1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HON: RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Plaintiff, 6 7 No. A-267861 ٧s. 8 CHARLES MANSON, Defendant. 10 11 12 13 REPORTERS DATLY TRANSCRIPT 14 Thursday, June 24, 1971 15 VOLUME 2 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY C. MANZELLA 20 Deputy District Attorney 21 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 22 23 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. 27 Official Court Reporters 28

ľ

3

4

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

. 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26.-27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 1971 10:20 A.M.

---0---

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
Where's Mr. Kanarek?

THE BAILIFF: I haven't seen him, your Honor. I'll check out in the hall.

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at the bench between the Court and the clerk.)

(Short recess.)

(The following proceedings were had in chambers, not within the presence or hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

MR. KANAREK: If I may, your Honor, I make a motion to sever the two counts as to Mr. Manson. That's our belief, and I will incorporate by reference the previous arguments to the Court; if the Court will take judicial notice of what was done in connection with Mr. Davis, and the state of the record, I do make the motion to sever the two counts and have separate trials on each of these counts. That's one motion.

And the other motion is --

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued between Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella.)

MR. KANAREK: The District Attorney informs me that we can enter into a stipulation — this motion is a motion to change venue — that all the foundational requirements have been met; that — in connection with the declarations and affidavits and what is required by the Penal Code;

16.

BY THE COURT:

And that we -- the District Attorney agrees that we can incorporate by reference our previous argument in connection with the motion to change venue.

In addition, we would ask the Court to take into account the voir dire that occurred in the -- before Mr. Davis was stayed, and today to date. And we make that motion.

MR. MANZELLA: We'll stipulate that the motion is properly presented, and that all these things that he referred to are incorporated.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court denies the motions.
Okay. Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show the defendant to be present, with Mr. Kanarek, his attorney; that Mr. Manzella is present for the People, and all the prospective jurors who were in the box at the declaration of the last recess are now there, and that the prospective jurors who were beyond the rail are now there.

I think, at the time that the Court recessed last night, that we had called Mrs. Craig.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

MRS. LIBBIE MILLER

1	Q.	Is that correct?
2	A.	No. I'm Libbie Miller.
3	ŷ.	I'm sorry. Your name, ma'am?
4.	À.	Libbie Miller.
5	Q.	Is that Mrs.?
6	λ.	Libbia Miller.
7	<u>û</u>	Is it Mrs.?
8	A,	Mrs.
9	Ŏ.	Thank you. Mrs. Miller, you were present during
10	all the pro-	ceedings since the Court first called this case?
11	λ.	Yes.
12	Q.	And will your answers be any different than the
13	majority of	jurors who responded to the Court's questions,
14	put to the	group as a whole?
15	A	Yes. I am prejudiced. I am strongly against the
16	death penal	ty. I could not be fair.
17	Q	I see. So that to clarify your thinking about
18	that or,	to clarify what your thoughts are, are your views
19	concerning	the death penalty such that you would, because of
20	those views	, automatically vote against the death penalty,
21	without reg	ard to any evidence?
22		Yes, sir.
23		Are your views such that you would refuse even to
24	consider im	posing the death penalty in this case or in any case?
25 • •		Yes, sir.
26		Are your views concerning the death penalty so
2,7		you could not be fair and impartial, in determining
28	the section of the section.	hada of the gran

room, please, right away. 1. call one to fill Mrs. Miller's spot, if you would, 5:

ļ

2

1

4

.5 ·6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

THE CLERK: Robert L. Coker, C-o-k-e-r.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

ROBERT L. COKER

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Coker, you have been present during all the proceedings?
 - A Yes. I have.
- Q Would your answers be any different than the majority of the jurors have responded to the Court's questions put to the group as a whole?
 - A No, they were not.
- Q All right. Beginning with the individual questions I have asked everyone, would you suffer any hardship if you were to serve on this jury?
 - A Yes, I would.
 - Q Tell us what that would be.
- A My wife is undergoing an operation in July, and she'll be in the hospital a week. And when she does get out of the hospital, she will be laid up for approximately six weeks.
 - Q And do you work during the day?
 - A Yes, I do.
- O Do you anticipate someone would be taking care of her while you are at work?
- A No, I will have to take approximately a four to six-week leave of absence from work.
 - Q What type of work do you do?

	· ·
1	A I work at the Xerox Data Systems. I am a
2	planner.
3	And you will be absenting yourself from Xerox for
4	the purpose of caring for your wife?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Is there anyone else who could do that? Is there
7	any other person whom you could find to take care of her?
8	A Not that I know of, sir.
9	Q Do you have the money or the funds to hire care
10	for her?
, n	A No. I don't.
12	MR. KANAREK: Stipulate, your Honor.
13	MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate there is a
14.	hardship, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, gentlemen.
16 `	Both of these gentlemen will stipulate that you
17	may be excused.
18:	JUROR NO. 8: Thank you.
19	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Coker.
20	THE COURT: Room 253 forthwith, Mr. Coker.
21	THE CLERK: Bert L. Rountree, R-o-u-n-t-r-e-e.
22	
.23	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
24	BERT L. ROUNTREE
25	BY THE COURT:
26	Q Mr. Rountree, you have been present during all
27	the proceedings thus far, have you not?
28	A Yes, sir.

1-2

Do you have any time left on jury service? 1 JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 2 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Rountree. 3 JUROR NO. 8: Thank you, sir. THE CLERK: Mrs. Machara H. Taylor, M-a-c-h-a-r-a, 5 Taylor. She is the one out sick. 6 THE COURT: Machara Taylor. She has called in to 7 Mrs. Holt and has indicated she is ill. The Court will 8 excuse her from appearance today. You might check whether 9 or not she can be called back. 10 THE CLERK: She said she will call tomorrow. 11 THE COURT: She's going to call later. Very well. 12 THE CLERK: Mrs. Margaret Somerville, M-a-r-g-a-r-e-t, 13 S-o-m-e-r-v-i-1-1-e. 14 15 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 16 MARGARET SOMERVILLE 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Mrs. Somerville, have you been present during all 19 of the proceedings thus far? 20 Á Yes, sir. 21 . Would your answers be the same as the majority 22 23 or would they be any different than the majority have 24 responded to the Court's questions put to the group, put to 25 the group as a whole? 26 No different to the general questions, sir. 27 All right. 28 Let's get to the specific questions, then.

[
1	Would there be any hardship to you if you were
2	to serve here?
3	A Yes, there would. I have a small one-woman
4	business and I can manage
5	Q What is the nature of that business?
6	A I make gauging paste for the petroleum industry
7	and for the military.
8	Q You make gauging
9.	A Gauging paste. It is a very peculiar business.
10	Q Gauging paste, p-a-s-t-e?
11 .	A P-a-s-t-e.
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 ł	
2 2	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate.
. 2	THE COURT: stipulate that you may be excused.
3	Thank you, Mrs. Somerville. The Court does excuse you.
4	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Somerville.
5	THE CLERK: Mrs. Virginia Stokes, S-t-o-k-e-s.
6	
. 7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
8	VIRGINIA STOKES
9 .	BY THE COURT:
10	Q Mrs. Stokes, have you been present during all of
11	the proceedings thus far?
12	A Yes, your Honor,
13	Q And would your answers be any different than the
14	majority of the jurors have responded to the Court's
15	questions put to the group?
16	questions put to the group? A No, sir.
17	Q All right.
18	Would it be any hardship for you to serve on
19	this jury?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q All right. You would have no personal or
22	financial hardship, I take it?
23	A No, sir.
24	Q Very well.
25	Have you served as a juror before?
26	A Yes, sir, in the Municipal Court.
27	Q Have you ever served as a Superior Court juror?
28	A No, sir.

1	Q Have you served on criminal cases in a Municipal
2	Court?
3	Λ No, sir.
4	Q Just civil?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q All right. And you will take care to note that
7	the burden of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt in a
8	criminal case, then?
9	4 Yes, sir.
10	2 You will be able to follow the Court's instruc-
п	tions, will you strike that.
12	You will follow the Court's instructions in
13	respect to the law in this case, will you not?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Are you employed?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q Is there a Mr. Stokes?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q What's his occupation?
20	A Butcher for the Wilson Packing Company.
21	Q All right.
22	In what general area do you reside?
23	A In Watts.
24	Q Are you a friend of or a relative of any law
25	enforcement officer?
26 27	A Yes, I am. I have a first cousin who is a judge
27	in the Municipal Court.
28	Q In Los Angeles County?

THE COURT: Miss Perez-Fraga. Thank you, Miss Perez-1 Frage. 2 MR. KANAREK: May I inquire as to the spelling. 1 3 don't think I have it correctly. JUROR NO. 7: P-e-r-e-z hyphen F-r-a-g-a. 5 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MISS INEZ D. PEREZ-FRAGA BY THE COURT: 10 Miss Perez-Fraga, have you -- strike that. Q 11 Would it entail any hardship to you personally or 32 financially? 13 Yes, it would, sir. A 14 To serve as a juror in this case? Q 15 A Yes, it would. 16 Q Give us the information. 17 Uh, I'm working for Security Pacific National A 18 Bank and I was just up for a promotion and I called my office 19 and they said they would have to consider someone else. 20 What type of promotion would that be? Q 21 Á Supervisor. 22 In other words, if you were --Ŋ. 23 Á To stay here --24 -- called upon to serve here and did serve for 25 Q five months or longer, it would cost you the promotion? 26 Yes, sir. Because we're working on a summer 27 schedule, you know, vacations and all that. And they said 28

that within 30 days -- well, it would be all right by that time. Everybody would be coming back and everything would be stable. But if I were to continue, then, they'd have to look for somebody else.

-1	1	Q Would that mean a loss of funds to you? Money,
)	· 2	too?
	3.	A. I would receive my salary, if I were on the case.
, *	. 4.	Moneywise, I would not they of course, I would lose.
*	5	As far as the promotion is concerned?
	6 .	A. That entails a salary increase.
	7	Q And you would lose that?
	8	A. Yes, I would.
	.9	MR, MANZELLA: The People will stipulate there's a hard-
	10	ship, your Honor,
,	11	MR. KANAREK: Submit it, your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Miss Perez-Frage, the Court will excuse you.
	13	The Court finds that this is a hardship which you should not
	14	be required to suffer.
ļ	15	The Court thanks you for being here. Do you have
ű	16	time left on jury service?
	17	JUROR NO. 7: Yes, sir.
	18	THE COURT: All right, Then report to Room 253, the
	19	jury assembly room, forthwith, if you would, please.
	20	JUROR NO. 7: Thank you, sir.
	21.	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Miss Perez-Fraga.
	22	THE CLERK: Dorothy Zlatkoff; Z-1-a-t-k-o-f-f.
•	23	
	24.	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
4	25	OF DOROTHY ZLATKOFF
•	26	BY THE COURT:
. ")	, 27 °	Q Is it Mrs. Zlatkoff?
•	28	t Vor

1	Q Have you been present during all the proceedings
2	thus far, since the case has been called?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q Now, would your answers be any different, Mrs.
5	Zlatkoff, than the majority of jurors have responded to the
6	questions put to the group as a whole?
7.	A No, I don't think no.
8.	Q And would there be any hardship to you, were you
9 :	chosen to serve?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q Tell us about that.
12	A I am working for Bank of America; and last night,
13	I called my employer, and I was told that if I was not if
14	I am engaged, let's say, and then off an extra week, until
15	maybe a month, is fine; but not for this length of time. They
16	can't pay me, and I would have to get replaced.
17	And I simply cannot afford to lose the job.
18	Q This is your sole source of survival, your wages?
19	A. No.
20	Q Is there a Mr. Zlatkoff?
21	A. Yes, um-hmm.
22	Q And he's employed?
23	A. Yes, he is self-employed, but at the moment, he's
24	he has a small business, but at this moment, they have to
25	subcontract, because of ill health,
26	Q And your wages and salary are used to support the
27	family?
28	A. Partially.

	ř	
ī	Q.	Do you have children?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q	How many?
4.	λ.	One.
5	Ω	The bank will pay you for no more than your 30 days?
6	.	No. They just said, if another month, or two weeks -
7		In addition?
(8	.	In addition. But no more.
9	Q.	No more than perhaps a total period
10	A.	This length of time, no.
11	Ω.	a total period of two months or so?
12	A.	That's right.
13	THE C	OURT: Gentlemen?
14;	MR. K	ANAREK: Your Honor, I just have a question, if I
15.	may.	
16		
17		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
18 ,	BY MR. KANA	REK:
19	<u>a</u>	Mrs. Zlatkoff, did they tell you they would termi-
20	nate you, i	f you were not
21	A.	No, not in these words. But it was said, "We
22	would have	to replace you,"
23	, Q	I see.
24	A.	Because there's vacations scheduled. And we have
25	to I'm a	note teller, "and we'll have to to replace
26	you for ano	ther note teller, and we'll have to teach somebody."
27	MR. K	ANAREK: Submit it, your Honor.
28 ⁻	MR. M	ANZELLA: The People will stipulate there's a

hardship, your Honor, THE COURT: All right. The Court finds that there would be an extraordinary hardship, then, Mrs. Zlatkoff, and does excuse you. Thank you. JUROR NO. 7: Thank you. 5. THE COURT: Do you still have some time left, then? JUROR NO. 7: Yes. THE COURT: You should report to Room 253 forthwith. JUROR NO. 7: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Zlatkoff.

2a

THE CLERK: Samuel I. Yano: S-a-m-u-e-1: middle initial 2a-1 7 I; Y-a-n-o. .3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 4 OF SAMUEL I. YANO BY THE COURT: Mr. Yano, you have been present during all the proceedings thus far, have you not, sir? 8 Yes, sir. 10 10 Would your answers be any different than the 10 majority of the jurors have responded to the Court's 11 questions put to the group as a whole? 12 . No. sire And would there be any hardship to you, were you 14 State of the state to serve? Yes, sir. 16 Tell us about that. 17 Well, I work for Shopping Bag food stores. And I 18 asked my manager about this, and he said, "You are entitled to 30 days and no more." 20 THE COURT: What happens after that? Will you lose any 21 position with the company? 22 Well, there was --23 Would you lose your job? 24 There was nothing said definitely, but there was an 25 26 implied threat. Will you be paid? 27

No, sir.

28

1	After the 30 days?
2 ,	A. No, six.
3	Q Is your salary your total source of income?
4	A. Yes, mir.
5	THE COURT: Gentlemen?
6	MR. KANAREK: Submit it, your Honor.
7	MR. MANZELLA: We'll stipulate there's a hardship, your
8.	Honor,
9	THE COURT: The Court finds there is an extraordinary
10	hardship, that Mr. Yano should not have to endure, and excuses
11	him.
12	Thank you, Mr. Yano. Room 253 forthwith.
13	Call another name to replace Mr. Yano.
14	THE CLERK: Mrs. Patricia Davis; D-a-y-i-s.
15	THE COURT: Call for another panel.
16	THE CLERK: How many?
17	THE COURT: 50.
18	
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
20	OF MRS. PATRICIA DAVIS
21	BY THE COURT:
22	Q Mrs. Davis,
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q you have been present during all the
25	proceedings?
26	A. Yes, I have.
27	Q Would your answers be any different than the
28	majority of jurors have responded to the Court's questions put

1	to the group as a whole?
2	A Yes, it would,
3	Ω All right.
4	A I am very prejudiced against the defendant.
5	Q Against the defendant?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Is this because of something that you've heard, seen
8	or read?
ġ	A All three, yes.
10	Q During the last several months?
11	A. Yes.
12	And when it first came to light, I used to work for
13	the Coroner's Office,
14	Q I see. You you were employed with the Los
15	Angeles County Coroner's Office?
16	A Yes, sir, I was.
17	Q And did something that you have heard, read or seen
18	in connection with your work have something to do with your
19	present position?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Do you believe that you could give Mr. Manson a fair
22	trial?
23	A No, sir.
24 .	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Under Section 1073,
25	Subsection 2, we enunciate the challenge, your Honor.
26	THE COURT: All right. Miss Davis, thank you very much.
27	The Court does excuse you.
28	Do you have time left on jury duty?

JUROR NO. 7: Yes, I have. THE COURT: Report to the jury assembly room, Room 253, 2 forthwith, please. .3 JUROR NO. 7: All right. Thank you very much. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Davis. THE CLERK: Anthony -- I'll spell this --6 C-h-i-e-r-i-c-h-e-t-t-i. 7 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF ŋ ANTHONY CHIERICHETTI 10 11 BY THE COURT: 12 Is that Chierichetti? 13 · Chierichetti. 14 Mr. Chierichetti, have you been present during all 15 the proceedings thus far? 16 Yes, sir. A. 17 And would your answers be any different than the 18 majority of jurors have responded to the Court's questions put 19 to the group as a whole? No, sir. 21 Would this be any hardship to you, were you to be chosen as a juror? 22 22 Well, I called my supervisor yesterday, and he said 24 that -- I work for the L.A. City College District, and I would 25 be paid, but my services -- I'm a one-man worker there, and they 26 would have to acrounge around and train somebody for six months. 27 And they'd like to write a letter about it, if it 28 would help.

- }	Q.	Well, the letter probably wouldn't help.
}		That was what he said.
	·	
ŀ		
		•
	, ,	
	•	
1		
	4	
ľ		
ļ		
1		
ł		
.		
į		
	•	
	:	

	•
ı	Q What type of work do you do for the collège?
2	A. Basically, I am what they call an audio-visual
3	technician.
4	d , Audio-visual?
5	A Audio-visual. But that encompasses electronic
6	work. I work with Security, for instance, and with there's
7	a certain amount of that. And we have an auditorium that
8.	I am the technician there, when they have programs that
9	involve lots of students, and it's a it's a very broad job,
0.	and
1	Q Well, since it's not a personal hardship to you,
2	the Court would ask the college to endure your absence for
.3	several months, if necessary.
.4	Have you had any previous jury experience?
.5	A. No, sir.
.6	Q You've already told us the nature of your employment
7	How long have you worked for the college?
(8′	. A 14 years.
19	Are you related to or a friend of any law enforce-
20.	ment officer?
21	A Well, I have a pretty good friend that's a Sheriff.
22	He's a captain,
23	Q He is a captain in the Sheriff's Department?
24	A Yes, sir. He's an instructor.
25 26,	Q How good a friend is he? Is he one that you see all
20, 27	the time, or
<u> </u>	A Well, I see him at church every Sunday.

Have you discussed cases with him at all?

1	A Not much. Occasionally we something that he's
2	involved in, I you know, I have a passing no, we don't
3 .	discuss too much.
4	Q Do you think that you could be fair and impartial
5	as a juror in spite of that relationship?
6	A I think so. But I do work you know, I am with
7	the Administration and that sort of thing, and I I would try
8	to be impartial, but I do work with them. It's my job.
9	Q When you say you work with the Administration, you
10	don't mean that you work with any police agency?
11	A. The administration of the school and our security
12	force.
13	Q I see.
14	A You see, both of them are involved in
15	Q Well, the security force is is the private
16	security force that's retained by the college?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q I see. Well, do you think that would prejudice
19	you as a juror in a criminal case?
20	A I would hope not,
21	Don't you know?
22 :	A Well, to be honest, I you know, you see things,
23	and it's it's hard to say that I couldn't I think I
24	could be non not be prejudiced, but I do have this
25 '	experience in my in the back of my
26	Q You think you could be objective?
27	A Right.
28	Q In determining the issues that will be presented

1	to you as a	juror?
2	Ä	Yes.
3	Q.	You'll actually be sitting as a judge in the case;
4	you realize	that?
5	A.	Yes
6	•	Can't you set aside that relationship, or those
7	relationship	es for the purpose of being a juror?
8	A.	I believe I can.
9	ŭ.	All right. Is there a Mrs. Chierichetti?
.0	A.	Yes, sir.
I.	Q .	And is she employed outside the home?
.2	A,	Yes, sir.
.3	Q.	And what is her occupation?
4.	*	She's a school teacher.
15 ·	Q	In Los Angeles?
l 6	.ኤ	Yes, sir.
L7	. Д	In what area do you reside, Mr. Chierichetti?
. 8	A.	Highland Park,
19	Q	Do you have views concerning the death penalty
20	such as wou	ld prevent you from being fair and impartial in
21	determining	the question of guilt or innocence?
22	'A.	Would you repeat that, please?
23	Q.	Yes. Do you have views concerning the death
24	penalty such	h as would keep you from being impartial in
25	determining	guilt or innocence
26	A.	No.
27	Q.	in the first phase?
28		Or do you have views concerning the death penalty

Except for Mr. Stegail, now, all of the prospective jurors are ordered to leave the courtroom. \mathbf{H}

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 · 23

24

25 26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

PERRY A. STEGALL &

BY THE COURT:

Manaon?

Q All right, Mr. Stegall, the Court wishes to ask you certain questions concerning what you may have previously heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson prior to coming into this courtroom.

Have you heard, seen or read anything about Mr.

A No. I wasn't that interested in it.

- Q Had you ever heard his name before coming into this courtroom?
 - A Why, everybody has heard his name.
 - Q In what connection had you known of his name?
- A It was on the front pages of all newspapers. If somebody reads the paper.
- Q Is that in connection with the Tate-La Bianca homicide?
- A Naturally. You would know it was on the headline if you subscribed to both papers.
 - Q Did you do that, did you subscribe to both papers?
 - A Oh, I take both of them.
 - Q The Los Angeles Times?
 - A And the Herald, too.
- Q Did you read about the so-called Tate-La Blanca case during the course of the last year and a half?
- A No, I wasn't that interested in it. I would just glance at it and see it.

1	Q Well, whether you glanced or looked or whatever
2	you may have done, did you read it?
3	A Not all of it, no, sir.
4	O Uh, did you listen to the newscasts on the radio?
5	A Naturally. I have a television and two or three
6.	radios. I can listen to them all the time.
7	Q Well, I assume that you could, Mr. Stegall, but
8	I'm asking whather you did.
9	A No, I wasn't that interested in the case.
io	Q. So the most that you have ever heard about Mr.
н	Manson, the most you have ever seen, is simply having glanced
12	at a headline in the newspapers that you subscribed to; is
13	that right?
14	A That's right.
15	Q Do you know that he was placed on trial?
16	A Yeah, I knew he was placed on trial.
17	Q Do you know for what he was tried?
18	A So many different things you couldn't even figure
19	it all out.
20	Well, name one that you know of.
21	A Well, "Tate-La Bonca," and these two people out
2 2	at Silver Lake, and that musician.
23	Q You mean Gary Hinman?
24	Ä. Yes.,
25	A Had you heard the name, heard, seen or read the
26	name Gary Hinman before you came into this courtroom?
27	A Not necessarily. I didn't pay no attention.
28	Q Use that microphone.
•	A Not necessarily, I wasn't interested in the case.

1	" werr, you used the philase musician of the
2	words "that musician."
3	A Well, he was supposed to be a musician.
4	Q Who?
5	A Himman or some part of the
6	Q All right. Where did you hear, see or read the
7	name Gary Hinman before you came into this courtroom?
8	A Well, naturally it got in the Times and the
9	Herald both. You would have had to have heard it.
10	Q So you read it in the newspaper?
ú	A You couldn't have missed it if you read the
12	general news on the paper every day.
13	Q Mr. Stegall, you seem to have the idea in mind
14	that the Court is intending to quarrel with you by asking
15	these questions. I'm simply inquiring as to your state of
16	mind as to how much you know about Mr. Manson or Mr. Hinman
17	or Mr. Shea or anything concerning the trial that you have
18	referred to; do you understand?
19	A Yes, sir. I don't know anything about them.
20	Q All right. Do you know what Mr. Manson was
21	accused of?
22	A Not exactly, I don't know.
23	Q Well, was it being drunk in public view or
24	disturbing the peace or
25 ,	A Probably
26	Q a drunk driving? You don't have any idea of
27	what he was accused of?
28	A Probably for masterminding a half a dozen murde

dozen murders

But you don't know whether the jury came back as to life or death as to Mr. Manson?

A Not necessarily. Just what I heard, what one of the fellows said here on the jury yesterday.

3a-1	1	Q What did you hear?
	2.	A Well, one of the jurors you were questioning said
	3	he was already sentenced to die, as far as I know. I didn't
٠	4	read it.
	5	Q That's the first time you read anything about it?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
	8	A Oh, yeah, I've seen her. She's been on the
	9	headlines of the papers.
	10	Q All right. What do you know about Susan Atkins?
	ıĭ	A I don't know anything about her. I never seen
	12	her. All I seen was just headlines on the paper.
	13	O So you're just a headline reader, then, is that
	14	correct?
	15	A Unless I'm interested in something, and I
,	16	wouldn't be interested in no cases like that.
•	17	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea?
•	18	A Oh, yeah, he probably
	19	Q Have you heard it before I mentioned it in court
,	20	here?
	21	A Oh, no more than he's supposed to be a janitor
	22	or something out there on that ranch where they lived. That's
	23	all I know.
	24	Q Do you know the name or phase "Manson Family"?
¢	25	A No, I don't know any of them.
	, 26	Q No, I'm not asking whether you know them, Mr.
ą	27	Stegall. I'm asking you whether you know the phrase "Manson
	28	Family"?

1	A Oh, they had some kind of a cult out there, I
2	guess, you know. That's the way you figured.
3	Q / Then, you do know the name or phrase "Manson
4	Family," is that right?
5.	A Oh, I heard of it.
6	Q And you take it to be a cult that was out there?
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Out where?
9	A Oh, out there in the hills somewhere in Nevada.
10	Q Have you ever heard the name Spahn Ranch?
n	A Oh, naturally it got in the headlines in the
12	paper.
13	Q That's the headline that you read?
14	A Yeah (laughing).
15	Q Well, in spite of all the headlines and informa-
16	tion that you gathered about Mr. Manson, do you believe that
17	you could be fair and impartial in this case to him?
18	A Sure can.
19	Q If the Court instructed you to set aside anything
20	that you may have heard, seen or read and anything that you
21	may remember during the course of the trial about what you
22	have heard, seen or read, would you be able to set that
23	aside?
24	A Naturally, because a man is innocent until the
25	Court proves him guilty anyhow.
26	Q You understand it is not the obligation of the
27	Court to prove him guilty. The Court is simply an arbiter
28	in this case.

1	A That's right.
2	A judge in the case. And it is the function of the
3	District Attorney's office representing the People to prove
4	him guilty.
5.	Do you understand that?
6	A Oh, yeah.
7	Q All right. Would you, Mr. Stegall, be able to
8	set aside everything you may have learned via the headlines
9	or television or radio?
10	A That shouldn't have any bearing on this case.
11	This is a separate case.
12	Q Well, listen to the question.
13	I agree with you that it should not have any
14:	bearing on this case.
15 .	Can you set it aside, put it out of your mind
16	temporarily while during the course of this trial, and
17	during the course of the deliberations that you would have
18	as a juror?
19	A I sure can.
20	Q Well, will you do that?
21	A Sure.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek.
23.	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
24	
25	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
26	BY MR. KANAREK:
27	Q. Mr. Stegall, sir, it is certainly your intent
28	to must it spide this information, right?

1	A It has nothing to do with this case.
2	But would you you understand, Mr. Stegall, that
3	these are just questions to determine what your thinking is;
4	you follow
5	A Oh, yeah, I know what I am thinking.
6.	And one of the things I am asking you is: You
7	intend you want to put aside these matters that you have
8	heard concerning Mr. Manson, right?
9	A I want to give everybody a fair break until the
10	Court has proved he is guilry.
11	MR. KANAREK: I wonder if we could approach the bench,
12	your Honor?
13	THE COURT: You can approach the bench.
14	MR. KANAREK: May we?
15	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
16	(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench
17	among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the
18	prospective juror, which was not reported:)
19	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
.20	in open court within the presence and hearing of the
21	prospective juror:)
22	THE COURT: Any questions from the People?
23	MR. KANAREK: Well, I have just a
24	MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: You have a few more?
26	MR. KANAREK: Yes.
27	

3b fls.

Ţ

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16.

17

<u>1</u>8

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

BY MR. KANAREK:

Mr. Stegall, would you say that you agree that there are some things that you want to do, that you can't --- you physically couldn't do it, really?

Do you understand?

A Probably everybody has that. You want to be over in London or somewhere and can't be there.

Q Right.

And you probably have seen things and heard things in your life that you would -- might want to forget, but you just can't forget them, right?

- A No, that wouldn't make any difference.
- I'm not asking about this particular -- I'm only asking in general is it true -- have you experienced in life, Mr. Stegall, the situation where you've wanted to forget something and it keeps popping back in your mind?
 - A. No.
 - 4 Have you ever experienced that?
- A Not necessarily. I can see you -- meet you out on the street and I wouldn't even know you.
- Q Well, I'm referring to things perhaps that have happened in your lifetime in the past.
- A. Oh, no. I never was around where anybody has committed anything that bad in my life.
- Q So your intent, certainly, is to put aside everything you've heard concerning Mr. Manson?
 - A That has nothing to do with this case.
 - Q But that is your desire, right?

1	A. It is what I will do. It is not my desire.
2	Q. I see.
3;	And you recognize, of course, you weren't in the
4	courtroom at all in
5	a no.
6	Q. Right, during the last trial?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And you certainly have experienced in life the
9	situation where the newspapers and the publicity and the way
10	they presented things can be so out of focus and give you the
11 .	misconception of actually what occurred in the courtroom?
12	A. I know I read the Examiner and the news in the
13	Times and I know that in the court that both reporters were
14	there and they both write it different.
15	A Just because these things have been stated
16	A. Sure.
17	that doesn't mean there's actually anything that
18	has any substance to what was stated?
19	A Nothing at all.
20	a All right, thank you, Mr. Stegall.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, any questions?
22	MR. MANZELLA: No questions, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Stegall, you may go out and
24	join the others in
25	Where are they? In the corridor, Mr. Burrell?
26	THE BAILIFF: No, your Honor, they are in Department 107.
27	THE COURT: They are in Department 107 right next door.
28	So the bailiff will take you over there and we'll ask Juror No.

2 to come in.

And the Court will tell you that you are not to discuss anything that was -- that occurred during the course of this voir dire of you alone.

Do you understand that?

JUROR NO. 1: It has nothing to do with me anyhow.

THE COURT: You are not to discuss it.

JUROR NO. 1: Okay,

MR. KANAREK: As a matter of personal preference --

THE COURT: You need a recess?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll take a recess until 11:30.

(Short recess.)

4-1

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that Mr. Kanarek is present with Mr. Manson, and Mr. Manzella for the People; and we have in the box Mrs. Stearn.

4

ĺ

2

3.

5

6

7

ጸ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

of Marian Stearn

BY THE COURT:

- Q Is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Mrs. Stearn, we want to ask you whether you have ever heard, before you came to this courtroom, the name Charles Manson.
 - A Yes, I have.
- Q And was that in connection with the -- a previous trial?
 - A No, it was just reading and watching television.
 - Q Was it in connection with reading about a previous

trial?

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. An

21 A And the television.

Yes.

4 -- in connection, with that trial?

And watching television

A That trial.

- Q Do you subscribe regularly to any newspaper?
- A No. I just watch the news, and listen to the radio.
 - Q I see. Did you watch any news program regularly?
 - A Yes. Every day at 6:00 o'clock.

26 27

1	Q Every day at 6:00 o'clock?
2	A. Right. And I come home
3	Q Was that your habit during 1970?
4.	A Yes, it was.
5	Q Have you also talked with members of your family
6 .	and with friends concerning Mr. Manson's previous court trial
7	or previous trial, rather?
-8	A. Yes, we have discussed it.
9	Q Concerning his previous court appearances?
10	A, No.
11	Q And the case?
I 2	A. What had happened, on television. And what I heard
13	on the news.
14	Q I see. You heard about the case, and about the
15	Tate-La Bianca homoides?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q Over the news?
18	A. Um-hmm.
19	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
20	A No, I don't.
21	Q Do you know the name Steven Grogan?
22	A No, I don't,
23	Q Bruce Davis?
24 .	A No.
25	Do you know the name Gary Hinman? Or had you
26	heard it before the Court mentioned it?
27	A. No. Just on television.
28	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea?

1	.	No.
2	•	Mary Brunner?
3	*	No.
4	Q	Do you know the name Spahn Ranch?
5	· /	No.
6 .	Q	Have you ever heard the name or the phrase,
7	"The Manson	Family"?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And what does that mean to you?
10.	A.	Well, all the things that they have done, all the
ri	murders they	y've committed, and
12	Q.	The phrase "Manson Family" brings that up in your
L3	mind?	
14	À	Yes.
15	Q	Do you regard the Manson Family as a group?
l6	A	Yes, I think they are a group.
17	Ġ	Headed by Mr. Manson?
ι8	. A	Yes, I believe so.
19 .	KI O.	Now, do you know what the results of that trial,
20	the Tate-La	Bianca homicide trial, do you know what the
21	results were	
22	A.	I don't remember that.
23	Q '	Do you know what Mr. Manson was charged with?
24	A '	With murder.
25	Q .	And do you know what verdict was found by the
26	jury?	
27	λ	That he was guilty.
28.	Q.	And do you know what punishment was determined by

1	the jury?
2	A Guilty, by execution, I guess.
3	Q Well, is that your best recollection?
4	That they came back with the death penalty?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q / Well, having all in mind that you know about
7	Mr. Manson, and about the Manson Family, and about that
8	previous trial, if I were to instruct you that you should set
9	aside everything that you may have learned, that you may have
1Ó	heard, seen or read, and decide this case solely on the evidence
11	here, and the law as I shall state it to you, would you be able
12	to do that?
13	A I don't think so. I think it would be very hard
14	to do.
15	Q I understand that it would be very hard. What I
16	am asking you is, really, can you do that? And will you do
17	that?
18	Can you do it, in the first place?
19	A. I don't think so.
20	Q You don't think you can?
21	A. No.
22	DEFENDANT MANSON: It's impossible for anyone to do.
23	MR. KANAREK: 1070
24	THE COURT: 1076?
25	MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subdivision 2.
26	THE COURT: 1073, Subdivision 2. All right. You are
27	making the challenge for cause?
28	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I would assume that we

have our previous ground rules, that it's not necessary to 1 have the foundation enunciated each time? 2 THE COURT: No, you needn't enunciate. The Court 3 would grant the challenge for cause. All right. Thank you very much, Mrs. Stearn. The Court appreciates your being direct and frank with the Court. 6 7 JUROR NO. 2: Thank you very much. THE COURT: And you are excused now. Do you have any 8 further time? 9 10 JUROR NO. 2: Yes, I do. THE COURT: All right, Go to Room 253 at 1:30, then. 11 You are excused until 1:30, when you are to report to Room 253. 12 JUROR NO. 2: Thank you very much. 13 THE COURT: All right. You can leave that microphone 14 15. right there on the seat. 16 All right. Procure that next juror, will you, 17 please? 18 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. She's on the way. 19 THE COURT: On the way? 20 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir, 21 THE COURT: Good. 22 23 24 25 26 27

24

25

26

27

28

DEFENDANT MANSON: Someone's been thinking through your head.

THE COURT: You're going to have to go back there, Mr. Manson.

DEFENDANT MANSON: There's no one here but us.

THE COURT: Pardon?

DEFENDANT MANSON: There's no one here but us.

THE COURT: You're going to have to go back if you don't be quiet.

DEFENDANT MANSON: There's just us here.

THE COURT: Talk to Mr. Kanarek.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Just us.

I bet you wake up to it. Sooner than I thought. THE COURT; Take your seat, Mrs. Wallace, seat No. 3.

PAULINE WALLACE

BY THE COURT:

Q I want to ask you, Mrs. Wallace, whether you have ever heard the name Charles Manson -- heard, seen or read the name Charles Manson before you came into this courtroom?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was that in connection with the so-called Tate-La Bianca homicide trial?

A Yes, sir.

Q And in connection with that, did you -- do you subscribe to a newspaper regularly?

A Yes, a local paper. And I watch the news on television.

			·
5-2	ŀ	વ	Was it mostly through those sources that you
	2	learned abo	ut Mr. Manson in that case?
	3	A	Entirely.
ŧ	4	Q	And
•	5	A	More through television.
ਰ -	6	Q	More through television?
	7	٨	Uh-huh.
	8	ર	What television programs did you watch generally?
	.9	Ą	I usually have Channel 2, but I sometimes have
	10	7 as well.	•
	11	Q.	And those are newscasts that you watch regularly,
	12	is that rig	ht?
	13	A	Yes.
	14	ବ୍ଲ	Also listen to the radio during the course of the
•	15	last year a	and a half?
	16	À	Yes. I listen to the radio a great deal at
- 2.	17	night.	
	18	. Q,	And you probably heard details about this trial
	19	and about t	hose homicides over the radio, did you not?
	20	A	Yes, I.did.
	21	Q	All right.
	22		Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
•	23	A	Yeş.
	24	Ω.	And in what connection do you know Susan Atkins?
£ .	25	A	Well, she's one of the three girls, is all.
	26	Q:	You believe that she was one of the three who
•	27	was accused	of the crimes of which Mr. Manson has been
	28	annulated?	,

1 .	A .Yes, uh-huh.
2	Q Did you know, incidentally, that Mr. Manson was
3	convicted in that trial?
4	A Yes.
5	O Do you know of what he was convicted?
б	A Of what you mean what his conviction was?
7	Q Yes.
8	A Uh, I can't remember whether it was death or life,
9	actually.
10	Oh, you mean as far as sentence is concerned?
11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q You don't remember whether the jury came back with
13	the death penalty or whether they found for life imprisonment?
14	A No, I mally couldn't tell you.
15	Q You do know he was charged with murder, is that
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You know as a matter of fact there were more than
19	one murder?
20 :	A Yes.
21	Q How many do you know about or had you heard?
22 .	A I believe there were seven, weren't there?
23	Q Well, the Court is asking you of your best
24	recollection. It is really not a test, I
25	A Oh.
26	Q I just want to find out what you
27,	A Well, you know, I am able to sort of turn TV off
28	mentally, so I don't listen to the details sometimes things

1	like this. But it seems to me it was seven.
2	Q Before you came into this courtroom had you heard
3	the name Shorty Shea or musician Gary Himman?
4.	A Yes.
5	Q In what connection had you heard that or those
6	names?
7	A Well, Shorty Shea is the one that is supposed to
8	have disappeared. And Himman is the one that was murdered
9	in Redondo, I believe, or Manhattan Beach or one of those.
10	Q And do you know the name Mary Brunner?
H	A Yes.
12	Q How do you know that name? What does that mean to
13	you?
14	A Only that she is one of the girls.
15	Q How about Bobby Beausoleil?
16	A I don't know except they're part of the case,
17	that's all.
18	Q How about the phrase "Manson Family," what does
19	that mean to you?
2 0	A Pardon?
21	Q The phrase or the name "Manson Family," what does
22	that mean to you?
23	A Well, it means this group who lives up on the
24	desert and did what they are supposed to what the newspaper
25	or TV said they did.
26	Q Did you read or hear anything about a statement
27	of President Nixon that was made during the course of this
28	trial?

	3	
•	İ	A Yes, that was on the news a bit.
	2	Q Did you reflect upon that as to whether or not
	3.	the President was justified in making such a statement?
٠	4	A I felt that the President didn't do it accidentally
4	5	Q Did you assess whether he was right or wrong in
7	6	making the statement he did?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q What were your conclusions?
	9	A My conclusion would be that it would be all right
	10	for him to make it.
	11	Q Well, did you make a determination as to whether
	12	regardless of the propriety of the President's making the
	. 13	statement he was correct in his statement concerning
	14	guilt?
5 a	fls. 15	A Yes, I do. I feel he was correct.
4	16	
	. 17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
ę	25	
	26	
	27 .	
,	90·	

	2

4

5

3

6 7

8

10

11 12

. 13

14 15

16 17

18-

19

20 21

22:

23 24

25

26

27 28 Q And subsequently, of course, you learned that Mr. Manson was found guilty of murders, as you said?

A Yes.

Now, can you set aside everything that you have learned about Mr. Manson in the course of the last year and a half, everything you have learned over radio and television and the press, through conversing with friends, — I suppose you have conversed with friends about this?

A Very little, actually.

Well, can you set aside whatever you may have learned from any source and be objective and impartial in determining any of the issues in this case?

A. I'm pretty sure I could.

Q Well, I need to know for certain that you can and you need to know before you can -- to serve as a juror.

What I mean is, you must be able to, and you must state to me that you will do that, and that you will decide this case solely from the evidence here and the law as I shall state it to you.

- A Well, this is a different case, isn't it?
- Q Yes.
- A Then, I could.

Well, if you should recollect some facts that -- or things that you believe to be facts from what you have garnered over the last few months from the publicity media, or from conversations, would you set those aside, put them aside?

You don't -- you can't forget them, perhaps, but could you put them aside for the purpose of making a judgment on any issue

that might arise in this case? Yes. 2 · Cán you do that? 3 I can do that. Will you do that? I will do that. .6 All right. 7 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I wonder if it might be fruit-8 ful to approach the bench with the reporter? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 If it is -- your objection -- all right, you may 11 approach the bench. 12 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 13 the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 14 prospective juror:) 15 MR, KANAREK: Your Honor, in connection, first of all, 16 with Mr. Stegall, I just want to make sure that we have the 17 18 same ground rules as previously, that even though we don't enunciate a challenge for cause under 1073, Subsection 2, as to 19 the publicity, that in fact it will be deemed enunciated as to 20 each and every prospective juror? 21 THE COURT: Who has knowledge of Mr. Manson's conviction? 22 MR. KANAREK: Well, what I mean is, in order to speed it 23 up, maybe the District Attorney will stipulate that it is my 24 position that each -- that we have -- that it should go to each 25 and every juror who comes before the Court. I think that's 26 what -- in essence, what we had previously. It would be deemed 27 a continuing objection. 28

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. KANAREK: Is that agreeable? 3 MR. MANZELLA: On the grounds of prejudicial pretrial publicity --5 THE COURT: On the grounds of prejudicial pretrial б publicity Mr. Manson thereby could not receive a fair trial? 7 MR. MANZELLA: -- would that -- and that challenge 8 applies to any juror? MR. KANAREK: Any juror. 10. MR. MANZELLA: That has knowledge of the case? 11 MR. KANARLK: Well --12 MR. MANZELLA: That is, of Mr. Manson's name? 13 MR. KANARCK: It applies -- I would say it would apply to 14 each juror that comes before the Court, 15 MR. MANZELLA: Okay. 16 MR. KANAREK: If we have it on a continuing basis --17 MR. MANZELLA: Fair, that's agreeable to me. I'll 18 stipulate. 19 MR. KAWAREK: So stipulate. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. KANAREK: Then, I would -- of course, I think 22 Mr. Stegall comes within the ambit of that. 23 THE COURT: He does, and the Court has that in mind in --24 well, it made no ruling as to Mr. Stegall because it was off 25 the record that you approached the bench and you indicated 26 that you felt that Mr. Stegall was deficient intellectually 27 and that he --28

MR. KANAREK: -- as --

` **9**.

17.

20.

THE COURT: You didn't raise that other issue, but the Court will deem that you did raise it and that you have made a challenge for cause as to Mr. Stegall.

Based on that ground, the Court denies the challenge,

6-1

б

MR. KANAREK: As to this lady, your Honor, with the great respect and -- and admiration that she obviously has for the President of the United States, I think that it is somewhat of a -- what we might call "command influence," speaking poetically.

This is not a military trial, but I mean, I don't see -- she can be exhorted, and she can be spoken to, and she can say that she's not going to be influenced, but when she says that Mr. Nixon -- and it's in the record; I don't have to belabor it -- I think that -- I think that it's clear, regardless of what she said, that she could not be fair and impartial.

And I think your Honor -- and indeed, all of us, under 1073 Subsection 2 -- must take that into account. Her bare statement is all there is, and -- and I --

THE COURT: Well, you can question her. But it appears to me as though she's a reasonably bright and intelligent woman. And I think that she's capable of following an instruction.

MR. KANAREK: I don't think we can take a chance, your Honor. If she thinks that President Nixon was right, and she had -- she was never in the courtroom, and she's going to be influenced.

MR. MANZELLA: She said that -- it was my understanding that she said -- strike it.

It was my understanding that the Court's question was whether she thought the President was right in making the statement.

6.

MR. KANAREK: And she said: "Yes."

MR. MANZELLA: She said, "Yes," right. In other words, if he believed Manson was guilty, she thought it was all right for him to say so. Not that she believed he was right that Manson was guilty, but that it was right for him to say so, if this is what he believed.

MR. KANAREK: Well --

THE COURT: I think she's bright enough, however, to segregate what she has heard and the opinions that she might have been forming, or had formed. She's bright enough to set those aside.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it's our feeling -THE COURT: And to be objective in making a decision.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it's our feeling that intellectual capacity and brightness are really not too related to the emotional aspect.

Many people have intelligence, and are still very emotional. And I think that a the -- that's the vice and the danger. And I don't think that -- I don't think that it's, as we have said, just a function of brightness.

THE COURT: Well, the challenge for cause is -- on that ground is denied, likewise.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I'll interrogate her, then. I thought we might save some time by bringing this to the Court's attention at the bench.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective juror:)

1 THE COURT: You may question Mrs. Wallace -- or Miss 2 Wallace. MR. KANAREK: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. KANAREK: 5 Miss Wallace, would you say that it's been your 6 experience in life that there are some things we intend to do, that are physically -- or mentally -- impossible to do? 8 À. Yes. I would say that. .9 And certainly, your intent -- your intent would 10 be to put aside everything that you've heard concerning Mr. 11 Manson; that's your intent, right? 12 Right. 13 But is it a fair statement, you don't know whether 14 you could do it or not? 15 Is that a statement I have made previously? 16 No, no. I am asking you: As you look into the 17 depths of your mind, is it a fair statement, you don't know 18 that in fact you will be able to put aside the information 19 that you have heard by way of TV, radio and other sources? 20 This is a new case, isn't it? That is different -a different case than the one that's already gone on? 6a fla. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

a-	1		
_			

- Q May I backtrack a little bit, ma'am?
- A. Okay.
- Now, the -- I am speaking now of just your state of mind -- forget that you ever came to this trial. Right now, you are here, but if you can, just for a moment, assume that you weren't here.
 - A Very well.
- Now, directing your attention, then, to the time before you came here to court, can you think whether or not you would it would be physically or mentally possible for you to put aside everything that you had heard concerning Mr. Hanson in your lifetime?

That's all the question is. Forget the context, any other context.

A I don't believe, Mr. Kanarek, that anyone who has listened to television, radio, read in the paper anything about Mr. Manson, can completely forget what has gone on before.

I understood this was a different trial, that you were trying -- that you were trying him for something other than the trial before this.

- Q Well, may I ask you this? First of all, you are not -- although I am sure that you may feel like you are on the spot, we are all friends, and I hope that you are a friend of mine.
 - A I am.
- Right. But if you would listen to the question?

 It's a -- that's the nature sometimes of the legal process.

 We have a purpose in asking the question.

And I know -- I'm sure that if I were sitting

where you are sitting, I would want to make perhaps the same rejoinder.

But if you would just answer the question without -- without any added embellishment on your part?

- A. You are really asking me if I have a prejudice against Mr. Manson; isn't that the question?
- No. No, I am not. I am asking you just to answer
 and I hope that you won't hold it against us, for asking --
 - A I am holding nothing against you (laughing).
- All right. My question is -- and I'll ask you this: Directing your attention to everything that you've heard concerning Mr. Manson, you certainly intend -- you would want to, because of the Court's instructions -- to put aside what you heard; right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- All right. Now, my question is: You don't know, as a matter of fact, that you can, in fact, put that -- those matters aside, that you've heard, in connection with whatever may happen in this courtroom? You don't know that you can -- or do you? That's my question.
 - A Very well. I don't know that I can.
 - Q Is that a fair statement?
 - A That's a fair statement.

MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor, I have no further questions.

And 1073, Subsection 2, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court will grant the challenge. The Court believes that --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I would like to have the 1 opportunity to question Miss Wallace, please. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 4 PAULINE WALLACE 5 BY MR. MANZELLA: 6 Miss Wallace, do you understand that you are not 7 required to forget anything that you may know about Mr. Manson 8 MR. KANAREK: Well, I'll object -ģ BY MR. MANZELLA: -- in --Q 10 MR. KANAREK: -- to that. I'm sorry, He hasn't finished 11 the question, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Go whead. 13 BY MR. MANZELLA: (Continuing) -- if you sit as a 14 juror in this case and deliberate as a juror in this case? 35 MR, KANAREK: I'm sorry. May I -- I'm sorry if I 16 interrupted. I don't think the --17 THE COURT: Well, the question may be unclear. I think 18 you should distinguish between forgetting and setting aside 19 anything that may be in the juror's mind at this time. 20 MR. MANZELLA: Thank you. 21 Miss Wallace, by "forgetting," --THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 23 BY MR. MANZELLA: By "forgetting," I mean to not Q 24 remember, which is what most of us mean by the word "forget." 25 That is ---26 Very well. I could do that. 27 Now, by putting aside, we mean something other 28

than forgetting. You are not required, when you are required to put aside something, you are not required to forget it.

That is, not remember it.

Um-hmm.

6b-1

2

Í

4

5,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Q What you are required to do, in essence, is to make a decision without regard to what you may remember, or what you may have heard, seen or read about this case or any other case involving Mr. Manson.

Do you understand that?

A Yes. And I felt that this is what I was to do In this, if I were on this case.

Q Well, you were absolutely correct, Miss Wallace.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, perhaps -- I don't think --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, if there is an objection --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor?

THE COURT: The Court believes, Mr. Manzella, that your statement of that nature is not a proper one. As the Court indicated earlier, the Court would not like to have comments from counsel in response to the jurors' enswers, unless it's called for. And the Court strikes that statement.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I believe, inadvertently, some potential jurors have entered the courtroom.

THE COURT: Yes, they have come in now.

MR.MANZELLA: Do you want me to cease questioning for the moment?

THE COURT: Yes, for the moment.

MR. MANZELIA: Thank you,

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen -- oh, this includes a new group?

THE BAILIFF: Yes. This is some of our panel and the combined group, sir, filling in now.

THE COURT: All right. Ladles and gentlemen, those of

you who are prospective jurors in this matter, the Court is going to excuse you until 2:00 o'clock. You are excused at this time until 2:00 o'clock.

We are in recess until then.

I will admonish you that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you about this case, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror in the matter.

I'll see you all at 2:00 o'clock.

Just one moment, now, Mrs. Wallace.

At 2:00 o'clock, will you return, then, and be in your place in the box?

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you are to return to Department 107 at 2:00 o'clock. Department 107 at 2:00 o'clock.

JUROR NO. 3: And I am to come here?

THE COURT: Yes, you should be here.

(Whereupon, at 12:05 o'clock p.m. an adjournment was taken until 2:00 o'clock p.m. of the same day, Thursday, June 24, 1971.)

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22: 23

24

25 26

27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 1971 2:30 P.M.

THE COURT: Case of People versus Manson.

The record will show that Miss Wallace is in the

box.

Mr. Manson is present with Mr. Kanarek, and we're ready to proceed.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Miss Wallace, I just have a few more questions that I'd like to ask you.

Do you understand that when the Court asks if you can put aside what you have read, seen or heard in the news media, it is not asking you if you can forget what you have read, seen or heard in the news media?

- Yes, I understand that.
- Now, do you understand that by the words "put aside." we mean in part that you consider the evidence that you hear at this trial without considering anything you've read, seen or heard in the news media?
 - That's the way I feel about this.
- You understand that by "put aside," we mean in part that you determine the guilt or innocence of this defendant based on what you have heard in this courtroom and what you've considered of the avidence you've heard in this courtroom, without considering what you've read, seen or heard

8 9

. to grant the challenge when you finished your conversation with her, when you finished your questioning of --

DEFENDANT MANSON: If you move back up, you'll fall off.

THE COURT: Mr. -- pardon -- you're going to have to be quiet, now, Mr. Manson.

DEFENDANT MANSON: If you move back up, you'll fall off it.

any further questions, the Court will permit it. At this time I believe she is of a mind that she can set aside -- she may not be able to forget what she may have heard, seen or read in the media, but she can set it aside, in the Court's opinion, and will set it aside for the purpose of trying the issues in this case.

Go ahead.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Yes, now, Miss Wallace, if it comes to pass in this court that there is brought before you the very matters that you already know, in deciding matters in this court, right now -- you say that this is a separate case, but in pursuance of this case it may well be that these very things -- for instance, the convictions that you know about, the results that you know about, the death sentence that you know about -- all of that may be brought before you in this case, the very matters that you already know.

Now, is your state of mind such that you will not

think of the material that you already know? Yes, Mr. Kanarek, I feel that's so. 13. 19.

8-1

б

. .

Q And when you say you feel that's so --

A All right. Maybe that's a wrong word to use.

Yes, that is so. Leave out the word "I feel." That must be a colloquialism, as far as I am concerned.

Q Now --

A May I just say this? But I feel that, as a juror, I would be as fair as possible. I am not in this jury box to be unfair. And I don't feel that I am judging Mr. Manson on the Manson and Tate trial.

I never felt that when I came into this jury box.

This is another trial. I -- this is a trial to see whether or not Shorty Shea has disappeared or is dead, is it not?

Q Well --

A And that someone in -- at the beach was murdered. I have not made up my mind that Mr. Manson killed either one, or that the other one, in the desert, is -- was killed by Mr. Manson.

I haven't made up my mind to that opinion. And as far as I am concerned, the Manson case is over. This is another case.

Now, if I can't convince you of that, then perhaps I'd better not be on the jury.

I would like very much to be on a jury. I happen to be a person who feels that that's part of a citizen's responsibility.

Q Well, Miss Wallace, the point I am trying to get at in these discussions, which -- that we are talking about -- you are certainly not a defendant. There -- we -- this is

8-2

.3

o

· 23

what the -- the procedure that the Legislature takes for -- in connection with this interrogation.

And I am saying to you -- I am saying to you -asking you, in fact, because this is the nature of the legal
process -- that there may come to pass, in this very courtroom,
matters that you already have heard will be presented to you,
and that you have read in the newspapers; you've seen things
on television.

The evidence that comes before you in the courtroom may be different, pertaining to these matters. But they will be the same matters.

For instance, on the matter of the death sentence, the matter of the convictions will be brought most likely to you. And so the question is -- and this is no reflection upon you, because we are all flesh and blood --

A Well, I can hardly think that it would be a reflection on me, Mr. Kanarek.

Q Well --

A I have a feeling you and I aren't on the same beam.

Q Well, the fact is that all -- the whole purpose here is to determine your state of mind. And in asking these questions, I do it with the greatest of respect for you.

And I think that -- that when you think of what I am speaking of -- namely, that these very same matters that you've read about in the newspapers, even though this is a different case, these same matters will be brought to your attention; that is the question.

Ť.

13, :

8a fls.

Will it be possible for you to forget that which you read in the newspapers pertaining to the same matters that are going to be brought to your attention here?

A It will be possible for me to forget, Mr. Kanarek.

DEFENDANT MANSON: She changed her mind. Ask her if
she changed her mind from the last question?

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, may I ask you this, then, Miss Wallace? Before the noon hour, I asked you -- I said, "You certainly intend to put aside matters that you've already heard. You certainly intend to." And we asked you: "If you -- not having had that experience, whether you knew for sure?"

- And you indicated that you didn't know for sure that you could put it aside.

Do you remember answering that way?

A If I -- I did not answer it in an uncertain way, Mr. Kanarek. I feel, too, that some of your questions -- you've practically putting words in my mouth.

Now, I feel that I have made myself clear as to this.

14	ŀ
	2
	3
¥	4
	5
₹.	6
	7
	8
	⁹
	10
	n,
	12
,	13
<u>~</u>	14
	15
	16
A)r	17
	18
	, 10:

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Q.	Now,	Miss Wal	lace, in	order	to	in order	to	,
you	say you	have	a desire	to be o	n this	jury;	right?		

- l. Not on this jury. On a jury.
- I see.

I don't care whether it's this jury or another jury. I happen to be a good citizen, and I would like to be on a jury.

Now, I don't -- it isn't easy for me to come up from Long Beach on a bus, and transfer on Main Street, and go home on a bus. And last night, it was quarter of 7:00 when I got home.

I'm not doing this for pleasure. I tell you that I would like to be a good citizen and serve on a jury. Now, if that's asking too much, of a retired person, then excuse me. There are other cases.

I feel there's too much feeling between Mr. Kanarek and me, for me to be a good juror on this case. BY THE COURT:

> Use the microphone; will you? Thank you, Miss Wallace.

> > Now, I didn't hear what you --

I said: I feel that there must be a personality clash between Mr. Kanarek and me; and since there is, I don't feel that I would be a good juror on this case.

- Now, do you think that you could overcome whatever prejudice you might have --
 - Oh, I could overcome it.
 - -- that you could overcome whatever prejudice you Q.

ŀ

9.

15.

19:

 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. But I do respectfully -I believe that, under 17-- under 1073, Subsection 2, your
Honor, I believe that the lady should be excused.

MR. MANZELLA: The People oppose the motion, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: She indicated this morning that she did not know whether she could follow through on that intent. That was before this afternoon. I would refer your Honor to the transcript of this morning.

THE COURT: Yes, I remember that,

JURGR NO. 3: Was that just a poor choice of words? Or was it when Mr. Kanarek asked me if what I had heard on television or in the paper had influenced me in my feeling toward Mr. Manson?

I've -- I consider that it's an insult to my intelligence, to ask me a question as to whether or not what I had heard on television or radio or paper had influenced me at all in my -- what I thought about Mr. Manson.

But as I said then, that's the other trial. It's not this trial.

THE COURT: Well, the Court believes that you are sincere and honest, and there may have been some confusion that was rendered by the nature of the questioning, that led me to believe otherwise.

I was about to grant the challenge, but the Court now finds that you do have the capability of setting aside anything you may have learned concerning the previous case and Mr. Manson; that you will set aside whatever you may remember

```
concerning those other cases or concerning this case.
1
2
                    Will you do that?
             JUROR NO. 4:
3
                             Yes, sir, I can do that.
5,
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19-
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1 THE COURT: And therefore, the Court denies the challenge. 3 Do not talk amongst your fellow jurors or permit 4 anyone to talk to you about what has occurred here, while you 5 separate, being questioned separately from the other jurors. 6 You may now retire to the assembly room, and we'll 7 ask Mr. Scott to come in. Βĸ JUROR NO. 3: Thank you, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And after that, Mr. Waggoner. 10 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 11 12 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 13 OF KLEPPER M. SCOTT 14 BY THE COURT: 15 Mr. Scott, prior to coming into this courtroom, Q. 16 have you ever heard the name or read or seen the name of Charles Manson? 18 Yes. 19 And was that in connection with the so-called 20 Sharon Tate-La Bianca homicide cases? 21 Yes, sir. 22 Did you follow that court case, that case that 23 took place over the last year or so? 24 Not closely, but you couldn't avoid some. 25 Did you read newspaper articles and see 26 television newscasts, hear the radio in connection with that 27 case? 28 A Yes.

Ì		ı
1	Q Do you know the outcome of the case?	
2	A Partially.	İ
3.	Q What is your best recollection of what the outcome	
4	of the case was?	
5	A I think they were all found guilty and sentenced	
<u>,</u> 6	to death.	
7	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?	
8	A I've heard that name, yes, sir.	
9	Q What does that name mean to you?	
10	A That she was one of the women in the case.	ŀ
11	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea? Or had you heard	
12	it before, before the Court told you about this indictment?	
13	A I'd heard it on the radio, TV.	
14	Q What had you heard?	
15	A Well, that he was missing, I think.	
16	9. Had you heard the name Steven Grogan or Bruce	ŀ
17	Davis?	
18	A. Those two names aren't familiar.	1
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1

26

27

28

Q Had you heard, seen or read the name Mary unner?

A No, I don't think so.

Q Bobby Beausoleil?

A . Hmm, that name sounds familiar, but I can't connect it with anything.

Q Gary Hinman?

A There again, it sounds familiar, but I don't remember what the connection is.

Q Did you discuss that, Mr. Manson's previous trial with your friends, relatives or co-workers?

A On occasions, slightly.

Q Do you know the name Spahn Ranch?

A I've heard of that name.

Q If you were instructed to set aside whatever you may have heard, seen or read, and whatever opinion you may have formed from what you may have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson or this case, or the Tate-La Bianca case and to decide all of the issues that are presented to you in this case independent of such matter that you had heard, seen or read, could you do that?

A I don't know for sure. It would be hard.

Q The Court realizes it would be hard and you would have to be objective and set aside whatever you may have heard, seen or read over a period of many months in the newspaper, television, radio.

I'm simply asking you whether you can do that and be able -- thus be able to decide in this case from the

. 2

ş

1

5

б 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 evidence that's presented from this witness stand and the instructions of law as I shall state it to you?

A That I am not sure of. I think I could, but I don't know. I have not tried to completely set aside something of this importance before, so I don't know now for sure.

THE COURT: Any questions?

MR. KANAREK: No questions, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: No questions.

MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2.

MR. MANZELLA: No opposition from the People, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court will grant the defendant the challenge. The Court believes that Mr, Scott does not meet the test set out in 1076 that requires that he can and will set aside what he may have heard, seen or read or any opinion that he may have heard, seen or read and be fair and impartial. Therefore, the Court grants the challenge.

Thank you, Mr. Scott.

Do you have time left?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

THE COURT: Room 253, then, forthwith.

You can leave that microphone right there.

Mr. Waggoner or Mr. Rosales. I can't remember

which.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, before that other juror gets here, I would ask your Honor in connection with Mrs. Wallace -THE COURT: Miss Wallace.

MR. KANAREK: -- it is obvious she wants to be a juror. Her self-serving statements that she can -- I think, your Honor, that's circumstantial evidence in connection with her state of mind, that she is determined -- to make statements -- she'll say anything to get on this jury, your Honor.

I just went to make sure no juror comes in the room while I am speaking.

But I would ask your Honor to --

THE COURT: Well, the Court has already made a judgment in that case and the Court believes that the woman is intelligent enough to do what she said she'll do. If I didn't think she'll do that, and make a sincere effort to do it, and could do it, I'll eliminate her from the jury. But I think she can do it.

DEFENDANT MANSON: I could think that, too.

You could unthink it just as well as you could think it.

JACK WAGGONER, JR.

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Waggoner.
- A Waggoner.
- Q Mr. Waggoner, we want to know whether you had ever heard, seen or read the name Charles Manson before you ever came to this courtroom?
- A Yes, indeed, I have heard and seen it on television.
 Read about it in the newspapers, yes.
 - Q Heard it over the radio newscasts?

9-4	1	A	Yes.
	2	Q	Was that in connection with the Tate-La Bianca
	3	homicides?	
,	4	A	Yes.
	5	ą	And did you follow that trial closely?
*	6	A A	No.
•	7	Q.	You did subscribe to a paper during the year
	8	1970?	
	9	Ą	Los Angeles Times, Pomona Progress-Bulletin.
	10	Q.	They each carried stories concerning same?
9a fls.	11	A,	Yes
•	12	d E	
	13		
	14	•	
) .	15		
	16		
r	17		
	18.		
	19	•	
•	20	·	
,	21	`	
	22		
	23 24		·
	25	; ;	
.49	. 26 ·		
	27	1	
.	28		

Did you regularly look at any television news-1 casts or listen to radio newscasts? 2 A Not regularly. I usually turn on Steve Allen. I 3 get tired of listening to the newscasts. Pardon me. 4 Did you talk over this case. Mr. Manson's case with your family or friends or co-workers? б 7 Uh, from time to time, yes, with both family and co-workers. 8 Do you know the name Susan Atkins? Q g Å I've heard of it. 10 Q ... Do you know in what connection? 11 Uh, as I surmise, she is a young lady who is 12 associated with Mr. Manson and is involved in the trial. 13 Do you know the name Steven Grogan? Q 14 No, I do not. A 15 Bruce Davis? . Q. 16. A 17 No. 18 Q . Gary Himman before you came into this? A I've heard that last name. 19 20 Before you came into this courtroom? Q 21 À Hinman, uh, yes, I have, somewhere. I don't 22 remember where. 23 Does it ring a bell to say "musician Gary Hinman"? Q 24. Is that --25 I vaguely remember a musician and I vaguely 26 remember something of -- coming to grief somehow or other, but it is so vague I'd have to read up on it. 28 How about the name "stuntman," or the name

9a-1

"Shorty Shea" description? 7. A It does not ring a bell. 9 Mary Brunner? Ċ. 3 I've heard the name, but I'm not familiar. A Bobby Beausoleil? Q Å Uh. blank. 6 The term "Manson Family"? Q. Uh, that refers to Mr. Manson and people associated 8 with him that lived with him in his commune or whatever you 9 would call it. 10 Spahn Ranch? Q 11 The Spahn Ranch? A 12 Yes, I've heard of that. 13 In what connection? Q 14 I vaguely recollect that that's where the commune A 15 Was. 16 During the course of that trial do you remember 17 reading or hearing the statement by President -- made by 18 President Nixon pertaining to the guilt of Mr. Manson and the 19 Tate-La Bianca case? 20 I remember hearing of that, and also the 21 reprimands to him in the newspapers and so forth. 22 Have you formed any opinion concerning the guilt 23 of Mr. Manson at that time? 24 I hadn't studied enough at that time. Of 25 course, you form opinions continually on everything, but as 26 to how valid that opinion would be in my mind, I would not be 27 28 too sure. You always form opinions.

1	Did you learn of the outcome of the Tate-Lu
2	Bianca case?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	? What's your best knowledge of it?
5	A Uh, if I recollect it, he and the young ladies
6	I forget which ones were found guilty of murder, I
7:	believe, in the first degree. But, then, again, I'm vague
8	about it.
9	In the penalty phase of the trial they were
10	condemned to death.
11	2 Do you know how many Counts of murder there were?
12	A X do not.
13	O Now, if you were instructed by this Court to set
14	aside whatever opinion you may have formed from what you may
15	have heard, seen on read concerning Mr. Manson or the Tata-
16	La Bianca case, or this case, and decide all the issues
17	submitted to you independent of such patters, could you
18	follow that instruction?
19	A I could try.
20	Q Well, trying would not be enough, because you
21	would not be qualified if you simply tried and failed.
22	A Depends on how close things come and if things
23 .	come very close you have a tug of war in your wind and as
24	to how what the outcome of that is going to be is difficult
25	to say. That's what I really mean there.
26	
27	
90	·

9b £ls.

15 ·

 If it seems very clear-cut to me, then, it is simple and I don't know if it is going to be clear-cut or not.

opinions you may have formed and whether you will -- and let's assume that it is an extremely close case in one or the other of the counts or both. If it is a close case, and something that you may have heard, seen or read pops up or appears in your memory, are you saying to me that you are not sure that you can set aside that previously-learned information?

Mell, your instructions would certainly come to mind and my conscience would be awakened at that time, and I can say, I'll do my best there. If it is a very close case, hard to tell what things are lurking within ourselves, really.

I could be dishonest and say, well, I am a physicist or a scientist and one of my jobs is to look at evidence just objectively and come to conclusions about it.

- Of course, that's about the attitude that the Court is asking you to assume in its instruction to you.
- A. Right, but this involves inanimate things as far as most -- my past experience in physics are concerned.

 Emotions don't come into play in regard to physics, for instance, or science.
- Q So at this stage you are uncertain about whether you can exhibit that same emotional control and eliminate those things?
- I am not absolutely. Certainly, I can say I think
 I could, but I am not absolutely certain. But I can say I think
 I could, to be absolutely honest with you. I can try,

definitely try. 1 THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek. 2 MR. KANAREK; I have no questions, your Honor. 3 MR. MANZELLA: No questions, your Honor. THE COURT: No challenge from either side? 5 I would like to approach the bench, your MR. KANAREK: б 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right, you may. (Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench g among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective 10 \mathbf{H} juror, which was not reported:) 12 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective 13 14 juror:) THE COURT: No questions from either side? 15 MR. KANAREK: No questions, your Honor. 16 17 MR. MANZELLA: No. your Honor, 18 BY THE COURT: Mr. Waggoner, you realize that should you allow 19 20. anything of that nature that you have learned from any of the 21 media to remain in your mind in deciding any issue in this 22 case, that it would be contra to your oath as a juror? 23 Ä. Yes. 24 And it would be extremely unfair to the defendant? 25 Ã. Of course. 26 Well, I want you to reflect on that and indicate 27 to me again whether or not you are firmly convinced that you 28 can set aside what you may have heard, seen or read and I'll

question you about it at a later time.

Now, would you join the ot

Now, would you join the other jurors, again, Mr. Waggoner, and the Court instructs you that you are not to converse with them about what occurred here in the courtroom.

JUROR NO. 5: Right,

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Waggoner.

THE COURT: The next juror, Mr. Rosales.

MR, KANAREK: It is Dr. Waggoner?

JUROR NO. 5: Either way.

MR. KANAREK: Either way, thank you.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, it is my understanding that Mr. Kanarek had a continuing objection on the grounds of 1073, Subdivision 2 of the jurors who had any knowledge of the case. In which case, the challenge has been made to Mr. Waggoner.

THE COURT: That's true.

MR. MANZELLA: If that challenge is being withdrawn as to that particular prospective juror, I think that ought to be clear.

THE COURT: Is it?

D

23

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

24. 25

26

27

28

26.

 mentally impossible.

And they can't, It's physically impossible,

Button vs. the United States and countless other

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, that's the point.

THE COURT: Do you wish to hold your argument for just a moment?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes. There's another prospective juror.

THE COURT: Mr. Rosales, would you just step outside,
just outside the door, if you would, please?

MR. KANAREK: This, your Honor -- this, I would like to point out to the Court.

As your Honor can recollect, we accepted the first 12 jurors in the box, because we felt that they didn't have knowledge of the case. But on the basis that Mr. Manson wants to get out of custody — he wants to get out of jail; he wants to go to the desert, as he puts it, and live a free life, as he should be entitled to — and the fact of the matter is, on a relative basis, we are in this kind of a situation:

This man is a scientist. He's on a -- on a comparable basis, he is so much more fair than this last lady, who will say anything to stay on this jury, that we are on the horns of a dilemma.

We -- on an absolute basis, the man has knowledge, he has been exposed to publicity. But every person coming into this box has. And the way your Honor is ruling, you're allowing them to decide whether they want to be on this jury or not, by -- by them -- by the individual juror merely regurgitating, "I will; I can put it aside."

Ĭ

, 2

••

. 20

·25.

cases indicate that, indicate that some things are beyond human capacity.

And so what we are doing, is, we are getting rid of people that may be more fair by -- just by the very fact of their candor. And that's the horns of the dilemma that I am in.

I just want the record to reveal that: We wish to get to trial in this case. We want Mr. Manson exonerated, and get him out of the horrendous type of custody he's in.

And so these -- this is the reasoning behind it.

THE COURT: Well, let's pinpoint it, now. Let's ask you -- the Court does ask you whether or not you wish to withdraw your objection to Mr. -- to withdraw your challenge as to Mr. Waggoner, except for the -- yes, that's it.

Do you wish to withdraw your challenge as to Mr. Waggoner?

MR. KANAREK: Well, I am compelled, because I want to get as fair a jury as possible -- I don't believe any jury in these United States, in Southern California -- especially right here in Los Angeles, where these -- where the Tate-La Bianca trial occurred -- I don't believe we'll -- it is possible to get a jury that is within the framework and within the constitutional guarantees.

Sheppard vs. Maxwell, Rideau vs. Louisiana, the Billie Sol Estes cases, they give us guidelines from the United States Supreme Court. It is impossible to get a fair jury.

But since we -- we are before the Court, and we are

٦.

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

14

15

16

proceeding, we have -- we have people who -- who are -- people like this gentleman, and I don't -- and since we are -- we are picking a jury here, and we -- we have no alternative, we -- our position is, with Mr. Waggoner, that we have no questions to ask him.

I have no questions to ask him. That's my position, your Honor,

THE COURT: Well, perhaps you would want to withdraw your standing -- your standing challenge for cause, and make it each time; and when you do challenge for cause, I will take it that the challenges are made on the same grounds.

In other words, just state your challenge for cause each time, without repeating the standing challenge for cause, and then you need not restate your grounds.

Do you want to do that?

MR. KANAREK: Well --

THE COURT: Then you need not state that there is a challenge as to each juror.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I do feel -- and I want this record to reflect that, from our viewpoint, that every one of these prospective jurors, by -- as a matter of law, it is impossible -- and your Honor can take judicial notice of the proceedings --

10a

25 26

27

10g-1

. 2

ľ

3

4 5

6

7

8 '

.9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1,7

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27 . 28 THE COURT: All right. Now, you need not argue that again.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: Because I think you just stated your position, that anyone who has heard of Mr. Manson's conviction in the previous case, anyone who knows of his involvement in the previous case, is disqualified, in your opinion, by reason of the cases that you've cited.

You need not state those grounds again. And if you wish to, rather than having a standing challenge, you may state your challenge for cause each time. And at this time, if you wish to have Mr. Waggoner remain on, without a challenge -- without my overruling your challenge -- then you may do so -- or, whatever you wish in respect to Mr. Waggoner.

MR. KANAREK: My position is: I have no questions to ask him, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Then your standing challenge remains as to him?

MR. KANAREK: Well, no. I -- in view of your Honor's -THE COURT: Then do you withdraw your challenge as to
him, except --

MR. KANAREK: Yes. It's my position -- my position is this: It's a violation of due process and equal protection; but I have no alternative, because of the fact that Mr. Manson's not on bail; he's in custody. We want to proceed and get him exonerated.

THE COURT: So you are not challenging Mr. Waggoner?

3.

•

MR. KANAREK: Hmmm -- in the sense that I am saying he -it's a relative thing. It's a comparable thing. It's -- and
so I am challenging. I am challenging him specifically for
the reasons enunciated. That challenge by us, however, does
not mean that he is not a better juror than many. And since
we have to have jurors, it's a matter of choice, and it's
a matter of the lesser of two evils, that kind of thing.

And I want this record to reflect that this is the only reason. Because otherwise, we get prosecution-oriented, death-oriented people --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. KANAREK: -- and it --

THE COURT: -- without argument, would you simply tell me what your --

MR. KANAREK: Well, I want the record to reflect why. I think it's most important. In any event, Mr. Manson is being denied a fair trial.

THE COURT: All right. Then I take it there's no challenge for cause against -- as to Mr. Waggoner from either side. And we'll go on with the questioning of Mr. Rosales.

Mr. Rosales, the next person will be --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, would the Court consider removing his permission to have a continuing challenge for cause? Because I'm not clear that the challenge for cause has been removed as to Mr. Waggoner.

THE COURT: Let's do that at -- why don't you make your challenge as to each one? But you need not restate your grounds, unless you have some unique ground, as to somebody

1 unusual? You need not restate your ground, but just state 2 your challenge, if there is a challenge. 3 4 LEONARD C. ROSALES 5 BY THE COURT: 6 Q Mr. Rosales? 7 À Yes. 8 We want to know what your knowledge of Mr. Manson Q. 9 and this case may be, from what you may have heard, seen or 10. read, via any of the publicity media, the news media. 11 You have heard of Mr. Manson before? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Was that in connection with the Tate case? 14 Yes. 15 Q. And did you follow that case as the prosecution 16 went on? 17 Δ Not very closely. I haven't really followed it 18 I just heard, you know, watching on television -that much. 19 Do you read a newspaper regularly? Q. 20 No, I don't. A 21 Do you listen to radio or watch a television Q. 22 newscast regularly? 23 Once in a while, when I get a chance to watch 24 But I haven't followed it that much. 25 Q During the year 1970, did you regularly read a 26 newspaper, watch television newscasts, or any radio newscast? 27 Not regularly, no. I just -- whenever I turned 28 it on, and it was there, I looked at it.

	1.	Q What was your main source of news concerning Mr.
	2	Manson and the Tate-La Bianca case?
	3	A TV. Television.
ţ	4	Q Television. And when would you generally look
,	5	a+ the newscast?
4,	6	A Usually at the 11:00 o'clock news.
	7	Q Pardon?
Ob fls.	.8	A The 11:00 o'clock news.
	9	·
	10	
•	H	
	12	
	13 `	
	14	
4	15 16	·
۵	17	
	18	<u>.</u>
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
•	26	
*	27	
,	28	
		· ·

1	Q All right. Did you discuss the case with your
2	family, friends, or coworkers?
3	A Just average talk, you know, just this and that
4	about it.
5	All right. Did you ever hear the name Mary
6	Brunner?
7	A Mary Brunner?
8	A Mary Brunner.
9	A Hmmm it doesn't ring a bell, no.
10	Q Bruce Davis?
11	A It sounds kind of familiar, but I couldn't be sure.
12	Q Steve Grogan?
13	A No, I don't think so.
14	Gary Hinman? Before you came into court, did you
15 ·	hear in this case, did you hear the name Gary Hinman?
16	A Before I came into this courtroom?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. Yes, I've heard of the name.
19	Q In what connection?
20	A. With the cases that I've heard on TV.
21	Q Did you read about it in the newspaper, or see it
22	on television?
23	A. I saw it on television.
24	And what did you read or hear about that name?
25	A Well, I just like I said, this is what they said
26	on television, about what happened to him. And that was about
27	it,
28	Q What do you remember of what they said happened to

, 1 ,	him?
2.	A Oh, I couldn't actually remember what it was.
3	Like I say, I didn't follow it that close. I just picked up
4.	bits and pieces of it; and that was about it.
5 .	Q How about the name Shorty Shea, or Stuntman Shorty
6	Shea?
7	A. The same way. Just bits and pieces.
8	Do you remember any facts about that name?
, ¹ 9	A Not not facts about it. Do you mean in
10	connection with —
. II	Q Well, that's probably a poor choice of words on my
12	part. Rather than facts, but news reports about that name?
13	Just the news about the Tate case; and this is
14	about it this altogether.
15	Q Tell me what you mean by that.
16	A Well, when they discussed it, about with
17	Hinman, and Shorty Shea; and that was about all I'd ever
18	catch. The name just sticks out, and this is about all I
19	hear about it.
20	Q Well
21	A . I'm not too great with names. I don't remember
22	names that easily, for any one to stick out.
23.	Q Do you remember reading the phrase or hearing the
24	phrase, "The Manson Family"?
25	A Uh yeah, Manson Family, correct.
26`;	Q What does that name mean to you?
27	A What does it mean to me?
28	Q. Yes.

1	A Just the Manson Family; that's all.
2	Q Well, is it a baseball team or a soccer team or
3	what?
. 4	A (Laughing.) Just some relatives, family named
5 ,	Manson.
-6	What? Relatives of Mr. Manson's?
7	A I don't know what relatives. You just said a
8	family name, and this is it.
9	4 Well, had you ever heard the term before I
10	mentioned it?
11	A The Manson Family?
12	Q Yes.
13	A Well, like I say, it's just a name just pops in
14	"Manson," And this is all I hear.
15	Like I say, I don't pay attention to it too much.
16	Q Do you know what the results of that trial, the
17	Tate-La Bianca case, were?
18	A Well, like I said, I didn't follow it. So
19 .	actually, I didn't know what the results were.
20	From looking at television or radio, just what
21	people said.
22	Q Well, from what people said, do you remember
23	whether Mr. Manson was convicted?
24	A I heard he was convicted, yes, sir.
25	Q Do you know whether the jury deliberated on the
26	death penalty or life imprisonment? And if so, what their
27	verdict was in that respect?
28.	A Well. I heard that they we that the wordiet was the

ľ death penalty. That's what I heard, via people. 2 Did you, during the course of the case, hear about 3 Mr. Nixon. the President of the United States, making some statement? 4. 5 No. I didn't. If I were to instruct you, if you were chosen as a 6 7 juror, and I were to instruct you that you were to set aside whatever opinion you may have formed, from what you may have 9 heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson, whether in the Tate-10 La Bianca case or in this case, and that you were to decide 11 all issues that might be presented to you independently of 12 such matter, and be fair and impartial in the case, could you 13 and would you follow that instruction? 14 Sure. 15 You feel you actually could set aside whatever you 16 may have learned? 17 Of course. Like I couldn't judge what he had 18 done before, because I didn't follow it. 39 And the next question is: Will you do that? 20 Do you mean on the evidence, and whatever --21 No. 22 -- instructions you give? 23 No. The first question is: Can you set aside what you may have learned? 25 -Oh, yes, sure. 26 And the next question is: Will you set it aside? Yes. 28 Q. And be fair and impartial?

A. Sure. THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Mr. Resales, you certainly intend -- your intent is to put aside everything that you've already heard concerning the Tate-La Bianca case and Mr. Manson?
 - A I will.
 - Q Is that -- that's your intent; right?
 - A Yes, that's what I will do.

	Q	But	; you	don't	know	for	sure	that	you	will	be
able	to d	o it,	đo y	ou?							

- A I know I will, because I couldn't judge it before.
- Q Pardon?
- A I couldn't judge it because I didn't have the facts. I couldn't do anything before.
- Q What we're talking about is what you know and what you've heard.
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q And you've heard about the convictions, right?
 - A Uh-huh.
 - And you know what happened, what the penalty --
 - A Well, I heard what it was.
 - Q What did you hear that it was?
 - A It was the death penalty. That's what I heard.
- Now, the questions -- you certainly -- you want to, and you hope to, and you intend to put aside everything you've heard, right?
 - A Everything I've heard, yes.
- Q But do you know for sure -- let me put it this way: Not having heard the evidence in this case, you don't know whether or not something that happens in this trial

into focus in your mind things that you have levision and TV and elsewhere outside of the

설 및

18

· 19

20

21

.22

Well, would bring it into my mind, but I wouldn't I would go by what the evidence is here.
You would try, certainly, to these matters that

you've said would bring it into your mind, you certainly 11-2 1 would try not to use that in connection with this case? 2 A Everybody tries to do everything. Pardon? Q: A Everybody tries to do everything. Q Right, you would try? 6 Ä Naturally. You would do every bit of power that you had to 8 Q. do it, right? 9 Sure. \mathbf{A} 10 11 ' But not ever having to experience that, you don't 12` know for sure you could? Well, I've never been in a trial, if that's what 13 you mean. 14 You don't know for sure you could or you couldn't? 15 It is not really -- not having that experience, you don't 16 17 know that in fact you could put it aside? 18 Well, like I say, I would try my best to put it 19 aside because I can't go on what happened before, because I 20 haven't had that much information on it. 21 You could try. You don't know for sure you 22 could; is that a fair statement? I'll try the best I can. lla fis. Right. Q But as you've indicated very honestly to us, 25 not having experience, you don't know for sure that in fact 26 27 you could do this? 28 A If wm

11-3

2

3

4 5

6

7

Ķ

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me, Mr. Rosales.

I object to the question on the ground it is argumentative because Mr. Kanarek added at the beginning of the question "not having experience before." That makes the question argumentative, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I don't see that, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: It does. There are many reasons why a person could or could not do it without having the experience before.

MR. KANAREK: The juror is being very honest and candid.

MR. MANZELLA: That doesn't make the question any less argumentative.

MR. KANAREK: The question, I don't believe, is -THE COURT: The question is somewhat argumentative in
form, however, I'll permit it in view of the questions that
preceded it.

You may answer the question.

JUROR NO. 6: Ask the question again, please.

MR. KANAREK: May it be read so that --

THE COURT: Yes.

(Whereupon the pending question was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Right.

"But as you've indicated very honestly to us, not having experience, you don't know for sure that in fact you could do this?")

JUROR NO. 6: Well, I'm not positive about anything. I just know that I will do my best to do -- use what evidence

13

. 12

14 15

. 16[°]

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

there is to base my own opinions or verdict or whatever goes with it, only on what's in this case, not what's before it.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, thank you.

You may examine.

MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions.

MR. KANAREK: Well, 1073, Subsection 2, based upon our ground rules, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: No opposition from the People, your Honor. THE COURT: All right, the Court will excuse you. Mr. Roseles.

The test is whether you could set aside what you may have heard, seen or read and be fair and impartial in spite of it, whether you would do that. And the Court must be definite and certain in its mind and you must be likewise that you could do that, and the Court does grant the challenge.

Accordingly, the Court does excuse you and thanks you for being here. Thanks very much.

Room 253 at -- let's see, tomorrow would be satisfactory. Tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

JUROR NO. 6: Tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock, jury assembly room?

THE COURT: Tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock, jury assembly room.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Mr. Rosales.

THE COURT: Is your time over?

JURUR NO. 6: No.

THE COURT: Want to bring the next one in?

1.1b

1 .

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10.

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20:

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. He's on his way in.

JUROR NO. 7: Sir, could I make a personal point I forgot to mention before?

THE COURT: Well, maybe we'll get to it.

ANTHONY CHIERICHETTI

BY THE COURT:

- Q Yes.
- A Uh, I have --
- Q Your name again?
- A Anthony Chierichetti.
- Q Go ahead, Mr. Chierichetti.

A I had set up a dental -- a couple of appointments after the 30-day period but I thought I would be on, and the dentist has told me I should take care of this within a three-month period, which this was the end of it. I just thought I'd mention it, if it makes any difference.

- Q Well, the Court doesn't intend to sequester you, and you'll be available in the late afternoon.
 - A That's fine.
- Q Maybe the doctor or dentist could accommodate you in the late afternoon.

I can understand that's a problem, though.

- A I hadn't thought of that. That's true. We could probably work it out.
 - o Mr. --

A The other thing I wanted to mention, I have to mention it, is my vacation. We have tickets and so on to

THE COURT: The defendant is present with his counsel, 12-1 Ì the record should show. 2 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF VIRGINIA STOKES BY THE COURT: 6 Mrs. Stokes, have you previously heard the name 7 Charles Manson? Heard, seen or read it? Я Yes, your Honor. Ä, 9 And was that in connection with another case D. 10 other than this case? 11 Yes, your Honor. 12 Have you ever heard anything -- heard, seen or read 13 anything about this case? 14 No, your Honor. 15 As to Mr. Manson, was it in connection with the 16 Tate-La Bianca case that you heard, saw or read his name? 17 Yes. A. 18 And did you follow that case in the newspapers, 19 on television, or on the radio? 20 No, I did not. My husband read the headlines to 21 me in the evenings. .22 I see. Did you indeed discuss the case? Q. 23 Not much. 24 Well, did you discuss it -- did he read the headlines 25 to you nearly every evening? 26 A. Yes. About every evening. 27

Q.

28

And then did a discussion follow, or did you and he

ı	talk about	it a little?
2 .	A .	A little bit, very little bit.
3	0.3	Did you hear ever hear the President of the
4	United Stat	es make some comment about Mr. Manson's guilt during
5	the course	of that trial?
6	. A.	No, I never heard that.
7	Ω	Did you ever hear the name Susan Atkins?
8	λ.	Yes, I have.
9	9.	And in what connection do you know that name?
10	; A	In connection with Mr. Manson's case.
11	Q	What do you know about Susan Atkina?
12	A , ,	Mainly that she cut her hair off, and that I
13	think she t	urned State's evidence; I'm not sure about that.
14	Q.	How about Steven Grogan and Bruce Davis? Have
15	you ever he	ard those names before you came into court?
1 6	A.	No, sir.
17	Q.	Gary Hinman? Have you heard
18.	A.	Yes.
19	Ģ.	that name?
20	λ.	Yes, I have.
21	Q	What do you know about that name? Or what do you
22	A.	No, I don't he's the musician who was found
23	murdered.	
24	۵	Yes. What else do you have you heard, seen
25	or read abou	ut that name?
26	λ.	Nothing else.
27	Q.	Did you read any account of his alleged murder?
28	Í.	No, sir.

1	Q.	How about the name Shea? Shorty Shea? Stuntman
2	Shorty Sheal	
3 ;	A	No, sir, it means nothing to me.
4	۵,	It doesn't mean anything to you.
5	· *	Bobby Beausoleil?
6		Nothing
7	, Q	Mary Brunner?
8	A	No.
9	Q.	Spahn Ranch?
10	A	Yes. I've heard that name before.
11	. .	What does that mean to you?
12.	A	I can't seem to recall anything about it. It was
13.	one of the p	places in connection with Mr. Manson's
14	ø	The Manson Family? Have you heard that phrase
15	before?	
16	A	Yes, I heard about the Manson Family.
17	A	What does that mean to you?
18		Well, it just seems to me that they're different
19	groups being	formed, and they have different philosophies.
20	It means ver	ry little to me.
21	,	I never read his philosophy or anything. My husband
.22	told me that	he could hypnotize people, and and different
23	things like	that.
24		But personally
25	Q.	Do you believe that?
26	*	Do I believe he can hypnotize people?
27	<u>p</u>	Yes.
28	A.	I don't know.

1	Q In view of all that you've heard about Mr. Manson,
2	and the Tate-La Bianca case, and possibly this case you
3	may remember something as the trial goes along, in connection
4	with this case do you feel that you can set aside everything
5	you may have heard, seen or read about him?
6	And act fairly and impartially as a juror in this
7	case, without regard to those things that you may have heard,
8,	seen or read?
9	A Yes, your Honor.
0	Q Now, you've never done that before in a trial
1	similar to this, have you?
2	A. No.
3	Q Or in any case?
4.	L No.
5	Q But nevertheless, you believe that you can?
6.	A Yes.
7	Q And will you do that?
8	A Yes, I will, to the very best of my ability.
.9	Are you at all doubtful about your ability to set
.0	aside all of that pretrial publicity, and be fair and impartial
T :	to Mr. Manson?
2	A No, I'm not a bit doubtful about it, because I
23	know very little about it.
24	Q Well, if certain things should come to your
25	memory during the course of the trial, will you follow the
26	Court's instructions and disregard those things, and make your
27	decision independently of those items of news that that you

may remember?

	i i	
12a-1	1	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
	2	BY MR. KANAREK:
,	3,	Now, Mrs. Stokes, is it a fair statement that you
•	4	know of what the result was in the Tate-La Bianca case?
·	5	A Yes, sir.
*	6	What do you know the result to have been?
	7	A Well, perhaps I said, "Yes, sir," too soon. I'm
	8	not sure about it. But I think that Mr. Manson was convicted
	9	in the first trial,
	10	Q Now and convicted of what?
	n	A Convicted of manslaughter.
	12	And what do you think that the sentence was?
	13	A I don't know.
	14	@ I mean, what was the penalty?
•	15	L I don't know.
	16	And how many different crimes was he convicted of,
÷	. 17	as far as you know?
	18 [.]	A I don't know.
	19	Q Have you ever heard of Sharon Tate?
	20	A Yes, I have.
٠	21	And what do you know, if anything, concerning
	22'	Sharon Tate?
	23	Only that she was one with three girls who shaved
	24	her head in protest - or something.
ų.	25	Q I see. And does the name Voityck Frykowski mean
•	26	anything to you?
	27	A No, sir.
_	28	A Am Shimat Palman

was not the one who did the actual killing, but he hypnotized people and told them to do the work.

And some of the girls had testified that they had stabbed Sharon Tate while she begged for her -- to let her baby live, and -- as I say, I know very little about it.

Q. Yes. And all that we are interested in is just finding out what your state of mind is. You recognize that.

And I assume that we are friends, I hope?

- A Yes.
- Q Right? All right. Now, then, would you tell me, what is your state of mind, as far as the responsibility -- as far as that Court was concerned, and that jury was concerned, of Mr. Manson?
- A Well, when I first heard about it, I just said to myself, "He's a nut."

13-1

ð

6.

And having heard it for some period of months, looking at your state of mind before you came into this courtroom, what was your thinking concerning Mr. Manson?

A I had no idea I was coming to this courtroom.

Well, looking back at a time when your husband and he -- you were discussing it, after you first heard about it, by you projecting yourself back to the time when you heard the results of the case, what was your -- what did you think about Mr. Manson at that point?

A Well, you know they have those men sitting there on death row and, uh, they're not, uh, putting them to death. And I didn't have much opinion about it at -- one way or another. I mean, I never gave it any serious thought.

Q I see.

And as you sit there presently, Mrs. Stokes, right now, what is your thinking concerning Mr. Manson, whatever it may be? Just tell us what it is.

A He's quiet. I'm thinking that he's thinking about the long delay, perhaps, and the time in jail. And -I don't know.

Now, having inwind, Mrs. Stokes, -- the Court has asked you certain questions. And are we in agreement that the purpose in your intent certainly would be to try to put aside everything that you have heard concerning Mr. Manson and that other case as you sit here and view and judge this case; is that right?

A That's right, sir.

Q Now, that is certainly your intent and your

2 3

4

5

7

. 9

8

10.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21,

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 purpose and desire to do that, right?

A Right, sir.

Now, not having had the experience -- not having had the experience, it is like -- like maybe swimming the English Channel or swimming from San Pedro to Catalina.

You might intend to do it. You might have the greatest intent in the world as you stepped there -- somewhere off of San Pedro or Long Beach, but you might not be able to make it to Catalina. Not all swimmers can do it.

A True.

Q What is your state of mind or your intent in connection with putting that aside, this material, that the Court has talked about?

A I believe that I can put it aside.

Q Is it a fair statement, though, you don't know for sure that you can do it; is that a fair statement?

A Yes -- no, that is not a fair statement. I know that I can put it aside.

O I see.

And that is your -- there is no question in your mind about that?

A No. sir.

Now, is your state of mind such -- may I ask you -- and, again, you recognize that we are engaged in serious business here.

And I may -- if I mention things that are delicate, I'm sure you will understand that we are all here for a serious purpose, right?

1	A	Right.
2		Now, may I ask you, you are of the black or Negro
3	race, right	,
4	A A	Right, sir.
5	O.	Now, in that connection did you hear anything in
6	the Tate-La	Bianca case concerning the black or Negro people?
7	A.	Yes, your Honor yes, sir.
.8	Q	Would you tell us what you heard?
9		You were never in the courtroom, right?
10	A	I was never in the courtroom, no, sir.
11	Ą	And we certainly are in agreement that newspapers
12	and televis	ion stations are not accurate in what they transmit,
13.	right?	
14	A	Yes, right.
15⁄	Q	All right. Would you tell us what you heard,
16	though, by	way of that publicity?
17	. A	I heard that the Manson Family had tried to throw
18	the evidence	e toward the Black Panthers, and I heard this is
19	just gossip	that Mr. Himman is a Negro musician, one of
20 .	the murdere	d men.
21		I don't know if that's true or not. I just
22	r o	I see.
23		Now, may I ask you, if it should come to pass,
24	as I think	it will in this court, that people of the black
25	or Negro ra	ce come here and testify on behalf of Mr. Manson
26	these peopl	e will come here and they will be witnesses that
27	we are call	ing from the prisons, from Folsom and maybe San
28 .	Quentin and	maybe other prisons, is your state of mind such

that you will listen to these people and put aside this ŀ gossip, as you put it, that you may have heard from whatever the source may be? Yes, I would put aside the gossip. A 13a fls.4 б 8. - 24

13a-1

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 -13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

28

27

And, for instance, one bit of gossip I can tell you for sure, no question, is Gary Hinman was a person who was of the Caucasian or white race, for instance. That's just an example of how gossip and publicity and all of that can be so wrong.

I see.

But certainly if these black people come to this courtroom, you'll give them the attention and you will give them the consideration and you will give their testimony the consideration that it should have, is that right?

> A Yes, sir.

And the fact that these people have been in prison with Mr. Manson for many, many years, and the fact that these people also have been in the dungeon; is that going to affect your fairness in deciding this case?

No. sir.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. MANZELLA: No questions, thank you.

THE COURT: All right, we'll thank you, now, Mrs. Stokes, and ask you to return to the group. And we'll ask that Mr. Wakefield -- Mr. -- is it Mr. Wakefield?

THE BAILIFF: Mr. Wakefield, sir.

THE COURT: -- to come in.

Do not discuss, Mrs. Stokes, what has gone on here in the courtroom with your fellow jurors or anyone.

JUROR NO. 8: 'Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have Mr. Wakefield come in and we'll question him.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, your Honor, Mr. -- I'm sorry, I'11 ľ bring it up after -- thank you. 2. 3 ROY WAKEFIELD 4. BY THE COURT: 5 Mr. Wakefield, we want to know from you what you 7 have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson before you entered this courtroom and became a prospective juror in .9 this case. 10 Could you give us just a capsule, in capsule 11 form what you may have heard, seen or read written in connection with him. 13 Most of what I have heard is from the newspapers 14 and it was just an account of the first trial that went on. 15 and --16 You are referring to the Tate-La Bianca trial? Q. 13b fls.17 A Yes. And during the course of that trial did you 19 follow the -- follow the case? 20 · A Well, not real closely, no. 21 Did you watch television at all in 1970 concerning Q. 22 this case or listen to the radio or read the newspaper? 23 A Uh, a little bit, but not a great deal. 24 Q. Do you know what the result was of that prosecu-25. tion of Mr. Manson? 26 I believe there was a conviction. 27 Of what? 28 Of Mr. Manson.

	li de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de
1	Q Of what charge?
2	A Uh, uh, conspiracy, I believe.
3	Q And do you know whether there was a decision by
4 -	the jury in the penalty phase or whether there was a penalty
5 -	phase in connection
6:	A Yes, there was a penalty phase.
7	In fact, I was surprised it was separated into
8	two parts that way.
9.	Q You remember being surprised when you learned about
10	that, read about that; is that correct?
11.	A Yes, when I read about it.
12	O Do you know what the jury decided in the penalty
13	phase?
14	A I believe I believe they decided the group was
Ì5	guilty, I believe.
16	Q Then, after the determination of guilt, did you
17	know what they decided in the penalty phase?
18	A I guess it was the death penalty or
19	Q. Is that your best impression?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you read, hear or see anything concerning
22	a statement by the President of the United States during the
23	course of that trial?
24	A No, I don't remember any statement by the
25	President.
26	Q Do you know the name Bobby Beausoleil?
27	A No, I do not.
28	Q Mary Brunner?

	1
1	A No.
2 ·	Q Gary Hinman? Did you hear that name before the
`3	Court told you about this case?
4	A I believe I heard that, the last one on the news
5	broadcast on the radio.
6	Q What do you remember about that name or the
7	news report surrounding that name?
8	A I believe he was some kind of an individual
9	connected with music or some kind of musician or something.
10	Q And, what else?
11	A And, uh, I think the only other thing I remember
12	was that he had something to do with being a friend of
13	Doris Day or Doris Day's son or something like that.
14	
15	
16 .	
1,7	
18 .	
19	
20	
21	
· 22 [,]	
23	
24	
25	
26.	
27	
28	

1	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea?	
2	A No, I do not.	
3	Q Never heard that.	
4	Spahn Ranch?	
5	A I think I heard I heard about the Spahn Ranch.	
6	Q What do you know about that?	ŀ
7	A Uh, there was a search made in that area, as I	
8	remember.	
9	@ For what?	
10	A Uh, I believe for either an individual or	
11	individuals that they were trying to contact. I suppose in	
12	connection with the trial.	ŀ
13	Q Now, have you ever sat on a trial before where	
14	there was a great deal of publicity?	
15	A Uh, no, I never have.	
16	Q Well, even though this may be a new experience to	
17	you, if I were to instruct you that you were to set aside	
18	not forget, because it is difficult to forget but if you	
19	were instructed to set aside what you may have heard, read	
20	or seen concerning Mr. Manson and that Tate+La Bianca trial,	ľ
21	and this case, anything that you may remember about this case	
22	and Mr. Manson that you may have heard, seen or resd previously	Ž,
23	will you set aside that matter? Will you set that aside	
24	and be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson in the course of	
25	this trial?	
26	A I would make every attempt to do so, yes.	
27	G Can you do that?	
28	A I believe so.	
		1

A Uh, no, I do not.

Now, examining your state of mind at this time, and knowing your capabilities and incapabilities, do you think that if you were instructed to set aside whatever opinions you may have formed from what you may have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson or the Tate-La Bianca case or this case or whatever you may remember, that you could do so and decide all issues submitted to you independent of such matters?

A I feel that I could.

Q Will you do that?

A I would.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Yes, Mr. Wakefield, directing your attention -first of all, we're in agreement that law is an adversary
process, right?

A Right.

Q But in terms of this discussion that we are having with you, we're not adverse. You -- that is, as far as we are concerned, we're just discussing your state of mind.

You're not -- you certainly don't consider yourself to be a witness or on the spot or anything?

A That's correct.

1	Q Right.
2	A Right.
3	Q Now, now, then, you certainly intend your
4	intent would be to follow the Judge's order?
5	A Right.
.6	And to put aside everything you may have heard
7	concerning this Tate-La Bianca case and concerning Mr. Manson
8.	that you heard before coming to the courtroom, right?
9	A Right.
10	Q Now, have you ever heard of Sharon Tate?
11	A Uh, just briefly on the news.
12	Q What have you heard concerning Sharon Tate?
13	A Uh, mainly that she had a husband who is a movie
14	producer.
15	Q And as far as the Tate-La Bianca trial, so-called,
16	is concerned, can you tell us what did you know what her
17	position in that trial was? What did what was she
18	what was her name and the circumstances concerning her in
19 .	that trial?
20 ⁻	A Well, as far as I know her name is Sharon Tate.
21	I'm not sure of her married name.
22	Uh, she was an actress, I believe, on television.
23	I believe she appeared on Peyton Place. I'm not sure, but I
24	vaguely feel that she might have appeared on that television
25	show.
26	I don't know too much else about her.
27	Q . What do you feel her place was in that trial,
28	that previous trial that the Court has been talking to us

of mind

•	1	ADOUE!
	2	A Uh, well, I imagine from the name of the trial
	3	that she was a victim of that trial.
	4	Q And your state of mind as to what happened to
·管	5	her?
ŧ	6	A She was she died as a result of what took
	7	place before the trial.
	8	Q What do you think what is your state of mine
•	9	as to what took place?
•	10	A Uh, she was at a house, uh, I think. And she
,	n H	lost her life. I don't know all the details. I'm
•	12	Q I mean, in what way is your state of mind that
1	13	she lost her life?
	14	A You mean how do I feel about her losing her
Ì	15	life?
•	16	Q No, no, how do you think that what caused
<u>.</u>	17	her to die?
	18	A I'm not really sure.
	19	Q And, now, does the name Abigail Folger mean
•	20	anything to you?
	21	A Uh, no, it does not.
	22	Q Or Voityck Frykowski?
	23	A I vaguely remember the name, but I'm not sure
14 fls.	24	of the connection to the case.
	25	
•	26	
	27	
- ·	•	·

,	
1	
2	-
3	
4.	
5	
6.	
7	ĺ
8.	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	·],
15	-
16	
17	Ì
18	
19	
'20	
21	ļ
22	1

24

25

26

27

Q.	Does	Jay	Sebring,	that	nama,	mean	anything	to	you?
----	------	-----	----------	------	-------	------	----------	----	------

- A No, that doesn't mean anything.
- Does Leno La Dianca? Does that name mean anything to you?
- A I think he -- if I remember, he was a grocery -- in the grocery business, or connected in some way with the grocery business.
 - And what was his place in these proceedings?
- A Well, I imagine he was one of the victims -- or, he was a victim.
- And when you say, "a victim," you mean a victim of what, Mr. Wakefield?
 - A Of being murdered;
- Now, directing your attention, then, Mr. Wakefield, to your state of mind, certainly your intent is to follow what the Court says; right?
 - A Right.
- Is it a fair statement that you don't know whether the intent that you have could in fact be followed through; is that a fair statement? You don't know that, in fact, when matters begin to be portrayed here in the courtroom, that you would be able to set aside those things that you have heard?
 - A I'm not 100 per cent sure, no.
- And in connection with -- would you say that you have a certain regard and an affection for law enforcement officers?
- A I feel that law and order is necessary to our society, and is a part of our society.

•	
_	
•9	

3

4 5

б

4

8 g.

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

My question, though, is specifically as far as -in connection with your recent experience that you had in -on March the 8th, 1971.

A I am very happy that law enforcement was available at that time,

Q Right. And you -- your feeling -- you would say that you feel a certain amount of indebtedness to the District Attorney's Office, and to the Los Angeles Police Department in connection with those events? Is that a fair statement?

A Well, I am somewhat glad that -- well, I find it difficult to answer exactly. I'm not sure how I should answer -- or, exactly what you mean.

Would you restate that, or --

- Q Certainly. Certainly. On March the 8th, 1971, you were -- you tell us that you were robbed. --
 - A Right.
- Q -- is that right? And as a result of that incident, you've had some relationship develop with Los Angeles Police Department personnel; is that a fair statement?
 - A That is a fair statement.
- As a result of those events, you've had some relationship develop in connection with the District Attorney's Office?
 - A That's correct.
- And is it a fair statement that your feeling towards these two groups of individuals is certainly one of friendship, at least?
 - A Yes.

27

28

And is it a fair statement that -- that your feeling in that regard is such that -- that there may be some difficulty in your taking a police officer that comes to this witness stand, and analyzing his testimony as objectively and as neutrally as, let's say, someone that comes to the witness stand who is not a police officer -- maybe someone whose apparel is a little bit unusual, whose appearance is a little bit unusual?

A I don't feel that my experience with the Police
Department, in my particular instance, would have a great deal of
bearing on any subsequent event in -- in dealing with the law
further, as in this case.

That is my feeling, anyway.

- Now, referring your attention to -- you said you are not sure 100 per cent. Do you remember you've stated that?
 - A Yes, that's correct.
- What did you really mean by that, when you said that to us, Mr. Wakefield?
- Mell -- uh -- I think your question to me was:

 How do I feel about the police -- if I remember correctly; and

 I -- whether I felt friendly toward them, if I remember

 correctly.

My feeling is: I think that -- that the police are a necessary thing, but there are two sides to every issue, and -- and -- I'm not exactly certain as to what your --

Well, when -- I believe your answer, when you included the words, "100 per cent," was in response to a

question as to whether or not; even though you intended to put aside matters that the Court has told you you must put aside --

- A Yes, xight.
- experience, whether you could in fact put aside these matters concerning Mr. Manson and the Tate-La Bianca trial, which has been in the past.

And I think you answered something to the effect of: You are not 100 per cent sure you could -- or words to that effect.

Do you recall answering like that?

A I -- yes, I do now.

_		

5

б

8

9

10

ŢŢ

12

13

14

15

.16

17

Q That's the 100 per cent that I was referring to. Would you tell us, what did you mean by that, when you said that? What did you mean with respect to that?

A Well, regarding the police force, I feel that -that in the fact that I've dealt with them so far, I've felt
that the 100 per cent that I would feel inclined not to go
along with everything that they accomplished or did, would -would agree with the way I feel about it, entirely.

I -- maybe I am missing your point. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: We'll get back to it.

You may all stand, ladies and gentlemen, We are not going to keep you very long.

Come on in, if you would, please.

Thank you. Some of you have not been sworn as prospective jurors here, but nevertheless, I'll expect you to -- I'll expect to see you back on Monday morning. I am going to excuse you until Monday morning.

Is there anyone whose term expires between now and Monday morning? Whose term as a juror expires between now and Monday morning?

I see no hands. And there are about 50 people here -- or more. I'll expect to see you all back on Monday morning.

I will excuse everyone except Mr. Wakefield, Mrs. Thompson, Mr. Prior, and Mr. Akamine.

Those jurors will remain. The rest of you, the Court will excuse you until 9:45 on Monday morning.

The Court cautions you that you are not to converse about this case with anyone, nor permit anyone to converse with

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

you about it, nor to form or express any opinion on it until
the matter is submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror
in this case.

Remember the admonition I have heretofore given you concerning publicity. You are not to hear, see or read anything in connection with this case. You are to avoid hearing, seeing or reading anything in connection with this matter.

All right. With the exception of those names that I've mentioned, then all of you are now excused until 9:45 Monday morning. I'll see you then.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 107?

THE COURT: Report to Department 107, yes.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do we report to the assembly room tomorrow?

THE COURT: No, you need not report there.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Then we are off duty tomorrow?

THE COURT: Yes, that's true. You are to report to

Department 107.

A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Monday?

THE COURT: Monday, yes.

Go ahead, Mr. Kanarek,

Now, as to those jurous whose names I mentioned, would you remain out in the corridor, please?

Remain out in the corridor. The Court may be calling you in just a few moments.

Let's move along here with Mr. Wakefield.
MR. KANAREK: Yes.

ŀ

And if you would restrict it to publicity, it THE COURT: might go a little faster.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor,

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kanarek,

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Hr. Wakefield, sir, the question I am asking you has nothing to do with police officers. I think, before we spoke of police officers, we were talking about your intent, and you indicated that you had an intent, and we spoke -- I think we agreed that there's a difference between intending to do something and doing it. There may be a vast difference.

And so we asked whether, knowing that you had this intent, would it be possible to put aside and not think of these matters concerning Mr. Manson and the Tate-La Bianca case?

And you said words to the effect of: You were not 100 per cent sure that you could do that.

> Do you remember saying that? I remember now, yes,

15-1

9.

11[.]

Now, would you tell us what did you mean when you said you were not 100 percent sure that you could do that?

A Well, regarding the first trial and so forth,

I heard it, I believe, enough on the radio about that, that
I will be -- I mean, I can't divorce this completely in my
mind. I don't know how else I can say it.

Q Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench with the reporter?

THE COURT: No, you needn't approach -- well, very well, you may approach the bench.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective juror:)

THE COURT: What was it last -- what was Irving's last statement?

(Whereupon, the following question was read by the reporter:

"Q Now, would you tell us what did you mean when you said you were not 100 percent sure that you could do that?

"A Well, regarding the first trial and so forth, I heard it, I believe, enough on the radio about that, that I will be -- I mean, I can't divorce this completely in my mind. I don't know how else I can say it.")

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, first I would like to challenge -- I am reluctant to challenge in open court.

3

4

6

7

8.

.9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: All right, you can challenge now.

MR. KANAREK: A challenge under 1073, Subsection 2.

I think that this witness, if we look at his -- the whole picture -- in fact, March 8, just a few months ago, he was robbed.

THE COURT: The Court is not concerned about that aspect of it.

MR.KANAREK: Well, the publicity aspect. The man is obviously stating that he cannot --

THE COURT: There is no grounds for cause, as I see it, in connection with this robbery and the aftermath of that.

But do the People have any argument in respect to his ability to set aside ---

MR. MANZELLA: On publicity?

THE COURT: -- on the publicity aspect?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you want to ask any questions?

MR. MANZELLA: I would oppose the motion at this point because he hasn't said anything to cause his excusal. He answered the Court's questions, so that under Section 1076, your Honor, 2, it would not be proper to excuse him.

An answer in the terms of not being able to divorce one thing from another doesn't fit within the requirements of Section 1073, Subsection 2. It is not actually bias. He is not required to divorce or forget, the way we have put it or required in the language of the section. He is required to act fairly and impartially in spite of the opinion he formed. Those are all very nebulous

terms.

THE COURT: I'll permit you to ask the questions.

MR. KANAREK: I also want to make another point. I want to challenge Mrs. Stokes under Section 1073, Subsection 2.

Again, I am reluctant to state it in open court.

THE COURT: Well, I see -- on the grounds you previously stated?

MR. KANAREK: Well, on the ground of pre-trial publicity.

In other words, we're interrogating on publicity.
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: I think that this lady would have the most horrible of tasks. I think it would be impossible for her.

THE COURT: To set aside what she has learned?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Again, the word "set aside" —

I mean — I'm sure we don't want to get into any semantic difference of opinion here. We can — the idea between "set aside" and "forget" or the other words that might be conjured up —

THE COURT: Disregard.

MR. KANAREK: There are various --

THE COURT: You've used all those words, yes, in the course of --

MR. KANAREK: It is our belief that this lady should be excused and we would challenge her under 1073, Subsection 2.

THE COURT: That challenge is denied.

As to your challenge in respect to Mr. Wakefield, the Court will allow the People to question him.

MR. NANZELLA: All right, I'll just ask a few questions.

THE COURT: The Court will allow the People to ask a

few questions or to --

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective juror:)

15a-1

ĭ

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Mr. Wakefield, I just have a few questions to ask you. They'll probably be the same questions that everybody else has asked you already.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

All right.

I have some confusion in my own mind about what you mean by the term "divorce, divorce one thing from another."

Well --

I'm not asking you to explain it unless you want to. I've got some other questions --

Well, I'll be glad to explain it.

I used to be a school teacher and I think everybody is a product of everything that happens to them, a sum total product. And, therefore, I've heard the news reports about the first trial.

Now, whether this would influence my judgment regarding this second trial -- I don't feel it would influence me a great deal, no. That's as straight an explanation as I can give.

All right.

Do you understand that when we say -- strike that.

You understand that if it influences you at all or if you think it is going to influence you at all, what you've heard, seen or read in the news media about Mr. Manson or about anything that has to do with him, if you think it will influence you at all in your duties as a juror here in this case, that you cannot sit on this case; you understand that?

· 1:	A. I understand that.
4	
2 .	Q Is that your state of mind?
8	A That's my state of mind.
4	MR, MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have no opposition to
5	Mr. Kanarek's challenge for cause.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wakefield. The Court would
7	excuse you, then, and the Court thanks you very much for being
8	here.
9	Have you any time left as a juror?
10	JUROR NO. 9: Yes, I do.
11	THE COURT: Then, report tomorrow, Room 253, at 9:00
12	o'clock.
13	JUROR NO. 9; All right.
14:	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Wakefield.
15	I gather, your Honor would contemplate adjourning
1 6	at this time?
17	THE COURT: I'll take one more, Miss Thompson.
18	MR. KANAREK: I had a request. We would like to inter-
<u>19</u> .	view a potential witness and we need the assistance of the
20	Court to get this person
21	THE COURT: Before we take Mrs. Thompson, let's take
22	Mr. Akamine, who has raised a point with us just before he
23	left the jury box off the record.
24	Mrs. Thompson, we might get you in another minute
25	or two.
26	
27	
28	

1 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF AKIRA AKAMINE 2 3 BY THE COURT: Mr. Akamine. .5 Yes, Bir. Would you simply be seated over there. 6 You indicated off the record, and I heard you say something to the Court or to the clark as you left the jury box previously that you knew something about Mr. Gary Hinman in 9 connection with your church; is that correct? 10 Ίŀ À. Yes. sir. 12 And that church is what? 13 The Nichiren Shoshu of America. 14 Say it again very slowly. Nich --Q. 15 Nichiren Shoshu. Ä 16 Ω What do you know about Mr. Hinman? 17 A Well, I knew him, you know, through the church. 18 Did you know him personally? Q. 19 Yes. 20 As a result of the knowledge of Mr. -- of knowing 21 Mr. Hinman, rather, would you find it impossible to be impartial 22 in this case? 23 Well, I followed the case ever since I've -- you 24 know, it happened. 25 Since the -- you mean you followed the case 26 involving Mr. Hinman? 27 Yes. 28 Q. And would it be difficult for you to be fair to

1	witness thank him for his exceptional honesty and candor.
2	Thank you, sir,
à .	THE COURT: Mrs. Thompson, now.
4	Let's see, we only have four left.
5	
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
7	OF HELEN THOMPSON
8	BY THE COURT:
9	Mrs. Thompson, you may be seated right there, if
10	you would, please.
11	Mrs. Thompson, we want to know what opinions you
12	might have formed, what knowledge you may have as a result of
13.	any pretrial publicity concerning Mr. Manson.
14	Have you heard Mr. Manson's name previously,
15	previous to this trial?
16	A Yes, I have.
17	And have you read any newspapers, heard and seen
18 ·	it on television and on radio?
19	A Yes, I have.
20	Q Was that in connection, largely, with the Tate-
21	La Bianca homicides?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Have you heard anything whatever about the so-
24	called Shea-Hinman homicides?
25	A Only what I have read in the newspapers and on
26	television.
27	Q Could you briefly tell us what you may have heard
28	or read in the newspapers concerning Gary Hinman?

1	A I don't know if I can or not.
2	Q Can you remember anything right offhand?
3	A Not really.
4.	Q. Do you know who Gary Hinman is?
5	A I think he's a musician.
6	And what do you know about him?
7	A Nothing, really.
8	Q You can't at this moment remember what you know
9	about him?
10	A Uh
n.	Q Or what you have heard about him or read about
ì2 .	him?
13	A No. I have a little something in my mind, but
14	I'm not too sure if this is what I read or if it is just
15	Q How about the name Shorty Shea?
·16	A I have a little something in my mind that I think
17	that this is what that this is about him.
18	Q Do you know what Mr. Manson was charged in that
19	other case with, the Tate-La Bianca case?
20	A Uh, not really.
21	Q Well, do you did you read the result or hear
22	or see the result of that trial?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q Do you know whether Mr. Manson was convicted of
25	anything?
26	A. Uh, I can't say definitely. Uh, yes, I think so.
27	Q Do you know whether he was sentenced?
28	A I can't remember right now,

.6-1	1	Q Do you know whether the jury deliberated on a
	2	penalty?
	3 .	A No, I don't.
*	4	And you don't know whether the jury came back with
	5 .	a life imprisonment sentence or a death sentence?
×	.6	A No. ;
	7	Q Have you ever heard the name Susan Atkins?
	· 8	A Yes.
	g· .	Q In what connection?
	10	A In connection with the Manson - the Manson case.
	11	Do you mean the previous case?
	12	A. Yes
	13	Q Have you heard anything about Susan Atkins in
	14	connection with this case?
	15	A. No.
	16	Q Have you heard or seen or read anything in
¢	17	connection with the Susan Atkins' confession in that other case?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Do you know the name Bobby Beausoleil?
	20	A. Yes.
	21	A How do you know that? In what connection?
	. 22 .	A. Well, I just recognize the names, now that you
,	23	are saying them, from the newspaper articles and
	24	Q Do you know the name Spahn Ranch?
	:25	A. Yes.
•	26	4 What does that mean to you?
<u> </u>	27	A It's where the these events were supposed to
	28	have taken misca

1	Q What events?
2	A. Uh the murders.
3	Q What murders?
4	A From the Manson case.
5	Q The Tate-La Bianca case?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you know anything whatever about a statement
8	by President Nixon concerning that trial and Mr. Manson?
9	A I don't remember exactly what it was.
10	4 You do remember the President made some pronounce-
n	ment?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q Do you have any opinion about that?
14	A No.
15	Q Now, considering that you have heard matters on
16	the radio, and you have seen television and have read the
17	newspapers over many months, concerning that trial, and con-
18.	cerning Mr. Manson, if I were to instruct you that you were
19	to set those matters aside, to disregard whatever matters that
20	you may have heard, and to disregard any opinions that you may
21	have formed concerning Mr. Manson or the Tate-La Bianca
22	case or this case, and that you were to disregard anything that
23	you might remember concerning those news reports, could you do
24	that?
25	A I'm not sure.
26	Q Do you understand that it would be highly unfair
27	to Mr. Manson
28	A. Yes.

1 You may report tomorrow morning, then, to Room 253 at 2 9:00 o'clock. 3. JUROR NO. 10: Thank you. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Thompson. 5 Your Honor? THE COURT: One more. Mr. Prior. 6 7 MR. KANAREK: Oh. yes. 8 THE COURT: That leaves us with practically an empty ٠9 jury box, except for one, two, three, four seats. 10 THE CLERK: Five. 11 THE COURT: - Five? 12 13 · VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 14 JOSEPH PRIOR 15 BY THE COURT: 16 Mr. Prior, we wish to know whether, before this 17 trial, before you were called into this courtroom, you had 18 ever heard, seen or read anything in connection with Mr. 19 Manson. 20 A. Yes, I have. 21 And is that in connection with the Tate-La Bianca 22 homicides? 23 Yes. 24 Did you follow that case involving Mr. Manson, in 25 any of the news media? 26 Just off and on, I read things. No. 27 Did you read newspapers concerning it? 28. Yes.

ı	6 Q	Didyou listen to television and watch television?
2	A.	Yes, but not extensively. You know, just
3	Q	You didn't make a regular habit of watching
4	television?	
5.	. λ.	No, huh-uh.
6	,	
`7		
8	· ·	
9.	,	
, 10		
11	2	
12	,	
13,	,	•
14		
15·	· •	
- 16	,	
17	,	
18		
19	`,	
20-		
2 1		•
22		
23	•	
24		
25		
26		•
27		•
28	ľ	
,-	<u>}</u>	•

16a ×

	·	
16a-1	1	Q Did you listen to any news reports on the radio
	2	regularly?
	3	A. No.
	4	Q Did you talk to friends, coworkers or your family
ğ	5	concerning
ã	6	Ä, Yes.
	7	C the case?
	8 .	A. Yes, sir.
	9	Q From time to time?
	10	A Yes.
,	11	p Did you do you remember hearing anything
	12	any statement that hearing or seeing or reading any state-
	13	ment that the President of the United States made during the
	14	course of that trial?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q Did you have any reflection or thoughts about that
ė	17	statement?
	18	A No, sir.
	19	Q Did you think that it was a proper statement, then?
•	20	Or did you have any
	21	A I think that the he made a mistake. He didn't
	22	mean to say it or, he said it offhandedly.
•	23	I don't think he had any thought of
	24	Q Had you formed any opinion as to Mr. Manson's
	25	guilt, to those charges, at that time?
v∰+ +	26	A I would may I had, yes.
<u> </u>	27	Q Now; did you subsequently learn that Mr. Manson was
	28	convicted of some crime?

•	
1	A Yes.
2.	Q What is your knowledge, or what is your opinion
ş	concerning that?
4	A Well, he was I he was guilty of the crime.
5	But I also have a reservation about the people who were I'm
6	not saying that the crime was right, but the people who live
7	that way, they invite trouble, to my mind. So I
8	Q What do you mean by that?
9 .	A Well, I
10	Q To what kind of people are you referring?
11	A Well, from what I gather, there was dope and
12	partying, and all that. I'm not saying that I agree with
13	the verdict that was rendered, but it's my belief that those
14	people might have been you know, partially responsible in
15	some way.
16	Q You mean the victims in that Tate-La Bianca case?
17	A. Yes, that's what I yes.
18	Q I see.
19	A But I haven't, you know, thought about it a great
20	deal.
21	Q Well, you say you agree with the verdict. What do
22	you believe the verdict to have been?
23	A Well, I believe he was convicted of
24	Q Of what?
25	A Of murder in the first degree.
26	And do you remember whether the jury came back with
27	life imprisonment or the death penalty?
28	A Well, I guit following it after a while, and I

1	didn't	
2	\$.	So at this time, you don't have any knowledge as
3	to what the	sentence was?
4	X.	No, I don't.
5	Q.	Do you know how many victims there were in that
6	case?	
7	A.	I believe there were four.
8	Q.	All right. And do you recognize the name Susan
9	Atkins?	
10	A	Yes,
11	Q	What do you know about that name, from what you've
12	heard, seen	or read?
13	A.	I know very little.
14	0	Well, what is your best remembrance?
15	À.	I believe she went east to visit her mother in
16	Connecticut,	
17	Q	All right. Do you know the name Steven Grogan?
18	A	No.
19	Ö .	Bruce Davis?
20	λ.	No.
21	Q (Gary Hinman?
22		Had you heard that before
23	*	I've heard that name, yes.
24	Q	In what connection had you heard that name?
25	A	Well, I understand he was murdered; that's all.
26	Q.	And do you know anything more about that?
27	A.	No. I wouldn't know
28	ů.	Did you form any opinion that Mr. Manson was

Q The Court realizes it would be difficult. But the Court hopes you understand that, unless you can set it aside, unless you will set it aside, it would be unfair to Mr. Manson to have you sit on this jury.

A Well --

1	
1	Q What I am asking, then, is: whether or not you
2	are objective enough to set aside what you may have heard, seen
3	or read, to set aside any opinions that you may have formed,
4	and be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson in this case.
5	A I believe I could do that, yes.
6	Q And will you do that?
7	A Oh, yes. I if I'm on it, I will do it, yes.
8.	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?
9	BY MR. KANAREK:
10	Q. Yes. Mr. Prior, sir, does the name Sharon Tate
n	mean anything to you? That name?
12	A. Oh, yeah. I know she was an actress, yes.
13	Q. And what do you think that her place in these
14	in the proceedings were?
1 5	A Well, I have no idea what
16	Q. I see. Do you know what had happened to her, if
17	anything?
18	A Well, I just know that she was murdered, yeah.
19	Q I sée.
20	A. Um-hmm.
21	@ Now, certainly your intent, your purpose, Mr.
22	Prior, would be to put aside and not consider matters that
23	have occurred purportedly, that have been relayed to you
. 24	by way of publicity?
25	A Yes.
26	
27	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

28.

17-1 You're -- that certainly is your purpose? () Yes. The question is: Is it a fair statement that \mathbf{Q} you don't know for sure whether you could do it or not, not 4 having experienced it? 5 I'm not sure I couldn't do it, that's right. 6 Is that a fair statement: You don't know whether 7 you could or not? A Q. Ÿes. MR. KAMAREK: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, any questions? 11 MR. MANZELLA: No. 12 13 MR. KANAREK: 1073. 14 MR. MANZELLA: No opposition to the challenge. 15 THE COURT: All right, the Court grants the challenge 16 for cause. Thank you for being frank about your opinions, 17 18 Mr. Prior, and your inability to set them aside. 19 Room 253 tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock. 20 JUROR NO. 11: Thank you. 21 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, sir. 22 Your Honor, I have a request for the Court. 23 THE COURT: Let's hear your request. 24 MR. KANAREK: My request is, your Honor, there is 25 someone that is currently in the County Jail that we wish to 26 consult with as a -- certainly, to be conservative, a potential 27 witness. I think he will be a witness. And I wondered if your Honor -- we will arrange it at the convenience of the

17-2

1 2

,3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

`13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21 .

22

23

24 25

26

27

១០

Sheriff. I will be present at a time when the Sheriff -- so that the Sheriff will be inconvenienced as little as possible.

THE COURT: Who is it?

MR. KANAREK: His name is Kenneth Como. His booking number is 1544573, and if your Honor would make that --

THE COURT: How long an interview do you want?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, I'm sure we wouldn't require over an hour. That would be conservative.

THE COURT: When do you want it, next week?

MR. KANAREK: At the convenience of the Sheriff.

THE COURT: Within the next seven days the Court orders the Sheriff arrange an interview between Mr. Manson, Mr. Kanarek, and Mr. Como.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Now, if this be done before 9:00 o'clock, may we -- it is possible we might want a couple of hours.

What I am saying is, if I come up there at a time convenient to the Sheriff, may we have that, because he could be a very important witness.

THE COURT: The Court will permit an hour's interview, and then if you need further time, let me know.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor.

Your Honor, it is possible -- if your Honor makes the two-hour request now, we wouldn't have to inconvenience the Sheriff --

DEFENDANT MANSON: Save all that other confusion.

THE COURT: An hour and a half.

MR. KANAREK: All right, thank you, your Honor.

At the convenience of the Clerk, could that 1 minute order get to the Sheriff? 2 THE COURT: Yes, the Court would order that. 3 THE CLERK: How do you spell that name? MR. KANAREK: C-0-m-0. All right, Monday I'll see you, Mr. Kanarek, THE COURT: б at 9:45. MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor, thank you. (Whereupon at 5:00 o'clock p.m. an adjournment was taken until 9:45 o'clock a.m., Monday, 10 June 28, 1971.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 19 20 22 25 26 27 28