SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. RAYMOND CHOATE, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 106 3 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 5 6 Plaintiff, 7 No. A-267861 Vs. 8 CHARLES MANSON. 9 Defendant. 10 71 12 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 13 Wednesday, November 17, 1971 14 VOLUME 74 15 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: JOSEPH P. BUSCH, JR., District Attorney 19 For the People: ANTHONY MANZELLA Deputy District Attorney 20 For Defendant Manson: IRVING A. KANAREK, Esq. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 MARY LOU BRIANDI, C.S.R. ROGER K. WILLIAMS, C.S.R. 28 Official Reporters

INDEX

EXHIBITS

DEFENDANT'S:	FOR IDENTIFICATION	IN EVIDENCE
LL - Photograph, Exhibi by reference	t 104	10391
MM - Photograph, Exhibi by reference	t 105	10391
NN - Affidavit		10536
00 - Not identified	10537	
PP - Not identified	10537	
QQ - Not identified	10537	

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1971 A 1 10:35 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. The record will show that all the members of the 5 jury and the alternates are present, as are both counsel. And 6 we are ready to proceed. 7. Mr. Williams? R (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at 9 the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the 10 jury:) 11 THE COURT: Is there any point in asking Mr. Manson 12 this morning -- do you wish to ask him? 13 14 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 (Whereupon, a discussion off the record ensued 17 through the screen in the detention room door between 18 Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.) 19 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed, then. 21 You are in the course of reading Mary Brunner's 22 testimony. 23 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, does the record reflect 26 what we just did? I'm not sure it does. 27 THE COURT: Well, the record should show that Mr. Kanarek, 28 at the request of the Court, has asked Mr. Manson whether he

would conduct himself properly in the courtroom and not disturb the proceedings.

Now, we have done that each morning, and the Court has accepted Mr. Manson's statement that he would behave, from time to time. And if he does not make a promise to the Court, through his attorney, that he will behave, then the Court doesn't permit him in the courtroom.

The Court believes that if he were allowed in the courtroom and did comport himself improperly, that it would simply prejudice him in the eyes of the jury.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, specifically, I was wondering if the record reflects that Mr. Kanarek asked Mr. Manson if he could behave properly in the courtroom.

THE COURT: I think that's what you have asked him,

MR. KANAREK: I've asked him, your Honor, if he wishes
to be in the courtroom.

THE COURT: And you've asked him whether or not he -MR. KANAREK: No, I haven't asked him that.

I will be glad to.

THE COURT: Well, each morning here, as you've approached the window -- well, not each morning, but from time to time I've heard you asking whether he would behave himself, and he has said no.

MR. KANAREK: Yeah. Well, I didn't utter those words, those exact words this morning, but I --

THE COURT: Well, you might ask him --

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: -- whether or not he will behave himself.

(Further discussion off the record through the screen in the detention room door between Mr. Kanarek and the defendant.)

MR. KANAREK: I asked Mr. Manson, and he says that he does not represent anything, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Then the Court will seat him in the detention tank, and you may proceed with what you are doing.

Mr. Kanarek.

You can take the witness stand, if you'd like, again.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I wonder if we might approach the bench for a moment? I have a request of the Court.

THE COURT: All right. Do you want it on the record?

MR. KANAREK: It's in connection with the jury -- in

connection with something I want your Honor to state to the

jury.

THE COURT: All right. Very well.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would ask your Honor to state to the jury, in general terms, so that they would get an appreciation for some of these matters that we are putting on, that — that in connection with the penalty phase, that there is — that the defendant — that the — well, let's put it this

AA

24.

way:

That the jury may consider evidence going to innocence of the crimes charged before them, in connection with the penalty phase.

So that there will be some insight, just a preliminary statement to that effect.

THE COURT: Well, I think that --

MR. KANAREK: I think that would --

THE COURT: The Court wouldn't make it at this time. But draw an instruction for me and submit it, and the Court may very well give it.

MR. KANAREK: Yeah. But I mean, rather than do it at the end, it would be of help and assistance in assimilation of this evidence, if your Honor would just make some very brief statement to that effect.

THE COURT: The Court is not going to make any comment at this time. I am sure that the jury is not so dense that they will not see what you are driving at.

If you wish an instruction, draw it, and I'll probably give it.

MR. KANAREK: Well, yes. Right. All right, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before Mr. Kanarek begins, again, we had other business this morning. I'm sorry to keep you waiting, so that we couldn't get started. But we had other business of the court.

Some judges can do their work and get it over with, and there are others who drag it on every morning, and I -- it's just one of those things.

Go ahead, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

I will read beginning at 2679, which I believe I read yesterday, but just for the continuity, your Honor.

THE COURT: Still reading the testimony of Mary

Brunner, given before Judge Keene in Department 107, on the

motion for new trial in the case of People vs. Bobby Beausoleil?

MR. KANAREK: That's correct, your Honor.

"THE COURT: Excuse me just a minute, Miss Brunner. In this case, I have had testimony from you -- and it was received in front of the jury -- that Mr. Beausoleil actually killed Gary Hinman.

"THE WITNESS: That's right.

"THE COURT: I have also had testimony in this case -- and the jury heard the testimony from !

Mr. Beausoleil -- that he did not kill Gary Hinman,

б

^

"but that Charles Manson killed Gary Hinman.

"And Mr. Beausoleil testified to that under oath. You have now signed an affidavit that your testimony given in front of the jury was untrue.

"Now, the only way in which I am going to be able to determine whether or not that testimony that you gave was untrue is to have you testify at this time as to what you now contend is the truth, if in fact in any way your testimony in front of the jury was untrue.

"That is the only way I am going to be able to make that determination. Now, as I have indicated to you, when we started this procedure, as long as you took the witness stand and decided that you were going to testify, that you would have to testify.

"Now, once again, I am instructing you to tell me under oath what transpired in the Gary Hinman house, at the time that you first arrived.

"Start from the beginning and tell me what happened.

"THE WITNESS: If I get into that, I will be incriminating myself.

"THE COURT: I am instructing you to do so. You tell me what happened in the Hinman house at the time that you first arrived.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: No court of law can

L

"instruct ---

"THE COURT: Mr. Beausoleil, your objection is overruled. I want you to keep quiet until I've finished talking to this witness.

"Now, are you going to tell me what happened?"
THE WITNESS: No.

"THE COURT: You refuse to testify?

"THE WITNESS: I refuse to incriminate myself in this manner.

"THE COURT: The Court finds that you are in contempt of this court --"

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, excuse me.

MR. KANAREK: "-- for refusing to obey a direct order."

MR. MANZELLA: I'm sorry, Mr. Kanarek; sorry to interrupt you. But your Honor, again, I think we are interested in the testimony of Mary Brunner, and not the statements made by the Court.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, this goes to her state of mind, so that the jury can get the full context of what the proceedings were; because her statements —

THE COURT: The testimony --

MR. KANAREK: She's testifying; she's responding to the Court, your Honor.

1-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Under the section of the Code in which you are submitting this, her testimony only is what should be read.

MR. KANAREK: Right, and in order to get the feeling of her testimony, you have to read the words that were uttered to her including the words uttered by the Court.

THE COURT: Only if it is a question and answer.

MR. KAMAREK: Well, yes, your Honor, there is a response by her to the -- to the -- uh --

THE COURT: Let me see it.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained to the reading of the latter part -- that is, from 22 on, line 22 on, 2680 and 2681.

You may go on to 2682.

IR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

All right.

"THE COURT: All right, I'll have the record reflect the appearance in court of Mr. Beausoleil, the presence of Mr. Katz and Miss Mary Brunner now on the witness stand.

"Miss Brunner, it has been my advice during the noon hour, an attorney by the name of E mest Grayes, who is now in the courtroom, has been retained to represent you. And I am further advised that you have had an opportunity to confer with him.

"Is that correct?

"THE WITNESS: That's right.

"THE COURT: Do you want Mr. Graves to represent you in this matter?

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: Mr. Graves, do you want to represent this witness?

"MR. GRAVES: Yes.

"THE COURT: All right, then, I will order you in as her attorney in this matter to advise her.

"Now, what I want to do at this time, Miss Brunner, is to advise you as to just what situation you currently find yourself in in the presence of your attorney, Mr. Graves, and I'm going to give you this advice, and then I want you and your attorney to discuss the matter, and then I want you to come back and give this Court your decision as to what you want to do.

"Now, your situation --

"MR. GRAVES: May I interrupt the Court?

"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. GRAVES: The witness appears to be temporarily confused. May I just repeat just a little to be sure she understands?

"THE COURT: Let me conduct the proceedings at this point, Mr. Graves.

"THE WITNESS: Basically, yeah, you re

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"going to tell me the situation right now.

"THE COURT: What your situation is right now.

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: I'm going to take a recess. I want you to talk to your lawyer. After you have talked to your lawyer, then you will be brought down into the courtroom, and then we will proceed one way or the other. You have been offered immunity from the prosecution of murder by the office of the District Attorney, predicated upon your doing three things:

"One, your testimony in front of the Grand Jury honestly and truthfully on behalf of the People. You have concluded that testimony."

THE COURT: You needn't read the Court's statement.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it is -- your Honor, she responds under oath.

Simply read the testimony of Miss Brunner. Get back to that.

THE COURT: Just get back --

MR. KANAREK: I am.

THE COURT: You needn't read the Court's statement.

MR. KANAREK: In order for her statement -- she issues a statement under oath in response to what the Court stated, so it is part of her testimony.

THE COURT: Get back to what Miss Brunner stated.

MR. KANAREK: Her next statement is "Yes." Your

Honor, for the jury to understand --

THE COURT: Get back to her testimony.

28

26

27

MR. KANAREK: Her next testimony is "Yes." It is meaningless --

THE COURT: Go on to where she is asked about -- asked the next question concerning the issue of guilt or innocence of Mr. Beausoleil.

MR. KANAREK: Then, may I approach the bench?

THE COURT: No, you may not. You can read it from where you are.

MR. KANAREK: The Court has not read what the statements are. Because she made a statement on the witness stand under oath in answer to what the Court stated to her, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court goes on for an entire page. You wish to read that?

MR.KANAREK: That is correct, because she made a statement from the witness stand after that, and that is a part of her testimony as much as anything else.

THE COURT; People?

MR. MANZELLA: I have no objection, your Honor.
MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

"THE COURT: I am going to take a recess and
I want you to talk to your lawyer. After you have
talked to your lawyer, then you will be brought down
into the courtroom, and then we'll proceed one way
or the other. You have been offered immunity from
the prosecution of murder by the office of the
District Attorney, predicated upon your doing three
things:

"One, your testimony in front of the

14.

la fla.

...

7

.

"Grand Jury honestly and truthfully on behalf of the People. You have concluded that testimony.

"Next in order to gain immunity from prosecution from murder, you are to testify honestly and truthfully in front of the jury in the Beausoleil matter which you did.

"Now, part of that trial is still in progress, because I considered a motion for a new trial as part of that trial, and you walked into this courtroom and offered yourself as a witness on behalf of the defendant, based upon the affidavit that you filed.

"So the second part of your gaining immunity has not as yet been concluded.

"The third thing you are to do in order to gain immunity is to testify in any future trials that may be brought in reference to the Hinman murder, specifically the two charges or the case that has now been filed against Charles Manson, Bruce Davis and Susan Atkins. You are to testify in that trial as well.

1a-1

1 2

4 5

6

7

8.

10.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

26 27

28

"If you do all of those things truthfully and honestly on behalf of the People you are granted immunity and you cannot be prosecuted for murder.

"You understood that to be the immunity grant; did you not?

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: --"

MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me again, Mr. Kanarek.

At this point, your Honor, there is just statements by the Court in conversation between the Court and counsel. No testimony on the part of the witness.

MR. KANAREK: That this happened --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, again, the Court is going to tell you, read her testimony under 1291, under which you are asking that this be admitted. The Court would admit it. But you are admonished by the Court that you should read her testimony, not the more or less full page statement there of the Court.

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor, this was done in her presence.

THE COURT: Do what I have told you to do.

MR. KANAREK: I understand.

Then, may I approach the bench?

THE COURT: No, you may not.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I'm reading from 2684. I would wish to read line 22 through line 13 at 2687, which includes statements made in the presence of Mary Brunner by the

Court which we allege affects her state of mind and it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, your Honor, it is offered to show that these statements were --

THE COURT: All right, just a minute, Mr. Kanarek. Go ahead with what I have told you to do.

MR. KANAREK: Well -- well, your Honor, then I ask to approach the bench, because after the recess --

THE COURT: You need not do so.

MR. KANAREK: The Court made statements and, again, the witness made a statement in response to the Court and she so on the witness stand under oath.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, do what I have told you.

MR. KANAREK: May I approach the bench?

THE COURT: You may not.

MR. KANAREK: I want to follow the Court's orders.

THE COURT: Follow the Court's orders and step down from the witness stand.

MR. KANAREK: Well, may I have guidance from the Court, then, because I can't do it because her statement is meaning—less without reading — without somebody hearing what the Judge said before she made her statement, your Honor, and she is on the witness stand under oath.

THE COURT: A great deal of this is colloquy between the Court and counsel.

MR. KANAREK: And the witness, your Honor. The witness is on the witness stand under oath, and it goes to her state of mind.

THE COURT: Go on, on line -- on line 18, page 2697.

MR. KANAREK: Well -- well, your Honor, then, your 1 Honor --2 THE COURT: Will you begin? The Court -- the record may show that the Court has skipped what, ten pages? MR. KANAREK: It includes statements by the witness. 5 your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Go shead. 7 But nothing concerning, as the Court has read, R nothing concerning the incident at the Himman house. Get 9 to the next question. 10 MR. KANAREK: Very well, then, your Honor, may I make 11 12 the record? 13 THE COURT: You have made the record and the Court' 14 believes --15 THE DEFENDANT: (Shouting through the screen of the holding tank door.) We re not covering up anything. We just 16 17 want to insure a fair trial here. 18 THE COURT: The Court wants to make it clear. 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yesh, are you upset? Like a bunch of 20 dogs covering up shit. 21 THE COURT: Go shead, Mr. Kanarek. 22 (Whereupon, the bailiff closed the little 23 door of the holding tank door.) 24 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Kuczera. 25 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, 2697, line 18? 26 THE COURT: If that's not plain, I'll write it on the 27 board for you. 28 MR. KANAREK: (Reading.)

"THE COURT: --"

Line 18.

"THE COURT: All right. Suppose, now, then, that you start and you, in your own words, tell me what happened inasfar as your personal knowledge is concerned in the Hinman house --"

Then, your Honor, I would like to approach the bench.

THE COURT: You need not do so. You have made your record.

Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Because this is out of context, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am ordering you to proceed now, if you wish to proceed. Otherwise, get down from the witness stand.

MR. KANAREK: (Reading.)

"THE WITNESS: You've already got that from the last trial.

"THE COURT: I want you to tell me at this time,
Mrs. Brunner, as to just what exactly happened in
that house from the time you first got there until
you left.

"THE WITNESS: We went there Friday night. 1 "THE COURT: Wait a minute, speak into the 2 microphone. "THE WITNESS: We went to the house Friday night. There was a fight. 5 "THE COURT: Who went to the house? б "THE WITNESS: Bobby, Sadie and I. 7 "THE COURT: Bobby, Sadie and you? Я "THE WITNESS: q Yes. "THE COURT: Go shead. 10 "THE WITNESS: Later on Charlie and Bruce 11 12 came and Gary got cut in the cheek. We stayed 13 there a couple of days and Sunday Bobby killed 14 Gary. 15 "THE COURT: Now, tell me what happened back 16 in Wisconsin inasfar as this affidavit that you 17 signed. 18 "THE WITNESS: Bobby got the gas chamber, 19 and that to me is the same -- you're doing the 20 same thing to him as he did to Gary, and you made 21 me a part of the second one, too. 22 "MR. LEAVY: --" 23 May the record reflect that is another District 24 Attorney, Deputy District Attorney in the court along with 25 Mr. Katz? 26 MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me, your Honor, I don't see 27 reading other statements under Section 1291. 28 THE COURT: That is not a statement, however, it is

clear Mr. Leavy, so far as the Court knows, is a Deputy 1 2 District Attorney. MR. MANZELLA: I mean, the comments that Mr. Kanarek 3 is reading from the record. 5 MR. KANAREK: They are not --THE COURT: The comment yourself, Mr. Kanarek -- but б 7 the Court will at this time --R MR. KANAREK: I did not intend --THE COURT: The statement to his knowledge that Mr. 10 Leavy in this case was a Deputy District Attorney represent-11 ing the interest of the People in this case of People vs. 12 Bobby Beausoleil at the motion for new trial -- go shead. 13 MR. KANAREK: I did not intend to -- my statements --14 THE COURT: That's all right, go shead. 15 MR. KANAREK: To indicate that those words were in 16 this transcript, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Move it along. 18 (Reading.) MR. KANAREK: 19 "MR. LEAVY: I didn't hear that last. 20 "MR. GRAVES: -- '...and you made me a part 21 of the second one, too. 22 "THE COURT: Go ahead, so what happened 23 insofar as your filing this affidavit with the 24 Court? 25 "THE WITNESS: So I filed it hoping that 26 Bobby could get a retrial and not get the death 27 penalty. 28 "THE COURT: Are you now telling me that what

"you have told the jury under oath is the truth? 1 "THE WITNESS: Yes. "MR. LEAVY: You mean at the Beausoleil trial? 3 "THE COURT: At the Beausoleil trial? "THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 "THE COURT: Is that correct? 6 "THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 "THE COURT: Are you telling me what you told 8 9 the Grand Jury was the truth? "THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 "THE COURT: And are you now telling me that 11 Bobby stabbed Gary Hinman? Is that the truth? 12 13 "THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 "THE COURT: And who cut Gary Hinman's ear? 15 "MR. GRAVES: May I address a question to the 16 Court? 17 "THE COURT: --" 18 MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me again, Mr. Kanarek. 19 Again, your Honor, my objection is that under 20 Section 1291 we're interested in Mary Brunner's testimony, 21 not statements made by her attorney and other people at 22 this hearing. 23 MR. KANAREK: The purport of 1291 includes the state of mind of the witness, your Honor, and if she has been browbeaten --25 THE COURT: Excuse me, let me see what we are talking 27 about now. 28 MR. KANAREK: It all occurred in open court, your Honor.

3

THE COURT: All right, the Court will permit you to continue to read. The objection is overruled. If that's an objection.

MR. KANAREK: (Reading.)

"MR. GRAVES: I am somewhat unfamiliar with the background of this case, somewhat unfamiliar with the record.

"I do not know whether any of these questions are going beyond the extent of the type of testimony -- the testimony she gave at the Grand Jury and the trial. If they are within the ambit of that I would have no objection, but may I, in the absence of knowledge, preserve any objection to any questioning that might go beyond that scope of our agreement?

"THE COURT: Yes, I would state that she did make the statement to the jury and to the Grand Jury as to how the ear was cut.

"THE WITNESS: By Charlie.

"THE COURT: By Charlie. Do you mean Charlie Manson?

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: Mr. Katz, you may inquire."

"Q BY MR. KATZ:

"Q And with reference to your testimony,
Miss Brunner, before the Grand Jury and in the
Robert Beausoleil trial, was Mrs. -- strike that -was Miss Denise Atkins present at the Hinman house?

"A Yes.

28

"Q And was she present at the time of the killing on Sunday, July 27, 1969?

"MR. GRAVES: To which I am going to object. The time of the killing assumes an expert question and assumes an expert knowledge as to the time of death. And therefore, cannot be answered within the lay person's knowledge.

"And I would object on the ground -- on that ground.

"THE COURT: Do you want to reword the question, Mr. Katz?

"MR. KATZ: Yes.

"BY MR. KATZ:

"Q I believe you told us that Bobby killed Gary Hinman on Sunday, July 27, 1969; is that correct?

"A I don't know about the date.

"All right. It was -- you stayed for two days, and you came on a Friday night; and on Sunday, after dinner, Bobby Beausoleil, as you testified in the Beausoleil trial and before the Grand Jury, stabbed Gary Hinman; is that correct?

"A. Yeah.

"Q Was Susan Denise Atkins there at that time?

"A Yes.

"Q And it -- and it is also true,
pursuant to your testimony both before the Grand

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
_	27
•	28

"Jury and in the Beausoleil trial, that you and Susan Denise Atkins both placed a pillow over Gary Hinman's face before he expired?

"A. Yes.

"Q Is there anything regarding your testimony before the Grand Jury at this time, or before the jury in the Robert Kenneth Beausoleil trial, which you would like to recant because it was not truthful?

"A Not that I recall at this time.

"Q Were you telling us the truth as best you recall in your testimony before the Grand Jury on April 9th and April 14, 1969?

"A. Yeah.

"Q Were you telling us the truth as best you recall in the Robert Kenneth Beausoleil trial, both on direct and cross, and redirect and recross?

"A Yes.

"Q And are you telling us the truth now, when you told Judge Keens that you filed the affidavit which is People's 61 because -- and only because -- you did not want to be a part of a death sentence as a result of your testimony?

"A. That's right.

"Q In other words, because Robert Kenneth Beausoleil was sentenced to death, you feel responsible; is that right?

"A That's right.

"THE COURT: You misspoke yourself. He has not been sentenced to death. The jury returned such a verdict.

"MR. KATZ: Yes. Thank you, your Honor."
"BY MR. KATZ:

"Understanding that --

"MR. GRAVES: May I intrude and have the last question and answer stricken for the purpose of an objection?

"It is quite argumentative in form, and
I think it was recapitulated incorrectly on the part
of the record. May it be restated by counsel?

"THE COURT: Yes, I will strike that answer.

"MR. KATZ: What I am driving at, Miss Brunner, is: After you learned that Mr. Beausoleil had been given a death penalty by a jury, you made up your mind to do something to remove that blight from your own conscience; is that correct?

"A. That's right.

"Q And you contrived the fact that you would execute a false affidavit to secure a new trial for Mr. Beausoleil, in the hopes that he would not receive the death penalty; is that correct? As you have told Judge Keene?

"A. Yeah.

"MR. KATZ: Now, may the record reflect that

I have shown People's 61 to counsel for Miss Brunner?

"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. GRAVES: May the record reflect that this is the first time I have seen the 'affidavit'?

"MR. KATZ: May I approach Miss Brunner?

"THE COURT: You may.

"Miss Brunner, I want to direct your attention to the fourth paragraph, where it says:
'Everything I testified to at the Robert Beausoleil trial and the Grand Jury was not true, and did not happen as so testified.'

"Now, with respect to that particular paragraph, is that the truth? In other words, your claim in this affidavit?

"A What I testified to at the trial was basically the truth.

2a

10.

"Q So this fourth paragraph is false in People's 61; is that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: Just a minute. That's a conclusion. I am going to object on the ground that it is a conclusion that counsel draws, and it is argumentative in form.

"THE COURT: That objection is overruled.

"You may answer that question.

"THE WITNESS: What is it?

"A In substance, Miss Brunner, the fourth affidavit — the fourth paragraph contained in People's 61, of your affidavit, is a false statement; is that correct?

"A You know, I worded that -- I shouldn't have worded it the way I worded it.

"Q Let me ask you this question: You have claimed in the fourth paragraph that, 'Everthing I testified to at the Robert Beausoleil trial and the Grand Jury was not true, and did not happen as so testified.'

"Now, is that statement, as reflected in People's 61, false?

"MR. GRAVES: May I object on the ground that it is compound and calls for an answer that may or may not be -- part of it, at this moment, she may not want to confirm.

"May I suggest that a question that might assist us here is to ask her if there is any

"portion of that particular paragraph she wishes to disavow, rather than asking her the blanket point.

"We get lost in verbiage, otherwise.

"THE COURT: That objection is overruled.

"You may answer that question.

"THE WITNESS: If this paragraph is -- it's both.

"BY MR. KATZ:

"I'm sorry; I don't understand. I don't understand your answer, by 'It's both.' What do you mean by that, Miss Brunner?

"A You know, I am so confused about what happened at the Hinman house, and other people telling me other things happened, and Gary says something and Bobby says something, and for all I know, they could have happened the way he saw them, and it could have happened the way I saw them.

"Q Miss Brunner, you testified in this proceeding just a moment ago that Bobby Beausoleil stabbed Gary Hinman on Sunday, in July; is that correct?

"A. That's right.

"I don't know.

"Q Do you have any doubt in your mind that that happened?

"A. No.

"Q Do you have any doubt in your mind that you and Miss Atkins placed a pillow over Gary Hinman's

"face after he was stabbed? 1 HA. No. 2 .9° Do you have any doubt in your mind that you and Susan Denise Atkins and Robert Kenneth Beausoleil were driven to Gary Hinman's house on 5 Friday night by Bruce Davis? 6 "MR. GRAVES: May I object for a moment? 7 do not know if this is again within the scope of the 8 previous testimony. If it is, I have no objection. 9 "THE COURT: It was. 10 Do you have any doubt about that fact, 11 12 as you've so testified? 13 "A No. 14 And do you have any doubt about the 15 fact, as you previously claimed, that Charles Manson accompanied Bruce Davis to the Gary Hinman house? 16 17 "A. No. 18 Do you have any doubt that Bruce 19 Davis and Charles Manson entered the Hinman's house -entered the Hinman house? 20 21 HA No. 22 Do you have any doubt that Charles uU. 23 Manson cut the face and severed the left ear of 24 Gary Hinman? 25 "A No. 26 Now, Miss Brunner, if I may again no. 27 direct your attention to this paragraph of People's 28 61, where it says, 'Everything I testified to at the

"'Robert Beausoleil trial, and the Grand Jury was not true, and did not happen as so testified. "Insofar as it relates to the answers that ġ you have just given to my questions, isn't it a fact that this paragraph is false? 10.

2b

1 2

16.

"MR. GRAVES: Just a moment. I'll object to that. It calls for a conclusion to be drawn from the previous testimony, and it's argumentative in form.

"THE COURT: I don't think so.

"MR. GRAVES: He is asking for the witness' conclusion, in addition, if it please the Court.

"THE COURT: I will overrule the objection.
You may answer that question.

"THE WITNESS: What -- how did you phrase that question? Is it true or false? What am I answering to?

"Q In other words, insofar as the answers you have just given to me to the specific questions I directed you concerning the participation of Susan Denise Atkins, Bruce Davis and Charles Manson and Robert Kenneth Beausoleil, is Paragraph 4 false?

"A Yes.

"Q Miss Brunner, did you consent to your mother taking custody of your baby as a foster parent?

MR. GRAVES: Just a moment. I am going to object to that, also, as to whether it's -- " ' I'm sorry.

"MR. GRAVES: Just a moment. I am 'going to object to that, also, whether it is within the terms of the agreement. I do not know, again, if this is collateral or not.

	1	
	ì	"THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the
	2	objection to that question.
	3 .	"Wait a minute. I'm going to reverse my
	4	ruling on that question. You may answer the
	5	question.
	6	"MR. GRAVES: May the Court please, may I be
	7	heard further?
	8	"THE COURT: Yes.
	9	"MR. GRAVES: I can't conceive of any grounds
	10	under which that particular question was relevant
	11	at the proceedings of the Grand Jury or the trial.
	12	"THE COURT: In light of her testimony here
	13	this morning, Mr. Graves, on questioning by Mr.
	14	Béausoleil, it is relevant; and your objection is
i.	15	overruled.
ì	16	"A. You may answer that question.
	17	"MR. GRAVES: May I preserve an objection?
	18	"I know I do not need to, but may I anyway?
	19	"THE COURT: Yes.
	20	"Q Miss Brunner, let me repeat it.
	21	"Simply, isn't it a fact that you did
	.22	consent to and desire your mother and parents to
	23	take custody as foster parents of your child?
	24	"A Did I desire that? No.
	25	"Q Did you consent to your mother taking
	26	custody of your baby?
	27	"A It was that or McClaran's Hall.
	28	"Q So, in otherwords, with respect to

1	"those two choices, you did consent to your
2	mother taking custody of the child, is that
3	correct?
4	"A. That's correct.
5	"Q. Is she taking good care of the child?
6	"A It depends on how you look at it.
7	"Q You say it depends on depends upon
.8	how you look at it. With reference to the amount
9	of food and clothing she has, do you regard that as
10	sufficient? I mean, the child has?"
11	Dash "I mean, the child has.
12	"MR. GRAVES: May I object? It seems to me
13	that we're off on collateral
14	"THE COURT: I agree. At this point, I am
15	going to sustain the objection.
16	"Q BY MR. KATZ: Miss Brunner, is there
17	anything else that you can think of now that you
18	told us at the Grand Jury or told us at the Robert
19	Kenneth Beausoleil trial which is false?
20	"A I can't even remember what I said any
21	more.
22	"Q Can you think of anything?
23	TA. No.
24	"Q You understood my previous question?
25	"MR. GRAVES: Just a moment. I will object
26 .	to that as argumentative in form and an improper
27	question.
28	"THE COURT: That objection is overruled.
	·

2c

"Do you understand that question?
"THE WITNESS: What was the question?
"BY MR. KATZ:

"Q In other words, what I am saying is, as you sit here now, can you think of anything which you testified to before the Grand Jury on April 9th and April 14th of 1970, and at the Robert Kenneth Beausoleil trial, which you alleged was true, which you now admit to being false?

"A. At this moment, I can't think of any-thing.

"Q Miss Brunner, the last question I want to ask you, now, is pursuant to the understanding you now have with the Office of the District Attorney of Los Angeles County and the Court has, the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, will you make yourself available for all future proceedings concerning the killing of Gary Hinman, insofar as it concerns prosecution against all persons who may be charged or who are now charged with the crime of killing Gary Hinman?

"A. You keep adding people to that all the time.

2c

1 2

-3`

4

5.

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

.

24

25

26 27

28

your Honor?
"It seems to me that, in fact,

"It seems to me that, in fact, the question by its terms is asking her to swear new to a commitment beyond the actual terms of the conditional immunity.

"MR. GRAVES: May I object to that question,

"Secondly, it seems to me that the form of readiness and availability that she should hold herself out to is the same form of readiness and availability that she had when she was in Madison, Wisconsin before.

"THE COURT: The objection to that question is sustained.

"Anything further, Mr. Katz?

"MR. KATZ: Nothing further.

"THE COURT: Mr. Beausoleil, do you have any questions that you want to ask the witness?

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Yes, I do."

Questioning by Mr. Beausoleil:

"Q Mary, back on December 4th --

"A. Yes.

"Q -- what you said when you testified about how the investigating officers obtained a statement from you, was that true?

"A Yeah, that was true.

"Q They used all those things against you, and told you those things and lies and implied threats about your child and different things like

"that; is that correct? 1 "A That's correct. 2 "O. And approximately a half an hour 3 ago, you had a conversation, a rather lengthy 4 conversation -- in fact, you had a broken 5 conversation -- with your new attorney, Mr. Graves; 6 isn't that correct? 7 "A Yes. Ω^{11} And he told you that -- that your 9 previous statements in front of the Grand Jury, 10 on December 4th, and in my trial would be enough 11 to convict you of murder, didn't he? 12 "MR. GRAVES: I object to that. First, I 13 think she should answer it. 14 "Did you hear what his question was? Can 15 you answer it? 16 17 "THE WITNESS: That my previous statements are enough to --18 BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Let me rephrase it. 19 I'll withdraw that question and ask another one. 20 21 "On December 4th, when the police officers. 22 you know, were interviewing you, there was an awful lot of fear involved there, wasn't there? 23 24 MA. Yés. 25 u Or You were very scared? 26 "A Yes. 27 And at this time, now that the Court 28 has put its pressure on you, and now that the

"District Attorney's Office has put its

pressure on you, and all of Los Angeles -- and

probably otherwise -- reporters from around the

area are all here, the courtroom is jam-packed,

you have a lot of pressure on you, are those fears

rekindled?

"MR. LEAVY: I object to the use of the word 'pressure.' I don't think the District Attorney or the Court --

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Well, I'm sure there is a certain amount of emotional pressure --

"THE COURT: The objection to that question is sustained.

"Q BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: In view of the fact that you have been -- the Court has repeatedly told you your consequences, I'm sure your attorney has told you your consequences; is that correct?

"A. That's correct.

"The consequences of continuing on with your testimony this morning --

"Q The consequences of continuing on with your testimony this morning?

"A That's right.

"And do you feel quite a bit of emotional strain from that, and the fact that there are a number of reporters here, and that the courtroom is now jam-packed?

"Do you feel somewhat of an emotional strain

	ī	
	1	"right now?
	2	"A You know I do.
2d	3	
¥	4	
á. •	5 ::	
*	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
• •	n ·	
•	12	
	13	
. .	14	
⋑ = 3 *}	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20 21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
ni Š	25	
	26	
~ `	27	
	28	·

2d-1	1	
	2	
	3	
*	4	
\$. ! :	5	
:	6	
	7	
	8	
	ģ	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
<u>.</u>	14	
î.	15	
** **	16	sorr
	17	
(-	18	goin
	19	obje
	20	that
	21 .	
	22	wise
•	23	, *-
*	24	read
** : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	25 '	,
	26	
<u> </u>	27	

"Q And would it be true to say -- would it be correct to say that those fears that you experienced on December 4th at the Holiday Inn Restaurant in Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, are rekindled?

"A. Basically, that is correct.

"Q In other words, it could be inferred from that that the reason you are testifying -Mary, look at me, please -- the reason you are testifying at this time and saying what you are saying at this time could possibly and very well be because of the same fear; isn't that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: May I object to that question on the ground --"

MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me, Mr. Kanarek. I'm sorry to interrupt you again.

Your Honor, it was my understanding we were going to read the testimony of Mary Brunner and not read objections and argument and -- argument and objections and that sort of thing.

MR. KANAREK: Well, I thought the Court decided otherwise, in view of the last colloquy with your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the Court will permit you to go on reading.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: I'll withdraw the objection.

MR. KANAREK: "Mr. Graves: May I object to that question on the ground he says it may very well be,

_

"and it is a matter of general possibility that anyone can draw inference from previous statements and --

"THE COURT: I agree with you. I will sustain the objection to that question.

"Mr. Beausoleil, to give you some advice, since you're acting as your own attorney, and since you're asking this Court to grant you a new trial in this matter, might I suggest that you direct some of your inquiry to this witness as to what occurred in the Hinman house on the night and days in question, if you have any questions?

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Well, I don't think I could get the truth if I did ask her.

"THE COURT: I'm giving you a suggestion, insofar as any inquiry that you think -- that, within your judgment, acting as your own attorney -- would assist the Court."

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, so far as possible, simply read her testimony. But do leave out any portion of it which, in your judgment, is not her testimony. And indicate for the record what you are leaving out.

But colloquy between Beausoleil and the Court, the Court does not believe that -- would not believe would be part of what you should read.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, the Court is changing its order to me?

THE COURT: I am not changing any order. The Court's

has always been the same: that you were to read the testimony 1 2 of Mary Brunner. MR. KANAREK: Well, I understood previously that your Honor --5 THE COURT: The Court was not ordering you to do that. 6 This is what you --7 MR. KANAREK: Well, may I say, the Court previously 8 indicated a ---9 THE COURT: Well, it seems to me a very clear direction. 10 Go ahead. 11 MR. KANAREK: Well, of course, I want to follow the 12 orders of the Court. But my impression was that your Honor 13 indicated I could read everything, the last colloguy we had. 14 THE COURT: I am sure you do. I don't have that copy 15 before me, and the Court is relying upon you to read the 16 testimony of the witness, Mary Brunner, on the motion for a 17 new trial, in the case of People versus Beausoleil. 18 Go ahead. 19 MR. KANAREK: Okay. I'll -- this is: 20 "BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: 21 "Okay. Okay. I'll ask one question and 22 hope that I do get the truth. 23 "You testified that -- in my trial, previously 24 in April, that you saw me standing over Gary's body, 25 but you didn't actually see me stab Gary, but you 26 saw me standing over Gary's body with a knife. 27 "Was that statement correct or incorrect? 28 "A That I saw you standing over -- it's

2e

"like one of those questions, Bobby, that the D.A. feeds me, you know; and it comes out -- well, it could have happened this way, you know. But I can't say that it did happen that way.

"Right now, what happened at Gary's house, you know, like -- well -- did I actually see you standing over him? I can't recall right now. I can't picture it right now."

Was that last question -- did that put 2e-1 1 you under a lot of stress, and were you on the 2 verge of tears? 3 No more so than it's been all day." WA. Well, your Honor, I would like to read this matter 5 which is not questions and answers in connection with her 6 state of mind, because what --7 THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek --R MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor read it over? I'm 9 referring to 2716. 10 THE COURT: -- what you are referring to -- the order 11 seems to be perfectly clear. 12 MR. KANAREK: In my view, your Honor, the Code provides 13 14 for the state of mind. 15 THE COURT: Just a minute, please. (Pause in the proceedings while the Court 16 perused the transcript.) 17 18 THE COURT: The Court will say that the portion on 19 2716, the colloquy between the Court and Mr. Beausoleil, 20 should be eliminated. MR. KANAREK: Very well, then. It's my belief, and I 22 đợ ---23 THE COURT: I do believe, yes; but you believe other-24 wise. 25 MR. KANAREK: I believe the Code provides for the 26 reading, and it's -- and the state of mind of the witness is 27 in issue in connection with her testimony, your Honor. 28 That's my -- and I would like to make argument to

2e-2 the Court on that. THE COURT: I think you have made that abundantly 2 clear; and you have argued it from where you are. 3 MR. KANAREK: (Reading.) Q¹¹ Well, do you know what you testified to? 5 ijΑ Yeah. б Would you, in the state of mind that you 7 are in now and the state of mind that you were in Я on December 4th, prefer -- " 9 Well, that was -- page 2718 -- although it's my 10 request that all of this be read -- at line 18: 11 "BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Would you prefer to lose 12 all of your friends, or whatever, lost contact with 13 your friends rather than lose contact with your 14 child? 15 "THE WITNESS: -- after colloquy, between 16 17 Mr. Katz and the Court --"THE WITNESS: Yeah." 18 19 At line 26. And then "No." There's a "No" at the top of 20 3 fls. 21 2719, which appears to be part of the answer. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	"Q Does losing your friends did you
2	understand
3	"A Say that one again, Bobby.
4	"Q The last question?
5	"A Yes.
6	"Q Would you prefer the loss of contact
7	from your friends, you know, in other words
8	in other words, you'd have to find, say, new
9	friends, you know.
10	"A Yesh.
n	"Q Like, in other words, you'd have to
12	start sort of a new world rather than let me
13	rephrase the question again, I'll ask the same
14	question.
15	"Would you prefer the threat of
16	your friends, your home, and the like, over the
17	threat of having your child taken away from you?
18	"A Bobby, you know
19	"THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't understand you.
20	"BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL:
21	"Q I know how you feel about him and
22	"THE COURT: What was your answer? You know
23	how I feel about that?
24	"THE WITNESS: He knows how I feel about my
25	son.
26	"THE COURT: Your answer is, He knows how I
27	feel about my son.
28	"THE WITNESS: Yes."
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

MR. KANAREK: 2720, line 18. 3-2 ĩ (Reading.) 2 3 UII. I know that -- how you feel about your child. What I want to know is -- " That wasn't allowed to be answered. 5 6 2721: 7 QII Would you like to save your child? 8 Would you please tell me the truth?" 9 That's a question by Mr. Beausoleil. 10 After colloquy: 11 "THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 "THE COURT: What is your enswer to that question?" 13 MR. MANZELLA: Excuse me. Mr. Kanarek. 14 MR. KANAREK: Oh, I'm sorry. 15 MR. MANZELLA: You missed a question in there. 16 MR. KANAREK: That's correct. I think I'd have to --17 well, that question is out of context, your Honor, 18 THE COURT: Well, read it all so it is in context. 19 MR. KANAREK: All right, very well. Thank you. 20 Thank you, Mr. Manzella. 21 D^{ff} Would you like to save your child? 22 Would you please tell me the truth? 23 MR. KATZ: Your Honor, I am going to object 24 to the form of the question on the ground that it 25 is too ambiguous and broad. Under what circumstances? 26 "THE COURT: I will overrule the objection, you 27 may answer that question. 28 "MR. LEAVY: May I make an objection, your Honor?

		·
3-3	1	"THE COURT: Make it through Mr. Katz so we
	2	just have a limited number of participants to this
	3	hearing.
g .	4	"Do you recall the question?
4	5	"THE WITNESS: Yes.
ė	6	"THE COURT: What is your enswer to that question?
	7	"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: May I ask the question again,
	8	your Honor?
	9	"THE COURT: What is your answer to that question,
	10	Miss Brunner?
	11	"THE WITNESS: It's a hard question to enswer.
	12	"THE COURT: Ask your next question.
	13	"Q That isn't an answer.
•	14	"A What did you say?
•	15	"Q That isn't an answer.
¥É Æ	16	"A You're right, it isn't an answer.
	17	"Q Would you give me the answer to the
	18	question?
	19	"Mary, look at me.
	20	"Would you give me the answer to the
	21	question and give me the truth.
	22	"You know the truth and you know that
4	23	I know the truth.
*	24	"A Bobby, you know I'd do anything.
è	25	"Q Anything for your child?
	26	"A Uh-huh.
Ŏ	27	"Q Including lying for him; ism't that
	28	correct?

3-4	1	"Q Is your answer yes? .
	2	"A Yes."
	3	Then, Mr. Beausoleil says:
*	4	"I have no further questions."
* **	5	Then, Mr. Beausoleil asks further asks
ė	6	further questions after colloquy with the Court.
	7	"Q Mary, I am going to ask you just one
	8	last question and on the last question
	9	"A Yeah.
	10	"Q I want the truth.
	11	"Did I kill Gary Himman? And please look
	12	at me when you answer me."
	13	Well, that was objected to.
	14	The Court then asks the question:
•	15	"Did he stab Gary Himman?"
.	16	And the Court asks again over objection of
	17	"THE COURT: Mr. Beausoleil, your objection is
	18 [.]	overruled.
	19	"Did he stab Mr. Hinman?
	20	"THE WITNESS: Yeah.
	21	"THE COURT: Ask your next question.
	22	"MR. IEAVY: By 'he, ' you referred to Beausoleil,
•	23	did you not, your Honor?
,≉	24	"THE COURT: Yes.
\$	25	"Q BY MR. BEAUSOLELL: Mary, according to
	26	your testimony in trial in April you said on the stand,
	27	sworn testimony, that you did not see me kill that
	28	you did not see me stab Gary Himman; isn't that

3-5	1	"correct?
	2	"A That's right.
	3	"Q Then, how could that last answer that you
±.	4	gave to the question have
	5	"A It was an assumption on my part.
•	6	"Q It was an assumption on your part?
	7 .	"THE COURT; Based upon what?
	8	"THE WITNESS: Based upon who was there at the
3a fls.	9	time.
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	
-	16	
	17 18	
	19	·
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
ż	24	
* *-	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	· . 1

3

5

6

7

26

27

28

"THE COURT: Any further questions of this witness?

> "MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Yes, your Honor.

"Q Did you see Charles Manson slice Gary on the ear?

> ļΑ No.

^{II}Q. I see. But you testified earlier today that -- that Charlie sliced him on the ear. And how could you -- how could you answer that question honestly, the question that was asked by Mr. Katz -or the answer that you gave, anyway, saying that Charlie did slice Gary on the ear? How could you answer that if you didn't see Charlie slice Gary on the ear?

It's the question, Bobby, like "THE WITNESS: your last one about the stabbing.

In other words, if Guenther, I'm speaking ijQ. of Officer Guenther, Sheriff's Department, if he hadn't gotten emotional with you, that probably wouldn't have come out; isn't that correct?

Probably I wouldn't have bothered to say Αff it and I wouldn't have said anything about it.

IIQ. You are testifying now so that you can go back to Wisconsin, so that you can obtain custody of your child and so that you will not be charged with the murder of Gary Hinman, so that you will not be charged with perjury, and so your violation of probation, or so that you won't -- so that you

"will not be violated on probation. isn't that 3a-2 1 correct? 2 II V Those are my reasons. 3 "THE COURT: Anything further? "MR. BEAUSOLETL: Yes. And I could draw from that -- well, there are lots of things people could draw. "That is your desire -- what your enswer was -- is your desire; isn't that correct?" 9 Then, objection by Mr. Graves. 10 "MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Okay. 11 ហ It can be inferred that it is your 13 desire based on --"MR. GRAVES: I am going to object to that as 14 15 a statement and not a question. 16 "THE COURT: Wait a minute, wait a minute. 17 reporter is trying to write down what is being said. 18 The objection is overruled. 10 "Ask your next question. 20 "MR. IEAVY: You mean overruled or sustained? You said 'overruled.' 21 22 "THE COURT: I don't think -- I didn't hear 23 the objection. I don't think the reporter heard 24 it, when three people are talking at one time. 25 "MR. LEAVY: Very well. 26 In regards to this desire to be able 27 to go back and obtain custody of your child and 28 to go back to Wisconsin and to avoid the charges of 3a - 3

**

"murder, perjury, violation of probation, being incarcerated for a very long time, and especially to avoid not having custody of your child, is -
"Pardon me. I'll rephrase that.

"I'm sorry, your Honor, but this is very difficult for me -- for a lot of people.

"Because of the fact that you have a desire to go back to Wisconsin, and you have a desire to not be charged with the murder of Gary Hinman, and to be incarcerated for a long time, and because of the fact that you would like custody of your child and — that you would do anything, as you so testified, almost anything, or anything, to keep custody of the child, it would cause you to say just about anything; isn't that correct?

"A It would cause me to testify.

"Q Yes, it would."

Objection by Mr. Katz. Overruled. The answer will stand.

The next question was sustained, the objection was sustained.

"Q BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: In April of this year, when before you testified in this trial before you testified in front of the Grand Jury, was there any mention of your child by any of the prosecuting officers of any capacity?

"A Yeah.

	1	"Q And would you tell the Court what kind
•	2	of things they said about it, about your child,
	3	what they referred to when they spoke to you or
	4	"A I was told I'd have no trouble
\$	5	regaining custody when I finished testifying.
•	6	"Q How many times did they tell you that?
	7	"A How many times?
	8	"Q Um-hum.
	9	"THE WITNESS: How many times. Like I stated
	10	it just now, I mean, like what I said just now, that
	11	I can get custody of him as soon as I finish testi-
	12	fying, that was put it was just put bluntly like
3b f	•	that just once.
	14 15	
• •	15	; <i>t</i>
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
, ,	23	
ķ	24	
\$. \$	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

		"Q Just once?
3b-1	1	
	2	"A Yeah.
	3	"Q Were there ever any other statements
ā	4	concerning your child by either the prosecuting
*	5	officers or investigating officers in and around
₹	6	this case of the murder of Gary Hinman?
	7	"A I was told another time after I
	8	finished testifying at your trial and at the Grand
	9.	Jury that if I gave Girl Scout honor and all that
	10	business that I'll come back and testify against
	11	Charlie and Sadie and Bruce, and that if I wanted
	12	to move from where I was and go to some place,
	13	preferably, I suppose, that the Family didn't know
	14	about, that I'd be able to get custody right then.
\$	15	ag In your conferences or discussions that
新	16	you had with your attorney, Mr. Graves, he told you
	17	the consequences, did he not
	18	"A That's right.
	19	"Q of your not testifying for the
	20	prosecution; is that correct?"
	21	After colloquy between Mr. Graves and the Court:
	22	"Q Could you answer the question, please?
	23	"A Was the question that he and I dis-
₹	24	cussed?
Ť, ća	25	"Q The consequences of your not testifying
4	26	"A the consequences of testifying?
	27	"Q for the prosecution?
; 	28	"A Yes, we did.
		The state of the

1	"Q And did that conference help to
2	rekindle the original fears that you had in
3	December of 1969?
4	"A. Yeah.
5	"Q And there was also some type of
б	an understanding made, was there not? "A Understanding?
7	"A Understanding?
8	"Q. Um~hmm.
9	"A The understanding was yeah, I
10	guess there was a type of an understanding.
11	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, a question here is sustained,
12	and then the Judge overrules it and allows it. I wonder if
13	I could read all of it?
14	THE COURT: Yes, you may read all of it, if that's the
15	case.
16	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, thank you.
17	I'll go back to just further get the context.
18	¹¹ Q And there was also some type of
19	an understanding made, was there not?
20	"A. Understanding?
21	"Q Um—hmm,
22	"A The understanding was yeah, I guess
23	there was a type of an understanding.
24	"Q There was sort of a deal made, wasn't
25	there?
26	"MR. KATZ: I'll object, your Honor, as a
27	conclusion that there was a deal made.
28	"THE COURT: That objection is sustained

"Q BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Was there a deal made or --

"MR. KATZ: Same objection.

"Q BY MR. BEAUSOLEIL: -- or a certain kind of an understanding as far as a type of deal between your attorney, myself, and you?

"MR. KATZ: Same objection, your Honor.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: I have rephrased the question. I believe it's a very proper question.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the objection in light of its amplification.

"What is your answer to that question?

"THE WITNESS: The same old immunity deal.

Is that -- well, your know --"

Uh, your Honor, then may I approach the bench on a point which is not a matter of testimony, but I think that in the context, again, on state of mind, I think --

THE COURT: Are you referring to something?

MR. KANAREK: Yeah, that's here, yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, we'll take a short break, ten minutes, if you would, and we'll resume in ten minutes and continue the reading of this testimony.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: During the recess you are admonished not to converse amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with the matter, nor form or express any opinion on it until it is finally submitted to you. Ten minutes.

(Recess.)

Ģ

MR. KANAREK: Are we still off the record, your Honor? THE COURT: All right, this may be off the record.

(Whereupon, a discussion ensued off the record among the Court and counsel.)

(The following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, your Honor has kindly allowed me to present this -- this point again to the Court, indicating so off the record.

And the point is that if we read to the jury everything that occurs in the presence of Mary Brunner -- and, as I say, some of it is certainly not favorable to our position -- but if we let it all -- if we read it all, it's my belief that -- that due process requires it, and --

THE COURT: You are talking about from where to where?

MR. KANAREK: Because it affects -- I'm talking about

from this point, where the Court -- right here, where your

Honor -- where the Court says, "I find that you are in contempt

of this Court by refusing to obey a direct order."

And then from that point on, your Honor eliminated that page, which is 2680, and 2681; and then after the recess, your Honor eliminated a certain portion, after I got down -- I think it was at the bottom of 2683.

THE COURT: So where do you want to read from?

MR. KANAREK: I just want to read it all, up to the

point of where your Honor allowed me -- where your Honor drew
the line, which is -- which is at 2697. And then, let it all

27.

come in.

And then, the jury can assess the state of mind.

It's my belief the Fourteenth Amendment and due process, as well as the Code, requires that.

Because the circumstances of her utterances are in the context of having just heard all of this colloquy and conferring with her lawyer.

And in statements of the Court, as I say -- as I say, much of that is not --

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, my objection to -- my primary objection to it is that it's too time-consuming.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, that can be done -- I can do that in ten minutes.

MR. MANZELLA: No. The point is that --

THE COURT: That was the Court's position. And it was covered fairly well by Mr. Beausoleil in his questions to Miss Brunner.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, that does not show what the

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor?

MR. KANAREK: -- the prestige and power of the Court.

MR. MANZELLA: My other point is that these other witnesses are not — these other people, whose statements are reflected in the transcript, are not shown to be unavailable as witnesses.

MR. KANAREK: All right. I'll call -- all right. I'll call Mr. Leavy and call the Court, and ask them if they so testified.

But I -- that's the real waste of time. 1 MR. MANZELLA: And thirdly, I don't think this is. 2 relevant. 3 MR. KANAREK: It's relevant to her state of mind, your Honor. It shows -- it shows --5 THE COURT: All right. I'll let you read down to the 6 line, then. I'll reverse my ruling. 7 MR. KANAREK: Thank you. R THE COURT: Speed it up. See if you can be through by 9 12:00. 10 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in 11 open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:) 12 THE COURT: Counsel has again requested that he have 13 the right to read the entire proceedings before the Court, 14 including the statements by other counsel, in that motion for a 15 new trial -- and statements by the Court, statements by 16 Miss Brunner's attorney, by Mr. Beausoleil, the Court. 17 The Court's going to grant that motion. 18 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor indicated beginning at 2680, 19 through 2697, Line 17? Is that correct, your Honor? 20 I believe that's --21 THE COURT: I don't know. 22 MR. KANAREK: I believe that's --23 THE COURT: Let's see. 24 · (Pause in the proceedings while the Court 25 perused the transcript.) 26 MR. KANAREK: Is that correct? 27 THE COURT: Yes. 28

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. 1 THE COURT: Do that very quickly. MR. KANAREK: I will, your Honor. "THE COURT: Mr. Beausoleil, your objection is overruled. I want you to keep quiet until I finish talking to this witness. 6 "Now, are you going to tell me what 8 happened? "THE WITNESS: No. 10 "THE COURT: You refuse to testify? "THE WITNESS: I refuse to incriminate myself 11 12 in this matter. 13 "THE COURT: I find that you are in contempt 14 of this court by refusing to obey a direct order. 15 16 17 18 19 25 26 27 28

4a-1

2

3

5.

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

ļ9

20 21

22

23

25

26

27

28

"I order that you be remanded to the custody of the Sheriff and retained by the Sheriff until such time as you are able to purge yourself of this contempt.

"Insofar as that order is concerned, you are remanded to the custody of the Sheriff.

"This matter is now in recess until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon.

"I will order the Sheriff to bring you back into this court at that time.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Your Honor --

"THE COURT: Court is in recess.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Could the Court make an order that no one be allowed to speak to this witness?

"THE COURT: Mr. Beausoleil, court is in recess until 2:00 o'clock this afternoon.

"(Noon recess was taken.)"

At 2:35 o'clock p.m., Friday, June 12, 1970.

"THE COURT: All right. I'll have the record reflect the appearance in court of Mr. Beausoleil, the presence of Mr. Katz, and Miss Mary Brunner now on the witness stand.

"Miss Brunner, it has been my advice that during the noon hour an attorney by the name of Ernest Graves, who is now here in the courtroom, has been retained to represent you; and I am further advised that you have had an opportunity to

"confer with him; is that correct?

"THE WITNESS: That's right.

"THE COURT: Do you want Mr. Graves to represent you in this matter?

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: Mr. Graves, do you want to represent this witness?

"MR. GRAVES: Yes.

"THE COURT: All right. Then, I will order you in as her attorney in this matter to advise her.

"Now, what I want to do at this time,
Miss Brunner, is to advise you as to just what
situation you currently find yourself in, in the
presence of your attorney, Mr. Graves; and I am
going to give you this advice and then I want you
and your attorney to discuss the matter, and then I
want you to come back and give to this Court your
decision as to what you want to do.

"Now, your situation --

"MR. GRAVES: May I interrupt the Court?
"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. GRAVES: The witness appears to be temporarily confused. May I repeat just a little to be sure she understands?

"THE COURT: Let me conduct the proceedings at this point, Mr. Graves.

"Do you understand what I told you?

"THE WITNESS: Basically, yeah. You're going to tell me about the situation right now.

"THE COURT: What your situation is right now."
"THE WITNESS: Yes.

"THE COURT: I am going to take a recess, and I want you to talk to your lawyer. After you have talked to your lawyer, then you will be brought down into the courtroom and then we will proceed one way or the other.

"You have been offered immunity from the prosecution of murder by the Office of the District Attorney, predicated upon your doing three things:

"One, your testimony in front of the Grand Jury, honestly and truthfully, on behalf of the Feople.

"You have concluded that testimony.

"Next, in order to gain immunity from prosecution for murder, you were to testify honestly and truthfully in front of the jury in the Beausoleil matter, which you did.

"Now, part of that trial is still in progress, because I consider a motion for a new trial as part of that trial, and you walked into this court and offered yourself as a witness on behalf of the defendant, based upon the affidavit that you filed, so the second part of your gaining immunity has not as yet been concluded.

"The third thing that you are to do in order to gain immunity is to testify in any future trials

4b

"that may be brought in reference to the Hinman murder; specifically, the two charges -- or, the case that has now been filed against Charles Manson, Bruce Davis, and Susan Atkins. You're to testify in that trial as well.

"If you do all of those things truthfully and honestly on behalf of the People, you are granted immunity and you cannot be prosecuted for murder.

"You understood that to be the immunity grant, did you not?

"THE WITNESS: Yes.

44-1

"THE COURT: All right. Now, at this point, you have not, in the Court's opinion -- and I believe in the opinion of the District Attorney's office -- lived up to the second part of that agreement. That is, testifying in the Beausoleil matter.

"Now, if after you talk to your attorney, you decide that you want to stand on the Fifth Amendment, as you told me this morning, and that you do not want to testify as to what happened on behalf of the People in the Hinman house, at the time that Gary Hinman met his death, then you will not be granted immunity, and you are subject to immediate arrest for murder for the death of Gary Hinman.

"Now, if after you talk to your attorney, and after you obtain the advice that he will give you, you decide that you will come back down into this court again and testify in this matter as to what happened in the Himman house at the time that Gary Himman met his murder -- met his death, honestly and truthfully on behalf of the People, you're back on the immunity track and you will not be arrested. You will not, at this point, be charged with murder.

"You will have then concluded your second step of your agreement with the District

45-2

"Attorney's office.

"The only other thing that you have to do is testify in any future trial or trials that may come up involving the other defendants.

"So the choice is yours, and I went you to take a brief recess. Take the time that's necessary. I will put you in a room so that you can talk to your attorney, Mr. Graves, and he can advise you as to the situation as I have explained it to you; and after you have had a chance to confer with the attorney, you come back down to this court and tell me what you want to do.

"In the event that you decide that you are not going to testify, then I am going to take the position that your contempt citation this morning will be purged, we will strike your testimony offered here in this proceeding, and then you will undoubtedly -- I have been advised -- be arrested by the District Attorney's office and charged with murder.

"Now, this is your choice. You weigh it carefully, and you decide what you want to do after you talk with Mr. Graves.

"All right. You and Mr. Graves can now go up to the jury room, and the bailiff will let you talk privately.

"MR. GRAVES: May I make an inquiry of the Court?

4b-3

"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. GRAVES: First, do I understand that if she comes back and testifies on behalf of the prosecution in these proceedings, that she is then free to return to Wisconsin until such time as she is required to make herself available?

"THE COURT: That is my understanding.

"MR. GRAVES: Do I also understand that there has been filed a Complaint for murder waiting -- for which he could be arrested, now on file?

"THE COURT: That is my understanding.

"MR. GRAVES: Do I also understand, finally, that as of now you have purged her of the contempt citation of this morning, and have stricken her testimony, and the decision is open de novo when she comes back into court?

"THE COURT: At this point I haven't taken those actions, but I intend to do so after you have had a chance to talk to her.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: May I inquire --

"THE COURT: No, there is no need for you to inquire at this point.

"Court is still in session.

"Mr. Graves, you go and discuss this with your client.

"(A recess was taken.)

"THE COURT: All right. In this case of

"People vs. Beausoleil, I'll again have the record reflect the appearance of the defendant, the presence of Mr. Katz, Miss Brunner again on the witness stand, and the presence here in the courtroom of her attorney, Mr. Graves.

"It s my understanding, Mr. Graves, that you have now had an opportunity to confer with Miss Brunner, and you have given her your advice; is that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: That is correct, your Honor.

4c-1

1 2

_

"THE COURT: And so the record is also clear, at the request of Miss Brunner, you have had an opportunity to talk to Mr. Beausoleil; is that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: That is correct. I advised him of her position,

"THE COURT: And, in addition to that, at the request of Mr. Beausoleil, I arranged for a meeting of Mr. Beausoleil with this witness and you in a private meeting in the jury room upstairs; is that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: That is correct.

"THE COURT: All those matters have now been concluded, and it is my understanding, now, that Miss Brunner is now going to testify in this matter; is that correct?

"MR. GRAVES: That is my present understanding. Am I correct? You have a statement to make at this time?

"THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'd like to get two things straight, first.

"THE COURT: All right. Let me find out, what do you want to get straight, Miss Brunner?

"THE WITNESS: I want to get straight exactly what my position is if I testify for the prosecution.

"THE COURT: Miss Brunner, let me first make it perfectly clear to you that you are not under

4c-2

.

"a court order at this time to testify insofar as the order of the Court holding you in contempt of court. That order is vacated.

"Now, this decision to testify at this time is a free and voluntary decision on your part, and we're all done playing fum and games, and if you testify in this matter, you are going to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

"You understand that?

"THE WITNESS: I understand that, and I want to know, too, what is my position afterwards?

"THE COURT: Your position, as I understand it, is that if you testify as to the truth, which you have already done in front of the Grand Jury, if you testify as to the truth here at this hearing on a motion for a new trial as to precisely what happened in the Hinman house at the time he met his death, and in the event that you testify on behalf of the People as to the truth as to precisely what happened at the Hinman house at any future trials that are brought, arising out of this death, that you are then granted immunity, and you personally cannot and never can be prosecuted for murder.

"Now, do you understand that?

"THE WITNESS: And if I testify today, then
I can just walk out of the courtroom today and go

4c-3

"back to Wisconsin today?

THE COURT: If you testify to the truth, then you may, as far as I am concerned, walk out of this courtroom, and you can go back to Wisconsin and remain there as long as you choose, pending the conclusion of this matter and any future trials that may come as a result of this death.

"THE WITNESS: Is that the DA's contention, too?

"THE COURT: We have here in court Mr. Katz, Mr. J. Miller Leavy of the office of the District Attorney.

"Mr. Leavy, you might make a statement at this point, as to what you have advised the witness, so far as your office is concerned.

"MR. LEAVY: I think you have correctly advised the witness -- that is, what I am told by Mr. Katz, at the Beausoleil trial, that he was advised that it was expected that she would testify to the truth concerning whatshe knew concerning the murder of Hinman, as to Beausoleil's participation, as to anyone else's, which I understand included Charlie Manson, Susan Atkins, and that following the indictment of Charles Manson and Susan Atkins as a result of this witness's, Miss Brunner's testimony, if and when Charles Manson and/or Susan Atkins would go to trial in the Hirman murder, she would

"again be expected to testify to the truth as to what she knew about the -- I understand there was also a Bruce Davis involved in the Himman murder -- she would testify to the truth concerning him at his trial and participation in the Himman murder; that she would not be prosecuted for her participation, as I understood from her testimony both before the Grand Jury and the Beausoleil trial, for her participation in the Himman murder.

"That is the position of the District Attorney's office.

26

27

28

"MR. GRAVES: May I be heard briefly?
"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. GRAVES: Your Honor has advised the witness, as far as you are concerned, speaking for the Court, that she is free to go back to Wisconsin.

"Do we have this equivalent representation on behalf of the District Attorney's office and the law enforcement agencies of the State of California?"

THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Kanarek. How much more do you have?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, not much. Very short.

THE COURT: Well, what do you mean by "very short"?

MR. KANAREK: I can do it in just five minutes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll take a recess, then. We will recess, ladies and gentlemen, until 2:00 o'clock.

During the recess, you are admonished not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor are you to allow anyone else to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor are you to form or express any opinion on the matter until it is finally submitted to you.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, at 12:06 o'clock p.m., an adjournment was taken in this matter until 2:00 o'clock p.m. of the same day.) 1.

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 1971 2:17 PM

THE COURT: In the case of People vs. Manson, the record will show that the jurors and alternates are all present. Both counsel are present.

You were in the process of reading the record from the case of People vs. Beausoleil, the testimony of Mary Brunner. You may continue that, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

I believe this was about the place I was reading, your Honor. I will backtrack just a short bit for continuity.

"MR. GRAVES: Your Honor has advised the witness --"

Oh, Mr. Graves speaking.

"Your Honor has advised the witness, as far as you are concerned, speaking for the Court, that she is free to go back to Wisconsin, do we have this equivalent representation on behalf of the District Attorney's office and the law enforcement agencies of the State of California.

"MR. LEAVY: You have my assurance of that, if I didn't say that, spell it out for you, with the understanding that Miss Brunner will not make herself unavailable if and when Manson or Atkins or Bruce Davis should go to trial on the Himman murder or the evidence pertaining to that, which could be

"used at the penalty phase of Manson or Atkins or
Krenwinkel, possibly at the trial that they may
stand and as far as the penalty trial concerning the
death of what we refer to generally as the Tate- La Bianca
case murders, so long as she does not make
herself unavailable.

"If she makes herself unavailable, that is part of the grant of immunity, being evailable.

"THE COURT: Do you understand your position?

"THE WITNESS: How did I get involved in the Tate-La Bianca murders?

"THE COURT: You are not involved in those murders.

"MR. IEAVY: You are not involved in those. It is a matter of evidence. What occurred at the Himman murder could become a part of the evidence in other trials than Himman. Not on guilt, but on penalty.

"MR. GRAVES: May I be heard briefly, your Honor?

"I understand that your Honor recapitulated the immunity under three separate conditions of immunity. It appears we are broadening the conditions. I presume the immunity itself will speak for itself and any additional conditions cannot be added to the immunity as it was designed.

"Am I correct?

"THE COURT: That is correct.

"Is there some statement that the District Attorney's office wishes to make insofar as the prosecution for perjury and insofar as this witness is concerned?

"MR. IEAVY: I don't intend to -- I haven't heard her testimony now. What has been the basis of the affidavit which was filed some days ago by Miss Brunner. Miss Brunner's affidavit,
I haven't heard the explanation concerning that.
I haven't heard what she is going to testify to now, which would be a repudiation of the testimony she gave in the motion for new trial. But I have no plans of prosecuting her for perjury, as I understand it thus far, and I speak for the District Attorney's office.

"THE COURT: You have heard the statement of the District Attorney's office of Los Angeles County by Mr. Miller Leavy insofar as they are concerned, and I want you to clearly understand that as far as I am concerned that I am the man that has to make the decision as to whether or not the jury in this case received completely honest and truthful testimony insofar as I am concerned. And I will anticipate in this case that by permitting you to testify at this time that you are once and for all going to testify

q

ļ7

21·

ils.

"to the truth and nothing but the truth as to what occurred; do you understand that?

"THE WITNESS: Yeah.

"THE COURT: What is your decision? Do you now want to testify?

"MR. IEAVY: May the record show that as far as the District Attorney's office, that is all we ask of this or any other witness in this hearing on a motion for new trial or any trial, is the truth from the witness. That includes this witness. I hope she understands that.

"THE COURT: The Court having heard this and understanding your position and being represented here by your lawyer, is it your desire to testify in this matter pertaining to what occurred at the Hinman house, pertaining to this affidavit that you filed and what transpired back in Wisconsin at the time you filed this affidavit?

THE WITNESS: You know I ve talked to my lawyer. I have heard what you have had to say, your options, you know. The choice is up to me right now, either that I testify right now and incriminate myself, or else not testify and go to jail, and either way it comes out the same, so I am not going to testify.

ì

2

3

5

б

7

R

0

26

27

28

"THE COURT: In other words, you at this time have decided that you do not want to testify in this matter?

"THE WITNESS: That's right.

"THE COURT: And do you understand that by refusing to testify at this time, the grant of . immunity previously given you by the Office of the District Attorney is null and void?

"THE WITNESS: My lawyer is trying to make a comment. Could I hear what he has to say? "THE COURT: Yes.

"(Witness Mary Theresa Brunner and her counsel, Ernest Graves, confer.)

"MR. GRAVES: Your Honor, I have just talked with the witness.

"The witness asked me to make a request of the Court.

"As Mr. J. Miller Leavy just said, the District Attorney's Office is only interested in the ascertainment of the truth and the dispensation of justice. I concur.

"The witness has asked me if, before she does any further testifying, this Court would undertake to have her submitted to a lie detector test on the affidavit filed in camera with the Court.

"THE COURT: I'm not going to make any such order.

16.

"Miss Brunner, the only thing that I'm interested in in listening to this motion for a new trial is to determine what your testimony is, and the only way that I am going to determine that is by having you testify in this matter. But you are under no court order at this time to testify, and if you do not want to testify, then you do not have to testify.

"As I have indicated to you, I'm all done playing games. If you testify in this matter, it's to be the truth and nothing but the truth, and that is all I want. We are not going to take any lie detector tests on any affidavit.

"Now, do you understand what I've told you?

"MR. GRAVES: May I, again, your Honor?

"THE COURT: Yes.

"(Witness Mary Theresa Brunner and her counsel, Ernest Graves, confer.)

"MR. LEAVY: May the record show that I am satisfied the witness is communicating, by expression with her eyes and the motion of her head, with some of the Manson Family, such as Lynn Fromme, who is seated in the audience?

"THE COURT: The only thing I can have the record reflect is that Lynn Fromme is seated in the audience.

"MR. LEAVY: I want the record to reflect what I said.

"THE COURT: Your statement will be reflected in the record.

"MR. GRAVES: May the record reflect that I saw nothing, didn't happen to observe anybody?

"MR. KATZ: Your Konor, may I ask that the record reflect Sandra Good is present in the courtroom, also Catherine Share is present in the courtroom, and also Catherine Lutesinger --"
L-u-t-e-s-i-n-g-e-r; I'm spelling it. It's not spelled in the record -- "is present in the courtroom?

"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. KATZ: Thank you, your Honor.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Will the record so reflect, that these witnesses have been ordered here today, excepting Catherine Lutesinger?

"THE COURT: Yes.

"MR. BEAUSOLEIL: Thank you.

"MR. GRAVES: To the Court, I appreciate for myself courtesies the Court has extended me and my client. I will state for the Court that, this is a very strenuous and difficult and traumatic experience for the witness, and I would appreciate as much of the Court's indulgence as I can get.

"THE COURT: Take your time.

"(Witness Mary Theresa Brunner and her counsel, Ernest Graves, confer.)

6a-1 "IR. GRAVES: Your Honor, I believe the witness is again prepared to meet the question 2 whether she is prepared to testify in this case. 3 "THE COURT: What's your decision now, Miss Brunner? 5 "THE WITNESS: I'll testify. 6 "THE COURT: That is your decision as to 7 what you intend to do at this time; is that 8 correct? 9 "THE WITHESS: That's right. 10 11 "THE COURT: Do I have your assurance 12 that anything that you are going to testify to at this 13 time is going to be the truth and nothing but the 14 truth, so help you God? 15 "THE UITNESS: Yes. 16 "THE COURT: In its entirety, without 17 question; is that correct? 18. "THE WITHESS: That's right. 19 "THE COURT: All right. Suppose, now, then, 20 that you start. . . " 21 And then we had already read that, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Kanarek. MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Call your next witness. 25 MR. KANAREK: May I have a moment with Mr. Manzella, 26 your Honor? 27 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 28 (Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off

Mr. Manzella, followed by another off-the-record discussion at the clerk's desk between Mr. Kanarek and the clerk.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we approach the bench?

I have a matter which I'd like to --

THE COURT: Very well. You may.

MR. KANAREK: -- bring to -- thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I didn't want to read this, because this is, strictly speaking, not testimony. However --

THE COURT: Much of what you read was not testimony, but the Court permitted you to read it.

MR. KANAREK: Right. But I wanted to -- to bring this to the Court's attention.

It turns out that, later on, in the proceedings for new trial, when Mary Brunner was a spectator in court, she got up in court, after giving the testimony that I've read this morning, and she stated as follows -- in open court, but not from the witness stand --

"MARY THERESA BRUNNER: Bobby isn't guilty,
and I told you that Friday; and I would have stuck
with that, if you hadn't come up with some jackass
statements about arresting me for murder immediately
for telling the truth, and my lawyer is telling me
that I've got to go along with you to work out some
silly deal, by your silly legal code. And Bobby is

*

ů

"not quilty."

Now, the Court said: "Would you take this woman out of the courtroom, please? And bring her back to the courtroom at the conclusion of this hearing? And we will have a hearing as to why she should not be held in contempt of this court.

"MARY THERESA BRUNNER: You're corrupt.

You're a corruption of the Constitution."

Now, this is clearly showing her state of mind.

These are statements which were uttered, which -- which we are deprived of, by virtue of the fact that she has -- is unavailable.

THE COURT: By virtue of the fact that they're hearsay?

MR. KANAREK: No, it matters not whether they're hearsay.

THE COURT: Oh?

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it's a matter of showing her state of mind. I mean, it -- it -- they're certainly not offered for the truth of the matter asserted. I am not advocating that Judge Keene is corrupt, or that Judge Keene is a corruption of the Constitution.

I'm not advocating that. I am saying that Mary Brunner stated that.

THE COURT: In brief, what are you asking?

MR. KANAREK: I'm asking to read this to the jury, because it shows her state of mind. She's --

THE COURT: The People?

MR. KANAREK: -- unavailable.

MR. MANZELLA: The People object on the grounds that it's not within any exception to the hearsay rule. MR. KANAREK: Yes, it is. She's unavailable. THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Then I would ask -- then I ask for an evidentiary hearing, so that we can have it --

THE COURT: On what point?

MR. KANAREK: On the point of whether or not it's a denial of due process and equal protection under the 14th Amendment.

THE COURT: You don't need an evidentiary hearing in respect to that.

MR. PANAREK: Well, so your Honor can have all of the circumstances, everybody who is present --

THE COURT: The Court denies the motion for an evidentiary hearing.

MR. KANAREK: Well, because it -- this goes, you see --

THE COURT: Don't talk any further on the point.

The Court denies the motion for an evidentiary hearing.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it's our --

THE COURT: And the Court sustains the objection.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it's -- all right. Then, the point is that -- it's our offer that we would ask that that be read to the jury, as part of --

THE COURT: I understand. And the motion is denied.

MR. KANAREK: It's later on -- I don't know if it's

the same day or the next day; but in any event, it is -
I believe it is within -- certainly a few days of her

making that statement -- of her testifying in court,

the matters just read, so that the record will reveal

that, your Honor.

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: The motion is denied.

You may, however, spell out the page on which it appears, so that the record will be clear as to --

MR. KANAREK: Thank you. It's page 2764.

THE COURT: Of the case --

MR. KANAREK: Volume 10 of the case -- of the Reporters'
Transcript on appeal in the case of People of the State of
California, Plaintiff and Respondent, versus Robert Kenneth
Beausoleil, the Defendant-Appellant.

And the case number is -- may I have the Beausoleil file?

THE COURT: What other point do you wish to raise?

MR. KANAREK: That's in case No. A --

THE COURT: A057452.

MR. KANAREK: Yeah. Although in this transcript, there happens to be an SSC 15197, which may be -- it appears to be SSC 15197 -- although the "7" is hard to read.

But, anyway, maybe that's the Supreme Court number of the State of California.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything more?

MR. KANAREK: Well, no, not at this point, your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Any further witnesses?

MR. KANAREK: Oh, yes, I have other matters to --

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I wanted to inquire of
Mr. Kanarek if he was going to read Mary Brunner's testimony
from the Tate-La Bianca case? Because if he was going to

28

read that next, I wanted to ask the Court for a few minutes, so I could go downstairs and get the District Attorney's copy of the transcript.

MR. KANAREK: I have no objection to him -- I do intend to, not immediately, but in the next --

MR. MANZELLA: Well, just before he does, I would like to have a few minutes to get my copy of that transcript.

THE COURT: I assume -- it's 2:30. I suppose that at the next break you could do that.

MR. MANZELLA: All right. Fine.

THE COURT: If this is what you intend.

How long is that testimony?

MR. KANAREK: Uh --

MR. MANZELLA: I don't know.

MR. KANAREK: It might take -- it might take an hour or an hour and a half, something like that, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court, within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Call your next witness, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have a request that -- may I have the Bobby Beausoleil file?

I have here the affidavit of Mary Brunner, your Honor, which purports to be sworn to the 21st day of May, 1970; and I have -- may I have a moment?

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between

6c-1

Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella.)

THE COURT: Is that the affidavit referred to in the motion for new trial? In the testimony --

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- in the motion for new trial?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. It was filed May the 26th, 1970. But -- and it's the one that -- that was filed May the 26th, 1970, and concerning which there -- Mary Brunner testified.

There -- I believe there was another affidavit, but just for particularity, this is the one filed May the 26th, 1970, dated May the 21st, 1970, before someone who purports to be a Notary Public in the State of Wisconsin, whose name appears to be Owen E. Rees, R-e-e-s, I believe.

MR. MANZELLA: Your Honor, I'll stipulate that this is People's 61, referred to in the testimony read by Mr. Kanarek.

THE COURT: It's the same document referred to?

MR. KANAREK: It might -- there were two --

No, your Honor. There were two affidavits, and I think that 61 is in fact another affidavit.

But in any event, this is the -- this is the prior affidavit, which -- which occurred in time before, I believe, People's 61, which -- the contents of which were read at the time that her testimony was read.

(Pause in the proceedings while a discussion off the record ensued at the counsel table between Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella.)

MR. KANAREK: I think, if we refer to Page 2636 of the

Beausoleil transcript, that it is apparent that in that -what is People's 61, reference is made to this affidavit; so
I would ask your Honor -- if it's agreeable with the prosecution
-- that this document that I have be deemed to be an original,
for the purpose of these proceedings.

And I ask that it be marked next in line, in evidence, for identification, and in evidence.

26

27

28

THE COURT: Do the People have any objection to it going into evidence?

MR. KANAREK: At this point.

MR. MANZELLA: If it is People's 61, your Honor, I have no objection to it because it is referred to in the testimony.

If it is not People's 61, I object to it.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, Counsel --

THE COURT: Well, then, you two gentlemen resolve it during the recess.

(Whereupon, Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella confer at counsel table off the record.)

THE COURT: Let's pass on to something else.

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Well, in any event, I have here the original Beausoleil file, if there's any question about it.

THE COURT: Well, let's not discuss it at this time.

You can resolve it.

(Whereupon, Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Manzella confer at counsel table which was not reported.)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, if you are seeking the admissibility of that affidavit --

MR. KANAREK: All right, rather than go by way of stipulation, I offer into evidence the affidavit, the original affidavit in the file of People versus Beausoleil, May 26, 1970.

Joyce has made a copy of that and in order -- for the convenience of the clerk -- I am only suggesting that this copy, which is a photocopy, be used in place of having to disrupt the file, your Honor.

THE COURT: You needn't go into that, Mr. Kanarek. Simply make your offer.

MR. KANAREK: I do offer --

MR. MANZELLA: Object on the grounds it constitutes hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, your Honor, this is the affidavit that was referred to in the testimony of Mary Brunner.

THE COURT: It is still hearsay.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, I offer it as an exhibit.

THE COURT: It will be received -- it will not be received, but it will be marked for identification as the defendant's next in order, whatever that may be.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

Excuse me just a minute, then.

THE COURT: The receipt in evidence is denied.

Are you prepared now to go forward?

MR. KANAREK: Well, yes, your Honor, if we get the exhibit. It is another way of -- it is the same, and I offer People's 61 which was used in the motion for new trial into evidence by reference. But it is exactly the same, the copy that I have is exactly a copy of People's 61. It is the same. Just copied in at a different time.

THE COURT: It does not make it any less hearsay. The objection is sustained.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, People's 61, your Honor, was referred to in the testimony. That's Mr. Manzella's point.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 1 MR. KANAREK: Well, I offer it into evidence, your 2 3 Honor. THE COURT: The motion is denied. 4 5 Call your next witness. MR. KANAREK: Well, then -- then, I would like to 6 7 approach the bench, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right, you may approach the bench. Q (Whereupon, the following proceedings were had 10 at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of 11 the jury:) 12 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, Mr. Manzella --13 THE COURT: First you have the problem with authentica-14 tion, don't you, if you have no stipulation; and 15 Second, you have the problem it is hearsay. 16 Now, why do you believe it is any exception to 17 the hearsay rule? 18 MR. KANARCK: It is not offered for the truth of the 19 matter asserted. It is offered because it was used in the 20 proceeding. It is exactly the same way as the other exhibits. 21 THE COURT: You believe because it was used in the 22 proceeding that it is an exception to the hearsay rule, comes' 23 in under 1291, you believe? 24 MR. KANAREK: No, it comes under -- because of the 25 fact it is an exhibit, and in the same way that all of the 26 exhibits were being used, they were referred to in the testimony 27 the same way as all of them were, your Honor, **28** THE COURT: You mean because an affidavit is a --

4 5

7a

because an affidavit is a piece of evidence in another case, it can be received in this case; that's your theory?

MR. KANAREK: But, your Honor, it was -- it matters not whether it is an affidavit or whether it is a gun or whatever. It was used in the testimony. And when a witness is unavailable, the law does not contemplate --

THE COURT: You haven't really established that the witness is unavailable for the purpose of what you have read in the testimony, read of the testimony of the Beausoleil case. It was stipulated to. I don't think it was on the record, but it was agreed that counsel will stipulate that Mary Brunner was unavailable and wouldn't testify to what she would testify concerning to in the Beausoleil trial. Rather than put her back on the witness stand, that was the stipulation.

MR. KANAREK: Right. The whole purport of the stipulation was that she was unavailable.

Now, Counsel has told me a few moments ago that he will stipulate --

7=-1 THE COURT: Do you believe that this is admissible in 1 any way, this affidavit? 2 MR. MANZELLA: I don't see how the affidavit itself 3 is admissible because it is not prior testimony. MR. KANAREK: It doesn't have to be prior testimony. 5 MR. MANZELLA: This is hearsay, and it doesn't come in under Section 1291. MR. KANAREK: Counsel just a few minutes ago told me 8 he would stipulate to this affidavit if it was People's 61. MR. MANZELIA: I did stipulate that was People's 61. 10 MR. KANAREK: And you said you would stipulate in 11 12 evidence it was in fact --MR. MANZELLA: Well, that's --13 14 MR. KANAREK: That's what he told me. 15 MR. MANZELIA: I offered the stipulation to stipulate 16 that it was People's 61. 17 MR. KANAREK: Yes, and you offered that if it referred 18 to any testimony, that this would go into evidence. 19 is what counsel ---20 MR. MANZELLA: That's what happens when Mr. Kanarek 27 asks for stipulations in front of the jury at counsel table. 22 You ought to give me a little time. 23 MR. KANAREK: I'd be more than glad to have him have 24 time --25 The motion is denied at this time. THE COURT: 26 on with your presentation of your next witness. 27 MR. KANAREK: Well, then, may this --28 THE COURT: It is marked in evidence. The motion to

28

receive it is denied.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

Well, then, your Honor, may this, for convenience, may this document -- it is more convenient than using People's 61 from another case -- this document is a copy of it.

THE COURT: Is it stipulated that it is a copy?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, so stipulated.

THE COURT: And it may be marked your next in evidence.

THE CLERK: NN.

THE COURT: NN for identification.

MR. KANAREK: All right. All right, very well, thank you, your Honor.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: You may call your next witness.

MR. KANAREK: I have Exhibits C, D and E which were referred to in the testimony of Mary Brunner, and I ask that they be -- and I offer them into evidence in connection with our case, C, D and E, Defendant's C, D and E, which were so denominated at the motion for new trial in case No. A057452, and may they be -- they may be used by reference, if that's convenient for the Clerk, and I do offer them.

THE COURT: Pass them to Mrs. Holt.

MR. KANAREK: I do offer them into evidence.

Yes, your Honor.

7a-3THE COURT: They would be O, P, Q, OO, PP, QQ, 1 respectively. They may be marked for identification by reference. 2 MR. KANAREK: I offer them into evidence, your Honor. QQ, PP, QQ THE COURT: Have you seen them, Mr. Manzella? MR. MANZELLA: No, your Honor, I haven t. 5 THE COURT: It is customary to let opposing counsel 6. see these, Counsel. 7 MR. KANAREK: They're District Attorney's -- may I put 8 it this way: The District Attorney is privy to all of this 9 information. I did not know that Mr. Manzella had not seen 10 11 them and I apologize to him if he has not seen them, and I 12 certainly accept that, his statement that he hasn't, your 13 Honor. 14 And I apologize to the Court for not showing 15 I thought that he had seen them. them. 16 THE COURT: Very well. 17 MR. MANZELLA: Mrs. Holt. 18 The People would object on the grounds they 19 constitute hearsay, your Honor. 20 MR. KANAREK: Well --21 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 22 MR. KAWAREK: Well, then, may I approach the bench on 23 that, your Honor? 24 THE COURT: No, I would assume it would be the same 25 argument that you made in respect to MN. 26 MR. KANAREK: Well, may I, in order -- I think this 27 will save time, if we may approach the bench and discuss 28 a possible resolution of it, your Honor. I think that it

1.1

7a-4

 would save a lot of time.

THE COURT: You will approach the bench and you will discuss the same thing that you have discussed previously.

MR. KANAREK: No, I represent to the Court it is a different point.

THE COURT: All right, I'll hear from you at the bench again.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had at the bench among Court and counsel, outside the hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: You are showing me what purports to be what, a marriage and birth certificate?

MR. KANAREK: All right, first of all, under the law, under the law which is in the Code, this -- this seal is given equal dignity by the law of the State of California. This independently is admissible under the Code because it is a certified document. I could send to Wisconsin to get it or anyone. It is completely and absolutely independent of any kind of authentication. I mean, if this were offered in this courtroom, it would have to be admitted and there is no reason it can't be admitted standing on its own two feet.

MR. MANZELLA: The objection is that is, showing that Mr. Rees is a Notary Public is irrelevant unless -- strike that.

That is irrelevant because there are no documents that are admitted which he's notarized.

MR. KANAREK: That's not so. He has -- he's been 7a-5 referred to in the testimony, your Honor. 7b fls.3

7b÷1 THE COURT: All right, it appears to be irrelevant and 1 2 immaterial. 3 MR. KANAREK: This --4 THE COURT: Yes, yes, the certification that Reese is 5 a Notary. 6 MR. KANAREK: Well, in what way is it irrelevant and 7 immaterial? Я THE COURT: Well, there is -- what Mr. --9 MR. MANZELLA: Because there are no --10 THE COURT: -- Mr. Manzella says is true. 11 MR. KANAREK: Well, then all it is going to --12 THE COURT: Go on to the next document. 13 MR. KANAREK: It is going to waste time, that's all 14 it is going to do. This next document is +-15 THE COURT: An affidavit of Mary Brunner. 16 MR. KANAREK: Right, is the signature by Mary Brunner. 17 THE COURT: What makes you believe that that's 18 admiss!ble? 19 MR. KANAREK: All right, I could call Mary Brunner and 20 ask her if she signed it. There are other ways of authenti-21 cating it. 22 THE COURT: You may do so. 23 The People are not stipulating. MR. KANAREK: Will you stipulate that's her signature? 25 MR. MANZELLA: You don't understand my objection to this 26 is it is hearsay. Hearsay whether it comes from a third 27 party witness or a piece of paper, it is still hearsay. That's 28 my objection.

MR. KANAREK: It is offered to show her state of mind and hearsay has got nothing to do with it. It is offered to show her state of mind. It's got nothing to do with hearsay. It is to show what Mary Brunner's thinking was at the time this was going on.

Well, will you go -- this -- are you seriously questioning this is Mary Brunner's signature?

MR.MANZELLA: I am objecting to it on the grounds it is hearsay and it is not within any exception to the hearsay rule.

MR. KANAREK: We can accomplish one hurdle -MR. MANZELLA: And likewise, you haven't authenticated
it.

MR. KANAREK: Likewise, I am requesting here at the bench, which is outside the presence of the jury, the District Attorney to agree that that is the signature of Mary Brunner; do you so stipulate?

MR. MANZELIA: Why? It is objectionable on the grounds of hearsay.

THE COURT: Let's get on to another point.

MR. KANAREK: We're trying to save time. It is a way of saving time.

THE COURT: Save a little time and get on to your next document.

MR. KANAREK: All right, I'll have to call her back here, then.

I'm trying -- this is a letter by Mary Brunner.
THE COURT: Consisting of how many pages?

 MR. KANAREK: One, two, three, four pages.

Now, this letter is offered to show her state of mind in connection with the proceedings which occurred at the motion for a new trial. I certainly am not advocating --

THE COURT: How is that relevant?

MR. KANAREK: It is relevant so that the jury can understand in assessing her credibility -- a big factor is her state of mind, whether she's being pressured, whether she is actually telling the truth or whether she's saying things because --

THE COURT: I see.

MR. KANAREK: And this letter is a reflection of her state of mind. The same way that your Honor ellowed matters in -- it is certainly analogous to matters showing intent, purpose and so forth, that related to Shorty Shea. And if the District Attorney seriously doubts that this is Mary Brunner's letter, and her signature, then, I would ask that she be brought here and we ask her. I'm trying to save time.

MR. MANZELIA: My objection is on the grounds it constitutes hearsay. It is not within any exception to the hearsay rule.

MR. KANAREK: It reflects her state of mind.

MR. MANZELLA: Her state of mind is not relevant.

If it is not offered for the truth of the matter asserted,

it is irrelevant. If it is offered for the truth of the

matter, it is hearsay.

MR. KANAREK: Well, it is offered to show the background -- it is offered to show the background of her

testimony at the motion for new trial. And it denies Mr.

Manson a fair trial, and it denies him due process and equal protection for your Honor not to allow the jury to have that.

Otherwise, all we have are her statements from the witness stand. But this is just as much a part of it than anything else.

THE COURT: The objection seems to be well taken. I have read the letter. It does appear to be well taken.

There are many factual matters related in there that simply don't show a state of mind.

MR. KANAREK: But the Court can make a limiting instruction, just like the Court did in connection with the Shorty Shea --

THE COURT: And the motion for admission of these is denied.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, then, out of courtesy to Mr. Manzella, may we have a recess so that he can get his copies of the transcripts?

THE COURT: Well, what do you propose to do now at this time?

MR. KANAREK: Read the testimony of Mary Brunner, your Honor.

THE COURT: You intend to read more testimony of Mary Brunner?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

1 From the Tate-La Bianca case? THE COURT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 3 THE COURT: How long will that take? 4 MR. KANAREK: I'd say about an hour, an hour and a half, something like that, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You don't have your copy, Mr. Manzella? 6 7 MR. MANZELIA: No, I don't, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right, I'll permit you time to get your 9 copy. 10 MR. MANZELLA: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: We'll recess, then, ladies and gentlemen. 12 You are obliged during the recess not to converse 13 amongst yourselves, nor with anyone else, nor permit anyone to 14 converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, 15 nor form or express any opinion on the matter until it is 16 finally submitted to you. 17 About 15 minutes. 18 (Afternoon recess.) 8 fls. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

8-1

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15. 16

17.

18`

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: All right. All the jurors and alternates are present.

Are we squared away, now, gentlemen?

MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I have a matter which I would like to bring to the Court's attention at the bench, if I may, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it concerning what you argued previously?

MR. KANAREK: It is. But I have the exact wording in

the -- in the file, your Honor, which -- and I believe that --

THE COURT: Well, if it was argued previously in respect to the admissibility of MM, and the rest of those exhibits, then the Court doesn't wish to hear your argument.

I have already ruled on that.

MR. KANAREK: Oh, very well, your Honor. I believe that the Court was -- and I'm sure it's honestly mistaken.

THE COURT: Well, I have been honestly mistaken before in my lifetime.

MR. KANAREK: Yeah. Well, I am sure the Court did not purport to memorize; and it's written down right here, in reference to --

THE COURT: All right. The Court believes that the exhibits you offer are inadmissible, as hearsay -- and otherwise inadmissible -- as had been stated.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

Your Honor, then what I have pr your Honor, I am

going to -- what I am going to read is the testimony of Mary ľ Theresa Brunner, which occurred -- uh -- in February or March 2 3 of 1971. I don't have the exact date. THE COURT: You don't mean '71, do you? 4 MR. KANAREK: Pardon me? 5 6 THE COURT: '71? 7 MR. KANAREK: Yes. THE COURT: Actually, I guess it was probably '71, 8 9 wasn't it? 10 MR. KANAREK: Yes, it was. THE COURT: It was in the penalty phase of the Tate-11 12 La Bianca trial. 13. MR. KANAREK: That's correct, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. And the foundation for this 15 testimony is stipulated to? 16 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, in that the testimony 17 was given at the penalty phase of the Tate-La Bianca trial, 18 we will stipulate to the foundation, and to --19 THE COURT: The foundation under Section 1291 of the Evidence Code? 21 MR. KANAREK: Yes. 22 MR. MANZELLA: Yes, your Honor, So stipulated. 23 THE COURT: All right. And this is the previous 24 testimony of Miss Brunner given in the Tate-La Bianca trial in 25 1971? 26 MR. MANZELLA: At the penalty phase. 27 MR. KANAREK: In February or March. Probably February, 28 your Honor.

MR. MANZELLA: And that it was given at the penalty 1 phase; is that correct? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 Read it as rapidly as you can, without the jury -without reading so fast that the jury loses understanding of 5 it, though. You could pick it up a little bit. 6 MR. KANAREK: That's what I am trying to do, your Honor. 7 BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Miss Brunner, R directing your attention to Charles Manson, would 9 you tell us when, if ever, you met him? When did 10 11 you first meet him? 12 IFA. April of 1967. 13 1"Q. And is it a fair statement that you are 14 the mother of a child of his? 15 "And is it a fair statement that you are the 16 mother of a child of his? 17 "A. Yes. 18 nO. What is the name of that child? 19 A" His legal name? 20 "0. Yes. 21 "A Michael Manson. 22 Michael ---23 "A. -- Manson. 24 "a And you have known Charles Manson how 25 · long? 26 "A. Since April of '67. That's about four 27 years. 28 ĽΩ. Now, directing your attention, then, to

	1	"Mr. Manson, after you met him, did you take up
ì	2	living with him?
	3	"A Yeah, about a month after I met him.
	4	"Q And were you living with him in the
*	5	company of any other people?
À	6	"A. Not at first.
	7	"Q It was just you and Mr. Manson?
	8	"A Yeah.
	9	"Q And in what area did you live?
	10	"A Northern California.
;	n	•
8a	12	
	13	
	14	
y *	15	
3	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21 22	
	23	
	24	,
÷ \$	25	
5	26	
	27	
	28	

8a-1	1	"Q And was that around San Francisco,
	2	Berkeley?
	3	"A We lived in Berkeley for a while,
	4	and San Francisco, and Mendocino.
*	5	"Q Now, would you tell us where you
ě	6	lived with Mr. Manson prior to coming to live with
	7	him at the Spahn Ranch?
	8	"A Oh, first when we got together, we
	9	went to the woods in Mendocino; and we had a
	10	cabin in the woods there.
	11	"We spent a lot of time in a school bus,
	12	and a Volkswagen bus, traveling mainly Highway
	13	101. And we camped a lot of places,
	14	"And we had homes in Malibu and in Topanga
•	15	Canyon.
₹	16	"Q How old is your child of Mr. Manson?
	17	"A. Almost three.
	18	"Q And are you originally from the State
	19	of Wisconsin?
	20	"A That's right.
	21 -	"Q Do you have a degree from the
	22	University of Wisconsin?
	23	"A. That's right.
1 5-	24	"Q What is your degree in?
<u>*</u>	25	"A Bachelor of Arts in history.
ŝ	26	"Q And when you met Mr. Manson, were you
	27	engaged in some work, using your schooling?
	28	"A. I was a library assistant at the
		1

1	"University of California Library in Berkeley.
2	"Q And was that in connection with any
3	particular school at the University of California?
4	"A. No.
5	"Q Was it the general library?
6	"A. It was the main library of the
7	university.
8	"Q And sometime let me withdraw that.
, 9	"Would you say that you have continuously
10	lived with Mr. Manson and others since you met him
11	until his arrest in Inyo County in 1969?
12	"Is that a fair statement?
13	"A. Almost until my arrest. I did not go
14	to him
15.	"Almost to my arrest. I did not go to him
16	to the desert the last time, because I was in jail
17	then.
18	"Q You mean that you were arrested on or
19	about August 8th, 1969; right?
20	"A. Yeah.
21	"Q So at the time he went to the desert,
22	the last time, are you telling us you were in custody?
23	"A. I think I was, because I had not seen
24	him since August 8th.
25	"Q Of 1969?
26	"A. That's right. Not out of jail.
27	"Q Well, were you arrested in the raid
28	of August the 16th, 1969?

	∤ ,
1	"A, No.
2	"Q You were not at the Spahn Ranch at
3	the time of that raid; right?
4	"A That's right.
5	"Q You were in custody in Sybil Brand?
6.	"A That's right.
7	"Q You were arrested with Sandy Good
8	on August 8th, 1969; is that correct?
9	"A That's correct.
10	"Q Now, at the time that you were arrested
11	with Sandy Good, were you arrested with any other
12	person?
13	"A. No.
14	"Q Now, is it a fact that you have been
15	arrested by law enforcement officers in connection
16	with the Gary Hinman matter?
17	"A. Yeah. I was once.
18	"Q And
19	"A Twice I don't know how many times.
20	Once or twice.
21	"Q Now, directing your attention to your
22	statements to police officers in connection with the
23	Gary Hinman matter, has pardon me have law
24	enforcement officers discussed with you Mr. Manson
25	in connection with this Gary Hinman matter?
26	"A. Yes."
27	Objection sustained.
28	"Q At one time there was a time when you wer

	1	"a defendant in connection with the Gary Hinman
	2	matter; is that right?
,	3	"A. Yeah.
	4	"Q When were you first arrested in
•	5	connection with the Gary Hinman matter?
ė.	6	"A. Well, the first time was when I refused
	7	to testify. And I don't know what I was charged
	8	with then, but it was in connection with the Hinman
	ٍ و	matter, for refusing to testify to the Grand Jury.
	10	"I was arrested. And then I was arrested for
	11	murder at another time.
	12	"Q In connection with the Gary Hinman
	13	matter?
	14	"A. That's right. The first time was in
•	15	April.
3 4;	16	"Q April of what year?
	17	"A. '70.
8b	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
*	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

		· ·
b=1	1	"Q 1970?
	2	"A Yesh.
	3	"Q And were you arrested again in 1970
	4	in connection with the Gary Himman matter?
. ^,	5	"A Yeah, in June of '70."
ù	6	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr.
	7	Kanarek perused the transcript.)
	8	"Q" Well
	9	"Q Did you have a discussion with law
	10	enforcement officers concerning Gary Hinman?
	11	HA Yes.
	12	"Q Will you tell us what police officers
	13,	you discussed the Gary Hinman matter with?
	14	"A Paul Whiteley and Charlie Guenther.
	15	"Q And would you tell us when you first
\$	16	spoke to those police officers?
	17	"A In December, '69.
	18	"Q Concerning the Gary Hinman matter; right?
	19 .	"A That's right.
	20	"Q And directing your attention to on or
	21	about July 15th, 1969, were you at the Gary Himman
	22	home?
	23	"A No.
	24	"Q Were you in the vicinity of the Gary
*	25	Hinman home at a time when Susan Atkins was at the
à	26	Gary Hinman home?
	27	"A In '69?
	28	"Q Yes.

8 b-2	1	"A July of '69? No.
	2	"Q At a time in you say December of 1969,
	3	you spoke to these police officers; could you tell
	4	us where it was that you spoke to these police
•	5	officers? Where were you at that time?
à	6	"A I was in Wisconsin.
	7	"Q And they came to Wisconsin to speak with
	8	you?
* #	9	"A That's right,
	10	"Q Is that right?
	n	"A That's right.
	12	"Q And would you"
•	13	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek
<u> </u>	14	perused the transcript.)
•	15	"Q Well, in the summer of 1969, at some time
*	16	were you at the Gary Hinman home?
	17	"A No.
	18	"Q At some time or other?
	19	"A No, I don't think I have been at his
	20	house since quite a while before that.
	21	"Q When was the last time that you were at
	22	the Gary Himman house at a time when he was alive?
	23	"A I don't think I ever went back there,
_	24	since I lived there; and that was over two and a
	25	half years ago.
4	26	"Q Well, can you give us the month and
Ó	27	year?
	28	"A It was '68, in the springtime.

3b-3	1	"Q In the spring of '68?
	2	"A Um-hum." U-m h-u-m.
	3	"Q Now, did you testify at the Bobby Beauspleil
	4	trial?
*	5	"A Yes.
à	6	"Q And in connection with that testimony,
	7	did you make any statements concerning the passing
	8	away of Gary Himman?
	9 .	"A Yes.
	10	"Q On how many occasions, Miss Brunner,
	11	have you been interrogated by a Los Angeles Police
	12	Officer concerning the Gary Hinman matter?
	13	"A Just three major ones that I can think
	14	o£.
	15	"Q Can you tell us the times?
. .	16	"A December of '69 and February or March of
	17	70, and I think April of 70.
	18	"Q Have you been interrogated by members
	19	of the District Attorney's office concerning the
	20	Gary Hinman matter?
	21	^{ff} A Yeah .
	22	"Q On how many occasions has that occurred?
	23	"A Oh, members of the District Attorney's
•	24	office were present at the last few times that I
₹	25	mentioned, along with the Sheriff's Department
â	26	people.
	27	"Q All right. Other than those occasions,
_	28	have you been interrogated concerning the Gary Hinman

1	"matter?
2	"A By the Sheriff's Department or the
3	District Attorney?
4	"Q You mentioned three times; right?
5	"A Uh-huh." U-h h-u-h.
6	"Q Now, other than those three times, have
7	you been interrogated by members of the District
8	Attorney's office?
9	"A No, I don't think so. Not that I can
10	recall.
11	"Q When did you first find out that Bobby
12	Beausoleil had been arrested in connection with this
13	Gary Hinman matter?
14	"When did you first find out about that?
15	"A Well, he called the ranch about it right
16	after or sometime after he had been arrested;
17	not too long after that, that is when I found out.
18	
19	
20	
21	1
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

9 fls.

9-1	1	"Q All right, at that time, was Linda
	2	Kasabian living at the ranch?
	3	"A. Yeah.
	4	"Q Now, did you have any discussions
ф. *	5	with Linda Kasabian in connection with the arrest
•	6	of Bobby Beausoleil?
	7	"A. Linda?
	8	"Q Yes.
	9	"A I don't recall any with Linda, no.
	10	"Q Did you have any discussions with
	11	Susan Atkins concerning the arrest of Bobby
	12	Feausoleil?
	13	"A Yeah, I was privy when more than one
, •	14	of us talked about it.
•	15	"Q All right, who was would you tell us
5	16	who was present when more than one of you talked
	17	about it?
	18	"A About the arrest of Bobby
	19	"A About the arrest of Bobby we are talking
	20	about?
	21	^α Q. Yes.
	22	"A Oh, Sadie and myself, Katie, maybe
	23	Sandy, I'm not sure.
	24	"Q What was said concerning the arrest of
¥ %:-	25	Bobby Beausoleil before you tell us that, would
æ	26	you tell us when it was in connection with his
<u> </u>	27	arrest? Can you give us an estimate of how long
	28	it was after his arrest that this conversation took

27

28

"place?

"A It isn't like, you know, a gettogether-and-have-a-conversation type of
conversation. It is like after he got arrested
we talked about it.

"Q All right.

"And I can --

"A And I can remember talking about it with different people.

"Q And was --

"All right, now, directing your attention to this conversation right now that you mentioned where you -- and you say it was Sandy and Katie --

"A Probably Sandy. I'm not sure of that:

"Q All right, what was said and would you tell us what each person said at this conversation?

"A I cannot tell you what each person said, no. I can tell you that we talked about getting -- about that we -- "Start again.

"I cannot tell you what each person said,
no. I can tell you that we talked about Bobby
getting arrested and that it was a bum rap and he
would be getting out of it somehow or other.

"Q And did Susan Atkins make any statements in connection with the passing away of Gary Hinman at this meeting or at this conversation that you are speaking of?

1	"A Sadie told me that she had killed
2	him and she was going through changes about
3	Bobby getting arrested behind her.
4	"Q And in that connection was anything
5	else said by anyone that was present? Any one
6	of those individuals that you have mentioned?
7	"A Was anything else said?
8	"Q Yes.
9	"About Bobby Beausoleil, about getting him
10	out of jail or about the Gary Hinman matter; what
11	else was said, if anything?
12	"A I can remember just talking about it
13	and wanting to get him out. And Brenda calling
14 `	to find out if we could bail him out, but there
15	wasn't any way.
16	"And we were just talking about getting him
17	out, nothing specific, you know, was said. Just
18	wondering how we were going to do it. And we talked
19	about the L.A. County Jail setup and at the time we
20	didn't know how we were going to do it.
21	"Q What do you mean, you talked about how
22	the L.A. County Jail is set up?
23	"A About getting people out of the L.A.
24	County Jail.
. 25	"Q. Using what means
26 •	"Using what means?
27	"A. Any means you can get him out of there.
28	"Q Did you discuss the possibility of
•	

1	"raising bail?
2 ,	"A Oh, Brenda called about that, but
3	there was no bail.
4	"Q There was no bail.
5	"What other means did you discuss with
6	getting with getting Bobby Beausoleil out of
7	jail?
8	"A. Going in the jail and taking him out.
9	"Q And Susan Atkins discussed that?
10	"A. Yes.
11	"Q. And
12	"A We discussed it together.
13	"Q And what was said in this regard?
14	"A. It didn't seem very feasible at the
15	time.
16	"Q Where were you when this conversation
17	took place?
18	"A "Some place at the ranch, I don't know!
19	Probably on the boardwalk or somewhere, I don't
20	remember.
21	"Now, was this at a time when Linda Kasabian
22	was still living at the Spahn Ranch?
23	"A Yes. Linda was living at the ranch
24	until after I was arrested.
25	
26	
27	
28	

"Q 9a-11 Now, when is the first time that you heard that the people at the Tate home had died? λtf When I was in jail it was over the news. ItO. When is the first time that you heard 5 the people at the La Bianca hope had died? II A 6 The same time. 7 IIQ. Now, directing your attention to your 8 state of mind when you heard those events; did you 9 in your mind think of Bobby Beausoleil?" 10 Well, that was sustained. 11 At sometime between August 8th, when you 12 were arrested, and the date that Mr. Beausoleil was 13 arrested, which was earlier, was there any discussion 14 about committing other crimes in order to get Bobby 15 Beausoleil out of jail? 16 "A Not in my presence. 17 After you were released from the custody 18 that you were in on August 8th, 1969, where did you 19 go when you were released? 20 First I went to the ranch, and then the 21 next day I flew to Wisconsin. 22 How many days after August 8, 1969, were ffQ. 23 you released? 24 ۱A About six weeks. 25 ito. You were in custody for a full six weeks? 26 11 / About that, I believe, yes, 27 $^{\rm fl}$ Q Now, during the time that you were in 28 custody, were you interrogated by Los Angeles.

26

27

28

1

2

6

"Police Offi	cers concert	ning the	Gary	Himman	matter	?
--------------	--------------	----------	------	--------	--------	---

#A No.

"O. Do you remember what date it was that you were actually released? You say it was six weeks.

"Do you know precisely or on or about what day it was when you were released?

HA. No, it was about the middle of September. I think.

And you went to the ranch and the people at the ranch -- well, let me withdraw that.

"Who was at the ranch when you went there?

πA I think Katie, and Sadie, and Leslie were all there, and Tex, and Bruce, and probably a few other people, but I don't really remember.

 Q^{11} At the time that you went to the ranch, was a girl named Stephanie Schram -- (spelling) S-c-h-r-a-m, "there at that time?"

That's not spelled in the transcript,

ΙFΑ After my release?

IIQ. Yes.

17 A I don't think she was. I don't recall her being there.

no. Have you, in your lifetime, ever met Stephanie Schram?

> #A Yes, briefly.

"Q All right. Would you tell us when was it that you met Stephanie Schram?

II A On August 8th. She and Charlie drove up 1 to the ranch in a milk truck, and then I talked to 2 her for a little while, and then I took the milk 3 truck and went downtown and got arrested. So I only saw her for maybe a half hour. 5 "Now, you met Mr. Manson with Stephanie б Schram on August 8, 1969, and then you drove off 7 with Sandy, is that right? 8 That's right. "A 9 U_{II} And where were you arrested? 10 nA In San Fernando. 11 "Q And for what offense were you arrested? "A Forging credit cards. 13 "Q Pardon? 14 II A Forging credit cards. 15 "Q Now, you and Sandy were then taken to 16 17 Sybil Brand, right? A^{ff} 18 Yes. 19 ll Q And the automobile that you were driving, was that automobile impounded? 20 21 IIΑ Yes, I believe so. I don't believe 22 we ever got it back. It was a van. I don't 23 think anyone ever saw it again. I don't know 24 what happened to it. 25 Now, would you describe the relationship 26 -- that was on August 8th. About what time of day 27 was it? 28 II A What time of day was what?

. .; :

1	"Q When you met Stephanie Schram?
2	"A Oh, probably early afternoon.
3	"Q Would you say like this was around 1:00
4	o'clock or so?
5	"A Yes. I think I got arrested maybe
ć	around 4:00 o'clock. So it must have been about
7	that time, 12:00, 1:00 o'clock.
.8	"Q Well, what did you see Mr. Manson do
9	in the presence of Stephanie Schram, and what
10	did you see Stephanie Schram do in his presence?
11	"A Get out of the milk truck together,
12	and then Charlie went off with the guy somewhere,
13	and then Stephanie stood around talking to the
14	girls. She was telling us that she was pregnant
15	and a whole bunch of other stuff and she had some
16	maternity clothes with her that Sandy could wear.
17	"Q And how soon was it after she got
18	out? How soon after that was it that you drove
19	away?
20	"A Maybe an hour. It wasn't any maybe
21	an hour. It wasn't long.
22	"Q Well, did you have conversation with
23	Stephanie Schram concerning Mr. Manson?
24	"À Yes.
25	"Q Is that the only time before your
26	release from jail that you saw Stephanie Schram?
27	"A It is the only time I recall seeing
28	her.
	·

1	"Q Did you see Bobby Beausoleil at the
2	Gary Himman house, say, in July of 1969?
3	"A No.
4	"Q Did you see Susan Atkins at the Gary
5	Hinman house in July of 1969?
6	"A No.
7	"Q Now, Miss Brunner, do you know Leslie
8	Van Houten?
9	"A Yeah.
10	"Q Did Leslie Van Houten discuss with you
11:	the Himman matter?
12	^t Á Yes.
13	"Q Would you tell us what did Leslie
14	"Q Would you tell us when you had this
15	conversation with Leslie Van Houten?
16	"A Exactly when, I don't know, but it
17	must have been sometime probably in the beginning
18 _.	of August or late July of '69, right before I got
20	arrested, a while before I got arrested.
20	"Q Was this before Bobby Beausoleil got
22`	arrested?
23	"A I am not really sure if it was before
24	or after. It was probably after.
25	"Q And who was present, if anyone else,
26	besides yourself and Leslie Van Houten?
27	"A I can't say for sure any more, Irv,
28	it was too long ago. I know I talked to Leslie
	about it and I can remember what she said, but

1	"I can't remember what happened when or who was
2	there.
3	"@ Where did the conversation take place?
4	ⁿ Λ Some place at the ranch.
5	"Q Would you tell us what she said?
6	"A Leslie told me
7	"A Leslie told me about being at the Gary
8	Hinman house at the time that he was killed.
9	"Q Did she tell you what she observed?
10	"A She said she saw Sadie kill Gary Hinman.
11	She also saw a few other things.
12	"Q Tell us what else she saw.
13	"A She told me that she had seen Gary's
14	ear cut as she described to me how it had been
15	cut. And she told me that they were there, I think,
16	for a couple of days. And I can't remember what
17	she said in particular about what happened in the
18	couple of days.
19	"Q Who was there for a couple of days?
20	"A She and Sadie,
21	"Q How was Gary Hinman's ear cut?
22	"A She said it was cut with a knife.
23	"Q And who cut Gary Hinman's ear?
24	"A I don't recall if she said that or not
25	or not. She told me that it had been cut.
26	"Q All right. What else?
27	"A She told me that there had been a fight
28	and that Gary was after Bobby for some reason and that

1	"Sadie had stabbed Gary and killed him.
2	"Q Did she say that she saw Sadie stab
3	Gary?
4	"A I don't know if she said she saw it
. 5	or not. I know that she said that it had happened.
6	I assumed that she saw it because she said that it
7	happened.
8	"Q Well, did she tell you that Sadie told
9	her that it happened or did she say that she was
10	actually physically at
11	"A She said that she and Sadie had been at
12	Gary's house and that in the course of time Sadie
13	had killed Gary, had stabbed him. Now, she didn't
14	say 'I saw it.' She just said it happened and
15	she was there when it happened.
16	"Q I see.
17	"Now, did she say anything about what
18	Mr. Hinman said or did?
19	"A About what?
20	"Q. At the time when she and Sadie were
21	at the Gary Hinman home.
22	"A Before he got cut or stabbed or something
23	or after?
24	"Q Yes.
25	"Let's say before he got cut or stabbed.
26	"A There was an argument over a car that
27	at the time I was using that we had gotten from him.
28	And they were arguing over that. And that is what
	, , ,

		"started the fight somehow.
10-1	1	"Q When you say they were arguing, who
	2	are you speaking of?
	3	"A. Well, Sadie, I think, got a car from
	4	Gary. I think it was Sadie that got it. Anyway,
•	5	it was a car that I was driving.
è	6	"And the title wasn't straight on it, or
	7	else the money wasn't straight. I don't remember
	8	whether it was the title or the money.
	9	"Anyway, they wanted to get it straightened
	10	out, so there wouldn't be any question if I were
•	11	ever stopped with the car, and it led to a fight.
	12	"Q I see. And did she tell you anything
	13	that Gary Hinman said during the fight?
~ .	14	"A Other than telling them to leave, I
	15	don't know if she told me there was anything that
÷	16	he said.
	17	"Q All right. Did she tell you anything
	18	that Gary Hinman said after he was cut?
	19	"A. No, not that I recall.
	20	"Q. Now, when he was cut I will with-
	21	draw that.
	22	"Did she tell you how long it was after he
	23	was cut that Sadie killed him?
	24	"A. Yes. I think she said there was a
À	25	couple of days in between.
±	26	"Q. Between the time he was cut until
	27	Katie killed him?
, 	. 28 · · ·	"A See, they got in a fight, and they cut

1	"him. Then they were afraid and didn't know
2	what to do, so they stuck around. And then
3	they didn't know what to do, so they killed him.
4	"Q I see. Now, subsequently may I
5	ask you this? on how many different occasions
6	did you discuss this matter with Leslie Van Houten?
7	"A. Just once.
8	"Q She told you this once?
9	"A. Yes.
10	"Q In her lifetime, has she told it to
11	you more than once?
12	"A. No, she told it to me once.
13	"Q Just that one time; right?
14	"A Yes."
15	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek
16	perused the transcript.)
17	Let's see.
18	"Q Subsequent to this time, have you
19	spoken with law enforcement officers?
20	"(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek
21	perused the transcript.)
:22	MR. KANAREK: The Court says: "Reframe it, Mr.
23	Kanarek.
24	"Q Subsequent to this date, Miss Brunner,
25	have you spoken with law enforcement officers?
26	"A. Yes, many times."
27	(Pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek
28	perused the transcript.)

1	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I approach the bench on
2	this?
3	THE COURT: Is there something you wish to read?
4	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Well, the Court would say that the same
6	admonition would pertain: that if it's her testimony, it may
7	be read, and
8	MR. KANAREK: Can I give the entire
9	THE COURT: Let me see it.
10	MR. KANAREK: Certainly, your Honor.
11	(Pause in the proceedings while the Court perused
12	the transcript.)
13	MR. KANAREK: It is her testimony.
14	(Further pause in the proceedings while the Court
	an account of the description and the S
15	perused the transcript.)
15 16	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the
1	
16	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the
16 17	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that.
16 17 18	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor.
16 17 18 19	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained.
16 17 18 19	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify.
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify. THE COURT: Well, the objections were sustained.
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify. THE COURT: Well, the objections were sustained. It was stricken. And therefore
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify. THE COURT: Well, the objections were sustained. It was stricken. And therefore — MR. KANAREK: That's why I want to approach the bench.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify. THE COURT: Well, the objections were sustained. It was stricken. And therefore MR. KANAREK: That's why I want to approach the bench. THE COURT: it should not be read.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE COURT: After Page 26,997, it would appear to the Court that you should not read anything after that. MR. KANAREK: Well, it is her testimony, your Honor. THE COURT: All the objections were sustained. MR. KANAREK: Yes. But she did so testify. THE COURT: Well, the objections were sustained. It was stricken. And therefore — MR. KANAREK: That's why I want to approach the bench. THE COURT: — it should not be read. MR. KANAREK: Well, that's why I wanted to approach the

1 from her testimony. 2 MR. KANAREK: Well -- very well, your Honor. (Further pause in the proceedings while Mr. 3 4 Kanarek perused the transcript.) MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, there are certain statements 5 that she made here that I would like to --6 7 THE COURT: Will you direct the Court's attention to 8 that page? 9 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 10 (Pause in the proceedings while the Court 11 perused the transcript.) 12 THE COURT: Again, the Court struck the answer, and it 13 shouldn't be a part of the testimony to be read. 14 Judge Older sustained the objection, and also 15 struck it. 16 MR. KANAREK: Well, I want to make a certain point to 17 the Court, if I might. 18 THE COURT: It's not necessary. You shouldn't read that 19 part. It was not admitted into evidence in the previous case. 20 (Further pause in the proceedings while Mr. 21° Kanarek perused the transcript.) 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

10a

10a-1

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, in order to work it out so that I follow the instructions of the Court, I think that the colloquy here is -- is of such a nature that --

THE COURT: If there is any colloquy between the Court and counsel, or between counsel, it should be eliminated.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

(Further pause in the proceedings while Mr. Kanarek perused the transcript.)

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, look. I'll tell you. We'll go until 4:00 o'clock tonight.

The Court knows that you have just recently been loosed from that hotel, and that you do need to make arrangements to get home. The bailiff told me that he noticed that some of you were waiting out on the corner pretty late for buses last night.

I'll let you go at 4:00 o'clock. Now, I told you that you'd probably be sequestered over the weekend, but it's my intention now that, even should the argument end on Friday afternoon, to hold over the instructions until Monday, so you are going to be free on the weekend.

So, I will give you that information to cheer you up.

(Whereupon, murmurs of approbation were heard from members of the jury.)

THE COURT: Have you resolved --

MR. KANAREK: Well, the problem, I think, is for the Court; because what actually it says --

THE COURT: All right. Let me see it.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. 27,001. 1 (Pause in the proceedings while the Court 2 perused the transcript.) 3 THE COURT: Where the Court has checked on 27,003. line 14, for your information, it appears to be in answer 5 to -- an answer that was left in by the Court; and therefore, 6 she testified to it. 7 MR. KANAREK: All right. THE COURT: I mean, that was her testimony, and it 9 should be read. 10 MR. KANAREK: "In fact, Miss Brunner, were you present 11 when Gary Hinman was killed? 12 "A 13 No. "THE COURT: Not if she wants to answer. 14 15 "Do you want to answer, notwithstanding 16 your attorney's objection? 17 I answered it. "THE WITNESS: 18 "THE COURT: All right. 19 "Q What is your enswer? 20 "A I told you: No. 21 "I have told you five times now. 22 "Is it a fair statement, Miss Brunner --23 "Q Then, other than Leslie Van Houten, Miss 24 Brunner, have you spoken to Susy? 25 "Do you know someone named Susy? 26 13 A Do you mean Sadie? 27 #Q. What do you call her? 28 "A Sadie.

1	"Q You call her Sadie?
2	"A Yes.
3	"Q Have you spoken to Sadie concerning
4	matters at the Hinman house?
5	"A Yes.
6	"Q And when did you speak to her?
7	"A Sadie has told me about matters at the
8	Hinman house several times.
9	"Q Will you tell us who was present on each
10	occasion?
11	"A I couldn't tell you. I don't know.
12	"Sadie would say something in front
13	of me and Katie; then something else in front of
14	me and Brends; and then something else in front
15	of me and another girl.
16	"Sadie has told me several different
17	things that happened at the Hinman house,
18	"Q All right. Would you tell us, if you
19	can would you tell us when each conversation
20	occurred, and what was said at each conversation?
21	"Can you do that? Is that possible?
22	"A Not exactly. Sadie talked about killing
23	Gary several times, and it was all within a period
24	of a couple of weeks, and every time she told it;
25	it came out a little bit different.
26	"Q Well, would you tell us as best you can
27	were all of these conversation at the Spahn Ranch?
28	"A Yes.

	1	"Q Was anyone else present other than yourself
	2	when you spoke to Sadie concerning these matters?
	3,	"A I think one time I talked to her alone
	4	about it; and other times, I talked to her with other
Ç	5	people present.
5 1	6	"Q All right. Will you tell us who the other
	7	people were who were present?
	8	"A Just other girls, other girls in the Family.
Ob fls.	9	I don't remember for sure who.
	10	
	11	•
	12	
	13	
<u>`</u>	14	
*	15	
*	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
,	24	
ė	25	
ź	26	
	27	
	28	

10b-1

 "Q All right. Then would you tell us each of the versions that Sadie told you?

"You may -- she told it a little different each time."

I think there's a -- sn A is missing there, your

I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I think --

THE COURT: Well, whatever it is, you can resolve it between now and tomorrow morning. --

MR. KANAREK: All right.

THE COURT: -- if and when "A" is missing. Perhaps you can read it differently tomorrow.

You are admonished that you are not to converse amongst yourselves nor with anyone else, nor to permit anyone to converse with you on any subject connected with this matter, nor to form or express any opinion on the matter until it has finally been submitted to you.

I delayed proceedings about an hour today, with other matters. However, I managed to, I think, clear the calendar sufficiently so that I won't have a similar day tomorrow, so that we can go right ahead.

The estimate is that it will take two hours to read Miss Brunner's testimony? Is it the balance of that volume?

MR. KANAREK: No, it isn't the whole volume, your Honor.

I don't --

THE COURT: Well, that's good to hear.

MR. KANAREK: I'm sure.

THE COURT: At any event, I am sure that we'll speed it along.

Good night. And I'll see you tomorrow morning. Remember the admonition I've given you previously concerning the publicity.

(Whereupon, at 4:03 o'clock p.m. and adjournment was taken until 9:30 o'clock a.m. of the following morning, Thursday, November 18, 1971.)