SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 104

1

HON. CHARLES H. OLDER, JUDGE

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

65

vs.

CHARLES MANSON, SUSAN ATKINS, LESLIE VAN HOUTEN, PATRICIA KRENWINKEL,

Defendants.

No. A253156

REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT Friday, August 21, 1970 A. M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

For the People:

AARON H. STOVITZ and

VINCENT T. BUGLIOSI, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

For Deft. Manson:

I. A. KANAREK, Esq.

For Deft. Atkins:

DAYE SHINN, Esq.

For Deft. Van Houten:

RONALD HUGHES, Esq.

For Deft. Krenwinkel:

PAUL FITZGERALD, Esq.

For the Los Angeles

JOHN D. MAHARG, County Counsel

County Sheriff's Dept.:

to

BY: MICHAEL DOUGHERTY, Esq.

VOLUME 65

JOSEPH B. HOLLOMBE, CSR., MURRAY MEHLMAN, CSR.,

PAGES 8502

8636

Official Reporters

	,			
1	INDEX			
2	PEOPLE'S WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS			
3	MC GANN, Michael J. 8557 8605F 8608S			
4	CLINE, James L. (Examination by the Court at page 8523)			
5				
6.				
7.	DEFENDANT MANSON'S:			
8	MANSON, Charles 8504 8517			
9.				
10	EXHIBITS			
11	PEOPLE'S: FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE			
12	124 - Photograph of garage and two wires on			
13	ground 8558			
14	125 - Photograph of telephone pole 8561			
15 16	126 - Photograph of Sgt. McGamm holding a wire 8561			
17 18	127 - Photograph of male arm holding tip of wire 8562			
19	128 - Photograph of male arm holding wire 8562			
20 21	129 - Photograph of Sgt. McGann holding wire 8562			
22 23	130 - Photograph of Sgt. McGann near garage, Tate residence 8562			
24	131 - Photograph of garage, Tate residence 8562			
25 26	132 - Photograph of portion exterior of Tate residence 8562			

	INI	D E X (Continued)
	EXHI	BITS
	PEOPLE'S: FOR IDE	NTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE
	133 - Photograph of portion of Tate residence	85 63
	134 - Photograph of portion of roof of Tate residence	8563
	135 - Photograph of portion of exterior of Tate residence	8563
2	136 - Photograph of portion of Tate residence	8563
	137 - Photograph of Sgt. McGann holding wire	8563
	138 - Photograph of watch on seat of vehicle	8582
	139 - Lucerne wristwatch	8583
	¥	
		,
ŀ		
	·	
	·	•
		,
•	,	
		·
	·	

l

2

1

3

5

6

î

7

9

11

12 13

14 .

15

16 17

18

19

L

21

23

22

24 25

26

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, AUGUST 21, 1970 8:35 A.M.

THE COURT: The record will show Mr. Manson and his counsel. Mr. Kamarek, are present.

Deputy County Counsel, Mr. Dougherty is present.

Are you one of the deputies, sir?

MR. CLIMB: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What is your name?

MR. CLIME: James Cline.

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KAMAREK: May I just ask this, your Honor?

Does counsel concede that at the present time an order to interview Mr. Manson, with the presence of a witness, that it is necessary to talk through a screen, a very fine mesh screen -- actually two screens, with Mr. Manson on one side, myself and the witness on the other side, and a Deputy standing adjacent to Mr. Manson.

Does counsel concede that is true?

MR. DOUGHERTY: Your Honor, I think the facts will speak for themselves.

MR. KAMAREK: We don't have Mr. Shinn here.

THE COURT: Who?

MR. KAMAREK: Mr. Shinn, your Honor, was going to testify that this is a fact.

I think we are all agreed that theme are the

10.

facts; that what Mr. Manson said was true concerning the witness situation, that is, there is a screen.

THE COURT: Apparently there is no agreement. I don't know what you are referring to.

Mr. KAMAREK: That presently there is a screen between Mr. Manson and myself.

THE COURT: That is what he has testified to.

MR. KAMAREK: When I speak with Mr. Manson in the presence of a witness, and does counsel seriously dispute that that fact exists?

MR. DOUGHERTY: Mr. Manson testified on crossexamination yesterday on three occasions in the last two
weeks he has been allowed to interview witnesses in your
presence; the Sheriff was informed they were very important,
material witnesses; he was allowed to interview them in the
attorney room without the use of a screen.

so it is not true in all cases. The record reflects that.

26

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, Mr. Dougherty may not have any personal knowledge of these things. There is no necessity for him to agree with you or stipulate.

MR. KANAREK: May I have a moment?

(Mr. Kanarek confers with Mr. Manson.)

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I would like to recall Mr. Manson, if I may.

THE COURT: Very well.

CHARLES MANSON,

called as a witness in his own behalf, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

THE CLERK: Just be seated, Mr. Manson, please.
You are still under oath, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Mr. Manson, directing your attention to the very recent period you have spoken of when you were in the lockup.

Would you tell us the circumstances preceding .
your going to the lockup?

A I was in the lockup in the new County Jail.

The old County Jail was the latest.

They have about as high as, sometimes, maybe

20 juveniles; they have about 15 young black men; and they have a few young Mexicans in the front. 2 THE COURT: Let's fix the time, Mr. Kanarek. 3 MR. KANAREK: Yes. 4 Q Give us the most recent, Mr. Manson. 5 A That is the one I am on right now. б Q Very well. Go ahead. 7 A They called it illegal communication. 8 Would you tell us what occurred? Q. 9 A I had talked to these men ever since I have 10 been over there. No one informed me that I wasn't allowed 7.7 to talk to somebody on the other side of the wall. And 12 we passed newspapers back and forward. And I had been in 13 lockup for giving them cigarettes once before. 14 One of the young men hollered and asked me if 15 I wanted a paper, a newspaper. And I said yes. And the 16 Morning Officer said that was illegal communications. 17 I looked at the rules and I didn't see anything 18 19 there. 20 Is this the reason that you were told that you were put in lockup? 21 22 A Yes. Would you tell us, Mr. Manson, about the 23 food that is given to you? 24 It is the regular County Jail food. 25 26 I don't eat meat. I asked them to not put

2a fls.

14.

24.

the meat on the tray, but they say it is procedure; if they don't put the meat on the tray, then they say that someone may say that they are not getting the proper amount of food. So, to contend with that, they put the meat on the tray.

Consequently, when the meat goes on the other food, then I can't eat it.

4-1

.2

ï

3

5

6

7 8

9

10.

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26 Q Now, Mr. Manson, would you tell us if there are certain people put in the tank in the area where you are confined in order to speak with you?

MR. DOUGHERTY: I am going to object, your Honor.
This is all irrelevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KAMAREK: Your Honor, it goes to his right to defend himself.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

Let me have the motion papers.

You filed the motion based on certain grounds.

Mr. Kanarek, and we are going to stay to those grounds.

We are not going to expand this into some general investigation of the County Jail.

(The clark hands the file to the Court.)

DEFENDANT MANSON: Your Honor --

The objection will be sustained.

It is outside the scope of the motion and the declaration.

MR. KAMAREK: Your Honor, in the interests of saving time and not having to make another motion, I would move to add the allegation that the Sheriff is deliberately putting people in there to try to attempt to talk to Mr. Manson, to interfere with his defense, in the same way that people were put in the County Jail in the Barbara

2A2

1

3

5

7

.6

8 . 9

Ĭ0

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

· 23

25

26

Graham case; that these people --

THE COURT: I don't know anything about the Barbara Graham case.

DEPENDANT MANSON: This is why they put the screen up. because of the guy they put in the tank.

THE COURT: One moment, Mr. Manson.

I don't know anything about the Barbara Graham case, and we are not going to try that game in here.

MR. KANAREK: I understand.

THE COURT: You filed a motion based on certain statements in Mr. Manson's declaration. We are going to limit the hearing to those allegations, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: Your Homor, as I am saying, part of our allegation is that the screen situation here is part of an harassment and an attempt by the Sheriff to attempt to get people in there to talk to Mr. Manson, to interfere with his defense, so they then can have evidence to be brought to this courtroom by way of statements of Mr. Manson.

THE COURT: You may inquire on matters pertaining to the statements in the declaration.

MR. MAMAREK: All right.

Mr. Manson, directing your attention to the screen that has been put up. Would you tell us, Mr. Manson, the circumstances which occurred prior to the time the screen went up?

A The procedure was, when I first went over there,

was rather smooth.

3 1

Ġ

9.

17 .

Then they put a guy in the back part of the tank named Peterson.

Peterson was a nice little guy. He had been an informer in a penitentiary that I had been in, and I never held anything against him. I talked to him like a human being.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

2b-1

 Everything I would say to him, he would run and say to the man.

So one day I gave him a piece of candy. He asked me for some LSD, and I gave him a piece of cherry lick candy, and I said, "Here is some LSD, Pete. Go on a trip."

So he runs and gives it to the deck officer.

So, the deck officer took it serious and gave it to someone else.

Then pretty soon they said, "Well, he can't have any more than this." And this goes up.

Then the procedure tightened down a little bit.

Another time I mentioned to him in passing, as a joke, I said, "Maybe we will get some of the Marines to come up here, up through the attorney room, and we can break everybody out of jail."

So he took that on and passed that on to the machine. And it seems like anything I would say he would take it.

If I said it with a smile on my face, he would take it as being serious and run and explain it to a deck officer or a lieutenant or something. And then the procedure would get a little tighter and a little tighter.

Then they put another man in there that tried a similar thing, but then we didn't talk as much. He

swallowed a razor blade, and now they have got another one in there. They keep one guy in there all the time. What I am afraid of is that one of them is going to get in there and then come up with a few lies to 3 fls. 5 get off of something. б

3-1	*	
J**1.		1
	ì	2
		3
		À
		į
*		6
.◆		7
		8
		9
Ī	1	0
	1	IJ
	· j	2
_	• 1	13
	3	14
•	3	[5
×	3	16
	3	17
	3	į
*]	ļ
Á	2	20
· ·	٠,	2)
2		22
	á	2:
×	2	24

26

MR. DOUGHERTY: Your Honor, we move to strike all of that answer on the grounds it was not responsive to the question.

THE WITHESS: That is why the screen was put up.

MR. KANAREK: Your Henor is here; there is no jury.

THE COURT: The motion will be denied, let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Now, are these people commonly known as "snitches" Mr. Manson?

A They have various names.

Q snitches is one of them?

A Informers, snitches.

Q When you use the words "the man," what do you mean by that, Mr. Manson?

A well, the man is the man, the policeman.

Q In other words, that is a sort of a slang expression meaning the Police?

A It means what it means, the man.

Q The policeman?

A The man, the man, the man.

You are a man, he is a man; the man; the man that is in control.

When a man is in control, he is "the man."

MR. KAHAREK: I see.

directing your attention, let's say, to the times you have

> 4:

9,

been on trial, that is, since June 15th, gince the nominal beginning of this trial -- and actual beginning. I mean -- how many times have you been in the lockup?

A To be benest I don't know whether the first time I was in the lockup, whether we were on trial or not, because to se the lockup is just like the other part, you know, it is all lockup.

It is all solitary, you know.

The only difference is that the pencils and the paper and the witnesses make it kind of hard to come down and go through this trial thing without being able to talk to witnesses.

I know that the officer at the desk out there does not realize that there are seven, eight capital offenses, and then there is about 15 civil matters against me, civil suits, and I don't know how many other things.

They we got so many things piled on me I have lost track.

And he thinks I should only have a certain amount of witnesses, and like those witnesses are important, they are important not only to the case that we are on trial here, but they are important to the federal motions that I have been trying to get in front of the federal court.

They are important to the whole case, all the cases that I have.

. 26

Well, would you tell us, Mr. Manson, what you have been told is security, what is done in the name of security?

A Security and procedure is like the smog as it builds up outside, it builds up inside.

THE COURT: That is ambiguous, Mr. Kanarek.

Let's confine the questions to the matters contained in the declaration.

MR. KAMAREK: Yes, your Honor. It certainly is implicitly there in this respect, that Mr. Manson wishes to obey — he wishes to obey the rules.

The point is that 688 of the Penal Code says that there shall be no greater restraint on a person charged with crime than necessary just to keep him in custody.

And Mr. Manson is told that this is violating security and that is violating security.

I think that the Court should know what somebody is denominating as "security." because some of this is absolutely frivolous.

They just put a label on it, call it security in order to --

THE COURT: This is outside the scope of your declarations and motions.

Lat's proceed.

3a-1

Care Not

2

1

5

6

7

9 10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

19:

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

BY MR. KANAREK:

Q Well, Mr. Manson, would you tell us what you have been told that you cannot do?

- A What have I been told that I cannot do?
- Q That's right.

THE COURT: What has that to do with your motion, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, it has to do -your Honor will note, your Honor, that at page 3 the
allegation is made that Mr. Manson is being harassed.

In other words, the human mind, as it is used in the County Jail, uses certain --

THE COURT: You made the allegation that he has been harassed, and then you supported it with a declaration setting forth certain facts.

That is the grounds for your motion.

MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor, that merely initiates it, but we are not limited to the bare allegations of the declaration in this proceeding.

This merely initiates it, and now we are taking evidence to try to prove to the Court -- I think we should get this over and done once and for all.

THE COURT: I am going to ask you to confine this motion to the matters which you set forth in your declaration, in Mr. Manson's declaration.

MR. KANAREK: Well, Mr. Manson, would you tell us--

3a-2

1

2

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

26

THE COURT: As a matter of fact, the customary way is to submit the matter on the declarations and to allow the opposing parties to cross-examine on the declarations.

There is really no necessity for Mr. Manson to testify to the same matters which he set forth in the declaration.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor is certainly entitled --

THE COURT: If you wish to supplement this with additional matters, you can file additional declarations if something has occurred since this declaration was filed.

But unless we set some reasonable limits we can be here forever, listening to what happened yesterday, and tomorrow listening to what happened today, and so forth.

MR. RANAREK: Your Honor, it is a general policy toward Mr. Manson. If we can ferret out once and for all --

THE COURT: "General policy" doesn't mean anything. Mr. Kanarek, unless you have some facts that the Court can consider in relation to this treatment, I don't know what you mean by "general policy."

MR. KANAREK: We have stated that, here, that he is being harassed.

THE COURT: That is a conclusion.

MR. KANAREK: We cannot keep a diary -- pardon?

THE COURT: That is a determination I have to make,

34-3

2

3.

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

as to whether or not he is being harassed, after I have heard the facts.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, that is what we are trying to elicit.

THE COURT: All right, but you have set forth the facts in your declaration.

I think the appropriate manner to proceed would be to allow the County Counsel to cross-examine Mr. Manson in these matters, if they desire to do so.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

THE COURT: And let them put on any evidence that they care to, or you may cross-examine any witnesses they present.

MR. KANAREK: The County Counsel may examine, your Honor.

The point is that his daily existence, day by day, everything is focused upon him unnecessarily and absolutely without any point or purpose except to harass him.

But the County Counsel certainly may examine.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions, Mr. Dougherty?

MR. DOUGHERTY: Just one, I think, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

3

BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

Q Mr. Manson, this incident you referred to about

passing cigarettes and newspapers to some juvenile inmates ĭ in the jail, it is that incident that led to your recent 2 loss of privilege, isn't it? 3 There were two separate incidents. There was an incident where I was accused of .5 giving the black men cigarettes. 6. Then the next incident was that I was accused 7 of talking to them. 8 But you did testify before that you also 9 passed newspapers to and from these juvenile inmates? 10 I did not say that. 11 12 MR. DOUGHERTY: I have nothing further, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Anything further, hir. Kanarek? 14 MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Manson. 3b fls 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

18-1

4 5

THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. I wonder if I could be sworn briefly, unless counsel is going to concede this, or unless your Honor is going to make the order that we have ---

After all, we are after results here, we are not here interested in any kind of nitpicking.

It is a very substantial matter. When Mr. Manson and I are speaking to a witness we want to be able to have communication.

Now, their attorney room has been set up like that since times historical, way in the past, and I see no reason, with the deputy standing there and watching everything that goes over the raised partition, which is about eight inches. I see no reason why we cannot interview the witnesses the way every other defendant in the County Jail interviews witnesses with his attorney.

Now, that is a very important point.

THE COURT: Instead of what?

MR. KANAREK: Instead of talking through a screen, a thin mesh; you cannot even see through this screen except with great, great difficulty.

It is a foot apart. You just don't have the human communication. There is no necessity for it. They have five or six people watching every movement. We are not capable of any kind of legerdemain or magic to transfer

,12

L

Ž

3. 4

.5

6

Ż

8

9.

10[.]

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26 things across.

All these deputies focus all of their eyes right on us when we are talking.

Now, the least we can do is have the benefit of good communication and not going through a screen. It is a fundamental denial, your Honor.

It is a denial of the right to prepare for trial.

THE COURT: All right, I will tell you what I will
do, Mr. Kanarek, I will go up and take a look. In this in
the Hall of Justice Jail?

MR. KAMAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I will go up personally and inspect the jail. I will ask you and Mr. Dougherty to come along, and I want to see precisely the conditions under which Mr. Manson is permitted to interview his witnesses.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know how much notice we need.

THE BAILIFF: You do not require much notice, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, do you have anything further to present on your motion, Mr. Ranarek?

MR. MANAREK: No. your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why we should not if adjourn then at this time, and we can arrange it we will go up this morning before the trial starts.

MR. MANAREK: Except out of courtery to County Counsel,

2

3,

5 6

Ż

, ,

10

11

12 13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

I think he has a witness.

MR. DOUGHARTY: We were planning to submit on our declarations with a few additional questions to Captain Cline.

Captain Cline is the Jail Commander. He indicated we can go up to the jail now if the Court wishes.

THE COURT: Why don't we adjourn at this time.

We will view the jail presises and we can continue this matter until Henday at 9:00 a.m. for argument --

MR. KAWAREK: If necessary.

THE COURT: -- if necessary.

MR. KAHAREK: Certainly, your monor. Then we go up there right now. Your Honor will keep in mind, I am sure, that Mr. Manson's just human desire to receive his mail, your Honor.

THE COURT: We will adjourn until 9:45 this morning.

(Adjournment as Court and counsel visit the

(.list

(Whereupon the following proceedings occurred in the Los Angeles County Jail, on the 10th floor of the Hall of Justice. The following occurred at Module 8.) CAPTAIN CLINE: What is your name?

MR. TOOMOTH: Fredric Michael Toomoth.

MR. KANAREK: When were you booked?

MR. TOOMOTH: November 10, 1969.

MR. KANAREK: Are you a state prisoner?

MR. TOOMOTH: No.

MR. KANAREK: A federal prisoner?

MR. TOOMOTH: No. State prisoner, right.

(The following proceedings occur at the visiting screen adjacent to the 10th floor attorney room.)

THE COURT: Would you swear Captain Cline, please.

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear that the testimony you may give in the cause now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

CAPTAIN CLINE: I do.

THE CLERK: Would you please state your name.

THE WITNESS: James L. Cline; C-1-i-n-e.

MR. KANAREK: It is your witness, Mr. Dougherty.

THE COURT: I want to ask him some questions; and of course, you may have the right to do the same.

26

22

23

24

25

;

3 4

5 6

8

7

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

à.

3.0

21

23

22

24 25

26

JAMES L. CLINE.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

- Q Where are/physically located now? What is the description of this room, Captain?
- A This is the visiting screen adjacent to the 10th floor attorney room.
 - Q All right.

Now, physically, there is a screen on this side of the room with about three-quarter inch heavy wire mesh, and immediately opposite that, separated by about eight inches or so, is a screen with very fine mesh, which makes it almost, but not quite, opaque.

Is that correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q As I understand it, Hr. Manson sits on this side where the mesh is about three-quarter inch, and the attorney or the visitor would sit on the opposite side?
 - A That is correct, sir.
- Q Now, would you tell us what is the reason for having Mr. Manson on one side ofthe screen and the visitor on the other side rather than having the interview out at the tables in the visitor's room.

. 23

25.

MR. KANAREK: Before we proceed. I think this gentlemen is not percipient.

Since your Honor is the one that is eliciting this, I won't object.

THE COURT: Percipient to what?

MR. KANAREK: He has no personal knowledge. It is only what somebody else told him. So that, in that sense of the word, it is hearsay.

.-1

Ĺ

2...

4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20.

21

22 23

24 25

26

THE COURT: You can ask him.

What I wanted to know is why is Mr. Manson put in this room rather than letting him conduct his interview outside.

THE WITHESS: The primary reason is to prevent the passage of contraband.

Additionally, I might add, we have found some contraband either on Mr. Manson or in his module that led us to believe that it was definitely a security hazard to allow him to come in physical contact with persons other than his attorneys.

THE COURT: Q What is the nature of the contraband?

THE WITNESS: Well, in one case we found a particle of a pill, which upon chemical examination was found to be LSD.

On another occasion, we found some personal letters on Mr. Manson that were handed to him in the attorney room during a visit with Mr. Kanarek and some persons that Kanarek stated were material witnesses.

- Q Is that a violation of jail rules?
- A Yes, sir, it is.
- Q What is the jail rule with respect to correspondence and mail?

A Well, the jail rule and the Penal Code require any communication with a prisoner kept here to be approved first by the Jail Commander. And this primarily relates to personal letters, writings, or other objects such as food,

A2

4B

2.

6.

.17

.

2Ì

personal necessities, razor blades, and that sort of thing,

Additionally, aside from those items that I mentioned we have recovered from Manson or his module, we did also receive information from the Marcotic Bureau that friends of Manson were going to attempt to get LSD to him by way of ink or other substance on personal letters. In other words, the lysogenic acid dissolved in some sort of solution that would be transferrable to paper, such as ink or in a ball pen.

Q Now, when Mr. Manson and Mr. Kanarek confer alone are they required to confer through this screen?

A No. sir.

B-1

×

₹ * **1**

3

4

5

1

6

9.

8

10

13

12

14

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

Q In other words, when there is some visitor, nonattorney visitor, that the screen is used; is that right?

A That's right, sir.

THE COURT: Do you wish to ask?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Just a couple of questions.

MR. KANAREK: Q officer, is it a fair statement that as to the one time concerning the pill and the allegations concerning the letters, that you were not percipient to this, you have no personal knowledge in the sense that you participated in any events where you could personally testify about either of those two items or two matters?

- A Essentially, that would be correct.
- Q It is just what somebody told you; is that correct?
- A I did not observe any incident that connected these materials to Mr. Manson.

I observed the materials.

- And somebody told you something about the letters, and somebody told you something about the pill?
 - A That is correct.
- Then somebody has told you something that somebody thinks is going to be done in the future; or at that time they thought it was going to be done in the future, concerning placing LED, somehow or other, on papers that would be given to Mr. Manson; is that correct?

22

23

24

25

26

A That is correct.

MR. KANAREK: Thank you.

Then I would make a motion, your Honor, that all this be stricken.

I don't want to be hypertechnical.

MR. DOUGHERTY: I have a question which perhaps I should have asked before.

THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. DOUGHERTY:

Q Captain, isn't it true that in your capacity as Commander of the Hall of Justice Jail, many times you order security precautions to be made in the case of a certain prisoner based on reports you receive from members of your staff?

A Yes, sir.

MR. DOUGHERTY: I don't think the testimony, your Honor. is offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but just to show what information the Captain had when he gave certain orders regarding Mr. Manson.

BY THE COURT:

Q Is this screen used with reference to any other prisoner other than Mr. Manson?

A Yes, sir, your Honor.

Q And for what reasons is it used as to other prisoners?

A It is used any time we have a visitor that wishes

Ì

9,

-14

to see someone in the 10th floor area that we normally call our high-security area.

This would be other than attorneys, of course.

THE COURT: Well, as long as we are here, I think it will be a good idea if someone would go over on the other side so that we could converse back and forth and see if it is clearly sudible; and also to see whether or not the person can be seen.

Now, I am standing here in this room looking through both screens, and I can read the sign on the wall 20 feet away in the other room which says, "Attorneys, Bondsmen, Visitors and Inmates Seated While At Tables."

Inmates No Smoking."

So, the screen does not render things invisible.

2.

4

1

5

8

9 10

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.

22

23

24

25

26

MR. MANABLE No. your honor. It is just a matter of -- first of all, may I just ask a question on your Honor's last question? has marine of questions? The COURS Yes.

MX HAR. MANAGEMEN

A Officer, in it a fair statement that this nerves well-up here is not used for attorneys but just for visitors?

I think, an you have actually indicated, when a visiter visits moment in what you call "high medurity" or "high power," then you have then visit in this screen setup that Judge Older has departhed.

In that gorreat?

- A You asked no two questions. I am not sure which one you want me to respond to.
 - 4 All right, I will break it up.

Is this area used for the visitation of highsome or high-momently prisoners?

- A Yes, six.
- 4 And is it used for visits other than attenney visits for those people?
 - A Year.

MA. MAKAREK: Very well. Thank you.

(A gentlemen appears on the far side of the

SCINOR.)

THE COURT: What is your name? 1 MR. WILSON: Charles Wilson. 2 THE COURT: I can hear Mr. Wilson, who is now sitting á on the other side of the screen, without any difficulty. Is this the normal position of the prisoner and 5 the visitor? 6 CAPTAIN CLINE: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Am I now sitting in the spot where the 8 Prisoner would normally sit? 9 CAPTAIN CLINE: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Wilson is sitting where the visitor 11 would normally sit? 12 CAPTAIN CLINE: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, would you tell me what your 14 employment is? 15 MR. WILSON: I am a deputy sheriff for the County of 16 Los Angeles. 17 THE COURT: Now, I can recognize Mr. Wilson, who I 18: already know, and I can bear his voice clearly. 19 Do you want to mit down here, Mr. Kanarek? 20 MR. KAMAREK: No. 21 I agree, that is correct, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: In other words, he can both be seen and 23 heard. 24 MR. KAMAREK: That is correct. I certainly agree with 25 that, your Honor.

6

. 20:

21.

But you can't pass papers back and forth, and you can't have that necessary rapport.

THE COURT: I understand that is the reason for the screen.

MR. KAMAREK: Right.

But the intimacy that we have here in an attorney-witness-client interview, in order to prepare for trial, you have to have that immediate response to questions, the looking at documents, the discussion, the rapport back and forth that you don't get through this screen setup.

I think your Honor could take judicial notice of the fact — I don't know if there are any witnesses out there — but certainly in the attorney room itself, I think your Honor, and Mr. Dougherty will probably agree to stipulate, and the officer here I am sure will agree, that that is the normal place you interview witnesses.

I, myself, have interviewed witnesses with a defendant in the attorney room.

If you balance the right to a fair trial and due process; and also it is a violation of equal protection of the law. His status as a defendant is different.

0-1

. 1

Ś

4

2

5 6

7

8

9

11

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

È

2<u>I</u>

22 23

24

25

26

THE COURT: I didn't intend to get into the argument here. We can do that back in the courtroom.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

THE COURT: We are up here simply to gather information and to permit counsel to ask Captain Cline or any of the other personnel here questions regarding these physical facilities and procedures.

Do you have any questions?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

BY MR. KANAREK:

Now, officer, if Judge older should allow us or should make the order that we return to Seat Mol 3, I believe — the very first seat in the southernmost tier of seats in the attorney room where the deputies are — when they sit at the desk, when you check in, which is, I don't know, something like eight or ten feet away, would you say that if the deputies there, and the deputies having the right and the power to, certainly, have in their possession — not read, but dertainly have in their possession — any kind of written material that goes back and forth, would you say that the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department could sonitor that?

MR. DOUGHERTY: That question is incomprehensible.

MR. KAMARMK: I think the gist of it is clear.

I can break it up.

THE COURT: Perhaps you'd better do that.

.

1

2

3

4:

5 6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

16

17

18

him?

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26 BY MR. KANAREK:

Officer, directing your attention to the previous setup before Mr. Manson was returned or was ordered to have interviews with his lawyer and witness in the setup that Judge Older has described. If you went back to the previous setup, is there any reason that you couldn't monitor that setup?

A Yes.

Q All right.

Now, what is the reason?

A Because the visitors and/or other persons could come in physical contact with Mr. Manson and/or pass him materials.

Q How could they, officer?

I have seen as many as, literally, five or six people watching us when we talk.

Now, there is that partition.

How could they physically come in contact with

You seat Mr. Manson first, and then, let's say, the witness and myself sits down.

How can there be any physical contact?

A You asked for my opinion. In my opinion, there could be passage of materials for the reason that they are in close proximity and nothing is in between them to prevent the passage of material.

	J
<u> </u>	2
	a
	4
	ę
.	6
±	7
	.8
	ģ
	10
	ļĵ
	12
<u> </u>	13
	14
	15
	16
S .	17
	18
*	19
ð	20
3,4	21
ġ.	22
36	23
* .	24
O	25
	26

Q	With	five	deputies	in	between?
-	,		Add to select the sele	***	***********

Could you tell me how it is done rather than your conclusion that it could be done? How would it be done physically?

MR. DOUGHERTY: Why don't you ask if it was ever done in the past?

MR. KANARBK: May I have an answer to that question?

I think it is a perfectly reasonable question.

THE COURT: You are asking how it might be done?

MR. KANAREK: Yes. How could it be done? How could

It be done?

Picture Mr. Manson on one side and the partition between him and me and the witness, and five deputies within eight feet of us watching everything we do.

Would you tell us how we are going to pass anything?

· 1 2

· .

5.

7

8

jō.

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

that?

20

22

23

24 25

26

THE COURT: I think that is unreasonable to require five deputies to supervise one interview.

MR. KANAREK: They did it, your Honor, before this purported problem ever occurred.

I am not asking for five deputies. I say they did it of their own accord before this problem came about.

THE COURT: When the screen is being used, where is the closest deputy?

CAPTAIN CLINE: At the rear of the room, your Honor.

THE COURT: So there is no eavesdropping of conversations while the screen is being used?

CAPTAIN CLINE: None whatever.

MR. KANAREK: May I have an answer to that question, your Honor, as to how the interchange would take place?

THE COURT: How does he know?

MR. KANAREK: Absent the --

THE COURT: It could happen in various ways.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking.

This is an evidentiary hearing. May I ask him

THE COURT: You are asking him to speculate.

MR. KANAREK: I am asking him how, in his experience as a police officer, in the setup that I have described, with Mr. Manson on one side, and myself and the witness on the other side, with the partition, the eight or ten or twelve-inch partition between us, sitting down, when we are

3

5

6

9

10.

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

è,

22

23 24

25

26

within five to eight feet of a deputy or deputies, can you tell me how there is going to be any physical passage of material that is not known to the Deputy or Deputies?

MR. DOUGHERTY: You are assuming all kind of facts that are not in avidence.

THE COURT: Yes. I think so.

I don't think the answer is going to help us.

He may name some possible ways, but there may be other possible ways that he doesn't think of or doesn't know of.

MR. KAMAREK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is obvious that when people are in close proximity to each other without any intervening partition, that there is a possibility that something may be passed.

That is obvious. We don't need to take evidence on that.

NR. KAMAREK: Yes.

THE COURT: Whether or not it can be passed without being seen or detected is another matter.

MR. KANAREK: We can make argument on this in court.

Very well.

But I would like the deputy to state to me the various ways, whatever comes to his mind.

I think we have a right to that, your Honor, because this goes to the fundamental right to prepare for

trial.

2

7

no rapport.

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

We just can't have it at this screen. There is

THE COURT: That is a matter for argument.

MR. KANAREK: Then may I have an answer to that question as to in what ways, what physical ways, this could take place?

> THE COURT: Has it taken place in the past? MR. KANAREK: No.

THE COURT: Then you are calling for speculation. MR. KANAREK: That is what I say.

Their whole position here, your Honor, is based upon conjecture, on one little pill which this officer has no personal knowledge of

THE COURT: Of course, all security measures are based on what may happen, so there is nothing unusual about that.

Is there anything else we should see while we are up here, Mr. Kanarek?

I would like to then go to the attorney MR. KANAREK: room and have us sit there the way that it should be done so that your Honor will realize the vast difference between this screen setup and sitting there with a witness.

I would like to adjourn these proceedings to the attorney room proper where we can then see the difference. THE COURT: We have been in the attorney room. I have

observed the table at which you and Mr. Manson sit, and I have seen the position, and there is a deputy standing there now where the deputy stands.

I don't know what else we could do.

MR. KANAREK: I would like to sit there and then ask the officer how anything is going to be transferred with deputies, live flesh-and-blood, healthy, vigorous, Los Angeles County deputies, watching every movement.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think that is necessary.

Anything else?

MR. KANAREK: That is what I would ask your Honor to allow us to do.

THE COURT: We have already observed the attorney room, so I don't see any necessity for that.

I am ready to go back.

MR. KAMAREK: Very well.

MR. DOUGHERTY: Very well.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Captain.

CAPTAIN CLINE: You are welcome, sir.

I will escort you down.

25.

16.

(The following proceedings were had in the chambers of the court, Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Dougherty being present, all other counsel and defendants and the jury being absent:)

THE COURT: The record will show we are back in chambers. And Mr. Kanarek and Mr. Dougherty are present.

Captain Cline and Sergeant Zuhlke are present.

We don't have time for anything further this morning before the trial starts. Do you want to put this over until Monday for argument?

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor, I would like to just ask for some interim relief, if I may, as counsel and Mr. Dougherty has pointed out, they do it on sort of an ad hoc basis on occasions, they will say "Okay, you can have an interview at the screen" -- pardon me, "in way the normal/with the witness."

What I mean is this is based upon whim and caprice of the particular officers, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, when you are dealing with prisoners of all kinds, many things have to be done on an adhoc basis.

MR. KANAREK: Well, but the point is -- very well, your Honor, we are right now at a very critical stage of these proceedings. We need certain witnesses; we just have to talk to them.

THE COURT: Of course that is one of the reasons

.6

why a defendant has counsel. You are free to examine and interrogate a witness anywhere, Mr. Kanarek, in or out of the jail.

MR. KANAREK: But you need the inputs, as your Honor knows, in preparing a case, you need the input -- THE COURT: I understand all that.

Now, it is perfectly obvious to me, after seeing those facilities up there, that the interview is in no way hampered by that screen.

It may not be quite as clear in the sense of visibility as it is over at the table, but I could recognize Mr. Wilson, who was sitting on the opposite side of the screen; I could read a sign 20 feet away on the opposite wall in the other room; I could hear everything that was being said.

So while it may not be quite as good as a completely unimpaired interview, so far as a screen being in between is concerned, it is in my opinion perfectly adequate for an interview, and I think that the Sheriff has to make these decisions.

Unless they actually impair the ability to hear or see, I think that has to be left up to the discretion of the Sheriff as to how to handle a particular prisoner or a particular visitor.

I am not prepared at this time to make any order which will restrict the freedom of the Sheriff to

handle this matter in the way it has been handled.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, may we do it this way, then, we start off without passing anything back and forth.

There can be no reason whatsoever.

Let us say we start off with that agreement, that nothing gets passed back and forth, zero.

Now, why can't we do that? Because these witnesses are being imposed upon anyway to have them sit in front of a screen like that.

THE COURT: Why are they being imposed upon?

MR. KANAREK: They are being imposed upon to participate. Our witnesses, everyone that comes up.

THE COURT: What difference does it make to the witness whether he is sitting in front of a screen or not.

MR. KANAREK: They have to be photographed; they have run makes on them; they have arrested people who come up there with warrants.

They don't do that with the prosecution witnesses.

Every witness, everyone of our witnesses that comes up, they take a picture of him.

THE COURT: Fine, that would be true in a matter where the witness sat --

MR. KANAREK: I am just saying it is partend parcel

p

*

´9·

5a fls.

of the whole process, your Honor, it is a grinding kind of process.

It is very difficult to get people to come up there because of this process that they have done in this particular case in connection with Mr. Manson and, as I understand it, Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn and Mr. Hughes have the same problem over there at Sybil Brand with the female defendants.

And there is no showing, there is no competent evidence in this record --

5A-1

2

Ì

3

4

5. 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19 20

2

21

22 23

24

25 26 THE COURT: Of course, Mr. Manson is not present now and I take it this is not intended to be your argument on the motion.

MR. KANAREK: No. your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't we put it over until Monday at 9:00, then, for argument, and we will take the matter up at that time.

MR. KANAREK: Certainly, your Honor.

THE COURT: I am simply indicating that in the meantime I am not prepared to make any interim order.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, certainly.

MR. DOUGHERTY: Counsel, we have one request.

Captain Cline feels his testimony regarding LSD, that that
portion of the reporters' transcript be sealed.

You can inquire of Captain Cline if that would not be a good idea.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, I cannot agree to that. I mean, I am under the Court's order.

THE COURT: There is no necessity to seal it. We still have the same order that existed throughout the trial, that is, that matters in chambers and out of the presence of the jury, bench conferences, and I will include this, are not to be revealed to the public or the press.

It is on the record, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: However, your Honor, I would --may we have Captain Cline tell us why -- I mean, it would meen to

3

5

6

7

.9

8

11

10

13

12

14 15

1,6

17

19

20

22

21

3.

23

25

26

he would want this known so that other people would be cautious about doing what he says occurred here which I don't believe occurred.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think we need to get into that, because the order would apply in any event regardless of whether it is reasonable.

I am not doing it because he stated he does not want it done.

I am doing it because we do that with all of our chambers and bench conferences; that is, we limit the publication of those matters.

MR. DOUGHERTY: We will wrive any rights to even have that motion on the record in light of the Court's ruling.

THE COURT: All right, then, these proceedings in the jail and in chambers here this morning will come under the previous court's orders, which prohibit the publication of these matters, or the disclosure of these matters to the press or the public.

of course, the matters that occurred in open court in the courtroom are now public knowledge.

MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.

Now, the point is this, I don't want to even indirectly violate the Court's order.

Now, how am I going to cover this in argument?

THE COURT: Well, I think you may argue these
matters as to what we have seen, but I think as to what

Captain Cline mentioned about the contraband, I cannot see 1 any necessity for bringing that out. 2 MR. KANAREK: This seems to be their whole case, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: They don't have any case, Mr. Kanarek. 5 They are simply trying to run a jail. б MR. KANAREK: Right, and what they are doing, they are 7 taking one little capsule that they say they found, and it 8 could have gotten in there in a multitude of ways other 9. than these visits. 10 But I will try to walk around it and I will 11 walk around it so I will obey the Court's order. 12 THE COURT: We are talking about a procedure. 13 MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor. All that we ask is 14 just the right to interview witnesses. 15 THE COURT: I think I am giving you that right. 16 Do you have any complaints about it? 17 MR KANAREK: Pardon? 18 THE COURT: I think I have given you that right. Do 19 you have any complaints about it? 20 MR. KANAREK: I mean in the attorney room. 21 THE COURT: Oh, I see. 22 MR. KAMAREK: I want to obey the Court's order in 23 connection with the press not knowing about this, so I 24

25

26.

MR. KAMAREK: I want to obey the Court's order in connection with the press not knowing about this, so I will try to -- I am sure your Honor will have in mind in argument when I make reference --

_

14.

E.

A.

THE COURT: You can certainly tell anybody you like that we went up to the jail and inspected the facilities, but as to what actually was said by each of the persons who spoke up there. I don't think it is necessary or desirable to reveal all that.

MR. KANAREK: I won't. In argument I won't either, because the -- maybe I can make some points here, we just have half a minute, maybe.

And that is this, your Honor:

Evidently, as to these latters, for instance,

I would welcome your Honor's thinking about it over the

weekend, the letters as to what is actually preparation for

trial is certainly an intangible --

THE COURT: What letters are you referring to?

MR. KANAREK: Well, as I understand it, the reason

that we are not being -- that we are forced to the screen is
that half of it is the letters.

The other half is one little pill.

THE COURT: I don't understand it that way at all.

The reason you are being forced to the screen, as you put it, is to preclude the possibility of the passage of anything, whether it's letters, contraband, weapons, whatever it might be, between persons sitting at the table outside, where there is no partition in between.

9.

13·

MR. KANAREK: Right, but what I am saying is -THE COURT: Whether or not enything occurred in the

pest would not make it unreasonable to require that as a continuing matter.

After all, the Sheriff would be slightly stupid if he did not anticipate security measures and take those measures rather than waiting until something had happened.

Whether it happened in the past or not is not the test whether it is reasonable or not, but whether it is reasonable to anticipate something could happen.

MR. KANAREK: Well, as I remember it, Captain Cline said two things:

There was this pill, this little tiny -- I gather a portion of a pill, the letters and what might happen in the future.

We have no evidence, no probable cause, if you want to use it in that light, whatsoever, as to anything except just the subjective determination, and that is what I would welcome your Honor's thinking about over the weekend, when you balance that against the fundamental right to a fair trial and the right to prepare for trial.

THE COURT: You have failed to indicate to me in any manner, Mr. Kanarek, through the testimony of Mr. Manson or anything else, how Mr. Manson is being deprived of any substantial right.

MR. KANAREK: Because, well, first of all, when you

5b-2

Ιİ

. 17

£

 are sitting there you do wish to exchange documents and talk with the witness, with the document, "What about this word? What about that word?"

We have statements we have to go over; we made discovery; we could not do this. It is not possible to do this back and forth through a screen.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, you are simply talking in circles.

It is perfectly possible for you to communicate with Mr. Manson at the table outside, as you have done, as you are continuing to do.

The only restriction is imposed on the visitors to have that same freedom. As I see it it is a perfectly ressonable restriction by the jail.

I cannot see how he is being prejudiced in any way whatever, none.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, the witnesses -- we have Mr. Fitzgerald and Mr. Shinn -- it is impossible to have any rapport through that screen.

THE COURT: Apparently it is not impossible. I did it with Mr. Wilson up there. I could see him, I could converse with him.

It is true I could not touch him; I could not hand him anything, that's right, and that is the purpose of the screen.

MR. KANAREK: Well, your Honor, what I am saying is,

5b - 3

б

5c fls7

. .

.26

this fetters our right; this fetters our powers to proceed.

Without any showing whatsoever except a subjective showing by the Sheriff, there is not a whit of evidence that there was any LSD present in this pill. There is not a whit of evidence of anything except just somebody told --

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, that argument is in about the same category as an argument, for example, that they don't need a guard with a gun at San Quentin because nobody has ever gone over the wall.

MR. KANAREK: This is LSD. They have the power to does bring it to court. I am sure Captain Cline/not hold himself out as a chemist or a physicist.

THE COURT: We are going to get on with the trial now, gentlemen.

If you want to argue Monday morning at 9:00 o'clock we will put it over until that time.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, thank you, your Honor.

5C-1

1

2

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

.17

18

19

- A

.

21

22

23

24 25

26

9:58 A.M.

(The following proceedings were had in open court, all defendants and their counsel being present, all the jurous being present:)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are present.

You may proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Call Michael McGann.

THE CLERK: Would you raise your right hand, please.

Would you please repeat after me:

I do sciennly swear --

THE WITNESS: I do solemnly swear --

THE CLERK: -- that the testimony I may give --

THE WITNESS: -- that the testimony I may give --

THE CLERK: -- in the cause now pending --

THE WITNESS: -- in the cause now pending --

THE CLERK: -- before this Court --

THE WITNESS: -- before this Court --

THE CLERK: -- shall be the truth --

THE WITNESS: - shall be the truth -

THE CLERK: -- the whole truth --

THE WITNESS: -- the whole truth --

THE CLERK: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE WITNESS: -- and nothing but the truth --

THE CLERK: -- So help me God.

Ţ

ļ

2

4

.5

6

7

8 ...9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

. 19

20

Acr

21 22

23

24 25

26

THE WITNESS: -- so help me God.

THE CLERK: Be seated, please.

Would you please state and spall your name.

THE WITNESS: Michael J. McGann, M-c capital G-a-n-n.

THE CLERK: Spell your first name, please.

THE WITNESS: M-1-c-h-a-a-1.

MR. FITZGERALD: Could counsel approach the bench in regard to this witness, your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

(The following proceedings were had at the bench out of the hearing of the jury:)

MR. FITZGERALD: We have a problem in connection with the exclusion order of witnesses.

This witness now about to testify, Officer

Michael McGann, is an investigating officer with the

Los Angeles Police Department and he has been sort of one

of the rotating investigating officers in the case.

There is another rotating investigating officer sitting at the counsel table, Robert Calkins, who is also going to be called as a witness.

I understand that also there is a distinct possibility that Officer Sartuchi and Officer Patchett are going to be called as witnesses, and they may be in the courtroom during either one or more of their testimony, and we would like them excluded.

THE COURT: Which ones excluded?

2

3. 4

5

6

8

9.

10

. 12

13

14

16

17[.]

ļ8

19

۵,

21

22 23

24

25

26

MR. FITZGERALD: We would like Officer Calkins excluded, and Sartuchi, if Sartuchi and Patchett are in the courtroom, we would like them excluded.

MR. KANAREK: I join in Mr. Fitzgerald's request.

MR. SHINN: I join in Mr. Fitzgerald's request.

MR. KANAREK: I join in Mr. Fitzgerald's request.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to that, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, our plan has normally been to have two investigating officers in court, one in the Tate case and one in the La Bianca case.

One is on the stand now.

I think maybe, then, Calkins should have to leave the court because he is on the Tate case, too, like McCann, but if there is a La Bianca detective, does he have to leave, too?

MR. FITZGERALD: I don't care about that.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I don't see Sartuchi.

MR. FITZGERALD: Part of the problem is there is a recognizable exception for an investigating officer.

THE COURT: Of course the whole thing is discretionary.

MR. FIRSERALD: Certainly, but I think we all recognize there is an exception with the investigating officer.

In this case there are four investigating officers, which overdoes it a little.

There are seven murders, too, which takes THE COURT: 1 it out of the category of normal murder cases. 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: There is very, very little the 3 investigating officers will be testifying to in this case, extremely little. 5 MR. FITZGERALD: If you can represent to counsel . 6 7 through the Court that there is going to be no duplication of testimony --8 9 MR. BUGLIOSI: No, mo, there won't be any duplication, 10 not that I know of . no. 11 MR. KANAREK: May I ask Mr. Bugliosi to make an offer 12 of proof as to what this officer is going to testify to? THE COURT: That is not necessary. 14 MR. KANAREK: Otherwise, I make a motion to suppress 15 his testimony. 16 THE COURT: That is absurd. 17 It isn't, your Honor. MR. KANAREK: 18 THE COURT: Suppress it! 19 MR. KANAREK: Because ---20 THE COURT: On what grounds? 21: MR. KANAREK: On the grounds he's been here. We 22 don't kny what is going to take place. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek, let me remind you that the 24 exclusion of witnesses is solely within the discretion of 25 the Court, nobody has any right to have any witness 26 excluded.

MR. KANAREK: Except if it impinges on a fair trial. 1 What I'm saying, the Constitution is over the 2 statutes, your Honor, and if what happens impinges on a fair ş trial --4 May I have a ruling on that? 5 THE COURT: A ruling on what? 6 MR. KANAREK: The motion to suppress this witness! 7 testimony. 8 9 THE COURT: He hasn't testified yet. 10 MR. KANAREK: It is my position he should not be 11 allowed to because he has been in the court all the time. 12 THE COURT: Motion denied. 13. MR. KANAREK: I am just trying to make the record, 14 your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You are trying to clutter up the record 16 with every conceivable kind of motion, whether it has any bearing, merit or relevancy whatever, Mr. Kanamak. 17 18 MR. KANAREK: Conceivably he could have spoken to 19 Linda Kasabian at great length. 20 THE COURT: Conceivably he could have. MR. KAMAREK: What he said may corroborate what 21 A. . . 22 occurred in this courtroom. 23 In that case it impinges on a fair trial. 24 All I have asked your Honor is to make a ruling. 25 THE COURT: I have already ruled. Let's proceed.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

26

5d-1 (The following proceedings were had in open 1 court in the presence and hearing of the jury:) 2 MR. BUGLIOSI: May it be stipulated that the male 3 Caucasian depicted in People's 42 for identification is 4 Steven Parent. 5 So stipulated? 6 MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated. MR. HUGHES: So stipulated. 8. MR. SHINN: So stipulated, your Honor. 9 MR. KANAREK: So stipulated, your Honor. 10 MR. BUGLIOSI: May it be stipulated, your Honor, that 11 the female Caucasian depicted in People's 87 and People's 12 106 for identification is Sharon Marie Polanski. 13 MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated. 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: Also known as Sharon Tate. 15 MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated. 16 MR. HUGHES: So stipulated. 17 MR. SHINN: So stipulated. 18 MR. KANAREK: So stipulated. 19 MR. BUGLIOSI: That the female Caucasian depicted 20 in People's 88 for identification is Abigail Folger? 21 MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated. 22 MR. HUGHES: So stipulated. 23. MR. SHINN: So stipulated. 24 MR. KANAREK: So stipulated. 25 MR. BUGLIOSI: That the male Caucasian depicted in 26

-		•	
5 d	-2	•	.ï ,
			2
7		1	3
			4
			, 5
	₹ *		6
	• ,		7.
	·		8
		, .	ġ
			10
	,		įį
			12
_			12 13
			14
			15
			16
,	٠		17
			18
			19
Ļ	, D		20
	٩		20 21
-			

23

24

25

26

People's	89	for	identification	18	Voityck	Frykowski.
----------	----	-----	----------------	----	---------	------------

MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.

MR. HUGHES: So stipulated.

MR. SHINN: So stipulated.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated.

MR. BUGLIOSI: And that the male Caucasian depicted in People's 107 for identification is Jay Sebring?

MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated, your Honor.

MR. HUGHES: So stipulated, your Honor.

MR. SHINN: So stipulated, your Honor.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated.

THE COURT: What was the very first stipulation, Mr. Bugliosi?

MR. BUGLIOSI: That the male Caucasian depicted in People's 42 for identification is Steven Parent.

THE COURT: Very well.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q What is your occupation and assignment, sirt
- A Sergeant of Police for the City of Los

 Angeles presently assigned to Robbery-Homicide Division,

 Homicide Section.
- Q Are you one of the investigating officers on the Tate case?

A Yes, sir.

Q On the date of August 9th, 1969, did you arrive at the Cielo address, 10050 Cielo Drive?

	•		5	d		3
	•	~		١.		
		,		· ,		
				•		
1		•		*	,	
					,	
			*			
			٠		٠	
		j		ν.	٠	
				•		٠
						•

.2

3

5

6

8,

9

10,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

A	Yes.	sir.	I	did.

Q What time did you arrive there?

A Approximately 1:30 p.m.

Q What is the first thing you observed when you arrived at the scene?

A When I arrived at 10050 Cielo Drive I observed a metal gate which separates Cielo Drive from the property, which is 10050 Cielo Drive.

On the right portion of the gate, as you enter, there was a small communications wire which I noted on the right side of the parkway, actually on Cielo Drive.

Q Did you notice any wires, such as telephone wires on the ground?

A I noted two wires just inside the gate, two telephone wires.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here a photograph, your Honor, showing a garage and two wires on the ground, may it be marked People's next in order?

THE COURT: 124 for identification.

BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q I show you People's 124 for identification, do you know what is shown in that photograph?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is that?

A These are the two wires that were inside, actually on the Cielo property.

124 10. 19

22

23 24

25

26

•	
5d-4	
Am. 4	1
	2
	3
*	· 4.
	. 5 ·
* :	6
•	7
	8.
	9
	10
	11
	12
*	
_	13
	14
*	15
* . *	16
<u>1</u>	17
	18
	19
***	20
8₹	21
32.5	22

24

26

Q Inside the gate?

A Yes, sir.

Q And they were on the ground as depicted in this photograph?

A Yes, they were.

Q And leading to the garage?

A Yes, sir.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph, your Honor, showing the area of the gate of the Tate residence, may it be marked -- strike that, it is already marked. BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q I show you People's 123 for identification, do you know what is shown in that photograph?

A Yes, this is a picture of some other wires, another telephone wire, and I am pointing to a location where the communications wire that I mentioned before was attached.

Q This is you at the top of the photograph here?

A Ys, it is.

Q Pointing to the place where the communication wire was attached?

A Yes.

Q Talking about this communication wire, did you ever attempt to determine where the beginning and the end of that particular communication wire was?

A Yes, sir, I did.

5d-5 Q Ì wire? 2 A 3 4 5 Cielo property. 6 Q. 7 8. that photograph? 9 Yes. I do. A 10 11 communication wire started. 12 (Witness complies.) 13 14 tion wire," your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 18 19 -À 20 it ended? 21 Α. Á Yes, I was. 22

outside the gate to where it ended?

23

24

25

26

Where was the beginning of the communications The beginning was directly beneath the telephone pole which is just north of an electric pushbutton which operates the gate for people to get into the I show you People's 74 for identification, do you see the start of the communications wire depicted in Will you point out and place an X where the MR. BUGLIOSI: May I mark that "Start of communica-(Mr. Bugliosi so marks on the photograph.) Were you able to follow this wire from where it started on the telephone pole outside the gate to where Did you take any photographs or have any photographs taken under your direction showing the progression of the communication wire from its inception

I

3

5

8

9

10

12

13

P 125 Id.

P 126 Id. 11

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

*

22 23

24

25

26.

A Yes, sir, I did.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here a photograph of a telephone pole. May it be marked People's 126 for identification.

THE CLERK: 125, Counsel.

MR. BUGLIOSI: 125 for identification.

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph appearing to depict Sergeant McCann holding a wire, may it be marked People's 126 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

5E-1 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing 1 the extended arm of a female - strike that - a male 2 Caucasian, holding the tip of a wire. May it be marked 3 27 127 for identification? 4 THE COURT: It will be so marked. MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing 6 the extended arm of a male Caucasian holding a wire. 28 May it be marked People's 128 for identification? 8 THE COURT: It will be so marked. MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph of 10 Sergeant McGann holding a wire. May it be marked People's. 11 29 129 for identification? 12 THE COURT: It will be so marked. 13 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing 14 Sorgeant McGann near the garage of the Tate residence, may 15 30 it be marked People's 130 for identification? 16 THE COURT: It will be so marked. 17 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing 18 apparently a garage, I'm not sure, of the Tate residence; 19 131 may it be marked People's 131 for identification? 20 THE COURT: It will be so marked. 21 1 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph depicting 22 a portion of the outside of the Tate residence, may it be 23 132 marked People's 132 for identification? 24 THE COURT: It will be so marked. 25 MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph, depicting 26

25

26

a portion of the Tate residence, may it be marked People's 133 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing the top or the roof of the Tate residence, may it be marked People's 134 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing a portion of the Tate residence from the outside, may it be marked People's 135 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing a portion of the residence, the Tate residence, may it be marked People's 136 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I have here another photograph showing Sergeant McGann holding a wire in his hand, may it be marked People's 137 for identification?

THE COURT: It will be so marked.

Q BY MR. BUGLIOSI: I show you People's Exhibit 125 through 137, look at all of these photographs, and if you know what is depicted therein please tell the Judge and the jury.

- A on each individual photograph?
- Q Yes, this first one is Poople's 125.
- A people's 125 depicts a telephone pole which I

26

previously described, and the communication wire directly below it.

The wire runs up the pole approximately halfway and it shows a couple of strands which are wrapped around one of the cleats on the pole.

People's 126 depicts me standing on the north side -- correction -- on the south side of 10050 Cielo Drive, holding an end of this communications wire which had been cut.

- Are you outside the gate there on People's 126?
- A Yes, sir, I am.
- Q You are in the driveway outside the gate?
- A Yes, this is actually on 10050 Cielo Drive, prior to entering the Cielo property or the Polanski residence.
- Q You are holding the communication wire that had fallen to the ground?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - At the point where it had been cut?
 - A At the point where it had been cut, yes, sir.

People's 127 is a close-up view of the end of the wire which was cut, and to my right hand and forearm,

- You mean the end of the communication wire?
- A Yes, sir.

People's 128 shows my left hand and arm pointing to a location where this communication wire was attached

5.

8.

prior to going out of the Cielo property.

This particular pole is inside the gate or on the Polanski property.

People's 129 shows this pole from a different angle, this angle would be looking from west to east inside the property.

Again the wire is attached to this pole.

People's 130 is inside the Polanski property. It depicts me pointing to a point on the garage roof, or eave, where this communications wire was attached, going from the previously described pole to this location.

Well, now, outside the gate, before you go any further, outside the gate of the Polanski or Tate residence, the communication wire had fallen to the ground, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q once you got inside the gate was the communication wire on the ground or in the air?

A No, the communications wire inside the gate was attached and up in the air.

Q So the communication wire was only on the ground outside the gate?

A Just inside the gate -- yes, it had fallen -there is a small gate where the gate separatesthe Polanski
property from the Cielo Drive, there is another fence
just inside that property, and it had fallen there.

So it was on the ground just for a matter of a few feet inside of the property. Inside of the main gate? . 3 Ă Yes. Q And then it was extended in the air? A Yes, it was. , 8. 10.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

lived.

13

14

15

16 17

18

19.

20

21 22

23.

24

25 26 Q You may continue.

A People's 131 shows the previously described scene with the close-up of the garage, with the communications wire attached.

Q To the garage of the Polanski premises?

A Yes.

People's 132 traces the communications wire all along the back of the garage to the south end of the garage or garage roof.

People's 133 shows the wire leading from the garage over to the top of the Polanski house.

This would be the main house where the Polanskis

People's 134 shows the top of the main house, tracing the wire in a southerly direction from the garage.

People's 135 shows the wire going down from the roof towards an area at the rear of the Polanski home, the main house.

People's 136 shows a lot of communications wire attached to a small speaker, lying on the ground at the rear of the Polanski home.

People's 137 shows an over-all view looking from south to north, showing me picking up the previously described speaker.

Q Is this speaker basically the end of the communications wire?

 ,	
	1

3.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

,D

A Yes, it was.

Q Would you put an X there, sir, at the end of the communication wire.

(Witness complied.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: May I mark that "End of communication wire," your Honor?

THE COURT: You may,

(Mr. Bugliosi so marks on photograph.)
BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Incidentally, when we are talking about a communication wire, you are not talking about a telephone wire, are you?

A No. sir.

Q You are talking about a wire separate and distinct from the telephone wire?

A That's correct.

Q Do you know of your own knowledge whether the communication wire leading from the bottom of the post outside the gate of the Tate residence and leading to the Tate residence, do you know of your own knowledge whether it was in operating order on August 9th, 1969?

A It was not in operating order when I was there on August 9th at about 1:30 p.m.

Q Of course it had been cut, right?

A That's correct.

Q Do you know whether It was in operating order

24 25

26

5f-3	before th	i=?
1	A.	No, I do not.
2	Q.	As part of your duties as an investigating
· 3	officer,	arriving at the scene, did you inspect the entire
, 4	premises?	
₹	A	Yes, sir, I did.
6	Q	You entered the Tate residence, I take it?
* 7	Α,	Yes, sir, I did.
	Q	Did the residence appear to be ransacked in
ģ	any fashi	on?
6 fls.	A.	No, it did not.
11	•	
12		
13	*	
14		
15	; · · · · ·	,
16		
17		
18 .19	,	
- <u>=</u> 20	ŀ	
91		
e ⁵ 21	1	
23		,
24		
25		:
26		
	,	

	· ·		
6-1	1	Q.	Did you enter the caretaker's home to the
.	2	rear of the	Tate residence?
,	3	Á	Yes, I did.
	4	Q	Did that appear to be rangacked in any
	5	fashion?	•
*	6	A	No, it did not.
*	7	Q	Did you enter the garage on the premises?
	8	A	Yes, I did.
	9	Q	Did that appear to be ransacked in any
	10	fashion?	
	11	A	No, it did not.
-1 <u>*</u>	12	Q	You found no drawers open or anything like
	13	that?	
	14	A.	No, sir.
	15	Q	When I say "drawers," I mean, let's say,
	16	like drawer	s in the bedroom, et cetera.
	. 17	A.	Drawers actually open in the bedroom?
	18	Q	Yes.
	19	A	Not that I recall.
••	20 · ,	Q	Do you have a property report with you, sir?
e,*	21 ,	A.	Yes, I do.
	22	Q	It is the Los Angeles Police Department
	23	property re	port?
<u>.</u>	24	A	Yes, sir, it is.
P.	25	Q	Containing some or all of the property found
	26	at the Tate	residence and booked into the property
	*		

	1	
6-2	1	division of the Los Angeles Police Department?
<u> </u>	2	A Yes, sir.
•	3	Q Does that property report indicate whether a
	4	purse identified as Abigail Folger's purse was found in
	5	the premises?
*	6	A Yes, it does.
	7	Q Where was the purse found?
	8	A It was found in the front bedroom of the
	9	Polanski home on the sofa.
	10	MR. BUGLIOSI: You can make reference to it if
	11	there is no objection to the property report.
	12	MR, FITZGERALD: No objection.
_	13	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Was there any money in Abigail
	14	Folger's purse?
	15	A Yes, sir, there was.
	16	Q How much?
	17	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, is this going in under
	18	past recollection recorded?
	19	Evidently, he has no memory of this.
	20	I would have no objection to the officer reading
4 *	21	from that if it is understood that it is going in under pas
	22	recollection recorded.
	23	If he is merely laying foundation
	24	MR. FITZGERALD: Item 19, 20 and 21, Sergeant.
	25	MR. BUGLIOSI: No, It is not that purse, Mr.
	26	Fitzgerald.

2.

18·

2I

THE COURT: Mr. Bugliosi, do you wish to respond to Mr. Kenarek's statement?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Well, I think it is coming in, your Honor, he is refreshing his memory from this property report.

MR. KANAREK: Query as to whether that is -- I don't know, it is sort of hanging in the air at this time -- as to which way it is, your Honor? I would like that foundation.

Just as long as we know which way it is, as long as we know which, in fact, is the correct way.

THE COURT: Are you objecting?

MR. KANAREK: I am objecting to the foundation, and I would ask Mr. Bugliosi to lay a foundation as to whether this man is testifying of his own memory being refreshed or whether he is marely reading something from a piece of paper.

THE COURT: Lay the foundation, Mr. Bugliosi.
MR. BUBLIOSI: Yes, sir.

Q Do you remember of your own recollection, sir, how much money was found in Abigail Folger's purse?

A I do remember. However, I wanted to refer to the report, and I found it and looked at it prior to this last question.

Q I'm going to ask you in subsequent questions whether money was found in the pocketbook or the wallet

3.

of other victims at the scene.

Do you remember of your own recollection if that is so and how much was found, or do you need to refer to the property report?

For the exact amounts of money found, I A would need to refer to the property report.

a fls.

MR. KANAREK: Well, then, in that same it is past ï recollection recorded, your Honor, because the man has 2 no memory. 3 THE COURT: It depends on whether or not it refreshes his recollection. 5 MR. KANAREK: Correct. But we still don't have that. 6 THE COURT: Let's take it question by question, 7 Mr. Kanarek. 8 MR. KANAREK: Very well. 9 MR. FITZGERALD: Well. let the record reflect that 10 the defendant Patricia Krenwinkel has no objection to him 11 testifying from the report, either for refreshing his 12 recollection or for past recollection recorded. 13 MR. KANAREK: I have no objection either, just so 14 we know which way it is, so that later we can discuss this 15 with the jury intelligently. 16 I have no objection, your Honor. I would like 17 to know which way it is. 18 THE COURT: You will have to lay a foundation. 19 MR. BUGLIOSI; Okay. 20 BY MR. BUGLIOSI: 21 With respect to Miss Folger, now, you indicated 22 Q. that her purse was found on the premises; is that correct? 23 A Yes, that is correct. 24 And some money was found in it? Q 25

Å

26

Yes, it was.

1	Q Now, you have already looked at the property					
	report, I take it?					
2	A Yes, I have.					
3	Q Is your memory refreshed now as to the amount					
4	of money found in Miss Folger's purse?					
5	A Yes, it is.					
6	Q How much money was found in Miss Folger's purse?					
7	A \$9.64.					
8	MR. KANAREK: May the record reflect					
9	THE COURT: If your memory is refreshed, Officer,					
10	then you don't need to read it from the report.					
11						
12	If it does not refresh your recollection, then					
13	Mr. Bugliosi will have to lay further foundation.					
14	THE WITNESS: Fine.					
15	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:					
16	Q Were these eight one dollar bills?					
17	A Yes.					
18	Q And a \$1.54 in change?					
19	A Yes.					
20	(Mr. Fitzgerald, Mr. Bugliosi and Mr.					
21	Stovitz confer.)					
22	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:					
23	Q What about Voltyck Frykowski? Was any					
24	pocketbook or wallet found on or about his person or					
25	anywhere on the premises that appeared to belong to him?					
.26	A Yes, sir.					

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may the record reflect that as the witness is testifying he is reading or purporting to read -- that he has in front of him some documents?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Sergeant, if you remember of your own recollection, then do not look at that property report.

If you do not remember any answer to my question, then simply say you do not.

Then we will ascertain at that point whether you will be permitted to look at the property report to refresh your memory.

Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

- Q Now, do you remember whether Mr. Frykowski's pocketbook or wallet was found at the scene?
 - A Yes, it was.
 - Q Do you know where it was found?
- A It was also found in the front bedroom of the Polanski home on the sofa.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I inquire on voir dire as to whether he is testifying as to something that he is percipient to or whether he is testifying as to what somebody told him?

It may well be that he has no personal knowledge of this.

		THE COURT: Are you testilying of your own knowneds,
	1	Officer?
.	2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am.
	. 3	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	4	Q Do you recall how much, if any, money was
÷	5	found inside Mr. Frykowski's wallet?
	6	A I would like to refresh my memory on the
E	7	report.
	8	Q You don't recall of your own recollection?
	9	A No, I do not.
	10	MR. BUGLIOSI: Is there any objection to his looking
<u>.</u>	. 11	at the report, Mr. Kanarek?
	12	MR. KANAREK: I have no objection, your Honor, except
	13	that I believe his idea of recollection refreshing is not
	14	what the law is.
	15	I am sure he doesn't remember it. And if he
	16	doesn't, it goes in under the past recollection recorded.
	17	Because either he does or he doesn't.
	18	I suggest what he is doing, he is merely
	19	reading from a piece of paper, and it must be denominated
, fls.	20	past recollection recorded.
49	21	. •
	22	
	23	
	24 .	•
	25	
	26	·

	- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
B-1	1	THE COURT: Let's proceed, Mr. Bugliosi.						
	2	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q How much money, if any, was						
	à ·	found in his pocketbook?						
•	4	A Can I refresh my memory from the report?						
	5	Q In his wallet.						
*	, 6	Yes. You may.						
*	7	(The witness looks at the report.)						
140	. 8	MR. BUGLIOSI: I am sorry, sir. Have you looked at						
6	. 9	Your report?						
	io	A Yes, I have.						
	. 11	Q How much money was found in Mr. Frykowski's						
	. 12	wallet?						
	13	A \$2.44.						
	14	Q Two one-dollar bills?						
	15	A Yes.						
	16	Q And 44 cents in change?						
	17	A Yes, sir.						
±.	1,8.	Q What about Mr. Sebring?						
	19	A Yes. Mr. Sebring's wallet was inside his						
, Š.	20	coat which was on a chair in the living room of the						
**	21	Polanski residence.						
	22	Q Do you recall whether or not any money was						
	23	found inside his wallet?						
	24	A Yes, sir. There was money found inside the						
	25	wallet.						
**	26	Q Do you recall how much it was?						

Yes, I have.

How much money?

25

26

Q

.3	ı.	A \$18.
		Q one \$10 bill?
	2	A Yes, sir.
	3	9 One \$5 bill?
	4	A Yes, sir.
*	5	Q Three \$1 bills?
	6	A Yes, sir.
• •	7	Q Where was this money?
۶.	8	A This was on the nightstand located in the
	.9	master bedroom in the Polanski home.
,	10	Q on top of the nightstand?
÷	11	A Yes, sir.
*	12	Q In plain view?
	13	A Yes, sir.
	14	Q Do you recall whether Mr. Parent's wallst was
	15	found inside the car?
	16	A Yes, sir, it was.
	17	Q Do you recall if any money was found inside the
	18	wallet?
-A	19	A Yes, sir. There was money found inside the
	20	wallet.
Ø.	21 20	Q Where was the wallet in the car?
	.22	A I believe the wallet was in his pocket, his
Ŧ	23	pants pocket, I believe.
	24	Q Do you recall how much money was found in the
	25	wallet of Mr. Parent? Inside the wallet that was in the
	26	

1	depicting a watch on the seat of a vehicle.				
2	May it be marked People's next in order?				
3	THE COURT: 138 for identification. MR. KANAREK: May I see that, Counsel?				
4					
5	MR. EUGLIOSI: Yes.				
6	(Mr. Bugliosi shows the photograph to				
7	Mr. Kanarek.				
8	MR. BUGLIOSI: Q I show you People is 138 for				
9	identification, sergeant.				
10	Do you know what is shown in that photograph?				
11	A Yes.				
12	This is the man's Lucerne wrist watch with the				
13	band broken.				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
.20					
21 -	,				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

6c-1	1	Q This was found where now?
	.2.	A On the rear seat of Mr. Parent's car.
	3	Q Is this photograph a fair and accurate
	4	representation of the way the watch looked when you saw
	5	it inside of Mr. Parent's vehicle?
*	6	A Yes, it is.
6	7	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here # wristwatch.
	8	It is a Lucerne wristwatch. The band appears to be broken.
	9	May/be marked as People's 139 for identification
? 139 I	d, 10	THE COURT: Yes. It will be so marked.
	11	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	12	Q I show you People's 139 for identification.
	13	Have you ever seen that watch before?
	14	A Yes.
	15	This appears to be the Lucerne wristwatch
	16	which is depicted in People's 138.
	17	Q You took this into custody and booked it with
	18	the Property Division of the Los Angeles Police Department?
	19	A It was taken into custody and booked with the
₹\$	20	Los Angeles Police Department, yes, sir.
57	21	Q I show you People's 17 for identification.
	22	You referred to a radio found inside Mr.
	23	Parent's vehicle. Is that the radio depicted in that
14.	24	photograph?
	25	A Yes, it is.
	26	Q The center portion, or just above the center?

1	A Just past the console portion or the pull-down
2 -	arm-rest.
3	Q Of the passenger's seat?
4	A The front seat, the passenger's side of the
5	car.
6	Q That was taken into custody and booked with
7	the Property Division of the Los Angeles Police Department?
8	A Yes, it was.
9 .	Q Did you find any nercotic on the premises,
10	Sergeant?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q What did you find?
13	A Quantities of marijuana and hashish was found
14	on the premises, in addition to
15	Q Let's take it one at a time.
16 .	How much marijuana and how much hashish,
1,7	and where was it found, specifically.
18	A Could I refresh my memory with the property
19	report, sir?
20	MR.BUGLIOSI: Yes.
21	MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, may I inquire on voir
22	dire on this refreshing versus past recollection recorded?
23	I think it is important, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: It won't be necessary if a foundation
25	is laid.
26	MR. KANAREK: I know, but

THE COURT: You can take it up on cross-examination.

MR. KANAREK: The problem is, your Honor, that the

MR. KANAREK: The problem is, your Honor, that the witness, and perhaps certainly -- I am not imputing anything -- innocently may be saying that he is refreshing his recollection when really, what I think he is doing is, he is enunciating past recollection recorded.

THE COURT: This is something that Mr. Bugliosi will have to establish as part of his foundation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think with respect to the marijuana and the hashish, your Honor, I believe he already testified that he doesn't remember of his own recollection.

Is that right, Sergeant?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.
BY MR. BUGLIOSI:

Q Exactly where it was found and the amounts?

A I recall where it was found, but I do not recall the amounts.

The chemist analyzed them and also weighed them.

I have the weight written down here.

I do recall where the narcotics was found.

I don't recall the weight.

Q Let's take them one at a time.
You found some marijuana?

A Yes, sir.

6d fls. 2

5-1	. 1	Q Where was the marijuana found?
	2 `	A There was marijuana found in the living room
	3	portion of the Polanski home in a cabinet located against
	4	the west wall.
	Š	Q How much marijuana was this?
٠	6	A It was a sack of marijuana.
	7	Q You say a sack? How much was in the sack?
	8	A Oh, approximately it was similar to a Baggy.
	9.	Perhaps half full.
*	1Ò	Q Do your records indicate exactly how much
	IÍ	was found?
	12	A Yes, they do.
	13	Q All right.
	14	Do you need to refresh your memory from those
	15	records?
	16	A Yes, I do.
,	17	Q Would you please do so?
	İB	(Pause while the witness looks at the report.)
	19	THE WITNESS: 76 grams.
** ,	- 20	Q 76 grams, g-r-a-m-s, of marijuana; is that
42	21	correct?
	22	A Yes, sir.
	23	Q Did you find marijuana anywhere else on the
	24	premises?
	. 25	A There was marijuana found in the front bedroom
	26	of the home, the Polanski home.

1	Q They are almost synonymous one with the other?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Did you find any other narcotics on the
4	premises?
5	A (Pause.)
6	Q When I say "premises," I mean the entire
7	premises, not just the house, but anywhere; in a car, on
8	the premises, anywhere?
. 9	A There was narcotics found in Mr. Sebring's
10	car.
11	Q What narcotics were those?
12	A This was Cocains.
13	Do you know of your own memory how much Cocaine?
14	A I believe it was one ounce, but I would have to
15	refer to my records to make sure.
16	Q Are your records in front of you?
17	A Yes, they are.
1 8	Q Would you please look at them and refresh your
19	memory, sir.
20	THE COURT: Would counsel approach the bench, please,
21	(Whereupon, all counsel approach the bench
22	and the following proceedings occur at the bench outside
23	of the hearing of the jury:)
24	THE COURT: Now he is testifying to Cocaine from his
25	report.
26	Can't this be stipulated to?

ı

B

~1

MR. KANAREK: No objection.

MR. FITZGERALD: No objection to stipulating.

MR. KANAREK: If we could enter into a stipulation it would save time. In other words, rather than impose on the chemist, we can stipulate that if the chemist were called, that he would be duly qualified and he would testify that these items were the same.

This witness is really stating hearsay.

I am not objecting. I believe it would come out anyway.

THE COURT: I certainly encourage any stipulation that you enter into.

MR. KANAREK: Right.

THE COURT: Now, with respect to this refreshing of recollection, past recollection recorded, we are doing this in a very loose way. I am sure you recognize that.

The witness, if asked a question, says "I have refreshed my recollection by looking at the document."

You ask him "What was it?" And he states what it was.

Of course, the proper way is to interrogate him as to whether or not his recollection is refreshed.

In other words, he has to have an independent recollection; otherwise we have to go into past recorded recollection, which requires a further foundation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I realize I have been loose.

THE COURT: I don't object to this, I don't have any objection to it, but --

MR. KANAREK: That is why I wished to inquire on voir dire.

THE COURT: -- it is very difficult for me to follow what he is doing.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I think in the last several questions and answers he has been saying that he can't remember without looking at the report.

THE COURT: He has been saying that, but you haven't been asking him whether his recollection is refreshed.

MR. BUGLIOSI: That is true.

THE COURT: So I don't know whether he is testifying

26

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

5

6 7

ġ.

9 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

24

25° 26 from independent recollection refreshed or just reading from the document, in effect.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Okay.

THE COURT: You see, if counsel doesn't object to it, it is all right with me, but it is difficult to tell what is happening in case there is an objection.

MR. KANAREK: That is why I wanted to inquire on voir dire.

It seems to be a voir dire topic where you can ascertain once and for all that he is really reading from something.

THE COURT: Voir dire wouldn't be necessary if the proper questions were asked on the foundation.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Without stating on the record, there is a particular reason why the foundation is rather loose.

MR. KANAREK: I don't want to make any unnecessary objections.

MR. FITZGERALD: I agree with the reason, and I don't want to object.

MR. BUGLIOSI: I do know how to lay these foundations.

I have done it many times.

THE COURT: I am sure you do.

MR. BUGLIOSI: There is a reason for it.

THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek has been interposing some objections. That is the reason that I am concerned about it

MR. BUGLIOSI: I do think, Mr. Kanarek -- and this 1 is speaking inter se -- I think we can save a lot by doing 2 it this way. 3 MR. KANAREK: That is why I haven't objected to the narcotics. But I think it would be fruitful for us to 5 enter into a stipulation and, in that way, save the 6 necessity of the chemist. 7 Actually, it is clearer to the jury to know 8 that this is really expert testimony. 9 THE COURT: I think that is a good point. 10 Can you enter into a stipulation with respect 11 to the chemist's testimony? . 12 MR. FITZGERALD: I would prefer to stipulate to the 13 fact rather than to the testimony. 14 MR. BUGLIOSI: Yes. 15 MR. FITZGERAID: We will stipulate that it is cocaine, 16 not that a chemist would testify. 17 MR. BUGLIOSI: We will stipulate to that fact. 18 MR. KANAREK: Would your Honor wish to formulate it? 19 MR. HUGHES: What is the stipulation? 20 THE COURT: I can't formulate it. I don't know 21 what the facts are. 22 MR. KANAREK: That each of the items are, in fact --23 MR. FITZGERALD: What he purports they are. 24 THE COURT: It is time for us to take our recess. 25

Why don't you get together during the recess.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Very well.

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

MR. FITZGERALD: All right.

(Whereupon all counsel return to their respective places at counsel table and the following proceedings occur in open court within the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: We will take our recess at this time, ladies and gentlemen.

Do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The court will recess for 15 minutes. (Recess.)

THE COURT: All parties, counsel and jurors are JF-1 1 present. You may continue, Mr. Bugliosi. 3 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Just going back a little bit, 4 Sergeant. 5 You testified that 30 ounces of hash was found 6 inside the Tate residence? 7 A Yes. 8 or is it grams? 9. A Yes. 10 Q Is it ounces or grams? 11 Yes, grams. A 12 Q of hash? Yes. MR. BUGLIOSI: May it be stipulated - or the 15 People will offer to stipulate that these 30 grams were, 16 in point of fact, actually hash. 17 MR. FITZGERALD: It is so stipulated. 18 MR. HUGHES: So stipulated. 19 MR. SHIMM: So stipulated. 20 MR. KANAREK: So stipulated. 21 MR. BUGLIOSI: Q And you testified, I believe, 22 that you found 76.9 grams of marijuana? 23 Yes, sir. A 24. Inside the Tate residence? 25 Å Yes. 26

25

26

				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	
•	MR.	BUGLIOSI:	The	People	will	offer	to stip	ulate
that	these	76.9 gran	s were	e actu	ally s	marijum	na.	8 ■0
	MR.	FITZGERALD	, Al	sò knov	wn as	Cannal	is sati	ya.
So s	ti pula	ated.						
	MR.	BUGLIOST:	Also	known	as Ca	nnabia	sativa	, 80
	.	<u>,</u>						

stipulated.

MR. HUGHES: So stipulate.

MR. SHINN: So stipulate.

MR. KANAREK: Maybe, if Mr. Bugliosi would use a different name, "hashish" --

MR. BUGLIOSI: Or hashish.

so stipulated. MR. KANAREK:

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q With respect to the Cocaine in Mr. Sebring's car, did you say that there were two ounces?

I thought there was two ounces. I said I would have to check my report, which I did, and it is one gram.

> Q Your memory is refreshed?

Yes.

Q You are not testifying from the report now?

A No.

Q So, the testimony is that there was one gram of Cocaine found inside Mr. Sebring's car?

> Ă That is correct.

· Q · What type of car was that?

Å A '68 Porsche. . 2

3

4

.5

.6

7

8

9

ÌÓ

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20. 21

22

23 24

25

26

MR. BUGLIOSI: The People offer to stipulate that the one gram is actually Cocaine.

So stipulated?

MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.

MR. HUGHES: So stipulate.

MR. KANAREK: So stipulated.

MR. SHINN: So stipulated.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Did you find any other narcotics in Mr. Sebring's car?

> A Yes, sir.

What did you find?

We found additional marijuana. A

Do you recall the amount and where the marijuana was found, without looking at the report?

There were two different quantities of marijuana at two different locations of marijuana within the car.

one was in a can, a film can, a small can. The other was just in a container.

Let's break it down now as to what was found where and how much.

I would have to refer to my report for the actual amount found.

Q Okay. You may do so.

. (The witness refers to the report.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Have you refreshed your memory?

£4	1	A Yes, mir, I have.
	2	Q can you testify without the help of the report?
	3	A Yes, I can.
	4	Q okay.
	5	How much marijuana was found and where was it
•	6	found inside Mr. Sebring's car?
	. 7	A 2.9 grams were found in the car loose, and 3.4
	.8	was found in this can that I referred to, the film can.
•	9	MR. BUGLIOSI: The People will offer to stipulate
	10	that these grams were actually marijuana.
	ır ·	MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.
	12	MR. HUGHES: So stipulated.
	13	MR. SHINN: So stipulated.
	14	MR. KAMAREK: So stipulated.
Ŧ	15	*
	16	
	17.	
•	18	
	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	20	
	. 21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	•
		• , •

6g-1	1	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	2	Q Have you ever heard of the drug called MDA?
	3	A Yes, sir.
	4	Q Was any MDA found anywhere on the premises?
	5	A Yes, sir, it was.
*	6	Q Where?
•	7	A In the front bedroom of the Polanski home where
	8	the 30 grams of hashish was found.
	9	Q This was in a chest of drawers?
	Ĭ0	A A small night stand.
	11	Q In Abigail Folger's room?
	12	A Yes, sir.
<u>`</u>	13	Q Do you know how much MDA was found, without
	14	looking at your report?
	15	A Several capsules. I am not sure how much without
	16	looking at my report.
	17	Q That information is contained within your
	18	report?
	19	A Yes, sir, it is.
-3	20	Q All right, would you look at your report,
•÷	21	Sergeant?
•	22	(Pause while the witness looks at his report.)
	23	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
	24	Q Have you refreshed your memory?
	25	A Yes, sir, I have.
	26	Are you looking at your report now?
	•	

		(
	ı ·	A No, I am not.
	2	Q How much MDA was found?
	. 3	A Ten capsules.
	4	Q Were any other narcotics found anywhere else
	5	on the premises, to your knowledge?
*	6	A A two-inch roach, or marijuana roach, was
~	7	found in Mr. Sebring's car.
	8	MR. BUGLIOSI: The People offer to stipulate that the
	9	two-inch roach was marijuana.
	10.	MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.
	11	MR. HUGHES: So stipulated.
	12	MR. SHINN: So stipulated.
_	13	MR. KANAREK: So stipulated.
	14	MR. BUGLIOSI: We will offer to stipulate that the
	15	capsules of MDA were actually MDA.
	16	MR. FITZGERALD: So stipulated.
ě	17	MR. SHINN: So stipulated.
	18	MR. HUGHES: So stipulated.
	19	MR. KANAREK: So stipulated, with some kind of an
* 2	20 .	indication what MDA means, your Honor.
يلم	21	MR. FITZGERALD: I will bring in the chemical name
	22	for it, and we will stipulate at a later time.
	23	THE COURT: Very well.
	24	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
) ;	25	Q You have worked with firearms, I imagine,
	26	quite a bit, have you not, Sergeant?

- [A Yes, sir.
1	
2	Q What is a shell casing?
	A A shell casing would be that portion of the
3	bullet which contains the powder for the projectile.
4	Q The shell casing is not the bullet, is it?
5	A No, it is not.
6	Q Did you find any shell casings anywhere on the
7	premises?
8	A No, I did not.
9 .	Q When an automatic or semi-automatic firearm is
10	fired, is the shell casing ejected onto the ground?
ri.	MR. KANAREK: I object, your Honor, on the grounds
12	that it is assuming facts not in evidence.
13	It has not been shown to have any relevancy
14	or materiality.
15	He could ask a lot of negatives, and it is
16	unfair to ask this witness.
17	It presupposes a lot when he asks this type of
18	question, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: I assume it is preliminary.
20	Overruled.
21 [.]	BY MR. BUGLIOSI:
22	Q Do you recall the question?
23	A No. I don't.
24	Q When an automatic or semi-automatic firearm
25	is discharged, is fired, is the shell casing ejected onto
26	Ta arachargen, to trient to the sherr coping elected piren

	1	the ground?	
,	2	A	Yes, it is.
	3	Ĝ	What about a revolver?
	4	A	No, sir, it is not.
	5	ર	The casing, the shell casing, remains in the
	6	chamber?	
•	7	A	Yes, sir, it does.
	8	Q.	And you found no shell casings anywhere on the
	9	premises?	
	10	A	There were no shell casings found on the
	11	premises.	
	12	Q	Did you look for any?
h fls.	13	A	Yes, I did.
	14	4	
	15		
•	16		
	17	1	
	18		
	19		<u>,</u>
<u>#</u> \$	20		
6 ⁷ 7	21	•	
	22	ş	
	23		
	24	• •	•
	25		,
	26		
	J,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Q During your investigation at the scene of the Tate murders, did you approach the front door of the Tate residence?

A Yes, I did.

Q Were there any bushes in the vicinity of the front door?

A Yes, there were.

Q Do you recall what the condition of the bushes were?

A There were a group of bushes just north of the porch, of course, which leads to the front door, and there was a bush which was broken down, or broken, spread apart, just north of the porch.

Q When you say just north, for the benefit of the Judge and the jury, as one would be walking out of the Tate residence, walking out the door, would the bush be to the left or to the right?

A The bush would be to the left as you exited the home.

Q And looking at the front door from the outside, the bush would be to the right; is that correct?

A That is correct.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I have here -- sorry -- it is already marked. My apologies.

Q I show you People's 94 for identification.

Do you know what is shown in that photograph?

25

26

A	Yes,	I	ďo.

- Q What is shown in that photograph?
- A This is a photograph of the previously described bush just north of the porch.
- Q This is the bush as you are walking out the front door of the Tate residence? This is the bush immediately to the left; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir, that is correct.
- Q Does the bush appear to be in a damaged condition in this photograph?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Is this photograph a fair and accurate representation of the way the damaged bush looked when you arrived at the scene?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Q Looking at what appears to be blood next to the bush, was that blood next to the bush when you arrived at the scene and observed the bush?
- A Yes, sir, that is exactly as it appeared when I arrived.

MR. BUGLIOSI: Please step down off the witness stand, sergeant, and approach People's 8 for identification, this diagram over here.

(The witness leaves the witness stand and approaches the diagram.)

MR. BUGLIOSI: Q Using this pointer, sergeant, do

5H3	1	you see whe	re the bush was on the diagram?
	2		Take a good look at that diagram.
Ÿ	, , 3°,	A :	Yes, sir, I do.
	4 .	Q	All right.
	. 5		Would you point out with your pointer where
	6	the damaged	bush was?
	7	A	Right here. Just off the porch.
	.8	Q,	All right.
	ġ.		Would you place an X there, sir?
721	10		(The witness complies.)
, ,	11	MR. B	OGLIOSI: Your Honor, may I mark that "damaged
æ	12	bush"?	
, ,2 ,2	13.	THE C	OURT: Yes.
	14		(Mr. Bugliosi so marks.)
	15	MR. B	UGLIOSI: You may resume the witness stand,
	16	officer.	
	. 17		Sorry. Do you want to return to the diagram,
٠	18	sir?	
	19		Now, looking at the diagram here strike that.
	20	Q	Did you observe Mr. Frykowski's and Miss Folger's
	21	bodies layi	ng on the front lawn of the Tate residence?
	22	A	Yes, I did.
	23	Q.	Looking at the diagram, Sergeant, People's 8
	. 24	for identif	ication, could you point out where the bodies
	. 25		ger and Mr. Frykowski were observed by you?
,		Δ.	Miss Folger was lying in a sunine position at

V		,
6H4	1	this location here (indicating).
	2	Q in the place marked "Folger" on the diagram?
	3	A Yes, sir.
	4	Q What about Frykowski?
*	5	A Frykowski was in this position right here.
	Ġ·	Q At the place marked "Frykowski" on the diagram?
, ,	.7	A Yes, sir.
	. 8	MR. BUGLIOSI: Thank you.
	9	You may resume the witness stand.
٠.	10	(The witness returns to the witness stand.)
	11	MR. BUGLIOSI: No further questions at this time.
	12	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
	13:	MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
	14	
ž	15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
<u>,</u>	16	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
	17	Q What is a roach?
	18	A reach would be that portion of a marijuana
	19	cigarette which has been smoked but is not consumed. The
	20	remains, in other words, of the marijuana cigarette.
7 🚸	21	
9	22.	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Were there also numerous items of jewelry that
3.	were inventoried and removed from the location?
4	MR. KANAREK: That is ambiguous, your Honor, does he
5	mean costume jewelry or does he mean what is generally
б	known as fine jewelry?
7"	THE COURT: Let's find out first if there was any.
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall a large item of costume
9.	jewelry having been removed from the Polanski residence.
10	There may have been some small items removed.
11	I don't recall.
12	BY MR. FITZGERALD:
13	Q Well, don't let Mr. Kanarek suggest anything
14	to you.
15	Was there any jewelry, period, about, costume
16.	jewelry, fancy jewelry, whatever?
17	A Yes, there was jewelry on the premises.
18	Q Do you have your property report with you,
19.	Officer?
20	A Yes, I do.
.21	Q Directing your attention to Item No. 67, would
22	you look at that.
23	Do not read it aloud, just look at it to
24	yourself.
25	(Witness complies.)

Cigaratte butt?

Q

. 1	Strike that, that refers to a different
2	location, does it not?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Was there a Nikon 35 millimeter camera in
5	the Folger bedroom that was inventoried and removed?
6	A Yes, sir, there was.
7	Q Was that in plain view on top of the dresser?
8	A I believe it was, yes, sir.
9	Q Was there also a reel of video tape that was
10	secured from the loft area of the living room of the
11	location?
12	A It was in a it was in the loft, and through
13	an opening, through a door, which leads to a storage area,
14	and then into a into a storage area.
15	Q Do you recall what was in the storage area
16	in addition to, if anything, the video tape?
17	A Oh, suitcases, miscellaneous items of clothing.
18	I think a hat box.
19	Q Was that reel of video tape that you just
20	described booked into the property division of the Los
21	Angeles Police Department, to your knowledge?
22 ' :	A Yes, it was.
23	Q Was there also a box of miscellaneous
24	still photographs that were obtained from the main house
25	residence?
26	A You say "were there"?

1	Q Were there, yes.
2	A I don't recall any item such as that being
3	removed.
4	MR. FITZGERALD: I have nothing further.
. 5	THE COURT: Mr. Shinn?
6	MR. SHINN: Yes, your Honor.
7	•
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. SHINN:
10	Q Officer, you arrived at 1:30?
11	A Yes, sir, 1:30 p.m.
12	Q Were there other officers there before you?
13.	A Yes, sir, there were.
14	Q How many other officers were there before you,
15	approximately?
16	A Approximately? 15 officers perhaps.
17.	Q And did they inform you that they made a search
· 18	of the premises too?
19	MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, this is just hearsay.
20	I object on that ground.
21 .	THE COURT: I did not hear the question.
.22	Read the question, please.
23	(Whereupon the reporter reads the pending
24	question as follows:
25	"Q And did they inform you that they made
26	a search of the premises too?")

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 BY MR. SHINN: 2 Now, all of these items that you testified to Q 3 that you recovered, did you personally find these items? Well, most of the items were in plain view 5. and I personally observed them at the scene. They were 6 still in the place as when the first officers arrived, 7 the same place as when the first officers arrived. . 8 In other words, you were there when these Q 9 items were recovered, is that correct? 10 That's correct. 11 And someone found it and said "Sergeant McCann, 12 look what I found," is that correct? 13 Á Some items were pointed out, yes, sir. 14. And all of these items, did you find any of 15 these items you described yourself? 16 Oh, the watch I observed in the back seat. A 17 I could not say whether somebody else observed 18 it before, when I got there it was there and I observed 19 it. 20 . I observed the wires which were down. 21 I observed the bush just north of the entryway to the main house, broken. 23 I observed numerous pools of blood, and I 24 observed Miss Folger and Mr. Frykowski, several other 25

items of evidence.

26

Ä

	Q Did you search the medicine cabinet if there
	was one?
	A Yes, I believe I went through everything on
	the premises.
	Q And when you looked at the medicine cabinet
A	were there other pills like diet pills and vitamin pills?
	A Yes, there were other capsules in the home.
•	Q And did you have those analyzed?
	A Yes, sir, I did.
	Q In other words, every item of drugs that you
• 1	found you had analyzed, is that correct?
,	A Yes, sir.
, 1	MR. SHINN: I have no further questions.
	THE COURT: Mr. Kanarek?
• • • •	14
	MR. KANAREK: Yes, your Honor.
7m fls.	16
* 1	
	18
	19
-4	20;
: ند	21.
:	22
٠ :	23.
	24
	25
	26

7A-1

· , 2

 Q Officer, may I look at the documents -- the series of documents you had in your hand while you were testifying?

MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Now, Officer, when you say that after looking at this document which has DR 69-059593, or these series of documents, when you say your recollection was refreshed, really what you mean is that you read something off of a piece of paper and then you told us what you read.

Is that a fair statement?

- A No. I don't believe so, sir.
- Q Well, you told us, I think, that there was one number you gave us, for instance, \$2.44.

Did you actually memorize that amount as you took it into your hands and did whatever you did with it during the investigation?

A Well, at the time I was taking it into custody I memorized it until it was reduced to writing, yes, sir.

Q Right, so therefore, it is a fair statement that when you say your recollection was refreshed, your recollection is not in fact refreshed so that as you sit on the witness stand you have an independent memory of \$2.44.

1. 2

3

.5

б

7 .

9.

10 11

Ţ2 13

14

15 16

17

18.

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

Really, what you are saying is that you are looking at a piece of paper and merely citing to us what is on the piece of paper.

Is that correct?

MR. STOVITZ: Argumentative, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, I refreshed my memory as to what I found on that particular date, August 9, 1969.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: So that when you read the \$2.44, for instance --

Would you tell me, here -MR. KAMAREK: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

of documents and would you tall me, would you show me the item, for instance, the amount of money that you found on Mr. — in Mr. Frykowski's wallet or, let's say, Mr. Sebring's wallet?

A \$80, here, this item No. 14.

All right, now, directing your attention to this report, this item No. 14, \$20, before you looked this up you did not recall what that was, did you, as you were sitting in the witness stand here this morning?

Without looking this up you did not know what -- how much money was in Mr. Sebring's wallet?

I did not recall it at that time, no. I

4 5

7.

refreshed my memory to state it to the Court.

Q Did you really refresh your memory? In other words, so, as you sat here, you, by looking at this, in your mind you were remembering that at the time you took out these four \$20 bills and all of that, that you in fact did it, or are you merely reciting to us what you read that was written in this report?

MR. FITZGERALD: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, I refreshed my memory, Counsel, that is all I can tell you as to what was found on the scene.

Q BY MR. MANAREK: Well, may I ask you what do you mean by refreshing your memory when you tell us that?

A It was memorized by me at the time until it was reduced to writing.

I more or less put it in the back of my mind.

I came here to court today and in order to refresh my

memory I used a Los Angeles Police report to do so.

Q so that when you looked at this, the quantity, four, and the word, "\$20," and the bills, that that flashed it back into your mind and you remembered independent of this report, you remembered that there were \$80 there in Mr. Sebring's wallet?

- A Yes, sir, I did.
- Q Is that right?
- A Yes, sir.

3.

ĭ

Q Now, in connection with this report, who wrote this report, Officer?

A This report here, I believe, was made actually at Parker Center by Sergeant Henderson in my presence.

Q Now, then, what was your function in connection with the making of this report?

A Well, we observed the items of evidence at the scene.

We were responsible for the keeping of it, to see it was properly booked and it was properly analyzed, and so we collaborated on this particular report.

Q so that actually, is it a fair statement, that many people making inputs, and this report is the result of those inputs by many people?

A Not by many people, Counsel, by perhaps three or four.

Q Well, now, then, is your name on this report any place?

A No, sir, it is not, I don't believe.

		·		•						-
	Q	Bo,	actuall;	y, in	fact,	in fa	et yo	u điđ	not	•
write	this	repor	t nor d	id you	aupe	rvise	the a	ctual	making	of
this	report	., is	that co	rrect	•			•		
	A	As I	stated	befor	ce, I	collab	orate	d with	٠.	
							3		12.07	

A As I stated before, I collaborated with Sergeant Henderson and Sergeant Varney in the making of that report, yes, sir, although my name does not appear on that report.

- Q Anywhere, right?
- A To the best of my knowledge it does not, no.
- Q All right, and so you did not do any dictation in connection with this report?
- A Yes, I did some dictation in relation to the report, I believe, I don't recall what part of it.
- Q What I am saying, is there anything here that you dictated?

A well, as I said, we collaborated on the report.

I could not tell you exactly what portion of the report I dictated, if any.

Q Now, Officer, did you cause a blood analysis to be made of Mr. Frykowski?

MR. BUGLIOSI: Beyond the scope of direct -- well, beyond the scope of direct, your Honor.

MR. KAWAREK: Your Honor, he is talking about harcotics. Certainly it is within the area --

MR. FITZGERALD: This could be handled by other witnesses.

MR. BUGLIOSI: We will call other witnesses to the stand to testify to this area.

MR. KANAREK: This witness is here, your Honor. THE COURT: It is beyond the scope. Sustained.

Q Officer, would you tell us, what was your function -- would you describe for us your capacity in this investigation?

A I am assigned to Robbery Division, Homicide Section.

I was called to the scene by my captain, Captain Hugh Brown, and Lieutenant Bob Helder, who was in charge of homicide investigations.

When I arrived at the scene, Lieutenant Helder told me that I would be in charge of the investigation with my partner, Sergeant Calkins, when he returned from vacation.

sergeant Jess Buckles was available at the scene, and Sergeant Buckles and I worked on the case for approximately one month prior to the return of Sergeant Calkins.

So that in fact when you came in there on 1:30 of this date, you were in fact in charge?

A of the investigation, yes, sir, and responsible, of course, to Lieutenant Helder who was my immediate supervisor.

Responsible to him, but you were the one in charge of the investigation at the scene?

1	A That is correct, sir.
2	Q And is one of your duties as an officer in
3	charge, does one of your duties involve requesting the
4	Coroner to make an analysis for narcotics of a person such
5	as Mr. Frykowski?
6	MR. BUGLIOSI: Beyond the scope of direct examination.
7	MR. FITZGERALD: Calls for an expert opinion.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	Q BY MR. KANAREK: How early in this investi-
10	gation, Officer, did you find marcotics?
11	Would you tell us when in the sequence of
12	events you found the narcotics that you have spoken of?
13	MR. STOVITZ: May I inquire of the Court to clarify
14	it, are you referring to LSD or marijuana or to Cocaine, or
15	ma?
16	There is some question as to marijuana as to
17	whether it is a narcotic.
18	MR. KANAREK: The Health & Safety Code calls
19	marijuana a narcotic. This man is a police officer; I
20	presume he knows what the laws of the State of California
21	call narcotics.
22	MR. STOVITZ: I want to be clear, regardless of what
23	the Health & Safety Code calls it.
24	THE COURT: Let's proceed. You may answer the
25	question.
26	THE WITNESS: I forgot the question. Can you repeat

7.

19.

**

the question, Mr. Kanarek?

MR. KANAREK: Yes.

Q BY MR. KANAREK: Would you take each of the items of narcotics that you have mentioned that you found, and would you tell us in the sequence of events which occurred when you found each of them?

A The 30 grams of hashish and the MDA were found at some time between 1:30 p.m. on August 9th, 1969, and approximately 5:00 or 6:00 p.m.

The 79 grams, I believe, of marijuans that was found in the living room, was also found sometime during that time and date.

The Cocaine and marijuana which was found in Mr. Sebring's car was found the following day, which would be August 10th, 1969, sometime in the a.m.

Q Now, during the period of time -- so that when you give us a spread of about five or six hours, actually it is a fact that you don't know exactly when these items were found?

A I cannot tell you the exact minute that they were found, no. sir.

Q And is it a fact that in fact you, yourself, did not find all of these?

A I was present when all of the items I have spoken of were found, yes.

CieloDrive.com ARCHIVES

7c-1

1

4

5

2

3

6

8

9

10

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

Q And in whose presence were you -- would you tell us each item and in whose presence you were when, as you put it, these items were found?

À Well, I believe Sergeant Henderson and one or two uniformed officers who I cannot recall their names, and myself were present when the 30 grams were found in the front bedroom of the Polanski home.

And in the living room Sergeant Varney and Sergeant Henderson were present along with another uniformed officer and myself when the 79 grams were found. That would be August 9th.

On August 10th the narcotics inside of Mr. Sebring's car was actually found by Sergeant Galindo of the Robbery-Homicide Division.

Sergeant Galindo -- at that time had Sergeant Galindo yet started his connection with the La Bianca, the passing away of the La Biancas?

MR. STOVITZ: Calls for a conclusion of this witness, your Honor.

I think he can answer that question. THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: No, sir, he had not yet started his investigation in the La Bianca homicide. BY MR. KANAREK:

Now, Sergeant Galindo -- this was when he first came to the Tate residence -- was it the same day that you

7c-2	1.	first came?
	2	A Yes, sir, that's correct.
	3	Q And then Sergeant Galindo has participated
	4	in the eyents surrounding Mr. and Mrs. La Bianca, is that
	5	correct?
ň	6	A He participated in the original investigation
	7	I believe, the crime scene investigation.
	8	I don't know what his participation was beyond
	9 :	that.
	10	MR. STOVITZ: We ask permission for the next witness
	11.	to remain. He will not testify to anything Sergeant
	12	Sergeant McGann testified to.
:00:0	13	He is our next witness. It would save a few
	1,4	moments of the Court's time.
	15	We ask permission for him to remain in the
•	16	courtroom.
	17	MR. KANAREK: I believe the interrogation of this
	18	officer, I think, will take us quite close to, if not
	19	past the noon hour.
r 🖢	20	MR. STOVITZ: That is all the more reason for
, 	21 .	conserving as much time as possible.
	22	THE COURT: All right, permission is granted.
*	23	Let's proceed.
	24	BY MR. KANAREK:
	25 .	Q Now, were there people on the scene when you
	26	came who were not police officers?
		h

22

23

24

25

26

MR. HUGHES: That calls for a conclusion, your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge only police officers were inside the gate or on the Polanski property.

There were several news men and civilian people on Gielo Drive just outside of the Polanski residence or the Polanski grounds.

- Q You tell us that part of these narcotics were not discovered until the next day, is that right?
 - A That's corect.
 - Q Is that right?
 - A That's correct.
- Now, would you tell us when was it, to your knowledge, that people who were not police officers came upon -- came to the Tate residence after you came there?
- A To the best of my recollection it was approximately two to three days later, as I recall.
- Q Did any of the families of any of the people who had passed away come to the Tate residence while your investigation was going on?
 - A Yes, sir, I believe they did.
- Q Would you tell us the names of the people who came to the Tate residence while the investigation was going on who, to your knowledge, were not police officers?
- A I believe Colonel Tate came to the scene, but I could not be sure.

That would be on the first day. I am not 1 positive of that. I remember talking to a Caucasian man. I just 3 cannot recall his name. But there was one civilian that would be an exception to what I stated. 5 There was one civilian who was allowed to 6 enter the premises or gate. He did not go to the house. 7 Q Who was this person? 8 I don't recall, I just can't recall at the 9 present time. 10 Can you tell us the circumstances of this person 11 being allowed on the premises --12 He had some information relative to one of the 13 victims, and I don't recall which victim it was. Was that written down anywhere? 15 It may have been written down in my notes. 16 but it would have been at the very first part of the 17 investigation. I don't know if they were retained or not. 78 Do you still have those notes, Officer? Q 19 **#** ± I don't believe so. A 20 You destroyed those notes? 21 Ă I believe after I made the written report 22 I destroyed some of my notes, yes. 23 And you chose to destroy some notes that you 24 had made concerning one of the victims that was given 25 to you by this person, is that correct? 26

MR. BUGLIOSI: That assumes a fact not in evidence. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. KANAREK: 3 Who else, Officer, spoke to this person that Q 4 you are telling us about now other than yourself? 5 I'm not sure. 6 Well, could you pinpoint or would you please 7 pinpoint for us the day and the time when this person 8 came. 9 August 9, 1969, sometime after 1:30 p.m. and 10 prior to approximately 10:00 p.m. 11 And this person was allowed on the presises? Q 12 A Yes. 13 And this person was a male? Q 14 A Yes. 15 Q Male Caucasian? 16 A Yes, sir. 17 Q And this person was allowed free access to the 18 entire area except the home, is that what you are telling 19 us? 20 A No, sir, that is not what I am telling you. 21 Q Well, what is your --22 À I was asked by an officer if I would talk to 23 the gentleman. 24 I said yes. 25 He was escorted to me. I talked to him. 26

whatever information he had I noted and he was then 1 returned to the gate and exited the gate. 2 No one was allowed inside the gate to prowl 3 around or such without someone in attendance. 4 And you say you made some notes of this conver-5 : sation? 6 Well, I either noted it mentally or I noted 7 it physically on a piece of paper, I don't know which, sir. Now you are telling us you don't know whether Q 9. you wrote it down or not? 10 That is what I'm telling you, yes, sir. 11 Is that correct? Q 12 A Yes. 13 And you have since destroyed notes? 14 MR. FITZGERALD: That has been asked and answered. 15 BY MR. KANAREK: 16 17 That you have taken as a result of this investigation, your own personal notes? 18 MR. STOVITZ: Asked and answered, your Honor. 79 8 fls. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 22 23 24 25 26

22

23

24

25

26

	Q,	W)	aat	document document	ents,	Officer	, bave	you	Ċā	wsed	to
be.	created		I	gather	type	written	docume	ats	***	from	your
no:	tes?				*		<u>(a)</u>		,		

- A A progress report.
- Q How many progress reports did you write?
- A Two progress reports.
- Q Are these notes perhaps in a desk somewhere or did you actually physically destroy them yourself?
- A I physically destroyed the notes that I referred to or used in making my reports.
- Q Well, then, can you tell us the nature of what this civilian had to say?

MR. STOVITZ: Immaterial. Calls for hearsay.

MR. KANAREK: Your Honor, it is certainly not offered for the truth of the matter asserted. It is offered so that we can find out — maybe find this person.

MR. STOVITZ: Immaterial and calls for hearsay, your Honor.

THE COURT: overruled.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

MR. KANAREK: Q You don't recall the subject matter?

A It was regarding one of the victims.

I didn't place a great deal of importance on it at the time, and I don't recall who it was.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.	Q or anything about it; is that right?
2	A Other than it was in relation to one of the
3	victims.
4	I don't recall even what information it was
5	relative to one of the victims at that time.
6	Now, Officer, directing your attention to this
7	bush.
8	You know, Mr. Bugliosi interrogated you
9	concerning the bush; right?
.0	A Yes, sir, he did.
Ţ	Q Now, at the time that you first observed this
2	bush, had other police officers been in the area, to your
3	knowledge, prior to the time that you, yourself, observed
4	the bush?
5	MR. STOVITZ: Ambiguous, your Honor, as to what
16	counsel means by the word "area."
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	MR. KANAREK: May I have that photograph, please?
19.	(Mr. Stovitz hands a photograph to Mr. Kanarek.)
20	MR. KANAREK: May I approach the witness, your Honor?
21 .	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. KANAREK: Q Officer, I show you this bush,
23.	or this bush area.
24	This is Exhibit No. 94.
25	A Yes, sir.
26	Q Would you hold that photograph, please?

	*
1	A Yes.
2	Q Now, directing your attention to what appears
3	to be is that concrete?
4	A yes, this is concrete or flagstaff flagstone,
. 5	I believe it is called.
б	Q Now, when you first saw the area, what was in
7	the area in what you call the concrete or flagstone area?
8.	A What was in the area?
è	Q Yes.
10	A In relation to people or
11	Q Things, anything.
12	A Anything at all?
13	Q Yes.
14	A Well, the pool of blood was there, the splatters.
14 15	A Well, the pool of blood was there, the splatters. The bush which had been broken. And that was it.
15	The bush which had been broken. And that was it.
15 16	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they
15 16	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so.
15 16 17 18	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see.
15 16 17 18	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?
15 16 17 18 19 20	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?
15 16 17 18 19	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The bush which had been broken. And that was it. It was protected by uniformed personnel, as they are directed and taught to do so. MR. KANAREK: I see. May I approach the Witness again, Your Honor?

IA 🛁

4A-1

2

1

3 4

6

7

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

. 18

19. 20

21

23:

22

25

26

24

MR. KANAREK: Q I show you, Officer, a picture of a house, which is People's Exhibit 4, and ask you to look at that.

Can you integrate this picture, Exhibit No. 94, with that picture?

A Well, it is right by the pole, right near where this X with a circle around it is; a red circle also is just below the other circle.

It would be just on the other side of that marking.

MR. STOVITZ: May the record indicate that the Witness referred to the circle with the X on Exhibit 4 rather than the other photograph, Exhibit 94, your Bonor?

THE COURT: Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct, your Honor.
THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KANAREK: Q Now, Officer, would you step to the exhibit and show us -- would you step to the board, please?

(The witness leaves the witness stand and approaches the board.)

MR. KAMAREK: Q Now, Officer, would you show me would you show us on this photograph where this flagstaff or concrete area is located?

A The flagstaff area is right in this area here (indicating).

Q Would you mark that with, may, "ps"?
MR. STOVITZ: F what, sir?
MR. KANAREK: FS, like in Stovitz.
Would you mark that?
MR. BUGLIOSI: FS for what? Flagstaff?
MR. KANAREK: Flagstaff.
MR. BUGLIOSI: Your Honor, I object to the confusing
markings that Mr. Kanarek makes on these exhibits. Three
months from now it will be I mean, it is just Turkish.
THE COURT: Isn't there a mark there already?
MR. BUGLIOSI: There is a mark already.
THE COURT: On the diagram?
MR. STOVITZ: He just identified it some 22 minutes
ago.
MR. KANAREK: No, your Honor. The area that he just
pointed to is different than the area that is marked.
THE COURT: Then draw a line out from the area and
have him identify it out at the end of the line.
MR. KANAREK: Yes, sir.
MR. STOVITZ: Your Honor, I object to Mr. Kanarek
doing it. The witness was the one that testified to it an
he is there.
THE COURT: Let the witness do it.
MR. KANAREK: I have no pride of authorship.

THE WITNESS: What would you like marked, Mr. Kenarek?

MR. KANAREK: Would you mark the area that you just

to it and

pointed to as being the flagstone area? 1 The flagstone area? THE WITNESS: 2 MR. KANAREK: Right. 3 THE WITNESS: You would like me to mark what? MR. KANAREK: The Court has requested that we draw a 5. line from that area to a place, perhaps, on the chart where 6. there is no writing, so that we can mark it. 7 Bagin at that point, the point you have 8 previously pointed to in your testimony, and draw a line 9. out. 10 (The Witness complies.) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

8b-1

2

3

4 5

7

6

8

Ì0

11

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

**

21:

22. 23

24 25

26

MR. KANAREK: Now, would you draw a line out to an open area in the chart? Just a direct line, so we can mark it.

THE WITNESS: In this position, you mean? (Indicating.)

MR. KANAREK: Yes. Right.

Now, you wrote the "FS" there; is that right?

THE WITNESS: That is right.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

Now, then, may be put a circle at the end of that line with his initials in it, your Honor, so we can then know that it came from this police officer?

THE COURT: Put the "FS" at the end of the line so that we know what the line is.

MR. KANAREK: He has already written it.

THE COURT: He wrote it at the other end.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

Will you put the "FS" at the other end of the line?

THE COURT: Now, put an arrow on the line so we know the direction of the line.

MR. KANAREK: Then would you put your initials, let's say, in a circle immediately adjacent to that?

THE COURT: We don't need his initials, Mr. Kanarek.

MR. KANAREK: So we will know that it was this officer that testified to it.

24

25

26

THE COURT: The record will clearly reflect it.

MR. KANAREK: Very well, your Honor.

All right.

Q Now, directing your attention, Officer, to this picture and this area that you are speaking of, would you describe for us how far, in fact, is this area in terms of feet from, let us say, the entrance or the door of the entrance to this home?

A How far is this particular area depicted in People's 94, or Exhibit 94?

Q Right. To the door.

A I would state approximately three to four feet.

Q All right. Do you remember this?

A I didn't measure it. I am giving you what my opinion is as to the distance.

Q The distance is three to four feet? And is that to the beginning of this flagstone area that we have in People's Exhibit 947

A I don't understand the question.

Q Is that three or four feet that you are speaking of, looking at People's Exhibit 94, would you tell me where in People's Exhibit 94 does the three to four-foot distance begin?

Just draw a line somewhere on this Exhibit 94 to where the three to four-feet begins, the distance from this flagstone area that you are calling the three to

four feet, until the beginning of the entrance of that home? To the front door? Yes. Would you show me on this picture? Q From this picture, I can't determine. Well, when you were thinking of three to four feet, does that three to four feet have a location in this picture anywhere; the one end of the three to four feet in Exhibit 94? One would start from the location of the bush, A 8c fls. but from that picture I cannot state the distance.

~1

2

1

3

6

5

7

9 10

11:

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20.

÷ ×

22

23

24 25

26

Q No. I am not asking you to state the distance.

I am asking you to integrate this picture with this
bigger diagram so that we will know where the three to four
feet has significance in this diagram.

MR. STOVITZ: In this picture, Counsel. You are pointing to the picture.

MR. KANAREK: Pardon me. In Exhibit 94.

Because at a later time, Sergeant, we will have to use this exhibit in connection with this diagram, because we don't have any picture that closes in on this area.

THE WITHESS: I would estimate it to be three, four, five feet.

MR. KANAREK: All right.

Q Now, when you are thinking of that three or four or five feet, it is a distance, it has two ends to it; right?

A correct.

Q I am asking you to show me somewhere on Exhibit 94 wherein you have the end, one end, of that three to four feet distance?

MR. STOVITZ: I object to the question as unintelligible.

MR. KANAREK: I don't think it is unintelligible, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

26

THE WITNESS: You want to know the direction?

MR. KANAREK: Q You have told us of a distance of three to four fact, Officer.

A Yes.

Q Now, one end of that, which is a length, a linear length, -- right?

A Yes.

Q One end of that is somewhere in this diagram.
You told us it is three to four feet.

MR. STOVITZ: That is a picture, Counsel.

MR. KANAREK: Pardon me. In Exhibit 94.

Q One end of it is somewhere in Exhibit 94; right?

A Yes.

Q All right.

Would you just show us where in Exhibit 94 is the end of the three to four feet that you have spoken of as being the distance from the door to this flagstone area?

THE COURT: I don't think that is clear now, Mr. Kanarek.

You asked him to refer to an area.

MR. KANAREK: In order to clear it up, I make a motion that --

THE COURT: Why don't you just ask him, if you want to know, how far it is from that particular place in the photograph to whatever place you want.

MR. KANAREK: He is telling us it is three to four feet. All I want to do is orient that diagram with this picture.

THE COURT: That is not what he said.

MR. KANAREK: He said it is three or four feet from the door to the flagstone area.

All I want to know is where in this Exhibit 94 is the end of the three or four feet.

THE COURT: Well, ask some additional questions and pinpoint it.

MR. KANAREK: Very well.

THE COURT: It is now 12:00 o'clock.

Ladies and gentlemen, do not converse with anyone or form or express any opinion regarding the case until it is finally submitted to you.

The Court will recess until 2:00 p.m.

(Whereupon, at 12:00 o'clock p.m. the court was in recess.)