SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106: 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff. 6 No. A-267861 CHARLES MANSON. 8 Defendant. .9. 10 11 12 REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT 18 Thursday, July 15, 1971 14 VOLUME 15 15 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA For the People: 19 Deputy District Attorney 20 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 21 22 JURY SELECTION 23 24 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27

28

ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JULY 15, 1971, 9:48 A. M. THE COURT: Let's proceed in the case of People versus Manson. Have Mr. Manson come out. DEFENDANT MANSON: Good morning. THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Manson. Good morning, gentlemen. Mr. Manson, when we recessed this case yesterday, you had indicated to me previously that you wished to enter a plea of guilty. Are you still of the same mind?

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

forth.

DEFENDANT MANSON: I talked to the attorney last night, and -- and he defined the word "guilty," and we talked about the word "quilty." Uh -- My main concern was that this boring procedure that we go through every day was getting on top of me, was getting the best of me, going back and forth, back and

And I explained to him that, as tired as I was, that I was prepared to do just about anything to get this damn' thing over with. And he explained to me that pleading guilty was not going to help the Court nor would it help me.

And I told him that I wanted to talk with this other man, before really making a final decision.

THE COURT: Now, you were speaking to Mr. Kanarek, and you told him that?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Yes, sir. This was, in part, some of the conversation that we had.

THE COURT: Yes.

DEFENDANT MANSON: I haven't had a chance to talk with the other lawyer. He seems like he knows what he's talking about, but --

THE COURT: The Court believes that he does. But he's very -- very busy, very entangled in many cases. And he informed me that his time was limited.

And while he would make some time, it's going to require a day or two before he can confer with you extensively. But the Court wishes to inquire of you now whether you are still of the same mind as you were yesterday afternoon, when you indicated to me that you wanted to enter a plea of guilty?

DEFENDANT MAMSON: Uh --

THE COURT: The thing is, I cannot accept a plea of guilty to this type of case, without the concurrence of counsel.

And Mr. Kanarek has stated to me, both on the record and off, that it's -- that it's his decision that you not enter a plea of guilty.

Now, if you are still of the same mind, I will urge Mr. Beckler to speak to you again today, and perhaps he will be able to do it.

Á2-1

2

3

6

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

The last trial, I didn't see very much. I seen that the Court pretty much does anything it wants to do anyway -- no reflection on this courtroom -- but in the last courtroom. it seemed that I wasn't allowed to put on a defense; I wasn't allowed to testify: I wasn't allowed to -- to do anything but keep quiet and set in a little room somewhere. This is a court of law. But it seems that the

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor, excuse my ignorance.

Court doesn't obey the law.

THE COURT: Well, this Court is bound by certain rules that have been set out by the higher courts and by the statutes, whereby --

DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, your Honor --THE COURT: Let me explain this to you.

(Continuing.) -- whereby this Court cannot accept your ples of guilty, if you intend to enter such a ples, without the concurrence of counsel, in this type of CESe.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Yeah, with those rules that are set forth, it's the very same thing that has my hands tied,

THE COURT: Well, your -- if your expression to me, of your lack of communication with Mr. Kanarek, is true; and if you are still of a mind that you wish to change attorneys -- for the purposes of entering this ples of guilty or for any other purpose, for proceeding with the trial -you should, in this Court's opinion, have the opportunity to talk to comsel.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor, I can communicate with

AZ-2

2

1

3

5

б

7

8 ;

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

]9 20.

21

22

23 24

25

26. 27

28

anyone I wish to communicate to. But it has been my experience, over the past -- uh -- 25 years, I don't lay too much trust in an attorney's mind.

I don't see too much truth in attorneys. I haven't had too much experience that has been very good with attorneys.

No reflection on any individuals. Irving Kanarek is a good human being that tells the truth, and this is sometimes hard to find. Even though he may be a -- not in accord with the way I think things should be handled. And I was convicted of seven counts of madness.

Still, I have to trust his judgment in the respect that he knows the books. I don't trust the books.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you: Are you still of the same state of mind, that you were yesterday afternoon, that you wish to enter a plea of guilty to this charge?

DEFENDANT MANSON: I wish to start all over, if that entails -- here's a reflection I received. I received the reflection that --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: You are saying "No" to me, that you are not of that mind now? That state of mind?

DEFENDANT MANSON: I wouldn't may "No" to you.

THE COURT: Are you indicating to me that you wish to enter a plea of guilty? Are you still of that same state of mind?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor, these people can't judge me. I think you know that. You know, like -- and they

J

certainly can't judge me through another human being.

And it just seems like it's a senseless thing. It just kills over and over again.

Is there no end to it? Is there no beginning?
Or does it all end and begin here?

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this: Are you still of the same frame of mind, that you wish to have another attorney?

DEFENDANT MANSON: I wish to -- I would like to talk to that other man, yes.

THE COURT: Well, the Court would provide you with the opportunity to talk to him, because I think he's professionally competent, and he is independent. And you will be given that opportunity, if he can make the time.

The reason I am asking you these questions is because I wondered at this time whether or not the Court should proceed with the selection of the jury.

I see no reason, however, for delaying the selection of a jury. But let me again caution you that such a statement as you made yesterday, indicating that you wished to enter a plea of guilty, made in front of prospective jurors, could very well prejudice your case.

You know that. I'm sure you must realize that.

That will not be cause, in view of the fact that I've warned you, for me to discharge the jury.

So, if you make those statements, or any such statement, in the presence of the prospective jurors, you do so at your own risk. I am not going to declare a mistrial

ı

.2

Ab fla.

or in any way start the proceedings over, simply because you make such statement.

Do you understand me?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Uh -- I understand.

2

3

\_

.6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: All right. I will call a few more cases that I have on my calendar now, and we'll proceed with the selection of this jury.

(Proceedings had on unrelated matters.)

THE COURT: The Case of People versus Manson?

I have a notebook on my desk I'm going to get.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: The record will show Mr. Manson to be present with his counsel, Mr. Kanarek; Mr. Manzella is present.

The prospective jurors are assembled in the jury box and beyond the rail.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

THE PROSPECTIVE JUNORS: Good morning.

THE COURT: Do we have the courtroom wherein the prospective jurors can be lodged this morning?

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. Department 107.

THE COURT: I think we were at the point where we were about to question Miss Pointer. And I think we were about to question you concerning publicity that you may have heard, seen or read.

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

THE COURT: And it's still the same procedure, ladies and gentlemen. We do that outside the presence of the other jurors.

so, would you all remember the admonition that I have heretofore given you? And also, would you please leave very quickly and quietly?

28

Open both doors of the courtroom, and go to Department 107.

(Whereupon, the members of the prospective jury panel exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench momentarily, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor, may I say that I didn't mean to mislead the Court yesterday?

THE COURT: Just a minute. You may approach the bench also.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among the Court, counsel and the defendant, outside the hearing of the prospective juror:)

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor, it was not my intention to mislead the Court yesterday. Your Honor, I just kind of -- I wasn't thinking, and I just -- I just got kind of -- I haven't got a word for it. I just --

THE COURT: Well, I am not sure that I know what you mean.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, I just got to the point of where I get ready to jump and scream and holler. In other words, I've got so much pressure on me upstairs; you see? The pressure just starts when I leave here.

THE COURT: What you are saying to me is what?

DEFENDANT MANSON: I am ready to lose my mind. That's what I am saying to you. And I am ready to plead guilty or

do anything else I can do, just to -- just to -- you know, in other words, like there's a hell of a lot of pressure here; you know? THE COURT: Well, have you and Mr. Kanarek -- well, I really can't ask you what you discussed. 5 But I will ask you, generally, has Mr. Kanarek conveyed to you the offer that he received from the District 7 Attorney's Office, in respect to a plea? DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, I've already got that, you 9. know. 10 THE COURT: You heard it? 11 DEFENDANT MANSON: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: Now, were you thinking about -- when you 13 say you didn't want to mislead the Court or anything, --14 DEFENDANT MANSON: I just --15 THE COURT: -- are you of the state of mind now that 16 17 you --DEFENDANT MANSON: No. This is where my head is 18 19 (indicating); you know, it's --THE COURT: Are you stating that you did not really 20 intend to -- and you do not really intend to enter a plea of 21 22 quilty? DEFENDANT MANSON: Guilty. Guilty. I was just ready 23. to end whatever we were doing here. You know, that's what my 24 idea was. I was just ready to do anything. I wasn't thinking 25 26 when I said what I said. 27 I was just ready to scream or holler or to do anything I could do to -- to do whatever -- see, you just 28

I don't have too much thought, don't think too much. THE COURT: Well, so far as you are concerned at this time, do you still wish to proceed with Mr. Kanarek as your attorney? DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, I do want to talk to that man. That man seemed to know what he was talking about. THE COURT: How about today? DEFENDANT MANSON: Yes. 15, 

a-c fls. Ac-1

1

2

3

5

б

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 .

26

THE COURT: Do you wish to go ahead with Mr. Kanarek?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Until I talk to that man. You know,

like I really haven't --

THE COURT: All right. I'll let you do that. It's rather unorthodox, and it puts him --

DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, we are in an unorthodox situation.

THE COURT: It puts Mr. Beckler in a difficult light -DEFENDANT MANSON: I see.

THE COURT: -- ethically. But because of what you told me, that there was a lack of communication, and that you did want to change attorneys --

DEFENDANT MANSON: Well, that was on my part, the lack of communication.

THE COURT: Well, for whatever reason.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Yeah.

THE COURT: I felt that I should give you the opportunity to have some professional advice. All right.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: Did you wish to make any statement at the bench?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes. Yes, your Honor.

I think that it would be appropriate to inquire of the juror if she's heard anything concerning the Manson case by the mass media since she left the courtroom yesterday.

And I think all of the jurors should be interrogated in that regard, as to --

THE COURT: Well, the Court intends --

28

27

Qc-2

\$

MR. KANAREK: -- as to the events that have occurred.

THE COURT: The Court intends to do that.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence of the prospective juror:)

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. BARBARA ANN POINTER

## BY THE COURT:

Q Miss Pointer, the reason you have been asked to stay here, and out of the presence of the other prospective jurors, is because we wish to find out from you what you may have heard, seen or read previous to your coming into this courtroom about Mr. Manson.

Have you heard, seen or read his name before coming to this courtroom?

- A Yes, I've seen it in the papers.
- Q And was that in connection with the Tate-La Bianca homicides?
  - A Yes.
- Q Did you follow that case in the press, or via television or radio?
  - A No, I didn't follow it, to read it every day, no.
- Q But you did occasionally read a news report in the press, or see something on television, or hear over the radio; is that correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q That was during the period of time of 19 --

	`}	
Ac-3	1	well, during 1970, generally
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q and the first few months of this year; is that
•	4	correct?
ı	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Do you know the results of that case?
	7	A I know I'm not sure, but I think that he was
	8	found guilty.
	9 .	Q Found guilty of what, to your best remembrance?
	10	A The fact that he had took part in the murders of
	n	the Tate-La Bianca cases. I think he was a part of it.
	12	Q It's your belief, then, that he was found guilty
	13	of murder; is that correct?
_	14	A Yes.
	15	Q And do you know whather the jury returned with a
•	16	penalty in the penalty phase?
•	17	A No, I don't believe so.
,	18	Q You don't recall whether Mr. Manson received a
	19	sentence of life imprisonment or death?
	20	A No.
	21	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
•	22	A Yes, I've heard that name.
	23	Q In what connection?
	24	A In connection with Mr. Manson, in the Tate-
•	<b>25</b>	La Bianca cases.
	26	Q Do you recall any details about that name?
<u>i</u>	27	A No, not specifically. No, I've just heard him
	28	named (sic).

Ac-4	1	Q Have you heard the name Shorty Shea?
	2	A No.
	3	Q Or have you heard, seen or read the name Gary
•,	4	Hinman?
	5	A No.
-	6	Q Do you know the phrase "Manson Family"?
	7	A Yes, I've heard that phrase.
	8	Q What do you know about that?
* *	9	A I think it includes Mr. Manson and all of his
	10	followers.
•	11,	Q Over the last several days, have you heard, seen
•	12	or read anything in connection with Mr. Manson?
	13	A No.
	14	Q Now, knowing what you do know, from having heard,
	15	seen or read about Mr. Manson, the Tate-La Bianca case, or
#	16	this case, in the news media, do you think that you are
	17	capable of setting aside such matters that you remember now,
₹	18	or anything that you may remember during the course of the
	19	trial about what you've heard, seen or read?
	20	Can you set that matter aside and make judgments
	21	independently of such matters, and basing your and base
•,	22	your judgment solely on the evidence produced here, and the
,	23	Court's instructions of law?
•	24	A Yes, I do. They re separate cases.
_	25	Q Pardon?
Ad fils.	26	A They re separate cases.
	27	

Ad-1

2

1

**4** 5

7

8

10

11, 12

13

14 15

16

17

18.

19

20

21 22

23

 $2\overline{4}$ 

25

26

27 28 do you believe, in your mind? That is, those matters of publicity and news -- articles from the news media, conversations with your friends that you may have held during the past months concerning Mr. Manson? Are you capable of segregating those from the evidence which is produced here in court?

- A I feel that I am.
- Q Now, the next question is whether you will do that?
- A Yes.
- Q And can you be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson-strike that.

Can you be fair and impartial to Mr. Manson, in determining any issue in this case that you might be called upon to decide?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Kenarek?

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

## BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q What is the way to pronounce your name?
- A Pointer.
- Q Pointer?
- A Pointer, yes.
- Q All right. Now, directing your attention to Mr.

  Manson, can you give us, in capsule form, everything that you know about him? Everything that you've heard, from television, radio and newspapers?

1	A I know that he lived on a ranch of some sort,
2	and he was a part of a Manson Family.
3	I know that he was suspect in the Tate-La Bianca
4	killings, and I believe that he was found guilty. I'm not
5	sure.
6	Q And does the name Sharon Tate mean anything to
7	you?
8	A She was part of this, I believe.
9	Q By "part of this," what do you mean?
10	A Uh I think she was also one of the suspects
11 .	in the Tate-La Bianca killings.
12	Q Now, does the name Shorty Shea mean anything to
13	you?
14	A No.
15	Q Does the name Gary Himman mean anything to you?
16	A No.
17	Q Now, does I will withdraw that.
18	You certainly intend to follow the Court's orders
19	about not considering what you already know concerning Mr.
20	Manson in deciding this case; is that right?
21	A Yes, I do.
22	Q Now, is it a fair statement that you don't know
23	for sure whether you can orcannot consider these matters,
24	in view of the fact that you haven't experienced this before,
25	having to do this?
26	A Would you
27	THE COURT: You may answer that
28	JUROR NO. 3: I don't understand.
• 1	

1	THE COURT: answer that yes or no. He's asking		
2	whether his statement is a fair statement. You may answer		
3	that yes or no.		
4	MR. KANAREK: I will rephrase it, your Honor.		
5,	Q You have never you know, you might intend to		
6,	do something. For instance, you might intend to go to Palm		
7	Springs, but you might never make it there for one reason or		
8	another.		
9	By the same token, you intend to follow the		
10	Court's orders; right?		
11	A Yes, I do.		
12	Q But never having experienced this, is it a fair		
13	statement that you don't know whether you could?		
14	A No, that's not a fair statement. I feel that I		
15	can.		
16	Q You feel that you can?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q And what television programs, news programs, do		
19	you usually watch?		
20	A News?		
21	Q Yeah.		
22	A Jerry Dunphy.		
23	Q And during the year 1970, did you watch Jerry		
24	Dunphy?		
25	A Oh, I'm sure I did.		
26	Q Throughout the year?		
27	A Yes.		
28	Q And by whom are you employed?		

1	A Los Angeles County, Department of Chief Medical
2	Examiner, Coroner.
3	Q I see. And in that connection, your office was
4	involved you know that your office was involved in the
5	Sharon Tate case?
6.	A Yes. This was prior to my coming to that
7	department.
8	Q Now, may I ask you, if it should turn as I
9 .	believe it will do you know Dr. Katsuyama?
10	A Yes, I do. He's one of my bosses.
11	Q He is your boss; right? And so you'd be sitting
12	in judgment on the
13	Let's say that Dr. Katsuyama came to this court-
14	room and testified.
15	A Yesh.
16	Q You would have to decide on the credibility of
17	Dr. Katsuyama, as to whether he's telling the truth or not?
18	A Well, I I don't feel any closer to Dr. Katsuyam
19	than I do to Mr. Manson.
20 .	Q I see. Even though Dr
21	A I am paid by the County of Los Angeles, and that's
22	it.
23	Q Even though Dr. Katsuyama is your boss?
24	A Right.
25	Q In other words, your state of mind is that you
26,	feel the same relationship as as far as friendliness and
27	everything else is concerned between Mr. Manson and Dr.
28	Katsuyama?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Good.

And directing your attention to Dr. Katsuyama, in connection with pay raises, change of job, promotion and so forth, he's in that same kind of command?

He has nothing to do with my raises. A

But as far as your work and your evaluation of your work, Dr. Katsuyama has something to do with evaluating your work?

No. no.

He doesn t?

A No.

Then, in what way is he your boss -- is it Q Mrs. Pointer?

> Yes, it is Mrs. Pointer. A

In what way --Q.

I don't know how to answer that. A received an evaluation and his name didn't appear on it as a My supervisor was my rater. So in this way I say he doesn't evaluate my work, in other words.

Q But your state of mind is that he is your boss?

A Well, I know -- I know that he is a boss of mine.

Q I see.

Although, he's not an immediate boss, I know he is -

Q I see.

Now, other people from the coroner's office may testify here concerning matters involving this case.

You don't know Gary Hinman, then, the name?

28

So you don't know whether or not you have worked on the Gary Hinman matter in one way or another? No, I don't. I really couldn't say. You could have worked on it? Because you don't And as far as the Shorty Shea matter is concerned, I don't remember that name, though. I think I But, in any event, your state of mind is So far as that material is concerned, it might well be that you have worked on material that would come into MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to approach the MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions, MR. KANAREK: I would like to approach the bench. THE COURT: You needn't approach the bench. MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You have something to say?

2

3

5

7

8

10 11 ·

12

13

14 15

ΙĢ

17

18

19

20 21

. 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor,

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. KANAREK: Under 1073, Sub section 2, I would like to exercise a challenge.

THE COURT: All right, exercise it.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: All right, the Court grants the challenge.

The Court will excuse you in this matter. The Court believes that because of your position in the coroner's office and the possibility that records of the coroner's office may be submitted in evidence in this case, that there is some likelihood that you could not be impartial in connection with the judgment on these records.

This is no reflection on you, but this is a peculiar type of situation, Mrs. Pointer, whereas, a part of the coroner's office you have access to records which other people don\*t have access to. I hope you understand this.

JUROR NO. 3: I understand.

THE COURT: You are excused, then, and the Court will ask that the other jurors be brought back in.

Excuse me --

MR. KANAREK: In the interest of saving time, your Honor --

THE COURT: -- I'll strike that last request. Let's simply take another name to fill in in place of Miss Pointer.

THE CLERK: Earnest James; first name E-a-r-n-e-s-t.

THE COURT: Call another one. We'll have another one standing by.

1-3

la fls.

THE CLERK: Michael W. Hunstad, H-u-n-s-t-a-d.

THE COURT: The Court will take a five-minute recess.

(Short recess.)

THE COURT: Mrs. Good, one of the matrons informs me that when the jurors, the prospective jurors from this courtroom were sent from this courtroom to go to Department 107, where they stay pending their appearance back here in this courtroom, that you went in Department 107 with them. And that you were present with them; is that true?

MISS GOOD: May I explain?

THE COURT: In Department 107.

MISS GOOD: How do you work this?

MR. KANAREK: Push it forward.

MISS GOOD: May I explain?

MR. KANAREK: Could she have counsel?

MISS GOOD: No. I don't need any counsel. I can explain it very simply.

I was waiting to come into this courtroom and you were all in chambers, and often I walk around and I check out the courtrooms. I have been in Alarcon's courtroom. I have been in other courtrooms. I blatantly wandered in 107. I had no idea, absolutely no idea that they were the jurors from here. I thought they were spectators.

THE COURT: All right, that's an adequate explanation.

The Court wishes to tell you that you might prejudice Mr. Manson's case in some way, you might cause a juror to have some prejudice against him if something were said by you to a juror. You understand?

23

24

25

26

27

28

MISS GOOD: I thought they were spectators. There were workers working, I sat in the front row and watched them.

THE COURT: The Court will accept your explanation of that, and I simply wanted to warn you.

MISS GOOD: Yes.

You should put a sign on the door, though.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor, if she wishes to remain, may she be allowed to remain? This is not -- this is during voir dire proceedings, your Honor.

Your Honor?

THE COURT: Are you her counsel?

MR. KANAREK: No, I am not her counsel. They are keeping people -- Miss Good is a friend of Mr. Manson's.

THE COURT; If Miss Good wants to enter the courtroom, she can enter.

MR. KANAREK: But she cannot, your Honor. The bailiff takes her out of here.

THE COURT: I didn't see that.

Anyone who wants to enter the courtroom, may.

MR. KANAREK: That's not so. The bailiff tells her not to. I have informed her otherwise.

THE COURT: I see one of her friends, one of the persons that comes in here with her occasionally leaving the courtroom and she may come back into the courtroom. Miss Good may be in the courtroom. Anyone may be in the courtroom who wishes to be.

All right, the record will show the defendant is

1	present with counsel, Irving Kanarek.
2	Is Mr. James outside the door? Mr. Kuczera,
3	Mr. James.
4	THE BAILIFF: He's on his way in.
5	THE COURT: The Court had the clerk call another name,
6	Hunstad. If we could have Mr. Hunstad outside the door, then,
7	we will have no wait between the calling of the names.
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
10	EARNEST JAMES
II ·	BY THE COURT:
12	Q Are you Mr. James?
13	A Yes, sir, I am.
14	Q All right, would you come forward.
15	You are prospective Juror No. 3 in this case,
16	Mr. James.
17	Pick up that microphone, if you would, please.
18	Were you present when the Court explained the
19	nature of this case to your group of prospective jurors?
20	A Yes, sir, I was.
21 -	Q Did you overhear the questions that I put to
22	Mr. Winters, I believe it was?
23	A I did.
24	Q Would your answers be any different than the
25	majority than Mr. Winters' answers were to the questions
26	that I put to him of a general nature?
27	A They would be the same.
28	Q All right. Would it be any hardship for you to

	·
1 ,	serve on this jury, Mr. James, for a period of four or five
2	months?
3	A It would be a financial hardship, yes.
4	Q All right. State what it is, please.
5	A Uh, I am employed by the City of Culver City as
6	a bus operator. Uh, in my absence of work I am paid for eight
7	hours per day. My work day is ten hours.
8	Q So you'd be losing the equivalent of ten hours;
9	is that off-time pay?
10	A Yes, sir, it would be time and a half.
11	Q So you'd be losing time and a half for two hours
12 .	on every day that you sat as a juror?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Beyond the 20 well, on every day.
15	
16	
17	
18 .	
19	
20 '	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25	
27	
28	
40	

lb fls.

1-b-1	
XXX 1	Q You're actually sacrificing some money in order
2	to serve?
3	A Yes, sir, I am.
4	Q The Court appreciates that.
5	Are you married?
6	A Yes, I am.
7	Q Supporting anyone other than yourself?
. 8	A My wife and two children.
9	THE COURT: All right, any questions?
10 .	MR. MANZELLA: People have no questions, your Honor.
11	The People will stipulate that it will constitute
. 12	a hardship.
13	THE COURT: Are you asking to be excused, Mr. James?
14	JUROR NO. 3: I would like, if possible, yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Because of this financial hardship?
16	JUROR NO. 3: Right.
17	THE COURT: All right, the Court believes that it would
18	constitute a hardship to you and does excuse you.
19	JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.
· . 20	THE COURT: Call another name.
21	THE CLERK: Michael W. Hunstad, H-u-n-s-t-a-d.
22	THE COURT: Call another name in addition to that.
23	Let's have somebody on stand-by so we don't have to wait while
24	they walk over from 107.
25	THE CLERK: Melvin L. Hopper, H-o-p-p-e-r.
26	
· 27	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
28	MICHAEL W. HUNSTAD

1	BY THE COURT:
2	Q Mr. Hunstad, were you present when the Court
3	explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors
4	and when the Court questioned Mr. Winters?
5	A Yes, sir, I was.
6	Q Would your answers be any different than Mr.
7	Winters' answers were to the questions of a general nature?
8	A One answer would, sir. I have a close relative
9	that was charged and convicted of a serious crime.
10	Q What was the nature of that crime?
11	A First degree murder.
12	Q You say a close relative. Tell us what that
13	relationship was, would you, please?
14	A He's my mother's half-brother. My uncle.
15	Q Do you think that would affect your judgment in
16	a case of this nature?
17	A I would like to say no, but it may have some
18	effect.
19	Q . And would that would that arouse some feeling
20	in your mind by way by reason of which you could not be
21	fair and impartial in the case?
22	A I'm affraid it might, yes.
23	THE COURT: Any questions, gentlemen?
24	MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions, your Honor.
-25	The People would respectfully challenge Mr.
26	Hunstad for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2.
27	THE COURT: The defendant?
28	MR. KANAREK: Submitted, your Honor.

1 :	DI INC COURT:
2	Q How long ago did this case occur?
3	A It was approximately October of 1966.
4	Q Was your relative convicted?
5 .	A Yes, sir. He was on death row for approximately
6	a year, and then he had a retrial and it was changed to life
7	imprisonment.
8	Q How do you think that might affect your judgment?
9 .	A Well, having some close contact with a similar
10	case, I'm sure it might have some effect. I can't really
11	say, but I'm sure it might have.
12	Q You might have a possible bias or prejudice in
13	favor of one side or another, is that what you are saying?
14	A Well, I don't really think so, but I might have.
15	Like I don't really know the facts of this case, so as of
16	yet I can't say.
17	Q You're uncertain as to your state of mind in
18	regard to your ability to be fair and impartial?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: All right, the Court will excuse you, then,
21	Mr. Hunstad. The Court grants the challenge for cause.
22	JUROR NO. 3: Thanks, sir.
23	THE COURT: Return to Room 253 forthwith, 1f you will,
24	please.
25	JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir.
26	THE COURT: Next name was Hopper?
27	Mr. Hopper, come forward, would you, please?
28	Call another name.

THE CLERK: George E. Yousling, Y-o-u-s-1-i-n-g. 1 2 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 3 MELVIN L. HOPPER BY THE COURT: 5 Mr. Hopper? 6 Yes. 7 . Come forward and take that microphone, please. 8 Were you present when the Court explained the 9 nature of this case to the prospective jurors and when the 10 Court discussed this case with Mr. Winters? 11 Yes, I was. 12 13 Would your answers be any different than Mr. Winters' answers were to the questions of a general nature? 14 No, they wouldn't be. A 15 Push that button on the microphone. Q 16 Yes. 17 Q Hold it about an inch from your face. 18 Å. Okay. 19 I didn't hear your answer. 20 Q 1-c fls. 21 No, they wouldn't be. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

			,
l-a	. 1	Q	Would it be any hardship for you to serve on this
	2	case?	
₹g.	3	A	No.
•	4	Ω.	It would be neither a financial, nor personal
*	5	hardship?	
,	. 6	A	No, it wouldn't be,
•	7 .	Ω	Have you served as a juror before in a criminal
	8	cgze5	
	9	A	No, I haven't.
	. 10	Q	Are you a friend or relative of any law
-	n i	enforcement	officer?
	12	A	No, I am not.
	13 ′	Q	What type of work do you do?
	14	Ă	Well, I drive a milk truck for the L. A. City
	15	schools.	
*	. 16	Q.	Keep that closer to your face.
•	17	A	I drive a milk truck for L. A. City schools.
	. 18	Q Q	How long have you been so employed?
	Ì9 -	A	Eight, years.
	20	. Ω	And is there a Mrs. Hopper?
	. 21	A	Yes, sir.
	22	Ω	Is she employed outside of the home?
	23	A	Yes, she is.
	24	Q	What type of work does she do?
•	25	<b>A</b>	She's a bookkeeper for the L. A. City schools.
•	26	Ğ	In what general area do you and she reside?
•	27	A	Uh, southwest.
,	28	Q	Do you have such views concerning the death penalty

28

A

Q

Both.

1	viewing of the television?
2	A No.
3	Q Just haphazard, is that right?
4	A Right
5	Q Did you learn the result of that case?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What did you learn it to be?
8	A He was guilty.
9	Q Keep that a little closer. You have a very soft
10	voice and it doesn't pick up well without that microphone
11	being close.
12	He was found guilty?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And do you know with what he was charged?
15	A Murder of the first degree, wasn*t he?
16	Q And he was found guilty of that, that's your
17	remembrance?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And do you remember whether or not the jury
20,	returned with a verdict in the penalty phase and, if so, what
21	it was?
22,	A Well, they did return with death.
23	Q With death.
24	Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
25	A I've heard it, yes.
26	Q Have you ever heard the name Bobby Beausoleil?
27	A Yes.
28	Q In what connection have you heard the name

**-3** 

1	Beausoleil?
2	A I heard it in connection with Charles Manson.
3	Q Tell us what you know about that name.
4	A I really couldn't remember.
.5	Q Just that it was somehow connected with
6	Mr. Manson, is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Before you came into this courtroom and heard
9	the Court tell you about the indictment in this case, had you
10	heard the name Gary Hinman?
11	À Yes.
12 ·	Q In what connection?
13	A Well, going to his home.
14	Q Pardon?
15	A About going to his home and killing him or some-
<b>16</b>	thing like that.
. <b>17</b>	Q You had read or heard that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And had you heard that Mr. Manson was involved
20	in that?
21	A Uh, in some way, yes.
22	
23	-
24	
25	
26	
27	
<b>40</b>	

2 fls.

1

4

5

8

9

10 11

72 13.

14. 15

16

17

18

10 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Q

A

Yes.

And in what connection had you heard that name? Does it come to your mind?

And had you heard the name Shorty Shea before?

It would be just like the rest of them, you Well, did he have -- I guess -- no.

- You can't recall? Q
- A No.
- Have you heard the name Mary Brunner? Q
- Á No. I haven't.

Q Now, considering everything that you have heard, seen or read in the news media, if I were to instruct you that you were to set that matter aside -- any such matter aside -- and to set aside anything that you might remember later on about what you had heard, seen or read, that you don't remember now, do you think you are capable of doing that?

Not forgetting it, because it would be too difficult to forget. But are you capable of setting it aside, after making a judgment -- for the purpose of making a judgment, or making any judgment on this case?

- A Sure.
- You sound rather certain. And the Court wants to be certain that you can do that.
  - A Oh, yes. It would be no problem.
- In other words, you can segregate in your mind anything that you may have heard -- for example, about the alleged Hinman death --

2-3 Keep that closer, Mr. Hopper. ì Q A I deliver mail to the schools. 2 That is, Los Angeles --Q 3 A City Schools. 4 I see. Inter-branch mail, kind of thing? Q. 5 Yes. sir. 6 Now, your -- would you tell us, what is your 7 state of mind concerning the Hinman case? Would you tell us 8 what you have heard by way of publicity or otherwise concern-9 ing Mr. Gary Hinman? 10 11 Well, that they came into his home and just killed 12 him: that's about all --13 Who 's "they"? Q. Manson and his Family. 14 And so -- and so -- it's a fair statement that, 15 right now, as you sit there in the witness stand, you think 16 17 that's the way it occurred, based upon what you've read and 18 seen in the publicity? 10 Yes, I suppose. MR. KANAREK: 1073, Subsection 2, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Would you read that back to me? 21 22 (Whereupon the record was read by the reporter 23 as follows: And so -- and so -- it's a fair state-24 "Q. 25 ment that, right now, as you sit there in the witness 26 stand, you think that's the way it occurred, based 27 upon what you've read and seen in the publicity? 28 Yes, I suppose.") пA

2-4

#### THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Manzella?

2

1

# 3

5 б

## 7

8

### Q

10

## 11

19

### 13

14

## 15.

16

## 17

18.

## 19 20

21

## 22 23

24

## 25 26

27

28

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

### BY MR. MANZELLA:

Mr. Hopper, in spite of having that belief, based on what you've read, seen or heard, could you set that aside, put that belief aside, for the purpose of being fair and impartial to Mr. Manson in this case?

À · Oh, yes.

Can you set that aside? Do you feel you are capable of that?

> Æ Yes.

And will you set that aside? Q

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench on this?

THE COURT: The challenge is denied.

You may be heard on the record, if you wish. Do you wish to approach the bench?

MR. KANAREK: Well, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You understand, Mr. Hopper, that if you were to allow such matters that you may have heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson, or about this case, or any other case, to enter into your judgment on any issue that you may be called upon to decide in this case, that it would be very unfair to Mr. Manson?

JUROR NO. 3: True, yes.

THE COURT: And you are telling me that, even though you

2-5

. 

12 ·

2a fls.

may have formed opinions concerning this, or any other case concerning Mr. Manson, that you will, for the purpose of -- that you can and will, for the purpose of sitting as a juror in this case, set those matters aside; is that correct?

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

2a-1

3.

.26 

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, not within the hearing of the prospective juror:)

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, this is more than just publicity. This has to do with this very case. He will require evidence to -- to displace his beliefs. He now -- Mr. Manson's deprived of the presumption of innocence.

THE COURT: The Court does not believe so.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, may I ask him a couple of questions on that matter?

THE COURT: Well, I think you've pursued it. I will ask him, however.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence of the prospective juror:)

#### BY THE COURT:

- Q You understand that Mr. Manson is presumed to be innocent in this case, do you not? From the Court's instructions previously, you know that Mr. Manson is presumed to be innocent?
  - A Yes; sir.
- Q. And if the People fail to prove beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements that are necessary for them to prove -- say, in a case of murder -- against Mr. Manson, in either count, in any count, would you find Mr. Manson not guilty?
- A Well, yes, if I felt that the evidence wasn't there.

2a-2

2

1

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

1,2

13 14

15 16

17

18

19.

20 21

22

23.

24 25

26

27

28

Q Would you allow anything that you may have heard, seen or read to fill in -- that is, anything that you may have heard, seen or read outside of this courtroom, from the publicity media, to fill in for a lack of evidence?

A No.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, not within the hearing of the prospective juror:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the point is --

THE COURT: I think he understands.

MR. KANAREK: But the point is, he's going to require -- right now, he thinks that Mr. Manson did it.

THE COURT: Under 1076 -- Section 1076 of the Penal Code, it's clear to me that although he has opinions, as have most of these jurors who have been called, about Mr. Manson, and about that other case, and in some cases about this case, that he can -- he, like they, can set those opinions aside; and that he will set them aside, and that he will be fair and impartial.

The challenge --

MR. KANAREK: Well, but one of --

THE COURT: The challenge is denied.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence of the prospective juror:)

THE COURT: I think we have a full box at this time, don't we?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's bring the prospective jurors in, then.

Mr. Kanarek, you were inquiring about the number

of peremptory challenges. The Court has checked through with

Mr. Manzella, and also on the record, and I think -- I find

that the peremptories thus far exercised are nine for the

People and seven for the defendant, and that it is the next

challenge of the -- the next peremptory challenge of the

defendant.

Does that coincide with your records now? Have you checked through since we talked?

MR. KANAREK: No, not since we talked, your Honor. I -THE COURT: The jurors heretofore excused, as I have the
record, for the defendant were Nos. -- in this order. Do
you want to take them? Seat No. --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- 3, 10, 12, 4, 12, 3, 3.

Come forward, Mr. Rico.

Let's see. We need Mrs. Zorn. There she is. Good morning, Mrs. Zorn.

All right. All of the prospective jurors are present in the courtroom now.

Gentlemen, you may examine generally, if you wish.

Mr. Kanarek, you may question first, if you wish.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Mr. Hopper, do you have any friends or relatives that are in any type of law enforcement work? Public or private, anywhere in the world?

A No.

•		
النس	1	Q Now, directing your attention to everything that
	2	you have heard, read and seen concerning Mr. Manson, is there any reason that you couldn't be fair and impartial,
	3	A No, there isn't.
•	4	Q Mr. Hopper?
2b fls.	5	A No, there's no reason why I couldn't.
ZU LLB.	6.	A No, there s no reason why a couldn t.
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	i
	14	
	15	
•	16 17	
*	18:	
	19	
	20	
	21	
•	22	
	23	,
	24	
	25	
• <b>₹</b>	26	
*	27	
<b>—</b>	.28	
		1

1	
۵.	

.

, 

Q Have you ever had occasion to sit on a jury before, in any way?

A No.

Q May I ask you: Did you hear the Court's instructions concerning circumstantial evidence?

A Yes.

Q Is your state of mind such that you realize'
that circumstantial evidence can be used to acquit -- in
other words, a man can be found not guilty on circumstantial
evidence? Is there anything about that that -- that seems
unfair or is of such a nature that you couldn't be a fair and
impartial juror?

A No.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Pass for cause, your Honor. THE COURT: The People?

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. MANZELLA.

Q Mr. Hopper, some of the questions that I'm going to ask you, you may have thought of for the first time now.

If at a later time you change your mind about any of your answers, or you want to add something that my questions haven to brought out, would you let me know, let the Court know, or let me know about it?

A Yes.

Q , Thank you. Mr. Hopper, do you -- have you or has anyone you know ever been involved in the defense of criminal cases as a lawyer, an investigator, anything of that kind?

2b-2	1	Ä	No.
	2	Q	Do you know anyone who has ever been accused of
	3	a crime?	
3	4	A	No, I haven't.
**	5	Q	Do you know anyone else who has ever been accused
	-6	of any crim	e?
•	7	A	No.
•	8.	Q.	Have you ever taken a course in law or studied
	9	law?	•
,	10	A	No, I haven't.
	11	Q	And you've never served on a jury before; is that
	12	right?	
	13	A	No. No, I haven't.
	14	. <b>Q</b>	Were you interrogated or questioned as a
,	15	prospective	juror in another courtroom?
•	16	A	Yes, sir.
a	17	Q	And in the case of People versus Grogan?
	18	A	Grogan?
	19	Q	Grogan, yes. In Department 52, over in the new
	20	County cour	thouse.
•	21.	A	No. No, I wasn't.
	22	Q	All right. Have you ever been questioned as a
*	23	prospective	juror in another murder case?
,	24	<b>A</b>	No, I haven't.
*	. 25	Q	All right. Now, you've heard the Court's
	26	instruction	s, while you have been sitting in the courtroom.
•	27	So you unde	rstand the burden on the prosecution is to prove
<del></del>	28	guilt beyon	d a reasonable doubt?

A Yes.

Now, would you hold the prosecution to a higher standard of proof or a greater burden of proof, because this is a murder case?

A I think it would be about even.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.

JUROR NO. 3: Just about the same for both.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: You understand that the burden of proof on the People is the same in all criminal cases?

A Yes.

Q All right. The burden does not change, based on the kind of charge that you are trying; do you understand that?

A Yes, I do.

All right. Now, would you hold the People to a greater burden of proof -- in other words, require them to eliminate -- to produce absolute certainty, and exclude all possibility of doubt, because this was a murder case, if you are instructed that -- that that was not the burden of proof?

A Could you repeat that again?

Q Of course. If you are instructed that the burden of proof is to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the People are not required to exclude all possibility of doubt, and that they are not required to produce absolute certainty, would you be able to follow that instruction?

A Oh, yes,

1	Q Right. Now, you heard the Court's instructions
2	with regard to circumstantial evidence; is that correct?
3 .	A Yes.
4	Q Do you have any quarrel or bias against circum-
5.	stantial evidence?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Does it so offend your sense of justice or fair
8.	play that a person can be convicted of first degree murder,
9.	based upon circumstantial evidence?
10	MR. KANAREK: That's really immaterial, your Honor.
,11	It's whether he can follow the instructions of the Court that
12	counts.
13	THE COURT: Would you read it to me?
14	MR. MANZELLA: That's another way of asking the same
15	question, your Honor.
16	MR. KANAREK: I don't think so, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Just a minute, gentlemen. The Court will
18	rule on it.
19	Would you read the question?
20.	(Whereupon, the record was read by the
21	reporter as follows:
22	"QUESTION: Does it so offend your sense of
23 .	justice or fair play that a person can be convicted of
24	first degree murder, based upon circumstantial evidence?")
25	THE COURT: The objection's overfuled.
26	JUROR NO. 3: Well, yes,
27	

2c fls.

28

2c-1

. .

3

4

5

б

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18:

19·

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 Q BY MR. MANZELLA: It does -- you do think it's unfair --

A Oh, no, no. No, I don't think so.

Q All right. Mr. Hopper, understanding that the law permits the People to prove a death by circumstantial evidence, does it offend your sense of justice, or does it seem unfair to you, that a person can be convicted of first degree murder, where the death itself is proved by circumstantial evidence?

THE COURT: Just a minute. Excuse me.

The Court would prefer that you rephrase that.

It's similar to the last question --

O BY MR. MANZELLA: The law --

THE COURT: -- the Court realizes. But the Court will reverse its ruling in respect to the last question, and will strike the last question put by counsel to the juror.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: The law with respect to circumstantial evidence, as given to you by Judge Choate, applies to all the elements of the crime of murder.

One of the elements of the crime of murder, of course, is death, the death of a human being.

Now, does it seem unfair to you that that element of the crime of murder can be proved by circumstantial evidence?

A No.

Q Mr. Hopper, if the defendant testifies, or if he calls witnesses on his behalf, would you judge their credibility by the same standards that you would use to judge

3

.6

7

8

. **9**·

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 Q All right, Mr. Hopper, you understand if Mr. Manson is convicted of first degree murder, then the same jury which determined his guilt will determine the penalty or punishment which would be applied; do you understand that?

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you understand that the two alternatives are life imprisonment or death?

A Yes.

Q Now, have you given thought to your feelings about the death penalty before being called as a juror in this case?

A No, I haven't given any thought to it, no.

Q Have you given some thought to it since you've been sitting in this courtroom?

A No, not really.

Q Do you understand that before a death penalty verdict can be returned, that each of the 12 jurors must vote for the death penalty?

A Yes, I do.

Q And do you understand that the decision must be made individually by each juror.

In other words, it is your judgment, and your judgment alone. It is a personal decision with each juror whether or not the death penalty is warranted or justified in the case in which you're sitting; you understand that?

A Yes, I do.

Q All right. Now, do you feel, if after having heard all the evidence in the case, and if Mr. Manson were

1	Q In Los Angeles?
2,	A That's right.
3	Q And for how many years?
4	A 40.
5	Q And is there a Mrs. Yousling?
6	A There is not.
7	Q In what general area do you reside?
.8	A Central part, downtown.
9	Q Do you have views concerning the death penalty?
10	A I have.
n	Q Pardon?
12	A I have.
13;	Q You do have.
14	Do you have views concerning the death penalty
15	that you would automatically refuse to impose it regardless
16	of the evidence?
17	A I would.
18	Q No matter what evidence may be produced in any
19 .	case, you would be impelled by reason of these views to
20	automatically vote against the death penalty, is that right?
21	A That's right.
22	Q Would you refuse to even consider the death penalty
23.	in any case, regardless of the evidence?
24	A I would.
25	Q Would your views concerning the death penalty be
26	such that you couldn't be fair and impartial in determining
27	the first phase of the case, the phase of guilt or innocence?
28	A No.
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

	1	Q	You could be fair and impartial in determining
	2	that?	ton cours be year was tubercrar in decaiminful
	S	· <b>A</b>	I could.
,	4	, Q	But coming to the second phase, should it come
	5	·	would be unable to vote for the death penalty
•	6	l'	e, regardless?
	7	;	That is correct.
	В		·
34 fls.	9	A	Regardless of the evidence, is that correct?  That's correct.
or and.	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14	;	
	15		
₹ •	16	:	
	17		
æ	18		,
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22	•	·
	23	·	
	24	·	·
	25		and the same of th
•	26		
<b>v</b>	27		enter the second of the second
	28		Harrison State Colonial Coloni

2	<b>n</b>	1

1	Q And you would automatically vote against it?
2	A That's right.
3	THE COURT: Gentlemen.
4	MR. MANZELLA: People would respectfully challenge
5	Mr. Yousling for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2 of
6	the Penal Code, your Honor.
7	MR. KANAREK: I have a question, if I may, your Honor.
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. KANAREK:
11	Q Mr. Yousling, would you discuss the evidence?
12	THE COURT: Use the microphone, if you would.
13	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you discuss the evidence,
14	Mr. Yousling, with your fellow jurors?
15	A I would discuss the evidence, yes.
16	Q And you would try to convey your viewpoint
17	across to them, no doubt? You would discuss it, whatever the
18	evidence might be?
19	A Well, I would discuss the evidence. I don't
20	know as I'd discuss my viewpoint about the death penalty.
21	Q But in any event, in both cases, there'd be no
22	problem about discussing these matters with your fellow
23	jurors?
24	A No.
25	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
26	Oppose the challenge, your Hon.or.
27	THE COURT: The Court finds that Mr. Yousling would
28	automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, regardless

3a-2 of the evidence, and grants the challenge. Thank you, 1 Mr. Yousling. 2 . Would you report to Room 253. 3 JUROR NO. 3: I would. THE COURT: Forthwith, please, Call another juror. 6 7 THE CLERK: Miss Balbina Skupio, S-k-u-p-i-o, first name B-a-1-b-i-n-a. Did I pronounce your name correctly, please? 9 JUROR NO. 3: Yes. 10 11 THE CLERK: Thank you. 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 14 BALBINA SKUPIO BY THE COURT: 15 Mrs. Skupio, were you present when the Court 16 17 explained the nature of this case to prospective jurors, 18 discussed it with them and also questioned Mr. Winters? 19 Yes, I had. 20 Would your --Q 21 Yes, I have. A 22 Thank you. Hold it as closely as you did then. **23** It will pick up well. 24 . Would your answers be any different than those answers that Mr. Winters gave to the questions of a general 25 26 nature? No. Would it be any hardship to you to serve in any 28 Q

3a-3way on this jury? 2 Would it be a hardship in any way? 3. Physically it might be a potential. Tell us about that. 5 A ... Uh, I am subject to ulcer attacks, and in -- when 6 I feel well, I am available for any activity. But anything 7 of a prolonged nature, whether it be a job or anything else, 8 would possibly start activity. 9 Do you periodically see the doctor? Q 10 A Yes, yes. 11 Concerning this problem? Q 12 A Yes, yes. 13 Do you feel that the pressures that might be 14 placed upon you as a juror in the case might cause you some 15 problems? 16 The extension of time, in as far as that's concerned. 18 In other words, I didn't request excuse on --19 thinking in terms of --20 O Thirty days? -- thirty days, right. 22 But you feel the longer period of time would Q 23 almost certainly result in problems for you? 24 Yes, it could very well. A THE COURT: All right, gentlemen, any questions? 26 MR. MANZELLA: No questions. The People will stipulate 27 that it will constitute a hardship, your Honor. 28 MR. KANAREK: Stipulate, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court would find --1 Thank you, gentlemen, for the stipulation. 2 The Court does find that you should be excused, 3 Mrs. Skupio, and does excuse you. 4 Report to Room 253, please. 5 THE CLERK: Kenneth Saltzman, S-a-1-t-z-m-a-n. 6 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 8 9 KENNETH SALTZMAN BY THE COURT: 10 Mr. Saltzman, were you present when the Court 11 explained the nature of this case to prospective jurors and 12 13 discussed the matter with Mr. Winters? Yes, I was. 14 A 15 Would your answers be any different than his answers were to the general questions? 16 17 No, they would be the same. 18 Would you suffer any hardship were you to serve 19 on this case? 20 Yes. I believe I would. 21 Tell us about it. Q 22 Uh, I am employed as a technical editor at a 23 company called the Aerospace Corporation. And for the past 24 nine months I've been working on a final report on a top 25 secret satellite system. 26 Now, that report went to Air Force headquarters 27 for review about the 1st of July. It is due back on the 28 present schedule around the 10th of August. And then, that

report must be finalized and resubmitted within four weeks after that time. Uh --Wherein lies the hardship to you, if you are not Q And I'm speaking of the personal hardship and not to the company. Hardship to me is that if I am not present, I'm A certain that I would suffer the possibility, the strong possibility of being discharged. 3-b fls. 21: 

3b-1

~~

Q. The space industry being what it is today, and the situation which it is in, you could not -- it would be possible that you couldn't find another position?

A That's right. I was able to -- in the past I have been -- letters have been written on my behalf to excuse me for jury duty, and they have been accepted. And this particular period, there is a hull between the middle of this report and its return, which amounted to about five or six weeks. So on the basis that my duty would be approximately four weeks, I was given permission to attend the court.

And you have discussed this with your superiors and you feel that would probably be the course, their course of conduct, to dismiss you and find somebody else to fill in for you?

A Yes, I'm positive. Our department has been reduced 50 percent in the last 14 months, and I don't feel very secure at this point.

THE COURT: Very well, the Court finds that this would be a hardship to you, and does excuse you.

JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Saltzman.

JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Warren M. Miller, M-i-l-l-e-r.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF WARREN M. MILLER

BY THE COURT:

	:		
3b-2	Ţ	ବ	Mr. Miller, were you present when the Court
	2	explained t	he nature of this case and discussed the case
	<b>.3</b>	with Mr. Wi	nters?
	4	` <b>A</b>	Yes, I was. I was.
<b>.</b>	5	, <b>Q</b> ,	Would your answers be any different than his
	,6	were to the	questions of a general nature?
,	7	A	No, I think it would be the same.
	8	Q.	All right.
	9		Press that button the other way.
	10	A	I think that I think they would be the same.
	11	Q	Would it be a hardship to you to serve on this
	12	case?	
	13	` <b>A</b>	No.
	. 14	Q	It would neither be financial or personal?
	15	<b>A</b>	No, I am retired.
3	16	Q	All right. Have you had jury experience before?
<b>5</b>	17	A	Yes.
-	18	ବ	In criminal cases?
	19		Murder one.
	20.	Q.	Pardon?
	21	A	Murder one.
,	22	ବ	When was that?
•	23	A	Three years ago.
	24	Q	That was on a previous
Ŕ	25	A	Uh-huh.
,	26	Q	service as a juror?
2	27	A	Yes, uh-huh.
	28	Q	Is that correct?
		•	.

3b - 3Mr. Miller, if I were to instruct you, as I do 1 now, that you are to set aside anything you may have heard, 2 seen or read in that case and decide this case only on its 3 evidence, on the law as I shall state it to you in this case, can you do that? 5 Yes, sir. 6 Will you do that? A Yes, sir. 8 Have you sat as a juror in any other type of criminal case? 10 Not this session. A 11 Was there, without saying what it was, was there 12 a verdict in that other case? 133 Yes, there was. 14 Are you related to or a friend of any law 15 enforcement officer? No. I am not. 17 What type of work did you do before you retired? 18 Q A I was a real estate broker. 19 What area? Q 20 Wilshire L. A. area. A 21 Is there a Mrs. Miller? Q A Yes, she is retired. 23 Retired from what type of work? 24 Q. She had a dress shop and with beauty shops. 25 In what general area do you and she reside? 26 Q. In Ambassador area. 27 Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be 28

1	fair and impartial in this case?
2	A No, I can't.
3	Q Regarding the death penalty, do you have such
4	views concerning it that you could not be fair and impartial
5	in determining the question of guilt or innocence?
6	A No, I don't.
7	Q Or do you have such views concerning it that you
8	would automatically refuse to impose the penalty?
ا و	A No.
0	Q Or, on the other hand, would you automatically
1	impose the death penalty, upon a conviction of murder of the
2	first degree, without regard to the evidence?
з	A No, I would regard the evidence.
4	Q All right. The next question we wish to ask you,
5	or the next general inquiry, is whether you can set aside
6	what you may have heard, seen or read and whether you will?
7	A I will.
<b>8</b> .	Q Set aside what you may have heard, seen or read.
9.	And we want to inquire in some detail about it,
0	so we'll ask you ladies and gentlemen again to rush out of
1	the courtroom quietly, and go to Department 107.
2	(Whereupon, the prospective jurors retired
3	from the courtroom and the following proceedings
4	were had:)
:5	BY THE COURT:
:6	Q Mr. Miller, the Court wishes to inquire of you,
27	Court and counsel want to know from you whether, before you
8.	came into this courtroom and heard this case called, you had

ı	ever heard the name Charles Manson?
2	A I had heard it, but I had not read anything about
3	it. But I had heard it.
4	Q And was that over radio or television in connec-
5	tion with the so-called Tate-La Bianca homicide case, is
б	that correct?
7 ·	A Yes, uh-huh.
8	Q Did you regularly view television or listen to
9	the radio or read the newspapers concerning the case?
10	A No.
н	Q Hadn't you occasionally seen a headline in the
12	newspapers?
13	A Yes, but I'd never read anything about it. I'd
14	seen headlines about it.
15	Q I see. You had never gone into any detail or
16	any news reports?
17	A No, sir. No, sir.
18	Q Had you heard the name Susan Atkins before?
19	A Just in the report of the other trial.
20	Q All right. And do you remember what you heard
21	of it?
22	A No, I don't.
23	Q Do you remember the name Bobby Beausoleil?
24	A No.
25	Q Or before you came into the courtroom and heard
26	the Court tell you about the indictment, had you heard the
27	name Shorty Shea?
28	A No, I hadn't.

1	Q Or Gary Hinman?
2 .	A No.
3	Q Do you know what the result of that case was?
4.	A No, I don't.
5	Q You don't know whether Mr. Manson was ever found
6	guilty of anything?
7	A I think I saw a headline that he was found guilty, but
8	that's all I do know.
9:	Q Do you know whether there was a penalty phase?
10	A No.
13	Q And if so, what the result was?
12	A No, I don't.
13	Q If I were to instruct you that you were to set
14	aside anything you may have heard, seen or read in connection
15	with this case, anything you might remember concerning this
. 16	case, or any other case Mr. Manson was involved in, if I were
17	to tell you that you were to set aside anything that you may
18	have heard, seen or read about Mr. Manson previous to the
19	time that you came into this courtroom, do you think that
20	you could set aside such matters? Not forget them, but set
<b>21</b>	them aside for the purpose of making an impartial judgment on
<b>Ž</b> 2	any issue that might be submitted to you on this case?
23	A I know I could.
24	Q You're certain of that?
25	A Yes, sir.
26	Q Will you do that?
27	A Yes, sir.
28 <sup>.</sup>	Q Will you set aside any opinions that you might
	1

- 1		,	
1	have formed	; will you?	
2	A	Uh-huh.	
3	Q	Will you not?	
4	A	Yes, sir.	
5	Q	And can you be fair and impartial in the case?	
G	A	Yes, sir.	
7	Ģ	And will you be?	
8	A	Yes, sir.	
<b>9</b>	MR. K	ANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.	
10	,		
11		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	
12	BY MR. KANAREK:		
13	Q	Mr. Miller, have you ever heard of Sharon Tate?	
14	Å,	I don't think so.	
15	Q	You never heard of Sharon Tate?	
16	<b>A</b> *	Huh-uh.	
17	' <b>Q</b>	I see.	
18:	<del>.</del>	And you live in the Wilshire area?	
19	A	Uh-huh.	
20	Q	You heard of Wilshire and Alexandria?	
21	· 🛦	I live close to that.	
22 .	Q	Right near that area?	
23	A	Uh-huh.	
24	Q.	Have you ever heard the name Abigail Folger?	
25	<b>.</b>	No.	
26	Q.	Ever heard the name Voityck Frykowski?	
27	A	No.	
28	କ୍	Jay Sebring?	

		*	· ·
	1	A	No, sir.
	2	Q	Steven Parent?
	3	A	No, sir.
<b>;=</b>	4	ବ	Ever heard the name Ronald Hughes?
3	5	A	I think I heard his name, if he's the man that
	6	was lost.	
	7	Q	What do you mean "the man that was lost"?
	8	. <b>A</b>	Wasn't he an attorney that was lost sometime
fls.	· 9	around Tha	nksgiving or something like that?
	`10		,
	11		
	12		
	13	,	
	14		
	15.		
<b>3</b> .	16	•	
,	17	1	
	18	•	
	19 <sup>.</sup>		•
	20	·	•
	<b>21</b> ·	<b>5</b>	•
	22		
	23	·	
	24		•
<b>,•</b>	25		
	26		
á.	27		
	28		

A

Q

Q I see. And do you know in connection with what				
case he was an attorney?				
A Well, I thought he was Mr. Manson's attorney, but				
I could be wrong.				
Q I see. And do you know the name of the case				
A No.				
Q that Mr. Manson previously was before the				
Court on?				
A No.				
Q Do you know what he was charged with?				
A No, I'm not sure on that, how the charge was.				
Q I see. During the year 1969, were you in Los				
Angeles the entire time?				
A Yes.				
Q And during the year 1970, were you in Los Angele				
the entire time?				
A Outside of briefly for trips. We went				
year before last, we went to Israel, by boat, and were gone				
60 days;				
And last year the year before that, we were				
gone to the South Pacific, and was gone 60 days.				
Q But in 1970, you were in this area, all of 1970?				
A No. I was gone to Israel.				
Q In 19				
A In 1970.				
Q Oh. What month were you gone, Mr. Miller?				

April and May, I think.

So after -- and then you came back, and

1	A No.
2	Q And do you listen to the radio?
3	A No.
4	Q Do you subscribe or take any newspaper?
5	A We take the Times. But I my extent of
6	reading it is the financial page and the sports section.
7	Q I see. Now, as you well, directing your
8	attention to the publicity and whatever you may have heard .
9	on television, radio, views by way of newspapers concern-
10	ing Mr. Manson, you feel you know that Mr. Manson was in
n	some other case?
12 ,	A Yes.
13	Q And what have you learned, as to what happened
<b>14</b>	in that case? As far as Mr. Manson was concerned?
15	A I haven't learned anything that
16	Q I mean, from the publicity, did you did you
17	read or hear or see anything
18	A I heard I think I heard on the television that
19	he was found guilty.
20	But that's I don't know what the charge was,
21,	and I didn't pay any attention to it.
22	Q You you I see. And this was the case
23	involving Mr. Hughes; right?
24	A Yes, I think so.
25	Q Does the name Paul Fitzgerald do you know
26	that name?
27 .	A No.
28	O Or Days Shinn?

1
---

Α No.

Or does the name Charles Older mean anything to you?

3

Α No.

5

Q I see. Now, have you spoken with your wife at all concerning any events, any events that were in the public view concerning Mr. Manson?

7 8

6

A No.

9

10

Directing your attention to President Nixon, did you hear or read anything concerning President Nixon and Mr. Manson, during the year 1970?

Ì1 12

I think there was a news item or something or some comment that he had made -- I don't know what it was,

13

but I know it wasn't favorable to Mr. Nixon.

Well, as best you can recall it, what is it that

16

15

I think he -- gosh, I don't know.

17

You don't know what he said?

18 19

Α No.

Mr. Nixon said?

Α

20

21

Now, directing your attention to your -- to this previous murder case that you were on, in that case, did it -- was there actually a penalty phase?

22

A Yes.

23 24

And you deliberated in the penalty phase; is that right?

25

Ά Yes.

26 27

And was there a verdict in the penalty phase?

28

A Yes.

	•	
4 <b>a-1</b>	1	Q There was a verdict.
	2	Now, have you ever heard of have you ever heard
	3	the name Manson Family?
•	4	A I don't think so, no.
	5	Q Have you ever heard the term "Spahn Ranch"?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	A I think I I heard something about that on the
*	7	television on the 11:00 o'clock news, but it was just I
	8	think
,	9	Q Well, just tell us what you think you heard, Mr.
	10	Miller.
	11	A I think I heard that they lived there.
	12	Q That who lived there?
	13	A Mr. Manson and some of the girls in his Family.
	14	But I don't know how many or what or whether there
)	15	was any children involved or anything like that.
•	16	Q And then, directing your attention to this Family,
	17	what is your from what you've heard, what is your view as
<b>,</b>	18	to what this Family consisted of? How were they related to
	19	each other?
•	20	A I think they just lived there. I don't think they
	21	were related.
	22	Q And do you have any knowledge or, have you
•	23	heard the name Gary Hinman before you came to the courtroom?
	24	A No.
*	25	Q Or the name Shorty Shea?
	26	A No.
₫•	27	Q And
<b>)</b> .	28	A I've heard it since I was in here, but before that,
	4	

1	I never heard of it.
2	MR. KANAREK: I see. Thank you, Mr. Miller.
. 3	MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions on publicity, your
4	Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. Let's get the balance of the
6	panel back in here, then.
7	(Proceedings had on unrelated matters.)
8	THE COURT: All right. The record will show Mr. Manson
9	and Mr. Kanarek to be present; all the prospective jurors are
10	present.
11	The peremptory challenge lies with the defendant.
12	MR. KANAREK: Thank and excuse Mr. Miller.
13	Thank you, Mr. Miller.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Miller, thank you very much. Room 253
15	forthwith.
16	JUROR NO. 3: Yes, sir.
17	(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion
18	off the record ensued at the bench between the Court
19	and the bailiff.)
.20	THE COURT: Call another name.
21	THE CLERK: Miss Nancy M. Tew; T-e-w.
22	
23	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
24	MISS NANCY M. TEW
25	BY THE COURT:
26-	Q Is it Miss?
<b>27</b> ,	A Yes.
28	Q Miss Tew, were you present when the Court

ł	' '
1	explained the nature of this case, and discussed the case
2	with Mr. Winters?
3	A I was.
4	Q Would your answers be any different than Mr.
5	Winters' answers to the questions of a general nature?
6	A No, they'd be the same.
7	Q Would it be any hardship to you to serve on this
8	jury?
9	A Not me personally. My employer has requested that
10	if possible, my service be limited to one month.
11	Q Who is your employer?
12	A The Los Angeles Times.
. 13	Q The Los Angeles Times? What do you do for the
14	Times?
15	A I'm a secretary to one of the executives there,
16	whose work is quite confidential, and he travels a great
17	deal.
18	And he would wish me to be there if at all
19	possible, after a month.
20	Q There would be no financial hardship, but it would
21	be a personal hardship to him, should you not be back?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q I think, Miss Tew, we'll ask him to forego your
24	presence and suffer that hardship.
25	I will ask you this. Have you been a juror
26	before?
27	A No.
28.	Q Have you served on a criminal case in any on

	•
ŀ	any jury?
2	A No jury.
3	Q Are you a friend of or related to any law
4	enforcement officer?
5	A No.
6	Q You have told us what your work is. How long have
7	you been so engaged?
8	A I have been at the Times about seven years.
9	Q And in what general area do you reside?
10	A Echo Park.
11	Q Do you have such views concerning the death penalty
12	that you could not be fair and impartial in determining the
13	question of guilt or innocence?
14	A No.
15	Q Or do you have such views concerning it that
16	is, the death penalty that you would automatically refuse
17	to impose it, regardless of the evidence?
18	A (Indicating negatively.)
Ĵ9	. •• •
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
.26	
27	
28	

5 fls.

.5

 $\mathbf{H}$ 

28.

Q Do you have such views concerning that that you would automatically impose the death penalty on a conviction of murder in the first degree without regard to the evidence?

A No.

Q Or are your views such that you would never vote to impose the death penalty in any case?

A No.

Q Concerning pre-trial publicity, I'll examine you concerning that, and counsel will ask you questions concerning that at 2:00 o'clock.

We'll recess now until such time.

You ladies and gentlemen who are beyond the rail, may reassemble in Department 107.

And you, Miss Tew, should return here and take your place in that seat 4 at 2:00 o'clock.

Remember the admonition that I have heretofore given to you, it pertains during this recess and all recesses, that you are not to converse amongst yourselves or anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter, should it finally be submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

I'll see you at 2:00 o'clock.

(Whereupon, the noon recess was taken at 11:55 A. M. Thursday, July 15, 1971. The hearing was to resume at 2:00 P. M. of the same day.)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JULY 15, 1971, 2:15 P. M. 1 THE COURT: I think we had Miss Tew in the box. 3 The record will show that all prospective jurors are outside of the courtroom. The defendant is present with 5 his counsel, Mr. Kanarek. б Mr. Beckler is present, likewise. 7 All right. Mr. Manson, this morning at the 8 bench, you indicated to me that you did not really intend 9. to state what you stated; that is, that you wished to enter 10 11 a plea of guilty. DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor? Perhaps --THE COURT: Yes? 13 DEFENDANT MANSON: Perhaps my words were misunderstood. 14 Sometimes I don't communicate too well, even -- sometimes I 15 don't communicate too well, even though I try. 16 Uh -- my intentions constantly change, so much 17 18 so that nothing stays in any stagnant form in my thought 19 chambers. 20 THE COURT: Well, at least at this moment, you don't 21 wish to enter a plea of guilty; --22 DEFENDANT MANSON: Uh, uh -- I was advised --23 THE COURT: -- is that your present --24 DEFENDANT MANSON: I was advised against that. 25 THE COURT: And you have chosen to follow that advice? 26 DEFENDANT MANSON: Yes. 27 THE COURT: All right. Now, you also indicated yester-28 day that you wished to change attorneys, and the Court, in

 $\mathbf{n}$ 

view of that, allowed you to speak to Mr. Beckler.

Mr. Beckler has come to chambers and indicated to Mr. Kanarek and me that he has conferred with you, and he relates to us your statement that you no longer wish to change attorneys; that you wish to proceed with Mr. Kanarek.

Is that correct?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Uh -- he would have to tell you that.

THE COURT: Pardon?

DEFENDANT MANSON: He would have to tell you that.

MR. BECKLER: I think Mr. Manson is right. If I might address your Honor, please?

I think the interviews were productive, in the sense that, one, I am not sure there is a legal right to change attorneys at this moment; but more importantly --

THE COURT: Well, the Court's convinced that, if Mr. Manson wished to change attorneys, and made such a motion, that the Court would have the right to do that.

MR. BECKLER: I advised Mr. Manson as to the length of time that I would need to prepare; and he appropriately, in my opinion, declined that extra time delay.

And we talked about the --

THE COURT:, The Court can understand that. This is not a case wherein you could walk in and, overnight, master all the facts that would be necessary to give competent advice.

MR. BECKLER: I think the focal point really, your Honor, of our interview was this idea of pleading guilty.

And, as he has stated to your Honor, that he does not wish to

ļ

at this time.

2

3

•

\*\*

5

U

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15.

16

17

18.

T.N.

20

21

22

23

29

40

26

27

28

And on that limited point, I think that my comments would suffice at this juncture.

THE COURT: Very well. Then you do withdraw your request to change attorneys, then, Mr. Manson?

DEFENDANT MANSON: At the advice of the gentlemen that you gave the counsel with.

THE COURT: You do --

DEFENDANT MANSON: I follow his advice.

THE COURT: And so you are --

MR. BECKLER: I did advise him, and I think it was appropriate, to remain with an attorney who is so totally and thoroughly familiar with every facet, legally and factually, of the case; that he should remain with his present counsel of record.

And he has indicated to me that this is what his desire is.

THE COURT: And that is what you wish?

DEFENDANT MANSON: The counsel of this Court was very wise and -- in offering me the counsel of this attorney. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And you don't wish at this time to move to remove Mr. Kanarek?

DEFENDANT MANSON: No. I'll do what he suggested to do.
THE COURT: Very well. Let's proceed, then.

Mr. Beckler, the Court relieves you of any obligation here -- not that you ever had any; the Court simply had appointed you with the idea in mind that you would

advise Mr. Manson. You had done that at the request of the Court, and the Court thanks you. 6a fls. MR. BECKLER: Thank you very much. 17, 

	•	· ·
6a-1	1	THE COURT: Miss Tew, bring her in, please.
	2	
	3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (Continued)
•	4	OF MISS NANCY M. TEW
•	5	BY THE COURT:
•	6	Q Miss Tew, you had heard of the name Charles
	7	Manson, had heard, seen or read it before you came into this
	8	courtroom, had you not?
	9	A Yes, I had.
	10	Q And did you follow that during the time follow
	11	Mr. Manson's case, the so-called Tate-La Bianca case,
	12	involving him, in the press, during the course of that
	13	trial?
<del>.</del>	14	A Uh not extensively.
	15	Q Keep that just a little closer, if you would.
2	16	Not extensively?
,	17	A Correct.
3	18	Q Did you from time to time read news releases,
	19	see television, and hear the radio reports of the case?
,	20	A Occasionally.
	21	Q Do you know the results of the case?
	22	A Uh it was a verdict, yes. I heard there was
	23	a verdict.
	24	Q Do you know what the verdict was?
.*5	25	A Guilty:
<b></b>	26	Q Guilty of what?
.3	27	A Of uh of murder.
	28	O And do vou know what penalty what sentence was

1	passed on Mr. Manson?
2	A I understand it was death.
3	Q Do you know if there was more than one count,
4	more than one charge of murder?
5	A No, I don't know any more details.
6	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins?
7	A Yes
8	Q Had you ever read her so-called confession in
9 .	the Times, published in the Times?
10	A I believe I read portions of it.
11	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea? Had you ever
12	heard of it before you came into this courtroom?
13	A That does not sound familiar to me.
14	Q Or had you heard, seen or read the name Gary
15	Himman?
16	A I've heard that name, yes.
17	Q In what connection? Can you bring it to your
18	memory?
19	A In connection with the Manson case.
20	Q Do you have any recollection of what connection
21	there was?
22	À No.
. 23	Q Did you hear, see or read anything about the
24	statement made by the President of the United States during
25	the course of that trial?
, 26	A Yes, I remember that. I remember the headline.
. 27	Q Did you form any impression concerning the
28	statement, the propriety of the statement, or the correctness
-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 . 25 26 27

2

3

4

6

7

25

26

27

28

of the statement?

A I thought the President was out of line in making that statement.

- Do you know the name Spahn Ranch? Q:
- Yes.
- Manson Family? Q
- Yes, I've heard of that. A
- What does that mean to you, that last phrase? Q

Uh -- my recollection is, in the articles, the accounts I've read concerning this, especially in the very beginning, that Charles Manson and his group of followers were referred to as the Manson Family.

Now, having everything in mind that you've heard. seen or read, and conceding the possibility or probability that you will remember more, as time goes along, should you be selected to serve in this case, do you think that you could -- if I instructed you to do so -- set aside such matters that you may have heard, seen or read, and make a judgment independent of such matters? On any issue that you might be called upon to decide in this case?

> My feeling is: I believe I can.

7-1	
KHXK	

Q Do you think you could be objective enough to segregate the news items, all of the items you have heard, seen or read, any discussions you might have had with people, could you segregate those matters from the evidence as it is produced here in court?

A Yes.

Q And will you do that?

A Yes.

Q And can you, in spite of what you may have heard, seen or read, or in spite of whatever opinions you may have formed, be fair and impartial?

A My feeling is that I can.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Do I need this, too? Can you hear me?
- A I would prefer that you use it, if you don't mind.
- Q Oh, surely. Surely.

Miss Tew, may I ask what your duties are in just a little detail at the Los Angeles Times?

A I am executive secretary for the vice-president in administration and assistant to the publisher. That is his title, and I am his personal secretary.

Q Now, in that connection have you received communications from the District Attorney's office of Los Angeles County; have they passed over your desk?

the case for very long. And I do not -- I did not remember

A Uh, I remember the description of the crime at the residence, the Tate residence. Some of the details regarding the nature of the injuries, how the victims perhaps had been pursued as they tried to flee. Some of the injuries that were inflicted.

In the La Bianca, I remember similar things. How the bodies had been handled by the alleged criminals, how they used the victims' blood, evidently, to write on the doors of the residences, that sort of thing.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I wonder if I might approach the bench, briefly.

THE COURT: No, you may not, unless -- are you finished with your --

MR. KANAREK: No, I was going to make a point to the Court.

THE COURT: You may not.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

. 

10.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Miss Tew, you've given us conclusions that you remember.

Would you tell us what was it that you remember as to the injuries? You say you remember the injuries, the nature of them. What was the nature that you remember?

A I remember there was -- there were guns used, that in particular what stands out were the use of knives as weapons, evidently. Perhaps meat cleavers or perhaps hack saws being used on the victims, dismembering them in some ways. What they did, what it was alleged they did to Miss Tate who was pregnant at the time, and that sort of thing.

Q And as far as the La Biancas were concerned, you had a personal feeling because of the proximity; that is, Waverly Drive being close to the Silverlake area where you live?

A I think that's the first thing I heard on the radio, and immediately the antenna goes up in connection with your own residence.

Q By that, you mean, you had a feeling, a response of a personal nature to that particular information?

A Yes, more so than I would have perhaps ordinarily.

Q More so than ordinarily?

A Yes.

Now, during the progress -- is it a fair statement that the Los Angeles Times has distributed in the executive offices; that is, the paper itself is distributed in -- from person to person in your office?

A Each executive in the area to which I work receives a copy of the paper each day.

1	Q And so this came over your desk each day?
2	A It is put on his desk each day, yes.
3	Q And you, as his secretary
4	A I usually file it, yes, at the conclusion of the
5	day.
6	Q And you, on occasion, I would assume, read the
7	articles and the headlines and so forth; is that a fair
.8	statement?
9	A When I have time.
10	Q And in connection with the Tate-La Bianca case,
11	is it a fair statement that you read in the Los Angeles Times,
12	in some detail, the day-by-day proceedings in court, in Judge
13	Older's court, Department 104?
14	A No, I did not follow the trial closely at all.
15	My recollection almost exclusively is when the crime was first
16	discovered. It was being reported before it ever came to
17	trial.
18	Q Now, then, directing your attention to the name
19	Gary Hinman.
20	Have you heard that name pardon.
21	Have you read that name in the Los Angeles Times?
22	A I've heard that name in connection with the Manson
23	case, uh, prior to being called here as a prospective juror.
24	I was not aware of what Gary Himman was in relation to the
25	case.
26	Q I see.
27	What about the name Shea, Shorty Shea?
28	A I don't know that name.

1	Q No, you didn't know that name at all?
2	A (Shakes head.)
.3	Q Now, you certainly have the intent to follow the
4	Court's order and not consider these matters, as far as this
5	case is concerned, as a juror, is that right?
6	A Not follow what matters?
7	Q Well
8.	A Oh, in the future, you mean?
9	If that's the Court's order, I will go by the
10	Court's order.
n	Q Now, is it even though you are on jury duty,
12	you go to the Los Angeles Times, they're still your friends,
13	right? You probably drop down there during the lunch hour and
14	things like that while you are on jury duty?
15	A I've been going back to work, as a matter of
16	fact.
17	Q So you are in contact with that particular
18	that is, during the pendency of this trial, you would be, from
19	time to time, be going to the Los Angeles Times to see your
.20	friends and whatever?
21	1 40 mm of the management of the transfer of t
	A That's a possibility.
22	A That's a possibility.  Q And having all of this in mind, and recognizing
22 23	
,	Q And having all of this in mind, and recognizing
23	O And having all of this in mind, and recognizing the Court's request that we not consider these matters as far
23	And having all of this in mind, and recognizing the Court's request that we not consider these matters as far as this case is concerned, is there some possibility or
23 24 25	And having all of this in mind, and recognizing the Court's request that we not consider these matters as far as this case is concerned, is there some possibility or probability that these matters would come to your attention.

You mean would I recall them? A Q Yes. 3 Well, walking to the Times would not any more make A me recall those details or sitting here or anywhere else. 5 I'm sorry, what I meant was during these proceed-6 ings in court, from time to time you would -- it would be 7 practically humanly impossible for you not to recall some of 8 these matters that you have spoken of? 9 I think that's a correct statement. 10 Ă MR. KANAREK: 1073, Sub section 2, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Should you recall them, are you stating to 12 Mr. Kanarek in responding as you have that you will not set 13 them aside for the purpose of making any judgment that you 14 might be called upon to make in this case? 15 JUROR NO. 3: Uh, it would be my effort, and my intent 16 to set them aside. 17 THE COURT: Well, what Mr. Kanarek is asking you, and 18 19 what we are all asking you is whether, having that intent, whether you firmly believe that you can accomplish that? 20 21 Can you do it? JUROR NO. 3: I think that's a difficult question to 22 23 answer. 24 THE COURT: In other words, you're not sure of your ability to divorce yourself from the reports of the news 25 26 media and decide the case independently of those reports? 27 JUROR NO. 3: Uh, it is my intent to regard this trial as a new and separate matter, completely divorced from any 28

the La Bianca house you have spoken of, in the Tate home?

previous proceedings.

THE COURT: What I am interested in is your capability of doing that and your judgment as to your capability, and then the Court makes a judgment.

JUROR NO. 3: I would judge my capability as being affirmative that I could.

	,	
	BY THE COUP	T's
1	Q	Yo
2	Mr. Manson,	sh
3	judgment in	an
4	A	I
5	Q	An
6.	beginning th	ils
7	make any dec	:1s
8	evidence in	th
9	A	¥е
10	Q.	An
11	case? And I	ot
12	seen or read	i t
13	A	Th
14	THE CO	OUR
	I '	

You understand that it would be very unfair to n, should you allow such matters to enter in your in any way?

I understand that.

And will you, in effect, wipe the slate clean, in this case -- should you be chosen as a juror -- and lecision that you must make, based only on the in this case and the law as I shall state it to you?

Yes.

And will you be fair and impartial throughout the d not allow any of these matters that you ve heard, and to enter into your judgment?

That's my will. I say: Yes, um-hum. COURT: Very well. The challenge is denied.

Do you have any --

MR. MANZELLA: No questions, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: The Court finds that she -- that Miss Tew can segregate any opinions that she may have formed, any news articles that she may have heard, seen or read, from the evidence; that she will do that, and that she will be fair and impartial.

All right. Bring in the balance of the panel. THE BAILIFF: They're on their way, sir.

THE COURT: You may just be seated there until they arrive, Miss Tew.

Gentlemen, the peremptory, as I see it, is with It will be the eleventh peremptory challenge of the People. the People.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

e. 20

4

5

:.6

·7

8

ð,

10

11.

13

· 14

15 °

16. 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 . 28 MR. MANZELLA: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The record will show that the prospective jurors are all present in the box and beyond the rail.

Does either counsel have further voir dire of a general nature?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, if I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Miss Tew, in your work at the Los Angeles Times, do you from time to time have any kind of relationship with Mr. Lobdell?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Would you tell us, what is that?
  - A I sometimes answer his telephones.
  - Q In other words, you work in Mr. Lobdell's office?
- A Three secretaries share an office, and his secretary is in that office of three.
- Now, from time to time, Mr. Lobdell, as you may -I mean, do you know that from time to time Mr. Lobdell has been
  engaged in litigation, wherein the Los Angeles Times has been
  involved in matters in -- in the courts, here in the Hall of
  Justice?
- A I'm not overly familiar with the nature of his work, but I know he is involved in litigation involving the Times.

  The specifics, sir, are not ordinarily known to me.

1	Q And are you familiar with any policy that has been
2	enunciated by the Los Angeles Times, as far as Charles Manson
3	is concerned?
4	A I am not familiar with any policy.
5.	Q Now, directing your attention, then, to your work,
<b>.</b> 6	the people that you work with there at the Los Angeles Times,
7	I'm not now referring to publicity. I'm referring to your work
8	as a person in the office there.
9	Have the people in the office there from time to
10	time discussed Mr. Manson?
11	A No.
12	Q Do you have any friends or relatives that are
13.	in any type of law enforcement work, public or private, any-
14	where in the world?
15	A No.
16	Q A security guard, perhaps?
17	A No.
18	Q May I ask you, how long has it been that you've
19	worked for the Los Angeles Times?
20	A It will be seven years next January.
21	Q And in that period of time well, strike that.
22	What per cent of that time have you worked in your
23′	present position?
24	A About five and a half years.
25	MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor.
26	Pass for cause.
27	

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

4.

8:

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Miss Tew, did you hear the questions that I asked the other jurors with regard to the burden of proof on the People in this case?

A Yes.

Q Would your answers be substantially the same as the answers given by the other jurors?

A Yes.

Q Did you hear the questions I asked with regard to circumstantial evidence, and -- and aiding and abetting conspiracy, and the credibility of witnesses?

A Yes.

Q Would your answers be substantially the same as those given by the other jurors?

A They would,

Q Do you know anyone involved in the defense of criminal cases as a lawyer, investigator, or have you ever been employed by a lawyer or an investigator, as a secretary or anything like that?

A No.

8a fls.

8a-1		Q Do you know anyone that's ever been accused of any
	1	crime?
	2	A No.
	3	MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you. The People pass
••	4.	for cause, your Honor.
*	5	
	6	THE COURT: The peremptory challenge is with the People.
	7	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People will accept the
	8	jury as presently constituted.
,	9	THE COURT: The peremptory is with the defendant.
	10	MR. KANAREK: Thank and excuse Miss Tew, your Honor.
<i>:</i>	11	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Tew. Room 253, then,
	12	forthwith.
	13	THE CLERK: Alvin J. Glover; G-1-o-v-e-r.
	14	·
	15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
•	16	ALVIN J. GLOVER
,	17	BY THE COURT:
<del></del>	18	Q Mr. Glover, were you present when the Court
	19	explained the nature of this case to the prospective jurors,
	20	and when the Court questioned a Mr. Winters?
	21	A I was.
	. 22	Q Would your answers be the same as Mr. Winters'
	23	were to the questions of a general nature?
	24	A Yes, they would.
•	25	Q Would it be any hardship to you to serve on this
•	26	case?
<b></b> .	27	A It would not.
	28	Q Have you ever served as a juror before, on any

		· '
8a-2	1 .	criminal case?
	2	A No, I haven't.
	3	Q Are you a friend or a relative of any law
ż	4.	enforcement officer?
3	5	A No.
	,6	Q What type of work do you do?
·	7 .	A I am a customer service representative for the
	8	Department of Water and Power.
	9 .	And how long have you been so employed?
	10.	A Sixteen and a half years.
	11	Q And is there a Mrs. Glover?
	12	A Yes, there is.
	13	Q Is she employed outside of the home?
	14	A Yes, she's a sales lady at the Department
)	15	pardon me; at Sears-Roebuck & Company.
ŧ	16	Q In what general area do you and she reside?
ň	17	A We reside in Southwest Los Angeles.
<b>4</b> 7	18	Q Can you think of any reason why you couldn't be
Þ	19	fair and impartial in this case?
ı	20	A Yes, sir.
	21	Q Are your views concerning the death penalty such
	22	that you could not be fair and impartial in determining the
	23	question of guilt or innocence?
	24	A Yes, sir.
<b>*</b> .	25	Q You would be biased against one party or the other.
	26	by reason of the views that you hold; is that correct?
as N	27	A I I am not in favor of the death penalty.
	28	Q I see. So that, having in mind that in the second

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

phase of the trial, you might be called upon to decide the question of life imprisonment or death, you could not thereby be fair and impartial in the first phase; am I correct that --

- A That is correct, sir.
- q -- that is your state of mind?
- A Right.
- Now, concerning the penalty itself, would you have such views concerning it that you would be unable to vote for the death penalty, regardless of the evidence?
  - A I'm afraid so.
- Q Would you automatically vote against it, regardless of the evidence?
- A Well -- uh -- I possibly could render a verdict of guilty; but with the death penalty hanging on that, I wouldn't be able to vote honestly.
- Q You mean by that, in the second phase or in the first phase?
- A Well, I would be prejudiced in the first phase, knowing that the death penalty would balance on that.
- Q All right. Coming to the second phase, then, would you automatically refuse to impose the death penalty, regardless of the evidence?
  - A Yes, I would, um-hmm.
- And regardless of the evidence that might be produced, would you ever vote for the death penalty?
  - A No. I'm afraid I wouldn't.
  - Q Would you refuse to even consider the death penalty?

ŀ		

3

4

5

6

7

8

**'9**.

Í0

Ϊŀ

12

13. 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 . 24

25

26:

27 28

A Yes.

Q And your reaction would be, when the question is posed to you -- or should the question be posed to you, life imprisonment or death -- to automatically vote against the death penalty?

A Yes.

Q Regardless of what might be produced --

A Yes.

Q -- in the way of evidence?

A Yes, I'm afraid so.

THE COURT: Do the People have any challenge, or any questions? Either side?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor; just --

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

### BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Sir, you certainly wouldn't refuse to discuss these matters with your fellow jurors, though, would you?

A Well, no. I could discuss it. Yes, I could discuss it.

Q And you would discuss -- would listen to their reasons, and they'd hopefully listen to your reasons; right?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

# BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Mr. Glover, if you did discuss the matter of

22

23

24.

25

26

27

28

penalty with your fellow jurors, is there a chance that you could vote for the death penalty?

A There is no chance that I could vote for the death penalty.

In other words, in spite of any discussion of the evidence, your reaction would be an automatic one, to vote for life imprisonment?

A Definitely, yes. I am not in favor of the death penalty.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, your Honor. The People would respectfully challenge Mr. Glover for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2 and under Section 1074, Subdivision 8 of the Penal Code.

THE COURT: The Court grants the challenge.
Thank you, Mr. Glover.

JUROR NO. 3: Thank you.

THE COURT: You are excused, and you may report to Room 253 forthwith, if you would.

THE CLERK: Mrs. Mary E. Everett; E-v-e-r-e-t-t.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF MRS. MARY E. EVERETT

# BY THE COURT:

Q Mrs. Everett, were you present when the Court explained the nature of this case --

A Yes.

Q -- and when the Court discussed this case with Mr. Winters, one of the prospective jurors from your group?

8 <b>a-</b> 6	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Would your answers be any different than Mr.
•	3	Winters replied to the questions of a general nature?
<b>,</b>	4	A No.
>	<b>5</b> . ,	Q Would this be any hardship to you, were you required
•	6	to serve on this jury?
	. 7	A Not personally.
	.8	Q Explain that.
fls.	9:	A Well, my job might suffer somewhat.
	10	
•	11	
	12	
	13	
· .	14	
	15	
*	16	· •
•	17	
	18	
	<b>19</b> ·	
	20	•
	21	, -
	22	
	23	
	24	
¥	25	
4	26 27	
	28	

1	Q.	What type of job is that?
2	A	I work for the assessor's office and their busy
3	time is be	tween October and May.
4	Q	We all very much hate to see the assessor in any
5	way inconve	mienced.
6	<b>A</b>	1'm sure.
7	Q	But I'm afraid he's going to have to forego your
8	presence.	
9		But other than that, you can't think of any reason
10	why you sho	ouldn't be excused on the grounds of hardship?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Have you been a juror before?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Have you served as a juror in any criminal case?
15	À	No.
16	Q;	Are you related to or a friend of any law
17	enforcem <i>e</i> n	officer?
18	<b>A</b> .	Well, my son's brother-in-law is a deputy sheriff.
19	ନ	Your son's brother-in-law?
20	· A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you see that person often?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Do you think that that relationship would in any
24	way affect	your judgment in the case?
25	<b>A</b>	No.
26	Q	Is there a Mr. Everett?
27	A	No, there is not.
28	. <b>Q</b>	Is it Miss Everett?
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

9-1

I	A No, it is Mrs. I'm a widow.
2	Q I see. What was Mr. Everett's occupation?
3	A He was a real estate broker.
4	Q In what general area do you reside?
5	A The Harbor area.
6	Q You have views concerning the death penalty that
7	you would automatically refuse to impose it, regardless of
8 .	the evidence that might be produced?
9	A Yes, I do.
10	Q In other words, you would be unable, in any case,
11	regardless of the evidence, to vote for the death penalty?
12	A That's right.
13	Q Regardless of the evidence, then, your reaction
14	would be an automatic one, to vote for the death penalty; am
15	I stating your views correctly?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q Would you ever, in any case, vote for the death
18	penalty?
19	A No, I could not.
20	Q Would your views be such concerning it that you
21	could not be fair and impartial in the first phase of the case,
22	the phase involving guilt or innocence?
23	A No, I don't believe that would affect that.
24	Q But coming to the penalty phase, would you ever
<b>25</b> ·	be able in any case to impose the death penalty?
<b>26</b>	A No, I could not.
27	THE COURT: All right, the People have a challenge?
28	MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, the People would

Í	respectfully challenge Mrs. Everett under Section 1073,
2	Subdivision 2 of the Penal Code.
3	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have one question, if I may?
4	THE COURT: All right, one question.
5	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
6	
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. KANAREK:
9	Q Miss Everett, there is no question but what you
10	would discuss with your fellow jurors
11	THE COURT: Use the microphone.
12	MR. KANAREK: Yes, I'm sorry.
13	Q BY MR. KANAREK: There's no question but what you
14	would discuss with your fellow jurors the evidence?
15	THE COURT: Is there something wrong with that mike?
16	Turn it up.
17	MR. KANAREK: Hello? I don't think I had it close
18	enough, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Go shead.
20	A There's no question that I would discuss it.
21	Q BY MR. KANAREK: In both cases, right, if there
22	were a second phase?
23	A That's right.
24	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
25	Oppose the challenge, your Honor.
26 ·	THE COURT: Having discussed it, do you believe that
<b>27</b> .	you would ever, regardless of the evidence, what evidence
28	might be produced, vote for the death penalty?

JUROR NO. 3: No. I never could. 1 THE COURT: All right, the Court grants the challenge and 2 you are excused. 3 Would you report to Room 253. 4 Need she report tonight, Mr. Dooley? 5 If you will wait just briefly, if you will wait 6 just briefly. Mrs. Everett, it may be that we can excuse you 7 until Monday. You may not have to come back tomorrow. JUROR NO. 3: Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Dooley will inquire for you. 10 THE CLERK: Mrs. Opal M. DeWees, last name, D-e-W-e-e-s, 11 first name 0-p-a-1; -12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 14 OPAL M. DE WEES 15 BY THE COURT: 16 Mrs. DeWees, were you present when the Court 17 explained the nature of this case and when the Court questioned 18 Mr. Winters from your group? 19 Yes, I was. 20 Would your answers be any different than his to the 21 questions of a general nature? 22 9a fls. A No. 23 24 25 26 27 28

9a-1	1	Q Would there be any hardship to you to serve in	
	2	this case?	
	3	A Yes, it would.	
4	4	Q Explain it to me.	
* '	5 ;	A For health matters.	
	6	Q Tell me about it.	
	7	A Well, I've had too much surgery. I don't have	
*	8	the resistance.	
	9	Q Have you had surgery recently?	
	10	A Two years ago was the last.	
	11	Q And was it a major surgery?	
,	12	A Yeah.	
	13	Q Without telling us what it was, what is the	
	14	affect upon you if you were to sit and serve on this jury	
	15	for four or five months? What would be the affect?	
*	16.	A Well, I don't have the stamina to stay through	
.₽į	17	it. I was	
•	18	Q You mean you would become excessively tired in	
	19	the afternoon?	
	20	A Well, I had 60 per cent of my stomach removed.	
	21	Eight years ago I had cancer. I had carcinoma, a three-	
	22	pound carcinoma removed and I don't have the energy to sta	¥
	23	<b>up.</b>	
,	24	Q Well, what happens to you? Your energy flags?	
3	25	A Uh-huh.	
	26	Q And you are unable to pay attention?	
÷	27	A Uh, my resistance is too low to pick up.	
	28 .	Q I'm wondering you see what I am driving at,	I

Q

am wondering how it affects your ability to properly serve
as a juror?
A Fatigue, I think.
Q Would you become so fatigued
A Yes.
Q that you could not listen to the evidence
properly?
A That's right.
THE COURT: All right, the Court believes that would
justify your being excused from the jury, and, therefore,
does excuse you.
JUROR NO. 3: All right, thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Go to Room 253, would you, on Monday morning at
9:00 o'clock. You can skip tomorrow.
That would be true, yes, Mrs. Everett. Monday
morning, Room 253, at 9:00 o'clock.
Mr. Parks, while you are here, could I lasso you
to talk to a defendant?
MR. PARKS: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: I think he may be inside in the tank.
Go ahead and call another name.
THE CLERK: Mrs. Betty J. Finister, F-i-n-i-s-t-e-r.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
BETTY J. FINISTER
BY THE COURT:

Mrs. Finister -- is it Miss or Mrs.?

	_	· •	
<u>-</u>	1	A	Yes.
	2	Ω	You seem puzzled. Did my question puzzle you?
	3 .	A	Uh, I could find him guilty.
,	4	Q	You would be able to find some person guilty
.*	5.	even	
	6	A	But
	7.	Ω	even though you knew the penalty phase was
	8	coming on,	if you found him guilty of murder of the first
	9	degree?	
9b fls.	10	· A	The first phase I could find him guilty.
	11		•
	12		
	13	,	
À	14		
	15		
•	16		,
₹	17		
	18	,	·•
	19		•
•	20		
	21		
	22	•	
	23		
	24		
•	25		
	26		
•	27		
	<b>28</b> :	t.	
		· ` `	• •

•		
	1	A Yes.
	2	Q You seem puzzled. Did my question puzzle you?
	3	A Uh, I could find him guilty.
* *	4	Q You would be able to find some person guilty
· *	5	even
	6 .	A But
	7	Q even though you knew the penalty phase was
•	. 8	coming on, if you found him guilty of murder of the first
	9	degree?
9b fls.	io	A The first phase I could find him guilty.
	31	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
. <b>%</b> ,	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	·
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
*	26	
· &		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	27	
	28	

9b

1	A Yes.
2	Q Is there any reason you couldn't discuss the
3	evidence with your fellow jurors?
4	A No. I just don't believe in the death penalty.
5	Q But you would listen to their reasons in
6	discussing matters with them? There's no problem in that,
7	would there
8	A But I wouldn't see no need in it. My mind is made
9	ab*
10	MR. KANAREK: I see. Thank you.
11	MR. MANZELLA: The People would respectfully challenge
12	Mrs. Finister for cause under Section 1073, Subdivision 2 of
13	the Penal Code.
14	THE COURT: The Court grants the challenge,
15	Mrs. Finister, and Mr. Dooley will check and find out.
16	THE CLERK: Tuesday.
17	THE COURT: Tuesday instead of Monday. We gave you an
18	extra day, Mrs. Finister. Room 253 on Tuesday, then.
19	THE CLERK: Mrs. Retha D. Jordan, J-o-r-d-a-n, first
20	name spelled R-e-t-h-a,
21	
22	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF
23	RETHA D. JORDAN
24	BY THE COURT:
25	Q Mrs. Jordan.
26	A Yes, sir.
<b>27</b>	Q You were present during the time the Court
28	explained the nature of this case and when the Court questioned
•	1 '

1	the first pr	cospective juror chosen in your group?
2	<b>A</b> .	Yes, I was, sir.
3	Q	Would your answers be any different than his were
4	to the quest	ions of a general nature?
5	A	No, they would not.
6	Q	Would it be any hardship for you to serve in the
7	cgsel	
-8	Α,	No, it would not.
9	•	Have you been a juror before?
10	A	Yes, I have, sir.
11,	Q ·	In a criminal case?
12	,	No.
13	Q	All civil cases?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q ·	Would you be careful to observe the difference
16	in the burde	ens of proof respectively in a civil case than in
17	a criminal c	ase?
18	A	I certainly would.
19	Ω	Do you have any friends or relatives in law
20	enforgement?	
21	<b>A</b>	Yes, I have.
22	Ω	Tell me about that.
23	. А	Well, there are so many.
24	Ω	Well, explain that to us:
25	A	Well, I have two detective friends of mine
26	that I went	to school with.
27	Ω.	Are these close friends?
28	A	They are close friends. Some work at 77th, some

1	work at Southwest. They're all over. Marshals.
2	Q See them often?
3.	A The one that's on the door was a friend of mine,
4	Kenneth McKinney, when I came over here.
5	Q One of the deputies in this department?
6	A Yes, that was here. McKinney. I've known him
7	about sixteen years.
8	Q Well, would these relationships affect your
ę	judgment here?
10	A Oh, no, they wouldn't, I mean.
u l	Q Do you think that you might incur some
12	unfriendly feeling on their part if you were to vote for the
13	defendant?
14	A No.
<b>15</b>	Q You don't think that?
16	A No, it wouldn't.
17	Q It wouldn't enter your mind?
18	A Oh, no.
19	Q Is there a Mr. Jordan?
20	A Not at the present.
21	Q In what area do you reside?
22	A I think it is View Heights. It is right near
23	Inglewood.
24	Q What type of work do you do?
25	A Work for the Department of Water and Power.
26	Q Doing what?
27	A As an accounting division clerk.
28	Q Do you have such views concerning the death
	·

10 fls.

penalty that you could not be impartial in determining the question of guilt or innocence?

A No, I do not.

Q Do you have such views concerning it, the death penalty, that you would automatically refuse to impose it?

A No.

Ω Would your views be such that upon a conviction of murder of the first degree you would automatically impose the death penalty regardless of the evidence?

A No.

Q In respect to the question of publicity, the Court wishes to inquire whether you can set aside what you may have heard, seen or read, and whether you will set aside what you may have heard, seen or read, and decide this case independently of such matters only upon the evidence, only upon the evidence and the Court's instructions of law as I shall give them to you?

And I'll inquire about that -- I'll inquire of you about that out of the presence of the other jurors.

Would you all now please leave the room?

Remember the admonition I have heretofore given you, you don't discuss this case amongst yourselves, or permit anyone to discuss it with you.

Room 107, Department 107, if you would, please.

(Whereupon, the prospective jurors retired from the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

10-1	1	We will work about five minutes and then take a
	2	recess
	3	BY THE COURT:
•	4.	Q Mrs. Jordan
•	5	A Yes, sir.
	б	Q had you previously heard, seen or read the name
	7	Charles Manson, before you came into this courtroom?
	8	A Yes, I have, sir.
	9	Q And that was in connection with what?
	10	A The Tate-La Bianca
	11,	Q The Tate-La Bianca trial?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	Q Did you follow that in the press or vis the
	14:	television or radio?
	15	A Uh mostly in the press.
	16	Q And did you read the press regularly?
	17	A Uh just about every day.
	18	Q What newspaper did you regularly read?
	19	A I believe the Times and the Herald. We have a
	20	newspaper in our office that we we buy two papers, every
	21 .	day, and we and we pass it around and read it.
	22	Q So that you would read both papers, generally?
	23	A Surely.
	24	Q And did you remember do you remember the result
	25	of that case?
	26	A I believe so.
	27	Q Tell us your best remembrance of it?
	28	A I think it was a conviction.
	ŀ	

11.

	•	·
.0-2	1 :	Q Of what?
	2	A Of murder, wasn't it? I believe it was.
	3	Q That's your best remembrance, a conviction of
3	4	murder?
<b>'</b> *	5	A I think so. I'm not
•	6	Q And was there a penalty found? What was the
	7	sentence, if you know?
	8	A Uh
	9	Q If you remember?
	10 :	A I think I think it was death or something.
	11	Q That's your best remembrance?
	12	A As I can remember. I wasn't you know, not
	13	that involved with it.
	14	THE COURT: We will take your case in just a moment,
•	. 15	Mr. Parks.
`#	16	Q Do you know the name Susan Atkins? And what does
افق	17	it mean to you, if you do?
¢.	18	A It's I think she was one of the ones connected
•	19	with it somehow.
	20	Q Somehow or other connected with Mr. Manson?
	21	A Right.
	22	Q Do you know the name Shorty Shea?
	23	A I read that in the newspaper also.
	24	Q All right. How about the name Gary Hinman?
ž.	25	A I read that also.
•	26	Q What do you know about each of those last two
	27	names that I spoke of, other than what you may have heard
	<b>.28</b> .	me say in the course of telling you about the indictments or

10-3

2

1

3

5

б

Ω

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19·

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

# the indictment?

A I don't -- no, it's not that clear to me. But I do remember them having some connection, somehow; or, they disappeared or something like that, or they were -- they weren't found or something.

They were -- had been involved on this ranch, I remember.

- Q What ranch?
- A The Swan Ranch or something.
- Q Have you heard the name Manson Family?
- A Yes.
- Q What does that name mean to you?
- A All the people that were together out there, living as a Family -- or, followers or associates together.
- Q I have been asking you these questions just to find out the depth of what you might know about that other case, about Mr. Manson, or this case.

Do you understand?

- A Uh-huh.
- Now, if I were to instruct you that you are to set aside -- if you are chosen as a juror in this case -- anything that you may have heard, seen or read previously about Mr. Manson, about this case, about that Tate-La Bianca case, to set it aside completely and wipe your mind clean of it, for the purpose of making any judgment that you might be called upon to make in this case, could you effectively do that?

Are you capable of doing that?

A I believe so.

Q Does that -- is that hedging at all, when you say, "I believe so," or are you firm in your belief that you can do it?

A Well, I can -- I can do anything that I set my mind to. I would follow the Court's instructions.

Q And you are objective enough to do that?

A I am. I have an open mind.

Q Well, let me ask you this, then. Having that in mind, that you will set aside any opinion that you may have formed, anything that you may have heard, seen or read, will you do that?

A I will,

Q All right. And will you be fair and impartial in making any decision that you are called upon to make in this case?

A Yes, I will.

3

5

б

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek? You may examine.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

# BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Do you prefer to be called Mrs. Jordan?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Now --

THE COURT: Use the microphone, please.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, your Honor.

- Q Mrs. Jordan, would you tell us, during the year 1970, were you in Los Angeles in that whole year?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q And did you read the newspapers and television -- and watch television --
  - A Yes.
- Q -- and listen to the radio concerning the Tate-La Bianca case during that time?
  - A Uh -- yes, sir.
- Q Would you tell us, in capsule form, what you know -- what comes to your mind, that you remember, concerning the Tate-La Bianca case? Remember, this is no reflection on you, the fact that you read newspapers and watch television and so forth.
- A Uh -- the only thing is, the -- you know, it was all over the headlines; and of course, I just read the whole story and everything that -- that was in the newspapers at the time, I read it.

28

. 3

I mean, most people did. Because it was -- it took precedence over everything else in the news at that time.

And so you -- when you say you read it, like -in other words, on a daily basis, you read the detailed
column of what occurred that day in court, for instance?

A Oh, yes, I did. But I don't remember all of it now. But I read it at the time.

Q Could you -- would you tell us, in your own way, what you remember concerning the trial? What you remember --

A Oh, actually, I don't know if I can recall things concerning the trial that much. It was just a -- the story itself that I read in the papers, you know.

Q And --

A When the story broke; and then, of course, the -you know, it was followed up to see what transpired after that,
and this type of thing.

But as far as the actual court cases, I don't recall having remembered -- you know, in detail what transpired.

Q Did you read, for instance, like that on a certain day a witness testified, and then in the newspaper, it gave about what the witness said?

A Some of the things, I did. I didn't go through everything, you know.

Q I see. Can you tell us -- just pick any witness that you remember and tell us what happened -- what you happened to recall that that witness said?

A I -- I'm not sure if I -- see, the names of these

2

3

4: 5

6 7

8

9

10<sup>.</sup>

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23.

24

25

26 27

28

people, really, I don't know. They don't mean that much to me.

But I think the one that impressed me most was this girl that -- that testified for -- I guess the term is -- that turned State's evidence or something. We followed her story more than anything else -- that confessed, or something or whatever -- that one; but I don't know which name she was now.

Q I see. But whatever her name was, would you tell us, what did she say, that you recall?

A Oh, my goodness. I cannot recall all of it. It was just too long and involved.

Q I see. Now -- and then your -- aside from the media, you remembered the result, as far as Mr. Manson is concerned, from the mass media?

A Oh, I guess part of it. You know, it didn't -it wasn't something that I religiously read or followed, but
if -- you know, it was -- I was interested until it broke,
you know.

- Q What was the result in the trial that you remember, as far as Mr. Manson --
  - A I believe he was found guilty; that's as I recall.
- Q And do you remember what result the jury came in with?
  - A First degree murder, I think, something like that.
- Q And then do you remember what penalty was assessed, supposedly, by the jury?
  - A I believe it was death. I'm not sure, when it got

	1	down to that phase of it. I wasn't that interested any more.
	2	Q I see. Do you remember the term "Helter Skelter"?
•	3	A No, I can't say that I do, sir.
<b>3</b>	4	Q Do you remember any racial overtones as coming
	3 5	from the media?
10 b fls.	6	A I believe I remember something like that.
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	ii (	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
<b>.3</b>	16	
-	17	
. 🐮 '	18	
•	19	
•	20	
	21	
. ,	.22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
•	26	
غ	27	
	28	

		T

Ż

3

4

∙5

6.

7

^

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

	Q	Would y	<b>rQ</b> u	tel1	us	what	you	remember	concerning
the	***								

A Oh, I don't know how accurate it will be now.

Q Oh, by the way, Miss Johnson, we are not interested in --

A Jordan.

Q Pardon me. Miss Jordan.

We are not interested in accuracy; just what you think.

A I believe that I recall something to the effect that Mr. Manson was going to engage in this war, and these killings; and to pin it on the black race or something, to see if he couldn't start a black-white war.

Now, this is in essence part of what I think I read or remember.

Now, that -- you know, it's been so long ago. But it was something like that.

Q Now, may I clear something up?

what I mean is, I am interested in what you recall in your mind accurately.

But whether that conforms actually with what happened in court or not, or with what somebody said or not, that's not important.

Do you understand what I mean?

A I understand.

Q When we say "accurate," it's not in the context of that, the word "accurate."

.5

6. 

23,

A Well, it's -- that's as near as I can remember now. But it was something along that line.

Q Now, being of the black or Negro race, you certainly have an affection for -- well, for all people, I would imagine; is that correct?

A I do. I have as many Caucasian friends as I do black.

Q And having that in mind, you certainly would intend to follow the Court's order and set aside what you may have read or heard?

A Oh, yes,

And you would -- now, but not having done it in a courtroom before -- if I may give the example, you might intend to go to Falm Springs this weekend, but you may not make it there for one reason or another. You might have a flat tire, a relative may come in from out of town, or whatever. So you may not make it, even though you intended to do it; right?

A (Indicating affirmatively.)

As far as the situation is concerned, where you intend now not to consider these matters, is it a fair statement that you just don't know whether you could or not, not having ever had to do it, and go through that exercise?

THE COURT: You may answer that yes or no, as to whether or not it is a fair statement.

JUROR NO. 3: Are you saying that until I'm actually confronted with evidence, or actually become involved in

something, I really couldn't answer positively whether I 1 . could do it or not? Is that what you --2 Yes, that's right. Q 3 A I suppose that is true, you know, of almost 4 Actually, until you do something, it's hard to say 5 how you would react. 6 7 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 1073, Sub section 2, your 8 Honor. BY THE COURT: 0 Is that your state of mind now, 10 that you don't know whether you can set aside these matters 71 that you may have heard, seen or read or any opinions you 12 might have formed? 13 Oh, I believe I can. But I'm trying to be very 14 honest, you know. If -- as he worded it, it's -- you know, 15 unless you're really right there, and have had that experience 16 it s hard to say. 17 But the way I see it. I could do it. I have an 18 open mind, and I believe in justice and fairness, and I think 19 I would weigh any evidence in any case and try and give it the 20 best that I could. 21 Because I think all people, you know, should have 22 an opportunity -- you know, to at least hear it and see it, 23you know. 24 What I'm asking you is whether you are capable, Q 25 mentally and emotionally capable of setting aside those 26 things, those reports that you have gleaned from the news 27 media; those opinions that you may have formed; those 28 conversations you may have had with other people, and rely

. 1		on the evidence in this case	
2		A Oh, I can do	
3		Q to determine guilt or innocence?	,
10c fls. 4	.	A I could do that.	
<b>5</b>			٠. أ
6.			
. 7			Ţ
8			-
9	,		
10		•	,
11			
12	-		ľ
13	-		
14			,
15			
• 16	ı		
. 17 3 18	-		
19			;
20			
21	ļ		,
· <u>2</u> 2·			. }
23			
24	j		
. 25			
. 26			,
. 27	ŀ		
28			
. •			

10c-1	1
	2
	3
*	.4
3	5
	6
	7
	8.
	, 9
•	10
	11
	12
	13
_	14
	15
•	16
,	17
ł	18
	19
	20·
	21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q And can you do that	-
-----------------------	---

- A I can do it.
- Q Can you do that on all issues that might be presented to you in the course of this case?

A Sure.

THE COURT: Well, it appears to the Court as though you are capable of doing it.

- Q And will you do it?
- A I will do it, sir.
- Q And then you will do it. And will you be fair and impartial?

A I will, sir.

THE COURT: The challenge is denied.

The Court does believe that she can set aside those matters which she may seen, heard or read, or any opinions that she may formed; and that she will set them aside and be fair and impartial.

We'll take a short recess. Ten minutes?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I do have a request of the Court.

Uh -- I wondered if --

THE COURT: Do you wish to make it now?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes. That's all right. Certainly.

I wondered if it would be possible to arrange --

THE COURT: Use the microphone, would you?

MR, KANAREK: Okay. I wonder if it would be possible to arrange a meeting this evening, your Honor?

THE COURT: What type of meeting?

10c-2

8. 

 MR. KANAREK: Well, a meeting -- well, maybe we should approach the bench on it, or after Miss -- Mrs. Jordan leaves the courtroom.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Jordan.

THE COURT: Mrs. Jordan, don't converse with your fellow jurors on any subject connected with this matter, will you?

And don't form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you, should you be chosen as a juror.

The Court now excuses you for ten minutes.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you very much.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, outside the presence and hearing of any prospective juror:)

THE COURT: All right. What is your request? What is your request?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. My request is that we have a meeting, arrange a meeting with Mr. Grogan and Mr. Davis and Mr. Manson, for 6:00 o'clock this evening; for -- between 6:00 and 9:00.

THE COURT: Well, the Court doesn't believe that a three-hour meeting would be necessary. But the Court would grant you some time.

MR. KANAREK: I believe Mr. -- I can't represent this -- but I believe Mr. Weedman --

DEFENDANT MANSON: Don't argue with him. Just ask him how much we can have.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10·

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19° 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

MR, KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: What?

MR. KANAREK: As much time as your Honor will allow.

THE COURT: Well, is 6:00 a convenient time to be in the meeting? When do they finish serving meals?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Tell him, if you ask for 6:00, we'll get it by 7:00.

MR. KANAREK: The thought is that, if we ask for an earlier time, we may get a later time, your Honor.

THE COURT: When's the service of meals completed?
DEFENDANT MANSON: About 4:00 or 5:00.

THE BAILIFF: They usually end between 4:30 and 5:00 o'clock, something like that.

THE COURT: All right. The Court permits a two-hour meeting, this evening, between 6:00 and 8:00 -- or, as close thereto as can be accomplished.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

THE COURT: With Mr. Davis, Mr. Grogan, Mr. Manson, and their respective attorneys.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. And I have another incident I would like to report to the Court. And I would like Mr. Manson to speak to -- he can state it succinctly, your Honor.

Go shead, would you, Mr. Manson?

THE COURT: Well, just a minute. Will you -- will you use that microphone? I'm having trouble as a result of this air conditioner hearing you.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Mr. Manson -- uh -- in

matter which we would like the Court's protection on, in connection with -- in connection with certain matters which have occurred.

And I would welcome him telling it to the Court.

THE COURT: Well --

MR, KANAREK: Either under oath, or otherwise.

THE COURT: Has he told it to you?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, you tell it to me. You are his attorney, you know.

MR. KANAREK: Somebody keeps on unlocking the box -DEFENDANT MANSON: The man with the key is trying to
put a guy in the cell with a knife.

THE COURT: A person with a knife?

DEFENDANT MANSON: Tell him a man with a key, first.

MR. KANAREK: A man with a key is arranging it so that someone with a knife gets into Mr. Manson's cell.

DEFENDANT MANSON: And tell him that they're both going to get hurt, if it happens again.

MR. KANAREK: And there's liable to be someone hurt, if it happens again, your Honor. Now, this is -THE COURT: I'm not sure I understand.

What you have heard from Mr. Manson is that somebody with a key is going to --

DEFENDANT MANSON: He has already.

THE COURT: -- is going to use that key to unlock

Mr. Manson's cell, so that somebody with a knife can get in
to harm Mr. Manson?

MR. KANAREK: Right.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Yeah. It's already happened. You tell him that.

MR. KANAREK: And it --

THE COURT: Well, let me say this.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: If you have any such information, Mr. Manson, that seems to be in any way valid, what you should do is report that to the captain.

And the Court will order you to report that to the

captain of the jail tonight, before you leave the building. Would you do that?

MR. KANAREK: I certainly will.

DEFENDANT MANSON: Tell him we've already done it.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Manson says he has already done it.

DEFENDANT MANSON: See, I am worried about their safety, more than I am worried about mine.

THE COURT: All right. The Court requests that you do that, too, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. And relate to him any facts that you have to substantiate the claim on the part of Mr. Manson.

Of course, I don't see that this is properly a subject of a motion before the Court. I don't have the man with the key or the knife before me.

DEFENDANT MANSON: You have the man with the key.

MR. KANAREK: Well, the point --

DEFENDANT MANSON: And the man with the knife and fork.

MR. KANAREK: See, I believe that the Court does have power -- and in fact, the duty -- to protect someone in custody.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. KANAREK: To make sure that their rights are protected.

DEFENDANT MANSON: You have the man with the key and the knife in front of you.

THE COURT: Mr. Manson, be quiet.

The Court will apprise the jail of this motion.

But I'll leave it to you to relate to the captain of the jail any basis in fact for the motion.

All right. We are in recess.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Recess.)

ll fls.

-- T+0.

3,

11- 1

THE COURT: The record will show that the prospective jurors are beyond the rail and in the box.

The defendant is present with Mr. Kansrek.

You may examine generally, gentlemen. Ask any questions you wish of Mrs. Jordan generally, if there are any.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, may I interrogate?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, Mrs. Jordan, I'm now referring to matters that will come up here in court.

I'm not now talking about publicity.

And are we of a mind that everybody, everybody is entitled to a fair trial?

A Sure.

Q And by that, are we of a mind that it should not be just a ritual; that is, where we just go through the -- go through the -- sort of the procedure and really don't put our heart into it?

It reminds me of -- there's -- they tell a story about a certain judge who heard about due process of law, and this particular judge said, -- well, told the jury to go out and debate for -- square and fair -- for four hours before they bring in a guilty verdict.

That is, give them their due process.
You get what I am driving at?

1

 A I understand.

Now, in this case, and in all cases, since we're agreed that someone -- due process and fairness isn't limited to just going through the motions, but actually put your heart and soul into it.

If it should turn out that from -- from witnesses in this courtroom, you hear matters involving racial overtones, matters involving black people and white people, and differences of opinion, and all of that; is your state of mind such that you could, that you could take this evidence in deciding this case and take this evidence without emotion and without any kind of an approach except that of being analytical?

A I could.

Q And there's no reason, even though you are of the black or Negro race that you couldn't take this information and use it the same way?

Let's say someone testifies for one reason or another that there were two dozen oranges delivered in Phoenix, Arizona, or something like that.

You get what I am driving at? Some type of evidence that's got nothing to do with emotion?

A I see no reason, whatsoever.

Q You could -- why you couldn't take this type of information and use it and analytically --

A I could.

Q Now, would you say that in your lifetime because of the fact that you were born black, that you have, in your

lla fis.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: What I am asking, if during the course of discussion, and it comes up that other people that are in the jury room with you do bring up facts and situations, and for instances, and analogies, wherein they have been hurt, mistreated by people of the white or Caucasian race, what would you do in a situation like that, in a jury room where you're trying to analyze this evidence analytically?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, again, the question is too broad, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Would you allow any prejudice of a racial nature in any way to enter into your judgment in this case?

JUROR NO. 3: No. I would not.

THE COURT: All right.

protect -- when you protect the civil rights of any defendant in a court of law, whether that person be white, black, whatever, whatever the race, Latin, Chinese, Japanese, that we are protecting the rights of all of us when we protect the rights of one?

A Right.

Q Then, I gather that there is no reason that you can see why you couldn't discuss these types of things, so to speak? I don't want to get more definite at this point, because we don't have any evidence in yet.

There's no reason why you couldn't discuss these matters in the jury room with your fellow jurors?

A I see no reason, whatsoever.

Q And acquit Mr. Manson? No reason in the world, is that right?

THE COURT: Well, you needn't answer that. You may rephrase your question. It is asking her to prejudge the evidence, Mr. Kanarek, and you must know that.

MR. KANAREK: Well ---

THE COURT: Rephrase your question.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

BY MR. KANAREK: Is there any reason that you feel that you couldn't acquit Mr. Manson if, after listening to everything, and the arguments of the lawyers, and listening to the judge's charge when he gives us the law, is there any reason that you couldn't acquit Mr. Manson if you felt that was the proper thing to do?

A If the evidence indicated an acquittal, of course I could.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

THE COURT: If the People have not established their case beyone a reasonable doubt, you would certainly vote for an acquittal, would you not?

JUROR NO. 3: I certainly would.

#### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

# BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Mrs. Jordan, do you know anyone involved in the defense of criminal cases, such as a lawyer, investigator or anything of that nature?

A Well, yes, sir.

28

. 27

25

26 .

	Z Is it someone involved in is it a lawyer or	
2	investigator?	
3	And in what capacity?	
4	A Attorneys and private investigators.	
\$	Q All right.	
· 6.	Do you discuss their work with them? In other	
7	words, the defense of criminal cases with them?	
. ,	A No.	
9	Q Is there anything you've gotten from the relation-	
. 10	ship with these people that would prevent you from being, oh,	
n	fair and impartial to either side in this case?	
12	A No.	
13	Q Is there any special knowledge that you feel that	
14	you have that you would use in this case because of that	
15	relationship?	
• 16	A No, I don't think so.	
17	Q You understand that jurors are not to be experts	
18	themselves in any particular phases of a case or particular	
19	kinds of evidence?	
20	A I understand.	
21	Q All right. Do you know anyone that has ever been	
22	accused of a crime?	
23	A I have.	
24	Q Did you become personally involved in the case?	
12, £1s. 25	A No. I did not.	
26		
¥ . 27		
	1	

12-1

2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

1.7

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

. 25

26

27 28 Q Is there anything in that -- in other words, the way that case was handled -- which made you feel some bias or prejudice against law enforcement, or against the -- you know, the prosecution, the District Attorney's office, anything like that?

- A No.
- Q What was the charge in that case?
- A Uh -- which one? I've known quite a few people that were accused of crimes.
  - Q Okay. What kind of crimes?
- A One particular crime was murder -- or manslaughter, I think it was, or something like that.
  - Q Was it vehicle manslaughter or otherwise?
  - A No, it was -- I believe it was -- it was a shooting.
- Q All right. Now, you've already said you didn't become personally involved.

A No, I wasn't there. I didn't even go -- I knew the defendant. I had known her for a number of years.

But at the time I heard this, I didn't go to the courtroom, and I don't even know what happened, really.

- Q All right. I take it, then, you didn't take sides, in the sense that you became involved --
  - À No.
  - Q -- in the case emotionally or otherwise?
  - A No, I did not.
- Q And there's nothing there that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial to the prosecution in this case, --

No. 12-2 A -- is that right? Okay. 2 Q You've heard the questions I've asked of the other 3 prospective jurors while you have been in the courtroom? Yes. I have. 5 All right. While you were listening to them, б 7 you were also listening to the answers given by the other jurors? 8 I was. 9 A Was there anything in my questions or the answers 10 11 that were given by the other jurors which brought to mind 12 something, in your feelings or in your view, that would be -that you would want to bring to my attention now? 13. 14 In other words, any bias or prejudice that you 15 felt that you had, that was brought out by any of the questions that I asked? 16 17 No. 18 So your answers, then, would have been substantially 19 the same as the majority of the other jurors ? 20 That's right. MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you. 21 The People pass for cause, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Both sides pass for cause? 24 Both sides pass for cause, Mr. Kanarek? 25 MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, your Honor. 26 THE COURT: Very well. Ladies and gentlemen, the 27 Court wishes to ask you generally about something here, 28 and would you just reply by a show of hands, without making

12-3

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

,

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

\$ 27

12a f1s.28

any verbal response?

Is there anyone of you who, in the last 24 hours, has heard, seen or read anything of what has happened in this courtroom? Was there a -- a newspaper article, a television program or television news report, or a radio news report that you may have heard, seen or read?

I see three hands. Just -- hold on just a minute. Three hands. There's Mrs. Stokes, Mrs. Love, Mr. Eidelman, and Mrs. Jordan.

I'm afraid I'll have to --

MR. MANZELLA: And Mr. Rico.

THE COURT: And Mr. Rico. Thank you.

I'm afraid I'm going to have to question you ladies and gentlemen, and I suppose it's going to have to be separately and apart.

But at least, it gives you others some exercise.

Let's see. Mr. Rico, Mrs. Love, Mrs. Stokes, Mrs. Jordan -- somebody else -- oh, yes. Mr. Eidelman. One, two, three, four, five. Show your hands again in the box. One, two, three, four -- now, I see five. All right. Let's ask you in the box, first -- I'll ask the rest of you to leave, and we'll start with Mr. Eidelman.

And will you, Miss Jordan, remain outside the door! And Mrs. Stokes, Mrs. Love, Mr. Rico, just remain outside of the door here.

JUROR NO. 7: Your Honor, did you miss me?

THE COURT: Oh, did I miss you? All right, Miss Jenkins.
Just remain outside. I'll be calling you in in a minute.

26.

27

28

Q

A

Q

That's right.

morning?

(Whereupon, the members of the prospective 1 jury panel exited the courtroom, and the following proceedings 2 were had.) 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 5 6. EDWARD B. EIDELMAN 7 BY THE COURT: Have you got that microphone there, Mr. Eidelman? Yes, sir. 10 Mr. Eidelman, what is it that you heard, saw or 11 read, and how is it that you happened to do it in view of the 12 fact that I told you not to? It was -- I started reading something this morning; 13 14 and all of a sudden, I caught myself, that I wasn't supposed 15 So I stopped. I stopped reading it. 16 I don't even remember what it had to do with the 17 I knew it had to do -- I knew it was something with the 18 case, but I don't even remember. 19 Well, did you read a headline? Q 20 A It was a headline. And then I read --21 Q' A newspaper, was it? 22 A Newspaper. 23 Q Which paper? 24 The Herald-Examiner. A

**CieloDrive.com** ARCHIVES

I see. And you read it this morning, just this

You didn't read the body of the article? You just

read the headline? No. I read -- I read part of the story, until I A 2 caught myself, and remembered that we weren't supposed to read anything. At the moment I remembered that, I refrained from reading the balance of the article. Do you remember anything now of what you read? I don't -- no, sir. A You don't recall -- you put this matter out of your Q mind? 10 That's right. That's right. I don't remember 11 A 12 anything. Well, if it should come back to your mind about 13 14 what you read this morning, or --15 Yeah. -- would, you set it aside, with all the other 16 17 things that you may --18 Yes, sir. A 19 -- remember about Mr. Manson? Q 20 Yes. 21 Or about what you've read? Q 22 That's right. Ă 23 Will you do that? Q 24 Α Yee. 25 And you believe that you can do that? Q 26 Sure. Yes, sir. Ά And can you be fair and impartial in spite of what 27 28 you have read and what you may remember?

Yes, sir. 1 THE COURT: Any questions? MR. KANAREK: Yes, if I may. .3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Eidelman, was it the Herald-Examiner that you Q read? A That's right. And what words -- did you look at the front page of 10 Q 11 the Herald-Examiner this morning? 12 That's right. It was a streamer, across the page. 13 I don't recall what it said. 14 You don't remember what it said? 15 That's right. I don't even remember the article, 16 what it said in the articles! Well, I don't know if --17 May we approach the bench, your Honor? I have the 18 19 matter of proof, because I -- and I would like to, if I may, 20 make the point to the Court, if I may, outside the presence of 21 the juror. 22 THE COURT: The Court doesn't think it's necessary at this 23 time. 24 MR. KANAREK: All right. Very well. Very well, then, 25 your Honor. 26 Now, is it true that what you saw was a -- across 27 in big headlines (indicating)? 28 That's right, yes, sir.

l	Q And did you buy this paper?
2	A Yes, sir.
<b>3</b> ,	Q And you did you and you read it or intended
4	to read it on the way to court?
5	A That's right.
6	Q May I ask, Mr. Eidelman, how do you come to court?
7	Do you walk or do you take the bus
8	A No, I take the bus.
9	Q I see. And so may I ask, was this like at
10	5th and Hill or 6th and Hill, something like that?
11	A Do you mean where I caught the bus?
12	Q Where you bought the paper.
13	A . Just outside of the court, right on the corner.
14	Q Oh, right on the corner here?
15	A That's right.
16	Q Temple and Broadway?
17 18	A That's right.
19	Q I see. And you opened the paper, and isn't
20	there may I ask you, what is there about that those
21	words, that made you associate this with this trial?
22	A That, I don't remember. I don't remember a thing
23.	about the article, sir. I am honest about that.
24	Q Oh, sir, No. I'm only asking about the headline.
25	A Well, I don't
26	Q It was a headline?
27	A It was a headline. A streamer. A streamer
28	(indicating).
ŀ	

12b fls.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20<sup>.</sup> 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

morning, Mr. Eidelman?

A Well, what time are we supposed to b

A Well, what time are we supposed to be here? 9:30?

Q Yes.

A Then it must have been approximately 9:00 o'clock.

I see. And that was at about what time this

MR. KANAREK: I see. Thank you, Mr. Eidelman.

I would like to approach the bench.

THE COURT: All right. You may.

MR. KANAREK: May I just ask one more question?

Q Have you spoken to any other jurors or anyone else about this?

A No. sir.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Eidelman.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, not within the hearing of any prospective juror;)

MR, KANAREK: Your Honor, I don't want to take the evidence out, but I believe I can represent to the Court that, at that time in the morning, the latest news edition, quote Latest News, of the Herald-Examiner is on sale at the Temple and Broadway newsstand.

And I have a copy in my briefcase of this article.

THE COURT: All right. You can make it part of the record.

MR. KANAREK: And I would like the Court to read it, because I think this gentlemen is notbeing quite candid with us, because it's impossible that -- that headline is so big

23.

that, obviously, he read the words. And the words say something about --

THE COURT: Well, he was so shocked, apparently, by what he did, and when he realized what he was doing, that he's chosen to forget it -- either consciously or subconsciously.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor can take that yiew. But in view of the case law --

THE COURT: Well, there's also another view: That Mr. Manson has been in court for many, many months. He knows that whatever he does, particularly in the presence of the press -- and he knows the members of the press who have covered that trial in Department 104 -- is going to cause headlines.

And he took it upon himself to make that statement, nevertheless, the statement to the effect that he wanted to enter a plea of guilty, and he wanted to -- to change attorneys

Nevertheless, the Court's interested in seeing that he has a fair trial. And if I feel that anyone's affected by what Mr. Manson has done, I would be inclined to excuse him or her.

MR. KANAREK: May I point this out to the Court? And I am sure the Court would agree with me. Case law indicates

THE COURT: And it may be, too, Mr. Kenarek, that you were at fault, in great part, because you were exerting no control over your client whatever. And you didn't seem to be attempting to.

You sat apart from him, didn't make anyeffort to restrain him, didn't talk to him whatever during the time that he was uttering these statements.

**ļ**5

19·

23.

/

You didn't approach him or attempt to approach him or quiet him, whatever.

Now, that -- that lack of client control on your part, in view of the fact that he has voluntarily chosen you, and -- and he remains as your client, even though he has from time to time raised objections to your conduct, seems to me to be in part your problem.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, as I say, I would welcome a hearing on that, if your Honor wishes, because --

THE COURT: A hearing on whether or not you have any client control?

MR. KANAREK: Well, it -- well, certainly. The point of the matter is, though, when you -- what your Honor is -- is speaking to --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this.

MR. KANAREK: -- is not -- is simply not factual, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you mean what I observed from the bench while Mr. Manson was talking --

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, if Imay --

THE COURT: I observed from the bench that you made no contact with him whatsoever, or made no attempt to contact him. You -- although you sat four feet -- three or four feet away from him. You let him continue to talk, without interrupting, without in any way approaching him and asking him to sit down or be quiet.

I don't know what efforts you might have made previously.

But certainly, I think that an attorney has an obligation, in some respects, to warn a client, to counsel a client that statements of this nature could be damaging.

12c-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15. 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Now, if, every time he makes such statements in the presence of the prospective jurors, the Court must excuse a group of those who heard it, or those who later on saw something in the press about it, then that gives the defendant the tool to use to delay the trial indefinitely.

MR. KANAREK: Well, if I may speak, your Honor --THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KANAREK: First of all, at this point, this posture of the proceedings, the jury -- the jurors have not been sworn. There's no -- in the sense that the jury hasn't been chosen.

THE COURT: Yes

MR. KANAREK: All right. Now, if I may, I would ask the Court to consider the case law, which points out that a plea -- that a confession is the most damaging kind of evidence. As a matter of fact, there are cases that indicate-

THE COURT: The Court realizes that.

MR. KANAREK: Including Rideau vs. Louisiana.

THE COURT: The Court realizes that, and realizes that an admission of guilt, in the presence of jurors, is an extremely serious thing.

MR. KANAREK: But -- wait a minute. But whatwe are talking now is not in the presence of the Jurors. These jurors yesterday indicated to the Court that they did not observe the proceedings.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: The result.

THE COURT: And they since have seen a headline. In this man's case, he has seen a headline, and apparently read a

few words of a news article. 1 MR. KANAREK: No. But the headline --2 THE COURT: Now, what are you asking at this time? 3 Are you challenging this juror? MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. And I would like to 5 have the Court look at --THE COURT: For cause? 7 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. And I would like to 8 show the Court the headline that I believe is an exact 9 facsimile, because --10 THE COURT: The Court has seen it. 11 12 MR. KANAREK: The Latest News Edition? 13 THE COURT: Well, I don't --14 MR, KANAREK: See, there are two --15 THE COURT: I can't say. 16 MR. KANAREK: I have it in my briefcase. 17 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. Get it. 18 MR, KANAREK: May I? Thank you. 19 (Whereupon Mr. Kanarek left the bench, proceeded 20 to the counsel table, returning shortly, whereupon 13 fls. the following proceedings were had:) 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

**±**1

.2

9:

MR. KANAREK: Here.

May I offer this newspaper into evidence as —

THE COURT: Yes, the Court will receive a newspaper which
is labeled "Thursday Latest News Sports, Los Angeles Evening
and Sunday Herald-Examiner," dated July 15, 1971. I will
receive that as next in order.

MR. KANAREK: Across the top it says "MANSON:" -- Single parenthetical 'I enter plea of guilty' -- end of single parenthetical.

MR. MANZELLA: It is a quotation mark.

MR. KANAREK: Well, single quote.

And it is -- the gentleman says he hasn't seen that? I don't know how he could not. But it is the headline we're talking about.

THE COURT: He says that he will set it aside if he remembers it, and I believe that he will. And that he will decide the case solely on the evidence.

MR. KANAREK: That's why I would like for the Court to defer ruling because the cases may get manifest that a plea or a confession is that kind of evidence that -- or, for instance, that kind of publicity that is absolutely the most damaging. For instance, in the Rideau case, Rideau versus Louisiana --

THE COURT: How often need the Court do that, if Mr. Manson does that again?

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor --

THE COURT: Indefinitely.

MR. KANAREK: It hasn't happened indefinitely.

THE COURT: All right, it has happened once.

2`

MR. MANZELLA: Those cases cited by Mr. Kanarek are factually distinguishable from the situation, your Honor.

- 18 6 9 9 9 9 9

THE COURT: And it has happened to a man whom I believe acted as he stated he had acted, out of -- out of -- I can't say boredom. I can't recall what he did say. Of impatience with the case, and with his state of being incarcerated, and yet to a man who knew that the press was present. He must have known these people who were present at this time, also knows the press people in Department 104 in which Mr. Manson sat for months. Mr. Manson must have known his statements would be picked up and reported in the press.

MR. KANAREK: But I'm sure the Court doesn't want to inject error, and the fact is assuming, arguendo, that your Honor is correct, your Honor has the power to correct the error because we have many jurors.

THE COURT: By discharging all these jurors?

MR. KANAREK: No, all of them didn't raise their hand.

But when we have one --

THE COURT: By discharging all the jurors that raised their hands, the Court saw there were approximately ten beyond the rail.

MR. KANAREK: But what I am saying --

THE COURT: And approximately five in the box, after we've gone for these many weeks.

MR. KANAREK: But what I am pointing -- suggesting to the Court, you see, in balancing the equities quote, unquote, is maybe for an appellate court, because the appellate court at that level has an established fact before it. Your Honor

3.

.26

13a fls. has the power to eliminate this error, albeit assuming arguendo Mr. Manson did what your Honor said. Having that power, it would be an abuse of descretion for your Honor not to exercise the power and eliminate the error regardless of the delay.

THE COURT: The Court will make a judgment as to each one of these jurors who has seen this headline or heard any reference to Mr. Manson's statement in court, and will consider each juror's case one by one. I do not feel that this gentleman would in any way be affected by what he may have read in the newspaper.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the reason I emphasize, and ask the Court to consider this, is because, as I say, Bruton and various other cases make out how important confessions --

THE COURT: I think you made your point.

MR. KANAREK: This is beyond a confession. This is a purported plea of guilty. This is one step beyond a confession. This --

THE COURT: I think you made your point in respect to it.

Now, let's go --

MR. KANAREK: Now, your Honor, I also have "buried" in the bailiff's desk --

THE COURT: Pardon?

MR. KANAREK: I have buried, "buried," in the bailiff's desk a later edition, in the bailiff's desk, a night's -- final edition.

THE COURT: You may --

MR. KANAREK: Can I bring that to the Court to save time?

THE COURT: Give it to the Clerk.

MR. KANAREK: I would like your Honor to have it. It may save some time.

THE COURT: All right, give it to the Clerk, and you may mark it next in order.

MR. KANAREK: It is after this edition.

THE COURT: After this latest edition that we referred to.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the prospective jurgr:)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Eidelman, the Court finds that you can set aside what you may have read in the press and that you will set it aside for the purpose of making a judgment independently of such matters.

Does that express your state of mind?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you can be fair and impartial; does that state your state of mind?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. You may retire to the hallway, then, and would you send in Mrs. Jenkins.

Mark that next in order, Mr. Dooley. You may have there a list of Defendant's exhibits.

Just be seated there, wherever you can find a seat,

28

13a-2Mrs. Jenkins. BY THE COURT: 2 Q. Mrs. Jenkins, you raised your hand as having read, 3 heard or seen something in connection with Mr. Manson in the last 24 hours. .5 What was that? 6 Uh, I didn't know whether I should have said 7 anything or not. 8 Uh, several of my friends called me last night 9 and told me that they saw me walking down the corridor of 10 the Manson trial when I was leaving. This is what I was 11 referring to. 13 Oh, I see. In other words, they just said that 14 you were -- they had seen you as being part of the group of 15 prospective jurors? 16 Yeah, uh-huh. 17 Who were selected for -- to come to Department 18 106? 19 Yes. It was on, they say, TV. Pardon? 20 Ò 21 A They said they saw it on TV yesterday. 22 Oh, they saw your picture on TV? Q A Yeah. 24 Did they say how you looked? Q 25 They said it was all right. A 26 Q That's all you know about it? 27 That's all. A 28 You hadn't heard, seen or read anything else Q

13a-3 about it? 2 A No, that's all. Q All right. All right, thank you very much. You are welcome. 5 THE COURT: Would you -- don't discuss this matter with 6 your fellow jurors or with anyone. 7 JUROR NO. 7: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Would you just go out and would 9 you tell Mrs. Stokes to come in, 10 Just be seated anywhere there, Mrs. Stokes. 11 BY THE COURT: 12 Mrs. Stokes, you held up your hand when the Court 13. asked you whether or not you had heard, seen or read anything 14 in the last 24 hours concerning Mr. Manson or this case. 15 What did you have reference to? 16 An article in the Times, this morning's Times. A 17 Do you remember that I asked you not to read, 18 hear or see anything? 19 I didn't read it. I was glancing through the 20 paper and I saw the name "Manson." 21 Q. Well, what did you see in the Times that you can 22 recall in that headline? 23 Α Uh, Manson tries to plead guilty. 24 Q All right. Did you read the body of the article 25 at all? 26 A No. 27 Did anyone talk to you about it or did you talk 28 to anyone about it?

a+4 A No. Q Now, do you think that -- strike that. What 2 meaning did you take from that headline from what you have 3 told us that you saw? I just -- I wondered what happened after we left 5 the courtroom. That was logical. Q Do you think you could ignore that headline, along with all the other material, the news articles and the 9 other material you told me you would ignore, set aside? 10 11 A Yes, sir. 12 And still decide this case only on the evidence 13 objectively and independently from anything you may have 14 heard, seen or read or talked about? 15 Yes, sir. 16 Would that enter into your judgment whatever; Ġ Q 17 would you allow it to enter into your judgment whatever, 18 that headline? 如约16 美国的主意· 13b fls. No. 20 **2**Ì 22 23 24. 25 26 27 28

1
•

Ÿ,

.5

6

7

8

ġ

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

·20 21

22·

23

24

25

26

<u>2</u>7

28

Q Can you still be fair and impartial in spite of having read that?

A Yes, your Honor.

Q You understand that if you were to take that article in any way against Mr. Manson that it would be totally unfair to him?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you don't wish to be unfair at all to anyone in this trial, do you?

A No, I don't.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KANAREK: I have no questions, but I would like to approach the bench, if I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, does it add anything to what we have done at the bench in the last 20 minutes?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, could we excuse Mrs. Stokes so we could argue from the counsel table; would that be --

THE COURT: Yes, Mrs. Stokes, thank you very much.

Would you just leave the courtroon now and go outside of the door. Ask Mrs. Love to come in when -- well, I'll have the bailiff call Mrs. Love, and ask Mrs. Love to hold it up, then, until we summon her.

JUROR NO. 8: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Stokes.

All right, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Well, in the interest --

THE COURT: Use the microphone.

Í

Ż

3

5

6

7

.8,

9

10

11:

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

In the interest of expedition, your Honor, I can make the point after all of the examinations.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: As long as there is a continuing challenge.

THE COURT: Well, I understand that you are challenging -- you have challenged Mr. Eidelman, you challenged Mrs. Stokes now for cause as a result of their having read those headlines.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, based upon what we spoke of previously at the bench.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR, KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court finds in respect to Mrs. Stokes that the challenge should be denied. The Court believes that Mrs. Stokes is capable of setting aside whatever she may have heard, seen or read including that headline, and whatever opinion she may have formed and that she can independently decide this case on the evidence and the law as I shall state it to you -- to her, and that she will be fair and impartial.

Mrs. Love.

MR. KANAREK: While she's being called, your Honor, I would like to announce to the Court that I have been informed that this meeting because of -- cannot be mechanically accomplished tonight. The Sheriff has just told me this.

May it be tomorrow night instead?

THE COURT: Well, what's the problem? Why can't they

meet tonight? 1 MR. KANAREK: I was just told this by Mr. Kuczera. 2 THE COURT: You mean Mr. Kuczera? Mr. Kuczera meet 3 After all these weeks of trial, he's still got Mr. Kanarek. your name wrong. 5 6 MR. KANAREK: My pronounciation of his name is -- I 7 apologize to the bailiff. I've always gotten it wrong. Ŕ. THE GOURT: That's all right, he calls you Kanerick. 9 What is the problem with this meeting? 10 MR. KANAREK: Mr. Grogan's case -- he is in trial in court and he does not return until 6:30 or 7:00 o'clock, 11 12 somewhere in that area. 13 THE COURT: Can it be set up conveniently tomorrow 14 night? 15 THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: All right, the Court so orders. 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Q. Mrs. Love, you raised your hand when I asked you 19 whether or not you had heard, seen or read anything about this 20 case and Mr. Manson in the last 24 hours. .21 Would you tell me what you had reference to? 22 Mr. Manzella, would you hand that microphone 23 to her, please? 24 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, I'11 get it. THE COURT: Or Mr. Dooley. 26 Uh, I just -- I heard something on the television. 27 I heard the first of it. 28 Q. BY THE COURT: What did you hear, to the best of

	f when w
1	your memory? And when did you hear it?
2	A Yesterday evening. I heard something about
3	outbursts.
4	Q An outburst?
5	A Yes.
6	Q By Mr. Manson?
7	A Yes. And I didn't listen to that. And this
8	morning when I came in
9	Q What did you do, turn it out when you
10	A No, I was also going somewhere else when I heard
11	it.
12	Q And you just kept going?
13	A Yes, this morning.
14	Q Did you hear what the outburst may have been as
15	it was reported?
16	A No, I didn't hear what the outburst was. They
17	said something about he, Mr. Manson, had an outburst.
18	Q I see. That's all you know?
19	A Yes.
20	Well, I saw his name in the paper, but I was
21,	looking for the puzzle and I covered it up because it was
22	out there on the bench.
23	Q Very well.
24	So you don't know what the headlines said that
25	you saw in the paper either?
2	A No. I didn't see the headline. This was on
2	I don't know whether this was on the front page or what, but
2	I just saw something about Mr. Manson.

**7**...

when you leave the courtroom immediately to the left  A No, I'm talking bout around there where Q Oh, you mean on the right, when you go right, outside of the courtroom, and you go down to Department 105 and Department 104?  A No, when we go around that way, where we there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you known newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examina or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q i see. And that's where you wait when A Yes. Q leave the courtroom, and the Court at the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that.  The court is broadway to been waiting, in the	of the bench
Q Oh, you mean on the right, when you go right, outside of the courtroom, and you go down to Department 105 and Department 104?  A No, when we go around that way, where w there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you know newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examin or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there th or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came i Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court s the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that.  THE COURT: The jurors have been waiting, in the	left
right, outside of the courtroom, and you go down to Department 105 and Department 104?  A No, when we go around that way, where we there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you known newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examina or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came in Q I see. And that's where you wait when Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that.  The court is simply an area in the hallway that.  The court is simply an area in the hallway that.  The courting to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	ere we sit.
Department 105 and Department 104?  A No, when we go around that way, where we there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you know newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examinal or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came in Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corrections that is simply an area in the hallway that. The court is simply an area in the hallway that.	go on the
A No, when we go around that way, where we there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you know newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examin or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I-leave the courtroom, and the Court at the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that. A referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	toward
there are a lot of chairs and we sit.  Q I see. And this newspaper, do you know newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examin or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court seems the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that. See referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
Q I see. And this newspaper, do you know newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examin or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corrections that is simply an area in the hallway that. See referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	e we have
newspaper it was?  A No, I don't.  Q Do you know if it was the Herald-Examin or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came in Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corrections think that is simply an area in the hallway that a referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
Do you know if it was the Herald-Examinal or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came in Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q I see and that I see and I have been waiting, in the referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	mow what
O Do you know if it was the Herald-Examinator or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  It was the this morning when I came it or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it or the see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  O leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that it is referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
or some other newspaper?  A No, I didn't notice.  Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came i Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes. Q leave the courtroom, and the Court seed the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that is referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  It was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  It was there this morning when I came it cor this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court seed the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that is referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	miner or Times
Oh, it was the Times because I was look the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came i Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes. Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately? A Uh-huh. THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that see referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
the puzzle.  Q I see. And that newspaper was there the or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came it Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that a referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came is Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that: see referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	coking for
or this afternoon?  A It was there this morning when I came is Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that see referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
19 A It was there this morning when I came it 20 Q I see. And that's where you wait when 21 A Yes. 22 — leave the courtroom, and the Court see the jurors separately? 23 A Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that see referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	this morning
Q I see. And that's where you wait when A Yes.  Q leave the courtroom, and the Court s the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that. s referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
A Yes.  2	ae iņ.
22	ien you
the jurors separately?  A Uh-huh.  THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr think that is simply an area in the hallway that a referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
24 A Uh-huh. 25 THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's corr 26 think that is simply an area in the hallway that. 27 referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	rt speaks to
THE COURT: Well, I don't believe that's correct think that is simply an area in the hallway that a referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
think that is simply an area in the hallway that a referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
27 referring to. The jurors have been waiting, in the	
28 true days in Desaytment 107 eften south startes	the past
two days, in Department 107, after court starts;	rts; is that

	1	right, Mrs. Love?
	2	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, but this is where we wait when we
	3	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Before 9:00 o'clock?
4	4	A Before we come in here.
	5	Q And also after lunch before you come into the
**	6	courtroom, is that right?
,	7	A Well, we went to 107.
14 f1s	8 .	MR. KANAREK: I see. I see. Thank you.
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
t.	15	
*	16	
<b>*.</b>	. 17	
v	18	
	19	
	20 21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25 .	
*	26	
ę.	27	
	28	

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Any questions, Mr. Manzella?

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Love.

Would you ask Mr. Rico to come in? And would you simply step outside for a minute?

Any seat there, Mr. Rico, please.

### VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF

#### EMILIO RICO

# BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Rico, you raised your hand in response to the Court's question. What did you have reference to?

A All I read was the headlines as I went by in the car; that's all.

Q I see. And what did you read? Do you remember?

A It said: "Charles Manson in a plea of guilty," or something like that.

Q "Charles Manson in a plea of guilty"?

A Something like that.

Q Is that the best --

A That's the closest I can get to it.

Q The best you can remember?

A Right.

Q . Did you read anything more?

A No.

Q Did you hear anything or see anything over television or radio?

A No.

+	
, Ω	Do you know what that had reference to?
A	No, I don't know what that had reference to.
Q	All right. Will you not
A	I've stopped taking the papers, so that I won't
be tempted	to read. And this was just when I was driving over
here this m	orning.
Ω	Well, the circulation department of the paper
may not lik	e that, but it's probably a good idea.
	The Court will ask you not in any way to
speculate a	is to what it might mean.
A	All right, sir.
Q	Have you arrived, however, at any opinion as to
what that m	might mean?
A	No.
. Q	None whatever?
A	None whatever.
Q.	Well, if later on you should arrive at any
opinion	or, if you should in any way consider that you
understand	that it might be extremely unfair to Mr. Manson?
A	Yes.
Q.	All right. So would you follow the Court's
instruction	and ignore that? Set it aside from your mind,
in making a	my decision in this case?
A	Right, sir.
Q	And do you still think, in spite of what you have
seen in tha	t headline, that you could be fair and impartial?
A	Sure, yes, sir.

THE COURT: Any questions?

14-3 1 MR. MANZELLA: None from the People, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Rico. 2 THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Rico. 3 Ask all the jurors to come back in. And I think I'll excuse them. 5 Well, let's see. 6 7 MR. MANZELLA: Did Mrs. Jordan raise her hand? 8 THE COURT: Mrs. Jordan did raise her hand, yes. 9 Thank you. Mr. Rico, would you ask Mrs. Jordan to come in? 10 11 Thank you. I'd forgotten her. 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF 14 RETHA D. JORDAN 15 BY THE COURT: 16 Mrs. Jordan? 17 Ά Yes, sir. 18 Get over there where you can take that micro-19 phone in hand, if you would, please. 20 And tell us what you had reference to when you .21 raised your hand in response to the Court's question. 22 Uh -- I heard a news broadcast this morning. Α 23 Q Over radio? Radio. As I was lying in bed. I always turn it A 25 on, so I can -- you know, get the time. That's how I get up. 26 That's my clock. I don't have one in my bedroom. And I 27 heard it.

What did you hear?

28

Ø

27

28

Uh -- I believe this -- it was so quickly that --A he said something about Mr. Manson having pleaded guilty, or wanted to plead guilty, or something to one of the charges.

Now, do you remember any more details of the broadcast?

Uh -- let me see. I -- I -- I think, if I'm not mistaken, that he said he admitted to some murder. he didn't call the name, and he didn't -- You know how the news comes on, brief. And they -- it's music, really, and then the news will break in, and they give the time and this type of thing.

So it was very brief. But that was in essence what it was. .

14a-

1 2

3

4

5.

Ģ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17.

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Now, you think it's possible for you to set that aside, and make any -- any independent judgment concerning this case, in view of what you've heard this morning?

A Oh, I could.

Do you think you could ignore that, along with all the other things you say you would ignore, and -- or set aside, for the purpose of making an independent judgment on the case?

A I could, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

# VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION ...

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Just -- what time was it, Mrs. Jordan?

A Uh ---

THE COURT: Use the microphone, would you, please?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes. I'm sorry, your Honor.

Q What time was it, Mrs. Jordan?

A I'm really not sure, but it must have been between 6:30 and 7:00 o'clock this morning.

Q You won't get mad if I don't ask you any more questions, will you?

A No.

MR. KANAREK: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you think you can still be impartial, fair and impartial, in making any decision you are called upon to make in this case?

JUROR NO. 3: Sure, I could.

ļ

Ŝ.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

I think that concludes the examination of those jurors who raised their hands, as having heard, seen or read anything in the last 24 hours in connection with this case.

MR. KANAREK: Do you mean inside the box?
THE COURT: Who are presently in the box, yes.

We'll have to ask each one of the prospective jurous beyond the rail, if their names are drawn -- if there are any further challenges -- and also in the selection of alternates, about what they may have heard, seen or read.

Go ahead. You were going to make some statement for the record, after the conclusion of the Court's examination of the five jurous in the box?

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, just -- just by -- THE COURT: Use the microphone, please.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly. It's by way of -- by way of underlining and emphasizing, your Honor. And -- and because those -- it isn't the number of words involved, really. It's the impact of the -- of what the words suggest.

And the additional comment we ask the Court to consider --

THE COURT: Well, excusing these five jurgra merely --MR. KANAREK: And we would ask ---

THE COURT: -- or these four jurors, merely because they've heard or read something from the press, would set a pattern whereby a defendant, any defendant, could indefinitely delay his trial or the selection of a jury.

If I were to do this, as a result of Mr. Manson's

.

voluntary act, in front of people who were in the courtroom, including members of the press -- whom he should know, after having seen many of them in Department 104 -- then it could establish a precedent, whereby we might never conceivably get through the selection of the jury without something of this nature happening.

In addition to that, the Court believes that these jurors who have indicated to me that they will set aside what they may have heard or seen, I do believe that they are truthful, and that they can be objective, can be fair and impartial.

1Ġ

Do you have anything to add, anything further? Did we talk to Mrs. Jenkins?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, we did.

THE COURT: It was very short. She had not seen anything and she heard from some friends that she was on television.

Consequently, because I don't think that this outburst on the part of Mr. Manson will result in any prejudice to him by reason of the present states of minds of these various jurors. I deny the challenge for cause in each case.

All right, bring the jurors in, and the Court will excuse them for the evening.

Incidentally, the Court has spoken to the jail -I'll tell you about that in just a moment.

You may all stand beyond the rail there.

Would somebody open both of those doors so all the prospective jurors can get in quickly.

Is everybody in?

all right, I'm going to excuse you for the evening now. Return tomorrow morning at 9:30. Remember the admonition that you are not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it has finally been submitted to you, should you be selected as jurors.

15-2

16.

.23

Remember the admonition you are not to read anything concerning this case about Mr. Manson, you are not to hear anything in connection with it or see anything in connection with it.

You are under a solemn obligation to follow that order and that instruction, then. You could cause a great deal of loss of time. We've spent a great deal of time in selecting a jury in this case, and the Court doesn't want to have anything disrupt it. So make it your obligation, if you see any headline, to turn away from it, or if you are -- by any chance you should hear anything on the radio, make any point to ignore it, not to listen, and the same goes as to television, turn away from it and don't listen to it.

I'll see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. Good night.

(Whereupon, the prospective jurors were excused at 4:27 P. M.)

THE COURT: All right, the captain who is in charge of the jail has informed me that he will investigate any facts, any charges that Mr. Kanarek brings to his attention, and the Court has requested that he do so. The Court has word from the officer on duty in the cell block that he has no knowledge of any complaints or threats. He has no knowledge of any complaints by anyone of Mr. Manson — about Mr. Manson or threats made by or against Manson. He knows of no danger. He reports to the bailiff, Mr. Kuczera — reports to me through Mr. Kuczera — to Mr. Manson. And it is reported to me that Mr. Manson is in a special security area where all the cells are locked and

only one inmate is allowed out of his cell at any one time and no inmate is allowed in to another inmate's cell in that area at any time, nor will any be allowed in to any other inmate's cell.

This is from the officer on duty in the cell block where Mr. Manson is housed.

However, I would ask you to go up and talk to the captain, Mr. Kanarek, and convey to him any facts that you may have that you believe require his investigation.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, good night. 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, an adjournment was taken at 4:40 P. M. to reconvene at 9:30 A. M., Friday, July 16, 1971.)