, "You're going for a ride."

And then they took me and they said, "Walk over to that car," and they walked me over to a marked car and I got in the back seat with Mr. Whiteley and Mr. Guenther was in the front seat on the passenger side, and the Highway Patrolman was on the driver's side and he drove.

Q Okay.

Now, before you left the Inyo County Jail, did they ask you whether or not you had an attorney?

A No.

6

7

·8

9

10

11

12.

13

16

17

18

19-

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q Did they ask you whether or not you wanted to talk to an attorney?

Did they ask you if you wanted to talk to an attorney?

A No, they didn't ask me anything. They just told me what to do.

Q Did they give you any type of constitutional rights?

A No.

Q They didn't tell you that you had a right to remain silent?

A Not then.

Q So they put you in this automobile and they drove you some place?

A Yes.

Q And approximately how far was this other place that they drove you to?

A You know, like I just come out of the desert, and I don't know how far.

It was far. We just drove, and we were driving fast.

I looked at the speedometer. It said about

95.

6

7

10

11

17

21

23

10

Z 5

è?

- Q Were you driving for a long time?
- A No.
- About how long, approximately?

 Ten minutes? Fifteen minutes? Twenty
 minutes?

A It sounds about like that is what it would have been.

Q So, now, they took you to another -- what was it, another jail, or house, or what?

A They took me into a small town and they drove around, they made a couple of turns, and by that time I had had a cigarette.

I was trying to roll my_own, and Mr. Whitely said. "Here, take this," and they gave me a cigarette.

And they pulled up in front of what was a substation. It said "Substation of Independence," or something like that.

And they told me to get out.

- Q Then where did they take you from there?
- A They walked me into a door. They had to unlock the substation door.

They walked me like into a hallway. There was a door to the left, and a big room and a desk on the right, and other doors. But it was like empty. It was almost like a storage place.

And I just didn't say anything, I just did what they asked me to do.

And they told me what to do. And they walked me into a small room. It was long and narrow and it had a couple of desks and chairs, with a heater on one side.

And I was cold. So I walked over to the heater and turned on the heater with my foot, because the heater was down low. It was built in the wall. It was like an electric heater that switched on and off, and I could turn it on with my foot. I was handcuffed and I didn't have no shoes, so I used my toes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

up.

Then they told me I could sit down.

And I told them I was cold, I wanted to stand

Q Now, were you afraid at that time?

A My awareness was up. I didn't know what they wanted. I didn't know who they were, what they took me away from everyone else for.

X 4

इंड इ

23

just t

Q So, you were out here in this lonely spot with just two police officers and yourself; correct?

- A Correct.

Well, I didn't even know they were police officers.

Q Well, did they later identify themselves as police officers?

A Yes. When they sat down.

Mr. Gunther sat on my right and Mr. Whiteley sat on my left, and there was like a desk in front of us, and there was a briefcase on the table, on the desk.

And they had pads out, and I believe it was --

I said: "Well, what is it? Who are you?"

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is hearsay, your Honor, and I
object on that ground.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

- So they had a conversation with you; correct?
- A Correct.
- Q They took a statement from you?
- A What is that?
- Q They took a statement from you?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, did they bring anything into the little room?

A briefcase, or a --

Q All right.

Now, did they, at any time, state that they were going to tape your conversation?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is irrelevant.

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Sustained.

The answer is stricken.

The jury is admonished to disregard it.

Wait for the ruling.

BY MR. SHINN:

10

11

12

13

14

Q Now, did you see them with a tape recorder?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant.

MR. SHINN: Why is it irrelevant, your Honor?

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Later, after I gave them the statement.
BY MR. SHINN:

- Q In other words, where was this tape recorder?
- A I saw it being taken out of the briefcase.

Mr. Whiteley told me he wanted to tape what

I said.

And I told him: "No, put it away."

Q Now, did these two officers give you any kind of rights at that time?

1

3 4

5 6

8

7

10

11 12

13

Į)

14

16 :17

18

19 20

21

.22 23

24

25 26 A They had given me rights before they ever took a statement from me, and I looked at them, and this is when they had identified themselves as two homicide detectives from Los Angeles.

And I just looked at them, and I said "Okay."

And they said: "We are here on Gary Himman."

And I said, "Okay."

And they said, "Do you know him?"

And I said, "No."

They said, "Don't play games."

And I said, "Okay."

And I said, "Okay."

Q Okay.

Now, my question was: Did they ever give you your constitutional rights when you went into that little room?

And I said, "Yes, I knew him."

A Yes.

And when I asked for a lawyer, they told me they wouldn't get me a lawyer until I told them what they wanted to hear.

Q Okay.

So now, when they gave you these rights, did you see them turn on the tape recorder?

- A. No.
- Q In other words, when they gave you the constitutional rights, and when you stated that you wanted an attorney, that wasn't recorded; is that correct?
 - A. That is right.
 - Q You didn't see them --
- A I didn't see them touch anything, other than their pencils to their paper.
 - Q From there they took you back to Inyo County Jail?
 - A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

16

i

į.

Ġ,

They told me -- Mr. Guenther kind of looked at me and smiled, and said, "How do you like to fly?"

And it was a strange question, and I told him, "High."

- Q So then they took you back to the Inyo County jail; is that correct?
 - A Right.
- Q Then did someone later bring you down to Los Angeles?
 - A Yes.

That same -- about an hour after I got back to Inyo County, Mr. Guenther and Mr. Whiteley told the matron at the jail to give me my clothes so that I could get dressed -- my clothes, my jail -- not my jail clothes, but

my desert clothes -- so I could get dressed. And then they handcuffed me and they drove me to an airport, and we got in a little four-passenger plane and flew to L.A.

But we didn't fly to the L.A. Airport. I believe we flew to the Oxnard Airport.

10

11

- Q Okay, now, just before they flow you down here from Inyo County, did anyone tell you why you were going down to Los Angeles?
- A Mr. Whiteley told me I was being arrested for first-degree murder.
- Q Now, did he at that time ask you whether or not you wanted an attorney, or did you have an attorney?
 - A. No, not at that time.

Ί

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I had stated previously that I did not have a lawyer; that I wanted to talk to a lawyer before I ever talked to him, and Mr.Guenther got -- got really like a detective, like a homicide detective.

You have seen them in the movies, that is what he was like.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike, your Honor.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken, the jury is admonished to disregard it.

- Q BY MR. SHINN: So then you came down to Los Angeles?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- And you were placed in the women's jail, Syoil Brand?
- A The first jail I was taken to was a little station where I was booked in.
 - Q What town was this?
 - A Oxnard, I believe.

3

5

8

10

11

12 В

15

16 17

13

21

but before we went there Mr. Guenther and Mr. Whiteley drove me directly to Mr. Guenther's house, and Mr. Guenther got out of the car.

Actually, Mr. Guenther's wife came and picked us up from the airport, and for. Guenther drove -- Mrs. Guenther; drove us back to Mr. Guentner's house and they exchanged cars and drove me to the police station and booked me on first-degree murder and asked me what name I wanted to be booked under.

Now, when you say police station, where was this, Los Angeles?

It was in the Los Angeles area but it was like a ; substation.

It was -- I don't recall exactly what station it I was only there for about 10 or 15 minutes. . EBW

- So then, later you got to Sybil Brand, correct?
- Correct, I was booked in at 8:53.
- So when was the next time you went to court?
- Oh, about three days later, about three or four Å. days later, I don't remember exactly. It's in the records.
- C And then you went -- do you know what court you went to?
 - Santa Vonica. À
 - Chay now, at that time did you have an attorney?
 - Ď, No. I was told I would get a Public Defender.
 - Casy, then tid you subsequently get a Public

Defender to represent you?

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is all irrelevant, your Honor.

that's

MR. SHINN: What is it prelevant?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's all irrelevant.

THE COURT: Do you wish to approach the bench?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.

MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

10

11

12

13

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

X

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Shinn, I think, Mr. Shinn I think wants to bring in all of the legal procedures and the mechanics and the representations by attorneys and all that that occurred prior to her testimony at the Grand Jury.

This is all totally irrelevant.

This is the penalty phase of the trial. This has absolutely no bearing on any issue whatsoever, your Honor.

There is no issue that the prosecution raised, and the defense cannot raise this issue.

It is strictly a straw man issue that is being introduced, I don't know for what reason, but it has no applicability to the penalty phase of this trial.

We never introduced her testimony at the Grand Jury. If we did, then I think there would be a valid reason for Mr. Shinn going into the background.

We never introduced it during this trial.

THE COURT: It would seem to be premature, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, this is just all background history of the defendant, your Honor.

THE COURT: We are not interested in just background history unless it has some relevance in this case. The fact she was arrested and flown in an airplane hardly has relevance.

6

7 8

10 11

12 13 14

16

17 18

19 20 21

MR. SHINN: The background up to the time she was put in the County Jail.

THE COURT: What background? It is not every fact that occurred every day that is relevant.

MR. SHINN: It is leading up to it. It is foundational I cannot just jump ahead --

THE COURT: What is it leading up to? Let's get to the point.

MR. SHINN: What?

THE COURT: What is it leading up to?

MR. SHINN: It is leading up to her representation and her Grand Jury testimony.

> She is going to testify she cooperated with --THE COURT: Are you going to elicit from her what she

said before the Grand Jury?

MR. SHINN: Maybe, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is hearsay.

MR. SHINN: Wait a minute, she testified -- to corroborate her testimony.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's hearsay, what she testified to at the Grand Jury, or what she told me the previous night, that is all hearsay.

What exception to the hearsay rule is there, a prior consistent statement?

We are not attacking. It is what the Court said, it's premature. We are not attacking her testimony on the witness stand yet.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

. 13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SHINN: I may want to impeach her, I don't know.

MR. BUGLIOSI: You may want to impeach your own client?

MR. SHINN: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Ah, come on.

MR. SHINN: Under the new Evidence Code anybody can be impeached.

MR. BUGLIOSI: You can't put on a prior consistent statement, your Honor, it's hearsay and no exceptions to the hearsay rule, certain conditions must be present before a prior consistent statement can be offered.

THE COURT: At the moment, Mr. Shinn, I fail to see any relevancy of the present line of questioning to this phase of the trial.

MR. SHINN: You won't even let it in as foundation, your Honor?

THE COURT: Foundation to what? Show me some relevance.

MR. SHINN: Well, I thought past history of a defendant, it's relevant in the penalty phase, your Honor, that is what it is leading up to, your Honor.

THE COURT: This has nothing to do with the defendant's past history. It is a chronological series of events.

It has no relevance to any penalty issue.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think you have already shown her past history, Daye, San Francisco --

MR. SHINN: Where is the cutting off point? Where is the cutting off point, overnight, and all that? THE COURT: It is one thing for her to testify she did certain things. It is another thing to elicit hearsay, conversation from her. That is what you are doing. MR. SHINN: Is Mr. Bugliosi objecting to the hearsay, what the officers told her? THE COURT: Also on the grounds of relevancy. Let's get on with the examination. (The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:) BY MR. SHINN: Now, directing your attention to December 5th, 1969, did you testify in the Grand Jury hearing? MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MR. SHINH:

- And this testimony was pertaining to this case? ą,
- A Yes.

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

- Is that correct? Q
- À Yes.

1

3

4 5

6 7

9

11 12

10

13

16

19

18

21 22

> 24 25

25

Q Now, did you have an attorney at that time?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant.

. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q BY MR. SHINN: You did have an attorney?

A. Yes, I did.

Q And what is his name?

A. His name is Richard Caballero.

Q And he represented you at the Grand Jury hearing, is that correct?

A. Yes, he was a court-appointed lawyer.

Q Okay, now, when did you first meet Mr. Richard Caballero?

A. I met Mr. Caballero in court in Santa Monica, after my case had been transferred from Malibu to Santa Monica, and I think it was the day after it hit the news that they had a break in the case.

I am not sure exactly what day it was,

Q Okay, so did he later see you at the women's jail?

A He talked to me for about a half hour, an hour, about a half hour at Santa Monica in a little room.

He told me he would come up and see me at the jail.

And I told him okay.

Q Okay, now, when he saw you was there any

discussion about this case?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q BY MR. SHINN: There was a discussion about this case with your attorney, Mr. Caballero, at that time?

- A. Yes, there was.
- Q Is that correct?
- A. Yes.

3

5

9

10

н

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

27

23

- Q And in this conversation about this case did you tell Mr. Caballero that you knew something about this case?
- A. Mr. Caballero told me he knew that I knew something about the case.
 - Q Now -=
 - A And I just looked at him.
- / Q Yes. Now, before that did you tell anyone at Sybil Brand about this case, your cellmates or roommates?
 - A. I told them what I wanted them to know.
- And you talked about this case to other people, other inmates at Sybil Brand?
 - A I talked to two girls about it.
 - Q What are their names?
- A One was named Virginia Graham who was not the woman who came here to testify, and I know that for sure.

 And the other one was Koni Howard.
- And you did relate to them some of the facts about this case, is that correct?

A. I related to them my imagination.

There were basic facts that I put into it, and over 60-70 per cent of it was imagination.

- Q When you say imagination, are you saying that some of the things that you told your two cellmates were not true?
 - A That is correct.

5

10

12

13

15

18

21

22

4

Ĉ

ŝ

- Q Just speculation?
- A. If it's speculation --
- Q I mean, was it speculation, imagination on your part?
- A. It was imagination on my part at the time I was telling them.

It was very real to me what I was telling them;
I was magical mystery touring in the jail.

And they scared me, so I threw up a wall of fear.

I did not think it would be that frightening to them after what they had told me about themself.

Q So then did your attorney tell you that he heard that you told these cellmates about the case?

A. Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is all hearsay and it is irrelevant.

MR. SHINN: She can answer yes or no, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, in your conversation with Mr. Caballero, your attorney at that time, did he mention

that someone said something about this case that you told them?

A. Yes.

į.

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

MR. BUGLIOSI: Hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained. The objection is sustained. The answer is stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard it.

- Q BY MR. SHINN: You had a conversation with Mr. Caballero about this case, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q This conversation took place at Sybil Brand?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, approximately what month was it in 1969?
- A. It was, I believe, a day or two days after Thanksgiving, three days after Thanksgiving.
 - 9 1969?
 - A. Yes.
- Now, how many times did you talk to Mr. Caballero concerning this case?
- A. That one time, and he told me he did not want to talk to me about it at the jail; that he wanted to take me out to his office.

And I said, okay, I thought it would be a nice ride.

Q In other words, he wanted to talk to you further on this matter?

A. Yes, but he did not want to talk to me about it in the jail. He wanted to take me out of the jail and take me to his office and talk to me.

Q Okay, now, at that time he was not representing you in this case, was he?

A. No.

		l
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
	6	
	7	
	8	
·	9	
	10	
:	11 12	
•	12	
	13	Ì
,	14	
7	45	
	16	
	16 17 18	
	18	
	19	
	20	İ
أنسف هذاه بير رسي	21	
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
ئستنسس	23	
Address (medical)	24	İ
١	25	

- Q He was representing you in another case in Santa Monica, is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q Now, during your discussions with Mr.

 Caballero, did he mention anything about you testifying before the Grand Jury?
 - A Not in the jail.

When he got me out to his office, the first time I believe it was the last of November, 1969, right around the first of December --

- Q Let me ask you this.
- A -- the records are clearer.
- Q Let me ask you this:

How many times were you taken out of the women's jail to go some place else?

- A Three times.
- Q Three times?
- A. Three times that I can remember distinctTy.
- Q And how many times did they take you down to Caballero's office?
 - A Two times.
- Q Now, let's go back to the first time you went to Caballero's office, is that located in Los Angeles?
 - A Yes, on Beverly Drive, I think.
- Q Now, I want to take you back to the first time you were taken to his office, approximately what time

did you leave Sybil Brand?

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

4, 19

22

23

24

A It was after lunch.

Q Was it in the afternoon?

A No, the first time it was after lunch, but it was close to dinner, and lunch is at 11:00 and dinner was at 3:00, so somewhere in between there.

Q Now, prior to Mr. Caballero taking you to his office, did he say that he was going to take you some place?

A Yeah, he came out the night before, the day he took me out there the first time, and told me he had a court order signed by Judge Lucas to have me removed.

Q Did he show you this court . order?

A - No. Judge Lucas -- Judge Dell -- Judge Keene, one of the three of them.

Q He said that a judge had signed this removal order to take you to his office?

A " Yes. He did show it to me, but it didn't mean anything to me at the time.

Q Now, did Mr. Caballero give you a reason for taking you to his office?

A So he could talk to me about what Virginia Graham and Roni Howard had said that I had said.

Q Any other reason for taking you out of the women's jail to his office?

- A No, not the first time.
- Q I'm talking about the first time, now.
- A No.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor, motion to strike.

THE COURT: I don't understand your objection.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike Mr. Caballero's reason for wanting to take her out. Only he would know.

MR. SHINN: If Mr. Caballero told Miss Atkins, she would know.

THE COURT: Overruled. Motion denied.
BY MR. SHINN:

- Q Did he tell you any reason?
- A Just he wanted to talk to me out of the jail, he did not want me in the jail.

He said there were bugs in the jail, which there are, and there were too many police around, which there are.

Q Well, at Sybil Brand, don't they have a cubicle where you could close the door and have a private conversation?

1	A Yes, but he did not trust the cubicle.
2	Q When you left Sybil Brand the first day, now,
3	were you escorted by someone?
4	A There was a female officer and a male officer
5	and I was taken out, and I'm not sure if it was a marked
6	car, but I was dressed in street clothes.
7	Q Were you handcuffed?
8	A The first time, yes.
9	Q And did they take you directly to Mr. Caballero'
10	office?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And when you got to Mr. Caballero's office you
13	saw somebody there?
'n	A Yeah, Mr. Caballero.
15	Q He was waiting for you?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did you see anyone else there?
18	A His secretary and people running in and out
19	of that office.
20	Q Did you meet any other attorney there?
21	(No response.)
22	Now, this is the first time you were in the
23	office?
24	A The first time I was told his partner
25 3	Q Don't tell me what someone told you.
26	A Did I see another attorney?
¥.	

- Q Yes, did you see or meet another attorney there?
- A No, I don't think it was the first time.
- The first time you saw Mr. Caballero in his office?
- A Yes, I did see Mr. Caruso the first time, but it was just before I left.
- Q Okay, now, just before you left you saw Mr. Caruso.

So did someone introduce you to Mr. Caruso?

- A Mr. Caballero.
- Q Is his full name Paul Caruso?
- A Yes.
- Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Paul Caruso?
 - A I told him he looked like my grandfather.
- Q Any other conversation? This is the first time now.
 - A I was somebody else.
 - Q Okay.

Then, let's go back to when you went to Mr. Caballero's office the first day, now, okay? The first time.

- A Yes, uh-huh.
- Q Did he take you to an office some place?
- A Yeah, he took me in and showed me all around his office.

3

4

6

7

.

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

Ŋ

21

23

ž,

S

He really seemed proud of it. He showed me his new carpeting and his new phony fireplace.

- MR. BUGLIOSI: All irrelevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

- Q Okay, so now did you stay in the office with Mr. Caballero at that time?
 - A Yeah, he locked the door.
 - Q Where was the police officers?
 - A Outside the door.
- Q And you were alone in this office with Mr. Caballero?
 - A Yeah.
- Q Approximately how long did you stay in his office with Mr. Caballero?
- A It was kind of in and out because he kept giving me Coca-Cola, 7-Up, coffee, so I had to go to the bathroom, so I had to go out of the door.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All irrelevant.

- MR. SHINN: She is only describing what went on in the office.
- MR. BUGLIOSI: All irrelevant to the issues in this case.
- MR. SHINN: I don't know why Mr. Bugliosi is objecting.
 - MR. BUGLIOSI: On the grounds of irrelevancy, your

Honor.

13

15

16

17

18.

22

23

ä

THE COURT: Overruled, the enswer is in.

Ask your next question.

BY MR. SHINN:

Q Will you finish that answer.

the rest-room, to come back in, and every time I would go out he would unlock the door, and then I would come back in and then he would lock the door, and told me not to tell anybody at the jail -- the police told me not to tell anybody at the jail, and I never told nobody at the jail the door was locked, because they were supposed to be in the room where they could hear and see, but they wasn't.

- Q Okay, now, you went to the rest-room, you say?
- A Yeah.
- Q Did the Sheriff escort you:
- A Yeah, the female deputy did.
- Q' And then you went back into Mr. Caballero's office, correct?
 - A Correct, and he wished --
 - Q What?
 - A Go ahead.
- Q Now, at that time did you have a conversation regarding this case?
- A Yeah, he sat me down across from him and he sat at his big desk, and I was going to light a cigarette and

he reached over and he lit the cigarette for me and he said "You are in so much trouble:"

5

14

17

20

21

22

23

25

I said "Okay." I said, "What kind of trouble?" Because I didn't know.

Well, all he told me was two girls said that I said something to them, but he had not told me what it was, and I said, "Who was it?"

And he held up two big transcripts about an inch thick, an inch and a half thick each one, and he flipped for me very dramatically, and he said "These two girls can put you in the gas chamber, can put Charles Manson in the gas chamber, can put Linda Kasabian in the gas chamber, they can put Patricia Krenwinkel in the gas chamber, and Leslie Van Houten in the gas chamber and they can put Tex Watson in the gas chamber, if you don't do what I say."

Q Now, he was talking about this case now; right?

A. Yes.

Q And you didn't know whether or not -- you didn't know what he was going to talk about when you went to his office; right?

A. No.

I had a feeling, but I didn't know for sure. I had a feeling.

Q Okay,

So what did Mr. Caballero say after that?

A I told him: "Well, that is hearsay."

Because that is what it was, hearsay: I heard she said.

Q Tell me what Mr. Caballero said to you.

A He said: "No, it is not hearsay," that the police know more than I think they knew.

And I said: "Well, there is nothing to know."

And he said, "Oh, yes, there is."

I said, "Tell me what they know if they know so much."

He said, "I can't tell you what they know. I can only tell you what I know. I can't tell you want they know."

And he took me around in circles,

And I just looked at him and said, 'Okar.'

I want to carry you back a couple of days now,

Susan.

3

5

7

8

10

11

18

Į9

21

22

į

10

Did Mr. Caballero talk to you in the County Jail?

- A We were first talking about the Hinman case.
- Q Before going to Mr. Caballero's office. This was on or about September the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, when he told you that he was going to take you to his office.

Did he tell you, at any time, that he was going to take you to his office to prepare for testimony for the Grand Jury?

- A. He broke that to me like lightning and thunder in his office the first time.
 - Q My question is:
 - A. But not at the jail.
- A My question is: Before taking you to his office, he never told you that the only reason he is taking you to his office was to prepare for your testimony at the Grand Jury?
 - A Not that I can recall,
 - Q You don't recall him saying that to you?
 - A I don't recall him ever saying that.

If he did, he did, but I do not recall him saying that at the jail.

- Q Okay.
 - Let's get back to his office now.
- A. Okay.
- Q So, you had a conversation now, right, about this

case?

ι

١

14

17

Į\$

'n

21

Ì

Č.

¥

- A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q Then what did he say?
- A. Then he said: "The only thing that will save your life and have a chance on saving everyone else's life is for you to go to the Grand Jury and testify."

And I said --

- Q Before he said that, did he say he made a deal with the District Attorney's Office to save your life?
 - A No.
 - Q He didn't say that?
 - A No.

He said he would do everything in his power to make sure that there was an agreement made.

- Q With the District Attorney's Office?
- A. With the District Attorney's Office.

 And I said, I told him, you know:

 "Don't make deals. For what?"
- Q Okay. So then -- now, before I go any further, was there any type of recording device in his office that you observed?
 - A. Not the first time that I saw.
 - Q Well, did he say to you the first time --
- A. He said he wanted to tape record what I had to say.

And I said, "For what?"

Q What did he say?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

20

21,

22

23

24

25

A. "My own personal references because I don't take shorthand."

And I said, "Who do you want to sell to?"

And he said, "Nothing, nothing, nothing," and
he got very defensive with me.

He said, "All I want to do is use it for my personal reference, you know."

And he really got defensive with me.

And I said, "Hey. I am just asking you a question."

Q So, did you discuss about this case the first day then that he mentioned it?

A. I discussed with him what I had told Virginia

3

4 5

6

8

10

12 13

-14

16 17

18

19

21

23

portion.

22

24

ă

Graham and Ron1 Howard.

- Q About this case?
- A. About this case.
- Was he taking any notes at that time?
- A. No.
- Q Was there any tape recorder working at that time?
- A. Not the first time I ran through the story.
- Q Well, did you run through the story twice for Mr. Caballero?

A. Yes.

He wanted to tape record it, and I told him, "Okay, you can tape record it. But I want only one copy made, and as soon as you get your personal notes taken, I want it destroyed."

And he told me, he promised me he would destroy it.

He never did. He sold it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike, your Honor.

THE COURT: That portion of the answer wherein the witness stated, "He never did, he sold it," will be stricken.

The jury is admonished to disregard that

MR. SHINN: Q Well, did you later find out that he sold the story then?

A. Yes.

He never told me he was going to sell that until after I signed something.

Q In other words, he brought you a contract to sign later; is that correct?

- A After I went to the Grand Jury.
- Q Okay.

But he did, in fact, later have you sign an agreement to sell your story; is that correct?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. We will get back to that later.

Now, approximately how long did you stay in Mr. Caballero's office?

A. Long enough to tell him the story twice, to/him light me cigarettes, to have him get me dinner, and to talk, just talk.

- Q He got you dinner?
- A Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

21

22

23

Q Did he take you out to dinner or did he --

A No. He was kind of hung up on Hamburger Hamlet, so he sent over to Hamburger Hamlet to get me a vegetarian chef's salad, a hot fudge sundae, sweet milk and bread.

Q So then you had dinner and you told the story twice.

Approximately how long did you stay there?

A He had a six or eight-hour release for me, so it was within the six to eight hours.

Q Okay.

Now, when you left the office, was it dark?

- A Yes. About 10:00 o'clock; 9:30, 10:00 o'clock.
- Q So then, most of your conversation with Mr. Caballero in his office was about this case?
 - A ' Correct.
- Q And what you told Roni Howard and Virginia
 Graham at Sybil Brand?
 - A Correct.

And about me.

- Q And about you?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay.

So now, after this date, did you see Mr.

Caballero again?

5

7

9

Ì0

Ĭl

12

13

15

16

17

22

A Yes.

He came out to see me the next day in jail. He came out to see me every day.

Q Now, did he say anything concerning this case when he saw you the next day?

A He told me, "Think about going to the Grand Jury."

And I just told him, "I don't want to testify to the Grand Jury. I have nothing to say to those people.

Let the prosecution put on the best case it has."

Q Did he explain to you what the Grand Jury was?

A He explained to me that it was a whole bunch of people that sat down and listened to what someone had to say about a crime that had been committed, whether it was from armed robbery to marijuana.

It is to indict people.

Q Okay.

So what did you say to that?

A I told him that I didn't want to testify.

He told me, "But you have to."

I said, "I don't have to do anything."

He said, "You have to."

I said, "Why?"

He said, "To save your life."

Q Okay.

At that point did he say that he had worked out a deal with the District Attorney's office to save your life at that time?

A He told me that he would try to make a deal.

I didn't know of any deal until I met Mr.

Bugliosi.

Q We will get to Mr. Bugliosi later. Don't jump ahead of the gun.

So then he talked to you and talked to you about going to the Grand Jury?

A Yes.

-17

Ю

That was the thing that he talked to me most about was going to the Grand Jury.

He not only said it would save my life, but it would put a better chance on saving everyone else's life involved.

- Q You mean the other defendants?
- A. Cormect, the other defendants.

- Q In case of an indictment?
- A. Right.

13

15

16

20

21

22

23

ŝ

٢

χ

And I didn't want to, you know.

Then I looked at him. I was so tired of him pounding and harping on me. I just gived up to it and said, "Okay."

Q In other words, you were so emotionally upset that you finally gave in?

A Well, he had put me incommunicado. He had me taken out of the regular housing area and put in a lock-up with a bunch of crazy people. And believe me, they are really crazy. They scream and yell and throw all kinds of things in every direction.

And he left me down there for two weeks,

- In other words, you were in isolation?
- A Isolation, solitary confinement.
- Q In other words ---
- A. In the M.O. tank. That is the mental observation tank, and it is not very pretty.
 - In other words, you were in a cell by yourself?
 - A Right.
 - And you couldn't talk to other inmates?
- A If I would talk to any of the other inmates, it wouldn't be like talking sense because they were all crazy.
 - Q Okay.

4

7 8

10

11

13

15

17

18 19

20

22

23

So then you couldn't have any visitors?

A. The only person that ever come up to see me was Caballero.

And I kept telling him, "Would you get ahold of Squeaky for me? I want to talk to her."

"Well, that will come a little later," he would say.

I said, "I want to talk to her."
He said, "Not right now."

I said, "Can't you get her in to see ma?"

And he said, "No. I don't want you to have

I said, "I want to have visitors."

"No," he said. "I have discussed this with the jail, and we feel that you are not to have visitors, and we want to keep you away from the flow of everything."

Q Okay.

no visitors."

Now, after you told this story to Mr. Caballero, did you, at any time, tell him that the story may be true, or the story may not be true?

A No. Because I just didn't. To me the story that I had told to Virginia Graham and Roni Howard was very real tome at the time. I would not look at reality at that time.

Q Okay.

Now, you finally decided to testify defore the Grand Jury; is that correct?

A. About, oh, it was touch and go, because when they had taken me out to the Grand Jury and they had me up on the floor, I would sit there and I would go, "No, I don't want to go in there."

And then he said, "It is all right. Everything is going to be all right."

13

14

15

17

18

23

Q You are jumping ahead of yourself.

I mean when Caballero talked you at Sybil Brand, after the day you went to his office.

He came out and talked to you; correct?

- A Correct.
- Now, at that time, did he convince you to testify to the Grand Jury?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay.

Now, did he tell you at that time that he was going to take you down to his office again?

- A Yes.
- Q Did he tell you for what purpose?
- A To go over what I would say at the Grand Jury, and to go over the procedure of the Grand Jury, to go over the story again.
 - Q Okay.

So then, were you, in fact, removed from Sybil Brand again?

- A Yes.
- Q On or about December 4th?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, when I say December the 4th, that is one day before the Grand Jury, before you testified on December 5th?
 - A Correct.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
D i	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- Q That was at night?
- A In the afternoon and night before.
- Q Now, what time did they take you out of Sybil Brand?
- A About the same time that they had taken me out the last time.
 - Q Who was present?
 - A When they took me out?
 - Q Yes.
 - A A female deputy and a male deputy.

Miss Mitchell -- no, it wasn't Miss Mitchell.

I don't know which one it was.

- Q Was Mr. Caballero there?
- A Not at first.

Mr. Caballero wasn't in his office, and I was taken upstairs to Mr. Caruso's office.

And Mr. Caruso gave me a 7-Up.

Q Okay.

Now, you talked about Mr. Caruso.

Did you meet Mr. Caruso for the second time?

- A Yes.
- Q And did you have a conversation with Mr. Caruso?
- A He told me that everything was going to be all right, and that everything they were doing was to benefit me, to get me out, to save my life, to do whatever

F

Š.

15

19

Œ

74

Æ

they were going to do with me.

Did he at any time ask you whether or not he could be your literary agent?

No, not at that time.

In fact, Mr. Caruso never asked me that question that I can recall.

- Well, did you know that they were going to sell your story?
 - A No.
 - When did you first find out? Q
- About three days after the Grand Jury. Mr. Caruso came up and told me.
 - Okay. Q.

So, you were down at Mr. Caballero's office and you talked to Mr. Caruso.

How long did you talk to Mr. Caruso?

- About 15 or 20 minutes.
- Was Mr. Caballero there?
- Mr. Caballero came in after about 15 or 20 minutes, and we went back down to Mr. Caballero's office.
- When you say we, did Mr. Paul Caruso and Mr. Caballero and yourself go?
- Mr. Caballero, myself, the two deputies walked Ł back and the secretary walked back down the stairs, and walked into Mr. Caruso's office.
 - Mr. Caruso was there too? Q
 - Correct.

- Then, in his office, did you have a conversation?
- A Yes.

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

- Q With Mr. Caruso and Mr. Caballero?
- A Mr. Caruso, I believe, stayed up in his office. I am not sure. I think he did.
- Q So, when did they first start talking to you about a book that they were going to publish?
- A. This was after I had gone to the Grand Jury that they started talking to me about the book.
- Q But did they discuss something about a book at that time?
 - A. No.
 - Q Okay.

Now, then, did later someone leave, or did you stay alone with Mr. Caballero and Mr. Caruso?

- A. By this time, Mr. Caballero couldn't lock the door. The police had to sit right outside the door and over a little ways, just so they could see in, because they were two different police, they had two different orders, and all the police none of them really know what they are doing. Everything is down, what they are doing.
- Q Then you finally talked to Mr. Caballero and Mr. Caruso alone, or did you just talk to Mr. Caballero?
- A. I just talked to Mr. Caballero alone. Mr. Caruso wasn't there.
 - Q He left then; right?

A. Yes.

6

10

11

12

13

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q What did he say to you when he got you alone?
- A He talked to me about going to the Grand Jury.

And I was still battling with him on whether I should go or not.

And he said he wanted me to meet someone.

And I said, "Who?"

And he said, "Mr. Bugliosi."

And I said, "Mr. Bugliosi?"

And he said, "No, it is Bugliosi."

And I said, "Who is that?"

He said, "He is a District Attorney."

I went, "What?" And I froze.

- Q Susan, before we get to that, did you have a long conversation with Mr. Caballero before you met Mr. Bugliosi?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q You went to his office and --
 - A "Yes. I got kind of angry.
- Q So, you had a conversation the second time now, correct, in Mr. Caballero's office, before Mr. Bugliosi got there; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q How long did you talk to Mr. Caballero?
 - A About 15 -- about an hour; a half hour, an hour.
- Q In this conversation was anything said about this case?

26

- A. Yes.
- Q Was this conversation taped at this time, do you know?
 - A. Not that I know of.
 - Q When was it taped?
- A. I don't know that it was ever taped at the second meeting. I don't know that it was ever taped.

I don't recall ever seeing a tape recorder at the second meeting in his office.

- Q Well, did Mr.Caballero mention to you that he may be taping your conversation?
 - A. No.
- G So far as you know, then, your statements about this case were taped the first time that you went to his office; is that correct?
 - A Correct.

11

.14

19

21

Q Now, let's get back to when Mr. Caballero said he wanted you to meet Mr. Bugliosi.

A I told him, "The District Attorney? Have you been talking to the District Attorney?"

And Mr. Caballero said, "Yes. Well, of course."

I said, "I don't want to talk to no District Attorney. I haven't got nothing to say to that man."

"But he is a very nice man." Mr. Caballero was trying to explain to me that Mr. Bugliosi was a very nice man.

I said, "I don't care if he is a nice man or not. I don't have anything to say to him."

He said, "All he wants to do is run over what you are going to say to the Grand Jury."

I said, "I still don't want to talk to him. Let him ask the Grand Jury.

"And didn't you tell me that the Grand Jury was a spontaneous reaction, that when the witness got up there the questions were spontaneous?"

And he said, "Yes."

And I said, "Then I have nothing to say to the man. Let him ask me the questions from the witness stand."

And he said, "No. Mr. Bugliosi wants to talk to you first."

So I said, "Okay."

You know, there was no sense in arguing. The man was going to do what he was going to do anyway.

Q Now, was this the first time you found out that you were going to testify at the Grand Jury hearing?

A This is the first time that I found out that it was for real. I mean, I really looked at it for real.

- Q That Mr. Caballero was going to push you into the Grand Jury hearing?
 - A Yes.

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

35

23

23

- Q And you didn't want to testify at the Grand
 Jury?
 - A No.
- Q Now, did Mr. Caballero explain to you that if you go to the Grand Jury and you testify that they may indict you?
- A Yes. He mentioned that they would probably indict me.
 - Q He told you that?
 - A Yes. If the Grand Jury believed what I said.

 I didn't think they would believe what I said.
- Q Did he also explain to you that in the event that you get convicted, you may get the gas chamber?
- He said that I might get convicted and get the gas chamber, but he was going to do everything in his power, along with Mr. Bugliosi, to make sure I didn't get the gas chamber.

22

But I just said, "Well."

Q Did Mr. Caballero, at that time, tell you that he had already talked to the District Attorney?

A. Yes.

I asked him if he had talked to the District Attorney, and that is when he told me that he had been a District Attorney himself quite a few years and that he was in the District Attorney's Office, or was a D.A., or something like that.

Q Mr. Caballero told you that he was once a District Attorney for the County of Los Angeles?

A. Right.

And he had a lot of influence with the District Attorney's Office.

Q But did he tell you, before you testified at the Grand Jury, that he had made a concrete deal for you?

- A. He didn't show me no papers signed, nothing.
- Q I mean, did he tell you that?
- A. He told me -- he could not personally guarantee it, but he would do everything in his power, and he felt morally obligated -- which are also someone else's words -- to save my life and do everything he could do to help my co-defendants, if I was indicted.
- Q Well, did he explain to you what he meant by doing everything to help?
 - A Get me out of it.

He asked me if I would want to plead insanity.

I said, "Insanity? No. Just plead me not guilty.

Q All right.

Did he tell you that he had talked to --

- A Yes, he told me that he had talked to Mr. Bugliosi
- Q Just to Mr. Bugliosi?

Did he talk to some other District Attorney?

- A He didn't say any other name but Mr. Bugliosi.
- Q That was the first time that you had met Mr.

Bugliosi?

12

Š

ŝ

Ż

A. Yes.

He walked in. I think he was dressed similar to the way he was dressed now, gray suit, vest.

- This was back in 1969; right?
- A Right.

He looked a lot younger then:

- Q Now, Miss Atkins, you saw Mr. Bugliosi.
 Did he introduce himself?
- A Yes.
- What did he say?
- A "Hello,"
- What else did ne say besides "Hello'?
- A "How are you? I am Mr. Buglicai."

He had a whole bunch of papers, and rolls, dig rolls of diagrams, and pictures, and all kinds of erazy things.

Q Ckay.

1 \

Let's start from the beginning, Susan.

I am trying to take you from the beginning to the end now.

- A. Okay.
- Q When you saw Mr. Bugliosi, he walked in, he said, "Hello" to you?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did he show you his badge?
 - A. No.
- Any identification that he was a District Attorney?
 - A. No.
- So you just took it for granted that he was a District Attorney?
 - A. Yes.
- At that time, you didn't know him from Adam, did you?
 - A. No.
 - Q Was Mr. Caballero in the office?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Did he, at any time, leave when Mr. Bugliosi was in the office?
- A Did Mr. Caballero leave when Mr. Bugliosi was in the office?
 - a Yes. Did he leave?
- A I think he may have gone to the door for something, to ask one of the deputies something.

I don't remember him ever leavingper se, leaving.

- Q Okay.
- A. He seemed to be there all the time.
- Q Okay.

6

7

16

17

Do you recall the conversation that you had with Mr. Bugliosi now, from the beginning?

- A. Word for word?
- Q No. Just give me the substance of it.
- A. The substance of the conversation was that he wanted to talk to me about what I had said to Virginia Graham and Roni Howard.

And I told him, "Okay. I have nothing to hide."
And I talked to him.

10

23

And I talked to him.

- Q Okay, before you told him the story about this case did Mr. Bugliosi advise you of any constitutional rights?
 - A I don't remember if he did or not.
- Q Did he say to you, "Now, Susan Atkins, you are going to tell me this story; you know you are in big trouble if you tell me this story"?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Leading question.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

- Q Okay, did Mr. Bugliosi give you any advice, any warnings before you talked with him?
 - A Warnings?
 - Q Yes.
- A Not that I can recall. That is a long, you know, it's a long time ago.
 - Q He did not give you any advice at all?
- A Not that I recall. He may have, I don't remember.
 - Q Did he ask you why you were doing this?
 - A No.
- Q Did he tell you that you were going to testify at the Grand Jury hearing?
- A He told me he wanted to go over some questions he was going to ask me at the Grand Jury.

Q What did you say?

A I don't remember what I said, all I know is that I went over the questions with him and they were pretty far out questions.

Q Did you want to discuss the case with Mr. Bugliosi?

A No.

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q Did you tell Mr. Bugliosi that?

A I think I did. I think I told him I did not have anything against him personally, but he was District Attorney and I did not want to talk to him.

He was going to prosecute me if I got indicted, and I had nothing to say to him.

Q Did Mr. Bugliosi say "Don't worry"?

A He told me he felt that if I talked to him and cooperated with him and the District Attorney's office, and testified at the trial against everyone, that I would be granted immunity.

Q Did Mr. Bugliosi use the word, immunity, at that time?

A I think so, but he did not stress the point.

He did not go on any further with immunity.

Q Now, I want you to remember back now.

THE COURT: We will take our recess at this time, Mr. Shinn.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with

anyone nor form or express any opinion regarding penalty until that issue is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes.

(Recess.)

3

5

0

8

10

11

12 13

. 14

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

24 25

35

23

THE COURT: All defendants, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: Thank you.

- Q Sadie, you stated just before the recess you met Mr. Bugliosi --
 - A Correct.
 - Q -- in Mr. Caballero's office?
 - A Correct.
- Q Now, you stated that he said something to you before you told him about the facts about this case, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Now, do you recall anything else he said to you?
- A In substance what he said was he was offering me life.

He would do everything he could do to get out of it for me, to get me out of the mess that I was in.

He said it really didn't matter if I testified against them at the trial, if I turned state evidence. He wanted me to turn state's evidence.

I told him "No, I couldn't turn state's evidence at the trial."

He said "Well, as long as you testify at the Grand Jury everything will be done that can be done to get you life, including the other defendants."

Q Did he say life for the other defendants, did

you say?

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

A Life for me and everything he could do to get life for the other defendants.

- Q So what did you say?
- A Okay.
- Q You finally gave in and he started to testify to the Grand Jury?
 - A Yes.
- Q So then what did Attorney Caballero say at that point?
 - A "Good girl," something like that.
- Q And did he say something about representing you in the Tate-La Bianca matter?
 - A Yeah.
- Q At that point you did not have an attorney for that case, did you?
 - A No, I did not.
- He said he would represent me at the Grand

 Jury trial; that if I wanted him to represent me at

 the trial that he would be available for me.
 - Q When he said "available," --
- A That he would make arrangements through the courts to be court appointed.
- Q In other words, you did not have any money at that time to retain Mr. Caballero?
 - A No, I did not.

- Q So he was going to get court appointed?

 A Right.
- Q The courts would pay his fee, right?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay, so now when you decided to talk to Mr. Bugliosi about the case, did he ask you any questions before he started to ask you about the facts of the case?
 - A He wanted to know what Charlie was like.
 - Q Charles Manson?
 - A Yeah.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

21

Š

- Q Did he say anything about Charles Manson?
- A In essence he said he felt that Charlie had control over me and control over a whole bunch of people, and I looked at him like he was crazy.

And Mr. Caballero, before then, had mentioned to me that he felt that Charles Manson was similar to Svengali.

And the defense he would put on, he would put the defense that Charles Manson was Svengali.

I told him I didn't even know what Svengali was.

- Q This was long before Charles Manson was ever arrested for this case, isn't that correct?
- A That's correct, before I ever went to the Grand Jury.
 - Q So did Mr. Bugliosi or Mr. Caballero tell you

how they knew about Mr. Manson?

MR. BUGLIOSI: All hearsay, your Honor. I object on that ground.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

5

6

7

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\$

X

Q Well, did they indicate to you that they knew Mr. Manson?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

Q Did they talk about Mr. Manson?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, that would be a yes or no answer, if they talked about Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: Irrelevant.

MR. SHINN: It's irrelevant?

Q Now, after they talked about Mr. Manson, did Mr. Bugliosi say "Now I am going to ask you some questions?"

A Yes, something like that.

Q Now, did he indicate to you that he heard a tape recording -- did he indicate to you that he heard a tape recording which Mr. Caballero took --

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's all hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

Q Did Mr. Bugliosi state to you that he knows something about the case?

MR. BUGLIOSI: All hearsay, your Honor.

MR. SHINN: State of mind, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SHINN:

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

11

22

23

24

Q Now, did Mr. Bugliosi take notes that day when he had a conversation with you?

A He had all his notes all ready and prepared.

Q Did you see these notes?

A Yes, I did.

MR. SHINN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. SHINN:

Q I show you some notes -- did you see these type of notes before?

A I did not actually look at what it said, but this is about the size of it and it was on yellow tablet and he ran through it.

Q It was a yellow tablet you saw him write on?

A Yes.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi will stipulate that this is a photostatic copy of his notes that he took on December the 4th.

MR. BUGLIOSI: December 4th, 1969.

MR. SHINN: In Mr. Caballero's office.

MR. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated, that is a photostatic copy.

MR. SHINN: A photostatic copy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Correct.

MR. SHINN: These are the notes you took when you

were talking with Miss Atkins?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Correct.

MR. SHINN: Correct?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Correct.

MR. SHINN: Thank you.

Q Now, when you saw this yellow piece of paper Mr. Bugliosi had, were there any notes or questions on it?

A Yeah.

Q It was not blank, was it?

A No.

Q Okay, so then he asked you these questions right down the line?

A Yeah, every one of them.

A. In the question he implied the answer he wanted me to hear -- wanted me to say.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike as conclusionary.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard it.

THE WITNESS: Well, that was my state of mind.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, were these questions that Mr. Bugliosi asked you, did they kind of infer the answer?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, also irrelevant, your Honor, all hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

5

10

11

12

13

16

.17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, were these questions that Mr. Bugliosi asked you, were they about the same as the statement you made in Mr. Caballero's office?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

. THE WITNESS: I understood what he is saying.

THE COURT: Ask your next question, Mr. Shinn, if you have one.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, all these questions that Mr. Bugliosi asked you, was it substantially the same as the statement you made to Mr. Caballero?

MR. BUGLIOSI: The same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Okay, now, approximately how long

did he talk to you about this case, Mr. Bugliosi?

- A. About two hours.
- And he was going now from question to question?
- A. Yeah.
- Q. Now, if you did not know the answer to a question what would you do?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It assumes a fact not in evidence; also calls for a conclusion, and it is irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor sustained the objection?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHINN: I did not hear you.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Okay, now, if you did not know the answer to a question, what would you do?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, did you know the answers to all the questions that he asked you?
 - A. No.
 - Q Some you did not know the answers, correct?
 - A Right.
 - Q So what did you do?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor,

Same objection. It is all irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. BHINN: You say you did not answer some of

the questions that Mr. Bugliosi asked you.

- A. Right, I did not know the answers.
- Q You did not know the answers.
- A. Right.

3

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

23

24

- Q Did you sometimes guess at the answers?
- A. Like I said before, where my head was at when he would ask me the question, I could see that he had read, heard, listened to Mr. Caballero.

I knew he had listened to the tape recording.

There was no doubt in my mind about that.

I knew he read the transcripts from Virginia Graham and Roni Howard. That is where he got his questions.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Move to strike.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard it.

THE WITNESS: Strike the truth.

THE COURT: That comment will be stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard that.

- Q BY MR. SHINN: Okay, now, some of these answers you gave Mr. Bugliosi, some of these answers you gave Mr. Bugliosi, were they all true answers in your opinion?
 - A In my opinion at the time?
 - Q Yes.
 - A Yeah, and a lot of them were imagination.
- Q In other words, you are saying some were true and some were not true?

A Yeah, all I had to go by is what I told Virginia Graham and Roni Howard, and I repeated that to Mr. Caballero is where Mr. Bugliosi got his questions from.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike, your Honor, conclusionary.

THE COURT: The last portion of the answer will be stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard it.

Q BY MR. SHINN: Now, after you had this conversation with Mr. Bugliosi regarding this case, was anything said by Mr. Caballero or Mr. Bugliosi after he finished talking with you?

A "Go home, we are going to send you back to the jail, get a good night's sleep and be ready in the morning."

Q - What did you say?

A I don't remember what I said, I think I said, "I still don't want to testify."

- Q Was that your state of mind at that time?
- A. Yeah.

6

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

22

ţ

Š

- That you did not want to testify at the Grand Jury?
 - A. Right.
- Q What was the reason for not wanting to testify at the Grand Jury at that time?

A My reason for not wanting to? I did not feel it was right.

Down inside I felt that I knew what I was going

17

ቖ

to say at the Grand Jury was not true.

The offer of life, not only for myself but for the rest of the defendants, the opportunity to get my child back had a lot to do with what I did.

- Q Okay, now, was one of the main reasons you testified at the Grand Jury because you wanted to get your child back?
 - A. That was one of the reasons.
 - Q Was that one of the reasons?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q At that time where was your child?
- A. My child had been placed in a foster home and no one told me where or what or how or when.

They wouldn't give me any information on where my child was. _

- Q Okay, now, who left first? Did Mr. Bugliosi finally leave?
- A Mr. Bugliosi left, to my knowledge he walked out of the room.
- Q Did he say something about seeing you the next day or something like that?
- A "See you tomorrow; it was nice meeting you," et cetera, et cetera.
- Now,/Mr. Bugliosi at any time ask you whether or not you had an attorney for this other case, the Tate-LaBianca case?

It was mentioned either by Mr. Bugliosi or A. Mr. Caballero in conversation together, that Mr. Caballero would be my attorney in this case.

1

11

13

22

23

24

25

12

21

21

5

ঠ

Q In the event that you got indicted, correct?

A Correct, in the event that the Grand Jury would believe me.

One of the big things that Caballero pushed on me that was important, that I was the first one to testify at the Grand Jury; that I be the first one to step before the Grand Jury, it would have / I believe, are his words.

Q So, in other words, when you were talking to Mr. Bugliosi and making the statement to Mr. Bugliosi, you are not hiring an attorney to represent you in that portion of the proceedings?

A No, I didn't have no money to hire an attorney.

Q So, Mr. Caballero was just helping you, or what was Mr. Caballero doing?

A I don't know what he was doing. I don't even know how he got involved in it.

He said it had something to do with the Himman-and as long as he was defending me in the Himman, he felt
he wanted to stick by me and stand by me and defend me in
this case.

Q Now, before meeting Mr. Bugliosi for the first time was there any conversation with Mr. Caballero indicating that he has already talked to the District Attorney's office and that; Mr. Bugliosi was going to be in the office that day?

10

21

23

- A Would you repeat the question?
- Q Before meeting Mr. Bugliosi now, you met Mr. Bugliosi the second time you went to Mr. Caballero's office, right?
 - A Right.
- Q Did Mr. Caballero at any time prior to you meeting Mr. Bugliosi tell you that he was talking to the District Attorney's office and that Mr. Bugliosi will be down at the office to talk to you?
 - A I don't recall if he did. I don't think so.
- Q Did Mr. Caballero tell you at any time before you met Mr. Bugliosi that he talked to the District Attorney's office?
- A Yeah, the second time I was in Mr. Caballero's office he told me he talked to the District Attorney's office.
- Q That was the first time Mr. Caballero told you he talked to the District Attorney's office?
- A Yeah, he had mentioned before that he would talk to them.
 - Q About this case?
 - A About this case.
 - Q And your testimony at the Grand Jury?
- A Right. I think it was the night before I met
 Mr. Bugliosi and I -- you know --
 - Q But he did tell you?

A I think he did. I know he eventually did.

I don't know if it was before I met Mr. Bugliosi, while I met Mr. Bugliosi --

I know for sure Mr. Caballero had told me that he wanted to talk to the District Attorney's office; that he had a lot of influence with the District Attorney's office because he was a District Attorney for so many years.

Q And then did he tell you at any time that Mr. Bugliosi would be in his office on December 4th, 1969?

A No, not until he introduced me to Mr. Bugliosi, about five minutes before he introduced me to Mr. Bugliosi he told me Mr. Bugliosi from the District Attorney's office was there to talk to me about my testimony, and ask me questions.

And what was your state of mind at that time when you found out that Mr. Bugliosi was going to talk to you?

A It was angry. I did not want to talk to Mr. Bugliosi.

I did not want to talk to anybody. I was going through a lot of changes.

- Q Would you say that you were pressured, influenced?
- A Yes.
- Q To talk to Mr. Bugliosi?
- A Yes.

Q Now, after Mr. Bugliosi left did you have a conversation with Mr. Caballero?

A No, it was just that he kind of patted me on the back and said "Go home, get a good night's sleep and I will see you tomorrow."

Q And what did you say?

A I just looked at him, I don't think I said anything. I may have said "Okay, I'll see you tomorrow."

And I think I said "I don't want to testify."

He said, "Don't worry about it, it will be all right."

Q At that point did he say anything to you about that he had made arrangements with the District Attorney's office?

A As to --

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q -- to you testifying?

A As to me testifying?

Q And what benefit you would receive?

A Yeah, both Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Caballero talked to me about that. I thought I made that clear.

Q What did Caballero say about that after Mr. Bugliosi left?

A After Mr. Bugliosi left?

Q Yes.

A He said "Don't worry, it will be all right, things will work out and everything will be done."

10

13

Q And what did you think when he said everything will be done, everything will be all right, what did you think in your mind at that time?

A I thought the man was sincere.

- And that you would get what, immunity, life?
- A I felt he would do everything he could to defend not only myself but the co-defendants that were involved.
 - Q In what manner?
 - A As to giving them life as opposed to death.
- Q How about yourself, your testimony at the Grand Jury?
- A For my testimony at the Grand Jury, my state of mind was that if I testified at the Grand Jury that not only would I get life, but everyone else would get life, and I would get my child back.
- Q So that was the reason you testified at the Grand Jury?
- A Yes, because he did not say anything about natural life.
- He mentioned seven years in the penitentiary and meeting the Parole Board, maybe 12 or 13 years after that I would be out and I would still be a young woman.

He went into all kinds of crazy things.

·26

Q Is there anything else he said that you would receive as a benefit for testifying at the Grand Jury besides seven years in the penitentiary?

A. Not before the Grand Jury.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench? THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I am sure, agrees with me.

I ask for a mistrial in this penalty phase, especially as regards Mr. Manson.

What they have portrayed Mr. Manson in the minds of these jurors, I move that the Court admonish the jury not to consider that for any purpose.

That was improper argument; that cannot be made.

THE COURT: What are you talking about?

MR. KANAREK: The argument that they would be out in seven years. That argument is improper.

THE COURT: Nobody has made any argument.

MR. KANAREK: I know. If the jury hears it, your Honor, and it comes from the District Attorney or ex-District Attorney --

THE COURT: The jury will be given the Morse Instruction, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: I move the jury be admonished not to consider this last statement for any purpose and mere

3

5

7

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25

26

admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial in the penalty case.

It is clearly prejudicial in the minds of the jurors as to Mr. Manson who had been portrayed as a raving -- no need to belabor that.

THE COURT: This is a defense witness.

MR. KANAREK: That does not make any difference. If this type of material comes in, this evidence comes in, it doesn't matter where it comes from if it prejudices the minds of the jurors.

THE COURT: Yes, it does.

MR. KANAREK: I did not call this witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: The defense called her.

MR. KANAREK: There is no such thing as defense. Each person has an individual lawyer.

THE COURT: You put on a joint defense. You examine the witnesses seriatim as they testify.

MR. KANAREK: That is not the point.

THE COURT: The point is I am confident you knew what this witness would say long before she took the witness stand.

MR. KANAREK: I will ask to be sworn on that.

May I be sworn on your Honor's allegation?

THE COURT: I am not making an allegation. I am just saying this is a defense witness.

MR. KANAREK: And based on the Constitution of the

United States ---

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The jury will be given the Morse Instruction.

Now, what sort of admonishment at this point would you suggest?

MR. KANAREK: Well, I would ask your Honor to admonish that this last statement of the witness --

THE COURT: What specifically?

MR. KANAREK: About the seven years.

THE COURT: She made a lot of statements.

Now, you have to be specific, Mr. Kanarek, if you are requesting that the jury be admonished.

MR. KANAREK: I am speaking about the aspect she is a young woman, she will be out in seven years; that life means something to that effect.

I ask the jury be admonished and mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial of the penalty phase.

I ask for an evidentiary hearing, based on equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment, based on your Honor's allegation that I knew what this witness will say.

That is untrue.

THE COURT: What I said was, the defense is putting on a joint defense and calling the witnesses. I assume you gentlemen know what these witnesses are going to say.

MR. KEITH: This witness is not Miss Van Houten's

3

5 6

8

10 11 12

13

16

15

17 18

19

23

21

22 23

> 24 25

witness, may the Court please. I am not putting on any joint defense.

MR. KANAREK: There is no joint defense here, your Honor.

THE COURT: There has been up until now.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Haven't you heard of the umbrella defense?

MR. KANAREK: There is no umbrella defense.

Each litigant has his or her own lawyer. There is no umbrella defense, no joint defense here at all.

THE COURT: I have warned you gentlemen all throughout this defense, whenever I saw danger approaching in the sense that it appeared to me that a witness either was so irresponsible that he or she might say something that would damage any of the defendants in advance I have warned you.

Now, I cannot warn you about this type of thing. This is one of the dangers when you call your own witnesses.

But you are not going to create your own mistrial by calling your witnesses and having them testify to inadmissible matters.

MR. KANAREK: I did not create the mistrial, your Honor. I did not ask this witness the question.

THE COURT: This witness was answering a question that was directed to her; she was being responsive, and I have to assume that counsel knew what he was doing when he

asked the question.

7

10

11

12

13

_14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

25

MR. KANAREK: I did not ask the question.

THE COURT: The witness' testimony obviously is helping Mr. Manson. I find it unbelievable, Mr. Kanarek, that you don't know what this witness is going to say.

MR. KANAREK: Then may I be sworn, basedon your Honor's allegation?

THE COURT: What would that accomplish?

MR. KANAREK: We would have a hearing, your Honor, and your Honor -- if, if, if error comes in, you have a mistrial regardless.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May we be heard, your Honor?

I don't think it would hurt for the Court to admonish the jury to disregard the last statement.

THE COURT: I will just strike the answer in its entirety and admonish them to disregard it.

You can reframe your question again and tell her not to make that kind of statement. Mr. Shinn may consult with his attorney.

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't want a modified Morse Instruction given to the jury at this time.

MR. KEITH: I agree with that.

THE COURT: I will strike the answer.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All the Court has to do is strike the entire answer without reference to the language.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on my motion for a mistrial?

THE COURT: Denied, Mr. Kanarek. It is denied.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: The witness' last answer will be stricken. The jury is admonished to disregard it.

2

4 5

7

9 10

12

13

11

14

16

17

19

21

23

25

(Mr. Shinn confers with the witness.)
BY MR. SHINN:

- Q Did Mr. Caballero inform you or tell you what benefits you would receive if you testified at the Grand Jury hearing?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did he say?
- A He mentioned life imprisonment. He mentioned possible immunity if I went on further to testify for the prosecution. Immunity.

He mentioned not only life for myself but lifefor the other defendants, if in case I was indicted and anyone else was indicted.

This was all just for the Grand Jury.

- Q Now, did Mr. Caballero state to you that after you testified at the Grand Jury hearing that you may be indicted for murder too?
- A Yes. But that if I went ahead and testified for the prosecution in the trial, I would be granted immunity.

And I told him no.

- Q Well, now, you say that in the event that you didn't testify at the trial but testified at the Grand Jury.
- Did he say anything about that? If you just testified at the Grand Jury and not testified at the trial?

 A Yes.

If I just testified at the Grand Jury and did not testify at the trial, that everything would be done to insure life for not only myself but for the other defendants.

Q That is, if you just testified at the Grand Jury?

A That is just if I testify at the Grand Jury.

And if I went on and testified for the prosecution at the trial, I would be granted immunity. And that it was not -- that that would be taken care of if I decided to do that.

And that it was a moral obligation. These are Mr. Bugliosi's words. A moral obligation on his part, because I would testify at the Grand Jury. Life.

Q Now, when Mr. Bugliosi said to you that he had a moral obligation to keep you out of the gas chamber -- is that what you said?

A Yes.

Q Whatdid you think he meant? What was your interpretation, your state of mind, at that time, when he said that to you?

A That he wouldn't ask for the death sentence on myself or anyone else involved.

Q And this was just for yourself at the Grand Jury hearing; is that right?

25

26

A Right.

Q That is what you thought he meant, and that is the way you interpreted his statement?

A Yes.

Q So, now, they took you back to your -- I mean, to the woman's jail that same night?

A Yes.

Q Approximately how many hours did you stay out that day?

A About six and a half hours, maybe seven hours.

I had dinner.

Q You had dinner again?

A Yes.

Q The same hamburger, or something else?

A Yes, the same thing.

Q The next day -- that is December the 5th.

A Yes.

Q Now, that is the day of the Grand Jury hearing.

A Yes.

No. Just that I was going to court.

Did some police officer escort you to the Hall

Was it a detective?

No. It was a female deputy and a male deputy in a private car, a Sheriff's car, or whatever.

So, you came down here to the Hall of Justice to testify before the Grand Jury; correct?

> Yes. A.

3

6

7

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Now, did you, at that time. see Mr. Caballero?

A. Yes.

Where was Mr. Caballero at that time?

He came into the room that I was waiting in before I went into the Grand Jury.

> Q Was he with someone else?

A. No. He was by himself. And he just sat down and talked to me.

And I looked at him and I said, "I don't want to do it."

- Q Okay.

 What did he say when he talked to you?
- A. He asked me: How do I feel?

 And I said, "I don't want to do it,"
- Q When you said you don't want to do it, what did you mean? You didn't want to go and testifyin the Grand Jury?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q What did he say?
- A. He said, "It is better for you and everyone else involved."
- Q So, how did you interpret that, at that time, when he said that to you? What did it mean to you?
 - A. What did it mean to me?
 - Q Yes.
- A. Exactly what he said. It was the best thing for me and everyone else involved.

And I believed him.

- Q So, you thought that was the best thing for you to do then?
 - A. Yes.
 - Then you went into the Grand Jury room?

3

4

6

8

9

11

13

15

16 17 *

18

19

21

23

হ ১ A. Yes.

Before we went into the Grand Jury room, Mr. Stovitz introduced himself to me.

- Q That is the first time that you met Mr. Stovitz?
- A Yes.
- Q And he is a Deputy District Attorney?
- A Yes.
- Q What was said by him?
- A He just introduced himself and said that he would also be in the room and he would be helping Mr. Bugliosi to ask me questions. That he would see me in the room.
- Q Did he ask you anything about the questions that were going to be asked?
 - A. No.

Mr. Stovitz didn't ask me anything other than how do I feel.

Q "Okay.

Now, did Mr. Buglicsi, when he left Mr. Caballero's office with his notes, did he tell you that, "These are the questions that I may ask you at the Grand Jury hearing?"

- A He told me that those are the questions he was going to ask me at the Grand Jury.
- In other words, these questions and answers that he took the night before?

A. He had all the questions and the answers down before he ever asked me. I saw them.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike.

THE WITNESS: Vince, I saw it.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken.

The jury is admonished to disregard it.

13

10

16

21

22

19

25

BY MR. SHINN:

Q So, when you went to the Grand Jury hearing, you knew just about what you would be asked and your answer?

A Yes.

We had gone over every single question the night before.

Q How many times did you go over the questions and answers before?

A Some questions once, some questions more than once.

Q During that two, two and a half hour conversation with Mr. Bugliosi?

A Yes.

Q So, in other words, you knew what they were, what you were going to be asked, and you knew what the answers were going to be; is that correct?

A Yes. Basically I knew what he was going to ask me.

Q So did you, in fact, see Mr. Bugliosi at the Grand Jury hearing?

A Yes.

And did he, in fact, ask you substantially the same question that he asked the night before?

Yes.

And did you answer these questions substantially the same as the way you answered the night before?

б

17

22

25

12 13 Q

A

So you had no difficulty, then, at the Grand Jury hearing?

A

Yes.

Q Now, did Mr. Stovitz talk to you about your constitutional rights before you went into the Grand Jury hearing?

They asked me if I understood my constitutional Â rights when I was in the Grand Jury, and I said, "Yes."

Q Did Mr. Stovitz --

A I think Mr. Stovitz was the one that asked me.

Ų Did Mr. Stovitz ask you whether or not you had an attorney to represent you at this hearing?

> Å Yes.

What did you say? Q

Yes. A

That was Mr. Richard Caballero? Q.

A Yes.

Q Now, prior to going into the Grand Jury hearing, did Mr. Caballero sit you down and explain what you were going to do at the Grand Jury?

He just explained to me that they were going to ask me a whole bunch of questions, and I was to give them the answers. The same answers that I had given Mr. Bugliosi the night before.

25

Q Now, did Mr. Caballero tell you, before you went into the Grand Jury hearing, did he tell you didn't have to go and testify?

A Not in those words.

Q Well, what did he tell you now?

A In essence that is what he said. I believe that is what he said to me.

Q He said that you didn't have to testify at the Grand Jury hearing?.

A Right.

But he said that it is the best thing for me and everyone else involved, and that he felt, as an attorney, that it was the best thing to do.

Q Okay.

Now, did he tell you that you may be indicted because of your testimony at the Grand Jury hearing?

A Yes.

Q And did he also tell you that everything you said in the Grand Jury hearing could be used against you at a later date?

A Yes.

Q Did he tell you that you would have to answer every question put to you at the Grand Jury hearing?

A No, I don't think he did.

Q Did he say that you could refuse to answer some of the questions that may incriminate you?

5 6

7

9

11 12

10

14

15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

A No.

He told me to answer each question as best I could and not to stand on the Fifth.

- Q When you say the Fifth, what does that mean?
- A The Fifth Amendment of the Constitution.
- Q What does the Fifth Amendment mean to you?
- A To me?
- Q Yes.
- A Nothing.

At the time --

Q Well, did Mr. Caballero explain what the Fifth Amendment meant to you?

A He explained to me that if you stand on the Fifth, you say "I refuse to answer on the grounds that it might incriminate me."

And he said I was there, and whatever I said would incriminate me.

23 24

> 25 26

- So, did he advise you to take the Fifth or not to take the Fifth?
 - I believe he advised me not to. A.
 - Not to take the Fifth?
- It wouldn't do me any good. In essence, that is what he said.

It is like -- you know, it is hard for me to remember everything, but especially what was said before I went to the Grand Jury.

Okay.

Now, was your state of mind such that when you testified at the Grand Jury hearing that it was not freely and voluntarily -- your testimony was not freely and voluntarily given?

- No, it wasn't freely and voluntarily given because --
 - In what sense?
- I didn't want to do it, but I had so much pressure coming from so many different directions. A very strong man telling me, "But you have to do it, you have to"; and another man, saying, "It is the best thing," and "We will give you life," and, "We will make sure that you and all of your co-defendants will get life out of this." and "We will do this for you and we will do that for you. if you just do this for us."

And "If you don't do it, I will make sure I see

- Q Who said that?
- A. Mr. Bugliosi.
- Q Was this at Mr. Caballero's office or was this before the Grand Jury hearing?
 - A. Mr. Caballero's office.
 - Q Did he mention the word "gas chamber" to you?
 - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

26

He told me that I could get the gas chamber if I didn't do it.

Q Now, was Mr. Caballero in the office when Mr. Stovitz -- I mean, when Mr. Bugliosi made that statement?

A. I believe so. I am not sure.

It could have been when he was walking out the door to go see some -- talk to a deputy or something.

I don't know for sure whether Mr. Caballero was there.

Are you saying, Susan, that you were alone with Mr. Bugliosi at one time in Mr. Caballero's office?

A. I remember seeing Mr. Caballero walking towards the door, and it was like a good 20 feet -- 10 or 15 feet to the door.

I remember seeing his back to the door, and

Mr. Bugliosi looked at me and said, "If you don't testify—

at the Grand Jury and you don't cooperate with us, you

will probably get the gas chamber. So will everyone else."

And how far away was Mr. Caballero at that time

when he made that statement?

- A At the door, the doorway, talking to the police officers.
 - Q Approximately how many feet away, if you know?
- A. About from where I am to about where you are, or maybe from where I am to where Mr. Keith is.
 - Q Approximately 15 feet?
 - A. Maybe 15 feet. I don't know how many feet.
- Q Did Mr. Bugliosi talk in a normal tone of voice or did he shout?
- A Well, I wassitting in the chair kind of facing him, and he was kind of facing me, and we really didn't talk in a very loud voice at all during our whole thing. It was all very soft.

THE COURT: We will recess at this time.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding penalty until that issue is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess until 1:45.

(Whereupon, at 12:00 p.m. the court was in recess.)

25

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 1971 1:55 P.M.

---0---

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q Now, Susan, we were talking about you testifying before the Grand Jury just before lunch, correct?
 - A I believe so.
 - Q And did you testify before the Grand Jury?
 - A. Yes,
 - And Mr. Bugliosi asked you questions?
 - A Yes, he did.
 - And you answered the questions?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Now, after you finished testifying at the Grand Jury did you again talk to Mr. Bugliosi or Mr. Canallero?
 - A Mr. Caballero, not Mr. Bugliosi.
- Q Was he waiting for you outside of the Grand Jury hearing room?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did he say at that time?
- A He said he wanted -- he had a press conference that he wanted me to go speak to all set up for me.
 - A You mean a press conference, you mean in front of

the TV, television cameras and all that? Yeah, lots of them, A, In fact, did you see these television cameras? Yeah. A, Were they there in the Grand Jury hearing room? Q. Out the door and down the hall about 50 feet. A. Did you in fact go and make a television Q 7 appearance? When I first saw all of the lights I turned A. around and started to walk away, and my lawyer took me by my hand and told me, "That's all right," and led me out in 11 front of the cameras. Q, So, when you were in front of the cameras did you 13 say anything? 14 A. No. Who did all the talking? Q 16 A. My lawyer. 17 Do you recall what he said? Q 18 "She doesn't want to talk about that." A 19 "We are not discussing that," 20 21 "She doesn't want to talk about that." 22 To almost every question that was asked. 23 Q But you did get in front of the TV? 24 ă, Yeah, there was lots of them --25 Ĵ So then --26 À. Vultures.

	Q,	So then did he escort you back to Sybil Brand?
1	· A,	Did my lawyer escort me back to Sybil Brand?
2	Ç,	Yes.
3	A.	No.
4		Did he go back with you?
5	Q	
6	A.	No.
7		
8		
9		•
10		, and the second
11		
12	{	
13	1	
. 14	i	
15	•	į.
15		
17		
В	. •	
B		,
D		
Ε		
2		
3		
M (I K		
5		
X		

Q Then Mr. Caballero left you there after the TV interview? He left you there?

A At the Grand Jury room?

Q Yes.

A Yes.

And the police took me back to Sybil Brand.

Q Did you see Mr. Bugliosi again after the Grand Jury hearing?

A No.

Q You never saw him and talked to him again after the Grand Jury hearing before this trial?

A No.

Q I'm directing your attention to December the 14th, 1969.

This is after the Grand Jury hearing.

Were you removed from Sybil Brand Institute for Women again?

A Yes, I was.

Q By whom?

A I don't know if that is the exact date.

I know I was removed from the jail.

As a matter of fact, I was removed, yes, three times.

I don't know if that was the date. By a Mr. Whiteley, an officer of the law, and one of the men that has been in the courtroom all the time. I don't

11

20

25

~	ca	7	1	ħ	i e	~	on	20	
ГС	C c		L	ы	ᅩ	- 1.	шы	=	٠

Susan, I am directing your attention to Q December -- around December, after the Grand Jury hearing. Not January, 1970.

> December? A

Q Let's see. The Grand Jury hearing was December the 8th --

> The 4th. Α

I mean, December the 5th.

The 5th? Α

Now, about a week later, were you again taken out of the women's jail?

Yeah. A

I was either to go look for something or to go to my lawyer's office.

> Okay. Ş

> > Now --

Maybe there were four times I was taken out A of the jail.

> Okay. Q.

Now, directing your attention to around December the 14th. This is after the Grand Jury hearing. Do you recall being taken out again?

> Yeah. A

> Q Okay.

> > Now, at this time, was Mr. Caballero present?

26

A I believe so. He was present every time I was taken out of the jail.

Q Okay.

Now, before you were taken out the second time, did Mr. Caballero say you were going to be taken out for a certain purpose?

A Yes. To go to his office.

Before the second time?

Q No. Wait. .

We have been to Caballero's office twice.

A Twice, right.

Q Then you went to the Grand Jury hearing?

A Right.

Q A week or seven or eight days after the Grand Jury hearing, which was December the 5th, 1969, you were taken out again; is that correct?

A I believe so.

Q Okay.

Now, at this time, was Mr. Caballero there?

A I believe he was.

I know of one time for sure that I was taken out, and in my mind right now, as I am looking at it, that one time could have been two times because a lot happened.

I know there was a definite time I was taken out and taken for a ride all over and did all kinds of things.

この「「「「「「」」」「「「「」」「「「」」「「「」」「「」」「「」」「「」」「
The transfer of the state of th

11

12

13

15

16

17

22

	Q,	Yes.	No	ne, 1	et:s	talk.	about	that	at tip	se no	w.
		You	883	that	Mr.	Cabal	llero	Was	with	Доп	at
that	time?										

- A Yes.
- Q Was anyone else with Hr. Caballero and yourself?
- A Mr. Katz, Mr. Whiteley, a homicide detective, and a female deputy.
- Q. Now, when you say Mr. Katz, is he from the District Attorney's office?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did he introduce him to you as Mr. Katz?
 - A I believe so.

But he didn't introduce himself to me. Mr. Whiteley introduced him to me and Mr. Caballero introduced him to me.

I don't know if this is the time on the 14th though.

- Q We will get to the next one later. A step at a time, okay?
 - A All right.
 - Q So this time they took you some place?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you recall, did anyone explain to you the purpose of this trip?
 - A Yes, Mr. Caballero.
 - Q Do you recall what he said to you?

They asked me if I knew where some clothes and some knives were, because I had related it at the Grand Jury and to Virginia Graham and Romi Howard. Daye, I am really not sure if this is the time 5 that you are talking about on the 14th. It is not clear in my mind whether that was the 14th or a later date. THE COURT: Read the answer. (The record was read by the reporter.) THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 . MR. SHIMM: Ckay. II You recall that you were taken out of jail 12 one time; right? 13 Yes. A. 14 And you recall that the purpose of this trip, 15 you said, was to look for some what, clothes? Some clothes. 17 and what else? A knife and a dead body that didn't exist as 19 a dead body. So now, Susan, did you, in fact, go on this Q. 23 trip? 22 4 Sure. 23 CKay. 24 Now, do you recall who led the way? 35

35

directions.

The first place they stopped at was the bottom of the hill at Cielo Drive, and then they asked me which direction I went.

And I explained to them that it was late at night and I wasn't sure, but I think it was that way.

And I took them whatever way I took them.

And I told them that I was pretty, you know,
I didn't remember exactly where we stopped. And we
stopped at way up in the mountains at almost like a
fire station, like a fire camp, right close to a helicopter
landing port, and a whole bunch of young boys got in a
bus and drove off and followed us.

And I stopped and I showed them, well, this could be the hill, I don't know if that is the hill, but it could be the hill.

And all the young boys got off the bus, got ropes, and were climbing up and down hills and looking for clothes and knives.

- In other words, you were cooperating with the police in attempting to locate certain articles, is that correct?
 - & Yes.

3

12

13

ΥĒ

203

11

27

23

 \mathcal{H}

35

35

- Now, how long did you stay out?
- All day, and got back in the evening.

Well, actually, we left early -- year, it was all day, and they left in the early morning, but not real early in the morning, left in the morning hours, and got back to the jail, I believe in the late afternoon.

- @ Okay, now --
- & Or evening.
- Q All during this trip Mr. Capallero was in the automobile with you?
 - & Yes.
 - G Did he say anything to you?
- A Oh, we just talked. I don't even remember what we talked about.

I don't remember our conversation. I don't recall what it was, it wasn't important; just he wanted me to remember where Linda nad thrown these things out of the car.

- Q Okay, now, did you discuss with him about your taped statement that he took at his office?
 - A No, I don't think I did.
 - I may have talked to him about "How many copies

did you give out?"

5

9

11

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

"How many people have got copies of that tape recording?"

And he said he was the only one.

- Q Well, was there any discussions as to what he was going to do with this statement of yours?
 - A. By then we had already discussed that.
 - Q When did you discuss that?
- A. About -- I think it was the day after the Grand Jury or two days after the Grand Jury, he came out and talked to me and said that he wanted to sell my life story, just my life from the time I was born up to the time I was arrested in Inyo County, and no further.
- Q You mean that was your state of mind, that he was going to sell your story just of your early life?
- A. From my early life, from the time I was born, and it went right on through to the time I was arrested in Inyo County.
 - Q And nothing about the crimes?
 - A And nothing about the crimes, or the case.
- Q Now, this discussion took place after the Grand Jury hearing?
 - A. About a day or so after.
- Q Was he all alone when he came in to talk to you?
 - A. Yes.

```
THE COURT: You may,
         MR. SaINA: I have a document here, jour donor,
   entitled "Attorney-in-Fact, dated December 3, 1969.
              I snow you tais --
         MR. SHINN: May this be marked for identification next
   in order, your Honor?
         THE COURT. It will be marked ?-33 for identification,
              What is the description, one page document?
         MR. SHINN: One page document. The title is
   "Attorney-in-Fact, dated December 3, 1969.
         MR. BUGLIO3I: There is a question, your donor, from
   a juror.
         JUROR NO. 3: Borry, I did not near the description of
   the number.
         THE COURT: ?-83.
              BY MR. SHINN: I show you this document, sare you
Ų,
   seen that incument before?
18
              I mean, the original of that document sefore,
         4
              7:3.
              Now, is that jour signature at the pottom,
21
   Busan Denice Atains, is that your signature?
2
               Tes, it is, and I would like to planify something
23
    about tais document.
74
2
```

6

12

22

24

26

Q Yes, go ahead.

A Well, he handed me the document to read; there was no writing from pen, and I read it, and it was all typewritten. There were no signatures on it.

Immediately after I signed it, I signed it and I turned it around and I faced it to him.

He took it and he wrote, "And tape recording is my attorney's possession."

- Q Are you saying when he handed this document to you at first there was no signatures and there was no handwriting?
 - A There was no handwriting; it was all typewritten.
 - Q It was all typewritten?
 - A Yes.
- Q And the other writings or scribblings were put on after you signed this contract?
 - A Correct.
- Q Before you signed this contract did he explain what it was?
- A He told me that was just a contract to allow Mr. Schiller to come out and interview me on my life story, and it would be put into a book, and just my life's story would be sold.
- Q Now, I noticed on the left-hand top corner of this document it says "SDA."
 - A Yes.

1.

Q	Did you put that there?
A	Yes, I did.
ର	And it says "25 percent to Schiller."
A	Yes.
Q	Now, that was written after you signed the
contract?	
A	Yes.
Q	And the next line written in is "SDA."
	Did you write that?
A	Yes.
Q	And "Of balance."
	Now, is this your handwriting or his hand-
writing?	
A	The SDA is my handwriting.
Q	But the 25 percent to Schiller?
A	Is Mr. Caballero's handwriting.
ବ	Do you know Mr. Schiller's first name?
A	I believe it's Lawrence, I never met the man.
Q	Lawrence Schiller?
A	Yes.
Q	Okay, now, this is your signature?
A	Just SDA.
Q	Now, "Of balance" is written by Mr. Caballero?
A	Yes.
Q	And the next line, SDA?
A	Is my three initials, Susan Denice Atkins.

- Q Now, what is that 60 percent or 65 percent?

 A 60 percent to undersigned, written by Mr.

 Caballero.
 - Q 60 percent to undersigned, okay.

 Next did you sign this fourth line, SDA?
 - A Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

73

21

- Q It says 40 percent to attorneys?
- A That was written by Mr. Caballero.
- Q Now, did you read this contract before you signed it?

A I read it but I really did not understand it and I asked him about it, and he explained it to me before I signed it, that it was just for my life story only.

And, in other words, did he explain to you --

A He didn't explain no money to me. He didn't explain no tape recordings to me. He didn't explain nothing other than it was just my life story.

He said I would probably get some money from it.

I told him I didn't care about no money. I told him he could have all the money for all I care.

Well, did you know at the time you signed this contract that you was making Paul Caruso and Richard Caballero your attorneys in fact to enter into an agreement to sell your life story?

Did you know that when you signed this contract?

A All I knew was that they would handle the proceedings.

Q What proceedings? You mean these criminal proceedings?

A No, not the criminal proceedings, just the interview, that is all I understood.

- Q Of your life's story?
- A Right.

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

30

21

22

24

15

26

- Q Not the crimes?
- A Not the crimes.
- Q Okay, now, in the middle of this document did
 I see something written in here?
 - A "And the recordings in my attorney's possession."
 - Q That was written in?
 - A That was written in after I signed the contract.
 - Q Is this your initial, SDA, again?
 - A Yes.
 - Q . I see on the bottom of the page "Witness."
- A Those are the two women he had to bring out to notarize it.
- Q Was that the first time you saw these two witnesses?
- A That is the first time I ever seen them and the last time I have ever seen them.
 - I don't even know who they are.
 - Q Did Mr. Caruso and Mr. Caballero tell you who

f18.

 these two people were?

A He introduced them to me. I don't even remember their names, and he said that they were notaries.

Q Notaries?

A Yeah, notaries or something like that.

They were to witness the signature, and they didn't even actually witness the signature on it. They were sitting out in another room.

And then they came in after I had signed it and put "SDA, SDA, SDA, SDA, SDA, SDA," and then they came in and saw just that, and then they signed it.

- Q And this was right after the Grand Jury hearing?
- A. Yes.
- Q What was your state of mind at that time, were you emotionally upset?
 - A Yeah, I really was. I was in confusion.
- Q So what you are saying is you don't know actually what document you signed?
 - A At the time I just took what he said at that.

I had been locked up for a period of near two weeks with a bunch of crazy people.

I couldn't talk to nobody but my lawyer. I didn't have anyone to look to for anything else.

I was lost in my own world of confusion.

- Q Okay, now, after you signed this contract did Mr. Caballero come back again?
 - A Yes, a day or so later.
 - Q Now, was he with anyone at that time?
 - A. Yes.

Iì î

12

15

16

20

3 11

23

- Q Who was he with?
- A. He was with a court reporter, and Jerry Cohen.
- Now, Jerry Cohen, is that the man from the Times?
- A. That was the man that took down the interview and listened to what I had to say about my life story.
 - Q Okay, was Mr. Caballero present?
 - A. Yes, he was.
 - Q And was this court reporter present?

A Yes, she was.

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

ðs.

- Q Do you know her name?
- A No, I do not, it was -- I haven't seen her singe.

 I don't believe I have, although there is a woman that is a
 court reporter in Judge Lucas' courtroom that looks an
 awful lot to me like the woman who came.
- Q Okay, so now Mr. Capallero brought these people in there.

Did he say anything to you?

A Before he could open his mouth I told him I lied not at the Grand Jury, "I am/going to say anything more.

"I want it made publicly known that I lied at the Grand Jury."

And the court reporter was taking everything down that I was saying.

- Q You saw her taking these notes down?
- A Yes, I did see her take it down.
- So then what happened after you said that?
- A Mr. Caballero looked at me and laughed and said, "Well, it's too late now, you cannot go back on your word."

"Well," I said, "I'm going back on my word," I said, "I want you to tear up the contract."

I looked at the man I was introduced to as Mr. Cohen.

I said, "I am not even sorry," I said, "I have nothing to say to you. I lied at the Grand Jury. If you

"If you want to print that, then you print that. I am retracting everything I said at the Grand Jury!"

- Q Okay, now, when you said this you state you saw this lady taking every word you said?
 - A Yes, I did see her take it down.
 - Q Okay, did something else happen after that?
- A Yes, Mr. Caballero told me to calm down and be quiet, and he instructed the court reporter to tear off that portion of what she took down and destroy it.
 - Q Well, did you in fact see her do that?
- A In fact I did see her take it, tear it off and destroy it.
- Q Was the machine similar to the type that this gentleman \$ using?
- A It was very similar. It looked almost identical to that one.
 - Q Okay, now, she tore it out, correct?
 - A She tore it out.

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

20

21

2

A 33

3 5

- Q What did she do with that torn portion?
- A. She put it in a tablet and Mr. Caballero, I made him promise me to take it and keep it.

And he told me -- he promised me he was going to take it and tear it up.

- Q So then did you finally give your life story?
- A I sat there and give my life story. I haw how far I could go with the people.

They were not going to look at my reality, the reality that is the truth.

3

74

ä

2;

24

25

26

1

Q Well, did Mr. Caballero or anyone in the room say to you that this portion is going to be used for your trial?

A No, they did not.

Q What did they say they were going to do with the statement of yours?

A Mr. Caballero said he was just going to have it torn up.

I told him, I said, would you --

In fact, during the course of my interview I tried to slip in key words into the stenographer's report that what I said at the Grand Jury was a lie.

I said it four or five times during my interview, and each time I said it Mr. Caballero instructed the court reporter to take that portion and rip it out.

Q Did she in fact rip it out?

A She did.

Q How long did this meeting last?

A Long enough for me to get through with what they wanted to hear about my life story.

Q Well, was that about an hour, two hours?

A About an hour or an hour and a half, and then
I just got up, left and went back to my cell.

I didn't want to have anything more to do with Caballero from that moment on.

Q Did you have any conversation with Caballero

after you talked to Jerry Cohen and you gave your life story?

A Yes, yes, Mr. Cohen and the court reporter went out to wait in the reception waiting room or whatever, and I looked at Mr. Caballero and I said "Why don't you believe me? I lied. Would you rather believe a lie and convict these -- and have everyone else that I lied about convicted on a lie?

"Won't you please let me speak the truth?"

And he said, "What you told me in the beginning was the truth."

And I said, "No, it wasn't."

33

37

35

20

37

3

- Well, did Jerry Cohen say he was going to publish a book with your statement?
- At that time I didn't know that -- you mean my statement about the lie?
 - Q Ho, your life story you gave them.
- A Ho, just my life story, that is all I understood was going to be said, just from the time I was born,
 my childhood, what it was like at home, what my parents
 were like, my education, my life from the time I met
 Charlie to the time I was arrested in Inyo County, and
 that is all I knew and understood would be published.

- Q Is that what Jerry Cohen said to you?
- A. That is what I was told, yes.
- Q By Jerry Conen?
- A Yes,
- Q And Caballero?
- A Yes,
- Q Did you ever meet Lawrence Schiller?
- A I never met the man before in my life. I wouldn't even know him if he was in the courtroom right now.

I have had descriptions of him,

The description is that he was a big man with dark hair and he is heavy-set. And there are a lot of big men with dark hair and are heavy-set.

I wouldn't know what he looked like.

- Now, after that, did you see Mr. Caballero again?
- A Yes.

And every time I saw him I just -- I would tell him, "Get me out of lockup. Let me speak the truth, or I will denounce you publicly in court."

I said, "I want to see Charles Manson on my own.
I don't care if you are there. I don't care how it goes.
I want to see Charlie."

And he kept telling me, "Well, you will see Charlie and I will get you out of the lockup."

And he kept pushing me off, and he kept holding me down and holding me down.

And I stayed in the lockup for a period of, altogether it was four months in solitary, incommunicado.

The only mail I got was from one young man that lives up in Topanga Canyon. The only visitor I had was one young man in Topanga Canyon who wanted to take me away from it all, and have me go live up in the mountains. And that is the only communication I had with anybody other than the police.

And many, many times I told Mr. Caballero that I was going to publicly denounce him as my lawyer if he did not allow me to go see Charles and to speak the truth.

Q Okay.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

'21

22

23

24

হ

Now, you gave your statement of your life.

Did anyone later come back and show you a proof of what you said?

- A. No.
- Q That day?
- A. No.
- About your life?
- A. No. None. No proof at any time.
- Q Did anyone tell you after that they are going to publish a book, The Killing of Sharon Tate?
 - A No.

I didn't find that out until after I was out of lockup. You got me out of lockup. If it hadn't been for you, I wouldn't have gotten out of lockup, I would have still

been incommunicado on it.

Q Did you later see this book, The Killing of Sharon Tate?

A I saw it later in the courtroom.

25

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

I have here the book The Killing of Sharon

Tate by Lawrence Schiller and Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHINN: Q Did you see this book before?

A I have seen it in this courtroom. I believe in this courtroom is the first time that I ever seen it.

I asked you one day to bring it out to me to the jail so I could look at it.

Q Now, on the third page it states here "Copy-righted 19" -- I can't read it.

Now, what does it say?

- A "1969-1970 by 20 Pimlico, Inc."
- Q And by?
- A By Lawrence Schiller and Susan Atkins.
- Q Did you, at any time, sign any documents pertaining to copyrights?
 - A No, I did not.
- Q Did you authorize anyone to sign in your behalf a document concerning a copyright?
 - A No, I did not.
- Q In other words, you have never copyrighted this book; is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
- Q And you have never met Lawrence Schiller in your life?

ઢ

- A I have never met the man.
- Q Now, did you again meet Mr. Caballero after that last time?
- A After the interview with Mr. Cohen and the court reporter and Mr. Caballero?
 - Q Yes.
 - A Yes.
 - Q When was this?
 - A I believe in a day or so.

But this is all in the records at the jail.

It shows the exact dates. I do not know the exact dates.

He came to see me many, many, many times at the jail.

- Q In other words, after you testified at the Grand Jury, Mr. Caballero came out to see you, what, twice a week, three times a week?
 - A Sometimes every day.
- Q And did you know in your own mind that he was a court appointed attorney for you?
 - A Yes. That is what he was to me.

And then he came to me one day and said "They are going to sue you."

And I said, "Who is going to sue me and for what?"

"He said, "The County of Los Angeles is going to sue you because you now have money that is coming in from a book that was sold."

Ą	Okay.	Before	Aon	go	into	that.

Did you later go in front of a judge and plead not guilty to these seven counts of murder and one count of conspiracy?

A Yes, I did.

And Mr. Caballero was court appointed.

Q Then he represented you at that time; is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. SHINN: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SHINN: I have a document here, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I see it?

MR. SHINN: Entitled "Retainer Agreement," your Honor.

(Mr. Shinn shows the document to Mr. Bugliosi.)

THE COURT: Did you want that book marked for identification?

MR. SHINN: May I have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

7

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

(The defense counsel confer.)

MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor. Next in order?

THE COURT: All right. The book will be marked P-CC for identification.

What is the next exhibit you wish marked, Mr. Shinn?

MR. SHINN: This next document, may that be marked next in order, your Honor?

THE COURT: P-DD.

MR. SHINN: What was the number, your Honor?

THE COURT: DD.

MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the description of the exhibit, Mr. Shinn?

MR. SHINN: It is a retainer agreement, your Honor, signed by Susan Atkins and Richard Caballero.

May I approach the witness, your honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. SHINN: Q Susan, I show you this document entitled Retainer Agreement.

Have you seen this document before? Yes, I have.

- Q I see your signature, Susan Denice Atkins.
 Is that your signature?
- A. Yes, it is my signature.
- And I see a signature by Richard Caballero.
- A Yes, Richard Caballero.
- Q Did he sign that in your presence?
- A. Yes, he did.
- Q Now, this document is dated --
- A. January 22nd.
- Q And that is in handwriting.
 Was that put in in your presence?
- A. That is my handwriting.
- Q You put that date in there?
- A. Yes. I believe that is the day that it was signed,
- Q Now, did he explain to you what this document was before you signed it?

A He explained to me that the County of Los Angeles was going to sue me because I had written a book and money was coming in from the book, and they would take all of the money from the book because I have a court-appointed attorney.

He explained to me: Now, the only way to block that suit was to have him be my -- retain him as my own personal lawyer.

At this time he had convinced me through many, many talks to put a trust fund with this money for my son

Zeezoo.

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And at the time, I thought it was a good idea. I really didn't care.

I expressed to Mr. Caballero many times that he could have all the money, if that is what he wanted.

And he would tell me no, we will do this and we will do that with it.

And he explained to me that there is a portion on this that says --

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is all hearsay, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: This isn't hearsay what I am about to read.

THE COURT: Just a moment. There is no question pending.

The objection is sustained.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Has the Court ruled?

THE COURT: Yes. The objection was sustained.

MR. SHINN: What was the objection?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is hearsay.

MR. SHINN: All right.

Now, did you notice the date of November the 26th, 1969, when you signed this document?

A Yes, I did, but it didn't mean anything to me at the time.

I asked him what it meant.

Q Now, this date, it says that compensation for the services rendered by the attorney commencing as of November the 26th, 1969.

Now, you signed this contract on January the 22nd, 1970?

A That's right.

7

10

11

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

25

26

- Q Did he explain what that back date was for?
- A He kind of sloughed over it. He didn't explain it clearly to me at all.

He just said, "That is when I was court appointed for the first case."

And that was all he said about that.

And I didn't understand what he said, and I accepted what he said, because I don't know anything about contracts or law.

He was a lawyer, and I figured he knew what he was doing.

And he evidently knew what he was doing.

Q Did he explain to you that you are retaining him as of November the 26th, 1969, and not as of

7

10

January the 22nd, 1970?

No.

I understood that I was retaining him as of January 22nd, not November 26th, 1969.

- Q That was your state of mind?
- That was my state of mind. A
- Q. And your understanding at that time?
- That is correct. A
- And did he also explain to you that he is going to take 50 percent of your share of the sale of the book?

A Yes.

I asked him about that.

And he said 50 percent of my 60 percent.

When I said, "50 percent of my 60 percent, what does that leave me with, 10 percent?"

And he said, "No. That leaves you with 30 percent of 60 percent. 50 percent is half of what you are getting."

I said, "Take it all. I don't want it. I want no part of it."

Did he explain to you between these two documents here?

Now you are getting me confused too.

When you first signed this document on December the 8th, 1969, did he explain that you were

10

11

12 13

17

18

19

22

24.

25

getting 60 percent of the balance after Mr. Schiller takes 25 percent?

Correct.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor. This is not a civil trial and this jury is not empanelled to hear a civil case.

I object on that ground.

MR. SHINN: I want to explain the document.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

That is what I understood. In other THE WITNESS: words, out of a hundred percent, Mr. Schiller would get 25 percent off the top. 60 percent out of the 75 percent would come to me. And then 40 percent out of the 75 percent would go to Mr. Caballero.

4 I see.

Now, after Mr. Schiller got his 25 per cent from the hundred per cent, the balance would be another nundred per cent?

- A Yes. Correct.
- And 60 per cent would go to you?
- A. Yes.

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

21

25

And 40 per cent would go to Paul Caruso and Richard Caballero as your agents; is that correct?

A Yes. But I didn't understand it as an agent.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. SHINN: Okay.

- Q So now you signed this contract and you got 60 per cent of what was left out of the 75 per cent?
 - A Correct.
- Q So now, when you signed this document, kr. Caballero took another 50 per cent from you; is that correct?
 - A Yes.

He explained it to me that he was only taking — like if I was to put it into numbers in my head, the way I saw it, he was taking 30 per cent of 60 per cent, because he explained 50 per cent of what I was getting was only half of what I was receiving.

Do you see what I mean? Do you understand what I am saying? It is clear to me.

17

20

26

All I know is that I think that he took another 20 per cent from you again.

A. That is what he did, but that is not what he explained to me.

Q Okay.

So when you signed this contract on January the 22nd, 1970, did he tell you how much money came from the book at that time when you signed this contract?

A. No.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the ground that it is irrelevant, and a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, he didn't. He just told me that it was selling.

And I asked him to bring the book up to show me. And he never did. He never got around to it.

Q If you knew that this contract, this Retainer Agreement, was back-dated to October -- I mean, November, 1969, would you have signed this contract?

A No, and I wouldn't have signed the first one either, if I had known he would have written in something after.

Now, after you signed these contracts with Mr. Caballero, did he, at any time, talk about your two criminal cases?

A. About my criminal cases?

Yes. Q.

He had made arrangements with a psychiatrist A. from New York to come fly out and see me.

And I told him I wouldn't see him.

Q Okay.

A He took many tape recordings, many, many tape recordings, out at the jail, an hour and a half, and then there was another half hour, and then another hour and a half.

And I told him to destroy every one of them.

Q Now, after he took over this Tate-La Bianca case for you, did he file any motions in your behalf or did he take you to court on various motions?

A I think I went to court with him maybe once or twice.

The records are clear. I don't remember ever going into any big courtroom proceedings with motions, et cetera, with him.

Q Okay.

11

12

13

14

16

17

23

25

Did he later tell you that he is going to defend you or has a deal for you, or something like that?

A He told me almost absolutely nothing. He hid from me so much that was going on.

Q You mean, after you signed these two contracts?

A Yes. He hid a lot from me.

And every time I saw him, I told him, basically, I want out of lockup, I want to get back into the flow of the jail, and I want to see Charlie. And if you don't do what I ask you to do, then I am going to fire you.

And I don't care about no lawsuits, I don't care about

		ſ
	1	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
٠.	חח	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	14 15 16	
	16	
	17	
diam'r.	18	
Harasta	19	
-	20	
er Samedabyle	21	
*********	22	
interior of	23	
3	24	

26

this case. I want to talk to someone that I can communicate with, because I couldn't talk with him. He would not listen. He believed what he wanted to believe, and I could get no further than that.

Q Okay.

So after that, did any police officers talk to you?

A At the jail?

Q Yes. Or any place else.

A I communicated with a lot of police officers.

Just "Hello. How are you." Et cetera.

Q My question is: Did they come to you and see you and talk to you at Sybil Brand?

A Yeah.

I forget who it was. They came out and they asked me if I knew anything about that Shorty O'Shea.

Q Now, was your attorney present at that time, the one you hired?

A Mr. Caballero?

Q Yes.

A Yes.

Q He was there?

A Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I can't believe what is going on here.

He is talking about Shorty O'Shea now.

MR. SHINN: I am not talking about Shorty O'Shea.

MR. BUGLIOSI: You are asking questions in which she is talking about these things.

Are you deliberately trying to do this? I'd like to know.

 MR. SHINN: Ar. Bugliosi, I dian't expect that answer.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Didn't you interview ner before you put her on the stand?

MR. SHINN: Yes. We all did.

I am trying to get from her the conversation that she had with the homicide detectives.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But you are hurting yourself if you bring in other murders, and you are hurting the co-defendants

This is ridiculous.

MR. SHINN: I don't need any advice from you, Mr. Bugliosi.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

I am not so sure that is true, Mr. Shinn.

I have felt it necessary to call to the attention of defense counsel since the penalty phase things that appeared perfectly obvious to me that would injure the defendants, but for one reason or another defense counsel thought otherwise, which as a tactical matter may be perfectly all right.

I just wanted to make sure that you were aware of it.

But now this is something else. I think the record should be perfectly clear precisely what Mr. Bugliosi is saying.

Mr. Buglios1, would you state what it is you are referring to so the record will indicate that Mr. Shinn has been forewarned?

3

4 5

7

8

10 11

> 12 13

'15

16

--

19

21

22

23 24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

Shorty O'Shea, as I understand it, was a ranch hand out at Spahn Ranch, and sometime in late August, 1969 he allegedly was murdered and decapitated, and the allegation is that he was murdered by Manson, Bruce Davis, and several other members of the Family.

THE COURT: Who are presently under indictment.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Who are presently under indictment for murder of Shorty O'Shea.

And when Susan Atkins blurts this out during this trial that homicide officers talked to her about the murder of Shorty O'Shea, this cannot help but inure to the detriment of not only Susan Atkins but all the defendants.

MR. SHINN: You forget that the jury is sequestered.

MR. FITZGERALD: I agree with that.

Do you agree?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

May I be heard?

MR. FITZGERALD: I agree that it really has no place in this proceeding at all.

Maybe we ought to talk to the witness.

MR. KANAREK: First of all, I'd like to point out that I do not agree with Mr. Shinn.

I offer to be sworn on it once again and I ask for an evidentiary hearing under the equal protection clause and due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of

--

the Constitution.

THE COURT: Is there anything you do agree with him on?

WR. KANAREK: When he says that we have all spoken with Susan Atkins, this is not true. I have not spoken with her. I have deliberately stayed away from her.

6 7

9 10

11

14

18 19

20 21

25

26

8

12 13

16 17

THE COURT: Get to the point.

MR. KANAREK: I want to make the record.

MR. FITZGERALD: The point is that you don't know what she is going to say.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, that's right. She is not my witness. I am not offering her.

- Furthermore, I'd like to point out to the Court that there is another defendant, Steve Grogan, or Clem Tufts, who is also a defendant in the O'Shea case.

I ask your Honor to admonish the jury not to consider this statement concerning Shorty O'Shea for any purpose. And mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial.

THE COURT: She hasn't said anything. She just mentioned the name.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. But I ask for a voir dire of the jury and an evidentiary hearing, because I do believe that notwithstanding the sequestration that those jurors know about the or Shea case.

THE COURT: You have stated that at least a hundred and fifty times since the trial started.

MR. KANAREK: How can we implement it?

THE COURT: That is frivolous.

MR. KANAREK: May I be heard briefly, your Honor?

MR. FITZGERALD: I didn't have any knowledge that she was going to testify about O' Shea.

She ought to be cautioned not to mention anything about it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. Not to blurt anything out.

MR. SHINN: When I said that we had a talk with her, I meant Paul Fitzgerald and myself.

THE COURT: Where are you trying to lead this witness? Into what area of testimony?

MR. SHINN: Right now?

THE COURT: Yes.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

22

23

24

MR. SHINN: She said she talked to some homicide detectives.

THE COURT: What is the relevancy of that?

MR. SHINN: To show that she cooperated with the police.

I have a right to show that, your Honor. Not in another homicide, but the same case.

I didn't know she was going to say that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She never spoke to any other officers on the Tate-La Bianca matters.

MR. SHINN: She told me she did.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Not on Tate-La Bianca.

I think I am the only law enforcement officer, so far as I know, that ever spoke to her on the Tate-La Bianca case. I don't think anyone else ever has.

MR. FITZGERALD: There is an objection pending on the ground that it is immaterial and irrelevant, and I will join that objection.

MR. KAY: Let me advise you, Mr. Shinn, if you go into the fact that she was aiding law enforcement officers, it is the position of Whiteley and Guenther that she did not, and they will so testify.

They said she personally led them away from where Shorty O'Shea was buried.

MR. SHINN: I am not talking about O' Shea. I am talking about Tate-La Bianca.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But she might blurt it out.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on my motion, the motion for mistrial?

THE COURT: You are wasting time. Your frivolous motion has been denied a number of times already, Mr. Kanarek.

Now, go back and sit down.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

(Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn confer with the witness.)

BY MR. SHINN:

7

10

11

13

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

- Q Now, did Mr. Caballero, your attorney, later tell you that for your testimony at the Grand Jury that he is working in your behalf?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Or for your benefit?
 - A Yes. And everyone that was concerned with me.
 - Q Okay.

Did he give you any details of what he was doing for your benefit?

- A No.
- Q Did you ask him?
- A Every time I would begin to inquire, he would change the subject.
 - Q This is after your testimony at the Grand Jury?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did you ever talk to Mr. Caruso after that?
- A No. Not until just about a week and a half ago, the last time I was in court in another case.
 - Q Weren't you curious about what the benefits was

3

6

5

9 10

12 13

11

15

17

16

19

18

21

23

24

22

25

26

that you were going to receive for your testimony?

- A I believed that it was already done.
- Q Did he, at any time, tell you that he had a deal worked out for you, don't worry?

A No.

He tried to push me into testifying for the prosecution. The more he tried to push me to testify for the prosecution.

And they wouldn't let me out of lockup and wouldn't allow me to see who I wanted to see or do what I wanted to do.

I asked him to do what I wanted him to do.

I said, "I will fire you, man, if you don't do what I want
you to do. I am not going to play any more games with you."

And then he would get up and leave and come back and see me and try all over again.

And finally I was allowed to go over and see Charles.

- Q Now, do you sometimes call yourself Sexy Sadie?
- A Have I ever called myself Sexy Sadie?
- Q Was that a nickname of yours?
- A Someone called me Sexy Sadie once and it was picked up and carried on.

I believe it came from a Beatles song.

- Q What does Sexy Sadie mean to you?
- A Nothing. Absolutely nothing. It is a song.

MR. SHINN: May I have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have a few questions.

(Defense counsel confer.)

MR. SHINN: Nothing further.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have a few questions, if I might.

THE COURT: Very well.

CROSS- EXAMINATION

BY MR. FITZGERALD:

11

15

16

17

21

Q Miss Atkins, as far as you are concerned, to your knowledge, why was Sharon Tate, Abigail Folger, Voityck Frykowski and Steven Parent killed?

A To my knowledge, why did I go and do what I did?

Because I believed it was right to do anything
to get my brother out of jail.

And I still believe it was right.

24

- Q whdy did you go to 10050 Cielo Drive, the Polanski residence?
 - A Linda Kasapian brought up that place.
- Q Now, you previously testified as to something about some narcotic or dangerous drug transaction between Linda Kasabian and the people who resided up on Cielo Drive, is that correct?
- A. That is correct, that is what my understanding was, that is about as far as it went.
- Q And did you achieve your knowledge as a result of some conversation with Linda Kasabian?
- A. Not direct conversation between her and me per se, just the two of us. It was conversation between four of us.
- Q But did you receive your knowledge as the result of Linda Kasabian telling you something about some narcotic or dangerous drug transaction?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Who was it at the Polanski residence that she had been involved in this narcotic or dangerous drug transaction with?
- A She never said the name, she just said it was a man.
- Q Did you know who lived at Cielo Drive when you went up there on the evening of August 8th?
 - A. No.

24

25

Q Was there any conversation between yourself and Linda Kasabian or yourself, Linda Kasabian and anybody else on the way from the Spahn Ranch to Cielo Drive about what was going to occur when you got there?

A. As far as I understood, we were going up to get money, and that is all.

And you were going to get money in order to retain legal counsel to represent Robert Beausoleil, is that correct?

A That was my --

That was what was in my head.

Now, also what was in my head were many ideas of how to get my brother out of Jail, copycat killings, get as much money as we could.

If we did not want to do that, to retain a lawyer.

It didn't matter what we did as long as we got him out of jail. That is what my state of mind was.

Q Now, you are mentioning two reasons, at least two reasons you are saying.

A Many reasons were thrown around, Paul, I cannot remember them all, but those were the two most prominent in my mind.

Q And you say something about a copycat killing. What do you mean by that?

A. I have seen it done on television. I have read about it in papers, you know, like Jack the Ripper went

around and did all kinds of crazy things to people, and there is another one where they arrested a man for some kind of a murder, and eight more murders happened before they realized that the man that they had arrested for the original murder wasn't the man that did it.

- Q To your knowledge, when Gary Hinman was killed, was he killed in his own home?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

- Q Did he die in his own home?
- A Yes, it took a long time to kill him.
- Q Was there some writing on the wall in blood at the Hinman house?
 - A. Yes, and I put it there.
- Q What was the writing in blood on the wall at the Hinman house?
 - A "Political piggy."
- Q Now, when you say that one of your reasons to go up there to Tate was a copycat killing --
 - A It was an idea. It wasn't a --
- I had no definite reason at the time that that was one of my thoughts.
- Q Did you intend to write on the wall in blood up at the Polanski residence?
- A. No, I did not intend to do anything, just to go up there and just be there, and do what happened, whatever it was.

8

11

21

- Q, At the time you wrote in blood on the door at the-Polanski residence "pig," was it your intention to somehow ? copy what was done at the Hinman home?
 - That was my intention.
- And was it your intention therefor to lead the police to believe that they had the wrong man, to-wit. Robert Beausoleil, in custody for the Hinman killing?____
 - A. After the --
 - Was that your statement?
- After the first man was shot, that was my intention, that was what was in my head.
- In other words, you want to demonstrate to the police and the authorities that the killer of Gary Hinman was still at large?___
 - A. Correct.
- And there was another reason, and that was to "help your brother," is that right?
- To get him out of jail, that is the only reason, and whatever I did and whatever I thought about doing, to get him out of jail and to get him off the beef that he was taking for me was right.

And I felt right in whatever I did.

- And you wanted to get him off two ways. One, by trying to demonstrate to the police.
- Anyway, anyway. What came down showed me that that is a way.

If we had gone up there and robbed them, that would have been a way.

t

10

11

12

15

16

17

Q Well, did you rob them?

A I've got Abigail Folger to give me \$70. I asked her if she had any money and she said yeah.

So I let her into the bedroom.

Q Did you have any specific information as to any narcotic or dangerous drug transaction engaged in between Linda Kasabian and anybody who may have resided up there at the Polanski residence?

A Specific information?
No.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did Linda Kasabian say who it was in any respect?

A She just said it was a man and there was a group of people that lived up in the house who had burnt her.

And she knew they had been dealing bad dope to a lot of people.

Around that time there was a lot of dope that had like strychnine poison in it, and a lot of kids were freaking out all over.

Q Now, you testified that Mr. Bugliosi offered you some immunity, is that right?

A Immunity in respect that I would testify for the prosecution at the actual trial.

The immunity was not discussed.

Q What did you take that to mean when he used the

word "immunity"?

7

15

16

19

21

22

25

What did you think that to mean?

- A Cut loose, completely free.
- Q It means you would not go to jail at all?
- A Correct.
- Q If you would what?

A If I would testify for the prosecution at the trial against Charles Manson, Linda Kasabian, Patricia Krenwinkel and Tex Watson, and it just did not make sense to me.

- Q Why didn't you do it?
- A Why didn't I do it?

 It didn't make sense.
- Q What didn't make sense about it? You don't want to spend the rest of your life in jail, do you?

A In jail, out of jail, it's all jail to me. It's more jail outside than it is inside.

- Q Now, you testified in regard to a question by Mr. Shinn that actually you asked your lawyer to see Charles Manson?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q Did you at some time see Charles Manson?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q Do you remember when and where that occurred?
- A At the new County Jail in the evening. What date it was I don't know.

12

19

22

Q Was it shortly after you had these conversations?

Was it shortly after the period of time you testified at the Grand Jury?

A Yeah it was right after he was indicted and brought to Los Angeles, maybe a week, two weeks, three weeks, I'm not sure exactly how long it was.

All I knew is that I was confused, I had to face him, because I lied about him.

I had to look into his face and accept the fact that I lied, and accept what I had done.

I have been going through many many changes in the jail over what I had done in my lives at the Grand Jury.

- Q You lied about Charles Manson at the Grand Jury?
- A Yes.
- Q You lied under oath?
- A Yes. At the time I lied I believed it to be real and true in my own imagination world.
 - Q Why did you lie about Charles Manson?
- A He represents and has always represented to me strength, and I needed his strength.

At the time I was telling the original story to the two girls it was all fun and imagination trip.

I would like to explain to you that I spent a lot of time down on Sunset Boulevard after the murders, after the raid, before I went to the desert, and it seems everyone that I would meet, all the young people I would

meet would come up and ask me, you know, or a conversation would come around to killings.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Motion to strike as not responsive.

THE WITNESS: It is responsive, Vince, it has to do with it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May we approach the bench?

THE COURT: Very well.

10

11

12

(ins icllowing proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: It seems to me, your Monor, there is an Aranda problem here.

THE COURT: what are you referring to?

. 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

MR. BUGLIOSI: He is eliciting testimony from her that she testified outside of this proceeding right here at the Grand Jury, and implicated a co-defendant, i.e., Charles Manson.

I think Mr. Fitzgerald has to know that.

Now --

THE COURT: He hasn't gone into what the lie was.

MR. FITZGERALD: I will defer to Mr. Kanarek. That is a problem I really had not thought of. I apologize.

MR. BUGLIOSI: My point is this:

Typically, under Aranda the violating party is the prosecution.

But, query, if the defense violates Aranda, and the Court does not intercede.

THE COURT: Is this really an Aranda problem?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't know. The only reason I came
up here is to discuss, she is saying she made an out-of-court
statement implicating Charles Hanson.

THE COURT: How is this different from another case when a co-defendant testifies? I'm not talking about what a co-defendant said in a extrajudicial statement, I am

talking about what a codefendant says on the witness stand, not out of court.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She is testifying that out of this courtroom in an extrajudicial statement she made, she implicated Charles Manson.

THE COURT: She isn't saying that yet.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

23

25

MR. BUGLIOSI: She said she lied about Manson at the Grand Jury.

THE COURT: So far no one has asked her what the lie was.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But I think we are flirting with the Aranda case, even though the defense is bringing it out.

I think we are flirting very dangerously with the Aranda case.

THE COURT: I haven't heard Mr. Kanarek objecting.

MR. KANAREK: I am in agreement, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: With whom?

MR. KANAREK: With the District Attorney.

THE COURT: Why haven't you objected then?

MR. KANAREK: Nothing has happened. Nothing has happened.

THE COURT: You mean you don't understand what's happening?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I did. As your Honor will recall this record reveals a long time ago I said I wanted to approach the bench.

Aranda-Bruton -- and your Honor --

THE COURT: I don't know what you're talking about now.

MR. KANAREK: When she first took the witness stand.

THE COURT: What I am talking about is what is happening here this minute.

MR, KANAREK: Yes, I agree.

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: You don't want this witness to testify to what she told the Grand Jury about Mr. Manson, is that right?

MR. KANAREK: That's correct. I certainly don't.

THE COURT: You don't want that evidence to come out in this trial at all.

MR. KANAREK: No. I don't want her to say what she said Mr. Manson did, absolutely not.

MR. FITZGERALD: While it is true I did not ask her what she testified to at the Grand Jury, I did ask her why she testified as she did about Charles Manson in regard to the subsequent visit with Charles Manson.

I will follow the Court's directions and instructions.

If Mr. Kanarek wants me to forego this line of inquiry, I certainly will do it.

I have my own motives for doing it. I would fust as soon not divulge or reveal them, but I recognize if Mr. Kanarek feels it may harm his client, I will back off of it.

10

12

18

THE COURT: Well, I am not sure at the moment whether there is any Aranda problem involved.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The main reason I came up to the bench, we seem to be going in that direction, and I think we are flirting dangerously with it.

I want to ask the Court his position in the matter.

I don't think there has been any Aranda violation. I want to say that on the record, but we are coming into an area where we'd better watch ourselves here.

The line of questioning to me was going in the direction of Aranda.

THE COURT: Well, the only thing pending before the Court at the moment is the motion to strike the answer as not responsive, is that right?

The answer was non-responsive, no question about that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She was talking about something on Sunset Boulevard, people talking about the killing.

I made a motion to strike that.

THE COURT: Right. So far I heard no objection whatever out of Mr. Kanarek with respect to Mr. Manson,

MR. KANAREK: Well, no, your Honor, there has been no statement.

MR. FITZGERALD: I make an offer of proof.

I have spoken with this witness with Ar. Shinn,

. 18

and in response to a question I addressed to her:

"Why did you lie at the Grand Jury with regard to Mr. Manson?" she replied that she "wanted the whole world to know about Charlie."

I make a representation to the Court that she also told that to the prosecution; that the prosecution has knowledge of the statement of Susan Atkins wherein she said she wanted the whole world to know about Charlie, and Charlie was a magnificent individual and this was her way to bringing him to the attention of the whole world.

That is the answer I anticipated in asking the question.

4. 5

7

9

8

11 12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

23

25

24

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: You wanted that answer from her?

MR. FITZGERALD: I wanted that answer from her.

MR. KANAREK: Of course, your Honor, in that case if I may, Aranda and Bruton referred --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, all you have to do is object at the appropriate time if you want to object. And if you don't, just remain quiet.

MR. KANAREK: I am just saying, Aranda and Bruton refer to confessions and admissions.

Aranda and Bruton does not refer to enything else, and a statement like that would not be --

THE COURT: You don't suppose the People are really going to leave it right there, do you? They have an opportunity to cross-examine this witness.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I understand.

I understand.

MR. FITZGERALD: If the Court please, I have a suggestion. It is about time to take the recess.

THE COURT: Let me just point out to Mr. Kanarek, for Mr. Kanarek's benefit, because apparently he does not seem to understand what is happening.

MR. KANAREK: I understand what's happening.

THE COURT: You are sure you do? .

MR. KANAREK: I don't think the Court does, if I may respectfully say that.

THE COURT: I understand very well what is happening,

Mr. Kanarek.

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

Į

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

You want the best of all possible worlds, the best of two possible worlds.

You do understand that if you permit this to come in on direct examination without objection, the People have the right to cross-examine this witness.

They don't have to accept your statement on direct examination. You understand that, don't you?

MR. KANAREK: I understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: And that is why at the very beginning of her testimony I asked to approach the bench. I was going to ask the Court to have an evidentiary hearing in the way of Roni Howard and Virginia Graham as to this witness.

And your Honor would not allow me to approach the bench.

THE COURT: This is entirely different, an entirely different matter. This is a co-defendant testifying in her own trial.

MR. KANAREK: She was still a co-defendant earlier when she was testifying, your Honor.

MR. FITZGERALD: The suggestion I have here is, it is about time for the recess.

I will take Mr. Kanarek to the witness and in Mr. Kanarek's presence we can discuss this.

15 16

18 19

17

21

22

20

23

25

26

I will ask her the question and she can answer.

MR. KANAREK: I am cross-examining her, I'm not

offering her as a witness, and that is clear.

I am not offering her. The Court made some indication previously there was some kind of joint defense, and all of that.

My position was she is adverse. She was a witness to be cross-examined.

I say that respectfully to the Court, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is/very confusing situation.

All I know -- There seems to be all types of intrigues going on here.

THE COURT: Seems to be!

MR. FITZGERALD: There is.

MR. BUGLIOSI: In my humble opinion we are getting closer to Aranda and I don't think the Court should permit Mr. Fitzgerald to go any further in this area.

He will go opening up a barrel of worms.

THE COURT: In the absence of any objection I will certainly let him go ahead.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Then I will object. I object on the grounds that we may be approaching a violation of the Aranda rule.

THE COURT: For all I know, Mr. Kanarek may want this to come in for some reason of his own.

He is quite aware of the problem.

MR. KANAREK: I said I joined in the District Attorney's objection.

THE COURT: There hasn't been an objection yet.

MR. KANAREK: Then I make a motion for a hearing outside the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: We will take our recess at this time.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury.)

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express an opinion regarding the penalty until the case is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes.

Counsel will please come into chambers.

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court outside the hearing of the defendants and the jury, all counsel being present.)

THE COURT: The reason I asked you to come in was because I just wanted to mention something that I have been thinking about and have an expression of opinion that you might care to give.

It seems to me that in light of Susan Atkins' testimony now, of course it lays bare all of those things we spent so many months trying to keep from the jury, that a good portion of the reason for sequestering the jury is now dissipated.

As a matter of fact, the only thing remaining that they don't know, that I know, that I can think of at the moment, is the Shorty O'Shea matter.

When I say matter, I mean the matter of the indictment of Manson and Atkins. Was it Atkins indicted in that?

Manson is indicted in the murder of Shorty
O'Shea along with Hinman and Atkins is indicted in Hinman.

Those cases are consolidated.

MR. KANAREK: No, the District Attorney wants that.

THE COURT: In any event, that insofar as I know.

Perhaps you can enlighten me. That is the only thing of an adverse nature affecting these defendants that has not come out on this trial that still has not been disclosed in this trial, so that --

MR. BUGLIOSI: There are other things, your Honor.

There is Manson's involvement in the

Tate-La Bianca case, as far as Susan Atkins is concerned.

THE COURT: I know, but Linda Kasabian's testimony involved him.

3

5

10

11

12

^ 13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

Now, whether they believe one or the other is another matter, but the point is, in this case you can no longer claim they no longer know he is involved in this case.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Under People vs. Terry the defense is still trying to raise a doubt as to guilt, isn't that right?

MR. FITZGERALD: That may be, that may be.

THE COURT: I think we are talking about two different things.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right, we are.

THE COURT: All I am saying is, the only thing the jury has not already heard which might conceivably prejudice them in some way, this is what I am asking you, if I'm wrong, correct me, is the matter of Shorty O'Shea.

MR. BUGLIOSI: My position is this:

No. 1, the defense right now is putting on a defense that Manson was not involved in the Tate-La Bianca murders.

Now, if the jurors are released from sequestration they might very well hear about Susan Atkins, in fact, in this morning's paper, I think in the Los Angeles Times they quote what Susan Atkins said in her book that Charles Manson was the one who directed these murders, which is directly antithetical to the position the defense is taking now.

118 .8

THE COURT: Susan already said she lied at the Grand Jury, and she has already said that Charlie was not there on either night; that she said yesterday.

MR.BUGLIOSI: Here we have a prior statement she had made which is presently in the papers.

3

5 6

7

8

10 11

12 13

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

THE COURT: There cannot be any question in the jury's mind what she said yesterday, that Charlie was not there, and she said she testified before the Grand Jury.

How could they help but draw that conclusion?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is why I came up to the bench,
because I thought there was an Aranda problem.

The implication was she put the hat on Charlie at the Grand Jury. That is an out-of-court statement implicating a codefendant.

MR. KAY: Also the fact is if these jurors are released the press will just converge on them.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, I wasn't born yesterday, and I think I understand what is happening.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right, good.

MR. KEITH: Good.

MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor fill me in? I don't understand what your Honor says by what is happening.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, in spite of those wide-open blue eyes of yours, I don't think you are quite as innocent as you would have me believe.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask to be sworn. I have not spoken to any one of these three, and these gentlemen, Mr. Keith, Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn will back me up.

I have not spoken to these people, to these three defendants.

MR. KEITH: That is true.

. 8

TMR. FITZGERALD: That is true.

MR. SHINM: That is true. He was not at the meeting that night; just Keith Fitzgerald and myself.

THE COURT: I haven't been either, out that is not necessary to understand what is happening.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct, your Honor, it is not necessary to understand what is happening.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have a very serious reason why I vigorously oppose the jurors being released from sequestration.

THE COURT: That is why I have you in here, that is what I want to hear. I don't want to make an impulsive move and then find out they are subject to a barrage of adverse publicity which would in any way prejudice the defendants.

MR. BUGLIOSI: My position, and I think I can state it here freely is, the jury is not hearing it, so I can state it here freely:

My position is that these other remaining members of the Family are potential killers, and even if they do not kill, they are certainly capable of attempting to frighten some of these jurors, and if they are released to their homes --

You are laughing, Mr. Shinn, and I find it so incredible, I'm not even going to address myself to it.

MR. SHINN: You much our lives are in danger, too,

after this trial?

THE COURT: That will be enough, gentlemen, I did not bring you in here for this kind of colloquy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But I fear, your Honor, I fear a phone call in the middle of the night to one of the jurors and a hang-up or an oink sound or something like that.

This could frighten the jury away from voting for the death penalty for these defendants for fear of retribution.

These girls are out on the street 24 hours a day, out here on the corner. I think the Court has seen they are completely dedicated to the Family.

You have seen it on the witness stand. They have got X's on their foreheads. They totally consecrated their lives to the Family.

THE COURT: Their testimony convinced me they are all borderline mental cases.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There is further reason --

THE COURT: I am not sure which side of the border.

MR. BUGLIOSI: If they are released to their homes, there is a distinct possibility that they might attempt to frighten one or more of these jurors away from voting for the death penalty, and I vigorously oppose that.

In addition thereto we still have the Shorty Shea murder.

Every now and then there is something in the

5 6

8

10 11

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

26

paper implying that the Manson Family has been involved perhaps in other unsolved murders.

It is my belief that the defense is going to be through in a week and a half or two, anyway.

As long as we have gone seven months, I don't see why we should have them released at this time. They are going to have to be sequestered again at the time of jury deliberations.

THE COURT: Well, this is what I wanted to hear.

I wanted your honest expressions of opinion to inform me
of things I may not be aware of.

I am aware of everything you said so far.

MR. FITZGERALD: I would like to address myself so your Honor will be in possession of all of the facts.

It appears that my client, Patricia Krenwinkel, will take the stand and also it appears that Mr. Keith's client is likely to take the stand.

In addition to that I know of, conclusively I know of approximately three more witnesses.

Other than that, or in addition to that, Mr. Shinn has about five witnesses, and Mr. Kanarek has refused to commit himself in terms of the number of witnesses he has.

I am not saying that in derogation of Mr. Kanarek, you know, for purposes known to himself he does not want to reveal it. That is all right.

So I just went to address myself to this time element. It may not be a couple of weeks, I hope it is, I really hope very definitely that we will be finished perhaps by the end of next week, but the way things go, it may be another month or month and a half, particularly if the prosecution decides to rebut.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We don't plan on any rebuttal right now.

THE COURT: Do you care to reveal the nature of your client's testimony at this time?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, it's going to be substantially similar to Susan Atkins.

THE COURT: The reason I ask, because I want to -Of course, they did not testify at the Grand
Jury, did they?

MR. FITZGERALD: They did not.

THE COURT: So we don't have that problem.

MR. KAY: Also we have Tex Watson coming back from Atascadero and there will be a lot of additional publicity about him.

THE COURT: The jury knows all about Tex Watson.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, if I may --

THE COURT: One point. Just a minute, as the record now stands, Susan Atkins has said that she lied to the Grand Jury, but no one has elicited how she lied or whither in fact she did.

That has not been tested by cross-examination.

She has testified on the stand yesterday that

Manson was not involved in either murder, in substance.

MR. FITZGERALD: Correct.

MR, SHINN: She did not mention his name, that is all.

THE COURT: The reason I mention it is because it is Mr. Kanarek's client we are talking about, I want Mr. Kanarek to fully appreciate just exactly what has been said and what has not been said.

In other words, what I am saying is, Susan Atkins has not testified so far that at the Grand Jury she testified that Mr. Manson was not there, or not involved.

She has not said that.

And you will not be able to argue that, because that is not evidence in this case.

In other words, you cannot have it both ways, Mr. Kanarek.

Inferences.

THE COURT: You can certainly use her testimony

THE COURT: You can certainly use her testimony yesterday to argue that Mr. Manson was not involved, but you cannot say it was inconsistent from what she testified to before the Grand Jury because we don't have that evidence.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, I think I can argue

MR. KANAREK: What I am saying, the prosecution has said, and I'm sure we all agree, he has said in final argument you are supposed to let your memory, or your mind take wings.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I said give wings to the imagination.

But I also placed limitations upon it, not to fly out of the courtroom.

THE COURT: You cannot deliberately misstate something that has been said, or say something has been said that has not been said.

MR. KANAREK: One can make inferences.

THE COURT: One cannot make inferences out of a vacuum.

MR. KANAREK: I am sure the Court understands what I am saying. Over and above that I do make a motion based on the equal protections of the law and due process under the Fourteenth Amendment that we have an Aranda-Bruton hearing as to this witness, Susan Atkins.

If the Ditrict Attorney is going to bring in anything she said at the Grand Jury, I think Mr. Manson

10

13

16

24

22

has an equal right --

THE COURT: On the contrary, he is objecting to anything.

MR. KANAREK: I don't know what he is going to do on cross-examination.

THE COURT: Let's wait until we get there, I don't know either.

MR. KANAREK: Out of an abundance of caution, since we have had this purported excising, actually it was more than --

THE COURT: If it is not coming in on direct, he certainly won't be allowed to go into it on crossexamination.

You don't have to worry about that.

That is not the point of what I am saying here, Mr. Kanarek.

The point of what I am saying to you is, don't assume something is in the record when it isn't.

MR. KANAREK: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: In other words, you cannot go all possible ways at the same time in a case like this.

If you want to object to this evidence coming in, then it is not going to be in, assuming the objection is sustained.

> And you cannot argue that it was, or it is. MR. KANAREK: Well, yes, what I am saying, I think

we should have an Aranda-Bruton -- and I do move for an Aranda-Bruton type of hearing.

THE COURT: I see no necessity for it.

MR. MUSICH: If there is any conversation like that coming in, you can ask for an offer of proof.

MR. KANAREK: By that time the same damage will be done to Mr. Manson --

THE COURT: Counsel has offered to let you talk to Miss Atkins along with them, both Mr. Shinn and Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. FITZGERALD: Correct.

3

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: You will find out exactly what this witness is going to say.

MR. KANAREK: What she said she is going to say.

THE COURT: She is a defense witness. She is not a prosecution witness. She is a defendant in this case. She is a convicted defendant in the case.

MR. KANAREK: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, if you don't take advantage of their offer, that is your problem.

MR. KANAREK: It is not a matter of not taking advantage of their offer, it is a matter of can we depend upon her doing on the witness stand what she said.

Your Honor himself has said they are not dependable.

THE COURT: I just wanted to bring those matters to

your attention.

I don't intend to do anything about the sequestration at the moment, and I certainly won't do anything without consulting with you further.

But I did want to get your views.

MR. KAY: Your Honor, I wonder if at this time if I could make a request, in view of Mr. Shinn putting Susan Atkins on the stand, that the representations of Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Keith -- I wonder if it wouldn't be proper at this point for the Court to make inquiries of these three counsel for purposes of appeal as to what the strategy is in doing this, or maybe it is their clients have left them no alternative.

THE COURT: Doing what, putting their clients on the stand?

MR. KAY: Yes, putting Susan Atkins on the stand to confess to the killing of Sharon Tate and the killing of Gary Hinman.

I just wonder if maybe the Court should make some inquiry of counsel.

THE COURT: I don't think they are under any obligation to tell me if I asked them.

If they want to volunteer, I will listen.

MR. FITZGERALD: All I will say is it is done advisedly.

MR.BUGLIOSI: For guidance rather than create error

in the record, does the Court feel I can cross-examine on matters that would ordinarily . violate Aranda, if it is limited to impeachment?

I don't know. Is there any case on that?

I'm not sure about that point.

fis 8

MR. KANAREK: I don't think that Aranda -- I think with the language of Aranda and Bruton, it is so broad, you cannot make an artifical demarcation between impeachment-

9

14

23

THE COURT: As I understand the law, a codefendant may take the stand and testify implicating his codefendants. This happens all the time.

MR. BUGLIO31: Right, right, no problem with that.

THE COURT: The only problem is the accomplice rule, corroboration.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: Now, this particular aspect is slightly different because ratner than, say, for example --

"Well, she's gone beyond what she said yesterday," Mr. Manson was not involved or wasn't present on either night.

Now, if Mr. Shinn continues, it may be she will testify that at the Grand Jury she said Mr. Manson was involved and he did order them to commit the Tate murders and was present on the second night at the La Bianca house.

I am just speculating now. I don't recall what her testimony at the Grand Jury was.

MR. KAY: That was her testimony.

THE COURT: But I had a hazy recollection that she implicated Mr. Manson.

MR. KANAREK: That would be improper.

THE COURT: Well, now, just a minute. Now, this is vastly different, of course, from some witness coming in and saying that Susan told this witness outside of court at some time in the past that Mr. Manson was involved und

masterminded the muracre, and was present on the second night, and so forth.

Here what Susan has done was testify before the Grand Jury. That is a fact. That is a matter of public record. She did so testify, whatever it was.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Can I bring that in for impeachment purposes?

MR. KANAREK: I would say no, your Honor.

MR. FITZGERALD: She has admitted she testified before the Grand Jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She has not admitted that she implicated Manson. She has not admitted that Manson directed the murders.

THE COURT: Certainly it is a prior incensistent statement, but that is only part of the problem.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: The other problem, if it implicates a codefendant, because she testified about him in the Grand Jury, is it excluded on some ground?

MR. BUGLIOSI: On the grounds of Aranda. I certainly would like to cross-examine her on that, but I don't want to do it.

THE COURT: Why is that any worse than if she gets on the stand and testifies about a codelendant?

MR. KEITH: Suppose soe said that Lealle Van Houten masterminded the murder, wouldn't I have the rightto

はないのでは、 できるとは、 というは、 一切のでは、 できないというないというできないできないできないできないといっているというできないというできないというできないというできないというできないという

8

13

20

22

.26

impeach her on her Grand Jury testimon, to show that the previous occasion under eath that the said the case thing about somebody else, and nothing about basile Van Houten?

MR. KANAREK: Not in a joint trial you can't.

MR. KEITH: I would be ruined if I were not allowed to do that.

MR. KANAREK: But Aranda makes the point, that is why you have separate trials.

If you have a joint trial you have to excise and delete, and Aranda does not make any distinction whether it was done at the Grand Jury or whether it was done at a beer parlor.

It is still a statement within the purviou of the Aranda-Bruton doctrine.

THE COURT: I am not convinced of that at ali.

MR. KANAREK: Let's take Aranda down again, I think
I can show your Honor --

MR. FITZGERALD: Let me ask you a question,
Mr. Bugliosi, is it your position that because she stated
now she lied at the Grand Jury, that you are entitled to
go back and ask her every bit of what she testified at
the Grand Jury, whether it is a truth or whether it is a lie?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I wasn't planning on doing that.

HR. FITZGERALD: But could you?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't know, but she tardfiel that Linda Kasabian directed the La Bianca muruers.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct.

3

5

8

9

10

11.

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUGLIOSI: Now, can I bring out at a prior occasion, i.e., the Grand Jury, she testified Manson, not Linda Kasabian, directed the murders.

MR. FITZGERALD: As a matter of fact, that was my next line of inquiry. I was going to ask:

"Did you testify at the Grand Jury about what you testified here in connection with Linda Kasabian?"

Because I know you are going to do that on cross-examination.

Technically it is better for me to bring it out than it is for you.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't know if I can do that.

MR. KANAREK: If that is Mr. Fitzgerald's intentions, a fortiori, or something like that, if you want to get poetic about it, I request a hearing outside the presence of the jury, an Aranda-Bruton excising.

THE COURT: You don't need a hearing, Mr. Kanarek, you have a copy of the Grand Jury transcript.

MR. KANAREK: Let's go over the transcript, the same way we went over these letters of all the statements of Roni Howard and Virginia Graham.

I think we have an obligation to do that. I do ask that be done on the basis of equal protection of the law, due process and the Fourteenth Amendment.

As to Mr. Manson, Susan Atkins has an adverse

and certainly I think your Honor agrees with me this is analogous to, in a sense, that we have to --

THE COURT: I think this is one of those situations where really all that is involved is impeachment, because she has testified as to what, in fact, happened on the nights.

She did that yesterday.

11

12

13

15

20

21

22

24

.25

MR. KANAREK: I know, your Honor.

THE COURT: She clearly has the right to do that, no matter who it implicates.

MR. KANAREK: But the prosecution, if you balance -THE GOURT: Just a minute now, she's already done
that. She has testified as to what happened on the actual
nights of the crimes.

MR. FITZGERALD: Correct.

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

THE COURT: Now, whether she is lying or telling the truth is beside the point for the purpose of these discussions.

All the people will be trying to do is to try at to impeach that testimony by showing that/the Grand Jury she testified some other way.

MR. KANAREK: You cannot do it because of Aranda and Bruton, your Honor, you cannot do it.

THE COURT: I think this matter involves only impeachment, and does not involve Aranda and Bruton at all.

MR. KANAREK: But that is why they say, in Bruton, it's like tell a little boy to stand in a corner and not think of white elephants.

Your Honor is going to exhort and tell the jury that this is only impeachment.

Now, that may be okay, perhaps in a judge trial, and the Court indicates even in a judge trial you cannot make that distinction.

Here Bruton says juries cannot make that distinction, your Honor.

THE GOURT: I am not even sure it is limited to impeachment.

MR. FITZGERALD: It is not limited to impeachment.

Green vs. California reenacts, I guess, the Evidence Code provision.

MR. KANAREK: But Mr. Manson did not make these statements.

MR. FITZGERALD: We understand that, but we are assuming certain impeachment questions are going to be asked, and then you are talking about a jury being advised it has a limited effect and what the judge and I are saying is we don't think such a request to the jury should be honored because it is not coming in for a limited purpose.

MR. KANAREK: These are not Mr. Manson's statements.

The only reason that Juan Flynn's statement came in is
because purportedly Mr. Manson said it.

Mr. Manson is a defendant here, and the Aranda-Bruton problem has to do with statements made by Susan Atkins, not by Mr. Manson.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think it has to be researched.

Before I commence my cross-examination, I

mean, if they finish direct examination at 4:00, I would

3

4

6

7

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

like to recess early because I don't know what the law is.

It seems to me I can do it for the sole purpose of impeachment.

Maybe I can't; I don't know.

MR. FITZGERALD: As I said up at the bench, if Mr. Kanarek asks me to I will stop the line of inquiry.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But even if you do stop now, Paul,
I am still wondering can I bring in the fact that at the
Grand Jury she said it was not Linda Kasabian, it was
Charlie who directed these murders, solely for impeachment.

MR. KANAREK: That is the point, that is the very point we are talking about, Mr. Bugliosi.

I think Bruton makes it clear, the United States Supreme Court said you cannot make that distinction.

Like Stein vs.New York and those cases, no matter how much you tell them about it you are not going to get the results.

The United States Supreme Court has said it and the California Supreme Court has said it.

THE COURT: That is a factual situation. The facts are neither Bruton nor Aranda.

MR. KANAREK: They are statements of Susan Atkins, your Honor.

THE COURT: Of course I really don't understand what you are objecting to, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: I don't want that jury to know --

I object to that jury being told that Susan Atkins stated that Mr. Manson directed these murders.

Ē.

£ 10

71İ

712

113

#15

:16

£17

118

119

20

₽21

22

.23

24

-25

26

THE COURT: If they are not told that, do you really think they are going to believe her testimony in the light of her statements that she lied at the Grand Jury?

MR. KANAREK: I would say that the cause of justice for everybody, the People of the State of California and everyone, would be for us to depart this court and make it a life sentence without --

THE COURT: I am sure you would like that, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I think the cause of justice,

I think because of whatever has happened in this courtroom,

I think that it would be fitting for us to allow this,

especially with these matters that are coming in in connection
with Mr. Caruso and Mr. Caballero.

THE COURT: Suppose Mr. Caballero testifies, as he may very well, that what Susan Atkins told him was exactly what she testified to at the Grand Jury.

In other words, suppose he denies all of these things she said about him this afternoon, all the unpleasant things.

Suppose he denies that.

MR. KANAREK: Well, admittedly we haven't come to his testimony yet, but what I am saying, the posture of this case being what it is, I think the Court would be doing a service for the administration of justice.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

24

23

25

26

THE COURT: Let's not get into that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I ask the Court a question?

Does the Court feel that all of this is admissible about the contract?

Does the Court feel that way?

THE COURT: I thought that the reason Mr. Shinn was examining this client was to lead up to the Grand Jury testimony so that he could show what she testified to and why she did it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This was after the Grand Jury testimony. Now he is bringing out evidence about contracts.

THE COURT: What contracts?

MR. BUGLIOSI: These contracts between Caballero and Susan Atkins for the sale of a book.

I don't see the relevance. I objected to it a couple of times.

The Court overruled my objection.

THE COURT: Given it is part of the background, the commercial aspect of Susan Atkins' initial contact in this case and also I was anticipating, if Mr. Caballero it certainly would go to his motivation one way or the other.

I agree that is anticipative, but there is a wide latitude in a penalty trial.

I agree everything is not relevant, but there is a lot that is relevant.

MR. SHINN: It goes to show she was ineffectively

3

5

7

8

9

11

13

15

17

16

18

.19 .20

21

23 24

25

26

represented.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The point is we never introduced her testimony at the Grand Jury.

MR. SHINN: That is beside the point. This is the penalty phase now, Vincent, we have a right to show she was not represented effectively.

People vs. Terry brought up the issue whether or not you should have an attorney at the lineup.

That was brought up in the penalty phase.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is as to the issue of guilt or innocence.

The fact she testified at the Grand Jury has nothing to do with guilt or innocence. We never used it against her at the trial.

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn is trying to show at the time she testified at the Grand Jury she was under some kind of pressure and compulsion and confusion.

MR. FITZGERALD: Exactly.,

MR. BUGLIOSI: Assuming that to be true, what relevance does it have now? We never introduced her testimony at the Grand Jury.

If we had you could say this is all relevant to show that her testimony at the Grand Jury was not truthful and therefore tell the jury not to believe it during the trial.

But we did not offer that testimony.

THE COURT: Apparently he wants the jury to know that she lied, isn't that right, Mr. Shinn, at the Grand Jury?

MR. SHINN: That's right.

THE COURT: I can't imagine any other reason he's taken all the time to lead up to it if he didn't intend to ask her what she said.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But the jury did not know what she said at the Grand Jury because we did not offer it at the trial.

THE COURT: I understand all of that, but he doesn't think so.

In other words, he is trying to show her present condition arises out of --

MR. BUGLIOSI: Is somewhat traceable to that?

THE COURT: Yes. I agree it appears to be farfetched, but in the penalty phase, I don't think that is a
valid objection.

It may be far-fetched if it has some relevancy to it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I would make a request, your Honor, and I think to save time on any of these far-out issues like the sale of a book, now they are subpoening the Los Angeles Times, that we have a conference in chambers, in chambers and not out there, even though the jury is not

present. Mr. Kanarek gets up and makes an allegation that is

for the world press, even though there is no basis for it.

. 13

The allegation is picked up by the world press.

I think it should be handled back here in chambers. Then

if the Court rules this evidence is admissible, then we can

go out in the court.

But to permit Mr. Kanarek or Mr. Shinn to get up there and ask questions of these people, the questions themselves imply something sinister and shadowy, and then force me to object in front of the jury -- or if the jury is not present, let the world press hear it, I don't think it is right.

MR. FITZGERALD: Without involving your integrity at all, there are some very, very disturbing aspects around the sale of Susan Atkins' story, and the representation by counsel before the Grand Jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It should be handled here in chambers.

THE COURT: That is a matter that should be handled by the State Bar, in my opinion. That has nothing to do with this case.

MR. FITZGERALD: I agree, but now we are getting into an area that is highly disturbing.

Mr. Caballero represented to me as another member of the Bar that there was still in effect an agreement between you and him that Susan Atkins was to receive life.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There cannot be an agreement between me and him. The District Attorney's Office --

MR. FITZGERALD: Between the District Attorney's Office and him that she is to receive life imprisonment, and she will never die in the gas chamber.

He told me that.

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

He told me if Mr. Shinn kept Susan Atkins off the witness stand, you know, some sort of a deal would still be in effect.

There are a number of representations that have been made to her by people in, you know, authoritative positions.

Also we are taking the position that -- well, I will leave it to Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHINN: For your information, Vince, I talked to Mr. Caruso and Mr. Caballero even last week when I subpoensed them.

They said we have a deal, Busch, Younger -- I don't know if you were there -- Stovitz, they promised once she went to the Grand Jury that they would not ask for the gas chamber.

Maybe you don't know about that, but that is what they said, and they will swear under oath on it.

THE COURT: Assuming that were true, then what?

MR. SHINN: Then he won't ask for the death penalty for Susan Atkins.

3

5 6

7

8

11

12

10

13

15 16

17 18

19 20

22

21

24 25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Are we going to instruct the jury on the law of contract, material breach, revocation?

THE COURT: She retracted her testimony at the Grand Jury.

MR. FITZGERALD: Of course that is what they say.

MR. SHINN: She did not get under oath and retract her testimony at the Grand Jury, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She said today and yesterday she lied at the Grand Jury.

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, you made a motion in this case to suppress her testimony.

MR. SHINN: It was denied. The motion was denied.

THE COURT: You'd better go back and look at the record. It was not denied.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She testified that she lied at the Grand Jury today; she did.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. MUSICH: And yesterday.

MR. FITZGERALD: What I meant to say is, it is their position, meaning Caruso and Caballero's position, that she has now reached that agreement, if there was in fact an agreement in existence, that she would receive life by controverting her testimony at the Grand Jury.

THE COURT: As far as this Court is concerned, there are no deals pending, just so the record is clear, gontlemen.

If anybody is laboring under the illusion that I

一本は、日本大は田田とおとて本田田の田、これは、日本には、日本日本の一大田田であって日本の大田田の大田田

am going to give any consideration to any deal somebody has made sometime in the past, you might as well forgot it.

MR. FITZGERALD: Then we ought to, in a sense, confront the Court directly, if in fact we can present evidence of a deal that was made as to one defendant, and perhaps more than one defendant, isn't that evidence admissible in terms of mitigation?

MR. SHINN: Sure it is.

MR.FITZGERALD: The death penalty, it seems to me, is one penalty that ought to be applied equally.

If there are some sub rosa ingredients in regard to the life or death of any of these defendants --

MR.BUGLIOSI: How does this mitigate against the aggravation of the murders? I don't see how it comes in under mitigation.

THE COURT: I don't either.

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: If in fact you have a deal, let's assume a hypothetical situation, if you have a deal with the Governor that Susan Atkins is never going to be executed even though she receives the death penalty, I think this jury is entitled to know that in assessing penalty for Patricia Krenwinkel.

MR. BUGLIOSI: No. 1, I don't think so.

No. 2, it is different because the Governor has the power under the law of commutation, whatever it is, to reduce the penalty.

The District Attorney's office does not have any power at all.

MR. KEITH: The District Attorney has the power to recommend to the judge to reduce the penalty.

MR. KANAREK: You have vast powers, Mr. Bugliosi.

You can stand up in front of that jury and you can say, instead of arguing very very vociferously and,

16 17

19

. 20

18

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

you know, intensively, for death, you can say to the people -- and deputies do it in this county all the time, when they really don't want the death penalty -- they say "Ladies and gentlemen, you heard it all. I leave it to you."

There is a vast difference between that and getting in there and doing everything possible to get the death penalty.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I just want to reiterate two more points.

In the future, anything that smacks, your Honor, of having no relevance to the issue of death or life, and I give you one example, this Los Angeles Times issue, I would request --

THE COURT: There is a motion to quash the subpoenas on that which will be heard a week from today.

MR. FITZGERALD: And Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher are in a good position to represent the Los Angeles Times.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I request that motion and all motions like this be heard here in chambers.

MR. KANAREK: Absolutely not.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There is no reason to go out there and permit Mr. Kanarek to make allegations unsupported that are picked up by the world press.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, many times during this trial your ex-boss Mr. Younger attacked the judicial system

11

26

for publicity orders, for sequestering the jury, for in-chambers proceedings.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court's position was that he was wrong on that.

THE COURT: And it still is.

MR. BUGLIOSI: How does that make Mr. Kanarek making these allegations in open court right, then?

THE COURT: It isn't a question of who is right or wrong.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court has not bought the equal protection argument yet that I have ever heard, I mean even assuming what the Court says is right, this does not mean --

THE COURT: I am saying now, because apparently you are afraid that Mr. Kanarek is going to suggest that somehow the District Attorney is involved in something here, I haven't the faintest idea what it is, but whether the Court later rules it is irrelevant or relevant does not make any difference, and there should be some kind of a sub rosa hearing to determine its relevancy, and I am saying this is a position one hundred and eighty degrees opposed to the position taken by the District Attorney throughout this trial.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The District Attorney never sought to impugn sinister motivations to anyone in this trial.

THE COURT: I am saying your office has opposed --

MR. BUGLIOSI: I see what you mean.

THE COURT: -- the publicity order, and opposed sessions in chambers out of the presence of the media, the obvious purpose of which was to prevent any prejudicial publicity going to the jury.

He opposed all of these things.

He opposed sequestration.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is true.

THE COURT: Now, why should we start having chambers conferences?

MF. BUGLICEI: I toink even subsequent to that your Monor did conduct many hearings in chambers, despite the District Attorney.

THE COURT: I disagreed with him, and from that point on I did what I wanted to do, and I'm still doing that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That's right, but I'm saying that cituations like thic, knowing Mr. Kanarek, that he does make allegations that are unsubstantiated many times, cortain things should be heard in chambers here, and if the Court rules they are admissible, then we go out in open court in front of the jury and it all comes in.

7

8

10

11

13

15

-16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

*24

25

If the Court rules it is not admissible --

THE COURT: We are not going to keep running back in chambers every time someone asks a question to determine its relevancy.

If you two want to carry on a colloquy after we are through here, go ahead.

We don't need all of this on the record.

MR. KANAREE: May I have my ruling on my request for an Aranda-Bruton look at the transcripts in connection with Miss Susan Atkins?

Anything else of an official nature?

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, apparently you don't hear very well when I may things.

MR. KANAREE: I don't think your Honor has explicitly ruled on that. That is all. I may be wrong.

)₂

3

5 ·6

8

7

10

9

12

11

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: It would serve no possible purpose, since you know exactly what the witness said at the Grand Jury,

MR. KANAREK: I know, but I am saying before it is offered to the jury, I am asking for an excise, a deletion.

THE COURT: Of what? .

MR. KANAREK: Go through the transcript word for word, the way we did with the Roni Howard and Virginia Graham statements, for instance, that is what I am asking for.

Now I am asking your Honor to rule on the motion, that is all.

I have asked for it, and your Honor, I don't believe, has ruled on it. That is what I am asking for.

THE COURT: I don't even understand it, Mr. Kanarek, to tell you the truth.

MR. KANAREK: What I propose is we take the Grand Jury transcript, the same way we took the Roni Howard and Virginia Graham statements --

MR. MUSICH: Are you offering that transcript in evidence?

THE COURT: You want an edited version of the transcript before the Grand Jury to come before the jury in this case?

MR. KANAREK: I have every reason to believe that Mr. Bugliosi is going to use that to impeach.

THE COURT: Why don't you wait until he asks those

questions, make those objections, and I will rule on them.

He may never ask them.

MR. KANAREK: Then I will ask for an offer of proof, then, the same way we did with the letters pertaining to Susan Atkins and the confessions.

THE COURT: We haven't had a recess. Let's take a 10-minute recess.

(Recess.)

Í.

3

5

7.

10

11 12

13

74

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 (The following proceedings occur in open court.

All defendants, all counsel and all jurors present:)

THE COURT: All defense counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Fitzgerald.
MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION (CONTINUING)

BY MR. FITZGERALD:

- Q Miss Atkins, were any of these people on Cielo Drive, on the evening of August the 8th, killed as the result of any personal hate or animosity that you had toward them?
 - A. No.
 - Q Did you kill them out of any passion?
 - A Passion?
 - Q Hatred?
 - A. No.
- Q Did you have any feeling toward them at all, any emotional feeling toward any of these people, Sharon Tate, Voityck Frykowski, Abigail Folger?
- A. I didn't know any of them. How could I have felt any emotion without knowing them.

I just loved them.

Q During your life, from time to time, I take it, you have had hostile and aggressive feelings towards other

26

humar beings, heve jou not?

- k ler, nure.
- Low have hit other people occasionally in anger?
- k Yet. When I was little I used to hit some of my friends, and they would hit me back.

I have nit people in anger, yes.

- Q But as far as you are concerned, these people were not killed out of any anger?
 - A. No.
 - Q That you harbored in your heart?
 - å. No.
- Q Can you describe the emotions that were in your heart and in your mind at the time these killings occurred?
 - A I was at the height of my fear.

Sometimes -- fear is something that is exactly what it says, fear.

- Were you afraid that they were going to physically retaliate or something? Is that what caused the fear?
 - A No.

My fear was just my awareness.

I was aware of everything that was going on. And at the height of my fear, what I was doing was right when I was doing it.

I felt no hatred. I felt no malice. I was coming from love, at the holpht of m. feer.

If you can understand that. If you have ever been

 \mathbf{H}

- Q You said that you didn't think I would be able to understand.
 - A To express it in words.

What feels right to me, when I am doing something and I feel and believe and know that it is right, and I am coming from love, it feels good.

The touching of a flower, looking at the sun, getting my brother out of the penitentiary for screething that I had done, whatever I do and I know is right when I am going it, feels good.

Yes, it feels good.

And at the height of my fear, it was death and life all in one motion.

5

7 8

9

11

12

15

16

17

18

19· 20

21. 22

23 24

25

26

my brother for something that i and i was joing much on the system.

The only way I know how he case hime, mu in was right to me -- and it is regar to me right now -- the truth and what I feel and know to be case to me in mong in all of your eyes, and you see guild in me, you see quilt in yourself for everything averyone of you have man aona. I have no guilt in me.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have nothing further. Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KEITH:

Q Miss Atkins, how old were you when you Hirst started taking LSD?

A 18.

Q And how old are you now?

A 22.

Q And you were in San Francisco when you Hren took LSD?

A Yes.

Q And did you take it requiestly up to the time you were arrested?

A Regularly?

Q Yes.

A On a schedule, no.

23

24

25

26

į	<u>ą</u>	Not	on	a	schedule,	but	often	perhaps	would	be	8
better	word	ī.									

- Yes, I have had -- you can say I am saturated in I saturated myself in it. I have had so much acid that .
- Could you explain to us perhaps the reactions or effects acid had on you when you saturated yourself with 1t?
- Ā, LSD is like a catalyst. It opens your eyes and allows you, as an individual, to look at yourself and everything around you any way you want to look at it.

And for a long time I didn't like what I saw, and I went through a lot of changes.

I don't feel I ever had a really bad trip like a lot of people, jumping out of a window.

Anyone who is going to jump out of a window on acid is going to do it anyway, you know.

I just went through a lot of beautiful changes. Colors became brighter, I became happier inside.

I had a lot of traumatic experiences. I would be brought to "now" so fast that it frightened me and I closed my eyes.

One time I recall a young man left me, and I had just taken a lot of acid.

He walked out of the house, my apartment, and he turned on the television set and he told me, "Watch the television and let the television program you, and when the

television goes off and there is no more programs, lay down and go to sleep."

Well, I was very, very, very stoned, and I was 'watching the television.

It looked -- it was animated. None of it looked real. It was like I was from another planet and I was looking down. It was really funny.

Until I reached over and turned off the television and I went into the bathroom and looked in the mirror and I saw myself.

I'd look away, and then I'd look at myself again.

And I saw myself. I saw my father and his age, and everything that he had ever told me, on my face.

Then I began to grow older right before my very eyes. I began to get old and wrinkled and my hair began to get gray.

And I looked at my hands, and my hands got age spots on them, and they got arthritis in them. And I grew old and I died right before my very eyes.

It was quite an experience.

Then I couldn't get away from the mirror.

I would want to go away from the mirror and the reality of what I was seeing.

And I went to bones. My skin fell off. I went to bones.

Then I closed my eyes, and I wasn't really thinking too much about anything, since I was dead.

And I opened my eyes and it was like I was reborn. It was like I never -- there was nothing on me.

I was nothing but pureness.

This was, oh, maybe around 19 -- late 1966, early 1967.

And I continued to drop acid and continued to go through LSD trips.

One time I took about 15 tabs.

- Q And did you have similar experiences as you have just described to us?
 - A Well, that one kind of stands out in my mind.
 - Q You have had other traumatic effects?
 - A Yes.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I have had some beautiful, beautiful trips.

- Q At the time you went to the Tate residence, had you, at that time, or some time shortly before, taken acid?
 - A Yes. Quite a bit.
 - Q Now, with respect to going to the Tate residence.

At what time, with relation to actually arriving at the Tate residence, did you take acid?

During the car ride, or before?

A No. It was before I actually got in the car and drove up to the house with everyone.

I had taken acid the day before, and I took another tab, I believe, that afternoon when the sun was starting to set.

Q Ordinarily, how long does a trip last, or does it vary in length?

A I come down when I want to come down.

If I want to take acid and go up and never come down, I never come down.

Q With respect to the next night, did you take acid before then?

A Yes.

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q Before going off in a car?

A Yes. About maybe an hour before I went off in the car, I would say, if that is in relation to time, you know.

So many people have sat up here and say they don't relate to time. I have stepped out of time.

You are all lost in time. I have stepped out of it.

Q To your knowledge, did the other girls also take acid?

ľ		23,360
1	A	To my knowledge?
	Q	;
3	Bianca?	
4	A	
.នទ	know is	
6	201204 20	
7	,	
8	ļ.	
9	,	
10		
11		
12		
13		
		•
15		
16	1	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
21 22 23 24 24 25		
26		
k ·	1	_

16

- Q If you don't know?
- A. I don't know.

They could have and they could not have.

I believe they might have. I think they did.

I felt like they did.

- Q Do you believe your saturation with acid in some manner has affected your judgment and your mind?
 - A' My judgment?
 - Q Yes.
 - A I don't judge. I only judge me by what I feel.

If I do something that doesn't feel good, I stop doing it.

MR. KEITH: I have nothing furtner at this time.

THE COURT: Any further examination?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I just have a few questions, if I may.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Miss Atkins, did some \$90,000 change hands as a result of these publications that you have spoken of?
- A. That is what I have neard. I have not seen any records of any money.
 - Q You have heard the figure \$90,000?
- A Between seventy and minet, thousand. Something like that.

12 13

11

15

16 17

18

20 21

23

.22

24 25

26

- Q Let me ask you: Who told you those figures?
- A. Various people.

I questioned Mr. Caballero on it, and he turned away from me and he said, "Oh, no, it couldn't have been that much. As a matter of fact, I have the figures and I will show them to you."

He never showed me.

I asked him how much it was.

It came to me through the grapevine in the jail house that the 1,000,000th copy was sold about six or eight weeks ago.

Q But your state of mind is that it is about \$90,000; is that right?

A Well, you sell a book at a dollar a copy, a dollar and a half a copy, and a million copies are sold. That is approximately --

- Q This book sells for a dollar and a half a copy?
- A. I don't know how much it sells for.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I'd like to ask for a recess at this time, as I indicated back in chambers.

. THE COURT: Can't you proceed in another area, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Susan, ultimately you fired Mr. Caballero; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q When did you have this meeting with Mr. Manson?
 You said that after the Grand Jury you wanted to
 talk to Charlie. Then ultimately you met with him.

When did you meet with him?

- A. The exact day?
- Q Well, approximately. What month was it?
- A. February maybe. I don't know. January, February.
 - Q January or February of 1970?
 - A '70, yes.

3

4 5

7

9

11 12

13

15 16

> 17 18

19 20

> 21 22

23 24

25

26

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Not that I know.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Did you fire Mr. Caballero a day or so after you had this meeting with him and Charles Manson?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did Mr. Manson tell you to fire Mr. Caballero?
 - A No, he did not.

MR. KANAREK: I object to that as hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: The answer is in.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Did the meeting you had with Mr. Caballero and Charles Manson have anything to do with your firing Mr. Caballero?
 - A Have anything to do with it?
 - Q Yes. That meeting.
- A I saw Mr. Caballero go through a lot of changes and hide a lot of things before my eyes, and what I saw and from what I had been seeing earlier, yes, it did.

From what he hid at that meeting from me,

I did fire him, and that meeting had something to do with

it, but not all of it.

- Q Now, you testified, Susan, that there were a few reasons for going to the Tate residence and murdering these people; is that correct?
 - A Correct,

	1
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8.
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
4	
•	15
:	16
	17
ŧ	18
:	19
	20
	21
, ,	22
; ;	23
5	24
₹	25

- 2 And what are those reasons again?
- A Those reasons?
- Q Yes.

A First and the most reason, since you seem to _ need a reason, is to release my brother from your system.

My excuses that I played around with in my mind were various excuses in order to justify my saying what I said to those two women in that jail.

One excuse, a revolution. It sounded like a good excuse at the time.

Another excuse, I used Charlie as an excuse.

13

11

- How did you use Charlie as an exouse? -
- I said I wanted everyone to know about Charles ž Manson.
- Hell, that was your stated reason for telling Roni Howard about what had happened; isn't that right?
 - At the Grand Jury?
 - 1 No.

You told Roni Howard what nappened with respect to the Tate murders because you wanted the world to know about Charlie; is that correct?

I told that to Romi after I had gotten to the Grand Jury and after Roni Howard and I were locked up in the same cell block together.

That was an excuse.

- Do you consider the word "motive" to be somewhat synonymous with the word "reason"? A motive or a reason for doing something?
 - Do I feel that reason --
- Do you feel that the word "reason" and "motive" 0 mean essentially the same thing?
 - The same thing?
 - Q Yes.
 - All words mean the same thing to me right now.
- Well, the word "reason" doesn't mean the same thing as "murder," does it, to you?
 - Reason? A

- & Yes,
- A. Means the same thing as murder?
- Q Right.

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- A Do you have a reason?
- Q I am asking you, does the word "reason" mean the same thing to you as "murder"?
 - A I don't know what the word "murder" means.
 - Q Do you know what the word --
- A By your standards I know what the word murder means, and by your standards I know what your meaning for reason is.

My meaning? I have none.

- Q Well, you are capable of using the English language, eren't you, Sadie?
 - A Oh, yes.
- Q And you use certain words like "reason, " "motive, "
 "fear," "world," "myself," "understand," things like that.

You use words like that, don't you?

- A To express to you what I am feeling.
- Q Right.
- A So that you can comprehend what I am saying.
- Q Right.

Now, when you use the word "motive," what does that word mean to you?

- A Motive?
- Q Yes.
- A Motivate, move.
- Q Does it mean "reason" to you?
- A Reason is an excuse, to me.
- Q You did write a letter to Roni Howard in mid-December of 1969 at Sybil Brand; isn't that right?
 - A Yes.

She had come to me and wrote me a letter and asked me to explain to her so that she could understand.

- Q So you did write her a letter; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- In that letter, Susan, did you tell Roni Howard in discussing the Tate murders, that:

25

26

"There was a so-called motive behind all—
this. It was to instill fear into the pigs and—
to bring on Judgment Day, which is here now for—
all."—

Did you tell her that in the letter?

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may I object?

This is improper cross-examination, your Honor.

The letter was never discussed on direct examination. We never discussed the letter in direct examination.

THE COURT: The witness did, however, refer to a conversation with Roni Howard, did she not?

MR. SHINN: He is going into the letter.

We didn't go into the letter from Romi Howard. We haven't gone into any letter on direct examination.

I believe he has gone beyond the direct now when he starts talking about these letters.

THE COURT: Approach the bench, gentlemen.

(Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, you might check the case of California vs. Green on the matter we were talking about in chambers. That is, the admissibility of her testimony at the Grand Jury.

There is another recent Georgia case -- I have it on my desk now but I don't recall the name.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Can I get it from you after court?
THE COURT: Yes, if you want to drop in.

It is a 1970 case, a recent case, involving the admissibility of hearsay under a Georgia conspiracy exception against the objection that it was a violation of the confrontation clause.

The Supreme Court reversed the Fifth Circuit, which had held it was.

So the ruling now -- well, you can read the case for yourself.

MR. KANAREK: Didn't that involve a confession? THE COURT: No, not a confession.

In any event, you might check those two cases.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: Now, what is the objection, Mr. Shinn?

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I didn't go into any letters
to Roni Howard on my direct examination, your Honor.

No. Hr. Bugliosi is going into these letters that Busan Atkins wrote to Honi Howard.

MR, EUGLIOSI: But she testified to the motive for these murders. Now I am bringing out a prior inconsistent statement, which is a different motive.

She said she went to the Tate residence for Beausoleil, or things like that, and I am saying that at a prior time she made a prior inconsistent statement.

What is the problem?

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WR. KANAREK: If I may be heard?

We are entitled to a limiting instruction on it.

THE COURT: When the time comes, then you draft such instruction and I will consider it.

MR. KANAREK: I know, your Honor, but these are already under a limiting instruction because of Aranda and Bruton, supposedly.

There is an implication that these are the words of Mr. Manson, "revolution," and so on.

Therefore, I would ask you to admonian the jury atthis time --

THE COURT: He is talking about the words of Miss Atkins.

MR. MANAREK: Eight. But this testimony cannot be used against Mr. Manach for any purpose, and here admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial.

Inis is the reason that we want through that.

)²

4

5

U

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

17

18

it.

19 20

21

23

24

25 26 These are her words and only to be used against her.

And especially in a penalty case, I ask your Honor to inform the jury that this can only be used against her.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to such a limiting instruction?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No, I have no objection.

THE COURT: Well, I think we will recess at this time and you can bring it up in the morning when we reconvene.

MR. KANAREK: I ask for an admonition at this time when it is fresh in the jurors! minds.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She hasn't answered it yet.

MR. KANAREK: I ask that you admonish the jury not to consider it for any purpose at this point.

THE COURT: She hasn't said anything yet.

MR. KANAREK: But they heard Mr. Bugliosi's question.

THE COURT: By the morning they will have forgotten

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We will adjourn at this time, ladies and gentlemen.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding penalty until that issue is finally

submitted to you.

The Court will adjourn until 9:45 tomorrow.

The reason we have not been starting promptly at 9:00 every morning is that the Court has some other matters on its 9:00 o'clock calendar and it has taken time to dispose of those matters.

We do have some matters at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow. Hopefully we will be through at 9:45.

(Whereupon, at 4:26 p.m. the court was in recess.)

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1971
10:35 o'clock a.m.

THE COURT: All defendants, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Bugliosi.

SUSAN DENICE ATKINS,

resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Sadie, you say you had a meeting with Charles Manson and your attorney Mr. Caballero at the County Jail in February of 1970?

A I would imagine that was the month, I don't know the month for sure.

- Q And right after the meeting you fired Mr. Caballero, is that correct?
 - A Right after?
 - Q Yes.
 - A I told him I was dismissing him as my lawyer.
 - Q Immediately after the meeting, is that correct?
- A I believe it was the next day I told him. It wasn't --
 - I had gotten word out through the underground

system to have another lawyer come up and see me, and Mr. Shinn came up and saw me.

I did not know what lawyer it would be. Mr. Shinn came up and saw me and I discussed with Mr. Shinn on him representing me, and that is when I let Mr. Caballero know.

Q But the day after you had the meeting with Mr. Caballero and Charlie you fired Mr. Caballero, is that correct?

A It could have been the day after, Mr. Bugliosi, I'm not sure exactly which day?

Q What did this meeting have to do with your firing Mr. Caballero?

You said yesterday there was a connection between the meeting and firing Mr. Caballero, what was the connection?

A If I said there was some connection, the connection was within my mind, as I have spoken previously yesterday, I had told Mr.Caballero many many times before I ever went to the meeting with Charlie that I was going to fire him if he did not do what I asked him to do.

And he just would not do what I asked him to do, and it just took procedure.

And the meeting with Charles was part of the procedure to see another lawyer in order to fire Mr. Caballero, and that was the procedure.

	1	
(٦	
	•	
	3	1
	4	i
	5	
	5	a man and the state of the stat
	7	1
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	1
	13	i-majority, impare mane or a constraint of the set of another set of the set
1	и	į
	15	į
	15	request to the description of the state of t
	17	:
	18	ì
	19	!
1	-02	
	235	
U Later La	21	-
1	22	1
وترفقوها وكتشا	.23	*
こうしょう かんしょう かんしょう かんしゅう 一大 かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう かんしゅう しゅうしゅう しゅう	, T	. Branden t. 3. springering prints . Should state dead to remark with the talking
2	41.5	j

	Q.	Was the	ere a d	iscussion	a at ti	tis meet	ing betwe	ec
you	Er.	Caballero	and Mr	. Manson	about	getting	: enother	
Lawy	er fo	er you?						

- A No.
- Q What did Mr. Manson say at this meeting?
- A That would be almost impossible to recall.

 We just looked at each other. I got what I wanted to get.

25

26

- Q What did you want to get, Sadie?
- A. Want to get?
- Q You wanted to get a look at Charlie; right?
- A Well, yes.

I wanted to look at him and I wanted to face him, and I wanted to face what I had done to him.

- Q Did Mr. Caballero and Charlie argue at this meeting?
 - A No, not that I would call arguing.
- Q So if you say / Mr. Shinn appeared at Sybil Brand and he was the one that you sent for?
- A. I hadn't sent for any lawyer. I had just asked a visitor of mine to send me up a lawyer, and he held up a card in the visiting window and the card said, "Daye Shinn."

And I said, "Okay, send him up."

- Q Who was this person that you talked to?
- A. Paul Watkins.
- Q Now, you were aware, weren't you, Sadie, that long before Mr. Shinn represented you, he did some legal work for Mr. Manson. You are well aware of that, aren't you?
- A. I was aware of it after I had met Mr. Shinn, not before.
- I had no knowledge of Mr. Shinnor his contact with Mr. Manson or anyone else.
 - Q But you did find out later that long before

7

Mr. Shinn became your lawyer he was doing legal work for Mr. Manson.

That is correct, isn't it?

- A. Yes. After I hired him.
- Q Do you feel that that was somewhat of a coinci-dence, Sadie?
 - A coincidence?
 - Q Yes.

There are lots of lawyers in Los Angeles. Did you just think it was kind of a coincidence?

- A. I didn't really think anything about it.
- Q You know there are a lot of lawyers in Los Angeles, do you not?
 - A Sure.
 - Q Over 2,000?
- A Approximately. I have looked at the lawyer's list.
- Q Were you shocked when you found out that Mr.
 Shinn who was now representing you had done legal work for Charles Manson?

Did that surprise you at all?

A Shocked? Surprised?

At that time, I didn't think anything could surprise me.

Q Just one of those things in life, ch, Sadie, that happens now and then?

- O De residente athem normale decidade
- Q Do you love other people, Sadie?
- A. Yes.

A.

Okay.

- Q Does Charlie love other people?
- A. He has shown me love for other people through his actions.
 - Q Do you think Katie loves other people, too?
 - A. I would imagine so.
 - Q And Leslie?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Do all of you love everyone in the world?
 - A. I know I do.
 - They can only speak for themselves.

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

25

26

- Where do you get all this love, Sadie? Q Where did you get it? Where does it come from?
 - Where does it come from?
 - Yes.
- I was born with it, as every child is born with it.
- I am not trying to be facetious now, Sadie, but do you think it is possible, Sadie, that you can love someone so much that you can just love them to death? Is that possible?
- ' MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I am going to object to that question. It is ambiguous and unintelligible.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did Mr. Manson make love to you at Haight-Asbury?

- Once.
- Would you explain the circumstances of his first making love to you?
- We went for a walk and we left the brown house, and we walked to another place, and he showed me that this other place is where he was staying with some other people.

And he asked me if I wanted to make love.

And I loved to make love. I loved to make love way before I ever met Charlie.

So I said, "Yes."

And I took off my clothes. And there was a

mirror there. We were both standing in front of the mirror.

And he asked me to look at myself. And I wouldn't look at myself. Then I would look at myself, then I wouldn't look at myself.

He asked me what I thought about what I saw.

And the whole thing was just far out. I had never experienced a man asking me to look at myself.

And he asked me if I had ever thought about making love to my father.

I said, "Sure." And, in fact, lots and lots of times I thought about making love to my father.

And he asked me if I could.

And I said, "No, that is wrong." And that is what was in my head.

And he looked at what was in my head and what I said.

And we just ended up making love.

- Q Did he tell you that when he was making love to you that he wanted you to imagine that he was your father?
 - A. That he wanted me to imagine he was my father?
 - Q Yes.
- A I don't believe those were the words that were used.
- He said, "Imagine you are making love to your father."

8.

10

14

16

22

20

And I did.

- Did he tell you then that he wanted you to think that he was your father as you were making love to him?
- He didn't tell me anything, per se, direct tell Á. me.
- Did he indicate that to you, that he wanted you to feel that he was your father as you were making love?
- Not that he wanted me to feel that he was my father. Just to imagine that I was making love to my father.

And as I was making love with him, I imagined him to be my father.

- He didn't ask you to do that?
- He didn't ask me.

"Imagine you I just told you. The words came: aremaking love to your father."

- Oh, he did tell you to imagine that you were making love to your father?
 - Okay, Vince. A,
 - I am asking you. I am not arguing with you.
 - I am telling you what happened. A.
 - Go ahead. Ø.
- There was a conversation concerning: Have you Α. ever felt or thought about making love to your father?

And I said: "Yes, a lot of times."

He said, "Well, have you ever imagined you were making love with your father?"

```
And I said, "No."
                   And he said, "Well, try it."
10
11
12
13
16
17
18
19
26
```

- Q All right.
- A Something to that effect, word for word. It was a long time ago, Vince.
- Q Is Charlie the only man you have ever met, Sadie?
 - A The only man I have ever met?
- Q Yes, have you ever met any men other than Charles Manson?
 - A Yeah, he's the only man I ever met.
- Q I notice you have an X on your forehead, Sadie, when did you put that X on your forehead?
 - A The day?
 - Q When was that?
 - A The day I put it on my head?
 - Q Yes, do you know when that was?
 - A No, I don't remember the day.
 - Q Why did you put the X on your forehead, Sadie?
 - A Because I wanted to.
 - Q Why did you want to?
 - A Why?
 - Q Yes.
 - A Why did I want to put an X on my forehead?

 (Pause.) Why? Because I wanted to.
- Q I know that, Sadie, but I'm asking you why did you want to?
 - It is a simple question. I cannot

make it any more simple than that, can I?

- A Can you?
- Q Not that I can think of, Sadie.
- A Okay.
- Q. Why did you want to put that X on your forehead?
- A To show that I am crossed out of your system.

During the course of the trial we had asked -I had asked, Katie had asked, Leslie had asked and Charlie
had asked to be allowed to defend ourselves.

.We were all denied. We were all told we were inadequate. I was told I was inadequate.

- Q Did you place the X on your forehead as soon as you were told you could not represent yourself?
 - A No.
 - Q In fact --
- A It took me a while to go through the changes of actually putting it there.
- Q The change that made you put the X on your forehead was that Charlie the day before put an X on his forehead, right? The next day you put an x on your forehead?
 - A Correct.
- Q Did Charlie's putting an X on his forehead have anything at all to do with your putting an X on your forehead?
 - A Anything at all?

- Are Charlie and God the same?
- Are Charlie and God the same?
- It's your question, Vince, to me Charlie Manson He is a strong man. He stands in what he
 - What is your child's name, Sadia?
 - ZeZoZoZe Zafrack.
 - Did Charlie deliver your baby?
- 15 girls, four or five guys and Charlis helped
 - Charlie was kind of a midwife for your baby?
- He was there. The midwives were all of the
- Charlie was just there. I had about eight girls holding me during the actual delivery.
- Charlie asked you once to go to Brazil and got a coconnt for him, Sadie?
- Brazil, Rio Janiero, I dom't know whore it yes, but he asked me and I was going to.
- And you were on your way out of the down,
 - I may have gotten up,
- Did you plan to birchike down to kin to got that commer for Charlie?

25

26

- A When he told me, it sounded like a lot of fun.
- Q So you were about to do it for Charlie, weren't you?
- A For me, not for Charlie, for me. It sounded like a good experience.
 - Q You were not going to eat the coconut, were you?
- A I may have eaten a lot of them when I got there, I don't know.
 - Q But the coconut was for Charlie, right?
- A If Katie had asked me to get one I would have gotten it for her.
- If you asked me to get one I would get it for you.

12

16

17

21

24

- Q Who gave you the name Sadie Glutz?
- A That came about over some identification.

. I was getting some I.D. and I was thinking up some new name for myself, and I had some big long sexy name, and everyone was getting names.

And Sadie Glutz came to me between an old exconvict and Charlie.

- Q Sadie Glutz came to you between --
- A. Between the two of them, they just looked at me and said. "You are Sadie Mae Glutz."
- Q You mean that Charlie and this ex-convict said, "You are Sadie Mae Glutz" at the same time?
- A At the same time, no. They were talking. They were discussing and I had this big name and I said the name I wanted, and they laughed.
- Q But Charlie gave you the name Sadie Glutz, isn't that right, Susan?
- A. Charlie named me Sadie and the other man named me Glutz, and I liked it so I took it on.
- Q Since that time you have gone by the name of Sadie, right?
 - A I have, yes.
- So Charlie has given you the name you go by now, right?
 - A Okay.
 - Q Is it true?

R.		
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	5 6 7 8	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	11 12	
أعلاجناه استلادها	13	
A PARTY OF THE PAR	15	
بسدن سندكمات	16	
Tanken State	17	
	18	
distribute and the	19	
HE TOTAL	20	
وأند والداوسة.	21	
and standard	22	
Ē		

- A Sure.
- Q Did Mr. Manson ever call himself the devil, Sadie?
 - A. In my presence?
 - Q Yes.
- A I believe the words he used were "all hell will break loose when the devil gets loose."
 - Q But did he say that he is the devil?
- A. I don't know if those are the exact words. That is in my head.

Did he say he is the devil? I don't think I ever heard him say he was the devil.

- Q Did Charlie tell you -- strike that.

 When did Charlie tell you that all hell would break loose when the devil was -- what was it?
 - A. "Got loose,"
 - Q When the devil got loose?
 - A It was in a song that was sung.
 - Q By Charlie?
 - A Everyone was singing it.
 - Q This was Charlie's song, right?
 - A. Charlie just sings.
- Q Right, but he sings words. Words come out of his mouth, right?
 - A Sure.
 - And this was a song he was singing; these were his

words, right?

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes.
- Q Did he tell you what he meant when he said that?
- A I never asked him. The song came and it went.
- What were those words again?
- A. Something about, "All hell would break loose when the devil got loose," something like that.

I don't even know if those were the exact words, Vince. That is what is in my mind right now.

- Q Did Charlie ever call himself Satan?
- A. Not in my presence.
- Q You are sure about that?
- A Yes.
- Q Did you ever call Charlie the soul?
- A Did I ever call him the soul?
- Q Yes.
- A I might have said he's got a lot of soul.
- Q Did you ever call him the soul?
- A No.
- Q Sadie, you testified at the Grand Jury in this case?
 - A Yes.
- Q I direct your attention to Page 16 of the Grand Jury transcript.

Would you read Lines 5 through 15 to yourself.

Don't read it out loud, just read it to yourself.

(Witness complies.)

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THE COURT: For what purpose?

MR. KANAREK: In connection with this interrogation, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, this is -- would your Honor read -- I think your Honor will recognize --

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is just impeachment of her testimony.

MR. KANAREK: It is not impeachment.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She said that Charlie did call himself-

THE COURT: Let's hear from Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: It is my position that this is inadmissible, your Honor, it is inadmissible under several different bases.

THE COURT: Get to the point.

MR. KANAREK: That is why I asked for the hearing yesterday. This is an Aranda-Bruton kind of thing.

These are in connection with the context of this case, where what Mr. Bugliosi has put on concerning Mr. Manson, obviously these are extrajudicial statements.

It purports to be in the nature, really, of a confession.

Now, when he starts stating Mr. Manson himself is the devil, whether he calls it impeachment or not, that is my position, no matter how much you exhort that jury, that jury is going to use this against Mr. Manson, and I object on the grounds of due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Denial of fair trial, and denial of a fair penalty phase, denial of all of the rights set out in Aranda-Bruton and all of those cases.

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

I once again move for an evidentiary hearing outside the presence of the jury where Mr. Bugliosi would then go through with this witness and see what she is going to say and/or in the alternative that we have the kind of conference we had in chambers in connection with Roni Howard and Virginia Graham, where we go through this Grand Jury transcript.

of the equal protection clause under the Fourteenth

Amendment.

We did that in connection with Roni Heward and those matters.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is clearly admissible, your Honor, as impeachment. There is no question about it.

She said Manson never called himself the devil, or Satan, and here her testimony at the Grand Jury is the exact opposite of that.

Mr. Kay has a paragraph here, incidentally, your Honor, from Green vs. California.

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor, last night I found the answer to this problem we were talking about in Eruton and Aranda.

I will direct the Court to the opinion in California vs. Green where it discusses Bruton, and basically says if the co-defendant takes the stand and testifies, it is all right to impeach her extrajudicial statements even if it implicates another defendant.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That was the issue we were concerned with yesterday, and apparently we have a paragraph right in point.

THE COURT: Well, of course, this case does not hold that.

MR. KAY: That is the United States Supreme Court talking.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Pretty powerful dictum.

25 26

ķ

THE COURT: The Green opinion, commenting on the Bruton opinion, simply said the Bruton opinion seems to signify that no confrontation problem would have been involved if the codefendant had taken the stand and toutified,

MR. BUGLIOSI: That's correct.

THE COURT: It does not hold that; neither did Bruton.

It is just one opinion commenting on another opinion.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There is no authority apparently saying I cannot do it, and the only language that we do have is this very powerful dictum on the part of the United States Supreme Court.

If there were contrary authority, in other words, a case in point, that is one thing.

But apparently there is an absence of authority one way or the other, and in the absence of authority, when you come out with powerful dictum like this, the Supreme Court of the United States --

THE COURT: Well, in reviewing Bruton, California vs. Green, and the cases cited to you last night, that 1970 Georgia case, I concluded that there is no legal impediment to going back into the Grand Jury testimony now for both impeachment and for substantive purposes, in view of the fact this defendant has now taken the stand and testified.

MR. KAY: I think that is what the United States
Supreme Court is saying in their dictum on the Green case.

I think they are saying exactly what your Monor has indicated.

_

THE COURT: The defendant is now on the stand testifying, and she is subject to as rigorous a cross-examination as
any counsel cares to make.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, if I may, I would like to suggest to the Court that the problem is not resolved by this approach.

The United States Supreme Court merely says that certain things are permissible based upon what is brought before it.

Now, Johnson -- the Johnson case is still the California Supreme Court case, and because the United States Supreme Court rules in the Green case or makes this statement in the Green case, does not mean that the California Supreme Court cannot interpret and decide the extent of what we call "Sixth Amendment rights," what they may be in California.

As a matter of fact, in the Green case they talk about various states having various different degrees of --

THE COURT: I am aware of that, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: The point is it is my position that what your Honor is doing is violative not only of the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution as protected by the due process clause of the Fourteenth, but it is violative of our California law, because of the interpretation

put on the Evidence Code by the Johnson case.

6

THE COURT: Of course, the Johnson case was based on erroneous premises. So the United States Supreme Court held.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

Your Honor is the one to rule. But it is my position that the Evidence Code and Johnson are still good law in California.

MR. KAY: No.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: You have to look at each case.

THE COURT: The Evidence Code is 1235 in this context, which allows the evidence in both for impeachment and for substantive purposes.

MR. KANAREK: If you make a balance, your Honor, the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value.

Purportedly, all this is for is impeachment, and the prejudicial value to Mr. Manson outweighs -THE COURT: I don't agree.

I think what is occurring here, what appears to me to be occurring, is that the defendants are trying to create their own error by this approach.

MR. KANAREK: I have not used the Grand Jury transcript, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think there is any impediment to this witness now testifying or the prosecution examining her on her Grand Jury statements, in light of the fact of what has occurred so far.

MR.BUGLIOSI: I imagine prior inconsistent statements too, not just the Grand Jury, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at the counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Sadie, at the Grand Jury on December the 5th, 1969, did you give these answers to these questions:

"Q Did Mr. Manson call himself the devil at all?

"A Yes, he did.

"Q Did he call himself Satan?

"A Yes.

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A

"Q Did he call himself Soul?

"A I understand your question. I want to make certain because I don't want to say he did if he didn't.

"Q Yes. that is exactly what I want you to do. Susan. Think before you answer.

"A I know I have called him the Soul."

Did you give those answers to those questions?

Yes.

Q Do you believe that Charles Manson, Sadie, is the second coming of Christ?

A Vince, I have seen Christ in so many people in the last four or five years, it is hard for me to say which one exactly is the second coming of Christ.