SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff.

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Monday, September 21, 1970 P. M. SESSSON

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

and VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

VOLUME 94

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

10964 PAGES

11053 to

Official Reporters

r	INDEX
2	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
, 3	LEE, William 10983Sh 11012B (Cont'd)
4	LALLY, Eleanor 11015 11019K
5	PEARL, Ruby 11022
6	Livery Livery
7	
8	EXHIBITS
9	PEOPLE'S: FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
Ţ0	255 - Chart 11002
11	256 - Diagram 11005
12	257 - Seven pieces of paper 11014
, 1 Ŝ	258 - Black T-shirt 11051
14	
15	
16	
, 17	,
18	*
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
,	

ź

3

5

7

.8 .

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19.

20

21 22

23.

24

25 26 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1970 2:06 o'clock p.m.

(The following proceedings occur in chambers, all counsel present, defendants not present:)

THE COURT: The record will show all counsel are present.

As I understand, someone wanted to have a conference in chambers.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. I want to briefly respond on the record to the affidavit filed by Mr. Kanarek and give the Court background on it.

Then, if the Court, after hearing my statement on the record, wants a hearing, I would welcome a hearing in open court in front of the press.

THE COURT: You are talking about, I assume, the document which was handed to me by the Clerk earlier this morning which consists of an Order to Show Cause in Re Contempt apparently intended for me to sign, and a declaration of Sandra Good on behalf of the Order to Show Cause in Re Contempt against Vincent Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Deputy District Attorney. Which papers bear the name of I. A. Kanarek, Attorney at Law, attorney for Defendant Charles Manson, up in the upper left-hand corner.

2 3

4

5

б 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

13 fls. 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

I don't think it will take me more than five or six minutes to relate.

Sandra Good, as I understand it, comes from a fairly good family down in San Diego.

She came into my office two or three times several months ago, just walked in, knocked on the door and came in, and I sat down and talked to her.

I told her that I couldn't understand why someone that came from a nice family like hers would associate herself with this group out at Spahn Ranch when. in my opinion, their credo, their religion, was murder.

And she denied that. She said we are all peace loving out there.

She said, furthermore, what about all the murders that are taking place in Vietnam?

I said, well, just let's assume for the sake of argument that these are murders in Vietnam. What has that to do with the fact that several people were murdered in August supposedly by members of your Family?

/--]

5° ส์

 She could not see the connection; she felt that if there were murders in Viet-Nam and these murders weren't bad -- but she reaffirmed her family had nothing to do with these murders.

I said to her, I said, "Sandra, if you want to,"
I said, "you can continue living this life believing in
helter skelter and living off the herbs and living like a
nomad."

I said, "In fact, I have a little nomadic blood myself; I would like to be as free as a mountain goat now and then, so," I said, "I can't criticize you for wanting to travel here and there; but I want to tell you one thing, I want this to be burnt in your mind," I said, "The next time murder is in the wind at Spahn Ranch, you know what murder in the wind means?"

She said, "Yes."

I said. "In other words, the next time they are talking about murder at Spahn Ranch, I want you to remember, sandra, that other people like to live as much as you do and I want you to act as a human being, and I want you to do everything possible to prevent these murders. You know what I mean."

She said, "Yes."

I kept telling her five or six times -- the Court is aware of the Barbara Hoyt incident, and I am satisfied that Sandra Goode knew about it, in fact she did

.4

าก

5

23.

tell me she knew that Barbara Hoyt went to Honolulu.

She told me that a couple of days ago outside of court.

So I walked out of court and I saw her there Friday afternoon. She had an X on her forehead, and she had a blank, vacant look on her face.

And I started to talk to her and I said.

"Sandra," I said. "I'm very, very disappointed in you."

I said. "There is no question in my mind that you knew that

Barbara was taken to Honolulu for the purpose of having her

murdered."

I said, "You were with the group out there that night, you, Clem and the rest of them, you talked her into going to Honolulu; then, of course when she went up there the next thing she ended up in an alley with enough LSD to kill two people."

She wouldn't answer me.

But the first important factor is this, as I was talking to her, I spoke to her four or five or six minutes, she had a knife at her side; she never reached for it or took it out, but five or six times, as I talked to her, she reached down to her knife and started to play with it like this.

I could only draw one inference, she was trying to frighten me. She would not pull it out. I would talk with her some more and she would reach down and play with

2,

. 3

22.

.24

the knife.

I did not use any curse words; I didn't shout at her. "Sandra," I said, "you are heading for trouble. You come from a good family, and I advise you you are on the wrong track."

So I turned around and walked away from her.

The was with two long-baired -- I presume they are hippies. I presume from the Spahn Panch; they started to follow me.

of course she had the knife. I stopped, turned around. They stopped. I walked again, I stopped, and they stopped. So I did it a third time and they stopped. They were following mo!

said, "Look, you're nothing but a Sod-damned bitch," and I said, "I don't know whether you are involved in this attempted murder but," I said, "if I find out you are a part of that attempted murder, I will do overything within my power to see to it that you end up in jail."

that is the extent, that is the extent. I deny everything else in that allegation.

I did call her a God-dammed bitch.

134-1

3#~T

8:

THE COURT: Where did this take place? MR. BUGLIOSI: Outside of the Hell of .

MR. BUGLIOSI: Outside of the Hell of Justice Friday

. And I did threaten her if anything happened I would see to it that she got in jail.

But I prefaced it by saying "if."

That is not in that affidavit. That is not in that affidavit. I said "If I find out that you were involved in this attempted murder," I said, "I will do everything in my nower to see to it that you end up behind bars."

I don't have snything further.

THE COURT: To slightly regress for the moment, I noticed somebody back in the courtroom today who appeared to be the same person I have seen sitting outside here on the wall a couple of times; she was wearing black today, right in the center of the court in the very back and appeared to be the same one I saw the other day sitting outside wearing a sheath knife outside her leg or something.

I sesumed only from reading the newspapers --

In other words, I had seen articles several days in a row that she was hanging around the Hall of Justice.

10. BUGLIOSI: She was sleeping overnight. As I

134-2

2.

3

5

б

7

8.

10 11

. 12

13

15 16

. 17

18

20

21· 22

23 24.

25

26

was talking to her she looked sort of crazy; she didn't snswer me; she was playing with a knife.

THE COURT: Of course she would not have the knife in the courtroom. That would have been taken away from her by the deputies outside.

MR. BUGLIOSI: If there is any person outside who I believe to be a member of the Family who has a knife on her and starts playing with it and follows me down the street, my response to her was exceptionally mild.

In all sincerity and due candor, what I should have done, I should have become physical with her at that point because here is someone with a knife, and I'm satisfied she is a member of the Family and she is following me down the street.

I don't think I have to wait until she does something.

So I was mild with her. I did call her a God-dammed bitch. I did say that if I had proof or "If I find out you are involved in this attempted murder I will do everything possible" -- and that is the truth, I don't retract that.

But I am just not going to put up with their waiting for me down there and looking at their knives and following me around, I'm not going to tolerate it.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: May I be heard, your Honor?

13=-3

2

٠ .

· 3

5 6

7 · 8

.9

10[.]

ļŹ

13 14:

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

25

14 fls.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KANAREK: We ask that the order to show cause in re contempt be issued and that testimony be taken under oath.

THE COURT: What is the contempt, the alleged contempt?

IR. KANAREK: The contempt is interfering with a subpoensed witness.

It is an indirect contempt. She is a subpoensed witness. It is interfering with the orderly processes of this court to threaten a witness.

THE COURT: It depends on the circumstances, doesn't

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, this girl under oath -THE COURT: And whether or not it was a threat.

MR. KANAREK: That is for the Court to determine by an evidentiary hearing.

THE COURT: I can tell you right now, gentlemen, I don't see anything in this declaration of Sandra Good that in my opinion constitutes contemptuous behavior on the part of Mr. Bugliosi even if everything in it is assumed to be true.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, as I was saying, your Honor is the one, we contend that it is contemptuous, that it is an attempt --

4-1

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12_.

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25. · 26 THE COURT: You contend that?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

If I would do that, if I had done that, I probably would be in jail right now.

THE COURT: If your name was substituted for Mr. Bugliosi's in that declaration, Mr. Kanarek, I would feel just exactly the same way.

MR. KAMMREK: By the way, when I say this, your Honor, I quarrel with the Court's saying that this is not contempt, but when I say I would be in jail. I am not saying as to this. I am not making the allegation that your Honor would treat me any differently than Mr. Bugliosi in connection with these proceedings, and I don't want the record to reveal that.

I believe that your Honor has, on other occasions, treated members of the defense, including myself, in a manner different than Mr. Bugliosi and violated equal protection of the law, but I am not saying it in connection with this matter.

My position is this: That I would be in jail as a result of State action, not by your Honor but by the prosecution, if I made those kinds of statements to Mr. De Carlo.

THE COURT: You are not in [ail, so let's not get off on that subject.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

10·

It is my position that that is clearly contempt, a contempt to intimidate a witness, which is interfering with the orderly processes of the Court.

As I say, if I had said that to Danny De Carlo.

Mr. Bugliosi would have seen that a citizen's arrest was

made and all of that.

That is what I allege.

There is one other point that I would like to bring to the Court's attention, and that is that just after the noon recess Mr. Bugliosi, who previously has stated to me that he was going to use every trick he possibly could find to convict Charles Manson and put him in the gas chamber, just at the beginning of the noon recess Mr. Bugliosi approached and spoke to Charles Manson, over my express objections.

I forbade Mr. Bugliosi to speak to Mr. Manson.
He, nevertheless, approached was in custody

MR. KAY: And who requests to talk to Mr. Bugliosi,

MR. BUGLIOSI: All three defence attorneys urged it; and Manson said, "I want to talk to you."

MR. KANAREK: But I forbade him.

That is why we have independent counsel, your Honor. I forbide Mr. Bugliost to speak with him. Mr. Bugliost, nevertheless, engaged in a conversation with Mr. Manson.

My position is, your Honor, that it is a

17

18

19

20

21,

22

.23

24

25

26

1.4A

violation of the Sixth Amendment right to effective counsel for the prosecution to approach a defendant without the paraission of his counsel. 144-1 1

Í

.

Mr. Manson is a man who admittedly hasn't had much schooling, he doesn't read well; Mr. Manson is a person who is vulnerable in connection with these types of importunations by Mr. Bugliosi.

It is my position that this is a clear violation of law itself, and I ask the Court to issue an order to show cause in re contempt as to the conduct of Mr. Bugliosi in this regard, your Honor.

THE COURT: What is the contempt?

MR. KANAREK: The contempt is the interference by Mr. Bugliosi. I told him --

THE COURT: Do you have any authority, Mr. Kanarek, that a person represented by an attorney may not, at his own instance, speak to an attorney on the opposite side?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: You do?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I believe that the law is clear that a prosecutor cannot do this. As a matter of fact, the State Bar, the Code of the State Bar, as I remember it, the Business and Professions Code, says that you cannot speak with a litigant who is represented by counsel without first getting the permission of the attorney representing him.

THE COURT: I am familiar with all of that, but that is not what I asked you.

144-2

7:

ģ

ÏŚ.

MR. KANAREK: I am saying, your Honor, that -MR. FITZGERALD: I think I should be held in contempt,
if anybody, because Mr. Bugliosi did not solicit this
conversation. I approached, with Mr. Hughes and Mr. Shinn,
Mr. Bugliosi and I had a conversation with regard to Mr.

Bugliosi talking to Mr. Manson.

Now, Mr. Manson knew this. It was actually at his request. He wanted to talk to Mr. Bugliosi about representations that Mr. Bugliosi had made about friends and acquaintances of Mr. Manson attempting to intimidate prosecution witnesses, and Mr. Manson wanted to find out what Mr. Bugliosi's position was, and to tell him that he didn't have anything to do with the intimidation of the prosecution witnesses.

Now, it was our understanding that it was going to be an off-the-record conversation, that it was not going to be used in any sense against Mr. Manson, and that Mr. Manson wanted to do it and that Mr. Bugliosi wanted to do it.

Manson knew that he need not consent to this conversation and, in fact, in our presence, had a conversation with Mr. Kanarek in which he said he chose not to follow Mr. Kanarek's advice and speak to Mr. Bugliosi.

It was not Mr. Bugliosi's fault.

THE COURT: I am curious as to why you would inject yourself in the middle of that situation.

148+3

•

14b f1s.

MR. FITZGERALD: Because this case has become almost sort of an exercise in Alice in Wonderland. This case is getting to be impossible in many respects.

THE COURT: For instance? As to what?

. **'** 8.

13.

IŌ

I

.4B-1

2

1

3

5

.

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

ļ6

17

18 19

20

2Í 22

23

24

25 26 MR. FITTGERALD: In connection with Manson, I think Mr. Manson is clearly unhappy with his attorney. He spoke out in court this morning. He has talked to me on a number of occasions about substituting out Mr. Kanarek and substituting me as an his attorney. And as all these attorneys will indicate to the Court, Mr. Manson, on repeated occasions, have asked us to do things for him that Mr. Kanarek has refused to do.

Now, this is not the kind of thing that I like to bring out in the open and the kind of thing that I like to discuss, but if Mr. Kanarak wants to open the door, he is going to find out what is in the room.

MR. KANAREK: And I don't want to bring out these internecine battles, but the point of the matter is that Mr. Manson is vulnerable. Mr. Fitzgerald wants to sacrifice Mr. Manson because of Patricia Krenwinkel.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is a victous remark.

MR. KAY: Mr. Fitzgerald doesn't want to sacrifice anyone.

MR. KANAREK: If he wants to bring this out in the open, let me state that I have an obligation to Mr. Manson. The prosecution knows that they have a weak case against Mr. Manson, if they have any case whatsoever.

THE COURT: Please don't argue the case now, Mr. Kanarek.
You will have ample opportunity later.

MR. KANAREK: I would beg the protection of the

Ź

8.

.

Court.

THE COURT: It doesn't sound to me like you need any protection.

Now, Mr. Manson, of course, knows, to my knowledge from having talked to him on occasions, about remaining guist and what he was trying to get before the court in the way of motions, and so forth, that if he wants to change attorneys, he has a right to make such a motion.

It doesn't mean that the Court is going to grant it, by any means.

So, I don't really see any necessity for any other attorney getting into the middle of it. But, gentlemen, this is something you have to work out between yourselves. I don't think this involves the Court. This is something behind the scenes in your own client-attorney relations that the Court isn't concerned with.

I suggest that we get back to the trial.

MR. KNAREK: Yes.

May I have that protection, your Honor?

THE COURT: All the parties know their rights in this case. All of the attorneys for the parties know what those rights are. I don't really see any point to the present posture of this conversation.

MR. KANAREK: Well, would your Honor order

Mr. Bugliosi not to speak to Mr. Manson when I forbid it,

Your Honor? I don't think he has the power.

14c-1

THE COURT: I question whether I have such power, Mr. Kanarek. I don't know of any authority. I am certainly happy to learn, but I don't know of any authority that says that a client cannot, if he wants to, talk to another attorney.

The other attorney may not, without going through the client's attorney, initiate such conversation; but if the party himself wants to talk to another person, I know of nothing that absolutely forbids it.

Do you?

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, but I would like to say this, since Mr. Fitzgerald said what he did.

He told me that he doesn't care if he buries Charles Manson. He represents Patricia Krenwinkel and he wants to do the best for her, and he says he doesn't care if Charlie Manson goes to the gas chamber.

He has told me that.

THE COURT: If a motion to substitute is made, there will be ample opportunity for everybody to raise any objections that they may have either for themselves or on behalf of anyone else, but at the moment there is nothing before the Court, and I see no reason why we should not get back in there and continue with the triel.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, but may I have the protection of the Court in that regard?

I would ask your Honor to order Mr. Bugliosi not

14c-2

3

- 5

7

.9.

10

11

12

. 13

14

15

16.

17

18

19

20

21

23

to speak to Mr. Menson.

THE COURT: I am not going to make any such order.

As for the declaration of Sandra Good, I want to look at it again.

MR. KANAFEK: You see, your Honor, the point is that I believe Mr. Bugliosi, who is a clever mon and a clever prosecutor, by approaching Charlie Manson in this way, takes the onus off of himself for the criminal culpability in connection with Sandra Good.

Clearly he violated at least 415 of the Penal Code of the State of California when, in the presence of a female, he stated this blasphemy, these obscene remarks. It is a clear violation of Section 415 of the Penal Code.

I intend to ask the City Attorney of the City of Los Angeles to file a misdemeanor charge against Mr. Bugliosi for this.

In order to take the onus off of himself, he has approached Mr. Manson, in order to change it, to take the posture of it -- to make the posture of it differently, he approached Mr. Manson. Now, the point is --

THE COURT: I don't see any connection between the two, but please don't explain it to me, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Does the Court want to grant a hearing on this?

THE COURT: As I said, I want to read it again and give it further consideration. "It," being the declaration

25

of Sandra Good.

2,

1

5.

4

6

7 8

9

10.

11 12

13.

-14

,15

16

17

14d fls.19

20 21

22

23

25

26

I gave you my preliminary thoughts after reading it. I don't see, assuming everything in there is true, that it constitutes contemptuous behavior. It may be subject to criticism for some other reason.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right.

I have no objection to a hearing, your Honor. The only problem with a hearing is, believe me, I will be glad to take the witness stand, but if I do take the witness stand at a hearing, it will be incumbent upon me to bring out the Barbara Hoyt incident, and my belief that Sandra Good is involved in that attempted murder.

That would come out in front of the press, which is not good for the defense. Ind at night following me down the street.

That is the only objection. For the benefit of the defense, a hearing may not be advisable, but, believe me, I'd be happy right now to take the witness stand and tell them the whole story.

144-1

•

2 3

4

5 6

7

8.

, 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

26

MR. KANAREK: Then let's do it.

MR. BUGLIOST: Okay.

MR. KANAREK: I understand his solicitude for the defense. His solicitude is that he wants to put Mr. Manson in the gas chamber.

And furthermore, you don't administer justice on the corner of Temple and Broadway.

THE COURT: We are not going to do anything until I am able to say, in my own mind, that I think the declaration of Sandra Good at least raises a prime facie instance of contempt, I am not prepared to do at the moment at least,

All right. Let's get back to the trial.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court. All defendants, counsel and jurous presents)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurous are present.

You may continue, Mr. Shinn,

MR. SHINN: Thank you, your Honor.

WILLIAM LEE,

the witness on the stand at the time of the moon recess, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continuing)

BY MR. SHINN:

Q I believe, Mr. Lee, I was going to

ask you to draw a diagram of these two shell casings that you prepared.

A Yes.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may the witness draw a diagram?

THE COURT: Yes.

2

3

5

6

8

9

11 12

13

. 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

(Witness approaches the board.)

A Let the circle indicate the shell head of a .22 caliber shell casing.

The initial, U. on the head is a head stamp placed on there by the manufacturing company, in this particular instance, Remington Arms, which is the later owner of United Metallic Cartridge Company.

O BY MR. SHIMN: Officer, before you proceed, is this the shell that you made the comparison with, or is this the shell that you took from the gun?

A Either one, whichever you like, Mr. Shinn, they both have similar markings upon them.

Q Let's stay with the shell that was in the gun first.

A Would you mind, which shall are you now speaking of?

Q That was in the gun, not the one that you fired or the one that you compared, the shell that you had.

Could this represent the original shell that you had? Not the shell you got from the Spahn's Ranch.

A The shell casings that were booked with the gun?

Q Yes.

A Yes, they have similar markings also.

Q Let's stay with that shell first.

A All right, fine.

Q okay, now, when did you first receive this shell?

A I first observed that on December 16th, 1969.

15-2 . Q Where? Central Property Division, Los Angeles Police A 2. Department. 3 Q Now, did soreone hand you this shell? They were in an envelope with the gun. Α 5 Q And you, yourself, did not take it from the gun, 6 then, is that correct? 7 That's correct. 8 And, in other words, someone told you that this shell came from this particular gun, Exhibit 40? 10 A actually, there were seven empty shell casings 11 in the envelope. 12 So you don't have from your own knowledge, you 13 don't know whether or not it came from that particular gun. 14 Exhibit 40, is that correct? 15 A I do now. 16 When did you acquire that knowledge? 17 I believe that was all done on April the 21st 18 A at the conclusion -- oh, of these seven that were in the 19 envelope? That was done approximately a week ago today. 20 prior to my appearance in court last time. Q But you, yourself, did not see them take those 22 shells out of the gun? 23 24 No, I did not. A Now, was there an identifying manufacturor's 25 marking on the shell?

15A

23

24

25

26

	,	1	10,300
.5A-1	1	Q	Do you have anywheres in your notes indicating
·_	2	there were	scratch marks or other indications on the shell?
	. 3	A	No, Mr. Shinn.
	4.	. Q	and then, actually, the only markings you
	5	looked at	closely was this spot where the firing pin hit
	6	the shell.	is that correct?
	. 4	A	That's correct.
•	8	. · Q	Now, do you know what type of shell this was?
•	9	Was it bra	ss, steel?
	, 10	A	It appeared to be brass to me, yes.
*	11	Q.	It appeared to be brass. Did you make a test
	12	to determin	ne whether or not it was brass or some mixture of
	13	brass and	steel?
	14	A .	No. I did not.
	15	Q	So it is just a guess that it was brass, is that
	16	correct?	
	17	A	I assumed it was.
	18	Q	Did you make a test to determine the hardness of
₹ .	19	this brass	shell?
***	20-	A	No. sir, I did not.
	21	Q.	In other words, would it be fair to state that
	22	you made no	o test whatsoever on this shell to determine the
	23	hardness o	f the brass or whether it was copper, brass or
	24	stee1?	
Ö	25	À	That would be fair, yes.

We are now speaking of the original shall that

you first had seen, correct? 1 One of the seven? Q Yes. 3 Ă Yas. Is it fair to state that the whole examination 5 you made of the shell was this indentation made by the firing pin of the pistol, is that correct? 7 Microscopic, yes, yes. When you say microscopic, what do you mean? 9 Well, obviously I observed the shell caging it-10 self, and in removing it from the envelope I noticed it 1İ had a manufacturer's head stamp on it. 12 Other than that I perticularly pay attention to 13 the mark left by the firing pin compression. 14 Now, did you notice any other impressions on that 15 thell? 16 Not that I recall. A 17 Now, isn't it true that when a shell is fired 18 from a type of pistol like Exhibit 40, when the shell is 19 fired it bounces back against the gun? 20 A Yes. 21 22 And it does at times leave certain markings, is that correct? 23 24 A Yes. Q 25 And examining this shell, there were no such 26 markings, is that your testimony?

26

A No, as I stated previously before the noon recess, there may have been some slight circular marks upon the head, but I did not see enough of them to warrant my trying to match them with anything.

Q Now, if you were able to match those markings left by that gun besides the firing pins, wouldn't that enable you to make a more positive identification of the shells?

A Well, I would not say that it would be more positive.

In some instances, not in this particular case, in some instances a duplication may be observed by the breach face markings, but not in this case.

Q When you say the breach face markings, is that when the shell hits back against the gun?

- A Yes.
- Q What kind of marks do they generally leave?
- A Some compression marks.
- Q Lines, squares, circles?

A They may be any of those, stria, or lines, they may be compression marks or little indentations.

They could be in the form of squares or circles. depending on the imperfections on that particular weapon.

And your testimony is that this gun does not leave such markings, is that correct, on the shells?

A Not that were obviously visible to me.

1	Q Dven under a microscope?
.2	A As I stated before, there may be some slight
3	markings, but not that I paid attention to.
4	Q Do you recall what those markings were?
.5	A No. I do not.
6	Q Did you make a report on these markings?
7	A No, sir, I did not.
8	Q Now, getting back to this marking that you may
9	on this shall here, the original shall here, what kind of
10	marking was that?
11	A This was a compression mark left by the firing
12	pin of the .22 caliber revolver. People's No. 40.
13	Q Did you note anything outstanding about this
14	marking?
15.	A It is individual.
16	Q When you say individual, what do you mean?
17	A It's characteristic to one firing pin and one
18	firing pin alone.
19	Q I believe you stated that you did not make a
20	comparison with another similar gun as this, is that
21	correct?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q So you don't know whether or not these types of
24	guns leave the same markings; you don't know that, do you?
25	A I do know that they do not leave the same
26	markings.

15b-1 Well, my question was, you have not made a Ω comparison with another gun similar to this Exhibit 40. 2 right? Well, no, that would not be quite correct, A Mr. Shinn. 5 I have made examinations of weapons similar to 6 People's 40 on other occasions and have observed the marks 7 left by the firing pins then. 8 Mr. Lee, when you say "similar to Trhibit 40"--9. Yes. 10 -- are you speaking of the same model and the 11 same type of a pistol, or are you just speaking of another 12 .22? 13 Λ Another .22. 14 Well, my question was a similar model as Q 15 Exhibit 40. 16 17 You never made that comparison? I don't recall, Mr. Shinn. 18 I have had in my possession another .22 Longhorn 19 .22 caliber double-action single-action revolver of this 20 model and I don't recall whether I actually looked at the 21 markings left by that hammer or not. Hell, now, when you observed this marking what 23 did you do by way of examination?

25

.26

I observed it for its characteristics, its

cinute automal qualities in the way of indentations, lines

15b-2	Ł	and markings.
	 2	Q Did you measure its depth?
	3	A No, I did not.
*	4	Q Did you measure its width?
	5 .	No, I did not.
	6	Q Did you make a notation as to this particular
	7	marking?
P 1	8	A You have all my notes now, I don't know whether
*	9	I have or not.
	10	Q Do you wish to refresh your memory?
	11	A I think I stated they were fired from this
	12	particular weapon.
	13	Q You just came to the conclusion?
	14	1 That's correct, yes.
	15	Q In other words, what you did was state your
	1 6	conclusion on these papers?
	17	A Yes, sir, that is correct.
	18	Q Now, do you recall the characteristics of
7-	19	this marking on this shell here?
b	20	A I do.
	21	Q What are the characteristics?
	22	A Well, within the firing pin impression itself
•	23	we have one characteristic on the forward and right-hand
	24	side which appears to be similar to the letter "M" or
	25	"W" along the right-hand edge or portion.
	26	To the left, and more to the center of that

15b-3

2

3

5[.]

7

8.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24: 25

26

particular marking there is an additional indentation which would be probably from a protrusion of this particular firing pin beyond the firing pin mark I just spoke of, the indentation.

There are a couple of stria directly to the right here of that, there are a couple more to the left, and there is a cleft at the bottom of the firing pin impression.

Q Now, these stris you speak of are very minute, is that correct?

THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, would you move just a bit?

I believe one of the jurors is unable to see the diagram.

MR. SHINN: I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat your question.

MR. SHINN: May the question be read back, your Honor?

THE COURT: Read the question, please.

(Whereupon the reporter reads the record as follows:

"Q Now, these strin you speak of are very minute, is that correct?")

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

BY MR. SHINN:

Q And constant firing of this pistol would change these imperfections, is that correct, the minute imperfections?

156-4	A When you speak of constant firing
· 2,	Q Say you fire a thousand rounds, you compare
3	the first and the thousandth, it would be different, would
4	it not?
5 ,	A Not necessarily.
6	Q But it is possible?
7	A It is possible, yes.
. 8	Q The more you use the gun the more the imper-
• ģ	fections may disappear, or more new imperfections may
. 10 .	appear?
11	A Yes, I believe so.
12	Q What other characteristics were there?
13	a Basically I believe that that would be the
14	identifying characteristics which I observed within the
15	firing pin impressions.
16	Q Now, let's talk about this test shell, on the
17	shell casing you found at the Spahn Ranch.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Is this similar to this diagram bere?
15c fls20	A It is.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

20

21

23

24

25

26

Q In which way is it different?

A The only difference that I could possibly think of would be in the coloring of the shell casing itself.

But the markings appearing on the drawing in front of you are similar to the markings of the shells. I found at the Spahn Ranch which I stated, four of the 22 on November 19th and 11 of the 23 from April the 15th had the same firing pin impression.

- Q Did you make any type of a test to determine the hardness of both of these shells that you compared?
 - A No.
- Q Is it true that different hardness, different shell casings would make different markings on the shell?
 - A They could.
- Q But yet you made no test to determine whether or not the shell casings were the same hardness?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Did you examine either of the bullets, I mean either of the shells for any ejection marks?
- A Yes, I believe I did look at the shell casings for other markings similar to that.
 - Q And did you find any other markings?
 - A No, I did not.
- Now, this Exhibit 40 here, how do you take the shells out of that Exhibit 40?

1	A May I demonstrate for you?
2;	Q Yes.
3	(Witness demonstrates.)
4	A First of all, the cylinder must be released
5	by pulling forward on the ejection rod extension.
6	The cylinder is then moved out to the side;
7	the extractor rod, or ejector rod, is then pushed which
8	forces the shell casings out of the weapon.
ò.	Q Now, when you eject the shells from the
10	cylinder, it leaves a mark on the shell, does it not?
11	A No, it does not.
12	Q It does not?
13	A Not necessarily, no.
14	Q In some instances it leaves markings, does
15	· it not?
16	A In some instances it does, yes.
17	Q Sometimes it leaves a marking and sometimes it
18	does not leave a marking, is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
.20	O When it does leave a marking, where is the
21	marking on the shell?
22	A May I draw another view of the same shell
23	casing?
24	Q Yes.
25	1 I will draw one-half of the shell casing
26	from the vertical.
	4 4 4 7

This would be the open end of the shell casing: 1 this is the body, and then we have the rib in this manner. 2 The extractor marks, if present, would be in 3 this particular area, this being the open end where the 4 bullet is seated. Now, did you examine these shells to see if 6 there were any ejection markings? 7 I looked at them in a cursory manner. 8 When you say cursory manner, what do you mean? 9 I look at the shell casings in this area to A 10 see of there were marks which would warrant my closer 11 examination. .12 Did you check for these markings under a micro-Q. 13 14 scope? I may have, but I don't recall. I probably did 15 but I don't recall. 16 All these other various tests that you did not 17 give, don't you think they would have helped to identify 18 these two shells? 19 A No. 20 It would not help? 21 22 A No. 23 I mean, are you saying, sir, that if the 0 sjection marks were there on these shells at the same spot and identical, it would not help you identify these 25 two shells in some manner? 26

	4	
1	Λ.	It would be an identification in itself if
	they were p	present.
3	Q	But that would be additional to this marking
4	on the rim	wouldn't that be helpful?
5	. A.	That is the marking on the rim you are
. 6	speaking of	E?
7	Q	Yes.
. 8	3.	It is not necessarily helpful if I can
15d fls. 9	identify it	t in some other manner.
10		,
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
. 16		•
17		
. 18	T.	
æ 19		
20		
. 21		
. 22	•	
23		,
24		
25		•
25		: * ·
	I.	· ·

11

12

15

14

16 17

Ì8

20

21

19

-22

23 24

25

26

Q The more points you match up, the better identification, is that right?

A I suppose, if you want to say that, it is possible.

Now, I believe you testified that one of the bullets. I believe it was the Sebring bullet, you testified was fired from this gun.

Is that correct?

- A That's correct.
- Q Now, how did you come to that conclusion?
- A By a microscopic examination of the Sebring bullet in juxtaposition with the test bullet I fired through People's 40.
- Q And I believe you did not go into a comparison of the lands and grooves. did you?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Not the imperfections?
- A A comparison of the lands and grooves reflects the imperfections in the gum barrel.
- Q Let us assume there were no imperfections, just go by the lands and grooves, would it be possible to identify a shell which had come from this particular pistol?
 - A A bullet?
 - Q Yes, if there were no imperfections.
 - A No. it would not.
 - Q It would be impossible, wouldn't it?

L5D2	,	A Yes.
	, 1 ,	Q Thousands of guns with six lands and grooves.
	2	A That's correct.
٠.	3	Q And the only reason you came to the conclusion
	4	that way was bacause of the imperfections, isn't that
	5.	correct?
	. 6	A That's correct.
Ê	8	Q And I believe you testified that under a micro-
	9	scops therewere hundreds of imperfections possibly?
	10	A Possibly, yes.
`,	. 11	Q And under computer or electronics there may be
	12	thousands of them?
	13	A No, I think that was your testimony, Mr. Shinn.
	14	I am not aware of that.
1 .	15	Q Would you draw us a diagram of these two bullets
	16	that you compared?
	17	A I'm sorry, but I don't believe I have that
	18.	memory for the stria within.
÷	19	I can put a diagram of bullets on the board.
	20	Q Yes.
•	21	A I would care for another sheat of paper, your
	22	Honor.
	23	THE COURT: We have room on the other mide, Sergeant.
	. 24	Has this chart been marked?
	25	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor no, it has not been

∠5D3 cc

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

ġ.

IO

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

THE COURT: It will be marked CC for identification.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, may that be changed to a

People's exhibit?

It seems like it should more properly be a People's exhibit.

THE COURT: 255.

MR. SHINN: I want to mark this as a defendants! exhibit next in order, your Honor.

THE COURT: 255.

MR. SHINN: Your Honor, I wonder if Mr. Lee has enough space on that.

THE COURT: I don't know, Mr. Shinn, we have more paper if he needs it.

MR. BHINN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you want more paper?

THE WITNESS: If Mr. Shinn wants it on a different piece of paper, all right.

This is supposed to represent a circle.

This would represent my view through the comparison of microscope. Actually I am able to observe one bullet or a portion thereof through the right-hand side and a portion of another bullet on the left-hand side of a very fine dividing line down between the two.

Actually the bullets are placed on stages which are individual, and actually several inches apart, which are connected on the top by a prism bridge.

25

24

26

16-1q

1 2

3

5

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 . 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

The area at the top and the bottom would be vacant, depending upon the magnification used. That is to say, I may have more or less area beyond the bullet itself, which I will try to outline the edge, the rounded edge of the bullet, by the heavy line.

This is not an edge, but it is actually a part of the curvature which goes beyond the sight.

The small cross hatching would represent a land, a gun land impression, and the white area would represent the gun groove area.

MR. SHINN: Q Now, which way is the bullet in the diagram?

A Well, actually the bullet is mounted on a wax post in this manner on the two stages.

Actually, I am coming in and viewing a portion here and a portion here on the bullets.

Q and what per cent of the circumference of the bullet did you examine?

A I have actually looked at the entire circumference of the bullets.

- Q And what percentage did you testify matched up?
- A I don't believe I did.
- Q Well, what percentage matched up?
- A I would have to estimate approximately a third.
- Q One-third of the circumference of the bulk; is that correct?

1,	A To the best of my recollection at this time,
2	Mr. Shinn.
3	Q Did you notice the strictions on these bullets?
4	A Yes, I did.
ጛ	Q and approximately how many were there?
6	A I don't recall at this time.
7	Q Well, were there hundreds?
8, ,	A No, no. Much less.
9	Q Under a microscope you looked at these two
10	bullets? You looked at these two bullets under a micro-
ii '	Mcope, is that correct?
1,2	A That is correct.
13	Q And could you give us an estimation of how many
14	striations there were, approximately?
15	A Oh, I'd have to estimate probably, at this time,
16	maybe 20, approximately; to the best of my recollection at
17	this time.
18	Q Now, when you say 20
19	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn, we will take the recess at
20	this time.
21	MR. SHINN: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse
23	with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the
24	case until it is finally submitted to you.
25	The Court will recess for 15 minutes.
26	(Recess.)

16a-1 THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present. 2 You may continue, Mr. Shinn. 3 I don't believe this diagram has been marked 4 yet. Let's have it marked. 5 256 1d. 256 for identification. 6 . BY MR. SHINN: 7 Would you continue, please, Mr. Lee'l O 8 This represents the two bullets under the . 9 microscope. 10 Now, you have marked the lands and the grooves. 11 Yes. I did. 12 As I explained to the jury before we left, the 13 light cross-hatchings would indicate the lands left by 14 the gun. This is the gun land impression, and this is the 15 land impression. 16 This would be a groove impression, and this a 17 portion of the groove impression. It goes to the end 18 where the circumference of the bullet lets it run out of 19 sight. 20 MR. SHINN: Your Honor, may the witness mark the 21 lands and grooves as he indicated, your Honor? THE COURT: Very well. 23 MR. SKINN: Thank you. 24 Would you do that, please? 25 (The witness marks on the diagram.) 26

164-2

2

1

3 ·

4

6

7 8

9

10

11.

13

15

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22°

23 24

25

26

THE WITNESS: I have marked the cross-hatched areas as gun land impression, and the white areas as gun groove impression.

MR. SHINN: Thank you.

Q Now, where would the imperfections appear in the diagram?

A The imperfections from the gun are transmitted to the bullet and occur on these surfaces parallel to their longitudinal axis, or they would go across in the same line as the land and the groove edges and the edge of the bullet.

Q Now, do you recall now many imperfections there were in these two bullets, approximately?

A Well, when you say imperfections in the bullet,

I am assuming that you are talking about stria left from
the gun; is that correct, ilr. Shinn?

Q Yes.

A It is difficult for me to answer that, but somewhere around approximately 20 points of identification, I believe.

Q My question was, Mr. Lee:

How many markings left by the imperfections?

A I would say, roughly, that would be my estimate.

Q How many?

A Approximately 20 or so.

Q Is that all you observed, or were there other

. 3

5 6

7

16b fls.

11

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

24

25

26

imperfections that you could not see under the microscope?

Well, there may be more that I did not observe, but that is the amount that I observed.

Well, I am not talking about the ones that you matched up. I am talking about all the imperfections or strim on these two bullets.

I believe that would be fair to state, that the stria I did observe were points of identification and did match. Possibly there may have been one or two or so, but not that I recall.

16B-1

2

3.

1

5

6

8

10

11

13.

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Q Then, is it your testimony that under the microscope these markings left by the imperfections were only
25 or 30?

Is that your testimony?

- A That I observed as points of identification.
- Q I am not talking about points of identification.

 I am talking about all the markings left by the imperfection of the gun.

A That I was able to observe on the portion of the bullet that was not damaged or destroyed.

Q In other words, you looked at approximately one-third of the circumference of the bullet; is that correct?

A. I looked at the entire circumference, but that designation, or the matching, was on the area that was not damaged.

Q In other words, three-fourths of the bullet was damaged?

- A I'd say it would probably be two-thirds.
- Q Two-thirds?
- A Yes.
- Q Then the only surface left was one-third; is that correct?

A Probably slightly move than a third, but that would be correct, I believe.

Q And in that one-third, Mr. Lee, you stated that

ï

there was about approximately 25 or 30 markings left by the imperfection?

A Possibly 25. Somewhere around that particular area. I don't recall now exactly how many lands there were.

Q and this test bullet that you fired, I believe that you testified that you fired into water; is that correct?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q And the bullet that you got to compare it with was the Sebring bullet; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And it would make a difference, would it not, Officer, fixing into water and, say, fixing into a body?

A It may, but on some other instances it may not. It depends.

Q It depends?

A Yes.

Q But there is a possibility of stria being different because one you find in water and the other you find in the body; is that correct?

A Yes, it is possible.

Q Now, the test bullet that you fired, do you recall what type of a bullet that was?

A It was a Remington .22 caliber long rifle bullet, coated.

Q Was it the same vintage of the bullet that you recovered that was from the Sebring body?

16C

22

23

24

25

26

MR. SHINN: You may resume the stand.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness resumes the witness stand.)

BY MR. SHINN:

- Q And the fact that the gun was dirty and had been exposed to the elements, that would have an effect, would it not, on imperfections?
 - A Well, it could under certain circumstances.
 - Q It is possible, is it not?
 - A Yes.
- And then it would make a difference in the markings left by the imperfections?
 - A You are speaking hypothetically again?
 - Q Yes.
 - A It could.
 - MR. SHINN: Nothing further, your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. FANAREK: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, I believe, by stipulation with Mr. Bugliosi, so far as this officer's testimony is concerned, it is offered in no way against my client, Leslie Van Houten.

Is that correct, Mr. Bugliosi?
MR. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated.

16c+2

3

1

4

6

7

5

8

10 11

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20-

22

17 fls.

25

26

24

THE COURT: Very well.

Any redirect?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Just a few more questions, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUGLICSI:

Q Where did you find these shell casings on November the 19th? You indicated the area of Spahn Ranch, but where on the grounds did you find them?

A ... It was just a general area which I would call the gully, approximately 200 feet from Mr. Spahn's ranch, on the grounds.

Q Where on the ground?

a photograph which was previously introduced. Near a fence which ultimately crosses a road on the property which goes up a hill.

- Q Were all of them visible just by looking down at the ground?
 - A No.
 - Q Where did you find them on the ground?
- Well, they were covered with leaves. Some of them were in the open, visible.

Q. Some were covered by leaves? 17-1 1 Yes. 2 Q were any under the dirt or the ground? pid any have dirt on top of them? 4 Yes, partially. A 5 How long did you search for these shell casings on November 19th? 7 I believe probably a total of possibly an hour, an hour and a half, something like that. Q During that hour or hour and a half did you 10. comb avery square inch of that area? 11 A Mo. sir. 12 So then you did return on April 15th and you 13 found some more shall casings in the same area? 14 Yes. Q Again under leaves and things like that? 16 Yes. A 17 Is there any doubt in your mind that these 18 particular 15 shell casings were fired from People's 40 19 for identification? 20 There is no doubt in my mind, 21 MR. KAMARBK: I will object to that question on the 22 grounds that the question has a synthetic, specious tone to 23 it about any doubt. 24

25

26

He already asked the gentleman for his opinion. I think the questions prejudicial effect far

outweighs its probative value as to the form of the Ì question. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Is that conclusion of yours Q 4. that these 15 shell casings were fired from Exhibit 40, is 5 that a positive conclusion on your part? Yes, it is. 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions. 8 MR. FITZGERALD: Nothing further. 9 THE COURT: Anything further? 10 MR. KANAREK: Nothing further. Ľ MR. SHINN: I forgot to mark this for identification, 12 your Honor. 13 THE COURT: What isit? 74 MR. SHINN: It is an evidence report. 15. THE WITNESS: Those are my personal notes. 16 MR. FITZGERALD: I offer to dipulate they could be 17 returned. 18 MR. SHINN: Saven pieces of paper, may they be marked 19 next in order? 20 257 THE COURT: 257. You may step down. 22 MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Mrs. Lally. 23 THE CLERK: Would you please repeat after me. I do solemnly swear --THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --26

, 1	THE CLERK: - that the evidence I may give
2	THE WITNESS: that the evidence I may give
3	THE CLERK: in the cause now pending
4	THE WITNESS; in the cause now pending
.5	TID CLERK: before this court
6	THE WITNESS: before this Court
7	THE CLERK: shall be the truth
8	THE WITNESS: shall be the truth
9	THE CLERK: the whole truth
10	THE WITNESS: the whole truth
11	THE CLERK: and nothing but the truth
12	THE VITNESS: and nothing but the truth
13	THE CLERK: so help me God.
14	THE WITNESS: so help me God.
15	THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please.
16	Would you please state and spell your name.
17	THE WITNESS: Eleanor Lally, 2-1-e-a-n-o-r, L-a-1-1-y,
18	
19	ELEANOR LALLY,
20.	called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, being
21	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
22	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
25	Q And what is your occupation, ma 'am?
26	A I am a general roal estate manager.

	Q Do you manage apartment houses?
1	
2	A Yes.
3	Q Are you familiar with the beach house in Venice?
4	A Yes, I am the manager.
5	Q I show you Poople's 78 for identification, you
6	know what is shown in that photograph?
7	A Yes, that is a beach house.
8	Q I show you People's 79 for identification, is
9	that also a photograph of a beach house?
10	A That is also the beach house.
11	Q I show you People's 77 for identification,
12	do you know who is shown in that photograph?
13	A Salinder Nader.
14	Q spell the first and last names.
1 5	A S-a-1-i-n-d-e-r, N-a-d-e-r.
16	Q That is the man shown in this photograph?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q To your knowledge did this man ever live in these
19	apartment houses here in the beach house?
20	MR. KAMAREK: Your Honor, may we inquire on voir dire
21	whether she has any percipient knowledge personally or
22	whether she is just relating hearsay.
23	I would like to inquire on voir dire because
24	by the nature
25	
26	THE COURT: The motion is denied. Let's continue.

	· .
1	MR. KUNDREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay.
2	improper foundation, your Honor, and a conclusion.
3 .,	THE COURT: Read the last quastion.
4	· (Whoreupon, the reporter reads the pending
5	question as follows:
б	"Q To your knowledge did this man
7	ever live in these apartment houses here in
8	the beach house?")
9	The Court: The objection is overruled.
Ì0	BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You may answer the question.
11	A Yes, he was a tenant from July 22nd, 1969 to
12	May of 1970 and he lived in Apartment 501.
13	Q is that on the fifth floor?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Is that on the top floor of these apartment
16	houses?
17	A Yes, sir.
. 18	Q Have you any idea where Mr. Nader is now?
19	MR. KINAROK: I object on the grounds of a conclusion.
20	and hearsay, your Honor.
.21	Improper foundation.
22	THE COURT: Overruled.
23	THE WITNESS: He loft sometime in March, the last
24	part of March, for Europe.
25	I don't know what part of Surppe, but he has not
26	returned to my knowledge.

		·
17=-1	ì	Q You don't know where he is right now?
_	2	A No, I don't.
	3	Q Do you know/Mr. Nader's occupation is?
	4	Λ He is an actor.
	.5	Q Incidentally, what is the address of the beach
	. 6	house apartment?
	7	A 1101 Ocean Front Walk in Venice.
ė.	8	Q Mr. Nader lived on the top floor, the fifth
÷ ,	ġ	floor in Room 501, is that correct?
	10	A That's correct.
ű,	, 11	THE COURT: What was that address again?
•,	12,	THE WITNESS: 1101 Ocean Front Walk in Venice.
	13	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	14	Q. To your knowledge did he live in that apartment
	15	with anyone or did he rent it slone?
•	16	A He rented alone.
(*)	17	Q Do you have the exact dates of his occupancy
	18	there?
F	19	A He moved in on July 22nd, 1969.
	20	He left in the last part of March, the first
4	21	part of April for Europe, and we received word that he was
	.22	not returning, and we vacated the apartment on May 26th,
	23	1970.
	, 24	MR. MANAREK: Your Honor, may the statement of this
	25	witness about him going to Europe and about the reasons for
5.41	26	the lack of occupancy in this question and the previous

11,019 174-2 question where she stated for Europe, may that be stricken on the grounds of hearsay? 2 THE COURT: The motion is denied. 3 MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions. MR. FITZGERALD: No questions. 5 MR. SHINN: No questions, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Kanarek? 7 MR. KANAREK: Yes. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. KANAREK: 11 Q. Mrs. Lally, what is your relationship to those 12 apartments? 13 I manage six apartment houses on the ocean 14 front in Venice for the owner, and my office is in the 15 beach house on the main floor. That is my permanent office. 16 In other words, you actually managed how many Q 17 total units? 18 A Around 260. 19 2602 Q 20 Å Um-limm. 21 Is it a fair statement, Mrs. Lally, that you 22 Q have no -- as far as where Mr. Nader -- is it Nader or 23 Mader? 24 25 Nader.

26

Q

You have no personal knowledge where he is?

17a-3 No, sir. Is that correct? .2 That's correct. 3 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor. MR. HUGHES: I have no questions of this witness, 5 your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect examination? 7 MR. BUGLIOSI: No. THE COURT: You may step down, Mrs. Lally. 17b fls. 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Ruby Pearl.
THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.
Would you please repeat after me.
I do solemnly swear
THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear
THE CLERK: that the testimony I may give
THE WITNESS: that the testimony I may give
THE CLERK: in the cause now pending
THE WITNESS: in the cause now pending
THE CLERK: before this Court
THE WITNESS: before this Court
THE CLERK: shall be the truth
. THE WITNESS: shall be the truth
THE CLERK: the whole truth
THE WITNESS: the whole truth
THE CLERK: and nothing but the truth
THE WITNESS: and nothing but the truth
THE CLERK: so help me God.
THE WITNESS: so help me God.
THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please.
Would you please state and spell your name.
THE WITNESS: Ruby Pearl; R-u-b-y, P-e-a-r-1.

RUBY PEARL. 1 a witness called by and on behalf of the People, was 2 examined and testified as follows: 3. DIRECT EXAMINATION 5. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 6 What is your occupation, ma'am? Q 7 My occupation is stable manager of the Spahn 8 Ranch. 9 That is in Chatsworth? 10 Chatsworth. II What is the exact address of that ranch? 12 . 12000 Sunta Šusamaa Pass. 13 And do you know who the owner of the Spahn Ranch 14 18? -15 MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, George C. Spahn. 17 MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on that, your Honort 18 THE COURT: The enswer is in. Are you making a motion 19 to strike? 20. MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I believe my objec-21 22 tion was timely, your Honor. THE COURT: The answer will be stricken. 23 The jury is admonished to disregard it. 24 MR. BUGLIOSI: May I have just a moment, your Honor? 25 (Pause.) 26

ĩo

MR. BUGLIOSI: With respect to ownership of property, your Honor, may we approach the bench on that with legal suthority? I think I have some authority on it.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. BUGLIOSI: COUNTY OF MENDOCINO vs. BARNARD,

57 Cal. App. 2d 450, respondent testified that money
deposited in her name in the bank was not her own money;
the money was her son's money.

Appellant claims this testimony was a conclusion of law and will not support the findings.

The cases in this state are to the contrary.

It is held that the ownership of property is, as a general rule, a fact to which a witness may testify.

Skinner vs. Los Angeles, 5 Cal. 2d 317 at page 390.

Then there are several other cases, you have here, Nolan vs. Nolan; Straus vs. Dubuque Insurance Company.

This lady worked out there for 15 years for Mr. Spahn; he employed her; he had lived there during that period and of course the ranch is named after him.

MR. FITZGERALD: I understand the ranch is actually in escrow. It may be sold. That may be the genesis of the objection.

22

23

24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: Right now I don't know who the owner is, but during the summer of '69 and '68 I think George Spahn was, wasn't he?

MR. FITZGERALD: That is my understanding.

MR. BUCLIOSI: Again, your Honor, I have a whole list of opinions that witnesses can give.

These are exceptions to the opinion rule, like the exceptions to the hearsay rule.

THE COURT: Well, of course, you are talking about a piece of real property.

Do you have authority that permits an opinion on that?

MR.BUGLIOSI: On real property?

THE COURT: Is it material who owned the ranch?

MR.BUGLIOSI: Here is a property case, Straus vs.

Dubuque.

I don't know if it is really that material.

THE COURT: Certainly the owner can testify to it.

Whether or not someone else can is another matter.

MR.BUGLIOSI: These cases are cases where a person gives an opinion as to the ownership of other property. It is not crucial, no.

THE COURT: It wouldn't make any difference in the ruling. I am simply wondering whether we are discussing something you don't really care about.

170fls.

17C-1

2

1

3

5

Ģ

7

8

9

. 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

.25 26 MR. BUGLIOSI: I would like to put on evidence that he was the owner of this property and he gave them permission to live there. He gave them free room and board in return for certain things that they did.

There is no testimony that he is the owner. He could almost be a squatter out there.

THE COURT: She has been there for 15 years; she ought to be able to testify as to where he lived and what he did and whether -- and what his activities were.

But the mere fact of ownership, it seems to me, would be a conclusion.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I just thought I would give the Court these cases where it would seem to indicate it is one of those conclusions that the law permits.

of course, it is not conclusive. It is a conclusion subject to cross-examination and certainly if the defense puts on evidence proving he is not the owner --

THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

- O BY MR. BUGLIOSI: You know George Spahn, of course?
 - A Yes.
 - And how long have you worked at Spahn Ranch?
 - A 20 years.
 - Q Has Mr. Spahn been living there during this

, elsy bearing and

	1	
L7C2	1	period of time?
<u> </u>	2	A Yes, all that time.
, •	3	Q During the same period of time that you worked out
	4	there?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q And you worked, you say, as a manager of the
	7	ranch?
Ė	8	A in recent years, because he want blind.
	ĝ	Q Okay, how old is Mr. Spahn?
	10	A 83.
	11	Q And what was his function out at the ranch, if
	12	you know?
	13	MR. KANAREK: That is ambiguous as to time, your
	14	Honor.
	15	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: During this 20-year period
	16	what has been his function out at the ranch?
,	17	MR. KANAKEK: That is remote, your Hopor.
	18	THE COURT: Overruled.
e	19	THE WITNESS: He takes care of the picture business,
	20.	contracts for horses and equipment for movies.
•	. 21	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Was he your employer during
	22	this 20-year period?
	23	A Yes.
,	24	Q Did you have any other employer out there during
Ò.	25	that period of time?
•	26	A No.

	1		
1,703	1	Q	So you worked only for George Spahn?
<u> </u>	'2 :	A	Yes.
	3	Q.	Did you live on the ranch?
	4	A.	The first few years I did.
	5	Q	Does Mr. Spahn live on the ranch?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q	Is be still living out there?
, *	8	Ä	Yes.
	` j .	Q	Where is his home located on the ranch?
	10	· A	His home is on the west end of the parking lot.
	11	, Q ;	Is it in the front portion of the ranch?
	12	A	Yes.
.=	13	Q	What is the business of Spahn Ranch?
•	14	. A	We rent out horses every day.
	15	Q	To whom?
	16	À	The public.
	17	Q.	To anyone else?
ŧ	18	A	Movies, and parties, people having parties,
	19	and busine	sses for political places.
.•	20	Q	Is there any other business of Spahn Ranch?
	21		Yes, he does hauling.
	22	Q	When you say "he," about whom are you referring?
	23	· A	His boys, he has three or four ranchhands at all
	24	times.	
	25 .	Q.	You say George Spahn?
,	26	A	Yes.
			p.

	Q His boys?
1	
2	A Yes, big boys, yes.
3	Q is the ranch or has the ranch ever been rented
4	out to motion picture companies and TV companies?
5	A Yes.
6	Q For what purposes?
Ą	A To make pictures right on the place, and commer-
8	cials, TV.
9	Q I that still done?
10.	A Yes.
11	Q Directing your attention to Defendant Charles
12	Manson, do you know Mr. Manson?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q Seated to your right front?
15	A Yes.
16	Q With the blue shirt?
17	A Yes.
18	Q When was the first time you met Mr. Manson?
19	A Summer of 1968.
20	Q Would you please explain the circumstances under
21	Which you met Mr. Manson?
22	A yes, he came with a load of people in a big
23	bus.
24	Q prove up to the ranch?
25	A Yes.

	ş.	
ì	Q	Was that the first time you had ever seen him?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	This was the summer of '68?
4	A	Yes,
5	Q.	Was anything printed on the bust
6	· A	Yes, it said "Hollywood Productions."
7	Q	Was Mr. Manson the driver of the bus?
8	À	I don't believe he was.
9	Q.	Do you know who was in the bus with him?
10	A	Yes, several boys and quite a few girls.
11	Q	Do you know who they were?
12	A	Yes, I know some by their names and nicknames.
` 13	Q	Okay, would you please relate that?
14	A	T.J.
15	Q.	A man named T.J.?
16	A	T.J., a man named T. J. and a girl named Mary
17	Brunner.	
18	Q	Brunner?
19	A	Brunner, a girl name Lynn Fromme.
20	Q	Is she also known as Squeaky?
21	A	Squeaky, yes, and Sandra Goods and Sadia we
. 22	know her a	s Sadie.
23	Q	When you say "Sadie," you mean Defendant Susan
24	Atkins?	
25	A	Yes.
26	Q	Anyone else?
	1	

		, ,
1	A -	guth.
2.	Q	Ruth Morehouse?
3	. А	Yes.
4.	Q.	ilso known as Ouish?
5	. A	Yes.
6	Q	Anyone clse?
7	A	Ella, a tail girl by the name of Ella.
8	Q	Ella Bailey?
9	A	Yes.
10	. Q.	I am referring to the group that was with
11	Mr. Manson	in this bus.
12	A	Woll, you see, some of them I did not meet the
13	first day.	Several days later or weeks later I mat some
14	more.	
15	Q	I am referring now to the first time you saw
16	Mr. Manson,	, you say there were some girls and some men in
17	the bus with	th him.
18		Do you know who those people were?
19	, A	Yes, that was Lynn, Sadie, Ruth, Mary.
20	Q	Mary Brunner?
21	A	Yes, and T.J.
22	Q	What about Brenda McCann?
23	A	Yes, Brenda, too.
24	Q	Also known as Mancy Pittman?
25	A	Yes.
96		

What about the men?

of our trucks and some of their own trucks.

The men had their own work to do; they took care

They were not required to tend to the horses?

23

24

Q

4

Q

17e-2	1	4 No.
	2	Q Or to run them?
	. в	A No.
	4	Q This was done by the ranch-hands?
•	5	1 Right.
	. 6	Who are employed by Mr. Spahn?
	7	Yos.
Æ.	8	Q Did you ever see Charles Manson do any work at
, t	`9	all at the ranch?
	10	TR. KANAREK: I object to that question, your Honor,
	11	on the grounds that it is a projudicial type of question.
	12	He can ask what but it's leading and sugges-
<u>~</u>	13	tive, your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: Overruled.
	15	THE WITNESS: No.
	16	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
•	17	? You never saw him do any work at the ranch?
	18.	No.
2 2.	19	Q Do you know a Charles "Tex" Watson?
**	20	1 Yes.
	21	When did you first see Charles "Tex" Watson?
	22	A few months later. He came to fix some
	23	trucks.
*	24	Q Okay, did you see him work at the ranch?
	. 25	1 Yes.
	26	Q Did he work quite a bit?

	i
1	4 Yes, he was a good mechanic.
2	Q Always working on trucks and dune buggies?
3	A Yes.
4	Referring to that bus that the first group
5.	came there in, did you ever hear Mr. Manson tell the girls
6	and this man named T.J. to do snything with respect to that
7	bus?
8	MR. KANAREK: Objected to as hearsay.
9.	MR. SHINN: Hearsay as to Susan Atkins.
10	MR. KANAREK: Also a conclusion. No foundation,
11	hearsay.
12	MR. BUGLIOSI: I am laying the foundation now.
13	MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer yes or no.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
17	Q Was one of the people who Mr. Manson told to
18	do something to the bus Susan Atkins?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And how long after Mr. Manson and the group
21	arrived at Spahn Ranch did you hear Mr. Manson tell these
22.	people to do something with respect to the bus?
23	A Well, it was several months later they started
24	fixing up the bux.
25.	Q Do you know Patricia Krenwinkel?
26	A Yes.

		Q Was she out there living at the ranch at the
	1	time Mr. Manson made statements with respect to the bus?
	2	A Yes.
7	3	Q She was among the group that he spoke to?
SE	4	Yes.
v	5	Q What did Mr. Manson say to Susan Atkins,
	6	
	7	Patricia Krenwinkel and other people in respect to the bust
•	. 8.	MR. KANAREK: I object on the grounds of hearsay,
	9	your Honor, a conclusion, improper foundation.
×.	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	11	THE WITNESS: He asked the girls to get it cleared
	12	up and put the tapestries on the walls, get it real pretty.
(20)	13	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
.		Q Anything else?
,—	14	1 Do some painting.
r.	15	The girls had to do the painting.
	16	Q Anything else?
•	17	Did he tell them to do anything else?
*	18	
•	19	The second of th
	20	7 I'm asking you if you recall what Mr. Manson
• •	21	told this group.
	22.	Did he tell them anything in addition to what
×	23	you already said?
18 fls.	24	A I cannot think of anything right now.
	25	
	26	

	J	•
18-1	1	Q Did he say anything about cleaning up the
	2	interior of the bust
	ã	A oh, yes. They were sweeping it. He told them
	4.	to clean it up.
	. 5	Q He told them to?
	6	A Yes. I thought I answered that the first time.
	7	Q He told them to clean up the interior of the bus?
æ.	8	A Yes.
*	.9	MR. KANAREK: That is leading and suggestive,
	10	THE COURT: Overruled.
	11	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did he tell them to do any-
	12	thing else?
	13	A Well, it all covers the same thing, cleaning it
	14	and decorating it, painting it.
	15	Q What about the seats?
	16	A The seats, he had all the seats removed,
	17	tapestry put up and plyboard, and put a sink in, put a hot
	18.	water heater in.
ė	19	Q I am not asking you what he did now, ma am. I
, *;	. 20	am concerned with what you heard Mr. Manson tell the group
	21	to do.
	22	Do you understand my question?
	23	A Yes.
	24	He told them to remove all the seats, put in the
	25	plyboard on the bottom of the floor, put in the sink in
	26	the front, and put a hot water heater over on the top.

Were there any men in that group that he was Q **18-2** 1 talking to? 2 Yes. A 3. Q Do you know who the men were? 4 T. J. and Watson, Paul Watson, I believe. A 5; Paul Watkins? Q Yes. A 7 Q A little short boy? A short boy. A 9 Q About 20 years old? 10 A Yes. 11 Did the girls and Paul Watkins and T.J. do what 12 Mr. Manson told them to do? 13 Yos. 14 MR. KAMAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I think that is objectionable. 16 The answer will be stricken and the jury is 17 admonished to disregard it. 18 The objection is sustained. 19 When Mr. Manson told the MR. BUGLIOSI: Q 20 girls and these two men to do these things, did they do 21 them? 22 MR. KANARUK: That is the same question, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Sustained. 24 MR. BUGLIOSI: When Mr. Manson told these girls, 25 including Susan Atkins and Patricla Krenwinkel, and the two 26:

18A-1	1	9 From this original group that arrived in the
_	2	black bus in the mid-summer of '68, how large did the group
	á	grow to that was living there at Spahn Ranch?
	4	A Oh, it grew to 20 or 30. Between 20 and 30.
٠	5	Q Do you know what the group called themselves?
•	, 6	A The Family.
	7	Q Do you know Leslie Van Houten?
* .	8	A Yes.
9 .	9	Q When did you first see Leslie Van Houten?
	10	A I saw her later, later in the summer.
	11	Q of '687
*	12	A Probably it was.
	13	Q Is there a saloon in the front portion of the
	14	ranch?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Did you ever hear Mr. Manson tell anyone to do
	17	anything with respect to that saloon?
•	18	A Yes.
* •	19	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I gather I have a contin-
3 '	20	uing objection on materiality and relevancy to all of this
	21.	witness tostimony; everything she has testified to: so I
	22	don't have to make that objection?
	23	THE COURT: From here on, you mean?
	24	MR. KANAREK: Well, previously, too.
	25	THE COURT: No. sir.
	26	MR. KANAREK: Pardon?

.8a2 THE COURT: You do hot. Are you asking for one from here on? 2 MR. KAN REK: I thought we had that ground rule, your 3 Honor. I didn't want to interrupt Mr. Bugliosi in his 4 questioning. 5 THE COURT: There was no such ground rule. 6 MR. KANARCK: Very well, your Honor. 7 8 Then I do have a continuing objection from here on? 9 10 THE COURT: As to what? 11 MR. KAN REK: As to materiality and relevancy, your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: As to this witness! testimony? 74 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Very well. 16 MR. BUTLIOSI: I will go back. 17 Is it Miss Pearl or Mrs. Pearl? 18 A Miss Pearl. 19 Going back, Miss Pearl, did you ever hear Mr. 20 Manson tell anyone to do anything with respect to the 21 saloon? 22 MR. WWW REK: I object on the grounds of foundation. 23 your Honor, and conclusion. 24 THE COURT: Overruled. 25 That may be answered yes or no. 26 THE WITNESS: Yes.

18a3	ì	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q When was that?
	2	A The next summer, '69.
	3	Q Did he tell one person or a group of people to
	4	do anything with respect to the saloon?
	5	A The whole group.
	6	Q Do you know who was in the group?
	7	A All the girls that I just mentioned.
# #c	. 8	Q Including Susan Atkins?
*	ğ	A Yes.
	10	Q And Patricia Krenwinkel?
	11	A Yes.
	12	• Q And Leslie Van Houten?
_	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Do you recall what Mr. Manson told the group to
	15	do with respect to the saloon?
	16	A Yes.
	17	They gave it a good scrubbing and
	18	Q No. I am not asking you for what they did.
ė.	19	I am asking you: Do you recall what Mr. Manson told the
	20	group to do with respect to the saloon?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q All right. Would you please relate that to the
	23	Judge and the jury.
*	24	A Yes.
	25.	MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds of
	26	improper foundation, and heargay and conclusion.

THE COURT: Improper foundation in what respect?

MR. KANARGK: Well, your Honor, it has to have some connection with the two days that are alleged in the indictment.

There is no foundation that what he is eliciting at this time has any connection with those two days.

THE COURT: Overruled.

.3B-1

2

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 22

24

25

26

THE WITNESS: Charlie wanted to get the saloon fixed up like a music hall, and he had them redecorate it from top to bottom, change everything, change the walls, the ceiling, the bar, the stage; redecorate it.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did you hear him tell the group to do these things?

- A Yes.
- Q After he told them to do these things, what did they do?
 - A They started to work.
 - Q Was Ter: Watson in that group?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Where did the Family sleep at the ranch?
 - A In all the buildings.
 - Q Where did they first stay?
- A They stayed down below in the ranch house a half a mile from the ranch at first. Then a few of them thought it was too far to walk and they started moving up in the buildings along the boardwalk, which is a western street.
 - Q So, they started living where, then?
- A In these buildings, the saloon, the jail, the prop room, the storeroom, the porch room; all the buildings,
 - Q Was there a trailer next to Mr. spahn's house?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Did any member of the Family ever live in the

	į	
1	traller?	
2	A	Yes.
3	. Q.	Do you know who?
4.	A	They all just mixed in together.
5	· Q	Did you over see Susan Atkins live in that
6	trailer?	
7	, А	Yes.
,8	Q	Patricia Krenwinkel?
9	A.	Yes.
10 · 1	· Q	Leslie Van Houten?
ļŀ	A	Yes.
12 .	Ġ.	During what period of time?
13	A.	The Eummer of 169.
14	Q	I show you People's Exhibit 48 for identifi-
15	cation.	•
16		Do you know what is shown in that photograph,
17	ma 'am?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	What is shown in that photograph?
20	A	(Pauso.)
21	Q	Let me ask you this: Fave you ever seen what
22	is shown in	n that photograph before?
23	A	I haven't seen the photograph before, no.
24	. Q	But have you ever seen what is shown in that
25	photograph	before?
26	. A .	Yes, I have seen all this stuff before.

ì	
1	Q Do you know what is shown in that photograph?
2	A There are so many things, I don't know what you
3	mean.
4	Q That's right. Well
5 .	A There are so many things hanging on it.
6	Q Well, does that look like a dune buggy to you?
7	A That is a dune buggy.
8	Q Have you over seen that particular dune buggy
9	before?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Is that Charles Manson's dune buggy?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Have you ever seen a rope in this dune buggy?
14	MR. KANAREK: That is leading and suggestive.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Do you know where the rope
18	was kept in the dume buggy?
19	A On the floor behind the seat.
20	Q Behind the front seat?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know what type of a rope it was?
23	A It was a white hylon rope.
24	Q How many strands?
25	A Three strands.
26	Q Was it kind of shiny?

A Yes. It was brand new, silky, white. Q When did you first see that rope? A Well, Charles brought it up and showed George.	it to
When did you first see that rope? A Well, Charles brought it up and showed George.	it to
A Well, Charles brought it up and showed George.	it to
George.	3. L.
5 Q When you say Charles, you mean Mr. Mans	ion?
6 A Yes.	* '
7 Q When did he first bring it up?	
8 A I don't know the date but he brought it	up and
9 showed it to George, and George wanted to buy it.	
Q Do you know approximately when this was	?
H A Around July, 169.	,
12 Q I show you People 4 41 for identification	on.
18 Fave you ever seen that rope before?	
14 A Yes.	*
	11
15	<u></u>
16	
17	-
18	
19	
.20	
-21	
.22	
23	
24	
25	,
26	

			22,000
18c-1	1	· Q	Does this look like the same rope or a different
	_	7.	* ,
	.2		the rope that you have just been referring to in
	. 3 , [your testing	*
	4	. A.	It looks like the same one.
X .	5	Q ·	The same one?
	6	A	Yes.
	7	Q .	Have you ever held that rope in your hand?
¥	8	A .	Yes.
*	9	Q	You have felt it?
· .	10	A,	Yes.
•	11	Q	Do you want to feel it now?
	12		(Pause while the witness holds the rope and
_	13	examines it	
	14	BY MR. BUGL	IOSI:
	15	Q.	Does it feel like the same rope to you?
2	16	A	Yes
	17	Q	Now, you said that Mr. Manson showed Mr. Spahn
7	18	the rope?	
* '	19	A	Yes.
*	20	q	And Mr. Spahn is blind; is that correct?
,	- 21	. A	Right.
	.22	Q	Did Mr. Spahn feel the rope?
	23	A	Yes.
	24	Q.,,	I show you People's 52 for identification,
	25	ma'sm. It	appears to be a black T-shirt.
	26		Have you ever seen that black T-shirt before?
. ,			

18c-2	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we inquire on voir dire, your Honor?
3 4	This appears to be a garden variety T-shirt. I think it is prejudicial to allow the witness to testify. THE COURT: The motion is denied.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. Similar T-shirts like this were up at the ranch.
₹ \$ 8	BY MR. BUGLICSI: Q Where did you see them at the ranch?
10	In a pot?
13	A In a pot in water. Q A water pot?
14	Dyeing them up and down, sousing them up and down,
16	Q You saw someone dye shirts like this? A Yes. Q Dyeing them what color?
18 4 19	Q Dyeing them what color? A Black. Q Whom did you see dye these T-shirts?
20 21 21 22	A Lynne mostly. Q Lynne Fromme?
23 24	4 Yes. Q Squeaky?
25	A Squeaky. Q Did you ever see any shirts like this out at

1

2

3.

5

·6·

7.

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

Spahn Ranch which had not been dyed?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that answer be stricken for the purpose of making an objection?
THE COURT: State it.

MR. KANARER: Well, he is asking her for a conclusion this when he says: Have you seen any shirts like/that have never been dyed.

There is no foundation, your Honor. He is soliciting a conclusion. How can she tell?

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KANAREK: Pardon?

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. BUGLIOST:

Q So, you saw some dark T-shirts out there which had been dyed and some which were not dyed; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Looking at the inside of the left sleeve of this T-shirt here, People's 52, does that appear to be dyed to you?

A Yes.

Q You are indicating this lighter portion here inside the T-shirt; is that correct?

A Yes. When you dye something and it is wrinkled up, it don't take even.

18c-4 MR. KANAREK: May that be stricken, your Honor, that statement? There is no foundation for her expertise. THE COURT: Read the question and the answer; the 3 last two questions and the answer. (The record was read by the reporter.) 5 18d fls. 6 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 10 11 1Ž 13 14 15. 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 25 26

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: 258 for identification.

MR. BUGLICEI: Q I show you People's 258 for identification.

Have you ever seen that black T-shirt or pullover before?

- A yes.
- Q Where did you see this for the first time?
 - A on the ground.
 - Q Where at?
 - A By the wagon down at the dump.
 - Q Where is the wagon and the dump?
- A Well, that is where the trailer was, where they were working on their dune buggles.
- Q When you say "trailer," you mean the trailer that was next to George Spahn's house?
 - A No.
 - Q This is another trailer?
 - A mother trailer.
- Q Where was that trailer in relation to the front buildings at the ranch?
- A At the far other end, the south end of the parking lot.
 - Q There were two trailers there?
 - A Yes.
- And are you the one that personally found this black shirt?

1	Q And Patricia Krenwinkel?
	•
2	A Yes.
. 3	Q And Leslie Van Houten?
4	A Yes.
5	(Mr. Bugliosi shows a black t-shirt to
. 6	Mr. Fitzgerald.)
7	THE COURT: It is 4:15, Mr. Bugliosi.
.8	MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, sir.
9.	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse
10	with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case
, 11	until it is finally submitted to you.
. 12	The Court will adjourn until 9:45 tomorrow
13	morning.
14	(Whereupon, at 4:15 the court was in recess,)
15	
16	•
17	
18.	
49 .	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	•
26	
	*