## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

45

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Friday, August 7, 1970 A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

AARON H. STOVITZ and VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For Linda Kasabian:

GARY FLEISCHMAN, Esq. RONALD L. GOLDMAN, Esq.

VOLUME 45

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 6563 to 6651

Official Reporters

TEDEX 1 PLAINTIFF 'S NITHEBELE: CHOSE MANAMIAN, Linda 657# (X) 3. 4 5 .6 METTELLY, PRUL 7 8 9 10 EXEXBITE 11. 12 86 - Photograph of Abigail Felger's body 6585 • 13 14 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 -26

Ś

· 25

LOS AMGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, AUGUST 7, 1970 9:20 A.M.

----

(The following proceedings were had in open court, all defendants being present, Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn being present for the defendants; Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz being present for the People.)

THE COURT: The record will show Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn are present. All of the defendants are present.

The prosecutors are present. The jury is not present.

Mr. Fitzgerald, I believe you have a matter you wish to take up.

MR. FITZGERALD: That's correct, your Honor.

I have heretofore caused to be executed, served and filed a subposed D.T. directed to Peter J. Pitchess, Sheriff of Los Angeles County and/or his representative for any and all documents, reports, hand written notes, typewritter notes, stenographic reports, taps recordings, concerning the statement made by a Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office, West Hollywood, arrestee, Robert Sparedes, to representatives of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office and/or representatives of the Los Angeles Police Department concerning one Fred Byron Small, who allegedly told Sparedes that he —

(Interruption off the record by Mr. Bugliosi.)

· 1

2

3

·**4** 

6

5

8

9

120

7

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18

20

21<sup>\*</sup> 22

23 24

25 26 MR. FITZGERALD: I will stop at this point, if the Court has in mind --

THE COURT: In accordance with our bench conference yesterday, I understand that it is a document that contains something pertaining to this case.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is correct.

In connection with this subpoens, one Sergeant Paul Whiteley has appeared in court. He is present, your Honor. He has indicated to me that he is a personal representative of the Sheriff of Los Angeles County, Peter J. Pitchess, and he has informally represented to me that he has searched the files of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office and that, to his knowledge and to the knowledge of others he has consulted, no such records exist.

I ask that this matter be formally placed on the Court's calendar in order that I might very briefly depose Mr. Whiteley, the representative of Mr. Peter J. Pitchess, under oath in that regard.

THE COURT: All right.

Where is the original subpoens now?

MR. FITZGERALD: It is in the court file, and I have marked it with some white pieces of paper so that the Court could easily find it.

(Pause while Mr. Fitzgerald consults the file.)
MR. FITZGERALD: I guess the papers came out. If I

may have a moment, I can find the exact reference for you. THE COURT: Very well.

(Pause while Mr. Fitzgerald searches the file.)

MR. FITZGERALD: May I approach the bench, your

Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. FITZGERALD: The portion of the file marked with a rubberband, your Honor, if the Court please, is the subpoena itself, and the return of service where the white piece of paper is contained in the file is the application for the subpoena d.t. and the declaration and affidavit attached thereto.

(Pause while the Court reads.)

THE COURT: All right. You may proceed, Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. FITZGERALD: May I call Mr. Whiteley?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FITZGERALD: Would you take the stand, please.

2a-1 THE CLERK: Would you please repeat after me. I do solemnly swear ---2 I do solmenly swear --MR. WHITELEY: 3 THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --4 MR. WHITELEY: -- that the testimony I may give --.5 -- in the cause now pending --THE CLERK: 6 -- in the cause now pending --MR. WHITELEY: THE CLERK: -- before this court --8 MR. WHITELEY: -- before this court --9 THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --10 MR. WHITELEY: -- shall be the truth --11 -- the whole truth --THE CLERK: 12 -- the whole truth --MR. WHITELEY: 13 THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --14 MR. WHITELEY: -- and nothing but the truth --15 THE CLERK: -- so help me God. 16 MR. WHITELEY: -- so help me God. 17 THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. 18 19 Would you please state and spell your name. THE WITNESS: Paul Whiteley; W-h-i-t-e-1-e-y. 20 21 22 PAUL WHITELEY, called as a witness in behalf of the defendants, having 23 24 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 25

26

DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. FITZGERALD: 2 Mr. Whiteley, would you state your business 3 and occupation? Detective Sergeant, Los Angeles County 5 Sheriff's Office, Homicide Bureau. 6 How long have you been employed by the Los 7 Angeles County Sheriff's Office? 8 A 13 years. ġ In connection with your official duties as 10 an employee of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office, 11 were you served with a subpoena as a representative 12 of Peter J. Pitchess? 13 A Yes. 14 And you have that subpoens in your hand, 15 do you not? 16 Å Yes. 17 Were you listening to my remarks to the 18 Court before you were called as a witness? 19 A Yes. 20 And did you hear me refer to and read certain 21 portions of the subpoena? 22 A Yes. 23 To your knowledge, that is the subpoens to 24 which I am now referring and that you have in your 25 hand? 26

		,
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And that subpoens, does it not, directs
	3	Peter J. Pitchess and/or a representative to produce
	4	certain documents, reports, handwritten notes, typewritten
	5	notes, stenographic reports, et cetera, concerning
	6	certain information, does it not?
, <del>*</del>	7	A Yes.
3	8	Q Did you make an attempt to locate and deter-
. <del>5</del>	9	mine if such information was in existence within the
	10	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office?
	11	A Yes, I did.
	12	Q What did you do in that regard?
	13	A I checked the record bureau and I also
ĺ	14	contacted Deputy Robert Taranto.
	15	Q Is he a Los Angeles County Sheriff's Officer?
	16	A Yes, he is.
	17	Q Is he assigned to the West Hollywood
*	18	Narcotics Division?
	19	A Yes.
-≨ ÷	. 20	Q And what did you do in connection with the
· <del>*</del> ,	21	aforementioned person?
ž	22	A I asked him if there were any documents,
	23	reports, handwritten notes concerning the conversation
	24	that the subpoens asked for.
	25	Q What, if anything, did he reply?
	26	A He stated that there were none. It was

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	•	all verbal.					
	1 2	Q Did he verify that such a conversation took					
	3	place?					
	4	A Yes.					
	5 .	Q Is it your testimony, then, that you were					
	6	informed by Sergeant Bob Taranto that such a conversation					
<del>*</del>	7	took place but there are no records or documents in					
**	8	connection therewith?					
3 £1s.	9	A That is correct.					
	10						
	11	,					
	12						
	13 .						
	14						
	15	;					
	16	,					
	17						
•	18						
	19						
* *	20	·					
** **	21						
	22	_					
	23						
	24 .						
	25	•					
	26						
		·					

Ŀ 2

3

4

5 6.

8

9

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

Did you do anything else in connection with an Q investigation pursuant to this subposna?

> A No.

Q Did you have any personal knowledge of any documents or materials relating to the request in the subpoena?

> À No. I do not.

Was there some particular reason why you were Q selected as a representative of Sheriff Peter J. Pitchesa?

> Á I don't know.

Is it because of your connection with the cause of the People of the State of California vs. Robert Kenneth Beausoleil?

> A I do not know.

Q. How did you receive this subpoent, do you recall?

It was given to me by my lieutenant, A

Q And his name, sir?

Å Robert Grimm, G-x-i-m-m.

Q Did your investigation, if any, myeal that at some date in the past there were documents, reports, or notes of such a conversation?

No.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: You may step down, sir.

MR. FITZGERALD: That concludes my examination of this witness, your Honor, he may be excused.

3

4

5

7

به. نیز

·9

11

12

13

14

16

17 18.

. 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

THE COURT: Very well, you are excused, sir.

MR. SHIME: Your Honor, I have a motion to make.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Shinn.

MR. SHIMM: Your Honor, I want to make a motion for a continuance, your Honor.

I did not get very much sleep again last night, your Honor. This is my third night and I am mentally and physically unable to continue today.

I feel that a short continuance would be in order, and I am not asking for two or three days, your Honor, I am only asking for one day or a half a day, in order that I may reorganize myself so I can adequately defend my client, Mrs. Atkins.

THE COURT: Why were you unable to sleep last night?

MR. SHINE: I was on guard duty last night, your

Honor.

THE COURT: Guard duty?

MR. SHIM: I have an upper bunk, your Honor, and the bed is about two feet wide and at home I have a king-sized bed and I roll back and forth.

and I was guarding myself from making that one roll in case I fall on the floor and break my leg or my arm or my head.

I could not sleep; I was always conscious of falling down.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. SHINN: Nothing further at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: The motion will be denied.

The Court is now in recess until 9:45.

(Recess.)

4-1

2.

Ŀ

3

5

7

\_ ≈

8

10

11

Ì3

14

16.

17

18

19<sup>-</sup> 20

21

22

23 24

25

26.

(The following proceedings occurred in chambers. All counsel present, defendants absent.)

(An off-the-record discussion was had.)
THE COURT: Now we can go on the record.

I will give to the jury this instruction, which reads as follows:

"You are instructed that it is proper and permissible for any lawyer to talk to and interview any witness or prospective witness whom he calls or expects to call to testify in a case. Such conversations may occur during recesses or adjournments and at any other time the witness is not actually testifying in court."

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is very good.

THE COURT: That doesn't direct itself to any particular counsel.

I think, under the circumstances, because of some of the comments that have been made, it is necessary and desirable to protect both sides.

MR. FITZGERALD: It is counsel's understanding that such a remark by the Court in no way indicates that it is not proper and permissible for counsel to inquire of the witness whether or not he or she has spoken with an attorney.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't think that should be in it.

They can cross-examine, yes.

7

19°

21.

THE COURT: I don't think it should be part of the instruction.

MR. FITZGERALD: Oh, no.

THE COURT: Of course, that is a proper area of cross-examination.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Sure.

MR. HUGHES: I am wondering if we should put something in about the prosecution and Miss Kasabian's attorneys keeping the defense attorneys from talking with her also.

MR. FITZGERALD: In that regard, I might tell your Honor this, and I am not in any sense attempting to be disrespectful to the Court or in any way to abuse court processes, but each of the defense attorneys have prepared subpoenss for Linda Essabian as their own witness, and we attempt to effect service on her at some time when she is outside of the courtroom, because courtroom service is invalid, we are going to attempt to serve her with a subpoens as a defense witness, and then we are going to again initiate efforts to talk to her.

I think the record should be clear that we are in good faith in attempting to talk to her and that we are in good faith in attempting to introduce into evidence refusal by her to talk to us.

In order to cover all the bases, we wanted to be clear that we are going to attempt to talk to her as a defense witness, we are going to subposens her, and then we are going to attempt to talk to her.

2 3

4

5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

I see nothing wrong with that.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right.

THE COURT:

THE COURT: Anything further, gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

May the record reflect that on behalf of Mr. Manson I oppose your Honor's filing this instruction at this time.

It unfairly pinpoints an issue or issues in the case by your Honor injecting this instruction at this time.

We allege that denies Mr. Manson a fair trial and due process.

But if your Honor -- may I take the yellow sheet?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KANAREK: But if your Honor insists on giving an instruction, we are not asking for an instruction, but if your Honor insists on giving one, the instruction that we are asking is as follows:

"You are instructed that an attorney may talk to a witness comma that he calls to the witness stand period. The fact that an attorney speaks to and consults with said witness during the time she is in the courtroom and at recesses is one of the circumstances you may consider in evaluating the worth of the testimony of said witness."

**2** 3

5

. 8

10.

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

If any instruction is going to be given, we ask that that instruction be given.

THE COURT: All right, and let the record also show, Mr. Kanarek, that the reason I am inclined to give the instruction I indicated at this time is because some of the comments of counsel, you included, in the form of colloquy or just individual statements made in front of the jury which might give rise to an implication that there was something improper about a lawyer talking with or interviewing a witness, his own or anybody else's.

All right, gentlemen, let's go back.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All of the parties, counsel and jurors are present.

Before we resume the cross-examination, ladies and gentlemen, I do want to give you one instruction:

You are instructed that it is proper and permissible for any lawyer to talk to and interview any witness or prospective witness whom he calls or expects to call to testify in a case.

Such conversations may occur during recesses or adjournments, and at any other time the witness is not actually testifying in court.

You may continue your cross-examination,

Mr. Kanarek. THE CLERK: The witness is on her way down, your Honor, (Mrs. Kasabian enters the courtroom.) THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Kanarek. MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you. 6 fls. 8. 

. 1

.3 4

> 5 6

8

9

7

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18.

19

21,

22 23

24

25 26 : LIMDA KASABIAN,

the witness on the stand at the time of the adjournment, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. KAMAREK:

- Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, when you saw the tail man being injured, did you start crying?
  - A I don't think so.
  - Q You didn't cry then, did you, Mrs. Rasabian?
  - A No.
- Q When you saw the lady stretched out on the grass, were you crying them?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, that assumes a fact not in evidence. She never testified she saw the woman sprawled out. She said she saw sadie chasing the woman with an upraised knife.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SHIMM: Your Honor, that is a misstatement of fact.

MR. STOVITE: That's right. He didn't mean sadie, he meant Katie.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. I meant Ratie. I apologize.
THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: Q Now, this knife -- may I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may. 1 MR. KAMAREK: Q This knife, Mrs. Rasabian, was 2 your knife; right? ż Yes. MR. STOVITZ: Counsel, will you identify it by 5 exhibit number, please? MR. KAMAREK: Yes. Exhibit 39. MR. STOVITZ: Thank you, Counsel. 8 MR. KANAREK: Q Mow, Mrs. Kasabian, when you 9 heard noises from this residence, Mrs. Resabian, were you 10 in a state of shock? 71 Yes. Still. 12 You were in a state of shock when you heard the 13 noises from the residence? 14 Yes. A 15 Q And did you recognize the noises from the 16 residence? 17 . A They were screams. 18 Q I mean, did you recognize whose voices were 19 Ecreaming? Ž0 A It just sounded like voices. I couldn't tell. 21 Q Now, these people that were in the house were 22 your friends: right? 23 A Yes. 24 Mr. Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Miss Atkins: 25 they were your friends, right? 26 Yes.

1	Q And directing your attention to those screams,
.2	you didn't know who was screaming, did you?
3	A I didn't know for positive but I had a feeling
4	that they weren't the ones screaming.
5	Q You what?
6.	A I had a feeling that they weren t the ones
7	*creaming.
8	Q You had a feeling? Were you in a state of
, <b>9</b> .	shock?
10	.A. Yes.
11	Q Did you think that they were not the ones
12	screaming?
13	A Yes.
14	Q You thought they weren't?
15	A Yes.
<b>16</b>	Q But your shock wasn't such that it kept you
17	from thinking that the people screaming were not your
18	friends?
19	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your
20	Honor,
21	THE COURT: Overruled.
22	THE WITNESS: Would you repeat your question?
23	THE COURT: Read the question.
24	(The question was read by the reporter.)
25	THE WITNESS: I don't understand your question.
26	MR. KANAREK: Q Well, as you heard the screams,
	1

1	did you think that the people screaming were your friends?
2	A No.
3	You definitely thought they were not your
4;	friends?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Is that right?
. 7	A I had a feeling that it wasn't them.
8.	Q In other words, you were willing to take a
9 :	chance that it wasn't them?
10.	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your
11	Honor.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Keep your voice up, please.
14	
15	
16	
.17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	• .
597	

64-2

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23 24

25

26

other than just uttering the word "shock," s-h-o-c-k, other than just stating that word, in what way were your faculties affected by the shock that you stated you had?

MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, this was asked and answered and thoroughly covered in yesterday's transcript. I refer to Volume 43, morning session.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, when you heard the screaming, was your state of shock such that you didn't care about what was happening to anyone in that house?
  - A Of course I did.
  - Q You did care?
  - A Yes.
- Q Would you tell us, how did you -- what did you do after you felt this emotion of care?
- A I started to run towards the house to make it stop.
- Q You started to run towards the house to make it stop?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Right?
  - A Yes.
- Q You had that knife on you as you ran towards the house, is that right, Mrs. --

5 <b>4-</b> 3		A No, I did not.
	1	Q May I finish?
,		A No, I did not.
	4	Q You didn't?
	· 5	A No.
	6	Q That was your knife; right, Mrs. Kasabian?
<b>.</b>	7	A Are you talking about this knife (indicating)?
*	8	Q That's right.
•	9	A Yes.
W	10	Q You had that knife for a long time before you
*	11	came to Spalm Ranch; right?
	12	A Yes.
•	13	Q And you carried that knife on you for protec-
	14	tion; right?
fls.	15	A No.
	16	
	17	
	18	
*	19 .	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	<b>25.</b>	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	<b>'26</b> ' .	

7-1	1	Q You carried it to cut potatoes to cut
	2	A It was a kitchen knife.
	3	Q A kitchen knife?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q But you carried it on you wherever you went?
*	. 6	A Yes, sometimes.
	7	Q And so you ran towards the house?
	8	A Yes.
\$	9	Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, I'm going to
	10	Are you perfectly composed today, Mrs. Kasa-
	11	bian?
•	12	A At the moment, yes.
	13	Q Pardon?
•	14	A Yes, at the moment.
	15	Q At the moment.
*	16	Now, I will show you this picture
	17	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to have this
•	18	marked as a defense exhibit.
*	19	THE COURT: Don't hold it up to the jury, Mr. Kenerek.
<b>)</b>	20	MR. KANAREK: I'm trying not to.
7	2 <b>t</b>	MR. BUGLIOSI: It is a Grand Jury exhibit. The
	22,	People request it be a People's exhibit.
,	23	MR. KANAREK: I ask that that statement be stricken.
	24	I would like to approach the bench.
	25	THE COURT: It will be marked as People's 88 for
88 Id.	26	identification.

24

25

26

MR.	KANAREK:	May	I	spproach	the	witness,	your
Honor.							

Q Now, I show you a picture of a lady, and I ask you, Mrs. Kasabian, to look at that picture?

A I looked at it.

Q Would you take the picture, please.

THE COURT: She does not have to take it. She can

Let me see the photograph.

MR.KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you see the photograph, Mrs. Kasabian? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, in connection with the lady that you say you saw, you say you saw at the house.

Does that appear to be the lady?

A In the white gown.

Q Pardon?

A The white gown, I did not see her face. I just saw her white gown and long hair.

Q I see, and in preparation for your coming to court has envone shown you or attempted to speak with you concerning the identity of this lady in the white gown?

A I don't understand.

Q Well, you have spoken with Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz and police officers concerning this case,

	•
	ſ
1	,
2	Ĭ
3.	
4	
5	
Ģ	,
7.	+
. 8	Ì
9	ŀ
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	ŀ
15	
16	,
17	
18	
19·	
20	7
21	1
22	
23	
	-,[

25

26

haven!	t	vmi?
tone à Arr	-	2000

A Yes.

Q Has anyone, has anyone in connection with this case shown you this picture before?

A No.

Q Has anyone shown you a picture of this white gown before?

A No.

MR. STOVITZ: May counsel be requested to, if he is through questioning her about this picture, that the picture be lowered, your Honor. It seems to be causing some distress to the witness.

MR. KANAREK: I don't know whether it is or not.

THE COURT: I don't care for any comment, Mr.

Kanarek.

Let's go back behind counsel table and resume your examination.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

(Mr. Kanarek returns to the counsel table.)
BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, in connection with the interrogations that you have undergone, you have spoken to Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz and various Los Angeles police officers and District Attorney's representatives over an extended period of time, maybe some eight months or so, right?

7-A-1	1	Q And you saw this person coming out of the door,
	. 2	and what occurred when this person came out of the door?
•	3	A He stood at a post for a minute and then he fell
	4	in the bushes.
	5	Q Pardon?
.*	·6	A He stood at apost for a minute and then he fell
	7	in the bushes.
	.8	Q I see, Then when you saw this person fall what
ŧ.	9	was going through your mind?
	10	A I just knew for sure what was happening at that
	11	point and I was just so sorry, I just said, "oh, God, I'm
	12	sorry," I think I said to myself, "Please make it stop."
	13	I was praying to God.
	14.	Q You prayed to God then?
	<b>1</b> 5	A Yes.
*	16	Q I see, and how long did you pray to God?
	17	A I don't know, everything just stood still.
	18	Q I see. Were you in a state of shock?
	19	A Yes.
	· 20	Q I see. And you prayed to God for what period
	21	of time?
•	22	A I don't know.
	23	Q Well, can you give us an estimate?
	24	A It was probably just for a few seconds, but it
<b>•</b> .	25	seemed like forever.
<del>-</del>	26	Q. I see, and when this person when this person

7a2 fell to the ground did you do anything with regard to the 1 physical body of the person that fell to the ground? 2. A No. 3 Q You just prayed? I just stood there, I couldn't do anything. A 5 Q You could not do anything? 7 A Yes. Q. What was keeping you from doing anything? 8 A I don't know, I just couldn't do anything. 9 I just stood there. 10. Q Well, you're telling us, Mrs. Kasabian, that H 12 when you heard the noises coming from the house you were 13 thinking that your friends were in danger, right? No. I did not think it was them. 14 Q 15 You felt that somebody else was in danger? A Yes. 16 17 And why did you think that somebody else was in danger and your friends were not? 18 I just felt that they were killing these people 19. because they killed that guy in the car. 20 You were thinking in terms of they were killing 21 these people because ---22 23 How was that, because they killed the guy in the car? 24 A Yes . 25 Q And by "they," you meant who? 26

A

7 <b>a.3</b> 7	Q You just said they were killing these people
. 2	the way they killed the guy in the car.
3	A I made a mistake, I'm sorry.
4	Q What way did you make a mistake?
5	A By using the word "they."
. 6 .	Q I see. And what did you mean to say instead of
7	they?
8	A That Tex killed the man at the car.
÷ 9	Q Well, after Tex had killed the man at the car.
10-	you had been to the back of the house, right?
, ii	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as asked and answered, your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
. 15	Q BY MR. KANAREK: And your intent after you
16	knew that the man in the car had been killed was to
17	enter that house and steal?
18	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to
19	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Is that correct?
<b>7</b> 20	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to, your Honor, as being
- 21	asked and answered.
22	MR. KAMAREK: Not so, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	THE WITHESS: Yes.
25	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You wanted to steal after you
26	knew that the man in the car had died, right?

	1	,
<i>1</i> a4	ī	A I guess so. I don't know what I thought then.
	2	Q You don't know?
	3	A I don't know. I just did what Tex told me to
	4	đo.
	5	Q I see. In other words, you were not operating
	6	under your own thinking. You were operating under Texts
•	7	thinking.
·	8	A I guess so.
* .	9	Q You guess so. Would you think for a moment?
	10	A I just know I did what he told me to do, and
	11	that is to go in the back of the house.
	12	Q And when you went in the back of the house it
-	13	was not because you were walking of your own free will, you
	14	were walking under Tex's will, is that it?
	15	A I don't understand you.
٠.	16	Q You don't understand the question?
	17	A Xo.
-	18	Q Did you go to the back of the house,
	19	Mrs. Kasabian, to steal because you wanted to steal?
<b>₩</b>	20	A Well, that is why I thought we went there.
	.21:	Q And that is why you want to the back of the
	22	house, right?
	23	A I quess so.
	24	Q All right, now, would you tell us, Mrs. Kasabian,
	25	why you prayed to God over the gentleman who came out of the
•	26	door and did not pray to God when the gentleman was shot

		•		
8-1	1	Q And did you see that lady in the white gown		
	<b>2</b>	before you saw the man who you say fell over?		
	3	A No.		
	4	Q You saw the man who fell over first?		
₽	5.	A Yes.		
	6	Q Then you saw the lady in the white gown?		
	7 -	A Yes.		
	8 :	Q And did you hear any screams coming from the		
÷	9	house after you saw the lady in the white gown and after		
·	10	you saw the man who you say fell?		
	, 11 ·	A I don't know. I turned and ranned away.		
	12	Q Pardon?		
	13	A I turned and ranned away ran away.		
	14	Q Well, when did you hear the screens I		
	15	will withdraw that.		
	16	May I ask you: When you turned and ran away,		
# .	17	why did you run away?		
	18	A There was nothing to do and I didn't want to		
	19	kill anybody, and I just ran.		
	20	That was the only thing I could do.		
*	21	Q You didn't want to kill anybody?		
	:22	A Yes.		
	23	Q Well, you say you didn't have a knife?		
	24	A Yes.		
	25	Q Well, how would you have killed anyone?		
	26	A I don't know. Maybe if Tex or somebody told		

8-2	1
	2
	3
	. 4
	5
	6
*	7
	8
•	9
	10
	11
	12
	ţ3
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
<b>#</b>	20
ai.	21
ŧ	22
	23
	24

26

me. I didn't know what was going to happen, and the best thing I could do was turn and run away.

Q If Tex or someone told you? That went through your mind? If Tex or someone told you to do something, you would have done it, and then you would have killed somebody, and that is why you ran away?

A No.

MR. STOVITZ: Just a moment. I object to the question as a characterization of her testimony and not her testimony at all. It is improper cross-examination.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Did you answer it?

THE WITNESS: I said no.

I don't know what his question was.

MR. KANAREK: May the question be read, your Honor?

THE COURT: Read the question and the answer.

(The record was read by the reporter.)

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Then that isn't the reason you ran away? What is the reason, Mrs. Kasabian?

A There was just nothing I could do. I don't know, I just turned and ran away.

Q I see.

You had no reason for running away?

A I think I have given you a reason. Maybe it is not a reason to you. I just did the only thing I could

do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Then you have told us all of your reasons as to why you ran away?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, as argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, in running away, Mrs. Kasabian, you had to scramble over a fence; right?

A Yes.

where someone had gotten killed? Is that the reason you climbed over the fence and scrambled away?

A I don't think so.

Q You don't think so but you might have?

A I don't remember that going through my head.

Q Well, what was going through your head as you scrambled over the fence? What was your reason for scrambling over the fence?

A I can't remember thinking of anything until I got to the bottom of the hill.

Q When you got to the bottom of the hill, Mrs. Kasabian -- let me ask you -- from the time you got over the fence until you got to the bottom of the hill, what

26,

6599 And so you don't remember what occurred or what Q was in your mind, right? I don't know what I was thinking about. I A don't even think I was thinking. And your state of shock -- you were also in a Q state of shock before you climbed over the fence; right? A Yes. so, your intent was to go into the house, run right into the house, at a time while you say you were in a state of shock; is that correct?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, as being argumentative, having been asked and answered, and also unclear as to what point counsel is talking about.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Mrs. Kasablan, I am now asking you: At the time that you state that you ran toward the house with the thought that you were going into the house, at that time -- at that time -- were you in a state of shock?

A Yes, I quess so.

Q And so, being in a state of shock, you don't know whether you went into the house; or not; is that correct?

> I know I didn't go into that house. A

Q You know you didn t?

Yes. A.

26

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q or is it a fair statement to say that you wish you didn't?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I approach the witness in connection with a photograph?

MR. STOVITZ: May the photograph be marked here, your Honor, as People's next in order if it is a photograph that was furnished by the People?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we would ask for it to be a defense exhibit. I think 89 is the number, but I ask for it to be a defense exhibit, your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be People's 89 for identification.

MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, I show you this
picture.

A oh, God.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She has already looked at it, your Honor. Is there any necessity for him to continue flashing it in front of her face?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it seems like I am the one that is always the villain.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

Mrs. Kasabian, did you see the photograph?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Did you see it well enough to identify

	·
1	the person in the photograph?
2	THE WITHESS: He was the man that I saw at the door.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	You may return.
5	MR. KAMAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
6.	MR. STOVITZ: So the record is clear, she is talking
7	about Exhibit 89 for identification, Counsel?
8	MR. KANAREK: I guess so, your Honor.
, 9	Q Mrs. Masablan, why are you crying right now?
10.	A Because I can't believe it. It is just
<b>11</b>	I don't know.
12	Q You can't believe what, Mrs. Rasabian?
13.	A That they could do that.
14	Q That they could do that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q I see.
17	Not that you could do that, but that they could
18	do that?
19	A I know I didn't do that.
20	Q you were in a state of shock, weren't you?
21	A That's right.
22	Q Then how do you know it?
23	A Because I know it.
24	I do not have that kind of thing in me to do
.25	such an animalistic thing.
26	Q And you are basing it upon the fact that you
	$\Lambda \Phi$

		72
	1	don't have it in you to do that kind of an animalistic
ä	2	thing?
	3	A Right.
×	4	Q Is that why you are saying you didn't do it;
	.5	right?
•	. 6	A I just know I didn't do it, Hr. Kanarek.
	2 E 7	Q And your thinking, as you sit there on the
	8. ي	witness stand, Mrs. Rasabian, is that they did it; is that
	÷ 9	right?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q I see.
	12	Mow, when you got to the bottom of the hill,
_	13	Mrs. Masabian, had you regained yourself from this state of
Ų	14	shockf
	15	A Yes. I laid down on the ground for a few
	16	#econds.
	. 17	Q I see.
	18	And after regaining yourself from a state of
	19	shock, you waited there for the people that you came there
	. 20	with?
	· 21	A Not when I was on the ground, no.
	22	Q Pardon?
32	23	A Not when I was on the ground.
2.5	. 24	
	25	
	26	$\frac{1}{i}$

were arrested was how many days, Mrs. Kasabian?

I don't know.

Between that instant and the day before you

24

25

26

Á

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

8b-2 Was it several months? Q Ä Yes. 2 And the day before you were arrested you Q 3 . weren't making any plans whatsoever to contact the police, 4 were you? 5 A Plans had gone through my head. ٠6 Pardon? Q 7 A Plans had gone through my head. 8 But the reason that you didn't contact the Q 9 police is because you didn't went to go to prison or the 10 gas chamber; is that right? 11 Å No, that wasn't my reason. 12 Then what was your reason? Q 13 A I was pregnant, I had my baby with me; I was 14 just afraid. 15 You were afraid? Q 16 I didn't know who to go to or how to do it or A 17 anything. 18 You didn't know who to go to or how to do it? Q 19 A Yes. 20 But when you found out that the police wanted 21 you and you knew that the jig was up, so to speak, you 22 knew exactly what to do, didn't you? 23 MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as argumentative, 24

your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

25

26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

8b - 3

3 4

2

6.

7

5

8

10

12 13

> 14 15

16

**17** 

18 19

20

\*

21

22 23

24

\_

25 26 BY MR. KANAREK:

Q When you found out that the police, Mrs. Kassbian, knew all about you and that there wasn't any chance any more for you to hide what you had done, then you knew what to do; right?

A I went to my mother and asked her what to do.

Q After you knew that your mother knew that the police in New Hampshire were looking for you; right?

A Yes.

Q But the day before your mother knew, you were going along living your life without contacting the police or without doing anything about this matter or these matters at all?

A Yes.

Q Correct?

A Yes.

Q So, it is a fair statement that you were interested in No. One, Linda Kasabian?

A No. I was more interested in my children.

Q You were more interested in your children?

A Yes.

Q I see.

Then, what was the difference, Mrs. Kasabian, as far as your children were concerned, between the day before, when your mother and as far as you knew, the police, had no knowledge of you, and the day after, when

8b-4	1	the police and your mother had knowledge of you?
	2	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to.
	3	I am sorry, Counsel. I thought you had finished.
	4	You lowered your voice.
	5	THE COURT: Had you finished the question?
	6	MR. KANAREK: No.
بۇ	7	MR. STOVITZ: I am sorry for the interruption.
•	8	BY MR. KANAREK:
<del>\$</del> ;	9	Q My question, Mrs. Kasabian, you say you were
	10	interested in the welfare of your children. Will you
	11.	please tell us how the welfare of your children involved
	12	a difference in what you did the day before?
	13	A I don't understand what you are saying.
	14	Q May I finish the question?
	15	A But you lost me in the beginning of your
9 fls.	16	question.
	17	
	18	
	19	
# •	20	
- -	21	,
•	22	
	23	
	24 .	
	25	
•	26	

2

1

.3

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

·21 22

> 23 24

25

26

In other words, you had no interest in yourself; you had no interest in your physical welfare, the inconvenience, the misery of being in a jail cell, the possibility of going to the gas chamber.

You were not interested in that all these months; you were interested only in the welfare of your children, is that correct?

MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Your answer to that question is, "I don't know."

A Yes.

Q Is that right?

A Yes.

When you came back to Los Angeles, Mrs. Rasabian, to get your daughter, you knew that you were involved in seven killings, is that correct?

A Yes, I guess so.

And therefore, knowing that, knowing that —did you arrange for the welfare of your child, Tenya, so that she would not be involved emotionally or otherwise with the possible future arrest of you in connection with these matters?

A Yes. I made a few phone calls for money to go

back Bast, but I did not get any money and I hitchhikad 1 to Florida. 2 And I rested for a while and then my father 3 gave me money and I went to my mother's house. Well, did it ever occur to you to work for 5 money? 6 Yes, I did work. 7 Q Pardon? 8 A I did work. 9 Q Did you work? Where did you work? 10 A I babysat. 11 Q You babysat? 12 A Yes. 13 Q And you babysat while you were in Florida? 14 A Yes. 15 And you babysat for spending money because your Q 16 father and Judy Emmer were taking care of you, right? 17 Yeah, they gave me a place to stay and food to A 18 mat. . 19 Q. Yes, but I'm talking about going out and getting 20 a job and working, you did not do anything like that, did 21 You? 22 A No. 23 Q Did you? 24 A No. 25 Q Now, when you got to the bottom of the hill, 26

V

time he is talking about now.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITMESS: I thought that if I came forward that they would just say that I was crary and that I was making it up, like they are trying to do now, and I just did not know what to do.

Yes, I quess I did think about myself.

THE COURT: We will take our recess now, Mr. Kanarek.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with

anyone nor form or express as opinion regarding the case

until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

10-1 1 THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are 2 present. 3. You may proceed, Mr. Kanarek. 4 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. 5 BY MR. KANAREK: 6 Now, Mrs. Kasabian, is there some reason, 7 Mrs. Kasabian, that you have refused to speak with me concerning this case? 8 ġ A . Yes. 10 Would you tell us, Mrs. Kasabian, why is it 11 you have refused to speak with me concerning this case? 12 Because I don't trust you. A 13 You don't trust me? Q 14 A Yes. 15 Q You think I am dishonest? 16 A Yes. 17 I see. Q 18 Now, do you see Mr. Fitzgerald there? 19 A Yes. 20 You have refused to speak with him also; right? Q 21 A Right. 22 You think he is dishonest? Q 23 A Yes. I do. 24 And we have Mr. Shinn here. Do you think he Q 25 is dishonest? 26 Ä Yes, I do.

Q I see.	<b>2</b> 1	10-2	
And we	2	_	
is dishonest?	3		
MR. STOVITZ:	4		
that Mr. Hughes re	5 ,		
MR. HUGHES:	· 6		
your Honor.	7.		
MR. KANAREK:	8		
BY MR. KANAREK:	9	₩	
Q Do you	10		
A Yes.	11,		
Q Now, M	12		
A No.	13		
Q You do	14		
A No.	. 15		
Q Now, M	16		
various communes t	17		
did you have a sta	18		
government that yo	19		
A Yes.	20	#	
Q And th	21	•	
of the two days, t	22 .	p	

And we have Mr. Hughes here. Do you think he is dishonest?

MR. STOVITZ: That assumes a fact not in evidence that Mr. Hughes requested to speak to this witness.

MR. HUGHES: I requested to speak to the witness, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: I will lay the foundation.
BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Do you think Mr. Hughes is dishonest?

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, do you remember Mr. Reiner?

Q You don't remember Mr. Reiner?

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, when you were at the various communes that you have spoken of, Mrs. Kasabian, did you have a state of mind concerning our form of government that you enunciated?

Q And this was at about the time of these events of the two days, the two nights, that you have spoken of?

A I felt those things about the Government for a long time.

25

23

11 fls. 24

11-1

2

1

3

5

7

.8

6

9

10 . 11

J2 13

14

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Q Would you tell us your thinking, would you tell us what your thinking was concerning the government?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench?

(The following proceedings were had at the beach out of the presence of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi has interjected this issue. He has said that Mr. Manson, without belaboring it, Mr. Manson had certain ideas in connection with the revolution and various matters such as that.

It would be a denial of equal protection of the law under the 14th Amendment, and a denial of due process for me not to go into that, especially in view of Mr. Bugliosi's position as to Mr. Manson, as part of our defense it is our position that this girl viciously entered into the killing of these people because she hated them because they were pigs, because they were people that she had a definite distaste for over and above, your Honor --

THE COURT: No one has precluded you from inquiring into that.

MR. KANAREK: I am laying a foundational question as to what was her state of mind at this time, what her attitude was towards our established form of government.

Mr. Bugliosi opened up this issue, your Honor, and his witness, it is my belief, has been in that house

1 2

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12.

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

24

23

25 26 and participated in the killings.

THE COURT: Philosophical views in general, unless they can be related to this case, have no relevance.

That is the reason I sustained the objection.

I have no objection to your going into her state of mind in the various events surrounding this alleged crime.

You asked the question about "What do you think about our government," or something like that.

MR. KANAREK: I will try to frame a proper question, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you allege some connection which would lead from one place to another, there is a chain of relevancy, you may inquire.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor, I will try to do that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury;) BY MR.KANAREK:

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, on these two nights that you have spoken of, these two nights were nights that involved conversation between you and the people in the automobile wherein you used the word "piggy"?

> A Where I used the word, piggy?

	1	d bid you use the word, piggy, while you were
<u>.</u>	2	in the automobile between the Spahn Ranch and the Tate
	3	residence?
	4	A No.
	5	Q You did not. You never used the word that
	6.	night?
æ	7	A No.
	8	Q Did you use the word "pig," that night?
- "	9	A No.
,	10	Q Did anyone in the car use the word, pig, or
	11	piggy?
	12:	A No, not that I recall.
	13	Q And directing your attention to your state
	14	of mind, to your state of mind, when you were at the
	15	Tate residence, what was your thinking concerning the
	16	people that lived at the Tate residence prior to the time
	17	that you entered the premises?
	18	A I don't know.
	19	Q You don't know.
<b>₽</b> 3	20	When you went to the premises did you go
•	21	there only for the purposes of stealing, Mrs. Kasabian?
•	22	A Yes.
	23	Q No other purpose, right?
12 £1s.	24	A No.
	25	
	26	
-		

12-1

2

1

5

6.

7

4

8

9

10 11

13

12

14

16 17

·18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Q And the people that were with you, Mrs. Kasabian, entered the premises only for the purposes of stealing; is that correct?

MR. STOVITZ: The objection is that that calls for a conclusion of this witness as to what someone else's purpose was, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Q Well, did you have a discussion?

Did you have a discussion as you drove along in the automobile? Did you have a discussion which involved the purpose of going to the Tate residence?

A The purpose?

Q Yes.

A No.

Q No discussion was made by anybody?

A There was a discussion but not as to the purpose, why we were going.

Q The discussion had nothing to do with the purpose of going to the Tate residence; right?

A No.

Q Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And so, as far as you knew and were concerned, everyone in that car was going there only for the purpose of stealing?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor. It calls for a

22

23

24

25

26

conclusion as to what other people were going there for.

MR. KANAREK: Talking about what she knew of her own knowledge.

MR. BUNLIOSI: She couldn't know what they were thinking of unless they told her. She testified they did not tell her.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Excuse me just a moment, your Honor. (Pause.)

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, on the second night, when you were driving along on that night, there was a discussion concerning Mr. True; is that right?

MR. STOVITE: As she was driving along or after she parked, Counsel?

I object to the question as ambiguous.

MR. KAMAREK: I think it is clear. I said as they were driving along.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may enswer.

THE WITMESS: NO.

MR. KAMAREK: Q Pardon?

A No.

Q You had no discussion concerning Mr. True as you were driving along?

A Mo.

Q I see.

While you were driving, on the second night,

.2-3	1	Mrs. Kasabian, did you utter any words from the time you
	2	say you left the ranch until you got to the home of
	. 3	Mr. True? Did any words come out of your mouth?
	4	A Before we got there?
•	5	Q Yes.
	6	A Wo.
*	7	Q You just sat there and said nothing, not one
•	. 8	word in the entire time you were driving?
	9.	A I may have said words, I don't remember. I was
*	10	mostly tired.
•	11	Q You were exhausted?
	12	A Yes.
	. 13	Q Right?
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q You were just plain exhausted?
	. 16	A Yes.
	17	Q. So you don't recall what was said; right?
	18	A Not everything that was said, no.
_	19	Q Well, were you sort of dozing off as you drove
	20	along?
¥	21	A Yes.
÷	22	Q Do you recall what was said in the automobile
	23	as you were driving along?
	24	MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, I will object to that as
	25.	being ambiguous.
	26	Before they got to Harold True is house or

12-4 after they got to Harold True's house? What time? There 1 was a large period of time there, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 You may answer. 4 THE WITNESS: There were a few conversations. 5 I don't know what you are asking about, 6 MR. KANAREK: May the question be read, your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Read the question. 8 (The question was read by the reporter.) 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I recall some things. 10 MR. KANAREK: Q You recall some things? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And some things you don't recall! 13 A Sometimes I was sleeping. 74 Q You were sleeping? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Because you were exhausted? 17 Yes. 18 And about what percentage of time would you say, 19 Mrs. Kasabian, you were sleeping? 20 I don't know. A 21 Between the time you left the Spahn Ranch and 22 the time you got to the vicinity of Harold True s? 23 A I don't know. 24

25

26

driving.

I dozed off a few times when Charlie was

	ĭ	Q Mould you tell us what parcentage of the time?
	2	A I don't know.
	3	Q Like, was it five per cent? 80 per cent?
	4.	90 per cent?
•	5	A I don't know. I didn't sleep very long.
	6	Q pardon?
•	7	A I didn't sleep very long. I dozed off a few
<b>7</b> .	8	times.
3 ·	9 '.	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
# #	20	
*	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	*
	25	
	26	

	7	
13-1	1	Q You did not sleep very much of the time?
	2 .	A Yes.
•	3	Q And while you were driving along did you smoke
	4	anything, Mrs. Kasabian?
	5	A Cigarettes.
	6	Q You smoked digarettes while you were driving
	Ż	a long?
E Osi	8	A Yes.
•	9 -	Q And those cigarettes, did you have a package of
	10	cigarettes?
	11	A There was a pack of cigarettes in the car for
	12	all of us.
	13	Q What did you bring with you, Mrs. Kasabian,
	14	on that second night?
	15	Would you tell us what you brought with you when
	16	you went when you were driving, after having left the
	17	Spahn Ranch?
	18	A I had a few candy bars and a bag of peanuts.
,	19	Q And what else?
ž A	20	A I had some leather thong that Charlie gave me
÷	21	and that is all.
•	22	Q Now, this leather thong that Charlie gave you
	23	that you say that Charlie gave you?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q That leather thong, somehow or other, you lost
	26	it, right?
	,	

1	A I don't know. I may not have lost it. It
2	might still be in my pocket.
3	Q Well, but between that day and this day you
4	lost it, right?
5	A I don't know where it is.
6 .	Q You don't know where it is?
7	A Yes.
8	Q You prefer those words to that you lost it?
9	MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as argumentative,
10	your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
<b>12</b>	BY MR. KANAREK:
13	Q Well, when you left the Spahn Ranch, Mrs.
14 .	Kasabian, you had this item that you are speaking of, the
15	thongs?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is that right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And do you now have the thongs?
20	A No.
21	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have that exhibit?
22	THE COURT: What number, Counsel?
23	THE CLERK: 75 most likely, your Honor.
24	MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
<b>2</b> 5	THE COURT: You may.
26	Water and the second second in

1	r	BY MR. KANAREK:
	2	Q I show you, Mrs. Kasabian, an item which is
	3	marked No. 75 for identification.
4	4	Would you pick that up, please?
į	5	A For what reason? I can see it.
(	6	Q Well, you would rather not pick it up?
:	7	A Well, it doesn't matter.
1	8	Q Well, would you please pick it up? Thank you.
9	9	Now, would you look at it?
10	0	A Yes.
1)	1	Q Does that appear to be the thong or thongs that
1	2	you had?
13	3	A Yeah, it looks like them.
14	4	Q It looks like the thong that you had that
18	5	night, right?
10	6	A Yes.
17	7	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
18	8	Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, when you arrived at the
19	9	house, Harold True in the area of the house next door
20	Ò	to Harold True, by whatever means you arrived there,
2	ī	were you more tired when you got there than when you had
2	2	left the Spahn Ranch?
2	3.	A I don't know.
2	4	Q Well, are the events of that night a little
2	5	hazy to you, Mrs. Kasabian?
20	6	A Well, the parts when I was dozing off, I don't

know what was said or where we were. 1 Pardon? 2 The parts when I was dozing off, I don't know 3 what was said. 4 I see. And, as you testify now, are the events that occurred on the second night a little bit hazy? 6 Not the parts that I heard, no. 7 The parts that you heard -- there's no haze 8 about those? 9 Á No. 10 And there is no question in your mind that you 11 lost a thong or thongs that night, is that correct? 12 MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor. 13 the characterization of the testimony. 14 She said she did not know what happened to 15 them. She didn't say she lost them. 16 It has been asked and answered. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I just remember Charlie giving them to 2 me and I don't know -- I don't remember him asking them 20 from me. If he did so they probably were still in my pants 21 22 the whole night. 23 BY MR. KANAREK: 24 All right. Q 25 They were probably in my pants the whole night 26 but I did not check to see.

	; 1	Q.	You never checked to see them after that
٠.	2	night?	-
	3	, <b>A</b>	No.
	4.	Q	After that night you haven't seen them since?
	5	A	Right.
	6	Q	Correct?
	7	A	Right.
*	8	Q	So there is no haze about that in your mind,
•	<b>9</b>	I mean, you	know for sure that what you have just said
i	10	is true?	
	11	A	Yes.
	12,	Q.	Now, Mrs. Kasabian, on how many instances,
	13	Mrs. Kasabi	an, did you have sexual relations with Mr.
	14	Watson?	
	15	A	Mr. Watson?
	16	Q	Yes.
ĸ	17	A	Two or three times.
,	18	Q.	Two or three times?
	19	· A	Yes.
<u>۾</u> خ	20	Q	Now, could it have been more than that?
•	21	A	Not that I can remember.
₹,	22	Q	You mean it might possibly be more than that?
	23	A	It could be, yes, but I remember just specific
4 fls.	24	instances,	and possibly a third,
	25		
	26	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		,	

	0620
14-1	Q one of them was the first night that you came?
<u> </u>	A Yes.
	Q Right?
	A Yes.
,	Q Is it a fair statement that while you were at
\$	the Spahn Ranch you had sexual relations with many people?
	A Yes.
<b>K</b>	Q With many mon?
	A With the men at the ranch, yes.
9	Q All of the men at the ranch; right?
. 10	A Not all of the men, no.
. 11	Q Well. will you tell us those with whom you had
. 12	sexual relations?
13	A Charlie, Tex, Bruce, a guy named Chuck, Bobbie.
14	What te all
. 15	THE COURT: What was the last name?
16	(The record was read by the reporter.)
	THE WITNESS: And Clem.
18	
19	
20	
•	
. 22	·
23	
29	
25	
26	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	·

		•
14-2		1
		2
	,	3
,	4	4
•		5
		6
	n <b>k</b> o	· 7
	٠.	8
		9
•	٠	10.
•	٠	. 11
		12
		13
	,	14
		, 15
*	(%)	16
		17
		18
		19
		20
		<b>2</b> Ì
• *		22
		22 23

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Does your Honor wish me to enunciate why I believe it is material?

THE COURT: No. sir. Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Pardon?

THE COURT: Ask your next question.

MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, is it a fair statement that while you were at the ranch, and referring to the people that you have spoken of, you had sexual relations with these men from time to time during the time you were there?

A Yes.

And is it a fair statement that your sexual relations with these people covered the entire time, that is, practically each and every day you had sexual relations with someone or other?

A Bo.

Q Now, on how many days didn't you have sexual relations?

A I don't know. I didn't count them.

Q Well, would it be a fair statement to say that you had sexual relations more than, let us say, 50 per cent of the time you were there?

MR. STOVITZ: You mean, taking the hours, or the days, Counsel?

MR. KAMAREK: I don't know.

Is the question unclear to you?

4-3

1

2

3 4

5

6

8

9. 10

İŀ

12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24 25

26

MR. STOVITZ: It is unclear to me. I chject to it as being ambiguous, your Honor,

> THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: Is it a fair statement, taking the total days involved, Mrs. Kasabian, that you were at the ranch, that you had sexual relations more than 50 per cent of those days?

> A No.

Less than 50 per cent?

A Yes.

Q I see.

About what percentage? Taking up the total number of days that you were there, what percentage of those days did you engage in sexual activity?

I am not sure about percentages. I don't know A how to relate it in percentages.

> Q You don't know what percentage means?

Yes, I do, but I don't know how to relate it to you.

Q Well, you were at the ranch for what period of time?

> A little bit more than a month. A

Q Well, how many weeks?

I don't know how many weeks. A

Q You don't know how many weeks you were there?

A Right.

Q Can you give us an estimate as to how many weeks?

14-	-ă			A	Probi	bly :	ive c	<b>77</b> *
T.A.		1						of the
		2		Q	FLVA	or si	XY	
		3		A	Yes.			
		4		Q	Int :	is as	tha!	t i
		5	in	n week	. There	wou]	ld be	35
		6			Would	i. you	tell	us
	·	7	day	a did	you engi	egm iz	z mexi	un I
		8		MR.	STOVITZ	Za Yo	our Ho	) I) O(I)
	•	9	4		The r	vitne	18 BZ	ld
		10	DOW	choom	ss arbit	trari)	ly to	ta
		11	cha:	racter	ization	of he	r te	sti
		12		MR.	KANAREI	K: I	will	be
		13	ple	ase Mr	. stovit	te and	<u> </u>	
	)	14		MR.	STOVITE	že De	n ti	ole
			con	nsel.	,			
		15			COURT:	-	San ales	***
145		16		TIME	CODET	sd=Ne	TT de dear	ř
144	.*	17				, ,		•
	;	18					•	
		19						•
	à.	20			•			
	*	21	Į		, , ,			
	•	22						
		23	:					,
		24				,		
	)	25			, ·			
. ,	ŕ	26			•			
			1					

	<b>.</b> .	LT OWN	₩¥¥ ₩¥		## W	West W	2					
	Q	Five	or mix	?			·		*			
	A	Yes.										
	Q	Let u	a say	that	t it	Was	five	week	s. :	Seve	n da	Υ×
in a	wook.	There	would	i be	35	days	<b>.</b>					
	•	Would	you t	all	u#	on h	OM IMED	y of	tho	<b>se</b> 3	5	
days	did yo	u enga	gm in	#eX	ual:	rela	tions?					
	MR. S	TOVITZ	2 You	r Ho	mor	, T	obj <b>e</b> ct	to	the	que s	tior	1.
		The w	itness	. 88	la £	ive	or six	We	ks,	and	cour	sel.
DOM C	hooses	arbit	rarily	to	tak	a fi	ve wee	ks.	It	is a	È	
chara	cteriz	ation	of her	tei	stim	ony.	» «					w.
	MR. K	Anarek	ı I v	7i.1.1	be :	glad	al	l ri	ght,	I	<b>il1</b>	
pleas	e Mr.	stovit	z and	کسه جلین								
	MR. S	TOVI TZ	r Dor	it j	plea	sė n	e, ple	age :	the	Coux	t,	
couns	el.					· · ·					•	
	THE C	OURT:	Me phy	a.se	the	que	stion.					
			, ,			•				·, · · ·	*	·
,				•		. ,		.'			. ,	. ,
	,		٠			•			٠.		i	
									*			
			*									

22

23

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: All right.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mrs. Kasabian, we will take six times seven, which is 42.

Of the 42 days that you were there, let us say you were there 42 days, on how many days did you have sexual relations?

A Can I say it in terms of how many times I made love?

Q That is what I am asking, right.

MR. BUGLIOSI: His question was number of days.

Now she is answering, your Honor, in the number of times she made love. It could be more than once a particular day. So, I think the question and anticipated answer is just ambiguous.

THE COURT: Let's find out what the answer is.

Mr. Kanarek added that that is what he was
asking her in response to her question to him.

Are you able to enswer that, Mrs. Kasabian?

THE WITNESS: How many times I made love?

THE COURT: That is the last question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Eleven times.

MR. KANAREK: I see.

Q And that took place, you made love 11 times, and that took place on how many days?

1	A	Just throughout the time that I was there.
2	I don't kno	w what day.
.3	Q	But it is 11?
4.	A	Yes.
5	đ	Now, of that 11, how many times did you make
6	love to Mr.	Manson?
7	A.	Four times.
8	Q	Four times?
9		Yes.
10	Q.	And of that, how many times did you make love
n	to Mr. Wats	on?
12	A	I counted three.
13	Q.	That makes four left over.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Did you ever make love on more than one day
16	pardon me ~	- on a given day, more than once?
17	<b>. A</b>	Not that I can recall.
18	Q.	Not that you can recall?
19	Á	Right.
20	Q	So that these 11 days were spread over
21	these 11 ti	mes were spread over 11 different days?
22	A,	Yes,
<b>23</b> `	Q	Now, directing your attention to your feelings
24	for Tex.	· ·
25		Is it a fair statement that you felt that you
26	were in lov	e with Tex?

1	A I was in love with everybody.
2	Q Well, may we ask it this way: Were you especi-
3 :	ally in love with Tex?
4.	A No.
5	Q You weren't more in love with Tex than anyone
6	else?
7	A No.
8 .	Q You loved everybody equally?
9'	A Yes.
10.	Q Is that right?
11	A Sure.
12	Q And this included the girls? You loved the
13	girls and the boys equally well; is that a fair statement?
14	A Well, I didn't make love to the girls, so it
<b>.15</b>	was a different form of love, a different level of love
16	that I had for the guys compared to the girls.
17	Q I see.
18.	But you loved all the guys the same?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you didn't love Tex any more than you loved
21	Bobby?
22	A No.
23	Q Right?
24	A No.
25	Q And so, when you were there at the Tate residence
26	you were up there or were you up there because you loved

1	Tex?									
.2	A I was up there because Charlie told me to come.									
3	Q Because Charlie told you to come, that is why									
4	you were there?									
5	A Yes.									
6	Q When Charlie told you to go up there, your									
7	mind was completely clear; is that right?									
8	A Yes.									
9	Q You weren't under the influence of any drug?									
10	A No.									
11	Q You weren't under the influence of anything;									
12	right?									
13	A I was under the influence of Charlie.									
14	Q You were under the influence of Charlie?									
15	A Yes.									
16.	Q When you were up there and all this killing									
17	was taking place at the Tate residence, you were under the									
18	influence of Tex, you did what Tex told you to do; right?									
19	A Yes.									
20	Q If I had been up there, you would have been									
21,	under my influence; is that right?									
22 :	MR. BUGLIOSI: This is argumentative and ridiculous.									
23	THE COURT: Sustained.									
24	BY MR. KANAREK:									
25	Q What I am saying, Mrs. Kasabian, your statement									
26	that you were under the influence of Charlie and that you									

were under the influence of Tex is a crutch in your mind; is that correct, Mrs. Kasabian? 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: That is argumentative, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 BY MR. KANAREK: 5 Looking into your mind, Mrs. Kasabian, and 6 recognizing the horrible things that occurred at the Tate 7 residence, in your mind, is it a fair statement that you 8 ġ wish to have an excuse for what you did that night? A 10 An excusa? 11 Q Yes. 12 A No. 13 You are not looking for any reason why you Q 14 yourself were not responsible for your own actions? 15 A I have admitted what I did and I am taking 16 responsibility for what I did. 17 You have admitted what you did? Q 18 Yes. A 19 Q I see. 20 Now, what did you do that you have admitted? 21 À I ran away, I went around the house, I threw 22 clothing out. I threw guns and knives out. All those 23 things I admitted to you. 24 And I admitted not killing people because I 25 didn't kill them. 26 Go on. Q

1

1	A All the other things that I have admitted to									
2	you.									
3	Q But in your mind, you haven't admitted to									
4	anything wherein you did anything really wrong though;									
15 fls. 5	right?									
6										
* 7										
. 8	*									
÷ 9 ;	<del>-</del> :									
10	• •									
11										
12										
13										
14										
15	,									
16										
17										
18										
19										
20	,									
21										
÷ 22										
23										
24										
25										
26	.*									

25

26

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

O BY MR. KANAREK: You have admitted to things, Mrs. Kasabian, or you have tailored your thinking to admit to things that really don't matter, have you not?

MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.

O BY MR. KANAREK: How have you chosen these things that you have admitted to, Mrs. Rasabian?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative, your Honor,

MR. KAMAREK: I have asked her how she chose them, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think the form of the question is objectionable, Mr. Ranarek.

Sustained.

Q BY MR. KAMAREK: Well, you have now told us everything you have admitted to?

A Yes.

Q Now, in connection with the second night, Mrs. Masabian, would you tell us what you have admitted to?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, what he is asking for is the relation of her testimony all over again.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is an incredibly broad question.

15-2

2

4

5

3

1

Ģ

8

7

10

9

12.

11

14

15

13

16

17 18

19

21

ò

20

23

22

24 25

26

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mrs. Rasabian, are you, Mrs. Kasabian, in your mind -- in your mind -- do you feel that these people that passed away at the Tate residence, passed away because of what you did?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, this calls actually for a legal conclusion.

MR. KAMAREK: Not at all, your Honor. I am asking her for her subjective intent, her state of mind.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is much too broad a question.

She may have some philosophical concept, your Honor, that because she was with these people that night she is responsible.

It is irrelevant what her philosophical state of mind is.

MR.KAMAREK: I am not discussing her philosophical state of mind. I am asking her for her criminal responsibility, whether or not she feels that what she did --

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection to the question, Mr. Kanarek.

You may pursue the subject further but you will have to ask another question.

MR. KAMAREK: Very well, your Honor.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, as you sit there on the witness stand right now, do you feel that you were at fault in connection with the passing away of the five people at the residence?

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a legal conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

D BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, when you were at the Tate residence you knew everything that you were doing, right?

A Yes.

Q And you were there doing what you did freely and voluntarily on your own part, right?

A Yes.

Now, as a result of this, these two nights and all of this, you are contributing information to someone, a writer, whereby you are going to get money, is that correct?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is argumentative.

THE WITHESS: Would you repeat that?

THE COURT: Read the question!

(Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending question as follows:

now, as a result of this, these
two nights and all of this, you are contributing
information to someone, a writer, whereby you are going
to get money, is that correct?")

THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't concern the Tate case at all.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: It doesn't?

Q And in what way does it not -- I will withdraw 15-4 1 that. 2 You say -- would you tell us what it does 3 concern then? 4. A Me, myself. 5 Pardon? 6. Myself. A 7 Q You, yourself? 8. Yes. 9 And someone is paying -- is going to pay a ÌÒ large sum of money concerning you and yourself, right? 11 À Yes. 12 Is your state of mind, your thinking such that 13 the reason someone is going to pay you that money has no 14 relationship to what happened at the Tate residence? 15 MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor, 16 as to what someone else is thinking. 17 THE COURT: Read the question, please. 18 (Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending 19 question as follows: 20 #O Is your state of mind, your 21 thinking such that the reason someone is going 22 to pay you that money has no relationship to 23 what happened at the Tate residence?") 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Just a moment, Mr. Ranarek. 25 (Pause.)

26

THE COURT: You may proceed, Hr. Kanarek.
MR. KAMAREK: Yes, your Honor.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, has anyone prior to the time that you were arrested, Mrs. Kasabian, ever offered you any large sum of money for your life's story?

A No.

154-1

2

3

**4** 5

7

8

6

9

10. 11

13

12

14.

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

26

24

Q And is it true that this interest in you has taken place since you have been in custody in connection with the very matters before this court right now?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, when he said "very matters," the witness answered it had something to do with her prior life before she got involved here.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Would you read the question.

THE COURT: Read the question.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending question as follows:

"Q And is it true that this interest in you has taken place since you have been in custody in connection with the very matters before this court right now?")

THE WITNESS: Yes, I guess so.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q And your state of mind is such that as a result of these writings you will receive some money?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q And is it a fair statement that your state of mind is such that the only way you can enjoy that money, those dollars, is to leave custody and walk out of this

**\*** 4

26

THE COURT: What isn't so?

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi answered his own objection.

If there was discussion, then of course she had reason to believe that as a result of this discussion, that everybody knew where the car was destined.

THE COURT: Read the question, please.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending question as follows:

"Q Now, did you know, Mrs. Kasabian, when you left, when you left the Tate residence that you, Tex, Sadie and Patricia Krenwinkel were going to go on a creepy-crawl mission?")

MR. STOVITZ: I think the question was "When you left for the Tate residence."

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, is he asking me to second guess the reporter.

MR. STOVITZ: No, no, but the reporter sometimes -THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may
answer.

THE WITNESS: Did I know if we were going to a creepy-crawly mission when we came from the Tate residence? I didn't get the question.

THE COURT: You don't understand it?

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. KANAREK: May the question be read?

2

3

4

5

Ġ

7

8

9:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26.

THE COURT: Read the question once more.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending question once again as follows:

"Q Now, did you know, Mrs. Kasabian, when you left, when you left the Tate residence that you, Tex, Sadie and Patricia Krenwinkel were going to go on a creepy-crawl mission?") THE WITNESS: No.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

You did not know that?

A No.

So that when you were driving along you were driving along having left the Spahn Ranch, all the guns and the knives were in the car, you had no knowledge that you were going on a creepy-crawl mission.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, he is assuming a fact not in evidence.

MR. STOVITZ: This is another example of how counsel wsks one question one time --

THE COURT: Just make your objection.

MR. STOVITZ: I make the objection that the question is unclear, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

23

24

25

26

	Q		Now,	Mrs.	Kasal	dan,	at i	SOM:	poin	it	at	#One
point	**	in	that	trip,	did	You	baco	me ay	are	that	it	AGE
going	to	be	# gr	epy c	rawl	miss	ion?		(a)			

- A I thought within my own mind that it was, yes.
- Q Well, you say, Mrs. Rasablan, that you weren't on any drug that night?
  - A Right.
- Q And you were on friendly terms with Mr. Watson, Susan Atkins and Patricia Krenwinkel?
  - A Right.
- Q Was there any lack of ability on your part to talk?
  - A No.
- Q Was there any lack of ability on the part of these other people to talk?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Objection. It calls for a conclusion.
THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you hear the other people talk, use the English language, in the car?
  - A Driving to the Tate residence?
  - Q Yes.
  - A Tex talked.
  - Q Tex talked?
  - A Yes.
  - Q You talked; right?
  - A I don't remember talking.

22

23

24

25

26

creepy crawl mission?

A I'm sorry, but I can't understand you now,

Q You don't understand that question?

A No.

Q Well, when you left the Spahn Ranch,

Mrs. Kasabian, was your state of mind such that you thought

you were going on a creepy crawl mission?

A I thought it, yes.

Q You thought it?

A Yes.

Q Now, then, looking into your mind, at some point did you come to realize, instead of you just thinking that it was going to happen, that it was going to happen for sure?

A. No.

Q You never figured out it was going to happen for sure?

A No.

THE COURT: It is 12:00 o'clock, Mr. Kanarek.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone nor form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess until 2:00 p.m.

MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, before leaving the bench, may counsel and I approach the bench on an informal matter?

It is just going to take about two seconds.

16-4

6

A

THE COURT: I would prefer that you come into chambers, gentlemen. There is another matter that I wanted to take up in any event, and we can do that now.

(The following proceedings occur in chambers.

All counsel present, defendants absent.)

THE COURT: I asked you to come in -- the record will show that all counsel are present -- because we have another note from Mr. Vitzelio, which reads as follows:

"Los Angeles, California.

"August 7, 1970

"Honorable Judge Older:

"Dear Sir:

"I would like to be excused from jury duty on account of my wife's health and my own.

"Yours truly,

"Walter A. Vitzelio."

Does anyone care to comment?

16a-1

ž 

7.

5

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion, your Honor, that we have another your dire of the juror after we come back.

I make a motion that we have a voir dire of the man.

THE COURT: Well, I have no objection to your thinking it over during the noon hour. In fact, I think that is probably the best idea.

Under the Code section, of course, a juror who requests to be excused may be excused and replaced with an alternate.

rerhaps Mr. Vitzelio should be quastioned again as to his present state of mind and physical complaints.

There have been some incidents at the hotel which have been related to me by the bailiff, and there is a question whether he is in a state of mind that any of you would want to have a juror in.

MR. FITZGERALD: As I indicated previously, I am willing to stipulate.

MR. HUGHES: I am willing to stipulate.

Could we have the bailiff relate those incidents?
THE COURT: Yes. Go shead, Mr. Murray.

THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, it was related to me by another deputy that one night he was up on the sixth floor balcony hollering down to the people on the lawn, "Shut up."

He couldn't go to sleep. That was sometime between 8:00 and 9:00 o'clock.

And every night now he wants me to examine him

medically. Last night I had to look at his gums. He had gum problems.

He has gallbladder problems; he hasn't gotten enough sun. He can't go swimming.

He wants five dollars! worth of apples.

MR. BUGLICET: Apples?

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

THE COURT: In lieu of dinner?

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

He insisted that I examine his gums with a flashlight.

MR. KAMAREK: To see if they are dilated?

MR. STOVITZ: I think that if all counsel are willing to stipulate, rather than embarrass the juror, the People will stipulate as well.

I know from thinking back to my Army experience 25 years ago, there were some people that just couldn't stand regimentation, and they would act a little eccentric.

MR. HUGHES: I think it goes further than that.

I think it illustrates what Hr. Kanarek brought up in his jury challenge. Here we have a very aged juror. Because of the \$5 limitation, you can only get old, retired people on a jury like this.

I think it illustrates the point that Mr. Ranarek was making on the jury panel challenge.

24 25

. 2

3.

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

26

i Å

ľ

3.

19.

MR. STOVITE: I think the record should also show that of the six alternates, one woman appears to be in her 60's or early 60's, and the other five appear to be quite young.

MR. HUGHES: Yes. Some of them appear to be young.

THE COURT: Let's take it up after the noon hour, and
I will have you come back in.

I think your clients should be present.

I think the defendants should be present and have knowledge that the Court is considering excusing one of the jurors and replacing him with an alternate. Then we can proceed from there.

I think, if it is done, Mr. Darrow, I suppose
we will have to give the alternate the regular juror's
oath if he replaces Mr. Vitzelio.

THE CLERK: They were given the same path as the regular jury. The alternates and regular jury's oath is the same.

Mr. Vitzelio's letter a part of the file.

THE CLERK: Yes, sir.

(Whereupon, at 12:07 p.m. the court was in recess.)