SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON, RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Plaintiff. Ż No. A-267861 CHARLES MANSON. 9 Defendant, 10 11 12 REPORTERS DATLY TRANSCRIPT 13 14 Friday, September 10, 1971 15 VOLUME 41 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney ANTHONY MANZELLA. 20 Deputy District Attorney 21 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Egg. BAKER (DELMA) 22 FELDMAN (ALWEY) 23 SHEELYON 25 RAGICA (MOLAN)
RECOL (MOLOUSY) 26 27 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. 28 Official Court Reporters

INDEX

PEOPLE'S WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT RECROSS	
BAKER, Delma Eugene	6319	6337		
FELDMAN, Audrey	6337	635 8		
SHEEHAN, John Stephen	6365	6378		
BARBER, Richard David	6399	6411		
PEARL, Ruby	6429			

EXHIBITS

PEOPLE 'S: FOR ID	ENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
72 - Document	6321	
73 - Photograph of male person	6366	
74 - Booking and property record	6369	
75 - Photograph	6377	
77-A through 77-Z - Photographs	6438	
77-AA - Photograph	6438	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1971 10:17 A.M. 1 2 3 THE COURT: The case of People vs. Manson. The record will show the defendant to be present Š with his counsel, all the jurors to be present. 6 Thank you, Mrs. Jenkins, for calling when you were 7 I understand from the Clerk the situation and I under-8 stand it was unavoidable. 9 Let's proceed, gentlemen. 10 MR. MANZELLA: People call Mr. Delma Eugene Baker. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Baker. 12 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please. 13 14 DELMA EUGENE BAKER, 15 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 16 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 17 18 THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony 19 you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, 20 so help you God? 22 THE WITNESS: - I do. 23 THE CLERK: Take the stand and be seated. 24 Please state and spell your full name. 25 THE WITNESS: Delma Eugene Baker, D-e-1-m-a, E-u-g-e-n-e, 26 B-a-k-e-r. 27 28

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. MANZELLA:
2	Q Mr. Baker, what is your occupation?
3	A Uh, I work in the motion picture industry, and
4	I'm a welder.
5	Q And directing your attention to the year of
6	1969.
7	What was your occupation at that time?
.8	A I owned and operated a gunshop in Culver City.
9	Q What was the name of that gunshop?
10	A Baker's Gunshop.
n	And did you own that gunshop during the entire
12	year of 1969?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q What was your business?
15	A Buying and selling guns and gun repair.
16	Q Did that include the buying and selling of used
17	guns, second-hand guns?
18	A Yes, it did.
19	Q Now, while you were in that business, the
20	business of buying and selling guns, in particular, at your
21	own gunshop in 1969, were you required to complete and
22	maintain certain records with regard to the purchase and
.23	sale of used gins?
24.	A Yes, I was.
25	Q Would you describe for us the types of records
26	which you were required to complete and maintain with regard
27	to the purchase of - your purchase of used guns?
28	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, irrelevant and immaterial.

Ŷ

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mr. Baker -- strike that.

Your Honor, I have here a document approximately four inches by six inches containing certain writing and imprinting thereon, including the following, "Loan No... C-3070," and the signature "Richard A. Smith."

May I have that document marked People's 72 for identification?

THE COURT: So ordered.

.22

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: May I have an offer of proof? All I see is the name "Smith" here. I don't --

THE COURT: Well, you are holding -- Let's see.

MR. MANZELLA: People's 72.

THE COURT: -- a document with a loan number C3070. It seems to be a copy, with the name "Richard Allen Smith."

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, may I have an offer of proof as to how that --

THE COURT: It describes a piece of property,

Dakota 7-1/2 inch barrel, single action. Two guns, consecutive numbers 2421 and 2422.

MR, MANZELLA: Do you want an offer of proof? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: This witness' testimony will establish that the Dakota revolvers that have been testified to throughout the trial were sold to him by Richard Allen Smith on October 10th of 1969; that is, that the weapons, People's 53-A and 53-B were sold to him on October 10, 1969.

MR. KANAREK: Well, unless that's tied in to Mr. Manson, your Honor, it has only prejudicial value. It's irrelevant and immaterial. There's no foundation; no reason in law why that can be used.

They may have been in a million different places in

THE COURT: What's the purpose?

MR. MANZELLA: The purpose for it, your Honor, is that we are going to establish that Richard Allen Smith is Danny De Carlo; that he was seen -- that Danny De Carlo and the weapons were seen in the desert at Barker Ranch or Meyer Ranch in September of 1969 --

MR. KANAREK: Then I would object --

MR. MANZELLA: -- and that --

MR. KANAREK: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. MANZELLA: -- that prior to that, on September 2nd of 1969, that Danny De Carlo, using the name Richard Allen Smith, redeemed those guns at the last place that Mr. Shea had pawned them.

Then, he was seen in the desert thereafter with the guns; that Mr. Manson was also seen with the guns at that time; and that on October 19th of 1969, Mr. Danny De Carlo then sold the guns to this gentleman who is the witness on the stand.

THE COURT: And it's to show the -- that the guns which were prized possessions of this alleged decedent --

MR. MANZELLA: Were in the possession of --

THE COURT: -- were in the possession of other people.

MR. KANAREK: But not through this witness.

May I object, first, your Honor, on the grounds that there — of the right to effective counsel, under the Sixth Amendment, and the right to confront, which is also a constitutional right incorporated into due process under the Fourteenth Amendment?

In that I am informed and believe and represent to

24

25

26

27

28

the Court -- and I don't think the District Attorney can represent otherwise -- that Mr. De Carlo is out of the country. The last report is that he is in Canada.

And we can't effectively cross-examine in connection with this purported use of the guns, or whatever, by Mr.

De Carlo, and that deprives Mr. Manson of a very essential right, especially with the posture of these guns, and all the complications concerning Mr. De Carlo.

THE COURT: The objection will be overruled.

MR. KANAREK: It's irrelevant.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Baker, directing your attention to the exhibit which has been marked People's 72 for identification, do you recognize that document?

A. Yes, I do.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a continuing objection on irrelevancy and immateriality, and the prejudicial value outweighs the probative value as to this?

THE COURT: Yes, The objection is overruled.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. As to all of this witness' testimony? In connection with this item?

THE COURT: In connection with this Item, yes.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did you say that you recognized People's 72?

A Yes, I do.

ŵ

3-1	1
	2
	3.
	4
٠	5
	6
Ţ,	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
,	12
	. 13
	14
ļ	15
*	16
Â	17
	18
	19
•	20
	. 21
	22
3.	28
*	24
	25
	20

1	Q	And you	have	brought the	buy	book	with	you	here
to	court	today?							

- A Yes, I have,
- Q Now, at some previous proceeding, some prior proceeding, did you take the page which is People's 72 for identification and tear it out of the buy book?
 - A Yes.
- Q And was that at some previous judicial proceeding in this case?
 - A Yes, it was.
- Q Now, would you tell us the manner in which you used the buy book when you make a purchase of a used gun?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I'll object on grounds of hearsay. The best evidence is the document itself and I would like to inquire on voir dire.

THE COURT: Your request is denied. The objection is overruled.

What do you do with a buy book in connection with a transaction?

THE WITNESS: I send two copies to the police pawnshop detail.

THE COURT: Well, first, do you make out something?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I take the description of the gun --

THE COURT: Do you do this? Do you make it out during the course of the transaction while the customer is there?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.

THE COURT: Go shead.

3-2	1
	2
	.3
	4
÷	5
	6
Ë	Ť
	8.
	9
	10
	11
	12 ,
	13
	14
	15
K	16
*	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
*	22
•	23
\$	24
	25
	26
	27

THE WITNESS: It is sent to the police pawnshop detail, Culver City Police Department. There's a white and yellow copy. Both copies.

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: What do you do with those two copies?
 - A Those two copies go to the Police Department.
- Now, People's 72 for identification, you refer to that as a blue copy?
- A That's a blue copy. It states my records for my keeping.
- Q And the documents that you've already referred to, are those completed during the transaction itself?
 - A Yes, they are.
- Q Now, what information do you obtain and what information do you use to complete the People's 72 for identification?

A Well --

MR. KANAREK: Object on the best evidence rule and hearsay. The document speaks for itself, your Honor.

THE COURT: The question is vague and indefinite. Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: What are you required to do with regard to filling out -- well, strike that.

Are you required to obtain any information and are you required to write any of that information down in your buy book at the time you purchase any guns?

A Yes, I am.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that --

THE COURT: The answer may remain.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And what information are you required to obtain?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that is hearsay. It is conclusion. The best evidence -- is the buy book itself.

THE COURT: It calls for a conclusion. Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, do you prepare -- strike that.

Now, do you prepare the documents that you have testified to in the ordinary course of your business?

A Yes, I do.

Q And you are required to prepare those by law; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Now, does the law require that you write down certain information in your buy book on the documents you've testified to at the time you purchase a used gun?

MR. KANAREK: That's calling for conclusion and hearsay, and the best evidence is the item itself, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mr. Baker, can you tell us what the -- that particular document, that's People's 72 for identification, represents?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that's hearsay, conclusion. The best evidence is the item itself.

THE COURT: Would you read that to me? I'm sorry.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

3-4

1 2

_

3a fls.

"O BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mr. Baker, can you tell us what the -- that particular document, that's People's 72 for identification, represents?")
THE CCURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITHESS: It gives a full description of the item, guns, or whatever I am buying. Like serial number, the barrel length, the type of gun, the caliber and so on. And it also gives me a description of the man that sold them from his driver's license.

THE COURT: That will be stricken.

The question really was ambiguous.

MR. MANZELLA: The whole answer, your Honor?
THE COURT: The whole answer is stricken.

o BY IN. MANZELLA: All right, Mr. Baker, are you required to obtain a description of the weapons that you are buying?

A Yes, I am.

MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, your Honor, and hearcay, and not the best evidence.

THE COURT: Sustained. The unsuer is stricken. The objection is sustained.

1	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Does People's 72 contain a
2	description of certain weapons that you purchased?
3	MR. KANAREK: Object, hearsay, conclusion and the best
4	evidence rule, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Objection is overruled. You may answer.
6	THE WITNESS: What was that question again?
7	Q BY MR, MANZELLA: Does People's 72 for identifica-
8	tion contain a description of weapons that you purchased?
9	A Yes, it does.
10	Q And did you personally handle the transaction
11	shown in People's 72 for identification?
12	A I did.
13	Q And does People's 72 for identification show a
14	transaction in which you purchased used weapons from another
15	person?
16	A Yes, it does.
17	Q And is the description of that person shown in
18	People's 72 for identification?
19	A Yes
20	MR. KANAREK: That's hearsay and conclusion and not the
21	best evidence, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
23	Q BY .MR. MANZELLA: Does the description of the
24	person from which you purchased those used guns, shown in
25	People's 72 for identification?
26	A Yes, it is.
27	Q And did you personally handle the transaction?
	I The second sec

1	Conclusion. The document speaks for itself.
2	I would like to inquire on voir dire, if I may,
3	your Honor.
4	MR. MANZELLA: Strike that. I'll withdraw the question.
5	Q Mr. Baker, would you describe the weapons which
6	you purchased on October 10, 1969, as represented on People's
7	72 for identification?
8	MR. KANAREK: Object, not the best evidence. The
9	document speaks for itself. Conclusion, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
n	MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect he is reading
12	from the document, your Honor?
13.	THE COURT: No, the record may not. I don't know whether
14	he is reading.
15	MR. KANAREK: Well, I think if your Honor would ask him,
16	I think he would say that he was.
17	THE COURT: No, the record may not so reflect.
18	Is there a question pending?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would you answer the question.
21	A Dakotas, seven and a half inch barrels, single action
22	and there was two guns, consecutive serial numbers, No.
23	2421 and 2422.
24	Q And you purchased
25	MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect that he was reading
26	directly from the exhibit, your Honor? Is that a fair
27	statement?
28	THE COURT: He appeared to be reading from People's 72.

your attention to People's 53-A and People's 53-B for identification. I have examined both weapons and neither is loaded, but you may examine them yourself if you like.

Do you recognize People's 53-A and 53-B for identification?

A Yes, I do.

¥

Q And are those the guns which you purchased on October 10th, 1969, and which are represented -- and in which the transaction is represented in People's 72 for identification?

- A. They are.
- Now, the information with regard to the description and the address of the person from whom you purchased those guns, and which is contained in People's 72, from whom did you obtain that information?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor.

Q And where did you obtain that information?

MR. KANAREK: That's a conclusion and hearsay, your Honor; and it's irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

You may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: The driver's license that the man provided me with.

Q ' BY MR. MANZELLA: And the -- strike that.

The printing that appears on People's 72 for identification, did you put that printing on People's 72?

- A Yes, I did.
- Now, when you at the time that you completed People's 72 for identification, and wrote the description of the individual from the driver's license onto People's 72, did you compare that description with the actual physical description of the person standing before you?

MR. KANAREK: Object. Hearsay, your Honor; conclusion.
And not the best evidence.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. KANAREK:
3	Q Do you see the gentleman seated next to me
4	(indicating)?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In the blue shirt?
7	A. Yes, I do.
8	THE COURT: Indicating the defendant.
9	MR. KANAREK: May the record indicate I am indicating
.10	Mr. Charles Manson?
11	THE COURT: So noted.
12	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did this gentleman sell those
13	guns to you?
14	A The man that came into my store had long hair and a
15	long beard.
16	Q Will you answer the question, sir?
17	May I approach the witness, your Honor?
18	THE COURT: There's no need to.
19 20	MR. KANAREK: I want to get the exhibit, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right, You may.
22	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, would you look at the
23	gentleman that's sitting there in the blue shirt? Is that the
24	gentleman that sold these guns to you?
25	A. No, I don't think so.
26	Q You know it isn't; isn't that a fact?
27	A. I don't think so.
28	Q. How many times have you been shown pictures,
	Mr. Baker?

1 .	A. One time.
2	Q All right. And you were shown pictures by
3 ,	Los Angeles County Deputy Sheriffs concerning this case?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q You've testified previously concerning this case?
6	A. Yes.
7 .	Q You know that that person was not Charles Manson;
8	there's no question about that in your mind, is there?
9	A I don't think so.
10	Q You mean you know it wasn't. Why don't you say it,
11	Mr. Baker?
12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That's
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: You may step down.
16	MR, MANZELLA: May Mr. Baker be excused, your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Yes, you may be excused.
18	You may stay or go as you wish.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	
26	*
27	
28	

′

4a-1

1

2

3

5

·6

7

God?

8

9

10

11

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. MANZELLA: The People's next witness is Mrs. Audrey

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please?

You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may
give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you

THE WITNESS: I do.

AUDREY FELDMAN,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

Please state and spell your full name.

THE WITNESS: Audrey Feldman; F-e-l-d-m-a-n.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

- All right. Mrs. Feldman, what is your occupation?
- A. My husband and I own and operate Art's Jewelry & Loan in Santa Monica.
 - Q That's Art's Jewelry & Loan?
 - A. That's right.
- And do you -- as part of your business, do you loan money on property brought to you?
 - A Yes, we do.
- Q Mrs. Feldman, would you pull that microphone a little bit closer to you?

1	loan, plus listing all of the loans that person has made.
2	Q Now, did you search your records at our request for
3	a document for documents indicating a transaction with
4	Donald Jerome Shea?
5	A. Yes, we did.
6	Q. And did you find any?
7	A. Yes.
8 .	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have strike that.
9	Q Mrs. Feldman, directing your attention to People's
10	Exhibit 60 for identification and that's 60-A and 60-B for
11	identification and if I may, this document is People's 60-A
12	for identification, and this document is People's 60-B for
13	identification.
14	Do you recognize those documents?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	And would you tell us, what document People's 60-A
17	for identification represents? That's the smaller of the two
18	documents.
19	MR. KANAREK: Not the best evidence, your Honor. Hearsay.
20	And I would like to inquire on voir dire as to whether she
21	recognizes them as being something that the kind of docu-
22	ments that she has, or whether she remembers particularly.
23	I think it's ambiguous.
24	THE COURT: Your request for a voir dire examination is
25	denied.
26	The objection the Court raises its own objection.
27	It's vague and indefinite.
28	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman,

are People's 60-A and 60-B for identification documents which 1 you found as a result of your search of your records? 2 Yes, they are. A. 3 Now, would you tell us what those documents represent, beginning with People's 60-A for identification? 5 MR. KANAREK; Hearsay. The best evidence rule. ·6 THE COURT: It's a vague and indefinite question. 7 BY MR. MANZELLA: 'All right. Mrs. Feldman, do you 8 Q recognize the writing which appears on People's 60-A for identification? 10 11 Yes, I do. 12 And whose writing is that? 13 À. My husband's. Do you recognize the writing which appears on 15 People's 60-B for identification? 16 I'm sorry. I'll withdraw that question. 17 Are these documents which you -- which you complete 18 at the time a loan transaction is made? 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 **28**

40

4b-1 MR. KAMAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor, Immaterial. Conclusion and hearsay. THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer the question. THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, THE COURT: Is this a form of document which you keep in 5 the ordinary course of your business? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. ٠R THE COURT: And are those forms filled out in the ordinary 9 course of business at the time of the transaction? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, they are. BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Feldman, are these all 11 12 of the records which you had with regard to transactions 13 with Donald Jerome Shea? 14 These are --15 MR, KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion and irrelevant 16 and immaterial, your Honor. It has no -- either they have 17 them here or --18 THE COURT; Excuse me. As I have said before --19 MR : KANAREK: Yeah. .20 THE COURT: 1 -- just give me your objection, and I'll 21 rule on it. 22 The objection is sustained. There appears to be 23 a lack of foundation. 24 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, what 25 transaction -- strike that. 26 Does People's 60-A for identification represent a 27 transaction on which you loaned money on property?

28

MR, KANAREK; Hearsay; not the best evidence, your Honor.

The document speaks for itself.

And she has no personal knowledge. She says her husband did it. So it's obviously -- there's no foundation for it.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained to the form of the question.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, you've testified with regard to documents that you are required to complete and maintain with regard to each of the transactions that occur in your store, People's 60-A and 60-B, those documents, those types of documents.

A. Yes.

And those documents, People's 60-A and 60-B in particular, were prepared in the ordinary course of business, the way you prepare the other documents?

MR, KANAREK: Improper foundation. She has stated that she didn't -- she has no knowledge, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the question's asked and answered. Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, directing your attention in particular to People's 60-A and 60-B for identification, do those documents -- strike that.

Are you familiar with the manner in which these documents that you have already described are prepared and maintained?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial, your Honor.
And furthermore, it's already been asked and answered.

THE COURT: The answer may remain. 1 The objection is overruled. BY MR. MANZELLA: And directing your attention in 3 particular to People's 60-A and 60-B for identification. do those documents appear to have been completed in the ordinary 5 course of business? MR. KANAREK: Conclusion. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8. MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken? She has 9 nò personal --10 THE COURT: No, the answer may remain. 11 The objection is overruled. 12 BY MR. MANZELLA: And do they appear to have been 13 prepared in the usual manner about which you have already 14 testified? 15 16 That's right. Now, would you tell us what document 60-A 17 18 represents? MR. KANAREK: That's hearsay, your Honor. Not the best 19 20 evidence; improper foundation. 21 THE COURT: Do you have an opinion as to -- sustained. 22 Do you have an opinion as to what 60-A represents? THE WITNESS: Yes. This --24 MR. KANAREK: Well, wait. She has answered the question, 25 your Honor. The rest would be hearsay. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, tell Q us what that opinion is. MR. KANAREK: It's hearsay, your Honor.

20.

_ _

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: This is the copy given to the customer at the time that a loan is made.

At the time that the customer would pick up his item, he would then bring this slip back and, in our presence, sign his signature; and the signature at the bottom of this particular ticket must match the signature at the bottom of the other -- I think it's 60-B -- which is signed in our presence at the time that the loan is made.

In other words, unless the signature on the bottom of this matches the signature on the bottom of the original (indicating), we don't release the item.

MR. KANARLK: Well, your Honor, I ask that --

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Would you --

MR. KANAREK: -- that that be stricken. And I would request that the jury --

28

THE COURT: The statement, "In other words," is stricken.

MR. KANAREK: No, the whole answer, about -- it's for the
jury to make this comparison, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection or motion is denied.

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Feldman, does the ticket -- by the way, would you hold that up, so that the jury could see it, that exhibit?
 - A (Witness complies.)
- Now, 60-A is the smaller -- that's correct; that's the one you are holding in your hand now; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- And 60-B is the larger document?

 Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q All right. Now, is 60-A the customer's copy, the smaller document the customer's copy of the loan ticket?
 - A Yes, it is.
- Q All right. Now, does that reflect a transaction with Donald Jerome Shea?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Q And does that reflect a transaction on a particular day?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Q And on what date was that transaction had with Mr. Shea?

MR. KAWAREK: Well, your Honor, that's hearsay. There's no foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

1	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, in the
2	ordinary course of your business, are you required to put
3	certain information down on the loan ticket about which you
4	have been testifying?
5	A. Yes.
.6	And does that include the date on which the
7	transaction occurred?
8	À It does.
9	Q And does the date appear on People's 60-A for
10	identification?
11	A It does.
12	And does it appear to have been put on there in
13	the ordinary course of business, in the usual manner?
14	A. Yes,
15	MR. KANAREK: That's a conclusion, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Sustained, The answer is stricken.
17	Q BY HR. MANZELLA: All right. How, Mrs. Feldman, can
18	you tell us on what date the transaction represented by
19	People's 60-A for identification occurred?
20	MR. KANARUK: Hearsay, your Honor. The document speaks
21	for itself. Clear hearsay.
22	THE COURT; Overruled. She may answer.
23	THE WITNESS: January 15, 1969.
24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And does the document reflect the
25	item on which you loaned money? That is, the property on
26	which you loaned money?
.27	A. Yes.
28	MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, your Honor, Hearsay. The

1	to the person with whom you have had the transaction?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q And does that appear on People's 60-A for
4	identification?
5	MR. KANAREK: Hearsay; not the best evidence.
6	THE COURT: Overruled. You may
7	THE WITHESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: answer.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And how much appears on People's
12	60-A for identification, as the amount you loaned?
13	MR. KAWARDK: Mearsay, and not the best evidence. The
14	document THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. KANARUK: speaks for itself.
16	Q BY MR. MAJZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, I don't
Ì7	know if I've asked you this or not, but are you required, in
18	the ordinary course of business, to write down on the loan
19	ticket, represented by Paople's 60-A for identification, the
20.	description of the property on which you loan money?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And does that description appear on People's 60-A
23	for identification?
24	MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, and not the best evidence.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.
26	MR. MANZELLA: May I see those?
27	THE COURT: Mrs. Felaman, those are records which you
28	keep in the ordinary course of business, you've stated.

THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 THE COURT: And you are one of the custodians of those records; is that right? 3 THE WITNESS: That's right. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Feldman, directing Q your attention to People's 60-B for identification, that is 6 your copy of the loan transaction document; is that correct? 7 Ã. That's right. 8 Q. All right. Now, directing your attention to the 9 words "Revolver, Dakota, No. 2422, .45 caliber --" 10 ă. "Frontier," 11 -- "Frontier type," in whose -- in whose writing 12 does that appear to be? 13 14 Α My husband's, 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 **27** 28

-1		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q And is that the -- strike that. What do those words represent? What does that description represent?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor. Not the best

THE COURT: The question is vague and indefinite. Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Is that a description of the property on which you loaned the money?

MR. KANAREK: Conclusion and hearsay, your Honor. Not the best evidence. She has said she's not percipient.

THE COURT: Please, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. It's just that counsel keeps going --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek.

evidence and conclusion.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained to the form of the question.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Feldman, the area of the document in which that portion appears, what, in the ordinary course of business, appears in that portion of the document, People's 60-B?

A The description of the item that has been pawned.

Q And is that a description -- is that where the words that you have identified as being written by your husband, is that where those words appear on that document?

A That's right.

Q Now, directing your attention to the printed square in which the numbers "S14" appear.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

28

	What	ordinarily	appears	in	that	part	of	the	lonn
icket?									

MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, your Honor. We're interested -- and there's no foundation for it, hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A The amount loaned on the item that has been paymed.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, does the same -- strike that.

Does an invoice appear on People's 60-A and 60-B for identification; does a number appear thereon?

A Yes.

Q And is that a printed number?

A Uh, it is a written-in number.

Q I see. Does the same number appear on 60-B as appears on 60-A for identification?

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial, your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A Yes. it does.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, would you tell us, is there any relationship between the document marked People's 60-B for identification and the document marked 60-A for identification --

MR. KANAREK: Conclusion.

Q -- in particular, is one a copy of another? MR. KANAREK: Conclusion. No foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained to the form of the question.

BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Feldman, in the ordinary course of your business, are copies made of the document about And they're made at the time the transaction is Directing your attention to People's 60-B for identification; is that your copy of the losn ticket? Now. Mr. Feldman, are you familiar with the manner in which property is redeemed at your store? And at the time property is redeemed, are you required to do something to complete certain documents or -- or to obtain certain information at the time MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, your Honor. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. And would you tell Basically, it is our own procedure. I don't know

MR. KANAREK: Then. I ask -- that's the end of the

2

3

4. 5

6

8.

y 10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

5a fls.

26 27

28

answer, because ---

THE COURT: All right, sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Feldman --

THE COURT: What, by your own procedure, did you do and have you done in the course of your business in the redemption of property?

THE WITNESS: We write on our copy the date that the item has been redeemed, and then on our file card in our system, uh -- in our file system the card is circled that it has been redeemed.

Also, as I said before, the ticket must be signed by the person that has originally pawned the item. And in this case, it is dated the same date that we have on our records as the date that it was redeemed.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that that be stricken or else your Honor make special instruction that what --

THE COURT: The answer is stricken.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Feldman, returning again to People's 60-A for identification, the original loan ticket.

In the ordinary course of your business, are you required to put the date of the original transaction, that is the date you made the loan?

A Yes.

Q On that loan ticket:

State of the state of

All right, Mrs. Feldman, have you, yourself, completed the documents about which you have been testifying?

A Yes.

Q And I don't mean People's 60-A and 60-B, but as co-owners of the Art Jewelry and Loan, have you completed the types of documents about which you have been testifying?

A Yes, we both do that.

Q All right. Now, does the date of transaction appear on People's 60-A for identification?

MR. KANAREK: Conclusion, hearsay, no foundation.

THE COURT: The document speaks for itself, sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor, may we approach the bench on that point?

THE COURT: Well, I've permitted you to ask and have that question answered before with respect to the other document, but, no, you may not approach the bench.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Feldman, may I see the document, please?

Thank you.

Mrs. Feldman, directing your attention to that portion of the People's 60-B for identification, wherein it says January 15, 1969.

Do you recognize in whose writing that date appears?

A Yes.

Q And whose writing is that?

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

24

25

26.

27

28

28

Æ	į		Му	husband	f 8	•
	a.	;			_	

Are you familiar with what date normally appears
in that portion of the -- your copy of the loan ticket?

A Yes.

Q What is that?

A The date that the loan is made.

Q All right. Directing your attention to People's 60-A for identification, and in particular the bottom right-hand portion wherein is written the date January 30, 1969.

A Yes.

Q Do you recognize the handwriting in which that date is written?

A No, I don't.

Q All right. What ordinarily appears in that portion of the loan ticket? What does that date represent?

A The date that item is redeemed.

Q Now, in the ordinary course of business, do you write a description of the individual to whom you loan money on the documents about which you have been testifying?

A Yes, we do.

Q And does that -- does the description appear on People's 60-B for identification?

A It does.

MR. KANAREK: Conclusion and hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: The answer may remain. The objection is overruled.

Q BY MR. MANZELIA: Would you tell us what that

5a+3	1	description is, as shown on People's 60-B for identification?
)	2	MR. KANAREK: That's
	3	THE COURT: The record speaks for itself.
	4	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Feldman, would you hold
•	5	up the document and show us where the description appears?
	6	A Right down the side here (indicating).
*	7 ·	Q Along the side of People's 60-B for identifica-
,	8	tion?
	9	Λ That's right.
	10	Q All right, thank you, Mrs. Feldman.
•	11	I have no further questions, your Honor.
	12	THE COURT: Any cross?
	13·	MR. KAMAREK; Yes, your Honor.
	14	May I have a moment, your Honor?
•	15	THE COURT: You may.
•	16	MR. MANZELLA: Here it is.
•	17	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
	18	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kanarek.
	19	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
	20	
	21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
*	22	BY MR. KANAREK:
	23	Q Hrs. Feldman, you have no personal knowledge of
đ	24	these transactions, right?
	25	Λ This specific transaction?
	26	Q Well, you've been testifying for some time now
	27	with Mr. Manzella speaking to you. Do you have in mind
7	28	at a facility and an armine which he control for trout?

1	A Yes.
2	Q You had no personal contact with those documents
3	other than the fact that they're in your store, is that
4	right?
5	A No, it is not right.
6	Q Well, you did not write on these documents, your
7	husband did?
8	A . I wrote on them also.
9	Q Well, your husband filled out these documents,
10.	right?
11	A No, we both filled out the documents.
12	Q You both filled out the documents at the same time
13	A That's right. At different times.
14	Q Well, do you have any personal memory, as you sit
15	on the witness stand, of this transaction?
16	A That specific transaction?
17	Q That specific transaction.
18	A No, I do not.
19	Q You don't know you wouldn't you wouldn't
20	know the person that brought this in if he walked in this
21	courtroom, would you?
22	A No, I would not.
23	Q And the person that for all you know, it could
24	have been Richard Smith who was let us say somebody who
25	might be in live somewhere in the anywhere in the Los
26	Angeles area?
27	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, calls for
28	speculation.

5a-4

			ĺ
5a-5	1	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.	
	2	A That's not so.	ŀ
	.3	BY MR. KANAREK: Pardon?	
	4	A That's not true.	
	5 .	Q It couldn't be Richard Smith?	
5b fls.	6	A No, it could not.	İ
•	7		
	8		
	ġ.		
	10		Ì
	11		
	12		ŀ
	13		
	14		
·e	15 16		ľ
	17		
*	18		
	19]. -	
	20		
	21		
	22		
3	23		
÷	24		
	25		
	26		ľ
	27		
	28		

2

3

Q. I see.

Now, you have spoken to the police officers and the deputy District Attorney in this case, is that a fair statement?

- A. Pertaining to what? I don't know what you are referring to.
 - Q Pertaining to this case, Mrs. Feldman.
 - A Yes.
- Q And Richard Smith or John Jones or Michael -whatever it might be -- you don't know who this person was that
 walked in this store and -- uh -- had some dealings in connection with these guns, do you?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q Well, I'm talking about this specific transaction.
 - A Yes, but --
- You have no personal knowledge -- you just told us a few minutes ago you don't remember it.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, counsel is arguing with the witness.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained, Mr. Kanarek's remarks are stricken.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: I'm speaking now of the transaction where you told us you had no memory of it.

Are you changing your statement now?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your honor, that's a compound question.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Do you have any memory of the

1	matters concerning these documents that you have testified to
2 .	when Mr. Manzella was questioning you?
3	A I have memory and knowledge of the procedure used
4	in obtaining a loan. Therefore, no one but the person on our
5	records could get the loan.
6	Q Well, my question is, you don't know you don't
7	know as you sit there on the witness stand you have no
8	memory of these transactions? You just told us that, right?
9	A. That's right.
10	g So, therefore, all that you are telling us is that
n	this is paperwork that's in your store, that's been there for
12`	some time? That's all you can tell us?
13	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the witness has
14	testified to what she can tell us.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q BY MR. KANAREK: How many people how many
17	people have you loaned money to since, let's say, October of
18	1969, until this date?
19	A Approximately 20 to 40 per day.
20	2 20 to 40 per day, right?
21	A That's right.
22	© So there's ho way that you can remember this
23	transaction as it occurred there at your store, right?
24	A That's right.
25	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
26.	THE COURT: May she be excused, gontlemen?
27	MR. KAWAREK: Yes, your Honor.
28	MR. MANZELLA: Yes.
	1

THE DEFENDANT: No, she may not be excused. Why didn't 1 they bring her husband in? What are they hiding there? This is all false face. They are not showing you the truth. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Manson, you will have to be quiet or 5 you will have to leave the courtroom. THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, keep all the truth out. 7 THE COURT: You may take Mr. Manson out. 8 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may Mr. Manson's remarks be 9 stricken? 10 THE DEFENDANT: If they'd brought that woman's husband 11 in, he knows I didn't get the guns out of the gun shop, did . 12 12 13 Don't try to imply that I had anything to do with 14 any of these matters. This is big business. 15 He works for publicity. 16 (Whereupon, the defendant Manson pointed his 17 finger in the direction of Mr. Manzella.) 18 THE DEFENDANT: He works for money. 19 (Whereupon, the defendant Manson pointed his 20 finger in the direction of Judge Choate.) 21 THE DEFLNDANT: And they'll trick your minds into 22 thinking I have done something. 23 (Whereupon, the defendant was removed from the 24 courtroom to the holding tank.) 25 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may the jury be advised to 26 disregard the defendant's remarks? 27 THE COURT: So ordered, ladies and gentlemen. Anything 28 that has, is, or has been uttered by Mr. Manson during the course of this trial, you are to disregard.

6-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

^

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

Anything that's uttered during the course of the trial, except -- unless Mr. Manson should take the witness stand -- you are to disregard.

Let's see. It's 11:10. I see Mrs. McKissack here. The Court will call her matters.

We are in recess now for about ten, fifteen minutes. During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

(Proceedings had on an unrelated matter.)

(The following proceedings were had as the members of the jury were leaving the courtroom:)

THE DEFENDANT: (From within the detention room.)

'I'm going to tear this whole structure down. You watch me.

(Proceedings had on unrelated matters.)

THE COURT: Call your next witness.

The record may show that the jurors are all present.

MR. MANZELLA: The People call Deputy John Sheehan.

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand?

You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

6-2	1
	2
	3
•	4
**	5
	6
*	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
*	16
À	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
•	22
Ŧ	23
٠	24

JOHN STEPHEN SHEEHAN.

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

Please state and spell your full name.

THE WITNESS: It's John Stephen Sheehan; S-h-e-e-h-a-n.

THE REPORTER: Stephen with a p-h- or a v?

THE WITNESS: p-h.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

- Q All right. Deputy Sheehan, by whom are you employed?
 - A Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.
 - Q And how long have you been so employed?
 - A' Approximately seven years.
- Q Directing your attention to the date of August 16th of 1969, on that date where were you assigned?
 - A Malibu Station.
- Q Now, on that date, August 16th, 1969, did you have occasion to go to Spahn Ranch?
 - A I did.
- Q Having been assigned to the Malibu Station, were you familiar with the Spahn Ranch area?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q Now, approximately what time did you arrive at the Spahn Ranch on August 16th, 1969?

6-3	1	A Shortly after sun-up. I I would assume
	2	approximately 5:00, 5:30 in the morning.
	3	Q And did you go there in the course of your
	4	duties as a deputy sheriff?
	5	Λ Yes, I did.
•	. 6	Q While you were at Spalm Ranch on that date, did
*	7	you participate in some arrests made at Spahn Ranch?
	8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q Do you recall how many persons were arrested
	10	at Spahn Ranch on that date?
	11	A Approximately 25.
	12	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a photograph
	13	of a male person. May I have that photograph marked
	14	People's I believe it's People's 73 for identification?
73 1d.	15	THE COURT: So ordered.
	16	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Deputy Sheehan,
•	17	directing your attention to the person depicted in the
	18	photograph which has been marked People's 73 for identifica-
	19	tion, do you recognize the person depicted in that photograph
	20	A Yes, I do.
	21	Q By what name or names do you know that person?
*	22	A Danny DeCarlo, or, as he gave the name to me,
	23	Richard Allan Smith.
*	24	Q That's Danny DeCarlo or Richard Allan Smith?
	25	A That's correct.
	26	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a continuing
)	27	objection on relevancy and materiality, as to all of this
	28	detective's testimony?

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: Then I do ask that the last question and answer be stricken, on the ground it's irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The answer may remain.

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did you see the person you've identified in People's 73 for identification as Danny DeCarlo or Richard Allan Smith on August 16th, 1969, at Spahn Ranch?
 - A Yes. I did.
- Q And were you present when Mr. DeCarlo was arrested?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And he was arrested at Spahn Ranch; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Now, did you personally place him under arrest?
 - A No, I did not.
- deputy sheriff place him under arrest?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, did you thereafter, after Mr. DeCarlo was arrested, sometime thereafter assist in the booking of Mr. DeCarlo?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And where was Mr. DeCarlo booked?
 - A Malibu Sheriff's Station.

1	
6 -5 1	And was it there that you assisted in his booking?
7 flg. 2	A Yes.
3	
4	
5	
6	
*· 7	
8	
9	
10	·
)1 12].
13	
14	
15	
.* 16	
<u>•</u> 17	
18	
19.	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26 27	
<u>21</u>	
. 20	
	1

	7-1	1	Now, at the time of booking, did you fill out any
		2	kind of document?
		3	A Yes, I did.
		4	O What document was that?
	••	5	A It is a booking and property record.
		6	Q Now, are you familiar with the booking and
	»	7	property record form?
		8	Λ Yes, I am.
		9	Q How are you familiar with it?
		10	A I have made quite a number of them out.
		11	Q And are you required by Sheriff's regulations to
		12	make out a booking and property record when you arrest and
		13.	book someone?
		14	Λ Yes, we are.
	, ·	15	Q Now, the booking and property record that you
		16	filled out for Mr. DeCarlo or Mr. Smith, was that filled out
	3	17	at the time he was booked?
		18	A Yes.
		19	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a document which
		20	contains certain printing and certain writing thereon, includ-
		21	ing the printing "County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department
	.*	22	Booking and Property Record," and the name "Richard Allan
		23	Smith."
	8	24	May I have that document marked People's 74 for
		25	identification?
74	id.	26	THE COURT: So ordered.
		.27	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
	7	28	THE COURT: Yes, you may.

3

Š

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

1<u>9</u>

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask for an offer of proof because Mr. DeCarlo --

THE COURT: I desume what he is showing the booking and property record for is to show an alias.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, that the person -THE COURT: He's already testified that DeCarlo did
give him a name and it came in without objection.

MR. MANZELIA: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, but I ask that it be stricken on relevancy and materiality.

THE COURT: The Court understands that --

MR. MANZELLA: We're also using it for the signature of Richard -- or R. A. Smith.

THE COURT: You intend to show --

MR. MANZELLA: The person that identifies himself as Richard Allan Smith --

THE COURT: Signed in his presence?

MR. MANZELLA: Did redeem -- did sign this document in his presence. But, also, he did redeem Donald Shea's guns at a later time from the pawnshop where they had been pawned and signed his name "Richard Allan Smith," and a comparison of the signatures --

THE COURT: You're not going to show this by this witness, are you?

MR. MANZELLA: No, by other --

7-3

 THE COURT: But by this witness you are going to show that in his presence Denny DeCarlo signed Richard Allan Smith?

MR. MANZELLA; Yes --

MR. KANAREK: He's not - that's prejudicial. He is not a conspirator or even an alleged co-conspirator.

THE COURT: He has been identified as a member of the Family.

MR. KANAREK: No, that is -- Mr. Manson cannot be saddled with that, your Honor.

THE COURT: He is associated --

MR. KANAREK: But Mr. Manson can't be saddled --

THE COURT: Isn't it a matter of the jury's discretion as to whether or not it has any --

MR. KANAREK: Not at all. It is prejudicial. It has

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, -- excuse me -- the offer of proof that I made before, the last time we were at the bench, applies to this, to the witness's testimony as well. We will show Mr. DeCarlo at dinner with Mr. Manson at the Meyer Ranch and that both Mr. DeCarlo and Mr. Manson handled Donald Shea's guns.

That Danny DeCarlo was seen in possession of the guns and the briefcase just before that, and then he went up to the ranch with Mr. Manson and at this supper that they handled — handled around Donald Shea's guns, both Mr. Manson and Mr. DeCarlo.

THE COURT: Is 74 a copy of the booking -- MR. MANZELLA: No. it is the original.

THE COURT: It is the original?
MR. MANZELLA: Right.

THE COURT: Do you have people who will be able to qualify it as a record?

MR. MANZELLA: No, I don't have anyone.

Well, Deputy -- I'm sorry, Deputy Gleason will be able to qualify it as a record.

MR. KANAREK: You see, your Honor, it is -- there's no way -- it is denying the right -- we're denied the right to confront under the 6th Amendment, which is incorporated under due process of the 14th Amendment, and I am informed that Mr. DeCarlo presently is a fugitive in Canada, and he's a fugitive from a criminal judgment, I believe, or the threat of a criminal judgment in a federal court.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: So we're denied --

THE COURT: The Court will permit this line of questioning.

and the state of the

7a-1 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had 1 in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) 3 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Deputy Sheehan --MR. KANAREK: Counsel, may I see the document? MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry, I thought I showed it to you. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Deputy Sheehan, 8 Q directing your attention to People's 74. 9 10 Do you recognize that document? À Yes, I do. 12 And what is that document? Q It is a booking and property record that I made out on Richard Allan Smith. 15 All right. And is that the document about which 16 you have been testifying? Yes. It is. 18 And that was made out -- strike that. 10 Did you make out that document? 20 I made out the majority of the information on A it. All right. Would you tell us what part of that 23 document that you completed? 24 Uh, the name, address, physical description, the 25 property record, and the request for a phone call portion. 26 All right. And why did you assist in the 27 booking of Mr. DeCarlo? 28 MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, your

1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you also did you assist
4	in the booking of Mr. DeCarlo?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q And did you assist in the booking of any other
7	persons that were arrested on that date at Spahn Ranch, if
8	you recall?
9.	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Now, did you also complete that part of the form
11	containing the residence address 8530 Colegio Drive?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q Now, did Mr. DeCarlo or Mr. Smith strike that
14	the man who or to whom that booking and property
15	report, People's 74, pertains, is that the person you've
16	identified in People's 73 for identification as Mr. DeCarlo
17 '	or Mr. Smith?
18	A Yes, it is.
19	Q Now, the name of Richard Alan Smith, which appears
20	in printing on the at the top of People's 74, the booking
21	and property report, who wrote that on the document?
22	A I did.
23	Q And from whom did you receive that information?
24	A From him.
25	Q From the man shown in People's 73 in the
26	photograph?
27	A That is correct.
28	Now, did Mr. Smith or the person you also knew

1	as Mr. DeCarlo, did he sign that document, People's 74, the
2	booking record, in your presence?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q And did he sign it once or more than once on
5	that document?
6	A He signed it more than once.
7	Q All right.
8	Would you show us by pointing to the document, if
.9	you would, the places in which Mr. Smith or Mr. DeCarlo signed
10	that document?
jı .	A He signed it he signed it up here for his
12	phone call,
13	Q You're talking about in the upper right-hand
14	portion here, where it says "Prisoner phone call"?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q And where else did he sign it?
17	A He signed it down in the portion below that,
18	where it says "Prisoner's complete signature."
19	Q And you're talking about the signature "Richard
20	Allan Smith"?
21	A That's right.
22	Q And did he sign it snywhere else on the form?
23	A Yes, he did. He signed it down on the property
24	portion of the booking slip in the lower left-hand corner.
25	Q Are you talking about "Prisoner's signature when
26	booked"?
27	A That is correct.
28 [.]	Q And the signature "Richard A. Smith," is that

	1	correct?
	2	A That is correct.
<u> </u>	. 3 ,	Q And the man shown in People's 73 signed the form
	4	People's 74 in those three places?
	5.	A Yes, he did.
•	6	
	7	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a black and
	8	white photograph, approximately 8 X 10 inches.
	9.	May I have that marked People's 75 for identifica-
may have have he	10	tion?
75 Id.		THE COURT: So ordered.
	11	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Deputy Sheehan,
	12,	directing your attention to this photograph which has been
	13	marked People's 75 for identification.
`.	14	Do you recognize the person shown in this
•	15	photograph?
2	16	A Yes, I do.
.	17	Q All right. Beginning from left to right, the
	18	persons in the foreground, would you tell us who is shown in
	19	this photograph?
	20	A Uh, that's Danny DeCarlo.
	21	Q Would you point him out, please?
	22	A This is Denny DeCarlo (indicating).
•	23	Q All right, would you take one of the pens to
5 4	24	your left there and circle the head of the person that you
	25	have identified as Danny DeCarlo?
•	26	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I object to this line of
	27	questioning on irrelevancy and immateriality?
	28	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

1	A (Witness complied.)
2	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And please place your initials
3	near that circle that you have drawn, outside the circle?
4	A (Witness complied.
5	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right.
6	And have you drawn the circle around the head of
7	the person of Mr. DeCarlo and placed your initials there?
-8	A Yes, I have.
9	Q All right. Do you know when this photograph was
10	teken?
n l	A It was taken the morning of the arrest at the
12	ranch.
13	Q All right. This was taken during the time of the
14	arrest on August 16, 1969, at Spahn Ranch?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q All right. Do you recognize anyone else shown
17	in the photograph?
18	A Uh, this is Charlie Manson standing next to him
19	(indicating).
20	Q To the right of Mr. DeCarlo, as you look at the
21	photograph?
22	A As you look at the photograph, yes.
23	Q Would you circle the head of Mr. Manson as he's
24	depicted in the photograph and place your initials outside the
25 26	circle?
26 27	A (Witness complied.)
28 .	Q All right. And have you done so?
ay .	A I have.

1	Q All right. Is the officer who placed Mr. DeCarlo
2	under arrest shown in that photograph?
3	A Uh, yes. This is Sergeant Neureither standing
4	next to him (indicating).
5	Q Neureither? A Neureither.
6	A Neurelther.
7	Q And is that spelled N-u-r-i-t-h-e-r?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And standing left of Mr. DeCarlo, as you look
10	at the photograph?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q All right.
13	Deputy Sheehan, from whom did you receive the
14	information with regard to the physical description that you
15	wrote on People's 74 for identification?
16	A I received it from Mr. Smith.
17	Q All right, thank you.
18	Your Honor, I have no further questions.
19	THE COURT: Any cross?
20	
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. KANAREK:
23	Q Officer, before coming to the ranch I'11
25	withdraw that.
26	What time did you come to the ranch that morning?
27	A It was approximately 5:00 o'clock in the morning,
28	It was just at sunrise.
	Q Sunrise.

And before that you were briefed -- you were briefed at the Malibu Station, is that right? That's correct. 8 fls. A

1	South, to the East and to the West?
2	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. It's outside of the
Š	scope of
4	MR. KANAREK: Pardon? They said
5	THE COURT: It's outside the scope of direct.
6	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, you arrested some 25 people;
7	right, Officer?
8	A Some 25 people were arrested while I was present.
9	Q Some 25 people were arrested?
10	A. Yes,
11	Q And of the 25 people who were arrested, not one
12	charge was filed, except for one traffic ticket; right?
13	A I have no direct information as to what charges were
14	filed. I was only present during the arrest.
15	Q Well, sir, to your knowledge, is it a true state-
16	ment that nothing was filed out of the 25 people that were
17	arrested in this operation, except one traffic ticket?
18	MR. MANZELLA: I'll object unless the officer has
19	knowledge.
20	MR. KANAREK: That's what I am asking him, whether to his
21	knowledge that's true.
22	THE COURT: He has answered that. The objection is
23	sustained.
. 24	MR. KANAREK: Well, I didn't hear any answer.
25	THE COURT: He said he had no knowledge.
26	Go ahead.
27	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Do you have no knowledge of what
28	charges were filed?

1	A. That's correct. I have no knowledge.
2 .	Q Who is the man that is standing to the - the non-
3 .	police officer that's standing in the extreme right of this
4	picture as you look at it? I think it's People's 75.
5	THE COURT: 75, yes.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't believe I recognize him.
7	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, was he one of those who were
8	arrested?
9	A I I don't know.
10	Q You don't know whether he was or wasn't?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q Well, to your knowledge, every one that was on that
13	ranch was arrested, except Mr. Spahn; isn't that about right?
14	A. To my knowledge,
15	Q That's correct, right?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q And
18	MR. KANAREK: Excuse me, your Honor.
19	(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off
20	the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and
21	Mr. Manzella.)
22 .	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, you took some fingerprints;
23	is that right?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q Are you the one that rolled the prints?
26	A I took those prints there.
27	Q And at the time that you took these people into
28	custody, all 25 of them were interrogated; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's beyond the scope of direct examination. THE COURT: Sustained -- well, I'll overrule it. You may answer. Were all 25 interrogated? THE WITNESS: There was no interrogation that I was aware of. .28

8a

,

1	A That's correct.
2	And you saw this man that you are telling us you
3	you're using the name Smith as his name you saw him many
4	times and knew him as Danny De Carlo; correct?
.5	A. That's not correct.
6	Q Well, you on the occasion when you came to the
7	Spahn Ranch, before August 16th, Officer, you had seen this
8	man, Danny Do Carlo?
9	A. No, I don't remember seeing him.
10	Q. You don't remember seeing him. You mean you may hav
11	seen him, and you don't recall now, or what do you mean?
12	A. I don't remember seeing him prior to arresting him -
13	or, being present at his arrest on that date.
14	Q Well, directing your attention then to the summer
15	of '69, you actually went onto the premises of the Spahn Ranch
16	before August 16th on many occasions, you have told us; right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q Now, Mr. De Carlo was arrested for Assault With a
19	Deadly Weapon, 245, P.C.; is that right?
20.	A That's correct.
21	Q Can you tell us the circumstances as to why he was
22	arrested for assault?
23	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That's not
24	relevant.
25	MR. KANAREK: He has got the booking slip, your Honor.
26	We are entitled to interrogate
27	THE COURT: The objection will be sustained.
28	Q BY HR, KANARER: Well, what was Hr, De Carlo

1	arrested for?
2	A Assault With A Deadly Veapon.
3	Q And what else?
4	A I believe it was Grand Theft Auto.
5	Q Grand Theft Auto and Assault With A Deadly Weapon;
6	right?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q And he was arrested for stealing automobiles;
9	right?
10	A I believe so.
11	Q. He was arrested for stealing Gary Hinman's
12	automobiles; right?
13	A. Not that I was aware of.
14	Q Well, what automobiles was he arrested for stealing?
15	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
16	MR, KANAREK: It's relevant, your Honor. Counsel has
17	brought up the arrest. We are entitled to go into the
18	aspects of it.
19	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: At the time, Officer, that you
2 1	arrested Danny De Carlo, you knew of the passing away of
22	Gary Hinman; is that correct?
23	MR. MANZELL: Objection, your Honor. That's not
24	relevant.
25	THE COURT: Sustained.
26	Q BY MR. KANAREK: At the present time, Officer,
27	would you tell us where Danny De Carlo is, to the best of your
28	knowledge?

ð

13 14 15 16 17 18	
A. Only that he wasn't in custody. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 15 16 17 18 19 20	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	;
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	,
11	,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	İ
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	;
15 16 17 18 19 20	
16	,
17 18 19 20	,
18 19 20	
19 20	ļ
20'	
 ,	ļ
22	
23	
24	ļ
25	*
<u> </u>	
26 27	
28	

8b

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. your Honor. It's not relevant. 1 MR. KANAREK: They brought in the fact of the arrest --2 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 3 MR. KANAREK: Well, may I approach the bench, your Honor? 4 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 5 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 6 the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 7 jury:) 8 THE COURT: The gist of this man's testimony is that he 9 participated in the arrest of some 25 people at this ranch. 10 He assisted in making the booking slip on this man Danny 11 12 De Carlo, who signed the name Smith in his presence. 13 MR. KANAREK: I know, but we -- we are entitled to go --14 just because -- this may be --15 THE COURT: You want to examine about the weapons that 16 were found in that room? You want to inquire concerning the 17 circumstances of the arrest and so forth? 18 Yes, your Honor, MR. KANAREK: 19 They brought up the subject matter. We have a right 20 to go into that subject matter. He may -- just because he has 21 a certain viewpoint, when he opens up the subject, he can't 22 circumscribe our interrogation. We are entitled to go into 23 it. 24 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor? 25 THE COURT: You may -- he did ask him whether or not he 26 participated in the arrest, and I think probably it is a 27 legitimate source of inquiry, as concerning the circumstances 28

of the arrest, to test credibility.

But it's a relatively limited presentation here, and he's only putting it on for -- for a rather limited purpose. And his questions were directed generally toward the identification of De Carlo as Smith.

MR. MANZLLLA: Right.

THE COURT: And of course, you do have -- I think you do have the right to inquire in order to test credibility on cross. But I don't wish to expand it too far.

We will recess now. It's ten after 12:00.

MANZHELA: Your Honor, could I inquire if Mr. Kanarek has much more with this witness?

THE COURT: I wanted to excuse him, if we could; because I didn't think --

MR. KAMAREK: Oh, I can't. I have probably 15, 20 minutes with him at least.

THE COURT: I can't'--

ER, LAMAREK: I'll make it as brief as possible.

(Whereupon, the following precedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: You are ordered to return at 2:00 o'clock.
The Court declares a recess now until 2:00 o'clock.

You are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone clse, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

I'll see you at 2:00 o'clock.

(Whereupon, at 12:12 p.m., an adjournment was taken until 2:00 p.m. of the same day.)

1	
1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1971
2	2:12 P.M.
3	play space print (C) make some parts
4	THE COURT: The record will show that the jury are all
5	present, jury and alternates.
6	You may proceed. Cross-examine.
7	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
9	BY MR. KANAREK:
10	Q Officer, directing your attention to the place
11	that Mr. De Carlo was arrested. That was a gun room. That is,
12	there were lots of guns in that room, right?
13 .	A. There were several guns in the room.
14	g You see these guns, Officers? I'm now referring to
15	People's 9-A and People's 9-B.
16	THE COURT: I believe they are 53-A and 53-B.
17	MR. MANZELLA: They are 53-A and 53-B, your Honor.
18	Q BY MR. KAHAREK: I'm sorry, 53-A and 53-B. I'm
19	sorry, Officer. (146)
20	Directing your attention to these two guns.
21	May I approach the witness, your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
23	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you look these guns over,
24	Officer, and tell me whether these guns were in that gun room
25	where you arrested Mr. De Carlo on August 16, 1969?
26	A. I couldn't say for sure.
27	Q Is it indeed I'll withdraw that.
28	When you arrested Mr. De Carlo, did you take anything

out of the room with Mr. De Carlo? 1 No, I didn't have anything to do with the physical 2 arrest of Mr. De Carlo, and I clun't pick up any of the 3 evidence. 4 Ś Well, within your view, did any police officer take anything with Mr. De Carlo when he was taken away from the Spahn Ranch on August 16, 1969? 8 Yes, they did. A. What did they take with them? Uh, I have only vague recollections. There was a 10. 11. -- I believe, some sort of an ammunition reloading device for 12 rearming shells. And I thought there was an automatic pistol, 13 possibly a .45 caliber pistol. 14 I really don't -- these guns seem vaguely familiar. 15 I'm not sure. That's about all I can recall. 16 And these guns appear to be guns that were in the Q. 17 gun room on August 16, 1969, correct? 18 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, I believe that 19 assumes a fact not in evidence. 20 MR. KANAREK: I'm asking him, he says they looked vaquely. 21 familiar, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: The witness may answer. The objection is 23 overruled. 24 THE WITHESS: I don't specifically remember these guns. I have only a vague recollection of -- the only things I -- I 26 -- I have no firm recollection of what was taken from the 27 I remember there were a number of guns, possibly more 28 than two or three, and a reloading device. That's about all I

can --1 But there were items that were left there that were 2 O. not taken, correct? 3 I don't know what you mean by "items." A. Well, there were guns that were left at the room on 5 August 16, 1969, when Danny De Carlo was arrested? MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, unless the officer 7 8 has personal knowledge. THE COURT: If he knows, he may answer. I assume that all of his answers are from 10 MR. KANAREK: 11 personal knowledge, your donor. 12 THE WITNESS: I don't know of anything that was left. 13 BY MR. KANAREK: Well, what, to your -- the only 14 items taken, to your knowledge, was this rearming device and 15 an automatic: am I correct? 16 No. I didn't handle the evidence and I never saw 17 actually what was taken from the room. 18 Well, you saw -- you are tolling us you saw a 19 rearming device which was taken, you saw that taken? 20 T believe I saw a rearming device in the room. 21 Was that taken into custody by any deputy? 22 I didn't see it being taken. 23 Well, did you see that rearming device at the Malibu a 24 station or any other law enforcement facility? 25 I never saw it again to my knowledge. À. 26 Did you see it removed from the room, the gun room 27 where Danny De Carlo was arrested? 28 No. I didn't. ß.

						\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	; · · 1	•	جمع ہے۔				
_	1			_		u see ti				ed fr	om the	gun	
	2	room	where	Dann	ıy l	De Carlo	asw c	arrest	ed?				
	3		A.	No,	I	didn't.			•	•	•		
3:0	4									•			
••	5												ì
	6	,											,
•	7	<u> </u>										x	
	8												,
	9	<u>.</u>	•										
	10	,											
	n	.			•								
	12					•							
	13	<u> </u>											•
_	14												
	Ì5												
•	16												
8	17												
	18												
	19	,											
	20						•						
	21												
*	22												
•	23						1						
۴	24	į					f				, .		
	25 :	ì									,		
	26												
•	27					*	•						
	28			•		* ; ;							
			. •	:		•							

10-1	1	Q When Danny did you see Danny DeCarlo taken and
10	Ż	, **
		placed in a police car?
	3	A I don't remember him being placed in a car.
	4	Q Well, you were you say that you were at the
į.	5	Spahn Ranch when he was arrested?
	6	A I was.
á	7	Q Right?
	8.	A That's correct.
	9	Q You observed his arrest?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Would you tell us what was said what was said
	12	at the time he was arrested?
	13	A I don't remember.
	14	Q Give us your best memory, Officer. Give us your
	15	best memory of the words that were uttered at the time he was
\$	16	arrested.
&	17	A I didn't I didn't hear any words, that I can
•	18	recall.
	19	Q Then upon what do you base your statement that
	20	he was arrested?
	21	A I booked him at Malibu Sheriff's Station.
	22	Q But you say you observed his arrest at the Spahn
,	23	Ranch.
٨	24	Would you tell us upon what you base that
	25	
	.26	statement, that you observed his arrest?
	.27	THE COURT: It's asked and enswered. You needn't
	28	answer that.
		Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, describe for us what you

,

3

5 6

7

9

Ω

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

saw in connection with his arrest. How did it occur?

A I observed Danny DeCarlo laying on the floor of this room; and Deputy Guillory and Sergeant Neureither were standing in the room with their guns drawn; and to my recollection, there was other guns in the room.

Q And after you saw the guns drawn, did something occur?

A Yes. They me they searched Damny DeCarlo, and they conducted a search of the room.

Q And then what occurred?

A I don't remember. There was a lot of activity all over the ranch.

Q Did you see them handcuff Mr. DeCarlo?

A Not that I remember.

Q Did you see Mr. DeCarlo at some point leave that room in the custody of law enforcement officers?

A I don't recell it.

Q Did you see law enforcement officers remove from the room any items, spart from the physical body of Danny DeCarlo?

A Not that I recall.

Q Is there -- is there some reason that you recall the automatic, and what you call the re-arming device?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That's not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you describe what you call a re-arming device?

	Ţ	people; is that right?
	2	A That's not correct.
	3	Q Well, how many of those 25 did you participate in
	.4	the booking of?
4	5	A I think it was somewhere between five and ten of
	6	them.
	7	Q And would you tell us the names of any of the
	8	five or ten that you participated in the booking of?
	9	A I don't recall them.
,	10	Q Now, Mr. DeCarlo, at the time that you entered
	n	that room, this gunroom, where Mr. DeCarlo was laying on the
	12	floor, was anyone else in that room?
	13	A Yes, there were.
	14	Q Who else?
•	15	A There was Sergeant Neureither and Deputy Guillory.
	16	And I believe there were a few other deputies. I don't
4	17	remember their names.
	18	Q Did you see anyone who was not a law enforcement
	19	officer, other than Mr. DeCarlo, when you entered the room?
10a fls.	20	A Not that I recall.
	21 22	·
, इ	22 23	
	24	
*	25.	•
	26	
	27	
	28·	,
	-	

l0al	:
	:
	4
% .	(
•	7
	{
	ç
	10
	1
	12
	18
	14
	ļ
.	16
4	17
	18
	19
	20
	2
* ,	22
	28
· *	20
	2
	2

1	Q Mr. Manson was not in the room;
2	A No.
3	g is that correct?
4	A. That's correct.
.5	HR. KANAREK. Thank you, your Konor.
6	MR. MANZELLA: I have no further questions.
7	May the Deputy Sheehan be excused, your Honor?
8	THE COURT: Yes, You are excused.
9	MR. MANZELLA: The People call Richard Barber.
10	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Officer.
11	THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please?
12	You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may
13	give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the
14	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you
15	God?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	
18,	RICHARD DAVID BARBER,
19	called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, was
20	examined and testified as follows:
21	THE CLERK: Just take the stand and be seated, please.
22	THE BAILIFF: Would you state and spell your full name,
23	please?
24	THE WITNESS: Richard David Barber; R-i-c-k or,
25	Richard; R-i-c-h-a-r-d, D-a-v-i-d, B-a-r-b-e-r.
26	
27	DIRECT EXAMINATION
28 .	DY MR. MANZELLA:

1	Q Richard, how old are you?
2	A. 16.
3	Q Now, directing your attention to the day of
4	strike that.
5	Directing your attention to February of 1970,
6	during that month were you sometime during that month, were
7	you present in Death Valley?
8	À Yes.
9	And who were you there with?
10	A My father, my brother, and a group from a stable.
11,	Q And what were you doing at that time in Death Valley
12	A. We were looking for burros.
13	NR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to
14	be a contour map of the Ballerat Death Valley area.
15	May I have that map marked People's 76 for
16	identification?
17	THE COURT: So ordered.
18	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Richard, in particu-
19	lar strike that.
20	Are you familiar with the town of Ballerat in that
21	area, Death Valley?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q And are you familiar with the road that leads from
24.	Ballerat to the mouth of Goler Wash?
25	A. Yes,
26	Q. Now, during this period in February of 1970, when
27	you were with your father and these other people, did you
28	travel that road from Ballerat to the mouth of

24

25

26

27

28

<u> </u>	All right, was this a dirt road off that road	that
leads from	Ballerat to Goler Wash?	
Ä.	Yes,	

- Now, which way were you traveling when you found the items that you were talking about? Were you going back towards Ballerat or were you going towards Goler Wash or in some other direction?
- A Well, we weren't traveling on the road at that time.

 Ms and my brother were just looking around.
- Q All right. Approximately how far from Ballerat was it that you found the suitcase and the briefcase?
 - A I don't really know.
- Now, in relation to the road -- strike that.

 Was this a dirt road near which you found the briefcase and the suitcase?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, in relation to the road, how far was it from the briefcase and the suitcase?
- A I guess about two feet. It was right on the edge of the road.
- And both the briefcase and the suitcase were in the same location?
 - A Yes.
- Now, were there any -- was there any -- were there any bushes or anything of that nature near where you found the briefcase and the suitcase?
 - A Yes.
 - And would you describe the relationship to the

1	items that you found?
2	A Well, they were over the edges and top. We had to
3	pull them apart. They were like tumbleweeds on top of it.
4	And we had to pull them off before we could get to the suitcase
5	and briefcase.
6	Q The suitcase and briefcase were under the brush?
7	A. Yes.
8	Now, after you found the briefcase and the suitcase,
9	did you show those items to anyone?
10	A. Yes,
11	MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may remain.
14	Q BY MR. MANZELDA; And to whom did you throw those
15	items?
16	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immeterial.
17	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
18	THE WITNESS: When I found them, I told my father and my
19	brother.
20	MR. KANAREK: May the statement that he told his father
21	and his brother be stricken as hearsay?
22	THE COURT: The answer is not responsive. The answer is
23	stricken.
24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you open both these suitcases?
25	A Yes, sir.
26	Q Did you observe the contents of both the briefcase
27	and the suitcase?
28	A. Yes.

1	And sometime after you found both the briefcase
2	and the suitcase, did you show them to your father?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And sometime after that did you and your father
5	turn them over to the California Highway Patrol?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q All right. Richard, did you remove any items from
8	either the briefcase or the suitcase?
9	A. To look at, you mean?
10	Q Yes.
11	A Yes.
12	Did you put those items, the items which you
13	removed, did you put them back into the briefcase and the
14	suitcase?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q Richard, directing your attention to the briefcase
16 1 / 7	Q Richard, directing your attention to the briefcase which has been marked People's 54 for identification.
	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit?
1,7	which has been marked People's 54 for identification.
1,7 18	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit?
1,7 18 19	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A Yes.
17 18 19 20	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A. Yes. On the blue suitcase. On top of the blue suitcase. And is this the briefcase about which you've
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A. Yes. On top of the blue suitcase.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A. Yes. On the blue suitcase. On top of the blue suitcase. And is this the briefcase about which you've
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A. Yes. When and Where did you first see this exhibit? A. On top of the blue suitcase. And is this the briefcase about which you've been testifying?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A Yes. On the blue suitcase. On top of the blue suitcase. And is this the briefcase about which you've been testifying? A. Yes.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	which has been marked People's 54 for identification. Do you recognize this exhibit? A. Yes. O When and where did you first see this exhibit? A. On top of the blue suitcase. O And is this the briefcase about which you've been testifying? A. Yes. O All right. Richard, directing your strike that.

1 .	briefcase?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q All right. Directing your attention to some of
4.	the items that are in that briefcase now, that are in the
5	envelopes.
6	Would you take a look quickly at some of those
7	items and tell us whether you recognize any of the contents of
8	the briefcase?
9	You can look through it, if you like.
10	All right, have you looked through looked at
11	the items contained in that briefcase?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q Do you recognize any of those items?
14	A Well, I remember I recognize the black check
15	book, anacin
16	You recognize the black check book?
17	A. And the anacin and the yellow
18	Q You recognize the bottle of anacin tablets?
19	A. Yes.
20`	What else do you recognize?
21	A. And the yellow checks.
22	Q The yellow checks that Mr. Kanarek is holding now?
23	A Yes.
24	Q All right.
25	Now, the items that you have identified, were
26	all of these items in the briefcase at the time that you found
27	it?
28	A. Yes.

1	Q Now, Richard, specifically, did you find any guns
2	in the briefcase?
.3	A. No.
4	And you looked through the entire interior of the
5	briefcase?
6	A Yes,
7	Q And you found no guns, is that correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q Did you find a knife in the briefcase?
10	A. No.
11	Q Richard, directing your attention, and if you
12 .	would, you can stand up, if you like.
13	Can you see from where you are?
14	A. Yes.
15	All right. Directing your attention hold this
16	microphone and you can talk into that,
17	Directing your attention to this blue suitcase
18	which has been marked People's 55-H for identification.
19	Do you recognize this blue suitcase?
20	A. Yes.
21	•
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	•

11a

11a-1	1	Q And when and where did you first see this blue
	2	suitcase?
	з .	A Undermeath the bushes alongside of the road.
	4	Q Is that the suitcase you've been testifying about,
	5	having found it outside of Ballarat?
	6	A Yes.
4	7	Q All right. Richard, can you see some of the
	8	contents of that suitcase, People's 65 for identification?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q Do you recognize any of the contents as having seen
	n	them before?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q All right. Can you tell us briefly, just briefly
	14	describe some of the things that you recognize?
•	15	A I recognize the
	16	Q Richard, please speak right directly right into
•	17	the front of that microphone.
	18	A I recognize the green checks on the side, and
	19	there was some clothes in there, but I don't recognize any
	20	of those right now. That's all I can see right now.
	21	Q All right. Why don't you get down off the witness
1	22	stand and bring the microphone with you and just briefly
	23 7	look through some of the contents in the suitcase and see if
	25	you recognize any of the other items in the suitcase? A (Witness complied.)
	26	I recognize some books that I saw before.
	27	THE COURT: Indicating some ledger books of some type?
	28	THE WITNESS: I don't know what kind of books they are.

11a-2

2

4

6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

25

27

26

28

I just remember these.

THE COURT: Would you hold up what you recognize?

That type of ledger book. It is labeled "Ledger Book."

THE WITNESS: Yes, that's right.

Uh, there was an adding machine in here and it is not in here now, and a check machine.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Anything else of the items that are in People's 65 that you recognize as having seen before?

A I can't remember seeing anything else. It's a long time ago.

Q Okay, thanks, Richard. Take the stand again.

Now, the items that you've told us that you recognize, are those items that you saw when you opened the suitcase on the day that you found it?

A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: May I have a moment, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Richard, directing your attention to the contour map which has been marked People's Exhibit 76 for identification.

Have you seen this map before in another proceed-

A Yes, I have.

Do you recognize the area which is shown in this

mab;

ing?

A, Yes

Q And what area is that?

مند است	ļ		
11a-3	1	A	That's the area that I found the suitcase and
	2	briefcase.	
	3	Q	Now, have you previously marked well, strike
	4	that.	-
1	5		There is a road here which appears to have been
	-6	marked'in r	ed pen or red pencil.
<u>e</u> .	7		Do you recognize that particular road?
	8	A	Yes.
	9		What road is that?
	10	 ويدن و	That's the road that I found the briefcase and
	11	suitcase on	
	12	Q	Is that the road that leads from Ballarat to the
	13	mouth of Go	Ler, Wash?
_	14	A	I'm not sure of that.
	15	Q	All right. Now, have you previously marked the
*	16,	map which i	s People's 76 for identification?
ŧ.	17	A	Yes.
	18	Q,	As to some particular location?
	19	A	Yes.
	20	Q	Do you remember does your mark and your
	21	initials ap	pear on this map?
	22	A	Yes.
*	.23	Q	Is that the X and the initials "RB"?
	24	A .	Yes.
	25	Q	What does that X signify on there?
	26	A	That's where I found the suitcase and the briefcase,
<u>`</u>	27		e bend of the road.
	28	Q	All right. All right, Richard, what kind of
		™	் ஆன்ற முக்கு இரு முக்கு மான மான்றும் மான்ற மான்ற மான்ற மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக் இது நாள்ள முக்கும் மான்ற மான்றும் மான்றும் மான்ற மான்ற மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக்கும் மான்ற முக்

11a-4	1	what is the surface of the road that you traveled from
	2	Ballarat to the mouth of Goler Wash?
	3	A The road that we traveled there to?
	4	Q Yes, is that a dirt road?
	5	A No, that was partially paved and the other part
3	6	was gravel and dirt.
÷	7	Q All right. All right, thank you, Richard.
	8	I have no further questions, your Honor.
	9	
	10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	\mathbf{n}	BY MR. KANAREK:
	12	Q Richard, this road that you are speaking of, is
	13	It a road that you have been on before?
	14	A No, I've never been on it before.
	15	Q And this road was withdraw that.
•	16	What day of the week was it, do you remember?
ţ	17	A No, I don't. I can't remember.
	18	Q Was it a weekend?
	19	A It was over a holiday. That's all I can remember.
	20	Q Do you know what day it was?
	21	A No, I can't remember what day it was.
	22	Q Do you know what month it was?
8	23	A Yes.
ŕ	24	Q February?
	25	A Yes.
	. 26	Q Was it Washington's Birthday or Lincoln's
	27	Birthday? You say it was a holiday?
	28	A It was a three-day weekend. I just can't remember

11a-5	1	at this time.
	2	Q And were you, when you first came into this area,
	3	were you on were you inside of a vehicle?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q What kind of vehicle were you in?
Ĭ. ^	6	A Camper.
\$	7	Q Was there something that made you that
	8	attracted your attention to the place where you say you
•	9	found these items?
,	10 .	. A No.
	'n	Q Well, what was it that what was it that
	12	attracted your attention; to these Items?
•	13	A Well, I saw the items at a different time than
<u>*</u>	14	when I was in the car. I was walking around through the
12	f1.8.	brush, and then spied it, and then just around the bend.
•	16	
٤	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22 ,	
<u>.</u>	23	
نمة	24	
	25	,
,	26	
	27	
	28	

Market of		
12-1	1	Q Were these items close to the road?
	2 · .	A Yes.
	3	Q . How close to the road?
	4.	A Just off the road.
Z :	5	Q Just off the road?
	6	A Yes.
^	7	Q And they were like maybe a foot or two off the
	8	road, **
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q is that right?
	n	And what was the condition of what kind of
	12	surface did the road have at the place where you where
	13	you found these items?
	14	A It was gravel and dirt.
	15	Q Gravel and dirt?
\$	16	A Yes.
•	17	Q Was it paved?
	18	A It was not paved.
	19	Q Now, are these items in the same condition as
•	20	they were when you found them?
	21	A About the same.
	22	Q Was there any is there any difference in these
•	23	items than when you found them?
يع	24	A Not that I can see.
	25	Q Well, have you had occasion to look at have
	26	you had an occasion to look at all of these items?
	27	ÀI
	28	Q Pardon?
		age the survival or

1	A I ve never seen every single item here, because
2	I didn't look that much.
3	Q I see. Now, would you you say there was a
4	an adding machine?
5	A Yes.
[,] 6	Q And that adding machine is not now here?
7	A It was in the other case.
8	Q It was in the case. There was a checkwriter
9 1	there?
10	A Yes.
11	Q That checkwriter is not there now,
12	A Yes.
13	Q is that right? So is would you look at
14	look first at you call this (indicating) the briefcase?
15	Λ Yes.
16	Q Would you first look at the briefcase which you
17	say you found, People's Exhibit 54, and tell me whether you
18	can tell us whether everything that was in that briefcase
19	when you first saw it is still here?
20	A I couldn't tell you if everything's there.
21	Q You couldn't tell me?
22	A No. Because I didn't look through everything
23	that much. I just saw the noticeable things, like the
24 25	aspirin and the blank checkbook and the yellow checks.
25 26	Q . And did you note that the yellow checks were all
27	checks made out in the same amount, for the same day, to the
21 28 (same person? Do you remember that?
۸٠ ۲	A Doll I wan me I can the charke contrared all

1	through there, and I didn't look at how much they were or
2	anything like that.
3	Q When you saw this, was there a what appears to
4	be a receipt for a phone bill to one Duane E. Schwarm,
5	1109 West Victory Boulevard? Was that in there at that time?
6	A I didn't see it. I didn't observe it that well.
7	Q Well, would you look through these items
8	briefly and tell us what of these items you do remember as
9	having been in there the day that you first saw this briefcase
10	A Well, the aspirin, I remember seeing in there.
11	Q Would you take them and hold them up
12	THE COURT: Excuse me. I don't think the jury's getting
13	anything from this. The briefcase is hidden, and you're
14	almost in the
15	MR. KANAREK: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	THE COURT: the witness box with the man.
17	MR. KANAREK: I'm sorry, your Honor.
18	I have got the briefcase here now, your Honor,
19	with two pennies.
20 ! 21	Q Do you remember the two pennies?
	A No, I don't.
22	Q All right. Would you look through those items
23 24	and tell us whether there are any of those items that you
25	remember?
26 26	A I remember the Anacin; this black checkholder
27	(indicating).
28	Q You are now opening up something that was sealed;
	right?

 		
Į.	A	Yes.
2	Q	Do you recognize what was in that?
3	A	No, I don't.
4	Q	Would you describe it for us?
5	А	It's a
6	କ୍	It says Liquorish paper, L-i-q-u-o-r-i-s-h.
÷ 7	•	Do you remember seeing that?
8	.	No.
9	Q	All right. Would you go through there and tell
10	us the ones	you do remember? Maybe that would be shorter.
11.	Ą	All I remember at this time is the Anacin, the
12	check; I do	n't remember seeing these checks.
13	Q	This one named Duane E. Schwarm, this check
14	what appear	s to be a checkbook you don't remember that?
12a £1s.	Ą	No.
16	,	
, 17		•
18 ,	* *	
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20		
21	1. 3. 1. 1.	
í 22		
23	for a	
24	\$ *** \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
25		
26		
27		
28	1	•

A. Yes. 1 Yes, Richard. Would you step down here and open 2 up this suitcase, and would you tell us what items you remember as actually being in that suitcase when you first saw it? A. I remember the book again, the ledger; some loose checks, adding machine, check machine, That's about all I remember. 7. Well, the adding machine and the check writing 8 machine are not there; --9 Ýes. A. 10 Q. -- is that correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 So the only items that you recall being in this suitcase is the green book -- is that correct? 14 15 A. Yes, the book -- and the yellow checks. 16 Q. You found these yellow checks ---17 Α, Scattered all through it. 18 0 In other words, these yellow checks were found in 19 the suitcase, not the briefcase? 20 I can't recall exactly. A. 21 a You recall that --22 A. They were in one of the two. I don't -- we didn't 23 look through them that much, because we thought we'd better 24 not. 25 So you do not remember whether these yellow checks 26 were actually in the container that you and -- (inaudible.) 27 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Kanarek. I couldn't hear 28 the last part of your question.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, it's difficult, I think, some-1 2 times for the jury to hear your voice, unless you utilize the 3 microphone. MR. KANAREK: Certainly, your Honor. .5 Is it a fair statement, Richard, that you don't 6 remember where you saw these yellow checks? 7 Α. They were scattered through the -- one of the two. Я ۵. One of the two containers? 9 A Yes. 10 Now, would you -- just so there's no question about 11 it, would you take an instant or two -- you haven't actually 12 taken those items out, have you, of the suitcase, in the court-13 room here or anywhere? 14 Α. No. 15 Going through the items? a The state of the s 16 No. A 17 Would you look through them and make --18 and tell us what you can testify to -- that you actually saw 10 on the day that you first saw the suitcase? 20 I saw the ledger, the checks. Ă. 21 Q Will you put the items out on the floor. Richard? 22. You saw those checks? 23 À. The green checks, yes. 0 Those two ledger books? Yes. I saw the two ledgers. 26 And what else of those items in the suitcase did you 27 see, that you can remember? 28 A, I remember seeing the brace.

That's all I can recall at this time. ľ BY MR. KANAREK: And -- well, at this time --so I am asking you now to tell us -- this is the time when the chips are down, so to speak, Richard. We want to know, other than the items that you've indicated, as far as you are concerned now, you never saw those other items before; is that correct? A. Yes.

2 b

1	a This is the first time you've ever seen them; right
2	A. Well, I've probably seen them before in
3	Q No. My question is
4	MR, MANZELLA: Your Honor, I object. I would like the
5	witness to answer.
6	THE COURT: Yes, you may finish your answer.
7	You have probably seen them before, but what?
8 .	THE WITNESS: I just didn't notice them. All this stuff,
9.	I don't recall this much clothing in the suitcase. But I do
10	remember these things here.
11	THE COURT: Indicating the books, the checks, and the
1 2	brace; is that right?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	MR. KANAREK: And your Honor, the word that
15	"probably," I ask that that be stricken as a statement of
16	conjecture and conclusion.
17	THE COURT: All right. That will be stricken,
18	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Richard, there was a checkwriter
19 .	that you now no longer see; right?
20	A. Yes.
21	6 Now, I show you a group of checks
22.	THE COURT: Kaybe you can take the witness stand again.
23	MR. KANAREK: Excuse me. Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: We will interrupt this for just a moment.
25	(Proceedings had on an unrelated matter.)
26	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kanarek.
27	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
,28	THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. KANAREK: Richard, these -- I show you a 1 sheaf of checks, which appear to have all the same date. October 3, 1969, all made out to one William Rex Cole, and all 3 in the amount of \$137.35, signed by George C. Spahn. 4 5 Do those checks appear to be the checks that were with the checkwriter that you say you no longer see? 6. 7 I don't know if they were with the checkwriter. but me and my father did look through those and see that --8. the top things, "Spahn Movie Ranch." THE COURT: Spahn Movie Ranch? 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 BY MR. KANAREK: And did you - may I ask you this? Were some of these checks in one container, and some in 13 14 another container? The same of the 15 A. I don't remember. 16 You don't remember whether they were all in the 17 same container or not? 18 Á. All I remember is seeing them scattered, the yellow 19 checks, through. 20 I see. Now, in connection with -- and that was in 21 the spring of the year that -- or, the February -- what was it? 22 Would you call it spring or would you call it winter at that 23 time? 24 A. Well, there it was hot, so --Very hot? Q 26 A. Yes. 27 Ø. And would you -- when you saw these two items --28 you say you saw them in connection with some underbrush?

Yes, And how long had you been in that Goler Wash-Ballerat area? I don't understand what you mean. 12 .

12c

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

.2¢	1
	2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Q	How	long	had	you	been	there	when	you	SAW	these
items?										

- A. Oh, one day, and -- and we stayed there the night; and then the next day. I saw the --
- Q Did you observe whether these items opened easily? Was there any difficulty in opening them?
 - A No, they opened easily.
- And when you -- when you observed them, did they appear to you to have been there, recently placed there?
 - A Well, there was --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'll object to that, without a foundation, your Honor. The witness would have no way of knowing when they had been placed there.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, when you -- when you saw these items, did you have a conversation with your friend?
- A Well, when I first saw them, I was alone, and I pulled them out. And then I looked at them, and then pushed them back in and went and got my father and my brother.
- Q So when you first saw them, you pulled them both out and opened both of them, --
 - A. Yes.
 - 4 -- is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q And then you went and got your father. How far away was your father and brother?
- A couple of hundred yards, in the camp -- the campground.

```
Q
                 Now, this is a dusty area. --
1
                 Yes.
          A.
2
          Q.
                 -- is that right?
3
                 Was there any dust on these items?
                 I can't remember right now,
          A.
5
                 You recollection is that there was no dust?
          O
          MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That assumes a fact
7
     not in evidence.
8
          THE COURT: Sustained.
9
          MR. KANAREK: I am asking him.
10
11
          Q
                 Well, did you have to dust off the items?
12
          F.
                 limm -- no.
13
          O.
                 There was -- and this road in this desert area is --
14
     is a dusty area?
15
          A
                 Oh, I would guess so. I don't know.
16
               Well, it's a desert. This area around Ballerat is
17
     a desert?
18
                 Yes!
               Death Valley area?
19
20
          Ä.
                 Yes.
                 That, you would certainly in your impression, from
21
22
     having been there, is that this is a California desert; right?
23
          A.
                 Yes.
24
                 Now, would you tell us -- that (indicating) is your
25
     initial ---
26
          A
                 Yes.
27
          Q
                 -- where it says "RB"?
28
          A
                 Yes,
```

1	A. Yes.
2	Q You don't remember that?
3	A. No, I don't remember.
4	Q Now, when you found these items, Richard, what
5	time of day or night was it?
6	A. It was around noontime.
7	And when you found these items, and gave them to
8	the California Highway Patrolman, did you get his name and
9	serial number?
10	A NO.
11	Q In your presence, did you see your father get a
12	name and serial number?
13	A. No.
14	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Richard. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Manzella?
16	MR. MANZELLA: No further questions, your Honor.
17	May Mr. Barber be excused?
18 .	THE COURT: Yes, you may be excused, Mr. Barber.
19	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Richard.
20	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess, ladies and
21	gentlemen. During the recess, you are advised not to con-
22	verse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit any-
23	one to converse with you on any subject connected with this
24	matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the
2 5	matter until it is finally submitted to you.
26	We will be in recess, as I say, for about ten minutes or
27	so.
28	(Mid-afternoon recess.)

.,-

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: The record may show that all the jurors and alternates are present.

You may call your next witness.

MR. MANZELLA: People call Mrs. Ruby Pearl.

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand.

give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

Would you state and spell your full name, please?

THE WITNESS: Ruby, R-u-b-y, Pearl, P-e-a-r-1.

RUBY PEARL,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Q Mrs. Pearl, do you know a man by the name of George Spahn?

A Yes.

Q How long have you known Mr. Spahn?

A 17, 18 years.

Q Did you know a man by the name of Donald Shea?

MR. KANAREK: Object to the form of the question,
your Honor.

نخد مداد	ę
13-2	1
	2 ;
	3
	4
÷	5
	6
.	7
	8 .
	9
	10
	n,
•	12
• •	13
	14
	15
*	16
÷	17
	18
•	19
	20
	21
,	22
₹	23
ē.	24
	25
	26
•	27.

THE	COURT:	Sustained.	You	may	***
-----	--------	------------	-----	-----	-----

- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Have you known a man by the name of Donald Shea?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And did you know him by some nickname?
 - A Shorty.
 - Q And how long ago did you meet Shorty Shea?
 - A I ve known him about 15 years.
 - Q ... Now, how old is Mr. Spahn now?
 - A / 83.
 - Q Does he have an infirmity?
 - A Yes, he's blind.
 - And how long has he been blind?

MR. KANAREK: That's calling for a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A About seven years.
- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, were you in any way connected with Mr. Spahn's ranch in Chatsworth?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What was your connection with the ranch?

A Well, I run the stable, rented out the horses, picked out the horses for jobs, and did the book work, answered the phone, seeing that the people that worked for us did their duties.

- Q Were you sort of a forewoman or manager of the ranch?
 - A Yes. Yes.
 - And for how long did you perform those duties at

13-3	_	Spahn	Panck:	7
	1	i kr		For 17 or 18 years.
. *	2		*	Now, where did you meet Donald Shea, Shorty Shea?
	3 {	•	ų.	Now, where did you meet bonate Shea, Shorty Sheat
	1.	3	A A	He came to the ranch and asked for a job. Did you give him a job?
: :	6			Yes, I told him the situation. We didn't pay.
Ü				ANAREK: Well, your Honor, uh
	9	ing for the con-	THE C	OURT: After "Yes," the answer is stricken.
	10		ର	BY MR. MANZELIA: By the way, Mrs. Pearl, how large
	11	is Sp	ahn Ra	•
	12			It was 27 acres.
	13.	,	Q.	Now, did you spend during the time that you
	14	were	kne	w Mr. Spahn and the time that you were the manager
	15	more	or las	s of Spahn Ranch, were you there almost every day?
* ·	16	,	Á	I was there every day.
.	17		Q	Every day.
	18			Now, did you live there or did you live off the
	19	ranch	?	
	20		A	I lived off the ranch.
	21		Q	Now, what was after you hired Shorty, what was
	22	his p	ositio	n on the ranch? What job did he do on the ranch?
2	23		A	He helped at the stable.
ċ	24		Q.	And these stables, uh, held horses that were
	25	used	or ren	ted out to the public on Spahn Ranch?
	26	· }	A	Yes.
	27	,	Q	And did you do other things with those horses?
	28	,	A	Yes. We took them to TV shows, parades and there
		1		

13-4

-4

2

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

was a lot of work involved.

And was part of Shorty Shea's job handling those horses?

A Yes.

Now, did you pay Donald Shea or Shorty Shea for his work when he worked at Spahn Ranch?

A Not real wages. We bought him clothes if he needed them, boots, gloves, things that he could use there on the job.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor -- would your Honor -- would your Honor prefer that I state this at the bench? I have a point I would like to make to the Court.

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: Pardon?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: Then, I would ask the Court to indicate this is strictly on state of mind. It is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted to be relevant or material. It would have to be strictly on state of mind. Otherwise, it is irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Go on with your next question, Mr. Manzella. MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And at times while Mr. Shea -- while Shorty was working for you at Spahn Ranch, did you also give him room and board?

A Yes.

13a fla.

MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds there's no foundation. It is ambiguous. It is irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Overruled. The answer may remain. It is in the record.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, what was yourself and Mr. Spahn's relationship with Shorty Shea?

MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion and ambiguous, compound.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A . I don't understand the question.

know Shorty as more than just an employee?

A Yes, he became a very good friend. He escorted us many places where we needed drivers. Helped in every way.

Q How would you characterize his work as an employee?

A Very good.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I'll object on the grounds that it is remote in time. This goes back many years.

May I have a continuing objection on relevancy and materiality?

THE COURT: No, you may not. The objection is overruled. The answer may remain.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And were you aware of the relationship between Shorty and George Spahn?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: That's assuming facts not in evidence.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: Overruled. The enswer may remain.
2	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And what was that relationship?
3	A He helped guide
4	MR. KANAREK: Calling for a conclusion, your Honor.
.5	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
6	A He helped guide Mr. Spahn around.
7.	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, when Donald when Shorty
8	was not working at Spahn Ranch, did he ever come to visit with
9	you and Mr. Spahn?
10	MR. KANAREK: That's ambiguous as to time, your Honor.
n :	THE GOURT: Overruled.
1 2 ,	A Yes, he did.
13	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, do you know a woman by the
14	name of Dawn Quant, Q-u-a-n-t?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And is she a close friend of yours?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, Mrs. Pearl, during the 15 or some years that
19	you knew Donald Shea, when he left town strike that.
20	Did he ever leave town during that period of time
21	that you knew him?
22	MR. KANAREK: That's calling for a conclusion on the
23	part of this witness, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did he ever leave the ranch
26	during this period of time that you knew him?
27	A Yes, several times.
28	Q Did he ever tell you where he was going when he

left?

.2

3

6

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KANAREK: And I would ask your Honor to indicate --

going to do.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we have an instruction that this is only on state of mind and not offered for the truth of the matter asserted?

THE COURT: Well, there's nothing been -- there's no conversation that's been stated.

Incidentally, would you approach the banch, gentlemen?

You needn't be present.

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel outside the hearing of the jury, which was not reported:)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Incidentally, ladies and gentlemen, the testimony of the witness Frank Retz, received earlier this week, you should make a note concerning the conversation held with certain persons was not admitted to prove the truth of the matters asserted in the conversation, but simply to establish if, in your judgment, that testimony does establish that the conversations were held.

Go ahead.

BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, you've Q 1 told us about your relationship with Shorty as you came to 2 know him after you first hired him to work at Spahn Ranch. 3 Did you ever express your feelings to Donald about the way you and Mr. Spahn felt about him? 5 MR. KANAREK: That's hearsay, irrelevant and immaterial. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MR. KANAREK: No foundation. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, did you 9 Q 10. and Shorty keep in periodic contact with each other when 11 Donald was not working at Spahn Ranch? 12 MR. KANAREK: Ambiguous and a solicitation of a conclus-13 ion. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 Yes. 16 BY MR. MANZELLA: And what was the longest period 17 of time that you were out of contact with Shorty during the 18 15 or some years that you knew him? 19 I'll object to the past tense, your Honor, MR. KANARÉK: 20 and the form of the question. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 14 fls. A Oh, a month or so at a time. 24 25 26 27 28

.*	· · _		
14-1		Q	BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Pearl, directing your
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o the year of 1969 at Spahn Ranch, what what
	2	·	ing at Spahn Ranch at that time? What was Spahn
			used for at that time?
	5	A	They were making movies.
÷	6	Q	And in that regard, how were the facilities at
	7		being used in some way?
ب	8	. A	Yes. Wagons, harness, props, cowboys.
	9	Q	And I take it the horses as well were being used
	10	A	And the horses.
	n	Q	in that filming; is that correct?
	12	A	Yes.
	13	ର	Now, again in 1960 well, strike that.
•	14		Do you know the defendant in this case, Mr. Charles
	15	Manson?	
.	16 '	A	Yes.
÷	17	Q	Now, at sometime during 1969, did he come to Spahn
	18	Ranch?	
	19	A	Yes.
	20	Q	Did he come alone or did he come with other
	21	people?	
	22	A.	With others.
3	23 '	Q	And do you recall when it was in 1969 that he
د	24	arrived at	Spahn Ranch?
	25	A	Early in the spring.
	26	Q	And thereafter, for some time did Mr. Manson and

these other people continue to live at the ranch?

Yes.

À

28

14-2

2

1

3

5

6

77a ~ 77a & 8

77-aa.

10

11

12

13 14

15 *

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a series of photographs, and I would like -- that I would like to have marked as People's 77.

It's a series of black and white photographs of persons, that I would like to have marked People's 77-A through -Z and People's 77-AA. There are 27 photographs, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So ordered.

Have you seen them, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: I don't know that I have.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella, after which time Mr. Kanarek perused the proffered exhibits.)

O BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention to the photograph marked -- which has been marked People's 50 for identification, do you recognize the person shown in that photograph?

A Yes.

Q And who is that person?

A Tex Watson.

Q And was he present at the -- at Spahn Ranch during the period of time you have mentioned, with Charles Manson?

A Yes.

Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked People's 51 for identification, do you recognize the person shown in that photograph?

		<i>'</i>	
14-3	1	A	Yes.
)	2,	Q	And who is that person?
	3	A	We called her Gypsy.
	4	ୟ	And was she did she come to the ranch with
	5	at or about	the time Charles Manson arrived at the ranch?
-	6	A	Yes.
å	7	Q	And directing your attention to the photograph
	8	marked Peop	le's 52 for identification, do you recognize the
	9 .	person show	n in that photograph?
	10	Á	Yes.
	n	Q	And who is that person?
	12	A	That's Lynne Fromme.
	13	ବ୍	And did she come to the ranch at or about the
	14	time Charle	s Manson came to the ranch?
)	15	A	Yes.
Ţ.	16	· Q	Directing your attention to the photograph marked
3	17	People's 70	for identification, do you recognize the person
	18	shown in th	st photograph?
	19	A	Yes, I recognize her as Kathy.
	.20	Q.	And directing your attention to the photograph
	21	marked Peop	le's 73 for identification, do you recognize
3,	22	A	Yes.
,	23	Q	the person shown in that photograph?
έ	24	A	Yes.
	25	Q .	And who is that person?
	26	A	Danny DeCarlo.
	27	Q	And did Mr. DeCarlo at some point after Mr.
•	28	Manson arri	ved at the ranch, did Mr. DeCarlo live at the ranch

1 :

8.

A Yes.

Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention to the photograph which has been marked 77-A for identification, what appears to be a picture of a girl, do you recognize the person shown in that photograph?

A Yes.

Q And by what name did you know that pergon?

A Patti.

And is this a person who came to live at the ranch at or about the time Mr. Manson came to live at the ranch?

A Yes.

14a fls.

	ľ	
L4a-1	1	MR. MANZELLA: If I may put this in front of you here?
	2.	Q Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention to the
	3	photograph marked People's 77-B for identification, do you
	4	recognize the person shown in that photograph?
	5	A I recognize him, but I can't think of his name.
`#	6	And is this another person who came to live at the
•	7	ranch at or about the time Mr. Manson came to live at the
•	8	ranch?
	9	A. Yes.
	10	Q Directing your attention to the photograph of the
	11	girl marked People's 77-C for identification, do you recognize
	12	the person shown in that photograph?
	13	A. Yes.
_	14	Q And who is that person?
	15	A. That's Sadie Atkins.
5.	16	And did Sadie Atkins come to live at the ranch at
j.	17	or about the time Mr. Manson did?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q Directing your attention to People's 77-D for
	20	identification, do you recognize the person shown in that
	21	photograph?
	22	A. Yes.
3	23	And who is that person?
c	24	A Bobty Beausoleil.
	25	Q And did he come to live at the ranch at or about
	26	the time Mr. Manson did?
	27	A. Yes,
	28 .	Directing your attention to the photograph marked

1		
1	A. Yes.	
2	Do you know that person by name?	,
3	A. Yes.	ı
4	Q And what is that name?	+
5	A. Sandra.	
6	C Directing your attention to the photograph marked	
7	People's 77-H for identification, do you recognize the person	ľ
8.	shown in that photograph?	
9	A Is that Patricia? Patricia?	
10	No, Linda.	
n	Q All right. Did that person come	
12	A. Yes.	ļ
13	Q to live at the ranch at or about the time	
14	Mr. Manson did?	
15	A. Yes.	-
16	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked	
17	People's 32-I for identification, do you recognize the person	
18	shown in that photograph?	l
19	A. Yes.	ł
20	Q And who is that person?	
21	A. We called her Ruth Sherry, I believe her name is.	
22	Q And did she come to live at the ranch at or about	
23	the time that Mr. Manson did?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	And directing your attention to the photograph	ľ
26	marked People's 77-J for Adentification, do you recognize	
27	the person shown in that photograph?	
28	A. Yes, Let's see.	
•		1

•	
1	Q Do you remember the name of that person?
2	A. Brenda.
3	Q Is that Brenda Mc Cann?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q And did she come to live at the ranch at or about
6.	the time that Mr. Manson did?
7	A. Yes.
8.	a All right. Directing your attention to the
9.	photograph marked People's 77-K for identification, do you
10	recognize the person shown in that photograph?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q And by what name did you know that person?
13	A. Steve Grogan.
14	And did he come to live at the ranch at or about
15	the time that Mr. Manson did?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
18	People's 77-L for identification, do you recognize the person
19	shown in that photograph?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And by what name did you know that person?
22	A Ruth Morehouse.
23	Q Did she come to live at the ranch at or about the
24	time Mr. Manson did?
25 26	A. Yes.
27	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
28	People's 77-M for identification, do you recognize the
20	person shown in that photograph?

	1	A Yes.
	2	By what name did you know that person?
	3	A. Paul.
	4	Q And was the last name Watkins?
	5	A. Watkins.
14b	6	
4	7	
•	8	
	ģ	<u>.</u>
	10	
	n	
	12	
	13	
	. 14	
	1.5	
3	16	
÷	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
3	23	
4	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	,	

2

3

7

8:

9

26.

27

28

Q; And did Paul Watkins come to live at the ranch at or about the time Mr. Manson did?

A. Yes,

Directing your attention to People's 32-N for identification, do you recognize the girl shown in that photograph?

A. .

By what name did you know that girl?

Sue Bartell.

And did she come to live at the ranch at or about the time Mr. Manson did?

Yes.

Directing your attention to the photograph marked People's 77-0 for identification, do you recognize the man shown in that photograph?

A. Yes.

And by what name did you know that person? Q

Α. Vern.

And was his last name Plummley?

Yes. A.

And did he come to live at the ranch at or about the time Mr. Manson did?

A Yes.

Directing your attention to the photograph marked Ĉ. People's 77-P for identification, do you recognize the person shown in that photograph?

Yes.

Ø By what name did you know that person?

1	A Bruce Davis.
2	And did he come to live at the ranch at or about
3	the time Mr. Manson did?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
6	People's 77-Q for identification, do you recognize the person
7	shown in that photograph?
8	A. I can't place that man. I've seen him, but I just
9 .	can't place the name.
10	Q Did you see him did you ever see him at the ranch
11	at or about the time Mr. Manson was at the ranch?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
14	People's 77-R for identification, do you recognize the
15	person shown in that photograph?
16	A. Yes.
17 18	And by what name did you know that man?
19	A Larry Jones.
20	Q And did he come to live at the ranch at or about the
21	time that Mr. Manson did?
22	, A, Yes.,
23	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
24	People's 77-S for identification, do you recognize the person
25	shown in that photograph?
26	A. Yes.
27	Q And by what name did you know that girl?
28	A. Patricia Krenwinkel.
	Q And did she come to live at the ranch at or about

And did she come to live at the ranch at or about 140-1 1 the time that Mr. Manson did? 2 Yes. 3 Now, directing your attention to the photograph marked People's 77-AA for identification, do you recognize the 5 male shown in that photograph? 6 I recognize him, but I can't think of his name. 7 All right. Did he come to live at the ranch at or 8 about the time that Mr. Manson did? 9 A. Yes. 10 Now, did you know this group of people that you've 11 identified by any name ---12 Yes. A 13 Q -- or any term? 14 A. Yes. 15 What was that term? Ø. 16 The Family. A. 17 Now, did they come to the ranch as employees? Q 18 No. À. 19 Q In other words, were they hired by you --20 A No. 21 -- to work at the ranch? 22 A٠ No. 23 And after Mr. Manson and these people came to the 24 ranch, did they begin to live at the ranch? 25 Yes. A. 26 And where did they begin to stay, if you know? Q, 27 28 Down below the very low end of the ranch. A.

1	Q Did it have a handle of any sort?
2	A. It had a handle, in a with sort of a guard
3.	(indicating).
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
:	
,	
•	
5	•
•	
7	
3	,
•	
)	
l'	
2	
3	
4 .	
5	
6	•
7	
28	and the second s
<u>.</u>	

Q Directing your attention to the exhibit which has been marked People's 20 for identification.

Does that -- does that exhibit, People's 20 for identification, appear similar to anything you've seen -- you saw Mr. Menson carry during that summer of 1969?

A Yes.

Q Does that appear to be the sword about which you've been testifying?

A Yes.

of the late July or early August of 1969.

During that time, during that time when you came to the ranch every day, where were you normally when you performed your duties as forewomen of the ranch?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, and I'll ask to inquire on voir dire as to these dates. Leading and suggestive. And it is assuming --

THE COURT: Overruled.

A Down at the stable office.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And was the stable office part of the front buildings of the ranch?

A Yes.

Q Now, at any time, in that period of time, late
July or early August, 1969, did you see a white Fiat stationwagon parked in the parking lot in front or near the stable
office?

MR. KANAREK: Leading and suggestive and ambiguous.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

23 .

24

25

26 27

answer.

A Yes.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Directing your attention to the three color photographs which have been marked People's I for identification, the photographs of the white Fiat station-wagon, does that Fiat stationwagon appear similar to the one you saw parked at the front parking lot at Spahn Ranch in late July or early August, 1969?

A Yes.

Q Why do you say it does appear similar?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A . Because of the unusual front.

Q. All right. And is the unusual front of that vehicle shown in any one of these photographs?

A This (indicating).

Q Is that People's A of People's 1 for identification

A Yes.

Q Mrs. Pearl, did you know a man by the name of Lance Victor?

A Yes.

Q And directing your attention to the month of June, 1969, did Shorty Shes and Mr. Victor come to your house?

A Yes.

Q Where was -- where were you living at that time?

A I lived on DeSoto Street.

Q In Chatsworth?

A Chataworth.

	?	_
1	-	
2		
3		l
4		
5		
6		ļ
7		
8		
9		
ļO	•	
11		
12		
13		
14 .		ŀ
15		
16		
17		
18	•	
19		
5 0		
21		۱
22		
23		
24		
25		١
		I

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, when Donald or Shorty came to your house during June of 1969, did he ask you for anything?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds of hearsay, irrelevant, immaterial, no foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

À Yes.

BY MR. MANZELLA: Well ---Q.

THE COURT: The answer may be stricken.

THE WITNESS! Oh,

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I should have let you know that.

BY MR. MANZELLA: Miss Pearl, when Donald came to your house in June of 1969, did you have a conversation with him?

MR. KANAREK: It is ambiguous and is irrelevant and immeterial.

> THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer that. Did you have a conversation?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. MANZELLA: And after that conversation did you give Donald something?

> Α Yes.

What did you give him? Q

Some choice negatives that he appeared in and my snimals appeared in.

MR. KANAREK: I didn't hear that last enswer.

THE COURT: Would you read the answer back to Mr. Kanarek.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the

15-4	1	reporter as follows:
	2	"A Some choice negatives that he appeared
	3	in and my animals appeared in.")
	4	MR. KANAREK: Oh, animals.
	5	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Were these negatives and
å	6	photographs that were taken at Spahn Ranch?
£	7	A Many of them.
	. 8	Q And were they also negatives and photographs taken
	9	at some other places?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Would you name some of those other places?
	12	A They were taken at Corriganville, where we worked
	13	in movies together, and a circus where we worked together.
	14 .	Q And at Spahn Ranch?
	15	A And at Spahn Ranch.
•	16	Q Now, did Shorty tell you why strike that.
,	17	Did Shorty ask for those photographs?
	18	MR. KANAREK: Hearsay.
	19	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Strike that.
	20	Did Shorty ask for those negatives?
	21	MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor, no foundation.
	22	THE COURT: Sustained, You needn't answer that.
₽,	23	MR. MANZELLA: May we approach the bench? There would
ė	24	be several other questions that I intend to ask Mrs. Pearl.
	25	THE COURT: Very well.
traggings digger some	~~ ~ 26	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
	27	at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the
	28	hearing of the jury:)

6.

15a fls.

.

THE COURT: What is this, a course of conduct of Shea that you want to set out?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, it is similar --

THE COURT: The expressions of his intentions to remain in the area or --

MR. MANZELLA: -- not exactly, your Honor. This testimony is similar with regard to the negatives, is similar to that with regard to the gun. These were negatives and photographs she had taken and Shem asked for them. He wanted to start a publicity scrapbook. She told him that she wanted those photographs back, those negatives back. And Donald said he understood that he would return them to her and they never were returned to her.

154-1

,

 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor -- I mean, do I have to respond to that? You can't -- because the District Attorney has chosen to file an indictment doesn't mean that they can go ahead and to take everything that's happened in someone's life and put it in under the bushel basket of state of mind or whatever. It is irrelevant, it is immaterial. It has --

THE COURT: I think its weight is minimal, with what you've told me. I don't think it is --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, that's the only purpose, is to show that he got the photographs from her and that he never returned them. And that the inference that we would ask the jury to draw from that, in argument, would be that they were close friends and that if Shea was alive he would have returned the photographs.

THE COURT: Had he ever borrowed anything from her before and returned it or anything to indicate that he was responsible or reliable?

MR. MANZELLA: Well, we've already had other testimony. I don't know with regard to this witness in particular.

THE COURT: Well, you may inquire if that is true, and if he did something that's out of the ordinary or unusual, it might be -- it might be admissible. And the weight would be determined by the jury.

been like -- as an example. Binder's testimony that when he loaned money to Shee that he always paid it back and so on. I don't know if this witness in particular ever loaned him anything else other than these negatives.

THE COURT: Well, you might inquire. 1 MR. KANAREK: I would object to any such inquiry taking 2 place in the presence of the jury, your Honor, 3 THE COURT: Well, the objection will be overruled. You 4 may go shead. 5 (Whereupon; the following proceedings were had in б open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:) 7 BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Pearl, did you give those 8 negatives that you have testified about, did you give those 9 negatives to Shorty? 10 11 Ă. Yes. 12 Have you ever gotten them back? 13 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MR. MANZELLA: Do you have those negatives in 16 your possession now? 17 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immeterial. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. MANZELLA: Well, I'm sorry, your Honor, may we 20 approach the bench again? This is on another point. 21 THE COURT: I think not. You may proceed along the same 22 line. Rephrase your question. 23 Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Pearl, at the time that you 24 gave the negatives to Shorty, did you have a conversation with 25 him about those negatives? À. Yes. 27 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor. It is a form of --28 THE COURT: The objection is overruled and the answer may

\$

remain. 1 BY MR. MANZELLA; And did you tell Shorty something 2 with regard to those negatives? 3. MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. MANZELLA; And what did you tell him with 7 regard to those negatives? 8 MR. KANAREK; Hearsay; 10 THE COURT: Any conversation that's submitted now between 11 Mrs. Pearl and Shorty Shea is admitted for the purpose of establishing Mr. Shea's state of mind as to the negatives or 13 his obligation with respect to the negatives and for no other 14 purpose. 15 MR. KANAREK: Objection on the grounds of foundation. 16 also. 17 MR. MANZELLA: Oh. I'm sorry. 18 THE COURT; The Court will sustain the objection on that 19 ground. 20 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, this conversation took place 21 in June of 1969? 22 A, Yes. **2**3 And this was at your house in Chatsworth? 24 Á Yes. 25 Who was present besides the people you have already 26 testified to, Mr. Victor and Mr. Shea and yourself? 27 That's all. A. 28 Á Do you recall any more specifically when in June.

tell us -- strike that,

During that conversation in June of 1969, at your house, did Donald talk to you about the movies in general and about his participation as an actor in movies in particular?

MR. KANAREK: It is compound, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, during that conversation in June of 1969, at your house, did Donald tell you about a part he had in an upcoming movie?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA; And what did he tell you about that?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: You may answer that. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: That he had a part coming up and that he was very anxious to do it.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did he tell you with whom --- strike that.

Did he tell you who was the producer of that movie?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a series of 16-1 1 34 black and white photographs. May I have those photographs marked People's -- marked collectively People's -- I believe 3 it's 78 for identification? THE COURT: Has Mr. Kanarek seen them? MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor? THE COURT: Have you seen them? MR. KANAREK: Oh, no, I haven't, your Honor. May I 8 have a moment? THE COURT: Yes, sir. 10 (Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek 13 examined the proffered exhibits.) THE COURT: May I see those? 13 14 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 15 (Pause in the proceedings while the Court 16 perused the proffered exhibits.) 17 THE COURT: Do you two gentlemen wish to approach the 18 bench? 10 MR. KANAREK: Does your Honor wish the reporter? 20 THE COURT: No. 21 (Whereupon proceedings were had at the bench 22 among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 23 jury, which were not reported.) 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 Ladies and gentlemen, we will recess now. Have a good weekend. Take care of yourselves during the weekend. 27 Don't do anything dangerous. I'm always happy to see you in good health every

16-2

2

1

4

3.

5 6

7

8.

9.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

2Ò

21

22 23

24 25

27

28

morning. And remember the admonition, now, that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

It's your solemn obligation, remember, to avoid talking about, seeing, hearing or reading anything about Mr. Manson or this case or any other case in which Mr. Manson's name might be mentioned.

Good night. I'll see you on Monday morning at 9:45. Come at 9:40, would you, five minutes early.

Good night.

(Murmurs of "Good night" from the members of the jury.)

THE COURT: And, Mrs. Pearl, will you be back at 9:45 on Monday morning?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

(Whereupon, the members of the jury left the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:)

MR. MANZELLA: Judge, could I see you at the bench a minute?

THE COURT: What do you want?

MR. MANZELLA: I wanted to ask you about the negatives.

Sergeant Whiteley can testify that he compared the photographs that I ve shown you with the negatives; and that they're the --

THE COURT: The trouble is, I can't see the materiality of the pictures themselves. I suppose that --

16-3

1

3

5

7 8

9

11

12 13

15

17

ţ

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I think the reason is, your Honor, that Mrs. Pearl wants the negatives.

MR. KANAREK: You can release them after the trial's over, when it -- you're trying to convict Mr. Manson, to put him in the gas chamber, and I can't stipulate to that kind of stuff.

THE COURT: Oh, well, what you are concerned about is getting the negatives back to Mrs. Pearl?

MR. MANZELIA: Right.

MR, KANAREK: She can always --

THE COURT: They will not be back in her hands for years, possibly.

MR. MANZELLA: Right.

THE COURT: Is that what you are talking about?

MR. MANZELLA: Right. And Sergeant Whiteley can testify -- he just told me that he can testify that he compared each photograph with each negative.

78 for identification?

MR. MANZELLA: Right. With each negative.

THE COURT: With the negatives that were found in the car, which you contend was abandoned by Mr. Shee?

MR. MANZELLA: Right.

THE COURT: Or by some --

MR. MANZELLA: Right.

MR. KANAREK: I could not so stipulate, your Honor. I - we -- like in a counterfeiting case, you can't stipulate that the -- that the plates are the same as the counterfeit bills,

that may or may not have been produced. 16-4 1 Monday, your Honor. 5 negatives here. THE COURT: Thanks. MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 9. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 25

28

MR. MANZELLA: Well, maybe we can just let it go until

THE COURT: Very well. I think we had better have the

MR. KANAREK: Have a good weekend, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 4:15 o'clock p.m., an adjournment was taken until 9:45 o'clock a.m. of the following Monday, September 13, 1971.)