SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA' FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 106

HON RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

No. A-267861

CHARLES MANSON,

Defendant.

Plaintiff.

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT

Thursday, July 8, 1971

VOLUME 10

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney
BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA,
Deputy District Attorney

For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq.

MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JULY 8, 1971 11:00 A.M. 2 The record will show that Mr. Manson is THE COURT: present with his counsel, Mr. Kanarek. Mr. Manzella for the 5 People, and the prospective jurors are in the box. 6 I think we had called Mr. Kowal and Mr. Nowicki. 7 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. 8 JUROR NO. 12: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kuczera. 10 11 We'll start with Mr. Kowal, since his name was called first. 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF **[4** MR. MIKE S. KOWAL 15 16 BY THE COURT: 17 Mr. Kowal, were you present when the Court 18 explained the nature of this case and questioned a prospective 19. juror and instructed on the law in respect to the case? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 Would your answers be any different to the 22 questions of a general nature put to this person, the prospective 23 juror? 24 No. 25 Now, beginning with matters of a more direct and 26 personal nature, would there be any hardship to you if you 27 were involved in this case for a period of four or five 28 months?

1	
1	A Does that include healthwise besides financial?
2	Q Any hardship, yes.
3	A Well, healthwise, it would.
4	Q Tell me about it.
5	A Well, I suffered a heart attack three years ago
6	and I am still under a doctor's care. I am taking medication
7	for high blood pressure and commadin. It is an anti-blood
8	coagulant.
9	And I also have to take tests, blood tests to
10	make sure that my blood doesn't run wild.
11	Q Do you see your doctor regularly?
12	A Regular, yes. I've never stopped.
13	On what days of the week do you see him?
14	A I'm due to see him next Tuesday again.
15	Q Do you see him during the day?
16	A During the day, yes.
17	Q At what time?
18	A At about 1:00 o'clock in the afternoon.
19	Q Do you think your doctor could accommodate you
20	so that is that what you are worried about, being able to
21	get to the doctor?
22	A No, that isn't it. I am afraid it may be
23	harmful for me to sit here for four or five months because
24	the doctor cautioned me not to be too idle, get out and move
25	around.
26	Q To what?
27	A Too inactive. Get out and move around and keep
28 [.]	your blood circulating.
	1 .

1	O, Are you employed?
2	A No, I am not.
3	Are you retired from employment?
4	A Yes, after the heart attack I retired.
5,	Q So what you are doing is some physical therapy
6.	each day which you wouldn't be able to get?
7	A That's right. That's right.
8	THE COURT: Gentlemen.
9	MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate it constitutes
10	a hardship, your Honor.
n	MR. KANAREK: So stipulate, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right, these gentlemen have very kindly
13	stipulated you may be excused and the Court agrees and will
14	excuse you. Thank you, Mr. Kowal. Room 253 forthwith, if
15	you would, please.
16	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Kowal.
17	THE COURT: Call another name.
18	THE CLERK: Gladys Engman, E-n-g-m-a-n.
19 .	
20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
21	GLADYS ENGMAN
22	BY THE COURT:
23	Q Mrs. Engman, take your seat on the end, if you
24	will, please, and the Court will ask you whether or not you
25	were present when the Court explained the nature of this
26	case and discussed the matter with the prospective juror?
27	A Yes, sir.
28	Q Would your answers be any different than he

•			
	1	Q You haven t?	
	2	A No.	
	3	Q Neither as a defendant or a juror?	
÷	4	A No. And to come on this kind of a case, I think	
2 fls.	.5	it would be very upsetting to me.	,
.	6.		
	7		
	8		
	9		
•	10		
	11		
	12		į
	13		
	14·		ŀ
•	15		
#	16		
•	17		
	18		
e [±]	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
\$	24		•
	25		ľ
Ÿ	26		
	27		
	28		
		1	

2

3

*

5 6

7

8

9:

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21,

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 THE COURT: Gentlemen?

MR. MANZELLA: The People would be willing to stipulate it constitutes a mardship, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulate, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. These gentlemen again have stipulated that, because of the question of health, the juror may be excused.

And so the Court does agree that you may be excused. And the Court thanks you. In view of your state of health, the Court thinks it's rather a sacrifice for you to serve as a juror, even for a period of 30 days, and the Court thanks you.

JUROR NO. 7: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any time left on jury duty?

JUROR NO. 7: Yes. I just started.

THE COURT: Just started?

JUROR NO. 7: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Go back - let's see if we can put you to work. Go to Room 253 --

JUROR NO. 7: Something else, but not this.

THE COURT: All right. Room 253, forthwith.

I see a juror in the box, Mr. Mittleman, raising his hand. Mr. Mittleman?

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Engman.

THE COURT: Will you pass that microphone down to Mr. Mittleman? He was clearing his throat there and apparently has something to say to us.

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	OF FRED C. MITTLEMAN
3	BY THE COURT:
4	Q Mr. Mittleman, did we put over some question
5	for you concerning your employment or
6	A No. I it's dealing with the question of
7	capital punishment.
8	I have been giving it considerable thought.
9	Q Yes?
10	A And I've decided, in my own mind, that under no
ıa	circumstances could I vote for capital punishment, if it should
12	go that far, if the case should go that far.
13	Q If you
14	A. In this case, I couldn't.
15	2 Your view is that you would be you would be
16	unable to vote for the death penalty, regardless of what the
17	evidence might be; is that right?
18 :	A In this case, yes:
19	Q Your judgment would be that you would or, your
20	feeling would be that you would automatically refuse to impose
2 1	the death penalty in this case; is that correct?
22	A Well, I wouldn't quite say automatically. It's
23	I can't conceive of circumstances in this case that
24	that would allow me to vote for the death penalty.
25	Q But
26	A I'm not saying it's automatic. I am just saying
27	at this I can't conceive of the situation, of
28	Q Well, perhaps you are just deficient in

ĭ

the other jurors, if you wish to do that. But at this point, the Court does not believe that Mr. Mittleman is by law dis-qualified. MR. KANAREK: No. I don't believe so, either. would like to interrogate on the statements. THE COURT: What is the point? MR. KANAREK: Well, as to the state of mind. THE COURT: All right. You may inquire at a later time. Mr. Nowicki --JUROR NO. 12: Yes?

2a

THE COURT: Oh, excuse me. I'm in error. We should have a substitute for Mrs. Engman, and take that juror first.

Because that would be the logical order.

Let me ask you this, while you have the microphone in your hand, Mr. Mittleman, and before passing it back to the other end.

Do you think that you can be fair and impartial as a juror in this case? Do you think that you could be fair and impartial in this case?

JUROR NO. 11: Well, I think certainly, on the first phase.

THE COURT: On the first phase, guilt or innocence?
JUROR NO. 11: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there anything whatever that would enter into your mind at this time, by reason of which you believe you could not be a proper juror in this case?

JUROR NO. 11: Only in my previous position regarding the death penalty.

THE COURT: And that would enter into your judgment not in the first phase, but in the penalty phase; is that right?

JUROR NO. 11: Correct.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Kanarek?
MR. KANAREK: Yes.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

When you said that you -- in this case, it was --

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

what was your thinking, Mr. Mittleman? . 1 Uh -- well --2 THE COURT: Excuse me. We'll conduct this questioning 3 outside the hearing of the other jurors. 4 Pass the microphone down to the -- ' MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor, may we approach the bench? 6 THE COURT: No. you may not. Call another name for Mrs. Engman. Я THE CLERK: Mrs. Hildegard Thale; H-i-l-d-e-g-a-r-d; 9 last name, T-h-a-l-e. 10 11 THE COURT: G-h? THE CLERK: T-h. 12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I -- I would ask -- but I'm 13 sure this lady understands why -- I would ask to approach the 14 I think that there has been an error in her coming to 15 this courtroom, and I'm sure she would agree with me. 16. THE COURT: An error in her being here? 17 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, And I would like to 18 approach the bench, with the reporter. And I think that --19 she's nodding affirmatively, because --20 THE COURT: You may approach the bench. 21 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. 22 23 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 24 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of 25 26 the prospective jury panel:) 27 NR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: What is the problem with Mrs. Thele? 28

MR. KANAREK: Yes, She's related to a District Attorney, and she's --

MR. MANZELLA: Mel Thale, yes.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. She's some relative to a Deputy
District Attorney. And I heard her talking to Mr. Weedman,
that -- she has already been over and interrogated in the
Grogan case, in Judge Call's court, and I don't think that we
want her. I am sure that we don't --

MR. MANZELLA: Well -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR, KANAREK: Because they're not supposed to be sending jurors from one of these courts to the other. I think this is part of our ground rules. And I think that there's a -- that the Jury Commissioner made probably -- I am sure -- hopefully, I am sure -- an inadvertent error. But this is -- she has been in Judge Call's court, and I can so represent, based upon -- I recognize the name. I've never seen the lady before.

THE COURT: Do you see any reason why she should be excused, simply by reason of the fact that she's been excluded from the Grogan trial?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, Because otherwise, I would ask for a hearing and find out what she heard over there. I don't know what's been said in that court.

THE COURT: Nell, you can ask her --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, but it may be so prejudicial, as to what's been said about Mr. Manson in that courtroom --

THE COURT: Well, we will hold it outside the presence of the other jurors.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I know, your Honor. But, as I say,

2b

if we are really interested in expedition, the expeditious thing to do -- if I may put it that way -- is to excuse her. Because she has been over there. There has been all kinds of statements concerning Mr. Manson in that trial before Judge Call, and if she --

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 ·

1.7

18

· 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 THE COURT: Well, let's find out. I can't presume that, can I?

MR. KANAREK: Well, I -- well, I am saying, -- what I am saying is, the underlying ground rule is, I believe --

THE COURT: I hadn't heard of any such ground rule. I don't know that it should exist.

She may have stepped up and indicated that she was blased as a result of being related to a Deputy District Attorney, and therefore ---

MR. KANAREK: But your Honor --

THE COURT: -- been excluded by stipulation.

MR. KANAREK: But what I am saying is, what I am saying is -- I believe -- now, I can't represent this 100 per cent for sure. I believe she was excused from that trial either by -- I know she has been excused for some reason or another in Judge Call's court.

THE COURT: I don't think that disqualifies her here.

Let's just go at it and see what --

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, I would ask that she not be interrogated in the presence of all the other jurors, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, on the question of publicity, she wouldn't be. What she's heard in that court comes under the heading of publicity.

THE COURT: What she's heard in the other trial, we wouldn't ask her about that.

MR. KANAREK: Well, no, but it may have affected her state of mind, and --

THE COURT: Well, let's find out. Can I presume that?

MR. KANAREK: Well, also, your Honor, may I say this?

The District Attorney has been allowed to interrogate in the presence of the jury, over objection, concerning the death penalty.

Now, as to Mr. Mittleman, your Honor not allowing me to interrogate him in the presence of all the other jurors, I suggest that --

THE COURT: Well, he has some --

MR. KANAREK: -- this would be a violation of equal protection and due process, under the Fourteenth Amendment, and --

THE COURT: The Court does not think so. The Court believes that Mr. Mittleman has formed some opinion about this case, by reason of which he could not vote for the death penalty.

But he indicates that he would not be automatic in making such a decision.

However, he has some ideas about it. And I think that, justifiably, they should be uttered outside the presence of the other jurors, just as you are asking whatever ideas this lady may have formed of Mr. Manson in connection with the Grogan case should be stated outside of the hearing of the other jurors.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I -- yes; but I -THE COURT: Let's proceed.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective

jury panel:) 1 2 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 3 OF MRS. HILDEGARD THALE BY THE COURT: 5 Mrs. Thale? Yes. 7 Were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case, and when the Court discussed the matter 9 with the prospective juror, the first prospective juror 10 called from your group? 11 Yes, your Honor. 12 All right. Would your answers be any different 13 than he responded to the questions of a general nature that I 14 put to him? 15 No, your Honor. 16 Do you have any reason why you could not be fair 17 Q. and impartial in this case? Can you think of any reason why 18 you could not be fair and impartial? 19 20. No, your Honor. Would it be any hardship for you to serve on this 21 jury for a period of four or five months? 22 Well, I was going back to graduate school in the 23 second session of summer school. 24 25 Û. The second session of summer school? Beginning 26 when? 27 August. Ă. 28 Where would that be? ٥.

- 1	· ·
1	A UCLA,
2	And this is for the purpose of advancing yourself
ક	in your profession, or what?
4	A Going back into my profession.
5	Q And that is what?
б	A Social work.
7	Q And this is a necessary part of your education,
8	you believe? In order to put you back in this profession?
ý	A Yes, I need it.
10	Q Would you be appreciably delayed if you were to
11	serve for four or five months on this jury?
12	A It would be a handicap, I can say, if it were
13	started later.
14	Q Well, let me ask you this: Nearly everyone can
15	think of some hardship, some minor inconvenience
16	A Right.
17	Q or sometimes something not very minor that he
18	or she must endure, in order to be able to accomplish properly
19	jury duty which he or she has undertaken.
20	Are you asking to be excused as a result of this?
21	A. No. No, your Honor.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 '	
28 ·	

2¢

1	' r	
2c	I	Q Then you would be willing to undergo that?
	2	A Yes.
	3	All right. The Court thanks you for that.
*	4	Have you been on a jury before?
_	5	A No. your Honor.
, p	6	Are you employed outside of the home?
	7	A No, I'm not.
	. 8	Q Is there a Mr. Thale?
,	9	A Yes.
•	10	Q What does he do?
	. H	A. He is a doctor.
	12	Q A medical doctor?
	13	A. Yes.
,	14	Q In what area does he practice? What general area?
	15	Los Angeles County?
	16	A In L.A. County.
**	17	Q And in the City of L.A.?
	18	A Right.
	19	Q And in what area do you and he reside?
	20	A In Hancock Park,
	21	Q You have been previously employed outside of the
	. 22	home? Or have you not?
	23 ·	A Years ago, yes.
	24	And you are interested in social work, with the
.	25	County of Los Angeles; is that it?
÷	26	A No, no. This is my profession. My children are
	27	grown, and I am going back to it.
	28	Q I see. Did you hear the Court's questions, as well

1	A Uh I don't think so,
2	Q This is a the husband of a mister?
3	A No, it's my husband's brother.
4.	g Your husband's brother. Well, if you were to vote
5	for not guilty in a criminal case, do you think that would
6	cause any family turmoil or cause you any embarrassment?
7	Would that enter your mind at all?
8 '	A (Pause,) Uh I don't think so.
9	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may the record reflect that
10	she hesitated many seconds before answering that question?
ΙΪ	THE COURT: Well, the record may so show,
12	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
13 .	Q BY THE COURT: In other words, here you have the
14	District Attorney's Office as the prosecuting agent for this
15	indictment before this Court. You indicated that you don't
16	think that it would cause you any discomfort, if you were to
17	vote for not guilty.
18	Does that are you hedging on that?
19	A Well, your Honor, cases are never discussed.
20	But philosophies are discussed. And I know the nature we
21	have a close relationship with my brother-in-law, as far as
22:	the nature of his philosophy.
23	And that is the only reason I was trying to think
24	out loud.
25	Q Well, I am not quite sure I understand. Maybe
26	you could expand upon that for us.
27	A Well, he will expound, as far as his philosophy
28	of law enforcement; and I don't think a case would ever be

,	•
T.	discussed, I am sure; but I mean
2 .	Q In respect to let's just taking his philoso-
3	phy of law enforcement
4	A It's much more conservative than
5	Assuming that it is, as you say, conservative,
6	would you allow
7	A. No, your Honor.
8	Q that at all to
9	h. No.
10	Q That is, his views to and the possibility that
11	you might be in conflict with his views in voting for not
12.	guilty
13	A. No.
14	Q would you allow that to enter into your
1	•
15	judgment?
15 16	judgment? A No, I it wouldn't.
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19 20	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19 20 21	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

2d*

1	Q Would you be of such a mind that you would never
2	consider imposing the death penalty?
3	A No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. We'll come back to you on
. 5.	other questions, in the absence of other prospective jurors.
б	Then, would you pass that down on to the other
7	end of the jury box, to Mr. Nowicki?
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	OF MR. ROBERT C. NOWICKI
jī	BY THE COURT:
12	Q Mr. Nowicki, would you have any hardship to you,
13	personally, or financially, if you were to serve as a juror in
14	this case?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q It would not in any way cause you such a hardship
17	that would ask you to be excused; is that correct?
18	A Yes, sir, except that the Post Office, which I
19	work for, does not like us to go over a month.
20	Q Well, you see, they have had a raise in postal
21	rates lately, and they have had other advantages.
22	(Laughter.)
23	So, we think that they might be able to suffer
24	your absence for a period of time.
25	A Yea, sir.
26	A Mr. Nowicki, have you ever been a juror before?
27	A Yes, sir.
28	Q In a criminal case?

would you tell us the criminal cases, criminal cases, on which you've sat as a juror, a results not what the results were, but whether verdict? Not what the verdict was, but whether t verdict? A Yes. It was a criminal case, a burgl Just one? A One. It was a burglary case. And was ther in it? A Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for t Office. In what department, and how long have you Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	nd what the there was a here was a
results not what the results were, but whether verdict? Not what the verdict was, but whether to verdict? A Yes. It was a criminal case, a burgle Just one? A One. One. It was a burglary case. And was ther in it? A Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for to Office. In what department, and how long have you Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	there was a here was a
verdict? Not what the verdict was, but whether to verdict? A. Yes. It was a criminal case, a burght one? Just one? A. One. It was a burghary case. And was ther in it? A. Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for to office. In what department, and how long have you had been supplied to the control of the control of the carrier, for five years.	here was a
Verdict? A. Yes. It was a criminal case, a burght Q. Just one? A. One. 10 Q. It was a burghary case. And was ther 11 in it? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And you've stated that you work for to 14 Office. In what department, and how long have you 15 Mail carrier, for five years. 16 17 18	¥ry
A. Yes. It was a criminal case, a burght Just one? One. It was a burghary case. And was ther in it? A. Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for t Office. In what department, and how long have you Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	
Just one? A One. It was a burglary case. And was ther in it? Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for the office. In what department, and how long have you was a surface. Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	
9 A. One. 10 Q. It was a burglary case. And was then 11 in it? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And you've stated that you work for the state of t	e a ver dict
10 Q It was a burglary case. And was then 11 in it? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 O And you've stated that you work for to 14 Office. In what department, and how long have you 15 Mail carrier, for five years. 16 17 18	e a ver dict
in it? A. Yes, sir. And you've stated that you work for the operation of	e a verdict
A. Yes, gir. And you've stated that you work for to the order. In what department, and how long have you was a stated that you work for the order. Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	
13 And you've stated that you work for to 14 Office. In what department, and how long have you 15 Mail carrier, for five years. 16 17 18	
Office. In what department, and how long have your Mail carrier, for five years. Mail carrier, for five years.	
Mail carrier, for five years. 16 17 18	he Post
16 17 18	u worked?
17 18	
18	
	•
19	:
20	and the second s
21	ام افاضاد المعادم
22	,
23	
24	
25	
26	ł
27	
28	

3-1 Are you related to or a friend of any law Q. enforcement officer? A No. sir. 3 Is there a Mrs. Nowicki? Q A Yes, sir. And is she employed outside the home? Q A No. In what general area do you reside? Q. A Hollywood. . 9 Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be Q. 10 impartial in this case? 11 À No. sir. 19 Concerning the death penalty? 13 Do you have such views about it that you could 14 not thereby be impartial in determining the question of guilt 15 or innocence? 16 A 17 No. Or do you have such views concerning it that you 18 Q would automatically refuse to impose it upon a conviction of 19 20 -- strike that. **2**1 Do you have such views concerning it that you 22 would automatically refuse to impose it regardless of the 23 ~ evidence? 24 A No. 25 Or upon a conviction of murder of the first 26 degree: would you automatically impose it? 27 Yes. 28 You would? Q.

		1

3

*4*5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

j8

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

A Yes.

Q In other words, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree, you would automatically say "death penalty"?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

Q BY THE COURT: And that would be without regard to any evidence that might be produced, other than that which was sufficient to constitute the conviction?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective juror:)

MR. KANAREK: Uh, yes, your Honor.

I would challenge under 1073, Subsection 2, but more importantly --

THE COURT: I'd grant it.

MR. KANAREK: I make a motion -- I don't know -- I do it reluctantly, but I make a motion for a mistrial on that statement of this juror in the presence of all these prospective jurors and all the context of this case.

THE COURT: The motion for mistrial is denied.

MR. KANAREK: It is a very damaging --

THE COURT: The Court does not believe so.

As a matter of fact, the Court believes that conversely, it is not damaging at all, and that it has a

3-3

ķ

. 20

possible adverse effect to the People's position, that is, excusing this juror upon such a statement.

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, what I mean is what it does do if we should get in connection with Mr. Manson's — it is quite likely we could get people out there that would deliberately forestall making that statement, now, in view of your Honor's making the interrogation in front of these jurors, get jurors that deliberately forestall just for the purpose — because of the vendetta and hatred that's been engendered by Mr. Manson in view of the publicity, which would be a denial of equal protection and a denial —

THE COURT: The Court notes this juror's attitude isn't in the nature of a vendetta. It was laconically and offhand. He was not making any reference to Mr. Manson, it was pertaining to any murder in the first degree.

MR. KANAREK: What I am saying, the jurors --THE COURT: The motion for mistrial --

MR. KANAREK: The motion is also to admonish, of course.

THE COURT: The Court doesn't believe any admonishment is necessary. The motion for a mistrial is denied.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Nowicki, the Court does excuse you and thanks you and asks you to report to Room 253, the jury assembly room.

Do you have time left on jury duty?
JUROR NO. 12: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, Room 253, the jury assembly room. 3-4 1 Thank you, Mr. Nowicki. Ž MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Nowicki. 3 4 THE CLERK: Bert A. Moore, M-o-o-r-e, THE COURT: It seems to me I remember that pame. 6 Moore. 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 8 9 BERT A. MOORE 10 BY THE COURT: 11 Mr. Moore, you were from the previous group, were Q you not? 13 Á. Right. 14 You may be seated there. Q 15 And you heard the Court's explanation of the 16 nature of this case at least twice, have you not? 17 A Yes, I have. 18 And you've heard the prospective jurors examined 19 each time concerning their qualifications, their attitudes? 20 A 3afls. Yes. 21 22 23 25 26 27 28

3a-1And opinions. Q 1 Would your answers be any different than the 2 prospective jurors whom I've questioned have answered to the 3 questions of a general nature? 4 Á٠ No. 5 n, Speaking of your personal situation now, would it 6 be any hardship to you to serve as a juror in this case? 7 A Possibly. 8 All right, tell us what you mean by that? Q .0 A Uh, my wife is blind and a diabetic, and two years 10 П ago she had a heart attack. And the only time I can foresee this being a problem would be if we were sequestered for any period of time. 13 Well, that's not possible -- that is a possibility. Q. 14 but it is not a probability. 15 How old is she, Mr. Moore? 16 A 57. 17 18 Q Is she cared for now by someone else? A My daughter is with us right now. 10 Where does your daughter live? 20 Q My daughter is married and she is moving to 21 Seattle the latter part of this month. 22 23 I see. She will be leaving the community for --Qon a permanent basis, then? 24 Yes. sir. 25 A 26 . Is there anyone else who could care for Mrs. Moore 27

28

A

should you be in a situation where you would be sequestered?

If it was not too long a period of time I think

			,
a-2	ı,	this could	be arranged easily.
	2	Q	Well, are you let me ask you this, are you
,	3	asking to	be excused on that basis?
*	4	A	No, sir.
	5	Q	All right. Thank you, Mr. Moore for your
₹ .	6	willingnes	s to endure that inconvenience.
•	7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Have you been a juror before on any criminal
	.8	case?	
,	9	A	No.
,	10	Q	Are you employed at the present time?
	IJ	Á	No.
·	. 12	Q	Retired?
	13	A	Yes.
	14	9.	What type of employment or profession did you
,	15 '	engage in?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	16	À	I was an inspector for the state, architecture
*	17	and constr	ruction.
•	18	Q	How long were you so employed?
	19	A.	Thirteen years.
	20 .	Q.	Are you related to or a friend of any law
	21	enforcemen	nt officer?
	22	A	No.
	23	Q.	Has Mrs. Moore ever been employed outside the
*	24	home?	
7	25	Å	Yes.
3	26	Q	In what capacity?
, ,	27	A .	Uh, as a receptionist.
	28	Q	What type of business?

3

б

8

27

28

A Mortuary.

In what area do you and she reside? Q

A Chatsworth.

Q Do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you couldn't, because of those views, be impartial in determining guilt or innocence?

À No.

Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose it without regard to the evidence?

> A No.

Or, on the other hand, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree, would you automatically impose it without regard to the evidence?

A No.

Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would never vote to impose it?

> À No.

THE COURT: We are at the point now, ladies and gentlemen, where we have two jurors we wish to question outside the hearing of other prospective jurors. It would be Mrs. Thale and Mr. Moore.

So is there a courtroom available?

THE BAILIFF: I'll know in a moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll ask you to go over to the hallway between Department 102 and 103 on the far side -- have you been over there?

(Murmurs of "Yes" by the prospective jurors.)

3a-4

2

ļ

3

5 .

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: Everybody know that area that we are talking about?

We'll ask you all to retire there at this moment and the Court would admonish you as it has admonished you before that you are not to converse amongst yourselves or anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on this matter.

THE BAILIFF: Department 100.

THE COURT: Oh, Department 100 is available and you can go -- would you all go there, then. The Court orders you to go there and you may be seated there.

And would you leave as quickly as possible.

When you come back in, let's see if you can move a little faster in going in and out. I would appreciate that.

Can both those doors be opened?

You may step down, Mr. Moore. Just stay outside the door, so you will be close, so we won't have to be going over to 100 to get you, Mr. Moore.

Mrs. Thale, you can stay right there.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

MRS. HILDEGARD THALE

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mrs. Thale, have you previously heard the name Charles Manson before coming into this courtroom?
 - A Yes, I have.
- O That was in connection with this case or with the Tate-La Blanca killings or both?

8a-5	1	A The Tate-La Bianca killings.
	2	Did you follow that prosecution in the news, over
	3	television and radio?
3b £ls.	4	A A little bit.
	5	
?	6	ı.
	7 .	
	8	
	9.	
	10.	
,	11 .	
•	12	•
,	13.	
À	14	
U _r	15	
*	16	
	17 18	
	19	
·	· 20 '	
	21	
	22	
	23	
ŧ	24	
ž	·25	
.	26	
	27	
	28 .	

		•
b-1	T.	2 And that was during the year 1970?
	2.	A Right.
	з.	Q Did you subscribe to any newspaper during that
•	4	year?
	5.	A Yes.
3	6	Q Which newspaper?
	7	A L. A. Times.
•	8	Q And did you read that regularly concerning the
,	9	case or was it more or less a haphazard reading?
	10	A Haphazard reading.
٠	и	And occasionally you looked at television and
	12	occasionally you listened to the radio?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Do you know what happened in that case as far as
	15	the verdict the verdict was concerned?
	16	A I believe it was guilty.
Š	17	Q Do you know whether there was a penalty found by
	18	the jury, and, if so, what it was?
	19	A No, I don't.
	20	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
	21 ·	A Yes, I do.
•	22	Q What does that name mean what does that name
	23	mean to you?
	24	A One who was also involved in the Manson case,
•	25	a young lady.
.a.	<i>2</i> 6	O Can was she a co-defendant or a victim?
	27	A Co-defendant, I believe.
_	28	Q All right. And do you know the names of any other

•	1	·	
3b-2	1	co-defendants in that case?	; ·
	2	A No, your Honor.	
	3	O Do you know the names of any victims other t	han
,	4	Sharon Tate and the La Bianca name I mentioned?	
•	5	A That's it.	
8	6	Q . You can't remember any of the other names?	
	7	A (Shakes head.)	,
	8	7 You can't remember? That answer was "No"?	
	9	A "No."	
	10	Q Do you remember the name Shorty Shea from ar	ıy
	n	place, anything you've heard, seen or read?	
	12	A Yes, I was on another case when I heard that	t last
	íз	week, I believe.	
	14	Q This is a case wherein you were called as a	,
•,	15	prospective juror?	
	16	A Right.	
•	17	Q And excused?	•
	18	A And excused.	
	19	And that is the case of People vs. Grogan?	
÷	20	A That's right.	•
	21	Q I see.	•
•	22	And you heard it at that time?	
	23	A At that time.	
	24	Q Did you also hear Mr. Manson's name discuss	ed in
€	25	that case?	
\$	26 .	A Yes, I did.	,
<u> </u>	27	Q Now, solely in questioning as a when you	were
	28	sitting as a prospective juror, is that correct?	

25

26

27

28

A	Yes	

- Q Were you questioned individually as you are being now questioned?
 - A No.
 - Q You were questioned as a group?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- 7 To your knowledge were there individual questioning of jurors outside of the presence of other jurors?
 - A I don't recall.
 - Q You don't know.

Did the Court and counsel conduct questioning of prospective jurors regarding publicity in the presence of all of the other jurors?

- A Yes, your Honor.
- O Concerning publicity and the effect of publicity on prospective jurors minds?
 - A Yes.
- 7 The Court conducted an examination in open court in the presence of other jurors, is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did you overheard anything of that in the course of that questioning that might prejudice you against Mr. Manson -- that did prejudice you against him in any way?
 - A No. your Honor.
- Q Do you know the name Charles Hinman -- is it Hinman, Gary Himman -- Gary Hinman. Do you know that name?

25

27

28

A I've heard it in the courtroom.

Q Other than hearing it in this courtroom, had you heard or read it any other place?

A Uh, I don't think so. It doesn't -- it isn't in the same recall to me like Susan Atkins.

Q Do you remember the name Spahn Ranch and, if so, what do you remember about it?

A Uh, yes, your Honor.

Q Tell me about it.

A From the newspapers or --

Q What did you hear, see or read about that?

A I believe I vaguely -- just as a place where the Manson Family had lived or had some contact there.

O That's your best remembrance of it?

A Right.

O Do you remember the name Bobby Beausoleil? Have you ever heard, seen or read that name? That is, as best you can remember?

A I think I remember the name but I don't remember in what respect.

Now, if the Court should instruct you that anything that you may have heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson, about this case, about any other case, should be set aside, — not forgotten, but set aside, divorced from your mind, for the purpose of making any judgment on the evidence that you may be called upon to make in this case, are you capable of doing that?

A Yes, your Honor.

3b-5

2.

Ì

3.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22,

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q You reply rather firmly and without any doubt in your voice and in your manner.

You're sure that you can divorce yourself from anything that you may have heard, seen or read and be objective and umbiased and unprejudiced in making any judgment concerning this case?

- A That's my job here.
- Q Now, the next question is, after I have determined that you can do that, is whether you will do that; will you do that?
 - A I will try, your Honor.
- Q Well, I'm interested in more than just trying. And I want to know, and counsel want to know whether you can and whether you will do it.
 - A . Yes, your Honor.
- You understand that it would be your affirmative duty to ignore anything that you may have heard, seen or read, talked about previously? It would be your duty to do that, to reject it.
 - A Yes.
- Q And to make a decision based only upon the evidence in this case and the instructions of law as I shall give them to you.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Would you follow that duty?
 - A Yes, I will.
- Q And you are capable of being objective enough in your opinion to do that?

3b - 6

1

2

3

5.

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

4 fls.

A Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Mrs. Thale, you stated that your -- that Mr. Thale, the Deputy District Attorney, had a conservative philosophy and that you have spoken with him concerning that.

What is that philosophy?

Well, I would say that he's on the side of law enforcement with -- we're not having come in contact with any situations in any courtroom, I might be for -- might, uh -well, I don't know how to -- uh, I always felt there was a benefit of the doubt and sometimes we do discuss where I think he would feel law enforcement and the letter of the law was more -- was very important.

21,

Q What do you mean by that?

A I'm trying to -- well, really, it may boil down to the fact that I can understand young people with long hair, or with a different way of life, where I think that -- to other members of my family, the code of dress and the code of attire is more important. It's just -- in every way of life, not only in law, where he has a conservative attitude on many things, and where mine has always been a more -- along a more liberal line.

Q Well, the Court, I think, asked you previously: When all the other jurors were present, about whether -- something about the effect of Mr. Thale -- that is, the Deputy District Attorney, Mr. Thale --

A Yes.

Q -- the effect of his relationship with you. And you hesitated many, many seconds before --

A Well, the reason I hesitated on that was, I was wondering what -- why the relationship between us should be affected.

I wouldn't -- do I disclose my decision? Are jurors' decisions disclosed?

- Q Yes, it becomes a matter of public record.
- A Oh, it does.
- Q Yes.

A Well, I am sure that, knowing the personality and knowing the nature of -- I suppose a decision that -- that wasn't on something that he went along with might -- I don't think it would harm our relationship.

But we would discuss it, I suppose. I was trying to think, you know, what was involved. I didn't know that the decisions were a matter of public record.

I've never been involved in any law cases.

THE COURT: Well, he would read the newspapers, would he not?

JUROR NO. 7: Pardon?

THE COURT: He would probably read the newspapers, would he not? And find out that you were on a jury, and -- a jury that might have found a defendant not guilty?

Do you think that would bother him?

JUROR NO. 7: Well --

THE COURT: I mean, would you think about that in the course of deliberations, or allow that to enter into your mind? That's the Court's question.

JUROR NO. 7: Well, I just don't know. This is the thing I'm hesitating about. I really don't know.

MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2, your Honor.

THE COURT: What don't you know?

JUROR NO. 7: If it would affect our relationship in any way, or --

THE COURT: Well, that's not what I am asking you about. What we are asking about is whether it would affect your decision.

JUROR NO. 7: Oh, no, no. It wouldn't affect my decision.

I thought you were asking what my brother-in-law might think.

		Ì
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	i
	7	
	8	
•	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	,
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	٠
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	,
	24	4

1
1
į
,
,
3

26 .

4a fls.

THE COURT: Let's suppose that it would affect your relationship; that it would alienate you from your --

JUROR NO. 7: I would hate to think of that possibility, because he is our --

THE COURT: Well, let's think of it. Let's say that it would alienate you from your brother-in-law; he would become so incensed at you for finding Mr. Menson not guilty that he would never speak to you again, and that suddenly occurs to you during the course of your deliberations.

Would you allow that possibility to affect your judgment?

JUROR NO. 7: No, it wouldn't affect my judgment. THE COURT: Would it affect you in any way? In making any decision on this case?

JUROR NO. 7: No, I have to --

(Pause.) My judgment would be based on the facts.

MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect that, once again, Mrs. Thale hesitated many seconds before answering that question?

THE COURT: Well, Mrs. Thale in many of her replies has been very slow and deliberate, not only on this question.

Go ahead.

BY MR, KANAREK: Now, Mrs. Thale, would you tell us, what did you -- what have you heard concerning Mr. Manson in that other trial? What did you hear?

Very little.

ŀ

3.

4 5

6

7

9

R.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19.

20 21

22

23 . 24

25 26

27

28

Manson case that was involved.

Q,

A

-- concerning Mr. Manson?

Well, just tell us what --

life style of the other defendant was comparable?

the Manson case? And would our judgment be swayed, if the

The only thing I heard is that -- had we heard of

I think that's about all the discussion of the

And this is all that you heard in open court --

A Right.

Right.

Now, then, directing your attention to what you've heard concerning Mr. Manson by way of publicity, radio, television and so forth, would you tell us, what have you heard?

you ever came to the courthouse area, that is.

A Well, I had heard the nature of the -- the life of the Manson Family, of the killings that were involved, the nature of the killings, and -- and whatever courtroom information was available.

I didn't read it in depth. I just scanned it, very scantily; and whatever was on the news or the radio, I also heard.

- Q What did you learn was the nature of the killings, from the publicity?
- A That members of the Manson Family had gone to the home of Sharon Tate, and had murdered about -- five people?

 I don't remember the number.

Ã.

Yes.

1	Q in deciding this case; right?
· 2	A. Yes.
3	A Have you heard the have you had the experiences
4	in life, wherein sometimes we intend to do something, but
5	many times the intent is not carried out?
6	Have you ever had that experience? You might
7	intend to go to Palm Springs on a weekend, make the preparation,
8 .	but somehow you never make it there
9	A Yes.
10	4 for some reason or another?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Now, by the same token, your intent here would be
13	to set aside these matters that you've heard; right?
14	A. Yes.
15	A But is it a fair statement that, not having been
16	in this process, so to speak, that really, we don't know
17	whether it would be possible for you to carry out that intent?
18	Is that a fair statement?
19	A It is.
20	MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Your Honor, 1073, Subsection 2.
21	THE COURT: Would you read the last question and answer?
22	I'm sorry, Mr. Kanarek.
23	Go ahead.
24	(Wherespon, the record was read by the reporter
25 .	as follows:
26	"Q But is it a fair statement that, not
27	having been in this process, so to speak, that
28	really, we don't know whether it would be possible

1	"for you to carry out that intent? Is that
2	a fair statement?
3	"A It is,")
4	THE COURT: All right. The Court grants the challenge
5.	for cause.
6	Thank you, Mrs. Thale, for being objective in
7	assessing your own state of mind.
8	And the Court does have to excuse you, and does
9 - 1	excuse you.
.0	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Thale.
1	THE COURT: Room 253, then, forthwith.
2	JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
.3.	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, would it be imposing to
4	adjourn now? Ten minutes well, actually some six minutes
5	early? I have a
6	THE COURT: Yes, that's all right.
.7	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
.88	THE COURT: We will adjourn now.
9	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Thank you, Mrs. Thale.
:0	THE COURT: Would you inform the jurors that they
21	should return at 2:00 o'clock?
22	THE BAILIFF: Yes, gir.
23	THE COURT: Call another name, however. Let's have another
24	name called for Mrs. Thale, so that we'll have that juror
25	ready.
26	THE CLERK: Mary B. Marlatt, M-a-r-y; M-a-r-l-a-t-t.
27	THE COURT: All right. We're in recess.
28	(Whereupon, at 11:55 a.m., an adjournment was taken
	until 2:00 p.m., same day.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JULY 8, 1971 2:30 P.M. 2 3 THE COURT: Case of People vs. Manson. 4 5 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF BERT A. MOORE 7 BY THE COURT: 8 Mr. Moore, we have questioned you before on voir dire when ---10 Α That is correct. 11 When by mistake your name was not drawn but 12 another Mr. Moore's name was drawn and we thought we were talking to the Mr. Moore whose name was drawn and we excused you. Excuse the repetition of these questions, because I 15 don't remember and I doubt if counsel remembers some of the 16 details. 17 You indicated that it would be a hardship to you 18 or not to serve on this case? 19 I think I indicated only in the case of an 20 extended sequester. 21 99 Q I see. Well, it may be that that may come about. It is 23 24 quite likely it may not come about and --The record should show that the defendant is 25 26 present with his counsel and has been since the Court began 27 to speak to Mr. Moore. Has -- have you had any jury experience? 28

1	A No, sir.
2	Q What's the nature of your employment, Mr. Moore?
3	A I formerly was employed by the State of California
4	Department of Office of Architecture and Construction.
5	Q And is there a Mrs. Moore?
6	A There is a Mrs. Moore.
7	Q Has she ever been employed outside the home?
8.	A No, she is blind. And as I said before, a
9	diabetic.
10	Q In respect to the death penalty, do you have such
11	views concerning it that you would be unable to be impartial
72	by reason of those views in deciding the issue of guilt or
13,	Innocence?
14	A. No.
15	Q Or do you have such views concerning it that you
16	would automatically refuse to impose it regardless of the
17	evidence?
18	A No.
19	Q Would you automatically impose it upon a
20	conviction of murder of the first degree without regard to
21	the evidence?
-22	A No.
23	Q In regard to publicity that you may have heard,
24	seen or read concerning Mr. Manson or any previous case that
25	he has been involved in or this case, have you formed any
26	opinions which would prejudice you against Mr. Manson?
27	A No.
nΩ	O Do you believe that you can give him a fair and

1	impartial trial as a juror in this case?
2	A I do.
3	(Whereupon, the prospective juror Mrs. Mary B.
4	Mariatt walked into the courtroom.)
5	Q BY THE COURT: In connection with what you may
6	have heard, seen or read
7	Is that Mrs. Marlatt?
8	MRS. MARLATT: Yes.
~9	THE COURT: Mrs. Marlatt, would you wait outside. Thank
10	you.
n.	Mrs. Marlatt, a juror came in, a prospective juror
12	came in just as the Court was asking Mr. Moore this last
13	question.
14	(Whereupon, Mrs. Marlatt retired from the court-
15 .	room.)
16	Q BY THE COURT: Mr. Moore, do you know what the
17	results of the Tate-La Bianca trial were?
18	A Roughly, yes, sir.
19	Q Would you tell us what your best impressions as
20	to what the results were?
21	A It was guilty, and as I recall the sentence was
22	death.
23	And have you heard the name Shorty Shea before?
24	A I have seen it in the paper.
,25	Q What do you know about it, in particular, about
26	that name? What have you heard, seen or read?
27	
00	[·

fls.

1	
2	
3	1
4	
5	
6	
7	ľ
8.	(
9.	Î
10	
11	k
12	
	-

14

17

18

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Yes	. supposed	to have	disappeared.
-------	------------	---------	--------------

- Q How about the name Gary Hinman? Have you heard the name Gary Hinman previously?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q In what connection?
 - A. In the connection with his death.
- A Had you read any of the details, alleged details, of his death?
 - A No. sir.
- Q You just remember that he is alleged to have been killed?
 - A Correct.
- Q And did you read that Mr. Manson was somehow or other responsible for that death or not?
 - A I had heard his name connected with it.
 - Q You don't know in what way?
 - A No, sir.
- You can't recall any of the details concerning
 that alleged death, is that correct?
 - A. No.
 - Q Is that true? Is that correct?
 - A That is correct. That is correct.
- any opinions that you may have formed about Mr. Manson or about this case or any other case in which Mr. Manson has been involved; that you could set aside any rumors, any gossip, any news reports that you may have heard and decide this case independently of such matters?

1	A I do,
2 .	Do you think that you can objectively and fairly
Ś	set aside anything that you may have heard, seen or read and
4	decide any issue independently of anything that you may have
5	heard, seen or read or may have talked about?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. Will you do that?
8	A I will.
9,	Q Can you, in spite of what you have heard, seen,
10	or read or talked about, be fair and impartial in this case?
11	A I can.
12	THE COURT: Go ahead, You may examine, Mr. Kanarek.
13	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
14	
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. KANAREK:
17	Q Mr. Moore, what do you know what have you heard
18	concerning Mr. Manson to this point? Can you summarize that
19	for us?
20.	A Uh, I didn't follow his the other case closely.
21	I just
22	Q Well, it is no reflection upon you, Mr. Moore, at
23	all. Just if you just tell us what you know, what
24	however large or small the amount may be. Just what you do
. 25	know, because it certainly
26	A That he was tried for the Tate-La Bianca murders
27	and was convicted.
28	Q Now, we we sort of regret that you have to be on
·i	

1	this spot b	ecause certainly nobody in this room is mad at you
2	or anything	like that.
3	1	No.
4	· •	Just whatever you do know. I don't want to suggest
5	to you thin	gs. We want to know what you, yourself, are aware
·6	of.	
7	3.	Basically that's all I know about it.
8	Q	What was the result in that other trial?
9	.	Mr. Manson was convicted.
10	Q.	What was the penalty as far as the
11	.	The death penalty.
12	Q	Do you have you, before you came to this court-
13	room, had y	ou heard of the name Shorty Shea?
14	A	I had seen it in the papers with regard to his
15	disappearan	ce.
16	Q.	What did you read in that record, what did the
17	newspapers	say concerning the
18		That it was suspected that he had been murdered.
19:	Q.	What did this article say, if anything, concerning
20 '	Mr. Manson?	
21	A	I don't recall.
22	Q	Was Mr. Manson's name mentioned in this article?
23	k	I do not recall,
24	•	Was anyone else's name mentioned?
•		I don't recall.
25		
25 26	Q	Can you give us just other than what you have
		Can you give us just other than what you have ning that article, is there anything else that

Ă. No. Now, what, if anything, did you hear concerning --2 hear, read or see concerning Mr. Gary Hinman before you came 3 into this courtroom? 4 I have heard Mr. Beausoleil's name mentioned in 5 connection with that. What did you hear in that regard? Ż I do not recall. 8 Now, if it should turn out that Mr. Beausoleil --Q there are differences of opinion on the pronunciation of that 10 name, by the way, I pronounce it sort of the way you 11 pronounce it. "Some people pronounce it Beausoleil, as if the 12 last syllable were 1-a-y. 13 If it should come to pass that Mr. Beausoleil 14 should come to this courtroom and testify from that witness 15 stand, is it possible that you may remember some of the matters 16 that you read in the newspaper? 17 I read very little. 18 But whatever you read concerning Mr. Beausoleil. 19 is it possible that you might remember as a result of --20 concerning Mr. Beausoleil, you might remember what you had 21 read? 22 Possibly. A MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Moore. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Manzella. 25 26 MR, MANZELLA; No questions, your Honor. Mr. Moore, if you do remember any such 27 THE COURT: 28 matter that you may have forgotten, whether -- concerning

Beausoleil or Bobby Beausoleil or the Tate-La Bianca case or Mr. Manson, are you capable of setting that aside for the purpose of being --

JUROR NO. 12: No effect, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well:

MR. KANAREK: Well, Mr. -- may I just ask this question of Mr. Moore, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q You think it would have no effect, but you don't -- you certainly don't recall what it is at this time, right?
 - A. That's right.
- g But is it possible that depending upon what it is, that it may be of such a nature that you couldn't -- that you couldn't put it aside?
- A. I cannot think of anything I might have read in the papers that would affect my opinion.

6-1	1
,	2.
	3
æ.	4
	5
,	6
	7
	8.
	9
	10
	Ħ
•	12
	13
	14
	15
•	16
,	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
_	24
,	25
	26

28

Q	But such a possibility do	es exist?
A	I don't think so.	
Q	But it might? I mean, yo	really

Q But it might? I mean, you really -- again, it's really no reflection upon you or anyone --

A No. But I -- at the same time, I do discount a great deal of what I read in the papers.

Q But in any event, you certainly can't know what effect it's going to have on you, because you don't remember; is that right?

A That's correct.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

- Q Would it be considered by you, whatever it may be, should you remember it, in making any judgment in this case?
 - A I don't think so. No. sir.
- Q Are you hedging at all in saying "I don't think so"?
 - A I'm not, no.
- Q So you are -- what you are expressing to me is a firm attitude whereby you can set aside anything you may have heard, seen or read from the publicity media, or from discussions, and you will set it saide for the purpose of making an independent judgment; is that your state of mind?

A That's correct.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything more on this?

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

ľ

, 12 ·

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, not within the hearing of the prospective juror:)

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. In this situation, this is pregnant with -- with great problems, because what he may have heard or may have read concerning Bobby Beausoleil may -- involves Bobby Beausoleil's actual conviction of murder, in the Gary Himman matter, his involvement with Mary Brunner -- no telling what it is.

Mary Brunner has been -- has been termed a great friend of Mr. Manson's, and various and sundry other appellations have been attached to her by people from the District Attorney's office.

The newspapers were replete with Bobby Besusoleil, and his hearing before Judge Keene. And it's pregnant with great -- with great problems. Not only in just hearing of a name. He read something. And I think when he -- when he takes the witness stand, there will undoubtedly come out the involvement -- the alleged involvement of Mr. Beausoleil.

And I do challenge this juror under 1073, Subsection 2.

Because Mr. Beausoleil is -- I mean, I don't have to belabor it to the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I have observed the juror's demeanor here, and heard his responses. And it appears to me that he is the type of man who can set aside what he may have heard, seen or read; and that he will set it aside.

He seems to me to be the type of person who is

__

2I

fully capable of doing that.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: And accordingly, --

MR. KANAREK: -- he doesn't --

THE COURT: The challenge for cause is denied.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence of the prospective juror:)

BY THE COURT:

Mr. Moore, if in the course of jury deliberations, Mr. Moore, someone should mention something that was printed in the newspaper or something that was seen over television, seen and heard over television or radio, in the course of deliberations in this case that is mentioned, would you make it your business to remind that juror or those jurors who mention those items that such items are not to be considered by the jury in arriving at any decision in this case?

A Yes, sir.

And would you yourself affirmatively take such steps in your mind as are necessary to exclude any such information that you may have heard, seen or read about?

A Yes, I would.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Moore, would you rejoin the other jurors now at this time? And we'll ask -- as you go out, if you will ask Mrs. Marlatt to come in? She's waiting at the doorway. Thank you.

Are the other jurors in Department 100? THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir, they are.

THE COURT: Department 100, then, Mr. Moore. 1 Mrs. Marlatt, would you come forward? .2. You would be --3 JUROR NO. 7: Just a minute. I left my bag out in the 4 hall. 5 THE COURT: Certainly. 6 (Pause in the proceedings.) 8. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 10. MARY B. MARLATT 11 BY THE COURT: All right. You can just be seated right there, 12 13 Mrs. Mariatt, 14 The Court wants to know from you whether or not you had heard, seen or read of Mr. Manson before you came into 15 16 this courtroom, into this trial? 6a fls. 17 Well, I've heard, yes. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

	2	•
a-1	1	And what was that? What was that connected with?
	2	A Well, with his other trial, And I
•	3	Q That was in the Tate-La Bianca killing case?
ષ્ટ	4	A Yes.
•	5	Q And did you follow that in the press and over
* , , ,	6	television and via radio?
	7	A Not too much. Because I was disgusted at the way
	.8	he acted before the Court. And I didn't think it was worthy
	g	of my attention.
	10	Q The way Mr. Manson acted?
	n ,	A Yes
	12	Q Would what you had heard, seen or read in that
	13	case prejudice you in such a way that you couldn't be fair
	14	and impartial in this case?
	15	A Well, I haven't read too much about it, but I've
•	16	heard, you know, on the TV news; and I
æ	17	@ Well, you
,	18	A wouldn't be I would be impartial, because
	19	I don't know anything about this case for which he is accused
•	20	of murder.
	21	Q But you do know about his conduct?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q Mr. Manson's conduct in that previous case in
,	24	court; is that correct?
*	25	A. Yes. And I and I am in favor of capital
ŧ	26	punishment. And if he is proven guilty, then I think he
_:	27 ·	should be executed. And the sooner the better, because we've
-	28	spent all our money on him, and we're the taxpayers who work
		to make a listing and to live unvicibility and we have been

1	A well, I'm afraid it might be a little.
2	MR. KANAREK: May I ask her some questions, your Honor?
3	THE COURT: I think not, unless you wish to
4	MR. MANZELLA: We would not oppose the challenge, your
<i>5</i> .	Honor,
6	MR. KANAREK: Well, I haven't made it yet. But I would
7	like to
8	THE COURT: Well, the Court will excuse you.
ą	MR. KANAREK: Well, may I ask her some questions?
10	THE COURT: No, you may not. The Court finds that,
n	by reason of bias and prejudice, that this lady should be
12	excused. And the Court does excuse you.
13	You may report to Room 253 forthwith, Mrs. Marlatt.
14	Thank you for being here.
15	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Marlatt.
16.	DEFENDANT MANSON: It's good to see those honest jurors.
17	But the honest ones, you kick away.
18	THE COURT: Call some more.
19	Well, let's see. We only need one more, don't
20	we? Somebody for Mrs. Marlatt?
21	THE CLERK: Yes. Gladys M. Merritt; M-e-r-r-i-t-t.
22`	THE COURT: Bring Mrs. Merritt in. And well, let's
23	see. If she passes the Voir dire, then that will fill the
24	box once again.
25	THE BAILIFF: She's on her way over, sir.
26	May we have another standby? Otherwise, there
27	will be a delay.
28	THE COURT: We may not need it for a while. Let's see.

24

25

26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

GLADYS M. MERRITT

BY	THE	COUR	Ψ:
		UUUN	

- Q Excuse me. You are Mrs. --
- A Gladys Merritt.
- Q Mrs. Merritt, were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors, and cross-examined -- or examined a prospective juror?
 - A Yes, sir.
- And would your answers be any different than the answers that that person gave to the majority of the questions that I put to -- strike that.

Would your answers be any different than the answers that that person gave to the general questions I put to the juror?

- A Generally, no.
- Q All right. Would there be a hardship to you to serve on this jury?
 - A Businesswise, I'm afraid so.
 - Q Tell us about that.
- A I am self-employed in a small business, in Whittier.
 - Q What is the -- what kind of business?
- A Interior design, which, you may or may not know, is -- you go to sell a job; and you get the job, and then you get money from the job, according to --
 - Q Yes. It's a very personal business, isn't it?
 - A A personal business. And I am the sole support of

my mother and myself. 6b-21 2 I arranged for this month, and it was a hopeful arrangement, and it's working out for this month. But four 3 or five months would be -- I would be without support. 4 It would be disastrous for you? 5 Yes. I'm afraid so. 6 A 7 It's your sole support? Q. 8 A Yes. 9 And the support of your mother? 10 A And my mother, yes. I regret this. 11. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Manzella? 12 MR. MANZELLA: The People will stipulate it constitutes a 13 hardship, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. The Court thanks you, Mrs. 15 Merritt, for --16 JUROR NO. 7: I'm awfully sorry. 17 THE COURT: -- giving up your time to serve as a juror 18 for at least the month. 19 The Court finds it would be a hardship to you to 20 serve in this case, and one that you should not have to 21 endure, and the Court excuses you. 22 Call another name. And let's get the one that's 23 waiting at the door in here. 24 Tom Sakiyama; S-a-k-i-y-a-m-a. THE CLERK: What happened to Mr. Henry? THE COURT: 26 THE BAILIFF: He hasn't arrived yet, sir. 27 MR. KANAREK: What was that last spelling?

THE CLERK: S-a-k-i-y-a-m-a.

28

6b-3 MR.KANAREK: N or M? THE CLERK: M like Mary. 2 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF BILLY C.HENRY BY THE COURT: Mr. Henry, were you present when the Court explained Q. the nature of this case, and questioned the prospective juror in the matter? A Yes, I was. 10 Would your answers be any different than his 11 answers to the questions of a general nature? 12 No. they wouldn't. 13 Would it be any hardship to you to serve as a juror 14 in this case? Yes, sir, your Honor, I think it would. A 16 17 Q. In what way? Well, I volunteered to serve for 30 days. But 18 having lost 50 percent of my wages, my job only pays me 50 19 20 percent of what I would make if I were at work. And I couldn't suffer that loss for over 30 days. Are you the support of anyone but yourself? Q A I am supporting my own family. 24 And what does that consist of? Q 25 It consists of myself and my properties, and that's A a11. 27 Yourself and what? Q 28 And my property that I -- you know -- well, it just A

consists of me. But I am buying property and -- you know. it would be a risk of losing what I own, you know, by not being able to make any financial obligations.

Oh, I see. In other words, after 30 days, your pay would stop?

No, sir, your Honor. I didn't anticipate being here over 30 days. And my job -- even for the 30 days that I am here -- only paid me 50 percent of what I would be making, if I were at work.

So that -- are you saying that if you continued on jury duty for as much as four or five months, that you would be paid only 50 percent of your earnings during that period of time?

That's right, your Honor. A

Whom do you work for?

American Metal Products, in the City of -- it's in L.A.

And you have inquired and found out that that is the policy of the company?

I inquired as to -- for the 30 days. inquire for any longer time than that, because I didn't anticipate being here any longer than that.

6c fls.

26

27

28

	Ç	
6 %		1

1
2
3
4
5
6.
7
8
9
10-
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
92

26

27

28

Q	Ę	the	bojica	of	the	comi	pany	is f	or i	the	30	(ab-(?	
period,	that	you	would	-	Хол	are	paid	for	onl	Ly :	50	per	cent	of
112									•					

A That's right, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any questions, gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, if I may.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREKI

The name of that company is American Metal?

A Yes, sir.

Q M-e-t-a-1? Products?

A Right,

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Well, your Honor, I make the motion that the County -- that out of County funds, that he be reimbursed for his full -- whatever his regular wages would be, and --

THE COURT: You failed to make that motion in the case of Mrs. Merritt, who runs the interior decorating business.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, sir. There's a different --

THE COURT: Well, what --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Because as I view it -THE COURT: I think, to be consistent, shouldn't the

County have to pay for all of the --

MR. KANAREK: No. No, your Honor,

THE COURT: -- to pay for perhaps her gross earnings from the interior decorating business, during the time she's here?

MR. KANAREK: Well, hopefully, that --1 THE COURT: The motion -- that motion is denied. MR. KANAREK: Well, if your Honor would allow me to explain the difference --THE COURT: No. You needn't explain it. The motion is 5 denied. MR. KANAREK: That was her own business. THE COURT: It was the source of her livelihood, 8 Mr. Kanarek, and this is the source of this man's livelihood. 9 MR. KANAREK: Well, there's a --10 THE COURT: And the Court finds that he shouldn't be --11 BY THE COURT: 12 Well, I want to find out whether your company would in any way deviate from that rule. Could you, during the time -- the Court has -- will 15 give you access to the Court's telephone during the recess, 16. and let you call, find out whether or not your company would in any way assist you and deviate from the rule, so that 18 you could serve. All right. 20. A Have you ever sat as a juror before? 21 O. No, sir, your Honor, I haven't. 22 You have told us what company you worked for. 23 What do you do for the company? I am a sheetmetal worker on production. 25 And do you have any friends or relative who are **26** law enforcement officers? 27 To my knowledge, no, your Honor. 28.

1	·
1	Q Is there a Mrs. Henry?
2	A No, sir, there isn't.
3 ,	Q In what area do you reside?
4	A I reside in Southwest Los Angeles.
5	Q Have you, during the course of the last several
6	months, heard of Mr. Manson? Heard, seen or read of
7	Mr. Manson, before you came into this courtroom?
8	A I've heard of him, but as far as reading about it
9	in the papers, I don't read that often, so I can't say that
10	I've read anything about the case, no.
11	4 How had you heard his name at all?
12	A In gossip, and as far as that goes, it's hear-
13	may, and I don't even remember what I heard about it.
14	So I'm not familiar with the case.
15	Well, do you know in what regard you heard his
16	name?
17	A. Well you know, in the incident that happened
18	out at the at the Sharon Tate place, or wherever
19	Q You are talking about the Sharon Tate homicides?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q Do you know the name La Bianca?
22	A I've heard the name, yes, but I wouldn't even
23	know what it applied to.
24	
25	
26	
27	

7-1	1	Q Well, in that Sharon Tate homicide case, did you
	2	hear that he was prosecuted for that killing?
	3	A Uh, I'm not sure whether I heard that or not.
•	4	I don't remember.
*	5	Q So you don't know whether that was a court
*	6	proceeding in connection with that killing or not?
	7	A I've heard there was a court proceeding, but I
•	8	didn't know what the outcome of it was.
	9	Q You didn't know whether Mr. Manson was involved?
	10	A Yes, I did, sure.
	11	Q . Well, what did you know about that?
	12	A Your Honor, what I have heard about it, like I
	13	say
	14	Q., That's all we want to know, what you have heard
5 .	15	about it.
·	16	A That I don't remember. It is just it was
3	17	something that was said about it that I heard in gossip and
	18	that was it is not anything that meant anything to me,
	19	so I don't remember it, you know.
	20	Q Well, you knew that Mr. Manson do you know
	21	whether or not Mr. Manson was ever accused of murder?
	22.	A No, not definitely.
	23	Q So as far as you know he was some way or other
	24	connected with Sharon Tate?
A	25	A And the murder cases, yes.
*	26	Q The murder case.
	27	A Yes.
	28	Q You don't know how?

1	A I know he was supposed to be involved in them
2	either in a position to have caused it or some way, you
3	know.
4	Q Have you ever heard the name Susan Atkins?
. 5	A No, sir, I haven't.
6	Q Have you ever heard the name Bobby Beausoleil?
7	A No, sir.
8.	Q Have you ever heard the name Gary Hinman?
9:	A No. sir.
10	Q Shorty Shea?
11	A No, sir.
12	Q Spahn Ranch?
13.	A I don't I don't remember. I'm not sure.
14	Q Manson Family?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q What does that mean to you?
17	A . Uh, I'm not sure. It was, I guess, connected
18	with one of the murder cases or something.
19	Q In what way?
2 0 .:	A I couldn't say, your Honor. I don't really
21	remember right now.
22,	Q And which one?
23	A I couldn't say that. What I heard about it, your
24	Honor like I say, it was in a you know, in a general
25	conversation. It was something that didn't mean anything to
26	me, so I don't really remember any of the particulars about it.
27	Q You don't need to feel embarrassed about not
28	having heard about it. It is simply it is not really a

test, Mr. Henry. We're simply inquiring as to what you do know, and whether you can set aside what you may know and may remember and whether you will set it aside, so -- or -- so -- for the purpose of acting as a juror in the case.

So considering whatever it is that you may know about Mr. Manson, about that Sharon Tate murder trial or murder case, and possibly anything that you might remember about this case, and Mr. Manson in any connection, having all of that in mind, can you set aside whatever you may have heard and decide this case and any issue in this case independently of such matter?

A Yes, sir.

Q Can you be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson -- can you be -- strike that.

Can you be fair to Mr. Manson in determining any issue in this case, despite what you may have read, heard or seen?

- A 'Yes, sir, your Honor, I could.
- During the course of the publicity, while that trial was going on, did you hear anything of an anti-Negro nature?
 - A No, sir, I can't say that.
 - Q Anything with racial overtones whatever?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q Concerning the death penalty?

Do you have such views regarding it that you would not be able to be impartial in determining the question of guilt or innocence?

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
*	1	A No, sir, I don't.
	2	O Or, again, considering the death penalty, would
	3	your viewsbe such concerning it that you would automatically
	4	refuse to impose it in any case regardless of the evidence?
• ,	5	A No, sir, I wouldn't.
	Ģ	Q Or would you automatically impose it upon a
. •	7	conviction of murder of the first degree?
	8 .	A No, sir, I wouldn't.
	9 .	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such
	10	that you would never vote to impose it in any case?
	11	A No, sir, your Honor.
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	*
•	16	
	17	
٠	18	
	19	
	20.	
	21	
,	22	
*.	`23	
- 1	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

- [
	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Kanarek, you can examine.
2	
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
.	BY MR. KANAREK;
5	Q Uh, sir, would you tell us can you, in capsule
5.	form or in brief tell us everything that you know concerning
7	Mr. Manson and the Tate-La Bianca case?
в	A Approximately, I would say, that I know that he
9-	is involved in the case. That's about all that I know.
0.	Q I'm talking about that previous case, the previous
1	Case.
2	A The Sharon Tate or La Bianca case, whatever it is,
3	that's about it, what I have said now is what I know of. I've
4	heard it I have heard a few of the words connected with
5	it, I've heard spoken, you know. But as far as actually knowing
6	any of the particulars about it, I can't say I know anything
7.	about it.
8	Q Do you know the results of the case?
.9	A No, I don't.
0	Q You don't know what the jury did in that case?
21	A No, sir, I don't.
22	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Henry.
23.	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella.
24	MR. MANZELLA: No questions, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Gentlemen, I think that fills the box again,
26	doesn't it?
27	MR. MANZELLA: It does, your Honor.
28	THE COURT: Have Mr. Moore in seat No. 12 and Mr. Henry
	1 ,

 in seat No. 6.

MR. KANAREK: 7, isn't it, your Honor?

THE COURT: 7.

Very well, Mr. Henry, take your place down there at the end, seat No. 7, and we'll declare a recess very shortly -- we'll declare a recess right now. And during the recess would you find out for us -- you can use the telephone in this antercom right here. Find out for us if your employer -- talk to somebody in authority there and see whether or not they would in any way bend that rule so that if you were to serve any longer they would pay you. Tell them that it is the request of the Court that they do that and would like to see that it be done so you could serve.

JUROR NO. 7: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Don't talk to anyone in connection with this case except in that respect. Don't form or express any opinion on the matter until it has finally been submitted to you. We'll be in recess for ten minutes.

JUROR NO. 7: Yes, sir.

(Afternoon recess.)

THE COURT: The record will show the defendant is present with his counsel. The prospective jurors are in the box and beyond the rail.

If you gentlemen wish, you may begin the general voir dire of Mr. Moore and Mr. Henry.

Mr. Henry, excuse me, did you determine during the recess, as we asked you to, whether or not your employer would in any way relax the rule that had been spelled out?

JUROR NO. 7: I think they were supposed to call back. They did call back and they said they THE CLERK: wouldn't change the policy.

> THE COURT: I see.

The Clerk informs me that you had talked with someone in the company and that the policy would not be changed and that therefore you would not be paid your full salary during the period of time that you would be serving as a juror; is that correct?

JUROR NO. 7: That is correct.

THE COURT: The Court does excuse you, and the Court finds this is a hardship that you should not be required to bear and would let you be excused from this jury.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor? THE COURT: No, you may not. The Court thanks you and Room 253. excuses you.

THE CLERK: Monday.

Let's see, you needn't go now, you may go THE COURT: Monday morning. Monday.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Henry.

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Pick another juror, then. 1 THE CLERK: Tom Sakiyama, S-a-k-i-y-a-m-a. 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF TOM SAKIYAMA 5 BY THE COURT: Mr. Sakiyama, were you present when the Court 7 explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors? 8 Yes. I was. 9 And did you ever hear the Court's questioning a 10 prospective juror? Ħ Yes, I have. 12 Would your answers be any different than he 13 responded to the questions of a general nature? 14 It would be the same. 15 It would be the same. Would there be any hardship 16 to you, Mr. Sakiyama, were you asked to serve as a juror in this case? Uh -- I work for Von's Grocery. I am a produce 19 manager. And I work in a small store, where I am the only one 20 that runs the department. 21 I -- I have a replacement for this week and next 22 week. I am going there in the morning, and at night, when I 23 am through here. 24 I will be working there Saturday and Sunday. 25 I am afraid, if I stay out longer than -- they 26 have allowed me a month. But any longer than that, I'm afraid 27

they'll replace me, and I'll have to start as a clerk again.

1	A theker tabtace for me ente hoptoness
2	A. Yes.
3	Q And you'll lose some
4	A I'll have to start over again as a clerk,
5	Q You'll lose some earnings, valuable earnings to
6	you? It does mean more to you in money?
7	A. Yes, it does, um-hmm.
.8	And you may not be able to assume the position
9	upon your return, four or five months hence?
10	A. Well, I am 50 years old now, and it would be hard
n i	for me to get back in the swing of it.
12	Q So that it would mean a considerable financial
13	hardship to you, if that were to happen?
14	A. Well, it would, yes. I have three kids in
15	college right now, and
16	THE COURT: Gentlemen?
17	MR. MANZELLA: The People will stipulate it would
18	constitute a hardship, your Honor,
19 .	MR, KANAREK: Yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. The Court will excuse Mr.
21	Sakiyama.
22	JUROR NO. 7: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: The Court finds that it would be a hardship
24	to you, a financial hardship; and therefore, would excuse you.
25	JUROR NO. 7: Thank you.
26	THE COURT: I see a hand raised by Mrs. Wellington.
27	

3 10 44 12 13 15 16, 17 18 19 20. 21. 22 23 24

26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

OF MRS. MILDRED L. WELLINGTON

BY THE COURT:

- @ Mrs. Wellington?
- A I have a -- I've found out that I have a similar situation to the -- the fellow that left earlier -- not the last one; but the one before that.
- Q Tell us what your situation is, without regard to anybody whom you can't remember.
- A In checking with my place of employment, I found out that if I were to serve on a juror -- as a juror for a period of four or five months, that in all probability, I wouldn't have a job at the end of that time.
 - Whom do you work for? I've forgotten.
 - A Diamond Tool Associates, in Gardena.
 - A It's quite likely that you'd be terminated, then?
 - A ... In all probabilities, yes.
 - Were you informed of that by someone in authority?
 - A. By the plant manager.
 - Q That's in authority, isn't it?
 - A Yes, it is,
- And your working is the source of your survival; is that correct?
 - A That's dorrect.

THE COURT: Yes. Any comment?

MR. MANZELLIA: The People --

MR. KANAREK: May I ask a question, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Mrs. Wellington, did they tell you that they wouldn't pay you? Is that what they said, or did they --
- A Uh -- he said to me that if I served -- if I was absent from work for a period of about four or five months, in all probability I would have no job at the end of that time.
- Q I see. Did you discuss the aspect of pay? Was it discussed as to whether --
- A Not really. Because after he told me that, I was kind of shocked, because usually they're very considerate.
- Q. I see. And you've worked there -- and how many people are employed in this plant?
- A. Uh -- there's less than a hundred people. It's a small firm.

	'1	•
8a-1	1	Q And in the office, how many are employed?
	2	A Approximately ten.
.	·3	Q About ten?
	. 4	A. Yes.
"	5 .	MR. KANAREK: Thank you. May we approach the bench,
	6	your Honor?
,	7	THE COURT: No, you may not.
	8. '	BY THE COURT;
,	9 ·	Q Well, this problem, of course, would concern you,
,	10	wouldn't it?
	11	A That is my main concern, as of now.
£	12	And you think it might detract you from your
	13	deliberations and from your from concentrating on the case?
	14	A. I don't think so.
	15	Q Do you think you could devote your full attention
	16	to it? But your problems would arise once you had completed
*	17	the jury service?
<u>;</u>	18	A That is correct.
•••	19 .	Q All right. As I recall, you're working there
	20	steadily, are you?
	21	A. Yes, sir.
	22	THE COURT: The Court finds that this is a hardship that
•	23	you should not have to endure, the loss of that the possible
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	24	loss of that; job or the probable loss of that job; and
•	25	therefore, the Court will excuse you.
•	. 26.	Thank you.
	27	JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.
	28	THE COURT: Room 253 on Monday, if you would, please.

You might take your slip with you. 1 (Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at 2 the bench between the Court and the clerk,) 3 THE COURT: Mrs. Holt will get it back there for you. 4 MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Wellington. 5 THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Wellington. 6 All right. Let's see. We need a juror for seat No. 3, for Mrs. Wellington, and a prospective juror -- a 8 prospective juror for seat No. 3 and one for seat No. 7. . 9 THE CLERK: Clarence W. Henson; C-1-a-r-e-n-c-e; last 10 name, H-e-n-s-o-n. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Henson, seat No. 3. 12 THE CLERK: Mrs. Diane E. Peters; D-i-a-n-e; last name, 13 P-e-t-e-r-s. 14 THE COURT: Miss Peters, would you take seat No. 7? 15 16 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 17 BY THE COURT: 18 I'll ask you. Mrs. Peters and Mr. Henson, whether 0 19 you were both present at the time that the Court explained 20 the nature of this case, and whether you heard the Court's 21 explanation of this case, and the Court's questioning of a 22 prospective juror? 23 JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Use the microphone. JUROR NO. 3: JUROR NO. 7: Yes. 27 28

THE COURT: And you could pass it back and forth, if you ŀ w111. 2 Would your answers be any different than the 3 answers of the prospective juror to the questions of a general nature? JUROR NO. 3: No. 6. JUROR NO. 7: I just had one question, and that was about a crime of violence. What is the definition of that? 8 OF MRS. DIAME B. PETERS 10 11 BY THE COURT: Well, had you, in your opinion, been subject to 12 or had someone else been subject to a crime of violence, who 13 was close to you? 14 15. Need it have been committed, or only threatened? Yes, one that had been committed -- or, one that 16 17 is now threatened, if that is the case. 18: I was threatened by a man at the door with a gun. 19 Is that a crime of violence? 20 Yes, I think the Court would believe that that 21 would be a violent act, to point a gun at someone. 22 Someone pointed a gun at you at one time, then? 23 Yes. 24 How long ago was that? 25 It was in -- last May -- no -- a year ago; about 26 a year ago. 27 Was somebody apprehended for that act? 0 28 Uh -- the police only came and talked to him, and A

1	he moved out of the place we were in.
2	Q Did you ever testify against that person?
3	A No, because he didn't do anything. He only
4	threatened.
5 .	But he pointed a gun at you?
6	A Yes,
7	Q Well, would that raise in your mind any prejudice
8	against the person who is accused of the crimes of which
9	Mr. Manson is accused?
10	A (No response.)
11	Or would those matters would be something
12	that would not in any way interfere with your judgment in
13	this case?
14	L Uh I I think it might prejudice me, yes.
15	Q Do you think that that would prevent you from
16	being fair and impartial in any case involving an alleged
17	crime of violence?
18	h (No response.)
19	Q You seem to be considerably hesitant about answer-
20	ing. Do you understand my question?
21, `	A No, I don't, really. That it enters into my
22	opinions and my thoughts on the matter, punishment, and
23	Q Well, that is a different matter, is it not?
24	About matters of punishment, now?
25	Are you talking about the death penalty?
26	A (No response.)
27 ° .	Q I'm sorry. I am having problems following you.
28	A. Well, I am I don't think I'm prejudiced.

1	Q.	Well,	go Aon	have	some	views	jus	t le	t me	ask
you,	genera	illy.	Do you	have's	ome	views	concern	ing	the	death
pena	lty whi	ch you	believ	ra woul	d di	equal1	fy you,	as ·	Ļha	Court
has	explain	ed suc	h dimqu	alific	zatio	ns?	, ; •			

- A I think that my views on the death penalty, and based on my experience that I've related to you, would prejudice me in this case, yes.
- All right. Let me ask you, then, do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose them, regardless of what the evidence might show?
 - A. No. I would definitely impose them.
- Q. In other words, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree, --
 - A. Yes.
- Q -- a homicide, a murder of the first degree, you would automatically impose the death penalty?
 - A Yes, sir, I would.

28

n Regardless of the evidence in the case?

A Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Any questions?

MR. KANAREK: No, I would like to approach the bench, if I may?

THE COURT: You needn't approach the bench.

All right, the Court will excuse you, Mrs. Peters.

You believe that because of this incident that you could not
be fair and impartial in the first phase of the case, likewise?

JUROR NO. 7: I think it has helped to form my opinion, yes.

THE COURT: So that even in the first phase of the trial, as I have explained to you, the question of guilt or innocence, you feel as though because of this that you would be -- you could not be --

JUROR NO. 7: I think I would even be prejudiced in the matter of the first decision.

THE COURT: All right, the Court finds, then, that you should be excused and excuses you for cause. Thank you, and you should report to Room -- is your jury duty ended yet?

JUROR NO. 7: No, I have about three weeks.

THE COURT: All right. You should report to Room 253 on Monday at 9:00 o'clock.

JUROR NO. 7: Thank you.

THE COURT: Select another name, then.

Let's go on with --

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Miss Peters.

THE CLERK: Miss Nellie Bradbury, N-e-1-1-i-e, last

name, B-r-a-d-b-u-r-y.

2⁻ 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14,

15

16

17

18

20

21

22· 23

24

25

26

27

28.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

MISS NELLIE BRADBURY

BY THE COURT:

- Mrs. Bradbury, you have been present during all of the proceedings thus far in this case and have heard the Court's explanation to the prospective jurors?
 - A Yes, I have.
- And as I remember, you were the lady that raised your hand when we were talking about death penalty or about penalty.

Do you have certain views concerning the death penalty that would preclude you from being fair and impartial in determining guilt or innocence in this case?

- A No. sir.
- Q In connection with the death penalty, do you have such views concerning it that you would automatically refuse to impose it in any case?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically impose it upon a conviction of murder of the first degree without regard to the evidence?
 - A No. sir.
- You did raise your hand in connection with something, as I recall, and is it in connection with something you may have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson?

1 .	A Yes, sir.
2	Q All right. We'll discuss that with you, then,
3	outside of the hearing of the other prospective jurors.
4	Would you say let me ask you, if you have not
5	answered, would your answers be any different than that
.6	prospective juror's answers than those of the prospective
7	juror whom I questioned in your presence, the first one from
8	your group whom I questioned, to the general questions I put?
9 :	A No, there wouldn't be any difference.
10	Q Would there be any hardship to you to serve on
11	this jury?
12	A Yes, it would.
13	Q In what way?
14	A I have a husband who is disabled and requires
15	medical attention. And he requires medication both morning
16	and evening and
17	Q If you were sequestered, it would cause you some
18	great difficulty?
19	A Yes, it would.
20	Q Of course, the Court doesn't anticipate you will
21	be sequestered.
22	Is there any other hardship that you would suffer?
23	A No, that would be the only one.
24	Q It is a matter of having somebody to administer
25	the medicine to your husband?
26	A And be with him at nighttime.
27	Q Be with him for what reason? Is he ill to the
28	extent that he requires care at night?

	1	A Well, he isn't to be left alone at night.
	2	Q In other words, he's in such a physical condition
	3	that he requires it is required that somebody be present?
2	4	A That's right.
	5	Q Is that a doctor's order or just a whim on your
4.	6	part or his part?
	7	A No *- well, he's been under medical care for ten
	8	years and always we never have left him alone at nighttime.
	9	Q Is there someone else who could be present in the
	10	home?
	11	A No, there isn't.
	12	Q Arc you do you and he live alone?
	13	A Yes, sir.
-	14	Q Do you have a relative who could fill in for you
,	15	during the time that you are serving?
	16	A I have no relative here, close. I have a daughter
⇔ r	17	that lives north of here, but she is working. It would be
	18	impossible for her to leave her family to come in every night.
	19	Q When you say "north of here," what do you mean?
	20	A That's in Newbury Park.
•	21	Q Where is Newbury Park?
	22	A A little north of Thousand Oaks.
	23	Q Oh, she's in the County?
	24	A No, she's in Ventura County.
*	25	Q Oh.
•	26	And where do you live?
9 a	fls. 27	A In Cypress Park, close to Highland Park.
	28	

Al	1

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Well, it is anticipated your jury service would
 be about the way it is now, that is, you would be excused
 at approximately 4:30 and be allowed to go home.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- of time during deliberations when the Court might very well sequester you. That would be the only difficulty, would be during the time of deliberation. That would be a relatively limited time in comparison to the time that you will spend on the jury duty as a whole; you understand that?
 - A Yes, sir.
- And in that situation you could probably make some arrangements, couldn't you?
 - A Well, I could try.
- All right. We'll assume that you are going to try and that you will be successful.

Have you been on a jury before?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q In a criminal case?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q What was the nature of that case and was there a verdict?
 - A . There was no verdict. It was a murder case.
- Q Will you set saids whatever you may have heard, seen or read in that case?
 - A. Oh. yes.
- Q Whatever you may have learned in that case and decide this case solely on its evidence in this case?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q That is, on the evidence in this case, and on the
3	law in this case.
4	Will that be will those be the things on which
5	you will decide this case?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q Do you have any friends or relatives who are
. 8	law enforcement officers?
9	h No, sir.
10	Q And is that Mr Mr. Bradbury is incapacitated
n	most of the time? He doesn't work?
12 .	A No, he is up, but he isn't able to do anything of
13	any nature of any exertion.
14	Q Is he employed?
15	A. No.
16	Q You've indicated where you live, the general
17	area of where you live.
18	Now, let me ask you this: In connection with
19	I'll go on to some other - well, some other juror.
20	Let's talk to Mr. Henson.
21	
22	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
23.	OF CLARENCE W. HENSON
24	BY THE COURT:
25	Mr. Hanson, you replied you were present and that
, 26	your answers would be no different to the general questions
27	that were put to the juror.
28.	Now, let me ask you, would it be a hardship to you

1 _		•	
in	wolved in	law enforcement?	,
•	À.	No, I do not.	
,	Q	Does Mrs. Henson work?	
	λ.	No, she doesn't.	
,			
		**	
	,	,	
	,		r
	•		
:	,		
•		,	•
	, .	•	
	,		
	t		*
	y .		
	•		,
	*		•
			,
	•		
			· -
			,
,	· · · · · ·		• •
			٠
			•

Outside the home, I mean? 96-1 A No. 2 In what general area do you reside? Q 3 West L. A., southwest L. A. 4 Concerning the death penalty, Mr. Henson, do you 5 have views concerning it as such that would keep you from 6 being impartial in determining guilt or innocence? 7 No. 8 Or do you have views concerning the death penalty 9 such that you would automatically refuse to impose it? ጎዕ A No. 11 Or are your views concerning the death penalty such 12 that you would automatically, upon a conviction of murder of 13 the first degree, impose the death penalty regardless of the 14 evidence? 15 No. 16 THE COURT: Gentlemen, do you wish to pursue questioning 17 Mr. Moore or shall we wait until after we've talked privately 18 with Mrs. Bradbury and Mr. Henson? 19 MR. KANAREK: It may be more efficient to do it that 20 way, your Honor. 21 MR. MANZELLA: I agree. 22 THE COURT: All right, we'll ask you to take a trek, 23. ladies and gentlemen, again. It keeps you moving anyhow. 24 Don't talk about the case amongst yourselves or 25 anyone else during the course of this recess for you. 26 Would you all go back to where you came from as 27 quickly and as quietly as you can, and we presumably will see 28

you later this afternoon, leaving only Mr. Henson and -- let's 1 say Mr. Henson for the time being. 2 Mr. Moore, we'll ask you to step outside just 3 briefly. You can remain -- well, I think you can go with 4 the other jurors, if you would. 5 Now, we'll ask Miss Bradbury to step outside just 6 briefly. (Whereupon, the prospective jurors retired from 8. the courtroom with the exception of Mrs. Loudd and 9 Mr. Henson.) 10. 11 THE COURT: Can we help you; Miss Loudd? JUROR NO. 5: Well --12 13 THE COURT: You seem lost. 14 JUROR NO. 5: Well, I was asking him if he could ask you if we could reconvene tomorrow at 10:00, and I was going 15 16 to stop by and pick up my check. I can't --17 THE COURT: You have to pick up your check tomorrow? 18 JUROR NO. 5: They come out about 9:15 and I thought I 19 could go by and pick it up, because I didn't want to be late. 20 You'11 have a recess tomorrow between 12:00 THE COURT: 21 and 2:00. Can you pick it up then? 22 JUROR NO. 5: I could if she is going to stay there and 23 wait for me. If she goes to lunch, they won't let anybody 24 issue it out but my supervisor. 25 See if you can make some arrangement to pick THE COURT: 26 it up tomorrow. You are in the box and the Court would not 27 like to excuse you. Ifwe can do it some other way --28 MR. KANAREKI Your Honor --

THE COURT: You propose that, what, that you grant her 1 the check? 2 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, but she said 10:00 o'clock, 3 and I think it is fair to say, based on the experience like today --THE COURT: Don't presume on the experience today. 7 Today was a very bad day. I won't say why. 8 (Whereupon, juror No. 5 retired from the courtroom. 9 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 11. CLARENCE W. HENSON 12 BY THE COURT: 13 All right, Mr. Henson, have you heard anything 14 about Mr. Manson, heard, read or seen anything about him 15 before this case began? 16 Yes. A. 17 Q Keep that up there. 18 In what connection? Was that in connection with 19 the Sharon Tate killing? 20 That's right, right. 21 Did you read about that case as it went along? 22 I didn't keep up with it because I had two jobs and more or less what I saw was on television. 24 In other words, you did hear and see something in 25 connection with the case on television? 26 That's right. Å 27 All right. And how about radio? Did you occasionally hear a radio news report?

1	A How many?
2	Q Yes.
ġ.	A Well, I remember I think there were five at
4	the ranch. Is this where the Biancas come in also?
5	Yes.
6	Q. Did you hear about had you ever heard about
7	Shorty Shea, the name Shorty Shea?
8	A That's right.
9	Q Refore I called this case?
10	A (Nods head.)
11	Q And told you about it?
12	A I had.
13	Q What had you heard about Mr. Shea?
14	A Well, I heard I think he was a stunt movie man
15	and he was missing and he was presumed dead.
16 ,	Q Had you heard that Mr. Manson was in any way
17	involved with his death?
18	A Yes.
19.	Q Now, in connection with Gary Himman, had you
20.	heard, read or seen anything about him?
21	A Yes.
22	What had you heard, seen or read about him?
23	A Well, I think this was in connection with having
24	some music or poems set to music or music published or
25	something like that, if I am correct.
26	Q What occurred if as nearly as you can remember
27	from the news reports?
28	A Well, I think he was killed. Mr. Hinman was

		· .	
	1	killed, bec	ause if I remember, he refused or something of that
•	2	sort.	
	3	Q _,	Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
ŧ	4	A	(Nods head:)
	5	Q	Yes?
\$ `	6	A	Yes.
•	7	Q.	And what do you know about that name?
	8 ^	. A	Well, I know that name was associated with the
	9	Family, and	that's as much as I can remember.
	i0	Q	You say the Family, you mean by that what?
	11	A	The associates of Charles Manson.
	12	ହ	The Manson Family, so-called Manson Family?
10 fls.	13	A	Yes.
_	14	,	
•	15		
	16.		
	17		
	18 ·		
	19		
	20	' :	•
	21	,	
	22	,	
	23	•	
: •	24	· e	
*	25	ļ.	
*	26		
_	27		
	28		
		•	

27

28,

Now, having in mind all those things, do you think it's possible for you to set them aside?

A Oh, I could.

Q Do you think you could set aside anything that you might have heard, seen or read, or anything that you might have talked about with anybody --

A Well ---

Q -- and be independent -- or, strike that.

That you could set aside whatever you might have heard, seen or read or talked about, in connection with Mr.

Manson or this case, or the other case? And decide any issue that you may be called upon to decide in this case, independently of those things?

A I could.

Q Is there any doubt in your mind about your ability to do that, and your capability?

A None.

Q All right. And the next question I must ask you is whether you will set aside those things?

A I will.

Q And can you be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson, in spite of having heard, seen or read such reports?

A I can.

THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse you just momentarily, then, and bring in --

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor -THE COURT: Oh, you wish to -- I'm sorry. Let's do it
that way. I'd forgotten.

10-2

You may question.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q M

Q Mr. Henson, right now, your state of mind is that Mr. Shea is presumed dead?

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

A From -- just from what I've read in the papers,

Q Right?

A Yes, that's right.

And this is no reflection upon you whatsoever, the fact -- you realize that you are not a defendant here; it's just a matter of -- we are trying to just find out things concerning your state of mind.

A For cause.

Q And so right now, from what you've read, your presumption is that Mr. Shea is dead?

A Right.

MR. KANAREK: I would like to approach the bench, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may not.

Do you have a challenge to make?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes.

THE COURT: Do you have any further questions?

MR. KANAREK: Well, on the particular point, it is not a publicity point, your Honor. And this is why I'd like to make it at the bench.

It's not -- it's on another --

27 28

25

26

10-3

1

3

Š

6

7

8

.0

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

26

27

THE COURT: Well, if you have any further questioning, go along with it. I'll let you approach the bench after you've finished.

MR. KANAREK: Very well. Thank you.

- Now, Mr. Henson, directing your attention then to everything that you've heard concerning Mr. Manson, both in the Tate-La Bianca case and in connection with the Gary Hinman case and the Shea case, your state of mind is that -- certainly, you would try to follow the Court's orders?
 - A I would.
 - Q Right?
 - A Right.
- And -- but -- are we in agreement that -- that there's a difference between the intent to do something and -- and the ultimate goal of doing it? For instance, you might intend to do all of your route on a certain day, and there may be like an earthquake or a storm, and you can't -- through no fault of yourself, you just -- you can't finish the job that you are required to do; right?
 - A Right.
- Now, by the same token, I would gather, not having experienced what this courtroom -- what you feel goes on in this courtroom -- that therefore, even though you have this intent to follow the Court's order, you don't know for sure that it would be possible to do it in this case, because of what you may have heard or seen concerning Mr. Manson?
 - A Well, I might have been wrong in answering your

28

3

4 5

6 7

8.

10

9

11

13

14 15

<u>16</u>

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

10a fls.

27 28 question, because -- what I should have said was "assuming" that he was dead. Because the paper didn't say he was dead, but he was missing, and presumed to be dead.

And I -- well, I am under the presumption that -- that he is dead.

- Q That Mr. Shea is dead?
- A That's right.
- Q My question -- actually, this -- my present question is a little different than just that subject matter of Mr. Shea.

My question is: You -- the other things you heard concerning Mr. Manson, like in the Tate-La Bianca case, for instance, as well as the Himman and Shea case, you certainly would try to follow all the Court's orders; right?

- A That's right.
- g But not having lived through it, is it a fair statement that you don't know for sure that you could forget or put aside the matters that the Court -- that we have been talking about concerning Mr. Manson?

A Well, I think that this -- this is a different case altogether, and all I am to consider is what comes up in this case.

So I wouldn't consider the other part of it at all.

- Q Right. Would you certainly hope -- would you try not to?
 - A That's right. That's right.

10a-1

Ĝ

But — but for instance, wherever — I don't know — whatever show or movie you may have seen a week ago, might — we might discuss; and you might try to put something out of your mind; but are we in agreement that, in some cases, it might be impossible to put —

- A Maybe so.
- Q Isn't that true?
- A That's true.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. May I approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you may not. You may make a challenge.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 1073, Subsection 2.

THE COURT: The Court is inclined to grant the challenge, Mr. Henson. I think that you know considerably more than many jurors, prospective jurors know; and I think you have been very frank in stating that — stating what your state of mind is.

And consequently, the Court will grant the challenge. This is no reflection upon you, of course, but it's just that you have absorbed a great deal of the so-called information that has been distributed in the press.

I am going to grant Mr. Kanarek's challenge for cause and excuse you with the Court's thanks.

JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Henson. Thank you very much.

JUROR NO. 3: Do I leave this?

THE COURT: Yes, if you would leave it right there on

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

the seat, if you would. · 1. Room 253, Monday. You needn't report tomorrow. 2 JUROR NO. 3: So Monday is satisfactory? . 3 THE COURT: Yes. THE CLERK: Mrs. Kathleen Thompson; K-a-t-h-l-e-e-n; 5 last name, T-h-o-m-p-s-o-n. THE COURT: C-h-o-m? 7 THE CLERK: T-h-o-m-p. Thompson. .8. THE COURT: Oh, Thompson. 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 7-1 OF MRS. NELLIE BRADBURY 12 BY THE COURT: 13 Mrs. Bradbury, we are interested in knowing whether you had heard, seen or read anything about Mr. Manson before you came into this courtroom and heard about this 16 Indictment. Yes. 18 Was that in connection with the so-called 19 Sharon Tate killing? 20-And also the one now. 21 And this one? 22 Yes. 23 You've read about this case? 24 Yes, in the newspapers. 25 Had you read the name Shorty -- heard, seen or 26 read the name Shorty Shea before? .27 Yes, sir. 28

1	d Must do Aon know spoor that
2	A. Well, I just know that they were have never
3	found the body, although they did search for it, out at the
4	ranch.
.5	Q And and I think you raised your hand when you
6	indicated and indicated to us that you believed that
7	you couldn't set aside what you may have heard, seen or read,
8	and that you therefore would like to be excused as a result
9	of that,
10	A. That's right,
'11	Q Is that your state of mind?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q That it would be impossible for you to set aside
14	everything that you've heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson?
15	A Yes, your Honor. I don't feel like I could be
16	fair in his trial.
17	MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. The Court will excuse you.
19	JUROR NO. 7: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: And will thank you for being so direct.
21	Room 253. Do you have any jury time left?
22,	JUROR NO. 7: Yes, I do.
23 '	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much for being
24	here. Room 253 on Monday, then, at 9:00 o'clock.
25	JUROR NO. 7: Thank you.
26	THE COURT: Take another name, will you?
27	THE CLERK: August W. Reichel.
28	THE COURT: Will you bring Mr. Thompson or Mrs. Thompson
İ	

here? 1 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. She's on the way right now. DEFENDANT MANSON: Do we do this every day? 3 every day? 4 (Shrugging his shoulders.) THE COURT: 5 DEFENDANT MANSON: Whew! 6 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 8 OF MRS. KATHLEEN THOMPSON 9. BY THE COURT: 10 Q. Mrs. Thompson, you have been present during all the 11 proceedings thus far? 12 Yes. Ă. 13 And your name's Thompson? D. 14 Thompson, λ, 15 We have got you in the wrong seat. Q, 16 If you serve as a juror, you will be in No. 3. 17 A. Oh. 18 But that's all right. Stay where you are. 19 Did you hear the Court's explanation of the nature 20 of this case, and the Court's questioning of a prospective 21 juror who was first in your panel? 22 Yes. 23 25 26. 27 28

105

L)B L All right, Would your answers be any different 1 than the answers of that prospective juror to the general 2 questions? 3, λ, No. 4. You'll have to keep that microphone closer. 0. 5 A Oh. No. 6 All right. Would it be any hardship to you to 7 serve on this jury here? 8 No. Q No financial or personal hardship? 10 Well, I work for the County, so I figure it 11 wouldn't be any hardship. 12 All right. What do you do for the County? Q. 13 Telephone operator. 14 Telephone operator? In what department? 15 The Department of Public -- the Department of 16 Public Social Services, 17 All right, Your voice is a very soft voice, so 18 you'll have to get that microphone just a little closer, 19 It's surprising, for an operator. Of course, you are used to 20 speaking into that microphone, 21 Yes. It's transistorized, 22 THE COURT: Or that telephone, rather. THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 BY THE COURT: All right. Have you served as a 25 juror before? 26 27 Last week, yes.

28

And have you served on a criminal case before?

1	A.	It was a criminal case. But I was the alternate
2	on the case	
3	Q .	I see. And what was the nature of that case?
4	.	Burglary.
5	Q.	Can you set aside what you may have heard or
6	seen or read	in the course of that case, and decide this case
7	only on its	evidence alone?
8	A.	Yes,
9.	Q	Will you do that?
10	A	Sure.
ii	Q	Are you related to or a friend of any law
12	enforcement	officer?
13		My husband is a friend of a deputy sheriff,
14	but it's be	en a long time since we've seen him, but
15	Ď.	Do you talk about cases
16	7.	NO,
17	Q	with him?
18	۸.	He's never
19 .	Q	Have you talked about this case with him?
20	A	No, I haven't seen him in a long time.
21	Q	Do you think that would affect your judgment?
22	A	No.
23	ŷ.	All right. You mentioned your husband. What does
24	he do?	
25	A.	He works for the L.A. Times, a pressman for L.A.
26 -	Times.	
27	ρ	He is a pressman?
28	A.	Yes.

1 . :		A	The Tate case, yes.
2		φ .	La Bianca case?
3	^	À,	Yes, the Tate-La Blanca case,
4		Q.	And in connection with that case, did you follow it
5	in the	news;	papers, radio or television?
6 .	•	A.	No, not really. Only what the you know, I
7	would	hear,	in different conversations; but I never did
8	follow	the o	Dane.
9	; ,	Ω	It would just be an occasional news release from
10	one of	those	media; is that correct?
11		A.	Yes, that's right.
12	,	<u>Ģ</u>	Did you learn the result of the case?
13	,	A.	Yes,
14		Q	And your best remembrance of that result is what?
15	•	A.	I think it's the death penalty.
16		Q.	A conviction of murder of the first degree,
17	follow	red by	the death penalty?
18	,	A.	Yes, sir.
19		Q	And was there more than one victim in the case; do
20	you re	call?	
21		A	More than one victim in the yes.
22		Q	All right. So that there were there was at
23	least	one co	ount of murder of the first degree, and
24		ኢ	I don't know
25		Q.	was there any more than the one, that you can
26	rement	er?	
27		λ. ΄	No, I really can't.
28	•	Q	Did you, during the course of the of that case,

hear anything of a racial nature, or anything that had racial overtones in connection with it? Yes, I did. Q Now, you are a Negro. Did that raise any resentment in your mind? No.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

10c

10c-1 Do you think that there was such a feeling in your mind that you could not be fair and impartial, as a result of that particular type of report? No. it isn't. A Well, considering what you heard -- considering 5 what you've heard, seen or read, viz any of the news media 6 now, and anything that you may have heard, seen or read or 7 talked about, are you capable of setting aside any such 8 material --9 A 10 Yes, sure. -- and deciding this case independent of such 11 Qthings, including any opinions you may have formed? 12 13 Sure -- yes. Do you fully think that you are capable of doing 14 that? 15 I think I am. 16 You've never done it before, have you? 17 Α No. 18 But regardless of that, you believe you can 19 be objective enough to do that? 20 21 Yes. 22 And the next question I put to you is whether you will do that? 23. 24 Yes. 25 And can you be fair and impartial in spite of any opinions that you might have formed from the news media, 26 27

or anything that you've heard, seen or read?

Yes.

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Kanarek?
MR. KANAREK: Yes. Thank you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Miss -- Mrs. Thompson, did you and I have a conversation at the phone booth --
 - A Yes.
 - Q -- out in the hallway?
 - A Yes.
 - Q That is, the public telephone?
 - A Yes.
- Q And while we were speaking, you told me that you were a juror?
 - A Right.
- Q And -- now, the fact that we had this conversation, and I told you that I did not recognize that you were a juror?
 - A Right.
- Q Now, the fact that we had this conversation at the phone booth, would that in any way -- would that in any way prejudice you in favor of Mr. Manson, or in favor of the prosecution?
 - A No.
- Q The fact that -- we are interested -- we are all interested in fairness --
 - A Sure.
 - Q -- in this trial, and we want the prosecution and

the Court to know that -- that we did have -- that we did have 1 this conversation at the phone booth there. 2 Á Right. 3 Now, having in mind -- having in mind the fact O. that you've heard matters concerning Mr. Manson, now, it 5 would be your intent -- is that a fair statement, Mrs. 6 Thompson -- it would be your intent to set aside and follow 7 the Court's orders in connection with publicity; is that 8 right? 9 Á Yes. 10 And you feel you would be able to put it aside? Ŋ, 33 12 A Yes. Now, you've never had the experience of having to 13 O. do that; is that correct? 14 Right. 15 So is it a fair statement that you don't know O. 16 for sure whether in fact you could put aside the matters that 17 you've heard concerning Mr. Thompson -- pardon me -- Mr. 18 Manson? And in fact, not consider them during the pendency 19 of this case? 21 A (No response.) Is that question clear? Q. That I couldn't put the things that I've read or 23 A 24 heard about the case out of my mind? 25 Well, may I try to reframe it? Q 26 A Yes, if you would, please. 27 Has it been your experience in life that sometimes we have an intent to do something -- for instance, you might 28

Q: You think you could. But not having experienced it, would you say you don't know for sure?

A Well, I guess I have to say, "I don't know for sure --" well, no. I am sure I could.

Q Well, when you say you have to say it, why do you say it in those words?

A Well, I -- it would be fair to just say -- I don't know; I'm confused. But I think I could. I'll say I think I could.

Q I see. Now, recognizing -- for instance, being of the black or Negro race, you -- it may be that you had a certain affection for Martin Luther King; right?

A Right.

Now, you -- and having that affection for him, would you say that it would -- possibly; I'm just giving you a for instance -- it might be unfair to ask you to sit on a jury wherein someone was accused, perhaps, of having been responsible for his death?

Do you see the thing I'm getting at?

A Um-hmm.

THE COURT: I can't see the thing you are getting at. So go on to another question.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

Q Now, Mrs. Thompson, you would hope -- we've -- may I ask you this? What do you know concerning Mr. Manson?

A Not very much. Only what -- about the Tate- La Bianca case.

Q Well --

•	
1	A It's really not very much.
2	Q Just tell us, then first of all, you recognize
.3	that you are not a defendant here?
4 .	A Um-imm.
. 5	Q Right?
II fils. 6	A Right.
7	
8	
9	
10.	
11	
12	
13	
14	
. j5	
16 · 4 17	
18	
19	
. 20	
21	
22	
23 _.	
24	
25	
ن· 26	
27	
28	
•	

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

<u>1</u>2

13 14

15

16 17

18^{*}

20

21

22

23 24.

25

26

27 28 And in the administration of justice, this is just one of the things we have to do is discuss with prospective jurors their state of mind, right?

A Right.

And so having this in mind, -- having this in mind, you recognize, I'm sure -- you're not going to hold either for or against us the fact that all of us may be asking you questions?

- A Right,
- Q All right.

Now, if the -- you told us you only heard a little bit concerning Mr. Manson. Whatever that little bit may be, would you tell us, in fact, what you heard, whatever it may be?

A I don't really remember everything. Honestly I don't.

- @ Pardon?
- A I don't remember.
- A I see.

Now, concerning the Shea case --

- A The what?
- Q Does the name Shorty Shea --
- A NO.

Q Did that mean anything to you before you came into the courtroom?

- A No, no.
- O The name Gary Himman?
- A No.

1	Q Did that mean anything to you before you came into
2	the courtroom?
3	A. No.
4	MR. KANAREK: Thank you very much.
5	1073, Subsection 2, your Honor.
6	
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
-8	BY THE COURT:
9	Q You indicated that you think you can set aside
10	whatever you may have heard, seen or read, Mrs. Thompson, and be
11	fair and impartial.
12	Does that the use of that word, or the use of
1Š -	those words, "I think"
14	A. I'm sure.
15	Q Pardon?
16	A I'm sure I can be fair.
17	Q. Well, the point is, can you set aside what you
18	may have heard, seen or read?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q Not forget it, but temporarily put it aside,
21	divorce yourself from it for the purpose of being a juror and
22	ruling independently on any issue in this case?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q When you say "I think," was that any hedging on
25	your part or just a means of expression?
26	A Just a means of expression.
27	Are you positive in your mind that you can
28	accomplish that?

ŀ	A. I am.
2	Q Very well.
3 . ;	The Court finds that Mrs. Thompson can set aside
4	whatever she may have heard, seen or read, whatever opinion
5	she may have formed from previous news releases, previous
6 .	information that she may have received and that she will do so
7	The challenge is denied,
8 ,	Let's sec, it is 4:20. Let's utilize ten minutes.
9 .	Do we have the jury box full now?
10	No, we don't.
11	MR. MANZELLA: No. 7.
12	THE COURT: No. 7, Mr. Reichel.
13.	We'll ask you, Mrs. Thompson, to wait outside, if
14	you will.
15	You may go back to Department 100 with the other
16	jurors, if you would, please.
17	
18	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
19 .	OF AUGUST W. REICHEL
20	BY THE COURT:
21	Q Mr. Reichel, you were present when the Court
22	explained the nature of this case, is that true?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q And you heard the Court's explanation to the
25	prospective juror who was first called from your group?
26	λ Yes, sir.
27	Q Would your answers be any different than his
28	answers to the questions of a general nature?

1	A No, sir.
2	q Would it be any hardship to you, Mr. Reichel, if
3	you were caused to serve on this jury?
4	A. I think it would, sir.
5	Q Tell us about that.
6	A I work for myself. I am an investment adviser.
. 7	I manage investment accounts, mostly trading accounts. They
8	depend upon me to be at the market daily,
9.	Q It is a rather personal business, then?
10.	A. Yes, it is. I am the only one in the business
ĹΤ	and my sole income are the accounts.
12	Q If you were removed from it for a period of four or
13	five months, what would happen?
14	A Well, it wouldn't operate, sir, under those
15.	circumstances, because I found that the time I've been here
16	so far, two weeks, has hindered. It is
17	Q Would you lose those clients?
18.	A Probably would, because they're trading accounts.
19	They depend upon me to furnish daily information. And I
20	spend from 9:00 to 12:30 at the brokerage every day.
21	Q I see. Are you a broker, then?
22	A. No, sir.
23	Q But you're an agent for
24	A I'm just a private investment adviser, but I
25	manage the accounts. But I operate through another broker.
26	Q I see. Is that the major source of your income?
27	A. That's all there is, yes, sir.
28 ,	I do a little in literature agency work but the

lla

THE COURT: Gentlemen, any questions? lla-1 1 MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate that it will 2 constitute a hardship, your Honor. 3: MR. KANAREK: Submit it, your Honor. THE COURT: The Court finds that it would constitute 6 a hardship to you and that, therefore, you should not be required to serve. Thank you very much, Mr. Reichel. 8 Take another name, then. 9 Room 253 on Monday, if you would. 10 Do you have time left? 11 JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 13 THE CLERK: Miss Cathryn A. Novak, C-a-t-h-r-y-n, 14 N-0-7-a-k. 15 MR. KANAREK: While no jurors are in the room, may the 16 record reflect that Bill Henry was a person of the black or 17 Negro race? 18 THE COURT: He was or is? 19 Was and still is? 20 MR. KANAREK: Yes THE COURT: Yes, the record may so show. 22 MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you. 23 Oh, the same as to Clarence Henson, your Honor. THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Henson was Negro. 25 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 26 THE COURT: So is Mrs. Thompson. 27 That came out, I think, in the interro-MR. KANAREK: 28

gation.

The Court has, from time to time, tried to raise that when it was material or the Court thought it might be 2 material. 3 Let's see, we have called for Mr. Novak -- or Mrs. 4 Novak. 5 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 6 I think if we put one on tap, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 7 THE COURT: Probably the thing to do. Why don't we call Я another name. Looks like we're going to need it. Q. THE CLERK: David E. Rich, R-i-c-h. 10 THE COURT: I can't understand what takes so long to get 11 over here from Department 100. Are they crawling over here or 12 what? 13 Are you Mrs. Novak? 14 MISS NOVAK: Miss Novak, yes. 15 THE COURT: Miss Novak, would you come forward, please. 16 17 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 18: OF MISS CATHRYN NOVAK 19 BY THE COURT: 20 Miss Novak, the Court would ask you whether or not 21 you were present during the course of the Court's explanation 22 of the nature of this case and the Court's questioning of 23 prospective juror -- the first prospective juror from your 24 group? 25 Yes, I was present. 26 Would your answers be any different than his were 2,7 to the -- his or hers -- I can't remember whose it was at this 28

lb

A.	West	Hollywood	•
----	------	-----------	---

- Q Do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would, by reason of those views, automatically refuse to impose it regardless of the evidence in the case?
- A I believe I would have considerable difficulty imposing the death penalty, yes.
- Aside from any difficulty you might have, would you consider the evidence and determine whether, in your judgment, and your judgment alone, the verdict of death should be imposed?
- A I do not believe that I could make that decision and impose the death penalty.
- Q In other words, you would refuse to consider the death penalty in any case regardless of the evidence?
- A I believe so, never having served in a criminal case, it has never been put to the test. But I do not -- I cannot conceive of myself ever imposing the death penalty.
- Well, this is the time the Court is asking you to look into your mind and determine whether or not you have the state of mind in which you would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty without regard to the evidence?
 - A I believe that I would refuse to do so, yes.

rn-T	1
	2

6

7

8

9

10

 \mathbf{M}

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

Q Judging by what you said, you certainly would not automatically impose the death penalty upon a conviction of murder?

A No, no.

? The question is whether or not you would ever. in any case, impose the death penalty?

Can you say that that is your state of mind?

A I think so. I don't think I have the right to determine life or death for anyons else.

Q You say "I think so." Are you hedging on that?

A No, no.

Q In that you are not quite sure? That it is possible that you might consider the evidence and after considering the evidence determine somebody deserves the death penalty?

A I think the enswer is no. I seriously do. I do not -- nothing in this world is 100 percent sure.

Q So what you are saying to me is you are not sure?

A But I am as sure as I can be that I could not impose the death penalty regardless of the evidence.

Q Would your feelings be strong enough so that you would automatically, without consulting other jurors, without talking with them, without considering the evidence, simply vote against the death penalty?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, despite --

THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.

Q. BY THE COURT: Would you, without considering the evidence whatever, in any way, automatically refuse to impose the death penalty?

7	11.	. 🤈
		-

Į. 2 A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: People would respectfully challenge for cause under Section 1073. Subdivision 2 of the Penal Code. your Honor.

THE COURT: You may question.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Miss Novak, directing your attention, then, to your state of mind.

You would certainly discuss matters with your fellow jurors, right?

> A With respect to what?

With respect to any issue; is that a fair Q. statement?

> A Yes, that is a fair statement.

And you'd discuss it and you would -- and you' would listen to them and hopefully they would listen to you and you wouldn't make up your mind until after you had heard the evidence in court, discussed it with your fellow jurors, and then come to a conclusion, whatever it might be?

A With respect to guilt or innocence, yes, that aspect.

But you would discuss -- like you say, anything in life is possible or maybe I'm not paraphrasing it just exactly right, but when you first came -- when you first sat down, you indicated that you were against the death penalty.

3

б

10

1I 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23.

24

25

26 27

28

11b - 3

, 10

But certainly you are willing to listen and maybe the -- you could be convinced and maybe you could not be convinced, right?

- A With respect to the death penalty?
- Q With respect to -- yes, inasfar as if this case ever got to such a position, you would discuss it with your fellow jurors and, then, come to a decision?

A I would discuss it, but I would not impose the death penalty.

Well, but not having experienced it, not having gone through it, you don't really know for sure what you would do in a particular set of circumstances, whatever those circumstances might be?

THE COURT: Can we get the balance of the panel in.
THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

A I still would say I can -- I don't think that I could ever impose the death penalty.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. MANZELLA: People would renew the challenge, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we oppose the challenge.

I ask your Honor to consider the language that this juror,

Miss Novak, has given to us, I look at all of the evidence -
all of the words that she has spoken, your Honor.

MR. MANZELIA: Yes, your Honor, I would like to argue the point. Now that the jury is here, may we do it up at the bench?

THE COURT: No.

11b-4

ĵ

12 fÎs.

Miss Novak, do you have something to say?

JUROR NO. 7: Yes, I honestly do not think I could live with myself if I was ever responsible for the death of another human being except in self defense and I do not think that I could ever impose the death penalty.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Q	•	Well,	is	there	any	equivocation	on	your	part	Ĺr
the	way	you	are pl	ıta:	sing t	:hat?					

A I don't intend to -- to -- to make it doubtful.

I do not -- I cannot impose the death penalty.

Is that stronger?

Q Well, that's stronger. But is that your state of mind?

A Yes, that is my state of mind.

Q And would you automatically refuse to impose it, in any case, regardless of the evidence?

A I would have to say: Yes

Q Why do you phrase it, "I would have to say yes."

A As I said, there is a measure of doubt in any situation that involves any human being.

But in all my experience, and in all of my thinking.

I would have to say: Yes, I would have to refuse.

Nothing in life is 100 percent certain.

Q And at this point, you are not 100 percent certain that that would be your reaction, then?

A But as far as I can tell --

Q Am I stating what you have just stated to me correctly? That you are not certain at this moment, 100 percent, that that would be your attitude?

A No, I think I would have -- I just can't impose the death penalty.

THE COURT: All right. The People's challenge is denied.

I think --

MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, may I -- this is the first

2

3

4

5

Ģ

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20.

21

22

23 · 24

25

26

27

28.

time I've asked to approach the bench,

THE COURT: All right. You may.

MR. MANZELLA: -- but I would appreciate it if I could.

THE COURT: All right. I'll hear your argument on the record.

MR. MANZELLA: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among the Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective juror:)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the juror -- the difference
THE COURT: Now, the Court realizes that she has said
that she thinks that she could not impose the death penalty.
She says that she cannot impose the death penalty.

But when we get to the question of whether or not her reaction would be automatic, without regard to the evidence, she is not -- as she puts it -- 100 percent certain.

MR. MANZELLA: Well --

THE COURT: And I think that, in order to comply with the Witherspoon vs. Illinois rules set out, that she must be certain that her reaction would be an automatic one, voting against the death penalty, without regard to the evidence.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I have two points to make. In the first place, I don't think the language, "I think so, I believe so," is evidence of equivocation. Because in People vs. Floyd and Milton, the -- several jurors stated, when asked if they would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, without regard to the evidence, the jurors stated, "I believe so."

ŀ

16.

The Court -- the California Supreme Court, in Floyd and Milton, said: Excusing a juror for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2 of the Penal Code is proper in that situation. That's the first point, on the language. In other words, the California Supreme Court on that same language, "I think so," or, "I believe so," has already said that it's proper to excuse a juror for cause, who uses that language in connection with -- in answer to the questions you've asked.

My second point is that she said that she's -that nothing in human affairs is 100 percent sure, but that
she is as sure as she can be that she would never impose the
death penalty.

Now, to my mind --

THE COURT: Well, she was obviously referring to herself. She wasn't talking in a vacuum, about human affairs generally. She was speaking about her own attitude.

Otherwise, there would be no sense in what she said.

MR. MANZELLA: But she also said that she's sureas she can be that she would never impose the death penalty.

THE COURT: Her manner, and her beginning statements, and the gradual change in her statements, lead me to believe that she may have other reasons for wanting to avoid the jury service.

But I cannot say that I am convinced that her attitude is clear, that she would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, without regard to the evidence.

12a-1

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So I will deny the People's challenge for cause.

MR. MANZELLA: Would you permit me to ask her some questions on the point? I don't mean as far as --

THE COURT: Well, you've --

MR. MANZELLA: I know that you've said you've denied the challenge, but I mean, as far as the questions that I have asked other jurors about the death penalty, would you permit me to ask her those questions?

THE COURT: I think not. We've pretty well exhausted that, including her meaning of -- she has made some effort to be specific, in connection with her meaning; and still, I have that doubt as to whether or not she does come within the rules allowing me to grant the challenge for cause.

All right.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor, but you didn't ask her the question that you usually ask about guilt; you know, guilt or innocence. That's what I was referring to.

THE COURT: All right. I'll ask that.

MR. MANZELLA: Whether she might vote for second degree, in order to avoid having to decide the death penalty.

THE COURT: You are correct. I don't believe I got to that.

MR. MANZELLA: That's what I meant.

THE COURT: You may ask her that.

MR. MANZELLA: Should I do it out of the presence of the other --

THE COURT: No, I'm going to excuse the jurors now anyhow. It's 20 minutes to 5:00.

2

3

4

б

7

ģ

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

MR.MANZELLA: Okay.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

THE COURT: Those of you who are prospective jurors beyond the rail -- is 9:30 satisfactory to everybody?

MR. KANAREK: Could it be 9:45, your Honor?

THE GOURT: 9:45?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I might say --

THE COURT: We will excuse you, ladies and gentlemen, until 9:45 tomorrow morning. Everyone be on time. We will try to start on time tomorrow morning.

You are excused until that time. Remember the admonition that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor are you to permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor form or express any opinion on it, until it is finally submitted to you.

Good night, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, your Honor was going to ask
Mrs. Loudd about whether --

THE COURT: Mrs. Loudd? Where's Mrs. Loudd?

MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Loudd?

THE COURT: I suppose she's already gone out the door.

No. You are Mrs. Love, aren't you?

JUROR NO. 9: Yes.

THE COURT: We were talking about Mrs. Loudd, who got away through the door there, before we could get to her. She

had a question to answer. 1 But, Mrs. Love, we will see you tomorrow morning at 9:45. (Whereupon the members of the prospective jury panel exited the courtroom, and the following proceed-5 ings were had:) 6 7 THE COURT: Go shead, Mr. Manzella. MR. MANZELLA: Thank you, your Honor. 8 9 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. MANZELLA: 12 Miss Novak -- do you feel all right? 13 A· No. I -- I'm getting hysterical. 14 (Crying.) 15 I'm sorry. 16 It's just that if I have to serve on this jury, I am going to -- and I am forced to decide life and death, 17 I could be a murderer! I don't want to be forced into that 18 19 position. 20 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor --21 DEFENDANT MANSON: Then get up and walk out. No one's 22 forcing you to do anything. Get up and walk out. 23 THE COURT: All right. Would you put Mr. Manson out, 24 please? 25 DEFENDANT MANSON: See how simple it is? No one can 26 really force anyone to do anything. 27 THE COURT: Well, we can force you to leave the courtroom 28 now, Mr. Manson.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Only because I let you. I don't 1 see any men among you. 2 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had 3 . after the defendant Manson had been ushered into the 4 detention room.) 5 . THE COURT: All right. Calm yourself, now, and respond 6 to these questions, would you? . 7 JUROR NO. 7: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand the conditions under which 9 you'd have to decide the penalty? 10 JUROR NO. 7: Yes. Only if there is a conviction of 11 guilt of first degree murder. 12 12b fls. 13 THE COURT: Right. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 .27

8'

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: During the guilt phase of the trial, the first phase of the trial, as the Judge has already told you, you are supposed to put out of your mind any question of the penalty or punishment; do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.
- On In other words, when you are deciding the guilt or innocence during the first phase, you are not to consider that you might, if you convict a person of first-degree murder, have to consider life or death; do you understand that?
 - A Yes.
- All right. Do you think that you could decide the question of guilt or innocence -- in other words, whether somebody was or was not guilty of first-degree murder, or any other crime -- without considering that you might have to decide the question of life or death?

Do you think you could decide the one question, without thinking of the other one?

- A I think so,
- Now, you've indicated some -- some degree of uncertainty about whether or not you could vote for the death penalty.
 - A (Laughing.)
- Do you feel that, during the guilt phase of the trial, and considering whether or not Mr. Manson was guilty of first-degree murder, do you feel that your decision might be influenced by the fact that, if you found him guilty of first-degree murder, you'd have to decide his penalty or his punishment?

hysterical, either, you know. BY MR. MANZELLA: Well, don't worry about it. 2 3 It's happened before. The case is going to be -- is going to last about 4 four months. 5 Now, would the fact that you might -- that there was a possibility that you'd have to consider the penalty, 7 would that in any way prevent you from giving your full 8 attention to the evidence introduced in the first part of 9 the trial? 10 11 MR. KANAREK: Asked and answered. 12 BY MR. MANZELLA: The guilt part of the trial? 13 MR. KANAREK: Several times. MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, that has not been answered 14 15 yet. THE COURT: Overruled, You may answer. 16 JUROR NO. 7: I didn't think so before, but it might. 17 18 I am beginning to think it might. 19 THE COURT: All right. I'll tell you what. You think 20 about it, Miss Novak. Think about your -- your convictions 21 with respect to the death penalty, and whether or not it would 22 keep you from being fair and impartial in the first phase, 23 in considering any of the evidence, or any of the questions 24 that you might be called upon to consider. 25 The Court will call this tomorrow again, and 26 the Court will excuse you for this evening. 27 Come back at 9:45 tomorrow morning. 28 Don't discuss this amongst anyone; don't permit

Š

 anyone to -- well, don't discuss it amongst your fellow jurors,

I mean, or don't discuss it with anyone. And don't form or

express an opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted
to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

Good night, gentlemen. See you tomorrow morning.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I just wanted to -- thank you, Miss Novak.

JUROR NO. 7: I'm sorry.

MR. KANAREK: I just wanted to make a point to the Court.

(Whereupon, Juror No. 7 exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, if I may, may I make this record? It's my belief that what counsel is doing is denying Mr. Manson a fair trial, equal protection and due process, under the Fourteenth Amendment; in that clearly, counsel is going to challengs — is going to exercise a peremptory against this lady, as he did against Miss Wefel; and it — there is — there is a fantastic favoritism in the posture of the law, because of these types of questions that are allowed in connection with the death penalty.

And it -- and it -- we feel that -- that there has been enough questioning of this lady in connection with the death penalty, and that if the prosecution wishes to not have this lady on the jury, that they must exercise a peremptory, and --

THE COURT: All right. You have made the record clear.

MR. KANAREK: And that it's a denial -
THE COURT: 9:45 tomorrow morning.

```
MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
 1
                    (Whereupon; at 4:48 p.m., an adjournment was
 2
      taken until 9:45 a.m., Friday, July 9, 1971.)
 3
 5
 б
 7
 8.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
· 16
17
18
19
20,
21
24
25
26
27
28
```