1	SUFERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 130 HON. EDWARD A. HINZ, JR., JUDGE
4	00o
5	a Anila
6	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 3 9 000
. Ż	Flaintiff,
8	VE. NO. A253156
9	LESLIE VAN HOUTEN,
10 .	Defendant.
11	
12	
13	REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIFT
14	Tuesday, April 5, 1977
15	Volume 6
16	Pages 860 to 1000, incl.
1.7	
<b>18</b> .	
19	
<b>2</b> 0	APFEARANCES: (See Volume 1.)
21	
22.	(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
23	
24	
<b>2</b> 5	EMANUEL J. SANZO, C.S.R. No. 1267
26	LOIS B. JOHNSON, C.S.R. No. 812
27	Official Reporters
28	

TEDEX FOR	William S.	PMES	860 to 1000
Tuesday.	April 5, 1977	A.M.	860 920
VOIR DIRE	OF PROSPECTIVE JURISE	(Personal)	860
\$ 10 mm			

11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 1977, 10:35 A.M. DEPARTMENT NO. 130 HOM: IDARD A. HINZ, JR., JUDGE (Appearances as heretofors noted.) THE CHET: People versus Van Bouten. Let the record show the defendant is present, represented by counsel, the People are represented by counsel, we have before us several of the jurors who were going to check concerning matters with their employers concerning jury duty. 13: .23 

2

3 4

5

6 7

8.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

<u>Z</u>Z

24

**2**5

26 27

28

All right. Do we have the microphone ready?
THE BAILIFF: It's there.

THE COURT: All right, sir, would you stand, please, and state your name.

MR. KALISH: My name is Stefan Kalish. I was touch up with my company this morning. I was asking -- I going to be on 90 days on jury duty. They tell me only pay 20 days working days.

THE COURT: That you would only be paid for 20 days?

MR. KALISH: Only.

THE COURT: By whom are you employed?

MR. KALISH: By Bell and Howell, Pasadena.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, sir. You may be seated.

MS. CARLOS: Good morning. My name is Connie Carlos, and I'm an office assistant for the buyers of Sears and Roebuck, and they will not pay.

THE COURT: Past the month?

MS. CARLOS: Sears and Roebuck. They will not pay.

THE COURT: At all?

MS. CARLOS: Well, they'll pay just for one month.

THE COURT: But they would not continue past the 30 days?

MS. CARLOS: No.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ACOSTA: My name is Nello Acosta, and I told them about this, and they will pay for the 30 days. But I would have to submit a letter for the other days to the Board of Education to approve, if I'm going to be paid or not.

Then I'm the only security agent that is on the 1 district right now, so we are very understaffed. THE COURT: What was your last name, please? .3 MR. ACOSTA: Acosta, A-c-o-s-t-a. 4 THE COURT: Oh, yes, okay. 5 Did they say you would or would not be paid past 6 the 30 days? 7 MR. ACOSTA: It would have to go before the Board of 8. Education to determine whether I would or not. They never had 9 a situation over -- a case this long or past 30 days. 10 THE COURT: Do you have any idea how long it would take 11 to do that? 1**2** MR. ACOSTA: No, sir. I inquired, and they didn't know. 13 They wouldn't know themselves. 14 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you. You may be seated. Yes, sir. 16 17 MR. HILLYER: Yes. I work for the telephone company, engineering manager, and I checked with my division manager, 18 19 and with the inexperience in our district, it would be advisable and a hardship ---20 . THE CLERK: What is your name, sir? 21 MR. HILLYER: Nat Hillyer. 22 With the inexperience factor in our group, we are 23 very short at this time. 24 THE COURT: All right. That would affect, I take it, 25 the service to the public? 26 27 MR. HILLYER: The construction budget, which I am

primarily control of, the construction budget for the Valley

3 fl

district.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Yes, sir.

MR. PEARSON: My name is Melvin Pearson, and I talked to my employer, and they said they wouldn't pay me past 30 days.

·22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bank of America.

and they will only pay one month.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Yes, sir.

Mr. MOORE: Carl Moore.

I checked with my employer. It's Norris Industries. And they will pay for 22 days.

MR. KUITH: I'm sorry: I didn't hear his name.

ME, KAY: Carl Moore.

ME COURTE CAXL H. MOOTE.

MR. MOORE: Carl Moore.

THE COURT: All right; thank you, sir.

MR. TUNA: My name to Garth Tyme.

I think that's the letter that was sent.

THE COURT: Yes. I have a letter here from Jerome A. Lee.

IR. TUNA: Yes.

THE COURTS ALL right.

Do you have anything else to add?

MR. WEAt No. The nature of the project -- if you wish to know -- is --

THE COURTS Yes.

MR. TUMA: -- is the installation of new equipment in our computer center at the Times, and the moving of the whole computer aite to another location.

And I am heavily involved in the move right now. Most of the work during the next couple of weeks will be paperwork, and after that it will be physical, soft

1.	work type work.
2	THE COURT: All right; thank you.
3 ;	Will counsel approach the bench, please.
4 .	(The following proceedings were held
5	at the bench:)
6	THE COURT: First, here is the letter.
7.	(Brief pause.)
8	MR. KNY: Did you read it?
9	MR. KEINI: Yes, I did.
10.	THE COURT: All right.
11	Let's sees the first one we have is Acosta. He's
12	with the school board and he can't find out about the pay.
13	I am going to excuse him if there is no objection.
14 *15	MR. KEIMI: No objection.
16 17	
18 19	and the state of t
20	; ,
21	
22 <sup>- :</sup>	;
23	
.24	
25	
26	
27	

1.	
1	THE COURTS All right.
2	Then the next one has the luncheon problems.
3	MR. RAY: Yes; we can keep him.
4	THE COURT: We can accommodate him.
5	MR. KAY: Okay.
6	MR. KEITEL OKAY.
7	MR. KAY: Apparently he's the only one that we can really
8	keep of all of them.
9	MR. KETTI: Well, let's go down.
10	MR. KAY: All right.
11 :	Mr. Kellis Just so I get them scratched out.
. 12	THE COURTS All right.
13	Carlos has a 30-day pay only.
14	HR. KAY: Yes.
15	THE COURT: The next one is Hillyer. He's out.
16	Then Jacobs with F.A.A.
17 <i>′</i>	MR. KEITH: What did you say about Hillyer?
18	THE COURTY He's out.
19	MR. KAY: And then Jecobs, 45 days pay only.
20	AR. KULDIE. Yes.
21 1	THE COURTS Estion has
, 22,	MR. KAY: 20 days.
23	TER COURT: 22 days, I think no, 20 days.
24 5	Then Molane has 30 days with Occidental.
25	MR. KAY: Yes.
26 2=	THE COURTY Montano has only one month.
27	Moore is with Norris Industries; he has 22 days.
28	

1	THE COURT: Pearson has 30 days only.
2	And then the last one is our L.A. Times man.
3	I think we ought to let him go based on the
4	hardship to the company.
5 :	We had a number of people that were going to check
6	who have not come back; so that's good,
7	Is there any objection to those?
8	MR. MAX. MO.
.ð	MR. REITH: No. Your Honor.
10	MR. KAT: It appears out of the group the only one we
11	have kept is Mr. Burton.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. KAY: OKAY:
14	wie court: All right.
15	(The following proceedings were held in
16	open court in the presence of the
17	open court in the presence of the prospective juscia.)
. 18.	THE COURT: The following jurous are excused from
19	further corvice upon this case. I appreciate your attendance
20	before the Court.
21	Mr. Tune will be the last one excused. If you will
<b>22</b> <sup></sup> .	pick up the tickets and take them down to the 5th floor jury
23	room, where all jurors excused should report.
24	The following jurous are excused:
25	Nello F. Acosts.
26	Connie S. Carlos.
27	Met H. Hillyer.
28	Bonnie E. Jacobs.

1	Stefan Kalleh.
2	Patricia J. McLane.
3	Yolanda Montano.
4	Carl R. Moore.
<sup>.</sup> 5	Molyin C. Perron.
6	garth A. Tuna.
7	Mr. Burton, we will accompodate your luncheons.
8	MR. BURTON: May I stay?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	(Laughter.)
<b>11</b> ·	mus court: All right. The bailiff will please have
12	the first 12 on the list brought up to the courtroom.
13	THE BAILIFF: He has gone down to get them.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15·	Mr. Burton is one of the 12.
16	mis Clerks: Mr. Burton, if you might go straight through
17	that door into the jury room and just wait in there, please.
18	We will call you when we need you.
19	MR. BURTOM: Okay.
20	(Brief pause.)
21	THE COURT: All right. The Court will take a short
22	races until the panel has arrived.
23	(Recens.)
24	· · ·
25	
26	
27	

·28

23

24

25

**26** 

27

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

All right. People versus Van Houten.

het the record show the defendant is present and represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel.

At this time, ladies and gentlemen, we are going to inquire of the 12 of you concerning the issue of publicity.

The court first wants to call off the names of those seated so that we are sure that we have the appropriate people in the correct seats.

All right. I'd like to start in the first row to my far right.

Are you Mr. John D. Abercrombie?

MR. ABERCROMBIE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Then it's Barbara L. Banks?

MISS BANKS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that Miss or Mrs.?

HISS BANKS: Miss.

THE COURT: Miss.

Then James D. Burton?

MR. DURTON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mila Burton?

MISS BURTON: Right,

THE COURT: Is that Miss or Mrs.?

MISS BURTON: Miss.

THE COURT: Miss.

All right. No. 5 then would be June Day?

MRS. DAY: Yes.

THE COURT: Is it Miss or Mrs.?

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MRS. DAY: Mrs.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Then Leon S. Doubt?

MR. DOUBT: Correct.

THE COURT: Then to the back row, Mr. Allen Hardt?

MR. HARDTI Right, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. George D. Heishman?

HÁ. HEISHMAH: Yes.

THE COURTS Mr. George Jackson?

MR. JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Gloria A. Jackson?

MISS JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Is it Miss or Mrs.?

MISS JACKSON: Miss.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ellen B. Jimenez?

MRS. JIMENEE: Mrs.

THE COURT: Is it Mrs.? Thank you.

MRS. JIMENES: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Eddie L. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, thank you,

All right. At this time I want to start with Mr. Abercrombie. I'd like to ask you some questions concerning the matter of publicity.

First question is, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have

read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. ABERCROMBIE: The only thing I know of is just through movies, on the television, and through the news media.

:23

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

THE COURT'S All right. In regard to the movie, are you talking about the T.V. movie Helter Skelter? MR. ABIRCHOMBIE: Yes.

MIE COURT: And have you seen that once, or did you see it twice?

MR. AMERICACHMIES I have seen it twice.

THE COURTS ALL right.

Have you read the book called "Helter Skelter"? in anexpender no, I haven't.

THE COURT's Have you soon any other secounts of this matter in the nows media in the last for weeks or months? MR. ABERCROMBIE: Not that I can recall, no.

THE COURTS All right.

Now, I take it from what you have said, that you followed the news reports of this matter back in 1969 and the early '70s. Is that correct?

MR. ABERTHOMSIE: That is correct.

THE COURTS NOW, as a result of anything you might have read, been or heard about this case, would you be able to judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. AEIRCROPBIE: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Well, based on what you have read, seen or heard in this matter, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

Mr. Aberchibility Yes, there is a possibility.

THE COURT: All right.

This opinion that you have formed, is this comething

21

22

23

24

25

The you could put to one side, or do you think this is something that would require evidence to overcome before you would be able to start with an open mind? .4 KR. ABERCROMBIE: Yes. THE COURT: You would have to have some evidence presented; 5 6 is that what you are saying? 7 Mr. ANEXCROPATE: That's correct. 8 THE COURT: All right; thank you. . 9 Miss Banks? 1Ò. MISS BANKS: TOB. 11 THE COURT: Have you heard or do you know anything about 12 the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, 13. including anything you have read in newspapers, magazines or 14 books, seen on television or heard on the radio? 15 MISS BANKS: I have. 16 THE COURTS What was that? 17 MISS BARKS: I new the movie Helter Skelter, and I also 18 read the book. 19. THE COURT: All right. 20 Now, in regard to the novie, did you see that just 21 a few months ago: or was it over a year ago? 22 MISS DANKS: A few months ago. 23 THE COURT: All right. .24 and that was on I think in two parts on two 25 different nights. Did you see both nights? 26 MISS BANKS: You, I did. 27 THE COURT: Do you ween'll seeing or hearing any news 28 media accounts in this matter in late 1969 and the early '70s?

28

MISS BANKS: Yes, I do.

me courte All right.

As a result -- Well, let me ask you this:

Howe you seen any coverage in the news media in the
last two or three months other than the movie?

MISS BANKS: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: ALL right.

Would you be able to put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be introduced in this trial?

MISS BANKS: I don't think I would be able to.

THE COURT: Are you saying that based on what you have read, seen or heard, that you have formed an opinion concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MINS BANKS: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: All right.

Is this opinion such that it would require some evidence to be produced before this opinion could be overcome?

MISS BANKS: Yes, some wildence would have to be produced.

THE COURT: I'm sure you understand, as all jurous do, that the jury in this case must have an open mind concerning the case.

Do you understand that?

MISS MAKS! Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that in order to be a juror and perform the function properly, it would be

inappropriate to have a juror on the case who already had their mind made up or had an opinion to the point where it would require some evidence to be produced before they would have an open mind.

Do you understand that? MISS BANKS: I understand.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 What you are telling me is that you have an ġ. opinion concerning this matter? 4 MISS HANKS: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And that it would require some evidence to courses that opinion? 6 7 MISS BANKS: Yes. THE COURTS All rights thank you. ġ Mr. Burton, have you heard or do you know anything 10 about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct. 11 beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in newspapers, magazines or books, seen on television or heard . 13 on the radio? MIL BURTON: NO. 15 lowers for have not heard of this patter? MR. BURTOM: I have heard the name: I have heard the 17. Manson case. 18 THE COURT! All right. 19 Did you see the T.V. sovie or the book called 20 "Holter Ekolter"? 21 MR. DURTOS: No. 22 THE COURTS And in late 1969 and the early '70s did you 23 follow newspaper accounts of the trial, the Manson trial, 24 so-called? 25 MR. BURTON: As little as possible. 26 THE COURSE Would you be able to put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge 28 the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the

1 evidence to be presented in this courtroom? 2 MR. BURTON: You. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 As a result of anything you might have read, seen 5 or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to 6 the defendant's quilt or innocence? 7 MR. BURTON: NO. 8. THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced 9 by any publicity you might have read, seen or heard about this 10 Casa? 11 MR. BURTON: NO. 12 THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror. 13 can you assure the court that you would decide this case 14 solely on the syldence to be presented in this courtroom? 15 MR. BURNE: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: All right: thank you. 17 Miss Burton, bave you beard or do you know anything 18 about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conducts beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in the newspapers, augazines or books, seen on television beard on the radio? MISS BURNON: Yes. THE COURT: And when was that? 24 MISS MURION: I read the newspapers. 25 THE COURT: And by that you mean back in 1969 and the 26 early '70s? 27 MISS BURYON: Yes. 28 THE COURTS And have you seen anything in the news media



in the last few months about this matter? NISS BURION: I have heard it on television.

ġ. 

.20

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18‡

19

40

22

28

24 25

26

27

28

THE COURT: All right.

Now, you have heard news reports about the matter.

Is that what you're saying?

MISS BURTON: Right.

THE COURT: All right.

Did you read the book or did you see the TV movie . called Helter Skelter?

MISS BURTON: No.

THE COURTS All right.

Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MISS SURTON: I don't think so, no.

THE COURT: Are you saying that based on what you have road, seen, or heard about this case that you have formed an opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MISS BURTON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, as to that opinion, can you put that opinion to one side, or would it require some evidence to be produced in order to dispel that opinion?

" Hiss surrow: There would have to be some evidence.

THE COURT, All right, thank you.

Mrs. Day, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard

on the radio? 1 2 MRS. DAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And when was that? 3 MRS. DAY: All the recent part that was in the paper about jury celection and so forth. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MRS. DAY: And we discussed it in the fact that I was to 7 be on the jury the following week. So we had discussed this. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Did you follow newspaper or media accounts of 10 this matter in late 1969 and early '70? 11 12 MRS. DAY: Yes, yes, for another reason. THE COURT: I beg your pardon? 13. MRS. DAY: For another reason. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 Have you seen the television movie or read the 16 book called "Helter Skelter"? 17 MRS. DAY: I've seen the movie. 18 THE COURT: When did you see that; was it in the last 19 few months or over a year ago? 20 MRS. DAY: When it first came out, 21 THE COURT: All right. Could you put to one side whatever you might have 23 read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom? No. My mind is made up.

THE COURT: Are you saying that based on what you have

.2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

28

read, seen, or heard that you have formed an opinion concerning

MRB. DAY: Yes, sir.

THE COURTS Could you put that opinion to one side?

MRS. DAY: I don't think so,

THE COURT: All right.

Now, is this opinion such that it would require some evidence to be produced in order to ---

MRS. DAY: I think it would take an awful lot of evidence for me to change my mind. My mind is made up.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Doubt, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. DOUBT: The only information or interest that I saw were the headlines at the time and the particular TV news that occurred at the time.

THE COURT: All right.

Have you seen anything in recent months about this case in the news media?

MR. DOUBT: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: Have you read the book or seen the movie called --

MR. DOUBT: No, sir.

THE COURT: -- Helter Skelter?

MR. DOUBT: No. sir.

•

\_\_\_\_\_\_1

. 

.1

5.

THE COURT: All right.

Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. DOUBT: It have no opinions on it.

7:

.2

3

6

7

8

10

11

-12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: so then what you are telling me is that you could judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. DOUBT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I take it, then, from what you have said, that you haven't formed any opinion as to the defendant's quilt or innocence?

MR. DOUBT: I haven't formed any opinion on the defendant. All I know is from the main trial headlines that occurred at the time and what TV coverage there was.

THE COURT: But you haven't formed any opinion? MR. DOUBT: I haven't read any book or gone to the movies, and I didn't see any of the story releases on TV.

THE COURT: All right.

So that is it accurate to say that you have not formed any opinion based on publicity in this matter?

MR. DOUBT! That's true.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity that you might have read, seen, or heard?

MR. DOUBT's No.

THE COURT: All zight.

And if you are chosen as a trial juror in this case, can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be received in this courtroom?

MR. DOUBT: Yes, sir.

811

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mr. Mardt, have you heard or do you know anything

28

about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

HR. HARDY: At the time it occurred; yes, sir.

You haven't seen the TV movie or read the book called "Helter Skelter"?

MR. HARDY: I have not, sir.

THE COURT: Have you seen anything in the news media in the last few months about this case?

MR. HARDT: Nothing other than the jury selection.
THE COURT: All right.

Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's quilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. HARDY: I definitely could not, sir.

THE COURT: Are you saying that you have formed an opinion based on what you have read, seen, or heard of this case as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. HARDT: That is correct, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Is this state of mind such that you would require some evidence to be produced in order to dispel your opinion?

MR. HARDT: No. I feel that it would take an awful lot of evidence before I would change my mind, sir.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

3

4

₹5 6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

1,5

16

17 18

19

20

**21** 

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Heishman, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. HEISHMAN: Only when it occurred in 1969.

THE COURT: You haven't seen the TV movie or read the hook called "Relter Skelter"?

MR. BEISHMAN: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. HEISHMAN's Yes, sir, I could.

THE COURT: And as a result of anything you might have read, seen, or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. HEISHMAN: No, I haven't.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen, or heard in this matter?

MR. HEISHMAN: No, I wouldn't

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror, could you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

HR. HEISHMAN: Yes, I could.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

6--A

. . . 2

3.

**4** .

5

6

a

10

11. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

**.2**5

26

.27.

28

Mr. Jackson, have you heard or do you know anything about this came or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you might have read in newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, Your Honor.

在院外等以及其時

BAMARILE.

CAR COUNTY CO

2

3

4

5. 6

7

8

9

10

.11

53

12

13

14 15

16

17

18,

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THE COURT: When was that?

MR. JACKSON: In 1969, or when the crime occurred, and over the past few years at the L. A. Press Club during conversation with members of the media, and with my brother-in-law. We've discussed the subject quite in depth — he's a former assistant U. S. Attorney General — especially after the book was published.

THE COURT: All right.

Have you read the book?

MR. JACKSON: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Did you see the TV movie called Helter Skelter?

NR. JACKSON: No, I have not.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, I take it you have had numerous conversations with numerous people about this matter?

MR. JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. JACKSON: I would find it difficult, because the people with whom I had conversations are reporters, and I find their credibility to be quite high,

THE COURT: All right,

And are you saying that based on what you have read, seen, or heard about this case that you have formed an

25.

26

27

28

opinion concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. JACKSON: Yes, I have formed an opinion.

THE COURT: All right.

And is that opinion such that it would require evidence to dispel it?

MR. JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

All right, Hiss Jackson, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MISS JACKSON: I've seen the movie and I've read the book.

THE COURT: Did you follow the news media accounts of this matter in late 1969 and the early '70s?

MISS JACKSON: Occasionally.

THE COURT: All right.

And when you saw the movie, was that just in the last couple of months, or was it over a year ago?

MISS JACKSON: It was when it was first shown.

THE COURT: All right.

Did you see both evenings of the program?

MISS JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case --

MISS JACKSON: No.

THE COURT: and judge -- let me finish -- and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MISS JACKSON: I do not think I could.

THE COURT: All right.

As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

HISS JACKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And is this opinion such that it would require evidence to be produced to dispel your opinion?

HISS JACKSON: I wasn't following you.

5

6

7 8

ğ

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 .27

28

THE COURT ALL right.

What I am trying to get at is this: Some people come in to be jurors, and they have not formed any opinion. MISS JACKSON: Right.

THE COURT: And there are some that have formed an opinion.

And the people that have formed an opinion fall into probably one of two categories:

There are those that have an opinion but they can set it to one side, forget about it, ignore it, and decide the case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroca.

Then there are some that have an opinion such that they can't put it to one side, and their opinion is strong enough that it would require some evidence to be produced before the juror had an open mind on the matter.

So what I am trying to find out is, which category do you fall into?

MISS JACKSON: I think I'd have to have evidence. THE COURT: You'd have to have evidence produced to

overcome your opinion.

MISS JACKSON: Right.

THE COURTE All right; thank you.

Mrs. Jimenez, have you heard or do you know enything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines or books, soon on television or heard on the radio?

5.

.

, o

**\*** 10,

20·

matter solely on the syldence to be presented in this countroom?

MRS. JIMENEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Mr. Johnson, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in newspapers, magazines or books, seen on television or beard on the radio?

or through the news media, mir.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12 13

.14\.\` 15

17

19

20

21 22

23<sup>-</sup> 24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: And that was ---

Was that back in 1969 and the early '70s?

MR. JOHNSON: Well, it was during '69, warly '70s; and about the trial we are here on now.

THE COURT: SO you have seen also some news media accounts in the last few months about this matter; is that correct?

Mr. JOHNSON: Yeu, I have.

THE COURT: Did you see the T.V. movie or read the book called "Helter Skelter"?

MR. JOHNSON: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this matter about the defendant, and judge the defendant's quilt or immosance solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. JOHNSON: I don't think I could.

THE COURT: Have you, based on what you have read, seen of heard in this matter, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or insocence of the defendant?

MR. JOHNSON: I think I have an opinion about it, sir. THE COURT: All right.

Now, is this opinion such that it would require evidence to be produced in order to overcome your opinion?

MR. JOHNSON: I would have to have evidence, yes, mir.

THE COURT: All right; thank you.

Will counsel approach the bench, please.
(The following proceedings were held at the bench:)

1	MR. KAY: We are not doing so well with this group of
2	50.
3	THE COURTS NO.
4	MR. MAY: We are down to 14 after these challenges.
5	THE COURTS It appears to me that the following I
6	assume the following are challenged for cause:
7	1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 12.
8	THE CLERK'S COULD I get their names.
9	THE COURTE YES.
10	Abercrombie.
11	THE CLERK: ORAY.
12	THE COURT: Banks.
13	THE CLEAK CHAY.
14	THE COURTS Miss Burton. There are two Murtons there,
15	the course right.
16	THE COURT: Mrs. Day.
17	THE CLUBE: OLAY.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Hardt.
19, **	
î '* 20 −	
	THE CLERK: Okay.
22	THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson.
23;	Are you challenging them all for cause?
24	M. KELIH: Yes.
25	THE COURT: I sesume you are. So the challenges will be
26	grantad.
27	I will excuse at this time those people.
28	THE CLURK: Okay.

THE COURT; Thank you.

(The following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the prospective jurous:)

THE COURT: All right. The following jurors are excused. The Court wants to thank you for your attendance upon the court. You should report to the 5th floor jury zoos, those of you that are excused.

I will ask the last juxor to be excused, Mr. Eddie Johnson, to pick up the tickets, please, and take them with you.

> The following jurous are excused: John D. Ambercrombia.

1.	Miss Barbara L. Banks.
2	Miss Mila Burton.
3	Mrs. June Day.
4	Mr. Allen M. Berdt.
5	Mr. George Jackson.
6	Mina Gloria Jackson.
7	and me. mills L. Johns
8	Mr. Johnson, would you
9	down to the 5th floor?
10∙	MR., JOHNSON: Yes, Your Hono
11	THE COURT; Thank you.
12	All right. Mr. Feith,
13	issue of publicity.
14	HR. KEITH! Thank you, Your
15	lady and gentlemen, th
16	Manuell Kelth.
17	X represent Miss Van H
18	seated to my right when I am sente
19	His Honor has kindly g
20	the opportunity to inquire of the
21	into the aspects of the massive pu
22	this case, particularly in the pas
23	as importial jurges.
24.	As I'm sure you realiz
.25	Miss Van Houten are entitled to fa
26	open-minded jurors.
27	It's more than being
128	

**.** . nonder d you please take the tickets Honor, eith, you may assuing on the Your Honox.

n, the survivors, my name is

Van Houten, who is of course rested.

dly given Mr. Key and myself the survivors to delve further ye publicity that has attended a past, and how it may affect you

ealize, both the prosecution and to fair-minded and impartial and

eing entitled to it"; we demand it, because the law insists on it.

1.	And therefore we have been taking pains to cak
2	questions on the subject of publicity, vis-a-vis, your
3	attitude in the face of that publicity towards Miss Van Houten.
4	Mr. Burton, I will start with you, if you will
∶5 . ′	take the microphone.
6	Were you living in Los Angeles County in 1969 and
7	19707
8	MR. BURTOM: Yes, six.
9	MR. KETTH: Ware you on Mavy duty, for instance, during
10 <sup>-</sup>	the first trial in this case?
11	AR. BURKH; No.
12 ·	M. KETTH: Active duty.
13	ME. MURION: NO. T WANTE.
14	MR. KEITHER And you did not follow the trial in the
15	neverspers, I gather, other than the almost other than
16 ;	almost being a captive
17	MR. MURTON: As I said, as little as possible.
18	MR. KETTH: Is that because you didn't take any interest
19	in the case; or were you busy doing other things and didn't
20	care about it? or
21	MR. MORTON: Well, not particularly.
22	It's one of the things that didn't affect me, I
23	didn't think.
24	M. KRIM: Well, all right; fine.
25	Many people did follow the case closely; and that's
26	why we are asking these questions.
27	You say you didn't. Fine.
28	Have you read anything about Leslie Van Houten or

	1.	EGOT.
	<b>'2</b> ' :	radio
	3	
	4	my of
* 1	5	MY 49
	67	
† 	8, 9	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10	
:	1Ż	
	18	
	14	•
_	15	
:	16	
	1:7 .	
:	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
i .	22	
	23	
	24	
:	25	· :
	26	
	27	•
	28 <sup>,</sup> ,	

seen anything about her on television or heard about her on radio within the last year or so?

MR. BURTON: I have heard the name; and when I called my office yesterday and said I might be here three months; my secretary said, "That case?"

And I haven't heard of it.

Į.	
1	Mr. Frime You have heard of Manson before.
Ż	MR. BURTON: I have heard of Manson, yes, alt.
3	MR. KEITH: Maye you ever beard of Miss Yan Houtes
4 .	having any connection with Manson, until possibly yesterday,
5 .	when you appeared in court?
6	MR. BURTON: Not really. The name is sort of familiar,
Ż ,	but that's all.
8	MR. KETHI If you had beard of her name before, you
9	had forgotten it in the passage of time?
10·	MA MUNICIPAL MAN MAN'S MAN'S
11 _ ,	MR. REITH: BAYS you ever beard of a gentleman by the
12 .	nume, of Vincent Bugliosi?
13	NE SIENAM ASS. RIL.
14,	M. KHITH: And do you know who he in?
15	MR. BURKON: I understand he was the he was a
· 16	prosecutor in the Manson trial,
17	M. KEIME All right.
18	MR. BURTON: And I didn't like him running for whatever
19	it was he ran for, sither.
20	(Laughter.)
21	Mr. KEIMit Woll, I wasn't really saking about your
22	opinion of Mr. Bugliosi.
23	MR. DURTON: Oh. ANORES ME.
24	MR. KETTH: So much as I was making inquiry as to
25	whether you may have heard him speak or seen him speak on
26	talevision or seen him speak in person.
27	MR. BURTON: Only a couple of his political shorts on
28	

TTOE

1.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

14

12 13

14

15

...

17

; :.. 10

ŽÓ',

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

m. minte all right.

and when you heard those political shorts, as you put it, I take it he did not discuss the so-called Manson case.

MR. BURNON: No. six.

MR. KETH: All right.

No you know what the outcome was of this first so-called Monson trial?

HR. BURYOUT I think Manson was found guilty, I think.

MR. KEITH: Do you have any idea why Lealis,

Miss Van Bouten, is here in court today swaiting trial?

MR. MURION: No. sir, I don't.

IN. ICIMI: You understand, of course, that every porson charged with a public offense, right down to a traffic ticket, is presumed innocent.

M. BURTOU: Yes, pix.

MR. BURKER: Yes, pir.

M. WINE All right.

Now, my question is this: Is there anything that you have been setting in the park, that perhaps how some to your mind since you have been sitting in the jury box as a potential juror, that may in some way contaminate in your mind the presumption of innocence of which the has the benefit?

IR. BURTON: No. sir.

MR. KUTTE: Could you pass the microphone, Mr. Burton,

1 please to Mr. Doubt. 2 Mr. Doubt, were you living in los Angeles County 3 in 1969 and 1970 and '71? 4 MR. DOUBTE Yes, sir. 5 MR. KETTHE My notes indicate you had seen some 6 headlines about the so-called Manson case. 7 MR. DOUBE: I had seen headlines and news releases. 8 MR. RETHE On television? 9 Mi. DOUBT: Television and radio. 10 MR. REITH'S All right. 11 Did you have occasion to follow the case with 12 any regularity when it was first tried? 13 MR. DOUBT: No. sir. 14 MR. KEITH: When the howicides took place did you follow 15 closely the news and television accounts of the continuing 16 investigation? 17 MR. DOUBLE No. sir. 18 Mr. KETTHE Are you telling me that you took no particular 19 interest in the case other than being exposed to it because of the massive publicity? 21 MR. DOUBT's Outside of the immediate shock value of it. I didn't -- I didn't read any accounts, that is, in detail, in the newspapers at the time. MR. KENNI: You only --MR. DOUBLE The bestlines were enough for me. MR. KEITH'S You only saw bendlines because the headlines were there and you couldn't plan it if you wanted to. 28 MR. DOUBL: No. Headlines and pictures that appeared,

1 and radio news releases. MR. KEITH: When you say that was enough for you, do you 2 Ż mean that that kind of sensational journalism turns you off, 4 or what? 5 MR. DOUBT: Well, it is disturbing, but --6 MR. REITH: What's disturbing, that something like that 7 could happen ---8. MR. DOUBT: Yes. 9. MR. REPORT - in the first pince? 10 MR. DOUBY: The fact that it can happen, and does happen. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 .20 21 ŽŽ 24 27

TOL

图的图点

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

MR, MEITH: And reading the headlines about the case disturbed you, then, true?

MR, DOUBT: True.

MR. KHITCH'S All right.

And were you aware there was a trial involving the howicides

MR. DOUBT: Yes, I was aware of the trial.

MR. KEITH: -- that disturbed you?

And were you aware of the outcome of that trial?

MR. DOUBT: Only in regards to Manson.

MR. KEITH: Were you ---

MR. DOUBT: I mean, I was aware of the fact that he was arrested and was --

MR. KEITH: Was tried?

MR. DOUBT: Yan.

MR. REITH: All right,

And were you familiar with the name Leslie Van Houten before you walked into court yesterday?

MR. DOUBT: Only with the association with the -- that her name was mentioned prior to this in the news.

MR. KEITH: When you say "prior to this in the news," how much prior are you talking about; way back then in 1970 or thereabouts or more recent?

MR. DOUBT: Then.

But there was something in the news here, TV news, rocently.

The only picture was a drawing of her, like a pencil-sketch drawing of her,

1	MR. KEITH: Did that news concern the start of this
2	trial?
3	MR. DOUBT: I don't know. I just remember seeing her
4	picture on the TV news.
5	My job is one that causes me to continuously
6	monitor news, radio and TV. But I only am looking for certain
7 .	things, namely scientific. I can't help hearing the other,
8	but it goes in one ear and out the other.
9 🝾	MR. KEITH* All right.
1000	is, that isn't the time or place for it.
12	HR. DOUBT: OKAY:
13	MR. KEITH: Unless there is some relationship between
14	your job a life in the contract of the cont
15	
16	MR. DOUBT: No relationship.
	MR. EDITH: and your exposure to news media,
17	Now, when you think back, were you aware that
18	or are you aware now that Loslie was tried with Manson?
19.	MR. DOUBT: Not really aware of it.
20	MR. KEITH: All right.
21	Do you have any idea, from what you have read,
22	heard, or seen, as to why she is in court today awaiting a
<b>2</b> 3	trial?
24	MR. DOUBT: From what the judge told us yesterday.
25	MR. KEITH: Well, that she's been indicted.
26	MR. DOUBY: Yes.
27	MR. REITH: Do you have any other ideas to why she might
.28	be here now?
i i	•

3

**4**¹

5

6

7 ·

Ś.

10

1.1

12

13

141.5

16

17

18,

19 20

21

22

23

24

25. 26

27

.28

MR. DOUBT: I have no opinions on it; no, sir.

MR. KEITH: What I'm trying to get at is, you do have a recollection now of her having had purportedly some association with Manson?

MR. DOUBT: Yes.

MR. KEITH: All right.

and do you have a recollection now of her having been tried with Hanson back in 1969 and '70?

MR. DOUDT: Yes. The names are -- as far as I'm concerned -- are associated, yes,

MR. KEITH: Now, you were disturbed about the case through your reading of the headlines and through the news media.

. As a result of your being disturbed because of the media exposure, did you form an opinion back then about Manaon's guilt or innocence?

DOUBT: I didn't have a fixed opinion on it other than it was just distasteful, the whole act. I mean as a group, not as an individual.

MR. KEITH: What you are telling me is the group that surrounded Manson was distasteful to you, and it was distasteful because you read accounts of their alleged participation in certain antisocial conduct?

MR. DOUBE: Involvement.

MR. KEITH: That was distasteful to you?

MR. DOUBT: Well --

MR. REITH: Well, all right, you've answered that,

MR. DOUBT: Obviously ---

ĩ .

13. 

HR. KEITH: You've answered that question; you've answered that question.

My point is, in your mind does not Leslie start with two strikes against her because you know she was associated with Manson and the Manson group was distasteful to you?

Don't you think you are going to be unfair to her because of that opinion in your mind?

MR. DOUBT: Not from judging her on evidence submitted.

MR.	REITH:	What do yo	ou mean,	*Not from	judging*
MR.	poubr:	I have no	coinica	about her	at all.
MR.	KEITH:	Well, you	remember	her, don	t you, as

having been associated with Manson's group?

You found that group distasteful; don't you find her distasteful, too?

MR. DOUBT: I don't know how to answer that, wir.

It was the group act that was distasteful. Any headline would be.

MR. KEITH: Okay.

You have no opinion that she may be more likely guilty than innocent because of what you have read, heard, or seen about this case that you found so distasteful?

MR. DOUBT: I have not seen any proof that she is guilty other than guilt by association, but --

MR. REITH: Do you have that opinion, she's guilty by association?

MR. DOUBT: Other than that she is involved, I have no opinion as to whether she's guilty or innocent; if it was done under force or free will, if she was sober or what.

MR. REITH: You feel those might be issues that you might encounter in this case and that you could decide those issues with an open mind?

MR. DOUBT: I could with an open mind; yes, sir.

HR. KEITH: You promise me you can do that.

MR. DOUBT: Yes, sir.

MR. REITH: All right. You can pass the microphone back.
THE BAILIFF: I'll get that.

	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 .	MR. REITH: Is it Mr. Heishman?
2	, MR. HEISHMAN's Yes, sir.
3	MR. KEITH: And let's see. You didn't reed the book
4 .	"Helter Skelter" or see the television motion picture by the
5	- 海線技術 乳腺内臓 一声で シン・シーン シー・ステート
6	MR. HEISHMAN: No, sir.
7	MR. KEITH: is that right?
<b>'8</b> -	MR. HEISHMAN: Yes.
9 .	MR. KEITH: And have you had any exposure to this case
10	at all or the so-called Nanson family or Manson himself throug
11	the media within the past year or so?
12.	MR. HEISHMAN: No.
13	MR. KEITE: All right.
14	Now, you did read about the case when it occurred?
15	MR. HEISHMAN: Yes, sir,
16	MR. KEITH: Did you follow the case on a day-to-day
17	regular basis
<b>18</b>	HR. HEISHMAN: No.
19	MR. KEITH: or just read headlines like Mr. Doubt?
20	MR. HEISHMAN: That's right.
21	MR. KRITH: Pardon me?
22	MR. HEISHMAN: Just rend the headlines.
28	MR. KEITH: And did the headlines leave any impression
24	on you that would cause you to let's say would make it
<b>2</b> 5	difficult for you to give Leslie a fair trial?
26	MR. HEISHMAN: I had no opinion whatsoever. I just
27	read the headlines and that was it.
28	MR. KEITH: Did you learn about the outcome of that

2

3

.4 5

6

.

9

10.

11 -12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20.

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

first case?

MR. HEISHMAN: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH, And were you aware --

Let me put it this way: Mr. Heishman, had you ever heard of Leslie Van Houten's name before yesterday?

MR. HEISHMAN: Last week or so I heard on the news they were selecting a jury. I didn't know I'd be on it.

MR. KEITH: Pardon ma, sir?

MR. HEISHMAN: Little did I know at the time I'd be in

MR. KEITH: Woll, that's understandable.

My point is, had you heard of her before you heard her name on the news in the context of a jury being --

MR. HEISHMAN: Last couple of weeks; yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: That's the only time you have ever heard of her?

HR. HEISHNAM: That's right,

MR. KEITH: Until now, of course.

MR. MEISHMAN: Right.

MR. KEITH: You don't remember anything about any involvement she may have purportedly had with Manson, then?

MR. HEISHMAN: The only thing at the time, it was Manson and his followers.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Now, as a result of your reading the headlines and perhaps being exposed to television coverage many years ago, did you form an opinion about Mr. Menson's guilt or innocence?

NR. HEISHMAN: No, sir. I didn't follow it that closely.

,5

17 -

MR. KEITH: All right.

You weren't interested in the matter?

MR. HEISHMAN: That's right.

植的饮食物品层

BUNDA.

机砂 机心头线的

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard a fellow by the name of Vincent Bugliosi ---

Well, I'll first ask you if you have ever heard of him?

HR. HEISHMAN: When he was running for some office.

1	
2	-
3	
4	
5	
6	ŀ
7	
,8	
.9.	1
10	- :
11,	
12	
13	
14	
15	ľ
16	
17	
18	ŀ
19	
·20·	.
21	;
.22	¥.
23	- x
24	
25	

27

28

MR.	KEITH:	nave	Хоп	over	heard	nin	speak?
MR.	HEISHMA	As M	) <u>.</u>	ir.			

MR. KEITH: All right, that settles that phase of the questioning.

And can you promise me, Mr. Heishman, that you have an open mind right now towards Miss Van Mouten?

MR. HEISHMAN: I believe so; yes, wir.

MR. KEITH: And you realise, of course, that she is, as our law mays, clothed with the presumption of innocence?

MR. HEISHMAN: That's right.

MR. REITH: And do you feel, as far as your attitude is concerned, that she presently, as she sits before you, has the benefit of that presumption, or do you feel that it is somehow tainted because of any past exposure you have had to publicity of this case?

MR. HEISHMAN: No. In my mind, I've got to see the evidence.

MR. XELTH: All right, thank you, sir.

Could you pass the microphone to Mrs. Jimenes.

Mrs. Jimenes, you did see a segment of the
television motion picture by the name of Helter Skelter
presumably?

MRS. JIMENER: Yes, air.

MR. REITH: And did you see that about a year ago or within the last two or three months?

MRS. JIMENEZ: About a year ago.

MR. KEITH: And do you remember which part or segment

1	
2	ļ
3	
<b>4</b> .	
.5	
6.	
7	,
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	•
14	
15	,
16	,
17	,
<b>18</b>	
19	1
20.	(
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Let me try and refresh your recollection or orient you. One part concerned the, as I recall it, investigatory stage, and the other part was mostly concerning a television trial in the worst sense.

Do you remember which part you saw?

HRS. JIHENEZ: I saw the first part, I believe.

HR. KEITH: You don't remember seeing any trial scenes?

MRS. JIMENEZ: No, sir.

MR. REITH: Is that correct?

All right. Now, had you ever heard the name Leslie Van Houten before you came into court yesterday? MRS. JIMENEZ: Yes, sir.

MR. KEITH: And how did you hear that name?

MRS. JIMENEZ: Well, I guess I recall it from the original trial, and then I --

MR. KEITH: Did you -- Excuse me, I didn't realize you hadn't finished.

MRS. JIMMES: -- and then in the last four weeks.

MR. KEITH: And what did you read, see, or hear in the
last four weeks where her name was mentioned?

MRS. JIMENEE: On television about the possibility of another trial.

MR. KEITH: Of another trial? MRS. JIMEMES: Yes, sir.

MR. ERITH: And that's all you remember reading or seeing or hearing?

MRS. JIMENES: Yes, sir.

MR. REITH: Now, during the first trial you did read

1	***	
2	*,	,
3,	-	-
4		
5	٠	
·6	٠	į.
7		
ģ	,	,
9.		ľ
10		
11		
12	,	ľ
13	;	Ì
14		
15	•	
16		
17		
18		,
19		
201		
21		Ì
22		ŀ
23		ŀ
24 •		
25		
26		
27		

	CRSQ	in	the	newspapers	and	perhaps	###	about	11
on	sion?	ا چې ا چې ک	100						

urs. Jumpez: Yes.

MR. XEITH: You were living in this county at that time? MRS. JIMENER: Yes, I was.

MR. KEITH! And thinking back, do you remember whether or not Leslie was involved at all in the first trial?

MRS. JIMENEZ: I really don't recall her.

MR. KEITH: You don't recall her name?

MRS. JIMENEZ: The name I recall, but I don't know the particulars about her involvement.

MR. KEITH: Now, do you remember her name being mentioned in the television motion ploture Helter Skelter?

MRS. JIMENEZ: No. I don't.

MR. KEITH: Do you remember what meaning, if any, Manson gave to the term "helter-skelter"?

What does it mean to you as far as --bearing in mind that you did see that television motion picture.

Do you have a recollection --

HRS. JIMENEZ: No, I don't recall.

MR. KEITH: -- what meaning he gave that term?

Are you telling us your recollection of the motion picture is somewhat vague?

MRS. JIMENEZ: Yes, it id.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever seen any other motion pictures or television shows purporting to depict the so-called Manson family as it was?

MRS. Jimenez: No. I dian't.

```
It was in the late '60s.
ŀ
                          No. I haven't.
2
                      All right.
                 Have you ever formed any opinion as to the guilt
4
     of Manson?
5
           MRS. JIMENES: Not really, no.
6
10-
11
12
13
14
15
16.
17
              18
             The Continue
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
.26
27
28
```

-1	
	Me. XEITH: You have no opinion whatsoever as to
.2	whather or not Leglie is more likely to be guilty rather than
3	innocent based on what you have seen, heard or read about this
4	CASE.
5.	MRS. JIMENEZ: No. I don't.
6	MR. HEITH: And you are positive of that.
7	MES. JOHNEZ: I MA.
8	MR. KEITH: You promise me that?
9	MRS. JIMPEZ: I do.
10	HE. KEITH: And you promise me, as you promised the judge
11	that you have not been influenced whatspever one fota by what
12	you may have read, seen or heard about this case.
13	MAS. JIMENES: I don't believe so.
14	MR. KELTHE OF about Charlie Hanson.
15	MES. JIMMEZ: NO. BLT.
16	MR. KHIMER I have nothing further.
17	THE COURT: All right; thank you.
18	MR. KAY: You can keep the microphone, if you want.
19:	I will jout backtrack. That way we won't have to start off
20	with passing the microphone around.
.21	This news that you was or you say you say a
Ž2	recent article about the possibility of a new trial.
23	Was that in the newspapers?
· 24,	MES. JIMENEZ: No; on T.V.
25	MR. KAY: And I take it it was on the nightly news?
26	MRS. JIMENEZ: Right.
27	MR. KAY: And which channel was it on; do you remember?
28	MRS. JIMINEZ: (No response.)

27

28

MI.	KAY:	Which	chammel.	<b>C</b> O	Aon	neually	watch	the	nightly
nevs on?									

MRS. JINENEZ: Channel 4.

MR. RAY: Mow, this your have you seen Miss Van Houten on T.V. at all, other than maybe this one occasion?

MRG. JIMENEZ: No. Mir.

6 MRG. JIMBNEZ: No. MIR.
7 MR. KAY: Do you take a local newspaper?

THE REAL PROPERTY AND A CO.

MR. KAY: And which one is that?

MRS. JIMENEZ: The Times.

MR. KAY: And did you read an article in the L.A. Times, on the front page of the paper, which purported to be an interview with Miss Van Houten?

MS. JIMEMEZ: No. I did not.

MR. RAY: Have you reed may articles in the L.A. Times this year about Miss Van Houten and about this trial?

MRS. JIMENEZ: No. mir.

MR. NAY: Have you read any articles in any other newspapers shout Miss You Houten this Year?

MRS. JIMCHEZ: My, pir.

MR. KAY: Do you rend the Lon Angeles Magazine?

MRS. JIMENES: I take it, but I don't always read it.

MR. FAY: Eave you read this month's issue?

MRS. JIMENEZ: No.

MR. KAY: Do you road the Christian Science Monitor? MIS. JIMENEZ: No.

MR. KAY: Have you ever seen Mr. Keith on television or heard him on radio or read about him in any magazines or

3

4 -5

-6

7 8.

15

1.6 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 . 24

25

26

27 28 newspaper talking about Miss Van Houten? MRS. JIMENEZ: No. I haven't.

MR. KAY: Now, from what you know about this own, from whatever source, have you formed any opinion that Miss Van Houten might have been mentally ill or mentally unbalanced at the time of the legimon murders?

MRS. JIMENEZ: NO.

Mr. KAY: Have you formed any opinion that anybody who participated in the so-called Tate-Tablanca murders must have 10 been crary or mentally unbalanced; baye you formed any opinion like that?

MAG TINETURY NO.

Mr. KAY: The reason I say that is not only is Miss Van Bouten entitled to a fair trial in this case but the prosecution is entitled to a fair trial, too.

And we have the burden in this case not only of proving her guilt or innocence but proving the degree of how mulity

In other words, we have to prove to whitever juzy sits there beyond a reasonable doubt that Miss Van Nouten had the mental connectry to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated mirder of the first degree.

Now, realizing that we do have that burden, do you feel that you can keep an open mind on that subject and give us a fair trial in this case?

MRS. JIMEMER: Yes, I do.

MR. KAYE OKNY.

Could you pass the microphone down to Mr. Beishman.

2

4

5

6:

7 8

9

10

11. 12

13 14

.1:5

17

18

20

21

1130.

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 THE COURT: Mr. Key, I wonder if I could interrupt at this time.

I have two other matters that I have to take up between now and 12:00. So at this time we will recess in this matter until 1:30.

The jurous should bear in mind you are not to discuss this matter amongst gourselves or with anyone else, you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

THE CLERK: Excuse me, Your Monor; I believe Mr. Murkon wanted an extended lunch today.

MR. MURION: It's too late.

MR. KRITH: It was Thursday.

THE CLERK: THERMONY?

MR. MURICH: It's too late; but thank you, anyhow.

mes courts You should not allow yourselves to read, see or hear any news media accounts in this matter.

The jury and counsel are ordered to return at

Thank you.

(At 11:44 a.m. a recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of the same day .)

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, APRIL 5, 1977; 2:00 P.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 130 HON. EDWARD A. HINZ, JR., JUDGE

\*\*\*\*ODD#\*\*

(Appearances as heretofore noted.)

THE COURT: People versus Van Houten.

e de feminare

Let the record show the defendant is present, represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel; the prospective jurors are in the jury box.

You may resume, Mr. Kay.

- 1	
1	MR. KAT: Tunk you, Your Bonor.
2	Mr. Belohman, I believe I was talking to you
3	為株 W業 1995
4	MR. HEISEMAN: Yeah, you ware just beginning.
5.	HR. KAT's Clony.
6	Mow, I have it here that you told Mr. Maith that
7	you had beard something about lealin Van Houten this year
8	on the news.
9	MR. HELSIMAN INSTRUCT
10	NR. FAT: KRET
1:1	MR. HEISHMAN: Yeak. They just said they'd be selecting
12	a juty.
13	M. TAY: The radio or the T.V.?
14	MR. HEISIMAN: Radio.
15	MR. KAY: Oh, KMK Mees redio; okay.
16 :	All they said was they were going to select a
17	jury in this case?
18.	MR. HEISHMAN, Yes, Dir.
19	MR. NAY: That's all they said about the case?
20	MR. BEISTONN: That's all.
21	MR. NAY: Did you hear anything else about
22	Miss Van Houten this year on 1027
23	MR. BEISHNAM: No. sir.
24	MR. NAY: Do you listen to KNX on a regular hasin?
<b>2</b> 5	MR. HEISEMAN: Tes.
26-	MR. KAY: Do you watch any T.V. news at night?
27	MR. HEISHMAN: Very seldem.
28.	Mr. KNY's there was about these Van Persons on W.V. at \$17

1	during this year?
2	MR. HEISIMAN: No. sir.
3	MR. WAY: Do you weed any of the local papers?
4.	MAR HELSEDONY: Yes, six.
5	MR. KAY: Which one?
6	MR. HELSEMAN: The Herald.
7:	MR. KAY: The Bernid?
8	MR. HEISHMAN: Yes.
ģ	MR. KAY: And have you read any articles about
10	Miss Van Bouten or about this case in the Berald this year?
11	Me. Heishman mo. mir.
12	MR. KAY: Have you ever soon Mr. Keith on television or
1.3	heard him on radio or read about him in the newspaper talking
14	about Miss van Bouten?
15	MR. HEISEBRAN: Not to my knowledge, no.
16	Mit. KAY: And do you read los Angeles Megasine?
17	MR. HEISINGE: No.
18	M. KAY: Do you read the Christian Science Monitor?
19	MR. ENISHMAN: No.
20	MR. KAT: Now, from what you know about this case, have
21	you formed any opinion that Miss Van Houten might have some
22	type of mantal filness or have been mentally unbalanced at the
23	time of the ispinuce murders?
24	M. HEISHMAR I have no opinion whatsoever.
25	MR. KAY: Do you have any opinion as to whether snyone
26	who participated in the so-called Tute-Laniance murders must
27	have been crany or mentally unbelanced at the time of their
- 00 ·	Library Company (Marcon Company) (Marcon Company)

		MA. HEISHMAN: All I recall was the headlines.									
	Ź	MR. KAY: So you haven't formed any opinion									
`	3.	MR. HEISTONN: No. sir.									
	4	MR. KAT: about anybody's mental state?									
	5	MR. HEIGHMAN: No. sir.									
	6	MR. KAY: Do you have any opinion as to Miss Van Bouten's									
1	7	mental state at any time in her life?									
12#	: <b>8</b> ' .	MR. HELSHAM: I have no knowledge, no.									
	·9·										
	10. 3										
	11										
	12 <sup>.</sup> -	;									
	13										
	14										
	15										
	16										
	17										
	18										
	19										
	20										
	21										
	.22										
	23	·									
	24										
	25										
	26										
<b>(</b> ).	27										
, ,	28										

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KAY; All right.

Do you feel you can give the prosecution a fair trial in this case?

MR. HEISHMAN: "I think so.

MR. KAY: Okay, Could you pass it to Mr. Burton, pass the microphone.

Kr. Burton, which one of the local papers do you read?

MR. BURTON: I den't subscribe.

MR. KAY: Okay. Do you read any at the office or anything?

MR. BURTON: Oh, sure. Whenever I find a free paper, I'll read it.

MR. KAY: You are not particular whether it's the Times or the Herald or whatever?

MR. BURTON: Not really, no.

MR. RAY: Okay.

Have you read any articles about Miss Van Houten in any of the newspapers this year?

MR. EURION: Not to my knowledge.

MR. KAY: Have you seen her on TV at all?

NR. BURTON: Not to my knowledge.

MR. KAY: Have you seen Mr. Keith on television?

MR. BURTON: Not to my knowledge.

MR. KAY: Or heard him on radio or read about him anywhere talking about Miss Van Houten?

MR. BURTON: No.

MR. KAY: Do you take the Los Angeles Megazine?

MR. BURTON: No. MR. KAY: Do you read the Christian Science Monitor? MR. BURTON: 3 Nov Well, I've read all of them squetimes, probably, 4 but I don't subscribe. 5 MR. KAY: You don't read them on a regular basis? 6 MR. BURTON: No. 7 8. MR. KAY: And you do not recall reading any articles about Miss Van Houten in any of those sources? 9 MR. BURTON: No." 10 MR. PAY: All right. 11 Now, from what you know about the case, have you 12 formed any opinion as to Miss Van Houten's mental state at 13 any time in her life? 14 MR. BURTON: No. 15 MR. HAY: Do you have an opinion that anyone who might 16 have participated in the so-called Tate-LaBiance murders must 17 have been crazy or mentally unbalanced at the time of their 18: participation? 19 Do you have any opinion such as that? 20 MR. BURTON: Not really. 21 MR. KAY: Do you feel you can keep an open mind on that 22 issue and decide the question of Miss Van Houten's state of 23 mind based on the evidence that you hear from the witness 24 standi 25 MR. BURTON: Yes. 26 MR. KAY: Okay, thank you. 27 Could you pass the microphone down to Mr. Doubt.

28

4

5

7

.8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. RAY: And have you seen Miss Van Houten other than on a drawing on television this year?

MR. DOUBT: I think there was a film clip of her netting escorted -- getting into or out of a car.

MR. RAY: Okay.

But you did not hear her talking or anything?

MR. DOUBT: No.

MR. KAY: You did not hear any interviews or anything like that?

MR. DOUBT: No, sir.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Do you read one of the local papers here in L. A.? MR, DOUBT: Not in Los Angeles. I get the Star News, Pasadena paper, and Sunday Times.

MR. KAY: Okay.

And have you read any articles about Miss Van Houten on this trial in either the Times or the Facadena Star News?

MR. DOUBT: No. I haven't.

MR. KAY: Do you read the Los Angeles Magazine?

, MR. DOUBTE No. siri i

MR. KAY: Christian Science Monitor?

MR. DOUBT: Ro, sir.

MR. KAY: Have you seen Mr. Roith on television or heard him on radio or read about him anywhere talking about Miss Van Houten?

MR. DOUBT: I don't recall ever seeing him on TV and/or pictures in the newspaper.

MR. KAY: Or heard him on the radio or anything? 1 MR. DOUBT: Or heard him on the radio. 2 MR. KAY: Okay. 3 Have you formed any opinion about Miss Van Houten's 4 mental state at any time in her life? 5. I have no opinion on her. MR. DOUBT: 6 MR. KAY: Okey. Thank you very much. 7 I have no further questions and no challenges. 8 THE COURT: Any challenges? 9 MR. KEITH: No. Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. At this time the bailiff will 11 take the four prospective jurors back to Department 106. 12 next group should be brought into the courtroom. 13 Bear in mind the admonition I've given you a number 14 of times. Thank you. 15 (The remaining prospective jurars exited 16 the courtroom, and a new group of 17 prospective jurors was escorted in.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

13 F1

1	THE COURTS All right.
2	Ladies and gentlemen, at this time I would like
3	to call your names and be sure that we have the appropriate
4	people sitting in their proper places.
.5	I would like to start here in the first row with
6	YOU, MA am.
7	Are you Rebecca Marbra?
<b>8</b> :	MRS. MARRIA: Da-hum. Yeah.
9	THE COURT: And is it Miss or Mrs.?
10	MRG. MARDRA: MCR.
11 `	THE COURT: All right; thank you.
12	Are you Mr. Alphonso Miller?
13	MR. MILLER: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And then Haureen E. Murray?
15	MISS MURRAY: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Is it Miss or Mrs.?
17	MIGS MURRAY: Minn.
18	THE COUNT: And Elizabeth A. Reagan?
19	MRS, REMAN: Yes.
20 -	THE COURT: Is that Miss of Mrs.?
21	Mrs. Reagan: Mrs.
22 <sup>.</sup>	THE COURT: All right.
23	Clara Ann Robinson?
24	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes; Mrs.
25	THE COURTS Miss; thank you.
26	Jack Wayne Rogers?
27	MR. ROGERS: Yes, sir.
28	The Court: Thank you.

MRS. MARREA: I just got the call. I just got somebody today at 12:30. THE COURT: I see. Will counsel stipulate this juror may be excused? MR. KAY: So stipulated. MR. KETTHE YES, YOUR HODOK. THE COURT: All right, malas, you are excused from further service. You should report to the 5th floor jury room. At this time I would like to start with you, Mr. Miller. I will ask you questions, as I will ask each of the panel members, concerning the matter of publicity. 15. 

28

After I have had an opportunity to ask questions of each of you, then Mr. Keith, the defense attorney, and following him, the deputy district attorney, Mr. Stephen Key, will ask questions of the panel.

Mr. Miller, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in newspapers, magazines or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MR. MINUTER Yes; I heard it on the radio and, you know, the news media.

THE COURT: Has that been in the last few months, or was that back in late 1969 and the early '70s?

MR. MILLER: Well, I'm sure in the late '50s and the carry '70s I heard something pertaining to the case, something general.

THE COURT: Have you seen anything about this case or heard about the defendant in the news media in the last few months?

About it, but I think I heard something about the defendant

I heard something mentioned in the news pertaining to it. I don't recall what it was.

THE COURT: All right,

MR. MINIBR: I heard the name mentioned,

THE COURTS Have you seen the T.V. movie called Helter Skelter?

1 ' HR. MILLER: I have seen parts of it. 2 I work in hospitals, and I go in a room where 3. patients are, and I might look -- You know, just excerpts of 4 14. 5 I have never seen the movie through. 6 THE COURT: Do you recall when you saw that? Was it, 7 say, within the last two or three months, or was it more than 8 A YEST AGO? 9 MR. MIMBER I think I saw part of it not too long ago. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 But you just saw excerpts from it? 12 MK. MILLER: Yes. Somobody was watching it, and I ---13 and that's it. 14 THE COURTS Have you ever read the book selled Helter 15 Skelter#7 16 MR. MILLER: Yes. 17 THE COURTS And would you be able to put to one side 18 whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case --19 MR. MILLERY SUZE, YES. 20 THE COURTE - and judge the defendant's guilt or 21 innocence golely on the avidence to be introduced at this 22 trial? 23 MR. MILLER: Sure. THE COURT: ALL right. Based on anything you have read, seen or heard about this matter, have you formed any opinion concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence? 28 MR. MILLER: None whateveyor.

THE COURT: All xight.

Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen or beard about this case or about the defendant?

MR. MILLIAR: NO.

THE CART: If you are chosen as a trial juror in this matter, can you assure the court that you will decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. MILIER: I believe so.

27

28

MIS COURT: Thank you.

Would you pass the microphone, please.

All right. Miss Morray, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have heard or read in the newspapers, magazines or books, seen on T.V. or heard on the radio?

MISS MORRAY: Just general news coverage. But I did see the movie twice.

THE COURTY All right.

And did you read the book called "Helter Skelter"?
MISS NURRAY: No. I didn't.

THE COURT: I take it by the "T.V. movie," you mean the T.V. movie called Helter Skelter?

MISS HURRAY: Yes.

THE COURT: And you were sware of the newspaper coverage and media coverage back in the late '60s and early '70s?

MISS MURRATY I was a little bit young at that time, but I do recall general information.

THE COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen or heard about this case, and judge the defendant's quilt or innocesses solely on the evidence to be introduced in this trial?

MISS MURRAY: I'm not sure, sir.

I feel kind of -- I lean a little bit one way. The movie affected me quite a bit.

THE COURTS Well, I'm sure you understand how important it is that each juxor who sits on this case have an open mind

water up about the case.

to have a juron alk on this case who already had their mind THE COURTS And it would be imagenographs of courses. ATES MIRBAY: Yes, ALY. Sanda bandaxaban neg est - xextem odd galaxeongs

andy dunque to seen alidy dunde breed to neen thest avail not pullity no divisor a no lathe not was an dail

defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or

Sanabustab and to sonsoonni.

THE COURT ALL MARKS "Appearing over I sail Indi I "Yough R szin

moon axist bluck at anit hous nothing aids at west

carrience to caercome it or dispel your opinion?

the court that the teams you. MIES MUREYA! I SPINK NO. YOU.

**6**۱

82

ĿZ

98

52

54

23

22

12

50

81

۲۲

91

12

Þ١

13

15

Of the state of

3

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15,

22

23 24

25

.27

26

28

	ling. Reagan,	have you heard or do you know snything
about this	case or about	the defendant's past actions, conduct,
beliefs or	associations,	including anything you have read in
ncispapere,	magazines or	books, seen on television or heard on
the radio?		

MRS. RENGAM: Yes. At the time, you know, the news, I followed it, and did read all of the news Items.

I did not read the book, but I did not the movie on television also, and was very strongly affected.

THE COURT: Then you pay "the movie," was that within the last couple of months, or was that more than a year ago?

MRS. REMANN: I think it was within -- I don't think it was a year ago. I think it was more recent than that,

THE COURT: And did you say you had read the book, or You hadn't?

MRS. REMOMER Mo. I did not read the book. THE COURTS ALL Tight.

19 Would not be good as a juror on this case, and the reasons are 20 THE COURTS State a minute, ma'am, planse.

> Mint we want to do in just talk about the lange of publicity; and once we get through with that, then we can start selecting a jury and go into these other areas.

MRS. REAGAN: All right.

THE COURTS SO I would like to cut you off from the other remens, and just concentrate on this metter of publicity.

> Now did you follow newspaper accounts of the

26

27

28

matter in the late '60s and early '70s?

3 200 COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you might
4 have read, seen or heard about this matter, and judge the
5 defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be
6 introduced in this trial?

MRS. REMANY Oh, as far as that goes, yes.

I have enother personal incident, though, recently that's happened in my life that would affect my thinking in this.

But as far as the news items go, I think I sould.
THE COURT: All right. Just besing it on the publicity or on the newspapers, television or radio, as a result of anything you have read, seen or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the defendant's quilt or innocence?

MRS. REAGAN: No. I guess I would have to say really I have not.

THE COURT: You have not formed an opinion? MRS. REAGAN: No.

THE COURTS ALL right.

Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have road, seen or heard about the defendant or about this case?

MRS. REAGAN: No. I don't think so.

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror in this matter, can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this

1. courtroom? MRS . REAGAN: Yes. 2 THE COURTS All right; thank you. 3 Mrs. Robinson, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about the defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or assocrtions, including anything you might have read in newspapers, magazines or books, seen on television or heard on the radio? MAG. ROBINSON: NO. THE COURTS You haven't heard about this matter at any A COAR WAR MRS, ROBINSON: Well, yes, I have heard about it. 13 , THE COURT: All right. 14 How, were you aware of the so-called Manson trial 15, in the late '60s and early '70s? 16 MRS. ROBINSON: Yes. **214**£ 17 18 19 20 21 22 .23. 24 25 26 27 28matter?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: Did you read newspaper accounts of that

MRS. ROBINSON No. I heard it on the radio.

THE COURT: I beg your pardon?

MRS. ROBINSON: I heard it on the radio.

THE COURT: All right.

Have you seen anything in the news media in the recent months about this case or about the defendant?

MRS. ROBINSON: No. I haven't.

THE COURT: Have you seen the TV movie or read the book called "Helter Skelter"?

MRS. ROBINSON: Neither one of them.

THE COURT: All right.

Would you be able to put to one side whatever you have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence strictly on the evidence to be introduced in this trial?

MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And as a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

MRS. ROBINSON: No.

THE GOURT: And would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case?

MRS. ROBINSON: No.

THE COURT: If you are chosen as a trial jurer in this matter, can you assure the court that you would decide this

1 matter solely on the evidence to be presented in this court-2 room? MRS. ROBINSON: Yes. THE COURT: All right, thank you. 5 Wir. Rogers, have you heard or do you know anything 6 about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, / beliefe or associations, including anything you have read in newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard 8 on the radiol. . 9 MR. ROGERS: Just from the television and the papers. 10 THE COURT! That was back in the late '60s and early 14 17087 12 13 MR. ROCERS: Yeah, and just, well, about two or three weeks ago I think they had a write-up in the paper about it, 14 about the trial coming up. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 Have you seen the TV movie or read the book 17 called "Helter Skelter"? 18 MR. ROGERS: No. 19 THE COURT: Could you put to one side whatever you have 20 read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the deferant's 21 guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in 22 this courtroom? 23 MR. ROGERS: No. I don't think I could. 24 THE COURT: Are you saying that based on what you have 25 read, seen, or heard in this matter that you formed an opinion 26 concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence? 27 MR. ROGERS: Well, it's kind of hard to say, because, 28

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

quite a bit on it.

THE COURT: I understand that you apparently followed the trial very closely, was that it, or did you --

like I say, I read the papers and the television and I followed

MR. ROCERS: Yes, sir. I kept up with it quite a bit. THE COURT: All right.

Now, based on that, your contact in keeping up on the case, did you at any time form an opinion concerning the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. ROGERS: Not really, no.

松顶的散

商权中的证明 经预算

1,1 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19.

20

21

\_\_\_\_

24

25 26

97

.28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

THE COURT: Do you have an opinion as you sit there now as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. RÖGERS: Yes. It's kind of hard to say. I hate to say either way.

I mean, I do not think I could say she was innocent or guilty either one right at the moment, no; but, like I say, I had read all the papers and the television when it was out quite a bit.

THE COURT: Well, you understand, as I mentioned a little earlier, that it would be inappropriate to have a juror who has already got their mind made up.

MR. RÖGERS: I know that.

THE COURT: And it would also be inappropriate to have a juror who, based on what they have read, seen, or heard in this matter, had an opinion such that the other side would have to produce some evidence in order to get you, say, to ground zero; in other words, to have an open mind.

Yest of the state of the MR. ROGERS:

So what I'm trying to find out is, and I THE COURT: know it may be difficult to answer, but we'd like you to do the best you can, because you are the only one that can tell us this.

MR. ROGERS: Yes.

THE COURT: And that is, do you have a completely open mind about this, or is your mind such that you have an opinion one way or the other?

MR. ROGERS: I'd have to have a lot of evidence. THE COURT: To remove the opinion you have?

MR. ROGERS: Yesh.

2

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

,3

4

5

6

7.

·8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15.

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Miss Shabazian, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MISS SHABAZIAN: I did see the movie when it first came out, which I think was about a year ago; and I did read the book when it first came out, which I'm not sure of the time.

But I have not seen anything recently, and I haven't read the newspapers lately.

THE COURT: All right.

Did you follow this matter in the late '60s and early '70s?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I was in my early teens, and I really didn't care too much about it.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, would you be able to put to one side whatever you have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes! I believe I could.

THE COURT: All right.

As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to her guilt or innocence?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, not herself specifically.

ļ 

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this matter about the defendant?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I don't believe so.

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror in this matter, can you assure the court that you will decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I could.

14a

2

1

3· 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mrs. Speer, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on the television or heard on the radio?

MRS. SNAER: Well, I heard it prothe radio and on the news in 1969 and early '70s, but I haven't heard anything on it recently.

THE COURT: All right.

Have you seen the TV movie or read the book called "Helter Skelter"?

MRS. SNAER: No. I have not.

THE COURT: Would you be able to put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this matter and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MRS. SNAER: I think I could.

THE COURT: All right.

As a result of anything you have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendant?

MRS. SNAER: No, I haven't.

THE COURT: Would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you might have read, seen, or heard about this case?

MRS. SNAER: I don't think so.

THE COURT: And if you are chosen as a trial juror in

2

Į

3

5

6

7

2

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

**2**5

26 27

28

this matter, can you assure the court that you would decide this case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MRS. SNAER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.

Mrs. Stern, have you heard or do you know anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have read in the newspapers; magazines, or books, seen on television or heard on the radio?

MRS. STERN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And what --

MRS. STERN: When it happened.

I remember seeing it in the news and, you know, for the following month or so when it was on I followed it closely.

THE COURT: All right.

And have you seen the television program or read the book called "Helter Skelter"?

MRS. STERN: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Have you seen anything in the news media in recent months about this case or about the defendant?

MRS. STERM: I think I heard that the trial was coming up, but that's about it.

THE COURT: All right.

And can you put to one side whatever you might have read, seen, or heard about this case and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in

6

this courtroom?

MRS. STERN: Yes, sir, I can.

THE COURT: And as a result of anything you might have read, seen, or heard about this case or about the defendant, have you formed any opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MRS. STERN: No, sir; I have not.

THE COURT: And would you allow yourself to be influenced by any publicity you have read, seen, or heard about this case?

MRS. STERN: No, sir.



高度 数据证明

1 THE COURT: If you are chosen as a trial juror in this 2 matter, can you assure the court that you would decide this 3 case solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom? 4 MRS. STERN: Definitely, sir. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 Mr. Vildosola, have you heard or do you know 7 anything about this case or about defendant's past actions, 8 conduct, beliefs or associations, including anything you have 9 read in the newspapers, magazines, or books, seen on television 10, or heard on the radio? 11 MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes. I do. 12 THE COURT: And when was that? 13 MR. VILDOSOLA: I read the newspapers, and I worked at the Hall of Justice while the trial was going on. 14. THE COURT: Have you seen the TV movie or read the book 15 called "Helter Skelter"? 16 MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, sir; I have. 17 THE COURT: And when did you see the TV movie? 18 MR. VILDOSOLA: Oh, it was about the first time it came 19 20 out. 21 Did you see it the second time it was on? THE COURT: MR. VILDOSOLA: Nor Bir. 22 THE COURT: Did you attend any of the proceedings at 23 24. the first trial in this matter? MR. VILDOSOLA: Xes, I did. 25 26 . THE COURT: And in what capacity did you attend those 矿力制度 经证券 27 proceedings? MR. VILDOSOLA: Spectator. 28

2

1

-3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21, 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 THE COURT: All right.

As a result of anything you might have read, seen, or heard about this case, could you lay that to one side and judge the defendant's guilt or innocence solely on the evidence to be presented in this courtroom?

MR. VILDOSOLA: No, I couldn't.

THE COURT: Are you saying that as a result of what you have read, seen, or heard about this matter that you have formed an opinion as to the defendant's guilt or innocence?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: All right.

And is this opinion such that some evidence would have to be presented in order to overcome your opinion?

MR. VILDOSOLA: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Well, what you are saying is you formed an opinion on the matter; is that correct?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you put that opinion to one side?

MR. VILDOSOLA: No, I could not,

THE COURT: In other words, this opinion would stay with you during the course of this trial, wouldn't it?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Is that what you're saying?

MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, if you were chosen as a trial juror in this matter and you had an opinion one way, would the other side have to put on some evidence in order to remove that opinion

1 that you have? 2 MR. VILDOSOLA: Yes, they would. 3 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Will counsel approach the bench, please. 4 (The following proceedings were held 5 6 at the bench:) Okay. Do you have challenges? THE COURT: 7 8 MR. KEITH: Defendant will challenge Miss Murray, confidential secretary; Wr. Rogers'-9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. KEITH: -- and Mr. Vildosola. THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. KEITH: Is there somebody else? 13 THE COURT: Those are the only ones that I thought who --14 MR. KEITH: Those are the only ones I've marked. 15 MR. KAY: No objection. 16 THE COURT: No objection? 17. All right. I will excuse those three. 18 Do you have the names? 19 THE CLERK: Yes. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 22 (The following proceedings were held in 23 open court:) 24 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the following three 25 jurors are excused from further service upon this case. of you excused are to report to the jury room on the fifth 26. 27 floor. 28 The following are excused: Miss Maureen E. Murray,

1	Mr. Jack Wayne Rogers, and Mr. Oscar D. Vildosola.
2	Thank you for your attendance upon the court.
.3	THE BAILIFF: Would you walk this way, please.
4	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Keith, you may inquire
5	regarding publicity.
6	
7	
8	; •
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	高度 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
<b>2</b> 1	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

15 f1

1Ó

11

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

MR. RETTILL Thank you. Your Honor.

Indies and gentlemen, my name is Manuell Keith. I represent Miss Yan Houten, who's awaiting trial, as you know.

His Honor, Judge Hinz, has been kind enough to permit myrolf and the prosecuting attorney to sak you additional questions beyond what he has already asked on the subject of publicity and how your minds may have been affected by it, which would in turn have a bearing on your ability to give Miss Van Douten a fair trial.

So we all have been taking considerable pains to explore your state of mind, vis-a-vis, publicity and the markive hotoricty that this matter received some years ago 14 and even up to the present time.

I will ask some questions, if I may, of you, 

> And if you would take the microphone which is banding over the railing, we can proceed,

My notes indicated you read a book entitled "Helter Skelter": is that correct?

ik. Milibre (Mods beed effirmatively.)

NR. KEITH: Did you read it from start to finish?

MR. MILLER: Yes.

12. KEIMI, And did you care for it? Did you like the Dook?

MR. MIMER: It's a story.

M. KETTH: How long ago did you read it, would you say? FR. HITTER: Oh. shortly after it came out.

27.

IR. KEITH: And he may have even spoken about the case when he was running for District Attorney of this County.

But you never had any contact with him ---

MR. MILLEURY NO.

MR. KUIMI -- either on television or --

MR. MILLERT NO.

MR. WING: -- seeing him in person; is that right?

MR. MILLERS No. I haven't.

.25

26

27

I	*	KELLEL	pia	the	book	· <del>dprod</del> e	having	resd	the	book,	do	you
T decimand of t	<b>*</b> **	da, dele										

It was about Charles Manson, was it not? MR. MINLER: Right.

MR. KEITH: And it was about a trial, was it not? MR. MILLER: Right.

MR. KETTH: And you do have a vague recollection of reading about Miss Van Houten in the book. Or am I overstating the case?

MR. MILLER: Well, I remember reading about the characters. Each individual character, I couldn't characterize them.

MR. KEIMI: Did the book make a lasting impression upon you? Appearantly not, but I thought I might ask.

MR. MILLER: No. no book really does. I read for entertainment.

Me. Kuller the bottom line is, as a result of reading the book does Leslie Van Houten start this trial, if you are selected as a trial juror, with two strikes against her?

MR. MILLER: Not at all.

MR. KEITE: You understand, of course, she is presumed innocent at the present time; right?

MR. MILLIER: Right.

MR. KUIM: And I would like to know if that presumption of immorance is somehow contaminated in your mind because of having read a book called "Helter Skelter"?

FR. MILITER: No.

MR. KEITH: Let me ask you this: Do you remember why

26

27

28

the book was called "Belter Skelter"?

Does that \*\*\*

MR. MILLER: Yes, somewhat. I never got it clear in my mind, but I think I remember it.

MR. KEITH: It had something to do with Mr. Manson's philosophy.

MR. HILLER: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Do you remember his philosophy, after having read the book, or have you forgotten about it?

MR. MILLER: His philosophy in general, no. To me it was stupid. It didn't make sense to me.

Mr. Exitti: Perdon me, sir?

M. MILLER: It just didn't make sense. You know, it's sensething that I ---

MR. KETTHE "Sense makes no sense"; do you remember that phrase?

Mr. MILLER: (No response.)

MR. KETTE: You don't nemember that, do you?

MR. MILLERS NO.

MR. REITH: You don't -- you boneatly think, then; that you can forget all about having read the book and anything you may remember about it, and give Miss Van Houten a fair trial?

MR. MILLER: Oh. Yes.

MR. KEREL: You also saw a little bit of the television motion picture Helter Skelter because of your work.

Eaving seen portions of that show, does that leave any lasting impression on you so it would be difficult for you to give Lealie a fair trial?

MR. MILLER: Not at all. 1 MR. KETTEL I take it you didn't pay particular 2 attention to the parts of the motion picture you did see. MR. MINIER: No. It's just a movie to me, a show. 4 MR. KEYTH: Were you living in los Angeles County in 1969, '70 and '717 MR. MILLER: Yes. MR. KEIMI: And I suppose you couldn't help but be . 9 exposed to the massive publicity and notoristy the first case 10 received, right? 11 MR. MILIARY YOU, I'M SURE. 12 13. 14 15 16. 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	,
1	MR. KEITH: Did you follow the case in the newspapers
2	or on television news programs?
3	MR. MILLER: Not really follow it.
4	When I heard it I listened. If I didn't - I
5	didn't look for it.
6	MR. KEITH: I understand what you mean. You weren't
7	you didn't take any special interest
8	MR. MILLER: No special interests
9	Mr. KEITH: in those proceedings.
10	maying read the book, however, do you remember
11	Whether or not Miss Van Houten was a defendant in that first
12	trials do you remember at all?
13	MR. HILLER: I only resember what role she played
14	I know she was one of the characters; I remember the name.
15.	M. REIN: All right.
16	MR. MINIM: And what she did, I don't have the slightest
17	
18	MR. REITH: All right.
19	Do you feel, as His Bonor has said, that she
20	starts out even in your mind?
21	M. MILLER, Oh, sure.
22	MR. REITH: She is not at any disadvantage.
23	M. MILLER MO.
24	MR. KEITH: All right.
25	And you can promise we you won't be influenced
26	by anything you may have read, seen or heard about leglie.
27	MR. MILLER: Not at all.
28	MR. KEITH: Or about Charles Manson, or about his group.

MR. MILLER: NO. MR. KETTH: Could you pass the microphone to Mrs. Reagan, please, Mrs. Reagan, I dare say that at least, according to your responses to His Bonor, that you did follow the case to some extent back in 1969, '70 and perhaps into 1971. 学158套 MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I did read some. 

28

M.	Keith:	pid you	bay bar	Elcular	attention	to	th
Townspaper	and tel	notatva	accounts	of the	case?		

Or did you simply follow it because it made beadlines, if you understand the distinction?

MRS. REAGAN: Yes.

MR. KEIPH I'm trying to find out if you really --MRS. REAGAN: Yes.

MR. REPURE -- got into it or whether --

MRS. REAGAN: I followed it to a certain degree; and than when your mind gets to the point where you can't accept any more, it's like a nightmare that's hard to believe, you know, could really happen, you just finally -- I just finally quit reading.

I followed it for while, and then --

MR. KEITH'S Did you follow the first case into the trial stage at all?

MRS. REAGAN: I may have, but I don't really remember THE STATE

Mr. Kerris There was a great deal of publicity about the homicides ---

MES, REMONS: I think when it got to the point of going through the trial, I don't think I really continued to follow dr.

I mean, occasionally I would read scarthing and read some of it, but I didn't follow it in its entirety. Mr. KETTH: Did you -- strike that.

> Were you aware of the outcome of the first case. the so-called Manson case?

1	
1	MRS. REAGAN: I probably was, but, you know, like
2 ;	some things you just kind of block out of your mind.
3	I mean, it's not something that I can think back
4	and remainer now.
5	MR. KEITH: Was the name Leslie Van Houten familiar to
6	you before you came into court?
7,	MRS. REMAN: Yes, I remember the name.
`8	I don't remember all of the you know,
ģ.	particulars about her.
10	· MR. KEITH: Do you now remember whether or not she may
11	have been a defendant along with Manson in that first trial?
12.	MRS, REAGAN: Yes, I believe she was.
13	MR. KETTH: Now, do you have my idea why she's hare
14	now today awaiting trial?
15	MRS. REAGAM: Not really.
16	MR. KETTH: For supposedly the name thing.
1.7	MBS. REMORNS Not really.
. 18	MR. KUZZE: You have read nothing or have heard nothing
19	
20	MRS. REMAN: Broopt I knew the trial was coming up.
. 21	In her ware of why.
.22	MR. KETHE: 50 it comes as a surprise to you to see her
.23	here, I suppose,
2,4	MRS. REMAIN: Except for the fact that I did reed a trial
25	was coming up for her; but I didn't go into why or how or,
.26	you know
27	MR. KEITH: Does the fact that she was a defendant, or
28	at least that you know she was a defendant, even though you

may not have known what happened to her at that trial, does that fact influence your judgment so that it would be difficult for you to give her a fair trial now, to which she - is entitled, as you well know? MRS. REAGAN: No. I don't think that would affect me. MR. KETTH: Now, you did see the television motion picture by the name of Belter Skelter. MRS. REAGAN: Right. MR. KEITH: But you did not read the book. Mic. Malait Right. MR. KETCH: Did anybody talk to you about the book that had read it? MRS. RENGAN: My husband did a little bit, but he didn't want to share it with me. He didn't recomend that I read the book, go I didn't.

5

6

Ź

8

9

1Ò

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25.

26

27

28

1 .	MR. KETH: Did your husband Or has your husband
2	ever commented to you about, let's say, leglie in particular?
3	MAS. REMANY NO.
4	MR. KEIZH: Or Manson in parkicular?
5 6.	MRS. REAGAN: Manson, yes, but not
6.	MR. REIM: How about Susan Atkins or Patricia Krenwinks
	did he ever come to you or make observations to you about
· 8> 4	then, after baying read the book?
9	MRS. REPORTS He may have, but I don't
10	MR. Kulffile or having read part of it.
<b>11</b>	MRS. REMGAN but I don't remember.
12	MR. KETTHE Now, you did not the entire television
13	notion picture.
1'4	MRS. REAGNA Yes, I believe I did see the entire.
1.5	MR. REITH: It was in two parts. Did you see both
16	partis?
17	MRS. REAGNE I'm not sure now whether I saw both parts
18	or not.
19 <sup>-</sup>	MR. RETHER In any event, it left you strongly affected,
20	in your words.
21 <sup>.</sup> 22	MRS. REMARKS Oh, yes. It's a pretty frightening thing.
22 23.	MR. KEITH: All right.
23. 24	MRS, REAGAN: However, I don't dwell on it. It's not
25	You know, it's something that's happened, and you
26	put it out of your mind.
27	So it isn't something that has - that I dwell on
28	of have thought about.
	MR. XETTHE DO WAS remember that an actress played the

role of Legile Van Houten in that television movie? 1 2 MRS. REAGAN: I'm afraid I don't remember at this point, 3 no. 4 MR. KETTET You don't recall ---5 MRS. REAGAN: No. 6 MR. RETTHE -- her name being in that -- I shouldn't nav "her name" - her character being portrayed in that motion picture by an actress? MRS. REAGAN: The only thing I can remember is that the was involved to some degree in the movie. But not, you 10 MR. KEITH: You don't ---FRS. REAGNET I don't actually commuter how she was involved completely, no. MR. KETTH: You realize, of course, she is entitled as 16 the now sits before you with the benefit of a presumption of 17 innocence. 18 MRS. REAGAN: YES. 19 MR. KETTHE AS WE ALL ATE IF WE ARE ACCUSED OF A PUBLIC 20 offense. 21 MRS. REAGAN: I do. 22 MR. KEITH Do you feel that that prosumption in some 23 manner has been burt or impaired or poisoned by reason of 24 your having seen the television motion picture by the name 25 Helter Skelter and having -- and being frightened by it, and 26 also having followed the news about the case for awhile when 27 the hosteldes originally occurred? 28 MRS. REMAIN: No. I do not.

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

MR. KEITH: Did you ever have an opinion about Leslie's guilt or innocence?

MRS. RÉAGAN: No, because I don't judge people easily. I have to have, you know, facts before I try to make a I don't think I made a judgment, because I didn't follow that closely.

I didn't feel that I knew enough to make a judgment in my mind, you know, in my own mind.

MR. KEITH: You would be able to promise me, then, that you could forget, if you are selected as a trial juror, forget all about what you have read, seen, or heard either on the radio and television or in the newspapers, just completely erase it from your mind, and have an open mind and give Leslie a fair trial?

MRS. REAGAN: Yes.

MR. REITH: Despite all the publicity?

Yes, Ithink I could, MRS. REAGAN:

MR. KEITH? Can/you do better than think?

MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I, could.

MR. KEITH: Or is that as far as you can go with me?

If I have reservations, they are for other MRS, REAGAN: reasons; but it is not on this point right now.

Right. We are making an inquiry on just MR. KEITH: this point.

MRS. REAGAN: Yes. I could on this point, yes.

MR. KEITH: All right, thank you.

Pass the microphone to --

It's Mrs. Robinson?

26

27

1 ,	MRS. ROBINSON: Mrs. Robinson, yes.
2	MR. KEITH: Pardon me?
3	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.
4.	MR. KEITH: Your only exposure to the so-called Manson
5	case was the hearing about it on the radio some years back;
6	is that correct?
7.	MRS. ROBINSON: That's right.
8	MR. KEITH: And were you living in Los Angeles County in
9	1969 and '70?
10	MRS. ROBINSON: I was.
11	MR. KEITH: Do you read any newspapers at all?
12	MRS. ROBINSON: No, I don't.
13 .	MR. KEITH: Do you read any magazines at all?
14	MRS. ROBINSON: No. I don't.
15	MR. KEITH: Do you see television at all?
16	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.
17	MR. KEITH: Did you have a television back in '69 and
18	1707
<b>19</b>	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.
20	MR. REITH: But you don't listen to or rather listen
21	to news shows on television?
22	MRS. ROBINSON: No, not too much.
<b>2</b> 3	MR. KEITH: The news you receive comes from the radio,
24	is this a fair statement?
25.	MRS. ROBINSON: No, television mostly.
26	MR. KEITH; But you didn't hear or see anything about
27	the so-called Manson case on television back in '69 and '70?
28	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes, I did, about that time.

1.

5.

22<sup>.</sup> 

26.

MR. KEITH: I see. And you also heard about it on the radio?

MRS. ROBINSON: No. not too much on the radio; more on television.

MR. KEITH: My notes are wrong. I've got it backwards.

Having heard about the case on television some years back, did you form any opinion then as to the guilt or innocence of any of the defendants in that case?

MRS. ROBINSON: No. I didn't.

MR. KEITH: Have you ever heard the name Leslie Van Houten before you came into court the other day?

MRS. ROBINSON: Yes. Yes, I have.

MR. KEITH: And in what connection had you heard of her? 1 Just about what I heard on TV. 2 MRS. ROBINSON: MR. KEITH: Over TV when? 3 MRS. ROBINSON: Back in 189 and 170. Did you hear on television or see on MR. KEITHI 5 television, then, that she was a defendant in that other case? 6 Do you remember hearing that? η MRS. ROBINSON: Yes. . .8 MR. KEITH: And do you remember the outcome of that 9 Yes. Committee case? 10 MRS. ROBINSON: 11 MR, KEITH: Do you remember what happened to Leslie in 12 that case? Without telling me, do you remember what happened 13 to her? 14 MRS. ROBINSON: Yes, I vaguely remember. 15 MR. KEITH: All right. 16 Do you have any idea why she's here awaiting trial 17 today? 18 MRS. ROBINSON: Do I have any? 19 MR. KEITH: Yeah, yeah. Do you know? Is it surprising 20 to you to see her sitting there today about to be tried for 21 the same alleged offenses as you had heard about on television 22 years ago? 23 MRS. ROBINSON: No. 24 MR. KEITH: You don't have any idea? You didn't see 25 anything on television or read anything in the newspapers about 26 why she is here? 27 MRS. ROBINSON: No, I don't; no, I didn't. 28

MR. KEITH: Why don't you pass the microphone to

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Mrs. Shabazian, please.

Is it -- am I pronouncing it correctly?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Miss Shabazian.

MR. KEITH: Pardon me?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Miss Shabazian.

MR. KEITH: Miss Shabazian, you saw both the movie, entitled Helter Skelter, and the book, "Helter Skelter"; is that right?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I did.

MR. KEITH: Did you enjoy those literary efforts?

MISS SHABAZIAN: . I don't think it was very well written, but that's my opinion.

MR. KEITH: You read the whole book?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I did.

MR. KEITH: And you saw the whole movie, both parts?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I did.

MR. KEITH: Did you see the movie within the last two or three months or approximately a year ago?

MISS SHABAZIAN: It was over a year ago, I believe. MR. KEITH: All right.

And did either work leave any impression upon you so that it would make it hard for you to judge Leslie fairly? MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I do not recall her name mentioned all that much. And I knew she was brought to trial, but I

don't recall the outcome at all.

I did not follow it that much.

MR, KEITH: And when the original trial occurred, you

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
.9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
<b>2</b> ,2
<b>2</b> 3
24
25
26
27
28

didn't follow it at all because you were too young, right?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yeah, I was only like 14. I didn't
care too much when I was 14.

MR. KEITH: Pardon me?

MISS SHABAZIAN: I didn't care too much about that type of thing when I was 14,

MR. KEITH: Oh, I understand. I'm not being critical.

Did you come to understand, having read the book and reading and seeing the television show, that Manson meant by "helter-skelter"?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I did.

MR. KEITH: And do you remember something about Manson's so-called beliefs and philosophies, after having read the book?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.



2

3

4

6

7

8

9

1,0

11

12

13

**1**5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KEITH: And do you remember from reading the book that Manson had some young girls who were in a group?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.

MR. KEITH: That was called a family?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes.

MR. KEITH: Now, do you remember reading in the book or seeing in the television motion picture that Leslie may have been a member of that so-called family?

HISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.

MR. KEITH: Knowing those things, do you feel that Leslie sits at a disadvantage at this trial?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I don't.

MR. KEITH: You can promise me that?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I can.

MR. KEITH: And you have no opinion that she may be more likely guilty than innocent, after having been exposed to the book and television shows?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Well, not after hearing the evidence.

I believe I could give her a very fair trial, my fair opinion.

MR. KEITH: Are you suggesting you may have an opinion now but you can lay it aside?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No. I mean I don't base my opinion just by reading the book and seeing the movie.

MR. KEITH: So you are telling me you don't have any opinion now?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, not really.

MR. KEITH: Pardon me?

MISS SHABAZÎÂN: Not really

	1973
F	
1	MR. KEITH: When you say, "Not really"
2	MR. KEITH: When you say, "Not really" MISS SHABAZIAN: No opinion at all.
3	MR. KEITH: Do you have a little teany opinion, a budding
4	opinion, or a fading opinion?
5	MISS SHABAZIAN: No.
6	MR. KEITH: And do you think that you might be influence
7	by the television motion picture and the book that you read?
8:	MISS SHABAZIAN: I do believe it was over-dramatized to
.9	make a point.
10	MR. KEITH: Both?
11	MISS SHABAZIAN: Both, yes.
12	MR. KEITH: What you are saying is you rather doubt the
13	accuracy of those works?
14	HISS SHABAZIAN: Yes.
15	MR. REITH: All right.
16	Could you pass the microphone to
17	Gosh, I don't know whether I can pronounce your
18 '	name.
19`	MRS. SNAER: You don't have to try. It's Willie Snaer.
20,	MR. KEITH: Is it Miss or Mrs. Sneer?
21	MRS. SNAER: Mrs.
22	MR. KEITH: You haven't seen the television motion
23	picture and haven't read the book, right?
24	MRS. SNAER: No, I have not.
<b>2</b> 5	MR. KEITH: Has anybody ever talked to you about it or
26	made comments and observations, you know, about the book or
27	the television show by the name of Helter Skelter?
28	MRS. SNAER: No, because no member of my family, you

€±0.

ġ.

know, my immediate family, read or saw the movie.

MR. KEITH: I was wondering if somebody may have talked to you about it who was a friend of yours or coworker, if you are employed outside the home.

MRS. SNAER: Yeah, I am employed, but no one at my job has discussed it with me.

MR. KEITH: Did you pay any special attention to the case back in 1969 and 1970 when you were exposed to the publicity?

MRS. SNAER: Well, when you say special attention, I would not say it was special. It was on the news, and we have the television on, and I did, you know, watch it; but I did not follow it that close.

0

3

1 MR. KEITH: Well, what I meant by special attention is did you make a special effort --MRS. SNAER: No, I didn't. 4 -- to read and to hear about the case? MR. REITH: MRS. SNAER: No. I did not. 5 MR, KEITH: As a result of hearing about the case, 6 however, did you form any opinion then about Leslie Van Houten, 7 If you even remembered her name. 8 You may not remember her name at all. 9 MRS. SNAER: I really do not remember her name. 10 MR. REITH: All right. 11 been in court for a day or so, does that Having 12 refresh your recollection at all about what she may have done 13 or alleged to have done back in 1969 and '70? 14 MRS. SNAER: No. I really don't remember. 15 MR. KEITH: When the name of the case was read to you 16 by His Honor, that didn't register enything in your mind? 17 MRS. SNAER: Well, the names, you know, like vaguely 18 I remember a little bit about them but not what each character 19 or each person did. I don't remember that. 20 MR. KEITH: Okay. 21 You can promise me, as have the other jurors, 22 that you wouldn't be influenced by anything you may have read 23 or heard about this case in the event you are selected as a 24 trial juror? 25 26 MRS. SNAER: No, I wouldn't. MR. KEITH: And you would decide this case solely on 27the facts and the evidence as presented from the witness 28

stand? 1 MRS. SNAER: Yes. 2 MR. KEITH: You can do that? 3 MRS. SNAER: Yes. 4 MR. KEITH: You are sure of that? 5 MRS. SNAER: I'm positive, because I didn't follow 6. through on the case before. 7 MR. KEITH: Could you pass the microphone to Mrs. Stern, 8 please. 9 Now, Mrs. Stern, did you follow this so-called 10 Manson case closely in the newspapers at the time of the 11 first trial? 12 MRS. STERN: No, I didn't follow it in the newspapers. 13 MR. KEITH: Or on television? 14 MRS, STERN: Yes, I did. 15 I was working at the old Hall of Records at the 16 time, and I remember the girls sitting outside when Manson 17 was here. But I never really made a special effort to go home 18 and turn the news on to see what's happening. 19 But if I watched the news, it's there. 20 21 22 23 24 25 取的多 的复数等的原 26 27 28

17 £1

25

27

26

28

MR. KEITH'S You saw the girls on the corner? MRS. STERNS Yes.

Mr. KETTHE And were you ever a spectator at the trial?

MAS CTERM: No. Six.

MR. KETTHE The first trial.

Have you ever talked to any of the girls on the COTRAT ---

MRS. STERN: No.

MR. KETHI: -- or did they ever talk to you?

MRS STERN: NO.

MR. KETTELL Did you make any special effort to hear about the case on television back in 1969, '70 and perhaps 1717

MAS, STERM: Well, I remember the first couple of days, when the news broke, you know, I watched it, and I was interested in it.

But as time kept going on, you know, you would hear it over and over; and I really lost interest.

I know what had happened: and that's about it. MR. KEITH: Have you read, seen or heard anything about Leslie Van Houten in the last year?

MRS. STERN: No. six.

MR. KETTHE DO YOU Temember her name?

MRS. STERN: No. Sir. Yesterday, when I walked in, I didn't know who she was when Your Bonor (sic) mentioned her Dama.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Now, did that refresh your recollection at all

		1

about her having ---

MRS. STERN: WOLL --

MR. KETTH: -- baying been a defendant in the first trial?

MRS. STORY: What happened was, I reacted to the reaction that I heard around me.

And I waked, "Well, who is it?" And then I Listened to what the judge maid.

And then I knew who she was.

But I don't know if she was tried before or along with everybody else, or what happened.

MR. KEITH: All right.

Consequently, you are confident that you don't have any opinion now that she may be more likely quilty than innocent because of what you have road, heard or seen about the so-celled Manson case, including seeing the girls on the COLDER.

MRS. STERM: Well. I don't have any opinion as to her innocence or quilt. I don't know.

MR. KETTH: You have an open mind now? MRS. STERN: Yes, I do.

Mr. RETHER Although you know she is entitled to the presuption of imposence as she sits before you now, do you feel in your heart that she has the benefit of that presumption?

MR. NEITH: All right; that's what I am interested in. Thank you very much.

2.

13

14

14.

12

15 1.6

> 17 18

28

TLOE

THE COURT: All right. At this time we are going to take the afternoon recess.

tadies and gentlemen, you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone else, you are not to form any opinion or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

In addition, it would be inappropriate for you to read, see or hear any news media accounts of this care.

All right. The Court will be in recess until 20 minutes past the hour. I have got one matter to take up during the rocess.

So we will recess until 3:20.

All jurous present in the courtroom, the defendant and counsel are ordered to be present at 3:20.

the court is in recess as to this case.

THE BAILIPF: Would you just step night throught that door and into the jury room.

(Hecess.)

28

THE COURT: People versus Van Houten.

Let the record show the defendant is present and represented by counsel; the People are represented by counsel; the prospective jurors are in their appropriate places.

You may inquire, Mr. Kay, regarding publicity.

HR. KAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Miller, do you read any local newspapers?

MR, MILLER: Occasionally, yes.

MR. EAY: You don't subscribe to any?

MR. MILLER: No.

MR. KAY: Okay.

And have you read any articles about Leslie Van Houten in any newspapers this year?

MR. MILLER: No.

MR. EAY: Have you seen Miss Van Houten on TV at all this year?

MR. MILLER: No. no.

MR. KAY: Do you watch the nightly news on TV?

MR. MILLER: No. I'm usually working -- I don't get home until around midnight.

MR. KAY: You work the late shift at the hospital, 3:00 to 11:00, or something?

MR. MILLER: Well, from 7:00 in the morning until 11:00 at night.

MR. KAY: 7:00 in the morning until 11:00?

MR. MILLER: Yes.

MR. KAY: Oh, my goodness.

MR. MILLER! I'm home very little.

6

28:

19 fl

MR. KAY: Now, from reading --

Well, let me ask you this: Have you ever seen Mr. Reith on television or heard him on radio or read about him in the newspaper or magazine talking about Miss Van Houten?

MR. MILLER: No, not that I know of,

MR. KEITH: From what you know about this case, from seeing parts of the movie Helter Skelter, and from hearing news of it in the late '60s and early '70s, have you formed any opinion to the effect that Miss Van Houten might have been mentally ill or mentally unbalanced at the time of the LaBianca murders?

MR. MILLER: No.

2 may have perticipated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders
3 must have been crasy or mentally ill at the time of their
4 participation?

MR. MILLER: I don't -- no, I wouldn't say I have formed an opinion.

I would probably say they would have to be crary to do comething like that; but I would take it, you know, as a movie or story because I don't know — I don't know about it.

What I have read was a book that I read. It's a atory, so ---

MR. MAY: All right.

Hiss Van Houten entitled to a fair trial but the prosecution is entitled to a fair trial.

MR. MILLER: Right.

MR. KAY: Now, we have a burden in this case not only of proving Miss Van Houten's quilt but we have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that she had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated murder.

Do you think you can keep an open mind on that subjects

Mr. MILLER: Indeed so.

MR. MR: And been whatever decision you make, been it solely on the evidence you hear from the witness stand.

MR. NILLER: Whatever you two tell me.

Mr. Kill: Do you think, realizing what borden we have in

.24

25

26

27

1	this case, that you can give the prosecution a fair trial?
2	MR. MILITAR: I'M BUTE.
3	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name
4	Well, have you heard the name for Watcon?
5	MR. MILLER: Yes, I have heard that name.
6:	MR. KAYE Do you know who he was?
7	MR. MILLER: I know he was in the story.
8	MR. RAY: What about the name Linda Kasabian?
9	MR. MILLER: I know that was in the story also.
10	MR. KAY: Do you know who she is?
11	MR. MILLER: Just a character in the story: I know that.
12	MR. KAY: I don't remember whether you answered this
13	question for Mr. Keith:
14	Do you know what Manson and the Family meant by
15 1	the term "helter-skelter"?
16	MR. MILLER: (No response.)
1.7	MR. MAY: You don't have to tell me what it is, but do
18	you know in your own mind what
19	MR. MILLER: I don't know. I was confusing to me as to
20	what he meant, really.
21	MR. MAY: You never really understood what that meant.
22	MR. MILLER: No. I read what he said he thought it
24	meant, but, you know, it didn't register to me.
25	MR. RAY: Okay. Thank you very much.
26	Could you pass the microphone down to Mrs. Reagan.
27	Now, Mrs. Resgan, have you seen Leslie Ven Houton
28	on T.V. this year?
20	MIS. REAGAN: No. I haven't.

1 MR. KAY: Do you take a local newspaper? 2 MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I do. 3 MR. KAY: Which one? MRS. REAGAN: The Times. MR. KAY: And did you read the article on the front page of the Times which purported to be an exclusive interview with Hiss Van Houten? MRS. REAGAN: I don't remember. I do recall now -- which I didn't recensor a few ₹0minutes ago -- I do resember residing one thing within the last couple of months, I believe, shout the fact that her, oh, mental attitude had charged. 13 I don't remember exactly how it was stated, but, 14 you know -- and that's all I remember. 15 I don't really remember much else about the 16 article. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

MR. KAY: From reading that article and from other things you know about the case, have you formed any opinion that Miss Van Houten might have been mentally ill or mentally unbalanced at the time of the InBianca murders?

MRS. REMAN: Not for her in particular; but, you know, you just feel like compthing has to be wrong. It's not a normal reaction.

But I don't think I formed any particular opinion about her in particular, no.

MR. KAY: Well, now, as I told Mr. Miller, the prosecution's burden in this case --

MAS DEAGAN: Yes

Income if we want the jury to convict her of first degree purder — we have to prove that she had the mental capacity to commit a willful, deliberate, premeditated morder in the first degree.

Realizing that, do you think you can give the prosecution a fair trial?

MRS. REAGAN: I think so.

M. TAX: Do you --

ARC. REAGNE Youh. I don't know, you know; that question about whether a person is in their complete mental — have all of their mental capabilities at this point, when they do screening like — you know, commit whatever, are a part of this kind of thing; I don't know; I have some reservations as to bow I feel.

I don't -- feel there has to be something wrong,

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

13.

14

12

15 16

17

18

21

22

24

25 26 27

28

you know.

Mr. KAY: Well, now, do you think with that feeling you would require the prosecution to put on cyldenos to change your opinion shout that?

MRS. REAGAN: No. I think I would take - you know, I would just listen to the evidence on both sides and then form an opinion.

MR. KAY: Well, as we are starting out the trial obviously no one has put on any syldence yet.

MS. MANNE No. right.

MR. KAY: Do you feel you have an absolute open mind on the question of Laslie Van Routen's mental state at the time of the mirders, or do you feel that, well, you think that anybody who may have participated in the Tate or Lablanca murders just has to be crary, and you will want to see evidence from the stand that she wan't crasy or mbody is going to change your opinion?

the Mass assumes a don't know whather a would go so far that I'd have to see evidence that the wasn't crazy, but I'd have to feel there was something -- I mean, I do have a question about that: Tight.

'6. 

'

3

MR. KAY: Well, because of the question, do you think —because of the question you have in your mind, do you feel that the prosecution is starting out at a disadvantage if you were sitting as a juror in this case?

MRS. REAGAN: No, I don't think so. It's hard to say, but I don't think so.

MR. KAY: Well, do you think you would be -- kind of have a little slant to believe that maybe she was mentally unbalanced at the time unless you saw some real convincing testimony from the stand that she was mentally okay?

MRS. REAGAN: Well; no. I guess I couldn't say that I really would feel she was mentally unbalanced.

You know, there is a feeling in my mind that she was definitely mentally unbalanced; but I do not really think I could say that honestly.

So I think I'd be open, you know, at the start, without having this as a preconceived idea.

MR. KAY: Do you really think that any opinion you might have had — do you think you can put that aside and just decide the question of her mental state at the time of the LaBianca murders based on the evidence you hear in this courtroom?

MRS. REAGAN: I think so.

The best I can say is I think so. I feel that I could.

MR. KAY: Well, can you promise me that you will do that?

MRS. REAGAN: I certainly -- well, I would promise,

because I do feel that a person has a right, you know, to be proven guilty before -- either way, you know. But --

MR. KAY: That's right. I didn't want -MRS. REAGAN: -- before making a decision --

MR. KAY: I don't want to start off with two strikes against me. Because, as Miss Van Houten does not want to start out with two strikes against her, I do not --

MRS. REAGAN: Right, right. You are right.

MR. KAY: And we certainly do have the burden in a criminal case of proving the defendant's guilt or innocence.

MRS. REAGAN: Right.

MR. RAY: But we also have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that she had this mental capacity. And if a juror figures, well, just whoever was involved in this had to be crazy, then I'm starting out with two strikes against me.

MRS. REAGAN: Right, right. I do not think I'd put it that loosely. I don't think I'd feel that, you know, as I said, that anyone is crazy to be involved in something like this.

MR. KAY: Do you have any idea of what role, if any, Leslie Van Houten played in the LaBianca murders as you sit there now?

MRS. REAGAN: No. The only feeling I have about it is that, as I remember it, it was a minor part; but I don't -- you know, I don't really know what the part was. I could not tell you what part she played in it, no:

MR. KAY: And not knowing what part she played, do you think you can keep an open mind about what her mental state was

.2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11 12

13

14. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25<sup>.</sup> 26

27

28

at the time?

MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I think I could.

MR. KAY: Because you really do not know what her mental state was at the time, do you?

行动, 机压力的

MRS. REAGAN: No, no, no. It's true, I don't.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Have you heard the name --

Well, just a minute. I have one more.

Have you heard the name Linda Kasabian?

MRS. REAGAN: Yes, I've heard the name.

	ļ
1	MR. KAY: Do you know who Linda Kasabian is?
2	MRS. REAGAN: I couldn't tell you what her role was.
3	I just know the name. I know she was involved.
4	MR. KAY: Okay, thank you.
5	Mrs. Robinson?
6	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.
7	MR. KAY: Do you know who Linda Kasabian was?
8	MRS. ROBINSON: No, I don't.
9.	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Tex Watson?
10	MRS. ROBINSON: I don't recall,
11	MR. KAY: Have you heard the name Squeaky Fromme?
12	MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.
13	MR. KAY: Have you heard the term
14.	Do you know what the Manson family meant by the
15	term "holter-skelter"?
16	MRS. ROBINSON: No, I don't.
17	MR. KAY: Have you heard anything about Miss Van Houten
18	on the radio this year?
19	MRS. ROBINSON: No, I haven't.
20	MR. KAY: Have you seen her on TV?
21	MRS. ROBINSON: No.
22	MR. KAY: Do you read any of the local papers?
23	MRS. ROBINSON: I don't take the paper.
24	MR. KAY: Have you ever heard Mr. Keith on the radio or
25	on TV or read about him anywhere talking about Miss Van Houten?
26	MRS, ROBINSON: No.
27	MR. KAY: Have you formed any opinion, from what you
28	know about this case, about Miss Van Houten's mental state at

2 3

4

5.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25.

26

27

28

the time of the LaBianca murders?

MRS. ROBINSON: No. I haven't.

MR. KAY: Have you formed an opinion that anyone who participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders must have been crazy or mentally unbalanced at the time of their participation?

MRS. ROBINSON: tio.

MR. KAY: Do you have an opinion as to Miss Van Houten's mental state at any time in het life even as she sits there right now?

MRS. ROBINSON: No.

MR. KAY: And realizing what the prosecution's role is in this case, do you feel that you could give us a fair trial, too?

MRS. ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. KAY: Okay, thank you.

Could you pass the microphone back to Miss Shabazian.

Okay, since you have read "Helter Skelter" and saw the movie, I take it you know the different people I've mentioned.

Like, do you know who Linda Kasabian is?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.

MR. KAY: All right.

Have you formed any opinion about Miss Kasabian, about her veracity?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I haven t.

MR. KAY: And without telling me what it was, do you remember what Manson and the Family meant by the term "helter-

1	shelter"?
2.	MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.
3	MR. KAY: Oksy. I take it you have heard of Tex Watson?
4	MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes.
5	MR. KAY: And Squeaky Fromme?
.6	MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes.
7	MR. KAY: Do you read any of the local newspapers?
8	MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I don't.
ģ.	MR. KAY: Have you read any articles anywhere about
10	Miss Van Houten this year?
11	MISS SHABAZIAN: No. I haven't.
12	MR. KAY: Have you seen Miss Van Houten on TV this year?
13	MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I haven't.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Landard Control
19	
20	
21	第5章 15·4斯
22	
23	And the second s
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	•

20a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. KAY: Have you seen Mr. Keith on TV or heard him on the radio or read about him talking about Miss Van Houten this year?

MISS SHABAZIAN:

MR. RAY: All right.

From reading "Helter Skelter" and seeing the movie, and from whatever other background you have about this case, have you formed any opinion as to the fact that Leslie Van Houten might have been mentally unbalanced or mentally ill at the time of the LaBianca murders?

MISS SHABAZIAN: No, I haven't,

MR. KAY: Do you think you can keep an open mind on that subject?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.

MR. KAY: And realizing the burden that the prosecution has in this case about proving Miss Van Houten's mental capacity at the time of the LaBianca murders, do you think you can give us a fair trial?

MISS SHABAZIAN: Yes, I do.

MR. KAY: Okay, thenk you.

Could you pass the microphone down to Mrs. Snger.

Mrs. Snaer, do you watch the nightly news on TV?

MRS. SNAER: Not all the time.

MR. KAY: Well, when you do watch it, what channel do you watch?

MRS. SNAER: Well, 2 and 4; sometimes 2 and 4.

MR. KAY: Okay.

And you haven't seen Miss Van Houten on TV at all

1	this year?
2	MRS. SNAER: No. I haven't.
3	MR. KAY: All right.
4	Do you read any of the local papers?
5	MRS. SNAER: No, I don't.
6	MR. KAY: Have you read any articles anywhere about
7 ;	Miss Van Houten this year?
-8	MRS. SNAER: No. I have not.
9	MR. KAY: All right.
10	Have you seen Mr. Keith on TV or heard him on the
1:1	radio or read about him talking about Miss Van Houten?
12	MRS. SNAER: No, I have not.
13	MR. RAY: And have you formed any opinion about
14	Miss Van Houten's mental state at the time of the Labianca
15	murders?
16	MRS. SNAER: No, I have not.
17	MR. RAY: Have you formed an opinion about the mental
18 -	state of anyone who may have participated in the so-called
19	Tate-LaBianca murders at the time of their participation?
20	MRS. SNAER: No. I have not.
21	MR. RAY: Do you feel you could give the prosecution a
22	fair trial, realizing the burden we have of proving her
23	mental capacity at the time of the LaBianca murders?
24	MRS. SNAER: I feel that I could.
2,5	MR. KAY: Any doubt about thee?
26	MRS. SNAER: No.
27	MR. KAY: Okay.
28	MRS. SNAER: I haven't followed it that closely.

or something like that, or reopening, or whatever, 1 But that's about it. 2 MR. KAY: You didn't see her in person, though? 3 MRS. STERN: No. MR. KAY: You didn't hear her talking on television? 5 MRS. STERN: No. I did not. 6 MR. KAY: You didn't hear any interviews with her? 7 MRS. STERN: I beg your pardon? 8 MR. KAY: You didn't hear any interviews with her on TV? 9 MRS. STERN: No. I did not. 10 MR. KAY: Now, from what you know about this case, have 11 you formed any opinion as to Miss Van Houten's mental state 12 at the time of the LaBianca murders? 13 No, six MRS. STERM: 14 MR. KAY: Have you formed an opinion that anyone who 15 participated in the so-called Tate-LaBianca murders must have 1:6 been crary or mentally unbalanced at the time of their 17 participation? 18 MRS. STERN: Nos 19 20 21 22 23. 2425 26 .2728

21 fl

8

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21.

23

25:

27

vitranen.

	MR.	X	流	ALL	zight.	Do	Aon	think	Non	CAL	keep	故族	
open	mind	on	the	प्राप	notita	about	, Mil	sa Yan	Houl		man	ta L	state,
and h	asa j	<b>ZOUX</b>	Op	ink	m that	t you	wil.	L form	ulate	x at	NOTE:	t in	·
Dasad	sol	oly	OD:	the	avidor	ncer th	at :	rou ha	<b>以</b> 为	(CH) 1	he w	itne	185
stand	3												

MRB. STERNI YOU.

MR. KAY: And you feel that knowing the burden that the prosecution has in this case about proving Miss Van Houten's mental capacity at the time of the Labiance murders, do you think you can give us a fair trial?

MRS. STERM: Yes, I do.

MR. KAY: Okay.

Thank you very much.

for further questions.

THE COURSE ALL right.

Will counsel approach the bench, please.

The following proceedings were beld

at the bench:)

THE COURT: I take it there are no challenges.

MR. KAY: Nobe from me.

M. ATTALL Mann from me, Your Monor.

THE COURTS ALL right. We now have, counting these people, 69.

I propose we start tomorrow sorning with them.
I would like to have tomorrow sorning --

You were going to get me a typed list of the

MR. KAT: I already did. I gave it to the clerk about

26

27

28

a week ago. I gave you about five capies.

I don't have any secretarios ---

THE COURT: All right. If either counsel have any questions that they want me to ask the panel tomorrow on voir dire. I would like to have those in writing from you.

Mr. EAT: One thing I would ask, I note that there was a juror today that had her dark glasses on.

I wonder if the court could ask the jurous to remove dark glasses. I would like to watch their eyes.

THE COURTS ALL right; we will do that.

MR. KEITH: All right.

THE COURT: All right. We will recess at this time until tomorrow morning at 10:00 o'clock.

At that time we will have the full panel here, and we will etart with the voir dire.

MR. WAY: I think there is one question I would like Your Honor to make and that is if you could ask about the birth date of each juror, the month, day and year, during your general voir dire.

MR. MITTHE Are you going to consult an astrologist? MR. KAY: That's the only question I have. THE COURT: All right.

> (the following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the prospective jurors:)

THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gontlemen, the court is going to recess in this matter until temperoy morning. The bailliff will be instructed to take the jurors

14 A. M. M. A. M.

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 back down to Department 105.

2 tonight. The court will adsonish you there before leaving

> So the court will be in recess until temorrow morning at 10:00 a.m.

The defendant and councel are ordered to be present at that time.

Thank you.

(Recess.)

(The following proceedings were held in Department 106 in the absence of the defendant and both counsels)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and centlemen.

I must admonish you again that you are not to discuss this case amongst yourselves or with anyone class and you are not to form any opinion concerning this matter or express any opinion concerning this matter until the case is finally given to you.

In addition, you must not allow yourselves to read, see or hear any news media accounts of the proceedings on any matters related to this trial.

Now, transfer you are ordered to all return to this courtrons at 9:45 for further proceedings.

Sometime tomorrow the entire group will be brought up to the other courtross, and we will begin the general selection of the jury.

So have a good evening. He will see you tomorrow morning. Report here at 9:45, Department 106, on the 9th floor. 21-4

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES