#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

92

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Friday, September 18, 1970 P. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI,
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 92

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES 10790 to 10865

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., Official Reporters

IOS ANGELLE, CHLIFORNIA, PRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1970

2:04 P.M.

THE COURT: All parties and counsel are present.

The jury is not present.

You had something you wanted to take up, Mr. Fitzgerald.

MR. PITEGERALD: Yes, please, your Honor, this morning I presented for your signature an affidavit in support of a removal order from the Los Angeles County Jail, ordering that Mr. Charles Denton Watson be brought to court this afternoon.

I did so for the purposes of asking Mr. De Carlo to determine whether or not he could identify Mr. Watson.

Mr. Kanarak has informed me he wishes to object to any such procedure, and particularly apparently he would like to object to Mr. Fatson being seen by the jury.

Obviously that is what I want done. I want, in the presence of the jury, Mr. De Carlo to attempt to identify Mr. Watson, if possible.

THE COURT: is part of your cross-examination of Mr. Do Carlo?

MR. FITTGERALD: As part of my cross-examination of Mr. De Carlo.

examination; as long as I did, I would ask permission to

12 13

14

Ĭ

2

3

. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

.16

17

18

19

20 21

\_\_\_

22 23

. 24

reopen the cross-examination only for that wary limited purpose.

MR. KAMAREK: I would object, your Honor.

I would object to any appearance of Mr. Watson before the jury. Just so that -- may Mr. Watson, your Monor, be out of the room while we have this colloquy?

THE COURT: I see no necessity for it, Mr. Kanarek.
MR. KANAREK: Very well.

Well, that is my desire, that Mr. Watmon not be before the jury.

It is not my intent to call him as a witness. I just want to make it exceptionally clear that these are separate trials.

I don't want the prosecution at some later time to say that there has been any dilution of this whatsoever.

I object on the grounds of due process under the 14th Amendment, under California law, and I object to Mr. Vatson being placed before the jury.

I don't want that he have anything to so as far as the jury is concerned, period.

J##

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22-

23

24

THE COURT: Well, your objection is overruled.

Let's bring in the jury.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

Your Honor, before he brings in the jury.
I would also to make this point. I would like to make a point that the right to confront under the Sixth Amendment is fettered by what the prosecution has done in this case.

By Mr. Watson's late appearance in the State of California, we are deprived of discovery and everything else that is attendent to the right to confront which is guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment, the Sixteenth Amendment as picked up by the Due Process clause of the Fourteenth.

I wish to make it exceptionally clear that we do not wish Mr. Watson to have any part of these proceedings.

Thank you.

THE COURT: What you have just said is exceptionally unclear, Hr. Kamarek.

MR. MANAREK: I would be glad to elaborate, your monor.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with Mr. Watson's appearance in this court for the purpose of cross-examination of Mr. Decarlo for identification?

IR. KANAREK: Because we are dealing with live flesh

26

ŀ

2 3

.5

6.

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15.

16

17

18 19

20

21 22.

23

24

25

26

and blood jurors. They see Mr. Watson here. Then Mr. Watson is not called as a witness. Then there is an unanswered question.

MR. BUGLIOSI: One moment, Mr. Kanarek, the jury is starting to come in.

(Pause while the jury is ushered back out.)

MR. KANAREK: The jury sees him, and then let's say, in final argument, the prosecution says: Well, we are not obliged to bring all witnesses and neither is the defendant.

The prosecution doesn't even have to mention Mr. Watson. There are many ways of slicing beloney but it is still baloney.

The prosecution will try to get across to the jury that here was Mr. Watson, he is available. How come they didn't call him if they really have this to say? And so forth and so on.

It fetters, it is a denial of a fair trial, it fetters the right to confront. He has been here only a few days.

My position is, your Honor, that bringing him before the jury is useless, it is needless, there is no question who Tex Watson is, it is a useless gesture, and the projudicial value far outweighs any probative value. It has no probative value, Who cares?

They are not going to call him as a witness.

It is absolutely prejudicial.

As a matter of fact, your Honor, I will ask for a mistrial on the grounds of the prejudice of bringing Mr. Watson before the jury. I ask for a mistrial on that if they are going to do it, if anybody is going to do it.

10s fls.

,6 

ľ

12.

2Į

2

ŀ

3

5.

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kanarek, your argument doesn't make very much sense to me. I must say.

MR. KENAREK: I am sorry, your Honor. I believe it is completely and absolutely meritorious.

I see all kinds of problems. It is pregnant with great danger, because the jury knows he is here, available, and they are going to ask themselves questions both at the time they are deliberating and while —

THE COURT: If you care to prepare some instructions at the proper time for the jury as to this point.

Mr. Ranarak, you, of course, are free to do so, and you may make a request to the Court to give those instructions:

but I see no reason whatever why Mr. Natson should not appear for the purpose of having Mr. De Carlo attempt to identify him.

MR. KINGER: You see, it is identification in a vacuum, your Honor. For what purpose?

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREKI VETY WELL.

I will and I do ask that he not be brought in, your Honor.

THE COURT: He has testified that Mr. Vatson was present at the runch. He apparently is being called here for the purpose of finding out whether this Mr. Vatson is that Mr. Vatson.

MR. KANARSK: Really, whether this Mr. Watson is that

0a.2

1, 2

3.

.5

7.

8

.10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18·

,19

20

21

.22

11

· 24 ·

25

26

Hr. Fatson, so far as the probative value or having any lagal or effective evidentiary value, it has none.

They know there is a Mr. Watson. The only affect is the prejudice of having him here, and then people on the jury bagin adding up one and one, and they may:

Why didn't somebody call him? And they make some unbearranted conclusions which prejudices the case.

I ask for a mistrial in advance. I will approach the bench and ask for it after, if your Honor does allow Mr. Watson to appear before the jury.

It has nothing but prejudicial value, serves no purpose. It is a show is all it is. It is like a Vaudeville performance, your Honor, because I see no purpose in it.

THE COURT: Do you wish to respond, Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. FITZGERALD: No. your Honor. I will submit the matter.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosit

MR. BUGLIOSI: Submit the matter, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.

Let's bring in the jury.

| 11-1 |  |
|------|--|
| •    |  |
| *    |  |
|      |  |
| *    |  |

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury, all defendants and their counsel being present:)

THE COUPT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

THE CLERK: Would you state your name, sir.
THE WITNESS: Danny DeCarlo.

THE COURT: Do you wish to continue your crossexamination at this time, Mr. Kanarck, or do you defer to Mr. Fitzgerald?

MR. KANAREK: I will defer out of courtesy, if your Honor --

I would -- you -- I want to continue, your Honor, but I would -- I don't want to continue in the presence of this gentleman in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Then you may reopen, Mr. Fitzgerald.
MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. KANARFE: May that be shown to be done over my objection, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

CROSS-FXAMINATION (Reopened)

# BY MR. FITZGERALD:

Mr. DeCarlo, you previously testified that a man by the name of Tex Watson was present at the Spahn

| 1   | Ranch during the period of time that you were there in  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | 1969, is that correct?                                  |
| 3   | A Yeah.   |
| 4   | Did you know Mr. Watson by any other name?              |
| 5   | A Tex.  |
| 6   | Do you recognize Mr. Watson in the courtroom?           |
| 7   | MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I then object on the       |
| 8   | grounds that I have enunciated to the Court previously? |
| 9   | THE COURT: You have objected, Mr. Kanarek.              |
| 10  | You may answer the question.                            |
| 11  | THE WITNESS: Yeah.                                      |
| 12  | BY MR. FITZGERALD:                                      |
| 13  | Q Where is he located, Mr. DeCarlo?                     |
| 14  | A Right over there.                                     |
| 15  | Q Is this the gentleman I am pointing to?               |
| 16  | Yeah.   |
| 17  | MR. KANAREK: In order that I not interrupt, your        |
| 18  | Honor, may I have a continuing objection on all of the  |
| 19. | grounds that I have enunciated proviously?              |
| 20  | THE COURT: Very well.                                   |
| 21  | MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.                     |
| 22  | MR. FITZGERALD: Could I have this gentleman identify    |
| 23  | himself for the court, your Honor?                      |
| 24  | THE COURT: Yes.   |
| 25  | Will you stand, sir, and state your name.               |
| 26  | (No response.)  |
|     |   |

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

ğ

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

: 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

Your name, sir? (No response.)

All right, you may be seated.

Is that the man you were referring to, Mr.

### DeCarlo?

THE WITNESS: Yesh.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have no further questions, your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: Any cross-examination?

MR. SHINN: No questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Kenarek, you may continue.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. May we approach the bench briefly, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: First of all, your Honor, I would ask the Court to voir dire the jury as to what if anything they know concerning Mr. Watson's return to this community, what they may have read in the newspapers about that.

I do make that motion that the jury be voir dired on that subject matter.

THE COURT: Well, if you have some reason to think that they know something, Mr. Kanarek, put it in the form of an affidavit or a declaration, file a motion.

|   | )   | I. |   |
|---|-----|----|---|
|   | 1   | 2  |   |
|   | ;   | 3  |   |
|   |     | 4  |   |
|   |     | 5  |   |
|   |     | 5  |   |
|   | 7   | 7  |   |
|   | Ę   | }  |   |
|   | ç   | )  | , |
|   | 10  | )  | , |
|   | 11  |    |   |
|   | 12  | 2  |   |
|   | 13  | :  |   |
| • | 14  |    |   |
|   | 15  | i  |   |
|   | 16  |    | 1 |
|   | 17  |    |   |
|   | 18  |    |   |
|   | 19  |    |   |
|   | 20. | ı  |   |
|   | 21  |    |   |
|   | 22  |    |   |
|   | 23  |    |   |

.24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: I cannot make that representation, your Honor, that they do.

I feel down deep in my heart there is no question in my mind that they do.

THE COURT: Now, we have gone through this before, now, about the hunches that you have deep down in your heart, but that is not persuasive.

MR. MANAREK: I have no access to the jurors, your Honor. I stay away from the Ambassador Hotel.

THE COURT: As far as I om concerned neither does anyone else.

MR. KANAREK: They have conjugal visits, your Honor, they speak with their family.

I make the motion. May I have a ruling?
THE COURT: The ruling is the motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor. Then I do make a motion for a mistrial because of the presence of Mr. Watson before the jury in these proceedings.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Could I have an opportunity to ask
Mr. DeCarlo if Wetson was this heavy when he was at the
Spahn Ranch, since they have opened it up?

THE COURT: I don't see what the relevancy of it would be.

As the record now stands they

simply identified

him,

, 1,

. 2

5

6.

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

Of course, if Mr. Kanarek or someone else goes into his physical description on cross-examination, on your redirect examination I suppose you could.

But it would be beyond the scope of the cross-examination. He identified him.

MR. BUGLIOST: Oh, yes, we are really talking about a physical description when you identify someone.

I think the man has lost about --

THE COURT: Not necessarily. They are talking about the identity of a person. They are talking about an individual. He may have looked different at different times.

lla fls.

14

15

16

17

19

20

91

22

23

.25

2

1

3 4

5

6

-8,

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

**2**6

MR. BUGLICEI: according to Mr. On Carlo, he told me be does not look the same now; he was able to identify him but he lost about 60 or 70 pounds.

THE COURT: It's a matter for cross-examination.

Nobody has challenged it so far or even talked about it.

Maybe they will, I don't know.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Without bringing him in may I ask Mr. De Carlo, Without bringing Mr. Latson back?

THE COURT: As to whether or not be looks the name now?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, as he did when he knew him at

Spahn Ranch.

MR. KANAREK: I will object to that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Because this is something that Mr. Fitzgerald introduced into evidence.

Vithout bringing Watson back could I ask him if he looked the same?

THE COURT: What is the necessity of it? Unless it comes out on cross-examination, the record shows an identification.

MR. BUGLIOSI: But the judy saw the man.

THE COURT: That's right, but they did not see him when he weighed 50 or 60 pounds more, so what difference does it make?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Actually it has some relevance.

I don't want to state it on the record, what the relevance
is.

1

2 3.

> 4 5

6

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

94

25

26.

I feel it has some relevance and I feel that it is within the scope of Mr. Fitzgerald's examination.

When he identified the man like that. Does he look any different in court today than when you knew him at Spahn Ranch?"

THE COURT: As I say, as the record now stands I don't see any necessity for it. If you think you have some reason for it, I don't see it is that objectionable at all, I don't think it is objectionable at all, it is common in identification to ask a witness whether a person appears the same now as them; there is nothing musual about that,

MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Hey the prosecution as well as the Attorney General be put on notice, your Honor, that Mr. Bugliosi --/Mr. Bugliosi goes into that wvidence we object on the grounds we enunciated proviously.

It is our position Hr. Manson is in jeopardy. of course any kind of error they introduce here by way of any questioning would be error that we insist is invited by their own conduct, the prosecution.

THE COURT: There is no necessity to put all of this on the record, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: The law of jeopardy, I think, requires it.

THE COURT: Requires what?

MR. KANAREK. The law of jeopardy, your Honor, I

ž

1

3

5

6

:8:

9

11 12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20 '

22

21

23

25

26

believe my motion is this: that the prosecution cannot deliberately cause error and then force a new trial.

They cannot make a man stay in prison for many years because of the fact that the new trial comes about and is caused — is because of what they have done.

THE COURT: What are you talking about? What has the prosecution done that you are talking about?

MR. KAN MAK: I am just stating on the record in advance at the present time.

THE COURT: In advance of what?

MR. KANNECK: Of his questioning him about anything concerning Mr. latson, that if a new trial or error creeps in because of what the prosecution does, it is my position Mr. Manson could never be retried bucause of the fact that it is deliberate error on the part of the prosecution.

THE COURT: That does that have to do with the trial judge? That is something you may raise on appeal, but that has nothing to do with what is going on new.

MR. KINIMER: You, it does.

THE COURT: Jeopardy has attached.

MR. KANAGEK: Yes, but your Honor in controlling the proceedings before him has the duty to see that the defendant is not forced to go to an appellate court —

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. KAMIRIKE They are opening the door to error when they start questioning Mr. Vatson. I went the record

7 ·

· 8

o.

to reveal.

THE COURT: What I may to you, Mr. Ranarek, while you have the right to make an objection, to raise an argument on the ground of jeopardy I think is a total waste of time.

MR. KANAREK: Jeopardy is a very important concept, your Honor. In England, you cannot retry a man if there is error.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Mr. Kaparek, if birds did not have wings they could not fly.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

12-1 (Whareupon, all counsel return to their 1 respective places at the counsel table and the following 2 proceedings occur in open court within the presence and 3 hearing of the jury:) 4 MR. KIN DEK: Q Mr. De Carlo, on August the 5 16th, 1969, you ware arrested; right? A Yos. 7 Q You were at the Spahn Ranch when you were 8 arrested? A Yes. 10 Q Right? 11 Yosa 12 At that time, Mr. De Carlo, when you were at the 13 Spann Ranch, were there a lot of police officers there? 14 A There sure was. 15 There sure were; right? 16 A Yos. A lot of them. 17 Q Did you, Mr. De Carlo, make any statements? 18 I am saying you, yourself, did you make any statement 19. concerning pigs? 20: A No. Because as soon as they saw me, they knocked 21 22 me out. Q Who knocked you out? 23 A The police. 24

Q

did they knock you out?

25

How soon after you know the police were there

| 1,           | A Well, I didn't know because I was crashed,          |
|--------------|---|
| 2            | I was sleeping.                                       |
| 3            | Q You were sleeping?                                  |
| 4            | A Yes.  |
| '5           | Q You mean someone came in and hit you?               |
| 6            | A Well, I had a gun next to ma.                       |
| 7            | Q . You slept with a gun next to your                 |
| ·8           | A Yex.  |
| 9            | 4 Always; right?                                      |
| 10           | A Not always.   |
| 11           | Q A good portion of the time?                         |
| 12           | A Well, the night before I was drinking. I got        |
| 13           | pretty smashed, and they carried me in there. I don't |
| 14.          | remember them carrying me in there.                   |
| <b>,</b> 15. | 9 You mean the Straight Satans carried you to your    |
| 16           | bed?  |
| 17           | A No. A couple of the girls did.                      |
| 18           | A couple of the girls?                                |
| 19           | A Yes.  |
| 20           | 9 You mean from the Spahn Ranch, carried you into     |
| 21           | bed?  |
| 22           | A I didn't get to the bed. I just got to the          |
| 23           | floor.  |
| 24           | Q And you slept on the floor?                         |
| 25           | A Yes.  |
| 26           | When you say they knocked you out, what do you        |
|              | mean by that, Mr. De Carlo?                           |

26

A Well, I don't remember them hitting me. When I was outside I had all kinds of lumps on my head.

Were in your immediate presence, you became conscious and you felt lumps on your head?

A. Yes. My nose was cut and I had a big black eye. So, they had a good time.

Q I see.

Then, at that time, when you were in the immediate area of those police officers, did you say anything about pigs?

A No.

Q Now, on more than one occasion. Mr. De Carlo, did people have occasion to take you to bed, carry you to bed, because you were smashed, while you were at the Spahn Rench?

A You.

Q This happened on many, many occasions; right?

A Not many, many. I made it a couple of times by myself.

Q You mean, during the months from March to
August you made it a couple of times to bed on your own?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is ambiguous.

A couple of times when he was smanhed or a couple of times referring to the whole period that he was out there?

THE COURT: Sustained.

2A

| 24-1 | Ì    | BY MR. KANAREK:   |
|------|------|---|
|      | 2    | Q Directing your attention, Mr. DeCarlo, to the         |
|      | 3    | time from March to August of 1969 when you were at the  |
|      | 4    | Spahn Ranch, did you, on occasion, make it to bed where |
|      | 5    | you propelled yourself on your own feet?                |
|      | 6    | MR. BUGLIOSI: Again the same objection, your Honor.     |
| *    | 7    | Is he talking about when he was drunk or when           |
|      | 8    | he wasn't drunk?  |
|      | 9.   | MR. KANAREK: I am asking the question, your Honor.      |
|      | 10   | MR. BUGLIOSI: And I am objecting. It is ambiguous.      |
|      | 11   | THE COURT: Sugtained.                                   |
|      | 12   | MR. KANAREK: Q You were drunk every day; right,         |
|      | 13   | Danny?  |
| ·    | 14   | A I was smashed.  |
|      | 15   | Q Smashed every day?                                    |
|      | 16   | A Right.  |
|      | 17   | You drank about a case of beer every day;               |
|      | 18   | right?  |
| . *  | IÌ . | A Yes.  |
|      | 20   | Q And directing your attention to the times,            |
| ٠.   | 21   | then, whatever your sobriety, whatever your state of    |
|      | 22   | drunkenness might have been, did you, on two occasions, |
|      | 23   | get to bed on your own where you walked to bed on your  |
|      | - 24 | own, during the months of Merch to August?              |
|      | 25   | A There was only a couple of times I had to be          |
|      | 26   | carried.  |

| 124-2             | . 1        |
|-------------------|------------|
| <u>.</u>          | 2          |
|                   | 3          |
| *                 | 4.         |
|                   | . 5        |
|                   | · 6        |
|                   | 7          |
| •                 | 8          |
|                   | 9          |
| .*                | 10.        |
|                   | 11         |
| *                 | . 12       |
| ,<br><del>_</del> | 13         |
|                   | 14         |
|                   | 15         |
|                   | 16         |
|                   | 17         |
| ,                 | 18         |
| . 😜 .             | 19         |
|                   | <i>2</i> 0 |
|                   |            |

24

25

26

Q You are saying it is only a couple of times that you had to be carried?

A Yes, that I had to be carried.

Q And the rest of the time you made it on your own to bed?

A I walked myself.

2 I sec.

Then, my question is: At the time when these police officers came to the Spahn Ranch, like August the 16th, 1969, is it a fair statement that you uttered the word "pigs" in connection with your arrest?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Asked and enswered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q. Now, while you were at the Spahn Ranch, Mr. DeCarlo, did you see Linds Kasabian take any pills?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevent, your Honor. It is also ambiguous.

MR. KANAREK: I don't know how it could be more relevant or less ambiguous, your Honor, in view of the prosecution's examination of --

MR. BUGLIOSI: What kind of pills is he talking about?

If it is sleeping pills, it doesn't have any
relevance to this case.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking for objective evidence from the witness, uncolored by any kind of a conclusion.

2

3

5

7

8

9.

10

11

12

14

16

15

17

19

20

22

18

12b fls. 21

24

23

25 26 I am just asking him if he saw her take pills.

THE COURT: Overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. MANARER:

Q Do you remember having a conversation with me yesterday at the witness stand there before we were interrupted by the District Attorney --

MR. BUGLIOSI: Oh, your Honor, that type of inflammatory remark is ridichlous.

What does that have to do with the price of ter in China, Mr. Kanarek? You are the one that is interrupting me all the time.

THE COURT: That will be enough, gentlemen.

MR. HANAREK: Will your Honor admonish the jury - I ask that the jury be admonished to disregard the remarks of Mr. Bugilosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your remarks are ridiculous.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, that will be enough.

You are admonished to disregard the remarks of both counsel, ladies and gentleven.

12B-1

2

3.,

1

5

4.

6: 7

8

9.

10 11

12

13

15 15

16

17

18

10

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 MR. KAMAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench because of what Mr. Bugliosi just said to me?

I wish to be sworn. I don't know if the jury heard it or not, your Honor, and I wish to be sworn as to what Mr. Bugliosi stated to me.

May I be sworn? I ask that, your Honor. This is conduct that is unbecoming a lawyer in a court of law to say what he said.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your conduct is grounds for disbarment.

THE COURT: Let !: proceed, gentlemen.

MR. KANARAK: I would like to approach the bench.

MR. HUGHUS: May I make a motion?

THE COURT: We don't need to hear from you.

MR. HUGHES: May I make a motion, your Honor?

THE COURT: The jury is admonished to disregard the remarks of counsel.

MR. HUGHES: May I make a motion?

THE COURT: Yes. State it.

MR. HUGHES: I make a motion for a mistrial because of the conduct of Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Kanarek at this time.

I think it is prejudicing the case for the other defendants.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

Let' proceed.

MR. KUNNER: Your Honor, would your Honor admonish the jury not to consider Mr. Bugliosi's statement

| 1           | concerning disparment for any purposer                   |
|-------------|--|
| 2           | THE COURT: They have been so admonished, Mr.             |
| á           | Kanarok.   |
| 4           | MR. KANAREK: Then may I approach the bench to make       |
| 5.          | a motion?  |
| 6           | THE COURT: You may make it at the recess.                |
| 7           | Let's proceed.   |
| 8           | MR. KANAREK: Q Mr. Do Carlo, did you see                 |
| 9           | Linda Kasabian take any white pills while she was at the |
| 10.         | Spahn Ranch?   |
| 11          | A I think she took a bennie, maybe.                      |
| Ĭ2          | MR. KIMAREK: Your Honor, may I have an answer to that    |
| 13          | question?  |
| 14          | I ask that the answer be stricken as not                 |
| 15          | responsive, your Honor.                                  |
| 16          | MR. BUGLIOSI: I join Mr. Kanarek.                        |
| 17          | THE COURT: The answer is stricken.                       |
| 18          | The jury is admonished to disregard it.                  |
| 19.         | Listen to the question, Mr. De Carlo.                    |
| <b>2</b> 0. | MR. KANARSK: May it be read to the witness, your         |
| 21          | Honor?   |
| 22          | THE COURT: Read the question.                            |
| 23          | (The question was read by the reporter.)                 |
| 24          | THE WITHESS: I don't know. I might have.                 |
| 25          | MR. KINAREK: Q Would you think about that for            |
| 26          | a moment?  |

| 1   | A Well, I am thinking and I am not sure.                    |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Q Well, would you care to maybe take a few                  |
| 3   | meconds?  |
| 4   | A I have while you two were arguing and I still             |
| 5   | can't remember. I don't know.                               |
| 6   | Q I sea.  |
| 7   | Your answer is that you don't know?                         |
| :8  | A Right.  |
| 9   | Q Did you see Linda Kasabian take any colored               |
| 10  | pills, Mr. Da Carlo, while you ward ut the Spahn Ranch?     |
| 11  | A I don't know.   |
| 12  | Q You may have?   |
| 13  | A I don't know.   |
| 14  | Q Wall, would you think about it for a few moments          |
| 15  | or for a few seconds.                                       |
| 16  | A I don't inow.   |
| 17  | Q But you may have? She may have taken some                 |
| 18  | colored pills in your presence; is that correct?            |
| 19  | MR. BOGLIOSI: It is irrelevant that she may have.           |
| 20  | If he can't remember, your Honor, it is totally irrelevant. |
| 21  | It is asking for speculation. Inything is possible.         |
| 22  | THE COURT: Well, I think he is still probing the            |
| .23 | witness t memory.   |
| 24  | You may answer the question.                                |
| 25  | THE WITNESS: I don't know.                                  |
| 'OC | · ·   |

### 12c-1

1

2

.

5

6

g.

.9

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

1ĝ

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

#### BY MR. KANAREKI

Now, Mr. DeCarlo, is it a fair statement that you might say that during the months that you were at the Spahn Ranch, when you drank, over Mr. Manson's objections, when you brought guns to the Spahn Ranch, over Mr. Manson's objections, that during that period you were, shall we say, you had a lost five months because of the drunkenness, the drunken condition you were in?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a compound question, it assumes facts not in evidence, and is an unsolicited lecture.

I will object on those three grounds.

THE COURT: Sustained.

#### BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q As a matter of fact, Mr. DeCarlo, you don't really remember anything really that happened during those five months, do you, Mr. DeCarlo?
  - Yes, I remember everything.
  - Q You remember everything?
  - 1 Yes.
- Q You don't remember about Linds Kasabian and the pills though, do you?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is argumentative.

THE COURT! Sustained.

## BY MR. KANAREKT

Is it a fair statement, Mr. DeCarlo, that you

| 12c-2      | 1    | are remembering what you think will help the prosecution |
|------------|------|--|
|            | 2    | in this case?  |
|            | 3    | MR. BUGLIOSI: Argumentative, your Honor.                 |
| ( <b>*</b> | 4    | THE COURT: Guerruled.                                    |
|            | 5    | You may answer.  |
|            | .6   | THE WITNESS: No.   |
|            | 7    | MR. KAMARIA: Pardon?                                     |
| · ,        | 8    | THE WITHESS: No.   |
|            | 9    | BY MR. LANARELLE   |
|            | 10   | Q Directing your attention to Ruthsone Horehouse,        |
|            | 11   | Mr. DeCarlo. You liked her; is that correct?             |
|            | 12   | A Yes.   |
|            | 13   | Q And, Mr. DeCarlo, is it a fair statement that          |
|            | 14   | Ruthanne Horchouse does not return that affection?       |
|            | 15   | MR. BUGLICSI: That calls for a conclusion, your          |
| . *        | . 16 | Honor. It is also inmaterial.                            |
| • .        | 17   | MR. KANAREK: It goes to blas and prejudice. Hr.          |
| *          | 18   | Bugliosi has made her a part of the                      |
| • •        | 19   | THE COURT: Sustained.                                    |
| •          | 20   | Mil. KANARLA: Pardon me?                                 |
|            | 21   | THE COURT: Sustained.                                    |
| *          | 22   | MR. KANAGER: On what ground, if I may ask, your          |
|            | 23   | Henor?   |
|            | 24   | THE COURT: Let's proceed, Nr. Laborek.                   |
|            | .25  | BY MR. MANAKER:  |
| · ,*       | 26   | Q Mr. DeCarlo, is your state of mird such that           |

| Į- | you feel that some of the girls at the Spahn Ranch don't   |
|----|--|
|    | like you?  |
|    | MR. BUGLIOSI: That calls for a conclusion, your Honor.     |
|    | MR. KANAREK: It goes to his biss and prejudice.            |
|    | MR. BUGLIOSI: It is also irrelevent, unless we are         |
|    | talking about these three female defendants, your Honor.   |
| -  | MR. KANAREK: The point is that Mr. Bugliosi brought-       |
|    | THE COURT: That is the way I understood it.                |
|    | Reed the question.   |
|    | (The question was read by the reporter.)                   |
|    | THE WITNESS: I think they all                              |
|    | THE COURT: It is ambiguous. Sustained. It is also          |
|    | irrelevant.  |
|    | BY MR. KANAREK:  |
| 5  | Q Mr. DeCarlo, is it a fair statement is it                |
|    | a fair statement, Mr. DeCarlo that you were somewhat       |
|    | jealous of the attention that Mr. Manson received from     |
|    | some of the females at the Spaim Ranch?                    |
|    | Is that a fair statement, Mr. DeCarlo?                     |
|    | A No. There was enough girls for everybody.                |
|    | At any time, Mr. DeCarlo, have you stated,                 |
|    | uttered any words, indicating your unhappiness because you |
|    | were not shown attention by females at the Spahn Ranch?    |
|    | A I had the time of my life up there.                      |
|    | Q That isn't my question, Mr. DeCarlo.                     |
|    | Well, I don't know.  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

| 1,         |               | Me and the girls got along fantastically, I    |
|------------|---------------|--|
| 2          | think.        |  |
| 3          | 9             | You think?                                     |
| 4          | 4             | I know. Ask them.                              |
| 5          | . Q           | All right.                                     |
| <b>.</b> 6 |               | Did you ever make any statements, Mr. DeCarlo, |
| 7          | to the effec  | ot that the girls at the Spahn Ranch were      |
| 8          | putting you   | down?  |
| 9.         | A.            | No.  |
| 10         | 3             | Pardon? You never made any such statement?     |
| 11         | Λ.            | No. I'd only be lying.                         |
| 12         | Q.            | What is that?                                  |
| 13-        | **            | I'd be lying if I had said that.               |
| 14         | Q             | You would be lying if you had said that?       |
| 15         | Δ             | None of the girls ever put me down.            |
| <b>1</b> 6 | 2             | My question is not whether you would be lying  |
| 17         | if you said   | that. Did you ever utter those words?          |
| 18         | · <b>A</b>    | No.  |
| 19         | Q.            | Did you ever state                             |
| 20         | . · A         | No, no, no.                                    |
| 21         | ۹.            | You never did?                                 |
| <b>22</b>  | 4.            | No.  |
| 23         |               | Did you ever tell anyone, any member of the    |
| 24         | . Straight Sa | tens, that the girls at the Spahn Ranch had    |
| 25         | . put you dow | n?   |
| 26         | 4.            | No.  |

Mr. DeCarlo, have you, at any time -- let me mak you this -- on how many occasions have you spoken with Mr. Gutierrez, the gentleman here? MR. BUCLICSI: That is ambiguous, your Honor. 13 fls. Spoken? How many times he said bello? -11 

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 THE COURT: You may answer.

MR. KANLIREK: Pardonf

THE COURTS YOU MAY ADDRESS.

THE WITNESS: I don't knew about 20 times.

O BY MR. KANAREK: And directing your attention --you recall during the moon hour when I attempted to speak
to you in the lobby of the Hall of Justice?

A Yeah.

Q And you and I were present; I asked to have a couple of words with you.

MR. MUSIJOSI: Your Honor. I am objecting to this; I can tall from the tenor of the question, that it has no relevance whatsoever.

I object on that ground and I ask that we approach the bench, your Honor, if the Court has any doubt about the admitting of this type of question.

MR. KANARSK: I will be glad to approach the bench,

THE COURT: I don't know what you mean by "this type of question."

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, it's obvious he is not making the type of question to secure an answer.

He is just talking in front of the jury. The question itself contains accusations by Mr. Faparek.

THE COURT: Reframe the question, Mr. Managek.

Q BY MR. KANAREKE Do you racall this afternoon

|         | 1   |  |
|---------|-----|--|
| 1.2     | 1   | after the morning ression, Mr. De Carlo, when I was in the |
|         | . 2 | lobby of the Hall of Justice here?                         |
|         | 3   | A Yes, sir.  |
|         | 4   | Q and I tried to talk to your                              |
| •       | 5   | A Yash.  |
|         | .6  | Q and you and Mr. Gutterrer loft my immediate              |
|         | 7   | prosence?  |
| ·<br>•  | 8   | A I said I would talk to you.                              |
|         | 9   | Q You said you would talk to me but you ended up in        |
| ,       | 10  | about a half second going off with Mr. Gutierror, right?   |
| •       | ıi. | MR. BUGITOSI: I object to this line of questioning,        |
|         | 12  | Your Honor,  |
| <u></u> | 13  | THE COUNT: Santained.                                      |
|         | 14  | MA. KAMARIKA Wall, your Honor                              |
|         | 15  | THE COURT: Sustained.                                      |
|         | 16  | Q DY MR. KMAREK: Did you Lamadis toly loave with           |
| ,       | 17  | Hr. Gutlerrezt   |
|         | 18  | MR. BUOLICGI: It is irrelevant.                            |
| ê       | 19  | THE COURT: Sustained.                                      |
| *       | 20  | HR. RM GAR: May I make an offic of proof, your             |
| •       | 21  | Honor?   |
|         | 22  | THE COURT: It's not necessary.                             |
| •       | 2,3 | AY MR. KAMAREK: And on other occasions - yeu               |
|         | 24  | may that you mpoke to Mr. Gutierrar, that you have spoken  |
|         | 25  | to him some 20 times, right?                               |
|         | 26  | A Yeah.  |

| -3   | Q And you recognize him am a Los Angeles police              |
|------|--|
| 1    | officer, right?  |
| 2    | A Yeah.  |
| 3    | Q And you spoke with Mr. Bugliosi how many                   |
| 4.   | different occasions have you spoken with him?                |
| 5    | A Two or three.  |
| ć    | And did you speak with Hr. Stovitz?                          |
| 7    | A One time I did for just a few seconds.                     |
| . 8  | Q Now, have you, Mr Mr. De Carlo have you a                  |
| 9    | clear mind right now, as you sit there on the witness stand? |
| 10   | A You mean, have I been drinking?                            |
| . 11 | Q Okay, I will ask that, have you been drinking?             |
| 12   | A man, they won't let me.                                    |
| 13   | They wen't lot you, what do you mean by that?                |
| 14.  | A Well, they won't let me.                                   |
| 15   | I mean they won't let me go to a bar.                        |
| . 16 | I see. In other words, the prosecution won t                 |
| 17   | let you go to a bar.   |
| 18   | MR. BUGLIOSI: He is assuming a fact not in evidence,         |
| 20   | your "onor.  |
| 21   | MR. KANAREK: He stated it, your Honor. It is in              |
| 22   | evidence.  |
| 23   | MR. BUGLIOSI: He said "they."                                |
| 24   | Q MY MR. KAMAREK: Whom do you mean by "they,"                |
| . 25 | Mr Mr. De Carlo?   |
| 26   | MR. HUCHES: May the record reflect the witness has           |
|      |  |

| 13-4     | 1           | pointed to Sargeant Gutierreg.   |
|----------|-------------|--|
|          | 2           | THE WITNESS: Mr. Gutierrez.  |
|          | 3           | Q BY MR. KAWAREK: Anyone else tell you not to  |
|          | 4           | drink?   |
| ī        | 5           | A No, just him.  |
|          | 6           | Q I see. Now, when you were in court yesterday   |
| #        | . 7         | did you have anything to drink?  |
| •        | . 8         | A No, they wouldn't let me.  |
|          | 9 '         | Q Directing your attention to your court appearance  |
|          | 10          | yesterday, when was the time just provious to yesterday  |
| •        | 11          | that you had had anything to drink?  |
|          | . 12        | A That night.  |
|          | 13          | Q The night before?  |
| <b>O</b> | 14          | A The night before, yeah.  |
|          | 15          | Q I see. And when you drank were you did you   |
|          | 16          | have any Los Angelos police officers with your   |
|          | 17          | MR. BUGLIOSI: I object on the ground it's irrelevant,  |
|          | 18          | your Honor.  |
| ė        | 19          | What relevancy does it have who is in his  |
|          | 20          | presence when he is drinking?  |
|          | 21          | THE COURT: Sustained.  |
|          | .22         | Q BY MR. KANAREK: Is it a fair statement,  |
|          | <b>' 23</b> | Mr. De Carlo, that since you have been in the Los ingeles  |
| . 🛋      | 24          | area you have come to testify here, that you have been in  |
|          | 25          | constant close association with people of the Los Angeles  |
|          | 26          | The I down the reason of the second of the s |

|      | 1   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                       |
|------|-----|---|
| 13-5 | 1   | A Yeah.   |
|      | . 2 | Q gvery minute, every minute of your waking day?            |
|      | 3   | A Yeah.   |
| *    | 4   | Q A man with the Los Angeles Police Department is           |
|      | 5   | right next to you, right?                                   |
|      | 6   | A Yeah.   |
| •    | 7   | Q Within inches of your physical person, is that            |
| •    | 8   | correct?  |
|      | .g. | A Right there.  |
|      | 10  | Q Right there, and where you sleep, Mr. De Carlo,           |
|      | 11  | is there a police officer in the room there with you?       |
| •    | 12  | MR. BUGLIOSI: Under the sheet.                              |
|      | 13. | MR. KANARSK: It could well be. A lot of truth is            |
|      | 14  | said in jest, your Honor, and I think                       |
| * *  | 15  | THE COURT: You have made your point, Mr. Kanarak,           |
| • `  | 16  | iet's go on.  |
|      | 17  | DY MR. KANARBK: Would you tell us, Mr. De Carle,            |
|      | 18  | would you tell us when you sleep how far away is the person |
| `~   | 19  | of the Los Angeles police officert                          |
|      | 20  | A Well, they are in the room.                               |
|      | 21  | & same room, right?   |
| ·    | 22. | A Yeah.   |
|      | 23  | MR. KANAREK: Thank you, no further questions.               |
| 9    | 24  | THU COURT: Any redirect examination?                        |
|      | 25  | MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.                              |
|      | 26  | MR. HUCHES: I believe I have questions, unless this         |

witness; testimony ---

MR. BUGLIOSI: No. I believe his tastimony, your Honor, was offered partially against Leslie Van Houten.

THE COURT: That's all right. Go ahead, then,

Mr. Hughes.

# 13a-1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

ĩò

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. HUGHES:

November having a conversation with Sergeant Neilson of the Los Angeles Police Department and some other Los Angeles Police Department officers in Room 318 of the Los Angeles Police Department Building, located at 150 North Los Angeles Street, and the subject matter being the Tate-La Bianca homicides?

Do you recall that conversation?

- They talked to me, but it was not about this case.
- Q Do you recall that that conversation lasted some seven hours, from 5:00 in the evening until roughly around midnight?
  - A. I don't know how long it went on.
- Q Do you recall that at the time you entered the building at 150 North Los Angeles Street that it was light outside?
  - A I cannot remember, sir.
- Q Do you recall that at the time that you left the building that it was dark outside?
  - 1 don't know.
  - Q Did the conversation take a long time?
  - A No.

MR. HUGHES: May I have a moment, your Honor?

# 26.

2

3

4.

5

6

9.

10

11

(Pause.)

BY MR. HUGHES:

Q During that conversation, Hr. DeCarlo, did Sergeant Neilson or Sergeant Gutlerrez -- was Sergeant Gutlerrez there also?

A Yeah.

Did Sergeant Feilson, Sergeant Gutierrez or some other officer of the Los Angeles Police Department discuss certain aspects of your family background?

A . Wall, they asked me what I did up at the ranch.

Q Did they more specifically say quote someone talked to your more and dad today."

Do you recall those words?

A No.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I object to the use of the word

The question seems to be offering this as a fact in front of the jury.

Also it seems to be asking for testimony that would be irrelevant to the charges, your Honor, whether someone spoke to his parents.

THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: No, nobody talked to them that I know of.

THE COURT: Read the answer, please.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the answer as

25

26

21

22

23

24

|     | 1    |
|-----|------|
|     | 2    |
|     | 3    |
| ,   | 4    |
| •   | .5   |
|     | 6    |
| 4   | 7    |
| 2   | 8    |
|     | 9    |
|     | 10 · |
|     | 11   |
|     | 12   |
|     | 13   |
|     | 14   |
|     | , 15 |
| , i | 16   |
|     | 17   |
|     | 18   |
| ŕ   | 19   |
|     | 20   |
|     | 21   |
|     | 22   |
|     | 23   |
|     | 24   |
|     |      |

26

| **       |     |   |  |
|----------|-----|---|--|
| fo1      | •   | - |  |
| J. L.F.1 | 2.5 |   |  |

"THE WITNESS: No, nobody talked to them that I know of.")

MR. HUGHES: I believe that is not responsive. May I rephrase the question?

THE COURT: It is not responsive. Go shead, Mr. Hughes. BY MR. HUGHES:

Q Did they may "someone talked to your mom and dad today."

Did the police say that?

A No.

Q Did they ask you questions concerning your parents naturalization and their naturalization papers?

MR. BUGLICSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor, it has no materiality.

THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: No, they are citizens.

BY MR. HUGHES:

Did they ask you where your parents were born, Mr. DeCarlo?

4 No.

Q Do you recall stating to the police officers that your parents were born in Canada?

A Yeah.

Q Do you recall the circumstances why you told the police officers that?

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor. It is irrelevant.

We are going into tangential areas which have no materiality.

THE COURT: Well, it's not clear in my mind, Mr. Bugliosi, but I see a possible area of relevancy.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I am proud of where I was born. I tell everybody where I was born and where my mom and dad were born.

## BY MR. HUCHES:

where your parents were born?

A I told them. Nobody has to ask me.

Do you recall a police officer asking you detailed questions about your father's financial dealings?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, this has no relevancy and I would request an offer of proof up at the bench.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, may I approach the bench for an offer of proof?

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury.)

MR. KANAREK: Before we proceed so I can make my motion

2

3.

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24 25

26

at the carliest possible time, I make a motion for an evidentiary hearing.

Mr. Sugliosi called me, he said, "You are a God-damned apahole."

THE COURT: What are you talking about?

MR. MANARUR: I su telling you what he said to me.

THE CGURT: When?

IR. KARAFER: When I asked you to approach the bench previously, I ask to be sworn.

It was about a foot and a half from the nearest juror. I heard him say that. I ask for an evidentiary hearing. I ask for a mistrial, and there was a statement about disbarment in the presence of the jury. I ask for a mistrial. I ask the jury to be admonished and mere admonished not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial.

IR. EUGLIOSI: What about your statement that I interrupted you and would not let you talk to a witness?

Everything I said in this regard during the course of this hard trial has been a response to something the defense counsel has said.

in. AllAREK: I ask that contempt proceedings be instituted against Mr. Bugliosi.

THE COURT: If it occurred, I agree with you, it is unjustifiable.

wrong. Your questions are an invitation to a response by

i

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

12

14

16

17 18

**19**·

20 21

22

23 24

25 26 the attorney on the other side.

I don't say that he is justified in responding, but you are going out of your way to elicit some kind of a reaction from Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. MANAPUK: That is not so, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think you are both wrong. I admonish both of you to stop this bickering back and forth between you. You cannot be helping your clients one bit.

MR. KANAREK: May we have an evidentiary hearing on

THE COURT: What is the point of it? He has not denied it.

I don't know whether it is true or not. If it happened I certainly regret it and certainly would admonish him.

On the other hand, as I say, I think you are both wrong.

MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor admonish the jury, and mere admonishment not sufficing, may I ask for a mistrial.

THE COURT: They have been admonished three times on this particular point, Mr. Kanarek, there is no point in my admonishing them again.

IR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on the motion for mistrial then?

THE COURT: The motion is denied. There is no evidence

the jury heard anything. MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor voir dire them on it? 2 THE COURT: No. I will not. 3. MR. KANAREA: I do make that motion for a voir dire on that. THE COURT: Denied. 135 fls. 7 Now, let's get back to Mr. Hughes. 8 10 . 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 26

13B-1

2

1

3

. 5

6 . 7

8

JÒ.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

MR. HUGHES: Yes, your Honor, my offer of proof has to do with some roughly meven hours of tape recordings of this witness, Danny De Carlo, which were made at the los Angeles Police Department Parker Centor on, I believe, November 17th, 1969 in Room, I think, 318 of the Los Angeles Police Department.

During the initial phases of this tape, and actually throughout it, there were certain questions asked, of him about his family and their relationship to financial matters, to various matters, your Honor, which seemed to be putting subtle types of pressure, but constant, on this witness, to remind him -- I don't know of what, perhaps of naturalization problems, financial irregularities, Police mentioned the IRS and his father's dealings with the Internal Revenue Service, various things.

They asked who his father's accountants were. They asked him about his father's business, and the machinery that had been sold.

They asked him about his brother's criminal record.

They asked him about his sister.

They asked him about his mother's naturalization.

All of theme, your Honor, go, I believe, to show a motive for the testimony of this witness, aspecially in light of other parts of this tape which deal with questions of granting this witness immunity from certain cases.

2

1

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15 16

**17** 

18

20

2Į 22

23 24

25

26

Offering this witness the intercession of the Los Angeles Police Department in a federal beef that this : witness had, a federal gum case.

٠,٠

And I would ask that your Honor allow this line of questions to show that this subtle sort of pressure that was put on this witness -- actually, it's not too subtle.

THE COURT: I don't see any pressure by reason of the questioning.

If we had evidence of something said by the police to him with regard to these matters other than just questioning him. That does not constitute pressure.

MR. HUGHES: I have the three-reel tape which Mr. Bugliosi made available to me. It is some seven hours.

Throughout it are scattered these questions that the police asked.

THE COURT: Well, I understand what you are saying, Mr. Hughes, but I don't see any reason why you cannot go into the question of what, if anything, the police said to him.

But the mere recitation of the questions that they asked him, I don't see any reluvancy to that unless they follow it with something.

MR. MUCHES: Well, indeed, your Honor, I feel certain that the police did not tape record all of the conversations they had with Mr. De Carlo.

Ho states that they have interviewed him some

.383

l Ž

3

**4**.

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

20 times, and Mr. Bugliosi presented a discovery order.

MR. BUGLIOSI: He did not say 20 times, he said he had spoken to them. They see each other every day.

THE COURT: I am not foreclosing your right to go into these conversations; ask him if you want what they were, but I cannot quite see the relevancy of an extended examination by you as to what questions in the way of just information that the police elicited from him.

MR. HUGHES: I think I will say, your Honor, it goes to the question of impeachment of this witness.

THE COURT: It goes to what?

MR. HUGHES: To the quantion of impeachment of this witness.

I believe that I will be able to show him, you know, if his responses do not correspond — I have many notes from those conversations, of that seven-hour tape.

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I just interpose one point, your Honor:

Under Section 356 of the Evidence Code if he just asked him what the conversation was, then I can put in the whole conversation, and the whole conversation, of course, would be very damaging to the defense.

He made a lot of very damaging statements about Manson, and you just cannot pick and choose what you want out of these statements.

I think you can impeach him on particular points,

,B4

 you can do that as long as you have an impeachment point but just to go into those portions of the conversation that you want to elicit, I think that entitles the prosecution under 356 to put in the whole conversation, and I think that would be more harmful to you than beight.

THE COURT: I think there is something to that, Hr. Hughes. I would suggest you talk it over with one or more of the other defense counsel during the recess.

We can take the matter up after the recess.

MR. FAMAREK: Your Honor, I would like to state, based upon Mr. Bugliosi's statement, I would join with the prosecution and object to this interrogation, so there is no question about it.

THE COURT: All right. We will recess now.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We will take the afternoon recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen. Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

25

26

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Hughes.

MR. HUGHES: Q Mr. De Carlo, earlier this morning You testified that there was a song up there at the ranch which Mr. Manson sang and which you sang and that was your favorite song.

Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall if that song was called Live in Your own World?

A Yas.

Q May I read these various stanzas to you and see if you recall that this is the song.

"Are you hopein and a prayin --

A That is it.

Q "has your soul keeps a weighin!.

"Judgment of the love

That you thought was up above.

"There is no one in your world but you,"

A That is the one.

Q "You knew it.

"Come on through it.

"Just a finger on a hand.

Mothing's wasted.

"All's been tasted.

|          | ì     | •   |
|----------|-------|---|
| 44-2     | 1     | "Just a tiny grain of sand."                              |
|          | 2     | A Yes.  |
|          | 3     | Q "Why should I say do or die.                            |
| *        | 4     | "It is all up to you.                                     |
|          | 5     | "thy should I say laugh or cry.                           |
|          | Ġ     | "You know what to do.                                     |
| *        | 7     | Why should I say anything.                                |
| •<br>•.  | 8     | "It is all up to you.                                     |
|          | 9.    | "Do you live in your own world?                           |
| ,        | . 10  | "The meaning is always leaning                            |
|          | 11    | In a timeless world of sound.                             |
|          | 12:   | "Have you been listening to the music?                    |
|          | 13    | "To the sound coming down.                                |
|          | 14    | "Causa there is no one in my world but love."             |
|          | 15    | Is that the song?   |
| ,        | 16    | A Yes.  |
|          | 17    | Q Did that song suggest to you, Mr. De Carlo, that        |
|          | .18 . | Mr. Manson's so-called philosophy was just to do what you |
|          | 19    | felt was right?   |
| <b>≥</b> | 20    | MR. BUGLICSI: I object, your Honor, it calls for a        |
| •        | 21    | conclusion.   |
| •        | 22    | THE COURT: Overruled.                                     |
|          | 23    | You may answer.   |
|          | 24    | THE WITNESS: Yes.   |
|          | 25    |   |
| * 44     | 26    | ,   |

# 148-1

-1 cm - xm ,

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

**17** 

18

19 20

21

22<sup>-</sup> 23

24

25

26

## BY MR. HUGHES:

Q And that is why you liked that song, wasn't it, because you are a free and independent spirit and you felt that that was a good philosophy, to do what was right?

A Well, it has got good words and the melody was good. When everybody sang it together, it was real good.

I liked it.

Q Do you recall, in your conversations with the Los Angeles Police Department officers, saying, or being asked, do you recall being asked where you first saw a .22 caliber revolver, or when you first saw it?

A They asked me what guns I took care of.

2 Do you recall telling them about a .22 caliber single six with an eight-inch barrel nine-shot single-action?

They asked me to describe the weapons I took

Q Do you recall describing a gun like that?

A Yes.

And do you recall them asking when you first noticed that pun around the ranch? Do you recall that question?

A. I don't know.

Q Do you recall, Mr. DeCarlo, saying that it just popped up around July?

A I don't know.

Is it possible you could have said that?

|          | 1    | A I don't know.  |
|----------|------|--|
| <u>.</u> | 2    | Do you recall later saying to them: Now, wait.               |
| •        | 3.   | I just saw the .22 I first saw the .22 in June?              |
|          | 4    | A Yes.   |
|          | 5    | 2 Do you recall the earlier statement that it                |
| ¥,       | 6    | just popped up in July?                                      |
| •        | 7    | A I don't know.  |
| *<br>\$2 | 8    | Q You didn't tell the LAPD officers in November              |
|          | 9    | about your truck having been traded for a gun, did you?      |
|          | 10   | A I think I did.   |
|          | 11   | Q Now, it is true, isn't it, that on the night               |
| ,        | 12   | of August the 15th your motorcycle brothers came up and      |
|          | 13 , | threatened to burn down the ranch and to rape all the girls! |
|          | 14   | MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion. It is also             |
| ,        | 15"  | compound,  |
|          | 16   | THE COURT: Sustained.  |
|          | 17 · | BY MR. HUGHES:   |
|          | 18   | You recall your motorcycle brothers coming up                |
| *        | 19   | that evening, don't you?                                     |
| <b></b>  | 20   | Yes.   |
|          | 21   | And do you recall conversations to the effect                |
|          | 22   | that they were going to burn down the ranch and rape all     |
| 15 fla.  | 23   | the girls?   |
| ,        | 24   | *  |
|          | 25   |  |
|          | 26   |  |
|          | -    |  |

22

23

24

25

26

MR. BUSLICSI: Ambiguous, your Honor, conversations between who and whom?

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. HUGHES: Do you recall a conversation between your motorcycle brothers, that they were going to burn down the ranch and rape all the girls?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Same objection, too broad.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, based on equal protection of the law, Mr. Bugliosi has bunched many, many people ---THE COURT: Objection is sustained. Lat's proceed.

Detween any two people who were motorcycle brothers of yours on the night of August 15th, to the effect that they were going to burn down the ranch?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That calls for a hearsay answer, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- O BY MR. HUCHES: Did you, yourself, engage in any conversation either for or against burning down the ranch with your motorcycle brothers?
  - A Yeah.
  - Q Did you tell them not to burn down the ranch?
- A No. they wanted me to leave that night, and I didn't want to go.

so they gave me until 5:00 o clock the next day to get my ass back to Venice; they said they would burn the

| 15-2           | 1   | place down.  |
|----------------|-----|--|
|                | 2   | Q Didn't they also say they would rape all of the            |
|                | 3   | girls if you did not come back!                              |
|                | 4   | A No, they did not.  |
|                | 5   | Q now, you said that Mr. Manson gave you a .22               |
|                | 6   | caliber Buntline rovolver, is that correct?                  |
| ė              | 7   | A No, he never gave me the pistol.                           |
| <b>.</b><br>•. | .8. | Q It was in your possession, wasn't it?                      |
|                | 9   | A Yosh.  |
|                | 10  | Q and you cared for that revolver at the ranch?              |
|                | 11  | A Youh.  |
|                | 12  | Q You gired for several other guns at the ranch?             |
| <u>.</u> .     | 13. | A Yeah.  |
|                | 14  | Q You used to clean them?                                    |
|                | 15  | A Yoah.  |
| ž.             | 16  | You kept them in the bunk house?                             |
|                | 17  | A Yeah.  |
| Æ              | 18  | e and you were the only person who lived in the              |
| 2              | 19  | bunk house, isn't that correct?                              |
| ' <u>*</u>     | 20  | A Yeah.  |
|                | 21  | Q If anybody wanted to use any of those weapons              |
|                | 22  | they would have to come in the bunk house to get them, isn't |
|                | 23  | that correct?  |
|                | 24  | A Yeah.  |
|                | 25  | Q and protty much most of the time that you were             |
|                | 26  | at the ranch, Mr. De Carlo, you were there right around the  |

| 1          | Q Was it in the month of July, last year?                  |
|------------|--|
| 2          | A Maybe the very last part of July.                        |
| 3          | Q Could it have been in August that Linda told             |
| : 4        | you that she would not go anywhere without her buck mife?  |
| <b>5</b> : | A It's possible.   |
| б          | Q Irn't it true, Danny, can I call you Danny?              |
| 7          | A Sura.  |
| .8         | Q Isn't it true that late last year, early this            |
|            | year you bragged to a lot of people that you ward going to |
| 10         | get all your charges cleared up by tentifying in the Tate- |
| 11         | La Bianca caso?  |
| 12         | A I sure did not.  |
| 13         | Q You did not do that?                                     |
| <b>14</b>  | A No. I did not.   |
| 15         | Q Do you have a motorcycle brother, or did you have        |
| 16         | a motorcycle brother                                       |
| 17         | I it the Straight Satans, or the Satan Slaves,             |
| 18         | Mr. Do Carlo Danny?  |
| 19         | I have forgotten the name of your ex-outfit.               |
| 20         | Is that the Straight Satans or the Satan Slaves?           |
| 21         | A I already said the Straight Satans.                      |
| 22         | Q The Straight Satans, thank you.                          |
| 23         | Did you have a motorcycle brother in the                   |
| 24         | Straight Satans by the name of Fate Smith?                 |
| 25         | A Yeah.  |
| 26         | Q Dous Pote Smith lie all the time?                        |

|            |            | ,   |
|------------|------------|---|
|            | . 1        | MR. MUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor.                       |
|            | 2          | THE COURT: Sustained.                                       |
|            | 3          | 9 BY MR. HUMBES: Is Pate Smith his real name?               |
|            | 4          | A I don't know.   |
|            | . 5        | Q But you know who I mean when I refer to Pete              |
|            | ·6         | Smith?  |
| 3          | 7          | A Yeah.   |
| <b>-</b>   | 8          | Q Referring your attention to the broken sword              |
|            | .9         | that you saw earlier, isn't it trop that you saw that sword |
|            | 10         | in Pate Fmith's possession?                                 |
|            | · ií       | A No, I did not.  |
| 16         | 12         |   |
| <b>*</b> . | 13         |   |
|            | 14         | •   |
|            | 15         |   |
|            | 16         |   |
| ž          | 17         |   |
|            | 18         | ,   |
| ž          | 19         | •   |
| •          | <b>2</b> 0 |   |
| •          | 21         | •   |
|            | 22,        |   |
| ,          | 23         |   |
|            | 24         |   |
|            | 25         |   |
|            | 26.        |   |
|            | ,          |   |
|            |            |   |

| 16- | 1 |
|-----|---|
| *O  | • |

ś

4

5

7

9 8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

25

26

Do you recall being saked about that sword by LAPD on the evening of November 17th, 1969, at the Parker Center Police Station, Room 3187

No.

no you recall telling LAPD officers on that evening that Pete Smith took that sword and you don't know how it got broken?

A I don't know.

Could you have uttered those words, or words to that effect?

No. I didn't may that.

Q You are sure that you didn't say that Pete Smith took that sword and that you don't know how it got broken?

A Hell, I wasn't sure who took the sword. I didn't know the sword was even gone.

Q Didn't the police accuse you of having been the owner of that sword?

A No.

MR. MANAREK: Your Honor, may the record reflect a hesitation of some seconds before the witness answered?

I'd say four or five seconds. Is that a fair statement, your Monor?

THE COURT: The witness appeared to think before he gave the answer, yes, Mr. Ranarek.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

BY MR. HUGHES:

Q Do you recall, Mr. DeCarlo, on the evening of November the 17th, this evening that I have referred to at the LAPD Parker Center, do you recall on that evening being shown a revolver, either this revolver, People's 40, or one similar to it?

A They showed me nothing.

Q Do you recall essentially being asked about a revolver and saying words to this offect:

This looks like the gun, yesh, but the rear sight blade was different and it had a plunger right there.

Do you recall words to that effect?

A No. I never said that.

Q Do you recall the police officers that evening offering to help you on your sending federal case?

No.

Q Do you recall words to this effect:

Let me tell you something, Danny. Lots of prople are involved in this thing, but we are going to buy what you say. As it stands right now, you are in our good graces, you have a free ride home right now. We know you are wanted by the Feds on a federal warrant, and a warrant from Van Muya, but we are going to let you go, not arrest you on those warrants, but we need protection from you go

40"4

3.

1

2

5

6

. 8.

. 9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 164-1

1

3

5 6

7

9

**11** 

12

14

`15

16· 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

Q Do you recall words by LAPD to this effect:

If you are willing to testify against Mr. Manson, well, I don't know how much suthority I have, but I am willing to try for you. Maybe we can get your charges dropped to lesser charges, or dismissed. Maybe we can get to the federal people on your gum beef. But to get immunity we must say to Evelie Younger, (1) he is not a party to the murders; and (2) he is a cooperative witness. We will talk to some high-up people on the federal case and maybe we will even talk to a federal Commissioner. Cetting immunity is difficult, though.

Do you recall those words?

- 'A No.
- Q Or words to that effect?
- A : They made me no promises, Mister.

MR. MANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that that be stricken, that conclusionary statement by the defendant -- I mean, pardon me -- by Mr. DeCarlo: that that be stricken as a conclusion on his part, your Honor, that they made him no promises.

THE COURT: He added the words "or words to that effect." He amended the question.

I think the witness was trying to answer the question.

23.

24

25

26

MR. KANAREK: But he doesn't have the right to make that conclusionary statement. It is for the jury to decide whether or not there were any promises.

A gratuitous statement by him at this point in the proceedings is prejudicial, it doesn't have any probative value, and I ask that it be stricken.

THE COURT: Read the answer.

(The answer was read by the reporter.)

MR. MANAREK: That is a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: I believe it is.

The answer will be stricken.

The jury is admonished to disregard it.

## BY MR. HUGHES:

Danny, if LAPD ---

THE COURT: Just a moment,

Are you withdrawing the question, Mr. Hughes?
MR. HUGHES: No. your Honor.

THE COURT: Then it should be enswered by the witness.

Do you have the question in mind, Mr. DeCarlo?

THE WITNESS: No. I don't.

THE COURT: Do you want to reframe the question, Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: Q The question was, Danny: Do you recall being told by some LAPD officers words to this effect:

If you are willing to testify against Manson,

well, I don't know how much authority I have, but I am willing to try for you. Maybe we can get your charges dropped to lesser charges or dismissed. Maybe we can get to the federal people on your gun beef. But to get immunity, we must say to Evelle Younger, (1) he is not a party to the murders; and (2) he is a cooperative vitness. We will talk to some high-up people on the federal case and maybe we will even talk to a Federal Commissioner. But getting immunity is difficult. 17 flm. 11 No. . 15 

| 17-1 | į    | Q If an officer had said that to you would you              |
|------|------|---|
|      | 2    | have considered it to be an offer of immunity?              |
|      | 3 -  | MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that calls for a                   |
|      | 4    | conjecture. I must object to that.                          |
|      | . 5  | ME. BUGLIOSI: I join Mr. Manarek.                           |
|      | 6    | MR. KINGRER: I must   |
| *    | 7    | THE COURT: Sustained.                                       |
| ¥    | 8    | BY MR. HUGBES: Would you have considered a                  |
| •    | 9.   | statement like that a promise to you, Damy?                 |
|      | 10   | MR. KAWAIEK: Your Honor, I must                             |
| ,    | 11   | THE COURT: Sustained.                                       |
|      | 12   | MR. KANAREK: Your Bonor, I think it calls for a             |
|      | 13   | conclusion.   |
| •    | 14   | THE COURT: It's already been westained.                     |
|      | 15   | MR. KANARSK: Pardon?  |
|      | 16   | THE COURT: The objection is sustained.                      |
|      | 17   | MR. KAMEREK: Thank you, your Honor.                         |
|      | 18   | BY HR. HUGHES: Danny, do you recall in another              |
| ٠.   | 19   | part of that conversation at LAPD, your saying something to |
| ą.   | 20   | the effect;   |
| Ł    | 21,  | "Yeah, there's also a reward involved. I                    |
| *    | 22   | could/my boy through military school with that              |
|      | 23   | reward. Military school really snaps them,                  |
| ,    | . 24 | shapes them up"?  |
|      | 25   | A I told them about militury school, right.                 |
|      | 26   | You are might there.  |

1

3

6 7

5

8

10

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

.21

22 23

24 25

26

| Q |  | Do | you | recall | the | point | about | the | rewardi |
|---|--|----|-----|--------|-----|-------|-------|-----|---------|
|---|--|----|-----|--------|-----|-------|-------|-----|---------|

A The reward was not brought up. I said I wanted to send him to military school but I did not say anything about giving me no money to do it.

Q Danny, do you recall words by some L.A.P.D.

officer that evening to the effect, and I am paraphrasing
and I am leaving out one particular word which I besitate to
may in front of the Court:

"If you think I am screwing you around, you tell me. The charges are going to be dropped.
You are going to have the word of people, it's iron, and the charges will be dropped if you can testify to something good enough, and you do testify."

Do you recall that, those words, or words to that effect?

A No.

MR. HUGHES: May I approach the witness, your Honort (Mr. Hughes approaches the witness.)

Q Mr. De Carlo, or Danny.

A Yeah.

Q I show you Poople's Exhibit No. 95, would you take that, please, and No. 75, and Poople's Exhibit No. 241.

Directing your attention, Mr. De Carlo, to those various exhibits which I have just shown you, have you seen any of those before?

|        | 1    |   |
|--------|------|---|
|        | 1    | Leather, aren't you't                                   |
| *      | 2    | A I did not hear that.                                  |
|        |      | Q You are as familiar as the mext person with .         |
|        | 3    | leather, aren't your                                    |
|        | 4    | A Yeah.   |
|        | 5    | Q Now, Exhibit No. 75 which appears to be a leather     |
| ñ      | 6.   | thong, does that appear to differ would you hold that   |
| ₹,     | 7    | does that appear to differ from the leather in Poople's |
| * .    | 8    | Exhibit 2417  |
|        | . 9  | A No.   |
| •      | 10   | Q They both appear to be leather?                       |
|        | 11   | A They appear to be.                                    |
| *      | 12   | Q Do they appear to be of different colors?             |
| •      | 13   |   |
| •      | 14   |   |
|        | 15   | Q Do they appear to be of different lengths?            |
|        | 16   | MR. BUGLIOSI: The exhibit is the best evidence of       |
|        | 17   | that, your Honor. I object on that ground.              |
|        | 18   | THE COURT: Overruled. You may ammer.                    |
| . ø    | 19   | THE WITHESE: Yeah.                                      |
| .7A. ≯ | . 20 |   |
| •      | 21   | *   |
|        | 22   |   |
|        | 23   |   |
|        | 24   |   |
|        | 25   |   |
| . ,    | 26   | •   |
| 8      | 1    |   |

| 17a-1 r  |      | BY MR. HUCHES:  |
|----------|------|---|
|          | 2    | Q Do they appear to have come from different            |
| <b>.</b> | 3.   | hides?  |
|          | 4    | MR. MUCLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion, your Honor.       |
|          | 5    | THE WITNESS: I don't know if they came off the same     |
| ,        | 6    | cow or not.   |
| ć<br>S   | 7    | BY MR. HUGHES:  |
|          | 8    | O That would be pretty hard to tell, wouldn't it,       |
| ,        | 9    | Mr. DeCarlo?  |
|          | 10   | A Yeah.   |
|          | ii ' | Directing your attention to People's Exhibit            |
|          | 12   | 95, does that appear to be different from the other two |
|          | 13   | exhibits that you have in your hand?                    |
|          | 14   | A No.   |
|          | 15   | Q It is made out of leather, is that correct?           |
|          | 16   | A Yeah.   |
|          | 17   | Q It appears to be a different color, does it           |
|          | 18   | not, from the other two?                                |
| 4        | 19   | A. Yeah.  |
| * * .    | 20   | Q . It appears to be a different length, does it        |
| *        | 21   | not?  |
|          | 22   | A Yeah.   |
|          | 23   | Q It appears to be a different thickness, does          |
| ,        | 24   | it not?   |
|          | 25   | A It looks like it is the same.                         |
| •        | 26   | Q Does the tone of the color look different than        |

| 1   | on the other two?                                  |
|-----|--|
| . 2 | MR. BUGLIOSI: Ambiguous.                           |
| 3   | THE COURT: Sustained.                              |
| 4   | BY MR. HUGHES:                                     |
| 5   | Q Did one of those exhibits look washed out in     |
| 6   | coloz?   |
| 7   | A Yesh.  |
| 8   | Does one of those exhibits look like you could     |
| 9   | break it easily?                                   |
| io  | IR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion.              |
| 11  | THE COURT: Sustained.                              |
| 12  | BY MR. HUGHES:                                     |
| 13  | 2 Do one of those exhibits look like the leather   |
| 14  | is weakened?                                       |
| 15  | MR. BUGLIOSI: Calls for a conclusion. He is not an |
| 16  | expert on leather, your Honor.                     |
| 17  | THE COURT: Sustained.                              |
| 18  | BY MR. HUGHES:                                     |
| 19  | Q Could you break Exhibit 75 essily if you were    |
| 20  | to pull it with your hands?                        |
| 21  | MR. BUGLIOSI; Calls for a conclusion.              |
| 22  | BY MR. HUGHES:                                     |
| 23  | Q I don't want you to break it, but just pull      |
| 24  | it to get some idea.                               |
| 25  | MR. BUGLIOST: Calls for a conclusion.              |
| 26  | THE COURT: Sustained.                              |

BY MR. HUGHES: Pretty much then, considering the differences Q in the color and the length, those pieces of leather look 3 different to you, don't they, considering those qualities? 4 MR. BUCLIOSI: It is subiguous. Of course they are 5 6 different. They are two separate pieces of leather. That doesn't mean they came from the same piece 7 8 originally. No one knows. 9 MR. MANAREK: May Mr. Bugliosi's statement -- would your liouor request the jury to disregard it for any purpose, 11 his gratuitous comment. 12 THE COURT: It is. The jury is admonished to disregard 13 it. 14 The objection is overruled, you may answer. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, they look different. 16 MR. BUGLICSI: Motion to strike, your Honor, on the 17 grounds it is a conclusion. 18 It is also ambiguous, what he deems by different. 19 THE COURT: Denied. 20 BY MR. MUGHES: 2Ē What sort of clothes do bikers west, Mr. DeCarlo-22 Danny? 23 77 Levis and boots. 24 Do they sometimes wear jackets? 2 25 A Yesh.

Do they sometimes wear thongs?

A

26

Q

Mr. DeCarlo. Answer the question. ٦. THE WITNESS: I have to enswer it! 2 IM. HUGHES: I offer to withdraw the question, your 3. Honor, if the witness is so reluctant to answer it. 4 THE WITHESS: Thank you. , , 5 MR. HUGHES: You are walcome. 6 BY MR. HUGHES: You did not have any concept of time up at 8 the ranch, did you? Any what? 10 Concept of time? Q 4 Well, I knew when it was daytime and nighttime, 12 that is the only time we knew. 13 Somebody could have come up to you in July and 14 said it was September and you would have had no way of telling whether it was or not? 16 Oh, I knew the month, I knew what nonth it was. 八 17 Did you know the day of the week? Q 18 Yeah. 19 You did not have any calendars, did you? Q 20 A 18 fls.21 No. 22 23 24 25 26

| 18 <b>-</b> 1  | ļ         |
|----------------|-----------|
| _              | 2         |
|                | 3         |
|                | 4:        |
| 9(             | 5,        |
|                | 6         |
| <b>b</b>       | 7         |
| \$<br>*<br>*   | .8        |
|                | 9         |
|                | 10        |
| . <del>.</del> | 11        |
|                | <b>12</b> |
|                | 13        |
|                | 14        |
|                | 15        |
|                | 16        |
| •              | 17        |
|                | 18        |
| •              | 19        |
| â              | 20        |
| ₩ .            | 21        |
| •              | 22        |
| 140            | 23        |

25

26

| 9 How could you tell what day of the week | IC W | .8 |
|---|------|----|
|---|------|----|

A Well, I'd go down to town and ride around.

I'd go down to Venice. I knew when Friday was. I used to
go to the meetings on Friday.

4 Was that meetings of your club?

A Yes.

Q Did you do a lot of drinking at the meetings?

MR. BUKLIOSI: Irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: C Was there a lawyer that used to come and drink with you occasionally?

MR, BUGLIOSI: That is irrelevant.

THE COURT; Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: Q Was there a lawyer who used to come and tell you how to handle yourself when the Highway Patrol pulled you over?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, and also improper founda-

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. HUGHES: On which ground, your Honor?

THE COURT: On the grounds stated.

MR. HUGHES: Q Did any lawyers ever tell you last year what to say when you were questioned by police offi-

MR. BUGLIOSI: It is irrelevant. It is also ambiguous. Beyond the scope of the direct.

.6-2

2

1

3

5

7

g. g.

10 11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

> 20. 21

22.

24

25 26 THE COURT: Sustained. It is ambiguous.

MR. HUGHES: May we approach the bench, your Honort

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes, could we!

(Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. FITZGERALD: Your Honor, I caused to be issued to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Office a subposes duces tecum for photographs taken of Mr. De Carlo and Mr. Manson and other persons who were arrested at the Spahn Ranch on August the 16th, 1969.

These photographs will show a leather thong around the nock of Charles Manson and will show, among other things, Mr. De Carlo standing next to Mr. Watson.

Now, the Sheriff has been directed to appear, and I understand there is going to be no problem, they are going to give us the pictures.

We would like to show these photographs to Mr. De Carlo and have him identify these photographs, and also identify the thong around Mr. Manson's neck, and ask him questions about the custom, was that customary and ordinary, and did Manson frequently wear the thongs, and so on and so forth.

Mr. Hughes has simply informed me that he has concluded his cross-examination.

| ý.       |             |
|----------|-------------|
|          |             |
| 18-3     | . 1         |
|          | 2           |
|          | 3           |
| *        | 4           |
|          | <b>.</b> 5. |
|          | 6,          |
| ÷ ,      | 7           |
| *        | 8           |
| ¥        | 9           |
| ٠        | 10          |
|          | 11          |
|          | 12          |
| <u>~</u> | 13          |
|          | 14          |
|          | 15          |
|          | 16          |
|          | 17          |
| * .      | 18          |
|          | żo          |

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. HUGHES: No. I could ask some more questions.

THE COURT: You want to adjourn earlier; is that
what you mean?

MR. FITTGERALD: Wall, yes.

MR. HUGHES: Until we get the pictures.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think I have some of those photographs somewhere.

The pictures chowing we Carlo with a broken nome or something?

MR. FITZGERWID: Yes.

MR. BUGLICSI: I think I have got those. I wasn't going to introduce them.

THE COURT: You want to adjourn now?

MR. FITT GERALD: Yes.

When we adjourn could we meet among ourselves briefly?

THE COURT: ... 11 the defendants?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

MR. WANTEK: Your Honor --

MR. FITZGERALD: Do you have any objection?

THE COURT: I give you permission. Whether you want to do it or not is your own business.

MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you.

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

MR. ENDER: I would ask for an order for us to know where Er. Du Carlo is staying so we can talk to him over the

18-4

2

4

5

3

1

6. 7

ģ

8

11

12:

10

13

15

16 17

18<sup>-</sup>

recess.)

**19** 

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

weekend.

I move that I be told where he is staying so that I can talk with him.

May I have a ruling on that?

MR. HUGHES: I would join in that motion.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

(Whereupon, all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We will adjourn at this time, ladies and gentlemen.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express
any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted
to you.

The Court will adjourn until 9:45 on Monday. (Whereupon, at 4:10 p.m. the court was in