SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

VS.

Defendants.



No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Tuesday, August 11, 1970 P. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

AARON H. STOVITZ and VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For Linda Kasabian:

GARY FLEISCHMAN, Esq. RONALD L. GOLDMAN, Esq.

VOLUME 50

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 7002 to 7094

Official Reporters

25

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 11, 1970 2:05 P.M.

(The following proceedings occur in open court, all parties, counsel and jurors present:)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KAMAREK: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

LINDA KASABIAN.

the witness on the stand at the time of the recess, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. KAMAREK:

Q Mrs. Kasabian, during the time that you were at the Spahn Ranch, Mrs. Kasabian, did you think that Mr. Manson was Jesus Christ?

A Yes.

Q Pardon?

A Yes.

Q And you thought this from the time you entered the Spahn Ranch until the time you left to go to Taos, New Mexico; is that right?

A I stopped thinking it the day I left, yes.

1	Q The day you left you stopped? Pardon?
	A Well, no. Actually, I realized who he really
2	was at the Tate residence.
3	Q Pardon?
4	A I realized who he really is at the Tate residence
5	Q I see.
6	Now, did Mr. Manson ask you to go to the Tate
7	residence?
8	· A Excuse me?
9	Q Did Mr. Manson ask you to go to the Tate
10	residence?
11	A He told me to go with Tex.
12	Q Mrs. Kasabian, did Mr. Manson tell you to go to
13	the Tate residence?
14	A No.
15	Q Now, directing your attention to Mr. Manson,
16	Mrs. Kasabian, at the time you were on the Tate premises,
17	were you doing what Mr. Watson told you to do?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And Mrs. Kasabian, while you were on the Tate
20	residence, you tell us that at some point you felt that
21	these people were no longer piggies, they were humans?
	A Yes.
23	Q Is that right?
24	A Yes.
25	
26	

Q Now, after you felt that they were humans,
you continued to do what Tex Watson wanted you to do,
right?
A No, everything seemed to hit me at once.
Q What is that?
A Everything seemed to hit me at once so I
was not under Tex's influence. I realized they were just
people.
Q You were not under Tex's influence when you
realized they were just people?
A Yes.
Q But you were still a witch at that time, is
that right?
MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, that assumes a fact not
in evidence. She never said she was a witch.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. KANAREK:
Q Were you in your mind a witch at the time
after you realized that these people were human?
MR. BUGLIOSI: That is an ambiguous question, your
Honor, I object on that ground.
THE COURT: Do you understand the question, Mrs.
Kasabian?
THE WITNESS: I think so.
THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer it.
1

1	BY MR. KANAREK:
2	Q Would you speak up, Mrs. Kasabian? You are
3	getting your immunity now; would you please speak up?
4	MR. STOVITZ: I object to that statement. I ask the
5	jury to be admonished to disregard it.
6	THE COURT: You know that is improper, Mr. Kanarek.
7	You are not to repeat such comments.
8	The jury will be admonished to disregard it.
9	MR. KANAREK: May she be asked
10	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr. Kanarek, I have been
11	talking for sonlong my voice is failing me.
12	THE COURT: Wait for the question.
13	BY MR. KANAREK:
14	Q Have you been speaking so long that your mind
15	is failing you?
16	THE COURT: Ask your next question, Mr. Kanarek.
17	MR. KANAREK: May I have an answer to that question,
18	your Honor?
19	MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative.
20	THE COURT: Reframe your question.
21	BY MR. KANAREK:
22	Q Mrs. Kasabian, have you been speaking so long
23	that your mind is failing you?
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.
26	MR. KANAREK: Then may I have an answer to that

question. 1 THE COURT: Reframe it, Mr. Kanarek. 2 BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, at the time -- at the time when 4 all of a sudden you realized, when you say, that these 5 people were human, at that time, Mrs. Kasabian, were you a 6 witch? 7 I was never a witch. 8 You were never a witch? 0 A No. TO 0 I see. 11 So between the time -- during the time you were 12 on the Spahn Ranch and in these two days at the Tate resi-13 dence and the time when you went to Harold True's house, 14 on those days you were not a witch? 15 A witch -- my conception of what a real witch 16 is, no, I was never a witch. 17 You were never a witch? 18 A Right. 19 I see, not even on those two days? Q. 20 A Right. 21 Now, Mrs. Kesabian, directing your attention 22 to your thinking in August and July of 1969, did you 23 consider death to be a hallucination? 24 A No. 25 Pardon? Q 26 A No.

13a fls.

3-A-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

Q	Did you te	ll Mr.	Breckenridge	in August	of	169,
"Death is	just a" "	is just	a hallucina	tion"?		

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question. It calls for hearsay, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, this is not offered certainly for the truth of the fact asserted.

THE COURT: overruled.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall saying anything like that, no.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: You don't recall saying anything like that?

A No, I don't. I never even thought of death as a hallucination so I don't think that statement is true.

Q And did you tell that to the man in the desert, the tall man in the desert?

A No. sir, I don't believe I did.

MR. KANAREK: May I finish the question?
THE WITNESS: Excuse me.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you tell the tall man in the desert, the man that you now believe is Mr. Breckenridge, did you tell him that death is just a hallucination?

A No, I don't think I did.

Did you tell the man in the desert, Mr.

Breckenridge, it is just an illusion that your Mommy and

Daddy put into your head? Your mind, your brain, your ego

dies but your body, it can live forever if you are

_3a2		bea	utifu!	l an	id y	ou a	are a baby,			are	big and beaut			iful.	
	1				Did	you	say	words	to	that	effect	to	the	man	in
	2	the	dese	rt?											
14	3			•											
	4	:													
	5														
	6														
	7	•													
	8														
	9														٠
7.6	10														
	11							1							
	12	11													
	13														
	14 15													3	
	16														
lif.	17														
	18														
	19														
	20														
*	21														
	22				20										
28	23														
	24														
	25														
	26														

14-1

2

1

4

3

5

6

•

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question, your Honor.

It calls for hearsay, it is immaterial and irrelevant.

MR. KANAREK: It goes to this witness' state of mind as to death, your Honor. It goes exactly to the heart of what this witness --

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe I did say that.

MR. KAMAREK: Well, then, you did say that death was an hallucination?

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question, your Honor, as being asked and answered, if she did say it.

THE COURT: overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if I said death is an hallucination, but what you just read I know I said.

MR. KANAREK: I will read it completely so there is no question.

THE COURT: She said she said it. There is no need to read it again, Mr. Kanarek.

Let's go on.

MR. KANAREK: Q Well, Mrs. Kasabian, you have told us that when you have taken LSD you have seen death.

MR. STOVITZ: That is not what she has told us, Counsel, and I think the record speaks for itself as to what she has told us.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, at times when you have taken LSD, Mrs. Kasabian, has death been a part of the visual picture that was in your mind?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, at a time when you were in the desert with Mr. Breckenridge, at a time when you were hitchhiking, did you, after a pickup truck had passed up you and Mr. Breckenridge, did you run after the truck and say to Mr. Breckenridge, "You see, I just killed them. I can do that because I am a witch"?

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question, your Honor.

It assumes a fact not in evidence, being immaterial and irrelevant, and calling for hearsay.

THE COURT: Read the question.

(The question was read by the reporter.)

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, that is not true.

MR. KANAREK: Q Fardon?

A No, that is not true, I never said that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I make a request at this time that you advise the jury that Mr. Kanarek's questions arenot evidence, that they are not to be considered as evidence.

I know this is repetitious, but by the nature of his question he is implying they are.

26

23

24

I think the jury should be told that questions 1 are never evidence. 2 MR. KANAREK: That is not so. Just the opposite is 3 true. 4 THE COURT: I don't care for any colloquy. 5 Just proceed with your examination, Mr. Kanarek. 6 The jury will be fully instructed on the law at 7 the close of the trial. Did you, Mrs. Kasabian, after MR. KANAREK: Q saying, "I can do that because I am a witch," and after 10 Mr. Breckenridge said, "How?" did you say, "It is easy, you 11 just close your eyes and erase them, and when you open your 12 eyes, poof, they are dead"? 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: I object. That is not in evidence. 14 She just said shedid not say that. 15 MR. KANAREK: This is cross-examination. 16 MR. STOVITZ: It isn't an illusion. She didn't 17 say she did it, she said she didn't say it. 18 THE COURT: You understand the English language as well 19 as I, Mr. Stovitz. He is not referring to prior language. 20 Ha is putting a question to the witness. 91 MR. STOVITZ: I beg to differ with the Court. He is 22 assuming that she has answered the previous question in 23 the affirmative. 24 THE COURT: Read the question. 25 (The question was read by the reporter.) 26

14a-1 THE COURT: The question is objectionable. objection is sustained. BY MR. KANAREK: 3 Now. Mrs. Kasabian, subsequent -- after, Mrs. 4 Kasabian -- after first you saying, "I can do that because 5 I am a witch," after -- do you have those words in mind? 6 MR. BUGLIOSI: I object already to the form of the question, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. KANAREK: I am asking whether she has those words 10 in mind, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Ask your question, Mr. Kanarek. 13 BY MR. KANAREK: 14 Mrs. Kasabian, having these words in mind, 15 "I can" -- words that you uttered -- "I can do that because 16 I am a witch," after those words were uttered by you, and 17 Mr. Breckenridge uttered the word, "How", did you then say, "It is easy, you just close your eyes and erase them, and when you open your eyes, poof, they are dead"? 20 Same objection. MR. BUGLIOSI: 21 Did what I have just related MR. KANAREK: 22 occur? 23 MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection, your Honor. 24 The first five or six clauses of the question 25

assume facts not in evidence.

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I am incorporating it all
3	in one question.
4	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
5	BY MR. KANAREK:
6	Q Did you, Mrs. Kasabian, out there on the desert
7	with Mr. Breckenridge, utter the words, "It is easy,
8	you just close your eyes and erase them, and when you
9	open your eyes, poof, they are dead"? Did you utter those
10	words or the substance reflected by those words?
11	A No.
12	Q Out on the desert?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you state out on the desert, Mrs. Kasabian,
15	in Mr. Breckenridge's presence, "It is like, have you ever
16	died on acid or something"?
17	A No.
18	Q Pardon?
19	A No, I don't recall that.
20	Q You don't recall that?
21	A No.
22	Q You mean you might have said it?
23	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your
24	Honor.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.

1	BY MR. KANAREK:
2	Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, in your mind, Mrs. Kasabian
3	have you ever considered that you have died on acid?
4	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is an ambiguous question, your
5	Honor. Died on acid?
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. KANAREK:
8	Q Mrs. Kasabian, in your mind, have you ever
9	considered that you have died as a result of acid?
10	MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
13	THE COURT: No, it won't be necessary.
14	Let's proceed.
15	BY MR. KANAREK:
16	Q Mrs. Kasabian, in your mind, have you ever had
17	an illusion, an hallucination, while you were under the
18	influence of acid or LSD that you died?
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection.
20	THE COURT: You may answer it.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	BY MR. KANAREK:
23	Q Did you tell Mr. Breckenridge, Mrs. Kasabian,
24	and this is out in the desert in New Mexico after you
25	left the Spahn Ranch did you tell Mr. Beckenridge that
26	"It didn't matter if pigs were killed because they were

going through changes, they would be incarnated as beautiful people that much sooner"? Did you tell that to Mr. Breckenridge? i fls. A No.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

15-1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Now, Mrs. Masabian, in these various other communes that you have been in, have you discussed and philosophized about life and death?

I mean, I'm speaking of before you ever came to the Spahn Ranch.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor --THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: Now, as you testified there, Mrs. Kasabian, is your state of mind such that you recognize that you are under penalty of perjury?

> I don't understand. A

You don't understand what I mean?

A Uh-uh.

0 Has anyone explained to you since you have taken the witness stand about the penalty of perjury?

A I think my attorney did.

What did he tell you about it?

MR. FLEISCHMAN: Objection, your Honor --

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: What is your understanding of the penalty of perjury, Mrs. Kasabian?

I believe Mr. Stovitz told me one day that in a capital case if you tell a lie and they prove it, or whatever, well, it is a capital offense, and, I don't know, I guess you will be charged with everything.

What is that?

		7016
5-2	1	A You will be charged with the original things.
	2	Q You will be charged with the original things?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And this is what Mr. Stovitz told you about th
	′ 5	penalty of perjury?
	6	A I think so, yes.
	7	Q I see. So that as you sit there on the witnes
	8	stand you feel that you have this penalty of perjury, so
	9	therefore you must tell the truth?
	10	A I don't understand.
× 143	11	Q Well, are you telling the truth now because you
	12	are under this penalty of perjury?
	13	A No, I have been telling the truth all along.
	14	Q You mean even though you just started thinking
	15	about the penalty of perjury since you got your immunity?
	16	MR. BUGLIOSI: Assumes facts not in evidence.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	Q BY MR. KANAREK: When did you start thinking
	19	about the penalty of perjury, Mrs. Rasabian?
	20	MR. STOVITZ: That assumes facts not in evidence
	21	that she ever did.
	22	MR. KANAREK: I will subscribe to that.
	23	THE COURT: Sustained.
	24	MR. STOVITZ: May Mr. Kanarek's remarks he stovicks

and the jury admonished to disregard Mr. Kanarek's remarks?

THE COURT: They will be stricken. The jury is

25

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15.

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

admonished	to	disregard	them.
------------	----	-----------	-------

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, I will ask you do you know what perjury means, may I ask you, what do you consider perjury?

A To lie.

Q Pardon me?

A To lie.

Q Now, what do you consider to be the penalty of perjury in your mind?

A I mally don't know.

Q You don't know?

A In this case I imagine --

Q Not what you imagine, what you know.
Would you tell us what you know ---

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, that calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking what she knows.

MR. STOVITZ: She started to answer in her mind and she was cut off. I submit the witness should be allowed to answer the question.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you tell us, in your mind, Mrs. Kasabian, what do you consider to be the penalty of perjury?

A Well, I only know referring to this case here

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

26

now that I will be charged with the original charges.

Q And under what conditions will you be charged with the original charges?

A If I tell a lie.

Q And who is going to decide whether you are charged with the original charges?

A I don't know, I guess the Judge and the jury, I don't know.

Q Or Mr. Stovitz or Mr. Bugliosi, right?

A Yes.

Q These people who are granting you the immunity, they are the ones who are going to decide whether you are lying.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a misstatement, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking about her state of mind.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court grants immunity, your Honor, not the District Attorney.

We only ask the Court.

MR. KAMAREK: I am asking about her mind.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Go to another question.

O BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, is your state of mind such that if you don't tell the truth, that Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz will charge you with whatever, or with something?

A Yes, I think so.

XXXX 15a-1

1

2

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q And your state of mind is that Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz are the prosecutors and they are the ones to decide whether you will be prosecuted, right?

A Yes.

Q And so not only in connection with this case, but if you lie on the witness stand Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Bugliosi will be the ones that decide, correct?

A I guess so.

Q Now, you have told us, Mrs. Kasabian, that
Mr. Manson, directing your attention to the night you went
to the Tate residence, Mr. Manson told you to go nowhere,
is that correct?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a misstatement, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: I'm asking her, your Honor, this is cross-examination.

THE COURT: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Told me to go nowhere?

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Yes.

A No, he never said "Go nowhere."

Q Did Mr. Manson tell you to go to the Tate residence?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Did Mr. Manson tell you to drive down the hill?

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	A No.
2	Q Did Mr. Manson tell you to drive out on the
3	freeway?
4	A No.
5	Q When you were in that car riding with Mr.
6	Watson, you were riding in that car of your own free will,
7	is that correct, Mrs. Kasabian?
8	MR. BUGLIOSI: That has been gone into, your Honor.
9	I object
10	MR. KANAREK: No, it hasn't. I have not asked that.
11	The record will not reveal that I asked that.
12	THE COURT: You may answer.
13	THE WITNESS: I was there because Charlie told me to
14	go.
15	BY MR. KANAREK:
16	Q You were not there of your own free will then,
17	is that right?
18	A No, I guess not.
19	Q You were there against your will, is that
20	right, Mrs. Kasabian?
21	A No, I did not protest it.
22	Q Pardon?
23	A I did not protest going.
24	Q Well, when you were arrested, Mrs. Kasabian,
25	that was against your will, right?
26	You remember, back in New Hampshire when you
	were arrested?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

A Yes.

Q That was against your will. You would rather not have had it happen.

But the force was overwhelming and you had to resist -- you had no power to resist that force and so you are here today, right?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as asking for a legal conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mrs. Kasabian, at the time that you were on the freeway you were there on the freeway riding in that car because you wanted to be there at that point and time, right?

MR. STOVITZ: Object to the question as ambiguous.

There were four different occasions she was riding on the freeway, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Directing your attention, Mrs. Kasabian, on what you have yourself termed the first night after you left the Spahn Ranch, and you had not yet been to the Tate residence, as you were riding on the freeway you were riding in that automobile of your own free will, is that right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I object on the ground it

is ambiguous. "Free will" is a metaphysical term that no 1 one can agree on a definition for; certainly I don't think 2 this witness can. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. KANAREK: 5 At the time that you rode on the freeway, Mrs. 6 Kasabian, after having left the Spahn Ranch, before you got 7 to the Tate residence, you were in that automobile and riding 8 along because you wanted to be there, is that correct? While I was on the freeway? 10 Yes. Q 11 A Yes. 19 You wanted to be in that car at that time? 13 A Yes. 14 Nobody forced you to get into that car, did 15 they, Mrs. Kasabian? 16 Not with physical force, no. 17 Well, my question is, did anyone force you to 18 get in that car? 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: What type of force? It's ambiguous. 20 What type of force, mental, emotional, physical. 21 I object on that ground. 22 THE COURT: You may answer. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was forced mentally to get into 24 that car. 25

1	BY MR. KANAREK:
2	Q All right, now, would you tell us, Mrs. Kasa-
3	bian, how you were forced mentally to get into that car?
4	A Charlie told me to.
5	Q Charlie told you to?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I see, and is that the force?
8	A Yes.
9	Q That is the force, Charlie told you to?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Now, as you drove along in that automobile on
12	the freeway, having left the Spahn Ranch, Charlie's force
13	was holding you in the automobile, is that right?
14	MR. STOVITZ: Object to it as argumentative, your
15	Honor.
16	THE COURT: It is ambiguous. Sustained.
17	BY MR. KANAREK:
18	Q May I ask you, was Charlie's force holding you
19	in the automobile as you drove along on the freeway?
20	A I don't quite understand.
21	Q You don't understand that question?
22	A No.
23	Q After you left the Spahn Ranch, Mrs. Kasabian,
24	you were on the freeway?
25	A Yes.
26	Q Before you went there to the Tate residence was
20	A manage 4 miles and a miles a

1	Charlie's force holding you in that car?
2	THE COURT: It is ambiguous, sustained.
3	BY MR. KANAREK:
4	Q Mrs. Kasabian, as you were in the automobile
5	on your way to the Tate residence, having left the Spahn
6	Ranch, was any force holding you in the automobile?
7	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	BY MR. KANAREK:
10	Q What was the reason you stayed in the automo-
11	bile, Mrs. Kasabian, after the automobile left the Spahn
12	Ranch?
13	A I don't know. I don't know how to enswer your
14	question.
15	Q What do you mean you don't know how to answer?
16	You mean the words aren't clear to you?
17	A Why did I stay in the car? I wasn't about to
18	jump out of the car.
19	Q Well, my question is why did you stay in the
20	car?
21	A And I just told you I was not about to jump
22	out of the car. That is the only answer that comes to
23	my head.
24	Q And did you tell anybody you wanted to get out
25	of the car?
00	A NA

	*
1	Q Did you ask anybody to stop by the road, by
2	the side of the road so you could get out?
3	A No.
4	Q So you were in the car of your own free will?
5	MR.BUGLIOSI: I object, again "free will".
6	MR. KANAREK: I subscribe that free will in law,
7	your Honor, is certainly an accepted couple of words.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	BY MR. KANAREK:
10	Q Mrs. Kasabian, at that time when you were in
11	the car after it had left the Spahn Ranch were you in the
12	car driving along the freeway because you wanted to be
13	there?
14	MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.
15	THE COURT: You may answer.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. KANAREK:
18	Q And during the events, all of the events that
19	occurred after you got to the Tate residence, all of those
20	events you participated in then because you wanted to
21	participate in them, is that correct?
22	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as having been asked and
23	answered on three occasions.
24	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
25	THE WITNESS: I don't understand, what events?
26	I don't understand your question.

16 fls.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Directing your attention, Mrs. Kasabian, from the time you were on the freeway, having left the Spahn Ranch until the time, Mrs. Kasabian, when you, let us say, entered the freeway again coming back to the Spahn Ranch on the night that you went to the Tate residence, did you participate in all of those events because you wanted to participate?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, the word "participate" is ambiguous and compound.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Were you there doing the things, Mrs. Kasabian, 8-1 1 that you did because you wanted to do them? 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, this has been gone into 3 several times. 4 MR. KANAREK: It has not. 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: Several times before, this whole area, 6 and I object on that ground. It is repetitious. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 You may answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I guess so. 10 Because you wanted to do them; Q MR. KANAREK: 11 right? 12 A Yes. 13 And Mr. Manson had nothing to do with any 14 kind of a religious force over you at that time, did he, 15 Mrs. Kasabian? 16 MR. STOVITZ: Objected to, your Honor. This calls 17 for a conclusion of this witness. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 Well, Mrs. Kasabian, you tell MR. KANAREK: Q 20 us that, at this time, when you were living at the Spahn 21 Ranch, at that time, you had seen God through LSD. 22 Was LSD the God, or the God that you had seen 23 through LSD, your God on the night that you came to the 24 Tate residence? 25

MR. STOVITZ: That is unintelligible.

```
7-2
                   MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous.
           1
           2
                    THE COURT: Sustained.
                   MR. KANAREK: Q What was your God on the night
           3
             that you came to the Tate residence?
           4
                   MR. BUGLIOSI: Assumes a fact not in evidence, your
           5
             Honor.
           6
           7
                    THE COURT: Sustained.
                   MR. KANAREK: Q
                                        Now, Mrs. Kasabian, you are now
           8
             leaving -- you contemplate -- your state of mind is that
             you are going to leave custody very shortly; is that
          10
             correct?
          11
                   A
          12
                         I quess so.
                         Now, have you made any plans to get psychiatric
          13
             help, Mrs. Kasabian, after leaving custody?
                   MR. STOVITZ: That assumes a fact not in evidence,
          15
             that she needs psychiatric care, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
          17
                   MR. KANAREK: I am just asking. This goes to her
          18
             state of mind.
          19
                   MR. STOVITZ:
                                 No. He asked her whether she is going
          20
          21
             to see a psychiatrist.
          22
                   THE COURT: Sustained.
           23
                   MR. KANAREK: Q
                                        Mrs. Kasabian, have you
             discussed with Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz, have you
             discussed your getting any psychiatric help after you
           26 leave custody?
```

16-3		MR. STOVITZ: Same objection, your Honor.	
	1		
	2	MR. KANAREK: I think it is most material,	your
	3	Honor.	
	4	THE COURT: Sustained.	
	5	MR. KANAREK: On the ground that it is not	material,
	6	your Honor?	
	7	THE COURT: Objection sustained.	
	8	Proceed.	
16A	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		1
	15		
	16	*	
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20	~ * _*	
	21		
	22	*	12
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		

16-a1

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, directing your attention to your own state of mind. Do you feel, Mrs. Kasabian, that you are mentally sick?

MR. STOVITZ: This was covered yesterday, your Honor, and the witness answered that she didn't feel that she was.

That was the exact question asked yesterday, your Honor, and the answer was no. I object to it as being repetitious.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench on this matter?

THE COURT: No, sir.

Proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, on how many occasions, Mrs. Kasabian, have you been in Mr. Manson's presence alone, just you and Mr. Manson?

A I don't know how many times.

Q Well, would you give us an estimate, please, of how many times?

A I don't know how many times.

Q Well, you tell us that Mr. Manson had this great hold over you, Mrs. Kasabian. Would you tell us how many times -- I mean, did it occur with just one glance, or did it occur over some period of time like 30 or 40 conferences or seances?

16a2

2

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

A No.

Q Well, tell us how many times you met Mr. Manson during the time that you were at the Spahn Ranch, how many times you met with him alone, just you and he?

A I don't have a number for you. I don't know how many times.

Q Well, was it more than 117?

A I don't know. I never counted those times.

Q I see.

Was it less than 117?

A I don't know.

Q You don't know?

A No.

You have no idea of how many times it was?

A Uh-huh, yes.

Now, as a matter of fact, Mrs. Kasabian, the numbers of times that you were alone with Mr. Manson during this period when you were at the Spahn Ranch was no more than, say, two or three alone; correct?

A Maybe. I am not sure.

MR. KANAREK: I didn't watch my watch completely, your Honor, but I think the witness -- I think it is a fair statement that the witness must have hesitated some 10 or 15 seconds.

THE COURT: Your comment is unnecessary and uncalled for.

MR. KANAREK: I just want the record to reflect it, 16a3 1 2 your Honor. THE COURT: Proceed. The jury is admonished to disregard the 5 statement. MR. KANAREK: Q 6 During the time --7 THE COURT: Just a moment. 8 The jury is admonished to disregard Mr. Kanarek's 9 comments. 10 MR. KANAREK: I was just trying to make the record, 11 your Honor, accurate. 12 THE COURT: You are making a record all right, Mr. Wo 13 Kanarek. 14 Let's proceed. 15 MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, during these two 16 or three times that you were alone with Mr. Manson, you 17 spent all of your time making love, having intercourse, 18 having different sexual acts between yourself and Mr. Manson; 19 is that correct? 20 Yes. 21 And so, this big power that Mr. Manson had over you, these two or three times, this all-enveloping 22 23 power that you are telling us about, occurred during some 24 two or three times of love play; is that correct? 25 MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question as argumentative

26

in form, your Honor.

. a4

B

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KAMAREK: Q The only time -- pardon me.

I will withdraw that.

The only times, Mrs. Kasabian, that you were ever with Mr. Manson alone is at times when you were having intercourse and other sexual activity with Mr. Manson; is that correct?

- A The only time I was alone with him?
- Q With Mr. Manson.
- A Was when we were making love?
- Q Yes.
- A No.

Cielo Drive.com ARCHIVES

	r	7034
16B-1	1	Q Pardon?
	2	A No.
	3	Q In the two or three times you were with him,
	4	you were just making love?
	5	A No.
	6	Q All right. Would you tell us the total number
	7	of minutes, would you estimate for us the total number of
	8	minutes that you were in the presence of Mr. Manson alone,
	9	just you and Mr. Manson?
	10	A I don't know. I never kept track of time.
	11	Q Time doesn't mean anything to you?
	12	A Not
*0	13	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your
	14	Honor.
	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
	16	MR. KANAREK: Q During these two or three
	17	times that you were with him alone, Mrs. Kasabian, part of
	18	the time you were not making love; is that correct?
	19	MR. BUGLIOSI: I object to the question. He is
	20	stating that they were together alone two or three times.
	21	She never testified to that. She says she doesn't know.
	22	It could have been two or three times. He is stating it as
	23	a fact.

THE COURT: Sustained.

24

25

26

Ask your next question.

MR. KANAREK: During the times, Mrs. Kaskabian, that

you were alone with Mr. Manson, during those times, having those times in mind and having the times in mind when you were not — or having the portions of those times in mind when you were not engaged in love play, sexual activity, would you tell us what you and Mr. Manson were doing?

A Would you repeat that? I was thinking of something else.

- Q What were you thinking of?
- A I was thinking of when I was alone.
- Q Pardon?

A I was thinking of when I was alone with him, the time, and I wasn't listening to your question.

MR. KANAREK: May the question be read, your Honor? THE COURT: Reframe the question.

MR. KANAREK: Q Having in mind the times,
Mrs. Kasabian, when you were alone with Mr. Manson, and
having in mind what you and Mr. Manson were doing at times
when you were not making love, would you tell us what you
and Mr. Manson were doing?

A Talking.

And the times when you were talking were portions of larger times when you were engaged in love making, and due to the fact that you were physically incapable of making love any more, you engaged in small talk with Mr. Manson?

MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 MR. KANAREK: You spoke with Mr. Manson at not 3 times when you were actually having sexual intercourse 4 with him; is that correct? 5 Yes, and during. 6 Q. And while you were having sexual intercourse 7 with him, you also spoke with him? 8 A Yes. 9 Is that correct? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And directing your attention to the time when 12 you were speaking with him and not engaging in sexual 13 intercourse, at a time when you were alone with him, these 14 were portions of times when you did, in fact, engage in 15 sexual intercourse; is that correct? 16 MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question as unintelligi-17 ble. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. KANAREK: Q Every time you were alone with 20 Mr. Manson, you had sexual relations with him; is that 21 correct? 22 A I think so, yes. 23 Q All right. 24 And so, the only time you talked to Mr. Manson, 25 the only time that Mr. Manson spoke with you, at a time 26 when you and he were alone, was during periods of time when

1	:
2	
3	,
4	
5	
6	
7	=
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	1
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

you had engaged in lovemaking, and so you were not physically together sexually but you were just passing the time for the next bit of intercourse or love play?

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: May I inquire, your Honor, as to what the grounds were?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous.

THE COURT: Ambiguity, among other things.

16C

est	-		48
- 1	he	-	4.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, when you and Mr. Manson were speaking, at times when you were having intercourse, would you tell us what you said and what did Mr. Manson say, while you were actually engaged in sexual activity.

- A During the act itself?
- Q During the act itself.
- A At the moment I don't recall what was said.
- Q You don't recall anything that was said by you or Mr. Manson?
 - A Not during the act, no.
 - Q I see.

When, in your view, is the act over?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Oh, your Honor, this is ridiculous.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi brought this entire subject to this courtroom.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Ask your next question.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mrs. Kasabian, directing your attention to times, to these times you have spoken of when you were not actually in physical sexual contact of some type, would you tell us what you said and what Mr. Manson said?

A Yes.

The first time we made love in the cave we

22 23 24

26

16c-2were in the presence of other people. My question, Mrs. Kasabian --Q 2 A I am just telling you. Excuse me. 3 0 My question is when you and Mr. Manson were 4 alone. 5 Oh, alone? 0 Yes. 7 A I can't really think of anything. Q. You can't think of anything that was said between you and Mr. Manson when you and he were alone; 10 right? 11 Your Honor, the word "alone" is MR. BUGLIOSI: rather ambiguous. 13 MR. KANAREK: It might be to Mr. Bugliosi, but I 14 don't think it is to anyone else in this courtroom, your 15 Honor. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Within earshot? 17 Overruled. THE COURT: 18 THE WITNESS: I can't think of anything. 19 MR. KANAREK: I see. 90 Not one word? Q. 91 A No. 22 Now, Mrs. Kasabian, you have -- may I put Q. 23 it this way -- before you ever came to the Spahn Ranch, 24 it was your practice to engage in sexual activity in view 25 of other people; is that correct? 26

16c-3

3

4.

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is objected to, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi opened this subject up.

THE COURT: Sustained, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. I want to talk to you.

(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, in view of the time, could we have a recess?

THE COURT: Just a moment.

I am going to warn each of you that I don't want any more comments on the record.

That goes for you, Mr. Kanarek, and that goes for you, Mr. Stovitz, and each of the other counsel.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have had enough. When I rule on the objection or the motion, that is the end.

MR. KANAREK: All right. I shall certainly do everything I can to obey the Court's orders.

Mr. Bugliosi opened this subject of sexual activity up.

But, your Honor, if I can make this point:

You see, this sexual -- you see, your Honor,

It is personally distasteful to me, very

distasteful, to have to go into this in the courtroom. Mr. Bugliosi, over our violent objection, opened it up. 16d fls. THE COURT: You seem to be relishing it.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

L6D-1

A

MR. STOVITZ: We only talked about one incident at the Spahn Ranch on direct examination. Mr. Fitzgerald did go into other things on his cross-examination.

Mr. Kanarek's cross-examination is not only irrelevant, it is actually degrading.

THE COURT: It isn't the degrading part that I am sustaining the objection to. It is the irrelevancy.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the subject was opened up by Mr. Bugliosi.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, I am not going to spend any time arguing with you about a ruling that is already made.

If I made a mistake in ruling, I have made a mistake.

MR. KANAREK: I may say this: I don't want -- if I may put it this way -- the fact that Mr. Bugliosi only goes into one, let us say, sex orgy --

THE COURT: I don't want to go into this. We have covered it. I have ruled.

Is there anything else while we are up here?

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

I wonder if your Honor could clarify to the jury that it was not only Mr. Kanarek that you wanted to see at the bench but also the prosecutors and the other attorneys, because you did address your remarks, once we asked to come to the bench, I believe, to Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Kanarek.

THE COURT: I don't think any clarification is

necessary.

MR. HUGHES: I was thinking, your Honor --

THE COURT: He asked to approach the bench, and I said, "Yes, I want to talk to you."

MR. STOVITZ: May we have a recess? It is five minutes to 3:00. It is very warm in this courtroom. Probably some of the colloquy has been brought on by the heat.

THE COURT: I don't think the heat has anything to do with it, gentlemen. That is the reason that I mentioned it.

I think some of you are beginning to get a little bit lax, and I just want to again remind you that in a trial involving multiple parties and multiple counsel, you simply cannot have an orderly procedure if counsel interrupt each other or the witness, or if there is colloquy between counsel on the record or gratuitous comments are made, and I simply don't intend to stand for it.

I said it before, and I say it again. You have had fair warning.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to just take respectful exception to the Court's commant that I am relishing this examination because I am not.

THE COURT: That was a gratuitous comment on my part,
Mr. Kanarek, but when you indicate, and I think with tongue

in cheek, that it is very distasteful to you to conduct this line of examination, it appears to me perfectly obvious that it is otherwise.

17-1

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor --

2

THE COURT: You have a right to make an examination --

3

MR. KANAREK: We fought all of these issues being

4

part of this trial, as the original days of this trial will show.

5

THE COURT: We are going to take a recess now.

7

MR. KANAREK: -- about the sexual aspects of this

8

trial, your Honor, it was fought by us, we objected to

9

any injection of sexual activity into this trial.

10

(The following proceedings were had in open

11

court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

12

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone, or form or express any opinion regarding the

13 14

case until it is finally submitted to you.

15

The court will recess for 15 minutes.

(Recess.)

17a fls.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

	Г	
17a-1	1	THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are
	2	present.
	3	You may proceed, Mr. Kanarek.
	4	MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.
	5	Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, directing your attention
	6	to this so-called hold that Manson had over you, Mrs.
	7	Kasabian.
	8	This hold came about without any words being
	9	uttered by Mr. Manson.
8	10	A No.
	11	Q Well, would you tell us what words Mr. Manson
	12	uttered that so swayed you that you went out and partici-
	13	pated in seven murders?
	14	MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, that
	15	she knew she was going to go out and participate in seven
	16	murders, your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. KANAREK:
	19	Q Would you tell us, Mrs. Kasabian, would you
q	20	tell us what words Mr. Manson uttered that affected you
	21	so that you were under his spell?
	22	MR. BUGLIOSI: Object on the ground that "spell" is
	23	ambiguous, your Honor.
	24	THE COURT: Sustained.
	25	BY MR. KANAREK:

Do you know what it is, Mrs. Kasabian, to be

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	under the spell of someone?
2	MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. KANAREK:
5	Q May I ask, what does the word spell mean to
6	you, Mrs. Kasabian?
7	A A hypnotic trance.
8	Q All right, now, directing your attention to
9	your state of mind, were you in a hypnotic trance, where
10	the one that is doing the trancing, and all of the hypnotism
11	is Mr. Manson?
12	A No, I was not in a hypnotic trance.
13	Q Then what kind of a trance was it, then, that
14	you were in?
15	MR. BUGLIOSI: Assuming a fact not in evidence.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	BY MR. KANAREK:
18	Q Were you in a trance, Mrs. Kasabian?
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MR. KANAREK:
22	Q What does a trance mean to you, Mrs. Kasabian?
23	A To be under the influence.
24	Q To be under the influence?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And so were you in a trance as far as Mr. Manson

1	was concerned?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All right, then, would you tell us what words
4	Mr. Manson uttered to put you in this trance.
5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Assumes a fact not in evidence, that
6	it was his words.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. KANAREK:
9	Q It was not his words, Mrs. Kasabian, that
10	put you in a trance?
11	A It was everything about him.
12	Q Pardon?
13	A Everything about him.
14	Q Everything about him?
15	Well, you have told us that you cannot remember
16	any of the words that he spoke.
17	So now we have gone from the vocal, we are
18	now departing from words.
19	Would you tell me what was it that about
20	him that put you in this trance?
21	A Everything, the way he spoke, the way he
22	walked, he danced, the way he sang, the way he made love,
23	just everything about him.
24	Q All right, now, Tex made love, didn't he?
25	A Yes.
26	Q And did Tex dance?

	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And did Tex sing?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And you made love to Tex before you ever saw
	5	Charlie Manson.
20.00	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Right?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And so without Mr. Manson uttering any words
	10	at all you entered this trance, is that correct?
**	11	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a misstatement of her testimony.
	12	She said it was a combination of everything.
Ε.	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
	14	BY MR. KANAREK:
	15	Q Mrs. Kasabian, directing your attention to your
	16	state of mind, was your state of mind such that, let us say,
	17	because as far as you were concerned, because of the drugs
	18	you had consumed, because of the LSD you had consumed,
	19	because of the peyote you had consumed, because of the hash,
	20	because of the marijuana that you smoke, that you could be
	21	put into a trance by a tree by the side of the road.
17b	fls 22	A No.
	23	
	24	

	- 1	
∟7B-1	1	Q As a matter of fact, Mrs. Kasabian, your trance
=	2	was self-induced, and it only depended upon what you
	3	happened to focus your particular eyes upon at any
	4	particular time.
	5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Compound question.
	6	THE COURT: Sustained.
	7	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Mrs. Kasabian, directing
	8	your attention to these other communes that you have been
	9	in, have you considered that you were put into a trance
		by other men and other women before you ever met Charlie
200	10	Manson?
	11	MR. STOVITZ: Unintelligible, your Honor.
1	12	
	13	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
E	14	THE WITNESS: Nothing that I could recall at the
	15	moment.
	16	Q BY MR. KANAREK: All right.
	17	A I cannot recall anything at the moment.
	18	Q You cannot recall anything at the moment?
	19	A Yes.
2	20	Q But you think maybe something like this did
	21	happen before?
	22	A I may have been swayed by somebody's influence,
	23	yes. Q Pardon?
	24	
	25	A I may have been swayed by somebody's influence.
	26	Q And have you ever been swayed, Mrs. Kasabian,

б

by	focu	sing	Ϋ́	our a	ati	ani	:10	on upon	an	object	that	had	no	life
in	it,	like	a	cha:	ir	or	a	table?						

Have you ever at a time when you were taking drugs been swayed by such an object?

A No.

Q It is always a live object, is that right?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to. It has never been always a live object. It is a characterization of her testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Deen -- have you ever been swayed by an animal, a dog or a cat orsome animal that you have considered to have some kind of power over you?

MR. STOVITZ: Irralevant and immaterial, your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: Now, have you discussed,
Mrs. Kasabian, have you discussed with Mr. Stovitz and
Mr. Bugliosi before you ever came to this courtroom this
trance that you have spoken of in this courtroom?

A Yes.

Q You have discussed it with Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz?

- A Yes, I never called it a trance, though.
- Q What did you call it, Mrs. Kasabian?
- A Just the influence he had over me.

1

3

4.

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

2021

22

23

24 25

26

Q The influence that he had over you?

A Yes.

Q I see. Are you sure it wasn't an influence that you had over yourself?

MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: overruled.

THE WITNESS: I don't quite understand that.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Mrs. Kasabian, Mr. Manson was in this area, the Spahn Ranch area, with about 25 or 26 girls, right?

A Yes.

Q And Mr. Manson hardly knew that you lived,
Mrs. Kasabian --

MR. STOVITZ: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, Mr. Manson had the attention of these other girls, is that correct?

MR. STOVITZ: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is ambiguous, sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mrs. Kasabian, when you came to the Spahn Ranch you came to hide, right?

MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, that has been asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: It is merely preliminary to this, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, did you, after you came to the Spahn Ranch and engaged in this — in this hiding from your husband, after you came there, you had very little contact with Mr. Manson, is that correct?

A No.

Q Well, you had no words with him, he did not speak any words. You cannot remember any words that he said to you?

MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. STOVITZ: And a characterization of the evidence.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mrs. Kasabian, is it a fair statement that you fell in and out of love quite frequently?

MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I am not quite sure what you mean.

18-1	1	Q You don't know what I mean by falling in and
	2	out of love?
	3	A Yes, I know what that means.
	4	Q In the year 1969, did you fall in and out of
	5	love quite frequently?
	6	A Yes, I guess I did.
	7	Q And in the year 1969, while you were falling
	8	in and out of love, you were also using various types of
8	9	drugs; right?
4	10	A Yes.
	11	Q So, directing your attention to your state of
	12	mind as far as Mr. Manson was concerned, your state of
	13	mind was self was induced by yourself, by what you did;
	14	is that correct, Mrs. Kasabian?
	15	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is an ambiguous question.
	16	THE COURT: Sustained.
	17	MR. KANAREK: Q You say you fell in love
	18	with Mr. Manson; right?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q You fell in love with Tex a few hours before
	21	you saw Mr. Manson; right?
*	22	A Yes.
	23	Q You fell in love with Bobby Beausoleil within a
	24	few hours after that; right?
	25	A I don't know if it was a few hours.
	26	Q A few days?

1	A Maybe, yes.	
2	Q And then you fell in love	with clam?
3	A Yes	and the second of the second o
4	Q And you fell in love with	Bruca?
5	A Yes	
6	Q And you fell in love with	maybe a dozen other
7	paople?	
8	A Ves. T fall in less with an	erybody.
9	Q Pardon?	
10	A I was in love with everyboo	ly.
11	Q You were in love with every	/body?
12	A Yes.	
13	Q So really, you were what	ever feeling you had
14	as far as Mr. Manson came about because	of your personality,
15	because of what you had done in your li	fe by taking drugs,
16	by going from commune to commune, going	from man to man,
17		
18	27.15	mentative, your
19	E	
20	TO AREA OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
21	The state of the s	n, rather than
22		fluencing yourself,
23	1	1
24		
25	dm 61 mana 42	ou let yourself be
26	data data adt	

1	A I didn't usually reject what was said to me
2	or done to me.
3	Q Pardon?
4	A I usually didn't reject what was said or done
5	to me.
6	Q And Mr. Manson was one of the many men that you
7	made love to in the year 19 let's say in July and August
8	of 1969?
9	MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	MR. KANAREK: Q Now, directing your attention,
12	Mrs. Kasabian, to your state of mind. You have had many
13	conversations with your lawyers, you have had many
14	conversations with Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz; right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Is that correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, have Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz discussed
19	with you the word "accomplice"?
20	A No.
21	Q Has the word "accomplice" ever been uttered
22	between yourself and Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Bugliosi?
23	A Not that I can recall.
24	Q You mean but it might have?
25	A Well, we had many conversations.
26	I don't remember the word "accomplice."

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

18a-1	1	Q Now, when you spoke with Mr. Bugliosi on many
	2	occasions, did Mr. Bugliosi take notes?
		A Yes.
	3	Q Now, did you tell Mr. Bugliosi that you knew
	4	that the people in the house next door to Mr. True, that
	. 5	those people had died?
	6	
	7	Did you tell him that you knew that? Did you
	8	tell that to Mr. Bugliosi?
	9	A Yes, I guess I did.
	10	Q Pardon?
290	11	A I guess I did, yes.
	12	Q What do you mean you guess you did? Don't
	13	know whether you did or not?
	14	A I am sure I did, yes.
	15	Q Will you tell us when you told that to Mr.
	16	Bugliosi?
	17	A I don't know.
	18	Q On how many occasions did you tell that to Mr.
	4	Bugliosi?
	19	A I don't know.
	20	Q Did your attorneys instruct you not to say
	21	
	22	anything to Mr. Bugliosi?
	23	MR. STOVITZ: Objection
	24	MR. GOLDMAN: Objection, your Honor, privileged.
	25	THE COURT: Sustained.

18a-2	1	BY MR. KANAREK:
	2	Q You have had many words with Mr. Bugliosi and
	3	Mr. Stovitz, have you not?
	4	A Many with Mr. Bugliosi, a few with Mr. Stovitz.
	5	Q All right.
	6	Now, when Mr. Bugliosi was speaking with you
	7	on many occasions, would you say this was over 15 or 20
	8	times that you spoke with him?
	9	A I don't know. I never counted them.
	10	Q After this morning's session, Mrs. Kasabian,
**	11	did you speak with Mr. Bugliosi?
	12	A Yes, I believe I did.
	13	Q Do you, Mrs. Kasabian, as a result of your
	14	conversation with Mr. Bugliosi and your getting to know
	15	him, would you say that you are friendly, that you like
	16	Mr. Bugliosi?
	17	A Yes, I think he is good people.
	18	Q You don't think he is dishonest, do you?
	19	A No, not that I can see.
	20	Q But you think I am dishonest; right?
	21	A Well, from what I have been told, yes.
	22	MR. KANAREK: I see.
	23	Q Has Mr. Bugliosi told you that I was dishonest?
	24	A No, he never did.
	25	Q Has Mr. Goldman told you that I was dishonest?
	26	MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as immaterial and

18a - 3irrelevant. THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. KANAREK: Anyway, no matter what the source may be, as you sit there in the witness stand, you feel that I am dishonest? MR. STOVITZ: Immaterial and irrelevant. It goes to her state of mind. MR. KANAREK: THE COURT: Also asked and answered. Sustained. 10 BY MR. KANAREK: 11 Has it changed? May I ask you that, Mrs. Kasabian? 13 No, it hasn't. A 14 It hasn't? 15 Q. 16 No. I see. Q It hasn't changed since you got immunity? 18 It has never changed. 19 A It has been that way consistently throughout; 20 that is one thing for sure; right? 21 22 Yes. Now, as you look at yourself, Mrs. Kasabian, 23 is it a fair statement that you think a lot about your 24 thoughts, that you sit and think a lot, you sit and 26 meditate?

	1	A Yes, I do.
	2	Q Quite a bit about your thoughts; right?
	3	A About my thoughts?
	4	Q Yes. You think about these visual happenings
	5	that you have seen in the past as a result of your LSD
	6	experience and your peyote experience and your marijuana
	7	relaxing; you sit and dwell quite a bit upon yourself;
	8	right?
	9	MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative, and unintelligible,
	10	your Honor.
18b fls.		THE COURT: Sustained.
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	g gr
	17	
	18	
e z	19	
	20	
**	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
^	25	

18B-1 MR. KANAREK: Q During these past months, 1 Mrs. Kasabian, you have thought quite a bit about your-2 self; is that a fair statement? A Yes, I have. In fact, you have thought about yourself to the 5 exclusion of anyone else, from a practical standpoint; is 6 that correct? 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: It is ambiguous, too. 9 Sustained. 10 MR. KANAREK: Q These last months, since you 11 have been taken into custody, Mrs. Kasabian, have dealt with 12 plans on how to save Linda Kasabian from prison and the gas 13 chamber, so far as you are concerned; right? 14 A No. 15 Q. That is not so? A No. 17 Q I see. 18 In what way is that not so? 19 MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, as 20 unintelligible. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 MR. KANAREK: Q Since you have gone into custody, 23 sometime in December, 1969, until this very minute, is it 24 a fair statement that your every waking moment has been

concerned with Linda Kasabian?

1	A No.
2	Q It hasn't?
3	A No.
4	Q I see.
5	Is it a fair statement that a good percentage
6	of your waking moments have been concerned with Linda
7	Kasabian?
8	MR. STOVITZ: Ambiguous, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	MR. KANAREK: I see.
12	Q Now, when you have spoken with your attorneys
13	and you have spoken with Mr. Bugliosi and you have spoken
14	with Mr. Stovitz, all of that had to do with planning for
15	this trial?
16	MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to, your Honor, as
17	compound, ambiguous, and may also be privileged.
18	MR. KANAREK: I don't know how it can be privileged
19	with Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Stovitz.
20	MR. STOVITZ: That's right, but then it is ambiguous
21	and compound.
22	THE COURT: Read the question.
23	(The question was read by the reporter.)
24	THE COURT: Overruled.
25	You may answer.
26	THE WITNESS: No.

MR. KANAREK: Q Well, will you tell me how, 1 speaking with Mr. Stovitz and speaking with Mr. Bugliosi. 2 it had to do with anything other than this trial? 3 I mostly spoke with them for the trial, yes. 4 Then just a moment ago when you said that it 5 didn't have anything to do with this trial, that wasn't 6 true? MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a misstatement, your Honor. 8 The question asked about planning for the trial. THE COURT: Sustained. 10 THE WITNESS: I thought in your question that you 11 asked me if I spoke to my attorneys about the trial only. 12 MR. KANAREK: Q Mrs. Kasabian, everything that 13 you have done with Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Bugliosi has been 14 in contemplation, planning, or whichever way you want to 15 call it, it had to do with this trial that we are in right 16 now? 17 A Yes. 18 And that is what you were concerned with when you 19 spoks to Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Bugliosi; right? 20 A Yes. And when you spoke with them, you were myself Mr. It 21 22 concerned with saving your skin; right? 23 No, not necessarily. A 24 Q Not necessarily, but that is a part of it? 25

Yes, I guess you could say this.

A

1	Q It is a good part of it; right?
2	MR. STOVITZ: That is objected to as argumentative,
3	Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: overruled.
5	You may answer.
6	THE WITNESS: No. I think the most important thing
7	is that I tell the truth.
8	MR. KANAREK: Q That is more important than
9	anything else, that you tell the truth?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Right?
12	A yes.
13	Q so, when you were thinking, or when you were
14	speaking with these two gentlemen, you were thinking of
15	just telling the truth?
16	Say that again? You war a thinking of just telling the cruth?
17	A Yes.
18	7 7
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	1

19-1	1	Q Now, then, may I ask you, when you look at
	2	your state of mind, Mrs. Kasabian, when you came into
	3	contact with Mr. Manson your state of mind was trying to
	4	figure out how to protect Linda Kasabian from her husband
	, 5	I see you smiling about that.
	6	That wasn't your state of mind the first time
	7	you saw Mr. Manson?
	8	You were trying to influence Mr. Manson to
	9	hide you from your husband, is that correct?
	10	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to, asked and answered, your
	11	Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
	13	THE WITNESS: I was trying to influence him to hide
	14	me?
	15	BY MR. KANAREK:
	16	Q From your husband.
	17	A From my husband? No, I don't believe so.
	18	Q That is why you went up into the woods; that
	19	is why you went to the cave with Brenda, Gypsy
	20	A Yes, that is why I went up there.
	21	Q That's right, and that was an area that Mr.
	22	Manson knew, right?
	23	A Right.
	24	Q And you wanted Mr. Manson to hide you from
	25	your husband, right?
	26	A I don't know.

19-2 Q You don't know? 1 I don't think so. 2 Well, then -- would you please tell me what 3 was the purpose. It was --5 THE COURT: Just a moment. What purpose? BY MR. KANAREK: What was the purpose of going to the cave, 8 Mrs. Kasabian? MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, this was asked and answer-10 ed at great length yesterday. 11 Objected to on the grounds of repetition. THE COURT: Sustained. 13 BY MR. KANAREK: 14 Mrs. Kasabian, you were influencing Mr. Manson. 15 Mr. Manson wasn't influencing you at the first meeting you 16 had with Mr. Manson, you wanted something from him for 17 No. 1, Linda Kasabian, right? 18 MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative and ambiguous, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: It is ambiguous and compound, Mr. 20 Kanarek. Reframe the question. 21 BY MR. KANAREK: The first time in your life that you ever saw 23 Charles Manson you wanted to use him, Mrs. Kasabian, 24 didn't you? 25 A No.

19-3	1	Q You did not want to use him?
	2	A No, I wanted to help him.
	3	Q You wanted to help him?
	4	Now, how did you want to help Charles Manson,
	5	would you tell us, please.
	6	I believe it concerned something that the
	7	Judge ordered us not to talk about.
	8	Q You believe it concerned something the Judge
	9	ordered us not to talk about?
	10	A Yes.
Se.	11	THE COURT: Answer the question.
	12	BY MR. KANAREK:
	13	Q Answer the question.
	14	A Would you ask me another question? I just
	15	lost my trend.
	16	MR. KANAREK: May that last be read, your Honor?
	17	THE COURT: Read the question.
	18	MR. BUGLIOSI: May we approach the bench?
	19	MR. STOVITZ: May one of us approach the witness and
	20	find out what the purported answer will be?
	21	MR. KANAREK: I say let the chips drop where they
	22	may and let the witness answer. That is what I ask.
	23	MR. STOVITZ: I submit that is not the proper legal
	24	procedure. We don't want the chips to fall where they may
	25	MR. KANAREK: May we have an answer to the question,
	26	your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, just wait a moment while I take care of another matter. 19a fls.3

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

19A-1

__

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

May the record reflect that I do object --

MR. STOVITZ: May Mr. Kanarek approach the bench with Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. KANAREK: I object to Mr. Bugliosi talking to the witness at this time. I object on the grounds it is a denial of fundamental due process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and it is state action as its worst for the prosecutor to talk to the witness at this stage of the proceedings.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Come up and be our guest.

THE COURT: It is perfectly all right. The objection is overruled.

MR. KANAREK: Then may I approach?

THE COURT: You have already been so advised, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

May the reporter take this down outside the presence of the jury, then, your Honor, whatever is going to be uttered at this time, I ask it be made a matter of permanent record, word for word.

THE COURT: I suggest you listen to it first and then if you want to take it down you can take it down.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi and I may not agree on what was uttered. I ask whatever is uttered be uttered outside of the presence of the jury.

A2

R

 THE COURT: You may confer, Mr. Bugliosi. Mr. Kanarek, you may join if you like.

(Whereupon, there was an off-the-record discussion between Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Kanarek and the witness.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask that -- I must ask, your Honor, either we do it in the presence of the jury or else I ask that the jury -- I must have this down in writing.

THE COURT: Will counsel please approach the bench on the other side.

(Whereupon, counsel approach the bench and the following discussion is had outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: She told me the way she was going to help Mr. Manson was to give him the \$5,000 she had stolen so that Manson and the Family could use the money to go to the desert with.

Again we are getting into this Grand Theft.

I will just state on the record again, your Honor, Mr. Stovitz and I would love to put on the other crimes Mr. Manson committed, such as assault with intent to commit murder --

THE COURT: That has nothing to do with that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: This is Grand Theft, and not a proper ground for impeachment.

THE COURT: Not if that is the sole purpose. Here the question came up on cross-examination in the context of whether he was influencing her or she was influencing him.

From this it went on to who was trying to help whom.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well --

THE COURT: I think this now becomes relevant not for impeachment but for the question of state of mind as to the circumstances, the background of the so-called Family on this Spahn Ranch.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's kind of ambiguous here, your Honor, because she has testified --

THE COURT: Obviously it's very important as to whether or not -- well, it's important for a number of different reasons:

What her relationship with Manson was, and this is directly relevant.

It also may have a minor aspect to impeach her, under the facts of this case. I don't really think the jury is going to be too impressed by the fact she may have stolen \$5,000.

I think they already heard her confess in participating in a double murder.

Whether or not she is an accomplice, she has indicated knowledge about the situation.

_

ö

I think she has already used all the shock value she has in front of the jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: She never testified that the reason why she came to Spahn Ranch to help Manson was to give him \$5,000.

THE COURT: It has now come out, or it is about to come out. I am going to overrule the objection and let her testimony.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I will ask the Court if the Court permits that, will the Court permit us to go into all of the circumstances surrounding the taking of the money, because there are circumstances which are explanatory.

Now, we just have on the record that she stole \$5,000 without going into the circumstances.

THE COURT: Well, yes, in other words I think that will depend on Mr. Manarek.

If he is content to let her testimony that she was going to give Manson \$5,000 for a certain purpose, that is one thing.

But if he goes further and elicits the fact that it was stolen, the People on redirect examination will have a right to go into the mitigating circumstances surrounding that.

So that would be up to Mr. Kanarek.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

9B

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor (interrupted off the record by Mr. Fitzgerald) --

I would ask your Honor as to the same thing, your Honor, I would ask, it is now five minutes of 4:00, I would ask your Honor that we adjourn and your Honor take evidence on this issue outside of the presence of the jury because --

THE COURT: Why should I do that?

MR. KANAREK: Because, your Honor, I would like the record to reveal what she said when Mr. Bugliosi and I were there.

THE COURT: You wanted to cross-examine on this point.

I have told you you may do so.

MR. KANAREK: I know, your Honor, but now I don't know what she will say.

THE COURT: That is your problem.

MR. KANAREK: What I am saying is that is why Mr. Manson is being denied due process.

THE COURT: He is not being denied due process.

If you don't want to cross-examine on that point you don't have to, but you are not going to get a private cross-examination to see if you should put your cross-examination on before the Court.

MR. KANAREK: I want the record to reveal, your Honor, that I asked that all of this be on the record,

- 1	
1	every word that was uttered by her.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek
3	MR. KANAREK: When she was on the witness stand it
4	was my contention
5	THE COURT: You don't want to cross-examine her
6	further on that point? You don't have to.
7	I am telling you you may if you like.
8	MR. KANAREK: What I'm trying to do, I want to make
9	a record. I would like the record to reveal
10	THE COURT: You don't need to make a record. I
11	already ruled in your favor.
12	MR. KANAREK: I know.
13	THE COURT: Then let's proceed.
14	MR. KANAREK: I am speaking, your Honor, of your
15	Honor not allowing this witness exact words, or Mr.
16	Bugliosi's exact words.
17	I don't know what she is going to say now.
18	I have no way of knowing.
19	THE COURT: You didn't know before when you asked
20	the question.
21	MR. KANAREK: I know, but she has been approached by
22	the prosecution with whom she has rapport.
23	THE COURT: You were standing right there. You
24	heard everything that was said.
25	MR. KANAREK: It is not a matter of
26	THE COURT: I don't know what you are talking about

now. If you want to go ahead, all right; if you don't, all 1 right. MR. KANAREK: If your Honor will allow me to make 3 the point. The point is she stated previously she does 5 not trust me; she trusts Mr. Bugliosi. 6 There was certain colloquy between her and 7 Mr. Bugliosi. 8 Now I don't know what this witness will now 9 say at this time. 10 THE COURT: You have said that three times. 11 MR. KANAREK: That is a denial of a fair trial 12 and a denial of due process under the Fourteenth Amendment. 13 THE COURT: I don't want to hear anything further. 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: I told him the other circumstances 15 of mitigation, the mitigating circumstances concerning this 16 \$5,000. He doesn't want me to go into it now, so now he 17 has second guesses about going into it. 18 THE COURT: I already told him if he goes into that 19 question you will have the right on redirect examination to 20 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the point is it is the 21 frame of mind. 22 THE COURT: If he doesn't ask the questions there 23 won't be any answers to hurt him. MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it is the state of mind of 25

this witness.

THE	COURT:	Let's	proceed.
-----	--------	-------	----------

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, when you first came to the ranch, the first time you came to the ranch you saw Tex?

A Yes.

Q Is that right?

A Yes.

Q And you came to the ranch to see Tex because you, Linda Kasabian, wanted sexual satisfaction which you were not getting from your husband?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Repatitious, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, when you came at first contact with Tex Watson you came to satisfy Linda Kasabian.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Repetitious.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: The first time you came to the ranch, Mrs. Kasabian, you came because you wanted to come, is that right?

A Yes.

Q And you decided that you were going to leave your husband and seek new men?

MR. BUGLIOSI: This has already been gone into

```
ad nauseum, your Honor.
1
2
          THE COURT: Sustained.
         Q
                BY MR. KANAREK: And your coming to the ranch,
3
   Mrs. Kasabian, was to satisfy a need that you felt, is that
4
   correct?
5
         MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.
6
         THE COURT: Sustained.
7
         MR. KANAREK: Did your Honor rule?
8
         THE COURT: Sustained.
9
         Q
                BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mrs. Kasabian, you
10
   stated you hated your step-father.
11
         A
12
               Yes.
               You still hate your step-father, right?
         A
               No.
14
         Q
               You don't hate him now?
15
         A
               No.
16
         Q
               But in this trial you have told us that you
17
   hated your step-father.
18
19
         MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.
               BY MR. KANAREK: Now, --
20
         MR. KANAREK: May I continue, your Honor?
21
         THE COURT: Are you asking a question or just
22
   repeating yourself?
23
         MR. KANAREK: I don't know. Mr. Bugliosi interjected
24
   something sort of halfway.
         THE COURT: The objection was it was asked and
26
```

1	answered, which was correct. Then you went on.
2	MD VANADUR. 222
3	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, would you tall us,
4	Mrs. Kasabian, in any of your LSD trips did you see your
5	step-father in the illusions?
6	A No.
7	Q The hallucinations that you underwent in your
8	LSD trips?
9	A No.
10	Q You never saw your step-father in any of those
11	visions?
12	A No.
13	Q Did you ever see your mother in any of the
14	visions in connection with any of your LSD trips?
15	A You mean visions within my own self?
16	Q You tell me.
17	A I don't understand your question.
18	Q Well, have you seen your mother in any visions
19	that you have seen in your mind as a result of taking
20	LSD?
21	A I am still not quite sure what youmean, again.
22	Q Well, Mrs. Kasabian, you have told us that
23	when you take LSD you have visions, have visual effects
24	that you feel, that you see, is that correct?
25	A Yes.
26	Q Now, in any of these wievel offerte the

```
have spoken of have you seen your mother in any of these
1
    sequences of events which may be in your mind during the
2
    LSD trips?
3
          A
                No.
4
          Q
                Your mother never appeared?
5
          A
                No.
6
          Q
                Has your husband ever appeared in any of these
7
    visions?
8
          A
                No.
9
          Q
                Has any person ever appeared in these visions,
10
    these LSD trip seances?
12
          MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question as ambiguous,
    when counsel says "appear," does he mean a person that was
    not there, or what?
14
15
                I don't understand the question.
          THE COURT: Sustained.
16
          0
                BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kasabian, in any of the
17
18
    visions that you have seen while under the influence of
   LSD, in any of those visions have you ever seen any members
19
   of your Family?
                Do you mean within my own mind, or person-to-
          A
21
22
    person?
                I don't understand what you mean.
23
          Q
                Within your own mind.
          A
25
                No.
          Q
26
                Have you ever seen any people at all?
```

- 1		
1	A	No.
2	Q	In these visions?
3	A	No.
4	Q.	These LSD visions?
5	A	No, not that I can recall.
6	Q	These LSD visions that you have, they are
7	strictly col	lor?
8	A	Excuse me?
9	Q	You just see masses of color?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Well, what do you see?
12	A	You mean within my own mind or outwardly, I
13	still don't	understand you.
14	Q. *	Well, when you are on an LSD trip can you tell
15	the differer	nce as to whether you are seeing it inside your
16	own self or	whether you/seeing it outward?
17	A	It seems to be outwardly.
18	Q	You mean when you are on the trip while you are
19	taking the t	crip it appears to be outwardly?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	It appears to be detached from your body?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	All right, now, in these observations, on these
24	LSD trips th	nat you take, is anyone in your family present
25	as far as yo	our thinking is concerned, outwardly?
26	A	Yes.

	l .	
1	Q	Your mother?
2	A	Yes, she was.
3	Q	Your step-father?
4	A	No.
5	Q	That you hate, your step-father, since 1966,
6	has he ever	appeared in any of these visions that you have
7	spoken of?	
8	A	No.
9	Q	Any other members of your family appeared in any
10	of these vis	sions that you have spoken of?
11	A	Yes, I have been with one of my brothers.
12	Q	Pardon?
13	A	I have been with one of my brothers.
14	କୃ	And during the trip you visualized your
15	brother whil	e under the influence of LSD, is that correct?
16	A	No, they were actually there, outwardly, they
17	were there.	
18	Q	Outwardly they were there?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q -	Now, during these fantasies, during these LSD
21	fantasies ha	ve you ever seen Mr. Manson?
22	A	I don't understand you.
23	Q	You don't understand that question?
24	A 1	No.
25	Q I	During the LSD trips that you have taken have you
26	ever seen Mr	. Manson, Charlie Manson?

	1	A	No.
	2	Q	You have never seen him.
	3		Did you ever see Gypsy?
	4	A	Yes.
	5	Q	You have seen Gypsy in these LSD visions,
	6	right?	
	7	A	She was there in person.
	8	Q	Pardon?
	9	A	She was there in person, yes.
	10	Q	Well, I'm now talking about in a vision.
9.6	11		Did you ever see her in the vision?
	12	A	Well, no.
	13	Q	How did you know she was present while you were
	14	on the trip	
	15	A	Because I spoke to her.
20	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
2	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		

20-1	1	Q Pardon?
	2	A I spoke to her.
	3	Q How do you know, while you are on a trip
	4	this is after the hour has elapsed; right?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And you are now in this period of time,
	7	depending upon how much LSD you had, you are in this
	8	period of time when you are fantasizing, when you are
	9	hallucinating; is that right?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And so, in fact, you don't know whether someone
	12	is there or not?
	13	A I am positive she was there.
	14	Q You are positive? Now, upon what do you base
	15	that?
	16	A She was there in person, in the flesh. She
	17	was there.
	18	Q She was there?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q But you were on an LSD trip at the time?
	21	A I don't know if it was LSD, but it was a drug,
	22	yes.
	23	Q Oh, it was some other drug?
	24	A I don't know what it was.
	25	Q Well, what was the drug? You tell me?
	26	A It seemed to be weak mescaline.

		7085
29-2	1	Q It was weak mescaline?
	2	A It seemed to be. I don't know.
	3	Q How do you differentiate between weak mescaline
	4	and LSD?
	5	A LSD is more vivid, more intense, and mescaline
	6	is, I don't know, just sort of flows.
	7	That is all I can tell you.
	8	Q You mean, from your experience, from your
	9	experience with mescaline, that you find it to be weaker
	10	drug than LSD?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Well, now, directing your attention to the
	13	particular time that you are speaking of.
	14	You did see Gypsy in the vision; is that correct?
	15	A It wasn't a vision. She was there.
	16	Q But you were actually on a trip, whether you call
	17	it LSD or whether you call it mescaline, the fact remains
	18	that you were on a trip at that time?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q Is that correct?
Oa fls.	21	A Yes.
	22	
	23	
	24	

	i	
20a-1	1	Q Now, did you see your husband also?
	2	A No.
	3	Q In this vision?
	4	A No.
	5	Q Now, you have taken LSD in the presence of
	6	your husband; is that correct?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And you have taken mescaline in the presence
	9	of your husband; is that correct?
740	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Is that correct?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Then, would you describe for us the people
	14	that you have seen in the visions that you have had while
	15	taking mescaline or LSD?
	16	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as ambiguous and no founda-
	17	tion as to time and place.
	18	THE COURT: Sustained.
	19	BY MR. KANAREK:
2	20	Q Did you ever see, on all of the trips that you
	21	have taken, on all of the drugs that you have taken, have
	22	you ever seen Charlie Manson in any of these visions?
	23	MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.
	24	THE COURT: Overruled.
	25	THE WITNESS: No.
		1

20a-2	1	В
	2	
	3	M
	4	
	5	
	6	У
	7	У
	8	
	9	a
	10	
	11	В
	12	
	13	u
	14	M
	15	
	16	a
	17	ε
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	Đ

25

26

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Never once in your life have you seen Charlie Manson; is that right?

A Of course I have seen him.

Q I am speaking, Mrs. Kasabian, at times when you are relaxed, when your mind is in the drug world. Have you ever seen Charlie Manson?

MR. STOVITZ: Object to the question, your Honor, as ambiguous as to the words "drug world."

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

At any time, Mrs. Kasabian, while you were under the influence of any drug, have you seen Charlie Manson?

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question as ambiguous, as to whether or not counsel is including marijuana as a drug.

I believe that is a matter of controversy now.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. FITZGERALD: Not according to the District Attorney

THE COURT: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, it is true, I don't consider marijuana a drug. And I have seen Mr. Manson while I have been smoking marijuana.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Pardon?

20a-3		
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
-	20	
	21	
	22	
	92	

25

26

A I have seen and been in his presence while I was smoking marijuana.

Q You don't consider marijuana a drug?

A No, not really. Not a chemical like acid or anything like that.

Q May I ask you: What do you consider marijuana?
MR. BUGLIOSI: Immaterial.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Well, then, you say that Mr. Manson has never appeared to you, has never appeared to you in any kind of what you call chemical drug, if we may call it that, say a chemical drug interlude?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor.

The question is repetitious.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Then, Mrs. Kasabian, when you went up into that cave area, you came over to make use of the Spahn Ranch for your purpose?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, Mrs. Kasabian, do you have in your mind at the present time any different idea of what time is than you had before you started taking drugs?

20a-4 MR. STOVITZ: Ambiguous, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. KANAREK: 3 Directing your attention back, Mrs. Kasabian, to a time in the past, let's say about 1965 and 1966, to 5 a time before you had entered the drug world. Would you compare your ideas of time in that far distant past with your ideas of time at the present time? MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as immaterial --MR. KANAREK: I haven't finished the question, your 10 Honor. 11 MR. STOVITZ: I am sorry. 12 BY MR. KANAREK: 13 And are your ideas of time different today than they were before you started taking drugs? MR. STOVITZ: Object to the question, your Honor, as 16 immaterial. 17 MR. KANAREK: It is most material, your Honor, as TR to whether or not --19 MR. STOVITZ: I didn't mean to interrupt you, 20 Counsel, your questions, and I haven't finished my objection. 22 MR. KANAREK: I am sorry. THE COURT: It is ambiguous. Sustained. MR. STOVITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

20b fls.

20ь-1	1	BY MR. KANAREK:
	2	Q Now, you have told us, Mrs. Kasabian, that
	3	time doesn't have any meaning for you; right?
	4	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as asked and answered,
	5	your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: Sustained.
	7	BY MR. KANAREK:
	8	Q Well, is it a fair statement that as you sit
	9	there on the witness stand now time has no meaning to you?
	10	A I don't quite understand what you mean.
	11	Q Well, does time have any meaning to you?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q Now, as you look into your mind and think of
	14	what the meaning of time is, is the meaning of time, as
	15	you sit there on the witness stand now, different than
	16	the meaning of time as you considered it before you
	17	entered the drug world?
	18	MR. STOVITZ: Unintelligible, your Honor.
	19	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	20	BY MR. KANAREK:
:	21	Q Well, before 1965 or 1966, at some point you
:	22	had never used drugs?
2	23	A Right.
5 2	24	Q Never used LSD, peyote, hash, marijuana;
2	25	right?
2	26	A Right.

20b-2

1

2

А

5

0

7

Q

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

2526

Q Speed? You used speed, too; right?

A Yes.

Q Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Methydrine? You have used methydrine?

A That is the same thing.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, before you used these chemical drugs, or whatever way you wish to denominate them, Mrs. Kasabian, you had a certain idea of time; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, compare, Mrs. Kasabian, your idea of time in those years before 1965 and 1966, and let us say your idea of time between the years 1965 and --

A Excuse me, I am losing what you are talking about.

Q Compare your idea of time, Mrs. Kasabian, before you first took, let us say, LSD, compare that meaning of time, whatever it was in your mind, to the meaning of time between, let us say, the first time you took LSD and the date of your arrest.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: I haven't finished the question, your Honor.

20b-3

.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: My apologies.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Were your ideas of time the same before you started taking drugs as they were after you started taking drugs but before the date of your arrest?

A I don't understand your question. You have got so many words, I am not following you.

THE COURT: Will counsel approach the bench, please?

(Whereupon all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench:)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, it is apparent to me that you are now simply being very, very repetitious and going nowhere.

I think you had better be prepared to wind up your cross-examination.

MR. KANAREK: I am, your Honor.

The point is that when we have a witness on the stand, all of us --

THE COURT: That is not the point I made.

Did you understand what I said?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, I understand what your Honor said, yes.

What I am saying is that when we have a witness of this type, some of our ordinary ground rules don't apply; otherwise we are in danger of going off completely.

THE COURT: What ground rules are you talking about?

MR. KANAREK: What I am talking about, we have 205-4 1 previously asked this Court to appoint doctors to examine 2 this witness. We asked for it before this trial started. THE COURT: Let's not get off into that subject. MR. KANAREK: Well, this witness's ideas, her 5 meanings --THE COURT: I have told you what I thought, Mr. Kanarek. Now, we are going to adjourn for the day. I suggest that if you have anything important, that you 10 arrange your thoughts and examination so that you can 11 complete it tomorrow morning. Apparently you have simply run out of examina-13 tion. 14 MR. KANAREK: No, I haven't, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You are asking the same questions over 16 and over again. 17 MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor is sustaining what I think are improper objections. THE COURT: All right. I have told you what I think. 20 MR. FITZGERALD: We wonder, with the Court's 21 indulgence, if we might meet, the defendants and counsel, 22 for five minutes? It is all right with the Sheriff if we ask you 24 first. 25

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respec-tive places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone nor form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you. The court is now adjourned until 9:45 tomorrow morning. (Whereupon at 4:15 o'clock p.m. the court was in recess.)

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES