SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2. HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 5 Plaintiff, 6 No. A-267861 Vs. 7 CHARLES MANSON. 8 Defendant. 9 10 11 12 REPORTERS DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Thursday, September 30,1971 14 VOLUME 52 15 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney For the People: 19 ANTHONY MANZELLA, Deputy District Attorney 20 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 21 SWARTZ (JOHN) DEFENSE: SHEA (NIKK)
WHITELEY 22 23 24 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters 28

1		
2		INDEX
3	PEOPLE'S WITNESS:	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
4	SWARTZ, John	7967 7980
5		
6	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES:	
7	SHEA, Magdalene	8015
8	WHITELEY, Paul J.	8022 8025 8027
9	•	
.0		
.1		EXHIBITS
ź ľ	DEFENDANT'S:	FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
3	K - Picture	7998
1		and the second of the second o
5		
;		
7		
3		
,		
,		
ι		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 1971 10:02 AM

THE COURT: Good morning.

were heard from members of the jury.)

THE COURT: Off the record.

(Whereupon a discussion off the record ensued

(Whereupon murmurs of "Good morning, your Honor,"

among the Court and members of the jury.)

THE COURT: Do you gentlemen wish to approach the

bench?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench

among Court and counsel, which were not reported.)

THE COURT: We will allow the recess to continue until

11:00 o'clock. You may be excused until 11:00 o'clock, We

have a hitch in the proceedings here. So, remember the

admonition, that you are notto converse amongst yourselves

nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you

on any subject connected with this matter, nor to form or express any opinion on the matter.

You are excused at this time, and I will see you at 11:00 o'clock.

Mr. Kanarek?

(Whereupon, proceedings were had at the bench between the Court and Mr. Kanarek, which were not reported; after which, the following proceedings were

had, still at the bench:)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, I talked to the jail again, and I don't see that there is any prohibition that the jail authorities have set up which keeps anyone whom you want to take up to the jail as a potential witness from being taken up there to talk to him, to Mr. Manson.

They say that there is no -- there's no restriction whatsoever in that respect.

Now, if Mr. Manson thinks that there is, why, he should be informed that that is not the case.

The only restriction that's been imposed is by virtue of his being kept in a detaining tank over the noon recess, as is every prisoner who is in the course of trial, so that he doesn't get back to the jail where he can have noon visitations.

I do know that that's a source of irritation on Mr. Manson's part, but he should know that the jail tells me that there are no restrictions; that you can bring visitors up -- not visitors, but potential witnesses up.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor has specifically, for instance, refused to allow me to confer with Ernest Shepard, with Mr. Manson --

THE COURT: With Mr. Manson present, that's right.

Ernest Shepard is a --

MR. KANAREK: And also with Mr. Black.

THE COURT: -- a person who has been convicted of murder; is that right?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. And also Mr. Black. We need Mr. Black.

THE COURT: And he is in the County Jail. And the Court did tell you that Mr. Manson and Mr. Shepard could not confer together with you, but that I would have no objection to your conferring with Mr. Shepard, and separately with Mr. Manson, if that might constitute some sort of a problem for the jail, -

MR. KANAREK: Well, see --

THE COURT: -- but -- would you bring Mr. Manson out at this moment, so we could clarify that?

MR. MANZELIA: Your Honor, Mr. Swartz is here. He was in my office. He's coming up now.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon the defendant was ushered out from the detention room to the bench, and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Mr. Manson, the Court has conferred with the jail authorities; and so far as I know, there's no restriction, and the jail people tell me there's no restriction on your seeing any person who is a potential witness for you.

The Court has imposed a restriction upon Mr.

Kanarek, who has asked -- in this respect only: He asked that

Ernest Shepard, who was convicted of murder, be allowed to

converse with you and him, Mr. Kanarek, together.

THE DEFENDANT: I never --

THE COURT: And except for that, the Court has imposed no restriction.

THE DEFENDANT: I never did tell Mr. Kanarek what Mr. Shepard was supposed to testify about. I haven't told Mr. Kanarek anything.

THE COURT: Well --THE DEFENDANT: I haven't told any lawyer anything. THE COURT: Well, I would urge that you cooperate with Mr. Kanarek. He is in the course of presenting your defense. THE DEFENDANT: He is not presenting my defense. THE COURT: But he's -- the Court wishes to make it clear that there has been no restriction imposed upon Mr. Kanarek, --THE DEFENDANT: That's what --THE COURT: -- none at all. THE DEFENDANT: That's what the Court says, but that isn't exactly the way it is. As fls.

Aa-1

10.

 THE COURT: Well, the Court can't be there at such time as Mr. Kanarek brings people up to the jail, and I have no way of knowing whether such people are refused admittance when they're with Mr. Kanarek.

But the jail tells me that it is the case that no one who is a potential witness, who is brought up to talk with you, is refused an interview with you.

THE DEFENDANT: I don't see how you see through all the confusion.

THE COURT: Well, there appears to be no confusion on that point.

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, there's much confusion where I stand. You know -- like here, let me tell you. I'll try to explain it in words. It's almost impossible to explain it in words.

The jailer keeps me in an antercom, during the noon hour. During the noon hour is when the visiting is allowed. Without the visiting, I can't move my thought.

I have a thought that I am trying to present to your Honor. The attorney said that the Judge said, in the evenings, that they have been coming up a whole lot of times, and that we've kind of took -- taken advantage of the witness interview --

THE COURT: No.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, this is what I --

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor shakes his head. But your Honor -- your Honor, this is exactly what your Honor told me. Your Honor conferred with -- I think it was Captain Kennedy or the man that's the head of the jail, and your Honor

3.

told me, in -- your Honor himself told me that you were informed by the -- by the jailer that these visits with these--with the particular friends of Mr. Manson were visits which were social, and they frowned upon them.

And in fact, the --

THE COURT: I reported to you -- yes, I reported to Mr. Kanarek that that's what the jail says; that there's some doubt in the minds of the jailers up there that these people are potential witnesses.

THE DEFENDANT: You said it. There's some doubt in their minds. This is exactly the whole problem.

THE COURT: But that doesn't --

THE DEFENDANT: Wait a minute, Brother. There's some doubt in their minds. And this doubt in their minds is what I have to labor under.

Let me explain this to you, please.

THE COURT: Well, let me say this: So far as the Court has determined, however -- and so far as I told the jail in connection with that remark -- they were not -- and they have not been in any way restricting those visits, as a result of their suspicions about whether or not --

THE DEFENDANT: When they mention it to you --

THE COURT: Just a minute now. (Continuing) -- as a result of their suspicions that these really are not potential witnesses.

And so far as the Court is concerned, I'll reinforce that order: That you are permitted, in the evenings, to have such persons visit you who are potential witnesses --

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: -- in the company of your attorney.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. Thank you.

Now, let me say this, your Honor. Those witnesses save you and me quite a bit of trouble, because what I do, I give them a picture to take to someone else that is in the jail, so that they don't have -- so that we don't have to have the -- too many witness visits from -- in through the transportation.

In other words, it's easier for me to tell them to tell Mary something than it is to have Mr. Kanarek get a court order and go through all that, to have Mary brought over to the jail where I can visit her.

So actually, when I visit one of them, I am sending thoughts to Mary and Gypsy and whoever else is in jail.

There's a lot of people in jail. I have got a lot of friends that are locked up.

THE COURT: Well --

THE DEFENDANT: And we are trying to get out --

THE COURT: I think that clarifies it, however. And there is, so far as the Court understands, and so far as the Court has ordered, no restriction upon your visiting with persons who are potential witnesses in the evening, in the company of your attorney.

All right.

That's it.

(Proceedings had on unrelated matters.)

(Recess.)

Ab fls.

26

Ab-1

б

 THE COURT: All right. In the case of People vs.

Manson, the record will show that the jurors and alternates

are all present. We are ready to proceed.

MR. MANZELLA: May Mr. Kanarek and I approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, would you ask your client whether or not he will refrain from interrupting the proceedings with remarks? If he will do so, the Court will allow him to come out.

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at the detention room door between Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.)

MR. KANAREK: No. I can't --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. KANAREK: Mr. Manson, as your Honor -- I mean, I am sure your Honor heard -- said that he is not going to refrain from anything.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People move to reopen their case, to call Mr. John Swartz. I believe I have already stated the grounds that I wish to reopen, previously, on the record; and for the reasons stated, the People move to reopen their case to call Mr. John Swartz to the stand.

MR. KANAREK: Well, we -- we oppose the calling of

12.

Mr. John Swartz, your Honor, in that the -- it's a bifurcation it's a -- it interferes with the continuity of the defense.

I mean, People vs. Carter is a case which -- in which -- which indicates the philosophy upon which I oppose the reopening.

THE COURT: There's no particular continuity that the Court has observed, to be interrupted by such an introduction of evidence.

MR. KANAREK: Well, let's be --

THE COURT: Nor does there appear to be any problem raised which would be similar to the one that arose in the case of People vs. Carter.

You are talking about the Red Hat case?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Well, I am talking about -- but the point is the undue emphasis. What I mean is, it's a due process and fair trial and equal protection under the 14th Amendment, in that -- in that it -- it creates an emphasis at this time -- by allowing the prosecution to put on a witness -- it creates an emphasis which denies Mr. Manson a fair trial.

Clearly, this is going to be evidence that's most -- most discriminating of Mr. Manson, and --

THE COURT: Well, the Court doesn't --

MR. KANAREK: -- from what I know, from what counsel has stated in connection with this offer of proof -- and I would oppose it also on the failure to make discovery. We -- again, we have not been given any question and answer -- all we are given is a sort of a paragraph form of discovery,

.1

where somebody in the Sheriff's Office -- or some law enforcement or Deputy District Attorney --

THE COURT: Has told you the gist of what he intends to testify?

MR. KANAREK: The gist of it.

And they can leave out -- by not doing -- giving us the question and answer form of the interrogation, it's just not -- it's just not living up to the -- to the spirit, as well as the law, of discovery.

Because we -- this "gist" is set out by the people who are out to convict Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: The objection will be overruled. The Court --

MR. KANAREK: Well, we would ask for a hearing, outside the --

THE COURT: The hearing is -- the request for a hearing is denied.

The Court would permit the People to introduce this witness.

MR. MANZELIA: Yes, your Honor. There's one matter I wanted to raise; I'm not sure if Mr. Kanarek is aware of it or not.

I believe it is contained in transcripts of prior proceedings, in the Tate-La Bianca case, however. Mr. Swartz was charged with a forgery, and the -- I believe at the request of the Los Angeles Police Department, for his testimony in the Tate-La Bianca case, the forgery was made a misdemeanor, and Mr. Swartz was sentenced on the forgery as a misdemeanor.

THE COURT: There was a plea negotiation in that ŀ respect, then? MR. MANZELLA: I believe so, your Honor, with the Los Angeles Police Department. 4 THE COURT: And part of the plea negotiation with Mr. 5 Swartz involved an agreement on his part to testify in the 6 Tate-La Bianca case? 7 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Was anything said about this case? 9 MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: That you know of? 12 MR. MANZELLA: No. 13 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, the hearing that I am 14 requesting is an evidentiary hearing, wherein Mr. Swartz would testify outside the presence of the jury; and that way 15 16. -- that is the kind of discovery that would approach being 17 meaningful. THE COURT: The request for the hearing is denied. 1 fls. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27. 28

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sir?

26 27

28

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, the People call Mr. John Swartz.

THE COURT: The People are moving to reopen their case for the purpose of calling Mr. Swartz?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, the motion is granted. The Court will permit the People to reopen your case for the purpose of calling Mr. Swartz.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may our points which we raised at the bench be deemed operative and inserted after Mr. Manzella made his motion?

THE COURT: Very well. The record is clear, I'm sure.
MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Swartz, you may take the witness stand. Raise your right hand.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated.

THE BAILIFF: Would you state and spell your name,

THE WITNESS: John Swartz, Jr., S-w-a-r-t-z.

JOHN SWARTZ,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, being 1 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. MANZELLA: 5 Mr. Swartz, did you know Donald Shorty Shea? 6 7 A. Yes, I did. 8 MR. KANAREK: Well, I'll object -- I'll object to the 9 form of the question. It assumes facts not in evidence, your Honor, the past tense, did he. Object to that. 10 11 All right, sustained. THE COURT: 12 BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Swartz, when did you meet Q 13 Donald Shorty Shea? 14 Oh, approximately 1963. A 15 Where did you meet him? Q. 16 At the ranch. A. 17 Q. Spahn Ranch? 18 A. Yes. 19 And at that time did you begin working at Spahn Q, 20 Ranch? 21 Off and on, yes, I did. A. 22 Q. How were you employed at Spahn Ranch? A. Oh, cleaning the barns out, mostly. 24 And did you work for George Spahn and Ruby Pearl? Q. 25 Yes, I did. A. 26 Now, Mr. Swartz, bringing you up to 1969, were Q. .27 you arrested in the August 16th raid on Spahn Ranch? 28 A. . Yes.

called as a witness by and on behalf of the Paople, being 1 first duly sworn, was examined and tertified as folicus: 2 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 MY ME. WANTELLIA 5 Mr. Ewarts, did you know bonald Shorty Enea? 6 Ton, I did. 7 the Kanadia: Hell, I'll object - I'll object to the 8 form of the question. It essumes facts not in evidence, your 9 Monor, the part team, did he. Object to that. 10 THE COURT: All right, austained. 11 HY NR. MANIELLA: Nr. Everts, when did you meet 12 Consid Shorty Chest 13 14 Oh, approximately 1963. 15 ũ Where did you meet him? 16 At the ranch, 17 Louis Renchy É## 18 19 And at that time did you legin working at Syahn 20 Banch? 21 1 off and on, yes, I did. 22 now were you opployed at Spain Ranch? Ů. 23 基 Uh, classing the barns out, mostly. 24 Ŭ and did you work for George Spain and Ruly Fearly 25 Yes, I did. 26 Now, Mr. Swerts, bringing you up to 1969, were 27 you arrested in the August leth raid on Scalin Ranch? 28 * YWA.

 \mathcal{A}_{τ}

1	pid you spend some time in jail after your arrest
2	on August 16, 1969?
3	A I spent two days. It was 48 hours.
4	And when you left the jail, where did you go?
5	A Right back to the ranch.
6	Q Did you go back with someone? Did someone take you
7	back to the ranch?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q Who was that?
10	A. shorty.
11	Q Now, after you got back to the ranch, did Shorty
12	leave the ranch or did he continue to stay at the ranch?
13	A He stayed at the ranch. He started sleeping in his
14	car.
15	MR. MANZELLA: May I approach the Witness, your Honor?
16	THE COURT: Yes, you may,
17	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Swarts, directing your
18	attention to these photographs which have been marked 61-2
19	through 61-J for identification.
20	Do you recognize the car which is shown in these
21	photographs?
22	A Yes. This is Shorty's car.
23	Q Is that the car that he had with him at Spahn
24	Ranch?
25	A. Yes, it is.
26	p Now, did you continue to stay at Spahn Rench after
27	your release from jail during the latter part of August of
28	19693

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q And some time after your release from jail, and
3	while at Spahn Ranch, did you have a conversation with Shorty?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q Who was present during that conversation besides
6	you and Shorty?
7	A. Just Shorty and myself.
8	Q. Where did the conversation take place?
9	A. Oh, it was on the boardwalk in front of the ranch.
10	Q Is that in front of the main buildings on the
11	ranch?
12	A. It is in front of the main row of buildings.
13	Q And did this conversation, if you recall, take
14	place during the day or at night?
15	A. It was during the day.
16	MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, this conversation is being
17	offered for the state of mind of Donald Shea, if it does show
18	the state of mind.
19	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
20	THE COURT: All right, you may.
21	(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at
22	the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the
23	jury:)
24	MR. KANAREK: May I inquire as to what
25	THE COURT: What do you anticipate the testimony to be?
26	MR. MANZELLA: The testimony will be that during a
27	conversation Shea told him that he had gotten a job offer from
28	Frank Retz for shout \$80 a week as a night watchman and he was

going to take the job. 1 2 THE COURT: That was his intention, to take the job? 3 MR. MANZELLA: Right. MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor --5 THE COURT: It sounds as though it is admissible. б MR. KANAREK: Well, I'm going to object on the grounds 7 it is irrelevant, immaterial, and that there's --8 THE COURT: It comes in --9 MR. KANAREK: That it is hearsay, notwithstanding the 10 so-called state-of-mind exception. 11 THE COURT: It shows an expression of intention to follow 12 a course of action. 13 It is material, now, in what way, Mr. Manzella? 14 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, we believe it is material to 15 support the People's contention that Shea intended to remain 16 in the area. That he intended to take the job with Frank Retz 17 and that, therefore, he would not have disappeared without 18 notifying anyone. 19 In other words, we offer it as a present statement to 20 do a future act, intent to do a future act under Section 1250 21 of the Evidence Code. 22 MR. KANAREK: Well, but, your Honor, the point is. 23 the prejudicial effect outweighs any probative value, and that's the reason that it is not ---25 THE COURT: The Court finds to the reverse in that 26 respect. 27

MR. KANAREK: Well, because the record is replete with 1a-1 1 the fact that this man is an itinerant --2 THE COURT: Well, isn't this a matter of argument? 3 MR. KANAREK: Yeah, but not --THE COURT: And not a matter of admissibility? 5 MR. KANAREK: No, not when it is as prejudicial as 6 this. 7 The Court finds otherwise. The Court will THE COURT: 8 admit it. 9 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 10 in open court within the presence and hearing of the 11 jury:) 12 BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Swartz, would you tell us 13 what Shorty said during the conversation? 14 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, we object, your Honor, on the 15 grounds of foundation, in addition to the points that we 16 17 raised at the bench. 18 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 19 Shorty told me that Frank Retz had bought the 20 adjoining property or half the property from Mr. Spahn and 21 had offered him a job as a watchman and that he was going 22 down that evening and see about it, go see Mr. Retz. 23 BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, sometime after you had Q: 24 that conversation with Shorty, did you become aware or did 25 you notice that you did not see Shorty at the ranch any more? 26 Object, calling for conclusion, leading MR. KANAREK: 27 and suggestive, no foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

1a-2	1	Q BY MR. MANZELLA: All right, sometime after you
	2	had this conversation with Shorty, did you have a conversation
	3	with Charles Manson?
*	4	A Yes, I did.
	5	Q Now, you know Charles Manson, the defendant in
*	6	this case?
	7	A Yes, I do.
	8	Q From where do you know him?
	9	A From the ranch.
	10	Q From Spahn Ranch?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q Now, approximately how long after your release
	13	from jail, after the August 16th raid, did you have this
•. 1	14	conversation with Charles Manson?
	· 15	A Oh, two weeks, I guess, ten days, approximately.
è	16	Q And where was the conversation strike that.
•	17	Where did you have this conversation with Charles
	18	Manson?
	19	A It was on the boardwalk in front of the ranch.
	20	Q Who was present besides yourself and Mr. Manson
•	21	at the time of the conversation?
	22	A No one.
4.	23	Q Now, did the conversation take place at night or
	24	during the day?
	25	A I can't really remember.
	26 	Q Now, would you tell us what the conversation was
	27	that you had with Mr. Manson?
-	28	MR. KANAREK: Object on the grounds there's no foundation,

hearsay, not relevant or material, no showing of any corpus delicti, the prejudicial effect outweighs any probative value.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

A Well, I had missed, uh --

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, we're asking -supposedly the request was for conversation and I think the
witness is -- from his just uttered words, sounds like he's
going to say something other than conversation.

Q BY MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Swartz --

A Okay.

Q -- just tell us what you said and what Mr. Manson said.

A Okay, I asked Charlie if he had seen Shorty around, and he said that a friend of his in San Francisco needed someone to work or had a job. That he told Shorty about it and gave him a few dollars and that he had left for San Francisco.

into the gun room?

26

27

CieloDrive.com ARC	НΙ
room?	
Did they have anything with them when they came	
Yes, they did.	
Did they come in together?	
strike that.	
Now, did Mr. Vance and Mr. DeCarlo have anything	g
Yes.	
And did they come into the gun room?	
Yes, I was.	
nd Danny DeCarlo?	
Now, were you in the gun room at the time you so	aw
Yes. Not very often, though.	
The gun room?	
t just	
Well, I heard it called the "gun room" a couple	
ple at the ranch?	
Have you ever heard it referred to by any other	
•	

	1	Shorty's possession?
)	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Now, are those the pistols that you saw in the
	4	possession of Bill Vance and Danny DeCarlo when they entered
	5	the gun room?
i	6	A Yes, they are.
	7	Q Now, what did Mr. Vance and Mr. DeCarlo do
	8	with these pistols, if anything, after they entered the
	9	gun room?
	10	A Well, they came in, and each one of them took
	11	the pistols and broke it down and started to cleaning it.
	12 .	Q And they cleaned the pistols in the gun room?
	13	A Yes, they did.
•	14	Q Now, were you aware strike that.
). i	15	Do you know if Shorty Shea kept anything else
•	16	in the attache case which you have identified, People's
•	17	54?
	18	MR. KANAREK: Object. That's calling for a conclusion,
	19	your Honor;
	20	THE COURT: Sustained.
2a fls.	21	MR. KANAREK: without any
	22	
\$	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
-	28	

MR. KANAREK: -- it's a statement of conjecture.

BY MR. MANZELLA: Now, have you ever seen or heard

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

26

27

28

Q

1 from Shorty Shea again, since August of 1969? MR. KANAREK: Irrelevant, immaterial; no foundation. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 MR. KANAREK: There's no showing --5 THE COURT: You may answer. MR. KANAREK: -- here -- well --7 THE WITNESS: No. 8 MR. MANZELLA: All right. Thank you, Mr. Swartz. 9 No further questions, your Honor. 10 MR. KANAREK: I wonder if we could adjourn at this time, 11 your Honor? THE COURT: All right. We will adjourn until 2:00 13 o'clock, ladies and gentlemen. You are admonished, during the 14 course of the recess, you are not to converse amongst yourselves 15 nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you 16 on any subject connected with this matter, nor to form or 17 express any opinion on the matter until it is finally 18 submitted to you. 19 2:00 o'clock. The Court's in recess. 20 (Whereupon, at 12:01 p.m., an adjournment was 21 taken until 2:00 o'clock p.m. of the same day.) 22 23 25 26 27

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 1971 2:08 PM 1 3 THE COURT: In the case of People vs. Manson, the record 4 will show that the jurors and alternates are all present, Mr. 5 Kanarek for Mr. Manzella -- excuse me; Mr. Manzella for the б People, and Mr. Kanarek for the defendant. 7 You may proceed. The People? Do you have the 8 witness here --9 MR. MANZELLA: Mr. Swartz? 10 THE COURT: -- for cross-examination? 11 You may cross-examine. 12 13 JOHN SWARTZ, 14 having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and 15 testified further as follows: 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. KANAREK: 19 Mr. Schwartz -- am I pronouncing your name right? 20 21 A Right. 22 Is it Schwartz or Swartz? Q. 23 Swartz. 24 S-c-h-w-a-r-t-z? Q .25 Α S-w-a-r-t-z. 26 S-w-a-r-t-z. I'm sorry. Q. 27 Now, when you spoke to law enforcement people 28 last summer, you had a forgery charge pending against you;

2c-1 That was a felony charge, involved with forgery, a 1 forgery -- you call it non-sufficient funds; right? 2 That's the way you term it, Mr. Swartz? Я Well, let's call it that, then. It was a felony 4 charge involving non-sufficient funds; is that right? 5 Right. 6 And you -- you discussed this felony charge with 7 law enforcement officers who were conducting matters pertaining 8 to Mr. Manson; is that correct? 9 Well, if I did, I can't remember. 10 11 Q. Well, my question is: Did that come up in any 12 conversations you had with law enforcement officers? 13 . A. No, absolutely not. 14 Q. And when was that charge pending, Mr. Swartz? 15 A. About a month ago; six weeks. 16 0. A month or six weeks ago; is that right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And in connection with that charge -- when were you 19 arrested on that charge? 20 Well, I wasn't arrested. I went in voluntarily. 21 When did you speak to any law enforcement people Q. 22 concerning this charge? 23 A. What, the non-sufficient funds 24 Q. Yes. 25 A. -- check? Well, the -- the officers at the 26 Devonshire Police Station, I talked to them. 27 You talked to them. And did you ever appear in 28 court in connection with this charge?

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q You appeared in court?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q. So there was a charge filed; is that correct?
5	A. That's right.
6	Q And you spoke with the police officers, and you
7	discussed with them the fact that you were a witness in
8	connection with Mr. Manson? That was part of your discussion?
9	A. Well, that was that was part of my discussion,
10	Q And you
11	A yeah.
12	Q discussed this with them, and you received a
13	benefit in connection with that case, because of your
14	conversations with those law enforcement officers; is that
15	correct?
16	A I don't think so, no.
17	Q You don't think so?
18	A. (Indicating negatively.)
19	THE COURT: Answer out loud, please.
20	THE WITNESS: I says: "I don't think I did."
21	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You don't what court did you
22	appear in, Mr. Swartz?
23	A. Van Nuys.
24	And could you tell us what court in Van Nuys you
25	appeared in?
26	A. I think it was Division 72. I'm not certain.
27	And do you know the name of the judge that was
28	there?

2d

A I can't think of his name right now.

Q. And in connection with this case -- I am speaking now of the -- of the -- what you call the non-sufficient funds case -- in connection with that case, you appeared in court, and there was a resolution of that case, favorable to you, in the sense that the charge was less than that which you had originally gone to the Devonshire Police Station for; is that right?

A. That's right.

2d-1 Q And this felony was made a misdemeanor; is that 1 correct? 2 That's right. A 3 Now, directing your attention to the guns that Q 4 you've spoken of, Mr. Swartz, at the time that those guns 5 you saw in the hands of Mr. Vance and Mr. DeCarlo, what 6 date was that? 7 Huh! I don't know. It's been two years ago. A You laugh. You don't know? Q. Α I have been asked dates so many times that 10 No. 11 I --12 You have been -- you have spoken to law enforcement officers on many, many occasions concerning Mr. Manson; 13 is that correct? 14 15 That's right. A 16 So many you can't count 'em? Q 17 That's right. A 18 And so my question is: If you would, give us your best estimate of the date that you saw the guns that you 19 20 spoke of, when Mr. Manzella was speaking with you. 21 All I know is that it was about two, three, four A 22 days after Charlie and some of his girls went to the desert. 23 And so these guns were in the possession of Bill Q 24 Vance and Mr. DeCarlo at a time when Mr. Manson was not even 25 in the County of Los Angeles; is that right? 26 A As far as I know, yes, 27

Q.

2d-2 that. 1 Directing your attention to a conversation that 2 you say you had with Mr. Shea, when you had that conversation, 3 was there any reason for remembering the date that that conversation occurred? 5 No, I don't believe there was. 6 Pardon? Q 7 No. As a matter of fact, I don't remember what A 8 day it was. 9 Might have been in -- might have been in October Q 10 or November of 1970, for all you know? 11 No, no. It was right --Α 12 Would you say -- pardon? Q 13 It was right after we got out of jail. A 14 Well, was there any reason for remembering that 15 conversation, Mr. Swartz? 16 Oh, there's probably no reason for remembering it, A 17 but I did. 18 Would you say -- do you know the term "brain-Q. 19 wash"? 20 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not 21 relevant, whether the witness knows that term. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 MR. KANAREK: Well, I think --24 The objection is sustained. THE COURT: 25 MR. KANAREK: Well, may I approach the bench, your Honor? 26

27

28

Q

THE COURT: No, you may not. Ask your next question.

BY MR. KANAREK: Have you ever had the experience

in life, Mr. Swartz, of being told that something happened, often enough so that you believe that it happened, when in fact it didn't?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant as phrased. It's vague and ambiguous.

THE COURT: Sustained. You may rephrase the question.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I approach the bench on
this subject?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

2e-1	1	Q:	BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Swartz, can you tell us the
	2	date that y	ou had this conversation with Mr. Shea?
	3	A	No.
à	4	ବ	Can you tell us what month it was?
	5	A	It was in August.
•	6	Q	And directing your attention to Mr. Shea, were
	7	you in the	presence of anyone else when you had this conversa-
	8	tion with M	r. Shea?
	9	A	No.
	10	Q	And where were you when you had this conversation
	11	with Mr. Sh	ea?
	12	A	Around the boardwalk, in front of the ranch.
	13	Q	And the ranch had many, many human beings on it
4	14	at this tim	e; is that right?
	15	A	Quite a few, yeah.
	16	Q	And you didn't like some of these people that
	17	were at the	ranch, did you, Mr. Swartz?
	18	. A	Sure, I got along extremely well with most of
	19	them.	
	20	. କୃ	My question is:
	21	A	In fact, all of them.
	22	Q	My question was: There were some of those people
.	23	that you di	dn't like; is that right?
	24	A	No, I can't think of one.
	25	Q.	You liked them all?
	26	Á	Oh, I got along with them.
)	27	Q;	My question
	28	A	I didn't hate them.

-2		

	Q	Well, it is po	esible to	get along	with someone
you	don t	like; you are	we agree	d on that,	Mr. Swartz?
	MR.	MANZELLA: Object	ction, you	r Honor.	That's not
rela	evant.	whether the witn	egg agr ee:	g . · ·	

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, Mr. Swartz.
THE WITNESS: Would you repeat it, please?

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Yes.

Do you agree that it's possible to get along with someone that you don't like?

A I agree it's possible.

Q All right. My question is whether you -whether there were some of these people that you didn't like?

A No.

Q And where had you been, just prior to the time that you were on the boardwalk, speaking to Mr. Shea?

A I can't remember where I had been.

Q Well, had anything -- well -- uh -- I will with-draw that.

Where were you just immediately after this conversation with Mr. Shea?

A Oh, I probably walked off somewhere. I can't remember where it was.

Q And is there any reason for remembering you were on the boardwalk?

A Sure, because that was where we were.

Q Well, other than just that -- you say that you were there -- is there some reason that at this time in history, you remember where you were, when that -- when you

	l·
1	say that conversation took place, in view of the fact you
2	say you don't remember where you were just before and you
3	don't remember where you were just afterwards?
4	A Well, the ranch covers a lot of property. Two
5	years ago, I can't remember where I was before or after I
6	talked to him.
7	Q And there is no reason for remembering where you
8	were when you were talking?
9	A Oh, I guess not.
10	Q And so perhaps you don't remember where you were-
11	THE COURT: You needn't answer that.
12	THE WITNESS: As a matter of fact, I do remember where
13	I was: Right there on the boardwalk.
14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You do remember that,
15	A Right.
16	Q but well, what time of day was this, Mr.
17	Swartz?
18	A I got no idea at all.
19	Q You don't know what time of day it was?
20	A No, I don't.
21	Q Day or night?
22	A It was daylight.
23	Q Daylight. But in August, we have long days. So
24	it could have been any time that it was daylight; is that
25	right?
26	A Right.
27	Q And and do you remember where you were at
28	any time that day?

	1	A No. No, I don't.
	2	Q And when you had this conversation with Mr. Shea,
	3	can you tell us what Mr. Shea was wearing?
ě.	4	A No, I can't.
•	5	Q Can you tell us what you were wearing that day?
ţ	6	A Oh, probably blue jeans and a shirt, a pair of
	7	boots
	8	Q Pardon?
	9	A The same thing I'm wearing right now.
	10	Q You say "probably"? Or do you remember?
	11	A Oh, I wear the same thing every day, 365 days
	12	out of the year.
	13	Q I see. And what day of the week was it?
•	14	A I've got no idea at all.
	15	Q How long did this conversation last, Mr. Swartz?
7	16	A A few minutes. Three minutes, five minutes,
	17	ten minutes; I don't know how long we set there and talked.
	18	Q Well, can you give us your best estimate of how
	19	long it was?
	20	A Oh, I don't think it was over 15 minutes; could
2f fls.	21	have been longer; couldhave been less.
	22	
'	23	
	24	
	25	e de la companya de La companya de la co
	26	
	27	
-	28	

)		2

- Q. And can you tell us everything that was said in that 15-minute conversation?
 - A. Well, I didn't say it was a 15-minute conversation,
- Q. You mean you were with Mr. Shea at this time, and there were long periods of silence; is that right? You just sat there and -- with Mr. Shea, saying nothing?
- A I told you: It could have been three minutes, or it could have been 15 minutes; I got no idea at all how long I sat there.
- Q And during this period of time that you were sitting there, Mr. Shea was sitting there with you; is that right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. And so you could have discussed this for 15 minutes?
- A. Well, we probably could have discussed many other things, too.
- Q. So you don't know in fact what Mr. Shea said, do you?
- A. Sure, I know what he said. I know what he told me, about the job offer.
- Q Well, what words did he say? What were the exact words that came out of his mouth, Mr. Swartz?
- A He told me that he was going this evening to see Frank Retz, because George had talked to Frank about putting a guard up there at the other end of the property, and George was trying to get Shorty the job, and Shorty was going to go down that evening and see him.
 - Q Did Shorty refer to himself as "he"?

ļ	A.	Refer to who?
2	Q	Himself
3	A.	Himself? No.
4	Q.	as "he."
5		And he didn't use the word "he" in any respect, as
6	far as hims	elf is concerned?
7	A .	Oh, he probably used the word "me" or "I."
8	Q.	So you don't know whether he used these words
9	these first	person pronouns or not; is that right?
10	. A.	Well I don't know about that.
11	•	But I know what he told me, anyway.
12	Q	And and what did he tell you, Mr. Swartz?
13	А.	He told me that Frank Retz had offered him a job;
14	that he was	going to go down and see Frank Retz that evening, as
15	a night wat	chman, on the adjoining property.
16 .	Q.	Now, is it a fair statement that you like Frank
17	Retz; right	?
18	A.	Well, I don't really know the man, offhand. I was
19	introduced	to him once and seen him two or three times at the
20	ranch, and	that's the extent of it.
21	· Q	Well, but then you have no particular friend-
22	ship for Fr	ank Retz; is that right?
23	A.	Not really, no. I don't know the man that well.
24	Q	You've never done any work for Frank Retz?
25	A.	Never.
26	Q.	And in your life, you've never had any relationship
27	with Mr. Re	tz at all,
28	A.	Never.

1	Q is that right?
2	A. That's right.
3	Q And did Shorty speak to you about any other jobs?
4	A. No.
5	Q Didn't he tell you about some mad urge that he had
6	to become a movie star?
7	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That question is
8	argumentative.
9	THE COURT: Overruled. I will permit him to answer.
10	THE WITNESS: Well, he's been trying to get into pictures
11	for years and years and years. And I'd hear it every time I'd
12	see him.
13	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You hear that every time you see
14	him?
15	A. That's what he talks about most of the time.
16	Q Did he talk about getting into the movies on this
17	occasion, Mr. Swartz?
18 [.]	A. Well, he talked about one picture somebody was
19	going to make; but and that he was waiting for a part in.
20	But I don't know whether it was on this occasion or before.
21	Q My question is: What he talked about during this
22	15-minute period that you are speaking of. That's what my
23	question is. Not on other occasions. On this occasion.
24	A Well, I can't recall whether he mentioned it
25	during that conversation.
26	Q And so you don't know, in fact, all of the
27	subjects that you discussed with Mr. Shea on this 15-minute
28	occasion?

1	Q. Now, at the time that you were arrested, Mr.
2	Swartz do you remember that?
3	A. Yes, I do.
4	Q And your state of mind was that you believed that
5	you were arrested because of the presence of what you called
6	"hippies" on the Spahn Ranch; is that correct?
7	A Well, I don't believe I've ever called 'em "hippies."
8	Q Well, these people, then, these people that were
9	arrested on the Spahn Ranch on that day. Having in mind
10	those people, is it a fair statement that you felt that you
11	were arrested because you were where these people were on that
12	day; is that right?
13	A Yeah, that's right. I was a little irritated.
14	Q You were a little irritated?
15	A (Indicating affirmatively.)
<u>1</u> 6	Q And you were irritated with
17	A. I wasn't irritated at the people. I was irritated
18	because I was dragged out of bed at 6:00 o'clock in the
19	morning.
20	Q Right. And in your mind, this happened because of
21	these people that were living at the Spahn Ranch?
22	A Well, I hadn't thought about that exactly at that
23	moment.
24	Q Well, you've thought about it
25	A I might have thought about it later.
26	Q plenty of times, including right now; right?
27	A Right. Right.
28	

MR. KANAREK: May I have a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may. 1 (Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at 2 3 the clerk's desk between Mr. Kanarek and the clerk.) BY MR. KANAREK: Now, when you were -- when you 5 were arrested Mr. --May I approach the witness, your Honor? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 8 BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Swartz, I have here a group of 9 pictures, and would you tell me whether you see yourself any-10 where in those pictures? Would you -- as quickly as possible 11 -- go through them and --12 That looks like me right there (indicating), but 13 I wouldn't swear to it. It's a terrible picture. 14 Are you referring to the person that's leaning 15 over (indicating)? 16 Á. Yes. There's a lot of pictures of helicoptors. 17 You don't see any B-52's, though, do you? 18 A. No. A lot of storm troopers. 19 THE COURT: What exhibit is that. Mr. Kanarek? 20 MR. KANAREK: Well, these -- I haven't asked that they 21 be marked, your Honor. They have been --22 THE WITNESS: That's (indicating) the only one. 23 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: They are what? 25 MR. KANAREK: They are -- I think your Honor would wish 26 me to say this at the bench. 27 THE COURT: You needn't say it at the bench. What are 28 they?

1 MR. KANAREK: Oh. very well. These are the pictures 2 that are being used in the Tex Watson trial. 3 THE COURT: Do you wish to have them marked for identification? 5 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 6 However, at this point, I would like to ask that 7 this --8 THE COURT: Do you wish just to have this one marked? 9 MR. KANAREK: At this point, just one -- although for 10 the matter of convenience for the clerk, it would be agreeable 11 with me to mark them all. with the exhibit number that they 12 They're part of -now have. 13 THE COURT: The Court will permit the one to be marked 14 as -- K? 15 THE CLERK: K, yes. 16 THE COURT: -- K for identification. 17 MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor. 18 Thank you. 19 Q. Now, you say, Mr. Swartz, that this looks like you. 21 Do you recall being in that position, as a result of this arrest? 23 A. No, I don't. Might have been getting up, or 24 setting down. 25 Q. Were you handcuffed? 26 A, Oh, you better believe it. 27 Q. Well, do you own any clothing that appears to be 28 on the person that is leaning over, in what we have marked as

K id

```
People's K?
1
                     Oh, well, they're blue jeans and a shirt.
2
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
24
25
26
27
28
```

₹5° '*

27

28

A

Q.

Right.

And that didn't make you unhappy, is that right?

I wasn't

3-2 1

A Well, I don't think it made anybody unhappy. think I felt just like everybody else did.

Q My question is, just to you. I'm not asking for anybody else.

A Well, I wasn't too particularly overjoyed, no.

And you felt you were innocent of any wrongdoing and you were being thrown in jail because you happened to be where these other people were in connection with this raid, is that right?

A Why don't you repeat that again.

MR. KANAREK: May that be read, your Honor?
THE COURT: Miss Briandi.

(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter as follows:

"Q And you felt you were innocent of any wrongdoing and you were being thrown in jail because you happened to be where these other people were in connection with this raid, is that right?")

A That's correct. But I knew that I hadn't stolen any automobiles or anything like that and they could only keep me for three days at the most, so I just relaxed and waited until some time went by and we got out. Just relaxed.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: And during this three-day period you were just as content there as you would have been at home?

A Absolutely not. It was just two days we were in.

Q And so it came to pass that you were released, is that right?

A Yes.

So Mr. Shea came down and you went back with Mr. THE COURT: Get a little closer to that microphone. BY MR. KANAREK: And in going back with Mr. Shea, did you indicate -- did you indicate your unhappiness about No, heck, no. I was so tickled to get out, I You didn't say you were unhappy about being in --And so you and Mr. Shea went back, is that right? Did you go back with anyone else? Oh, Larry Jones and Larry Craven was released at They rode back in the same automobile with me.

	Į.	
3a-1	1	Q And is Larry Craven a cowboy?
	2	A Thinks he is.
	3	Q I see.
. .	4	A It is the only answer I can give you.
	5	Q Pardon?
Š	6	A It is the only answer I can give you.
	7	Q And Larry Jones is a cowboy, right?
	8 .	A Larry Jones, no.
	ġ	Q Does he do has he done work around the Spahn
	10	Ranch?
	n	A Oh, yeah, he's helped out a little bit.
	12	Q Does he consider himself a cowboy?
	13	THE COURT: You needn't answer that.
; •	14	Let's move the cross-examination.
)	15	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I am.
4	16	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you say that Larry Jones
	17	has also done work in connection with the horses and all of
	18	that?
	19	MR. MANZELLA: That's immaterial.
	20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mr. Shea, and you, then,
	21	spoke when you were coming back from the County Jail, is
٠	22	that right?
ê.	23	A Right, right, of course.
	24	Q Did Mr well, did Mr. Shea tell you anything
	25	about this job with Frank Retz?
	26	A Not at that time, no.
	27	Q Well, how long after you got out of the jail did
	28	Mr. Shea say anything about this job?

1	A I can't remember how long it was.
2	Q Maybe a couple of weeks, three weeks or a month?
3	A Oh, a week or ten days.
4	Q Could have been longer?
5	A It was the latter part of the month.
6	Q Pardon?
7	A It was the latter part of the month. What day
8	it was or or what the date was, I don't know.
9	Q You don't know what month it was, in fact?
10	A August, the latter part of August.
11	Q And part and is there some reason that you
12	remember that it was August and not September?
13	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question has been asked
14	and answered, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Well
16	MR. KANAREK: No, it hasn t, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: It hasn't been asked in quite that way,
18	so the objection is overruled. You may answer,
19	A It wasn't September. It was a few days after I
20	got out of jail I had the conversation with him.
21	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, you say it was a few days
22	after?
23	A I don't know when it was.
24	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the question is
25	argumentative.
26	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
27	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Was it a few days or days
28	A It was a few days.

1	Q You include ten days as possibly being a few
2	days?
3	A I don't include ten days. I just say a few days
4	went by and we had the conversation. I don't know how many
5	days it was.
6	Q Well, a few moments ago you said ten days
7	A I said it might have been a few days.
8	MR. MANZELIA: Objection, your Honor, it's argumenta-
9	tive.
10	Q BY MR. KANAREK: As a matter of fact, Mr. Swartz,
11	the reason you say that it was August and not September, is
12	because you've talked to so many police officers concerning
13	these matters pertaining to Mr. Manson that you have come to
14	accept what the police officers tell you?
15	A No, no, no.
16	Q That's not
17	A Never happened. Not a bit.
18	Q Then, sometime after you tell us you spoke to
19	Mr. Manson
20	A That's right.
21	Q And how long did that conversation last, when you
22	spoke to Mr. Manson?
23	A Just a passing conversation. We stood there for
24	just long enough to have a conversation, the conversation I
25	told you about. And that was it. That was the extent of it.
26	Q And what day was that?
27	A Oh, boy, I I know it was about it was the
28	latter part of August. I don't know what day it was.

	1	Q It might have been in September?
• .	2	A No, it was not in September.
	3	Q Is there any reason why you know your conversa-
	4	tion with Mr. Manson was not in September?
	5	A Well, I say it wasn't in September, but I've got
.	6	no reason to, uh I just know it wasn't in September. It
	7	was in August.
	8	Q And you've spoken about these matters as you've
	9	said many times with police officials, right?
	10	A Well, when they ask you something, you've got
3b fls.	11	to answer them.
	12	
	13	
~	14'	•
•	15	
*	16 .	
	17 .	
-	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
•	22	
5	23	
•	24	
	25	
	26	·
Ä	27	
	28	$\frac{1}{2}$

Bb-1	1	Q My question is, you have spoken with them many time
	2	concerning these matters, right?
	3	A Sure.
	. 4	Q And so you, as far as this conversation with Mr.
	5	Manson was concerned, it was a passing conversation that may
\$	6	have taken just a few seconds, is that right?
	7	A Right.
	8	Q There was no reason to remember it, was there,
	9	Mr. Swartz?
	10.	A Well, if Shorty hadn't disappeared, I probably
	11	wouldn't have remembered it.
	ļ2	Q Mr. Swartz, you never made any missing person's
•	13	report on Mr. Shea? He was a friend of yours?
	14	A No. You know why he didn't, too.
)	15	Q Pardon?
** *	16	A You know why he didn't, too?
	17	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, my question is merely
•	18	was whether he made it or not. And I ask his gratuitous
	19	statement be stricken.
	20	THE COURT: What do you want stricken?
	21	MR. KANAREK: The part about, "I know why he didn't"
•	22	THE COURT: Would you read it, I'm sorry, I missed it.
ફે	23	MR. KANAREK: May the question be read also, and I
	24	think your Honor would agree with me.
	25	MR.MANZELLA: Your Honor, to save time, I stipulate
	26	that we have no objection to having it stricken.
	27	THE COURT: Well, read it to me, would you, please?
	28	(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter

1	as follows:
2	"Q Mr. Swartz, you never made any missing
3	person's report on Mr. Shea? He was a friend of yours?
4	"A No. You know why he didn't, too.
5	"Q Pardon?
6	"A You know why he didn't, too?")
7.	THE COURT: The answer "No," may remain in. The answer
8	"No" may remain in. The balance is stricken.
9	Q BY MR. KANAREK: And, Mr. Swartz, you knew that
10	Mr. Manson was arrested in October, October 12, 1969? You
11	heard about that, right?
12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: After you heard of Mr. Manson
15	being arrested, you made no missing person's report concern-
16	ing Shorty Shea to any law enforcement officials, did you?
17	A No, I never did.
18	Q Never did, right?
19	A Right.
20	Q And so, Mr. Swartz, you made no notation of this
21	conversation that you say took a few seconds with Mr. Manson,
22	right?
23	A Oh, I didn't write it down, no.
24	Q And you spoke to many people on that ranch during
25 26	the summer of 1969, during the year of 1969, is that right?
26 27	A Probably had spoken to everybody.
28	Q Now, in connection with these guns, Mr. Swartz
20	no, no, I'll withdraw that and ask you, where was it that you

saw Mr. DeCarlo and Mr. Vance with these guns? 1 A Where? 2 Q: Yes. 3 A In the prop room at the east end of the boardwalk. The east end of the western front street. 5 And you call it the prop room, right? Q. 6 A Right, that's what it is. 7 That's a room where Danny DeCarlo spent many, Q 8 many hours, is that right? 9 A Right. 10 You also tell us you saw the attache case, is Q. 11 that right? 12 A That's right. 13 And that was -- you tell us -- or I'll withdraw 14 it and ask you where was it that you saw the attache case? 15 All the time that Vance and DeCarlo came into 16 the prop room with the attache case, and they had the two 17 weapons with them, at that time or the time before that, or 18 the time before that, you know, I've seen it two or three or 19 four different times. 20 I'm speaking of the time when you saw these guns 21 in the immediate presence of Bill Vance and Danny DeCarlo. 22 23 What date was that? 24 A I don't know what day it was, the exact date, 25 now. 26 Can you -- would you give us your best estimate 27 of that date? 28 Well, I think it was between the time we got out

A

1	of the jail a	and the end of the month.
2	g y	You don't know for sure?
3	AI	t is about it was in that time. Somewhere.
4	Q Ŷ	You don't know you don't know whether it was
5	August or Sep	tember, do you, Mr. Swartz?
6	\$	dure do. It was August.
7	1	
8		
9		
10		
11	<u>.</u> }.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		,
18		
19		,
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	1	•
27		
28		
	1	

1	Q You know for sure it was August?
2	A Sure, it was August.
3	Q You don't know whether it was September 1st or
4	the 2nd? It had to be either August 30th or 31st that the
5	latter right?
6	A It was in the latter part of August sometime.
7	Q When you say the latter part of August, to your
8	best estimate, what in the latter part of August
9	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question has been asked
10	and answered.
11	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
12	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Swartz, you had no reason
13	for making any kind of a demarcation between August 31st and
14	September 1, did you?
15	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, the question has been asked
16	and answered.
17	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
18	THE WITNESS: Sure, because it wasn't why don't you
19	ask me that again.
20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Yes.
21	In your mind, you had no reason for making any
22	kind of a determination on the difference between August 31st
23	and September 1st, right?
24	A None, whatsoeyer.
25	Q And you had no reason in your mind for making
26	any demarcation between September 1st and September 2nd,
27	right?
28	A No.

1	Q And you had no reason in your mind for making
2	any demarcation between September 3rd and September 4th?
3	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor.
4	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Is that right?
5	MR. MANZELLA: This could go on all day. Object on
6	the ground it is irrelevant.
7	THE COURT: Have you answered the question yet?
8	THE WITNESS: No, no reason at all.
9	Q BY MR. KANAREK: And you had no reason for making
10	any demarcation between September 5th and September 29th, is
11	that right?
12	A That's right.
13	Q Is that right, Mr. Swartz, correct?
14	Å Right.
15	Q And no different
16	THE COURT: Let's stop there.
17	(Laughter.)
18	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mr. Swertz. Merry Christmas.
19	Thank you, Mr. Swartz.
20	THE WITNESS: Happy New Year.
21 22	MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions, Mr. Swartz.
23	THE COURT: You may step down. You are excused.
24	Counsel approach the bench.
25	Mr. Kanarek, you have foregone you have a
26	witness available at this time?
27	MR. KANAREK: I believe I do, your Honor.
28	If we could take a short recess, your Honor
	THE COURT: Yes, all right.

4 fls.

Well, we'll recess for ten minutes, ladies and gentlemen, approximately ten minutes.

During the recess you are obliged not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the case until it has finally been submitted to you.

Between ten or fifteen minutes. (Recess.)

THE COURT: The last witness, ladies and gentlemen, was a People's witness presented out of order.

The defendant may proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Excuse me just a moment, your Honor.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella.)

MR. KANAREK: Call Magdalene Shea, your Honor.

MAGDALENE SHEA,

called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE COURT: Mrs. Shea, you are still under oath.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and the bailiff.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have a moment to speak to --

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KANAREK: -- Mr. Manson?

(Pause in the proceedings while a conversation off the record ensued at the detention room door between Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

27

28

Q Mrs. Shea ---

THE COURT: Use the microphone, Mr. Kanarek, please, 1 Yes, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 2 -- did you leave a note for Mr. Shea at the 3 Wilcox Street address? No. You left no writing whatsoever concerning yourself Q. 6 and Mr. Shea? 7 No. I didn't. Α. 8 9 Q. And -- nor did you cause to be delivered to Mr. Shea any note? 10 No. 11 Now, when you were in Las Vegas with Mr. Shea, did 12 -- were you turned down by any apartment house, because of the 13 fact that you were of the black or Negro race, and he was of 14 the Caucasian or white race? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 What place were you turned down by? 18 I don't remember. A. 19 You don't remember the name of the place? Q. 20 A. No. 21 Where was the place? 22 I don't remember. I don't know that much about Ă. 23 Las Vegas. I couldn't tell you. The onliest thing I know 24 about is the strip. I could tell you about that. But as far 25 as streets, I don't know. 26 Well, you know guite a bit about the strip in 27 Las Vegas; is that right?

Yes, because that is Las Vegas; that's --

28

Α

1	Q.	All right.
2	А.	where everybody knows.
3	Q.	And there are black black or Negro people in
4	Las Vegas, v	who work in the pits as dealers; right?
5.	MR. M	ANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. That's not
6	relevant.	
7	MR. K	ANAREK: Well, she has
8 1	THE CO	OURT: Sustained.
9	Q	BY MR. KANAREK: Within your observation, your own
10	personal obse	ervation, Mrs. Shea well, I'll withdraw that.
11		You have been to Las Vegas on many occasions?
12	Ά.	No.
13	Q.	You have been to Las Vegas on occasions other than
14	that one occ	casion?
15	A.	No.
16	Ω	That's the only time in your life you've ever
17	been to Las	Vegas?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	How many apartments did you go to, to obtain
20	lodgings wit	th Mr. Shea?
21 .	A.	We stayed in two apartments.
22	۵	You rented two apartments?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	In the time that you were there with Mr. Shea?
25	A.	Yes.
26	Q.	How many apartments did you go to, where you
27	and Mr. She	a were turned down for housing?
28	A.	About three or four.

1	And where are those apartments, Mrs. Shea?
2	A I don't know. All I know is, they was behind the
3	Strip.
4	Q They you went there with Mr. Shea, to the
5	managers, and they wouldn't give you any apartments?
6	A They said they had no vacancies, but they had a
7	VACANCY sign out there on the you know, to be rented. But
. 8	when they saw us together, it was no vacancies.
9	When he called a couple of times, they had
10	vacancies; but when we went there, they didn't they didn't
11	have any.
12	Q Oh, you and he called
13.	A. He called,
14	Q He called?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q And then you and he went together, and you were
17	turned down,
18	A. Yes.
19	Q is that right?
20	A. Yes.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

4a-1	1	Q Now, did you on what days, Mrs. Shea, did this
	2	occur?
	3	A. I don't know what days. I know we had a hard time
•	4	finding a place to stay there.
	5	Q And this is the reason that you split up; is that
ŧ.	6	right? That's the reason you left Las Vegas, is because you
	7	couldn't get any housing
	8	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor
	9	THE WITNESS: We had an apartment.
	10	Q BY MR. KANAREK; Pardon? You had an apartment?
	11 -	Â. Yes.
	12	Q And when you left Las left Las Vegas, what
	13	apartment were you living at?
· ·	14	A. The Sahara Motor Apartments.
4	15	Q And you and Mr. Shea were living there together?
·	16	A. Yes.
ند	17	Q And that was on what day, last?
	18	A I don't remember. It was in July.
	19	And on some day, you decided to leave Las Vegas;
	20	is that right? And Mr. Shea stayed?
	21	A I left on a Sunday.
•	22	Q You left on Sunday, living in an apartment in
¢	23	Las Vegas?
•	24	A. Yes.
÷	25	Q And you left and Mr. Shea remained; is that correct?
•	26	A. For a week.
	27	Q. Pardon?
	28	A. Yes.
		1

1	Q And you never lived in Las Vegas again together?
2	A. No.
3	Q Is that correct?
4.	A. No.
5	Q. Is that incorrect?
6	A. Would you repeat the question again, please?
7	Q Did you ever live in Las Vegas again together?
8	A. No, we never lived in Las Vegas again together.
9	Q So then you voluntarily, on your own, departed
10	housing that you had with Mr. Shea, when you left Las Vegas?
11	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant.
12	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
13	THE WITNESS: NO.
14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Pardon?
15	A. No.
16	Q That's not correct?
17	A May I have the question again, please?
18	MR. KANAREK: May that be read, your Honor?
19	THE COURT: Well, would you rephrase it?
20	MR. KANAREK: Certainly. Certainly, your Honor.
21	Q. When you left Las Vegas, Mrs. Shea, and left
22	Mr. Shea in Las Vegas, you left of your own free will; is
23	that right?
24	A Yes, I came to Los Angeles.
25	Q You were not evicted from
26	A No.
27	Q from any premises?
28	A. No.

1	Q You werê not asked to leave because you were black
2	or Negro, and your husband was Caucasian or white?
3	MR. MANZELLA: Objection. The question has been asked
4	and answered.
5	THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer, Mrs. Shea.
6	THE WITNESS: No.
7	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Pardon?
8	A. No.
9	Q Well, when you say, "No," do you mean, "No, that
10	is not correct," you were not asked to leave?
11	A. Well
12	Q No landlord or manager of the apartment house
13	asked you to leave?
14	A. Where we were staying?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. No.
17	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
18	Thank you, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Anything more
20	MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: of Mrs.Shea?
22	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, Mrs. Shea.
23	MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Shea. You may step down.
25	You are excused.
26	Call your next witness.
27	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. Call Mr. Whiteley
28	well, if he wishes to

MR. WHITELEY: Excuse me just a second. 1 2 MR. KANAREK: Yes, certainly. 3 PAUL J. WHITELEY, 4 called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant, having 5 been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as 6 7 follows: 8. THE COURT: State your name. 9 THE WITNESS: Paul Whiteley. 10 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. KANAREK: 13 Mr. Whiteley, did you interview Barbara Jean Hoyt 14 on 12 -- on December the 2nd, 1969? 15 I can't recall the date. A. 16 MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 18 BY MR. KANAREK: I show you what appears to be a 19 report, 070-02378-1076-016, and ask you if that is a report 20 that you made? 21 A. Are you asking me if that's a report I made? 22 Q. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 And did you, in this report --25 THE COURT: Would you wait until you get to the micro-26 phone? 27 MR. KANAREK: Yes, certainly, your Honor. 28

4b

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Did you state that "Miss Hoyt recalls hearing screams that sounded as if they were coming from the creek area; these screams sounded as if they were from a male."

Did you --

- A. No.
- Q You didn't put that in your report?
- A. I put that in the report, but you must preface what you are saying --

Q I am asking you: Are those words in your report?
That's all I am asking you at this time, Mr. Whiteley.

And Mr. Manzella will -- may have something.

But I would ask for an answer of that question, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant, if those words are in the report.

MR. KANAREK: It's most relevant, because it reflects his interview with Miss Hoyt; and under Green vs. California --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

THE COURT: Excuse me just a moment.

You may answer it. Did you put that in the report?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I put those words in the report.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: And Mr. -- in connection with your investigation of this case, you say you've investigated the guns, as part of your -- part of what you have done in this case, concerning Mr. Shea; right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Did your investigation reveal that Mr. Shea,

1	in October or November or December of 1968, obtained these
2	guns for a camera from Arch Hall?
3	A. Would you repeat the question?
4	MR. KANAREK: May it be read, your Honor?
5	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Williams?
6	(Whereupon, the record was read by the reporter
7	as follows:
8	"Q Did your investigation reveal
9	that Mr. Shea, in October or November or December
10	of 1968, obtained these guns for a camera from
11	Arch Hall?")
12	THE WITNESS: It was prior to that. But yes, he did
13	obtain the guns.
14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: It was your investigation
15	revealed that it was prior to to October of 1968?
16	A. Yes, I believe so.
17	MR. KANAREK: If I may have a moment, your Honor?
18	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
19	(Pause in the proceedings.)
20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Directing your attention to the
21	time that your investigation indicates that these guns were
22	to were exchanged for the camera, what date do you say
23	your investigation reveals that this occurred?
24	A. Just off the top of my head, I would say it was in
25	the middle of the year. It was sometime around July.
26	Q When you say off the top of your head, you mean
27	that you do not know what the date was; is that correct?
.28	A That's correct.

1	Q Your I mean, your you're making a an
2	estimate; but you do not remember; is that correct?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q Do you have your notes with you, in connection
5	with your interview with Arch Hall?
6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not
7	relevant,
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, then, I'll ask you,
10	Mr. Whiteley, what date in 1968 was it that Mr. Hall traded
11	the camera for the two guns?
12	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It assumes a fact
13	not in evidence.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, did your investigation revea
16	that Mr. Hall traded the two guns for a camera to Mr. Shea?
17	A. No.
.18	Q. Your investigation revealed that there was no
19	trade for a camera; is that right?
20	A. That's correct.
21	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
22 23	That's all at this time, your Honor.
25 24	
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION
26	BY MR. MANZELLA:
27	Q Sergeant Whiteley, the words that Mr. Kanarek read
28	to you from your report, were those words stated to you by
	Barbara Hoyt?

A, No. From where did those words come? A. I was quoting what Philip Sartuche had written in his report regarding his interview with Barbara Hoyt. And Philip Sartuche was the sergeant of the Los Angeles Police Department who testified for Mr. Kanarek in this case? A. Yes. MR. MANZELLA: No further questions.

4c

4c-1

1

2

3

4.

6

7

8'

9

7.1

12

13^{*}

15

16

17

18

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Now, there's no question, Mr. Whiteley, that this is part of your report?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection. That's not relevant.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking him.

MR. MANZELLA: It's not relevant, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's asked and answered.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Well, what I read to you, were you correct, when you stated it was from your report?

A Yes, it was part of my report.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: No questions.

THE COURT: You may step down.

Call your next witness.

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: You may call your next witness.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I am just waiting --

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?
THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, my next witness would be Mr. Black.

4c-2

ľ

now?

2<u>1</u>

THE COURT: All right. He will be here tomorrow morning, I am informed, from San Luis Obispo Men's Colony.

Do you have any other witnesses to proceed with

MR. KANAREK: No, I don't.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the Court will excuse you for this afternoon, and admonish you that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor to form or express an opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you.

What does the calendar look like tomorrow, Joyce?

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion

off the record ensued at the bench between the Court

and the Clerk.)

THE COURT: Be here at 9:40, would you, ladies and gentlemen? The Court would order you to return at 9:40.

And good night.

We are in recess.

(Whereupon, at 3:40 o'clock p.m., an adjournment was taken in this matter until 9:45 o'clock a.m. of the following morning, Friday, October 1, 1971.)