SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 2 HON. EDWARD A. HINZ, JR., JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 130 3 --000---4 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 6 Plaintiff, 7 8 VE, NO. A253156 LESLIE VAN HOUTEN. 9. Defendant. 10 1:1 12 13 REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT 14 Tuesday, March 29, 1977 15 Volume 2 16 Pages 127 to 312, incl. 17 18 19 (See Volume 1.) 20 APPEARANCES 21 22 23 24 25 26 EMANUEL J. SANZO, C.S.R. No. 1267 27 LDIS R. JOHNSON, C.S.R. No. 812 Official Reporters 28

DAY	,	7015ME 2		5 127 to 312
		March 29, 1977	**	PAGE
- An Siri and a	e se constitue de la constitue	A THE PROPERTY OF STREET AND ADDRESS OF A	A.M. P.M.	127 211
्रं राज्य रहन्त्र फर्ने को	tij Joseph Spinne yannen kiranja asland mastar y	الله معالم المان المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات المعارضات	đườ nhật hiện đười duện chọn thươ dựch tháy thuật dựch diệ gián diệ dựch diệ d	DIŞ ÇIŞDI SANIK YARDI AMIŞ, YARDI ŞIŞDI ÇIŞDIÇ MANIK MADIŞ ŞADIÇ XANI
VOIE	L DIRE (of prospective juror	S (Resumed)	127
			- A	
<u>}</u> :				
, ;				
ļ.		1. 19 . N.		
*		**		
			1 -	
.*	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ž 7	
†				
		A STATE OF THE STA		
	1	x P. Carlotte T. P. Carlot		v
}				
<u> </u>				•
<u>}</u>				
Ē				

	ŀ
Ť	
Ż	ľ
3	}
4	
5	;
.6	+
7	ŀ
8.	1
. [,] 9	
1Ô	
11	
12	;
13 [.]	;
14	
15	
16	
1.7	
18	-
19	
20	
21	;
22	
23.	
: 24 ₂	•
24, 25	. 1
26 27	*

T2±

LOS ANGELES, CA	LIFORNIA,	TUESDAY,	MARCH	29,	1977,	10:20	A.M.
DEPARTMENT NO.	130	HON.	EDWAR	D A.	HINZ,	JR.,	JUDGE

(Appearances as heretofore noted.)

(The following proceedings were held in chambers in the absence of the defendant:)

THE COURT: Let the record show we are meeting in chambers, that is, Mr. Keith, Mr. Kay and the Court.

I have gone over with counsel the fact that we each have a list showing the 90 jurors out of the original 150 who have passed the hardship issue.

We are going to call, pursuant to the stipulation previously entered into by counsel, the first 12 as they are on the list in order to the jury box.

The Court will inquire of the 12 as to publicity; and Mr. Keith will inquire of the 12, and then Mr. Kay.

3

4

5

6 7

8.

.9 10

1:1

12 13

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20-

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

2*1*°

MR. KAY: Eight counts.

When the 12 have been examined on the issue, and that has been resolved as to the 12, they will then leave the courtroom, and the next group of 12 will come in in the same order.

And we'll continue on that until we've examined all 90 as to publicity.

MR, KAY: At what point do you want us to challenge them for cause, if it appears that a challenge is good? Do you want us to wait until the end or challenge them as we go along?

THE COURT: Well ---

THE CLERK: Probably be better if you wait until the end, the same reason on the hardship, because they get the idea of what they are going to be excused for.

THE COURT: If counsel have a challenge, you will just have to challenge them. If you want to come to the bench, all right.

MR. KEITH: I would prefer we came to the bench.

THE COURT: Yes, all right.

Let's do it whenever the cause arises.

MR. KAY: Okay.

THE COURT: I think that's the better procedure.

Now, the second matter relates to the indictment, and I propose to read the Indictment to the group of 12 as they come in today.

THE COURT: -- eight counts.;

3 fl ²⁰

Only three counts relate to the defendant before the court, that is as follows: Count VI, Count VII, and Count VIII.

three counts, but the numbers will remain as VI, VII and VIII throughout the trial to avoid any confusion.

MR. KAY: Good.

THE COURT: Now, the matter has come before the court in regard to this: The defendant, Leslie Van Houten, was indicted under the name of Leslie Sankston, S-a-n-k-s-t-o-n, and that name appears in each of the counts.

The record shows that the true name of Leslie Sankston is Leslie Van Houten, and that has appeared on the record.

MR. KEITH: Yes. At this time the defendant Van Houten moves that the Indictment be amended to delete the name Leslie Sankston therefrom in that Leslie Sankston is not her true name. Her true name is, of course, Leslie Van Houten.

۰1--3 تند

3

5

4

6 7

.8. 9.

11

1Ô-

13:

12

15

14.

1.7

16

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25⁻

27

28

26

Now, she was indicted under the name

An additional reason for deleting that name from the Indictment is that it may appear prejudicial to the jury.

Bear in mind they know nothing about this case, and here is an alias in the Indictment, right in the language of the Indictment.

I'm not sure whether the Indictment reads
"Leslie Sankston, aka Leslie Van Houten,"

MR. KAY: No.

MR. KEITH: Or just "Leslie Sankston," simply "Leslie Sankston."

That is not her true name, and I feel the Indictment should be amended to reflect her true name.

This is done every day in Informations and Indictments. Interlineations are made, deletions are made to reflect the true facts.

MR. KAY: Well, of course the law --

MR. KEITH: I don't know of any law that requires us to return to the grand jury and obtain a superseding Indictment reflecting the true name of the defendant.

MR. KAY: That's what we had to do to get the fact that her true have was Leslie Van Houten.

You just can't change an Indictment. An Indictment is quite different from an Information.

You can change things on an Information if it appears that there is evidence in the transcript of the preliminary hearing; but you can't change an Indictment unless you go back to the grand jury.

"Leslie Sankston." That's the name she gave.

We had to go back to the grand jury to get -show her true name was "Leslie Van Houten" when we found out
that her true name was "Leslie Van Houten."

People versus Manson made much of the fact that Miss Van Houten used a phony name at the time of her arrest, and of course obviously continued to use it up to the time of the Indictment.

I'm going to show in the evidence that I produce in this case about the false names that Miss Van Houten has given; and of course I'll argue that it shows a consciousness of guilt.

Ż.

28

But I don't think at this late date we can change the Indictment. That's the name she was indicted under. That's the way the Indictment reads.

And I think that it's proper to read it that way without changing it.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this: Was the Indictment amended by going back to the grand jury to show the name as "Leslie Van Houten"?

MR. KAY: That's my understanding. That was done before

MR. KEITH: If the Indictment was amended, then the issue was moot.

MR. KAY: No; it was to show "Leslie Sankston, true name, Leslie Van Houten."

MR. KEITH: That Indictment doesn't even read that way.

THE CLERK: That would have to have been done, Your

Honor. In this case, as you know, consists of approximately

18 12 volumes of documents.

I assume that somewhere in that 12 volumes is the amended Indictment; and I will have to try to dig it out for you.

I don't know -- Does counsel --

MR. KAY: As I understand, the way it was explained to me, that they went back, they didn't type up a new form; that they just — they wrote it in the original Indictment.

They put a "T/N Leslie Van Houten."

THE CLERK: Well, there should be a copy of the original Indictment.

1 They just were allowed to amend it. .2 3 THE COURT: Well --4 I don't think it should be changed. name . 10 name, Leslie Van Houten." 11 12 the Indictment to show if it was amended. 13 14 15 Indictment. 16 17 understand why it doesn't show the change. 18 MR. KAY: Let's see. Yes --19 THE COURT: I have penciled in this one. 20: MR. KAY: You have penciled it in? 21 .22 23 T4£ and --24 25 26 27 28

MR. KAY: I don't think they typed up a new Indictment. MR. KAY: I mean, that's the way the Indictment reads. MR. KEITH: If that's not her true name it ought to be changed. Your pleading should reflect the individual's true MR. KAY: It shows that it is "Leslie Sankston, true THE COURT: Well, we are going to have to get a copy of THE CLERK: I'm not sure, Your Honor, that copy that you have right there, Your Honor, is a certified copy of the Now, if that's a certified copy, then I don't THE COURT: When I got the Indictment, the copy of which is before me, I asked the clerk for a copy of the Indictment

2.

3

1

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12` 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

sp?

27

26

28

MR. KEITH: I don't understand this, because, you know, the case on appeal was People v. Leslie Van Houten et al.

The case — the remittitur reads, "People v. Leslie Van Houten."

I doubt if there is the mention of the name

THE CLERK: That's why I believe that there must be some type of an amended Indictment someplace.

MR. KAY: Because, see, the copy that the court has doesn't show the name Leslie Van Houten.

THE CLERK: No.

MR. KAY: It is just Leslie Sankston.

THE CLERK: I don't know when that would have been done.

I imagine it would have --

Do you have any idea, Mr. Kay, how soon after the indictment that was found out?

MR. KAY: No, because I got in on the case about three months after they had already started the trial.

MR. KEITH: And I got in the case about three months after you appeared at the trial.

THE COURT: All right. Here is what I'm going to do:

I'm going to read these counts of the Indictment and say that the said Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before the court is accused of the crime of murder, and I'm going to refer to her as the defendant.

After the jury is selected and the alternates have been selected, then the first order of business is for the

> -6

.9

13⁻

.24

court to read the Indictment. By that time we will have an amended copy, and we'll do it at that time.

But for purposes of voir diring the jury, I'm going to just read it as I've indicated, and the issue about whether or not when I read it in its entirety it should say Leslie Sankston, true name Leslie Van Houten, or whether it should read Leslie Sankston or just plain Leslie Van Houten, we'll take up when we actually get the latest copy of the Indictment.

MR. KEITH: Appreciate it. That will give me time.

THE COURT: Well, everybody can check their copies.

MR. KEITH: Not only that, but check the law to see if what he says is true, that you can't do anything with an indictment other than return to the grand jury.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to tell the prospective jurors that the defendant we have is the only one before the court. I think that's pretty obvious.

But, okay, let's go out and take the first 12.

4a-1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(The following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the prospective jurors:)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

This is People versus Van Houten. Let the record show the defendant is present and represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel; 12 jurors have been called into the jury box.

In Seat No. 1.2 are you Lois M. Adams?

MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And next to her is Mrs. Otha Faye Adkins; is that correct?

MS. ADKINS: Right.

THE COURT: And next is Billy J. Albee?

MR, ALBEE: Correct.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And Mrs. Olga Allen?

MS. ALLEN: That's right.

THE COURT: And Barry A. Anderson?

MR. BARRY ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And in Seat No. 6 is Mr. Duncan Anderson?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes.

.24

25 -

26

27

28

.28

· }	
1	THE COURT: Starting in the back row is Mr. Mark Bacon.
2	MR. BACON: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: And Brnest L. Bethel.
4	MR. BETHEL: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: And Mrs. Mary Blackshear,
6.	MS. BIACKSHEAR: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Mr. John B. Bledsoe. Is that your true name
8 .	MR. BLEDSOE: That is correct.
9.	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Next is Mrs. Florence L. Bloom. Is that correct?
151, 2	MS. BLOOM: Yes.
ī2 :	THE COURT: And finally Mr. Joseph Bo Is it
13 /	"Bouchard"?
14	MR. BOUCHARD: Bouchard, right.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	MR. KAY: Excuse me, Your Honor; before you start,
17	I believe Mrs. Blackshear was going to try to find out from her
18	employer overnight or this morning whether or not she could
19	spend the three months with us.
20.	Maybe you want to inquire on that before we
21	examine her.
22	THE COURT; Mrs. Blackshear, have you any additional
23	information on that subject?
24	MS. BLACKSHEAR: I think they will pay.
25	THE COURT: All right; thank you.
26	If you should find to the contrary, let us know
27	at that time.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, at this time the

2

3

X

5

6 7

8

[.]9

11

12

13 14

15

1.7

16,

18 ≸

روا. 20

้า ! วา

22

23

25

26

27

28

Court is going to read to you the pertinent parts of the Indictment in this case.

This Indictment was returned in a number of counts; only three counts of which are we concerned with in this case at this time.

Although the names of several people will be read as being charged in some of these counts, the only person of course before the Court in this matter is Leslie Van Houten.

All right. As to Count VI the Indictment alleges that Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins and the defendant before this court are accused by the grand jury of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this Indictment of the crime of murder, in violation of Section 187 Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment, and as follows:

That on or about the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins and the defendant before this court did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with malice aforethought murder Lano A. La Bianca, a human being.

Count VII of the Indictment charges -- or states as follows:

That the said Charles Manson, Charles Watson,
Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins and the
defendant before this court are accused by the grand jury of
the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this

8:

21.

<u>2</u>2

i r

Indictment of the crime of murder, in violation of Section 187 Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment, and as follows:

That on or about the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins and the defendant before this court did willfully, unlawfully and feloniously and with malice aforethought murder Rosemary La Bianca, a human being.

Count VIII of the Indictment states that Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins and the defendant before this court are accused by the grand jury of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this Indictment of the crime of conspiracy to commit murder, in violation of Section 182.1 and 187, Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment, and as follows:

That on or about the 8th through the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, and knowingly conspire, combine, confederate, and agree together and with other persons whose true identity is unknown to commit the crime of murder, a violation of section 187, Penal Code of California, a felony.

That pursuant to and for the purpose of carrying out the object and purpose of the aforesaid combination, agreement and conspiracy, the defendants committed the following overt acts at and in the County of Los Angeles:

Overt Act No. I:

That on or about August 8, 1969, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, and Linda Kasabian, did travel to the vicinity of 10050 Cielo Drive in the City and County of Los Angeles.

That on or about August 8, 1969,

Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, and Susan Atkins did enter the residence at 10050 Cielo Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

> Overt Act No. III: That on or about August 10, 1969,

T6£

Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Kremwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian and the defendant before this court did travel to the vicinity of 3301 Waverly Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

Overt Act No. IV:

That on or about August 10, 1969, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel and the defendant before this court did enter the residence at 3301 Waverly Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

The defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to each of these counts.

All right, Mrs. Adams, I'd first like to inquire of you concerning the matter of publicity.

And for the benefit of all 12 of the jurors, I'd like you to listen carefully to the questions so that it may not be necessary that they all be repeated to each of you.

As I am sure you are aware, as the court stated briefly, we are going to inquire of each of you on the issue of publicity. The court will inquire first, then Mr. Keith, and then Mr. Kay.

discussed, then you will be excused from the courtroom and the next group of 12 will come in, and we'll do that until we have examined all 90 jurors as to the issue of publicity.

All right. I'd like to start with you.

Is it Miss or Mrs. Adams?

MS. ADAMS: I'm Mrs.

THE COURT: Mrs. Adams.

Have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, belief or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television, or heard on the radio?

MS. ADAMS: I did not see any of the movies or read the book. I have read very few articles about this young lady.

THE COURT: All right.

Can you lay aside whatever you might have read,

seen, or heard, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence 1 strictly on the evidence that will be introduced in this 2 trial? 3. MS. ADAMS: I believe so. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 As a result of anything you have read, seen, or 6 heard about this case, or about the defendant, have you formed 7 any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant? 8 9 MS. ADAMS: No, sir. THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced 10 by any publicity you have previously read, seen, or heard 11 12 about this case or about the defendant? 13 MS. ADAMS: No. I wouldn't. 14 THE COURT: And will you promise the court that you will 15 decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this 16 case? 17 MS. ADAMS: Yes, I would. 18 All right, thank you. THE COURT: 19 Mrs. Adkins? 20 MS. ADKINS: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Have you heard or do you know anything about 22 this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs. 23 or associations, including anything you have read in the 24 newspapers, magazines, books, or seen on television or heard 25 on the radio? 26 MS. ADKINS: Well, I watched the picture on television 27 and, plus, I read the paper in the past when it did happen. 28 And, to be truthful, I don't think I could be an

1

3

4.

Š

6

7

8.

9.

10: 11

12

13·

14

15·

16

17

18

19

20

21...

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

appropriate juror for this case just as far as looking at the picture and what I've read in the past.

THE COURT: You think that that would somehow influence you in this matter?

MS. ADKINS: Well, like I said, when I first read the paper and saw the picture, I drew my own conclusion on the whole group.

THE COURT: Well, would you be able to decide the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom, or are you telling me that you would be influenced by something that you previously have seen, read, or heard?

MS. ADKINS: Well, by what I've seen in the past on television.

<u>4</u>

_

.28

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Albee, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's actions, conduct, belief, or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television, or heard on the radio?

MR. ALBEE: Well, I read the newspaper accounts at the time that these things happened. That's about the size of it.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, would you be able to lay aside whatever you might have read, seen, or heard, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence to be introduced at this trial?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: - As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case, or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

MR. ALBEE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have seen, read, or heard concerning this case?

MR. ALBEE: No. sir.

THE COURT: Can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11:

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mrs. Allen, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, belief, or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. ALLEN: Yes, I read the book. I saw the television program. And I followed it in the newspapers.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, would you be able to lay aside whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this trial?

MS. ALLEN: No, I couldn't.

THE COURT: Do you think you would be influenced by what you have seen or heard in this matter?

MS. ALLEN: Yes, I would,

THE COURT: And would you not be able to lay aside what you have seen or heard? Is that what you are telling me?

MS. ALLEN: Right.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Barry Anderson.

MR. BARRY ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs, or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. BARRY ANDERSON: Yes, I read the book and movie and

6a

ne

ġ.

9 -

newspapers.

THE COURT; All right.

Would you be able to lay aside whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and decide the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence that will be introduced in this trial?

MR : BARRY ANDERSON: I don't believe I could.

THE COURT: Do you think you might be influenced by

what you have read, seen; or heard?

MR. BARRY ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

Total Property

THE COURT: Would you be able to assure the court that 1 you could decide this case solely on the evidence to be 2 presented in this courtroom? ġ MR. BARRY ANDERSON: I think I'm already too influenced, 4 no. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 Mr. Duncan Anderson. 7 MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes. sir. 8 THE COURT: Have you heard or do you know anything about 9 this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs. 1.0 or associations, including anything you have read in the 11 newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or 12 heard, on the radio? 13 14. MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: From the news on TV. 15 THE COURT: All right. Can you lay aside whatever you might have read. 16 seen, or heard and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence 17 strictly on the evidence that will be presented in this court-18 19 room? 2Ŏ MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON; Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen, 2Ž or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the 23 24 defendant? MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir. 25 THE COURT: All right. 26 Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any 27 publicity you might have read, seen, or heard about this case 28

2

ġ.

4

5.

6.

7

8

9

1Ó

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20,

21

22

or about the defendant?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.

THE COURT: Can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

All right, Mr. Bacon, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs, or associations, including anything you might have read in the newspaper, magazines, or books --

MR. BACON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- or seen on TV or heard on the radio?

MR. BACON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Can you lay it to one side, whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this trial?

MR. BACON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or immodence of this defendant?

MR. BACON: No, sir.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen, or heard about this case?

MR. BACON: No, sir.

23

24

25

00

26

27

28

THE COURT: Can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. BACON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

名。高·森文·森林·泽东东

尼拉拉拉

Mr. Bethel, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs, or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. BETHEL: The only accounts I know of is what I saw on television during the trial.

3

4

5

ć

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

1.7

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: All right. And can you lay to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and decide the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this trial?

MR. BETHEL: I can.

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case, or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. BETHEL: I have not.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about this defendant?

MR. BETHEL: I would not.

THE COURT: Can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this case?

MR. BETHEL: I can.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mrs. Blackshear, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs, or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. BLACKSHEAR: I have heard very little about it. I didn't read the movies -- I didn't read the papers about it. I just saw the headlines, and that was it.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this matter and judge the

defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be 1 presented in this courtroom? Ž. MS. BLACKSHEAR: Yes. 3. THE COURT: All right. 4 As a result of anything you have read, seen, or 5 heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed 6 any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant? 7 MS. BLACKSHEAR: - :8 No. THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced 9 by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case 10 11 or about the defendant? 12 MS. BLACKSHEAR: No. 13 THE COURT: Would you assure the court that you could 14 decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in 15 this case? 1.6 MS. BLACKSHEAR: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 18 Mr. Bledsoe, have you heard or do you know 19 anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, 20 conduct, beliefs, or associations, including anything you 21 have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on 22 television or heard on the radio? 23 MR. BLEDSOE: Yes. I also followed the case in the 24 I saw the movie and watched the account on TV. newspaper. 25 THE COURT: All right. 26 Now, could you put to one side whatever you might 27 have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the 28 defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence to be

.28

presented in this courtroom?

MR. BLEDSOE: I don't know.

THE COURT: Well, as a result of anything you have seen, read, or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. BLEDSOE: No.

THE COURT: All right.

Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. BLEDSOE: I don't know that either. Possibly. I can only say possibly.

THE COURT: Well, would you or would you not be able to assure the court that you could decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. BLEDSOE: I think that's possible. I could view the evidence and make a decision on that basis, but I don't know if I would be influenced or not.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Bledsoe, you understand that in this system we have it is very important that each of the jurors decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom.

Do you understand that?

MR. BLEDSOE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you understand that as part of our system of justice, each side has an attorney, and they have a right to cross-examine the witnesses, they have a right to explain the testimony, they have a right to present evidence

in their own behalf, and they have a right, as I say. to cross-examination.

Now, when you read something in the newspaper or you see it on television or the radio, that opportunity is not present. So it's very important that the jurors decide this case or any case solely on the evidence to be presented in the courtroom.

Ż

Ź

25.

26.

.28

7 tl

T7-1

1 2

3

4

1.1 12

13

14 15

1.6

17

18

19.

20

21 22

23 24:

25

26 27

28

That way the safeguards that we have apply to the defendant.

Do you understand that?

MR. BLEDSOE: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: All right. So when we inquire about your 6 state of mind we are not trying to embarrass you or cause you any problems; and I know you want to be as forthright as you can.

But it is very important that we know, to the best that you can answer, whether or not you would be influenced by anything you have read, seen or heard.

> MR. BLEDSOE; Well, I was trying to give the best answer that I could because I think I would say yes and no; and I may very well be influenced at some given time.

> > So that's why I say I don't know.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We appreciate your answers.

Ms. Bloom?

MS. BLOOM: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you heard, or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. BIOOM: No; very little, because I don't read the newspaper accounts of things like that.

THE COURT: Can you lay to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and decide the

1.

2

3

5.

Ġ

7

8

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this trial.

MS. BLOOM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MS. BLOOM: No. sir.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen or heard about this case?

MS. BLOOM: No. Bir.

12 THE COURT: Can you assure the Court that you would 13 decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in 14 this trial?

MS. BLOOM: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Mr. Bouchard, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. BOUCHARD: Yeah, I read the normal newspaper articles and seen T.V. coverage at the time the incidents occurred.

THE COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you may have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence that would be introduced at this trial?

MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, I could.

4.

9.

*__

; ; ---

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. BOUCHARD: I have not.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen or heard about this case about the defendant?

MR. BOUCHARD: I would not.

THE COURT: Can you assure the Court that you would decide this case solely on the syldence to be received in this trial?

MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

All right. Could counsel approach the bench with the court reporter, please.

> (The following proceedings were held at the bench:

THE COURT: All right. Now, we have three jurors, No. 2, 4 and 5, who state that they are influenced by what they have read, seen or heard.

MR. KEITH: I would challenge them for cause.

THE COURT: Do you want to inquire of them first?

MR. KAY: No.

THE COURT: I don't think there is any point in it. What about Mr. Bledsoe?

MR. KAY: I would object to him --

THE COURT: He said possibly, so I will permit you to inquire.

MR. KEITH: No. I wouldn't challenge him for cause at this point.

MR. KAY: I would object to any challenge for cause.

THE COURT: All right. So should I excuse the three at this time; and counsel so stipulate, jurors 2, 4 and 5?

MR. KEITH: Yes, I will so stipulate.

MR. KAY: All right.

THE CLERK: Who is that, Your Honor?

T/af

1

	MR.	KAY:	Adkins,	Allen	and	Anderson,	Barry	Anderson
There	are	two A	ndersons.	*				

THE CLERK: They are to go to the 5th floor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, gentlemen.
(The following proceedings were held in

open court in the presence of the

prospective jurors:)

THE COURT: All right. At this time, ladies and gentlemen, the Court, pursuant to stipulation, excuses the following jurous from further service upon this case.

Each of you are to report to the --

Is it the 5th floor?

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- the 5th floor jury room.

Ms. Otha Faye Adkins. You are excused, ma'am.

Thank you for your attendance upon the court.

THE BAILIFF: Step right around this way.

THE COURT: Ms. Olga Allen, you are excused. Thank you

7al

28

2	for your attendance upon the court.
3	And Mr. Barry A. Anderson. Sir, you are excused.
4	Thank you for your attendance upon the court.
5	All right, Mr. Keith, you may inquire.
6	MR. KEITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Ms. Adams, as you realize, I represent
8	Miss Van Houten, the defendant in this case.
9	You have advised His Honor, Judge Hinz, as to how
10	you feel at the present time about the matter, bearing in mind
11	the publicity that the case has had in the past.
12	You advised His Honor that you have read some
13	articles about this case. Let's call it the Manson case.
14	Have you ever heard of the Manson case?
15	MS. ALLEN: Yes, sir, I have.
16	MR. KEITH: And you realize, from the Court's reading
17	of the Indictment, that this involves Charles Manson, this
18	case.
19	MS. ALLEN: Yes, sir.
20	MR. KEITH: When is the last time that you read an articl
21	about Manson or Miss Van Houten or any of Manson's so-called
22	followers?
23	MS. ALLEN: I couldn't even tell you because I don't
24	bother to read anything like that. Very little.
25	MR. KEITH: I take it that you haven't read anything
26	about Miss Van Houten or any of the co-defendants
27	MS. ALLEN: No, sir.

-- or anybody else that may have been

26

27

28

involved, within the last seven years. Would that be a fair statement? MS. ALLEN: Well, I read the headlines of the newspapers. MR. KEITH: That's when it happened.

MS. ALIEN: But as far as to read articles, no, sir, MR. KEITH: All right. Do you have any knowledge, any today ready to stand trial? MS. ADAMS: I didn't realize anything about it until yesterday, when one of the other persons said to me, "I think Mrs. Van Houten (sic) is going to have a jury picked today." MR. KEITH: That's all you know about why she is here. MS. ADAMS: Well ---MR. KETTH: Before the Court on trial. MS. ADAMS: Well, I know now why she is here, yes, sir. MR. KEITH: Yes, I understand that. has happened with --MS. ADAMS: No, sir. MS. ADAMS: I really did not follow it.

But what I am getting at is, you don't know what

MR. KEITH: -- her within the last seven or eight years.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard of a book called "Helter-Skelter"?

MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir, I have.

MR. KEITH: And do you know what that book is about? take it you didn't read the book.

MS. ADAMS: No, I did not.

1	MR. KEITH: Do you know anything Have you ever talked
2	to anybody about the book?
3	MS. ADAMS: Not really.
4	MR. KEITH: Have you ever seen a motion or
·5·	television motion picture entitled Helter-Skelter?
<u>6</u>	MS. ADAMS: No, sir, I did not.
7	MR. KEITH: Have you ever talked to anybody about the
8 `	television motion picture Helter-Skelter?
9	MS. ADAMS: Just friends of ours that said yes, they
10	add view it.
1.1 12	Ψ - Λ \ 2 E - 1 **
13 14	
15	
16	
17 .	
18	
1.9%	
20	· ·
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	†

2	1	MR. KEITH: And did these friends express to you an
	2	opinion as to the characters in that television movie?
	3	MS. ADAMS: No, they really didn't elaborate on it.
1	4	MR. KEITH: You are sure of that.
	5	MS. ADAMS: Yes, mir.
	6·	MR. KEITH: This is the only chance that we have to talk
	7	to you about this important aspect of the case.
:	8 :	MS. ADAMS: True.
	9	MR. KEITH: Because Miss Van Houten is presumed innocent
:	1.0 ;	at the present time; and because of the notoriety the case
	1.1	has had, if people have already formed an opinion as to her
	12	guilt or innocence, then it makes it difficult for that
	13	presumption of innocence to stand up throughout the trial.
	14	MS. ADAMS: I understand.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	MR. KEITH: And she is entitled to that.
	16	MS. ADAMS: I understand.
	17	MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard the name of
	18	Vincent Bugliosi?
· H T William Land A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	19	MS, ADAMS: Yes, sir, I have.
	20	MR. KEITH: Who do you know him to be?
	21	MS. ADAMS: Well, didn't he run for a public office
# #	22	here?
	23:	MR. KEITH: Is that how That's true; but is that all
	24	you know about him?
. ,	25	Your ballot is secret, so I won't ask you how you
	26	voted.
	27	MS. ADAMS: I really don't know a lot about him, no, sir.
:	28	MR. KEITH: Do you know whether or not he ever had any

1	connection with the so-called Manson case?
2	MS. ADAMS: Well, if I recall, wasn't he the prosecutor?
3	MR. KEITH: Yes, he was. But you aren't even sure of
4	that, before I told you he was; is that correct?
5	MS. ADAMS: Right.
6	MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard him speak in front of
7	a group?
8	MS. ADAMS: No. I haven't.
ô	MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard any of his campaign
10	oratory?
1.1	MS. ADAMS: No. I have not.
12	MR. KETTH: Have you ever talked to anyone who has heard
13	him speak before groups or organizations?
1.4	MS. ADAMS: No, I have not.
15	NR. KEITH: Have you ever talked to anybody who has
16	heard his campaign speaches?
17	MS. ADAMS: No. I haven't.
18	MR. KEITH: So as you sit now in the jury box as a
19	potential juror, you have no opinion whatsoever about
20	Leslie Van Houten's involvement. MS. ADAMS: No. sir, I do not.
	MR. KEITH: And you understand she's presumed innocent at the present time.
23	at the present time.
	MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir, I do.
. 25 	MR. KETTH: And that presumption is not rebutted or
26	outweighed or by anything you may have read, what few
27	articles you may have read years ago.
28	Is that a fair statement?

MS. ADAMS: Yes, it is.

MR. KEITH: Thank you.

Mr. Albee, I believe you also advised His Honor, Judge Hinz, that you have read newspaper accounts about this case at the time it all happened back in 1969. Is that correct?

MR. ALBEE: That's correct.

	1	MR. KEITH: Have	you ever heard of a book called
	2	"Helter-Skelter"?	•
	3	MR. ALBEE: Yes,	I have,
	4	MR. KETH: Have	you ever read that book?
	,5	MR. ALBEE: No.	sár.
•	6	MR. KEITH: Have	you ever heard of a television motion
	7	picture known as Helte	r-Skelter?
` "	.8	MR. ALBEE: I be	lieve so. I'm not certain on that.
•	9	MR. KEITH: I ga	ther you did not see that motion picture.
	10	MR. ALBEE: No.	sir.
	11	MR. KEITH: Do y	ou know generally the content of the
	12	book "Helter-Skelter,"	what it's about?
	13 [,]	MR. ALBEE: I pr	ssume it follows the course of events
	14	that took place severa	L years ago.
	1.5.	MR. KETTH: But	nave you ever talked to anybody about
,	16	the book?	
×	17	MR. ALBEE: No.	
	18	MR. KEITH: Have	you ever talked to anybody about the
•	19	television motion pict	re?
	20	MR. ALBEE: NO;	
	21;	MR. KEITH: And	nave you heard the name Vincent Bugliosi?
	22	MR. ALBEE: Yes,	sir.
	.23	MR. KEITH: In W	nat context?
	.24	MR. ALBEE: Well	he was associated with the Manson
,	25	trial, I believe.	
	· 26	MR. KEITH: You!	oslieve that he may have been a
	27	prosecutor at the first	trial?
* * * *	28	MR. ALBEE: I WO	ild say that I'm fairly certain he was.

3		
).		1
	***************************************	2
	~; ~;	4 · 5
**		6
•	•	7
		8.
		9
		10
		11
		12
		13
).		14
		15:
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20
		21
		.22
	٠,	<u>2</u> 3
		24
		25
		26
		27
		28.

	MR. KELTE	I: Incidentall	y, is	it your	recollection	there
was a	brearons	trial?				1 > 1

ALBERT Yes, SIT.

MR. KEITH: Is it your recollection that Miss Van Houten may have been a defendant at that trial?

MR. ATBEE: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: Do you know that to be a fact; or aren't you sure?

MR. AIBEE: I'm reasonably sure, yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: All right. And do you know what happened to Miss Van Houten in the interim, from 1969 to today, why she is here?

MR. ALBEE: I understand she's been in an institution and granted a new trial.

27

28

MR. KEITH: All right,

Now, the fact that she's been in an institution and granted a new trial, you know that from, I suppose --- Well, how do you know that?

MR. ALBEE: Occasional newspaper accounts.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Now, does that lead you to form an opinion as to her guilt or innocence because you realize she has been in an institution for many years and has been granted a new trial?

MR. ALBEE: No, sir; I don't believe so.

MR. KEITH: Are you sure of that?

You've told me you don't believe so. We've got to try and be as sure as we can.

MR. ALBEE! I'm sure.

MR. KEITH: I appreciate your candor, because it is very hard to be categorical about concepts such as this.

MR. ALBEE: I'm certain.

MR. KEITH: Pardon me?

MR. ALBEE: I'm certain.

MR. KEITH: You don't feel she has two strikes against her, do you, because she has been in an institution and been granted a new trial?

MR. ALBEE: No. sir.

MR. KEITH: Did you ever read the newspaper account -- or strike that -- a newspaper account or accounts concerning why she was granted a new trial?

MR. ALBEE: No.

MR. KEITH: So you don't know why she was granted a new

1	trial.
2	MR. ALBEE: Well, not the reason; no, sir.
3.	MR. KEITH: All right.
4	You don't know you know she has been, but not
5	the reason.
6	MR. ALBEE: Correct.
7	MR. KEITH: Have you ever listened to Vincent Bugliosi
8` ′	he was the first prosecutor speak in public?
·9	MR. ALBEE: I think on a television program. I think
10	one time.
11	MR. KEITH: A talk show?
12	MR. ALBEE: It's very vague. I believe that's what it
13 .	. was.
14	MR. KEITH: Have you ever talked to anybody, in effect,
15	that talked to you about Bugliosi and his speech about the
16	Manson people?
17	MR. ALBEE: No. sir.
18	MR. KEITH: Have you heard any of his election campaign
19	speeches?
20	MR. ALBEE: I think I've seen a couple of spot things
2.1	on television, but there again, that is very vague.
22	MR. KEITH: Did he make any reference to this case
23	not this particular case, but Charlie Manson?
24	MR. ALBEE: I don't recall.
25	MR. KEITH: So at the present time, being totally honest
26	with us, you have no opinion about this case based on what
27	little you have read or heard about it in the past?
28	MD AT DEPT 4 Dia min

MR. KEITH: And you feel you can safely and honestly and candidly say you presume Leslie innocent at this time?

> MR. ALBEE: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: And that presumption of innocence is not rebutted or impaired in your mind by what little you have read or heard about the case?

MR. ALBEE: No. sir.

MR. KEITH: It is Mr. Duncan Anderson.

Let's see, Mr. Anderson, my notes indicate you have read some newspaper reports about the so-called Manson casė.

MR, DUNÇAN ANDERSON: No, sir, TV.

MR. KEITH: Just TV, all right.

My notes say news and TV, but this would be television news?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: News on TV.

MR. KEITH:

1.	MR. KEITH: You have never read anything about the
2	Manson case, so called, in the newspapers at any time?
3	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No more than a headline, no.
4	MR. KEITH: This would have been a headline that occurred
5	way back in August of 1969?
6	What kind of a headline, is what I'm getting at.
7.	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Well, just on Manson, you know.
8	MR. KEITH: You don't even know what the headline said?
9	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, no.
10.	MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard the name Bugliosi before?
11 (MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes.
12	MR. KEITH: In what connection?
13	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: I believe he ran for Mayor.
14	MR. KEITH: All right.
15	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: He was the prosecutor.
16	MR. KEITH: Do you know whether or not he ever had any
17	connection with this case other than what you may have heard
18:	this morning?
19	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: He was the prosecutor, the
20	district attorney in that case.
21	MR. KEITH: And did you learn that just simply from what
22	you have heard this morning here in court?
23	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSÓN: No, sir; no.
24	MR. KEITH: And did you learn that by reason of his
25	electioneering?
26	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. That was back at the time on
27	the TV.

This was back in the 1970's or so?

1 :	NR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
2	MR. KEITH: While the first case was going on?
3	MR; DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, it would have been then.
4	MR. KEITH: Have you read any accounts about the so-
.5	called Manson case since, say, 1970 when you had read a
6	headline or so?
7	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
8	MR. KEITH: I take it, then, you have never been exposed
9	to this book "Helter-Skelter" that was written
10	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, I never read it.
11	MR. KEITH: 1970?
12	Did you ever talk to anybody about it?
13. '	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
14	MR. KEITH: I take it you have never seen the television
15	movie
16	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, I didn't.
1.7	MR. KEITH: called Helter-Skelter?
18	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No.
19	MR. KEITH: You don't even know what Helter-Skelter
20	means or the content of the book or movie?
21	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Just 1t had to do with that
.22	period of time there.
23	MR. KEITH: So right now your mind isn't influenced at
24	all, is it, by the headline or two you may have seen
25	MR. DUNGAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
26	MR. KEITH: many years ago?
27	Now, you have heard this morning that Miss Van
28	Houten was granted a new trial. Do you know anything about

1	why or when or wherever or the reasons for it?
2	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
3	MR. KEITH: Now, how do you know that? Did you read
4	the newspaper article?
5	MR. DUNÇÂN ANDERSON: Television.
Ĝ	MR. KEITH: So at some time you saw a television news
7	program announcing that Miss Van Houten was granted a new
8	trial; is that correct? MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
9.	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
10	
11 -	
12	The state of the s
1,3	
14	
15 16	
10 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	· ·
23	; :
24	
25	
26	
.27	
28	

1	MR. KEITH: And does that fact make it difficult for
2	you to lay aside any possible opinion that you may have had
3	about this case?
4	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Make it possible for me to lay?
5	MR. KEITH: You never had had an opinion about this
6	case?
7	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. I don't have an opinion.
8	MR. KEITH: And you feel that the presumption of
9 :	innocence that attaches to Leslie at the present time is
10.	something very real and meaningful to you?
11	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
12	MR. KEITH: It is not something that is somehow tainted
13	by the fact that she was granted a new trial?
14	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
15	MR. KEITH: Did you hear anything about the reasons why
16	she was granted a new trial?
17	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
18	MR. KEITH: Assuming you don't want to go into that
19	right now, but do you remember the reasons that you heard
20	about?
21	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yeah. I'm assuming you don't
22	want to go into it right now.
2 3	MR.KEITH: Pardon me?
24	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: You don't want to go through it
25	now, do you?
26	MR. KEITH: No, I'm not going to go through it now; I
2 7	want to know if you remember

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: What I believe is what I heard was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19,

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the reason, you know.

MR. KEITH: All right, You heard a reason.

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: And you remember what the reason is?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: And what you remember the reason to be does not affect you at the present time on the question of being influenced by the notoriety that obviously has accompanied this case?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.

MR. KEITH: And the so-called Manson case?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Doesn't affect me, sir.

MR. KEITH: What you are telling me is you have no opinion at the present time about her guilt or innocence.

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Right, sir.

MR. KEITHE Other than you presume her innocent --

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: -- at the present time?

MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: I do.

MR. KEITH: Now, Mr. Bacon.

MR. BACON: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: Let's see. I presume you have read about this so-called Manson case in the papers?

MR. BACON: I have.

MR. KEITH: When is the last time you have read anything about this Manson case?

MR. BACON: Well, I read the paper last night. Said that they were impaneling a jury.

8a,

MR. KEITH: Okay. Before that. 1 Oh, that was quite a while before that that MR. BACON: 2 I read anything about it. 3 MR. KEITH; Did you --4 5 MR. BACON: I would say five or six years. MR. KEITH: All right. 6 7 Did you read anything in the Sunday -- the Los Angeles Times, Sunday edition --8 9 MR. BACON: No, I didn't. 10 MR. KEITH: -- about this case? 11 Incidentally, let me ask Mrs. Adams and Mr. Duncan Anderson and Mr. Albee, did any of the three of you read an 12 13 article in the Sunday edition of the Los Angeles Times about 14 this case? 15 I forgot to ask you that. 16 (The three named prospective jurors 17 shook their heads from side to side.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 **经验证**例是第二次 24, 25 26 27 With the same 28

1

4

5

6.

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KEITH: None of you did.

Have you seen any newspaper -- any television accounts, whether fictional or purportedly factual, about this case in the last five or six years?

MR. BACON: Not that I remember.

MR. KEITH: When I say "fictional," there may have been some moving pictures that are primarily fictional but purport to describe what occurred many years ago that gives rise to this proceeding.

MR. BACON: No. There are a lot of pictures that follow a particular trend of cases like this, but I didn't attach them to that.

MR, KEITH: All right. Have you ever heard of a book by the name of "Helter-Skelter"?

MR. BACON: I have.

MR. KEITH: And did you read that book?

MR. BACON: No, I did not,

MR. KEITH: Have you ever talked to anyone who has read that book about the book?

Of course, I'm sure you've probably talked to many people who have read the book, but --

MR. BACON: I have, but I've never discussed it in context.

MR. KEITH: All right.

In other words, you have never discussed the book "Helter-Skelter" with anyone who has read it?

MR; BACON: I have had people who have read it and started to tell me about it, but I wasn't too interested in it.

f,	MR. KEITH: When you tell me that, do you mean you just
2	simply listened but didn't hear what they said?
3	MR. BACON: That's possible.
4	MR. KEITH: And would the same thing apply to the
5	television motion picture Helter-Skelter?
6	MR. BACON: I have a pretty good program In other
Ź :	words, I have a program that I follow listening to television,
8 :	and stories like that don't seem to fall into that category.
9	MR. KEITH: So you didn't see the television motion
10	picture?
11:	MR. BACON: I did not.
12	MR. KEITH: It was shown twice. You didn't see it
13	either time?
14	MR. BACON: I didn't.
15	MR. KEITH: Did you read anything about this case back
16	in 1969, '70, or '71?
17	MR. BACON: Yes, I think I did. That was about all that
18	was in the paper.
19	MR. KEITH: And did you form an opinion at that time
20	about the guilt or innocence of any of the defendants in
21	that first case?
22	MR. BACON: I will admit I was a little bit prone to
-23	form an opinion regarding the man, the fellow Manson, from
24	his actions.
25	MR. KEITH: He's a pretty bad guy.
26	MR. BACON: He was. He was one of the bad guys.
27	MR. KEITH: You formed that opinion.
28	He was a real bad guy. You formed that opinion.

MR. BACON: Yes, sir, I did. 1. Now, had you formed any opinion about MR. KEITH: 2 Leslie, Leslie Van Houten ---3 MR. BACON: 4. No. MR. KEITH: -- at that time? 5 MR. BACON: No, I didn't. 6 7 MR. KEITH: Do you recognize her name? 8 MR. BACON: Yes, I do. Obviously -- I mean, you have heard her .9 MR. KEITH: name many, many times in the last two days, today and 10 11 yesterday; but what I'm getting at is, does her name cause 12 a reaction in you whereby you remember anything about her 13. past? 14 MR. BACON: No, it doesn't. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21. 22 23 24 25 26 27 1 28

.9 fl

•

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

MR. KEITH: Mr. Bacon, you know nothing about why she

2

1

4:

5

6

΄.

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20: 21:

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 is here today for trial other than she was indicted and entered a plea of not guilty, as the Judge said.

MR. BACON: It seems to me like there was a death, or something, wasn't there, in some of the legal people?

MR. KEITH: Well, I'm not --

Did you read or see any television accounts as to why she was granted a new trial?

MR. BACON: No. I didn't.

MR. KEITH: Apparently you have some vague memory.

MR. BACON: Um-hum.

MR. KEITH: Of why she may have been granted a new trial.

MR. BACON: Well --

MR. KEITH: You don't --

MR. BACON: Yes.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Now, as you sit there now can you tell me with honesty and sincerity — because that's what we have got to have in a case of this seriousness — that you have no opinion at the present time as to her guilt or innocence based on what you read many years ago about the case and based on your opinion of Manson as an evil person — and I'll be the first one to agree to that — but you don't have any opinion about her?

MR. BACON: I do not. I have no reason.

MR. KEITH: Okay; very good.

So, therefore, inasmuch as you have no opinion about her guilt or innocence, you can presume her innocent

1	at the present time because she is entitled to that presumption
. :	
2	as a matter of our very fundamental basic law.
3	MR. BACON: That is our creed, I believe.
4	MR. KEITH: And you can abide by that creed.
.5	MR. BACON: I can.
6	MR. KEITH: That's a good expression to attach to it.
7	MR. BACON: Yes, sir.
8	MR. KEITH: Thank you.
·9	You are Mr. Bethel, aren't you?
10:	MR. BETHEL: That's correct.
11	MR. KEITH: Mrs. Blackshear, you don't know anything
12	about the case; is that correct?
13	MS. BIACKSHEAR: No, I don't.
1 2	MR. KEITH: Pardon me?
15	MR. KEITH: Pardon me? MS. BLACKSHEAR: No, I don't. MR. KEITH: You didn't even hear anything about it. or
16	MR. KEITH: You didn't even hear anything about it, or
17.	at least very little about it many years ago.
18	MS. BLACKSHEAR: (No response.)
19	MR. KEITH: You better wait a minute.
ŽÓ.	MS. BLACKSHEAR: You want me?
21	MR. KEITH: Wait a minute; I skipped somebody.
22	MR. KAY: Mr. Bethel.
23	MR. KEITH: I'm sorry; it's Mr. Bethel I want to talk
24	to right now.
·25	Excuse me, Mrs. Blackshear. You will have your
26	chance, even though you don't seem to be too happy about the
27	opportunity that's about to be afforded you.
28	Now, according to my notes, Mr. Bethel, you did

see T.V., or television, accounts of the case during the first trial.

MR. BETHEL: I did.

MR. KEITH: And at that time did you ever -- had you heard the name "Leslie Van Houten"?

MR. BETHEL: Yes, I had heard the name.

MR. KEITH: All right. And did you follow the case closely on television during the first trial?

MR. BETHEL: Actually I took very little interest in the case. It didn't interest me at that time.

15

25

26

27

28

1.
2
. 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
1:2
13
14
15
16
17
1.8
19
20.
2.1
22
23
24.
25

	MR. KEITH:	bia	it	interest	you	for	some	reason	since
that	timė?								

MR. BETHEL: No; I have never been particularly interested in the case.

MR. KEITH: I gather from those answers you never read a book by the name of "Helter-Skelter."

MR. BETHEL: No, sir, I did not.

MR. KEITH: And you never saw the television motion plcture by the same name.

MR. BETHEL: No, sir, I did not.

MR. KEITH: Now, "Helter-Skelter" is about that early Manson case.

MR. BETHEL: I am aware of that.

MR. KEITH: You are aware of that now.

MR. BETHEL: I was aware of it --

MR. KEITH: You were aware of it when the book was published?

MR. BETHEL: I was aware of it at that time, yes.

MR. KEITH: But you took no interest in either the book or the television motion picture that followed it that was supposed to have been based on the book.

MR. BETHEL: No, sir, I did not.

MR. KEITH: I also gather you have probably read very few, if any, newspaper accounts about the so-called Manson case.

MR. BETHEL: I don't recall ever reading any newspaper account of the case.

It was adequate coverage on television. I presume

-6

9af 8

1.0

1.1.

15:

1.7

that's the reason I didn't read it. At least it was easier that way.

MR. KEITH: Were you -- But even though you heard about the case by means of television, you wouldn't classify yourself as an avid follower of everything that happened about the case, would you?

MR. BETHEL: No, sir; I would classify myself as just interested.

MR. KEITH: You just happened to hear about the case

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23.

25 26

27

28

on the television news because you watch television news generally. Would that be a fair statement? MR. BETHEL: I think that would be too simplified.

I believe it was the subject of conversation everywhere at the time, and I heard comments and conversations about it at times, as well as television.

MR. KEITH: Okay. When you say "at the time," would this be back in 1969, 1970?

MR. BETHEL: Yes, sir, it would.

MR. KEITH: And you joined in those conversations?

MR. BETHEL: I don't recall making any comments about it at the time, but it's very possible that I did.

MR. KEITH: All right. Now, as a result of those conversations and as a result of what you saw on television, did you form an opinion then as to the guilt or innocence of Miss Van Houten?

MR. BETHEL: I wasn't aware of her role or her -- until she was granted a new trial.

I saw her appear on a television news program one night. Prior to that I could not have identified her or her role.

MR. KEITH: All right. Did Leslie's appearance on television cause you to form any opinion about her guilt or innocence that would require evidence to erase?

MR. BETHEL: No, sir, I believe not.

MR. KEITH: When you say you believe not, do you have reservations, or is that just a manner of speech?

9a2

2

4

3 4

5

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BETHEL: Well, I have to say at this point that in my mind I do tend to give credence to the fact that there was a first conviction.

I can't erase it. But that's without having heard the evidence myself.

* MR. KEITH: I appreciate your candor. I really do because we can't spend all day questioning you minutely as to your state of mind and everything you may have done over the past seven years that may have caused you to have some exposure to this case. So I appreciate your telling me that.

> Now, you do realize that by reason of what you heard on television and by reason of the comments that may have been made by your friends, there was a first conviction.

MR. BETHEL: Yes, sir, I am aware of that.

MR. KEITH: And do you feel -- Is your present state of mind now that you will be so influenced -- or would be so influenced by that first conviction that you would be unable to give Leslie now a fair trial and to presume her innocent at the present time.

MR. BETHEL: Well, I do feel I am a fair-minded man. I really do believe I can consider only the evidence before me.

But at the moment if I were asked the question to speculate. I would have to speculate with the former judgment. That's without having heard the evidence.

MR. KEITH: But at the present time you are telling me that you may feel that the first judgment in this case was correct.

MR. BETHEL: I simply cannot wipe it out of my mind at

1 this time. 2 I don't know what I would feel after I heard the 3 evidence myself. I realize this evidence wasn't presented 4 to me, and I didn't --5 I believe that my decision could be made on the 6 basis of the evidence as presented. 7 MR. KEITH: Right now are you telling us that you do have an opinion based on the results of the first trial, as to her guilt or innocence? 10 MR. BETHEL: I'm going to have to answer yes to that. MR. KEITH: Do you feel there is a strong probability that it would take evidence, evidence from the witness stand assuming you were selected as a juror in this case -- to erase that opinion? * A MR. BETHEL: Yes, sir. MR. KEITH: We will challenge --17 Well, may we approach the bench? Or I will wait; 18 I'll wait. 19 THE COURT: All right; you may proceed. 20. MR. KEITH: Thank you. 21 Again, I really appreciate your candor, Mr. Bethel. 22 Thank you. 23 Ms. Blackshear, now is your turn. 24 You don't look yery happy. 25 MS. BLACKSHEAR: Well, I don't know anything about it. 26 I never heard about it before, and I don't know anything about 27 the Manson case. All I saw is in the newspapers; that's all. 28 MR. KEITH: So you have no opinion --

MS. BLACKSHEAR: No opinion. MR. KEITH: You have no opinion like Mr. Bethel was 3. kind enough to tell us about. MS, BLACKSHEAR: No, I don't. ۰9، . Ž6 28.

1	MR. KEITH: None whatsoever.
2	MS. BLACKSHEAR; No; I didn't follow the case.
3	MR. KEITH: As Leslie now sits here in court she is
4 .	presumed innocent. You understand that?
5	MS. BLACKSHEAR: Yes, I do.
6	MR. KEITH: And there is nothing you ever read or heard
7	or that anybody ever told you that impairs that presumption
8 '	in your mind?
9 .	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
10	MR. KEITH: Or taints that presumption?
11 :	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
12	In '69 I had my own problems, and I didn't have
13	to read the papers.
14	MR. KEITH: You are not the only one that has problems.
15	(Laughter.)
1.6	MR. KEITH: All right. Why don't you turn the
17	microphone over to Mr. Bledsoe.
18	Now, Mr. Bledsoe, you apparently saw the television
19	show Helter-Skelter.
20	MR. BLEDSOE: As I recall, I did see it.
21	I don't know if it was in its entirety, but I
22	did see it.
23	MR. KEITH: Well, I believe it was shown in two segments,
24	back in if memory serves in April of 1976, and then it
25	was shown again fairly recently.
26	Which showing did you see?
27	MR. BLEDSOE: Well, it must have been the earlier
28	account that I saw.

TOOF

MR. KEITH: And did you see both segments, or parts, or just one part; or don't you remember?

MR. BLEDSOE: I don't recall. I remember watching the movie, and I can't really say truthfully whether or not I saw both parts or not.

28

1 MR. KEITH: All right. But the movie, you feel, didn't affect your feeling 2 3 about this case that you now have an opinion as to Miss Van 4 Houten's guilt or innocence; is that a fair statement? 5 MR. BLEDSOE: That's a fair statement. 6 MR. KEITH: All right. 7 Now, I daresay you have heard of the book called 8 "Helter-Skelter"? 9 MR. BLEDSOE: I have. 10 MR. KEITH: And do you know who wrote that book? 11 MR. BLEDSOE: In part. I know the co -- one of the 12 coauthors of the book, 13 MR. KEITH: You didn't read the book, I gather? 14. MR. BLEDSOE: I did not. no. 15 MR. KEITH: Have people talked to you about the book, 16 people that have read the book? 17 MR. BLEDSOE: Only in reference to the book. 18 MR. KEITH: Did you follow the first trial at all, other 19 than what was sort of thrust upon you by perhaps the television **20** and newspapers? 21 MR. BLEDSOE: I think that would be a pretty good 2Ž description of how I viewed it. 23 I did not follow the trial incident by incident, 24 account by account. I merely saw and read in very --25 MR. KEITH: Because you couldn't help seeing it? 26 MR. BLEDSOE: Right.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Do you feel that your mind towards Leslie,

Miss Van Houten, is, let's say, in some manner contaminated 1 2 because of what you read and seen and perhaps heard? 3. MR. BLEDSOE: No. * 4 MR. KEITH: Do you feel that you can abide by the creed, as I believe Mr. Bacon so well put it, of the 5 6 presumption of innocence if you were selected as a trial **克林 "我们的** 7 juror? 8 (No response.) g MR. KEITH: Maybe I -- Let me repeat it. 10 You realize she's presumed innocent at the present 11 time? 12 MR. BLEDSOE: Yes. 13 MR. KEITH: Do you feel that anything you have seen, read, 14. or heard about the case in your mind has impaired her right 15 to be presumed innocent? 16 MR. BLEDSOE: No. 17 MR. KEITH: Are you telling me that you have no opinion 18 about her guilt or innocence other than that she is presumed 19 innocent by reason of what you have read, seen, or heard about 20 the case? 21 MR. BLEDSOE: Yes, I have no opinion; no. 22 Do you feel that you would be in any way MR. KEITH: 23 influenced by what you may have read, seen, or heard about 24 the case beyond what you hear from the witness stand in the 25 event you were selected as a trial jurgr? 26. I think this is the part where I have some MR. BLEDSOE: 27 difficulty with. I say right now I don't know. And maybe 28 this is my own problem. Because I could say now to you no or

i

2

3 4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10 11.

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

.21

22

.23

24 25

26

27

28

yes or whatever.

I realize the dilemma you find yourself in MR. KEITH: because I daresay it is difficult to prognosticate what may happen inside your head a month from now. I understand that.

But do you feel you could do your damndest, if you will pardon the expression, to be fair?

MR. 'ELEDSOE: Oh, sure.

September 1

多。 图 2. (1) A 数 数 数 数

19

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1 •2

2

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

1.1

12 13

f4

15

:16-

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KEITH: And not be -- and try to shut out of your mind anything you may have heard about the case in the past?

MR. BLEDSOE: Oh, sure. I could very well agree to that, yes.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard a fellow name Bugliosi talk about the case in speeches before groups or on television talk shows or during his election campaign for district attorney, incidentally, not for mayor.

MR. BLEDSOE: Yes.

Well, I've heard sections or portions of speeches made by Mr. Bugliosi, but I've not -- that I can recall -- heard anything with reference to the case.

want you to recite to us why he thought he'd make a good district attorney. I just wanted to know if you heard during his electioneering dratery any speeches he may have made about prosecuting this case some many years ago.

MR. BLEDSOE: Well, sure, I've heard references made by him that he was the prosecutor of that particular case.

MR. KEITH: That doesn't bother you any as you sit here now, does it?

MR. BLEDSOE: No.

MR. KEITH: You never heard any speeches by Bugliosi about the facts of the case?

MR. BLEDSOE: I have not.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Could you pass the microphone to Mrs. Bloom, please.
Mrs. Bloom, may I characterize your past exposure

28

to	this	case	in	the	manher	that	Mrs.	Blackshear	characterized
lt,	, vir	tuallj	n	117					

MS. BLOOM: That's true, sir. Just whatever happened to be on the radio as I was driving to and from work.

It's very, very little, because I don't follow things like that.

MR. KEITH: And when you talk about hearing reports of the case when you drove to and from work, this would be many years ago?

MS. BLOOM: Yes, sir; just on the news.

MR. KEITH: Do you realize now that there was a first trial and that Miss Van Houten was involved in that first trial?

MS. BLOOM: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: Were you aware of that yesterday before we started talking to you people this morning?

MS. BLOOM: Was I aware of what?

MR. KEITH: Were you aware that there was a first trial and that Miss Van Houten was a defendant then?

MS. BLOOM: When someone mentioned her name, it rang a bell that I had heard it before.

MR. KEITH: All right:

But you took no interest in those proceedings?

MS. BLOOM: That is correct, sir.

MR. KEITH: And you only heard about it not by design but simply because of news broadcasts that came on the air while you were driving to work?

MS. BLOOM: That's true.

1	
1	MR. KEITH: And you have no opinion
2	MS. BLOOM: I have no opinion.
3	MR. KEITH: no opinion about the case at all?
4	MS. BLOOM: That's correct.
5	MR. KEITH: And do you feel that you could decide this
6	case, if you were selected as a trial juror, solely from the
7	evidence presented to you from the witness stand and not from
8	any other source?
9	MS. BLOOM: That's correct.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 -	
15 16	
10 17	
18	
1:9	
20	
21	
2 <u>2</u> ,	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 [.]	
28	

1:)	MR. KEITH: Did you read anything about this case in
2	the Sunday edition of the Los Angeles Times this last Sunday?
3.	MS. BLOOM: No. sir.
4	MR. KEITH: You can promise us, then, all of us, that
5	you would not be influenced in any way by anything you may
6	have read, seen, or heard about the case outside the courtroom
7	MS. BLOOM: That is correct,
8	MR. KEITH: Now, could you
.9	Mr. Bouchard?
10	MR, BOUCHARD: Bouchard, right.
11	MR. KEITH: Bouchard.
12	You have read some newspaper articles, apparently,
13	about the case?
14	MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, at the time I believe I did.
1:5	MR. KEITH: Pardon me?
16	MR. BOUCHARD: I said yes, I did. I don't recall the
17	specific articles but
18	MR. KEITH: Would this have been back in 1969 and '70?
19 :	MR. BOUCHARD: Yes.
.20	MR. KEITH: I gather, then, that you were not a reader
21	of the book "Helter-Skelter," or a viewer of the motion
22	picture Helter-Skelter?
23	MR. BOUCHARD: I did not read the book. I believe I did
24	see parts one half of it.
25	MR. KEITH: Of the
26	MR. BOUCHARD: Movie, television version,
27	MR. KEITH: Do you remember which half?
28	MR. BOUCHARD: I think it was the second half.

the state of the s

MR. KEITH: Do you remember anything about a trial in 1 2 the second half? Seems, yes, there was a trial. 3; MR. BOUGHARD: MR. KEITH: Did seeing that second half of the movie 4 5 Helter-Skelter leave any impression on you? 6 MR. BOUCHARD: No, not that I can recall, .7-MR. KEITH: Now that you are sitting here in a jury . 8 box faced with the very possible task of judging Miss Van 9 Houten's guilt or innocence, does it leave an impression on 10 you? 11 MR. BOUCHARD: No. 12 MR. KEITH; Understanding that Miss Van Houten is 13 presumed innocent at the present time, would you be able to 14 follow that presumption unimpaired by any extrajudicial 15 influences that you may have encountered such as the second 16 half of that TV show? 17 MR. BOUCHARD: I believe I could. 18 MR. KEITH: Are you sure of that? 19 MR. BOUGHARD: Yes. 20 MR. KEITH: Could you promise me you could do that? 21 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes. 22 MR. KEITH: You have heard of Bugliosi, have you? 23 MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, I have. 24 MR. KEITH: Did you ever hear any of his speeches that 25 he's made, countless speeches to Rotary Clubs and this 26 organization and that organization? 27 MR. BOUCHARD: No, I have never experienced any of those. 28 I've seen it on TV, I think, on some news coverages.

27

28

MR. KEITH: Seen what on TV? Seen his face on TV. MR. BOUCHARD: MR. KEITH: Was that in connection with his running for district attorney some time ago? MR. BOUCHARD: I believe so. I believe so, yes. MR. KEITHs Have you ever heard him talk about the 6 -Manson case? ... MR. BOUCHARD: No, I have not. MR. KEITH: In your mind, having seen the second half 9. of the movie Helter-Skelter, does that put Leslie two down, two strikes down, in your thinking? MR. BOUCHARD: No. I would like to think I could be very fair and open-minded. 21 22 23 24 25 26

1 MR. KEITH: Well, I know I'd like you to like to think .2 that, too; but do you think you could do it if you were put 3 to the task? 4. MR. BOUCHARD: Yes, I believe I could, yes, 5 MR. KEITH: It wouldn't take any evidence to erase any 6 possible impressions you may have received from seeing the 7 second half of that TV show? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. KEITH: Did you understand my question? 10 MR. BOUCHARD: No. 11 MR. KETTH: Well, it's kind of a technical question. 12 I'm not so - I don't -13 Here's the thing: If you are selected as a trial 14 juror, and you already have a preconceived opinion about the 15 case, if you have already prejudged it one way or another, 16 both sides are in a poor position. That's the prosecution, 17 too, you see. And we can't have that. 18 MR. BOUCHARD: 19. MR. KEITH: We can't ---20 MR. BOUCHARD: I understand that. .21 MR. KEITH: We can't have any jurors that have already 22 prejudged the case. 23 It is not because we just don't like it, the law 24 says so. 25 Therefore, that's why I'm asking you if you have 26 already prejudged this case; and, if so, if it would take .27 evidence to get rid of that opinion you already have. 28 Now, you have told us you don't even think you have

an opinion. 1 MR. BOUCHARD: 2 I haven't prejudged the case. MR. KEITH: So we don't have to even get to the point 3 whether it would take evidence to erase that opinion or if 4 you could erase it from your mind right now without any 5 evidence, because you don't have any opinion in the first 6 7 place, right? 8 MR. BOUCHARD: That's right. That's right. 9 MR. KEITH: I have nothing further. THE COURT: Mr. Kay, you may inquire. 1.0 11 12 13: 14 15 16. 17 18. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

. 11 fl

MR. KAY: Ms. Adams, do you ever watch the news, the MR. KAY: And what channel do you usually watch? MR. KAY: Now, any time this year, January, February or this month, did you see an interview with Miss Van Houten on MR. KAY: Did you ever see an interview with Miss Van Houten on any other program on Channel 7, with Barbara Walters? MS. ADAMS: The Herald-Examiner, when I get a chance. MR. KAY: Okay. Now, in the Herald did you read an MR. KAY: At any time this year have you read any MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Mr. Keith on television? MR. KAY: Have you ever heard him on radio or seen him quoted in the newspapers, talking about his opinion of Miss Van Houten? 28 MS. ADAMS: No, sir; I don't even know him.

ή	
2	

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

1.7

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25.

26

27

28

	MR.	KAY:	Have	You	ever	read	anything	about
Miss	Van	Houten	2					

MS. ADAMS: Only in the first deal with Mr. Manson, Very little.

I could not have even identified her yesterday. MR. KAY: Now, just as Mr. Keith accurately pointed out 7 to you, ladies and gentlemen, that the defendant is entitled to a fair trial in a criminal case, the other side of the coin is that the prosecution is entitled to just as fair a trial as the defense.

> Now, for this reason I am going to ask this question:

Mr. Keith asked you questions about forming opinions on guilt or innocence as far as Miss Van Houten is concerned.

But, Ms. Adams, let me ask you this question: In anything you have heard or read or seen about this case, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not at the time of the La Bianca murders Miss Van Houten had any mental illness;

MS. ADAMS: No.

MR. KEITH: Could that question be read back. see anything wrong with it; I just didn't hear it.

THE COURT: Could you read the question back, Mr. Sanzo? (Record read.)

MR. KAY: And her answer was "No."

MR. KEITH: Well, that -- All right, but the -- the question ---

May we approach the bench?

2.

7.

9.

11.

3 4

. __

THE COURT: Yes; will counsel approach the bench.

(The following proceedings were held

at the bench:)

MR. KEITH: I find his question objectionable because it does assume she was there at the time of the La Bianca murders.

THE COURT: Wall, wasn't the question "at the time of that"?

MR. KAY: I said, "At the time of the murders." I didn't say she was there.

	· ·
1	MRI KEITH: Well, the implication is clear: Was she
2,	suffering from mental illness, or have you heard anything
3	to that effect?
4	MR. KAY: Well, I'm entitled to a fair trial, too.
5	THE COURT: I will permit the question as to whether or
6	not the jurors have heard anything concerning that subject
7	matter
8'	MR. KEITH: I think that's fair, but
9	MR. KAY: Well, I have to know if they formed any
10	opinion.
11 ,	THE COURT: Yes; all right.
12	MR. KEITH: That's all right.
13	MR. KAY: Okay.
14	(The following proceedings were held in
15	open court in the presence of the
16	prospective jurors:)
17	MR. KAY: Realizing, Ms. Adams, that the prosecution has
18	the legal burden in this case of proving beyond a reasonable
19	doubt that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit
20 21	a willful, deliberate and premeditated murder on August 10th,
	1969, do you feel knowing that we have that burden, do you
22	feel you can give the prosecution a fair trial in this case?
23	MS. ADAMS: I feel I can.
24	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard of the term "helter-skelter"
25	MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir, I have.
26	MR. KAY: Have you heard that term as it was used by
27	members of the Hanson family?
28	MS. ADAMS: No. I know there is a book by that name.

	· -	
	1	MR. KAY: What does that term mean to you?
Ų.	2	MS. ADAMS: Disarray.
Lla	3	MR. KAY: Have you heard of a girl named Linda Kasabian?
,	4	MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir, I have.
	5	MR. KAY: Who is she?
	6	MS. ADAMS: I believe she was one of the group.
	7	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion about her, about
	8	her honesty, her veracity or what involvement she had in this
	9 : :	case?
	10	MS. ADAMS: No, sir, I really haven't.
	11	MR. KAY: Do you feel, with everything that you know
	12	about this case, that you can give the prosecution a fair
	13	trial?
	14	MS. ADAMS: Yes, sir, I do.
	15	MR. KAY: All right; thank you.
	16	Could you please pass the microphone to Mr. Albee.
	1.7	Before I forget, one thing, Ms. Adams: Do you
•	18:	read the Christian Science Monitor?
	19	Ms. ADAMS: No. sir, I do not.
, i	20	MR. KAY: All right.
	21 (Mr. Albes, do you read the Christian Science
· ••• 7	22	Monitor?
i de la seconda	· 23 ·	MR. ALBEE: No. sir.
	. 24	MR. KAY: What newspapers do you read?
	·	MR. ALBEE: The Herald-Examiner and the Huntington Park
		Signal.
	27	MR. KAY: Excuse me; I didn't hear the last part.
	28	MR. AIBEE: The Huntington Park Signal, a small paper.

1	MR. KAY: Yes; I am familiar with it.
2	Did you read an article in the Sunday Herald about
3	this case?
4	MR. ALBEE: No. sir.
5	MR. KAY: Have you read anything this year, any articles
6	in any newspapers or magazines about any interviews with the
7	defendant, Leslie Van Houten?
8	MR. AIBEE: No. I haven't.
9 :	
10	
11 '	;
12	
13	
14	
15 .	, ,
16 ·	
1.7	·
18	·
19	
2Ö	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 .	
27	

	takin menganan tengan arawa manakanan dalam pada tengan pada tengan mengan mengan 186 186 186
1	MR. KAY: Do you watch the nightly news on T.V.?
۷.	Trans tringing that white
à	MR. KAY: Not at all?
4	MR. AIBEE: No. I just simply don't have the time.
5	MR. KAY: Do you ever listen to the news on the radio?
6	MR. ALBEE: Once in awhile in the morning, when I am
7	driving to work.
8	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard Mr. Keith on the radio
:9	talking about Miss Van Houten?
10	MR. AIBEE: Not that I know of.
11	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard Mr. Keith on the radio?
1.2	MR. AIBEE: I don't believe so.
13	MR. KAY: Now
14	THE COURT: Mr. Kay, I wonder if this is an appropriate
15.	time to interrupt.
16	Could counsel approach the bench for just a minute
17	please.
18	Could we have the court reporter.
19	(The following proceedings were held
20	at the bench;)
2 1.	THE COURT: We have some let's see; of the ninety
22	jurors, all but 24 of them are downstairs in 106.
23	Will counsel stipulate that they may go out to
24	lunch without the Court admonishing them any further today?
25.	MR. KAY: So stipulated.
26	MR. KEITH: So stipulated.
27	THE COURT: All right; we will let them go.
28	In regard to we have 12 jurors waiting in our

jury room here.

May it be stipulated they may separate for lunch? MR. KAY: So stipulate.

MR. KEITH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to admonish this group, and we will resume at 1:30.

All right.

(The following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the prospective jurous:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we are going to recess for the lunch hour.

Bear in mind during this recess, as in all recesses, that you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else, and you are not to talk to anyone — that means even your fellow jurors — about this case.

and you are not to form any opinion or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you, if you are selected on the jury.

Furthermore, you are not to allow yourselves to read, see or hear any publicity, news accounts, radio or television accounts of what has transpired in the courtroom or about this case.

All right. At this time we are going to recess until 1:30.

Those of you in the jury box are to return to the seats you now occupy.

The defendant and counsel are ordered to return

22

23

24

25

26

27

at 1:30. Thank you. (At 11:57 a.m. a recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of the same day.) 1.7 18. 21: 26.

12-1

1,3-1

1

DÉPARTMENT NO. 130

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MARCH 29, 1977; 1:55 P.M. HON. EDWARD A. HINZ. JR.. JUDGE

--- a000---

(Appearances as heretofore noted.)

5

2

3

4

6

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

:20-21 €

22

23°

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: People yersus Van Houten.

Let the record show the defendant is present and represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel; the 12 jurors are in their assigned places.

You may resume, Mr. Kay,

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Mr. Albee, Judge Hinz informed you this morning of the charges in this case, that the LaBianca murders are alleged to have occurred on August 10th, 1969.

Now, from all you know about this case, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not Miss Van Houten was or was not suffering any form of mental illness on August 10th, 1969?

IR. ALBEE: No. I have not.

MR. KAY: Have you, from what you know about the case, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not anyone who participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders must have been suffering from some type of mental illness at the time of the murders?

MR. ALBEE: Well, I wouldn't say that the actions were normal.

MR. KAY: Well, do you think that the participants must have been crazy at the time of the commission of the murders?

11.

<u>2</u>4

14 fl

MR. ALBEE: By my standards, they must have been.

MR. KAY: Do you think that they probably didn't know what they were doing?

MR. ALBEE: I don't know that.

MR. KAY: Now, in this case, as I said a little while ago, the prosecution is entitled to a fair trial, just as the defense is.

And of course one burden we have in this case is we have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder with the LaBianca murders, the murders with which she is charged.

Now, with your feeling about the people that committed this so-called Tate-LaBianca murders, do you feel that you can give the People a fair trial on the issue of whether or not Miss Van Houten is guilty of first degree murder, knowing what our burden is?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, I can.

MR. KAY: Do you think you can keep an open mind?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, I can.

MR. KAY: Do you think you could put aside what you feel your opinion is at this time about whether or not the participants were suffering any type of mental illness, and base your verdict on that solely on the evidence that you hear in this courtroom?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, I can.

在1994年,大村的1986年

•			
4			1

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. KAY: You understand that your feeling about —
by your own standards — whether a person is crazy or not
might not be the same as the legal standards in the instructions that Judge Hinz will give you at the end of the case.

Do you understand that?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, I'm aware of that.

MR. KAY: And will you be guided by his instructions?

MR. ALBEE: Yes.

MR. KAY: Do you feel that you can just put aside everything you have heard about this case in your mind as far as whether anybody was suffering any mental illness or not and just base your verdict solely on the testimony that you hear from the witness stand?

MR. ALBEE: Yes, I can.

MR. KAY: Is there any doubt at all in your mind?

MR. ALBEE: No.

NR. KAY: And you feel you can give the prosecution a

MR. ALBEE: Yes.

MR. KAY: All right.

Now, you said to one question that Mr. Keith asked you that Miss Van Houten was -- has been in an institution.

Well, you don't think she's been in a mental institution, do you?

MR. ALBEE: I have no way of knowing.

MR. KAY: Excuse me?

MR. ALBEE: I have no way of knowing.

28

MR. KAY: What did you mean by "institution"? By "institution," I meant jail. MR. ALBEE: MR. KAY: Excuse me? I meant a jail. MR. ALBEE: MR. KAY: A jail, all right. You used the word "institution." I wasn't sure what your state of mind was as to where she had been. All right. Could you pass that microphone down to Mr. Anderson here. 20.

1	Mr. Anderson, what newspapers do you read?
2	MR, DUNCAN ANDERSON: Los Angeles Times,
3	MR. KAY: Now, have you this year read a front page
·4	interview with Miss Van Houten in the Los Angeles Times?
5	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
Ġ.	MR. KAY: All right.
7	Did you see her picture on the front page of the
8	paper?
ģ	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir.
1:0	MR. KAY: Have you read any newspaper articles about
1:1	Miss Van Houten this year?
12	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. Bir.
13	MR. KAY: Do you read the Christian Science Monitor?
1:4	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
15	MR. KAY: Do you ever watch the nightly news on TV?
16	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
17	MR. KAY; What channel?
1.8	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Seven.
1 <u>9</u>	MR. KAY; All right.
20	Have you seen Miss Van Houten on Channel 7 this
21	year?
2Ž	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
23	MR. KAY: Not at all?
24	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No.
25	MR. KAY: Have you seen her on any non-news programs on
26	Channel 7?
27	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir.
28	MR. KAY: Now. again, as I told Mr. Albee and Mrs. Adams.

٩ the prosecution, of course, is entitled to just as fair a trial as the defense in this case. 2 Realizing that, have you in your own mind 3 formulated any opinion as to whether or not the people who 4 participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders must have Ė. been crazy at the time of the murders? 6 MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir. 7 8 MR. KAY: Do you feel that they must not have known 9 what they were doing? 10 MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: MR. KAY: All right. 11 12 Now, as Judge Hinz told you this morning, the 13 LaBianca murders are alleged to have occurred on August 10th, 14 1969. 15 Have you formed any opinion as to whether or not 16 Miss Van Houten was suffering from any form of mental illness 17 on August 10th, 1969? MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: 18 I don't know. 19 MR. KAY: Have you formulated any opinion as to Miss Van 20 Houten's state of mind at any time? 21 MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No; no, str. 22 MR. KAY: All right. 23 And, Mr. Albee, what about you, have you formulated any opinion as to her state of mind at any time? 24 MR. ALBEET NO. 25 26 MR. KAY: And Mrs. Adams, have you? 27 MS. ADAMS: No. 28 MR. KAY: All right,

1	Mr. Anderson, have you heard the name Linda
2 [,]	Kasabian?
3	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
4	MR. KAY: Do you know who Linda Kasabian is?
5	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
6 [.]	MR. KAY: Who is she?
7	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: A witness.
8 ;	MR, KAY: And have you formulated any opinion as to her
.9	truth or veracity as a witness?
10	MR, DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir.
11.	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to what role
12	she played on the nights of the Tate and LaBlanca murders?
13	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No. sir.
14	MR. KAY: And have you heard of the term "helter-skelter"
15	as it was used by members of the Manson family?
16	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir.
17	MR. KAY: All right. You don't know what that means?
18	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: Disarray, I guess.
19	MR. KAY: You don't know of any special meaning that it
20	might have had to members of the Manson family?
21	MR. DUNCAN ANDERSON: No, sir.
22	MR. KAY: Do you feel that you can give the prosecution
23	a fair trial in this case?
24	NR. DUNCAN ANDÈRSON: Yes, sir.
25	MR. KAY: Okay, thank you very much.
26	Could you pass that way back to Mr. Bacon.
27	THE BAILIFF; I'll get 1t.
·28	MR. KAY: Mr. Bacon, have you ever seen Mr. Keith,

15 fl

```
Miss Van Houten's attorney, on TV?
 1
 2
             MR. BACON:
                            I have not.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13:
14
15
16
17
18
19
20.
.21
22
23
24
25
26 /
27
28
```

3

4

5

:6

7

8

you.

9 10

1:1

12

13. 14

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

MR. KAY: Have you ever heard him on the radio or read about him in the newspaper, talking about Miss Van Houten? MR. BACON: No. sir.

MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Miss Van Houten on T.V.? MR. BACON: No.

MR. KAY: You haven't even seen her picture on T.V.? MR. BACON: I don't believe I have, to be honest with

MR. KAY: All right. Have you read any newspaper articles this year purporting to be an interview with Leslie Van Houten?

MR. BACON: I have not.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to Miss Van Houten's mental state at any time during the year 1969? MR. BACON: No.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to her mental state at any time?

MR. HACON: No.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to what -- as to whether or not you think the people who participated in the so-called Tate-La Bianca murders must have been crazy at the time of the murders?

MR. BACON: Well, what little I have read or heard about it, I have a feeling that they were slightly confused.

MR. KAY: Now, do you think that -- Would you require the prosecution to put on evidence to dispel that feeling that you have that they were slightly confused?

MR. BACON: Well, in my own mind I feel it would help.

MR. KAY: All right.

. 50,

22°

 Well, in other words, you would require us to put on witnesses to testify from that witness stand that they in fact weren't confused, before you would give up your opinion that you have right now, that they must have been confused?

MR. BACON: I don't believe so.

MR. KAY: You think you can start out with a clean slate without any real opinion as to what the mental state of the participants in the Tate-La Bianca murders were?

MR. BACON: I could.

MR. KAY: And realizing that the prosecution has the burden in this case of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murders of the first degree, do you think you can give the prosecution a fair trial?

MR. BACON: I would do my best.

MR. KAY: Woll, do you think you can?

MR. BACON: I do.

MR. KAY: And Will you?

MR. BACON: I Will.

MR. KAY: Do you understand that your opinion that you were talking about a little while ago, that it may not be normal, but that it may not be a legal standard; and that the law that Judge Hinz gives you at the end of the trial might be different from your idea whether a person was normal or not normal at the time they committed a crime.

Do you understand that?

1	
1:	MR. BACON: Yes, I understand that.
2	MR. KAY: And will you follow his instructions on that?
3	MR. BACON: I Will.
4	MR. KAY: All right.
5	Could you pass the microphone down to Ms. Blackshea
6	MS. BLACKSHEAR: He's next.
7.	MR, KAY: Well, I am doing that because I think
8.	Mr. Keith has some other plans for Mr. Bethel.
9	So I apologize to you, sir.
10	MR. BETHEL: I understand.
11	MR. KAY: But I think you are going to be excused.
12	Ms. Blackshear, do you read any newspapers at all
13	nows.
14	MS. BLACKSHEAR: I read the newspapers on Wednesday for
15	the receipes, and the Herald-Examiner on Thursdays and the
16	Times for the receipes.
1-7	The rest of the time I read it when I see a paper,
18	but I don't buy them.
19	MR. KAY: Did you this year read an article in the
20	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
2,1	Leslie Van Houten?
22	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
. 24 25	
2 6	
27	
28	*

,	
1	MR. KAY: Do you ever read the Christian Sciene
2	Monitor?
3	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
4	MR. KAY: Do you ever watch any of the T.V. news
5 .	programs at night?
6	MS. BLACKSHEAR: Sometimes.
7	MR. KAY: What channel?
8	MS. BLACKSHEAR: Any channel that happens to be on.
9	2, 4, 7, anything; it doesn't matter.
10 .	MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Mr. Keith on television?
11	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
12	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard him on radio or read
13	about him in the paper talking about Miss Van Houten?
14	MS. BIACKSHEAR: No.
15	MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Miss Van Houten on
16	television?
17	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No.
18	MR. KAY: Now, again, as Judge Hinz told you this
19	morning, the La Blanca murders are alleged to have occurred
<u>20</u>	on August 10th, 1969.
21	Now, from what you know about this case have you,
22	yourself, formed any opinion as to whether or not Miss Van Houte
23	might have been suffering any mental illness on August 10th,
24	19697
25	MS BLACKSHEAR: No. As I said before, I never heard of
• 26	it. I have no not formulated no opinion of it.
27	MR. KAY: All right. So you haven't formed any opinion
1,28	shows her mental etates at any times to that wicht?

Ä e	MS. BLACKSHEAR: No. I haven't.
2 ·	MR. KAY: And do you feel you can give the prosecution
3	a fair trial in this case?
4	MS. BLACKSHEAR: Yes.
5 ,	MR. KAY: Okay. Would you please pass the microphone
6	to Mr. Bledsoe.
7	Mr. Bledsoe, have you heard of Linda Kasabian?
8:	MR. BLEDSOE: Yes.
9.	MR. KAY: And who is Linda Kasabian?
10:	MR. BLEDSOE: Well, I know that she was involved in some
11	way in some of the earlier proceedings, but as to her role
12	I wouldn't know.
13	MR. KAY: So you recognize the name but you don't know
1 4: •	exactly
15	MR. BLEDSOE: Right.
16	MR. KAY: what she is connected with.
17	Have you heard the term "helter-skelter" as it
18.	was used by members of the Family?
19	MR. BLEDSOE: Yes.
20	MR. KAY: And do you know what that means?
21	MR. BLEDSOE: Not really. I only recognize it in
23	relationship to the book.
24	MR. KAY: Now, which newspaper do you read?
25°	MR. BLEDSOE: I don't really read any of them.
26	I guess the one that I get certain things that I
27.	want out of is the Star News, Pasadena.
	MR. KAY: Have you ever read anything about

Miss Van Houten in the Star News?

28

MR. BLEDSOE: No.

MR. KAY: Have you read anything about Miss Van Houten in any other newspapers this year?

MR. BLEDSOB: 20.

MR. RAY: Have you seen her on T.V. this year?

MR. BLEDSOE: No.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to whether or not anyone who participated in the so-called Tate-La Bianca murders must have been crazy at the time of the murders? MR. BLEDSOE: No.

1	
1.	MR. KAY: And have you formed any opinion as to
2	whether or not Miss Van Houten might have been suffering
3	any mental illness on August 10th, 1969, the date of the
4	La Bianca murders?
. 5	MR. BLEDSOE: No, I have not.
6	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to
7	Miss Van Houten's mental state at any time?
8	MR. BLEDSOE: No.
9	MR. KAY: And realizing that the prosecution has the
, 10	legal burden of proving that Miss Van Houten had the mental
11	capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder
. 12	on August 10th, 1969, do you feel you can give the prosecution
13	a fair trial in this case?
14	MR. BLEDSOF: Yes.
15	MR. KAY: All right. Thank you very much.
. 16	Could you pass the microphone down to Ms. Bloom.
17	Ms. Bloom, what newspapers do you read?
18	MS. BLOOM: We take the Los Angeles Times, but I don't
19	really have much time to look at it.
20	THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Bloom; could you hold the
21	microphone up a little higher.
22	MS. BLOOM: Oh, I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	MR. KAY: In the Times this year, actually in February,
25	did you read a front page article purporting to be an
26	interview with Miss Van Houten?
27	MS. BLOOM: No. sir, I didn't.
28:	MR. Way. 1918 you see her picture on the front page

1	of the paper?
2	MS. BLOOM: NO.
3.	MR. KAY: Have you read any newspaper accounts of
4	Miss Van Houten this year?
5	MS. BLOOM: No, I haven't.
6	MR. KAY: Have you read anything about this case this
7	yéar?
8	MS. BLOOM: No, I haven't.
9	MR. KAY: Have you seen Mr. Keith on television?
10	Ms. BLOOM: No.
11	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard him or read about him
12	talking about Miss Van Houten?
, 13 , 2)	MS. BLOOM: No.
14	MR. KAY: Do you know who Linda Kasabian is?
15.	MS, BLOOM: Well, I have heard of her name, but
· • 16 •	MR. KAY: Do you know anything about her?
	MS. BLOOM: No. I don't.
18	MR. KAY: All right. Have you heard of the term
19	"helter-skelter" as it was used by members of the Manson
20	family?
21	MS. BLOOM: Well, just that there was a movie, but I
22	didn't see it.
23	MR. KAY: Do you know what meaning they gave to the term
24	"helter-skelter"?
25.	MS. BLOOM: NO.
26	MR. KAY: And have you formed any opinion as to
27	Miss Van Houten's mental state on August 10th, 1969?
28.	MS. BLOOM: No.

Tl6£

28

MR; KAY: And have you formed any opinion as to whether or not you feel that anyone who participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders must have been crazy at the time of the murders?

MS. BLOOM: No.

MR. KAY: And realizing that the prosecution has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder, do you feel that you can give the prosecution a fair trial?

MS. BLOOM: I think so, yes.

MR. KAY: Okay, thank you.

Could you pass it to Mr. Bouchard.

What newspapers do you read?

MR. BOUCHARD: L. A. Times.

MR. KAY: All right.

Did you read the article about -- purporting to be an interview with Leslie Van Houten in the Los Angeles Times?

MR. BOUCHARD: I saw the article, but I did not read it.
MR. KAY: Okay.

Have you read any newspaper articles about Miss Van Houten this year?

MR. BOUCHARD: No, I have not.

MR. KAY: Have you read any articles about this trial?

NR. BOUCHARD: No, I have not.

MR. KAY: Do you know who Linda Kasabian is?

MR. BOUCHARD: I know she's one of the principals in

1 the original case, yes. 2 MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to her truth 3. and veracity or to what part she played in these two nights 4 of murder? 5 MR. BOUCHARD: No. I haven t. 6 Have you formed any opinion as to whether or MR. KAY: 7 not Leslie Van Houten was suffering any type of mental illness 8 on August 10th, 1969? 9. MR. BOUCHARD: No, I have not. 10 MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to whether 11 anyone who participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders 12 must have been crazy at the time of the murders? 13 MR. BOUCHARD: No, I have not. 14. MR. KAY: Do you feel you can keep an open mind on that 15 issue? 16 MR. BOUCHARD: I think I can, yes. 17 MR, KAY: And realizing that we have the burden of 18 proving that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit 19 a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder on the night of 20 the LaBianca murders, do you feel you can give the prosecution 21 a fair trial? 22 MR. BOUCHARD: I believe I can, yes. 23 MR, KAY: Okay, thank you. 24 I have no further questions, 25 MR. KEITH: May we approach the bench? 26 THE COURT: Yes, will counsel approach the bench. 27

28

(The following proceedings were held 1 at the bench:) Ź THE COURT: Are there any challenges? 3 MR. KEITH: Yes. I would challenge Mr. Bethel kind of .4 reluctantly. I like him, but he does have an opinion that 5 the original finding of the jury was correct. And he felt 6 that he could not erase that opinion without evidence to the 7 8 contrary ٠9 So I take it you are challenging him for <u>, 10</u> cause? MR. KEITH: Yes. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18: 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

16=4

26

27

28

THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard on the matter?

MR. KAY: No.

THE COURT: Any others?

MR. KEITH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any challenges?

MR. KAY: No.

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were held

in open court:)

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, the following juror is excused from further service upon this case: Ernest L. Bethel, Juror No. 8.

We appreciate your attendance upon the court. You should report to the fifth floor jury room.

Thank you very much.

All right, ladies and gentlemen, those of you seated in the jury box at this time, you will be returned to the waiting room in Department 106 to await further call of the court.

Bear in mind during this recess the admonition I've given you several times; that is, you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else, and you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

Furthermore, you must not allow yourselves to read, hear, or see any news media accounts of this matter.

All right. You may now return to Department 106.

1	(The remaining prospective jurors exited
2	the courtroom, and a new group of
3	prospective jurors was escorted in.)
4.	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we are
5.	going to inquire as to the matter of publicity,
6	What I'd like to do is first call the names of
7	each of you, starting with the first seat in the first row
8	here, and be sure that we have the correct juror in the
9	correct seat.
1Ò	All right. Juror No. 1, is your name Richard A.
11	Brand?
12	MR. BRAND: That's correct.
13	THE COURT: Then we have Doris M. Bridge?
14	MS. BRIDGE: That's right.
15	THE COURT: And Ralph F. Bridges?
16	MR. BRIDGES: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Number 4 is Carlos Briseno?
18 🗼	MR. BRISENO: Right.
19	THE COURT: Next is Neil M. Burton?
20	MR. BURTON: Right.
21	THE COURT: Then Mrs. Floretta R. Butler?
22	MS. BUTLER: Correct.
23	THE COURT: Then going to the back row, Lloyd H.
24	Carlson?
25	MR. CARLSON: Yes, sir.
26	THE COURT: Miss Dorothy M. Carter?
27	MS. CARTER: Yes.
28	THE COURT: Miss Betty E. Cascarino?

24

25

26

27

28

MS. CASCARINI: Right. THE COURT: Miss Cora A. Chargois? MS. CHARGOIS: Yes. THE COURT: Roger D. Christopher? MR. CHRISTOPHER: Right. And finally, Mr. Frank Coats? THE COURT: MR. COATS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right, thank you. With Allerania

16-7

3.55

1 2

3

4

5 6

ž

,

8

9

10· -11

12

:13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

At this time, ladies and gentlemen, the court is going to read excerpts of the Indictment in relation to this case.

When the Indictment was originally returned, it was in several counts, only three counts of which concern the present defendant and this case before the court.

Count VI is the first count that we are concerned with. That count charges that,

". . . Charles Manson, Charles
Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian,
Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this
court, are accused by the grand jury of the
County of Los Angeles, State of California,
by this Indictment of the crime of murder,
in violation of section 187, Penal Code of
California, a felony, committed prior to the
finding of this Indictment and as follows:

"That on or about the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, the said defendants, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice aforethought, murder Leno A. LaBianca, a human being."

Count VII states that,

". . . Charles Manson, Charles

. .

. 18

Ź2

Wetson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court are accused by the grand jury of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this Indictment of the crime of murder in violation of section 187, Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment and as follows:

"That on or about 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice aforethought, murder Rosemary LaBianca, a human being,"

Count VIII recites that,

"... Charles Nanson, Charles
Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins,
Linda Kasabian, and the defendant before this
court, are accused by the grand jury of the
County of Los Angeles, State of California,
by this Indictment of the crime of conspiracy
to commit murder, in violation of section 182.1
and 187, Penal Code of California, a felony,
committed prior to the finding of this Indictment
and as follows:

"That on or about the 8th through

16-9

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1:8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the 10th day of August of 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and knowingly conspire, combine, confederate, and agree together and with other persons whose true identity is unknown to commit the crime of murder, a violation of section 187, Penal Code of California, a felony. That pursuant to and for the purpose of carrying out the objects and purposes of the aforesaid combination, agreement, and conspiracy, the defendants committed the following overt acts at and in the County of Los Angeles:

"Overt Act No.I.

"That on or about August 8, 1969, the said defendants, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, and Linda Kasabian did travel to the vicinity of 10050 Cielo Drive in the City and County of Los Angeles.

"Overt Act No.II.

"That on or about August 8, 1969, the defendants Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, and Susan Atkins did enter the residence at 10050 Cielo Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

"Overt Act No. III. 1 "That on or about August 10, 1969, 2 the defendants Charles Manson, Charles Watson, 3 Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda 4 Kasabian, and the defendant before this court 5 did travel to the vicinity of 3301 Waverly 6 7 Drive. City and County of Los Angeles. "Overt Act No. IV. 8 9 "That on or about August 10, 1969, the defendants Charles Manson, Charles Watson, 10 11 Patricia Krenwinkel, and the defendant before 1:2 this court did enter the residence at 3301 13 Waverly Drive; City and County of Los Angeles." 14 The defendant has entered a plea of not guilty to 15 each of the three counts. 16 I want to start with Mr. Brand and inquire of you 17 concerning certain publicity matters. 18 Do you have the microphone there, sir? 19 Mr. Brand, have you heard or do you know anything 20 about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, 21 beliefs, or association, including anything you have read in 22 the newspapers, magazines, or books, or seen on television or **23** heard on the radio? 24 MR. BRAND: I know nothing. 25 THE COURT: All right. Now, you haven't heard anything 26 about this in the newspapers, magazines, or on TV or radio. 27 Is that what you're saying? 28 The only thing I can say that I know about MR. BRAND:

16-11

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8.

ġ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

17 fl

20

21

22

23

24

25

.26 27

·Ž8

is the name Charles Manson, which has gotten kind of crammed in my awareness from constant repetition.

THE COURT: All right.

Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this matter and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. BRAND: I believe I could.

THE COURT: All right.

As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

MR. BRAND: I have no opinion of that nature.

THE COURT: All right,

... Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. BRAND: I don't believe I would.

好人员 经外债的证

2 3

4

5

6

8

9: 10

11

12

13 14

15

1Ġ

18

24 25

26

27

28 ·

THE COURT: All right. Now, can you assure the Court that if you are chosen as a juror in this matter you will decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. BRAND: I feel I can make that assurance.

THE COURT: Do you have any doubts about that?

MR. BRAND: None.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Would you please pass the microphone to Ms. Bridge.

Ma'am, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. BRIDGE: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: All right. Now, have you seen any part of this on the television?

MS. BRIDGE: On the news, yes.

, THE COURT: Just news reports of it?

MS. BRIDGE: Yes. I have read the paper.

THE COURT: And how long ago was that?

MS. BRIDGE: Oh, right when it happened.

THE COURT: All right. You are talking about late '69 or early '70.

MS. BRIDGE: Right.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this matter, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence to be

received in this trial?

3

4

5

6

7

8

g.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

1.7

18

19

20

21 22

23.

·24 c

MS. BRIDGE: No. I don't think I could.

THE COURT: Do you have an opinion, based on what you have read, seen or heard, one way or the other as to the defendant's quilt or innocence?

MS. BRIDGE: Yes. I do.

THE COURT: All right. What you are saying to the court, then, is that you would be influenced by the publicity you have seen or heard; is that correct?

MS. BRIDGE: Yes. I already have been.

THE COURT: I beg your pardon?

MS. BRIDGE: I already have been influenced by what I have read.

THE COURT: All right: thank you.

All right. Mr. Bridges, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on T.V. or heard on the radio?

MR. BRIDGES: Only the news that I have seen on television.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom? MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I could.

THE COURT: All right. As a result of anything you might have read, seen or heard about this case or about the 28 defendant, have you formed any opinion about the defendant's

3.

2

.4

5.

6

Ż

8

ġ

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

1.7

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

guilt or innocence?

MR. BRIDGES: No.

THE COURT: All right. Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. BRIDGES: No.

THE COURT: Can you assure the court that if you were chosen as a juror in this matter you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

> MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I would. THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Mr. Briseno, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. BRISENO: Yes, I do.

ģ.

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

1.7

18

19: 20

21

22 23

24

25 **.**26

27

.28

THE COURT: All right. Have you seen anything about this on television?

MR. BRISENO: Just the news, when it happened, when everything happened.

THE COURT: And did you read about it in the newspapers? MR. BRISENO: Yes, I did.

> THE COURT: Can you lay to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this matter, and judgethe defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be introduced at this trial?

> > MR. BRISENO: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have read, seen or heard in this case, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. BRISENO: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: All right. Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. BRISENO: None whatsoever,

THE COURT: All right. If you were chosen as a trial juror in this matter, would you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this case?

MR. BRISENO: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Burton, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in

Ż

5

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. BURTON: Only what happened about eight years ago.

THE COURT: All right. And I take it you saw that in the newspapers and saw some T.V. reports of it.

MR. BURTON: Yes, sir.

(,) THE COURT: Now, can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be 10 presented in this courtroom?

MR. BURTON: Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. As a result of anything you might have read, seen or heard concerning this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

MR. BURTON: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard about this case or the defendant?

MR. BURTON: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: And if you were chosen as a trial jurgr, can you assure the court that you would decide this matter solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Would you pass the microphone over, please.

Ms. Butler, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, 1 2 Ś. 4 <u>Ś</u>.

6

7

10

17

18

19

20 21

<u>2</u>2

23

24

25 26

27

28

beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. BUTLER: Yes.

THE COURT: And have you read about this in the newspapers?

MS. BUTLER: Yes.

THE COURT: And was this some eight years ago or so?

MS. BUTLER: Yes, when it happened.

3

,

4.

5

6

•

7

8

9.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15.

16

18

19

20

-, 22

23

24

25 26:

27

28

THE COURT: Have you seen television reports about this?

MS. BUTLER: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you seen anything recently about this case?

MS. BUTLER: No.

MR. KEITH: I'm sorry; I can't hear her.

THE COURT: She said, "No."

MS. BUTLER: "No."

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be introduced at this trial?

MS. BUTLER: I don't think I could.

THE COURT: All right. What you are saying then, I take it, is that based on what you have read, seen or heard, that you have formed some opinion about the defendant's guilt or imposence.

MS. BUTLER: Correct.

THE COURT: And that this would influence your ability to sit on this case. Is that what you are saying?

MS. BUTLER: That would influence me to sit on it?

THE COURT: I mean, would it influence -- let me strike that question and ask you this way:

You are saying that based on what you have read, seen or heard in this case, that you have formed an opinion concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence. Is that what you are saying?

MS. BUTLER: Yes.

17af

Ï

9.

2

1.3

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Mr. Carlson, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. CARLSON: Well, the only thing I know is kind of the headlines of the papers.

But I'm a night worker; so I never watch television, and never have, and I haven't read anything about it, either.

17a1

<u>2</u> 3

1

4

5

6

7

9

ΊÒ

‡† 12

13

14 15

16

17

18[.]

20

21

22

23 24

26

25

<u> 2</u>7

THE COURT: All right. Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be received in this trial?

MR. CARLSON: Of course.

THE COURT: All right. As a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. CARLSON: Oh, no, no opinion.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. CARISON: No, not at all.

THE COURT: And if you were chosen as a trial juror in this case, can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this trial?

MR. CARLSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Carter, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS. CARTER: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: And what media did you see this in?

MS. CARTER: I read the newspaper, and I saw it on T.V.

MS. CARTER: Yes, it was. THE COURT: Have you seen anything recently about this matter? MS. CARTER: The only thing I have heard recently is -5 when I was on my way down here, on the news I just heard 6 concerning this case, that the jury was starting being picked 7 yesterday. THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might 8 ġ, have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the 10 defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the eyidence to be 11 presented in this trial? 12 MS. CARTER: No. I cannot. 1.3 THE COURT: What you are saying, then, is that you have 14. formed an opinion based on what --15 MS. CARTER: Yes, I have. 16. THE COURT: -- you have read, seen or heard? 17 MS. CARTER: Yes, I have. 18 THE COURT: All right; thank you. 19 Mrs. Cascarini, have you heard or do you know 20 anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, 21 conduct, beliefs or associations? 22 MS. CASCARINI: Yes, I have. 23: THE COURT: And is that based on what you have read in 24 the newspapers? 25 MS. CASCARINI: And on the television. 26 THE COURT: And those were news reports some eight years 27 ago, or thereabouts? 28 MS. CASCARINI: Yes.

	1	:
	2	
	3	
	4	ļ
‡	Ē	ľ
_	6	,
	•	
	χ. (1))
	10)
	11	
	12	
	13	3
	14	ļ
	15	5
	16)
	1.7	7
	1.8	
	19)
	:20)
	2:	ı
	22	2
	23	3
	24	ŀ
	25	5
	26	3
	27	7
	28	3

THE COURT: Have you seen anything recently in the media about this matter?

MS. CASCARINI: NO.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be received in this courtroom?

MS. CASCARINI: I'm afraid I can't.

THE COURT: Are you saying that you have formed an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant based on publicity?

MS. CASCARINI: Yes.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 ..23

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. Ma'am, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on T.V. or heard on the radio?

MS. CHARGOIS: I read it in the news and on television. when it first happened.

THE COURT: And you --

MS. CHARGOIS: I haven't heard anything since.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this matter, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be introduced in this trial?

MS. CHARGOIS: Yes.

THE COURT: As a result of anything you have seen, read or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MS. CHARGOIS: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: All right. Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard in this matter?

MS. CHARGOIS: No.

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror, can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this case?

MS. CHARGOIS: Very much so.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Christopher, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct or beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: And what was that source?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Newspapers, T.V.

I have had a couple of discussions with some people about the written literature, about a couple of books that came out.

THE COURT: Have you read any books about this matter?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: No. I never - I glanced through one one time.

accounts, have those been recent, or were those some seven or eight years ago or so?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Seven, eight years ago.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this trial?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: I don't believe I could.

THE COURT: Are you saying that you have formed an opinion of the defendant's guilt or innocence based on the pretrial publicity you heard in this case?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

26

27

27

28

. Mr. Coats, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions. conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in the newspapers, magazines, in books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. COATS: No, no more than T.V. when it first happened.

THE COURT: Can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and decide the defendant's quilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be introduced at this trial?

MR. COATS: I could.

THE COURT: And as a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. COATS: None whatsoaver.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. COATS: No «

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror. would you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this courtroom.

MR. COATS: I would.

THE COURTS All right; thank you.

Will counsel approach the bench.

1	(The following proceedings were held
2	at the bench;)
. E	THE COURT: Shall we excuse some of these jurous now?
4	MR. KETTH: Yes. I would at least challenge
5	Doris Bridge.
6. ·	THE COURT: That's No. 2.
7	MR. KEITH: 2.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9 :	MR. KEITH: Mrs. Floretta Butler.
10	THE COURT: All right; No. 6.
11.	MR. KEITH: Miss Dorothy Carter.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. KAY: Yes.
14	MR. KEITH: Mrs. Betty Cascarini.
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. KEITH: I won't challenge Mr. Christopher, though.
17	I would like to talk to him for awhile.
18	He says he has an opinion, but I'm not prepared
19	to challenge him at this time.
20	THE COURT: Do you have any challenges?
21	MR. KAY: No, that's fine, we can excuse those four.
22	THE COURT: All right, we will do it, then.
23 .	Thank you.
24	MR. KEITH: Thank you.
25	

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES'

(A)

1 2

3.

4 5

Ġ

7

8

9.

10

11.

1<u>.</u>2 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

.22 .23

24

25

26

_f 27

²⁸

(The following proceedings were held in open court:)

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, the following jurors are excused at this time. The court wants to thank you for your service upon this case, and you should report to the fifth floor jury room.

The following jurors are excused: Doris M. Bridge, Juror No. 2.

THE BAILIFF: Come this way, please.

THE COURT: Number 6, Mrs. Floretta R. Butler.

Number 8, Miss Dorothy M. Carter.

Number 9, Mrs. Betty E. Cascarini.

All right, Mr. Keith, you may examine on the issue of publicity.

MR. KEITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

As you know, I presume you know my name is Maxwell Keith. I represent Miss Van Houten, and I'm going to ask you a few questions, each of you individually, delving into the same subject matter that His Honor has previously expounded upon.

Now, I'll start where His Honor started with Mr. Brand, if I may.

Mr. Brand, you have told the court that you virtually know nothing about the case; is that correct?

MR. BRAND: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: But that you have heard the name of Charles
Manson?

MR. BRAND: It's become somewhat of a household word.

1.	
2	
3.	
4	
5	
6·	
· 7	
8	
9.	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
. 18	
1,9	
20	
21	
.22	
.23	
. 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

MR. KEITH: Have, you heard the name Leslie Van Houten in connection with hearing the name Charles Manson?

MR. BRAND: No. I have never heard that name.

MR. KEITH: At least until today?

MR. BRAND: Well, that's correct; today I have heard it.

MR. KEITH: All right.

And have you heard Charles Manson's name in connection with being sort of an evil mastermind of various illegal activities?

MR. BRAND: That's the context I've heard the name in.

MR. KEITH: And when is the most recent time approximately that you have heard the name of Manson?

NR. BRAND: I can't remember, but I would say maybe it's been about four years.

MR. KEITH: I see.

MR. BRAND: I don't remember the name.

MR. KEITH: So you have never heard of a book called "Helter-Skelter," have you?

MR. BRAND: I have heard of the book, but I have not read it.

MR. KEITH: And you don't know --

Have you ever talked to anybody who has talked to you about the contents of that book?

MR. BRAND: Well, there was a motion picture on television about it which I --

MR. KEITH: And I understand that.

But did you see that?

MR. BRAND: No. I didn't.

MR. KEITH: 1 All right. MR. BRAND: And I'm trying to explain that the only 2 3 context. I've heard his name associated with that is some people talking kind of enthusiastically about the movie, and I 4 5 was frankly glad I missed it. 6 MR, KEITH: When you say you are frankly glad you missed 7 the movie, by that you mean you are not interested in that . 8 kind of a sensational account of what is a very serious matter? 9 MR. BRAND: That is true. It sounded badly to start 10 with. 11. 12 13. 14 1,5 16 17 18 1,9 **20** 21 22 **.23** 24 25 26 27 28

MR. KEITH: You won't get any argument from me on that subject, Mr. Brand.

Consequently, you have no opinion whatsoever about the guilt of Miss Van Housen?

MR. BRAND: I think I can honestly say that I'm quite open-minded on the subject.

presumed to be innocent, do you not?

MR. BRAND: I -- As far as I'm concerned.

MR. KEITH: Well, you understand that that is the law, that everyone accused of a crime, even a traffic ticket, is presumed to be innocent until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?

MR. BRAND: Yes, sir; yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: And as she sits here today awaiting trial, you will realize presumably that that presumption is in full force and effect?

MR. BRAND: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: I take it you have read nothing recently about Miss Van Housen's predicament?

MR. BRAND: No. I have not.

MR. KEITH: Or heard nothing on radio or seen nothing on television, this is recently, within the past year?

MR. BRAND: I probably should have, but I don't remember that I have.

MR. KEITH: Don't feel -- don't feel embarrassed. I'm not taking you to task for not having read "Helter-Skelter" or seen the movie. Quite the contrary. I'm just asking if you

have seen anything in the past year or so. 1 MR. BRAND: No. I have not. 2 MR. KEITH: All right. 3 Could you pass the microphone to Mr. Bridges, 4 please. 5 Now, let's see, Mr. Bridges. Let me start out 6 7 by asking you if you have read, seen, or heard anything on 8 the radio, television, or in the newspapers about Miss Van 9 Houten's case in the past year? 10 MR. BRIDGES: No. I haven't. MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard of a book called "Helter-11 Skelter" until right now? 12 MR. BRIDGES: I have heard of the book. That's about all. 13: 14 MR. KEITH: Nobody has ever discussed it with you? MR. BRIDGES: No. 15 16 MR. KEITH: And have you heard that a television motion 17 picture was produced and presented that purportedly was based 18 on that book until right now? 19 MR. BRIDGES: No, I never heard of that. 20 MR. KEITH: Having never heard of it, you obviously 21 didn't see it. 22 MR. BRIDGES: I have not, didn't see it. I'm sorry. 23 Again, don't be sorry that you didn't MR. KEITH: No. 24 see it. You are to be congratulated. 25 MR. KAY: Well, I'm going to object to that. 26 MR. KEITH: All right, I'll withdraw the aside. 27 THE COURT: Withhold the editorial comment, drama critic. 28 MR. KEITH: Yes, Your Honor.

18-6

.2

.<u>~</u>

12°

1.5.

i.7

... 18,

So the last time you knew anything about this case was back in 1969, 1970, when it happened?

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Had you ever heard the name Leslie Van Houten before yesterday when you realized you were a prospective juror in her case?

MR. BRIDGES: Not -- I heard the names, but to, you know, remember any particular name, I couldn't say.

MR. KEITH: What you are telling us is you may have heard of her name in years gone by, but you have forgotten all about it?

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

} <i></i> 7	
)/	1
	2
	ą
	4
	.5
	Ģ
	7
	8
	9
	10.
	11
	12
	13
À	14
	15
	16 [.]
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23 .
	24
	25
	26
— ,	27

MR.	KEITH:	That's	a	fair	statement,	ls	it	noti
MR.	BRIDGES	Yes,						

MR. KEITH: And having forgoteen about the possibility of having heard of her in the first place, you have no opinion whatsoever at the present time about her guilt or innocence based on the previous publicity?

MR. BRIDGES: No. I have no opinion.

MR. KEITH: And as she sits here now, you realize she is presumed to be innocent?

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

MR. KEITH: And there is nothing you have heard in the past about this case that In any way would in your mind tarnish that presumption of innocence?

MR. BRIDGES: No. I wouldn't.

MR. KEITH: You are sure about that?

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Because this is the only chance we have to talk to you about these matters of notoriety.

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

MR. KEITH: And, as you well recognize, the case initially had considerable notoriety.

You are well aware of that, I'm sure.

MR. BRIDGES: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Do you have any idea why now she's here for trial, bearing in mind that these events occurred in 1969?

MR. BRIDGES: No.

MR; KEITH: Did you hear anything --

MR. BRIDGES: I thought it was all over with, truthfully

28

MR. BRIDGES!

I didn't know this was -- anything else was left pertaining 1 to the trial. 2 MR. KEITH: Now you know that isn't the case. 3 MR. BRIDGES: Yes. 4 MR. KEITH: And do you think anything that may have --5 Do you realize now or remember now there was a 6 previous trial? 7 MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I remember when they had the trial. 8 MR. KEITH: All right. ġ. Do you remember anything about what happened in 10 that trial, just yes or no. 11 MR. BRIDGES: No. 12 MR. KEITH: You don't remember what happened in the 13: trial? 14 MR. BRIDGES: Other than what was on the news. 15 MR. KEITH: Well, all right, 16 You didn't attend the trial as a spectator --1.7 MR. BRIDGES: No. 18 19 MR. KEITH: -- I'm sure. You have no doubts in your mind at the present 20: 21 time, Mr. Bridges, that your state of mind is totally 22 uninfluenced by what you may have heard or seen or read seven years ago? 23 MR. BRIDGES: No, I have no opinion one way or the other. 24 MR. KEITH: As a matter of fact, you have virtually 25 26 forgotten about everything?

Yes.

· 人名特里 · ·

MR. KEITH: Everything you may have read; is that right?

MR. BRIDGES: That's true. 1 MR. KEITH: As far as you are concerned, Miss Van Houten 2 3 starts out with the presumption of innocence right now, even though your dim recollection may be that you read about her 4 before? 5 MR. BRIDGES: Yes. 6 MR. KEITH: Incidentally, if you don't understand anything 7 I say, don't hesitate to speak up --8 MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I understand that. 9 10 MR. KEITH: -- and I'll rephrase it. Would you pass the microphone to Mr. Briseno, 11 12 please. 13 14 15 16 17 18 1.9 20 21. 22 23 .24 25 26 .27 28

2

3

4

5 1

6

7

8

9

Now, Mr. Briseno, your position is the same as Mr. Bridges, wouldn't you say, as far as your exposure is concerned to publicity ---

MR. BRISENO: Yes.

MR. KEITH: -- attendant to this case?

MR. BRISENO: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Is there anything else you would like to share with us about your knowledge of the case before coming here yesterday?

MR. BRISENO: No. I just saw on the news last week where the young lady was being brought back to trial. That's all.

MR. KEITH: Have you heard or read anything about Miss Van Houten's case within the past year?

MR. BRISENO: No, I haven't.

MR. KEITH: Or seen anything on television about it?

MR. BRISENO: No. I haven't.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard of the book called "Helter-Skelter" until today?

MR. BRISENO: Yes.

MR. KEITH: You have no contact with that book?

MR. BRISENO: No, I never read it, never discussed it with anybody.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Then you never saw the television show that purportedly was based on that book?

MR. BRISENO: No. no.

MR. KEITH: And you haven't discussed that with anybody either?

25

26

27

28

MR. BRISENO: 1 MR. KEITH: As far as you are concerned, Leslie sits 2 here today presumed to be innocent, as the law requires, or 3 is there something that you have heard or read that makes you 4 feel that that presumption may be tainted? 5 MR. BRISENO: No. I can't assume anything without 6 knowing all the facts. 7 Well, you can assume she's presumed to be MR. KEITH: 8 innocent. .9 10 ' MR. BRISENO: That I assume, yes, because I don't know the facts. 11 MR. KEITH: You can do that, can't you? 12 MR. BRISENO: Right. 13 MR, KEITH: You don't have any hesitation about it? 14 MR. BRISENO: No. 15 16 MR. KEITH: You don't know any of the facts of this Is that what you're telling me? 1.7 case. 18 MR. BRISENO: That's right, that's right. 19 MR. KEITH: And you are also telling me you may have 20 heard or read or seen something about it many years ago, but 21 you have no memory of things you may have been exposed to? 22 MR. BRISENO: I remember reading about it, but I don't 23 It's vague now. I can't really pinpoint remember the details. 24 anything. 25 26 27 28

19 fl

4 5.

·6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18·

20

21 22

23 24

25.

26 27

28

MR. KEITH: You have heard the name Charles Manson?
MR. BRISENO: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard his name in connection with Miss Van Houten's name, that you remember?

MR. BRISDNO: I can't recall if I ever associated them both.

MR. KEITH: Do you feel at this time that -- assuming she did have some association with him in the past, that she's guilty now?

MR. BRISENO: No.

MR. KEITH: Or more likely to be guilty than innocent?

MR. BRISENO: I couldn't form an opinion either way

until I know the facts.

MR. KEITH: The only opinion you have right now, then, is that she is presumed innocent?

MR. BRISENO: Right.

MR. KEITH: Mr. Burton, I believe, if you will take the microphone.

Perhaps you would like to tell us, Mr. Burton, about the exposure you have had to Charlie Manson via the television or radio or books or newspapers, if any.

MR. BURTON: Well, the only thing I recall was when it happened, about seven or eight years ago.

MR. KEITH: 1969.

MR. BURTON: And that's all. And it was in the papers. front line, and it was on the tube for about I guess seven or eight days.

MR. KEITH: That was at the time the homicides occurred?

].
4	
2	
3,	
4	
. 5	\$
6	F
5 6 6 7 1 8 9 9	
-8	:
9	ŀ
10	
11	;
12	
13	
1:4	
15	
16	
17	
18	ļ
19	
20	
21	
22	
.23	
24	
25	
2 6	
27	,
	- 4

	MR. P	i Morau	Well,	yes,	after	they	Mere	apprehended	on
it.									;

But I don't recall anything about Lealie Van Houten.

MR. KEITH: You don't recall ever hearing that name

before?

MR. BURTON: I don't recollect.

MR. KETTH: As you sit here you don't recognize it.

MR. BURTON: I didn't recognize it until it was in the paper last weekend, I think it was, just before last weekend, that it was coming up, she was coming up for trial.

But that's all.

MR. KEITH: What newspaper was that?

MR. BURTON: I thought it was the Times. We take the Times.

MR. KEITH: Did you read an article in this last Sunday's edition of the Times about Leslie?

MR. BURTON: My wife did, but I didn't. I just come back from Big Bear.

MR. KEITH: Did your wife tell you what she had read?
MR. BURTON: No.

MR. KEITH: How do you know your wife read it?

MR. BURTON: Well, she said, "Leslie Van Houten's picture is in the paper," and that's all. That's all I knew.

MR. KEITH: Had you had any discussions with your wife about Miss Van Houten's purported participation in these homicides?

MR. BURTON: No.

MR. KEITH: You never had a discussion with Mrs. Burton

28

†

2

3

at any time over the years about this case or about Charlie Hancon?

IR. BURION: No.

IR. KETTH: So your wife just told you in an offhand manner that Laclic was coming back for retrial, with no editorial comments like --

MR. BURION: No.

M. BURTON: No. Well, I was kind of tired; I just - 10 come back from Big Bear.

MR. KEITH: You weren't all that interested?

12 12 IR. EURTON: No. I wasn't crazy about it.

IR. WHITH: You didn't read "Holtor-Skelter," did you?

IR. BURTON: No, sir.

IR. KEITH: Or see the television shows.

in. EURION: No. sir.

. 6

> 7 8-

9.

10 11

12

13

15.

16

 $\frac{1r}{2}$

18 19

20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28.

	MR	KETT	i: Do	yöü	have	an	/ idea	why	Lesli	le is t	iere
today	awa:	iting	trial,	, be;	aring	in	mind	that	this	episod	le
occuri	red :	in 190	593								

MR. BURTON: Well, I assume she wants to be exonerated of any crime.

MR. KEITH: You don't know anything about an appeal, or anything like that?

MR. BURTON: No. sir.

MR. KETTH: What you are telling me is you didn't follow this case at all, you didn't follow it --

MR. BURTON: I didn't follow it letter to letter, no, sir.

I didn't really follow it at all, except on the headlines.

MR. KEITH: Beyond the headlines --

MR. BURTON: I only read the sports.

MR. KETTH: Pardon me?

MR. BURTON: I was more interested in the sports section than the rest of the paper.

MR. KEITH: That's good to hear.

So you simply read the headlines because they were there like Mount Everest.

MR. BURTON: Well, right.

MR. KEITH: Could you possibly — or more than possibly, would you hand the microphone to Mr. Carlson, who is seated in the corner box there.

Mr. Carlson, would you say your position in this case at the present time is substantially the same as Mr. Burton and Mr. -- Oh, my God, I can't find it -- and

1 Mr. Briseno and Mr. Bridges? 2 You heard them talk about not having any opinions, 3: and having read very little --4 MR. CARLSON: No. I don't have any opinions; and I have 5 read very little, if anything. I never watch T.V. Ġ. MR. KEITH: That's because you have a night job. 7 MR. CARLSON: I have a night job, and I'm a pretty busy 8 man besides. 9 MR. KEITH: Well, you don't get much time to sleep, do 10 YOU? 11 MR. CARLSON: Well, that's one of the problems, I like to sleep. 13 MR. KEITH: But not at night. 14. MR. CARLSON: I get up early enough because I got a 15 lot to do. 16 MR. KEITH: So you never -- you have never been exposed 17 to this book called "Helter-Skelter." MR. CARLSON: I never heard of it until just now. The 18 movie, either. MR. KEITH: All right. Have you ever heard of Leglie Van Houten's name before? MR. CARLSON: Oh, I think I have. MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard of Manson's name before? 24 MR. CARLSON: Yes, I have heard of it. I don't know 25 what the connection is, though; I have no idea. 26 MR. KEITH: You have heard that Manson is sort of a bad 27 fellow, I suppose, over the years? 28 MR. CARLSON: I wouldn't say that was my opinion. That's

other people's opinion.

I don't know; I don't have an opinion.

MR. KEITH: You don't have an opinion of Manson?

MR. CARLSON: Well, the court -- what the court does,

you know, that's nothing to do with me, until now, of course.

26

27

28

, d. 31.

MR. KEITH: What you are telling me is that you have heard the name of Manson but you don't attach any particular significance to it; is that right?

You don't have any opinion as to whether he's a -MR. CARLSON: I haven't read anything about it. Only
what I saw in the headlines years ago. That's all I know
about it.

MR. KEITH: You didn't follow any previous trial in which Mr. Manson was a defendant, then.

MR. CARLSON: No.

MR. KEITH: And you have totally forgotten about the name of Leslie Van Houten, if you ever knew about it in the first place; is that right, until today, of course.

MR. CARLSON: Well, until Monday, I guess.

MR. KEITH: Yesterday.

MR. CARLSON: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Having heard her name yesterday, does that bring back any memories --

MR. CARLSON: No.

MR. KEITH; -- as to what you may have read years ago?

MR. CARLSON: No. I didn't know what the connection is.

MR. KEITH: You think you could -- I will strike that question.

Why don't you hand the microphone to --

THE COURT: Mr. Keith, would this be a convenient time to take a recess?

MR. KEITH: This would be a fine time.

THE COURT: All right.

1,
į. <u>2</u>
3.
4.
-5 - -6
7
8
9
10
1,1
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
,22
23
24
25
26
27

to recess for 15 minutes, until a quarter past 3:00.

Bear in mind that during this recess, as at all recesses, you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else, you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter that the case is finally given to you.

Further, you are not to allow yourselves to read, see or hear any news media accounts of the matter.

Whe Court is in recess until 3:15.

The jurors in the jury box are to return to the seats they now occupy.

The defendant and counsel are ordered to return at that time.

The Court is in recess.

Thank you.

(Recess.)

1.

Ż[.]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

1Q

11

12

13

14:

15

16

17

18

19

:20

21

22

23

24 25

26:

27

28

THE COURT: People versus Van Houten.

Let the record show the defendant is present, represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel; the prospective jurors are in the jury box.

You may resume, Mr. Keith.

MR. KEITH: Thank you.

It's Mrs. -- gee, I'm not sure I'm going to be able to pronounce your last name.

MS. CHARGOIS: Chargois.

MR. KEITH: Chargois. I'm going to have trouble; I'm going to have trouble. I can see that.

Apparently your exposure to this case was television; and newspapers when it first happened?

MS. CHARGOIS: Yes, that's correct.

MR. KEITHY And had you ever heard of Leslie Van Houten before yesterday?

MS. CHARGOIS: No. And if I did, I had forgotten.

MR. KEITH: What you are telling me, then, is similar to some of the other prospective jurors; you may have heard of her name, but you have no recollection of having done so at the present time.

MS. CHARGOIS: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Consequently, you have no -- certainly no opinion that she's more likely guilty than innocent at the present time.

MS. CHARGOIS: No.

MR. KEITH: And she stands before you presumed to be innocent; that would be your state of mind at the present time.

MS. CHARGOIS: Yes. 1 MR. KEITH: And that presumption is in no way tainted 2. by anything you may have read or heard or seen in the past; 3: is that right? 4 That is correct. MS. CHARGOIS: 5 MR. KEITH: Did you live in this area in 1969? 6 MS. CHARGOIS: Yes. 7 MR, KEITH: And I gather that, as some of the other 8 prospective jurors, all you saw were some headlines and 9 newspaper reports because it was thrust upon you. You happened 10 to be there and you saw the headlines. 11 MS. CHARGOIS: Newspaper and television. 12 MR. KEITH: Or heard reports on television. 13 And as a result of that exposure, however, as you 14 15 sit now, you have no opinion whatsoever about the case? MS. CHARGOIS: This is true. 16 MR. KEITH' Despite, as I say, despite that early 17 18 exposure? 19 MS. CHARGOIS: That's true. MR. KEITH: And there is nothing you may have heard, 20 read, or seen heretofore that may at the present time influence 21 22 your ability to be a fair juror in this case if you are 23 selected? 24 MS. CHARGOIS: This is correct. **25** MR. KEITH: You have heard the name of Manson before, 26 I suppose? 27 MS. CHARGOIS: Yes. 28 MR. KEITH: You do remember that?

Ż

3.

1:0

MS. CHARGOIS: That's one name I haven't forgotten.

MR. KEITH: You don't forget his name.

MS. CHARGOIS: No.

MR. KEITH: But you don't associate at this time
Miss Van Houten's name with Mr. Manson's name at the present
time other than what we may have said here today?

MS. CHARGOIS: No. And I think I remember that on account of what they said. There was some book out.

MR. KEITH: There was some book out?

MS. CHARGOIS: I mean they were talking about the book or something, the "Helter-Skelter" or something. But I did not read it.

1.8

1.9

2Ó.

_	_	
2	Оŝ	

'	
1	MR. KEITH: We've been talking about it today.
2	MS. CHARGOIS: Well, yes, but they had it on the news,
3 .	too.
4	MR. KEITH: The book "Helter-Skelter," I'm not sure
5.	when it was published, 1974, 1975.
6	MS. CHARGOIS: I don't know, I don't know. I really
7	don't know.
8 :	MR. KEITH: But you didn't pay any attention to it. You
ģ [.]	don't know what "helter-skelter" means, do you, in the context
10	of the book?
11.	MS. CHARGOIS: No.
12 ·	MR. KEITH: You don't recall that phrase being used
13	during a previous trial at the present time?
14	MS. CHARGOIS: No.
15	MR. KEITH: Is that correct?
16	MS. CHARGOIS: That's correct.
1.7	I guess I should remember that, but I just don't.
18	MR. KEITH: I'm not supposed to editorialize, but I do
19	not know why you should. I can make that comment.
<u>\$</u> 0	MS. CHARGOIS: Well, I don't know.
21	MR. KEITH: I'm not being critical, I can assure you.
22	Could you pass the microphone to Mr. Christopher,
23	please.
.24	Now, Mr. Christopher, you have told His Honor that
25	you had formed an opinion about the case, correct?
26	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes, I did.
27	MR. KEITH: Now, is this an opinion about Leslie Van
28	Houten or an opinion about Charlie Manson, or both?

1 2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I'd say both. MR. KEITH: All right.
3.	And was that opinion based on what you had read,
4	heard, seen, and perhaps talked about seven or eight years
5	9 007
6 [:]	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes, 1t was.
Ź ·	MR. KEITH: Have you been exposed to a book by the name
8	of "Helter-Skelter"?
9	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yeah, but that was very vague, my
Ó٠	exposure to the book.
1	MR. KEITH: Well, you didn't read it, then?
2	MR. CHRISTOPHER: No, I did not read it.
3	MR, KEITH: Did you see a television production
4	purportedly based on that book?
5	MR. CHRISTOPHER: No, I didn't see it.
6	MR. KEITH: By the same name, incidentally?
7	MR. CHRISTOPHER: No, I didn't see the television
8	production.
9	MR. KEITH: Do you know who a man by the name of Vincent
Ò	Bugliosi is?
1	MR, CHRISTOPHER: Yes.
22.	MR. KEITH; Who was he?
23	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I believe he was the district attorney
24	that prosecuted the original case.
25	MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard him speak since that
26 	prosecution?
27	MR, CHRISTOPHER: No, I haven't.
28	NO UPTOUS Pithan in marion on an interstation

9.

1.1

1Ż

. .

28.

MR. CHRISTOPHER: No.

MR. KEITH: Have any of your friends or relatives talked to you about Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Well, not technically, not about him; but I discussed some ideas from the book with my brother-in-law at one time.

He had read the book.

MR. KEITH: So you had a discussion with your brother-in-law about the book named "Helter-Skelter"?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: It was more or less he was doing the talking; I was just listening to what he was saying about it.

1	MR. KEITH: Did your brother-in-law influence your opinion
2	at all with respect to Miss Van Housen?
3	MR. CHRISTOPHER: No, not really.
4	MR. KEITH; You had already formed an opinion?
5.	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Right.
Ğ .	MR. KEITH: Did you follow the first trial at all in the
· 7	newspapers or other forms of media?
8	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes, I did.
9	MR. KEITH: How old were you then?
1.0	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I was about 19.
11:	MR. KEITH: Attending school or college?
12	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I was going to college.
13	MR. KEITH: Would you characterize yourself as an avid
14	follower of the original, trial?
15	MR. CHRISTOPHER: I was more or less an inflamed follower
16 [,]	I'd say. It wasn't necessarily so avid.
17	I mean, the case bothered me.
18	MR. KEITH: Did you attend the trial, the first trial,
19	as a spectator at any time?
20	MR. CHRISTOPHER: No, I didn't.
21	MR. KEITH: Did you discuss the first trial at the time
22	it was proceeding with friends or relatives?
23	MR, CHRISTOPHER: Yes.
24	MR. KEITH: Did you attempt to analyze the cast of
25	characters, so to speak, in the first trial
.26	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yes.
27	MR. KEITH: what their motives were and so forth?
28	MR. CHRISTOPHER: Well, not so much motives, I wouldn't

·3·

4

'5·

6

7

8 9·

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

.24

25

26

27.

28

say, but ---

MR. KEITH: Did you tend to analyze why it happened as a result of your feeling about the case which apparently was rather strong?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yeah.

MR. KEITH: Now, you have an opinion, and I'm not going to ask you what it is, it's enough that you have an opinion. The point is, can you lay aside that opinion now, lay it aside in your mind, and, if you were selected as a trial juror, decide the case on the facts alone as you hear it from the witness stand or see it, say, from documentary evidence?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: I do not believe I could.

MR. KEITH: What you are saying is that it would take evidence to erase the opinion that you now hold?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: I don't --

MR. KEITH: See, you see, it is not fair to either side. Bear in mind we don't know what your opinion is, neither Mr. Kay nor myself. It is not fair to either side if you come into court having already prejudged the case, because you are supposed to be an impartial juror. And if already you have a preconceived notion as to what the facts are and what the verdicts should be, you are not too impartial almost by definition.

And we want to find out, even though you have an opinion — and there's nothing wrong with that, most people have opinions about everything, right or wrong, usually wrong. So there is nothing to be ashamed of about having an opinion.

The point is, are you going to be so influenced by

that preconceived idea you have about this case that you are 1. not going to be able to listen to the evidence impartially and it is going to take evidence to change your mind. You see, you should start out with an open mind almost in a vacuum -- that is hard to do -- and let it be filled up by what you hear from the witness stand. Ž The Company 20.

21 fl

But if you have already — think you know what the facts are, we are going to have a hard time convincing you as to the correctness of our position. Your mind may be less open than it ought to be.

So do you feel you have that kind of a mind now that's really not very impartial and that one or both of us, that's Mr. Kay and myself, are going to have to overcome a prejudgment that you have apparently entertained in the past?

Do you think that's your position?

MR. CHRISTOPHER: Yeah, I think I'd categorize myself in that position.

Par Marie 18

MR. KETTH: You didn't see a movie show or television

case?

MR. COATS: No.

MR. KEITH: Thank you.

27

28

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

	;
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
· 8 ·	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13.	
14	
1 5	
16	
, 17	
18	,
19	
20	ند
21 22	•
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

	can y	te approach	i the	pench?		
THE	COURT:	Yes.				
	l esta se	منهن لمانيس لا لاستك	ديند نم نب	man hani	****	4.41

(The following proceedings were held at the bench:)

MR. KEITH: We will challenge Mr. Christopher, again reluctantly because I don't know what his opinion is, and I'm scared to ask him.

THE COURT: All right. The challenge ---

,		1
		2

4

5

6

7`

8

.9

10.

Í1.

12

13

14

THE COURT: While we are at the bench, will counsel and the defendant stipulate that the court may admonish those jurors downstairs in the absence of counsel and the defendant, that the court give them the normal admonition, plus the one re-publicity, and order them back tomorrow, in the absence of counsel and the defendant?

MR. KEITH: So stipulated.

MR. KAY: So stipulated.

THE COURT'S All right.

You are ready to voir dire now?

MR. KAY: As far as I'm concerned, can't we give a blanket stipulation to that so you don't have to ask us -- MR. KEITH: Well, whatever His Honor wants.

MR. KAY: Yes. I'm willing to stipulate you can do that throughout the jury selection on this case.

MR. KEITH: I certainly am.

THE COURT: All right; fine. Thank you.

(The following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the prospective jurors:)

THE COURT: Juror No. 11, Mr. Roger D. Christopher, is excused from further service upon this case.

Thank you, sir, for your attendance. You are to report to the 5th floor.

All right.

MR. KAY: Mr. Coats, have you ever seen Mr. Keith on television?

MR. COATS: Not that I can remember.

15 16

17

18

;j9

20

21 22

² 23 ⁸

. . 24

25

26

27

28

Park The Control of t

-5		
		ሻ
		2
		3 .
		4
		5
		6
		7.
		-8
		9
		10
		11
	•	12
		13
		14.
		15
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20-
		21
en e		22
laf Laf		23
		24
	,	.25

•	MR, K	AY:	Can	you	ever	X.O.	pember	eith	er s	eaing	him	on
T.V.	or hea	ring	him	on	radio	ox	readir	ıg İn	the	news	regec	about
him t	alking	abou	计 期	as.	Yan Ho	oute	an?					

MR. COATS: Not really.

MR. KAY: Do you read any newspapers?

MR. COATS: Sports page, and that's just about it.

MR. KAY: And which paper is that?

MR. COATS: The Los Angeles Times, mostly.

MR. KAY: Now, at any time this year did you happen to see an article in the front page of the Los Angeles Times purporting to be a personal interview with Miss Van Houten?

MR. COATS: Not really, no.

MR. KAY: Do you ever watch the news on T.V.?

MR. COATS: Not too often. Most of the time I'm not around.

MR. KAY: Okay. Have you seen Miss Van Houten on T.V. at all this year?

MR. COATS: Not really.

MR. KAY: You haven't heard her in any interviews?

MR. COATS: Not until he mentioned it.

MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Linda Kasabian?

MR. COATS: If I have, I have forgotten.

26

27

‡O.

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18.

19 20

21

.22

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Lynette "Squeaky" Fromme?

MR. COATS: Yes, I have.

as it was used by the Manson family.

MR. COATS: I have heard the name, but I didn't know who it was used by.

MR. KAY: In other words, you don't know any special meaning that the Manson family may have given that term.

MR. COATS: No.

MR. KAY: Now, just as Mr. Keith has been talking to all of you about the fact that the defendant is entitled to a fair trial, well, the prosecution is entitled to an equally fair trial.

For that reason I am going to ask you a couple of questions.

The first one is that obviously Judge Hinz today has read portions of the Indictment to you. And it's alleged on or about August 10th, 1969, that was the date of the La Bianca murders.

From all that you know about the case, from all that you have seen, heard or read about the case, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not Miss Van Houten was suffering any mental illness on August 10th, 1969?

MR. COATS: Not to my knowledge.

MR. KAY: Okay. Have you formed any opinion as to
Miss Van Houten's mental state at any time in her whole life?
MR. COATS: No. because I don't know about it.

MR. KAY: All right; I appreciate that.

Prom what you know about the Tate-Ta Bianca murder case and the Manson family in general, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not the people who participated in the so-called Tate-Ta Bianca murders were crazy at the time of their participation in the murders?

MR. COATS: Not really because I don't know who participated in it.

MR. KAY: Okay. Well, assuming that somebody participated in it, have you formed any opinion as to the mental state of the people that participated in the crime.

MR. COATS: Not really.

MR. KAY: Okay. In other words, you will keep an open mind and listen to the evidence in this courtroom before you will form any opinion as to anybody's mental state at the time of those murders; is that correct?

MR. CCATS: Yes, I will.

MR. KAY: And realizing that the prosecution has the legal burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that Leslie Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder of the first degree on August 10th, 1969, do you feel that you can give the prosecution a fair trial?

MR. COATS: I think so.

MR. KAY: Thank you.

Mr. Brand, have you seen Miss Van Houten on T.V. this year?

MR. BRAND: No, I have not.

1	MR. RAY: Have you ever seen Mr. Keith on T.V.?
2	MR. BRAND: No, I have not.
3.	MR! KAY: Have you read any articles this year about
4	Miss Van Houten?
5	MR. BRAND: No. I have not.
6	MR. KAY: Have you talked with anybody this year about
7	Miss Van Houten?
8	MR. BRAND: I have not.
9	MR. KAY: Other than since you have been on jury duty.
10	MR. BRAND: No. This is the first time that I remember
11	ever hearing the name.
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 .	
22	
23.	
24	
25	
26	*
27	
00	

1,71	MR. KAY: Do you read any newspapers?
2	MR. BRAND: I read the Calendar section of the Sunday
3	Times and throw the rest away.
4	MR. KAY: You don't read any of the Times during the
5.	Year's
6.	MR. BRAND: No, I
7	MR. KAY: Do you watch
8	Excuse me; you hadn't finished. Go shead.
9	MR. BRAND: I was about to qualify that. I think
10	newspapers are depressing.
11	MR. KAY: Do you ever watch the news on T.V.?
1.2	MR. BRAND: No. I watched a movie on T.V. last month,
13	and I haven't looked at it sincs.
14	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to
15	Miss Van Houten's mental state on August 10th, 1969, from
16	all you know about the case?
1.7	MR. BRAND: I don't have any opinion.
18	MR. KAY: All right. Do you have any opinion as to the
19	mental state of any of the participants in the so-called
20 21	Tate-la Bianca murders?
22	MR. BRAND: No, I have no opinion on that.
23	MR. KAY: Mr. Bridges, what newspapers do you read, sir?
23 24	MR. BRIDGES: I don't.
25	MR. KAY: Okay. Do you listen to news on the radio?
26	MR. BRIDGES: Sometimes.
27	MR. KAY: And what about watching news programs on T.V.?
28	MR. BRIDGES: Very seldom do I watch the news on
<i></i> ≠	television.

21b	
1	

1 }	MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Mr. Keith on T.V. or heard
.2	him on radio talking about Miss Van Houten?
3	MR. BRIDGES: No, I haven't.
4	MR. KAY: Have you read any articles this year about
5	Miss Van Houten?
6,	MR. BRIDGES: No.
7	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Linda Kasabian?
8	MR. BRIDGES: Not that I can remember.
9	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Tex Watson?
10	MR. BRIDGES . Bo.
11	MR. KAY: Excuse me?
12	MR. BRIDGES: No
13	MR. KAY: You have heard the name Charles Manson?
14	MR. BRINGES: Yes, I have heard that name.
15	MR. KAY: And you haven't heard of Leslie Van Houten
16·	before yesterday?
17	MR. BRIDGES: No. not as I can remember.
18	MR. KAY: Have you heard the pame of Lynette "Squeaky"
19	Fromme?
20	MR. BRIDGES: Yeah, I heard of that last year.
21	MR. KAY: Do you know what the term "helter-skelter"
.22	means, the meaning that the Manson family gave that term?
23	MR. BRIDGES: No. I don't.
24	MR. KAY: And have you formed any opinion from what you
25	know about this case, what you have learned about the case
.26	outside this courtroom, as to what Miss Van Houten's mental
.27	state was on August 10th, 1969?
28	MR. BRIDGES: No, I haven't formed any opinion.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to the mental state of anybody who might have participated in the so-called Tate-La Bianca murders? MR. BRIDGES: No. **:**5 MR. KAY: Or anybody who might have belonged to the Ĝ Manson family. MR. BRIDGES: No. no opinion. 7

1	
1	MR. KAY: You have no opinion?
2	MR. BRIDGES: No.
.3	MR. KAY: And do you feel, knowing that the prosecution
4	has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that
5	Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful,
6	deliberate, premeditated murder of first degree, that you can
7	give the prosecution a fair trial in this case?
8,	MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I could.
.9`	HR. KAY: Will you?
1.0	MR. BRIDGES: Yes, I will.
11 .	MR. KAY: All right.
12 .	Mr. Briseno, do you watch news programs on T.V.?
13	MR. BRISENO: Occasionally.
14	. MR. KAY: Which channel?
15	MR. BRISENO: You name it. All of them.
1.6	MR. KAY: Whatever one the set happens to be turned to.
17	Did you see Miss Van Bouten in any T.V. interviews
18	this year?
19` ·	MR. BRISHNO: I just saw it when they were showing in the
20.	news that whe was arriving in the bus; they were going to have
21	a trial. That's all.
22	MR. KAY: Was that like this month or last month?
23	MR. BRISENO: I guess it was last month. I really don't
24	recently, though.
.25	MR. KAY: Do you remember anything that was said in the
26	news as to why she was having a new trial?
27	MR. BRISENO: No. It just happened to come on, and I
28	changed the channel.

1	MR. KAY: But you haven't seen any interviews with
2	Miss Van Houten?
3 ·	MR. BRISENO: No.
4	MR. KAY: Where she has actually been speaking on T.V.?
5	MR. BRISENO: No.
6	MR. KAY: Have you seen Mr. Keith on T.V.?
· 7	MR. BRISINO: No.
8	MR. KAY: Have you heard him on the radio or read about
9	him in the newspaper talking about Miss Van Houten?
10	MR. BRISENO: No. I haven't.
11	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Linda Kasabian?
12	MR. BRISENO: Yes, I have heard it; yes.
13	
14	
1,5	
16	
17	•
18	
19	
.20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2	MR. KAY: Who is she? MR. BRISENO: I can't associate the name. I know it
3	was involved somewhere along the line in the Manson case. I'm
4	not sure.
5	MR. KAY: And have you heard the name Lynnette
6	"Squeeky" Fromme?
7	MR. BRISENO: Yes, I have heard of her.
8	MR. KAY: Have you heard of the term "helter-skelter"
9	as it is
0	MR. BRISENO: I've heard the phrase, but I don't know
1	what that means.
2	MR. KAY; You don't know what that means, any special
3 ∶	meaning that the Manson people might have given to it?
4	MR. BRISENO: No, I don't.
5 .	MR. KAY: Now, have you formed any opinion as to
6	Miss Van Houten's mental state on August 10th, 1969?
7	MR, BRISENO: No.
8	MR. KAY: Or at any time?
9	MR. BRISENO: No.
:0	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to the mental
21	state of any of the people who might have participated in the
22	Tate-LaBianca murders at the time of their participation?
23	MR. BRISENO: No, I don't know enough to form an opinion.
24	MR. KAY: Okay, that's good.
25	Mr. Burton, do you read any newspapers?
26	MR. BURTON: Well, I glance at it in the morning and
27	turn to the sports section and financial section. That's all.
28	MR. KAY: Okay.

And I take it this article that was in the Sunday 1 Times, your wife read it and just told you that she read it, 2 but you didn't take the time to read it yourself? 3 MR. BURTON: No. 4 When I came home from Big Bear Sunday, oh, it was 5 around noon, she knew I was on jury duty and she --6 7 MR. KAY: She said, "Look what is coming up"? 8 MR. BURTON: She said, "Look what's coming up," and 9 that's about the size of it. And I went and got a can of beer, to be honest with you 10 11 MR. KAY: Lucky you, huh? 12 MR. BURTON: I didn't even bother to look at the Sunday paper except the Home Magazine. 13 14 MR. KAY: Now, let me ask you this: Since you take the 15 Times, did you have an occasion to read a front-page article 16 in Pebruary that purported to be a personal interview with 1.7 Leslie Van Houten? 18 MR. BURTON: No. sir. 19 MR. KAY: That was in the Times? 20-MR. BURTON: No. sir. 21: MR. KAY: Have you read any newspaper articles this year 22 about Miss Van Houten? 23 MR. BURTON: Not to my recollection; no, sir. 24 MR. KAY: Have you seen her on television at all this 25. year? 26 MR. BURTON: No. sir. 27 MR. KAY: Haven't seen her on any interviews on TV? 28 MR. BURTON: No, sir.

Have you seen Mr. Keith on TV or heard him MR. KAY: 1 on the radio or read about him in the newspaper talking about 2 Miss Van Houten? 3 MR. BURTON: No, I can't recall anything. 4 Have you formed any opinion as to Miss Van 5 Houten's mental state, whether or not she may or may not have 6 been suffering from any mental illness on August 10th, 1969? 7 MR. BURTON: No, sir, I don't --8 MR. KAY: Have you formed an opinion as to whether or 9 not any of the participants in the so-called Tate-LaBianca 10 murders may or may not have been suffering from any mental 11 illness at the time of their participation in those crimes? 12 MR. BURTON: I have no opinion on that, because I didn't 13 : follow it that close. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23. 24 25 26 **27** 28.

23

24

25

26

27

.28.

MR. KAY: Okay.

In other words, you would keep an open mind and whatever opinion you would form you would form after hearing all the evidence in the case?

MR. BURTON: Yes, sir; I certainly would.

MR. KAY: And, Mr. Carlson, what about you, have you formed any opinion as to whether or not any of the participants in the Tate-LaBianca murders were suffering from any mental illness at the time of their participation?

MR. CARLSON: No, I don't have any opinions, no.

MR. KAY: Excuse me?

MR. CARLSON; I have no opinions, no.

MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion about Miss Van Houten's mental state on August 10th, 1969?

MR. CARLSON: No, I don't know anything about it, no.

MR. KAY: Have you seen Miss Van Houten --

Let's see. You don't watch television at all,

MR. CARLSON: No, I've never saw or read about it.

MR. KAY: Do you listen to the news on radio?

MR. CARLSON: No, I do not even have a radio.

MR. KAY: Okay.

right?

And you haven't read anything at all in the newspapers about Miss Van Houten?

MR. CARLSON: No, no, no.

MR. KAY: Do you feel that you could give the prosecution a fair trial in this case?

MR. CARLSON: Yes,

MR. KAY: And finally, Mrs. Chargois. 1 Did I pronounce it right? 2 MS. CHARGOIS: Right. 3 MR. KAY: I did. How about that. 4 Have you formed any opinion as to whether or not 5 the people who participated in the Tate-LaBianca murders had 6 any mental illness at the time of their participation in those 7 8 murders? 9 MS. CHARGOIS: No. MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion as to Miss Van 10 Houten's mental state on August 10th, 1969, the alleged date 11 12 of the LaBianca murders? 13 MS. CHARGOIS: No. 14 MR. KAY: Have you heard of the term "helter-skelter"? 15 MS. CHARGOIS: Yes. 16 MR. KAY: And do you know how that was used by the 17 Manson family? 18 MS. CHARGOIS: Well, the book, they said something about 19 a book was put out, "Helter-Skelter." 20 MR. KAY: Well, other than the fact that that was the 21 title of the book, do you know any special meaning about that 22 term? 23 MS. CHARGOIS: No. 24 MR. KAY: And have you heard of Linda Kasabian? 25 MS. CHARGOIS: Yes 26 MR. KAY: And who is she? 27 I mean, I heard the MS. CHARGOIS: I have forgotten. 28 name, I think. I'm -- I can't be precise about that, I'm

, 1

Ŝ

Ż

8.

sorry.

MR. KAY: Okay. You just remember the name, but you don't remember exactly where she fits in.

MS. CHARGOIS: Affiliation.

MR. KAY: Excuse me?

MS. CHARGOIS: Their affiliation, I mean, you know.

MR. KAY: So you haven't formed any opinion about her veracity as a witness or what role she might have played in the nights of the Tate and LaBianca murders?

MS. CHARGOIS: No.

22a

22a-1

28

MR. KAY: And do you feel, realizing that the prosecution has the burden of proving that Miss Van Houten had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder of the first degree, knowing that, do you feel that you can give the prosecution a fair trial?

MS. CHARGOIS: Yes.

MR. KAY1 Thank you.

I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Are there any challenges?

MR. KEITH: No. Your Honor.

MR. KAY; No.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, at this time you will be taken back to Department 106.

Bear in mind during this recess that you are not to discuss this matter amongst yourselves or with anyone else, and you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

In addition, you must not allow yourselves to read, see, or hear any news media reports concerning this matter.

All right. Would you take them to 106, and we'll have the next group.

THE BAILIFF: Do you want the next group in?
THE COURT: Yes.

(The remaining prospective jurors exited the courtroom, and the next group of prospective jurors were escorted in.)

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, at this time

Ϊ.	I want to start with this is Mr. Conrad I want to ask
2	each juror their name in order so that we are sure we have
3	the right jurors in the right seat.
4	You are Mr. Thomas G. Conrad; is that correct?
5	MR. CONRAD: Yes, sir.
6 .	THE COURT: And then Miss Nancy G. Crowell?
7	MS. CROWELL: Yes.
8:	THE COURT: Then Mrs. Mary D. Delgado?
9	MS. DELGADO: Right.
1 <u>0</u>	THE COURT: Frank G. Donnell?
11	MR. DONNELL: That's right.
12	THE COURT: , Mrs. Narion J. Drumright?
13	MS, DRUMRIGHT: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And Mrs. Diane C. Durnerin?
1:5	MS, DURNERIN: Yes.
16	THE COURT: All right. Now in the back row.
17	Betty L. Ellars?
18	MS. ELLARS: Right.
19	THE COURT: Oliver E. Evans?
20	MR. ÉVANS: Right.
2.1	THE COURT: Dale K. Finley?
2 <u>2</u>	MR. FINLEY: Yes, sir.
23 [.]	THE COURT: Brian D. Forster?
24:	MR. FORSTER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: John B. Galatioto?
26	MR. GALATIOTO: Galatioto, sir.
27	THE COURT: Thank you.
28	And Mr. Michael J. Furst.

22a-3

2`

3.

16 ·

20-

26 .

MR. FURST: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, the court at this time is going to read to you excerpts from the Indictment.

The Indictment in this case is in several counts, three counts of which concern the case before us.

The first count is Count No. VI. That count states, in essence, that,

"... Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court, is accused by the grand jury of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this Indictment of the crime of murder in violation of section 187, Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment and as follows:

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

"That on or about the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice aforethought, murder Leno A. LaBianca, a human being."

Count VII charges:

"... Charles Manson, Charles
Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian,
Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this
court, are accused by the Grand Jury of the
County of Los Angeles, State of California,
by this indictment of the crime of murder in
violation of section 187, Penal Code of
Californa, a felony, committed prior to the
finding of this indictment, and as follows:

"That on or about the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Linda Kasabian, Susan Atkins, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and with malice aforethought, murder Rosemary LaBianca, a human being."

1.

Count VIII states that,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

1.4

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28.

. . Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian, and the defendant before this court, are accused by the grand jury of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, by this Indictment of the crime of conspiracy to commit murder in violation of section 182,1 and 187, Penal Code of California, a felony, committed prior to the finding of this Indictment and as follows:

"That on or about the 8th through the 10th day of August, 1969, at and in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian, and the defendant before this court, did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and knowingly conspire, combine, confederate, and agree together, and with other persons whose true identity is unknown, to commit the crime of murder, a violation of section 187, Penal Code of California, a felony.

是有19年19年19年19日

うさ	
2	L

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

.25.

26

27

28

"That pursuant to and for the purpose of carrying out the object and purposes of the aforesaid combination, agreement and conspiracy, they committed the following Overt Acts at and in the County of Los Angeles:

"Overt Act No. I:

"That on or about August 8, 1969, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins and Linda Kasabian did travel to the vicinity of 10050 Cielo Drive in the City and County of Los Angeles.

"Overt Act No. II:

"That on or about August 8, 1969, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel and Susan Atkins did enter the residence at 10050 Cielo Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

"Overt Act No. III:

"That on or about August 10, 1969, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, Susan Atkins, Linda Kasabian and the defendant before this court did travel to the vicinity of 3301 Waverly Drive, City and County of Los Angeles.

"Overt Act No. IV:

"That on or about August 10, 1969, Charles Manson, Charles Watson, Patricia Krenwinkel, and the defendant before this court did enter the residence at 3301 Waverly Drive, City and County of Los Angeles."

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19.

20. 21

.22

24

23

25 26

:27

28

The defendant has entered a plea of not guilty as to each of these three counts.

I want to start with Mr. Conrad, and inquire concerning the issue of publicity.

Have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. CONRAD: Yes, sir, I have.

THE COURT: And have you read newspaper accounts of this matter?

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, not recently.

THE COURT: This is back --

MR. CONRAD: 1969.

THE COURT: -- in 1969.

MR. CONRAD: And 1970.

THE COURT: I see. Did you see newspaper -- I mean television reports of this matter?

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, not recently.

THE COURT: That was back about the same time period.

MR. COMPAD: Yes, sir, 1969.

THE COURT: You have not seen or heard anything about this case or read anything about this case since that time; is that correct?

MR. CONRAD: No, sir, I have not.

THE COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you have seen, read or heard, and judge the defendant's guilt or

3 ;

ġ.

1.Q

14:

innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this

MR. CONRAD: Yes, sir, I believe I could.

THE COURT: All right. Now, as a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of this defendant?

MR. CONRAD: No, sir, I have not.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, I would not.

•	
3-4	
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	.
	9
	10

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE COURT: All right. And if you were chosen as a trial juror in this case, could you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this case?

MR. COMRAD: Yes, Your Honor, I would.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Would you hand the microphone, please, to

Miss Croyell.

Miss Crowell, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything that you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books --

MS. CROWELL: Yes.

THE COURT: -- or seen on television or heard on the radio?

MS, CROWELL: Yes.

THE COURT: And when was that?

MS. CROWELL: Can I count seeing Belter-Skelter on television?

THE COURT: We want to know anything you have seen or heard, anything on television at any time.

MS. CROWELL: That was the most recent.

THE COURT: Back in, say, 1969 and in those years --MS. CROWELL: I read about it in the newspapers, and saw the accounts of it on television.

THE COURT: And then recently --

Do you recall when it was that you saw the T.V. movie Helter-Skelter?

23af

22

23

24

25

27

26

28

2

4

5

6

7

8

9· 10

11

12 13 ·

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

MS. CROWELL: I believe it was shown twice. It was the first time it was shown that I saw it.

But I don't know the date: I don't remember.

THE COURT: All right. Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on "he syldence that will be introduced at this trial?

MS. CROWELL: I don't know for sure, after seeing the television -- what they showed there.

THE COURT: Well, I'm sure you understand that the reason for the inquiry is that under our system we must have jurpes who do not have a predisposition one way or the other.

And if anything you have read, seen or heard would in any way cause you to form an opinion as to whether or not the defendant was guilty or innocent, of course that would have a bearing on the outcome of this case.

MS. CROWELL: I realize that.

THE COURT: And the case has to be decided solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom. Do you understand that?

MS . CROWELL: Um-hum.

THE COURT: And I'm sure most if not all of the prospective jurors have read, seen or heard something about this case at sometime during the years since 1969.

what I am trying to get at is, would what you have seen, heard or read in any way affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

MS. CROWELL: I believe it would.

	1.
	2
	3
•	.4
	5.
	<u>6</u>
	7
	8
•	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	1.5
	16
	17
•	18
	19
	20·
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25 26
,	26

28

THE COURT: In other words, what you are saying is that you have a predisposition one way or the other concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MS. CROWELL: I'm afraid so.

The COURT: And that it would take some evidence to overcome this state of mind that you have?

MS. CROWELLE: Yes.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to recess until tomorrow morning.

The defendant and counsel will be ordered to return tomorrow at 10:00 a.m.

The jurors will be returned to Department 106, and I will there admonish you concerning the matter in detail.

But bear in mind the admonition previously given

to you.

All right. The balliff will please transport the jurous to Department 106.

Thank you.

(Recess.)

(The following proceedings were held in Department 106 in the absence of the defendant and both counsel:)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

I mentioned yesterday it was going to be a long wait, and of course it's going to be a long wait before we get through with all of you.

But at this time I want to admonish you again that

you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else and you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

Further, you must not allow yourselves to read, hear or see any news media accounts concerning this matter or what's transpired.

And just so it's clear, that means that if you pick up a newspaper, the morning paper or any paper, don't read anything about this case.

And if you are watching television and they are going to start talking about the case, you either should go and turn the T.V. set off or get away from it.

You just can't allow yourselves to come into contact with any of these matters so long as you are still on this case.

All right. At this time you are going to be allowed to leave, to return tomorrow morning at 9:45.

You should all return to this room. This is Department 105, on the 9th floor.

you people out and go back at it tomorrow.

Have a good evening.

Thank you.

(At 4:07 p.m. an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, March 30, 1977 at 10:00 a.m.)