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All right. Do we have the microphone ready? HHE BATLTHY: It* there.
me courty Ali right, sir, would you stand, plaase, and ntate your name.

MR. KAEISH: Ky nam is Stefan Kalisin, I was touch up with my company this morning. I was asking $-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ going to be on 90 days on jury duty They tall mo only pay 20 day: working daye.

THE COURT: Thet you would only be pald for 20 days? MR. KALISH: Only.
mas count: By whom are you employed? MR. KAmISty By Bell and wowell, paraiana.
 seatud.

MS. CARES: Good morning, Ky name is Conaie Carios, and I'm an office assistant for the buyers of Seary and Roebuck, and they will not pay:

THE COURT: past the month?
MS. CARLOS: Seara and Bobbuck. They will not pay THE COURT: At aIl? MS. CARHOS: Well; thay 11 pay just for one month. mas courm: But they would not continue past the 30 day;? NES. CARJOS: NO.
mis covim ghank you.
M资, ACosith: Ny namo is kello Acosta, and I tola them "about thiss, kand they will pay for the 30 days. But I would have to subatt wister for the other days to the Board of Diucation to approve, if I'm going to ba paid ox not.

Than $x$ "m only secixity agent that in on the



shive eovivi Oh, yas, okay.
Did they say you would or woula not be pald past the 30 days

Mn. Acosma It vould have to go bafore the Board of



Mix count Do you have any idea how long it would taine to do that?
 ditey wouldix"t know themselvem*
mizn Coun m all sight, thank you. You may be seated. Yes, wix.
 enginearing manager, and $I$ checked with my division managexy and with the inexperience in our district, it would be advisable and a turdahlp -m


7ith the inoxparinnce factom in our group, we are vaxy mort at this time.
 the service to the puthifo?
 primarily control of the construction buaget for the Valley $\therefore \because$
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THE COURI: Good morning, ladies and gentiomen. Kll right. People varsua Van Houton.

Let the record show the defendant is present and representide by counsely the Paople are seprasonted by counsel. At this time, ladias and gontlemen, wo are going to inquira of the 12 of you concorning the issum of publicity. The court firat wantw to cain off the namea of thone geated go that wa ax aure that we have the appropxiate people in the correct meats.

An right. I'A like to mart in the fixst som to my far right.

Are you Mr. John D. Aberorombie? NR, ABERCROMBIS: Yes, air.

2HE COURT: Then it is Barisara L. Banks?
MISS BANKS: Yos.
Mric COURT: Is that Miss or Mris.?
HISS BAMTS: Kise.
THis COURT: Min:
Then James D. Burton?
Mis. DuRrot: Yes; six.
TaE Coums: MLia Huxton?
RISS BURTON: RIght*
THE COURs: Im that Misa or urs.?
MSS BURHON: Mias.

Ali right: No. 5 then would se June Day?
MRG. DAy: Yes.
wis courry It it uise or krw?

## $4-2$

3HS. DAY: Hiss.
His Coum th mank you.
Whan Lion S. Doubt?
U4: DOUBE: Corract.


min, counit Nr. Geoxge D. Helshman?


2n. WACKSOWy Yew.
THE COUND: GLoxia A. tackson?
MISS JRCKSON: Ye:

MISS MACXSON: Wis\%
Tux countr mank you.
Ellen B, Jixunnez?

Jut Cobnet is it Mrs. 7 mank you.




A11 Fight. At this time mant to meart with
 the rattocy of publicity.

Fixst guestion 1s, have you hamat ox ao you know anything bout this casa ox about defendant's past actions, conduce, belioss or associations, incluaing anything you have

## $5-1$
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Now, you have heard nowis reportion asocut the mater. In that whet yrown waydng?


Dic your reat the hook or ald you the the movie gallad Toltaz Skelter'

Mrss moxtox * *O.

Cotra you yut to on asie whatever you might have
 guilt or innocence wolidy on the duldence to premented in chi: courtroom?






How, a two that opinion, can you put that oplaion
 in oxder to dsapol that opinion?


thes. Day, hava yow hemza on do you know anything about thil came or about defondant'a pat metions ponduat, boliais or as

on tha radion
MRS．DAZ：Yea，\＃ir．
THe courm ：And whon was that？
wis．DAY：All the racent part that was in the papos shout jury delwation and wo forth．

WHE COHRY A11 might．
NRS．DAY：and we dincumsed it in the fact that I was to be on the juify the followting waek，Eo we hiad disenmed thin．

NE COURT：All raght．
bid you follow nowapaper ox media accounts of this mater in linta 2969 und early 700 ．

wan corraty I beg youx pardon？
MRS．DAY：For another meazon．

Have you mean the television movie or raid tha book cmilad＂Ineltar skeltar＂？

Ming．DAX：I＂ve asean the movie．
 fay months ox over a yatr mgo？

2H2 Cound ：All mignt．
if Could you put to one side whatever you might have read，bean，ow heard about this chse and jucge tife defondant＇l guilt or Innocance solely on the evidence to be premented in chis couxtroont $1:$

MRG．Naxy－No．Hy mind in mada up．
保
; hatur ramd, sean, or hoard about this case and judge the defondante guflt or innocence molely on the eviatence to bo
prenentad in thite gourtroora?


## Faw cound : All slght.

Could you put to on* sla" matevar sou might

mis covrat fo thon what you are teling is that you could juage the defentant'y guilt or innocence molely on the evidence to he premented in this courtroom?

MR. DOUBT: Yes; mir.
man coux maid. that you haven"t formed any opinion at to the defendant". guilit of innocence?

MR. DQuBri I hiven"e zormed any opinion on the defenatant. All I know is fxom the main trial haudines that oceurred nt the time and what $\quad$ coverige thore was.

THE Courge zut you haventt formed any opdinion?
MR. DOUBT I havon't zwad any book ox gone to the movies, and I didn't med any of the mtory weludee on TV.

That courfs mil right.
So that is it accurate to say that you have not formad any opinion bamed on publiaity in this matter?

MR. poursis Thatiw trum.
Whe courit dil rifght.
रow, would you allow yourmalf to be influmemd by any publicity that you might have read, wen, or heard?

ME, DODB24: 3O.

And if you are chosen all a triai jurow th this
emge, can fyou amux the court that you wonla decte this came wolely onithe evidence to be recolvad in this courtrocen

Finc Codnt All right, thank you.
wir maxd they you hard or do you know mything
nhout thit, ofsa, $6 x$ ghout defondint" past metions, oonauct,

 hemra on the rimdiot

HED COUROM ALI wight:
You haven"t mean the 15 mpyte ar rasd the book callwa "Heltor skeltax"?

Tis Codrin Hare you men anything in the nown madia in

 mas courn mil wight.

Coula you put to on mide whitetrer you might have
 guilit or innorance molely on tho evidance to be premented in this coiurtrocm?

Mn. Harprit I daflntemy could not, six.
min courir tre you anyling that you havo formad an
 case to thi detandant's gilit or innocence?


 wome avidance to be produccd in oxdar to atwper your ophinon?
 of etvidance betore I would change my mind, sir.
max Count: All sighta, thank you.
$\therefore$ Mr. Eeimhman, have you heard or do you know anything about thic "cke or about datendant' ${ }^{(3)}$ past actions, conduct, belicife or amsociations, incluaing anything you have read in the nerppapers, magazinas, ow book. weon on talevinion or heard on the xudiof

mwe cound: zou' haten't man the my movie or read thim book culded "Heltor, skeltor"

This COURT: All xight.
Now, could you put to one side whempor you might have read, sean, or hadrd about this case and yudge the defencanti's guilt or innocenco solely on the evidence to be presented in this oourtroom?

mait coundy Aud as a xesult of anything you might have rodi, seen, or heard mbout this cone, have you formed any opinion at to the dafondank' guilt or innocanct?

 any puhlicity your might have read, wean, or hemrd in this matter?

MR. HETshman: No, I Noulon't
The courty And if you are choson as a trixin juror. could you amaur the court that you would acoine this came solely on the evidance to be prosented in thin courtroona

MERE COURT All sight: thank you.

Mr. Ineknon, have you heard or do you know anything about this cman ox abotat defondantis puet actions:
 hava xadi in nowmpmerm, magazineit, or booke, men on television ox meand on the radiot



$$
\because \sin ^{1} 1+y^{2}
$$

Wris coort: Thon way that?




 the book waik publishted.

Himve sou read the book?
HR. JAEKEON: NO, I have not.
 skaltuc?

NR. JACRgOR: HO, I have not.

wow, I taine it you have had numeroun convarisations



Wow, coxid you put to onil lice whatavax you dight

 preantitad in this courtrocsur
 people with whom I huck convariation are zmportoms, and in find thedr creatibility to be quite high;
gut cotrow All might
Anch are you etying that Jamed on what you kave.

opinion concerning the defondant's guilt ox innocence?
MR. JACRSOA: Yes, I have formed an gininion.
The COURT: Ail zight.
And is that opinion such that it would require
oviáence to 解spel it?
MR. JACKSON: Yes.
TuE COURT: All xight, thank you.
A11 right, Hisw Jackmon, have you heard or do you know anything about thil sawe or mbout defendint's paift actions, conduct, belieks or amociations, including anything you have read in tha newapaperis, magazines, of booky, wen on theleviaion or hemad on the radio?
miss ancksont x"ve seon the movie and Inve read the book,
maie courws pia you follow the neww wadia macounte of this matter In iate 1.969 and the early 7 70n?
kxss Jacksow: Occasionally.

And when you waw the movie, wat thet just in the Late cougle of monthe, of vat it over a year ago?

mat courst All right.
Dla you :wee both evaninge of the program MEss JAcksoir xes in
han court: All wight.
Now poluid you put to one mide whatover pou might have read, seen, or haari about thid cane w-m Muss "fhersonta : No.

7 等
min column . Li aria judge - let me finish - and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the voidance to be presented in this courtroom?

Miss jnexsoti $x$ do not think $I$ gould.
gre count: all right.
Aim a result of anything you have rad, seen, or hasid about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the quilt or innocence of the defendant?

MISS JACKSON Yen.
man course And is this opinion such that it would require ovidance to produced to dispel your opinion?

Mrs sacksonz I wasn't following you.
(and
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KR. Karifi: And meading the headilne mbout the ease atsturbita,you, then, true?


Arid warity yur waxe there way trial involving the namicidin min "

NR. Xuswas mothat distumbed you?
Nind were you aware of thie outconis of that trialu


 arreatan and waz - -



 Houtan batora you whike into court yuntoxday?

4R. DOMBT* Oniz with the msociation with the w that her napa way montionod prioy to this in tha neas.
 how much priof ax you talking about: way buck then in 1970 or thereabouts on mora recante

 zecontly.

The only plocure way draving of her, like a poncil-skation irating of her*

MR. Kaxdif bid that new concorn the start of this erial?
 pleture on the wy nuws.

Wy fob in one that causes me to continuousiy monitor new, zadio and ry. put I only am looking for oortwin thingen, mmely moiontifico. I qan"t help haaring the othar, but it goge In one ear and out the other.

 i*, that ian"t the tirn or place for it.

HR. KMIWH: Unleas thut is some relationehty betweon your job rict

KR. DOUBT: No relationahip.

How, Nhan you think back, frow you mware that or are you aware now that zealia was tried with kinnon?

UR. DOUBTN Not ranliy mware of it.
MR KeIsta ALI zight.
Do you have any idan; from mat you have read, heard, os dean, we to why she in in court today matting a trialy

MR. Doung: From what the fuage told us yewterday,
MR. Kaxym: Wall, that whe'z beon indleted.
min. DOUBM: Yaw.
 be here nom?

4R, boubsy $x$ hay no opinions on iti noy mir.
 secollection now of her having had puxportady mome ansocimetion with Manmon?

KR. DOUR\% Yem.
\#R. WExTM: All night.
And do you have a recolleation now of her having been trifed with Hanwon back in 1969 and '70?
 concorined -- axe amocinted, yem*

MR. Kerymy Sow, your were disturbed akout the cmase through your raading of the headlinam and chrough the nows madia.
; A" a senult of pour beling disturbed smenuma of the madt bxponure, dia you foxm an opinion bick then about granton gitullt or innocenge? .
$+\cdots$
 than it was, gist : Afturtiffity the whole wot. I matn as a group, not an an individual.
129. Wixh what you are telling me ia the group that zurrounged yunwon ypa distagteful to you, axd it we distastenful bechuwi you read accounte of their allegna participation in certain antimocial conduct?


MR. Douns: noll -

4R. DOUBT: Obviousiy - -

HR. सexTH: Youn"ve anavared that questiong you've anmered that quation.

My point is, in your mind doam not Limlie start with two striken againgt hor bmemues you know sha mas masociated with Manoon and the Manmon group wam dimtastatuj to you?

Don't you think you are going to boundir to her because of that opinion in your mind?

MR" DOUBW\% Wot from judging her on evidence submstted.

```
i
```





MR. xticin: "What "do you man, "Not from judging m"r

stir. KEITH: Noll, you remamber hor; don"t you; w Maving bath associated with Hanmon's groupp.

Yous founa that group distanteful; don* you *ind her diretantedul, too?

4R. pounc: I don"t know how to andwer that, "ilr.
It was the group wet that war distantutui. Any hendinn woala bon.

MR. Kwithi Okny.
You have no opinion that the may be moze dikely Guilty than innocent because of what you have wwac; heard, or soen about this cam that you zound mo distamtertul?

Ma, bousty i have not mem any proof that she is guilty othar thun guilt by aswociation, but --

Ma, REITH: Do your have that opinion, whe" guily by ansociation?

UR. DOUBF: Other than that slew is involved, I have no
 done under zoree or free will, lif the was mober or whit.
 oncounter: In this qate and that you eould seoide those issuas with an open mina?
zar. Dovist I oovad with an opan minay yow, efx.






 "roltar akeltor" or "des the telavision motion pieture by the





 the madia within the past yow ox moz




MR. KEINH: Did you Follow the cate on daymbomay requiay bandiam

MR. HEXSHMN: NO.


MR. Xixwty pardon ner

 on you that would caume you to mm latie siny would muke it


MR. gissmantiz I had no opinion whetecovar. I jut soad the haadinno and that was it.

MR. Kuxifi nid you lanan bout the oatcome of that

## 





 were melecting jary Iury din't know I'd be on lit.

 4t.
?
$\square$

 fior nath on the new in the contiaxt of a jury buing m-

 hery



 Involvomont he rady have purportingy had with Henmony thom?
 and his followare

 packap being expoted to tolevision eovorage muny yance mgo, did you foxm an opinion bout Mx. Annmon's guilt ow inmocenoer?


## MR K K M P

You wormét intwrented in the mattor?

 Vincent Buglioni m
woli, x*21 fixat ank you if you have ovar hamed of him?





 quatationfing.
 have an open rolad might now towards Mime Van Moutont

 as our Inv bayw, clothat with the prosxaption of linnocuncer

 is concerned. that ghe presentiy, we the attiz butoro you,



 avianack



 promumably
 Whithin the Iater tudion trifore monthat

 zou mavt

Lut any and retranh yout recolieation or oxiant you. On part concorned the; an I recoll it, inventigatory stage, and the other part was mostiy poncerning a tolovialom trinil in the wortitenme.

Do you remanber wich part you waw

 HRs. dumenter No, six.

Mn, Kwishs In that correct?
a11 right. Now, had you avor heard the name工eslie Van Louton hatore you eame into court ymemray? MRS, JThenge: Yet, eir. MR. KIITII: And how did you horr that name?
 original trial, and then I -
 hadn't finishad.

HRS. Junimest $m$ and than in the last four weoke. M. textin And whe aid your wead, we, or hear in the jast four wakk where har nam waik mantioned?

MRS. JXManEz: on taleyimion about the ponstbility of another trial.

MR. XEITH: ot another triali
NRE, THMEREZ: Yes, sir.
 seating or heringy

Min Kainhy Now, during the firat trial you did read
A:
184

Sabout tio casa in the nowspapers and parhaps woe about it on
buns: stiment xex.


 or not Lestifeme involved at all in the fixst trialp

Mgs. JTMEsELE I really don't recall hor,
紋, RETHE: You don't recsill hor name?
Mri* sumentiz: ine name I recali, but I don't know the particulare about hor involvement.
 In the televinion motion ploture Helter skelter?

KR. KESTrify Do you ramandine what meaning, if any, Manmon gave to the term "heltar-mkelter"?

What doen it mean to you an tax aw -mbearing in mind that you did mee that telexiwion motion picture.

Do you have e rocollection --
NRS, JIMmisk: No, I don't recmil.
MR. XEITEI $-m$ what meaning he gave that terma
Axe you telling um your racollection of the motion pieture is somewhat vague?

 of television thow purporting to depict the somealled Manwon fanily as it haw?


Mas. \#xhmatim No. I haven't.

Have you ever formed any opinion as to the guilt of Manson?
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(Appearances as heretofore noted.)

Let the record thow the defendunt is present repreanted by courisel; the people xre repratentad by councoly



洮 w w






保＊

坆． （3y）







$\because$ 名
































## 







IR，RAY； 411 maty
$\therefore$ Do you fex yeu can give the prosecution a matr wrial in thus case？： $9:$

 the picrophone．

Er．Burcon，which one of the local papart do you read？

MR．JukTowt 1 den＇t subseribe．
HR FAX：Okay．Do you reach any at the office or amythiag

Mg．Burtox：Oh，suyc，Whenever I find a free papery 1 11 read it．
 or the Hexald or whatever？

M哌：BURTON：Not really，new
絽．KAX：Dkay．
Have you read any arclelen about Mise Van houtan in noy of the tiewapapaxis this yoarf

Wh．EuRTCN：Not to my knowledge．


M及，WAY：have you keen laf．Keith on televiston？
KR．保TON：Not to kyowledge．
紋 Kay：Or heard hita on radic or read whout him anywhere talking about Miss Van Houten？

NR．BURTON：NO．
ME．KAY：Do you zalie the Lo Angelas Mognatine？

MR. BuRTOK: No.
 MR, BURTON: NO.

We11. I'vo read all of them socuetines, probably, but I dontexdibzeriba.

MR NaX: You con'c read them ond a tagular basis?
㫰. BUMTON: No.
 bout wise van fouten in any of thoce sources?

MR. EAK ALI EEGKE.
Now, frok what ghiu know bout the cage, have you
 any titue in bex life?

腿. BURTON: NO.
 have participated in the so-called Tate-Likilanea murdere mut have ben crazy or mentelly unbolanced at the time of thetr particlpakion?

Bo you have any opinion whek as that?
MR. BURTON: Not really.
MR. Kay: Do you foel you enn keep an open mind on that Inexu and decide the question of Mise Van Houten's state of mind bated on tho evidence that you heat from the witnoss stand

MK \# BUKTON: Yes.
MR. KAY; Okay; thank you.
Could you pan the microphone down to dr. Doubt,

Wr. Doubt, Exer what you told Mr, Xisith I understand that yeu saw a sketching of Wisk Van Houten on telewiaion recentiy.
 I sam aketch.


2k. KAY: Okzy.
And do you remember wan that atrial scene in court

WR. Dovist Xt wew whetch bout a court scene, yes.



啈，HAY：And have you seen Mise Van Houten other that on a drawing on televiston this yeart

MK，Dousty I think thera was filu clip of hex getting escorted－－gotuing into or gat of a cax．

根。 KAY：Okwy．
But you did not hear her talking or mything？
MR．DOUBT：No．
 14ke that？

MR．KAY：Okay；
Do you read onc of the local papers hare int h．A．？
MR．DOUBT：Not in Los Angelels．I get the Star News；
Pasadena paper，and Sunday Timet．
MR．KAX：Okay，
And have you rede any axticlew about Misy Van Houter on this trial in efthex the Times or the Fadeden Star News？


 $\qquad$


UR K Kizy Have you seen 赫：Reith cn celevision or heard him on radlo＂or read aboixt him nayhere talking hbout Hi＊s Ven Houtenz
 pleture＊in the newspaper．
 MR．DOUBT：Or heazd him on the radio．

M俍．KAX：OKay．
Kave you formed any oplnton about Miss Van Hoven＇s mental state at any time in her lafe？

HR，DOUBT：l have no optaion on her．进保，KAX：Okey，Thank you wexy much．

I have no further questions and no challeages． THE COUET：Any chailenges？


THe COURT：All right，At thie time the bailife will take the four prospective jurort back to hepurtwent 106．The next group should be brought into the courtroom

Bexr in mind the admonition I＇ve given you a number of thees．Thank you．
（The rewtuing protpective jurors exited the courtrocm sad a new group of prospective furoxs wss encorted in＊）
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Nes.

The couta - mata you read newspapex accounts of thet




THE COURT: A11 EMght:
Have you teen maything in the mews media in tite mocent months about this cass ar bout the defendant

mate Cobzt; Have you teck the Ty movie of read the book



gould you be able to put to one tixe whatequx you

 introduced in this texfal?

Pys. ROBENSOR: Yew

 you fomed any oplaton as to the githt of lanooncen of the defendant?

MRS. RORINSOR: NOC
THE COURT And Nould you allon yoursale to be influonced by any publicity you have raad, tems, or heara about this cape?

MRE * WOXTSOAR NO.
 fattex: cat yoy assure the court that you wouzd deolde this
matier foleiy on the ovidence to be presented in this courtroon?

Has, roxinsow: Yes.

F. Hitr. Rogers, have you heard ox do you know anything
"aboit thit ease or obout defienciant's pact actions; conduct,
 newthapetis tragazines; or bioks, seen on taleviaion or heard on the radion?

UR. Notens; Juit from the televieion and the papers.
 $1708 \%$

NK, Rocens: yeah, and just, weli, about two or three weekf ego I think thay hud a tritemp in the paper about it, about tive trial corang up.

THE Covets Al2 right.
Have you seen the $x$ nowie or read the book exilec "Heltex Skeltex"?

MR. ROCESS: No.
2HE GOUKT: Could you put to one side whatever you have xead, setn, os heard pout this case and juage the defemant's gutit of innocencte solaly on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

絽. RGGERS: No, 1 dente think $I$ could.
THE COURT: Are you saying that based on what you liave
 concraing the defemant th gutat of innocence?

NR. ROGEAS; Well, it's kind on hurd to say, becatat,
 quite bit on lat.
 the trial very closely, was that it. ox dian you -
 THE EOURT: ALL Fight.

Now, based on that your contact in keeping up on the cate. did you at any time som an opinion concerning the


Nan ROGERS Not really, no.




THE COURT; Do you have an opinion as you sit there now as to the defendant' gulite or innocence?

MR. ROGERS; Yes, It'o kind of hard to say. I hate to sagy elther way.

I mean, I do not think I could say the was innocent or guility either one right at the moment, no; but, like I bay, if had read all the papers and the telovision when it was out quite bit.

THE COURT: Well, you understand, as I mentioned a Iftile earlier, that it would be inappropriate to have furor who had already got thelx mind made tup.

MR. ROGERS: I know that.
THE COURT: And it would aino be inappropxiate to have a juror who, based on what thoy have read, seen, or heard in this matter, had an opinion, sutch that the other side would have to produce mone exidence in arder to get you, say, to ground zero; in other wordis, to have antopen rind.

MR. ROAERS: Yea:
THE COURT: So what $x$ hintrying to find out is, and I know it may be difficult to ariswer, but we'd like you to do the best you can, becauze; you axh; the only one that can tell us thien

M保. ROGERS: Yea.
THE COURT: And that is, do you have a completely open nind about this, or is your mind such that you have an opinion one way or the other?

MR, ROGERS: I'd hava to have a lot of ouidence. the court: ro remove the opinion you have?

KR , ROGERS: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right, thank you.
Mess Shabsatan, have you heard or do you know
 conduct, beliefs ar associations, including anyching you heve read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, sem on television or heard on the radio?

MSS SHABAZIAN: I did see the movie when it firat came out, which I think was about a year ago; and I did road the book when it first came out, which th not sure of the time.

Hut I hava not seen anything recently, and I haven't read the newspapers lately,

Did you follow this mateer in the late 60 s and eaxiy 70 s?

MISS SLABAZIAN: No, I has In my carly tams; and 1 realiy didn't care too nuch about it.

THE COUKT: All mifght.
Now, waild you be able to put to one etde whatever you have read, seen; or heara about this case and judge the defendant guilt of innofende whely on the devidence to be presented in this courtron?
 heard about this cafe or about the defendant, have you Eormed any opinion as to hex guthe 6m imnocence?

HISS SHABAZIAN: No, not herselz spectifeally.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read. seen, or heard about this mattex sbout the dofendent?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I don't believe so.
THE COUKT: And if you are choaen as a trial juror in this matter, can you assure the court that you will decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I could.

THE COURT: Alt right, thank you.
Mrs. Snater, hive you heard of do you know anything about this case-on about defendantis past actions, conduct. bellefs or asociatione; thicluding anything you have read in the newepapors, magazines, or bocke, feen ox the television or heard on the radto?
 news 1 (a 1969 and early '70s, but I haven't heard anything on st recentiy.

THE COURT: A11 right.
Have you mean the iv movie or read che book called


KRS. SNAER: No. I have not.
THE COURT: Would you be able to put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this matter and judge the defandant's gailt ox fnomence solely on the evidenco to be ptesented in this courtroom?

THE COURT: A11 might:
As a result of anything you have reăd, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion at to the guile ox innocence of the defendant?

MRS. SNAER: NO, I havent.
The coust: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen, or heard about thite case?

MRS. SKA ZR: I don't think so.
THE COURT: And if you ate chosen as a trial juror in
this matter, cam you asoure the court that you would dectide this ease solely on the cvidence to be presented in this courtrome?

MRS. SNAER: Xes.
THE COURT: All xight, thank you.
Mrg. Stern, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions; conduct, belief or associations, includtins anything you have read in the newspapers; magazines; or books, geen on television or heard on the ration:

URS, STERN: Yes, aitr.
THE COURT: And What
Mrs. STER第; When it tappened. , !!
I remember aceing it in, the nithe and you know, for the following month or so when it was on I followed it closely.

THE COURT: ALI right.
And have you seen the television program or read the book called Helter Skelter"?

MRS, STERN: NO, I have not.
THE COURT: Kave you seen anything in the news media in recent months about this case or about the defendant?

MRS. STERN: I think I heard that the trial was coming up, but that's about it.

THE COURT: ALI tight.
And can you put to one side whatever you mat have read, seen, or heard about this care and judge the defendent's guilt or innocence solely of the evidence to be presented in
this courtroom?
MRS, STERN: Yes, BKr, I can.
THE COURT: And as a rosult of anyoung you might have read, seen, or hoard about this case or about the defendant have you formed any opimion as to the defendant's guitt or innacence?

MRS. STERM: No, Bury I have not.
ThE COURT And would you allow yourself to be fneluenced by any publicity you have read, sean, or heard about this case?

MRS. STERN: KO, si土.


THE COURT: If you are chosen as a trial juror in this matter, can fou assure the court that you would decide this case colely on the evidence to be prosented in thit courtroom?

SRS. STERN: Definitely, six,
THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr, Vildosola, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actiont, conduct, beliefs or associations, includine anything ypu have read in the newspapers, tagazines, of books; zeen on televiaion or heard on the radio?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: And when way that?
MR. VILDOSOLA: I read the newspapers, and I worked at the Hall of Justice while the trial was going on.

THE COURT: Have you seen the TV movie or reat the book called "helter Skelter"?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Zes, Bir; I have.
THE COURT: And when did you see the TV movie?
NR. VILDOSOLA: Oh, th was about the firet time it came out.

THE COURT: Did you see it the second time it was onf
MR VILDOSOLA: NO, BIT,
The Court: DId you attend any of the procecaings at the first trial in this mittert

MR. VILDOSOLA: XXE, I did.
THE COURT; And in what capacity did you attend those proceedtugs?


THE COURT ALI right.
As a result ok anythingycu might have read, been, or heard about this case, could you lay that to one side and Judge the defondant's guilt ox imoconco colely on the ovidarce to be prozented in this courtroomi

NK. NILDOSOLA: NO, I soulan ${ }^{*}$.
The count Are you baying that an monult of wiat you have read, seen, or heard about this matter that yous have Fowned an opinion as to the detendant's guint or imocence?

NR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, I Have.
THE COURT: ALI EIght.
Ancis this opinion such that some evidence would have to be presented in oxden to ovoxcome your opiation?

MA. VLHDOSOLA I don't think so.
THE CODRT: Well, what you are baying is you formed en opinion on the ratter; is that correct?

靬 VILDOSOLA: Yes.
THE COURT: Gan you put that opinkon to one cide?
ME. VILDOSOLA: NO, I could not.
THE court: In other words, this opinion would stay with you during the course of this trial, wouldn't t t?

NR, VILNOSOLA: YOS, six.
THE COURT: In that whe you're baying?
MR, VILDOSOLM: Kes, sir.
TEE COURT: ALL Xight
Now, if you ware chosen as a trial juror in this matter dnd you had an opinion one way, would the other side have to put on some evidence in arder to remove that opinion
that you have?
MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, they would.
THE GOURT: A11 right, thank you.
W. 11 deunitel approach the benchs please.
(The following procecding were heta
at the bencht)
THE COURT: Okay, Do you hade chal fenges?
MR. Kefth: Defendant will chailenge Misw Murray,
conflaential secretaxy: Br, Rogers
THE COURT: Yes.
KR. EETH: - and Mr. Vildosola.
THE COURT: ALI wight.
kR KEITM: Is there somebody else?
THE Courti Those are the only ones that I thought who -m
MR. REXTH: Thoze axe the only ones I've marked,
MR. KAY: Wo objection.
THE COURT: No objection?
All right. I will excuse those three.
Do you have the names?
THE CLERE: Yeb.
THE COURT: All right.
(The following procredings were held in open court:)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentiemen, the followng three juroxs are excused from further service upon this case. Those of you excused are to report to the fury room on the fifth Elpor:

The followine are excused; Mias Maureen E: Burxay:

15 E1

Hr. Jack wayne Rogers; and kx. Ozcar D. Vildosola.
Thank you for your attendance upon the court. The BaIlife: Would you walk this way, please. THE COURT: AlL right; Mr. Kexth, you may inquire regarding publicity.
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MR, KEITH: Did you ever have an opinion about hesile's guilt or innocence?

MRS, REAGAN: No, becaute I don't judge people easily, I have to have, you know, facte before I try to make a jucgment. I don't think I made a judgrent, because I didn't follow that clotely.

I didn't fael that I knew enough to make a judgment In my mind, yout know, in my own mind.

MR, kEITH: You would be able to promise ace, then, that you could forget, if you are elected as a txinl juror, forget all about what you have read, cen, ox heard efther on the radio and televibion or in the newspapers, just completely crase it from your mind, and have an open mind and give Leslie a Eaif trial?
mRS. REAGAN: Yes.
花. KEITH: Deapite alt the publicity?
MRS. REAGAN: Yes, Ithinte I could,
MR. KEITH: Canlyou do better than think?
MRS KEAGAN: Yes, I coulde $\because \therefore$, $\because$
MR. KETTH: ox is that as fari as youncan go with me?
IRS. REACAN: If I haver refervations, they are for other rexsons; but it is not on this pont right now.

WR, keITh: Right. He are mikftigen inquiry on just this point.

MRS. REAGAR: Fes. I could on thit point, yer.
HR. EETTH: All right: thank you.
Frass the microphone to --
It's Mra. Robinson?

NKS. ROBINSOX: Mr* Robinsom, yez.
YR. REETH: Pazdon me?
MRS. ROBIRSON: Yes.
MR. KETRA: Your only exposure to the somealled Menson case was the hearing about it on the radio some yeare bacty; is that coxrect?

MRS. ROSINSON: That's right:
MR. KEITH: And wexe you inving in Los Angeles County int 1969 and 170 ?

MRS. ROBINSOM I WAB.
NR. SEITH: Do you tead any nemapapers at allt
MRS* ROBINSON: No, I don't.

MRS. ROBTHSON: YO, I GOn'
Nix, KETTH: Do you see televiaton at all?
NRS. ROBINGON: Yes.
KR. KEITH: Did you have a celevision back in 169 and 1708

MRS. RODTNEON: Yes:
 to news shows on telovidicnt

NRS ROBINSON: No, not too muck.
NR. KEITH: The new , tou weqelve comen from the radic. Is this a fait statement ${ }^{\text {a }}$

MxS. KORIASOA: No, televieion mostly,
 the someallect Manbon case on televiefon back' in' 69 and 70 ?

$16-3$

MR. KEITH: I sea, And you also heard about it on the ractiot 968

NRS. ROBINSON: No, not too much on the radios maze on television.

MR. KEITH: My notes are wrong I've got it backwards. Kaving heard about the case on telavision sone years back, did you form any opinton then as to the guilt or innocence of any of the defendants in that case?

MRS. ROBXNSON: No I IUAnte.
 befors you came into court the other day?

MRS. ROBINSON: Yes. Yef, I have.

MR. KEITH: And in what connection had you heard of her? MRS. ROBTNSON: Just about wat I heard on TV.

M品. KEITH: Over TV when?
MRS. ROBINSON: Eack $\frac{1}{1}$ !'89 and 70.
WR. KEITH: Did, you then on television or see on
tolevirion, then, that the was a defondant in thit other case? Do you remember heaming thato : $12 . \mathrm{B}$
MAS. ROBTNSON: Yes.
M18. XEITH: And do you remeraber the outcome of that cate?

MRS. ROBINSON: Yes:
MR. KEITH: Do you remember what happened to Lemile in that case? Without telling me, do you remember what happened to her?

MRS. RORINSON: Res; I vaguely remember.
HR, KEITH; All right.
Do you have any idea why she ${ }^{1}$ hexe awiting trial today?
yRS. RORINSON: Do I have any?
MR. KeITH: Yeah, yeah. Do you know? Is ate zurprising to you to sec her steting there todiay about to be tried for the sam alleged offenses as you had heard about on televiaion years ago?

HRS. ROBTESON: NO.
MR. XEITH: You don't have any idem You didn't seo anything on television or read anything in the newspapers about why she is here?

GRS. ROBINSON: No, I dom't; no, I didn't.

MR. KEITH: Why don't you pase the microphone to Mris. Shabazian, please.

Is it - - an I protiouncing te correctiy
MISS SHABAZLAN; Misb Shabmzian.
WR. KEITM: Pardon me?
MISS SHABAZIAN: Mibs Shabazian.
MR. KExTH: Misa Shabaxian, you saw both the movie; enticled Helter Skelter, and the book; "\&elter Skelten"; in that right?

MISS Shabazian: Yes, I, did.
MR. XEXTH: DAd you enjoy those literary efforts?
MISS SHiBAZIAN: , I don'tithink it sad very well written, but that's my opintion,

MR, KEITH: You read the whote bookt: $\therefore$
miss mhabaziant Yes, I did.
MR. KEITH: And you saw the whole movie, both parts?
MISS SMABAZIAN: Yes, I did.
MR. KEITH: Did you see the novid within the 1ast two or three monthe or approximately a year ago?

MISS SHABAZIAN: It wab over a year ago, I belifeve. MR. EETTH: ALI wight,

And did elther work leave any impreasion upon yeu so thet it would meke it hard for you to judge Leslle fairiy?

MTSS SHABAZIAN: No, I do not recall her mumentioned all that much. And I knew the wam brought to trial, but I don't recall the outcome at all.

I did not follow it thet much.
MR. KEITH: And when the original trial occurred, you
didntt follow it at all because you were too young, wight? MISS SHABAZLAN: Yeah, I was only like 14. I didn ${ }^{1}$ t care too much when I was 14. WR. KEITH: Pardon me? MISS SHABAZIAN: I didn't care too much about that type of thing when I was 14, MR. KEITA: Oh, I understand. I'p not being critical. Did you come to understand; having read the book and rexding and seeing the television show, that kanson meant by "helter-skelter"?

HISS SHADAZTAN: Yes: I did.
MR. KEIXH: And do you remember something about Manson's somealled beliefa and philotophses, after having read the book?

MISs Shabazian: Xeb, I do.


| 16-7 | 1 2 | 蛹. *EITH: And do you remember from reading the book thet Manom nad some young gixle who wore in a group? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | HISS SHABAZTANE Yeas I do. |
|  | 4 | MR* XEXTH: That was cailed a fumily |
|  | 5 | MSS SHABAZIAN; Ye\%* |
| 16a | 6 |  |
|  | 7 | Geeims in the television notion picture that Lasile may have |
|  | 8 | been member of that no-called familyt |
|  | 9 | Wiss shataziay: Yev, I do. |
|  | 10 | MR. EEATB: Knowing thoac things; do you feel that |
|  | 11 | Lasile suta at a disadvantage at this trial? |
|  | 12 | NSSS SHABAZIAN: No, I don't. |
|  | 13 |  |
|  | 14 | hiss shabazian: Yed, I can. |
|  | 15 | MR. KEXTH: And you have no opinion that the may be moxe |
|  | 16 | 1ikely guilty than innocent, after having been exposed to the |
|  | 17 | Book and televiston shows? |
|  | 18 | MISS SHABAZIAN: Mell, not wter hearing the evidence. |
|  | 19 | I belteve could give her a very fait trial, my fair opinion. |
|  | 20 | MR. KELTH: Are you suggeating you pay have an opinion |
|  | 21 | now but yota can lay it atide? |
|  | 22 | MLSS SHABAzmank No. I mean I don't base my opinion |
|  | 23 | just by reading the book and eeeing the novie. |
|  | 24 |  |
|  | 25 | opinion nove? $\quad \therefore i!$ |
|  | 26 | hass shanzlan: Non not really. |
|  | 27 |  |
|  | 28 |  |


MISS shasazinn: móopinion ateali. :
MR. KELTH: Do you have a littia tenty opinion, a buading opinion, ox ading opinion?

(TR. KEXTH: And do you think that you might be influenced by the televikion motion picture and the book that you read?

MISS Sifabaztant i do belleve it mas over-dramatized to make ponit.
MX. REITLE: Both?

GISS SHABAZIAN: EOTh y yes.
MR. REITH: What you are taying is you racher doubt the accuracy of thote works?
miss himbaztans yea.
HR. KETHH: ALI right.
Could you pas. the microphone to --
Goah* I don't know whether I can pronounc* your
name.
MRS. SNAEK: You don't have to try. It ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Willie Snger.

MRS. SNAER; MKB.
HR. XEIHA You haven't seen the talevision motion picture and haventt read the book; Eight?

MRS. SKAER: No, I have not.
MR. KELTH: Has anybody ever talked to you *bout it or made comants and obscrvations, you know, bout the book or the televieion show by the mame of Helter Skelter?

MRS, SNAER: No, becruse no member of my family, you
know, my imnediate family; read or saw the movi.
MR. KEITH: I Ha* Wondering if somebody may have talked to you about it who was a friend of yours or coworlecr; if you are employed outsice the home.

MRS. SNAER: Yeah, I mployed, but no one at ny job has diacusaed it with me.:

MR. KEITHF phay you pay any special attention to the case back in 1969 and 1970 when you were expowet to the publuexty


MRS. SNAER: Well, when you say special attention, I would not say it way speciali it Wan on the news, and we have the television on, and I did.fyoy know, watek it; but I did not follow it that close.

MR. KEITH: Well, what I meant by special attention ik did you make a special cffort -

MRS. SNAER: No, I didn't.
NR. Raxmix -- to read and to hear about the aase?
MRS. SNAER: No, I did not.
(4R, REITH: As result of hearing about the case however, did you form any opinion then about Lestie Van Houten, If you even remembered her name.

You may not remember her pame at all.
很S. SNAER: I really do not remember her name.
MR. EETHF : All xight.
Having been in court lor a day or so, does that refresh your recollection at all about what the may have done or alleged to have done back in 1969 and '70?

MKS. SNAEK: ? Mo', I really don't, remember:
Wh. KExTH: When the name of the cose was read to you by His Honor, that didn't rogister enything in your mind?

MRS: SNAER: Well, the named; youitnow, like vaguely I romember a 10ttle ble about them but note what each charactex or each person did. I don't remeaber that.

MR. KEITH: Okay.
You can promise me, as have the other jurors, that you wouldn't be influenced by anything you may have read or heard about this case in the event you are selected as a trial juror?

MRS. SNAER: NO, I Wouldn't.
Me keint And you would decte this cabe solely on the facts and the evidence as prasented from the whens
stand?

保。 Kattat You can do that?
MRS. SAAER: YeS.
NR. KEXTH: You are gure of Chat
KMS. SNAER: I'm pasitive, becaysc I didn't follow through on tho case betore,

Wh. NETTH: Gould you pass the microphone to Mra. Stern. please.

Now : Wra. Sterm, did you kollow thas somealled Manson casc closely the the newspapers at the time of the fixst etial?

URS. STERN: NO, I didnt follow it in the newspapers.
MR. NGITH: Ox on televishon?
MRS* STEMM: Yes, I did.
I was working at the old Hall of Necorde at the time, anci $I$ romembey the girls sithing outoide when Manson was here, But i never really made a special effort to go hone and tum the nows on to sec what's happening.

But if $X$ watched the news, it's thare.
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Tu＇COURT：People versua Van Houten．
Ict the record show the defendant is present and represented by counsel；the People sxe represented by counsel； the prospective jurors are in their appropriate places．

You may inquire，Nr，Kay；regarding publicity．组，KAY：Thank yous，Your Honox．
（kx，Milex；do you read niy locil newspapers？
MR，MLLERR：Gcastonally，yed．

NK．MILLER：No．
MR，KAX：OKRy，
And have you read any articles about Leslie Van fouten in ary nevspapert the year？

MR．MLLEER：NO．
MR．KAY：Hiave you seen Misw Van Houten on TV at all this year？

MR，MILLER：No，no．
MR．KAX：Do you watch the nightly news on TV？
MK．MILLER：No．I筑 Usually workiag－－I don＇t get home until around midnight．

MR．HAY：You work the late chift et the hospital，3：00 to $11: 00$ ，or something？

SKR MLLER：Well，from 7：00 an the monning until 11：00 at night．

MR．KAY：7：00 in the mowning until 11：007
KR．MILLER：Yes．
触，KAY：Oh，my gopdincss：
NR．NILLER：Itm hotie very 1itcle．

19 £1

SR. HAX: NoW, Erom raading -m
Well. 12et me ask you this: Have you ever scen Wr. Eelth on television of hearra him on fadio fr read about hin in the nampaper of magazine taiking about wits Vam Wouten?

WR Kextila From whet youk know about this case, fron secing parta of the novie weltex skentorif and from hearine news of it in the late 160 and early 70 as, have you formed awy opinion to the ofect that Hiss Van Houten magt have Fecn mantally 111 ox mentally unbalanced at the time of the LaBtanca murders?

MR. MILLER: NO.
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MK, KAY: Well, because of the quastion, do you think becaute of the question you have in your mind, do you feel that the prosecution is starting out at a disadvantage if you ware sitting as a juror in this case?

URS. REAGAN: No, I don't think so. It's haxd to say, but I don't thenk so.

MR. KAY; Nelly do you think you would be - kind of have a little blaxt to beffeve that maybe she wes mentally uribalanced at the tifie uniets you saw sent real convinckng testintony from"ric stand that she was mentality okay?

MRS. REAGAN Well; no. 2 guess $x$ couldntit say that I really would feel she was mentally, unbatinced.

You know, there is feeilne ln my mind that she was definitaly mentally unbalanced patit do not really think I could any that honestly.

So I think I'd be open, you know, at the stant, without having this as a preconcelved tdea.

MK. RAY: Do you really think that any opinton you might have had -- do you think you can put that anide and just dectafe the guestion of her mental state at the time of the Lafinatica murders based on the eyidence you henr in this courtroom?

MRS: REACAN: I think so,
The best I can say $1 \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{I}$ thank so; I feel that I coutd.

Mr. KAY: Well, cam you promise me that you will do that?

MRS REAGAN: I certelnly m- well, I would promise,
because I do feel that a persox lias a right, you know, to be proven gulley before - - efther way, you know. But -m

MR. KAY; That's xight. I didn't want - -
MRS. REAGAN: - before making a decition -
MR. KAY: I don't want to start off with two striket againt mee sectuse, as Hiss Van Houten does not want to start out with two strikes against her, I do not --

MRS. REAGAN: Richt: fight. You ave right.
MR. EAY: And we certainity do have the burden in a criminal case of proving the defendant's guilt of ymocence. HRS* HEAGAN: RIght

MR. 保: But we also have to prove beyond acasonable doubt that she had this mental capacity. And if a furor figuress well, fust whoever was involved in thi had to be crazy, then I'm starting out with two strikes ageinat me. MRS. REAGAl: Fight, right. I go not think I'd put it that loosely, I don't think I'd feel that; you know; as I said, that myone is crazy to be involved in something like this.

The, KAY: Do you have any idea of what role, if any, Lesitc Van Houten played in the LaBianca murders as you sit there now?

MRS REAGAL: No : The only feeling I have kbout it is
 you know, I den't really know what the part wat. I could not tell you what part she played in it, rnot it

Mr. Kax: And nict knowing what part ohe played, do you think you can keep an open mind bout whatiker mental tate was
at the time?
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MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I think I could.
Wh. Kht, Decause you really do not know what her mentel.
state whe at the time, do you? MRS, REAGAN: No, no, no. It'g true, I dontt. MR. KAK Olay,

Have you heard the natse --
Well, just a minute. I have one more.
Have yout heard the name Linda Kasabian? MRS. REAGAFI: Yes, I've heard the name.

Mk. KAZ" Do you know who Linda Kasabian isf
MRS. AEAGAN: I couldatetell you what nex role wan*
I just know the natao tifnow alue was involved.
MK KAY: Okay, thonk you,
Mre Robingont
HRS. ROBINSON: Yes.

MRS. ROBLESON NO, I don't.
Ma, KAy: have you heard the name rex Wetson?
MRS. RODENSOA: I don't recmily
WR. Kay: Have you laturd the name Squeaky Frome?
URS. ROBTNSOK: Yes.

Do you know what the Manson family meant by the term "holter-skelter"?

MNS, ROBLNSOA: NO, I dont.
MR. KAY: Have you heard anytuing about Mian Vat Houten on the radio thit year?

HR. EKAY: Have you seon hew on Ive
MRS. ROBTHSON: KO.
MR. KAY: No you xead any of the local papers?

 on Ty ow read about him anyhexe talking about Hise Van Houtan? MRE, ROBILISON: NO.

WR. NAY: Rave you foxmed any opinion. from what you Know about this case; about Miss Van Houten's mental state at
the time of the Lablanca murders?
CRS. ROBAHSON: NO, I haven't.
ME. KAY; Have you formed an opinion that anyone who perticipated in the so-called Tatembabiane nurders must have boen crazy or mentally unbalanced at the time of their participation?

MRS. RORINSOK NO.
MR. KAy: Do you heve an opinion ali to Miss Van Houten's mental state at any time inihet life even sa she sto there right now

促S. ROBINSOR: Mo.
MR. KAY: And xemiting what the prosecution's role if in this case, do you feel that you could, glve af fafy trial, too?

MRS, ROBINSON: YOB.

Could you past the merophone back to Miss Shabazian.
Okay, atnce you have read "thelter Skelter" and sew the mavie. I take it you know the different people n've $^{\prime}$ mentioned.

Like, do you know who Linda Kasabian is?
MISS Shabazian; Yea, I do.
MR KAX: All wight.
Have you fomed any optrion about Mass Katabian, about hew veracity?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I havent.
MR. KAY: And without telling ne what it war, do you renember what Manton and the Family meant by the term "helter-

## theiter"

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.
Wh. KAY: Oksy. I take it you have heard of Tox Ratson? MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes.

MK. Kay: And Squeaky Fromme?
MISS Shabaztan: Yes.
Ma, KAY; Do you read any of the local newspapers?
MISS ShABAZLAN: NO, I dont.
Man. KAY: Have you read any axticles anywhere about
Miss Van Houten tha year?
MISS SHABAZEAN: NO, I haven't*
促, KAY: Have you seen Hiss Van Houten on TV this yeax MISS BHABAZTAN: No, I haven't.
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NR. KAY: Have you seen Mr, Keith on Hy or heard him on the radio or read about him taiking about Miss Van Houten this year?

MLSS SMAGAZTAN: NO.
FR. EAY: MII vight.
From reading "Helter Skelter" and seeing the movies and trom whatever ether background you have about this casa, have you foxmed any opinion an to the fact that Lesthe Van fouten might have been mentally unbalenced ox mentally 111 at the tine of the LaBiance murders?

MISS SHABAZTAN: No, I haven't.
MR. सAY: Bo you think you can kecp an open mind on that subject?

MLSS SHABAZIAN: Yes, $\boldsymbol{x}$ do.
MR. सAX: And realizing the barden that the progecution hao in chin case about proving has Van fouten's mental copacity at the time of the LaBtanco mutiers, do you think you gat give uf a fait trial?

MISS SHABAZLANS YCB, I do*
MR. Ki*: Okay, thank you.
Could you pasa the microphone down to Mrs. Snaer:
Nrs. Snaety do you witch the alghaly news on IVf
NES. SNAER: Not all the time.
ME. KAY: Welly whent you do watch ita, whet chanrel do you watch?

MRS. SNAER: Wella $2^{7}$ and 45 somethes 2 and 4. MR. KAY: Oleay.

And you havent demensig Yen Houten on $2 v$ at all
this year？
MRS．SNAER No，I haven＇t．
HR，KAY：All wighte，！：＇$\because$ ？；；
Do you read any of the Zocal papers？
WRS．SWAEK：No，I SOn＇t．
MR．KAY：Have you read any articlea anywhere about M1：6s Van kouten the year＂

MRS，SMABR：No．I have not．
ME．KAY：A11 EHGK。
Have you seen Mx．keith on WV or heard him on the radio or resd about him talking bout Hiss Van Houten？

MRS．SNAEA：No，I，have not．
MR．KAY：And have you formed any oplinter abaut Miss Van Houtcn＇s mentsi state at ble time of the Lablancid murders？

NRS．SNAER：NO，I have not．
MR．政AY Have you formed an opinton about the mental． state of anyone who may have partiefpated in the someriled Fate－Latianca murders at the time of theiz partictpationt
（TRS，SNAERF NO，I have not．
NK，赽AY Do you fect you could give the prosecutson a falr trisi，redilzing the burden we heve of proving hex montal capacity at the the of the Labanca murders？

Wes．SHAER：I Ecel that I could．
4R．$x$（AY：Any doubt about that？
MRS：SNAER：NO．
作．KAK：Okay．
HRS．SNAEA：I haven＇t 穴ollowed it that closely．
the. Kay: So you just don't have opanton.
Do you think you ann keep an opex nand about hex menkal atate and base your opiniont mbout that from the ovidence you hear from the witness stand?

MRS. SNAER: Yeew,
KR. KAY: Okyy it
Car you pase the wiexophone down te Mrs. Stern.

KRS. STERK: No, I do not i, if jif
N. WAY: Do you read the Christian Sctence Montor?

Wes. STERN: NO.
MK. KAY: Do you take any of the Lacal newspapers?
MRS. STERN: NO*
Wh. KגZ: Do you reed any of the local newmpapera?
mis. STEXN: Sometimes.
MR. Kay: And whech papers do you read?
MRS. STERN: Wuanlly the Times.
MR. KAY: And did you read any articleb this year in the Les Angeles Thes pout Leslie Van Houten or about this trial? MRE. STERE: NO.

MR. सAX: Do you watch the nightly news on TVt
HRS. STERM: I try to, yes.
HR. सax: Which channel do you watch?
HIS. STERN: Sevon*
Wf. kiy: Dud you sce Miss Van fouten on the chonnel. 7 news this yeax?
wiss. STERN: I don't femember: but I think I saw a skoteh when they wero talking sbout the trial coming to court:
or. something like that; or zeopenings of whetever
But that" ${ }^{(2 b y}$ abt itw
MR. KAT: You didntt sec het in person, though
MRS: STERN: NO.
 MRS. STERN: NO, I dicimot.

4K: Kay You didn't hear any interview afth hert
GRS. STERN: I beg your pardon?
MR. KAz: You ifdnt hear any intexviews with her on IVt *RS. STERA: No, I didinot.
WR: FAY: Wow, Erom what you know about thls case, have you formed any opinion 4 'to Mint Van Houten' mental tetate at the thac of the LaBtianca murders?

MRS: STERTV NO, Eitit
MR. KAV: Have you formed an opsnion that anyone who participated in the somalled. Tatembabianda murders must have been orxwy or mentalizy unbaynanced at the erma of thely participation?

MRS: STERN: NO.
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