IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

VS.

CHARLES WATSON,

Defendant-Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY HONORABLE ADOLPH ALEXANDER, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPTS ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

600 State Building

Los Angeles, California 90012

622-4191

For Defendant-Appellant:

CHARLES WATSON, In Persona Propria

Harold E. Cook and

Clair Van Vleck Official Reporters 111 North Hill Street

Los Angeles, California 90012

VOLUME

807 to 1070, incl. PAGES

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Let the record show all jurous are present.

Counsel and the defendant are present.

LILLIE HOCHMAN

BY THE COURT!

- Q Hrs. Hochman, can you give us the two months we need to try this case?
- A It would be a hardship on my daughter because the goes to UCLA and I keep track of her shildren. That will start the end of September.
- Q Until that time however you can remain with us as a juror?
 - A Yes. And I have more reasons besides.

The third case, phase of the trial, you know, the third trial -- I have been sitting here listening and I don't know if I could impose the death penalty.

- Q You don't know?
- A No.
- Q Well, we are trying to find out whether you could. You see the law places that burden upon the jury.
 - A I know.
- Q It is not a pleasant burden. We know that, but if you felt the facts warranted it, do you still feel you would not impose the death penalty?
- A I really don't know. Theoretically if semebody would ask me if I were against capital punishment, I would say me. Yet, to face it individually I don't really know.

I have been trying to examine my conscience for

27 28

26

1	the last two days and I really don't know,
.2 ·	Q If you don't know we certainly don't know either,
3	Mrs. Hochman. Will you do your duty!
.4	A Definitely.
5 :	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12,	10 年 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
13	
14	
15	
16	大概有点,各种工作类别的。
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	•

3

5

7

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

And if I told you that you can either impose life Q imprisonment or the death penalty, it is up to you entirely and that is the law, would you follow that law?

This is the part that I'm worried about, because I cannot -- I'm not sure, really.

In other words, you don't want to serve as a juror in this case; is that right, Mrs. Hochman?

I would appreciate it if I were excused.

THE COURT: Well, I guess all of us would, but some of us have to do our duty. Gentlemen, may she be excused?

By stipulation, she may be excused. MR. BUCLIOSI:

MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Monor.

THE CLERK: Regine A. Halpin, H-a-1-p-i-n.

REGINA A. HALPIN.

BY THE COURT; Q Is that Miss or Mrs. Halpin?

Mrs.

Mrs. Helpin, can you give us the two months we need 0 to try this case?

Yas.

Q You can?

À Yes. sir.

Have you served as a juror before? Q

A No. sir.

Tell me this, would you automatically vote against Ŏ. the imposition of the death penalty in this case, regardless of what might be developed at this trial?

Yes, I would.

10£.

•	signing agriculty and an analysis and bear and accompanied
2.	MR. BUSLICGI: Yes.
3	MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE CLERK: Miss Eugenia Greene, The first name is
5	spelled E-u-g-s-n-1-s, G-r-s-s-n-s.
6	
7	MISS EUGENIA GREEKE
8	BY THE COURTS
9	Q Miss Greene, can you give us the two months we
10	meed to try this case?
11	A I am not sure at this time, Judge. I have been
12	unable to contact my boss,
13	Q Who is your boss?
14	A I work for the child care and development services
15	as a teacher, head-start teacher. I called and left my name
16	and number at the answering service and I haven't heard
17	from them today.
18	Q When do you resume your teaching?
19	A September.
2 0 .	Q September what?
21	A I think about the 2nd or 3rd, you know, around
22	the first of September.
23	Q Would it work a hardship on you to remain with
24	us until the conclusion of this case?
25	A I think so because I have been off all summer.
26	Q You have not been paid all summer you mean?
27	A Well, yes, part of the summer.
28	Q If you are not there, is there anybody to take

Somebody can take your place

Just what is your difficulty in having a substitute er to the state of

Well, it would be a hardship on me because unless I can find out if I can be paid -- and this I won't know until

You don't know when you will be able to talk to

Possibly today when I get home.

We intend to recess early today anyway and possibly during that time you can find out.

I will try and contact him.

Let me ask you: Is your attitude toward the death penalty such that you would automatically vote against the death penalty regardless of what the evidence might show

No. it is not.

You understand that you have the sole right to determine life or death should you come back with a first degree murder conviction?

You would be willing to assume that burden, would you?

A Yes.

27

28

Miss Greene, there is no doubt that you heard about Q

27

28

the Hanson o	***
--------------	-----

- A Yes. I did.
- Q Now, this defendant was not a defendant in the Henson case. You understand that?
 - A I understand that,
- Q Insofer as he is concerned, he is presumed to be imposent of these charges.
 - A Yes.
- Q And the prosecution must prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - A Yes, I understand.
- Q You can find him guilty of one or more or neas of these offenses.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q De you think you think you can forget everything you heard about the Manson case and give this defendant and the people both a fair trial?
 - A Yes.
- Q Gan you give them a fair trial based only upon the evidence you hear in this case and the law as I will give it to you?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Maye you ever served as a jurge?
 - A No. I have not.
- Q You heard the names called off of the different dectors.
 - A Yes

10-4 1 Q The policemen? 2 Á Yes. 3 Q Lawyers? Yes. 5 Do you knew any of them? Q A No. 7 Outside of the amployment problem, which you are Q 8 going to try to straighten out for us over the weekend, do 9 you know of any reason why you could not be a juror fair to 10 the people and fair to the defendant? 11 No. I do not. 12 THE COURT: You may inquire. 13 BY MR. KEITH: Mrs. Greene, hew long have you been Q 14. teacher for head-start? 15 About five years. A 16 Is there a Mr. Greene? 0 17 Hos 18 I'm sorry. I have it "Mrs. Greene." 19. Tes. 20 Do you number smong any of your close friends or 21 relatives persons engaged in law enforcement activity? 22 A Ho. Have you ever been the victim of any type of crime 23 24 of violence? 25 No. I have not. 26 Maye you studied psychistry at all in consection 27 with your teaching activities? 28 Not psychiatry -- psychology, just the basic A

1 .

Ż

3,

.6

__

--

psychology is child psychology.

Q I would gather than as a result of your apparent interest in child psychology, that you wouldn't hold it against Mr. Watson if psychiatrists appear here in court and testify on his behalf concerning his mental capacity and mental condition?

Frank Film

A Wo, sir, I would not.

have you formed any opinion at this time as to Mr. Watson's guilt or innocence?

- A No. I have not.
- Q Has your attitude towards Hr. Watson been colored in any respect by reason of knowing now, if you didn't before, about the outcome of the Manson case?
 - A No.
- narcotics and dangerous drugs, would that in any way influence you to return a verdict of first degree mirder against him, solely because --
 - A No. it wouldn't.
 - Q -- he was a heavy drug user?

In other words, would you so dislike him because of his activities --

- A No. I wouldn't.
- Q -- in that field that you'd cast him aside and give him short shrift and not listen to his side of the case?
 - A Mo, I would not.
- Q With regard to the death penalty issue, which you realize now, I'm sure, that we may never get to, but we have to talk about it now -- what is your attitude toward capital punishment, as you sit here now, or would you like time to think about, before reaching any -- I'm not talking about the facts of the case on Mr. Watson, but just hypothetically, theoretically.
- A Well, I have thought about it quite a bit and I can't really say whother I'm really for it or against it. It

1 .	all depends on the case.
2	So if the issue were on a ballot as an abstract
3	propesition, you don't know how you'd vote now?
4	A I think I would vote for it.
5	Det you're not sure?
6	A I'm not sure.
7	Q You would have no hesitation, however, in return-
8	ing a werdict of life imprisonment, if we ever get that far,
.9	if you felt that this was the proper punishment, would you?
10	A No, I wouldn't.
н	Q Even though you might think that popular sentiments
12	were against you?
13	A No, I wouldn't.
.14	Q That wouldn't affect or color your judgment in
15	this case, would it?
16	A No.
17	Q You'd make up your own mind?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you believe in the theory or concept of retribu-
20	tion, an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth?
21	A No, I de not.
22	Q How long have you been a teacher, overall, Miss
23	Greene?
24	A I was a teacher just five years; before that, I
25	vas a bookkeeper.
26	Q And who were you a bookkeeper for?
27	A For Amita Frocks, Incorporated.
28	Q Do you have a mester degree in education?

	1
1	A No, I do not.
2	Q Or anything like that?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you major in psychology in school?
5	A No, I didn't.
6.	Q Have you done much reading about drugs, such as
7	LSD, hashish
8 .	A No, very little.
9	Q methedrine?
10	A Very little.
11	Q Do you have any opinions at the present time as
12	to how such drugs may or may not affect adversely the human
ļ3 .	wind?
14	A I have some, but
15	Q . Would you forget about such opinions that you may
16	have on the subject of drugs and their effect on the human
17 5.	mind and listen to the evidence in this case and form your
18, 🖽	opinions from such evidence
19	A Yes
20	Q whatever your opinion might be after listening
21	to the evidence?
22	
23	Q Have you ever visited a psychiatrist, yourself?
24	A No, I have not,
25	Q Or has anybody near or dear to you ever been
26	treated
27	A No.
28	Q or examined by a psychiatrist?
	ę

12£

THE CLERK: William E Carboni. G-a-r-b-o-n-i.

.

2 3

1

-4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26 27

28

WILLIAM F. CARROWI

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Carboni, can you give us the two months we meed to try this case?

A I can, but I think it would be a hardship. I have applied for jobs.

I have sixendy sent out resumes and if I have to tell them that I won't be available for two or three months then I wouldn't be able to turn in my resignation with my present employer. It might jespordize getting my new job.

Q Turn in your resignation? I don't understand what

when you will be available. I would have to apply that I souldn't be available for two or three months until this trial was over. Then I wouldn't be able to turn in my resignation with my present employer. I think that might jeapordize my getting the job.

- Q Are you presently employed?
- A Yes,
- Q And you are concerned about a new job?
- A Yes.
- Q In what field are you?
- A Civil engineering.
- Q Do you have any prospects of an immediate job if it becomes available?

25.

Q

A	I haven't received any replies yet. I don't know.
Q	Not that I am an expert, but I think you had better
hold ont	o the job you have got right now.
A	I intend to.
Q	Is that the only problem that is bothering you?
A	Yes.
Q	De you think you could sit here for two menths
with us	without worrying too much about that?
A	Well, if they would offer me an immediate job
and I ha	d to turn it down, it might affect me.
Q	You have been sending out these resumes for how
long?	
Á	I did it two weeks ago. I sent out just the initia
batch.	
, Q	Do you have any reason to believe that you are
going to	get a reply from what you sent out?
À	Tes.
Q	Mr. Carboni, I don't think that is sufficient
grounds	to exause you.
	Tell me, Mr. Carboni, is your attitude toward the
death pe	nalty such that you would automatically vote against
it regar	dless of what the evidence might develop?
A	No.
Q	Is your attitude toward a capital crime such as
you woul	d automatically vote for life imprisonment regardless
of what	the evidence might develop!
	40

In other words, you appreciate that you have that

1	choice and	you alone have that choice?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And you are willing to assume that burden; is that
4	correct?	
.5	A ·	Tes.
6.	Q	You have heard all the names called off by Mr.
7	Degliosi a	nd Mr. Key.
8		Any doctor or lawyer or policemen's name sound
9	familiar t	o you at all?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Other than what you have heard here, do you
12	know anyth	ing about Mr. Watson?
13	A	Other than what I have read in the paper, no.
14	Q	You realize that in the Manson, so-called Manson
15	esse, Hr.	Watson was not a defendant?
16 '	A	Yes,
17	Q	In this case he is presumed to be innocent and
18	the burden	rests with the prosecution to prove him guilty
19	heyond a r	essemble doubt.
20		Do you understand that?
21	A	
22	Q	Do you know any lawyer in this case?
23 ,	.	No.
24	Q	You heard a lot of questions asked of the jurors,
25		Other than the personal ones, if you were asked
26	the same q	westions, would your enswers be substantially the
27	*******	
28	A	Yes.

12-3

12-4

Q De you know of any reason at all why you could not be fair to the people, fair to this defendant, and decide this case only upon the evidence you hear and the law as I shall state it to you?

A No. I dea't.

THE COURT: Gentlemen.

Q MY MR. MUBRICK: Mr. Carboni, from what you have said in response to the judge's question, I know that you are a civil engineer.

Are you self-employed or do you work for a firm?

A I work for the State of California, Division of Highways.

- Q Is that here in the Los Angeles area?
- A Yes
- Q Is there a Mrs. Cerboni?
- A Yes.
- Q Is she employed outside of the home?
- A She does temporary secretarial work.
- Q Secretarial work?
- A Right,
- Q For what sort of a firm?

A She works for Stivers, temperarily, an agency which places her with other companies.

Q May I ask you, sir, whether you have ever been the victim of an assaultive crime?

- A Mo, I haven't.
- Q How about Mrs. Carboni?
- A Xo.

L2-5	1	Q	Do you have smong your friends people involved in					
	Ž	law enforc	ement?					
	3	A	Not close friends, no.					
	4	Q	Do you have any that you see on a fairly regular					
	5	basis?	·					
	6	A.	io.					
	7	Q	Do you know any people whom you see regularly who					
	8	ever were victims of any assaultive conduct?						
	.9	A .	Mo.					
	10	Q	Have you ever studied in the field of law or read					
	11-	in the fie	ld of law?					
	12	٨	No.					
	13 ·	Q	Now about the field of psychology or psychiatry?					
.	14	A	No.					
	15	Q	Do you know enybody yourself, Mr. Carboni, who has					
	16	ever gone	to a psychiatrist?					
	17	A	Yes,					
	18	Q	And did you know that person before the course of					
	19 .	trestment	started?					
	20`	A	Yes.					
	21	Q	And after the treatments were over?					
	2 ,2-	A	Well, she is still it is not over.					
	23	Q	Still involved?					
	24	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	25	Q	De you have a feeling or epinion, Mr. Carboni,					
	26.	about the	relative merits of psychiatry is modern day society?					
	27	A	Tes.					
	28	Q	Do you feel there is a place for a psychiatrist in					
	28	Q						

ŀ

3

4 5

6

7 8

Q

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

modern day society?

Yes.

And do you think those people are capable of Q interpreting human behavior?

Yes

Is there enything that you know about your friend's relationship with payehiatry, or treatment with psychiatrists, that leads you to believe that a psychiatrist is one whose testimony you would autometically reject?

A Mox

Let me ask you, Mr. Carboni, if in view of everything you have read or heard about the Tete-La Bience surders on the Manson trial, if you can call it that, that puts you in a frame of mind such that you think you may be unable to give Mr. Watson a fair and impartial trial?

No. I den't think so. A.

Were you aware before arriving in court teday of Q the outcome of the Masson trial?

Yes.

You knew of course them Mr. Manson and the three 0 females all suffered the death penalty?

A Yes.

Knowing that and knowing as you must by this time that Mr. Watson was one involved with Mr. Manson, would that fact prejudice you so that you couldn't give Mr. Watsen a fair and impartial trial?

Ho.

Q No you have any feelings about -- I am sure you

28

Now, you have indicated in response to the judge's questions that you have no feeling about the death penalty

4,

5

6

7

R 9.

10

11

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

which would prevent you from imposing it, if you felt the facts justified it: is that correct?

Á Yes.

Knowing what you know about this case, in that it 0 is a case involving multiple killings -- at least, allegations of multiple -- that you may have a chance to find the defendant guilty of any number from one to seven, would that fact, in and of itself, prejudice you so that you would be more inclined to impose the death penalty than otherwise?

I wouldn't do it automatically.

In other words, you were telling me, then, that even though you may find this defendant guilty of multiple killings, you will still listen to everything that is to be said about him and all other evidence, and take into consideration everything that you have heard, before you make up your mind?

Q if if you convict him of multiple killings, will you foreclass your mind to all other material that he may have a chance to tell you about?

No.

Do you feel, by virtue of all the publicity that is attendant on the past trial, that you are under some sort of compulsion to return a verdict of death in this case?

A No.

Have you ever been a member of any organization that sought to actively retain capital punishment?

A No.

12

13

10

11

14 15

17

16

18

20

21¹ 22

23

25 26

.27

Q Do you have any friends that you see fairly regularly who feel that way about it?

A No.

Q Let me ask you, then, Mr. Carboni -- is the \$64 question: Is there anything you can tell me as a result of what you have heard presented in this court by anybody involved that leads you to believe that you can't be fair and impartial to both sides in this proceeding?

À Mo,

Q Now, aside from the fact that you may have prospects of a job in the offing, is there any reason that you can tell us, aside from that, that leads you to believe that you would prefer not to sit as a juror?

A Not saids from that,

Q And do you have any feeling that if a job offer came along, if you found out about it and you were sitting as a juror, that that might sort of hasten your desire to proceed with this matter?

À Mo.

Q You don't believe that you would be so distressed by the failure of an opportunity to respond to any job offer, assuming you got one, that you might pay something less than full attention to the proceedings here?

A I would still respond to the job offer, but I don't even see how I could speed things up and I wouldn't, anyhow.

Q You realize the defendant, if he ever got into the case where you are going to deliberate, would be entitled to

ļ In other words, if a pollster came by and asked Q you if you were for or against it, you would say, "I'm for it"? 8. 斯特德 斯里特多斯特 .28

13af.

1

3

5 6

Ź

.8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

. 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Can you conceive of, in your own mind, a situation where the proper punishment for a crime would be death?

A Yes.

Q And you have determined, I take it, in your own mind, that if the evidence warranted it that you, yourself, could impose the death penalty?

A Yes.

Q Even after seeing in this case, after seeing Mr. Watson for eight weeks, Monday through Friday, that you could come in and, if you felt the evidence warranted it, that you could tell him that he had to die for the crimes that he committed?

A It the evidence warranted it, yes, I could.

Q And I take it that you don't feel any moral or religious belief that you presently hold would prevent you from voting for the death penalty?

A Mo.

Q Is your wife or anyone in a close relationship, close friend or relative, against the death penalty to the extent that you think they would try and influence you to vote for a life verdict, if we got into the third phase of this trial?

A Xo.

Q Now, is this person that is visiting a psychiatrist, is this a close friend or a relative?

A She's a friend.

Q In other words, not a relative?

A No.

Q Do you have any objection to the fact that in this

courtroom the jurors are the ones that decide the questions of diminished capacity or insanity or sanity and not the psychiatrists?

Do you have any objection to that?

A No.

Q In other words, you won't try and pass the buck to the psychiatrists?

A No.

Now, do you realize in this courtroom -- and I am sure you have heard the questioning of the other jurors and his Honor's instructions that he read on legal insanity -- that this is a precise, narrow instruction and that is what we are dealing with, legal insanity.

Do you understand that?

A . Yes.

Q And you understand a person could possibly be mental ill or mental abnormal and still not be legally income?

A I understand that.

Q And I take it that if you felt that at the time of the seven Tate-La Bianca murders that Hr. Watson knew he was killing somebody and knew that this was wrong in the eyes of society, that you would not hesitate to bring in a verdict that he was same at the time of the murder?

A No, I wouldn't.

Q And you understand that the first phase of the trial, the one we are talking about diminished capacity, that diminished capacity, alone, is not enough.

It means that his capacity has to be so diminished

28

26

27

144.

A No.

Q And do you think it is wrong to place a burden on the defendant at the second stage of this trial to prove to the jury that he is insane?

A No.

Q Now, have you or has any of your close friends or relatives ever been accused or charged for the crime by any governmental agency?

A No.

Q Such as law enforcement, or so on -- would you give any less weight to the testimony of a witness because that witness was a police officer?

A No. I wouldn't.

新加州

2

Š

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.

27

28

Q	* * ·	Do	you feel	any	bian	OX.	prejudice	against	police
							•		*,
officers	ţò	any	degree	MINE	todae:				,
4	*		*						

- No.
- Q Have you ever studied law?
- A Mo.
- Q Do you have any friends or relatives who are involved in the defense of crimisal cases?
 - A Mo.
 - Q Private eyes, public defenders?
 - A Mo.
- Q Is there enything that either Mr. Bubrick or I haven't asked you that you feel would be of interest to me in making a determination of whether or not to seat you as a juror in this case?
 - A I can't think of any,
- Q Do you think you would be just as fair to the People as you could be to the defense in this case?
 - A Yes, I could,
- HR. KAY: I thank you wery much. Pass for cause, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: The defense has the next challenge.
- MR. BUBRICK: We will thank and excuse Juror No. 2, Mrs. HeMurtry.
 - THE COURT: You may be excused.
 - THE CLERK: George A. Chant, C-h-s-n-t.

.25

26 -

27

28

GRORGE A. CHANT.

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Chant, you heard a great deal about this case by now, have you not?
 - A I believe so, yes.
- Q Can you give us the two months we need to try this case?
 - A I think I can.
 - Q ... How about your attitude toward the death penalty?
- A I am opposed to capital punishment. I voted against it. I'm very opposed to it.
- Q Even though you were opposed to it -- a good many of us are -- but if you are instructed under the law that you have the right to, if we come to that phase of the case, to impose either the death penalty or life imprisonment, would you follow that instruction?
 - A I don't think I could, no, sir.
- Q Do you mean that you are so opposed to the death penalty that regardless of what the evidence might show you, you would automatically vote against the death penalty?
- A I would. I have listened to different ones speak on the subject and I have made up my mind thoroughly that I don't believe in capital punishment at all.
- Q Now, you're talling us that is automatically you could not impose the death penalty, regardless of what the evidence was?
 - A Mo. It is not religious; it is my own belief.

 THE COURT: Do you gentlemen wish to inquire any further?

MR. BUBRICK: 1 MR. BUGLIOSI: No. 2 May the juror be excused by stipulation? 3 MR. BUBRICK: So atipulated. THE COURT: He may be excused. 5 Vernon Johnson, J-c-h-n-s-o-n. THE CLERK: 6 7 VERNON JOHNSON. 8 BY THE COURT: 9 Mr. Johnson, you have heard a great deal of this Ű. 10. case by now, have you not? 11 Yes, sir. 12 No. 1, can you give us the two months we need to 13 try this case? 14 Yes, sir. 15 How about your views toward capital pusishment? 16 Are your views such that you could not or that you would auto-17 matically vote against the death penalty, regardless of what 18 the evidence may develop in this case? 19 20 No. Now. I would ask you whether you would automatic-21 ally vote for life imprisonment, regardless of what the evidence 22 might develop in this case. What would your answer be? 23 24 I take it then you now know that it is the jury's * 25 function and only the jury's function to impose either the ~.26 death penalty or life imprisonment? 27 28

·通过在一直的数据。

1	A I think I can give him a fair trial.
2	Q Give him a fair trial and hang him, is that what
3	you mean?
4	A Not exactly.
5	Q Not exactly. Well, from what you say, Mr. Johnson,
6	it is questionable whether you can give this defendant a fair
7	trial?
8	A Yes, sir.
9 '	Q And that is not fair to either the People or the
10-	defendant. You realize that. You prefer not to sit on this
11	case. Is that correct?
12	A Mo, I don't prefer it at all.
13	Q You mean, even though you'm prejudiced against this
14	defendant, you still want to sit as a juror and try him?
15	A Well, somehody got to sit here.
16	THE COURT: Gentlemen, may be be excused?
17	MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Honor.
18	MR. NUGLIOSI: Yes, by stipulation.
19	THE COURT: By stipulation.
20	HR. KEITH: Yes.
21	THE COURT: You are excused.
22	THE CLERK: Roger A. Haines.
23	
24	ROGER A. HATNES,
25	BY THE COURT:
26	Q Mr. Heines, you have been here for quite a while
27	now and you know the kind of a jury we are trying to get,
28	somebody who will give both sides a fair trial in this case?

Yes.

15%

ĺ

3

4

5.

Ğ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

MELVIN N. NICKERSON

خدكسد		A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T
	THE	COUNT !
-		

- Mr. Micharson, how about the time element, can Q you give us the two months we need?
 - Yes, sir.
- Now about your attitude toward the death penalty: If it ever comes to that phase of this case, is your attitude such that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty regardless of what might be developed from the avidence in this case?
 - No. sir.
- Would you atuomatically vote for life imprisonment under the same circumstances?
 - ٨ Ho. sir.
- In other words, you appreciate the fact that the penalty is up to the jury, entirely?
 - That's correct, sir.
- And you will exercise your own discretion in determining what penalty to be imposed?
 - . Tesa sira
 - Maye you sat as a juror before?
 - Mo. sir.
- 0 Maye you heard the names called off by Mr. Bugliosi and Hr. Kay, the doctors and the lawyers and the pelicemen?
 - Yes, six, I have,
 - 0 Did any of themsound familiar to your
 - No. sir they do not. A

15-2

2

1

3

·4 5

6

'7' 8

9 10

11

12·

14

15 16

17

18

19

20.

21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28 Q Now, you have heard a lot of questions being put to our prospective jurors.

Other than those that are purely personal, if you were asked the same questions would your ensures be substantially the same?

- A Yes, sir, it would be,
- Q Now, you know we are trying hard here to get a jury who can be fair to the people and fair to this defend at and decide this case based only on the evidence you hear in this case and the law as I shall state it to you.

Can you be that type of a jurge?

- A Yes, sir,
- Q Ho doubt you have heard about the Manson case, too?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q And you have heard me say time and time again that the defendant Watson was not a defendant in that case.
 - A Yes, sir,
- Q Regardless of what the evidence showed in that case, in this case this defendant Watson is presumed to be innocent.

De you understand that?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And will you give him the benefit of that presumption?

A Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Centlemen, will you approach the bench, please? (Unreported discussion between court and counsel.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will recess at this time until 1:45. There is a judges' meeting I

15-3

2

1

3

5

6 7

9

10 11

12

13

14. 15

16

17.

18

19

.20

21 22

23

24

25.

26

27 28

must attend.

1:45.

During the recess, again, do not form or express any opinion in this case; do not discuss amongst yourselves or with anybody esta, and please keep your minds open,

I might tell you that we will recess at 3:00 efclock, so if you want to make an appointment after 3:00, you may do so.

各种的部分

Sall March

(The noon recess was taken until 1:45 p.m. of the same day,)

26 27

28

los angeles, california, priday, august 6, 1971; 1:45 P.M.

THE COUNT: People against Watson,

Let the record show all jurers are present and in their places.

> The defendant and all counsel are present. You were just about to talk to Mr. Nickerson,

- Q BY MR. KEITH: Mr. Wickerson -- right?
- À Yes.

counsel.

- Could you tell us what your escupation is, sir! Q
- A I am a bus driver for the Tederal Government.
- I didn't know the Federal Government drove buses or Q eperated buses.
 - He has me to do it for him. A.
- Does this bring you in contact with any federal or federal law enforcement people?
- Not be the scale -- once in a while they have a marchal to bring someone out there or they have a marchal come in and pick semione up.
- De you transport federal prisoners or something Q like that?
 - No. just patients. À
 - Q In what seamection, when you say petints?
- Recreation, bellgames, fights, Scandias Restaurant, reller derby, picnicks.
 - Are you talking about transporting federal Q

6-2	ŀ	*mployees?
. 4	3	

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22.

23

24

25

26

- No. patients. A
- Patients from --Q
- Patients and members from the home to these different places that I go to.

- What home, sir. Q
- From the YA hope, federal Veterans Hospital.

THE COURT: Now, how do you join this club?

THE JUNGA: It is quite a thing.

- MY MR. KEITH: How long have you been at that 0 delightful occupation?
 - 21 years.
- Q Are any of the patients that you transport what you might describe as mental patients?
 - About four months out of the year, yes.
- You transport solely people who are at the Q Veterans Hospital for emotional and psychiatric problems?
 - They go out on recreation trips, yes.
- Bearing in mind that psychiatry may play as important role in this particular case, would the more fact that you transport from time to time mental patients from the Veteran Hospital in some way make it difficult for you to be a fair juror in this case, knowing what you do about illnesses of the human mind?
 - Me. I den't think so.
- No you have any close contact with any of the Ø doctors at the Veterans Hospital?
 - A Not personally, no.

28

1	Q	Did you ever talk to any of the psychiatrists on
:2	the staff	
3	Ά.	Me, sir, we don't talk.
4	Q	Have you interested yourself in psychiatry at all?
5	A	No, not particularly. I am interested in my job
6	ealy.	
7	Q	Is there a Mrs. Mickerson?
8 .	A	Yes.
9 ,	Q	Is she employed outside the home?
10	A	Yes,
ļl	Q	What deas she do?
12	A '	She is an expense accountant for the Yederal
13	Aviation A	gency,
14	Q	And de you have children, siri
15	A	One,
Ĭ6 ·	Q	Bey or girl?
17	A	Zey,
18	Q	How eld is he?
19	A	28.
20	Q	What is his occupation?
21	A	Data processing at the moments.
22	Q	Fer what company?
23 . 	A	Federal Gevernment also.
244.	Q	The fact that your whole family apparently is
25	employed b	y the government, that isn't going to make you side
26	with the p	reseaution in this case, is it?
17	Å,	No.
8	Q	Mexely begause the prosecution represents the

16-4	i.	people, shall we say. We are people too. They represent us	
	2		
	3	A Right, Me, that would have no bearing whatsoever	•
	4	Q The prosecution is a governmental agency.	
	5	A Tone	
	6	Q Have you or enyone near and dear to you ever been	
	7	the victim of a violent crime?	
	8	A No.	•
1.7	9		
	10	the second secon	
	11		
	12′		
	13		
	14		
.	15		
	16		
	17		
	18	•	
-	19		
	20 21		
	22		
	23		
	24	•	
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	·		

A	No.
Q	Have you read any on the field of drug use and
abuse?	·
A	Not enough to give an opinion on it, just
Q	Just random articles
A	That's right.
Q	and newspaper reports?
A	Mewspapers, magazines.
Q	And things like that?
A	That's right.
Q	And did you know much about the Manson case before
you came :	to court the other day?
A	No, not too much so. I have read some of it
newspaper	and TV, that's all, not enough to form an opinion.
Q	Had you known of the outcome of that case before
you came	to court?
A	Yes, I did.
Q	And as a result of having known the outcome and
now knowl	ng that Mr. Watson is charged with the same homicides
that Mr.	Manson was convicted of, do you have any opinion as
to whether	r Mr. Watson is more likely to be guilty then
innocent	■
A	No, I don't.
Q	Because the offenses are the same?
A	No, I don't.
Q	You understand he's presumed innocent and you are

the milieu in which he found himself at the time?

27

28

1	r dem serry an Yet source exacting.
2	Q You wouldn't be influenced in any way by the
3	publicity in this case?
4	A No.
5	Q Or in the Hanson case?
6	A Yo.
7	Q You wouldn't be influenced in any way by what
8	you might balieve popular sentiment dictated?
9	A No. I wouldn't.
10	Q Do you believe in the concept of retribution, an
11	eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth, as a valid concept?
12	A No, I don't.
13	Q Is there anything you can think of that I haven't
14	saked you that you'd like to tell us about, bearing on your
15	fitness to sit as a fair juror?
ļ 6	A Nothing; just strictly keep an open mind and
17	listen to the facts, that's all.
18.	M. KETTH: Pass for cause.
19 .	THE COURT: Prosecution?
20. ·	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
21	Q . Mr. Mickerson, you can give both sides a fair trial
22	
23	A Yes, sir.
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
25	THE COURT: West challenge for the People,
26	MR. BUCLIGET: People thank and excuse Mrs. Greens.
27	THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Greene; you may be excused.
28	

#18

.2

1

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Q You realize you have the choice, if we came to that question of life imprisonment or death, that is entirely within your discretion.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes, your Honor.
- Q The law does not favor one or the other.
- A Correct,
- Q And you are willing to assume that duty?
- A Well, it would be hard, your Monor, because I am a Christian. However I would vote for the death penalty, so if I would vote for it on the ballot, I would automatically want to back up what I would vote, so I would but it would be bard.
- Q Well, many people are opposed to the death penalty, Mrs. Oreskovich. Many people are, but if you are chesen as a juror, it will be your duty to decide which penalty to impose in this case if you find him guilty in the first degree.

Can you do that?

- A Yes, your Honor.
- Q Now, you have heard us talk about the presumption of innocence. This defendant is presumed to be innocent and the burden rests with the presecution to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

No doubt you have heard about the Manson case and the Tate-La Bianca murders.

- A Yes.
- Q Again this defendant was not a defendant in that case and regardless of what you heard in that case, are you

27

28

willing to forget that and decide the guilt or innocence on all the issues of this case based only upon the evidence you hear in the case and the law as I shall state it to you?

- A Yes, your Honor.
- Q In other words, as you sit there now you have no prejudice against this defendant at all; is that correct?
 - A Correct, your Honor.
- Q You have beard us say time and time again we are trying to get a jury here who will be just as fair to the people as to this defendant and who will fairly try all the issues in this case based only on the evidence and the law.

Can you be that kind of a jurez?

- A I sould, your Honor.
- Q Have you sat as a juror before?
- A I just started Monday.
- Q Mave you sat on no cases before?
- A Correct.
- Q You have heard the names of the doctors, the policemen and the lawyers?
 - A 2 hnow no one.
 - Q You know no one and you know no counsel?
 - A Correct your Honor.
- Q Is there snything you think you should tell us that might go to your qualifications as a juror?
- A Well, perhaps the defense would be interested to know that my daughter is having a baby about the lat of October, but it wouldn't make any difference to me.
 - Q It might make a lot of difference when you become

Mary Mary

1	a grandmother. It makes a lot of difference.
2	A I have been once before.
3.	Q Then you know!
4	A That is right.
5	Q Well, I don't think that would prevent you.
6	A It certainly shouldn't.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Mr. Bubrick.
9	Q BY MR. BUBRICK: Mrs. Oreskovich, are you employed
10	outside the home?
11	A My kusband and I are self-employed. We have a
12	film processing equipment company and he operates it, which
13	he is doing now,
14	Q Does any of your filming work that you do have any-
15	thing to do with drugs, or have you done anything along that
16	lime?
17	A No.
18	Q We know at least you have one daughter who is about
19	to become a mother.
20	A Yes, I have a son that is about to become 25 years
21	old, another son that is married and working. He was laid off
22	at Lockheed and is working at Douglas.
23	Q What does your 25-year old son do?
24	A Right now he is surfing.
25	Q Surfing!
26	A Correct, sir. He works at a ski resort in the
27	winter driving a truck.
.28	Q I take it nobody in the family then has ever been

	€
1	involved with any sort of law enforcement work.
.2	A Correct, str.
3	Q May I ask you please then have you ever been the
4	victim of any sort of assaultive orime?
5	A No.
6	Q How about Mr. Oreskovich?
7	A No. sir.
8	Q Any of the children so far as you know?
9 : .	A No. sir.
10	Q Anybody close to you that you see quite frequently
11	A No.
12	Q Have you ever witnessed a crime being committed?
13	A No. sir.
14	Q Have you ever had occasion to be a witness for the
15.	prosecution in a criminal case?
16	prosecution in a criminal case?
17	Q Have you ever studied in the field of psychology or
18	psychiatry?
19.	A College, basic psychology.
20	Q Anything other than that?
21	A Ho, sir.
22	Q That was probably at least last week or the week
23	before that. Is there enything about that course, you know,
24	that stays in your mind at the moment?
25	. A No, sir.
26	Q And if semething should come up during the cour ""
27	of these proceedings that is different than what you recall
28	from your course in psychology. I assume that you will shide

of first degree murder?

A Each individual -- each person is an individual, sir.

Q And you must realize by now, of course, that this is a case that involves multiple killings,

Is your frame of mind such at this moment, Mrs. Oreskovich, that if you found this defendant guilty of first degree murder on more than one count, you would be inclined to impose the death penalty?

A No. sir, I wouldn't.

Q Will you take that fact into omnsideration with all other facts that you may hear before you make up your mind which of these punishments you find most appropriate?

A Yes.

19A

1
9

a

5

6

7.

8

9.

10 11

12

13 14

15

ļ6 17

18

19

20

2Ì

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

of I see. Now, do you feel that the fact that in the past that you have helped mental patients, do you feel that you would feel in this trial that you would have to help rather than determine a punishment for Mr. Watson?

A No. sir.

Q Do you have any friends who are psychologists or psychiatrists?

A No. sir.

Q I believe you said that your sister went to a psychiatrist?

A Correct, sir, but that was in a different city from where I was living. This was Hinneapolis when she sought psychiatric help, and I was living in Louisville.

- 6 How long ago was that that she went to a psychiatrist?
- A. Over 20 years.
- Q And you don't know if that psychiatrist had anything to do with oriminal psychiatry?
 - A. No, sir, I never met the psychiatrist, sir,
- Q You understand that there might be a difference between psychiatry where you go in a lie down on the psychiatrist's douch and tell him about your problems, and the field of criminal psychiatry?

You understand that there might be a big difference in that?

- A I presume so, sir.
- Q And I take it that if you felt that a psychiatrist's testimony was unreasonable that you would reject it?
 - A Yes, sir.

2

4

5

Ģ

7

9.

10 11

12·

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

44

23

25

26

27

28

A Yes, sir.

A But if, in view of all the other facts in the case, if you thought that his opinion was reasonable, that you would consider it and give it the weight to which you thought it was entitled?

AND WINDS

A Yes, siz.

that if you felt, after all the evidence was in and considering the evidence that went on at the first trial, which the judge gave you instruction at the second trial that you could consider everything that took place at the first trial, all the testimony, that at the end of the second trial, when you are making the determination in your verdict of whether or not Mr. Watson was sane or insane, that if you found that at the time of the murders that Mr. Watson knew he was killing human beings and knew that this was wrong in the eyes of society, that you would not hesitate to find that he was sane at the time of the murders?

- A That was a little hard to follow.
- Let me say this, that if you found at the end of the second phase of the trial, after considering all the evidence that the judge instructed you that you could consider, that if you felt that at the time of the murders, Mr. Watson knew that he was killing human beings and knew that this was wrong in the eyes of society, that you wouldn't hemitate to come back in with a verdict finding that he was sane at the time of the murders?
 - A Well, I'd probably hesitate, but if the evidence

-		
4		
~		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18.

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

warranted, I could do it.

Q Well, you understand that under the instructions that that fact situation would mean that he was same, under the instructions that Judge Alexander read to you?

A Right.

O So if under the instructions you felt that he was not insane, that you would come back with a verdict that he was sane?

A Yes, sir.

Now, can you think of any reason why you could not or should not sit on this jury?

A No, sir.

Now, is it your firm position that the People are entitled to just an equal, fair trial with the defense?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, do you have any sympathy for Mr. Watson, for any reason whatsoever because he is a defendant in this case?

A No. sir.

And realizing that at the first phase of the trial, the guilt or innocence, that the prosecution has the legal burden of proving a defendant guilty only beyond a reasonable doubt, would you hold us to any higher burden?

A No, sir.

1 In other words, you wouldn't --

A No.

Q -- make us prove he was guilty beyond all doubt or beyond a shadow of a doubt?

Do you understand the distinction?

1	
2	
.3	* ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
4	!
5.	
6	
7	
8	٠
9	
10	
ļľ	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Ì
17	
18	
Íð	,
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

	Q.	What	were	the	Age#	in	the	hospital,	did	they	range
				3,							
all	differen	167	* * *								

- A They were veterans of various ages that you find in a typical veterans hospital, anywhere from maybe 20 to 50.
 - And have you ever been a witness in a courtroom?
 - A No. sir.
- Q And do you have any close friends or relatives that engage in the defense of oriminal cases?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Is there anything I haven't asked you that you feel would be important for me to know in making a determination of whether or not to seat you as a juror in this case?
 - A No. sir.
- Q And it is absolutely clear in your mind that if the evidence warranted it, that you could come back and in the form of your verdict, tell Mr. Watson that he had to die for the crimes that he committed?
 - A It would be hard to do, but I could do it.
 - 0. No doubt?
 - A No doubt.

MR. KAY: Thank you very much.

Page for cause, your Monor.

THE COURT: Defense has the next challenge.

MR. BUBRICK: We will thank and excuse Juror No. 7, your Honor, Mr. Pollak.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Pollak; you may be excused.

THE CLERK: Rose L. Herndon, H-e-r-n-d-o-n.

20£. 19

ROSE E. HERMDON,

BY THE COURT:

- Q Mrs. Herndon, we have a distinguished member of our Court of Appeals by a similar name. Are you related to him?
 - A No. I believe he was related to my ex-husband.
- Q Well, he is still a distinguished member of our Court of Appeals.

Mrs. Herndon, can you give us the two months we need to try this case?

- L I believe my store would let me off.
- O You have no worries about that?

Now, how about the death penalty, do you have such conscientious scruples against the death penalty, that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty, without regard to what might be developed in the evidence?

A Well, I really don't know what to say. I have never done this before and I might be fearful.

ļ.

 Q Well, that is true. That is a very understandable reaction. Host people would be fearful in a case like that and they are very careful.

Most people would be and I think all people are.
You understood you heard us say that if the defendant is
found guilty of murder in the first degree and if he is found
same, it would then be your duty to impose the penalty?

A Yes.

Q That penalty could be the death penalty or it could be life imprisonment and you are the sole and only person who can make that decision, you as a juror.

Do you understand that?

- A I believe I couldn't do it.
- Q You mean you are so conscientiously opposed to the death penalty you could not vote it under any circumstances?
 - A I doubt if I could.
- Q Well, you doubt if you could. We have got to make it unmistakably clear one way or the other.

A Well, if the evidence was so like the people said it would be, with pictures and all, that I couldn't take. I would be emotionally upset.

Q Don't confuse it. The people didn't say anything about the pictures. Someone else talked about the pictures.

Do you think you would be so emotionally upset in a case of this kind that you really could not give an impartial judgment; is that what you mean, Hrs. Herndon?

- A Yes.
- Q I take it you prefer not to sit on this case; is

1	that right?
2	A That is correct.
3	THE COURT: Gentlemen, may we stipulate she be excused?
4	MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.
5	MR. BUBRICK: Yes, we will so stipulate.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, you may be excused.
7	THE CLERK: Mrs. Anna F. Hackett, H-a-c-k-s-t-t.
8	THE JURON: Anna F. E. Hackett.
9 .	
10	ANNA F. E. HACKETT,
11	BY THE COURT:
12	Q Mrs. Hackett, can you give us the two months we
13	need to try this case?
14	A Yes.
15	Q How about your views toward the death penalty? Are
16	they such that you would automatically vote against the
17	imposition of the death penalty regardless of what might be
18	developed in this case?
19.	A Wo.
20	Q Would you automatically vote for life imprisonment
21	regardless of what the evidence might be?
22	A No.
23	Q I take it from your enswers you understand that
24	the choice of the death penalty, if it comes to that, and life
25	imprisonment rests entirely with you.
26	Do you understand that?
27	A Yes.
28	Q And there can be no guidelines. It rests in your
	T · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	ł
1	A Yes,
2	Q What is his occupation?
3	A He is an assistant civil engineer for the State
4	Division of Highways,
5	Q I wonder if he would know Mr. Carboni?
ć	MR. CARBONI: I wouldn't know him anyway.
7	MR. KEITH: All right. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: That would make it easier just to ask you.
9	Q BY MR. KEITH: Are you employed outside the home?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What is your occupation?
12	A I am a clerk-typist for the State Division of
13	Mighways.
14	Q Do you have any children?
15 16	A No.
17	Q Do you know anybody engaged in law enforcement
18	work?
19	A No.
20	Q More than just casually, I would say?
21	A We.
22	Q Have either you or your husband or anybody close
23-	to you ever been the victims of some kind of a serious crime?
24	A No.
25 :	Q Ever been a witness to a crime?
26	A No.
27	Q Ever appeared in court as a witness?
28	A No.
	Q Regarding the publicity in this case and the Manson

1	case, as a result of that publicity have you formed some
2	opinion about Mr. Watson's guilt or innocence?
3	A No, I haven't.
.4	Q Did you follow that case in any degree? I am
5	talking about the Manson case.
6	A No.
7	Q Did you even know the outcome of the case before
8	you came to court today?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Or yesterday?
11	A Yes.
12	Q That is about all you know about it?
13	ATT THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF
14	Q Did you ever know who Charles Hanson was or was
15	reputed to be?
16	. A 第0. 网络拉马克拉克 法特别
17	Q You have no idea now what sort of a person he was
18	supposed to be?
19	A Just according to the newspapers, what the
20	navspapars said.
21	Q What did the newspapers say about him, if you
22	remember?
23	THE COURT: All?
24	Q BY MR. KEITH: Not all of them, but anything you
25	ean remember in capsulated form.
.26	A Just the killings, that is all.
27 28	Q You never did you ever read about his being
40	a cult leader up at the Spahn Ranch?

going to automatically do anything, are you? 1 2 A No. And the same goes for this phase of the trial, Q 3 the guilt or innocence phase, you are going to keep an open 5 mind and weigh all the evidence and discuss the facts fully 6 and fairly with your fellow jurors and reach a just decision? 7 A Yes. 8 Do you have in mind the principles of law that Q 9 have been enunciated by Judge Alexander from the bench from time to time? 10 11 A Yes. **12** Q Do you think you understand the concept of 13 diminished capacity as the law has been already given to you 14 by his Honor? 15 A Yes. 16 And do you understand the doctrine of diminished Q 17 capacity comes to play in its first phase of the trial, the 1**8** guilt or innocence phase? 19 A Yes. 20 21 22 23. 24 25 26 27 28.

All right, sir, you may be excused. Ernest W. Teamer, T-e-a-m-e-r. BRNEST W. TEAMER, Mr. Teamer, how about the time element? Can you give us the two months we need to try this case? How about your views toward the death penalty? Do you have such conscientious objection to the death penalty that you would automatically vote against the death penalty, regard-"Would you automatically vote for life imprisonment, regardless of what the evidence might show? I take it by your answers you do know that if we do come to the penalty phase of this trial, you and you alone, Which will be based, your own decision will be based on your own good conscience, based on everything you've Have you ever served as a juror?

6

7

11

12

13

14

15.

16.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

policemen and the lawyers that may or may not have been involved in this case. Do you know any of them?

- L No.
- Do you know any of them now?
- A No.
- Again we are trying to get a jury that is going to be fair to the People, fair to this defendant, and base their verdict and decide all the issues in this case only upon the evidence you hear in this case and the law as I shall state it to you.
 - Can you be that kind of a juror, sir?
 - A ... I don't think so.
 - Q You don't think you can be a fair juror?
 - A to HONDER AND A
 - Q Why?
- A Simply because I have read too much material on so-called hallucigentic drugs and so-called psychidelic drugs or mind-altering drugs. Also, I have read quite a lot of material in the field of psychology. At one time, I almost thought about going into the field of psychology.
- Q Well, did your studies or your readings prejudice you against one side or the other?
 - & Possibly, possibly.
- Q I take it, then, you have already formed an opinion of Mr. Watson?
- A. No, I haven't formed an opinion, but I possibly could before the trial -- before, you know, before it was time to make a decision.

1	g In other words, you feel that you could not be a
2	proper juror in this case?
3	A Right.
4	THE COURT: Do you wish to question any further, Hr.
5	Nubrick?
6	MR. MUBRICK: No.
7.	MR. BUGLICSI: May the juror be excused by stipulation.
8	MR. KEITH: Yes.
9	THE COURT: So stipulated. Thank you. You may be
10	excused.
ļì	THE CLERK: Argile D. Beard, B-e-a-r-d.
12	
13	ARGILE D. BEARD,
14	BY THE COURT:
15	g . Mr. Beard, can you give us the time we need to try
16	this case?
17	l Tes, eir.
18	Q How about your views on the death penalty, should
19	it come to that? Do you have such conscientions objection to
20	the death penalty that you could not
21	A I am not opposed to the death penalty.
22	Q You are not opposed to the death penalty?
23	1. No.
24	Q I take it you understand that if it comes to the
25	death penalty, that you and you alone will make the decision
26	as to what penalty is to be imposed?
27	A. Yes.
28	G Life imprisonment or death?

24

25

26

27

. 28

5

A. Yes,

- over the other?
 - A I do not, sir.

- g Mr. Beard, you heard the names of all these people.
 Do you know any of them?
 - A. No.
 - o you know any of these attorneys?
 - A Mo.
- Q You have heard hundreds of questions asked of prospective jurors, Mr. Beard. If you were asked the same questions, other than the personal ones, would your answers be substantially the same?
 - A Pretty close.
- i In what field do you think there would be a difference?
- A Well, they was asked, some of the jurors, the way it was, I don't know exactly, but if they would almost enjoy sitting on a jury of this sort. I don't think I would get any kick out of sitting on the jury. I would sit here because I think it is my civic duty. Really, just sitting here and enjoying it, no.
- 9. We appreciate that. Nobody enjoys weighing another man's life. Nobody enjoys that.
- A I spent last night thinking about it most of the night.
- g I don't think it will be an enjoyable function for anyone, even for me up here, because it is a very serious thing

211.

ģ

-8.

Ì0 🕃

13:

25.

. 28

to judge, whether another man should live or die, but unless we do have people who will perform that duty, our justice will break down completely. We have got to have people who will do their duty.

原心系统

A CONTRACTOR

Mr. Beard, you are ready to assume those duties, are you, sir?

Yes, sir.

- A			
7			
•	•		

2

3

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18,

19

20

21

.22

23

- 24

25

26

27

O Now, you know that we are trying to get a jury here that can be just as fair to the People as to this defendant.

From all you've heard, can you be that kind of a juror, Mr. Beard?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q I take it you have heard of the Manson case?
- A Yes, sir.
- O Do you think you can forget everything that you heard in that case and decide all the issues in this case, based only upon the evidence you hear in this case?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q. And you know this defendant was not a defendant in that case?
 - A Yes, sir.
- g. Here, he is presumed to be innocent. The burden rests with the prosecution to prove him quilty.

Will you give him the benefit of that presumption?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q You will keep your mind open until you hear all the evidence in this case, and until after I instructed you on the law, and you go into that jury room to make your decision; is that correct, sir?
 - A Yes six.
- Q Now, it is impossible for counsel or me to ask you everything which may deal with your qualifications as a juror.

Is there anything you feel you should tell us?

A I don't know if this is pertinent or not, but I

26

27

28.

spent last night, a sleepless night after sitting in this jury, and I put myself in Mr. Watson's place, and I saw the people coming up here, some of the people didn't want to serve, some people was willing to serve, and I asked myself if I could really sit here and be a true American and give this man a fair trial.

If I was sitting in his place, what would I want, and I answered myself about 5:00 o'clock this morning, and I think I could be that type of a juror.

- Q In other words, if you were in the unfortunate position that Hr. Watson is in now --
 - A Right.
- Q --- you'd be satisfied to have a juror of 12 people with your frame of mind, provided you wanted a fair trial?
 - A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

BY MR. BUBRICK:

Ar. Beard, I almost hate to pursue this, but can you tell me in just which area you were so troubled last night?

Was it the prospect of having, perhaps, to have to impose the death penalty on somebody?

A Yes, sir; and trying to determine whether I would be intelligent enough to understand the legal phraseology and the doctors that's going to testify to whether Mr. Watson's legall or illegally insane.

BY THE COURT:

Q Mr. Beard, let me tell you this: During the course of this trial, if something pussies you and you don't

. . . 2

3.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. I7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

understand anything, you have a right to ask that that be explained to you.

Do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Just don't let it pass over your head. You have a right to have that explained to you, and I am sure counsel on both sides, when these doctors take the stand, are going to have them talk to us in language that we understand, not their own gibberish or scientific language --

MR. BUBRICK: I have a message, your Monor, but I think it could wait.

Apparently, there was some indication that it was kind of urgent, but knowing who it is from, I think it can weit that long:

MR. KAY: Is it your wife?

MR. BUBRICK: No. it was from a doctor, as a matter of fact. I don't think it is that important.

Whr. Beard, as the judge has indicated, we will do everything we absolutely can to make sure that whatever discussions in normally technical fields are explained to you in language that we all can understand, because as lawyers, our knowledge of psychiatry and medicine, probably isn't much greater than yours, and if they were -- if the doctor were to sit on the witness stand and talk in all these fourteen or fifteen syllable words, you know, where you need a medical dictionary to make sense, he'd lose us just as fast as he would lose you.

So, I am sure if that's the nature of your problem,

9.

. 8

10[.]

12.

18,

20 -

- Laso

 we'll do everything we can to have this explained in language that is as simple and understandable as it is possible for anybody to do; and if we don't do that, I have an idea that Judge Alexander is going to demand that it be done, and I feel pretty kindly that if he insists that it be done, it is going to be done, so I am sure in that area we'll do everything that we can to make sure that that will not be a problem.

Now, aside from that fact, is there anything else that you were so troubled by last night that you could tell us about, Mr. Beard?

- A No, that was all.
- As you struggled with yourself last night, Mr.

 Beard, did you have any fear that because this was a case
 involving multiple killings and that you might be called upon
 to find a man guilty of several counts of first degree murder,
 which, as you recall, are murders that are willful and deliberate,
 premeditated, with malice aforethought, that you could not be
 fair and impartial, when it came to determination of the type
 of punishment to impose?
 - A I think I can be fair.

21AR 11	1
	2
_	. 3
	. 4
	5
	6
	.7
	8
	. 9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
•	21
	00

23.

24

25

26

27

28

	Q.	You	don't	think	that,	, kno	wing	that	fact	and	know-
ing	that	that's	involv	red in	this	CASA	, wou	ld pr	it you	i in	a post-
tion	z wyzej	re you	eze mor	e inc	lined	to i	mpose	the	death	, bei	alty
ther	oth	nrwise,	knowir	ig not	hing e	lse	about	Mr.	Wates	m?	

- May I ask you, sir, the nature of your work, please?
- I'm a machanic.
- In what sort of field, automative mechanic?
- No. I work in a factory, repair machinery, produc-

tion machinery.

- Is there
- - Is she employed outside the home?
 - Yew, she works for the post office.
 - Do you have any children, Mr. Beard?
 - I have four.
 - And can you give us their ages, please?
 - I have a daughter 25, son 22, and two boys 9 and 8.
 - Now, knowing that you have children who are, you know, contemporaries of Mr. Watson, knowing that Mr. Watson lived the kind of an existence where there were runaway boys and gils about the age of your children involved, and as a father and one of teen-age children, do you think the fact that Mr. Watson associated with, or had about him runaway girls and boys, would cause any prejudice in your mind, from that fact, alone?
 - No. sir.
 - If that does come to pass, Hr. Beard, may we assume

Ż.				1
D.				2
				3
				4
				Š
				6
				7
				8
				9
			,	10
				11
				12
				13
				14
				15.
,				16
				17
				18
				19
		•		20
				21
				22
	•		,	23 °.
				24
				25
				26

that you'll put that in its proper prespective, give it whatever weight and credence you think it is entitled to?

- A I would have an open mind.
- Q But you are not going to be so involved as a parent with the idea of other runaway children congregating at the Spahn Ranch, that you think that you would allow that fact to prejudice your mind?
 - A Roy sir.
- Q Have you ever given any thought, Mr. Beard, to the conditions under which you think a death penalty would be justified?

Have you ever thought about it in the past?

- A Mo, sir, I haven't.
- g I take it you never really thought you'd be in a position like that; is that right?
 - A Never thought I would.
- Q And as a result, you probably never thought under what conditions you would want to determine under what conditions a person would live or die; is that correct?
 - h That is true.
- g So you will listen to everything that will be educed during the course of this trial, when you put everything to gether you will decide what you think the proper punishment should be, if and when we ever get to the third phase of this proceeding?
 - L . Yes, six., Cast ..
- anything else that you think of that you might want to tell

you

, 1,	us that, perhaps, would make it difficult or impossible for you
2	to sit as a juror?
3	A. Not that I know of.
.4	g. I take it, then, saide from that, there is no
5 (reason, then, why you can't be fair and impartial to both sides?
б	A I can be fair and impartial, yes, sir.
7	MR. BUBRICK: Thank you.
.8	Pass for cause.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Kay?
10	MR. KAY: Thank you, Judge.
n	g Good afternoon, Mr. Beard.
12	Mr. Beard, did you spend all night thinking about
13	the death penalty, mainly?
14.	A I was awake at 4:00 o'clock this morning.
15	Q Until 4:00 o'clock this morning?
16	A. Yes
17	Q Now, after thinking about it for a full night, do
18	you think you could vote for it?
19	A Vote for the death penalty?
20	Q Yes.
21	A Hell, I know the death penalty is not a deterent to
22	crime, but we don't have anything else; until you come up with
23	somthing else, what are you going to do, so I would have to.
24	g so you could vote for it?
25	A Yes,
26	Q Is there any doubt about that in your mind?
27	L Ho.
28	Q Now, you realise that each juror has to personally
•	

-18

21BE. 24

.26

.25

 participate in a verdict of death, that you can't have a death verdict unless it is unanimous, unless all 12 jurors vote for it; and that Mr. Pappas here, will poll the jury, if they do bring in a death verdict, and he will ask; he'll say, "Mr. Beard, is this your verdict?" and if it is, will you have the courage to say, "Yes, it is my verdict"?

l Yes.

Q. Now, you understand that if you wote for the death penalty, that it is going to be in your thoughts for a long time, probably the rest of your life.

Do you understand that?

L Tes.

O Do you think that even knowing that, it is going to be in your thoughts and that there is going to be a lot of nervous and emotional tension about it, that you still could wote for the death penalty?

A Res I quess that's one of the penalties we have to pay.

Q What do you mean?

Mell, okey, I think I understand.

Do you presently hold any moral or religious beliefs that would prevent you from voting for the death penalty?

A No.

1	BR	
	15	
1		
ı		

2

3

5

6

8

10

1.1

12

13

14.

15.

16

17

18:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Do you have any close friends or relatives that Q. are against the death penalty, that you feel might try and persuade you to vote for life, if we gget to the third phase of this trial?

- I wouldn't talk to envone about this trial.
- Good.

Have you ever expressed a personal opinion that you think that capital punishment should be abolished?

- We have discussed it with people around work, but nobody has came up with anything to deter crime, so --
- So you have never expressed the opinion that you Q. are against capital punishment?
- Well, I am not for capital punishment, but, like I said, I don't know anything else that is better; until you come up with something different, then we may as well remain where we are.
- Now, do you think that in this case, if you were in my position as a deputy district attorney, co-prosecuting this case, that you would be happy with a jury composed of 12 jurors with your present frame of mind?
 - I think so: I think you'll get a fair shake.
- And do you think that you'd give us an equal fair trial with the defense?
 - Yes, sir.
 - Just absolutely as equal? 9
 - Absolutely as equal.
- Knowing everything that you know that you thought about last night, do you think that that would still be the

1	
2	
3	
4	
.5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10·	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
1,9	- 4
20	,
21	•
22	
23	
24	;
.25	•
26 [,]	

*** ** .		_
G.		~
	_	•

l Yes.

MR. KAY: Thank you very much.

Pass for cause, your Monor.

THE COURT: Defense has the next challenge.

MR. BUBRICK: We will thank and excuse Juror No. 6,

Mr. Carboni.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Carboni; you may be excused.
THE CLERK: Margaret Ishino, I-s-h-i-n-o.

MARGARET ISHINO,

BY THE COURT:

- Q Is it Mrs. Ishino?
- A It is Miss, your Honor.
- O. Hiss?

We were all beginning to feel badly about you.

- A Up to now, I was enjoying it,
- You were with the first panel and when we were reduced to one juror we bring in another panel, and I was wondering whether you were going to be called here or not.

Anyway, Miss Ishino, can you give us the two months

- we need to try this case?
 - A You, sir,
- 2. Now, you have heard us discussing the death penalty, I am sure you have heard it before?
 - A Yes.
- 0 Now, tell me this, do you have such conscientious scruples against the death penalty that without regard to

Ż

any evidence that you might hear, you would automatically vote

1 Yes, in this case, I would.

May I say why?

Q. All right, just a minute, now.

By saying why, I wouldn't want you to influence any other of our jurous here.

Is it something other than -- is it a religious scruple or philosophical?

- i No, sir.
- @ Or do you know something about the case?
- k No, sir.

22£,

	,			
•		 4		
•		4. 4	•	•
•		See Section 14	•	•
•		See Separate To	•	•
•	222 2	Se Se profest ve	•	•
7.		4.7 4 1.7 4.7 4	•	•
•	the state of the s	See See Control of the	•	
•	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	•	

10

11

12

15

16

1Ť

18

19

20

22

23.

24

25

26

27

28

,	Ø	•	Regar	rdles	t of	the	real	ton,	re joi	i te	lling u	WOR W
that	wi	thou	it any	equi	rocat	ion,	, nò	matte	is what	t th	e evide	nce
apost		you	would	vote	agai	inst	the	impo	ittion	of	capital	punish-
ment	•											

- A. Yes, in this case I would.
- Q Does that mean in other cases you could impose capital punishment?
 - A Yes, sir,
 - Q But not in this case?
 - A NO.

THE COURT: Do you wish to inquire any further?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No. May the witness be excused by stipu-

THE COURT: Do you wish to inquire further, Mr. Bubrick?

THE COURT: Mr. Keith, you look kind of puzzled. Do you want to inquire?

MR. KEITH: Her enswer was such that it is not within the Witherspoon rule, as I understand it. I may be mistaken.

THE COURT: I think she has made it abundantly clear --

MR. KEITH: In this case but not in other cases.

THE COURT: -- in this case she would automatically.

MR. KEITH: Well, I will have to read it again. I will go along with it then. I stand corrected.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Is there a stipulation that she may be excused?

MR. MUBRICK: Yes.

MR. KEITH: If you will stipulate that this encompasses

•		
_		

the Witherspoon --

THE COURT: No; not stipulate as to the law.

MR. KEITH: I will agree.

THE COURT: May she be excused by stipulation?

HR. BUBRICK: Yes.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes.

THE COURT: You may be excused.

THE CLERK: Mathew Batie, B-a-t-i-e.

9

7

8

MATHEW BATTE.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21.

22

23

BY THE COURT:

g. Mr. Batie, can you give us the time we need to try this case?

A Yes, sir.

A How about your views about capital punishment, should it come to that? Do you hold such views that would automatically, that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty, regardless of what the facts might be in this case?

h No, sir.

I take it, then, you have heard us tell the jury time and time again that whether the jury imposes life imprisonment or the death penalty, rests solely within the good conscience and discretion of the jury?

24 25

TO PAR

26

g You could exercise that discretion?

27

28

A Yes, I could.

REAL MILESON

O Have you served as a juror before, sir?

	'n

1

4

15 t

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

sir?

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28,

k No, sir.

doctors, the policemen and the lawyers, do you know any of them?

No. I don't.

You have heard us say we are trying to get a jury that can be just as fair with the People as you could with the defendant.

Could you be that kind of a juror?

A CONTRACTOR OF STATE

A Regardless of what you might have heard in the Manson case, you know this defendant was not a defendant in that case?

A Yes, sir.

And in this case, he is presumed to be innocent, Mould you give him the benefit of that presumption,

A Yes, sir.

Q Rather than ask you a good many questions, you know your heart and soul better than we do.

Enowing yourself and knowing the kind of a case this is, do you think you could be that type of a fair juror we're trying to get in this case?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you know of no reason why would should not sit as a juror?

a No, sir.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

ì

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

psychiat	rist as	a patient?
----------	---------	------------

· 34		1 1200		-
4704	٠	, No,	-	-

- psychiatry in the sense that such feelings might prejudice you against Mr. Watson, bearing in mind there will be psychiatric evidence in this case?
 - L No. sir.
- And you will listen to the doctors testify and evaluate their testimony in accordance with the instruction of the Court, will you not?
 - L Yes, mir.
- Q. And you won't turn your mind from the psychiatric evidence educed in this case, will you?
 - L Wo. sir.
- 9 You will listen to such evidence just as carefully as you would any other evidence?
 - L Yes.
- 2 You will evaluate such evidence in accordance with the general rules and guidelines as the Court will disclose to you concerning the credibility of witnesses, plus the special rules for expert witnesses?
 - L Yes, sir.

22A£.

24

25

26 27

•	F. NAME TONDAM CONTRACT CONTRA
2	or on television at all?
3	I followed it in the newspapers when it first came
4	out.
5	g You mean after the homicides occurred?
Ģ	A. Xes.
7	Q You realise the homicides occurred in August *69
8	and nobody was arrested until, I think, in the late part of
ġ j	19697
1Ó·]	1 do.
11	g And you followed it right after it happened?
12	A Right after it happened, right.
ļ3	g You didn't follow the trial particularly, though,
14 .	the Manson trial?
15	A Not too close. I think the beginning of it I did,
16	but then later I didn't.
17	g Did you know what happened in that trial before
18	you came to court yesterday?
19.	A Yes.
20:	0 Would that affect your judgment in any way as
21	regards giving Mr. Watson a fair trial?
22	A No, sir.
23	(1) You wouldn't be influenced in any manner whatsoever
24	are you telling us, by reason of your knowledge of the outcome
25 .	of the Hanson case?
26	A No. sir.
27	G You promise me and all of us that you can completely
28	dissociate your mind from what happened in that case or any of

34	1;
	1. 2.
	, 8%
	. 4
	5
	-6
	. 7.
	8
	9.
	10
	\mathbf{n}_{i}
	12
	13
	14
	15.
	16
	17
	18
	. 19
	20
	-21
	22
	23
	24

26

27

the	facts	You	may	PEAG	zenempered	through	reading	nevspapez
art	cles	abou	t the	t ca	te?			

- T'm sure that I can:
- To doubt in your mind on that score, I take it?
- No. sir.
- Would you be offended in any way, because evidence might be introduced concerning Mr. Matson's way of life in 1967. '68 and '69, which to you, you might not approve of?
 - No. sir.
- Regarding the death penalty issue, if you ever happen to reach that issue, would you automatically impose the death penalty in this case, because there were multiple homicides?
 - Mo. sir.
- And would you indicate and consider all the evidence adduced on that subject, inasmuch as you had probably already weighed and considered it, just as you would in the quilt or innocence phase?
 - Yes.
- Would you keep an open mind throughout this trial, whether it goes through one phase or three phases?
 - I am almost sure I can.
- When you may you're almost sure, do you have some reservation that you might not be able to keep an open mind and give both sides a fair trial?
- I can give both sides a fair trial, but I was more or less on if it gets down to the third phase.
 - That is the punishment phase? Q.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
ì	15 16 17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22.
	23
	24
	25
	26

28

۱	Xos.
-	A 7 7 7 7

Q Where you have the unfettered discretion to determine what punishment to impose. Hobody can tell you what to do, no quidelines?

l Yes.

- Q And do you feel that if that phase is ever reached, you might have some difficulty?
- A. Well, I don't believe I would have any difficulty, but just like the gentleman, the witness before down there --I mean the juror, rather -- I sort of wrestled with my own conscience.
- Q Well, that is nothing to be ashamed of. That is what you should do, as a matter of fact.

But if you felt that life imprisonment was the proper punishment, you would have no hesitation of bringing in such a verdict, would you?

- A. According to the evidence, you know.
 - If this is what you believed should be done?
 - 1 Right.
- We note that the second of the
 - L Mo.
 - Q You would give us your own opinion?
 - L Yes.
 - G Make up your own mind?
 - A Yes.

		_
1		
2	ŀ	
3		,
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		,
9	:	
1Ò.		
11		
12		
13		
14	:	
1 5		
.16	, ;	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
.22	ľ	

24

25

26

27

28

g Is that right?

L Yes.

MR. KRITH: Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Buglioni.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q You say you wrestled with your conscience. Would you tell us a little bit more what you thought about it? What conclusion you came to, if any?
- A Well, you know, I just more or less thought, you know, concerning the death penalty, you know, if it came up --you know what I mean, I probably would vote for it, but you know what I mean, you know what I am trying to say, you have a few misgivings whenever a thing like that comes up, at least I do.
- Q If you thought shead, let's say, two months, do
 you feel that you would have the courage to vote for a verdict
 of death?
 - A Yes, I'm sure that I would.
 - A You are sure that you would have the courage?
 - A Yes, mir.
- 9 Have you ever expressed the view that you were opposed to the death penalty?
 - A No. I never have.
- And you think you would wote to retain the death penalty in the State of California?
- I I'm sure I would. That is the only thing we have so far to work with. Unless something else comes up better than that, I would vote for it.

	1
	2
	3,
	4
	5
•	6.
	7
•	8
	9
1	10
	IJ
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
•	17
	18
	19
,	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

ø	You	realize	that	the i	'aras	of Hr.	Hatson '	mental
capacity :	it the	time of	thes	e murc	lërs 1	s going	to be	deter-
mined sole	ely by	the jur	y and	not l	ry the	payohi	atrist	. You
understand	i that	?						

- A Yes.
- g Are you willing to assume that responsibility?
- A. Yes, sir.
- by Judge Alexander?
 - L Yes, sir.
- a And will you apply that strict test for insanity to the facts in this case?
 - A. Yes, sir, I will.
- a has any member of your family, any relative or close friend or yourself ever been charged with any felony whatsoever?
 - A Mo, sir.
- and if you were back in the jury room, sir, at the ond of this case, and found your view to be a minority view, would you try to work along with your co-jurors and listen to them and if you found their views to be reasonable, would you at least reconsider your position?
 - A Yes, mir.
 - G. And perhaps change your mind?
 - A Possibly.
- 9 You wouldn't stick to your guns, just for the sake of sticking to your guns?
 - A Ho, I wouldn't do that.

•	
28	1
	2.
	3
	4
	5.
	6
	7
	8
	. 9
	10
	ÌI
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
•	17
	18
	19
	20
	2 I
	22 t
	23 24
	24

26

27

28

	0	and yo	u recall,	sir,	that	when	you	Aeze	seated	1:
the	spectat	or sect	ion of th	e con	rtroo	n, mai	ny q	aesti	ous Aqu	•
ask	d of ot	ber jur	ors that	z am:	not a	sking	you	righ	t nov.	

Do you recall that?

- Yes, sir.
- There were several questions asked by Mr. Kay and myself and Judge Alexander and the defense attorneys that we are not asking you right now?
 - Yes, sir.
- When you heard these other questions, were you mentally asking yourself the same questions?
 - Yes. sir.
- Other than personal questions, if I were to ask you these other questions, would your answers be assentially the same as the other jurors?
 - Yes, sir.
- Is there any question that you would have answered differently?
 - No. sir.
- Are you positive you can give the People of the State of California a fair trial?
 - Yes, sir.
 - Any doubt in your mind at all about that?
 - A . Hone whatsoever.
- Can you think of any reason why you would rather not sit as a juror on this case?
 - A Hoy sir.
 - You think you should be a juror?

	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	.9
	1 0
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
ı	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

L i	Ţ	think	80	very	mach.	

- Q . No problem about the death penalty now?
- L No.
- Q . You resolved that last night?
- A Resolved that last night.
- \$.. About what time?
- A I don't know the time, but around 12:00 o'clock, You know, you have these misgivings.
 - Nou got a couple of hours sleep last night?
 - A I did.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Pass for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we will recess at this time until Monday morning at 9:39.

During the recess, please again do not form or express any opinion in this case. Do not discuss this case with anybody or let anyone talk to you about this case and please keep an open mind.

Have a pleasant weekend. Monday, 9:30.

(An adjournment was taken at 3:00 p.m. until Honday, August 9, 1971 at 9:30 a.m.)

Ì

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20. 21

22

23

2425.

26

27 28 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1971; 9:35 A.M.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BUBRICK: Good morning, Judge.

MR. KAY: Good morning, your Homor,

THE JURORS: Good morning.

MRS. CASALENDOVO: Your Honor --

MR. BUGLIOSI: There's a question, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MRS. CASALENUOVO: A problem has developed at my place of employment, United California Bank.

I called Friday after we were dismissed from here and my boss -- I spoke with my boss and he told me that many problems have arisen at work. I am on the executive platform; we are five secretaries, and one gave her resignation Friday and she is going to be leaving the end of this month. One is going on vacation in September and I am here, so he said that I ask to be excused -- but I don't want to be excused.

THE COURT: If you don't want to, I won't, you know, MRS. CASALENUOVO: Is there any way --

THE COURT: We just learned first how to prenounce your name. It is Casalenuovo?

MRS. CASALENUOVO: Correct.

I was upset all weekend thinking about it.

THE COURT: Don't be upset. Tell your boss you have got a mean judge and be said you stay here.

MRS. CASALENUCVO: Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Gentlemen, do you have 10 each?
2	MR. KAT: Yes, your Honor.
3	MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Clerk?
5	THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: We all agree, so you must be wrong.
7	I believe we were
8 -	HR. KAY: We finished with Mr. Beard.
9	THE COURT: And the people were about to exercise a
10	challenge?
IJ	MR. BUGLIOSI: People thank and excuse Mr. Beard.
12	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Beard; you may be excused.
13	MR. BEARD: Thank you.
14	THE CLERK: Mrs. Hezel B. Hell, H-a-1-1.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2			
_			

HAZEL B. HALL,

BY THE COURT:

Q Mrs. Hall, have you heard all the questions that have been put to our prospective jurors during the time you were here?

A Yes, I have.

Q No. 1, how about the time element? Can you give us the two months we need to try this case?

A Yes.

Q Now about your attitude toward the death penalty?

Is your attitude such that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty regardless of what the evidence might show?

A No.

Q And you automatically would not vote for the imposition of life imprisonment; is that what you are saying?

A No.

Q I take it from what you said you would now know that you have the free and untrammeled choice of the penalty should we come to that phase of it. I think you know the law exercises no preference, nor does it give you any guidelines.

A Yes

Q And you will assume that burden?

A Yes.

Q Mrs. Hall, do you know any counsel involved in this case?

A No, I don't.

Q How about the names called off by Mr. Bugliosi

ì	and Hr. Kay? Any of them sound familiar to you?
2,	A to the second of the second
3	Q I take it you have heard of the Henson case and
4	the Tate-La Bianca murder cases?
5 .	A From what I read in the papers.
6	Q You realize this defendant was not a defendant
7	in that case?
8	A Yes.
9.	Q You realize that no matter what you heard in that
10	case, you are not to prejudice this defendant by what you
11	heard and what you read?
12	A Right.
13	Q You appreciate that he now has a presumption of
14	innocence and the presecution must prove him guilty beyond a
15	reasonable doubt?
16	A Yes, your Honor.
17	Q And you appreciate that all the issues of fact are
18.	to be decided by this jury, not by the experts or anybody else
19	A Yes,
20	Q They can give their opinions but you make the
21	final determination.
22	Do you understand that?
23	A Yes.
24 25	Q Mrs. Hall, you have heard us say I don't know how
25 26	many times we are trying to get a jury that will be fair to
20 27	the people and fair to the defendant and give both sides a
2 <i>1</i> 28	fair trial and decide this case based solely on the evidence
40	you hear and the law as I shall state it to you.

1	A No. sir.
2	Q How about psychology?
3	A No.
4	Q Lat me ask you just a little bit about psychiatry,
5,	Mrs. Hall.
6	Is there snyone that you know of whom you see from
7	time to time who ever did visit psychiatrists?
8	A No.
·9· ,	Q Do you have any feeling about whether or not they
.10	serve any useful function in modern day society?
11	A Oh, I believe they do.
12	Q And you wouldn't be prejudiced against any person
13	because he went to see a psychiatrist?
14	A No.
15	Q There has been some talk of course about the Tate-
16	La Blanca matters and what we have referred to as the Manson
17	trial.
18	Mave you formed or expressed any opinion, Hrs. Hall
19	about this defendant's guilt or innocence because of his
20	essociation with Mansen during the course of the other
21	proceedings?
22	A No, I have not.
25 24	Q You realize of course that he was a member of
25	Mr. Manson's family?
26	A Yes.
20 27	Q And of course you know, I take it, that Menson and
21 28	his group were convicted of murder and got the death penalty?
20	A Yes.

和在第二个的。1987年

1	

3.

5

6

7

10 11

12

13. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- Did you know that fact before you sat here as a prospective juror, Mrs. Hall?
 - Yes, because I read it in the paper.
- Now, knowing that fact and, as I say, knowing that this defendant is accused of being involved with Mr. Manson, does he suffer any prejudice in your mind solely because of that relationship alone?
- Now, you have also heard, by virtue of sitting. here, if not otherwise, Mrs. Hall, that this is a case that involves multiple killings.

Does that fact, alone, lead you to believe you'd be more inclined to impose the death penalty than otherwise, assuming we get to what we have been referring to as the third phase of this trial?

- No.
- Do you feel that as far as this defendant is concerned, you are as able to determine his punishment for a multiple killing as you would if he were charged with only one murder?
 - I'm willing to listen and see what the evidence is. X.
- Well, we appreciate and we are awfully glad that you are in the position where you will listen and abide by the evidence and the judge's instructions, but I want to make sure at this moment that if you find him guilty of seven counts of first degree murder, which you may have a chance of doing because he is charged with that number, because of that fact and that fact, alone, may not cause you to impose the death

1	penalty, irrespective of anything else you might learn about
2	him?
3	A Well, I don't think so.
4	Q Is there some doubt in your mind?
5	A Well, truthfully, I don't know whether I would like
6	to sit on this jury or not. I can't give you a good reason
7,	except that maybe it is the fact that he is so young. I don't
8	know what it is.
9	If I don't have to, I would rather not.
10 '	Of course, we realize the tremendous pressure and
11	the problem that is involved, because it is as Judge Alexander
12	has said over and over again, a burdensome duty; but it is a
13	duty that has to be performed by somebody.
14	1 I realize that.
15	Q But I would be the last one and, of course, the
16	last word, of course, is the judge on this do you feel in
L72 ·	good conscience that you cannot, or should prefer not, to sit,
18,	I think that is something that you should address to the Court.
19.15	A I would rather not.
20 .	BY THE COURT:
21	Q HER. HALL
22	A. Yes
23	0 many people would rather not sit
24	A I know; I have heard you may it to many people.
25	Q When I spoke to you a little while ago, you seemed
26	to be a fair-minded person who could weigh this evidence and
27	weigh it
28	A I am a fair-minded person and I make up my own mind
	, and the second of the second

23.

24

25

26

27

.28

about things.

And, you see, while it is true we have multiple killings here, if you believe it to be a fact, of course, as a human being you would take that into consideration in assessing the penalty; you are entitled to consider that, too, but we just don't want you to feel that because of multiple killings, that, and that, alone, will cause you to impose the death penalty --

- A That, I would not do.
- Q -- without listening to the rest of it?
- A Yes.

And knowing that, do you think now you would still prefer not to sit; or, rather, you don't want to be a juror?

I know if you talk to Mrs. Stanton and Mr. Jeffers, alongside of you, they, too, will say, "We don't like to sit as jurors in this case"; but it is their duty to do so.

Don't you feel the same?

- A Yes.
- Q And will you sit with us, Hrs. Hall?
- A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. BUBRICK: Pass for cause, your Honor. BY MR. KAY:

G Mrs. Hall, do you feel that because of the defendant's age that you would automatically vote against the death penalty in this case?

- A No. I don't believe I would, no.
- Q You realise that some of the victims in this case

1	were very young, too, Steven Parent was 18 and Sharan Tate was
2	26.
3	MR. KEITH: I'm going to object to that as irrelevant
4	and immaterial.
5	THE COURT: Yes, sustained.
6	BY MR. KAY:
7	Do you think that looking at Mr. Watson every day
8.	in this courtroom that you could come back and look at him, if
9	the evidence warranted, and tell him that he would have to die
10	for these crimes?
11	A I wouldn't want to, but if the evidence warranted
12	it, I think I could.
13	And there is no doubt in your mind that you would
14	have the courage to do that, if the evidence warranted?
15	A. If the evidence warranted.
16	Q Are you in favor of the death penalty?
17	A Yes, I am.
18	In other words, if a pollster came by your house
19	and asked you which way you'd vote, you'd say, "I'm in favor
,20	of retaining it in the State of California"?
21	A Yes, I am for it, because I think we have to have
22	something like that.
23	And is there anything else that you'd like to say
24 25	to me in helping me to make a determination whether or not to
25 26	seat you as a juror in this case?
	A. No.
27	And is there any question you have about that
28 	chart up there

1	A NO.
2	a about the three phases of the trial?
á	You think you understand that?
4	A. I have been here since Tuesday.
5	MR. KAY: Thank you. Pass for cause.
6	MR. BUGLIOSI: Wait a minute, your Honor. May we have
7	just a moment?
8	A few more questions.
9.	BY MR. KAY:
10	Q Nrs. Hall, do you feel any sympathy for Hr. Watson
11	because he is a defendant in this case, because of his age,
12	because he is 25?
13	A No, I have no, I have no sympathy, other than
14	what I have already stated.
15	. I think it is a shame that such a young man is in
16	so much trouble.
17	Q Well, do you think, because you think it is a shame
18	that such a young man is in so much trouble, that you would
19	tend to lean toward Mr. Watson, to be in his favor at this
20	point as you sit there right now?
21	A Not particularly, no.
22	Well, if there was a fence right now and Mr.
23'	Watson was on one side and Mr. Bubrick and Mr. Keith were on
24	that side and Mr. Bugliosi and I were on the other side, which
25	side of the fence would you be on right now?
26	THE COURT! Objection sustained. He is presumed to be
27	innocent, Mr. Kay.
28.	MRS. HALL: I'd walk down the middle.

If,

ĺ

2 -

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 .

15

26

27

28

THE COURT: Don't walk down the middle yet, Mrs. Hall. He's presumed innocent at this point.

. MRS. HALL: Pardon?

THE COURT: He is presumed innocent.

- BY MR. KAY: Do you feel that because/Mr. Watson's age you'd hold the prosecution to any higher standard at the first stage of the trial; in other words, a higher standard than just proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
 - You mean at this point?
- Right at this point: Do you feel you'd hold the People to a higher standard just because of Mr. Matson's age?
 - No.
- Now, is there any doubt at all in your mind that you can be fair, just as equally fair to the prosecution as the defendant at this stage?
 - Yes, I can.

MR. KAY: Pass for cause.

3

7

8

9. 10

11

12

14

13.

16

15

17

18

19

20.

21

Ź2· 23

24

25

26 27

28

THE COURT: The next challenge is with the defense. MR. BURRICK: The defendant accepts the jury as constituted.

THE COURT: The people?

MR. BUCLIOSI: The people thank and excuse Mrs. Hall. THE CLERK: Miss Carole J. Greens, G-r-e-e-u-e.

CAROLE J. GREENE

BY THE COURT'S

- Miss Greene, can you give us the two months we Q need to try this case? Proposition of the state of
 - Yes.
- How about your views toward the death penalty? Are they such that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty without regard to what might be the evidence in this case?
 - Ho.
- In other words, at this point you do not favor the death penalty or life imprisonment? You realize that you are the sole and exclusive judge of that; is that correct?
 - Yes.
- And you will impose what penalty you believe in 0 good conscience should be imposed should we come to the guilt phase of this trial?
 - Yes.
- We generally start to talk about the penalty first to determine if you have a conscientious objection toward the death penalty. When we do that, we do not intend to infer that

1	by any outside influence; is that correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	THE COURT: You may inquire.
4	Q BY MR. KEITH; Is it Miss Greene?
5	A Yes,
6	Q What is your occupation?
7	A Staff clark for Pacific Telephone Company.
8	Q How long have you had that job?
9	A Three years.
10	Q Before then were you a student, perhaps?
11	A No. I worked in Kansas City.
12	Q What did you do in Kansas City?
13	A Vaitress.
14	Q What!
15	A Just a waitress.
16	Q Were you from Kansas City?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now long have you been out here?
19.	A Three years.
20	Q What part of the county do you live in?
21	A In Los Angeles,
22	Q The central area?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you know any police officers as friends or
25 26	relatives?
26 27	A Mo.
	Q You don't know any prosecutors or city attorneys
28	or anybody engaged in law enforcement, in the law enforcement

1	field, I gather?
2	A No.
3.	Q Have you ever been a victim of a holdup or a
4	burglary or serious crime?
5	A Mo.
6	Q Has anybody near or dear to you ever been so
7	victimized?
8	A No.
9 .	Q Have you ever sat on a jury before?
1 0	A No.
11	Q Did you follow this case at all, not this case,
12	but the so-called Manson case at all in the newspapers or
13	ever television?
14	A On TV once in a while. I didn't cover it too
15	much.
16	Q Did you see Mr. Bugliosi talk from time to time?
17	A No.
18	Q Did you form any opinion as a result of that case
19	about the guilt or immocence of Mr. Watson?
20	A 1904 .
21	Q Before coming into court this week or last week,
22	and you ever heard of Mr. Watson?
23	A Yes,
24	Q And what had you heard about him? Just in general.
25	A All I know he was being brought from Texas here and
26	that is all I knew.
27	Q Had you known of the outcome of the Manson case
28	before coming to court last week?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And by reason of being aware of that result, have
3	you formed any opinion about Mr. Watson's
4	A Mo.
5	Q guilt or imposence?
6	A Wo.
7	Q De you think you would more likely be inclined to
8	find him guilty them innocent just because you now know, if
9.	you didn't before, that Manson and three young female defendant
10	were found guilty of first degree murder of the same people
11	that Mr. Watson is charged with killing?
12	A. No.
13	Q You are sure of that?
14 .	A Yes.
15	Q You are positive, absolutely positive of that,
16.	because that is very important that there is no guilty by
17	association here?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you promised his Honor that you could separate
20	the two matters from your mind and I am sure you can do that.
21 .	You have heard questions asked about whether or
22	not any of the prospective jurors would hold it against Mr.
23	Watson because of his drug use and the life style that he
24	Lived.
25	Would your enswers be the same as all the other
26	jurore?
27	A Yes.
28	Q You wouldn't be inclined not to give him a fair

1.	trial in the event the evidence showed he used drugs
2	excessively?
3 _	A No.
4.	Q Or lived like a hippie or lived within a cult with
5	this Manson fellow?
6	A Ro.
7	Q You wouldn't hold that against him as such? I
8	am not suggesting again that these matters may not have
9	evidentiary importance in the case and that you should consider
10	such evidence for whatever value you believe it is entitled to.
11	Has anybedy near or dear to you or any relative
12 ⁻	ever visited a psychiatrist for treatment or examination?
13	A Mo.
14	Q Mave you ever done any reading in psychiatry?
15	A Mo.
16	Q Or psychology?
17	A Me.
18	Q Mave you ever done much reading about drug use or
19	Abuse?
20	A Well, I have some but I haven't, you know, I
21	didn't I forgot what I read.
22	Q So you don't have any precommeived notion now
23	about how drugs and marcotis may affect the human mind,
24 25	assuming it does for the sake of discussion?
26 26	A. Xo.
20 27	Q And if I asked you if you believe that psychiatrists
28	play an important function in modern day society, would your
	Managaran and Cara delica deli

MY MR. BUCLICSI: Miss Greens, it is not fun to Do you think you would have the courage to vote If you have any hesitancy now you just tell me. Can you come in with a verdict of death? Has any member of your family or yourself or any close friend or relative ever been accused of a felony?

ı	A No.
2	Q And you recall that Hr. Kay and I asked several
3	other jurors many questions that we are not asking you right
4	nov?
5	A Yes,
6	Q Were you asking yourself the same questions?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Would your enswers be any different if I were to
9	ask you those same questions?
10	A No.
ır l	Q . You can't think of any reason why you should not
12	sit as a jurer on this case?
13	A No.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	•
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2	Tes.
3	Q Are you sympathic towards Mr. Watson?
4	A Ro.
5.	Q Do you have any feelings about his state of mind
6	at the present time?
7	A No.
8	Q You realize that his present state of mind will not
9.	be an issue for you to decide during this trial?
1Ò -	A Yes.
11	o Probably the main issue will be his state of mind
12	at the time of these murders; do you understand that?
13	A Yes,
14.	Do you want to sit as a juror on this case?
15	A. Yes.
16.	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
17	THE COURT: Next challenge with the defense.
18	HR. BUBRICK: I accept the jury as it is now constituted.
1 9	THE COURT: Accept the jury as now constituted?
20	MR. KEITH: Yes, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: People?
22	MR. BUGLIOSI: People thank and excuse Miss or Mrs.
2 3	Hackett.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Hackett; you may be excused.
25	THE CLERK: Nathaniel Gould, G-o-u-l-d.
26	
	ተ

NATHANIEL GOULD. BY THE COURT: Mr. Gould, can you give us the two months we need to try this case? I regret, sir, I have been told that the company pays only 20 days. THE COURT: What company is that? It is Lockheed. 1 You are getting \$250,000,000 of our money; I should think they could afford to pay you more than 20 days. That's beyond my salary grade. THE COURT: The \$250,000,000 is beyond your salary grade? I think it is a little beyond ours, too, those of

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

us here.

When did they tell you this, Mr. Gould?

I asked one of my friends to call up personnel. It was this week, when the matter was brought up, as the other gentleman came up -- I don't know his name, from Lockheed.

At that point I was surprised, so I checked out during the lunch time, and it was true.

Q BY THE COURT: Would it work a hardship on you to stay beyond the 20 days?

- Very much so, sir.
- I take it you have been unemployed for a while?
- No, sir; but I want to stay employed.
- No. I said you have been unemployed?
- No; no, sir, I haven't.
- And you feel it would work a hardship to stay beyond

6f.

the 20-day period?

A Yes, sir.

THE COURT: May he be excused?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.

TER COURTS You may be excused.

/ That means we peed another panel now.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will have a short recess while we get another panel. During the recess, do not form or express any opinion in this case; do not discuss it among yourselves or with anybody else and please keep your minds open.

MR. MAY: Your Honor, may we approach the bench after the jury is excused?

THE COURT: Yes, come up.

(Unreported discussion between Court and counsel.)

(Recess.)

SR-1

1 2

3

4

\$

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 ·

23 24

25

26

27 28 THE COURT: People against Watson. Let the record show all prospective jurors are present. All counsel and the defendant are present. Swear the panel, please.

THE CLERK: Will all the prospective jurors please stand and raise your right hand.

You and each of you do solemnly swear that you will well and truly enswer such questions as may be asked of you touched upon your qualifications to act as trial jurors in the cause now pending before this Court, so help you God?

(Affirmative response.)

THE COURT: Be seated. Pick a juror, please.

THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor.

Mack D. Addook, A-d-c-o-c-k.

HACK D. ADCOCK,

BY THE COURT!

9 Mr. Addock, I notice you have been with us for some time?

A Yes.

this before, and I will ask the remainder of the panel to please pay pareful attention, because you probably will be asked questions pertaining to what I say and we will save a lot of time, if you will just pay attention to what I have to say.

This case is entitled People of the State of Califormia against Charles C. Watson.

Mr. Watson, would you stand up a moment, please, and face the jury.

(The defendant complied with the request of the Court.)

THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated. The defendant is being defended by Mr. Bubrick and Mr. Keith who sits next to him.

Now, this case is being prosecuted here by Deputy District Attorneys Bugliosi and Kay.

This defendant is charged with seven counts of murder and one count of conspiracy to commit murder.

It is alleged that on August 9th, 1969, he willfully and intentionally murdered Abigail Anne Folger, Wojiciach
Frykowski, Steven Earl Parent, Sharon Marie Polanski—— I
believe also known as Sharon Tate, Thomas J. Sebring; and on
August 10th it is alleged he murdered Leon A. and Rosemary
La Bianca; and Count VIII alleges that between August 8th and
August 10th of 1969, he and others conspired to commit the
crime of murder.

7£.

T.

á

.8

7R-1

1 2

2

3

:5

6

7:

Ŕ

9.

10.

11· 12

, j3°

Ĩ4

* - 15

16

17

18

.19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27 28 In short, ladies and gentlemen, this is part of what has got to be known as the Manson murder cases.

Now, because the defendant has been indicated for these charges is no indication that he is more likely to be quilty than innocent.

In fact, this defendant has entered two pleas to all of these charges: One, not guilty; and the second, not guilty by reason of insanity.

In all criminal cases, and in this one, too, this defendant is presumed to be innocent and the burden rests with the proseqution to prove him quilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, in that connection, no defendant need prove his innocence. To the contrary, the prosecution must prove his guilt, and prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.

How, in this type of a case and where a defendant has entered a plea, not guilty by reason of insanity, we have three phases to the trial.

You see a chart up here, and it is entitled "First Trial." In that trial, you determine the guilt or innocence of this defendant; and in that trial or that phase of the trial his guilt must be established beyond a reasonable doubt.

Of course, you may find him guilty of one count, two counts, three counts, seven or eight counts, or any number in between; and there the burden rests with the People to prove him guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

In the second phase, should you find him guilty, we then proceed to the phase which determines whether or not this defendant was same or insane at the time of the commission

burden or proof and his proof is by a preponderance of the evidence; he must prove he was insane at the time by a preponderance of the ponderance of the evidence, and not beyond a reasonable doubt.

In the third phase, you and you, alone, should you find him quilty of murder in the first degree on any count in the first phase, you and you, alone, as the jury, determines what penalty shall be imposed, either the death penalty or life imprisonment.

Now, our law has no preference for one form of punishment over the other and, furthermore, our law gives you no guidelines as to which penalty should be imposed, or in what cases one penalty should be imposed and in what cases the other penalty should be imposed. The law leaves it entirely to the discretion and the good conscience of each juror.

Now, as one juror has so very aptly put it, in the first phase of the trial, the People have the burden; in the second phase of the trial the defendant has the burden; and in the third phase of the trial, you, the juror, has the burden. I think that was well and aptly put.

How, we will be speaking -- first, I told you the People must prove the guilt of this defendant in the first phase of the trial beyond a reasonable doubt, so I think before we go much further, I should read to you what the law defines as a reasonable doubt:

"A defendant in a criminal action is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is proved, and in case of a reasonable doubt whether his guilt is

10.

1Î

15.

.20

£27∵

7.

ģ

2Ž

satisfactorily shown, he is entitled to an acquittal. This presumption places upon the state the burden of proving him guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Reasonable doubt is defined as follows, it is not a mere possible doubt, because everything relating to human affairs and depending on moral evidence is open to some possible or imaginary doubt. It is that stage of the case which after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence leaves the minds of the jurous in that condition that they cannot say they feel an abiding conviction to a moral certainty of the truth of the charge."

That is our definition of reasonable doubt. You notice it does not require that the guilt of any defendant be proved beyond every possible or imaginary doubt, because, you know, all things relating to human affairs is open to some possible or imaginary doubt. It requires the People to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty.

Now, the defendant, as I said, has entered a plea of not quilty by reason of insanity. No doubt you have heard people discussing sanity, doctors and medical men have their definition of insanity, but the law has only one definition on insanity, based upon the old M'Maughton rule, and our law of insanity or definition of insanity is as follows:

"Legal insanity," -- and you notice we speak
of "legal insanity," not "medical insanity" -"Legal insanity, as the made are used in

ļ.

2

3∙

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16.

17

18

19

20 *

2f 22

23

24

25 26

27.

. 28' ` these instructions, means a diseased or deranged condition of the mind which makes a person incapable of knowing or understanding the nature and quality of an act, or makes a person incapable of knowing or understanding that his act was wrong.

You notice the first part speaks of understanding the nature and quality of a fact; the second part says that he has no knowing or understanding that the act which he committed was wrong.

And then we go on to say: "If you find that the defendant was capable of knowing and understanding the nature and quality of his act, and in addition, was capable of knowing and understanding that his act was wrong, you will find that he was legally same.

not capable of knowing or understanding the nature and quality of his act, you will find that he was legally insane; or if you find that he was incapable of knowing or understanding that his act was wrong, you will find that he was legally insane."

As I said, the defendant has the burden of proving that by a preponderance of the evidence.

Now, in addition to his plea of not guilty, the defendant has probably and, in all probability, will offer a defense of diminished capacity. Now, diminished capacity is not to be confused with insanity.

<u>2</u>1

\

You see, on the charge of murder, the definition of murder is just the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought. You connect murder with intentional killing of a human being and the important point is with malice aforethought.

Now, murder in the first degree so far as we are concerned in this case is the willful, deliberate, and intentional killing with malice aforethought.

That would constitute murder in the first degree so far as we are concerned.

Murder is divided into two different degrees: First degree and second degree.

Second degree would be a killing of a human being, but may lack the intent or may lack the premeditation or may lack the willfulness.

If either one or all of those elements are missing, then it is reduced from murder first degree to murder second degree, but you will motice that there again we must have the malice aforethought. Helice aforethought is present both in first degree marder and murder second degree.

If we do not have malice aforethought but we have the other ingredients, then murder is eliminated and we then are reduced to, so far as this case is concerned, to voluntary manufacturer.

In the first phase of guilt or innocence you are not to be concerned with his legal sanity or insanity, but you are to be concerned in addition to whether or not he committed the acts, whether at the time he committed the acts

8-2

.

26.

he was suffering from diminished capacity. That is one of the elements in the first phase of this trial.

We will define diminished capacity.

at the time the elleged crime was committed --" now,
you notice we say at the time the alleged crime was committed,
not the present time -- "the defendant had substantially
reduced mental capacity, whether caused by mental
illness, mental defect, intoxication, or any other
cause -- and I believe therewill be evidence here of
was and the abuse of drugs -- you must consider what
effect, if any, this diminished capacity had on
the defendant's ability to form any of the specific
mental states that are essential elements of
murder and voluntary menslaughter.

Thus if you find that the defendant's mental capacity was diminished to the extent that you have a reasonable doubt whether he did maturaly and meaningfully premoditate, deliberate, and reflect upon the gravity of his contemplated act, or form an intest to kill, you cannot find him guity of a willful, deliberate, and premeditated murder of the first degree.

"Also if you find that his mental capacity was diminished to the extent that you have a reasonable doubt whether he did harbor malica aforethought, you cannot find him guilty of murder of either the first or second degree."

8-3

Я

2<u>2</u>

Which comes back to what I told you before, if you find that he did not or could not harbor malice aforethought, then you eliminate first degree murder or murder second degree and you now come down to voluntary manufacture.

So far as this case is concerned, and should the evidence prove otherwise I will give you further instructions, but I believe we will be confined in this case to this definition of voluntary manufaughter:

"Voluntary manulaughter is the intentional and unlawful killing of a human being without malice aforethought. There is no malice aforethought if the evidence shows that due to diminished capacity caused by mental illness, mental defect, or intoxication --" and that of course includes drugs -- "the defendant did not have the capacity to attain the mental state constituting malice aforethought, even though the killing be intentional, voluntary, deliberate, premeditated and unprovoked."

That is our definition of voluntary manufacturer when we find the defendant was suffering from some form or other of diminished expecity sufficient to show a lack of any of those elements necessary.

How, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, in every case that involves mental capacity or diminished capacity or insanity, both sides will depend upon expert opinions, but you are the sele and exclusive judges of the facts in this case. That means you and you alone determine what the facts

Are.

6.

Each sides can use experts in this case who will express to you their epinion whether or not this defendant did have diminished especity in the first phase and should you find him guilty of the first phase, they will express their opinion as to whether or not this defendant was insane at the time he committed the acts, but as I told you they give their opinion, but ultimately the facts and the final judgment rests with you as jurors whether you do or do not accept their opinions as to the mental state of this defendant at the time of the commission of the acts.

A STATE OF THE STA

The state of the s

of the facts of this case, you are the exclusive judges of the credibility of witnesses in this case and a witness means any person, whether he be a defendant witness, peace officer or anything else, if he swears to tell the truth in this case and testifies in this case, he is a witness and the credibility of every witness is judged by the same standards.

I cannot give you all the standards by which we judge the credibility of witnesses, but some of those standards are his demeasor on the stand, his capacity to perceive and relate what he perceived, his ability to perceive.

And then you ask: Is the witness biased? Does he have an he have a motive to testify in this case? Does he have an interest in the outcome of this case? Has he made statements previously that are inconsistent with the testimony that he new gives on the stand? Has he made statements previously that are consistent with the testimony he gives on the stand?

8+5

__

Now, those are some of the standards by which we judge the credibility of all witnesses.

Whether they be members of the Sheriff's effice or the police department, whatever, it makes no difference, simply because a man is a police officer does not entitle him to more exedibility than a lay witness and because he is a police officer for that reason, and that reason alone, you do not give less consideration to his testimony.

You will judge and weigh his credibility by the same standards you do any other witness.

Now, when we come to experts, we have something in addition and I will read that to you;

"A person is qualified to testify as an expert if he has a special knowledge, skill, experience, training or aducation sufficient to qualify him as an expert on the subject to which his testimony relates.

"Duly qualified experts may give their opinions on questions in controversy at a trial. To assist you in deciding such questions, you may consider the opinion, with the reason given for it, if any, by the expert who gives the opinion.

"You may also consider the qualfications and credibility of the expert.

"In resolving any conflict that may exist in the testimony of expert witnesses, you should weigh the opinion of one expert against that of

7.

9 fls.

.

another. In doing this you should consider the relevant qualifications and credibility of the expert witnesses as well as the reasons for each opinion and facts and other matters upon which it was based.

"You are not bound to accept an expert opinion as conclusive, but should give to it the weight to which you find it to be entitled. You may disregard any such opinion if you find it to be unreasonable."



9R-1

12[.]

`17

20.

You see, that goes back to my previous statement, that you and you, alone, are the sole and exclusive judges of the facts in this case.

You determine what the facts are; you determine the credibility of the witnesses and you make the ultimate decision on every issue involved in this case.

So you ask, "Well, if we are going to do all that, what are you up there for?"

Mell, I am up here to decide questions of law that may arise during the trial, and give you your final instructions on the law. Now, you may not like the law as it exists today; you may find a quarrel with the law, but as the law exists today, you must accept my definition of the law and not substitute what you think should be the law, or what you feel might be the better law. It is your duty, and you must accept the law from me.

Now, during the trial of this case, one lawyer may ask a question and the other lawyer may say, "Judge, I object to that question."

That's just a polite way of saying to me, "Judge, if you allow that witness to answer that question, you are violating one of the rules of evidence." I, then, am called upon to either sustain the objection to the question or over-rule the objection.

If I overrule the objection, the witness is permitted to answer the question.

If I mustain the objection, the witness is not permitted to answer the question. In that case, ladies and

23 -

gentlemen, you are not to conjecture or speculate as to what
the answer might have been or could have been or even the
reason why the lawyer objected. You see, that's a matter of
law between counsel and the Court and you are not concerned
with that. You have enough to do to determine the facts, the
credibility of the witness and, if it comes to it, the penalty
to be imposed.

Now, counsel have estimated that it is going to take about two months to try this case, and we may as well be frank with you now, this is the case involving Manson and the Tate-La Bianca murders.

This defendant Watson was not a defendant in that case; consequently, no matter what you may have heard or read about that case, you are not to consider that any evidence whatsoever against this defendant. He comes here in this court presumed to be innocent, and that presumption remains with him until you hear all the evidence, until you hear my instructions in the law, and until you go to that jury room to decide all the issues in this case.

that means, look the jury up every night during the taking of the testimony -- unless, as I said before, something that should not have happened does happen, and I feel in the interest of justice, as a result of what has happened, we should lock the jury up; but, as I say, I have no intention of doing so at the present time.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, both the People and the defendant are entitled to the individual opinion of each juror on every issue of fact that is presented in this case.

2

4

Ś

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13, 14

15

16

17

18

19

20° 21

22

23`

24

25

26

27

28

You see, both the People and the defendant are entitled to a fair trial, a fair trial that is based only upon the evidence in this case and the law as I shall state it to you, and we have been working hard now for over a week to get that kind of a jury, a jury that can be just as fair to the People as to this defendant.

Now, counsel on both sides will ask you questions to determine your qualifications as a juror. They do not intend to pry into your personal lives, that is not their object; but each side is endeavoring to get what they believe to be a fair jury and a well balanced jury, and this is the only purpose they are asking you questions; so, please, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, do not resent the questions they ask you. Remember, both sides are seeking justice, that's all we ask, justice at the hands of a fair and impartial jury.

Mr. Bubrick --

MR. BUBRICK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- you may inquire. Lest you have forgotten, Hr. Adoock was the last man mented.

MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Honor.

- Q Mr. Addook, may I ask you, sir, the nature of your business or occupation?
- A I'm an engineer, electronics. BY THE COURT:
- 9 Before we go into that, Mr. Addock, can you give us the two months we need?
 - A Yes.
 - I Now, you know that should we come to the question

BEVERLY J. DAVIS

KY	THE	COURT:
77.5		

Q Take seat No. 7, please.

Mrs. Davis, can you give us the two months we

mend to try this ease?

A Yes.

Q Now, how about your views toward the death penalty, are they such that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty without regard to any evidence that might be developed at the trial of this case?

A Yes, I would.

Q Is that a philosophical objection to the death penalty or is it a religious objection; which is it?

A No, it is not religion, it is just my personal belief.

Q It is your personal belief?

Can we say that it is unmistakably clear that under no circumstances would you impose the death penalty?

A That's clear.

Q . It is unmistakably clear that that is your attitude?

A Right,

THE COURT: Do you wish to question any further?
MR. BUGLIOSI: No. your Honor.

May the witness -- the juror, be excused by stipulation?

MR. BUBRICK: Se stipulated.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Davis; you may be excused.

3

5

6

7

8

Q٠

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

26

1

THE CLERK: Mrs. Velma Kruikshank, K-r-u-i-k-s-h-a-n-k. MRS. KRUIKSHANK: That is Kruikshank.

THE CLERK! Kereusisk --

VELMA KRUIKSHAMK

BY THE COURT:

- Is that the correct spelling, watem? Q
- A Yes.
- Q And you pronounce it, please?
- Kruikshank.
- Kruikshank? Thank you. 0

Mrs. Kruikshank, can you give us the two months we need to try this case?

- I think so.
- Now, how about your views toward the death penalty, are they such that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty without regard to any evidence that might be developed in this case?
 - No.
- Are your views such that you would automatically vote for life imprisonment without regard to any evidence that might be developed in this case?
 - Would you explain that, please?
- Wall, you see, we want to know whether you have any fixed ideas.

I've explained to you that only you can fix the penalty in this case, whether it is life or death; and that depends upon all the evidence you hear in this case and

27

28

9A-3

2

ŀ

4 5

7

6

9

R.

10 11

12

13

15 16

17 18

19[.]

20 21

22 23

24

.25 26

27

28

everything else you might hear about this defendant.

After you have heard all that and if you have found him guilty of murder in the first degree and you have found him same, them you and you alone, as the juror, fixes that penalty, one or the other.

There are no gaidelines; the court has no preference, the law has no preference, it rests with your own good remarkance.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Q Now, having heard me say that, do you have a preference of one over the other at this time?
 - A No.
- Q You would listen to everything that is to be said; is that correct?
 - A I would try.
- Q And when that is all done you then will form your own opinion; is that right?
 - A I will.
- Q Now, Hrs. Kruikshank, you heard us say we are trying to get a jury here and a juror who will exercise her was individual opinion and who will be fair to the people and fair to the defendant.

Do you know of any reason you could not be such a juror?

A Mo.

THE COURT: If you will, move one ment over, please, Mrs. Kruikshank, ment to Mrs. Trainor. Thank you.

You may impulse. 1 MR. BURRICK: Thank you, 2 Mrs. Kruikshank, are you employed outside of the 0 Я hene? Yes. A 5 And the nature of your work, please? 0 6 I am a clark for Western Union. Å 7 Q And is there a Mr. Kruikshank? Я Yes, there is. Ä 9 May I ask, please, the nature of his work? Q 10 He is an electronics technician for Western Union. A 11 also. 12 BY THE COURT: What was the agency, please? Q 13. He's an electronics technicism for Western Union. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 MY MR. BUBRICK: Western Union? 16 Q A Yes. 17 Mrs. Kruikshank, have you ever read in the field 0 18 19 that deals with drugs? 20 Yes. Have you read anything about the drug LSD, perhaps 21 0 marijuana --22 Yes. I have tried to get some knowledge on the 23 A 24 subject. Now about the field that deals with amphetamines? 25 Q 26 A Yes. 27 Have you formed any opinion about whether or not Q 28 these drugs are able or capable of making any changes in human

94-4

1	behavior, without telling us what your epinion is?
2	A I think they are.
3	Q You have formed some sort of an opinion about those
4	drugst
5	A I can't say that I have, because I'm constantly
6	trying to understand it.
7	Q Would it be safe to say that you have continued
8	to read for self-education in this field, then?
9	A Yes, if nothing more than but for the purpose of
10	my children knowledge, you know.
11.	
12	
13	· ,
14	
15	
16 i	
17	
18	,
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	,
26.	
27	
28	
	<u> </u>

10 fls.

1	
0	

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q Let me ask you then, do you have any children?
- A Yes.
- Q As long as you suggested that?
- l Yes.
- Q Now old are they?
- A They are 12, 10, and 8.
- You realize, Mrs. Kruikshank, that even though you have a legitimate interest in drugs -- and as parents, I suppose we all have a feeling in that area -- that we are not going to resolve the drug issue in this case?
 - A I know.
- All we are going to try to do is find out whether Mr. Watson is guilty of several counts of murder.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Q I am not suggesting that drugs may not be important or significant. All I want to find out and make sure that you are not going to prejudice Mr. Watson just because you hear or you may hear that he was a drug user or drug abuser?
 - A I understand.
- There may also be evidence, Mrs. Kruikshank, that Mr. Watson lives the sort of a hippie-type life, that he lived in a commune, that there were other people of his own age there and the life style, the way in which they lived and some of the other things connected with the way that he chose to live, may not be things or ways of living that you approve of.
- Now, irrespective of that, would that fact alone tend to create any prejudice in your mind against Mr. Watson?

27

28

A would not.

Again, let me suggest that I am not trying to imply that you shouldn't be cognizant or that you cannot put that in its proper prespective, because if you think there is something about the way that he lived that helps you determine whether or not he is guilty of these crimes, you certainly may take that into consideration, but absent of that we want to make sure that just because he lived in the manner which you don't approve of, that you are not going to find him guilty or be inclined to find him guilty because of that alone.

Do you understand?

- A Yes, I do.
- May I ask you, Mrs. Kruikshank, have you ever been the victim of any crime of violence?
- A Yes. I don't know what you mean by "of violence," burglary?
 - Q House burglary.
 - k Yes.
- Q Something was taken from the house or the car, perhaps?
 - L Yes.
- 0 Did you file a police report in connection with that?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - 1 hope you got yours back.
 - A. No, I didn't.
- Q I take it along with the filing of the police report, you probably had occasion to come in contact with some

1	A. Bis name?
2	Q No: are they members of the Los Angeles Police
3	Department?
4	A Yes, the sheriff's department, and we have several
5	people that work for the company that are members of the
б	sheriff's reserve.
7	Are they people that you see very frequently?
8 .	A. Some of them I do in my work contact.
.9	THE COURT: SHETIEF's reserve.
10	Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Sheriff's reserve?
1Î '	A. Yes,
12	Q Are there any who are members of the regular Los
13	Angeles Police Department or regular sheriff's detail?
14	A Not in direct contact, no.
15	Q So far as you know, those people are not actively
16	involved in crime suppression or anything of that nature?
17	A No. Are you talking about my friends?
18	Q Yes, your friends, who are members of the sheriff's
19	reserve.
20	A Well, we have a friend that is a member of the
21	sheriff's department but it is strictly social.
2,2,	O Do you see him quite frequently?
23	A No, not really.
24	When you see him, do you discuss the nature of his
25	work?
26	A I prefer not to.
27	Q Does he discuss it with you?
28	A No. That is sort of a bond of our friendship.

1.	g You don't get involved
2	A No.
3	with the professionalisms of the work; is that
4.	Correct?
5	No. 10 the said the said the said
6 .	Q Do you happen to know what detail he is with?
7	A. I don't know. He might be a bailiff now. I am
8 ,	not sure. Mine is mostly with his wife.
9	g Okay. Do you know, or are you acquainted with
10 -	anybody who is near and dear to you, who was ever the victim
ĺl	of any crime?
12	A No, not directly, no.
13	Q Have you ever witnessed any crime being committed
14	that you can recall, Mrs. Kruikshank?
15	A I've been held up, if that is witnessing.
16	2 You mean you have been the person a victim of a
17	robbery?
18.	A. Yes.
19	D. When did that occur?
20 ,	A. Oh, I guess it has been over five years ago.
21	o Is that here in the Los Angeles area?
22	A. Yes. As I stated, I worked for Western Union and
23	our office was constantly held up over there.
24	And was this robbery that you are mentioning now
25	in connection with the robbery of a Western Union office?
26	l Yes.
27	Q Where you were an employee?
28	A Yes.

quilty of at least one or more counts of first degree murder.

Do you understand that it is a willful, premeditated, deliberate murder with malice aforethought.

You may be able to find him quilty of as many as seven such type murders.

Can you tell me now, Mrs. Kruikshank, whether you feel the death penalty is the only proper punishment for one who has been found guilty of seven counts of first degree murder?

- A I believe it would be.
- Q Is that the way you feel about a person whom you might convict of seven counts of first degree murder?
 - A Yes.
- Q You realize from what the judge has said, that we want a juror who will consider all facts in connection with this case, no matter which side presents them, in determining which of these two types of punishment you are going to impose.

Do you understand that the jury, and the jury alone, without any assistance from the Court, will decide whether it be life or death, if you get into the third phase, and let me ask you just once again: If you find the defendant guilty of seven counts of first degree murder, Mrs. Kruikshank, can you honestly tell us whether or not you would be forced to impose the death penalty, whether you would automatically do that?

- L In all good conscience, I would.
- Q. In all good conscience, you would?
- A Yes.

Ö. You would automatically impose it, if you found him guilty of that number of counts of murder?

L Yes.

MR. BUBRICK: Your Monor, at this time I would impose a challenge under 1073 Subdivision 2.

THE COURT: Well, I wonder whether Mrs. Kruikshank fully understood it.

Mrs. Kruikshank, you know that whether or not to impose the death penalty depends upon all the facts in this case, his background, what he did here, everything.

Would you close your mind to all the other evidence and just consider the fact that you have found him guilty of seven counts of murder in the first degree and for that reason alone impose the death penalty?

I wouldn't close my mind to that, your Monor. mean I honestly feel that after all the testimony was in, and with the evidence of the qualified people and everything, I would judge it and if in my conscience, in my mind, that I felt he was actually guilty on all these counts, I would, because I feel that nothing else can be done, no further studies or anything could be done.

THE COURT: I will allow the challenge. Thank you, Hrs. Kruikshank, you will be excused.

11	1
	2
	а.

5

٠6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE CLERK: Joel D. Greenberg, G-r-e-e-n-b-e-r-g.

JOEL D. GREENBERG

BY THE COURT:

- Mr. Greenberg, can you give us the two menths we Q meed to try this case?
 - Ho. A
 - Whyt Q

Because I am a teacher and if I am not availabe at the start of school in September, it may be a year before I sould get organized for work -- employment again.

THE COUNT: We wouldn't want that to happen.

Gentlemen, may be be excused?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Henor.

MR. KAY: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BURRICK: So stipulated.

THE CLERK: Beho Goto, G-o-t-o.

MRS. GOTO: That's "Echo."

THE CLERK: E-c--h-o, first name; last name is G-o-t-o.

ECHO GOTO

BY THE COURT!

- Is that Hiss or Mrs. Goto?
- Miss.
- Miss Goto, did you hear the somments I made as you sat back there?
 - Yes.
- Can you give us the two months we need to try this case?

-
_
- 2

5

.6· 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20

2]

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

A Yes.

Q You have some hesitancy there. I know two months is a long time to give of one's life, but we have to have a jury trial and we have to find people who will devote their time in the interest of justice.

Can you do that for us?

A Yes

Q Now, how about the question of the death penalty,
Miss Goto, do you feel that you would automatically vote against
the death penalty regardless of what facts might be developed
in this case?

A Could you repeat that?

Q Do you have any conscientious objections to the death penalty?

A Mo.

Q Them you would not vote automatically against the death penalty?

A Yo.

Q You heard me explain that you and you alone, should we come to that phase of the trial, impose what the penalty shall be, whether it shall be life or death.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And that depends on everything you might hear in this case, not upon any one isolated fact, but on everything.

A Yes.

Q It depends upon your own good judgment and your own good conscience.

l1-3	1
	2
	3
	4,
	'5 -
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
•	23
	24
	25

27

28

•	
4	365.
	4501

- Q Now, Mr. Bubrick has been esking here, supposing you find him guilty of seven murders, murders in the first degree, would that feet, alone, cause you to give him the death penalty or will you consider everything you hear in this ease?
 - A I will consider everything.
- Q Mow, you know we are trying to get a jury of 12 people, a fair minded jury, impartial jury, who will give the people and the defendant a fair trial based only upon the evidence.

Can you be that kind of a juror, Hiss Goto?

A I will try.

THE COURT: That's all we can expect.

Thank you. You may inquire.

Q BY MR. KEITH: Mrs. Gote, I'm going to sak you a few -- it is Miss Goto? I'm sorry.

De you have a business or occupation?

Tes.

- Q May I ask what that is?
- A I am a florist.
- Q And do you operate your own shop some place?
- A Yes, I am self-employed,
- Q And where is your florist shop located, generally?
- A 7833 South Central Avenue.
- Q Now long have you had your shep there?
- A At that one location, 22 years.
- Q Maye you lived in the Los Angeles County area most

4		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of your life?

- A. Yes.
- Q All your life you have lived here?

- A Los Angeles County, yes.
- Q Were you born in this county?

A I was just born in Orange County, but most of my life was lived in Los Angeles County.

Q Were you able to hear what his Honor, Judge Alexander told you and everyone else about some of the principles of law --

A Year

Q -- that the respective jury panel may have to emcounter and wrestle with in this case?

A Yes.

Q De you think you, as a result of Judge Alexander's exposition, have acquired some grasp of what he is talking about?

A Yes.

Q De you understand there may, but not necessarily, be three phases to this case; and the same jury would sit on all three phases in the event they all came to pass?

Did you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And you understood that the first part of this trial is considered -- normally called the guilt or imposence phase, where you determine whether Mr. Watson is guilty of anything or not.

Did you understand that?

A Yes.

27⁻ 28

1
2

5

7:

Ò.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

Q And did you also understand that you may be very vitally concerned in that first phase of the case with Mr. Watson's mental condition at the time of the alleged Tate-

A Yes, I'm aware of it.

Q Do you remember what his Honor was talking about diminished capacity?

A (Modding affirmatively.)

Q You have to answer; I'm sorry, you have to answer out loud.

A Yes.

Q Do you think you understood something about what he was telling you?

A Yes.

Q That a person's mental state or state of mind or frame of mind or mental capacity may in this case have much to do with whether or not Mr. Watson is guilty of enything.

A Yes.

Q Because not only in murder but in any crime there has to be a joint operation of act and intent; and you may have the act but if you don't have the intent -- I won't so into an exposition of the law +- but, nonetheless, intent is always important, mental state.

A Yes.

Q You were with his Honor when he told you about diminished capacity; is that right?

A Yes.

Q This is a mental state of mind which is short of

28

insanity, do you understand that; you don't consider sanity 11-6 or insanity in the first phase, only in the second phase, and then only if you convict Mr. Matson of something. A Yes. Diminished capacity is a doctrine or a concept Q that falls something short of legal insanity. Are you with me? A Yes. 11A

11A 1 Q All right. If you aren't, say so. Don't just waswer "yes," 2 3. because you think that's the answer I want. That isn't 4 necessarily so at all. We want to find out your state of mind. 5 6 Á Yes. I will ask you to repeat the question if I am 7 in doubt. 8 Q Thank you. 9 If I may. A 10 I appreciate that. Of course, you may. Q 11 Now, have you ever, in your florist shop, say, 12 been held up or --13 A No. 14 ** have you ever been the victim or anybody close 15 to you been the victim of an assault or a battery or a robbery 16 or burglary? 17 A No. 18 Have you ever witnessed any erime ---Q 19 A Ho. 20 ** serious crime, that is? Q 21 A XÓ. 22 And do you live near your florist shop? Q. 23 Yes, right next to it. A 24 Have you ever been a juror before? Q 25 No. 26 I have you ever done any reading, Miss Goto, in the 27 field of psychology or psychiatry? 28 A No.

11A-2

2

1

3 4.

5.

6

Ť

8

g,

10 11

12

13

14, 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

99

24

23

25 26

27 28

And in the event psychiatrists testify here, would 0 you give them the benefit of their testimony and weigh and consider it and give it the weight to which you think it is entitled?

Yes.

Do you have enything against psychiatrists or Q psychologists?

No.

Q You heard some of the questions -- or, I'm sure you heard all of the questions that Hr. Bubrick asked the juror that preceded you about whether or not she'd be offended or distressed or prejudiced against Mr. Watson because of the life style that he undertook in 1967, '68 and '69, that he was a hippie, that he used lots of drugs, that he lived in a commine and he lived with this fellow Manson for some time, and that the commune, itself, didn't have any particularly high standard of moral values or social goals.

Would these facts, in the event the evidence so showed this to be the case, so prejudice you against Mr. Watson that you couldn't give him a fair trial?

Nou

You wouldn't be offended or distressed if there Q were evidence that Mr. Watson had been a hippie. let's say?

Ho.

Q. Kov. I'm not suggesting. Hiss Goto, that such evidence about drugs and about the communal living and about Minson way not be significant, relevant, important in your final determination of this cause. I am just asking you if you would

27.

put Mr. Val	son down just because he lived in that manner?
Ä	No.
Q	Did you read snything about the so-called Manson
ease during	te progress?
A	I do not have very much time to read,
Q	Did you know enything about that case at all before
you came in	nto court this morning?
	Did you ever hear of Charles Manson before?
A	***
Q	And before you came into court did you have any
idea of wh	o he wast
· 🛦	Manighly; but I don't know the details of it. I
haven't fo	llewed it that closely.
Q	Who was be, as far as you know?
A	En was a man.
Q	That's about all you know about him?
A	(Medding affirmatively.)
Q	I take it, then, that you haven't formed any
epinion ab	out whether or not Mr. Watson is more likely to be
suilty the	n immogent because he was at one time in Heason's
commune, s	inse you never heard of Hanson before other than
that he wa	s a man; is that a fair statement?
A	That is right.
Q	So you were coming into court today with a fresh,

open mind about this case?

A Tes,

Q And, incidentally, if selected as a trial juror will you keep an open mind throughout the testimony --

- 4		
i	A	Oh, yes,
2	Q	or the evidence in this case?
3		You won't stuff your ears with cotton just as soon
4	as the pro	secution finishes its case, will you
5	Ą	No.
6	Q	** and not give Mr. Watson the benefit of his
7	testimony and his witnesses and his evidence?	
8-		You won't do that, will you?
9	A	No.
10	Q	You are sure of that?
11	A	Yes,
1 <u>2</u>	Q	Have you ever seen Hr. Bugliesi before you came to
13	eourt today?	
14,	A	No. Who is the gentlemen?
15	Q	He is the gentleman seated at the end of the
16	counsel to	ble, with the vest on.
17	. A	I'm sorry. Was I supposed to recognize him?
18	Q	Well, I'd say so. I'd put it that way. He's been
19	on televis	ion on eccasion.
20		Do you ever watch television?
21	A	My television has been broken since December and
22	I cannot b	ave it fixed.
23	Q	I'm serry, Maybe you don't werry about that, but -
24		Did you ever read about the case in the newspaper,
25	this Henso	n case?
26·		Not in detail.
27	Q	De you know what happened in that case, what the
28:	outcome of	St. west.

Well, having very little, if any, knowledge about the Tate-La Bianca homicide and the Manson case, parhaps some of my questions weren't yery understandable to you.

What I am interested in finding out or was, is this and I am in effect appakin g to everybody: There was, although you didn't realize it, a great deal of notoriety and a great deal of publicity worldwide. in fact, concerning this so-called Manson case, and we are interested in secking jurous that, if they have formed any opinion about Mr. Watson as a result of their exposure to the publicity and notoriety of that ease. whether or not they can exese that opinion from their minds and give Mr. Menson a fair trial without -- Mr. Watson, thank you -without being prejudiced against him because of all of this notoriety?

If you have an opinion as a result of that other case and think it is going to take evidence or testimony to erase it from your mind, then it is going to be difficult for any prospective jurer to be fair to Mr. Watson.

> You san what I am getting at? Yes

12

28

13R-1

1 . 2

3

_

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

.26` 27

28.

Q You're in the fortunate position of not having had any opinion in the past, so there is nothing for you to erase or disassociate from your mind.

THE COURT: Is this a question, Mr. Keith?

- O BY MR. KEITH: Is that not a fair statement?
- A That's right.
- A Now, with respect to the capital punishment, Miss Goto, the district attorney, the deputy district attorney, Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Kay, will tell you they are seeking the death penalty in this case, in the event Mr. Watson is convicted of first degree murder.

In the event they tell you, would you be so overawed by the position of the district attorneys towards Mr.
Watson in this case, that you would be more inclined to believe
now that he is more likely to be guilty than innocent?

- A I would have to listen to everyone,
- Q You understand now that Mr. Watson is presumed innocent, do you not?
 - A He hasn't been proven anything. He is innocent.
- I That is right. Thank you. And you won't be more inclined to believe that Mr. Hatson is more likely guilty than innocent of anything because Mr. Bugliosi may tell you that his office is seeking the death penalty in this case; is that correct?
 - A Well, Mr. Bugliosi is only one man's opinion.
- G Do you have any opinions on capital punishment at this time?

In other words, Hiss Goto, if you were asked to

vote whether to abolish or retain capital punishment, do you have an opinion now as to how you would vote?

- I would vote for capital punishment.
- Q Now, I asked you if you had an opinion on an abstract philosophical basis. Do you understand what I mean?
 - A. What do you mean by philosophical?
- Q Just hypothetically, do you have an opinion, not with respect to any particular facts.

I am interested in what your views are on capital punishment in general.

- A I believe in capital punishment.
- notined, in the event Mr. Watson is convicted of first degree murder, to vote that death penalty without regard to the facts surrounding the case, without regard to Mr. Watson's background and history, and without regard to any other circumstances that may come before you as evidence?
 - A I must listen to all the evidence.
- Q Would you automatically vote for the death penalty because you believe in capital punishment?
 - A No.
- O Do you feel you would have the courage to vote for life imprisonment, if you felt that was the proper punishment in this case, and we ever got that far in the trial despite your belief in capital punishment?
 - A I will have to listen to the evidence.
- Q Let's suppose that you believe that this was an appropriate case for the imposition of life imprisonment,

_

·23

9E

 (Affirmative response.)

If and when you are later seated in the jury box, Mr. Kay, my partner, or I will ask you whether you heard the questions that I asked Miss Goto, and if we ask you if we ask you the same questions would your answers be essentially the same, to be able to answer that question, you will have to listen to all the questions we ask Miss Goto.

Will you all promise to do that? (Affirmative response.)

- Miss Goto, you understand, ma'am, that if the jury which is selected to sit on this case comes back with a verdict, with first degree murder against Mr. Matson, and the jury finds that he was same at the time of these murders, that the prosecution is going to ask for the death penalty. You understand that?
 - A Yes.
- Q I am sure that the defense attorneys will ask for life imprisonment. We will ask for death, Mr. Key and myself. You understand that.

Do you understand that, ma'am?

- A Yes.
- about the death penalty for the purpose of ascertaining your state of mind with respect to it and if the questions that I ask do not specifically pinpoint your problem, but suggests something that you think I ought to know about, please volunteer this information, so that I can address myself to that particular area.

Okay, wa am?

L.

2

3

5

6

7

8.

9

10

1,1

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

A. Yes.

ŝ.

Mis Honor went over this very, very well, but because of its importance and because you, of course, are not a lawyer, ma'am, nor any of you out there, I don't believe are lawyers, I am going to go over it again for emphasis just to clarify any point or problems that may still remain in your mind.

Since this area is a little complicated, I have had a chart prepared there. Do you see that, to your left front, Niss Goto?

- A Yes.
- g Now, in the first trial, ma'am, if the jury convicts Mr. Watson of any degree of criminal homicide whatso-ever, first degree murder, second degree murder, voluntary manulaughter, there will follow a second trial called the sanity trial and during that second trial, the only issue for you as a member of the jury to decide will be whether Mr. Watson was sane or insane at the time of these murders.

Do you understand that, ma'am?

- A Yes.
- Q If you have any questions about this as I go along. don't hesitate to ask. All right?
 - A Yes.
- Now, during the first trial, matam, the guilt or innoncence trial, the issue of sanity or insanity will not arise.

Do you understand that?

l Yes.

Q.	That	will not	be an	issue	for	the	jury	to	decide
during the	first	trial?							

- l Yes.
- That will only arise during the second trial.
 Do you understand that?
- L Yes.

Do you understand further that if during the second trial you find as a member of the jury, and the other jurors concur, that Mr. Watson was legally sane, not insane, but that he was legally sane at the time of these murders, there will follow a third trial called the penalty trial, in which the sole issue for you to decide will be whether Mr. Watson receives life imprisonment or the death penalty.

Do you understand that?

L Yes.

Do you understand further that if in the second trial, that is the sanity trial, you find that Mr. Watson was legally insane, you find during the second trial that he was insane — this means, Miss Goto, that he will be found to be not guilty of these murders because of his insanity, and because he is not guilty of these murders because of his insanity, there will not be a third trial. There will not be a penalty trial.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Do you understand further that if, during the first trial, he is found to be not guilty of these murders, or if he is found to be guilty of some degree of criminal

ļ

2

4

5

6

7. 8

9

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20· 21

22

23,

.24

25

26 27

28

homicide, lesser than first degree murder, such as second degree murder, there also will not be a penalty trial?

In other words, if he is found to be guilty, let's say of second degree murder, there will not be a penalty trial; there will only be a penalty trial, a third trial, if Mr. Watson is convicted of first degree murder and he is found to be sane at the time of these murders.

Do you understand that?

- L Yes.
- Q Is there any question in your mind about it?
- 2. Only first degree and insanity.
- Q The first degree and insanity. Only if he is convicted of first degree murder during the first trial, and if he is found to be same during the second trial, that there will be a third trial, the penalty trial?
 - A. Yes, I understand.
- Q. Hiss Goto, neither his Honor nor defense counsel, nor Hr. Kay nor I can tell you what is or is not a proper case for the imposition of the death penalty for the simple reason that there is no legal definition of what is or is not a proper case.

The law says that it is within the absolute discretion of the jury to decide what is and what is not a proper case for the death penalty.

There are simply no guidelines or standards for you to follow.

Do you understand that?

L Yes,

.24

°

THE COURT: Can you suggest a substitution?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, there are substitutions. I myself are not in favor of them, but other people have suggested substitutions.

MISS GOTO: At this time, I have no suggestions to give you.

- o BY MR. BUGLIOSI: I am not the pollater knocking on your door, Miss Goto, but you are in favor of keeping the death penalty then? You are in favor of keeping the death penalty in the state of California?
 - L Unless another better idea comes up.
 - And you haven't found that idea yet?
 - A No.
- think Judge Alexander also said it -- many people are not opposed to the death penalty, they have no objection to the death penalty at all, but they personally do not want to sit as a juror on a case where the death penalty is involved, sign their name on a verdict of death.

They want to let somebody else do it. They don't want to do it themselves. Certainly no one can criticise them for that.

It is not easy for any person to go back in that jury room -- it is not fun -- and then come back into this courtroom andlook Mr. Watson in the eye and say, "Mr. Watson, you must die for the murders you have committed."

That is not easy. It is not fun. With that thought in mind, Miss Goto, let me ask

132 .

you this question -- again, when I am saking you this question, I am asking each and every one of you out there the same identical question -- if, after hearing all of the evidence in this case, Mrs. Goto, and considering all of the circumstances, you felt that this was a proper case for the imposition of the death penalty, would you personally have the courage, and would you be willing to vote for a verdict of death?

A Yes.

G To your knowledge, is any member of your family opposed to the death penalty, any relative or close friend or member of your family?

A I am not aware of it.

#13

2 3

Ĭ

15.

Q As Judge Alexander, Mr. Keith and Mr. Bubrick have both stated, during the first trial there is not going to be any issue of sanity or insanity, but the defense will offer evidence that at the time of these murders Mr. Watson was suffering from diminished mental capacity.

Will you follow all of Judge Alexander's instructions on diminished capacity?

Will you follow all of Judge Alexander's instructions to you on the law of diminished capacity?

A Yas

Q Psychiatrists are going to testify in this case, ma'em, for the presecution and for the defense.

Are you of such a frame of mind that if the defense psychiatrists testify that Mr. Watson was suffering from diminished mental capacity at the time of the marders and that he could not deliberate or premeditate these marders and the prosecution psychiatrists, on the other hand, testify that mental he was not suffering from diminished, capacity and he could deliberate and premeditate these marders, do you believe that this disagreement between the defense and the prosecution psychiatrists automatically means that there is a reasonable doubt as to whether he did have the mental capacity to commit these murders?

Would that disagreement cause you to feel that there must be a reasonable doubt?

Do you understand my question?

- A Met quite,
- Q Well, if there is a disagreement between the

13-2

defense psychiatrists and the prosecution psychiatrists as to whether he had the capacity to commit these murders, the mantal capacity, would this disagreement cause you to automatically feel, "Well, since they are in disagreement there must be a reasonable soubt as to whether he had the mental capacity"?

- A Of course, there'd be a ressenable --
- Q You would feel that way?
- A There would be a reasonable doubt.
- Q BY THE COURT: Miss Goto --
- A Ferhans I don't understand it.
- Q -- I explained to you before that you are the exclusive judges of the facts in this case.

I explained to you that some psychiatrists will say he does not; he does have diminished capacity, some will say he does not; and I read to you the law, how you weigh experts' opinion; and after you are through weighing their opinions you make up your mind which ones you believe, and just because one says "yes" and the other says "no," you are not going to take a position at all, you'll take a position no matter what they say; is that right?

- A That is might.
- Q And you will weigh their opinion?
- A Yes
- Q And then you will make up your own mind?
- A Yes.
- Q MY MR. BUGLIOSI: In other words, Miss Goto, the fact that the psychiatrists are in disagreement doesn't mean

2

3

4

5

6

7

.8

٠9

10

 \mathbf{n}

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

22

23

24

25

26

27

there has to be some confusion in your mind; you may have a view one way or the other, irrespective of what they testify?

A Yes, I understand.

Q You understand, Hiss Goto, in almost every single eximinal trial that you can think of the defense psychiatrist and the prosecution psychiatrist or the defense witnesses and the prosecution witnesses disagree. If they were all in agreement on everything there probably wouldn't even be a trial.

You understand that?

A Yes.

Q And the fact that there is a disagreement between the defense and the prosecution witnesses does not mean that you have to feel that there should be confusion in your mind.

You may have a clear view one way or the other, irrespective of what they say; you understand that?

A Yes, yes,

Q It is up to you to decide which witnesses testimony is the most persussive, the most believable ---

A Yes.

Q -- which one has the most merit; do you understand that?

A Yes,

Q I think the law of insanity basically requires
two things, Mrs. Gete -- or, Miss Goto: There are other
sephistications, but I think the two things that you are going
to be dealing with during the trial, during the second trial,
will be, No. 1, whether Mr. Watson had a diseased or a deranged
mind at the time of these murders and, No. 2, if because of this

13-4

19A

3:

8.

T.

. 18

L T

diseased or deranged mind he did not know what he was doing was wrong this is called, as Judge Alexander has said, the M'Maughton test for insanity.

It is an eld English common law that has been adopted in the state of California as a test for insanity.

Now, do you feel that there should be a different test to determine legal insanity than the rule that I have just enunciated to you?

A I wouldn't know.

.2

.15

 Just given you, that to constitute legal insenity a parson has to have a diseased or deranged mind and as a result of the diseased or deranged mind he does not know what he was doing was wrengt

Do you have any quarrel with that test for insanity do you disagree with it?

- A I'll have to listen to --
- Q Just hearing it now, ma'am, is there anything about that rule which you do not like?
 - A I don't know the rule.
 - Q All right, fine.

Assuming that what I have told you now is the rule, is there snything about it that just does not sound right to you, that makes you feel, "Well, I don't agree with that, there should be some other type of a rule"?

- A What is the rule?
- Q All right, I will try to state it again: To constitute legal insanity -- do you understand me thus far?
 - A Yes.
- Q To constitute legal insanity in the state of California it has to be shown that at the time of the crime in this case, marder the defendant, No. 1, was suffering from a diseased or desenged mind and, No. 2, as a result of this diseased or desenged mind he did not know that killing a fellow human being was wrong.

If these two things are present or if either one of these two things are present, the person is legally instre.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

^12

__13

14

15

16

17-

20

21

22

23

24

25.

13

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Now, fer instance, if the evidence during this trial convinces you that, No. 1, at the time of these murders Mr. Watson was not or did not have a diseased or derenged mind or even if he did if you believe that he knew that what he was doing was wrong, he is not legally insene.

Do you understand that

À Yes.

Q Will you promise to apply that strict test for impanity to the feats in this case?

Year.

O In this trial, Miss Goto, the defendant has plead not guilty by reason of insanity. He has actually entered two pleas; No ly-he has plead not guilty and, No. 2, he is pleading mot guilty by reason of insanity.

not sullty by reason of lagguity that this means he is insene;

even if he is thereed with burglary or armon, whatever the erime is, and a defendant in any trial can plead not guilty by reason of insenisy.

De you understand that?

Tes.

Q He has the right to do that.

Yes.

26 27

28

1.

3

5.

7

R

б

9

10

11

12 13

14

16· 17

18

19

20 21

22·

23 24

> 25 26

27

28

Q You understand, further, that his pleading not guilty by reason of insenity, the fact that he claims he is insene is no evidence that he is, in fact, insene.

Do you understand that?

A Yes,

Q Now, during the first trial, as Judge Alexander has told you, the presention has the burden of proving Mr. Watson's ruilt.

During the second trial Mr. Watson has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that he was insene at the time of these murders.

De you understand that?

A Yes.

Q In other words, the prosecution does not have the burden of proving that Mr. Watson was same; he has the burden of proving that he was insure.

Do you understand that?

A Yes,

Q Do you feel that this is an unfair law that places the burden on Mr. Watson to prove that he was insens?

Do you feel that that's unfair, that perhaps we should have the burden of proving that he was same?

Do you feel it is unfair to place the burden on him to prove that he was insens?

A That is the law.

O That is the law, ma'em, but I am asking you if you feel that that is unfair.

A No.

13A-4

2 3

14 fls.

Q Now, do you realize that under the law if Mr.
Watson does not meet his burden; in other words, during the second trial, if he does not prove to you by a prependerance of the evidence that he was insane, you, as a member of the jury, must come back with a verdict that he was sane.

Do you understand that?

A Yes

O Some lay people, Miss Goto, have been heard to say that anyone who commits a vicious, premeditated murder must be insene.

I take it you do not feel that way?

A No.

Q And these murders, these so-called seven Tate-La Bianca murders, you are not of such a frame of mind that anybody who participated in these murders must be insane?

You don't feel that way?

A Ho.

142-1

2

1

3

4

5

6

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27[.] 28 of The primary issue during the trial will most likely be Mr. Matson's mental state at the time of these murders, August the 9th and 10th, 1969.

Do you realise that his mental state at the present time as he sits right here in front of you now, will not be an issue for the jury to decide. Do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Q . Any question about that, about what I have just said?
 - A. Ho.
- I am going to read to you a list of psychiatrists, psychologists and neurologists and ask you if you have ever had any personal contact with any of these people or if you know them:

Dr. Grovner Bailey?

- L No.
- Q Dr. Joel Fort, F-o-r-t?
- A No.
- Or. John Suarem?
- A No.
- Q Dr. Ira Frank?
- L No.
- Dr. Richard Walter?
- A No.
- Q Dr. James Palmer?
- A No.
- O Dr. Vernon Bohr, B-o-h-r?
- A No.

	•
1	Q Dr. Andre Tweed, T-w-e-e-d?
2	A. No.
3 .	Q Dr. Seymour Pollock?
4	A. Ho.
5	Q Dr. Marcus Crane?
6	A. No.
7	9 Dr. George Abe, A-b-e?
8	A Ho.
9	Q Dr. Keith Dittman?
10	A. No.
11	Q You have not studied psychiatry or psychology
12	yourself, ma'am?
13	A Hó.
14	You do not have a psychiatrist or a psychologist
15	in your family?
16	A. No.
17	Q Or a close friend or relative who is a psychiatrist
18	or psychologist, or do you?
19 ·	A I did have.
20	Q You did have a friend?
21	A. Yes.
22	9. He is not your friend anymore?
23	A We lost him, he deceased in January.
24	Q Was this a close friend of yours, ma'am?
25	A. Yes,
26	Q A psychiatrist?
27	A. Yes,
28	G. Could I have his name?
	,我们就是一个大大的,我们就是一个大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的大大的

1	A. James M. Jones, Jr., Dr.
2	Q And you socialized with Mr. Jones?
з .	1 was his secretary and manager for the Florence
4	Firestone Chamber of Commerce. He was my president.
5	Q You were not his secretary in his psychiatric
6	office?
7	A. No.
8	@ How long did you know Mr. Jones?
9	A Six years.
10	Q And did you discuss the field of psychiatry with
11,	Mr. Jones?
12.	A No.
13 .	A Have you ever, or any member of your family or a
14	close friend, ever undergone treatment from a psychiatrist or
15	a psychologist, ma'am?
16	A. No.
17	Again, when I am asking this question, I am asking
18	each and every person who is presently seated in the spectator
19	section in the courtroom: What feelings do you have about
20	psychiatrists or psychologists one way or the other? How do
21	you feel about them?
22	A. People that are not strong-minded weak-minded
23	people, perhaps.
24	Q You feel that they are kind of weak-minded?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q Psychiatrists are?
27	A. No, the patients, rather.
28	Q Well, a very prominent judge once said that he

never met a psychiatrist who didn't need help himself.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think that is an improper remark. THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI: Many, many, people, Miss Goto, feel that psychiatrists can be a real help and can make a valuable contribution towards understanding and treating one's mental condition.

Other people, of course, feel that they are quacks and problems themselves.

- I don't feel they are quacks, no.
- I believe it is generally agreed, Miss Goto, that psychiatry is not an exact science like chemistry or engineering or mathematics. It is more in the nature of an art.

In other words, it is very, very common for two or more psychiatrists to examine the same patient and come up with completely dismetrically opposed diagnoses.

You are aware of that? -

- Yes.
- Whereas, I think if it were an exact science by definition, each of the diagnoses would be identical.

You understand that?

- Yes.
- Do you feel that psychiatry perhaps is more than an art and that it is in the nature of an exact science? Do you feel that way? Do you feel that psychiatry perhaps is an exact science?
 - No. I cannot say that.
 - You believe that psychiatrists are just as capable ٥.

12. 1 4

MISS GOTO: I feel like a criminal. THE COURT: Sometimes you wonder who the defendant is. MISS GOTO: THE COURT: We will recess at this time until 1:30. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, do not form or express an opinion in this case. Do not discuss it among yourselves or with anyone else. Please keep an open mind. 1:30. (Recess.) 500 B 15 19 19 19 19

15£.

ŀ

2

,3 4.

5

6

7 8

9.

.10

11 12

13

.14 .15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, AUGUST 9, 1971; 1:40 P.M.

THE COURT: People against Watson,

Let the record show all jurous are present; all counsel and defendant are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi,

MR. BUCLIOSI: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

Miss Goto, just continuing on, not too many more questions, have you ever worked for a criminal defense attorney?

A No. sir.

Q I would have wagered, myself, that you would have said no, you haven't; but there might be someone out there who has, so that's why I am asking you that question.

Do you understand?

A Yes.

Q Do you have a close friend or relative or any mamber of your family who presently is or has been a criminal defense attorney?

A No.

Q Have you ever met or spoken to or been represented by or associated in any fashion whatever with the following lawyers: Irving Kamerik?

A No.

Q Faul Fitzgerald?

A Mo.

Q Dave Shinn?

	:							
15-2	1	A	Ho.					
	2	Q	Ronald Hughes?					
	3	A	No.					
	4	Q	Ira Riner?	•				
	5	*	No.	Ç				
	6	Q	Charles Hellopeter					
	7	A	No.					ŀ
	8	Q	Richard Walton?	•				}
	9	A	So.					
	10	Q	Maryin Fott?					
	11	A	Mo.					
	12	Q	Carl Ransom?					
	18	A	No.					
<u> </u>	14	Q	Leon Selter?	,	•	•		
<u>,</u>	15	A	No.	·				
•	16 .	Q	Donald Barnett?					
	17	A	No.					
	18	Q	Paul Caxuso?				•	1
	19	A	No,					-
	20	Q	Richard Caballero?					
	21	A	No.					
	22 -	Q	Luke McKissick?			•		
	23	A	No.					
	24	Q	Robert Steinberg?					
	25	Å	No.					
	26	Q	Gary Fleischman?					
	27	A	No.					
	28	Q	Ronald Goldman?					
		I	•					1.

					, .	
15-3	1	A	No.			
	2 :	Q	George Shibley?			, ,
	3	A	Xo.			
16	4					
	5 ·					
•	6					
	7					
	8					
	9		# t		•	
	10					£
	11					,
	12	4 4 4 m	en de principale. Se la companya de la	4. 1		
	13	· }				,
	14	,	•			
	15			: •		,
•	16		and the state of t			• }
	17		STATE OF STATE OF			
	18			·		
	19	,				ľ
	20					,
	21	, ,	,		4	
	22	,				
	23		:			
•	ź 4				•	
	25		•	•	•	
	26					
	27					ļ
	·28		·	•		,

3

5

6

8

10

11

`12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 Q Mrs. Goto, you heard the court and defense counsel say that the presecution has the burden of proving the guilt of Mr. Watson beyond a reasonable doubt.

Did you hear Judge Alexander and Mr. Keith say that, that we have the burden of proving Mr. Watson's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

- A In the third trial?
- Q During the first trial.
- A During the first trial?
- Q During the first trial the prosecution has the burden of proving Mr. Watson't guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Are you weare of that?

- A I am now.
- Q During the second trial he has the burden of proving that he was insene.

During the third triel neither the prosecution mor the defense have any burden whatsoever. It is entirely up to you folks.

- A Yes.
- Q What the penalty shall be.

Now, would you require any burden on the prosecution over and above that which the law requires?

You didn't understand that question, did you?

- A Mo. I den't.
- Q Let me say this: Assuming that the only burden we have is to prove Mr. Watson's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, would you require that we prove his guilt beyond all possible

-22

doubt or just beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

- A I wouldn't understand reasonable.
- Q Well, I guess a reasonable doubt, ma'am, is a sound, sensible, logical doubt based upon the evidence. It is not some possible far-out imaginary doubt.

It is a sound sensible doubt.

Now, in order for you to come back with a verdict of guilty, the presecution has the burden of removing from your mind all reasonable doubt of his guilt.

We do not have the burden of removing from your mind all possible conscivable doubt. We only have to remove reasonable doubt from your mind.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Q I take it you would only require that we remove reasonable doubt from your mind about his guilt. You would not require that we prove his guilt beyond all possible doubt; is that correct?
 - A Ressonable.
 - Q Not beyond all doubt?
 - A Xo.
- You understand, Miss Goto, that in every criminal trial, whether the defendant is charged with murder as is the situation here or drunk driving, burglary, assault and battery, arson, whatever the crime is, the prosecution has the same identical burden of proof, to prove a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

4

6

Q'

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

.27 28 Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Do you understand that our burden of proof is no greater in a murder case than it would be, let's say, in a drunk driving case?

Do you understand that it is the same burden?

A Yes,

O Do you recognize that although a defendant at the start of a criminal trial is presumed to be innocent, this presumption of innocence only lasts until his guilt is proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

A Tes.

Q And that once his guilt is proven beyond a reasonable doubt, this presumption has been rebutted and it no longer exists.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Let me briefly discuss circumstantial evidence with you.

His Honer will instruct you that crimes of murder and conspiracy to commit murder can be proven by circumstantial evidence.

He will also tell you that the law shows no preference for direct evidence over circumstantial evidence.

Before asking you whether you are opposed to sitting as a juror on a case where circumstantial evidence is involved, let me say to you the distinction between direct evidence and

16-4

1.7

19⁻

 circumstantial evidence.

Direct evidence is evidence that proves a fact in issue without the necessity of drawing may inferences whatso-ever.

Circumstantial evidence on the other hand is evidence which tends to prove a fact in issue by proving some other fact.

17R-1

1· 2

.3

•

,5

∙6∙

7 Ř

g.

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21· 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 between those two types of evidence: Let's say that a television repair shop has been burglarized in the middle of the
night and two TV sets have been stolen, but nobody observes the
burglary, no one sees the burglar enter the television repair
shop and take the two television sets; but 10 minutes later
the defendant is driving his car and the police officer stops
him for a traffic violation and he finds two TV sets in the
back of the defendant's car.

Now, this would be circumstantial evidence that it may have been the defendant who committed the burglaries.

Do you understand that?

- 1 Yes.
- Now, if someone had actually seen the defendant enter the repair shop and take the TV sets out of the shop, this would be direct evidence.

Do you understand that?

A You.

- Now, with that very brief legal background in mind, do you have any objection whatsoever to sitting on a case where the People rely in part on circumstantial evidence?
 - A I do not object.
- On The prosecution, Miss Goto, never has the burden of proving the motive for the commission of any crime. We do not have to prove why, for instance, Mr. Watson committed these murders. We only have to prove that he did, in fact, commit the murders, not the reason why.

Do you understand that?

б

A Yes.

p Do you understand, further, however, that although we do not have the burden of proving the reason why Mr. Watson committed these murders, we have the right — the prosecution has the right to put on evidence of motive and you can consider this evidence of motive as circumstantial evidence of his guilt.

Do you understand that?

L Yes.

At the end of this case, but before you retire to the jury room, Judge Alexander is going to instruct you on the law applicable to the case.

Now, if the law given to you by Judge Alexander is different from what you thought the law was or different from what you think the law should be, will you, nevertheless, set aside your personal beliefs, follow your oath and follow the law as given to you by Judge Alexander?

L Yes.

plet's assume, Miss Goto, that you are back in the jury room at the end of this case and you are deliberating with your co-jurors and you find that your view is a minority view, most of the other jurors have a view different from yours; I take it that you will not be, shall we say, inflexible; but, rather, you will listen very carefully to the views expressed by your co-jurors ---

l Yes.

0 -- and if you found these views to be reasonable and persuasive, you would at least reconsider your position; $f^{\dagger}I$

1	The People of the STate of California are the plaintiffs
2	in this case
3	a. Yes.
4	q we are bringing the action against Mr. Watson.
5	A Yes.
6	g His the defendant. The prosecution, the plaintiff,
7	the People of the State of California, they are all the same.
8	Do you understand that?
9	A. Yes.
10	G We are en titled to the same fair trial that he is
n	entitled to.
12	Do you understand that?
13	A Yes, now I do.
14	G Will you promise to give the People of the State
15	of California a fair trial?
16.	h Yes.
17	Q Is there any doubt in your mind that you can give
18	the prosecution a fair trial?
19	3. No doubt.
20	Q Can you think of any reason why you'd rather not
21	sit as a juror on this case?
22	A No.
23	Q Can you think of any reason why you should not sit
24 ,	as a juror on this case, anything at all that has not been
25	touched upon by Judge Alexander or Mr. Keith or myself, any-
26	thing at all?
27	A. NO.
28	MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you, param.

ì	Pass for cause.
2	THE COURT: Next challenge with the defense.
3	MR. BUBRICK: Thank and excuse Miss Goto, your Honor,
4	No. 7.
5	THE COURT: Thank you, Miss Goto; you may be excused.
6	THE CLERK: Henry S. Abajian, A-b-a-j-i-a-n.
7	
8	HENRY S. ABAJIAN,
9 .	BY THE COURT:
10	Q Your name is pronounced Abajian?
11	A Abajian.
12	Q Mr. Abajian, can you give us the two weeks to
13	need to try this case?
14	A Two weeks or two months?
15	2 Two months.
16	& No, I can t.
17	3 . Why not?
18	A. Well, I work for North American Rockwell Space
19	Division: beginning with September we'll probably start a new
20	program and I should be there when it starts.
21	O What is your position with Rockwell?
22	A I'm a leadman right now.
23	Q Would it work such a hardship on you that if you
24	stayed for the two months that we need to try the case
25	A Not on me; probably my wife.
26	Q. Well, you will be going home every day?
27	A Yes, but I have got one car and I drive from
28	Downey.

1	Q I take it you prefer not to sit on this case; is
2	that right?
ş	A Yes, mir.
4	THE COURT: Gentlemen, any questions?
· 5	MR. BUBRICK: No, your Honor.
6	MR. KEITH: No, your Honor.
7	MR. BUGLIOSI: May the juror be excused by stipulation?
8	MR. KEITH: Yes.
.g `	THE COURT: You may be excused.
10	MR. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated.
11	THE CLERK: Leroy A. Lofstedt, L-o-f-s-t-e-d-t.
12	
13.	LEROY A. LOFSTEDT,
14	BY THE COURT
16	9 Mr. Lofstedt, can you give us the two months we
17	need to try this case?
18	* Yes.
19	
20	;
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·
26	
27 .	
28	

18£.

26

27

28

BY THE COURT: Mr. Lofstedt, how about your Q attitude toward the death penalty? Do you have such conscientious scruples against the death penalty that you would automatically vote against the imposition of the death penalty without regard to anything that might be developed at the trial? A No. I beg your pardon? 0 Ho. À I take it from what you say then you can impose 0 sither the death penalty or life imprisonment? A Tes. Depending on what the facts show? Q À Depending on what the facts show. And you understand that should we come to that Q where of the triel, that that burden is yours and yours alone? Tes. A.3 Maye you served as a juror before? Maye you heard the names called off by Mr. Bugliosi? Q A Did you know any of those dectors? Q

Monday of Street 1 to 1 A

Do you know any of the atterneys involved in this ease?

> No. A

Now about the names of the lawyers he called off? Q

Mond. À

2.

1

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q Mr. Lefstedt, to be brief, we ere trying to get a jury here who will be fair to the people and fair to this defendant, who will decide all the issues in this case based only upon the evidence you hear in the case and the law as I shall state it to you.

Can you be that type of a jurer?

A Tea

Q. You heard my remarks, you have heard the questions of Mr. Keith, you have heard the questions of Mr. Bugliosi put to all our jurors here.

If you were waked the same questions, would your answers be substantially the same?

A Right.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

- Q BY MR. BUBRICK: Mr. Lofstedt, have you ever thought about the situation under which you might went to impose the death penalty on semebody?
 - A Ko.
 - Q For some serious crime?
 - A No.
- Q Have you ever thought about the prespects of your serving as a jurer in a capital case?
 - A Nos
- Q May I ask you, sir, what the mature of your business or work is?
 - A TV engineer.
 - Q Are you privately employed or with some first
 - A Metrowedle,

16-4

.2′

3

5 6

8

7

9

11 12

13

14. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21: .22

23

24

2**6**

25.

27

28

entitled to have certain facts that you believe in, but as a juror everything you so must be based on what you hear in this courtrees.

In other words, we are never going to know why you felt the way you did or what you heard that made you exrive at your decision. If you tell us you can be controlled solely by what you hear in the courtroom then, of course, that is what we would want.

Do I make myself clear? Am I confusing you?

- A A little bit. In other words I should have my swm opinion; right?
- Q You have a right to have your own opinion but you can't do that as a juror, you know.
 - A Right.
- Q If you have read in the field of drugs and you formed certain opinions or you have reached certain conclusions, you have to be able to put all of that aside.
 - A Right,
- Q And reach whatever conclusions you will as a result of evidence that you hear here in the courtroom,
 - A Yes.
- Q You see because otherwise we are all at a disadvantage because we really don't know why you formed whatever conclusion you did,
 - A Right.
- Q There has been a definition of a reasonable doubt given and there has been some discussion about reasonable doubt.

 Do you have any feeling, Hr. Lofstedt, that asking

•	_		
			2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

76

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the prosecution to prove a defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt end to a moral certainty is putting too much of a burden on him or on it?

- A Well, I would ressonable doubt for myself,
- Q That is all we are talking about. That is all any lawsuit is concerned with.
 - A Right.
 - Q Is reasonable doubt.
- Q How, the people have that burden. The presecutor has the burden of preving somebody's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty and all I want to know is whether you have any complaint about that facet of the law.
 - A Mos
- Q You don't think that is asking too much of the presecution? Have I lost you somewhere?
 - A Yes, right.
 - THE COURT: Q It is a pretty simple question,
 - A I know. I am just not getting the logic.
- Q The legic is this: The law says the prosecution must prove this defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - A That is right.
 - Q New, that is the law.
 - A Yes.
- Q Whether you like it or not that is the law. Would you accept that?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Would you require a greater degree of proof than

16-6	ı l	that?
	2	A No.
	3	Q Would you require a lesser degree of proof than
	4.	that?
	5	A No.
	6	THE COURT: That is the whole question that was put to
	7	you. Go shead,
	8	Q BY MR. BUBRICK: Thank you.
	9	May I get back, Mr. Lofstedt, to your work with
	10	Matromadia.
	11	Is that one of the commercial channels on
	12	television?
	13	A Chmanel 11.
<u>`</u>	14	Q What do you do with 11?
,	15	A TV engineer, which consists of vidio tape
	16	engineering, so forth, recording.
	17	Q You put together the tapes where they are shown
	18	on television?
	` 19 _'	A Right.
	20	Q Did you do that in 1969 and *70?
	2 1	A Yes.
	22	Q 170 and 171?
	23	A Yes.
	.24	Q Did you have a chance while you were doing that
	25	to see tapes on the Muson trial?
	26	A Not many, no. That was handled by a special
	27	department.
	28	Q You didn't have a chance to sean the TV reporting

to you?

1. 35 May 1. 1. 1. 1

1	A Ze is.
2	Q In what context?
3	A Just one of the girls there. That is all I know.
4	Q One of the girls out at the reach?
5	A Yes,
6	Q Did you see or hear perchance a statement
7	attributed to President Nixon in connection with that trial?
8	A No.
9	Q You meither saw it nor heard about it; is that
10-	#errect?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q I take it you know the outcome of that particular
13	trial, did you not?
14	A Tes.
15	Q And you know that before you got here today?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Enswing then as you must that Hanson and the
18	young ladies involved with him were all given the death penalt
19	A Yes.
20	Q and knowing that you must that Mr. Watson was
21	also related, was involved with Mr. Manson
22	A Right.
.23	Q does that fact in and of itself prejudice you s
24	that you cannot give Hr. Watson a fair trial?
25	A Box 11 to the second
26	Q Engwing as you must, as a result of what you heard
27	heretofore, know that there were seven dead bodies involved
28	in the other trial, does that fact in and of itself lead you
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1.	A I am very indifferent.
2	Q You mean indifferent or underided?
3	A Meaning I would not make a decision on it.
4	Q . You would want to hear more **
5	A . Xee.
6	Q about the issue before you could decide?
7	A That is wight.
.8	Q Do you have any feeling that because of the
9	publicity attendent to the other trial, that the public demand
10	the death penalty in this case?
11	A Me, I don't think so, not in this case.
12	Q Do you have any fear that if you sat as a juror
13	and you did not return a death penalty, knowing that such a
14	penalty was returned so far as the other participants were
15	soncerned, that you might be subjected to pressures of one
16	sert or snother?
17	A No.
18	Q Have you or anybody close to you ever gone to a
19	psychiatriat?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you know or do you have any opinion as to
22	whether or not they form a function in our everyday society?
23	A I feel they do.
24	Q So that you wouldn't hold it against anybody who
25	went to see a psychiatrist on that fact alone?
.26	A Xo.
27	Q If you had somebody that you know was mentally
28	ill or thought was mentally ill, would you be reluctant to sen
	t ·

· 建筑 数 · 基础 对自己的 经分别的 factionsal zasbect to his state of wind because of that voluntary drug feel you would be able to listen to any medical testimony with became involved, is your trame of mind such that you do not Mew, intowking that he voluntarily took drugs and that, he took them velunterily. the fact that Hr. Watson used drugs on a volumenty basis, There will be some discussion, I am sure, shout *##

ipinos nel

them to the paychintrict

I definitely would.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

82

LŻ

 $50 \cdot$

 31

9I

ŚΙ

M

εī

1	
2	

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	Ø.	In a	pite	of ev	exything	that ;	you mig	ht have	heard
bout	this	CASE,	Hr.	Lofst	edt, wou	ld you	have t	pe conz	age to
etur	2 # W	erdict	of :	second	degree,	if you	u thoug	ht that	that's
hat :	phoule	i be :	etur	ned?					

- If I thought that, yes.
- You don't feel compeled at the moment, in view of anything you know about this case, that leads you to believe the death penalty is the only proper punishment in this par-No. 15 To A Control of the Control o ticular case?
- Now, is there anything that has been suggested by anything I have asked or anything you may have heard in the courtroom while you have been here; that leads you to believe you can't be fair and impartial to both sides?
 - L No.

MR. BUBRICK: Pass for cause. Thank you, Mr. Lofstedt. THE COURT: Mr. Kay.

MR. KAY: Thank you, your Honor.

Good afternoon, Mr. Lofstedt.

There were just a couple of questions that weren't asked you, or if they were, I missed them.

Are you married?

- L HO.
- An d have you ever been?
- No:
- As a video tape engineer, what exactly do you do?
- It is not exactly the one thing; it's many things, many operations.

why.

- Q You understand, as Mr. Bugliosi said in asking the general questions of the prospective panel as a whole, that now is the time to speak up, if you have any doubt in your mind.
 - A Right.
 - Q You know, we are not tryiung to embarrass you --
 - k No.
- we do want to find out now, rather than one minute to midnight.

Now, after seeing Mr. Watson every day in this courtroom and if you would, just look at Mr. Watson for a minute -- now, after seeing him in this courtroom day after day for approximately eight weeks, project yourself to about the last few weeks in September.

Do you feel that if you thought that the evidence warranted it in the third phase of the trial that you could come back and tell Mr. Watson in the form of your verdict that he had to die for the Tate-Da Bianca murders?

Do you feel that you would have the courage to do that, after seeing him in the courtroom every day?

- A If the evidence indicated such?
- Q That's right.
- A Right.
- Q Now, you realize that in the third phase of the trial that if there is a death verdict, that each juror personally participates in that verdict?

In other words, Mr. Pappas -- that's Mr. Pappas,

23

24

25

26

27

28

busily working over here -- will poll the jury, if the jury comes back with the death penalty. He will ask each individual juror, "Is this your verdict?"

Now, if that was your verdict, death, would you have the courage to tell Mr. Pappas and Mr. Watson, "Yes, that's my verdict"?

- L Yes.
- There is no doubt in your mind about that?
- A That's right.
- Q. Have you ever expressed a personal opinion that capital punishment should be abolished in the State of California?
 - A None.
- Q Do you feel that any moral or religious belief you presently hold would prevent you from voting for capital punishment?
 - A Mo.
- And have you ever belonged to or do you belong to now any organization which favors the abolition of capital punishment?
 - L No.
- Now, knowing that if you convicted Mr. Watson in the first phase of the trial of first degree murder or conspiracy to commit murder and that in the second phase of the trial you found that he was sane at the commission of the murders, that you would be faced with making the decision in the third phase of whether or not to sentence Mr. Watson to life or death, would you consider finding him guilty of second

degree murder at the first phase of the trial or find that he was insane at the time that the murders were committed, in order to avoid the responsibility --

- A No.
- of imposing the death penalty?

Before you came into this courtroom did you ever give the idea of capital punishment any thought at all?

- A No.
- there as a prospective juror, if you feel -- you have thought it over more in your mind and you feel that you have changed your mind and you can't, you think that in good conscience you couldn't impose the death penalty in the third stage, don't hesitate to raise your hand, even if we are questioning another juror, just raise your hand and say, "I have changed my mind"?
 - A Right.
- O Do you have any objection -- I am getting on to the second phase of the trial now, the sanity or insanity -- do you have any objection to the fact that in this courtroom it is the jurors that make the decision as to whether or not Mr. Watson was sane or insane at the time of the Tate-La Bianca murders, and not the psychiatrists?

Do you have any objection to that?

In other words, you are not going to pass the buck to the psychiatrists?

L Oh, no.

.ya	1
	·2

9[.]

19[,]

Q And you wouldn't say, "I can't make up my mind, you make it up for me"; you won't do that, will you?

A Mos

Q Now, do you feel it is wrong to place the burden on Hr. Watson, at the second phase of the trial, to prove that he was insens at the time of the murders?

Do you think that's unfair to place the burden on Mr. Watson?

A No.

You understand that in the second phase of the trial the burden is on the defense; they have to prove Mr. Watson guilty by a preponderance of the evidence -- excuse me, insene; Mr. Bubrick corrected me -- have to prove that he is insene by a preponderance of the evidence,

This basically means that if at the sanity phase of the trial you feel, No. 1, Mr. Watson was sane, they haven't met their burden; No. 2, if you can't make up your mind, it is 50-50, maybe he was insane, maybe he was sane, they still have not met their burden, so in either one of these two cases you have to come back with a verdict of sane.

De you understand that?

- A Right,
- Q Now, have you formulated any spinion as to the samity of Mr. Watson, either past or present?
 - A No.
- Q And you understand that in this courtroom you wen't be deciding the issue of Mr. Watsen's present state of

1	mind
2	A Right,
3	Q we it is his state of mind at the time of the
· 4 .	mmirders?
5	A Right,
6	Q Which is two years ago today, actually, on one
7	of them, the Tate murders.
8	Do you have any friends that are psychologists
9	ex psychiatrists?
10-	A No.
n	Q Have you ever studied psychology or psychiatry?
12	
13	Q Even in school?
14	A No.
.15	Q Do you feel that just because Mr. Watson has
16	entered a plea of net guilty by reason of insanity and because
17	his attorneys and their voir dire are talking about the area
18	of diminished capacity, do you feel that just because these that's
19	two things are happening that some evidence that Mr. Watson
20	must have something mentally wrong with him?
21	A No.
22	Q You understand that any defendant can enter any
23	ples he wants to?
24	A That's right,
25	Q And any attorney can voir dire on any subject he
·26	wants to, as long as the judge will let him do it.
27	You understand that?
28	A Yes,

Į0

1I

2

Q Now, did you hear the judge's instructions on legal insanity?

LANGE MARKET

of the trial if you felt that if at the end of the sanity phase of the trial if you felt that during the Tate-La Bianca murders that this defendant knew he was killing human beings and knew that this was wrong in the eyes of society, that you wouldn't hesitate in finding that he was sane at the time of the murders?

MR. KEITH: I am going to object to that question because I think he was misstating the law, know that he was killing human beings ---

THE COURT: Read the question to me, please. (Record read by the reporter.)

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KAY: May we approach the beach a moment, your Monor?

THE COURT: The question is whether Mr. Watson knew what
he was doing.

MR. KAY: Well, all right,

Q If you found at the end of the sanity phase of the trial that Mr. Watson at the time of the Tate-La Bianca murders -- and we are assuming he is already been convicted at the first stage of the trial, because if he is not convicted of anything at the first stage we don't get to the second stage -- if at the time of the Tate-La Bianca murders Mr. Watson know that he was committing the act of murder, killing human beings, and know that this was wrong, that you would not hesitate in finding that he was sane?

1	A Right.
2	Q Move you understand that at the sanity phase of
3	the trial that this jury's verdict is to be based on all
4	the evidence, not just the psychistric evidence?
5	A Mark of the Control
6	Q And at that stage his Honor will probably instruc
7	you that you can countder all the evidence that took place
8	in the first stage of the trial as well as all the evidence
10	at the second stage of the trial.
11	A Might,
12	Q Can you think of any possible reason why you
13	could not or should mot sit on this jury?
14	A Way
15	Q Do you went to sit on the jury?
16	A I never thought of it.
17	Q Well, as you sit there now **
18 :	A I was asked to come down berg.
19	Q Well, as you sit there right now would you want
20 .	to spend two months in this courtroom sitting on this jury? A I could.
21	Q New, do you have any sympathy for Mr. Watson
22	because he is a defendant in this case?
23	A I am newtrel.
24	Q Is it your firm position that the people of the
25	state of California, who Mr. Bugliosi and I represent, are
26	entitled to just as equal and fair a trial as Hr. Watson?
27	A Absolutely.
28	Q At the first stage of the trial you understand

1	
3	
1	that the prosecution has the burden, the legal burden of
2	proving Mr. Watson guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
3	A Right.
4	Q New, realizing that this and only this is the
5.	prosecution's burden, would you hold the prosecution to any
6	higher burden; in other words, proving the defendant guilty
7	beyond all doubt?
8.	A Nov
9	Q Would you hold the prosecution at the guilt
10	phase of the trial to any higher burden than the law requires?
11,	A No.
12	Q You understand that the legal burden is only
13	proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
14	A Right.
15 ·	Q Nothing more.
16	A Right.
17	Q Have you or any of your close friends or relatives
18	ever been charged with a felony?
19	A No.
20	Q Would you give any less weight to the testimony
21	of a witness because that witness was a police officer?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you feel any blas or prejudice to any degree
24	whatspever against police officers?
25	A #e.
26	Q Do you have any friends or relatives who are
27	involved in the defense of criminal cases?
28	A Mo.

Q Now, did you hear all the questions that Mr. Bugliosi maked **

A Yes.

Q -- of the other jurgest

Is there snything that he saked that you would like to respond to?

A No.

Q Is there snything that I have asked so far that has touched upon any subject that you would like to tell me about?

A No.

Q Is there enything at all that you can think of that I should know in making a decision as to whether or not to seat you as a jurer in this case?

A Mo, you know everything now,

MR. KAY: Thank you,

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: In view of a question that was asked by
Mr. Lofstedt, I think I should explain to this jury that no
attorney has a right to question the jury on any subject he
feels like questioning him if I permit it. You see, under
the law a lawyer should direct questions to the jury only to
bring out the qualifications of that jurer to determine if the
juror is qualified to sit as a juror, and no court should
allow questions ad infinitum, if they do not go to the
qualifications of that juror.

I am explaining this to you in view of some action the court is contemplating at this time it may take at a future

time. 1 So, please understand that a juror should be asked 2 questions only that go to his qualifications as a juror. 3 The next challenge is with the people. 5 MR. BUGLIOSI: People thank and excuse Mrs. Morman. THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Horman; you may be excused. 6 7 THE CLERK: Jeseph Solomon, S-s-1-c-m-o-n. Я 9 JOSEPH SOLOMON BY THE COURT: 10 11 Mr. Solemon. It would be easier to go up the 12 other way. 13 Mr. Solomon, can you give us the two months we 14 need to try this ease? 15 I dea't think so, sir. 16. Gan you tell me why? 17 Well, I am a public school teacher and I need to --18 I'm starting in a new school and I need to be there at the 19 beginning of the school term. 20 You are a teacher at this time? 21 Tes, I su, 22 THE COURT: Sentlemen, any questions? 23 MR. BUGLIOSI: May the juror be excused by stipulation, your Honort 🗼 MR. KEXTH: Yes. 26 THE COURT: You may be excused, sir. 27 THE CLERK! David L. Been, B-e-s-s, 28

DAVID L. MASS 1 BY THE COURT! 2 Mr. Bass, can you give us the two months we need Q 3 to try this case? I don't think so. 5 Whyt Q б My wife and I are in the process of possibly 7 adopting a child and may be required to go out of the state 8 for a bearing. 9. How soon do you expect that to happen? Q 10 Within a mouth. 11 Mow long would you be gone? 12 Q 13 I really couldn't say. This is the first time in my experience and it is not positive at this point yet. 14 15 20 The state of the s 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

3.

4

5

·6 7

-8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

19

20

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

Q. Let me ask you this -- let's be frank with each other. I Notice you are Orthodox.

If it would require that you deliberate on a Friday night or a Saturday, would your religion prevent you from doing so?

- A I wouldn't be able to sign any documents or anything, but I would be able to discuss it.
- It is not certain yet that you would have to leave within the month?
 - A Mot absolutely certain.
 - g I beg your pardon?
 - A Not absolutely certain, no, sir.
 - A Is this Georgia where you are going?
 - A Excuse me?
 - Do you expect to go to Georgia?
 - A No, Pennsylvania.
- Q I know how important the adoption is to you but by the same token, it is very important that we get a jury to try this case, and just on the chance that you may have to go -
- A Well, it is more than just speculation. I mean, there is something that we are working on.

It is very hard to arrange adoptions at the present time since the abortion laws have been liberalized and there are not very many available.

This is an actual child that probably is available.

There is a court hearing coming up the end of August to find out the final availability.

Of course, it is not up to me to suggest but have

5

б

7

R

you tried Har Vista?

Yes.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, do you wish to excuse him? MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor. May the juror be excused by stipulation?

MR. BUBRICK: He may be excused.

THE COURT: You may be excused.

THE CLERK: Israel V. Gold, G-o-1-d.

9. 10

12

13

14

15

ISRAEL V. GOLD,

11 BY THE COURT:

> Can you give us the two months we need for this trial?

With the exception of three days of the Jewish holidays.

- I am with you. Don't worry about that. Other Q, than that, you have no other concern; is that correct?
 - L No. sir.
- How about your views towards the death penalty, Are they such that you would automatically vote against the death penalty regardless of what might be developed in this trial?
- Absolutely not because I believe if there were, if I know of another way of preventing crime, perhaps I could think of it, but I thought of many other ways and I am just expressing opinion, all the others that are not given, don't get the worst, that is capital punishment, in 10 or 15 years they are out and doing it again. That is my opinion.

16

17

18 19

20

.21 22

23

24

25 26

27

24

25

26

27

28

kills another. I am hurt.

- Q Are you hurt to the extent that you are prejudiced against this defendant?
- A. No, I am not. Just because people say he killed somebody doesn't mean anything.
- Q. Right now you realise that he is presumed to be innocent?
 - Absolutely,
- 0. Now, can you be as fair to the People as you can to this defendant?
- A. You must be fair to the People, if not, we would be full of criminals.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Keith or Mr. Bubrick. BY MR. KEITH:

O Thank you, your Honor.

Mr. Gold, if you were chosen as a trial juror in this case, and you convicted Mr. Watson of seven counts of murder, first degree murder -- you understand that is what he is charged with, don't you?

- A Yes.
- If you convicted him of those seven counts of murder, wouldn't you automatically give him the death sentence?
- A. I would not convict him. The evidence would show and everybody would have to agree to it.
- Now, let's assume that you had convicted him -mind you, let's just assume that for the sake of our talk
 here -- of seven counts of first degree murder; and let's also
 assume that you had found Mr. Watson same in the samity trial --

at school when it resumes in September. 1 2 THE COURT: Gentlemen, any objection to his being 3 excused? 4 MR. BUGLIOSI: No objection. 5 MR. BUBRICK: No objection. 6 THE COURT: By stipulation, you may be excused. 7 THE CLERK: Michael J. Gorel, G-o-r-e-1. 8 9 MICHAEL J. GOREL, BY THE COURT: 10 11 Is that how your name is pronounced? 12 Gorel. 13 Mr. Gorel, can you give us the two months we need 14 to try this case? No, I can't. 15 16 -Why? 17 It would be a financial loss to me. It has been. 18 What do you do for a living? Q. 19 I am a truck loader. A, 20 A truck loader? ۵ 21^{\cdot} Yes. 22 Well, I guess it is a financial loss to everybody 23 who serves as a juror. They don't really get paid as much as 24 they would on the regular jobs. 25 Mould it be such a hardship to you that you couldn't 26 serve that time. I didn't hear you. I asked you whether it 27 would be such a hardship now that you would not be able to 28 serve that time?

HE.

THE COURT: Gentlemen, may be be excused?

MR. BUBRICK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: You may be excused.

THE CLERK: Bette J. Beasley, R-e-a-s-l-e-y; first name,

3-0-七-七-0。

BETTE J. BEASLEY,

BY THE COURT:

- is that Miss or Mrs. Blasley?
- 1 Miss.
- Q Miss Reasley, can you give us the two months we need to try this case?
 - A Well, it would work a hardship.
 - 0 Why?
- A I work for a big department store as an assistant buyer and we are opening up three stores and I have to help stock the stores, merchandise in the stores.
- Q we all have our businesses that we'd like to be there, but we still have a duty in this court.

Can't you subordinate that to your duty here as a juror?

A. Well, it would be a hardship on my buyer, because we do it together and she might have to go to New York at the end of this month.

THE COURT: May she be excused, gentlemen?

MR. BUGLIOSI: No objection, your Honor.

MR. BUBRICK: No objection, your Honor.

1	And by the same token, you won't automatically give
2	life imprisonment, is that correct
3	A Yes.
4:	g should it come to that?
5	You and you alone, will decide what the penalty
6	should be; is that correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	g The People and the defendant are entitled to your
9	individual opinion.
10	Have you served as a juror before?
n	. A Once in Inglewood.
12	Q I beg your pardon?
13	à. Once.
14	Q In INglewood?
15	1. I served 30 days in Inglewood.
16	Q. How long ago was that?
17	A Approximately four years, I think.
18	g was any lawyer here involved in any case in which
19	you sat in Inglewood?
20	A. No.
21	Q Was that a homicide case?
22	A No. There were only a couple of cases, burglary.
23	6 Burglary.
24,	Now, will you forget the law in that case and apply
25	the law as I give it to you?
26	A. Yes, sir.
27	Q Now, you have heard us say many times we are
28	trying to get a jury that can be fair to the People, fair to

-	i i
1	g You have nothing to do with the recipients at
2	all?
3	& Nothing.
4	BY MR. REITH:
5	Q What do you mean when you say physical facilities?
6	A Well, we have 104 facilities and we have a crew
7	that plans moves.
8	3. By facilities, you were just talking about offices
9 .:	for the Department of Social Services; is that right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q It is not a facility where people live?
12	A No, sir.
13	G Is there a Mr. Balough?
14	A No, hasn't been for a long time.
15.	g. Is he deceased?
16	A No, I am divorced.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25 .	
26	The state of the s
27	是 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
28	

	j	
F21A	1	Q What was his occupation?
	2	A He was an inspector this is many years ago.
	3	Q Imspector for whom?
	4	A Me had so many jobs, I haven't begun to **
	5	Q for a governmental agency?
	6	A No, no, private industry.
	7	Q All right.
	8 .	De you have any children?
	9	A Moy I don't.
	10	Q De you know any police officers or people engage
	11	im law enforcement work?
,	12	A Wo, I den't.
	13	Q Maye you ever been the victim of a crime of
	14	violence or **
	15	A We, I haven't.
	16	Q like assault and battery or robbery?
	17	A No. sir.
	18	Q Mave you ever been a witness in court before in
	19	any kind of a cose!
	20	A No. Co. Sec. put Bell
	21	Q Mave you done such reading on psychiatry or
	22	psychology?
	23	A No, just what I read in Reader's Digest or a fer
	24	other magazines, but I don't study it.
	25	Q Have you read any articles in Readers Digest or
	26	other magazines about the use of various kinds of drugs?
A	27	A I have.
	28	O And how they may affect the human mind?

	2

3 4

5 6

7

Я Q

10

11 12

13.

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21.

22 23

24 25

26

.27 28 À I mye.

- And has your reading, would you say, been extensive on the subject of illegal drugs and narcotics?
 - A Mot too extensive; no.
- Mas most of your reading been in the Readers Digest on that particular field?
 - Or other publications.
- Do you believe as a result of your reading in that field, the drug field, that the duse of drugs may affect. adversely affect, the mind of the user?
 - I believe that. A
 - And the body, too, for that matter? Q
 - À Yes.
- Q De you know anybody, anybody close to you that has ever visited a pychiatrist for treatment or examination?
 - No. sir.
- Do you have anything against the psychiatric professiont
 - Mo. I den't.
- And you wouldn't be in any way, of course, prejudiced against Mr. Watson if psychiatrists appeared and testified on his behalf about his mental condition, would your
 - A No.
- And you'd follow the instructions of the court on how to weigh the evidence of an expert witness, which a paychistrist would be if he qualified as a competent psychiatrist?
 - I would.
 - Q Would you hold it against Mr. Watson if the evidence

1	Q You made you have heard about Hr. Manson?
2	A And the family, yeah; the first few times it
3	Appeared in the newspaper.
4	Q Did you fellow his triel at all in the newspapers?
5	A Weine, I don't,
6	Q Did you know what happened in that trial before
7	coming to court today?
8	A Well, I know that two or three of the girls have
9	been convicted, I think I think,
10	Q Yes, that's true.
11	A I just don't follow it.
12	Q Then you don't have any opinion at the present
13	time
14	A No.
15	Q about that Hr. Watson is more likely to be
16	guilty than imposent because he was associated with Hanson
17	and his family at one time?
18	A No.
19	Q And now, knowing the outcome of that case do you
20	have any opinion about Mr. Watson's guilt or innocence as
21	a result of knowing that outcome
22	A No.
23	Q of the other case?
24	A No. I don't think so.
25	Q You realize, as the judge has told you, that is
26	& separate case?
27	A I understand,
28	Q Do you feel you can give us an open mind throughou

1	the presentation of the evidence in this case, if selected as
2	a juror?
3	A , I will try; I believe in fair play.
4	Q You believe you would be inclined to put cotton
5	in your ears at the end of the prosecution case because they
-6	go first, of necessity?
7	A I don't believe so.
8	Q And not give Mr. Watson the benefit of evidence
9	produced in his case or produced in his testimony?
10	A You have to take all the evidence.
• 11	
12	
13	
14	
15.	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
.22	
23	
24	
25	
26.	
27	
28	

22 fls

22R-1	

2

3

4

5

б

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

- Do you entertain a belief that it is possible for Ü. someone to be legally insane and not responsible for their actions in accordance with the definition of legal insanity as given you by his Honor and has been touched upon by Mr. Bugliosi and Mr. Kay?
 - I think it is possible.
- Would you listen to both sides in the event we ever reach the insanity issue of this case?
 - L I will.
- Just as you could listen to both sides of the guilt or innocence question?
 - Yes.
- Is it your opinion. Mr. Balough, that psychiatrists can and do play an important function in our morden day society. insofar as helping people become cured of mental illness, or to find out what is the matter with them, so far as their mind is concerned?
 - I believe so. L
- Although you haven't had any exposure to psychiatry, you don't harbor any illwill towards psychiatrists in general, do you?
 - NO.
- On the question of capital punishment, have you ever given that subject much thought, Mrs. Balough?
 - À. I don't think it should be abolished.
- In other words, if an issue were on a ballot and you were asked to vote, you would vote to retain capital punishment in the State of California?

1	L I believe I would.
2	A Have you ever discussed capital punishment with
3	others?
4	A Yes, but not to a large degree and I have read
5	some articles on it.
6	Q Have you read some articles discussing the pros
7	and cons of capital punishment?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q And you formed an opinion as a result of that, that
10	you would favor capital punishment as a general proposition?
11	A I believe I would.
12	Q. Bearing your opinion in mind, would you be inclined
13	to automatically vote the death penalty in this case as to
14	Mr. Watson, in the event he were convicted by you and the
15 16	balance of the jury on first degree murder, seven counts of first degree murder?
17	A It would have to be proven, wouldn't it?
18 .	We must consider both sides, all the evidence.
19	Q Listen to my question, please. Yes. On the first
20	phase of this trial, his guilt of the charges against him
21	would have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt and to a mora
22	certainty. I am sure you understand that after all this dis-
23	cussion; is that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	D But once we get into the penalty phase, if we ever
26	get there, nothing has to be proven, neither side really has
27	to offer any evidence, if they don't want to, just submit the
28	matter with or without argument.

This isn't to say that both sides aren't entitled to produce additional evidence on the third phase of the case, but for all I know, you will have heard everything that would be to hear and there wouldn't be any further evidence. It is up to you, your discretion, your untrasseled discretion as the phrase goes. Nobody has to prove anything to you.

My question to you is: In your presence state of mind, let's assume Mr. Watson were convicted of first degree murder, seven counts of it, and he were found same, wouldn't you be inclined to automatically invoke the death penalty, simply having in mind your present state of mind regarding that subject?

Are you with me?

- A Yes, I am.
- 9. He has been convicted of first degree murder. We are assuming that.

He has been found same, after the second phase of the trial by the same jury. You don't change juries.

THE COURT: Let the lady enswer the question, please, Mr. Keith.

Mrs. Balough, the question is: Would the fact that he was convicted of seven counts of murder in the first degree preclude you from thinking about anything else than automatically giving the death penalty for that reason alone?

- A I don't know what to say. I probably would be inclined toward capital punishment. I don't know what to say.
- 2 If I told you you must consider all the evidence and then weigh what kind of penalty you must impose, either

28

tion.

The state of the s

Commence of the second

ı	y You think. You are not sure, are you?
2	Gentlemen, if there is no object, I will excuse
3	the juror.
4	MR. MEITH: No objection, your Honor.
5	MR. BUGLIOSI: Well no objection, your Honor.
6	THE CLERK: Mrs. Lillian Simon, S-i-m-c-n.
7	
8	LILLIAN SINON,
9	BY THE COURT:
10	Q. Mrs. Simon, can you give us the two months we
11	need to try this case?
12	A Yes.
13	A How about your views towards the death penalty,
14	should we dome to that phase? Hould you automatically vote
15	against the imposition of the death penalty, regardless of
16	what might be developed in this case?
17	A Automatically, no.
18	In other words, you have no conscientious scruples
19	against the death penalty, and you would weigh both penalties?
20	A Yes.
21	g You would consider all the facts in this case, be-
22	fore you imposed the sentence: is that correct?
23	A That is true.
24	g and if he is convicted of seven counts of murder
25	in the first degree, would you then automatically give him the
26	death penalty, or would you still consider all the evidence?
28	A I would still consider all the facts.
20	A Have you sat as a juror before, Hrs. Simon?

	1
1	A Not in California; in New York City.
2	Q BY THE COURT: In New York City did you sit in any case
á	involving a charge of murder?
4	a. No.
5	Q How long have you been in California?
6	A Seven years, seven and a half years.
7	Q. I take it you have heard of the Manson case and
8	the Tate-La Bianca murder cases?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You realise this defendant was not a defendant in
11	that case?
12	A Yes,
13	Q You realise that right now this defendant is
14 .	presumed to be innocent?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q Can you forget everything you heard about that case
17	and decide this case, based only upon the evidence you hear
18 :	in this case and the law as I shall state it to you?
19	
20	Q Can you give both sides, the People and the defen-
21	dant, a fair trial?
22	* Yes.
23	Q Is there snything that you know that we don't know
25	that might be a question as to whether or not you should sit
26	here as a juror?
27	A No.
28	THE COURT: We will have our afternoon recess at this
-:-	time. lädies and gentlemen.

235.

Once more, do not form or express any opinion in Do not discuss it among yourselves or with anybody else, and please keep your minds open.
(Recess.)

MARCH THE TRANS