SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff.

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Tuesday, October 6, 1970 P. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

DONALD A. MUSICH, STEPHEN RUSSELL KAY,

For the People:

nd VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI. DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq. PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

VOLUME 116 JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR.,

PAGES 12976 to

MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR., 13072 Official Reporters

J	,				
}	• • •				
	st. S	ع م الم	,	: *	
		NDEX	•	•	
	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRE	CT CR	oss	REDIRECT	RECROSS
, ,	WOLFER, DeWayne A. (Cont'd	Li) 12	977 K	'	
;	FRIEDMAN, Jerrold 130	-1.3: 13:	043 F 056 F	13050	
		13	056 K	,	
	HARDAWAY, Gloria 130	ъз 13	069 K	•	
			•	4	
				, 7	k
		r		1 5 6	
, }		,		A No. 1 to	
		IBI	<u>r s</u>		
	PEOPLE'S:		Ė	OR IDENTI	FICATION
	263 - File	. •		13	068
,		, ,			•
	DEFENDANTS!:		•	,	
	AY - Analyzed evidence rep	nort		. 19	038
	·		,	,	
,	AZ - Employee's report			13	938
. 2	BC - Item	•		13	042
,			•		•
) } :		*, *			
:	,				
		•			
<u>.</u>	 	•			
-		1		,	

26

DE WAYNE A. WOLFER,

the witness on the stand at the time of the noon recess, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

- Mr. Wolfer, is there some reason, Mr. Wolfer, why you chose the particular time of day that you did to go out to the Tate residence?
- Well, yes, I imagine it was a matter of conveni-
 - Rather than doing it at night?
- No. there was a problem of obtaining entrance into the Tate residence, and several factors.

It was a matter of time convenience.

- Now, Mr. Wolfer, is it a fair statement, would you agree with me, that what you did could have been done by any one of us in this room. That is not a field for
 - No, I cannot agree with you.
- Do you feel that what you did requires an exper-

You have to be an expert to do what you did?

I would say that what I did requires a person who has a common knowledge of guns, the sounds that are produced by guns, muzzles, breeches, powders, the

ammunition.

I would say that a person would have to have a basic background in the field of physics and a basic understanding of decibel meters.

é,

, 3

7 -

jì

14,

Î7

. 20

25.

•		
10-1	1	Q Decibel meters; is that what you are saying?
,	2	A Yes.
	3	Q What is a decibel?
•	4	A I previously explained that.
•	5 . ·	A decibel is a measure of sound. More
,	6	specifically by Webster, would be the amount of sound
	7	reduction in one mile of standard cable at 860 cycles.
•	.8	Q One mile of standard cable?
	9.	A Yes.
•	10	Q At 860 cycles?
	ĬÍ	A That is a per Webster definition.
	1,2	Or another common definition by, well, the
•	13	encyclopedia, would be the watts percent meters squared
	14	measurement.
•	, 15	Q You read on the meter a number; is that right?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Can you show us on the board would you step
	18	to the board, Mr. Wolfer, please?
	19	(The witness steps to the board.)
	20	MR. KANAREK: I have here a grease pencil.
· È	2J.	Q Would you show us, Mr. Wolfer, can you show
, , ,	22	us mathematically what a decibel is?
ė	23	A Mathematically, a decibel no, I can't show
. * *	24	you mathematically.
	25	It is a reduction in power in one mile of
	26	standard pable when you transmit 860 excles through it.

10-2 How, mathematically, I could describe that, I 1 don't know. I mean, you read a number off of a meter; right? 3 A Correct. And that number is a number that has some meaning; 5 is that correct? 7 Yes. · Well, can you show us in mathematical terms what 8 a decibel is? As I explained to you, I would not be able to 10 describe mathematically the reduction of 860 cycles over 11 12 one mile transmission of cable. That would be physically impossible. 13 . I cannot see any feasible way to mathematically 14. describe that. 15 Isn't a decibel, in fact, in the scientific 16 world, a logarithm, mathematically? 17 To answer your question -- I can't answer that 18 Α question. 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: Objection. It is irrelevant, your 20 21 Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 22 He has answered. He cannot answer the question. 23 24 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? 25 THE WITNESS: The phrasing of that question is improper 26 MR. FITZGERALD: May that be stricken, unless this

10-3

I 2

3

gentleman also has a law degree.

THE WITNESS: It is improper from the standpoint of definition, if you would let me finish.

By definition, it is improper for me to explain. I can't explain it by your question.

- 10a fls.5

8

ŢŌ

II ·

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

Correct.

You say correct? .

A

25

26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

24

25

26

A Yes.

Q How do you know that is correct? I just read it to you.

A I appreciate your reading it to me.

It sounds verbatim of what I read before. But that does not mean, when I describe a decibel, that it is a logarithmic function. It isn't.

Q I just read it to you, and you said yes, that it is a common logarithm.

A I did not say that.

If you will reread it and write it on the board, you will see that they give you a measurement in which they used a logarithmic function, they used a factor. That does not mean a decibel has a logarithmic measurement.

Q You are telling me that when you measure sound waves, when you record it, write it down, that that is not a logarithm; is that right?

A Not by definition, no.

Q Just so we understand. In other words, is the definition that I read to you, in fact, the definition?

A It probably is. It is a definition. It is not Webster's definition. It is one that is pobably from out of the Encyclopedia Britannica.

Q I see.

Well, then, may I ask you. Would you say, then, Mr. Wolfer --

THE COURT: Let's have the witness resume the 1 witness stand. 2 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I want to use the board, 3 if I may. 4 THE COURT: All right. Then let's use it. 5 MR. KANAREK: Yes, sir. 6 Then, in fact, a decibel -- well, would you 7 tell me, Mr. Wolfer, would you write down for me any 8 expression that you care to use as to how you would mathe-9 matically determine a decibel? 10 I will have to repeat again that a decibel is Ì1 the loss of power in the transmission over one mile of 12 standard cable when you transmit at 860 cycles. 13 When you transmit what at 860 cycles? 14 When you transmit 860 cycles over a cable one 15 there is a loss of measurement. mile long. 16 A loss of how much? 17 Q. A One unit. 18 One unit of what? Q 860 cycles. 11 fls. 21 22 24 25

		-
11-1	,	1
		2
٠, ٠,	,	3
, '-	٠.	4
ë, '		5
	,	6
ŧ		7
• •	4.	8.
		9
, ,	* * .	-
•	•	10
	•	10
120		11 12
		11
		11 12
		11 12
		11 12 13 14
		11 12 13 14 15
		11 12

21

22

23

24

25

26

may.

But what is the unit?

A. That is one decibel, one decibel.

I see. Will you tell me, then, Mr. Wolfer, --

THE COURT: You may return --

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, I haven't finished. The man is holding himself out as an expert --

THE COURT: Ask the question directly, Mr. Kanarek.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Mr. Wolfer, would you tell me, would you show me mathematically what the speed of sound is in air?

A The speed of sound is given at a number of different deals.

The speed of sound by Webster, if you want that, 37 degrees of normal air was, I roughly recall, and this is my recollection, I would say it was somewhere around ten thousand — eighty, ninety feet per second.

Q What is it?

A Ten thousand one oh eight nine — around one thousand ninety feet per second, where other definitions, depending on atmospheric temperatures, a lot of conditions, say the speed of sound of normal air would go as high as 11,060 to 11,090.

THE COURT: You may resume the stand, Mr. Wolfer.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I have not finished, if I

THE COURT: Yes, you have, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Very well. 1 (Witness resumes the witness stand.) 2 BY MR. KANAREK: Mr. Wolfer, is it a fair 3 Q statement. Mr. Wolfer, that actually you have not taken 4 5 any courses in sound? 6 Is that a fair statement? I have taken two years of college physics, 7 8 of which sound is a portion of such courses. What I mean is -- you say you don't claim to be 10 an expert on acoustics, right? 11 Well, we went over that, Counsel. 12 I defined those areas of acoustics that I. 13 certainly am not an expert in. 14 Well, isn't acoustics -- well, then, may I ask 15 you, Mr. Wolfer: Would you tell us what you had to know to 16 accomplish these experiments that any one of us doesn't 17 have to know? Well, as I explained, first-hand knowledge from 18 previous experiments conducted with guns on numerous 19 20 occasions where sound levels were actually measured, with 21 that of a decibel meter, the basics of sounds, and the basics 22 of firearms and the basics of instrumentation. 23 Now, you have told us, Mr. Wolfer, as to what 24 the speed of sound is in air, 25 Correct. 26 Now, can you give us a generalized expression,

1	a mathematical expression, for the speed of soun	d as
2	2 conditions in air change?	• •
8	A Well, as the increased moisture woul	d go, I
4	4 cannot give you a definition by formula, but as	such things
5	s as moisture	•
6	In other words, when you travel thro	ugh water
7	7 it increases its speed, it goes up considerably.	٠
8.	8 Q Well, now, if we take perfectly dry	air
9	9 A. Yes.	
10]	10 Q Mr. Wolfer, perfectly dry air, le	t's assume
11	n perfectly dry air.	
12	Cán you tell me the mathematical exp	ression
13	13 for how the speed of sound changes with changing	conditions in
14	14 air?	
15	A Well, as the temperature would incre	ase the
16	sound would increase in speed, as the moisture i	ncreased,
17	sound increases.	
18	I cannot give you any formula for it	
19	19 Q You don't know the formula?	
20	A I don't know the formula, no, if the	re is a
21 .	21 formula.	•
22	Q I see. You don't know if there is a	formula?
23	A I said if there is a formula I don't	know it.
24 .	24	,
25	25	

la-1 ₁	Q All right, then, may I just ask you this
2	Now, do you accept that people who work in the
3 .	field of sound say that the speed of sound is equal to the
. . 4	square root of what is called gamma RT?
. 5	Is that familiar to you?
6	A Yes, that looks familiar.
7	Q What is gamma?
8	A That is a set constant. I don't know what it
9	is.
10	Q' . I mean, what does gamma equal?
11	A It is a constant. I don't know.
12	Q You don't know what it is dependent upon?
13	A No.
14	Q Do you know what the R equals or what it is?
15	MR. BUGLIOSI: It's irrelevant, your Honor.
16	MR. KANAREK: It isn't, your Honor. I am willing to
17	accept that what has happened here, anyone of us can do.
.18	THE COURT: That will be enough, Mr. Kanarek.
19	The objection is overruled.
20	You may answer.
21.	THE WITNESS: I don't recall what R is.
. 22	BY MR. KANAREK:
23	Q What is the T?
24	A I would assume that it is the time constant
25	or the time.
nė	A The trime!

11a-2	
alphabetes," and	1
	2
A.	3
•	.4
	5.
	6
•	7.
, ,	8
	9
•	10
	jı.
	12
	13 .
	14
	15
	16
* *	17
	18

- 20

21

.22

23

24

26

A Yes.

Q Isn't the T the temperature?

It could be. I said I don't know. I said I Α It is an assumption. I said I did not know. assumed.

I see. Well, Mr. Wolfer, would you tell us then why did you conduct --

You say you used the word "Help" in this second phase of this series of experiments, right?

Yes.

Now, in connection with this use of the word "Help", did some police officer tell you to use the word "Help"? Yes

And is it a fair statement that you were operating out there as a police officer in an attempt to get evidence as a police officer?

Well, I cannot use that word, police officer.

I am paid by the scale of a police officer and I certainly am a police officer.

I was acting out there as a criminalist, which I am employed as a criminalist, in this capacity, for the Police Department.

I certainly would say I was acting in the capacity of a criminalist, not a police officer, in enforcing laws or protecting public safety.

You consider yourself then to be more of a

_	scientist than you do a police officer in connection with
1	the work that you did here?
2	A That is correct. I did no police work in this
3	particular function.
.4	Q In fact, is it a fact, Officer, you carry a
5	gun?
6	A No, I have no gun.
7.	Q No, but I mean as a police officer you are
.8	empowered to carry a gun?
9	A I can carry a gun, yes.
10	Q Well, what I mean is, is there anything about
. 11	being a police officer that you are ashamed of?
12	MR. BUGLIOSI: Irrelevant, your Honor, argumentative.
13	THE COURT: Sustained. Let's get on with it, Mr.
14	Kanarek.
15	BY MR. KANAREK:
16	Q Well, Officer, you are in fact a police officer
17	just like Officer Gutierrez?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Now, this decibel meter that you obtained,
20	that you used, when was the last time that decibel meter
21	was calibrated?
22	A It is marked on the decibel meter.
23	I would have no idea the last time it was
24	calibrated.
25	Q You did not bother to determine whether or not
26	

any calibration had occurred in connection with this

24

26

me it was calibrated.

At this time I don't recall when it was call-brated.

The answer to your second question, I will have to have it read back because I forgot what the second question was.

Q You are saying you remember specifically checking into the calibration of this meter?

Yes.

8

10

9.

12

11

13 14

15 .

16 17

18

19

20 21

22. 23

24

25

- Q I see, and for some reason you remember that particular point.
- A. Every time I check the decibel meter out over there, I ask this basic question.
 - Q As to when it was calibrated.
 - A. Yes.
- Q When was this particular one last calibrated on August 26, 1969?
- A Counsel, I would not know; I have no idea to know here today. I don't recall.
- Q Well, did you use the same meter on September 22, 1969 that you used -- pardon me -- on August 18, 1969?
 - A. I would assume I did, yes.
 - Q You don't recall, though?
- A No, I went over, signed the loan slip, took the meter out, returned it later and then went back and reborrowed -- I mean I loaned or borrowed the second one the second time on the same loaning procedure.
- Q Would you say it is good scientific practice in the scientific world for people to keep a laboratory notebook, or a notebook, writing down their observations of physical measurements?
- A I would say science has such things as running notes in every experiment in every class, but that does not mean because I go out and read four numbers that I need to write them down.

Q I see.

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

ð

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2I

22

23.

24

25

26

But my question to you is at this point is it good scientific practice to put down on paper what your observations are in connection with a scientific experiment?

A Well, Counsel, to answer your question, if I am in my laboratory, teaching my classes, I tell my class to do this so if there is a mistake made I can find it and correct it.

This is a common teaching policy.

This does not mean in field practice this is a policy.

As I explained, if I have to remember four numbers, and I have a mind capable of remembering four numbers, there is no reason for me to make notes.

And you felt you had the capability of remembering four numbers out there on Ciclo Drive?

A. That's correct.

Now, as a matter of fact you did not dictate, or the report --

I will show you. if I may.

MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: I will show you, Officer, these three pieces of paper here and ask you if you would tell me on any of those papers, or refer to me anywhere on those

papers --

1

2

Š

5

6

7

8

્9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

26

Maybe I will ask it this way:

Would you pick those papers up and read them and look at them and tell me where are the four numbers that you have just spoken about?

- A Yes.
- Q Would you just read it over to yourself?
 (Witness complies.)

You don't have to read all three of them to remember the four numbers, is that right?

A No_x Counsel, two of these are the same.

One is a completely different set of experiments.

I assume that you are referring now to the experiments on August 18th or on the experiments that were conducted here on September the 22nd.

You have to tell which set of experiments you are referring to so you are correct, I don't know which set of experiments we are referring to here.

- Well, in fact this piece of paper incorporates all of the experiments on both dates, doesn't it?
- A. That is correct, it is both dates. It says the same figures on it.
- Q We have three separate -- is that right?

 My question, Officer, is where are the four numbers that you spoke about?

1	A The numbers, 32-1/2, the number 39, the number
2	42, and the numbers of basically 31.
3.	Q All right, now will you show me on these papers,
4	would you show me those four numbers.
5	A If you would like I will encircle the four
Ģ	numbers for you.
7.	Q Yes, please, thank you.
8	Now, actually, Officer, actually there are
9	six numbers on here, right?
10	A. Well, yes, if you want to take a setting of
ìı	five as the number.
12	If you want to take down in another portion
13	of the experiment where it says 78, which is an entirely
14	different experiment, these might be six numbers.
15	But I have 31 to 32. I have 31 repeated to 39
16	and I have 31 to 42.
17	Now, all these four numbers are 31, 32-1/2,
18 %	39 and 42, the numbers I repeated to you, that is the four
19	numbers.
. 20	- -
21	
22	· • •
23.	
24	
25	
	1

All right.

However, you are telling us that you conducted an experiment. You have here "Steps to the rear of the house"; is that right?

A Yes.

Q That experiment has just as much dignity as the other experiment, doesn't it?

A No.

This experiment, the steps to the rear of the house, you took it out of context, Counsel. You did not read the next thing. It says "radio."

These were all the experiments with the radio turned on, in which I had readings of 78 in all cases.

This is another portion of the experiment.

Q By the same dignity, Officer, what I mean is, you don't know the conditions that were in the house at the time that these bullets were fired, if they ever were, on Cielo Drive in connection with the passing away of these five people; right?

A I have stated that I was not there when the persons were shot in the house, if that is what you mean.

Q Then what I am saying is, Officer:

You have told us you remembered four numbers.

My question is: Why are those four numbers entitled to be remembered and the other numbers, the other decibel ratings, are not?

.

A Counsel, I did not state that. The question, to go back to where we started from, and again you are trying to add confusion here by your question, by asking several questions in compound, you have to go back to your original question, which you are trying to take out of context again.

The original question was that when I conduct an experiment; which I did as per this report on August the 18th, I conducted a series of experiments between three positions in the house with the radio off.

I had four numbers to remember. They are the four numbers in enswer to your question, which you are trying to take out of context.

O All right.

But, in fact, looking at what you did, you had to remember more than four numbers in connection with those experiments of August the 26th, Officer; is that correct?

A If you want to take in another set of experiments that I conducted with a radio on, I had to remember a set of five and the number 78; and that is the complete circumstances of all the numbers in that report.

Q So, you memorized, in fact, six numbers in connection with these experiments of August the 26th?

A Yes. If you mean I conducted two experiments for that day, total, one would be hi-fi set on and one

with the hi-fi set off, and during this complete experiment I had to retain six numbers for this report, that is correct. IZa fls. 3 Ï3 • 15

23

24

25

26

- Q So it is not four, it is six; right?
- A. For the two experiments, it is six.
- Q My question is, Officer: Is it true, or am I incorrect -- may I ask it this way -- do both parts of this experiment, in your mind, have equal dignity as far as reporting the scientific results are concerned?
- A. Yes. I have two separate experiments and they both have a certain dignity, that is correct.
 - Q So, you had to remember six?
 - A. Yes.

But the original question was: How many did I have to remember for one experiment? And I said four.

Q I see, Very well, Officer.

Now, would you tell us, Officer, since the noon hour, have you had occasion to go to your office at all in connection with the matter that we are talking about?

- A. Yes. I went back and had lunch in the cafeteria, picked up Sergeant Deese, and returned.
- Now, on September the 22nd, when you conducted these experiments, the ones you conducted on the 22nd, was there some reason that you chose the places that you did for conducting those experiments?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Would you tell us the reason?
- A. The reason for selecting the positions that were chosen on the 22nd of September was because it was

where were the five different locations that the sound level readings were taken? Yes. As I said, one location was at 9951 Beverly 5 Grove. 6 One was at 9955 Beverly Grove. 7 One was on Farring Avenue. One was on Sharing Cross. 9 One was at a location on Summit Ridge Drive, to 10 the best of my recollection. 11 Now, did you make some kind of a plot plan in 12 connection with these experiments? 13 I took an aerial survey map and located these 14 particular positions on the aerial survey map, as my report 15 indicates, yes. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

12b

12b-1	1	Q Now, do you have that aerial survey map here?
•	2	A I don't know whether it is here or not. I
	3	can't tell you. I don't know.
,	4	Q Well, may we have that, please, Officer?
	5	A Yes.
,	6	I will attempt to locate it, yes.
•	7	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may we have that?
	8	THE COURT: Why do you need to have it, Mr. Kanarek?
	9	MR. KANAREK; In order to interrogate, your Honor.
•	10.	THE COURT: He said he doesn't have it here, if I
• •	11	understand him correctly.
•	12	Is that correct, Officer?
	13	THE WITNESS: It is somewhere, your Honor. Yes, I
.	14	have it, it is somewhere, but I don't know where.
•	15	THE COURT: Is it in the courtroom?
	16	THE WITNESS: No. I don't see it, no.
*, -	17	MR. KANAREK: May we approach the bench, your Honor?
, " f	18 ′.	THE COURT: For what purpose?
	19	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, we made discovery concern-
	20	ing these matters. We have a right to have this informa-
	21	tion, your Honor.
,,,	22	THE COURT: Not at this time, Mr. Kanarek.
	23	Let's proceed.
	24	BY MR. KANAREK:
	25	Q Officer, I assume there was a purpose in making
•	2 6	the aerial plot plan?

	-
12b-2	į
	2
	3
	. 4
	5
	6
	7
. , .	Ŕ
	g.
,·	10
**	11.
4	12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13
	14
**	15
,	16
•	17.
	18
	19
	,20 ⁻
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21
* *	ź 2
٠,	

g.

19

<u>2</u>3

24

25

26

A.	Yes.	
~-	700	

Q What was the purpose?

To show the relationship of the five residences which I just previously mentioned in relation to the address of 10050 Cielo Drive.

Now, did you make a plot plan in connection with your experiments involving the guest house?

, No. I did not.

You tell us that you memorized the numbers, that is, these numbers concerning how many decibels there were involving the experiments of the guest house; is that right?

Mr. Kanarék, that is wrong.

I never once said I memorized any numbers.

I said I was present during a series of experiments and remembered the numbers for the later time when I made the report.

That is all I said.

I never at any time said I memorized them. I said I remembered the numbers.

Do you find a difference between remembering it and memorizing it?

It is a situation of what the terms Yes. mean to me.

To memorize something is where I sit down and deliberately put it to memory.

Where I witness a certain act and come back and ŀ relate it for the purposes of a report, I have not sat down and intentionally memorized or committed it to memory. **. 3**. So, as a matter of fact, you never intended Q 4 and never did commit to memory the information that you obtained in connection with the guest house? 6 Sir, I said I remembered it for purposes of 7 a report, but I did not sit down and commit it to memory 8 by repeating it over and over. 9 I, naturally, memorized it from the standpoint 10. of seeing it once. I remembered it, ıı. And you carried it in your mind; right? Q 12 Yes. 13 Now, would you tell us, Officer, directing your 14 attention now to the experiment that you performed in the 15 guest house. 16 A Yes? 17 18 19 20 - 21 <u>22</u> 23 24

12c fls.

25

.20-1

1

3

4

5

6

ġ

9

10 11

12.

13

14 15

16

17:

18

19

20

21

22

.23

24.

25

, 26

Q Would you tell us what sound path, would you direct -- in other words, you shot, or Officer Butler shot, some bullets; right?

A Officer Butler fired some bullets, correct,

Now, would you tell us the path of the sound as it came from the gun that Officer Butler shot until it came to your decibel meter?

Would you describe that path for us, Officer?

A. I couldn't describe that path for you because I don't know the path that the sound took.

Q Well, as a person who is an expert in this field that you have spoken of, if a person fires a gun as Officer Butler fired it, and you have a decibel meter where you say you had it, is it predictable as to what the path of that sound would be?

A. No, I don't think that that is a predictable measurement.

Q I am not asking for measurement now. I am asking for direction, Officer, path.

A. I do not think that is a predictable path, no.

Can youtell us how many times that sound had to go through wood and glass and air and metal, or whatever it had to go through, in order to end up measured on your decibel meter?

A. No. I would not know this:

Q You didn't bother to make a plan or to sit down

1 2 3 4 predict this. 5 6. and predict the path of sound. 7 8. . 9 one thing. 10 11 12 13. 14 15 Pardon? 16 17 18 in a tube? 19 20 2Ì 22 levels. 23 24 25

26

and write out or remember or memorize -- whichever way you want to put it -- as to what the path was of the sound from the gun to your decibel meter?

Well, Counsel, as I told you, I could not

I don't think anyone would be able to sit down

If you want me to take a straight-line sighting of what it might have to travel through, that is If you want me to take sightings of sound which might be reflected off of previously-mentioned things such as layers of clouds, moisture, reflected transmission, this type of thing, I would have no idea.

I don't think anyone can sit down and predict the travel of sound, unless you were in a tube, maybe.

Unless you were in a tube.

You don't think it is predictable unless you are

Well, even in a tube, you would have sound that was transmitted through the steel, sound that would leave the steel and might even be returned in the way of sound

Well, now, my question is: Is it a fair statement, Officer, that after the sound leaves the muzzle of the gun, that it makes a difference as to what comes

12D

12d-1 So, we have another variable, then; is that it, Officer? 2. May I withdraw that and ask you. Then, when Officer Butler shot the bullet, the 4 first bullet he shot, at that time, was the Hi-Fi on? I am now speaking of the experiment involving the guest house. Is this the final question, Counsel? 8 That is a question, I hope. 9. Well, there were several words leading up to 10 the question. 11 I don't know. If you mean, was the Hi-Fi set 12 on when Officer Butler fired his first shot, if that was your 13 question, no, the Hi-Fi set was off when Officer Butler 14 fired his first shot. 15 When he fired his first shot, he fired down, 16 in a downward direction, into what? 17 A sack of sand. A 18 A sack of sand; right? Q 19 A Correct. 20 How far away from the sack of sand was the end Q 21 of the barrel? 22 I would estimate approximately two feet. 23 Do you know for sure? .24 I would not know for sure, no. I was not there 25 when Officer Butler fired the gun. 26

, ,	,		·
12d-2	1	Q	You
· ·	2	the experi	nent'
	3	A	Cọi
	4.	Q	Dic
•	5	. 	I
	· .6	fire into	a baş
*	7	I was not	
	8	Q	Şø,
	9	he had the	
	.10	four feet	Erom
. , '	,11	, j	Му
•	12	and fire in	
	13	two places	at t
•	14	. Q	I, y
	15		In
	16	fire?	
	17	, A	Yes
,	18	Q	I s
	19		But
•	20	A	The
	21	into a bag	at y
।	22	feet from	•
* .	23	Q.	And

25

26

	Q	•	You	weren't	there;	but weren't	you	conducting
the	ежре	rin	ent?			,		
	.							

rrect.

d you tell Officer Butler?

told Officer Butler to stand erect and to g of sand, Counsel. But I was not there. e and I did not see him fire.

, you don't know of your own knowledge whether a half inch from the sand or whether he was the sand, do you?

instructions were that he was to stand erect it, but I do not know because I cannot be in the same time. It is physically impossible.

will concede that, Officer.

other words, you told him to stand erect and

see.

t you didn't instruct as to what distance?

e distance would be to stand erect and fire your feet, which would be approximately two nuzzle of the gun.

d this was directly into the ground?

A . No.

Again, Counsel, I have told you it was not into the ground.

12d-3 1	Q I'm sorry, Officer. It was in the direction
. 2	of the ground?
3	A It was fired in a downward direction, that's
4	correct.
5	Q You don't know what angle it was fired, do you?
6	A It was fired in a forward-downward direction.
7	More downward than forward.
8	MR. KANAREK: May I have, I think it is, Exhibit 40?
, 9	THE CLERK: It is on counsel table, Mr. Kanarek.
10	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
11	Here, Officer. Here is the gun.
. 12	May I, approach the witness, your Honor?
13	THE COURT: You may.
14	MR. KANAREK: Would you step out here, Officer?
	THE WITNESS: Does counsel wish me out there or here?
16	MR. KANAREK: May he, your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Let's do it right here in front of the
18:	jury.
19	MR. KANAREK: Yes, Bir.
. 20	Q Your instructions to the officer, Officer
21	Butler, were to fire into the bag of sand?
22.	A That is correct.
23	Q Is that right?
12e £1s24	A Yes.
95	

2E-1	. 1	Q And you gave him no further detailed
. ,	2	instructions; right?
	3	A. I did not give any further detailed instructions
	4	other than he was to stand erect and fire into the bag of
:	5	sand. That is correct.
•	6	Q All right.
	7	Now, then, would you stand and if I may,
,	8	your Honor, may I use this briefcase as a bag of sand?
	9	It is just a briefcase, your Honor. It is
,	Ì0	something to use.
·	11:	THE COURT: You may proceed in your own manner,
	12	Mr. Kanarek.
	13	MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.
	14	Q Using this briefcase, Officer, as the bag of
*	15.	sand. Would you put that on the floor?
	16	(The witness complies.)
	17	(The witness indicates, pointing the gun
	18	downward.)
	19	MR. KANAREK: Q You are now pointing the gun
٠.	20	directly to the ground; right?
*	21	A No. I am pointing the gun slightly forward
	22	and towards the ground.
m , ,	23	Q All right.
	24	At an angle of what? What would be your
	25	estimate what that angle is, Officer?
	9 <u>6</u>	A I don't know. 10. 15-degree angle

1	Q With the vertical?
2	A. Yes.
3.	Q Something like that?
4	A. I would say yes.
5	Q All right.
6	And directly sort of in front of you; right?
7	Is that the way you have done it?
8.	A. Yes.
9	Q Now, is it a fact, Officer, that sound waves
10	coming out of that gun will emanate in a different pattern
11	than if the gun were fired horizontally?
12	A That is correct.
13	Q And if I tell you that a gun let us take the
14	situation where the gun were fired horizontally instead of
15	essentially vertically, as you have indicated. Would you
16	tell me what effect that would have upon the readings,
17	the four or six numbers that you have told us about?
18	A. It would be impossible for me to tell you
19 ·	because, from that distance, because of the reflectance,
20	because of many factors, I would not be able to tell you.
21	I could not predict that, nor could anyone predict that.
22	Q Nor could anyone; right?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q I see.
25	So, you don't know whether or not, if you or
26	anyone was standing in that guest house at midnight,

1	of a night when bullets were fired horizontally, let us say,
.2 .	you don't know whether that person could hear those bullets
3	being fired whether the hi-fi was at 5 or 0; right?
. 4	A Well, I am not too clear on what you just said,
5	Counsel,
6	May I have it read back?
7	MR. KANAREK: Certainly.
8	THE COURT: Read the question.
9. `	(The question was read by the reporter.)
10	THE WITNESS: I still don't understand the question.
11	MR. KANAREK: All right. I will rephrase it, Officer.
12	Assuming, Officer, that the bullets, now, the
13	bullets from that gun, were fired horizontally around mid-
14	night of some night, and someone were standing in the guest
15	house with the hi-fi on zero no hi-fi; the hi-fi not
16	going can you tell us, from your experiment, whether or
.17	not a person in that guest house would hear the firing?
18	A. I would say yes.
19	Now, that is based on the fact that he is in the
20	guest house, in the position in which we fired our gun
21	with the stereo turned off, and he was firing in a horizontal
22	instead of a vertical position.
23	
24	
25	
26	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

91

10

11

12

13 .

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You are saying yes?

A Yes.

Q But is it a fact that when you are saying yes, it's a guess?

A No, it is a guess based upon statistics compiled with a gun in a vertical position.

It is not a guess; it is based upon the statistics I compiled from the experiments I previously mentioned here.

Q. Would you tell us the experiments you previously did?

A Yes, it's based on experiments, firing the gun in the case of the position on People's No. 8, the map over there where Parent's car, the front porch, and in the front room from a vertical position with the stereo off in the back house, in relation to that of a horizontal position.

Q Then you are saying it makes no difference whether it is vertical or horizontal?

A No, Counsel, I did not say that. I absolutely did not say that at all.

You asked me a question, did you feel in my opinion that I would still be able to hear it, the sound, based upon my previous experiments with a gun in a horizontal rather than that of a vertical or downward position.

I said yes, in my opinion I would still feel that I could hear it.

25

1	
2	

4.

5

6 7

8.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

. 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

Q I see. And what are the statistics upon which you are basing that statement?

A Statistics are based upon past experiments with the muzzle of the gun pointed in different directions.

Q I see, you conducted experiments with the muzzles mof the guns in different directions?

A That's correct.

Q And did you have a house and did you have glass in between?

A I have had houses; I have had a glass in between; I have had doors in between.

Q And you wrote down all of the experiments?

A Yes, I did not do this at Cielo Drive at 10050 Cielo Drive, though, I did not do my experiments there, no, I did not do that.

Q I see, then in connection with the experiments that you have previously conducted, may I ask you, is it a fact that the intensity of the sound depends upon which way you are firing the gun, the intensity of the sound at some particular location, remote from the gun?

A Again, Counsel, your question to me is only partially there.

If you mean if I point the gun towards you and fire it I would expect to have more sound transmitted to me where if I turned my back to you and fire it on you

13-3

2

3.

5 6

7

.**9**.

10

1Ì

13

14 15

16

17

8Ļ

19

20 21

22 23

24

25.

26

I would expect less transmission.

Q That's common sense, isn't it?

A Yes, but I am asking if that is what your question is asking.

That is correct.

But if I am in a house with glass and doors and windows in between there, now we cannot conceive on a common sense basis of what way and what direction sound will travel.

basis, you cannot predict from your experiments which you previously made as to what would happen in any other situation, can you?

A I said in my opinion, predicated on the mais of the levels of sound that were transmitted to my decibel meter, and because of their intensity, the loss or gain in intensity would still be predictable, yes. That is based upon my readings.

Q I see.

Now, may I then ask you this:

Directing your attention then to a situation -let's say that Officer Butler, maybe being a little
apprehensive of bullets being fired too far away from the
sand, let's say he fudged a little bit and fired it
directly into the sand, just maybe a couple of inches away
from the sand, say he sort of stood erect, reached over and

22

23

24

25

26

did something like that.

Would that have any effect upon the amount of sound that got to your decibel meter?

A It certainly would; it would be to the advantage of the previous question.

It would muffle the sound.

If the person were standing in an upright position there would be a greater sound from the weapon.

Q What do you mean "advantage to the previous question"?

A It would make a greater sound emission when the gun was held further away from the sandbag than closer.

The previous question was on the basis of the gun being held horizontal.

Q So if someone, Officer Butler, fired directly into the sand, he might not be duplicating the conditions at all that in fact occurred in connection with the events that we are in this courtroom here today for, right?

A Well, I cannot say that, I was not there.

He might be duplicating it. He might have shot the person at close range.

He may have been duplicating it. I wasn't there, Counsel, I don't know.

If based upon your question you say if he leaned over and fired into the bag of sand he might be closely duplicating the situation that night than the

í	previous instructions that I gave him, I don't know.
2	Q Or he might not, right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q It is like the toss of a coin, right?
5	Chance?
6	A I would not say it was like the toss of a coin
7	in any circumstances.
8.	I went out, I measured the specific sound
g ·	level.
10	When I toss a coin we have a head or tail; we
11	are talking about the difference in level readings. This
12	is not a head or tail position.
13	I am going to come up with readings, either
14	slightly more or slightly less. I don't have a head or tail situation.
15	
16	Q Now, then, directing your attention, Officer,
17	to the situation where the Hi-Fi, let us say, was all the
18	way up on 5.
19	Do you have that picture in mind?
20	A No, I don't, Counsel, because again those are
21	not the facts or questions that were led to me.
22	You previously asked me did I know what the
23	top setting on the Hi-Fi set was.
24	I said I did not know.
25	You said did it go in excess of 5.
26	I said I think it did. I do not know.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when you tell me it was set at the top setting of 5, I have no idea, and I am certain there is a higher setting.

Q All right, let's say that the Hi-Fi set was at the highest setting that you went -- let's make it that way -- at the highest setting that you used.

A Correct.

Q Now, let's say that Officer Butler fired that gun in a direction -- I will withdraw that.

Is it possible that Officer Butler could have fired that gum in a direction such that that bullet could have been heard even though the Hi-Fi set was at some level. Let's say 4.

A Let's put it this way, Counsel, anything is possible. It is improbable though.

Q It is improbable?

A I said anything is possible, but in answer to your question --

Q Yes.

A It is improbable.

Q And why is it improbable?

A Because of the great variance in the decibel readings between the top volume of the Hi-Fi set being 78 and the top reading that I received of the revolver in the front yard, which was that of 42.

There is a large difference between the

decibel readings, anything is possible, but it is not

ŀ

· .	•
1	pressure, 78-
2	of the stereo
3	Q W
4	N
5	stereo were
6.	he could hear
7	A Î
8	Q. A
9	A
10	shead.
11	Q N
12	stereo could
13	A Y
14	Q W
15.	A
16	setting it
17	naturally I w
18	1, 2, possibl
19	the setting.
. 20	THE COU
21	I
22.	anyone; do no
23	case until it
24	1
25	· · ·

pressure, 78-1/2 to 79 for him to hear it over a reading of the stereo at 78.

Q Well, now, you have told us --

Now, you have told us, Officer, that if the stereo were off, right? There would be no question that he could hear it?

A That's correct.

Q All right, now, is there some place --

A I said in my opinion. That's all right, go

Q Now, is there some place in between where the stereo could be on and you could still hear?

A Yes, there would be.

Q Where is that?

A If the stereo was on, I would assume in setting -- it is in inverse proportion to the volume, naturally I would expect it to be down in the settings of 1, 2, possibly 3, but I don't imagine -- 1 or 2 would be the setting.

THE COURT: We will take our recess now.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone; do not form or express an opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

13A-1

2

·L

4

5

7

Ŕ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

THE COURT: All counsel and jurors are present.

You may continue, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, thank you, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Counsel, may I correct a statement on the last statement, on the very last line of the last statement I made a mistake.

I would like to say that where I said the volume is an inverse square, it is not. It is proportionate to the square of the volume.

- Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you say that again? What is proportionate to the square of the volume?
- A. The volume setting will be proportional -We were talking in relation to the last question,
 something regarding the volume, and the settings of one,
 two, three, four, five.

This would be a square root, rather than an inverse square law.

- Q What if the manufacturer had put down A, B, C, D and E, what would you say then instead of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5?
- A. I think the manufacturer can place anything down on a stereo system. It could be either lineal or squared.
- Q You told us that this would be based upon the square.
- A. The volume of transmission of sound is a square root factor.

All right. Well, now, would you tell me, 1 please, what are you talking about when you say square? If you will just come here. Here is a pencil and tell us about it. THE COURT: He can explain it in words. 5 Let's get on. What do you want now? You ask the questions. 7 MR. KANAREK: Yes. 8 BY MR. KANAREK: Officer, square what? 9 Sound is transmitted ---IÒ THE COURT: He answered that question at least twice now. Mr. Kanarek, let's get on to something else. 121 BY MR. KANAREK: Officer, will you tell me how 13 to put your formula on the board here? 14 I haven't at any time related a formula. 15 A. You just told us, Officer ---16 THE COURT: Get on to another question. 17 MR. KANAREK: Pardon? THE COURT: Get on to another question. . ? 19 BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Officer, in this 20 expression here, C equals the square root of this 21 22 (indicating), do you know what dimension C is, the speed of sound? 23 The speed of sound? 24 Yes. 25 What are we referring to, the speed of sound in A 26

1 the air, glass, steel? · 2 What are the dimensions, you pick the medium 3. that it is going in; what are the dimensions of the speed of sound? :5 I related that earlier on several occasions. 6. In the air it goes anywhere, say, 1160 feet per 7 second. 8 I asked for the dimensions. MR. BUGLIOSI: It is irrelevant. 10 -THE WITNESS: The dimensions of what? ŀΙ MR. BUGLIOSI: It is irrelevant, objection. 12 BY MR. KANAREK: Of the quantities of speeds 13 of sound. 14 The objection is sustained. THE COURT: 15 MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I want to lay a foundation. 16 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Ask your 17 next question. 18 19 20 21 22 23

26

2

3.

4.

:5

7

8

ΪO

11

12

I3-

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

BY MR. KANAREK: Well, Officer, if I may ask a you, on your report, Officer, of 8-26-69 it says, "See continuation."

Are you familiar with that?

If/says that, that is what it means. No. I am not, but if that is what it says, yes.

MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. KANAREK: Officer, do you know what that "See continuation" means?

Yes, I'm sorry, yes, there is another sheet on this referring to other subjects.

Yes, well, do you remember --

For instance, did you take any blood samples while you were out there?

Yes, I did. That is right on this report.

Right. What did you do in connection with , blood while you were out there?

> On what date? Α.

On August the 26, Officer.

Oh, well, on August 26th I don't believe that I did anything with blood. This is the date that I made the report.

Well, on the day you were out there, would you tell us what you did with blood?

1.	A. On August the 18th, you mean, Counsel?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. Yes. At that particular time I took and
4	studied the blood spots, the particular patterns of spots,
5	the direction of travel, such things as this.
6.	I believe I even brought samples of blood into
7	the laboratory for purposes of analysis.
8	Q On August 18th.
9	A On August 18th, correct.
10	Q Now, if I may ask you, in how many different
11	flelds are you an expert, Officer?
12	A. I work in the field of criminalistics which is
13:	the forensic study of chemistry and physics.
14	Q Then you would say you are an expert in blood
15	also, is that right?
16	A. That is correct.
17	Q Now, would you tell me, please, Officer, is it
18	a fair statement that from August the 18th, 1969 until
19	8-26 of 169 you did not cause any report to be written?
20	A. I cannot say that at all. That is not true.
21,	I don't know.
22	Q Well, we have here this document that is called
23	across the top "Analyzed Evidence Report,"
24	Would you tell us, please, in your work what is
25	that document used for?
26	A. To report evidence that is analyzed.

Now, you have the blank here that he did make 1 an examination and analysis of this evidence and in his 2 opinion -- and then there is a colon: ε, Would you tell me whether or not you formed 4 any opinion whatsoever that you put in writing concerning 5. what you did on August 18, 1969? 6 I have here your analyzed evidence report and the blank that calls for an opinion. 8 Counsel. may I see the report and point to me the area you are referring to, please. 10 Yes. Ü MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 12 THE COURT: You may. 13 BY MR. KANAREK: You may certainly look at that, 14 and would you please tell us what opinion, if any, did you 15 reduce to writing on August 26, 1969. 16 The report is self-sufficient in showing what **17** I reduced to writing on that date regarding this one 18 report. 19 Now, you went there as an expert, and this 20 blank calls for some opinion. 21 That's correct. A. . 22 Would you tell us what your opinion 23 What was the opinion that you put into writing, 24 if you put anything into writing, on August 26, 1969, as 25 to what occurred on August 18th? 26

A. Counsel, first of all here we go with compound questions again.

I did put something in writing. It is selfevident in the report. That is the first answer to your question.

If that is not self-evident, nothing would be.
Here it is written and dated that date.

At that particular time I put down as under this printed form, where it says "an opinion," that I did run tests and that in my opinion this is what I saw and reported.

14-1	Q All right.
2	Would you read what you put down under "Opinion
3	Would you read that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Would you read that to us, please.
6	A August the 18th, 1969.
7	Scene: 10050 Cielo Drive. 12:00 noon.
8	Sound test. Colt, 9-1/2 inch barreled
. 9	revolver, Remington golden L-R which is Long-Rifle.
. 10	Sound level meter-General Radio Company.
11	Car position in driveway to the rear of house,
12	31 to 32-1/2 decibels.
13	Front room to the rear of the house, 31-39
14	decibels.
. 15	Car position in driveway to rear of house
16	(radio) 78-78 decibels.
17	Front room to rear of house, radio, and then
18	in parens I am sorry, radio was in parenthesis.
19	Over this is "Set 5." 78-78 decibels.
20	Steps to the rear of the house, 31-42 plus
21	decibels.
22	Steps to the rear of the house (radio) 78-78
23	decibels.
24	Blood samples, et cetera. Taken. Bulleta
25	examined.
26	(See continuation.)

3

4

Now, do you need the continuation?

Excuse me?

Pardon me.

Do you need the continuation sheet to tell us what your opinion was?

You were there as an expert, Officer. merely asking you, would you tell me what was your opinion, s an expert, that you obtained?

This document calls for an opinion, your own blank there calls for an opinion. Would you tell us what was your opinion after you went out and did what you did?

The testimony that I have given here in court: That from the three positions with the radio off, that there was decibel level readings of this which would enable me to hear this firing of the weapon with the radio off.

Another opinion would be that with the radio on and set at 5, that I could not hear the firing of the weapon from the three positions.

That will be my opinion.

14a-1

. 1,4,

.

6

Q And would you tell us, Officer, any opinion that you have, if you have any, concerning whether or not a person in that house at 12:00 o'clock, or thereabouts, would have been able to hear a .22 caliber rifle, or a .22 caliber pistol, being shot where the Steve Parent car was?

A Yes. I previously answered this. I will answer it again.

It is my opinion, with the radio turned off, the stereo turned off, he would be able to hear it from where the Steve Parent car was.

Q Would you tell us at what level, if any, of Hi-Fi there would be the breakover point at which he could not hear?

A I would say that the breakoff point would be when the volume was set above 2.

Q And will you tell us upon what you base your scientific opinion that the breakover point was 2?

A Yes. As I previously explained. That on the taking of readings at 4, it read somewhere just slightly in excess of 60 decibels.

In the taking of three, as I recall, it read in just the middle range of the 50's -- I am sorry -- in the middle ranges of the 40's. I think it was about 42, 43.

It was in excess of those decibels in which I

had previously recorded with the radios off. So, I would say that when the dial setting was at 2 or less, that the person could possibly hear the shootings from the three positions.

Not from the three positions, I am sorry. From the one position, the front steps.

Then, the dial settings would have to be less for the positions between the front room and Parent's car.

Q And you, under oath, are willing to make these statements to us; right?

A I took an oath, and I am making these statements. I am certainly aware of my oath and my responsibilities, yes.

Q May I ask you this: Do you feel, as a scientist, you have enough information to enswer the question as to whether or not -- as to the point where the breakover point would be?

Do you feel you have enough information, that you have studied this problem enough to make that answer?

A I made the answer and I will stand with my answer. Yes, Counsel.

Q I see.

Now, is there some reason, Officer, why this report that is dated October the 5th, 1970, is called Employee's Report and those that are dated September 23rd of '69 and August 26th of '69 are termed Analyzed Evidence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20° 21

22

23

. 24

25₂

Report?

Would you tell us, Officer, if you know, as to why that difference exists?

A Yes, I can.

These are form reports that are printed by the City Printing Office, and the report forms have been changed within that year.

It is a standard form and they have reprinted them.

Q And will you tell me, Officer -- may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q The Employee's Report which was typed --

THE WITNESS: I am sorry. May I correct that before I look at it? I am sorry.

MR. KANAREK: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: They were not reprinted.

The Employee's Report Form is a 15.7, where it is not an analyzed evidence report. It is a form made by an employee, in addition to such things as work that is done.

When Mr. Bugliosi asked me to make a running narrative report, I could not make a duplicate report of what I had previously done. I had to go to what they call a Employee's Report form in regards to my previous

reports. So, I then made a narrative report on what we 2 call a 15.7 form. 14b fls. 5. ġ

41	-1	
).	

Ì

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Then is it a fair statement that the Analyzed Evidence Report forms are still used by the Los Angeles Police Department?

Yes, but there is a new report form.

I don't know whether that is the new one or the old one, but there is a new one,

That is what I was thinking about.

But as of yesterday -- I believe yesterday was the 5th; right?

Yes.

As of yesterday, there was an Analyzed Evidence Report Form: right?

Yes. There still is.

And is it a fair statement that you chose this Employee's Report form rather than an Analyzed Evidence Report Form because you do not have -- or would you tell us the reason you chose one over the other?

I just told you. There was no evidence analyzed in this case. This was a report based upon previously analyzed evidence.

I analyzed no evidence on October the 5th. 1970. I merely made a written report from those other two reports made here on the 23rd and the 26th of last year.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may these be marked next in line, AX, or whatever your Honor suggests?

I have here the Analyzed Evidence Report that

25

is dated August the 26th, 1969.

May that be given a number, your Honor? THE COURT: AY.

MR. KANAREK: And I have what is termed the "Employee's Report," your Honor.

May that be given a number?

THE COURT: AZ.

MR. KANAREK: Q Now, I notice, Officer, that in what we have marked AX, you make reference to the fact that the wind was from a southwest direction at approximately five miles per hour and there was considerable background noise.

You made the comment on September the 23rd, 1969.

- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q All right.

Now, would you tell us, Officer, directing your attention to August the 18th, 1969, what was the wind condition when you conducted the experiments on Cielo Drive, 10050 Cielo Drive?

A In the case of the experiments conducted on August the 18th, 1969, tests were conducted inside the house, from the standpoint of where the meter was held. There was no noticeable winds of any consequence on that particular day.

Had there been a noticeable wind, there would

22

23

24

25

26.

Q.,

2 3

4.

5

6

Ź

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

Now, would you read over to yourself this second paragraph, this second series here. Would you read that paragraph over to yourself.

> A Yes?

Now, that purports to relate what happened inside the house; is that right, Officer?

It is self-evident.

It says in here that there were a series of shots fired in the main house.

Just answer my question.

Does that paragraph purport to relate what happened concerning bullets shot inside the house?

That is correct.

Would you tell us, as far as -- would you 0 tell us, Officer, where was the bag of sand inside the house?

I have already pointed to where the bag of sand was on People's Exhibit No. 8.

It was in the front room area. I don't recall my exact position on the map over there. It was in the front door and off to the left. There is a little foyer there. It would be to the left.

Was the front door open when those bullets were shot?

> A To my recollection, I don't believe the No.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

front door was open. .

Q Can you tell us what objects there were in the room when the bullets were shot into the sand?

A Well, there was a desk with materials on it.

It was a small desk.

The problem here, Counsel, is based upon the fact that I have seen photographs.

I know there were trunks in the room, I know there were couches and everything else. I don't know whether they were there that day when I was there or not. I don't know whether the trunks were still present or not.

Q So you don't remember?

A Well, it is a confusion between seeing photographs.

Q What was there on that particular day?

A I know there were two -- there were two trunks in the room. I know there were couches in the room. I know there was a loft over the front room.

I know that there was a ladder coming down from the loft. I know that there was a desk in the room that had considerable materials on it of all kinds, that there were chairs.

The usual front room furniture.

Q And do you recall what windows, if any, were open?

A No, I don't recall what windows were open.

	1	MR. KANAREK: Just so I can lay a foundation, your
; ·	2	Honor, I would like to interrogate on this.
	3	Q Officer, I am not doing this for any reason
	4	except to lay a foundation.
	5	To your knowledge, is this, in this expression
	6	for the speed of sound, Officer, is this gamma, is that
-	7	equal to the ratio of specific heats?
	8	A Counsel, I said I don't recall that. I don't
	ę	recall.
•	10	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may this be marked as an
	11	exhibit?
id.	12	THE COURT: BC.
	įз	MR. KANAREK: BC, your Honor?
	14	THE COURT: Yes.
	15	MR. KANAREK: B?
	16	THE CLERK: Yes. B as in Baker. BC.
	17	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
	18	Q If I ask you if gamma equals the ratio of
	19	specific heat, your answer is you don't know?
,	2 0	A I said I don't recall the formula, so I
•	21	would have to say that to the entire formula.
	22	Q All right.
	23	And the R, if I ask you if "R" equals the
. •	24	gas constant per unit mass, your answer is that you do
	25	not recall that; is that correct?
	26 ·	A I would have to state and hold to the same answer

BC

•	I don't recall that particular.
. 1	Q Now, are we in agreement that the speed of
2	sound has the units, the dimensions of feet per second?
8.	A. I wouldn't know. I would say it is measured in
4	feet per second as one of the common measurements, that is
. 5	correct.
, 6	Q Thank you.
7	And is the T, temperature, in absolute terms,
8	Renkin?
9	A. In absolute terms, Renkin?
ÍO	Q Is that familiar to you?
11	A. That is what you said it was, it is not familiar
12	to me.
13	I have to ask you the question, Officer, is it
14	true, do you know?
15	A. I said I don't know.
16	MR. KANAREK: You don't know? All right.
17	Thank you very much, Officer.
18	Thank you, your Honor.
19	MR. FITZGERALD: May I have one question two
, 20	questions, one is foundational and the other is direct.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
25	Q Have you testified to an expert opinion here
	Andrew Cook a control

15-1

26.

A . I would say yes.

Would your opinion be any different if you were aware that colleagues of yours from the Scientific Investigation --

MR. BUGLIOSI: I am going to object to any question that purports to contain within itself an answer, your Honor.

I request that we approach the bench.

He appears to be reading hearsay. May we approach the bench, your Honor?

MR. FITZGERALD: It is a hearsay question; there is no question about that.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. FITZGERALD: So the record will be clear, what I intend to do is ask Mr. Wolfer if his expert opinion would change if he was aware that colleagues of his from the Scientific Investigation Division of the Los Angeles Police Department had performed similar tests to his at the Cielo Avenue address and arrived at different conclusions.

Now actually I am asking this question in good faith, actually this is the portion of the official reports of the Los Angeles Police Department that I read into the record yesterday.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I know. There is nothing here, your Honor, that indicates that any test was conducted by the

21

22

23

. 24

25

officer who wrote this examination.

This is a report by Sergeant McGann and Sergeant Calkins.

I have a difficult time getting them to carry exhibits from point A to point B, much less stating scientific conclusions like this.

There is no scientific conclusion in here whatso-

MR. FITZGERALD: I believe there is.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Not from the people who prepared this report. This is a report prepared by McGann and Calkins.

Now, if you want to call --

THE COURT: Regardless of whether there is or is not some scientific opinion stated in that report, I think the question is unfair, unfair at this point.

In other words, you now have this officer's testimony as to certain opinions.

If you call another witness, or the People call another witness, and in cross-examination you ask them if they knew that Mr. Wolfer had testified to such-and-such an opinion, which he had in fact testified to, would that change his opinion; that is one thing.

Here you are in effect assuming it for the purpose of the question.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right, right, it's like asking a psychiatrist --

THE COURT: But the trouble with it is it is not just a bare assumption; there is an implication in fact it was done.

MR. FITZGERALD: That is true.

THE COURT: If it was done, that is fine, but it is not established in this record.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have no evidence that it was done, to my knowledge he is the only one who conducted the experiments.

THE COURT: Maybe you can phrase the question in some other way.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I would like to have it phrased at the bench so I can object.

Once the question is asked it is a rhetorical in question that contains with/itself an answer.

MR. FITZGERALD: Right, it does. But it is not admitted for that purpose. It is admitted for the purpose of what he based his opinion on, and would his professional opinion change.

THE COURT: In other words, what you are really asking calls for speculation as the record now stands.

You are saying, assuming somebody arrived at a different conclusion than you did, would this affect your conclusion.

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.

THE COURT: He doesn't know what conclusion, the whole

business as speculation.

23.

MR. FITZGERALD: Common speculation, but legal speculation, not in his status as an expert.

I would concede if he were a lay witness,
we would be improper, but it is perfectly proper in terms of
-- as a matter of fact, I think he was sent out for the
purpose of attempting to get positive results, because they
had negative results earlier.

THE COURT: This may be. This may be.

MR. BUGLIOSI: What I think you should do, Paul,
is contact the people who prepared this report, ask them
why they said what they did.

Now, maybe someone else went out. If they did, let's get their report.

15a-1

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

ļ2) 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

2Ó

21

22

23

24 25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: I'm just going to start issuing subpoenss for the chief -- I cannot get the information from these people.

THE COURT: This does not have to be on the record, this portion of it.

What is before me?

MR. BUGLIOSI: It's a question.

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi objected to the question.

I think the objection is a good one as the record now stands.

MR. FITZGERALD: May I have permission to ask him a couple of other questions to lay the foundation for subsequent testimony.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KANAREK: May I have the witness ordered back with that serial plot? We made --

THE COURT: If he has the aerial plot.

MR. KANAREK: We did not get it in our discovery proceedings --

THE COURT: I don't know whether you made discovery or not.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, we did, your Honor.

THE COURT: You are telling me that.

I don't know if it is true or not.

MR. KANAREK: Well, we made it.

THE COURT: We will not discuss this in the absence

15a-2

2

3

4 5

6.

7 8

_

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

of the other attorneys.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence and hearing of the jury:)

BY MR. FITZGERALD:

Q At the time that you went to the Cielo Avenue location to perform certain acoustical tests, did you have information that the tests, similar type tests, had been conducted by persons within your department?

A No, I did not.

MR. FITZGERALD: I have nothing further.

MR. HUGHES: Your Honor, by stipulation between myself and the Deputy District Attorney Mr. Bugliosi, this witness's testimony is not being introduced against Leslie Van Houten for any purpose and therefore I will not cross-examine.

I would ask your Honor to instruct the jury to not consider the evidence for any purpose against Leslie Van Houten.

THE COURT: That stipulation was previously stated on the record, was it not?

MR. HUGHES: I don't believe it was stated before the jury.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I will stipulate this testimony does not pertain to Leslie Van Houten.

MR. HUGHES: So stipulated.

THE COURT: All right.

The jury is admonished not to consider the

15a-3 testimony of Mr. Wolfer as to Leslie Van Houten for any purpose. . 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have a few questions on redirect 3 examination, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7. BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 8 Did Sergeant Deese tell you when he arrived 9 at the Tate residence? 10 No, he did not. 11 You indicated earlier something about his being Q 12 there on the night of the murders. 13 No. that is what I said, I don't mean that he 14 was there to witness a murder. 15 He was there in the investigation in the 16 early stages. 17 You don't know when he arrived at the scene? 18 I have no idea. I don't even know when the 19 actual scene was exposed or found by the Police Department. 20 So you do not know when Sergeant Deese arrived 21 at the Tate premises? 22 No, I was on vacation. A 23 And he never told you? Q A No.

Q

26

Okay. With respect to your experiment on

	·
1.	August the 18th, 1969, the first formal written report
2	you prepared was dated August 26, 1969, is that correct?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q That is eight days later?
Ś	A That's correct.
6	Q And you do not recall whether you made any
7	report prior to that time?
8	A No, I mean I did not make any report.
9	I might have made a verbal report but I made
10	no written report.
11	Q You don't recall whether you made any notes
12	prior to that time?
13	A No.
14	Q When you went out there on August 18th, 1969,
15	did snyone tell you from what position the shots were
16	fired on the night of the murders?
17	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I object to that on the
18	grounds of hearsay.
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: This was gone into on cross-examination
20	your Honor.
21	THE COURT: That may be answered yes or no. Over-
22	ruled.
2 3	THE WITNESS: No.
24 ,	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
25	Q No one told you from what position the shots
26	were fired on the night of the murder, is that correct?

, 1	Tital Ta Collecte
2	Q You used the word, witness, several times
3	during your testimony on cross-examination.
4	What do you mean by the word witness?
5	A Any person who witnesses anything by one of
6.	the five senses, smell, sound, taste, hearing, feel.
7	These would be
8	Q So when you spoke about witnesses you were not
9 ;	limiting the term to people who observed something visually
10	A You can witness things by any one of the five
11	senses.
12	Q You were including, when you used the term
13	witness, hearing, is that correct?
14 ,	A That is correct.
15	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
16	MR. KANAREK: In connection with this plot.
17	THE COURT: What plot?
18	MR. KANAREK: The aerial plot map, your Honor, which
19	occurred on the 23rd or the 22nd the 23rd of September,
20	1969, I wonder if Mr
21	THE COURT: You work that out with Mr. Bugliosi,
22	Mr. Kanarek, and if that is not satisfactory then there are
23	other ways you can get it.
24	MR. KANAREK: I don't want to inconvenience Mr.
25	Wolfer by ordering him back, your Honor.
26	THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Wolfer.

MR. BUGLIOSI: People call Jerry Friedman. 1 MR. KANAREK: May I inquire whether Mr. Wolfer is 2. going on vacation in the near future? 3 THE COURT: You may. MR. KANAREK: Mr. Wolfer, are you going on vacation 5 in the near future? 6 MR. WOLFER: I have four vacation days. I might take 7 one day off and come back. 8 15b fls. 9 MR. KANAREK: Thank you very much, thank you. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 1500 T. C. A. A. C. C. C. 25

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please. i-b-1 1 Would you please repeat after me. I do solemnly swear ---3 THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --THE CLERK: -- that the evidence I may give --5 THE WITNESS: -- that the evidence I may give --6 THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --7. THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --8 THE CLERK: -- before this Court --9 THE WITNESS: -- before this Court --10 THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --11-12 THE WITNESS: -- shall be the truth --THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --13 THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --14 THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth -15 THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --16 THE CLERK: -- so help me God. 17 THE WITNESS: -- so help me God. 18 THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. 19 Please state and spell your name. 20 THE WITNESS: Jerrold Friedman, J-e-r-r-o-1-d, 21. F-r-i-e-d-m-a-n. 22 23 JERROLD FRIEDMAN, 24 called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, being 25 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 26

Ţ	·. ·	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BUGLIO	DSI:
3	Q 1	Mr. Friedman, in August of 1969, specifically
4	August 8, 19	59, where were you living?
5	A.	5027-1/2 Romaine, R-o-m-a-i-n-e.
6	g . 1	where is that?
7	A	In Hollywood.
.8	Q.	On that date did you know one Steven Parent?
, 9	A,	Yes, I did.
10	, A.	Were you at home on the evening of August 8,
11	1969?	
12	. Á.	For the most part, yes.
13	Q.	Did you receive a telephone call from Steven
14	Parent that	evening?
15	Α.	Yes, I did.
1 6	Q.	About what time?
17	A	11:45.
· 18	Q	P.M.?
19	Α.	Yeah,
20	Q.	You spoke to him for how long?
21	A	No less than five minutes, no more than ten
22	minutes.	
23	MR. BU	GLIOSI: No further questions.
	· ·	

. 1	
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
3	Q Were you at 6027-1/2 Romaine when you received
4	the phone call?
5	A. Yes, I was.
Ĝ	Q What was your phone number?
7	A. 463-1280.
.8	MR. FITZGERALD: I have nothing further.
9.	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn?
10	MR. SHINN: No questions.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek.
12	MR. KANAREK: May I have a moment?
13	Yes, your Honor.
14	
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. KANAREK:
17	Q What was the subject matter of the conversation
18	Mr. Friedman?
19	A. Several things.
20	MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, actually, your Honor, I have no
21	objection to it except we are getting into a hearsay
22	area here.
Ž 3	MR. KANAREK: He either has an objection or he hasn't
24	MR. BUGLIOSI: The Court may have an objection. It
25	seems to be hearsay.
26	THE COURT! Overruled. You may exemen

.P	
ו	THE WITNESS: I can answer the question?
2	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
3	Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you state the subjects
4	of the conversation?
.5	A He wanted to come over to my place and I said,
6	"But it's so late," and then he asked me what time it was
7	and we compared the time.
8	He checked the clock where he was and I checked
ġ	my clock, and then he started to tell me about where he was,
10	but I cut him off and said, "Why don't you come over and talk
11	over here?"
12	Q Mr. Friedman, what is your age, sir?
13	A. 26.
14	And to your knowledge how old was Mr. Parent?
15	A At that time he was 18.
16	Q And did Mr. Parent tell you where he was
17	calling from?
18	A Not exactly, he said something to the effect
19	that he was at the home of a movie star, somebody big.
. 2 0	And I asked him, "Is there a party going on?"
21	He said no.
22	I said, "Who are you with, then?"
23	He said, "With a friend."
24	And I said, "Well, then, are there other people
25	there?"
26	And he seemed to indicate that they work but they

1.	were not where he was.
2	Q Can you give us the exact language perhaps?
3	A. He said something like, "You wouldn't believe
4	where I am," or "Guess where I am," and he was a little bit
5	excited, I guess impressed by where he was.
6	And I said, "Where are you?"
7	And he said, "Well, I am at the home of some
8	really big people."
9	And I said, "Is there a party going on," because
(O,	I had become conscious of music or something in the back-
ıı	ground.
2	And he said, "No, that is the radio, the stereo."
.3	And I said, "Well, who are you with?"
4	And he said, "Just a friend."
5	And I said something like, "Well, is there a
6	party or something?"
7	He said, "No." And he said something like,
8	"There are some people downstairs," or elsewhere, I wasn't
9 .	sure exactly where, but he seemed to indicate that they were
0	removed from where he was and that he was not there, you
ı	know, not with the others,
2	
3.	
4	
5	

	ર્ધ		
5e-1	1	Q.	Now, what made you believe that he was removed?
	2	A	Well, he just said, he said he was alone with
	3	a friend, ac	tually, and I asked him where he was, you know.
•	4		Well, when he said "Can I come over," we talked
·.	5	about how lo	ng it would take, and I said "Where are you?"
	_. 6		And he was kind of vague as if he wasn't sure
?	7	exactly, you	know, where he was, and then he said "Past
	.8	Doheny."	
	g	Q.	Could you give us as best you can, Mr. Friedman
	10	the exact wo	ords that were uttered by you and him?
	11 .	A	The whole conversation?
	12	, Q	Rather than give us your conclusions.
	13	A .	I can't remember about what? The exact
	14	words about	which.
•	15	Q	About anything you have told us about.
	16	A	Well, all right, I will start from the begining
,	17	,	I picked up the phone. I said "Hello."
	18	•	He seemed surprised that I was home because I
•	19	had not been	home earlier.
r	,2 0	. କୁ	Now, there instead of saying he seemed sur-
•	21	prised	
,	22	A	All right, I said "Hello."
•	23		He said, "What are you doing home?"
,	24		I said "What are you doing calling here now?"
	25	,	And he said, "Well, I was just curious if you
	26	were home."	

15c-2₁

2

3

ź

6

7

8

ģ

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

2Ó

21 22

23

24

25

16 fls. ²⁶

And I said, "Yeah, I just got home a little while ago," which was a little bit of a white lie because I had been home about an hour and a half by then.

And then he said "Can I come over?"
I said, "It's awful late, Steve."
He said, "Well, what time is it?"

And I had a clock right by my phone. I picked it up and looked at it and I said "11:30."

He looked at a clock where he was and he said, "No, it's 11:25."

And then I realized, yeah, I had my clock set five minutes fast so I would never be late for work.

And I said, "Sure," because there were some things I wanted to talk to him about, and he had some things he wanted to talk to me about, a stereo we were going to build.

And he said, "Well, I will be there in 15 or 20 minutes," and then he said, "No, better make it 40 minutes so I will be there by 12:30."

And I said "Well, where are you," you know, "Where are you coming from?"

And he said, "Doheny, past Doheny."

And I said, you know, "Where are you?"

He said "At a friend"s."

That is when I asked him: "Is there a party 1 going on there?" 2 He said, "No." 3 And I said, "What is that musio?" 4 He said, "It is the stereo; it is the radio." 5 Then is when / said, "You will never believe 6 where I am. This is the home of some big people." And I sort of cut him off because I am really 8 9. not impressed by movie stars or anything like that. I said, "Well, why don't you tell me about it 10 when you get over here." ΙÍ Did he say anything from which 12 MR. KANAREK: Q you got the impression it was a movie star's home? 13 14 Sort of. I don't remember the exact words, but he said something like "big people in Hollywood." And Steve 15 16 was on the fringes of the recording industry. 17 He had engineered a couple of records for some 18 people. 19 So. I figured it was something like that. 20 Did you have any difficult hearing him? 21 No. Α. 22 In other words, what noise there was in the 23 background didn't interfere with you? 24 No. It was in the background. A. 25 Much in the background? 26 Yes. A.

1	Q May I ask you, Mr. Friedman. How long hadyou
2	known Mr. Parent?
3 .	A. Two or three months.
4	Q Prior to the time that he passed away?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Anything further?
.8	MR. HUGHES: I believe by stipulation again
g`	MR. BUGLIOSI: So stipulated.
10	MR. HUGHES: this testimony will not refer at all
11 -	in any way to Leslie Van Houten.
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	MR. HUGHES: Will the Court instruct the jury?
14	THE COURT: The jury is so instructed, not to con-
15	sider the testimony of this witness as to Miss Van Houten
16	for any purpose.
17	You may step down,
18	MR. BUGLIOSI: The People call Miss Hardaway.
19	(The witness enters the courtroom.)
2 0 ⁻	THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.
21	Would you please repeat after me.
22	I do solemnly swear
23	THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear
24	THE CLERK: that the testimony I may give
. 25	THE WITNESS: that the testimony I may give
26	THE CLERK: in the cause now pending

THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --1 THE CLERK: -- before this court --2 THE WITNESS: -- before this court --THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --THE WITNESS: -- shall be the truth --5 THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --6 THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --8 THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --THE CLERK: -- so help me God. 10 THE WITNESS: -- so help me God. ·II THE CLERK: Would you be seated, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Where? Right here? 13 THE CLERK: Yes. 14. Would you please state and spell your name, 15 THE WITNESS: My name is Gloria Hardaway. 16 THE CLERK: Would you spell it; please. 17 THE WITNESS: The last name, H-a-r-d-a-w-a-y. 18 GLORIA HARDAWAY, called as a witness by and on behalf of the People, being 21 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 25

Is it Miss or Mrs.?

26 -

	A. Mrs.
1	
2	Q Mrs. Hardaway, what is your occupation?
3	A. I am a senior clerk for the County of Los Angele
4	Q Where do you work?
٠ 5	A Assigned to Sybil Brand Institute.
6	Q What are your duties over there?
7	A. My duties are to analyze various court papers
8	
•	
9	
10	
ુ 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
. 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

ست منسد		
16a-1	1	Q Were those your duties on August the 12th,
	2	1969?
	3	A Yes, they were.
	4	Q I show you a document here. The top of it says
, •	5	Sandra Collins Pugh, and then also Sandra Good.
• ,	6	Looking at 1t from this direction, 1t says
•	7	"Case, Court, Charge, Date, Disposition"; and down below
	8	there are some entries.
	9	Are you familiar with this document?
•	10	A Yes, I am.
	11	Q What is this document?
	12	A That is the outside of our booking jacket.
,	13	Q This would be the outside of a booking jacket,
•	14	then, for Sandra Pugh, also known as Sandra Good?
,	15	A That's right.
	16	Q Who makes the entries on this particular documen
, ,	17	the outside of the booking jacket?
,1	18	A The clerks that work in our office.
,	19	Q This would be your job?
	20	A Right.
	21	Q And it was your job on August the 12th,
ige e	22	1969?
•	23	A That is correct.
ŧ	24	Q This booking jacket is a business record of
	2 5	the Sheriff's Office?
	.26	A Yes, it is.

1		
1	, Q	And the entries are made in it in the regular
2	course of bu	siness of the Sheriff's Office?
3	A	That's right.
4.	. Q	When a person is released from Sybil Brand,
.5 .	the entries	are made, then, on the outside of the booking
6	jacket?	
7	A	That's right.
8	Q	On the same date that they are released?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	In fact, at the particular period of the day
11,	that they ar	e released?
12	, A	Right.
13	Q	Looking at this document, Mrs. Hardaway,
14	do you see j	our signature anywhere?
15	A	Yes, I do.
16	Q	Where is that?
17	A	In the lower right-hand corner of the jacket.
18	Q	Where it says "Authorized by: Hardaway"?
19	A	That's right.
20	Q	That is your signature?
21	A	That's right.
· 22·	· Q	To the left there is another block. It
23	says "Releas	sed by" and it says "8/12/69."
24		What does that mean?
25	A	That is the date that she was released.
26	Q	That is the date that Sandra Pugh, also known
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2 course of but 3 A 4 Q 5 the entries 6 jacket? 7 A 8 Q 10 Q 11 that they ar 12 A 13 Q 14 do you see y 15 A 16 Q 17 A 18 Q 19 A 20 Q 21 A 22 Q 23 says "Releas 24 25 A

16a-3	1	as Sandra Good, was released from Sybil Brand Institute?
.	. 2	A Yes, it is.
	3	Q Do you stamp on the outside of the booking
	4	jacket the particular time of day that she was released?
٠.	. 5	A Yes.
	. 6	Q Do you see that on this document here?
	7	A Yes, I do.
	8	Q What time do you see?
· .·	9	A August 12th, 3:12 p.m., 1969.
;	10	Q So, on August the 12th at 3:12 p.m., August
* *	11	the 12th, 1969, your records reflect here that Sandra
•	12	Pugh, also known as Sandra Good, was released from Sybil
	13	Brand Institute in East Los Angeles; is that correct?
	14	A That's right.
	. 15	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions.
	16	MR. FITZGERALD: No questions.
	17	MR. SHINN: No questions.
· . ·	18	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I have an objection
١,	. 19	on relevancy and materiality?
.·	20	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
• .	21	Do you have any cross-examination?
, '	22	MR. KANAREK: I mean, to all of this witness's
	23	examination?
	.24	THE COURT: Do you have any cross-examination?
	- 25	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
	26	THE COURT: Proceed.

. 1 16a-4 MR. KANAREK: Mr. Bugliosi, may I see that file? MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions. 2 3 You are excused. Oh, you have some questions? 5 MR. KANAREK: Yes. Your Honor, I wonder if this may be marked as a Court's exhibit. 7. MR. BUGLIOSI: The document or the whole file? MR. KANAREK: All of this: 10 MR. BUGLIQSI: Very well. MR. KANAREK: Just an exhibit so we will have it, 11 12 your Honor, if we need it. .13 MR. BUGLIOSI: May we have it marked as People's . 14 Exhibit next in order? 15 MR. KANAREK: For identification. 16 MR. BUGLIOSI: People's next in order, the whole document? THE COURT: 263. 263 16b fls. 21 22 23,

May I approach the witness, your Honor?

16B-1

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. KANAREK:

5

BY MR. KANAREK:

٠6

8 9.

ÌÒ

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Mrs. Hardaway, do you have any independent recollection of this, of what you testified to here today?

By that I mean, outside of the fact that Mr. Bugliosi showed you this document and asked you some questions and asked you to state what you read, do you have any recollection of these events?

- This happens every day, daily.
- So, is it a fair statement that you haveno recollection as to any of the events that you testified to? Is that correct?
- You mean individually, each person released individually?
- As you sit there in the witness stand, do you remember these transactions and the events that you testified to?
- I don't remember everybody that is released every day. You don't have time to look and see who is going out. You just set up the papers and let them go if there is nothing else to hold them.
- We are not disputing that. What we are asking Is it a fact that you do not remember any of the you is:

events? Right? THE COURT: Thatis ambiguous. Specify what event you are talking about; ... Mr. Kanarek. MR. KANAREK: I will ask it this way: Directing your attention to what you have testified to here today, without Mr. Bugliosi showing you these papers, you wouldn't have known anything about it? 8 Is that a fair statement? 9 10 THE COURT: About what? MR. KANAREK: About anything she testified to here 11 12 today. THE COURT: She testified to the manner of keeping 13 14 the records, for example. Is that what you are referring to? 15 MR. KANAREK: Well, I will narrow it down, your 16 17 Honor. 18 Directing your attention to the person of Sandra Good. You heard Mr. Bugliosi talk about that; right? Right. 20 **A.** Now, did you remember Sandra Good before 21 Mr. Bugliosi mentioned her in connection with these papers? 22 23 No. A.

24

25

26

Mr. XYZ; right?

Right.

Her name was just as foreign to you as

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

1	Q Directing your attention, then, to this
2 .	8/12/69. That is not your handwriting, is it?
3	A. No.
• , ,	
4	That is somebody else's handwriting?
5	A. No. That is my handwriting above it.
6	Q No. I am talking about to the left. 8/12/69
7	was not written by you, was it?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Someone else wrote that?
10	A Right
11	MR. KANAREK: Thank you.
12	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, may we call one more
13	witness? It will take just two or three minutes.
. 14	She has been waiting all day with this girl. Unless the
15	Court wants to adjourn right now.
16	THE COURT: This kind of an estimate is notoriously
17	inaccurate.
18	MR. BUGLIOSI: It is the same type of witness, the
19	identical type of witness.
20	MR. SHINN: We might have some cross-examination.
2Ì	THE COURT: No, I think we will adjourn at this time.
22	Any other cross-examination of this witness?
23	MR. SHINN: No.
24	MR. HUGHES: No questions, your Honor.
25	MR. FITZGERALD: No.
·26	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse

with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the ı, case until it is finally submitted to you. 2 The court will adjourn until 9:45 tomorrow 3 morning. (Whereupon, at 4:15 p.m. the court was in .5 recess. . 7 9 10 ļĮ 12 13 14 15 16 17 18: 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES