SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff. 6 No. A-267861 7 VS. CHÁRLES MANSON, 8 9. Defendant. 10 IJ REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 July 12, 1971 14 Monday, VOLUME 12 15 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA, 19 For the People: 20 Deputy District Attorney For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 21 22 23 24 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters 28

LOS AMGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JULY 12, 1971 10:12 A.M.

.

 THE COURT: Mr. Kuczera, bring the defendant in now.

All right. The record will show Mr. Kanarek has arrived. The defendant is ready with his counsel, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Latiner, we'll call your matters later this morning. 19900 as hour, if you wish.

im. Live. .: Yes, bir. I have observed the files.

MR. MANAREM: Your Honor, if I may, I would like to applogize to the Court and counsel and the jury for being late, and I would like to approach the bench and inform the Court as to --

THE COURT: All right. Your apologies are accepted.

But we should all make an effort to be on time.

We have to run this courtroom on a schedule basis. Unless
you all make an effort to be on time, it can be disruptive.

MR. MANAREK: Yes, your Honor. It's -- well, it's in connection with the court that your Honor talked to, and evidently -- but I'll --

THE COURT: You may approach the bench, if you wish.

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench owner Count and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jury panel, which were not reported.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that the prospective jurors are beyond the rail and in the jury box,

Ì

.

AA fls.

in the case of People vs. Manson.

Can you hear me now? The machinery outside is going to give us some problems today, so in the event that you can't hear any of us, raise your hand, and we'll correct it.

The record will show that the jurors are -- the prospective jurors are in the box and beyond the rail. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Good morning.

THE COURT: I hope you all had a pleasant weekend.

I think the Court was questioning Mr. Chapman when we recessed, were we not, Mr. Chapman?

JUROR NO. 11: Yes, sir.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (Continued) OF MR. WAYNE CHAPMAN

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Chapman, I believe you had indicated that your state of mind was such that you, in respect to the death penalty, that you could not impose the death penalty based upon circumstantial evidence, if the case were based upon circumstantial evidence.

A That's right. And --

'n

.

.a.

.

 And the Court read you -- I can't recall, but the Court may have read you or reread you the instruction that I gave you in connection with what is circumstantial evidence and what is direct evidence.

Had I done that?

A Yes, you did.

So your remark, however, leads the Court to believe that it's possible, providing the evidence was strong enough in your mind, that you would impose the death penalty, in your discretion, given the kind of evidence that would satisfy you?

A No, that's not the case. I -- my first statement was: I was against the death penalty in general.

And that left a hole, in which somebody drove a truck into, you know.

But you know, it was a very loose formulation. I was thinking of -- I approved, years ago, you know, the Nuremberg trials; but I thought about that this weekend, and I said -- well --

Q Well, let me ask you this: In regard to the death penalty, do you have such views of it now, having considered your attitude, that you would automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of the evidence in the case?

A Yes, that's the conclusion I arrived at this weekend, after -- with all the other things I've thought about it in the past.

Q And regardless of the evidence that might be produced in the trial, --

A Yes.

Q -- your reaction would be to vote for life imprisonment, rather than death; is that your state of mind?

A Well, if the evidence were to prove a man guilty.

But I'm not so sure -- '

Q Yes. That's assuming that -- assuming there is a finding of guilt of murder of the first degree, then, of course, and only then would you be called upon to make a decision as to life imprisonment or death.

You understand that?

A Yes.

Q Now, assuming that, of course, in the first instance would your views be such that you would automatically impose life imprisonment, and vote against death, regardless of what the evidence might be that might be produced by either side?

A No, I won't even say that, that I would automatically impose life or death.

Q In other words, you would take a look at the evidence, and it's possible that you could consider the death penalty?

A No, I would not consider it. I wanted to make a categorical statement.

Q Well, I just don't understand you, then. If you say that you would not automatically impose either one, then there must be an underlying feeling on your part that you would consider the death penalty.

A Well, that's not what I had in mind at all. I'm not -- you know, even life imprisonment is quite a sentence,

and I'm not so sure I would even want to impose that, even on a proven murder case.

I am strictly against the death penalty. And life itself is a long sentence. I'm not so sure I'd even vote that.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I believe that the potential juror is -- is now speaking of --

THE COURT: You need not make any statement, Mr. Kanarek.
MR. KANAREK: Very well.

Might be your feeling about life imprisonment -- and incidentally, the Court will instruct you concerning -- instruct all the jurors concerning that type of sentence, should there be a conviction of murder in the first degree -- nevertheless, your reaction would be to automatically refuse to impose the death penalty?

- A That's correct.
- Q Regardless of what evidence you might hear or see?
- A Regardless of any evidence.
- Q Would you refuse to even consider the imposition of the death penalty, regardless of the evidence?
 - A Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Gentlemen? Any questions?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I --

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the counsel table between counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jury panel, which were not reported:)

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Chapman --Q THE COURT: Use the microphone, Mr. Kanarek. MR. KANAREK: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Otherwise, the jurors in the rear cannot 6. hear you. BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Chapman, you understand that there has been quite a bit of -- of interrogation of you concerning the death penalty? Yes, that's right. And the state of t

b flls.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

. 15

21;

And in all of this, is your state of mind such that you are not losing sight of the fact that -- that because someone is brought to this courtroom doesn't mean that there has to be any decision between life and death? A man -- just because he's brought here to the court -- may be completely and absolutely innocent.

A That's what I believe, too.

Q That he's innocent?

A Right.

THE COURT: You understand, Mr. Chapman, that the Court's not inferring that a defendant is guilty, in questioning a prospective juror about the death penalty. It's only because the same jury decides the question of penalty and decides the first phase in the case that the Court is discussing the subject of the death penalty with prospective jurors; do you understand that?

JUROR NO. 11: Right.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, in view of the witness' last statement, I have no further questions, your Honor. And I -- I suggest that --

THE COURT: You need not make any further statement which is argumentative in nature.

MR. KANAREK: No, no. I would make a motion, then, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you may make a motion.

MR. KANAREK: Does your Honor wish me to make a -- do it at the bench?

THE COURT: You may make a motion at the bench.

8.

Ä

19[.]

MR. KANAREK: Very well. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I -- this man has made the statement that he believes that Mr. Manson is innocent. Now, I think -- I don't wish to proceed with that in the presence of the jury -- although what he states might well be favorable to our cause.

And I would ask that -- that we interrogate this man at this point outside the presence of the jury.

It may be that there's something in connection with his testimony, that I think requires the Court to ferret out what it is, as to actually what this man's state of mind is. I mean --

THE COURT: The Court has no objection to your pursuing that privately, if you believe that he knows something about the case that you don't know.

MR. KANAREK: Well, but I also don't want -- I don't want to lose him as a prospective juror, if in fact, it is something that can be cleared up by an admonition,

The defense also has a right to preserve fair jurors. And if there's something there that -- that can be cleared up, one way or the other -- I don't know that it -- that an admonition will clear it up, could clear it up.

But it's obviously -- he has made a positive statement that he knows that Mr. -- that he feels Mr. Manson is innocent. And I think we have an obligation --

ż

.

THE COURT: Your motion is denied.

MR. MANZELLA: I'm going to exercise a challenge for cause, under Witherspoon, 1073, Subsection 2, because of his -- in my opinion, his unequivocal answers.

My position is that -- I understood it --

MR. KANAREK: I oppose the challenge, your Honor. I can interrogate further. But I just want the record to reveal at the bench, I don't know what he's going to say. But I think it should be done outside the --

THE COURT: Well, you may interrogate further if you wish concerning the death penalty.

As to the question of guilt or innocence, he hasn't heard the evidence, and --

MR. KANAREK: But he --

THE COURT! Unless he knows something that's outside of the evidence, as will be presented, and is capable of stating to you that he has some basis for it.

I don't see any reason why the Court should pursue it either way. It's a matter for you to investigate, if you believe that this man has some knowledge that could be utilizable by the defense.

MR. KANAREK: Well, yes. But the point is, we could accomplish both by a -- we are going to excuse him anyway for publicity.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I would agree with Mr. Kanarek, if I understand what he's talking about. He wants to get out of the juror possibly some evidence that he might have, if that's what -- if I understand Mr. Kanarek

Ac fls.

18.

correctly.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: And in that situation, I would agree that I would rather have the juror -- the other jurors not hear it, if he's --

THE COURT: Well, why proceed with it at all? If the Court rules on your challenge for cause favorably?

MR. MANZELLA: Well, there's no reason.

MR. KANAREK: Because it may go to his state of mind.
This man --

THE COURT: The Court denies the motion, and will hear any further examination you may have in connection with the death penalty.

\$ 14 mm

MR. KANAREK: All right, sir.

Ac-1

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Chapman, directing your attention to the statement that you feel Mr. Manson is innocent, would you tell us what -- what it is --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Kanarek. You may examine in connection with the death penalty, if you wish.

MR. KANAREK: I am, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, then, do so. And the Court will strike your last few phrases.

MR. KANAREK; Well, your Honor --

Q Directing your attention to your state of mind,
Mr. Chapman, concerning the death penalty -- right now -and directing your attention to your statement that you feel
that Mr. Manson is innocent --

THE COURT: Just a minute. Would you confine yourself to the death penalty?

MR. KANAREK: I -- this may be the death penalty matter, in this gentleman's mind. I've never spoken to this man in my lifetime.

THE COURT: Do the People have a challenge for cause?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. The People respectfully challenge Mr. Chapman for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2 of the Penal Code.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I oppose --

THE COURT: All right. The Court finds that Mr. Chapman

is of such a state of mind regarding the death penalty that he would automatically refuse to consider imposing the death penalty regardless of the evidence that might be produced.

Am I correctly stating your frame of mind?

JUROR NO. 11: That's correct.

THE COURT: Very well. The Court thanks you and excuses you.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may the record -THE COURT: And the Court grants the excuse for cause.
The record is clear.

MR. KANAREK: That we are opposing the challenge?
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: And we ask for further interrogation, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Chapman, would you remain until the recess? Mr. Kanarek may wish to discuss something with you. Otherwise, you are excused -- you may thereafter be excused, after you have discussed this matter with Mr. Kanarek, whatever he may wish to discuss with you.

And then, you are excused to report to Room 253, unless this is your last day of jury duty?

JUROR NO. 11: No, I just began.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

You may be seated beyond the rail there.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Chapman.

THE COURT: Call another name.

THE CLERK: Daniel W. Hunt; H-u-n-t.

1 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 2 DANIEL W. HUNT BY THE COURT: Έ Mr. Hunt, have you been present since the Court explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors 5, and questioned the first prospective juror chosen from your 6 7 group? .8 Α Yes, I have, your Honor. And -- that button on your microphone may not be 10 on. 11 Would your answers be any different than that 12 prospective juror responded to the general questions put to him or her? 13 14 A No, they would not. All right. Would it be any hardship to you to 15 Q 16 serve as a juror in this case? 17 No, it would not. 18 No financial or personal hardship involved? Q. 19 Ā No. it would not. 20 Have you been a juror before? Q 21 A Yes, I have. 22 Have you served on a criminal case before? Q 23 No, I haven t. Ä 24 Are you connected with law enforcement in any way, 25 or do you have a friend or a relative who is a law enforcement 26 officer? 27 No, I do not. 28 What type of work do you do, Mr. Hunt? Q

1	A I am a vehicle operational analyst, postal service
2	City of Los Angeles.
3	Q And how long have you been employed by the Postal
4	Service?
5	A Twenty years, your Honor.
6	Q All of it in Los Angeles County?
7	A That is correct, sir.
8	Q Is there a Mrs. Hunt?
9	A Yes, there is, sir.
10	Q And is she employed outside the home?
IJ	A No, she is not, sir.
12	Q In what general area do you and she reside?
13	A West Los Angeles, sir.
14	Q Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be
15	fair and impartial in this case, Mr. Hunt?
16	A No, I cannot, your Honor.
17	Q In respect to the death penalty, do you have such
18	views concerning it, Mr. Hunt, that you would be unable
<u> 1</u> 9	to be impartial in determining the first phase of the case,
20	the phase involving guilt or innocence?
21	A No, I do not, your Honor.
22	Q And would your views concerning the death penalty
23	be such, Mr. Hunt, that you would automatically refuse to
24	impose it, regardless of the evidence?
25	A No, sir.
26	Q / Or are your views such that you would automaticall
27	impose the death penalty, regardless of the evidence, upon a
28	conviction of murder of the first degree?
	A Name and the second s

Àd fls.

3

4

6

7

g.

10

1ŀ

12

13 14

15.

16 17

18.

19,

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

-28

Q We wish to speak to you concerning publicity and what you may know about Mr. Manson, if anything, and this case or any other case.

And in connection with that, I suppose that we should now vacate the courtroom, with the exception of Mr. Hunt.

Mr. Chapman may remain. So, would you remember my admonition? Don't talk about this case, and don't permit anyone to discuss it with you. Don't form or express any opinions on it until it is submitted to you, should you be chosen as jurors.

Would you all --

THE BAILIFF: Department 104, sir.

THE COURT: Department 104 is vacant. That would be better than the hallway.

So, would you leave very quickly and quietly?

And I will be calling you back in very soon, I think.

(Whereupon, the members of the prospective jury panel exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

- Q BY THE COURT: Mr. Munt, have you heard the name Charles Manson before you came into this courtroom?
 - A Yes, I have, your Honor.
 - Q And in what connection?
 - A Only in the newspapers and radio and television.
- Q And was that in connection with this case, or with another case, or both?
 - A Uh -- Your Honor, I really couldn't say, truth-

26

27

28

fully, bed	well, let me ask you: Are you acquainted with
ik "	had you heard about, rather, the trial involving
A	Yes, I have.
Q	And did you follow that regularly, or more or less
haphazardl	y, in the news media?

- A Haphazardly, your Honor.
- Q Do you know the result of that trial?
- A No, your Honor, I do not.
- Q Do you know whether Mr. Manson was convicted of anything?
 - A No, I do not.
 - Q Or whather he had been sentenced?
 - A No. I do not.
 - Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
 - A I've heard that name.
 - Q In what connection?
- A Only through the newspaper and through the television media.
- Q And in what way do you associate that name at all with Mr. Manson, if you do?
- A Uh -- I believe Miss Atkins was part of the conspirators or something of this nature pertaining to the case.
 - Q Pertaining to that other case?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Have you heard the name Shorty Shea before you

1	heard me state it in this courtroom, in talking about the
2	indictment?
3	A No, I have not, your Honor,
4 '	Q Or the name Gary Hinman?
5	A I have heard the name Gary Hinman.
6	Q Musickan Gary Hinman? And in what connection have
7 -	you heard that name, that you can remember?
8	A I believe that it was pertaining to the extra-
9	dition or something of this nature.
10	Q Have you heard the name Spahn Ranch?
11	A Yes, I have, your Honor,
12	Q What does that connote to you?
13	A This I believe this ranch was the headquarters
14	for the Manson Family.
15	Q You use the term "Manson Family." What does that
16	mean to you?
17	A Well, they re more or less of a group of people
18	who socialized together and who lived together.
19	Q Do you know the name Bobby Beausoleil?
20	A I do not.
21	Q Or Mary, Brunner?
22	A: I do not know Mary Brunner.
23	Q Do you know that Mr. Manson was accused of crimes
24	in connection with Sharon Tate, and somebody named La Bianca,
25	however?
26	A I do know that he was accused.
27	Q And you don't know whether he was convicted?
28	A I do not.
4	

1	Q And he was accused of what crime, so far as you
2	know?
3	A I believe that he was accused of being the head
4	man there, as I would refer to it, who uh who
5	inaugurated the plots.
6	This is my understanding, according to the
7.	newspapers.
8	Q The plots to do what?
9	A To commit murder.
10.	Q The murder of Sharon Tate and the La Biancas?
11	A I can't truthfully say that, your Honor.
12.	Q Are you referring to that other case?
13	A I am, sir.
14	Q Do you have any knowledge whatever that you might
15	have gleaned from any of the news media regarding the
16	allegations in this case?
17	A No, I have no knowledge.
ίġ.	Q Well, let me ask you this: Do you think that you
19' .	are capable, in your mind, of segregating anything that you
2O°,	may have heard, seen or read, via the news media, or via
21	conversations that you may have had with friends or relatives,
22	concerning that Tate-La Bianca case or this case, from the
28	evidence?
24	Can you segregate all of that material that you
25	may have seen, heard or read from the evidence that's pro-
26	duced here, and dacide this case solely on the evidence and
7	on the law as I shall state it to you?
8	A I can, your Honor.

A-e-l

2

1

3

4

5. 6

7.

8

9

10

,11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

And the next question I want to put to you is whether you will do that?

A I will, your Honor.

Now, the Court realizes that you probably -that you've probably never been called upon to be as
objective as this in a trial, where you are asked to disregard
matters that you may have heard, seen or read.

And I would like to inquire whether you have any doubt in your mind as to your ability to set this material aside that you've heard, seen or read, and make a decision independently of such matters?

- A I have no doubt whatsoever, your Honor.
- Q And will you be fair and impartial?
- A Fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Gentlemen? You may examine.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Now, Mr. Hunt, you have told us that you have no knowledge of what went on in the previous case.
 - A I do not. I did not follow the case day by day.
- Q Well, just -- would you tell us, then, in your -- now, at the beginning, may I say that I hope, certainly, that we are friends? You understand you are not -- you are not a defendant here, or -- you're just here sort of running to become a judge, against your will, so to speak.

Do you understand? As a juror, you become a judge.

23

24

25

26

27

28

And we are just interrogating to find out about your state of mind.

A I understand this.

As best we can, in -- in the somewhat limited time we have, obviously, in connection with this.

So the questions that I ask you, I am -- I'm sure that we agree that the matters, if they touch on delicate subjects, that it's not going to change your judicial attitude towards this case?

A Nothing whatsoever.

Q If you are judging apples, you have to talk about apples; right?

A That is correct.

Q Now, if the prosecution brings in evidence here concerning a racial nature, we have to judge that; right?

A That is correct.

Q Now, may I ask you, in connection with anything that you've heard about Mr. Manson, did you hear anything involving race?

A I -- truthfully, I don't remember -- or, I don't recall ever hearing anything pertaining to a race issue.

Or a black-white issue of any type?

A No.

Q Now, you have told us that -- well, let me ask it this way:

What do you know -- let me withdraw that.

What did you read or hear or see in connection with the publicity concerning Mr. Manson up to this point?

A-e-3

> .

25 ·

A-f-fls.

A As far as I can remember, the news media, the reporters' reports of their findings -- and this is the only thing in which that I know of the -- of Mr. Manson or anyone else connected with the case, is just what the reporters reported in the newspaper and on the television media.

This, however, is not a courtroom, when you look at the television or read the newspapers. It has no bearings whatsoever on the case that is being tried in court.

Q I couldn't agree with you more, Mr. Hunt.

But the fact is, the reason we are questioning in this regard is because, obviously, the law says that we should be doing this.

And when I ask you what you have seen or heard by way of publicity, you -- and this is no reflection on you, the fact that you're out there, as all of us are, and we are all subject to the mass media.

So what we are interested in is what -- whatever it may be, that you heard, saw or read, concerning Mr. Manson.

That's the question.

A Well, I read, I believe, that Mr. Manson -- I can't recall all of the other people that were involved, but that Mr. Manson was the head of a gang of some description.

This is the only knowledge that I've ever had of Mr. Manson:

I first stated: I did not follow the case. I have no knowledge of -- of all of the accusations or any of the accusations, other than Mr. Manson was the head of a gang. This is all.

A-f-1

2

1

3

4

ĸ

7

8,

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, from that publicity that you may have read or heard or seen, can you tell us: What is this gang supposedly -- what does this gang supposedly do?

A I couldn't say everything. I believe that the first knowledge that I gained of Mr. Manson, according to the news media, was the Tate-La Bianca murder.

Q And what is your state of mind as to what this gang did in connection with that you call the Tate-La Bianca murder, as far as what you read, saw or heard in the publicity?

A I have no state of mind pertaining to what they did. I have no knowledge of what they did.

Therefore, I could not draw a conclusion.

What does the publicity state that they did?
Mr. Hunt?

A The publicity stated that they murdered some people. This is the only thing in which that I know.

Q And this -- and the people were murdered by -let me withdraw that.

As far as the publicity -- and I am now referring to what you saw, read and heard in the publicity -- what did that publicity say the number of murders was?

- A I disrecall.
- Q Was it more than one?
- A It was more than one, yes.
- Q I see. And as you sit there on the witness stand now, do you know whether the matters that are now before us in this court were matters that the publicity referred to in

Q And directing your attention to the publicity that you heard, did the publicity speak of more than two victims, two alleged victims?

A Truthfully, Mr. Kanarek, as I have aforestated, I did not follow the case verbatim. To me, when something happens, regardless of how drastic it is, how tragic it is, the only thing I catch is the scant.

See, in my work, I am very busy. I also have to study continuously. I don't have time to read all of the newspapers.

There's a lot of days go by, I don't even see a sports page, and I'm quite a fan.

- Q I see. May I ask the study that you are doing?
- A That's business management.
- Q Oh, like at school? In other words, you are going to --

A I am taking a home credit study course from LaSalle University, out of Chicago.

- Q I see. Now, directing your attention to the Manson Family, you've mentioned that name, I think.
 - A That is correct.
- Q What is -- now, again, the publicity is what I am referring to.

Would you tell me what -- what have you heard from the publicity as to what the Manson Family constitutes?

A According to the knowledge in which that I have, according to the publicity, in which that I have seen on the news media, television, and learned from the newspapers, is

that the Manson Family was a bunch of young people who belonged more or less to a cult; that Mr. Manson was the head of the cult.

This is the only thing, the only knowledge in which that I have of the Manson Family -- other than seeing the people who believe in Mr. Manson on the news media.

Well, in other words, they wear and do the things that they enjoy doing.

Q May I ask you, how -- no, I'll withdraw that.

The name Susan Atkins is familiar to you?

A I've heard it.

Q And what -- from the publicity, what do you -- or, would you tell us what you know about Susan Atkins, and what place, if any, she played in these matters?

A Truthfully, Mr. Kanarek, again I would have to state that I do not recall -- I could not quote you verbatim anything in which that I read in the newspaper or anything that I saw in the news media.

Now, over this last weekend, did you see the name Tate in any headlines?

A I did not even read the headlines this last weekend.

O Over the -- during this last weekend, did you hear anything over television or over the radio, or did you happen to hear in any way anything concerning this Tate case?

A No, I did not.

THE COURT: Excuse me. I must interrupt you.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly. 1 THE COURT: I have a phone call. 2 MR. MANZELLA: Certainly, your Honor. `3 MR. KANAREK: Certainly, your Honor. THE COURT: I have a long distance phone call from another judge. I'll take it now. б MR. KANAREK: Certainly. 7 (Midmorning recess.) 9 10 II12 13. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1 fls.

Tk.1

1

2

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

`Ì7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

9.7

28

Pg. 1

THE COURT: All right, the record will show that Mr. Kanarek is present. Mr. Manzella is present. Mr. Hunt, the prospective juror, is present in the jury box. Mr. Manson is now present.

And I think I interrupted you, Mr. Kanarek. Go ahead.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Mr. Hunt, briefly, sir, have you had the experiences in life, such that many things that we operate on are matters which we receive by way of publicity? For instance, laws from congress, the city council, ordinances, many things that we take as matters that we use every day in our life, come from these types of sources; right?

A That is correct.

Now, have in mind that we have here in the courtroom the obligation to all of us to just view the evidence from the witness stand and the law that the Court gives us, and forget about what we may have heard by way of publicity — uh, and in many ways we have to reorient our thinking to do this. And especially in this situation where you've heard matters where you've heard of Mr. Manson.

Is your state of mind -- is that we all can depend upon, including yourself, that you will do this?

A That is correct.

Q And if during the course of this trial -- during the course of this trial it should turn out that your mind is

triggered by what you hear here — that is, every once in a while it happens to me. I think undoubtedly it has happened to you. You walk — you experience something and all of a sudden you remember things you may have heard or read years ago. That's one of the ways human mind works sometimes; you know what I mean?

- A I understand what you are saying.
- Q Now, is your state of mind such that you will put saids whatever -- whatever you hear or whatever you remember that you heard by way of mass publicity in the past?
 - A I will do that.
 - 2 If something that occurs here triggers your mind--
 - A I still will omit it, the triggering.
- Q You feel that your state of mind is such that you can do this?
 - A I can do this.
 - O I see.

Thank you very much, Mr. Hunt.

MR. MAMERILA: I have no questione, your Monor.

THE COURT: Do you wish to question Mr. Hunt now generally, gentlemen?

MR. MAMERLLA: Yes, your Monor.

THE COURT: Why don't we do that.

MR. KANARSK: I think in the interest of feir play and equal protection and due process, we should question Mr. Hunt in the presence of all the others.

THE COURT: All right, I have no objection to that.

MR. MANEELLA: Have we interrogated Riss Howe, gener-

24

25

26

27

28

1

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

ally, No. 12? I don't think we have.

THE COURT: I can't recall.

Would you have all the jurors come over here and reassemble?

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

THE COURT: 'Off the record.

(A discussion was had off the record.)

THE COURT: On the record. You are prospective Juror No. Il, Mr. Hunt. Miss Howe would be on your right. She would be No. 12.

(Whereupon, the prospective jury panel was brought into the courtroom.)

THE COURT: You may go ahead and question -- take the general voir dire of Miss Howe.

JUDITH J. HOWE,

BY MR. KANAREK:

Miss Howe, have we questioned you in the presence of all the rest of the jurors?

Yes, you did.

MR. KANAREK: I guess we have, your Honor.

BY MR. KANAREK: That is, did we discuss what parts of the world you've lived in?

Not exactly, but it was late Friday. You did question me shortly. It was not long.

THE COURT: We asked her about jury experience and the usual standard questions that we've asked the various jurors, but I'm not sure that we in any way exceeded that. You may,

-{

1 2

3

5

7

8 .

10

11

12 13

14 ,

16

17

18

19⁻ 20

21

22

23 24

25

26.

27

28

if you wish, take a general voir dire, if you wish.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor, I'll try to make it brief.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Miss Howe --

THE COURT: Oh, incidentally, we've received calls from doctors to the effect that Marian Pugh, one of the jurors beyond the rail, one of the prospective jurors is hospitalized at St. John's hospital. May she be excused, gentlemen?

MR. MANZELLA: So stipulated, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well, the Clerk then may remove her name from the box.

There is also another lady who is ill and confined to bed and she may be back tomorrow, but may she be excused for today and her name eliminated from the box, Helen Daday, D-a-d-a-y.

MR. MANZELLA: So stipulated, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: Retain that slip. She may be available tomorrow from what we hear. Go ahead. I'm sorry to interrupt.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

BY MR. KANAREK: Miss Howe, certainly, I'm sure by now that we're all in agreement that what we want here are 12 people who have an independent mind, each one from the other, but willing to consult with the respected fellow jurors.

Now, just by way of example, the California

1a-2

1 2

ý,

4

5.

6

7 8.

ď

10

11

12

13

14, 15

16 ,

17

18

19 -20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Supreme Court has seven members, and sometimes those members split 4 to 3. And they're perhaps the most learned men in the law in the State of California, on the California Supreme Court. So people like that can differ in deciding matters, so certainly those of us in the courtroom here can differ legitimately.

My question is, is your state of mind such that you recognize that the only result that counts is the result wherein you come to an independent conclusion and maintain it so long as you feel that it is correct?

- A Yes, I understand that.
- Q And would you do that, even though in this case it might mean that there was no unanimous decision?
 - A I would adhere to my own thoughts and feelings.
 - Even though everybody else didn't agree with you?

 A 'Yes.
- Q Now, is there any reason that you can think of ex -- recognizing what we are, what we are discussing at this point is a judicial-type of mind -- is there any reason that you could not be a fair and impartial juror?
 - A No, there is no reason.

DANIEL W. HUNT

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Hunt, may I ask you, sir, if it should come to pass that coming to this courtroom there will be people of the black or Negro race, of which you are a member, and that these people will -- one or more of them will be shown

ľ

Ź

12,

·

24.

0.0

lb fls.

to have been in custody for many years in the State Prison system and maybe in the Federal Prison system, is there any reason that you couldn't be fair and impartial in evaluating their testimony?

A No, there is not.

O Are we of a mind that there should not be any kind of a lack of brotherhood because of a difference in race?

A None, whatsoever.

Q And if it should turn out that Mr. Manson was in custody with these people and they considered him their brother -- them -- that -- him to be a brother to these people, and that they considered, also, that there was no distinction between them; is there any reason that if this kind of evidence comes before you that you couldn't be fair and impartial in judging that kind of evidence?

A I will do my best to be very fair and impartial.

Q But, I mean, I'm alluding to that particular point. Say it turns out that Mr. Manson, who is Caucasian, white, and these people are shown to be very close to each other, since they consider themselves to be brothers, is there any reason that you couldn't, if that comes out here to be relevant and material to any issue in this case -- is there any reason why you couldn't use that evidence to acquit, find Mr. Manson not guilty, if it should turn out to be that way?

THE COURT: You needn't answer that, Mr. Hunt. That's a wholly unintelligible question. And it also asks the juror to prejudge the evidence.

1	
2	

4 5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 Q BY MR. KANAREK: In any event, Mr. Hunt, is there any reason why you couldn't be fair and impartial in judging this case?

A None, whatsoever.

Q Do you have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law enforcement work, public or private, anywhere in the world?

A No, I do not.

Q Is there any reason that you wouldn't give law enforcement testimony the same critical analysis that you would give any other testimony or any other evidence?

A There's no reason why.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

I believe that passes for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

Mr. Manzella.

DANIEL W. HUNT,

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Mr. Hunt, have you, or do you know anyone who has ever been involved in the defense of criminal cases as a lawyer, an investigator or anything of that nature?

A No. I do not.

Q Do you know anyone who has ever been acquaed of a crime?

A No.

Q Now, you heard the Court's instructions that the Court has given you up until now?

ı	A That is correct.
2	Q You've heard the questions I asked with regard to
3	the burden of proof, is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Would you hold the People to a higher standard
6	of proof or higher burden of proof because this is a murder
7	case?
8	A No.
9	Q You heard the questions I asked with regard to
10	circumstantial evidence?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q All right. Do you have any quarrel or bias
13	against circumstantial evidence which would prevent you from
14	being fair and impartial to the people in this case?
15	A No, I do not.
16	Q Mr. Hunt, with regard to the other questions I've
17	asked the other jurors with regard to aiding and abetting,
Í8	conspiracy, credibility and so on, did you hear the answers of
19	the other jurors?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q Would your answers be in any way substantially
22	different?
23	A No, they would not.
24	Q All right.
25	All right, would you pass the microphone to
26.	Miss Howe, please.

1 JUDITH J. HOWE, 2 BY MR. MANZELLA: 3 Q All right, Miss Howe, do you, or does anyone you know, defend criminal cases or act for the defense in criminal 4 5 cases, such as an investigator or anything of that nature? 6. A No. 7 Do you know anyone that's ever been accused of Q a crime? 9 A No, I don't. 10 Did you hear the questions that I have asked the Q 11 other prospective jurors with regard to the burden of proof 12 and circumstantial evidence? 13 Yes. 14 All right. Would your answers be substantially 15 different than the answers given by the majority of the other 16 jurors? 17 No, they would not A 18 Do you have any quarrel with the law which permits 19 a death to be proved by circumstantial evidence? 20 No, I don't. À 21 If the defendant testifies or if he calls witnesses 22 in his behalf, would you judge their testimony by the same 23 standards of credibility that you judge the testimony of any 24 other witness? 25 Ά Yes. 26 All right. Do you feel that if the defendant 27 testifies or if he calls witnesses in his behalf, that you are 28 obligated or bound to accept their testimony without judging

4

-5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 .27

28

its credibility?

A No.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you both. The People pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, both sides having passed for cause, it is the peremptory challenge of the defendant.

MR: KANAREK: Thank and excuse Mrs. Canada.

THE COURT: Mrs. Canada, thank you very much. And your jury service --

JUROR NO. 4: I have two more days.

THE COURT: Would you report to Room 253, then. you for being here.

THE CLERK: Frank Y. Edwards, E-d-w-a-r-d-s.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF FRANK Y. EDWARDS.

BY THE COURT:

Mr. Edwards, have you been present during all the proceedings during which the Court explained the nature of this case and questioned one of the prospective jurors, the first prospective juror?

I have. A

Q Taken from your group?

Α Yes.

And would your answers be any different than the answers that that juror gave to the questions of a general nature?

No. A

1		Q	Have	you	- stri	.ke t	hat.			•		
2	,		Woul	d it be	e any	hard	lahip to	o you to	ser	ve as	a	
3	juror	in th	(s ca	se?								ļ
4	,	A	Fina	ncial h	nardsl	ıip,	Yes.					
5		Q	All :	right.	Tell	. us	in what	t way?				
6	.	A	I am	unempl	loyed	and	I were	looking	for	work.	at	the
7	time.		•					. •				
8	3						·			·		
9	 	•						,				
10) !	•	,					•				
11									•			
12												J
13							ī					
14				,	,							
15					•						1	•
16		,	•									
17		•	•									
18 ;												
19	:											
20									,			
21									•			
22												•
23												
24		•								,		
25	;			•		•				•		
26					•					ž.		
27										,		
28				, -	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					•		
	\$			1	•			1 1				

l-c flls.

	1	
lc-1	1	9 In other words, at this time you'll be looking
	2	for work as soon as your jury duty is concluded?
	3	A Right.
ą.	4	Q How much time do you have left?
*	5	A 16 days.
	6	Q And are you single?
	7	À I am.
	8	Q You use your earnings are the sole source of
•	9	your support?
	10	A Right.
	11	Q And if you were prevented from looking for work
	12	per your obligation on jury duty, I take it it would be an
	13	extreme hardship to you?
	14	A That is correct, your Honor.
	15	MR. MANZELLA: People will stipulate that it will
1	16	constitute a hardship, your Honor.
_	17	MR. KANAREK: Yes.
3	18	May I ask a couple of questions, your Honor?
	19	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
•	20	
	21	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	22	BY MR. KANAREK:
	23	Q Sir, could you tell us what kind of work do you
	24	do? What is the general nature of your work?
	25	A Shipping and receiving clerk.
	26	Q And in any particular kind of activity?
4	27	A Not necessarily.
	28	Q I mean, like any particular like in office

1c-2

8.

1,3

.

.

equipment or steel or --

A No.

Q Is there any particular type?

A Department stores or garment district.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I make a motion that the County pay. The County has shipping and receiving clerks. I make a motion they pay this gentleman whatever the going rate is in his profession. That's my motion. Because if he worked for the County, he would be paid.

THE COURT: You want the County to pay whatever the County pays shipping and receiving clerks?

MR. KANAREK: Because if he worked for the County -THE COURT: What if he were unwilling to accept -- he
may want to look for a better job.

MR. KANAREK: I understand.

What I am saying is the County should reimburse him, because if he worked for the County -- and it is just a coincidence, a happenstance that he doesn't. And I ask he be paid whatever the going rate is in his profession.

THE COURT: The Court thanks and excuses you, and the Court thinks it is a hardship you should not be required to endure. And it does relieve you of the obligation of serving in this case. Thank you for serving as a juror at all under the circumstances. The Court realizes it is a sacrifice to you. Room 253 forthwith, the jury assembly room. Perhaps you can be picked up in another courtroom.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Rochelle Daniels, D-a-n-i-e-l-s. First name Rochelle, R-o-c-h-e-l-l-e.

25

26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF ROCHELLE DANIELS

BY THE COURT:

- Q Is it Mrs. or Miss?
- A Mrs.

I can't -- yeah.

Q You have it now.

Were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case and when the Court discussed it with the first prospective juror chosen from your group?

A I was.

Q Would your answers be any different than that first prospective juror's enswers were to the questions of a general nature?

A Uh, no.

Q All right. Would it be any hardship, Mrs. Daniels, if you were required to serve as a juror in this case?

A Yes, it would, your Honor.

Q Tell us about that.

A Well, I am presently employed at Edutronics in the capacity --

Q Edutronics?

A Edutronics.

Q Would you spell that, please?

A E-d-u-t-r-o-n-i-c-s. I am a supervisor.

Q You are a supervisor there?

A That's correct. And the company was -- you know, it is not really their policy to excuse their workers to serve

on jury duty. However, since I've been with the company for two years, my supervisors excused me for one month only.

Q I see. And then, after that period of time you would not be paid?

A That's correct.

MR. MANZELLA: People would stipulate that it will constitute a hardship, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Same motion, your Honor, as regards Mr. Edwards.

THE COURT: You want the County then to pay her whatever she's receiving by way of salary or wages, no matter whatever it might be, to compensate her to serve as a juror?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, sir.

1d-1	1
,	2
¥	3
	4
9	5
	6
•	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	. 12
	13
	14
)	15
7 ,	16
*	17
, '	18
	19
,	20
	21
•	22
	23

THE COURT: The Court knows of no such process to accomplish that, and I do find that it is a hardship to her to serve and excuse her.

JUROR NO. 4: Thank you very much, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I ask her one question?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: One or two questions?

THE COURT: The Court finds it would be a hardship to her and does excuse her.

JUROR NO. 4: Do I take my slip?

THE COURT: Take your slip and report -- how much time do you have left?

JUROR NO. 4: 16 days.

THE COURT: Please report to Room 253, the jury assembly room.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Daniels.

THE COURT: I don't see Mr. Meyers here in the Clark and Junk matter.

(A discussion was had off the record on an unrelated matter.)

THE CLERK: John S. Cunningham, C-u-n-n-1-n-g-h-a-m.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JOHN S. CUNNINGHAM

BY THE COURT:

24

25

26

27

28

Q Mr. Cumningham, were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors and when the Court discussed the case with the first

1	prospective juror chosen from your group?
2	A I was, your Honor.
3.	Q Would your answers be any different than the
4	answers of that prospective juror to the questions of a
5	general nature put to him or her?
6	A No, they wouldn't, your Honor.
7	Q Would it be any hardship to you to serve, Mr.
8	Cunningham?
9	A No, your Honor.
10	Q That's all right, you're using it correctly. You
11	have to get fairly close there in order to make yourself
12	heard with this microphone.
13	It would neither be a financial or personal hard-
14	ship of any type, is that correct?
15	A No, your Honor.
16	Q No, it is not correct?
17	A No.
18	Q What is the let me rephrase it.
19	Would it be any hardship, whatever, personal or
20	financial to serve?
21	A No, it would not, your Honor.
22	Q Have you been a juror in any criminal cases?
23	A Only during this tour.
24	Q And did you serve on any criminal case?
25	A Yes, your Honor.
26	Q If so, would you tell us the nature of that case
27	and whether there was a verdict, without saying whether it was
28	guilty or not guilty?

1	A It was a case of burglary.
2,	Q Just one case?
3	A One case.
4	Q Was there a verdict in the case, without saying
5·	what it was?
6	
7	A It was.
	Q Will you set aside whatever you may have heard,
8	seen or read in connection with that case, and decide this
9 .	case solely on its evidence only on the evidence produced
10	here in court and on the instructions of law as I shall give
11	them to you?
12	A I will, your Honor.
13	Q Are you related to or a friend of any law
14	enforcement officer?
15	A No, I am not, your Honor.
16	Q Have you ever worked for law enforcement?
17	A Many years ago. About 20 or 30 years ago.
18	Q What was the nature of that work?
19	A I was in the Armed Forces. CID agent.
20	Q You were at that time in the Army, Air Force?
21	A Army.
22	Q Do you think that would affect your judgment in
23	this case?
24	A No.
25	
26	Q Do you think that, nevertheless, you could still
27	be fair and impartial?
28	A I could be.
40	Q How are you employed at the present time?

1	A The Postal Service, United States Postal Service.
2	Q In the Central Los Angeles area?
3	A No, sir,
4	Q Do you know Mr. Hunt in back of you there?
,5	A No, I don't.
6	Q Or any of the other prospective jurors who are in
7	the box?
.8	A No.
9	MR. KANAREK: I think Mr. Lowe
10	THE COURT: Well, he doesn't know any of the prospective
11	jurors in the box.
12	JUROR NO. 4: No.
13	Q BY THE COURT: And is there a Mrs. Cunningham?
14	A Yes, they are.
15	Q And is she employed outside the home?
16	A No.
17	Q In what general area do you and she reside?
18 [.]	A Baldwin Hill area.
19	Q In connection with the death penalty, do you have
20	views concerning it, such that you would be unable to be
21	impartial in determining the first phase of the case, the phas
22	involving guilt or innocence?
23	A No, your Honor.
24	Q And are your views concerning the death penalty
25	such, Mr. Cunningham, that you would, as a result of those
26	views, be unable to impose the death penalty, regardless of
27	the evidence?
28	4 Their are work Honor

,	1.	In other words, your views are such that you
	2	would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty in
	3	any case?
-	4	A Right, sir.
3	5	Q And are your views concerning the death penalty
	6	such that you would refuse to either consider the death
	7	penalty, regardless of the evidence that might be produced
	8	in any case?
	9	A They are, your Honor.
	10.	Q Are your views such that you would never vote
. •	11	to impose the death penalty?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People would respectfully
~	14	challenge Mr. Cunningham under Section 1073, Subdivision 2
	15	of the Penal Code.
3.	16	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
•	17	May I ask a question or two?
**	18	THE COURT: Yes.
	19.	
	20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
•	21	BY THE COURT:
, .	22	Q Mr. Cumningham, actually you've never had the
	23	experience of
2 fls.	24	THE COURT: Mr go ahead.
*	25	
	26	
į.	27	
	28	
		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

5

6

7 .8.

∙9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

.26 27

28.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: You have never had the experience of actually discussing these matters in the context of a trial; isn't that right, Mr. Cunningham?

A That's right.

Q Was it a fair statement that -- that, in the jury room, there would be no problem in discussing these matters with your fellow jurors? You'd certainly discuss the evidence with them? Is that a fair statement?

A Do you mean that I would discuss it with them?

Q Yes.

A Oh, yes, I would discuss it with them.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

Oppose the challenge, your Monor.

THE COURT: After discussing the evidence, is there any possibility, in your mind, that exists in your mind at this time, that you would ever, after considering such evidence, no matter what it might be, vote for the death penalty?

JUROR NO. 4: No. your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The Court grants the challenge. The Court does find that Mr. Cunningham's reaction would be to automatically refuse the death penalty, regardless of the evidence — refuse to impose the death penalty, regardless of the evidence.

Mr. Cunningham, the Court does thank you and excuses you, and you are asked to report to Room 253 -- ordered to report to Room 253 at 1:30, if you would. You are excused until then.

Mr. Dooley will give you a slip.

3.

O.A

Draw another name. And then I'll excuse the jurors. I must go through another department, ladies and gentlemen, to instruct a jury there.

THE CLERK: Thomas M. Cassey, C-a-s-s-e-y.

THE COURT: Mr. Cassey, you needn't come forward now. But when we resume, you will be in seat No. 4.

You are excused now, ladies and gentlemen, till 1:45. Remember the admonition. Don't converse among yourselves nor with anyone else on any subject connected with the matter. Don't form or express any opinion until it is finally submitted to you.

I'll see you all at 1:45. 1:45. The jurors may be in the box, including the man last called, Mr. Cassey.

(Whereupon, at 11:42 A. M., an adjournment was taken until 1:45 P. M. on the same day.)

2

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

13

15 -16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, JULY 12, 1971, 2:15 P. M.

THE COURT: Mr. Manson is present with Mr. Kanarek.

Mr. Manzella for the People. And I left the jury list on my

desk. The jury chart on my desk. I'll get it:

(Pause.)

THE COURT: Are you Mr. Cassey?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The record should show that Mr. Cassey is present in the jury box. The other prospective jurors are not in the courtroom.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

THOMAS M. CASSEY

BY THE COURT:

- Mr. Cassey, were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors and questioned a prospective juror first chosen from your group?
 - A Yes, your Honor.
- Q Would your answers be any different than that juror responded, that prospective juror responded to the questions of a general nature?
 - A No, they would not.
- Q Would it be any hardship to you, Mr. Cassey, to serve on this jury?
 - A I feel it would, your Honor.
 - Q In what way?

1	A	Well, with my employment, about a month before I
2	was notifie	ed to appear for jury duty, I was just placed on a
3	training pr	ogram which was agreed between me and my employer to
4.	interrupt f	for this month's time, and then, at that time, I
5	would conti	nue the training program when I got off. So at
6	this time a	rrangements had just been made for a month's time,
7 -	not four mo	onths, five months.
8	Q	What company do you
9	A	Security Pacific National Bank.
10	Ω	They 11 continue to pay you, but it will interrupt
11	your trains	ng; is that what you are saying?
12	A	Yes, it will.
13	Ω	What type of training is it?
14	A	Well, it is training to become a loan officer.
15	· Q	Is this an ongoing thing involving
16	A	There are about three times
17	Ω	Go ahead.
18	A	Excuse me.
19		About three times a year the requests are accepted
20 · ,	for this p	cogram.
21	Ω.	Well, what will happen if you do serve as a juror,
22	is that you	ir training will be delayed approximately four
23	months?	
24	A	Yes, uh-huh.
25	Ω	Four or five months, that will be the only hard-
26	ship.	
27		How long have you been with the bank?
28	A	Six years.

	,	
1	Q When did you make application for this to	caining?
2	A About a year ago, I'll say.	,
3.	Q Well, Mr. Cassey, the Court believes unde	er the
4	circumstancés, with the course being offered again in	a a
5	relatively short time, that it is not a sufficient ha	ardship to
6,	you and that, therefore, you can serve, although I do	o realize
7	that it constitutes an imposition on your time. The	Court
8	very well believes it would be some inconvenience to	you,
9:	but we believe that you should serve as a part of you	ur
10	obligation, and the Court would very much appreciate	your
11.	service.	
12	Have you been a juror before?	
13	A No, I haven't, your Honor.	
14	Q Have you served on any criminal case?	
15	A No, I haven't.	
16	Q Are you related to a friend of any law	
17	enforcement officer?	
18	A No. I am not.	•
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-26		
27		
28	, !:	•
	4	

+-1	1	Q And your work is with Security First National
	2	Bank?
	3	A Security Pacific.
ž	4	Q Security Pacific.
•	5	And Security Pacific, what branch?
	6	A Well, the Farmers and Merchants Branch, at Third
	7	Fourth and Main.
	8	Q How long have you been at that branch?
	. 9	A A month and a half.
	10	Q What are your functions there?
	11	A I was a trainee on this program which I just
	12	described.
	13	Q In what general area do you reside, Mr. Cassey?
	14	A The Wilshire District.
	15	Q Is there a Mr. Cassey?
y	16	A No, there is not.
	17	Q In connection with the penalty phase of this case,
٠ .	18	do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you
	19	could not be fair and impartial in determining the question of
	. 20	guilt or innocence strike that.
	21	In connection with the death penalty, do you have
	22	such views concerning it that you could not be impartial in
	23	determining the question of guilt or innocence, in the first
	24	phase of the case?
	25	A No, I don't.
•	26	Q Or do you have such views concerning the death
1	27	penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose it,
	28	regardless of the evidence in the case, in the penalty phase?

	ſ		í
	1 .	A Yes, I had.	
•	2	Q And before you were present and heard the indict-	
	3	ment, had you heard about the indictment in this courtroom?	!
*	. 4	Had you heard Mr. Manson's name?	:
٠	5	A I wasn't aware of the indictment on this case.	
	6	I was aware of the previous	
	7.	Q Yes.	
a fls.	8′	A case.	
` '	9		
	10		
	. 11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
1	16		
•	17		
	18	The second secon	
	<u> </u>		
	20		
	21		
	22		l
	23.		
	24		
3	25 . 26		
,	27		
	28		
_	20		
	ļ		ĺ

1 4a O And the previous case that you are talking about 2 is the case involving the Sharon Tate killings; is that Pg. 1 3 correct? Yes. 5 And the so-called Tate-La Bianca case? Yes, uh-huh. A 7 Did you follow that case in the press or via 8 radio or television? 9. I followed it. But I didn't make a conscious 10 effort to keep up on it. Just as I would be tuning across the \mathbf{n} radio dial, say, or glancing through the paper. But nothing 12 more than that. 13 Q Do you know the result of that trial, whether 14 Mr. Manson was found guilty or not guilty? 15 I think I do. I think he was --Α 16. Q What is your best remembrance? 17 I think he was convicted. Α 18 Of what? 0 19 A First degree murder, I believe. 20 And do you know whether the verdict was life Q 21 imprisonment or death? 22 Α 23 Q 24 murder involved? Whether there was more than one conviction 25 of murder involved?

26

9.7

28

Ά.

Q

Α

No, I don't know what the sentence was. Do you know whether there was more than one No, I don't. Have you heard the name Sharon Tate before? When -- at the time of the murders.

1	Q Had you heard the name Susan Atkins?
2	A No, not previous to the murders.
3	Q Well, after the murders, did you hear the name
4	Susan Atkins?
5	A After?
6	Q Yes.
7	A Yes, uh-huh.
8	Q And in what connection?
9	A Well, in describing the crime, in the press medi-
10	would be the only the only way I heard it.
11 .	Q In other words, you heard a confession of Susan
12	Atkins? _
13	A No, huh-uh.
14	Q Do you mean various news articles describing the
15	crime and involving Susan Atkins? Is that what you mean?
16	A Yes. And they would be primarily verbal
17	descriptions. I don't think I ever read anything in the
18	printed press.
19 20	Q All right. You heard it over television or
21	radio,
22	A Television or radio, yeah.
23	Q is that correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea?
26	A No.
27	Q Or do you know the name Hinman? Gary Hinman?
28	A Yes.
!	Q Before I read the name here in the courtroom, in

A No, I can t recall.

Q Do you recall the President of the United States, during that Tate-La Bianca trial, making some statement?

A Yes, I do.

Q Did that influence you in any way? In other words, did you have a general approval of what was said, or the propriety of saying it?

A No. The only -- well, the only thought that went through my mind was questioning the -- the comment and the source of the comment at the time it was made.

Now, if I were to instruct you that, as a juror, you were to set aside anything you may have heard, seen or read concerning that Tate-La Bianca case, or anything that you might remember about that case or this case, or anything that you might have talked about in connection with that case or this case, that you might remember about the two cases, if I were to instruct you that you were to set all of that aside — not forget about it, but set it aside — for the purpose of making an independent judgment, based upon the evidence only, and the law in this case, could you do that? Are you capable of doing that?

A Yes, I think I am.

Are you capable of being objective enough to decide this case solely upon the evidence developed here, and exclude from your mind anything that you may have heard, seen or read in --

A Yes.

Q -- the news media?

	1		
	ı .	A Yes.	
	2	Q Will you do that? If	you are selected as a juror?
•	3	A Yes, I will.	•
	4 .		•
	5		-
	6		· ·
	7	,	
	8	, 	
	.9	·	
	10 [.]		•
	, 11	,	
	12	·	· .
	13. 14		
	14 15		
	15 16		
	17		·
	18		
	19	• ,	
	20	· · · ·	·
	21		
	.22	4	
•	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	.28		
		<u> </u>	•

45-1

7.

20.

·

Q And can you, in spite of what you may have heard, seen or read, effectively segregate those things from your mind, and be fair and impartial to Mr. -- strike that.

Can you segregate those things from your mind and be fair to Mr. Manson? That is, basing your judgment only on the evidence?

A Well, your Honor, the only question that I would have, as a juror, in connection with the evidence being presented, would be that -- well, I don't know how to put it. But if someone convicted of a previous crime were on trial for a similar crime, I -- I don't know whether I could help but bring -- let that influence my mind or my judgment, to a certain extent.

Q You are not sure that you could forget that, for the purpose of being fair and impartial?

A Right.

MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That -- that appears to be a good challenge for cause, in view of the fact that Mr. Cassey, if he should remember that which he had heard, seen or read, that that might influence his judgment; and therefore, the Court finds that he should be excused for cause, and therefore the Court does excuse you.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Cassey.

JUROR NO. 4: Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Cassey.

THE COURT: Room 253, forthwith, if you would, sir.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, sir.

4b-2

8

1Ó

 \mathbf{n}

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

THE COURT: Call another name.

THE CLERK: Sammle C. Williams; S-a-m-m-i-e; C. Williams, W-i-1-1-i-a-m-s.

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Whereupon, proceedings were had in open court among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of any prospective juror, which was not reported.)

THE COURT: All right. Go shead. Call another one.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Mabel J. Gaines; G-a-i-n-e-s.
THE COURT: Are you Mr. Williams?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF SAMMIE C. WILLIAMS

BY THE COURT:

Q You would be prospective juror No. 4, fourth from the end here, please.

Were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors and examined a prospective juror, chosen from -- first chosen from your group?

A Yes, sir, I was.

4c fls.

23 24

25

26

27

28

4c-1	ĵ.	O And would your answers be any different to the
• •	2	general questions I put to that prospective juror?
	3	A No, sir.
*	4.	Q I didn't hear your answer.
7	5	A No, sir, it wouldn't.
	6	Q Would this be any hardship to you, Mr. Williams,
	7	to serve on this case?
	-8	A No, sir.
	9	Q What has been your jury service, if any?
	, 10 -	A Well, I were I were on a criminal case once.
	11	Q You were on one criminal case?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	Q And what was the nature of that case?
	14	A It was a murder trial.
,	15,	Q A murder trial, you say?
•	16	A Yes.
3 4	17	Q Was there a verdict in the case? Without saying
	18	whether it was guilty or not guilty.
	19	A No, sir.
	20	Q There was no verdict?
	21	A No, sir. After all the evidence was in, he
	22	pleaded guilty.
	23	Q Oh, I see. Would you let anything that arose
•	24	in the course of that trial interfere with your independent
5	25	judgment in this case?
	26	A No, sir, I wouldn't.
,	27	Q What type of work do you do, Mr. Williams?
		A The management was well also Take Account or Material

School District.

- Q And is there a Mrs. Williams?
- A Yes, sir, there is.
- Q What type of work does she do?
- A She's a housewife at the time being.
- Q In what area do you and she reside?
- A We are residing in Southwest Los Angeles.
- Q Do you have such views concerning the death penalty, Mr. Williams, that you would be unable to be impartial in determining the question of guilt or innocence?
 - A No, sir, I wouldn't.
- Q Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, regardless of the evidence?
 - A No, sir, I wouldn't.
- Q Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically impose it, regardless of the evidence, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such that you would never vote to impose it?
 - A No, sir, it isn't.
- o In connection with Mr. Manson's name, had you ever heard, seen or read it before? Before you came into this courtroom?
 - A No, sir.
 - Q Never heard of Charles Manson before you came into

ı	the courtroom?				
2	A Oh, I heard something of him, yes, sir.				
3	Q What had you heard?				
4	A Well, no more than just what come out in the				
5	newspaper concerning				
6	Q Did you hear his name? See it, read it in the				
7	newspaper, or hear it over radio or television?				
8	A I just heard it over television.				
9	Q From watching television, specifically, you heard				
10	Mr. Manson's name?				
11	A Yes, I think so.				
12	Q And did you and you also read it in the				
13	newspaper?				
14	A Yes.				
15	Q All right. Now, in what connection had you read				
16	his name.				
17	A Well uh, I wouldn't I can't explain in				
18	what connection, no more than				
19 .	Q Well, was he a second baseman on the Angels, or				
20	was he a track star, or did you know of him in some other				
21. 1	connection?				
22	A No, sir, I didn't know of him in no other connec-				
23	tion, no more than but they mentioned him, about being some				
24	kind of an incident or something like that.				
25	Q Some sort of incident?				
26	A Yes.				
27	Q Well, do you know what the incident was?				
28	DEFENDANT MANSON: "Instigator," he said.				

		·
	ļ	Q BY THE COURT: "Instigator"? Did you say that?
	2	A Well, something like that. I couldn't understand
	3	it, after I read it.
•	4	Q Did you say the word "instigator"?
4	5	A Yes no well, let me say
	6	Q Pardon?
	7 .	A Yes, sir. Not knowing the exact meanings of
•	8	it.
	9	Q Well, tell us tell us what you remember of what
•	10	you read, that causes you to use that word?
	11	A Well, I can't remember no more than just hearing
	12	that there was some people that was murdered. But who they
4d fls.	13	was, how they was or anything, I couldn't tell you.
<u>.</u>	14	
	Ì5	
•	16	
•	17	
•	18	
	19	•
	20	
	21	
	22,	
	23	
	24	
*	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	Y

4d-1	1	Q And how was Manson connected with this, so far
<u> </u>	2	as you read, heard or saw?
	3	A Well, I can't say exactly how he was connected
*	4	with it.
*	5	Q Well, I am not asking you what you know. I'm
	6	just simply asking you what you have heard, seen or read.
	. 7	A Yeah. Well, as I understood it, at the time I
	8	read it, well uh I guess he was the he was the
	g	head of it or something. I don't know.
	10	Q Head of what?
	и	A Of these people getting
	12	Q And did these people have any name, that you can
	13	remember?
	14	A Yes, they had names, but I can't remember any of
	15	em now.
•	16 ·	Q Well, I don't mean their given names, or but as
	17	a group, did they have a name?
7	18	A Uh you mean the victim?
	. 19	Q No, not the victims. Let's start over.
	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	Q Have you ever heard the name Sharon Tate?
	22	A Yes, sir, I heard it.
,	23	Q In what connection have you heard that name?
	24	A I don't know what connection it was. It was just
<u> </u>	25	one of the group.
25	26	Q Who was one of the group?
*	27	A Uh I really don't know how it went, to be
•.	28 [.]	honest with you. I am getting it mixed up myself.
		j.

1	Q Do you read the newspaper nightly?				
2	A Not nightly, no.				
3	Q Well, I don't mean this disrespectfully,				
4 . :	A Yes, sir.				
5	Q Mr. Williams, but you do read, do you?				
6	A Yes, sir, I read.				
7	Q And when you read Mr. Manson's name, was that in				
8	connection with these murders?				
9	A Something of that nature, yeah.				
10	Q But and do you believe that that he was in				
IJ.	some way connected with them; is that correct?				
12	A I couldn't have any belief about it, to tell you				
13	the truth. That's the way I understood it.				
14	Q Well, did you ever hear of a trial in which Mr.				
15	Manson had been previously involved, other than this case?				
16.	A No, sir				
17	Q You never read of a trial in which he was accused				
18	of anything?				
19	A No, sir.				
20	Q Have you ever heard, seen or read anything about				
21	this case?				
22	A No, sir.				
23	Q Have you ever heard the name Susan Atkins?				
' 24	A Yes, sir, I think I have.				
25	Q Tell us about that name, what you remember about				
26	it.				
27	A Well, Susan Atkins? I can't I can't at the				
28	moment, I can't say what I remember of her name, no more than				

just being in the paper. 1 Now, which side they were on or how or on what, I 2, can't remember. 3 Have you ever heard the name Bobby Beausoleil? A No. Q. Had you heard the name Shorty Shea before? 6 A No. sir. . Q Or Gary Himman? Had you heard that name? A No. sir. Is it fair to say that you have read over a 10 Q. 11 period of several months, and have heard and seen over tele-12 vision, references to Mr. Manson from time to time? 13 (No response.) Is it fair to say that you've seen or heard Mr. 14: 15 Manson's name mentioned, over the past several months? 16 I couldn't say. But I have heard it, yes, sir. 17 Q Well, let me ask you this: If I were to instruct 18 you that you are to disregard anything that you may have heard -- may have seen; heard or read about Mr. Manson, or about 19 20 any other case or about this case, and that you are just to 21 decide this case only on the evidence produced here, and the 22 Court's instructions of law, could you do that? Could you 23 set aside anything that you may have seen, heard or read, or might remember having heard, seen or read? 25 A Yes, I could. 26 And could you decide this case independently of 27 any such matter? 28 Yes, your Honor.

Q Could you be fair and impartial in this case, in spite of what you may have heard, seen or read, or any opinions you may have formed?

A Yes, I can.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Williams, directing your attention to your employment, may I ask you, what is the source of your income? What kind of employment or business are you in?

A I am a maintenance man with the Los Angeles City School District.

Q I see.

And your wife is not employed; is that right?

A No, sir.

Now, having in mind, right now, everything that you have heard concerning Mr. Manson, can you give us your -- what you've heard, just like -- you know, when you go to the movies, it doesn't mean it's necessarily so, but it's still there, and you see it and hear it; right?

A Yes.

Q The same kind of thing here. Can you tell us, just in brief, just in capsule form, what you have heard concerning Mr. Manson?

A Well -

5 fls.27

1	Q How big or how small or whatever it is?
2	A Well, it would be very little, because I can't
3	remember anything much of it now, no more than I've heard
, 4	that well, I just read his name in the paper and heard a
5	little of it on TV, and were some more people. I can't
. 6	remember all their names.
7	Q Did you ever hear of the name La Bianca?
, 8	A No, sir. I can't remember that name.
9	Q Did you as you sit there now, do you think that
10	what you heard pertains to this trial that's coming up now?
. 11	A No. sir.
12	Q What did that material that you heard pertain to?
13.	A Pertained to the if I am not mistaken I can
14	be wrong, my remembrance now it was in the case of the La
15 .	Bianca whatever their name was, those people. I don't know
16	I don't even know. I can't even call their names.
17	Q Very well.
18	And as you sit there now, do you know what the
19	result was in that other case?
20	A No, sir, I don't.
21	Q You don't know whether Mr. Manson was found guilty
. 22	or not guilty?
23	A No. sir.
24	Q As you sit there now, can you your state of
. 25.	mind is such that you believe Mr. Manson was innocent of those
26	other charges?
27	A I couldn't say that one way or the other.
2 8	Q Well, if the Court tells you that in connection

		Į	
		ı	
		ı	
		I	
		ļ	
		1	
		ı	

6

7

g.

9

10 11

72

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

Yes, sir. Ά

Q Now, you would attempt to do that, but is it a

with all matters that are coming before you Mr. Manson must be and is deemed to be innocent in all matters coming before you --

-- is your state of mind such that you can accept that and will administer that principal?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: It's a misstatement of the law.

THE COURT: If, as the Court has instructed you -- and you heard the instructions, did you not, Mr. Manson is presumed to be innocent; you understand that?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And that places the burden on the State of proving him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You heard the Court explain reasonable doubt?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you're willing to follow that instruction?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes, I am.

BY MR. KANAREK: You certainly intend and would hope to set aside and not use any of the information that you heard from the mass media concerning Mr. Manson; is that right, Mr. Williams?

2 '

, B.

4

6

74 8

9:

'n

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

10

5a fls. MR. KANAREK: Well, I think --

Your Honor interrogated in the presence -- just the prospective juror alone as to death penalty. I think Mr. Manzella should interrogate alone.

Well, either of you may, if you wish. THE COURT:

MR. KANAREK: I mean, is he going to interrogate concerning the death penalty in the presence of all the jurors? THE COURT: That's his intention.

MR. KANAREK: I would oppose that. I think it should be done right here and right now.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	THE COURT: The Court will permit you to do it right
2	now, on general voir dire.
3	MR. KANAREK: On general, oh, no. On general I wish
4 .	to do it in the presence of all the jurors.
5	THE COURT: On the penalty?
,6	MR. KANAREK: No, I have nothing to ask on penalty.
7	THE BAILIFF: Excuse me, your Honor, do you want to
8	call all the jurors in?
9	THE COURT: Yes, they may come in.
10	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, should I proceed now?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. MANZELLA:
15.	Q Mr. Williams, do you understand the conditions
16	under which we would reach a penalty phase?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Of this trial?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	(Whereupon, some of the prospective jurors
21	started coming into the courtroom.)
22	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: You understand that before we
23	would reach a penalty phase
24	DEFENDANT MANSON: Makes my eyes sore.
25	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: strike that.
26	That we will reach a penalty phase if Mr. Manson
27	is convicted of first degree murder; do you understand that?
28	A Yes.

. 25

26

27

28

Are you saying that if Mr. Manson was convicted of first degree murder, that in the penalty phase, if in your discretion, your sole and a bsolute discretion you felt that the death penalty was warranted, that you could vote for the death penalty?

A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you, I have no further questions, your Honor, on that point.

THE COURT: Off the record.

(A discussion was had off the record.)

THE COURT: On the record.

The record will show that the prospective jurors are all in the box and, counsel, you may examine generally, voir dire, if you wish, if there's anything further.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: From either of you.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Williams, do you have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law enforcement work?

THE COURT: The jurors in the back can't hear you. Use that microphone.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Do you have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law enforcement work, Mr. Williams, public or private, anywhere in the world?
 - A No, sir.
 - Now, if it should come to pass that there shall

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20.

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

come to this witness stand one or more witnesses who are of the black or Negro race, the same race that you are a member of, and that person should -- or persons should testify, is there any reason that because of the fact that these people may have been incarcerated in prison with Mr. Manson for a number of years, is there any reason that you couldn't judge their testimony?

THE COURT: You needn't answer that. That's an improper question on voir dire. And it is unintelligible in the way you've put it.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, I know.

Did you understand the question, Mr. Williams?

MR. MANZELIA: Objection, your Honor, the Court has already ruled on the question.

THE COURT: Yes, the Court has ruled. Rephrase your question.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Are we in agreement, Mr. Williams, that we can be brothers, irrespective of race?

A Yes, sir.

And are we in agreement that regardless of the status of a person who takes a witness stand, even if it should be someone who has been in prison for a -- some number of years, are we in agreement that you will listen to the evidence of such people and give it the weight that you think that it is entitled to and not -- and not say because the man has been in prison or something like that, that we won't listen to him?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.

No, I'll withdraw the objection, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: I'll allow the question. 2 Do you understand the question, Mr. Williams? 3 JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir, I do. THE COURT: Would you answer it, then? Would you give such testimony the weight to which 6. you find it to be entitled? 7 JUROR NO. 4: Yes, sir, I would. 8. BY MR. KANAREK: Now, if a police officer 9 testifies, you're not going to accept what he says just because 10 he's a police officer; is that a fair statement, Mr. Williams? 11 A Yes, it is. 12 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 5b fls. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, go ahead. 15 16 17 18 19 20 2Į 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

MR. KANAREK: I would like to approach the bench, if I

may, your Honor.

-9

13.

THE COURT: All right, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the prospective jurors:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, on the record I would like to challenge for cause, because of the publicity, under 1073, Sub section 2, Miss Howe, Mr. Hunt and Mr. Sammy Williams.

THE COURT: The Court finds in each case that these jurors can set aside any opinions they might have formed, anything they have heard, seen or read concerning this case or the other case, and that they can decide the case on the evidence and the Court's instructions. I think they can act independently of anything they may have heard, seen or read and that they may be fair and impartial and that the challenge is denied.

THE COURT: That was the witness -- I haven't seen the article. I know the name Ronnie Howard as being a witness in the Tate-La Bianca case?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. I have the Herald Express headline of the newspaper with me in my briefcase and it is a very -- I don't want to propagate publicity, but it did occur. Now, it poses --

THE COURT: When did it appear?

ì MR. KANAREK: Herald Express, Saturday throughout the day in big, black two-inch headlines. I think it covered 3 two big headlines. THE COURT: Where do you have it, here? 5 MR. KANAREK: I intend to introduce it in evidence. б THE COURT: You may do so. 7 MR. KANAREK: It is not just an academic pursuit. I 8 wanted to know -- I believe -- I'm sure some of these jurors 9 have seen it and I want to bring it to the Court's attention 10 so we can have some kind of hearing and determine --11 THE COURT: What do you propose, just to ask in general 12 or individually? 13 MR. KANAREK: I don't know, your Honor. That's why I Ì4 am bringing it to the Court's attention. 15 THE COURT: Let's ask them individually whether any of 16 them have heard, seen or read anything over the last three 17 days as to this, as to Mr. Manson. 18 MR. KANAREK: We'd have to do that as to all the jurors. 19 THE COURT: I think we can find out as a group, find out 20 if any one of them raises his hand and if he or she has read anything, then we'll question them individually. 22 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: The challenges that you made are denied. MR. KANAREK: I do have that paper which I would like to introduce. 26 All right, you may put it in. THE COURT: 27 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 28. in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective jurors:

ġ.

rail.

2

]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the Court will direct as to all of you in the box, and I'll ask the jurors, the prospective jurors beyond the rail to also take note of it. If you are called later, to give me your answer to it.

In the last three days have any of you heard, seen or read anything whatever concerning Mr. Manson or the Tate-La Bianca case in the press?

If any of you have heard, seen or read anything whatever concerning Mr. Manson, if you read his name or if you've read anything in relation to his name or anything concerning the Tate-La Bianca case of whatever nature, would you raise your hand? In the last three days.

I see no hands.

I see Mr. Lowe's hand.

JUROR NO. 2: Something concerning the -THE COURT: Don't say what it is.

Mr. Lowe has raised his hand.

And I see three hands, four hands out beyond the

In the event you should be called, those of you who are beyond the rail, would you, at the proper time, indicate that you have raised your hand, that you are one of those that raised his hand.

I'll -- we'll ask about that, then, Mr. Lowe, and we'll ask you about that -- I think the best way to do it is to ask the other jurors to leave and ask Mr. Lowe to converse with us privately.

So would you all just wait out in the hallway.

```
Į
                I shouldn't be very long. If it looks as though it is going to
                take a long time, we'll get you back to 104 where it is cooler.
            2
                             Remember not to converse amongst yourselves, nor
            3
                amongst -- nor with anyone concerning this matter.
            4
                             (Whereupon, the prospective jurors left the
            6
                courtroom.)
5c fls.
            7
            8
            9
           10
           11
           12
           13
           14
           15
           16
           17
           18
           19
           20
           21
           22
           24
           25
           26
           27
           28
```

5c-1

.

ļ7

THE COURT: Mr. Lowe --

The record will show that the prospective jurors have left, the other prospective jurors have left.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Lowe, will you tell us what you heard, seen or read?

A Well, your Honor, I read where as a witness was harassed or fired upon by persons unknown. The witness in the Tate-La Bianca case.

A witness in the Tate-La Bianca case.

That witness was Romnie Howard, if you remember?

A No, it was the lady -- I can't remember her name.

I think it was the one. I'm not sure.

Q Now, was the inference made in the news article, as nearly as you can recall, that Mr. Manson or somebody who was Mr. Manson's associate or follower might have been responsible for it?

A Uh, no, I don't believe the paper indicated that.

As far as I can remember, it didn't.

Q Did you form any opinion as to whether Mr. Manson might have been responsible for that shooting?

A No. The only opinion that I arrived at was that maybe there was someone that more or less sympathized with Mr. Manson.

Someone that sympathized with Mr. Manson.

Did you take any inference that would be against

5c-2

C-Z

2

1

3

4

6

Ż

8

9

11

12

13.

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Mr. Manson?

A Oh, no, no.

Q Do you think that your answer that -- to my previous questions concerning your ability to set aside what you may have heard, seen or read, is in any way altered by your having read that news article?

You've indicated to me that you can set aside anything you have heard, seen or read and decide this case independently of such matter and be fair and impartial in your decision in spite of anything that you may have picked up and absorbed from the news media; is that correct?

A Yes, I think this is correct.

Q And has the reading of that news article in any way changed your attitude?

A None.

Q And you can ignore what you may have heard, seen or read in connection with it and decide the case independently of such matter, is that correct?

A Yes, I believe I can.

Of Are you hedging at all when you say, "I believe"?

Or do you mean that that is firmly your conviction?

A Yes, this is what I believe, this is my firm convictions.

THE COURT: All right, any questions of him?

MR. KANAREK: No, -- just a brief question.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Lowe, may I ask where was it that you saw this? Did you see this on a -- you saw it in a newspaper?

	1	1
5c-3	1	A Yes, it was the newspaper.
	2	Q Big headlines?
	3	A No, it wasn't big headlines. It is or I
, , ,	4.	think it was the second page.
.	. 5	Q You saw it and you read it in the newspaper?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q May I ask what newspaper?
,	8	A Er, I think it was the Times.
	9	Q I see.
	10	A No, just a second. I retract that. It is the
٩	11.	Examiner, because I only take the Examiner.
•	12	Q The Herald-Examiner?
	13	A Right.
	14 :	O I see.
	15	And you certainly intend not to use that for any
3	16	purpose, right?
	17	A True.
•	18	Q In connection with this case?
	19	A That is true.
	20	Q And Ronnie Howard, does that name, "Ronnie Howard,"
,	21	does that sound like the name that you saw?
	22	A Ronnie Howard names escape me.
	23	Q I see.
	24	A I'm not sure.
3	25	MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Lowe.
	26	THE COURT: All right, now, let me ask you this.
•	27	If you are chosen as a juror, Mr. Lowe, you are
	28	seated in the box as a juror, do you think in the future you

5c-4

6 fls.

can avoid reading anything that might in any way impinge upon this case or deal with this case or any other case in which Mr. Manson might be involved? Do you think that you can consciously -- will you consciously avoid reading anything of that nature?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes, I would say consciously, but, at times, when it really gets interesting, and I guess nature takes over.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 Π

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Well, even though you might be interested, you understand that something might arise which might cause You --A Yes. -- to alter an opinion that you might have? thing might occur which might make it more difficult for you to be independent in your judgment; do you understand? A Yes, your Honor. And the Court -- you understand that that would be unfair, don't you? A True. Q.

So that I will ask you whether or not you believe that, in the future, you can avoid the perusal, the reading of such matters?

A Yes.

All right. And if you should happen to turn the Q radio on or somebody has the radio on, would you avoid listening to or avoid viewing television also, concerning this case, or any other case involving Mr. Manson?

Yes, I would say I could do that.

THE COURT: All right. Fine. Let's bring the jurors back in.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor, --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we take --

MR. MANZELLA: -- the court reporter indicates that Juror No. 11 said that he had read something about the case. In other words, he answered "Yes" in answer to your Honor's question before.

THE COURT: Oh, I see. Mr. Hunt?

MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Hunt.

ask for Mr. Hunt to come in.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, as a matter of -- may we take the recess at this time, your Honor?

THE COURT: Well --

MR. KANAREK: I think --

THE COURT: Let's just ask Mr. Hunt here, and then we will take the recess.

MR. KANAREK: Well -- very well.

THE COURT: Mr. Lowe, would you mind joining the other prospective jurors out there?

DANIEL W. HUNT,

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Hunt, I believe that somebody understood you to say you had indicated that you had read something over the weekend in connection with this case, something that appeared in the press.

Did you respond affirmatively?

- A I did, your Honor, that that I had not read a newspaper during the whole weekend. I was too busily engaged, actually, in listening to KABC, I believe it was.
 - Q so that you didn't see or hear anything?
 - A No.
- Q All right. I thought that was your response, but someone else interpreted your reaction differently.

. . .

2

4.

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

26 27

28

Do you wish to take a recess now?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, please, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll recess for ten minutes.

Don't converse with anyone, Mr. Hunt, about this, nor permit

anyone to converse with you.

JUROR NO. 11: All right.

THE COURT: Tell the jurors, if you will, Mr. Kuccera, that they may come in the courtroom. It will probably be more comfortable for them, --

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Hunt.

THE COURT: -- if they were to come in.

We are in recess for ten minutes,

(Mid-afternoon recess.)

THE COURT: The defendant is present with his counsel.

All prospective jurors are in the box.

The peremptory challenge is with the People.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. The People would respectively thank and excuse Mrs. Lola Loudd, Juror No. 5.

THE COURT: Mrs. Loudd, thank you very much.

Has your time expired?

JUROR NO. 5: I have a couple of days.

THE COURT: Pardon?

JUROR NO. 5: A couple of days.

THE COURT: Go to Room 253. But you may not have to go now, if -- Mr. Dooley will call. It looks a little late for you to go over there now. I don't think they'd pick you up for a jury.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Loudd.

It might

1 THE COURT: You might just wait a few minutes. 2 save you a trip, JUROR NO. 5: I have to go over there anyhow --3 THE COURT: You have to go over there anyhow. Report 5 there, then. Call another name. 7 THE CLERK: Mrs. Mabel J. Gaines; G-a-i-n-e-s. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 10 MABEL J. GAINES 11 BY THE COURT: 12 . Q Mrs. Gaines, were you present when the Court 13 explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors, 14 and when the Court talked to the prospective juror -- the 15 first prospective juror taken from your group? 16 Yes, sir, I was. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

6a-1

2

3

4

5 6

7

Я

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26[,]

27

28

- And would your answers be any different than that prospective juror responded to the questions of a general nature?
 - A No, sir, they would not.
- Q Would it be any hardship to you, Mrs. Gaines, to serve on this case?
 - A Yes, sir. Two.
 - Q Tell us about it.
- A One is my job. I'm presently employed for the County of Los Angeles, Department of Social Services; but now; we have a freeze on; we are not hiring anybody -- even if someone retires or should leave the department.

I am up for a promotion within the department.

I'm studying and waiting to be called for a County Clerk II and Welfare Computation Clerk II.

- Q Have you taken examinations, or --
- A No.
- Q When would they be?
- A I filed for a County Welfare Computation Clerk II on June 25th; and it usually takes from three to four weeks before you are called.
- Q And what you are afraid of is that, if you are selected here, that you will lose that opportunity for advancement?

A Yes.

Upon your suggestion, I called my employer -- I believe it was Wednesday -- and she said that it would be impossible to hold it; we can't bring people from the outside.

6a-2

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 · 18

19

20

21

7 fls.

24

23.

26

27

28

When someone within the department passes the examination, she could not hold it for me.

Q So that it would be what, another year before you'd be eligible again?

A Six months, at least, before we could take another promotional exam.

Q Gentlemen?

MR. MANZELIA: The People would stipulate it would constitute a hardship, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Submit it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

BY THE COURT:

Q What is your other reason?

A Sir, it's of a more personal nature, in relation to my physical condition. And with your permission, I would rather not discuss it in the presence of the other jurors.

Q Well, can you discuss it generally? Is it something which --

A Well, I can go into part of it. I do have a slipped disk. This causes me difficulty on sitting or standing or walking uphill for any length of time. I cannot sit in one position.

	Ī	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7-1	1	Q Have you seen a doctor about that?
	2	A Oh, yes, I'm under the doctor now.
	3	Q And this causes you some difficulty from time to
ä	4	time?
	5 ,	A Yes, sir, it does.
	б	Q Do you think that sitting over a period of four or
	7 .	five months as a juror would aggravate that?
	8.	A Yes, it does, even for four or five hours.
	9	THE COURT: All right, the Court does find it would be
	10	a hardship to you based on that last ground, and the Court
	11	also believes that it would be a sacrifice to you which
	12	you shouldn't have to make in connection with your prospects
	-13	for advancement.
,	14	JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.
	15	THE COURT: So the Court will excuse you.
3	16	JUROR NO. 5: Thank you.
	17	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Gaines.
7	18	THE COURT: Report to Room 253. And if you will wait,
	19	Mr. Dooley will find out for you when. You might be saved a
	20	walk over there now.
	2]	JUROR NO. 5: Thank you very much.
• •	22	THE CLERK: Mrs. Mary Lon Russell, middle name L-o-n,
	23	R-u-s-s-e-1-1.
	24	
	25	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
٠	26	MARY LON RUSSELL
•	27	BY THE COURT:

Mrs. Russell, were you present when the Court

ī	explained the nature of this case and talked to a prospective
2	juror, the first one chosen from your group?
3	A Yes, I was.
4	Q, Would your answers be any different than the
.5	answers that the prospective juror gave to the questions I
6	asked of a general nature?
7	A No.
8	Q Would it be any hardship to you to serve on this
9	jury?
10	A No.
n	Q Are you have you been a juror before?
12	A No.
13.	Q In any type of case?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you have any friends or relatives who are law
16	enforcement officers?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q What type of work do you do?
19	A I'm a distribution clerk at the post office. I
20	work
21	Q How long have you been so involved?
22	A Seven years.
23	Q And is there a Mr. Russell?
24	A No.
25	Q Is it Miss Russell?
26	A Mrs.
27	Q Mrs.
28	I'm sorry, I didn't hear that correctly.

i	
1	In what general area do you reside?
2	A Southwest Los Angeles.
3	Q Can you think of any reason why you couldn't
4	be fair and impartial in this case?
5	A No.
6	Q Do you have views concerning the death penalty,
7	such that they would keep you from being fair and impartial
8 ,	in determining the question of guilt or innocence on the first
9	phase of the trial?
10	A No.
11	Q Or are your views concerning the death penalty
12	such that you would automatically refuse to impose the death
13	penalty regardless of the evidence?
14 .	A No.
15	Q Or do you have such views concerning the death
16	penalty that you would never vote to impose it?
17	A No.
18	Q But do you believe that you would be able to follow
19	the Court's instructions as I give them to you, as best you
20	can; will you listen to them?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And attempt to follow them?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Can you think of any reason at all why you
25	should not or could not sit on this jury as a fair and
26	impartial juror?
27	A No.
28	THE COURT: At this point, gentlemen, any questions?

Any questions on voir dire of Mrs. -- Miss Russell? 1 Miss. MR. KANAREK: Well, not --VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. KANAREK: Do you have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law enforcement work, Miss Russell? 8 No. I don't. Public or private? 10 I have not --MR. KANAREK: 12 THE COURT: I think we are at the point now where we 13 must again excuse the other jurors and ask Miss Russell about 14 what she may have heard, seen or read in the press. So would 15 you all retire to Department 104, very quickly. 16 And I presume I'11 be seeing you again very 17 shortly. 18 Remember the admonition, don't talk about this 19 case amongst yourselves or with anyone else. 20 (Whereupon, the prospective jurors left the 21 courtroom.) 22 23 VOIR DIRE EXAMENATION 24 BY THE COURT: 25 Miss Russell. Q 26 A Yes. 27 28 O. The Court would like to inquire whether you had

ever heard, seen or read the name Charles Manson before you 1 came into this courtroom? 2 I've heard of it, but I haven't read anything A about it. Q. Well, do you know where you heard of it? A Well, just hearing people in general talk. 6 7 About what, in what connection did you hear it? 8 Well, this is the thing about it, no details, I was catching the bus back and forth, and 9 10 through different conversations they would be reading the П 7a fls. paper. 12 13 14 · 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

7a-1 Well, have you ever seen any news release over 1 television in which Mr. Manson's name was mentioned? Ź No, I haven't. A 3 I only have one TV, and that belongs to my children. 5 Have you ever heard on the radio, discussing a 6 news item involving Mr. Manson in the last year? No. I haven't. Well, do you know in what connection you hear Mr. Q Manson? Well, like you're riding on the bus back and forth to work and individuals reading the paper, someone sitting 12 beside you and asked you have you heard of it, you know. I see. 14 This sort of thing. 15 What are we talking shout? In what connection have 16 you heard Mr. Manson's name? 17 Well, like if they were reading the paper and they A 18 were reading about them, they would ask me had I followed up 10 on this case. 20 On this particular case in which you've --Q. 21 No. something prior that his name was brought up Á **22** in. 23 Do you know what case it was? Q 24 . I don't have any idea. A 25 Have you ever heard of Sharon Tate? Q 26 I've heard the name. Å 27 In what connection; do you know that? Q 28

- 1	
1	A Same as goes along with him.
2 .	Q Well, do you know
3	A I don't read the paper, nor do I
4	Q Do you know who she is?
5	A No, I don't.
6.	Q Have you ever heard the name Spahn Ranch?
7	A No.
8	Q Have you ever heard the name Susan Atkins?
9	A No.
10	Q Have you ever heard the name La Bianca?
ΪΙ	A No.
12	Do you know what that other case was that Mr.
13	Manson was involved with?
<u>į</u> 4	A No, I really don't.
15	Q Had you ever heard the name Bobby Beausoleil?
16	A Who?
17	Q. Heard, seen or read the name Bobby Beausoleil?
18.	A No.
19	Q Mary Brunner?
20.	A No.
21	Q Before you came into this court, had you ever
22	heard the name Gary Hinman?
.23	A No.
24	Q Shorty Shea?
25	A No.
26	Q Do you know whether Mr. Manson has ever been
27	convicted of any crime, whatever?
28	A Not to my knowledge.

	Q	And	there's	no 1	reason	what	ever	from	what	уоц	may
have	heard,	seen	or read	as	to wh	у уоц	coul	d not	be	fair	and
impat	rtial t	o Mr.	Manson,	is	that e	correc	et?	,			

A No, there's no reason I couldn't be fair and impartial.

Q All right. If you should later on remember something that you may have heard, seen or read, or if you do have some opinions now that you have been unable to express, but you should later think of them, would you be able to set aside any such matter involving this case or any other case?

A Yes.

Q And decide this case independently of anything that you may have heard, seen or read or may remember?

A Yes.

Q Can you set aside those things in your mind, segregate them from the evidence in this case and decide this case only upon the evidence and the law as I shall state it to you?

A Yes.

Q Do you think you are capable of doing that?

À Yes.

Q And will you do that?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any doubt in your mind whatever about your shility to be objective and impartial in setting aside anything that you may remember of what you heard, seen or read from the news media?

A No.

1	Q All right.
2	THE COURT: You may examine.
3	
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. KANAREK:
6	Q All right, Miss Russell, is it a fair statement
Ŷ.	that you would certainly intend to set aside everything that
8	you have heard concerning Mr. Manson, right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You would certainly intend to do that, right?
11	A Yes.
12	MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella.
14	MR. MANZELLA: No questions.
15	THE COURT: All right, bring the jurors back in.
16	(Whereupon, the prospective jurors were brought
17	back into the courtroom.)
.18	THE COURT: All right, all the jurors are present.
19 '-	You gentlemen may all the prospective jurors
2 0	are present in the box and beyond the rail.
21	You gentlemen may voir dire, if you wish.
22	You may question, if you wish.
23	MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, thank you.
24	
25	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
26	BY MR. KANAREK:
27	Q Miss Russell.
28	A Yes.

You've heard everything that's gone on in this Q courtroom since you've been in here? 7b fls. A Yes, I have. 10, ¹20° ¹

	1	1
- B	1	Q Is there any reason that you can think of why
	2	you couldn't be an impartial juror?
Pg. 1	3	A No, there isn't.
, P	4	Q Or if any one of us were sitting where Mr. Manson
· .	5	were sitting, including someone who you would say would be
	6	near and dear to you, would you welcome that juror to be
	7	judged by someone with your state of mind?
•	8	A Yes.
	9	Q Thank you.
	10	MR. KANAREK: Pass for cause, your Honor.
•	` 11	THE COURT: Use the microphone, if you would, Mr. Manzella
	12	
	13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
· .	14	BY MR. MANZELLA:
().	15	Q Miss Russell, have you or anyone you know ever been
*	16	involved in the defense of criminal cases?
.	17	A Not that I know of.
·	18	Q Do you know anyone that's been accused of any
	. 19	crime?
	20	A No.
	21	Q Do you understand from the Court's instructions
	22.	the burden of proof that's on the prosecution in this case?
,	23	A Yes.
	24	Q Would you hold the prosecution to any greater burder
•,	25	of proof because this is a murder case?
	26.	A No.
•	27	Q Did you hear the questions that I have asked the
	28	other prospective jurors with regard to circumstantial
		I the state of th

1	evidence?
2.	A Yes.
3	Q All right. Do you have any bias or quarrel with
4	circumstantial evidence, such that you could not be fair and
5	impartial to the People in this case?
6	A No.
7	Q Does it it any way offend your sense of justice
8.	that a person can be convicted of first degree murder under
9	the law, based on circumstantial evidence?
10	A No.
11	Q Did you hear the other questions which I've asked
12	the prospective jurors?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did you hear the answers of the other jurors to
15	those questions?
16	A Yes.
17	Q All right. If I asked you the same questions,
18.	would your answers be substantially the same as the majority
19	of the jurors answered my questions?
20	A Yes.
21	Q All right, thank you.
22	MR. MANZELLA: People will pass for cause, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Both sides pass for cause, gentlemen?
24	MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.
25	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
26	THE COURT: The peremptory lies with the defendant.
27	MR. KANAREK: Thank and excuse Miss Howe. Thank you,
28	Miss Howe.

1	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Howe, Room 253 on
2 .	Wednesday. Wednesday, July 14th, at 9:00 o'clock.
3	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
4,	JUROR NO. 12: You're welcome.
5	THE CLERK: Mrs. Ollie F. Hooks. First name 0-1-1-i-e,
6	last name is spelled H-o-o-k-s.
7	
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
9	OLLIE F. HOOKS,
10	BY THE COURT:
11	Q Mrs. Hooks, would it be any hardship for you to
12	serve on this jury?
13	A No, it would not.
14	Q Have you heard the Court's explanation of the
15	nature of this case to the prospective jurors and heard the
. 16	questions that the Court put to the first prospective juror
17	taken from your group?
18	A Yes.
19	Q First person questioned from your group, that is?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Yes?
22	A Yes.
23 24	Q Would your answers be any different than he
	responded to the questions of a general nature?
25 26	A No.
20° 27	Q Have you served as a juror before?
28	A No, I haven*t.
2 0	Q Are you related to or a friend of any law
•	

Ŧ	enforcement	officer?
2 .	A	No.
3	· Q	Are you an employee outside of the home?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And what's the nature of your employment?
6	A	I am a clerk with Pacific Telephone.
7	Q	How long have you been so employed?
8	A	Six years.
9	Q	Is there a Mr. Brooks?
10	A	Hooks, H-o-o-k-s.
H	Q	Thanks.
12	A	Yes, there is.
13	Q	Is there a Mr. Hooks?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And is he where is he employed?
16	A	Soule Steele.
17	Ÿ	In what general area do you and he reside?
18	A	Southwest Los Angeles.
.19	Q	Have you ever been involved in law enforcement
20	in any way	or has Mr. Hooks?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Do you have views concerning the death penalty,
23	such that y	ou could not thereby be impartial in determining
24	the question	n of guilt or innocence?
25	} 85 A	Yes, I am.
26	Q	Are your views concerning the death penalty such
27	that you co	uld not impose it regardless of the evidence?
28·	X	Ves.

1	Q Would you automatically, regardless of the
2	evidence, refuse to impose the death penalty in any case?
`3	A Yes, I would.
4	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such
5	that you would refuse to even consider the death penalty?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Are your views such that you would automatically
8	vote against the death penalty and for life imprisonment
9	regardless of what might be produced by way of evidence?
10	A Yes.
11	THE COURT: Any questions?
12	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
13	
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KANAREK:
16	Q Mrs. Hooks, may I ask you, is it a fair statement
17	that that at the time that you were in the jury room, that
18	you would discuss the evidence with your fellow jurors?
19	A Yes, I would.
20	Q And the unlikely if this should get to the
21	penalty phase, is it a fair statement that during the penalty
22	phase you would discuss the evidence with your fellow jurors?
23	A Yes.
. 24	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you.
25	THE COURT: People.
26	MR. MANZELLA: People would respectfully challenge
27]	Mrs. Hooks for cause under Section 1073, subdivision 2 of the
28 ′	Penal Code.
	The state of the s

THE COURT: Would you read back Mrs. Hooks' last answer to Mr. Kanarek's question? In fact, the last two questions and answers?

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"QUESTION: Mrs. Hooks, may I ask you, is it a fair statement that -- that at the time that you were in the jury room, that you would discuss the evidence with your fellow jurors?

"ANSWER: Yes, I would.

"QUESTION: And the unlikely -- if this should get to the penalty phase, is it a fair statement that during the penalty phase you would discuss the evidence with your fellow jurous?

"ANSWER: Yes.")

THE COURT: In discussing this evidence, is there any possibility now, as you see your state of mind, Mrs. Hooks, that you would be able to vote for the death penalty after considering and discussing that evidence?

JUROR NO. 12: No.

THE COURT: Regardless of what that evidence may show?
JUROR NO. 12: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, the Court grants the challenge for cross, and does excuse you, Mrs. Hooks. You need not report until Wednesday.

Do you still have time left on jury duty?
JUROR NO. 12: Yes.

THE COURT: Wednesday, July 14th, at 9:00 o'clock, Room

Thank you for being here. 253. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Hooks. JUROR NO. 12: You're welcome. THE CLERK: Benny D. Garcia. First name B-e-n-n-y, last name spelled G-a-r-c-i-a. 7-c fls. . 18

2

3

4 5

.

•

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 . 23

24

25 26

27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

BENNY D. GARCIA

BY THE COURT:

- O Mr. Garcia, were you present when the Court called this case, first called the case, --
 - A Yes.
- and explained -- when your group was present, and explained the nature of this case to your group of prospective jurors, and conversed with the first prospective juror of your group?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q And you overheard all of that, did you not?
 - A Yes.
- Q Would your answers be any different than the first prospective juror replied to the Court's questions of a general nature?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Would this be any hardship to you, Mr. Garcia, to serve on this case?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Neither finencial nor personal hardship of any kind?
 - A No.
- Q All right. Have you been a juror in any criminal case before?
 - A Yes.
- Q Tell us the types of criminal cases, and whether there was a verdict, without saying what it was.

8-2

2	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20-

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

Q Or do you have views concerning the death penalty such that you would automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, regardless of the evidence?

A No. sir.

Q Do you have views such that you would never be able to impose the death penalty?

A No, sir.

Q Or do you have such views concerning the death penalty that you would automatically impose the death penalty, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree?

A No. sir.

THE COURT: All right. We must ask you some questions outside of the hearing of the other jurors, and so we'll ask you ladies and gentlemen to move out, if you would, please.

Look at the way that one jurors moves out, so quickly.

(Laughter.)

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Garcia, were you one of those jurors who raised his hand, when I asked whether the jurors had heard anything in the last three days?

A No, sir, I didn't.

Q Heard, seen or read anything? You haven't?

A No. I haven't.

Q All right. Had you heard of Mr. Manson before you came into this courtroom, and the Court told you about this case?

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

·17

. 18

19

20

. 21

.22

23 24

25

26

27 28 A Yes, that's about it.

Q And do you know the name Spahn Ranch?

A No, sir, I don't.

Well, if I should instruct you — if the Court should instruct you that you are to set aside anything that you may have previously heard, seen or read about this case, or Mr. Manson — or about any other case — and anything that you may subsequently remember about this case or Mr. Manson, or any other case, and decide this case independently of such matter, could you do that?

A Yes, I believe I could.

Q Do you believe that you are objective enough to segregate that information that you may have picked up, may have heard, seen or read, from the news media?

Are you able to segregate that from the evidence in your mind?

A Yes, I believe I could.

Q And would you decide this case only on the evidence that's produced here in court, and the Court's instructions of law?

A Yes.

And would you set aside any opinions that you may have formed, even subconsciously now, as you sit -- as you sit there, you may have opinions which may come to your mind at a later time.

Would you be able to set those aside, and be independent of them? Could you do that?

A Yes, I believe I could.

. 3

Q And could you decide this case only on the evidence and the instructions of law as I shall give them to you, and be fair and impartial in your judgment of the evidence?

A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Garcia, sir, may I ask you what part of Los Angeles do you live in?

- A Northeast. That's Lincoln Heights district.
- Q And --
- A About two miles from here.
- Q I see. Like around ---
- A North Broadway.
- Q -- North Broadway? Near Lincoln High School?
- A Yes.
- And is that -- may I ask you, when you said -- and I think you did; I tried to write it down -- as you said, "The first original killings," What did you mean by that?
- A Well, what I meantwas, the first time I ever heard of Mr. Manson was -- was when -- when I heard on the radio that -- that some people had been killed up in West L. A., I guess it was. I don't remember exactly.

And there was quite a bit of talk about it on the radio and TV. You couldn't help but hear something about it.

But like I said, I didn't really follow it.

And are we in agreement that -- you recognize that what we are talking about here doesn't reflect upon you at all, the fact that you are an alert citizen and read and listen to what's going on around you.

No one is -- no one is saying that there is -there's anything wrong with that; do you understand?

A Yes, I understand.

Q All that we are doing, we are trying to find out, for the purposes of the law, what actually you've heard by way of publicity.

A Yes. I understand.

Q However big or however small it may be.

Now, directing your attention then to what you have heard about Mr. Manson, in connection with these matters, would you tell us about when was it that you first heard of the -- the media, the publicity, saying that Mr. Manson did this or did that?

A Uh — it was quite a while ago, and — when I first heard of it. I didn't hear that Mr. Manson had done anything at the time.

It wasn't until later that Mr. Manson's name was connected with it, that -- that I learned of the Manson name.

Q Now, directing your attention to the year 1970, were you in Los Angeles during that year?

A Yes.

Q And during that year, did you hear anything concerning Mr. Manson, let's say -- oh, during the first eight

1

4

5

6

7

9

10[.]

11

12

13

15

16

1,7

18

19[.] 20

21

22 23

24

26

27

28

or nine months of 1970, last year?

A I might have. But nothing specific. Because like I say, I haven't followed this case at all. It's -- it didn't interest me.

Q Well, did you hear anything concerning President
Mixon and Mr. Manson?

A No. sir.

Now, then, directing your attention to Mr. Manson and the previous proceedings in this other case, what do you think, based upon what you've seen and heard, Mr. Manson's place was in those proceedings?

- A You want to know my opinion?
- Q Mo.
- A Or what did I hear?
- Q No, just what you heard, yes. Just what you heard.

A Well, specifically, I can't really say. But all I know is, he was connected with it, in -- in -- as a matter of fact, accused of it.

But I didn't hear or really know of any result, or form any opinions.

- Q And when you say accused of it, what do you mean by "it"?
- A These killings that took place up here in West L. A.
 - Q I see. And -THE COURT: Is that your opinion, Mr. Garcia?
 JUROR NO. 12: Which, sir?

3

4

5

-6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19·

20° 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 THE COURT: I mean, do you have that opinion? That --you've indicated to Mr. Kanarek that you had some opinion.

JUROR NO. 12: No, no. I mean, I can just read something, and then forget it. Because as I say, it didn't interest me.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: May I ask, what was -- do you remember, just a few minutes ago, you said, "Are you asking for my opinion, or what I heard?"

And I asked you what you heard.

Now, I am asking you: What is your opinion?

- A Of Mr. Manson, or the case?
- Q Well, what did you think; in your mind, when you asked me about -- the word "opinion"? What were you --
- A You asked me about what I had heard, read or seen.
 - Q Yes.
- A And if I have -- and if I have formed an opinion because of what I've read or heard.
 - Q Yes. May I ask -- I'll ask that: Period.
- A No, I don't. I haven't formed any opinion whatsoever. Because, as I said, I haven't followed it. And -it just didn't interest me, and I didn't care.
- Q I see. And when you say you haven't formed any opinion of it, what do you mean by "it"?
 - A Of what I had heard and read concerning Mr. Manson.
- Q I see. And certainly, you intend not to consider any of the matters that you may have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson, during the pendency of this trial; is

	1	that right?
	2	A That's right.
£	3	MR. MANSON: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
	4	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella?
ž	5	
	6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
	7	BY MR. MANZEILA:
	8	Q Mr. Garcia, did you say that you were married?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q Is your wife employed?
	11	A No, she isn't.
	12	MR. MANZELLA: I have no other questions, your Honor.
•	13	THE COURT: All right. Bring the other jurors back.
<u> </u>	14	Is there a challenge to Mr. Garcia?
	15	MR. KANAREK: Pardon?
,	16	THE COURT: Any challenge to Mr. Garcia? At this time?
ė	17	MR. KANARERr Well, I would like to approach the bench,
	18	if I
	19	THE COURT: You may.
	20.	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Hopor.
c fls.	21	THE COURT: Bring the other jurors in.
	22 ⁻	
	23 [.]	
	24	
•.	25	
	26	
	27	
•	28	

8c-1

T

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18,

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among the Court and counsel, not within the hearing of the prospective jury panel:)

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I -- I would like to -well, first of all, I would like to ask -- and I do ask for
-- a mistrial, in connection with the Ronnie Howard matter.

I have the paper here. I don't want to display it in front of all the jurors, potential jurors; but I have it. I don't know if the Court had the opportunity to see it over the weekend.

THE COURT: No, I didn't see it.

MR. KANAREK: I have it. It's a very -- it's right on the front page of the Herald-Examiner.

THE COURT: Well, without having seen it, though, I would think it would be no grounds for a mistrial. And --

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: -- in view of the one juror who so far has been questioned.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it isn't -- what I am saying is, this is --

THE COURT: There are about four -- excuse me -- four who raised their hands, three beyond the rail, and one in the box, Mr. Lowe. And he was questioned.

Do you have it here?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, I have it.

THE COURT: Well, you may introduce it into evidence.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. I would rather not do it while the jurors -- I would like to give it to the Court, if I may, in

8c-2

1

3

4

Š б

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

XXXXXXXXX

chambers, or --

THE COURT: All right. You may introduce it during the recess, or at the conclusion of --

MR. KANAREK: But my position is that it's indicative of the ongoing publicity in connection with Mr. Manson. And I -- and my position is: I do ask for a mistrial in that regard.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: The headlines and --

THE COURT: The motion is denied. However, I will look at the news article, and if there is any change in my view after having looked at it this evening -- or, this afternoon, --

MR. KANAREK: Well. may I --

THE COURT: -- I will indicate to you.

MR. KANAREK: May it be marked as an exhibit, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. It may be marked the next -- as the Defendant's next in order, whatever that may be.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

THE COURT: It's been so long, I can't recall what number.

MR. KANAREK: And I would represent to the Court that, throughout the day, this is the latest news section -- or edition of the Herald-Examiner. And the Herald-Examiner has -like the latest -- there are several editions. And it was in headlines throughout the day on different editions.

I can represent that to the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any motion with respect to Mr. Garcia?

8c-2

.

8d fls. 24

MR. KANAREK: Yes, I do. I do make a challenge under 1073, Subsection 2, in that the man intends to, but I maintain that, in the context of the publicity against Mr. Manson, that none of these jurors, no matter how well intentioned, can possibly put aside these matters that they have learned by way of mass media.

THE COURT: The Court believes that Mr. Garcia can put aside what he may have heard, seen or read concerning Mr. Manson, the prior case, or this case, minimal though it may be; and that he will put it aside and will put aside any opinions he may have formed, and be impartial and fair in the matter.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Our challenge, of course, goes to each and every juror --

THE COURT: The Court denies the motion, not only as to Mr. Garcia, but -- or the challenge, but as to each prospective juror. I will deny the challenge.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence of the prospective jury panel:)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that all prospective jurors are present.

Did either counsel wish to direct any questions to Mr. Garcia?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: You may.

2

3

4

5.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Garcia, you've heard -- you've heard that the -- I think -- I think Mr. Manzella spoke intensively in this court concerning circumstantial evidence?

A Yes, sir.

Q If the Court should instruct you, as I believe he will, that -- and instruct all of us -- that a person may be acquitted, found not guilty, based upon circumstantial evidence, is there any reason that you couldn't administer that principle of law?

A No.

Q Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be a fair and impartial juror?

A No, sir.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Pass for cause, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Manzella?

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Mr. Garcia, have you ever been involved in the defense of criminal cases, or do you know anyone who has ever been involved in the defense of criminal cases, as a lawyer or an investigator or anything of that kind?

A No.

Q All right. Do you know anyone who has ever been accused of a crime?

A No, sir.

		2

3.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

24

25

26

27

Q The Court is -- has given you certain instructions. Did you hear and understand those instructions?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any quarrel with any of those instructions?

A No.

Q Do you have any quarrel with the fact that the law permits a man to be convicted of first degree murder, where the death has been proved circumstantially?

A No.

Q If the defendant testifies, or if he calls witnesses to testify on his behalf, would you judge their testimony by the same standards of credibility that you'd judge the testimony of any other witness?

A Yes.

And if you did not believe the testimony of the defendant or his witnesses, would you reject that part of their testimony, which you did not believe?

A Yes.

Q All right.

Mr. Garcia, you understand that if the defendant is convicted of first degree murder, then the same jury who has determined his guilt would then determine his punishment?

A Yes.

Now, do you understand that, in order for the jury to impose the death penalty, the verdict must be unanimous? That is, each of the jurors, the 12 jurors, must vote for the death penalty? Do you understand that?

.28

 ŋ

2;

3

1

4

5

6

. 7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

A Yes.

Q Do you feel, in your own mind, that if after hearing all the evidence in this case you decided that, in your sole and your absolute discretion, that this was a proper -- that this was a case that justified the imposition of the death penalty, could you vote for the death penalty?

A I believe so.

Right. And I take it you don't know any of the other jurors here, who are employed by the Postal Service?

A No, I don't.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you very much.

I have no further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Pass for cause?

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People pass for cause.

THE COURT: Both sides having passed for cause, it is the peremptory challenge of the People.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. The People would like to thank and excuse juror No. 3, Mrs. Kathleen Thompson.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Thompson.

You need not report until Wednesday, July 14th, at 9:00 o'clock. Thank you for being here.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Thompson.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Illah, I-1-1-a-h, U. Kell; K-e-1-1.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

MRS. ILLAH KELL

BY THE COURT:

O Mrs. Kell, were you present when the Court

explained the nature of this case, and when the Court 84-4 1 questioned the first of the prospective jurors who were 2 chosen from your group? Yes! Would your answers be any different than that 5 چ ۽ first prospective juror responded to the questions of a 6 general nature? 7 A No. 8 All right. Would it be any hardship for you to 9 serve as a juror in this case? 10 A No. 11 Neither personal nor financial? Q A No. 13 And have you been a juror before in a criminal 14 case? 15 A Oh, I have, on Municipal. No. 16 In Municipal Court? Q 17 8e fls. A Yes. 19 20 21 22 23 **24** 25 26 27 28

e.		
8e-1	1	Q How long ago?
	2	A Oh, about four years ago.
,	.3	Q Well, in that case, or in that session of jury
	4	duty, you've sat as a juror in criminal cases?
Ĝ,	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Very well. Will you set aside what you may have
	7	heard there and consider this case only on the evidence and
	8	the law that's presented to you?
	9.	A Yes.
	10	Q The evidence that's presented here, and the law
	11	as I shall give it to you will the things upon which you are
	12	to rely. And you will not rely in any way upon anything you
	13	may have learned from previous jury duty, will you?
<u>.</u>	14	A No, sir.
	· 15	Q Pardon? You will not?
*	16	A I will not, no.
	17	Q All right. Do you work outside the home?
•	18	A No.
	19	Q And what does Mr. Kell do? Is there a Mr. Kell?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q What type of work does he do?
	22	A He works at Lockheed Aircraft, Burbank.
	23	And in what area do you and he reside?
	24	A Central.
*	25	Q Do you have children?
•	26	A Married.
. ž	27	Q Pardon?
	28	A Married children.

A No.

27

28

Or on the other hand, would you automatically Q.

8e-3 first degree, without regard to the evidence? 2 No. 3 Any questions thus far? 5 6 Thank you, your Honor. no. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 9 10 and we'll talk to Mrs. Kell alone. 11 12 13 14 will just be a --15 16 17 little faster. 18 19 (Laughter.) BY THE COURT: 20 21 22 23 24 25 No. 26 27 before?

28

impose the death penalty, upon a conviction of murder of the THE COURT: Gentlemen, any questions thus far? MR. KANAREK: Would you -- well, no. Not in view of --THE COURT: All right. We will ask you to leave, then. Don't converse amongst yourselves, nor with anybody else, on any subject connected with this matter. You may wait right out in the hall. I think it A PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Do you want us to jog? THE COURT: Well, anything that helps to make it a Mrs. Kell, would your -- strike that. Would you have heard or did you hear, see or read anything concerning Mr. Manson, before you came into this courtroom and the Court told you about this case? You never had heard the name Charles Manson Yes, yes. On radio, TV.

Ì		•
1	o Keep that microphone up to your face, please.	.
2	A On radio and TV, naturally.	
3		
4		
5	•	
6.		1
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		,
12		
13		
14		•
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 .		
21		
22 -		
23		
24		
.25		
26		
27		
28		
•	ł.	

? .

1	A I might have heard once or twice, but I didn't
2	connect it too much:
3	Q Do you remember any of the facts concerning any or
4	the or any of the reports concerning Mr. Hinman?
.5	A No.
6	G All right.
7.	Now, do you think it is possible for you to be
8	able to strike that.
9	Are you able to set aside whatever you may have
10	heard, seen or read concerning this case in the news media,
11	that is press or radio or television or in your conversations
12	with other persons, and decide this case independently in such
13	matters?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Do you think that you can firmly put such matters
16	out of your mind and decide the case only on the evidence and
17	the Court's instructions of law as I give them to you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You probably never have done this before, so it
20	would be a unique experience for you, would it not?
21	A Yes, it would.
22	Q But you're firmly convinced that you can and will
23	put such matters out of your mind; is that correct?
24	A Definitely.
25	Q Do you think that you are capable, mentally,
26	of segregating the evidence that will be produced in this
27	case from anything that you may have heard, seen or read?
- 28	A Yes.

1ġ

9a

If someone in the jury room should mention something that was not produced in the evidence, but was something from a newspaper article or something from the radio or television broadcast and you don't recognize it as being something that was educed from the evidence, would you remind him or her as well as all the jurors that that matter is not to be considered?

A Would you --

THE COURT: Would you read that back to her?

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"QUESTION: If someone in the jury room should mention something that was not produced in the evidence, but was something from a newspaper article or something from the radio or television broadcast and you don't recognize it as being something that was educed from the evidence, would you remind him or her as well as all the jurors that that matter is not to be considered?")

A Well, I see that the only matters that's to be considered is what's in the courtroom here.

Q And you would not permit yourself to raise anything, nor permit any other juror to raise anything which was outside of the evidence, would you?

A No.

Q And do you think that you can be fair and impartial in this case in deciding any issue in this case regardless of what opinions you may previously have formed or regardless of what you previously may have heard, seen or

1	read?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All rights
4	Mr. Kaparek, any questions?
5	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, thank you.
6	
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. KANAREK:
9	Q Mrs. Kell, am I pronouncing that right?
10	A Yes. Say, in your deliberations in the jury room
11	something comes up and you don't know whether you heard it
12	in the publicity or you heard it here in court; what would you
13	do then?
14	A I would inquire first.
15	Q Who would you inquire from?
16 17	A Well, from other jurors or I would look into my
18	notebook. I most usually use a notebook.
19	Q All right. But do you take shorthand?
20	A No.
21	Q But it is a fair statement certainly you would
22	intend to put aside everything that you have heard by way of
23	publicity; isn't that a fair statement, Mrs. Kell?
24	A Yes.
25	Q But you've heard, over a period of maybe a couple
26	of years now almost, matters concerning the Sharon Tate case
27	and Mr. Manson, right?
28	A Oh, now and then. But I didn't delve into it,
	because I wasn't that interested at the time.
	D .

25

26

27

28

what he was charged with?

A He was charged with the murder of the Tate and .

La Bianca group.

Q Right.

And so you intend certainly to do what the Court says and put all of this material aside, right, in deciding --

A Yes.

Q But is it a fair statement that you don't know, not having experienced it, whether for sure this can be done, whether it is humanly possible?

A What would be humanly possible?

Q I'm saying, certainly you intend -- you've indicated what you intend to do.

A Yes.

Q But not having experienced this, you don't know whether it would be humanly possible for you to forget, put aside the matters we have spoken about here concerning Mr. Manson?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the question has been asked and answered.

MR. KANAREK: It hasn't. She has asked me --

THE COURT: It is a little ambiguous. She's not asked to forget what she has heard, seen or read in the press, but she's asked to set it aside. And she has said she's positive she can, but the Court will allow you to inquire. Go ahead.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Certainly you've indicated that's what you intend to do, but not having ever experienced it, not ever having actually gone through that practice, it is

a fair statement you don't know whether it is humanly possible to put aside the matters which you've heard concerning Mr. Manson?

9b-1

4

1

2

3

4

5 6

7,

8

ý.

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19.

20

Ž1 22

23

24

25²

27

28

THE COURT: You may answer that yes or no. Yes, it is a fair statement; no, it is not, in your case.

Do you understand the question?

JUROR NO. 3: No. I don't. That's it.

THE COURT: Well, listen to it carefully.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kell, you know, you and I might decide we want to climb Mount Baldy Monday morning.

THE COURT: I think we've gone over her intention.

MR. KANAREK: Right. Right.

THE COURT: We know what her intention is. Just rephrase your question.

O BY MR. KANAREK: You and I might wish to climb
Mount Baldy Monday morning, and we might start out to do it,
and we may not be able to do it. It may be physically
impossible for us to do it; is that right?

A That's right.

Q You intend to put aside the matters, but is it a fair statement not having experienced it, we don't know whether it is possible or not; is that right?

A That's right.

MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Kanarek put you in this position. He asked you whether it is a fair statement he doesn't know whether we can set aside what we may have heard, seen or read.

A Well, I think I can set aside it.

b-2	1	All right. Then, his statement, as it refers to
	2	you, and you alone, is not a fair statement, is it?
	3	A To set aside, you mean? Yes.
,	4	Well, I know I can set it aside.
À ,	5	Q All right. And will you do that?
•	6	A Definitely.
	7	Q And will you be fair and impartial in the case?
	8	Λ Yes.
	9	Q Can you be fair to Mr. Manson in spite of all
	10	of this that you may have heard, seen or read?
	11	A Yes.
	12	THE COURT: All right, the challenge is denied.
,	13	The Court finds that she can set aside what she
	14	may have heard, seem or read, and set aside any opinion that
•	15	she may have formed and that she can be fair and impartial.
	16	Bring the jurors in now, please.
÷.	17	(Whereupon, the prospective jury panel was
	18	brought into the courtroom.)
	19	THE COURT: We 11 release them for the night after the
,	20	next challenge.
	21	Come on in, Mr. Lowe, take your place. We have
	. 22	one more challenge.
•	23	Off the record.
	24	(A discussion was had off the record.)
¥	25	THE COURT: On the record.
	26	All right, the record will show all the prospec-
, ,	27	tive jurors are present and in the box beyond the rail.
	28	Do you gentlemen have any questions of Mrs. Kell?

95-3 Any questions of Mrs. Kell? 1 Any questions of Mrs. Kell? 2 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. KANAREK: 5 I don't believe we asked this, yet, of Mrs. Kell. 6 Maybe we have. 7 THE COURT: Use the microphone, if you would, please, 8 MR. KANAREK: Yes, certainly. 9 BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Kell, may I ask, do you Q. 10 have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law 11 12 enforcement work, public or private? Ą No. 13 Q Anywhere in the world? 14 À 15 No. 16 MR. KANAREK; Thank you, Mrs. Kell. 17 18 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. MANZELLA: 20 Mrs. Kell, do you know anyone involved in the 21 defense of criminal cases? 22 À No. 23 Do you know anyone who has ever been accused of Q 24 a crime? 25 A No. 26 Did you hear the questions I asked with regard Q 27 to the burden of proof? 28 A Yes.

·**少** - 对

2

5

7

8 9

10

ļī

12 .

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

26

Q Would your answers be the same as the majority of the other jurors?

A. Yes.

Q Do you have any quarrel or bias against circumstantial evidence, such that you could not be fair and impartial to the prosecution in this case?

A No.

O Do you have any quarrel with the law that permits a person to be convicted of first degree murder where the death is proved by circumstantial evidence?

A No.

Q You heard the instructions given by the Court with regard to aiding and abetting and conspiracy?

A Yes.

Q All right. Do you have any quarrel with those laws?

A No.

Q Do you have any quarrel with the law that permits a person to be convicted of first degree murder, even though he was not present at the scene of the killing?

A No.

Q And having heard my questions with regard to credibility, would your answers be the same as those given by the other jurors?

A Yes.

Q All right, with regard to the imposition of the death penalty, Mrs. Kell, you understand having heard the questions asked of the other jurors and the Court's instructions, that the decision is one that's personal to the juror

and individual to each juror; is that correct?

A Yes.

All right. If after hearing the evidence in this case and if the defendant was convicted of first degree murder, either one or both Counts, do you feel that having heard the evidence, if you decided the death penalty was justified in this case, do you feel that you personally and individually could vote for the death penalty?

A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you.

People pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, the peremptory challenge is with the defendant.

MR. KANAREK: Thank and excuse Mrs. Kell. Thank you, Mrs. Kell.

THE COURT: Excuse Mrs. Kell.

Mrs. Kell, report to Room 253 on Wednesday, at 9:00 o'clock, will you, please.

You are excused until then.

THE CLERK: Raymond H. Norman, N-o-r-m-a-n.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF RAYMOND H. NORMAN

BY THE COURT:

26

27

28

explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors and when the Court examined a prospective juror, the first one chosen from your group to sit in the box concerning the case?

9b-6

3

5

6

7

9

R

11

10

13

· 14

16 17

18

19

20 -21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

.

10 fls.

A I was.

Q Would your answers be any different than that prospective juror responded to the Court's questions of a general nature?

A No, they would not.

And would it be a hardship to you to serve on this case?

A Yes, I suppose it would.

Q Tell me about 1t?

A Well, I work for Rocketdyne, a division of North American-Rockwell. And they only allow us to serve on a jury for five weeks. After which time they don't pay you any more.

Now, as you know, aerospace -- most aerospace divisions, of which I am a member of, are laying off a lot of people. And I was just talking to another juror about the fact that I noticed that I was the only aerospace worker here. There's not many of us left. And for that reason I think it would be a hardship for me.

Q Think you might not have a job left when you come back?

A I don't think so.

O Would you be so concerned about it that it might divert your attention throughout the trial?

A I think it wouldbe.

THE COURT: Gentlemen?

MR. KANAREK: May I ask a question?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

2

3

5

6

7

Pg.

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

A

Mr. Norman, have you inquired of your supervision, as to -- assuming that the County would pay you during this period of time, so that there wasn't a problem of pay, have you -- have you found out whether they would in fact cause you a problem, as far as having the employment when this trial was over?

> Have you checked into that, by any chance? No.

May he be allowed to check into that, MR. KANAREK: over the --

THE COURT: No, he may not be.

There's no such mechanism, Mr. Norman, for the County to pay you the wage that you are receiving from Rocketdyne at the present time.

> MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, it's my position --THE COURT: Do you have any other questions?

MR. KANAREK: I don't have -- well, I have a motion, The same motion, that the County be -- if he your Honor. worked for the County, he would be getting paid.

I think it's a -- to our view, this is an irrational distinction.

THE COURT: Do you know what he's earning at Rocketdyne? But whatever it may be, you want the County to pay it?

MR. KANAREK: That's right. Because if he were -- if he were in the supervision for the County -- let's say that he were chief administrator officer of the County -- he would

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

94

25 26

27

28

get his salary.

THE COURT: The motion is denied. And -- the People? Any comment?

MR. MANZELLA: No. your Honor. Based on what Mr. Norman has said, your Honor, the People would stipulate it would constitute a hardship.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will excuse you, Mr. Norman.

The Court understands the pressure that's on employees of the space industry these days; and likewise, the Court believes that it would be a hardship to you to -financially, to sit on this jury.

And in addition, I can understand that you might well -- your attention might be diverted during the course of this trial by the prospect of losing a job.

Thank you for serving as a juror.

JUROR NO. 3: Okay.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Norman.

THE COURT: Do you have any time left?

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

THE COURT: If so, report on Wednesday, Room 253.

JUROR NO. 3: Okay.

THE CLERK: I'll take care of your ticket.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, sir.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Billie J. Lee; L-e-e. Billie is spelled B-i-l-l-i-e.

> VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. BILLIE J. LEE

1 .	Q And on what types of cases?
2.	A Uh civil, I think they were. They weren't
3	criminal.
4	Q All civil? They weren't criminal cases?
5	A No.
6	Q You understand I may have explained it in
7	your presence before that there is a difference between the
8	burden of proof in a civil case. The plaintiff in a civil
9	case must prevail if he or she is to prevail, based on a
10	simple preponderance of the evidence;
11	Whereas in this case, as you have heard, there's
12	a presumption of innocence, which must be overcome by proof
13	beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty.
14	Do you understand that?
15	A I do
16 .	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
2 8	

	1	
10-1	1	Q And your spouse works for the Post Office?
	2	A No, sir. He works at the Naval Shipyards. He's
~	3	an electronics mechanic.
ų.	4	Q In Long Beach?
. (i	5	A Right.
	6	Q And how long has he been employed down there?
	7	A I think it's about six years.
-	8	Q In what general area do you and he reside?
,	9	A Southwest Los Angeles.
	. 10	Q Are you related to or a friend of any law enforce-
-	11	ment officer?
	12	A I am not.
	13	Q Can you think of any reason why you should not or
	14	could not sit on this jury, as a fair and impartial juror?
) .	15	A I cannot think of any reason at all.
3	16	Q I'll ask you about your attitude toward the death
•	17	penalty in this case Do you have such views concerning it
Pa Pa	18	that is, the death penalty that you couldn't be fair and
	19	impartial in determining the question of guilt or innocence?
	20	A No.
,	21	Q Or do you have such views concerning the death
	22	penalty that you would automatically refuse to impose it in
•	23	any case, regardless of the evidence?
	24	A No.
\d	25	Q All right. Or would you refuse strike that.
	26	Or would you impose the death penalty, regardless of the
*	27	evidence, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree?
	28	A No.

28 .

Q That is, automatically? Would you automatically impose the death penalty, upon a conviction of murder of the first degree, without regard to the evidence?

Would you automatically impose the death penalty?

- A I would not.
- Q You will look at the evidence to determine whether, in your sole discretion, the death penalty or life imprisonment should be imposed?
 - A I would.
- Q Now, we wish to ask you: Were you one of those persons who raised his or her hand --
 - A Yes.
 - when I asked about publicity --
 - A I was.
 - Q -- that may have been read over the weekend?
 - A Yes.
- Q We'll ask you about that tomorrow morning, and about publicity in general tomorrow morning.

I will excuse all of you tonight.

How about 9:30?

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, in connection with that matter, the Judge ' did trail that until 10:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: He did trail it?

MR. KANAREK: He trailed it. I wonder, your Honor, if I may have till 10:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Well, the Court doesn't want to start as late as it would have to --:

MR. KANAREK: Oh, I will be there --

10-3

2

3

1

4

5 6

7

8.

10

.9

]]; 12

13

15 16

17

×

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: -- to allow you to get there.

MR. KANAREK: I will be there at 9:00, your Honor. If -- if --

THE COURT: And you could be here by 10:00?

MR. KANAREK: I will -- I will --

THE COURT: It should be about time -- I realize that you are in private practice, and that you have had some obligations, and have incurred some obligations on your calendar.

But it should be about time so that you are clearing them up, so that we could start this case at 9:30.

MR. KANAREK: Well, the Court did indicate to your Honor that this could be taken care of without my --

THE COURT: Yes. I realize that, in this case, you are not totally at fault -- if at all -- in connection with it. But see, what -- what all of us, jurors, counsel and everyone should make an effort to sort of prepare the way, in the event that you are chosen, so that you can be here on time.

All right. 10:00 o'clock, then.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Be here tomorrow at about ten minutes to 10:00, would you, please. And the bailiff will check you in. And we'll -- maybe we can start promptly at 10:00 o'clock.

Remember the admonition that I must give you.

The Court admonishes you that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor are you to permit anyone

to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

Be here tomorrow morning at 9:50. 9:50. Ten minutes to 10:00.

Good night, ladies and gentlemen.
THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS: Good night.

(The following proceedings were had as the members of the prospective jury panel were exiting the courtroom:)

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella and Mr. Kanarek, there is a Lola Daday, D-a-d-a-y, who has phoned in and indicated that she us under a doctor's care, and cannot be here -- cannot perform jury duty for the next 30 days.

May she be excused?

MR. MANZEILA: The People would stipulate she may be so excused, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Send her name back, then, to the jury assembly room, and tell them that she has been excused here.

(Whereupen; at 4:38 o'clock p.m. an adjournment was taken in this matter until 10:00 o'clock a.m. of the following day, Tuesday, July 13, 1971.)

tos fis.