SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

89

Vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Thursday, September 17, 1970 A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 89

.

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 10496

10496 to 10596

Official Reporters

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1970 9:50 o'clock a.m.

3

1

4

5

7

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

b

ŻI

22

23 24

25

26

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court out of the hearing of the jury, all counsel being present:)

THE COURT: The record will show all counsel are present.

I called you into chambers because I have received a letter from, apparently, a prisoner at San Quentin who claims to have had a conversation with Mr. Manson prior to his arrest, that is, the prisoner's arrest, in May of 1969, wherein he states that he had met Mr. Manson several times in Hollywood and Mr. Manson told him something of his plans, including the Benedict Canyon affair.

So I will give the letter to the Clerk. It is available to any counsel who wants to read it.

It may or may not mean anything.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have a brief comment, your Honor.

A couple of days ago I mentioned that one of our star witnesses was threatened with her life.

About two days after I spoke to you in chambers she was found in Honolulu almost dead. We intend to pursue the matter to the limit.

There apparently was an attempted murder.

I mentioned the threat one day and the next day she was almost murdered.

THE COURT: Who was the witness?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Barbara White. It was a member of the Family that gave her an overdose, and I state to defense counsel again, because I am going to do everything within my power to get this out in front of the jury, and this particular member of the Family is going to be prosecuted either by our office or by Honolulu.

Court for some type of help. Apparently the defendants and members of their Family will stop at nothing.

2 fls.

5.

2-Í

2

3

ľ

4

É

5

7 -

- 9

10

11 12

13.

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2Ļ

22

23

24

26

MR. HUGHES: May we inquire who this person is?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Apparently, my discussion several days ago back in chambers had no effect because after the discussion she was found in Honolulu with enough LSD in her to kill two people and she was on the brink of death for several days and now she is just coming around.

MR. HUGHES: May I ask who the person is?
MR. BUGLIOSI: The victim is Barbara Hoyt.

MR. HUGHES: I know that. You told me that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: The other party I would rather not mention. I think if you will talk to anyone, they will tell you. Ask Sandra Goode or anyone like that.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion that Mr. Bugliosi be sworn, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, this is just a gratuitous statement by Mr. Bugliosi and has nothing whatever to do with this case and I won't dignify it by listening to any motion by anyone.

MR. KANAREK: May I just make one comment?

It is my position, and I know that I have stated it previously --

THE COURT: There is no need to make a statement.

What Mr. Bugliosi said is his opinion. He may be right or wrong, I have no idea. It has nothing to dowith this case at this point.

MR. KANAREK: I was going to make a statement

2-2

2

4

1

6

5

-8

7.

10

.⁺9

11

13 14

15

16

17

. 18

<u>1</u>9

20 21

22

23

24

26

concerning jeopardy.

If the prosecution deliberately introduces error into the case --

THE COURT: There is no need to make a statement, Mr. Kanarek. There is nothing before me at this time.

Is there any reason why we should not go back into court and resume the trial at this time?

Very well.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings occur in open court, all defendants, counsel and jurors present:)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.
MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. De Carlo.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

1

22

23 24

25

26

DANNY DE CARLO,

recalled as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Be seated, please.

Would you state your name, sir.

THE WITNESS: Danny De Carlo.

THE CLERK: You are still under oath, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Danny, when we left off last Friday you were telling us about Manson discussing cutting the throats of plgs and hanging them up.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench briefly on this?

THE COURT: If you have an objection, state it, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: I would like to enunciate it at the bench if I may, perhaps I can expedite the matter by making some point to the Court.

THE COURT: If you have an objection, state it.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I object to that
particular question on the grounds that it is leading and
suggestive.

Furthermore, your Honor, the prejudicial value

.

23

24

25

26

far outweighs the probative value in view of the fact of the inability of this witness to tie this down to time, place and who was present.

THE COURT: overruled.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a continuing objection, then, your Honor, on the grounds that the probative value is far outweighed by the prejudicial value.

May I have that continuing objection?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: I may not!

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Also about the neighbors leaving town, and the police turning in their tin badges.

Did Mr. Manson tell you why the neighbors would leave town and the police would turn in their tin badges?

- A Well, they would be afraid.
- Q Did he say anything else?
- A It would blow their minds.
- Q It would blow whose minds?
- A Well, the minds of the public.

Q pid he say anything about a maniac?

MR. KANAREK: That was leading and suggestive, I suggest, your Honor.

THE COURT: Read the question, please.

(Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending question as follows:

HQ. Did he say anything about a maniac?") 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: In this discussion about pigs 4 did he say anything about a maniac? 5 MR. SHINN: I am doing to object on the grounds it is 6 immaterial and irrelevant, your-Honor. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. MR SHINN: It is asking for a conclusion. THE WITNESS: There would be a maniac on the loose. 10 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: People would think there was a 11 maniac on the loose? 12 Yeah. 13 Did Manson ever say anything about the 14 Establishment? 15 MR. SHINN: Your Honor, it is ambiguous. I object on 16 those grounds, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: The Establishment was no good. 19 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did Mr. Manson say that? 20 Ä Yeah. 21 You mentioned earlier in your testimony, Danny, 22 that Manson spoke of Helter Skelter. 23 Would the term Helter Skelter come up in any 24 particular context in a sentence? 25 How would he use the term Helter Skelter? 26

ď

1	MR. KANAREK: May I inquire on voir dire as to whether
2	this witness knows what the word "context" means?
3	THE COURT: Denied.
4	THE WITHESS: It means trouble.
5	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Right, but how would be use
6	the term "Helter Skelter," in a sentence?
.7	A Well, "Helter Skelter is coming down fast."
8	Q Danny, have you ever heard of Revelation 9?
ĝ	A Yeah.
1Ô	Q Where and when did you hear of Revelation 9 for
ĬĮ	the first time?
12	A I heard it at the ranch.
13	Q Approximately when?
14	A In June.
15	Q Q£ 1969?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q Did you ever hear Manson mention Revelation 9?
18.	A Yeah.
19	Q Did he ever mention it to you?
20	MR. KANAREK: May we have a foundation, your Honor,
21	as to time and who was present?
22	MR. BUGLIOSI: I am laying the foundation now, your
23	Honor.
24	Q Did Manson ever mention Revention 9 to you,
25	Danny?
26	A Yeah.

4-1	1	Q Was it between March of '69 and August of '69?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q At Spahn Ranch?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q Do you know who was present when he mentioned
÷	-6	Revelation 9 to you?
	5 7	A No.
3	8	Were there other people present?
· ·	ģ.	A I don't know.
	10	. Q. What did Manson say to you about Revelation 9?
	11	MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.
	12	MR. SHINN: Also hearsay as to Susan Atkins.
	13	MR.HUGHES: Amias to Leslie Van Houten.
	14	THE COURT: Overruled.
	15	You may answer.
	16	THE WITNESS: Well, Revelation 9, I don't know,
	17	"Ran down the destiny."
	18	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	19	Q Of what?
	20	A. Of the world.
	21	Q This is what Manson told you?
	22	A Right.
	23	Q Did he ever tell you to read Revelations 9?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Did you ever do it?
·••	26	A No.

Had you ever heard of Revelation 9 before you 1 came to Spahn Ranch? A No. .3 Did you ever hear Manson mention Revelation 9 to other people? A Yes. To whom? I don't know. To other people. At the Ranch? 9 10 Many times? 11 A No. 12 Did he ever discuss Revelation 9 at dinnertime? Q 13 A Maybe once. 14, To the Family? Q 15 A Yes. 16 Q Did Charles Manson ever say anything to you, 17 Danny, about death? 18 MR. FITZGERALD: Leading and suggestive. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 Well, you shouldn't be afraid to die. Death 21 meant nothing. 22 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 23 This is what Manson told you? Q 24 A Yes. 25 On how many occasions? 26.

		10,507
4-3	1	A Once.
·.	2	Q Where at?
	.3.	A I don't know.
	4	Q Was it at Spahn Ranch?
	Ś	A Yes.
	6	0 Between March and August of '69?
,	7	A Yes.
· , ·	.8	Was anyone else present in addition to yourself
٠ <u>٠</u>	9.	and Mr. Manson?
	10	A I don't know.
	11	Q Did you ever shoot at a bird at Spahn Ranch?
	12	MR. FITZGERALD: Leading and suggestive.
	13	THE COURT: Overruled.
	14	MR. FITZGERALD: And immaterial and irrelevant.
	15	MR. SHINN: Join in the objection.
	16	THE COURT: Overruled.
,	17	THE WITNESS: Yes. I shot at a number of them.
•	18	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	19	Q Did you ever shoot at a bird at Spahn Ranch
, ¥	20	in Mr. Manson's presence?
4.	21	MR. FITZGERALD: Immaterial and irrelevant. Calls
	22	for hearsay.
•	23	MR. SHINN: Join in the objection.
	24	THE COURT: Hearsay, Mr. Fitzgerald? What do you
	25	mean by that?
	26,	MR. FITZGERALD: I don't know what he is going to

4-4

2

. 1

3

5

, **5**

¥.

4a fls.

5

13

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20.

21

22 23

24

25 26 testify to but I assume that he is going to testify to a conversation.

Obviously, shooting a bird isn't relevant.

THE COURT: The question doesn't call for hearsay.

MR. FITZGERALD: Immaterial and irrelevant.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you want me to repeat the question,

Danny? .

THE WITNESS: Yes.

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Do you have an objection?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: State it.

MR. KANAREK: I would ask that that be stricken, your Honor, that the response be stricken, on the grounds that the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value.

There is no foundation laid, your Honor, as to time and place.

MR. FITZGERALD: Move to strike. Hearsay as to Patricia Krenwinkel.

THE COURT: Motion is denied.

MR. HUGHES: Move to strike. Hearsay as to Ledie Van Houten.

THE COURT: Denied.

MR. FITZGERALD: May I ask a question for the purpose of interposing an objection, your Honor?

The question I have in mind is whether or not Patricia Krenwinkel was present at the time this alleged conversation took place.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Was Miss Krenwinkel present during that conversation, Danny?

- A No.
- Q Was Lealie Van Houten present?
- A No.
- Q Was Susan Atkins present?

A No. I don't know.

MR. FITZGERALD: I will renew my motion to strike. Hearsay as to Krenwinkel.

MR. KANAREK: I will join on the grounds of hearsay.

MR. SHINN: Join on behalf of Susan Atkins.

MR. HUGHES: Join on behalf of Leslie Van Houten.

THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It can be limited to Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: All right.

The jury is admonished to consider this testimony, the answer to the question and the previous answers with regard to Mr. Manson's statements in the presence of this witness when none of the other defendants were present, to be considered only as to Mr. Manson and not to be considered for any purpose as to the other defendants.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q How old are you, Danny?

A 26.

Q How old is Mr. Manson, if you know?

MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion, your Honor, on the part of this witness, clearly.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Age is an opinion that the witness can testify to, your Honor.

THE COURT: You didn't ask him that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: All right.

25 26

Ĭ

2

3.

4

5

Ģ

7

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

		
Ţ	Q	Do you have an opinion as to Mr. Manson's age?
	A	34, 35, I guess.
2		0,, 00, 4, 64000.
4b fls. 3		
4	·	•
5.		
6	<u> </u> 	•
7 3		v.
. 8		,
4 9	,	· ·
10		· ·
11		
12		
13	,	
.14		
15] .	,
16	· ·	
17		· ·
18		•
19	<u> </u>	*
20		*
21		
. 22		
23		
24		*
25		,
26		

23

24

25

26

Q What about Bruce Davis?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object to that.

"What about Bruce Davis?" That is an incomplete question.

THE COURT: It is ambiguous. Sustained.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Do you have any opinion as to his age?

A No.

Q You have no opinion as to his approximate age?

A I don't know. Maybe the same age as me. 26,

I guess.

Q What about Tex Watson? Do you have any opinion as to his age?

A 25.

Q What about Clem?

A I don't know, 22.

Q What about the girls in the Family? Do you have any opinion as to their ages?

I am referring to the 13 girls now that were living at Spahn Ranch when you first came there in March of 169.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is ambiguous. I think if he is going to ask an opinion, may he ask it as to each person? I don't think he can lump them together. He is talking about 13 people, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it is ambiguous, Mr. Bugliosi. Are you talking about a range of ages, or what?

52	1	MR.	BUGLIOSI: Let me lay more of a foundation.
	2	Q.	Do you have any opinion as to Susan Atkins!
,	3.	age?	
	4.	A	21.
	5	Q	Leslie Van Houten?
	.6	A	About 19.
۵	7	Q ·	Patricia Krenwinkel?
÷	8	. Α.	20.
Ť	9'	Q	Do you know a girl by the name of Ruth Morehouse?
	10	A	Yes.
ā	11	, Q	Was she a member of the Family?
	1,2	A	Yes.
_	1,3	Q	Do you have any opinion as to her age?
	14	A	18.
¥	15	Q	Did you know a girl by the name of Simi Valley
,	16	Sherri?	
	17	A	Yes.
	18	· Q	Do you have any opinion as to her age?
* ¥	. 19	A	17.
*	.20	Q	Was she a member of the Family?
త ీస	21	· . A	Yes.
	22	Q.	Do you know Dianne Lake?
ř	23	A .	No.
	24	Q *,	Did you know a girl by the name of Snake?
	25	A	Yes.
	26	, Q	Was she's member of the Family?

24:

25

,	·
1	Q Did you know a girl by the name of Brenda
2	McCann?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q Was she a member of the Family?
5	A Yeah.
6 -	Q Do you have any opinion as to her age?
7	A 21.
8	Q Did you know a girl by the name of Gypsy?
9	A Yeah.
10	Q Was she a member of the Family?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Do you have an opinion as to her age?
13	A 25.
14	Q Did you know a girl by the name of Catherine
, 15	Gillis?
· 16 .	A Yeah.
17	Q Was she a member of the Family?
18	A Yeah.
19	Any opinion as to her age?
20	A I don't know, around 20, I guess.
2 1 (Q Did you know a girl by the name of Katie
22	Lutesinger?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q Was she a member of the Family?
25	A Yeah.
26	Q Any opinion as to her age?

5-2	* *	A	18 or 19.
J-2	1	, Q	
	2		Did you know a girl by the name of Stephanie
	. 3	Schram?	
	4	Α .	Yeah.
	5	Q	Was she a member of the Family?
	6.	A	Not really.
**	. 7	Q.	When did you see Stephanie at Spahn Ranch?
ě	8	A	I think it was in August sometime.
<u>بغ</u>	. 9	Q.	August of 169?
	10	A	Right.
	11	· Q ,	For what period of time?
	12	A	Just a couple of days.
.*	13	Q	Did you ever see her up at Barker Ranch?
	14	Ā	No.
	15	Q	Do you have any opinion as to Stephanie's age?
	16	Á	18 19.
,	17	Q	Do you know what the word "opinion" means,
	. 18	Danny?	
	19	A	Yeah.
ż	20	Q	In your mind, what is an opinion?
Ar.	21	A	My own idea.
	22	· Q	Or someone else's idea, is that right?
	23	A .	Well, my own idea.
	24	Q	Can someone else have an opinion?
•		A	Oh, yeah.
	25	•	So an opinion is an idea?
	26		THE THE PARTY OF T

5-3	, 1	A Yeah.
	2	Q About something?
	3	A Right.
	4	Q Did you ever hear Tex Watson give an opinion
	5	about anything during your stay at Spahn Ranch?
· ·	6	MR. FITZGERALD: Immaterial, irrelevant.
· ·	7	MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor.
. Ę	8	MR. SHINN: Join in the objection.
*	. 9	MR. BUGLIOSI: He already testified as to what an
	10	opinion is, your Honor.
	11	It is a rather simple word, it is not ambiguous.
	12	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
	Ĭ3.	THE WITNESS: No.
	· 14	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever talk to Tex?
•	15	A A couple of times.
*	. 16	Q At Spahn Ranch?
	17	A Well, I talked at different places.
٠.	. 18	Q Among them the Spahn Ranch?
*	19	A Yeah.
<u> </u>	20	Q Between March and August of 1969?
ø.	21	A Yeah.
	22	Q Did you speak to him up at Barker Ranch?
,	23	A Yeah.
,	24	Q Did Tex ever talk to you about his philosophy on
	25	life?
	26	A No.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	j	,
5-4	1	Q What would he normally talk to you about?
	2.	MR. FITZGERALD: Immaterial and irrelevant.
	3	MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor.
	4	MR. SHINN: Join in the objection.
,	5	MR. KANAREK: It's ambiguous.
	6	MR. HUGHES: Join in the objection.
	7	THE COURT: Overruled.
*		THE WITNESS: We talked about drinking.
3	9	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: So you and Tex would talk about
,	10	drinking?
	11	A Right.
	12	Q You drank quite a bit out at Spahn Ranch, didn't
- (13	you, Danny?
	14	A Yeah.
•	15.	Q Were you drunk every day?
	16	A Yeah.
*	ļŻ	Q Was your main reason for being out at Spahn
*	18 [,]	Ranch the drinking and the women?
*	19	MR. FITZGERALD: Leading and suggestive.
	2Ò	MR. KANAREK: Also the prejudicial value far outweight
25 -2	21	the probative value.
. •	22	For his reason for being there, your Honor.
•	23	THE COURT: Sustained.
	24	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you ever hear Tex Watson
.	25	tell Charles Manson or ask Mr. Manson to do anything at
	26	all during your stay at Spahn Ranch?
:-	•	

MR. SHINN: Object on the ground it is hearsay, 1 your Honor. MR. KANAREK: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor. MR. BUGLIOSI: "Tell or ask," your Honor, very common, simple words. THE COURT: Read the question, please. (Whereupon, the reporter reads the pending 7 question as follows: "Q Did you ever hear Tex Watson tell Charles Manson or ask Mr. Manson to do any-10 thing at all during your stay at Spahn Ranch?") 11 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 12 THE WITNESS: No. 13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You testified, Danny, that 14 Tex Watson was the mechanic, the dune buggy mechanic, in the 15 Family. 16 Did Charles Manson have any job in the Family, 17 to your knowledge? 18 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I object to that, your 19 Honor, that is assuming facts not in evidence, that 20 Mr. Watson was the only one. 2Ì I don't believe the evidence reveals he was the 22 mechanic. I think the question is ambiguous in form and 23 calls for a conclusion. 24 THE COURT: overruled. 25 THE WITNESS: No. not really.

E.

I BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you use to see Charles -6 O 2 Manson almost every day out at Spahn Ranch? Yeah. What would you observe him normally be doing? . 4 MR. KANAREK: That is ambiguous, your Honor, and it 5 6 calls for a conclusion. It is --7 THE COURT: overruled. . 8 He wasn't doing nothing. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Read the answer, please. 10 THE REPORTER: "He wasn't doing nothing." 11 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Just walking around? Q 12 A. Just walking around. Do you know what the word "supervise" means? 13 Q 14 Á Yeah. 15 What does it mean in your mind? Q 16 To watch what other people was doing. Α 17 5A 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 26

24

25

26

To watch them?

Supervise, to watch them.

Does it also mean in your mind to direct

MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it could.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

If I were just watching you work on a gun, in your mind would I be supervising you?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, in the context of these proceedings I think that that question is unfair, your

MR. BUGLIOSI: I'm trying to ascertain, your Honor--MR. KANAREK: -- as an example, your Honor, I

The prejudicial value outweighs the probative

Mr. Bugliosi's choice of example is, I think,

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: If you was watching me you would not be supervising me.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

All right, what is your definition then of what the word supervise means?

5a-2 Asked and answered, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: THE COURT: Overruled. Ź. THE WITNESS: To watch people, what they are doing, 3 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Just to stand around and look at them, is that 5 what you mean that the word supervise means? 6 Yeah, to watch them, watch what they are 7 doing. 8 With any purpose in mind? 9 To make sure they do it right. 10 When Manson used to be walking around Spahn 11 Ranch during the period that you were out there, would he 12 be doing any supervising? 13 MR. KANAREK: Soliciting a conclusion, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MR. BUGLIOST: 16 Do you know what a Buck knife is, Danny? 17: A Yeah. 18 Did you ever see any Buck knives at Spahn 19 Ranch? 20 Yeah, we had a few. 21 When was the first time you saw Buck knives out at Spahn Ranch? 23 July or August. 24 Of 1969? 25 A Yeah. 26

	•.	
5a-3	3 .	Q Would you tell the Judge and the jury the
,	. •	
r D	. 2	circumstances under which you saw the Buck knives?
, 38	, 3 ,	A Well, there was a bunch of them bought, and
•	4	they were brought into where I was staying at.
*	. 5	Q How many Buck knives?
ě	6	A About ten of them.
٤	7	Q Do you know who bought them?
	8	A I'm not sure, I think Gypsy did, I'm not sure.
.	·9	Q Gypsy?
·•·	10	A Yeah.
*	11	Q And where were these Buck knives kept out at
•	12	the Ranch, if you know?
	13	A They would just wear them.
	14	Q Who used to wear them?
×	15	A Well, we all did.
	16	Q Members of the Family wore them?
læt	17	A Right.
	. 18	
'# .im'	19	A Sometimes.
**	20	Q Did Charles Manson wear a Buck knife?
	21	A Sometimes.
,	22	Q They used to wear them on their persons?
	23	A Yeah.
•	24	Q Did the girls wear Buck knives on their
	,25	persons?
	26	A Not really. Sometimes they would.
•	٠	

	1	
5a-4	1	Q And you say Gypsy bought a bunch of them?
	2	A Yean.
	. 2	Q Do you remember again how many?
	4	A It was around ten. I'm not sure.
	, 5	Q Were all of the Buck knives the same or did
• • •	6	they appear to be the same?
	7	A Yeah.
₹ .	8	Q Are you familiar with the blade of the Buck
3	9	knives?
***	10	A Yeah.
	11	Q Do you have any opinion as to the length of
	12	the blades?
	13	MR. KANAREK: Again, your Honor, is he asking for
	14	range it is ambiguous.
	15	MR. BUGLIOSI: He said all of them were the same.
	16	MR. KANAREK: I did not hear that.
e ir	17	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
¥	18	Q Were all of the Buck knives the same, Danny?
,	19	A Yeah.
ં હ	20 .	Q Do you have any opinion as to the length of
ಫ ಚ	2ij . 2ľ	the blades of these Buck knives?
-	22	A About six inches.
	ч.	Q What about the thickness of the blade of
	23	these Buck knives?
	24	A About an Inch.
	25	Q I'm talking about thickness now.
	. 26	

			,
5a-5	1	A	Thickness? About an eighth of an inch.
	2	ନ୍ଦ	What about the width of the blade?
· ·	3	Α .	The width would be about an inch.
	4	Q	I show you People's 39 for identification,
	5	have you ev	er seen that knife before?
	6	A	Yeah.
	7	· Q	Out at Spahn Ranch?
*	8	A	Yeah.
**	9.	Q	When did you see this Buck knife for the first
•••	10	time out at	Spahn Ranch?
	11	A	I think Linda had it.
	12	Q.	Linda who?
	13	A.	Kasabian.
	14	Q.	All right, is that when she first came to the
	15	Family?	
C1			True to the second seco
5b f1s.		. A ,	Yeah.
<i>,</i> ,	17		
	18		
*	19	<i>.</i>	
	2 0		
*	21		
	22		
,	23		
	2 4 ,		
	25	*	
	<i>i</i>		
	26		
*	26		

ŕ	
	*
ļ	
,	
1	

24

25

26

fact.

		,
	Q	Do you know when she first came to the Family?
	Ą	In August sometime, maybe the latter part of
Tuly.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Q ·	1969?
	A	Yeah.
	Q	These 10 or so buck knives that Gypsy brought to
the ra	anch,	the ones that you just estimated the dimensions
of the	e blad	de on, were they the same as this knife right here,
People	e's 3	9?
ie.	A	No.
	Q	Are they larger or smaller than this knife?
	A	About the same size.
	Q:	The blades?
•	A	No, the blade was longer.
	Q.	The blades of these ten knives were longer than
the b	lades	on People's 39?
	A	Yeah.
	Q.	At any time while you were at Spahn Ranch,
Danny	, did	you become aware that one or more of the girls
in th	e Fam	ily had bought some black T-shirts?
	MR.	KANAREK: That is assuming facts not in evidence,
your :	Honor	•
	THE	COURT: He is asking a question.
	MR.	KANAREK: Pardon?
	THE	COURT: He is asking whether or not that is a

i	MR. KANAREK: Then may I object on the ground it is
.2	also leading and suggestive, your Honor?
3	THE COURT: overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
5	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When did you become aware of
6	this?
. 7	A The last half of July, beginning of August.
8	Q 1969?
·, ġ	A Right.
10	Q You were arrested, you say, on August 16th,
ïī.	1969?
12	A Yéah.
13.	Q At Spahn Ranch?
14	A yeah.
,15	Q Now, when you estimate these times, like the last
16	part of July, are you using August 16th, 1969 as a base of
17	reference?
18	A Yeah.
19	Q Would you relate the circumstances surrounding
20	your seeing these black T-shirts out at Spahn Ranch?
21	A I saw them in the bunk house.
22	Q Would you go into a little more detail.
23	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I must object to that.
24	That is ambiguous, asking for more detail.
25	THE COURT: It is ambiguous, Mr. Bugliosi. Sustained.
26	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Could you go into a little bit

ı	more depth, Danny, elaborate a little more, give us a few
2	more of the details surrounding your seeing these black
3 ·	T-shirts at Spahn Ranch late July of 1969.
4	MR. KANAREK: It is the same question, your Honor.
5 .	THE COURT: Overruled.
. 6	THE WITNESS: Well, there were some T-shirts that were
7	bought.
8	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: By whom?
ġ:	A I am not sure, I think Gypsy.
10	Q Do you know where they were bought?
11	A No.
12	Q And you saw these black T-shirts out there?
13,	A Yeah.
· 14	Q Do you recall if they had short sleeves or long
15	sleeves?
16	A Short sleeves.
17	Q Did they have any pockets on them?
18	A Yeah, I think they did.
19	Q Do you know where the pockets were?
.20∙	A They were on the shirt.
21	Q Do you know where on the T-shirt?
22 ,	A It was on the left side.
23·	Q Near the breast?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q I show you People's 52 for identification, a
26	black T-shirt.
	, .

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18.

19

20

2L

23

24

25

26

Does this T-shirt resemble the black T-shirt you saw at Spahn Ranch or does it appear to be difference?

It looks like a black T-shirt.

I realize this is a black T-shirt, but does this appear to be the same type of black T-shirt you saw out at Spahn Ranch or does it appear to be different?

It looks like the same.

		ė.	
i-1	Ì	Q	You slept in the bunk house out at Spahn Ranch?
	· 2	A	Yes.
	3	Q	Was that also called the Undertaking Room?
	.4	A	Yes.
	5·	Q.	The bunk house was among the group of buildings
	6	in the fro	nt part of the ranch?
	 7.	Α.	Yes.
**	8	Q	What was your job, if you had a job, in the
3	9	Family?	
•	10	Α.	I took care of the guns.
	Î	Q	The firearms, the guns?
·	12	, A	Yes.
	13	Q	Were the guns and the firearms kept in the
	14	bunk house	?
	.15	A	Yes.
	16	. Q	So they were kept in the same room where you
	17	lived?	
	18	· А	Yes
	19	· · · Q	What was your job with respect to these firearms?
*	20	Did you re	pair them?
*.e.	21	A	Yes. I maintained them.
	ŻŹ	Q	In June, July and August of 1969, during that
e .	23	period of	time, do you recall what firearms were at the
,	24	ranch?	
	25	A	Yes.
	26	Q.	Would you please relate what firearms were at the
			A

2

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

ranch?

A A 303 British Enfield.

Q I think you will have to go a little bit slower.

A A .22 rifle. A .20 gauge. A 30 caliber carbine. We had a 12-gauge riot gun. An M-1 carbine, and a sub-machine gun.

Q Any other firearms?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench at this point in connection with this line of questioning?

THE COURT: I see no necessity for it, Mr. Kanarek.

If you have an objection, you may state it.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I would ask that this last question and answer be stricken on the grounds that the prejudicial value far outweighs the probative value as far as anything to do with this case, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: There was a pistol.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q

What kind of a pistol?

A A .22.

Q A .22 caliber?

A Yes.

Q When you say a pistol, are you referring to an automatic or a semi-automatic or a revolver?

A A revolver.

•		
1	Q	So there was a .22 caliber revolver at Spahn
2	Ranch?	
8	A	Yes.
4	Q.	Do you know where the revolver was kept?
5	A	It was kept in the bunk house.
6.	Q.	With you?
7	. A.	Yes.
8	Q	Are you fairly familiar with firearms, Danny?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q.	What is your present occupation
11	,A	Gunsmith.
12	Q	Do you have your own gun shop?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Were you ever in the Armed Services?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	The Coast Guard?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q.	During what period of time?
19	A	f61 to f65.
20	Q	What was your job in the Coast Guard?
21	. А	Weapons instructor.
22	Q	What did that job involve?
23	. A	Taking care of the guns, teaching people how to
24	use them,	demolition, mines, rockets, everything.
25	Q	And you have owned guns of your own; right?
26	A	Yes.

This .22 caliber revolver at Spahn Ranch, could you describe it? I think it was a High Standard Buntline, a long barrel. 6A

6a-1	1	Q Do you know how long the barrel was?
_	2	A About eight inches.
	3	Q Do you know how many shots it fired or held in
	4	the chamber?
•	5	A Nine shots.
	6	Q Do you know what type of grip it had?
	7	A Wood.
·	8	Q Any particular type of wood?
4	9 ,	A Possibly walnut.
7	10	Q Did you see this .22 caliber revolver almost
	11	every day at Spahn Ranch?
	12.	A Almost.
	13	Q During what period of time?
	14	A June to August.
,	15	Q Of 1969?
	16	A Yes.
, .	17	Q Did you clean the .22 caliber revolver?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q Several times?
	. 20	A Yes.
\$7.÷	21	Q Did you ever see Charles Manson fire it?
	21	A Yes.
, ,	23	Q The .22 caliber?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Many times?
•		A Yes.
	26	11

6a-2 Did you see him fire other firearms that were kept in the bunkhouse? 2 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is immaterial BUCLIOSI: It is foundational, your Hone THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did he appear to have a favorite gum that he . .8 fired the most? That Is calling for a conclusion, you 10 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 14 Which gun was that? 15 The .22. 16 The .22 caliber revolver? 17 18 Do you know where Mr. Manson fired the 19 caliber revolver at Spahn Ranch? 20 A We shot the guns all over. 21 You shot the guns too; right? Q A Yes, I shot them. Do you know where Manson fired the .22 revolver? Q 24 Behind the ranch. He would go behind the ranch 25 and shoot them. 26

6a-3	.1	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph
•	2	depicting an area in the woods.
	3.	May that be marked as People's next in order?
252 Id.	4	THE COURT: 252 for identification.
	· 5	MR. BUGLIOSI: I have another photograph that appears
	6.	to depict the same area only a closer-up view.
٠.	7	May that be marked as People's 253 for identi-
	.8	fication?
253 Id.	9	THE COURT: It will be so marked.
	10	(Mr. Bugliosi shows the photographs to opposing
	11	counsel.)
el.	12	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	13	Q I show you People's 252 for identification.
	14	Do you know what is shown in that photograph,
	15	Danny?
,	16	Other than a man, do you know what is shown
*	17	in that photograph?
, ,	18	A Yes. This is behind the ranch.
	19	Q Is this the area that you saw Mr. Manson fire
. .	.2ó	the ,22 caliber revolver?
€ 4	21	A Yes.
	22	Q I show you People's 253 for identification.
	23	Does this appear to be a closer-up view of
	24	the photograph of People's 252?
	25	A Yes.
	26	Q This appears to be the area, the general area,
	,	

	where Mr. Manson used to fire the .22 caliber revolver?
Ĭ.	A Yes.
2	Q Other than when Mr. Manson fired that .22
.3 :	caliber revolver you have been talking about, Danny, did
.4	you ever see him carry the gun on his person?
5.	A Yes.
6 .	Q Where would he carry it on his person?
7	A In a holster.
8	Q Did Mr. Manson ever say he had received this
9	
10.	revolver from anyone?
11	MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds
Ĭ2	of hearsay
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
74	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
16	Q When did he tell you this?
17	A In June.
18	0, Of 1969?
19	A Yes.
20 ·	Q Do you know who was present during that
-21	conversation?
22	A No.
	Q But you and he were?
23	A Yes.
24	Q It took place at Spahn Ranch?
25	A Yes.
26	

бв -1	. 1	Q When is the last time, if at all, that you saw
	. 2	that revolver at Spahn Ranch?
	3.	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is ambiguous, "the last
	4	time, if at all."
*	. 5	He has already testified I don't understand
	6	that question, your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: I am not sure I do either, Mr. Bugliosi.
Ŧ	, , š	Reframe your question.
3	, g .	MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.
	10	Q When is the last time you saw that revolver,
	11	Danny?
,	, 12	A In August.
	13	Q of 1969?
	14	A Yes.
• 1	15	Q Using August the 16th, 1969, the date of your
	16	arrest, as a base of reference, Danny, when is the last
•	17 ·	time that you saw that revolver, approximately?
	" .18	A A couple of weeks before.
•	19	Q August 16th?
÷	2 0	A Right.
*	21.	Q Do you know what happened to the revolver?
	22 ,	MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion, your
	23,	Honor.
	24	THE WITNESS: No.
Ò.	25	THE COURT: Your question is ambiguous, Mr. Bugliosi,
	26	as to time, place, and so forth.

Ī

3

4

6

8

7

iò

3 '

- 12

11

13

15

16

17

. 18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

may I look at it, first, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

(Mr. Bugliosi shows a document to Mr. Kanarek.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People's 254 for identification.

Do you know what is shown on this piece of paper, Danny?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I -- I will object to this question on the grounds of hearsay, your Honor. As to this proceeding, any interrogation concerning this document is hearsay.

THE COURT: I think the question is objectionable in that form, Mr. Bugliosi, unless this witness is the one who made the drawing.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He is, your Honor. I am just laying a foundation for it.

THE COURT: The foundation should be laid first. MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.

Q Have you ever seen this piece of paper before, Danny?

A Yes.

Q There appears to be a drawing on this piece of paper, Danny. Is that your drawing?

A Yes.

Q You have never earned your living as an artist, have you, Danny?

1	A No.
2	Q What were you attempting to draw here on this
3	piece of paper?
4	MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor.
. 5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	THE WITNESS: A pistol.
7	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q What pistol?
8	A That pistol.
9	Q When you say "that pistol," are you referring to
10	the .22 caliber revolver?
ii	MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive and hearsay, your
1,2	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
. 15	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When did you make this
16	drawing, Danny?
17	A A couple of months ago.
18	Q A couple of months ago?
. 19	A six months ago. Somewhere around there.
20	Q Was it before Christmas of 1969?
21	A Yes.
2 2	Q Where did you make the drawing?
23	A At the LAPD.
24	. "
25	
25	

6C

Please relate the circumstances surrounding 6c-1 1 your making this drawing. 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Immaterial and irrelevant. 3 MR, KANAREK: And hearsay, and a conclusion. 4 MR. SHINN: Join in the objection. 5 THE COURT: It would appear to be irrelevant, Mr. 6 Bugliosi. 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: All right. 8 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 10 You had a conversation with the police, I 11 take it, at the Los Angeles Police Department; is that . 12 correct? 13 A Yes. 14 And did you relet to them the firearms that 15 were at Spahn Ranch? 16 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 They asked me what guns were up there. 20 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 21 And you told them? Q 22 Yes. 23 Did they mention to you --, did they say Q 24 anything about a .22 caliber revolver? 25 No. 26

6c-2 Did you tell them about a .22 caliber revolver? Q 1 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor. 2 MR. FITZGERALD: Calls for hearsay. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 5. They did not mention anything to you about a Q 6 .22 caliber revolver; is that correct? 7 Right. Did they tell you that they believed a .22 9 caliber revolver was involved in the Tate homicides? 10 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, hearsay and 11 12 conclusion, your Honor. MR. FITZGERALD: Join in the objection. 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: It is not offered for the truth of 14 the matter, your Honor. It is not hearsay. 15 MR. KANAREK: There is no foundation. Furthermore, 16 it is vague. 17 THE COURT: Read the question. 18 (The question was read by the reporter.) 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: It is not offered for the truth of 20 the matter, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Perhaps you had better approach the bench, Mr. Bugliosi. 23 MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well. 24, (Whereupon all counsel approach the bench 25 and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside

26

6c-3

...

.**B** • 26

of the hearing of the jury.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, what I am trying to show is that he drew this picture, and it does resemble, I think, the .22 caliber revolver.

I am trying to show that the police never told him what to draw. They never told him a .22 caliber revolver was involved in the killings. They never told him how to draw it or gave him any instructions at all.

They never said r revolver was involved in the Tate killings.

But he mentioned to them a number of firearms, and when he mentioned the .22 caliber revolver, they asked him to draw a picture.

I am trying to show that that picture was completely on his own and was not as a result of any direction or encouragement by the Los Angeles Police Department.

In other words, he never got any instructions from them. He was never given any hint or anything. So, their statements to him are not offered for the truth of the matter, but only as circumstantial evidence of his state of mind when he drew the picture.

Otherwise, the argument could be made that they told him that a .22 caliber revolver was used in the eight homicides.

3

2

ľ

3

5

6

.∙8

9

ÌO

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18.

19

21

4.

22

23

24

25

26

They show it on the picture of what the gun could look like and they asked him for a picture of it.

I'm trying to avoid this.

This was completely on his own.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I heard a tape recording of the Los Angeles Police Department conversations with Mr. DeCarlo, and in these conversations they show him a .22 caliber revolver, probably not this particular one but apparently the Los Angeles Police Department at one time had a Buntline revolver and showed it to Ar. DeCarlo in the Los Angeles Police Department interview.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I ask a question:

Was it shown to him before he drew the picture?

MR. HUGHES: I don't recall he drew a picture.

THE COURT: I think the question calls for hearsay, Mr. Bugliosi, as far as making the argument you suggested I don't see how anybody can make such an argument; there would be no evidence, at least there is none so far.

You cannot make the argument unless there is some evidence to support it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is true. But isn't that kind of a negative thing?

"Did they tell you that the revolver was used in the Tate homicide?"

Now, if he says no to that, there is no hearsay.

2

4

3

6

. 7 . 8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18. 19

> 20 21

22

23

24

25 26 In other words, they did not say anything to him.

THE COURT: I think you may ask a witness if he had a conversation with someone about a particular subject.

If the answer to that is yes or no, that is not hearsay.

But if you include in the question the substance of what it is you are trying to find out was said, you go beyond it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: If I say "Did they tell you what type of revolver to draw," a particular type, and he says no again; I am not introducing into the record any statement by the Los Angeles Police Department.

I think hearsay has to be a statement, an out-of-court statement.

THE COURT: I think that is correct.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Offered for the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: I think the present problem is one of the form of the question.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask that the jury be admonished not to consider that last statement about the tape, homicide and the gun for any purpose.

I think it is most prejudicial in the context of these proceedings.

I ask your Honor to admonish the jury not to consider that.

THE COURT: That motion is denied.

We will take our recess at this time.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone, and do not form or express an opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

7a fls. 7

يرثة

/A-1

. 2

1

4

5

. 6

8

7

9

Ú

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

Ż2

21

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor, for an important matter.

I represent to the Court it is very important.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had by the Court out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, during the recess I tried to speak with Mr. De Carlo.

I tried to be as gentlemanly as possible.
I said, "May I speak with you?"

Mr. Bugliosi told me to "Get my ass out of there."

Mr. Bugliosi forbid me to speak with Mr. De

Carlo. He told me to "Get going, you asshole."

Those are approximately his exact words.

Under Walker vs. Superior Court and the law that is associated with it that is a violation of fundamental due process.

It is suppression of evidence for the prosecution to do what they have done by this conduct of Mr. Bugliosi's.

I would ask your Honor to issue an order to show cause in re contempt. It is an indirect contempt to the Court.

It is conduct on the part of the prosecutor that deprives the defendant of the right to a fair trial. I

make those representations to the Court.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I be heard, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOST: I was talking to Mr. De Carlo and Mr. Kanarek comes right in the middle of our conversation and says, "Danny, I'd like to talk to you for a couple of minutes; would you come over here and sit down?"

Complete rudeness, crudeness, interrupted me.

I said, "I'm talking to him now; when I get through you can talk to him." I said, "He is my witness now, I said, "When you call him to the stand you can talk to him."

That was not good enough for him; he wanted to do it immediately.

I would also call the Court's attention to a couple of days ago when I witnessed this myself:

The jury had left, De Carlo was on the witness stand; I wanted to talk to De Carlo.

Mr. Kanarek moved in. De Carlo tried to get off the witness stand; Mr. Kanarek bodily pushed Mr. De Carlo back onto the witness stand.

I am not going to tolerate his boorishness. He is my witness now; I want to interview him. When I am through he can.

I told Mr. De Carlo several times, "If you want to talk to Mr. Kanarek, it's a free country, you can do so."

20

1

2

3

5.

6

8

9

IÓ.

11

12

13

74

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

Ž...

26.

I have no objection to his talking to Mr. Kanarek.

I do object to his boorishness when I am talking to a
witness, to come in right between us trying to take the
witness away from me.

THE COURTE All right --

MR. KANAREK: May we have an evidentiary hearing now, your Honor?

THE COURT: No. Mr. Kanarek, apparently there is no problem, except you trying to interrupt when Mr. Bugliosi was trying to interview a witness.

Do you deny what Mr. Bugliosi sald?
MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I do.

1

2

4

5

7

8

-

10

11,

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21. 22

- T

24

23

25 26 MR. BUGLIOSI: I was talking to Mr. DeCarlo when you came up.

THE COURT: Well, try again during the noon recess when Mr. Bugliosi isn't talkingwith the witness.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, one further point.

You had indicated last week that you wanted us to put on the tape on the conversation between Mr. Spahn and Sergeant Calkins and myself. He hadn't found the tape yet.

THE COURT: What I indicated was that he should have an opportunity to find the tape or to be able to state under oath that he could not find it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

THE COURT: Before I ruled.

MR. BUGLIOSI: When do you want to do it?

THE COURT: On the search and seizure.

MR. BUGLIOSI: When do you want to continue on with the shell casing matter?

THE COURT: It is up to you.

If Sergeant Calkins -- it was Sergeant Calkins, wasn't it?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. He is seated at the table. He can't find it.

THE COURT: And is he prepared to say that he couldn't find it?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

, 1 2

> 3 4

6

5

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: Up to now.

MR. BUGLIOSI: How about tomorrow morning before we call the jury in? Will that be all right?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Danny, before you made this drawing -- before you made it -- did the police give you any instructions on how to make this drawing?

A No.

MR. FITZGERALD: Objection. Calls for hearsay.

MR. KANAREK: And assumes facts not in evidence.

THE COURT: The answer is already in, gentlemen.

Would you pull the microphone up a little closer to your mouth, Mr. DeCarlo? Even closer. It is difficult to hear your answers.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Your answer is no?

A No.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did they tell you the length of the barrel?

A No.

MR. FITZGERALD: Objection. Calls for hearsay.

		·
8-3	1	MR. KANAREK: Join in the objection.
	2	MR. SHINN: Join.
	3	THE COURT: Just a moment.
	4	Let's go back and read the first question
: e	· 5	and answer as well as the lat questions and answer.
	6	(Whereupon the record was read by the
	. 7	reporter.)
ž,	.8	THE COURT: The objections are overruled.
-≸	ģ	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	10	Q Did they tell you anything at all about the
	11	revolver before you made a drawing of it?
	12	A No.
	. 13	MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion.
,	, 14	THE COURT: Overruled.
	15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	16	Q Did they show you any photograph of a revolver
	17	before you made this drawing?
8a f	1s.18	A No.
)	19	
	20	•
R-	21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
,	22	
	23 °	
,	24	
	25	
	26	

•	
8A-1 1	Q Did they show you any photograph of any firearms
.2	whatsoever before you made this drawing?
3	A No.
4	Q I show you People's 40 for identification,
5	Danny.
6	Have you ever seen that revolver before?
7	A I saw one similar to it.
8	Q Take a good look at it.
9	(Parise while the withese examines.)
10	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Have you taken a good look
11	at it?
12	A Yes. I know the gun.
13	MR KANAREK: I didn't hear that
14	MR. BUGLIOSI: You say you know the gam?
15 .	THE COURT: Read the answer.
16	Mr. De Carlo, will you speak up, sir. It is
. 17	difficult to understand your answers.
18	(The answer was read by the reporter.)
19	Mn: AMOTIOSI: Q Does this appear to be the
20	revolver that you have been referring to in your testimony?
21	Mr
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	THE WITNESS: It is similar to it.
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Does it appear to differ in
25	any fashion?
26	A The trigger guard is broken.
	Q Apart from the damaged condition, does this
	Landy Control of the

revolver here, People's 40, appear to differ in any manner 1 from the revolver that used to be kept in the bunk house? 2 I can't be sure. 3 Why can't you be sure of it? 4 I don't know. I don't know the serial number of A 5 it. I am not sure that is it. 6 In other words, you don't know what the serial 7 number of this revolver is; is that correct? A No. Q Did you know what the serial number of the .22 10 caliber revolver that was kept in the bunk house, do you 11. know what that serial number was? 12 A No. 13 Apart from the serial number, does this appear 14 to be the revolver that was in the bunk house? 15 Similar to it. Yes. 16 Does it appear to be different in any fashion? 17 It has got a broken trigger guard. 18 Apart from the damaged condition, does this 19 revolver here, People is 40, appear to be different in any 20 manner whatsoever from the revolver that was kept in the 21 bunk house? 22 Now, I am not talking about the damaged condition, 23 banny. 24 yes, it is similar to the one. 25 Does it appear to be different in any fashion, 26

Mr. De Carlo? Asked and answered three times 2 Honox. 3 THE WITNESS: No. 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q People 's 254, this drawing. б hen you drew this picture or this drawing, Danny, were you 7 attempting to draw that revolver there, People's 40?, ġ MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, hearsay, your Honor. Systained as to the form of the question 10 What type of revolver were you MR. BUGLIOSI: II attempting to draw in this drawing here, People's 254 for 12 identification? 13 That one there. 14 People's 40? 15 A pistol similar to that. 16 People's 40? 17 Yes. 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 26

Ţ

2

3

б

7

8

24

25

26

Q	Looking at	the left-hand grip	of this revolver
what type	of wood does	that appear to you	to be?

A Walnut.

This extension here, Danny, beneath the barrel. Q what do you call that extension?

A Ejection spring housing.

Q Ejection spring housing?

A Right.

It appears to be a little bent, is that Q correct?

> A Yeah.

When the revolver was out at Spahn Ranch was the ejection spring housing bent?

No.

Feel the barrel on that revolver, Danny, does it appear to be loose to you?

> A Yeah.

Was the barrel loose on the revolver when it Q. was out at Spahn Ranch?

No.

I move that be stricken, your Honor. MR. HUGHES:

I object to the use of the term "the revolver" as though this were identified as the revolver that was out at Spahn Ranch.

The witness has stated, your Honor, that this revolver resembles the revolver at Spahn Ranch, but he is

2

· 1

3.

6.

8

9.

10

11

. 12[.]

14· 15

16

17

18

20

21· 22

23.

24

.25 .26 not certain as to this being the revolver.

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's a matter of cross-examination; your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, that is not a matter of cross-examination. I think the objection is a good one.

Perhaps it is just a matter of ambiguity of the wording of the question, Mr. Bugliosi.

You are referring to whatever revolver was at Spahn Ranch, is that right?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, well, be sure your questions so indicate.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q This revolver that I am holding in my hand right now, does this appear to be the same revolver that was kept in your bunkhouse?

MR. FITZGERALD: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q All right, when this revolver was in the bunkhouse, was the barrel loose?

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I object to the word "this revolver," your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He already said it appears to be the same revolver, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to sustain the objection. 1 Mr. Bugliosi. 2 I think it calls for something behond the 3 testimony of the witness. 4 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 5 This .22 caliber revolver that was kept in 6 the bunkhouse, was the barrel loose on it, Danny? 7 No. 8 Directing your attention to the trigger guard 9 on this revolver here, People's 40, it appears to be 10 broken, is that correct? : 11 Yeah. 12 Was the trigger guard on the .22 caliber 13 revolver in the bunkhouse broken? 14 No. 15 Q I direct your attention to the right-hand 16 grip on People's 40. It appears to be missing. 17 A Yeah. 18 Was the right-hand grip missing on the .22 19 caliber that was in the bunkhouse? 20 A No. 21 Does this revolver here, People's 40, appear Q 22 to be the revolver that you say Mr. Manson used to fire? 23 A Yeah. 24 Does this revolver here appear to be the 25 revolver that Mr. Manson told you he got on a trade-in for 26.

ž:

. 3

₽,

A Yeah. Q Do you know what he bought? A Yeah. Q What did he buy? A He bought some gas cans; he bought some radios; he bought some line. Q When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah. Q Are you using the word line to be synonomous
Q Do you know what he bought? A Yeah. Q What did he buy? A He bought some gas cans; he bought some radios; he bought some line. Q When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
A Yeah. Q What did he buy? A He bought some gas cans; he bought some radios; he bought some line. Q When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
4 Q What did he buy? A He bought some gas cans; he bought some radios; he bought some line. Q When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
A He bought some gas cans; he bought some radios; he bought some line. Q When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
he bought some line. When you say "line," what are you referring to? A Some nylon line. Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
to? A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
A Some nylon line. Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
Q Have you ever heard of the word rope? A Yeah.
A Yeah.
Are a under the word line to be amerement
Q Are y.u using the word line to be synonomous
14
with rope?
A Yeah.
Q Is line a Coast Guard term?
A Yeah.
Q For rope?
9a fls. 18 A Yeah.
19
20
** 21
22
23
24
25
26

		-	· ·
1-1	٠. *	1	Q Did he buy anything else?
		2	A No.
. •		3	Q To your knowledge did he pay for these articles
		4	with cash or on a credit card?
		5	MR. KANAREK: Immaterial, your Honor. That has
	74	6	nothing but prejudicial value. No probative value as far
¥		7	as anything before the Court.
ä		8	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
· `		9	THE WITNESS: He paid cash.
		10	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you know how much line or
		n	rops he bought?
		12	A About 150 feet.
	•	13	Q Do you know what color the rope was?
		14	A White.
	9	15	Q Do you know how many strands it had?
	,	16	A Three.
		17	Q Do you know what material it was made out of?
• •		18	MR. KANAREK: That would be calling for a conclusion,
		19	your Honor.
)). *	20	THE COURT: Overruled.
ž.	Ĭ.	21	THE WITNESS: Nylon.
•		22	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did you work with line in the
	:	23	Coast Guard?
		24	A Yeah.
	:	25	Q Fairly familiar with ropes?
		26	A Yeah.

.2 1

à

4

.6

7° 8

9. 10

. Į1

12

14

15 16

17

18 . 19

20

2I 22

23

24 25.

26

Q I show you People's 41 for identification, take a good look at that rope, Danny.

Are you looking at it?

A Yeah.

Q Does this rope appear to be the same or different from the rope that Mr. Manson bought at Jack Frost's store in June of 1969?

There is no foundation and it calls for a complusion. It is incompetent, your Honor, it is an incompetent guestion as far as these proceedings are concerned.

COURT: Overruled You may answer.

THE WITNESS: It was similar.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Did it appear to be different in any fashion?

A No.

Q - Would you say it appears to be the same?

MR. KAMAREK. That is calling for a donglusion, your

THE COURT: Overruled ...

THE WITNESS: I am not sure.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI: I realize you are not sure

anny. I am just asking you for an opinion now:

Q Does it appear to be the same type of rope that Mr. Manson bought?

A Yeah.

1 9

This rope that I am showing you now appears to 9-a3 1 be discolored in certain areas. I take it it was not discolored when the rope 3 was bought by Mr. Manson, is that correct? MR. KANAREK: That is assuming facts not in evidence, 5 your Honor, the wording of that question. THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer. THE WITNESS: No. 8 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: It was not discolored when Mr. Manson bought it, is that correct? 10 Α No. ΙÎ The answer is now ambiguous. THE COURT: 12 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, I was going to clarify it. 13 Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: The rope that Mr. Manson 14 bought was new, I take it, is that correct? 15 Yeah. A 16 And it was not discolored? 17 No. Α . 18 It was not? 19 No. 20 Was this rope Mr. Manson bought brought back to Q 21 Spahn Ranch? 22 A Yeah. 23 Did you see it at the ranch? 24 Yeah. Ă 25 Do you know what it was used for at the ranch? 26

2		·
9a4	1,	A Towing.
	. 2	Q Towing things?
	, 3 .	A Yeah.
*	. 4	Q Was this rope still at Spahn Ranch in August of
	5	1969 to your knowledge?
	6	A Yeah.
ę.	7	Q I show you People's 48 for identification, do
۶	8	you know what is shown in that photograph?
1	9 -	A Yeah.
	10:	Q What is shown in that photograph?
	.11	A Dune buggy.
	. 12	Q Do you know whose dune buggy it is?
<u> </u>	13	A Yeah.
	14	Q Whose?
	15	A It's Charlie's.
	16	Q Charles Manson?
w	. 17	A Yeah.
	18	Q Did you see this dune buggy out at Spahn Ranch?
¥	19	A Yeah.
٤.	. 20	Q The rope that I showed you, People's 41 for
ė.	21	identification, did you ever see that rope in this dune
	22	buggy?
	23	A Yeah.
•	24	Q Where in the dune buggy?
	25	A Oh, behind the seat.
	26	Q I show you People's 47 for identification, do
		. '

you know what these items are?

A Yeah.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I want to make an objection, your Honor, on the grounds, your Honor, there is no offer of proof what these exhibits are for, your Honor.

THE COURT: There is no what?

MR. SHINN: I request the prosecution make an offer of proof why he is introducing this evidence right now, your Honor.

10-1 THE COURT: Order of proof? 1 MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor. These are not in 2 evidence. 3 I don't know what you mean, Mr. Shinn. THE COURT: 4 What do you mean by an order of proof? 5 MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I believe he said an offer 6 of proof. You said "offer," did you not? 8 MR. SHINN: Yes. I don't think there is any testimony as to 10. these items that Mr. Bugliosi is attempting to introduce 11 at this moment, your Honor. 12 . There is no evidence as to who used these 13 knives, these articles, 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 16 People's 47. Have you ever seen those items 17 before? 18 A Yes. 19 3 Do you know what they are? Q 20 It is a knife. A ¥-, 21 You call this a knife? Q 22 A sword. 23 Where have you seen this knife or sword before? Q 24 MR. KANAREK: That is assuming that he has, your 25

Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 2 Have you ever seen that knife or sword before? A Yes. Where did you see it for the first time? 5 For the first time? 6 Yes. 7 A I saw it at the ranch. Q The Spahn Ranch? 9 A Yes. 10 Did you ever see Charles Manson with this sword Q 11 or knife? 12 MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 16. Did you ever see him carry it on his person? 17 Just in his hand. 18 Many times or just once? Q. 19 Ά A couple of times. 20 Directing your attention to People's 48 for 21 identification, this photograph of Mr. Manson's dune buggy. 22 Specifically directing your attention to the left side of 23 the dune buggy near the steering wheel. 24 Does this appear to be the sword depicted in 25 the photograph? 26

1

3

V-.

23

24

25.

26

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench on this, your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you have an objection, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may state it.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I urge upon the Court --

THE COURT: Just state the objection, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: That the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value.

It has nothing to do with anything that is connected with this case.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. SHINN: Join in the motion, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Does the sword in this photograph, People's 48, appear to you to be the same sword here as People's 47 for identification?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that opinion or that question solicits an enswer, your Honor, that is hearsay and conclusion.

I don't think that this witness is the one to make such a comparison.

THE COURT: I think there is a question of ambiguity there, Mr. Bugliosi.

I will sustain the objection.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well. 1 Directing your attention again to this sword, Damy, in this photograph. Have you ever seen that sword before? The one that is shown in this photograph? 5 Yes. 6 Is the sword in this photograph this sword .7 right here, People's 47? 8 MR. KANAREK: Same objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 10 Let me see the picture, Mr. Bugliosi? 11 (Mr. Bugliosi hands the photograph to the Court.) 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: Objection sustained, your Honor? 14 THE COURT: 10a fls. 15 16 . 18 19 20 *-21 22 23 24 26

10-1

2

. 3

1

.4

. . . 5 6

7

9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22.

23

24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People is 47 for identification, this sword here.

Did you ever see that sword on the side of Mr. Manson's dune buggy, the way this sword here is?

MR. KANAREK: That is calling for a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think on the present state of the testimony it is calling for a conclusion, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, he has identified this sword as being at the Spahn Ranch. Now I am asking if he has ever seen this sword on the side of Mr. Manson's dune buggy.

THE COURT: Wasn't his answer that it was similar?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think he said this is the one that
used to be at the Spahn Ranch, your Honor.

THE COURT: My recollection of the testimony now is that the two are similar rather than identical.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Let me go back just for a moment, your Honor.

Looking at this sword here for a moment, People's 47.

Have you ever seen this particular sword before?

- A Yes.
- Q Did you see this sword out at the Spahn Ranch?
- A Yes.
- Q Are you familiar with this sword?

23

24

A Yes.

Q As advance warning?

MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Out at the Spahn Ranch, Danny, did you ever see Charles Manson wear any type of a leather rope or leather thong around his neck?

MR. KANAREK:: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I did once.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q. Do you know how thick the leather was?

A An eighth of an inch.

Q When did you see Mr. Manson with this leather rope or thong around his neck?

A I don't know.

Q Was it before you were arrested on August 16th?

A . I don't know.

. Q Do you recall what color the leather was?

A It was brown.

Q Do you know how long theleather rope or thong was?

A No.

Q You say it was around his neck?

A Yes.

•	ļ.	
1	. · Q	Where did it extend down to?
2.	. A	Around his chest.
ġ,	Q	Mid-chest?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q	About the center of his chest?
6	A	Yes.
7		Was it tied there at that point?
8	A	Yes.
è	Q	Besides Charles Manson, did you ever see any
10	other memb	er of the Family wear any leather thong around
, 11	their necl	
12	A	No. I don't know.
13	Q	Did you ever see Tex Watson wear a leather
14	thong arou	and his neck?
15	A	No •
16	Q	I show you People's 241 for identification.
17		Do these leather thongs or leather rope appear
18	to be the	same or different from the type that Mr. Manson
19	wore arou	nd his neck?
20	MR.	KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the
21	bench, yo	ur Honor?
22.	THE	COURT: Do you have an objection, Mr. Kanarek?
23	MR.	KANAREK: Yes.
24		
25		
. ,	· .	

10B

10b-1

2

3

5 6

7

8

Ş

11

10

12 13

. 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: You may state it,

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, my objection is that in view of the fact that there are many many such items in the community and in our area, I object that the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value to this question.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Similar.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Do they appear to be different in any fashion?
- A No.
- Q While you were out at Spahn Ranch with Charles Manson, did you ever hear him call himself by any name other than Charles Manson?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did he call himself?
 - A The devil.
 - Q Would he ever say anything about the devil?

MR. KANAREK: That would be calling for a conclusion, your Honor, and hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Oh, that the devil --

MR. BUGLIOST: No. You could answer this yes or no, Danny.

Q Did Charles Manson ever say anything about the

10b-2devil? 1 A Yes. 2 When did he say this to you? 3 MR. KANAREK: May we have a foundation, your Honor? THE COURT: That is what he is trying to do now, 5 Mr. Kanarek. б MR. KANAREK: Vcry well. 7 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When did he talk to you about the devil? 9 Ä I don't know. 10 Was it once or more than once? Q 11 A A couple of times. 12 Between March and August of '69? Q 13 A Yes. 14 Do you know who was present when he talked to Q **15** you about the devil? 16 No. 17. Pardon? 18 What did he say about the devil? 19 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I object on the grounds of 20 improper foundation. Æ., 21 THE COURT: In what respect? 22 MR. KANAREK: That if the witness can't delineate with 23 some precision, your Honor, because of the long period of 24 time between March and August and he has no knowledge as 25 to who was present, that because of this, your Honor, there 26

1	is inadequate foundation.
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
4	Q What did he say to you about the devil, Danny?
5	A Well, that he would eventually be the leader.
6	Q He said the devil would eventually be the
7	leader?
8	A Right.
9	Q Did he say anything else to you about the
10	devil?
11	A No.
12	Q Did he say anything about the devil being on
13	the loose?
14	MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he say?
18:	THE WITNESS: Well, he said he was the devil.
19	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
20	Q When you say "he," you mean Charles Manson?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I and I do make a
23 .	motion that that be stricken on the ground that the
24	prejudicial value far outweighs any provative value?
25	THE COURT: Motion is denied.
de	

İ

Ż

4

5,

0

.8

*

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26 BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Now, when he said he was the devil, did he say anything about the devil being on the loose?

- A Yes.
- Q What did he say?
- A He said the devil is on the loose.
- Q And he was the devil?
- A Right.

Q Did Charles Manson ever tell you what his feelingswere about sexual intercourse among the men and women in the Family?

MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the ground that it solicits a conclusion, it is hearsay, it solicits hearsay; and the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. FITZGERALD: Hearsay as to Patricia Krenwinkel...

MR. HUGHES: Hearsay as to Leslie Van Houten.

THE COURT: Overruled.

The testimony will be limited and the jury is so admonished as to Mr. Manson only and it is not to be considered for any purpose with regard to the other defendants.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a continuing objection, in order not to -- that Mr. Bugliosi may question with fluidity, may I have a continuing objection

on the grounds that any conversation should be kept out on the grounds that the prejudicial value outweighs any probative value?

May I have that, your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Do you remember my question, Danny?

Do you want me to restate it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Did Charles Manson ever tell you what his feelings were about sexual intercourse among the men and women in his Family?

A Yes.

'	3.0,582
11-1 1	When did he have this conversation with you?
. 2	A Oh, I don't know when.
3	Q Once or more than once?
4	A More than once.
Š.	Q Out at Spahn Ranch?
6	A Yeah.
, 7	Q Between March and August of 1969?
. 8	A Yeah.
9	Q In addition to yourself and Mr. Manson was any-
10	one else present during these conversations?
11	A I don't know.
12	Q What did he say to you?
13	MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor may I have a
14	continuing objection on foundation also, your Honor, so there
15	will be fluidity?
16	THE COURT: No, you may not.
17	Ω BY MR. BUGLIOSI: What did he say to you, Danny?
18	A He said a girl should make love with all of the
. 19.	men. I go for that, too.
20	Q You didn't argue with him on that?
21	A No, uh-uh.
22	Q Did you ever have sex with Linda Kasabian?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q How many times?
25	A I think twice.
26	Q You say that Charles Manson used to play his

		,	
11-2	1	guitar and	sing songs, is that correct?
	Ź	Α.	Yeah, he was good.
	3	Q	Did you ever hear him say anything about whether
	. 4	he had any	ambitions in the field of music?
i.	. 5	. · A	He would like to record.
	6	Q.	He said he wanted to record some of his songs?
<i>y</i> 7	7	A	Yeah.
*	8.	· Q	Have them published?
*	·· 9:	A	Yeah.
	10	Q	Did he tell you this more than once?
	11	A.	Just once.
,	12	· Q	Did you ever hear Mr. Manson say anything about
	13	making a m	ovie?
	14	A	Yeah.
ζ,	15	Q	When was that?
	16	A	Sometime in June.
	17	, Q	Of 169?
	18	A	Yeah.
` ' X	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Who was present during the conversation?
•	20	A	I cannot remember.
2,	21	Q	What did he say?
	22	A	He said he wanted to make a movie.
	23	Q.	I take it you know what narcotics are, don't
	24.	you, Danny	?
	25	A.	Yeah.
	26	Q,	Do you know what acid is?

		30-1 20-4
11-3	1	A Yeah.
	2	Q LSD?
	3	A Yeah.
	4	Q Did you ever see any LSD out at the ranch?
	5	A Yeah.
	, , 6	Q Did Charles Manson ever talk to you about LSD?
	7	MR. KANAREK: I object to that, your Honor, on
, in the second	8	the grounds it is hearsay, a conclusion, and the
7	ģ	prejudicial value far outweight the probative value.
	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	n.	THE WITNESS: Yes.
,	12	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: When did he have this conver-
	. 13	sation with you about LSD?
	14	A I don't know it wasn't really a conversation,
į.	, 15	just
ž.	16	Q What was it?
	17	A Well, he liked it.
	18	Q Manson told you he liked LSD?
	19	A Yeah.
¥ ,	20	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench,
I.	21	your Honor?
	22	THE COURT: No. If you have an objection you may make
	23	it, Mr. Kanarek.
* *	24	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it is more than just an
	25	objection which I have.
	26	I would like to make some argument to the Court in
*		one court in
		4

3 -4

2

1

4

È

5

7

. 10

11

12, -13

14 15

16

17

18 ·

20

22

21

23 . 24

25 26 connection with this.

THE COURT: If you have an objection, state it.

MR. KANAREK: My objection is, your Honor, that the prejudicial value far outweighs any probative value.

It also has aspects of conclusion and hearsay.

I would like to make a motion and argument to
the Court outside of the presence of the jury if I may.

THE COURT: State your motion, if you have one.

MR. KANAREK: I would rather not do it in the presence of the jury, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: After you were arrested on August 16th, 1969, I take it you were released shortly thereafter?

A Yeah.

Q 72 hours later?

A Yeah.

Q What did you do after you were released?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, this is subsequent. I must object.

This invites all kinds of possible error, your Honor, it is ambiguous.

May I object on that ground?

THE COURT: Overruled.

	t		10,586
L1-5	1	THE	WITNESS: I went back to Venice.
	2	Q	BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You stayed there for a few
	3	days?	* ,
	4	A	Yeah.
*	5	Q	And then returned to Spahn Ranch?
	6	A	Yeah.
		· Q	How long did you stay at Spahn Ranch that time?
1 m	7	A.	About a week.
	8	Q	,
ž	9		What did you do next?
	10	. A	I went out to the desert.
	11	Ģ.	Barker Ranch?
	12	A	Yeah.
	13	Q.	Is that in Inyo County?
	14	A	Yeah, I think so.
	15	Q.	Near Death Valley?
	16	A	Yeah.
LIA.	17		
	18:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	19		
ž.	20		
	.21	•	
	22		
	23		
	24	*	
<u> </u>	25	* *	
	26		

			10,367
ļ			
11A-1	, 1 ,	, Q ,	Whom did you go there with?
	2	A	Me, Bruce, Tex, Charlie and a few of the broads
	. 3	went.	
	4 .	Q	You, Charles Manson, Tex Watson, Bruce Davis, is
	. 5	that right	?
_	6	. A	Yeah.
	7	Q	And you say a few of the girls?
		A	Yeah.
į g	·9	Q	Do you know which girls?
	10	· A	Yeah.
i	11	Q	Which ones?
	· 12 ·	: A	Kitty.
—	13	Q	Kitty? Is that Lutesinger?
	14	. А	Yeah.
	15	. Q	Whom else?
¥	16	· A	Huish and Sherri.
	17	, Q	You say "Huish," you mean Ruth Moorehouse?
	18	Á	Yes.
	19	Q	And Sherri is Simi Valley Sherri?
. I	20	· A	Yes.
Ž.	21	Q.	What did the rest of the Family do strike
	- 22	that.	
	23	, ,	Did the rest of the Family remain back at Spahn
	24	Ranch?	
	25	· · A	Yeah.
	26	Q. ;	Did you hear anyone tell them to stay there?
	,	,	AA.
		E·	

	•		* V
112	1	A	Yeah.
	2	Q	Who?
	, 3	А	Charlie.
1.41	4	Q	When did this conversation take place?
	5.	A.	Before we was getting ready to go to the desert
	6	Q	Who was present?
·	7	A	I don't know.
7	.8	Q	You were present, is that correct?
3	9	A	Yeah, I was there.
,	10	Q	And Charles Manson?
.	n	A	Yeah.
,	12	Q	Anyone else that you know of?
	. 13	A	No.
	14	Q.	Was Patricia Krenwinkel present?
	15	A	Yeah.
	. 16	· Q	Did Charlie tell Miss Krenwinkel anything?
*	17	A	I think he said, "Go to the Fountain of the
	18	World."	·
Ť	19	Q	You have heard Manson tell Patricia Krenwinkel to
*	20	go to the	Fountain of the World?
â	. 21	A	Yeah.
*	22	Q	Is that a religious sect?
•	23	A	I don't know if it is, I don't know.
i.	24	. 6	Is it a religious group?
	25	A	I don't know.
:	26.	Q	Do you know anything about the group?

1	A Not very much.
2	Q. Is the Fountain of the World about a mile from
3	the Spahn Ranch?
4	A Yeah.
5	Q And you have heard Charlie tell Miss Krenwinkel
6	to go to the Fountain of the World?
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Did he say anything else to her?
9	A To see if we could stay there.
10	Q When you say "we," you refer to the Family?
ij	A Yeah.
12	Q so he told her to go to the Fountain of the World
13	and find out if the Family could stay there?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q This was while this was about the time that
16	he, you, Tex, Bruce and the girls were leaving for Barker,
17	is that correct?
18	A Yeah.
19	Q Did he tell Miss Krenwinkel anything else about
20	what was going to happen to the Family?
21	A No.
22	Q Did he say that eventually they would join him
23	up at Barker Ranch?
24	MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Overruled.
26	THE WITNESS: Yeah, eventually.
	•

	1	the state of the s		
12-1	ì			
	2	THE COURT: Mr. Fitzgerald?		
	3.	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
	4			
	:5	BY MR. FITZGERALD:		
	6	Q Your occupation is that of a gunsmith, Mr.		
	7 :	DeCarlo?		
Ž.	8	A Yes.		
	*	Q Where is your gunshop?		
Š	ġ	A (Pause.)		
	10.			
*	11,	Q Did you hear the question?		
	12	A (Pause.)		
	13	Q Did you hear the question?		
	14	A Yes, I heard.		
	15	Q Did you understand the question?		
	16	A Yes. You asked me where my gunshop was at.		
	17	Q Did you understand that question?		
	18	A Yes.		
	19	Q Is there some reason why you can't answer it?		
\$	20	A You wouldn't give me a chance.		
2	21	Q All right.		
	22	A It is in Oregon.		
	23	Q Whereabouts in Oregon?		
	24	MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the grounds it is		
	25	irrelevant.		
	26	MR. KANAREK: Smith vs. Illinois, your Honor.		

12-2 I will join in the question of Mr. Fitzgerald. I THE COURT: Overruled. 2 You may answer. 3 THE WITNESS: (Pause.) THE COURT: You may answer. 5. THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. 6 BY MR. FITZGERALD: 7 What is the address of your gunshop? 8. It is up in Oregon. Ž 9 Does it have an address? 0 10 THE WITNESS: Do I have to tell him my address? 11 THE COURT: Answer the question, sir. 12 THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer. 13 Where do you live? MR. FITZGERALD: 0 14 A In Oregon. 15 What is your address? 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection, your Honor. It is 17 irrelevant where the man lives. I don't see any relevance 18 to the issues in this case where he resides, the location. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 You may answer. 21 THE WITNESS: I refuse to answer. 22 THE COURT: You are ordered to answer, Mr. DeCarlo. 23 THE WITNESS: I live in Medford. 24 BY MR. FITZGERALD: 25 Is that a city in Oregon? 26

	1		
12-3	1	A	Yes. It is a little town.
	2	· Q	What is your address within Medford?
	3	. <u>A</u>	1305 North Riverside.
	4	Q	And how long have you been a gunsmith?
	5	. A	All my live.
	6	Q	And how long have you been a gunsmith in
٠,	7	Medford, Or	egon?
*	8.	A	Oh, about six months.
À .	9	Q	And you previously resided in Los Angeles
	10	County?	
	11	A	Yes.
	12	Q	For how long a period of time did you reside
	· 13 .	in Los Ange	les County?
; •	14	A	15 years.
	15	Q,	Directing your attention to the year 1969,
	16	were you en	ployed at any time as a gunsmith during the
	17	year 1969?	
	18	A .	No.
ŝ.	19	Q	Directing your attention to the year 1968,
	20	were you en	mployed as a gunsmith at any time?
À	21	A,	No.
	22	Q	Were you employed during the year 1969 in
	23	any capacit	:y?
	24	A	Yes. I worked at a gas station.
•	25	Ġ.	When did you terminate your employment at the
F	26	gas station	1 ?
	3	€ <u></u>	

		 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10,594				
	1	-	A	In December.				
2 3			Q In December of 1969?					
			A	Yes.				
*	4		Q	When did you begin work at the gas station?				
12a :	fis,	,	A	In December.				
	6							
k 5.	7		ř					
Y	8							
*	9	e 4						
	10	,,						
	11	.€.						
	12							
	13							
	14		*					
	15		¥					
	16		4					
	17							
•	18	;						
4	19	,						
<u>.</u>	20 ° 21	<i>i</i> >	•					
•	2 <u>1</u> 22							
				•				
	23 24	7	٠.					
	25		•					
,	26							
		* ,						
•		·						

	•	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q other than the month of December, 1969, were you gainfully employed during the other 11 months of the year?

A No.

Q Are you a professional prosecution witness, Mr. De Carlo?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object, your Honor. It is argumentative. It is ridiculous. THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. FITZGERALD: Q How many times have you testified in court in the last year, Mr. De Carlo?

MR. BUGLIOSI: immaterial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. FITZGERALD: Q How many times have you testified in court in the last year, Mr. De Carlo?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous. I object on that ground, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: May I suggest that Mr. Bugliosi is interrupting Mr. Fitzgerald's quastions?

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the ground that it is immaterial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Three.

MR. FITZGERALD: Q on all three of those occasions, have you been a prosecution witness?

MR. MUSICH: Your Honor, I will object to going into this area.

I hope counsel realizes that he may open some ŀ doors, and I would like to approach the bench on this. 2 THE COURT: You are asking to approach the bench, 3 Mr. Musich? MR. MUSICH: I will object to the relevance of the question first. THE COURT: overruled. THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: It is 12:00 o'clock, Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse 11 with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the. 12 case until it is finally submitted to you. 13 The Court will recess until 2:00 p.m. 14 (Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m. the court was in 15 recess.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 24

25