SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Thursday, August 13, 1970 • A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

AARON H. STOVITZ and VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

ROMATED HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

GARY FLEISCHMAN, Esq.

For Linda Kasabian:

RONALD L. GOLDMAN, Esq.

VOLUME 53

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 7268 7357 to

Official Reporters

1-1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, AUGUST 13, 1970 1 9:00 A.M. ---0---(The following proceedings were held in open 4 court outside the presence and hearing of the jury, all 5 defendants and counsel being present:) б THE COURT: All parties and counsel are present. 7 The jury is not present. 8 This is the time set for hearing on the 9 defendant's motion for an order requring the Sheriff of 10 this County to cease and desist harassing the defendant 11 Charles Manson. 12 13 Are you intending to file anything, Mr. Stovitz, in this matter? MR. STOVITZ: No, your Honor, we have the officers 15 here from the Sheriff's Department. They are here to 16 testify in this regard. 17 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I make a motion to exclude 18 witnesses. 19 20 MR.STOVITZ: I submit once we find out what witnesses we want we can have the others excluded. 21 These officers will not be testifying to their 22 personal knowledge but merely to what records show. 23 MR. KANAREK: Still, your Honor, I make a motion. 24

There is nothing lost by excluding witnesses.

THE COURT: The motion will be denied.

25

26

Do you wish to call your witnesses, Mr. Stovitz? MR. KANAREK: We are the moving parties. MR. STOVITZ: I bow to the moving parties. MR. KANAREK: I offer the declaration of Mr. Manson as evidence, where it is deemed if called to the witness stand he would swear to what is in the declaration. MR. STOVITZ: I would not accept that stipulation. MR. KANAREK: I will call Mr. Manson. We are the moving party. THE COURT: Very well.

	- 1	
2-1	1	MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may I be heard?
-	2	THE COURT: Yes.
()	3	MR. SHINN: I believe the defendant Susan Atkins
	4	joins in this motion.
	5	THE COURT: This is not your motion.
	6	MR. SHINN: I move to join in the motion.
	7	THE COURT: All right.
=2	8	MR. HUGHES: Defendant Leslie Van Houten will join in
	9	the motion.
	10	MR. FITZGERALD: As will defendant Patricia Krenwinkel.
	11	THE COURT: Join in what manner?
T.	12	MR. SHINN: I believe Miss Atkins has been harassed.
	13	We cannot see a witness except over a screen.
(14	THE COURT: Do you intend to have Miss Atkins testify?
	15	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
	16	MR. HUGHES: And I would also have Miss Van Houten
	17	testify.
	18	I would have stood up but I am afraid if I
	19	pushed my chair back I would crush Miss Krenwinkel's legs
	20	behind me.
	21	THE COURT: I didn't hear that.
	22	MR. HUGHES: I said I would have stood up, your
	23	Honor, but if I had pushed my chair back I would crush
	24	Miss Krenwinkel's legs.
	25	THE COURT: You may proceed.

26

MR. KANAREK: I will call Mr. Manson, since we are the

moving party and the District Attorney will not accept the 1 stipulation of the declarant. 2 Call Mr. Manson. 3 THE COURT: Very well. Proceed. 4 MR. STOVITZ: Counsel, do you have another copy of 5 that motion? I don't know whether we were ever served. 6 MR. KANAREK: Yes, you were served. 7 MR. STOVITZ: Do you have another copy? 8 MR. KANAREK: I will be more than glad to accommodate you. 10 THE COURT: Swear the witness. 11 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please. 12 Would you please repeat after me. 13 I do solemnly swear --14 DEFENDANT MANSON: I do solemnly swear --15 THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --16 DEFENDANT MANSON: -- that the testimony I may give --17 THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --18 DEFENDANT MANSON: -- in the cause now pending --THE CLERK: -- before this Court --20 DEFENDANT MANSON: -- before this Court --21 THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --22 DEFENDANT MANSON: -- shall be the truth --23 THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --24 DEFENDANT MANSON: -- the whole truth --25 THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --26

DEFENDANT MANSON: -- and nothing but the truth --THE CLERK: -- so help me God. DEFENDANT MANSON: -- so help me God. THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. Will you state and spell your name. THE WITNESS: Charles Manson, M-a-n-s-o-n. MR. KANAREK: Before we proceed, your Honor, it is my position that the District Attorney of Los Angeles County has no standing, your Honor, in connection with this motion. The County Counsel should defend this motion.

2A

2a-1

.

MR. STOVITZ: On behalf of the taxpayers of Los Angeles County, I am here as an amicus curiae.

MR. FITZGERALD: Part of the problem, your Honor, seems to be that the Sheriff of Los Angeles County, who is charged with the custody of the various defendants in this case, ought to be neutral, and the very fact that the District Attorney is involved as an adversary on behalf of the Sheriff indicates the very thrust of our position.

I agree with Mr. Kanarek that there ought to be independent counsel for the Sheriff.

MR. STOVITZ: May I confer with the Captain to see if he wants counsel?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause while Mr. Stovitz confers with a gentleman in the audience.)

MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, Captain Carpenter tells me that he does not believe he needs representation, that he can appear and be questioned by the Court and counsel for the petitioner without having the delay required by the County Counsel in being here.

THE COURT: Is that agreeable?

MR. KANAREK: Pardon? No. It is my position that the District Attorney has no power, your Honor, in connection with these proceedings.

Mr. Manson has certain complaints to make and

I don't think that the District Attorney has any standing 1 in this proceeding. 2 MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, I misspoke. I said 3 Captain Carpenter. It was Inspector Welsh. I am sorry. 4 MR. KANAREK: It is my position they have no 5 standing to interrogate or anything in this matter because 6 this is a motion in which Mr. Manson has a complaint to 7 the Court. 8 Now, I don't think that the District Attorney--9 If Mr. Kanarek wants us to leave, we MR. STOVITZ: 10 will be happy to leave. We have other work to do. 11 don't even have a copy of the motion. 12 MR. KANAREK: He was given a copy out of courtesy. 13 MR. STOVITZ: We won't even cross-examine Mr. Manson. 14 How is that? 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

3 fls.

MR. KANAREK: Very good, that is my position.

THE COURT: In view of your position, Mr. Kanarek,
I think we will just put this matter over a week --

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, we want to proceed.

THE COURT: Just a moment, sir.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: When I finish speaking you may speak.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We will put the matter over for a week, obtain the County Counsel to represent the Sheriff's Department, and that should then satisfy your client.

MR. KANAREK: Then I will withdraw my request, then.

THE COURT: This motion will be continued one week.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Manson wants immediate relief.

THE COURT: One week from today will be August 20th, 9:00 a.m.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we withdraw --

THE COURT: That Court is now in recess.

4-1

1 2

(The following proceedings occur in chambers, all counsel, Mr. Fleischman and Mr. Goldman and Linda Kasabian present, defendants absent:)

THE COURT: The record will show all counsel are present, Mr. Fleischman and Mr. Goldman are also present, and Linda Kasabian is present.

MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, I believe that this matter is set for August the 17th for trial setting.

At this time we make a motion to advance to this date for the purposes of a recommendation and petition to the Court.

MR. GOLDMAN: We join for Mrs. Kasabian to have the matter advanced to this date, your Honor.

THE COURT: The motion is granted. The motion to advance is granted.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may it be reflected that it is our position -- I will make this as brief as possible-- it is our position that due process has not been complied with; due process has been ridden over roughshod in connection with these matters; that 1324 of the Penal Code and 1099 of the Penal Code have not been satisfied.

There is no factual basis whatsoever shown in this record. The record is replete with the fact that these Code sections have not been satisfied, and that Mr. Manson is being denied due process of law by the way Linda Kasabian has been handled in this case, and has been

denied a fair trial. We would ask that the matter be dismissed as to Mr. Manson. MR. STOVITZ: We will oppose Mr. Kanarek's motion, your Honor, and our silence is not a consent to what he says. 5 fls. THE COURT: His motion is denied.

5-1

MR. STOVITZ: The reason set forth in the written recommendation signed by Mr. Younger, your Honor, Mr. Leavy and myself, we are seeking to dismiss the case against Mrs. Linda Kasabian in the interests of justice, pursuant to Section 1385, and the reasons set forth in the written recommendation shall be the reasons incorporated in the Court's order under 1385 of the Penal Code.

MR. KANAREK: We have not been shown a copy of this.

(Mr. Stovitz hands Mr. Kanarek a copy.)

MR. STOVITZ: Shall I read it into the record, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. STOVITZ: (Reading)

"RECOMMENDATION: As to Linda Kasabian,

Dismissal of all charges

pending

"We are petitioning the Superior Court for a formal Order granting Linda Kasabian immunity. We believe that this request is in the interests of justice as the evidence disclosed that Linda Kasabian did not actually kill any of the victims in this case, nor did she actively assist any of the defendants in carrying out the killings.

"Her testimony has been helpful to the cause of justice in the prosecution of all the

"defendants. She has fully cooperated with law enforcement and has agreed to return to Los Angeles to testify in any subsequent trial involving the above defendants, and also Charles Watson.

"We, therefore, respectfully request that, as to Linda Kasabian, all charges pending in Indictment #A-253,156 be dismissed.

"Dated August 7, 1970."

Signed by Evelle J. Younger, Aaron H. Stovitz and J. Miller Leavy.

MR. KANAREK: I have a couple of questions to ask, your Honor.

I don't believe a 1385 dismissal necessarily carries with it the judgment of acquittal.

May I have the Penal Code for just a moment?

It is not jeopardy. In other words, your

Honor, the point of the matter is that we have here

something that is not even hybrid.

We have a situation, the classical way -- the
District Attorney talks about incompetence and obstruction -THE COURT: Get to the point.

MR. KANAREK: The point is, when a dismissal is made pursuant to 1324, when your Honor makes an order pursuant to that, then I believe the law is that that then means that she cannot be prosecuted again.

A

Now, if it is pursuant to 1099, I believe that it is deemed to be a judgment of acquittal.

But under 1385, he has verbalized 1385 but there is no 1385 written down in this, what is called "the District Attorney's Recommendations," nor in what Mr. Stovitz uttered.

So, therefore, we have the question, we have the question as to whether or not this action as to Linda has been dismissed with prejudice.

Has it? If it is a 1385 dismissal, I don't know. I query as to whether it is.

5a-1

THE COURT: Why are you concerned about it?

MR. KANAREK: Because, your Honor, we are very concerned about this witness's state of mind in connection with the proceedings.

Mr. Manson is not guilty in these proceedings.

The District Attorney of Los Angeles County has deigned to invade the province of the jury.

What right does he have to say that the evidence discloses that she did not actually kill?

THE COURT: That doesn't have anything to do with it, Mr. Kanarek, the jury is not going to see that. It is not evidence in the case. It does not foreclose any right on the part of the defendants to prove otherwise or to argue otherwise.

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor, the problem is this:

We have the District Attorney of Los Angeles

County saying that Linda Kasabian did not actually kill

nor did she actively assist.

In other words, he is saying that she is not an accomplice.

THE COURT: Just a moment --

MR. KANAREK: I object.

THE COURT: -- as I recall -- do you have a copy of the order granting immunity?

MR. STOVITZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: My recollection is, that order was

5a-2

1 2

signed under the provisions of 1324 of the Penal Code which expressly provides she cannot be prosecuted.

MR. STOVITZ: Except for perjury or contempt of court.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, if I may, under 1324 you must have a foundation. There has to be a condition precedent.

THE COURT: You have made that point several times.

Don't go over it again.

MR. STOVITZ: The reason for this recommendation, your Honor, is the formality necessary to dismiss the indictment against Mrs. Kasabian and have the warrant recalled so she may be free.

THE COURT: That is the way I understand it. This is simply a follow-up to what already has been done, namely, the grant of immunity which includes the freedom from prosecution in the future, except on the very narrow exceptions set forth in 1324 of the Penal Code.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor is the one that has the power to act -- it is our position that your Honor is acting, and the power is illegally exercised, that the foundation is not in the record.

The legal justification does not exist for the District Attorney to proceed in the request he is asking, your Honor. It is predicated upon what he has

enunciated, and it is our position that the evidence does not disclose that Linda Kasabian did not actually kill any of the victims. It is our belief, as a matter of fact that she 6 fls. did actively --

0-1

THE COURT: That statement has nothing to do with anything. That is the District Attorney's description. It has nothing to do with this case. It is not evidence. It isn't going to be read by the jury.

MR. KANAREK: I know, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is meaningless.

MR. KANAREK: It is meaningful in this sense:

The District Attorney is a quasi judicial officer, and when
he approaches the Court --

THE COURT: He has a right to his opinion. If he wants to base his recommendation on that belief, he has a right to base his recommendation on that belief.

You don't have to believe it. It doesn't mean that it is evidence in the case.

MR. KANAREK: He has so stated.

MR. STOVITZ: I did not mean by that characterization just the testimony. All the investigation of the entire Police Department and the entire District Attorney's Office, the entire investigation from all the witnesses, including the ones that are not going to testify, have indicated that Linda Kasabian has not killed anyone nor did she actively participate in the killing of anyone, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is blatant hypocrisy because you, yourself, and Mr. Bugliosi went to the Grand Jury and got an indictment after all of your investigation.

1 MR. STOVITZ: After we talked to Linda Kasabian. MR. FITZGERALD: You successfully --MR. STOVITZ: That is not true. 4 MR. FITZGERALD: Let me finish my statement, 5 Mr. Stovitz. You argued a 995 motion down this hall in 7 Department 106 when her counsel, Mr. Fleischman and Mr. Goldman, argued that there was no evidence whatsoever that she participated in these homicides, and you said 10 under oath -- as an officer of the Court, rather -- stated 11 to the contrary and urged the Court not to dismiss this 12 indictment. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 25 26

6a - 1

MR. STOVITZ: I said the Grand Jury transcript revealed, based upon Susan Atkins' testimony, that there was sufficient to hold her for trial.

Mr. Fitzgerald, being an experienced lawyer, your Honor, knows that the Grand Jury transcript speaks for itself, and I will be glad to introduce the Grand Jury transcript in this proceeding.

THE COURT: I don't see that it makes any difference. What difference does it make?

MR. FITZGERALD: It doesn't.

THE COURT: Suppose they set forth in here that she was guilty of all seven murders? That wouldn't preclude the granting of immunity.

MR. KANAREK: As a result of State action -- and the District Attorney's action is State action -- Mr. Manson is being deprived of a fair trial, because this witness has testified for many days with the temptation dangling before her of a dismissal.

Now, the point of the matter is that as a result of the District Attorney's hypocrisy, as Mr. Fitz-gerald has so aptly stated, Mr. Manson has been denied a fair trial.

If that were the case, he should have come in with a 1385 dismissal without going through all that we have gone through in this trial, because if he wasn't hypocritical, if he and Mr. Younger and Mr. Stovitz were

.

6b fls.

not hypocritical and were not just mouthing things, if they really meant these things that they are saying now, they would have dismissed as to this lady under 1385 the minute they found out about it.

The Fourteenth Amendment of the United States
Constitution does not allow the District Attorney to use
this kind of a situation where they are going to try to
use this witness --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, you have made this argument about half a dozen times during the last several weeks.

Now, there is no point in making it all over again. You have made your record and now you are cluttering up the record with a repetition of it.

MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, if I may make one suggestion, maybe it would ease some of Mr. Kanarek's tensions.

If your Honor could make the order pursuant to 1385 and pursuant to 1095 of the Penal Code in addition, to make it absolutely clear that jeopardy has attached and the matter can't be retried.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24.

25

26

MR. STOVITZ: No objection, your Honor.

MR. GOLDMAN: That would be a way to satisfy any latent doubts that they have.

MR. KANAREK: Evidently counsel doesn't get the point.

I have no tensions here, your Honor.

The point is that what we are doing is illegal. We pride ourselves in operating under due process of law.

MR. STOVITZ: Maybe what you are doing, Mr. Kanarek, is illegal, but I believe we are following due process of law.

MR. KANAREK: Then how in God's name, your Honor, can you show me --

MR. STOVITZ: Do not invoke the deity, Counsel, to help you.

MR. KANAREK: You can't use 1324 unless the lady takes the witness stand and exercises the privilege against self-incrimination.

MR. STOVITZ: I object to this cross-examination as having been asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, it has all been covered before. Let's not simply sit here and repeat ourselves over and over again.

MR. FLEISCHMAN: One additional matter, very briefly, your Honor.

Can your Honor make the order -- and I believe you have the power -- to have Mrs. Kasabian discharged

forthwith rather than returning to Sybil Brand? 1 It is my understanding, in brief conversation 2 with the Sheriff's Department, that that can be done. 3 There are no holds against her, so she can be discharged 4 5 from the courtroom. MR. KAMAREK: I might state as an officer of the 6 7 court --8 THE COURT: This doesn't concern you. MR. STOVITZ: Mr. Bugliosi has checked the records 9 10 and there is no hold. MR. KANAREK: There is a hold against her in the 11 12 State of Massachusetts. THE COURT: You let the Sheriff worry about that. 13 MR. KANAREK: I can represent to the Court that this 14 lady is wanted for violation of probation. 15 THE COURT: That will be enough. 16 MR. FITZGERALD: I might point out that she is under 17 subpoena to the defendants. 18 MR. GOLDMAN: We will raise that matter as soon as 19 the Court acts on this one. Then I believe we have some 20 remarks concerning that. 21 THE COURT: That is not a hold. 22 Anything further? 23 MR. STOVITZ: Submit it, your Honor. 24 MR. GOLDMAN: Submit it, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Allof the charges against Linda Kasabian,

26

6C

6c-1

MR. STOVITZ: Since everyone is so amicable, if you do intend to call her as a defense witness, and if it is possible, if it is within your order of proof to call her towards the end of the defense case, we would appreciate it, so that in the event she is in another state she will come out here and stay her for the defense and redirect at that time.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Rebuttal.

MR. STOVITZ: Yes. I'm sorry. Rebuttal.

MR. FITZGERALD: Certainly.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we do have this problem: That if she does go back to this area, we have reason to believe that she will be arrested.

THE COURT: The District Attorney's recommendation dated August 7th, 1970, regarding Linda Kasabian is ordered filed in this case.

MR. KANAREK: May we inquire? We do wish Linda as a witness, your Honor. May we have the good services of the Court to make sure she will be here?

MR. GOLDMAN: Your Honor, we have made a representation concerning that. All we are asking for, each of the defendants has separately subpoenaed Mrs. Kasabian for Monday morning, and all we are asking for is a stipulation from each of the defendants that she may be placed on 48 hours call.

MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.

6c-2

MR. SHINN: Yes.

MR. STOVITZ: We will make the representation on behalf of our office that we will use all of our powers under the Uniform Witness Act to obtain Linda Kasabian from whatever state she is in and we will place a hold upon the State Department from issuing her a passport to go to any foreign country.

MR. GOLDMAN: During the pendency of these proceedings?

MR. STOVITZ: During the pendency of these proceedings.

THE COURT: Let's go on.

MR. KANAREK: We do not wish to inconvenience the lady. We will give her the same stipulation as far as calling her, a 48-hour notice. However, through no fault of Linda's, she may not be able to.

MR. FLEISCHMAN: If you keep quiet about it, I am sure we will have no problem.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I am sure Linda doesn't want Irving Kanarek to defend her.

THE COURT: Let's go back.

MR. GOLDMAN: May we have the stipulation from Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: So stipulate.

MR. STOVITZ: One small matter.

May we, without violating the publicity order, make it known to the press that the charges have been

dismissed against Linda Kasabian, without going into the reasons for the dismissal? THE COURT: Yes. MR. STOVITZ: Thank you, your Honor. 7 fls.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury, all defendants and all counsel being present:)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present, you may continue, Mr. Hughes.

MR. FITZGERALD: Before he begins, by stipulation of counsel may Defendants' Exhibits E and F be withdrawn from evidence and substituted in place and instead two large 8-1/2 X 10-inch photographs that are enlargements of E and F?

MR. STOVITZ: So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, the substituted exhibits will be marked E and F respectively by the Clerk.

The former exhibits will be withdrawn.

Is there an offer of those exhibits, Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, they are just for identification. MR. STOVITZ: The only thing I would like the record to reflect, Counsel.

May I consult with counsel?

(Off the record discussion between Mr. Stovitz and Mr. Fitzgerald.)

MR. STOVITZ: There is only one variation. Would you kindly state the variation for the Court.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. The smaller photographs contain a date at the top, May, 1970.

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

MR. STOVITZ: The larger ones do not. 1 MR. FITZGERALD: That is the only difference. 2 THE COURT: Very well. 3 You may proceed, Mr. Hughes. 4 5 LINDA KASABIAN, 6 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 7 been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand, was 8 examined and testified further as follows: 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 11 BY MR. HUGHES: 12 Good morning, Linda. Q 13 Good morning. 14 Q You had a pouch full of acid when you were in 15 Topanga Canyon? 16 Right. A 17 Was that in Topanga Lane? Q 18 A Yes, Topanga Lane. 19 What did that pouch look like? Q 20 I believe it was a Bull Durham cloth pouch. A 21 About how big was it? Q 22 It was small, I don't know -- you know Bull A 23 Durham's tobacco pouch, you know what it looks like. 24 Yes. Q 25 A That is what it was. 26

- 1		
1	Q	And about how much acid was there in there?
2	A	30 tabs.
3	Q	30 tablets?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	About how large were the tablets, the size of
6	aspirins?	
7	A	No, they were small.
8	Q	The size of a saccharine tablet?
9	A	A little bit larger.
10	Q	What color were they?
11	A	Pink.
12	Q	Were they all the same color?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Was it your intention to use all of these
15	30 tablets	yourself eventually?
16	A	No.
17	Q	What was your intention in regards to those 30
18	tabs of aci	.d?
19	A **	To give them to whoever wanted them.
20	Q	And you had bought these in Boston?
21	A	No, I did not buy them.
22	, Q	You had somehow come across these tablets,
23	somehow?	
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Would you tell us how you got them?
26	A	They were given to me.

1	Q And where were they given to you?
2	A In Boston.
3	Q And by whom?
4	MR. BUGLIOSI: Immaterial, your Honor, irrelevant.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	BY MR. HUGHES:
7	Q Now, at one time you said that peyote was your
8	favorite drug, is that correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q But you have only had peyote how many times
11	did you say?
12	A Well, I have eaten a button twice, and I had
13	peyote tea once, just a few sips.
14	Q And what was your favorite drug then after
15	peyote?
16	A After?
17	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	THE WITNESS: After peyote
20	BY MR. HUGHES:
20	Q Yes.
21	A Peyote.
23	Q Did you understand the question?
23	A I thought I answered it.
	MR. STOVITZ: Second in command. In other words,
25	if peyote was first, what was second best.
26	

	1	MR. HUGHES: Yes, thank you, Mr. Stovitz.
r.	2	THE WITNESS: Acid.
1	3	BY MR. HUGHES:
	4	Q Acid?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Now, why did you bury this bag of acid in
	7	Topanga Canyon?
	8	A Well, I didn't want to keep it in the truck,
	9	so I put it in the sand pile.
	10	Q Why did you not want to keep it in the truck?
	11	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant.
	12	THE COURT: Overruled.
	13	THE WITNESS: In case we got busted.
(14	BY MR. HUGHES:
	15	Q Was it your feeling that acid was illegal?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Was it your feeling that you might be arrested
	18	as a dealer if you were arrested with that many tablets of
	19	acid?
	20	A As a dealer?
	21	Q As a dealer.
	22	A No, I was not dealing it.
(23	Q You were giving it away to people?
7a fls.	24	A Yes, I did.
	25	
	26	

7a-1 Q Had you done this previously? Had you given 1 away large amounts of acid? 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant. We are going into a remote, immaterial area. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. 5 BY MR. HUGHES: When did you first start 6 smoking grass? 7 A I believe the end of the year in '65. 8 Q How old were you then? 9 16. A 10 Q Had you just turned 16 then? 11 A I turned 16 in the middle of the year. 12 Q Did you get high the first time you smoked it? 13 A Yes. 14 Q How did you come to first smoke grass? 15 MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 BY MR. HUGHES: Would you tell us what your 18 first grass reaction was like? 19 Well, I did not know I was smoking grass for 20 a long time, and my reaction? I was tired and I just 21 sort of laid down and closed my eyes and slept a couple of 22 days. 23 Q For a couple of days? 24 A Yeah. 25

Q

26

In other words, it sort of knocked you out for

		1	a coup	le of	days?
		2		A	Yes.
		3	(Q	Have you found this to happen other times when
		4	you sm	oked	
		-5	,	A	No.
		6	100	ર	This was characteristic then only of the first
		7	time?		
		8	*	A	Yes.
		9		2	Other times when you have smoked grass have you
		10	walked'	2.9	
		11		Α	Sure.
		12	, (Ś	Have you felt you were walking strange?
		13	1	A o	Yes.
		14		5	Have you heard sounds that were not there when
		15	You wer	e on	grass?
		16		<i>A</i>	Not that I can recall.
		17	, (5	Has music sounded different to you on grass?
	A.	18	· L	Ą	Yeah.
		19	Ç	2	You have heard some recordings on grass and
		20	later y	ou he	eard them when you were not stoned, is that
		21	correct	?	
		22	A	1	Yeah.
	546	23	Q		And you have been able to hear different
		24	things	in th	music when you were stoned?
		25	.A	L	Hear different things?
		26	Q		Yes.

'a2

1	· A	I don't quite understand.
2	Q	Well, the music would have different meaning
3	to you when	you were stoned, is that correct?
4	A	The words or the music itself?
5	Q	Well, have you heard just instrumental things
6	ever?	
7	A	Yeah.
8	Q.	Would the instrumental things, when you were
9	stoned, have	e a different emotional impact on you than when
10	you were no	t?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q	Did the music sometimes sound louder than
13	when you wer	re not stoned?
14	A	Yeah.
15	, Q	Were there patterns discernible in the music
16	which you we	ere not able to see when you were down?
17	A	See patterns? No.
18	Q	What do you mean by patterns in music
19	are you ever	able to see patterns in music?
20	A	No, I never have.
21	Q =	Are you able to hear patterns in music?
22	A	No.
23	· Q	Or designs?
24	391	No.
25	ii "	Or sequences of notes which belong to one
26	another?	

1	A No.
2	Q Did you ever have reason to believe that any of
3	the drugs you were taking might have strychnine in them?
4	A Yeah.
5	Q Which drugs were those?
6	A The acid, sometimes the acid was not good.
7	Q Strychnine is actually a rat poison, is it not?
8	A I'm not sure.
9	Q Is strychnine a poison?
10	A Yeah.
11	Q Is strychnine a very powerful poison?
12	A I imagine if you took a lot of it, yeah.
13	Q And you have reason to believe that some of the
14	acid had strychnine in it.
15	A I never usually knew until after I took it.
16	Q What told you when you took the acid that it
17	had strychnine in it?
18	A I would have an upset stomach.
19	Q Was that the extent of the reaction which told
20	you that there was strychnine in the acid?
21	A My whole physical body would sort of reject it.
22	I cannot really describe it.
23	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I would move to exclude
24	or to strike that portion of her testimony with respect to
25	the strychnine as being a conclusion.
26	It would be a medical conclusion, based on her

1 MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, to any kind of a 2 striking. The witness is well versed in the field of 3 activity, more so than any one of us in this room. 4 MR. STOVITZ: I submit a chemist could not even tell 5 unless it was analyzed, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Well, she was giving an opinion. Over-7 ruled. 8 BY MR. HUGHES: Was there some reason that you 9 believe that certain acid did have strychnine in it? 10 MR. BUGLIOSI: That calls for a medical conclusion, 11 your Honor. 12 THE COURT: overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't understand your question. 14 BY MR. HUGHES: Besides the physical reaction 15 that you had --16 You have never taken just plain strychnine, 17 have you? 18 A No. 19 So when you received these reactions which were ା **Q**ା 20 not normal to the other LSD trips you had taken, you had 21 some reason to believe that those reactions were caused by 22 strychnine, did you not? 23 I did not know until somebody told me that it 24 was strychnine. 25 Someone told you that the acid had strychnine 26

testimony.

- 1		
1	in it?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What did those tablets look like, or were they
4	tablets?	
5	A	No, a couple of them were capsules, that I
6	remember.	
7	Q	And what did those capsules look like?
8	. A	They were fairly large, blue capsules.
9	S	
10	2	-
11		
12		a - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
13	ħ.	
14	2	
15	á.	
16	2	a v
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		в
25		
26		

7B

7b-1	1	Q	And how many times did you take these large
	2	blue capsule	es?
	3	A	I don't know, once or twice, I'm not sure.
	4	ବ	And were you told after the first time you
	5	took them th	at they contained strychnine?
	6	A	Excuse me?
	7	Q	Were you told after the first time that you
	8	took these o	apsules that they contained strychnine?
	9	A	Yeah.
	10	Q	And then you took this acid again at some
	11	later time,	is that correct?
	12	A	Yeah.
	13	Q.	So you disregarded this belief that the acid
	14	contains str	rychnine, is that right?
	15	A	Well, this acid did not come from the same
	16	source, from	n the same person, and I did not know for
	17	sure it cont	tained strychnine until I took it.
	18	ବ	But the capsules did look alike, did they
	19	not?	
	20	A	Yes.
	21	ବ	They were blue?
	22	A	Right.
	23	Q	Light blue?
	24	A.	Yeah.
20	25	Q	And they contained a powder inside, is
	26	that correc	t?

	3	
7b-2	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And what was the color of that powder?
	3	A Light blue.
	4	Q The powder was also light blue?
	5	A Oh, I guess, yeah, it was.
	6	Q And for all intents and purposes, then, these
	7	two capsules looked identical to you?
	8	A I guess so.
	9	Q When you took the second capsule you remembered
	10	what the first one looked like, didn't you?
	11	A I guess so.
	12	Q Did you wonder when you took it the second time
	13	whether you would get the same reaction which you had the
	14	first time?
	15	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as being immaterial, your
	16	Honor.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. HUGHES:
	19	Q Did you wonder when you took it the second time
	20	if it had strychnine in it?
	21	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to, your Honor, as immaterial.
	22	THE COURT: Sustained.
	23	BY MR. HUGHES:
	24	Q Were you so interested in taking acid that
	25	you would take it even if it had strychnine in it?
	26	A Well, there usually was not that much

	2 2	
7b-3	1	strychnine, and it did not hurt me, so I took it, yes.
	2	Q Now, have you heard of any other drugs having
	3	strychnine in them, other drugs which you have taken, other
	4	hallucinogens?
	5	A No.
	6	Q Have you heard of any natural substances which
	7	you have taken have you heard that they have strychnine
	8	in them?
	9	A No.
	10	Q Have you heard, for instance, that peyote
	11	contains strychnine?
	12	A I don't see how it could.
	13	Q Have you ever seen a peyote button?
	14	A Yes, I have.
	15	Q Have you seen large numbers of peyote buttons?
	16	A Yes, I have.
	17	Q Have you seen hundreds of peyote buttons?
	18	A A gunny-sack full, yes.
	19	Q Would it be fair to say that the gunny-sack
	20	contained possibly thousands of peyote buttons?
	21	A Maybe around a thousand, I don't know about
	22	thousands.
	23	Q And you are very familiar with what peyote
	24	buttons look like?
	25	A Yes.
	26	Q A peyote button is a small, green cactus, is

	it not?
1	A Right.
2	Q Is it between an inch and two inches in
3	diameter?
4	A Well, they vary in sizes.
5	Q Would they generally be about that size?
6	MR. STOVITZ: What size, Counsel?
7	MR. HUGHES: Between an inch and two inches.
8	MR. STOVITZ: Oh.
9	THE WITNESS: That would be really a small one.
10	BY MR. HUGHES:
11	Q Two to three inches in diameter, generally?
12	A Usually about like that.
13	Q And about how tall?
14	A With or without the root?
15	Q Would you eat the root?
16	A No.
17	Q Without the root.
18	A About like that (indicating).
19	Q So, in other words, about three inches in
20	diameter and about an inch and a half tall, is that what
21	you have indicated?
22	A I guess so.
23	Q And a peyote button has fine white hairs in
24	the middle of it, does it not?
25	A Yes.
26	

```
And a peyote button has a bark on it which is
           Q
1
    pulled off, is that correct?
2
                 A bark?
3
                 A slight bark at the bottom of it?
4
                 Yeah, around the root, if you decide to eat
5
    the root you take that off.
б
                 And what do you do with these white hairs that
7
    are in the middle of the peyote button?
8
          MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant.
9
           THE COURT: Overruled.
10
          THE WITNESS: You take them off.
11
    BY MR. HUGHES:
12
          Q
                 And why do you take them off?
13
          A
                 I believe it's poison.
14
                 Do you believe that it is actually strychnine?
          Q,
15
          A
                 I don't know.
16
          Q
                 You know what a bummer is, don't you?
17
          Α
                 Yes.
18
          Q
                 Would you tell the Court what a bummer is?
19
          A
                 In relation to drugs?
20
          Q
                 Yes.
21
          A
                 A bad trip.
22
                 What is a bad trip?
          Q
23
          A
                 Things just don't seem to go right, that is all
24
    I can say.
25
          Q
                 What happens in your head when you are having a
26
```

	1	bad trip?
(2	A I am trying to think if I ever had a bad trip.
X.	3	I cannot really recall a bad trip.
	4	Q Have you ever imagined that you were cutting
	5	your fingers on acid?
	6	A That I was what?
	7	Q Cutting your fingers?
	8	A Cutting my fingers? No.
<i>/</i> -	9	Q Have you ever felt while on acid that you had
£	10	to remove sharp objects from the room, put them away in
	11	drawers?
	12	A No.
	13	Q Put away knives in drawers?
	14	A No.
8 fls.	15	
	16	
	17	·
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	

Have you ever had that feeling on other drugs?

d−1 ARIEŞ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q

A

Q Yes. Knives.

Q. A.

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

A I don't know if I was on a drug at the time, but a friend of mine was sharpening a knife above my daughter, Tanya, and the knife fell between her legs and just missed her by a few inches, and I sort of freaked out over that and told him not to play with knives around my baby.

Q That would freak anybody out, wouldn't it?

A Sure.

Q What do you mean by freak out?

About sharp objects?

A I can't put it into words. I guess I got angry, or something like that.

Q You really have a lot of trouble, don't you, describing the emotional state that -- I will rephrase the question because of the problem with the microphone.

You have a lot of trouble, don't you, describing the emotional state that you reached when on drugs?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative.

THE COURT: overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I have trouble describing all emotions on drugs or not.

MR. HUGHES: Q Why do you feel that is?

A I don't know. I just possibly haven't developed something within myself to express myself completely.

Q Do you feel that drugs interfered with your ability to communicate your emotions?

A I can't say. I don't know.

Q Do you feel that drugs have interfered with your ability to communicate what you felt on the drugs?

A I don't know.

Q What does the word "stoned" mean?

A To get high.

Q Now, isn't it true that when you smoke marijuana one day you have a very difficult time the next day remembering what took place the day before?

A Sometimes.

Q Wouldn't that generally be the rule rather than the exception?

A Possibly. I can't think of an instance.

Have you had occasions when you were stoned one day that the next day you tried to remember something that happened the day before when you were stoned and you were not able to?

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question, your Honor, as ambiguous as to stoned on what.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Q Have you gotten stoned on marijuana -- I wonder, your Honor, could we do something with the microphone? I am not sure if I am doing something wrong.

8-3 1 THE COURT: You might change direction. Is that microphone on, Mr. Bugliosi? 3 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Turn it around. 5 Now, that might help. MR. HUGHES: Q 6 Have there been occasions when You have gotten stoned on marijuana and then the next day 7 not been able to remember some specific thing that you did 8 the day before, but something that you wanted to remember? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Have you ever watched television while smoking 11 marijuana? 12 13 A Yes. Has anybody ever asked you the next day or the Q 14 day after what you saw on television the night before? 15 I don't know. Possibly. 16 8A 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1

Have there been times, though, like that when Q You were not able to remember things which would normally have been very vivid?

I don't quite understand.

Q. Have there been times when people have asked you what did you eat last night and you weren t able to tell them because you were stoned the night before?

MR. STOVITZ: Stoned on marijuana, right?

MR. HUGHES: On marijuana.

THE WITNESS: Yes, maybe at that moment I couldn't remember, or maybe I couldn't remember at all.

MR. HUGHES: Q Sometimes on grass or on hashish, don't you see things in a totally new light?

> A Yes, sort of.

And as you get stoned on marijuana or hashish, isn't it increasingly difficult to carry on conversations?

You don't feel like talking. I don't.

You have had occasions, though, when you have talked with people while you were stoned?

> A Sure.

And has it been your experience that it is very difficult to remember from one minute to the next what you have said before and what your thinking is?

MR. S TOVITZ: Your Honor, that, of course, is ambiguous because if she can't remember, how can she answer the question whether she remembers?

25

26

THE COURT: overruled. THE WITNESS: Would you repeat that? MR. HUGHES: Could it be read back? THE COURT: Read the question. (The question was read by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: I don't know. MR. HUGHES: Q Haven't you had conversations where suddenly you will say, in the middle of the conversation, "What are we talking about?" A Possibly, yes. And this usually happens when you are stoned rather than when you are down? It sometimes happens when I am down. But it usually happens, you will lose your train of thought when you are stoned? A Yes. And that is a very common experience, to lose your train of thought while you are stoned, is it not? Sometimes. So, as a matter of fact, that is one of the joyous qualities of marijuana, is it not, that you are able to lose your train of thought? MR. STOVITZ: Objection. That assumes a fact not in

evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Q You stated yesterday that you

1	dug smoking grass.
2	A Yes.
3	Q You dug it a lot?
4	A Yes.
5	Q It was a joyous experience, was it not?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Isn't that one of the reasons that grass is so
8	enjoyable or so joyous, that you do lose your train of
9	thought when you are smoking it?
10	A Yes. Sometimes it takes you to a state of
11	just total nothingness, it is just bliss, whatever.
12	Q It is a lot of fun to lose your thought, isn't
13	it?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And it happens quite often on marijuana, does
16	it not?
17	A I guess so, yes.
18	Q Wouldn't you say that it happens just about
19	every time you have ever gotten stoned on marijuana, that
20	you have had experiences like this?
21	A Every time?
22	Q Just about every time?
23	A Possibly.
24	ŷ.
25	
26	

8b-1	1	Q And you don't consider that a bad effect of
(2	marijuana?
	3	A No.
	4	Q And isn't that the reason that you are unable
	5	to remember with any specificity what all those trips
	6	were about?
M s	7	A Say that again?
	8	Q You can't remember all of your marijuana trips?
	9	A Oh, no.
	10	Q You couldn't even begin to remember them,
٥	11	could you?
	12	A No.
	13	Q And it is because of this very quality that
	14	marijuana has of putting things out of your mind that you
	15	are not able to remember those trips; isn't that so?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Who was it that used to use the hyp kit on
	18	you to give you injections of methydrine.
: 2	19	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as immaterial. She said
	20	it was about two years ago, three years ago, that it
	21	happened.
	22	THE COURT: I think the question is ambiguous in
	23	its present form.
	24	Sustained.
	25	BY MR. HUGHES:
	26	Q You used to have some injections of methydrine;

8b - 2is that correct? A Yes. 2 And you stated, did you not, that you did not 3 inject yourself? A Right. 5 Q Someone else injected this methydrine into your 6 body? A Right. 8 That person wasn't a doctor? Q 9 Was that a man? 10 A Yes. 11 And was he a doctor? Q 12 A Not that I know of. 13 Who was that person? Q 14 MR. STOVITZ: Objected to, your Honor, as immaterial 15 and remote. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we have the answer in 18 camara, outside of the presence of the jury? 19 It may be most relevant and most material, your 20 Honor, in connection with -- may we approach the bench on 21 that, your Honor? 22 THE COURT: No. I have ruled, Mr. Kanarek. 23 Let's proceed. 24 BY MR. HUGHES: 25 Now, one of the reasons that you took drugs was Q

26

to search for the truth; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And you felt that you had found the truth on
acid; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And you felt that you had found Jesus on acid;
is that correct?
A No. I really wasn't too much into Christ at
that time.
Q But you were looking for God?
A Yes.
Q Or God-awareness?
A Yes.
Q And this, you felt, was similar to the truth?
A Yes.
Q And you recall the oath that you took as a
witness to tell the truth on the witness stand?
A Yes.
Q Do you know what the truth is?
A Yes.
Q Is that the same truth that you were able to
find on drugs?
MR. BUGLIOSI: That is an ambiguous question.
THE COURT: What is that?
MR. BUGLIOSI: An ambiguous question.
THE COURT: Sustained.

		3)	
		1	BY MR. HUGHES:
(2	Q Were you able to find the truth on drugs?
		3	A What I thought was the truth, yes.
		4	Q You don't feel that is the truth any more?
		. 5	A Some of the things I do.
		6	Q Your definition of truth has changed since you
		7	stopped taking drugs; is that true?
		8	A Has changed?
		9	Q Yes.
		10	A I don't quite understand.
		11	Q If you were searching for the truth, you didn't
		12	know what it was, did you?
8c	fls.	13	A Say that again?
1		14	
		15	
		16	
		17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	
		23	
		24	
		25	
		26	

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

If you were searching for the truth, you didn't know what the truth was, did you?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Truth as to what, your Honor? Ambiguous.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q MR. HUGHES: Now, you thought that Mr. Manson was Jesus Christ.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Q Did you ever feel that anybody else was Jesus Christ?

The Biblical Jesus Christ.

Have you ever met Jesus Christ before, besides meeting Mr. Manson?

> A No.

Did you think it was sort of funny that Mr. Manson walked down the beach with you and that you were holding the hand of Jesus Christ?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Assumes a fact not in evidence.

She stated that the night before she realized that he was not Jesus Christ.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Bugliosi's paraphrasing of the evidence, your Honor, I don't think is proper.

I would ask that the jury be admonished, your Honor, that Mr. Bugliosi's recollection of the evidence is not what is pertinent, it is the individual juror's

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

recollection, your Honor.

THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard the comments of all counsel.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The objection is that it assumes a fact not in evidence, your Honor, that, number one, he is Jesus Christ; and number two, that she thought on the second night that he was Jesus Christ. There is no evidence to that effect.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Let's proceed.

MR. HUGHES: Q When did you stop thinking that Mr. Manson was Jesus Christ?

A The night at the Tate residence.

Q And a day and a night later you were walking on the beach with Mr. Manson?

A Right.

Q And you were holding his hand?

A Right.

Q And you gave him some peanuts?

A Yes, uh-huh.

Q And you were in love with him?

A I don't know whether I was then.

Q Did you think he was Jesus Christ then?

A No.

Q Did you think he was a murderer?

MR. KANAREK: I object to that, your Honor, as

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

It

1 is immaterial. 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: I think it is very relevant, your 3 Honor. 4 MR. STOVITZ: It goes to her state of mind. 5 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I could ask a myriad of б questions on this subject, and I object on the ground that 7 it is calling for a conclusion. It has nothing but 8 prejudicial value, hearsay, and a legal conclusion, if I 9 might paraphrase the prosecution. 10 I asked this witness certain questions, and 11 there were objections, and I will object on the basis of 12 equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment 13 to the United States Constitution, because when I asked 14 this witness about legal responsibility, Mr. Bugliosi made 15 certain objections, and certainly it has to work both ways. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Her state of mind is relevant, your 17 Honor. 18 MR. KANAREK: Well, it is calling for a conclusion. 19 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 20 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. 21 MR. HUGHES: Q You were holding his hand, weren't 22 you? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And you walked along the beach with him? 25

A

26

Uh-huh, yes.

calling for a conclusion on the part of the witness.

1		Q	And you had good feelings about him, didn't
2	you?		
3		A	Yes, he made me feel good.
		Q	Made you feel real good?
4		A	I guess so, yes.
5			
6	3		
7	*		
8			•
9	<u> </u>		·
10			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	-		
17			
18			
19			
20			a a s
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

D

8d-1	1	Q Did you think he was Jesus then?
	2	A I don't remember thinking about it.
	3	Q Were you in love with him then?
	4	A Walking on the beach?
	5	Q Yes.
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Did you want to know him better?
	8	A I don't know.
	9	Q Did you want to spend the night with him?
	10	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is ambiguous.
	11	THE COURT: Overruled.
	12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
	13	BY MR. HUGHES:
	14	Q Did you want to make love to him?
	15	A I was just happy walking hand in hand.
	16	Q Did you want him as your man?
	17	A I don't remember thinking that.
	18	Q Now, hallucinogens cause you to think a lot,
	19	don't they?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q By hallucinogens, I mean LSD by hallucinogens,
	22	you mean LSD, you mean peyote, mescaline, psilocybin,
	23	magic mushrooms and morning glory seeds; is that right?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Are there some others that we have left out?
	26	MR. STOVITZ: That she used?

8d - 2THE WITNESS: That I have taken? 1 MR. HUGHES: Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall. 3 BY MR. HUGHES: But there might be because we are doing this 5 pretty fast; right? 6 I think you have named them all. 7 And besides causing you to think a lot, Q 8 hallucinogens make your mind go over the same subject 9 matter over and over; isn't that right? 10 MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous. Going/them at what time? 11 At the time that you are under the influence of the 12 hallucinogen, or later on? 13 BY MR. HUGHES: 14 Q. While you are stoned? 15 I don't quite understand. 16 THE COURT: We will take the recess at this time, Mr. 17 Hughes. 18 Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with 19 anyone nor form or express any opinion in regard to the 20 case until it is finally submitted to you. 21 The court will recess for 15 minutes. 22 9 fls. (Recess.) 23 24 25 26

	1.0	
9-1	1	THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are
	2	present. You may continue, Mr. Hughes.
(3	MR. HUGHES: Thank you, your Honor.
	4	BY MR. HUGHES:
	5	Q Did you ever close your eyes when you were on
	6	acid?
	7	A Sure.
	8	Q Did you ever fall asleep when you were on acid?
	9	A Yes.
	-	Q Was it difficult to fall asleep?
	10	A Sometimes.
	11	Q Did it tend to keep you up?
	12	A Sometimes.
(13	Q Would you sometimes drop acid late at night
	14	and stay up all night?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Was that a common experience?
	17	A In the city, yes.
	18	Q You would stay in an apartment and drop acid?
	19	A Yeah.
	20	Q You would listen to music?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Make love?
	23	A Sometimes.
	24	Q What was it like, making love on acid?
	25	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.
	26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 BY MR. HUGHES: 2 Was it different making love on acid than 3 making love at other times when you were not on acid? 4 MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 MR. HUGHES: May I have a moment? 7 (Off the record consultation between Mr. 8 Fitzgerald and Mr. Hughes.) 9 BY MR. HUGHES: 10 When you made love with Mr. --11 MR. HUGHES: Excuse me, am I interrupting, your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Go right ahead. 13 BY MR. HUGHES: 14 When you had intercourse with Mr. Manson were Q 15 you ever on acid? 16 A No. 17 How about with Mr. Watson? Q 18 A No. 19 Mr. Davis? Q 20 A No. 21 Clem Tufts? Q 22 A No. 23 Anyone at the ranch? Q 24 A No. 25 Was there some reason for that? Q 26

1	A The day I took acid I did not make love.
2	Q How long would your acid trip generally last?
3	MR. STOVITZ: Counsel, are you using acid as LSD?
4	MR. HUGHES: Acid is LSD.
5	MR. STOVITZ: Just LSD acid, thank you, counsel.
6	THE WITNESS: Well, it would depend on the quantity
7	and the quality.
8	BY MR. HUGHES:
9	Q I'm sorry, I was not able to hear.
10	A It would depend on the quantity and the
11	quality of the acid.
12	THE COURT: Just a moment, keep your mouth just a
13	little further away from the microphone, please.
14	BY MR. HUGHES:
15	Q How long would it normally last?
16	A A full day maybe.
17	Q A full day?
	A Yes.
18	Q 18 hours?
19	A I mean no, maybe 12 hours.
20	Q And then would there be a residual period, a
21	period after, when you were coming down?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And would that last another half a day,
24	perhaps?
25	A No, I included that in the 12 hours.
26	

1	Q Would there be a period after you would come
2	down, after the 12 hours, when you would be out of sorts;
3	when you would be distressed or depressed or tired?
4	A Yeah.
5	Q And would this depression, or this tiredness
6	last for several days sometimes?
7	A Well, depending if I got any sleep.
8	Q In relation to acid, do you know the word
9	peak?
10	A Oh, yeah.
11	Q What does it mean to peak on acid?
12	A During the trip it's like you're climbing,
13	and then you reach a certain plane which you call the peak,
14	and then it starts to come down.
15	Q And does acid distort your thoughts?
16	A Distort? I don't understand your question.
17	Q Does it change your thoughts in some way?
18	MR. STOVITZ: Ambiguous as to whether it is while
19	on acid or after the acid.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MR. HUGHES:
22	Q While on acid do your thoughts change in some
23	way?
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, thoughts as to what?
25	THE COURT: In relation to what also. Ambiguous,
26	sir. Sustained.

1	BY MR. HUGHES:
2	Q Have you ever had thoughts while on acid that
3	you never had before?
4	A Yeah.
5	Q Isn't that actually a very common occurrence,
6	to have new thoughts on acid?
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Did some of these thoughts stay with you
9	after the acid trip?
10	A Yeah.
11	Q Have you had new thoughts on grass, when you
12	got stoned on grass?
l3	A I don't know, I can't really say, I don't
14	know.
15	Q Grass sometimes makes you see events in a
16	new light, does it not?
17	A I guess so, I'm not quite sure.
18	Q Some of the thoughts that you have on acid
19	stay with you later, is that correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q When you are on acid, acid results in a mental
22	confusion, is that right?
23	A What is that again?
24	Q Acid causes a mental confusion.
25	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, mental confusion while
26	under the influence of the acid, or later on?

1	BY MR. HUGHES:
2	Q While under the influence?
3	A Sometimes during questions that I cannot answer,
4	and I keep, you know, searching, sometimes it ends in con-
5	fusion, yes.
6	Q Does acid expand your senses?
7	A I don't understand that.
8	Q Well, does acid distort your sense of time?
9	A Well, I don't really care about, you know, the
10	dividing of time on the clock, while I am on acid or
11	even while I am not on acid sometimes.
12	Q Have you had feelings while you were stoned on
13	acid that hours and hours had passed, but you were able
14	to tell later that it had only been minutes?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you had these feelings on marijuana also,
17	haven't you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Would you call that a distortion of your sense
20	of time?
21	A I guess so, yes.
22	Q And this acid, when you are on acid, is your
23	sense of space distorted?
24	A I don't quite understand.
25	Q Have rooms seemed to get larger?
26	A No.

- 23	***
1	Q Have they appeared to get smaller?
2	A Sometimes, if there are a lot of people in
3	the room, yes.
4	Q Have people who were across the room seemed
, 5	to get further away?
6	A Not that I can recall.
.7	Q Have you felt alienated from people on acid?
8	A Alienated? I don't quite understand your
9	question.
10	Q Have you felt distant from people on acid?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Have you had the feeling that they drew away
13	from you?
14	MR. BUGLIOSI: Physically or emotionally?
15	Ambiguous.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	BY MR. HUGHES:
18	Q Have you had the feeling that they physically
19	drew away from you?
20	A No.
21	Q Have you had the feeling that they emotionally
22	drew away from you?
23	A I don't quite understand what you mean, drew
24	away from me.
25	Q Have you heard the expression "blow your mind"?
26	A Yes.

10 fls.

	large	confu	sions?
1		A	I guess so.
2			I still don't really understand.
3		MR. S	TOVITZ: What is that?
4		THE W	ITNESS: I still don't really understand what he
5	is say		
6		MR. H	UGHES: Q Well, when you freak out or blow
7	your n		do you become disoriented?
8		Α	Sometimes.
9		କ୍	Lose track of time even more than you do already?
10		A	Sometimes.
11		Q.	And do you become depressed?
12		A	1
13		Q.	At the time, or when it is over?
14			Either way.
15		A	When it is over I have, yes.
16		Q	And how long might that depression last?
17		A	I don't know.
18		Q	Have you ever been treated by a psychiatrist?
19		A	No.
20		Q,	You stated you visited a Paul Rosenberg, I
21	believ	re, in	Topanga?
22		A	Right.
23		Q	And that was when you returned from New Mexico?
24	V	A	Yes.
		Q	Do you meall on what day you saw him?
25	V	A	I didn't see him that day.
26	=		ja.

		7329
.0- 4		Q And you tried to see him when you came back?
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Why?
	3	A To get an attorney.
	4 5	Q To get what?
	6	A An attorney.
	7	Q Now, you described an orgy that took place at
	8	Spahn Ranch; is that correct?
	9	A Right.
	10	Q And was that the first time that you had ever
	11	made love with a group of individuals?
	12	MR. STOVITZ: Objected to as immaterial, your Honor.
	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
(14	MR. HUGHES: Q Did you know what to do?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Did anybody hold a gun at your head?
	17	A No.
	18	Q Did you feel guilty or ashamed?
	19	A No.
	1201	Q Actually, what took place at this orgy pri-
	21	marily was a lot of hugging and kissing; isn't that true?
	1 22 1	A Right.
	23	Q And you didn't feel guilty and ashamed about
	24	that, did you?
	25	A No.
	26	Q And you had hugged and kissed more than one
	i	person at a time before, hadn't you?

10-5 At a time? The same time? I don't quite A understand. You had hugged and kissed with a number of Q people at one time before, had you not? A Yes. 10A

So, largely, this was nothing new for you at Q 10-a-1 1 Spahn Ranch, was it? 2 Partly, no. A 3 When did you first find that you were pregnant 4 with your son, Angel? 5 Well, I thought I was all along, but I found out 6 for sure, for positive, in New Mexico. 7 When in New Mexico? 8 When? A 9 The first time in New Mexico? The second Q 10 time? 11 A Oh, the first time. 12 That would be sometime in early September? Q 13 A Yes. 14 MR. HUGHES: May I have a moment, your Honor? 15 (Mr. Hughes and Mr. Fitzgerald confer.) 16 MR. HUGHES: Now, you said that you suspected that 17 you were pregnant earlier. 18 Why did you suspect that? 19 Because I felt that I was aware of the moment A 20 I conceived. 21 You were aware of the moment you conceived? Q Was that your answer? 23 Yes. 24 How did you become aware of the moment you 25 conceived?

10a2 Well, to me, when you conceive it is just like 1 when you have a baby. 2 It is hard to explain. I wasn't aware when I 3 first had Tanya, when she first entered through, but with 4 Angel, I don't know, I just felt that I became pregnant 5 through this act of lovemaking. 6 Q By that reasoning, then, you could determine who 7 the father of your child is? 8 A Yes. 9 But medically, you were not certain that you 10 were pregnant until you had gone to New Mexico? 11 Α Right. 12 You stated earlier, did you not, that one of the Q 13 reasons you didn't go to the police was that you wanted to 14 give birth to your child first? 15 A Right. 16 Q Has the case against you been dismissed? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When? 19 A This morning. 20 Q In chambers? 21 A Yes. 22 Q And what does that mean to you? 23 Everybody says that I am a free woman, but I 24

Will you be released from custody today?

don't feel any different.

25

A	I guess so.
Q	Who is this everyone who says you are a free
woman?	
A	Who?
	My attorneys and a few of the officers have
said it. I	guess that is all.
Q	Now, the fact that you were pregnant didn't
keep you fr	om taking hallucinogens; is that right?
_ A	I didn't take them as much.
Q	Have you ever smoked grass in the same room
where your	child was?
A	Yes.
Q	Was that a small room?
A	Yes.
Q	And were the windows closed?
MR. B	UGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.
THE C	OURT: Sustained.
MR. H	OGHES: Q Do you think your child got
stoned?	y a
MR. B	UGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion.
THE C	OURT: Sustained.
MR. H	NUGHES: Q Was there a lot of smoke in the
room?	
MR. B	UGLIOSI: Irrelevant.
THE C	OURT: Sustained.
MR. H	UGHES: Q Would you have cared if Tanya

got stoned? 10a4 I believe that she was stoned naturally. I believe all children are. 3 You breast-fed her for about a year; is that 4 correct? 5 A Yes. 6 A little longer, actually? 7 Yes. A year and three months. A 8 Q Do you think that through your milk she 9 received any of the drugs or stoney substances that you 10 were ingesting? 11 I'm not sure. I don't know. 11 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 26

11-1		Q How did you care for Tanya when you were
	1	stoned on different drugs?
	2	
	3	A The same way I did when I wasn't stoned.
	4	Q Was it more difficult?
	, 5	A No.
	6	Q Have you had feelings on acid or other hallu-
	7	cinogens about reincarnation?
	8	A With and without drugs, yes.
	9 9	Q Without drugs also?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Would you tell us what those feelings have been
	12	on drugs.
	13	A I don't quite understand your question.
(14	Are you asking me if I believed in reincarna-
	15	tion?
	16	Q I want you to tell me what your feelings on
	17	drugs about reincarnation were.
	18	MR. STOVITZ: Are you confining this to acid or as
	19	to marijuana as well, Counsel?
	20	MR. HUGHES: Any drug.
18	21	MR. STOVITZ: You are including within that marijuana
	22	then?
	23	MR. HUGHES: Yes.
	24	BY MR. HUGHES:
	25	Q You understand the question, don't you?
	26	A Not really.

3.3	O What footings should be a set of the set o
1	Q What feelings about reincarnation have you had
2	while under the influence of any drug?
3	A Just that I believe in reincarnation.
4	Q And what do you mean when you say you believe
5	in reincarnation?
6	A That when you die your soul enters into another
7	body, you know, you keep going through the cycle until you
8	have reached a plane of maybe purification, perfection,
9	and then you go straight to God, you become one with God.
10	Q Have you reached that plane?
11	A No.
12	Q Have you gone through many cycles?
13	MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
15	THE WITNESS: Have I gone through many cycles?
16	MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, then, on the grounds we
17	are getting into remote areas that are totally irrelevant
	to the charges of this case.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	MR. KANAREK: It goes to her state of mind.
20	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
21	BY MR. HUGHES:
22	Q Have you felt when you were stoned on acid that
23	you could communicate with animals?
24	A Even when I was not on drugs.
25	
26	Q You feel you can communicate with animals?

1	A Yes.
2	Q How do you communicate with animals?
3	A Not with words. It's more or less vibrations.
4	Q You can send out vibrations that the animals
5	can sense, can't you?
6	A Yeah.
7	Q And the animals send out vibrations that you
8	can sense, isn't that correct?
9	A Right.
10	Q This does not require that the animal be
11	within your line of vision, does it?
12	A I have never done it that way.
13	Q Well, do you feel that wooden walls would stop
14	the vibrations?
15	A Well, I always put out vibrations to an animal
16	who was right there, so I don't know.
17	Q Do you feel then you have not fully developed
18	this sense of communication?
19	A I don't know.
20	Q What do you mean by the term "send out vibra-
21	tions"?
22	A Well, it's like when you're riding a horse
23	and you put out fear vibrations.
24	The horse picks up on it, and sometimes he will
25	sort of like overpower you and he becomes your master and
26	you do what he wants you to do, something like that.
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

	1	Q Do you feel that you were controlled by Mr.
	2	Manson primarily by vibrations?
	3	A Possibly.
	4	Q Did he put off a lot of vibes?
	5	A Sure, he's doing it right now.
	6	MR. HUGHES: May the record reflect, your Honor, that
	7	Mr. Manson is merely sitting here.
	8	MR. KANAREK: He doesn't seem to be vibrating.
	9	BY MR. HUGHES:
	10	Q How many times did you give Tanya acid?
	11	A I never gave her acid.
	12	Q I'm talking now about small quantities also.
	13	A I never put anything, no drug into her mouth.
(14	Q How about any other organic substance which
	15	would cause some drug induced state?
lla fls.	16	A No, I never gave her any.
	17	
	18	*
	19	
	20	
	21	
*	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	•
		a contract of the contract of

A-1	1	Q Did you feel you became more aware on acid?
	2	A Yeah.
	3	And you are able to tell when you are stoned on
	4	acid when other people are stoned on acid?
	5	A Say that again.
	6	Q You are able to tell when you are stoned on
	7	acid when other people are stoned on acid, aren't you?
	8	A Sometimes.
	9	Q And you have experienced extrasensory perception
	10	on acid, haven't you?
	11	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous as to what extrasensory
	12	perception is, your Honor.
č	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
	14	Q BY MR. HUGHES: Do you know what extrasensory
	15	perception is?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q What is extrasensory perception?
	18	A Awareness of someone else's thoughts directed
	19	towards you.
	20	Q And you have experienced extrasensory perception
	21	on acid, have you not?
	22	A On acid? Yes.
	23	Q And have you experienced it on other drugs?
	24	A I can just recall one instance on acid.
	25	Q Have you experienced it when you were not stoned?
	26	A Yes.

				7070	
	V ,	V	Q	Do you experience it a lot?	1
	2		A	No.	
	3		Q	On how many occasions would you say you were	
	4	able	to exp	erience extrasensory perceptions?	
	5		A	Two occasions that I recall.	
			Q	Extrasensory perceptions are like reading min	nds,
	6	aren	t they	?	
	7	9	A	I guess so, I'm not sure.	
	8		Q	Well, what do you feel extrasensory perception	ns
	9	are,	then?		417
	10		A	Just awareness of somebody else's thoughts,	
	11/	 vibra	tions,	directed towards you.	
0.5	12/			You are just aware at that moment when they a	1
	13	think	ing abo	out you, and the thought enters in.	(a)
	14		Q	So you were able to tell then what other peop	ole.
	15	were	thinki	ng at that moment?	x "-, "
	16			UGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.	
	17			OURT: Sustained.	23
	18		Q	BY MR. HUGHES: You felt you were able to tel	,
	19	what		people were thinking, didn't you?	_
	20			No. (200)	AN
	21		Q	How do you account for being able to tell whe	H)
	22	other	_	e were stoned on acid?	
	23		A	Say that again.	
	24		Q.	You said you were sometimes able to tell when	6
	25	other		were stoned on acid.	
	26	<u></u>	r- o Ewo		
		1			- 4

.1a2

	2	
.1a3	1	A Yeah.
	2	Q You could not tell that by their body
	3	trembling, could you?
	4	A No.
	5	Q You could not tell it by the condition of their
	6	hair?
	7	A A lot of times it was the physical a physical
	8	thing that made me realize they were on acid.
	9	Q What sort of physical thing?
	10	A The way they walked, the way they talked, just
	11	the look in their eyes.
	12	Q Do you feel that people who are on acid give
	13	out certain vibes, vibrations?
(14	A Maybe, yeah.
	15	Q Have you felt that?
	16	A Not that I can recall.
	17	Q Have you ever been around people who you
	18	thought were stoned, and got contact-high?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q What is a contact-high?
	21	A Where you will yourself into a state of being
	22	stoned.
	23	Q And has that happened quite frequently?
	24	A I have done it a couple of times.
	25	Q And do you think that this ability to get high
	26	in this manner is easier because you have used drugs?

11a4	1	A Yeah.
(2	Q So really your life has changed a lot since
	3	you have used drugs, hasn't it?
	4	A I can't say.
	5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous, your Honor, in what way?
	6	THE COURT: She answered the question.
12	7	
	8	×
	9	
	10	· ·
	11	
	12	
(13	
	14	
	15	*
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19 20	
	21	
	22	
	23	10 2
	24	
	25	
	26	¥

	- 6	
12-1	1	MR. HUGHES: Q You are able to get high now
	2	without drugs?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And do you feel that that is the result of
	5	using drugs?
	6	A I can't say. I don't know.
	7	Q You are able, on occasion, to experience extra-
	8	sensory perceptions; is that correct?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q And do you feel that that is the result of
	11	having used drugs?
	12	A I den't know.
	13	Q You feel you are sometimes able to sense what
£.	14	other people are doing even though you can't see them,
	15	don t you?
	16	A Say that again?
	17	Q You feel that you can sometimes tell what other
	18	people are doing even though you are not able to see them
	19	at that instant; isn't that correct?
	20	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is ambiguous, your Honor.
	21	She may have been told by someone what someone
	22	else was doing at a particular time. If they were at a
	23	game. It is just ambiguous the way it is phrased.
	24	THE COURT: Sustained.
	25	MR. HUGHES: Q Have you felt that you have
	26	been able to talk to spirits on acid?

1	
1	A No.
2	Q In your mind, now, have you felt that?
3	A No.
4	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is ambiguous. What is a spirit?
5	That is the objection, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Have you answered the question?
_x .7	THE WITNESS: I said no.
8	THE COURT: She answered the question, Mr. Bugliosi.
9	MR. HUGHES: Q Have you felt that you have
10	been able to communicate with your ancestors on acid or
11	on any drug?
12	A No.
13	Q Have you felt you have been able to communicate
14	with your prior lives, that is, the lives from which you
15	have been reincarnated into Linda Kasabian?
16	MR. BUGLIOSI: Assuming a fact not in evidence.
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	MR. HUGHES: Q Have you had a past life?
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: Assuming a fact not in evidence.
20	Calls for a conclusion.
21	MR. KANAREK: It goes to her state of mind, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MR. HUGHES: Q Do you think you had a past
25	life?
26	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant. Calls for a conclusion.

1	A ye	B.
2	Q Yo	u liked to rap?
3	A Ye	S.
4	Q Wh	at does rap mean?
5	A Ta	lk a lot.
6	Q An	d would you just talk endlessly?
7	A Ye	3 •
8	Q Wo	uld you talk with other people who you
9	thought were or	n speed?
10	A Who	o I knew were on speed, yes.
11	Q It	was sort of hard to talk together, wasn't
12	1t?	
13	A Son	metimes, yes.
14	Q You	were both talking at once?
15	A Son	metimes.
16	Q You	kept trying to interrupt each other?
17	A Ye	s, sometimes.
18	Q And	d a lot of ideas and fantasies would go
19	through your m	ind on speed, wouldn't they?
20	MR. STOV:	TZ: Ideas and fantasies are ambiguous and
21	compound, your	Honor. I object to the question.
22	THE COUR!	T: Sustained.
23	MR. HUGH	S: Q Would a lot of ideas go through
24	your mind on s	peed?
25	A A :	ot of ideas go through my mind when I am not
26	on speed.	

12a-1	1	Q Would you say more went through your mind on
	2	speed than when you are down?
()	3	A I don't know. I can't really say.
	4	Q Would a lot of fantasies pass through your mind?
	5	A I can't really say.
	6	Q Would you talk about things in minute detail?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And express a keen interest in what you are
	9	talking about?
	10	A Excuse me?
	11	Q You would express a keen interest in what you
	12	were talking about?
	13	A Yes.
	14	MR. BUGLIOSI: Objection.
	15	THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
	16	MR. BUGLIOSI: That is all right. You have answered
	17	it.
	18	MR. HUGHES: May the answer be read back?
	19	THE COURT: Read the question and the answer, please.
	20	(The record was read by the reporter.)
	21	BY MR. HUGHES:
	22	Q When you first used speed in small quantities,
	23	didn't it clear up your depression?
	24	MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor. What relevancy
	25	would her answer have one way or the other to the charges
	26	in this case? It is so remote it is completely immaterial.

;2a-2	1	THE COURT: Sustained.
	2	BY MR. HUGHES:
	3	Q When you used speed in large quantities, it
	4	caused a lot of confusion, didn't it?
	. 5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection, your Honor.
	6	THE COURT: Overruled.
	7	You may answer.
	8	THE WITNESS: I never took it in large quantities.
	9	BY MR. HUGHES:
	10	Q You took it in large enough quantities to create
	11	depression, didn't you?
	12	A After a while, from lack of sleep and lack of
	13	food, I got depressed, yes.
	14	Q Large enough quantities to make you unable to
	15	sleep and unable to eat; right?
	16	A Right.
	17	Q Have you ever communicated with inanimate
	18	objects?
	19	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.
	20	THE COURT: Overruled.
	21	THE WITNESS: I don't quite understand you.
	22	BY MR. HUGHES:
	23	Q Have you ever communicated with the trees in
	24	the forest?
	25	MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the grounds that the word
	26	communication is ambiguous. There is a vast array of
	;2a-2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

12a-3	3 1	definitions.
	2	THE COURT: Sustained.
	3	BY MR. HUGHES:
	4	Q When on any drug or substance which got you
	_ 5	high, have you felt that you became one with the universe?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Would you explain how this feeling came about?
	8	A That is a mighty hard question. I can't
	9	explain it.
	10	Q Can you try?
	11	A I have tried many times and I don't have the
	12	words for it.
(-	13	Q Did you feel that the universe was made of
	14	molecules and that somehow you fit into those molecules
	15	yourself in some perfect manner?
	16	A I don't understand your question.
	17	Q While in the condition which we just mentioned,
	18	stoned on acid or some other hallucinogen, did you feel that
	19	you were perfect?
	20	A Perfect?
	2	Q Yes.
	2	MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous. What does the word
	2:	"perfect" mean?
12ъ :	fls. 2	THE COURT: Sustained.
	2	5
	2	5

MR. HUGHES: Q Do you know what the word "perfect" means?

A Yes.

Q Have you felt that you were perfect when you were stoned?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Q When you are first coming on on acid, and up to the time that you have peaked, which you have described as the high point --

A Yes.

Q -- is it very difficult to perform tasks which normally would be simple?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is too broad a question, your Honor. I object on that ground. It is also ambiguous and it is also irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: May the record reflect that Mr. Bugliosi's objection didn't come in until after the witness had hesitated several seconds in answering the question?

THE COURT: Ask your next question.

MR. HUGHES: Q Have you ever felt, when you were getting stoned on acid, that you wanted to go across the room and sit down but you felt that it was difficult to go across the room and getthere?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant and immaterial.