SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Ś Plaintiff. 6 No. A-267861 7 VS. 8 CHARLES MANSON, 9 Defendant. 10 11 12 REPORTERS DATLY TRANSCRIPT 13 14 Monday, September 13, 1971 15 VOLUME 42 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA, For the People: 20 Deputy District Attorney 21 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 22 23 24 25 26 27 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. 28 Official Court Reporters

1	
2	INDEX
3	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4	PEARL, Ruby 6472 6534
5	
6	EXHIBITS
7	PEOPLE'S: FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
8	78 - two strips of black and white negatives 6471
9 10	79 - knife - blade and handle separated 6501
n	80-A - H - Photographs 6515
12	
ìà	DEFENDANT'S:
14	H - Document 6540
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	With the Contract of the Contr
28	

v

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1971 11:23 AM 1 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. (Whereupon, there were murmurs of "Good morning, 5 your Honor," by the jury.) б THE COURT: Off the record. 7 (Whereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 8 THE COURT: On the record. 9 Miss Briandi, would you bring your machine and 10 come up to the bench. Counsel, please approach the bench. 11 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 12 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the 13 hearing of the jury:) 14 'THE COURT: Mr. Manson -- the record will show that 15 Mr. Kanarek, Mr. Manson, Mr. Manzella are here at the bench. 17 If you can be quiet during the course of these 18 courtroom proceedings, the Court would prefer that so that 19 you can be present during the course of the trial. 20. Can you be quiet? Do you promise me that you 21 will be quiet? THE DEFENDANT: I have no words. There's no words that 23 I can possibly communicate with you people. I've tried in every direction I know how. There's only one thing you seem 25 to understand, you know, and I hate to move into that, you 26 know. 27 Your Honor, I am doing my best to take as much 28 as I can, but there's a limit to anything.

THE COURT: Well, if you can't promise me that you will 1 be quiet and not disturb this court --2 THE DEFENDANT: I'm thinking about jerking you off that 3 bench and breaking your jaw. 4 THE COURT: All right, the Court will order that Mr. 5 Manson be kept in the detaining cell, then. We'll make --6 as long as you don't raise any noise here that disturbs the 7 courtroom --8 THE DEFENDANT: Do you want me to start into war with q you? 10 The proceedings will be --11 12 THE DEFENDANT: It would be like defeating myself. 13 It wouldn't make no sense, would it? 14 THE COURT: We'll have to close the door to the 15 detention cell if you raise any noise in there now, and that 16 will keep you from hearing the proceedings. 17 That's all. 18 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 19 in open court within the presence and hearing of the 20 jury:) 21 THE COURT: You may proceed, gentlemen. 22 MR. MANZELLA: People call Mrs. Ruby Pearl, your 23 Honor. 24 THE CLERK: You are still under oath. Please be 25 seated and state your name again for the record. 26 THE WITNESS: My name is Mrs. Ruby Pearl. . 27 28 RUBY PEARL,

7

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to be two strips of black and white negatives, each containing three photographs.

Could I have these marked -- if the Court would allow it, could I withdraw the black and white photographs, your Honor, and mark these negatives People's 78 for identification?

THE COURT: The Court will permit that. You have previously indicated that you wish a group of black and white photographs to be marked 78 for identification. In lieu of that, the Court would permit the negatives to be so marked.

la fls. 78 Id.

Id.

2.4

'

24· 

 $\widetilde{\chi}$ 

remain.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would you tell us what the conversation was?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, irrelevant, immaterial, prejudicial value outweighs the probative value and no foundation.

admit this conversation, but the Court advises you, instructs you that the conversation is admitted for -- is not admitted for the truth of what was stated in the conversation or what is to be stated in the conversation. It is submitted to establish, if it does in your judgment, and only in your judgment, establish a certain state of mind of Mr. Shea, and to show that the conversation was had.

The objection is overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: All right.

Yes, he wanted some negatives showing -MR. KANAREK: Could we have the conversation, your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes. He wanted to get some pictures from my negatives --

THE COURT: Miss Pearl, what has been asked of you is the conversation. Would you, if you can remember, would you state what the words were?

THE WITNESS: Oh.

THE COURT: He said, I said.

THE WITNESS: "Could I have some of your negatives showing my part in some of the pictures that I worked in so I could use them for my scrapbook to show the producers and it can

become more conscious in their minds that I have been working. 1 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, did you Q Ż say anything when Donald asked you for the negatives? 3 MR. KANAREK: Same objections, your Honor. May I have a continuing objection on the grounds enunciated before your Honor stated it would be offered for the 6. limited purpose your Honor indicated? 7 THE COURT: No, you may not have, but the Court would .8 sustain the objection. 9 10 in the 11 12 13 14 15 FIRE CONTRACT 16 17 18-19 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 28

2

ľ

2

3

5

7

8

9

26

27

28

BY MR. MANZELLA: After you had the conversation with Shorty Shea with regard to the negatives, did you then give him some negatives?

> A Yes.

Directing your attention, Mrs. Pearl, to the exhibit marked People's 78 for identification, have you seen those -- strike that.

Have I shown you those negatives before court?

Ą Yes.

Do you recognize those negatives?

Yes.

All right. Would you tell us what those negatives

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor. Immaterial: calling for a conclusion.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes, they're some show ponies working; and myself, by my horse trailer; and my dogs; and my pony; and showing me working at the circus.

BY MR. MANZELIA: All right. Are those negatives, the ones marked People's 78 for identification, in the group of negatives that you gave to Donald Shorty Shea in June of 1969?

A Yes.

Now, did you give him -- strike that. Q

The negatives which are marked People's 78 for identification, were those all the negatives that you gave Mr. Shea? Or were they part of a group of negatives that --

1	A Part of
2	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial; and leading
3	and suggestive, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Overruled. You may
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. They were part of a group. There
6	were many more.
7	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, after you gave the
8	negatives to Mr. Shea, when was the next time that you saw
9	them?
10	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor. Immaterial.
11	THE COURT: Overruled.
12	MR. KANAREK: I it's assuming facts not in evidence,
13	your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Overruled.
15	THE WITNESS: I saw them after the raid there at the
16	ranch.
17	Q And where were they when you saw them?
18	A Right on the ranch.
19	Q All right. After this was after the August
20	16th raid
21	A Yes.
22	Q of 1969?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And do you recall where they were when you saw
25	them?
26 ori	A In his car.
27	Q In Shorty's car?
28 · • • •	A Yes.

¥

Q Now, after that, that time that you saw them, 1 when was the next time that you saw them, if at all? 2 A I never saw them at all. 3 MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, and 4 5 assumes facts not in evidence, your Honor. THE COURT: I didn't hear the answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I didn't see them after that. Я THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 9 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Did you thereafter Q. 10 see the negatives in -- strike that. 11 Thereafter, were the negatives shown to you by 12 a law enforcement officer? 13 MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant, your Honor, and 14 immaterial. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 BY MR. MANZELLA: Or is this the first time that Q. 17 you have seen them? 18 No. I saw the pictures developed after that. 19 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken as a 20 conclusion on the part of the witness? 21 THE COURT: The answer, after "No," the answer is 22 stricken. 23 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Did you see some pictures which were -- which appeared to be pictures taken 25 from the negatives which you gave to Shorty? 26 MR. KANAREK: Galling for a conclusion; and irrelevant 27 and immaterial. 28 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

ý

THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 BY MR. MANZELLA: And did you see those pictures Q 2 in a court proceeding? A Yes. MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds it's 5 ž. irrelevant and immaterial. 6 THE COURT: The answer may remain, 7 The objection is overruled. 8 Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, is this the first time 9 that you've seen the negatives, or a portion of those 10 negatives, since you saw them in Donald Shorty Shea's car 11 12 after the August 16th raid? 13 A Yes. 14 Now, did you ever meet a girl by the name of 15 Magdalene or Niki Shea? 16 2a fls. Yes. 17 18 19 21 · 22 23 free March 19 24 25 26 27 28

	į	
2a-1	1	Q And when did you meet her?
	, 2	A. One day at the ranch.
	,3	Q And was Donald or Shorty with her when you met her?
i.	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q And do you recall when this was in relation to the
ű,	6	August 16th raid on the Spahn Ranch?
	7	A. A few days later.
	8	Q Was it a few days later or a few days before the
	9	August 16th raid?
	10	A. Later.
	iı	Q Now, directing your attention to the date of
	12	August 16th of 1969, it was strike that.
	13	Mrs. Pearl, do you know what I am referring to when
	14	I refer to the August 16th raid?
	.15	A. Oh, yes. Yeah,
4	16	Q All right. And was the August 16th raid a series
	17	of arrests which were made at Spahn Ranch?
¥	18	A. Yes.
	19	And were you there on August were you at the
	20	ranch, or did you go to the ranch to perform your duties as
	21	foreweman on August 16th of 1969?
ž.	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q And when you got strike that.
Ã	24	Approximately when was it during that day of
	25	August 16th, 1969 when you arrived at Spahn Ranch?
	26	A Early morning.
	.27	Q Were there Sheriff's deputies there at the time
	28	that you arrived at the ranch on August 16th?
	•	

1	A. No, they had left.
2	Q Now, directing your attention to the date of
3	August 15th, the day before the raid, were you at the ranch on
4	that date?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q And did you see Mr. Manson there on that date?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q Did you see other members of the group you've
. 9	identified as the Manson Family?
10	A. Yes.
<b>11</b>	Q Now, when you arrived at the ranch on August 16th of
12	1969, was Mr. Manson there?
13	A. No.
14	Were other were there any other people you've
15	identified as the Manson Family there on August 16th, 1969?
16	A. No.
17	Q Now, sometime shortly after the August 16th raid,
18	did you see Shorty Shea at the Spahn Ranch?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q Did Mr. Shea come strike that.
21	What did Mr. Shea do when he got to the ranch after
22	the August 16th raid?
23	A Well; he wanted to help put things back together.
24	Did he begin to live at the ranch?
25	A. Yes.
26	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may that be stricken as
27	a conclusion on the part of this witness, whether he lived
28	there or not?

THE COURT: The objection -- or, the motion, rather, is 1 denied. 2 The answer may remain. 3 MR. KANAREK: Then may I have the witness! last statement 4 read back, your Honor? 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. (Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter 8 9 as follows: Well, he wanted to help put things 10 ПΑ. back together. 11 12 Did he begin to live at the ranch? 13 11 A. Yes.") MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, that statement -- I ask 14 that that statement be stricken, "He wanted to put things 15 16 back together." 17 THE COURT: You've already made that motion, and the 18 Court denied it. 19 MR. KANAREK: It was the latter part that I -- the 20 "living" part that I was directing my previous motion to. 21 THE COURT: I assumed that you were directing your **22** motion toward her last answer. 23 MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And the Court's ruling is the same. . It may 25 remain in. Motion to strike is denied. 26 27 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, did Mr. -- strike that. Did you continue to go to the ranch, daily, after 28

A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

1 the August 16th raid? 2 A. Yes. And for a while after the August 16th raid, did you 3. see -- when you first saw Shorty come to the ranch, did you see Shorty there on a daily basis --6 Ä. Yes. 7 -- for a while after the August 16th raid? Q. 8 Yes. . A. 9 Now, do you know where Mr. Shea was staying while he Q, 10 was at the ranch, after the August 16th raid? 11 A. Yes. 12 Where was that? Q. 13 In his car. Now, sometime after the raid, did you see Mr. 14 Q 15 Manson and other members of the Family again? 16 Yes. 17 And where did you see them? 18 A. Just walking around the yard. 19 Did they - they had come back to the Spahn Ranch? ŽŎ. Α. 1911年 宣表的 21 Now, during the time that you saw Mr. Shea on the 22 Spahn -- at the Spahn Ranch, after the August 16th raid, did 23 you have any conversation -- did you have a conversation with 24 him -- strike that. 25 During the time that you saw Mr. Shea at Spahn Ranch 26 after the August 16th raid, did you have a conversation with 27 him? 28 A. Yes.

ķ

And approximately how long after the August 16th raid was this conversation? Α. A few days or so. 规则 人名英格兰 

2

3

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Now, during this time that you saw Mr. Shea at Spahn Ranch, after the August 16th raid, did he say anything to you about the movies?

A. Yes. He was -Q. All right. Would you tell us what he said to you?

A. He was expecting a part --

MR. KANAREK; Objection, your Honor. No foundation.

MR. KANAREK; Objection, your honor. No loundation

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Who was present when Donald made these statements to you?

A. Mrs. Quant and Lance Victor, probably, when he was telling me about his picture part.

MR. KANAREK: Well. may that be stricken?

THE WITNESS: They were --

MR. KANAREK: May that last portion be stricken?

THE COURT: Yes. It's not responsive, after the reply "Mrs. Quant and Lance Victor."

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Would you tell us what Mr. Shea said to you?

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial; hearsay; and improper foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Ladies and gentlemen -- overruled, rather. The Court will permit the answer. The same admonition, however, is passed on to you by the Court.

The Court tells you that the conversation is admitted to establish -- if it does in your judgment establish

1	the state of mind of Mr. Shea.
2	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Would you tell us what
3	A. Yes.
4	Q Shorty said to you about the film?
5	A. Yes. He was waiting anxiously for the part to come
6	up. He was going to wait around. And he had this part
<b>7</b> ·	promised, to work right there on the ranch in this picture.
8	Q All right. Now, during this time, had anyone
9	contacted you with regard to using Spahn Ranch?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q And who had contacted you?
12	MR. KANAREK; Object, your Honor. Hearsay; conclusion;
13	irrelevant and immaterial.
14	THE COURT: Sustained;
15	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Had somebody contacted
16	you with regard to
17	A. Yes. The producer, Eob Bickston.
18	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may that be stricken?
19	That's and may the witness be asked to refrain from
20	THE COURT: After the word "yes," it may be stricken.
21	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Who contacted you?
22	MR. KANAREK: That's calling for a conclusion, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
24	THE WITNESS: What was the question again?
25	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Who contacted you?
<b>26</b> .	A Bob Bickston.
27	Q And was this with regard to shooting pick-up shots
28	at Spahn Ranch?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken.

THE WITNESS: Oh.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Pearl, after Mr. Manson and the Family came to — to stay at Spahn Ranch, was there any person who was a part of that group, who spent time with Mr. Spahn, George Spahn?

MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, there's a series of questions
I intended to ask in this area. May we approach the bench
briefly for argument?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Incidentally, you had indicated to me -- to the Court, at the bench on Friday, in respect to the negatives, that you wished to show that the negatives were loaned to him, and that there was -- he had expressed some intention of returning them.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I didn't mean to foreclose you from going into that, if you wished to. Otherwise, it might be somewhat irrelevant, except it does tend to show his interest in show business.

That's about it.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I didn't mean to foreclose you from pursuing that, if he did express any intention of returning those negatives.

2c

1Ò

20]

0

All right. Now, what --

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. He -- that would be my offer of proof on that point, is that -- that's what I -- the conversation I was asking from her, was that in reply to what Donald had asked her for, the negatives, Mr. Shea had asked her for the negatives, and she said, "I'll give them to you, but I want them back."

And he said, "I'll return them to you." That's the conversation that they had.

With regard to this other point --

THE COURT: I'll permit that.

Now, what is this other point?

MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect that's over objection, --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: -- that solicitation of -- of so-called intent? It's irrelevant and immaterial; and it's hearsay. There's no foundation for it. It has only prejudicial value.

THE COURT: Yes. The record may so show.

MR. MANZELLA: Now, with regard to this other point,
Mrs. Pearl would testify that — that the person who — there
was one person who spent time with George Spahn, and that was
Lynn Fromme, and that she was with him almost on a daily
basis; and that she helped to take care of him; and that —
and that she was present — that Mrs. Pearl was present at a
conversation between Frank Retz and George Spahn with regard
to the hiring of Donald Shea; and Lynn Fromme was present at
that conversation.

=

20. 

THE COURT: Oh, I see. Just -- so you are again not offering the conversation to prove the truth of what's uttered, but simply to show that it was uttered?

MR. MANZELLA: Right. And that Lynn Fromme was present at the time.

THE COURT: Well, you can establish -- I mean, I think that that's --

MR. MANZELLA: In other words, it's corroborative of Mr. Retz's testimony.

THE COURT: It may be argumentative, and the Court will not mention Lynn Fromme in its instruction, but will instruct the jury that it's received for a limited purpose.

MR. MANZELLA: All right.

MR. KANAREK: If I may, your Honor, this is again —
there's a fatal gap here, in this offer of proof. He has never
— he is never going to be able to prove, he tells us
previously, that Lynn Fromme ever told Mr. Manson anything.

and due process under the Fourteenth Amendment, and equal protection, for Mr. Manzella to single out some person that has been with Mr. Spahn; and have this person be Lynn Fromme — or Squeaky or whatever — and then saddle Mr. Manson with that, absent some showing that, at the very minimum — and I have done further research on it over the weekend, and I can represent to the Court that the law does not contemplate that kind of a fatal gap — when that fatal gap is there, you can't saddle Mr. Manson with what happened in the presence of Lynn Fromme.

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella states that he is able to show that Mr. Manson did acquire knowledge of the conversation, and he has already shown that Lynn Fromme is one of those persons who associated with Mr. Manson as one of the Family.

MR. KANAREK: But that doesn't -- that doesn't -- there may be a jillion people. If they wish to prove some statement of Mr. Manson's, about knowledge of the hiring of Shorty Shea, that is a completely different ball of wax.

It's completely different than trying to -- to saddle Mr. Manson with statements of Lynn Fromme, wherein there is not going to be any showing that Lynn Fromme ever told it to Mr. Manson.

Why should -- why should Mr. Manson be saddled with this bit of evidence?

It's irrelevant and immaterial, unless there's showing that Lynn Fromme told Mr. Manson, your Honor.

THE COURT: It may be possibly redundant, in view of the fact that the People do have information -- or do have evidence, according to what Mr. Manzella says he will produce, that Mr. Manson did have knowledge of that conversation.

MR. KANAREK: Maybe Mr. Spahn told him directly or something.

THE COURT: He may have. And then again, it may have been a situation wherein Lynn Fromme or somebody else told him. But this is for the jury to determine. The --

MR. KANAREK: Well, not --

THE COURT: -- people can produce this type of thing with the hope that the jury will buy their theory of the case

MR. KANAREK: That's the prejudice, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court --

MR. KANAREK: That's the prejudice, your Honor, unless there is a showing that Lynne Fromme ever told about these events to Mr. Manson. It is prejudicial to Mr. Manson. It is supposition. It is conjecture, merely that Squeaky or Lynne Fromme ever told Mr. Manson these things. It is very --

THE COURT: The jury doesn't have to take that inference. But the inference is there to be taken because of the association of Lynne Fromme with Mr. Manson.

MR. KANAREK: That's the danger, your Honor, the prejudice of it, unless they can show that. How can Mr. Manson be saddled with those events that happened, purportedly in the presence of Squeaky and Mr. Spahn and/or Mr. Retz?

THE COURT: Well, the Court will permit it. The Court thinks it is permissible for the limited purpose for which it is offered.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

## BY MR. MANZĒLLA:

- Q Mrs. Pearl, was there one person who was part of that group who spent time with George Spahn?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Who was that person?
  - A That was Lynne Fromme.
  - And can you tell us how often -- strike that.

3-2 After Lynne Fromme came to the ranch, how often ĺ was she with George Spahn? 2 MR. KANAREK: That's irrelevant and immaterial, your 3 Honor. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 5 More or less continually. 6 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, did you know a man by 7 the name of Frank Retz? 8 A 9 Yes. 10 Now, directing your attention to sometime shortly after the August 16th raid. 11 12 Were you ever present at a conversation between · 13 Mr. Spahn and Mr. Retz? 14 Yes. 15 Now, would you tell us what the subject matter 16 of the conversation was? 17 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor, immaterial, 18 hearsay. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. You may ask her what the 20 conversation was. 21 BY MR. MANZELLA: Who was present besides Mr. 22 Spahn, Mr. Retz and yourself? 23 A Lynne. 24 Q Lynne Fromme? 25 A Yes. 26 Was anyone else present, if you recall? Q. 27 A I don't recall. 28 Q. Now, where did the conversation take place?

2

3.

4

5

6 7

8.

9

10.

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

A In the yard...

Q When you say "the yard," what portion of the ranch are you referring to?

A The front of the boardwalk.

Q Is that the boardwalk in front of the main buildings at the ranch?

A Yes.

Q By the way, those buildings are no longer there, is that correct?

A No. That is correct, they are no longer there.

Q Were they destroyed in the fire of September,

A Yes.

Q Now, at this conversation would you tell us what was said?

MR. KANAREK: Object, hearsay, improper foundation, irrelevant, immaterial, and the prejudicial value outweighs the probative value.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The Court, however, will tell the jury this, that the testimony regarding these conversations or the conversation between Frank Retz and George Spahn is permitted to be received by the Court, and it is for you to determine from the testimony whether the conversations were held, and the testimony is received solely for the purpose of establishing not the truth of the matter uttered in the conversations but whether, in fact, the conversations were held.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, would you tell us

.2

3

5

7.

8

10

ļÌ

12 13

14 15

16

1Ż

18 19

2Ò

21

22

3a fls.

23 24

25

26

27

28

what was said between Mr. Spahn and Mr. Retz?

A Yes. Mr. Spahn said he had a good man here that he'd like him to hire for a watchman. And he wouldn't be very much trouble. He would be a good man to have around. He had known him for so long.

Q All right. Now, prior to that had Mr. Retz in that conversation -- had Mr. Retz said anything about hiring a watchman?

MR. KANAREK: Same objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- A Yes, he wanted to find a good man to put there.
- Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And what else did Mr. Retz say about hiring a watchman?
  - A He said that he would take this man and hire him.
- Q Now, was Lynne Fromme present at this conversation?

A Yes.

THE COURT: That's where I made the admonition clear, the testimony is received solely for the purpose of establishing, if it does, in your judgment, establish that the conversation was held, not to prove the truth of the conversation.

BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, at sometime thereafter 1-1 1 did you have a conversation with Shorty Shea with regard to--2 with regard to money? 3 A Yes. And is this still in August of 1969? Q. A Yes. .6 Would you tell us what that conversation was Q. 7 well, strike that. 8 Who was present at the conversation? 9 Just myself and him. A 10 Yourself and Shorty Shea? 11 12 Yes. Where did the conversation take place, if you 13 recall? 14 In the Spahn house. 15 And this is on Spahn Ranch, is that correct? 16 A Yes. 17 All right. Would you tell us what that conver-18 sation was? 19 20 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor, irrelevant, 21 immaterial. 22 MR. MANZELLA: It is offered for the same purpose, 23 your Honor, state of mind. 24 In other words, to show -- it is not THE COURT: 25 admitted -- you don't seek to have it admitted to prove the 26 truth of what was uttered, but simply to establish, if it 27 does establish in the jury's mind, a state of mind, a state 28 of mind --

3a-2

2

MR. MANZELLA: Of Mr. Shea.

THE COURT: -- of Mr. Shea at the time the conversation was uttered?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court will permit it for that reason only, ladies and gentlemen. And the Court will admonish you in that respect. It is to be received for the limited purpose indicated, if you are to receive it at all. If you do, in your judgment, believe that it does in any way so reflect or establish the state of mind of Mr. Shea.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Pearl, would you tell us what was said in the conversation you had with Shorty Shea on that occasion?

A He wanted to borrow some money. And I said, "Gee, I don't know if I can get the money. What do you want it for?"

And he said, "Well, I got to get my guns out of hock."

And I said, "Why don't you wait. Your picture job will soon be up and you can get the money then."

Q During that conversation did you have any -- did you discuss with him the job with Frank Retz?

A Yes. I mentioned that the jobs would come up, he would have that, too, to get the money.

Q Now, had you seen Donald with a matched set of revolvers to that time?

A Yes.

Q Had you ever had them in your possession?

3a-3	1	Yes
)	2	Q What were the circumstances surrounding the guns
	3	being in your possession?
	4	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial, improper
*	5	foundation.
*	6	THE COURT: Sustained.
	7 .	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Donald ever place the gun
	8	in your possession?
	9	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, your Honor,
	10	improper foundation.
	11	THE COURT: Sustained.
	12	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you did Donald ever talk
	13	to you about the guns
	14	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, your Honor,
•	15	improper foundation as to time.
	16	Q this matched set of revolvers.
	17	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
<b>#</b>	18	A Yes.
	19	Q BY MR. MÁNZELLA: All right, was this on one
	20	occasion or more than one occasion?
	21	A More than one.
	22	Q Was this over a period of months?
*	23	A Yes.
<b>Ž</b> .,	24	Q Did you see these guns in Donald's possession?
	25	A Yes.
	26	Q On one occasion or more than one occasion?
`	27	A More than one.
7	28	Q Now, did Donald ever talk to you about his feeling

是 (1) 10 (1) (1) (1)

3a-4 towards the guns? 1 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor, immaterial. 2 improper foundation. 3 MR. MANZELLA: Being offered for state of mind. 5 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. It is being б received again for that limited purpose, and that is if it 7 shows -- if you indeed feel it shows a state of Mr. Shea 8 at the time of the statement. 9 Q. BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Donald ever talk to you 10 about those feelings towards those guns? 11 Yes. He said, "Don't let no one touch them." 12 He said "Put them away for me." 13 Q. Was this prior to the time he went to Las Vegas? 14 A Yes. 15 Q. Did he take the guns back when he returned to Los 16 Angeles? 17 Yes. .18 Š Now, during August of 1969, Mrs. Pearl, did you 19. ever see any vehicles being driven by Mr. Manson and the other 20 members of the family? 21 Yes. 22 Were they driven on Spahn Ranch? 23 24 What kind of vehicles were they that were being 25 driven? 26 Buses and vans and small cars. 27 Do you know -- are you familiar with a vehicle 28 called the dune buggy?

3a-5	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Did you ever see those on the ranch?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q And were they being driven by Mr. Manson and
•	5	members of the Family?
, ŝ.	6	A Yes.
	7	Q And did you see where these vehicles were being
	8	driven by Mr. Manson and members of the Manson Family?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q And where were they being driven?
	11	A Across the road over to the Iverson Ranch, down
3b fls.	12	the road off the property, and down the road to the farmhouse.
	13.	
À	14	
	15	,
<b>্</b>	16	
•	17	
<b>.</b>	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
•	22	·
·	23 24	 
<b>3</b> 4	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

Edg Hig

A. No.

Q They began to carry them after the August 16th raid?

A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have a knife which I would like to have marked People's 79 for identification. The handle is off the knife, your Honor. It is in two separate pieces.

THE COURT: You wish the handle and the blade marked People's 79?

'MR. MANZELLA: People's 79, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So ordered.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll recess now until 1:30 rather than 2:00 o'clock. If we start at 1:30 perhaps we can catch up some time. I must spend this afternoon on some other matters at 2:00 o'clock.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I had contemplated 1:45 because of a certain --

THE COURT: Let's see if you can adjust your time. Let's start at 1:30. Perhaps I can give you some further time at about 2:00, and I'll call several matters, although it won't take ten minutes for the Court to accomplish this other work.

MR. KANAREK: I will endeavor, certainly, your Honor, to accommodate the Court, but this was --

THE COURT: All right, 1:30, then.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

THE COURT: The Court admonishes you that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, or with anyone else, nor permit

anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

See you at 1:30.

Let's see, Mr. Kuczera, could the jury assemble -- rather than being in the warm hallway, could they assemble in that 103 room?

THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If they could. It is apparently cool in there, is it not, and you could make some arrangements so that communications would be available to them, if you can.

You are excused until 1:30.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken at 12:04 p.m. to reconvene at 1:30 p.m., same day.)

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1971 1:40 P.M. 1 2 THE COURT: Case of People vs. Manson. The record may show that the jurors are all The jurors and alternates. present. MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Pearl. 7 8 RUBY PEARL. 9 the witness on the stand at the time of the noon recess, 10 having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and 11 testified further as follows: 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 14 BY MR. MANZELLA: 15 Mrs. Pearl, before we took the recess, you were 16 telling us that after the August 16th raid you saw members 17 of the Family begin carrying knives. 18 Would you describe those knives to us, please? 70 Yes. They were what we call Bowie knives or 20 Buck knives, and carried in a sheath. 21 22 Directing your attention to the exhibit which has 23 been marked People's 79 for identification. 24 How would you compare the knives which you saw 25 to the -- uh, the Manson Family carrying to that knife? 26 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, calling for a 27 conclusion, no foundation. 28 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: May we approach the bench, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, we're offering this to -Mrs. Pearl found this knife at the ranch and this knife was
similar to the same kind of knives that the members of the
Family were carrying. And they began carrying these knives
at the August 16th raid. And I believe the evidence will show
that Shea was killed --

THE COURT: Is it a peculiar kind of knife or what?

MR. MANZELLA: No, it is not, your Honor.

Shea was killed by stabbing. And I believe the evidence - this evidence is relevant on that point.

MR. KANAREK: It is so prejudicial compared to any probative value. There are millions and millions --

THE COURT: Just a minute.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Her testimony is that they began carrying knives up to the August 16th raid and this knife is the kind of knife that the members of the Family began to carry.

THE COURT: I understand. It is a rather common kind of knife. I don't see its connection, really. I don't think that it would be admissible.

MR. MANZELLA: All right.

THE COURT: Unless she can describe the different kinds of knives they carried, if you wish to. But without

there being some connection with this particular knife, I 1 can't see that it would be material. . 2 MR. MANZELLA: You don't feel this knife would be admissible even for illustrative purposes, even if she says these were 4 the description of the knives they were carrying, they were 5 carrying these knives? 6 THE COURT: You anticipate that she will so identify 7 this particular knife? 8 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 9 MR. KANAREK: But it is prejudicial, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Well, sometimes --11 12 MR. KANAREK: But the point is to --THE COURT: -- relevant evidence is prejudicial. 13 MR. KANAREK: Well, by prejudicial I mean the probative 14 15 value is far outweighed by just bringing an instrument in this 16 courtroom, a knife, and just having her testify about it. 17 These people -- Mr. Manson is not saddled with every human 18 being that lived at this ranch. There is no conspiracy 10 alleged even as to people --20 THE COURT: You may proceed, then, if you wish, if 21 it is your representation that she will recognize this knife 22 as the style and type of knife that was carried. 23 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 24 I don't see how that --MR. KANAREK: 25 THE COURT: But how is it relevant to what you intend 26 to show thereafter? 27 MR. MANZELLA: The relevancy is that this -- the members 28 and Family began carrying knives --

MR. MANZELLA: -- after August 16th and Shea was 2 killed by knives. He was killed by members of the Family. 3 Mr. Manson and Mr. Grogan and Mr. Davis. THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain the objection. 5 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had б in open court within the presence and hearing of the 7 jury:) Ω BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, would 9. you describe the knives which the members of the Family began 10 11 carrying after the August 16th raid? 12. MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, ambiguous, 13 unless it is --14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention again, just for one or two questions, back to the 16 17 negatives which I showed you this morning. The ones which 18 you testified you loaned to Donald Shea in June of 1969. 19 THE COURT: She testified she gave them to him. 20 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 21 BY MR. MANZELLA: When you gave the negatives to Q. 22 Shorty Shea in June of 1969, did you say anything to him about those negatives? 24 A Yes. 25 26

THE COURT: What is the relevance --

1

3d fls.

27

28

2

Ş

4 5

6

7

8

9<sup>.</sup> 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q And what did you say to him?

MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, irrelevant, immaterial, improper.

MR. MANZELLA: Offered for state of mind.

A STATE OF THE STA

MR. KANAREK: Improper foundation.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. It is admitted, ladies and gentlemen, for the sole purpose of establishing, if it does establish, Mr. Shea's state of mind with respect to the negatives.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I told him to be sure to give them back. Don't let anything happen to them. And, also, make me a copy of them.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, did Shorty say anything to you when you said that to him?

A. Oh ---

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, hearsay, no foundation.

THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes, he said he would take good care of them and give them back.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did he ever give them back to you?

A. No.

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, calling for a conclusion, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: No.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Mrs. Pearl, do you recall the last time that you saw Donald Shorty Shea?

A. Yes.

j	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q Do you recall what month it was?
2	A Last part of August, '69.
3	Q Where were you when you last saw Donald?
. 4	A. In the yard, by the boardwalk.
5	Q This is the yard of Spahn Ranch in front of the
Ġ '	main buildings?
7	A. Yes.
8.	Q Approximately what time was it?
9.	A. It was 11:00 o'clock in the evening.
10	Q Where were you going at that time?
11	A. I was going home.
12	Q How were you traveling home?
13	A. I had a small black Rambler.
14	Q Now, would you tell us what happened on that
15	occasion when you last saw Shorty?
16	MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, to the essay. It is
17	uh the question is ambiguous.
18	THE COURT: Overruled, You may answer.
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, I went and got in my car to go home
20	and Shorty stepped up to the car, started talking to me. And
21	he said, uh
22	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: May I interrupt you for just a
23	moment?
24	A. Yes.
25	About - strike that.
<b>26</b> ·	Was this after the August 16th raid?
<b>2</b> 7	A. Yes.
28	Q About how much time after the August 16th raid?

1	A. Oh, a couple of weeks or so.
2	Q So we're talking about the latter part of August,
3	1969?
. 4	A. Yes.
5	Q Now, when Donald came over to your car, did you have
6	a conversation with him?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q Did he ask you anything?
9, '	A. Yes.
10	· Q What did he ask you?
JŢ	A. He asked if he could come home and stay at my house.
12	Q Did you say anything in reply to that?
13	A Yes, I said, well, you could there's a place in
14	the shed there to stay. My house is so small. And I had the
15	little dogs in my house. And I said, "You're welcome to stay
16	in the shed."
17	Q Did Donald say anything in reply to that?
18	A. Yes. He said it was rather cold in there. He
19	didn't think he would.
20	Q Did you make any other suggestions about where he
21	could stay?
22	A. Yes. I said, "Why don't you go over to the Fountain
23	of the World?"
24	Q What is the Fountain of the World?
25	A. That is a religious cult that takes in people that
26	doesn't have a place to sleep.
27	Q How far is that from the Spahn Ranch?
28	A. And it is only three miles west of the ranch.
	ı

1	e I	s that in Simi Valley?
2	A. 3	imi Valley, yeah.
3	୍ଟ	hen you said that, did Donald make any reply?
4	A. H	e said, "Well, I don't know. I guess I'll stay
5	here."	
6	<i>∂</i> 11	ow, had you, during the years, 15 or so you had
7	known Mr. Sh	ea, had you had an opportunity to observe his
8	demeanor or	behavior?
9	A. Y	os.
10	Q A	ll right. Did you observe his demeanor and
11	behavior dur	ing this conversation?
12	A. Y	es. He was more nervous never was nervous
13 .	about wonder	ing where he was going to stay before.
14	L Q H	ad you ever seen him like that before?
15	A. N	ο,
16	o n	ow, after you had the conversation with Shorty,
17	what did you	do?
18	A I	took off slowly.
19 <sup>,</sup>	Q, Í	n your car?
20	A, Y	es.
21	Q W	hen you say you took off, did you drive in a
22	particular d	irection?
23	, A. A	es, towards the exit of the ranch there.
24	Q I	s that towards Santa Susana Pass Road?
25	A. Y	es.
26	Q N	ow, as you were driving out, did anything happen?
27	A. Y	es.
28	Q W	hat was that?

	A. A car sped in across the driveway and parked real	
1	suddenly. And, uh	ļ.
2	Now, when you said it sped in, are you referring to	
3	the fact that it sped in to the Spahn Ranch yard?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q That's the same yard you were pulling out of,	
6	correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	•	
9		
10		
n		
12		ŀ
13		
14		
15		ļ
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		1
27		
28		
,		إ

ľ		
1	A.	I kept stretching my neck and slowly pulling out
2	and seeing	where they were going, and I saw them sort of
3	spread out	as they reached the boardwalk.
4	Q	And where was Donald where was Shorty at that
5	time?	
6	A.	He was just on the edge of the boardwalk, in the
7	middle.	
8	Q.	He was in the middle of this group
ģ	A.	Of the group.
10	; <b>Q</b>	of men?
11	A.	Yes.
12	. a	Now, when you last saw the men you've named, were
13	they still	approaching Shorty?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And how far were they from Shorty when you last
16	saw them?	
17	A.	Just as I was going out of sight, they were about
18	five feet	from him.
19	G	Now, did you ever see or hear from Shorty after that,
20	A.	No.
<b>21</b> .	e.	after thatnight?
22.	A.,	No.
23	Q.	Did you return to the ranch the next day?
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	And did you return to the ranch daily thereafter?
26	A.	Yes.
27	G	Now, the next day when you returned to the ranch,
28;	did you se	e Mr. Manson at the ranch?
	i	<u>,                                    </u>

1	A. I don't recall. Yes, I think I saw them for
2	Q Did you see Mr. Davis at the ranch?
ġ	A. An hour or so, yes.
4	Q Did you see Mr. Grogan at the ranch?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q Now, some time thereafter, did Mr. Manson and the
7	rest of the Family move from the ranch? Move from Spahn
8	Ranch?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q Approximately how long after you last saw Shorty
11,	alive?
12	MR. KANAREK: Well, just a moment. I will object to the
13:	word "alive," your Honor, and ask that that Mr. Manzella
14	be requested not to
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the question.
16	Would you read it?
17	(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as
18	follows:
19	"Q Approximately how long after you last
20	saw Shorty alive?")
21	MR. KANAREK: It's assuming facts not in evidence, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: You may rephrase your question.
24	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: You said that Mr. Manson and most
25	of the Family moved from the ranch sometime after the last time
26	you saw Shorty.
27	How long after the last time you saw Shorty did
,28	Mr. Manson and most of the other Family members move from the

26

27

28

4a

ranch?

ļ

A. Very shortly. Probably the next day.

Q Now, did -- during the month of August, 1969, did Shorty ever tell you that he was leaving town or the State of California permanently?

A. No

MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor. Leading and suggestive. And the question is compound as to form.

THE COURT: The answer may remain in the record.

The objection is overruled.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here a series of photographs, color photographs, that I would like to have marked People's 80-A through H.

THE COURT: So ordered.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention to the series of photographs which have been marked People's 80-A through -H for identification, directing your attention to the first photograph, People's 80-A, can you tell us what is shown in that photograph?

A. The western street, the telephone pole, the entrance from the street.

4a-1	1	Q And is this the front yard of Spahn Ranch?
	2	A That's the the back yard of Spahn Ranch.
	3	The house is way up here (indicating); that goes to the front.
	4	That's the back entrance.
•	5	Q The buildings that we see in the center of the
5	6	photograph, what are those buildings?
	7	A That's the western street.
	8	Q And the walkway in front of those buildings, is
	9	that what you refer to as the boardwalk?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Directing your attention to the photograph marked
	12	People's 31-B for identification, do you recognize what is
	<b>13</b> ,	shown in that photograph?
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q Would you tell us what that is?
	16 ·	A That's the end building, which is the storeroom.
3	17	Q And is that one of the buildings that fronts on
*	18	the boardwalk?
	19	A Yeş.
	20	Q All right. Directing your attention to the
	21	photograph marked People's 31-C for identification, do you
	22	recognize what is shown in that photograph?
	23	A Yes.
* ·	24	Q All right. Would you tell us what that is?
	25	A That shows the center area of the boardwalk,
	26	approaching from the street, a little farther west.
	27	Q All right. And
	28	A From the entrance.

And the yard shown in front of those buildings. 4a - 21 is that the -- the yard where your car was parked, where you 2 had the conversation with Shorty? 3 A Yes. All right. Now, there are certain markings on this photograph, People's 80-C for identification. Did you 6 place those markings on there in another proceeding? 7 A Yes. 8 And would you tell us what those markings 9 represent, please? 10 That's where the Manson's men approached, past 14 the telephone pole, --12 MR. KANAREK: Well --13 THE WITNESS: -- and started towards the boardwalk. 14 MR. KANAREK: I will object to that, and ask that that 15 be stricken, that "Manson's men," that conclusion. 16 THE WITNESS: And his men. 17 MR. KANAREK: Or "and his." 18 THE COURT: All right. The description, "Manson and 19 his men," is stricken. You -- those words are stricken. 20 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, the people that you are 21 referring to are Mr. Manson, Mr. Grogan, and Mr. Davis? 22 Yes. 23 Á And were there any other men there? With Mr. 24 Manson, Mr. Grogan and Mr. Davis at that time, that got out 25 26 of the car? 27 A No. 28 That you recall? Q

		,
4a-3	1	A Well, that's about all.
	2	Q All right. Now, there are some X's on the
	3	photograph. What do those X's represent?
•	4	A Where they moved. They were walking.
	5	Q Now, there's a there are two sets of initials,
s. ,.	6	"SS." What does that stand for?
	7	A (No response.)
	8	Q Does that stand for "Shorty Shea"?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q All right. Now, the set near the telephone pole,
	11	what does that represent?
	12	A Where they walked, right here (indicating).
	13	Q Does that represent where Shorty was standing?
	14	A Where Donald was walking, yes.
	15	And the set that's closer to the boardwalk, does
<b>%</b>	16	that represent another later position of Shorty
3	17	A Yes.
•	18	Q as he was walking towards the boardwalk?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q Now, the telephone pole about which you are
	21	referring is one with sort of a red CAUTION sign on it?
	22	A Yes.
ð	23	Q Now, where was Donald where was Shorty when
<u></u>	24	you last saw him?
	25	A Heading right toward the storeroom (indicating).
	26	Q All right. And he was approximately where this
	27	second set of initials "SS"
_	28	A Ves

		•	
	1	Q are in the photograph?	
	2	A Yes.	
	3	Q And those are the ones that are closest to the	
. •	4.	boardwalk; is that right?	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	5	A Yes, right.	
	-6 1	- O Now, directing your attention to the photograph	
<b>₩</b> 3	7	marked People's 80-D for identification, do you recognize	
	8	what is shown in that photograph?	
4b fls.	9.	A Yes.	
	10 7		
	11		
	12	,	
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
3	17		
٠	18		
	19	' 	
	20 .		
	21		
	2 <b>2</b>		
:	23		
	24	·	
**	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

	•
-1	
<b>.</b>	4
4	;
بد	(
	•
	:
	9
,	10
	13
	1
	13
	14
,	
å	10
	17
<u> </u>	, 18
	19
	20
	2
	22
•	2
	29

26

27

28

Ā.

A.

Yes.

G,	And what is that?
A.	That's the front end of the buildings, of the
boardwalk,	
<b>୍ଷ</b>	And the area where you last saw Shorty and Mr.
Manson and	the other men you've mentioned, is that to the left
or the righ	nt of the storeroom?
. A.	To the left.
<b>୍ଷ</b>	As you are looking at
A,	Yes.
<u>Q</u> .	All right. Directing your attention to the
photograph	marked People's 80-E for identification, do you
recognize v	what is shown in that photograph?
A.	Yes.
Q.	What is that?
<b>A.</b>	That's the front end, again, from a different
angle, And	the center of the street there.
Q;	Now, directing your attention to the photograph
marked Peop	ole's 80-F for identification, do you recognize
what is sho	own in that photograph?
A.	Yes.
Q,	And what is that?
A.	That's the area between the Spahn Ranch house and
the boardwa	alk section.
Q.	Now, the boardwalk section is to the left as you
are looking	at the photograph?

And the Spahn Ranch house is to the right?

1.	Q All right. Could you point that building out,
2	please?
3	A. Yes, right here (indicating).
4	THE COURT: Indicating the building to the right of the
5 ,	picture.
:6	THE WITNESS: To the right.
7	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And that's a building that's
8 .	marked with an X on the photograph?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q All right, Directing your attention to the
11	photograph marked People's 80-G for identification, do you
12	recognize what is shown in that photograph?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q And is that a different view of the same area about
15	which you have been testifying?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q All right. Directing your attention to the
18	photograph marked People's 80-H for identification, do you
19	recognize what is shown in that photograph?
20	A Yes,
21	Q And what is do you know the name of the road
22	shown in the foreground?
23	A. Yes. That's Santa Susanna Pass.
24	Q And is that the road you were approaching from the
25	when you drove out that night?
26	A. Yes.
27	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess at this time,
28	ladies and gentlemen. The Court must call several other

9.

4c

matters.

You may step down, --

THE WITNESS: All right.

THE COURT: -- Mrs. Pearl. And be back in ten minutes, if you would, please.

You are admonished that, during the recess, you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

(Short recess.)

4c-1

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

' 10 : 11 -

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Whereupon certain remarks were addressed to the jury and the courtroom at large by the Court, which were not reported.)

THE COURT: On the record, the record will show that the jurors and alternates are present.

Mr. Kanarek --

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: -- for the defendant, --

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench, please?

THE COURT: -- and Mr. Manzella. Yes, Mr. Kanarek.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have been trying to locate a witness, one Marian Binder, which is -- who is a very important witness.

The prosecution, in the form of Mr. Whiteley and Mr. Manzella, have indicated to me -- I -- I have told them I need her phone number, I need her address; and they have refused to cooperate with me.

The point is, under People vs. Kiihoa, I have reason to believe -- because her testimony is favorable to Mr. Manson -- I have reason to believe she is being secreted by Mr. Manzella.

They know where she is. They brought her husband here. They could bring her here. And her testimony is very

4c-2

2

4

5

. 6

. 7

8

9

10

IJ

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

important.

And I allege a suppression of evidence under Brady vs. Maryland and its progeny, as well as People vs. Kiihoa, as a violation of due process, under the 14th Amendment.

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella?

MR. MANZELLA: Yeah. I don't know where she is. I'll ask my investigator for her address, and I will give it to Mr. Kanarek, if he's got it.

MR. KANAREK: Well --

MR. MANZELLA: I don't know if he has it. I don't know where she is.

THE COURT: See what you can find.

MR. KANAREK: Well, during --

THE COURT: Let's proceed with the trial. We can take this up at the conclusion of the day's business.

MR. KANAREK: It's very important, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Manzella, you may proceed.

RUBY PEARL,

having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Mrs. Pearl, directing your attention to the 4c-3Q 1 photograph marked People's 80-G for identification, do you 2 recognize what is shown in that photograph? 3 Yes. All right. And I neglected to ask you: 5 Spahn's -- was Mr. Spahn's house shown in this photograph? 6 į. Yes, sir. A Would you point it out, please? Q (Indicating.) A All right. And is that the house in the fore-10 11 ground, and to the right as you look at the photograph? 12 Yes. MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, when I was referring and 13 showing Mrs. Pearl the photographs in People's 80 for 14 15 identification, I believe I referred to some of them as 16 People's 31. 17 I just wanted to make it clear that I was : 18 referring to People's 80 for identification. 19 THE COURT: I didn't catch the slip, if you did. record should show, however, that you did, during all of 21 your questions, mean to refer to the group of photographs 22 heretofore marked for identification as 80. Thank you, your Honor. MR. MANZELLA: 24 Mrs. Pearl, sometime after the last time that 25 you saw Shorty Shea, in late August of 1969, did you have 26 a conversation with Mr. Manson, somewhere in downtown Los Angeles?

A

I went to see him at his cell.

łd-1	1	Q And in what month was this?
	2.	A. November or December.
	3	Q 0f 1969?
	4	A. 1969.
*	5	Q And do you recall which jail you went to?
ķ	6	A. The new jail.
	7	Q And did you see Mr. Manson when you went to the jail?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q Did you have a conversation with Mr. Manson?
	10	A. Yes.
,	n	Q All right. Who was present at that conversation?
	12	A My girl friend, Mrs. Quant.
	13	Q And you and Mr. Manson?
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q Was anyone, else there?
	. <b>1</b> 6	A. No.
ų,	17	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I will object on the grounds
<b>.</b>	18	of no showing of any corpus delicti, and
	19	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
	20	MR. KANAREK: and on the grounds that it's not
	21	relevant or material; hearsay; and no foundation.
	22	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
1	23	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Mrs. Pearl, would you
	24	tell us what the conversation was that you had with Mr. Manson
	25	MR. KANAREK: Same objection, on the grounds of
,	26	irrelevance, immaterial, hearsay; no showing of any corpus
	27	delicti, as to either as to any of the counts of the
_		

Indictment.

No foundation, your Honor,

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

However, the Court would --

MR. MANZELLA: Would you like me to approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, her testimony would be that she asked Mr. Manson where Shorty was buried, and she told him she wanted to see that he got a proper burial;

That Mr. Manson looked at the ground and said -- and said, "Ask the Black Panthers."

And she then said to him, "You know that there haven't been any Panthers out at Spahn Ranch."

We are offering the testimony to show a false -as a false exculpatory statement on the part of Mr. Manson,
showing a knowledge of Mr. Shea's death; and also going to
the degree of the crime, in that he was attempting to shift
the blame for the killing of Shea.

THE COURT: Well, the Court would permit it to come in. However, it -- it may all be stricken, if the Court is not satisfied at the conclusion of your case that there is a corpus delicti with respect to Shea.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it's our belief --

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: -- it's not an admission; it's a -- it is

no ---

THE COURT: Well, it constitutes a type of admission, for him to say that.

The state of the s

MR. MANZELLA: He said, "Ask the Black Panthers."

THE COURT: "Ask the Black Panthers."

It depends on how the jury views it.

MR. KANAREK: It's very prejudicial. This jury, I believe, has seven or eight black people on it, and I don't believe that any kind of a striking or any kind of an admonishment is going to suffice.

As I say, there are seven or eight black people on the jury, and it's our belief that -- our information is that this so-called statement is absolutely irrelevant and immaterial in any event. It's hearsay. There's no foundation for it.

There can't be -- there has to be a showing -- it can be done at a later time, in that -- there is no showing of any corpus delicti, as to either Mr. Hinman -- pardon me; I'm sorry -- as to Mr. Shea.

And purportedly, this is -- this is going in on Shea, on the Shea matter; and also on the --

THE COURT: Yes. It would come in on the Shea matter.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, if I might be heard briefly on that point? The case with regard to Shea is circumstantial, as we've already made clear. We have no eye witnesses, so that the admonition at a later time would be an effective way of handling it.

Because, if the Court found at a later time -- if the Court found there was no corpus, the Court would have to

strike all the statements, and we would have no statements anyway. So the jury would have no case to decide in any event, at that late time. 

28

THE COURT: That's correct. However, I think that you should establish your foundational facts, Mr. Manzella, more specifically than you have.

MR. MANZELLA: With regard to the time and who was present and so forth?

THE COURT: Time and place, who was present and so forth.

MR. MANZELLA: All right, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Pearl, where did you have this conversation with Mr. Manson?

A Where they took us into the cell.

Q And was this in the visitors room of the New County Jail?

A Yes.

Q And was anyone present besides Mrs. Quant, yourself and Mr. Manson?

A No. I suppose there were some guards back (indicating) but they weren't listening.

Q Did you talk in a little room, or did you talk out in the big room at the New County Jail?

A It was a little place where you talk through a glass.

November or December of 1969. Can you be any more specific with regard to when the conversation occurred?

27

28

Lit seems like it was December, or more towards December.

Q In relation, say, to Christmas of December of 1969, can you tell us whether it was -- does that help you recall any more specifically what time it was?

A No, I can't really recall.

Q How about with regard to, say, Thanksgiving, in November of 1969? Can you place the conversation in relation to Thanksgiving at all?

A No. I just can't tell just when it was.

Q All right. Would you tell us what the conversation was that you had with Mr. Manson?

MR. KANAREK: Object on the ground of no foundation, your Honor; irrelevant, immaterial; the prejudicial matter outweighs the probative value. Hearsay.

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I told him he looked like he lost weight, and he looked drawn and real bad.

And then I asked him a question.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: What did you ask him?

A I asked him to tell me where he buried Shorty.

MR. KANAREK: I'll ask that that be stricken, your Honor, on the grounds of hearsay; assuming facts not in evidence; no corpus delicti; irrelevant and immaterial; no foundation.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Mr. Manson reply to your question?

4e-3	1	A Yes, he replied.
	2	Q What did he say?
	3	MR. KANAREK: The same objections, your Honor.
	4	THE COURT: Overruled.
	5	THE WITNESS: He said, "Ask the Black Panthers."
<b>,</b>	6	Q BY MR. MANZELIA: And did you reply to that?
	7	Ä Yes.
	8	Q What did you say?
	9	A I said, "You know there never was any Black
	10	Panthers up there."
	11	THE COURT: Was there any reply to that?
	12	THE WITNESS: No.
	13	THE COURT: The statement of the witness, the last
	14	to the last question and answer is stricken.
	15	MR. KANAREK: Then may I approach the bench, your
â.	16	Honor?
·	17	MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry. On which question and
6,	18	answer?
	19	THE COURT: The witness' last statement.
	20	MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench?
	21	THE COURT: No, you may not.
	22,	MR. KANAREK: Pardon? I didn't hear.
*	23	THE COURT: Very well. You may.
ús,	24 25	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were
	~	had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside
	. 26 	the hearing of the jury:)
	28	MR. KANAREK; I ask your Honor to request the jury not
	28	to consider it for any purpose; but in view of the fact that

4e-4

1,

**2**:

4

6

**7** 

ģ

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

4f fls.

there are seven or eight black people on the jury, a mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial.

THE COURT: Well, the Court finds that the statement is probative. The Court finds that the prejudicial value is -- strike that.

The prejudicial quality is --

MR. MANZELLA: The probative value outweighs the prejudicial effect?

THE COURT: No. Excuse me. The prejudicial quality of the testimony is questionable. I don't know what inferences you are drawing in finding that it's too prejudicial, Mr. Kanarek.

But I don't see that it is excessively prejudicial.

It's a statement, the purport of which, the meaning of which
the jury alone can decide.

It can be certainly said that, from the People's viewpoint, it constitutes a type of an admission.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, I don't see how that could possibly --

THE COURT: But the Court finds that its probative value outweighs its prejudicial effect.

However, as to this last statement, do you wish -that she made, that there were no -- "And I said, 'You know
there were no Black Panthers in the area, '" I have stricken
that.

Do you want me --

MR. KANAREK: I would ask your Honor to ask the jury not to consider it for any purpose. And mere admonishment not sufficing, I ask for a mistrial.

41-1

2

1

3

5

•

8

9.

10

12 13

11

14 15

16

14

18

19

20 -21

2Ź.

23,

24

25 26

27

28

It's my belief that you can't unring that bell.

THE COURT: The Court will further instruct the Jury in that regard.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a ruling on the request for a mistrial?

THE COURT: The request for mistrial is denied.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Miss Pearl's response to Mr. Manson, the response to Mr. Manson's statement in this conversation, which he has been describing on the witness stand, the Court has stricken.

The Court instructs you, once again, that you are to treat such matters as if they had not been uttered; you are to disregard the statement, in other words, completely; that is, the witness' last remark, in response to Mr. Manson's statement.

All right, Go ahead."

MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you, Mrs. Pearl.

I have no further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mrs. -- do you prefer to be called Mrs. Pearl, or Pearl? How do you prefer to be called?

1	*A.	Yes. They call they all call me Mrs. Pearl.
2	Q.	Mrs. Pearl, you prefer to be called?
3	A.	Yes, please.
4 ^	Ġ.	Mrs. Pearl, when you first met Mr. Shea, that was
5	in what yea:	r?
6	A	Well, it was so long ago, it's hard to say what
7	year. 15 ye	ears ago.
8	Q.	Would you give us your best estimate about when it
9	was?	
10	A.	Well, ten years ago would be '60, and '55,
11	something 1	lke that.
12	Q	And when you first met Mr. Shea, had you yet met a
13	wife of his	or, a purported wife of his, called Sandy?
14	A.	No, he hadn't married her yet.
15	ଷ୍ଟ	And would you tell us the time when you first met
16	a person th	at you knew as Sandy?
17	Å.	Sandy used to work for us at Corriganville; and
18	sò did Shor	ty.
19	Q.	And can you give us about the year when you first
20	met Sandy?	
21	A.*	No. I can't tell when that was.
22	Q.	Did you meet Sandy after you met Mr. Shea?
23	<b>A.</b>	Oh, yes.
24		And it was after 1955?
25	. Ar	I'm not sure of the year, sir.
<b>26</b> .	<b>Q</b>	Well, it was after 1955 that you first met Sandy?
27	Ä.	Oh, it had to be after.
28	Q,	Right?
	l ž	· * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	A. Yes.
2	And can you give us an estimate of how many years
3	it was after 1955 that you first met Sandy?
4	A. Well, we were always working, and it was in a
5	in the midst of going back and forth to work; and Shorty was
6	going back and forth with us, and met Sandy over there at
7	Corriganville, the same time I did.
8	Probably a few years or so afterwards.
9	Q And from from the beginning of the time that
10	you met Sandy, until some later time, you saw Sandy at the
n	Spahn Ranch?
12	A. Yes.
13	.Q Would you tell us the last time give us the date
14	of the last time that you saw Sandy?
15	A No, I can't say just when I saw Sandy last.
16	Q Well; could you give us a rough estimate I'll
17	withdraw that.
18	Would you give us the year, your best estimate of
19	the year that you last saw Sandy?
20	A I saw her so many times back and forth, even after
2,1	they separated, that I can't say when it was.
22	Q Well, would it be 1965, '66, '67?
23	A. Oh, he had been separated with her for a long
24	time.
25	So Sandy lived at the Spahn Ranch area after
26	Mr. Shea and she had separated; is that correct?
27	A. No, not after they separated.
28	Q Well, after they separated well, I'll withdraw

1	that.
2	Can you think about it and perhaps give us a year
3	when you last saw Sandy?
4	A. No, I can't, because she had a home, and her
.5	folks were always coming back and forth, when they were having
6	little troubles.
7	Q Well, how many years ago would you say it was that
8	you last saw her?
9	A. Oh, it was seven or eight, something like that.
10	Q That you last saw her?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q Now, Mr. Shea brought another girl to the Spahn
13	Ranch, that he called his wife; is that correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q Is that lady's name Phyllis Shea?
16	A I know a Phyllis.
17	When you say you know a Phyllis,
. 18	A. Yes.
19	Q what do you mean?
20	A. Uthh he was going with Phyllis. I never paid
21	too much attention.
22	Q Did he marry Phyllis?
23	A Uhhh he said he did, I guess. I didn't pay
24	much attention to her to
25	
26	
27	

	<b>!</b>	
g-1	1	Q Was he married to Phyllis?
,	2,	A That, I don't know.
	3	Q Do you remember testifying before the Grand Jury?
	4	A Yes, I know Phyllis. And I guess he said he
ÿ	5	was married to Phyllis.
įŁ	6	Phyllis worked over at Corriganville also, but
	7	she never
·	8	THE COURT: That's stricken.
	g	Do you remember testifying before the Grand Jury?
	10	THE WITNESS: Uh I remember they mentioning Phyllis;
	n	that's all I remember.
•	12	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, in fact, you, Mrs. Pearl,
	13	mentioned Phyllis
	14	A Uh-huh.
	15	Q as being Mr. Shea's second wife; is that
	16	correct?
•	17	A I it's possible.
•	18	Q Is there any doubt in your mind about it?
	19	A No.
	20	Q Then in fact you did testify before the Grand
	21	Jury that Phyllis was Mr. Shea's second wife? You so
	22	testified?
•	23	A If I did, I did.
*	24	Q Pardon?
	25	A I don't remember if I did.
	26	Q. You don't.
	27	May I approach the witness, your Honor?
_	28	THE COURT: Yes, you may. Show the passage that you

are referring to to Mr. Manzella, if you would, please. 4g - 21 (Pause in the proceedings while the transcript 2 was perused by Mr. Manzella.) 3 BY MR. KANAREK: Would you read over the bottom of Q page 819, Mrs. Pearl? THE COURT: You may read the lines to her, Mr. Kanarek. 6 3 Oh. Very well. Thank you, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 7 Did you testify at the Grand Jury, referring to Q 8 the Spahn Ranch, at page 819, as follows: 9 "Q 'Did he ever live there with any of his 10 wives? 11 "AA Yes. 12 11Q. Who did he live there with, if you recall? 13 <sup>11</sup> A His first wife was named Sandy. 14 "They lived together there for a period 15 16 of time? "A 17 Yes. 18 "Q And did he ever bring any other girl to 19 the ranch? 11 A 20 Yes. 21 MQ. Who was that? 22 "A His second wife. There was an interval of four or five years in between two of the marriages, 24 and he brought Phyllis to the ranch. 25 <sup>∥</sup>Q Was he married to Phyllis? 26 ПA Yes. 27 <sup>‼</sup>ର୍ As far as you know? 28 ß Á Well, I just assumed.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

lost our job at Corriganville. We stayed home on the ranch, 4g-4 and we were more in contact with each other. Well, Mr. Shea worked at both Corriganville and .3 at the ranch? 34h fls. 5 λ Yes. At that time, in early years. 

4h-1 And later on -- you saw Mr. Shea at Corriganville Q. 1 and the Spahn Ranch? 2 A. Yes. 3 And so you still saw Mr. Shea the same at that 4 time that you had seen him previously: is that correct? 5 Oh, it ain't necessarily correct. 6 Q. Pardon? 7 It ain't necessarily correct if I saw him the same 8 in the later years as I did earlier. 9 Q It would not be correct? 10 Α. No. it's not. 'n 12 Q It's not? A. Not. 13 14 Has your interest been focused on Mr. Shea, by virtue of your speaking with law enforcement officers? That 15 is, the District Attorney of Los Angeles County's represen-16 17 tatives, and Sheriff's officers and personnel, Mrs. Pearl? 'A.' 18 That had no effect on --19 Q None at all. 20 A. 21 -- your memory or anything like that? 22 A. No, no. 23 Q Now, did Mr. Shea have a child that was born 24 November 10, 1959? A child by the name of Karen Arlene 25 Shea? 26 As I said, I guess they had a baby. 27 Well, in the years that you say that you've --

28

that you saw Mr. Shea more often than previously -- let's say

the proffered exhibit. perused 1 MR. KANAREK: May this be marked for identification at 2 this time, your Honor? 3 THE COURT: What is your next number? 4 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? -5 THE COURT: What is your next number? 6 MR. KANAREK: I don't know, your Honor. 7 THE CLERK: A special number? 8 THE COURT: No, we won't regard this as special. 9 All right. It will be marked H for identification. 10 MR. KANAREK: Defendant's H, your Honor? 11 THE COURT: Defendant's H for identification. 12 MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 13 THE COURT: No. you need not approach the witness. 14 BY MR. KANAREK: Directing your attention. 15 Mrs. Pearl, to the Simi Medical Center, located at 1890 Deodora 16 D-e-o-d-o-r-a, Street, in Simi, in the County of Ventura. 17 California, did you on any occasion visit that location --18 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. KANAREK: I haven't finished. 21 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 22 BY MR. KANAREK: Did you, with Mr. Shea, visit the 23 Simi Medical Center at the time when this little girl was 24 born? 25 Not that I remember. 26 27

28

4I

	ŀ	
41-1	i	Q Did Mr. Shea, since the day that you last saw
•	2	Mr. Shea and this little girl at Corriganville, some ten
	3	years ago, did Mr. Shea ever mention this little girl,
	4	Karen Arlene Shea?
	5	A I don't recall.
	6	Q Ever once mention that girl, to your knowledge?
	7	A No, not that I recall.
	8	Q When is the next time that Mr. Shea mentioned
	9	his wife, Phyllis Shea?
	10	A I don't recall.
	11	Q Well, could you give us your best estimate of
	12	when Mr. Shea mentioned his wife, Phyllis Shea?
	13	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question
	14	assumes a fact which is not in evidence.
	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
	16	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did Mr. Shea tell you that he was
	17	married to Phyllis?
	18	A Yes, he mentioned it.
	19	Q That he was married to Phyllis?
	20	A That he was married.
	21	Q All right. Now, would you tell us when Mr. Shea
	22	last spoke of this lady Phyllis Shea?
	23	A No, I can't remember.
	24	Q Was it eight, nine years ago that he last mentioned
	25	it?
	26	A I don't know.
	27	Q Might have been ten years ago, the day at
	28	Corriganville?

	i	
4i-2	1	A Times goes so fast there, and we work every day,
·	2	I have no knowledge of the day.
	3	Q And as far as time goes, you have difficulty
	4	remembering time; right?
ŧ	5	A That early. Because we were too busy them to
>	6	monkey with people, with their family affairs.
	7	Q When you say "we," what do you mean by that?
	8	A "We," Mr. Spahn, our cowboys.
	9	Q You were too busy to remember
	10	A We didn't pay much attention to their family
	11	affairs.
	12	Q Well, when, if at all, did you ever pay attention
	13	to Mr. Shea's family affairs, Mrs. Pearl?
	14	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
	16	Q BY MR. KANAREK: When is the last time that you
4	17	spoke with Mr. Shea concerning his family affairs?
	18	A Well, I remember several incidents. One time, they
	19	went on a little vacation
	20	Q When you say "they," who do you mean?
	21	A Sandy, I was referring to at that moment. Sandy
	22.	and Shorty went on a little vacation.
3	23	And they took their folks car, and the mother came
ξ.	24	up and talked with me, and I was very happy for them.
ν,	25	They were gone for a few weeks. And that's about
	26	the only extent I remember of his family affairs.
	27	Q And that was how long ago?
	28	A Oh, it was a long time ago.
		· ·

4i-3	1	Q Can you give us an estimate of how many years?
	2	A Seven, eight years.
	3	Q So, for some seven or eight years, you haven't
	4	discussed any family affairs with Mr. Shea?
3	5	A Not about his previous marriages, no.
<b>₹</b>	6	Q His previous marriages? With respect to what,
ęr ,	7	when you say "previous"?
	8	A Well, Phyllis and Sandy.
	ģ.	Q And you you are speaking of "previous" in
	10	connection with what, Mrs
	11	A With Niki.
	12	Q I see. Now, how many times in your lifetime have
	13	you seen Niki?
`	14	A Just twice.
	15	Q In your whole life?
	16	A Right.
÷	17	Q Now, directing your attention, Mrs. Pearl, to the
<b>3</b>	18	time that you tell us you saw these people, and you drove up
	19	to the ranch and all of that, you remember discussing that a
	20	little while ago with Mr. Manzella?
	21	A (Indicating affirmatively.)
	22	Q Would you speak audibly?
è	23	A Yes.
چ	24	Q On that occasion, how many people how many men
.a	25	did you see get out of the car that you've spoken of, Mrs.
	26	Pearl?
·	27	A Well, I didn't sit down there and count them.
•	28	I just recognized them, as they were pouring out.

41-4	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Well, would you tell us now how many there were?  A There were four, maybe five. But I recognized definitely the ones I mentioned.  Q Maybe five, maybe six, maybe seven?  A There weren't that many in the gang.  Q There weren't that many in what you call the gang; is that right?  A Right.
4j fls.	9. 10	Q And do you remember testifying before the Grand Jury?  A Yes.
	11	A Yés.
-	12	
	13	
<u> </u>	14	
	15	
<b></b>	16	,
<del>,</del>	17	
	18	
	19	
	20 21	
	22	
<u> </u>	23	
ž	24	
5	25	
	26	·
<u></u>	27	
	28-	
	, 1	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

.26

27

28

	Q,	. 4	Did	you	test:	Lfy	befo	re	the	Grand	Jury	that	B111,
				·	ž 1	~	1.4	4 . 4					
Vançe			.; + 1	4 9 . 4	134			***	, i				

- A. Might have been there.
- Q May I finish the question? Mrs. Pearl?
- A. Go ahead.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Counsel.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Previously, you -- today, you have told us -- you have not mentioned Bill Vance up to this point; right?
  - A I didn't mention him.
- of the people that you saw in this automobile?
  - A. I said he could have been there.
- Q Was Mr. Vance one of the people that you saw in the automobile?
- A. I didn't recognize him. I was too busy watching the others.
- Q I see. So, as we have the scene, you are looking at this area, and you are now telling us that you were too busy watching everybody, except Bill Vance; is that right?
- A Well, as I was following the front ones, there could have been another one coming along behind; but I was watching them.

And it was dark. But I could see their outlines. I was keeping my eye on the front ones.

- Q You were keeping your eye on the front ones.

  And why were you keeping your eye on the front ones?
  - A. To see where they were going in such a hurry.

I	And did you see where they went?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q And after you saw where they went, was there some
4	reason for not paying any attention to Bill Vance?
5	A. By that time, they had sifted up toward the dark
6	shadows of the boardwalk.
7	These people, including Bill Vance; is that right?
8	A. I didn't say he was there. He could have been.
9	Q Well, do you remember
10	A I said he could have been there.
11	Q Well, maybe Mr. Manson wasn't there.
12	A. Oh, he was there.
13	Q He was there. You've spoken with law enforcement
14 15	officers concerning this case; is that right?
16 16	A. I see it in my mind.
17	Q You see it in your mind.
18	And you made it a point to look at everybody
19	except Bill Vance?
20	A. I seen him so many times, it would mean nothing.
21	Q Well, you saw Mr. Manson; right?
22	A. Well, Mr. Vance was just around as a Prop. He
23	wasn't he didn't mean nothing.
24	Q Well, what do you mean, when you say that Mr.  Vance was around as a prop, Mrs. Pearl?
25	, ·
26	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not
27	relevant.  MR. KANAREK: We have a right to know what she means by
28	that

ľ	THE COURT: The objection
2 .	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor?
3	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
4	You may answer,
5	THE WITNESS: As someone that's always around,
6	We ignored him.
7	Q BY MR. KANAREK: And when you say, "We ignored
8	him," what do you mean
9	A. Our cowboys and myself ignored him.
10	Q And directing your attention, then, to this
11	particular man that you are speaking of, you made it a point
12	to watch everyone except Bill Vance; is that correct?
13	A. I saw them all, as they gathered around, and was
14	concentrating on a few of them.
15	Q I see. Tell us the ones you were concentrating
1,6	on, Mrs. Pearl.
17	A Those that you couldn't help but distinguish,
18	their shape and hair and general walk.
19	Q And was there some reason you were concentrating
20	on them?
21	A. Yes. I was wondering where they were going. They
22	were they weren't supposed to be prowling around that hour
23	of the night.
24	I see, 'And who were the ones you concentrated on?
25	A. Mr. Manson, Mr. Grogan, and Bruce Davis.
26	Q Anybody else?
27	A. Tex Watson.
28	Q Anybody else?

1	Q,	And that they never grouped like that?
2	A.	In a mission, on a mission like that. They were
3	always busy	within one's ownself. They never did anything
4	in a row lil	ke that.
5 .	۹,	Well, you left immediately; right?
6	A.	I was leaving.
7	Q,	You didn't you didn't report this incident to
8	anybody?	
9	A.	The next morning, I did.
10	<u>.</u>	The next morning, you reported the incident?
11	A.	To my friends up there.
12	Q.	To whom did you report the incident?
13	A.	To the cowboys that worked with me, and my girl
14	friend, I	called her on the phone.
15		It was a very strange incident.
16	Q.	And you told your friends that who were the
17	people that	you had seen that night?
18	A.	I told them who I had seen and asked if they had
19	seen Shorty	
20	Q.	That's the very next morning?
21	A.,	Yes.
22	<b>Q</b>	And you what are the would you tell us who
23	you said wer	re the people that you had seen the night before?
24	Who did you	tell your friends that you had seen the night
25	before?	大きな 大きな 一直 大きな 事
26	A,	I said I saw the Manson group of boys, and I named
<b>27</b> ,	'em.	
28	Q.	What names did you state?

enforcement officer, where were you, and where was the law ļ enforcement officer? And where was it that it took place, 2 that you discussed it? 3 I don't know. Now, then, when you saw this -- this scene that you are speaking of, you became scared; is that right? 6 Yes. When I didn't see Shorty around any more. 7 Α. I am talking about at the time that it happened. 8 Q. At the time it happened, yes, I was. 9 A. 10 ٥ You were scared? 11 Yes. Q: You were scared that these people might hurt 12 13 Mr. Shea? 14 A. Right? 15 Q 16 Yes. Α. 17 THE COURT: We'll take a short recess at this time. 18 During the recess, you are admonished that you 19 are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, 20 or permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected 21 with this matter, nor form or express any opinion on the 22 matter until it is finally submitted to you. 23 The case of People versus Robert Peckham. 24 About ten minutes, ladies and gentlemen. 25 We will break a little earlier tonight, about 4:00 o'clock. 26 It's a little warm in here. 27 (Mid-afternoon recess.) 28

THE COURT: Case of People vs. Manson. All right, the 5-1 1 record will show that the jurors and alternates are present. 2 You may proceed. 3 BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Pearl, then, directing your attention to the 5 time that you spoke to Mr. Shea there at the area that you testified to, when Mr. Manzella was speaking with you. Mr. Shea told you that he was scared, is that right? 8 Yes. Yes. 9 What did you say when he told you that he was 10 scared? 11 I said, "I don't think they'll bother you, they 12 never have before." 13 So, now, you are telling us that you were not --14 Q When I was talking to --15 A 16 Q Mr. Shea. -- Mr. Shea, I didn't feel any fear for him, then. 17 A You had no fear at all for him? 18 0 19 A No. not then. 20 Then, when did you develop the fear for Mr. Shea? Q. 21 When the boys started running down there fast, A 22 and actually I'd never seen before, approaching him, knowing 23 he was there alone. That's when I felt it. 24 And you left the ranch? Q. 25 A Yes. 26 And you did nothing about it? Q 27 I hesitated a minute or two, wondering Α 28 whether to go back or not. I didn't hear anything. But I had 5~2

٠

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

25

26

27

28

saw Mr. Shea on this evening, what was the condition of the weather?

A At that evening it was cool outside. It was always cool up in the mountains in the evening.

- Q Well, how was the visibility?
- A Like it always was. Never had lights. Learned to work in the dark.
  - Well, as far as seeing that people were there.
  - At one point they passed me, I could see them.
  - Q You had no difficulty seeing them?
  - A No.
- Q How long was it that you stayed at the ranch, that you stayed in your car after these people arrived, Mrs. Pearl?
- A Barely a few minutes. Barely more than a few minutes. Just -- just as Shorty left, I started the engine and was going to start creeping away when the car came in.
- Q Now, would you tell us, Mrs. Pearl, how could you tell Mr. Shea that there was nothing to be ascared of; you did tell him that?
- A I told him that. I had seen nothing to indicate that he should be afraid.
- Q You saw nothing whatsoever? This is after the raid, people are carrying these knives around that you are speaking about and you saw nothing for anybody to be afraid about?
- A We all worked together. We never expressed our feelings. We had to work. There was no getting around that.

28

A

And you told him that there's nothing to be afraid about, right? I told him I didn't think they would bother him.

		0	ספי
	* 1 #		<del></del>
ر جم گ	Q,	You told him that you didn't think that anybody	7
that	was a	t that ranch would do anything to hurt Mr. Shea?	
	A	That's what I told him.	
	Q	Is that right?	
	Á	Yes.	
	Q "	And you had no reason ever to change that	
feeli	ng exc	cept when you spoke to law enforcement, is that	
right			
	A	No, I got a shuddering feeling as I left, seeing	g
that.	And t	hen, I realized what Shorty was thinking about.	-
•	Q	And then, you realized what Shorty was thinking	
about	^ <b>•</b>		
ı		When was that?	
•	A	When I was leaving. I got the message that he	
was t	rying	to put across.	
	•		
		•	
•	•		
	-		
		-	

27

28

Q Well, did anybody interi	ere with his putting
across any message to you, Mrs. Pear	· , · · ·
MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your	Honor. The question

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question is vague and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Overruled . You may answer.

THE WITNESS: No one interfered. it was between us, right then.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, what day of the week was it when this incident occurred, that you have told us about, Mrs. Pearl?

- A. I can't say exactly what day of the week it was.
- Q Well, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday?
- A. I can't say.
- Q Do you ---
- A. I don't know.
- Q You don't know?
- A. No.
- Q You don't know whether it was the end of the week or the beginning of the week?
  - A. I can't say for sure.
- Now, at the time that you had this conversation with Mr. Shea, had you spoken with Mr. Shea concerning the security work that Mr. Shea was to do at the ranch?
  - A. Yes, Yes, I had.
- And you had spoken with him, and you still stated that there -- that there wasn't anybody on that ranch that Mr. Shea could fear -- or need fear?
  - A. No. Because he said he -- he wouldn't have any

	lacksquare
1	trouble with them.
2	Q Mr. Shea told you he wasn't going to have any
3	trouble?
4	A. Yes. He said he wouldn't have any trouble.
5	Q Well
6	A. He was easy-going and good-natured. He never had
7	any enemies.
8	Q But you tell us Mr. Shea just now, a few moment
9	ago, that he told you that he was scared?
10	A. That was later. It wasn't the same moment. That
11,	was later. It wasn't even the same day.
12	Q Well, was there any reason for you not not to
ļŚ	remember the supposed conversations you had with Mr. Shea abou
14	his becoming some kind of a guard?
15	A. He wasn't afraid to be a guard at that time. That
16	was an honor job.
17	Q What do you mean by "an honor job"?
18	A Well, he was there anyway, and that would be a
19	good honor for him, to be the night watchman, and draw pay,
20	just to see that people didn't bother the horses, to see that
21	people didn't break up the place.
<b>2</b> 2	a And that was what the job was for, was to make
23	sure that people didn't bother the horses, or break up the
24.	place?
25	A. As I understood it.
26	Q Then were there any other reasons for the job?
27	A. Well, if so, it was between it was between
28	Mr. Spahn and Shorty.

1	Q Well, my my question is: As far as your
2	thinking was concerned, Mr. Shea's entire job was to just watch
3	the horses and see that no one broke up the place?
4	A. And keep other so-called hippies from coming in.
5	Q Oh. He was to keep other hippies
6	A Other hippies out.
7	Q In other words
8	A They were beginning to appear and disappear,
9	through the ranch, too often.
10	Q I see. And in other words, he wasn't supposed
11	to do anything, as far as the hippies that were there then,
12	but other hippies
13	A. And their friends. They probably it probably
14	included all of them. They were milling around and and
15	too many on the ranch. George didn't want too many on the
16	ranch.
17	Q Now, Mrs. Pearl, you don't like Mr. Manson, do you?
18	A. Well, I always liked him when he was there. Sure,
19:	I liked him.
20	Q You liked him?
21	A. Sure.
22	Q Mrs. Pearl, your state of mind was that Mr. Manson
23	and the people that were there were undermining your relation-
24 :	ship
25	A. Oh, no.
26	Q with Mr. Spahn?
27	A. Oh, no.
<b>28</b>	Q Not at all?
	1

l	
1	A. No. We liked 'em all.
2	Q You liked them all?
3	A. George liked them, and I liked them.
4	Q You liked Mr. Manson?
5	A. We never had an argument. Never had an argument.
6	Q You never had any argument with Mr. Manson at all?
7	A. No.
8	Q Right?
9	A. Right.
10	Q And you never saw Mr. Manson have any argument
11	with anyone else, +-
12	A. No.
13	right?
14	A. Right.
15	Q And so there was no reason for Mr. Shea to have
16	any any kind of guard duty, as far as Mr. Manson and the
17	other people at the ranch were concerned,
<b>j</b> 8	A. That wasn't up to me.
19	Q right?
20	A. That was between Frank Retz and George, at the time
21	Frank Retz was buying the lower end of the ranch.
22	That was in a little different category.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ба 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

ĝ.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a-1	
•	
•	
٤	·
,	
\$	

	Q.	All	right.	Tell	us	how	different	that	category
was.				ı					

- That job just came up. Mr. Retz was talking to A. George on several occasions about getting a night watchman, for his end; and at the same time. Mr. Shea -- Shorty -- was trying to watch our end, too.
- Now, what would be the reason for watching your end, if Mr. Manson and the people on the ranch were not causing any problems to anybody?
- What would be the reason? A night watchman doesn't have to have a reason. He just walks around and sees that everything is just going smooth.
- Now, Mrs. Pearl, are you putting us on? Do you know what I mean by "putting us on"?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection --

MR. MANZELLA: This is ridiculous.

THE COURT: -- sustained.

- BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Pearl, Mr. Shea had the reputation of being a person who came and went; right?
  - He was his own boss.
- Right. He was a person whose personality was that he came and he went at will; right?
  - Α. Yeah.
  - Q Hardly a night watchman type of person; right? MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.

The objection is sustained. THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Did you speak with Mr. Shea about the night

1	watchman job, Mrs. Pearl?
2	A. Only that he was going to get it and make some
3	money on it.
4	Q And what were the wages to be, Mrs. Pearl?
5	A. That, I don't know.
6	Q What were the working hours to be, Mrs. Pearl?
7	A. Evenings.
8	C From when to when?
9	A Because I was there till late. So when I left,
1Ò	probably he would continue the shift, so there would be someone
ij	on the ranch.
12	Q Well, before Mr. Shea I'll withdraw that.
13	Before this discussion of night watchman came up,
14	with Mr. Shea, was there ever a night watchman there?
15	A. No. Carrier Contract Contra
16	Q And Mr. Manson and oh, people like Mr. Watson
17	and Bill Vance and Ella Jo Bailey and Linda Kasabian, Susan
18	Atkins, Patricia Krenwinkel, Little Larry do you know all
19	those people?
20	A I know them all.
21	Q Larry Jones? You know all of them, don't you?
22	A I know them, um-hmm.
<b>2</b> 3	Those people were there for many months, and the
<b>24</b>	ranch had been there for many years, without a night watchman?
25	A. Right, yes.
26	Q Is that right?
27	A. Yes. But George didn't want the night watchman,
28	Mr. Retz did. He didn't want them down on his property. That

was the question. George didn't care if they were on his. 1 Well, then, there were no hours; there was no need 2 for any continuation of your shift, and that's untrue, Mrs. 3 4 Bailey? 5 I mean, Mrs. Pearl? (You didn't work for George - 6 7 Retz, did you? 8 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question is 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 BY MR. KANAREK: Did you work for George Retz, 11 Mrs. Pearl? 12 No. No, his name is Frank. A. 13 Pardon? Q 14 Frank Retz. A 15 All right. Did you work for --Q 16 A. No, I didn't --17 -- for Frank Retz? Q, 18 -- work for Frank Retz. A. 19 Q You didn't work for Frank Retz? 20 No. I didn't work for Frank Retz. A. 21 Q You worked for George Spahn? 22 Only for George. 23 Q, So that's a lie; it's untrue that there was any 24 necessity for any continuation of your shift. That's an un-25 truth that you are trying to put -- well. I won't say it. 26 But that is untrue, about any continuation of 27 your shift, taking place at the George Spahn Ranch; is that 28 true?

Well, it wasn't up to me to hire a night watchman. It wasn't my thoughts. Q, Well, you have told us that you had a shift which was to be continued by Mr. Shea. MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The witness did not testify to that. THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 8. 

бb

6b-1

1

2

THE WITNESS: The shift would have to be after I left, to be night. A night watchman means night.

So I was there till 11:00. That was dark.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: And there was to be a shift after you left, that Mr. Shea was to take over for George Spahn; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It assumes a fact not in evidence.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking her.

MR. MANZELLA: And calls for facts beyond this witness knowledge.

MR. KANARÉK: I am asking her. They have brought this whole subject matter in, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection's overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I thought I clarified that.

It would have to be after my shift. I went home --

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, who did you discuss it with? Someone coming in and taking over after your shift?

A It hadn't got that far advanced yet. They had just brought the subject up, that he was going to be a night watchman.

- Q And when you say "they" --
- A. George and Mr. Retz.
- And you say it hadn't been that advanced?
- A. No.

So there was no discussion about Mr. Shea being a night watchman on the Spahn Ranch. So that's --

1	A. Yes.
2.	Q just a figment of your imagination?
3	A. There was a discussion on it.
4	THE COURT: Just a minute well, the answer may remain
5	MR. KANAREK: Pardon?
6	THE WITNESS: There was a discussion on it. That's
7	where he was going to make some money.
8	Q BY MR. KANAREK: I see. And who had the
9	discussion?
10	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked
11	and answered.
12	MR. KANAREK: No, it hasn't, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: I don't believe it's been asked on cross-
14	examination.
15	You may answer,
16	THE WITNESS: Who was going to hire Shea?
17	THE COURT: The question is: Who had the discussion?
18	THE WITNESS: Who had the discussion? Shorty and I.
19	If this is the one you are referring to.
20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, would you tell us what the
21	discussion was?
22	A. The discussion previously was George and Mr. Retz.
23	Shorty didn't enter in the first part of it.
24	Later, Shorty told me he was going to be night
25	watchman.
26	Q All right. When did Shorty tell you he was going
27	to be a night watchman?
28	A. Oh, several occasions around the ranch, while we

were working. 1 How long before this night that you are telling Q 2 us about, supposedly, Mrs. Pearl? 3 Just recently to that. How many nights before? 5 A. Oh, maybe one or two. 6 Q. One or two nights before? 7 Yes. A. 8 Who was present when this conversation took place? Q 9 I didn't pay that much attention. A. 10 Q Well, give us your --11 It wasn't that important. A. 12 g. Pardon? 13 It wasn't that important. A. 14 And it never occurred; right? 15 It occurred. Because he wanted to borrow money, 16 and I mentioned, "Wait until you get your job. You'll get some 17 money." .18 He wanted to borrow money? 19 20 Yes. So this is what brought the subject up. I see. And you told him to wait until he got the 21 job. 22 What was holding the job up? 23 THE COURT: That's immaterial. You needn't answer that. 24 25 BY MR. KANAREK: Was there any discussion as to what was holding the job up? THE COURT: You needn't answer that. 27 28 Unless you want to pinpoint the discussion.

The discussion that was raised on cross-examination -- on 1 direct examination. 2 MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, we are not limited. 3 May we approach the bench? THE COURT: The Court limits you at this time. .5 BY MR, KANAREK: When you had this discussion, 6 you say, about the money, you spoke about Mr. Shea who was 7 going to be the night watchman. You spoke about that with 8 Mr. Shea; is that right? 9 A. Yes. 10 MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked 11 12 and answered. THE COURT: Yes, it has been asked and answered. 13 MR. MANZELLA: I'll withdraw the objection. 14 15. THE COURT: The answer may remain in, however. 16 BY MR. KANAREK: When was Mr. Shea, in your 17 presence -- I'll withdraw that. 18 How many times in your presence did Mr. Shea 19 discuss this supposed job? 20 Well, once when he wanted the money, when he asked 21 for money; and once just a casual mentioning of it. 22 All right. Would you tell us where the first 23 "once" was? Where were you and where was Mr. Shea and where 24 did it occur? A. It probably occurred at the house. 26 Q Probably occurred at your house? 27 No, at the Spahn house, when we all got together. 28 Otherwise, we weren't all together that much, except when we

,	•
j.	got together at dinnertime, at food time.
2	Q What day was that?
3	A. Oh, I don't know.
4	Q When was the second "once"?
5	A. The second one, he wanted money, outside.
-6	Q Where was that?
7	A. Outside, on the boardwalk.
<b>'8</b> '	Q And who was present when he
9	A. Just him and I.
10	Q And how long was that before this incident?
11	A. Just a short time before.
12	Q How many days?
13	A. A day or so before.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	·
<b>23</b>	
24	
25	
26	
27	
,28	
	·

7-1	1	Q Now, you saw Linda Kasabian there at the Spahn
)	2	Ranch, right?
	3	· A Only once or twice.
	4	Q You saw Linda Kasabian only once or twice?
÷	5	A Yes.
æ	6	Q She was there during the entire month of July,
	7	1969, is that correct, Mrs
	8	A I don't know how long she was there.
	9	Q Well, were you there every day?
:	10	A I was there every day.
,	11	Q And you never wore blinders, you
	12	THE COURT: You need not answer that.
	13	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Do you have any trouble
	14	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, will you restrain yourself
	15	and not argue with this witness?
ř	16	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
	17	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mrs. Pearl, is there anything
<b>:</b>	18	wrong with your vision? Do you have to wear glasses or
	19	anything like that?
	20	A No, I don't wear glasses.
	21	Q And did you ever see Linda Kasabian carrying a
	22	knife before the August 16th, 1969, raid?
	23	A No.
<b>.</b>	24	Q You never saw Linda Kasabian carrying a Buck
	25	knife?
and Aughtenia and	26	A No.
, <b> 4</b> -	* 27	Q Did you see any Buck knives at the ranch before
š.	· 28	Assessment M.C. Matternation

A	Yes, I saw a few of them.
Q	Did you see people carrying those knives before
August 1	6, 1969?
À	Yes.
Q	And there was no difference in what people
carried 1	before August 16, 1969, and afterwards?
A	They
<b>Q</b>	Was there, Mrs. Pearl?
A	Yes, it made a difference.
Q	It made a difference?
A	Yes, because the girls were wearing them after-
wards and	before only the boys were playing with them.
ą	You say playing with them; how were they playing
with them	?
A	Flipping them in the haystack.
Q	And you didn't see any girl with any Buck knife
at all?	fou never saw Linda Kasabian with one?
Ą	No, I didn't see her with any.
Q	Did you ever see Ella Jo Bailey carry a Buck
knife?	
A	Yes, I saw her with one.
<b>Q</b> ,	You saw her with one after August 16, 1969?
A	I thought you meant before.
Q	Well, before or after, Mrs. Pearl.
A.	Not after. I don't think I ever saw her after
the raid.	
1.0	Well, did you see her carry a Buck knife?
A.	I had seen her with one, yes.

1	Q	Before?
2	A	Before, yes.
3	Q	Now, who else had you seen before carry a knife,
4 .	any female?	
5	A	I don't recall any others.
6	Q	You're pretty familiar with knives, aren't you,
7	Mrs. Pearl?	
8	A	I've seen a few.
ģ	Q	Ever have any arguments with Shorty Shea?
10	A	Not that I recall.
11	Q	Not that you recall.
12		From time to time you and Mr. Shea had words,
13	right?	
14	A	I can't recall any.
15	Q	You mean it might have happened and you don't
16	recall it?	,
17	A	I don't recall any right at the moment.
18	Q	Did Mr. Shea owe you any money?
19	A	That's immaterial.
20	. Q	That's immaterial.
21		He owed you quite a bit of money, Mrs. Pearl,
22	didn't he?	
23 .	A	Not that anyone knew about.
24	Q.	Tell us about it right now. Now is the right
25	time.	
26	A	At times I gave him money. When I give money
Ž7 - ,	to the boys	I know I'll never see it again.
28	Q	I see. And so how much did Mr. Shea owe you?

	1	A Oh, I can't say exactly.	
	2	Q Well, give us your best estimate.	
_	3	A \$20 at a time maybe, now and then.	
	4	Q How much does he owe you right now?	
\$	5.	A I wouldn't say he owed me anything because I	
æ	6	never expected it back when I gave it to him.	
	7	Q You gave it to him?	
	8.	A No, we never acted as if it was a loan. When	
	9	they needed money	
	10	Q When you say "we"	ļ.
,	11	A Mr. George.	
	12	Q You mean	
•	13	A Mr. George. I always asked George if I could give	
	14	him some. It wasn't always my money I was handling.	
	15	Q 'You handled Mr. Spahn's money?	
	16	A I handled Mr. Spahn's money.	
*	17	Q Mr. Spahn was blind?	
7a fls.	18	A Right	
	20		
	21		
	22		ľ
بو	23		
	24		
<b>.</b>	25		
	26		
- Landerson - Land	-27		ļ.,
	28		
	•		

1	Q So when you gave Mr. Shea money, you gave him
2	Mr. Spahn's money on occasion?
3	A On occasion.
4	Q And on occasion you gave him your money?
5	A Yes.
6	Q How much of your money have you given Mr. Shea
7	that is not yet repaid?
8	A I don't think that's anyone's business.
9	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have an answer to the
10	question?
11	THE COURT: Yes, Mrs. Pearl, please answer Mr. Kanarek's
12	question.
13	THE WITNESS: How much money I have given him over a
14	period of all of those times?
15	THE COURT: That he owes you.
16	THE WITNESS: Well, I give him money now and then. I
17	can't say even how much he owes me. If he owes it.
18	Q BY MR. KANAREK: The question, Mrs. Pearl, is how
19	much have you given to Mr. Shea that he has not returned?
20	A That he has not returned? Well, some things I
21	gave him, not giving it to him, I bought things off of him.
22	I bought a movie projector and things like that when he
23	needed money. So that's not giving it to him.
24	Those boys always come up with something to sell
25	if they needed money.
26	Q Mr. Shea always came up with something to sell
27	when he needed money?
28	A Not often, no.

1	Q He came up with a movie projector one time when
2	he needed money?
3	A Yes.
4	Q When was that?
5	A That was recent. That was recent.
-6	Q Would you tell us when it was, Mrs. Pearl?
7	A . It was in June.
8	Q 1969?
9	A Yes.
10	. Q He came up with a movie projector?
$\mathbf{n}^{i_{0}}$	A Uh-huh.
12	Q How much did you give him for the movie projector?
13	A That was \$50.
14	Q And he gave you you got in other words,
15	that would be full price for the movie projector?
16	A Yes, yes.
17	Q Did he tell you where he got the movie projector?
18	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. Immaterial.
19	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
20	THE COURT: No, you may not.
21	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you have a discussion with
22	him at the time that he gave you the movie projector for
23	\$50?
24	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. That is
25	immaterial.
26	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, then, apart from that \$50,
27 ·	looking at your debit-credit relationship with Mr. Shea, how
28	much money have you given him that he has not yet returned?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you able to --

MR. KANAREK: We haven't had an answer to that question yet.

THE COURT: Are you able to fix a figure?

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. KANAREK: Give us your best estimate.

A - It has no memory to me.

THE COURT: It has been asked and answered.

MR. KANAREK: I didn't hear her statement, your Honor. She made something --

THE COURT: She said no, she could not come up with a figure.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll recess at this time, until tomorrow morning at 9:30 or 9:45. Hopefully the Court will be through with the calling of Department 100's calendar, and this calendar by 9:45 and we can start promptly.

Remember the admonition that I have heretofore given you, and that is that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express an opinion on the matter until it has finally been submitted to you.

Remember, too, the admonition that I have heretofore given to you, and the Court will not repeat it, except to tell you that you should avoid any publicity concerning this case or any other case in which Mr. Manson

may become involved or take active steps to avoid it. MR. KANAREK: May we remain in session for a moment? 2 THE COURT: Yes, 9:45. Good night. See you tomorrow 3 morning. (Whereupon, the jury panel retired from the 5 courtroom at ten minutes after 4:00.) 6 THE COURT: Off the record. 7 (A discussion was had off the record.) 8 THE COURT: On the record. 9 MR. MANZELLA: Can Mrs. Pearl be excused? 10 THE COURT: Mrs. Pearl, you are excused and ordered to 11 return tomorrow morning at 9:45. 12 All right, the record will show that the jurors 13 have left the courtroom. Mr. Kanarek. 15 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 7b fls. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 28

THE COURT: Use the microphone, will you? I'm having 1 trouble hearing you with the noise of the air conditioners. 2 MR. KANAREK: Mr. Manson informs me that he has been 3 beaten up twice in the jail today. THE COURT: I observed Mr. Manson this morning and I 5 observed no marks of a beating on his person. б 7 Does he -- have you talked to him personally? 8 MR. KANAREK: Yes, yes. THE COURT: Have you seen his person? MR. KANAREK: Well. I didn't --10 11 THE COURT: Have you looked at -- is there any indica-12 tion that there has been a beating? 13 MR. KANAREK: I am not a doctor. I -- I --14 THE COURT: Is there any visible indication to you that 15 there has been a beating? 16 MR. KANAREK: Well, it is possible for someone to be 17 mistreated without the person having a hematoma that is livid 18 and so, I mean, I am not a doctor but I -- so I can't say that 19 I have seen any hematoma, if that's -- if your Honor's 20. talking about black and blue marks. 21 THE COURT: That's what I am talking about. 22 MR. KANAREK: No, I can't represent that I have seen 23 that, no. 24 THE COURT: Well, what else do you wish to put on the 25 record? 26 MR. KANAREK: Well, we do wish that witness that I indicated to the Court -- and it is our belief that there is a suppression of the evidence, which is violative of the

14th Amendment, and it is a People vs. Kiihoa-type of situation. And we have discovery from this person. And because this discovery is favorable to Mr. Manson, it is our belief the prosecution is hiding out this person or at the very minimum is not allowing us to -- give us an address to subpoena the person. I've asked Mr. Manzella and asked Mr. Whiteley, as your Honor knows.

THE COURT: All right, excuse me, Mr. Kanarek.
Mr. Manzella.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, we'll have an address on the witness for Mr. Kanarek tomorrow at 2:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Fine.

MR. KANAREK: I -- I --

THE COURT: Do you have an address of Phyllis Shea?

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor, I don't.

THE COURT: Or Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: No. I say, I welcome the assistance of the Court. I can represent to the Court and offer to be sworn that, uh, that when I asked previously for this, I was told in no uncertain terms that this information was not available to me by both Mr. Manzella and Mr. Whiteley.

MR. MANZELLA: That is not true, your Honor. Mr. Kanarek was told I did not have an address on the witness, and that I do not have addresses on any of my witnesses.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Whiteley --

THE COURT: There is no need to prolong this. The address will be provided, Mr. Kanarek, to you tomorrow morning -- or at 2:00 o clock.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

And I would like to make this statement, that Mr. Blackburn has told me that he is not objective. He made this candid statement, and I think Mr. Manson should be protected from this kind of journalism and kind of reporting. He told me he thinks Mr. Manson is guilty, and I don't think in the interest of justice this man should be allowed into this courtroom and that he should be excluded from the courtroom. He told me that he is out to get Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: The motion is denied and your remarks are stricken.

DEFENDANT MANSON: (From within the holding tank) I didn't tell Ixving what he said I said, I wish that to be --

THE COURT: Is there any other matter you wish to bring to the Court's attention?

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, except that --THE COURT: All right, let's get Mr. Manson out.

(Whereupon, the Defendant Manson was brought out from the holding tank into the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Manson, is somebody beating you? Has somebody beaten you, as Mr. Kanarek says?

THE OFFENDANT: We had a little thing going. We bounced back and forth. I did a little bit and they did a little bit. I hit one of them and kicked one in the knees.

THE COURT: You are not in any state of physical disability now?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I live in violence all the time.
THE COURT: All right, we're in recess until tomorrow

morning at 9:45. THE DEFENDANT: They wasn't misusing me, I was misusing them. (Whereupon, at 4:15 o'clock p.m. an adjournment was taken until the following day at 9:45 o'clock a.m.) 植物基层