SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106. 3 4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 5 Plaintiff. 6 No. A-267861 vs. 7 CHARLES MANSON, 8 Defendant. 9 10 11 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Friday, October 1, 1971 14 VOLUME 53 15 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the People: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney BY: ANTHONY MANZELLA, 20 Deputy District Attorney 21 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 22 EFEWE: 23 24 25 26 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. 27 ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. Official Court Reporters 28

Ì	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
Ź	
8	Ì
9.	
10	ľ
11	
.12	
13	
. 14	ļ
15	
16	
17	-
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1971 10:32 A.M.

---0---

THE COURT: The case of People versus Manson.

The record will show that the jurors and alternates are all present. Mr. Kanarek is present for the defendant, and Mr. Manzella for the People.

Will counsel approach the bench?

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, will you determine from your client whether he will desist from interrupting the proceedings? If he will today, then he may be out in the court-room.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

(Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued at the detention room door between Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.)

MR. KANAREK: He will, yes, your Honor. Mr. Manson will -- represents that he will not interrupt the proceedings.

THE COURT: All right. Then he may come out, then.

(Whereupon, a further discussion off the record ensued at the detention room door between Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: All right. The record will show the defendant

to be present. 1 Mr. Kanarek, you may call your next witness. 2 MR. KANAREK: Mr. Charles Rich, your Honor. 3 THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please? 4 You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may 5 6 give in the cause now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you 7 8 God? THE WITNESS: I do. 10 11 CHARLES A. X. RICH, 12 called as a witness by and on behalf of the defendant, having 13 been sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 14 THE CLERK: Please take the stand and be seated. 15 THE BAILIFF: State and spell your full name, sir. 16 THE WITNESS: Charles A. X. Rich; Rich, R-i-c-h. 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. KANAREK: 20 Mr. Rich, where do you reside? 0. 21 At CMC East. A. 22 Would you tell us, what does that mean? Q. 23 It's a prison. California Men's Colony. A. 24 And where is that located? Q. 25 San Luis Obispo. A. 26 Here in California, --Q, 27 A. Yes. 28 -- County of San Luis Obispo; right? Q.

1-1 Just answer the question, Mr. --THE COURT: 1 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, sir. 2 And jails, prison. 3 BY MR. KANAREK: Would you tell us the prisons Q 4 and the jails? 5 Oh, numerous of them. A 6 Name them as best you can, Mr. Rich. 7 Oh, Los Angeles County Jail, state prisons, A 8 federal penitentiaries, reform schools. 9 Would you tell us -- break it down for us a Q. 10 little bit. That is, tell us from about what year to what 11 year? In what institution? 12 Well, that would be kind of hard. My last 13 institution I was in, I was there five years and I didn't 14 see Charles for that length of time. He was in the federal 15 joint, I believe, at that particular time. 16 It is hard to go back, just year after year 17 after year. I've been in prison since 1955, in and out. 18 Yourself? 19 Q Yes. 20 Well, then, could you name the prisons, then, 21 if you can't name the dates with precision? Name the 22 23 prisons. 24 THE COURT: Would you clarify your question? 25 BY MR. KANAREK: Would you name the prisons that 26 you know Mr. Manson has been in? 27 Well, we went in County prison here, right here

28

in Los Angeles.

1,	Q Was that for approximately a year or something
2	like that?
3	A Correct. Over a year.
4	Q Now, what about
5	A Over a year.
6	Q What about McNeil Island?
7	A We were in McNeil together.
8	Q That's a federal penitentiary?
9	A Right.
10	Q How long were you there with Mr. Manson?
11	A Uh, close to two years.
12	Q Can you name any others?
13	A Oh, you just choose your pick.
14	Q San Quentin well, you just tell me, Mr. Rich,
15	whichever ones they are.
16	A Well, I say it is hard to go back through them
17	years like that, you know.
18	Q I see, very well.
19	Now, what is your full name, Mr. Rich?
20	A Charles A. X. Rich.
21	Q Now, in the time that you have seen Mr. Manson in
22	prison, would you say that you what has been your relation-
23	ship with Mr. Manson?
24	A Well, I've always got along with him.
25	Q What has been your observation as to Mr. Manson's
26	relationship with other people?
27	A He got along with everybody that he's in contact
28	with.

1-2

1	Q In the prisons, right?
2	A Right.
3	Q Now, can you tell us what your observations have
4	been concerning Mr. Manson?
5	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, the way the
6	question is phrased, it is vague and ambiguous, and appears
7	to be irrelevant.
8	MR. KANAREK: I'm not trying to lead the witness, your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	MR. KANAREK: I want
12	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You have had you have had
13	the opportunity of observing Mr. Manson in these years that
14	you have known him, right?
15	A Right.
16	Q Have you been able to observe him in relationship
17	to other people?
18	A Right.
19	Q Well, just tell us what you have observed.
20	MR. MANZELLA: Same objection on the same grounds,
21	your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Same ruling. The objection is sustained.
23 . :	You needn't answer the question.
24	MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we approach the
25	bench?
26	THE COURT: No, you may not.
27	THE WITNESS: I believe what you are trying to say,
28	Mr. Kanarek

1-3

say I'm not trying to prove his character --THE COURT: This is not a character witness as such? MR. KANAREK: Well, I don't know exactly how to term it. THE COURT: Well, give us -- give me an offer of proof, then. What do you intend to prove by this man by 2 fls. reason of general questions?

2-1

1

2

3

5

IJ.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25.

26

27

28

MR. KANAREK: Well, this man --

THE COURT: The reason that you think these general questions are permitted -- or should be permitted.

MR. KANAREK: Well, you -- I suppose, in a way, you could term it character. But --

THE COURT: Well, then --

MR. KANAREK: But your Honor has allowed in evidence concerning Mr. Manson and the so-called Manson Family -- do you understand?

THE COURT: Well, let me hear from you as to what you intend to prove with this witness.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I'll try to lay a further foundation, then, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, what do you intend to prove?

MR. KANAREK: Well, I --

THE COURT: You don't need need any further foundation.

You've laid a foundation to the effect that --

MR. KANAREK: Well, the People --

THE COURT: Well, you do need one or two questions of a foundational nature: Is he acquainted with Mr. Manson's reputation in the prison community, for -- well, whatever quality you wish to talk about.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

THE COURT: You can elicit opinion from him as to Mr. Manson's reputation.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I -- I suppose, in a way, it is -THE COURT: And you can also -- as you know -- go into
any specific act which is relevant and material.

1 2

2

3

4

5 -6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13.

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

But the Court believes that the objection's well taken as to such a general question.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor. I'll try.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: On many occasions, Mr. Rich, you have seen Mr. Manson in the presence of other people in prison; is that right?
 - A Right.
- Q And you've heard Mr. Manson talk, and you've seen how he's acted; is that right?
 - A. Right.
- Q Did you observe whether or not Mr. Manson tried to dominate people?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. This does not appear to be relevant.

MR. KANAREK: It's relevant, most -- the prosecution has brought in this Manson Family thing, your Honor, and we have a right to prove matters by someone who knows Mr. Manson over a long period of time, as to whether or not this trait of character is in fact fictitious or whether it exists.

MR. MANZELLA: The other grounds for my objection is that no foundation has been laid.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, I have asked you before not to argue your point in the presence of the jury, but to ask permission to approach the bench, and I have told you that numerous times.

I'm sorry. Mr. Manzella?

1	MR. MANZELLA: I said: The other grounds for my
2	objection, your Honor, were that no foundation has been laid
3 -	for that question.
4	MR. KANAREK: Well
5	THE COURT: Sustained on that ground.
6	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You've you have seen Mr.
7	Manson, you have told us, Mr. Rich, speak to people on many
8	occasions; right?
9	A. Right.
10	Q And do you know Mr. Manson's reputation in the
11	prison community, as far as his character for attempting to
12	dominate people is concerned, Mr. Rich?
13	A Definitely so.
14	Would you tell us: What is that reputation?
15	A. The guy don't have no reputation for dominating
16	nobody. He's a cream puff. The guy ain't got enough sense to
17	lead a man to a drink of water.
18	MR. KANAREK: I'm sorry. May that last be read back?
19	I didn't hear.
20	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Rich, it's difficult to hear
21	you with your hands over
22	A I say: That guy doesn't have enough sense to lead
23	a man to a drink of water.
24	Q What do you mean by that?
25	A That guy can't lead nobody nowhere.
26	Q Well, would you tell us summarize for us your
27	observations of Mr. Manson's relationship with these people in
28	prison?

2a - 1MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It calls for 7 hearsay; it's irrelevant; and there's no foundation for that 2 question. 3 THE COURT: Objection sustained. BY MR. KANAREK: Now, you -- your name is 5 Charles A. X. Rich, -б Correct. 7 Q -- is that correct? Α That is correct. What does the "X" stand for? Q. 10 A Ex-slave, ignorant so-called nigger for white 11 America. 12 And in connection with your residence in the 13 institutions that you have inhabited, have you had occasion 14 to observe people -- other than Mr. Manson? 15 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. 16 THE WITNESS: Repeatedly. 17 MR. MANZELLA: It's not relevant. 18 THE COURT: The objection is sustained, and the answer 19 is stricken. 20 BY MR. KANAREK: You recognize, Mr. Rich, what 21 a leader is; is that correct? 22 Correct. 23 In the prison communities, where you have been 24 with Mr. Manson, would you term Mr. Manson a leader? 25 26 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It calls for an

27

28

opinion, and there is no foundation for it.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, then I would like to -
CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute, Mr. Kanarek. 1. MR. KANAREK: I'm sorry. 2 THE COURT: Don't argue again. 3 MR. KANAREK: I'm sorry. 4 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 5 You may answer the question. Ŕ THE WITNESS: I think you can get the answer a whole 7. lot better. Just call for his jacket. I'm quite sure the 8 prisons would send it down here to the court. 9 That answer is stricken. THE COURT: 10 Put the question again. 11 MR. KANAREK: Well, may it be read, your Honor, so 12 there is no question about it? Because your Honor overruled 13 the objection, and I would just like to make sure 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. KANAREK: May it be read? 16 THE COURT: It may. 17 (Whereupon the record was read by the reporter 18 as follows: 19 IIQ. 20 In the prison communities, where you have been with Mr. Manson, would you term Mr. Manson a 21 leader?") 22 23 THE WITNESS: Definitely not. 24 BY MR. KANAREK: Would you describe Mr. Manson's 25 relationship with other people? How he conducted himself? 26 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant. 28 MR. KANAREK: Then --

Sustained. THE COURT: 1 MR. KANAREK: Then may we approach the bench, your 2 Honor? 3 THE COURT: No, you may not. MR. KANAREK: Well, I would like to make argument, in 5 view of the prosecution case, your Honor. ъ THE COURT: No, you may not. 7 BY MR. KANAREK: You've heard the term "Manson Q 8 Family," Mr. Rich, from time to time? 9 Α Yes. I have. 10 Would you tell me what, if anything, you know 11 about the Manson Family? 12 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not rele-13 vant. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 MR. KANAREK: Then may we approach the bench? 16 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 17 (Unintelligible utterance.) THE WITNESS: 18 (Whereupon the following proceedings were had 19 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the 20 21 hearing of the jury:) The prosecution --22 MR. MANSON: THE COURT: Does this man purport to have any particular 23 knowledge, special knowledge about the Manson Family? 24 MR. KANAREK: Well, the point is, we have a right to 25 26 rebut this presumption that is -- that permeates anything 27 concerning Mr. Manson, that there is a Manson Family. Whether there is or there isn't -- and I notice your Honor 28

is smiling about that -- here is someone who knows this man intimately, has seen him in association with other people.

And we have a right -- they have asked these conclusionary kinds of questions, and --

THE COURT: Do you mean there was a Manson Family in jail? In prison?

MR. KANAREK: Might be. Might be. We have a right to show -- it isn't limited by any kind of geography.

2b-1

1

2

3

5

U

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: You may pursue --

MR. KANAREK: This is defense.

THE COURT: You may establish the foundation in connection with that, then, as to whether he is a dominating type of person. I think that's a trait of character that you've inquired about.

Whether he's a -- has a character of leadership, you may inquire about that.

But to ask him -- this man about what he knows about the Manson Family -- which, so far as the Court has been able to determine, is a group that's been identified as having been in the Chatsworth-Spahn Ranch-Barker Ranch area, it seems to me to be proceeding without having laid any foundation.

MR. KANAREK: All right. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you name some of the people that Mr. Manson knows, that you know?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor. It's not relevant. THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS:Oh, George Harp, Hekima,

Q BY MR. KANAREK: By "Hekima," do you mean Ernest Shepard?

- A Right.
- Q Ernest -- I think -- X. Shepard?
- A Right.
- Q Thank you.
- A Joe Jenkins. Foots Lipman.

-1	1
	2
	3
ŧ	4
	5
•	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
_	14
	15
*	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21

22

23

26

27

28

	Q.	Well,	describe	or	give	the	names	as	best	you	can
Mr.	Rich.										

A. Well, Jake is a brother, black man, so-called, Negro, a guitar player.

As a matter of fact, he taught that guy how to play quite a bit on that guitar that he knows how to play.

THE COURT: Just name the names, Mr. Rich, if you can remember any more.

THE WITNESS: I can't recall just right offhand what the quys' real names are. I just know them by their nicknames,

THE COURT: Proceed with your next question, then.

BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mr. Rich, in connection with Mr. Manson, and these people that -- that he has lived with, that you have spoken of, would you describe for us -- can you give us a little detail what Mr. Manson has done in their presence?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, as not relevant. No foundation has been laid for that.

THE COURT: Sustained, Mr. Kanarek.

BY MR. KANAREK: Have you ever heard Mr. Manson get up and make, uh, uh, any kind of, uh, speech to these people in prison?

MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it doesn't appear to be relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- BY MR. KANAREK: Did Mr. Manson ever try to tell these people what to do?
 - He couldn't.

- !	
1	Q. Pardon?
2	A. He couldn't.
3	Q. Pardon?
4	A He couldn't.
5	Q Would you explain that?
6	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, and I'll ask the
7	answer be stricken. It calls for a yes or no answer, and the
8	answer is not responsive.
9	THE COURT: The objection is late. The answer may
10	remain.
n	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you explain your last answer?
12	A. He doesn't have any sense. Who would want to
13	listen to that guy.
14	Q Pardon?
15	A. Who would want to listen to him.
16	Q In other words, in the prison atmosphere that you
17	are speaking of, you have observed no one paying any attention
18	to Mr. Manson, is that right?
19	A Absolutely right.
20	Now, would you tell us, Mr. Rich, have you been
21	convicted of a felony?
22	A. Yes, I have.
23	Q. Would you tell us what felonies, if more than one,
24	you have been convicted of?
25	A Well, just pick your shot, outside of murder.
26	Q. What's that?
27	A I say, just pick your shot, outside of murder.
28	Q Would you tell us

1	A. Grand Theft, Kidnap, Attempted Robbery and Burglary
2	and Assault.
3	Q And are you presently doing time for a
4	A Assault.
5	Q a dispute you had with police officers and
6	deputy sheriffs?
7	A. Yes, I am.
8	Q Wherein you did not inflict any injury on anybody?
.9	A. No.
10	THE COURT: You needn't answer that. It is immaterial.
11	The answer is stricken.
12	Move it along, Mr. Kanarek.
13	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
14	Q BY MR. KANAREK: At the present time you are
15 ·	serving time for assault, alleged assault upon police officers,
16	is that right?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Is that the only sentence which you are now serving
19	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it doesn't
20	appear to be relevant.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, you say that you were present
23	when Mr. Manson was taught by someone to play the guitar, is
24	that it?
25	MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant.
26	THE COURT: Sustained.
27	Q BY MR. KANAREK: You have had occasion to speak with
28	Mr. Manson since he's been arrested in October of 1969, is

that right, Mr. Rich? 1 A, Yes. 2 MR. MANZELLA: Objection, your Honor, it is not relevant, 3 and ask that the answer be stricken. THE COURT: Sustained, and the answer is stricken. 5 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, may I approach the bench on 6 that, your Honor? 7 THE COURT: No, you may not. 8 The record may show that Mr. Manson has voluntarily 9 gotten up and left the courtroom to go back to the detention 10 tank. 11 The record should show that Mr. Manson is not 12 in the courtroom at this time. 13 BY MR. KANAREK: You have heard the term Manson 14 15 Family, is that correct, Mr. Rich? Uh, Mr. Kanarek, without the presence of the man A. 16 that I am going to talk about, I'm not going to do any more 17 testifying. 18 THE COURT: The question would appear to be immaterial 19 in any event. 20 21 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, may I approach the bench on that, 22 your Honor? THE COURT: You may not. 23 24 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? 25 THE COURT: You may not. Ask your next question. 26 MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness a moment, 27 your Honor? 28 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

the jury:) outside the hearing of the jury:) in another courtroom. Court what you have reference to.

Зa

(Whereupon, Mr. Kanarek approached the witness stand and conferred with the witness, outside the hearing of (Whereupon, Mr. Kanarek conferred with the clerk, MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I wonder if we can have a recess. There are certain exhibits I want that I am told are THE COURT: You may approach the bench to explain to the 2b-1

4 5

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: What exhibits do you want and why do you want them?

MR. KANAREK: These are exhibits of the so-called Manson Family that have been in jail that this man has been in contact with.

THE COURT: It would not be material in the Court's opinion.

MR. KANAREK: It is most material. They have been allowed to testify about a conspiracy charge.

THE COURT: Why is it material?

MR. KANAREK: Well, it is material to show Mr. Manson's relationship with these people. They have tried to say there is some kind of domination. I have asked this witness if he has observed Mr. Manson in the presence of those people and whether there was any domination. This is a conspiracy charge.

THE COURT: While they were in jail?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: Your motion is denied. I can't see that it would be material.

MR. KANAREK: Well, we have a right to rebut this inference of domination. Where else would there be better domination.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: I have a right to interrogate on that,

2b-2

 your Honor.

THE COURT: You may put the question to the witness.

MR. KANAREK: But I need the exhibits.

THE COURT: The Court would not permit you to delay the matter.

MR. KANAREK: I'm not trying to delay anything.

THE COURT: You had plenty of time to acquire the exhibits.

MR. KANAREK: They were in the other courtroom.

THE COURT: You had plenty of time to acquire them, and you have not acquired them. Let's proceed. Ask the question. The Court doesn't believe it is material or relevant, in any event.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ask your next question.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. --

Well, in view of the Court's orders, your Honor, I would ask that this witness be excused for a short period of time so that I can obtain --

THE COURT: Your request is denied. If the Court excuses him, the Court is going to order that he be excused permanently, if you do not proceed at this time with asking such questions as you may wish to ask concerning what you intend to prove.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Rich, without looking at pictures, do you know all of the people that the prosecution

26

28

has alleged are members of the Manson Family?

A Yes, I have.

Q Pardon?

I'm saying, without looking at pictures, you don't know everyone that's been at the Spahn Ranch, do you?

A No, I don't.

Q Without looking at these pictures you couldn't identify people and tell us what relationship, if any, you may have observed with Mr. Manson, right?

A No, that is correct.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, I allege due process, equal protection dictates that we be allowed to show these to this gentleman, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ask your next question.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, in view of the Court's orders, I don't have any questions at this time, your Honor, if I can't have those pictures.

THE COURT: People.

MR. MANZELLA: I have no questions, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the Court conferred with the Clerk up at the bench, out of the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: I'll grant your request for a recess for ten minutes.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: During the recess, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter,

3a-4 nor are you to form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you. About ten minutes. 4 fls. (Short recess.)

THE COURT: Sustained.

28

MR. MANZELLA: -- is not admissible. 1 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Rich. THE COURT: Is that all? 3. MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, in view of the Court's 4 5 orders. THE COURT: I don't understand that. Would you approach 6 7 the bench? 8 MR. KANAREK Yes. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 9 the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 10 11 jury:) 12 MR. KANAREK: Number 50, Exhibit 50, was Tex Watson, your 13 Honor. Mr. Watson --14 THE COURT: So I gather. 15 MR. KANAREK: -- was not in the series of pictures --16 Joyce --17 THE COURT: Well, you have the series of pictures which 18. you have requested, just before this recess; is that correct? 19 MR. KANAREK: Yes, that's correct. Now, Tex Watson --20 THE COURT: You indicated to me before the recess that 21 you were going to use the series of pictures, and therefore 22 we procured them --23 MR. KANAREK: Well, not --24 THE COURT: -- procured them from the other court, so .25 you would have them available. 26 MR. KANAREK: I thought Tex Watson was in that group. 27 It turns out that Tex Watson was not in that group of pictures. 28 THE COURT: All you wanted to ask about was Exhibit 50?

MR. KANAREK: I wanted him to identify Tex Watson in the 1 courtroom, in the presence of the jury, yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: I see. Now, what has the Court's orders 3 to do with your not continuing any further direct examination? 4 MR. KANAREK: Because your Honor will not allow me. Your 5 Honor is saying I can't -- your Honor is not allowing me to 6 interrogate as to whether he dominated this man. 7 The whole thing is, the Manson Family -- your 8 Honor is refusing to let me question on that. 9 THE COURT: On the issue of whether or not Mr. Manson is 10 11 of a dominating character, --12 MR. KANAREK: But they have been ---13 THE COURT: -- you have been allowed the right to proceed 14 to examine, to ask somebody whether Mr. Manson dominated 15 somebody else -- which I think actually calls for a conclusion. 16 But you can ask for an opinion. But the opinion has to be --17 you can ask for an opinion as to character; you can't go into 18 specific acts. 19 You are familiar with 1100 and 1102? 20 I understand. But in the posture of this MR. KANAREK: 21 trial -- for instance, your Honor has -- has --22 THE COURT: Do you really understand? 23 MR. KANAREK: Certainly I understand. 24 THE COURT: Well, the Court is not precluding you from 25 inquiring as to this man's knowledge of Mr. Manson's reputation 26 or character. Do you understand that? 27 MR. KANAREK: I understand that. But what I am saying 28 is ---

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KANAREK: -- that the thing that I think is a violation of equal protection is that the prosecution is allowed to use these broad terms like "Manson Family," "all the people at the ranch," and over objections as to ambiguity and immateriality and relevancy; and your Honor has allowed it, time after time. And when I try to get into specifics, your Honor --

4a

24

25

26

28

THE COURT: What are you trying to establish by this man's testimony?

MR. KANAREK: That Mr. Manson hasn't dominated anybody.

THE COURT: How can you ever hope to prove that?

MR. KANAREK: Well --

THE COURT: But you can prove -- you can elicit this man's opinion of Mr. Manson's character in that respect,
Mr. Manson's reputation --

MR. KANAREK: I --

THE COURT: -- in that respect. Do you understand that?

MR. KANAREK: I understand. But I understand that when the prosecution puts on witnesses, and -- and your Honor has allowed them to speak about the "Manson Family," a conclusion, without -- your Honor has not limited it to foundation, foundation as to the prosecution's witnesses.

Not once have they been forced to say, "Do you know Mr. Manson's reputation in connection with these matters?"

Your Honor has allowed specifics to come out.

Your Honor has allowed them to use the term "Manson Family,"
which is an amorphous kind of indefinite category.

THE COURT: All right. What do you wish to prove with this man?

MR. KANAREK: Just what I said.

THE COURT: What?

MR. KANAREK: That Mr. Manson doesn't dominate people.

MR. MANZELLA: I would like to state the grounds for

4a-2

my objection. The grounds are that, under Section 1102, only opinion evidence and reputation evidence is admissible to prove the defendant's character to commit the kind of crime with which he is charged.

Under Section 1102, in the last paragraph of the comment -- on Section 1102, the last paragraph of the comment is several pages after the section -- the comment expressly states that specific acts of conduct are not admissible to -- to prove the defendant's character, to show his innocence of the crime charged.

THE COURT: Well, if the evidence is offered by Mr.

Manson to prove his conduct in conforming with such a

character or trait of character, then he can, under 1102,

present such evidence of his character or trait of character,

in the form of opinion or evidence of his reputation.

You understand that, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: I understand that, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then you may proceed to examine in respect to that.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I -- I understand it. I understand.
But I am saying -- what I am saying is that your Honor, in
connection with the prosecution, has allowed broad -- has
allowed specific --

THE COURT: I am interested not in your comments again, which are ample and set forth at length on the record now, about what I have allowed the prosecution to do.

What I am interested in now is whether you wish to proceed with your examination --

4a-3	1	MR. KANAREK: Not if
	2	THE COURT: to show character or
	3	MR. KANAREK: Well, not if I
•	4	THE COURT: Mr. Manson's character or a trait of
	5	character?
₹	6	MR. KANAREK: No, not if
4b fls.	7	THE COURT: Not if you are bound by 1102?
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
,	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
• •	23	
	24	
4,	25	
•	26	
	27 28	
	40	

25

26

27

28

MR. KANAREK: But I think the Court also has been bound by 1102 in its -- when I have objected on foundation, and your Honor has overruled it. Your Honor has allowed the "Manson Family" to be grouped -- to be categorized many, many times: and I have objected, because it's such --THE COURT: Yes. Very well, Mr. Kanarek. Then you don't wish to cross-examine --MR. KANAREK: Not if --THE COURT: Rather, you don't wish to examine him any further? MR. KANAREK: Not with the Court's restrictions. THE COURT: The restrictions I am imposing are the restrictions which are set forth in the Evidence Code. MR. KANAREK: Well, I -- as I say, I -- we differ. THE COURT: All right. That's all. (Whereupon the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:) THE COURT: Is there any cross-examination? MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: You may step down. MR. KANAREK: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Rich. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Call your next witness. MR. KANAREK: Uhh --THE COURT: Call your next witness.

THE COURT: Call your next witness.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we -
(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

26

25

27

 $\widetilde{28}$

Mr. Kanarek, Mr. Manzella, and Sergeant Whiteley.)

MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Whereupon the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, evidently, we don't have a witness to call at this time. I contemplated --

THE COURT: Why not?

MR. KANAREK: Well, uh --

THE COURT: The Court informed you yesterday that --

MR. KANAREK: I understand that, your Honor. But the lady is not here.

THE COURT: Which lady?

MR. KANAREK: Linda Kasabian is not here.

THE COURT: Is this your only remaining witness?

MR. KANAREK: No, it's not my only remaining witness.

THE COURT: All right. The Court informed you that there was a good likelihood that she would not be here, and that you should be ready to proceed with other witnesses.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I know that, your Honor. I understand that.

THE COURT: Well, where are the other witnesses?

MR. KANAREK: Well, I don't have any at this instant.

I can only advert to previous proceedings in this case,
where the prosecution has gotten up and indicated that, and
your Honor has -- has not made anything out of it, and merely
-- and accepted that.

4b-3

And I -- I can only state, the time that we've consumed is very, very small, compared to the time the prosecution has consumed.

Now, if Linda Kasabian was here, we could -- and we subpoensed her, of course.

THE COURT: How much longer do you anticipate your defense will take?

MR. KANAREK: It won't be much longer. It won't be much longer.

THE COURT: Well, what? A week?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, I don't believe so.

THE COURT: A matter of days?

MR. KANAREK: I think it would, yes, your Honor. I think I can make that representation to the Court.

THE COURT: What are your problems in making contact with Linda Kasabian?

MR. MANZELLA: She doesn't have a phone, your Honor. She lives in a rural area, in New England, and we've contacted both the constable of the area in which she lives and the District Attorney's office of the area, and -- in which she lives.

The constable is sending people out there to contact her, and has had a man wait out there for a time, periodically, to contact her.

The District Attorney's office there is -- has sent a man to her mother's home. The mother advises us that the girl, Linda Kasabian, visits her mother on weekends; and we have a representative of the District Attorney's Office of

4b-4

4c fls. 13

whatever county that is at her mother's home, to bring her to the airport as soon as she contacts her mother.

And if the constable doesn't --

THE COURT: Then you have no way of knowing whether she will be here Monday morning?

MR. MANZELLA: Well, I'm pretty sure she will be here Monday morning, because of the fact that she contacts her mother on weekends.

THE COURT: I am -- in view of your statement, I am not as certain that you will have her here as perhaps you are.

So I would tell Mr. Kanarek, once again, to be ready with other witnesses on Monday morning.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, I will, your Honor.

4c-1

21·

THE COURT: I have ordered her here. She may well be in contempt of court by reason of the fact that Mr. Kanarek served her. She was served in a courtroom, and there is some dispute about whether or not the Court was in session, --

MR. KANAREK: No.

THE COURT: -- but the Court believes that even should that court have been in session, that she was still properly served, since there was -- and she has no immunity, since there was no subpoena issued to bring her from New England. She came as a result of a telephone call.

Therefore, not being under subpoena, at the time that she was served by Mr. Kanarek, she should be -- she should be produced, the Court believes, and so orders.

So, do your utmost to have her here on Monday morning.

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We will recess at this time, then, if this is what you wish.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We must recess at this time, until Monday morning, ladies and gentlemen. During the recess, you are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor form or express an opinion on it until it's finally been submitted to you.

But remember, too, the admonition that I have given to you before, concerning publicity about Mr. Manson. You are not to read, hear, see or view anything in connection with Mr. Manson, this trial, or any other trial in which Mr. Manson might be involved.

I hope you all have a pleasant weekend, and that you return well and happy on Monday morning.

I'll see you at 9:30. 9:30.

(Wherupon, at 11:38 a.m., the members of the jury exited the courtroom, whereupon the following proceedings were had at the bench:)

THE COURT: This morning, before I took the bench, I received a telephone call from a probation officer, Mrs. Brown, who was here appearing at one of the recent sessions of the court.

And there was a -- she indicated to me that she knew of the whereabouts of Shorty Shea.

MR. KANAREK: Who did?

THE COURT: Mrs. Brown. So she said that she and another deputy probation officer had gone to 823 ---

MR. KANAREK: 817?

THE COURT: 817 Burlington; and that she had inquired there, and they found some Mexican-American people, but -- who spoke little English; but they had found somebody who spoke English, and said that there was a man living at 823 Burlington who answered the description.

As a result of that, they called me. Miss Brown called me.

28

MR. KANAREK: Uhm-hmm.

THE COURT: And so this morning, I asked the District Attorney's Office to investigate it. And the report came back that they had gone to 823, and that the man did resemble Mr. Shea somewhat, but that he had lived there for 12 years and was a house painter.

Do you have any other information? Did your investigator give you any further information about what Miss Brown had indicated?

MR. MANZELLA: Paul?

Can Sergeant Whiteley tell you directly, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MANZELLA: Would you tell the Judge the information you got on that 823 South Burlington? And the description of the person and the -- his background?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: His name is Alphonso Midway. He is 36 years old. He is a sign painter --

THE COURT: That was the same name that Miss Brown gave me. She indicated that that was his name, but that she believed that he might be Shorty Shea, because he did answer to the description.

She didn't have a picture on her, but she --Go ahead.

MR. WHITELEY: The neighbors say that this man has lived at this location for 12 years continuously.

THE COURT: And what is his occupation?

SERGEANT WHITELEY: He's a sign painter in Beverly Hills.

```
THE COURT: Oh, I see. All right. I thought that
1
     perhaps we should make you aware of what had transpired.
2
           MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. Thank you.
3
                  (Whereupon, at 11:43 a.m., an adjournment was
4
     taken until Monday, October 4, 1971 at 9:30 a.m.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
23
24
25
26
27
28
```