SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 106 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Plaintiff. No. A-267861 7 VS. CHARLES MANSON. Defendant. 10 11 12 REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13. Thursday, September 16,1971 14 VOLUME 45 15 16 17 **APPEARANCES:** 18 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney 19 ANTHONY MANZELLA, Deputy District Attorney 20 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 21 WHOELEY 22 CHARGERT (HERBERT)
HIGHT (BARBARA) 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters 27 28

Ì

. 14

Ĭ8

	1				
PLOUE'S PUDISHSI.	Dit.	EECT	CRORS XE	MEGT 1	ECECES
(Cont o)	*	897	6900		
CAMPBLL, Serbork	5	203	6915		
MOTEL MATHEMA	*	922	6972		
			LLLA		
MOULL		112	TITLATUR	11.1	THE
M Employment Mile		-	908		
First and First - Tom a fills	-		011	gg bus g Tru	
The state of the s					
	· 4* 1	* * * * * *			
•	-	•			
			•		
			•		
					•

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1971, 9:30 A.M. 1 THE COURT: Case of People versus Manson. The record 2 will show that the jurors and alternates are present. 3 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 4 (Whereupon, there were murmurs of "Good morning, 5 your Honor." by the members of the jury.) 6 THE COURT: Good to see you all. Mr. Kanarek for the People -- for the defendant. 8 Mr. Manzella for the People. Do you want to bring Mr. Manson out? 10 (Whereupon, the defendant Manson was brought out 11 12 from the holding tank:) 13. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 14 the bench among Court and counsel, and the defendant Manson, 15 outside the hearing of the jury:) THE COURT: Again, I'll ask you if you think you can 16 17 restrain yourself in the courtroom today. The Court will 18 permit you to be here. 19 THE DEFENDANT: You're hiding me. I'm not hiding you. 20 THE COURT: Can you --21 THE DEFENDANT: I wish to be my attorney. 22 THE COURT: Can you tell the Court that you will not 23 disturb the proceedings today? 24 THE DEFENDANT: I could tell the Court that. 25 THE COURT: All right, can you? 26 THE DEFENDANT: No. I don't accept the proceedings, sir. .27 THE COURT: Well --28 THE DEFENDANT: I don't accept the justice. accept the laws or the procedures. I'm for changing the whole

Ä

3

2

3

5

J.

6

7. 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

ķ

26 27

28

thing.

THE COURT: On successive days when you were in the courtroom, you interrupted the Court and it was necessary to remove you. And I believe that does not do you any good in the eyes of the jury.

THE DEFENDANT: Which jury?

THE COURT: And the Court wishes to give you the opportunity to be here, if you wish to be here.

THE DEFENDANT: There's two juries. I'm not worried about this one.

THE COURT; All right, the Court will permit you to be present.

THE DEFENDANT: All-right.

THE COURT: If you can restrain yourself.

All right - - /

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to dismiss this counsel.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to proceed on my own behalf.

THE COURT: You may be seated now, Mr. Manson.

THE DEFENDANT: It is written in your Constitution that I have a right to prepare and defend with assistance of counsel. That I have a right of a human being to stand up in this courtroom, if the courtroom does --

THE COURT: Mr. Manson, the Court has ruled on such motions previously, and we'll now proceed with the cross-examination -- were we in cross-examination?

MR. MANZELLA: I still --1 THE DEFENDANT: For each man --2 MR. MANZELLA: -- have a few more questions on direct 3 4 examination of Sergeant Whiteley, your Honor. DEFENDANT MANSON: -- you have 200 working over his back. 5 THE COURT: Will you be quiet? DEFENDANT MANSON: No. I will not be quiet. 7 THE COURT: All right, you will have to be taken to the 8 detention center. DEFENDANT MANSON: This is big business, and I'm tired of 10 carrying this. I have to die for your sins, and your ignorance. 11 12 (Whereupon, the defendant Manson was escorted by 13 the bailiff to the holding tank.) 14 THE COURT: Sergeant Whiteley. 15 16 17 18 19 20 26 27 28

2

4

; ⁽

8

Ż

10

11

13

15

16

17

V

ð

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 PAUL WHITELEY,

having previously been duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. MANZELLA:

Sergeant Whiteley, directing your attention to the four photographs which have been marked People's 90 for identification, tell us first whether you recognize what is shown in those four photographs?

A Yes, I do.

Q Directing your attention first to the photograph marked 90-A for identification, would you tell us what that photograph shows?

MR. KANAREK: Objection, your Honor. No foundation; irrelevant and immaterial.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: It's the front yard of the Barker Ranch.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Directing your attention to the photograph marked 90-B for identification, can you tell us what is shown in that photograph?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a continuing objection as to this --

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: -- on those grounds?

THE COURT: However, the objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: That's the rear of the Barker Ranch.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And directing your attention

2-2	1
	2
	3
	4
ā	5
Ŷ	6
, Ā	7
	8
	9
	10
,	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
*	16
\Diamond	17
·	18
•	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
, <u>,4</u>	24
· 48	25
Ġ	26
	27
	28

to the photograph marked People's 90-C for identification, do you recognize what is shown in that photograph?

A Yes. This is also from the rear, looking at Barker Ranch, and the outbuildings.

Q All right. Directing your attention to certain initials and marks which appear thereon on this photograph, 90-G for identification, did you place those markings on the photograph at a prior proceeding, or was that someone else?

A No, I did.

and the same

Q Did you place those marks on there?

A Yes, I did.

Q All right. Would you tell us what those marks indicate?

MR. KANAREK: No foundation, your Honor; irrelevant and immaterial; hearsay.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Would you read the previous question and answer to me, please, Mr. Williams?

(Whereupon the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q All right. Directing your attention to certain initials and marks which appear thereon on this photograph, 90-C for identification, did you place those markings on the photograph at a prior proceeding, or was that someone else?

"A No, sir, I did.")

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: The green mark here (indicating) shows where Goler Wash is coming through the pass; and then up

1	
	Q And coming from Los Angeles, is there a
	difference in distance, going that route, to Barker's and
	Meyers' Ranches?
ľ	A Yes. It's further.
	Q And how much further is it?
	A Approximately 75 miles further.
	MR. MANZELIA: All right. Thank you. I have no
	further questions, your Honor.
	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
']	BY MR. KANAREK:
	Q Officer Whiteley, on December 9th or 8th
	1969, Bruce Davis was not in custody; is that correct?
	A No, he was not.
	Q And Bruce Davis
	A One moment. Are you what year are you
`.	speaking of?
	Q 1969?
	A No, he was not.
	Q December the 8th or 9th, 1969; right? He was
	not in custody?
	A That's correct.
	Q Was Bruce Davis arrested on December the 11th,
	1970?
	A Yes.
	Q And he was arrested in Los Angeles on December

Ļ

Ŷ

<u>.</u>	1	the 11th, 1970; is that right?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q And he was arrested by personnel of the Los
	4	Angeles Sheriff's Department,
á	Š	A Yes.
Ş	6	Q is that correct?
3 fls.	7	A Yes.
	. 8	
	9	• •
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
•	14	
Ψ,	15	
,	16	
Ŷ	17	
•	18 19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2 . k 3 .	20 21	
-	22	
÷-	23	
*	24	
<u>ā</u>	25	
**	26	
_	27	
	28	

2

4

•

7

8

9

11

13.

14 15

16

17

Ŷ

₹.

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. No further questions at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may step down.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may we approach the bench? THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I think I'll have another witness available now. Can we take a recess for about ten minutes?

THE COURT: It is unusual. I wanted to use the morning, if we could.

You don't have anything ready to go at this moment?

MR. MANZELLA: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, we'll take a recess, then.

MR. MANZELLA: For about ten minutes, thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We'll recess for approximately ten minutes, ladies and gentlemen. During the recess, you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express

any opinion on the matter until it has finally been submitted 1 to you. 2 Possibly 15 minutes. (Short recess.) 4 The record will show that the jury is all 5 THE COURT: present. Mr. Kanarek for the defendant. ъ You may proceed. 8 MR. MANZELLA: People call Herbert Campbell, your Honor. THE CLERK: Raise your right hand. 10 You do solemnly swear the testimony you may give 11 in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, 12 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 13 THE WITNESS: I do. 14 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. THE BAILIFF: Please state and spell your name. 16 THE WITNESS: Herbert Campbell, C-a-m-p-b-e-1-1. 17 18 HERBERT CAMPBELL, 19) called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 20 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. MANZELLA: 24 Sergeant Campbell, what is your occupation? Q. Α I am an examiner of questioned documents, assigned 26 to the Crime Lab of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's 27 Department. 28 Sergeant Campbell, would you pull the microphone Q

ā

Ŷ

Y

16.

closer to you and speak right into it.

Now, Sergeant Campbell, would you give us your background, some of your background, training and experience in the field and examination of questioned documents, and the examination and comparison of handwriting?

A Yes.

For the past eight and a half years I have devoted my full time to the study and examination of questioned documents.

My training was under the supervision of the document examiner, Fred L. Bauman.

In addition, I have read and studied the basic books and texts and articles recognized by the leading authorities in the questioned documents field.

Other than in our department, I also have examined document cases for approximately 39 other police departments within the County of Los Angeles, for the State of California, various agencies of the United States Government, occasionally for the District Attorney's Office, the Public Defender's Office, and other County agencies.

I have testified a total of 252 times in Municipal Court, Superior Court, the United States District Court and before State Boards.

I am a member of the Academy of Forensic Science questioned document section.

Approximately four years ago I trained another man as a document examiner who has since qualified in all the courts in Los Angeles County.

Q Thank you.

And, Sergeant Campbell, when you testified in court on that number of times, did you give your opinion with regard to whether or not two or more signatures or two or more pieces of handwriting were made by one and the same person?

Yes

_

9.

Ä

Q Sergeant Campbell, directing your attention to People's Exhibit 55-A and 55-B for identification, and in particular the signatures of Donald J. Shea which appear on those exhibits, have you seen those exhibits and those documents and those signatures before?

A. Yes.

Directing your attention to People's 57-A and 57-B for identification, and in particular the signatures of Donald J. Shea appearing thereon, have you seen those documents and those signatures before?

A. Yes.

and -B for identification, and in particular the signatures of Donald J. Shea appearing on both of those documents, have you seen and examined those signatures and those documents before?

A Yes.

And directing your attention, finally, to the check which has been marked <u>People's 71</u> for identification, and in particular the signature of Don Shea appearing on the back thereof as an endorsement, have you seen and examined that signature and that document before?

A. Yes.

And did you make a comparison between the signatures of Donald J. Shea appearing on all of the exhibits I've mentioned?

That is, People's 55-A, -B and -C, People's 57-A and -B,

People's 60-A and -B, and People's 71 for identification?

A. Yes,

1	And after making that examination and that
2	comparison, did you form an opinion as to whether or not
3	the signatures of Donald J. Shea and Don Shea appearing on
4	those exhibits were made by one and the same person?
5	A. Yes.
6	And what is that opinion?
7	A It is my opinion all the Shea signatures were made
8	by the same person.
9	Q Now, Sergeant Campbell, directing your attention
10	to the document which has been marked People's 64 for
11	identification, and in particular that portion of the docu-
12	ment which bears the signature, "Don", at the near the
13	bottom of that document, have you seen and examined that
14	signature?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q And did you compare the signature "Don" appearing
17	on People's 64 for identification with the signatures of
18	Donald J. Shea and Don Shea appearing on People's 55, 57, 60
19	and 71 for identification?
20	A. Yes.
21	And as a result of that comparison, did you form
22	an opinion as to whether or not the signature, "Don", appearing
23	on People's 64 was made by the same person who made the
24	signatures on the other exhibits?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q And what is that opinion?
27	A It is my opinion the signature, "Don", appearing
28	on the letter

	1	Q People's 64?
	2	A People's 64. (Continuing) is by the same
•	-	person as the Shea signatures appearing on all the other
	3	
	4	documents.
•	5	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have here what appears to
Ÿ	6	be an employment file. At this time, may I have the file
NDX	7.	marked People's 91 for identification?
	8	THE COURT: So ordered.
	9	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek
	10	examined the proffered exhibit.)
	11	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
	12	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
	13	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
a.	14	
	1 5	
	16	•
4.	17	
¥	18	
	19	
	20	
		· ·
	21	
	22	·
	23	
4	.24	
G	25	
-	26	
	27	
	28	

25

26

27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to -- I don't know what this is going to be used for, but I would object to this --

THE COURT: You are referring to the file which -- a file folder which --

MR. MANZELLA: Which has been marked People's 91, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: That's right.

THE COURT: And which is labeled "Donald J. Shea"?

MR. KANAREK: Right. And on the basis it's not the best evidence. Those are obviously not originals, and --

MR. MANZELLA: Well ---

MR. KANAREK: -- unless there's some -- this is a murder case, and I think that we are entitled to have the best evidence, the originals.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor --

MR. KANAREK: Otherwise, I will object, and ask that nothing be done in connection with this exhibit.

MR. MANZELLIA: This is --.

THE COURT: Well, he has only marked it for identification

MR. KANAREK: Well, no. But he's going to --

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: He is not offering it.

MR. MANZELLA: There will be a witness who will testify, who will bring the original. That witness is out of state right

now. She's in the Personnel Department of Leslie Salt Company.

And this is a copy of their employment file.

She will be bringing the original with her. And I will ask

Mr. Kanarek to compare the original with the copy.

MR. KANAREK: Well, that's not --

MR. MANZELLA: But — he may be correct at this time, your Honor, for the purposes of this witness. He has been asked to compare the printing appearing on the portions of this file with the printing appearing on People's 64 for identification (indicating), which is the letter; and his testimony would go to the printing appearing on People's 64 for identification, as compared to the printing appearing on People's -- what has been marked People's 91.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. MANZELLA: I had intended to put --

THE COURT: You are not offering it for the content, but simply --

MR. MANZELLA: Not at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- but simply for comparison?

MR. MANZELLA: I had intended to call the other witness first, but that witness is out of state.

THE COURT: It appears to me that such testimony would be admissible.

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. We are entitled to have the original. This is -- this goes to handwriting examination.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. KANAREK: And that -- he can be -- he can put on another witness, and when that -- whenever -- there's no

1 necessity to have --2 THE COURT: He represents that he will be able to show 3 that the original --4 MR. KANAREK: Yeah, but he has never compared the original. 5 MR. MANZELLA: Who hasn't? 6 MR. KANAREK: This man. He has made no comparison of **' 7** the original. 8. MR. MANZELLA: No, he has made the comparison from the ġ copy, I believe, from the one which has been marked People's 91. 10 MR. KANAREK: That's right. 11. MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have no great objection to 12 waiting. It's just that this is the last witness I have this 13 morning. 14 THE COURT: You are not offering it to prove the contents, 15 but simply to show that Shea wrote the application, and that 16 Shea --17 MR. KANAREK: Well, he's trying to prove that the -- that 18 the printing is the same. 19 THE COURT: Yes. And that Shea -- Shea also wrote 64? 20 MR. MANZELLA: That's correct, your Honor. 21 MR. KANAREK: Right. But the point is, your Honor, --22 THE COURT: Yes? 23 MR. KANAREK: -- that the original -- we are talking 24 about handwriting now, so therefore --25 THE COURT: Well, someone else will establish that --26 MR. KANAREK: But he --27 THE COURT: -- the document referred to, 91, is a --28 MR. MANZELLA: True and accurate copy of the original.

THE COURT: -- is a true and accurate copy of the original. MR. KANAREK: But in copying the document, you lose 3 authenticity in a handwriting comparison. That's what --4 THE COURT: Well, you may very well do so; and if that 5 is the case, then the jury may determine that the expert's 6 opinion is not reliable. 7 MR. KANAREK: Well, but --8 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I --9 MR. KANAREK: We would object to foundation; and on the 10 basis it's not the best evidence, too. 11 THE COURT: I don't see your point. 12 MR. KANAREK: And we are entitled to the original. 13 There's no valid showing as to -- 1 14 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I would have preferred, and 15 I had intended to proceed the way I -- the other way. 16 THE COURT: You may proceed. 17 MR. MANZELLA: All right. 18 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 19 20 21 22 23 28

5

1

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

. R

28

27

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Sergeant Campbell, directing your attention to the exhibit which has been marked People's 91 for identification.

Have you seen that file before?

A Yes.

Q Did you examine any particular portion or the writing appearing on any particular portion of that file?

A Yes.

Q Would you point that out to us, please?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I'll object on the grounds of no foundation, as well as --

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KANAREK: -- as well as other points made --

THE WITNESS: Yes, I examined and compared the printed material, the printed information on the first sheet, and on the second sheet.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, now, you are referring to the two sheets that I am now holding in my hand?

A Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may I have -- may I mark those sheets respectively People's 91-A and 91-B for identification?

THE COURT: So ordered.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA; All right, Sergeant Campbell, did you compare the printing appearing on the two pages of

2

3

-

6

7

10

ļl

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Ĩ.,

25 26

27

28

the file which have been marked 91-A and 91-B for identification, the printing appearing on the letter which has been marked People's 64 for identification?

A Yes.

Q And as a result of that comparison, did you form an opinion as to whether or not the printing appearing on People's 64 for identification was made by the same person who placed the printing on People's 91-A and 91-B for identification?

A Yes.

Q And what is that opinion?

A I formed the qualified opinion that the printing appearing on 64 and the printing appearing on 91-A and -B was probably by the same person.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, your Honor --

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now --

MR. KANAREK: -- I ask that that be stricken on the grounds of conjecture, your Honor, on the basis that the probative value, the probative value is outweighed by the prejudicial value, in view of the fact that the exhibits are of the character that they are. There is no way that any of us who are not experts, your Honor, can make such a determination, and so that makes the evidence inadmissible.

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Sergeant Campbell, would you tell us why your opinion is a qualified opinion?

MR. KANAREK: I'll object to that, your Honor, on the grounds that's irrelevant and immaterial, and there's no

foundation for it. 1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, my opinion is qualified basically for 3 two reasons: No. 1, being that the exhibit 91-A and -B, the 5 employment application is a Xerox copy, and that it contains a rather limited amount of printing for comparison purposes. MR. MANZELLA: All right, thank you. Your Honor, the People have no further questions. THE COURT: Any questions? 10 MR. KANAREK: Well, in view of the witness's last 11 statement, your Honor, I would make a motion to strike all 12 of the testimony and incorporate by reference our points at 13 the bench, as a legal basis for the striking. THE COURT: The motion is denied. 15 16 Cross-examine. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. KANAREK: Officer Campbell, directing your attention to 20 21 this yellow sheet concerning which you testified. THE COURT: It would be 64. 23 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Did you at any time see a date on that yellow sheet? 26 Not that I recall. 27 Did you attempt to determine when the yellow 28 sheet was written?

Ý

1	A No, sir, that could not be done.
2	Q Well, my question is, did you attempt to determine
3	when the yellow sheet was written?
4	A No.
<u>*</u> 5	Q Now, the age of a writing is determinable by
6	certain scientific tests, is that correct?
7	A In some rare instances, yes.
8	Q Well, but there are techniques available by
9	means of which it is possible to determine the time when a
ЙO	writing was made, is that correct?
n	A Uh, not in all cases, that would not be correct.
12	Q Pardon?
13	A That would not be correct in all cases.
14	Q My question is, there are techniques available
15	to determine when a writing is made.
j 6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the question has
17	been asked and answered.
18	MR. KANAREK: It has well, I don't think it has.
19	My question is whether such techniques are available, your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: You may answer.
5a fls.22	THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
lġ			
Į9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	4		
25			
26			

27

28

	Q.		B¥	MR.	KAI	VARE	: 2	There	are	no	tec	hniqu	ıes	availal	olé
Ъy	means	of	wh:	ich	you	can	det	ermine	e the	ti	Lme	that	a	writing	was
mac	de?										•				

A. Uh, I have to qualify my answer to some extent. It depends on several factors. Number one, paper; and number two, ink. Writing can be dated. When the ink, for example, appears to be a very old ink. In other words. on documents that are possibly written in a span of, say, 20 years, and documents, say, three or four years or even six months, you could not differentiate in such cases.

Well, you, yourself, do not run those tests, do you?

I make no chemical analysis, no.

So you do not know -- it is not within your field of activity to determine the age of a document?

A. That would be correct, yes.

à And you could not have within your capabilities the capacity, the background or education to determine when a writing is made, is that correct?

Yes, basically that would be correct.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. MANZELLA: I have no further questions, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

MR. MANZELLA: May Sergeant Campbell be excused?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. You may step down.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People have no further witnesses available for this morning's session.

THE COURT: When will the People be ready to proceed?

MR. MANZELLA: This afternoon, your Honor, at any time the Court wants to start.

THE COURT: All right, the Court will set it down for 1:45, then, for the afternoon session.

Ladies and gentlemen, the Court will excuse you, then, until 1:45. We'll be in recess until that time.

During the recess, you are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

I'll anticipate that the People will be ready to proceed at 1:45.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 10:50 a.m. a recess was taken to reconvene at 1:45 p.m., same day.)

William Control of the Control

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 1971 1:54 PM 1 2 3 THE COURT: The record will show that all of the jurors 4 are present: Mr. Kanarek is present for the defendant. 5 Mr. Manzella, you may proceed. 6 The People call Miss Barbara Hoyt. MR. MANZELLA: 7 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please? 8 You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may 9 give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the 10 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help 11 12 you God? 13 THE WITNESS: I do. 14 15 BARBARA HOYT. 16 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, having 17 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 18 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 19 THE RAILIFF: State and spell your full name. 20 ~ THE WITNESS: Barbara Hoyt; B-a-r-b-a-r-a, H-o-y-t. 21 MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, your Honor. May we approach 22 the bench? 23 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 24 Excuse me just a moment, gentlemen. I must take 25 a phone call. 26 (Short recess.) 27 THE COURT: Gentlemen, you may approach the bench. 28 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had

at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jurors:)

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I -- at this time, I ask that the bench warrant issued on Linda Kasabian -- I don't know what your Honor has done to --

THE COURT: No, the Court did not issue the beach warrant. The Court wanted to speak to you about it before.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it's my request that -- I have -there's no -- I just -- I am reluctant to ask that the Court
do it, but I would ask that the Court just issue the bench
warrant and have her picked up and brought in.

THE COURT: Well, --

MR. KANAREK: We have service on her. There's a declaration of service in the file.

She's no different than any other witness. In fact, she's received the immunity of seven counts of murder and one count of conspiracy. It would seem to me that she would have an obligation to show up in the courtroom,

It's -- she -- she's here when the prosecution -- THE COURT: Where did you serve her?

MR. KANAREK: I served her in the courtroom, directly across the hall from the courtroom occupied by Judge Alexander.

THE COURT: Which was it? Was it in the courtroom?

MR. KANAREK: No. It was in the courtroom at a time when court was not in session. It was not the courtroom the Watson case was taking place in; it was the courtroom directly across the hall.

She was sitting there, and I served her and read it to her and told her about it and so forth.

THE COURT: Do you have any knowledge of -- let's see. When did you order her -- when did the subpoena call for her appearance?

MR. KANAREK: The day that I brought her up to court, which was September the 7th, 1971. And I -- the People have gone to great expense to bring all kinds of people here, and it's incredible of belief that they wouldn't have Linda Kasabian here.

THE COURT: All right. I'll talk to you at the end of the day about this. Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Your Honor, we ask the Court for the relief, the good services of the Court, to assist us.

THE COURT: Ail right. I'll talk to you about it at the end of the day.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

2

3

5

._

7

8

D

10

Ų

12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

į

25

26

27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

The first of the same

THE COURT: Move up close to that microphone. You have to have the microphone about an inch or two away from your face in order for it to pick up. Thank you.

You may proceed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MANZELLA:

- Miss Hoyt, do you know a man by the name of Charles Manson, the defendant in this case?
 - A Yes.
 - When did you first meet Mr. Manson?
 - A April 1, 1969.
 - Where did you meet him?
 - A. Gresham Street.
 - Q Was this a house on Gresham Street?
 - A Yes.
- And in April of 1969, did you begin living at this house?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q And that house was in Canoga Park, is that correct?
 - A I think it was in Chatsworth.
- All right. Now, when you began living at the house in April of 1969, the Gresham Street house, were there other persons, a group of people who were also living at that house?
 - A Yes.
 - And were they -- was Mr. Manson as well living at

```
that house?
1
          A.
                 Yes.
2
          Q
                 Could you tell us as best you remember the names
3
    of the people who were living at the Gresham Street house when
    you went there to live there in April of 1969?
          MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial, remote as to
    time, no foundation.
7
          THE COURT: Objection is overruled, you may answer.
Ŕ
          THE WITNESS: Uh, Bill, Ella, Danny, Charlie, Clem,
9
    Sadie, Leslie, Squeaky, Ouish and Sherry, Brenda, Sandy --
10
                 When you say Squeaky, you are referring to Lynn
11
    Fromme?
12
13
          A.
                 Yes.
                 When you say Sadie, are you referring to Susan
14
    Atkins?
15
16
          A.
                 Yes.
                 And Leslie, is that Leslie Van Houten?
17
          Q
18
          A,
                 Yes.
19
          Q
                 The Ella that you refer to, is that Ella Jo Bailey?
20
          A.
                 Yes.
21
                 And Sandy, is that Sandy Good?
          Q
22
          A.
                 Yes.
23
          Q
                 Charlie, was that Charles Manson?
24
          A.
                 Yes.
25
                 Bill, was that Bill Vance?
          đ
26
          A.
                 Yes.
27
           Q.
                 And Clem, was that a nickname for Steve Grogan?
28
                 Yes.
           A.
```

	·
1	And Danny, was that the first name of Danny
2	De Carlo?
3	A. Yes.
4	All right. Was Gypsy living at the Gresham Street
5	house?
6	A Yes, and Paul.
7	When you say Paul
8	A Watkins.
9	Q are you referring to Paul Watkins?
10	A. Yes.
11	Dave.
.12	Q Did you see Tex Watson at the Gresham Street house?
13	A Yes.
14	4 All right. Miss Hoyt, how long did you stay at
15	the Gresham Street house?
16	A A few days.
17	And did you then leave the Gresham Street house
18	and move some place else?
19	A Yes.
20	Where did you go?
21	A To a house in Malibu.
22	And did you go alone or did the rest of the group
23	go with you?
24	A We all went,
25	Q Did that include Mr. Manson?
26	A. Yes.
27	Now, at that time or some time thereafter, did you
28	refer to this group of people by some term?
	the contract of the contract o

· 1945 (1945) (1945)

1	A. Yes.
2	Q What was that term?
3	MR. KANAREK: Ambiguous as to time, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
['] 5	THE WITNESS: The Family.
6	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did Mr. Manson and the rest
7	of the Family, including yourself, move to the house in
8	Malibu?
9	A. Yes.
10	4 How long did you stay there?
11	A About a week or so.
12	Did you then did you and the Family then move
13	some place else?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Where did you go?
16	A. Spahn's Ranch.
17	Q And are we still talking about April of 1969?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q When the Family moved to Spahn Ranch, did Mr,
20	Manson move there as well?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q Now, during the time that you stayed at the
23	Spahn Ranch, did you stay in one place or did you stay in more
24	than one place at or around Spahn Ranch?
25	A. Many places.
26	Q All right. Would you tell us where the members of
27	the Family stayed, the places at or around Spahn Ranch, where
28	the members of the Family stayed after you moved there in

1	April of 1969?
2	A There are two back houses in the woods on Santa
3	Susanna just before the ranch.
4	Q Is that Santa Susanna Pass Road?
5	A. Yes. And the dump or the garbage dump, the ranch
6	house, the saloon, you know, all those places.
7	Q Now, was the saloon one of the front buildings of
8	Spahn Ranch on the boardwalk?
9	A. Yes. And then, the outlaw shacks and the back
10	house.
11	Q Now, did you did some members of the Family ever
12	stay at a farmhouse or a house on the borderline of Spahn Ranch
13	in some adjoining ranch?
14	A. Yes, that's a back house.
15	Q Now, when you moved to Spahn Ranch in April of
16	1969, approximately how many people were there in the Family?
17	A Around 20, I suppose.
18	Was it substantially the same people strike
19	that.
20	Did all of the same people which you've mentioned
21	move to Spahn Ranch?
22	A. Yes.
23	No, Didi didn't. I forgot to mention her. Her
24	and Stephanie.
25	Q All right. Now, after you began living at Spahn
26	Ranch, did you meet Ruby Pearl?
27	A. Yes.
28	Q Did won meet George Snahn?

A. Yes.

And did you meet some of the cowboys that worked at Spahn Ranch?

A Yes.

Now, while you were living at or around the Spahn Ranch, in the places that you have mentioned, did you travel from one part of the ranch to the other, during the course of the days that you spent at Spahn Ranch?

A. Yes.

1		
2		t
3		
4		
5		
6	,	
7		
8		
9		
10		
ļl		
12		
13		•
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		•
27		

Q And how did you get around?
A. Dune buggies.
Q And were these dune buggies driven around the
property of Mr. Spahn, on the Spahn Ranch?
MR. KANAREK: Object. Calling for a conclusion, your
Honor, as to whose property they were, with no foundation.
THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you know did you meet a
person by the name of Frank Retz while you were staying at
Spahn Ranch?
A. Yes.
Q All right. Hiss Hoye, directing your attention to
the August 16th raid at Spahn Ranch, were you arrested in that
raid?
A. Yes.
Q Now, was the had the Family been living at the
ranch had Mr. Manson and the Family and yourself been
living at the ranch up to August 16, 1969?
A. Yes.
And were you and the and other members of the
Family arrested in that raid?
A. Yes.
And some time after you were arrested, were you
released?
A. Yes.
Q Approximately how many members of the Family were
arrested?

1	, A .	Around 20
2	Q.	And was Mr. Manson arrested?
3	. A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Was Mr. Grogan arrested?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Ġ,	How about Mr. De Carlo, Danny De Carlo,
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	do you recall if he was arrested?
9		Now, some time after your arreston August 16th of
10	1969 at Spal	nn Ranch, were you were you taken into custody?
14	A.	Could you say that again?
12	Q	Well, were you taken into custody after you were
13	arrested?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	. 4	And did you spend some time in jail?
16	Α.	Yes,
17	. କୃ	And thereafter, were you released from jail?
İ8	A.	Yes.
19	Q	And did you then return to Spahn Ranch?
20	A.	Yes.
21	· Q	Approximately how many days later did you return to
22	Spahn Ranch	after August 16th?
23	A.	Just a couple of days.
24	Q	Now, after you returned to Spahn Ranch, after the
25 26		raid, did you meet a person at Spahn Ranch by the
27	name of Shor	
28	Α,	Yes.
, د	Q.	And did you see Mr. Shea at Spahn Ranch?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q Did you see him on one occasion or more than one
3	occasion?
4	A I saw him all the time.
5	And did you ever talk to him after the August 16th
6	raid, after you met him?
7	A. Yes.
-8	And did you hear him speaking to other people
9	A. Yes
10	4 when you saw him around the ranch?
ij	A Yes.
12.	Q Now, some time after you returned to Spahn Ranch,
13	after your release from jail, did you leave Spahn Ranch and go
14	to Olancha?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q And is that in the desert?
17	A. Yes.
18	4 All right. How long did you spend out there, before
19	leaving?
20	A. A few days.
21	And did you then return to Spahn Ranch?
22	A. Yes.
23	All right. Now, after you returned to Spahn Ranch,
24	did you see Mr. Shea at the ranch, the way you had before
25	MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor.
26	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: the way you had before the raid?
27	MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor. It's assuming facts not
28	in evidence. There's no foundation for it. It's the question
	i kanan ing mga mga mga mga mga mga mga mga mga mg

has -- is pregnant with -- with the -- with matters that this 1 witness hasn't testified to. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 You may answer. THE WITNESS: What was the question again? 5 BY MR. MANZELLA: After you returned from Clancha 6 to Spahn Ranch, did you see Mr. Shea at the ranch? 7 Yes. 8 9 Now, after the August 16th raid, was Mr. Manson released from jail? 10 11 A. Yes. 12 And did he return to Spahn Ranch? 13 Yes. A. 14 And did the other members of the Family return to Spahn Ranch after -- strike that 15 16 Were the other members of the Family, who had been 17 arrested in the August 16th raid, were they released from jail 18 sometime after the raid? 19 MR. KANAREK: I'll object to that, your Honor, as assuming 20 facts not in evidence. It's ambiguous --21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 MR. KANAREK: -- as to the People --23 Q BY MR. MANZELLA: The 20 or so members of the Family 24 that you said had been arrested in the August 16th raid, did you 25 see them back at the Spahn Ranch sometime after the raid? 26 MR. KANAREK: Object. It's ambiguous. There's no 27 foundation. 28 We must have the precise people named, your Honor;

because otherwise, it is manifestly unfair. THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may answer. THE WITNESS: Yes. .7

8a

8 a-1	1
	2
	3
	4
a	5
	6
inc.	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
₩'	16
વો	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
¢.	24
	25
•	26

28 ,

િ છુ 🖔	BY MR. MANZELLA:	And was this a	11 of	the people
that had be	en arrested in the	raid?		

A Yes.

Q And did they return to the ranch about the same time, or at the same time that you did?

A Yes.

Now, Miss Hoyt, sometime after your release, after the August 16th raid, after you returned to Spahn Ranch, were you present at any conversations in which Mr. Manson mentioned the name Shorty Shea, or talked about Shorty Shea?

A Yes.

Q And did those conversations occur on one occasion or more than one occasion?

A Many times.

Q Now, do you recall when the first conversation was -- or, when the first -- the first time Mr. Manson made statements with regard to Shorty Shea, after the August 16th raid?

MR, KANAREK: Object --

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Or how long it was after the August 16th raid?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds it's ambiguous as to time.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KANAREK: Improper foundation; no showing of any corpus delicti; irrelevant and immaterial, hearsay.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

Did --

3

4 5

6

7 8

ġ

10 11

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that that be stricken as not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: That last enswer, then, is stricken?

THE COURT: Yes. The motion is granted.

The Court strikes the answer.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: What did Mr. Manson say with regard to Shorty Shea?

MR. KANAREK: Same objections previously enunciated, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Do I just say the same thing?

THE COURT: State what Mr. Manson said, --

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: That's what --

THE COURT: -- the best that you can remember. State what Mr. Manson said about Shorty Shea.

THE WITNESS: He said that Shorty had caused the raid; that he was -- he was an ex-policeman, and he was working with them, and he was trying to get us kicked off the ranch; that he was an informant.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, did Mr. Manson make -MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask that that be stricken.
THE COURT: The motion is denied.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Mr. Manson make those statements just on that one occasion, a few days after your release, or did he make them on more than one occasion thereafter?

8a-4

Ż

.12

8b fls.

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, on the grounds that counsel is -- it's ambiguous, in that it's assuming that Mr. Manson made these statements on more than one occasion.

He can ask, perhaps, for statements; but to -but to have this supposed repetition, where the witness will
merely state what Mr. Manzella is indicating in his question,
is leading and suggestive;

And it denies Mr. Manson a fair trial.

THE COURT: Without arguing, would you just state your objection? And the Court will rule upon it. If the Court wants to hear argument, he'll hear it at the beach, and he'll ask you to come up.

MR. KANAREK: Well, my objection --

THE COURT: Is that it's leading and suggestive?

MR. KANAREK: It's leading and suggestive, among other vices.

8b-1

2

3.

2

7

8

10

11

12

14 15

16

17

18 *

19

20-

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I forgot the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, please?

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q Did Mr. Manson make those statements just on that one occasion, a few days after your release, or did he make them on more than one occasion thereafter?")

THE WITNESS: More than one occasion.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did -- strike that.

Now, sometime after the -- during the same period of time, after your release after the August 16th raid, were you present at a conversation -- strike that.

Sometime after the August 16th raid, after your release after that raid, were you present when Mr. Manson made some statements in the presence of yourself and Lynn Fromme, also known as Squeaky?

A Yes

Q And when were those statements made in relation to your release, after the August 16th raid?

A before we went to the desert.

Q Was this at the same conversation you've already told us about? Or at the same time of these other statements you have already told us about, made by Mr. Manson, or was this at a different time?

A I would say both.

	-
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
. 6	
7	ľ
* 8	
9	
* *	1
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	ŀ
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ŀ
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

9 fls.

Q All right. Are you saying that Mr. Manson made statements in front of Lynn Fromme and yourself on more than one occasion?

A Yes.

All right. Now, would you tell us what Mr. Manson said in that period, from your release, and before you went to Olancha? That is, in front of Lynn Fromme and yourself? Could you tell us what Mr. Manson said?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor. It's -- it's ambiguous, in that it -- and it's also calling for a conclusion, and there's improper foundation, in that he is not asking for individual conversations;

He's lumping them all together in one question.
THE COURT: Sustained on the latter ground.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Would you tell us what Mr. Manson said during the time -- strike that.

Would you tell us what Mr. Manson said on the first occasion at which he made statements, after your release, after the August 16th raid? What did Mr. Manson say in the presence of yourself and Squesky?

MR. KANAREK: Well, that -- that's ambiguous, your Honor, in that -- as to the foundation.

Are we now speaking in terms of just Lynn Fromme, or speaking in terms of Lynn Fromme and others purportedly being present?

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

THE WITNESS: He said that Frank Retz -- he said that he was trying to take over the ranch.

Q Who was trying to take over the ranch?
A. Frank Retz. And that he claimed the back house
was his, and that when he'd take over the ranch, he'd kick us
off. He was going to bring a bunch of Nazis up there.
Q And did Mr. Manson refer to Frank Retz by name?
A. Yes.
Q Did Mr. Manson say
MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, I ask that that be
stricken as not responsive to the question. The question had
nothing to do with Mr. Retz.
THE COURT: Overruled, You may answer.
Strike that. The motion to strike is denied.
There is no question pending.
MR. MANZELLA: That is correct, your Honor.
Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Miss Hoyt, did Mr. Manson say
anything with regard to how he had gotten his information with
regard to Frank Retz?
· A. Yes.
MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds there
is no foundation.
THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may answer,
You have answered. The answer may remain.
Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And what, if anything, did he
say?
A He gotait from Squeaky who stays with George.
Q And were you aware of one of the girls, members
of the Manson Family, who spent time with George Spahn?
A. Yes.

1	Q Who was that?
2	A. Squeaky.
3	Q Was anything said about Mr. Shea in the course of
4	that conversation?
5	A. Just that him and Frank were together.
6	THE COURT: Pardon?
7	THE WITNESS: Just that him and Frank were together,
8	THE COURT: Would you get closer to that microphone?
9	I am having trouble hearing you. Some of the jury may be havin
10	trouble, too. Get your mouth about an inch from that. Thank
11	you. You may have to lean forward.
12	Would you repeat your answer?
13,	THE WITNESS: That Frank Retz and Shorty were working
14	together to get the Family kicked off the ranch.
15	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Miss Hoyt, did you become aware
16.	sometime after you returned from Clancha to Spahn Ranch, did
17	you become aware sometime later that Stephanie Schram and
18	Mr. Manson were arrested?
19	A. Yes.
20	And were you at the ranch at the time they were
21	arrested?
22.	A. Yes.
23	Q Did you see the patrol car that took Mr. Manson
24	and Miss Schram away?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q Now, some time after you saw that strike that.
27	Do you know where Miss Schram and Mr. Manson were
28	arrested; what part of the house?

1	A.	The back house.
, 2	Q ,	Is that the house that s on the border of Spahn
3	Ranch and th	e adjoining ranch?
4	A.	Yes.
5.	Q	Now, some time after that, after their arrest, did
6	you see Mr.	Manson again at the Spahn Ranch?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q	How long after his arrest did you see him at
9 .	Spahn Ranch	?
10	Α.	Oh, I think it was a day or so, I'm not sure.
11	Q	Are we talking about August of 1969, now?
12	A.	Yes.
13	. Q	What part of August, the early part or the latter
14	part of Aug	ust?
15	A.	The latter part.
16	Q.	Now, after Mr. Manson returned to the ranch, after
17	his arrest	with Stephanie Schram, did you see Shorty Shea at the
18	ranch?	
19	A.	After his arrest?
20	Q	Right, after Mr. Manson's arrest.
21	A.	Yes.
22	Ġ	When was the last time you saw Shorty Shea at the
23	ranch?	
24	A.	Before we went to the desert.
25	Q	What part was this in the latter part of August
26	of 1969?	
27	A.	Yes.
28	Q.	Where at the ranch did you see Mr. Shea?

1	A. Uh, just on the ranch. He was by George's house.
2	Q You're talking about George Spahn's house, the
à	front part of the ranch?
4	A. Yes
5	Approximately what time of the day was it that you
6	saw Mr. Shea near George Spahn's house?
7	A I it was evening.
8	Now, some time prior to the time you saw him,
9 .	Shorty, by George Spahn's house, had you served Shorty his
10	supper?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q Was that earlier that same that same evening?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q Now, after or strike that.
15	During that same night, some time after the last
16	time that you saw Shorty at the ranch, did something unusual
17	happen?
18	A. Yes.
19	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, then, I would ask to approach
20 [.]	the bench, if I may, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: You may.
22	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had
23	at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of
24	the jury:)
25	
26	
27	

9a

9a-1

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I ask -- I make a motion that all of this witness's testimony be suppressed and that she be -- be stricken on the grounds of the failure of the People to make discovery. She has testified to matters -- I have been given a so-called statement of witness Barbara Hoyt. She is testifying as to matters which are not on that statement and Mr. Manson is denied equal protection and due process, the right to discovery. The California statute and case law affords due process and equal protection under the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution. These are matters that are clearly not within the discovery.

MR. MANZELIA: Well, Mr. Kanarek has all the statements that Miss Hoyt has given to our office and law enforcement officers and so on.

MR. KANAREK: Well, that's not so.

MR. MANZELIA: And if there are any tapes that she made of the statements, he's had the opportunity to hear them and maybe he has heard them.

Also, there's her testimony in other proceedings, other court proceedings, the Tate-La Bianca case, this case -- I'm sorry, Tate-La Bianca case, the Grogan case before the Grand Jury and so on, and I know all of those transcripts have been made available to Mr. Kanarek. This testimony of hers in particular appears in the case of People vs. Grogan, in that transcript.

THE COURT: You have the transcript, don't you?
You've read it?

MR. KANAREK: I --

THE COURT: I see you perusing something there.

MR. KANAREK: I have the Grand Jury transcript, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: I would ask for an evidentiary hearing to prove my allegations of the failure of discovery, your Honor.

THE COURT: Your request is denied. The Court recalls that you did indicate that you would be able to establish that Mr. Manson knew Shorty Shea was going to be hired as a guard?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is this by Barbara Hoyt that you intend to --

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you referring to this conversation, the conversation so far in Mr. Manson's purported statements concerning Mr. Shea's activities including the Family of Spahn Ranch or are you --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I am talking about that. Those matters pertaining to Frank Retz and Shorty Shea.

Statements purportedly attributed to Mr. Manson. It is my position the People have failed to make discovery goes -
MR. MANZELIA: As I said, we have supplied Mr. Kanarek with every written and mechanically recorded or otherwise recorded statement made by every witness that's testified in the case, including Miss Hoyt.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, but there are matters that were

obtained that are not within those statements.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I don't know if that's true or not true.

MR. KANAREK: That's why I say we should have a hearing to prove it.

MR. MANZELLA: There's nothing that I have heard from any of my witnesses so far in this case that I haven't seen written so far on a statement or read in a transcript of some prior judicial proceeding.

THE COURT: All the tapes and the statements you have in your possession have been made available to Mr. Kanarek?

MR. MANZELLA: Most of the written material, if not all of the written material has been deposited with your Clerk, months ago.

THE COURT: All right, the motion is denied. Let's proceed.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

attention to that period of time after Mr. Manson's arrest with Stephanie Schram, and after he, Mr. Manson, had returned to Spahn Ranch after that arrest.

Sometime after Mr. Manson returned to Spahn Ranch, did something unusual occur one night thereafter?

A Yes.

Q Approximately how many nights, if you recall?

How many nights after Mr. Manson returned to the Spahn

Ranch after his arrest did this occur?

1	A It wasn't very long, but I don't know how many.
2	Q All right. Now, would you tell us what happened?
3	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, I'll object on the
4	grounds of no foundation, no and I would like to inquire
5	on voir dire, because I have reason to believe that this
6	witness couldn't even see during the months that she was at
7	the Spahn Ranch. And I would like to
8	THE COURT: All right, counsel's remarks are stricken.
9 ;	The objection is overruled. You may answer.
10	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we approach
11	THE COURT: And your request to inquire on voir dire
12	is denied.
13	MR. KANAREK: Well, may I approach the bench?
14	THE COURT: No, you may not.
15	MR. KANAREK: Well, I'll object to the ambiguity of
16	this witness allowing this witness to it could be
17	anything, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: The objection is overruled. You may
19	answer.
20	THE WITNESS: I heard screaming.
. 21	MR. KANAREK: I ask that be stricken, your Honor, on
22	the grounds that that is not relevant or material. There's
23	no foundation to show that that has anything to do with
24	anything connected with this case.
25	THE COURT: Would you read it to me, I'm sorry, I
26	didn't understand it.
27	THE REPORTER: The witness's answer?
28	THE COURT: The witness sanswer. Miss Brisndi.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter 1 as follows: 2 "A I heard screaming.") Ì THE COURT: The motion to strike is denied. 9b fls. The answer remains in. 6 7 等位的 有限的 · 10 11 **12**. 13 Will GOVERN 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Ō 26 27 28

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Q,	BY	MR.	MANZELLA:	And	who was	that	person?
	MR.	KANAI	REK:	Object on	the	grounds	not	relevant;
impro	per :	found	atio	n.		/		
<i>}</i> '	THE	COUR	T':	The objecti	on. •	- /		

MR. KANAREKI And I would like to inquire on voir dire, as to whether this person had ever -- may I inquire on voir dire, your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

. The objection is overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: It was Shorty.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Miss Hoyt, you testified that the last time you saw Mr. Shea -- or Shorty Shea -- was near George Spahn's house.

Did the screams occur later that same night?

A Yes.

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor. I -- I will ask that that -- counsel's statement --

THE COURT: The objection --

MR. KANAREK: -- is assuming facts not in evidence; it's leading and suggestive; it's prefaced --

THE COURT: The answer may remain in the record.

The objection is overruled.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, Miss Hoyt, did you remain in the parachute room for the rest of that night?

A Yes.

Q Now, the following morning, and thereafter, during the time you remained at Spahn Ranch, did you see

25

26

27

28

Shorty Shea at Spahn Ranch?

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial; no foundation, your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: No.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you ever see Shorty Shea again, after that night you heard the screams?

A No.

Q Now, Miss Hoyt, the following morning -- and are we still speaking about late August of 1969?

A Yes.

Q The following morning, did you see other members of the Manson Family at the ranch?

MR. KÄNAREK; Ambiguous, irrelevant and immaterial; no foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: And did you speak to any members of the Family with regard to what you had heard?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor; irrelevant and immaterial; no foundation; hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: They re --

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may I be heard on that at the bench?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

10-3

1,2

> .

 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I offer it because I believe it goes to the witness' credibility. In other words, I believe that the entire circumstances surrounding what she heard goes -- go to the witness' credibility.

In other words, Mr. Kanarek would be able to ask her questions such as that, "Did you say anything about the screams? Did you do anything about them?" And so on.

And my offer of proof would be that the following morning, she asked one of the Manson girls -- Gypsy -- about the screams, and whether or not she had heard them; and that Gypsy said, "No."

And that thereafter, she heard a conversation with -- between Mr. Manson and Mr. DeCarlo, which I'll ask her to testify to;

And sometime after that, she asks Gypsy again, that same day -- but later on -- about the conversation she had heard between Mr. Manson and Mr. DeCarlo, and Gypsy said, "Oh, there's nothing to it. Shorty went to San Francisco."

Now, I am asking for two separate things. One is to bring out the fact of the conversation, the fact that she did ask Cypsy about the screams the next morning; the second thing I would ask for would be --

THE COURT: I don't think that that's --

MR. MANZELLA: Oh, I don't care if I bring out the words that Gypsy said. But I would ask, as a question of credibility,

10-4

-+

.4

10a fls.

25°

to bring out the fact that she did ask about the screams; she asked Gypsy about the screams the next day.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, it's --

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection to it.

MR. MANZELLA: Well, your Honor, can I ask her if she talked to anybody about what she had heard? I'm not sure what the question was that I had asked, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: That is irrelevant and immaterial, your Honor. The fact that -- she can talk to police officers and all of that. That has nothing to do with --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I have a further offer of proof, and that is that the reason she -- after Gypsy said, no, she didn't hear the screams, Miss Hoyt was sorry she had asked Gypsy, and didn't ask anybody else, because she was afraid; she was sorry that she heard the screams; she regretted the fact that she had heard the screams.

And she regretted the fact that she had asked anybody about it. And that is the reason she made -- she didn't ask anybody else about it, other than Gypsy.

10a-1

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 MR. MANZELLA: All right.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in

MR. KANAREK: She is not on trial here, your Honor. That's irrelevant and immaterial. Her state of mind, why she didn't do this or didn't do that on another occasion is irrelevant.

The only thing is, if she's percipient at the time, that's one thing. But she can't lift herself up by her bootstraps.

Gypsy is not a party to this proceeding. She is not a defendant in these proceedings.

It is irrelevant, immaterial; it's hearsay; it's -- there's no foundation for it, and the law doesn't contemplate it.

Just because he ---

THE COURT: Excuse me just a moment.

MR. MANZELLA: As I say, your Honor, I don't care if I bring out the conversation itself, or the reply that Gypsy made, the replies that Gypsy made.

But I felt that it did go to credibility, with regard to her having asked someone about it the following day.

THE COURT: This is to, in effect, reinforce her credibility?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: He can't do it that way, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. KANAREK: If someone reports a robbery, I mean --

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

1	open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)
2	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Miss Hoyt, sometime later that
3	following day that is, the day after you heard the screams -
4	sometime later that day, were you present at a conversation
5	between Charles Manson and Danny De Carlo?
6	A. Yes.
7	And who else was present besides yourself?
'Š	A. Kitty.
9	Q Kitty Lutesinger?
10	A. Yes,
11	Q Where did the conversation take place?
12	A. Down by the creek.
13	Q And what portion of the creek was this, in relation
14	to other buildings that were at the ranch, or the corral?
1,5	A. No, it was part of the creek that was behind the
16	buildings.
17	And were you at this down by the creek first,
18	or was Mr. Manson there first, or were you there at the same
19	time? Did you arrive there at the same time?
20	A. Uh
21	Q If you recall.
22	A. I believe Danny and I'm not sure if it was
23	Danny and me and Kitty who were sitting down, and then
25	Charlie came up, or I'm not sure.
26	Q All right. After Mr. Manson and Danny De Carlo
27	were both present, was well, strike that.
28	Approximately what time of day was this? What
	part of the day was this?

1 A In the afternoon. 2 And this is the day following the night that you - Q 3 heard the screams; is that correct? 4 Yes. 5 All right. Would you tell us what was said between 6 Mr. Manson and Mr. De Carlo? 7 MR. KANAREK: Hearsay, your Honor; irrelevant, immaterial; no foundation; no corpus delicti. 9 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 10 You may answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to say what they said? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 BY MR. MANZELLA: Yes. I want you to tell us the 14 words that were spoken in the conversation between Mr. Manson 15 and Mr. De Carlo. 16 Charlie told Danny, he said, "Shorty committed 17 suicide, with a little help from us. And we buried him under 18 some leaves." Wait. They cut him up in nine pieces and 19 buried him under some leaves. 20 And then he pointed down the creek like that 21 (indicating), with his thumb over his shoulder. 22 And then he asked Danny if lye or lime would get 23 rid of the body; and Danny said lye would preserve it and 24. lime would -- no, wait; lye would get rid of it, and lime 25 would preserve it -- or something: <u>2</u>6 And then Charlie asked him where he could get some 27 lye. 28 Now, some time thereafter, did you talk to

another member of the Family about what you had -- what you had heard Mr. Manson say to Mr. De Carlo? MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, hearsay; no foundation, your Honor. THE COURT: Objection sustained. BY MR. MANZELLA: All right. Sometime after you .6 heard this conversation, Miss Hoyt, did you leave Spahn Ranch? A. Yes.

10b

, **CieloDrive.com** ARCHIVES

-		
10b-1	1	Q And when in relation to the conversation that
.	2,	you heard did you leave Spahn Ranch?
	3	A. That night,
	4	Q Did you leave alone, or did you leave with other
	5	members of the Family?
	6	A. I left with others.
, t	7	Q And who did you leave with?
	8	A Charlie, Ouish, Sharon
	9	Q Ouish is spelled Q-u-i-s-h; is that correct?
	10	A. Uh
	11	Q Well, I guess you wouldn't know how to spell that.
	12·	Is Ouish Ruth Morehouse?
	13	A. Yes.
<u> </u>	14	Q . And Charlie is Charles Manson; is that correct?
	15	A. Yes.
*	16	Q All right. Please continue. Who else did you leave
ē	17	Spahn Ranch with?
	18	A. Snake, Sherry, and Kitty.
	19	Q Now, Sherry is Sherry Gooper?
	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q And Snake is Dianne Lake?
	22	A. Yes, And Brenda.
	23	And Brenda Mc Cann,
*	24	A Yes.
	25	4 is that correct? And where did you go when you
ž	26	left Spahn Ranch?
	27	A We went to the desert.
	28	Q And when you say the desert, did you ultimately go

to Barker Ranch and Meyers' Ranch in Death Valley?

1	A	Yes,
2	Q	And how did you get up there?
3	A.	In a car, a rented car.
4.	Q	Now, on your way to the Barker and Meyers! Ranch,
5	in Death Val	lley, did you pass through Ballerat?
6	A.	Yes.
7	. 4	And did you pass - did you have to travel through
8		to get to those two ranches?
9	A	Now did anyone else leave the ranch at or about
10	Q	Now, did anyone else leave the ranch at or about
11	the same ti	me that you did?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Ġ.	And who was that?
14	A.	Tex, Danny and Bruce. They went in another car.
15		Is Tex, Tex Watson?
16	A	Yes.
17	9	And Danny is Danny De Carlo?
18		Yes.
19	Ġ	And Bruce is Bruce Davis; is that correct?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	And they went in a truck?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q.	Now, did other members strike that.
24		Did the entire Family leave Spahn Ranch at that
25	time, or di	d other members of the Family remain at the ranch?
26	A.	Some remained.
27	Q.	Now, how far did you go in the rented car on your
28	trip to Mey	ers' and Barker Ranches?

1	A We went to up to the road that led from
2	a dirt road up to the mouth of the wash.
3	4 In other words, you went through Ballerat and down
4	the road from Ballerat to Goler Wash, and then you left you
5	took the ear that far; is that correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q Did you take the car up Goler Wash?
. 8	A. The car?
9 ,	Q Yes, the rented car.
10	A. No.
11	Q Now, when you arrived at the the road that leads
12	to Goler Wash, did you meet Tex, Bruce and Danny there?
13	A, Yes.
14	And did they have the truck that they had left
15	the ranch in?
16	The state of Yes, the state of
17	
18	
18 19	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
18 19 20 21 22	
18 19 20 21 22 23	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	

	1	
11-1	1	Q All right, how did you continue your trip to
Ŏ.	2	Goler Wash to the Barker and Meyers' Ranches?
	3	A Uh, we went in that truck that Danny and Tex and
	4	Bruce had up to the mouth of the wash, up to the falls.
a,	5	Q Is that the rock falls?
	6	A Yes, and then from there we walked.
6,	7	Q Walked to Barker Ranch?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q When you walked up the wash, did Mr. Manson walk
	10	with you?
	11	A No.
,	12	Q What did he do?
	13	A He stayed behind and waited for Tex to get the
	14	dune buggy that was up there and bring it back down and give
	15	him a ride up.
*	16	Q Now, did you stay during the time that you
ě	17	stayed in the desert, did you stay at Barker Ranch or Meyers
	18	Ranches or both?
	19	A Both.
	20	Q Did you alternate back and forth several times?
•	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Now, how long total time did you stay at those
	23	two places after you first went up there?
*	24	A About a week or two
	25	Q Now, sometime after you arrived in the desert,
3	26	did you cook or participate in cooking a supper at Neyers
Á	27	Ranch with other female members of the Family?
	28	Λ Yes.

	ì		
1-2	1	Q	And who were the other girls that helped you
	2	prepare thi	s meal?
	3	A	Kitty, Ouish and Sheri, Sadie and Snake.
	4	Q	Now, did Sadle go up with you to Meyers Ranch
	5	when you le	ft the Spahn Ranch?
	6	A	No.
\$	7	Q	Did they go to the desert with you?
	8	A	No.
	9	Q	When did Sadie come to the desert?
	10	. A	She came a few days later with Juan.
	11	Q	And Juan is Juan Flynn?
•	12	A	Yes.
	13	Q;	And Sadie is Susan Atkins, is that correct?
	14	A	Yes.
	15	Q	Now, who ate this meal that you had prepared?
ķ	16	A	Everybody.
ŧ	17	Q.	And were there was Charles Manson there?
•	18	A	Yes.
	i 9	Q	Who else was there?
	20	A	Uh, Charlie, Tex, Bruce, Danny, Juan
	21	Q	That's Juan Flynn, Bruce Davis, Tex Watson and
	22	Danny DeCar	10?
	23	A	lo? Yes.
~	24	Q	And Mr. Manson, is that correct?
	25	A	Yes.
†	26	ପ୍	Now, where did the did all the men eat at the
	27	same time?	
	28	A	Yes.
		l .	

	•	
11-3	1	Q And where did they eat?
	2	A They are at the table.
	3	Q This is a table in one of the rooms at Meyers
	4	Ranch?
ž.	5	A Yes.
	6.	Q And what room is it?
*	7	A The main room.
	8	Q And where did were you girls together while
	9	the men were eating?
	10	the men were eating?
	n	Q Where were the girls?
	12	A We were sitting on the couch,
	13	Q Approximately how far from the table were you?
_	14	A About ten feet.
	1 5	Q Now, at sometime during the course of that meal
•	16	did you hear Mr. Manson make some statements with regard to
, *	17	Shorty Shea?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Were all of the people about which you've told us,
•	20	were they all present at the time Mr. Manson made these
	21	statements?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And were they, all the men that you mentioned,
ૡ	24	present and seated at the table or rather, strike that.
	25	Were all the men that you have mentioned present
•	26	at the table at the time Mr. Manson made these statements?
<u>.</u>	27	À Yes.
	28	Q Where were you at the time Mr. Manson made these

statements?

A I was on the couch,

Q All right, will you tell us what Mr. Manson said?

MR. KANAREK: Object, your Honor, no corpus delicti.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. KANAREK: No foundation, irrelevant, and immaterial.

THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: Charlie said that they had killed Shorty.

The they cut him up in nine pieces.

And first they asked him—oh, they — they asked him if he would like to see something and, —uh, that they had something that they wanted to show him. And then, he got into the dune buggy and they took him away. And then, they hit him in the head with a pipe. — Wh, they pulled him out of the car, and they started stabbing him. And then, — they kept stabbing him and stabbing him.

And Charlie said -- or Shorty said, "Why, Charlie,

why?"

And Charlie said, "Why? This is why." And then, he stabled him again.

And, who he said that it was - it was very hard to kill him until they brought him to now. And when they brought him to now, he said that Clem cut his head off.

Q Miss Hoyt, had you, during the time that you had spent with Mr. Manson and the Family from April of 1969, until the time we're talking about in the desert, had you had the opportunity to observe the demeanor and behavior of Mr. Manson?

10

11

R

2

3

5

14

13

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

18.

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, no foundation, and I would like to inquire on voir dire. I represent that this girl could not see during this period of time. 11a-1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11· 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: What was the question?

THE COURT: You may answer.

THE WITNESS: What was the question?

THE COURT: You may just answer it yes or no.

Had you had the opportunity to observe the demeanor of Mr. Manson?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. MANZELLA: And had you spoken to Mr. Manson on many occasions and had you heard him speak on many occasions?

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, no foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer,

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, on this occasion, during the time that -- of this supper, at Meyers' Ranch, during the time that Mr. Manson was making the statements, did you have an opportunity to -- strike that.

Would you tell us what his demeanor, what his behavior was during the time he was making these statements?

MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, solicitation of hearsay and calling for a conclusion and no foundation, no corpus delicti.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did Mr. Manson appear excited during the time he was making these statements?

MR. KANAREK; Object, calling for a conclusion, irrelevant, immaterial, no foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, Miss Hoyt, approximately Ž what month in 1969, during what month of 1969 were you at ż the Barker and Meyers' Ranches in the desert? MR. KANAREK: It is assuming facts not in evidence, 5 your Honor, and it is ambiguous. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I believe it was about the very beginning 8 of September. BY MR. MANZELLA: Of 1969? 10 11 12 And you remained in the desert for how long? About a week, maybe two weeks. I believe it was 13 14. more than a week. 15 And some time after you heard Mr. Manson make these 16 statements, did you leave the desert? 17 A. Yes. 18 Did you leave alone or did you leave with someone 19 else? 20 MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the ruling, your 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. 25 BY MR. MANZELLA; How did you leave? 26 Irrelevant and immaterial. MR. KANAREK: 27 THE COURT: Sustained. 28 BY MR. MANZELLA: When you left the desert, Q

1	did you leave in September of 1969?
2	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant.
3	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Some time during the month of
4	September?
5.	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant and immaterial.
6	THE COURT: Overruled, You may answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: When you left the desert, did you
9	leave the Family as well?
10	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial, statement of
·n	conclusion, your Honor, hearsay by conduct.
12	THE COURT: Overruled.
13	MR. KANAREK: No foundation for it.
14	THE COURT: You may answer it.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Did you walk from Meyers' Ranch
17 .	to Ballerat?
18	MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, your Honor, immaterial.
19	No foundation.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
22	THE COURT: We'll recess before cross.
23	MR. KANAREK: Oh, yery well, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess.
2 5	MR. KANAREK: Very well.
26	THE COURT: You are admonished during the recess that
27	you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone
28	else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject

connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you. .2 About ten minutes, ladies and gentlemen. You may step down and be back in ten minutes. (Afternoon recess.) ·18

THE COURT: The jurors are all present.

You may proceed with your cross-examination.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, your Honor.

If I may have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

- Q Miss Hoyt, do you now wear contact lenses?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you have those contact lenses on?
- A Yes.
- Q Is it inconvenient for you to take them out?
- A Yes.
- Q That is, is it -- is it a big job to take them out?

A Well, it's not to take them out. But like I have to have a sink, and I have to put them in a case, and I have to wash them, and I -- then I have to -- then to put them back in is a hassle.

- Q It's quite a job, right, to do that?
- A Yeah.
- Q When did you get your contact lenses?
- A The first time I got them was when I was around 16, I think.
 - Q I am talking about the ones you have now.
 - A Uh -- a few months ago.

28

2.

Q P	ardon?
-----	--------

I'm not sure when I got them. A few months ago. A I guess.

- Do you mean about June of this year? Q.
- I don't know when I got 'em. A
- 0 Well, you said a few months ago. Was it June or May or July? When was it?
 - À Well, I have had them for a while.
 - Can you give us your best estimate?

THE COURT: You got them this year sometime?

THE WITNESS: Uh -- yes.

BY MR. KANAREK: But you don't know when? Q

THE COURT: You needn't answer that.

Go on to something else.

BY MR. KANAREK: Did you -- did you -- before you had the contact lenses that you now have, did you have some other aid in order to see?

I had another pair before this, but I lost one. That's why I got these.

Now, during the year 1969, from about March until September, you were without any glasses or contact lenses; right?

A No.

- Did you lose your glasses in the spring of 1969?
- Uh -- yes.
- Q. And you had no glasses during the entire time from the spring of 1969 at sometime until after September of '69; is that right?

12-3 I lost 'em, I think, around the end of April; 1 A and I got some new ones -- uh -- around the middle of 2 3 September. And during that period of time, from the end of 5 April until the middle of September, you were without any aid 6 to your eyesight; right? 7 A Yes. 8 And during the entire time that you were at the 9 Spahn Ranch, you were without any -- without the glasses that 10 -- or contact lenses; right? 11 Yes. Α 12 And without your glasses, you have difficulty seeing five fingers more than a foot away from you; right? 14 A Well, after I had --Can you answer that question? Q 16 A foot away from me? A Yes. 18 I could see a little farther than that. 19 What, 13 inches? 20 Oh; about three or four feet. 21 + Three or four feet? 22 I can see clearly. 23 Pardon? I could see pretty clearly. 25 About three feet from you, you can see how many 26 fingers someone is holding up, providing they're three feet? 27 A I guess so.

28

Q.

But anything further than that, you can't even

12	-4	1	tell how many fingers someone is holding up; right? Without		
		2	your glasses?		
•		3	A It depends.		
		4	Q It depends?		
, X		5	A Yes.		
		6	Q Do you see better at night than you do in the		
.3.		7	daytime?		
		8	A No, but when if I'm without any glasses for		
		9	a while, I would get used to it.		
		10 2	You get used to not seeing without your glasses,		
		11.	and you can imagine what you see better; is that right?		
		12	A No.		
		13	Q You see better without your glasses than with		
_		14	your glasses; is that what you are saying?		
		15	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question is		
\$		16	argumentative:		
\$		17	THE COURT: Sustained.		
		18' .	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Do you see better without your		
		19	glasses than when you use your glasses?		
12a	fls.	.20	A No.		
		21			
		22			
		23			
ž		24			
\$		25			
`		26			
		27			
		28			

Q	And so, during the entire period of time from the
middle of	April, 1969, until the middle of September, 1969,
you could	only see five fingers be able to count the number
of fingers	that someone is holding up, if they were closer than
three feet	to you; right?

- A No. I got used to seeing without them.
- 4 You got used to seeing without them.

What, four feet? For that particular -- that particular type of -- of exercise, let's say? Five fingers --

A Well, I got used to seeing things differently.

I got used to seeing them more blurred, and so --

Q And during -- you got used to seeing them more blurred; right?

A I got used to seeing without the glasses.

Q Right. And during the period of April, 1969, to the middle of September, 1969, you ingested LSD on many, many occasions; is that correct?

A. Would you say --

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant, unless it's tied in to some part of her direct examination, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: It's most relevant and most material, your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute.

You may answer the question.

The objection --

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that again?

Q BY MR. KANAREK; During the middle of April --

27

22

23

24

25

1	from the middle of April, 1909, until the middle of September,
2	1969, you took LSD on many, many occasions?
3	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.
A , 4	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Is that correct?
5	MR. MANZELLA: The question's vague and ambiguous, as to
6	what 'many many occasions' means.
7	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
8	You may answer.
9	THE WITNESS: No.
10.	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, on how many occasions, if
11	any, did you take LSD, between April of 1969 and September of
12	1969?
13	A. One time, I tried a little piece of one, but
14:	nothing happened.
15	Q In your entire life? I mean, in that period of
16	time, you only took LSD you only tried to take it once?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q During that period of time, the middle of April,
19	1969, to the middle of September of '69, did you take any
20	other drugs?
.21	A. Uh there was marijuana and hash around; and I
22	had that occasionally.
23	I didn't like it that much, because it hurt my
24	throat.
25	And then I think it was I'm not sure what it was.
26	But I took a pill I think it's THC that it was.
27	And this is during during April to the middle of
28	September, 1969?

1	A. Yes.
2	And you smoked marijuana on many occasions during
3°	that period of time; is that right?
4	A. No, I wouldn't say that.
5	Q Well, how many occasions?
6	A. I don't know. They'd have it all the time at
7	dinner, I suppose that
8	Q Now, you've used the word "they," Miss Hoyt.
9	You mean "we," don't you? You were as much a part
10	of this group as anyone else; isn't that correct?
ų	A. No, I don't think so.
12	Q You weren't? Did you have intercourse with Clem
13	with Steve Grogan on many occasions?
14	A. No.
15.	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That's not relevant
16	MR. KANAREK: It goes to your Honor, may I approach
17	the bench?
18	THE COURT: Why?
19	MR. KANAREK: Because it's most relevant. It shows
20	THE COURT: Suppose I overrule the objection? Do you
21	still want to approach the bench?
22	MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Then will you let me rule, before you ask
24	to approach the bench?
25	MR. KANAREK: No. I'm sorry. I apologize, your Honor.
26	THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
27	THE WITNESS: No.
28	

12b-1	1	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You have had sexual relations
	2	with Mr. Grogan; is that correct?
•	3	A Yes, but not on many, many occasions. I did it
	4	once.
	5	Q Only once; is that right?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Would you name the other people that you were
	8	living with at the Spahn Ranch that you had sexual relations
	9	with?
	10	THE COURT: You needn't answer that.
	11	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not
	12	relevant.
	13	THE COURT: Sustained.
	14	THE DEFENDANT: (From within the detention room,
	15	calling out through the door;) See, this is not my lawyer.
	16	Everything he does reflects on me.
a a	17	THE COURT: Mr. Manson, you'll have to
	18	THE DEFENDANT: I don't want to have anything to do
**	19	with this man.
	20	THE COURT: You'll have to be quiet, or we will
	21	THE DEFENDANT: Put there by the Judge.
	22	THE COURT: Or we'll close the sound off, if you don't.
	23	THE DEFENDANT: The Judge is a boy.
:	24	THE COURT: If you don't be quiet
	25	THE DEFENDANT: Let me ask some questions. I'll bring
•	26	the truth out in her.
	27	THE COURT: Close the door, please.
	28	

THE DEFENDANT: You want to be setting on the truth,

٠	
125-2	1
	2
	3
	4
,	5
	6
4.	7
,	8
	9
,	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
•	16
•	17
٧	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	, 23
· <u>•</u>	24 25
	25
.	26

28

don't you? You're hiding it.

THE COURT: All right. The Court sustains the objection to that last question, as being immaterial and irrelevant.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we approach the bench?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Miss Hoyt, what day was it that you say that you heard these screams?

A Uh -- it was about -- it was the day before we left for the desert.

Q The day before you left for the desert; right?

A Yeah, the night -- you know. It was about 24 hours before or -- I don't know.

Q You don't know? That about tells it, doesn't it, Miss Hoyt?

A No.

Q So you heard these screams about 24 hours before you left for the desert; is that right?

A I'd say so.

And you -- you're telling us now that -- that these screams that you heard were the screams of -- you recognized the voice of Shorty Shea?

A Yes,

Q Had you ever heard Shorty Shea scream in your

A. No.

Q And you say that these sounded like they came from far away?

12b-3	1	A They sounded like t
	2	They sounded pretty far away, b
	3	Q They were loud and
	4	the screams were the voice of S
ė	5	A Um-hmm.
	6	Q is that right?
ą,	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Did you tell Mr. Wh
	9	to my left, on November 18th, 1
	10	you heard male screems, the scr
	11	you were at the Spahn Ranch?
	12	A I don't remember th
	13	police that I heard Shorty scre
5.	14	Q My question is: On
	15	you state that you had heard th
3.	16	A I don't remember.
3 8	17	Q You don't remember
	18	A I don't remember wi
	19	Q Did you tell the la
	20	voice that you heard was that o
	21	A Yeah, That It was
	22	Q You told them that
	23	was Shorty?
'	24	A I told them that I
	25	Q When you first tall
¢	26	people, did you state that it v
	27	as who the voice belonged to,
	28	A No.

they weren't real close to me. out they were very loud.

far away, and they -- and . horty Shea, --

riteley, the gentleman sitting 1970, that on one occasion, reams of a male voice, while

me words. I remember telling the eaming.

November the 18th, 1970, did ne sound of a male?

what you told them; is that it? at words I used.

w enforcement people that the of a man?

Shorty.

it was a male voice, and it

heard Shorty screaming.

ked to the law enforcement was a male voice, and as far you did not know?

You never stated that? 126-4 Q MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked and answered. 13 fls. THE COURT: Sustained. 8.

13-2	1
	2
	3
	4
غ	5
	6
X	7
	,8
	. 9.
4	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
†	16
k	17
*	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
\$	24
3	25
	26

28

in December, 1969.

Q December, 1969, is the first time you told anybody about it, right? Any law enforcement person?

A Any law enforcement person?

Q Right.

A Yes.

Q. Is that right?

A Yes.

That was after Mr. Manson was arrested, right?

A Yes.

Q That was after you decided that you would make up a few stories to get back in good with your folks, is that right, Miss Hoyt?

A No.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the question is argumentative.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. The answer may remain in the record.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Miss Hoyt, you came to live on Gresham Street of your own free will, is that right?

A Yes.

Q You wanted to live there because there was -there was the opportunity to do whatever you wished to do
sexually, among other things, is that correct?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant. It is improper.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench? May counsel

23

25

26[°]

27

28

٠

approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you may not. The objection is sustained.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: You left your home of your own free will and came to live on Gresham Street, is that right, Miss Hoyt?

A Yes.

Q You participated in the activities of these people that you now call "they"? Those people at that time were "we"? They were you and they participated together in many, many activities; is that right?

A Uh, hmm, did I participate in the activities with them?

Q Yes.

A Yes, some activities.

Q Many activities?

Everything they did, you did, Miss Hoyt, is that right?

A No.

Q Not so?

A That's right.

Q I see. And what did you refuse to do, Miss Hoyt, that they did?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, as not relevant.

MR. KANAREK: It is most relevant, counsel has --

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: -- counsel has brought up continually this Family.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 1 MR. KANAREK: Then, may we approach the bench, your 2 Honor? 3 THE COURT: No, you may not. BY MR. KANAREK: On how many occasions, Miss 5 6 Hoyt -- well, let me withdraw that. You've heard this term Manson Family? 7 8 A Yes. 9 You were a member of that Family just as much 10 as Charles Manson, Lynn Fromme, Sandy, Squeaky, Paul 11 Watkins, whatever, all of them; you were just as much a 12 member as anyone else, is that right? 13 I suppose I was just as much a member. 14 Everything that they did, you did? There's not Q. one thing they did that you didn't do, is that not correct? 15 16 A No. 17 That's not correct? Q. 18 A Right. 19 I see. And what did you refuse to do, Miss Hoyt? 20 THE COURT: You needn't answer that. 2Ì BY MR. KANAREK: That they did? Q 22 THE COURT: You needn't answer that. That's immaterial. Let's proceed. MR. KANAREK: I am, your Honor. 25 BY MR. KANAREK: Now, on how many -- well, may I ask you this, during this period of time you supposedly 27 knew that Mr., Shea was dead for many, many months before you told any law enforcement officers about it.

	1	Is there some reason that you didn't tell any law
	.2	enforcement officer about it?
	3	A Him, yes.
	4	Q All right, what's the reason, Miss Hoyt?
ď,	5	A Well, I was scared to.
	6	Q You were scared?
· •	Ż	A Yes.
	8	Q Well, Miss Hoyt, that is a lie, is that true?
	9	A No.
	10 .	Q You are not telling us the truth.
	11	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek's statement is stricken.
	12	Mr. Kanarek, would you proceed now with
	Ì3	MR. KANAREK: Yes.
	14,	THE COURT: Without arguing.
	15	MR. KANAREK: I am not arguing, your Honor.
13a	fls. 16	
. <u>.</u>	. 17	
	18	
	19	
	. 20	
	21 .	
•	22	
	23	
દ	24	
_	25	
٤	26	
	27	
1	28	
•		

Jan & Market & F.

14.1 x 67.1 数据记录

		•
13a-1	1	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Miss Hoyt, you left the Spahn
	2	Ranch for a period of time and decided to go look for a
	3	certain gentlemen in Missouri, is that right?
	4.	A. Yes.
,	5	Q What's the name of that person?
	6	A. Dave.
•	7	Q Dave what's his last name?
	8	A. I think it is Baker.
	9	You think it is Baker?
	10. 11	A Yes. Q You think it is Baker?
	12	
	13	Q But you're not sure of his name?
· ,	14	A. T. C. Yes Co. Spirit
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	15	Q You're not sure of his name?
•	16	A I think it is.
<u> </u>	17	Q You and Mr. Baker are quite close?
	18	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant.
ş.	19	MR. KANAREK: This is very relevant as to the
	20	family aspect. We have a right to go into this. Mr. Baker may
	21	have a place
•	22	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
	23	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, did Mr. Baker live at the
	24	Spahn Ranch?
	25	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant.
٤	26	THE COURT: Overruled, you may answer.
	27	THE WITNESS: Uh, yes, he did for a while.
	28	Q BY MR. KANAREK: That's right. He lived with you

ļ	and the other people that were living there, is that right?
2	A. Yes,
3	Q You and Mr. Baker were very friendly, is that
4	correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	And at a certain time well, may I ask you, when
7	was it that you decided that you would leave the Spahn Ranch
8	for a short time and go to Missouri?
9	A. When was it?
10	Q Yes.
11	A. The end of May,
12	Q And you went to Missouri, Kansas City, to look for
13	Mr. Baker?
14	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant.
15	MR. KANAREK: I don't know
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	MR. KANAREK: Then, may I approach the bench and make an
18	offer of proof?
19	THE COURT: All right, you may make your offer of proof.
20	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
21	the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the
22	jury:)
23	THE COURT: Would you tell me what relevancy it might
24 .	have?
25	MR. KANAREK: It might
26	THE COURT: She went to Missouri to look for Mr. Baker
27	and
28	MR. KANAREK: Because Mr. Baker may well be the man

,

Ģ

that killed Shorty Shea. We are not circumscribed by the District Attorney's particular viewpoint of this case, your Honor. As far as Shorty Shea — as far as this girl is concerned, as far as Mr. Baker is concerned, I can represent to the Court that previously this witness has attempted to, by her testimony in court, protect Mr. Baker, it would appear, from some kind of culpability in that she has been —

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, you have the right to produce — you have the right to produce testimony from this witness or from anyone else concerning Mr. Baker and his activities toward Mr. Shea or his relationship with Mr. Shea, but this is not a proper time to do it. It is not part of the — not a proper part of cross-examination.

MR. KANAREK: It is. If it shows bias and prejudice on her part to dump something on Mr. Manson when someone else may, in fact, be guilty of this crime.

THE COURT: Well, you ---

MR. KANAREK: That's right. That's right, and I believe THE COURT; The Court has a right to limit cross-examination which is neither relevant or material, and thus far I haven't seen that you have been relevant or material in connection with this man and her relationship with him.

Very well.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, may I inquire whether the Court is going to allow Mr. Manson to remain in the lockup?

THE COURT: Well, he is noisy at this time, and he has been for about the last 20 minutes. I am going to have to ask that he be xemoved because he is shouting and singing so loud

that it is disturbing the courtroom.

MR. MANZELLA: Could we take a recess now while he's removed?

THE COURT: We'll recess for five minutes.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We'll recess for five minutes, ladies and gentlemen. During the course of the recess you are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

Could you all hear that? (Laughter.)

THE COURT: I assume you did.

(Short recess.)

独居在公司 [14]

3

4

5

Mr.

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

THE COURT: Miss Hoyt, where is she?

(Pause in the proceedings while the witness entered the courtroom, resumed the stand,)

THE COURT: You may resume your cross-examination. Kanarek.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Miss Hoyt, this Mr. Baker that you've spoken of, did you know him by any other name?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. your Honor. It's not relevant. THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. KANAREK: During this period of time that you were at the Spahn Ranch, and during this period of time up until December of 1969, you paid no attention to time; is that correct?

- I paid no attention to time?
- Right, Miss Hoyt.
- Uh -- no.
- Is that correct?
- No.

That's not correct. What did you use to determine time during the period of time that you were with these other That you call The Family? people?

Well. I didn't pay much attention to it. up until Ă. around September, except that I -- I knew when we got arrested, and I knew when I got out to the desert, pretty much,

Well, by -- how -- how did you -- you say "pretty In other words, you did have -- you had no occasion to pay attention to months or days that certain things occurred;

ı	is that correct?
2	A. No.
3.	q You paid attention? You made notations of things
4	that occurred, the dates they occurred, and the months and the
5	year?
6	THE COURT: That's a compound question.
7	Restate it.
8	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you make any notations,
9	Miss Hoyt, as to any events during 1969, where you wrote
10	something down?
iı	A No, I didn't write down things well, I did write
12	down some, but no, that was later.
13	Never mind, now.
14	That was later, after you started talking to the
15	law enforcement people; is that right?
16	A. Wait. What? That I wrote down things?
17	That you started writing things down.
18	A I didn't write anything for them.
19	4 You wrote it down for yourself?
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q Beginning in what month? When's the first time in
22	1969 you ever wrote anything down?
23	A. In 1969?
24	Q That was a date, yes.
25	A. The first time I wrote anything down?
26	Q Concerning a date.
27	A I don't know.
28 ⋅	Well, you've just told us a few moments ago you

wrote something down.
A I wrote things down later.
Q After in the Fall or Winter of 1969; right?
A. Uh yes, I guess so.
Q Now, was this girl that you went to Olancha with,
this girl, Sheri, did you have did she have the name of
Simi Valley Sheri? That was her name; right?
A. Well, that's what the cops named her. Q. She was known by that name; right?
A Just I never heard that before I before I
started talking to the to you guys.
Q Would you say that what was that, Miss Hoyt?
A. I haven't heard of the name Simi Valley Sheri
until I started talking you know, with the law.
Q I see. Now, this this parachute room that you
were located in, did you share that room with Mr. Baker?
A No.
Q Well, you and Mr. Baker slept together on occasions
at the Spahn Ranch; is that correct?
A. Yes.
MR. MANZELLA: Objected to
Q BY MR. KANAREK: And where at the Spahn Ranch did
you sleep with Mr. Baker?
MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
MR. KANAREK: It's relevant to show the inability may
I approach the bench, your Honor?
THE COURT: No, you may not. Overruled.
The objection is overruled.

Well, excuse me. The objection is sustained. MR. KANAREK: Then, your Honor, may I approach the bench? THE COURT: No, you may not. The objection is sustained. 14a 18, ¹ 20 A Committee With the state of .24

13	BY MR. KANAREK: On occasions, Miss Hoyt, you
2 +	spent the entire night with Mr. Baker; is that correct?
3	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
4	MR. KANAREK: It's most relevant. It goes to the exact
5	time in issue, your Honor, that we are speaking of.
6	We are not bound by this witness' statements on
7	direct examination.
8	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
9	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Miss Hoyt, you did not keep a
10	diary of where you slept every night that you were at the
11	Spahn Ranch, did you?
12	A. No.
13	And you spent nights at the Spahn Ranch, sleeping,
14	not with yourself alone, but with other people; is that
15	correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	And on some of these occasions, when you slept
18	not alone, you slept with Mr. Baker; is that correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q And where was it that you slept with Mr. Baker?
21	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, would you tell us the places
24	at the Spahn Ranch that you slept, Miss Hoyt?
25	A. Do you want me to name all of them?
26	Q Yes, please do.
27	A. I slept in the saloon, in the trailer, in the
28	parachute room, in the wickiyup, in the bath house, in the

1	to whatever room in the Spahn Ranch?
2	A Oh, Randy's trailer is next to it.
3	Q The trailer of Randy Starr?
4	A Yeah. It's either next to it, or it's pretty
5	close,
6	And then there's a red trailer that's yeah, that
7	next to it.
8	Q And
9	A. On the other side.
10	Q And these trailers are inhabited with people?
11	People slept and lived in these trailers; right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q And would you tell us on how many different
14	occasions during the time you were at the Spahn Ranch that you
15	slept in the parachute room?
16	A. Uh I spent a few nights in there with Linda;
17	I spent a few I think around two or three nights with the
18 .	babies;
19 .	And then that time I was alone. And that's the
20	only times I can think of when I slept in there.
21	Q Well, you slept in the parachute room with people
22	other than Linda and the babies?
23	A. Hmmm no.
24	Q In the whole time you were at the Spahn Ranch,
25	you never slept in the parachute room with anyone except Linda
26	and the babies?
27	A. I don't remember sleeping with anybody else
28	besides Linda or the babies in the parachute room.

1	
; 2	,.,
3	
,4	
5.	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	-
12	
13	
13 14	
15 16	ŀ
17	
18	ŀ
19	1
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	-

Crack 😘	BY MR. KANAREK:	When is the first	time
when is the	first time that your and I want a d	u mentioned to any	law enforce-
ment office	r and I want a d	ate about these	screams,
Miss Hoyt?	The second second		

- A. Uh -- I think it was in December, 1969.
- Q In December of 1969? What day in December?
- A. I don't know.
- Q Give us your best estimate, Miss Hoyt.
- A I don't know.
- And during this period of time, these many months that you heard these screams, nothing bothered you about it? You thought you knew that Mr. Shea had passed away, and you did nothing about it?
 - A. Could you say that again?

 MR. KANAREK: May it be read, your Honor?

 THE COURT: No. it's compound. Rephrase it.
- BY MR. KANAREK: During the many months that you say that elapsed from the time you heard these screams until the time you first spoke to some law enforcement people, you did nothing about what you had heard concerning what you thought you tell us was the death of Mr. Shea?
- A I didn't tell them until I told 'em? I don't understand.
- Q You did nothing about it whatsoever? You tell us that you had thought that a person had died, a fellow human being had died, and you did nothing about it; right?
 - A. What could I do about it?
 - You could have gone to some police officer; you

1	could have gone to a police officer in Kansas City, Missouri,
2	while you were there.
, 3	THE COURT: Counsel's remarks
4	THE WITNESS: That was before.
5	THE COURT: Counsel's remarks are stricken. There's no
6	question before you.
7	THE WITNESS: All right.
8	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You were you were you tell
9.	us that you left the Barker Ranch at some time; right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q You left the Barker Ranch in the in the State of
12	California that is in the State of California; right?
13.	A. Yes.
14	Q Did anyone prevent you from going to a California
15	Highway Patrol station, or a local police station, or a
1 6	District Attorney of Inyo County, or to literally hundreds of
17	various agencies in California? Anyone stop you?
18	A. After I left?
. 19 j	Yes.
20	A No.
ฆ่า	Q You weren't - you weren't stopped by anyone. You
22	just didn't do it; right?
23	A Well, everybody who I did tell about it, nobody
24	believed it.
25	. Q I see. Tell us, Miss Hoyt, what law enforcement
26	officer did you tell it to, before December of 1969?
27	A. None.
28	And the reason you didn't tell it, Miss Hoyt, is

,			1
1	because it didn't occur?		
2	A. No.	,	
3			-
4	:		1
5	,		
6			
7			
8			
9		,	
10.	,	•	
1]			
12·			
13	·		
14		,	
15 ·			
16			
17			-
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 1			
23.		•	
24			ļ
25			
26		,	
27			
28			
;			•

15-1	1	Q You weren't afraid after you left the Barker
	2.	Ranch, were you?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q You were afraid?
e.	5	A Yes.
	6	Q I see. And were you afraid I'll withdraw that.
*	7	Well, of whom were you afraid?
	.8	A I was afraid of the Family. I was afraid of
	9 .	all of them.
	10	all of them. Q You were afraid of all of them, is that right? A Yes.
	11	A Yes.
*	12	Q I see.
	13	Well, aren't you afraid of them right now?
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q I see. Well, then, why are you testifying to
3.	16	this right now if you are still afraid?
á	17	A T m dumb.
	18	THE COURT: Rephrase your question.
	19	Q BY MR. KANAREK; Mr. Manson was arrested in
	20	October of 1969, right?
	21	A I think so.
	22	Q Did you go to any law enforcement officer after
	23	Mr. Manson was arrested before December of 1969?
\$	24	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question has been asked
	25	and answered.
ε	26	THE COURT: Sustained.
	27	Q BY MR. KANAREK: These screams, Miss Hoyt, that
	28	you say that you heard, where from what direction did these

•,7

screams come?

A I can't say for sure, because I wasn't thinking about directions. I remember looking out the window that was facing -- I think it was west, but I couldn't see anything.

Q And you went back to sleep after hearing these screams, these horrible screams, right?

A No.

Q You didn't go back to sleep?

Did you get up and dial the telephone and call
some police officer?

A No.

You went -- you stayed where you were and went to sleep, right?

A I stayed where I was.

Q You went to sleep?

A T don't think so.

Q Well, what did you do?

I don't -- uh, when I heard the screaming, I looked out that window thing and I couldn't see anything because it was really dark. And then, after a while later -- or not -- I don't know, a couple -- I guess the next time I looked, the moon was up. I think it was a full moon, too.

Q Just the right thing for a full moon, right?

A What?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is argumentative.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: There was a full moon, you say?

A I said I think.

10 11 12

1

2

3.

5.

6

7

8

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

2

3

5

6

Q Well, did you --

Á I said it was very light out and I looked out the screen again and all I could see was the leaves on it that were around it.

THE COURT: All you could see was what?

THE WITNESS: The leaves pressing on the screen from outside.

THE COURT: Say that into the microphone. I'm not sure that the jury heard you.

THE WITNESS: All I could see was the screams -- I mean, the leaves on the outside of the screen that were just surrounding it.

And the next morning, I was laying on the floor.

- BY MR. KANAREK: So you went back to sleep? Ø.
- I don't remember going to sleep.
- Q Well, between the time that you looked at the leaves and the time that you woke up on the floor, you had been sleeping, is that right?
 - A I guess so.
- And you slept where you always slept, is that right?
 - Ä What?
- Q. That night? That night you slept on the floor where you always slept?
 - A No.
 - You didn't always sleep on the floor? Q.
 - No, I was in the bed. A

26

	1
,	2,
	3

.4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Q ... But when you woke up, you were on the floor?

A Yes.

Had you taken any drug that evening, Miss Noyt?

A No.

Q Had you been in the presence of these people that you are speaking of when there were a lot of individuals taking LSD?

A That time I told you about they had a bag -- uh -they had -- uh -- I think they were in Baggies and in a box.

And -- I don't know. And everybody was taking it then.

Q You were in the presence of Linds Kasabian on this occasion, right?

A No, that was before she even got there. That was in April.

Q Well, while Linda Kasabian was on the Spahn Ranch, did you gather with a group of people any time?

A Just for dinner and stuff.

Q Well, on these occasions when people gathered for dinner, did they take LSD?

A Not that I know of.

Q To your knowledge nobody at the ranch except this one time ever took LSD, is that right?

A Uh, when I left to take a trip across country, that was in late May, uh, a bunch of people had said that they had gotten 40 orange wedges or something like that. And that they should take them together and all of this stuff, but I guess I missed it.

15a fix.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

15a-1

2

4

1

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Q And you lived there with these people continuously during the period of time that you have described except when you were in -- and en route to Kansas City to look for Mr. Baker, is that right?

A I lived with -- could you say that again?

Q Did you live with these people continuously except when you were away in connection with your looking for Mr. Baker?

A Oh, no, when I was in -- the first part of May I got arrested, then I wasn't --

Q You were gone?

A Except -- from April I was, and then from July.

Q But when you were arrested, you were arrested for only a short period of time, right?

How long were you gone at that time?

A I think I was gone a couple of weeks.

Q. What were you arrested for?

THE COURT: You needn't answer that.

You may approach the bench on that point, if you wish to.

MR. KANAREK: What's that, your Honor?

approach the bench if you would like to.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

15a-2

2

3

5

6 7

į.

9

10.

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I think that the Evidence Code provides that, you know, the arrest or either the reason for the arrest -- it may be gone into if it's relevant material.

THE COURT: On what issue?

MR. KANAREK: Well, it is relevant material in connection with her -- with her life style, in connection with the life style of the Manson Family.

THE COURT: What section of the Evidence Code allows that?

MR. KANAREK: There is a -- I do not know the exact number, but I know that there is a provision in the Evidence Code that says that the mere -- just because an arrest has occurred, it does not make it inadmissible, if it has relevancy and materiality.

THE COURT: Well, that's correct, if it is relevant material.

MR. KANAREK: It is.

THE COURT: How is it relevant?

MR. KANAREK: It is relevant to show her life style.

Remember, your Honor -- we did not --

THE COURT: The Court will sustain the objection on that, as to pursuing that. If that's your offer.

MR. KANAREK: They brought up this Manson Family life style, your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I don't believe we have brought up the Manson Family life style.

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: It largely has been gone into on cross-examination.

MR. MANZELLA: I believe that's correct.

And the first of the second

MR. KANAREK: Well, you can't -- you can't -- you can't bring a part of the implication of joint living and communal living -- is there, your Honor. When they say --

THE COURT: I can't see what the arrest or the cause -- show why a particular police agency arrest here would be material.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:) THE COURT: Ask your next question.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

Q Now, Miss Hoyt, directing your attention to Mr. Shea.

When was it that you first saw Mr. Shea?

- A Right after we got out of jail.
- Q You hadn't seen him before in your life until after you got out of jail, right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q And so it was after August the 16th, 1970, that you, in your lifetime, first came into the immediate presence of Mr. Shea, right?
 - A No, it was not. It was 1969.
 - Q ... 1969, all right.

August 16th, 1969, is the first time that you ever

15a-4	ı	saw Mr. Shea? There's no question about that? It was after
	2	that, actually?
	3	A It was after we got out of jail. August 16 is
	4	when we went in.
ફ	5	Q All right. So what day was it that you first
	6	saw him?
**	7	Λ Oh, I suppose around the 20th.
	8	Q And around the 20th you were in Olancha?
	9	A On the 20th?
	10	Q Were you in Olancha on the 20th, Miss Hoyt?
	ii	A We after we got out of jail, we were at the
	12	ranch for a couple of days, and then we went to Olancha,
	13	and then we came back to Spahn's Ranch.
_	14	Q All right. And it takes several I'll withdraw
	15	that.
<u>ş</u> .	16	How long does it take to get to Olancha from the
*	17	Spahn Ranch?
	18	A Oh, I don't remember. It takes a few hours.
	19	And did you see Mr. Shea before you went to
	20	Olancha?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Well, how long after you came back to the Spahn
	23	Ranch was it before you left to go to Olancha?
•	24	A Hmm, it was probably around a day or two, a
Amperior of the Con-	25	couple of days, I don't know. It is just a couple of days.
ź.	26	Q And is there some reason that you know that this
	27	is the time that in your lifetime you just saw Shorty Shea?
	28	A Is there some reason?

15 x-5 1	Q Yes.
.2	A I don't know.
3	Q Then, you don't know whether or not in this period
4	of time, between the time that you came back to the ranch,
5	after the arrest, and the time that you went to Olancha
. 6	you don't know whether in that period of time you first saw
15b fls7	Shorty Shea in your lifetime or not?
8	
9	
, 1 0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
÷ 16	
3	
18.	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
<i>\$</i> 25	
3 26	
27	
28.	

3

5

7

9

8

11

10

12

13

14 15

16

₹"

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: That's argumentative.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I'm asking her whether she does, your Honor. I'm not arguing. I'm merely asking her to answer.

THE COURT: You've asked that and she has answered.

MR. KANAREK: She hasn't, your Honor.

THE COURT: Repeat your question.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly.

Q Between having in mind -- and, Miss Hoyt, if my question is not clear, please ask me to rephrase it and I will certainly try to make it clear.

A I will.

Q Between the time that you came back to the ranch, after having been arrested on August 16, 1969, until the time that you left for Olancha, is there some reason that you remember that that is the time that you first, in your lifetime, met Shorty Shea?

THE COURT: She's been asked that and she's answered it.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, is there a reason that you remember the first time you met Shorty Shea?
 - A Not that I can think of.
 - Q No reason, whatsoever?
 - A I just remember, I don't know why.
- Q And you had no occasion, Miss Hoyt, to remember the first time that you saw Shorty Shea until you spoke to some law enforcement officers in December of 1969?
 - A But I do remember when I saw him.
 - Q Pardon?

24

27

28

A I do remember I saw him.

Q My question is, you had no occasion to remember when you first saw him until you spoke with law enforcement officers in December of 1969, is that correct?

MR.MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it assumes a fact not in evidence. That is, that she forgot.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: I'm not asking --

THE COURT: Sustained. It does assume a fact not in evidence.

What Mr. Kanarek wants to know is whether you had any need to recall or recount it to anyone.

THE WITNESS: I did -- well, I told the police later that I saw him, but I just --

THE COURT: Talk into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: -- but I just remembered that that was when I first saw him. I don't remember why I remembered that.

THE COURT: What he wants to know, between that date in August, and November and December of 1969, did you have occasion to recount that to anyone, to tell it to anyone that that was the first time that you had met Shorty Shea?

THE WITNESS: Between which dates?

THE COURT: Between August and November or December, was there an occasion?

THE WITNESS: Uh, just --

THE COURT: Any other occasion, other than the one you've told us about, when you had to recount or tell someone

that this was the first time that you had met Shorty Shes in 15b-316 fis. 10.

		•
.6-1	1	Q BY MR. KANAREK: That wasn't the first time,
	2	A Well
	3	Q when you spoke with the police officers, Miss
	4	Hoyt? You said "No"?
Ł	5	THE COURT: Her answer was that there was no other
	6	occasion, before that.
* 🚓	7	THE WINNESS: I don't believe that I told anybody
	8	before that, that that was the first day that I remember
	9	seeing Shorty.
	JO	Q BY MR. KANAREK: And then you went up to Olancha
	11	and stayed up in Olancha for how long?
	12	A Not very long; a couple of a couple of days.
	13	Q. And then when you came back to the Spahn Ranch,
_	14	having been in Olancha, did you see Mr. Shea?
	15	A After I came back from the From Olancha?
\$ *	16	Q Yes.
3 -	17	A Where was he?
	18	Q Where did you see him?
	. 19	A. A. Different places. Different times.
	20	Q Well, did you have any conversation with him?
	2f	A Hommi yes.
	22	Q What did you say, and what did he say?
	23	A I don't remember.
<i>3</i> 6	24	Q Did you have any sexual relations with Mr. Shea,
inagegya nyagya () si sy	25	Miss Hoyt?
2	26	A No.
Ď	27	Q Never did?
_	. 28	A No.

ļ	
1	? You don't remember what you and he spoke about?
2	A No. It was probably something like, "Hi." "Hi,"
3	you know. It wasn't really anything, any big deal.
4	Q And on how many occasions did you talk to him?
5	A I don't know,
6	Q Well, would you give us your best estimate, Miss
7	Hoyt?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q One, two?
10	A I fon't know.
11	Q Can you estimate for us how many times it was?
12	A I don't know.
13	Q Well, was it more was it more than twice,
14	Miss Hoyt?
15	A Um-hmm yes.
16	Q How many times?
17	Λ I don't know.
18	Q Tell us anything that you and Mr. Shea said to
19	each other. Anything that was said between you and him.
20	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. The question has
21	been asked and answered.
22	MR. KANAREK: I have that question has not been asked,
23	your Honor.
24	THE COURT: The objection's overruled.
25	You may answer it.
26	THE WITHESS: Uh I remember talking to him when I
27	gave him his dinner, and I and
28	Q BY MR. KANAREK: What did you say, and what did he

THE WITNESS: He asked something about how come he had 1 such a small piece of mest? 2 And I said: That's all there was: and I had to 3 divide it between him and the rest of the cowboys. All right. Anything else? Q I don't remember anything specific. It was just 6 -- you know. 7 At the time that you say that you gave Mr. Shea --8 Mr. Shea the food, who else was present? 9 10 I don't remember. A Anybody else present, Miss Hoyt? 11 Q. 12 There might have been somebody outside -- homm -might have been: I don't know. I think there was somebody 13 14 outside the -- the kitchen door, or -- you know, by the --15 the -- there's a big long window in the kitchen, and a screen, 16a fla16 and I think there was somebody outside. But I don't remember. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

16a-1	1	What room was it that you and Mr. Shea were in when
	2	you gave him this food, you say?
	3	A Kitchen. He walked in, and I gave it to him.
,	4.	Q All right. Anybody else in the kitchen at that
ž,	5	time?
•	6	A. A
	7	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. That's immaterial,
	8 ,	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we approach the
1	9	bench on that?
	10	THE COURT: No, you may not.
	n	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Was anyone else present at a time
•	12	when Mr. Shea was eating?
ì	13.	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. That's immaterial.
<u>~</u>	14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: How long, Miss Hoyt, did you stay
	15	there after you say you gave this food to Mr. Shea?
£	16	A I don't
· "	17	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. It's not material.
·	18	MR. KANAREK: Well, it's most material, and I would like
	19	to approach the bench to make the point, your Honor.
	20	THE COURT: You may not.
	21	Q BY MR. KANAREK: How long did you talk to
	22	Mr. Shea on that occasion?
; ;	23	A. A few minutes.
	24	Q All right. What other occasions did you have to
perfection and property of the second property of	25	talk to Mr. Shea?
	26	A. I don't know. Lots of times.
	27	Q Well
	28	A I can't I don't remember.

•	we would you please tell us another occasion,
2	Miss Hoyt?
3	A. I don't remember. I don't remember what was
4	specifically said,
5	Q Would you describe any other occasion when you
6	spoke to Mr. Shea?
7	A I can't I don't remember the words.
8	Q Do you remember who else was present at any time
9	when you spoke to Mr. Shea?
10	A I didn't notice.
11	Q In fact I'll withdraw that.
12	THE COURT: It's 4:30, now, ladies and gentlemen, so the
13	Court will recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning.
14	You are
15	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor? Your Honor?
16	THE COURT: Pardon?
17	MR. KANAREK: I would ask I make a motion that the
18,	witness, when she comes tomorrow morning, have
19	THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute. I will hear from
20	you later.
21	The Court admonishes you, ladies and gentlemen.
22	that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone
23	else, nor allow anyone else to converse with you on any subject
24	connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express
25	any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.
26	Good night. And remember the admonition, too,
27	that I have heretofore given you in respect to publicity.
28	You have an affirmative obligation to avoid it.
	TAN WELL WIT STITTINGSTAD CHTTRESTON ON SAGIN TO!

1 Good night. 2 (Nurmurs of "Good night" from the members of the 3 jury.) THE COURT: You will please return at 9:30 tomorrow 5 morning, too. 6 THE WITNESS: Okay. 7 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, before the witness leaves, 8 I would like to --9 THE COURT: Miss Hoyt, would you wait just a moment? 10 (Pause in the proceedings while the members of the 11 jury were exiting the courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: All right. The jurors have left. 13 What was your motion, Mr. Kanarek? 14 MR. KANAREK: My motion is that when Miss Hoyt comes 15 tomorrow, that she not have her contact lenses on, because I 16 wish to interrogate, so that the jury will be able to --17 THE COURT: The motion is --18 MR: KANAREK: -- will be able to -- her perception is 19 an issue in connection with what she has seen, your Honor, and **20** ' THE COURT: Motion is denied. You have gone into that, 21 and she has responded. 22 MR. KANAREK: No. But I wish to demonstrate, in the 23 presence of the jury, her lack of ability without glasses, 24 which the record reveals was indeed the fact. 25 THE COURT: The Court is not going to order that she 26 take her contact lenses out. 27 MR. KANAREK: Well, it's our belief, your Honor --28

THE COURT: You've explored her lack of visual acuity

sufficiently. 1 : MR. KANAREK: Well, it's our belief that --2 THE COURT: At least, the Court won't preclude you 3 from asking about it again, 4 MR. KANAREK! But I'--5 THE COURT: But the Court is not going to require her 6 to leave her contact lenses off. 7 8 MR. KANAREK: Well, it's our position that we are ٠9 entitled to show the jury how inept her --10 THE COURT: I understand --11 -- her vision is without --MR. KANAREK: 12 THE COURT: I understand your point. 13 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? 14 THE COURT: I understand your point. 15 Tomorrow morning, 9:30. 16 (Whereupon, at 4:35 p.m., an adjournment was taken 17 in this case until 9:30 a.m., Friday, September 17, 1971.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 26 27